

FILE NO. 200659

Petitions and Communications received from June 11, 2020, through June 18, 2020, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on June 23, 2020.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting supplements to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, dated February 25, 2020; and a Termination of Order for Section 4 of the Sixth Supplement. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Health Officer of the Department of Public Health, issuing the following Health Directives and Orders from the Health Officer: Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

Health Directive No. 2020-16; Order from the Health Officer No. C19-07e,
dated June 11, 2020

Health Directive Nos. 2020-17, 2020-18 and 2020-19, dated June 13, 2020

Health Directive No. 2020-20, dated June 16, 2020

Health Directive No. 2020-09c, dated June 15, 2020

From the Office of the Public Defender, submitting an Administrative Code, Chapter 12B, Waiver Request. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector, pursuant to California State Government Code, Section 53646, submitting the CCSF Pooled Investment Report, May 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Office of the City Administrator, regarding proposed Ordinance - Administrative Code - Goods or Services Contracts for Incarcerated Persons. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the Office of the Mayor, pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18), making the following appointments: Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

Brenda Wright - War Memorial Board of Trustees - term ending January 2, 2023

Susan Christian - Health Commission - term ending January 15, 2024

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting an Executive Order Extending the Commercial Eviction Moratorium. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Ordinance - Administrative Code - COVID-19 Tenant Protections. File No. 200375. 130 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Ordinance - General Obligation Bond Election - Health and Recovery - Not to Exceed \$438,500,000. File No. 200478. 40 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Health, Business and Tax Regulations Codes - Overdose Prevention Programs. File No. 200243. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Resolution - Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus Law - Unless Amended. File No. 200626. 10 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From concerned citizens, regarding the San Francisco Police Department Budget. 456 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From concerned citizens, regarding reopening the San Francisco Zoo. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From concerned citizens, regarding 5G Technology. 5 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Mayoral Appointment, Entertainment Commission - Cynthia Wang. File No. 200501. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From concerned citizens, regarding the healthcare directive and public safety. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From concerned citizens, regarding removal of the Christopher Columbus statue near Coit Tower. 3 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From California Restaurant Association, regarding proposed Emergency Ordinance - Temporary Right to Reemployment Following Layoff Due to COVID-19 Pandemic. File No. 200455. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Kelsey Forstrom, regarding making Juneteenth a holiday that benefits the community. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD, regarding Hunters Point Naval Shipyard. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From the Youth Commission, submitting five actions from the June 15, 2020, Youth Commission Meeting. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From the San Francisco Federal Credit Union, submitting a letter regarding the proposed Resolution - Urging the San Francisco Federal Credit Union to Extend the Taxi Medallion Loan Forbearance Plan. 3 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From Sara Kreowski, regarding facial recognition software. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From Mrs. Maldonado, regarding the deaths of Malcolm Harsch and Robert Fuller. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Charter Amendment - 16- and 17-Year-Olds Voting in Municipal Elections. File No. 200477. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From Jennifer Shih, regarding restoring SF MUNI to full service. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From Sonja Trauss, regarding Appointment, Ethics Commission - Larry Bush. File No. 200572. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From Eileen Boken, submitting public comment for three items. File Nos. 200455, 200590, 200626. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From Brian Browne, regarding Safeway delivery hours at the Jackson Street location. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From Brandon Dawkins, submitting a letter from workers at DPH Centralized Call Center and Nurse Advise Line at Laguna Honda Hospital regarding telecommuting. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From Trans Advisory Committee, regarding budget equity for Trans and LGBTQ Programs in San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Motion Supporting Variance to Progress Further into State's COVID-19 Resilience Roadmap. File No. 200633. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)

From C Nelson, regarding reassessing Tenderloin Community Safety Budget. Copy: Each Supervisor. (33)

From AJ, regarding evidence of the myth of "market-rate housing subsidizing affordable units." Copy: Each Supervisor. (34)

From Shoshana O'Brien, regarding funding bike lanes and increase renter affordability. Copy: Each Supervisor. (35)

From the Great Plates Program, extending the temporary State emergency food assistance program through July 10, 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (36)

From Mariclare D Ballard, regarding giving due credit to the POAs affiliated with the AFL-CIO. Copy: Each Supervisor. (37)

From various entities, pursuant to WARN Act, California Labor Code, Section 1401, submitting notice of plant closures and/or mass layoffs. 9 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (38)

From Aaron Stein, regarding retaliation against Rubin Rhodes. Copy: Each Supervisor. (39)

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: 19th Supplement - Clarifying Delivery Cap Fee
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 7:46:00 AM
Attachments: [19th Supplement 061320 FoodDelivery.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Nineteenth Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 7:39 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: Fwd: 19th Supplement - Clarifying Delivery Cap Fee

Please see attached Supplement to the Mayor's Proclamation of Emergency.



NINETEENTH SUPPLEMENT TO MAYORAL PROCLAMATION DECLARING THE EXISTENCE OF A LOCAL EMERGENCY DATED FEBRUARY 25, 2020

WHEREAS, California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14) and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code empower the Mayor to proclaim the existence of a local emergency, subject to concurrence by the Board of Supervisors as provided in the Charter, in the case of an emergency threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County or its citizens; and

WHEREAS, On February 25, 2020, the Mayor issued a Proclamation (the “Proclamation”) declaring a local emergency to exist in connection with the imminent spread within the City of a novel (new) coronavirus (“COVID-19”); and

WHEREAS, On March 3, 2020, the Board of Supervisors concurred in the Proclamation and in the actions taken by the Mayor to meet the emergency; and

WHEREAS, On March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom proclaimed a state of emergency to exist within the State due to the threat posed by COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, On March 6, 2020, the Health Officer declared a local health emergency under Section 101080 of the California Health and Safety Code, and the Board of Supervisors concurred in that declaration on March 10, 2020; and

WHEREAS, On March 16, 2020, the City’s Health Officer issued a stay safe at home order, Health Officer Order No. C19-07 (the “Stay Safe At Home Order”), requiring most people to remain in their homes subject to certain exceptions including obtaining essential goods such as food and necessary supplies, and requiring the closure of non-essential businesses; the Health Officer has amended the Stay Safe At Home Order to modify the ongoing restrictions; and

WHEREAS, There have been 2,877 confirmed cases of COVID-19 within the City and 44 COVID-19-related deaths in the City; there have been more than 147,000 confirmed cases in California and more than 4,900 COVID-19-related deaths in California; and

WHEREAS, This order and the previous orders issued during this emergency have all been issued because of the propensity of the virus to spread person to person and also



because the virus physically is causing property loss or damage due to its proclivity to attach to surfaces for prolonged periods of time; and

WHEREAS, To reduce the spread of the virus and protect the public health, the Stay Safe At Home Order prohibits restaurants in the City from offering dine-in service, limiting restaurants to delivery and takeout, and as of June 12, 2020, the Stay Safe At Home Order allows restaurants to offer outdoor dining subject to physical distancing and other safety requirements. Many restaurants in the City are unable to offer outdoor dining due to locational and physical space limitations; and

WHEREAS, In the Ninth Supplement to the Proclamation of Local Emergency, dated April 10, 2020, the Mayor issued an order capping the fees that third-party applications and websites can charge restaurants per order. The Board of Supervisors concurred in that order on April 21, 2020. That order terminates when the Stay Safe At Home Order is amended or modified to allow dine-in service. For the avoidance of doubt, the Mayor now issues the order below to clarify that the order terminates when the Stay Safe At Home Order is amended or modified to allow indoor dining; and

WHEREAS, For all the reasons stated in the Ninth Supplement, it is in the public interest for the order capping fees that third party applications and websites can charge restaurants per order to remain in place until all restaurants are allowed to offer dine-in service; and

NOW, THEREFORE,

I, London N. Breed, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, proclaim that there continues to exist an emergency within the City and County threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County and its citizens;

In addition to the measures outlined in the Proclamation and in the Supplements to the Proclamation dated March 11, March 13, March 17, March 18, March 23, March 27, March 31, April 1, April 10, April 14, April 23, April 30, May 11, May 13, May 18, May 29, June 2, and June 9, 2020, it is further ordered that:

Section 1 of the Ninth Supplement to the Emergency Proclamation, dated April 10, 2020, is revised and replaced as follows, solely to modify subsection (c) for clarity:



It shall be unlawful for a third-party food delivery service to charge a covered establishment a fee per online order for the use of its services that totals more than 15% of the purchase price of such online order.

(a) For purposes of this Order, the following definitions apply:

“Covered establishment” means a restaurant that offers, in a single commercial transaction over the internet, whether directly or through a third-party food delivery service, the sale and same-day delivery of food to customers from one or more retail locations within the City. Covered establishment shall not include any restaurant that meets the definition of a formula retail use under Section 303.1 of the Planning Code.

“Online order” means an order placed by a customer through a platform provided by a third-party food delivery service for delivery or pickup within the City.

“Purchase price” means the menu price of an online order. Such term therefore excludes taxes, gratuities and any other fees that may make up the total cost to the customer of an online order.

“Restaurant” shall have the meaning provided in Section 451 of the Health Code.

“Third-party food delivery service” means any website, mobile application or other internet service that offers or arranges for the sale of food and beverages prepared by, and the same-day delivery or same-day pickup of food and beverages from, no fewer than 20 separately owned and operated food service establishments.

(b) The Director of the Office of Economic and Workforce Development, or the Director’s designee, is authorized to implement this Order and issue any necessary guidance or rules consistent with this Order.

(c) This Order shall take effect on April 13, 2020, and shall terminate at such time as the Health Officer amends or terminates the order prohibiting restaurants from offering indoor dining service or that prohibition otherwise expires, so that indoor dining service is then allowed.

(d) A third-party food delivery service shall not be found in violation of this Order if between April 13, 2020 and April 20, 2020, it imposes a fee per online order for the use



of its services that totals more than 15% of the purchase price of such online order, provided it refunds the portion of the fee that exceeds 15% of the purchase price to the covered establishment prior to April 27, 2020.

(e) If a third-party food delivery service charges a covered establishment fees that violate this Order, the covered establishment shall provide written notice to the third-party food delivery service requesting a refund within seven days. If the third-party food delivery service does not provide the refund requested after seven days or the third-party food delivery service continues to charge fees in violation of this Order after the initial notice and seven-day cure period, a covered establishment may enforce this Order by means of a civil action seeking damages and injunctive relief. The prevailing party in any such action shall be entitled to an award of reasonable attorney fees.

DATED: June 13, 2020

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FWD: Termination of Order - Certain Liquor Stores
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 10:49:00 AM
Attachments: [Sixth Supplement, Item 4 Termination 061720.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see the attached Termination of Order Issued under Proclamation of Local Emergency. This termination is for Section 4 of the Sixth Supplement.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 10:38 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: Termination of Order - Certain Liquor Stores

Please find attached a termination of the 4th Item on the Sixth Supplement, terminating the "Corner Store" hour limitation. This order will be effective Friday at 6:00 AM.

Sophia Kittler
Office of Mayor London N. Breed
415 554 6153

From: Power, Andres (MYR) <andres.power@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 10:18 AM
To: Lee, Ivy (MYR) <ivy.lee@sfgov.org>
Cc: Redmond, Michael (POL) <michael.redmond@sfgov.org>; Scott, William (POL) <william.scott@sfgov.org>; RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>; Geithman, Kyra (MYR) <kyra.geithman@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; Torres, Joaquin (ECN) <joaquin.torres@sfgov.org>; Arvanitidis, Laurel (ECN) <laurel.arvanitidis@sfgov.org>; Carroll, Maryellen (DEM) <maryellen.carroll@sfgov.org>; Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM)

<adrienne.bechelli@sfgov.org>

Subject: Termination of Order - Certain Liquor Stores

Good morning.

Attached, please find notice of termination for the supplemental declaration that required early closure of certain stores that sell alcohol.

This termination is effective 6:00am on Friday morning.

Thank you,

Andres

Andres Power

Policy Director | Office of Mayor London Breed

City and County of San Francisco



TERMINATION OF ORDER ISSUED UNDER PROCLAMATION OF LOCAL EMERGENCY

WHEREAS, On February 25, 2020, I declared a Local State of Emergency to exist within the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the imminent spread within the City of a novel (new) coronavirus ("COVID-19"); and

WHEREAS, On March 27, 2020, I issued the Sixth Supplement to the Emergency Proclamation containing an Order in Section 4 that requires certain essential businesses that sell alcohol to close between 8:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. each day; and

WHEREAS, The circumstances no longer require the continuation of this Order to respond to the local emergency;

NOW, THEREFORE

I, London N. Breed, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco terminate the Order contained in Section 4 of the Sixth Supplement to the Emergency Proclamation, effective at 6:00 a.m. on June 19, 2020.

DATED: June 17, 2020

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London Breed", written over a horizontal line.

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: Updated Order No. C19-07e and new Outdoor Dining Directive No. 2020-16
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 10:50:00 PM
Attachments: [2020.06.11 FINAL Directive 2020-16 re Outdoor dining + Best Practices + Exhibits.pdf](#)
[2020.06.11 FINAL signed Health Officer Order C19-07e \(updated\)- Shelter in Place.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Directive of the Health Officer No. 2020-16 as well as the Order from the Health Officer No. C19-07e.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
 Executive Assistant
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2020 10:30 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Updated Order No. C19-07e and new Outdoor Dining Directive No. 2020-16

Hi Angela and Eileen, please see attached and summary below.
 Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Attached please find an updated shelter-in-place order and Directive No. 2020-16 re outdoor dining.

Regarding the Order:

1. This June 11, 2020 update to the Order adds to the list of Additional Business that may resume operations, adding: outdoor dining, in-store retail sales, professional sports team games and tournaments without spectators (with an approved plan), streaming or broadcasting of entertainment events (with an approved plan, as necessary), outdoor fitness classes, indoor household services (like house cleaners and cooks), and nonessential offices where telecommuting is not feasible, all subject to further limitations and requirements in Appendix C-1 and any associated directives.
2. This June 11, 2020 update to the Order also adds small outdoor special gatherings to the list of Additional Activities that may resume, subject to further limitations and requirements in Appendix C-2 and any associated directives. Generally, gatherings are 12 or fewer people and include not

only religious or ceremonial gatherings but also other social gatherings.

4. Appendixes C-1 and C-2 note that many of the new activities either don't begin until the morning of Monday, June 15, or require submission of a plan to the Health Officer before the activity can move forward (such as sports team games or large venue events for broadcast).

Regarding the Directive:

1. This directive allows outdoor dining to begin tomorrow, Friday, June 12, subject to requirements regarding distancing and other considerations.
2. Face Coverings are required when entering or leaving the restaurant and when going to the restroom.
3. The public generally is not allowed inside a restaurant except to reach outdoor spaces for dining or to use the restroom.
4. The directive restricts party size (6 people unless all are from the same household).
5. The directive also includes cleaning and disinfection requirements.
6. Restaurants and bars must have a permit to serve outside, bona fide meals must be served (and no alcohol without such meals), and the meals may be provided by another person or business by agreement with the establishment.
7. The directive is in the new format, which is the directive, the best practices, the 1-2 page health and safety plan checklist, and interim guidance from DPH Info. and Guidance.



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-16

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING REQUIRED BEST
PRACTICES FOR OUTDOOR DINING**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)

DATE OF DIRECTIVE: June 11, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues industry-specific direction that outdoor dining establishments, as described below, must follow as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes industry-specific guidance as provided under Sections 6 and 15 of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e issued on May 22, 2020 and updated on June 11, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and, unless otherwise defined below, initially capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect on June 12, 2020, and remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer, as further provided below. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, and any amendments to that order. As further provided below, this Directive automatically incorporates any revisions to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede that order or reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements and sanitation measures, helping prevent the transmission of COVID-19 and safeguard the health of workers, customers, and the community.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS
FOLLOWS:**

1. This Directive applies to restaurants and bars that provide real meal service in a bona fide manner, and have received the necessary permits to serve food outdoors (each an “Outdoor Dining Establishment”). Outdoor Dining Establishments are not permitted to provide alcoholic beverage service without also providing bona fide meals. Bona fide meals must be prepared and served by the Outdoor Dining Establishment or another person or business operating under an agreement with the Outdoor Dining Establishment.
2. Attached as Exhibit A to this Order are a list of best practices (the “Best Practices”) that apply to all Outdoor Dining Establishments. Each Outdoor Dining Establishment must comply with all of the relevant requirements listed in the Best Practices.
3. Before engaging in any activity under this Directive, each Outdoor Dining Establishment must create, adopt, and implement a written health and safety plan (a “Health and Safety Plan”). The Health and Safety Plan must be substantially in the form attached to this Directive as Exhibit B.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-16

4. Guidance from the Department of Public Health related to Outdoor Dining is attached to this Directive as Exhibit C, and available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.
5. All Outdoor Dining Establishments must also comply with Health Officer Directive 2020-05, which applies to all Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses. If an aspect, service, or operation of an Outdoor Dining Establishment is also covered by another Health Officer directive (all of which are available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>), then the Outdoor Dining Establishment must comply with all applicable directives, and it must complete all relevant Health and Safety Plan forms.
6. Each Outdoor Dining Establishment must (a) make the Health and Safety Plan available to a member of the public on request, (b) provide a summary of the plan to all Personnel working on site or otherwise in the City in relation to its operations, and (c) post the plan in any storefront or at the entrance to any other physical location that such Outdoor Dining Establishment operates within the City. Also, each Outdoor Dining Establishment must provide a copy of the Health and Safety Plan and evidence of its implementation to any authority enforcing this Order upon demand.
7. Each Outdoor Dining Establishment subject to this Directive must provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b issued on May 28, 2020, and any future amendment to that order), hand sanitizer or handwashing stations, or both, and disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and to the public, all as required by the Best Practices. If any such Outdoor Dining Establishment is unable to provide these required items or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or fails to abide by its Health and Safety Plan, then it must cease operating until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance. Further, as to any non-compliant operation, any such Outdoor Dining Establishment is subject to immediate closure and the fines and other legal remedies described below, as a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
8. For purposes of this Directive, "Personnel" includes all of the following people who provide goods or services associated with the Outdoor Dining Establishment in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors; vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers' markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Additional Business. Personnel includes "gig workers" who perform work via the business's app or other online interface, if any.
9. This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Outdoor Dining Establishment must stay updated regarding any changes to the Stay-Safe-At-



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-16

Home Order and this Directive by checking the Department of Public Health website (www.sfdph.org/healthorders) regularly.

10. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Outdoor Dining Establishment under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order including, but not limited to, the obligation to prepare, post, and implement a Social Distancing Protocol under Section 6 and subsection 16.h of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. The covered Outdoor Dining Establishment must follow these industry-specific Best Practices and update them as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, any other order that supersedes that order, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including, without limitation, the Social Distancing Protocol, the most restrictive provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: June 11, 2020



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-16 (issued 6/11/2020) Best Practices for Outdoor Dining Establishments

In addition to preparing, posting, and implementing the Social Distancing Protocol required by Section 6 and subsection 15.h of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e (the "Stay-Safe-At-Home Order"), each Facility must, as provided in Section 6 of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and Health Directive No. 2020-16 (the "Outdoor Dining Directive"), create, adopt, and implement a Health and Safety Plan that addresses each item below. You may use the 2 page checklist attached to the Directive as Exhibit B to document your compliance.

These best practices are in addition to the best practice attached to Health Directive 2020-05 for Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses.

Requirements for Outdoor Dining:

1. Section 1 – Service Requirements

- 1.1.** Outdoor dining, placement of outdoor seating arrangements, and food service must comply with state and local laws, regulations, and permitting requirements (e.g. ADA access, relevant permits for chairs and tables, compliance with applicable zoning, and California Department of Alcohol Beverage Control requirements).
- 1.2.** Ensure customers and Personnel comply with the Social Distancing and Health Protocol. At a minimum, each Outdoor Dining Establishments must:
 - 1.2.1.** Require all Personnel to use Face Coverings as required by the Face Covering Order, wash hands frequently, and maintain physical distance of at least 6-feet to the extent possible.
 - 1.2.2.** Establish designated areas/lines with markings on the ground to indicate six-foot distancing for patrons. This includes check-stands and restrooms, and patrons in various service settings, if applicable (e.g. ordering food, take out, and waiting to be seated).
 - 1.2.3.** Create directional paths of travel where feasible (e.g. separate entrance and exit for patrons, lines for restrooms).
 - 1.2.4.** Advise customers that they must wear face coverings until seated and any time they leave the table, such as to use a restroom.
- 1.3.** Ensure that service tables are at least six feet apart. If service tables cannot be spaced at least six feet apart, then the Outdoor Dining Establishment must install an impermeable physical barrier between service tables to protect customers and Personnel. Outdoor Dining Establishments must use signage or other techniques (e.g. removing chairs or using rope) to indicate which tables that are not available for use.
- 1.4.** Umbrellas, canopies, and other shade structures are only allowed if they do not have sides and allow for the free flow of air through the space.
- 1.5.** Encourage reservations to prevent crowds from gathering. Timing of Reservations must allow sufficient time to disinfect customer seating areas.
- 1.6.** Advise customers that they must remain outside the Outdoor Dining Establishment, and may enter the establishment only (1) to access a bathroom, (2) to access an outdoor space that is



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-16 (issued 6/11/2020)

Best Practices for Outdoor Dining Establishments

only accessible by traveling through the restaurant, or (3) to order or pickup food at an indoor counter. This does not apply to customers patronizing other aspects of the Outdoor Dining Establishment (e.g. take-out, or retail) subject to the Social Distancing and Health Protocol, and applicable industry-specific directives.

- 1.7.** Tables should be limited to no more than six customers, unless all are members of the same household. People in the same party seated at the same table do not have to be six feet apart. It is strongly encouraged that only individuals in the same household sit together at a single table.
- 1.8.** Limit cross-contamination and touching of common items. At a minimum, Outdoor Dining Establishments must:
 - 1.8.1.** Encourage customers to view menus using their own mobile devices. Where menus are requested, provide disposable, single use menus, or use laminated menus that can be sanitized after each use.
 - 1.8.2.** Discontinue the practice of leaving card stands, flyers, napkin holders, or other items (e.g. candle holders, or flower vases) on tables.
 - 1.8.3.** Discontinue pre-setting tables with glassware and utensils. Glassware and utensils must be put on the table after customers are seated by Personnel who have washed their hands.
 - 1.8.4.** Utensils must be pre-wrapped in a cloth or paper napkin by Personnel who have washed their hands just before pre-rolling the utensils or napkins. The pre-rolled utensils or napkins must then be stored in a clean container.
 - 1.8.5.** Use disposable napkins and tablecloths or ones made of cloth. Napkins and tablecloths (including unused napkins and tablecloths) must be disposed of or laundered after each customer. Soiled napkins and tablecloths must be kept in a closed container.
 - 1.8.6.** Cleaned flatware, stemware, dishware, etc., must be properly stowed away from customers and Personnel until ready to use.
 - 1.8.7.** Discontinue the use of shared food items such as condiment bottles, salt and pepper shakers, etc. and provide these items, on request, in single serve containers or portions. Where this is not possible, shared items must be supplied as needed to customers and disinfected after each use.
 - 1.8.8.** Encourage customers to use touchless payment options. When touchless payment is not used, avoid direct contact between customers and Personnel. Sanitize any pens, counters, trays, or point of sale systems between each use by a customer. Create sufficient space to enable the customer to stand at least six feet away from the cashier while items are being paid for, or provide a physical barrier (e.g., Plexiglas of sufficient height and width to prevent transmission of respiratory droplets) between the customer and the cashier.
 - 1.8.9.** Provide leftover containers only upon request. Personnel should not fill the leftover container. Each party should fill its own leftover containers.
 - 1.8.10.** Servers who both serve food and clear dishes must wash their hands in between these two tasks.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-16 (issued 6/11/2020)

Best Practices for Outdoor Dining Establishments

- 1.8.11.** Discontinue use of shared entertainment items, such as board games, pool tables, and arcade games.
 - 1.9.** Close areas where customers may congregate, serve themselves, or touch food or other items that other guests may use. Provide these items to guests individually. Discard such items after use or clean and disinfect them after each use, as appropriate. These requirements include but are not limited to:
 - 1.9.1.** Self-service areas with condiment caddies, utensil caddies, napkins, lids, straws, water pitchers, to-go containers, etc.
 - 1.9.2.** Self-service machines including ice, soda, frozen yogurt dispensers, etc.
 - 1.9.3.** Self-service food areas such as buffets, salsa bars, salad bars, etc.
 - 1.9.4.** After-meal mints, candies, snacks, or toothpicks for customers.
 - 1.10.** Discontinue tableside food preparation and presentation, such as food item selection carts and conveyor belts, condiment or food preparation, etc.
 - 1.11.** Limit the number of Personnel serving individual parties, subject to wage and hour regulations. To the extent possible, have only one person serving a group of customers for the duration of the meal.
 - 1.12.** Live entertainment that increases the risk of aerosol transmission of COVID-19 is not permitted (e.g. singing, or playing wind or brass instruments). Live entertainment that does not increase the risk of aerosol transmission of COVID-19 is permitted (e.g. instrumental guitar or piano).
- 2. Section 2 - Cleaning and Disinfecting Requirements**
- 2.1.** Thoroughly disinfect each customer dining location before opening each day and after every use, including tables, chairs, booster seats, highchairs, booths, and the sides of such surfaces. Disinfection must allow adequate time to follow product instructions. Many EPA approved disinfectants require a minimum contact time against the human coronavirus, and the disinfectant must be left on the surface for this amount of time before being wiped off.
 - 2.2.** Disinfect highly touched surfaces (e.g. doors, handles, faucets, tables, etc.), and high traffic areas (e.g. waiting areas, hallways, bathrooms) at least once per hour.
 - 2.3.** Frequently disinfect bathrooms, at least every four hours. Create and use a daily checklist to document each time disinfection of bathrooms occurs. Conspicuously post the checklist inside each bathroom clearly detailing the dates and times the room was last cleaned, disinfected, or restocked.
 - 2.4.** Maintain sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at point of sales area, and at guest and Personnel entrances, including, but not limited to, areas where individuals must touch a door handle to enter.
 - 2.5.** Servers, bussers, and other Personnel moving items used by customers, dirty linens, or handling trash bags must wash hands after handling those items, or use disposable gloves (and wash hands before putting them on and after removing them) and change aprons frequently.
 - 2.6.** Provide dishwashers with equipment to protect the eyes, nose, and mouth from contaminant splash using a combination of face coverings, protective glasses, and/or face shields.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-16 (issued 6/11/2020)

Best Practices for Outdoor Dining Establishments

Dishwashers must be provided impermeable aprons and change frequently. Reusable protective equipment such as shields and glasses must be properly disinfected between uses. Cleaned/sanitized utensils must be handled with clean gloves.

- 2.7. Reusable customer items including utensils, food ware, breadbaskets, etc., must be properly washed, rinsed, and sanitized. Use disposable items if proper cleaning of reusable items is infeasible.

3. Section 3 - Operational Requirements

- 3.1. Major changes to food service operations, such as the addition of cleaning stations, food preparation areas, or food storage areas, may require advance approval by the Department of Public Health.
- 3.2. Increase fresh air circulation for Personnel by opening windows or doors, if possible to do so. Consider installing portable high-efficiency air cleaners, upgrading the building's air filters to the highest efficiency possible, and making other modifications to increase the quantity of outside air and ventilation in all working areas.



Health Officer Directive No. 2020-16 (Exhibit B)

Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/11/2020)

HSP

Health and Safety
Plan

Checklist

Each Outdoor Dining Establishment must complete, post onsite, and follow this Health and Safety Plan.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name: _____ Contact name: _____

Facility Address: _____ Email / Phone: _____

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this plan.)

Service Requirements

- ☐ Business is familiar with and complies with all requirements set forth in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10, available at <http://www.sfdph.com/directives>.
- ☐ Has Health and Safety Plan for Health Officer Directive No. 2020-05 for Food Preparation or Delivery Essential Businesses, available at <http://www.sfdph.com/directives>.
- ☐ Has necessary permits for outdoor dining, placement of tables, and food service.
- ☐ All Personnel required to use Face Coverings, wash hands frequently, and maintain physical distance of at least 6-feet to the extent possible.
- ☐ Designated areas/markings indicate 6-foot distancing for patrons in various settings (e.g. waiting to order, waiting for restroom, ordering take-out, or waiting to be seated).
- ☐ Customers are advised they must wear face coverings until seated and any time they leave the table, such as to use a restroom.
- ☐ Service tables are at least six feet apart or separated by an impermeable physical barrier.
- ☐ Patrons are advised that they may enter the establishment only for limited reasons.
- ☐ Encourage reservations to limit crowds. Ensure that timing of reservations allows sufficient time for cleaning and disinfection between patrons.
- ☐ Service tables are limited to 6 customers, unless all are members of the same household.
- ☐ Have disposable or laminated menus that can be disinfected.
- ☐ No card stands, candles, flower vases, or other items on tables.
- ☐ Tables are not pre-set with glassware and utensils.
- ☐ Cleaned flatware, stemware, dishware, etc., is stowed away from customers and personnel until ready to use.
- ☐ Condiments, salt & pepper, etc. are provided on request, either in single serve containers or in shared containers disinfected after each use.



Health Officer Directive No. 2020-16 (Exhibit B)

Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/11/2020)

HSP

Health and Safety
Plan

Checklist

- ☐ Encourage customers to use touchless payment options and sanitize any pens or other equipment after each use.
- ☐ Leftover containers provided only upon request. Customers fill their own containers.
- ☐ No shared entertainment items such as board games, pool tables, or arcade games.
- ☐ Umbrellas, canopies, etc. have no sides and allow for the free flow of air.
- ☐ Areas where customers congregate, serve themselves, or touch food or other items are closed.
- ☐ No tableside preparation or presentation of food tableside.
- ☐ No entertainment involving singing, playing wind or brass instruments, etc. that increases the risk of aerosol transmission of COVID-19.

Cleaning and Disinfecting Requirements

- ☐ Disinfecting each customer dining location before opening each day and after every use, including tables, chairs, booster seats, highchairs, booths, etc.
- ☐ Disinfecting highly touched surfaces (e.g. doors, handles, faucets, tables, etc.), and high traffic areas (e.g. waiting areas, hallways, bathrooms) at least once per hour
- ☐ Frequently disinfect bathrooms, at least every 4 hours. Cleaning log conspicuously posted in bathroom.
- ☐ Sanitizer available at point of sales area.
- ☐ Dishwashers have impermeable aprons and equipment to protect the eyes, nose, and mouth from contaminant splash.
- ☐ Reusable customer items (e.g., utensils, food ware, breadbaskets, etc., are properly washed, rinsed, and sanitized) after each use.

Operational Requirements

- ☐ Windows or doors are open, if possible, to ventilate areas for Personnel.

Additional Measures

Explain:



Interim Guidance: In-Person Outdoor Dining

UPDATED June 11, 2020

The following guidance was developed by the San Francisco Department of Public Health for use by local facilities and will be posted at www.sfgdcp.org/outdoor-dining. This interim guidance may change as knowledge, community transmission, and availability of PPE and testing change.

AUDIENCE: Food facilities who are offering In-Person Outdoor Dining. Food facilities include restaurants, bars, distilleries, brewpubs, and wineries that provide bona fide meal service.

BACKGROUND: On June 11, 2020, the Health Officer issued [Directive No. 2020-16](#) authorizing and providing guidance for Outdoor Dining.

PURPOSE: This document highlights the main points of the Directive, outlines steps you should take and gives you resources and more information to help you comply with the mandatory requirements that are fully detailed in the Directive.

To qualify as an Outdoor Dining Establishment, a business must serve food, and is not permitted to provide alcoholic beverage service without also providing real meal service in a [bona fide manner](#).

Prepare

Create a Health and Safety Plan

The Social Distancing and Health Plan is in a checklist format and serves as a reminder of all the best practices that your business needs to follow including universal requirements such as requiring face coverings, [signage](#), and enforcing six foot distances between people.

Educate and Train Personnel

Personnel must be trained to implement the Social Distancing and Health Protocol and may need training on cleaning and disinfection and how to monitor the number of customers in the store or in line. Customers should maintain a distance of 6 feet if they are not in the same household while waiting in line for pick up or waiting to be seated. Consider training personnel on de-escalation with customers who do not comply with policies and provide resources to employees to address anxiety, stress, and mental health. Recognize the fear in returning to work, communicate transparently, listen, and survey regularly.

Provide information on employer or government-sponsored sick leave and other benefits the employee may be entitled to receive that would make it financially easier to stay at home (see [Paid sick leave in San Francisco](#)). Remember that employees cannot be fired due to COVID-19 results or needed time off for recovery.



Check your space after the long period of low usage

Check for pest infestation or harborage, and make sure all pest control measures are functioning. Perform routine maintenance on ventilation systems including air ducts and vents. Consider flushing out the stagnant water from the plumbing lines by running water through fixtures. Detailed guidance may be found at: <https://www.sfwater.org/flushingguidance>.

Create a Safer Space

You may need to change the physical layout of your business to help social distancing for customers and personnel. Modifications to consider include creating separate entrances and exits and marking spaces with tape or other decals to indicate six-foot distances.

Serving Bona Fide Meals in Your Outdoor Dining Establishment

To qualify as an Outdoor Dining Establishment, a business must serve food, and is not permitted to provide alcoholic beverage service without also providing real meal service in a bona fide manner.

Bona fide meals means prepared and served by the outdoor dining establishment or another person or business operating under an agreement with the outdoor dining establishment. The service of prepackaged food like sandwiches or salads, or simply heating frozen or prepared meals, shall not be deemed as compliant with this requirement.

To serve bona fide meals under this Directive, the Outdoor Dining Establishment must have a valid permit-to-operate as a food establishment and any other relevant permits required to normally operate.

Follow all of the Best Practices in the Social Distancing and Health Protocol. To help compliance, you may need to ask your Personnel to make changes and customers to change the way they interact with you. Changes may include the following.

Changes For Personnel:

- As always, employees should wash hands before serving food and in between tasks.
- Employees who wear an apron should change it frequently.
- Dishwashers should be provided with equipment to protect the eyes, nose, and mouth. Dishwashers must be provided impermeable aprons that are changed frequently.
- Employees should each have their own pen/pencil.
- Employees must wear a face covering at all times.
- Limit the number of staff serving each party.

Changes to the dining table:

- Patrons at a single table are limited to 6 customers. We strongly encourage that only individuals in the same household should sit together in an outdoor dining setting. People in the same party seated at the same table do not have to be six feet apart.
- Patrons must wear face coverings until seated and any time they leave the table, such as to use a restroom.
- Limit the number of passable objects on table and provide condiments such as ketchup,



mustard, hot sauce in single servings upon request.

- Encourage patrons to view the menu on their mobile device or provide laminated menus that are disinfected after each use.
- Discontinue presetting tables with utensils and glassware, provide utensils in a prewrapped cloth or paper napkin and use disposable napkins or tablecloths where possible.
- Tablecloths must be changed after each use.
- Patrons are **not allowed to eat or drink indoors** in the dining establishment. Patrons may enter inside to use the restroom or to pick-up/order food. Paths to restroom or pick-up/take out counter should be clearly marked.
- Provide leftover containers only upon request. Staff should not fill the leftover container. Each party should fill its own leftover containers.

Other business changes for preventing and managing COVID-19 transmission

- Disinfect dining location after every use. This includes tables, chairs, and highchairs/boosters. Follow instructions on disinfectants, inform your guests to allow time to be disinfected.
- Disinfect high touch surfaces such as door handles, counter tops, faucets, etc., at least once per hour.
- Ask customers to voluntarily provide a contact name and phone number for their group for possible contact tracing. Customers are not required to provide contact information.
- Make sure you know who worked each shift in order to facilitate contact tracing..

Traffic, bike and sidewalk safety is very important:

- Parties waiting in line outside your business must remain at least 6 feet apart from each other and from customers who may be waiting in line for other businesses nearby.
- People must be able to freely move on the sidewalk. Avoid blocking anyone's ability to pass safely, including avoiding blocking ADA-compliant sidewalk access.
- You should address any other traffic, bike lane or mobility safety issues specific to your location.

Monitoring and Adjusting

At least on a weekly basis, think about how your business and personnel are doing, how well you are complying with your Social Distancing and Health Plan, and what changes are needed to improve your response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Look for new guidance from the [SFDPH Communicable Disease Control and Prevention](#) or the frequently updated page on sf.gov with [comprehensive resources for businesses during the COVID-19 pandemic](#).

Frequently Asked Questions

Q. How often should restrooms be disinfected.

A. Restrooms should be disinfected at the beginning of the workday (or done at closing) and should be disinfected every 3-4 hours.



Resources

More resources and information: The [CDC has considerations for Restaurants and Bars](#). The FDA has [useful information about Restaurants](#). California Department of Public Health and Cal OSHA have an [industry guidance for Restaurants, Bars, and Wineries](#).

The Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) has information on their [website](#) dedicated to COVID-19

Applications for Shared Spaces can be found [here](#).

[Downloadable signage to print yourself](#), or to request [printed posters](#)

How to get tested for COVID-19 in San Francisco sf.gov/citytests:

Information from the [San Francisco Office of Economic and Workforce Development about COVID-19](#), such as employer requirements, employee benefits, and resources.

[Paid sick leave in San Francisco](#)

CAL OSHA [information on protecting workers from COVID-19](#).

CDC: Resuming Business Toolkit: [CDC Resuming Business Toolkit](#)



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DIRECTING
ALL INDIVIDUALS IN THE COUNTY TO CONTINUE SHELTERING AT
THEIR PLACE OF RESIDENCE EXCEPT FOR IDENTIFIED NEEDS
AND ACTIVITIES, IN COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFIED
REQUIREMENTS; CONTINUING TO EXEMPT HOMELESS
INDIVIDUALS FROM THE ORDER BUT URGING GOVERNMENT
AGENCIES TO PROVIDE THEM SHELTER; REQUIRING ALL
BUSINESSES AND RECREATION FACILITIES THAT ARE ALLOWED
TO OPERATE TO IMPLEMENT SOCIAL DISTANCING, FACE
COVERING, AND CLEANING PROTOCOLS; AND DIRECTING ALL
BUSINESSES, FACILITY OPERATORS, AND GOVERNMENTAL
AGENCIES TO CONTINUE THE TEMPORARY CLOSURE OF ALL
OTHER OPERATIONS NOT ALLOWED UNDER THIS ORDER**

(SHELTER IN PLACE)

DATE OF ORDER: May 22, 2020 (updated June 11, 2020)

Please read this Order carefully. Violation of or failure to comply with this Order is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. (California Health and Safety Code § 120295, *et seq.*; California Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1); and San Francisco Administrative Code § 7.17(b))

Summary: The City and County of San Francisco (the “County”) and five other Bay Area counties and the City of Berkeley have been under shelter-in-place orders since March 16, 2020, in a collective effort to reduce the impact of the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (“COVID-19”). That virus is easily transmitted, especially in group settings, and the disease can be extremely serious. It can require long hospital stays, and in some instances cause long-term health consequences or death. It can impact not only those known to be at high risk but also other people, regardless of age. This spread of disease is a global pandemic causing untold societal, social, and economic harm. To mitigate the harm from the pandemic, these jurisdictions issued parallel health officer orders on March 16, 2020 imposing shelter in place limitations across the Bay Area, requiring everyone to stay safe at home except for certain essential needs. Other jurisdictions in the Bay Area and ultimately the State have since joined in adopting stay-safe-at-home orders.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Our collective effort has had a positive impact on limiting the spread of the virus. As of the date of this Order, our hospitals have capacity and hospitalization rates have been relatively low and remained flat or slowly decreasing for over six weeks. San Francisco continues to work on building up its testing, case finding, case investigation, and contact tracing capacity, and its means to protect vulnerable populations and address outbreaks. In light of significant progress made, the prior May 17, 2020 order added two new categories of activities allowed to resume: “Additional Businesses” (described in Appendix C-1) and “Additional Activities” (described in Appendix C-2).

Still, the danger the virus poses to the health and welfare of all continues. A major risk remains the spread of COVID-19 through asymptomatic carriers. Also, while the search continues, there is not yet an effective treatment or cure for the disease. The vast majority of the population remains susceptible to infection. Therefore, this incremental resumption of certain business and other activities is designed to gradually increase the volume of person-to-person contact to help contain the risk of a surge in COVID-19 cases in the County and neighboring counties. Accordingly, the May 17 order explained that the Health Officer will assess the activities allowed by this Order on an ongoing basis and may need to restrict or otherwise modify them if the risk associated with COVID-19 increases in the future. But if San Francisco continues to make progress on ways to contain virus transmission and health-based risk considerations support doing so, the Health Officer will allow additional business and other activity under a phased, incremental process, to provide for a safer economic recovery.

Generally, under this Order gatherings of individuals with anyone outside of their household or living unit remain prohibited, with limited exceptions for essential activities, outdoor activities, additional activities, or essential travel, or to perform work for essential businesses, outdoor businesses, additional businesses, and government agencies. Also, under this Order, many businesses must remain closed. But:

- The May 17 order added curbside or outside pickup of goods from non-essential retail stores, as well as related manufacturing, and warehousing and logistical support to the list of Additional Businesses, subject to specified conditions. The May 17 order also added attendance at outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens to the list of allowed Additional Activities.
- The May 22, 2020 amendment to the Order added childcare programs and summer camps for all children to the list of Additional Business that may resume operations, subject to further requirements in Appendix C-1 and any associated directives.
- The June 1, 2020 update to the Order added curbside/outdoor low contact retail services (like dog grooming and shoe repair), businesses that rent equipment for outdoor activities, and professional sports team practices (with an approved plan), to the list of Additional Business that may resume operations, subject to further requirements in Appendix C-1 and any associated directives.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- The June 1, 2020 update to the Order also added tennis, golf, and dog parks to the list of Additional Activities that may resume, subject to further requirements in Appendix C-2 and any associated directives.
- This June 11, 2020 update to the Order adds to the list of Additional Business that may resume operations outdoor dining, in-store retail sales, professional sports team games and tournaments without spectators (with an approved plan), streaming or broadcasting of entertainment events (with an approved plan, as necessary), outdoor fitness classes, indoor household services (like house cleaners and cooks), and non-essential offices where telecommuting is not feasible, all subject to further limitations and requirements in Appendix C-1 and any associated directives.
- This June 11, 2020 update to the Order also adds small outdoor special gatherings to the list of Additional Activities that may resume, subject to further limitations and requirements in Appendix C-2 and any associated directives.

The earlier June 1, 2020 revision to the Order updated Appendix A to include new requirements in the Social Distancing Protocol, which continue under this revision to the Order. Any businesses with an existing Social Distancing Protocol must update its Social Distancing Protocol by June 15, 2020. All businesses implementing a Social Distancing Protocol for the first time on or after June 1, 2020 must prepare and implement the updated protocol before resuming operations.

This new Order updates, revises, and replaces the prior extension of the shelter in place order. Since 12:00 p.m. on May 22, 2020, all people and businesses in San Francisco have been required to strictly comply with this updated Order. This Order remains in effect, without a specific expiration date, until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. But, as mentioned above, the Health Officer will continue to carefully monitor the evolving situation and will periodically revise this Order to loosen – or if need be tighten – restrictions as conditions warrant, to help further the safer economic recovery. Facilities must stay updated by checking the Department of Public Health website (www.sfdph.org/healthorders) regularly.

In addition to extending and replacing Health Officer Order Number C19-07d (shelter in place), this Order also extends Order Nos. C19-01b (prohibiting visitors at Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center and Unit 4A at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital), C19-03 (prohibiting visitors to specific residential facilities), C19-04 (imposing cleaning standards for residential hotels), C19-09 (prohibiting visitors to residential care facilities for the elderly, adult residential facilities, and residential facilities for the chronically ill), and C19-11 (placing Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center under protective quarantine) through for as long as this Order is in effect, with the requirements of those listed orders otherwise remaining unchanged. Order Nos. C19-06b (restricting visitors to general acute care hospitals and acute psychiatric hospitals), C19-08b (limiting most routine appointments and elective surgeries and encouraging delivery of prescriptions and cannabis products), C19-10



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

(requiring reporting by labs of COVID-19 testing information), C19-12b (face coverings), and C19-13 (regarding testing, reporting, and cooperation at skilled nursing facilities) remain in effect indefinitely, and this Order makes clear that face coverings are required for operators and customers of additional businesses, with certain limitations. The provisions of this Order are subject to any provisions of the state shelter-in-place order that are more restrictive. This summary is for convenience only and may not be used to interpret this Order; in the event of any inconsistency between the summary and the text of this Order below, the text will control.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (“HEALTH OFFICER”) ORDERS:**

- 1. This Order supersedes the May 17, 2020 Order of the Health Officer directing all individuals to shelter in place (the “Prior Order,” Order No. C19-07d). This Order amends, clarifies, and continues certain terms of the Prior Order to ensure continued social distancing and limit person-to-person contact to reduce the rate of transmission of Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”). This Order continues to restrict most activity, travel, and governmental and business functions to essential needs and to the Outdoor Activities and Outdoor Businesses that the prior Order allowed to resume. But in light of progress achieved in slowing the spread of COVID-19 in the County and neighboring counties, the Order allows a limited number of Additional Businesses and Additional Activities (as defined in Section 15 below and described in Appendixes C-1 and C-2) to resume operating, subject to specified conditions and safety precautions to reduce associated risk of COVID-19 transmission. This gradual and measured resumption of activity is designed to manage the overall volume, duration, and intensity of person-to-person contact to prevent a surge in COVID-19 cases in the County and neighboring counties. As further provided in Section 11 below, the Health Officer will continue to monitor the risks of the activities and businesses allowed under this Order based on the COVID-19 Indicators (as defined in Section 11) and other data, and may, if conditions support doing so, incrementally add to the list of Additional Businesses and Additional Activities. The activities allowed by this Order will be assessed on an ongoing basis, and these activities and others allowed by the Order may need to be modified (including, without limitation, temporarily restricted or prohibited) if the risk associated with COVID-19 increases in the future. As of the effective date and time of this Order set forth in Section 18 below, all individuals, businesses, and government agencies in the County are required to follow the provisions of this Order.**
- 2. The primary intent of this Order is to ensure that County residents continue to shelter in their places of residence to slow the spread of COVID-19 and mitigate the impact on delivery of critical healthcare services. This Order allows a limited number of Additional Businesses and Additional Activities to resume while the**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Health Officer continues to assess the transmissibility and clinical severity of COVID-19 and monitors indicators described in Section 11. All provisions of this Order must be interpreted to effectuate this intent. Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

- 3. All individuals currently living within the County are ordered to shelter at their place of residence. They may leave their residence only for Essential Activities as defined in Section 15.a, Outdoor Activities as defined in Section 15.m, and Additional Activities as defined in Section 15.o; Essential Governmental Functions as defined in Section 15.d; Essential Travel as defined in Section 15.i; to work for Essential Businesses as defined in Section 15.f, Outdoor Businesses as defined in Section 15.l, and Additional Businesses as defined in Section 15.n; or to perform Minimum Basic Operations for other businesses that must remain temporarily closed, as provided in Section 15.g. For clarity, individuals who do not currently reside in the County must comply with all applicable requirements of the Order when in the County. Individuals experiencing homelessness are exempt from this Section, but are strongly urged to obtain shelter, and governmental and other entities are strongly urged to, as soon as possible, make such shelter available and provide handwashing or hand sanitation facilities to persons who continue experiencing homelessness.**
- 4. When people need to leave their place of residence for the limited purposes allowed in this Order, they must strictly comply with Social Distancing Requirements as defined in Section 15.k, except as expressly provided in this Order, and must wear Face Coverings as provided in, and subject to the limited exceptions in, Health Officer Order No. C19-12b issued May 28, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"), including any amendments to that order.**
- 5. All businesses with a facility in the County, except Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, and Additional Businesses, as defined in Section 15, are required to cease all activities at facilities located within the County except Minimum Basic Operations, as defined in Section 15. For clarity, all businesses may continue operations consisting exclusively of owners, personnel, volunteers, or contractors performing activities at their own residences (i.e., working from home). All Essential Businesses are strongly encouraged to remain open. But all businesses are directed to maximize the number of personnel who work from home. Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, and Additional Businesses may only assign those personnel who cannot perform their job duties from home to work outside the home. Outdoor Businesses must conduct all business and transactions involving members of the public outdoors.**
- 6. As a condition of operating under this Order, the operators of all businesses must prepare or update, post, implement, and distribute to their personnel a Social**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Distancing Protocol for each of their facilities in the County frequented by personnel or members of the public, as specified in Section 15.h. In addition to the Social Distancing Protocol, all businesses allowed to operate under this Order must follow any industry-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer related to COVID-19 and any conditions on operation specified in this Order, including those specified in Appendix C-1. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-1, businesses that include an Essential Business or Outdoor Business component at their facilities alongside other components must, to the extent feasible, scale down their operations to the Essential Business and Outdoor Business components only; provided, however, mixed retail businesses that are otherwise allowed to operate under this Order may continue to stock and sell non-essential products.

- 7. All public and private gatherings of any number of people occurring outside a single household or living unit are prohibited, except for the limited purposes expressly permitted in this Order. Nothing in this Order prohibits members of a single household or living unit from engaging in Essential Travel, Essential Activities, Outdoor Activities, or Additional Activities together.**
- 8. All travel, including, but not limited to, travel on foot, bicycle, scooter, motorcycle, automobile, or public transit, except Essential Travel, as defined below in Section 15.i, is prohibited. People may use public transit only for purposes of performing Essential Activities, Outdoor Activities, or Additional Activities, or to travel to and from Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, or Additional Businesses, to maintain Essential Governmental Functions, or to perform Minimum Basic Operations at businesses that are not allowed to resume operations. Transit agencies and people riding on public transit must comply with Social Distancing Requirements, as defined in Section 15.k, to the greatest extent feasible, and personnel and passengers must wear Face Coverings as required by the Face Covering Order. This Order allows travel into or out of the County only to perform Essential Activities, Outdoor Activities, or Additional Activities; to operate, perform work for, or access a business allowed to operate under this Order; to perform Minimum Basic Operations at other businesses; or to maintain Essential Governmental Functions.**
- 9. This Order is issued based on evidence of continued significant community transmission of COVID-19 within the County and throughout the Bay Area; continued uncertainty regarding the degree of undetected asymptomatic transmission; scientific evidence and best practices regarding the most effective approaches to slow the transmission of communicable diseases generally and COVID-19 specifically; evidence that the age, condition, and health of a significant portion of the population of the County places it at risk for serious health complications, including death, from COVID-19; and further evidence that others, including younger and otherwise healthy people, are also at risk for serious outcomes. Due to the outbreak of the COVID-19 disease in the general public, which is now a pandemic according to the World Health Organization, there is a**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

public health emergency throughout the County. Making the problem worse, some individuals who contract the virus causing the COVID-19 disease have no symptoms or have mild symptoms, which means they may not be aware they carry the virus and are transmitting it to others. Further, evidence shows that the virus can survive for hours to days on surfaces and be indirectly transmitted between individuals. Because even people without symptoms can transmit the infection, and because evidence shows the infection is easily spread, gatherings and other direct or indirect interpersonal interactions can result in preventable transmission of the virus.

10. The collective efforts taken to date regarding this public health emergency have slowed the virus' trajectory, but the emergency and the attendant risk to public health remain significant. As of June 10, 2020, there are 2,840 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the County (up from 37 on March 16, 2020, just before the first shelter-in-place order) as well as at least 44 deaths (up from 1 death on March 17, 2020). The cumulative number of confirmed cases continues to increase, though the rate of increase has slowed in the weeks leading up to this Order. Evidence suggests that the restrictions on mobility and social distancing requirements imposed by the Prior Order (and the orders that preceded it) are slowing the rate of increase in community transmission and confirmed cases by limiting interactions among people, consistent with scientific evidence of the efficacy of similar measures in other parts of the country and world.
11. The local health officers who jointly issued the Prior Order are monitoring several key indicators ("COVID-19 Indicators"), which are among the many factors informing their decisions whether to modify existing shelter-in-place restrictions. Progress on some of these COVID-19 Indicators—specifically related to hospital utilization and capacity—makes it appropriate, at this time, to allow certain Additional Businesses to resume operations and Additional Activities to take place under specified conditions, as set forth in Sections 15.n and 15.o. But the continued prevalence of the virus that causes COVID-19 requires most activities and business functions to remain restricted, and those activities that are allowed to occur must do so subject to social distancing and other infection control practices identified by the Health Officer. Evaluation of the COVID-19 Indicators will be critical to determinations by the local health officers regarding whether the restrictions imposed by this Order will be further modified to ease or tighten the restrictions imposed by this Order and augment, limit, or prohibit the Additional Businesses and Additional Activities allowed to resume. The Health Officer will continually review whether modifications to the Order are warranted based on (1) progress on the COVID-19 Indicators; (2) developments in epidemiological and diagnostic methods for tracing, diagnosing, treating, or testing for COVID-19; and (3) scientific understanding of the transmission dynamics and clinical impact of COVID-19. The COVID-19 Indicators include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. The capacity of hospitals and the health system in the County and region, including acute care beds and Intensive Care Unit beds, to provide care for



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

COVID-19 patients and other patients, including during a surge in COVID-19 cases.

- b. The supply of personal protective equipment (PPE) available for hospital staff and other healthcare providers and personnel who need PPE to safely respond to and treat COVID-19 patients.**
 - c. The ability and capacity to quickly and accurately test persons to determine whether they are COVID-19 positive, especially those in vulnerable populations or high-risk settings or occupations.**
 - d. The ability to conduct case investigation and contact tracing for the volume of cases and associated contacts that will continue to occur, isolating confirmed cases and quarantining persons who have had contact with confirmed cases.**
- 12. The scientific evidence shows that at this stage of the emergency, it remains essential to continue to slow virus transmission to help (a) protect the most vulnerable; (b) prevent the health care system from being overwhelmed; (c) prevent long-term chronic health conditions, such as cardiovascular, kidney, and respiratory damage and loss of limbs from blood clotting; and (d) prevent deaths. Continuation of the Prior Order is necessary to slow the spread of the COVID-19 disease, preserving critical and limited healthcare capacity in the County and advancing toward a point in the public health emergency where transmission can be controlled. At the same time, since the Prior Order was issued the County has continued to make progress in expanding health system capacity and healthcare resources and in slowing community transmission of COVID-19. In light of progress on these indicators, and subject to continued monitoring and potential public health-based responses, in addition to those already allowed to operate under the Prior Order as Essential Businesses and Outdoor Businesses, it is appropriate at this time to begin allowing operation of specified Additional Businesses. These businesses are identified based on health-related considerations and transmission risk factors including, but not limited to, the intensity and quantity of contacts and the ability to substantially mitigate transmission risks associated with the operations.**
- 13. This Order is issued in accordance with, and incorporates by reference, the March 4, 2020 Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the March 12, 2020 Executive Order (Executive Order N-25-20) issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the February 25, 2020 Proclamation by the Mayor Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency issued by Mayor London Breed, as supplemented on March 11, 2020, the March 6, 2020 Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) issued by the Health Officer, and guidance issued by the California Department of Public Health, as each of them have been and may be supplemented.**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

14. This Order is also issued in light of the March 19, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer (the “State Shelter Order”), which set baseline statewide restrictions on non-residential business activities, effective until further notice, and the Governor’s March 19, 2020 Executive Order N-33-20 directing California residents to follow the State Shelter Order. The May 4, 2020 Executive Order issued by Governor Newsom and May 7, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer permit certain businesses to reopen if a local health officer believes the conditions in that jurisdictions warrant it, but expressly acknowledge the authority of local health officers to establish and implement public health measures within their respective jurisdictions that are more restrictive than those implemented by the State Public Health Officer. This Order adopts in certain respects more stringent restrictions addressing the particular facts and circumstances in this County, which are necessary to control the public health emergency as it is evolving within the County and the Bay Area. Without this tailored set of restrictions that further reduces the number of interactions between persons, scientific evidence indicates that the public health crisis in the County will worsen to the point at which it may overtake available health care resources within the County and increase the death rate. Also, this Order enumerates additional restrictions on non-work-related travel not covered by the State Shelter Order; sets forth mandatory Social Distancing Requirements for all individuals in the County when engaged in activities outside their residences; and adds a mechanism to ensure that all businesses with facilities that are allowed to operate under the Order comply with the Social Distancing Requirements. Where a conflict exists between this Order and any state public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive provision controls. Consistent with California Health and Safety Code section 131080 and the Health Officer Practice Guide for Communicable Disease Control in California, except where the State Health Officer may issue an order expressly directed at this Order and based on a finding that a provision of this Order constitutes a menace to public health, any more restrictive measures in this Order continue to apply and control in this County. In addition, to the extent any federal guidelines allow activities that are not allowed by this Order, this Order controls and those activities are not allowed.

15. Definitions and Exemptions.

- a. For the purposes of this Order, individuals may leave their residence only to perform the following “Essential Activities.” But people at high risk of severe illness from COVID-19 (see www.sfdcp.org/vulnerable) are strongly urged to stay in their residence to the extent possible, except as necessary to seek or provide medical care or Essential Governmental Functions. Essential Activities are:**
 - i. To engage in activities or perform tasks important to their health and safety, or to the health and safety of their family or household members (including pets), such as, by way of example only and**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

without limitation, obtaining medical supplies or medication, or visiting a health care professional.

- ii. To obtain necessary services or supplies for themselves and their family or household members, or to deliver those services or supplies to others, such as, by way of example only and without limitation, canned food, dry goods, fresh fruits and vegetables, pet supply, fresh meats, fish, and poultry, and any other household consumer products, or products necessary to maintain the habitability, sanitation, and operation of residences.**
- iii. To engage in outdoor recreation activity, including, by way of example and without limitation, walking, hiking, bicycling, and running, in compliance with Social Distancing Requirements and with the following limitations:**
 - 1. Outdoor recreation activity at parks, beaches, and other open spaces must comply with any restrictions on access and use established by the Health Officer, government, or other entity that manages such area to reduce crowding and risk of transmission of COVID-19. Such restrictions may include, but are not limited to, restricting the number of entrants, closing the area to vehicular access and parking, or closure to all public access;**
 - 2. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-2, use of outdoor recreational areas and facilities with high-touch equipment or that encourage gathering, including, but not limited to, playgrounds, gym equipment, climbing walls, picnic areas, dog parks, pools, spas, and barbecue areas, is prohibited outside of residences, and all such areas shall be closed to public access including by signage and, as appropriate, by physical barriers;**
 - 3. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-2, sports or activities that include the use of shared equipment or physical contact between participants may only be engaged in by members of the same household or living unit; and**
 - 4. Use of shared outdoor facilities for recreational activities that may occur outside of residences consistent with the restrictions set forth in subsections 1, 2, and 3, above, including, but not limited to, golf courses, skate parks, and athletic fields, must, before they may begin, comply with social distancing and health/safety protocols posted at the site and any other restrictions, including prohibitions, on access and use**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

established by the Health Officer, government, or other entity that manages such area to reduce crowding and risk of transmission of COVID-19.

- iv. To perform work for or access an Essential Business, Outdoor Business, or Additional Business; or to otherwise carry out activities specifically permitted in this Order, including Minimum Basic Operations, as defined in this Section.
 - v. To provide necessary care for a family member or pet in another household who has no other source of care.
 - vi. To attend a funeral with no more than 12 individuals present.
 - vii. To move residences. When moving into or out of the Bay Area region, individuals are strongly urged to quarantine for 14 days. To quarantine, individuals should follow the guidance of the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
 - viii. To engage in Additional Activities, as specified in Appendix C-2.
- b. For the purposes of this Order, individuals may leave their residence to work for, volunteer at, or obtain services at “Healthcare Operations,” including, without limitation, hospitals, clinics, COVID-19 testing locations, dentists, pharmacies, blood banks and blood drives, pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, other healthcare facilities, healthcare suppliers, home healthcare services providers, mental health providers, or any related and/or ancillary healthcare services. “Healthcare Operations” also includes veterinary care and all healthcare services provided to animals. This exemption for Healthcare Operations shall be construed broadly to avoid any interference with the delivery of healthcare, broadly defined. “Healthcare Operations” excludes fitness and exercise gyms and similar facilities.
 - c. For the purposes of this Order, individuals may leave their residence to provide any services or perform any work necessary to the operation and maintenance of “Essential Infrastructure,” including airports, utilities (including water, sewer, gas, and electrical), oil refining, roads and highways, public transportation, solid waste facilities (including collection, removal, disposal, recycling, and processing facilities), cemeteries, mortuaries, crematoriums, and telecommunications systems (including the provision of essential global, national, and local infrastructure for internet, computing services, business infrastructure, communications, and web-based services).
 - d. For the purposes of this Order, all first responders, emergency management personnel, emergency dispatchers, court personnel, and law enforcement



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

personnel, and others who need to perform essential services are categorically exempt from this Order to the extent they are performing those essential services. Further, nothing in this Order shall prohibit any individual from performing or accessing “Essential Governmental Functions,” as determined by the governmental entity performing those functions in the County. Each governmental entity shall identify and designate appropriate personnel, volunteers, or contractors to continue providing and carrying out any Essential Governmental Functions, including the hiring or retention of new personnel or contractors to perform such functions. Each governmental entity and its contractors must employ all necessary emergency protective measures to prevent, mitigate, respond to, and recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, and all Essential Governmental Functions shall be performed in compliance with Social Distancing Requirements to the greatest extent feasible.

- e. For the purposes of this Order, a “business” includes any for-profit, non-profit, or educational entity, whether a corporate entity, organization, partnership or sole proprietorship, and regardless of the nature of the service, the function it performs, or its corporate or entity structure.
- f. For the purposes of this Order, “Essential Businesses” are:
 - i. Healthcare Operations and businesses that operate, maintain, or repair Essential Infrastructure;
 - ii. Grocery stores, certified farmers’ markets, farm and produce stands, supermarkets, food banks, convenience stores, and other establishments engaged in the retail sale of unprepared food, canned food, dry goods, non-alcoholic beverages, fresh fruits and vegetables, pet supply, fresh meats, fish, and poultry, as well as hygienic products and household consumer products necessary for personal hygiene or the habitability, sanitation, or operation of residences. The businesses included in this subsection (ii) include establishments that sell multiple categories of products provided that they sell a significant amount of essential products identified in this subsection, such as liquor stores that also sell a significant amount of food;
 - iii. Food cultivation, including farming, livestock, and fishing;
 - iv. Businesses that provide food, shelter, and social services, and other necessities of life for economically disadvantaged or otherwise needy individuals;
 - v. Construction, but only as permitted under the State Shelter Order and only pursuant to the Construction Safety Protocols listed in



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B and incorporated into this Order by this reference. City public works projects shall also be subject to Appendix B, except if other protocols are specified by the Health Officer;

- vi. Newspapers, television, radio, and other media services;**
- vii. Gas stations and auto-supply, auto-repair (including, but not limited to, for cars, trucks, motorcycles and motorized scooters), and automotive dealerships, but only for the purpose of providing auto-supply and auto-repair services. This subsection (vii) does not restrict the on-line purchase of automobiles if they are delivered to a residence or Essential Business;**
- viii. Bicycle repair and supply shops;**
- ix. Banks and related financial institutions;**
- x. Service providers that enable real estate transactions (including rentals, leases, and home sales), including, but not limited to, real estate agents, escrow agents, notaries, and title companies, provided that appointments and other residential real estate viewings must only occur virtually or, if a virtual viewing is not feasible, by appointment with no more than two visitors at a time residing within the same household or living unit and one individual showing the unit (except that in person visits are not allowed when the occupant is present in the residence);**
- xi. Hardware stores;**
- xii. Plumbers, electricians, exterminators, and other service providers who provide services that are necessary to maintaining the habitability, sanitation, or operation of residences and Essential Businesses;**
- xiii. Businesses providing mailing and shipping services, including post office boxes;**
- xiv. Educational institutions—including public and private K-12 schools, colleges, and universities—for purposes of facilitating distance learning or performing essential functions, or as allowed under subsection (xxvi), provided that social distancing of six feet per person is maintained to the greatest extent possible;**
- xv. Laundromats, drycleaners, and laundry service providers;**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- xvi. Restaurants and other facilities that prepare and serve food, but only for delivery or carry out. Schools and other entities that typically provide free food services to students or members of the public may continue to do so under this Order on the condition that the food is provided to students or members of the public on a pick-up and take-away basis only. Schools and other entities that provide food services under this exemption shall not permit the food to be eaten at the site where it is provided, or at any other gathering site;**
- xvii. Funeral home providers, mortuaries, cemeteries, and crematoriums, to the extent necessary for the transport, preparation, or processing of bodies or remains;**
- xviii. Businesses that supply other Essential Businesses and Outdoor Businesses with the support or supplies necessary to operate, but only to the extent that they support or supply these businesses. This exemption shall not be used as a basis for engaging in sales to the general public from retail storefronts;**
- xix. Businesses that have the primary function of shipping or delivering groceries, food, or other goods directly to residences or businesses. This exemption shall not be used to allow for manufacturing or assembly of non-essential products or for other functions besides those necessary to the delivery operation;**
- xx. Airlines, taxis, rental car companies, rideshare services (including shared bicycles and scooters), and other private transportation providers providing transportation services necessary for Essential Activities and other purposes expressly authorized in this Order;**
- xxi. Home-based care for seniors, adults, children, and pets;**
- xxii. Residential facilities and shelters for seniors, adults, and children;**
- xxiii. Professional services, such as legal, notary, or accounting services, when necessary to assist in compliance with non-elective, legally required activities or in relation to death or incapacity;**
- xxiv. Services to assist individuals in finding employment with Essential Businesses;**
- xxv. Moving services that facilitate residential or commercial moves that are allowed under this Order; and**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- xxvi. Childcare establishments and other educational or recreational institutions or programs providing care or supervision for children of all ages (with the exception of summer camps, which are addressed separately in Appendix C-1) that enable owners, employees, volunteers, and contractors for Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Businesses, or Minimum Basic Operations to work as allowed under this Order. To the extent possible, these operations must comply with the following conditions:**
- 1. They must be carried out in stable groups of 12 or fewer children (“stable” means that the same 12 or fewer children are in the same group each day).**
 - 2. Children shall not change from one group to another.**
 - 3. If more than one group of children is at one facility, each group shall be in a separate room. Groups shall not mix with each other.**
 - 4. Providers or educators shall remain solely with one group of children.**
- g. For the purposes of this Order, “Minimum Basic Operations” means the following activities for businesses, provided that owners, personnel, and contractors comply with Social Distancing Requirements as defined this Section, to the extent possible, while carrying out such operations:**
- i. The minimum necessary activities to maintain and protect the value of the business’s inventory and facilities; ensure security, safety, and sanitation; process payroll and employee benefits; provide for the delivery of existing inventory directly to residences or businesses; and related functions. For clarity, this section does not permit businesses to provide curbside pickup to customers.**
 - ii. The minimum necessary activities to facilitate owners, personnel, and contractors of the business being able to continue to work remotely from their residences, and to ensure that the business can deliver its service remotely.**
- h. For the purposes of this Order, all businesses that are operating at facilities in the County visited or used by the public or personnel must, as a condition of such operation, prepare and post a “Social Distancing Protocol” for each of these facilities; provided, however, that construction activities shall instead comply with the Construction Project Safety Protocols set forth in Appendix**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

B and not the Social Distancing Protocol. The Social Distancing Protocol must be substantially in the form attached to this Order as Appendix A, and it must be updated from prior versions to address new requirements listed in this Order or in related guidance or directives from the Health Officer. The Social Distancing Protocol must be posted at or near the entrance of the relevant facility, and shall be easily viewable by the public and personnel. A copy of the Social Distancing Protocol must also be provided to each person performing work at the facility. All businesses subject to this paragraph shall implement the Social Distancing Protocol and provide evidence of its implementation to any authority enforcing this Order upon demand. The Social Distancing Protocol must explain how the business is achieving the following, as applicable:

- i. Limiting the number of people who can enter into the facility at any one time to ensure that people in the facility can easily maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another at all times, except as required to complete Essential Business activity;**
- ii. Requiring face coverings to be worn by all persons entering the facility, other than those exempted from face covering requirements (e.g., young children);**
- iii. Where lines may form at a facility, marking six-foot increments at a minimum, establishing where individuals should stand to maintain adequate social distancing;**
- iv. Providing hand sanitizer, soap and water, or effective disinfectant at or near the entrance of the facility and in other appropriate areas for use by the public and personnel, and in locations where there is high-frequency employee interaction with members of the public (e.g., cashiers);**
- v. Providing for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible to do so, the providing for disinfecting all payment portals, pens, and styluses after each use;**
- vi. Regularly disinfecting other high-touch surfaces;**
- vii. Posting a sign at the entrance of the facility informing all personnel and customers that they should: avoid entering the facility if they have any COVID-19 symptoms; maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another; sneeze and cough into their own elbow; and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact; and**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

- viii. Any additional social distancing measures being implemented (see the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s guidance at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/guidance-business-response.html>).
- i. For the purposes of this Order, “Essential Travel” means travel for any of the following purposes:
 - i. Travel related to the provision of or access to Essential Activities, Essential Governmental Functions, Essential Businesses, Minimum Basic Operations, Outdoor Activities, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Activities, and Additional Businesses.
 - ii. Travel to care for any elderly, minors, dependents, or persons with disabilities.
 - iii. Travel to or from educational institutions for purposes of receiving materials for distance learning, for receiving meals, and any other related services.
 - iv. Travel to return to a place of residence from outside the County.
 - v. Travel required by law enforcement or court order.
 - vi. Travel required for non-residents to return to their place of residence outside the County. Individuals are strongly encouraged to verify that their transportation out of the County remains available and functional prior to commencing such travel.
 - vii. Travel to manage after-death arrangements and burial.
 - viii. Travel to arrange for shelter or avoid homelessness.
 - ix. Travel to avoid domestic violence or child abuse.
 - x. Travel for parental custody arrangements.
 - xi. Travel to a place to temporarily reside in a residence or other facility to avoid potentially exposing others to COVID-19, such as a hotel or other facility provided by a governmental authority for such purposes.
- j. For purposes of this Order, “residences” include hotels, motels, shared rental units, and similar facilities. Residences also include living structures and outdoor spaces associated with those living structures, such as patios,



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

porches, backyards, and front yards that are only accessible to a single family or household unit.

k. For purposes of this Order, “Social Distancing Requirements” means:

- i. Maintaining at least six-foot social distancing from individuals who are not part of the same household or living unit;**
- ii. Frequently washing hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, or using hand sanitizer that is recognized by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as effective in combatting COVID-19;**
- iii. Covering coughs and sneezes with a tissue or fabric or, if not possible, into the sleeve or elbow (but not into hands);**
- iv. Wearing a face covering when out in public, consistent with the orders or guidance of the Health Officer; and**
- v. Avoiding all social interaction outside the household when sick with a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms.**

All individuals must strictly comply with Social Distancing Requirements, except to the limited extent necessary to provide care (including childcare, adult or senior care, care to individuals with special needs, and patient care); as necessary to carry out the work of Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, or provide for Minimum Basic Operations; or as otherwise expressly provided in this Order. Outdoor Activities, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Activities, and Additional Businesses must strictly adhere to these Social Distancing Requirements.

l. For purposes of this Order, “Outdoor Businesses” means:

- i. The following businesses that normally operated primarily outdoors prior to March 16, 2020 and where there is the ability to fully maintain social distancing of at least six feet between all persons:**
 - 1. Businesses primarily operated outdoors, such as wholesale and retail plant nurseries, agricultural operations, and garden centers.**
 - 2. Service providers that primarily provide outdoor services, such as landscaping and gardening services, and environmental site remediation services.**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

For clarity, “Outdoor Businesses” do not include outdoor restaurants, cafes, or bars. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C, they also do not include businesses that promote large, coordinated, and prolonged gatherings, such as outdoor concert venues and amusement parks.

m. For purposes of this Order, “Outdoor Activities” means:

- i. To obtain goods, services, or supplies from, or perform work for, an Outdoor Business.**
- ii. To engage in outdoor recreation as permitted in Section 15.a.**

n. For purposes of this Order, “Additional Business” means any business, entity, or other organization identified as an Additional Business in Appendix C-1, which will be updated as warranted based on the Health Officer’s ongoing evaluation of the COVID-19 Indicators and other data. In addition to the other requirements in this Order, operation of those Additional Businesses is subject to any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth in Appendix C-1 and in any industry-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer.

o. For purposes of this Order, “Additional Activities” means:

- i. To obtain goods, services, or supplies from, or perform work for, Additional Businesses identified in Appendix C-1, subject to requirements in this Order, and any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth in this Order or in any industry-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer.**
- ii. To engage in outdoor recreation activities or other activities set forth in Appendix C-2, subject to any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth there.**

16. Government agencies and other entities operating shelters and other facilities that house or provide meals or other necessities of life for individuals experiencing homelessness must take appropriate steps to help ensure compliance with Social Distancing Requirements, including adequate provision of hand sanitizer. Also, individuals experiencing homelessness who are unsheltered and living in encampments should, to the maximum extent feasible, abide by 12 foot by 12 foot distancing for the placement of tents, and government agencies should provide restroom and hand washing facilities for individuals in such encampments as set forth in Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Interim Guidance Responding to Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) Among People Experiencing Unsheltered Homelessness (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra->



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

[precautions/unsheltered-homelessness.html](#)).

- 17. Pursuant to Government Code sections 26602 and 41601 and Health and Safety Code section 101029, the Health Officer requests that the Sheriff and the Chief of Police in the County ensure compliance with and enforce this Order. The violation of any provision of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.**
- 18. This Order became effective at 12:00 p.m. on May 22, 2020 and will continue, as updated, to be in effect until it is rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer.**
- 19. Effective as of 12:00 p.m. on May 22, 2020, this Order revises and replaces Order Number C19-07d, issued May 17, 2020. This Order also extends Order Nos. C19-01b (prohibiting visitors at Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center and Unit 4A at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital), C19-03 (prohibiting visitors to specific residential facilities), C19-04 (imposing cleaning standards for residential hotels), C19-06 (prohibiting visitors to general acute care hospitals and acute psychiatric hospitals), C19-09 (prohibiting visitors to residential care facilities for the elderly, adult residential facilities, and residential facilities for the chronically ill), and C19-11 (placing Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center under protective quarantine) without any further need to amend those orders, with those listed orders otherwise remaining in effect until the specific listed order or this Order is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. This Order does not prohibit amendment of those orders separately. This Order also does not affect Order Nos. C19-08b (limiting routine appointments and elective surgeries and encouraging delivery of prescriptions and cannabis products), C19-10 (requiring reporting by labs of COVID-19 testing information), C19-12b (requiring face coverings), and C19-13 (regarding testing, reporting, and cooperation at skilled nursing facilities), which continue indefinitely as provided in those respective orders until each of them is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer.**
- 20. The County must promptly provide copies of this Order as follows: (1) by posting on the Department of Public Health website (www.sfdph.org/healthorders); (2) by posting at City Hall, located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., San Francisco, CA 94102; and (3) by providing to any member of the public requesting a copy. In addition, the owner, manager, or operator of any facility that is likely to be impacted by this Order is strongly encouraged to post a copy of this Order onsite and to provide a copy to any member of the public asking for a copy.**
- 21. If any provision of this Order or its application to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, the remainder of the Order, including the application of such part or provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected and shall**



**City and County of
San Francisco**

**Department of Public Health
Order of the Health Officer**

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this Order are severable.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Updated: June 11, 2020

Attachments: Appendix A – Social Distancing Protocol (revised 6/1/2020)
Appendix B-1 – Small Construction Project Safety Protocol
Appendix B-2 – Large Construction Project Safety Protocol
Appendix C-1 – Additional Businesses (June 11, 2020)
Appendix C-2 – Additional Activities (June 11, 2020)

Each business operating in San Francisco must complete, post onsite, and follow this Social Distancing Protocol. See the attached **Instructions and Requirements** for details on what is required and how to complete this checklist.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name:

Contact name:

Facility Address:

Contact telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this protocol.)

SIGNAGE & EDUCATION

- ☐ Post signage at each public entrance of the facility requiring of everyone:
 - (1) avoid entering if experiencing COVID-19 symptoms;
 - (2) maintain a minimum six-foot distance from others in line and in the facility; and
 - (3) wear a Face Covering
- ☐ Post a copy of this two-page Social Distancing Protocol checklist at each public entrance
- ☐ Post signage showing maximum number of patrons who can be in line and in the facility
- ☐ Educate Personnel about this Protocol and other COVID-19 related items

PROTECTIVE MEASURES

- ☐ Follow Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below, including:
 - ☐ Ensure Personnel stay home or leave work if they are sick
 - ☐ Provide a copy of the Attachment to Personnel to ensure they understand when to stay home; translated versions of the Attachment are available online
 - ☐ Ensure Personnel review health criteria before each shift and advise Personnel what to do if they are required to stay home
- ☐ Require Personnel and patrons to wear a face covering as required by Health Officer orders
- ☐ Implement a plan to keep site Personnel safe, including by limiting the number of Personnel and customers onsite to a number that ensures physical distancing and favoring allowing Personnel to carry out their duties from home when possible

MEASURES TO PREVENT UNNECESSARY CONTACT

- ☐ Tell Personnel and patrons to maintain physical distancing of at least six feet, except Personnel may momentarily come closer when necessary to accept payment, deliver goods or services, or as otherwise necessary
- ☐ Separate all desks or individual work stations by at least six feet
- ☐ Place markings in patron line areas to ensure six foot social distancing (inside and outside)
- ☐ Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, disinfect payment systems regularly. Describe:
- ☐ Maintain Plexiglas or other barriers between patrons and Personnel at point of payment (if not possible, then ensure at least six foot distance)

- ☐ Limit the number of patrons in the store at any one time to: _____
- ☐ Separate order areas from delivery areas or similarly help distance patrons when possible
- ☐ Optional—Describe other measures:

SANITIZING MEASURES

- ☐ Regularly disinfect high touch areas, and do so continuously for surfaces patrons touch (countertops, payment systems, pens, and styluses)
- ☐ Provide disinfecting wipes that are effective against SARS-CoV-2 near shopping carts, shopping baskets, and high-touch surfaces and provide hand sanitizer
- ☐ Have Personnel disinfect carts and baskets after each use
- ☐ Provide hand sanitizer, soap and water, and/or disinfecting wipes to patrons and Personnel at or near the entrance of the facility, at checkout counters, and anywhere else where people have direct interactions
- ☐ Disinfect break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas frequently, on the following schedule:
 - ☐ Break rooms:
 - ☐ Bathrooms:
 - ☐ Other:
- ☐ Prevent people from self-serving any items that are food-related:
 - ☐ Provide lids and utensils for food items by Personnel, not for patrons to grab
 - ☐ Limit access to bulk-item food bins to Personnel—no self-service use
- ☐ Prohibit patrons from bringing their own bags, coffee mugs, or other reusable items
- ☐ Prohibit Personnel from using shared food prep equipment for their own use (e.g., microwaves, water coolers), but microwaves may be used if disinfected between each use and hand sanitizer is available nearby
- ☐ Optional—Describe other measures (e.g., providing senior-only hours):

INDUSTRY-SPECIFIC DIRECTIVES

- ☐ Ensure that you have read and implemented the attached list of requirements.
- ☐ In addition to complying with the Social Distancing Protocol, many businesses must comply with additional, industry-specific directives. Go to www.sfdph.org/directives and check to see if your business is subject to one or more additional directives. For each one, you must review the Health and Safety Plan (HSP) requirements and post an additional two-page checklist for each one that applies. In the event that any directive changes the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol, the more specific language of the directive controls, even if it is less restrictive. Check this box after you have checked the list and posted any other required HSP.

* Any additional measures may be listed on separate pages and attached.

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]**Instructions:**

The two-page Social Distancing Protocol above must reflect the business's completion of each requirement listed below unless an item is not applicable. Use the two-page checklist above to show compliance with these requirements. The business does not need to post these Instructions and Requirements, only the two-page checklist above.

Any business that has an existing Social Distancing Protocol must update its Social Distance Protocol to meet the requirements in this new version no later than June 15, 2020. Any other business that is implementing a Social Distancing Protocol for the first time on or after June 1, 2020 must comply with the new protocol before resuming operations.

Depending on the nature of the business covered by the Social Distancing Protocol, there are certain people associated with the business who are subject to this protocol. Collectively those people are referred to by this protocol, and any associated Health Officer directive, as "Personnel", and those people include all of the following who provide goods or services associated with the business in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as "gig workers" who perform work via the business's app or other online interface, if any); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers' markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the business.

Requirements:

In addition to the items below, this protocol requires the business to ensure that Personnel who perform work associated with the business are addressed by the Social Distancing Protocol and comply with those requirements. Each business is required to take certain steps in the protocol related to its Personnel, including the actions listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below if Personnel are sick. Each business is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below. Personnel of each business are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and must comply with the protocol, including the rules for returning to work listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below.

1. Section 1 – Signage and Education:

- 1.1.** Post signage at each public entrance of the facility or location (if any) to inform all Personnel and customers that they must: avoid waiting in line or entering the facility or location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another while in line or in the facility or location, wear a face covering or barrier mask (a "Face Covering") at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact. Criteria for Face Coverings and the requirements related to their use are set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12, issued on April 17, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"). Sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>.
- 1.2.** Post a copy of the Social Distancing Protocol at each public entrance to the facility or location.
- 1.3.** Distribute to all Personnel copies of the Social Distancing Protocol.
- 1.4.** Educate all Personnel on the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol and any other Health Officer directive that applies.

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]**2. Section 2 – Personnel and Customer Protection and Sanitation Requirements:**

- 2.1.** Instruct all Personnel orally and in writing not to come to work or the facility if they are sick.
- 2.2.** Provide a copy of the attachment to this Exhibit, titled “Information for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Additional Business and Other Businesses Permitted To Operate During the Health Emergency” (the “Attachment”), to all Personnel who regularly work at the facility or location in hardcopy format or electronically. PDF and translated versions of the Attachment can be found online at www.sfgdcp.org/covid19 (open the “Businesses and Employers” area of the “Information and Guidance for the Public” section). If the Attachment is updated, provide an updated copy to all Personnel.
- 2.3.** Review the criteria listed in Part 1 of the Attachment on a daily basis with all Personnel in the City who regularly work at the facility or location before each person enters work spaces or begins a shift. If such a review is not feasible because the business does not directly interact with some Personnel onsite daily, then that business must for those Personnel (1) instruct such Personnel to review the criteria before each shift in the City and (2) have such Personnel report to the business that they are okay to begin the shift such as through an app, website, or phone call.

Instruct any Personnel who answered yes to any question in Part 1 of the Attachment to return home or not come to work and follow the directions on the Attachment.

- 2.4.** Instruct Personnel who stayed home or who went home based on the criteria listed on the Attachment that they must follow the criteria as well as any applicable requirements from the quarantine and isolation directives (available online at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp) before returning to work. If they are required to self-quarantine or self-isolate, they may only return to work after they have completed self-quarantine or self-isolation. If they test negative for the virus (no virus found), they may only return to work after waiting for the amount of time listed on the Attachment after their symptoms have resolved. Personnel are not required to provide a medical clearance letter to return to work as long as they have met the requirements outlined on the Attachment.
- 2.5.** In the coming weeks the Department of Public Health may issue guidelines requiring businesses to comply with COVID-19 testing requirements for employers and businesses. Periodically, check the following website for any testing requirements for employers and businesses: www.sfgdcp.org/covid19. If requirements are added, ensure that the business and all Personnel comply with testing requirements.
- 2.6.** If an aspect of the business is allowed to operate and is covered by a Health Officer directive, then the business must comply with all applicable directives as well as this Social Distancing Protocol. Copies of other directives are available online at www.sfdph.org/directives. For each directive that applies, review the Health and Safety Plan (HSP) requirements and post an additional two-page checklist for each one that applies. In the event that any directive changes the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol, the more specific language of the directive controls, even if it is less restrictive.
- 2.7.** Instruct all Personnel and customers to maintain at least six-foot distance from others, including when in line and when shopping or collecting goods on behalf of customers, except when momentarily necessary to facilitate or accept payment and hand off items or deliver goods. Note that if the business cannot ensure maintenance of a six-foot distance within the business or facility between Personnel or other people onsite, such as by moving work

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]

stations or spreading Personnel out, it must reduce the number of Personnel permitted in the business or facility accordingly.

- 2.8. Provide Face Coverings for all Personnel, with instructions that they must wear Face Coverings at all times when at work, as further set forth in the Face Covering Order. A sample sign is available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>. Allow Personnel to bring their own Face Covering if they bring one that has been cleaned before the shift. In general, people should have multiple Face Coverings (whether reusable or disposable) to ensure they use a clean one each day. The Face Covering Order permits certain exceptions, and the business should be aware of those exceptions (for example, children 12 years old or younger or based on a written medical excuse). When Personnel do not wear a Face Covering because of an exception, take steps to otherwise increase safety for all.
- 2.9. If customers wait in line outside or inside any business or location operated by the business, require customers to wear a Face Covering while waiting in line outside or inside the business or location. This includes taking steps to notify customers they will not be served if they are in line without a Face Covering and refusing to serve a customer without a Face Covering, as further provided in the Face Covering Order. The business may provide a clean Face Covering to customers while in line. For clarity, the transaction must be aborted if the customer is not wearing a Face Covering. But the business must permit a customer to obtain service who is excused by the Face Covering Order from wearing a Face Covering, including by taking steps that can otherwise increase safety for all.
- 2.10. Provide a sink with soap, water, and paper towels for handwashing, for all Personnel working onsite at the facility or location and for customers if sinks and restrooms are open to customers. Require that all Personnel wash hands at least at the start and end of each shift, after sneezing, coughing, eating, drinking, smoking (to the extent smoking is allowed by law and the business), or using the restroom, when changing tasks, and, when possible, frequently during each shift. Personnel who work off-site, such as driving or delivering goods, must be required to use hand sanitizer throughout their shift.
- 2.11. Provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at appropriate locations for customers and elsewhere at the business or facility for Personnel. Sanitizer must also be provided to Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive for use when they are shopping, delivering, or driving. If sanitizer cannot be obtained, a handwashing station with soap, water, and paper towels will suffice for Personnel who are on-site at the business. But for Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive in relation to their work, the business must provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19 at all times; for any period during which the business does not provide sanitizer to such shopping, delivery, or driving Personnel, the business is not allowed for that aspect of its service to operate in the City. Information on hand sanitizer, including sanitizer effective against COVID-19 and how to obtain sanitizer, is available online from the Food and Drug Administration here: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/ga-consumers-hand-sanitizers-and-covid-19>.
- 2.12. Provide disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and require Personnel to sanitize all high-touch surfaces under their control, including but not limited to: shopping carts and baskets used by Personnel and customers; countertops, food/item display cases, refrigerator and freezer case doors, drawers with tools or hardware, and check-out areas; cash registers, payment equipment, and self-check-out kiosks; door handles; tools and equipment used by Personnel during a shift; and any inventory-tracking or delivery-tracking equipment or devices which require handling throughout a work shift. These items should be routinely disinfected during the course of the day, including as required below. A list of products listed by the

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]

United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against the virus that causes COVID-19 can be found online here: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.

- 2.13. Ensure that all shared devices or equipment are cleaned and/or sanitized by Personnel on frequent schedules, not less than at the beginning and end of each Personnel member's work shift and during the shift.
- 2.14. Direct all Personnel to avoid touching unsanitized surfaces that may be frequently touched, such as door handles, tools, or credit cards, unless protective equipment such as gloves (provided by the business) are used and discarded after each use or hand sanitizer is used after each interaction.
- 2.15. Frequently disinfect any break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas. Create and use a daily checklist to document each time disinfection of these rooms or areas occurs. Conspicuously post the checklist inside each respective break room, bathroom, or other common area clearly detailing the dates and times the room was last cleaned, disinfected, or restocked.
- 2.16. For any facility or location that has carts, baskets, or other equipment for use by Personnel, assign Personnel to disinfect carts, baskets, or other equipment after each use and take steps to prevent anyone from grabbing used carts, baskets, or other equipment before disinfection.
- 2.17. Establish adequate time in the work day to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination throughout the facility or location by Personnel including, but not limited to, before closing for the day and opening in the morning.
- 2.18. Suspend use of any microwaves, water coolers, drinking fountains, and other similar group equipment for breaks until further notice. Microwaves may be used if disinfected between each use and hand sanitizer is available nearby.
- 2.19. When possible, provide a barrier between the customer and the cashier such as a plexi-glass temporary barrier. When not possible, create sufficient space to enable the customer to stand more than six feet away from the cashier while items are being scanned/tallied and bagged.
- 2.20. Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each customer use. Customers may pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage customers to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment.
- 2.21. For any larger facility or location, appoint a designated sanitation worker at all times to continuously clean and sanitize commonly touched surfaces and meet the environmental cleaning guidelines set by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention.
- 2.22. If an employee or other Personnel tests positive for COVID-19, follow the guidance on "Business guidance if a staff member tests positive for COVID-19," available online at <https://sf.gov/business-guidance-if-staff-member-tests-positive-covid-19>.
- 2.23. Post signs to advise customers of the maximum line capacity to ensure that the maximum number of customers in line is not exceeded. Once the maximum number of customers is reached, customers should be advised to return later to prevent buildup of congestion in the line.

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]

- 2.24.** Place tape or other markings on the sidewalk or floor at least six feet apart in customer line areas with signs directing customers to use the markings to maintain distance.
- 2.25.** When stocking shelves, if any, ensure that Personnel wash or sanitize hands before placing items on shelves, making sure to again wash or sanitize hands if they become contaminated by touching face or hair or being exposed to other soiled surfaces.
- 2.26.** Ensure that all Personnel who select items on behalf of customers wear a Face Covering when selecting, packing, and/or delivering items.
- 2.27.** Require Personnel to wash hands frequently, including:
- When entering any kitchen or food preparation area
 - Before starting food preparation or handling
 - After touching their face, hair, or other areas of the body
 - After using the restroom
 - After coughing, sneezing, using a tissue, smoking, eating, or drinking
 - Before putting on gloves
 - After engaging in other activities that may contaminate the hands
- 2.28.** Assign Personnel to keep soap and paper towels stocked at sinks and handwashing stations at least every hour and to replenish other sanitizing products.

City and County of San Francisco Health Officer Order Appendix A - Attachment
Handout for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and
Other Businesses Permitted to Operate During the Health Emergency (May 18, 2020)

Any business or entity that is subject to a Health Officer Directive to which this handout is attached (each "Business") must give a copy of this handout to Personnel who work in the City outside their household during this emergency. Go to www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19 for more info or a copy of this form.

All Personnel: If you work outside your household in the City during this local health emergency, you may qualify for a free test for the virus that causes COVID-19, even if you have no symptoms. Contact your healthcare provider or go to **CityTestSF** at <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests> to sign up for a free test.

Part 1 – You must answer the following questions before starting your work every day that you work.

You may be required to provide the answers in person or via phone or other electronic means to the Business before the start of each shift. If any answers change while you are at work, notify the Business by phone and leave the workplace.

1. Within the last 10 days have you been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming you have the virus?
2. Do you live in the same household with, or have you had **close contact*** with someone who in the past 14 days has been in isolation for COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the virus?

If the answer to either question is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 2** below.

3. Have you had any one or more of these symptoms today or within the past 24 hours, which is new or not explained by another reason?

- | | |
|--|---------------------------|
| • Fever, Chills, or Repeated Shaking/Shivering | • Loss of Taste or Smell |
| • Cough | • Muscle pain |
| • Sore Throat | • Headache |
| • Shortness of Breath, Difficulty Breathing | • Runny or congested nose |
| • Feeling Unusually Weak or Fatigued | • Diarrhea |

If the answer to Question 3 is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 3** below.

Part 2 –

- If you answered **yes to Question 1**: you are subject to the Health Officer Isolation Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Isolation Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- If you answered **yes to Question 2**: you are subject to the Health Officer Quarantine Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Quarantine Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- Do not return to work until the Isolation or Quarantine Steps tell you it is safe to return!
- The meaning of ***Close Contact** is explained in this document: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>

Part 3 – If you answered yes to Question 3:

You may have COVID-19 and **must be tested for the virus** before returning to work. Without a test, the Business must treat you as being positive for COVID-19 and require you to stay out of work for at least **10** calendar days. In order to return to work sooner and to protect those around you, you must get tested for the virus. Follow these steps:

1. Contact your usual healthcare provider about getting tested for the virus, or sign up for free testing at CityTestSF <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests>. If you live outside the City, you can check with the county where you live, get tested by your usual healthcare provider, or use CityTestSF.
2. Wait for your test results at home while minimizing exposure to those you live with. A good resource is <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html>
- If your result is positive (confirms that you have the virus) go to **Part 2** above and follow **Isolation Steps**.
- If your result is negative, do not return to work until you have had at least 3 days in a row without fever and with improvement in your other symptoms. Consult with your healthcare provider to decide.

If you have questions about any part of this Handout, please see FAQs at www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19 under "Isolation & Quarantine Directives" or call 3-1-1

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e
Appendix B-1

Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised May 22, 2020)

1. Any construction project meeting any of the following specifications is subject to this Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (“SCP Protocol”), including public works projects unless otherwise specified by the Health Officer:
 - a. For residential projects, any single-family, multi-family, senior, student, or other residential construction, renovation, or remodel project consisting of 10 units or fewer. This SCP Protocol does not apply to construction projects where a person is performing construction on their current residence either alone or solely with members of their own household.
 - b. For commercial projects, any construction, renovation, or tenant improvement project consisting of 20,000 square feet of floor area or less.
 - c. For mixed-use projects, any project that meets both of the specifications in subsections 1.a and 1.b.
 - d. All other construction projects not subject to the Large Construction Project Safety Protocol set forth in Appendix B-2.
2. The following restrictions and requirements must be in place at all construction job sites subject to this SCP Protocol:
 - a. Comply with all applicable and current laws and regulations including but not limited to OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference, or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and/or this SCP Protocol, the stricter standard shall apply.
 - b. Designate a site-specific COVID-19 supervisor or supervisors to enforce this guidance. A designated COVID-19 supervisor must be present on the construction site at all times during construction activities. A COVID-19 supervisor may be an on-site worker who is designated to serve in this role.
 - c. The COVID-19 supervisor must review this SCP Protocol with all workers and visitors to the construction site.
 - d. Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the construction site. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exits to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/index.html>.
 - e. Practice social distancing by maintaining a minimum six-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the construction project.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-1

- f. In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, the following must take place:
 - i. Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care.
 - ii. Decontaminate and sanitize all surfaces at each location at which the infected worker was present. Provide those performing the decontamination and sanitization work with medical-grade PPE, ensure the workers are trained in proper use of the PPE, require the workers to use the provided PPE, and prohibit any sharing of the PPE. Prohibit anyone from entering the possibly contaminated area, except those performing decontamination and sanitization work. Cease all work in these locations until decontamination and sanitization is complete.
 - iii. Notify the County Public Health Department Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) immediately at 415-554-2830. Follow all directives and complete any additional requirements by County health officials, including full compliance with any tracing efforts by the County.
- g. Where construction work occurs within an occupied residential unit, separate work areas must be sealed off from the remainder of the unit with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative entry/exit door to the entry/exit door used by residents. Available windows and exhaust fans must be used to ventilate the work area. If residents have access to the work area between workdays, the work area must be cleaned and sanitized at the beginning and at the end of workdays. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between workers and residents, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.
- h. Where construction work occurs within common areas of an occupied residential or commercial building or a mixed-use building in use by on-site employees or residents, separate work areas must be sealed off from the rest of the common areas with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative building entry/exit door to the building entry/exit door used by residents or other users of the building. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between worker and building residents and users, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.
- i. Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite, including gatherings for breaks or eating, except for meetings regarding compliance with this protocol or as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the construction project.
- j. Cal-OSHA requires employers to provide water, which should be provided in single-serve containers. Sharing of any of any food or beverage is strictly prohibited and if sharing is observed, the worker must be sent home for the day.
- k. Provide personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction, including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed. At no time may a contractor secure or use medical-grade PPE unless required

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e
Appendix B-1

due to the medical nature of a jobsite. Face coverings must be worn in compliance with Section 5 of the Health Officer's Order No. C19-12, dated April 17, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.

