BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

July 7, 2020

Mr. Paul Yoder
Ms. Karen Lange
Ms. Erica Smith
Shaw/Yoder/Antwih, Inc.
1415 L Street, Suite 1000
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 273-20

Dear Mr. Yoder, Ms. Lange, Ms. Smith:

On June 16, 2020, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco adopted Resolution No. 273-20 (Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus Law - Unless Amended), which was enacted on June 26, 2020.

The Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to forward the following document to your attention:

One copy of Resolution No. 273-20 (File No. 200626)

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184, or by e-mail: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org.

Sincerely,

Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

c:. Members of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisors Aaron Peskin, Shamann Walton, Hillary Ronen, Dean Preston, Sandra Lee Fewer, Gordon Mar, Rafael Mandelman, Norman Yee Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors Eddie McCaffrey, Mayor's Manager of State and Federal Legislative Affairs Andres Power, Mayor's Policy Director Rebecca Peacock, Mayor's Office

1	[Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus Law - Unless Amended]
2	
3	Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085, authored by Senator Nancy
4	Skinner, and urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to amend Senate Bill No.
5	1085 in recognition of San Francisco's local planning and affordable housing tools.
6	
7	WHEREAS, California Senate Bill No. 1085 (SB 1085) is intended to incentivize
8	housing development through the State Density Bonus Law "to expand its use in California to
9	increase affordable housing production," according to its author; and
10	WHEREAS, Some local jurisdictions in California, because of local market conditions,
11	depend on granting significant development incentives in order to produce affordable units
12	within private housing development; and
13	WHEREAS, San Francisco, because of its unique local market conditions, has
14	repeatedly demonstrated that private development can and will bear higher affordability
15	requirements; and
16	WHEREAS, SB 1085 would revoke the City and County of San Francisco's ability to
17	continue collecting fees to build affordable housing relative to the extra market-rate housing
18	"bonus" units granted to a housing development under the State Density Bonus Law; and
19	WHEREAS, San Francisco voters have consistently expressed through their votes a
20	desire for robust affordable housing programs that prioritize the needs of the City's most
21	vulnerable residents; and
22	WHEREAS, In June 2016, the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted
23	Proposition C which modernized and strengthened the City's "Inclusionary Housing" policy,
24	including ensuring that market rate housing projects availing themselves of State Density
25	Bonus Law "bonus units" would still provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the

1	City the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted Proposition C which modernized
2	and strengthened the City's "Inclusionary Housing" policy, including ensuring that market rate
3	housing projects availing themselves of State Density Bonus Law "bonus units" would still
4	provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the City; and
5	WHEREAS, This SB 1085 proposed state preemption over local policies and
6	development standards handcuffs local jurisdictions from determining how to apply affordable
7	housing requirements in context of local market conditions; and
8	WHEREAS, San Francisco has been reported to have the highest median rent in the
9	United States with a one-bedroom asking monthly rent of \$3,7067 according to May 2020
10	data from the rental listing website Rent Jungle; and
11	WHEREAS, The City is also one of the highest-priced home ownership markets in the
12	United States with a median home sales price of \$1.353 million, a 3% increase from the
13	previous year according to a 2019 report by real estate website Zillow; and
14	WHEREAS, The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD")
15	continues to see a widening affordability gap for extremely-low, low and middle-income
16	households in both the rental and homeownership markets; and
17	WHEREAS, The housing affordability gap has the greatest impact on extremely-low
18	and low income households such as seniors, persons with disabilities, low-income working
19	families and veterans, and inhibits San Francisco from ensuring that economic diversity is
20	maintained; and
21	WHEREAS, Limited state and federal resources and the high cost of housing
22	development put a greater burden on local government to contribute their own limited
23	resources, and consequently the City's supply of affordable housing has not kept pace with
24	demand; and

