

FILE NO. 200780

Petitions and Communications received from July 9, 2020, through July 16, 2020, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on July 21, 2020.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting a supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, dated February 25, 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting the Executive Order Extending the Residential Eviction Moratorium, dated July 10, 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Department of Health, submitting Order of the Health Officer No. C19-07f. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector, pursuant to California State Government Code, Section 53646, submitting the CCSF Pooled Investment Report, June 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Office of the Controller, submitting the City and County of San Francisco's Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund. Copy Each Supervisor: (5)

From the various departments, pursuant to Administrative Code, Sections 10.100-305(c) and Section 67.29-6, submitting their Annual Gifts Report for FY2019-2020. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the Department of Elections, submitting their notice of a Ballot Simplification Committee Meeting for the November 3, 2020, Consolidated General Election. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Emergency Ordinance - Cleaning and Disease Prevention Standards in Tourist Hotels and Large Commercial Office Buildings. File No. 200638. 3 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From concerned citizens, regarding Hearing - Police Department - Budget Analysis. File No. 200531. 15 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From concerned citizens, regarding Caltrain and a proposed sales tax. 6 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Ordinance - Police Code - Discriminatory Reports to Law Enforcement. File No. 200735. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Ordinance - General Obligation Bond Election - Health and Recovery - Not to Exceed \$438,500,000. File No. 200478. 3 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Ryan Nguyen, regarding the elimination of the deputy sheriff position at the North Beach Mental Health Clinic. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Alvin Ja, regarding the proposed Ordinance - Planning Code and Zoning Map - Balboa Reservoir Special Use District. File No. 200422. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From Zach Karnazes, regarding deforesting San Francisco during the health pandemic, and request for an accommodation at meetings. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From John C. Hooper, regarding security cameras and Community Benefit Districts. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Peter Phan, regarding legislation to amend UMU zoning in the Mission District. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Eileen Boken, regarding proposed Initiative Ordinance - Business and Tax Regulations Code - Tax on Businesses With Disproportionate Executive Pay. File No. 200629. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Raquel Silva, regarding Commissioner Hamasaki of the San Francisco Police Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Elaine Brown, regarding a moratorium on all development on Hunters Point Shipyard. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From Jack Sprayer, regarding the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From JW Marriott, pursuant to the WARN Act, California Labor Code, Section 1401, submitting notice of plant closures and/or mass layoffs. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From concerned citizens, regarding Hearing - Appeal of Determination of Exemption From Environmental Review - 743 Vermont Street. File No. 200160. 3 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee, regarding COVID-19 emergency food relief funds from the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax fund and how they were used to address food insecurity during the pandemic. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From Mari Eliza, regarding Assembly Bill 310, California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From Rob Geller, regarding typos in an Ordinance. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From concerned citizens, regarding issues associated with the COVID-19 pandemic. 7 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From concerned citizen, regarding the removal of all statues of oppressors. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Charter Amendment - Department of Sanitation and Streets, Sanitation and Streets Commission, and Public Works Commission. File No. 200510. 80 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Initiative Ordinance - Business and Tax Regulations Code - Business Tax Changes, proposed Charter Amendment and Business and Tax Regulations Code - Adjustment of Baseline Funding and Business Tax Changes, and two hearings - Initiative Ordinance - Business and Tax Regulations Code - Business Tax Changes. File Nos. 200645, 200648, 200651 and 200656. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: 22nd Supplement to the Mayor's Proclamation of emergency
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 1:05:51 PM
Attachments: [22nd Supplement July152020.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Twenty-Second Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
415-554-5184

Get [Outlook](#) for iOS

From: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 12:47:15 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; Geithman, Kyra (MYR) <kyra.geithman@sfgov.org>; Owens, Sarah (MYR) <sarah.owens@sfgov.org>
Subject: 22nd Supplement to the Mayor's Proclamation of emergency

Madam Clerk,

Please find attached the 22nd Supplement to the Mayor's Proclamation of Emergency, addressing:

- Cable car scheduling
- Appeal hearing deadlines at the BOS

Thanks,
Sophia

Sophia Kittler
Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
Office of Mayor London N. Breed
(415) 554 6153 | Sophia.kittler@sfgov.org



**TWENTY-SECOND SUPPLEMENT TO MAYORAL PROCLAMATION
DECLARING THE EXISTENCE OF A LOCAL EMERGENCY
DATED FEBRUARY 25, 2020**

WHEREAS, California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14) and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code empower the Mayor to proclaim the existence of a local emergency, subject to concurrence by the Board of Supervisors as provided in the Charter, in the case of an emergency threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County or its citizens; and

WHEREAS, On February 25, 2020, the Mayor issued a Proclamation (the “Proclamation”) declaring a local emergency to exist in connection with the imminent spread within the City of a novel (new) coronavirus (“COVID-19”); and

WHEREAS, On March 3, 2020, the Board of Supervisors concurred in the Proclamation and in the actions taken by the Mayor to meet the emergency; and