- l. Prohibit use of microwaves, water coolers, and other similar shared equipment.
- m. Strictly control "choke points" and "high-risk areas" where workers are unable to maintain six-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit use to ensure that six-foot distance can easily be maintained between individuals.
- n. Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional and other project consultants, government agency representatives, including building and fire inspectors, and residents at residential construction sites.
- o. Stagger trades as necessary to reduce density and allow for easy maintenance of minimum six-foot separation.
- p. Discourage workers from using others' desks, work tools, and equipment. If more than one worker uses these items, the items must be cleaned and disinfected with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 in between use by each new worker. Prohibit sharing of PPE.
- q. If hand washing facilities are not available at the jobsite, place portable wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite as warranted.
- r. Clean and sanitize any hand washing facilities, portable wash stations, jobsite restroom areas, or other enclosed spaces daily with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19. Frequently clean and disinfect all high touch areas, including entry and exit areas, high traffic areas, rest rooms, hand washing areas, high touch surfaces, tools, and equipment
- s. Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, phone number, address, and email.
- t. Post a notice in an area visible to all workers and visitors instructing workers and visitors to do the following:
 - i. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves.
 - ii. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.
 - iii. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces such as work stations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines, shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs.
 - iv. Cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing, or cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-1

- v. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home.
 - vi. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six feet at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person.
 - vii. Do not carpool to and from the jobsite with anyone except members of your own household unit, or as necessary for workers who have no alternative means of transportation.
 - viii. Do not share phones or PPE.
- u. The notice in Section 2.t must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the notice.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e
Appendix B-2

Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised May 22, 2020)

1. Any construction project meeting any of the following specifications is subject to this Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (“LCP Protocol”), including public works projects unless otherwise specified by the Health Officer:
 - a. For residential construction projects, any single-family, multi-family, senior, student, or other residential construction, renovation, or remodel project consisting of more than 10 units.
 - b. For commercial construction projects, any construction, renovation, or tenant improvement project consisting of more than 20,000 square feet of floor area.
 - c. For construction of Essential Infrastructure, as defined in Section 16.c of the Order, any project that requires twenty or more workers at the jobsite at any one time.
2. The following restrictions and requirements must be in place at all construction job sites subject to this LCP Protocol:
 - a. Comply with all applicable and current laws and regulations including but not limited to OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and/or this LCP Protocol, the stricter standard will apply.
 - b. Prepare a new or updated Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan to address COVID-19-related issues, post the Plan on-site at all entrances and exits, and produce a copy of the Plan to County governmental authorities upon request. The Plan must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the Plan.
 - c. Provide personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction, including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed. At no time may a contractor secure or use medical-grade PPE, unless required due to the medical nature of a job site. Face Coverings must be worn in compliance with Section 5 of the Health Officer’s Order, dated April 17, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.
 - d. Ensure that employees are trained in the use of PPE. Maintain and make available a log of all PPE training provided to employees and monitor all employees to ensure proper use of the PPE.
 - e. Prohibit sharing of PPE.
 - f. Implement social distancing requirements including, at minimum:

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

- i. Stagger stop- and start-times for shift schedules to reduce the quantity of workers at the jobsite at any one time to the extent feasible.
- ii. Stagger trade-specific work to minimize the quantity of workers at the jobsite at any one time.
- iii. Require social distancing by maintaining a minimum six-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project.
- iv. Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite, except for safety meetings or as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project.
- v. Strictly control “choke points” and “high-risk areas” where workers are unable to maintain minimum six-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit use to ensure that minimum six-foot distancing can easily be maintained between workers.
- vi. Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional and other project consultants, government agency representatives, including building and fire inspectors, and residents at residential construction sites.
- vii. Prohibit workers from using others’ phones or desks. Any work tools or equipment that must be used by more than one worker must be cleaned with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 before use by a new worker.
- viii. Place wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite as warranted.
- ix. Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, address, phone number, and email.
- x. Post a notice in an area visible to all workers and visitors instructing workers and visitors to do the following:
 1. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves.
 2. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.
 3. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces such as workstations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines, shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs.
 4. Cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing or cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve.
 5. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home.
 6. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six-feet distancing at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person.
 7. Do not share phones or PPE.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

- xi. The notice in section 2.f.x must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the notice.
- g. Implement cleaning and sanitization practices in accordance with the following:
 - i. Frequently clean and sanitize, in accordance with CDC guidelines, all high-traffic and high-touch areas including, at a minimum: meeting areas, jobsite lunch and break areas, entrances and exits to the jobsite, jobsite trailers, hand-washing areas, tools, equipment, jobsite restroom areas, stairs, elevators, and lifts.
 - ii. Establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite and post the protocol at entrances and exits of jobsite.
 - iii. Supply all personnel performing cleaning and sanitization with proper PPE to prevent them from contracting COVID-19. Employees must not share PPE.
 - iv. Establish adequate time in the workday to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination including prior to starting at or leaving the jobsite for the day.
- h. Implement a COVID-19 community spread reduction plan as part of the Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan that includes, at minimum, the following restrictions and requirements:
 - i. Prohibit all carpooling to and from the jobsite except by workers living within the same household unit, or as necessary for workers who have no alternative means of transportation.
 - ii. Cal-OSHA requires employers to provide water, which should be provided in single-serve containers. Prohibit any sharing of any food or beverage and if sharing is observed, the worker must be sent home for the day.
 - iii. Prohibit use of microwaves, water coolers, and other similar shared equipment.
- i. Assign a COVID-19 Safety Compliance Officer (SCO) to the jobsite and ensure the SCO's name is posted on the Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan. The SCO must:
 - i. Ensure implementation of all recommended safety and sanitation requirements regarding the COVID-19 virus at the jobsite.
 - ii. Compile daily written verification that each jobsite is compliant with the components of this LCP Protocol. Each written verification form must be copied, stored, and made immediately available upon request by any County official.
 - iii. Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff, to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the construction site. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exit to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/index.html>.
 - iv. Conduct daily briefings in person or by teleconference that must cover the following topics:
 - 1. New jobsite rules and pre-job site travel restrictions for the prevention of COVID-19 community spread.
 - 2. Review of sanitation and hygiene procedures.
 - 3. Solicitation of worker feedback on improving safety and sanitation.
 - 4. Coordination of construction site daily cleaning/sanitation requirements.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

5. Conveying updated information regarding COVID-19.
 6. Emergency protocols in the event of an exposure or suspected exposure to COVID-19.
 - v. Develop and ensure implementation of a remediation plan to address any non-compliance with this LCP Protocol and post remediation plan at entrance and exit of jobsite during remediation period. The remediation plan must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the document.
 - vi. The SCO must not permit any construction activity to continue without bringing such activity into compliance with these requirements.
 - vii. Report repeated non-compliance with this LCP Protocol to the appropriate jobsite supervisors and a designated County official.
- j. Assign a COVID-19 Third-Party Jobsite Safety Accountability Supervisor (JSAS) for the jobsite, who at a minimum holds an OSHA-30 certificate and first-aid training within the past two years, who must be trained in the protocols herein and verify compliance, including by visual inspection and random interviews with workers, with this LCP Protocol.
- i. Within seven calendar days of each jobsite visit, the JSAS must complete a written assessment identifying any failure to comply with this LCP Protocol. The written assessment must be copied, stored, and, upon request by the County, sent to a designated County official.
 - ii. If the JSAS discovers that a jobsite is not in compliance with this LCP Protocol, the JSAS must work with the SCO to develop and implement a remediation plan.
 - iii. The JSAS must coordinate with the SCO to prohibit continuation of any work activity not in compliance with rules stated herein until addressed and the continuing work is compliant.
 - iv. The remediation plan must be sent to a designated County official within five calendar days of the JSAS's discovery of the failure to comply.
- k. In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, the following must take place:
- i. Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care.
 - ii. Decontaminate and sanitize all surfaces at each location at which the infected worker was present. Provide those performing the decontamination and sanitization work with medical-grade PPE, ensure the workers are trained in proper use of the PPE, require the workers to use the provided PPE, and prohibit any sharing of the PPE. Prohibit anyone from entering the possibly contaminated area, except those performing decontamination and sanitization work. Cease all work in these locations until decontamination and sanitization is complete.
 - iii. Notify the County Public Health Department Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) immediately at 415-554-2830. Follow all directives and complete any

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07e

Appendix B-2

additional requirements by County health officials, including full compliance with any tracing efforts by the County.

- l.* Where construction work occurs within an occupied residential unit, any separate work area must be sealed off from the remainder of the unit with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative entry/exit door to the entry/exit door used by residents. Available windows and exhaust fans must be used to ventilate the work area. If residents have access to the work area between workdays, the work area must be cleaned and sanitized at the beginning and at the end of workdays. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between workers and residents, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.

- m.* Where construction work occurs within common areas of an occupied residential or commercial building or a mixed-use building in use by on-site employees or residents, any separate work area must be sealed off from the rest of the common areas with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative building entry/exit door to the building entry/exit door used by residents or other users of the building. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between worker and building residents and users, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 11, 2020]

A. General Requirements

The “Additional Businesses” listed below may begin operating, subject to the requirements set forth in the Order and to any additional requirements set forth below or in separate industry-specific guidance by the Health Officer. These businesses were selected based on current health-related information and the availability and efficacy of measures that can substantially mitigate the risk of COVID-19 transmission associated with these business operations and related factors, such as the following:

- **Increase in mobility and volume of activity**—the overall impact that allowing these businesses to begin resuming operation will have on the number of people leaving their homes;
- **Contact intensity**—the type (close or distant) and duration (brief or prolonged) of the person-to-person contact involved in the business;
- **Number of contacts**—the approximate number of people likely to be in the setting at the same time; and
- **Modification potential**—the degree to which mitigation measures are likely to decrease the risk of transmission.

To mitigate the risk of transmission to the greatest extent possible, before resuming operations, each Additional Business must:

- a. Comply with Social Distancing Requirements, including preparation, posting, implementation, and distribution to their Personnel a Social Distancing Protocol as specified in Section 6 and subsection 15.h of the Order for each of their facilities in the County where personnel or members of the public will be onsite; and
- b. Prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a written health and safety plan that addresses all applicable best practices set forth in relevant Health Officer directives; and
- c. Comply with any relevant state guidance and local directives. If a conflict exists between state guidance and local public health directives related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive provision shall be followed.

The health-related basis for selection of Additional Businesses and the specific requirements for risk mitigation are detailed below.

As used in this Appendix C-1, “Personnel” means the following people who provide goods or services associated with the Additional Business in the County: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors; vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite; volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Additional Business. “Personnel” includes “gig workers” who perform work via the Additional Business’s app or other online interface, if any.

[June 11, 2020]

B. List of Additional Businesses

For purposes of the Order, Additional Businesses include the following subject to the stated limitations and conditions:

(1) Retail Stores for Goods.....	2
(2) Manufacturing, Warehousing and Logistical Support.....	5
(3) Childcare Programs and Summer Camps for All Children	5
(4) Outdoor Curbside Pickup and Drop-Off for Low Contact Retail Services	7
(5) Outdoor Activity Equipment Rental Businesses.....	8
(6) Professional Sports Teams: Practices, Games, and Tournaments without In-Person Spectators with an Approved Plan.....	10
(7) Entertainment Venues: Live Streaming or Broadcasting Events without In-Person Audiences with an Approved Plan.....	11
(8) Outdoor Dining	12
(9) Outdoor Fitness Classes.....	13
(10) Indoor Household Services	15
(11) Offices for Non-Essential Businesses: Individuals Necessary for Operations Where Telecommuting is not Feasible	16

(1) Retail Stores for Goods

- a. Basis for Addition. Retail sale of goods typically involves a moderate level of contact for a short duration and can proceed with safety limitations to ensure adequate social distancing and decrease the risk of virus transmission.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate.
 1. Curbside/Outdoor Pickup: Retail stores may operate for curbside/outside pickup of goods, subject to the following limitations:
 - i. The store must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements;
 - ii. The store must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol (Appendix A) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan;
 - iii. If a store chooses to display merchandise for sale on tables or otherwise outside the store, it must comply with the following specific requirements:
 - The store must obtain any necessary permits from the City;
 - Customers must either use hand sanitizer before touching items or ask the vendor to hand items to them;

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 11, 2020]

- Only the number of customers that can maintain social distancing may approach the table at a time;
- Chalk demarcations must be placed on the ground to indicate where shoppers should stand behind others, while waiting to purchase items; and
- The store must take measures to help ensure against congestion and blocking passage by pedestrians, including people with disabilities.

Stores may apply for a free temporary permit to use the sidewalk or parking lane for retail operations at <https://sf.gov/use-sidewalk-or-parking-lane-your-business>.

- iv. The store must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion; and
- v. Retail stores that are in an enclosed Indoor Shopping Center (defined as a large building or group of buildings where customer access to stores is possible only through indoor passage ways or indoor common areas, such as Stonestown Galleria, Westfield San Francisco Centre and the Ferry Building Marketplace) and that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area, may only reopen for curbside/outdoor pickup at this time if the Indoor Shopping Center operator submits to the Health Officer a proposed plan for reopening and that plan is approved as provided below. The proposed plan must include:
 - a. the number of stores and businesses that would be resuming operation;
 - b. the number of Personnel associated with each store or business;
 - c. the number of customers expected daily; and
 - d. the specific social distancing/sanitation measures the shopping center would employ to prevent congestion at the doorways and streets, and protect customers and Personnel.

Plans should be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org. Subject to the written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, retailers in the Indoor Shopping Center may then operate for curbside pickup consistent with the approved plan.

2. In-Store Retail: Beginning at 6:00 a.m. on June 15, 2020, retail stores may begin to operate for indoor shopping, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. The store must reduce maximum occupancy to limit the number of people (including both customers and Personnel) to the **lesser** of: (1) 50% the store's normal maximum occupancy or (2) the number of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the store at all times;
 - ii. Before opening for in-store shopping, the store must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol (Appendix A) and must comply with

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 11, 2020]

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-17, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses offering in-store shopping or services—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan;

- iii. If a store chooses to display merchandise for sale on tables or otherwise outside the store, it must comply with the following specific requirements:
- The store must obtain any necessary permits from the City;
 - Customers must either use hand sanitizer before touching items or ask the vendor to hand items to them;
 - Only the number of customers that can maintain social distancing may approach the table at a time;
 - Chalk demarcations must be placed on the ground to indicate where shoppers should stand behind others, while waiting to purchase items; and
 - The store must take measures to help ensure against congestion and blocking passage by pedestrians, including people with disabilities.

Stores may apply for a free temporary permit to use the sidewalk or parking lane for retail operations at <https://sf.gov/use-sidewalk-or-parking-lane-your-business>.

- iv. Retail stores that are in an enclosed Indoor Shopping Center (as defined in subsection (1)b.1.v. above) and that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area, may only reopen for in-store retail at this time if the Indoor Shopping Center submits to the Health Officer a proposed plan for reopening and that plan is approved as provided below. The proposed plan must include:
- a. the number of stores and businesses that would be resuming operation;
 - b. the number of Personnel associated with each store or business;
 - c. the number of customers expected daily;
 - d. how they will regulate the number of people in the common areas of the shopping center;
 - e. how they will address HVAC/circulated air, use of elevators, use and cleaning of bathrooms;
 - f. any special considerations for indoor parking garages and access points; and
 - g. whether the shopping center will permit curbside pickup.

Plans should be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org. Subject to the written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, the shopping center may then operate for in-store retail consistent with the approved plan.

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 11, 2020]

For clarity, operation of retail stores under category (1) and (2), above, applies only to the sale of goods and not to the provision of services or the rental of equipment, which are covered separately in Sections (4) and (5), below.

(Added May 17, 2020; Revised June 1, 2020, June 11, 2020)

(2) Manufacturing, Warehousing and Logistical Support

- a. Basis for Addition. Risks of virus transmission associated with this activity can be mitigated through measures that ensure adequate social distancing among Personnel and other safety protocols.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate.
 1. Manufacturing: Manufacturing businesses—including non-essential manufacturing businesses —may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. The business must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements; and
 - ii. The business must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol (Appendix A) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-11, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for manufacturing businesses—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan.
 2. Warehousing and Logistical Support: Businesses that provide warehousing and logistical support—including non-essential businesses —may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. The business must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements; and
 - ii. The business must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol (Appendix A) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-12, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for warehouse and logistical support businesses—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan.

(Added May 17, 2020; Revised June 1, 2020, June 11, 2020)

(3) Childcare Programs and Summer Camps for All Children

- a. Basis for Addition. Childcare is critical to early education and developmental equity, family social and economic wellbeing, and economic recovery from the pandemic. More

[June 11, 2020]

specifically, childcare is an important element for a child's social and emotional development, as well as for a child's physical health and wellness. Also, childcare is often necessary to allow parents or guardians to work, making the availability of childcare important for individual families as well as the local economy. Although attendance at a childcare program involves a high number of close contacts that may be of lengthy duration. The risks of virus transmission can be reduced by mitigation measures, as generally described below. But children's inability to consistently follow social distancing and sanitation recommendations means that even with the mitigation measures the risk of transmission is higher than in interactions exclusively among adults. And while based on available evidence, children do not appear to be at higher risk for COVID-19 than adults, medical knowledge about the possible health effects of COVID-19 on children is evolving. Accordingly, the decision about whether to enroll a child in a childcare program is an individualized inquiry that should be made by parents/guardians with an understanding of the risks that such enrollment entails. Parents/guardians may discuss these risks and their concerns with their pediatrician. The Health Officer will continue to monitor the changing situation and may amend this section as necessary to protect the public health.

b. Description and Conditions to Operate.

1. Childcare Programs: Educational or recreational institutions or programs that provide care or supervision for children of any age (with the exception of summer camps, which are addressed separately in (b)(2) below) ("Childcare Programs") may open for all children, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. State-licensed Childcare Programs for children ages 0-5 years must limit group size to 10 children per room or space under state licensing requirements; if the state increases the permitted group size, State-licensed Childcare Programs may increase the size of their groups accordingly, not to exceed 12 children;
 - ii. All other Childcare Programs (not State-licensed) must limit group size to 12 children per room or space;
 - iii. Childcare Program sessions must be at least three weeks long, and childcare programs without set sessions may not enroll children for fewer than three weeks;
 - iv. Childcare Programs must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol and comply with all of the requirements set forth in relevant industry-specific Health Officer directives (*see* Health Officer Directive 2020-14), including the requirements to have the parent(s) or guardian(s) of any child attending the program sign an acknowledgement of health risks, and to prepare and implement a written health and safety plan to mitigate the risk of virus transmission to the greatest extent feasible.
2. Summer Camps: Beginning at 7:00 a.m. on June 15, 2020, summer camps and summer learning programs that operate exclusively outside of the academic school year ("Summer Camps") may open for all children over the age of six and school-

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 11, 2020]

aged children currently in grades transitional kindergarten (TK) and above who are under age six, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

- i. Summer Camps must limit group size to 12 children (a “pod”) per room or space;
- ii. Summer Camp sessions must last at least three weeks;
- iii. Children must remain in the same pod for at least three weeks, and preferably for the entire time throughout the summer.
- iv. Summer Camps may not begin to operate for any children before June 15, 2020, and until they have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol and complied with all of the requirements set forth in relevant industry-specific Health Officer directives (*see* Health Officer Directive 2020-13) including the requirements to complete an online form with general information about the program and required certifications, to have the parent(s) or guardian(s) of any child attending the program sign an acknowledgement of health risks, and to prepare and implement a written health and safety plan to mitigate the risk of virus transmission to the greatest extent feasible.

For clarity, Childcare Programs that were already operating after May 22, 2020 in accordance with previous Health Orders or Directives for the children of parent(s) or guardian(s) who are owners, employees, volunteers, and contractors of Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, Outdoor Businesses, or Additional Businesses, may continue to operate as long as they comply with the above conditions and the relevant industry-specific directive. On June 1, 2020, Childcare Programs may begin to operate for all children. Summer Camps may not begin to operate for any children before June 15, 2020, and must comply with all of the requirements of the relevant industry-specific directive before beginning to operate Childcare Programs and Summer Camps may operate for all or part of the day.

(Added May 22, 2020; Revised June 1, 2020; Non-substantive revisions June 11, 2020)

(4) Outdoor Curbside Pickup and Drop-Off for Low Contact Retail Services

- a. Basis for Addition. Low contact retail services involve low contact intensity and a moderate number of contacts. Accordingly, the risk of virus transmission is relatively low and mitigation measures can further decrease this risk. Also resumption of these services is expected to result in only a small increase in the number of people reentering the workforce and the overall volume of commercial activity.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Services that do not generally require close customer contact (e.g., dog grooming and shoe or electronics repair) may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. To the extent feasible, all interactions and transactions between Personnel and customers should occur outdoors;

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 11, 2020]

- ii. The store must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements;
- iii. The businesses must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol and comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup and drop-off;
- iv. The stores must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion; and
- v. Stores in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time unless they are located in an approved Indoor Shopping Center as described in (1)b above.

For clarity, this provision does not apply to personal service businesses, such as hair salons, barbershops, nail salons, or piercing or tattoo parlors. As discussed in Section (1)b above regarding retail stores and Indoor Shopping Centers, stores within enclosed shopping centers may operate only upon written approval by the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee of a plan submitted by the Indoor Shopping Center operator. Plans should be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org.

(Added June 1, 2020; Revised June 11, 2020)

(5) Outdoor Activity Equipment Rental Businesses

- a. Basis for Addition. Picking up rental equipment for permissible outdoor activities involves only low contact intensity and a moderate number of contacts. Accordingly, the risk of transmission is relatively low and mitigation measures can further decrease this risk. Also the risk of multiple individuals using shared equipment can be mitigated through sanitation measures. Finally, resumption of these businesses is expected to result in only a small increase in the number of people reentering the workforce and the overall volume of commercial activity.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Businesses that rent equipment for permissible outdoor recreational activities (e.g., bicycles, kayaks, paddleboards, boats, horseback riding or fishing equipment) may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. To the extent feasible, all interactions and transactions between Personnel and customers should occur outdoors;
 - ii. The store must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements;

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 11, 2020]

- iii. The business must have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup and drop-off;
- iv. The business must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion;
- v. Businesses in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time unless they are in an approved Shopping Center as described in (1)b above; and
- vi. All equipment must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected between each use with procedures effective against the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in accordance with the following guidelines, which may be modified by the Health Officer as new information becomes available:
 - For hard non-porous surfaces, clean with detergent or soap and water if the surfaces are visibly dirty, before applying disinfectant. For these purposes, appropriate disinfectants include:
 - Products listed on the Environmental Protection Agency's list of Disinfectants for Use Against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19), which can be found online at <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2-covid-19>. Follow the manufacturer's instructions for concentration, application method, and contact time for all cleaning and disinfection products.
 - Diluted household bleach solutions prepared according to the manufacturer's label for disinfection, if appropriate for the surface. Follow manufacturer's instructions for application and proper ventilation. Check to ensure the product is not past its expiration date. Never mix household bleach with ammonia or any other cleanser.
 - Alcohol solutions with at least 70% alcohol.
 - For soft or porous surfaces, remove any visible contamination, if present, and clean with appropriate cleaners indicated for use on these surfaces. After cleaning, use products that are EPA-approved as effective against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) (see link above) and that are suitable for porous surfaces.
 - For frequently touched electronic surfaces, remove visible dirt, then disinfect following the manufacturer's instructions for all cleaning and disinfection products. If no manufacturer guidance is available, then consider the using alcohol-based wipes or sprays containing at least 70% alcohol to disinfect.
 - Gloves and any other disposable PPE used for cleaning and disinfecting the vehicle must be removed and disposed of after cleaning; wash hands immediately after removing gloves and PPE with soap and water for at least

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 11, 2020]

20 seconds, or use an alcohol-based hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol if soap and water are not available. If a disposable gown was not worn, work uniforms/clothes worn during cleaning and disinfecting should be laundered afterwards using the warmest appropriate water setting and dry items completely. Wash hands after handling laundry.

As discussed in Section (1)b above regarding retail stores and Indoor Shopping Centers, stores within Indoor Shopping Centers may operate only upon written approval by the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee of a plan submitted by the Indoor Shopping Center operator. Proposed plans should be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org.

(Added June 1, 2020; Revised June 11, 2020)

(6) Professional Sports Teams: Practices, Games, and Tournaments without In-Person Spectators with an Approved Plan

- a. Basis for Addition. Although contact sports may present a significant risk of virus transmission, those risks can be mitigated by stringent social distancing, sanitation, and testing measures. Resuming such events—without a live audience and subject to strict health controls and mitigation measures—represents a first step toward the resumption of professional sports exhibitions that can be broadcast for the entertainment of the public and viewed by the public remotely in a safe manner.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Professional sports teams that wish to resume practices, games, or tournaments and broadcasting of those events in San Francisco, without in-person spectators, may submit to the Health Officer a proposed plan detailing the sanitation, social distancing, health screening, and other procedures that will be implemented to minimize the risk of transmission among players, staff, media, broadcast crew, and any others who will be in the facility. The plan must include a proposal for interval testing (without using City resources) of all players and coaching staff who will be present in the facility. Plans should be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org. Subject to the written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, the team may then resume activities consistent with the approved plan, including any conditions to approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee. Crew, athletes, coaching staff and other workers should also abide by protocols agreed to by labor and management, to the extent they are at least as protective of health as the approved plan.

(Added June 1, 2020; Revised June 11, 2020)

[June 11, 2020]

(7) Entertainment Venues: Live Streaming or Broadcasting Events without In-Person Audiences with an Approved Plan

- a. Basis for Addition. Although some types of live entertainment and cultural events, such as music, dance and comedy performances, may present a risk of virus transmission, those risks can be mitigated by stringent social distancing, sanitation, and testing measures. Resuming such events—without a live audience and subject to strict health controls and mitigation measures—represents a first step toward the resumption of these entertainment and cultural activities that can be broadcast and watched by the public remotely in a safe manner.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate.
 1. Operators of entertainment venues may film, stream, or otherwise broadcast small scale events so long as:
 - i. the venue remains closed to the public;
 - ii. the live stream is limited to the fewest number of personnel needed (up to a maximum of 12 people in the facility, including, without limitation, media personnel needed for the broadcast);
 - iii. doors and windows are left open to the extent possible, or mechanical ventilation systems are run, to increase ventilation;
 - iv. the venue complies with the Social Distancing Requirements set forth in Section 15.k of this Order; and
 - v. Because singing and playing wind or brass instruments can transmit particles farther in the air than breathing or speaking quietly, people must be in an isolation booth or in a separate room from others in the facility while singing or playing wind or brass instruments.
 2. Operators of entertainment venues that wish to film, stream, or otherwise broadcast events that require more than 12 people to be on site at the facility at any one time may submit to the Health Officer a proposed plan detailing the sanitation, social distancing, health screening, and other procedures that will be implemented to minimize the risk of transmission among participants. If the event involves singing, playing wind or brass instruments, or physical contact, the plan must include a proposal for interval testing (without using City resources) of those individuals. Proposed plans should be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityattys.org. Subject to the written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, the venue may then begin operating consistent with the approved plan, including any conditions to approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee. Cast, crew, and other workers should also abide by protocols agreed to by labor and management, to the extent they are at least as protective of health as the approved plan.

(Added June 11, 2020)

[June 11, 2020]

(8) Outdoor Dining

- a. Basis for Addition. Outdoor dining with small groups of people involves moderate contact intensity and a moderate number of contacts. Accordingly, and because face coverings must be removed to eat and drink, the risk of virus transmission is slightly higher than in other allowable interactions. But businesses that involve outdoor interactions carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor businesses, and mitigation measures in outdoor dining establishments can significantly decrease the transmission risk. Finally, because many restaurants are already open for pickup and delivery, resumption of outdoor dining is expected to result in only a small increase in the number of people reentering the workforce and the overall volume of commercial activity.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Beginning at 6:00 a.m. on June 12, 2020, restaurants and bars that serve food (“outdoor dining establishments”) may begin to operate for outdoor dining only subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. Patrons must remain outside the outdoor dining establishment and may enter the establishment only (1) to access a bathroom, (2) to access an outdoor space that is only accessible by traveling through the restaurant, or (3) to order or pickup food at an indoor counter;
 - ii. Tables used to seat patrons outdoors must be spaced at least six feet apart or separated by an impermeable physical barrier between;
 - iii. No more than six patrons may be seated at a single table, unless all are members of the same household—it is strongly encouraged that only individuals in the same household sit together at a single table;
 - iv. An outdoor dining establishment shall not be permitted to provide alcoholic beverage service without also providing real meal service in a bona fide manner. Bona fide meals must be prepared and served by the outdoor dining establishment or another person or business operating under an agreement with the outdoor dining establishment. The service of prepackaged food like sandwiches or salads, or simply heating frozen or prepared meals, shall not be deemed as compliant with this requirement;
 - v. No patrons are allowed to eat or drink indoors in the dining establishment; and
 - vi. The business must have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-16, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for outdoor dining.

Outdoor dining establishments may apply for a free temporary permit to use the sidewalk or parking lane for business operations at <https://sf.gov/use-sidewalk-or-parking-lane-your-business>.

(Added June 11, 2020)

[June 11, 2020]

(9) Outdoor Fitness Classes

- a. Basis for Addition. Outdoor fitness classes of small, socially distanced groups involve moderate contact intensity (prolonged duration but relatively long distance) and a moderate number of contacts. Accordingly, and because exercise causes people to more forcefully expel airborne particles, the risk of virus transmission is higher than in other allowable interactions. But businesses that involve outdoor interactions carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor businesses, and mitigation measures in outdoor fitness classes can significantly decrease the transmission risk.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Beginning at 6:00 a.m. on June 15, 2020, outdoor fitness classes (e.g., outdoor boot camp, non-contact dance classes, tai chi, pilates, and yoga classes) may begin to operate subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. No more than 12 people, including the instructor(s), may participate in an outdoor fitness class;
 - ii. The business/instructor should ask participants to voluntarily provide their name and phone number for potential contact tracing purposes—the business/instructor should keep this information on file for at least three weeks;
 - iii. The business/instructor must ask each participant whether they have had any of the following symptoms within the prior 24 hours that are new and not explained by another reason:

• Fever or chills	• New loss of taste or smell
• Cough	• Muscle pain
• Sore throat	• Headache
• Shortness of breath or trouble breathing	• Runny or congested nose
• Feeling unusually weak or fatigued	• diarrhea

Any participants who report having any of these symptoms should not be permitted to come to or participate in the fitness class.

In addition, the business/instructor must ask each participant (1) if within the last 10 days they have been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus; and (2) if they live with or have had close contact with someone who in the past 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus in that same period. Any participants who answer yes to either of these questions should not be permitted to come to or participate in the fitness class.

- iv. All participants must maintain a physical distance of at least six feet from each other, from the instructor(s), and from members of the public at all times;
- v. The business/instructor must have permission of the property owner to use the space;

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 11, 2020]

- vi. All participants and instructors must wear a face covering at all times, unless they are specifically exempted from the face covering requirements in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020, as that order may be amended from time to time; and
- vii. Equipment (e.g., medicine balls, resistance bands, mats, weights, or yoga blocks) may not be shared by members of the class and must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected between each use with procedures effective against the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in accordance with the following guidelines, which may be modified by the Health Officer as new information becomes available:
 - For hard non-porous surfaces, clean with detergent or soap and water if the surfaces are visibly dirty, before applying disinfectant. For these purposes, appropriate disinfectants include:
 - Products listed on the Environmental Protection Agency’s list of Disinfectants for Use Against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19), which can be found online at <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2-covid-19>. Follow the manufacturer’s instructions for concentration, application method, and contact time for all cleaning and disinfection products.
 - Diluted household bleach solutions prepared according to the manufacturer’s label for disinfection, if appropriate for the surface. Follow manufacturer’s instructions for application and proper ventilation. Check to ensure the product is not past its expiration date. Never mix household bleach with ammonia or any other cleanser.
 - Alcohol solutions with at least 70% alcohol.
 - For soft or porous surfaces, remove any visible contamination, if present, and clean with appropriate cleaners indicated for use on these surfaces. After cleaning, use products that are EPA-approved as effective against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) (see link above) and that are suitable for porous surfaces.
 - Gloves and any other disposable PPE used for cleaning and disinfecting the equipment must be removed and disposed of after cleaning; wash hands immediately after removing gloves and PPE with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, or use an alcohol-based hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol if soap and water are not available. If a disposable gown was not worn, work uniforms/clothes worn during cleaning and disinfecting should be laundered afterwards using the warmest appropriate water setting and dry items completely. Wash hands after handling laundry.

For clarity, this section does not allow contact sports (e.g., basketball or football) or fitness classes that involve physical contact (e.g., jiu jitsu or boxing with sparring) to resume. Also, this section does not cover childcare or summer camp programs for children or youth, which are governed by section (3) above and Health Officer Directive Nos. 2020-13 and 2020-14.

[June 11, 2020]

Additional guidance about outdoor fitness classes from the San Francisco Department of Public Health is available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.

(Added June 11, 2020)

(10) Indoor Household Services

- a. Basis for Addition. When mitigation measures are used, indoor household services involve low contact intensity and a low number of contacts. Accordingly, the risk of transmission is low as long as adequate precautions are taken.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Beginning at 6:00 a.m. on June 15, 2020, indoor household services that can be provided while maintaining social distancing (e.g., house cleaners and cooks) may begin to operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. Residents may not have any household service provider come into their home if they have experienced any of the following symptoms within the prior 24 hours that are new and not explained by another reason:
 - Fever or chills
 - Cough
 - Sore throat
 - Shortness of breath or trouble breathing
 - Feeling unusually weak or fatigued
 - New loss of taste or smell
 - Muscle pain
 - Headache
 - Runny or congested nose
 - diarrhea
 - ii. Household service providers may not enter a residence to provide services if they have experienced any of the above symptoms within the prior 24 hours that are new and not explained by another reason;
 - iii. In addition, household service providers may not enter a residence to provide services if either the household service provider or anyone in the residence answers yes to either of the following questions: (1) within the last 10 days has the person been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus; and (2) does the person live with or have they had close contact with someone who in the past 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus in that same period.
 - iv. When feasible, residents should leave the premises when household services providers are in their home—if leaving the premises is not feasible, residents should try to be in a different room than the household service provider to the greatest extent possible;
 - v. When feasible, leave windows and doors open to increase ventilation or run mechanical ventilation systems;

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[June 11, 2020]

- vi. High touch surfaces and any shared implements or tools should be cleaned at the beginning and end of any service visit;
- vii. Both residents and household service providers must wear a face covering at all times, unless they are specifically exempted from the face covering requirements in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020.

For clarity, this section does not allow personal service providers, such as hair dressers or personal trainers, to provide in-home services. Also, this section does not apply to in-home childcare, which is independently permissible under Section 15.f.xxi of the Order.

Additional guidance about indoor household services from the San Francisco Department of Public Health is available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.

(Added June 11, 2020)

(11) Offices for Non-Essential Businesses: Individuals Necessary for Operations Where Telecommuting is not Feasible

- a. Basis for Addition. As long as adequate physical distance is maintained, office work involves moderate contact intensity and a moderate number of contacts. Accordingly, the risk of transmission is relatively low, as long as adequate sanitation and social distancing measures are employed.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Beginning at 6:00 a.m. on June 15, 2020, office workplaces that are not otherwise permitted to operate under this Order may open for individuals necessary for operations who cannot work remotely, subject to the following conditions:
 - i. All workers who are able to telecommute must continue to do so, only individuals necessary for operations who cannot work remotely may come into the office;
 - ii. Office Facilities must adjust their maximum occupancy rules based on the size of the facility to limit the number of people (including Personnel and members of the public), as follows:
 - Office Facilities with fewer than 20 Personnel must reduce their maximum occupancy to the number of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the facility at all times,
 - Office Facilities with 20 or more Personnel must reduce their maximum occupancy to the **lesser** of: (1) 20% the facility's normal maximum occupancy or (2) the number of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the facility at all times; and
 - iii. The business must have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-18, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for businesses operating office facilities.

(Added June 11, 2020)

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[June 11, 2020]

General Requirements

The “Additional Activities” listed below may resume, subject to the requirements set forth in the Order and to any additional requirements set forth below or in separate guidance by the Health Officer. These activities were selected based on current health-related information, the availability and efficacy of measures that can substantially mitigate transmission risks associated with these activities, and related factors, such as the following:

- **Increase in mobility and volume of activity**—the overall impact that allowing resumption of the activity will have on the number of people leaving their homes and interacting with others in the community;
- **Contact intensity**—the type (close or distant) and duration (brief or prolonged) of the person-to-person contact involved in the activity;
- **Number of contacts**—the approximate number of people that will be in the setting at the same time; and
- **Modification potential**—the degree to which mitigation measures can decrease the risk of transmission.

List of Additional Activities

For purposes of the Order, Additional Activities include the following based on the summarized health risk related rationale:

(1) Outdoor Museums, Outdoor Historical Sites, and Public Gardens	1
(2) Outdoor Recreation: Golf and Tennis.....	3
(3) Outdoor Recreation: Dog Parks	4
(4) Small Outdoor Special Gatherings.....	5

(1) Outdoor Museums, Outdoor Historical Sites, and Public Gardens

- a. Basis for Addition. Visiting outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens involves low contact intensity and a low number of contacts. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities. And because outdoor recreation is already allowed under the Order, resumption of this activity is expected to result in only a relatively modest increase in mobility and may decrease congestion in other outdoor locations like public parks and beaches.
- b. Description and Conditions. Outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens (for example, the Botanical Gardens and Japanese Tea Garden) may reopen to the public—and individuals may leave their residence and travel to visit these locations—subject to the following conditions:

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[June 11, 2020]

1. Face coverings must be worn by all staff and visitors, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
2. Social distancing of at least 6-feet must be maintained at all times other than between members of the same household;
3. Common high-touch equipment and fixtures such as picnic benches or tables must be off-limits, with signage and with physical barriers as appropriate;
4. Public restrooms, if any, must
 - a. be routinely disinfected frequently throughout the day,
 - b. have open doors to prevent touching of door handles or knobs,
 - c. have soap and paper towels, and
 - d. have signs promoting handwashing;
5. The museum, outdoor historical site, or public garden must provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize any payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each customer use. Under the city's Legal Tender Law, customers must be allowed to pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage customers to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment;
6. Signage must be posted at each public entrance to inform all personnel and customers that they must: avoid entering the facility or location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another while in the facility or location, wear a face covering at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact (sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>);
7. Any on-site retail stores (e.g., gift shops) may operate for curbside/outdoor pickup only, and must do so in compliance with Appendix C-1 of this Order and Health Officer Directive 2020-10 (available at <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp>);
8. Before resuming operations, outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and public gardens must prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a Social Distancing Protocol as required by the Order and a written health and safety plan that addresses all best practices listed in Section (1)b of this Appendix.

(Added May 17, 2020; revised June 1, 2020)

Order No. C19-07e – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[June 11, 2020]

(2) Outdoor Recreation: Golf and Tennis

- a. Basis for Addition. Non-contact outdoor sports like tennis and golf involve low contact intensity and a low number of contacts, as long as the groups engaged in play together are small and maintain social distance to the greatest extent possible. The risk of transmission can be further mitigated by sanitation and hygiene practices. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities. And because outdoor recreation is already allowed under the Order, resumption of this activity is expected to result in only a relatively modest increase in mobility and may decrease congestion in other outdoor locations like public parks and beaches.
- b. Description and Conditions. Beginning at 8:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, individuals may play tennis and golf outdoors, and outdoor tennis and golf facilities/clubs may open, subject to the following conditions:
 1. Face coverings must be worn by all golf and tennis facility/club Personnel, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
 2. All golf and tennis players must wear a face covering while in facility/club parking lots, when entering and exiting facilities/clubs, and while waiting to play—face coverings may be removed during play if social distancing requirements are met;
 3. For golf, groups must be limited to a maximum of four players per group, unless all players within the group are part of a single household or living unit. Groups of players from different households or living units must comply with the State of California under its Stay-Safer-At Home Order;
 4. Tennis may only be played between members of the same household or living unit or between a maximum two single individuals outside of the same household or living unit; and
 5. Before resuming operations, each golf or tennis facility/club must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol and comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-15 regarding required best practices for tennis and golf.

“Personnel” includes all of the following people who provide goods or services associated with the tennis or golf facility/club in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors (such as “gig workers” who perform work via the business’s app or other online interface, if any); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers’ markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the business.

(Added June 1, 2020)

[June 11, 2020]

(3) Outdoor Recreation: Dog Parks

- a. Basis for Addition. Taking a dog to a dog park involves low contact intensity and a low number of contacts, as long as people maintain at least six feet of physical distance from individuals who are not in their household. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities.
- b. Description and Conditions. Beginning at 8:00 a.m. on June 1, 2020, individuals may take their dogs to dog parks (both enclosed and unenclosed), and all dog parks may open, subject to the following conditions:
 1. Face coverings must be worn by all people in the dog park, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
 2. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has advised that “[u]ntil we learn more about how this virus affects animals,” owners should “treat pets as you would other human family members to protect them from a possible infection.” Specifically, the CDC recommends that pet owners: “Do not let pets interact with people or other animals outside the household,” “Walk dogs on a leash, maintaining at least 6 feet (2 meters) from other people and animals,” and “Avoid dog parks or public places where a large number of people and dogs gather.” Accordingly, pet owners are urged to use on-leash dog parks or keep their dogs on a leash, particularly if the dog is not under voice control—pet owners who choose to let their dogs be off leash in an off-leash dog park should prevent their dog from interacting with other people or animals to the greatest extent feasible;
 3. People in the dog park should maintain at least six feet of physical distance from people or animals other than those in their same household;
 4. People must bring their own water for themselves and their pets, and must not use common touch water facilities in the park;
 5. People must use their sleeve or a disposable cloth to touch high-touch surfaces like gates;
 6. People should bring their own bags for picking up and disposing of pet waste;
 7. Signage must be posted at each dog park to inform people that they must: avoid entering the location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another, wear a face covering at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact (sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>); and
 8. People must follow any other rules and regulations adopted by the operator of the dog park.

(Added June 1, 2020)

[June 11, 2020]

(4) Small Outdoor Special Gatherings

- a. Basis for Addition. Small outdoor gatherings involve only moderate contact intensity and a moderate number of contacts, as long as people maintain at least six feet of physical distance from individuals who are not in their household. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities.
- b. Description and Conditions. All people are strongly encouraged to continue staying safe at home and minimizing unnecessary interactions with others to the maximum extent possible. But beginning at 8:00 a.m. on June 15, 2020, individuals may participate in small outdoor gatherings—including ceremonies, religious services, and other special gatherings—subject to the following conditions:
 1. No more than six people may participate in a gathering that involves eating or drinking within six feet of each other, unless all are members of the same household or living unit;
 2. No more than 12 people may participate in any other outdoor gathering under this section, unless all are members of the same household or living unit.
 3. Unless eating or drinking in a group of six people or fewer, participants outside of the same household or living unit must remain at least six feet apart from each other. Participants must otherwise follow all Social Distancing Requirements, and wear Face Coverings unless eating, drinking, or exempted from wearing a Face Covering under Sections 3.g. or 3.h. of Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (the Face Covering Order); and
 4. Participants and hosts of small outdoor gatherings must comply with forthcoming Health Officer Directive No. 2020-19 regarding required best practices for small outdoor gatherings.

For clarity, this section does not allow contact sports (e.g., basketball, football, boxing) or games with shared equipment (e.g. Frisbee, baseball, playing catch) to resume among members of different households. This section also does not apply to organized outdoor fitness classes, which are covered by Section (9) of Appendix C-1.

(Added June 11, 2020)

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: New directives re in-store retail, office facilities, and small outdoor gatherings (Nos. 2020-17, -18, and -19)
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 11:18:18 AM
Attachments: [2020.06.13 FINAL In-Store Retail Directive 2020-17 with Exhs.pdf](#)
[2020.06.13 FINAL Office Facilities Directive 2020-18 with Exhs.pdf](#)
[2020.06.13 FINAL Small Outdoor Gatherings Directive 2020-19 with Exhs.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Directives of the Health Officer 2020-17, 2020-18, 2020-19.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2020 10:44 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: New directives re in-store retail, office facilities, and small outdoor gatherings (Nos. 2020-17, -18, and -19)

Good morning Angela and Eileen,

Please see attached final directives regarding in-store retail, office facilities, and small outdoor gatherings. These go into effect on Monday, June 15, 2020. Summary notes below:

1. The in-store retail directive permits in-store shopping subject to limits on the number of customers and personnel allowed in-store and includes controls regarding social distancing, returning goods, self-sampling of products, and, for clothing stores, trying on clothing and cleaning changing rooms.
2. The office facilities directive permits businesses with office to have staff return to work, subject to controls regarding office layout to ensure physical distancing, facility ventilation, use of stairs/elevators, wearing of face coverings, shared equipment, in-

office gyms (which are prohibited until otherwise allowed), and, for non-essential businesses, the number of personnel permitted in-office (for businesses with 20 or more personnel, the lesser of 20% of the normal capacity or the number that can safely social distance).

3. The outdoor gathering directive permits social gatherings to occur outside, subject to controls regarding requiring a host, the number of people who may participate (6 from different households for small outdoor meal gatherings, and 12 from different households for other outdoor gatherings where face coverings can be worn), recommendations against moving between gatherings, duration (2 hour limit), the type of activities permitted (no contact sports, sports with shared equipment, and a discouragement against singing, chanting, or shouting), with some additional requirements for different types of gatherings.

Sneha Patil, MPH

Director, Office of Policy and Planning

San Francisco Department of Public Health

sneha.patil@sfdph.org | 415-554-2795



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-17

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING REQUIRED BEST
PRACTICES FOR CERTAIN RETAIL BUSINESSES OFFERING IN-STORE
SHOPPING OR SERVICES**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)
DATE OF DIRECTIVE: June 13, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues industry-specific direction that certain Additional Businesses providing goods and services described below must follow as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes industry-specific guidance as provided under Section 6 of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e issued on May 22, 2020, and updated on June 11, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and, unless otherwise defined below, initially capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect on June 15, 2020, and remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. As further provided below, this Directive automatically incorporates any revisions to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede that order or reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements and sanitation measures, helping prevent the transmission of COVID-19 and safeguard the health of workers, customers, and the community.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS
FOLLOWS:**

- 1. This Directive applies to all owners, operators, managers, and supervisors of any Additional Businesses that the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order permits to be open to the public in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) and that provide:**
 - a) retail goods as described in subsection B(1) of Appendix C-1 of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (“Retail Good Providers”), or**
 - b) services involving the pickup of goods or pets for care and generally do not require close contact with customers, as described in subsection B(4) of Appendix C-1 of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (“Retail Service Providers”), or**
 - c) outdoor activity equipment rental for permissible outdoor recreational activities, as described in subsection B(5) of Appendix C-1 of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (“Retail Equipment Rental Providers”) (together with Retail Good Providers and Retail Service Providers, “Retail Businesses”).**
- 2. Attached as Exhibit A to this Directive is a list of best practices that apply to Retail Businesses (the “Best Practices”). Each Retail Business must comply with all of the relevant requirements listed in the Best Practices.**
- 3. Each Retail Business must, before it begins to offer its customers in-store shopping and pickup of goods or services, create, adopt, and implement a written health and**



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-17

safety plan (a “Health and Safety Plan”). The Health and Safety Plan must be substantially in the form attached to this Directive as Exhibit B.

4. Guidance from the Department of Public Health related to Retail Businesses offering in-store shopping is attached to this Directive as Exhibit C, and available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.
5. If an aspect, service, or operation of the Retail Business is also covered by another Health Officer directive (all of which are available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>), then the Retail Business must comply with all applicable directives, and it must complete all relevant Health and Safety Plan forms.
6. Each Retail Business must (a) make the Health and Safety Plan available to a member of the public and Personnel on request, (b) provide a summary of the plan to all Personnel working on site or otherwise in the City in relation to its operations, and (c) post the plan at any storefront and at the entrance to any other physical location that the Retail Business operates within the City. Also, each Retail Business must provide a copy of the Health and Safety Plan and evidence of its implementation to any authority enforcing this Order upon demand.
7. Each Retail Business subject to this Directive must provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b issued on May 28, 2020, and any future amendment to that order), hand sanitizer or handwashing stations, or both, and disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and to the public, all as required by the Best Practices. If any such Retail Business is unable to provide these required items or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or fails to abide by its Health and Safety Plan, then it must cease operating until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance. Further, as to any non-compliant operation, any such Retail Business is subject to immediate closure and the fines and other legal remedies described below, as a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
8. For purposes of this Directive, “Personnel” includes all of the following people who provide goods or services associated with the Retail Business: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors; vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers’ markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Retail Business. “Personnel” includes “gig workers” who perform work via the business’s app or other online interface, if any.
9. This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Retail Business must stay updated regarding any changes to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive by checking the Department of Public Health website (<https://www.sfdph.org/directives>) regularly.
10. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Retail Business under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order including, but not limited



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-17

to, the obligation to prepare, post, and implement a Social Distancing Protocol under Section 6 and subsection 15.h of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. The Retail Business must follow these industry-specific Best Practices and update them as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, any other order that supersedes that order, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including, without limitation, the Social Distancing Protocol, the most restrictive provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: June 13, 2020



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-17 (issued 6/13/20)

Best Practices for Retail Businesses Offering In-Store Shopping or Services

In addition to preparing, posting, and implementing the Social Distancing Protocol required by Section 6 and subsection 15.h of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”), each Retail Business that operates in the City must comply with each requirement listed below and prepare a Health and Safety Plan substantially in the format of Exhibit B, below.

Requirements:

1. Section 1 – Requirements For Retail Businesses Offering In-Store Goods or Services:

- 1.1.** To minimize the number of customers entering the facility, Retail Businesses that open for in-store operations are strongly encouraged to continue offering alternatives to in-store shopping. For example, Retail Businesses should consider offering or enhancing policies permitting outdoor curbside pickup and drop-off of goods, scheduling of appointments, delivery, and e-commerce. Retail businesses offering curbside pickup or drop-off must continue following the Best Practices for curbside retail contained in Directive 2020-10b (and any future amendment to that directive) for those operations.
- 1.2.** Make any necessary adjustments to the layout of the Retail Business to allow for proper social distancing. Such changes may include using separate doors as entrances and exits for the facility; creating one-way aisles; adding markers to the floor space to assist with social distancing; spacing racks or shelves six feet or more apart; staggering point of sale terminals; or widening high-traffic areas.
- 1.3.** Adjust maximum occupancy rules based on the size of the facility to limit the number of people (including customers and Personnel) to the **lesser** of: (1) 50% the facility’s normal maximum occupancy or (2) the number of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the facility at all times.
- 1.4.** Establish a protocol and train Personnel to routinely and safely clean the facility in a manner that complies with the requirements contained in the Social Distancing Protocol (contained in Health Officer No. C19-07e, issued on June 1, 2020 and any future amendment to that order) (the “Social Distancing Protocol”). Retail Businesses are strongly encouraged to adjust their business hours to provide extra time for cleaning.
- 1.5.** Ensure customers and Personnel comply with the Social Distancing Protocol. At a minimum, each Retail Business must:
 - 1.5.1.** Require all Personnel to comply with the Face Covering (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b issued on May 28, 2020, and any future amendment to that order) (the “Face Covering Order”), wash hands frequently before handling merchandise, and maintain physical distance of at least 6-feet to the extent possible.
 - 1.5.2.** Establish designated areas/lines with markings on the ground to indicate 6-foot distancing for patrons.
 - 1.5.3.** Create directional paths of travel where feasible (e.g. separate entrance and exit for patrons, lines for restrooms).
 - 1.5.4.** Advise customers that they must comply with the Face Covering Order.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

- 1.6.** Establish procedures for safe handling and sanitization of returned merchandise that can be safely cleaned, such as goods with hard, non-porous surfaces. Such items must be sanitized in a manner that complies with the requirements contained in the Social Distancing Protocol. Consider encouraging customers to send returns or exchanges by a delivery service to reduce unnecessary contact. If feasible, Retail Businesses should isolate returned merchandise that cannot be sanitized for twenty-four hours before returning it to the sales floor.
- 1.7.** Limit the number of customers waiting in line to enter the Retail Business at any one time to a number that allows for customers and Personnel to easily maintain at least six feet of distance from one another and allows sufficient sidewalk space to allow safe pedestrian right-of-way at all times.
- 1.8.** Provide customers with access to hand sanitizer, such as near entrances and exits and at the register or other point-of-sale locations. Provide signage in the store encouraging customers to use hand sanitizer before touching merchandise. The signage must also strongly encourage customers refrain from touching merchandise unnecessarily and to only touch items they are interested in purchasing. Personnel must routinely sanitize merchandise and other high-touch surfaces that can be safely cleaned in a manner that complies with the requirements contained in the Social Distancing Protocol.
- 1.9.** Prohibit customers from self-sampling products in-store, such as perfumes, makeup, or skincare and haircare products. Retail Businesses may permit Personnel to offer samples of non-edible products, but only if the samples can be provided while maintaining at least six-feet of distance between the Personnel and customers and using single-use, disposable materials, such as plastic applicators or containers. Retail Businesses may not offer samples of edible products.
- 1.10.** Consider closing restrooms in the facility to customers. If the restroom will remain open to customers, the Retail Business must comply with the sanitation requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol. Retail Businesses are also highly encouraged to monitor use of restrooms by either requiring a key to access or stationing a bathroom attendant nearby.
- 1.11.** Retail Businesses must establish a training procedure for Personnel to educate them about cleaning and social distancing requirements. Retail Businesses are highly encouraged to provide Personnel with training on de-escalation techniques for addressing customers to refuse to comply with the Social Distancing Protocol or Face Covering Order.
- 2. Section 2: Requirements Specific to Retail Businesses Selling Clothing or Other Items that Come Into Contact with the Body**

 - 2.1.** Consider closing fitting rooms to customers. If fitting rooms remain open, the Retail Business must take the following precautions:

 - 2.1.1.** Provide customers with hand sanitizer in or near the fitting room and require that they use it before and after trying on clothing.
 - 2.1.2.** Ensure fitting rooms are routinely sanitized, including cleaning all non-porous surfaces in a manner that complies with the sanitation guidance contained in the Social Distancing Protocol.



2.1.3. If the fitting room has a curtain that cannot be routinely sanitized, consider replacing it with a different type of covering that can be sanitized.

2.2. Require customers to wear Face Coverings while they are trying on merchandise.

2.3. After a customer tries on merchandise, if the item is not purchased by the customer, the item should be returned to Personnel for cleaning or sanitizing if feasible. For example, Retail Businesses are strongly encouraged to steam-clean clothing before returning it to the sale floor.

Checklist

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-17 (Exhibit B) Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/13/2020)

Each Retail Business must complete, post onsite, and follow this Health and Safety Plan.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name:

Contact name:

Facility Address:

Contact telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this plan.)

- ☐ Business is familiar with and complies with all requirements set forth in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-17, available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.
- ☐ Completed layout changes to ensure social distancing is possible in store, including adjusting maximum capacity to no more than 50%.
- ☐ Personnel are required to comply with the Face Covering Order.
- ☐ Established designated areas/lines with markings on the ground to indicate 6-foot distancing for patrons.
- ☐ Customers are advised that they must comply with the Face Covering Order.
- ☐ Established and implemented policy for safe return of merchandise.
- ☐ Provides customers with access to hand sanitizer.
- ☐ Added signage for customers regarding use of hand sanitizer and merchandise handling.
- ☐ Routinely sanitize merchandise and other high-touch surfaces that can be safely cleaned.
- ☐ Removed all self-serve in-store samples from the sales floor.
- ☐ Restrooms are closed or routinely cleaned and sanitized.
- ☐ Trained Personnel to comply with cleaning and social distancing requirements.

Additional Measures

Explain:



Tips and Frequency Asked Questions for Retail Businesses Offering In-Store Shopping or Services During COVID-19

June 13, 2020

AUDIENCE: Businesses that offer in-store retail, services, and outdoor equipment rental during the COVID-19 pandemic.

BACKGROUND: As of June 15, 2020, the Health Officer Directive 2020-17 authorizes and provides guidance for In-Store Retail.

PURPOSE: This document highlights the main points of the Directive, and steps you should take to safely reopen your business in compliance with the Directive.

Prepare: Before Your Business Re-Opens

Create a Health and Safety Plan and a Social Distancing Protocol

A template Health and Safety Plan can be found at Exhibit B of Directive 2020-17, posted at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp. This must be available for all personnel, and posted at the entrance to the office site. If other Directives apply to your business, you may need to complete more than one Health and Safety Plan.

A template Social Distancing Protocol can be found at [Appendix A of the Shelter in Place Health Order](http://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp), posted at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp.

These documents must be available for all personnel, and posted at the entrance to the business site. They serve as reminders of all the best practices that your business needs to follow including universal requirements such as requiring face coverings, [signage](#), enforcing six foot distances between people, and more specific things such as establishing policies for safe handling of merchandise.

Educate and Train Personnel

Personnel must be trained to implement the Social Distancing and Health Protocol and may need training on cleaning and disinfection, [screening customers for symptoms](#), and how to monitor the number of customers in the store or in line. Consider training personnel on de-escalation with customers who do not comply with policies and provide resources to employees to address anxiety, stress, and mental health. Recognize the fear in returning to work, communicate transparently, listen, and survey regularly.

Provide information on employer or government-sponsored sick leave and other benefits the employee may be entitled to receive that would make it financially easier to stay at home (see [Paid sick leave in San Francisco](#)). Remember that employees cannot be fired due to COVID-19 results or needed time off for recovery.

Check Your Space after the Long Period of Low Usage

Check for pest infestation or harborage, and make sure all pest control measures are functioning. Perform routine maintenance on ventilation systems including air ducts and vents. If your business was closed for a long period of time, flush out the stagnant water from the plumbing lines by running water



San Francisco Department of Public Health

through fixtures. Detailed guidance may be found at: <https://www.sfwater.org/flushingguidance>.

Create a Safer Space

You may need to change the physical layout of your business to help social distancing for customers and personnel. Modifications to consider include designating separate entrances and exits, making aisles one-way, increasing spacing between racks or shelves, and marking spaces with tape or other decals to indicate six-foot distances.

Getting Back to Business

Follow all of the Best Practices in Exhibit A of Directive 2020-17, posted at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp. To help compliance, you may need to ask customers to change the way they interact with your business and your products. Changes may include:

- Strongly encourage customers to refrain from touching merchandise unnecessarily and to use hand sanitizer before touching merchandise or upon entry to your business.
- Establish procedures for safe handling and cleaning of returned merchandise.
- Prohibit self-sampling of items such as cosmetics and perfume.
- Consider closing fitting rooms to customers if you can't implement best practices such as cleaning clothing before returning to sale and frequent cleaning of fitting rooms.
- If customers bring their own bags from home:
 - Ensure that bags are not placed directly on conveyor belts, outside of shopping carts, or any other surface where customers are served.
 - Ensure that reusable bags make no contact with employees.
 - Ensure that customers bag their own merchandise.
 - Ensure that customers do not bag merchandise in the checkout area if they cannot maintain physical distancing.
 - Increase the frequency of disinfection in bagging areas and customer service areas frequented by customers.

Bathrooms for Customers

Consider closing the restroom if you are not able to comply with the sanitation requirements of the Social Distancing and Health Protocol. Businesses are encouraged to monitor use of restrooms by either requiring a key to access or stationing a bathroom attendant nearby.

Retail Stores in an Enclosed Indoor Shopping Center

Retail stores in an enclosed Indoor Shopping Center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot, or alley area, may only reopen after the Indoor Shopping Center obtains approval from the Health Officer.

Monitoring and Adjusting

At least on a weekly basis, think about how your business and personnel are doing, how well you are



San Francisco Department of Public Health

complying with your Social Distancing and Health Plan, and what changes are needed to improve your response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Look for new guidance from the [SFDPH Communicable Disease Control and Prevention](#) or the frequently updated page on sf.gov with [comprehensive resources for businesses during the COVID-19 pandemic](#).

Frequently Asked Questions

Q: What businesses are permitted to reopen?

All retail establishments other than those inside enclosed Shopping Centers are permitted to open. Such businesses include:

- Bookstores
- Jewelry stores
- Toy stores
- Clothing and shoe stores
- Home and furnishing stores
- Sporting goods stores
- Florists
- Second hand stores
- Other retailers selling goods

Q: What businesses are not yet permitted to reopen?

Retail stores inside Shopping Centers that have not obtained approval from the Health Officer may not open. Personal services are not permitted to reopen at this time; stay up to date for industry-specific guidance for reopening services. Personal services include:

- Beauty salons
- Nail salons
- Massage parlors
- Tattoo parlors

Q: Should my staff wear gloves?

Routine glove use is not recommended. The CDC explains that in general, gloves are appropriate when cleaning or caring for someone who is sick (see link: [When to wear gloves](#)). In most other situations, wearing gloves is not necessary and may still lead to the spread of germs. The best way to protect yourself is to regularly wash your hands with soap and water for 20 seconds, or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.

Resources

Local:

[Downloadable signage to print yourself](#), or to request [printed posters](#)

How to get tested for COVID-19 in San Francisco <https://sf.gov/citytests>

Information from the [San Francisco Office of Economic and Workforce Development about COVID-19](#),



San Francisco Department of Public Health

such as employer requirements, employee benefits, and resources; [Avoiding discrimination and retaliation during COVID-19](#).

[Paid sick leave in San Francisco](#)

California:

[State of California Resilience Roadmap](#)

[Guidance for Retailers](#) from the State of California

CAL OSHA [information on protecting workers from COVID-19](#)

National:

CDC: Resuming Business Toolkit: [CDC Resuming Business Toolkit](#)

[CDC Return to Work Guidance](#)

International:

[International Council of Shopping Centers \(ICSC\): COVID-19 Re-Opening Best Practices](#)



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-18

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING REQUIRED BEST
PRACTICES FOR BUSINESSES OPERATING OFFICE FACILITIES**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)
DATE OF DIRECTIVE: June 13, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues industry-specific direction that businesses operating Office Facilities, as described below, must follow as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes industry-specific guidance as provided under Section 6 of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e issued on May 22, 2020, and updated on June 11, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and, unless otherwise defined below, initially capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect immediately upon issuance and remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. As further provided below, this Directive automatically incorporates any revisions to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede that order or reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements and sanitation measures, helping prevent the transmission of COVID-19 and safeguard the health of workers, customers, and the community.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS
FOLLOWS:**

1. This Directive applies to all owners, operators, managers, and supervisors of any business operating in an indoor office facility and that are otherwise authorized to operate under the terms of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, including Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, and Additional Businesses that operate, at least in part, within any office space (“Office Facilities”).
2. Attached as Exhibit A to this Directive is a list of best practices that apply to Office Facilities (the “Best Practices”). Each Office Facility must comply with all of the relevant requirements listed in the Best Practices.
3. Each Office Facility, before it begins to permit Personnel or members of the public onsite, must create, adopt, and implement a written health and safety plan (a “Health and Safety Plan”). The Health and Safety Plan must be substantially in the form attached to this Directive as Exhibit B.
4. Guidance from the Department of Public Health related to Office Facilities is attached to this Directive as Exhibit C and at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.
5. If an aspect, service, or operation of the Office Facility is also covered by another Health Officer directive (all of which are available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>), then the Office Facility must comply with all applicable directives, and it must complete all relevant Health and Safety Plan forms.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-18

6. Each Office Facility must (a) make the Health and Safety Plan available to a member of the public and Personnel on request, (b) provide a summary of the Health and Safety Plan to all Personnel working on site or otherwise in the City in relation to its operations, and (c) post the Health and Safety Plan at each entrance to any Office Facility within the City. Also, each Office Facility must provide a copy of the Health and Safety Plan and evidence of its implementation to any authority enforcing this Directive upon demand.
7. Each Office Facility subject to this Directive must provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b issued on May 28, 2020, and any future amendment to that order), hand sanitizer or handwashing stations, or both, and disinfectant and related cleaning supplies to Personnel and to the public, all as required by the Best Practices. If any such Office Facility is unable to provide these required items or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or fails to abide by its Health and Safety Plan, then it must cease operating until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance. Further, as to any non-compliant Office Facility, any such Office Facility is subject to immediate closure and the fines and other legal remedies described below, as a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.
8. For purposes of this Directive, "Personnel" includes all of the following people who provide goods or services associated with the Office Facility: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); independent contractors; vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers' markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Office Facility. "Personnel" includes "gig workers" who perform work via the business's app or other online interface, if any.
9. This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Office Facility must stay updated regarding any changes to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive by checking the Department of Public Health website (<https://www.sfdph.org/directives>) regularly.
10. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Office Facility under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order including, but not limited to, the obligation to prepare, post, and implement a Social Distancing Protocol under Section 6 and subsection 15.h of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. The Office Facility must follow these industry-specific Best Practices and update them as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, any other order that supersedes that order, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including, without limitation, the Social Distancing Protocol, the most restrictive provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-18

and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: June 13, 2020



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-18 (issued 6/13/20)

Best Practices for Businesses Operating Office Facilities

In addition to preparing, posting, and implementing the Social Distancing Protocol required by Section 6 and subsection 15.h of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”), each Office Facility that operates in the City must comply with each requirement listed below and prepare a Health and Safety Plan substantially in the format of Exhibit B, below.

Requirements:

1. Section 1 – Requirements For All Office Facilities:

- 1.1.*** Make any necessary adjustments to the layout of the Office Facility to allow for proper social distancing. Such adjustments may include some or all of the following, where feasible: adding markers to the floor space to signal appropriate social distancing; spacing racks or shelves six feet or more apart; widening high-traffic areas; or adding Plexiglas screens to areas where receptionists or security guards are stationed.
- 1.2.*** If all or part of an Office Facility has been vacant or dormant for any significant period during the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, the Office Facility must ensure plumbing is functioning and that pipes are flushed before use. The San Francisco PUC provides guidance for flushing and preparing water systems at:
<https://sfwater.org/index.aspx?page=1327>.
- 1.3.*** Make any necessary improvements to the ventilation of the Office Facility, including:
 - 1.3.1.*** Ensure HVAC system and air exchangers are serviced and functioning properly.
 - 1.3.2.*** If feasible, the Office Facility must increase the percentage of outdoor air through the HVAC system, disable demand-control ventilation controls that reduce air supply based on temperature or occupancy, and increase natural ventilation by opening windows when environmental conditions and building requirements allow.
 - 1.3.3.*** Consider running the building ventilation system even when unoccupied to maximize ventilation.
 - 1.3.4.*** If the Office Facility uses pedestal fans or hard mounted fans, adjust the location of fans to minimize air from fans blowing from one Personnel’s space to another’s space.
 - 1.3.5.*** The Office Facility must require Personnel remove any personal cooling fans from their workspaces to reduce the potential spread of any airborne or aerosolized viruses.
- 1.4.*** Develop a plan to ensure Personnel comply with social distancing requirements and to limit the number of people in the Office Facility at a given time, consistent with the requirements in the Stay-Safe-at-Home Order. Such plans must address: (i) requiring Personnel to notify management before coming into the Office Facility; (ii) staggering Personnel shifts to avoid exceeding capacity limits and provide for sanitation; (iii) requiring all Personnel who can perform their work remotely to telecommute;



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

(iv) converting in-person meetings to online meetings; and (v) encouraging members of the public, vendors, and contractors to make appointments before coming to the Office Facility.

1.5. Modify policies for using elevators and stairs serving as access to, from and within the Office Facility.

1.5.1. Where feasible, make stairways accessible to Personnel and visitors entering the Office Facility. Encourage Personnel who are physically able to use the stairs.

1.5.2. Limit capacity in elevators to the **lesser** of: (1) four people, or (2) the number of people who can fit in the elevator while maintaining at least six feet of distance from each other. During peak building entry and exit times, this number may be adjusted to up to four individuals at a time for any elevator that does not allow for six feet of physical distance between riders.

1.5.3. Add signage to elevators and on all floors requiring anyone who rides the elevator to wear Face Coverings as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b issued on May 28, 2020, and any future amendment to that order (the "Face Covering Order") and discouraging people from talking in the elevators.

1.5.4. Provide hand sanitizer outside the elevators on the ground floor.

1.6. Require Personnel and members of the public who enter the Office Facility to wear Face Coverings as provided in the Face Covering Order.

1.7. Develop a plan and implement daily COVID-19 symptom self-verifications for all Personnel as required by the Social Distancing Protocol (contained in Health Officer No. C19-07e, issued on June 1, 2020 and any future amendment to that order) (the "Social Distancing Protocol").

1.8. Develop a plan and implement sanitization requirements, including:

1.8.1. Instruct all Personnel to wash their hands frequently with soap and water for at least 20 seconds and to wash hands or use hand sanitizer (provided by the Office Facility) before and after touching high-touch surfaces, such as copy machines or shared office tools, equipment or materials.

1.8.2. Ensure Personnel have access to cleaning supplies so that they can clean surfaces as needed on their own when custodial staff is not available.

1.8.3. Clean and disinfect high touch surfaces in common areas routinely throughout the day and otherwise in accordance with the Social Distancing Protocol. Common areas include, but are not limited to the following common-use area: lobbies, lounge or seating areas, meeting rooms, entry ways, hallways, bathrooms, elevators, and stairwells. Clean and disinfect all high touch surfaces and devices found in common areas such as door handles, railings, faucets, toilets, elevator buttons, furniture, computers, telephones and other devices that are touched by many people in a single day. Clean and disinfect the Office Space at the end of a lease and prior to occupancy by a new lessee of the Office Space.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

- 1.8.4.** Wait until all people leave their office or personal workspace for the day before cleaning that area. Where feasible, wait at least one hour after the space is vacated before cleaning the area. If possible, Personnel should also wait an hour after the space has been cleaned before returning to the workspace.
- 1.9.** Where feasible, prohibit Personnel from sharing equipment, such as phones, tables, file cabinets, copy machines, or desks. Any work furniture, tools or equipment that must be used by more than one individual must be sanitized in a manner that complies with the requirements contained in the Social Distancing Protocol. If Personnel must share a workspace, such as on alternating shifts, then the location must be sanitized in a manner that complies with the requirements contained in the Social Distancing Protocol after each use.
- 1.10.** Minimize non-essential travel for Personnel between worksites and for business travel outside of San Francisco.
- 1.11.** Office Facilities with gyms or other exercise equipment must not allow any use of the gym or equipment until gym facilities are otherwise permitted under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order or other Health Officer order. Once gym facilities are allowed to open, Office Facilities must comply with any industry-specific guidance for operating gyms or using fitness equipment.
- 1.12.** If Personnel or a member of the public refuses to comply with the Face Covering Order or other provision of this Directive, then the Office Facility must refuse entry to the facility or request that the individual leave the facility. Nothing in this Directive is intended to alter the obligations an Office Facility may otherwise have under applicable law to provide reasonable accommodations to Personnel or members of the public.
- 1.13.** Office Facilities that also maintain privately owned public open spaces must comply with the Social Distancing Protocol for such spaces. Office Facilities must also place high-touch equipment and furniture in their open spaces, such as tables, benches, and chairs, off-limits by either removing the items or by using signage and physical barriers, as appropriate.
- 2. Section 2: Requirements Specific to Office Facilities that are Not Classified as an “Essential Business”**
- 2.1.** Office Facilities that are not Essential Businesses may expand operations beyond the Minimum Basic Operations, as set forth in the Stay-At-Home-Order, but only to the extent the Office Facility permits Personnel to telecommute to the maximum extent possible and only as necessary to ensure business operations.
- 2.2.** Office Facilities that are not Essential Businesses must adjust their maximum occupancy rules based on the size of the Office Facility to limit the number of people (including Personnel and members of the public), as follows:
- 2.2.1.** Businesses with fewer than 20 Personnel must reduce their maximum occupancy to the number of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the Office Facility at all times.
- 2.2.2.** Businesses with 20 or more Personnel must reduce their maximum occupancy to the **lesser** of: (1) 20% the Office Facility’s normal maximum occupancy, or (2) the number



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the Office Facility at all times.

Checklist

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-18 (Exhibit B) Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/13/2020)

Each Office Facility must complete, post onsite, and follow this Health and Safety Plan.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name:

Contact name:

Facility Address:

Contact telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this plan.)

- ☐ Office Facility is familiar with and complies with all requirements set forth in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-18, available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.
- ☐ Completed any necessary adjustments to the layout of the Office Facility to allow for proper social distancing.
- ☐ Plumbing is functioning and, if the Office Facility was dormant, the pipes are flushed.
- ☐ Completed any necessary improvements to the ventilation of the Office Facility.
- ☐ Developed a plan to ensure Personnel comply with social distancing requirements and to limit the number of people in the Office Facility at a given time, consistent with the requirements in the Stay-Safe-at-Home Order.
- ☐ Modified policies for using elevators and stairs, including placing signage regarding any applicable limits on use of elevators.
- ☐ Personnel and members of the public who enter the Office Facility are required to wear Face Coverings as provided in the Face Covering Order.
- ☐ Developed and implemented a plan and implement daily COVID-19 symptom self-verifications for all Personnel as required by the Social Distancing Protocol.
- ☐ Developed and implemented a plan and implemented sanitization requirements.
- ☐ Personnel have access to cleaning supplies so that they can clean surfaces as needed on their own when custodial staff is not available.
- ☐ High touch surfaces in common areas are cleaned and disinfected routinely throughout the day.

Additional Requirement Applicable to Non-Essential Businesses:

- ☐ Adjusted maximum occupancy rules based on the size of the facility to limit the number of people (including Personnel and members of the public) in the Office Facility.

Additional Measures

Explain:



Tips and Frequently Asked Questions for Opening Office Facilities During COVID-19

June 13, 2020

The following tips developed by the San Francisco Department of Public Health for use by local facilities and will be posted at www.sfdcp.org/businesses. This interim guidance may change as knowledge, community transmission, and availability of PPE and testing change.

AUDIENCE: Businesses who bring Personnel back to office facilities during the COVID-19 pandemic.

BACKGROUND: On June 13, 2020, the Health Officer issued Directive No. 2020-18 setting forth requirements for businesses operating in offices and permitting non-essential offices to reopen with limitations. "Business" includes many types of organizations, such as nonprofit organizations, employers, building owners, building managers, community-based organizations and others. "Personnel" includes employees, contract workers, gig-workers, volunteers and others.

PURPOSE: This document highlights the main points of the Directive, and outlines steps you should take to safely reopen your Office Facility in compliance with the Directive.

Prepare: Before Your Business Re-Opens

Create a Health and Safety Plan and a Social Distancing Protocol

A template Health and Safety Plan can be found at Exhibit B of Directive 2020-18, posted at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp. This must be available for all personnel, and posted at the entrance to the office site. If other Directives apply to your business, you may need to complete more than one Health and Safety Plan.

A template Social Distancing Protocol can be found at [Appendix A of the Shelter in Place Health Order](http://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp), posted at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp.

These documents must be available for all personnel, and posted at the entrance to the office site. They serve as reminders of all the best practices that your business needs to follow including universal requirements such as requiring face coverings, [signage](#), enforcing six foot distances between people, and more specific things such as requirements for cleaning office facilities.

Check your space after the long period of low usage

Check for pest infestation or harborage, and make sure all pest control measures are functioning. Perform routine maintenance on ventilation systems including air ducts and vents. If your business was closed for a long period of time, flush out the stagnant water from the plumbing lines by running water through fixtures. Detailed guidance may be found at: <https://www.sfwater.org/flushingguidance>.

Create a Safer Space

You may need to change the **physical layout** of your office to help social distancing. Modifications to consider include marking spaces with tape or other decals to indicate six-foot distances, creating a buffer for areas where people interact such as a Plexiglas screen for receptionist and security, or a surface where items can be put for a later pickup, to prevent a hand-off between two people.



San Francisco Department of Public Health

Improve **ventilation** to the building by increasing the percentage of outdoor air through the HVAC system, disabling demand-control ventilation controls that reduce air supply based on temperature or occupancy and increase natural ventilation by opening windows when environmental conditions and building requirements allow. Consider running the building ventilation system even when unoccupied to maximize dilution ventilation.

Reduce capacity of shared spaces such as break rooms and conference rooms. Make this clear through signage.

Getting Back to Business

In order to reopen offices, you must follow Directive 2020-18, including Best Practices in Exhibit A, posted at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp. Below is a summary of the tasks.

- Develop and implement your Health and Safety Plan(s) and Social Distancing Protocol.
- Adjust your office to allow personnel to follow the social distancing protocol, including:
 - Limit the number of people, including staggering shifts, start times and break times and by utilizing telework options as feasible to reduce the density of Personnel in common areas such as screening areas, lobbies and break rooms.
 - Reduce the number of people allowed into the office by limiting deliveries and moving meetings online whenever possible.
 - Limit capacity in elevators to no more than 4 people (or fewer during non-peak periods if 6 feet of distance cannot be maintained). Post signs requiring people to wear face coverings and discouraging talking in elevators.
 - Businesses that are not considered by the Directive to be “essential” must limit Personnel to the number of people who can be present while maintaining 6-foot social distancing. If the business has more than 20 people, this number can be no more than 20% of maximum capacity.
- Adjust your office to reduce transmission of respiratory viruses, including:
 - Review your ventilation system to maximize outside air ventilation.
 - Prohibit handshaking, hugs and fist bumps.
 - Put out supplies for handwashing, disinfecting, and face covering.
 - Remove objects that are passed between people. You should also prevent shared touching of objects such as phones, keyboards and other work supplies.
 - Support cleanliness by limiting the number of items Personnel may keep on their desks to allow for regular cleaning and sanitization by custodial staff.
 - Set up self-serve cleaning stations that ensure Personnel have access to cleaning supplies so that they can clean surfaces as needed on their own when custodial staff is not available.
 - Use no-touch waste receptacles when possible.
 - Gym equipment and facilities in offices must remain closed at this time.



San Francisco Department of Public Health

Educate, Screen and Assist Personnel

Personnel must be trained to implement the Health and Safety Plan and the Social Distancing Protocol, and may need training on cleaning and disinfection.

Please share with Personnel and include in your Health and Safety Plan the following information for:

- What to do if [Personnel answer Yes to any of the daily screening questions](http://www.sfdcp.org/businesses), posted at <http://www.sfdcp.org/businesses>, including a plan for safe transport of Personnel who become sick while at work to home or a healthcare provider.
- What to do if [Personnel have a positive COVID-19 test](#)
- When Personnel can [return to work after COVID-19](#) symptoms, testing, or close contact.

If Personnel appear to have symptoms upon arrival at work or become sick during the day, they should immediately be separated from other employees, customers, and visitors, and sent home.

Provide information on employer or government-sponsored sick leave and other benefits Personnel may be entitled to receive that would make it financially easier to stay at home (see [Paid sick leave in San Francisco](#)). Remember that Personnel cannot be fired due to COVID-19 results or needed time off for recovery.

Monitoring and Adjusting

At least on a weekly basis, think about how your Business and Personnel are doing, how well you are complying with your Social Distancing and Health Plan, and what changes are needed to improve your response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Look for new guidance from the [SFDPH Communicable Disease Control and Prevention](#) or the frequently updated page on sf.gov with [comprehensive resources for businesses during the COVID-19 pandemic](#).

Frequently Asked Questions

Is my employee required to wear a Face Covering while in their private office alone, or while sitting at their desk around which I have installed Plexiglas dividers?

You do not need to wear a Face Covering if you are alone in a private office that is not shared with others and is not likely to be visited by others without prior warning, such as an office with a closed door. If another person enters the area, both of you must immediately put on a Face Covering during the interaction.

If my employee tests positive for COVID-19 will SFDPH tell me? If they test positive in a county outside of SF will I be notified?

SFDPH may contact employers to trace contacts, but the identity of the person who has tested positive for COVID-19 is protected health information, and typically cannot be shared except in select circumstances.

What should I be prepared to tell SFDPH to assist with contact tracing if my employee tests positive for COVID-19?

You should determine the last day that the person who was diagnosed with COVID-19 was present at the workplace. Be prepared to identify any close contacts the person had at the workplace. In an office setting, close contacts are defined as someone who is within 6 feet of the person diagnosed with



San Francisco Department of Public Health

COVID-19 for more than 10 minutes while the person with COVID-19 was not wearing a facemask.

May all of my staff return to the office on June 15th if I can keep them socially distanced?

The current directive requires that all staff who can work from home continue to do so, but allows for those staff that are necessary for operations to return to the workplace under the guidelines outlined in [Directive 2020-18](https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp), posted at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp. Non-essential businesses are required to limit the number of people in the office (including Personnel and members of the public) as set forth in the directive.

Resources

Local:

[Downloadable signage to print yourself](#), or to request [printed posters](#)

How to get tested for COVID-19 in San Francisco <https://sf.gov/citytests>

Information from the [San Francisco Office of Economic and Workforce Development about COVID-19](#), such as employer requirements, employee benefits, and resources; [Avoiding discrimination and retaliation during COVID-19](#).

[Paid sick leave in San Francisco](#)

California:

[State of California Resilience Roadmap](#)

CAL OSHA [information on protecting workers from COVID-19](#)

National:

[AIHA- Reopening: Guidance for General Office Settings](#)

CDC: Resuming Business Toolkit: [CDC Resuming Business Toolkit](#)

[CDC Return to Work Guidance](#)

[CDC COVID-19 Employer Information for Office Buildings](#)



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-19

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING REQUIRED BEST
PRACTICES FOR SMALL OUTDOOR GATHERINGS, INCLUDING FOR
CEREMONIAL, RELIGIOUS AND OTHER PURPOSES**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)
DATE OF DIRECTIVE: June 13, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues specific direction that Participants and Hosts, as described below, must follow as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes guidance as provided under Appendix C-2 of Health Officer Order No. C19-07e issued on May 22, 2020, and updated on June 11, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and, unless otherwise defined below, initially capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect on June 15, 2020, and remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. As further provided below, this Directive automatically incorporates any revisions to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede that order or reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements and sanitation measures, helping prevent the transmission of COVID-19 and safeguard the health of workers, customers, and the community.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS
FOLLOWS:**

- 1. This Directive applies to all individuals who participate (“Participants”) and individuals and operators of facilities that organize and host (“Hosts”) the following outdoor small gatherings in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) as permitted under subsection 5 of Appendix C-2 of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order:**
 - a) outdoor gatherings of no more than six people total involving eating or drinking among people from more than one household or living unit occurring somewhere other than at an outdoor dining establishment (“Small Outdoor Meal Gatherings”), or**
 - b) other outdoor gatherings among people from more than one household or living unit for ceremonial, religious or other purposes and involving no more than 12 people total (“Small Outdoor Special Gatherings”).**

(Together, Small Outdoor Meal Gatherings and Small Outdoor Special Gatherings are sometimes referred to below as “Small Outdoor Gatherings”). For clarity, Small Outdoor Special Gatherings do not include outdoor fitness classes, which are subject to other requirements as specified in Appendix C-1 of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Also, the size number limits do not apply to such gatherings of people solely from a single household or living unit.

- 2. Attached as Exhibit A to this Directive is a list of best practices that apply to Participants and Hosts engaged in Small Gatherings (the “Best Practices”). All**



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-19

Participants and Hosts must comply with all applicable requirements listed in the Best Practices.

- 3. Hosts that operate a facility and regularly organize or hold Small Outdoor Gatherings at that facility must, before they begin to host or otherwise facilitate Small Outdoor Gatherings, create, adopt, and implement a written health and safety plan (a “Health and Safety Plan”). The Health and Safety Plan must be substantially in the form attached to this Directive as Exhibit B.**
- 4. Guidance from the Department of Public Health related to Small Outdoor Gatherings is attached to this Directive as Exhibit C and is available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.**
- 5. If an aspect, service, or operation of the Host covered under section 3 above is also covered by another Health Officer directive (all of which are available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>), then such Host must comply with all applicable directives, and it must complete all relevant Health and Safety Plan forms.**
- 6. Each Host covered under section 3 above must (a) make the Health and Safety Plan available to anyone interested in participating in the Small Outdoor Gathering and to any involved Personnel on request, (b) provide a summary of the plan to all Personnel working on site or otherwise in the City in relation to its operations, and (c) post the plan at the entrance to any other physical location that such Host operates within the City. Also, each such Host must provide a copy of the Health and Safety Plan and evidence of its implementation to any authority enforcing this Order upon demand.**
- 7. Each Host subject to this Directive must provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b issued on May 28, 2020, and any future amendment to that order), hand sanitizer or handwashing stations, or both, and disinfectant and related supplies to any of that Host’s Personnel, all as required by the Best Practices. Where feasible, each Host is also encouraged to provide such items to Participants of Small Outdoor Special Gatherings or to make sure that Participants bring their own to the gathering. If any Host is unable to provide these required items to Personnel or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or, if applicable under subsections 3, 4 or 5 above, fails to abide by its Health and Safety Plan, then it must cease operating until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance. Further, any Small Outdoor Gathering organized by such Host where the Host has failed to comply is subject to immediate closure and the fines and other legal remedies described below, as a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order.**
- 8. For purposes of this Directive, “Personnel” includes all of the following people who provide goods or services associated with the Host in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the business); vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite (such as farmers or others who sell at stalls in farmers’ markets); volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Host. “Personnel” includes “gig workers” who perform work via the business’s app or other online interface, if any.**



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-19

9. This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. All Participants and Hosts must stay updated regarding any changes to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive by checking the Department of Public Health website (www.sfdph.org/healthorders; www.sfdph.org/directives) regularly.
10. Hosts covered by section 3 above must prepare, post, and implement a Social Distancing Protocol substantially in the form of Appendix A to the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and as provided under applicable provisions of Section 6 and subsection 15.h of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. The Host must follow these Best Practices and update them as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, any other order that supersedes that order, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including, without limitation, the Social Distancing Protocol, the most restrictive provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: June 13, 2020



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-19 (issued 6/13/20)

Best Practices for Participants and Hosts Involved in Small Outdoor Gatherings

In addition to preparing, posting, and implementing the Social Distancing Protocol as required by this Directive, each Host covered by Section 3 of this Directive that operates in the City must comply with each requirement listed below and prepare a Health and Safety Plan substantially in the format of Exhibit B, below. Participants and Hosts must also comply with each of the applicable requirements listed below.

Requirements:

1. Section 1 – General Requirements For all Small Outdoor Gatherings, including Small Outdoor Meal Gatherings and Small Outdoor Special Gatherings:

- 1.1.*** All people are strongly encouraged to continue staying safe at home and minimizing unnecessary interactions with others to the maximum extent possible. If a person feels it is necessary to participate in a Small Outdoor Gathering, they should consider the risks to themselves and others before doing so and should take all possible steps to mitigate those risks. Participants and Hosts should read and make themselves familiar with the Tip Sheet for Safer Interactions During COVID-19 Pandemic and the Tip Sheet for Small Outdoor Gatherings, which may be found at www.sfgdcp.org/outdoor-gatherings.
- 1.1.1.*** Members of vulnerable populations (those over age 60 or with chronic medical conditions) are encouraged to carefully consider the risks before determining whether to participate in Small Outdoor Gatherings.
- 1.1.2.*** Participants and Hosts must not attend any Small Outdoor Gatherings if they feel ill or are experiencing any one of the following symptoms: fever, chills, repeated shaking/shivering, cough, sore throat, shortness of breath, difficulty breathing, feeling unusually weak or fatigued, new loss of taste or smell, muscle pain, headache, runny or congested nose, or diarrhea.
- 1.2.*** All Small Outdoor Gatherings must be scheduled to conclude in no more than two hours. The duration of all gatherings should be limited to the maximum extent possible.
- 1.3.*** Participants must not move among simultaneously occurring Small Outdoor Gatherings or switch places with Participants in other simultaneously occurring Small Outdoor Gatherings. Participants are strongly discouraged from attending more than one Small Outdoor Gathering per day, and should not attend more than two Small Outdoor Gatherings per week. The more contacts a person has with others, including during Small Outdoor Gatherings, the more they are placing themselves and others at risk of transmitting the virus.
- 1.4.*** Unless otherwise specifically provided in this Directive, all Social Distancing Requirements of Health Officer Order C19-07e (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and the Face Covering requirements of Health Officer Order C19-12b (the “Face Covering Order”) as they may be amended apply.
- 1.5.*** Face Coverings are not required while eating or drinking at a Small Outdoor Gathering. Face Coverings must otherwise be worn at all times during Small Gatherings or Small



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Outdoor Meal Gatherings unless a participant is exempt from wearing a Face Covering under Section 3.g. or h. of the Face Covering Order.

- 1.6.** Small Outdoor Gatherings must not include contact sports (e.g., basketball, football, boxing) or sports with shared equipment (e.g. Frisbee, baseball, playing catch) among members of different households. This section also does not apply to organized outdoor fitness classes, which are covered by Appendix C-1 to Health Officer Order C19-07e. If Participants in a Small Outdoor Gathering engage in physical activity as permitted under the Stay-at-Home Order, such as dancing or running, they must maintain at least six feet distance from and refrain from physical contact with individuals outside of their household or living unit.
- 1.7.** To prevent virus transmission and assist with effective contact tracing, Participants are strongly encouraged to minimize the number of Participants in a Small Outdoor Gathering and keep Participants consistent from one gathering to another. To assist in potential contact tracing efforts, Participants are encouraged to remember who they gather with.
- 1.8.** Participants and Hosts may not share food or drink, or objects like sports equipment, utensils, reading materials, or religious or spiritual objects with Participants outside of their household or living unit. If an object is of critical importance and must be shared during a Small Outdoor Gathering, Participants and Hosts must take every precaution after each instance of sharing to clean and sanitize the object and/or the hands of the Participants and Hosts who share the object.
- 1.9.** Participants and Hosts are strongly discouraged from engaging in singing, chanting, or shouting during Small Outdoor Gatherings – whether or not wearing a Face Covering – due to the substantially increased risk of spreading the virus during such activities.

2. Section 2 – Additional Requirements for Small Outdoor Meal Gatherings:

- 2.1.** A group consisting of people (including Participants and Hosts) from more than one household or living unit and totaling six or fewer people may participate in a Small Outdoor Meal Gathering. This Directive applies only to Small Outdoor Meal Gatherings occurring outside of outdoor dining establishments and to groups containing members from more than one household or living unit.
- 2.2.** Small Outdoor Meal Gatherings must occur completely outdoors and may occur at places such as public parks, open spaces and other spaces where such gatherings are allowed, and subject to any rules prohibiting use of picnic tables, barbeques or other common equipment. If necessary, Participants may enter a building to access an outdoor area or use indoor bathroom facilities.
- 2.3.** Participants from different households may sit or stand closer than six feet apart during the meal, but must sit or stand as far apart as practicable during the meal and are strongly encouraged to maintain at least six feet of social distance whenever possible.
- 2.4.** Food, drinks, utensils or other objects must not be shared by people outside of the same household or living unit.



3. Section 3 – Additional Requirements for Small Outdoor Special Gatherings:

- 3.1.** A group consisting of people (including Participants and Hosts) from more than one household or living unit and totaling 12 or fewer people may congregate in Small Outdoor Special Gatherings. Participants outside of the same household or living unit must follow all Social Distancing Requirements. The size of a group must be reduced according to the size of the outdoor space and Participants' ability to follow Social Distancing Requirements at all times. For example, if the size of an outdoor space allows no more than 10 people to follow Social Distancing at all times during a gathering, then the maximum total size for that Small Outdoor Special Gathering is 10 Participants.
- 3.2.** Participants or Hosts at Small Outdoor Special Gatherings are encouraged (but not required) to maintain a list of Participants who are willing to voluntarily provide their name to assist in potential future contact tracing efforts. Any lists should be discarded after three weeks.
- 3.3.** Small Outdoor Special Gatherings must occur completely outdoors. If necessary, Participants may enter a building to access an outdoor area or use indoor bathroom facilities.
- 3.4.** Sunshades, awnings, or similar outdoor structures may be used, but must be completely open on all sides to provide maximum ventilation.
- 3.5.** Participants outside of the same household or living unit must remain at least six feet apart, follow all Social Distancing Requirements, and wear Face Coverings unless eating, drinking, or exempted from wearing a Face Covering under Section 3.g. or h. of the Face Covering Order.

4. Section 4 – Additional Requirements Specific to Hosts of Small Outdoor Gatherings

- 4.1.** Hosts may organize and host Small Outdoor Special Gatherings up to 12 people provided they have sufficient outdoor space to allow participants to comply with Social Distancing Requirements.
- 4.2.** In compliance with the Social Distancing Protocol, Hosts covered by Section 3 of the Directive must develop and implement a plan for cleaning and disinfecting high touch surfaces such as seating, doors, and other common high-touch surfaces before each gathering.
- 4.3.** Before hosting a Small Outdoor Gathering, a Host covered by Section 3 of the Directive must prepare the outdoor space to accommodate attendees and comply with the Social Distancing Protocol. For example, a Host may be required to prepare a plan for safe ingress and egress from the space and add physical markings to demonstrate a six-foot distance in areas participants may be congregating.
- 4.4.** Hosts covered by Section 3 of the Directive must prohibit Participants from congregating at the end of any Small Outdoor Gatherings.
- 4.5.** Only one Small Outdoor Gathering may be held at a Host at a time unless the Host can ensure the Small Outdoor Gatherings will remain separate, such as by placing physical barriers between the gatherings. If multiple Small Outdoor Gatherings are occurring at



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

the same time at the Host, the Host must prohibit mingling among Participants from different Small Outdoor Gatherings. Host Personnel must not move between or participate in simultaneously occurring Small Outdoor Gatherings.

- 4.6.** As to Hosts covered by Section 3 of the Directive, Hosts may permit Personnel to participate in sequential Small Outdoor Gatherings during a single day but are reminded of the increased potential to transmit the virus from one Small Outdoor Gathering to another. Hosts organizing or participating in sequential Small Outdoor Special Gatherings must, in addition to the requirements of this Directive:
- 4.6.1.** Provide a minimum of 20 minutes between gatherings during which Participants may safely egress and clear the area and Host Personnel may adequately clean and sanitize all high touch surfaces and otherwise prepare the space for the next gathering;
 - 4.6.2.** Ensure that before participating in a sequential gathering, Host Personnel thoroughly wash hands and clean, sanitize, or replace any items or clothing that have come in contact with Participants or different Host Personnel during earlier gatherings; and
 - 4.6.3.** Ensure that Host Personnel not move between simultaneously occurring Small Outdoor Gatherings.

Checklist

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-19 (Exhibit B) Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/13/2020)

Each Host Covered by Section 3 of the Directive must complete, post onsite, and follow this Health and Safety Plan.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name:

Contact name:

Entity Address:

Contact telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this plan.)

- ☐ Business is familiar with and complies with all requirements set forth in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-19, available at <http://www.sfdph.com/directives>.
- ☐ Make sure no one – including employees – attends a gathering who is experiencing any one of the following symptoms: fever, chills, repeated shaking/shivering, cough, sore throat, shortness of breath, difficulty breathing, feeling unusually weak or fatigued, new loss of taste or smell, muscle pain, headache, runny or congested nose, or diarrhea.
- ☐ Gatherings are limited to 12 people or less, scheduled to last 2 hours or less, and kept as short as possible. Participants do not move among gatherings happening at the same time or switch places with Participants in other gatherings
- ☐ Everyone wears a Face Covering unless eating or drinking or otherwise exempt.
- ☐ All Social Distancing Requirements are followed at all times except when people are engaged in a Small Outdoor Meal Gathering of six or fewer people.
- ☐ No sharing of food or drink or items like sports equipment, utensils, reading materials, or religious or spiritual objects with Participants. If an object is of critical importance and must be shared, take every precaution after each instance of sharing to clean and sanitize the object and/or the hands of the Participants and Hosts who share the object.
- ☐ Avoid singing, chanting, or shouting whether or not wearing a Face Covering.
- ☐ Develop and implement a plan for cleaning and disinfecting high touch surfaces such as seating, doors, and other common high-touch surfaces before each gathering.
- ☐ Prepare the outdoor space to accommodate Participants and comply with the Social Distancing Protocol. For example, make a plan for Participants to get in and out of the outdoor space safely while maintaining social distancing and add physical markings to demonstrate a 6-foot distance in areas participants may be congregating.
- ☐ Only hosting one Small Outdoor Gathering at a time unless there is enough outdoor space to keep simultaneous gatherings separate and socially distanced.
- ☐ If Host Personnel are taking part in sequential gatherings, there is sufficient time between gatherings to engage in proper sanitation and disinfection procedures.

Additional Measures

Explain:



San Francisco Department of Public Health

Tip Sheet and Frequently Asked Questions: Small Outdoor Gatherings

June 13, 2020

AUDIENCE: Hosts and Participants in outdoor gatherings involving people from more than one household. This includes Small Outdoor Meal Gatherings and Small Outdoor Special Gatherings (e.g. celebrations or ceremonies), involving people not all from the same household. Outdoor restaurant dining and outdoor fitness are addressed elsewhere.

BACKGROUND: As of June 15, 2020, Health Officer Directive No. 2020-19 authorizes and provides guidance for Small Outdoor Gatherings. This document summarizes the main action items.

How Many People Can Gather?

- People from different households may come together in a group **totaling** no more than 6 people for a Meal Gathering. Maintaining 6 feet apart from those not in your household is not required during a Meal Gathering, but is strongly recommended.
- People from different households may come together in a group **totaling** no more than 12 people for a Special Gathering. The outdoor space used must allow everyone attending to remain at least 6 feet apart from those not in their household at all times.

Preventing Transmission of Viruses

- People participating in Gatherings must always wear a Face Covering, except:
 - Remove face covering when eating or drinking;
 - People who have a written exemption from face covering provided by a healthcare provider and children under 12 years of age are not required to wear face covering;
 - Children 2 years or younger should not wear a face covering.
- No contact sports (e.g., basketball, football, boxing) or sports with shared equipment (e.g. Frisbee, baseball, playing catch) are permitted among members of different households.
- Do not share objects like sports equipment, utensils, food, reading materials, or religious or spiritual items with persons outside of your household. If an object is of critical importance and must be shared during a Gathering, then after each instance of sharing, clean and sanitize the object and/or the hands of all persons who touch the object.
- Singing, chanting, or shouting are strongly discouraged – whether or not wearing a Face Covering – due to increased risk of spreading the virus during such activities.

Preparing for Gatherings

- Review the [Tip Sheet for Safer Interactions During COVID-19 Pandemic](http://www.sfcdcp.org/communicable-disease/diseases-a-z/covid19whatsnew/), posted at: www.sfcdcp.org/communicable-disease/diseases-a-z/covid19whatsnew/.
- Members of vulnerable populations (those over age 60 or with chronic medical conditions) should carefully consider the risks before determining whether to participate.
- Personnel or participants should not attend if feeling ill or experiencing any one of the following symptoms: fever, chills, repeated shaking/shivering, cough, sore throat, shortness of breath, difficulty breathing, feeling unusually weak or fatigued, new loss of taste or smell, muscle pain, headache, runny or congested nose, or diarrhea.



San Francisco Department of Public Health

Structuring a Gathering

- Gatherings must be scheduled to last no longer than 2 hours.
- Gatherings must occur completely outdoors, except to use bathrooms. This may include parks or other spaces where such gatherings are allowed.
- Sunshades, awnings, or similar outdoor structures may be used, but must be completely open on all sides to provide maximum ventilation.
- You may not move between simultaneous Gatherings.
- Minimize the number of Gatherings you attend to not more than 2 per week.

Hosting a Gathering

- ***Hosts include clubs, faith-based organizations, or other entities. Persons informally organizing a gathering of friends at home or in a park are not required to follow additional rules of Hosts.***
- Hosts must develop, implement, and maintain:
 - A Health and Safety Plan. This must be available for participants, provided to Host Personnel, and posted at the physical entrance of where the Host operates. Please see Exhibit B of the Health Order 2020-19 on Small Outdoor Gatherings, posted at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp.
 - A Social Distancing Protocol that includes, among other requirements, a plan to clean and disinfect high touch surfaces such as seating, doors, and other surfaces before each Gathering. See Appendix A of the Stay Safe at Home Health Order, posted at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp.
- Hosts should consider maintaining for 3 weeks a list of Personnel and Participants willing to voluntarily provide their names to assist in contact tracing efforts if an attendee develops COVID-19.
- Hosts must provide face coverings, hand sanitizers or handwashing stations, and cleaning supplies to Host Personnel.
- Before hosting a Gathering, the Host must prepare the outdoor space to accommodate attendees and comply with the Social Distancing Protocol. For example, a Host may be required to prepare a plan for safely entering and leaving the space and add physical markings to demonstrate a 6-foot distance in areas participants may be congregating.
- Hosts must prohibit Participants from congregating at the end of any Gatherings.
- Hosts can only hold 1 Small Outdoor Gathering at a time unless the Host can ensure the Gatherings will remain separate, such as by placing physical barriers between the Gatherings. If more than one Gathering is occurring, the Host must prohibit mingling among Participants from different Gatherings. Host personnel may not move back and forth between Gatherings.
- Hosts may allow Personnel to participate in sequential Gatherings in the same day. If Hosting sequential Gatherings, the Host must also:
 - Ensure at least 20 minutes between gatherings for Participants to leave and Personnel to clean and sanitize all high-touch areas.
 - Ensure Personnel thoroughly wash hands and clean, sanitize, or replace any items or clothing that have come in contact with Participants or different Host Personnel during earlier gatherings.



San Francisco Department of Public Health

Frequently Asked Questions

Should We Greet One Another as Usual? Shaking Hands, Kissing Cheeks, etc.?

- Identify how your community may greet one another in ways that reduce any spread of viruses, including COVID-19. For example, provide direction to replace hugs with elbow touches or hand signals like a peace sign or the American Sign Language sign for "I Love You." Greeters at all gatherings should refrain from offering handshakes and "model hospitality with words."

How Can We Care for the Elderly, Those with Special Health Considerations, Their Caregivers, and Other High-Risk Populations at outdoor Gatherings?

- Before the event provide special consideration in communicating risk to vulnerable populations in your community, including older adults and others with access and/or functional needs.
- Assign, or encourage those who attend, to seek out a "buddy" who will check in on and help if they have special needs such as water, seating, shade, or other assistance.

How can my children play with other children?

- It is important that an adult supervise children's activity at gatherings
- Children not from the same family unit must maintain social distancing (6 feet), and not share toys or athletic equipment.
- Plan ahead for activities that can entertain children and bring enough toys, books, arts and crafts, that children can use within a family unit without sharing with others.

How do I know how far 6 feet is to ensure "social distancing"?

- Bring a tape measure, if possible to measure distance between chairs, or other seating assignments, ahead of time.
- If no tape measure is available, 6 feet is approximately the distance of 2 long strides. Most chairs are approximately one and a half feet wide and long. The distance between chairs to the sides, in back and in front of chairs should fit 4 other chairs.

I am having a gathering of just family. Do we need to follow these rules?

- If you all live together, you must still wear face covering in public, and follow this guidance if you will interact with anyone outside of your household.
- Interactions among members of the same household are not otherwise subject to rules regarding the social distancing, or sharing and touching of objects or each other.

I'm a Host. How do I make sure Personnel are not sick when they work?

- Please see SFDPH guidance on [Asking COVID-19 Screening Questions](https://www.sfdcp.org/screen), posted at www.sfdcp.org/screen.

What if someone at my Gathering tests positive for COVID-19?

- People may be able to transmit the virus 48 hours before they develop symptoms of COVID-19.
- As a Host, please see SFDPH guidance [What to do if Someone at the Workplace Has COVID-19](https://www.sfdcp.org/covid19-positive-workplace), posted at www.sfdcp.org/covid19-positive-workplace.
- A list of Personnel and Participants from the Host will help SFDPH with contact tracing.

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: Amendment to dental care directive (Directive No. 2020-09c)
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 8:12:00 PM
Attachments: [2020.06.15 FINAL Signed Directive 2020-09c re Dental Procedures.pdf](#)
[2020.06.15 REDLINE of 6.15 amendment against final 5.18 directive re dental care.docx](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Directive of the Health Officer No. 2020-09c.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 8:07 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: Amendment to dental care directive (Directive No. 2020-09c)

Good evening, Attached please find the signed amendment to the dental care directive, Directive No. 2020-09c.