1	WHEREAS, The State Density Bonus Law preemptions proposed by SB1085, if
2	applied to the existing affordable housing requirements on market rate housing development
3	in San Francisco, would result in a reduction of affordable units; and
4	WHEREAS, The failure to build sufficient affordable housing in San Francisco to meet
5	the needs of low- and moderate-income essential workers results in long commutes, road
6	congestion, and environmental harm as people seek affordable housing at ever-greater
7	distances from where they work; now, therefore, be it
8	RESOLVED, That San Francisco is committed to continuing to utilize all affordable
9	housing policy tools to achieve local housing balance goals for all income levels; and, be it
10	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
11	Francisco opposes SB 1085 unless amended to allow San Francisco to continue applying
12	affordable housing fees to market rate "bonus" units granted under the State Density Bonus
13	Law; and, be it
14	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
15	Francisco does hereby urge the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to oppose SB 1085, as
16	it would eliminate a critical San Francisco affordable housing tool; and, be it
17	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
18	Francisco will continue to collaborate with its State Legislative Delegation to consider ways to
19	make the State Density Bonus law more equitable in dense urban environments like San
20	Francisco with strong existing local affordable housing policies; and, be it
21	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
22	Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies of this Resolution to the California
23	State Legislature and the City Lobbyist upon passage.
24	



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number:	200626	Date Passed:	June 16, 2020
urging the San Fi	sing California State Senate Bill No. rancisco Legislative Delegation to an planning and affordable housing too	nend Senate Bill No	
June 16	, 2020 Board of Supervisors - ADOF	PTED	
	Ayes: 11 - Fewer, Haney, Mandeln Stefani, Walton and Yee	nan, Mar, Peskin, Pr	eston, Ronen, Safai,
File N	o. 200626		DOPTED on 6/16/2020 by ervisors of the City and
		Angel	a Calvillo f the Board
	Unsigned	6	5/26/20

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without her approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

Date Approved

And Cachialo	6/26/2020
Angela Calvillo	Date

London N. Breed

Mayor

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

July 7, 2020

The Honorable Sue Parker Chief Clerk of the Assembly California State Assembly California State Capitol, Room 3196 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 273-20

Dear Chief Clerk Parker:

On June 16, 2020, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco adopted Resolution No. 273-20 (Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus Law - Unless Amended), which was enacted on June 26, 2020.

The Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to forward the following document to your attention:

• One copy of Resolution No. 273-20 (File No. 200626)

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184, or by e-mail: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org.

Sincerely,

Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

.c: Members of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisors Aaron Peskin, Shamann Walton, Hillary Ronen, Dean Preston, Sandra Lee Fewer, Gordon Mar, Rafael Mandelman, Norman Yee Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors Eddie McCaffrey, Mayor's Manager of State and Federal Legislative Affairs Andres Power, Mayor's Policy Director Rebecca Peacock, Mayor's Office

1	[Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus Law - Unless Amended]
2	
3	Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085, authored by Senator Nancy
4	Skinner, and urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to amend Senate Bill No.
5	1085 in recognition of San Francisco's local planning and affordable housing tools.
6	
7	WHEREAS, California Senate Bill No. 1085 (SB 1085) is intended to incentivize
8	housing development through the State Density Bonus Law "to expand its use in California to
9	increase affordable housing production," according to its author; and
10	WHEREAS, Some local jurisdictions in California, because of local market conditions,
11	depend on granting significant development incentives in order to produce affordable units
12	within private housing development; and
13	WHEREAS, San Francisco, because of its unique local market conditions, has
14	repeatedly demonstrated that private development can and will bear higher affordability
15	requirements; and
16	WHEREAS, SB 1085 would revoke the City and County of San Francisco's ability to
17	continue collecting fees to build affordable housing relative to the extra market-rate housing
18	"bonus" units granted to a housing development under the State Density Bonus Law; and
19	WHEREAS, San Francisco voters have consistently expressed through their votes a
20	desire for robust affordable housing programs that prioritize the needs of the City's most
21	vulnerable residents; and
22	WHEREAS, In June 2016, the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted
23	Proposition C which modernized and strengthened the City's "Inclusionary Housing" policy,
24	including ensuring that market rate housing projects availing themselves of State Density
25	Bonus Law "bonus units" would still provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the