WHEREAS, On March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom proclaimed a state of emergency to exist within the State due to the threat posed by COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, On March 6, 2020, the Health Officer declared a local health emergency under Section 101080 of the California Health and Safety Code, and the Board of Supervisors concurred in that declaration on March 10, 2020; and

WHEREAS, On March 16, 2020, the City’s Health Officer issued a stay safe at home order, Health Officer Order No. C19-07 (the “Stay Safe At Home Order”), requiring most people to remain in their homes subject to certain exceptions including obtaining essential goods such as food and necessary supplies, and requiring the closure of non-essential businesses; the Health Officer has amended the Stay Safe At Home Order to modify the ongoing restrictions; and

WHEREAS, There have been 4,696 confirmed cases of COVID-19 within the City and 50 COVID-19-related deaths in the City; there have been more than 346,000 confirmed cases in California and more than 7,200 COVID-19-related deaths in California; and

WHEREAS, At the outset of the COVID-19 emergency, policy bodies, including the Board of Supervisors, were not able to hold in-person meetings to conduct business, and



had not yet developed the technological capacity to meet remotely. To account for the inability of policy bodies to meet, on March 11, 2020, the Mayor issued the First Supplement to the Proclamation of Local Emergency, ordering that deadlines set by local law requiring City policy bodies to take action within a certain time period be suspended during the emergency and for 14 days following the termination of the local emergency, if such policy bodies were unable to meet and comply with such deadlines due to the emergency; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors developed the capacity to meet remotely, but the complexity of holding remote evidentiary hearings on appeals delayed the scheduling those appeal hearings. Some appellants and/or interested parties lack the technology necessary to participate remotely, and require accommodations to ensure meaningful participation. Other appellants and/or interested parties are struggling to manage the demands of life in a pandemic, and need more time to prepare for a hearing. Remote meetings tend to be much longer in duration than in-person meetings, making it infeasible for the Board to schedule more than two hearings per meeting. Additionally, there are significant administrative challenges to holding multiple hearings at the same meeting, as it requires the Clerk's office to maintain multiple public comment queues. Thus, it is in the public interest to extend the deadline by which the Board of Supervisors is required to hold hearings on matters appealed to it in order to accommodate the difficulties posed by remote meetings and to provide for orderly resolution of these matters; and

WHEREAS, The emergency has greatly impacted public transportation operations due to public health orders requiring people to stay home and to physically distance while in public. The Municipal Transportation Agency has temporarily suspended the operation of the City's three cable car lines and other transit lines. Given the small size and configuration of cable cars, it is particularly difficult for passengers and operators to maintain adequate physical distancing to safely operate. Given the public health risks, it is in the public interest to temporarily suspend the legal requirement that the City maintain cable car service;

NOW, THEREFORE,

I, London N. Breed, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, proclaim that there continues to exist an emergency within the City and County threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County and its citizens;



In addition to the measures outlined in the Proclamation and in the Supplements to the Proclamation issued on various dates, it is further ordered that:

(1) Notwithstanding any City law to the contrary, which is hereby suspended if and to the extent in conflict with this Order, for any appeal to the Board of Supervisors that was filed on or after March 11, 2020, including but not limited to appeals filed under Section 31.16 of the Administrative Code, Sections 303 and 308 of the Planning Code, or Section 1314 of the Subdivision Code, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall schedule an initial hearing by no later than September 30, 2020.

(2) Charter Section 8A.114 requiring cable car lines to be maintained and operated at normal levels of scheduling and service in effect on July 1, 1971, is suspended. This Order shall remain in effect until 120 days after termination or expiration of the Stay Safe At Home Order or any extension thereof.

DATED: July 15, 2020

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: 30 day extension of commercial eviction moratorium
Date: Friday, July 10, 2020 4:12:00 PM
Attachments: [07102020_CommercialEvictionMoratorium_Extension.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Executive Order Extending the Commercial Eviction Moratorium.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 3:21 PM
To: BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: 30 day extension of commercial eviction moratorium

Attached, please find an extension of the commercial eviction moratorium.

Thanks

Sophia Kittler
Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
Office of Mayor London N. Breed
(415) 554 6153 | Sophia.kittler@sfgov.org



EXECUTIVE ORDER EXTENDING COMMERCIAL EVICTION MORATORIUM

On February 25, 2020, under California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14) and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, I issued a Proclamation (the “Proclamation”) declaring a local emergency to exist in connection with the imminent spread within the City of a novel (new) coronavirus (“COVID-19”). I issued the Fourth Supplement to the Proclamation on March 18, 2020, imposing a temporary moratorium on eviction for non-payment of rent by commercial tenants directly impacted by the COVID-19 crisis. The Board of Supervisors concurred in this action on March 31, 2020. On April 1, 2020, I issued the Eighth Supplement to the Proclamation, which contained an order clarifying the scope of the temporary moratorium. The Board of Supervisors concurred in this action on April 14, 2020.