The primary changes associated with this amendment are:

1. In consultation with the SF Dental Society, the mandate for testing when performing aerosol generating procedures (AGPs) was changed to a strong recommendation.
2. The directive was generally revised to make clear that some requirements from the prior version are now part of the Social Distancing Protocol, Exhibit A to the shelter in place order (Order No. C19-07e), and dental care providers must comply with those requirements, which still include daily screening of personnel and basic distance and disinfection protocols.
3. The Health and Safety Plan has been converted to a 2-page checklist to make it easier for dental care providers to complete and post the Health and Safety Plan. This is

consistent with how other directives are handling the Health and Safety Plan requirement.

4. The directive clarifies that any support persons brought by a patient must also be screened and are subject to face covering and other safety precautions.
5. The directive adds language similar to what is in the ambulatory care directive around the reason for various protections, requirements regarding cleaning based on CDC and other guidelines, and discontinuing use of magazines or other shared items in waiting rooms. It also adds language regarding the higher risk for dental care (where face coverings cannot be worn).
6. The directive also encourages minimization of AGPs and use of certain engineering controls to minimize risks and requires personnel to don new PPE when PPE is visibly contaminated.



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-09c

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING REQUIRED BEST
PRACTICES FOR DENTAL HEALTH CARE**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)
DATE OF DIRECTIVE: June 15, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues mandatory, context-specific direction permitting the provision of certain kinds of health-related care as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes context-specific guidance as provided under Sections 1 and 3 of Health Officer Order No. C19-08c issued on June 12, 2020 (the “Medical Care Order”, available online at www.sfdph.org/healthorders) and, unless otherwise defined below, capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect at 11:59 p.m. on June 15, 2020, and no care may be provided of the type covered by this Directive except as permitted by and subject to the restrictions of either the Medical Care Order or this Directive. As soon as the mandatory criteria for provision of care listed in this Directive are met, then a provider, facility, or office may provide the care covered by this Directive, and such care may continue to be provided by the provider, facility, or office only so long as the mandatory criteria are met. This Directive remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer, as further provided below. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Medical Care Order as well as in Health Officer Order No. C19-07e issued on June 12, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and any updates or amendments to that order. As further provided below, this Directive also automatically incorporates any revisions to the Medical Care Order, the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede those orders or that reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements listed in Section 15.k of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, infection control measures, and other best practices, helping reduce the transmission of SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, in the health care and healing arts setting and helping safeguard the health of workers, patients and clients, and the community. This Directive No. 2020-09c revises and replaces Directive No. 2020-09b, issued May 17, 2020, and updated on May 18, 2020.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS
FOLLOWS:**

- 1. For any Healthcare Operation that Section 15.b of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order permits to provide care in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) during this pandemic, this Directive applies only to the aspects of that Healthcare Operation that meet all of the following criteria:**
 - a. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation provides or supports the provision of the following types of care: Dental Health Care, as that term is defined in Section 3 below; and**
 - b. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation has appropriate supplies (Personal Protective Equipment (“PPE”), and all other necessary medical and cleaning**



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c

supplies) and staffing to safely function in a manner that meets both regulatory requirements for staffing and operation and the community standard for the safe provision of care; and

- c. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation meets all applicable requirements listed in this Directive, including Exhibit A to this Directive, at all times and has completed and posted the two-page Health and Safety Plan checklist attached to this Directive as Exhibit B; and
- d. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation has reviewed and meets all applicable requirements listed in Appendix A of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, as revised on June 1, 2020, and has completed and posted the two-page Social Distancing Protocol checklist included in that Appendix A.

Each such aspect of a Healthcare Operation that meets all criteria listed above is referred to by this Directive as a “Dental Care Service.”

- 2. This Directive permits the provision of Dental Health Care by the Dental Care Service of any Healthcare Operation so long as the owner, operator, manager, supervisor, Chief Executive Officer or Administrator, Chief Medical Officer or Chief of Service or Chief of Staff, or other medical supervisor of a Dental Care Service ensures that all mandatory best practices listed in Exhibit A to this Directive (the “Best Practices”), which is incorporated by this reference, are followed.
- 3. For purposes of this Directive, the term “Dental Health Care” means any care provided by a professional who is licensed and providing care under Chapter 4 of Division 2 of the California Business and Professions Code or by someone who is supervised by such a licensed professional. For purposes of this Directive, Dental Health Care includes but is not limited to any preventative, restorative, maintenance, cosmetic, hygiene, corrective, orthodontic, routine, diagnostic, urgent, or emergency care. Dental Health Care expressly includes any care that is “Essential” as defined by the Medical Care Order or any emergency or urgent care. But this Directive does not apply to care that is provided on an emergency basis at any general acute care hospital or urgent care center, with such care already being authorized and provided under the hospital’s or urgent care center’s standard procedures, which should include airborne precautions (N95 or higher) similar to those listed in this Directive for aerosol generating procedures. Note that care provided at an urgent care center is considered ambulatory care and is subject to Directive No. 2020-20, which is to be issued on or around June 15, 2020.
- 4. This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service under this Directive must stay updated regarding any changes to the Medical Care Order, the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, and this Directive by checking the Department of Public Health website (www.sfdph.org/directives) frequently.
- 5. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service under this Directive must, before allowing Dental Health Care and related care to occur as outlined by this Directive, review and implement all applicable requirements of the Best



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c

Practices, consider action based on recommendations listed in the Best Practices, and complete and post the two-page checklist attached to this Directive as Appendix B (a “Health and Safety Plan”).

- 6. There are certain people associated with the Dental Care Service who are subject to this Directive. Specifically, people who provide or support the provision of care by the Dental Care Service are collectively referred to by this Directive and the Best Practices as “Personnel”, and those people include all of the following who provide services associated with the Dental Care Services in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who perform services onsite or who deliver goods to the business); independent contractors; students who are participating in educational programs associated with their professional degree or licensure; volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services at the request of the Dental Care Service related to Dental Health Care. This Directive requires the Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service to ensure that Personnel who perform work associated with the Dental Care Service comply with the requirements of this Directive.**
- 7. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service subject to this Directive must provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b issued on May 28, 2020), hand sanitizer, sinks for handwashing, PPE, and disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and to the patients or clients, as required by the Best Practices. If any such Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service is unable to provide these required items or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or this Directive, then it must cease operating the Dental Care Service under this Directive until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance.**
- 8. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service is required to take certain steps in the Health and Safety Plan related to its Personnel, including certain actions listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices if Personnel are sick. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices. Personnel of each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and must comply with the Directive, including the rules for returning to work listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices.**
- 9. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service must: (a) make the Health and Safety Plan and Social Distancing Protocol checklists available to any patient or client, Personnel, or other member of the public on request, (b) provide a summary of the key aspects of its compliance plan regarding this Directive to all Personnel working onsite in relation to the Dental Care Service (except for people only temporarily on-site), and (c) post a copy of the Health and Safety Plan and Social Distancing Protocol checklists in any reception area of the Dental Care Service and at any key Personnel gathering or break areas related to the Dental Care Service.**
- 10. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Healthcare Operation under the Medical Care Order (Order No. C19-08b) and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (Order No. C19-07e). The Healthcare Operation**



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c

must follow these context-specific Best Practices in relation to each Dental Care Service and update its compliance as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Medical Care Order and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, any other order that supersedes those orders, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.

11. This Directive becomes effective at 11:59 p.m. on June 15, 2020, and will continue to be in effect until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. Effective as of 11:59 p.m. on June 15, 2020, this Directive revises and replaces Directive Number 2020-09b, issued May 17, 2020, and updated May 18, 2020.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Medical Care Order and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive or health-protective provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Medical Care Order and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: June 15, 2020



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

The owner, operator, manager, supervisor, Chief Executive Officer or Administrator, Chief Medical Officer or Chief of Service or Chief of Staff, or other medical supervisor of a Dental Care Service must, as provided in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c, ensure that the Dental Care Service addresses each item below before the Dental Care Service is permitted to provide any patient or client care including Routine and Essential Medical Appointments or emergency or urgent health care (except for care that is provided on an emergency or urgent basis at any general acute care hospital or urgent care center). The Dental Care Service must also complete and post the Health and Safety Plan checklist attached to this Directive as Exhibit B as required by the Directive. And at all times the Dental Care Service must comply with the requirements listed below when operating under this Directive.

Directions: Any Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service under this Directive must implement and comply with each requirement listed below. If the office or facility has written policies applicable to the Dental Care Service that satisfy a listed requirement or are more restrictive than a specific requirement of this Directive, then the office or facility may rely on its written policy to comply with the Directive's specific requirement.

Requirements:

1. Signage and Education

- 1.1. Post signage at each public entrance of the Dental Care Service to inform all Personnel and patients or clients that they must: avoid entering the facility or location if they have any symptoms consistent with COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2 (unless they have notified the Dental Care Service in advance and precautions have been taken to protect Personnel and other patients or clients); maintain a minimum six-foot distance from others while at the facility to the extent possible; wear a face covering or barrier mask (a "Face Covering") at all times except as authorized by a healthcare provider; and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact. Criteria for Face Coverings and the requirements related to their use are set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"), including as that order is revised or replaced. Sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>.
- 1.2. Post a copy of the Social Distancing Protocol and Health and Safety Plan checklists at each public entrance to the Dental Care Service.
- 1.3. Distribute to all Dental Care Service Personnel a summary of the key aspects of its compliance plan regarding this Directive and any educational materials required by the Health and Safety Plan.
- 1.4. Educate all Dental Care Service Personnel of the requirements of the Social Distancing Requirements of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and this Directive that apply to them.

2. General Screening of Personnel and Patients or Clients

- 2.1. Instruct all Dental Care Service Personnel orally and in writing not to come to work or the facility if they are sick, ensure that all Personnel are screened daily pursuant to the Social Distancing Protocol (which includes a one-page screening checklist), and follow the Social



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

Distancing Protocol requirements regarding any Personnel who answer yes to any screening question.

- 2.2. Patients or clients, as well as anyone accompanying them to an appointment, must be screened for symptoms in advance of and at the time of their in-person visit, including on the calendar day of the visit. Attached to this Directive as Exhibit C is a one-page screening form that may be used for this process. At a minimum, such screening must occur **before** the patient or client, as well as anyone accompanying them, enters the Dental Care Service facility, office, or suite on the day of the visit to protect Personnel and other patients or clients. This screening is in addition to examining any patient or client vital signs as part of the health care being provided. For any patient or client, as well as anyone accompanying them, who has symptoms, has a current confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis, or has a current confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, in-person health care may only be provided subject to infection control practices appropriate to ensure that that care can be provided safely for the patient or client and all Personnel.

Such screening must address all of the following:

- 2.2.1. Within the preceding 10 days has the person been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus? (If so, they are generally required to self-isolate as outlined at <https://www.sfcddcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>.)
- 2.2.2. Does the person live in the same household with or have they had close contact with someone who in the preceding 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus? (If so, they are generally required to self-quarantine as outlined at <https://www.sfcddcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>.)
- 2.2.3. Has the person had any one or more of the following symptoms which is new or not explained by a pre-existing condition that day or within the preceding 24 hours? The symptoms include: fever, chills, or repeated shaking/shivering; cough; sore throat; shortness of breath or difficulty breathing; feeling unusually weak or fatigued; loss of taste or smell; muscle pain; headache; runny or congested nose; or diarrhea. If any listed symptom is present, the person might be positive for SARS-CoV-2 and should be referred for testing, and appropriate precautions should be taken or the care delayed.

3. Face Covering and Related PPE

- 3.1. Face Coverings are required of all patients or clients, as well as anyone accompanying them to an appointment, seeking care from a Dental Care Service as outlined in Section 6.e of the Face Covering Order. The Dental Care Service must ensure that each patient or client and any support person wears a Face Covering at all times when onsite at the facility except where the provision of care requires removal of the Face Covering or except to the extent the Face Covering Order does not require one (such as for children 12 and younger and for people with a written excuse from a physician). The Dental Care Service must provide a Face Covering for any patient or client who does not have one. When a Face Covering is not worn by the patient or client, the Dental Care Service must take other steps to minimize risk of transmission of SARS-CoV-2.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

- 3.2. The Dental Care Service may adopt a policy that some children (such as those age three and older) must wear a Face Covering in most situations, but such a policy must include appropriate supervision of children between 3 and 12 years old to ensure they are safely wearing a Face Covering and must include appropriate exceptions similar to those in the Face Covering Order. It is important that children 12 years old and younger are supervised when wearing a Face Covering.
- 3.3. If Dental Care Service written policies or any local, state, or federal law, regulation, or rule require the use of medical-grade masks or other masks that are more protective than a Face Covering, the more protective item must be used and its use must comply with the policy or law, regulation, or rule. For clarity, this Directive's requirements regarding Face Coverings are meant to ensure that Personnel, patients, clients, and support persons are wearing a Face Covering or mask that is more protective against the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 except where the medical procedure does not permit use of the Face Covering or mask. Appropriate masks must be utilized as directed by the clinical context and type of surgery or procedure being performed.

4. Physical Distancing

- 4.1. Physical distancing of at least 6 feet/2 meters must be maintained by Dental Care Service and patients, clients, and support persons whenever possible. This includes at a minimum the following requirements:
 - 4.1.1. In any waiting area or other area with seating, chairs should be removed or taken out of use to ensure proper distancing in other remaining chairs or seats. If a patient or client is in a waiting area with a support person, those two may sit next to each other in a designated chair or area.
 - 4.1.2. For check-in and other areas with lines, floor markings of some kind should be used, to the extent possible, to create visual reminders of minimum distancing.
 - 4.1.3. If space is available, any patient or client who has an active SARS-CoV-2 infection or who has symptoms should be isolated away from other patients or clients and Personnel. If isolation is not possible, other steps should be taken to prevent transmission. Support persons with an active SARS-CoV-2 infection are not allowed.
 - 4.1.4. The physical distancing requirements include screening of the patient or client, and any support person, on the calendar day of a visit or procedure must be done **before** arrival in the Dental Care Service facility, office, or suite (such as via a call the morning of the visit or a call from outside the building or in the lobby or hallway just before entry).
 - 4.1.5. When a patient or client, as well as any support person, is in an exam or treatment room, physical distancing must be observed whenever possible.
- 4.2. The requirements for physical distancing may be tailored based on the context of a specific patient or client's clinical situation.
- 4.3. Appointments and procedures should be staggered during the day as much as possible to avoid crowding during the day.



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

- 4.4. Patients and clients should be encouraged to conduct visits via telephone or other remote technology like video chat when doing so does not compromise the care being provided.

5. Hand Hygiene

- 5.1. The Dental Care Service (or the building where the office is located) should have bathrooms with sinks, soap, water, and disposable paper towels available for hand washing by Personnel, patients, clients, and support persons. The Dental Care Service must also provide hand sanitizer effective against SARS-CoV-2 at entrances and elsewhere at the facility or location for Personnel and patients or clients and support persons. Sanitizer must also be provided to patients or clients, as well as support persons, in waiting areas. Information on hand sanitizer, including sanitizer effective against SARS-CoV-2 and how to obtain sanitizer, is available online from the Food and Drug Administration here: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/qa-consumers-hand-sanitizers-and-covid-19>.
- 5.2. Encourage patients or clients to wash or sanitize their hands before they touch any Dental Care Service Personnel, and require Dental Care Service Personnel to follow appropriate infection control precautions when they must touch any patients or clients.

6. Risk Categorization, Protective Actions, and Patient or Client Testing for SARS-CoV-2

- 6.1. To evaluate the transmission risk of SARS-CoV-2 in health care settings, including dentistry, providers must consider the per-patient risk and the cumulative risk. More than half of persons with SARS-CoV-2 infections in the community have no symptoms but are contagious. If the risk of exposure from an asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic patient in the community is between 0.5% to 1% (0.005 to 0.01), then after seeing 60 patients from the community, the cumulative risk of exposure to a contagious patient in the clinical setting is from 26% to 45%. This may constitute the risk faced by a particular clinic or office in the course of a week, or even in the course of a day or two depending on patient volume. Because of the high cumulative risk of a contagious asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic patient in the care setting, the requirements of this Directive must be followed.
- 6.2. In-person care has different kinds of risks associated with the nature of the care being provided. It is not possible to list each type of Dental Health Care by risk type. But given the realities of Dental Health Care, where the patient usually sits for more than brief periods within six feet of Personnel (sometimes for an hour or longer), where the patient is usually unable to wear any Face Covering or other protective barrier mask during the procedure, and where procedures almost always involve bodily fluids such as saliva and a risk of exposure to blood or the generation of aerosols, Dental Health Care is inherently higher risk than other kinds of ambulatory care. Accordingly, this Directive includes requirements and recommendations for implementation of various Engineering Controls, Administrative Controls, Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), and testing.
- 6.3. Given the nature of Dental Health Care, the requirements of this Directive listed above for Face Coverings, hand hygiene, and physical distancing are not sufficient to adequately reduce the risk of exposure in most cases. Accordingly, these additional requirements must be followed by the Dental Care Service:
- 6.3.1. The service should minimize aerosol generating procedures (AGP) whenever possible.



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

- 6.3.2. For patients or clients undergoing any routine (non-urgent, non-emergency) AGP, it is strongly recommended that the patient or client be tested via reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) testing for a current SARS-CoV-2 infection between 0-7 days before the scheduled AGP, with the results being reported to or shared with the Dental Care Service before the procedure if the test is not a rapid test performed in-house by the Dental Care Service. In the future, when and if a rapid test becomes available to the Dental Care Service, the test must be performed during this window. The test should be performed as close to the day of the AGP as possible. This test is in addition to the screening requirements on the day of the scheduled procedure. A test is not required for other pre- or post-procedure care that does not include an AGP. A test is not required for any emergency or urgent care so long as other precautions are taken to protect against the risk of transmission (such as patient screening and use of appropriate PPE), but a rapid test is strongly recommended, if possible, for any AGP.
- 6.3.3. For follow up visits involving the same treatment plan that includes an AGP, repeat RT-PCR testing is at the discretion of the Dental Care Service. The Dental Care Service must provide educational materials to the patient instructing them how to avoid infection between visits.
- 6.3.4. The Dental Care Service must follow PPE and infection prevention guidelines from United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the California Department of Public Health, the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (known as Cal/OSHA), and the United States Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health Administration (known as OSHA). When guidelines differ, the more health protective applies (*e.g.*, the one with the higher level of PPE, more protective work practices, and/or stronger Engineering and Administrative Controls).
- 6.3.5. The Dental Care Service is strongly recommended to use a rubber dam for restorative procedures, High Volume Evacuators (HVE) and four handed dentistry for AGPs, and other Engineering and Administrative Controls to reduce aerosol generation and other risks whenever possible.
- 6.3.6. Personnel are required to don new PPE between patients whenever PPE is observed to be visibly contaminated with blood or body fluids. PPE such as face shields or goggles must be disinfected using an approved method and/or disinfectants effective against SARS-CoV-2.
- 6.4. Nothing in this Directive prohibits a Dental Care Service from requiring additional diagnostic or serology testing of a patient or client.

7. Reporting and Cooperation Requirements Regarding SARS-CoV-2

- 7.1. Each Healthcare Operation must promptly report any COVID-19 case and any patient, client, or Personnel SARS-CoV-2 infection as required by local, state, and federal laws, regulations, and rules.
- 7.2. In addition, each Dental Care Service must promptly (within 24 hours, and preferably during daytime hours) report to DPH Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) at 415-554-2830 each of the following except as excused by Section 7.3:



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

- 7.2.1. Any instance where a patient, client, or support person is confirmed to have had an active SARS-CoV-2 infection at the time of any Dental Health Care or related in-person care and the Dental Care Service did not know about the infection at the time of the Dental Health Care or other in-person care;
- 7.2.2. Any instance where any member of the Dental Health Service Personnel is confirmed to have had an active SARS-CoV-2 infection at the time of an in-person interaction onsite with any patient, client, or support person and the Dental Health Service did not know in advance of the in-person interaction about the infection; and
- 7.2.3. Any instance where there has been likely or confirmed transmission of SARS-CoV-2 onsite between Personnel, patients, clients, or support persons, including among Personnel or among patients, clients, or support persons associated with in-person care provided onsite by the Dental Health Service.
- 7.3. A hospital with quality management and/or infection prevention and control programs that are overseen by the hospital's Medical Staff does not need to report occurrences listed in subsections 7.2.1 and 7.2.2 above to DPH so long as the incident is reported and reviewed by its quality control or infection control program.
- 7.4. The Healthcare Operation is required to provide all information associated with this Directive requested by DPH, the Health Officer, or the Health Officer's designee. Such disclosure includes protected health information or other health information of patients or clients and information, including confidential employment and health information, about Personnel where the disclosure is limited to the minimum amount necessary for public health purposes and where any such information that is confidential must be protected by DPH and the Health Officer as required by law.
- 7.5. Each Healthcare Operation must cooperate with DPH, the Health Officer, or the Health Officer's designee in relation to action required by DPH, the Health Officer, or the Health Officer's designee that relates to any information reported under this Directive.

8. Cleaning

- 8.1. The Dental Care Service must implement routine cleaning and disinfection procedures, including using cleaners and water to pre-clean surfaces prior to applying an Environmental Protection Agency-registered hospital-grade disinfectant to frequently touched surfaces or objects for the appropriate contact times for SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare settings, including those patient-care areas in which aerosol generating procedures are performed. This includes use of disinfecting liquid, wipe, or spray products that are effective against SARS-CoV-2 when disinfecting high touch surfaces. A list of products approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against SARS-CoV-2 can be found online at <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>. Products must be used in strict accordance with the product label and manufacturer's instructions, including but not limited to the manufacturer's specified dwell (wet contact time) for SARS-CoV-2, which can be obtained from the product manufacturer or found on the EPA list linked above.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

- 8.2. Providers must stay up to date with practice-related recommendations issued by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the California Department of Public Health, other governmental or regulatory agencies, and professional organizations. The cleaning and disinfection procedures of the Dental Care Service must include appropriate cleaning and environmental controls as outlined by these sources. One resource for cleaning information is the CDC page "Guidance for Dental Settings," available online at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/dental-settings.html>, including the sections on "Environmental Infection Control" and "Sterilization and Disinfection of Patient-Care Items."

Such controls should include implementing wait times after procedures to both allow particles to settle and/or be captured or removed by ventilation systems before cleaning; see the CDC recommendations available online at <https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/environmental/appendix/air.html#tableb1>. Technologies or techniques including but not limited to HEPA filtration, ultraviolet (light) germicidal irradiation (UVGI) systems, photocatalytic oxidation (PCO) purifiers, and extra-oral suction units may be used to supplement general ventilation. If an activity-, equipment-, and facility-specific risk assessment is performed, wait times may be modified.

- 8.3. All areas where patient or client care takes place must, at a minimum, undergo standard cleaning between each patient or client use. This includes any toys or other materials used by children in clinical or waiting areas. This also includes any tools that are used by Personnel when providing care.

9. Other Requirements

- 9.1. Discontinue the use of magazines and other shared items in waiting areas. Toys may be provided for use by children so long as they are appropriately cleaned as required by Section 8.3 above.
- 9.2. The Health and Safety Plan must ensure that all patients and clients are provided care in a manner that protects the safety and health of patients, clients, and Personnel. If the California Department of Public Health ("CDPH") issues any pandemic-related guidelines or requirements regarding Dental Health Care in the future, each Dental Care Service must review such guidelines or requirements and update its provision of care under this Directive accordingly. If CDPH requires pandemic-related processes or protections that are more health-protective than those listed in this Directive, the most health-protective apply and must be followed.
- 9.3. If the Dental Care Service performs procedures or offers care of a type that are the subject of recommendations or guidance of a professional association in the field (such as the American Dental Association (ADA), American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons, American Academy of Periodontology, or other similar professional bodies) or a government agency including but not limited to the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (known as Cal/OSHA), and the United States Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health Administration (known as OSHA), the Dental Care Service should review and consider implementing appropriate recommendations related to the pandemic and should update its compliance with this Directive over time based on such recommendations with the focus of protecting patients, clients, and Personnel.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

- 9.4. The Health Officer may revise this Directive and add additional requirements in the future to ensure that Dental Health Care is provided in the safest possible manner during this pandemic.

Checklist

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (Exhibit B) Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/15/2020)

Each Dental Care Service (the “Provider” or “Business/Entity”) must complete, post onsite, and follow this Health and Safety Plan.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name:

Contact name:

Facility Address:

Contact telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this plan.)

- ☐ Provider has posted a checklist and complies with all requirements set forth in the Social Distancing Protocol included in Health Officer Order No. C19-07e, available at www.sfdph.org/healthorders, including any amendments to that order.
- ☐ Provider is familiar with and complies with all requirements set forth in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c, available at www.sfdph.org/directives.
- ☐ If any procedure or type of encounter is not allowed by the State of California, it is not allowed under this Directive.
- ☐ Provider is offering remote services to patients to the extent possible.
- ☐ Provider is ensuring that all Personnel are screened daily as required by the Social Distancing Protocol and instructing those who respond “yes” to any question to stay home for the appropriate amount of time and to get tested for the SARS-CoV-2 virus.
- ☐ Provider is screening each patient and any support person before and at the time of each appointment before the patient and any support person enters the building or waiting room area, and Provider is taking all required and appropriate precautions to protect patients, support persons, and Personnel. This includes appropriately isolating any patient with an active SARS-CoV-2 infection from other patients and Personnel or postponing care. Support persons with active SARS-CoV-2 infections are not allowed.
- ☐ Provider is familiar with and complies with all Face Covering requirements for patients, support persons, and Personnel set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, available at www.sfdph.org/healthorders, including any amendments to that order, as well as any additional requirements for Personal Protective Equipment discussed in this Directive.
- ☐ Provider is ensuring that the six foot physical distancing requirements are followed where feasible, including by reducing the number of chairs available in waiting rooms.
- ☐ Provider is following all required cleaning and disinfection/sanitizing requirements in compliance with this Directive and state and federal laws and regulations.

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (Exhibit B)
Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/15/2020)

- ☐ Provider will report any positive SARS-CoV-2 infection as required in Section 7:
 - ☐ To the state and DPH as required by federal, state, and local laws and regulations; and
 - ☐ To DPH Communicable Disease Control at 415-554-2830 when a patient, support person, or Personnel either (1) is exposed to SARS-CoV-2 at the Provider's location without knowing in advance that the person had an active infection; or (2) is believed to have contracted SARS-CoV-2 at the Provider's location in relation to the provision of services by the Provider. (Subject to the exception in Section 7.3)
- ☐ Provider is complying with all applicable pandemic-related requirements of state, federal, and local governments and regulatory entities and is reviewing other guidance from those governments and entities.
- ☐ Provider is reviewing applicable pandemic-related guidance from other professional associations related to the care provided.
- ☐ Provider is regularly checking for updates to Health Officer orders and directives in order to stay current with pandemic-related requirements. Orders are available at www.sfdph.org/healthorders and directives are available at www.sfdph.org/directives.
- ☐ Given the nature of Dental Health Care, the Dental Care Service is:
 - ☐ Minimizing aerosol generating procedures (AGP) whenever possible;
 - ☐ For patients undergoing any routine (non-urgent, non-emergency) AGP, aware of the strong recommendation that the patient be tested for a current SARS-CoV-2 infection between 0-7 days before the scheduled AGP;
 - ☐ For follow up visits involving the same treatment plan that includes an AGP, considering repeat testing and providing educational materials to the patient instructing them how to avoid infection between visits;
 - ☐ Following PPE and infection prevention guidelines from CDC, CDPH, Cal/OSHA, and OSHA;
 - ☐ Strongly considering using a rubber dam for restorative procedures, High Volume Evacuators (HVE) and four handed dentistry for AGPs, and other Engineering and Administrative Controls to reduce aerosol generation and other risks whenever possible; and
 - ☐ Requiring Personnel to don new PPE between patients whenever PPE is observed to be visibly contaminated with blood or body fluids and requiring disinfection of PPE such as face shields or goggles using an approved method and/or disinfectants effective against SARS-CoV-2.



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-09~~bc~~

DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING REQUIRED BEST
PRACTICES FOR DENTAL HEALTH CARE

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)

DATE OF DIRECTIVE: ~~May 17~~June 15, 2020 ~~(updated May 18, 2020)~~

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues mandatory, context-specific direction permitting the provision of certain kinds of health-related care as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes context-specific guidance as provided under Sections 1 and 3 of Health Officer Order No. C19-08~~bc~~ issued on ~~May 15~~June 12, 2020 (the “Medical Care Order~~2~~”), available online at www.sfdph.org/healthorders and, unless otherwise defined below, capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect at 11:59 p.m. on ~~May 17~~June 15, 2020, and no care may be provided of the type covered by this Directive except as permitted by and subject to the restrictions of either the Medical Care Order or this Directive. As soon as the mandatory criteria for provision of care listed in this Directive are met, then a provider, facility, or office may provide the care covered by this Directive, and such care may continue to be provided by the provider, facility, or office only so long as the mandatory criteria are met. This Directive remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer, as further provided below. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Medical Care Order as well as in Health Officer Order No. C19-07~~de~~ issued on ~~May 17~~June 12, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and any updates or amendments to that order. As further provided below, this Directive also automatically incorporates any revisions to the Medical Care Order, the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede those orders or that reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements listed in Section 15.k of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, infection control measures, and other best practices, helping reduce the transmission of SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, in the health care and healing arts setting and helping safeguard the health of workers, patients and clients, and the community. This Directive No. 2020-09~~bc~~ revises and replaces Directive No. 2020-09~~b~~, issued May ~~15~~17, 2020, and updated on May 18, 2020.

UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. For any Healthcare Operation that Section 15.b of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order permits to provide care in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) during this pandemic, this Directive applies only to the aspects of that Healthcare Operation that meet all of the following criteria:
 - a. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation provides or supports the provision of the following types of care: Dental Health Care, as that term is defined in Section 3 below; and
 - b. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation has appropriate supplies (Personal Protective Equipment (“PPE”), and all other necessary medical and cleaning



Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c

supplies) and staffing to safely function in a manner that meets both regulatory requirements for staffing and operation and the community standard for the safe provision of care; and

c. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation meets all applicable requirements listed in this Directive, including Exhibit A to this Directive, at all times; and has completed and posted the two-page Health and Safety Plan checklist attached to this Directive as Exhibit B; and

e.d. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation has reviewed and meets all applicable requirements listed in Appendix A of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, as revised on June 1, 2020, and has completed and posted the two-page Social Distancing Protocol checklist included in that Appendix A.

Each such aspect of a Healthcare Operation that meets all criteria listed above is referred to by this Directive as a “Dental Care Service.”

2. This Directive permits the provision of ~~care-related to~~ Dental Health Care by the Dental Care Service of any Healthcare Operation so long as the owner, operator, manager, supervisor, Chief Executive Officer or Administrator, Chief Medical Officer or Chief of Service or Chief of Staff, or other medical supervisor of a Dental Care Service ensures that all mandatory best practices listed in Exhibit A to this Directive (the “Best Practices”), which is incorporated by this reference, are followed.
3. For purposes of this Directive, the term “Dental Health Care” means any care provided by a professional who is licensed and providing care under Chapter 4 of Division 2 of the California Business and Professions Code or by someone who is supervised by such a licensed professional. For purposes of this Directive, Dental Health Care includes but is not limited to any preventative, restorative, maintenance, cosmetic, hygiene, corrective, orthodontic, routine, diagnostic, urgent, or emergency care. Dental Health Care expressly includes any care that is “Essential” as defined by the Medical Care Order or any emergency or urgent care. But this Directive does not apply to care that is provided on an emergency basis at any general acute care hospital or urgent care center, with such care already being authorized and provided under the hospital’s or urgent care center’s standard procedures, which should include airborne precautions (N95 or higher) similar to those listed in this Directive for aerosol generating procedures. Note that care provided at an urgent care center is considered ambulatory care and is subject to Directive No. 2020-20, which is to be issued on or around June 15, 2020.
4. This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service under this Directive must stay updated regarding any changes to the Medical Care Order, the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, and this Directive by checking the City Administrator’s website (www.sfgsa.org) or the Department of Public Health website (<https://www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp>) at least weekly. Department of Public Health website (www.sfdph.org/directives) frequently.



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c

5. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service under this Directive must, before allowing Dental Health Care and related care to occur as outlined by this Directive, ~~create, adopt, and implement a written health and safety plan (a "Health and Safety Plan") addressing all applicable Best Practices attached to this Directive as Exhibit A. The Health and Safety Plan must address each requirement listed in the Best Practices by describing the plan for implementing the requirement or listing the applicable policy or policies of the Healthcare Operation that addresses the listed requirement. The Best Practices attachment is not itself intended to serve as the Health and Safety Plan, such as by having the Healthcare Operation simply check off items that have been or will be done. Rather, the contents of the Best Practices must be adapted into a separate Health and Safety Plan that describes compliance with the requirements.~~ review and implement all applicable requirements of the Best Practices, consider action based on recommendations listed in the Best Practices, and complete and post the two-page checklist attached to this Directive as Appendix B (a "Health and Safety Plan").
6. There are certain people associated with the Dental Care Service who are subject to this Directive. Specifically, people who provide or support the provision of care by the Dental Care Service are collectively referred to by this Directive and the Best Practices as "Personnel", and those people include all of the following who provide services associated with the Dental Care Services in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who perform services onsite or who deliver goods to the business); independent contractors; students who are participating in educational programs associated with their professional degree or licensure; volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services at the request of the Dental Care Service related to Dental Health Care. This Directive requires the Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service to ensure that Personnel who perform work associated with the Dental Care Service ~~are addressed by the Health and Safety Plan and~~ comply with ~~those~~ requirements of this Directive.
7. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service subject to this Directive must provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b issued on ~~April 17~~ May 28, 2020), hand sanitizer, sinks for handwashing, PPE, and disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and to the patients or clients, as required by the Best Practices. If any such Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service is unable to provide these required items or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or ~~fails to abide by its Health and Safety Plan~~ this Directive, then it must cease operating the Dental Care Service ~~for Dental Health Care~~ under this Directive until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance.
8. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service is required to take certain steps in the Health and Safety Plan related to its Personnel, including certain actions listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices if Personnel are sick. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices. Personnel of each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and must comply with the Directive, including the



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c

rules for returning to work listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices.

9. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service must: (a) make the Health and Safety Plan and Social Distancing Protocol checklists available to any patient or client, Personnel, or other member of the public on request, (b) provide a summary of the plankey aspects of its compliance plan regarding this Directive to all Personnel working onsite in relation to the Dental Care Service (except for people only temporarily on-site), and (c) post a copy of the planHealth and Safety Plan and Social Distancing Protocol checklists in any reception area of the Dental Care Service and at any key Personnel gathering or break areas related to the Dental Care Service.
10. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Healthcare Operation under the Medical Care Order (Order No. C19-08b) and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order- (Order No. C19-07e). The Healthcare Operation must follow these context-specific Best Practices in relation to each Dental Care Service and update the Health and Safety Planits compliance as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Medical Care Order and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, any other order that supersedes those orders, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.
11. This Directive becomes effective at 11:59 p.m. on May 17June 15, 2020, and will continue to be in effect until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. Effective as of 11:59 p.m. on May 17June 15, 2020, this Directive revises and replaces Directive Number 2020-09b, issued May 1517, 2020, and updated May 18, 2020.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Medical Care Order and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive or health-protective provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Medical Care Order and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: May 17June 15, 2020



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

The owner, operator, manager, supervisor, Chief Executive Officer or Administrator, Chief Medical Officer or Chief of Service or Chief of Staff, or other medical supervisor of a Dental Care Service must, as provided in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09b, ~~create, adopt, and implement a Health and Safety Plan for~~ 09c, ensure that the Dental Care Service ~~that~~ addresses each item below before the Dental Care Service is permitted to provide any patient or client care including Routine and Essential Medical Appointments or emergency or urgent health care (except for care that is provided on an emergency or urgent basis at any general acute care hospital or urgent care center). The Dental Care Service must also complete and post the Health and Safety Plan checklist attached to this Directive as Exhibit B as required by the Directive. And at all times the Dental Care Service must comply with the requirements listed below when operating under this Directive.

Directions: Any Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service under this Directive must ~~create a Health and Safety Plan for the Dental Care Service. The Health and Safety Plan must address each requirement listed below by describing how each requirement is being addressed. The list below is not intended to be used as the Health and Safety Plan by simply checking off items as having been done. Rather, the Health and Safety Plan must be a separate document and must describe ongoing compliance with these requirements.~~

implement and comply with each requirement listed below If the office or facility has written policies applicable to the Dental Care Service that satisfy a listed requirement or are more restrictive than a specific requirement of this Directive, then the office or facility may rely on its written policy to comply with the Directive's specific requirement. ~~In that situation, the office or facility's Health and Safety Plan may refer to the applicable written policy to satisfy the specific requirement or must otherwise describe the written policy.~~

Requirements:

1. Signage and Education:

- 1.1. Post signage at each public entrance of the Dental Care Service to inform all Personnel and patients or clients that they must: avoid entering the facility or location if they have any symptoms consistent with COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2 (unless they have notified the Dental Care Service in advance and precautions have been taken to protect Personnel and other patients or clients); maintain a minimum six-foot distance from others while at the facility to the extent possible; wear a face covering or barrier mask (a "Face Covering") at all times except as authorized by a healthcare provider; and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact. Criteria for Face Coverings and the requirements related to their use are set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued on ~~April 17~~ May 28, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"), including as that order is revised or replaced. Sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>.
- 1.2. Post a copy ~~or summary~~ of the Social Distancing Protocol and Health and Safety Plan checklists at each public entrance to the Dental Care Service.
- 1.3. Distribute to all Dental Care Service Personnel a summary of the Health and Safety Plan (with information on how copies may be obtained) key aspects of its compliance plan regarding this Directive and any educational materials required by the Health and Safety Plan.



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

- 1.4. Educate all Dental Care Service Personnel of the requirements of the Social Distancing Requirements of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and ~~the Health and Safety Plan~~this Directive that apply to them.

2. General Screening of Personnel and Patients or Clients:

- 2.1. Instruct all Dental Care Service Personnel orally and in writing not to come to work or the facility if they are sick, ensure that all Personnel are screened daily pursuant to the Social Distancing Protocol (which includes a one-page screening checklist), and follow the Social Distancing Protocol requirements regarding any Personnel who answer yes to any screening question.
- ~~2.2. Provide a copy of the attachment to this Exhibit, titled "Information for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and Other Businesses Permitted To Operate During the Health Emergency" (the "Attachment"), to all Dental Care Service Personnel who perform work at the Dental Care Service on a regular basis (meaning they are regularly on-site) in hardcopy format or electronically. PDF and translated versions of the Attachment can be found online at www.sfdph.org/covid19 (open the "Businesses and Employers" area of the "Information and Guidance for the Public" section). If the Attachment is updated, provide an updated copy to all Personnel.~~
- ~~2.3. Review, whether in person or by phone or email or other technology or method, the criteria listed in Part 1 of the Attachment on a daily basis with all Dental Care Service Personnel who are regularly on-site before each person enters work spaces or begins a shift. Instruct any Dental Care Service Personnel who answered yes to any question in Part 1 of the Attachment to return home or not come to work and follow the directions on the Attachment.~~
- ~~2.4. Instruct Dental Care Service Personnel who stayed home or who went home based on the criteria listed on the Attachment that they must follow the criteria as well as any applicable requirements from the quarantine and isolation directives (available online at www.sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp) before returning to work. If they are required to self-quarantine or self-isolate, they may only return to work after they have completed self-quarantine or self-isolation. If they test negative for the virus (no virus found), they may only return to work after waiting for the amount of time listed on the Attachment after their symptoms have resolved. Dental Care Service Personnel are not required to provide a medical clearance letter to return to work as long as they have met the requirements outlined on the Attachment, but the Dental Care Service may, at its option and based on the context and the safety needs of patients or clients, require proof of a negative test result in order for Personnel to return to work as outlined in the Attachment.~~
- ~~2.5. In the coming weeks the Department of Public Health may issue guidelines requiring Essential Businesses and other businesses to comply with SARS-CoV-2 testing requirements for employers and businesses. Periodically, check the following website for any testing requirements for employers and businesses: www.sfdph.org/covid19. If requirements are added, ensure that the Health and Safety Plan is updated and that the Dental Care Service and all Dental Care Service Personnel comply with testing requirements.~~
- 2.6.2.2. Patients or clients, as well as anyone accompanying them to an appointment, must be screened for symptoms in advance of and at the time of their in-person visit, including on the



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

calendar day of the visit. Attached to this Directive as Exhibit C is a one-page screening form that may be used for this process. At a minimum, such screening must occur **before the patient or client, as well as anyone accompanying them,** enters the Dental Care Service facility, office, or suite on the day of the visit to protect Personnel and other patients or clients. This screening is in addition to examining any patient or client vital signs as part of the health care being provided. For any patient or client, as well as anyone accompanying them, who has symptoms, has a current confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis, or has a current confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, in-person health care may only be provided subject to infection control practices appropriate to ensure that that care can be provided safely for the patient or client and all Personnel.

Such screening must address all of the following:

- 2.6.1-2.2.1. Within the preceding 10 days has the ~~patient or client~~ person been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus? (If so, they are generally required to self-isolate as outlined at <https://www.sfcddp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>.)
- 2.6.2-2.2.2. Does the ~~patient or client~~ person live in the same household with or have they had close contact with someone who in the preceding 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus? (If so, they are generally required to self-quarantine as outlined at <https://www.sfcddp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>.)
- 2.6.3-2.2.3. Has the ~~patient or client~~ person had any one or more of the following symptoms which is new or not explained by a pre-existing condition that day or within the preceding 24 hours? The symptoms include: fever, chills, or repeated shaking/shivering; cough; sore throat; shortness of breath or difficulty breathing; feeling unusually weak or fatigued; loss of taste or smell; muscle pain; headache; runny or congested nose; or diarrhea. If any listed symptom is present, the ~~patient or client~~ person might be positive for SARS-CoV-2 and should be referred for testing, and appropriate precautions should be taken or the care delayed.

3. Face Covering and Related PPE:

- 3.1. Face Coverings are required of all patients or clients, as well as anyone accompanying them to an appointment, seeking care from a Dental Care Service as outlined in Section 3.d6.e of the Face Covering Order. The Dental Care Service must ensure that each patient or client and any support person wears a Face Covering at all times when onsite at the facility except where the provision of care requires removal of the Face Covering or except to the extent the Face Covering Order does not require one (such as for children 12 and younger and for people with a written excuse from a physician). The Dental Care Service must provide a Face Covering for any patient or client who does not have one. When a Face Covering is not worn by the patient or client, the Dental Care Service must take other steps to minimize risk of transmission of SARS-CoV-2.
- 3.2. This Directive extends the requirements for Face Coverings to all Dental Care Service Personnel at all times when at the facility. The Dental Care Service must ensure that all Dental Care Service Personnel wear a Face Covering at all times when onsite at the facility



Best Practices for Dental Health Care

~~except where the provision of care requires removal of the Face Covering or except to the extent the Face Covering Order does not require one (such as people with a written excuse from a physician). The Dental Care Service must provide a Face Covering for all Dental Care Service Personnel. When a Face Covering is not worn by the patient or client, the Dental Care Service must take other steps to minimize risk of transmission of SARS-CoV-2. The Face Covering may be removed when the provision of care to the patient or client requires its removal. The Dental Care Service may adopt a policy that some children (such as those age three and older) must wear a Face Covering in most situations, but such a policy must include appropriate supervision of children between 3 and 12 years old to ensure they are safely wearing a Face Covering and must include appropriate exceptions similar to those in the Face Covering Order. It is important that children 12 years old and younger are supervised when wearing a Face Covering.~~

- 3.3. If Dental Care Service written policies or any local, state, or federal law, regulation, or rule require the use of medical-grade masks or other PPE masks that ~~is~~ are more protective than a Face Covering, the more protective item must be used and its use must comply with the policy or law, regulation, or rule. For clarity, this Directive's requirements regarding Face Coverings are meant to ensure that Personnel ~~and~~ patients or clients, and support persons are wearing a Face Covering or PPE mask that is more protective against the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 except where the medical procedure does not permit use of the Face Covering or PPE mask. Appropriate PPE masks must be utilized as directed by the clinical context and type of surgery or procedure being performed.

4. Physical Distancing:

- 4.1. Physical distancing of at least 6 feet/2 meters must be maintained by Dental Care Service and ~~patients or~~ clients, and support persons whenever possible. This includes at a minimum the following requirements:
- 4.1.1. In any waiting area or other area with seating, chairs should be removed or taken out of use to ensure proper distancing in other remaining chairs or seats. If a patient or client is in a waiting area with a support person ~~from the same household~~, those two may sit next to each other in a designated chair or area.
- 4.1.2. For check-in and other areas with lines, floor markings of some kind should be used ~~to ensure, to the extent possible, to create visual reminders of~~ minimum distancing.
- 4.1.3. If space is available, any patient or client who has an active SARS-CoV-2 infection or who has symptoms should be isolated away from other patients or clients and Personnel. If isolation is not possible, other steps should be taken to prevent transmission. Support persons with an active SARS-CoV-2 infection are not allowed.
- 4.1.4. The physical distancing requirements include screening of the patient or client ~~screening required, and any support person~~, on the calendar day of a visit or procedure must be done before arrival in the Dental Care Service facility, office, or suite (such as via a call the morning of the visit or a call from outside the building or in the lobby or hallway just before entry).



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

- 4.1.5. When a patient or client, as well as any support person, is in an exam or treatment room, physical distancing must be observed whenever possible.
- 4.2. The requirements for physical distancing may be tailored based on the context of a specific patient or client's clinical situation.
- 4.3. Appointments and procedures should be staggered during the day as much as possible to avoid crowding during the day.
- 4.4. Patients and clients should be encouraged to conduct visits via telephone or other remote technology like video chat when doing so does not compromise the care being provided.
5. **Hand Hygiene**:
 - 5.1. ~~Provide~~The Dental Care Service (or the building where the office is located) should have bathrooms with sinks, soap, water, and disposable paper towels available for hand washing by Personnel, patients, clients, and support persons. The Dental Care Service must also provide hand sanitizer effective against COVID-19SARS-CoV-2 at entrances and elsewhere at the facility or location for Personnel and patients or clients- and support persons. Sanitizer must also be provided to patients or clients, as well as support persons, in waiting areas. Information on hand sanitizer, including sanitizer effective against COVID-19SARS-CoV-2 and how to obtain sanitizer, is available online from the Food and Drug Administration here: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/qa-consumers-hand-sanitizers-and-covid-19>.
 - 5.2. Encourage patients or clients to wash or sanitize their hands before they touch any Dental Care Service Personnel, and require Dental Care Service Personnel to follow appropriate infection control precautions when they must touch any patients or clients.
6. **Risk Categorization, Protective Actions, and Patient or Client Testing for SARS-CoV-2**:
 - 6.1. To evaluate the transmission risk or SARS-CoV-2 in health care settings, including dentistry, providers must consider the per-patient risk and the cumulative risk. More than half of persons with SARS-CoV-2 infections in the community have no symptoms but are contagious. If the risk of exposure from an asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic patient in the community is between 0.5% to 1% (0.005 to 0.01), then after seeing 60 patients from the community, the cumulative risk of exposure to a contagious patient in the clinical setting is from 26% to 45%. This may constitute the risk faced by a particular clinic or office in the course of a week, or even in the course of a day or two depending on patient volume. Because of the high cumulative risk of a contagious asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic patient in the care setting, the requirements of this Directive must be followed.
 - 6.2. In-person care has different kinds of risks associated with the nature of the care being provided. It is not possible to list each type of Dental Health Care by risk type. But given the realities of Dental Health Care, where the patient usually sits for more than brief periods within six feet of Personnel (sometimes for an hour or longer), where the patient is usually unable to wear any Face Covering or other protective barrier mask during the procedure, and where procedures almost always involve bodily fluids such as saliva and a risk of exposure to blood or the generation of aerosols, Dental Health Care is inherently higher risk than other



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

kinds of ambulatory care. Accordingly, this Directive includes requirements and recommendations for implementation of various Engineering Controls, Administrative Controls, Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), and testing.

- 6.3. Given the nature of Dental Health Care, the requirements of this Directive listed above for Face Coverings, hand hygiene, and physical distancing are not sufficient to adequately reduce the risk of exposure in most cases. Accordingly, these additional requirements must be followed by the Dental Care Service:

6.3.1. The service should minimize aerosol generating procedures (AGP) whenever possible.

6.1.1.6.3.2. For patients or clients undergoing any ~~aerosol generating procedure, routine (non-urgent, non-emergency)~~ AGP, it is strongly recommended that the patient or client ~~must be tested~~ be tested via reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) testing for a current SARS-CoV-2 infection between 0-7 days before the scheduled aerosol generating procedure (which can be a rapid test, if available, the day of the procedure), AGP, with the results being reported to or shared with the Dental Care Service before the procedure if the test is not a rapid test performed in-house by the Dental Care Service. In the future, when and if a rapid test becomes available to the Dental Care Service, the test must be performed during this window. The test should be performed as close to the day of the ~~procedure~~ AGP as possible. This test is in addition to the screening requirements on the day of the scheduled procedure. A test is not required for other pre- or post-procedure care that does not include an aerosol generating procedure-AGP. A test is not required for any emergency or urgent care so long as other precautions are taken to protect against the risk of transmission (such as patient screening and use of appropriate PPE).—), but a rapid test is strongly recommended, if possible, for any AGP.

6.3.3. For follow up visits involving the same treatment plan that includes an AGP, repeat RT-PCR testing is at the discretion of the Dental Care Service. The Dental Care Service must provide educational materials to the patient instructing them how to avoid infection between visits.

6.3.4. The Dental Care Service must follow PPE and infection prevention guidelines from United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the California Department of Public Health, the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (known as Cal/OSHA), and the United States Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health Administration (known as OSHA). When guidelines differ, the more health protective applies (e.g., the one with the higher level of PPE, more protective work practices, and/or stronger Engineering and Administrative Controls).

6.3.5. The Dental Care Service is strongly recommended to use a rubber dam for restorative procedures, High Volume Evacuators (HVE) and four handed dentistry for AGPs, and other Engineering and Administrative Controls to reduce aerosol generation and other risks whenever possible.

6.3.6. Personnel are required to don new PPE between patients whenever PPE is observed to be visibly contaminated with blood or body fluids. PPE such as face shields or goggles



Exhibit A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (issued 6/15/20)

Best Practices for Dental Health Care

must be disinfected using an approved method and/or disinfectants effective against SARS-CoV-2.

6.2.6.4. Nothing in this Directive prohibits a Dental Care Service from requiring additional diagnostic or serology testing of a patient or client.

7. Reporting and Cooperation Requirements Regarding SARS-CoV-2:

7.1. Each Healthcare Operation must promptly report any ~~confirmed~~ COVID-19 case and any ~~confirmed~~ patient, client, or Personnel SARS-CoV-2 infection as required by local, state, and federal laws, regulations, and rules.

7.2. In addition, each Dental Care Service must promptly (within 24 hours, and preferably during daytime hours) report to DPH Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) at 415-554-2830 ~~at each~~ of the following except as excused by Section 7.3:

7.2.1. Any instance where a patient ~~or~~, client, or support person is confirmed to have had an active SARS-CoV-2 infection at the time of any Dental Health Care or related in-person care and the Dental Care Service did not know about the infection at the time of the Dental Health Care or other in-person care;

7.2.2. Any instance where ~~any~~ member of the Dental Health Service Personnel is confirmed to have had an active SARS-CoV-2 infection at the time of an in-person interaction onsite with any patient ~~or~~, client, or support person and the Dental Health Service did not know in advance of the in-person interaction about the infection; and

7.2.3. Any instance where there has been likely or confirmed transmission of SARS-CoV-2 onsite between Personnel, patients, clients, or ~~Personnel~~ support persons, including among Personnel or among patients ~~or~~, clients, or support persons associated with in-person care provided onsite by the Dental Health Service.

7.3. A hospital with quality management and/or infection prevention and control programs that are overseen by the hospital's Medical Staff does not need to report occurrences listed in subsections 7.2.1 and 7.2.2 above to DPH so long as the incident is reported and reviewed by its quality control or infection control program.

7.3.7.4. The Healthcare Operation is required to provide all information associated with this Directive requested by DPH, the Health Officer, or the Health Officer's designee. Such disclosure includes protected health information or other health information of patients or clients and information, including confidential employment and health information, about Personnel where the disclosure is limited to the minimum amount necessary for public health purposes and where any such information that is confidential must be protected by DPH and the Health Officer as required by law.

7.4.7.5. Each Healthcare Operation must cooperate with DPH, the Health Officer, or the Health Officer's designee in relation to action required by DPH, the Health Officer, ~~or~~, or the Health Officer's designee that relates to any information reported under this Directive.

8. Other Requirements:



Best Practices for Dental Health Care

8. On May 7, 2020, the California Department of Public Health (“CDPH”) issued a document outlining relevant considerations for returning to offering non-emergency care. The document, titled “Guidance for Resuming Deferred and Preventative Dental Health Care”, is available online at [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/Guidance for Resuming Deferred and Preventive Dental Care .aspx](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/Guidance%20for%20Resuming%20Deferred%20and%20Preventive%20Dental%20Care.aspx). A copy of that document is attached to the Directive as Exhibit B and is incorporated into the Directive by this reference. The Healthcare Operation must review this document, including as it is amended or supplemented by the California Department of Public Health in the future, and must address each of its considerations and requirements in the Health and Safety Plan. Cleaning

8.1. The Dental Care Service must implement routine cleaning and disinfection procedures, including using cleaners and water to pre-clean surfaces prior to applying an Environmental Protection Agency-registered hospital-grade disinfectant to frequently touched surfaces or objects for the appropriate contact times for SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare settings, including those patient-care areas in which aerosol generating procedures are performed. This includes use of disinfecting liquid, wipe, or spray products that are effective against SARS-CoV-2 when disinfecting high touch surfaces. A list of products approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against SARS-CoV-2 can be found online at <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>. Products must be used in strict accordance with the product label and manufacturer’s instructions, including but not limited to the manufacturer’s specified dwell (wet contact time) for SARS-CoV-2, which can be obtained from the product manufacturer or found on the EPA list linked above.

8.2. Providers must stay up to date with practice-related recommendations issued by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the California Department of Public Health, other governmental or regulatory agencies, and professional organizations. The cleaning and disinfection procedures of the Dental Care Service must include appropriate cleaning and environmental controls as outlined by these sources. One resource for cleaning information is the CDC page “Guidance for Dental Settings,” available online at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/dental-settings.html>, including the sections on “Environmental Infection Control” and “Sterilization and Disinfection of Patient-Care Items.”

Such controls should include implementing wait times after procedures to both allow particles to settle and/or be captured or removed by ventilation systems before cleaning; see the CDC recommendations available online at <https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/environmental/appendix/air.html#tableb1>. Technologies or techniques including but not limited to HEPA filtration, ultraviolet (light) germicidal irradiation (UVGI) systems, photocatalytic oxidation (PCO) purifiers, and extra-oral suction units may be used to supplement general ventilation. If an activity-, equipment-, and facility-specific risk assessment is performed, wait times may be modified.

8.3. All areas where patient or client care takes place must, at a minimum, undergo standard cleaning between each patient or client use. This includes any toys or other materials used by children in clinical or waiting areas. This also includes any tools that are used by Personnel when providing care.

9. Other Requirements



Best Practices for Dental Health Care

9.1. ~~Discontinue the use of magazines and other shared items in waiting areas. Toys may be provided for use by children so long as they are appropriately cleaned as required by Section 8.3 above.~~

8.1.9.2. The Health and Safety Plan must ensure that all patients and clients are provided care in a manner that protects the safety and health of patients, clients, and Personnel. If the California Department of Public Health ("CDPH") issues any pandemic-related guidelines or requirements ~~related to~~ regarding Dental Health Care in the future, each Dental Care Service must review such guidelines or requirements and update its Health and Safety Plan provision of care under this Directive accordingly. If CDPH requires pandemic-related processes or protections that are more health-protective than those listed in this Directive, the most health-protective apply and must be followed.

~~8.2. For sake of clarity, each Dental Care Service that performs any aerosol generating procedure, and such procedures are common in Dental Health Care, must implement adequate airborne precautions for each such procedure, which include but are not limited to the use of N95 or higher grade PPE and all other protections appropriate for the procedure. The Health and Safety Plan must detail these protections.~~

8.3.9.3. If the Dental Care Service performs procedures or offers care of a type that are the subject of recommendations or guidance of a professional association in the field (such as the American Dental Association (ADA), American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons, American Academy of Periodontology, or other similar professional bodies) or a government agency including but not limited to the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (known as Cal/OSHA), and the United States Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health Administration (known as OSHA), the Dental Care Service should review and consider implementing appropriate recommendations related to the pandemic and should update its Health and Safety Plan compliance with this Directive over time based on such recommendations with the focus of protecting patients, clients, and Personnel.

9.4. The Health Officer r may revise this Directive and add additional requirements in the future to ensure that Dental Health Care is provided in the safest possible manner during this pandemic.

Checklist

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c (Exhibit B) Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/15/2020)

Each Dental Care Service (the "Provider" or "Business/Entity") must complete, post onsite, and follow this Health and Safety Plan.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name: _____ Contact name: _____

Facility Address: _____ Contact telephone: _____

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this plan.)

- ☐ Provider has posted a checklist and complies with all requirements set forth in the Social Distancing Protocol included in Health Officer Order No. C19-07e, available at www.sfdph.org/healthorders, including any amendments to that order.
- ☐ Provider is familiar with and complies with all requirements set forth in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c, available at www.sfdph.org/directives.
- ☐ If any procedure or type of encounter is not allowed by the State of California, it is not allowed under this Directive.
- ☐ Provider is offering remote services to patients to the extent possible.
- ☐ Provider is ensuring that all Personnel are screened daily as required by the Social Distancing Protocol and instructing those who respond "yes" to any question to stay home for the appropriate amount of time and to get tested for the SARS-CoV-2 virus.
- ☐ Provider is screening each patient and any support person before and at the time of each appointment before the patient and any support person enters the building or waiting room area, and Provider is taking all required and appropriate precautions to protect patients, support persons, and Personnel. This includes appropriately isolating any patient with an active SARS-CoV-2 infection from other patients and Personnel or postponing care. Support persons with active SARS-CoV-2 infections are not allowed.
- ☐ Provider is familiar with and complies with all Face Covering requirements for patients, support persons, and Personnel set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, available at www.sfdph.org/healthorders, including any amendments to that order, as well as any additional requirements for Personal Protective Equipment discussed in this Directive.
- ☐ Provider is ensuring that the six foot physical distancing requirements are followed where feasible, including by reducing the number of chairs available in waiting rooms.
- ☐ Provider is following all required cleaning and disinfection/sanitizing requirements in compliance with this Directive and state and federal laws and regulations.

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: New directive re ambulatory care (No. 2020-20)
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 7:44:00 PM
Attachments: [2020.06.16 FINAL Signed Ambulatory Care and Healing Arts Directive.pdf](#)
[Directive 2020-20 - Table of Risk Categories.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Directive of the Health Officer No. 2020-20, received by the Office of the Clerk of the Board at 7:33 p.m. on June 16, 2020.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-20

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING REQUIRED BEST
PRACTICES FOR AMBULATORY CARE SERVICES, INCLUDING COUNSELING
AND OTHER HEALING ARTS**

(PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIVE)
DATE OF DIRECTIVE: June 16, 2020

By this Directive, the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) issues mandatory, context-specific direction permitting the provision of certain kinds of health-related care as part of the local response to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic. This Directive constitutes context-specific guidance as provided under Sections 1 and 3 of Health Officer Order No. C19-08b issued on May 15, 2020 (the “Medical Care Order”, available online at www.sfdph.org/healthorders) and, unless otherwise defined below, capitalized terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given them in that order. This Directive goes into effect immediately upon issuance, and no care may be provided of the type covered by this Directive except as permitted by and subject to the restrictions of either the Medical Care Order or this Directive. As soon as the mandatory criteria for provision of care listed in this Directive are met, then a provider, facility, or office may provide the care covered by this Directive, and such care may continue to be provided by the provider, facility, or office only so long as the mandatory criteria are met. This Directive remains in effect until suspended, superseded, or amended by the Health Officer, as further provided below. This Directive has support in the bases and justifications set forth in the Medical Care Order as well as in Health Officer Order No. C19-07e updated on June 11, 2020 (the “Stay-Safe-At-Home Order”) and any updates or amendments to those orders. As further provided below, this Directive also automatically incorporates any revisions to the Medical Care Order, the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, or other future orders issued by the Health Officer that supersede those orders or that reference this Directive. This Directive is intended to promote best practices as to Social Distancing Requirements listed in Section 15.k of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, infection control measures, and other best practices, helping reduce the transmission of SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, in the health care and healing arts setting and helping safeguard the health of workers, patients and clients, and the community.

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTS AS
FOLLOWS:**

- 1. For any Healthcare Operation that Section 15.b of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order permits to provide care in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) during this pandemic, this Directive applies only to the aspects of that Healthcare Operation that meet all of the following criteria:**
 - a. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation provides or supports the provision of the following types of care: Ambulatory Care, as that term is defined in Section 3 below; Counseling, as that term is defined in Section 4 below; or Healing Arts Services, as that term is defined in Section 5 below; and**



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20

- b. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation has appropriate supplies (Personal Protective Equipment (“PPE”), and all other necessary medical and cleaning supplies) and staffing to safely function in a manner that meets both regulatory requirements for staffing and operation and the community standard for the safe provision of care; and**
- c. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation meets all applicable requirements listed in this Directive, including Appendix A to this Directive, at all times; and**
- d. The aspect of the Healthcare Operation has reviewed and meets all applicable requirements listed in Appendix A of the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, as revised on June 1, 2020, and has completed and posted the two-page Social Distancing Protocol checklist included in that Appendix A.**

Each such aspect of a Healthcare Operation that meets all criteria listed above is referred to by this Directive as an “Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts.”

- 2. This Directive permits the provision of care by the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, of any Healthcare Operation so long as the owner, operator, manager, supervisor, Chief Executive Officer or Administrator, Chief Medical Officer or Chief of Service or Chief of Staff, or other medical or managerial supervisor of the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, ensures that all mandatory best practices listed in Appendix A to this Directive (the “Best Practices”), which is incorporated by this reference, are followed and a Health and Safety Plan checklist is completed, followed, and posted in the form attached to this Directive as Appendix B.**
- 3. For purposes of this Directive, the term “Ambulatory Care” means any health care provided by a person who is both (i) providing care or care-related services on an outpatient basis and (ii) either licensed under any Chapter of Division 2 of the California Business and Professions Code (except for the Chapters listed below in this Section 3) or is supervised by such a licensed professional. For purposes of this Directive, Ambulatory Care includes but is not limited to any preventative, routine, diagnostic, cosmetic, hygiene, corrective, urgent, or emergency care.**

By way of example, Ambulatory Care includes but is not limited to: routine or diagnostic visits in a clinic, doctor’s office, or practice group office; outpatient dialysis or infusion; or physical therapy, and Ambulatory Care frequently includes but is not limited to care provided by the following types of professionals: physician or surgeon; doctor of osteopathy; nurse practitioner; nurse midwife; physician’s assistant; registered nurse; optometrist; optician; and nutritionist.

For purposes of this Section 3, Ambulatory Care does not include care provided by anyone licensed under the following Chapters of Division 2 of the California Business and Professions Code: Chapter 4 (Dentistry); Chapters 6.6 (Psychologists), 13 (Licensed Marriage and Family Therapists), 13.5 (Licensed Educational Psychologists), 13.7 (Board of Behavioral Sciences), 14 (Social Workers), or 16 (Licensed Professional Clinical Counselors); Chapters 2 (Chiropractors),



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20

10.5 (Massage Therapy), 10.7 (Music Therapy), or 12 (Acupuncture); or Chapter 11 (Veterinary Medicine).

4. For purposes of this Directive, the term “Counseling” means any care provided by a person who is both (i) providing care or care-related services on an outpatient basis and (ii) either licensed under Chapters 6.6 (Psychologists), 13 (Licensed Marriage and Family Therapists), 13.5 (Licensed Educational Psychologists), 13.7 (Board of Behavioral Sciences), 14 (Social Workers), or 16 (Licensed Professional Clinical Counselors) of Division 2 of the California Business and Professions Code or is supervised by such a licensed professional. For purposes of this Directive, Counseling includes but is not limited to talking therapy, cognitive behavioral therapy, family therapy, group therapy, and other therapy modalities provided on a routine, urgent, or emergency basis.
5. For purposes of this Directive, the term “Healing Arts Services” means any care provided by a person who is both (i) providing care or care-related services on an outpatient basis and (ii) either licensed under Chapters 2 (Chiropractors), 10.5 (Massage Therapy), 10.7 (Music Therapy), or 12 (Acupuncture) of Division 2 of the California Business and Professions Code or is supervised by such a licensed professional. The term “Healing Arts Services” also includes anyone practicing other complementary or non-traditional modalities not licensed under Chapter 8.2 of Division 2 of the California Business and Professions Code, including but not limited to Ayurveda, homeopathy, naturopathy, or Chinese or Eastern medicine and herbalists. For purposes of this Directive, Healing Arts Services include but are not limited to massage, Reiki, Rolfing, cupping, other types of body work, and herbalist services provided on a routine, urgent, or emergency basis.
6. If any procedure or type of encounter is not allowed by the State of California, it is not allowed under this Directive. If the State of California imposes restrictions or requirements on any procedure, care, or type of encounter covered by this Directive, then the provider must comply with this Directive’s requirements and also any restrictions or requirements imposed by the State of California. Also, there are certain types of care that are still prohibited given the risks.

Until this Directive is updated or amended to modify this Section 6, the following types of care are not allowed to operate in the City:

- a. Massage therapy (as licensed by Chapter 2 of Division 2 of the California Business and Professions Code);
- b. Other body-work such as Reiki, Rolfing, cupping, and structural integration (but licensed physical therapy, acupuncture, and chiropractor services are allowed);
- c. Any care that involves in-person singing, yelling (such as primal scream therapy), or playing wind or brass instruments, all of which increase the number, speed, and size of exhaled particles and therefore pose an increased risk; and
- d. Any in-person group therapy (but small groups, up to a maximum of 12 people including the therapist(s), are allowed so long as: all persons comply



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20

with the Face Covering Order; all persons are seated or participate no closer than six feet from the nearest person; the provider of the therapy complies with the attached Best Practices, including determining if ventilation can be increased, leaving the space empty for an appropriate period between groups, and cleaning and disinfecting between groups).

7. The term Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, does not include any care that is provided on an emergency, urgent, or inpatient basis at any general acute care hospital, adult psychiatric hospital, skilled nursing facility, or other residential care or treatment facility, with such care already being authorized and provided pursuant to such facility's standard procedures and any applicable licensing and regulatory requirements. Such care is referred to in this Directive as "Inpatient, Residential, or Emergency Care." But the term Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, does apply to any clinic this is co-located at or affiliated with any Inpatient, Residential, or Emergency Care facility.
8. The term Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, includes care received from any urgent care center (except for an emergency room or hospital-based emergency department).
9. This Directive does not apply to dental care provided pursuant to Health Officer Directive Nos. 2020-09c (dental care) or 2020-08 (elective surgery), including as those directives are updated or amended in the future.
10. This Directive and the attached Best Practices may be revised by the Health Officer, through revision of this Directive or another future directive or order, as conditions relating to COVID-19 require, in the discretion of the Health Officer. Each Healthcare Operation that operates a Dental Care Service under this Directive must stay updated regarding any changes to the Medical Care Order, the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, and this Directive by checking the Department of Public Health website (www.sfdph.org/directives) frequently.
11. Each Healthcare Operation that operates an Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, under this Directive must, before allowing such care to occur as outlined by this Directive, review and implement all applicable requirements of the Best Practices, consider action based on recommendations listed in the Best Practices, and complete and post the two-page checklist attached to this Directive as Appendix B (a "Health and Safety Plan").
12. There are certain people associated with the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, who are subject to this Directive. Specifically, people who provide or support the provision of care by the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, are collectively referred to by this Directive and the Best Practices as "Personnel", and those people include all of the following who provide services associated with the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, in the City: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who perform services onsite or who deliver goods to the business); independent contractors; students who are participating in educational programs associated with their professional degree or licensure; volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services at the request of



Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20

the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, related to care being provided. This Directive requires the Healthcare Operation that operates an Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, to ensure that Personnel who perform work associated with the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, comply with the requirements of this Directive.

- 13. Each Healthcare Operation that operates an Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, subject to this Directive must provide items such as Face Coverings (as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b issued on May 28, 2020), hand sanitizer, sinks for handwashing, PPE, and disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and to the patients or clients, as required by the Best Practices. If any such Healthcare Operation that operates an Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, is unable to provide these required items or otherwise fails to comply with required Best Practices or this Directive, then it must cease operating the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, under this Directive until it can fully comply and demonstrate its strict compliance.**
- 14. Each Healthcare Operation that operates an Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, is required to take certain steps in the Health and Safety Plan related to its Personnel, including certain actions listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices if Personnel are sick. Each Healthcare Operation that operates an Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices. Personnel of each Healthcare Operation that operates an Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and must comply with the Directive, including the rules for returning to work listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 of the Best Practices.**
- 15. Each Healthcare Operation that operates an Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must: (a) make the Health and Safety Plan and Social Distancing Protocol checklists available to any patient or client, Personnel, or other member of the public on request, (b) provide a summary of the key aspects of its compliance plan regarding this Directive to all Personnel working onsite in relation to the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts (except for people only temporarily on-site), and (c) post a copy of the Health and Safety Plan and Social Distancing Protocol checklists in any reception area of the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, and at any key Personnel gathering or break areas related to the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts.**
- 16. Implementation of this Directive augments—but does not limit—the obligations of each Healthcare Operation under the Medical Care Order (Order No. C19-08b) and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order (Order No. C19-07e). The Healthcare Operation must follow these context-specific Best Practices in relation to each Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, and update its compliance as necessary for the duration of this Directive, including, without limitation, as this Directive is amended or extended in writing by the Health Officer and consistent with any extension of the Medical Care Order and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order,**



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20

any other order that supersedes those orders, and any Health Officer order that references this Directive.

17. This Directive becomes effective immediately on issuance and will continue to be in effect until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer.

This Directive is issued in furtherance of the purposes of the Medical Care Order and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order. Where a conflict exists between this Directive and any state, local, or federal public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive or health-protective provision controls. Failure to carry out this Directive is a violation of the Medical Care Order and the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: June 16, 2020



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Health Officer Directive

Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and Other Healing Arts

Each Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, that operates in the City must comply with each requirement listed below and prepare and post a Health and Safety Plan checklist substantially in the format of Appendix B, below.

Requirements:

1. General Requirements

- 1.1. Complete, post, and comply with the Social Distancing Protocol and checklist attached as Appendix A to Health Officer Order No. C19-07e, updated on June 11, 2020 (the "Stay-Safe-At-Home Order"), including as that order is updated or amended in the future. The Stay-Safe-At-Home Order and checklist are available online at www.sfdph.org/healthorders. When completing the Social Distancing Protocol checklist, keep in mind that the terms "business" or "entity" refer to the provider of care and the term "patron" refers to patients and clients and any support persons.
- 1.2. Require Personnel, patients, and clients, as well as support persons, to, at a minimum, wear Face Coverings as provided in Health Order No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"), including as that order is amended in the future, at all times while in the facility or waiting to enter the facility unless this Directive requires or permits other conduct on the same topic. The Face Covering Order is available online at www.sfdph.org/healthorders. Note that under this Directive, an isolation mask or more protective Personal Protective Equipment is typically required (in healthcare settings) or is recommended (in Counseling and certain other settings).
- 1.3. All in-person care must comply with the requirements listed in this Directive, regardless of whether the care is emergent, urgent, or routine. But some requirements do not apply to some types of care, as outlined below.
- 1.4. Providers of Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must continue to offer remote services via telephone, email, secure apps, or video conference to the extent possible in order to minimize in-person care and must do so in a manner that protects the confidentiality of patient or client information as required by law.
- 1.5. To the extent that any provider of Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, provides services that are covered by another Health Officer directive, the other directive also applies to those services. That includes any dental health care (which is subject to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-09c) and any procedure involving general anesthesia or conscious sedation (which are subject to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-08). Other directives are available online at www.sfdph.org/directives.
- 1.6. If any procedure or type of encounter is not allowed by the State of California, it is not allowed under this Directive. If the State of California imposes restrictions or requirements on any procedure, care, or type of encounter, then the provider must comply with this Directive's requirements and also any restrictions or requirements imposed by the State of California. If any type of care is only allowed under a variance granted by the



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

State of California (such as for massage as a Healing Art), then that type of care is only allowed under this Directive after a variance has been granted and the Health Officer indicates through an update to Section 6 of this Directive (the main body of the Directive, not this Appendix A) that such care is allowed in the City. Section 6 of the Directive also lists other types of care that are limited or prohibited.

Note: Compliance with these Best Practices and the Directive does not substitute for or supersede an employer's obligations under the Aerosol Transmissible Disease Standard of the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (known as Cal/OSHA), codified at 8 CCR §5199. This Standard can be reviewed online at <https://www.dir.ca.gov/title8/5199.html>.

2. Prioritization of Care

- 2.1. Providers of Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, may not be able to return to offering services at full capacity for some time given social distancing constraints, availability of Personal Protective Equipment, challenges faced by Personnel, and other factors. Such providers have also been limited in the number of patients or clients they have been allowed to see for in-person care since March 2020. For all these reasons, there will be a backlog of patients and clients seeking care moving forward. Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must create a methodology for prioritizing the scheduling of services (a "Priority Strategy") moving forward with a goal of minimizing patient or client negative outcomes. The Priority Strategy can be formal or informal and does not need to be written, but it should be made accounting for the considerations listed in this Section 2.
- 2.2. Providers of Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must implement the Priority Strategy in a nondiscriminatory manner (subject to the other prioritization recommendations of this Directive) in regards to race, color, national origin, disability, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender, gender expression, religion, ancestry, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status.
- 2.3. Providers of Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, should prioritize emergency and urgent care, to the extent they provide such care, above routine care.
- 2.4. Ambulatory Care providers that provide medical care must prioritize in the Priority Strategy the following critical services to the extent they are applicable to the Ambulatory Care provider (and Counseling or Healing Arts providers may consider these factors):
 - 2.4.1. CDC-recommended immunizations for all age groups, but particularly for infants, toddlers, and school-age children. A list of such immunizations is available online at <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/schedules/>.
 - 2.4.2. Time-sensitive exams, testing, or procedures related to pregnancy or contraceptive use or that may result in harm if deferred.



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

- 2.4.3. Mental health and substance use disorder medication administration, distribution, and monitoring services (*i.e.*, long acting injectables, observed medication distribution/dosing, methadone).
- 2.4.4. Preventive services testing or procedures that follow the United States Preventive Services Task Force A and B recommendations, which are available online at <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation-topics/uspstf-and-b-recommendations>.
- 2.5. Providers of Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, should also take into account the following groups and considerations, to the extent applicable, when creating the Priority Strategy in order to prioritize care for those who would benefit the most, subject to the provider's discretion regarding its own context:
 - 2.5.1. Patients seeking care related to contraception or pregnancy;
 - 2.5.2. Newborns, infants, and toddlers;
 - 2.5.3. People experiencing homelessness;
 - 2.5.4. Recently hospitalized patients requiring follow-up;
 - 2.5.5. Persons with hearing impairment or other communication challenges, including limited English proficiency in settings where telephone interpretation is not available;
 - 2.5.6. Adults and children with complex medical or behavioral health needs who would benefit from in-person communication or care;
 - 2.5.7. Exams or tests required for school or employment;
 - 2.5.8. Patients with communicable diseases of public health importance, including HIV, tuberculosis, and sexually transmitted infections (STIs);
 - 2.5.9. Elderly patients, and those with serious conditions, chronic or otherwise, that have had to defer routine visits during this pandemic;
 - 2.5.10. Persons seeking non-emergency care related to domestic violence and sexual assault; and
 - 2.5.11. Any other considerations that are relevant to the care provided by the individual provider of Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, making the Priority Strategy.



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

3. Risk Categorization

- 3.1. In-person care has different kinds of risks associated with the nature of the care being provided. It is not possible to list each type of Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, by risk type. For that reason, this Section 3 lists the basic relevant considerations and includes a table categorizing risks and required protections associated with each risk level.
- 3.2. Providers of Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and Healing Arts, must assess the risk level of every service they provide and implement the level of protection required for each by using the protocol listed in this Section 3. In some instances the required protections vary depending on whether the care is emergent (emergency), urgent, or routine/diagnostic.
- 3.3. **Risk Factors**. The Risk Factors relevant to determining the risk associated with in-person care include the following, and each provider of Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must assess these factors for each service they perform to determine the Risk Category. The factors are:
 - 3.3.1. **Aerosol generating procedures** (each an “AGP”). If the procedure involves an AGP, then there is elevated risk due to the possibility of virus transmission via the generated aerosol. Some procedures that increase patient exertion, such as cardiac stress testing or certain rehabilitation services procedures (physical therapy, occupational therapy, and speech-language therapy), may also increase production of respiratory aerosols. Note that some procedures, including but not limited to moderate sedation, can lead to intubation and thus have a risk of eventually involving an AGP—in those situations, the provider should consider using AGP precautions. Also, while the CDC does not consider collection of samples via oropharyngeal or nasopharyngeal swab to be AGPs, the provider should consider whether to apply the AGP standards of this Directive to those procedures since there is likely some heightened risk associated with such swab procedures.
 - 3.3.2. **Face Covering or masking**. Personnel, patients, clients, and support persons are required by the Face Covering Order to wear a Face Covering or more protective mask at all times during in-person care except to the extent required for the provision of care, such as during an oral exam. The longer the duration the patient or client does not wear a Face Covering or more protective mask, the higher the risk.
 - 3.3.3. **Duration**. Even when Face Coverings are worn and there is no physical contact, long duration in-person encounters are higher risk than shorter ones.
 - 3.3.4. **Physical proximity, including contact**. The risk of the encounter is increased when the physical distance between the provider and the patient or client is reduced, when there is physical contact, and when the counter occurs in an enclosed space.



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

3.3.5. Ventilation. Purpose-built healthcare facilities have ventilation systems which typically provide greater ventilation rates (air exchange) than other types of commercial buildings and do not recirculate (recycle) part of their air. Buildings which do not provide healthcare facility-type ventilation may increase the risk of transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus during AGPs and require more time before rooms can be entered by unprotected staff or clients.

3.3.6. Other considerations. Based on the context, the care provider can treat a procedure as involving more risk if other factors warrant. For example, new a patient with a new psychiatric diagnosis that makes uncertain their compliance with the Protective Actions listed below should be considered higher risk.

3.4. Protective Actions. The types of Protective Actions that must be taken or are recommended depending on the Risk Category include the following:

3.4.1. Engineering Controls, which are generally physical modifications to a process, changes to existing equipment, or the installation of further equipment with the goal of preventing the risks in the care setting. Examples include:

Barriers to separate staff from clients;

Specialized tools equipped with “extractors” which provide suction during an AGP to capture particles close to the point of emission;

Local exhaust ventilation to capture droplets and aerosols before they can spread through a room or the facility; and

General ventilation with healthcare-level ventilation rates and increased fresh air.

3.4.2. Administrative Controls, which are training, procedure, policy, or shift designs that lessen the risks in the care setting. Administrative Controls generally focus on changing the behavior of people rather than removing a hazard. Some of the requirements in this Directive that require implementation regarding screening of patients, clients, and support persons before they arrive are examples of Administrative Controls. Another example is the idea of letting a room remain empty for a specific amount of time after a patient, client, or support person is in the room in order to allow particles to settle or be captured or removed by the building’s ventilation before appropriate Cleaning (as defined below).

3.4.3. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), which is protective clothing, goggles, or other garments or equipment designed to protect the wearer from infectious disease agents. In the current context, PPE includes but is not limited to: isolation masks, N95 respirators, gloves, isolation gowns, and eye and face protection such as goggles or face shields. For purposes of this Directive, respirators with exhalation valves/one-way valves are not allowed unless they are equipped with some type of filtration or cover approved by a professional familiar with respirator function. This restriction on exhalation valves/one-way valves is due to the fact that such valves, if



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

used without filtration or a cover, only protect the wearer and put others at higher risk by creating a focused jet of exhaled air and particles.

- 3.4.4. Testing, which for the purpose of this Directive refers to testing for current infection with SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19. Unless otherwise indicated, any requirement or recommendation for Testing in this Directive refers to diagnostic testing using a viral test for current infection that is approved for that purpose by the United States Food & Drug Administration. The term “Advance Testing” refers to the use of diagnostic Testing any time starting seven days before an appointment to the time of the appointment to determine if a person has an active SARS-CoV-2 infection. If Testing is required or recommended by this Directive, the Testing must occur before the visit.

When the care being provided extends over the course of multiple appointments—such as rehabilitation services procedures (physical therapy, occupational therapy, and speech-language therapy), a procedure that requires a patient to return after a week for follow-up care, or regular weekly appointments—and includes a procedure that requires or has recommended Testing, Testing does not need to occur for each such visit. Rather, the Testing should occur before the first visit that has the heightened risk, and the provider may then counsel the patient or client on ways to avoid infection by SARS-CoV-2 between future appointments. So long as the subsequent appointments include screening and discussion of risks, repeat Testing may be postponed for a reasonable period.

Nothing in this Directive prohibits an Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, from requiring testing of a patient or client beyond what is outlined by this Directive.

- 3.4.5. Cleaning, which refers to the use of appropriate products to clean surfaces and then disinfect or sanitize them using methods that are effective against SARS-CoV-2. See Section 9 below for additional information about Cleaning.
- 3.5. Other considerations. When other factors make the encounter or procedure riskier because certain standard precautions cannot be taken, the provider should treat the encounter under a higher Risk Category.
- 3.6. Change in circumstances. During an encounter or appointment, the context may change such that the Risk Category of the encounter may increase. For example, a routine physical exam may reveal information that requires more extensive visualization of the mouth cavity. When this happens, providers must take steps in line with the increased Risk Category for the procedure.
- 3.7. When considering Engineering and Administrative Controls, providers should take steps they feel appropriate even if they go beyond the requirements of this Directive. For example, long in-person encounters may benefit from opening a window that opens to the outside in order to increase airflow, but that may not be appropriate for all contexts depending on the nature of the procedure.



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

3.8. Risk Categories. The following table lists the three Risk Categories associated with the Risk Factors listed above. Each provider of Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must comply with the listed Minimum Protective Actions and other requirements associated with the Risk Category of a procedure or service when offering care under this Directive. Providers are free to take additional Protective Actions beyond the minimum listed actions, per their judgment.

**Table: Risk Categories for Ambulatory Care Services,
including Counseling and the Healing Arts**

***Note:** The third column lists the minimum protective actions. A provider of care should use their best judgment and may use additional protections. For N95 respirators to be most effective, they require fit testing and training.

Risk Category		
Criteria and Risk Factors	Minimum Protective Actions*	Is Advance Testing Required/Recommended?
1 - Lower		
a) No AGP, <u>and</u> b) patient or client, and any support person, will wear a Face Covering or an isolation mask the entire encounter (but temporary removal is permitted during the encounter, such as an oral exam as part of general physical exam), <u>and</u> c) nobody answered “yes” to any screening question.	<u>For client/patient/support person:</u> An isolation mask is required in healthcare settings; a Face Covering is required in other settings (Counseling or Healing Arts) but an isolation mask may be used. <u>For provider:</u> Standard precautions, plus an isolation mask is required in healthcare settings; a Face Covering is required in other settings (Counseling or Healing Arts) but an isolation mask may be used.	Not required or recommended.



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

2 - Medium		
<p>a) No AGP, <u>and</u></p> <p>b) patient or client, and any support person) will wear a Face Covering or an isolation mask but is anticipated to remain unmasked for more than a brief period <u>or</u> the patient or client cannot wear a Face Covering or isolation mask.</p> <p>Also, if a patient, client, or support person has any symptoms on the screening list, the encounter is at least Medium risk or could be higher risk in the judgment of the provider.</p>	<p><u>For client/patient/support person:</u> An isolation mask is required in healthcare settings; a Face Covering is required in other settings (Counseling or Healing Arts) but an isolation mask is strongly suggested.</p> <p><u>For provider:</u> Standard precautions, plus an isolation mask and either face shield or goggles.</p>	<p>Not required or recommended, but testing may make sense in certain situations based on the provider's judgment.</p>
3 - Higher		
<p>The encounter involves AGP <u>or</u> other Risk Factors indicate a higher risk encounter.</p> <p>Also, if a patient or client has a current SARS-CoV-2 infection or had recent close contact with someone with a current SARS-CoV-2 infection, the encounter is Highest risk and should be postponed unless the provider has adequate training and protective measures available. If a patient, client, or support person previously tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, the provider should check CDC, CDPH, and DPH guidance for when it is safe to treat them under another Risk Category. Support persons with active infections or recent close contact are not allowed.</p>	<p><u>For client/patient/support person:</u> Isolation mask</p> <p><u>For provider:</u> Standard precautions, plus N95 respirator, either face shield or goggles, and gown.</p> <p><u>And:</u> When possible, other Engineering or Administrative Controls should be implemented as appropriate.</p> <p><u>Note</u> – If the patient, client, or support person tested negative in the preceding 7 days and has no symptoms, then full PPE is not required, but the provider should use professional judgment regarding what PPE to employ and should at least use an isolation mask and eye protection.</p>	<p><u>Strongly recommended</u> for routine/diagnostic appointments, and it is recommended but not required for urgent or emergent (emergency) care.</p> <p>For any course of care or treatment that continues over time, see the notes regarding repeat testing above in Section 3.4.4.</p>



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

4. General Screening of Personnel and Patients or Clients

- 4.1. Ensure that all Personnel are screened daily pursuant to the Social Distancing Protocol, which includes a one-page screening checklist, and follow the Social Distancing Protocol requirements regarding any Personnel who answer yes to any screening question.
- 4.2. Patients or clients, as well as anyone accompanying them to an appointment, must be screened for symptoms in advance of and at the time of their in-person visit, including on the calendar day of the visit. Attached to this Directive as Appendix C is a one-page screening form that may be used for this process. At a minimum, such screening must occur **before** the patient or client, as well as anyone accompanying them, enters the facility, office, or suite on the day of the visit to protect Personnel and other patients or clients. This screening is in addition to examining any patient or client vital signs as part of the care being provided. For any patient or client, as well as anyone accompanying them, who has symptoms, has a current confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis, or has a current confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, in-person care may only be provided subject to infection control practices appropriate to ensure that that care can be provided safely for the patient or client and all Personnel.

Such screening must address all of the following:

- 4.2.1. Within the preceding 10 days has the person been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus? (If so, they are generally required to self-isolate as outlined at <https://www.sfcddp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>.)
- 4.2.2. Does the person live in the same household with or have they had close contact with someone who in the preceding 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus? (If so, they are generally required to self-quarantine as outlined at <https://www.sfcddp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>.)
- 4.2.3. Has the person had any one or more of the following symptoms which is new or not explained by a pre-existing condition that day or within the preceding 24 hours? The symptoms include: fever, chills, or repeated shaking/shivering; cough; sore throat; shortness of breath or difficulty breathing; feeling unusually weak or fatigued; loss of taste or smell; muscle pain; headache; runny or congested nose; or diarrhea. If any listed symptom is present, the person might be positive for SARS-CoV-2 and should be referred for testing, and appropriate precautions should be taken or non-urgent care delayed.

5. Face Covering and Related PPE

- 5.1. Face Coverings, or more protective PPE, are required of all patients or clients, as well as anyone accompanying them to an appointment, seeking care from an Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, as outlined in Section 6.e of the Face Covering Order. The Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must ensure that each patient or client and any support person wears a Face Covering or more protective mask at all times when onsite at the facility except where the provision of care requires removal of the Face Covering/mask or except to the extent the Face Covering Order does not



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

require one (such as for children 12 and younger and for people with a written excuse from a physician). The Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must provide a Face Covering or more protective mask for any patient, client, and support person who does not have one. When a Face Covering or other mask is not worn by the patient or client, the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must take other steps to minimize risk of transmission of SARS-CoV-2. This Directive requires or recommends a mask that is more protective than a Face Covering in certain situations outlined above.

- 5.2. Providers who have a license to provide medical care (such as a physician, nurse, therapist, etc.) may have a policy that some children (such as those age three and older) must wear a Face Covering in most situations, but such a policy must include appropriate supervision of children between 3 and 12 years old to ensure they are safely wearing a Face Covering and must include appropriate exceptions similar to those in the Face Covering Order. It is important that children 12 years old and younger are supervised when wearing a Face Covering.
- 5.3. If any written policies of an Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, or any local, state, or federal law, regulation, or rule require the use of medical-grade masks or other masks that are more protective than a Face Covering, the more protective item must be used and its use must comply with the policy or law, regulation, or rule. For clarity, this Directive's requirements regarding Face Coverings are meant to ensure that Personnel, patients, clients, and support persons are wearing a Face Covering or mask that is more protective against the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 except where the care does not permit use of the Face Covering or mask. Appropriate masks must be utilized as directed by the clinical context and type of procedure being performed.

6. Physical Distancing

- 6.1. Physical distancing of at least 6 feet/2 meters must be maintained by Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, Personnel, patients, clients, and support persons whenever possible. This includes at a minimum the following requirements:
 - 6.1.1. In any waiting area or other area with seating, chairs should be removed or taken out of use to ensure proper distancing in other remaining chairs or seats. If a patient or client is in a waiting area with a support person, those two may sit next to each other in a designated chair or area.
 - 6.1.2. For check-in and other areas with lines, floor markings of some kind should be used, to the extent possible, to create visual reminders of minimum distancing.
 - 6.1.3. If space is available, any patient or client who has an active SARS-CoV-2 infection or who has symptoms should be isolated away from other patients or clients and Personnel. If isolation is not possible, other steps should be taken to prevent transmission. Support persons with an active SARS-CoV-2 infection are not allowed.
 - 6.1.4. The physical distancing requirements include screening of the patient or client, and any support person, on the calendar day of a visit or procedure must be done **before** arrival



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

in the facility, office, or suite (such as via a call the morning of the visit or a call from outside the building or in the lobby or hallway just before entry).

- 6.1.5. When a patient or client, as well as any support person, is in an exam or treatment room, physical distancing must be observed whenever possible.
- 6.2. The requirements for physical distancing may be tailored based on the context of a specific patient or client's clinical situation.
- 6.3. Appointments and procedures should be staggered during the day as much as possible to avoid crowding during the day.
- 6.4. Patients and clients should be encouraged to conduct visits via telephone or other remote technology like video chat when doing so does not compromise the care being provided.

7. Hand Hygiene

- 7.1. Providers (or the building where the office is located) should have bathrooms with sinks, soap, water, and disposable paper towels available for hand washing by Personnel, patients, clients, and support persons. Providers must also provide hand sanitizer effective against SARS-CoV-2 at entrances and elsewhere at the facility or location for Personnel and patients or clients and support persons. Sanitizer must also be provided to patients or clients, as well as support persons, in waiting areas. Information on hand sanitizer, including sanitizer effective against SARS-CoV-2 and how to obtain sanitizer, is available online from the Food and Drug Administration here: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/qa-consumers-hand-sanitizers-and-covid-19>.
- 7.2. Encourage patients or clients to wash or sanitize their hands before they touch any Personnel and require Personnel to follow appropriate infection control precautions when they must touch any patients or clients.

8. Reporting and Cooperation Requirements Regarding SARS-CoV-2

- 8.1. Each Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must promptly report any COVID-19 case and any patient, client, or Personnel SARS-CoV-2 infection as required by local, state, and federal laws, regulations, and rules.
- 8.2. In addition, each Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must promptly (within 24 hours, and preferably during daytime hours) report to DPH Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) at 415-554-2830 each of the following except as excused by Section 8.3:
 - 8.2.1. Any instance where a patient, client, or support person is confirmed to have had an active SARS-CoV-2 infection at the time of any Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, or related in-person care and the care provider did not know about the infection at the time of the in-person care;



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

- 8.2.2. Any instance where any member of the Personnel of the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, is confirmed to have had an active SARS-CoV-2 infection at the time of an in-person interaction onsite with any patient, client, or support person and the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, did not know in advance of the in-person interaction about the infection; and
- 8.2.3. Any instance where there has been likely or confirmed transmission of SARS-CoV-2 onsite between Personnel, patients, clients, or support persons, including among Personnel or among patients, clients, or support persons associated with in-person care provided onsite by the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts,
- 8.3. A hospital with quality management and/or infection prevention and control programs that are overseen by the hospital's Medical Staff does not need to report occurrences listed in subsections 8.2.1 and 8.2.2 above to DPH so long as the incident is reported to and reviewed by its quality control or infection control program.
- 8.4. The Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, is required to provide all information associated with this Directive requested by DPH, the Health Officer, or the Health Officer's designee. Such disclosure includes protected health information or other health information of patients or clients and information, including confidential employment and health information, about Personnel where the disclosure is limited to the minimum amount necessary for public health purposes and where any such information that is confidential must be protected by DPH and the Health Officer as required by law.
- 8.5. Each Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must cooperate with DPH, the Health Officer, or the Health Officer's designee in relation to action required by DPH, the Health Officer, or the Health Officer's designee that relates to any information reported under this Directive.

9. Cleaning

- 9.1. The Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must implement routine cleaning and disinfection procedures, including using cleaners and water to pre-clean surfaces prior to applying an Environmental Protection Agency-registered hospital-grade disinfectant to frequently touched surfaces or objects for the appropriate contact times for SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare settings, including those patient-care areas in which aerosol generating procedures are performed. This includes use of disinfecting liquid, wipe, or spray products that are effective against SARS-CoV-2 when disinfecting high touch surfaces. A list of products approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against SARS-CoV-2 can be found online at <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>. Products must be used in strict accordance with the product label and manufacturer's instructions, including but not limited to the manufacturer's specified dwell (wet contact time) for SARS-CoV-2, which can be obtained from the product manufacturer or found on the EPA list linked above.



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

- 9.2. Providers must stay up to date with practice-related recommendations that relate to the kind of care they provide, such as those issued by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the California Department of Public Health, other governmental or regulatory agencies, and professional organizations. The cleaning and disinfection procedures of the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must include appropriate cleaning and environmental controls as outlined by these sources.

Such controls should include implementing wait times after procedures to both allow particles to settle and/or be captured or removed by ventilation systems before cleaning; see the CDC recommendations available online at

<https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/environmental/appendix/air.html#tableb1>.

Technologies or techniques including but not limited to HEPA filtration, ultraviolet (light) germicidal irradiation (UVGI) systems, and photocatalytic oxidation (PCO) purifiers may be used to supplement general ventilation where the context warrants use of such equipment. If an activity-, equipment-, and facility-specific risk assessment is performed, wait times may be modified.

- 9.3. All areas where patient or client care takes place must, at a minimum, undergo standard cleaning between each patient or client use. This includes any toys or other materials used by children in clinical or waiting areas. This also includes any tools that are used by Personnel when providing care.
- 9.4. For enclosed rooms used for patient or client care, providers and other Personnel such as porters or custodians must wait after the patient or client leaves the room to allow droplets in the air to settle before cleaning and, as necessary, disinfecting the room. The appropriate waiting times for cleaning in different settings are listed below:

- 9.4.1. Cleaning following AGPs or treatment of any person with an active SARS-CoV-2 infection: The 99.9% contaminant removal column (or the calculated equivalent) of the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Airborne Contaminant Removal Table, found online at <https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/environmental/appendix/air.html#tableb1>, should be used to calculate wait times.

In the absence of the information needed to look up or calculate the required wait time, a wait period of no less than 60 minutes should be used.

- 9.4.2. For cleaning following other care, facilities should determine the appropriate wait time based on the context of the activities. In the absence of a facility-specific risk assessment, a wait period of not be less than 15 minutes should be used. The 15 minute wait period is often used in settings where large droplets are released, such as with a patient or client who is coughing, sneezing, or has other productive respiratory symptoms. A review of the risks can result in a lesser wait time when appropriate.



Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

10. Other Requirements

- 10.1. Discontinue the use of magazines and other shared items in waiting areas. Toys may be provided for use by children so long as they are appropriately cleaned as required by Section 9.3 above.
- 10.2. Discontinue the use of any self-serve blood pressure or other diagnostic equipment.
- 10.3. If the California Department of Public Health, the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (known as Cal/OSHA), and the United States Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health Administration (known as OSHA), or any other California or United States regulatory entity issues guidance or requirements regarding the pandemic and the type of care provided by the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must review such guidance and comply with any such requirements in addition to complying with this Directive.
- 10.4. If the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, performs procedures or offers care of a type that are the subject of recommendations or guidance of a professional association in the field, the Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, should review and consider implementing appropriate recommendations related to the pandemic and should update its Health and Safety Plan practices over time based on such recommendations with the focus of protecting patients, clients, and Personnel.
- 10.5. The Health Officer may revise this Directive and add additional requirements in the future to ensure that Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, are provided in the safest possible manner during this pandemic.

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (Appendix B)
Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/16/2020)**Checklist**

Each Ambulatory Care Service, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, (the "Provider" or "Business/Entity") must complete, post onsite, and follow this Health and Safety Plan.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business/Entity name:

Contact name:

Facility Address:

Contact telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this plan.)

- ☐ Provider has posted a checklist and complies with all requirements set forth in the Social Distancing Protocol included in Health Officer Order No. C19-07e, available at www.sfdph.org/healthorders, including any amendments to that order.
- ☐ Provider is familiar with and complies with all requirements set forth in Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20, available at www.sfdph.org/directives.
- ☐ If any procedure or type of encounter is not allowed by the State of California, it is not allowed under this Directive. Also, Section 6 of the Directive prohibits certain care.
- ☐ Provider is offering remote services via telephone, email, secure apps, or video conference in a secure, private manner instead of in-person visits when possible.
- ☐ Provider has a formal or informal Priority Strategy under Section 2 of Appendix A to address scheduling of patients or clients in a nondiscriminatory manner that also prioritizes the provision of critical services and emergency and urgent care and has done so keeping in mind the groups and considerations listed in Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of Appendix A.
- ☐ Provider has reviewed the Risk Factors and Protective Actions listed in Section 3 of Appendix A and has placed each offered service and procedure in the appropriate Risk Category on the Table listed in Section 3, including based on the context of each patient, client, or support person.
- ☐ Provider is complying with the required Protective Actions listed on the Risk Category Table in Section 3 of Appendix A and has considered other recommended actions in relation to the Risk Category of each offered service or procedure.
- ☐ Provider is ensuring that all Personnel are screened daily as required by the Social Distancing Protocol and instructing those who respond "yes" to any question to stay home for the appropriate amount of time and to get tested for the SARS-CoV-2 virus.
- ☐ Provider is screening each patient, client, and support person before and at the time of each appointment before the patient, client, or support person enters the building or waiting room area, and Provider is taking all required and appropriate precautions to protect patients, clients, support persons, and Personnel. This includes appropriately isolating any patient or client with an active SARS-CoV-2 infection from other patients, clients, and Personnel or postponing care. Support persons with active SARS-CoV-2 infections are not allowed.