1	City the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted Proposition C which modernized
2	and strengthened the City's "Inclusionary Housing" policy, including ensuring that market rate
3	housing projects availing themselves of State Density Bonus Law "bonus units" would still
4	provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the City; and
5	WHEREAS, This SB 1085 proposed state preemption over local policies and
6	development standards handcuffs local jurisdictions from determining how to apply affordable
7	housing requirements in context of local market conditions; and
8	WHEREAS, San Francisco has been reported to have the highest median rent in the
9	United States with a one-bedroom asking monthly rent of \$3,7067 according to May 2020
10	data from the rental listing website Rent Jungle; and
11	WHEREAS, The City is also one of the highest-priced home ownership markets in the
12	United States with a median home sales price of \$1.353 million, a 3% increase from the
13	previous year according to a 2019 report by real estate website Zillow; and
14	WHEREAS, The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD")
15	continues to see a widening affordability gap for extremely-low, low and middle-income
16	households in both the rental and homeownership markets; and
17	WHEREAS, The housing affordability gap has the greatest impact on extremely-low
18	and low income households such as seniors, persons with disabilities, low-income working
19	families and veterans, and inhibits San Francisco from ensuring that economic diversity is
20	maintained; and
21	WHEREAS, Limited state and federal resources and the high cost of housing
22	development put a greater burden on local government to contribute their own limited
23	resources, and consequently the City's supply of affordable housing has not kept pace with
24	demand; and

1	WHEREAS, The State Density Bonus Law preemptions proposed by SB1085, if
2	applied to the existing affordable housing requirements on market rate housing development
3	in San Francisco, would result in a reduction of affordable units; and
4	WHEREAS, The failure to build sufficient affordable housing in San Francisco to meet
5	the needs of low- and moderate-income essential workers results in long commutes, road
6	congestion, and environmental harm as people seek affordable housing at ever-greater
7	distances from where they work; now, therefore, be it
8	RESOLVED, That San Francisco is committed to continuing to utilize all affordable
9	housing policy tools to achieve local housing balance goals for all income levels; and, be it
10	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
11	Francisco opposes SB 1085 unless amended to allow San Francisco to continue applying
12	affordable housing fees to market rate "bonus" units granted under the State Density Bonus
13	Law; and, be it
14	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
15	Francisco does hereby urge the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to oppose SB 1085, as
16	it would eliminate a critical San Francisco affordable housing tool; and, be it
17	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
18	Francisco will continue to collaborate with its State Legislative Delegation to consider ways to
19	make the State Density Bonus law more equitable in dense urban environments like San
20	Francisco with strong existing local affordable housing policies; and, be it
21	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
22	Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies of this Resolution to the California
23	State Legislature and the City Lobbyist upon passage.
24	



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number:	200626	Date Passed:	June 16, 2020
urging the San Fi	sing California State Senate Bill No. rancisco Legislative Delegation to an planning and affordable housing too	nend Senate Bill No	
June 16	, 2020 Board of Supervisors - ADOF	PTED	
	Ayes: 11 - Fewer, Haney, Mandeln Stefani, Walton and Yee	nan, Mar, Peskin, Pr	eston, Ronen, Safai,
File N	o. 200626		DOPTED on 6/16/2020 by ervisors of the City and
		Angel	a Calvillo f the Board
	Unsigned	6	5/26/20

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without her approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

Date Approved

And Cachialo	6/26/2020
Angela Calvillo	Date

London N. Breed

Mayor

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

July 7, 2020

The Honorable Erika Contreras Secretary of the Senate California State Senate California State Capitol, Room 3044 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 273-20

Dear Secretary Contreras:

On June 16, 2020, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco adopted Resolution No. 273-20 (Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus Law - Unless Amended), which was enacted on June 26, 2020.

The Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to forward the following document to your attention:

• One copy of Resolution No. 273-20 (File No. 200626)

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184, or by e-mail: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org.

Sincerely,

Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board of the Board City and County of San Francisco

c:. Members of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisors Aaron Peskin, Shamann Walton, Hillary Ronen, Dean Preston, Sandra Lee Fewer, Gordon Mar, Rafael Mandelman, Norman Yee Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
Eddie McCaffrey, Mayor's Manager of State and Federal Legislative Affairs
Andres Power, Mayor's Policy Director
Rebecca Peacock, Mayor's Office