The Fourth Supplement provides that the order imposing a commercial eviction moratorium will last for an initial period of 30 days, expiring on April 17, 2020, and further provides that “Mayor may extend this Order by an additional period of 30 days if emergency conditions at that time warrant extension. The Mayor shall provide notice of the extension through an Executive Order posted on the Mayor’s website and delivered to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.” The Eighth Supplement provides that its terms are incorporated into the Fourth Supplement and that renewal of the Fourth Supplement shall also cause the Eighth Supplement to be renewed. I issued Executive Orders extending the commercial eviction moratorium for 30-day periods on April 15, 2020, May 17, 2020, and June 12, 2020, and the Order currently expires on July 16, 2020.

I find that emergency conditions continue to exist due to the ongoing public health crisis arising from COVID-19 and the economic impacts it has caused, warranting extension of the moratorium. Therefore, I hereby extend the commercial eviction moratorium in the Fourth Supplement and Eighth Supplement for an additional 30 days through August 15, 2020.

DATED: July 10, 2020

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: New Health Order
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 1:16:00 PM
Attachments: [2020.07.13 FINAL signed Health Officer Order C19-07f - Stay Safe at Home.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Order of the Health Officer No. C19-07f.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DIRECTING ALL INDIVIDUALS IN THE COUNTY TO CONTINUE
STAYING SAFER AT THEIR PLACES OF RESIDENCE TO THE
EXTENT THEY CAN EXCEPT FOR IDENTIFIED NEEDS AND
ACTIVITIES, AND TO FOLLOW HEALTH RISK REDUCTION
MEASURES OUTSIDE THEIR RESIDENCES; URGING GOVERNMENT
AGENCIES TO PROVIDE SHELTER AND SANITATION FACILITIES
TO INDIVIDUALS EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS; REQUIRING
ALL BUSINESSES AND RECREATION FACILITIES THAT ARE
ALLOWED TO OPERATE TO IMPLEMENT HEALTH RISK
REDUCTION MEASURES; AND DIRECTING ALL BUSINESSES,
FACILITY OPERATORS, AND GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES TO
CONTINUE THE TEMPORARY CLOSURE OF ALL OPERATIONS
THAT ARE NOT YET SAFE ENOUGH TO RESUME**

(STAY SAFER AT HOME)

DATE OF ORDER: July 13, 2020

Please read this Order carefully. Violation of or failure to comply with this Order is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. (California Health and Safety Code § 120295, *et seq.*; California Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1); and San Francisco Administrative Code § 7.17(b))

Summary: On February 25, 2020 the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco (the “County”) declared a state of emergency to prepare for coronavirus disease 2019 (“COVID-19”). On March 5, 2020 there was the first reported case of COVID-19 in the County. On March 16, 2020 the County and five other Bay Area counties and the City of Berkeley, working together, were the first in the State to implement shelter-in-place orders in a collective effort to reduce the impact of the virus that causes COVID-19. That virus is easily transmitted, especially indoors or in group settings, and the disease can be extremely serious. It can require long hospital stays, and in some instances cause long-term health consequences or death. It can impact not only those who are older or have underlying health conditions and known to be at high risk, but also other people, regardless of age. And a major risk remains the spread of the virus that causes COVID-19 through asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic carriers, people who can spread the disease but do not even know they are infected and contagious. The spread of disease is a global pandemic causing untold societal, social, and economic harm.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Initially the shelter-in-place orders generally required individuals to stay in their residences except for essential needs like grocery shopping, working in essential businesses, providing essential government functions, or engaging in essential travel. Over time, and based on health data and a risk analysis, the County allowed the phased resumption of some businesses and activities, consistent with the roadmap that the State has established under its order. For instance, the County allowed businesses that had operated primarily outdoors before March 16, 2020, to resume outdoor business activities, and the County has allowed many outdoor recreation activities that do not involve physical contact or shared equipment. Later, the County allowed additional categories of businesses and activities to resume, such as outdoor dining, curbside pick-up, and in-store retail, with other businesses and activities to be added over time when safe to do so.

Through this gradual reopening process the County has adopted risk reduction measures for individuals and businesses as further described below. Beginning on April 17, 2020 and based on increasing evidence that face coverings help protect against the spread of the virus, the County adopted a requirement for people to wear face coverings. That requirement has since been updated to expand the requirement to most settings outside people's residences. The County Health Officer has also issued best practices health directives for a number of businesses and activities, and the County Department of Public Health has issued companion guidance documents.

Meanwhile, in March 2020 after the County and neighboring jurisdictions adopted their shelter-in-place orders, the State adopted its own shelter-in-place order that applied throughout California. And in mid-April 2020 the State established a four-stage roadmap for reopening that sets a baseline for all counties in California and allows counties to go at a slower pace. The State has continued to revise its roadmap. Consistent with the State roadmap, the County created its own phased reopening plan. The County's plan provides for the incremental resumption of certain business and other activities to gradually increase the volume of person-to-person contact to help contain the risk of a surge in COVID-19 cases in the County and the region. The County's plan is available online at <https://sf.gov/topics/reopening>. Because of the density of San Francisco and local health conditions, the County has moved more cautiously than the State otherwise allows. To help further protect workers and the public and give both more confidence in resuming day-to-day activities, the County has imposed health and safety measures that are more restrictive than the State's industry guidelines. In late June 2020, the County Health Officer, with support from the County Board of Supervisors, applied for and received a variance from the State to allow the County more flexibility in its decision-making on the phases of reopening. As long as the County makes progress on ways to contain virus transmission and health-based risk considerations support doing so, the Health Officer will allow additional business and other activities under a phased, incremental process, to provide for a safer economic recovery.