Checklist

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (Appendix B) Health and Safety Plan (issued 6/16/2020)

- ☐ Provider is familiar with and complies with all Face Covering requirements for patients, clients, support persons, and Personnel set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, available at www.sfdph.org/healthorders, including any amendments to that order, as well as any additional requirements for Personal Protective Equipment discussed in this Directive. This includes providing a Face Covering or more protective mask for any patient, client, and support person who does not have one.
- ☐ Provider is ensuring that the six foot physical distancing requirements are followed where feasible, including by reducing the number of chairs available in waiting rooms.
- ☐ Provider will report any positive SARS-CoV-2 infection as required in Section 8 of Appendix A, including:
 - ☐ To the state and DPH as required by federal, state, and local laws and regulations; and
 - ☐ To DPH Communicable Disease Control at 415-554-2830 when a patient, client, support person, or Personnel either (1) is exposed to SARS-CoV-2 at the Provider's location without knowing in advance that the person had an active infection; or (2) is believed to have contracted SARS-CoV-2 at the Provider's location in relation to the provision of services by the Provider. (Subject to the exception in Section 8.3 for hospital-associated clinics and programs)
- ☐ Provider is following all required cleaning and disinfection/sanitizing requirements listed in Section 9 of Appendix A as well as in compliance with state and federal laws and regulations.
- ☐ Provider is complying with all applicable pandemic-related requirements of state, federal, and local governments and regulatory entities and is reviewing other guidance from those governments and entities.
- ☐ Provider is reviewing applicable pandemic-related guidance from other professional associations related to the care provided.
- ☐ Provider is regularly checking for updates to Health Officer orders and directives in order to stay current with pandemic-related requirements. Orders are available at www.sfdph.org/healthorders and directives are available at www.sfdph.org/directives.



from Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

The following table lists the three Risk Categories associated with the Risk Factors listed in Section 3 of Appendix A of Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20. Each provider of Ambulatory Care Services, including Counseling and the Healing Arts, must comply with the listed Minimum Protective Actions and other requirements associated with the Risk Category of a procedure or service when offering care under this Directive. Providers are free to take additional Protective Actions beyond the minimum listed actions, per their judgment. The Directive contains more information about the Risk Factors and Protective Actions listed below and can be found online at www.sfdph.org/directives.

**Table: Risk Categories for Ambulatory Care Services,
including Counseling and the Healing Arts**

***Note:** The third column lists the minimum protective actions. A provider of care should use their best judgment and may use additional protections. For N95 respirators to be most effective, they require fit testing and training.

Risk Category		
Criteria and Risk Factors	Minimum Protective Actions*	Is Advance Testing Required/Recommended?
1 - Lower		
a) No AGP, <u>and</u> b) patient or client, and any support person, will wear a Face Covering or an isolation mask the entire encounter (but temporary removal is permitted during the encounter, such as an oral exam as part of general physical exam), <u>and</u> c) nobody answered “yes” to any screening question.	<u>For client/patient/support person:</u> An isolation mask is required in healthcare settings; a Face Covering is required in other settings (Counseling or Healing Arts) but an isolation mask may be used. <u>For provider:</u> Standard precautions, plus an isolation mask is required in healthcare settings; a Face Covering is required in other settings (Counseling or Healing Arts) but an isolation mask may be used.	Not required or recommended.



from Appendix A to Health Officer Directive No. 2020-20 (issued 6/16/20)

**Best Practices for the Provision of Ambulatory Care, Including Counseling and
Other Healing Arts**

2 - Medium		
<p>a) No AGP, <u>and</u></p> <p>b) patient or client, and any support person) will wear a Face Covering or an isolation mask but is anticipated to remain unmasked for more than a brief period <u>or</u> the patient or client cannot wear a Face Covering or isolation mask.</p> <p>Also, if a patient, client, or support person has any symptoms on the screening list, the encounter is at least Medium risk or could be higher risk in the judgment of the provider.</p>	<p><u>For client/patient/support person:</u> An isolation mask is required in healthcare settings; a Face Covering is required in other settings (Counseling or Healing Arts) but an isolation mask is strongly suggested.</p> <p><u>For provider:</u> Standard precautions, plus an isolation mask and either face shield or goggles.</p>	<p>Not required or recommended, but testing may make sense in certain situations based on the provider's judgment.</p>
3 - Higher		
<p>The encounter involves AGP <u>or</u> other Risk Factors indicate a higher risk encounter.</p> <p>Also, if a patient or client has a current SARS-CoV-2 infection or had recent close contact with someone with a current SARS-CoV-2 infection, the encounter is Highest risk and should be postponed unless the provider has adequate training and protective measures available. If a patient, client, or support person previously tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, the provider should check CDC, CDPH, and DPH guidance for when it is safe to treat them under another Risk Category. Support persons with active infections or recent close contact are not allowed.</p>	<p><u>For client/patient/support person:</u> Isolation mask</p> <p><u>For provider:</u> Standard precautions, plus N95 respirator, either face shield or goggles, and gown.</p> <p><u>And:</u></p> <p>When possible, other Engineering or Administrative Controls should be implemented as appropriate.</p> <p><u>Note</u> – If the patient, client, or support person tested negative in the preceding 7 days and has no symptoms, then full PPE is not required, but the provider should use professional judgment regarding what PPE to employ and should at least use an isolation mask and eye protection.</p>	<p><u>Strongly recommended</u> for routine/diagnostic appointments, and it is recommended but not required for urgent or emergent (emergency) care.</p> <p>For any course of care or treatment that continues over time, see the notes regarding repeat testing above in Section 3.4.4.</p>

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Admin. Waiver request for Chevron
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:50:00 AM
Attachments: [CHEVRON WAIVER REQUEST.PDF](#)

From: Osaka, Yuko (PDR) <yuko.osaka@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 5:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Winchester, Tamra (ADM) <tamra.winchester@sfgov.org>
Subject: Admin. Waiver request for Chevron

Hi

Here is the document for next fiscal year waiver request for Chevron.
Please review. Thank you.

Yuko Osaka
Office of Public Defender
555-7th Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
415-558-2494 work
415-553-1607 fax



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CONTRACT MONITORING DIVISION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B WAIVER REQUEST FORM (CMD-201)

Send completed waiver requests to:
CMD, 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94102 or
cmd.waiverrequest@sfgov.org

FOR CMD USE ONLY

Request Number:

► Section 1. CCSF Department Information (*all fields must be completed*)

Department Head Signature: _____
Name of Department: Office of Public Defender
Department Address: 555 - 7th Street, San Francisco, CA 94103
Contact Person: Yuko Osaka
Phone Number: (415) 558 - 2494 E-mail: yuko.osaka@sfgov.org

► Section 2. Contractor Information (*all fields must be completed*)

Contractor Name: Chevron, U. S. A., Inc.
Bidder/Supplier No.: S 0000022986 Contractor Tax ID: _____
Contractor Address: P.O. Box 2001, Concord, CA 94529 - 0001
Contact Person: Don Baugh Contact Phone No.: 1-800-243-8785

► Section 3. Transaction Information (*all fields must be completed*)

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 6/5/2020 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$6,000.00
Contract/Transaction Number: 0000323907 Contract Name: Unleaded
Contract/Transaction Start Date: 7/1/2020 Contract/Transaction End Date: 6/30/2021

► Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (*please check all that apply*)

☒ Chapter 12B
☐ Chapter 14B *Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements will still be in force even when a 14B Waiver Type A or B is granted.*

► Section 5. Waiver Type (*a justification must be attached; see Check List on the other side of this form for instructions*)

☐ A. Sole Source
☐ B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or §21.15)
☐ C. Public Entity
☒ D. No Potential Contractors Comply (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
☐ E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement..... (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
☐ F. Sham/Shell Entity (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
☐ G. Subcontracting Goals
☐ H. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) *Note: For contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7(J)(2)*

CMD ACTION – For CMD/HRC Use Only

12B Waiver Granted: _____ 14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____ 14B Waiver Denied: _____

Reason for Action: _____

CMD or HRC Staff: _____ Date: _____

CMD or HRC Director: _____ Date: _____

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for May 2020
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 10:22:00 AM
Attachments: [CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for May 2020.pdf](#)

From: Dion, Ichieh (TTX) <ichieh.dion@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 8:24 AM
Subject: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for May 2020

All-

Please find the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for the month of May attached for your use.

Regards,

Ichieh Dion
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 140
San Francisco, CA 94102
415-554-5433

Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector
City and County of San Francisco

Tajel Shah, Chief Assistant Treasurer
Robert L. Shaw, CFA, Chief Investment Officer



José Cisneros, Treasurer

Investment Report for the month of May 2020

June 15, 2020

The Honorable London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

Colleagues,

In accordance with the provisions of California State Government Code, Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's pooled fund portfolio as of May 31, 2020. These investments provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months and are in compliance with our statement of investment policy and California Code.

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for the month of May 2020 for the portfolios under the Treasurer's management. All pricing and valuation data is obtained from Interactive Data Corporation.

CCSF Pooled Fund Investment Earnings Statistics *

(in \$ million)	Current Month		Prior Month	
	Fiscal YTD	May 2020	Fiscal YTD	April 2020
Average Daily Balance	\$ 11,698	\$ 12,692	\$ 11,597	\$ 12,577
Net Earnings	213.73	14.14	199.59	15.91
Earned Income Yield	1.98%	1.31%	2.06%	1.54%

CCSF Pooled Fund Statistics *

(in \$ million)	% of	Book	Market	Wtd. Avg.	Wtd. Avg.	
Investment Type	Portfolio	Value	Value	Coupon	YTM	WAM
U.S. Treasuries	22.67%	\$ 2,878.1	\$ 2,906.7	1.30%	1.25%	247
Federal Agencies	32.94%	4,164.9	4,222.8	1.53%	1.59%	569
State & Local Government						
Agency Obligations	0.45%	56.4	57.5	2.35%	2.56%	318
Public Time Deposits	0.35%	45.0	45.0	1.03%	1.03%	57
Negotiable CDs	11.11%	1,418.8	1,423.9	1.17%	1.16%	142
Commercial Paper	5.89%	747.0	754.9	0.00%	1.81%	45
Medium Term Notes	0.04%	5.0	5.1	3.05%	3.08%	222
Money Market Funds	19.39%	2,485.3	2,485.3	0.16%	0.16%	1
Supranationals	7.16%	908.6	917.8	0.41%	1.65%	297
Totals	100.0%	\$ 12,709.2	\$ 12,819.0	1.05%	1.21%	285

In the remainder of this report, we provide additional information and analytics at the security-level and portfolio-level, as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "José Cisneros".

José Cisneros
Treasurer

cc: Treasury Oversight Committee: Aimee Brown, Kevin Kone, Eric Sandler, Meghan Wallace
Ben Rosenfield - Controller, Office of the Controller
Tonia Lediju, Ph.D. - Chief Audit Executive, Office of the Controller
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
San Francisco Public Library
San Francisco Health Service System

Portfolio Summary

Pooled Fund

As of May 31, 2020

<i>(in \$ million)</i>							
Security Type	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value	Market/Book Price	Current % Allocation	Max. Policy Allocation	Compliant?
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 2,875.0	\$ 2,878.1	\$ 2,906.7	100.99	22.67%	100%	Yes
Federal Agencies	4,166.1	4,164.9	4,222.8	101.39	32.94%	100%	Yes
State & Local Government							
Agency Obligations	56.7	56.4	57.5	101.93	0.45%	20%	Yes
Public Time Deposits	45.0	45.0	45.0	100.00	0.35%	100%	Yes
Negotiable CDs	1,418.8	1,418.8	1,423.9	100.36	11.11%	30%	Yes
Bankers Acceptances	-	-	-	-	0.00%	40%	Yes
Commercial Paper	755.0	747.0	754.9	101.05	5.89%	25%	Yes
Medium Term Notes	5.0	5.0	5.1	101.45	0.04%	25%	Yes
Repurchase Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	10%	Yes
Reverse Repurchase/ Securities Lending Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$75mm	Yes
Money Market Funds - Government	2,485.3	2,485.3	2,485.3	100.00	19.39%	20%	Yes
LAIF	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$50mm	Yes
Supranationals	912.1	908.6	917.8	101.02	7.16%	30%	Yes
TOTAL	\$ 12,719.1	\$ 12,709.2	\$ 12,819.0	100.86	100.00%	-	Yes

The City and County of San Francisco uses the following methodology to determine compliance: Compliance is pre-trade and calculated on both a par and market value basis, using the result with the lowest percentage of the overall portfolio value. Cash balances are included in the City's compliance calculations.

Please note the information in this report does not include cash balances. Due to fluctuations in the market value of the securities held in the Pooled Fund and changes in the City's cash position, the allocation limits may be exceeded on a post-trade compliance basis. In these instances, no compliance violation has occurred, as the policy limits were not exceeded prior to trade execution. The full Investment Policy can be found at <https://sftreasurer.org/investments>

Totals may not add due to rounding.


City and County of San Francisco

Pooled Fund Portfolio Statistics

For the month ended May 31, 2020

Average Daily Balance	\$12,691,738,203
Net Earnings	\$14,142,252
Earned Income Yield	1.31%
Weighted Average Maturity	285 days

Investment Type	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value
	(\$ million)		
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 2,875.0	\$ 2,878.1	\$ 2,906.7
Federal Agencies	4,166.1	4,164.9	4,222.8
State & Local Government			
Agency Obligations	56.7	56.4	57.5
Public Time Deposits	45.0	45.0	45.0
Negotiable CDs	1,418.8	1,418.8	1,423.9
Commercial Paper	755.0	747.0	754.9
Medium Term Notes	5.0	5.0	5.1
Money Market Funds	2,485.3	2,485.3	2,485.3
Supranationals	912.1	908.6	917.8
Total	\$ 12,719.1	\$ 12,709.2	\$ 12,819.0




Asset Allocation by Market Value


Portfolio Analysis

Pooled Fund



Par Value of Investments by Maturity



Asset Allocation by Market Value



Yield Curves



Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

As of May 31, 2020

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized Book Value	Market Value
			Settle Date	Date					
U.S. Treasuries	9127962P3	TREASURY BILL	5/28/2020	6/2/2020	0.00	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 99,999,340	\$ 99,999,868	\$ 100,000,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796TX7	TREASURY BILL	5/21/2020	6/4/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,999,514	24,999,896	24,999,750
U.S. Treasuries	912796TX7	TREASURY BILL	5/22/2020	6/4/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,999,594	24,999,906	24,999,750
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	5/20/2020	6/9/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,998,931	24,999,572	24,999,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	5/22/2020	6/9/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,999,113	24,999,606	24,999,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	5/22/2020	6/9/2020	0.00	40,000,000	39,999,200	39,999,644	39,999,200
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	5/28/2020	6/9/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,998,875	49,999,250	49,999,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	6/20/2017	6/15/2020	1.50	50,000,000	49,982,422	49,999,774	50,026,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	4/3/2019	6/15/2020	1.50	50,000,000	49,478,516	49,983,370	50,026,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	12/20/2018	6/15/2020	1.50	100,000,000	98,312,500	99,956,492	100,053,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127962V0	TREASURY BILL	5/20/2020	6/16/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,998,350	24,999,083	24,998,750
U.S. Treasuries	9127962X6	TREASURY BILL	5/11/2020	6/30/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,993,924	49,996,476	49,995,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828XY1	US TREASURY	4/3/2019	6/30/2020	2.50	50,000,000	50,070,313	50,004,491	50,095,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796UB3	TREASURY BILL	5/18/2020	7/2/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,994,375	49,996,125	49,994,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127963C1	TREASURY BILL	5/12/2020	7/7/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,992,222	49,995,000	49,993,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127963D9	TREASURY BILL	5/21/2020	7/14/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,996,438	24,997,163	24,996,250
U.S. Treasuries	912796SZ3	TREASURY BILL	1/13/2020	7/16/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,610,601	49,905,281	49,991,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796SZ3	TREASURY BILL	5/5/2020	7/16/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,991,500	49,994,688	49,991,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127963F4	TREASURY BILL	5/27/2020	7/21/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,991,139	49,991,944	49,991,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127963G2	TREASURY BILL	5/4/2020	7/28/2020	0.00	75,000,000	74,984,533	74,989,628	74,984,250
U.S. Treasuries	912796XG9	TREASURY BILL	5/29/2020	8/27/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,982,500	49,983,083	49,982,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796XG9	TREASURY BILL	5/29/2020	8/27/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,982,500	49,983,083	49,982,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127963Y3	TREASURY BILL	5/28/2020	9/15/2020	0.00	100,000,000	99,953,021	99,954,729	99,957,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128285B2	US TREASURY	10/1/2019	9/30/2020	2.75	60,000,000	60,553,125	60,183,365	60,504,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z2Z	US TREASURY	11/20/2019	10/15/2020	1.63	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,263,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964J5	TREASURY BILL	5/28/2020	10/20/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,969,540	49,970,380	49,968,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964K2	TREASURY BILL	5/28/2020	10/27/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,965,694	49,966,597	49,967,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128283L2	US TREASURY	11/18/2019	12/15/2020	1.88	50,000,000	50,128,906	50,064,617	50,455,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128283L2	US TREASURY	11/26/2019	12/15/2020	1.88	50,000,000	50,119,141	50,060,963	50,455,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828N48	US TREASURY	11/22/2019	12/31/2020	1.75	50,000,000	50,058,594	50,030,816	50,453,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	3/4/2019	1/15/2021	2.00	50,000,000	49,486,328	49,828,525	50,566,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	11/18/2019	1/15/2021	2.00	50,000,000	50,210,938	50,113,429	50,566,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	11/22/2019	1/15/2021	2.00	50,000,000	50,208,984	50,113,449	50,566,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	12/3/2019	1/15/2021	2.00	50,000,000	50,175,781	50,097,991	50,566,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	11/22/2019	3/15/2021	2.38	50,000,000	50,472,656	50,283,199	50,859,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	12/6/2019	3/15/2021	2.38	50,000,000	50,449,219	50,277,260	50,859,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828C57	US TREASURY	4/15/2019	3/31/2021	2.25	50,000,000	49,863,281	49,942,143	50,849,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	4/9/2019	4/15/2021	2.38	50,000,000	50,013,672	50,005,899	50,945,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	12/9/2019	4/15/2021	2.38	50,000,000	50,462,891	50,298,579	50,945,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	12/11/2019	4/15/2021	2.38	50,000,000	50,457,031	50,296,000	50,945,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	11/26/2019	6/15/2021	2.63	50,000,000	50,732,422	50,489,573	51,256,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	11/27/2019	6/15/2021	2.63	50,000,000	50,744,141	50,498,285	51,256,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	12/11/2019	6/15/2021	2.63	50,000,000	50,697,266	50,478,739	51,256,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	12/18/2019	6/15/2021	2.63	50,000,000	50,725,602	50,497,112	51,256,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	11/8/2019	6/30/2021	1.63	50,000,000	49,933,594	49,956,393	50,765,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	12/3/2019	6/30/2021	1.63	50,000,000	49,968,750	49,978,587	50,765,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	12/9/2019	6/30/2021	1.63	50,000,000	49,978,516	49,985,123	50,765,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828S27	US TREASURY	8/15/2017	6/30/2021	1.13	25,000,000	24,519,531	24,866,216	25,247,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y20	US TREASURY	12/12/2019	7/15/2021	2.63	50,000,000	50,728,516	50,512,845	51,355,500

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	912828YC8	US TREASURY	12/9/2019	8/31/2021		1.50	50,000,000	49,865,234	49,902,610	50,810,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828T34	US TREASURY	12/11/2019	9/30/2021		1.13	50,000,000	49,498,047	49,629,819	50,623,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828T67	US TREASURY	11/10/2016	10/31/2021		1.25	50,000,000	49,574,219	49,878,784	50,750,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828U65	US TREASURY	12/13/2016	11/30/2021		1.75	100,000,000	99,312,500	99,792,574	102,352,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828U81	US TREASURY	11/22/2019	12/31/2021		2.00	50,000,000	50,402,344	50,302,019	51,435,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828XW5	US TREASURY	8/15/2017	6/30/2022		1.75	25,000,000	24,977,539	24,990,423	25,818,250
U.S. Treasuries	912828S35	US TREASURY	1/9/2020	6/30/2023		1.38	50,000,000	49,622,467	49,650,274	51,808,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828WE6	US TREASURY	12/17/2019	11/15/2023		2.75	50,000,000	51,960,938	51,731,773	54,365,500
Subtotals						1.19	\$ 2,875,000,000	\$ 2,878,146,823	\$ 2,879,402,511	\$ 2,906,678,700
Federal Agencies	313384XQ7	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	2/3/2020	6/2/2020		0.00	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 19,896,667	\$ 19,999,139	\$ 20,000,000
Federal Agencies	313384YD5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/11/2020	6/15/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,997,813	24,999,125	24,999,000
Federal Agencies	3133EHNK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/15/2017	6/15/2020		1.54	25,000,000	24,997,500	24,999,968	25,018,000
Federal Agencies	3133EHNK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/15/2017	6/15/2020		1.54	26,900,000	26,894,620	26,899,931	26,919,368
Federal Agencies	313312YE4	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	5/28/2020	6/16/2020		0.00	49,000,000	48,997,414	48,997,958	48,997,550
Federal Agencies	313396YL1	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	2/3/2020	6/22/2020		0.00	15,000,000	14,911,333	14,986,700	14,999,100
Federal Agencies	3134GBST0	FREDDIE MAC	6/22/2017	6/22/2020		1.65	14,675,000	14,675,000	14,675,000	14,687,474
Federal Agencies	313396YN7	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	2/6/2020	6/24/2020		0.00	50,000,000	49,700,764	49,950,486	49,996,500
Federal Agencies	313396YP2	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	2/6/2020	6/25/2020		0.00	10,000,000	9,939,917	9,989,700	9,999,300
Federal Agencies	313384ZA0	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/11/2020	7/6/2020		0.00	24,300,000	24,296,220	24,297,638	24,296,841
Federal Agencies	3133EHQB2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	7/6/2017	7/6/2020		1.55	25,000,000	24,989,961	24,999,679	25,044,000
Federal Agencies	313384ZD4	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/20/2020	7/9/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,996,701	24,997,493	24,996,500
Federal Agencies	313384ZD4	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/19/2020	7/9/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,996,635	24,997,493	24,996,500
Federal Agencies	313384ZH5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/21/2020	7/13/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,996,503	24,997,229	24,996,250
Federal Agencies	313384ZK8	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	1/31/2020	7/15/2020		0.00	50,000,000	49,640,333	49,904,667	49,992,000
Federal Agencies	313384ZQ5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/5/2020	7/20/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,994,722	24,996,597	24,995,500
Federal Agencies	313384ZQ5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/5/2020	7/20/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,994,722	24,996,597	24,995,500
Federal Agencies	3135G0T60	FANNIE MAE	8/1/2017	7/30/2020		1.50	50,000,000	49,848,500	49,991,830	50,110,000
Federal Agencies	3130ABZE9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/28/2017	8/28/2020		1.65	6,700,000	6,699,330	6,699,946	6,723,182
Federal Agencies	3130ADT93	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/14/2018	9/14/2020		2.40	25,000,000	24,984,458	24,998,216	25,161,750
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3N7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/21/2018	9/21/2020		2.77	25,000,000	24,990,750	24,998,381	25,205,250
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/8/2017	9/28/2020		1.38	18,000,000	17,942,220	17,993,839	18,069,480
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/8/2017	9/28/2020		1.38	30,000,000	29,903,700	29,989,731	30,115,800
Federal Agencies	3130ACK52	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/12/2018	10/5/2020		1.70	25,530,000	25,035,101	25,463,521	25,664,033
Federal Agencies	3133EKR57	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	9/25/2019	10/20/2020		0.21	112,500,000	112,450,838	112,482,271	112,528,125
Federal Agencies	3130AHD7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	2/12/2020	10/21/2020		1.63	50,000,000	50,019,700	50,011,101	50,281,500
Federal Agencies	3132X0KR1	FARMER MAC	11/2/2016	11/2/2020		0.53	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,022,500
Federal Agencies	3133EJT90	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/16/2018	11/16/2020		2.95	50,000,000	49,947,835	49,988,011	50,623,500
Federal Agencies	3137EAEK1	FREDDIE MAC	11/15/2017	11/17/2020		1.88	50,000,000	49,952,000	49,992,612	50,385,500
Federal Agencies	3134GBX56	FREDDIE MAC	11/24/2017	11/24/2020		2.25	60,000,000	60,223,200	60,035,842	60,591,600
Federal Agencies	3134GBLR1	FREDDIE MAC	5/25/2017	11/25/2020		1.75	24,715,000	24,712,529	24,714,658	24,890,477
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/27/2017	11/27/2020		1.90	25,000,000	24,992,629	24,998,796	25,207,250
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/27/2017	11/27/2020		1.90	25,000,000	24,992,629	24,998,796	25,207,250
Federal Agencies	3130A3UQ5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/13/2017	12/11/2020		1.88	10,000,000	9,957,600	9,992,520	10,087,300
Federal Agencies	3132X0ZY0	FARMER MAC	12/15/2017	12/15/2020		2.05	12,750,000	12,741,458	12,748,465	12,874,950
Federal Agencies	3133EGX75	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/21/2016	12/21/2020		0.36	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,076,500
Federal Agencies	3133EFTX5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/24/2015	12/24/2020		0.50	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,209,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJ4Q9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	1/11/2019	1/11/2021		2.55	100,000,000	99,934,000	99,979,776	101,288,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJCE7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/16/2018	2/12/2021		2.35	50,000,000	49,673,710	49,919,138	50,724,500
Federal Agencies	3137EAE19	FREDDIE MAC	2/16/2018	2/16/2021		2.38	22,000,000	21,941,920	21,986,222	22,339,900

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	Market Value
				Date					Book Value	
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/11/2019	3/11/2021		2.55	50,000,000	49,975,000	49,990,321	50,877,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/11/2019	3/11/2021		2.55	50,000,000	49,975,000	49,990,321	50,877,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKR99	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/3/2019	3/25/2021		0.28	90,000,000	89,982,000	89,990,082	90,113,400
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	3/29/2018	3/29/2021		2.60	6,350,000	6,343,079	6,348,099	6,475,921
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	3/29/2018	3/29/2021		2.60	20,450,000	20,427,710	20,443,878	20,855,524
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/5/2019	4/5/2021		2.23	25,000,000	24,916,500	24,964,818	25,419,500
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/5/2019	4/5/2021		2.23	25,000,000	24,917,500	24,965,239	25,419,500
Federal Agencies	3133EJNS4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/22/2018	5/10/2021		2.70	17,700,000	17,653,095	17,685,158	18,104,268
Federal Agencies	3135G0U35	FANNIE MAE	6/25/2018	6/22/2021		2.75	25,000,000	24,994,250	24,997,969	25,670,250
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEO	FREDDIE MAC	9/11/2019	9/13/2021		2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,750
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEO	FREDDIE MAC	9/11/2019	9/13/2021		2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,750
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEO	FREDDIE MAC	9/11/2019	9/13/2021		2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,750
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEO	FREDDIE MAC	9/11/2019	9/13/2021		2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,750
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	9/13/2019	9/13/2021		2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,750
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	9/13/2019	9/13/2021		2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,750
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	9/13/2019	9/13/2021		2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,750
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	9/13/2019	9/13/2021		2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,750
Federal Agencies	3135G0Q89	FANNIE MAE	10/21/2016	10/7/2021		1.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,397,250
Federal Agencies	3133EJK24	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/19/2018	10/19/2021		3.00	25,000,000	24,980,900	24,991,199	25,964,000
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/25/2016	10/25/2021		1.38	14,500,000	14,500,000	14,500,000	14,735,770
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/25/2016	10/25/2021		1.38	15,000,000	15,000,000	15,000,000	15,243,900
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/15/2020	10/25/2021		0.40	50,000,000	49,992,387	49,993,028	50,119,500
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/15/2020	10/25/2021		0.40	50,000,000	49,992,387	49,993,028	50,119,500
Federal Agencies	3133EJT74	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/15/2018	11/15/2021		3.05	50,000,000	49,950,000	49,975,730	52,071,000
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021		1.63	17,000,000	16,970,930	16,979,001	17,357,510
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021		1.63	25,000,000	24,957,250	24,969,119	25,525,750
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021		1.63	25,000,000	24,957,250	24,969,119	25,525,750
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021		1.63	45,000,000	44,923,050	44,944,413	45,946,350
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021		1.63	50,000,000	49,914,500	49,938,237	51,051,500
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/19/2020	12/17/2021		2.80	19,000,000	19,813,686	19,599,122	19,756,770
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021		2.80	25,000,000	24,974,250	24,986,749	25,995,750
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021		2.80	25,000,000	24,974,250	24,986,749	25,995,750
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021		2.80	25,000,000	24,964,250	24,981,603	25,995,750
Federal Agencies	3130AHSR5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/20/2019	12/20/2021		1.63	22,500,000	22,475,700	22,481,152	22,999,275
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2020	1/18/2022		0.53	50,000,000	49,886,500	49,899,186	50,236,500
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/23/2020	1/18/2022		0.53	63,450,000	63,294,142	63,306,344	63,750,119
Federal Agencies	3133ELKN3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	1/28/2020	1/28/2022		1.55	100,000,000	99,992,000	99,993,368	102,230,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKAK2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	2/19/2019	2/14/2022		2.53	20,700,000	20,682,612	20,690,071	21,505,230
Federal Agencies	3133EKBV7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/1/2019	3/1/2022		2.55	10,000,000	9,997,186	9,998,362	10,402,900
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/5/2019	3/11/2022		2.50	17,780,000	17,848,986	17,821,740	18,490,133
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/5/2019	3/11/2022		2.50	40,000,000	40,158,360	40,095,814	41,597,600
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/8/2019	3/14/2022		2.47	26,145,000	26,226,050	26,194,265	27,181,911
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/8/2019	3/14/2022		2.47	45,500,000	45,634,680	45,581,864	47,304,530
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,999,000	24,999,093	25,205,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,993,000	24,993,652	25,205,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,996,000	24,996,373	25,205,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,983,250	24,984,810	25,205,000
Federal Agencies	3134GVHU5	FREDDIE MAC	3/30/2020	3/30/2022		1.15	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,045,000
Federal Agencies	3135G0T45	FANNIE MAE	6/6/2017	4/5/2022		1.88	25,000,000	25,072,250	25,027,565	25,778,750
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	4/6/2020	4/6/2022		1.20	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,025,500

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	Market Value
				Date					Book Value	
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	4/6/2020	4/6/2022		1.20	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,025,500
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	4/6/2020	4/6/2022		1.20	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,025,500
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	4/6/2020	4/6/2022		1.20	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,025,500
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022		2.25	25,000,000	24,918,000	24,949,124	25,957,000
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022		2.25	50,000,000	49,836,000	49,898,248	51,914,000
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022		2.25	50,000,000	49,836,000	49,898,248	51,914,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKHB5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/18/2019	4/18/2022		2.35	50,000,000	49,969,500	49,980,910	51,970,500
Federal Agencies	3133EKLK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/16/2019	5/16/2022		2.25	25,000,000	24,949,250	24,966,938	25,975,250
Federal Agencies	3133EKLK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/16/2019	5/16/2022		2.25	35,000,000	34,928,950	34,953,714	36,365,350
Federal Agencies	3133EHLK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/6/2017	6/2/2022		1.88	50,000,000	50,059,250	50,023,772	51,610,500
Federal Agencies	3133EHLK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/9/2017	6/2/2022		1.88	50,000,000	49,997,500	49,998,995	51,610,500
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/16/2019	6/15/2022		1.63	20,000,000	19,998,940	19,999,136	20,562,200
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/16/2019	6/15/2022		1.63	25,000,000	24,998,676	24,998,919	25,702,750
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/16/2019	6/15/2022		1.63	25,000,000	24,998,676	24,998,919	25,702,750
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2020	9/20/2022		1.85	25,000,000	25,718,750	25,659,900	25,916,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELVL5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/3/2020	10/3/2022		0.70	40,000,000	39,990,000	39,990,646	40,399,600
Federal Agencies	3133ELJH8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	1/23/2023		1.60	10,140,000	10,412,082	10,368,085	10,497,536
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	3/30/2020	3/30/2023		1.00	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,032,750
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	3/30/2020	3/30/2023		1.00	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,032,750
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	3/30/2020	3/30/2023		1.00	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,032,750
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	3/30/2020	3/30/2023		1.00	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,032,750
Federal Agencies	3133ELNE0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2020	2/14/2024		1.43	20,495,000	20,978,283	20,926,675	21,274,835
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/3/2019	12/3/2024		1.63	25,000,000	24,960,000	24,963,963	26,239,250
Federal Agencies	3130AHRR6	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/19/2019	12/19/2024		2.10	98,545,000	98,525,291	98,527,071	98,625,807
Federal Agencies	3130AHWB5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	1/23/2020	1/21/2025		2.00	100,000,000	100,011,111	100,000,000	100,213,000
Federal Agencies	3135G0X57	FANNIE MAE	1/24/2020	1/24/2025		2.00	38,780,000	38,780,000	38,780,000	38,849,804
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,996,378	5,228,150
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,996,378	5,228,150
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,996,378	5,228,150
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	15,000,000	14,988,450	14,989,134	15,684,450
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	50,000,000	49,961,500	49,963,778	52,281,500
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/23/2020	3/3/2025		1.21	16,000,000	16,001,476	15,991,080	16,495,680
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/23/2020	3/3/2025		1.21	24,000,000	23,980,373	23,965,626	24,743,520
Federal Agencies	3134GVFP8	FREDDIE MAC	3/12/2020	3/12/2025		1.45	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,006,500
Federal Agencies	3134GVFP8	FREDDIE MAC	3/12/2020	3/12/2025		1.45	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,006,500
Federal Agencies	3134GVFP8	FREDDIE MAC	3/12/2020	3/12/2025		1.45	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,013,000
Subtotals						1.53	\$ 4,166,105,000	\$ 4,164,936,376	\$ 4,166,697,733	\$ 4,222,824,070
State/Local Agencies	13063DGA0	CALIFORNIA ST	4/25/2018	4/1/2021		2.80	\$ 33,000,000	\$ 33,001,320	\$ 33,000,374	\$ 33,521,070
State/Local Agencies	13066YTY5	CALIFORNIA ST DEPT OF WTR RES	2/6/2017	5/1/2021		1.71	21,967,414	21,595,725	21,887,062	22,195,216
State/Local Agencies	91412GF59	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA CA REVENUE	8/9/2016	5/15/2021		1.91	1,769,000	1,810,695	1,777,339	1,782,391
Subtotals						2.35	\$ 56,736,414	\$ 56,407,741	\$ 56,664,775	\$ 57,498,677
Public Time Deposits	PP9N4D668	SAN FRANCISCO CRED UNION	12/4/2019	6/4/2020		1.83	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PP9J7XBG2	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	12/11/2019	6/8/2020		1.57	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PP9W8R1R2	BRIDGE BANK	12/23/2019	6/23/2020		1.60	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PP9U66BY8	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	3/25/2020	9/21/2020		0.35	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPEQ54334	BRIDGE BANK	3/24/2020	9/21/2020		0.06	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Subtotals						1.03	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 45,000,000

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
Negotiable CDs	89114NB20	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	11/19/2019	6/22/2020	1.83	\$	60,000,000	\$	60,000,000	\$ 60,069,965
Negotiable CDs	89114NGG4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	2/6/2020	6/25/2020	1.65		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,058,856
Negotiable CDs	06417MFP5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	12/5/2019	7/1/2020	1.85		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,081,086
Negotiable CDs	65602VZK5	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	2/27/2020	7/1/2020	1.59		100,000,000		100,000,000	100,138,377
Negotiable CDs	89114NA54	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	11/6/2019	7/1/2020	1.86		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,081,539
Negotiable CDs	96121T4A3	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	11/12/2019	8/3/2020	2.05		28,790,000		28,827,427	28,798,898
Negotiable CDs	06367BAC3	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	11/25/2019	9/2/2020	1.67		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,198,622
Negotiable CDs	06367BJM2	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	3/11/2020	9/14/2020	1.01		100,000,000		100,000,000	100,248,746
Negotiable CDs	89114N5H4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	9/25/2019	9/24/2020	0.47		100,000,000		100,000,000	100,226,000
Negotiable CDs	06417MCW3	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	9/27/2019	9/28/2020	0.50		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,100,083
Negotiable CDs	89114N5M3	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	9/27/2019	9/28/2020	0.50		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,107,937
Negotiable CDs	06417MDE2	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	10/3/2019	10/9/2020	0.55		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,068,620
Negotiable CDs	89114N6E0	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	10/1/2019	10/9/2020	0.55		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,068,620
Negotiable CDs	06370R6W4	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	11/13/2019	10/26/2020	0.43		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,118,124
Negotiable CDs	96130ADY1	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	10/30/2019	10/28/2020	0.45		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,056,869
Negotiable CDs	78012URS6	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	12/3/2019	12/3/2020	1.57		35,000,000		35,000,000	35,230,522
Negotiable CDs	06367BBD0	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	12/3/2019	12/4/2020	1.85		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,402,850
Negotiable CDs	96130AEP9	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	12/6/2019	12/9/2020	1.15		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,225,746
Negotiable CDs	96130AET1	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	12/13/2019	12/14/2020	1.86		75,000,000		75,000,000	75,640,391
Negotiable CDs	89114NFY6	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	1/23/2020	1/6/2021	1.73		70,000,000		70,000,000	70,610,982
Negotiable CDs	06367BFR5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	1/29/2020	1/28/2021	0.94		50,000,000		50,000,000	50,212,207
Negotiable CDs	06367BJF7	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	3/10/2020	3/1/2021	0.75		100,000,000		100,000,000	100,260,310
Negotiable CDs	78012UTJ4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	3/12/2020	3/15/2021	0.93		100,000,000		100,000,000	100,927,910
Subtotals						1.17	\$ 1,418,790,000	\$ 1,418,827,427	\$ 1,418,798,898	\$ 1,423,920,201
Commercial Paper	62479LF59	MUFG BANK LTD NY	9/24/2019	6/5/2020	0.00	\$	25,000,000	\$	24,638,750	\$ 24,999,458
Commercial Paper	62479LFA8	MUFG BANK LTD NY	12/30/2019	6/10/2020	0.00		40,000,000		39,655,889	39,998,411
Commercial Paper	62479LFF7	MUFG BANK LTD NY	9/24/2019	6/15/2020	0.00		50,000,000		49,249,167	49,997,111
Commercial Paper	62479LFQ3	MUFG BANK LTD NY	2/3/2020	6/24/2020	0.00		25,000,000		24,838,278	24,997,743
Commercial Paper	89233GFR2	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	2/11/2020	6/25/2020	0.00		25,000,000		24,844,375	24,997,653
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFG BANK LTD NY	10/25/2019	7/1/2020	0.00		60,000,000		59,195,833	59,993,066
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFG BANK LTD NY	10/21/2019	7/1/2020	0.00		75,000,000		73,984,000	74,991,333
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	11/6/2019	7/1/2020	0.00		50,000,000		49,381,861	49,994,222
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	12/9/2019	7/1/2020	0.00		50,000,000		49,470,417	49,994,222
Commercial Paper	89233GGN0	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	2/25/2020	7/22/2020	0.00		50,000,000		49,677,278	49,990,431
Commercial Paper	62479LGQ2	MUFG BANK LTD NY	2/27/2020	7/24/2020	0.00		60,000,000		59,630,000	59,988,083
Commercial Paper	62479LH57	MUFG BANK LTD NY	1/29/2020	8/5/2020	0.00		50,000,000		49,553,750	49,986,042
Commercial Paper	46640PH63	JP MORGAN SECURITIES LLC	2/3/2020	8/6/2020	0.00		25,000,000		24,789,306	24,992,917
Commercial Paper	46640PHH9	JP MORGAN SECURITIES LLC	2/3/2020	8/17/2020	0.00		25,000,000		24,776,778	24,991,771
Commercial Paper	89233GHH2	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	12/6/2019	8/17/2020	0.00		50,000,000		49,358,958	49,983,542
Commercial Paper	89233GHK5	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	2/14/2020	8/19/2020	0.00		50,000,000		49,576,653	49,983,125
Commercial Paper	62479LHR9	MUFG BANK LTD NY	12/10/2019	8/25/2020	0.00		45,000,000		44,394,588	44,983,688
Subtotals						0.00	\$ 755,000,000	\$ 747,015,879	\$ 753,378,743	\$ 754,862,817
Medium Term Notes	89236TFQ3	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	1/8/2019	1/8/2021	3.05	\$	5,000,000	\$	4,997,000	\$ 5,069,550
Subtotals						3.05	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,997,000	\$ 4,999,093	\$ 5,069,550

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
Money Market Funds	262006208	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I	5/31/2020	6/1/2020		0.11	\$ 10,600,961	\$ 10,600,961	\$ 10,600,961	\$ 10,600,961
Money Market Funds	608919718	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PF	5/31/2020	6/1/2020		0.16	1,399,650,539	1,399,650,539	1,399,650,539	1,399,650,539
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND	5/31/2020	6/1/2020		0.11	10,541,647	10,541,647	10,541,647	10,541,647
Money Market Funds	31607A703	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	5/31/2020	6/1/2020		0.15	1,053,115,326	1,053,115,326	1,053,115,326	1,053,115,326
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUN	5/31/2020	6/1/2020		0.11	11,383,005	11,383,005	11,383,005	11,383,005
Subtotals						0.16	\$ 2,485,291,477	\$ 2,485,291,477	\$ 2,485,291,477	\$ 2,485,291,477
Supranationals	459052XW1	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	12/11/2019	6/8/2020		0.00	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 99,200,000	\$ 99,968,889	\$ 99,998,000
Supranationals	459052YA8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	4/14/2020	6/12/2020		0.00	50,000,000	49,972,139	49,994,806	49,998,500
Supranationals	45818KYD8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	4/13/2020	6/15/2020		0.00	50,000,000	49,971,125	49,993,583	49,998,000
Supranationals	459052YU4	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	3/18/2020	6/30/2020		0.00	80,000,000	79,815,111	79,948,444	79,992,800
Supranationals	459052YV2	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	4/8/2020	7/1/2020		0.00	50,000,000	49,970,833	49,989,583	49,994,500
Supranationals	459052YV2	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	1/7/2020	7/1/2020		0.00	100,000,000	99,227,556	99,868,333	99,989,000
Supranationals	45818KZA3	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	3/20/2020	7/6/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,947,500	24,982,986	24,996,750
Supranationals	459058GA5	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	8/29/2017	9/4/2020		1.63	50,000,000	49,989,500	49,999,095	50,162,000
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	11/9/2017	11/9/2020		1.95	50,000,000	49,965,000	49,994,859	50,363,500
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	12/20/2017	11/9/2020		1.95	50,000,000	49,718,500	49,957,041	50,363,500
Supranationals	45950KCM0	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	1/25/2018	1/25/2021		2.25	50,000,000	49,853,000	49,968,078	50,572,500
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	4/19/2018	4/19/2021		2.63	45,000,000	44,901,000	44,970,914	45,915,300
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	5/16/2018	4/19/2021		2.63	50,000,000	49,693,972	49,907,819	51,017,000
Supranationals	45950KCJ7	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	5/23/2018	7/20/2021		1.13	12,135,000	11,496,942	11,906,095	12,247,856
Supranationals	459058GH0	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	7/25/2018	7/23/2021		2.75	50,000,000	49,883,000	49,955,403	51,373,000
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	1/28/2020	1/28/2025		2.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,213,000
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	1/28/2020	1/28/2025		2.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,213,000
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	1/28/2020	1/28/2025		2.05	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,426,000
Subtotals						1.10	\$ 912,135,000	\$ 908,605,177	\$ 911,405,930	\$ 917,834,206
Grand Totals						1.03	\$ 12,719,057,891	\$ 12,709,227,900	\$ 12,721,639,160	\$ 12,818,979,697

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

For month ended May 31, 2020

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
							Date	Earned Interest			
U.S. Treasuries	912796TW9	TREASURY BILL	\$ -	0.00	1.51	2/27/20	5/28/20	\$ -	\$ 112,875	\$ -	\$ 112,875
U.S. Treasuries	9127962P3	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.05	5/28/20	6/2/20	-	528	-	528
U.S. Treasuries	912796TX7	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.05	5/21/20	6/4/20	-	382	-	382
U.S. Treasuries	912796TX7	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.05	5/22/20	6/4/20	-	313	-	313
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.08	5/20/20	6/9/20	-	642	-	642
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.07	5/22/20	6/9/20	-	493	-	493
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	40,000,000	0.00	0.04	5/22/20	6/9/20	-	444	-	444
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	5/28/20	6/9/20	-	375	-	375
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.50	1.51	6/20/17	6/15/20	63,525	499	-	64,024
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.50	2.39	4/3/19	6/15/20	63,525	36,825	-	100,349
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	100,000,000	1.50	2.67	12/20/18	6/15/20	127,049	96,340	-	223,389
U.S. Treasuries	9127962V0	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.09	5/20/20	6/16/20	-	733	-	733
U.S. Treasuries	9127962X6	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	5/11/20	6/30/20	-	2,552	-	2,552
U.S. Treasuries	912828XY1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.50	2.38	4/3/19	6/30/20	106,456	(4,801)	-	101,655
U.S. Treasuries	912796UB3	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	5/18/20	7/2/20	-	1,750	-	1,750
U.S. Treasuries	9127963C1	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/12/20	7/7/20	-	2,778	-	2,778
U.S. Treasuries	9127963D9	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/21/20	7/14/20	-	726	-	726
U.S. Treasuries	912796S23	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	1.53	1/13/20	7/16/20	-	65,251	-	65,251
U.S. Treasuries	912796S23	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	5/5/20	7/16/20	-	3,188	-	3,188
U.S. Treasuries	9127963F4	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	5/27/20	7/21/20	-	806	-	806
U.S. Treasuries	9127963G2	TREASURY BILL	75,000,000	0.00	0.09	5/4/20	7/28/20	-	5,095	-	5,095
U.S. Treasuries	912796XG9	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.14	5/29/20	8/27/20	-	583	-	583
U.S. Treasuries	912796XG9	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.14	5/29/20	8/27/20	-	583	-	583
U.S. Treasuries	9127963Y3	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.15	5/28/20	9/15/20	-	1,708	-	1,708
U.S. Treasuries	9128285B2	US TREASURY	60,000,000	2.75	1.81	10/1/19	9/30/20	139,754	(46,978)	-	92,776
U.S. Treasuries	9128282Z2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	1.63	11/20/19	10/15/20	68,818	-	-	68,818
U.S. Treasuries	9127964J5	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.15	5/28/20	10/20/20	-	840	-	840
U.S. Treasuries	9127964K2	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.16	5/28/20	10/27/20	-	903	-	903
U.S. Treasuries	9128283L2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.88	1.63	11/18/19	12/15/20	79,406	(10,168)	-	69,238
U.S. Treasuries	9128283L2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.88	1.65	11/26/19	12/15/20	79,406	(9,593)	-	69,813
U.S. Treasuries	912828N48	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.75	1.64	11/22/19	12/31/20	74,519	(4,485)	-	70,034
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	2.57	3/4/19	1/15/21	85,165	23,315	-	108,479
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.63	11/18/19	1/15/21	85,165	(15,422)	-	69,743
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.63	11/22/19	1/15/21	85,165	(15,425)	-	69,740
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.68	12/3/19	1/15/21	85,165	(13,323)	-	71,842
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.64	11/22/19	3/15/21	100,034	(30,589)	-	69,445
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.66	12/6/19	3/15/21	100,034	(29,948)	-	70,086
U.S. Treasuries	912828C57	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.25	2.39	4/15/19	3/31/21	95,287	5,919	-	101,206
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	2.36	4/9/19	4/15/21	100,581	(575)	-	100,006
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.68	12/9/19	4/15/21	100,581	(29,107)	-	71,474
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.68	12/11/19	4/15/21	100,581	(28,855)	-	71,725
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.66	11/26/19	6/15/21	111,168	(40,044)	-	71,124
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.65	11/27/19	6/15/21	111,168	(40,757)	-	70,411
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.69	12/11/19	6/15/21	111,168	(39,158)	-	72,010
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.65	12/18/19	6/15/21	111,168	(40,661)	-	70,507
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	6/30/21	69,196	3,431	-	72,627
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	1.67	12/3/19	6/30/21	69,196	1,685	-	70,881
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	1.65	12/9/19	6/30/21	69,196	1,171	-	70,367
U.S. Treasuries	912828S27	US TREASURY	25,000,000	1.13	1.64	8/15/17	6/30/21	23,953	10,526	-	34,479
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y20	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.69	12/12/19	7/15/21	111,779	(38,871)	-	72,908
U.S. Treasuries	912828YC8	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.50	1.66	12/9/19	8/31/21	63,179	6,621	-	69,800
U.S. Treasuries	912828T34	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.13	1.69	12/11/19	9/30/21	47,643	23,612	-	71,256
U.S. Treasuries	912828T67	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.25	1.43	11/10/16	10/31/21	52,649	7,268	-	59,918

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	912828U65	US TREASURY	100,000,000	1.75	1.90	12/13/16	11/30/21	148,224	11,755	-	159,979
U.S. Treasuries	912828U81	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.61	11/22/19	12/31/21	85,165	(16,198)	-	68,967
U.S. Treasuries	912828XW5	US TREASURY	25,000,000	1.75	1.77	8/15/17	6/30/22	37,260	391	-	37,651
U.S. Treasuries	912828S35	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.38	1.61	1/9/20	6/30/23	58,551	9,645	-	68,196
U.S. Treasuries	912828WE6	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.75	1.71	12/17/19	11/15/23	116,404	(42,540)	-	73,864
Subtotals			\$ 2,875,000,000					\$ 3,137,282	\$ (54,948)	\$ -	\$ 3,082,334
Federal Agencies	313384WW5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	\$ -	0.00	1.57	1/29/20	5/15/20	\$ -	\$ 30,431	\$ -	\$ 30,431
Federal Agencies	313384XD6	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	1.55	2/25/20	5/22/20	-	41,228	-	41,228
Federal Agencies	3134GBPB2	FREDDIE MAC	-	1.70	1.70	5/30/17	5/22/20	15,619	-	-	15,619
Federal Agencies	313384XQ7	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	20,000,000	0.00	1.56	2/3/20	6/2/20	-	26,694	-	26,694
Federal Agencies	313384YD5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.09	5/11/20	6/15/20	-	1,313	-	1,313
Federal Agencies	3133EHNK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.54	1.54	6/15/17	6/15/20	32,083	71	-	32,154
Federal Agencies	3133EHNK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	26,900,000	1.54	1.55	6/15/17	6/15/20	34,522	152	-	34,674
Federal Agencies	313312YE4	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	49,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/28/20	6/16/20	-	544	-	544
Federal Agencies	313396YL1	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	15,000,000	0.00	1.53	2/3/20	6/22/20	-	19,633	-	19,633
Federal Agencies	3134GBST0	FREDDIE MAC	14,675,000	1.65	1.65	6/22/17	6/22/20	20,178	-	-	20,178
Federal Agencies	313396YN7	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	1.56	2/6/20	6/24/20	-	66,736	-	66,736
Federal Agencies	313396YP2	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	10,000,000	0.00	1.55	2/6/20	6/25/20	-	13,304	-	13,304
Federal Agencies	313384ZA0	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	24,300,000	0.00	0.10	5/11/20	7/6/20	-	1,418	-	1,418
Federal Agencies	3133EHQB2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.55	1.56	7/6/17	7/6/20	32,292	284	-	32,576
Federal Agencies	313384ZD4	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/20/20	7/9/20	-	792	-	792
Federal Agencies	313384ZD4	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/19/20	7/9/20	-	858	-	858
Federal Agencies	313384ZH5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/21/20	7/13/20	-	726	-	726
Federal Agencies	313384ZK8	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	1.57	1/31/20	7/15/20	-	67,167	-	67,167
Federal Agencies	313384ZQ5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/5/20	7/20/20	-	1,875	-	1,875
Federal Agencies	313384ZQ5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/5/20	7/20/20	-	1,875	-	1,875
Federal Agencies	3135G0T60	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	1.50	1.60	8/1/17	7/30/20	62,500	4,293	-	66,793
Federal Agencies	3130ABZE9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	6,700,000	1.65	1.65	8/28/17	8/28/20	9,213	19	-	9,231
Federal Agencies	3130ADT93	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.40	2.43	3/14/18	9/14/20	50,000	527	-	50,527
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3N7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.77	2.79	12/21/18	9/21/20	57,708	448	-	58,156
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	18,000,000	1.38	1.48	9/8/17	9/28/20	20,625	1,605	-	22,230
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	30,000,000	1.38	1.48	9/8/17	9/28/20	34,375	2,675	-	37,050
Federal Agencies	3130ACK52	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,530,000	1.70	2.48	3/12/18	10/5/20	36,168	16,356	-	52,523
Federal Agencies	3133EKR57	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	112,500,000	0.21	0.32	9/25/19	10/20/20	52,924	3,898	-	56,822
Federal Agencies	3130AHD7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	1.63	1.57	2/12/20	10/21/20	67,708	(2,423)	-	65,285
Federal Agencies	3132X0KR1	FARMER MAC	25,000,000	0.53	0.53	11/2/16	11/2/20	11,862	-	-	11,862
Federal Agencies	3132X0ZF1	FARMER MAC	-	1.93	2.02	11/13/17	11/9/20	5,147	247	5,027	10,421
Federal Agencies	3133EJT90	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.95	3.00	11/16/18	11/16/20	122,917	2,212	-	125,129
Federal Agencies	3137EAEK1	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.88	1.91	11/15/17	11/17/20	78,125	1,355	-	79,480
Federal Agencies	3134GBX56	FREDDIE MAC	60,000,000	2.25	2.12	11/24/17	11/24/20	112,500	(6,313)	-	106,187
Federal Agencies	3134GBLR1	FREDDIE MAC	24,715,000	1.75	1.75	5/25/17	11/25/20	36,043	60	-	36,103
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.90	1.91	11/27/17	11/27/20	39,583	208	-	39,792
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.90	1.91	11/27/17	11/27/20	39,583	208	-	39,792
Federal Agencies	3130A3UQ5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	10,000,000	1.88	2.02	12/13/17	12/11/20	15,625	1,201	-	16,826
Federal Agencies	3132X0ZY0	FARMER MAC	12,750,000	2.05	2.07	12/15/17	12/15/20	21,781	242	-	22,023
Federal Agencies	3133EGX75	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.36	0.36	12/21/16	12/21/20	29,479	-	-	29,479
Federal Agencies	3133EFTX5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	0.50	0.50	12/24/15	12/24/20	68,556	-	-	68,556
Federal Agencies	3133EJ4Q9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	2.55	2.58	1/11/19	1/11/21	212,500	2,799	-	215,299
Federal Agencies	3133EJCE7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.35	2.59	4/16/18	2/12/21	97,917	9,792	-	107,709
Federal Agencies	3137EAE19	FREDDIE MAC	22,000,000	2.38	2.47	2/16/18	2/16/21	43,542	1,643	-	45,184
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.55	2.58	3/11/19	3/11/21	106,250	1,060	-	107,310
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.55	2.58	3/11/19	3/11/21	106,250	1,060	-	107,310
Federal Agencies	3133EKR99	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	90,000,000	0.28	0.30	10/3/19	3/25/21	40,704	1,035	-	41,740

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Earned Interest			
									Expense	Gain/(Loss)	/Net Earnings
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	6,350,000	2.60	2.64	3/29/18	3/29/21	13,758	196	-	13,954
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	20,450,000	2.60	2.64	3/29/18	3/29/21	44,308	630	-	44,939
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.23	2.40	4/5/19	4/5/21	46,458	3,541	-	49,999
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.23	2.40	4/5/19	4/5/21	46,458	3,499	-	49,957
Federal Agencies	3133EJNS4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	17,700,000	2.70	2.79	5/22/18	5/10/21	39,825	1,341	-	41,166
Federal Agencies	3135GOU35	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	2.75	2.76	6/25/18	6/22/21	57,292	163	-	57,455
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEO	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	42,292	-	-	42,292
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEO	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	42,292	-	-	42,292
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEO	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	42,292	-	-	42,292
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEO	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	42,292	-	-	42,292
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEX8	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	42,292	-	-	42,292
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEX8	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	42,292	-	-	42,292
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEX8	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	42,292	-	-	42,292
Federal Agencies	3134GUAEX8	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	42,292	-	-	42,292
Federal Agencies	3135GOQ89	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	1.38	1.38	10/21/16	10/7/21	28,646	-	-	28,646
Federal Agencies	3133EJK24	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	3.00	3.03	10/19/18	10/19/21	62,500	540	-	63,040
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	14,500,000	1.38	1.38	10/25/16	10/25/21	16,615	-	-	16,615
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	15,000,000	1.38	1.38	10/25/16	10/25/21	17,188	-	-	17,188
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.40	0.41	4/15/20	10/25/21	16,667	423	-	17,090
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.40	0.41	4/15/20	10/25/21	16,667	423	-	17,090
Federal Agencies	3133EJT74	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	3.05	3.09	11/15/18	11/15/21	127,083	1,414	-	128,498
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	17,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	23,021	1,215	-	24,235
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	33,854	1,786	-	35,640
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	33,854	1,786	-	35,640
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	45,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	60,938	3,215	-	64,152
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	67,708	3,572	-	71,280
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	19,000,000	2.80	0.74	3/19/20	12/17/21	44,333	(32,930)	-	11,403
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	728	-	59,062
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	728	-	59,062
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.85	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	1,011	-	59,345
Federal Agencies	3130AHSR5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	22,500,000	1.63	1.68	12/20/19	12/20/21	30,469	1,031	-	31,499
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.53	0.65	3/18/20	1/18/22	22,083	5,244	-	27,327
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	63,450,000	0.53	0.67	3/23/20	1/18/22	28,024	7,472	-	35,496
Federal Agencies	3133ELKN3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	1.55	1.55	1/28/20	1/28/22	129,167	339	-	129,506
Federal Agencies	3133EKAK2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,700,000	2.53	2.56	2/19/19	2/14/22	43,643	494	-	44,137
Federal Agencies	3133EKBV7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10,000,000	2.55	2.56	3/1/19	3/1/22	21,250	80	-	21,330
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	17,780,000	2.50	2.36	4/5/19	3/11/22	37,042	(1,997)	-	35,045
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	40,000,000	2.50	2.36	4/5/19	3/11/22	83,333	(4,584)	-	78,750
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	26,145,000	2.47	2.36	4/8/19	3/14/22	53,815	(2,346)	-	51,469
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	45,500,000	2.47	2.36	4/8/19	3/14/22	93,654	(3,898)	-	89,756
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.70	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	42	-	14,626
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.71	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	297	-	14,881
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.71	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	170	-	14,753
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.73	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	711	-	15,295
Federal Agencies	3134GVHU5	FREDDIE MAC	60,000,000	1.15	1.15	3/30/20	3/30/22	57,500	-	-	57,500
Federal Agencies	3135GOT45	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	1.88	1.81	6/6/17	4/5/22	39,063	(1,270)	-	37,793
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.20	1.20	4/6/20	4/6/22	25,000	-	-	25,000
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.20	1.20	4/6/20	4/6/22	25,000	-	-	25,000
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.20	1.20	4/6/20	4/6/22	25,000	-	-	25,000
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.20	1.20	4/6/20	4/6/22	25,000	-	-	25,000
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	46,875	2,319	-	49,194
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	93,750	4,639	-	98,389
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	93,750	4,639	-	98,389
Federal Agencies	3133EKHB5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.35	2.37	4/18/19	4/18/22	97,917	863	-	98,779

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
Federal Agencies	3133EKLRS	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.25	2.32	5/16/19	5/16/22	46,875	1,435	-	48,310
Federal Agencies	3133EKLRS	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	35,000,000	2.25	2.32	5/16/19	5/16/22	65,625	2,010	-	67,635
Federal Agencies	3133EHL7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	1.88	1.85	6/6/17	6/2/22	78,125	(1,008)	-	77,117
Federal Agencies	3133EHL7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	1.88	1.88	6/9/17	6/2/22	78,125	43	-	78,168
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,000,000	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	27,167	36	-	27,203
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	33,958	45	-	34,003
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	33,958	45	-	34,003
Federal Agencies	3133EHZP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.85	0.69	3/18/20	9/20/22	38,542	(24,325)	-	14,217
Federal Agencies	3133ELVL5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	40,000,000	0.70	0.71	4/3/20	10/3/22	23,333	340	-	23,673
Federal Agencies	3133ELJH8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10,140,000	1.60	0.74	3/25/20	1/23/23	13,520	(7,320)	-	6,201
Federal Agencies	3130AJ7C7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	-	1.75	1.75	2/26/20	2/21/23	97,222	192	9,212	106,626
Federal Agencies	3134GVDZ8	FREDDIE MAC	-	1.73	1.73	2/28/20	2/28/23	32,344	-	-	32,344
Federal Agencies	3134GVDZ8	FREDDIE MAC	-	1.73	1.73	2/28/20	2/28/23	64,688	-	-	64,688
Federal Agencies	3134GVDZ8	FREDDIE MAC	-	1.73	1.73	2/28/20	2/28/23	32,344	-	-	32,344
Federal Agencies	3134GVDZ8	FREDDIE MAC	-	1.73	1.73	2/28/20	2/28/23	64,688	-	-	64,688
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.00	1.00	3/30/20	3/30/23	20,833	-	-	20,833
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.00	1.00	3/30/20	3/30/23	20,833	-	-	20,833
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.00	1.00	3/30/20	3/30/23	20,833	-	-	20,833
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.00	1.00	3/30/20	3/30/23	20,833	-	-	20,833
Federal Agencies	3133ELNE0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,495,000	1.43	0.85	3/18/20	2/14/24	24,423	(9,891)	-	14,533
Federal Agencies	3134GUVL1	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.00	2.00	11/25/19	5/28/24	75,000	-	-	75,000
Federal Agencies	3134GUVL1	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.00	2.00	11/25/19	5/28/24	75,000	-	-	75,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.66	12/3/19	12/3/24	33,854	679	-	34,533
Federal Agencies	3130AHRR6	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	98,545,000	2.10	2.10	12/19/19	12/19/24	172,454	334	-	172,788
Federal Agencies	3130AHWB5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	100,000,000	2.00	2.00	1/23/20	1/21/25	166,667	-	-	166,667
Federal Agencies	3135G0X57	FANNIE MAE	38,780,000	2.00	2.00	1/24/20	1/24/25	64,633	-	-	64,633
Federal Agencies	3134GVAG3	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.00	2.00	2/11/20	2/11/25	27,778	-	-	27,778
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	65	-	6,315
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	65	-	6,315
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	65	-	6,315
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	15,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	18,750	196	-	18,946
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	62,500	654	-	63,154
Federal Agencies	3130AJ5X3	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	-	2.00	2.00	2/20/20	2/20/25	26,389	-	-	26,389
Federal Agencies	3130AJ5X3	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	-	2.00	2.00	2/20/20	2/20/25	26,389	-	-	26,389
Federal Agencies	3130AJ5X3	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	-	2.00	2.00	2/20/20	2/20/25	52,778	-	-	52,778
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	16,000,000	1.21	1.22	3/23/20	3/3/25	16,133	159	-	16,293
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	24,000,000	1.21	1.24	3/23/20	3/3/25	24,200	614	-	24,814
Federal Agencies	3134GVFP8	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.45	1.45	3/12/20	3/12/25	30,208	-	-	30,208
Federal Agencies	3134GVFP8	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.45	1.45	3/12/20	3/12/25	30,208	-	-	30,208
Federal Agencies	3134GVFP8	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.45	1.45	3/12/20	3/12/25	60,417	-	-	60,417
Subtotals			\$ 4,166,105,000					\$ 5,974,829	\$ 291,269	\$ 14,239	\$ 6,280,337
State/Local Agencies	977100CW4	WISCONSIN ST GEN FUND ANNUAL A	\$ -	1.45	1.45	8/16/16	5/1/20	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
State/Local Agencies	13063DGA0	CALIFORNIA ST	33,000,000	2.80	2.80	4/25/18	4/1/21	77,000	(38)	-	76,962
State/Local Agencies	13066YTY5	CALIFORNIA ST DEPT OF WTR RESO	21,967,414	1.71	2.30	2/6/17	5/1/21	31,358	(70,017)	101,439	62,781
State/Local Agencies	91412GF59	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA CA REVENUES	1,769,000	1.91	1.40	8/9/16	5/15/21	2,816	(743)	-	2,073
Subtotals			\$ 56,736,414					\$ 111,174	\$ (70,798)	\$ 101,439	\$ 141,816
Public Time Deposits	PP9N4D668	SAN FRANCISCO CRED UNION	\$ 10,000,000	1.83	1.83	12/4/19	6/4/20	\$ 15,573	\$ -	\$ -	15,573
Public Time Deposits	PP9J7XBG2	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	5,000,000	1.57	1.57	12/11/19	6/8/20	6,760	-	-	6,760
Public Time Deposits	PP9W8R1R2	BRIDGE BANK	10,000,000	1.60	1.60	12/23/19	6/23/20	13,589	-	-	13,589
Public Time Deposits	PP9U66BY8	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	10,000,000	0.35	0.35	3/25/20	9/21/20	3,014	-	-	3,014
Public Time Deposits	PPEQ54334	BRIDGE BANK	10,000,000	0.06	0.06	3/24/20	9/21/20	510	-	-	510
Subtotals			\$ 45,000,000					\$ 39,445	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 39,445

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Earned Interest	Amort.		Realized		Earned Income	
							Date			Expense	Gain/(Loss)	/Net Earnings			
Negotiable CDs	65602VXD3	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	\$ -	1.78	1.78	1/8/20	5/8/20	\$ 12,114	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,114			
Negotiable CDs	78012UQY4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	-	2.02	2.02	9/17/19	5/11/20	56,111	-	-	-	56,111			
Negotiable CDs	89114NCH6	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	-	1.86	1.86	12/6/19	5/13/20	31,000	-	-	-	31,000			
Negotiable CDs	89114NB20	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	60,000,000	1.83	1.83	11/19/19	6/22/20	94,550	-	-	-	94,550			
Negotiable CDs	89114NGG4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	1.65	1.65	2/6/20	6/25/20	71,042	-	-	-	71,042			
Negotiable CDs	06417MFP5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	1.85	1.85	12/5/19	7/1/20	79,653	-	-	-	79,653			
Negotiable CDs	65602VZK5	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	100,000,000	1.59	1.59	2/27/20	7/1/20	136,917	-	-	-	136,917			
Negotiable CDs	89114NA54	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	1.86	1.86	11/6/19	7/1/20	80,083	-	-	-	80,083			
Negotiable CDs	96121T4A3	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	28,790,000	2.05	1.87	11/12/19	8/3/20	49,183	(4,378)	-	-	44,805			
Negotiable CDs	06367BAC3	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	1.67	1.67	11/25/19	9/2/20	71,919	-	-	-	71,919			
Negotiable CDs	06367BJM2	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	100,000,000	1.01	1.01	3/11/20	9/14/20	86,972	-	-	-	86,972			
Negotiable CDs	89114N5H4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	100,000,000	0.47	0.47	9/25/19	9/24/20	68,203	-	-	-	68,203			
Negotiable CDs	06417MCW3	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	0.50	0.50	9/27/19	9/28/20	31,683	-	-	-	31,683			
Negotiable CDs	89114N5M3	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	0.50	0.50	9/27/19	9/28/20	33,010	-	-	-	33,010			
Negotiable CDs	06417MDE2	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	0.55	0.55	10/3/19	10/9/20	32,666	-	-	-	32,666			
Negotiable CDs	89114N6E0	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	0.55	0.55	10/1/19	10/9/20	32,666	-	-	-	32,666			
Negotiable CDs	06370R6W4	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.43	0.43	11/13/19	10/26/20	29,515	-	-	-	29,515			
Negotiable CDs	96130ADY1	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	50,000,000	0.45	0.45	10/30/19	10/28/20	29,530	-	-	-	29,530			
Negotiable CDs	78012URS6	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	35,000,000	1.57	1.57	12/3/19	12/3/20	47,401	-	-	-	47,401			
Negotiable CDs	06367BBD0	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	1.85	1.85	12/3/19	12/4/20	79,653	-	-	-	79,653			
Negotiable CDs	96130AEP9	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	50,000,000	1.15	1.15	12/6/19	12/9/20	49,466	-	-	-	49,466			
Negotiable CDs	96130AET1	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	75,000,000	1.86	1.86	12/13/19	12/14/20	120,125	-	-	-	120,125			
Negotiable CDs	89114N5Y6	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	70,000,000	1.73	1.73	1/23/20	1/6/21	104,281	-	-	-	104,281			
Negotiable CDs	06367BFR5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.94	0.94	1/29/20	1/28/21	40,349	-	-	-	40,349			
Negotiable CDs	06367BJF7	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	100,000,000	0.75	0.75	3/10/20	3/1/21	64,595	-	-	-	64,595			
Negotiable CDs	78012UTJ4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	100,000,000	0.93	0.93	3/12/20	3/15/21	104,910	-	-	-	104,910			
Subtotals			\$ 1,418,790,000					\$ 1,637,595	\$ (4,378)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,633,217			
Commercial Paper	89233GEN2	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	\$ -	0.00	1.89	11/25/19	5/22/20	\$ -	\$ 54,542	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 54,542			
Commercial Paper	89233GEN2	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	-	0.00	1.88	12/2/19	5/22/20	-	70,525	-	-	70,525			
Commercial Paper	89233GET9	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	-	0.00	1.88	12/2/19	5/27/20	-	53,733	-	-	53,733			
Commercial Paper	62479LF59	MUFG BANK LTD NY	25,000,000	0.00	2.07	9/24/19	6/5/20	-	43,917	-	-	43,917			
Commercial Paper	62479LFA8	MUFG BANK LTD NY	40,000,000	0.00	1.92	12/30/19	6/10/20	-	65,444	-	-	65,444			
Commercial Paper	62479LFF7	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	2.07	9/24/19	6/15/20	-	87,833	-	-	87,833			
Commercial Paper	62479LFQ3	MUFG BANK LTD NY	25,000,000	0.00	1.65	2/3/20	6/24/20	-	35,306	-	-	35,306			
Commercial Paper	89233GFR2	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	25,000,000	0.00	1.67	2/11/20	6/25/20	-	35,736	-	-	35,736			
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFG BANK LTD NY	60,000,000	0.00	1.96	10/25/19	7/1/20	-	99,717	-	-	99,717			
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFG BANK LTD NY	75,000,000	0.00	1.95	10/21/19	7/1/20	-	124,000	-	-	124,000			
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	1.89	11/6/19	7/1/20	-	80,514	-	-	80,514			
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	1.88	12/9/19	7/1/20	-	80,083	-	-	80,083			
Commercial Paper	89233GGN0	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	1.58	2/25/20	7/22/20	-	67,597	-	-	67,597			
Commercial Paper	62479LGQ2	MUFG BANK LTD NY	60,000,000	0.00	1.51	2/27/20	7/24/20	-	77,500	-	-	77,500			
Commercial Paper	62479LH57	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	1.72	1/29/20	8/5/20	-	73,194	-	-	73,194			
Commercial Paper	46640PH63	JP MORGAN SECURITIES LLC	25,000,000	0.00	1.65	2/3/20	8/6/20	-	35,306	-	-	35,306			
Commercial Paper	46640PHH9	JP MORGAN SECURITIES LLC	25,000,000	0.00	1.65	2/3/20	8/17/20	-	35,306	-	-	35,306			
Commercial Paper	89233GHH2	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	1.83	12/6/19	8/17/20	-	77,931	-	-	77,931			
Commercial Paper	89233GHK5	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	1.64	2/14/20	8/19/20	-	70,181	-	-	70,181			
Commercial Paper	62479LHR9	MUFG BANK LTD NY	45,000,000	0.00	1.90	12/10/19	8/25/20	-	72,463	-	-	72,463			
Subtotals			\$ 755,000,000					\$ -	\$ 1,340,826	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,340,826			
Medium Term Notes	89236TFQ3	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	\$ 5,000,000	3.05	3.08	1/8/19	1/8/21	\$ 12,708	\$ 127	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,836			
Subtotals			\$ 5,000,000					\$ 12,708	\$ 127	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,836			

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
Money Market Funds	262006208	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I	\$ 10,600,961	0.11	0.11	5/31/20	6/1/20	\$ 1,014	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,014
Money Market Funds	608919718	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PRM	1,399,650,539	0.16	0.16	5/31/20	6/1/20	195,342	-	-	195,342
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND	10,541,647	0.11	0.11	5/31/20	6/1/20	959	-	-	959
Money Market Funds	31607A703	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	1,053,115,326	0.15	0.15	5/31/20	6/1/20	133,818	-	-	133,818
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUND	11,383,005	0.11	0.11	5/31/20	6/1/20	1,074	-	-	1,074
Subtotals			\$ 2,485,291,477					\$ 332,207	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 332,207
Supranationals	4581X0CX4	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	\$ -	1.63	1.72	4/12/17	5/12/20	\$ 12,413	\$ 579	\$ -	\$ 12,992
Supranationals	4581X0CX4	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	-	1.63	2.72	5/17/18	5/12/20	4,965	3,192	-	8,157
Supranationals	459052XW1	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	100,000,000	0.00	1.61	12/11/19	6/8/20	-	137,778	-	137,778
Supranationals	459052YA8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	50,000,000	0.00	0.34	4/14/20	6/12/20	-	14,639	-	14,639
Supranationals	45818KYD8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	50,000,000	0.00	0.33	4/13/20	6/15/20	-	14,208	-	14,208
Supranationals	459052YU4	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	80,000,000	0.00	0.80	3/18/20	6/30/20	-	55,111	-	55,111
Supranationals	459052YV2	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	50,000,000	0.00	0.25	4/8/20	7/1/20	-	10,764	-	10,764
Supranationals	459052YV2	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	100,000,000	0.00	1.59	1/7/20	7/1/20	-	136,056	-	136,056
Supranationals	45818KZA3	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	25,000,000	0.00	0.70	3/20/20	7/6/20	-	15,069	-	15,069
Supranationals	459058GA5	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	1.63	1.64	8/29/17	9/4/20	67,750	295	-	68,045
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	1.95	1.97	11/9/17	11/9/20	81,250	990	-	82,240
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	1.95	2.15	12/20/17	11/9/20	81,250	8,272	-	89,522
Supranationals	45950KCM0	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	50,000,000	2.25	2.35	1/25/18	1/25/21	93,750	4,158	-	97,908
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	45,000,000	2.63	2.70	4/19/18	4/19/21	98,438	2,800	-	101,238
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	50,000,000	2.63	2.84	5/16/18	4/19/21	109,375	8,875	-	118,250
Supranationals	45950KCJ7	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	12,135,000	1.13	2.97	5/23/18	7/20/21	11,387	17,140	-	28,527
Supranationals	459058GH0	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	2.75	2.85	7/25/18	7/23/21	114,583	3,315	-	117,899
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	25,000,000	2.05	2.05	1/28/20	1/28/25	42,708	-	-	42,708
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	25,000,000	2.05	2.05	1/28/20	1/28/25	42,708	-	-	42,708
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	2.05	2.05	1/28/20	1/28/25	85,417	-	-	85,417
Subtotals			\$ 912,135,000					\$ 845,994	\$ 433,240	\$ -	\$ 1,279,235
Grand Totals			\$ 12,719,057,891					\$ 12,091,234	\$ 1,935,339	\$ 115,678	\$ 14,142,252

¹ Yield to maturity is calculated at purchase

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

For month ended May 31, 2020

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Purchase	5/1/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	\$ 50,000,000	0.27	0.26	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ 50,000,000
Purchase	5/4/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	90,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	90,000,000
Purchase	5/4/20	7/28/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963G2	75,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.98	-	74,984,533
Purchase	5/5/20	7/16/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796SZ3	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.98	-	49,991,500
Purchase	5/5/20	7/20/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384ZQ5	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	99.98	-	24,994,722
Purchase	5/5/20	7/20/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384ZQ5	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	99.98	-	24,994,722
Purchase	5/7/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	11,000,000	0.15	0.15	100.00	-	11,000,000
Purchase	5/8/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	22,000,000	0.15	0.15	100.00	-	22,000,000
Purchase	5/11/20	6/15/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384YD5	25,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.99	-	24,997,813
Purchase	5/11/20	6/30/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962X6	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.99	-	49,993,924
Purchase	5/11/20	7/6/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384ZA0	24,300,000	0.00	0.10	99.98	-	24,296,220
Purchase	5/12/20	7/7/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963C1	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	99.98	-	49,992,222
Purchase	5/14/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	14,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	14,000,000
Purchase	5/15/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	30,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	30,000,000
Purchase	5/18/20	7/2/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796UB3	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.99	-	49,994,375
Purchase	5/19/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	40,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	40,000,000
Purchase	5/19/20	7/9/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384ZD4	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	99.99	-	24,996,635
Purchase	5/20/20	6/9/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962U2	25,000,000	0.00	0.08	100.00	-	24,998,931
Purchase	5/20/20	6/16/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962V0	25,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.99	-	24,998,350
Purchase	5/20/20	7/9/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384ZD4	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	99.99	-	24,996,701
Purchase	5/21/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	12,000,000	0.15	0.15	100.00	-	12,000,000
Purchase	5/21/20	6/4/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TX7	25,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	24,999,514
Purchase	5/21/20	7/13/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384ZH5	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	99.99	-	24,996,503
Purchase	5/21/20	7/14/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963D9	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	99.99	-	24,996,438
Purchase	5/22/20	6/4/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TX7	25,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	24,999,594
Purchase	5/22/20	6/9/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962U2	25,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	24,999,113
Purchase	5/22/20	6/9/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962U2	40,000,000	0.00	0.04	100.00	-	39,999,200
Purchase	5/26/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	10,000,000	0.15	0.15	100.00	-	10,000,000
Purchase	5/27/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	10,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	10,000,000
Purchase	5/27/20	7/21/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963F4	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	99.98	-	49,991,139
Purchase	5/28/20	6/2/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962P3	100,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	99,999,340
Purchase	5/28/20	6/9/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962U2	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	49,998,875
Purchase	5/28/20	6/16/20	Federal Agencies	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	313312YE4	49,000,000	0.00	0.10	99.99	-	48,997,414
Purchase	5/28/20	9/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963Y3	100,000,000	0.00	0.15	99.95	-	99,953,021
Purchase	5/28/20	10/20/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964J5	50,000,000	0.00	0.15	99.94	-	49,969,540
Purchase	5/28/20	10/27/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964K2	50,000,000	0.00	0.16	99.93	-	49,965,694
Purchase	5/29/20	8/27/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796XG9	50,000,000	0.00	0.14	99.97	-	49,982,500
Purchase	5/29/20	8/27/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796XG9	50,000,000	0.00	0.14	99.97	-	49,982,500
Purchase	5/31/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	1,014	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	1,014
Purchase	5/31/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	195,342	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	195,342
Purchase	5/31/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	959	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	959
Purchase	5/31/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	133,818	0.15	0.15	100.00	-	133,818
Purchase	5/31/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	1,074	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	1,074
Subtotals						\$1,477,632,207	0.03	0.11	\$ 99.98	\$ -	\$1,477,393,239
Sale	5/5/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	\$ 40,000,000	0.15	0.15	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ 40,000,000
Sale	5/6/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	3,000,000	0.15	0.15	100.00	-	3,000,000
Sale	5/11/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	30,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	30,000,000
Sale	5/12/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	11,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	11,000,000
Sale	5/13/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	15,000,000	0.15	0.15	100.00	-	15,000,000
Sale	5/18/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	47,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	47,000,000
Sale	5/22/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	30,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	30,000,000

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Sale	5/28/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	25,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	25,000,000
Sale	5/29/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	26,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	26,000,000
Subtotals						\$ 227,000,000	0.16	0.16	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ 227,000,000
Call	5/1/20	5/1/21	State/Local Agencies	CALIFORNIA ST DEPT OF WT	13066YTY5	\$ 5,995,227	1.71	2.30	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ 5,995,227
Call	5/9/20	11/9/20	Federal Agencies	FARMER MAC	3132X0ZF1	12,000,000	1.93	2.02	100.00	-	12,000,000
Call	5/11/20	2/11/25	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVAG3	50,000,000	2.00	2.00	100.00	-	50,000,000
Call	5/20/20	2/20/25	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AJ5X3	25,000,000	2.00	2.00	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	5/20/20	2/20/25	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AJ5X3	25,000,000	2.00	2.00	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	5/20/20	2/20/25	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AJ5X3	50,000,000	2.00	2.00	100.00	-	50,000,000
Call	5/21/20	2/21/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AJ7C7	100,000,000	1.75	1.75	100.00	-	100,000,000
Call	5/28/20	2/28/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVDZ8	25,000,000	1.73	1.73	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	5/28/20	2/28/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVDZ8	25,000,000	1.73	1.73	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	5/28/20	2/28/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVDZ8	50,000,000	1.73	1.73	100.00	-	50,000,000
Call	5/28/20	2/28/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVDZ8	50,000,000	1.73	1.73	100.00	-	50,000,000
Call	5/28/20	5/28/24	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUVL1	50,000,000	2.00	2.00	100.00	-	50,000,000
Call	5/28/20	5/28/24	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUVL1	50,000,000	2.00	2.00	100.00	-	50,000,000
Subtotals						\$ 517,995,227	1.87	1.88	\$ 1.16	\$ -	\$ 517,995,227
Maturity	5/1/20	5/1/20	State/Local Agencies	WISCONSIN ST GEN FUND AN	977100CW4	\$ 18,000,000	1.45	1.45	100.00	\$ 130,140	\$ 18,130,140
Maturity	5/8/20	5/8/20	Negotiable CDs	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	65602VXD3	35,000,000	1.78	1.78	100.00	209,397	35,209,397
Maturity	5/11/20	5/11/20	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012UQY4	100,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	1,329,833	101,329,833
Maturity	5/12/20	5/12/20	Supranationals	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	4581X0CX4	10,000,000	1.63	2.72	100.00	81,250	10,081,250
Maturity	5/12/20	5/12/20	Supranationals	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	4581X0CX4	25,000,000	1.63	1.72	100.00	203,125	25,203,125
Maturity	5/13/20	5/13/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114NCH6	50,000,000	1.86	1.86	100.00	410,750	50,410,750
Maturity	5/15/20	5/15/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384WW5	50,000,000	0.00	1.57	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	5/22/20	5/22/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384XD6	45,745,000	0.00	1.55	100.00	-	45,745,000
Maturity	5/22/20	5/22/20	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GBPB2	15,750,000	1.70	1.70	100.00	133,875	15,883,875
Maturity	5/22/20	5/22/20	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233GEN2	50,000,000	0.00	1.89	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	5/22/20	5/22/20	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233GEN2	65,000,000	0.00	1.88	100.00	-	65,000,000
Maturity	5/27/20	5/27/20	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233GET9	40,000,000	0.00	1.88	100.00	-	40,000,000
Maturity	5/28/20	5/28/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TW9	100,000,000	0.00	1.51	100.00	-	100,000,000
Subtotals						\$ 604,495,000	0.77	1.77	\$ -	\$ 2,498,371	\$ 606,993,371
Interest	5/1/20	3/1/21	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	06367BJF7	\$ 100,000,000	1.37	1.36	0.00	0.00	\$ 113,708
Interest	5/1/20	5/1/21	State/Local Agencies	CALIFORNIA ST DEPT OF WT	13066YTY5	21,967,414	1.71	2.30	0.00	0.00	239,500
Interest	5/2/20	11/2/20	Federal Agencies	FARMER MAC	3132X0KR1	25,000,000	1.19	1.19	0.00	0.00	24,852
Interest	5/9/20	11/9/20	Federal Agencies	FARMER MAC	3132X0ZF1	12,000,000	1.93	2.02	0.00	0.00	115,800
Interest	5/9/20	11/9/20	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	45905UQ80	50,000,000	1.95	1.97	0.00	0.00	487,500
Interest	5/9/20	11/9/20	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	45905UQ80	50,000,000	1.95	2.15	0.00	0.00	487,500
Interest	5/10/20	5/10/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJNS4	17,700,000	2.70	2.79	0.00	0.00	238,950
Interest	5/11/20	10/9/20	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	06417MDE2	50,000,000	1.19	1.19	0.00	0.00	53,044
Interest	5/11/20	10/9/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114N6E0	50,000,000	1.19	1.19	0.00	0.00	53,044
Interest	5/11/20	2/11/25	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVAG3	50,000,000	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	250,000
Interest	5/15/20	3/15/21	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012UTJ4	100,000,000	1.56	1.56	0.00	0.00	130,333
Interest	5/15/20	5/15/21	State/Local Agencies	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA CA RE	91412GF59	1,769,000	1.91	1.40	0.00	0.00	16,894
Interest	5/15/20	11/15/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJT74	50,000,000	3.05	3.09	0.00	0.00	762,500
Interest	5/15/20	11/15/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828WE6	50,000,000	2.75	1.71	0.00	0.00	687,500
Interest	5/16/20	11/16/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJT90	50,000,000	2.95	3.00	0.00	0.00	737,500
Interest	5/16/20	5/16/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKLRS	25,000,000	2.25	2.32	0.00	0.00	281,250
Interest	5/16/20	5/16/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKLRS	35,000,000	2.25	2.32	0.00	0.00	393,750
Interest	5/17/20	11/17/20	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3137EAEK1	50,000,000	1.88	1.91	0.00	0.00	468,750
Interest	5/19/20	11/19/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AHJY0	17,000,000	1.63	1.71	0.00	0.00	146,566
Interest	5/19/20	11/19/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AHJY0	25,000,000	1.63	1.71	0.00	0.00	215,538

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction	
Interest	5/19/20	11/19/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AHJY0	25,000,000	1.63	1.71	0.00	0.00	215,538	
Interest	5/19/20	11/19/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AHJY0	45,000,000	1.63	1.71	0.00	0.00	387,969	
Interest	5/19/20	11/19/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AHJY0	50,000,000	1.63	1.71	0.00	0.00	431,076	
Interest	5/20/20	10/20/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKR57	112,500,000	0.76	0.85	0.00	0.00	71,086	
Interest	5/20/20	2/20/25	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AJ5X3	25,000,000	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	125,000	
Interest	5/20/20	2/20/25	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AJ5X3	25,000,000	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	125,000	
Interest	5/20/20	2/20/25	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AJ5X3	50,000,000	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	250,000	
Interest	5/21/20	12/21/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EGX75	50,000,000	0.86	0.86	0.00	0.00	35,948	
Interest	5/21/20	2/21/23	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AJ7C7	100,000,000	1.75	1.75	0.00	0.00	437,500	
Interest	5/24/20	11/24/20	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GBX56	60,000,000	2.25	2.12	0.00	0.00	675,000	
Interest	5/24/20	12/24/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EFTX5	100,000,000	0.90	0.90	0.00	0.00	74,979	
Interest	5/25/20	11/25/20	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GBLR1	24,715,000	1.75	1.75	0.00	0.00	216,256	
Interest	5/25/20	3/25/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKR99	90,000,000	0.60	0.62	0.00	0.00	44,794	
Interest	5/26/20	9/24/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114N5H4	100,000,000	0.87	0.87	0.00	0.00	77,311	
Interest	5/26/20	10/26/20	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	06370R6W4	50,000,000	0.75	0.75	0.00	0.00	30,098	
Interest	5/27/20	9/28/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114N5M3	50,000,000	0.82	0.82	0.00	0.00	34,052	
Interest	5/27/20	11/27/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EHW58	25,000,000	1.90	1.91	0.00	0.00	237,500	
Interest	5/27/20	11/27/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EHW58	25,000,000	1.90	1.91	0.00	0.00	237,500	
Interest	5/28/20	9/28/20	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	06417MCW3	50,000,000	0.77	0.77	0.00	0.00	32,120	
Interest	5/28/20	10/28/20	Negotiable CDs	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	96130ADY1	50,000,000	0.72	0.72	0.00	0.00	30,037	
Interest	5/28/20	2/28/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVDZ8	25,000,000	1.73	1.73	0.00	0.00	107,813	
Interest	5/28/20	2/28/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVDZ8	25,000,000	1.73	1.73	0.00	0.00	107,813	
Interest	5/28/20	2/28/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVDZ8	50,000,000	1.73	1.73	0.00	0.00	215,625	
Interest	5/28/20	2/28/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVDZ8	50,000,000	1.73	1.73	0.00	0.00	215,625	
Interest	5/28/20	5/28/24	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUVL1	50,000,000	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	508,333	
Interest	5/28/20	5/28/24	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUVL1	50,000,000	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	508,333	
Interest	5/31/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	10,600,961	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.00	1,014	
Interest	5/31/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	1,474,650,539	0.16	0.16	0.00	0.00	195,342	
Interest	5/31/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	10,541,647	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.00	959	
Interest	5/31/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	953,249,144	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.00	133,818	
Interest	5/31/20	6/1/20	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	11,383,005	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.00	1,074	
Interest	5/31/20	11/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828U65	100,000,000	1.75	1.90	0.00	0.00	875,000	
Subtotals						\$4,748,076,709	0.83	0.84	\$	-	\$	12,543,992
Grand Totals			43	Purchases								
			(9)	Sales								
			(26)	Maturities / Calls								
			8	Change in number of positions								

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: REFERRAL FYI - BOS File No. 200577 - Administrative Code - Goods or Services Contracts for Incarcerated Persons
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:27:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[6.11.2020 Letter of Support Ord. Prohibit Agreements to Incarcerated Persons for Profit.pdf](#)

From: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:14 PM
To: Quetone, Tal (ADM) <tal.quetone@sfgov.org>
Cc: Barnes, Bill (ADM) <bill.barnes@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: REFERRAL FYI - BOS File No. 200577 - Administrative Code - Goods or Services Contracts for Incarcerated Persons

Thank you for your message.

I am adding the letter from the City Administrator to the official file in this matter. By copy of this message to the Board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, the letter will be distributed to the Board membership.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to*

all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Quetone, Tal (ADM) <tal.quetone@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2020 12:45 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Cc: Barnes, Bill (ADM) <bill.barnes@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: REFERRAL FYI - BOS File No. 200577 - Administrative Code - Goods or Services Contracts for Incarcerated Persons

Hello John,

Please find attached a letter from the City Administrator's Office regarding File No. [200577](#) referenced below to be filed. Please advise if this communication should be transmitted through a different avenue.

Please let me know if you have questions or concerns.

Thank you,

Tal Quetone
Office of the City Administrator
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 362
(415) 554-4928

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 2:08 PM
To: Miyamoto, Paul (SHF) <paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org>; Scott, William (POL) <william.scott@sfgov.org>; Boudin, Chesa (DAT) <chesa@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>; Simley, Shakirah (HRC) <shakirah.simley@sfgov.org>; Kelly, Naomi (ADM) <naomi.kelly@sfgov.org>
Cc: Boilard, Chelsea (BOS) <chelsea.boilard@sfgov.org>; Wright, Edward (BOS) <edward.w.wright@sfgov.org>; Saenz, Johanna (SHF) <johanna.saenz@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Katherine (SHF) <katherine.johnson@sfgov.org>; Crowley, Nancy (SHF) <nancy.crowley@sfgov.org>; Carr, Rowena (POL) <Rowena.Carr@sfgov.org>; Steeves, Asja (POL) <asja.steeves@sfgov.org>; Khaw, Lynn (ADM) <lynn.khaw@sfgov.org>; Leu, Lihmeei (ADM) <lihmeei.leu@sfgov.org>
Subject: REFERRAL FYI - BOS File No. 200577 - Administrative Code - Goods or Services Contracts for Incarcerated Persons

Greetings:

This matter is being forwarded to your department for informational purposes. If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Office of the Clerk of the Board, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

[Introduction Referral Memo – June 10, 2020](#)

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

[Board of Supervisors File No. 200577](#)

-

Thanks for the review.

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*




OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR



London N. Breed, Mayor
Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator

TO: Government Audit and Oversight Committee

FROM: City Administrator Naomi M. Kelly 

CC: Sailaja Kurella, Acting Purchaser

SUBJECT: Support – Ordinance Amending Administrative Code – Goods or Services Contracts for Incarcerated Persons

DATE: June 11, 2020

I write in support of an ordinance introduced by Supervisors Fewer and Walton to amend the Administrative Code to prohibit the City from entering into agreements to provide goods or services to incarcerated persons that allow the City to collect revenue paid from these transactions.

The Office of Contract Administration advises that there are no citywide contracts that include these provisions. As City Administrator and as former City Purchaser, it has been my view that we should get the best value in each agreement. Adding expenses at the cost of incarcerated persons is not consistent with the City's overall purchasing approach.

Thank you for addressing this critical issue in our community. Please let me know if I may be of assistance in your efforts.

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [Peacock, Rebecca \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Time Sensitive: Mayoral Appointments - Charter 3.100(18)
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 7:12:00 PM
Attachments: [Clerk's Memo 61220.pdf](#)
[2020-Brenda Wright-Amended F700.pdf](#)
[2020-Brenda Wright-Annual F700.pdf](#)
[2020-Brenda Wright-Resume.pdf](#)
[2020-Brenda Wright-WAR-Appt Letter.pdf](#)
[2020-Susan Christian-DPH-Appt Letter.pdf](#)
[2020-Susan Christian-F700.pdf](#)
[2020-Susan Christian-Resume.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached complete appointment packages, pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). Please see the memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,


Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: June 12, 2020
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From:  Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral Appointments

On June 12, 2020, the Mayor submitted the following complete appointment packages pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). Appointments in this category are effective immediately unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors.

- **Brenda Wright** - War Memorial Board of Trustees
 - Term ending January 2, 2023
- **Susan Christian** - Health Commission
 - Term Ending January 15, 2024

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on a Mayoral appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within 30 days of the appointment as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

If you would like to hold a hearing on either appointments, please notify me in writing by 12:00 p.m. on Wednesday, June 17, 2020.

c: Hillary Ronen - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney
Sophia Kittler - Mayor's Legislative Liaison



Notice of Appointment

June 12, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment:

Brenda Wright to the War Memorial Board of Trustees for the unexpired portion of the term ending January 2, 2023, previously held by Belva Davis.

I am confident that Ms. Wright will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact Rebecca Peacock in my office at 415-554-6982.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

June 12, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment:

Susan Christian to the Health Commission to the seat formerly held by James Loyce, for a four year term ending January 15, 2024.

I am confident that Ms. Christian will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Rebecca Peacock in my office at 415-554-6982.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: Executive Order - Extending Commercial Eviction Moratorium
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 5:45:00 PM
Attachments: [June 12 2020 Executive order Commercial Eviction Extension.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Executive Order Extending the Commercial Eviction Moratorium signed by the Mayor on June 12, 2020.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
 Executive Assistant
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Power, Andres (MYR) <andres.power@sfgov.org>; Geithman, Kyra (MYR) <kyra.geithman@sfgov.org>; RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: Executive Order - Extending Commercial Eviction Moratorium

Madam Clerk,

Please find attached an Executive Order extending the Commercial Eviction Moratorium, signed today (June 12).

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sophia Kittler
 Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
 Office of Mayor London N. Breed
 (415) 554 6153 | Sophia.kittler@sfgov.org



EXECUTIVE ORDER EXTENDING COMMERCIAL EVICTION MORATORIUM

On February 25, 2020, under California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14) and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, I issued a Proclamation (the "Proclamation") declaring a local emergency to exist in connection with the imminent spread within the City of a novel (new) coronavirus ("COVID-19"). I issued the Fourth Supplement to the Proclamation on March 18, 2020, imposing a temporary moratorium on eviction for non-payment of rent by commercial tenants directly impacted by the COVID-19 crisis. The Board of Supervisors concurred in this action on March 31, 2020. On April 1, 2020, I issued the Eighth Supplement to the Proclamation, which contained an order clarifying the scope of the temporary moratorium. The Board of Supervisors concurred in this action on April 14, 2020.

The Fourth Supplement provides that the order imposing a commercial eviction moratorium will last for an initial period of 30 days, expiring on April 17, 2020, and further provides that "Mayor may extend this Order by an additional period of 30 days if emergency conditions at that time warrant extension. The Mayor shall provide notice of the extension through an Executive Order posted on the Mayor's website and delivered to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors." The Eighth Supplement provides that its terms are incorporated into the Fourth Supplement and that renewal of the Fourth Supplement shall also cause the Eighth Supplement to be renewed. On April 15, 2020, I issued an executive order extending the commercial eviction moratorium 30 days through May 17, 2020, and on May 14, 2020, I issued an executive order extending the commercial eviction moratorium an additional 30 days through June 16, 2020.

I find that emergency conditions continue to exist due to the ongoing public health crisis arising from COVID-19 and the economic impacts it has caused, warranting extension of the moratorium. Therefore, I hereby extend the commercial eviction moratorium in the Fourth Supplement and Eighth Supplement for an additional 30 days through July 16, 2020.

DATED: June 12, 2020

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 130 letters for File No. 200375
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 2:58:00 PM
Attachments: [130 letters for File No. 200375.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please find attached 130 letters for File No. 200375.