1	[Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085 (Skinner) - Expanded State Density Bonus Law - Unless Amended]
2	
3	Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085, authored by Senator Nancy
4	Skinner, and urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to amend Senate Bill No.
5	1085 in recognition of San Francisco's local planning and affordable housing tools.
6	
7	WHEREAS, California Senate Bill No. 1085 (SB 1085) is intended to incentivize
8	housing development through the State Density Bonus Law "to expand its use in California to
9	increase affordable housing production," according to its author; and
10	WHEREAS, Some local jurisdictions in California, because of local market conditions,
11	depend on granting significant development incentives in order to produce affordable units
12	within private housing development; and
13	WHEREAS, San Francisco, because of its unique local market conditions, has
14	repeatedly demonstrated that private development can and will bear higher affordability
15	requirements; and
16	WHEREAS, SB 1085 would revoke the City and County of San Francisco's ability to
17	continue collecting fees to build affordable housing relative to the extra market-rate housing
18	"bonus" units granted to a housing development under the State Density Bonus Law; and
19	WHEREAS, San Francisco voters have consistently expressed through their votes a
20	desire for robust affordable housing programs that prioritize the needs of the City's most
21	vulnerable residents; and
22	WHEREAS, In June 2016, the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted
23	Proposition C which modernized and strengthened the City's "Inclusionary Housing" policy,
24	including ensuring that market rate housing projects availing themselves of State Density
25	Bonus Law "bonus units" would still provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the

1	City the voters of San Francisco overwhelmingly adopted Proposition C which modernized
2	and strengthened the City's "Inclusionary Housing" policy, including ensuring that market rate
3	housing projects availing themselves of State Density Bonus Law "bonus units" would still
4	provide equivalent affordable housing contributions to the City; and
5	WHEREAS, This SB 1085 proposed state preemption over local policies and
6	development standards handcuffs local jurisdictions from determining how to apply affordable
7	housing requirements in context of local market conditions; and
8	WHEREAS, San Francisco has been reported to have the highest median rent in the
9	United States with a one-bedroom asking monthly rent of \$3,7067 according to May 2020
10	data from the rental listing website Rent Jungle; and
11	WHEREAS, The City is also one of the highest-priced home ownership markets in the
12	United States with a median home sales price of \$1.353 million, a 3% increase from the
13	previous year according to a 2019 report by real estate website Zillow; and
14	WHEREAS, The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD")
15	continues to see a widening affordability gap for extremely-low, low and middle-income
16	households in both the rental and homeownership markets; and
17	WHEREAS, The housing affordability gap has the greatest impact on extremely-low
18	and low income households such as seniors, persons with disabilities, low-income working
19	families and veterans, and inhibits San Francisco from ensuring that economic diversity is
20	maintained; and
21	WHEREAS, Limited state and federal resources and the high cost of housing
22	development put a greater burden on local government to contribute their own limited
23	resources, and consequently the City's supply of affordable housing has not kept pace with
24	demand; and

1	WHEREAS, The State Density Bonus Law preemptions proposed by SB1085, if
2	applied to the existing affordable housing requirements on market rate housing development
3	in San Francisco, would result in a reduction of affordable units; and
4	WHEREAS, The failure to build sufficient affordable housing in San Francisco to meet
5	the needs of low- and moderate-income essential workers results in long commutes, road
6	congestion, and environmental harm as people seek affordable housing at ever-greater
7	distances from where they work; now, therefore, be it
8	RESOLVED, That San Francisco is committed to continuing to utilize all affordable
9	housing policy tools to achieve local housing balance goals for all income levels; and, be it
10	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
11	Francisco opposes SB 1085 unless amended to allow San Francisco to continue applying
12	affordable housing fees to market rate "bonus" units granted under the State Density Bonus
13	Law; and, be it
14	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
15	Francisco does hereby urge the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to oppose SB 1085, as
16	it would eliminate a critical San Francisco affordable housing tool; and, be it
17	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
18	Francisco will continue to collaborate with its State Legislative Delegation to consider ways to
19	make the State Density Bonus law more equitable in dense urban environments like San
20	Francisco with strong existing local affordable housing policies; and, be it
21	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San
22	Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies of this Resolution to the California
23	State Legislature and the City Lobbyist upon passage.
24	



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number:	200626	Date Passed:	June 16, 2020	
Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 1085, authored by Senator Nancy Skinner, and urging the San Francisco Legislative Delegation to amend Senate Bill No. 1085 in recognition of San Francisco's local planning and affordable housing tools.				
June 16, 2020 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED				
	Ayes: 11 - Fewer, Haney, Mandeln Stefani, Walton and Yee	nan, Mar, Peskin, Pr	eston, Ronen, Safai,	
File N	o. 200626		DOPTED on 6/16/2020 by ervisors of the City and	
		Angel	a Calvillo f the Board	
	Unsigned	6	5/26/20	

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without her approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

Date Approved

And Cachialo	6/26/2020
Angela Calvillo	Date

London N. Breed

Mayor