Our collective effort has had a positive impact on limiting the spread of the virus. Early on the County, along with the other Bay Area jurisdictions, were able to bend the curve



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

and preserve hospital capacity. The County continues to work on building up its testing, case finding, case investigation, contact tracing capacity, and resources to protect vulnerable populations and address outbreaks. Still, the severe danger the virus poses to the health and welfare of all continues, and there remains a continuing risk of a surge that will overwhelm the capacity of our hospital system. Indeed, as of the date of this Order the County and the region are experiencing a moderate surge of infections and hospitalizations and are taking appropriate steps to respond, including pausing the reopening process. Also, while the search continues, treatment for disease is limited and there is no vaccine. The vast majority of the population remains susceptible to infection, and local conditions could rapidly worsen if reopening steps are taken too quickly.

We are going to have to live with the threat of the virus for many months to come. And for us to be able to continue to resume business and other activities, we are all going to have to take responsibility to act safely, including wearing face coverings, keeping at least six feet from others who are not in our household, washing our hands frequently, and minimizing gatherings. We are all in this together, and each of us is going to have to make sacrifices for the good of the community as a whole, including for our most vulnerable members.

This new Order updates, changes, and replaces the prior shelter in place order. In particular, it reflects a shift in the County's approach to focus more on risk reduction while as the same time keeping to an incremental, health-data-driven plan for resuming business and other activity. This Order updates and refines the health data framework that will guide the Health Officer's "gating" decisions about whether to move forward with phases to reopen businesses and resume activities and otherwise modify this Order. Gating criteria are the benchmarks that, when met, will allow the County to move through the gate to the next level of reopening. In connection with those changes to the gating framework, this Order updates and refines the risk criteria that the Health Officer will apply to reopening decisions for specific business sectors and other activities. Those risk factors, described in more detail in the Order, include: the ability to modify behavior to reduce the risk; avoidance of risky activities; the nature of the setting; mixing of households; the number and nature of contacts; and the modification potential for the activity.

This Order includes the following requirements, and you should review the Order itself for additional details.

General Requirements. The Order:

- Urges all residents in the County to reduce the risk of COVID-19 transmission by staying in their residences to the extent possible and minimizing trips and activities outside the home;
- Allows people to engage in listed activities, including, for example, working for or going to the businesses listed below and certain governmental and essential



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

infrastructure activities, as well as engaging in essential activities, outdoor activities, certain additional activities, and travel related to those activities;

- Urges older individuals and others who have serious underlying health conditions to remain home other than essential needs;
- Continues to require everyone to wear face coverings while outside their residences, subject to limited exceptions;
- Continues to require everyone to follow social distancing requirements, including staying at least six feet away from members outside of their household, subject to limited exceptions;
- Continues to urge government agencies to provide shelter and sanitation facilities for individuals experiencing homelessness;
- Continues to require everyone to comply with requirements issued by the State and other Health Officer orders and directives; and
- Limits gatherings among different households to help reduce the transmission of the virus.

Requirements for All Businesses. The Order:

- Allows only listed businesses to operate onsite, including essential businesses, outdoor businesses, healthcare operations, and certain additional businesses;
- Allows other businesses only to operate Minimum Basic Operations (as defined in the Order) onsite;
- Requires that businesses continue to maximize the number of people who work remotely from home to the extent possible;
- Requires businesses to complete and post a Social Distancing Protocol checklist in the form attached to the Order as Appendix A;
- Requires businesses to direct personnel to stay home when sick and prohibits adverse action against personnel for doing so;
- Requires businesses and governmental entities to report to the San Francisco Department of Public Health when three or more personnel test positive for the virus that causes COVID-19 within a two-week period;
- Allows for customers to use reusable shopping bags at businesses; and
- Requires businesses to cancel reservations or appointments without a financial penalty when a customer has a COVID-19 related reason.

Mandatory Best Practices Health Officer Directives. The Order requires that businesses and other entities review and comply with any applicable Health Officer Directives, and many of them require a Health and Safety Plan be completed and posted. These requirements include measures to help protect health of workers and customers, such as face covering, social distancing and sanitation protocols and in many instances capacity limits. There are currently directives for many types of businesses and activities, including: construction projects; food delivery and take-out restaurants; residential delivery services; grocery stores, pharmacies, farmer's markets, and hardware stores; healthcare operations that offer elective surgeries, dental care, or ambulatory care; retail



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

stores that offer curbside pickup; manufacturing and warehousing; summer camps; child care; golf and tennis facilities; outdoor dining; indoor retail sales and services; and office environments. All directives are available online at www.sfdph.org/directives.

Term. This Order will remain in effect, without a specific expiration date, for so long as the threat of the pandemic continues, or until this Order is otherwise extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. But the Health Officer will continue to carefully monitor the evolving situation and will periodically revise this Order to loosen – or if need be tighten – restrictions as conditions warrant, to help further the safer economic recovery and resumption of activities.