File No. 200375 - Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to prohibit landlords from evicting residential tenants for non-payment of rent that was not paid due to the COVID-pandemic; to prohibit landlords from imposing late fees, penalties, or similar charges on such tenants; and making findings as required by the California Tenant Protection Act of 2019

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Jasper Kuang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 12:35:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jasper Kuang
mjauto2500@gmail.com
2500 bayshore blvd
San francisco, California 94134

From: [Huy Diep](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:30:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huy Diep
huydiep85@yahoo.com
2009 Roxanne ave
Long Beach, California 90815

From: [Wilson Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:45:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wilson Li

wwwilson415@gmail.com

259 wheeler ave

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Betty Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 5:09:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Betty Lau
laub1@sfusd.exu
200 Felton st
San Francisco, Ca94134

From: [Sissie Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 5:37:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sissie Liu
sissiexwt@gmail.com
207 Felton st
San Francisco , Ca 94134

From: [Mujie Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 5:58:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mujie Chan
chenm9@sfusd.edu
958 Broadway
San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Xiaohongliu Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:04:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaohongliu Chen
xiaochen1960@yahoo.com
715 Vienna st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [?jielei zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:05:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jielei Zhu

judy176176@gmail.com

300 Pope St

, CA 94112

From: [May Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:06:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Fong
mayfong@pacbell.net
358 Cerro Court
Daly City, California 94015

From: [Weijie Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:24:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weijie Zhao
weijiezhao@ymail.com
1519 van dyke ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Fanny Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 8:19:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fanny Liu

Bellaliu818@Gmail.com

1024 sliver Ave

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Yuee Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 8:46:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuee Tan

yueetan0@gmail.com

3612 San Bruno Ave.

SF, California 94134

From: [Jim Kwong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 9:21:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jim Kwong
133048thave@gmail.com
1330 48th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Yun Louie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 9:35:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yun Louie

C.pang97@yahoo.com

Teddy Ave

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Kevin Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 11:26:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kevin Lin
klin933@gmail.com
1879 Cherokee Drive
Salinas, California 93906

From: [Kiew Fang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 11:31:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kiew Fang

kwoffang@gmail.com

145 W Beach Ave

Watsonville , California 95076

From: [J M](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 11:41:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

J M

vivjmlink@gmail.com

Orizaba

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Luanna Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 11:56:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Luanna Lu
nathanko07@hotmail.com
219 MacDonald Avenue
Daly City , California 94014

From: [Joanna Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:01:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joanna Wong
joannapwong@yahoo.com
2145 19th Avenue, Ste. #2
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Chinang Chou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:26:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinang Chou
Chinangchou@hotmail.com
552 35th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Mei Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 6:11:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Yu
kkyu520@yahoo.com.hk
53 guttenberg street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Yan su Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 6:54:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan su Zhang
yansuzhang@att.net
614kirkham street
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Scarlet zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 7:43:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Scarlet zhu

scarletz168@gmail.com

137 Lobos street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jensen Lib](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:33:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jensen Lin

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jensen Lib

jenslin@hotmail.com

12 Ledyard St

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Christine Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:05:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christine Chen
ctjc106@yahoo.com
32226 Condor Dr
Union City, California 94587

From: [J-Wen Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:30:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

I-Wen Wang

iwenwang7@gmail.com

4776 Fawn Way

Dublin, California 94568

From: [Chen-Wen Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:35:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chen-Wen Wang
gina198507@gmail.com
4776 Fawn Way
Dublin, California 94568

From: [Manna Hui](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:02:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manna Hui
mnnh881@gmail.com
420 15 Ave
San Francisco , Ca 94118

From: [Tom Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:41:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tom Lu
tomlu2006@gmail.com
Shadow trail
La Quinta, California 92253

From: [Crystal Xiao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:51:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Crystal Xiao
xiaoyy1004@gmail.com
Shadow trail
La Quinta, California 92253

From: [Leo Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:53:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Leo Lu

leo.lu@myds.us

Hemingway Ct

Palm desert , California 92211

From: [Emily Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:56:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Lu
xiao83104@163.com
Shadow wood drive
Moorpark , California 93021

From: [Gengsun Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:59:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gengsun Lu

xiaoyonglu21@yahoo.com

Shadow wood place

Moorpark , California 93021

From: [Lacey Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:01:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lacey Lu

xiaoyy1004@gmail.com

Shadow trail

La Quinta , California 92253

From: [Tom Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:02:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tom Lu
tomlu2006@gmail.com
79140 shadow trl
La Quinta , California 92253

From: [Lundy Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:03:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lundy Lu
tomlu2006@gmail.com
Shadow wood pl
Moorpark , California 93021

From: [Yan Ding](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:13:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Ding
xiao83104@163.com
Rosemead Blvd
Temple city , California 91780

From: [Yan Ding](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:14:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Ding
xiao83104@163.com
Rosemead Blvd
Temple city , California 91780

From: [Tim Trimpl](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:19:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tim Trimpl
xiaoyy1004@gmail.com
Simpson ave
North Hollywood , California 91607

From: [Walt Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:21:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Walt Wang
xiao83104@163.com
Euclid ave
San Gabriel , California 91776

From: [Simei Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:23:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Simei Zhang
xiao83104@163.com
Euclid ave
San Gabriel , California 91776

From: [Weizhi Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:29:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weizhi Wang
xiao83104@163.com
Allgeyer ave
El Monte , California 91732

From: [Jinwei Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:31:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jinwei Xu
xiao83104@163.com
Gaydon ave
San Gabriel , California 91776

From: [Jessica Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:33:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Wang
xiao83104@163.com
Simpson ave
North Hollywood , California 91607

From: [Daliang Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:34:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Daliang Wang
xiao83104@163.com
Euclid ave
San Gabriel , California 91776

From: [Hongyun Xia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:36:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hongyun Xia

xiao83104@163.com

Lee circle

Rosemead , California 91770

From: [Tanxian Xiao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:39:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tanxian Xiao
xiao83104@163.com
Barrington ave
Los Angeles , California 90049

From: [wen xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:40:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

wen xie

wxie13159@gmail.com

72 broad st

san francisco, California 94112

From: [Yilin Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:43:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yilin Tan
xiao83104@163.com
Wellworth ave
Los Angeles , California 90024

From: [Weisi Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:44:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weisi Wu
xiao83104@163.com
Barrington ave
Los Angeles , California 90029

From: [Philip Teng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:52:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Philip Teng

teng.philip@gmail.com

Jean dr

Union city, California 94587

From: [annie.yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:10:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

annie yang

sfna99@aol.com

1327 Traval St

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Man Le Lo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:42:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Man Le Lo
manleelo@me.com
425 Balboa Street
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Pauline Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:43:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pauline Lu
paulinnelucok@gmail.com
421 Balboa Street
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Daniel Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:44:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Daniel Wu
onvest@yahoo.com
427 Balboa Street
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Allen Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:35:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Allen Lin

alin@farmersagent.com

1516 Irving ST

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Jeanne Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:38:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jeanne Liu

jeanneliu8@gmail.com

11th Ave

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Jeannecheng Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:49:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jeannecheng Liu
jliu_8888@yahoo.com
Geary Blvd
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Daili Xiao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 5:26:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Daili Xiao

xiaodaili1@gmail.com

PO Box 590674

Newton, Massachusetts MA

From: [Jennifer Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 5:37:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jennifer Leung

jennifer_lee18@hotmail.com

Winston

San Francisco, CA, California 94132

From: [Yiu Poon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 6:21:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yiu Poon

brianpoon46@gmail.com

15 vistaview court

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Mandy Tang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 7:02:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mandy Tang
Shaomin88@yahoo.com
1383 30th Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Wailing Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:41:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wailing Wong
wailingwong123@yahoo.com
45 university st
San francisco, California 94134

From: [kin.wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:52:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

kin wong

kinyeewong410@icloud.com

45 University

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Jin Ru Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:04:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jin Ru Xie
xiexiejudy@gmail.com
90 Florentine St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [wai.keung.ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:45:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

wai keung ho
howaikeung@gmail.com
1986 34th ave
san francisco, California 94116

From: [Fujian Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:46:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fujian Ho
hojac721@gmail.com
1371 46th ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Gim Kwan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:10:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gim Kwan

kwanads@gmail.com

150 Niagara ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Gim Kwan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gim Kwan

kwanads@gmail.com

150 Niagara ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Yingjun He](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:19:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yingjun He
yukoforever@hotmail.com
150 Niagara Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [rui yi liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:24:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

rui yi liu

raylouoo@gmail.com

1762 Keller ave

San Lorenzo , California 94580

From: [XueYing Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:26:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

XueYing Zhang

Xueying1960@gmail.com

2624 San Jose AVE

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94112

From: [WaiTong Kwan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:38:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

WaiTong Kwan

waitong1956@gmail.com

2624 San Jose AVE

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94112

From: [Peter Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:45:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter Yu

yiwenyu2000@yahoo.com

35 Newell st.

San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Cui Feng Zhen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:47:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cui Feng Zhen

cuihengzhen123@gmail.com

134 Gaven st.

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Cheng Yu Young](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:58:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cheng Yu Young
e870834@gmail.com
670 Carlson Blvd
Richmond , California 94804

From: [may liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:02:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

may liang

mayhuangliang@Gmail.com

4122 19TH Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA94132

From: [Chunyi He](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:04:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chunyi He
chunyihe1975@yahoo.com
2350 Alemany Blvd
San Francisco , Ca94112

From: [Emily He](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:36:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily He

emilytoemily@hotmail.com

Apollo and Topeka

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Amanda Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:54:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amanda Zhang
zhangamanda99@gmail.com
27046 Freeport Rd
Rancho Palos Verdes , California 90275

From: [Marlene Jung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 11:00:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Marlene Jung

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Marlene Jung
marlenejmar@aol.com
2430 Olympic Drive
South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Christina Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 1:19:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christina Woo
cywoo11109@gmail.com
480 Yale Street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Raymond Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 3:07:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Raymond Tan
raymondszone@yahoo.com
2359 47th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Pat Ko](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 3:16:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pat Ko

patkossf@gmail.com

68 Parkgrove Drive

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Irene Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 3:21:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Irene Li
irenefokliA@comcast.net
182 Terra Vista
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Stephen Lei](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 3:35:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stephen Lei

jjanye0121@gmail.com

Naples st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Le hoa Phuong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 4:09:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Le hoa Phuong

beobeo81@gmail.com

1250 la playa st #208

San francisco, California 94122

From: [Huina Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 4:09:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huina Li
davidli2059@gmail.com
218 London St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [David Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 4:12:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Li
davidli1190@gmail.com
218 London St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Zhan Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 4:14:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhan Li
zhanliyt@gmail.com
218 London St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Yen Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 4:42:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yen Ng

yen_20022003@yahoo.com

Rhine Street and Flournoy Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ying Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 5:47:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Huang

huangying5990@gmail.com

2511 30th Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94116

From: [Ying Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 5:47:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Huang

huangying5990@gmail.com

2511 30th Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94116

From: [Ying Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 5:48:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Huang

huangying5990@gmail.com

2511 30th Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94116

From: [Ying lei Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 6:17:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying lei Huang
lily059102@gmail.com
1054 capital Ave
San Francisco , Ca94112

From: [Yi liang Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 6:22:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi liang Huang
ingleside04@gmail.com
1054 Capitol ave.
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Sandy H](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 7:27:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy H

shuang1818@icloud.com

Palm

Seaside, California 93955

From: [ALAN LIN](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 7:44:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ALAN LIN

alan369888@gmail.com

611 25th Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94121

From: [Anne Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 7:44:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anne Li
evilanne7hu9z@yahoo.com
118 Vernon st
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Sandy H](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 7:45:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy H

shuang1818@icloud.com

Palm

Seaside, California 93955

From: [Jian ying Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 7:45:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jian ying Lu

jianyinglu1718@gmail.com

118 Vernon st

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Selina Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:16:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Selina Chen

selinahchen@gmail.com

50 Highland Ave

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Shu Zhen Ng Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:25:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shu Zhen Ng Ho
Shuzhennggho@gmail.com
1986 34th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Cindy Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 9:19:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Ho
cyndee604@gmail.com
1986 34th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Yvonne Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 11:18:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yvonne Lee

yvonneleeeaaai@gmail.com

4214 California Street

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Yuk Lam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:51:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuk Lam

stellala168@yahoo.com

117 Miriam street

Daly City , California 94014

From: [Lok Hang Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:52:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lok Hang Wong
stellala168@yahoo.com
117 Miriam street
Daly City , California 94014

From: [Sin Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:54:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sin Wong
stellala168@yahoo.com
117 Miriam street
Daly City , California 94014

From: [Sin Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:55:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sin Wong
stellala168@yahoo.com
117 Miriam street
Daly City , California 94014

From: [Lok Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:56:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lok Wong
stellala168@yahoo.com
117 Miriam street
Daly City , California 94014

From: [ROSE CHEW](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 9:16:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ROSE CHEW

roselchew@gmail.com

835 LOMITA AVE.

MILLBRAE, California 94030

From: [Tom Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 2:19:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tom Lee
thl001@gmail.com
68 Rosewood Drive
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Linda Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 2:29:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linda Yang
lindayang72@hotmail.com
315 n Monterey st
Alhambra , California 91801

From: [Jackson Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:07:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jackson Yu

- A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jackson Yu

jacksonyu24@gmail.com

33 Newell St

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Winson Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:08:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Winson

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winson Yu
wiyu509@gmail.com
33 Newell street
San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Eric Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:15:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eric Huang
ehuang415@gmail.com
386 Lisbon St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Diana Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:15:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Diana Yu
dyu516@gmail.com
1408 20th ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Linda Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:16:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linda Wong
linnyeats@gmail.com
781 Madrid St
SF, California 94112

From: [Ed Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:17:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ed Wong
edmond_k_wong@hotmail.com
745 Cayuga Ave
SF, California 94112

From: [Mary Le](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:18:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mary Le
mary13_le@yahoo.com
528 2nd Avenue
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Edmond Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:20:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edmond Wong
edmond_k_wong@hotmail.com
745 Cayuga Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Mey Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:23:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mey Wong
babymoonbear@yahoo.com
388 lisbon st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jessica Mortelli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:36:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Mortelli
swtlitogrl@yahoo.com
785 Madrid St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Joshua Elul](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 9:01:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joshua Elul
joshuarelul@gmail.com
1204 26th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Kiet Duong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 1:34:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kiet Duong
knd0057@gmail.com
101 Greenwood Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Yuk Ngo Kean](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 8:39:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuk Ngo Kean
good4yuk@gmail.com
3335 Barnes Ave
Baldwin Park, CA 91706

From: [Glendy Hsu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 9:02:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Glendy Hsu
glendyhsu@aol.com
2371 32nd ave.
San Francisco, Ca 94116

From: [Christine Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 7:35:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christine Yu
christineyu@sbcglobal.net
1670 22nd Ave
SF, California 94122

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Please do not make permanent no eviction a law
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 7:44:00 AM

From: Eden Niemela <evanier9567@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 5:03 PM
To: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Please do not make permanent no eviction a law

Hello Supervisor Preston and staff and board of supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The median price of houses in San Francisco is \$1.3 million per business insider website.

There's not a lot of 10 million and up houses.

Where do you think you will get the money for the small business owners fund if there's not a lot of 10 million and up sales of houses in San Francisco?

Double taxation is counter productive and will not produce revenue for the City of San Francisco. The losers here are: The City of San Francisco, the Elderly millionaires and small scale business owners.

If you can show me your mathematical explanation of how you come up with your proposed revenue and how much can small business owners benefit from it then I would support you but until then your proposal has grey areas.

Hoping for your answer .

Thank you,
Eden Niemela

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 12, 2020, at 2:50 PM, PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hi Eden,

Thank you very much for reaching out to our office and experience. We hear your concerns and frustration, but just want to clarify that nothing in this

proposal relieves a tenant from the obligation to pay their rent, it simply takes eviction off the table.

I know these are incredibly difficult times for everyone, including small property owners. That is why our office is planning to provide support for small property owners through a rent relief fund. Here is an [Examiner article](#) explaining more about the proposal.

We are proud that the ordinance was passed by the Board of Supervisors with a 10-1 vote.

I really appreciate your reaching out! If you have further questions, please don't hesitate to reach out.

All the best,
Avery

From: Eden Niemela <evanier9567@icloud.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 11:17 PM

To: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please do not make permanent no eviction a law

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Supervisor Preston Staff,

Please do NOT make "no eviction" a permanent a law.

I just heard from Reino that one of our tenants specifically 108 Caselli told him that they will NOT work because of Covid and the City of San Francisco allowed them NOT to work and NOT to pay rent.

While I, a co-owner of the property is truly a disabled person yet works!!!!!!

Can you please see the inequalities? Can you please see the irony?

You are giving this physically able Caucasian man and his roommate who is also physically able a "comfortable living" and socially active. You are giving them an excuse not to work and not to pay rent!

This is racist law!!

I'm Asian and I'm truly disabled and I WORK while because of the San Francisco law— this Caucasian man and black man are living “FREE” and refuse to work thereby have an excuse not to pay rent because the City of San Francisco protects him so he chose not to work.

The tenants are supposed to pay only \$1800 in a 2 bedroom flat in Eureka Valley district. The rent in this neighborhood is from \$2000 to \$3000++ for a 2 bedroom flat yet our tenant who did not have any raise of rent since 2005– they (our tenant)are only charge 1,800 a month. We are NOT sharks!

Please do not abuse us by giving ubsurd laws to tenants who do not want to work and live “free”. This “no eviction “ is a racist law and it is not acceptable. It is not even taken into effect Nationwide!

Please make this rental law equal. Please do not make“ no eviction “ a law. Do you want to protect and shelter Physically -able -to -work tenants who chose not to want to work?

How long can the government FEED them for not working even though they can work?
Are you supporting laziness?

Please make this rental law unbias and racially equal. Please do not to make permanent “no eviction “ a law.

Thank you,
Eden Niemela
Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Connie Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 12:52:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Connie Li
dhleejc@yahoo.com
4455 Geary blvd
San Francisco ca, California 94118

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 40 letters for File No. 200478
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 2:48:00 PM
Attachments: [40 letters for File No. 200478.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 40 letters for File No. 200478.

File No. 200478 - Ordinance calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, November 3, 2020, for the purpose of submitting to San Francisco voters a proposition to incur bonded indebtedness of not to exceed \$438,500,000 to finance the acquisition or improvement of real property, including: facilities to deliver services for persons experiencing mental health challenges, substance use disorder, and/or homelessness; parks, open space, and recreation facilities, including green and climate resilient infrastructure; and streets, curb ramps, street structures and plazas, and related costs necessary or convenient for the foregoing purposes; authorizing landlords to pass-through 50% of the resulting property tax increase to residential tenants under Administrative Code, Chapter 37; providing for the levy and collection of taxes to pay both principal and interest on such Bonds; incorporating the provisions of the Administrative Code relating to the Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee's review of Bond expenditures; setting certain procedures and requirements for the election; adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; and finding that the proposed Bonds are in conformity with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1(b).

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Matt Ein](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Japan Town Peace Plaza
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 2:09:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

6/14/2020
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of the Japanese American community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but preserving the cultural heritage of the Japanese American community and having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million currently allocated for the Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Matthew Ein Senior Game Designer on Pokemon Go

From: [Gay Kaplan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Support full \$ 25 million dollar allocation for J-Town Peace Plaza Renovation Project.
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 1:22:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the Board of Supervisors San Francisco.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall Rm. 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, Ca., 94102

5-29-2020

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors

I am writing to urge you to **support the full \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project** included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure on the November ballot. It has been nearly 20 years since the Peace Plaza has been properly repaired, maintained and upgraded. It is the open space for this special neighborhood of Japantown residents, businesses, community organizations and for visitors to the one of 4 Japantowns remaining in this country. Ownership of the Peace Plaza belongs to the City of San Francisco, after the Western Addition Development Project demolished the historic Japantown neighborhood. It is incumbent upon its leaders to maintain this special park.

As a third generation Japanese American, born in Topaz Utah concentration camp, growing up in Oakland California, I have many memories of our family traveling across the bridge to "J-town" to visit friends, shop, eat delicious meals, and to enjoy events in Japantown. A visit to the Peace Pagoda was often included to reflect on the gift from Sister City Osaka, and what a "Peace Pagoda" stands for. Japantown, San Francisco, its businesses, restaurants and plaza has great meaning to Japanese-Americans of the greater Bay Area, and beyond.

For over 30 years, my career included working in San Francisco at Curry Sr. Center as a SFDPH employee, serving the elders of the Tenderloin/So. of Market neighborhoods. First as a nurse practitioner providing primary care, and later, as its Executive Director. The elderly first and second generation Japanese Americans would often go to Japantown to sit in the Peace Plaza, visit friends, enjoy a tasty meal at the Kimochi meal program and reflect on their culture. I had the privilege of serving on the Kimochi, Inc., Board of Directors and know the importance of the symbol of the Peace Plaza to those seniors.

I urge you to support the full \$25 million currently allocated for the Peace Plaza Renovation Project in the Health and Recovery Bond Measure for the November ballot.

Sincerely,

Gay T. Kaplan (Minamoto)

cc: Angela Calvillo
Alisa Somera
Eileen McHugh
info@japantowntaskforce.org.

Gay T. Kaplan
222 Harvard Rd. San Mateo, Ca. 94402

From: [Sathya Seigel](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 4:04:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health & Recovery Bond Measure. There are very few places in this historic neighborhood for people, especially youth, to engage without being consumers. It's important to provide engaging, positive opportunities for young people to actively be part of this community without financial barriers. Most of the locations where young people might inhabit space in this neighborhood are shops or restaurants.

The Black and Japanese American communities have a long history of collaboration and support that includes the sharing of physical space. Both communities in our Western Addition and Japantown devastated by COVID-19 depend on it. Together as a City, with our proud multicultural roots, we must restore and revitalize our few open spaces devoted to peace.

The late Public Defender Jeff Adachi initiated a program called Mo' Magic

that promoted literacy for San Francisco's youth at risk. And every summer, the National Japanese American Historical Society welcomed them to the Japantown Peace Plaza, sharing our bonds through culture.

Click to see why

<https://youtu.be/JZmAJHj6Swcn>

(Japantown Mo'Magic Summer Youth Festival 2014)

Help restore the magic to this historically significant place!

Sincerely yours,

Sathya Seigel

From: [Yuki Kubo](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Support of the Peace Plaza Project
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 8:13:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 9, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

I urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Yuki Kubo

From: [Tasha Yorozu](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: San Francisco Peace Plaza Renovation Project (Letter of Support from San Francisco-Osaka Sister City Association)
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 9:36:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 11, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of San Francisco-Osaka Sister City Association, we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Tasha A. Yorozu

Member, Board of Directors of San Francisco-Osaka Sister City Association

From: [Jeffery Matsuoka](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; [Japantowntaskforce Info](#)
Subject: Peace Plaza Renovation Letter of Support
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 10:11:10 PM
Attachments: [JARFH Peace Plaza BOS Letter.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Supervisors:

Please find attached a letter of support for this important project from the Japanese American Religious Federation (JARF) Housing, Inc., a community-based organization that operates Nihonmachi Terrace, a 235-unit affordable housing project in San Francisco Japantown. Our senior residents dine and shop in the adjacent neighborhood, and would greatly benefit from the completion of this. Our board endorses the full funding of this long-overdue project, and urges you to include it in the proposed bond measure which will be before the voters this November.

Sincerely,
Jeffery S. Matsuoka
President, JARF Housing, Inc.



June 12, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of Japanese American Religious Federation (JARF) Housing Inc., we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Jeffery S. Matsuoka
President, Board of Directors

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Barbara Killmaier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 5:18:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

The simple act of touching dirt has often been therapeutic and grounding. Community gardens provide food, foster a connection to nature and support a sense of community. Since community gardens are relatively small and typically include only areas for gardening, pathways and seating, small investments may go a long way in improving a garden's usability and appeal. This \$600,000 program will deliver improvements that may include new or improved seating areas and site furnishings, planter boxes, and composting bins, as well as site beautification and landscaping and lighting improvements.

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Barbara Yee
District 7 resident

From: [Meg Pirnie Kammerud](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Hui, Mei Ling \(REC\)](#)
Subject: Please Support 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:40:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors:

I am a community gardener at Craggs Court Community Garden in District 8 and live in the district as well. I am writing to express my support for the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond.

The previous 2008 and 2012 bonds allowed San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to complete over 27 large capital projects and over 130 citywide park projects. This level of commitment and dedication immensely improved our parks system, but much more needs to be done. For example, community gardens have a backlog of infrastructure needs. For example, Craggs Court has a number of original planter boxes that need rebuilding due to significant deterioration. Also, the garden is seeing more and more foot traffic during the SIP order period, and the paths are getting worn even more than before.

Please support the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for supporting our parks, our City, and our community gardens.

Kind regards,
Meg Kammerud

From: [Rea Inglesis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Hui, Mei Ling \(REC\)](#)
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:09:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am a member of Crags Court Community Garden and I strongly support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot.

The **2020 Bond** will provide important support for San Francisco's community gardens. Community gardens provide food, foster a connection to nature and support a sense of community. Since community gardens are relatively small and typically include only areas for gardening, pathways and seating, small investments may go a long way in improving a garden's usability and appeal.

Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our City, our parks and our community gardens.

Sincerely,
Rea Inglesis

--

Rea Inglesis
rea.inglesis@gmail.com

From: [Tim Stearns](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: PLEASE support Japantown's Peace Plaza renovation. Fine model! And biz.
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:52:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I hope the Board of Supervisors will include renovating Japantown's Peace Plaza in the 2020 Health and Recovery Bond. The Plaza is certainly attractive and a good draw for visitors. But it's also a wonderful model of what many communities can aim for. Its very attractiveness is uplifting and inspirational for everyone.

Tim Stearns
384 Hermann Street
SF CA 94117

From: [elizabeth.herbert](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Japan town Peace plaza
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:59:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please support the funding for this San Francisco Park and Rec area. It is badly in need of renovations and the plans for this look quite exciting. Thank you, Elizabeth Herbert St Francis Square

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

From: [Amy Louison](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 2:50:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 12, 2020

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

Community gardens provide food, foster a connection to nature and support a sense of community. Since community gardens are relatively small and typically include only areas for gardening, pathways and seating, small investments may go a long way in improving a garden's usability and appeal. This \$600,000 program will deliver improvements that may include new or improved seating areas and site furnishings, planter boxes, and composting bins, as well as site beautification and landscaping and lighting improvements.

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Sincerely,

Amy Louison

Bernal Heights Community Garden

From: [Kyoko Yoshida](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org
Subject: Re: Peace Plaza Renovation Project - URGENT ACTION NEEDED
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:56:02 PM
Attachments: [June 2020 Peace Plaza Support Letter to BOS.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 11, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing in support of the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We ask you to support the full \$25 million currently allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Kyoko Yoshida
Executive Director
U.S./Japan Cultural Trade Network (CTN)
www.usjapanctn.net
415.867.7080

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

June 11, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing in support of the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We ask you to support the full \$25 million currently allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,



Kyoko Yoshida
1471 Guerrero Street, #3
San Francisco, CA 94110

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Jia Gonitzke](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; info@japantowntaskforce.org; [Jenny Leung](#)
Subject: Support the Full \$25 Million for Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:30:00 PM
Attachments: [CCC Support Letter Japantown Peace Plaza.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Norman Yee and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of the Chinese Culture Center, I am sending a letter in support of the inclusion of the full \$25 million for the Japantown Peace Plaza Renovation Project.

Please find the letter attached to this email.

Regards,

--

Jia Gonitzke 柳嘉潔
she/her
Communications

Tel: 415-986-1822 ext. 032

Chinese Culture Center of San Francisco

舊金山中華文化中心

750 Kearny St., 3rd Floor,
San Francisco, CA 94108

Elevate the Underserved. Give Voice to Equality.

[Website](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#)



舊金山中華文化基金會
Chinese Culture Foundation of San Francisco

Dedicated to elevating underserved communities
and giving voice to equality through education and contemporary art.

June 12, 2020

Chair
Tatwina Chinn Lee

Vice Chair
Wai Ling Eng
Alfred Tom

Secretary
Jessica Chin Foo

Treasurer
George Mak

Board of Directors
Richard B. Evans
Gin Y. Ho
Helen Y.H. Hui, Esq.
Kara Lee
Laurene McClain, Esq.
Warren Seeto
Cecilia Sze
Cynthia Tongson

Executive Director
Jenny Leung

Global Art Council
Hou Hanru
Mami Kataoka
Pi Li

Art Advisory Board
Terese Tse Bartholomew
Tatwina Chinn Lee
Manni Liu
Gang Situ

Senior Advisor
Mabel S. Teng

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President Yee and San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the Chinese Culture Center of San Francisco (CCC), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure.

As an organization based in Chinatown, the Chinese Culture Center adds its voice in support of equity for Japantown. As community neighbors, it is equally important for the allocation to be included for Japantown to support our diverse communities. Like Chinatown, the Japantown and its Peace Plaza is past due for their share of City funding and resources.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the plaza to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of its residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.


This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of the Japanese community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While the Japantown community does not dwell on the fact that the City forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, they do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but we are adamant that it is overdue for this City to address this matter.

The residential base of the Japantown community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the character of Japantown, the economy of the neighborhood, and the long-term sustainability of this community.

We again urge you to support the \$25 million currently allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jenny Leung', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Jenny Leung
Executive Director
Chinese Culture Center of San Francisco

From: [Ann Williams](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org; [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Peace Plaza Funding
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:41:39 PM
Attachments: [Japantown PEACE PLAZA letter.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

DELETE previous email from me, please, and replace with this version..
Letter in support of funding.
Ann Williams

June 12, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to urge the funding of \$25 million for the Japan town Peace Plaza included in the Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Community and its members lost untold value when the residents were forced into camps during the war, then again when the area was redeveloped. For the past twenty years repairs have been needed, and the community has been remarkably patient. It is time to bring this landmark area back to a proper condition.

Living across the street (at St. Francis Square Cooperative) I am able to go often to the area. Many more residents would be likely to make use of the Plaza if it were brought back to a pleasant condition. This space should be welcoming the community and providing the people with a space for gathering, contemplation, and celebration.

Additionally, we have a Japanese language program at Rosa Parks Elementary School nearby. The children from this school could also make use of this Plaza if it were brought up to its potential. The new street crossing on Geary (to be completed in 2021) will bring the children right to the Plaza to benefit from its cultural influence..

Japantown has become a popular destination for many here and even from out of town; festivals and events draw many, with the annual Cherry Blossom Festival bringing in an impressive crowd. This new plaza can once again become a welcoming place, drawing locals and visitors alike; it can bring dignity to the community which so richly deserves to have this area renovated for the continuance of its culture and history.

I strongly urge you to support the renovation of the Peace Plaza with the \$25 million allocation.

Sincerely,

Ann M. Williams

San Francisco Resident

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Sack, Misako M.](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Eileen.mchung@sfgov.org](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [info@japantowntaskforce.org](#)
Subject: Support for Peace Plaza Renovation Project
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:54:40 PM
Attachments: [Sack-SFOSCA ED supp Peace Pl Proj.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco,

Please find attached my letter of support for Peace Plaza Project as Executive Director of San Francisco/Osaka Sister City Association. Thank you for listening.

Best regards,
Misako Maki Sack

Misako Maki Sack | サック・牧・ミサ子
Executive Director | 事務局長
San Francisco-Osaka Sister City Association
サンフランシスコ・大阪姉妹都市協会
c/o Morrison & Foerster LLP
425 Market St | San Francisco | CA 94105
P: 415-268-7007 | F: 415-276-7007
<http://www.sf-osaka.org/>

=====

This message may be confidential and privileged. Use or disclosure by anyone other than an intended addressee is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please delete it and advise the sender by reply email. Learn about Morrison & Foerster LLP's [Privacy Policy](#).



**Mayor of San Francisco
The Honorable London N. Breed**

In Cooperation with:

**Asian Art Museum of
San Francisco**

**Consulate General of Japan,
San Francisco**

**Northern California Cherry
Blossom Festival**

**Japanese Chamber of Commerce
of Northern California**

**Japanese Cultural and
Community Center of Northern
California**

**Japan Society of
Northern California**

**National Japanese American
Historical Society**

**Office of the Mayor,
San Francisco**

Port of San Francisco

**San Francisco Convention
and Visitors Bureau**

San Francisco – Osaka Sister City Association

June 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As Executive Director of San Francisco/Osaka Sister City Association, I write today to urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

The Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. The Peace Plaza is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community, because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses until the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built.

The Peace Pagoda in the center of the Peace Plaza was presented to San Francisco by the people of Osaka, Japan. While the government-to-government relationship has been on hold, people-to-people relationship between two cities continues to grow and getting stronger and closer every day.

We believe San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community has been more than patient, and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community. Strong community centered at the Peace Plaza also leads to stronger, global relationship.

I urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,

Misako Maki Sack
Executive Director

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

c/o Morrison & Foerster LLP

425 Market Street • San Francisco, CA 94105 • Ph: 415.268.7007 • www.sf-osaka.org

From: [Sakura Sakura](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Peace Plaza BOS Sample Letter
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:11:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

<https://docs.google.com/document/u/0/d/1jVufGCK3VwSYniEY8ofS1Vzg45OAWH05mvy-nkK8xTk/mobilebasic>

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Friends of Peru Avenue Stairway](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Health and Recovery
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:04:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

It has been widely reported that parks have been a welcome respite and source of physical and mental health well-being during this pandemic. Our citywide parks, Golden Gate, McLaren Park, and Lake Merced are the jewels in the fabric of our city. Together, they comprise almost 2,000 acres of open space, each with vast, ongoing capital needs. Golden Gate Park alone is estimated to need over \$500 million in capital investment to renovate and improve park features. The 2020 Bond will build upon projects delivered through past bonds and provide an additional \$18 million for improvements in these three parks, allocating \$10 million for improvements in Golden Gate Park, \$6 million for improvements in McLaren Park, and \$2 million for improvements in Lake Merced.

I was born in San Francisco and was recently commenting with a friend how my single mother would take the five of us kids on bicycles every Sunday to Golden Gate Park, riding along JFK with a line of ducklings (us) behind her. I have known the Steinhart Museum in at least four different architectural iterations, and the De Young in two or three. I now live closer to McLaren park and love discovering all of the less manicured and wilder aspects of this park.

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Cynthia Vazquez

Friends of Peru Avenue Stairway

From: [Friends of Peru Avenue Stairway](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: health and recovery
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:21:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

It has been widely reported that parks have been a welcome respite and source of physical and mental health well-being during this pandemic. The bond allocates \$18 million for a program bucket called Recovery Parks which provides people an avenue for physical and mental health improvements through active recreation and resilient contemplative spaces. The priority parks for this program include Buena Vista Park at \$3 million and Crocker Amazon Playground at \$15 million.

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Cynthia Vazquez

Friends of Peru Avenue Stairway

From: [Karen Rhodes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors](#), (BOS); [Fewer, Sandra](#) (BOS); [Stefani, Catherine](#) (BOS); [Peskin, Aaron](#) (BOS); [Mar, Gordon](#) (BOS); [Preston, Dean](#) (BOS); [Haney, Matt](#) (BOS); [Yee, Norman](#) (BOS); [Mandelman, Rafael](#) (BOS); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann](#) (BOS); [Safai, Ahsha](#) (BOS)
Cc: [Bishop, Lamonte](#)" (REC)
Subject: I support the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 3:42:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 15, 2020

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, and provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

I was thrilled to see the continued inclusion of the highly successful Community Opportunity Fund (COF) in the 2020 Bond. The COF provides an opportunity for neighborhoods, community groups, and park partners to nominate park projects for leveraged funding. This process allows communities to come together and promote their neighborhood parks and the importance of park stewardship. During these unprecedented times, neighborhood parks are the gem of each community throughout the city. The 2020 Bond will provide \$6 million for the COF to continue, which will empower community members to foster positive change in their neighborhood parks.

The benefits of parks are long-lasting, and it's clear that planning for

better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient and inclusive. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Best regards,
Karen

Karen Rhodes
59 1/2 Manchester St.
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: lauramancuso@me.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 9:14:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 15, 2020

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA

Submitted Via email

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot. We know that the COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation- though just how much is still uncertain. What IS certain is that we cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to begin an economic recovery that creates opportunities for all.

Of course San Francisco should *always* be investing in its outdoor spaces, but the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

Community gardens provide food, foster a connection to nature and support a sense of community. Since community gardens are relatively small and typically include only areas for

gardening, pathways and seating, small investments go a long way in improving a garden's usability and appeal. The \$600,000 program included in the bond will deliver improvements that may include new or improved seating areas and site furnishings, planter boxes, and composting bins, as well as site beautification and landscaping and lighting improvements.

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Sincerely,

Laura Mancuso

Resident, District 3

From: [Karen Lally](#)
To: [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 1:38:27 PM
Attachments: [2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond - Community Gardens.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

CommunityGrows' mission is to cultivate healthy youth through growing gardens in low-income, diverse communities. We use the gardens to teach lessons in environmental education, nutrition, social/environmental justice, garden maintenance, and other relevant topics. One of the gardens that we teach at and help to manage is the Koshland Community Park and Learning Garden. Not only do we teach her to grades K-5, but our paid teen program also utilizes the space as well as our community gardeners who grow their own food in half of the plots. Since the Shelter in Place orders in March, the garden space at Koshland has been used more than ever as people seek public outdoor spaces for recreation, use the garden for essential activities (growing food), and other wellness activities. We have worked with Rec and Park for many years, and appreciate all of their support and advocacy. We also work closely with Citizen Film and the Buchanan St. Mall project, which this bond would also help to support.

Community gardens provide food, foster a connection to nature and support a sense of community. Since community gardens are relatively small and typically include only areas for gardening, pathways and seating, small investments may go a long way in improving a garden's usability and appeal. This \$600,000 program will deliver improvements that may include new or improved seating areas and site furnishings, planter boxes, and composting bins, as well as site beautification and landscaping and lighting improvements.

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Karen Lally
Operations and Development Manager
CommunityGrows

--

Karen Lally (she/her)
Operations and Development Manager

CommunityGrows

Planting Seeds... Co-powering Youth

[Website](#) / [Facebook](#)

Phone: 415-795-3855



June 16, 2020

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

CommunityGrows' mission is to cultivate healthy youth through growing gardens in low-income, diverse communities. We use the gardens to teach lessons in environmental education, nutrition, social/environmental justice, garden maintenance, and other relevant topics. One of the gardens that we teach at and help to manage is the Koshland Community Park and Learning Garden. Not only do we teach her to grades K-5, but our paid teen program also utilizes the space as well as our community gardeners who grow their own food in half of the plots. Since the Shelter in Place orders in March, the garden space at Koshland has been used more than ever as people seek public outdoor spaces for recreation, use the garden for essential activities (growing food), and other wellness activities. We have worked with Rec and Park for many years, and appreciate all of their support and advocacy. We also work closely with Citizen Film and the Buchanan St. Mall project, which this bond would also help to support.

Community gardens provide food, foster a connection to nature and support a sense of community. Since community gardens are relatively small and typically include only areas for gardening, pathways and seating, small investments may go a long way in improving a garden's usability and appeal. This \$600,000 program will deliver improvements that may include new or improved seating areas and site furnishings, planter boxes, and composting bins, as well as site beautification and landscaping and lighting improvements.

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Karen Lally
Operations and Development Manager
CommunityGrows

From: [Linda Stark Litehiser](#)
To: [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Joe Litehiser](#); [Bishop, Lamonte" \(REC\)](#)
Subject: Letter in Support of the 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 3:59:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond**, being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

I want to stress the portion of the Bond that will be devoted to capital projects in our parks. As an active park advocate for many decades and particularly the parks in the South and South East sections of the city, several very vital projects are featured in this bond. These projects are also in an Equity Zone which has been designated as areas of the City where many of our most vulnerable citizens and largest percentage of children reside. The bond allocations include vital projects for **McLaren Park including in two of the parks' playgrounds--Herz and Crocker**. This is the time that we need to support our parks more than ever. I sent letters in May but now that the hearing looms, I want to be sure to press the point about how much we need to support our City parks.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Best regards, Linda

--

Linda Stark Litehiser
Resident District 11
78 Havelock St.
San Francisco, CA 94112
415-516-9224

From: [Palega Garden](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Cc: [Gardens, Community \(REC\)](#); [Hui, Mei Ling \(REC\)](#)
Subject: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:41:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 16, 2020

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Via email Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

Community gardens provide food, foster a connection to nature and support a sense of community. Since community gardens are relatively small and typically include only areas for gardening, pathways and seating, small investments may go a long way in improving a garden's usability and appeal. This \$600,000 program will deliver improvements that may include new or improved seating areas and site furnishings, planter boxes, and composting bins, as well as site beautification and landscaping and lighting improvements.

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Regards,

Tim Go
Palega Community Garden Coordinator (District 9)

From: [Suzanne Bryan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: YES to Buchanan Mall
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:44:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Suzanne Bryan
48 Lurline St
[415.515.0329](#)

From: [Rebecca Gomez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:47:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello - I am in support of the proposed \$200 M bond for the SF Parks & Rec department on the Nov ballot. Parks and outdoor spaces are so critical to the health, well-being, and cohesion of a society.

Respectfully,

Rebecca Gomez, Ed.D.
SF registered voter in 94114

From: [Steve Arnold](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Rec & Park Bond
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:47:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just a quick note to let you know I support the Health and Recovery bond slated for the next ballot and will gladly assume my share of the tax burden for such a beneficial plan.

Regards,
Steve Arnold
63 Verna St. 94127

Sent from iPhone

From: [Mei Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2020 bond
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:50:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please no more bonds to add financial burdens to homeowners!

It's hard to live in this city as a tenant and getting even harder as a homeowners with all these extra taxes imposed on each parcel. When parks are used by all San Francisco residents, tax everyone and not just someone who works very hard to get his own house!

Thanks,

Mei Chan

From: mfranklin@ellation.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supporting the Buchanan Mall project
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:53:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening:

Wanted to let you know I'm very much in support of the Buchanan Mall project! That is a great location for such a space, and the ideas proposed will provide something fresh that will attract park fans from all over the city.

I lived at the corner of Turk and Divisadero from 2005 to 2015, and am now at Hayes and Shrader. San Francisco has a treasure of parks, and sheltering during COVID has made us all appreciate them so much more. This proposed park would be a great thing on Buchanan, and also for the city.

Mary Franklin

Head of Events, Crunchyroll

+1(415)290-1736

Mary@Crunchyroll.com

From: [Louisa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Buchanan Street Mall Project
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:55:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi there!

I support the Buchanan State Mall project. Please help support and invest in this primarily black neighborhood.

Thank you!
Louisa Henry

<https://sfrecpark.org/1134/Buchanan-Street-Mall-Project>

From: [Precita Valley Neighbors](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Precita Valley Neighbors support for SF Health and Rec Bond 2020 - please SUPPORT
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 9:17:14 PM
Attachments: [PVN LOS for SF Heath and Rec Bond 2020.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

We have called each of you, and strongly urge you to support this necessary bond. We represent 2,000 neighbors, churches, businesses, and schools around Precita Park. Our mission is to maintain Precita Park and the Childrens' Playground.

Attached is our letter which we have voted for support at our last meeting on 6/15/20.

Sincerely,

Demece Garepis, contact
Precita Valley Neighbors
www.precitavalleysf.org
precitavalleyneighbors@gmail.com



Precita Valley Neighbors

June 16, 2020

From: Precita Valley Neighbors
SF Parks Alliance
Contact: Demece Garepis
59 Manchester Street
San Francisco, CA 94110
Ph: 415.244.3012
Email: precitavalleyneighbors@gmail.com
www.precitavalleyssf.org

Board of Supervisors

**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA**

<i>Via email</i>	Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
<i>District 1</i>	Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org
<i>District 2</i>	Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org
<i>District 3</i>	Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org
<i>District 4</i>	Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org
<i>District 5</i>	Dean.Preston@sfgov.org
<i>District 6</i>	Matt.Haney@sfgov.org
<i>District 7</i>	Norman.Yee@sfgov.org
<i>District 8</i>	Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org
<i>District 9</i>	Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org
<i>District 10</i>	Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org
<i>District 11</i>	Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot. The COVID-19 global pandemic has changed California's and San Francisco's economic and financial situation. We cannot weather this storm alone; the 2020 Bond will allow San Francisco to come together and begin an economic recovery that will create opportunities for all.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

It has been widely reported that parks have been a welcome respite and source of physical and mental health well-being during this pandemic. Our citywide parks, Golden Gate, McLaren Park, and Lake Merced are the jewels in the fabric of our city. Together, they comprise almost 2,000 acres of open space, each with vast, ongoing capital needs. Golden Gate Park alone is estimated to need over \$500 million in capital investment to renovate and improve park features. The 2020 Bond will build upon projects delivered through past bonds and provide an additional \$18 million for improvements in these three parks, allocating \$10 million for improvements in Golden Gate Park, \$6 million for improvements in McLaren Park, and \$2 million for improvements in Lake Merced.

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Demece Garepis

Demece Garepis, Contact
Precita Valley Neighbors

From: [Josephine Lucchesi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 200 million
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 10:35:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I hope we can use our (my) money in
Wiser ways than to spend it on parks.
How about a no waste policy First?

Blessings, Josephine

From: [Eliza Dropkin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: eNews from SF Recreation and Parks- June 17, 2020
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 5:44:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am in full support of the Buchanan Mall project and bond! It is high time.

Eliza Dropkin
1327 19th Ave
Sf, Ca
94122

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **SF Recreation and Parks** <rpdinfo@sfgov.org>
Date: Wed, Jun 17, 2020 at 8:36 PM
Subject: eNews from SF Recreation and Parks- June 17, 2020
To: <elizadro@gmail.com>



banner2222



2020 Bond Project Spotlight: Buchanan Mall

The proposed bond for the November ballot would invest \$200 million in parks, which includes funding for realizing the community's vision for a reimagined Buchanan Mall, a five-block park in the Western Addition that would include edible gardens, interactive art installations, a gracious promenade and children's play areas honoring neighborhood heroes. For more information, visit the project page [here](#) or our [bond webpage](#).

If you'd like to show support for this bond, please send an email to the Board of Supervisors by Wednesday, June 24 at board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org.



Boot Camps and Yoga Programs

Health officials recently allowed for outdoor boot camps and yoga classes and the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department is currently working to facilitate these activities as quickly as possible. All outdoor health and fitness programs need a permit to operate so that they have a designated space. If you're interested in applying, please fill out this inquiry form [here](#).



Outdoor Dining Shared Spaces Program

San Francisco's Shared Spaces Program allows businesses to use sidewalks, streets, and public space to safely serve customers while use of indoor spaces are limited by the health order. For more information and to apply for expedited permits for a sidewalk or parking lane, click [here](#).

If you are interested in using one of our parks or plazas for this purpose, please fill out this [form](#).



Stern Grove Festival Goes Virtual

Stern Grove Festival has gone virtual! Join its season host Liam Mayclem every Sunday at 4:30 p.m., through August 16 on KPIX-TV/Channel 5, and celebrate some of the greatest moments in the Grove! The next show will be Father's Day Funk on June 21. For more information, click [here](#).



Golden Gate Park Welcome Center Officially Open!

The Golden Gate Park Welcome Center is officially open! Swing by and bring your 4-legged friends to join the Golden Gate Park 150 pawty! Located across from the Conservatory of Flowers at John F. Kennedy and Nancy Pelosi drives, the facility is open from 11 a.m. to 6 p.m. daily. Shop for #GGP150 merchandise and other park-inspired, fun gifts with proceeds helping support local merchants and the park. For more information, click [here](#).



Comedy Day for #GGP150 Concert Series

Golden Gate Park's 150th anniversary virtual concert series continues with Comedy Day Classics from the Park. Debi Durst, executive producer of Comedy Day, assembled a 90-minute virtual comedy event that includes performances from Robin Williams, Karen Ripley, Culture Clash, Bob Sarlatte and more than 20 other comedians who have performed in Golden Gate Park throughout Comedy Day's nearly 40-year history. The virtual event can be viewed through Saturday, July 25 at www.GoldenGatePark150.com.

The concerts are part of our larger virtual Golden Gate Park experience, which brings the iconic park's nature, art, history and music to park lovers regardless of location. Free content from park partners and supporters will be added regularly and include:

- Exclusive **concerts** from artists who have performed in Golden Gate Park over the years
- **Podcasts and playlists** with audio stories about the park and favorite music selections
- Free **books** to download and digital **newspaper** archives about Golden Gate Park
- **Photo albums, webcams and videos** for visitors to explore and discover the park
- **Online learning activities for kids and adults**

The ability to **share personal stories, memories and special moments** from the park
We look forward to continuing this celebration with you throughout the year!



Summer Camps in San Francisco

Summer camps are now up and running! Though our camps look different this year, we still have great options for future 3-week sessions at camps from Bay View Playground to Betty Ann Ong recreation center! For more information, visit sfrecpark.org/register.

If you're looking for more camp options, check out the San Francisco Department of Children, Youth and their Families' **citywide mapping tool** for citywide summer camp offerings, which allows you to search by age, location and organization to find the best camp for your kids!

Be sure to check for updates as new providers are coming online every day. We appreciate your patience and understanding as we navigate the current global situation to bring your kids an amazing summer experience!



Shelter in Place Update

In accordance with the City's **most recent health order**, the following activities and facilities have reopened:

- The San Francisco Botanical Garden
- All Dog Parks
- Tennis Courts
- Parking Lots
- Golf Courses
- Summer Camps

Playgrounds, adult fitness areas, picnics areas, and basketball/pickleball courts remain closed. In addition, it is required for residents to wear face coverings in a **variety of situations**, which includes anytime someone gets within 30 feet of anyone not living in their household. The rule applies to runners, bikers and hikers who will have to put on a face covering if they

approach people within 30 feet. Be sure to have a mask when you get outside and abide by these rules when using our parks.

Please remember to continue doing your share to help curb the spread of the coronavirus by adhering to social distancing guidelines, washing your hands frequently, wearing a mask, recreating only with people in the same household, and staying home if you have a cough or fever.

Stay up to date on the City's coronavirus response by visiting the City's [COVID-19 information page](#) or by texting [COVID19SF](#) to 888-777.





Tradeswomen, Inc: Meet Park Plumber Cynthia Wheeler

Our **Video of the Week** comes courtesy of Tradeswomen, Inc and highlights Cynthia Wheeler, a plumber with our structural maintenance yard. Thank you for your professional passion, and for keeping our parks and facilities running!

San Francisco Recreation and Parks | sfrecpark.org



SF Recreation and Parks, McLaren Lodge-Golden Gate Park, [501 Stanyan Street](#), [San Francisco, CA 94117](#)

[SafeUnsubscribe™](#) elizadro@gmail.com

[Forward This Email to a Friend](#) | [About our service provider](#)

Sent by rpinfo@sfgov.org in collaboration with

Constant Contact



Try email marketing for free today!

--

Eliza Dropkin

Master's in Counseling Psychology Student

The Wright Institute

From: [Awilda Bernard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Buchanan Mall
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 5:52:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Yes! I vote yes.

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail
Get the new AOL app: mail.mobile.aol.com

From: [Horwath, Frank](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Buchanon Mall
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 7:00:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This looks amazing and I'm fully supportive of continuing San Francisco's drive to be the most park friendly city in the country.

Frank Horwath
Sales Manager
Northern California



Makers of



Oakland, CA. 94607

Office: (510)260-9025

Cell: (510)260-9025

Fax: (602)340-0402

frank.horwath@totturf.com

www.totturf.com

The contents of this message and any attachments are proprietary, confidential and/or legally privileged, and are intended solely for the use of the person(s) intended to receive this message. If you are not the intended recipient, then please be aware that any use of this message (including any copying, archiving, dissemination or distribution of this message) is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender, delete this message and any attachments and destroy all records and copies of it. Thank you.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Buchanan Mall support
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 7:46:00 AM

From: Zoe Marinkovich <zmarink@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2020 7:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Buchanan Mall support

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for the Buchanan Mall. I know money is tight, but if we have learned one thing during this pandemic it is the value of our outdoor green spaces. We must continue to invest in outdoor green spaces that promote the health and well-being of our community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Zoe Marinkovich
94118

From: brivers32@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors.. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: eNews from SF Recreation and Parks- June 17, 2020
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 8:10:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

good morning, living in SF for over 7 years now I am really amazed at how great the parks are, how they are maintained, and the money thats constantly put into redoing many, including many that seem like they don't need to be redone. Yet the city golf courses are complete and total disasters. They all could be amazing as their locations are fantastic, with the insanely amazing success of Harding Park, why has SF completely and totally ignored the golf courses? Thanks in advance for your time and consideration

On Wednesday, June 17, 2020, 08:36:29 PM PDT, SF Recreation and Parks <rpdinfo@sfgov.org> wrote:



banner2222





2020 Bond Project Spotlight: Buchanan Mall

The proposed bond for the November ballot would invest \$200 million in parks, which includes funding for realizing the community's vision for a reimagined Buchanan Mall, a five-block park in the Western Addition that would include edible gardens, interactive art installations, a gracious promenade and children's play areas honoring neighborhood heroes. For more information, visit the project page [here](#) or our [bond webpage](#).

If you'd like to show support for this bond, please send an email to the Board of Supervisors by Wednesday, June 24 at board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org.



Boot Camps and Yoga Programs

Health officials recently allowed for outdoor boot camps and yoga classes and the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department is currently working to facilitate these activities as quickly as possible. All outdoor health and fitness programs need a permit to operate so that they have a designated space. If you're interested in applying, please fill out this inquiry form [here](#).



Outdoor Dining Shared Spaces Program

San Francisco's Shared Spaces Program allows businesses to use sidewalks, streets, and public space to safely serve customers while use of indoor spaces are limited by the health order. For more information and to apply for expedited permits for a sidewalk or parking lane, click [here](#).

If you are interested in using one of our parks or plazas for this purpose, please fill out this [form](#).



Stern Grove Festival Goes Virtual

Stern Grove Festival has gone virtual! Join its season host Liam Mayclem every Sunday at 4:30 p.m., through August 16 on KPIX-TV/Channel 5, and celebrate some of the greatest moments in the Grove! The next show will be Father's Day Funk on June 21. For more information, click [here](#).



Golden Gate Park Welcome Center Officially Open!

The Golden Gate Park Welcome Center is officially open! Swing by and bring your 4-legged friends to join the Golden Gate Park 150 pawty! Located across from the Conservatory of Flowers at John F. Kennedy and Nancy Pelosi drives, the facility is open from 11 a.m. to 6 p.m. daily. Shop for #GGP150 merchandise and other park-inspired, fun gifts with proceeds helping support local merchants and the park. For more information, click [here](#).



Comedy Day for #GGP150 Concert Series

Golden Gate Park's 150th anniversary virtual concert series continues with Comedy Day Classics from the Park. Debi Durst, executive producer of Comedy Day, assembled a 90-minute virtual comedy event that includes performances from Robin Williams, Karen Ripley, Culture Clash, Bob Sarlatte and more than 20 other comedians who have performed in Golden Gate Park throughout Comedy Day's nearly 40-year history. The virtual event can be viewed through Saturday, July 25 at www.GoldenGatePark150.com.

The concerts are part of our larger virtual Golden Gate Park experience, which brings the iconic park's nature, art, history and music to park lovers regardless of location. Free content from park partners and supporters will be added regularly and include:

- Exclusive **concerts** from artists who have performed in Golden Gate Park over the years
- **Podcasts and playlists** with audio stories about the park and favorite music selections
- Free **books** to download and digital **newspaper** archives about Golden Gate Park
- **Photo albums, webcams and videos** for visitors to explore and discover the park
- **Online learning activities for kids and adults**

The ability to **share personal stories, memories and special moments** from the park
We look forward to continuing this celebration with you throughout the year!



Summer Camps in San Francisco

Summer camps are now up and running! Though our camps look different this year, we still have great options for future 3-week sessions at camps from Bay View Playground to Betty Ann Ong recreation center! For more information, visit sfrecpark.org/register.

If you're looking for more camp options, check out the San Francisco Department of Children, Youth and their Families' **citywide mapping tool** for citywide summer camp offerings, which allows you to search by age, location and organization to find the best camp for your kids!

Be sure to check for updates as new providers are coming online every day. We appreciate your patience and understanding as we navigate the current global situation to bring your kids an amazing summer experience!



Shelter in Place Update

In accordance with the City's **most recent health order**, the following activities and facilities have reopened:

- The San Francisco Botanical Garden
- All Dog Parks
- Tennis Courts
- Parking Lots
- Golf Courses
- Summer Camps

Playgrounds, adult fitness areas, picnics areas, and basketball/pickleball courts remain closed. In addition, it is required for residents to wear face coverings in a **variety of situations**, which includes anytime someone gets within 30 feet of anyone not living in their household. The rule applies to runners, bikers and hikers who will have to put on a face covering if they

approach people within 30 feet. Be sure to have a mask when you get outside and abide by these rules when using our parks.

Please remember to continue doing your share to help curb the spread of the coronavirus by adhering to social distancing guidelines, washing your hands frequently, wearing a mask, recreating only with people in the same household, and staying home if you have a cough or fever.

Stay up to date on the City's coronavirus response by visiting the City's [COVID-19 information page](#) or by texting [COVID19SF](#) to 888-777.





Tradeswomen, Inc: Meet Park Plumber Cynthia Wheeler

Our **Video of the Week** comes courtesy of Tradeswomen, Inc and highlights Cynthia Wheeler, a plumber with our structural maintenance yard. Thank you for your professional passion, and for keeping our parks and facilities running!

San Francisco Recreation and Parks | sfrecpark.org



SF Recreation and Parks, McLaren Lodge-Golden Gate Park, 501 Stanyan Street, San Francisco , CA 94117

[SafeUnsubscribe™ brivers32@yahoo.com](mailto:SafeUnsubscribe%20brivers32@yahoo.com)

[Forward This Email to a Friend](#) | [About our service provider](#)

Sent by rpinfo@sfgov.org in collaboration with

Constant Contact



Try email marketing for free today!

From: [Ian Hunter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SUPPORT- Buchanan Mall / Parks Bond
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 8:57:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors-

I'd like to register my support for putting the \$200 million parks bond on the November ballot, including the work that would take place at Buchanan mall.

Thank you!

Ian Hunter
3103 Harrison St.
SF, CA 94110
415-902-1368

From: [Frank Horwath](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Buchanan Mall
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 9:22:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board,

I am fully in support of this proposed Updated and reimagined community gathering place. The variety and scope of amenities look to be amazing from what I can see.

Frank Horwath
Fhorwath@ymail.com

From: [Al Minvielle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Health and Recovery Bond
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 10:25:16 AM
Attachments: [2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond - COF-D1\[2\] 2.pages](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

RE: 2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** that is being considered for the November 2020 ballot.

The **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** will prioritize shovel-ready projects delivering essential government services, support economic recovery through job creation for San Franciscans, provide funding for behavioral health and health access, while prioritizing basic infrastructure investments in our parks and recreation facilities and right-of-way infrastructure so people can get back to work quickly and help San Francisco recover.

As a native San Franciscan and a regular user of many recreation and park facilities I strongly support the inclusion of the Community Opportunity Fund in the Recovery Bond proposal. As a member of the San Francisco Lawn Bowling Club(SFLBC) I have directly benefited from this program. A few years ago after exhausting every other potential source of funding we applied the the COF for support in repairing the dilapidated lawn bowling facilities in GGP. The 120 year old facility is a living history of our city and a service to a underserved population of seniors. Thanks to the funding provided through the COF grant we were able to repair our badly degraded historical green #1. Since that time the improved facility allowed us to reinvigorate or membership, attract players from all around the city and region and to host the national championships in 2019. Without this program communities like ours that enrich our city, preserve our history and reflect the diversity of interest in our community would loose a valuable resource and may quietly disappear from the fabric the city. This program allows for grass roots energy and interest to be supported and rewards communities that deserve attention to have a chance to receive it.

The COF provides an opportunity for neighborhoods, community groups, and park partners to nominate park projects for leveraged funding. This process allows communities to come together and promote their neighborhood parks and the importance of park stewardship. During these unprecedented times, neighborhood parks are the gem of each community throughout the city. The 2020 Bond will provide \$6 million for the COF to continue, which will empower community members to foster positive change in their neighborhood parks.

The benefits of parks are long lasting, and it is clear that planning for better days ahead will ensure that our open spaces are resilient. Please support the **2020 San Francisco Health and Recovery Bond** by approving the Bond proposal for the November 2020 Ballot.

Thank you for your support of our parks and City!

Al Minvielle
alminvielle@gmail.com

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Agenda item to I'm just learning to agenda overdose protection programs
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 2:20:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

From: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:08 PM
To: Paul Aguilar <sfpaulie@gmail.com>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Agenda item to I'm just learning to agenda overdose protection programs

Thank you for your message.

I am adding your communications to the official file in this matter, and sending it to the Board of Supervisors for their review and consideration.

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Aguilar <sfpaulie@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:28 PM

To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: Agenda item to I'm just learning to agenda overdose protection programs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

last my phone dropped the call just as you were about to discuss this agenda item and I was unable to log back in so I am sending you my written statement in support of this legislation for the record

"Honorifice virtutem veritatis"

Paul A. Aguilar - He/Him/His

415.577.7755 - mobile

Read "Get Rid of the Term AIDS (How My Entire Life Suddenly Became Parenthetical)" <https://aumag.org/2020/03/17/get-rid-of-the-term-aids/>

Read "The Test" <https://aumag.org/2019/08/06/the-test-nonfiction-by-paul-a-aguilar/>

Read "Never Forget Your First" <https://aumag.org/2019/01/10/never-forget-your-first-nonfiction-by-paul-a-aguilar/>

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Overdose Prevention Programs (File #200243) – SUPPORT
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 2:20:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

From: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:07 PM
To: Wes Saver <wsaver@glide.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; RivamonteMesa, Abigail (BOS) <abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Overdose Prevention Programs (File #200243) – SUPPORT

Thank you for your message.

I am adding your communications to the official file in this matter, and sending it to the Board of Supervisors for their review and consideration.

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Wes Saver <wsaver@glide.org>

Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2020 9:47 AM

To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; RivamonteMesa, Abigail (BOS) <abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org>

Subject: Overdose Prevention Programs (File #200243) – SUPPORT

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Carroll and Distinguished Members of the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee,

Please find the attached position letter on behalf of GLIDE in support of the ordinance to amend the Health Code to authorize overdose prevention programs.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Wesley Saver

--

Wesley Saver

Policy Manager

Center for Social Justice

GLIDE 330 Ellis Street, Room 506, San Francisco, CA 94102

OFFICE (415) 674-5536 | **MOBILE** (847) 682-8639

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Glide. Finally, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. GLIDE accepts no

liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Safe Consumption Sites - BOS File No. 200243
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 2:20:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

From: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:06 PM
To: JoAnn Shea <meganshea92@icloud.com>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Safe Consumption Sites - BOS File No. 200243

Thank you for your message.

I am adding your communications to the official file in this matter, and sending it to the Board of Supervisors for their review and consideration.

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: JoAnn Shea <meganshea92@icloud.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 10:01 PM

To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: Safe Consumption Sites

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mr. Carroll,

I am urging you to allow for the establishment of Safe Consumption Sites in San Francisco, per AB 362. Safe Consumption Sites allow those struggling with addiction to safely consume and receive resources on addiction treatment. We need not lose any more San Franciscans to overdose; we have a chance to make San Francisco a safer and kinder place through the passage of this bill.

Thank you,
Megan Shea
San Francisco resident

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Overdose Prevention Programs (File #200243) – SUPPORT
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 2:20:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

From: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:05 PM
To: Brenna Alexander <brenna.alexander8@gmail.com>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Overdose Prevention Programs (File #200243) – SUPPORT

Thank you for your message.

I am adding your communications to the official file in this matter, and sending it to the Board of Supervisors for their review and consideration.

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brenna Alexander <brenna.alexander8@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 6:49 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Overdose Prevention Programs (File #200243) – SUPPORT

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee,

My name is Brenna Alexander and I live and work in San Francisco. I am writing to express my strong support of AB 362 and the creation of safe consumption programs.

AB 362 has tremendous potential to save lives by preventing overdose deaths, which tragically symbolize our failure to care for people struggling with addiction. In declaring her support for this bill, Mayor Breed aptly conveyed that amongst the 100 overdose prevention programs worldwide, “no site has experienced an overdose death and many have transitioned clients into detox services” (Garcia, 2017). Insite, one safe injection site in Vancouver, Canada, proudly reports that no fatalities have occurred in their 14 years of operation, even as roughly 220,000 injections take place under their supervision each year (Eggman, 2019; Irwin, 2019). In 2017 alone, Insite served 7,301 different individuals, providing over 2,500 overdose interventions as well as 3,708 “clinical treatment interventions,” ranging from medical attention and wound care to referrals for detox centers and residential programs (Babcock, 2019).

Safe consumption site staff would fill critical roles in encouraging and supporting people to consider additional treatment options, ranging from abstinence-based programs to methadone and buprenorphine replacement therapies. In addition to reducing overdose deaths, services have been proven to reduce transmission rates of HIV and Hepatitis C by promoting safe injection practices and providing sterile supplies (Irwin, 2017). For this reason alone, SIS could have tremendous effects in San Francisco and across the nation, as people who inject drugs “comprise less than 1% of the U.S. population” but “experience roughly 56% of new Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) infections and 11% of new HIV infections” (Irwin, 2016).

For these reasons, I ask the board to please VOTE IN SUPPORT of AB 362, and to do everything it can to get the state Senate to pass the legislation this year.

Sources cited:

- Eggman, S. Controlled substances: overdose prevention program. , Pub. L. No. AB 362 (2019).
- Controlled Substances: Overdose Prevention Program, (2019) (testimony of Judy Babcock).
- Garica, B. (2017). San Francisco Safe Injection Services Task Force - Final Report. San Francisco: San Francisco Department of Public Health.
- Irwin, A., Jozaghi, E., Bluthenthal, R. N., & Kral, A. H. (2017). A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a

Potential Supervised Injection Facility in San Francisco, California, USA. Journal of Drug Issues, 47(2), 164–184. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022042616679829>

Best,

Brenna Alexander (she/her)

San Francisco resident and social worker
760-662-8970

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 10 letters regarding File No. 200626
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 2:09:00 PM
Attachments: [10 letters for File No. 200626.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 10 letters for File No. 200626.

File No. 200626 - Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085, authored by Senator Nancy Skinner, and urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to amend Senate Bill No. 1085 in recognition of San Francisco's local planning and affordable housing tools.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Claire Mills](#)
To: [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani. Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston. Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney. Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [Walton. Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin. Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai. Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen. Hillary](#); [Fewer. Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Yee. Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support item 24, the Resolution to OPPOSE SB 1085
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:50:11 AM
Attachments: [Leg Ver1.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,
Please support item 24 to oppose SB 1085. Our city deserves better! The world is changing and all density changes need to be revisited after a year, not now.
Thank you,
Claire Mills
2820 Greenwich St.
SF CA 94123

1 [Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus
2 Law - Unless Amended]

3 **Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085, authored by Senator Nancy**
4 **Skinner, and urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to amend Senate Bill No.**
5 **1085 in recognition of San Francisco’s local planning and affordable housing tools.**
6

7 WHEREAS, California Senate Bill No. 1085 (SB 1085) is intended to incentivize
8 housing development through the State Density Bonus Law “to expand its use in California to
9 increase affordable housing production,” according to its author; and

10 WHEREAS, Some local jurisdictions in California, because of local market conditions,
11 depend on granting significant development incentives in order to produce affordable units
12 within private housing development; and

13 WHEREAS, San Francisco, because of its unique local market conditions, has
14 repeatedly demonstrated that private development can and will bear higher affordability
15 requirements; and

16 WHEREAS, SB 1085 would revoke the City and County of San Francisco’s ability to
17 continue collecting fees to build affordable housing relative to the extra market-rate housing
18 “bonus” units granted to a housing development under the State Density Bonus Law; and

19 WHEREAS, San Francisco voters have consistently expressed through their votes a
20 desire for robust affordable housing programs that prioritize the needs of the City’s most
21 vulnerable residents; and

22 WHEREAS, In June 2016, the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted
23 Proposition C which modernized and strengthened the City’s “Inclusionary Housing” policy,
24 including ensuring that market rate housing projects availing themselves of State Density
25 Bonus Law “bonus units” would still provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the

1 City the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted Proposition C which modernized
2 and strengthened the City’s “Inclusionary Housing” policy, including ensuring that market rate
3 housing projects availing themselves of State Density Bonus Law “bonus units” would still
4 provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the City; and

5 WHEREAS, This SB 1085 proposed state preemption over local policies and
6 development standards handcuffs local jurisdictions from determining how to apply affordable
7 housing requirements in context of local market conditions; and

8 WHEREAS, San Francisco has been reported to have the highest median rent in the
9 United States with a one-bedroom asking monthly rent of \$3,7067 according to May 2020
10 data from the rental listing website Rent Jungle; and

11 WHEREAS, The City is also one of the highest-priced home ownership markets in the
12 United States with a median home sales price of \$1.353 million, a 3% increase from the
13 previous year according to a 2019 report by real estate website Zillow; and

14 WHEREAS, The Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development (“MOHCD”)
15 continues to see a widening affordability gap for extremely-low, low and middle-income
16 households in both the rental and homeownership markets; and

17 WHEREAS, The housing affordability gap has the greatest impact on extremely-low
18 and low income households such as seniors, persons with disabilities, low-income working
19 families and veterans, and inhibits San Francisco from ensuring that economic diversity is
20 maintained; and

21 WHEREAS, Limited state and federal resources and the high cost of housing
22 development put a greater burden on local government to contribute their own limited
23 resources, and consequently the City’s supply of affordable housing has not kept pace with
24 demand; and

1 WHEREAS, The State Density Bonus Law preemptions proposed by SB1085, if
2 applied to the existing affordable housing requirements on market rate housing development
3 in San Francisco, would result in *a reduction of* affordable units; and

4 WHEREAS, The failure to build sufficient affordable housing in San Francisco to meet
5 the needs of low- and moderate-income essential workers results in long commutes, road
6 congestion, and environmental harm as people seek affordable housing at ever-greater
7 distances from where they work; now, therefore, be it

8 RESOLVED, That San Francisco is committed to continuing to utilize all affordable
9 housing policy tools to achieve local housing balance goals for all income levels; and, be it

10 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
11 Francisco opposes SB 1085 unless amended to allow San Francisco to continue applying
12 affordable housing fees to market rate “bonus” units granted under the State Density Bonus
13 Law; and, be it

14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
15 Francisco does hereby urge the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to oppose SB 1085, as
16 it would eliminate a critical San Francisco affordable housing tool; and, be it

17 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
18 Francisco will continue to collaborate with its State Legislative Delegation to consider ways to
19 make the State Density Bonus law more equitable in dense urban environments like San
20 Francisco with strong existing local affordable housing policies; and, be it

21 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
22 Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies of this Resolution to the California
23 State Legislature and the City Lobbyist upon passage.

From: [James Parke](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support item 24, the Resolution to OPPOSE SB 1085
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:53:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

James Parke
1375 44th Ave.
S.F.

From: [Bruce Bowen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support item 24, the Resolution to OPPOSE SB 1085
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:55:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors

Please support today's Item 24, the Resolution to oppose SB 1085 unless amended. This Bill, which eliminates needed local fees that pay for critical infrastructure, would also incentivize the construction of moderate-income units at the expense of low and very low-income households. It is another shameless giveaway to developers.

Thank you

Bruce Bowen
Dolores Heights
District 8

From: [zrants](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: We Support Item 24, Resolution at BOS today -- OPPOSE SB1085
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 12:21:41 PM
Attachments: [Leg Ver1.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

6/16/2020

Supervisros,

re: Support your opposition to SB 1085 unless amended.

As you point out, San Francisco has already set up local methods for handling these issues and we don't need to be told by the state to re-tool our efforts that meet our specific needs.

In light of the economic crisis we are involved in and the unknown future direction cities will need to take to sustain themselves, the last thing we need is to have the state pass bills like SB 1085. In times like these, flexibility is our friend. All the state can do is send more funds to pay for the necessities like food and medical care that are severely under-funded.

Thanks for your help in opposing SB 1085.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza,

1 [Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus
2 Law - Unless Amended]

3 **Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085, authored by Senator Nancy**
4 **Skinner, and urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to amend Senate Bill No.**
5 **1085 in recognition of San Francisco’s local planning and affordable housing tools.**
6

7 WHEREAS, California Senate Bill No. 1085 (SB 1085) is intended to incentivize
8 housing development through the State Density Bonus Law “to expand its use in California to
9 increase affordable housing production,” according to its author; and

10 WHEREAS, Some local jurisdictions in California, because of local market conditions,
11 depend on granting significant development incentives in order to produce affordable units
12 within private housing development; and

13 WHEREAS, San Francisco, because of its unique local market conditions, has
14 repeatedly demonstrated that private development can and will bear higher affordability
15 requirements; and

16 WHEREAS, SB 1085 would revoke the City and County of San Francisco’s ability to
17 continue collecting fees to build affordable housing relative to the extra market-rate housing
18 “bonus” units granted to a housing development under the State Density Bonus Law; and

19 WHEREAS, San Francisco voters have consistently expressed through their votes a
20 desire for robust affordable housing programs that prioritize the needs of the City’s most
21 vulnerable residents; and

22 WHEREAS, In June 2016, the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted
23 Proposition C which modernized and strengthened the City’s “Inclusionary Housing” policy,
24 including ensuring that market rate housing projects availing themselves of State Density
25 Bonus Law “bonus units” would still provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the

1 City the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted Proposition C which modernized
2 and strengthened the City's "Inclusionary Housing" policy, including ensuring that market rate
3 housing projects availing themselves of State Density Bonus Law "bonus units" would still
4 provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the City; and

5 WHEREAS, This SB 1085 proposed state preemption over local policies and
6 development standards handcuffs local jurisdictions from determining how to apply affordable
7 housing requirements in context of local market conditions; and

8 WHEREAS, San Francisco has been reported to have the highest median rent in the
9 United States with a one-bedroom asking monthly rent of \$3,7067 according to May 2020
10 data from the rental listing website Rent Jungle; and

11 WHEREAS, The City is also one of the highest-priced home ownership markets in the
12 United States with a median home sales price of \$1.353 million, a 3% increase from the
13 previous year according to a 2019 report by real estate website Zillow; and

14 WHEREAS, The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD")
15 continues to see a widening affordability gap for extremely-low, low and middle-income
16 households in both the rental and homeownership markets; and

17 WHEREAS, The housing affordability gap has the greatest impact on extremely-low
18 and low income households such as seniors, persons with disabilities, low-income working
19 families and veterans, and inhibits San Francisco from ensuring that economic diversity is
20 maintained; and

21 WHEREAS, Limited state and federal resources and the high cost of housing
22 development put a greater burden on local government to contribute their own limited
23 resources, and consequently the City's supply of affordable housing has not kept pace with
24 demand; and

1 WHEREAS, The State Density Bonus Law preemptions proposed by SB1085, if
2 applied to the existing affordable housing requirements on market rate housing development
3 in San Francisco, would result in *a reduction of* affordable units; and

4 WHEREAS, The failure to build sufficient affordable housing in San Francisco to meet
5 the needs of low- and moderate-income essential workers results in long commutes, road
6 congestion, and environmental harm as people seek affordable housing at ever-greater
7 distances from where they work; now, therefore, be it

8 RESOLVED, That San Francisco is committed to continuing to utilize all affordable
9 housing policy tools to achieve local housing balance goals for all income levels; and, be it

10 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
11 Francisco opposes SB 1085 unless amended to allow San Francisco to continue applying
12 affordable housing fees to market rate “bonus” units granted under the State Density Bonus
13 Law; and, be it

14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
15 Francisco does hereby urge the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to oppose SB 1085, as
16 it would eliminate a critical San Francisco affordable housing tool; and, be it

17 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
18 Francisco will continue to collaborate with its State Legislative Delegation to consider ways to
19 make the State Density Bonus law more equitable in dense urban environments like San
20 Francisco with strong existing local affordable housing policies; and, be it

21 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
22 Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies of this Resolution to the California
23 State Legislature and the City Lobbyist upon passage.

From: [KEN RACKOW](#)
To: [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support item 24, the Resolution to OPPOSE SB 1085
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 12:32:26 PM
Attachments: [Leg Ver1.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support the resolution to oppose SB 1085.

Thank you.

Ken Rackow
District 4

1 [Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus
2 Law - Unless Amended]

3 **Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085, authored by Senator Nancy**
4 **Skinner, and urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to amend Senate Bill No.**
5 **1085 in recognition of San Francisco’s local planning and affordable housing tools.**
6

7 WHEREAS, California Senate Bill No. 1085 (SB 1085) is intended to incentivize
8 housing development through the State Density Bonus Law “to expand its use in California to
9 increase affordable housing production,” according to its author; and

10 WHEREAS, Some local jurisdictions in California, because of local market conditions,
11 depend on granting significant development incentives in order to produce affordable units
12 within private housing development; and

13 WHEREAS, San Francisco, because of its unique local market conditions, has
14 repeatedly demonstrated that private development can and will bear higher affordability
15 requirements; and

16 WHEREAS, SB 1085 would revoke the City and County of San Francisco’s ability to
17 continue collecting fees to build affordable housing relative to the extra market-rate housing
18 “bonus” units granted to a housing development under the State Density Bonus Law; and

19 WHEREAS, San Francisco voters have consistently expressed through their votes a
20 desire for robust affordable housing programs that prioritize the needs of the City’s most
21 vulnerable residents; and

22 WHEREAS, In June 2016, the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted
23 Proposition C which modernized and strengthened the City’s “Inclusionary Housing” policy,
24 including ensuring that market rate housing projects availing themselves of State Density
25 Bonus Law “bonus units” would still provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the

1 City the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted Proposition C which modernized
2 and strengthened the City's "Inclusionary Housing" policy, including ensuring that market rate
3 housing projects availing themselves of State Density Bonus Law "bonus units" would still
4 provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the City; and

5 WHEREAS, This SB 1085 proposed state preemption over local policies and
6 development standards handcuffs local jurisdictions from determining how to apply affordable
7 housing requirements in context of local market conditions; and

8 WHEREAS, San Francisco has been reported to have the highest median rent in the
9 United States with a one-bedroom asking monthly rent of \$3,7067 according to May 2020
10 data from the rental listing website Rent Jungle; and

11 WHEREAS, The City is also one of the highest-priced home ownership markets in the
12 United States with a median home sales price of \$1.353 million, a 3% increase from the
13 previous year according to a 2019 report by real estate website Zillow; and

14 WHEREAS, The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD")
15 continues to see a widening affordability gap for extremely-low, low and middle-income
16 households in both the rental and homeownership markets; and

17 WHEREAS, The housing affordability gap has the greatest impact on extremely-low
18 and low income households such as seniors, persons with disabilities, low-income working
19 families and veterans, and inhibits San Francisco from ensuring that economic diversity is
20 maintained; and

21 WHEREAS, Limited state and federal resources and the high cost of housing
22 development put a greater burden on local government to contribute their own limited
23 resources, and consequently the City's supply of affordable housing has not kept pace with
24 demand; and

1 WHEREAS, The State Density Bonus Law preemptions proposed by SB1085, if
2 applied to the existing affordable housing requirements on market rate housing development
3 in San Francisco, would result in *a reduction of* affordable units; and

4 WHEREAS, The failure to build sufficient affordable housing in San Francisco to meet
5 the needs of low- and moderate-income essential workers results in long commutes, road
6 congestion, and environmental harm as people seek affordable housing at ever-greater
7 distances from where they work; now, therefore, be it

8 RESOLVED, That San Francisco is committed to continuing to utilize all affordable
9 housing policy tools to achieve local housing balance goals for all income levels; and, be it

10 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
11 Francisco opposes SB 1085 unless amended to allow San Francisco to continue applying
12 affordable housing fees to market rate “bonus” units granted under the State Density Bonus
13 Law; and, be it

14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
15 Francisco does hereby urge the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to oppose SB 1085, as
16 it would eliminate a critical San Francisco affordable housing tool; and, be it

17 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
18 Francisco will continue to collaborate with its State Legislative Delegation to consider ways to
19 make the State Density Bonus law more equitable in dense urban environments like San
20 Francisco with strong existing local affordable housing policies; and, be it

21 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
22 Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies of this Resolution to the California
23 State Legislature and the City Lobbyist upon passage.

From: [Jeffrey Rigo](#)
To: [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Resolution OPPOSING SB 1085 unless amended
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 12:33:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Please support File 200626 - [Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus Law - Unless Amended] .

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Rigo
San Francisco

From: [Barbara Delaney](#)
To: [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Opposed to SB1085
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 12:37:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to support the resolution to oppose SB1085. <https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0?ui=2&ik=e4e0cdbc0a&attid=0.1&permmsgid=msg-f:1669682187316095948&th=172bc71a5ec5ebcc&view=att&disp=inline> I hope this email arrives in time to have my voice added. Thank you.

Barbara Delaney

From: [roger_underhill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support item 24, the Resolution to OPPOSE SB 1085
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 1:03:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please oppose SB 1-85 unless amended.

Sincerely,
Roger Underhill
District 11

From: [Bill McLaughlin](#)
To: [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: I support item 24, the Resolution to OPPOSE SB 1085
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 1:27:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I support item 24, the resolution Oppose SB1085.

SB1085 is not only too weak on affordable housing requirements, it represents more of the same failed approach to address our housing crisis.

In SF and in many other urban communities, it is time to address the cost of housing by renovating and expanding rent control law as well as the enactment of strict regulations on housing demand. Although statewide rent control has failed in recent years, I believe more targeted rent control for urban areas could gain support. On the demand side of the issue, Individuals and entities holding multiple properties along with Investment companies (both domestic and international) need to be taken out of the urban family home market and confined to the multi-unit (apartment buildings/ condo) market. Working and struggling middle income people need more help to afford a home close to where they work.

At the same time, speculator practices need to be more effectively discouraged both for residential and commercial properties. **Heavily capitalized entities are wreaking havoc on our city - renters, potential first home buyers, and small businesses. We're all getting priced out.**

SB1085 and the building centric approach ignores the real problem and potential solution - and instead - allows the band to "play on."

Experts on housing told us years ago that we would not be able to build our way out of the housing crunch. Even by major deregulation of zoning law and expediting permitting - we cannot build housing volume quick enough to lower prices and avoid mass displacement. They were, of course, right. Why do our leaders continue to give us denial talk? I'd say let's leave that game to the Trump administration.

Addressing the housing crisis on the demand side is long over-due and is a glaring necessity given the results on the ground.

Please consider...

Bill McLaughlin
1834 45th Ave
SF

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Item 24. Support resolution OPPOSING SB 1085
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:42:00 AM

Hello,

The following is for Item 24 on the agenda for the Board of Supervisors meeting today.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kathy Howard <kathyhoward@earthlink.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:35 AM
To: Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Subject: Item 24. Support resolution OPPOSING SB 1085

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I support this resolution for all of the reasons listed in the "whereas" clauses.

It is unconscionable that the state legislature is using the difficulties that are of concern to the citizenry in this time to slide through legislation that further attacks our communities and negatively impacts the production of low-income and affordable housing.

Please vote YES on this resolution.

Thank you for your consideration.

Katherine Howard
District 4

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 456 letters regarding SFPD Budget
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 1:54:00 PM
Attachments: [456 letters regarding SFPD Budget.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 456 letters regarding SFPD Budget.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [brittany dunton](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please consider my request
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 12:29:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Y'all:

I am a resident of San Francisco. Given recent events and the incredible inappropriateness of the police, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue without much chatter from y'all.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources with no improvement to safety. Why did the Taraval police station show up to a Solidarity March in the Outer Sunset in FULL RIOT GEAR? Was that necessary? Did it send a good message at all? Did it make me feel safe? Absolutely not.

I demand you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, mental health issues, and social issues. It'd be great to come home and not see a mentally ill homeless man peeing on my garage weekly.

STEP UP.

Brittany Dunton

215-530-7896

1432 48th Ave SF CA 94122

duntonb@gmail.com

--

Brittany Dunton
duntonb@gmail.com
215.530.7896

--

From: [Matthew Heiden](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: SFPD Funding
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 12:40:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and San Francisco Supervisors,

As a San Francisco native and lifelong resident, I am writing to urge you to redirect funding from the SFPD towards homelessness outreach, education, social services, drug treatment programs and economic development in San Francisco's black community. The SFPD have a tough job and I was very glad to see that they displayed restraint during the protests compared to many other urban forces and did not resort to violent tactics like the use of rubber bullets or tear gas. However, policing alone cannot solve many of the most critical social problems faced by the residents of our city. An excellent example of this is the congregation of unhoused people on my street corner: it is a public health and safety risk both for neighbors and for the unhoused people themselves, but one that requires dedicated drug and alcohol treatment and housing resources rather than police to solve. As the city faces steep budget cuts in the wake of the Coronavirus pandemic, I urge all of you to strongly prioritize social services, housing and education in the upcoming budget process or I will be forced to vote against you in the next municipal election.

Sincerely,
Matthew Heiden

From: [Ari Moskowitz](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Resident for Defunding the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 12:41:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ari, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD is largely an abused use of resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. We've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, yet have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see unethical, unlawful and exploitative actions from our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans; toward equity programs to uplift underprivileged and impoverished communities with attention to education, extra curricular activities, and rehabilitation programs and services; access to healthcare resources, especially for the black community who has suffered in high numbers from COVID-19; access to mental healthcare, for those who have fallen victim to abuse, trauma and neglect as a result of being systemically disadvantaged; financial help for both the small businesses that have suffered from collateral damage from the riots and looting, and the black organizations who are leading this empowering movement and teaching us all how to demand change.

Let our city lead by example by answering the cries that have gone unheard in this nation for centuries.

Sincerely,

Ari Moskowitz

From: [Kylie Boegner](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:17:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kylie, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kylie Boegner

2418 Van Ness Ave

Kboegner@gmail.com

8083396670

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Alexa Garratt](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocate funds
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:27:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Alexa Garratt, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Alexa Garratt

600 Stanyan Street, San Francisco

alexagarratt@gmail.com

4154971396

From: [Cameo Wood](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:28:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Cameo Wood, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Cameo Wood

470 Alvarado Street, San Francisco, CA 94114

Cameowood@me.com

+1.415.297.9981

From: [Cathy Ye](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:33:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Cathy Ye, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Cathy Ye
672 Waller St

From: [Corey Sue Turner](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: What you must do for the protection of your city
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:38:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Corey Turner, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Corey Turner
5400 Fulton st.
Apt 105
San Francisco, CA 94121
Corey.sue.turner@gmail.com
757-803-8189

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Michelle Greenberg](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Read
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:39:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers
My name is Michelle Greenberg, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change. Sincerely,
Michelle Greenberg
3426 Balboa St. San Francisco, CA 94121
805-807-6249

From: [AUGUST REED](#)
Subject: urgent: San Francisco born and raised wants help for my community
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:47:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is August Reed, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

August Reed

225 Moultrie Street, San Francisco, Ca 94110

Amreed@g.ucla.edu

4153126029

From: [Yvonne Santamaria](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:52:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Yvonne, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Santamaria

From: [Stuart Abrams](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund police
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:58:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Stuart Abrams, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Stuart abrams

573 Guerrero St.
SF, CA 94110

Stuartabrams92@gmail.com

3143305171

From: [Ziva Schatz](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SFPD Budget Reduced
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:01:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ziva, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ziva
801 Jones Street, SF
zschat@artc.edu
415-310-5509

From: [Erinne Davis](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Police Funding
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:17:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Erinne Davis, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Erinne Davis
2494 35th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116

From: [Erin Dumont](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocation of Police Funding
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:17:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Erin Dumont, and I am a resident of San Francisco and an SFUSD public school parent. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

I also ask that you consider giving schools additional funding. With new state requirements due to COVID as well as early decided budget cuts, our schools will need every cent.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Erin Dumont

336 Ridgewood Ave.

erhead8@gmail.com

530.301.1808

From: [Deb](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocation Request -- Urgent
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:25:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Deb Good, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

I, like many concerned residents, are questioning the SFPD's use of the budgetary resources and how they are being used to better the community. It's evident that in a lot of the crucial areas it has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

In my own life. I have experienced the death of a relative by the same method that killed George Floyd, except that it was the fire department (another city, not SF) who piled on him and held him down. He was schizophrenic, wasn't posing a danger to anyone else, but agitated in a way that a trained, licensed mental health professional could have de-escalated. Instead, Craig was suffocated to death.

I beseech you to examine ways to improve the services of our city, free up the police department to focus on criminal activities, and reallocate funds to better serve us all. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Deb Good
San Francisco Resident

From: [Christopher Martinez](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund San Francisco Police
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:27:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Christopher Martinez, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Christopher Martinez

893 Fulton st, San Francisco, CA 94117

m.christopher778@gmail.com

(415)632-6697

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Kelsey Kelmel](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocate 50% Police Budget Towards Community Investment
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:27:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and SF Elected Officers

It has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is in the works. I and many of your other constituents believe this is an opportunity for true change in our city. I urge you to reallocate 50% of the proposed SFPD budget towards the following:

- Education and after school programs in underserved communities
- Partner with and provide financial support for local non-profits that have proven positive change in BIPOC communities
- Mental Health Services
- Affordable Housing

Immediate steps should be taken on the following:

- Audit 911 calls to determine if someone with a gun is really the best responder--create roles (social workers, mental health professions, etc in correlation with what the actual needs of constituent calls are)
- Require future Police hires to have a degree in social work, mental health studies, or similar.

The SFPD budget for 2019 was \$611,701,869. This is absurd. While we've been spending absurd amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements in safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city.

It is time for us to invest in our communities and dismantle the racial inequalities that are entrenched in our systems. True safety and security is only ensured when root problems are addressed, not criminalized.

Regards,

Kelsey Kelmel

244 Cole St-SF, CA 94117

From: [John Wilde](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Letter in support of reallocating funds currently going to SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:29:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is John Wilde, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
John Wilde

2480 Washington St, Apt 40

617283-2870

From: [Fukayna Castellanos](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: The people need you!
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:30:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Fukayna Castellanos , and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Fukayna Castellanos

2324 19th ave sf ca 94116

F1c11091@gmail.com

From: [Alyssa Saquilayan](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: END RACISM, DEFUND THE POLICE
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:33:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Alyssa Saquilayan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Saquilayan
301 Justin Drive
San Francisco, CA 94112
Alyssa.saquilayan@gmail.com
415-608-7467

From: [Ruthie Graham](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:35:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ruth Graham, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ruthie Graham
3469 20th Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Tina You](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund our police dept. and reallocate city resources
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:35:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Tina, and I am a resident and native of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. I implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. Our community needs more and better resources for the most vulnerable among us.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Tina You
667 3rd Ave
415-373-7889
tinayou11@gmail.com

From: [Lucia Amieva-Wang](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:37:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Lucia Amieva-Wang and I am 18 years old. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lucia Amieva-Wang
luciaamievaw@gmail.com
650-518-9292

From: [Jessie Ewald](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please consider defunding the police
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:38:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Jessie Ewald, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jessie Ewald

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Evangeline Norrell](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocate police budgets towards EDUCATION, SOCIAL SERVICES, and DISMANTLING RACIAL INEQUALITY
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:40:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Evangeline Norrell, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Evangeline Norrell

From: [Emmalee Horn](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND THE POLICE
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:41:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Emmalee Horn, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Emmalee Horn

From: [Linda Huang](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Help Black Lives.
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:46:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Linda, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Linda Huang
410 Cabrillo St.
linhuang@students.pitzer.edu
415-680-6503

From: [Susan Gallentine](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the San Francisco Police Department and Remove SFPD from Our Schools
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:50:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Susan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources, particularly for SFPD in our school system where it has absolutely no place being. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and dismantle the police department. Instead, use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Susan Gallentine
1224 4th Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94122
susangallentine@gmail.com
630-677-1319

From: [Anna Rowland](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: 2021 Budget
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 2:54:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

Hello. Our names are Anna Rowland and Bernard Morris, and we are residents of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to our attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

We call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Anna Rowland & Bernard Morris
3329 22nd Street
San Francisco CA 94110

415-252-8522

From: [Aaron Clayton-Dunn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:02:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Aaron. I am a resident of the Mission District, and I am emailing today to demand a divestment of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestment is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Aaron

From: [Professional](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Justice & Accountability - Defund SFPD, Investigate City Waste and Misuse of Funds
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:02:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Alejandro Salcido and I am a resident of San Francisco in district 6. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors, veterans, and trans individuals. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Alejandro Salcido

From: [barbara simons](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Resident for Defunding the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:09:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Barbara Simons, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Barbara Simons
440 Ellsworth Street
San Francisco, Ca 94110
rbksimons@att.net

From: [Christopher White](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD, reallocate for communities
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:12:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Christopher White, and I am an Oakland resident employed in San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it is vital that you lead the way as you decide the City's budget for 2021 as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

--

Christopher W. White
(pronouns: he/him/his)

From: [Blake Rodich](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:16:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Blake Rodich and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to redirect the SFPD budget and use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Blake Rodich

1526 Baker Street,
San Francisco, CA 94115

Blake.rodich@gmail.com
415-735-8674

From: [maryam moghadasian](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: We need change now!
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:20:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Maryam, and I am a former resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Maryam Moghadasian

From: [Kathy Rose O'Regan](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police - Invest in Our Communities
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:25:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Kathy Rose O'Regan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America.

Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead put those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. It is nothing short of an utter disgrace that a city such as San Francisco, home to incalculable wealth and numerous billionaires, should take such poor care of its residents experiencing homelessness. To be without a home is not criminal, and should not be policed. Imagine the city we could have if our most vulnerable residents were treated with compassion and understanding, given beds and running water, rather than being arrested and "moved along" daily so those more fortunate can continue to avert our eyes?

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Kathy Rose O'Regan,
1342 26th Avenue, #4
San Francisco, CA 94122
415-691-9818

From: [Keven Mowen](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please defund the police and put \$\$\$ where it is needed most
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:26:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

keven mowen

1288 46th Ave

San Francisco, CA 94122

kevenunderwater@gmail.com

831-239-3384

From: [Angela Hernandez](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:34:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is Angela Hernandez , and I am a resident of San Francisco, CA. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Angela Hernandez

From: [Janae Keller](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:36:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Janae Keller and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Janae Keller

From: [Jessica Jimenez](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: The People Demand Action - SF
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:39:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jessica, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city.

Where are the community efforts? How are you preserving our diverse city? How are you PROTECTING the people?

Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to ***give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.***

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jessica Jimenez-Pizarro
jessjimenez1094@gmail.com

From: [Victoria Lundberg](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Sfpd budget!!!
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:44:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Victoria Lundberg, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Victoria Lundberg

415-637-7693

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Danielle Herrera](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: I trust you'll be on the right side of history <3
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:44:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Danielle Herrera and I am a resident of San Francisco. I work with the homeless population here with Harm Reduction Therapy, which is predominantly Black. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Danielle Marie Herrera, AMFT #115938
Staff Therapist (*she, hers*)

Covid-19 Update: Harm Reduction Therapy Center has an emotional support talk line:
[415-234-3707](tel:415-234-3707) from 10am - 8pm, Monday to Friday

*If you're in crisis or need immediate assistance, please call [1-800-273-8255](tel:1-800-273-8255) (SF Suicide Prevention) or 911

The Center for Harm Reduction Therapy
45 Franklin St., SF, CA 94102
315 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94607
P: [510.206.2331](tel:510.206.2331) / F: [510.251.1139](tel:510.251.1139)

www.harmreductiontherapy.org

Sage Institute for Psychedelic Therapy

3007 Telegraph Ave

Oakland, CA 94609

<https://sagepsychedelicinstitute.org/>

Confidentiality Notice: click [here](#)

From: [Laurence Delisle](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please de-fund the police
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:45:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Laurence Delisle, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see the wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Laurence Delisle

1549 Pershing Drive, san Francisco, CA 94129

laurencedelisle@gmail.com

From: [Molly DeCoudreaux](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Time to re-allocate
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:48:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Molly DeCoudreaux, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Molly DeCoudreaux
3470 19th Street
San Francisco, CA 94110
510-435-6055

From: [Sean Apellanes](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:49:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Sean Apellanes

390 Arguello Blvd. Apt. 5

sean.apellanes24@gmail.com

415-769-7967

From: [Tiara Gaillard](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Slash SFPD Budget Now
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:51:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Tiara Gaillard, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Tara Gaillard

785 Treat Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94110

tiaragaillard@yahoo.com

From: [Adam Reid](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Lets s make a change - Defund police
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 3:57:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Adam Reid, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Adam
3452 16th Street

From: [Lena Kallweit](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Calling for Budget Reallocation in San Francisco
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:00:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Lena, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lena Kallweit

4831 19th Street, San Francisco, CA, 94114

lenakallweit@berkeley.edu

9164702777

From: [Simon Smundak](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: It's Time to Change Our Priorities
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:13:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Simon Smundak, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past two weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black, Indigenous and people of color are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, education or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. Yes, the current city budget is negatively impacted by the lower tax revenue as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Instead of cutting the budgets of schools and other necessary departments, the budget for the police has to be cut to invest in our future instead of the perpetuation of a militant police state.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, and increasing the education department budget which is most needed by broad swaths of our city. I implore you to give every member of our community better resources than what we have available currently while we waste inordinate amounts of our budget on policing while neglecting more important priorities.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Simon Smundak

21 Bessie St. SF, CA 94110

From: [Kanita Fuller](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please help improve our community - slash the SFPD Budget
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:24:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kanita, and I am a resident of San Francisco (Inner Sunset, previously the Mission and Bernal Heights). This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kanita Fuller

1625 9th Ave

San Francisco, CA

94122

kanitafuller@gmail.com

360-223-6442

From: [caroline](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Divest and Demilitarize SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:24:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Caroline Skrobak, and I am a student and resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Caroline Skrobak
550 5th Ave, San Francisco, 94118
USA
cskrobak16@gmail.com



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
www.avast.com

From: [Sienna Parker](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police Now
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:29:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Sienna Parker, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Sienna Parker
88 Elgin Park, #5
Sienna_parker@icloud.com

5103906066
Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Caroline Desler](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: We Need Budget Reform in San Francisco!
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:32:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Caroline Desler, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Caroline Desler
2123 Powell Street
carolinecdesler512@gmail.com
(510) 499-2644

From: [Kathleen Ohara](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:33:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is an e-mail template for San Francisco residents to use in contacting elected officials to defund the San Francisco Police Department. Feel free to modify it any way you wish to.

NOTE: Don't forget to replace the [x]'s with your information!)

To: MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org, Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org, sandra.fewer@sfgov.org, Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org, Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org, marstaff@sfgov.org, prestonstaff@sfgov.org, Norman.Yee@sfgov.org, mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org, ronenstaff@sfgov.org, waltonstaff@sfgov.org, Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org, Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org, cityattorney@sfcityatty.org, districtattorney@sfgov.org, sfso.complaints@sfgov.org, jose.cisneros@sfgov.org, manohar.raju@sfgov.org

CC: email@address.com

Subject: San Francisco Resident for Defunding the SFPD

To San Francisco's Mayor Breed, Board of Supervisors, and Elected Officers:

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. The nationwide protests call for rapid and meaningful change with regard to policing and putting an end to systemic racism and anti-Blackness. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. It has come to my attention that the budget for the 2020-2021 fiscal year is being decided as these protests continue.

The San Francisco Police Department has been a complete waste of our resources. Last year, the San Francisco Police Department's budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from San Francisco's General Fund. We have been spending extraordinary amounts on policing and yet we have not seen improvements in public safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. What did we get instead? Nothing but violence, trauma, fear, and mistrust in our Black and Brown communities, especially Black trans women who do sex work, undocumented folks, and unhoused/unsheltered folks.

I call on you to take immediate action on two items: (1) slash the SFPD's 2020-2021 budget by at least 30% and re-invest in Black-led community priorities and (2) permanently discontinue any use of General Fund dollars to pay for settlements related to police murder, misconduct, and negligence.

Sincerely,

[Kathleen Ohara NAME]

[911 Guerrero St.]

Oharakc8@gmail.com

[4158457290]

From: [Maya Baruch](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please Help our SF community!
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:34:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Maya Baruch, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. I implore you to use otherwise unused money, or money used for the destruction of our SF community, to give back to those who have been time and time again targeted by the police force in a harmful way. We need to step it up. Allocate resources for psychiatric training, social work, how to help homeless people, stop over-policing of primarily black neighborhoods, and most of all take care of your SF people, not just the rich white ones.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Maya Baruch

--

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at

San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu <<mailto:gafe@t.sfusd.edu>> and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Aneesha Natarajan](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: On Defunding the Police
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:36:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Aneesha Natarajan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

I urge you to please take these considerations into account and appreciate your time.

Sincerely

Aneesha Natarajan

From: [Tyler Bontemps](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Time For Change
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:36:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Tyler, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Tyler Bontemps

2473 Post Street

Tbontemps23@gmail.com
618-420-3544

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Katie Chamberlain](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:53:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Katie and I am a resident of San Francisco. When I first heard the terms "defund the police" and "abolish the police," I thought those demands sounded a bit radical and impractical. But, as I looked into these ideas it became clear just how rational and necessary it is to start defunding the police and reimagining public safety. You cannot reform an organization born from slave patrols that is designed to protect white property and criminalize and incarcerate black people.

What I'm asking is that you, as a representative of the people, choose the right side of history and join the movement to defund the police.

.....

This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards ending homelessness and hunger, and investing in our communities.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Katie Chamberlain

375 South Van Ness Ave, San Francisco, CA 94103

katie.chamberlain.11@gmail.com

347-901-2239

From: [Tatiana Gomez](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Together We Can Do Better
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:56:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Tatiana Gomez, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Tatiana Gomez
1200 21st Ave.
Tagv218@gmail.com
201-280-6639

From: [Charles Kannel](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SF citizens want support for social services, not police!
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:59:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Charlie Kannel, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Charlie Kannel

450 Broderick Street
San Francisco, CA, 94117
charles.kannel@gmail.com
413-374-6487

From: [Delbert miller](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: LISTEN TO US
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 5:07:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is NINA, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

NINA

From: [Michael David](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: A Safe Future for My Friends, Family and Myself
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 5:19:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Michael Martinez and I am a resident of San Francisco, born and raised in this beautiful city. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change. Please, consider and do the right thing. The police force has been a source of fear for far too long. Even as a non-black person I have never ONCE felt safe in the presence of police. We must cultivate a new system that teaches CARE instead of FORCE. We must be the face of change. This beautiful city has the chance to be as beautiful in its policies and changes as it is in its physical and aesthetic beauty.

Thank you for your time. Sincerely,

Michael Martinez
2040 12th avenue, San Francisco, CA 94116
mdmartinezmusic@gmail.com
415-513-2542

From: [Natalie Moghadasian](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 5:21:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Natalie Moghadasian, and I am a resident of the San Francisco Bay Area. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Natalie Moghadasian

From: [Hirsch, Lauren](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the SFPD, fund affordable housing
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 5:35:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Lauren Hirsch, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lauren Hirsch

754 15th Ave, SF, CA 94118

lhirsch@jd21.law.harvard.edu

415-314-3428

--

Lauren Hirsch
J.D. Candidate, HLS Class of 2021

lhirsch@jd21.law.harvard.edu
(415) 314-3428

From: [Claire Neumann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 5:35:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello!


My name is Claire, a social worker in NYC, born and raised in the Bay Area. As we are hearing about police brutality in the US, there are many police departments, like Minneapolis, who are finally taking action to defunding police departments and instead allocating those funds into community organizations.

I am asking that the San Francisco Board of Supervisors take emergency action to defund the SFPD and shift investment to long-term safety strategies for Black communities, such as education, mental health support, local restorative justice services, and employment programs. More officers, guns, jails and prisons are not a solution to longstanding problems of racial disparities, injustice, and police violence.

Something needs to change. We need to overhaul the way that we protect and support communities. Isn't that what the police is for? The first step is to defund the SFPD.