Table of Contents:

1. Purpose and Findings.....	6
2. Health Gating Framework.....	8
3. Risk Criteria for Additional Businesses and Additional Activities Under Phased Reopening.....	9
4. General Requirements for Individuals.....	10
5. General Requirements for Businesses and Business Activities.....	12
6. Public Transit.....	13
7. Mandatory Reporting by Businesses and Government Entities Regarding Personnel Contracting COVID-19.....	14
8. Definitions.....	14
Allowed Businesses and Business Activities.....	14
Allowed Activities.....	18
Allowed Travel.....	20
Governmental Functions.....	20
Residences and Households.....	21
Social Distancing.....	21
9. Incorporation of State and Local Emergency Proclamations and State Health Orders.....	21
10. Obligation to Follow Stricter Requirements of Orders.....	22
11. Obligation to Follow Health Officer Directives and Mandatory State Guidance.....	22
12. Enforcement.....	23
13. Effective Date.....	23
14. Relation to Other Orders of the San Francisco Health Officer.....	23



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

15. Copies 24

16. Severability 24

UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (“HEALTH OFFICER”) ORDERS:

1. Purpose and Findings.

a. **Purpose.** As of the effective date and time set forth in Section 13, below, this Order supersedes the May 17, 2020 Order of the Health Officer, No. C19-07e, last updated on June 11, 2020, directing all individuals to shelter in place (the “Prior Order”), and all individuals, Businesses (as defined in Section 8.e below), and applicable government agencies in the County are required to follow the provisions of this Order. This Order continues to temporarily prohibit certain Businesses and activities from resuming and limits gatherings with individuals from other Households (as defined in Section 4.b below) until it is safer to do so. But it allows certain other Businesses, activities, travel and governmental functions to occur subject to specified health and safety restrictions, limitations, and conditions to limit the transmission of Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”). COVID-19 continues to pose a severe risk to residents of our County, and significant safety measures are necessary to protect against a surge in COVID-19 cases, serious illnesses and deaths. Accordingly, this Order requires risk reduction measures to be in place across Business sectors and activities that are allowed to occur, ensuring necessary precautions are followed as we adapt the way we live and function in light of the ongoing threat that the virus now poses and is very likely to continue to pose for some time to come. The Health Officer will continue to monitor data regarding COVID-19 and the evolving scientific understanding of the risks COVID-19 poses and may amend or rescind this Order based on analysis of that data and knowledge.

b. **Intent.** The primary intent of this Order is to ensure that County residents continue to stay safer in their Residences (as defined in Section 4.b, below) to the extent possible and that together as a community our residents, along with visitors and workers in the County, take appropriate risk reduction measures, especially while outside their Residences, to slow the spread of COVID-19 and mitigate its impact on the delivery of critical healthcare services in the County and the region. As further provided in Section 2, below, the Health Officer intends to allow the phased resumption of Businesses and activities to provide for a safer reopening, with specified risk reduction measures, all while the Health Officer continues to assess the transmissibility and clinical severity of COVID-19 in light of the COVID-19 Indicators and risk framework described in Sections 2 and 3, below.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

- c. **Interpretation.** All provisions of this Order must be interpreted to effectuate the intent of this Order as described in subsection (b) above. The summary at the beginning of this Order as well as the headings and subheadings of sections contained in this Order are for convenience only and may not be used to interpret this Order; in the event of any inconsistency between the summary, headings or subheadings and the text of this Order below, the text will control. Certain initially capitalized used in this Order have the meanings given them in Section 8 below. The interpretation of this Order in relation to the health orders of the State is described in Section 10 below.
- d. **Effect of Failure to Comply.** Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both, as further provided in Section 12 below.
- e. **Continuing Severe Health and Safety Risk Posed by COVID-19.** This Order is issued based on evidence of continued significant community transmission of COVID-19 within the County and throughout the Bay Area; continued uncertainty regarding the degree of undetected asymptomatic transmission; scientific evidence and best practices regarding the most effective approaches to slow the transmission of communicable diseases generally and COVID-19 specifically; evidence that the age, condition, and health of a significant portion of the population of the County places it at risk for serious health complications, including death, from COVID-19; and further evidence that others, including younger and otherwise healthy people, are also at risk for serious outcomes. Due to the outbreak of the COVID-19 disease in the general public, which remains a pandemic according to the World Health Organization, there is a public health emergency throughout the County, region and State. That immediate threat to public health and safety is also reflected in the continuing declarations of emergency referenced in Section 9.a below. Making the problem worse, some individuals who contract the virus causing the COVID-19 disease have no symptoms or have mild symptoms, which means they may not be aware they carry the virus and are transmitting it to others. Further, evidence shows that the virus can survive for hours to days on surfaces and be indirectly transmitted between individuals and also may be transmitted through airborne micro-droplets. Because even people without symptoms can transmit the infection, and because evidence shows the infection is easily spread, gatherings of people and other direct or indirect interpersonal interactions, particularly those that occur indoors, can result in preventable transmission of the virus.
- f. **Local Health Conditions Relating to COVID-19.** The efforts taken beginning in March 2020 under the prior shelter-in-place orders of the Health Officer, along with those of health officers of five neighboring counties, slowed the virus's trajectory. While the public health emergency and threat to the County's population remain severe, the region has significantly increased its capacity to



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

detect cases, contain spread, and treat infected patients through widespread testing; greatly expanded its case investigation and contact tracing program and workforce; and expanded hospital resources and capacity. At the same time, across the region and the rest of the State, there has been a significant reopening of Businesses and activities, accompanied by an increase in cases and hospitalizations, which increases carry risks to County residents and resources. As we continue to evolve our strategies for protecting residents of the County from COVID-19, we must take into account both the trajectory of the virus in the County and across the region, and the increased health risks associated with the opening of many Businesses and activities under the Prior Order. To protect the community from COVID-19, we must ensure that when people engage in activities they are doing so as safely as possible.

- g. **Cases, Hospitalizations and Deaths.** As of July 12, 2020, there are 4,590 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the County (up from 37 on March 16, 2020, the day before the first shelter-in-place order in the County went into effect) as well as at least 50 deaths (up from 1 death on March 17, 2020). This information is regularly updated on the San Francisco Department of Public Health's website at <https://data.sfgov.org/stories/s/fjki-2fab>.

2. **Health Gating Framework for Reopening.**

To inform decisions about whether and how to augment, limit, or temporarily prohibit Businesses or activities to slow the spread of COVID-19, the Health Officer will continually review (1) progress on the COVID-19 Indicators; (2) developments in epidemiological and diagnostic methods for tracing, diagnosing, treating, or testing for COVID-19; and (3) scientific understanding of the transmission dynamics and clinical impact of COVID-19.

The COVID-19 Indicators will be key drivers in the Health Officer's gating decisions. In particular, the number of new COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents, the rate of change in COVID-19 hospitalizations, and the amount of available hospital capacity will help guide decisions. If any indicator or a collection of these and other indicators are orange or red, then the Health Officer will give serious consideration to pausing or even reversing openings if appropriate. Also, the total number of hospitalized COVID-19 patients, and whether this total number is significantly increasing, flat, or decreasing, will play a role in gating decisions, especially if these numbers become larger than the prior surge (e.g., more than 100 COVID-19 positive patients in the County's hospitals at one time). Modeling estimates of peak hospitalizations will also be considered.

Information about San Francisco's status under the COVID-19 Indicators is available on the City's website at <https://data.sfgov.org/stories/s/Key-Health-Indicators-on-Containing-COVID-19/epem-wyzb>.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

In addition to evaluating the COVID-19 Indicators in making gating decisions, the Health Officer will also consider the estimate of the effective reproductive number (R_e), and whether there is evidence it is increasing, stable, or decreasing. The effective reproductive number (R_e) is the average number of secondary cases per infectious case in the setting of public health interventions (e.g., sheltering in place, face coverings, physical distancing, etc.). When $R_e > 1$, the epidemic curve increases. When $R_e < 1$, the epidemic curve decreases. When $R_e \sim 1$, the epidemic curve is flat.

3. Risk Criteria for Additional Businesses and Additional Activities Under Phased Reopening.

In connection with the health indicators and other public health data discussed above, the Health Officer will consider the risk of transmission involved in Businesses or activities in determining when and how they can safely resume, or if they must remain or be ordered temporarily closed. The following risk criteria will inform this analysis:

- a) *Ability to modify behavior to reduce risk*—whether individuals engaged in the Business or other activity can wear face coverings at all times, maintain at least six feet of physical distancing at all times, and comply with other Social Distancing Requirements, including hand washing and sanitation;
- b) *Avoidance of risky activities*—whether the nature of the Business or activity necessarily involves eating or drinking (which requires removing face covering); gatherings with other Households (which presents risks as described in subsection d below); or singing, chanting, shouting, or playing wind/brass instruments (which all present significant risk of airborne transmission);
- c) *Setting*—Outdoor Businesses and activities are safer than indoor businesses or activities, so outdoors is strongly preferred;
- d) *Mixing of Households*—Mixing of people from different Households present higher risk of virus transmission and community spread, and the more different Households that mix, the greater the cumulative risk;
- e) *Number, frequency, duration and distance of contacts*—The more people who interact, the higher the risk of virus transmission; and the more people who gather at a site, or the more sites involved in the business, possible interactions increase exponentially (number of contacts). The more often people interact, the higher the risk of virus transmission (frequency of contacts). The longer the duration of contacts, the higher the risk of virus transmission (duration of contacts). The closer the proximity of people, the higher the risk of virus transmission (distance of contacts); and



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

- f) *Modification potential*—the degree to which best practices health protocols can reduce the risk of transmission, where those protocols can be properly implemented.