Thank you kindly for your time.
Warm Regards,
Claire

Claire Neumann, LMSW
Let's be plastic-free in 2020, BABY!
www.ClaireNeumann.com
510 858 6687



100 things you can do: <https://myplasticfreelife.com/plasticfreeguide/>

Bring your own bag

Put the gum & sponges you just bought at CVS *in your purse*.

Read about plastic pollution, it's BAD: <http://plastic-pollution.org/>

<https://ourworldindata.org/plastic-pollution>

Get a bamboo cutlery set

Get this instead of plastic wrap (it's compostable): <https://www.shopetee.com/>

Think about everything that you use...where will it go?

Is it *recyclable*? Can you *compost* it? Can you *reuse* it?

Invest in sustainable clothing brands <https://www.thegoodtrade.com/features/fair-trade-clothing>

Not fast fashion!

<https://www.nytimes.com/2019/09/03/books/review/how-fast-fashion-is-destroying-the-planet>

From: [Ariela Simerman](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [IMPORTANT] Demand to Defund the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 5:41:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers
-

My name is Ariel Simerman, and I am a resident and **native** of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police brutality, an end to systemic racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been an utter waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans, and improving public education.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

- Ariel Simerman
arielasimerman@gmail.com

From: [Nana Miyashita](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SFPD budget considerations -- we can do better.
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 5:58:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Nana Miyashita, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Nana Miyashita

258 Guerrero St.
San Francisco, CA 94103

nanamiya29@gmail.com

2154321041

From: [Ahladini Veerina](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: We Demand Care Not Cops
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 6:01:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ahladini Veerina, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Ahladini Veerina

From: micalwoldemichael@gmail.com
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins; [StevonCook](#); JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu
Subject: End Police Brutality in SF & the USA!!!
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 6:07:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Mical and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Mical Woldemichael

1310 Fillmore St. SF, CA 94115

Micalwoldemichael@gmail.com

4157067740

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jessica Mantia](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Speaking out against anti-Blackness
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 6:12:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jessica Mantia, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jessica Mantia

2022 31st Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116
Jessica.mantia@gmail.com
816-678-5037

From: [Daniel White](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 6:14:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Daniel, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Daniel White

228 divisadero street #2
San Francisco, CA 94117

205-335-1221

Sent from my iPhone

From: [bella](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: please defund the police!!!!
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 6:39:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Bella Paterson and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Bella Paterson
San Francisco
11th Grade

From: [Alex Rogala](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Divesting from racism
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 6:42:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Alex Rogala, and I am a resident of San Francisco. As the City develops its budget for 2021, I urge all our elected officials to interrogate how our municipal finances support and perpetuate racial violence. The SFPD budget needs to be slashed. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I urge you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors.

Sincerely,

Alex Rogala
250 Page St, Apt 8
San Francisco, CA 94102

From: [Sujay Mahajan](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Trailblazing Justice and Social Change
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:00:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Sujay Mahajan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

Regards,
Sujay Mahajan
282A Clipper St,
San Francisco.
Sujaymahajan@gmail.com
6177589910

From: [Chloe Gasparini](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND SAN FRANCISCO POLICE
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:03:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Chloe Gasparini, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Chloe Gasparini

Chloecgasparini@gmail.com

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

From: [Kate Caldwell](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund police NOW
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:15:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kate Caldwell, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kate Caldwell

190 San Carlos Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

kcaldwell5566@gmail.com

(706) 814-0486

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Gmail](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:16:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Mallory Adiego, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Mallory Adiego

294 Grand View Ave, SF

415-866-5272

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Deirdre Cerminaro](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the police, refund social services
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:36:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Deirdre Cerminaro, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Deirdre Cerminaro
374 29th Street
San Francisco CA
94131

From: [Jason Cardona](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund PD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:53:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jason Cardona and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

JASON CARDONA

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Lauren Williamson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police: Put funding where it's truly needed in SF
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:54:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Lauren, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lauren Williamson
1200 14th Ave, San Francisco, CA 94122
laurencristinewilliamson@gmail.com
650-740-2968

From: [Abbygayle Baker](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DEFUND SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:55:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dead Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Abbygayle, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. ***While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city.*** Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Abbygayle

From: [Francia Tuballa](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocate funding for SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:58:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Francia Olegario and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Francia Olegario

182 Concord St
San Francisco CA 94112

Francia.tuballa@gmail.com

(415)350-0885

From: [Mano Marks](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the police!
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 7:59:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Manuel Marks, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Manuel Marks
Mano.marks@gmail.com
4154890259

From: [Chelsea Davis](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocate SFPD funds to community resources
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 8:06:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Chelsea Davis, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Davis

94110

From: mayarladenheim@aol.com
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins; [StevonCook](#); JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaauguMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu
Subject: Defund the police
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 8:35:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Maya Ladenheim, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action.

Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Maya Ladenheim
622 Presidio Ave.
San Francisco, CA
mayarladenheim@aol.com
650-492-1782

From: [Katie Schowengerdt](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND THE POLICE-- RACIST WASTE OF RESOURCES
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 8:42:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Katie Schowengerdt, and I am a resident of San Francisco.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. Furthermore and more importantly, the racist institution of the police force is rotten to the core, and we must shift resources toward productive safety measures as quickly as possible.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Katie Schowengerdt
1841 Scott Street
San Francisco, CA 94115
818-269-5115

From: [Lena Mitchell](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 8:59:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is [insert your name], and I am a resident of [insert your city/state]. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Lena

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Saniya Bonde](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Support social services in SF
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 9:01:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Saniya Bonde. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Saniya Bonde

From: [Hannah Stombler-Levine](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the police, please
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 9:19:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Hannah Stombler-Levine, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Hannah Stombler-Levine
1240 Grove Apartment 104
San Francisco CA 94117

5302205490
Hannah.s.levine@gmail.com

--

Best,

Hannah Stombler-Levine
c: 530 220 5490 | e: hannah.s.levine@gmail.com | w: hslcreative.co

Join me as a San Francisco CASA volunteer, helping abused and neglected children in foster care. Go to www.HelpFosterChildren.com.

From: [Jamie Reeves](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Police Concerns
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 9:23:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mayor and City Council of San Francisco,

I am calling for the defunding of the police.

Defunding the Police > Police Reform

The way to reduce police violence is to reduce the scope, size, and role of police in our communities.

Why would we continue to throw money away at something we already know is not working?

We need those resources in schools, toward our health, and for our futures.

Signed,
Jamie Reeves

From: [Kate Bellantoni](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: 2021 budget
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 9:36:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kate Bellantoni, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Kate Bellantoni

From: [Yeah Yeah](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 9:50:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jennifer Cowles and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Cowles

2154 17th ave

jenncowles@yahoo.com

(415)374-6075

From: [Ana-Gabriela Barrera](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Action Items
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 9:51:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is Ana-Gabriela Barrera, and I am a resident of San Francisco, CA. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,

Ana-Gabriela Barrera

From: [Charif Shanahan](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Your help and leadership
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 10:01:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Charif Shanahan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Charif Shanahan

1171 Bush Street, #8
San Francisco, CA 94109

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Kayleigh Rohrbach"s](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reduce SFPD Budgets
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 10:12:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kayleigh, and I am a resident of the Bay Area. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kayleigh Rohrbach
lilypad577@yahoo.com

From: [Tracy Snyder](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: City budget request
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 10:56:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers
My name is Tracy Snyder, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Tracy Snyder

47 Palm Ave
San Francisco, CA

From: [Karla Santamaria](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 10:59:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Karla. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Karla Santamaria

925-771-4425

From: [Meredith Heller](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: defund police, focus on equity
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 11:21:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Meredith Heller, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD is wasting our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Meredith Heller
1251 Ulloa St
San Francisco, CA 94116

merheller@gmail.com

From: [Francia Tuballa](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 11:50:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is Francia Olegario, and I am a resident of San Francisco. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Francia Olegario

From: [Yeah Yeah](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 11:53:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman, My name is Jennifer Cowles. I am a resident of the Inner Sunset district, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few. As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change. (With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).) I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression. Sincerely, Jennifer Cowles

From: [Lizzie Allen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:05:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Lizzie. I am a resident of Sea Cliff, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Allen

From: [Shannon Rudd](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: A call for Reconsideration of SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:19:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello to Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers-

I am Shannon Rudd, and I am a resident of San Francisco. As you know this past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America.

Our beautiful city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Shannon Rudd

shannonrudd17@gmail.com

From: [Leslie Primack](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SFPD Resources Better Spent Elsewhere
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 7:58:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Leslie Primack, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Leslie Primack
571 Valencia St, Apt 2
San Francisco, 94110

From: [Reed Idlewild](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: What are our priorities?
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 7:59:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Gerald Reed Kennedy, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Gerald Reed Kennedy
62 Washburn St, San Francisco, CA
reed@notfine.com
415-425-2393

From: [Kaitlin Doolittle](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND San Francisco PD NOW!
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:14:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kaitlin, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kaitlin D.

From: [Josephine Lee](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:37:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Josephine Lee, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. **Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869**, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. I am appalled. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to **slash the SFPD budget** and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only you have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Josephine Lee
joslee22@gmail.com

From: [Veronica Irwin](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:40:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Veronica Irwin, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Veronica Irwin
2202 22nd. St. San Francisco

From: [Annie Nussbaum Droste](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SF Police Budget
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:43:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Annie Droste, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Annie Droste

4495 25th St, Unit B, SF, CA, 94114

annienussbaum@gmail.com

301-651-9213

From: [Zoe Feist](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Constituent Calling For Change
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:54:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Zoe Feist, and I am a resident of San Francisco. The time for reform is **now**, and it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as the Black Lives Matter and racial justice protests continue.

Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. This is an extraordinary budget for any department, much less law enforcement. Is this necessary? I think not. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. I call on you to reallocate much of that budget to other programs that will better benefit the population, especially those at risk. **Especially** the homeless population.

I demand that the SFPD budget be slashed and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

As we have so many times before, we can be a beacon for other cities to follow **if only we have the courage to change.**

Sincerely,

Zoe Feist

1702 Filbert Street, San Francisco, CA 94123

zoej230@gmail.com

(209) 256-3670

From: [Erica Munson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please Read- A Call to Action
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:19:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Erica Munson, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Erica Munson

From: [Lila Gamble](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:21:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Lila, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lila Gamble

14 Norwood Ave

lilagamble2003@gmail.com

(415)991-9062

From: [Erik Squire](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please direct more funding into education, social services, etc. by taking a stand
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:24:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Erik Squire, and I am a Middle School teacher in San Francisco. This past couple of weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has too much of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to make thoughtfully made, deep, and necessary cuts to the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards funding for education, community resources, social services, and solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Erik Squire

8th Grade ELA Teachereriktxsquire@gmail.com

From: [Anne Ording](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please redistribute police funding
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:28:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Anne Ording, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of some of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Anne Ording
1425 Taylor Street, Apt 305
San Francisco, CA 94133
ording.anne@gmail.com
415-673-4974

From: [Halid Ibrahimovic](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Let's invest more in our communities, especially the homeless and less in policing
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:34:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Halid Ibrahimovic, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These last few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Halid Ibrahimovic

179 Flood Avenue

halidjr@yahoo.com

415-722-3014

From: [Julia Bersin](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Help defund SF police
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:41:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Julia, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Julia Bersin
2335 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco

--

Julia Bersin
julia.bersin@gmail.com
<http://www.linkedin.com/in/juliabersin>
510.882.1188

From: [Gabriella Skarka](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Shift Funding from Police to Homelessness Initiatives
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:41:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Gabriella Skarka, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen sufficient improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I have appreciated your commitment to addressing homelessness in our city. I call on you to reduce the SFPD budget and instead use those resources towards solving **homelessness**, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Gabriella Skarka
415-328-8689

From: [Brittany Falkowski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Thank you! Please keep up of the efforts!
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:43:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello!

My name is Brittany, and I am a resident of Pacific Heights, San Francisco.

Thank you for taking the recent steps to reallocate police resources towards our underserved communities, while also focusing police responses on criminal disturbances.

I am emailing to ask city officials to please *continue* to reject any proposed budget that increases taxpayer spending on police while cutting funding for important social and community projects. I am asking that city officials lobby the same amount of attention and effort towards finding sustainable, long term change.

Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,

Brittany Falkowski
1980 Washington Street, Apt 403
San Francisco, CA 94109

--

Brittany Falkowski
415.827.6177
brit.falkowski@gmail.com

From: [Rosie Schubsda](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defubding SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:47:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Rosie Schubsda and I am a resident of San Francisco Bay Area. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Rosie Schubsda

rosie.schubsda@gmail.com

From: [Wenting Xu](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please defund SFPD immediately and reinvest in the city
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:54:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Tina, and I am a resident of San Francisco. I currently live in Mission Bay. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. As the 2021 budget is being actively worked on, I'm calling for significant defunding to SFPD, and equally significant investment in public health, public transit, education, homelessness, and mental health resources. I'm sending this email again to make sure it is not caught by any filters.

SFPD is simply a sink of SF's resources, especially given a global pandemic. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police with limited reprimand.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

SF has always prided itself in being progressive and I believe it can be an exemplary model for other cities to follow if we push for change.

Sincerely,

Tina Xu
718 Long Bridge Street, 117, San Francisco, CA, 94158

--

Tina Xu

From: [Christine Moore](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Use SFPD Resources for Homelessness, Mental Health, and Affordable Housing in SF
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:05:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Christine Moore, and I am a resident of San Francisco. Over the past few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Christine Moore

christinemooore444@gmail.com

925-451-5707

From: [Marcos Castro](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:16:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is Marcos Castro, and I am a resident of San Francisco California born & raised. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of Mario Woods, Oscar Grant, George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Marcos Castro

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Kelsey Gerber](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:16:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

[YOUR NAME]

[YOUR ADDRESS]

[YOUR EMAIL]

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Kelsey Forstrom](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Cut the SFPD Budget
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:19:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kelsey Forstrom, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Forstrom
503-807-5171

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Amelie L'Etoile-Goga](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:24:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Amelie , and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Amelie L'Etoile-Goga

From: [Margaret Soderholm](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Justice Now
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:25:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Margaret Soderholm, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Margaret Soderholm

33 Clayton St

masoderholm@gmail.com

650-730-0687

From: [Alison Molchadsky](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Call to Rethink Budget in SF
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:33:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Alison Molchadsky and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Alison Molchadsky

From: [Destiny Medina](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Concern in our city
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:48:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Destiny Medina, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Destiny Medina

SF,CA

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Melina Clark](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:53:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Melina, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Melina Clark

From: [Sophia Harrell](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Change the World
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:59:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Sophia Harrell, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Sophia Harrell

2730 Lawton Street
San Francisco
ca 94122

Phia2017@gmail.com

415-728-3323

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Samantha Alvarez](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocate wasted SFPD Funding to homelessness funding
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:15:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Samantha, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. While I want to congratulate the mayor on her steps to invest in the black community and to stop SFPD from responding to some non criminal 911 calls but so far this is just a commitment and we must have a budget that reflects the needs of the community not the needs of the police union.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Samantha Alvarez

850 Stanyan St. Apt. 3 94117

samanthaalvarez1@gmail.com

(408) 476-9041

From: [molly b livingston](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please budget money for families and schools instead of cops!
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:27:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear London,

I recently had to move my family away from the city because we could no longer afford to live be there, but I'm a San Franciscan in my heart. I wanted to reach out to you to ask you to please consider reallocating funds from the SF budget from the police force to programs to help families stay and flourish in the city. Affordable housing and money for schools will bring so much to San Francisco. Families and artists will be able to thrive again.

Please consider the good it could do. Cops get paid so much more than school teachers! Teachers and social workers are what we need, not cops.

Sincerely,

Molly

P.S. when you were running for Mayor, on election night, when we went to vote (for you!) at Roosevelt middle school, we saw you chatting with constituents. It made my heart sing to see you. Thank you.

From: [Suzie Pedersen](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD and Solve Homelessness
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:29:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Suzie Pedersen, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Suzie Pedersen
1314 Taylor St
suziepedersen@yahoo.com

From: [Ellen Popejoy](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund Police in SF!
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:35:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ellen Popejoy, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ellen

[YOUR ADDRESS]

[YOUR EMAIL]

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Paul Axelrod](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Make San Francisco Safer for Black Communities
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:35:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Paul Axelrod, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

Funding for SFPD has wasted many of our limited resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see many wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to redirect significant portions of the SFPD budget to create new ways of creating peaceful neighborhoods without relying on law enforcement officers.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Paul Axelrod
88 Clairview Court
psaxelrod@gmail.com

From: [Sophie Bobadilla](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SFPD Budget Reform
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:38:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Sophie Bobadilla, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Sophie Bobadilla

sobobadilla@s.fusd.edu
Sophie.bobadilla@yahoo.com

(415) 305-7884

--

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu <<mailto:gafe@t.sfusd.edu>> and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Ellen Popejoy](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:39:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ellen Popejoy, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ellen Popejoy

1029 Judah Street
SF, CA, 94122
Ellenipopejoy@gmail.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Wendy Wong](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: We need change
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:47:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Wendy Wong and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

[YOUR NAME]

[YOUR ADDRESS]

[YOUR EMAIL]

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

Sent from my iPhone

From: circole@yahoo.com
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins; StevonCook; JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu
Subject: Priorities
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:57:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Circole Beye, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Circole Beye

320a 14th st
San Francisco, CA 94103

Circole@yahoo.com

415-549-6690

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Taylor Stephens](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Gabrielalopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: We must rid SF of the SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:10:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Taylor Stephens and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We should also hire more trained social workers who can do much more positive work for those dealing with domestic abuse, mental illness, and other calls for help in which the police are not the appropriate people to respond and often make the situation much worst.

Sincerely,

Taylor Stephens
218 Filbert St,
San Francisco, CA 94133
takstephe@gmail.com
650-484-7598

From: [Melia](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund Seattle PD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:29:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Melia Markham. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Melia Markham

From: darbymorganne@gmail.com
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins; [StevonCook](#); JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu
Subject: Defund San Francisco Police Dept.
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:43:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Darby McIlroy. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Darby McIlroy
darbymorganne@gmail.com
(805)315-5867

From: [Emely Sandoval](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 12:55:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is Emely Sandoval, and I am a resident of San Francisco, CA. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Emely Sandoval

PS: May we remind you that we put you into office to pass laws that benefit the entirety of the community.

From: [Michael Merino](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Police funding
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:06:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Michael Merino, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Michael Merino

2928 Vicente Street, San Francisco, CA, 94116

mmerino13@gmail.com

925-305-0774

From: [Laine Reigh](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Budget Review Analog
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:08:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Katy, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see the wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Or we can be in the headlines as the "Progressive" City that Failed to address the issues.

Sincerely,

Katy

277 Golden Gate Ave.

Gidgit2250@gmail.com

1-650-281-2817 (Call me for real)

From: [Elizabeth Ricardo](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Allocating City Funds
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:15:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Elizabeth Ricardo, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

In 2019, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city.

In addition to police reform, I call on you to redirect portions of the SFPD budget to underfunded antipoverty programs and essential social services, such as substance abuse counselors and mental health professionals. Apply some of the SFPD's budget towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. I implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Ricardo

From: [Jonathan Burg](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:28:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jonny Burg, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jonny Burg

1222 Revere Ave, Apt C 94124

jburg@mail.ccsf.edu

From: [Janani Krishnan-Jha](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Saving Lives
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:30:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Janani Krishnan-Jha, and I am a resident of the San Francisco Bay Area. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. Additionally, community members exercising their right to protest have been subject to further police violence.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the city's general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. We need to do better.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Janani Krishnan-Jha
3685 Ralston Avenue, Hillsborough, CA 94010
jkrishnanjha@college.harvard.edu
(650) 430-8166

From: [Linda](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:53:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is Linda Vi, and I am a resident of San Francisco. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Linda Vo

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Stephanie Brown](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please re-allocate SFPD funds now!
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:54:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Stephanie Brown, and I am a resident of San Francisco. Over the past 2 weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

STEPHANIE BROWN

3579 19TH STREET APT 1

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

BROWN.STEPHANIE.R@GMAIL.COM

(412) 779-1110

From: [Marti Deans](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Public Comment on the SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:54:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Martha Deans, and I am a resident of San Francisco in District 7. These past weeks, our nation has seen extensive and unending protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police violence and lack of accountability, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate change to the systemic oppression Black people face everyday in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

I called into the Police Commission meeting earlier this week, and heard only calls for defunding and abolishing the SFPD in favor of bolstering social programs that help our mentally ill, homeless, and disenfranchised populations. There was one caller, from my district I'm sad to admit, who called in to say otherwise and I have to say, we live in an extremely white and wealthy area of San Francisco sheltered from much of the occurrences of violence the police commit against Black and brown members of our community. Respectfully, he is ignorant, whether willfully or not, and I hope you can see through statements like this by our rich white community members who this systematic racism and violence is built to serve.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to **significantly lower** the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

Please continue to push San Francisco towards justice and peace for all its citizens, as we have so often done in the past.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Martha Deans
427A Joost Ave, San Francisco, CA 94127
martideans@gmail.com
(425)533-1829

From: [Darcy Yee](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Redistributing SF funds
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:54:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Darcy Yee, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action - another reason why I'm proud to live in San Francisco. In addition, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

I don't agree with the attention and prioritization of SFPD when it comes to our city's financial resources. **Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869**, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. I am frustrated and disappointed. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to **drastically cut the SFPD budget** and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness and mental health, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only you have the courage to change. I appreciate your time.

Best,
Darcy

From: [Thomas Churchill](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Action: Defund the San Francisco Police!
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 2:36:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Thomas Churchill, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Thomas Churchill

829 22nd St, San Francisco, CA, 94107
twchurchill18@gmail.com
704-439-6459

From: [Shiloh Atkinson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD, Redirect Funds to Social Welfare
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 2:39:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Shiloh Atkinson, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Shiloh Atkinson

200 Edgehill Way 94127

shiloh.atkinson@aol.com

415-994-3240

From: [Annie Nussbaum](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 2:44:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Annie Nussbaum, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Annie Nussbaum

1539 Haight Street
San Francisco, CA 94117
annie.m.nussbaum@gmail.com
(530) 518-1499

From: [Micaela Healy](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 2:58:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Micaela Healy, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Micaela Healy

Inner Sunset, SF, CA
Omgtwss@gmail.com

From: [Devin Kapalla](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND SFPD NOW
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:01:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Devin Kapalla, and I am a California resident and former resident of the Bay Area. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Devin Kapalla

From: [Rohit Nambiar](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please consider defunding the police and eventually abolishing the police
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:06:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Rohit Nambiar, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past month, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Rohit Nambiar

1144 Pine St

rohitdotnambiar@gmail.com

323-365-6265

From: [Achira Sheth-Shah](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Invest in our communities instead of more policing!
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:12:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Achira Sheth-Shah, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Achira Sheth-Shah
828 Central Ave
achira.shethshah@gmail.com
408324401

From: [maurizio risolino](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: De-fund the police
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:15:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Maurizio Risolino, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Maurizio Risolino
2367 15th Ave
San Francisco CA 94116
maurizio.risolino@gmail.com
6465178509

From: [Haley Rodriguez](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: No justice, no peace
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:26:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Haley Rodriguez, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Haley Rodriguez

From: [Ajshia Najafian](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Re-Allocate Police Funding 2021
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:26:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Ajshia Najafian, and I am a tax-paying resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a poor allocation of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to forego the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ajshia Najafian
480.326.0946
ajshia.najafian@gmail.com

From: [Zane Stokesberry](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:34:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is Zane Stokesberry, and I am a resident of San Francisco. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,

Zane

From: [Rachel Struxness](#)
Subject: Calling on you to take action on behalf of San Francisco
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:35:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor London Breed, the SF Board of Supervisors, and SF Elected Officers:

I am a resident of San Francisco. This past month, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action.

While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. In cities like Eugene Oregon, there have been proven successful partnerships between mental health resources like the White Bird Clinic and the Eugene Police, as recently reported by [NPR](#) (and I saw firsthand while living there).

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Rachel

From: [Alexa DeVita](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Our City, ReAllocate Resources For SF!
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:45:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Alexa DeVita and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Alexa DeVita

5175 Diamond Heights Blvd, #123, San Francisco, CA, 94131

Alexa.v.devita@gmail.com

815.670.6261

--

Alexa DeVita

From: [Kelsey Bonnen](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Desperate change is needed
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:06:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kelsey Bonnen, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and immediate reform in how people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. We need to put more money in schooling so people will have the tools they need to grow and thrive. The TL district in SF is a shame on this beautiful city that should have been taken care of decades ago. The divide in are city has to stop. We need to help are fellow humans by uniting. No one should be left behind.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards school's and solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.
This time we need to make a solid plan and follow through. Even if then men the people at the top make less money.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Bonnen
San Francisco CA 94117

From: [Lucy Hamilburg](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:15:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers
My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change. Sincerely, [YOUR NAME] [YOUR ADDRESS] [YOUR EMAIL] [YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

From: [Daniel Olarte](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the police
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:23:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Daniel Olarte, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Daniel Olarte

363 Hermann St, San Francisco, CA

olartesa@gmail.com

415 6047699

From: [E Booth](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: We can do better
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:23:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Emily, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Emily Booth
733 4th Ave
SF, CA 94117
emdbooth@gmail.com
6072153481

--

Emily Booth

From: [Shaye Weldon](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please reallocate police funds to social services!
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:24:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Shaye Weldon and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Shaye Weldon
1587 15th St, San Francisco 94103
shaye.weldon@gmail.com
845-542-7766

From: [Hannah Richards](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please Put Our Money To Better Use
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:24:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Hannah, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Hannah Richards

hannahdrichards@gmail.com

602-695-5488

From: [Gabrielle Spano](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: WE NEED TO DO BETTER!
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:42:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Gabrielle, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Gabrielle Spano

From: [Ilyse Magy](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: San Francisco can do better.
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:45:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ilyse MAGY and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ilyse Magy
(248) 514-4688
iimagy@gmail.com
1327 Hampshire St, San Francisco CA 94110

From: [Conner Evans](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:46:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Conner Evans, and I am a resident of Hayes Valley. These past few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Conner

From: [James Defebaugh](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SFPD Budget
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:55:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is James Defebaugh, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

James Defebaugh
45 Bartlett
San Francisco CA
94110

From: [andrew richdale](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: Asking that you defund the SFPD and house people experiencing homelessness
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 5:14:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

My name is Andrew Richdale, and I am a resident of San Francisco, District 8.

On top of the egregious and well documented instances of police brutality in California, I was outraged to learn in the Chronicle today that over half of use-of-force cases used by the local police last year were against Black residents. I'm asking that you defund this unnecessarily large and expensive force and reimagine other programs that better serve the needs of our community along with reinvesting in our parks, libraries, and schools, which lose talented teachers every year because of pay that makes it impossible to live in this city.

I'm also calling on you to redirect resources to housing programs. It's inhumane that we have ample space, including empty hotel rooms, in SF to house humans but instead watch as they suffer on the streets with inadequate support.

I look forward to your action and change in the coming months—and to voting and campaigning for candidates who support defunding the SFPD in the next election.

Andrew

From: [Jennifer Linares](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: The police do not protect the community
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 5:19:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

[YOUR NAME]

[YOUR ADDRESS]

[YOUR EMAIL]

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [David Lara](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Advocate for better services to our San Francisco community.
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 5:20:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is David Lara, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests

Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

David Lara

1898 Palou Ave , San Francisco CA 94124

dalara135@gmail.com
(415)724-0478

From: [Rachael Gray](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund them so that we can improve the system!
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 6:54:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Rachael Gray, and I am a long-time resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD as it currently stands is a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

San Francisco needs to be a leader in this! We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change! Please help our city lead the way for reform!

Sincerely,
Rachael Gray
508 Scott St #9
San Francisco CA 94117

From: [Winnie Wang](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Black Lives Matter
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 6:57:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Winnie, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Winnie

2182 27th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94116

(415) 342-9836

E-mail: wang.winnie26@gmail.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Irene Culhane](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Addressing SFPD 2021 Budget
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 7:34:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Irene Xavier,

and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Irene Xavier

774 Madrid St. SF, CA

irenemc4@gmail.com

From: [Veronica Eghdami](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Resident for Defunding the SFPD
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 7:48:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Veronica Eghdami, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see the wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. It's ludicrous that 600 million is only 5% of our budget and yet we cannot help the houseless off the streets.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Veronica Eghdami

1528 Moraga St., San Francisco, CA 94116

veronicaeghdami98@gmail.com

(650) 670-5030

From: [Camila 1](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: A Plea to Defund the San Francisco Police Department
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:11:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To San Francisco Elected Officers, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and Mayor London Breed

My name is Camila Hernandez, and I live in San Francisco. The past two weeks, nationwide protests challenging racism, anti-blackness, and violence perpetuated by police has been met with even more brutality and excessive force at the hands of police. Police officers are given budgets worth billions of dollars that allow them to not only harass and over-police black and brown communities, but allow them to use tools of war to repress protestors. As these protests continue, the budget for 2021 is being decided.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. SFPD has received more funds than the Recreation and Parks Department, Homeless Services, Public Libraries, and Workforce Development *combined*. While police are given all the resources they need to hurt our communities, first responders have to work with minimal protective equipment and teachers consistently have to buy their own supplies. This is unacceptable.

While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful, harmful, and deadly actions caused by your police. Police do not protect us. Police actively work against the safety of low income and communities of color. Policing is not a solution to public health or social issues. It is long overdue to prioritize and redirect the city's funds away from an overinflated police budget, and towards community health and wellbeing.

I call on you to cut the budget of the SFPD and move towards defunding them entirely. Instead, use those billions of dollars towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

I urge you to invest in your community and do the right thing,

Camila Hernandez

1114 Persia Avenue

hernandez.camila1997@gmail.com

415-240-5742

From: [Melissa Kroe](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: FUND YOUR PEOPLE
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:41:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Melissa Kroe and I am writing you today to implore you to defund your overinflated and unjust police department and, for once, fund your people. The only correlation that comes with increased police presence is increased police brutality. Now that the people are speaking up and being heard, you are probably realizing that this country fears the very people who are undertrained and overpaid to “protect” them. You inflate the police department’s budget so as to militarize your police when a mere fraction of crime is violent. Have you considered preventing crime? Or, at the very least, using the ridiculous amount of money you set aside for guns on housing, education, or mental health resources instead? It’s time you stand on the right side of history. For once, listen to your people and fund the resources that allow your underprivileged people and people of color to thrive. Your cops don’t need a cent more. Please consider this.

Best,
Melissa Kroe

From: [Kelsey Gottschalk](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocate police funding now
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:20:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear city leaders,

First I would like to **thank you** for your early action and decisive leadership in keeping our city safe during the COVID19 crisis.

I know the city budget is under a lot of pressure across the board, but **are 10% cuts from every department equitable or just equal?**

Let's not lose this unique opportunity to change the way we invest in our communities.

I work at an education nonprofit with a long track record of providing free books, learning resources and education to young children and families in underserved SF neighborhoods. We are small but mighty and serve over 6,000 children under age 5 in San Francisco every year. We just lost 200,000 dollars of funding from the city and county, forcing us to

- freeze our efforts to hire a community organizer in Bayview/Hunter's Point
- and give up on plans to purchase 10,000 books to build families' libraries at home while child care is less accessible than ever

I'm not asking for our funding to be reinstated. I wouldn't prioritize us ahead of **raising preschool teachers' salaries to a living wage** while they are working in even more dangerous, exhausting conditions than usual.

I'm just asking:

What could our police department do with \$200,000 that will do more to improve outcomes for those 6,000 children and families?

Kelsey
Proud San Francisco native and voter

From: [Gina Gacad](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:23:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

The protests nationwide are calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America, and disappointingly, SF is a huge part of that action. Moreover, the 2021 budget is apparently in talks now.

SFPD is and always has been a waste of our resources. We've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, but haven't seen any improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we our police violate their supposed oath to protect and abuse citizens like absolute garbage.

Slash the SFPD budget and throw resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans and need your leadership and advocacy now. Give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

Do your citizens right.

Sincerely,
Gina

509 Randolph Street
San Francisco, CA 94132

From: [Justice Hsiung](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Diversify our budget and defund the police
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:25:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Justice, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

On top of that, we need to responsibly redistribute these resources into diversifying how we handle situations and away from having the police handle everything with militarized equipment.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Justice Hsiung

Soulphalanx@icloud.com

Sent from my iPad

From: [Sarah Fingerhood](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: From a concerned resident
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:07:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Sarah Fingerhood. San Francisco has been my home for my entire life and I am proud to be from such a historically important and progressive city.

This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. But I can't help but notice that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I beg you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. I want you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Sarah Fingerhood

Sarah Fingerhood

University of Pennsylvania | Class of 2022
B.A. in Communications | Minor in Statistics
(415) 971-3841 | sarahfin@sas.upenn.edu

From: [Rachael Salisbury](#)
To: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Police & Sheriff's Department Budgets
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:39:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening Budget & Appropriations Committee,

I'm a San Francisco resident living in the Haight, and I'm writing with regard to the Proposed Interim Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for Departments - FYs 2020-2021 and 2021-2022, on your docket for next Wednesday's committee meeting. I am unfortunately unable to make the public comment period, so I ask that this email be entered into the record in lieu.

I am deeply concerned that Mayor London Breed's proposed budget for the next two years hand over an additional \$57 million increase (FY'20-FY'21) and \$144 million (FY'20-FY'22) to the SFPD and Sheriff.

Especially in light of the global pandemic, our funds should be going to better address the needs of our most vulnerable—not to an increased police state. I implore you to not only decline to approve these increases, but to reverse them and decrease the police's budget. That money can be better used for mental health services, housing, and outreach to the homeless. While our Black friends and neighbors are calling out for changes to a system born out of slave patrols, we can show them that we hear their cries for justice, and allocate funds not to increased policing, but to community courts and restorative justice programs.

Tell me—how can we justify cutting the Community Health organizational group budget by \$1.7 million next year when we're in the midst of a community health crisis? How can we cut the Homelessness Services department by \$74.8 million when the city's most vulnerable are more vulnerable than ever? While we're scrimping and saving and no doubt laying people off to cover \$617.3 million in cuts from the total budget this year, why do the police and sheriff's departments get an additional \$57 million?

None of this should be the case, and you are in power to do something about it. San Francisco wants to think of itself as a trendsetter on social justice—let's lead on this.

Regards,
Rachael Salisbury

From: [Sho Morikawa](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please defund the police
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 10:50:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Sho Morikawa, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

I believe in San Francisco's progressive leadership in this country. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Sho Morikawa
(225) 620-4522

Sho Morikawa
pronouns: he/him/his

From: [Bonifacio Salvador](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:14:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

[YOUR NAME]

[YOUR ADDRESS]

[YOUR EMAIL]

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Sam Ostrin](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD and Fund SF Education
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:15:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Samuel Ostrin, and I am a resident of San Francisco and a researcher at UCSF. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, education, healthcare availability, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans, and helping educational programs, which is felt most by the black students in the education system. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing a lack in educational resources opportunities to grow and learn to help stop this systemic racism.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change. I believe this is a real possibility and hope to see it in action.

Sincerely,

Samuel Ostrin
762 Pine St., San Francisco, CA, 94708
samostrin19@gmail.com
310-351-7161

From: [Ella Fino](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 12:34:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ella Fino, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ella Fino

ella94112@gmail.com

(415)769-0262

From: [Jonathan Moy](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please reallocate police funding
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 12:41:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jonathan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Moy
1600 15th Street, San Francisco CA 94103
moy.jonathan@gmail.com

From: [alicia garza](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 1:23:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is Alicia, and I am a resident of San Francisco, CA. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Alicia

From: [Aiko Sherman](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: MAKE SAN FRANCISCO SAFE AGAIN
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 2:05:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Aiko Sherman, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Aiko Sherman

aikosherman@gmail.com

9258131962

From: [Aaron Mayper](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund 12 NOW
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 6:58:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Aaron Mayper. I grew up in Berkeley and San Francisco, and now live in Brooklyn, NY.

I have always been proud of the Bay Area's history of progressive culture and policies, and I am calling on you all to make what could be one of the most important policy decisions that my generation would see: **to defund the SFPD, and to reallocate those funds to other civil services that will better serve the San Francisco community, and make a measurable impact in the fight against violent and systemic racism in the Bay Area.**

I demand that you take immediate and measurable action to:

- 1) Significantly reduce the SFPD's general funding
- 2) Discontinue entirely the use of general funds to pay for settlements due to police murder, misconduct, and negligent actions
- 3) Use those newly freed monies to invest in public health, public housing, education, and other vital services that are being neglected and which are necessary to keeping the San Francisco community safe

This is not a radical act--it's an objective view of what will actually improve the health and safety of the community.

You have the opportunity to once again make San Francisco and the Bay Area a flag bearer for progressive and rational policy. You have the opportunity to reduce death in the black community due to police murder. You have the opportunity to bring much needed funding to crucial community services, especially in the midst of this pandemic.

I urge you to act now.

--

Aaron Mayper
510-541-7938
Aaronmayper@gmail.com
[Cargocollective.com/aaronmayper](https://cargocollective.com/aaronmayper)

From: amabruzzo@gmail.com
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 7:51:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is Angela Abruzzese and I am a resident of San Francisco. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Angela Abruzzese

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Danielle Escalada](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Change for SF
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 7:52:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is danielle, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Danielle From Noe Valley

From: [kime saturn](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:34:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is [insert your name], and I am a resident of [insert your city/state]. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
[insert your name]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Elliott Alexander](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:42:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is [name]. I am a resident of [SF neighborhood], and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Elliott

Please excuse any typos, this message was sent from my iPhone.

From: [August Kreowski](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: I'm concerned for our community and our country
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:55:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is August, and I am a resident of San Francisco. Help me understand why the SFPD has been allocated \$611,701,869? In your opinion what are the benefits of funding police so much more than other programs in our city?

I believe the general public has the right to understand why we're spending such a great deal of money on the Police. Help us understand your strategy?

While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to mental health, housing, education and programs.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

We're on the cusp of change in two future realities. One of those realities is a police state, where democracy continues to crumble. Will you steady the course?

Sincerely,

August
706 48th AVE, SF
Augustkreowski@gmail.com
8057047553

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Brant Ozanich](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Restructuring SFPD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 9:23:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the Board of Supervisors, and other Elected Officers,

These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

I call on you to greatly reduce the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, food insecurity, lack of affordable housing, and inadequate public schooling. These issues are felt most by our less advantaged communities.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Brant Ozanich
2120 Larkin St, Apt 106
Brantozanich@gmail.com
415-666-6816

From: [Janine Watson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Redistribute police finds
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 9:49:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Janine Watson and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Janine Watson
1340 Lombard St #604
SF Ca 94109

Rowseysf@gmail.com

425-786-9294

From: [Claire Teresko](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Defund the San Francisco Police Department
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 10:05:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Fewer, Mandelman, and Walton;

I'm Claire Teresko, a resident of District 4 of San Francisco, and I'm emailing to ask you to make substantial cuts to the San Francisco Police Department. I understand that the mayor is implementing some reforms to the police, that the city is planning on directing noncriminal calls to another agency, and Supervisor Walton has worked with the Mayor to plan to redirect some funding from the SFPD towards agencies that support the black community in San Francisco. I think these are great first steps. I'm emailing mostly to ask how much funding you are planning on redistributing, and to ask that it be a substantial, and not superficial cut.

I believe that you need to make significant cuts to the San Francisco Police Department, and significant reinvestment in communities. I understand that this cannot happen overnight and that we need to work to develop other systems for dealing with safety issues and crime, but I am begging you to hear the people that we need substantial cuts. I suggest starting with a 40% or greater cut to the police department budget. If this amount of money were reinvested in drug treatment programs, housing for the homeless, job training programs, education, and other resources that support the community, that would be HUGE and would have a lasting positive effect on the community of San Francisco. While I personally believe that eventually greater cuts need to be made to the police department, I understand that we need to work to build systems for dealing with crime first, and I want to see the city start off with cuts that actually make a difference, which I believe means cuts that are over 40%.

Thank you for your time,
Claire Teresko

From: [Gabriella Terracciano](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Enough is enough
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 10:23:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Gabriella Terracciano, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. Please reconsider redistributing the millions of dollars handed to SFPD into low income housing, city clean up and public education.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Gabriella Terracciano

2120 Larkin Street apt 106 SF CA 94109

gabby.terracciano@gmail.com

(661)6003926

From: [Emily Nguyen](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund Police
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 10:26:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Emily Nguyen, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Emily Nguyen
emilynguyen038@gmail.com
4158418104

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Taylor D](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Changes to SFPD budget
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 10:45:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Taylor Denton, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Taylor Denton

3226 Ortega St.

tdenton313@me.com

From: [Taso Mullen](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SFPD budget
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 11:25:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Taso Mullen, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Taso Mullen

237 Webster st

tasomullen@gmail.com

415 697 4963

From: [Sravya Balasa](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reorganization of SFPD Budget
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 11:32:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Sravya Balasa. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Sravya Balasa
sbalasa@ucsd.edu
(510)-579-5446

From: [Kevin McDonald](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Urging You to Defund SFPD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 12:04:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Kevin, and I work in San Francisco. As a Black person, I've had almost exclusively negative interactions with the police and I've always remained fearful of what unprovoked action they may take against me in the name of "policing". These past few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. San Francisco has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

--

Sincerely,
Kevin McDonald

From: [Basically Belle](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 12:36:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is Belle Huang, and I am a resident of San Francisco. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Belle Huang

From: [Gabriella Samaha](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND THE POLICE
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 12:49:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Gabby, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Gabby Samaha

2428 14th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94116

gabby.e.samaha@gmail.com

(415) 519-5702

Sent from my iPhone

From: [\(null\) \(null\)](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Fund our communities for the better
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 1:22:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Wendy, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Wendy zhang

3500 moraga st, sf ca 94122

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Tristan Moser- Bleil \(US - ASR\)](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: This is an important time....
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 1:55:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Tristan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Tristan

SF resident

The information transmitted, including any attachments, is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited, and all liability arising therefrom is disclaimed. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is a Delaware limited liability partnership. This communication may come from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP or one of its subsidiaries.

From: [Heather Caruso](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 2:03:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Heather Caruso, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change. I LOVE THIS CITY AND KNOW WE CAN BE THE CHANGE for a brighter future.

Sincerely,

Heather Caruso

475 Union St
San Francisco, Ca 94133

boomcaruso@gmail.com

From: [Kat Flo](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 2:05:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is [insert your name], and I am a resident of [insert your city/state]. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Katherine J Flores

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Kaylin Lloyd](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Resident for Defunding the SFPD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 2:14:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kaylin Lloyd, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kaylin Lloyd
13 Wool st. San Francisco,ca 94110

Kaylindlloyd@gmail.com
3017107464

From: [Ron Cecchetti](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police.
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 2:26:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ronald Cecchetti, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to decrease the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ronald Cecchetti
2490 40th Ave. San Francisco CA

ronaldjcecchetti@gmail.com
520 981 4948

From: [Brian Olivares](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: End Police Brutality
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 3:59:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Brian Olivares and though I am not a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. San Francisco has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While there have been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, there has not been improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in San Francisco. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of the SFPD.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Brian Olivares

From: [elizabeth.castoria](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: We can do better
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 4:16:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Elizabeth and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Castoria

3783 20th St
SF, CA

bcastoria@gmail.com

From: [Ollie Feldman](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please defund SFPD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 4:21:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ollie Feldman, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Ollie Feldman
Resident of San Francisco's Excelsior District and Mission High School Graduate

From: [Rose Carr](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Important message please read!
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 4:28:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Rose Carr, and I am a life long resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Rose Carr
725 Euclid Ave. SF CA 94118
rcrocarr@gmail.com
415-308-9729

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Cori McElwain](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \[BOS\]](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#)
Subject: We are better off without militarized police
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 4:41:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, Supervisor Mandelman, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Cori, and I am a resident of San Francisco - District 8. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Cori McElwain
1018 Guerrero St.
San Francisco, CA, 94110

From: [Gunnar Johnson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: A letter from a concerned citizen
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 5:17:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Gunnar, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Gunnar Johnson

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jeske Dioquino](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: For the safety and wellness of our community
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 6:07:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jeske Dioquino, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jeske Dioquino
4524 Anza Street, San Francisco, CA 94121
jeskedioquino@gmail.com
415-519-9285

From: [Frida Ortiz](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 6:35:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Sofia and I am employed in San Francisco and a Bay Area native. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Sofia Ortiz

From: [Marina Wilson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Gabriela Lopez](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the SF Police--Invest in our Community
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 6:37:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Marina Wilson, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Marina Wilson
543 Lakeview Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94112

marinahopewilson@gmail.com
917-558-5914

From: [Natalie Kim](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Call to defund SFPD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 7:28:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the SF Board of Supervisors, and SF Elected Officers,

My name is Natalie Kim and I am a resident of San Francisco. It's clear to me and many others that we can improve SF and protect the lives and safety of so many Black residents by significantly defunding the police and investing in education and community programming.

We have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see waste and harm caused by our police department that severely impacts the Black community.

Please reallocate this funding towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We need to spend our public resources to help the people who need it most—NOT hurt and oppress them!

SF has been such a progressive city and example for so much social justice in the past, but this is where we have fallen short. I implore you to please rectify this gross injustice.

Sincerely,

Natalie Kim

1483 Sutter Street #418
San Francisco, CA 94109
Natalie.kim03@gmail.com
7033005391

From: [Gulniyal Guliniali](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 7:29:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is GT, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

GT

1550 Butterflied Road, San Anselmo, Marin, CA

From: [jilliantemple55](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: BLACK LIVES MATTER: DEFUND SAN FRANCISCO PD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 7:42:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jillian Temple, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jillian Temple
jilliantemple55@yahoo.com

From: [Kristin Li](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Move funds from our PD to our communities!
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 7:52:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Kristin Li, and I am a resident of San Francisco's Mission District. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. **Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action.** Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, **the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund.** While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, **we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city.** Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to **slash the SFPD budget** and instead **use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness**, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kristin Li
1030 York St, San Francisco
kristinli1197@gmail.com
410-292-2628

From: [Monica Perez](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:05:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

[YOUR NAME]

[YOUR ADDRESS]

[YOUR EMAIL]

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

Monica Perez
OR Supervisor
Own Recognizance (OR) Project
San Francisco Pretrial Diversion Project, Inc.

[236 8th St, Suite E](#)

San Francisco, CA 94103

O: 415 552 2202

F: 415 431 3658

www.sfpretrial.org

From: [Ryan Harrison](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fund COMMUNITY
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:20:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers
My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change. Sincerely,
Ryan Harrison

--

Shaky Hands Press
Oakland, CA
(505) 238-7152
shakyhandspress.com

From: [Elaine Elizabeth Walker](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: We can do better!
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:32:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Elaine Walker, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Elaine Walker

709 Frederick Street, SF, CA

eeewalker@gmail.com

415-622-8785

From: [Danielle Sweeney](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND THE POLICE
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 9:34:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Danielle Sweeney, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Danielle Sweeney

danielle_sweeney@mail.com

From: [Michelle Huang](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Hi from a 25 y/o in North Beach!
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 9:53:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My hope is that these notes offer you pause in their quantity and urgency. My name is Michelle Huang, and I am a resident of San Francisco. It is my privilege to live here, one block away from our North Beach police station, and feel no fear when I pass our officers on duty. I feel no fear because I am Biracial, but I am White-passing. I'm learning that the privileges I enjoy here are not because I live an honest life free of crime - but because I am assumed Good by the lightness of my skin. This has nothing to do with my efforts. The pain of by Black brothers sisters is no longer their pain to suffer alone. It is my pain. It is my duty to speak up. None of us are supported until all of us are supported.

These last few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city (our beautiful city!) has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the SF general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you, dear team, to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Michelle Huang
2 Fallon Place, SF
michellehuang@nyu.edu
michelle.huang@salesforce.com
646.620.9550

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Wynne Chan](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD and fund our homeless population
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 9:58:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Wynne Chan, and I am a resident in the Richmond district of San Francisco. I am writing to ask you to slash SFPD's budget for 2021, and to reinvest that money on our homeless population.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869. We've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

We are still in a pandemic. A majority of the homeless population in San Francisco have nowhere to go, yet instead of helping them get a hotel room or into a shelter, you have continued to shuffle them around the city, destroying their tents and personal property. Only 300 hotel rooms have been offered when over 3,600 people need beds. That's ridiculous.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget by 50% and redirect resources towards solving homelessness, which have been sidelined for far too many years. You cannot claim to care about San Francisco Residents, especially our Black residents, if you do not 1) commit to reducing police violence and resources through cutting funding to SFPD, and 2) invest in helping our displaced, homeless population get back on their feet.

It is shameful that we call ourselves a progressive city without commitment to changing our existing practices that continue to harm the people of this city.

Black lives matter, and homeless lives matter, too.

Sincerely,

Wynne Chan
544 6th Ave, SF, CA 94118
wynnechan0730@gmail.com
4157518118

From: [Veronica Reilly-Granich](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reduce funding for SFPD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 10:18:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Veronica Reilly-Granich, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has not been the best use of those resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Veronica Reilly-Granich
she/her/hers

5810 Mission Street, Apt 402
San Francisco, CA 94112
very23@gmail.com

*"All we have to decide is what to do with the time that is given us."
- J.R.R. Tolkien, The Fellowship of the Ring*

From: [Kapp Singer](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: PLEASE DEFUND SFPD NOW!!
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 10:30:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kapp Singer, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources! Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, public transportation, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. Almost all the functions of the police could be better done by a well-trained social worker.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. Additionally, COVID-19 will cause a huge transportation gap for many low-income families, many of which are families of color. Please allocate funds that would be used for the police to fund MUNI so people can get back to work.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kapp Singer
59 Presidio Blvd, San Francisco, CA 94129
singerkapp@gmail.com
415.350.1233

From: [Bitota Mpolo](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Resident for Defunding the SFPD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 10:54:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Bitota Mpolo, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Bitota Mpolo

San Francisco, California 94132

bitotampolo@gmail.com

(415)-509-4830

From: [Leila Etemad](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please, defund the SFPD and instead invest in our community
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 11:32:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Leila Etemad and I am a resident of Marin County. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Leila Etemad
52 Sunny Cove Dr.
Novato, CA 94949
415-786-0240
letemad@wesleyan.edu

From: [Melanie Blue](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 11:49:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Melanie Blue, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Melanie Blue

mel@melblueart.com

(415) 847-7075

From: [Tommy Nguyen](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Better use of funds
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 11:54:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Tom Nguyen, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Tom Nguyen

From: emilie.pichot@gmail.com
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins; [StevonCook](#); JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu
Subject: Please Defund the Police Department! If Minneapolis City Council can do it, we can!
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 2:27:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Emilie Pichot

From: [Ashley Hernandez](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 7:55:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ashley Hernandez, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ashley Hernandez

335 Emory Court, San Francisco CA

Ashleyhernandez1229@gmail.com

[707-304-4770]

From: [Jamie Park](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Allocating resources towards Homelessness
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:00:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jamie Park, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jamie Park

2864 22nd st.
San Francisco
94110

jamiepark92@gmail.com

510 898 8551

From: [Gmail](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:32:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Hedvy and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Hedvy Gregg

810 Hyde St. Apt. 201
San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Nick H](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Be on the right side of history
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 9:02:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Nico , and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Nico Hage

2787 Folsom

2092335402

From: [Dina Edell](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Defund the Police
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 9:50:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Dina Edell, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Dina Edell

2727 Irving St

dinaedell3@gmail.com

From: yass78@gmail.com
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins; StevonCook; JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 10:05:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Yass Sando and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Yass Sando

140 south van ness
San Francisco, ca 94103

[YOUR EMAIL]

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

From: [Sarah McCall](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Call to divest from SFPD in 2021
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 10:19:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Sarah McCall, and I am a resident of San Francisco. Recently, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Sarah McCall
1635 Florida Street, SF CA 94110
sarahjanemccall88@gmail.com
4159199662

From: [Ben Paul](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Completely defund SFPD
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 10:56:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Ben Paul. I live and work in SF. I live in District 5 (Hayes Valley) and work in the Financial District.

I am requesting complete defunding of the SFPD and reinvesting of those funds in Black communities. The Minneapolis City Council recently made the decision to abandon incremental police reform in favor of dismantling the police department and investing in systems that actually keep communities safe. We must do the same to ensure the safety and wellbeing of our communities.

We must invest in our communities instead of the police. The SFPD Budget is 2x larger than the Department of Children Youth and their Families, 3x what we spend on homelessness, 4x what we spend on libraries, 40x what we spend on grants to artists.

I know that ALL Departments are already being asked to cut 10% from their budget and an additional 5% the following year. This is not “defunding” the police department — we want REAL cuts and REAL investments for Black communities in San Francisco.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Thank you,
Ben Paul
235 Oak St Apt 21
San Francisco, CA 94102
benspaul@gmail.com
847-977-0688

From: [Kavi Rajesh](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: WE ARE NOT SILENT
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 11:15:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kavi Rajesh, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kavi Rajesh
Nightingale Avenue
rkavinaya@gmail.com

From: [Tara Rose](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: Tenderloin SF Resident Budget Comments
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 11:32:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I sent a form letter before, but sounds like for *some really weird* reason there's speculation about whether or not you actually receive/read those, so here I am, typing with my own words.

I'm writing to implore you to radically defund the SFPD or get rid of them altogether. It is time.

I'm writing this to you as a person who has lived in the Tenderloin for seven years now, just near the police station, and I gotta tell y'all, for all the money you put into SFPD, you'd figure they walk around the community curing cancer. I'm here to tell you that they do not.

In my seven years in this neighborhood, it'd be near impossible to find someone who lives here who actually has a good opinion of SFPD. I've seen countless officers hassling the houseless and intimidating people of color every chance they get. At least once or twice a night I witness the houseless on my block getting harassed by them for reasons that are simply beyond me.

The department is a disgrace, and you should be ashamed for funneling as much money as you have into them. The time for reform has come and gone. We need to defund, clean house, and start something new. As Camden, NJ proved [it can be done successfully](#).

Living in the Tenderloin, you know that I know that YOU KNOW that money can and should be put into community housing and mental health. It is an objective fact that the mental health of the houseless in this community and the greater SF area will never be improved by the police force. Do the right thing and put that money where it will make a difference.

Thank you for your time.

Cheers,

Tara Rose
238 Leavenworth Street
San Francisco, CA
memoirsoflintu@gmail.com
858-444-5861

From: [Kyra Slattery](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 11:48:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kyra Slattery, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Kyra Slattery

From: [Max Harper](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 12:12:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Max, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Max Harper

From: heatherglord@gmail.com
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins; StevonCook; JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu
Subject: Reallocate Police Funds
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 12:22:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Heather Lord and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Heather Lord

44 Carl St
San Francisco, CA 94117

Heatherglord@gmail.com

508-479-0388

From: [Katie Romero](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND SFPD, REFUND OUR COMMUNITY
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 12:27:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Katherine Romero, I am a law student at UC Hastings, and I am a resident of San Francisco.

Our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. San Francisco has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

Living in the Tenderloin, I have seen how SFPD and local government treats this community. We need to do better - we need to allocate funds, give money to community programs, and strip the police of their money and power.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

Defund SFPD, refund our communities!

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Katherine Romero
100 McAllister St.
ktmromero@gmail.com
510-435-6348

From: [Christopher Sattler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 12:33:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman, My name is Christopher Sattler. I am a resident of Potrero Hill and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few. As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change. (With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>)). I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Christopher Sattler, M.D.

From: [Cobrina Grieco](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Native SF Request
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 12:41:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Cobrina and I was born in San Francisco. I now live in Oakland, but I am writing on behalf of my love for my hometown and my many Black neighbors who live there, too. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Cobrina Grieco

From: [Molly Seligman](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the police. We need support not criminalization!
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 1:14:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Molly Seligman, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Molly Seligman

21 Vicksburg Street

SF, CA 94114

mollylas@alumni.stanford.edu

415 265 2196

From: [Kevin Li](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please consider defunding SFPD and moving funds to better public safety options!
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 1:15:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Kevin Li, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kevin Li
250 King Street, Unit 880, San Francisco, CA 94107
kev.yang.li@gmail.com
443-710-1386

From: [Brandon Weiss](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the police
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 1:40:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Brandon Weiss, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Brandon Weiss
420 Mission Bay Boulevard North, #303
San Francisco, CA 94158

From: [Lisa Beem](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Concern over SFPD role in our city's services and public safety
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 1:41:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Lisa Beem, and I am a resident of San Francisco. As a former City of San Francisco employee, I know that the budget process is coming to the Board of Supervisors soon. All over the country, there have been protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. The budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. We can only meaningfully be at the forefront of this movement by taking action and reflecting our values against anti-black racism by reflecting this in our budget.

Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city.

I call on you to half the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving social injustice in our city. We need to be piloting programs that are designed by, run by, and monitored by the people who are currently most impacted by homelessness, unemployment, violence, and domestic violence. These are the voices that should be meaningfully empowered to create alternatives to policing.

We can only meaningfully be at the forefront of this movement by taking action and reflecting our values against anti-black racism in our budget.

Sincerely,

Lisa Beem
3538 23rd St.
San Francisco, CA 94110
lahbeem@gmail.com
2067550221

From: [David Tran](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please redirect spending on SFPD towards fixing homelessness
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 1:42:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is David Tran, and I am a resident of San Francisco and a Bay Area native. These past few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

While I respect the work the fine individuals that comprise the SFPD have done even amidst protests where people are shouting profanities and obscenities at them, I urge the city to reconsider spending on SFPD. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city.

This is an issue near and dear to me. I spend time with members of these communities every week through my volunteer work with Back on My Feet, a nonprofit organization that combats homelessness. Last week, biking by City Hall, it broke my heart to see all of the tent cities, people filling water jars from stations set up at fire hydrants, and needles in the bike lanes. Again, while I see SFPD officers admirably doing great work and remaining calm while asking homeless individuals to relocate and dealing with a lot of anger directed their way, I truly hope there's a better way. There must be a better way.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

Sincerely,

David
767 Portola St., Unit D
San Francisco, CA 94129
dtran320@gmail.com
510-409-5879

David

[Read my latest posts](#)

From: [Alana Yoel](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Some thoughts as you are considering the 2021 budget
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 2:15:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Alana Yoel and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving other issues we are faced with in this city -- improving our youth education programs, providing more extensive mental health programs for our residents and improving our city's impact on climate change.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Alana Yoel

From: [Krista Hutchens](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: A chance to review policies and defund policies
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 2:16:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Krista Gaston, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Krista Gaston
2 Harold Ave
SF, CA 94112

5127624476

Krista :)

From: [Nerissa Hoglen](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Allocate funds to community priorities
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 4:01:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Nerissa, and I am a resident of San Francisco. Our nation is experiencing a tsunami of calls for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. It has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. At the same time that we are spending this enormous amount on policing, we are facing a homelessness crisis that disproportionately affects Black people. This extraordinary spending has not rendered improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead invest this huge sum of money in housing, supportive mental health and addiction services, job training, and community-based resources for the underserved in our city. I implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to actively combat institutionalized racism and classism.

Sincerely,

Nerissa Hoglen
1289 2nd Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122
(808) 651-0667

From: [Alison Maxwell](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Use SF money to protect SF lives
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 4:44:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Alison Maxwell, and I am a resident of San Francisco. Our city's history is rife with police violence fueled by institutional racism and political corruption, and it's long past time you end it. 55% of our city's population is not white. So why are you spending more than half of our city's budget on the police, who have shown, through both their actions and their inactions, that they do not serve the majority of our populace?

I urge you to dramatically reduce the SFPD budget and direct these funds towards departments that will benefit *all* SF's residents, such as programs supporting those experiencing homelessness, which is felt most by Black people and veterans but affects all of our lives. If you could replace the extraneous tasers, cruisers, gear, gadgets, surveillance, with even just a few more homes, or a little more mental health assistance, or food for the hungry and helpless--why wouldn't you? Nothing is stopping you but your own moral compasses.

Sincerely,
Alison Maxwell
Sunset District
San Francisco

From: [Kristin Nienhuis](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: The next right thing
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 4:49:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kristin, and I am a resident of San Francisco.

I have read the Mayor's proposal to reform the police and do not think it goes far enough. It seems to be a placation for what could be a monumental moment for the people of San Francisco and for everyone watching. Demilitarizing the police force over the next 18 months is not what the people were asking for last week. We are not asking for 'reforms.'

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kristin Nienhuis
880 Bush St, SF, CA 94108
nienhuis@gmail.com
415-283-5794

--

Seriously curious human.

From: [Courtney Wong](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Demanding action in San Francisco
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 4:55:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is Courtney, and I am a resident of California. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Courtney

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Ben Tietze](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Petition to Reallocate Police Funding
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 4:57:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ben Tietze, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to reallocate significant portions of the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving youth and social programs and community outreach as other leading communities such as Minneapolis and New York City have done. I and many others want immediate freezing of budget increases to the police force, demilitarization of our forces, and reallocation of funds from police to community-led health and safety strategies.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ben Tietze

1250 Vallejo St

From: [Lee Russell](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND SFPD, DEFUND THE POLICE
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 5:04:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Lee Russell RN, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lee Russell RN

Affirming Pronouns: they, them, theirs

From: [Lee Russell](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: FW: DEFUND SFPD, DEFUND THE POLICE
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 5:07:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Lee Russell RN, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lee Russell RN

Affirming Pronouns: they, them, theirs

From: [Gaby Ostrove](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the SFPD
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 5:20:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Gabrielle Ostrove, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Gabrielle Ostrove

From: [Natalie Plasencia](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Letter from SF Psych Nurse and Resident; invest in sf residents
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 6:29:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Natalie Plasencia, I am a Psych Nurse and soon to be Psych NP at Edgewood Centers for Children and Families and a resident of SF. I am so lucky to live here and share my voice.

This past month, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources- and we are in huge need of resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. This will not be easy but will be recognized by many of us who work with high risk patients.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Disinvest in police; invest in SF residents.

Sincerely,

Natalie Plasencia, RN

1226 3rd Ave

San Francisco CA

626-379-4209

From: [Jamie Reeves](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Rethinking Police Budget
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 6:58:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Local Officials,

I am asking for the complete and total defunding of the police. I am asking for you to halt using taxpayer dollars, provided by me and my neighbors, to sponsor a force that makes my Black neighbors live in fear.

I want our city to adopt a new model of public safety- one that serves not only our white populations, but our black and POC communities as well. Please care for our Black communities, and do your part! Speak up! Make change! Do anything!

Signed,
Jamie Reeves

From: [Evan Ruiz](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Message From a Concerned Resident
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 7:04:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Evan and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

--

Evan Ruiz
Mobile: (619) 948-1142
Email: evanarturorui@gmail.com
LinkedIn: www.linkedin.com/in/evanarturorui

From: pamelaclarecsf@aol.com
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: re-imagining policing
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 7:47:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am very much in favor of re-imagining of law enforcement in San Francisco so that money is redirected to community needs and alternative services for things such as homelessness which take police away from their legitimate role in dealing with crime.

Thank you

Pamela Magers
3743 Cesar Chavez
San Francisco CA 94110

From: [Susannah Brouwer](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Stop killing black people - defund the police
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 7:57:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Susannah Brouwer, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Susannah Brouwer
1221 Funston Ave, Suite 106
San Francisco, CA 94122
914-282-4993
susannahbrouwer@gmail.com

From: [Teagan Dees](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Use the budget for good.
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:07:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Teagan Dees, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Teagan Dees
teagandees@gmail.com
510.285.7922

From: [Lauren Detro](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: We need to see changes in SFPD
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:48:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Lauren, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to reduce the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Thank you,

Lauren
3137 Divisadero St
laurenadelle.detro@gmail.com
650.996.9365

From: [Michael Ducker](#)
To: [Michael Ducker](#)
Subject: Defund and dearm the SFPD
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 9:20:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Michael Ducker, and I am a resident of San Francisco.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards building institutions to solve our social problems without the police and without force.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Michael Ducker
1949 Mcallister St, SF CA 94115

From: [Mia Sun](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND THE SFPD ASAP!
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 9:27:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Mia Sun, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Mia Sun

miasun890@gmail.com

408-420-9075

From: [Nina Yiamsamatha](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Defund the SFPD
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 9:48:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Nina Yiamsamatha, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Nina Yiamsamatha
855 Folsom St
Nina.yiamsamatha@gmail.com
415-547-0330

From: [Joanna Wu](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Call from a resident to redirect police funding
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 9:55:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Joanna Wu, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards investments in communities, schools, and solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Joanna Wu
2647 38th Ave, San Francisco, CA 94116
mojoxk@gmail.com
650-796-4319

From: djmrocha@sbcglobal.net
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins; [StevonCook](#); JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu
Subject: Redirect funding from the Police to the community.
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 10:10:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Laurie Mackenzie and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Laurie Mackenzie

1443 Florida Street

Lauriemackenzie59@gmail.com

[415557512](tel:415557512)

Powered by Cricket Wireless

From: [Annie Voong](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SFPD Budget Cut
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 10:43:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Annie Voong, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Annie Voong
1330 Irving St, #105
San Francisco, CA 94122

From: [Kristin Jensen](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SF Police Budget
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:03:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Kristin Jensen, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kristin Jensen

4001 22nd Street

kjensen246@gmail.com

From: [jomelline](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:30:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jomelline Umali, and I am a resident of suburb near San Francisco, San Bruno, to be exact. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jomelline Umali

jomellineumali@gmail.com

(650) 490-6009

From: [alfasa](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:41:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jasper Dwyer, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jasper Dwyer

From: [Asdrubal Ibarra](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD and House the Homeless
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 6:01:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Asdrubal Ibarra, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Asdrubal Ibarra

693 39th Ave. San Francisco CA

asdrubal.ibarra@gmail.com

949-394-9190

From: [April Padua](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Cc: [email@address.com](#)
Subject: San Francisco Resident for Defunding the SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 8:44:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [April Padua], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

[April Padua]

[San Francisco]

[Aprilashleypadua14@gmail.com]

[9252376299]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Antonia Ruiz Koffman](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 9:13:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Antonia Ruiz-Koffman, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Antonia Ruiz-Koffman

From: [Amelie Schaefer](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocate SFPD budget!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 9:27:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Amelie Schaefer, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Amelie Schaefer
54 A Woodward Street
amesch@stanford.edu

From: [Leonida Radford](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: San Francisco SHOULD do better
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 9:56:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Leonida Radford, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see the wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Leonida

Leonida Radford

[she/her/hers](#)

Post-Baccalaureate Graduate Certificate | UCSF SoM '20

B.A. in Biochemistry | Scripps College '17

5533 Mission Street Apt 102

San Francisco, CA 94112

(415) 518-4298

leradford8@gmail.com

From: [Greg Walder](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: We all know something in SF has to change, let's lead by example and overhaul our budget.
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 9:56:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Greg Walder, and I live (and own) in lower nob hill and have been a SF resident since 2011.

This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources.

Last year, the SFPD budget was **\$611,701,869**, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

Living in the lower nob hill and with my wife being an active member of Glide, it has been evident to me that our city is facing a humanitarian crisis that is only getting worse. We need something much bolder to bring about real change in our city.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Greg Walder
955 Leavenworth St.
San Francisco CA, 94109
Gowalder@gmail.com

From: [Jacob Baca](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocation of public funds request
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 10:28:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jacob Baca, and I was a resident of San Francisco for 7 years before I was displaced because of the inability to afford SF's high cost of living. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

I understand the city is already drafting proposals and deciding how to reallocate the budget funds, but I urge you all to stand by your community and protect them. We, the bay area, need to unite and have the courage to challenge the status quo.

Sincerely,
Jacob Baca
2703 Chinook Ct.
Union City, CA
(510)-709-6869

From: [Devika Parmar](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Call to Action: Defund the Police
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 10:37:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Devika Parmar, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Devika Parmar

85 Brosnan Street, Unit 3
San Francisco, CA 95014

parmar.devika@gmail.com

(408) 439-9595

From: [Helena Carroll](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Police funding
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 10:40:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Helena Carroll, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Helena Carroll

2121 3rd Street

Hlkcpers@gmail.com

6175843728

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Michelle Wong](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 11:44:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Michelle Wong, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Michelle Wong
330 Thornton Avenue San Francisco CA 94124

michellewong793@gmail.com
4157222180

From: [kd](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Nurses for accountability
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 11:47:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kali Drake, and I am a nurse in San Francisco. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Kali Drake, RN
650-307-5254

From: [Sarka Volejnikova](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Redistribute general fund money toward social services
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:07:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, San Francisco Board of Supervisors and Elected Officers,
I work and reside in San Francisco. In the past two weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in this country. I am proud that San Francisco has been at the forefront of this action. It has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

Last year, the SFPD budget was upwards of \$600 million dollars, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco General Fund. This is an extraordinarily large amount of money spent on policing, while we have not seen significant improvements to homelessness, mental health care and housing affordability. I urge you to reduce the SFPD budget in favor of acute pressing problems such as homelessness, which is disproportionately experienced by black people and veterans. Like in many progressive policies, San Francisco can set an example for other cities to follow. This change is urgently needed.

Thank you,
Šárka Volejnikova
166 A Saturn Street
San Francisco, CA 94114
415.673.0780

From: [Liza Miller](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND POLICE
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:09:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Liza Miller, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Liza Miller

From: [Dharmishta Rood](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Request to change funding structure in San Francisco`
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:15:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Dharmishta Rood, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Dharmishta Rood
52 Page Street #2
Dh@rmishta.com
310 849 4204

From: [Patricia Algara](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#)
Subject: Defund the police
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:18:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Patricia Algara, and I have lived and worked in San Francisco for 20 years. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to education, safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards education, which is felt most by our Black neighbors.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Patricia Algara

145 A Lower Terrace
San Francisco, CA 94114

PATRICIA ALGARA, ASLA
Founding Principal



SAN FRANCISCO | PORTLAND

(C) (415) 509-3728
patricia@baselandscape.com

www.baselandscape.com
www.withhoneyintheheart.com

BASE is proud to be a certified Women's Owned Business!

From: [Timothy Rossi](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD----> Fund our Communities & End Homelessness
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:23:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Tim Rossi, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Timothy Rossi
2318 22nd Street
San Francisco, CA 94110
timothy.e.rossi@gmail.com
401-835-5266

From: [Anna](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund white supremacy | Defund SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:23:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Anna Gooler, and I am a resident of San Francisco. As a city and as a nation we have protested, calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Anna Gooler
1245 Hayes St Apt 3
annagooler@gmail.com
217-649-7852

From: [Amy Rappaport](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Move resources away from SFPD and toward solving homelessness
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:40:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Amy Rappaport, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Amy Rappaport

56 Minerva St., San Francisco, CA 94112

amyrappaport@gmail.com

845-548-4219

From: [China Brotsky](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Momentous Times Require Momentous Actions
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:41:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Louise Brotsky, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Louise Brotsky
1713 Sanchez Street
chinabrotsky1@gmail.com

From: [Julie Ron](#)
Subject: Slash the SFPD budget and invest in communities
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:42:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Julie and I am a property owner in SF. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action and yet last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Julie

From: [Sophie Graham](#)
Subject: Defund the police and increase funding to education, social services, and dismantling racial injustice
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:43:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Sophia Graham, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Sophia Graham

2543 24th St
San Francisco, CA 94110
sophiebgraham@gmail.com
847-977-7176

From: [Claire Frost](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Do the Right Thing!! PLEASE DEFUND SFPD!!!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:43:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Claire Frost, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Claire Frost

421 33rd Ave

clrfrost@gmail.com

206.300.1403

From: [Allison Garcia](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: City and SFPD Budget
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:43:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Allison Garcia, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Allison Garcia
3039 24th St
San Francisco, CA
209-658-7631

From: [Jill Santandreu](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Gabriela Lopez](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Decrease Police funding
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:43:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jill Santandreu, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jill Santandreu

866 Cayuga Ave

415-259-1055

From: [Ingermarie Davis](#)
Subject: Use the budget to reflect our priorities - defund the police
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:43:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Inga Davis and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Inga Davis

--

I know the world is bruised and bleeding, and though it is important not to ignore its pain, it is also critical to refuse to succumb to its malevolence. Like failure, chaos contains information that can lead to knowledge — even wisdom. Like art.” - Toni Morrison

From: [Blake Mitchell](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please defund the SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:43:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Blake, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Blake Mitchell
71 Levant St, San Francisco, CA 94114
blakemitchell89@gmail.com
770-853-0200

From: [Clarissa Grace](#)
Subject: Defund the Police!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:43:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Clarissa, and I am a resident of San Francisco. It has become rapidly clear that the people of San Francisco want change, especially when it comes to the funds allocated to the police department. We should be investing in our communities, not in the SFPD.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Clarissa Madar

1336 15th Avenue

clarissagrace.m@gmail.com

415-218-4825

From: [Anton Krukowski](#)
To: [Anton Krukowski](#)
Subject: concern about funding of SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:44:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Anton Krukowski, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Anton Krukowski
115 Prospect Ave., Apt. B
anton.krukowski@gmail.com
415 378 8583

From: [Rachael Scarborough](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: It's time to defund the SFPD!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:44:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Rachael Scarborough, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Rachael Scarborough

103 Tiffany Ave
San Francisco, CA 94110

rachael@fifth-aeon.net

415-613-0983

From: [Heather Muldowney](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Concerned citizen regarding SFPD budget!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:44:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Heather Muldowney, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Heather Muldowney

(831) 706-1054

From: [Julia Smith](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Concern for SFPD budget allocation
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:45:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Julia Smith, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Julia Smith

86 Mullen Ave

juliasmith7373@gmail.com

415-336-0371

From: [Althea Karwowski](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Urgent need to shift funding away from SFPD and towards community services
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:45:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Althea, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Althea Karwowski
(347)248-9497
althea.karwowski@gmail.com

60A Fair Ave
SF, CA, 94110

From: [Eric Sherman](#)
To: [Eric Sherman](#)
Subject: Reallocate Police \$\$ to Education - DEFUND 12
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:46:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings,

My name is Eric Sherman and I am a resident of San Francisco. It has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. **Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869. Think of what this money could do for our failing public schools or to help the housing unstable population!**

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Eric Sherman
3468 16th St.
SF, CA 94114

From: [Anna Silberstein](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Redirecting Resources to Improving Homelessness in our City
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:47:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Anna Silberstein, and I am a resident of the Castro here in San Francisco. I'm writing because my entire life I have believed in and never questioned the notion that the institution of the police was a good one truly designed to serve and protect. As I have looked inward at my own privilege as a white woman in San Francisco and in the US I recognize that this notion is not true and cannot unsee nor condone this truth.

This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Anna Silberstein

10 Sharon St, San Francisco, CA 94114

anna.b.silberstein@gmail.com

847.612.0991

From: [Lisa Roth](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SFPD is a waste of my tax money
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:50:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

I'm Lisa Roth, a San Francisco resident. Our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. The budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I urge you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lisa Roth

1268 Vermont Street
SF, CA 94110

lisa@lisarothgrafix.com
415-920-9205

.....

LISA ROTH

lisarothgrafix.com

From: [Sophia Booth Magnone](#)
To: sophia.magnone
Subject: Cut SFPD budget and invest in true community safety
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:50:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Sophia Booth Magnone and I am a resident of San Francisco. I write to ask that you substantially cut the SFPD budget and divert those resources toward solving homelessness in our community, with particular emphasis on supporting Black people, transgender people, and others experiencing multiple forms of vulnerability.

Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

Please make San Francisco a leader in the growing national movement toward real community safety and support through investment in alternative public resources and reducing police budgets.

Sincerely,

Sophia Booth Magnone
65 Collingwood St. Apt 2
San Francisco, CA 94114
sophia.magnone@gmail.com
773-882-1200

From: [Emma Ashley-Roth](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: housing > policing
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:53:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Emma Ashley-Roth, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Emma Ashley-Roth
410 30th St
SF, CA, 94131
emmarock415@gmail.com
415-359-7966

From: [Kari Floberg](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Call to reallocate police funding address homelessness and poverty
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 12:54:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers;

My name is Kari Floberg, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kari Floberg

2516 Octavia St #6
San Francisco, CA 94123

karifloberg@gmail.com

917-826-3371

--

Kari Floberg, MFT
Licensed Marriage and Family Therapist #98663
Direct line: 917-826-3371
www.kariflobergtherapy.com

From: [Megan Washam](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Request from Local Resident on Reallocating Police Funding
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 1:03:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Megan Washam, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

I've seen reports that there is indeed an intent to make changes, but I have yet to see a plan put out which addresses exactly how the redirection of funds will ensure positive changes happen in the arenas of homelessness and public education. I would ask that you prioritize publishing a full plan for the reallocation of funds as soon as possible.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Megan Washam
(469) 450-7717

From: [Brian Marabello](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defang the police. Fund mental health and homelessness.
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 1:18:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Brian Marabello, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans.

We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Brian Marabello
379 Staples Ave
SF, CA 94112
bmarabello@yahoo.com
760-815-5879

From: [Allison Sponseller](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 1:25:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Allison Sponseller, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Allison Sponseller

410B Duboce Ave.

734 377 3720

From: de_shion@yahoo.com
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins; [StevonCook](#); JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu
Subject: New Days with New Ways!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 1:29:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is DeShion Mitchell, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

DeShion Mitchell

160 Blake St. #3 SF, CA 94118

de_shion@yahoo.com

415-699-7488

From: [Elissa Klopfer](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Accountability and accounting in the current state of the world
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 1:31:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Elissa Klopfer, and I am a resident of the Bay Area, frequently in San Francisco, and a student. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Elissa Klopfer

From: [Lauren Barnum](#)
To: [laurenbarnum](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 1:59:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Lauren Barnum. I am a resident of San Francisco. Residents of our city and nation have been out in the streets calling for rapid and meaningful change for our Black, Indigenous, and Brown communities. They have been met with excessive force and violence from police. This is inexcusable. I call on you to take steps to dismantle and address the racism and anti-Blackness that perpetuates police violence in San Francisco. That starts by defunding SFPD.

It has come to my attention that the city's FY 2021 budget is currently being decided. SFPD has been a waste of our resources, and continues to perpetuate the violence that we are calling on you to end.

Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While the city has been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, I have not seen improvements for homelessness, mental health, education, or affordability in our city. In fact, homelessness has gotten markedly worse in my neighborhood (the Richmond district).

Instead, I see leaders like you, some of whom I elected into office, perpetuating violence by continuing to support and make excuses for the police. Let me be clear: I am not asking for reform. I am asking you to redirect resources away from police and into our communities.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those GF resources toward solving homelessness, offering free mental health services for the community, and supporting the next generation by paying teachers, educators, and school counselors fair wages.

San Francisco can be at the forefront of this movement to right the wrongs of racism. However, this requires the courage to change. Please use your power to defund the police and invest in the things that matter: health, education, and community.

Thank you,
Lauren

Lauren M Barnum
521 23rd Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94121
laurenbarnum@gmail.com
805-714-6346

From: [Maggie Donaldson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defunding the Police
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:03:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Maggie and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Maggie Donaldson

710 Clayton St, 94117, San Francisco

650-455-8047

From: [Tina Nguyen](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Concerns about City Budget
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:07:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

Hello there, my name is Tina Nguyen, and I'm a resident of San Francisco. In these past few weeks, our nation and city has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I would like to see a good amount of this funding to be moved and redistributed towards programs for homelessness, bettering public infrastructure, and more funding towards education for the youth of our city.

2020 is the year we should see things clearer. I hope to see a lot of change in the near future, starting with the reallocation of our tax money and funds.

Safely,

Tina Nguyen

3814 Noriega Street
San Francisco, CA 94122

hituptina@gmail.com

408-890-9371

From: [Caroline Nattinger](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Medical student concerned about impact of SFPD on SF public health
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:13:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Caroline, and I am a resident and native of San Francisco, as well as a current medical and public health student.

This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Caroline Nattinger M.S. Candidate '22 M.D. Candidate '25

a. 226 Eureka Street, San Francisco, CA 94114
e. carolinenattinger@gmail.com
m. 415-640-5082

From: [Barbara Stevenson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Divest from policing
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:14:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Budgets are moral documents. Now is the time for San Francisco to invest in solutions that lift up the health and wellbeing of the Black community. Our city's budget should reflect our true values—inclusivity, equity, the common good. It will be even more important in the challenging times ahead to divest from policing and invest in solutions that address the real needs of our communities.

Sincerely,
Barbara Stevenson
204 Surrey Street
San Francisco, CA

From: [Sarah Bindman](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RobertsStaff \(BOS\)](#); [WallonsStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [CityAttorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Mandhar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfsd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfsd.edu](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [StevenCook](#); [JennyLam@sfsd.edu](#); [EvaAugabMoliga@sfsd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfsd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocating SFPD funding
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:30:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Sarah, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

I was shocked to find out the budget for SFPD. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. Why not put our funds towards paying for more health-care professionals, social services or measures to support small businesses, affordable housing, or improved transportation?

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving many of the challenges our City faces.

Thank you for your courageous leadership.
Sincerely,

Sarah
2090 Grove St
SF, CA 94117

--

Sarah Bindman
Pronouns: she, her, hers

From: [Maude Engström](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Listen to your constituents: DEFUND SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:53:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Maude Engstrom, and I am a resident of San Francisco. The past several weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. AND I AM NOT TALKING ABOUT A MINSCULE CUT IN FUNDS FOR A GOOD PUBLICITY STUNT. I am talking about taking away ALL of their budget and redirecting those funds to improve our city. I implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only YOU have the courage to make the necessary changes.

Sincerely,

Maude Engstrom
28 Highland Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94110
(415) 638-0353
modengstrom@gmail.com

From: [Ryan Patrick Welsh](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND THE POLICE
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 2:58:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ryan Patrick Welsh

727A Guerrero St

ryanpatrick323@gmail.com

516-225-3798

From: [Amy Wasielewski](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Do it big, DEFUND SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 3:50:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Amy Wasielewski, and I am a San Francisco resident. For the past two weeks, our entire country has been protesting against anti-Blackness and police brutality. Our city has been consistent in its action requesting to defund the police.

While you have pledged to redirect SFPD funds into San Francisco's Black community, the need is to completely abolish the police. Please work with local grassroots organizations to make sure that this happens.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Amy Wasielewski

3014 22nd St, San Francisco, CA 94110

amy.wasielewski@gmail.com

207-939-9247

From: [Natalie Walsh](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: We Need More
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 3:51:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Natalie Walsh, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Thank you for the work you have already been doing, and I ask that as roadmaps turn into budgets and policy, we commit to more.

The SFPD budget has bloated over the past decade. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. A public commitment was made to defund the police over the last week, but no specifics given. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget by at least \$100 million, and instead use those extraordinary resources towards social programs and solving homelessness. Particularly during COVID, every member of our community experiencing homelessness should have a place to call home and access to the treatment and facilities they need. It should not take a lawsuit to do so, as is currently the case in the Tenderloin.

There have also been public statements committing to accountability and bias training, without acknowledgment of the efforts underway and not completed. We need to see a commitment to completion of the 272 recommendations that the DOJ recommended in 2016, including those that encompass bias training, and a commitment to doing so over a reasonable and firm timeline. In more than three years only 60 of the reforms have been completed. That is unacceptable.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow, if we have the courage to embrace visionary change.

Sincerely,
Natalie Walsh

From: [Shania Sandher](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Why Defund 12?
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 4:05:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Shania Sandher, and I am a resident of the San Francisco bay area. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Shania Sandher

1801 Rioja St.
Danville CA 94506

ssleftwing@gmail.com

(925) 683-9465

From: [Jody](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Investing where it"s needed
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 4:15:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please move towards taking money from the police budget and investing it in homeless services, housing, social services, healthcare, and mental and substance abuse services for Black and Brown communities and for all of San Francisco. The role of the police needs to be narrowed and professionals in other fields need to be tasked and funded in these important areas.

Thank you,

Jody Reiss
SF Native, retired social worker
94131

From: [Leah Finn](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the police and reinvest in community resources
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 5:04:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Leah Finn, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Leah Finn
631 O'Farrell St #804
San Francisco, CA
94109
323-898-3967

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Julia Ha](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND THE POLICE NOW #BLACKLIVESMATTER
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 5:57:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

[YOUR NAME]

[YOUR ADDRESS]

[YOUR EMAIL]

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

From: [Julia Ha](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND POLICE
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 6:00:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is JULIA HA, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

JULIA HA
SF State
EOP Advisor

From: [Ellison Libiran](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [Immediate Attn]: Budget
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 6:53:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ellison, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ellison

708 16th Avenue SF, Ca 94118

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Patricia Andrews Fearon](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Let's do what we do best...
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 6:58:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Patricia Andrews Fearon, and I am a resident of San Francisco in North Beach.

I have been and continue to be so proud of our city's response to COVID-19. I am proud that we didn't wait for other cities to make a move before we decided to prioritize the health and safety of our community by locking down. I admire the bravery it took to make this unprecedented and hard decision on behalf of your citizens.

We are at a similar crossroads now.

This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

I call on you to reallocate large portions of the SFPD budget towards supporting alternate, more appropriate forms of safety promotion and personnel like social workers to meet the community's safety needs. I also call on you to reallocate this funding towards efforts to solve homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. Leading the nation in love is something San Francisco does best.

I believe in you. I believe in us.

Sincerely,

Patricia Andrews Fearon

549 Lombard Street
San Francisco, CA 94133
p.andrewsfearon@gmail.com

From: [Samantha Broussard-Wilson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD, please.
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 6:59:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Samantha Broussard-Wilson, and I am an **African-American** resident of San Francisco, something that is quickly dwindling. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Samantha Broussard-Wilson
630 Presidio Ave Apt 5
San Francisco, CA
sam.broussard.wilson@gmail.com
310-630-7494

From: [Vilma Herrera](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: care about our homeless population!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 7:44:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Vilma Herrera, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Vilma Herrera

--

Vilma Herrera
Horizons Unlimited of San Francisco, Inc.
www.horizons-sf.org
Office: (415) 487-6709, Fax: (415) 487-6724

This e-mail is not a secured data transmission for Protected Health Information (PHI) as defined by the Healthcare Portability and

Accountability Act (HIPAA), and it is the responsibility of all parties involved to take all reasonable actions to protect this message from non-authorized disclosure. This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, you should notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the information contained herein could subject to disclosure to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

Confidentiality Notice: This message may contain confidential and/or protected information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are prohibited from sharing, copying, downloading, or otherwise using or disclosing its contents. Unauthorized disclosure may subject the individual to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email and permanently delete this email and any attachments without reading, forwarding or saving them. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: [Gladys Morin](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: SF Resident request
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 9:34:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, San Francisco Board of Supervisors and the San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Gladys Morin, I am a current resident of San Francisco. Our country continues to protests calling for change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reformation in how black people are treated in the United State of America. San Francisco has been at the forefront of this action. Currently the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources and tax dollars. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see violent actions from our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Gladys Morin

From: [Amber Lutsko](#)
Subject: San Francisco Resident for Defunding the SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 9:40:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Amber Lutsko, and I am a resident of San Francisco, a block away from City Hall. This past month, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city; the Tenderloin is worse now than it's ever been. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our over-funded police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and VETERANS. I implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Amber Lutsko
101 Polk St, Unit 812
San Francisco, CA 94102
amberlutsko@gmail.com
412-965-9134

From: [Gigi Rose](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND!!!!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 9:43:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers
My name is Gigi, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Gigi

From: [Jamie Reeves](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defunding the Police
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 9:57:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing you tonight to advocate for the defunding of the police. I do not feel comfortable with my taxpayer dollars going to a service that makes my Black neighbors feel unsafe.

Instead of funding the police department, I would like to see this sizable chunk of funds reallocated to community services: things that can better our community and help reduce crime in the first place.

I want to see a version of public safety that does not use police. They don't work for us anymore. We have recognized their faults and no longer will accept their violence. Defund the police.

Signed,
Jamie Reeves

From: [Orion Kellogg](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Abolition Feminism Now—Defund is the First Step
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 10:12:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Orion Kellogg, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action.

Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources.

Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Orion Kellogg
879 Haight Street
415-710-0854
Okellogg@gmail.com

From: [Daniel Sanchez](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Stop wasting money
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 10:12:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Daniel Sanchez , and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

[YOUR NAME]

[YOUR ADDRESS]

[YOUR EMAIL]

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Stephanie Ann Leong](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Defunding the SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 10:29:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed,

I am writing this email in support of defunding the SF Police Department. I commend the actions taken so far to change disparate policing towards the Black community, but there is still significant work to be done.

I urge you to reallocate the SFPD budget to mental health and other resources for the homeless community such as hiring additional drug counselors and mental health specialists, and funding low income and affordable housing.

We all understand that homelessness is a difficult issue to address. But we must work towards significant positive steps as best we can or we will inevitably find ourselves dehumanizing those who are on the streets in their time of need.

Thank you,

--

Stephanie Ann Leong
San Francisco, CA 94121
StephanieALeong@gmail.com

From: [MARYANNE MONTOYA](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: GET THESE MURDERERS OFF OUR STREETS NOW.
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 11:00:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

For Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Mariana, and I am a resident of San Francisco. I've lived here my whole life and I've gone to SFUSD schools the whole time I've lived here. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. We need to ban all use of chemical agents and rubber bullets NOW. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue...

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund!!! While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety!!! homelessness!!!!!! mental health!!! or affordability in our city!!! Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. Like murdering alumni from my school.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans!!!! We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Mariana Montoya

99 Surrey St

Marianaanaaam@gmail.com

4159189472

Sent from my iPhone

--

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu <<mailto:gafe@t.sfusd.edu>> and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Forrest McGarvey](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Continue to lead by example
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 11:30:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Forrest McGarvey and I am a resident of San Francisco, and have lived all over this city. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Forrest M, 94131, 94110, 94122, 94109

From: [Avery Riddle](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 11:36:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Avery and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Avery Riddle

From: [Anthony Batey](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: Defund San Francisco PD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 11:48:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Tony Batey. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

The San Francisco Police Department has been wasting the city's resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While you've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, there have not been improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, there are wasteful and harmful actions committed constantly by the police force.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change. Racism and white supremacy have gone unchecked and been swept under the rug in this country far too many times for far too long. In order to truly work towards breaking down the barriers of systemic racism in this country, we must overhaul public safety entirely. The police must be defunded and, ultimately, abolished. It is time that you, the lawmakers, take immediate action. Set a precedent. Do not only what is right, but what is needed.

Sincerely,
Tony Batey

From: [Vanessa Garcia](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Redirecting funds from SFPD
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 11:55:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

I, Vanessa Garcia, am a resident of San Francisco. Throughout this past week, our nation has been gripped by protests. These protests call for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources to aid solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Garcia
54 San Juan Ave
San Francisco CA 94112

From: [Anne Fenton](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Cut the police, invest in social services
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 12:24:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Anne Fenton, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Anne Fenton

1651 21st Ave
San Francisco CA 94122

707.537.5066

From: [Christina Palmer](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 1:14:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

Hello

My name is Christina Palmer and I am a resident of San Francisco. Over these last few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards homelessness, mental health, education, etc.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Christina

From: [Trevor Hudson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 1:23:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

[YOUR NAME]

[YOUR ADDRESS]

[YOUR EMAIL]

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Samya Brohmi](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins; Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook; Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: END FUNDING FOR SF POLICE DEPT
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 3:29:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Samya Brohmi, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Samya Brohmi

714 Banks St. SF, CA 94110

sbrohmi@gmail.com

415-816-4731

From: [Emily Talbot](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund Police / BLM
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 3:48:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Emily Talbot, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Emily Talbot

From: [Melissa Reinertson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: We are on this planet to help each other
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 5:49:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Melissa Reinertson, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Melissa Reinertson

3530 18th Street San Francisco, CA 94110

Mreinertson15@gmail.com

6505042762

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Leah Perrino](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: REALLOCATE POLICE FUNDING TO AREAS DESPERATELY IN NEED
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 5:50:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Leah and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Leah

Lpgymnast100@gmail.com

480-338-0039

From: [Emily Anderson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Concerned SF Resident
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 7:41:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Emily Anderson and I am a resident of San Francisco. I support the efforts the city has announced to stop sending police to non violent calls (e.g. mental health, homelessness). I still do not believe this goes far enough and am concerned the new budget will have only small concessions, not a large scale defunding of police. The police are the danger to SF.

PLEASE slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. I implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Emily Anderson
1048 Capp St
San Francisco, CA
94110

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Kaitlyn E](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocate SFPD Funding
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 8:16:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kaitlyn Evangelista and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kaitlyn Evangelista

270 Turk St. San Francisco, CA 94102

evangelistakaitlyn@gmail.com

From: [Annie LeFevre](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Concerned born & raised San Franciscan
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 8:18:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Annie and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to decimate the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Annie

Clay Street, SF

415.699.1000

From: [Morgan Brisse](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Divest funds from the San Francisco PD
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 8:45:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Morgan Brisse, and I am a resident of St Paul, MN. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Morgan Brisse
468 Herschel St
St Paul, MN 55104
2487566146
brissemi@gmail.com

From: [Malik Ramsess](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the police
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 8:58:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Malik Ramsess, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Malik Ramsess

114 Samsone st

Mramsess@duncanchannon.com

4157389132

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Benjamin Byerly](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Request for a fundamental change
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 9:05:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Benjamin Byerly, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past month, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Benjamin Byerly
1478 15th St.
San Francisco CA 94103
benbyerly@gmail.com
225-892-5318

From: [Antonia Ruiz Koffman](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Raise/Maintain city employees" salary and benefits with \$ wasted on overinflated SFPD
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 9:06:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Antonia Ruiz-Koffman, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Antonia Ruiz-Koffman

From: [Susannah Emerson](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Redistributing Police Funds
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 9:18:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Susannah Emerson, and I am a resident of the Sunset District in San Francisco. First, I would like to thank you, Mayor Breed, for speaking at two of the rallies at City Hall that I've attended. I appreciate your voice and your story, and am hopeful that you will listen. As you all know well, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action, and the Mayor's announcement about divesting in the police is a small, but important step. I have been educating myself nonstop since the killings of George Floyd, turning inward and towards evidence-based best practices in order to advocate in alignment with my values and in hopes that I might be part of our citywide and nationwide solution. I would like to weigh in on how the budget is reorganized. As Vanita Gupta was recently quoted as saying in the New York Times, "Budgets are moral documents, reflecting priorities and values."

SFPD is a waste of precious resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, which I understand comes primarily from the San Francisco general fund. Pouring funds into the SFPD has not led to improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget by 20% in 2021 and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness and drug abuse, which is felt disproportionately by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. In 2001, in the middle of their opioid epidemic, Portugal became the first country in the world to completely decriminalize drug use. I have linked to an article that outlines their success and the steps they've taken to curb both drug abuse and overdoses. The entirety of their example isn't possible without a coordinated national response, but there is much to be done on the local level. I am optimistic that Portuguese policy might be a jumping off point for San Francisco and then the rest of the country.

<https://www.theguardian.com/news/2017/dec/05/portugals-radical-drugs-policy-is-working-why-hasnt-the-world-copied-it>

I am of course invested in my city, but more so, I am invested in helping addicts. Two years

ago, my father, a white man of great privilege, died of cirrhosis of the liver due to alcoholism. In one instance, when he was found with a bruised face, collapsed and locked outside of the home I grew up in, it was the police who found him outside during an East Coast winter put him in their car and drove him to the hospital. They only came by the house because they had found my runaway dog and read her collar. This should never have been the police's responsibility in the first place, but no addict should be arrested (or if I am correct in suspecting that Rayshard Brooks is an example of a man struggling with substance abuse) or murdered. I am thrilled that neither situation is commonplace in San Francisco; however, we can and should be doing more for the addicts I pass every day on our streets. Addiction is a biological-social-psychological phenomenon, and these three broad, intersecting factors endlessly complicate the search for a solution, part of which also needs to be in our education, housing and public health (especially mental health) systems, all of which we know costs a great deal of money. The police are ill-equipped and will always be ill-equipped to handle homelessness and drug abuse. It's time to reimagine how our city will address two of its most visible problems.

We have served as a leader on progressive policy in the past, and we have the opportunity to do so again with our budget for 2021.

My thanks and my best to all of you,

Susannah Emerson

1242 20th Ave. Apt. 4
San Francisco, CA 94122

susannah.emerson@gmail.com
508 241 5118

From: [Maymie Chan](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: More community & social services, Please Defund SFPD!
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 9:38:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Maymie Chan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Maymie Chan
1642 Fell St.
San Francisco, CA 94117
maymiechan@gmail.com
510-375-6084

From: [Jessica](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Cut SFPD funding
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 9:47:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jessica Carr, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jessica Carr

94122

Jessicarcarr@sbcglobal.net

From: [Caroline Trowbridge](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD TODAY!
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:03:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Caroline Trowbridge, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Caroline Trowbridge

From: [Emily Merfeld](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Call to action - reduce SFPD budget
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:14:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Emily Merfeld, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Emily Merfeld
emily.merfeld@ucsf.edu
1222 Harrison St. #4416, San Francisco CA
617-930-0039

From: [Joe Warner](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:50:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers
My name is Joseph Warner, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action.

Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Joseph Warner
1968 Great Highway apt A
jmwpark415@gmail.com
831-331-0667

From: [ArezuKS](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: It's time we do better than armed and hostile police forces
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:17:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Arezu Sarvestani, I'm a San Francisco resident and I'm encouraged by the work that our city and our state has done to advance justice in many ways. I'm proud of how San Francisco leads, when we lead. Police reform, accountability and a reassessment of the entire community safety and security model is long overdue.

Our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Arezu Sarvestani
arezuks@gmail.com
415-680-0552

From: [jenny morgan](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Urgent: Defund the SFPD
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:26:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jenny Morgan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jenny Morgan

239 Cotter St, SF 94112

jdmorgs@gmail.com

408.829.1822

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Lydon Brackelmanns](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please answer the people, defund our police
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 12:49:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:
My name is Lydon F Brackelmanns, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. We've also seen huge and unacceptable cuts in education. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lydon F. Brackelmanns
1968 Great Highway Apt. 1
San Francisco, CA 94116
Lydon.brackelmanns@gmail.com
(973) 879-4874

From: [Sophie Landau](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Grateful for my community
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 12:49:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Sophie Landau, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Sophie Landau

2399 12th ave. #1- SF, CA 94116

sophieL42@gmail.com

530-848-4803

From: sportsguy2374@aol.com
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins; [StevonCook](#); JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu
Subject: Fund other Departments, not just the brutal one
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 1:02:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Nate Hall, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Nate Hall
Sportsguy2374@aol.com
Resident on Retiro Way, 94123

From: [APham SF](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 1:23:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers
My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change. Sincerely, Andy Pham aphamsf@gmail.com, 415-678-9526

From: [Alexis Carney](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SF RESIDENT REQUEST
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 1:51:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Alexis Carney, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see the wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Alexis Carney

6 Atalaya Terrace, SF, CA, 94117

Alexis.Carney24@gmail.com

From: [roma.edwards](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: Divest from Policing!
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 1:57:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Roma and I have lived in San Francisco for my entire life. I believe that you should divest from policing, and invest in police reform. We want a moral budget that prioritizes investment in programs to lift up the health and wellbeing of the Black community. We want San Francisco's budget to reflect our city's higher aspirations valuing life, health, education and the common good, prioritizing the most vulnerable people among us. [37% of San Francisco's homeless population is Black](#), which is why Faith in Action Bay Area has been calling for all unhoused people to be sheltered in hotels during the COVID-19 pandemic. And in the City budget, we are calling for an investment of \$50 million to provide individual rental subsidies to 5,000 of our most vulnerable seniors, those paying more than 70% of their income in rent.