4. General Requirements for Individuals.

- a. **Staying Safer At Home Is The Best Way To Control Risk.** All people are strongly reminded that continuing to stay home as much as possible is the best way to prevent the risk of COVID-19 transmission, and therefore minimizing trips and activities outside the home helps reduce risk to individuals and the community. All activities that involve contact with people from different Households increase the risk of transmission of COVID-19. Accordingly, all individuals currently living within the County are for the time being ordered to stay in their place of Residence to the extent possible. They are strongly urged to leave their Residence only to:
- Work for or access Businesses that are allowed to be open under this Order (Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, and Additional Businesses, as those terms are defined in Sections 8.a, 8.b and 8.c);
 - Work for, volunteer at, or access services at Healthcare Operations, as that term is defined in Section 8.g;
 - Engage in activities that are allowed under this Order (Essential Activities, Outdoor Activities, and Additional Activities, as those terms are defined in Sections 8.h, 8.i and 8.j); and
 - Engage in Essential Travel, as that term is defined in Section 8.k; or
 - Provide any services or perform any work necessary to the operation maintenance of Essential Governmental Functions or Essential Infrastructure, as those terms are defined in Sections 8.l and 8.m.
- b. **Residences and Households.** For purposes of this Order, “Residences” include hotels, motels, shared rental units, and similar facilities. Residences also include living structures and outdoor spaces associated with those living structures, such as patios, porches, backyards, and front yards that are only accessible to a single family or Household. For purposes of this order “Household” means people living in a single Residence or shared living unit.
- c. **Individuals Experiencing Homelessness.** Individuals experiencing homelessness are exempt from this Section, but are strongly urged to obtain shelter. Government agencies and other entities operating shelters and other facilities that house or provide meals or other necessities of life for individuals experiencing homelessness are strongly urged to, as soon as possible, make such shelter available, and must take appropriate steps to help ensure compliance with Social Distancing Requirements, including adequate provision of hand sanitizer. Also, individuals experiencing homelessness who are unsheltered and



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

- living in encampments should, to the maximum extent feasible, abide by 12 foot by 12 foot distancing for the placement of tents, and government agencies should provide restroom and hand washing facilities for individuals in such encampments as set forth in Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Interim Guidance Responding to Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) Among People Experiencing Unsheltered Homelessness (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/unsheltered-homelessness.html>).
- d. **Older Adults and Individuals of Any Age with Underlying Medical Conditions.** Older adults and individuals with underlying medical conditions—including chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, immunocompromised state from solid organ transplant, obesity, serious heart conditions (such as heart failure, coronary artery disease, or cardiomyopathies), sickle cell disease, and diabetes—are strongly urged to stay in their Residence except to access critical necessities such as food, and to seek or provide medical care or Essential Governmental Functions. Individuals with other medical conditions might be at increased risk for severe illness from COVID-19 and are encouraged to minimize activities and interactions with people outside their Household to the extent practicable, except as necessary to seek or provide medical care or Essential Governmental Functions. These conditions, and the most up to date information about who is at greatest risk of severe illness as more information and data emerge about COVID-19, can be found at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/people-at-increased-risk.html>.
- e. **Mandatory Risk Reduction Measures For Individuals Outside their Place of Residence.** When people leave their place of Residence, they must (1) strictly comply with the Social Distancing Requirements as defined in Section 8.0, including maintaining at least six feet of social distance from other people not in the same Household, except as expressly provided in this subsection below or elsewhere in this Order, and (2) wear Face Coverings as provided in, and subject to the limited exceptions in, Health Officer Order No. C19-12b issued April 17, 2020 and revised May 28, 2020 (the “Face Covering Order”), including any future amendments to that order. The requirement to strictly comply with Social Distancing Requirements is subject to a limited exception as necessary to provide care (including childcare, adult or senior care, care to individuals with special needs, and patient care); as necessary to carry out the work of Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, or provide for Minimum Basic Operations; or as otherwise expressly provided in this Order. For clarity, individuals who do not currently reside in the County must comply with all applicable requirements of this Order when in the County.
- f. **Limitations on Gatherings that Involve Mixing of Different Households to Reduce Virus Transmission Risk.** Gatherings of individuals from different Households pose a significant risk of virus transmission to the community. The



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

greater the number of people from different households in a gathering, the greater the risk of the spread of COVID-19. All public and private gatherings of any number of people occurring outside a single Household are prohibited, except as expressly permitted in this Order including, but not limited to, gatherings allowed as Additional Activities in Appendix C-2. If, despite this prohibition, people find themselves with members of other Households, they are required to follow the health guidelines for safer interactions set forth in the Tip Sheet for Safer Interactions During COVID-19 Pandemic, posted at: www.sfc-dcp.org/communicable-disease/diseases-a-z/covid19whatsnew.