Thank you, Roma

From: [Cameron Judge](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Re-allocate police funding
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 2:20:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Cameron Judge and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Cameron Judge

137 12th Avenue

usjudge@yahoo.com

415 386 2235

From: [Jay Cazel](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND SFPD TAX THE CORPORATIONS RESTORE AND CREATE COMMUNITY WELLBEING PROGRAMS
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 3:08:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Jay Cazel and I am a resident of 1033 Page Street, San Francisco. My 89 year old Grandma and I are writing to implore you to defund the police department SIGNIFICANTLY this fiscal year and get on a path to replacing the police force entirely. GRANDMA SAYS SHE WAS TAUGHT TO SPIT WHENEVER SHE SAW SFPD BY HER MOTHER, WHO WAS BEATEN BY A COP WHEN SHE ARRIVED HERE IN SF DURING THE DUST BOWL. Not ten days ago I was SHOVED AND HAD A RIFLE PUT IN THE SMALL OF MY BACK as I was peacefully protesting with my hands up on the fifth st block of market street because the SFPD and whoever else was there militarizing our streets that night, decided that they needed to fire rubber bullets on us to protect a MALL. A damn mall. What a shame. I was barely missed by the bullets but my housemate was hit in the calf and had a bruise a week later. We all agree in this house that the millions of dollars of OUR TAXPAYER MONEY could and should go directly to programs that better the lives of your residents. In addition TAX your MANY rich corporations and residents, create jobs with that money to fix up your community, to provide mental health care and substance use harm reduction/ treatment, fix the streets, free food and activity programs for kids, parks, helping the elderly live independantly, Covid treatment and vaccine rollout plan, and building affordable housing!

Unarmed workers in their own neighborhoods trained in deescalation, mental healthcare and first aid, more city employed paramedics to respond to medical emergencies and car accidents (that are not employees of a company out to make a profit,) enough jobs with fair wages and affordable housing for your poor residents plus real funded programs for those who dont fit into the job market due to mental or other disability will literally SOLVE all the problems that police respond to. I don't wanna hear it about gangs. The police are the biggest armed gang in our city, they are costing us lives and tens of millions of dollars each year. They do not have the support or respect of your constituency because they have lost it, or in the case of the great majority of poor people, black people and people of color —failed to ever earn it. I urge you to defund the SF Police Department effective this quarter. See further information below.

Thanks for reading,

Jay Cazel of 1033 Page and Antoinette Rizzo of 3739 Folsom

This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful

and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Do it for the families!

From: [Kathleen Buban](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Putting our Communities First
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 3:45:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kathleen, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Buban
270 Granada Ave,
San Francisco, CA 94112

From: [Tali Flash](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocate egregious police budgets
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 3:52:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Tali Flash, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Tali Flash
555 Florida st.
tali.flash@gmail.com
4156038526

From: [will dao](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reinvest in Community: Reduce the SFPD Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 4:06:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Will Dao and I am a resident of San Francisco. As you are planning the City's budget, I am writing to ask that you reduce and reallocate the SFPD budget -- which was \$611,710,869.00 in 2019 -- to programs that serve the youth and disadvantaged communities.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

My one and only encounter with the police in 2019 went like this: A friend who was visiting had his car broken into right across the street from my residence in the Duboce Triangle neighborhood. According to NBC Bay Area, there were 23,309 reported thefts from vehicles in the first 11 months of 2019 and this was one of them. When he called the police, instead of coming to the site of the incident to take notes or gather evidence, they made him drive his car -- smashed window and all -- to the police station located in Golden Gate Park to file his report. My friend was already dazed and upset and to have to drive to the police station only added to the trauma. When he got there, he filed a formal complaint. He was told there would be no follow-up because there was "nothing they could do." I accompanied him to the police station and asked one of the police officers why there were so many break-ins and why there was nothing they could do. He called out Mayor Breed's policies as an excuse as to why the SF Police could not do anything about it. He cited that police are not allowed to chase after or arrest suspects even if they see them breaking into cars and that their hands were tied. He said that these crimes were organized by rings in the East Bay so it was out of their jurisdiction. I could not believe how many excuses were coming out of this officer's mouth.

While I am not familiar with any of the policies the officer spoke of that day (or if he was even telling the truth), I do know that with a \$611,710,869.00 budget, you should not have 23,309 car break-ins if you were doing your job. You should also not give the people you serve an excuse that there is "nothing you can do."

But let's give the officer I spoke with the benefit of the doubt. Instead, let's strategize on how we can prevent crime from occurring in the first place. What if we reinvest a portion of that \$600M into other avenues that are more beneficial and fruitful to our communities in SF: Help curb the homelessness that has risen in our neighborhoods; Bolster after-school programs for children; Give financial support to Black-owned businesses; Fund programs that protect folks from being driven from their homes due to gentrification; Invest in the Arts, especially the small theaters which make SF so special but are now closing because rent is too high. Why are these important services neglected and a police force who will bluntly tell you that they "can't do anything" is reaping in money? Defund the police now.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Will Dao
Duboce Triangle, 94114
willdao1@gmail.com
646-284-6188

From: [ayana cariaso](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund POLICE NOW
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 4:10:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ayana Cariaso, and I am a former resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ayana Cariaso

From: [Marissa Ramon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 4:54:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman, My name is Marissa Ramon. I am a resident of San Antonio, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few. As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change. (With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>)). I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression. Thank you, Marissa Ramon

From: [Kate](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Thank you Mayor London Breed! plz defund police
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 4:57:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kate Riley PhD, and I am a long time home owner in of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

I like many of the police officers that I have encountered in our city. They are respectful to me but sometimes not my black friends. SFPD uses a lot of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kate Riley, PhD
566 flood Ave
SF CA 94112

PS I think London Breed is doing a great Job!!

From: [W Batin](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND THE POLICE TO FUND THE COMMUNITY
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 5:28:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is WIDYA BATIN, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

WIDYA BATIN

Fulton n Buchanan St. 94102

w.batin994@gmail.com

415-678-7170

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Joanna Chua](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Call to Action: Defund SFPD
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 6:02:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Joanna, and I am a resident of San Francisco. As you all know, far too much has happened in the past few weeks to turn a blind eye to what is happening to our communities. The amount of protests and the unified call to end racism and anti-Blackness is demonstrative of how outraged and shocked we are that nothing is being done.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

Sincerely,

Joanna Chua
joannachua95@gmail.com

From: [Young King](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 6:07:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

[Faisal baig]

[145 Taylor st, 94102, San Francisco, ca]

[Faisalbaig0316@gmail.com]

[4154129158]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Nicolle Piquette](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Reallocate Egregious Police Budgets
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 6:23:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Nicolle Piquette. For the past few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Nicolle Piquette

From: [Brittany Rodriguez](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the police to give to the community
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 6:47:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers
My name is Brittany Rodriguez, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change. Sincerely, Brittany Rodriguez 1179 Florida Street brittanyrodriguez322@gmail.com +1(415)933-4760

From: [Arie Van Antwerp](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SFPD must be defunded
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 7:00:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Arie Van Antwerp, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action.

The extrajudicial murder of San Franciscans, of anyone, by police is unacceptable. No one should die simply for [coming into contact with police](#). When officers not only shoot unarmed community members, but kneel on their necks for 8 minutes and 46 seconds, demilitarizing police is not enough. Disarming police is not enough. The SFPD must be defunded and entirely dismantled. The \$611,701,869 we sunk into policing last year should go to ending homelessness, improving mental health resources, and enriching our school systems.

As we decide the 2021 budget, we need to do better. The SFPD must be entirely defunded.

Sincerely,

Arie Van Antwerp

460 S Van Ness Ave #14
San Francisco, CA
94103

From: lexibrown10@gmail.com
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins; [StevonCook](#); JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu
Subject: Why Defunding the Police is Important
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 7:30:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers;

My name is Lexi Brown, and I am a proud resident of San Francisco. I came here attracted to the liberal, progressive spirit of this city. I have been blessed to watch San Francisco be a true leader in the resistance. In recent weeks, the national focus has been, for the first time, on calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. The fact that almost \$612 Million is spent on over-policing black and brown communities while thousands upon thousands of unhoused individuals sleep on the street is appalling. Meanwhile, the technology industry is gentrifying our great city, and families who have lived here from generations are being pushed out.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. Further, we need increased mental health services, greater affordable housing for the middle and lower class, increased social services, and more resources in our educational systems.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lexi Brown
400 Monterey Blvd, #14
San Francisco, CA 94127
215.840.8313

From: [Ileina Afuha'amango](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: URGENT: DEFUND the San Francisco Police
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 8:28:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Ileina Afuha'amango, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget immediately and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving education, community programs and resources, mom and pops businesses, or homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Ileina V. Afuha'amango

523 Munich Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

ivafuhaamango@gmail.com

(415) 933-0674

From: [Jamie Reeves](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defunding SFPD
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 8:35:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mayor and SF City Council,

We need to change the way we view public safety, and move away from the era of policing. The modern law enforcement system is directly bred out of the slave patrols in the early 1700s.

The attempts to reform, or improve, the racist institution of policing may never be sufficient, and therefore I am calling to defund to police.

I would also like to ask you what you are personally doing to reduce police brutality, and if you are on the boat to defund the police?

Sincerely,
Jamie Reeves

From: [aram.price](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Investing in community safety
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 8:48:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

The SFPD does not provide safety for our community. It is gut wrenching to me that members of our community have guns drawn on them by (presumably) SFPD, and the only recourse is to hide for 4 hours and 45 minutes in hopes of not being murdered before dawn.

<https://www.facebook.com/176456834477/posts/10160084517159478/>

It is clear to me that the SFPD does not contribute to the safety of our community. San Francisco deserves to invest its funds into services and institutions that help our community, not murder its members.

This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

aram price

55 Peters Ave.
SF, CA 94110

From: [Arion Webb](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: [*** INSERT UNIQUE SUBJECT LINE ***]
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 9:25:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

[YOUR NAME]

[YOUR ADDRESS]

[YOUR EMAIL]

[YOUR PHONE NUMBER]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Melissa Rodriguez](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND THE POLICE
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 9:39:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Melissa R. and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Melissa R.

From: [Christian Guinto](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Growth Together
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:05:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Christian Guinto, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Christian Guinto

Excelsior Neighborhood

cguinto2@ccsf.edu

(415)-728-6230

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Vinh Doan](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: A Call to Re-evaluate how we handle police funding
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:43:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Vinh Doan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Vinh Doan

380 14th St.

vinhdoan1@gmail.com

323-916-3555

From: [Bonnie Fisk](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Slash SFPD Budget in 2021
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 7:35:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Bonnie, and I am a resident of San Francisco. In recent weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Fisk
2863 California St Unit C
San Francisco, CA 94115
209-324-6379

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Maryval K. Smith](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Police budget..what about schools? Homelessness? Mental health?
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:25:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Maryval Smith, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Maryval Smith

429 Cabrillo

mvkisamith@gmail.com

From: [Melanie Ingram](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Call to defund the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:41:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Melanie Ingram, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Melanie Ingram

1300 Golden Gate Ave, SF CA 94115

melanierkingram@gmail.com

831-236-1195

From: [Carolyn Finney](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#)
Subject: Please re-invest the police budget into our people
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:53:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the SF Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Ronen, SF Elected Officers,

My name is Carolyn Finney and I am a resident of the Mission Bernal area in SF. These past few weeks our nation and city has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of some of this action. It has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as the protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. The majority of the SFPD budget of over 600M comes from the SF general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amount on policing we have not gotten our money's worth to improve safety, homelessness, mental health, or the affordability in our city for all. During these protests we have seen wasteful harmful actions by our police.

I have seen several amazing proposals and ideas shared by you all over the last year, and I am calling on you to cut the SFPD budget to make some of those a reality. Using those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. I implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they may need.

We can be a beacon for other cities as we have been in the distant past, if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Finney

358 Prospect Ave, 94110

From: [Colin Lohner](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Let's defund the police
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 10:26:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Colin Lohner, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Colin Lohner

- Colin

From: [Jordan M Hamel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: SFPD Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 10:51:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the leaders of San Francisco,

My name is Jordan Hamel, and I am a resident of San Francisco. I want to be heard loud and clear - you **must** decrease the SFPD budget! Extra policing has not improved the safety of our city. You must reallocate some of the SFPD funds to fight homelessness, offer mental health services, and improve housing affordability. The excessive SFPD budget is a waste of my taxpayer money.

San Francisco has the opportunity to be a leader for many other U.S. cities. Please make the right decision here!

Thank you,
Jordan Hamel

From: [Michael Nguyen](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Gabriela Lopez](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: San Francisco, Defund the Police Department
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 11:38:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Michael Nguyen, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Michael Nguyen
415-316-6492
Mnguyen1994@gmail.com

From: [Lara D'Emilio](#)
Subject: San Francisco Resident for Defunding the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:28:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Lara D'Emilio, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lara D'Emilio

From: [Julia Turner](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Police encounter this past weekend in SF mission district
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:41:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Julia Turner, and I am a resident of San Francisco.

Yesterday afternoon, amid all of the unfolding exposure of harm to our Black citizens at the hands of police, I watched a beloved Black neighbor and artist share an account of a terrifying encounter with SF police on Saturday night, one which should not have involved a gun and which has left him badly traumatized.

Yesterday evening, like every evening, I biked home through the Mission. I saw tent after tent, people going through garbage cans for food, and one couple who was struggling to get a wheelchair laden with bags of aluminum cans up onto a curb toward the next trash bin. Last week I came across a man who was sitting on the curb crying. I stopped to listen to him and he said "I've been here for 23 years, I worked so hard, I have nothing at all now". The shelter in place order exposed in high relief how many people here have no shelter, nowhere to go. Putting people in a hotel during a health crisis is a temporary help but isn't the answer we need.

This weekend a block from my house, 3 police cars and multiple officers were dispatched to deal with a disoriented homeless woman, who was unarmed and absolutely no threat. When I think about the resources used to send that many uniformed officers to give someone a ride to a shelter (not to mention the unnecessary trauma to the woman at having to interact with that many police) I'm furious. We pay police officers more than teachers, more than social workers, more than countless people who are better trained than they are to do the jobs they are sent to do, often resulting in unnecessary added trauma and harm.

This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Julia Turner

55 Peters Avenue
SF CA 94110
julia@juliaturner.com
415-425-4019

From: [Shelby Henneberger](#)
To: [Heiken, Emma \(MYR\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [HRC-Roundtable](#); [Info, HRC \(HRC\)](#)
Subject: Re: Mayor Breed's Approach to Police Accountability
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:50:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Emma, the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, and SF's HRC,

I don't want to tell y'all how to do your jobs, but the Mayor's press release is literally 5 years behind as is this email I received. SF is trying to comply with 2015 standards just now in 2020? You're telling on yourselves at this point. SFPD has already implemented the seven best preventative measures, so why is there still disproportionate violence against Black residents in SF? SFPD has already implemented the seven best preventative measures, so why is part of their budget still spent on heavy militarization and weapons? Why would they need it if they are truly taught these methods? SFPD has already implemented the seven best preventative measures, so why are there officers who neglect these still on the police force? What does Early Intervention even mean? SFPD has already implemented the seven best preventative measures, so why aren't SFPD's misconduct files open for public viewing? This press release is for saving face.

My last workplace in SF was robbed at knifepoint by a drunken white woman during the holidays. When the police showed up, my coworkers were laughed at and the situation was not taken seriously. They had waited until she was seen lunging a child to finally arrest her and even then we never heard of any action being taken. I fully believe they would have taken them seriously if the person in question was anyone other than white.

\$700 million for someone to laugh at my coworkers. \$700 million to almost let a child be attacked and harmed because they thought the situation was funny.

I have had cops take up seating as my last place of work to make fun of the place of business without supporting it.

\$700 million for cops to sit around and laugh at me and my coworkers working minimum wage.

SFPD has come to take a look at the population of people experiencing homelessness near my workplace and when asked if they would call or reach out to other departments or services to help them, we were told nothing is available at the moment. I'm being told homeless resources aren't available, but don't worry, there's \$700 million lying around so that a fully outfitted police officer with a nice car could tell that to my face.

We want a direct response to defunding and with that we want to see numbers. Cut police spending by 50%. There are so many empty spaces and empty houses in San Francisco. Instead of spending money to build more homes that look completely out of place in SF for "affordable" housing, look into renovating some of these tech or business buildings that are probably going to be empty soon. Look into buying multi-family SF houses that already exist. Look into what it would cost to buy and upkeep housing for marginalized people to live rent free for at least a year or 2 so they can work and build up some sort of savings for themselves

or their families. After they have, then funnel them into affordable housing so the next families can come in. I imagine \$300+ million a year would go a long way.

And honestly, learn the history of the violence used by SFPD.

In the 1930s SFPD would pocket budget money for illegal payoffs. In 1975, during the SFPD police strike, only African-American officers went to work while other officers striked, picketed, and while doing so would continue to arrest residents. They drank while on strike, shot out streetlights, and bombed the Mayor's home. Through total lack of control and abuse of violence SFPD was able to get the Mayor to grant them their strike wishes within the same month. In the 1990s drugs were stolen by cops and planted multiple times. In the early 2000s and onward cops have been known to cover-up beatings, assaults, etc. Police are being paid over \$100,000 a year to steal, beat, sexually assault, kill, plant drugs, be racist, homophobic, transphobic, sexist, etc. Last year I made \$16k. It was the highest I have ever made. To know \$80k is the starting salary for police, while most police are paid at minimum \$130k without overtime is so disgusting and disrespectful. Defund SFPD at least by half. SFPD is the crime we are worried about. And honestly, it means nothing to the residents that the Mayor lived through this. We know she has constantly asked for more police presence and funding, it's not like it's a secret. Stop with the excuses already.

On Wed, Jun 17, 2020 at 10:38 AM Heiken, Emma (MYR) <emma.heiken@sfgov.org> wrote:

Thank you for contacting the Office of Mayor London N. Breed.

Mayor Breed stands in strong solidarity with the communities across the country who are grieving the senseless killing of yet another Black life. Mayor Breed has lived and breathed this grief as members of her community and her family have died at the hands of police officers. Mayor Breed knows that San Francisco needs a Police Department which protects residents, victims, and their families and we will not stand for the violence that we've seen perpetrated against Black and Brown communities by police officers across this country.

San Francisco has made substantial progress on police reform in recent years, especially in combating use of force, avoiding bias in policing, and prioritizing police accountability, but we know there are structural inequities in our City that continue to impact our African-American community each and every day. On June 11, Mayor Breed announced her vision to fundamentally change the nature of policing in San Francisco. She proposed four priorities to achieve this vision: ending the use of police in response to non-criminal activity; addressing police bias and strengthening accountability; demilitarizing the police; and promoting economic justice. These policies build on the City's ongoing work to meet the standards contained in President Obama's 2015 Task Force on 21st Century Policing. Please see the attached press release.

The Mayor's Office has also worked tirelessly to tackle the underlying causes of these issues by investing in programs that [equitably support our youth and their development](#) and provide [more opportunities to those in need of a second chance](#). In tandem with Supervisor

Walton and the Human Rights Commission, Mayor Breed is working to identify programs for funding that can have the biggest positive impact on our Black communities. If you are interested in getting involved and providing your input on funding priorities, please email HRC-roundtable@sfgov.org to learn how to get involved.

Please do not hesitate to reach out if you have any further questions,

Emma Heiken

Supervisor of Community Affairs

Mayor's Office

City & County of San Francisco

Want to learn more or get involved? Click [here](#).

From: [Bayley McDaniel \(gmail\)](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the police
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:50:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Lauren Bayley McDaniel, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Lauren Bayley McDaniel
1864 Fell St
San Francisco CA 94117
bayley@bayleymcdaniel.com
8313255445

From: [Stacey Speer](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please reallocate police budgets
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 1:26:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Stacey Speer, and I am a resident of San Francisco and public high school teacher in the Mission District. This past week, our city and our nation have been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. San Francisco has been at the forefront of much of this action. It has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I am calling on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. Put those resources toward education, social services, and dismantling racial injustice.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Stacey Speer
staceyjspeer@gmail.com
951-259-1922

--

Stacey Speer

"What do we live for, if not to make life less difficult for each other?" —George Eliot

From: [Shara Tonn](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Breonna Taylor, George Floyd, Rayshard Brooks: Defund the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 1:43:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Shara Tonn, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past month, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is still being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

Reform is not enough, and we can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Shara Tonn
721 Arguello Blvd
San Francisco, CA 94118
shara.tonn@gmail.com

From: [Josh Reiman](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please rethink how we create safe communities in SF
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 2:23:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Joshua Reiman, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Joshua Reiman

Joshreiman@gmail.com
555 Pierce St. San Francisco, CA 94117

From: [Anna Prince](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: We need immediate action - slash the SFPD budget
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 3:08:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Anna, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Anna Prince
aprice@parkerici.org
704-650-1098

From: [Alison Pebworth](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#)
Subject: Divest SFPD--more radical Changes
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 4:08:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

I appreciate the steps you are taking to make SFPD more accountable, and the minor steps taken to defund the police.

Last night a fellow artist of color was held up by police as he was leaving his studio on 18th St. With guns aimed at him for a burglary that happened nearby he had to make a split second decision to jump back into the locked studio building he was exiting and run and hide out of fear that any wrong move he made could cost him his life. He hid in a corner of his studio under a table for 4 hours in fear as he listened to footsteps through the building.

This is how police act in our own community—there are deep flaws in the whole structure of policing. EVEN IF this artist matched a profile for someone they were looking for when he stepped out of his own building, why could they not just talk to him rather than point guns and demand he put his hands up?—sending him into panicked fear as he had to decide whether dropping the container in his hand full of small tools would spark a shooting, or risk bending over to set it down would do the same. Running is a fear response, and all too often cops take this as an admission of guilt and shoot to kill.

At first I was calling for redistribution of police funds BUT NOW I am calling for a major overhaul of the whole police department. I'm happy that you are looking at the Cahoots program in Oregon for taking police off of calls for homelessness and mental health calls, but the mere \$50 million divestment from the SFPD overall budget seems hardly enough to support this AND invest in neighborhood programs to get youth off the streets and job training for the under served. MORE MUST BE DONE!

POLICE UNIONS should be stripped of their power to reinstate police with misconduct records. The culture of protecting terroristic acts on the police force HAS to be brought out into the public and made transparent for all to see! The people, all of us, will stand behind you. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH.

I am white, this is not a privilege to stand idly by while my fellow friends, co-workers, citizens must fear for their lives every time they encounter the police alone, in their cars and even in their homes—it's a disease that is killing and deforming all of us!

PLEASE do something radical to change the concept that Police are her to enforce first, and protect last.

Sincerely,

Alison Pebworth
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: [Rachel Sadler](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMcCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD and Keep Police Out of Schools
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 4:37:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Rachel Sadler, and I am a resident of San Francisco and an SFUSD teacher. Our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Rachel Sadler
2655 Bush Street, #307
rachel.sadler@gmail.com
845-242-8804

From: [Dana Schatz](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: San Francisco Budget Priorities
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 5:15:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Dana Schatz, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Dana Schatz
danaschatz33@gmail.com
917-697-8591

From: [I Wolf](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: After the Placeholder Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 5:25:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Isabel Wolf, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This month, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. The initiative to allocate hotel rooms to unhoused San Franciscans is a start, but with no end to COVID-19 in sight we ask that you secure medical care and shelter for all community members experiencing homelessness.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Isabel Wolf
District 9 Resident
isabekwolf@icloud.com

From: [Jeremy Silver](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Expressing my concern about the state of policing
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 5:36:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed et. al.,

Until a couple weeks ago, I had never had a firearm or projectile launcher of any sort aimed at me. Now I have had the experience of being screamed at and threatened with a weapon simply for walking down the sidewalk. I never expected this to happen to me, much less so to have the perpetrators be members of the SFPD.

I am deeply upset, to put it mildly. I feel that the SFPD has demonstrated that is extraordinarily undeserving of the responsibility vested in it. I am very happy to hear that the SFPD will no longer be responding to non-criminal matters, but I do not feel this goes far enough. I urge you to radically rework the armed portion of the SFPD, and deploy its officers only when specifically requested by unarmed first responders. I also urge you to assign it a budget in line with that vastly reduced role.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Silver

235 Berry St. Apt 508
San Francisco, CA. 94158

502-502-2958

From: [Jessica Emus](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: more \$ for meaningful programming/less \$ for police
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 6:19:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Jessica Emus, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Jessica Emus

From: [Sara Allen](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Investing in Communities
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 7:44:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Sara Allen, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Sara Allen

772 6th Ave, San Francisco, CA 94118

sara.aubrey.allen@gmail.com

628-777-4433

From: [Allison Smith](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please Do the Redirect Funds to Where they are Most Needed
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 7:44:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Allison Smith, and I am a resident of San Francisco, a parent, and a professor and Dean at California College of the Arts. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Allison Smith

118 Campbell Ave.

San Francisco, CA 94134

(347) 601-9043

Allison Smith

Visual Artist and Educator

2020 Foundation for Contemporary Arts COVID-19 Grant Recipient

2021 Fleishhacker Foundation Eureka Fellow

The Word for World is Forest, CCA Wattis Institute, San Francisco, CA - virtual opening May 14, 2020

The Compass Rose, For Mason Center for Arts & Culture, San Francisco, CA - postponed until 2021

Another Crossing, The Box/ Plymouth College of Art, Plymouth, UK and Fuller Craft Museum, Brockton, MA - postponed until 2021

smithsgeneral@gmail.com

allisonsmithstudio.com

[347 601 9043](tel:3476019043)

@pewtersp00n



I am grateful to be working and living in Huichin and Yelamu, or present-day Oakland and San Francisco, respectively, on the homelands of Chochenyo and Ramaytush Ohlone peoples. This year marks 528 years of Indigenous resistance and survival in the Americas. I honor the cultural resilience of Indigenous peoples, past, present, and future, both here and around the world.

From: [Marissa Simko](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Defund the San Francisco Police, Establish a People's Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 7:44:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Marissa, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Marissa Simko

From: [anissa_malady](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: DEFUND, and break up the Police Association
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:09:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Anissa Malady

From: [Erin Perry](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:27:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Erin Perry and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Erin Perry

From: [Ivanna](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#)
Subject: It's time to defund the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 9:28:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

I'm Ivanna, a resident of San Francisco. It's no secret that our very own SFPD has been unnecessarily violent and aggressive towards Black and brown folks.

So I'm curious, how many more police have to be caught on camera committing deadly crimes and abusing their power for us to see that this system isn't working? If a teacher, fireman, doctor or person in any other profession hurt communities in the way the police have done, they'd be fired (and thrown in jail).

It's clear that our tax dollars are being wasted on supporting a police system which has failed to improve safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. For this reason, I am writing today to urge you to sign onto a budget reduction of at **least** 50% of the SFPD for the Fiscal Year of 2021. This money should be reappropriated to housing, health care, jobs, education, and other sectors that uplift and care for our communities.

I urge you to fight for your constituents' safety and wellbeing and back a budget cut to the SFPD.

Thank you,

Ivanna Patton

From: [Tim Pearce](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#)
Subject: Former teacher here — please divert police funds to schools
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 9:49:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Tim, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-blackness, and immediate reform in how black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Tim

Sent from my iPhone. Please excuse any typos.

From: [Stephanie Desnoyers](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: SF is calling out for your help - answer us by reallocating the budget and defunding the SFPD
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 10:07:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Stephanie, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Desnoyers

Inner Sunset, SF

From: [Sam Wessels](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the police and reinvest in the communities most impacted by police brutality - Black Lives Matter
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 11:08:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Sam Wessels, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past few weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness and substance use disorders, both of which are largely mental health issues, NOT criminal justice issues, and are felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Sam Wessels
160 Pierce Street, Apt 1, San Francisco, CA, 94117
swessels@wi.edu
8015507817

From: [Jamie Reeves](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund the Police
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 11:31:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Here is what I have to say today:

DEFUND THE POLICE!

Sincerely,
Jamie Reeves

From: [Carly Conrad](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Policing budget
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 6:45:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Campbell, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Campbell

Sent from my iPhone

From: [narmak_navahka](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Redistribute funds thru our communities
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 6:53:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Kamran Akhavan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. These past weeks, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. Let's be apart of starting to dismantle systemic racism.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kamran Akhavan

352 Waller street, San Francisco, CA 94117

Kaminist@gmail.com

(360) 870-5206

From: [Kelly McCarthy](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Do Right
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 7:33:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Kelly McCarthy

357 Collingwood St, apt 1, SF 94114

downyball@me.com

510-229-2577

From: [Johns, Claire](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 8:32:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Dr. Claire Johns, and I am a resident of San Francisco and resident physician at UCSF. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Claire Johns, MD

525 Nelson Rising Lane, Apt 418
San Francisco CA 94158

Claire.johns@ucsf.edu

From: [Heather Briscoe](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: What can S.F. change?
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 8:38:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Heather Briscoe, MD, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. **Overall, SF is way ahead of other cities and I am grateful to the officers who serve us, but we can do better and lead by example.**

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need. **We can divert those funds to community health workers a peers we can train up in resource allocation, de-escalation, and great allies to our community!!**

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Heather Briscoe
1225 17th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122
415-680-4645

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Shin, Minkyung](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Relocate our funds to help our children
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 8:42:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Minkyung Shin, MD, and I am a resident of San Francisco and a UCSF Pediatrician. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. **There are also many children and infants that are affected by unstable housing, as seen in our hospitals and clinics, adding to their risk of adverse childhood events which has been shown to affect many aspects of their health now and in the future.** We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Minkyung Shin, MD
UCSF Pediatrics
509-460-1201
1975 4th St, San Francisco, CA 94158

From: [Anna Dailey](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Change SFPD Budget
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 8:52:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Anna Dailey, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Anna Dailey
802 Lyon St Unit A, 94115
atdailey3@gmail.com
206-679-1789

Anna Dailey, MD (she/her)
Resident Physician, PGY1
Family and Community Medicine, UCSF

From: [Bridget Perkins](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Hear your people
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 10:44:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Chief Scott, City Manager Kelly, and San Francisco City Council Members,

My name is [insert your name], and I am a resident of [insert your city/state]. I am writing to you today to demand that you immediately redirect funding from the San Francisco Police Department towards BIPOC communities.

The senseless killings of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Tony McDade, and countless others have demonstrated the infuriating prevalence of police violence and brutality in communities across America. While these events are devastating, they should not come as a surprise. Since the 1600s, policing has been a tool to enforce the social order — an order that oppresses and brutalizes BIPOC Americans.

For too long, policy-makers and law enforcement have upheld a system founded upon racialized fear to brutalize and devastate BIPOC communities. Additionally, most policing reforms (e.g. implicit bias training, diversification, and enhanced accountability) fail to address the root problems inherent to policing, as demonstrated by the implementation (and clear failure) of these programs in the Minneapolis Police Department.

Thus, I am demanding that you take the following actions immediately:

1. Defund the San Francisco Police Department by reinvesting 50% of this budget in BIPOC communities, collaborating with leaders from these communities to identify areas of need (including, but not limited to healthcare, housing, and education). This could mean transforming the role of first responders — for most calls, social workers, mental health experts, and community leaders have the right expertise to solve the problem, as seen in Dallas.
2. Abolish qualified immunity and other legal protections for police officers who have committed acts of violence. Nearly every single officer involved in racialized violence has never faced serious punishment. Hold officers to the same legal standard as the rest of the citizenry and punish these acts of unnecessary violence.
3. Demilitarize the local police force immediately. This includes, but is not limited to, stopping the deployment of excessively militarized police (especially in the ongoing demonstrations), restricting police departments from using federal grant money for military weaponry, returning whatever military equipment has already been received, banning no-knock raids, and restricting the use of SWAT teams.
4. Open investigations into all officers with reports of police abuse and release body counts for all officers.
5. Cut all ties between the San Francisco Police Department and local public schools.
6. Ban ICE from all protests and gatherings in San Francisco.

These actions are only first steps. Racialized police brutality is a systemic problem that requires federal, state, and local action, and I am demanding that you do your part. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
[insert your name]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Colleen Briant](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Cut funding for SFPD now
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 11:27:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Colleen Briant. I am a resident of Noe Valley, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,
Colleen Briant

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please re-open our Zoo!
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 2:16:00 PM

From: Beatrice Chan <honeybea@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2020 1:58 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please re-open our Zoo!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I support SF County's efforts to seek a County Health Variance Attestation that would allow the San Francisco Zoo & Gardens to open immediately. The SF Zoo has already submitted a phased re-opening plan which benefits its members and city residents; their re-opening plan is being used by other CA zoos to open next week; and SF Zoo is a 100-acre park that already qualifies as an outdoor museum and garden. Therefore there is no health or safety reason to delay re-opening the Zoo. In fact, having the Zoo open provides a much-needed safe public open space during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

Please reconsider this and the long term mental health affects of our children.

Sincerely,

--

Beatrice Chan
 650-799-6278

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Open Zoo!
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 2:17:00 PM

From: Lacey Bastian <spaceylacey3@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2020 12:06 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Open Zoo!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please open the zoo! We need more large open outdoor activities to take kids to over the summer. Otherwise I think people will go out and do activities more likely to transfer the virus. Social dancing will be very possible at sick a large zoo.

Thanks,
Lacey

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Allowing San Francisco Zoo reopening is important for families
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 2:17:00 PM

From: Mandy Gullick <mandy.gullick@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2020 11:32 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Allowing San Francisco Zoo reopening is important for families

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

I support SF County's efforts to seek a County Health Variance Attestation that would allow the San Francisco Zoo & Gardens to open immediately. The SF Zoo has already submitted a phased re-opening plan which benefits its members and city residents; their re-opening plan is being used by other CA zoos to open next week; and SF Zoo is a 100-acre park that already qualifies as an outdoor museum and garden. Therefore there is no health or safety reason to delay re-opening the Zoo. In fact, having the Zoo open provides a much-needed safe public open space during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

Our children need an educational and stimulating outdoor place to thrive....the zoo is a perfect place with a plan for social distancing and safety measures they have submitted to the city. Please please allow the zoo to reopen.

Kind Regards,

Mandy Gullick
650-387-8346

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please allow SF Zoo to reopen!
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 2:17:00 PM

From: Don Morris <don.morris@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2020 11:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please allow SF Zoo to reopen!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

I support SF County's efforts to seek a County Health Variance Attestation that would allow the San Francisco Zoo & Gardens to open immediately. The SF Zoo has already submitted a phased re-opening plan which benefits its members and city residents; their re-opening plan is being used by other CA zoos to open next week; and SF Zoo is a 100-acre park that already qualifies as an outdoor museum and garden. Therefore there is no health or safety reason to delay re-opening the Zoo. In fact, having the Zoo open provides a much-needed safe public open space during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

Our children need an educational and stimulating outdoor place to thrive....the zoo is a perfect place with a plan for social distancing and safety measures they have submitted to the city.
Please please allow the zoo to reopen.

Kind Regards,

Dr Macdonald Morris
650-387-8347

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 5 letters regarding 5G Technology
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 4:23:00 PM
Attachments: [5 letters regarding 5G Technology.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 5 letters regarding 5G Technology.

Regards,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [madhu batheja](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose invasive and harmful 5G technology
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 2:02:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Oppose invasive and harmful 5G technology

Dear Ms. Stefani,

I am really concerned about the 5G technology that is being introduced in San Francisco and the Bay Area. I hope you will look into this matter and prevent the risks pertaining to the deployment of 5G technology.

This week, more than 150,000 people are participating in an online Summit to examine the facts pertaining to 5G. To be clear, we understand that there are indeed benefits to this technology. We also understand, however, that there are very significant risks which have not been addressed to date. As my elected representative, I urge you to examine then take immediate action to mitigate these risks.

What are the risks and negative impacts from 5G? These fit into the following broad categories: 1) adverse health impacts, 2) privacy impacts, 3) national security risks and 4) budgetary impacts.

ADVERSE HEALTH IMPACTS have been confirmed in over 3,600 medical studies that include cancer, sterility, heart conditions, exacerbation of other underlying conditions and much more including environmental impacts.

PRIVACY IMPACTS include the harvesting of personal data about citizens without their knowledge or consent. 5G facilitates granular "contact tracing" surveillance. The "business case" for 5G right now is surveillance devices and cameras, not data service for consumers.

NATIONAL SECURITY RISKS include providing hostile nations with access to sensitive military and critical infrastructure networks.

BUDGETARY IMPACTS rise from lawsuits against municipal authorities for failure to comply with current statutory protections such as those provided in the ADA and FHA and tort liability for personal injuries caused by exposure from wireless infrastructure on public right-of-way.

Individually, these risks would merit further action. Collectively, they should justify treating the matter as an urgent, immediate priority.

To be clear, to date there are no independent safety studies demonstrating the safety of prolonged exposure to the microwave and millimeter-wave frequencies; or phased array beamforming intended to be used for 5G in the future.

Thus, with thousands of studies indicating harmful bio-effect(s) from wireless radiation, and

since the wireless industry tells us that 5G requires installation of transmitters emitting wireless radiation 24 hours a day in front of every 3-10 homes, causing or facilitating the deployment of 5G and then exposing individuals against their will violates their right to bodily integrity and is a battery tort. The emissions crossing private land are a nuisance.

For a legislative template, see Burlington's Small Cell Policy, which is being used as a model in municipalities throughout the country:

www.burlington.org/town_government/small_cell_information.php

States such as Wisconsin, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Louisiana, Oregon, New York, and California have taken action to limit and exert more control over the 5G rollout.

Federal legislators including Senators Richard Blumenthal and James Gaughran; and Representatives Anna Eshoo, Thomas Suozzi, Dan Lipinski, Andy Kim, and Peter DeFazio have all formally initiated an inquiry regarding the safety of 5G technology and have requested documentation of its safety.

Countries and cities around the world, including Switzerland, Brussels, Italy, Greece, UK, Slovenia, Nigeria, New Guinea, have completely halted or postponed the roll out of 5G until its safety has been firmly established.

Leading tech and industry professionals including Frank Clegg, the former President of Microsoft Canada, have made pragmatic appeals to policy makers regarding the risks of 5G technologies (see: youtu.be/xSP2exnmJXg). Mr. Clegg has requested that his industry, the telecommunications industry, be required by policy makers to do full safety testing on 5G and pursue technological options such as fiber optics which mitigate health, privacy and security risks.

What actions can be taken? Contrary to what you may be told by federal authorities, state authorities or even your own legal counsel, there are significant actions you can take right now to join other communities in the protection of the rights of the citizens within your community.

THESE ACTIONS INCLUDE:

- 1) pursuing ordinances that require the telecom provider to comply with the ADA/FHA;
- 2) requesting applications for installation from 5G service providers stating their compliance with FCC installation requirements;
- 3) submitting these applications to FCC for environmental impact assessment; and
- 4) ensuring that electrosensitive citizens (3.2% of population on average) are provided with an environment free from harm.

Furthermore, please note that at least 23 municipalities from around the US are currently suing in the federal 9th District Court for the rights to regulate and control the rollout of 5G infrastructure in their local communities.

For more information, and/or to receive a summary of key legal facts and proven-successful steps you can take within your local government, please see “5G & Wireless Facts at a Glance” (copy-paste this link): solutionsforhumanity.net/wireless-facts-at-a-glance/

You are the last line of defense for the members of your community including your own family and friends. It is my hope that you will join with others in the pursuit of ordinances that

protects all of us.

Sincerely,

madhu batheja

bathejam@gmail.com

124 Locksley Ave. #3 San Francisco, CA 94122 Constituent

From: [Lakshmi Karna](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Your constituents are being harmed by radiation and surveillance
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:34:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Your constituents are being harmed by radiation and surveillance

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Thank you for your consideration of the misgivings that I, and many others, share regarding the risks pertaining to the deployment of 5G technology.

This week, more than 150,000 people are participating in an online Summit to examine the facts pertaining to 5G. To be clear, we understand that there are indeed benefits to this technology. We also understand, however, that there are very significant risks which have not been addressed to date. As my elected representative, I urge you to examine then take immediate action to mitigate these risks.

What are the risks and negative impacts from 5G? These fit into the following broad categories: 1) adverse health impacts, 2) privacy impacts, 3) national security risks and 4) budgetary impacts.

ADVERSE HEALTH IMPACTS have been confirmed in over 3,600 medical studies that include cancer, sterility, heart conditions, exacerbation of other underlying conditions and much more including environmental impacts.

PRIVACY IMPACTS include the harvesting of personal data about citizens without their knowledge or consent. 5G facilitates granular "contact tracing" surveillance. The "business case" for 5G right now is surveillance devices and cameras, not data service for consumers.

NATIONAL SECURITY RISKS include providing hostile nations with access to sensitive military and critical infrastructure networks.

BUDGETARY IMPACTS rise from lawsuits against municipal authorities for failure to comply with current statutory protections such as those provided in the ADA and FHA and tort liability for personal injuries caused by exposure from wireless infrastructure on public right-of-way.

Individually, these risks would merit further action. Collectively, they should justify treating the matter as an urgent, immediate priority.

To be clear, to date there are no independent safety studies demonstrating the safety of prolonged exposure to the microwave and millimeter-wave frequencies; or phased array beamforming intended to be used for 5G in the future.

Thus, with thousands of studies indicating harmful bio-effect(s) from wireless radiation, and since the wireless industry tells us that 5G requires installation of transmitters emitting

wireless radiation 24 hours a day in front of every 3-10 homes, causing or facilitating the deployment of 5G and then exposing individuals against their will violates their right to bodily integrity and is a battery tort. The emissions crossing private land are a nuisance.

For a legislative template, see Burlington's Small Cell Policy, which is being used as a model in municipalities throughout the country:

www.burlington.org/town_government/small_cell_information.php

States such as Wisconsin, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Louisiana, Oregon, New York, and California have taken action to limit and exert more control over the 5G rollout.

Federal legislators including Senators Richard Blumenthal and James Gaughran; and Representatives Anna Eshoo, Thomas Suozzi, Dan Lipinski, Andy Kim, and Peter DeFazio have all formally initiated an inquiry regarding the safety of 5G technology and have requested documentation of its safety.

Countries and cities around the world, including Switzerland, Brussels, Italy, Greece, UK, Slovenia, Nigeria, New Guinea, have completely halted or postponed the roll out of 5G until its safety has been firmly established.

Leading tech and industry professionals including Frank Clegg, the former President of Microsoft Canada, have made pragmatic appeals to policy makers regarding the risks of 5G technologies (see: youtu.be/xSP2exnmJXg). Mr. Clegg has requested that his industry, the telecommunications industry, be required by policy makers to do full safety testing on 5G and pursue technological options such as fiber optics which mitigate health, privacy and security risks.

What actions can be taken? Contrary to what you may be told by federal authorities, state authorities or even your own legal counsel, there are significant actions you can take right now to join other communities in the protection of the rights of the citizens within your community.

THESE ACTIONS INCLUDE:

- 1) pursuing ordinances that require the telecom provider to comply with the ADA/FHA;
- 2) requesting applications for installation from 5G service providers stating their compliance with FCC installation requirements;
- 3) submitting these applications to FCC for environmental impact assessment; and
- 4) ensuring that electrosensitive citizens (3.2% of population on average) are provided with an environment free from harm.

Furthermore, please note that at least 23 municipalities from around the US are currently suing in the federal 9th District Court for the rights to regulate and control the rollout of 5G infrastructure in their local communities.

For more information, and/or to receive a summary of key legal facts and proven-successful steps you can take within your local government, please see “5G & Wireless Facts at a Glance” (copy-paste this link): solutionsforhumanity.net/wireless-facts-at-a-glance/

You are the last line of defense for the members of your community including your own family and friends. It is my hope that you will join with others in the pursuit of ordinances that protects all of us.

Sincerely,
Lakshmi Karna
lakshmi.karna@att.net
540 Alabama Street, #219 San Francisco, CA 94110 Constituent

From: [Winnie Chin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Policy considerations against 5G technology
Date: Sunday, June 14, 2020 9:43:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Policy considerations against 5G technology

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Thank you for your consideration of the misgivings that I, and many others, share regarding the risks pertaining to the deployment of 5G technology.

This week, more than 150,000 people are participating in an online Summit to examine the facts pertaining to 5G. To be clear, we understand that there are indeed benefits to this technology. We also understand, however, that there are very significant risks which have not been addressed to date. As my elected representative, I urge you to examine then take immediate action to mitigate these risks.

What are the risks and negative impacts from 5G? These fit into the following broad categories: 1) adverse health impacts, 2) privacy impacts, 3) national security risks and 4) budgetary impacts.

ADVERSE HEALTH IMPACTS have been confirmed in over 3,600 medical studies that include cancer, sterility, heart conditions, exacerbation of other underlying conditions and much more including environmental impacts.

PRIVACY IMPACTS include the harvesting of personal data about citizens without their knowledge or consent. 5G facilitates granular "contact tracing" surveillance. The "business case" for 5G right now is surveillance devices and cameras, not data service for consumers.

NATIONAL SECURITY RISKS include providing hostile nations with access to sensitive military and critical infrastructure networks.

BUDGETARY IMPACTS rise from lawsuits against municipal authorities for failure to comply with current statutory protections such as those provided in the ADA and FHA and tort liability for personal injuries caused by exposure from wireless infrastructure on public right-of-way.

Individually, these risks would merit further action. Collectively, they should justify treating the matter as an urgent, immediate priority.

To be clear, to date there are no independent safety studies demonstrating the safety of prolonged exposure to the microwave and millimeter-wave frequencies; or phased array beamforming intended to be used for 5G in the future.

Thus, with thousands of studies indicating harmful bio-effect(s) from wireless radiation, and since the wireless industry tells us that 5G requires installation of transmitters emitting

wireless radiation 24 hours a day in front of every 3-10 homes, causing or facilitating the deployment of 5G and then exposing individuals against their will violates their right to bodily integrity and is a battery tort. The emissions crossing private land are a nuisance.

For a legislative template, see Burlington's Small Cell Policy, which is being used as a model in municipalities throughout the country:

www.burlington.org/town_government/small_cell_information.php

States such as Wisconsin, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Louisiana, Oregon, New York, and California have taken action to limit and exert more control over the 5G rollout.

Federal legislators including Senators Richard Blumenthal and James Gaughran; and Representatives Anna Eshoo, Thomas Suozzi, Dan Lipinski, Andy Kim, and Peter DeFazio have all formally initiated an inquiry regarding the safety of 5G technology and have requested documentation of its safety.

Countries and cities around the world, including Switzerland, Brussels, Italy, Greece, UK, Slovenia, Nigeria, New Guinea, have completely halted or postponed the roll out of 5G until its safety has been firmly established.

Leading tech and industry professionals including Frank Clegg, the former President of Microsoft Canada, have made pragmatic appeals to policy makers regarding the risks of 5G technologies (see: youtu.be/xSP2exnmJXg). Mr. Clegg has requested that his industry, the telecommunications industry, be required by policy makers to do full safety testing on 5G and pursue technological options such as fiber optics which mitigate health, privacy and security risks.

What actions can be taken? Contrary to what you may be told by federal authorities, state authorities or even your own legal counsel, there are significant actions you can take right now to join other communities in the protection of the rights of the citizens within your community.

THESE ACTIONS INCLUDE:

- 1) pursuing ordinances that require the telecom provider to comply with the ADA/FHA;
- 2) requesting applications for installation from 5G service providers stating their compliance with FCC installation requirements;
- 3) submitting these applications to FCC for environmental impact assessment; and
- 4) ensuring that electrosensitive citizens (3.2% of population on average) are provided with an environment free from harm.

Furthermore, please note that at least 23 municipalities from around the US are currently suing in the federal 9th District Court for the rights to regulate and control the rollout of 5G infrastructure in their local communities.

For more information, and/or to receive a summary of key legal facts and proven-successful steps you can take within your local government, please see “5G & Wireless Facts at a Glance” (copy-paste this link): solutionsforhumanity.net/wireless-facts-at-a-glance/

You are the last line of defense for the members of your community including your own family and friends. It is my hope that you will join with others in the pursuit of ordinances that protects all of us.

Sincerely,
Winnie Chin
winniewchin1@sonic.net
1625 Sacramento St. San Francisco, CA 94109 Constituent

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Bennett, Samuel \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: 5G science and dangers
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 10:23:00 AM

From: Amber Bryan <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 9:37 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: 5G science and dangers

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: 5G science and dangers

Dear Ms. Stefani,

Thank you for your consideration of the misgivings that I, and many others, share regarding the risks pertaining to the deployment of 5G technology.

This week, more than 150,000 people are participating in an online Summit to examine the facts pertaining to 5G. To be clear, we understand that there are indeed benefits to this technology. We also understand, however, that there are very significant risks which have not been addressed to date. As my elected representative, I urge you to examine then take immediate action to mitigate these risks.

What are the risks and negative impacts from 5G? These fit into the following broad categories: 1) adverse health impacts, 2) privacy impacts, 3) national security risks and 4) budgetary impacts.

ADVERSE HEALTH IMPACTS have been confirmed in over 3,600 medical studies that include cancer, sterility, heart conditions, exacerbation of other underlying conditions and much more including environmental impacts.

PRIVACY IMPACTS include the harvesting of personal data about citizens without their knowledge or consent. 5G facilitates granular "contact tracing" surveillance. The "business case" for 5G right now is surveillance devices and cameras, not data service for consumers.

NATIONAL SECURITY RISKS include providing hostile nations with access to sensitive military and critical infrastructure networks.

BUDGETARY IMPACTS rise from lawsuits against municipal authorities for failure to comply with current statutory protections such as those provided in the ADA and FHA and tort liability for personal injuries caused by exposure from wireless infrastructure on public right-of-way.

Individually, these risks would merit further action. Collectively, they should justify treating the matter as an urgent, immediate priority.

To be clear, to date there are no independent safety studies demonstrating the safety of prolonged exposure to the microwave and millimeter-wave frequencies; or phased array beamforming intended to be used for 5G in the future.

Thus, with thousands of studies indicating harmful bio-effect(s) from wireless radiation, and since the wireless industry tells us that 5G requires installation of transmitters emitting wireless radiation 24 hours a day in front of every 3-10 homes, causing or facilitating the deployment of 5G and then exposing individuals against their will violates their right to bodily integrity and is a battery tort. The emissions crossing private land are a nuisance.

For a legislative template, see Burlington's Small Cell Policy, which is being used as a model in municipalities throughout the country:

www.burlington.org/town_government/small_cell_information.php

States such as Wisconsin, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Louisiana, Oregon, New York, and California have taken action to limit and exert more control over the 5G rollout.

Federal legislators including Senators Richard Blumenthal and James Gaughran; and Representatives Anna Eshoo, Thomas Suozzi, Dan Lipinski, Andy Kim, and Peter DeFazio have all formally initiated an inquiry regarding the safety of 5G technology and have requested documentation of its safety.

Countries and cities around the world, including Switzerland, Brussels, Italy, Greece, UK, Slovenia, Nigeria, New Guinea, have completely halted or postponed the roll out of 5G until its safety has been firmly established.

Leading tech and industry professionals including Frank Clegg, the former President of Microsoft Canada, have made pragmatic appeals to policy makers regarding the risks of 5G technologies (see: youtu.be/xSP2exnmJXg). Mr. Clegg has requested that his industry, the telecommunications industry, be required by policy makers to do full safety testing on 5G and pursue technological options such as fiber optics which mitigate health, privacy and security risks.

What actions can be taken? Contrary to what you may be told by federal authorities, state authorities or even your own legal counsel, there are significant actions you can take right now to join other communities in the protection of the rights of the citizens within your community.

THESE ACTIONS INCLUDE:

- 1) pursuing ordinances that require the telecom provider to comply with the ADA/FHA;
- 2) requesting applications for installation from 5G service providers stating their compliance with FCC installation requirements;
- 3) submitting these applications to FCC for environmental impact assessment; and
- 4) ensuring that electrosensitive citizens (3.2% of population on average) are provided with an environment free from harm.

Furthermore, please note that at least 23 municipalities from around the US are currently suing in the federal 9th District Court for the rights to regulate and control the rollout of 5G

infrastructure in their local communities.

For more information, and/or to receive a summary of key legal facts and proven-successful steps you can take within your local government, please see “5G & Wireless Facts at a Glance” (copy-paste this link): solutionsforhumanity.net/wireless-facts-at-a-glance/

You are the last line of defense for the members of your community including your own family and friends. It is my hope that you will join with others in the pursuit of ordinances that protects all of us.

Sincerely,

Amber Bryan

amberangelica@hotmail.com

651 Scott St., #9 San Francisco, CA 94117 Constituent

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Please STOP the rollout of 5G technology!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 6:45:00 PM

From: Krista Loretto <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 11:13 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please STOP the rollout of 5G technology!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Please STOP the rollout of 5G technology!

Dear Ms. Stefani,

This technology is harmful to our health and environment. I recently listened to a summit with over 40 experts (doctors, scientists, politicians, lawyers) from around the world on the harmful effects 5G will have.

Some experts mentioned that Marin, Danville, and Switzerland have stopped the rollout of 5G.

I believe San Francisco can do the same!

If we don't stand up and stop it the effects will be irreversible. Also, the class action lawsuits will be bigger than any we have seen before.

The summit had more than 150,000 people participating to examine the facts pertaining to 5G. To be clear, we understand that there are indeed benefits to this technology. We also understand, however, that there are very significant risks that have not been addressed to date. As my elected representative, I urge you to examine then take immediate action to mitigate these risks.

What are the risks and negative impacts of 5G? These fit into the following broad categories: 1) adverse health impacts, 2) privacy impacts, 3) national security risks and 4) budgetary impacts.

ADVERSE HEALTH IMPACTS have been confirmed in over 3,600 medical studies that include cancer, sterility, heart conditions, exacerbation of other underlying conditions, and much more including environmental impacts.

PRIVACY IMPACTS include the harvesting of personal data about citizens without their knowledge or consent. 5G facilitates granular "contact tracing" surveillance. The "business case" for 5G right now is surveillance devices and cameras, not data service for consumers.

NATIONAL SECURITY RISKS include providing hostile nations with access to sensitive military and critical infrastructure networks.

BUDGETARY IMPACTS rises from lawsuits against municipal authorities for failure to comply with current statutory protections such as those provided in the ADA and FHA and tort liability for personal injuries caused by exposure from wireless infrastructure on the public right-of-way.

Individually, these risks would merit further action. Collectively, they should justify treating the matter as an urgent, immediate priority.

To be clear, to date there are no independent safety studies demonstrating the safety of prolonged exposure to the microwave and millimeter-wave frequencies; or phased array beamforming intended to be used for 5G in the future.

Thus, with thousands of studies indicating harmful bio-effects from wireless radiation, and since the wireless industry tells us that 5G requires the installation of transmitters emitting wireless radiation 24 hours a day in front of every 3-10 homes, causing or facilitating the deployment of 5G and then exposing individuals against their will violates their right to bodily integrity and is a battery tort. The emissions crossing private land are a nuisance.

For a legislative template, see Burlington's Small Cell Policy, which is being used as a model in municipalities throughout the country:

www.burlington.org/town_government/small_cell_information.php

States such as Wisconsin, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Louisiana, Oregon, New York, and California have taken action to limit and exert more control over the 5G rollout.

Federal legislators including Senators Richard Blumenthal and James Gaughran; and Representatives Anna Eshoo, Thomas Suozzi, Dan Lipinski, Andy Kim, and Peter DeFazio have all formally initiated an inquiry regarding the safety of 5G technology and have requested documentation of its safety.

Countries and cities around the world, including Switzerland, Brussels, Italy, Greece, UK, Slovenia, Nigeria, New Guinea, have completely halted or postponed the rollout of 5G until its safety has been firmly established.

Leading tech and industry professionals including Frank Clegg, the former President of Microsoft Canada, have made pragmatic appeals to policymakers regarding the risks of 5G technologies (see: youtu.be/xSP2exnmJXg). Mr. Clegg has requested that his industry, the telecommunications industry, be required by policymakers to do full safety testing on 5G and pursue technological options such as fiber optics which mitigate health, privacy, and security risks.

What actions can be taken? Contrary to what you may be told by federal authorities, state authorities, or even your own legal counsel, there are significant actions you can take right now to join other communities in the protection of the rights of the citizens within your community.

THESE ACTIONS INCLUDE:

- 1) pursuing ordinances that require the telecom provider to comply with the ADA/FHA;
- 2) requesting applications for installation from 5G service providers stating their compliance with FCC installation requirements;
- 3) submitting these applications to FCC for environmental impact assessment; and
- 4) ensuring that electrosensitive citizens (3.2% of the population on average) are provided with an environment free from harm.

Furthermore, please note that at least 23 municipalities from around the US are currently suing in the federal 9th District Court for the rights to regulate and control the rollout of 5G infrastructure in their local communities.

For more information, and/or to receive a summary of key legal facts and proven-successful steps you can take within your local government, please see “5G & Wireless Facts at a Glance” (copy-paste this link): solutionsforhumanity.net/wireless-facts-at-a-glance/

You are the last line of defense for the members of your community including your own family and friends. It is my hope that you will join with others in the pursuit of ordinances that protects all of us.

Sincerely,

Krista Loretto

kristaspenceloretto@yahoo.com

1261 29th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94122 Constituent

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: To: Rules Committee Chair Hillary Ronen and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:27:00 PM
Attachments: [Cyn - Letter of Support - Entertainment Commission - Google Docs.pdf](#)

From: Ewan Barker-Plummer <ewan@barkerplummer.org>

Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:07 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Bennett, Samuel (BOS) <samuel.bennett@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Beinart, Amy (BOS) <amy.beinart@sfgov.org>; Herzstein, Daniel (BOS) <daniel.herzstein@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong1@sfgov.org>; Wright, Edward (BOS) <edward.w.wright@sfgov.org>; Quan, Daisy (BOS) <daisy.quan@sfgov.org>; Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>

Subject: To: Rules Committee Chair Hillary Ronen and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please find attached a letter in support of Cynthia (Cyn) Wang's appointment to the Entertainment Committee.

Thank you.

Ewan Barker Plummer
ewan@barkerplummer.org
@ewan_sf (he/him)

Dear Chair Ronen, Supervisor Mar, and Supervisor Stefani:

My name is Ewan Barker Plummer, and I am a youth activist and organizer in San Francisco. I am writing in support of Cynthia (Cyn) Wang's appointment to the Entertainment Commission.

Cyn Wang is the CFO of her family business Wang Insurance, which manages the risk management needs of over 1,000 restaurants and entertainment establishments throughout the state. She has been our insurance provider for many years and has a deep understanding of the challenges faced by the entertainment industry. As a community activist, I have witnessed the connections Cyn has to our local community of small businesses.

Cyn has had extensive experience in public policy, law, and economic development. She was a U.S. diplomat during the Obama Administration and has also served as assistant city attorney to several cities, where she specialized in municipal law, planning/land use, and code enforcement - all issues that will come before the Entertainment Commission in its effort to enhance, rebuild, and regulate nightlife and entertainment in San Francisco.

Cyn is also a queer native San Franciscan, child of immigrants, mom to an SFUSD kindergartener, and speaks Mandarin and Spanish. She found her identity in San Francisco's queer nightlife scene and will be a champion for this community. Cyn represents the rich diversity of our city and brings deep community roots.

In this time of uncertainty for our city, I can't think of anyone better equipped to serve on the Entertainment Commission. I hope you will give deep consideration to Cyn Wang and will vote in favor of her appointment. Thank you for your time.

In peace,

Ewan Barker Plummer

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Letter in Support of Cyn Wang's Appointment to the Entertainment Commission
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 7:30:00 AM

From: Nadia Rahman <nadia@nadiarahmansf.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2020 10:58 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Bennett, Samuel (BOS) <samuel.bennett@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Beinart, Amy (BOS) <amy.beinart@sfgov.org>; Herzstein, Daniel (BOS) <daniel.herzstein@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong1@sfgov.org>; Wright, Edward (BOS) <edward.w.wright@sfgov.org>; Quan, Daisy (BOS) <daisy.quan@sfgov.org>; Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter in Support of Cyn Wang's Appointment to the Entertainment Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Ronen, Supervisor Mar, and Supervisor Stefani:

My name is Nadia Rahman and I am an activist and organizer living in San Francisco's Richmond District. I am writing in support of Cynthia (Cyn) Wang's appointment to the Entertainment Commission. Cyn and I have known each other since 2018 and met while serving as board members for the United Democratic Club.

Cyn is extremely qualified and will be a valuable addition to the Entertainment Commission. She is one of the most hard working, effective and productive people I have known professionally and personally. She currently serves as CFO of Wang Insurance, her family's homegrown Sunset District based small business, which serves over 1000 restaurants and entertainment establishments throughout California. She has an intimate understanding of the concerns and needs of the nightlife and entertainment sector as a result of her day-to-day work.

Prior to Wang Insurance, Cyn was a U.S. diplomat during the Obama Administration and also previously served as assistant city attorney to several cities, where she specialized in municipal law, planning/land use, and code enforcement - all issues that will come before the Entertainment Commission in its effort to enhance, rebuild, and regulate nightlife and entertainment in San Francisco. Cyn will bring her background in zoning, small business, and public policy to her service on the Entertainment Commission and would be a major asset in supporting the recovery of our nightlife and entertainment sectors during a critical time.

In addition, Cyn is also a queer native San Franciscan, child of immigrants, mom to a SFUSD

kindergartener, and speaks Mandarin and Spanish. She found her identity in San Francisco's queer nightlife scene and will be a champion for this community. Cyn represents the rich diversity of our city and brings deep community roots.

Most importantly, Cyn has demonstrated a willingness to listen to the needs of business owners, and is passionate about rebuilding and revitalizing the small business sector. Cyn will bring this energy, experience, and passion to her role on the Entertainment Commission.

Sincerely,

Nadia Rahman 駱雯

She / Her

[Instagram](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Facebook](#): @nadiarahmansf
nadiarahmansf.com

Are you registered to vote? Check your status and register to vote at Vote.org.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Heal;thcare Directive & Public Safety
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:26:00 PM

From: Fred Winograd <fwinograd@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2020 1:39 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; DPH, Health Commission (DPH) <healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org>; SFPD, Commission (POL) <sfpd.commission@sfgov.org>; SFPD, Chief (POL) <sfpdchief@sfgov.org>

Cc: Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Kositsky, Jeff (DEM) <Jeff.Kositsky@sfgov.org>

Subject: Heal;thcare Directive & Public Safety

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We are a group of neighbors who live in the Castro and all members of our group are over 60 and thus vulnerable to COVID. All of us have been following the rules and have maintained good social distancing. Our life depends on it.

On May 28, 2020, the Department of Public Health issued a revised directive on the wearing of face mask in public space. We are now, more than ever, concerned about the tent dwellers who are camping on our streets right at our doorsteps. By my reading of the Health Directive, excerpted below, the tent dwellers I see every day are not obeying this directive. These individuals pose a real threat to our health and well being. Our lives are on the line.

Recently, in our neighborhood, a Safe Sleeping Village was established at the Everett Middle School. We insist that the street tents that are not following the directive be either moved to the Safe Sleeping site or made to leave our neighborhood.

Having a directive issued without any enforcement is unacceptable and serves no purpose at all in the community.

Presently, the police are under extreme pressure with conflicting issues. We ask that the General Order of the department be modified to be strictly enforce this new directive. It is vital for our community and needs priority.

When will enforcement of the rules be followed in our city?

[Link To The Directive](#)

---EXCERPTS FROM ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12b DATED
5/28/2020-----

Item at bottom of page 1.

In sum, going forward and for as long as this Order remains in effect as needed to address the pandemic, people must, unless an exception applies, wear a Face Covering when outside and when anyone else other than just members of their household or living unit is within 30 feet (10 yards).

Item 12. on page 9:

Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and immediate menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Demand removal of Christopher Columbus statue
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:24:00 PM

From: Lisa Vu <lisav0206@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 9:39 AM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>

Subject: Demand removal of Christopher Columbus statue

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mayor Breed and Supervisors,

I'm writing today to demand the removal of the Christopher Columbus statue that is still for some reason standing near Coit Tower. There is no reason to still have a statue of a man who was responsible for the genocide of American Indians, which is very inconsistent with what you all say the City of San Francisco stands for, especially for a city that now celebrates "Indigenous People's Day" and operates on Native land.

The placement of this statue in such a popular tourist destination sends a wrong message about what this city prioritizes. The reason of leaving this statue up "for Italian American Heritage" is no longer a valid excuse, especially since it's not too different from the reasons that people in the south defend leaving up confederate flags and statues. I hope you please take this seriously into consideration.

Best,
Lisa

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Remove Pioneer Square's Christopher Columbus Statue
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:45:00 AM

From: Matt Cottrill <matt.cottrill@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 9:49 AM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Chu, Carmen (ASR) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; District Attorney, (DAT) <districtattorney@sfgov.org>; SFSO Complaints (SHF) <sfsocomplaints@sfgov.org>; Cisneros, Jose (TTX) <jose.cisneros@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>

Subject: Remove Pioneer Square's Christopher Columbus Statue

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed and the Board of Supervisors,

We are in a momentous time of change in our country and the time for progress is now. I am a 17 year resident of San Francisco and helped in the efforts to remove the Early Days Pioneer Monument in 2018 to no longer celebrate and glorify the genocidal history of the original peoples of this continent. I am part-indigenous myself (Shawnee and Cree nations) and grew up in the shadow of a state capital named after Christopher Columbus.

The myth of Columbus is one of the earliest indoctrination tools used for systematic racism that is force fed to primary school children in America. The myth that European people "discovered" America is a foundation to pave the way for 11 to 12 subsequent years of Eurocentric education.

It's time we stopped this mythos and replaced it with the truth. This week we have seen the removal (by edict or by force) of several monuments to Christopher Columbus. From the colonial cradle of Boston to the bison murder fields of Minnesota, my indigenous brothers and sisters are finally being heard and those relics are coming down.

Now it is time to turn towards San Francisco where a 13 foot tall statue of this man stands tall at the base of Coit Tower. I am writing to you to request the removal of this statue from public space.

This man does not deserve to be honored for anything other than the genocide and looting that he caused from coast to coast of Turtle Island. And if the people of North Beach raise a statue in its

place, it should be more truthful to the history of Italian immigrants of the early 20th century who experienced a form of racial prejudice when they first arrived in America. We need to undo the indoctrination teachings of this country to help spread racial justice from corner to corner of this nation.

Change Now,

Matt Cottrill, Principal

The Craft [interior architecture]

Licensed California Architect, AIA, NCARB, LEED AP ID+C

935 Sutter St, Apt. 32

San Francisco, CA 94109

Please note the new changes to my contact information:

415.857.2106 New Business Line

415.786.8156 Personal Line

matt.cottrill@thecraft-interiorarchitecture.com New Business Email

matt.cottrill@gmail.com Personal Email

From: [Lily Donovan-Seid](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Gabriela Lopez](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Remove the Christopher Columbus statue by Coit Tower
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 3:29:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'm writing because the State Capital and the country has set the precedent — let's please take this statue down ASAP.

There are an amazing number of SF folks, indigenous folks, maybe even Diego Rivera who painted the murals there, and I'm sure we could find someone appropriate to replace him with — but we need to take him down immediately.

Thank you,

Lily Donovan
600 Page St, San Francisco, CA 94117
415-324-0004

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: CRA Restrictive Hiring Oppose Letter - BOS File No. 200455
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:09:00 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

From: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 10:26 AM
To: Katie Hansen <khansen@calrest.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: CRA Restrictive Hiring Oppose Letter - BOS File No. 200455

Thank you for your message. I have added the communication letter to the file for this ordinance.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, the letter will be forwarded to the full Board of Supervisors.

Regards,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Katie Hansen <khansen@calrest.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 9:23 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: CRA Restrictive Hiring Oppose Letter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings,

CRA would like to respectfully submit a letter in opposition to agenda item #200455 scheduled for the Government Audit & Oversight Committee meeting on June 18, 2020.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions regarding our position.

Thank you,
Katie Hansen

Katie Hansen
Senior Legislative Director
California Restaurant Association
621 Capitol Mall, Suite 2000
Sacramento, CA 95814
T: 800.765.4842/ 916.431.2773
F: 916.447.6182
E: khansen@calrest.org
www.calrest.org

We're here for you.

Visit our [website](http://www.calrest.org) for all you need to know about COVID-19.



While all information released by the California Restaurant Association (CRA) is intended to provide accurate information on the subject covered, the CRA does not provide legal advice and any information provided by the CRA shall not constitute legal advice. You are encouraged to consult your attorney, accountant, or other appropriate professional, as needed.

Confidentiality note:

This electronic message transmission contains information from the California Restaurant Association which may be confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited.

If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at 800.765.4842.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Make Juneteenth a holiday that benefits you and our community!
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:10:00 PM

From: Kelsey Forstrom <kelseyforstrom@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 11:29 AM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Chu, Carmen (ASR) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; District Attorney, (DAT) <districtattorney@sfgov.org>; SFSO Complaints (SHF) <sfsocomplaints@sfgov.org>; Cisneros, Jose (TTX) <jose.cisneros@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>; MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; Gabriela Lopez <GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu>; AlisonMCollins <AlisonMCollins@sfusd.edu>; StevonCook <StevonCook@sfusd.edu>; JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu

Subject: Make Juneteenth a holiday that benefits you and our community!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

Thank you for your time and duties as a public servant. I'm reaching out today in hope that you will act within your leadership to recognize Juneteenth (June 19) as a day of freedom and the week of June 13-19 as an annual moment for recognition of the many contributions made by African Americans in this country. We are reminded that there is still more work to be done. This moment is long overdue.

I stand in solidarity with a community of citizens and organizers working to make Juneteenth an official recognized celebration.

This effort is made to move us forward on issues of recognition, fair treatment, police violence, housing and economic fairness for Black Americans.

For more information about Juneteenth, please visit here: <https://www.hellajuneteenth.com/>

I would appreciate your careful consideration of this request.

Thank you,

Kelsey Forstrom

kelseyforstrom@gmail.com

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Hunters Point Community Biomonitoring Program Cluster Mapping
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 12:07:00 PM
Attachments: [476B37C9-694B-414E-A425-5539C455A41C.png](#)

-----Original Message-----

From: Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD NSCA-CPT <ahimsaportersumchaimd@comcast.net>
 Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 10:05 AM
 To: Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>; Aragon, Tomas (DPH) <tomas.aragon@sfdph.org>; Seidel, Keith (DPH) <keith.seidel@sfdph.org>; Derek Robinson <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>; laura.duchnak@navy.mil; ramona.tascoe@alumni.ucsf.edu; Raymond Tompkins <rtomp@sbcglobal.net>; Bouagnon, Aude (UCSF) <Aude.Bouagnon@ucsf.edu>; kathryn.higley@oregonstate.edu; Yolanda Sanchez <sanchez.yolanda@epa.gov>; bradley@greenaction.org; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; DPH, Health Commission (DPH) <HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org>; SFPD, Commission (POL) <SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org>; Harrison, Robert (UCSF) <Robert.Harrison@ucsf.edu>; King, Talmadge (UCSF) <Talmadge.King@ucsf.edu>; Bibbins-Domingo, Kirsten (UCSF) <kirsten.bibbins-domingo@ucsf.edu>; sotf@brucewolfe.net; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Ethics Commission, (ETH) <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>; hpbiomonitoring@comcast.net; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Heckel, Hank (MYR) <hank.heckel@sfgov.org>; john.balmes@medicine.ucsf.edu; Fosdahl, Patrick (DPH) <Patrick.Fosdahl@sfdph.org>; info@hpscac.com; info@kamalaharris.org; info@wlbinsitute.org; info@sfhpn.com; info@sfbaypsr.org
 Cc: cbloggy@gmail.com; jason.fagone@sfchronicle.com; Dizikes, Cynthia <CDizikes@sfchronicle.com>; MGray@sfchronicle.com; STEVE zELTZER <lvsf@igc.org>; killeryellow@berkeley.edu; keith.menconi@kcbsradio.com; Jennifer Bloch <JBloch@cpmllegal.com>; cbonner799@aol.com; mrs.nikcolecunningham@gmail.com; Lee Cunningham <classicconvo@yahoo.com>; jeanobay@comcast.net; carolyn.nash1143@gmail.com
 Subject: Hunters Point Community Biomonitoring Program Cluster Mapping

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is the mapping of the 30 screenings HP Biomonitoring has completed to date. There are outstanding tests. Each urine toxicology screening detects 35 toxic and nutrient elements. For this mapping we focused on four. They are Arsenic, Gadolinium, Manganese and Vanadium. All four are chemicals and radionuclides of concern at HPNS.

The mapping does not fully capture the Arsenic/Gadolinium/Manganese/Vanadium cluster because only one pin is assigned for each screening. I had to pin the element present in the highest concentrations. In nine screenings three out of the four elements arsenic/gadolinium/manganese and vanadium are present. In one screening all four are present in elevated concentrations.

You can clearly appreciate the clustering of arsenic and gadolinium around the shipyard. I believe the South Basin region is most affected. We clearly need more data points. Both arsenic and gadolinium are potentially waterborne. Nuclear submarines were docked at HPNS and Gadolinium is used for neutron shielding of nuclear propulsion systems.

I was instructed by ATSDR to inform the California Department of Public Health about the arsenic cluster and will do so today. I do not expect San Francisco city government and the San Francisco Department of Public Health to ignore an arsenic cluster. It would be highly unethical to do so.

The Hunters Point Community Biomonitoring Program has been awarded the CBS Jefferson Award to be announced in July 2020.

Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD - Golden State MD Health & Wellness

<http://www.AhimsaPorterSumchaiMD.com/#about>

<https://SFBayview.com/2019/12/HP-Biomonitoring-Awarded-Packard-Foundation-Grant/>
(<https://sfbayview.com/2019/12/hp-biomonitoring-awarded-packard-foundation-grant/>)



Hanson Aggregates

Pier 96

INDIA BASIN

SILVER TERRACE

BAYVIEW

PORTOLA PLACE

HUNTERS POINT

Candlestick Point
State Recreation Area

Google MyMaps

Map data ©2019 Go

Toxic Elements

Results in µg/g creatinine

Element	Reference Range	TMPL	Reference Range
Lead	0.3		<= 1.4
Mercury	0.25		<= 2.19
Aluminum	5.5		<= 22.3
Antimony	0.016		<= 0.149
Arsenic		>64	<= 50
Barium	0.2		<= 6.7
Bismuth	<dl		<= 2.28
Cadmium	0.32		<= 0.64
Cesium	4.3		<= 10.5
Gadolinium	0.019		<= 0.019

Nutrient Elements

Results in µg/g creatinine

Element	Reference Range	Reference Range
Chromium	4.4	0.6-9.4
Cobalt	0.14	0.01-2.60
Copper	7.3	4.0-11.4
Iron	22	5-64
Lithium	14	9-129
Manganese	1.04	0.03-1.16
Molybdenum	51	15-175
Selenium	202	32-333
Strontium	38	47-346
Vanadium	5.6	0.1-3.2

TABLE 8-2 (Continued)

**POTENTIAL RADIONUCLIDES OF CONCERN AT HPS
HISTORICAL RADIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT
HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
POTENTIAL RADIONUCILDES OF CONCERN**

Notes:

Am	Americium
Ba	Barium
Bi	Bismuth
C	Carbon
Cl	Chlorine
Cm	Curium
Co	Cobalt
Cs	Cesium
Eu	Europium
Gd	Gadolinium
H-3	Tritium
I	Iodine
In	Indium
K	Potassium
Kr	Krypton
Na	Sodium
Nb	Niobium
Ni	Nickel
Np	Neptunium
Pb	Lead
Pu	Plutonium
Ra	Radium
Sr	Strontium
Tc	Technetium
Th	Thorium
Ti	Titanium
Tl	Thallium
U	Uranium
+	Positron

Cancer Risks and Hazard Indices, IR 59, Parcel A - 2002 PRGs

Chemical of Concern	HPAL (mg/kg)	Exposure Point Concentration (mg/kg)	EPA 2002 non-cancer PRG (mg/kg)	EPA 2002 cancer PRG (mg/kg)	Hazard Quotient	Cancer Risk
Aluminum		11118.1	7.6E+04		0.15	
Antimony	9.05	NA	3.1E+01			
Arsenic*	11.1	4.52	2.2E+01	3.9E-01	0.21	1.2E-05
Barium	314.36	100.3	5.4E+03		0.02	
Beryllium*	0.71	NA	1.5E+02	1.1E+03		
Cadmium*	3.14	NA	1.7E+00	1.4E+03		
Chromium*	99.14	94.93	2.1E+02	2.2E+02	0.45	4.3E-07
Cobalt*	19.11	14.64	1.4E+03	9.0E+02	0.01	1.6E-08
Copper*	124.31	17.05	3.1E+03		0.01	
Lead	8.99	70.66	1.5E+02		0.47	
Manganese*	1431	416.59	1.8E+03		0.23	
Mercury*	2.28	0.1	6.1E+00		0.02	
Molybdenum	2.68	NA	3.9E+02			
Nickel*	92.85	70.45	1.6E+03		0.04	
Selenium	1.95	NA	3.9E+02			
Silver	1.43	NA	3.9E+02			
Thallium*	0.81	NA	5.2E+00			
Vanadium	117.17	51.88	5.5E+02		0.09	
Zinc	109.86	65.39	2.3E+04		0.00	
TOTAL					1.70	1.2E-05

* denotes PRGs that have changed since 1995. The most significant changes are seen in chromium, cadmium, and nickel. The reasons for some of these changes are as follows:

Chromium was previously thought to be a carcinogen by the oral route of exposure, but that cannot be determined with current research, according to the EPA. This has caused the PRG to be less stringent.

A cancer PRG has now been developed for cobalt.

There is no longer a cancer PRG for nickel as soluble salts.

For more toxicology information on a particular metal, visit <http://www.epa.gov/iris/> or

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: 6/22/20 Environmental and Reuse Subcommittee Meeting Agenda
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 7:47:00 AM
Attachments: [476B37C9-694B-414E-A425-5539C455A41C.png](#)
[B236613A-4965-41EA-9C18-E6A5E68A993B.png](#)
[70807FC5-18FA-4B7D-9718-01222484D671.png](#)

From: Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD NSCA-CPT <ahimsaportersumchaimd@comcast.net>

Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2020 7:03 AM

To: info@hpscac.com; ramona.tascoe@alumni.ucsf.edu; Aragon, Tomas (DPH) <tomas.aragon@sfdph.org>; Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; DPH, Health Commission (DPH) <HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Cc: Heckel, Hank (MYR) <hank.heckel@sfgov.org>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; sotf@brucewolfe.net; bradley@greenaction.org; Yolanda Sanchez <sanchez.yolanda@epa.gov>; davidantonlaw@gmail.com; Derek Robinson <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>; laura.duchnak@navy.mil; kathryn.higley@oregonstate.edu; llparker1@gmail.com; Dhirsch1@cruzio.com; Raymond Tompkins <rtomp@sbcglobal.net>; cbonner799@aol.com; Elaine Brown <sistereb@bellsouth.net>; Francisco DaCosta <fdc1947@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: 6/22/20 Environmental and Reuse Subcommittee Meeting Agenda

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I will attend and will present for public comment the findings of the Hunters Point Community Biomonitoring Programs

Arsenic/Gadolinium/Manganese/Vanadium South Basin Cluster. These are findings from the Parcel E, Parcel F, South Basin region. I will report any Sunshine Ordinance Violations that obstruct my public comment on this matter of life and death!

Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD - Golden State MD Health & Wellness

<http://www.AhimsaPorterSumchaiMD.com/#about>

From: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Five Youth Commission Actions from June 15, 2020
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 8:12:00 AM
Attachments: [200592 Youth Commission 1920-RBM-10.pdf](#)
[1920-AL-11 FINAL RESOLUTION Youth Services Under Shelter In Place 6.15.20.pdf](#)
[1920-AL-12 FINAL RESOLUTION to End SFUSD - SFPD MOU 6.15.20.pdf](#)
[June 15, 2020 Youth Commission- Five Actions.pdf](#)
[image002.png](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please find below the Youth Commission Memorandum and attachments detailing actions from their June 15, 2020 meeting.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Youthcom, (BOS) <youthcom@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 8:22 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) <rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; Lam, Jenny (MYR) <jenny.h.lam@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; Su, Maria (CHF) <maria.su@dcyf.org>; Faed, Pegah (CHF) <pegah.faed@sfgov.org>; B, Alecia (CHF) <alecia.b@sfgov.org>; Kahn, Abigail (DPH) <abigail.kahn@sfdph.org>; Miyamoto, Paul (SHF) <paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org>; chesa.boudin@sfgov.org; Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>; Scott, William (POL) <william.scott@sfgov.org>; llightman@sftc.org; Fletcher, Karen (ADP) <karen.fletcher@sfgov.org>; Bell, Lauren (ADP) <lauren.bell@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>; Morris, Geoffrea (ADP) <geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org>; Truong, Austin (BOS) <austin.truong@sfgov.org>; Estrada, Itzel (BOS) <itzel.estrada@sfgov.org>; Hosmon, Kiely (BOS) <kiely.hosmon@sfgov.org>
Subject: Five Youth Commission Actions from June 15, 2020

YOUTH COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor London Breed
 Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

CC: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
 Rebecca Peacock, Mayor's Government Affairs Team support
 Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
 Jenny Lam, Mayor's Education Advisor
 Legislative Aides, Board of Supervisors
 Maria Su, Executive Director, Department of Children Youth and Their Families
 Dr. Pegah Faed, Director, Our Children, Our Families Council

Alecia Barillas, Council Coordinator, Our Children, Our Families Council
Abigail Stewart-Kahn, Interim Director, Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
Sheriff Paul Miyamoto
Chesa Boudin, District Attorney, Office of the District Attorney
Dr. Grant Colfax, Director, Department of Public Health
Chief William Scott, Chief of Police, San Francisco Police Department
Lisa Lightman, Director, SF Collaborative Courts
Katherine Weinstein Miller, Chief Juvenile Probation Officer, SF Juvenile Probation Department
Karen Fletcher, Chief Adult Probation Officer
Lauren Bell, Reentry Division Director
Public Defender Manohar Raju
Geoffrea Morris, Reentry Policy Planner, Reentry Division, Adult Probation Department

FROM: 2019-2020 Youth Commission

DATE: Tuesday, June 16, 2020

RE: Five Youth Commission Actions from June 15, 2020: unanimous support for BOS File No. 200592 [Resolution Urging the Civil Service Commission to Establish Disqualifying Standards for Applicants for Position of Police Officer and Sheriff Deputy Applicants Based on Misconduct]; unanimous opposition for "Floyd Rule Legislation"; motion to approve Resolution No. 1920-AL-12 [Urging the San Francisco Unified School District to end its affiliation with the San Francisco Police Department by disbanding the SFPD/SFUSD Memorandum of Understanding]; motion to approve Resolution No. 1920-AL-11 [Youth Services and Programs – City Response to COVID-19 Pandemic]; motion to approve the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for FY 20-21, 21-22

At its virtual meeting on Monday, June 15, 2020 the Youth Commission took the following actions:

1. Youth Commissioners voted unanimously to support BOS File No. 200592 [Resolution Urging the Civil Service Commission to Establish Disqualifying Standards for Applicants for Position of Police Officer and Sheriff Deputy Applicants Based on Misconduct]; A record of their response, with recommendations, can be viewed in referral response no. 1920-RBM-10 ([PDF](#)) (attached).
2. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to oppose USF School of Law's proposed "Floyd Rule Legislation" proposal with the following comments:
 - This proposal is telling black and brown people, that police are going to continue brutality and murder and this legislation would not safe guard the community
 - Even if this is realized, a lot can go wrong - excuses will continue to happen even if the proposal tries to protect
 - This proposal has blanket feel good statements and will come into nothing effective
 - This holds a band aid mentality we can't currently control and is not where we need to be going
 - This is not a feasible way to hold police accountable. We need to lessen police responsibilities and increase other professionals in restorative justice or mental health that does not involve police in handling certain situation that have lead to many police killings
 - Youth would not feel comfortable telling a police officer to stop, they already don't and just because it could be a policy doesn't mean it would be implemented. Police already make up excuses and get away with the existing protocols in place.

3. Youth Commissioners voted unanimously to suspend their bylaws and to approve on a first reading Resolution No. 1920-AL-12 [Urging the San Francisco Unified School District to end its affiliation with the San Francisco Police Department by disbanding the SFPD/SFUSD Memorandum of Understanding] ([PDF](#)) (attached).
4. Youth Commissioners voted unanimously to approve Resolution No. 1920-AL-11 [Youth Services and Programs – City Response to COVID-19 Pandemic] ([PDF](#)) (attached).
5. Youth Commissioners voted unanimously to approve the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for FY 20-21, 21-22.

Please do not hesitate to contact Youth Commissioners or Youth Commission staff (415) 554-6446 with any questions. Thank you.



YOUTH COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor London Breed
Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

CC: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Rebecca Peacock, Mayor's Government Affairs Team support
Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
Jenny Lam, Mayor's Education Advisor
Legislative Aides, Board of Supervisors
Maria Su, Executive Director, Department of Children Youth and Their Families
Dr. Pegah Faed, Director, Our Children, Our Families Council
Alecia Barillas, Council Coordinator, Our Children, Our Families Council
Abigail Stewart-Kahn, Interim Director, Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
Sheriff Paul Miyamoto
Chesa Boudin, District Attorney, Office of the District Attorney
Dr. Grant Colfax, Director, Department of Public Health
Chief William Scott, Chief of Police, San Francisco Police Department
Lisa Lightman, Director, SF Collaborative Courts
Katherine Weinstein Miller, Chief Juvenile Probation Officer, SF Juvenile Probation Department
Karen Fletcher, Chief Adult Probation Officer
Lauren Bell, Reentry Division Director
Public Defender Manohar Raju
Geoffrea Morris, Reentry Policy Planner, Reentry Division, Adult Probation Department

FROM: 2019-2020 Youth Commission

DATE: Tuesday, June 16, 2020

RE: Five Youth Commission Actions from June 15, 2020: unanimous support for BOS File No. 200592 [Resolution Urging the Civil Service Commission to Establish Disqualifying Standards for Applicants for Position of Police Officer and Sheriff Deputy Applicants Based on Misconduct]; unanimous opposition for "Floyd Rule Legislation"; motion to approve Resolution No. 1920-AL-12 [Urging the San Francisco Unified School District to end its affiliation with the San Francisco Police Department by disbanding the SFPD/SFUSD Memorandum of Understanding]; motion to approve Resolution No. 1920-AL-11 [Youth Services and Programs – City Response to COVID-19 Pandemic]; motion to approve the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for FY 20-21, 21-22

At its virtual meeting on Monday, June 15, 2020 the Youth Commission took the following actions:



1. Youth Commissioners voted unanimously to support BOS File No. 200592 [Resolution Urging the Civil Service Commission to Establish Disqualifying Standards for Applicants for Position of Police Officer and Sheriff Deputy Applicants Based on Misconduct]; A record of their response, with recommendations, can be viewed in referral response no. 1920-RBM-10 ([PDF](#)) (attached).
2. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to oppose USF School of Law's proposed "Floyd Rule Legislation" proposal with the following comments:
 - This proposal is telling black and brown people, that police are going to continue brutality and murder and this legislation would not safe guard the community
 - Even if this is realized, a lot can go wrong - excuses will continue to happen even if the proposal tries to protect
 - This proposal has blanket feel good statements and will come into nothing effective
 - This holds a band aid mentality we can't currently control and is not where we need to be going
 - This is not a feasible way to hold police accountable. We need to lessen police responsibilities and increase other professionals in restorative justice or mental health that does not involve police in handling certain situation that have lead to many police killings
 - Youth would not feel comfortable telling a police officer to stop, they already don't and just because it could be a policy doesn't mean it would be implemented. Police already make up excuses and get away with the existing protocols in place.
3. Youth Commissioners voted unanimously to suspend their bylaws and to approve on a first reading Resolution No. 1920-AL-12 [Urging the San Francisco Unified School District to end its affiliation with the San Francisco Police Department by disbanding the SFPD/SFUSD Memorandum of Understanding] ([PDF](#)) (attached).
4. Youth Commissioners voted unanimously to approve Resolution No. 1920-AL-11 [Youth Services and Programs – City Response to COVID-19 Pandemic] ([PDF](#)) (attached).
5. Youth Commissioners voted unanimously to approve the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for FY 20-21, 21-22.

Please do not hesitate to contact Youth Commissioners or Youth Commission staff (415) 554-6446 with any questions. Thank you.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Kiely Hosmon, Director, Youth Commission

FROM: John Carroll, Assistant Clerk,
Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee

DATE: June 10, 2020

SUBJECT: LEGISLATIVE MATTER INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee has received the following resolution, introduced by Supervisor Walton on June 2, 2020. This item is being referred for comment and recommendation.

File No. 200592

Resolution urging the Civil Service Commission to adopt rules to disqualify any applicant for employment in the Uniformed Ranks of the Police Department and the Sheriff's Office based on prior acts of misconduct.

Please return this cover sheet with the Commission's response to John Carroll, Assistant Clerk, Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee.

RESPONSE FROM YOUTH COMMISSION Date: June 15, 2020

☐ No Comment
☒ Recommendation Attached

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Josephine Carroll".

Chairperson, Youth Commission

Youth Commission
 City Hall ~ Room 345
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4532



(415) 554-6446
 (415) 554-6140 FAX
www.sfgov.org/youth_commission

YOUTH COMMISSION

MEMORANDUM

TO: John Carrol, Assistant Clerk, Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee
FROM: Youth Commission
DATE: Tuesday, June 16, 2020
RE: Referral response to BOS File No. 200592 [Resolution Urging the Civil Service Commission to Establish Disqualifying Standards for Applicants for Position of Police Officer and Sheriff Deputy Applicants Based on Misconduct]


At our **Monday, June 15, 2020, meeting**, the Youth Commission unanimously voted to support the following motion:

BOS File No. 200592 [Resolution Urging the Civil Service Commission to Establish Disqualifying Standards for Applicants for Position of Police Officer and Sheriff Deputy Applicants Based on Misconduct]

The Youth Commissioners also voted to include the following comments, recommendations, and question:

- expand the criteria of misconduct [officer shootings, sexual misconduct, domestic violence, perjury, and drunken driving]
- define the use of "significant misconduct" - as this is often subjective and is tied to what do we deem as normal/and goes into what behavior we excuse
- define the use of "excessive force" - as this is often case by case, subjective terms
- include a clause pushing for a more stringent review of criminal convictions who have been law enforcement officers in California or applied to be one
- name how many roadblocks there are for communities of color to get justice for their loved ones from uncooperative government workers to missing case files to sloppy record-keeping
- clearly define and expand this resolution to other law enforcement agencies such as the Juvenile Probation Department and Adult Probation Department.
- Question - does this legislation disqualify any applicant from uniform ranks or admin roles as well?

Youth Commissioners thank the Board of Supervisors for their attention to this issue. If you have any questions, please contact our office at (415) 554-6446, or your Youth Commissioner.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Josephine Cureton". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal line extending from the end.

Josephine Cureton, Chair
Adopted on June 15, 2020
2019-2020 San Francisco Youth Commission

1 [Youth Services and Programs – City Response to COVID-19 Pandemic]

2
3 **Resolution urging the City to take various actions to support vulnerable youth during**
4 **the COVID-19 Pandemic, to increase at-distance programming for youth in San**
5 **Francisco and to not cut funding for youth-oriented services and programs,**
6 **recommending certain cuts to departments' budgets, and requesting Youth**
7 **Commission representation on bodies created to respond to the economic and social**
8 **effects of the COVID-19 pandemic.**
9

10 WHEREAS, On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization described COVID-19,
11 an infectious disease caused by the most recently discovered coronavirus, a term designating
12 a family of viruses known to cause respiratory infections ranging from the common cold to
13 more severe diseases, as a pandemic; and

14 WHEREAS, Since March 16, 2020, the City and County of San Francisco ("the City")
15 has implemented various iterations of a Shelter in Place order, requiring individuals and
16 families to practice social distancing and stay at home as much as possible to limit the spread
17 of the virus; and

18 WHEREAS, The State of California followed suit on March 19, 2020, and both the City
19 and State are currently undergoing a phased reopening, which however is not anticipated to
20 result in an end to Shelter in Place restrictions for a significant period of time; and

21 WHEREAS, The successive orders have resulted in the closing of all schools, many
22 daycare programs, and many after-school and community based programs serving youth in
23 San Francisco; and

24 WHEREAS, According to the United States Census Bureau, 13.4 percent of the
25 population of San Francisco are persons under 18 years of age; and

1 WHEREAS, The youth population of San Francisco includes all of these persons as
2 well as Transitional Age Youth, aged 18 through 25, who are not counted as a separate
3 category in population surveys; and

4 WHEREAS, While the federal government of the United States ("US") has passed
5 legislation to send stimulus checks of up to \$1,200 to most US taxpayers, most
6 undocumented immigrants will not receive this economic support; and

7 WHEREAS, Undocumented youth, and the children of undocumented parents, will
8 therefore not receive the same level of economic support from the federal government as
9 other youth and their families; and

10 WHEREAS, The effects of the pandemic, both in terms of public health and in terms of
11 economic impact, have been felt hardest in historically and currently underserved
12 communities, specifically Black, Indigenous and Latinx communities, with the highest
13 concentrations of cases in the Japantown, Tenderloin, South of Market, Mission, Potrero Hill,
14 Bayview Hunter's Points, Excelsior and Outer Mission neighborhoods as of June 12, 2020;
15 and

16 WHEREAS, Furthermore, youth who are currently unsheltered, unhoused, and/or
17 incarcerated are especially vulnerable to the COVID-19 virus due to those circumstances; and

18 WHEREAS, According to data from Larkin Street Youth Services ("Larkin"), one of the
19 City's main service providers for youth experiencing homelessness, as of June 12, 2020,
20 COVID-19 and the Shelter in Place Order have had significant impacts on the provision of
21 services for youth experiencing homelessness; and

22 WHEREAS, Notably, Larkin has seen an increase in incident reports related to mental
23 health, suicide ideation, and challenging behaviors related to substance use and mental
24 health symptoms among clients, and a 66% increase in monthly referrals to their behavioral
25 health team; and

1 WHEREAS, Larkin reports that while the number of drop-ins has remained consistent
2 through the progression of the pandemic and implementation of the Shelter in Place Order,
3 the corresponding needs are heightened, including but not limited to showers and services for
4 stress and anxiety; and

5 WHEREAS, Larkin reports that about 40% of their housed clients have either lost a job
6 (1/3 of those impacted) or lost hours (2/3 of those impacted), that having limited staff due to
7 the current situation hinders their capacity to provide in person one-on-one employment
8 services to clients, and that clients having inconsistent contact information due to difficulties in
9 compliance with the Shelter in Place Order makes it difficult to contact Office of Economic and
10 Workforce Development/Workforce Investment and Opportunity Act clients for follow up and
11 employment status; and

12 WHEREAS, Shelter capacity is reduced due to the necessity of social distancing, with
13 Larkin's Diamond Youth Shelter at 50% capacity and Lark-Inn at 25% occupancy, along with a
14 dramatic increase in the number of clients housed through emergency housing vouchers; and

15 WHEREAS, Additionally, the incarcerated population is currently at greater risk of
16 contracting COVID-19, as City, State and Federal leaders have not made or been slow to
17 make significant adjustments, including closing jails and prisons, to allow for social distancing;
18 and

19 WHEREAS, The youth population is now cut off both from in-person interaction with
20 support systems such as friends and colleagues, and from in-person educational and
21 recreational resources that are usually available to youth; and

22 WHEREAS, Not all schools were able to promptly switch to at-distance learning,
23 meaning that youth were not interacting on a regular basis with their teachers and peers, even
24 in virtual spaces; and

1 WHEREAS, Many students are disadvantaged by the Shelter in Place Order, such as
2 those who may not be able to graduate school on time, those struggling with online school as
3 a learning medium, and those do not have access to virtual devices; and

4 WHEREAS, Additionally, some youth, especially LGBTQ+ youth and victims of
5 domestic violence, may not be in a safe environment when confined to their homes; and

6 WHEREAS, Various hotlines for victims of domestic abuse and violence in San
7 Francisco, such as the Asian Women's Shelter and WOMAN., Inc, have seen an increase in
8 calls since the implementation of the Shelter in Place Order, following a nationwide trend
9 showing that as of April 6, 2020, the National Domestic Violence Hotline has received well
10 over 2,000 calls in which COVID-19 was cited as a condition of abuse; and

11 WHEREAS, The Department of Children Youth and their Families ("DCYF"), which
12 contracts outside organizations as service providers for educational and recreational services
13 and programs for youth and families in San Francisco, currently funds approximately 446
14 programs; and

15 WHEREAS, As of April 1, 2020, 11 of those programs remained open and 125
16 programs were operating remotely, for a total of only 136, that is 30 percent, of programs
17 continuing to serve youth; and

18 WHEREAS, According to a Service Providers Working Group survey of 28 programs
19 from 27 unique agencies providing a broad range of services funded by DCYF published on
20 April 15, 2020, 67.9% of the 28 programs had reduced direct service programming, while
21 21.4% had somewhat reduced direct service programming; and

22 WHEREAS, According to the same survey, 51.9% of 27 agencies reported incurring
23 increased, unexpected costs due to COVID-19, related to the costs of working from home,
24 additional cleaning, acquiring personal protective equipment, or providing emergency support
25 for clients; and

1 WHEREAS, While DCYF is providing trainings for their service providers on how to
2 implement at-distance learning, it is clear that the department and its service providers are so
3 far unable to maintain the same level of service provision during this time of uncertainty for
4 youth, workers, and the City; and

5 WHEREAS, On March 31, 2020, the City Controller, Mayor's Budget Director, and
6 Board of Supervisors Budget and Legislative Analyst released a Joint Budget Outlook Update
7 Report estimating a combined budget shortfall of between \$1.1 billion and \$1.7 billion over the
8 next two fiscal years (FY 2020-2021 and FY 2021-2022), including losses compared to
9 estimated revenue during the remaining span of the current fiscal year (FY 2019-2020); and

10 WHEREAS, The Mayor has requested that departments cut their budgets by 10% in
11 FY 2020-2021 with an additional 5% contingency cut in FY 2021-2022 to offset revenue loss
12 and balance the budget as required by law; and

13 WHEREAS, However, it is just as clear that the need for at-distance programs that
14 engage youth on an educational as well as recreational basis has never been higher; and

15 WHEREAS, A survey of United Kingdom ("UK") youth, who have been under
16 comparable restrictions as San Francisco youth, by the UK youth mental health charity Young
17 Minds showed that 83 percent of respondents agreed that the coronavirus pandemic had
18 made their mental health worse, with 32 percent saying it had made their mental health "much
19 worse"; and

20 WHEREAS, The same survey, which was conducted between March 20, 2020, the day
21 on which UK schools closed to most students, and March 25, 2020, when further restrictive
22 measures had been put in place in the UK, also showed that 66 percent of respondents
23 agreed that watching or reading the news was unhelpful for their mental health; and

24 WHEREAS, Anecdotal evidence Youth Commissioners have heard from friends,
25 contacts, and constituents paints a similar picture among San Francisco youth; and

1 WHEREAS, While continuing to aggressively respond to the ongoing public health
2 crisis, the City still has an obligation to serve the unmet needs of San Francisco youth,
3 especially given the direct negative impact on the social, mental, and economic wellbeing of
4 San Francisco youth this crisis has caused; and

5 WHEREAS, The City should not leave youth to solve these issues on their own, but
6 should systematically treat the preservation their social, mental, and economic wellbeing as a
7 key part of its response to the state of emergency it is currently in; and

8 WHEREAS, On the other hand, there are many programs currently in the City's budget,
9 enumerated below, which either fail to serve a meaningful purpose, or even directly harm the
10 wellbeing of San Francisco youth; and

11 WHEREAS, While the Youth Commission recognizes that balancing the budget in this
12 time of crisis and increased need will be a difficult task, it will also be a measure of the City's
13 values in the choices made to preserve or eliminate youth services and programs; and

14 WHEREAS, The Mayor and President of the Board of Supervisors have convened a
15 COVID-19 Economic Recovery Task Force to elaborate solutions to the socioeconomic crisis
16 caused by the COVID-19 pandemic; and

17 WHEREAS, It is also a measure of the City's values the extent to which City leaders
18 solicit and listen to the input of young people in shaping how the City responds to this
19 economic crisis; and

20 WHEREAS, The City should not let San Francisco's youth pay for this crisis, either in
21 their health, both physical and mental, or in their economic futures, or in their ability to make
22 themselves heard when they are at their most vulnerable; now, therefore, be it

23 RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission declares and affirms its full support for the
24 local and statewide Shelter in Place and Stay at Home Orders as necessary to save lives,
25

1 especially of vulnerable populations such as seniors and those with underlying conditions;
2 and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the Mayor and Board of
4 Supervisors to direct departments serving youth to increase at-distance programming for
5 youth—educational and recreational—for the duration of the Shelter in Place Order, with the
6 object of making such programming accessible to all youth in San Francisco; and, be it

7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the Board of Education of
8 the San Francisco Unified School District (“SFUSD”) and the Board of Trustees of City
9 College of San Francisco (“CCSF”) to provide educational assistance for students who are at
10 placed at a disadvantage by the Shelter in Place Order, such as those who may not graduate
11 on time, those struggling with online school as a learning medium, and those do not have
12 access to virtual devices; and, be it

13 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the Mayor and Board of
14 Supervisors to not cut funding for youth-oriented services and programs in response to the
15 current budget shortfall; and, be it

16 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the City, SFUSD, and
17 CCSF to center the needs of Black communities, in particular those of Black youth, to not cut
18 programs and funding that serve those communities, and to increase funding for ethnic
19 studies programs and restorative practice programs in schools, especially for communities
20 experiencing disproportionate rates of violence and/or trauma; and, be it

21 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission recommends that the Mayor and
22 Board of Supervisors instead enact immediate cost-saving measures by permanently closing
23 the Youth Guidance Center and County Jail Number 4, freezing planned increases in the
24 number of sworn peace officers employed by City departments, halting the purchase of
25 additional law enforcement equipment, eliminating law enforcement personnel and equipment

1 from SFMTA fare enforcement and homelessness response protocols, and otherwise
2 reconsidering the portion of the City's budget devoted to policing; and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission requests that one or more
4 representative(s) of the Commission, to be designated by an internal process, be appointed to
5 all committees, advisory bodies, and taskforces created to make recommendations and take
6 action directing the City's response to the economic and social effects of the COVID-19
7 pandemic, and that such representative(s) have all the same powers and duties of other
8 members of such committees, advisory bodies, and taskforces; and, be it

9 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges SFUSD and CCSF to
10 prioritize youth leadership in co-designing and co-shaping fall school reopening plans, and to
11 ensure that schools do not reopen until all communities are safe, and schools are equipped
12 with health and hygiene measures, masks, sanitization, and proper social distancing
13 protocols; and, be it

14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the City to provide logistical
15 and financial aid to outside non-profit organizations providing assistance to undocumented
16 workers who do not qualify for federal assistance and their families; and, be it


17 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the City to immediately
18 house all youth experiencing homelessness in a dignified manner and release all remaining
19 incarcerated youth into their communities, making sure that all released youth receive full
20 reentry support and services; and, be it

21 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the City to work with
22 community based organizations that work with unhoused youth and youth experiencing
23 homelessness, especially service providers, to meet the increased needs both of those youth
24 and of service providers, particularly with respect to mental health services and shelter; and,
25 be it

1 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the City to provide
2 increased resources for youth who may not be safe at home, especially victims of domestic
3 abuse and violence, in the form of remote counseling, guidance, and support to move into a
4 safe environment if necessary; and, be it

5 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the City to increase
6 resources for pandemic response in marginalized communities, that have been and are most
7 impacted by COVID-19, notably Black and Indigenous communities; and, be it

8 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the City to maintain
9 consistency across all services and programs made available to youth for the duration of the
10 Shelter in Place Order as well as when the City is able to transition back to normal operating
11 conditions.

12
13 

14 Josephine Cureton, Chair
15 Adopted on June 15, 2020
16 2019-2020 San Francisco Youth Commission

1 [Urging the San Francisco Unified School District to end its affiliation with the San Francisco
2 Police Department by disbanding the SFPD/SFUSD Memorandum of Understanding]

3 **Resolution urging the San Francisco Unified School District to end its affiliation with**
4 **the San Francisco Police Department by disbanding the SFPD/SFUSD Memorandum of**
5 **Understanding**
6

7 WHEREAS, We hold the humanity and precious life of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor,
8 Tony McDade, Alex Nieto, Mario Woods, Amilcar Lopez Perez, O'Shaine Evans, and all those
9 victims of racist violence; and

10 WHEREAS, The system of policing in America, and its systemic targeting of and use of
11 deadly and brutal force against people of color, particularly black people, stems from the long
12 legacy of slavery, lynching, Jim Crow laws, and the War On Drugs in the United States and
13 has been perpetuated by violent and harmful law enforcement practices; and

14 WHEREAS, A system that enforces white supremacy, police brutality and the use of
15 excessive force have inflicted intergenerational harm and trauma to families, and are
16 intensifying our nation's mental and spiritual health crisis; and

17 WHEREAS, Our city of San Francisco has a track record of police brutality,
18 environmental racism, and gentrification, among many other injustices towards queer, trans,
19 black, indigenous, people of color; and

20 WHEREAS, LGBTQIA+ community members of color experience not only racism, but
21 also homophobia and/or transphobia from SFPD officers; and

22 WHEREAS, The 2018 National Crime Victimization Survey shows that 58% of trans
23 and gender nonconforming people reported mistreatment from the police including verbal
24 harassment, physical or sexual assault, consistent misgendering or being forced to perform
25 sexual acts in exchange for not being arrested; and

1
2 WHEREAS, In the same survey, 50% of trans and gender nonconforming people
3 reported feeling uncomfortable interacting with the police; and

4 WHEREAS, As found in a poll conducted by NPR, the Robert Wood Johnson
5 Foundation and the Harvard T.H. Chan School Of Public Health, LGBTQIA+ people of color
6 are more than twice as likely as their white counterparts to say they've been discriminated
7 against because they are LGBTQIA+ while interacting with police; and

8 WHEREAS, The same poll shows that 24% of LGBTQIA+ people of color feel
9 discomfort and/or have faced discrimination when interacting with police, compared to 11% of
10 white LGBTQIA+ people; and

11 WHEREAS, The Youth Commission, as the city's only chartered youth advisory body,
12 are committed to advocating for the rights, needs, and voices of all San Francisco youth; and

13 WHEREAS, The San Francisco Unified School District ("SFUSD") serves different
14 communities all over San Francisco; and

15 WHEREAS, SFUSD defines its core values as to "put students' needs first" and "stand
16 with those most vulnerable in [their] community;" and

17 WHEREAS, All students in SFUSD should feel socially, emotionally, and physically
18 comfortable at school, and should not feel targeted based on their racial identity, religion,
19 sexual orientation, or any other core-identifier; and

20 WHEREAS, The current relationship between SFUSD and SFPD does not align with
21 the values SFUSD's commitment to racial equity; and

22 WHEREAS, Black men received 39% of all instances of San Francisco Police
23 Department's ("SFPD") reported use of force and Black youth comprised 63% of all juvenile
24 arrests, despite the fact that African-American's only comprise of 3 – 4% of San
25 Francisco's population; and

1 WHEREAS, According to a study by the Harvard University School of Sociology,
2 students of color are more likely to be stopped and/or harassed by officers, whether at their
3 school or in their communities; and

4 WHEREAS, The 2018 – 2019 SFUSD data showed that the count of students arrested,
5 cited or detained has been on the decline in recent years, with 28 incidents reported in the
6 2018 – 2019 school year compared to 79 in 2016 – 17; and

7 WHEREAS, The SFUSD's data showed that Black and Brown students continue to
8 have the highest rates of police interactions; and

9 WHEREAS, It also referenced two incidents in 2019 in which elementary students were
10 placed in police custody; and

11 WHEREAS, Having officers present on school campuses and SFUSD having an
12 affiliation with SFPD can make students who come from overpoliced communities feel unsafe
13 or uncomfortable in institutions that are meant to help them learn; and

14 WHEREAS, The system of policing upheld by the SFPD unjustly targets communities
15 of color and low-income communities; and

16 WHEREAS, According to a study done by the University of California, Los Angeles,
17 students who feel unsafe at school because of an increased police presence have lower rates
18 of academic success; and

19 WHEREAS, According to SFUSD, African-American and Latinx students in SFUSD
20 have the lowest rates of graduation, and only 13% of African-American students and 22% of
21 Latinx students are considered proficient or above by grade level in mathematics, while 51%
22 of SFUSD students as a whole are considered proficient or above by grade level in
23 mathematics; and

1 WHEREAS, School Resources Officers, nationally, set the stage for students of color
2 to be criminalized at school sites, increases arrests and pipelines students into the criminal
3 justice system, as the school to prison pipeline; and


4 WHEREAS, African-American and Latinx communities in San Francisco have been
5 systemically disinvested in due to war on drugs policies that have increasingly resourced
6 police departments over public schools; and

7 WHEREAS, The SFUSD-SFPD MOU was created to ensure the safety and wellbeing
8 of SFUSD students; therefore, be it

9 RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Youth Commission condemns all acts of police
10 brutality and excessive use of force especially to youth of color; and, be it

11 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Youth Commission urges the San
12 Francisco Unified School District to end its affiliation with the San Francisco Police
13 Department by ending the SFUSD/SFPD Memorandum of Understanding; and, be it

14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Police Department budget should be
15 redirected towards SFUSD to fund and increase culturally competent and trauma-informed
16 learning curriculum, mental health services, art programs, and restorative justice programs, to
17 help young people heal and grow.

18
19
20 

21 Josephine Cureton, Chair
22 Adopted on June 15, 2020
23 2019-2020 San Francisco Youth Commission
24
25

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Urging the San Francisco Federal Credit Union to Extend the Taxi Medallion Loan Forbearance Plan
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 1:05:00 PM
Attachments: [Taxi Medallion Loans 1.pdf](#)
Importance: High

From: Oliver, Jonathan <Jonathan_Oliver@SanFranciscoFCU.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 12:14 PM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Oliver, Jonathan <Jonathan_Oliver@SanFranciscoFCU.com>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hellman, Sarah (MTA) <Sarah.Hellman2@sfmta.com>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (MYR) <london.breed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Urging the San Francisco Federal Credit Union to Extend the Taxi Medallion Loan Forbearance Plan
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you in advance for taking the time to read the attached letter before you 2pm meeting today.

Sincerely,

Jonathan

Jonathan P. Oliver

President and CEO

[San Francisco Federal Credit Union](#)



770 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: 415.359.2903 | **Fax:** 415.447.2281

[SanFranciscoFCU.com](#)

Your financial life made easy.



STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

The information contained in this message or any attachments to this message are intended only for the person(s) or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material as well as being protected from disclosure. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact us immediately and delete the material from any computer.

June 16, 2020

Dear Supervisors:

We have just learned that you put forward a resolution that concerns San Francisco Federal Credit Union (SFFedCU) that will be decided at today's meeting. We understand that you elected not to submit it to committee, which avoids any public discussion or fact finding. Given the lack of notice to the party which will be affected by the resolution, it does not sound like you want to find the underlying facts or have a conversation about a real solution. If this was not your intent, we would welcome such a discussion with anybody who would like to have one.

The past two months of medallion loan deferrals have resulted in over \$1.0 million in deferred payments. One significant problem with continuing to defer payments is that due to the massive decline in taxi business, these borrowers will continue not being able to make their loan payments any time soon, let alone pay back the amounts deferred. It is also against the National Credit Union Association (NCUA) regulations and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) to accrue income that, in all likelihood, will never be recovered. This means that another three more months of loan deferrals being requested will likely trigger an additional loss of \$1.5 million to the Credit Union. The SFMTA's continued inaction in admitting the medallion market has failed and caused an extreme hardship to the Credit Union and its members. In addition, we are hearing continuing demands from remaining medallion owners that the City should keep its original promise to repurchase their medallions. As a Low to Moderate Income (LMI) designated Credit Union, meaning over 50% of our members reside in zip codes that are designated LMI, your proposed action will hurt the very people the Mayor's office had campaigned to support. A much more comprehensive approach is needed to address the problems facing the taxi industry as a whole, including the medallion loans.

We look forward to continuing to partner with the City in the youth program, expanded ATM access for low to moderate income areas, the Accessory Dwelling Unit program and the Bank on Program. However, with respect to taxi drivers and medallion loans, further partnering necessitates a much more collaborative approach than that being exhibited by the SFMTA at this time.

Respectfully,



Jonathan Oliver

Cc: Mayor London Breed

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Taxi Medallions
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:35:00 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Shawn Nguyen <shawn359@att.net>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 6:38 PM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; jonathan_oliver@sanfranciscofcu.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Toran, Kate (MTA) <Kate.Toran@sfmta.com>; Hellman, Sarah (MTA) <Sarah.Hellman2@sfmta.com>; SFTaxi@sfmta.com
Subject: Re: Taxi Medallions

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Kate Toran

I have read your email regarding SFFCU Forbearance due to COVID-19.

The Taxi industrial will never recover from this pandemic, as we were already struggling for years. No amount of help will ever make the taxi industry return to normal, as cost and expense's are astronomical, including the Taxi Medallion.

You are in a deep dream, if you are still in denial that the Taxi Medallion have not drop in values. No matter how many forbearance, Taxi medallion holder will never be able to repay the forbearance on top of current fee's, plus car payments and other added cost.

You think that you used to work with paratransit, that gives you experience with the Taxi industry?

As some of us have worked and drive for this industry for over 20 plus years and even all the former taxi industry reformers, including Chris Hayashi, and others left the industry's because they new this industry was going down, but your the only person saying that the taxi medallions have stayed the same in value.

No one has purchased or sold any medallions in over 5 years. Where are you getting your facts that the taxi industry is still thriving?

> On Jun 12, 2020, at 4:54 PM, Shawn Nguyen <shawn359@att.net> wrote:

>

> To all City and SFFCU officials

>

> I have been driving a taxi since 1999 and is still active. I signed up for a medallion in 2000, and waited for year's to only told that I had no choice but to buy a medallion as a the list was being terminated, after waiting for years and worked thru all the pain and suffering of abuse by customers and taxi company.

>

> I was told to buy a medallion even though SFMTA Knows that I or any low income minority would have any down payment or credit to buy a medallion. SFMTA made sure that all drivers that were on the list for a medallion will qualify, and all we had to do was apply. SFMTA and the SFFCU were both working together to make sure that

all minority drivers will qualify. No drivers had credit or even drivers with bad credit or even drivers who were on government assistance were even able to buy a medallion.

>

> After buying the Taxi medallion for 2 years, things took a turn for the worse as City and State officials allowed ride shares to exist without any regulations. Even the mayor at the time even recognized Lyft day as officials let the taxi medallions suffer.

>

> There were 2 pilot taxi medallion program at the time.

> 1. Pilot program to sell medallions to drivers that were on the list

>

> 2. Pilot program for long time drivers that never put there name on the medallion list that drove for over 25 years.

>

> The 2nd pilot program was pull out due to lack of drivers and because of the 2nd program, the 1st pilot program was suffering even more, due to the 2nd program and too many ride shares on the road. SFMTA decided to end the 2nd pilot program. However because SFMTA wanted to make sure that the buyers pilot program survive as they had no choice. The buyer medallions pilot program never ended or was told. SFMTA made there own rules as they went along as there were no way out. All other taxi regulators pulled out and got there golden pensions while the taxi medallion buyers are now suffering and facing with a huge loan and homelessness, while even paying for medallions, drivers will never own the medallion.

>

> Currently I have my name on the (sell list) for over 4 years and still waiting, and no one is buying any new medallion in years. Medallion Foreclosure are higher then ever. As the medallion price is still currently the same.

>

> As now the pandemic is hitting the ride shares really hard and the companies are laying off workers and cutting cost, they are still struggling financially even with huge investors money. How can taxi medallions survive in this industry, as medallion buyers are not making enough to pay the loans even as they cut cost. Minority medallion holders have always struggled to survive and now with the pandemic it's beyond repairable.

>

> It's time to reconcile the medallion buyers pilot program and end it, buy back all minority holders medallions.

>

> Best regards,

> Medallion holder

>

>

>

>

>

>

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Taxi Medallions
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 7:02:00 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Shawn Nguyen <shawn359@att.net>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 4:54 PM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; jonathan_oliver@sanfranciscofcu.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Toran, Kate (MTA) <Kate.Toran@sfmta.com>; Hellman, Sarah (MTA) <Sarah.Hellman2@sfmta.com>; SFTaxi@sfmta.com
Subject: Taxi Medallions

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To all City and SFFCU officials

I have been driving a taxi since 1999 and is still active. I signed up for a medallion in 2000, and waited for year's to only told that I had no choice but to buy a medallion as a the list was being terminated, after waiting for years and worked thru all the pain and suffering of abuse by customers and taxi company.

I was told to buy a medallion even though SFMTA Knows that I or any low income minority would have any down payment or credit to buy a medallion. SFMTA made sure that all drivers that were on the list for a medallion will qualify, and all we had to do was apply. SFMTA and the SFFCU were both working together to make sure that all minority drivers will qualify. No drivers had credit or even drivers with bad credit or even drivers who were on government assistance were even able to buy a medallion.

After buying the Taxi medallion for 2 years, things took a turn for the worse as City and State officials allowed ride shares to exist without any regulations. Even the mayor at the time even recognized Lyft day as officials let the taxi medallions suffer.

There were 2 pilot taxi medallion program at the time.

1. Pilot program to sell medallions to drivers that were on the list
2. Pilot program for long time drivers that never put there name on the medallion list that drove for over 25 years.

The 2nd pilot program was pull out due to lack of drivers and because of the 2nd program, the 1st pilot program was suffering even more, due to the 2nd program and too many ride shares on the road. SFMTA decided to end the 2nd pilot program. However because SFMTA wanted to make sure that the buyers pilot program survive as they had no choice. The buyer medallions pilot program never ended or was told. SFMTA made there own rules as they went along as there were no way out. All other taxi regulators pulled out and got there golden pensions while the taxi medallion buyers are now suffering and facing with a huge loan and homelessness, while even paying for medallions, drivers will never own the medallion.

Currently I have my name on the (sell list) for over 4 years and still waiting, and no one is buying any new medallion in years. Medallion Foreclosure are higher then ever. As the medallion price is still currently the same.

As now the pandemic is hitting the ride shares really hard and the companies are laying off workers and cutting cost, they are still struggling financially even with huge investors money. How can taxi medallions survive in this industry, as medallion buyers are not making enough to pay the loans even as they cut cost. Minority medallion holders have always struggled to survive and now with the pandemic it's beyond repairable.

It's time to reconcile the medallion buyers pilot program and end it, buy back all minority holders medallions.

Best regards,
Medallion holder

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please ban facial recognition
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:54:00 AM

From: Sara Kreowski <sara.kreowski@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2020 9:08 AM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; AlisonMCollins <AlisonMCollins@sfusd.edu>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Chu, Carmen (ASR) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; JennyLam@sfusd.edu; MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu; StevonCook <StevonCook@sfusd.edu>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; District Attorney, (DAT) <districtattorney@sfgov.org>; Cisneros, Jose (TTX) <jose.cisneros@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; SFSO Complaints (SHF) <sfso.complaints@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please ban facial recognition

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Leaders,

I've been concerned over the lack of regulation and conversation in regards to facial recognition software. With the Amazon and IBM Announcement this past week you have an opportunity to take action.

I have a 2 year old daughter. I do not post any photos of her online or on social media for two reasons-

I want her to have autonomy over how her identity appears online and if she even wants it to at all.

More importantly, I am concerned facial recognition software is going to create a world in which is is unsafe.

Imagine, in 10 years she's leaving school someone snaps a photo of her and instantly knows where she lives, her afterschool activities, where her parents work.... the list goes on. Fast forward 20 years from now, she's out with friends someone snaps a photo and instantly knows her routine, her place of employment etc. Imagine she decides to be a journalist, politician or activist. Our current situation with the Trump Administration proves the USA is vulnerable to tyranny.

Let's also acknowledge the Racial biases that exist in the Software. This alone is cause for alarm.

I implore you, make a pros and cons list for the development and use of facial recognition software. The actions (and inaction) you make will shape the world in which my daughter lives.

The podcast THE DAILY has a great 30 minute episode called THE END OF PRIVACY AS WE KNOW IT. Please listen to it and really internalize what facial recognition software means for humanity.

Thank you for your time. I look forward to seeing the action you'll take on this matter.

--

Cheers,

Sara Kreowski

805.657.0547

--

--

Cheers,

Sara Kreowski

805.657.0547

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Malcolm Harsch/ Robert Fuller
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 7:31:00 AM

From: Cameo Rose <cameo208@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2020 10:19 AM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Chu, Carmen (ASR) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; District Attorney, (DAT) <districtattorney@sfgov.org>; SFSO Complaints (SHF) <sfso.complaints@sfgov.org>; Cisneros, Jose (TTX) <jose.cisneros@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>; MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins <AlisonMCollins@sfusd.edu>

Subject: Malcolm Harsch/ Robert Fuller

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm asking you to use whatever power you have to bring attention, investigation and justice for the heinous deaths of Malcolm Harsch and Robert Fuller.

These men did not know each other. They lived in different towns. Both of them were found HUNG FROM A TREE, ten days apart, May 31, 2020 and then June 10, 2020.

They were hung from a tree til they died, and the sheriff's department found " no foul play". The story was put in a file cabinet and filed away.

The families have been trying to draw attention to their cases.

This was a racist, hate crime. Not a person that was under arrest and "accidentally" killed. These were two men that were heinously and horribly killed like it's 1940. They were tortured and killed because of the color of their skin. As simple as that.

These men deserve justice. The murderers need to be caught. What if this was your

child? These people could kill again. Investigations need to be opened for both cases before there is another person found hung from a tree.

With murders like this taking place, California needs overhaul in their police, sheriff, and justice system. Don't tell me you understand, and change takes time. Californians are being hung from trees, this is an EMERGENCY.

WE NEED CHANGE NOW.

You will be held accountable, whether you do something or nothing. YOU now know about these crimes, and you have power to DO SOMETHING. I've done what I can, I TOLD YOU, now you do what YOU can.

Concerned Citizen,

Mrs. Maldonado

Stockton, CA 95205

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Malcolm Harsch/ Robert Fuller
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 7:48:00 AM

From: Cameo Rose <cameo208@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 7:49 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Chu, Carmen (ASR) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; District Attorney, (DAT) <districtattorney@sfgov.org>; SFSO Complaints (SHF) <sfso.complaints@sfgov.org>; Cisneros, Jose (TTX) <jose.cisneros@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>; MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins <AlisonMCollins@sfusd.edu>

Subject: Re: Malcolm Harsch/ Robert Fuller

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I sent an email concerning the murder of Malcolm Harsch and Robert Fuller 3 days ago.

What are you doing to find the murderers which are STILL AT LARGE?

Cameo

On Sun, Jun 14, 2020, 10:18 AM Cameo Rose <cameo208@gmail.com> wrote:

I'm asking you to use whatever power you have to bring attention, investigation and justice for the heinous deaths of Malcolm Harsch and Robert Fuller.

These men did not know each other. They lived in different towns. Both of them were found HUNG FROM A TREE, ten days apart, May 31, 2020 and then June 10, 2020.

They were hung from a tree til they died, and the sheriff's department found " no foul play". The story was put in a file cabinet and filed away.

The families have been trying to draw attention to their cases.

This was a racist, hate crime. Not a person that was under arrest and "accidentally" killed. These were two men that were heinously and horribly killed like it's 1940. They were tortured and killed because of the color of their skin. As simple as that.

These men deserve justice. The murderers need to be caught. What if this was your child? These people could kill again. Investigations need to be opened for both cases before there is another person found hung from a tree.

With murders like this taking place, California needs overhaul in their police, sheriff, and justice system. Don't tell me you understand, and change takes time. Californians are being hung from trees, this is an EMERGENCY.

WE NEED CHANGE NOW.

You will be held accountable, whether you do something or nothing. YOU now know about these crimes, and you have power to DO SOMETHING. I've done what I can, I TOLD YOU, now you do what YOU can.

Concerned Citizen,

Mrs. Maldonado

Stockton, CA 95205

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: CPA Youth MOJO Public Comment in Support of Vote16
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 6:44:00 PM

From: Carolyn Nguyen <carolyn.nguyen@cpasf.org>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 10:49 AM
To: Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: CPA Youth MOJO Public Comment in Support of Vote16

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

CPA Youth MOJO wanted to express our support for the Vote16 SF initiative. As a program focused on youth organizing, we've seen how the power of youth leadership and decision making has transformed our city and schools and kept them accountable to community voice. We are proud to endorse this measure and urge the board of supervisors to be a part in building equity into our democracy.

Here is also a public comment by our youth leader Michelle Li in support of Vote 16:

I support Vote16 as it will give 16 and 17 year olds a say in their future. As a student in the SFUSD district, I am worried about the impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic on my education. When we did online classes, I wasn't able to properly learn all the material and the sudden changes also affected my mental health. I'm sure this is true for many other students. If nothing is done to help us recover, the students are the ones who will suffer the most. The Board of Education oversees the public schools in SFUSD and will be part of the recovery process. Their actions will directly affect the students, so the students should have a say in who's on the Board. This is one of the many things that we should have a voice in, as it will have the most impact on our future.

Thank you,

Carolyn Nguyen

Carolyn Nguyen

she/her pronouns

Lead Organizer - Youth Team | Chinese Progressive Association

(510) 842-5076

Chinese Progressive Association

1042 Grant Ave 5th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94133

(415) 391-6986

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment Re: BOS File No: 200477 [Charter Amendment - 16- and 17-Year-Olds Voting in Municipal Elections]
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 6:45:00 PM

From: Gracie Veiga <gveiga@urbanschool.org>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 11:11 AM
To: Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment Re: BOS File No: 200477 [Charter Amendment - 16- and 17-Year-Olds Voting in Municipal Elections]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi All,

Hi my name is Gracie Veiga. And I am a high school student at the Urban School of San Francisco. I have lived in Noe Valley in District 8 for my entire life. My entire life, I have seen the number of unhoused people continue to increase and have seen little improvement in conditions and well being of those people in my communities. I think that I and others like me have the important perspective of living here our entire lives and being raised watching local issues such as homelessness progress. I think that point of view that many of us who are 16 and 17 should be represented in local elections, especially as we strive to see change in our cities as we consider what our adult lives will look like.

Further, many of us youth are working as essential workers, attending public schools, identify as a member of the LGBTQ+ community, an ethnic minority, or disabled, are impacted by the criminal legal system and overall are being affected by municipal elections as much if not more than many adult voters.

I urge you to support BOS File No: 200477 [Charter Amendment - 16- and 17-Year-Olds Voting in Municipal Elections]. Thank you for your consideration.

Best,
Gracie

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please Restore Full Muni Service in SF
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 5:39:00 PM

From: Jennifer Shih <jenniferleeshih@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 4:56 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA) <Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com>
Cc: MTABoard@sfmta.com; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Restore Full Muni Service in SF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and Director Tumlin:

I am writing to ask you to restore full Muni Service in San Francisco. I am concerned about the health risk created as a result of the reduced frequency and routes since April 2020 because riders are not able to stay 6 feet apart from each other.

I am an essential worker and since early April I have been taking the bus to my office in downtown San Francisco. During the weekday, I board the L Bus at Forest Hill and take it to 3rd and Kearny.

Up until mid-May, ridership was lower and could mostly be accommodated by the extremely limited core service. But now, this is no longer the case.

During the last month, ridership has increased substantially.

It is no longer possible to stay three feet apart -never mind six feet apart-from other passengers on the L Bus and other lines.

Please see attached: photographs that I took on June 11 and 12 on the L Bus.

You can see that the bus is crowded and it is not possible to maintain 6 feet apart at all times.

I witnessed one elderly woman sit down next to another person because she could not stand on the bus. I could go on with example upon example of dangerous situations resulting from the current Muni policy. I can also take pictures like these every day to document that the buses are too crowded.

After sheltering for 3 months, the residents of San Francisco are out. Public transportation serves an important function in our city and can no longer operate on such a limited basis.

Additionally, I have observed that only approximately 10% of riders pay. Therefore SFMTA does not have accurate ridership data to measure the level of service that is warranted.

All the hard work that you did in keeping San Franciscans safe will be undone as we reopen unless Muni Service is fully restored.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Shih
1850 Funston Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116
(415) 650-9346

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Larry Bush - WAY too partisan for the Ethics Commission.
Date: Monday, June 15, 2020 10:31:00 AM

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the below communication regarding Item No. 17, File No. 200572 on tomorrow's Board Agenda.

File No. 200572 - Motion appointing Larry Bush, term ending February 1, 2023, to the Ethics Commission.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Sonja Trauss <sonja.trauss@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2020 8:11 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; LARRY BUSH <sfwtrail@mac.com>
Subject: Larry Bush - WAY too partisan for the Ethics Commission.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Supervisors,

Please do not confirm Larry Bush for Ethics Commission, he is way too partisan. Larry Bush is actively engaged in three supervisor races that are happening right now! Bush has donated to Peskin and Preston, and to Avalos, who is currently running against Safai.

The Ethics Commission should have people on it whose political favorites are not known. One of the most important things for the legitimacy of the Ethics Commission is that it appear to be a fair, disinterested referee in local politics. Larry Bush's involvement with current races is disqualifying.

In addition, Larry Bush has donated to several sitting members of the Board of Supervisors. Obviously, if you have received a campaign donation from Larry Bush, there is no way to vote on his appointment without the appearance of impropriety. Bush is cc'd on this email. If Bush is the principled, independent advocate that he claims to be, I assume he will join me in asking the Supervisors that he donated to to recuse themselves from voting on his appointment to the Ethics commission.

The supervisors who have received money from Larry Bush and should therefore recuse themselves from the vote confirming him to the Ethics Commission are:

Sandra Fewer (Bush donated in 2016), Aaron Peskin (Bush donated in 2015, 2016, 2020), Gordon Mar (Bush donated in 2018), Dean Preston (Bush donated in 2016, 2019 and 2020), Matt Haney (Bush donated in 2018), Raphael Mandelman (Bush donated in 2018 for the primary and general election), and Hillary Ronen (Bush donated in 2016).

Besides the damage that having someone who is a known partisan does to the reputation of the Commission, it will create practical problems. By involving himself with so many races, if Larry Bush is confirmed, he is going to have to recuse himself from anything that comes before the Ethics Commission relating to any candidate that ran in a race where Bush weighed in by donating. If a candidate that Bush donated to has a matter before the commission, then obviously Bush would have to recuse himself. In addition, if a candidate came before the commission and Bush donated to their opponent, Bush would still have to recuse himself.

There are tons of people in this city who would make fine commissioners. Appoint someone who is known for being independent and fair. You might also want to consider reaching out to some underrepresented groups. Take a look at who else is on the commission now:

<https://sfethics.org/commission/commissioners>

Best,
Sonja Trauss

From: [aeboken](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: SUPPORTING Government Audit and Oversight Committee Agenda Item #8 Emergency Ordinance - Temporary Right to Reemployment Following Layoff Due to COVID-19 Pandemic File #200455
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 11:18:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

I am strongly supporting the right to reemployment for workers laid off by COVID-19.

Eileen Boken
Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [aeboken](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: SUPPORTING Government Audit and Oversight Committee Agenda Item #7 Urging the Department of Public Health and the Medical Examiner to Conduct Epidemiological Post-Mortem COVID-19 Testing and Contact Tracing File #200590
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 11:13:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

I am strongly supporting post-mortem COVID-19 testing and contact tracing.

Eileen Boken
Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [aeboken](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: JOINING WITH BOS Agenda Item #24 Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus Law - Unless Amended File #200626
Date: Saturday, June 13, 2020 11:34:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

I am joining with the Board of Supervisors in opposing SB1085 (Skinner) which is the expanded state density bonus law.

It would create no affordable housing. It create even more giveaways to developers.

Eileen Boken
Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please limit Safeway deliveries and possibly hours of operation for their Jackson Street store.
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:27:00 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Brian Browne <brian@h2oecon.com>
 Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 6:42 AM
 To: sfpd.commission@sfgov; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Yick@sfgov.org; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Erin.Baum@asm.ca.gov; david.chiu@asm.ca.gov; deepa@sftu.org; Jue, Tyrone (MYR) <tyrone.jue@sfgov.org>
 Subject: Please limit Safeway deliveries and possibly hours of operation for their Jackson Street store.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Jackson Street Safeway - Early Hour Deliveries before 7AM - Continual Noise Disturbance etc.

The Safeway loading dock is deep inside the Golden Gateway residential complex. It is a difficult place to get in and out of. Trucks arrive anywhere from before 4AM and disturb the residential neighbors up. Why can't they be restricted to the 7AM - 10 PM hours. When Safeway started operation on Jackson Street their hours were 8AM to 8PM. Now their hours are 5AM to 11 PM. This is an overreach for such a densely packed residential neighborhood that has catalyzed the need for noisy deliveries especially during nocturnal hours. It is also very inconsiderate and unhealthy .

The Golden Gateway Center used to limit entry to this cul d' sac ((south side of Jackson opposite Front) between 10 PM and 7 AM. They had a chain across the entrance. This chain is no longer used and apparently an open range policy is allowed.

Today before 6 AM the red Coca Cola truck backed in with its loud beepers that disturbed the neighborhood. Trucks do position on Front Street facing north on the west side of the street facing the wrong way for northbound traffic on Front. Probably waiting for the early morning workers to summon them to the Safeway loading dock.

Please have Safeway deliveries limited to 10 PM to 7AM. If they cannot conform and stop disturbing residential neighbors it may be time to seriously discuss cutting back their operating hours to what they had that takes into consideration the need for peace and quiet between 10PM and 7AM.

The and safety and quality of our overall neighborhood would benefit by Safeway having shorter hours. There must be non-residential (or less densely populated) areas in San Francisco where Safeway can provide longer hours. Not here given their current lack of respect for their neighbors rights to the quiet enjoyment of their dwellings.

Yes - I have complained to management and Safeway. Nothing seems to change. PLEASE! Someone help.

Brian Browne

550 Battery #1409 (I overlook the loading dock)

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: DPH Centralized Call Center/Nurse Advice Line
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:25:00 AM
Attachments: [SKM_C45820052815410.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.txt](#)

-----Original Message-----

From: Brandon Dawkins <Brandon.Dawkins@seiu1021.org>
 Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2020 8:30 PM
 To: Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
 Cc: Thomas Vitale <Thomas.Vitale@seiu1021.org>; Theresa Rutherford <Theresa.Rutherford@seiu1021.org>; Jason Klumb <Jason.Klumb@seiu1021.org>; Jennifer Esteen <Jennifer.Esteen@seiu1021.org>; Claude Joseph <Claude.Joseph@seiu1021.org>; David Canham <david.canham@seiu1021.org>; Joseph Bryant <Joseph.Bryant@seiu1021.org>; Sasha Cuttler <Sasha.Cuttler@seiu1021.org>
 Subject: DPH Centralized Call Center/Nurse Advice Line

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings,

Please read the attached letter from the workers at the DPH Centralized Call Center and Nurse Advice Line located at Laguna Honda Hospital regarding telecommuting.

We understand that the City “will do all it can to minimize health risk for the employees.” Unfortunately, the workers at the Centralized Call Center and the Nurse Advice Line are being further exposed due to the current working conditions.

Please read the attached letter. We await your response.



Joseph Bryant
President

Mary Duncan
Secretary

Amos Eaton
Treasurer

Jennifer Esteen
VP of Organizing

Ramses Teon-Nichols
VP of Politics

Sandra Lewis
VP of Representation

Akbar Bibb
VP Region A (North Central)

Mary Sandberg
VP Region B (North Coast)

Yeon Park
VP Region C (East Bay)

Theresa Rutherford
VP Region D (San Francisco)

Marcus Williams
VP Region E
(Amador/Calaveras/San Joaquin)

Executive Board
Pete Albert
Tazamisha Alexander
John Arantes
Tula Biederman
Derrick Boutte
Lorraine Bowser
Monique Chaney-Williams
Felipe Cuevas
Evelyn Curiel
Sasha Cuttler
Nathan Dahl
Brandon Dawkins
Karla Faucett
Geneva Haines
Dellfinia Hardy
Cynthia Landry
Todd Nosanow
Harold Powell
Mercedes Riggelman
Sandy Sigala
Robert Taylor
Richard Thoele
Taffie Walter
Angel Valdez
Sandra Wall
Jim Wise

Executive Board & Budget & Finance Committee

Travis Balzarini
Rhea Davis
Tina Diep
Elizabeth Harrison
Cheryl Hicks
Julie Meyers
Jessica Nila
Tom Popenuck
Sunny Santiago

May 27, 2020

SF DPH Primary Care
375 Laguna Honda Blvd., Suite E3
San Francisco, CA 94116

Attn: Antenor Arenas, Sharon Keyes,
Anna Robert, Hali Hammer

Re: Laguna Honda Hospital Call Center Health Workers

Dear DPH Primary Care Leadership,

Call Center health workers (Nurses and Eligibility Workers) at located at Laguna Honda Hospital are very concerned about the recent positive case of COVID 19 identified last weekend. We are concerned about the possibility of a serious outbreak as the center lacks the most basic systems to prevent infection. We have not been able to social distance by the required 6 feet by design of the office. Many of us share cubicles and computers. We are screened before entering the building, but this is not enough to prevent the spread if someone was able to come to work while positive. Having an extra temperature check during the day will not be a safeguard.

The Communications Workers Union (<https://cwa-union.org/coronavirus-recommendations-call-center-workers>) identifies on their website aspects of infection control that provide a safe, healthy working environment. Our call center fails on many areas as outlined in the following:

Employers should modify working spaces to ensure a safe distance (at least six feet) between employees.

Due to the design of the call center we are not able to maintain a safe 6 feet distance from our co-workers.

Employers should provide sufficient equipment to prevent equipment sharing of any kind – telephones, headsets, desk chairs, pens, computers, etc. Ensure you have access to your own supplies and space.

We frequently share computers, phones, chairs, cubicles. The call center is crowded, and different shifts share the same computers, desks, etc.

Employers should stagger shifts and breaks to avoid crowded work areas and break rooms.

We do not have a space to safely distance for lunch or breaks. Due to the large number of staff, we are not able to "stagger" breaks and lunch, so we avoid sharing the same space.

Employers should provide regular disinfection of doors, surfaces, products and any other "high touch" areas that could facilitate the transmission of the virus. Attempt to minimize touching of shared surfaces. The employer should ensure the work area, including common spaces and restrooms, are cleaned and disinfected throughout the day and between tours/shifts.

We have had to repeatedly request that our shared bathrooms and office space be cleaned and disinfected. The space is not cleaned well or sufficiently on a regular basis.

One of our goals has been to pursue working at home. The design of the office, the lack of space, and other issues that are identified in the above list make it impossible to create a safe working environment. Our jobs are done almost completely on the phone. We are we required to go into the office when it is possible to do our job remotely? We have been told we can't work at home for various reasons such there is "connection problem" or the county does not want to pay for licenses. Providers and other staff can work remotely so why are we prevented from doing so? Working remotely could be the difference between a full-blown outbreak and us

staying healthy and not spreading the virus to our families. It sounds dramatic, but because of the lack of distancing, lack of infection control, lack of transparency or proactive actions on behalf of management, the call center may not have enough healthy people to keep running. We waited two months to be tested and the first staff person has tested positive. Our office lacks the most basic setup to prevent disease transmission, we are all considered exposed. We ask that we be allowed to work remotely to save our health and possibly our lives.

We hope that after reading this letter, that you will take our request into consideration.

Sincerely,

Sasha Cuttler
RN Industry Chair
SEIU Local 1021

Brandon Dawkins
SF County Area Rep
SEIU Local 1021

SC/BD:wcf:seiu1021:ctw:cwa9410

cc: M. Brown, DPH
T. Rutherford, SF Reg VP, SEIU 1021
J. Klumb, SF Reg Field Dir, SEIU 1021
File

and SEIU Local 1021 members from the Nurse Advice Line and Centralized Call Center

(Karen BAEZ)

Connie Tong MPA-HSA

2903 HEW

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Budget Equity for Trans and LGBTQ Programs in San Francisco
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:22:00 AM
Attachments: [TAC letter 6.11.2020.pdf](#)

From: Persson, Maceo (ADM) <maceo.persson@sfgov.org> **On Behalf Of** Farley, Clair (ADM)

Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:22 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Budget, MYR (MYR) <budget@sfgov.org>; Rhorer, Trent (HSA) <Trent.Rhorer@sfgov.org>; McSpadden, Shireen (HSA) <shireen.mcspadden@sfgov.org>; Torres, Joaquin (ECN) <joaquin.torres@sfgov.org>; Davis, Sheryl (HRC) <sheryl.davis@sfgov.org>; Shaw, Eric (MYR) <eric.shaw@sfgov.org>; Stewart-Kahn, Abigail (HOM) <abigail.stewart-kahn@sfgov.org>; Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>; Howard, Kate (HRD) <kate.howard@sfgov.org>; Pon, Adrienne (ADM) <adrienne.pon@sfgov.org>; Kelly, Naomi (ADM) <naomi.kelly@sfgov.org>; Sacco, Carol (WOM) <carol.sacco@sfgov.org>; Simley, Shakirah (HRC) <shakirah.simley@sfgov.org>; Su, Maria (CHF) <maria.su@dcyf.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Cc: Sammie Ablaza Wills <sammie@apienc.org>; Jessdsantos16@gmail.com; JoJo Ty <j.ty415@gmail.com>; Remy Amaral <remyamaral@gmail.com>; akirajackson86@gmail.com; dph-titaaida <titaaida@sfccommunityhealth.org>; samuelg@sfccenter.org; sean@freshmeatproductions.org; transhealthconsulting@gmail.com; Farley, Clair (ADM) <clair.farley@sfgov.org>; Persson, Maceo (ADM) <maceo.persson@sfgov.org>; Crego, Pau (ADM) <pau.crego@sfgov.org>

Subject: Budget Equity for Trans and LGBTQ Programs in San Francisco

Dear Department Heads who are funding LGBTQ programs,

I hope you are staying safe and healthy during this difficult time.

I am attaching a letter from our Trans Advisory Committee, calling on departments to continue to fund vital Trans and LGBTQ community services for our most vulnerable community members.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Clair

###

Subject: Budget Equity for Trans and LGBQ Programs in San Francisco

To Mayor London Breed, City Department Heads Funding LGBTQ Organizations, Budget Office and the Board of Supervisors:

We are writing to call on key City Departments to fully fund trans and LGBQ community services. Mayor Breed's budget guidance calls on Departments to follow equity principles and prioritize services for our most vulnerable residents. We call on Departments to follow this guidance by keeping trans and LGBTQ programs funded so they can continue providing services to some of those most impacted by the pandemic.

- Housing our community - We need to retain and increase capacity and funding for Our Trans Home SF. Currently it is supporting housing subsidies for over 75 low income, housing insecure trans community members and trans people experiencing homelessness. To reduce any funding for this program would mean putting people back on the streets. That is the last thing that we want to do as the City is grappling with the COVID-19 pandemic, and as many more community members are becoming housing insecure due to the financial crisis.
- Economic development, re-entry, and violence prevention programs – transgender and gender nonconforming (TGNC) communities have seen an enormous reduction in income as TGNC people are disproportionately represented in the underground economy, service industry and other industries that have been greatly affected. We recommend an increase in economic development, employment, re-entry and violence prevention programs for TGNC communities.
- Trans wellness programs - There are currently no mental health or substance use recovery programs dedicated to TGNC communities. This has risen to the top as one of our most pressing needs. Now more than ever, our communities need comprehensive health and wellness services.

We understand that all Departments are instructed to make a 15% reduction to their budget and that some tough decisions have to be made. However, we would like to emphasize that any cuts to currently funded trans and LGBTQ programs would damage any progress the City has made in serving our most marginalized community members. Furthermore, such cuts will impact organizations' ability to respond to essential community needs that are not being addressed elsewhere, thus damaging essential safety nets and negatively impacting the City's broader COVID-19 relief efforts.

During the Our Trans Home SF campaign, we learned that TGNC San Franciscans are 18 times more likely to experience homelessness than other residents are. That makes TGNC people some of the most marginalized community members in the city. However, through public comments at last year's hearings we also learned that our TGNC community members commonly experience discrimination or harassment when seeking housing services. This is consistent with the National Trans Survey's findings that 70% of TGNC respondents who stayed in a shelter in that year reported some form of mistreatment.

From Departments' annual reports on SOGI (Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity) data collection, we know that prior to the COVID-19 pandemic many Departments were already struggling to meet the essential needs of trans and LGBTQ residents. We were only just beginning to address these inequities by investing in community-specific solutions since general population services are not accessible to LGBTQ individuals. That is why we are emphasizing that Departments must, at minimum, maintain current funding for trans and LGBTQ specific programs, especially when it comes to housing, wellness, and economic development. It is especially important that funding for Black-led and people of color led trans organizations is protected and increased. This is a time when we must invest in wellness for Black trans people, and trans communities of color.

In fact, as the COVID-19 pandemic has taken hold of our communities, we need increased investment in our services now more than ever. Our trans and LGBTQ nonprofits have taken on the role of supporting our community members through this crisis. The SF Bay Area LGBTQ+ COVID Relief Coalition formed so that we could collectively better coordinate the overwhelming needs that our communities are facing. Given this crisis, we have an increased need for wellness, housing, and mental health funding in order to ensure that our communities stay safe and housed.

During this Pride season and beyond we hope you will honor San Francisco's values and continue to support our most vulnerable communities.

Sincerely,
Transgender Advisory Committee
Office of Transgender Initiatives

Members:

Sammie Ablaza
API Equality Northern California (APIENC)

Melanie Ampon
SF Human Rights Commission

Nikki Calma
San Francisco Community Health Clinic

Sean Dorsey
Fresh Meat Productions

Samuel Gray
SF LGBT Center

Akira Jackson
TAJA's Coalition

JM Jaffey
Trans Health Consulting/Lyon Martin

Jessy D'Santos
St. James Infirmary

Rexy Amaral Tapia
Trans March Steering Committee

Jojo Tyler
SF Youth Commission

Maceo Persson, MPA | Pronouns: He, Him, His ([What's this?](#))

Director of Communications and External Affairs

[Office of Transgender Initiatives](#)

City & County of San Francisco

1800 Market Street, Ste. 100

San Francisco, CA 94102

ph: 415-671-3073 | e: maceo.persson@sfgov.org

Visit our [website](#) for more information.

Follow us on [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#) @TransCitySF

Sent via email

To: MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org; budget@sfgov.org; trent.rhorer@sfgov.org; shireen.mcspadden@sfgov.org; joaquin.torres@sfgov.org; sheryl.davis@sfgov.org; eric.shaw@sfgov.org; abigail.stewart-kahn@sfgov.org; grant.colfax@sfdph.org; kate.howard@sfgov.org; adrienne.pon@sfgov.org; naomi.kelly@sfgov.org; carol.sacco@sfgov.org; shakirah.simley@sfgov.org; maria.su@dcyf.org; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

CC: sammie@apienc.org; jessdsantos16@gmail.com; j.ty415@gmail.com; rexyamaral@gmail.com; akirajackson86@gmail.com; titaaida@sfccommunityhealth.org; samuelg@sfccenter.org; sean@freshmeatproductions.org; transhealthconsulting@gmail.com; clair.farley@sfgov.org; maceo.persson@sfgov.org; pau.crego@sfgov.org

Date: June 11, 2020

Subject: Budget Equity for Trans and LGBQ Programs in San Francisco

To Mayor London Breed, City Department Heads Funding LGBTQ Organizations, Budget Office and the Board of Supervisors:

We are writing to call on key City Departments to fully fund trans and LGBQ community services. Mayor Breed's budget guidance calls on Departments to follow equity principles and prioritize services for our most vulnerable residents. We call on Departments to follow this guidance by keeping trans and LGBTQ programs funded so they can continue providing services to some of those most impacted by the pandemic.

- **Housing our community** - We need to retain and increase capacity and funding for Our Trans Home SF. Currently it is supporting housing subsidies for over 75 low income, housing insecure trans community members and trans people experiencing homelessness. To reduce any funding for this program would mean putting people back on the streets. That is the last thing that we want to do as the City is grappling with the COVID-19 pandemic, and as many more community members are becoming housing insecure due to the financial crisis.
- **Economic development, re-entry, and violence prevention programs** – transgender and gender nonconforming (TGNC) communities have seen an enormous reduction in income as TGNC people are disproportionately represented in the underground economy, service industry and other industries that have been greatly affected. We recommend an increase in economic development, employment, re-entry and violence prevention programs for TGNC communities.
- **Trans wellness programs** - There are currently no mental health or substance use recovery programs dedicated to TGNC communities. This has risen to the top as one of our most pressing needs. Now more than ever, our communities need comprehensive health and wellness services.

We understand that all Departments are instructed to make a 15% reduction to their budget and that some tough decisions have to be made. However, we would like to emphasize that any cuts to currently funded trans and LGBQ programs would damage any progress the City has made in serving our most marginalized community members. Furthermore, such cuts will impact organizations' ability to respond to essential community needs that are not being addressed elsewhere, thus damaging essential safety nets and negatively impacting the City's broader COVID-19 relief efforts.

During the Our Trans Home SF campaign, we learned that TGNC San Franciscans are 18 times more likely to experience homelessness than other residents are. That makes TGNC people some of the most marginalized community members in the city. However, through public comments at last year's hearings we also learned that our TGNC community members commonly experience discrimination or harassment when seeking housing services. This is consistent with the National Trans Survey's findings that 70% of TGNC respondents who stayed in a shelter in that year reported some form of mistreatment.

From Departments' annual reports on SOGI (Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity) data collection, we know that prior to the COVID-19 pandemic many Departments were already struggling to meet the essential needs of trans and LGBQ residents. We were only just beginning to address these inequities by investing in community-specific solutions since general population services are not accessible to LGBTQ individuals. That is why we are emphasizing that Departments must, at minimum, maintain current funding for trans and LGBTQ specific programs, especially when it comes to housing, wellness, and economic development. It is especially important that funding for Black-led and people of color led trans organizations is protected and increased. This is a time when we must invest in wellness for Black trans people, and trans communities of color.

In fact, as the COVID-19 pandemic has taken hold of our communities, we need increased investment in our services now more than ever. Our trans and LGBTQ nonprofits have taken on the role of supporting our community members through this crisis. The SF Bay Area LGBTQ+ COVID Relief Coalition formed so that we could collectively better coordinate the overwhelming needs that our communities are facing. Given this crisis, we have an increased need for wellness, housing, and mental health funding in order to ensure that our communities stay safe and housed.

During this Pride season and beyond we hope you will honor San Francisco's values and continue to support our most vulnerable communities.

Sincerely,

Transgender Advisory Committee
Office of Transgender Initiatives

Members:

Sammie Ablaza
API Equality Northern California (APIENC)

Melanie Ampon
SF Human Rights Commission

Nikki Calma
San Francisco Community Health Clinic

Sean Dorsey
Fresh Meat Productions

Samuel Gray
SF LGBT Center

Akira Jackson
TAJA's Coalition

JM Jaffey
Trans Health Consulting/Lyon Martin

Jessy D'Santos
St. James Infirmary

Rexy Amaral Tapia
Trans March

Jojo Tyler
SF Youth Commission

From: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support for Item #28-Variance to Progress into the Resilience Roadmap
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:40:00 AM
Attachments: [SF Travel-Reopening Variance.pdf](#)

Hello,

The following is for File No. 200633, Item 28 on the agenda for Board of Supervisors meeting today.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: San Francisco Travel - President & CEO <president@sftravel.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 11:30 AM
To: San Francisco Travel - President & CEO <president@sftravel.com>
Subject: Support for Item #28-Variance to Progress into the Resilience Roadmap

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Morning President Yee and Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Please see attached communication on behalf of San Francisco Travel Association supporting the variance to progress further into the State's COVID-19 Resilience Roadmap.

Thank you and please reach out with any questions.

Cassandra Costello
(on behalf of Joe D'Alessandro)



San Francisco Travel - President & CEO |
E president@sftravel.com | T 415.227.2606

San Francisco Travel | One Front Street, Suite 2900 | San Francisco, CA 94111
sftravel.com | Follow us on [Facebook](#) + [Twitter](#)

Never the Same. Always San Francisco.
[San Francisco Named "Sports City of the Decade"](#)

Got Meetings? [Check Out Our Pick Two Promotion!](#)



June 16, 2020

To: President Yee and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
CC: Mayor London Breed
Dr. Tomás Aragón
Sean Elsbernd, Mayor's Chief of Staff
Naomi Kelly, City Administrator
Assessor-Recorder Carmen Chu
Treasurer José Cisneros
Joaquín Torres, Office of Economic and Workforce Development
Rudy Gonzalez, Executive Director, SF Labor Council
Rodney Fong, President at CEO, SF Chamber of Commerce

RE: Supporting Variance to Progress Further into State's COVID-19 Resilience Roadmap
Agenda item #28, File number 200633

Dear President Yee and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of the San Francisco Travel Association, which represents over 1,300 Bay Area business partners, I am writing to express support for the Health Officer to prepare and submit an attestation seeking a variance to allow San Francisco to progress further into the COVID-19 Resilience Roadmap.

San Francisco has led the way in our management of the COVID-19 pandemic. The City has used excellent judgment and discretion as they continue to protect the health and safety of the public. As we slowly welcome visitors back to San Francisco, they can rest assured, that we are a city that has used utmost caution and consideration for their health which will give them the confidence to enjoy what our city has to offer.

As we carefully and thoughtfully continue our phased reopening strategy, we want to ensure a balanced approach of the city's economic and physical health. We support the Health Officer, Dr. Tomás Aragón, in his efforts to prepare an attestation in support of the variance and we will continue to work with him as he uses his discretion to reopen certain business sectors, as he deems appropriate. The various attractions, museums and accommodations that are in the upcoming phases have worked hard to prepare well thought out reopening plans and are ready, when the Health Officer deems appropriate, to safely open their doors to the public once again.

Sincerely

Joe D'Alessandro

President and CEO

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Regarding Item 28
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 3:01:00 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: spencer carlin <spencer.paulsmith@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 2:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Regarding Item 28

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, my name is Spencer Carlin and I am a resident living in Duboce Triangle.
I am writing to voice my support of reopening tattoo parlors as soon as June 19th.
My concern is that many in this industry are particularly hard hit by COVID-19 and I fear many parkour a will not survive another month without income.
Tattoo parlors are under very strict health standards as it is, most in the city rarely have more than two to three people in at any time as they usually operate by appointment.

The tattoo artist could easily use infrared thermometer to test themselves and clients temperatures on a needed basis, and ask clients to to self check before arriving for an appointment.

Please consider opening up the tattoo parkours ASAP.

Thank you,
Spencer

Sent from my iPhone

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Reassess Tenderloin Community Safety Budget
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 3:10:00 PM

From: C Nelson <cnelsonsic@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:17 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Chu, Carmen (ASR) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; District Attorney, (DAT) <districtattorney@sfgov.org>; SFSO Complaints (SHF) <sfso.complaints@sfgov.org>; Cisneros, Jose (TTX) <jose.cisneros@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>; MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu; AlisonMCollins <AlisonMCollins@sfusd.edu>; StevonCook <StevonCook@sfusd.edu>; JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu; Haneystaff (BOS) <haneystaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Reassess Tenderloin Community Safety Budget

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Charles Nelson, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I've seen first-hand the ineffectiveness of Tenderloin police to accomplish real change. A containment zone for crime is not a solution, and it's better that the people be treated like human beings and receive food, shelter, and medical treatment. The police are uniquely unqualified to perform any of these duties and our tax dollars are better spent funding EMTs, Firefighters, and Community Support programs. The tenderloin would be better off if all the beat cops were replaced with trained EMTs, advocates for the unhoused, and public defenders. We don't need a hired gang

to protect us, we can do that ourselves.

From living on the corner of Golden Gate and Hyde, I've come in contact with a number of the horde of criminal drug dealers, and even knowing some of them are armed with firearms and oversized illegal knives, I know that they are more level-headed than the SFPD. I literally feel safer with the drug dealers than the SFPD, and I'm rich and white.

If the SFPD doesn't want to do their job, let's pare their workload down to just chasing murderers around. And when we realize they're bad at that too, we can fire them completely.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Charles Nelson
277 Golden Gate Ave #502, SF, CA
cnelsonsic@gmail.com
415-604-8129

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: EPS Feasibility Memo: Evidence of the myth of "market-rate housing subsidizing affordable units"
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:51:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

From: aj <ajahjah@att.net>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 11:18 PM
To: Hood, Donna (PUC) <DHood@sfgwater.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>; Maybaum, Erica (BOS) <erica.maybaum@sfgov.org>; BRCAC (ECN) <brcac@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Cc: Public Lands for Public Good <publiclandsforpublicgood@gmail.com>; CCSF Collective <kien.eira@gmail.com>; ccsfheat@gmail.com; Defend City College Alliance <madelinenmueller@gmail.com>; SNA BRC <sna-brc@googlegroups.com>
Subject: EPS Feasibility Memo: Evidence of the myth of 'market-rate housing subsidizing affordable units'

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

PUC, Land Use & Transportation Committee, BOS, BRCAC, Planning Commission:

Subject: EPS Feasibility Memo--Evidence of the myth/deception of market-rate housing subsidizing affordable units

Page 1250 of the 2256-page Planning Commission packet <https://commissions.sfplanning.org/cpcpackets/2018-007883GPAPCAMAPDVA.pdf> contains an EPS Feasibility Memo. Within the Memo is a "Table 1." Table 1 is essentially a profit-loss statement for the Reservoir Project.

Table 1 has two sections:

- **Uses** (equivalent to 'Expenditures' plus 'Profit' of a standard profit/loss statement),
- **Sources** (equivalent to 'Revenue' of a standard P/L statement)

Table 1 is not in a standard profit/loss statement format.

Here, for clarity and transparency, I present Table 1 in a standard profit/loss statement format. Additionally, I have returned the \$40 Million CA grants (from MHP and AHSC Programs) amount back to the Revenue section where it belongs...instead of the \$40M amount being hidden in a footnote: [Reservoir Project--EPS Feasibility Memo Profit-Loss Sheet](#)



The "Affordable Housing Program" (Exhibit D of the Development Agreement, on p. 1580 of 2256-page Planning Commission packet) specifies the City's Affordable Funding Share to be \$239K per unit. Thus for 187 City-subsidized units, RCP will receive \$44.693 Million (187 units X \$239K).

It is unclear if "Uses" in Table 1 includes the costs for the 187 "additional affordable" City-subsidized units.

Neither does Table 1 include the \$44.7 Million that Reservoir Community Partners is expecting to receive from the "City's Affordable Funding Share."

Despite the unclarity in Table 1, the "Affordable Housing Program" of the Development Agreement states:

Developer will cause at least 50% of the total number of dwelling units constructed on the Project Site to be Affordable Units. Developer will be responsible for the pre-development, planning, permitting, construction, and management of all Affordable Units. The Parties agree that the Project's ability to achieve an overall affordability level of 50% is predicated on Developer's receipt of City's Affordable Funding Share.

THE MYTH/DECEPTION OF MARKET-RATE HOUSING SUBSIDIZING AFFORDABLE UNITS

The Reservoir Project has been promoted as 550 units subsidizing 550 affordable units. With the recent release of the Development Agreement, this can be shown to be a myth and to be deceptive advertising.

The EPS Feasibility Memo and Development Agreement provides evidence for fact that the affordable units will be subsidized by public monies. State and City funding is expected to total \$124.2 Million:

- \$79.5 M from State grants
 - \$39.5M from Statewide Park Program (SPP) and Infill Infrastructure Grant

(IIG) Program,

- \$40.0M from Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) and Affordable Housing & Sustainable Communities Program (AHSC);

- \$44.7 M from "City's Affordable Funding Share."

PROPORTION OF PUBLIC FUNDING FOR PROJECT: 55-69%

Because of the unclarity of whether Table 1 covers the 187 City-subsidized units or notm here are two calculations: 1) for Table 1 "Uses" figures that would cover all 550 units; 2) for Table 1 "Uses" figures that would cover only the Developer's 363 affordable units:

In both cases, public sources of funds total \$124.2 Million (\$39.5M + \$40M + \$44.7M)

The proportion of public monies for the Project depends on whether or not the Table 1 figures cover the 187 City-subsidized units:

1. If 187 City-subsidized units are covered: $\$124.2\text{M} / \$180.6\text{M cost} = \mathbf{69\%}$
2. If 187 City units are not covered: $\$124.2\text{M} / (\$180.6\text{M} + \$44.7\text{M}) = \mathbf{55\%}$

So in either case, well over half (55- 69%) of the funding of affordable units will be paid for with public monies ,while Avalon Bay will get **at least half** of the total number of units.

From this, it should be evident that, in reality, the public will be subsidizing the private developer by:

- Privatization of public land, which will be given up in perpetuity for a scandalous 98%-discounted price of \$11.2 Million;
- Instead of the marketing sweet-talk of affordability "in perpetuity", affordability will only be assuredfor 57 years.

I urge all Supervisors to resist the temptations that the private developers dangle in front of you. Don't be a party to corruption and privatization of public lands at a giveaway price.

Sincerely,
Alvin Ja, District 7

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Fund bike lanes and increase renter affordability
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 3:14:00 PM

From: Shoshana O'Brien <shoshana@mobilecoin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 3:28 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Chu, Carmen (ASR) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; District Attorney, (DAT) <districtattorney@sfgov.org>; SFSO Complaints (SHF) <sfsocomplaints@sfgov.org>; Cisneros, Jose (TTX) <jose.cisneros@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>

Subject: Fund bike lanes and increase renter affordability

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers My name is Shoshana O'Brien, and I am a resident of San Francisco. I feel like we are not getting our money right for the police. Earlier last year I was hit by a car and got the license plate number but the police made no arrests or even have me a police report. Also, I was using public transit and I dropped my card and they fined me which was unfair. I feel like we are being policed for profit not safety. The budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue. SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police, and they can't even find someone who hit me with a car while I was on a bicycle. I want to see better bike lanes separated from the cars. I call on you to cut the SFPD budget and use more of it for making San Francisco a livable city, specifically by adding more bike lanes and helping renters. The city is increasingly unaffordable. Something must be done for the affordability crisis, and we need more bike lanes and renter help would be great. This money should come from the general fund and it looks like the police is getting all the general fund money.

Thank you.

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Great Plates Program Extended - Enrollment Opportunity
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 9:03:00 AM
Attachments: [Great Plates Delivered SF Newsletter Content 061620.docx](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the below email from John Scarpulla.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
 Executive Assistant
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Scarpulla, John <JScarpulla@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 5:51 PM
To: Scarpulla, John (PUC) <JScarpulla@sfgov.org>
Subject: Great Plates Program Extended - Enrollment Opportunity

Hi all,

Please see attached for information on the Great Plates Program, and its extension through July 10. The Great Plates Program is a temporary State emergency food assistance program for older adults sheltering in place due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Please feel free to send this information out to your community partners, constituents, and any seniors that may be interested in enrolling in the program. Also, please feel free to include this information in any newsletters that are sent out.

To see if someone you know may qualify for this program or other services, please call (415) 355-6700 or visit: www.sfhhsa.org/greatplates. The City's helpline is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Thanks, all!

Best,
 John

Great Plates Delivered SF Program Provides Free Home-Delivered Meals for Seniors

Great Plates Delivered SF is temporary state emergency food assistance program for older adults sheltering in place due to the COVID-19 pandemic. This City-run program delivers three free meals a day to eligible seniors, who are at a higher risk from COVID-19. The City has partnered with restaurants and food vendors to prepare and deliver meals to our community's older adults.

Seniors participating in Great Plates Delivered receive breakfast, lunch, and dinner delivered to their home. The program accommodates specific dietary needs, such as low-sodium or pork-free diets. All deliveries are contactless for safety, and all individuals delivering meals are subject to background checks. In the first month of the program, more than 1,400 people have participated and over 80,000 meals have been delivered.

Great Plates Delivered SF runs through July 10, 2020 and serves eligible seniors over 65, as well as those aged 60 - 64 with certain underlying health conditions, or those who have been exposed to COVID-19. Eligible seniors must not be able to obtain or prepare meals on their own, live alone or with another eligible senior and earn less than \$74,940 for a single-person household or \$101,460 for a two-person household. Unfortunately, under the state's program rules, older adults who currently receive state or federal food assistance such as CalFresh or home-delivered meals are not eligible for Great Plates.

To see if you or someone you know may qualify for this program or other services, please **call (415) 355-6700** or visit: www.sfhhsa.org/greatplates. The City's helpline is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.





From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Give due credit to the POAs affiliated with the AFL-CIO
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 11:48:00 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Mariclare D Ballard <marcyballard@me.com>
 Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 4:48 PM
 To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Info, HRC (HRC) <hrc.info@sfgov.org>
 Subject: Give due credit to the POAs affiliated with the AFL-CIO

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Yes, there are structural and systematic problems which require national leadership which we do not have. Hopefully in November we will.

You have a positive POA here not someone calling protestors- "Terrorists."

Their fellow unions will help in the change. Human beings and organizations need support to change not broad brushing the cops which is what we are asking the cops NOT TO DO.

Also anyone who understands organizational behavior realizes punishment of the whole for the harm done by what 2% makes human beings and organizations retreat to their corner.

Applaud our POA because they are affiliated with the AFL-CIO versus the right wing Federation of Police of which most depts are affiliated.

How many of you have sat down or even socialize with SF police officers?

Most cops think we Democrat's will not support them no matter what.

If cops do not have due process how can you expect them to honor ours?

If any Union is running any business it is because management is not doing their job.

We all have roles to play and if management is not expertly trained and if you have Sargents and other "give orders managers" in the bargaining unit you do have a structural problem. Also look at the West Va cop fired for not shooting someone. The ACLU defended him. There was no union. I know this is complicated but please try to get the trust of the officers who show up everyday and do their job well.

You see how, Trump as fed into the fear in this nation.

Think about your public rhetoric

Thank all of you for your work for all of us.

Marcy Dunne Ballard

Sent from my iPad

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: WARN Notice: The Bay Club Company
Date: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 10:37:00 AM
Attachments: [Governmental WARN Notice - The Gateway \(21019372_3\)-signed.pdf](#)
[Governmental WARN Notice - SF Tennis \(21019328_3\)-signed.pdf](#)
[Governmental WARN Notice - San Francisco \(21019569_3\)-signed.pdf](#)
[Governmental WARN Notice - Financial District \(21019413_3\)-signed.pdf](#)

From: HR <hr@bayclubs.com>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 1:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: WARN Notice: The Bay Club Company

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please see the notices attached. As a result of COVID-19-related business circumstances, some of our Company's associates will experience a layoff, furlough, reduction in hours, and/or reduction in pay that may constitute an employment loss. Although the WARN Act may not require it, we are providing this notice as a precaution.

If you have any questions or want additional information concerning this matter, please contact Erin Rucker Oto, Senior Executive Vice President at 415.901.9252.

Sincerely,

Human Resources
 The Bay Club Company
 t: 888.830.7160
[Bay Club Blog](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#)



This message together with any attachments and responses (email) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. The contents of this email are considered proprietary and confidential and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this email, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the original sender immediately by telephone or by return email and delete this email, from your computer, without making any copies.



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

California Economic Development Department (EDD)
eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov

Mr. Joshua Arce, Director, Workforce Development
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Mayor London N. Breed
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org

June 9, 2020

Re: WARN Notice: The Bay Club Company

I am writing on behalf of The Bay Club Company (“Bay Club”) to give notice that, as a result of COVID-19-related business circumstances that were not reasonably foreseeable at the time that notice might otherwise have been required, particularly including the government-ordered shutdown of recreational facilities such as ours, some Bay Club associates will experience a layoff, furlough, reduction in hours, and/or reduction in pay that may constitute an employment loss at the following Club location on June 7, 2020 or July 1, 2020 (depending on the position, detailed below). In addition, on April 15, 2020, Bay Club placed some associates on a furlough that it had hoped would be short-term, but which has been extended due to the unforeseeable prolonged effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, and may now, or in the future when aggregated with other employment actions, constitute an employment loss.

This summer, we will be continuing to evaluate additional restructuring options necessitated by the COVID-19 pandemic situation, which may further impact our associates’ employment. More information will be provided as it becomes available.

Bay Club at The Gateway
370 Drumm Street
San Francisco, CA 94111



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

I am providing this notice in an abundance of caution and due to the unprecedented nature of this situation, although the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (“WARN Act”) and California WARN Act may not technically require notice of these planned actions.

- The entire Club location is not being closed.
- Bumping rights do not exist.
- The affected associates are not represented by a union.

The below chart contains the details of the anticipated schedule for employment actions that may be construed to require notice, the job titles of positions to be affected, and the number of affected associates in each job classification.

Employment Action	Date	Affected Job Position	# Associates
Reduced hourly rate and/or reduced work hours	6/7/2020	Masters Swim Coach	1
		Swim Instructor	3
		Tennis Director	1
		Tennis Professional	1
Work furlough	6/7/2020	Facilities Technician	1
		Group Fitness Instructor	2
		Housekeeping Attendant	3
		Sports Desk Associate	1
		Swim Instructor	2
	4/15/2020	Tennis Professional	2
		Family Programming Associate	1
		Housekeeping Attendant	1
		Lifeguard	4
		Sports Desk Associate	2
Restructuring of membership department; invited to apply for new position(s)	7/1/2020	Swim Coach	1
		Membership associate	1
May experience a reduction in hours and/or pay due to changes in government orders and/or demand for services	6/7/2020 (and on an ongoing basis)	Facilities Technician	1
		Family Programming Associate	6
		Hospitality Manager	1



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

		Housekeeping Attendant	5
		Lifeguard	3
		Membership Director	1
		Personal Trainer	2
		Pool Deck Concierge	1
		Regional Aquatics Director	1
		Sports Desk Associate	8
		Swim Instructor	3
		Tennis Professional	3

All affected associates have been notified of these actions, which are anticipated to be permanent (although pay rate changes may be temporary), with the exception of associates on work furlough, which may be temporary. Bay Club anticipates being able to notify affected associates of their return to work status on or about August 1, 2020. Affected associates were provided notice consistent with Executive Order N-31-20 regarding the California WARN Act.

If you have any questions or want additional information concerning this matter, please contact Erin Rucker Oto, Senior Executive Vice President at 415.901.9252.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Kevin Klipfel".

Kevin Klipfel
Chief Financial Officer



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

California Economic Development Department (EDD)
eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov

Mr. Joshua Arce, Director, Workforce Development
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Mayor London N. Breed
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org

June 9, 2020

Re: WARN Notice: The Bay Club Company

I am writing on behalf of The Bay Club Company (“Bay Club”) to give notice that, as a result of COVID-19-related business circumstances that were not reasonably foreseeable at the time that notice might otherwise have been required, particularly including the government-ordered shutdown of recreational facilities such as ours, some Bay Club associates will experience a layoff, furlough, reduction in hours, and/or reduction in pay that may constitute an employment loss at the following Club location on June 7, 2020 or July 1, 2020 (depending on the position, detailed below). In addition, on April 15, 2020, Bay Club placed some associates on a furlough that it had hoped would be short-term, but which has been extended due to the unforeseeable prolonged effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, and may now, or in the future when aggregated with other employment actions, constitute an employment loss.

This summer, we will be continuing to evaluate additional restructuring options necessitated by the COVID-19 pandemic situation, which may further impact our associates’ employment. More information will be provided as it becomes available.

Bay Club SF Tennis
645 5th Street
San Francisco, CA 94107



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

I am providing this notice in an abundance of caution and due to the unprecedented nature of this situation, although the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (“WARN Act”) and California WARN Act may not technically require notice of these planned actions.

- The entire Club location is not being closed.
- Bumping rights do not exist.
- The affected associates are not represented by a union.

The below chart contains the details of the anticipated schedule for employment actions that may be construed to require notice, the job titles of positions to be affected, and the number of affected associates in each job classification.

Employment Action	Date	Affected Job Position	# Associates
Reduced hourly rate and/or reduced work hours	6/7/2020	Housekeeping Attendant	1
		Personal Trainer	3
		Retail Associate	1
		Sports Desk Associate	1
		Tennis Professional	6
Work furlough	6/7/2020	AGM of Fitness	1
		Group Fitness Instructor	7
		Housekeeping Attendant	2
		Retail Associate	1
		Tennis Professional	2
	4/15/2020	Cafe Server	1
Restructuring of membership department; invited to apply for new position(s)	7/1/2020	Membership associate	
May experience a reduction in hours and/or pay due to changes in government orders and/or demand for services	6/7/2020 (and on an ongoing basis)	Facilities Technician	1
		Group Fitness Instructor	2
		Housekeeping Attendant	6
		Housekeeping Supervisor	1
		Personal Trainer	3
		Retail Associate	1
		Senior Membership Director	1



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

		Sports Desk Associate	6
		SVP/General Manager	1
		Swim Instructor	1
		Tennis Director	1
		Tennis Professional	12
		Valet Attendant	2

All affected associates have been notified of these actions, which are anticipated to be permanent (although pay rate changes may be temporary), with the exception of associates on work furlough, which may be temporary. Bay Club anticipates being able to notify affected associates of their return to work status on or about August 1, 2020. Affected associates were provided notice consistent with Executive Order N-31-20 regarding the California WARN Act.

If you have any questions or want additional information concerning this matter, please contact Erin Rucker Oto, Senior Executive Vice President at 415.901.9252.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Kevin Klipfel".

Kevin Klipfel
Chief Financial Officer



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

California Economic Development Department (EDD)
eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov

Mr. Joshua Arce, Director, Workforce Development
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Mayor London N. Breed
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org

June 9, 2020

Re: WARN Notice: The Bay Club Company

I am writing on behalf of The Bay Club Company ("Bay Club") to give notice that, as a result of COVID-19-related business circumstances that were not reasonably foreseeable at the time that notice might otherwise have been required, particularly including the government-ordered shutdown of recreational facilities such as ours, some Bay Club associates will experience a layoff, furlough, reduction in hours, and/or reduction in pay that may constitute an employment loss at the following Club location on June 7, 2020 or July 1, 2020 (depending on the position, detailed below). In addition, on April 15, 2020, Bay Club placed some associates on a furlough that it had hoped would be short-term, but which has been extended due to the unforeseeable prolonged effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, and may now, or in the future when aggregated with other employment actions, constitute an employment loss.

This summer, we will be continuing to evaluate additional restructuring options necessitated by the COVID-19 pandemic situation, which may further impact our associates' employment. More information will be provided as it becomes available.

Bay Club San Francisco
150 Greenwich Street
San Francisco, CA 94111



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

I am providing this notice in an abundance of caution and due to the unprecedented nature of this situation, although the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act ("WARN Act") and California WARN Act may not technically require notice of these planned actions.

- The entire Club location is not being closed.
- Bumping rights do not exist.
- The affected associates are not represented by a union.

The below chart contains the details of the anticipated schedule for employment actions that may be construed to require notice, the job titles of positions to be affected, and the number of affected associates in each job classification.

Employment Action	Date	Affected Job Position	# Associates
Reduced hourly rate and/or reduced work hours	6/7/2020	Group Fitness Instructor	2
		Housekeeping Attendant	1
		Personal Trainer	3
		Pilates Instructor	2
		Regional Group Fitness Manager	1
		Sports Desk Associate	2
		Swim Instructor	1
Work furlough	6/7/2020	Cafe Server	4
		Director of Family Programming	1
		Facilities Director	1
		Family Programming Associate	1
		Group Fitness Instructor	30
		Housekeeping Attendant	6
		Personal Trainer	9
		Pilates Instructor	1
		Sports Desk Associate	1
		Yoga Instructor	1
	4/15/2020	Banquet Server	1
		Cafe Server	2
		Family Programming Associate	5
		Golf Club Associate	1
		Housekeeping Attendant	1
		Rock Gym Attendant	1
		Sports Desk Associate	2
		Tennis Professional	1
		Valet Attendant	2
		Membership associate	5
Restructuring of membership department; invited to apply for new position(s)	7/1/2020		



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

May experience a reduction in hours and/or pay due to changes in government orders and/or demand for services	6/7/2020 (and on an ongoing basis)	AGM of Fitness	1
		Cafe Server	1
		Childcare Associate	1
		Facilities Technician	1
		Family Programming Associate	5
		Fitness Floor Attendant	1
		Food and Beverage Manager	1
		Group Fitness Instructor	2
		Housekeeping and Facilities Manager	1
		Housekeeping Attendant	15
		Massage Therapist	1
		Membership Director	4
		Operations Manager	1
		Personal Trainer	10
		Senior Membership Director	1
		Spa Director	1
		Sports Desk Associate	5
		Squash Director	1
		Squash Professional	2
		SVP/General Manager	1
		Swim Instructor	1
		Valet Attendant	1

All affected associates have been notified of these actions, which are anticipated to be permanent (although pay rate changes may be temporary), with the exception of associates on work furlough, which may be temporary. Bay Club anticipates being able to notify affected associates of their return to work status on or about August 1, 2020. Affected associates were provided notice consistent with Executive Order N-31-20 regarding the California WARN Act.

If you have any questions or want additional information concerning this matter, please contact Erin Rucker Oto, Senior Executive Vice President at 415.901.9252.

Sincerely,

Kevin Klipfel
Chief Financial Officer



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

California Economic Development Department (EDD)
eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov

Mr. Joshua Arce, Director, Workforce Development
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Mayor London N. Breed
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org

June 9, 2020

Re: WARN Notice: The Bay Club Company

I am writing on behalf of The Bay Club Company (“Bay Club”) to give notice that, as a result of COVID-19-related business circumstances that were not reasonably foreseeable at the time that notice might otherwise have been required, particularly including the government-ordered shutdown of recreational facilities such as ours, some Bay Club associates will experience a layoff, furlough, reduction in hours, and/or reduction in pay that may constitute an employment loss at the following Club location on June 7, 2020 or July 1, 2020 (depending on the position, detailed below). In addition, on April 15, 2020, Bay Club placed some associates on a furlough that it had hoped would be short-term, but which has been extended due to the unforeseeable prolonged effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, and may now, or in the future when aggregated with other employment actions, constitute an employment loss.

This summer, we will be continuing to evaluate additional restructuring options necessitated by the COVID-19 pandemic situation, which may further impact our associates’ employment. More information will be provided as it becomes available.

Bay Club Financial District
555 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

I am providing this notice in an abundance of caution and due to the unprecedented nature of this situation, although the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (“WARN Act”) and California WARN Act may not technically require notice of these planned actions.

- The entire Club location is not being closed.
- Bumping rights do not exist.
- The affected associates are not represented by a union.

The below chart contains the details of the anticipated schedule for employment actions that may be construed to require notice, the job titles of positions to be affected, and the number of affected associates in each job classification.

Employment Action	Date	Affected Job Position	# Associates
Reduced hourly rate and/or reduced work hours	6/7/2020	Group Fitness Instructor	1
		Housekeeping Attendant	1
		Personal Trainer	1
Work furlough	6/7/2020	Group Fitness Instructor	6
		Housekeeping Attendant	4
		Sports Desk Associate	1
Restructuring of membership department; invited to apply for new position(s)	7/1/2020	Membership associate	1
May experience a reduction in hours and/or pay due to changes in government orders and/or demand for services	6/7/2020 (and on an ongoing basis)	Club Manager	1
		Director of Operations	1
		Housekeeping Attendant	1
		Membership Director	1
		Personal Trainer	7
		Sports Desk Associate	2

All affected associates have been notified of these actions, which are anticipated to be permanent (although pay rate changes may be temporary), with the exception of associates on work furlough, which may be temporary. Bay Club anticipates being able to notify affected associates of their return to work status on or about August 1, 2020. Affected associates were provided notice consistent with Executive Order N-31-20 regarding the California WARN Act.



THE BAY CLUB

PORTLAND | BAY AREA | LOS ANGELES | SAN DIEGO

If you have any questions or want additional information concerning this matter, please contact Erin Rucker Oto, Senior Executive Vice President at 415.901.9252.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Kevin Klipfel".

Kevin Klipfel
Chief Financial Officer

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Layoff notice
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 2:29:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[6.17.2020 follow-up re HKSF permanent layoffs.pdf](#)

From: Deanna Brinkerhoff <DBrinkerhoff@hakkasangroup.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 2:08 PM
To: eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Development, Workforce (ECN) <workforce.development@sfgov.org>
Subject: Layoff notice

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

Please see the attached correspondence regarding Hakkasan San Francisco Restaurant.

Thank you,

Deanna Brinkerhoff
Executive Director of Legal Affairs

HAKKASAN
GROUP

Hakkasan Group
6385 S Rainbow Blvd // Suite 800
Las Vegas
NV 89118
+1 702 212 8804 EXT. 228 // Phone
+1 702 415 3237 // Cell
DBrinkerhoff@hakkasangroup.com
hakkasangroup.com

This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient please notify us immediately and delete it and do not copy, use or disclose its contents.

HAKKASAN GROUP

CRAFTING REMARKABLE EXPERIENCES

June 17, 2020

WARN Act Coordinator
Statewide Services Unit
Workforce Services Division
Employment Development Department
P.O. Box 826880, MIC 69/Room 3099
Sacramento, CA 94280

[Via e-mail (eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov)]

San Francisco County Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

[Via e-mail (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)]

Office of the Mayor
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

[Via e-mail (MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org)]

Workforce Development Division of the
Office of Economic & Workforce Development
1 South Van Ness Ave., 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

[Via e-mail (workforce.development@sfgov.org)]

Re: Follow-up Correspondence Regarding Hakkasan Restaurant

To Whom It May Concern,

This letter serves as a further follow-up to Hakkasan Holdings, LLC d/b/a Hakkasan Group's correspondence dated March 16, 2020, May 1, 2020, and May 5, 2020 regarding layoffs at Hakkasan Restaurant, located at 1 Kearny Street, San Francisco, CA, 94108. As noted in the correspondence dated May 5, 2020, it was anticipated that the final remaining employee at Hakkasan San Francisco Restaurant – the General manager – would be laid off during May 2020. Please be advised that the General manager's layoff will take effect on June 19, 2020. She is not covered by a collective bargaining agreement and there are no bumping rights.

You may direct any further inquiries regarding this matter to me at (702) 212-8804 ext. 228 or via e-mail at dbrinkerhoff@hakkasangroup.com.

Regards,

/s/ Deanna Brinkerhoff

Deanna Brinkerhoff
Executive Director of Legal Affairs



SAN FRANCISCO

June 4, 2020

San Francisco Clerk of The Board of Supervisors
Angela Calvillo
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA. 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184
Email: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Re: WARN/Cal-WARN Notice

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

We write to follow up on our March 24, 2020 notice that Sheraton Operating Corporation doing business as W San Francisco (the "Hotel"), located at 181 3rd Street, San Francisco, CA 94103, would be implementing temporary furloughs, temporary layoffs, and/or temporary schedule reductions (collectively, temporary actions"). Although the temporary actions were initially expected to last less than six months, it is now reasonably foreseeable that these temporary actions may extend beyond six months. The temporary actions impact 271 of the location's 285 employees.

As you know, these temporary actions began at the location on March 18, 2020, and were expected to last less than six months. The temporary actions were necessary due to the sudden and unprecedented economic effects of coronavirus/COVID-19 crisis ("COVID-19"), a natural disaster and physical calamity, including the World Health Organization's pandemic declaration, the President's declaration of a national emergency, the state and local shelter-in-place orders, and other related governmental announcements and actions, and their impact on business operations. Based on public health guidance and business forecasts available at the time, it was initially expected that these temporary actions would last significantly less than six months and that the location would return to normal business levels.

These government COVID-19 directives, however, have repeatedly been expanded and extended and have forced people to remain in place, restricting business, large gatherings, and travel in general. These expanded and extended government directives have caused a sudden, severe and worsening downturn in the hospitality industry that now makes it reasonably foreseeable that these temporary actions may extend beyond six months.

This notice is provided at the earliest possible time based on the information available. If business conditions change, which will impact these temporary actions, employees will be promptly notified of any change.

Approximately 205 of the affected employees are represented by the UNITE HERE Local 2. Below I have provided contact information for the chief elected union officer.

Anand Singh
President

UNITE HERE Local 2
209 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 864-8770
Email: asingh@unitehere2.org

Approximately 4 of the affected employees are represented by the IUOE Local 39. Below I have provided contact information for the chief elected union officer.

Joseph Klein
Business Manager
IUOE Stationary Engineers, Local 39
337 Valencia St.
San Francisco, CA 94103
Phone: (415) 861-1135
Email: jklein@local39.org

Approximately 16 of the affected employees are represented by the Teamster Local 856. Below I have provided contact information for the chief elected union officer.

Mike Lagomarsino
President
Teamster Local 856
453 San Mateo Ave, San Bruno, CA 94066
Phone: (650) 635-1632
Email: mlagomarsino@ibt856.org

Enclosed is a list of the job titles of all affected employees and the number of employees in each job title. Bumping rights (that is, the right to claim another job at the company) may be available to some union-represented employees, based on contract requirements and individual circumstances. Non-union employees do not have bumping rights. Each affected employee has been notified of the specific temporary action applicable to them. Each union representative has been notified of the temporary actions applicable to their members.

All affected employees have been provided the following information: "If you have lost your job or been laid off temporarily, you may be eligible for Unemployment Insurance (UI). More information on UI and other resources available for workers is available at labor.ca.gov/coronavirus2019."

This notice is given pursuant to California Labor Code Section 1400, *et seq.* ("Cal-WARN"), Executive Order N-31-20, and the federal Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification ("WARN") Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2101, *et seq.*, to the extent these laws apply.

We are providing you with this notice based upon the best information available to us at this time. We believe that this notice fully complies with any Cal-WARN or WARN requirements, to the extent applicable, and do not plan to provide you future notices with respect to these temporary actions. We wish to provide you with notices that are helpful, rather than inundate you with repeated or intermittent updates. Please let us know, however, if you would like us to provide you with future notices or additional information.

For further information, please contact me at 415.817-7861.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Roger Haldi".

Roger Haldi
General Manager

Enclosure

Job Title	Temporary Action	# of Employees
Accountant-General	Temporary layoff	1
Admin Assistant	Temporary layoff	2
Assistant Director-Services	Temporary furlough	1
Assistant Manager-Banquets	Temporary furlough	2
Attendant-Bell stand	Temporary layoff	7
Attendant-Beverage	Temporary layoff	4
Attendant-Bus	Temporary layoff	12
Attendant-Door	Temporary layoff	2
Attendant-Honor Bar	Temporary layoff	4
Bartender	Temporary layoff	12
Cashier-Accounting	Temporary layoff	1
Cashier-Food & Beverage Svcs	Temporary layoff	4
Clerk-Reservations	Temporary layoff	2
Clerk-Storeroom	Temporary layoff	1
Concierge	Temporary layoff	2
Controller-Rooms	Temporary layoff	1
Cook	Temporary layoff	28
Coordinator-Human Resources	Temporary layoff	1
Coordinator-Admin	Temporary layoff	2
Dir-Event Planning	Temporary furlough	1
Dir-Front Office	Temporary furlough	1
Dir-Operations	Temporary furlough	1
Dir-Services	Temporary furlough	1
Engineer I	Temporary layoff	4
Executive Chef	Temporary furlough	1
Executive Sous Chef	Temporary furlough	1
General Accountant-Lead	Temporary layoff	1
Generalist-Human Resources	Temporary layoff	1
Guest Service Representative	Temporary layoff	11
Host/Hostess	Temporary layoff	3
Housekeeper	Temporary layoff	46
Housekeeper-Public Area	Temporary layoff	13
Housekeeping Aide-Banquets	Temporary layoff	8
Mgr-Events	Temporary furlough	2
Mgr-Front Desk	Temporary furlough	4
Mgr-Marketing	Temporary furlough	1

Mgr-Purchasing	Temporary furlough	1
Mgr-Restaurant	Temporary furlough	3
Officer-Loss Prevention	Temporary layoff	15
Seamstress	Temporary layoff	2
Server	Temporary layoff	24
Server-Banquets	Temporary layoff	9
SrAsstDir-Finance & Acct	Temporary furlough	1
SrMgr-Events	Temporary furlough	1
Steward	Temporary layoff	17
Telephone Operator	Temporary layoff	8
W Hotel Sales Executive	Temporary furlough	1
	TOTAL	271

THE WESTIN
ST. FRANCIS
SAN FRANCISCO
ON UNION SQUARE

June 4, 2020

President of SF Board of Supervisors
Norman Yee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA. 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184
Email: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Re: WARN/Cal-WARN Notice

Dear WARN Act Coordinator:

We write to follow up on our March 27, 2020 notice that St. Francis Hotel Corporation, doing business as The Westin St. Francis San Francisco On Union Square (the "location"), located at 335 Powell Street, San Francisco CA 94102, would be implementing temporary furloughs, temporary layoffs, and/or temporary schedule reductions (collectively, temporary actions"). Although the temporary actions were initially expected to last less than six months, it is now reasonably foreseeable that these temporary actions may extend beyond six months. The temporary actions impact 940 of the location's 1036 employees.

As you know, these temporary actions began at the location on March 7, 2020, and were expected to last less than six months. The temporary actions were necessary due to the sudden and unprecedented economic effects of coronavirus/COVID-19 crisis ("COVID-19"), a natural disaster and physical calamity, including the World Health Organization's pandemic declaration, the President's declaration of a national emergency, the state and local shelter-in-place orders, and other related governmental announcements and actions, and their impact on business operations. Based on public health guidance and business forecasts available at the time, it was initially expected that these temporary actions would last significantly less than six months and that the location would return to normal business levels.

These government COVID-19 directives, however, have repeatedly been expanded and extended and have forced people to remain in place, restricting business, large gatherings, and travel in general. These expanded and extended government directives have caused a sudden, severe and worsening downturn in the hospitality industry that now makes it reasonably foreseeable that these temporary actions may extend beyond six months.

This notice is provided at the earliest possible time based on the information available. If business conditions change, which will impact these temporary actions, employees will be promptly notified of any change.

Approximately 794 of the affected employees are represented by the UNITE HERE Local 2. Below I have provided contact information for the chief elected union officer.

Anand Singh

President
UNITE HERE Local 2
209 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 864-8770
Email: asingh@unitehere2.org

Approximately 20 of the affected employees are represented by the IUOE Local 39. Below I have provided contact information for the chief elected union officer.

Joseph Klein
Business Manager
IUOE Stationary Engineers, Local 39
337 Valencia St.
San Francisco, CA 94103
Phone: (415) 861-1135
Email: jklein@local39.org

Approximately 46 of the affected employees are represented by the Teamster Local 856. Below I have provided contact information for the chief elected union officer.

Mike Lagomarsino
President
Teamster Local 856
453 San Mateo Ave, San Bruno, CA 94066
Phone: (650) 635-1632
Email: mlagomarsino@ibt856.org

Approximately 16 of the affected employees are represented by the SEIU United Service Workers West. Below I have provided contact information for the chief elected union officer.

Greg Morales
Senior Representative
SEIU United Service Workers West
1650 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 200
Alameda, CA 94502
Phone: (510) 437-8100
Email: www.seiu-usww.org

Approximately 3 of the affected employees are represented by the PAINTERS AND DRYWALL FINISHERS LOCAL UNION NO. 913. Below I have provided contact information for the chief elected union officer.

Carlos Martinez
Business Manager
Painters and Drywall Finishers Local Union No. 913

1939 Market Street, STE. B
San Francisco, CA 94103
PHONE: 415-625-0225

Enclosed is a list of the job titles of all affected employees and the number of employees in each job title. Bumping rights (that is, the right to claim another job at the company) may be available to some union-represented employees, based on contract requirements and individual circumstances. Non-union employees do not have bumping rights. Each affected employee has been notified of the specific temporary action applicable to them. Each union representative has been notified of the temporary actions applicable to their members.


All affected employees have been provided the following information: "If you have lost your job or been laid off temporarily, you may be eligible for Unemployment Insurance (UI). More information on UI and other resources available for workers is available at labor.ca.gov/coronavirus2019."

This notice is given pursuant to California Labor Code Section 1400, *et seq.* ("Cal-WARN"), Executive Order N-31-20, and the federal Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification ("WARN") Act, 29 U.S.C. § 2101, *et seq.*, to the extent these laws apply.

We are providing you with this notice based upon the best information available to us at this time. We believe that this notice fully complies with any Cal-WARN or WARN requirements, to the extent applicable, and do not plan to provide you future notices with respect to these temporary actions. We wish to provide you with notices that are helpful, rather than inundate you with repeated or intermittent updates. Please let us know, however, if you would like us to provide you with future notices or additional information.

For further information, please contact me at 415.774.0267.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jon Kimball', written over a horizontal line.

Jon Kimball
Area General Manager

Enclosure

The Westin St. Francis Hotel

Job Title	Temporary Action	# of Employees
Accountant-General	Temporary layoff	4
Admin Assistant	Temporary layoff	8
Administrator Payroll	Temporary layoff	1
Agent at Your Service	Temporary layoff	10
Analyst Revenue Management	Temporary furlough	1
AsstDir-Services	Temporary furlough	1
Attendant Dinning Room	Temporary layoff	8
Attendant Door	Temporary layoff	4
Attendant General Clean	Temporary layoff	21
Attendant Laundry	Temporary layoff	10
Attendant Linen Room	Temporary layoff	4
Attendant-Bellstand	Temporary layoff	16
Attendant-Beverage	Temporary layoff	18
Attendant-Bus	Temporary layoff	22
Bartender	Temporary layoff	43
Baker	Temporary layoff	9
Captain	Temporary layoff	1
Captain Bellstand	Temporary layoff	2
Cashier Food and Beverage Svcs	Temporary layoff	24
Clerk Accounting	Temporary layoff	3
Clerk Reservation	Temporary layoff	5
Clerk Storeroom	Temporary layoff	3
Chef-Pastry Senior	Temporary furlough	1
Concierge	Temporary layoff	7
Cook	Temporary layoff	34
Cook II	Temporary layoff	2
Cook II Gardemanger	Temporary layoff	13
Cook III	Temporary layoff	2
Cook-Pastry	Temporary layoff	1
Coord-Human Resources	Temporary layoff	1
Coordinator Guest Services	Temporary layoff	3
Destinations Sales Executive	Temporary furlough	2
Dir- Human Resources Operations	Temporary furlough	1
Dir. Human Resources	Temporary furlough	1
Dir-Event Operations	Temporary furlough	1
Dir-Front Office	Temporary furlough	1
Dir-Group Strategy	Temporary furlough	1
Dir-Loss Prevention	Temporary furlough	1

Dispatcher	Temporary layoff	3
Engineer I	Temporary layoff	12
Engineer IV	Temporary layoff	2
Event Manager	Temporary furlough	1
Executive Sous Chef	Temporary furlough	1
Guest Service Representative	Temporary layoff	20
Host/Hostess	Temporary layoff	7
Housekeeper	Temporary layoff	135
Housekeeper Lead	Temporary layoff	1
Housekeeper-Public Area	Temporary layoff	25
Housekeeping Aide	Temporary layoff	2
Housekeeping Aide-Banquets	Temporary layoff	48
Maintenance-Helper	Temporary layoff	4
Mgr. Banquets	Temporary furlough	3
Mgr. Front Desk	Temporary furlough	1
Mgr. Front Office	Temporary furlough	1
Mgr. Function Space	Temporary furlough	1
Mgr. Housekeeping	Temporary furlough	3
Mgr. Public Relations	Temporary furlough	1
Mgr. Purchasing	Temporary furlough	1
Mgr. Restaurant	Temporary furlough	1
Mgr. Revenue Management 1	Temporary furlough	1
Mgr-Events	Temporary furlough	5
Mgr-Front Desk	Temporary furlough	6
Officer-Loss Prevention	Temporary layoff	17
Painter	Temporary layoff	3
Pot Washer	Temporary layoff	19
Runner-At your Service	Temporary layoff	7
Seamstress	Temporary layoff	2
Server	Temporary layoff	46
Server-Banquets	Temporary layoff	194
Server-Banquets II	Temporary layoff	1
Server-Banquets III	Temporary layoff	1
Sous Chef Sr.	Temporary furlough	1
Specialist Events	Temporary layoff	1
Sr. Sales Catering Sales Executive	Temporary furlough	3
Sr. Sales Executive	Temporary furlough	1
SrMgr-Assoc Health Nurse	Temporary furlough	1
SrMgr-Events	Temporary furlough	1
SrMgr-Revenue Management	Temporary furlough	1

Staff Accountant	Temporary layoff	1
Steward	Temporary layoff	16
Steward Chief	Temporary layoff	1
Steward Silver	Temporary layoff	12
Steward Utility	Temporary layoff	6
Supervisor Accountant	Temporary layoff	1
Supervisor At Your Service	Temporary layoff	3
Supervisor Credit	Temporary layoff	1
Supervisor Dishwasher/Utility	Temporary layoff	3
Supervisor Event Services	Temporary layoff	2
Supervisor Food&Beverage	Temporary layoff	2
Supervisor Housekeeping	Temporary layoff	9
Supervisor Kitchen	Temporary layoff	3
Supervisor Loss Prevention	Temporary layoff	3
Supervisor Manintenance	Temporary layoff	2
Voyage-Rooms Opp (NE)	Temporary furlough	1
TOTAL		940

June 10, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2020 JUN 16 PM 5:35

BY 

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:


I urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

I urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,



Doris Okano

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

June 11, 2020

2020 JUN 16 PM 5:35

BY



San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

My name is Michelle Miyori Panis, president of the San Francisco Okinawa Kenjin-Kai. (SFOKK); On behalf of San Francisco Okinawa Kenjin-kai), we urge you to support the \$25 million allocation for the Japantown Peace Plaza currently included in the proposed Health and Recovery Bond Measure. The Japantown community has waited nearly 20 years for the space to be properly repaired and to create an open space that appropriately reflects the priorities of our residents, community organizations, businesses and visitors.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza is the only open space in Japantown and is deeply symbolic of the plight and struggles of San Francisco's Japanese American community. This project is particularly meaningful for the Japantown community because the Peace Plaza area was once occupied by Japanese American residents and businesses. After being forcibly removed and incarcerated by the military during World War II, the Japanese American community was forced out a second time by the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. The eviction of our community resulted in the loss of a staggering amount of wealth for the families and businesses who had no choice but to accept whatever sale price was offered for their property.

While our community does not dwell on the fact that the city forcibly acquired ownership of the area where the Peace Plaza was built, we do feel that San Francisco has a responsibility to take care of the space. We understand that San Francisco has many urgent priorities, but our community is adamant that we have been more than patient and it is time for this city to address this matter. The residential base of our community may never return to this area and having the means to bring people back is critical to the economy of this neighborhood. Having an open space which addresses the needs and represents the character of Japantown is vital to the long-term sustainability of this community.

We urge you to support the full \$25 million current allocated for Peace Plaza in the Health and Recovery bond.

Sincerely,



Michelle Miyori Panis
President of San Francisco Okinawa Kenjin-Kai
President.SFOKK@gmail.com

cc: Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Alisa.somera@sfgov.org
Eileen.mchugh@sfgov.org
info@japantowntaskforce.org

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Retaliation against Rubin Rhodes
Date: Friday, June 12, 2020 8:21:00 AM

From: Aaron Stein <aaronstein12@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2020 4:06 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Chu, Carmen (ASR) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; District Attorney, (DAT) <districtattorney@sfgov.org>; SFSO Complaints (SHF) <sfso.complaints@sfgov.org>; Cisneros, Jose (TTX) <jose.cisneros@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>; MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu; Gabriela Lopez <GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu>; AlisonMCollins <AlisonMCollins@sfusd.edu>; StevonCook <StevonCook@sfusd.edu>; JennyLam@sfusd.edu; FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu; RachelNorton@sfusd.edu

Subject: Retaliation against Rubin Rhodes

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Aaron Stein and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, on June 3rd, one of our city's officers, Rubin Rhodes, knelt in front of protestors during the march in the Mission. For this apolitical act he was sent home for insubordination the next day on June 4th. Kneeling in affirmation that black lives do in fact matter is only a political act if the existing power structure, a.k.a. you all, think otherwise.

This kind of retaliation is not acceptable. The senior officers that accused Officer Rhodes of insubordination should be fired. They are perpetuating a culture of racism and fear inside our police department that is antithetical to the purpose of policing. It also perpetuates the extremely dangerous notion that the people and the police are enemies.

Apparently, the official story is he was sent home for wearing an earring. That is a load of hoesshit and no one believes that.

Sincerely,

Aaron Stein

2394 Francisco St. APT 106
San Francisco, CA 94123

aaronstein12@gmail.com

310-386-4370