5. General Requirements for Businesses and Business Activities.

- a. **Allowed Businesses.** Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, and Additional Businesses, as defined in Sections 8.a, 8.b and 8.c, are allowed to operate in the County under this Order. All other Businesses are temporarily required to cease all activities at facilities located within the County except Minimum Basic Operations, as defined in Section 8.d. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-1, Businesses that include allowed operations alongside other operations that are not yet allowed must, to the extent feasible, scale down their operations to the allowed components only.
- b. **Maximization of Telework.** All Businesses must continue to maximize the number of Personnel who work remotely from their place of Residence, subject to the conditions and limitations provided in Appendix C-1.
- c. **Activities that Can Occur Outdoors.** All Businesses are strongly urged to move as many operations as possible outdoors, to the extent permitted by local law and permitting requirements, where there is generally less risk of COVID-19 transmission.
- d. **Social Distancing Protocol.** As a condition of operating under this Order, the operators of all Businesses allowed to operate must comply with the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol attached to this Order as Appendix A and must complete a Social Distancing Protocol checklist for each of their facilities in the County frequented by Personnel or members of the public. The Social Distancing Protocol checklist must be posted at or near each public entrance of each of the Business facilities and must be easily viewable by the public and Personnel. A copy of the Social Distancing Protocol checklist must also be provided in hardcopy or electronic format to each person performing work at the facility. Each Business subject to this paragraph must provide evidence of its implementation of the Social Distancing Protocol requirements to any authority enforcing this Order upon demand. A copy of the Social Distancing Protocol checklist must also be provided by the Business or entity to any member of the public on request.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

With the exception of construction activities—which must comply with the Construction Project Safety Protocols set forth in Appendix B—each Business must use the Social Distancing Protocol checklist included in Appendix A or a form that is substantially similar.

The July 13, 2020 update to Appendix A includes three new elements:

1. Reusable shopping bags are now allowed with certain limitations, including that the customer must bag their own items after checkout because Personnel are not allowed to handle bags brought by a customer;
2. Businesses and entities are required to post signs stating that customers with a cough or fever or who are not feeling well should avoid waiting in line for or entering the Business; and
3. When a customer has symptoms or another COVID-19 related reason, an appointment or reservation must be cancelled without financial penalty to the customer, although the Business or entity may offer to reschedule if the customer wants.

Any Business with an existing Social Distancing Protocol checklist must comply with the new requirements by July 27, 2020. All Businesses implementing a Social Distancing Protocol checklist for the first time on or after July 13, 2020 must comply with the requirements and post the updated checklist before resuming operations. The requirements in this subsection for a Social Distancing Protocol do not apply to governmental entities.

- e. **Industry Specific Requirements.** In addition to the Social Distancing Protocol, all Businesses allowed to operate under this Order must follow any industry or activity-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer related to COVID-19 (available online at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>) and any conditions on operation specified in this Order, including those specified in Appendix C-1.
 - f. **Businesses Must Allow Personnel to Stay Home When Sick.** As outlined in the Social Distancing Protocol, Businesses are required to allow Personnel to stay home if they have symptoms associated with COVID-19, and Personnel are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and may only return to work as outlined in the Social Distancing Protocol. Each Business that is required to comply with the Social Distancing Protocol is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in the Social Distancing Protocol.
6. **Public Transit.**

Transit agencies and people riding or waiting to ride on public transit must comply with Social Distancing Requirements, as defined in Section 8.o, and Personnel and passengers must wear Face Coverings as required by the Face Covering Order. Also, people riding or waiting to ride on public transit must follow any applicable



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

directives issued by the County Health Officer (<http://www.sfdph.org/directives>) and any applicable “COVID-19 Industry Guidance” issued by the California Department of Public Health, available at <https://covid19.ca.gov/industry-guidance/>.

7. **Mandatory Reporting by Businesses and Government Entities When Three or More Personnel Contract COVID-19 Within Two Weeks.**

Businesses and governmental entities must require that all Personnel immediately alert the Business or governmental entity if they test positive for COVID-19 and were present in the workplace within the 48 hours before onset of symptoms or within 48 hours of the date on which they were tested. Businesses and governmental entities can learn more about what to do after a positive COVID-19 case among Personnel at www.sfdcp.org/covid19-positive-workplace. If a Business or governmental entity has three or more Personnel who test positive for COVID-19 within a two week period, then the Business or governmental entity is required to call the San Francisco Department of Public Health at 415-554-2830 immediately to report the cluster of cases. Businesses and governmental entities must also comply with all case investigation and contact tracing measures by the County, including providing any information requested.

8. **Definitions.**

For purposes of this Order, the following initially capitalized terms have the meanings given below.

Allowed Businesses and Business Activities.

a. *Essential Businesses.* “Essential Businesses” means:

- i. Healthcare Operations (as defined in subsection g below);
- ii. Grocery stores, certified farmers’ markets, farm and produce stands, supermarkets, food banks, convenience stores, and other establishments engaged in the retail sale of unprepared food, canned food, dry goods, non-alcoholic beverages, fresh fruits and vegetables, pet supply, fresh meats, fish, and poultry, as well as hygienic products and household consumer products necessary for personal hygiene or the habitability, sanitation, or operation of Residences. The Businesses included in this subsection include establishments that sell multiple categories of products provided that they sell a significant amount of essential products identified in this subsection, such as liquor stores that also sell a significant amount of food;
- iii. Food cultivation, including farming, livestock, and fishing;
- iv. Businesses that provide food, shelter, and social services, and other necessities of life for economically disadvantaged or otherwise needy individuals;



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

- v. **Construction, but only as permitted under the State Shelter Order and only pursuant to the Construction Safety Protocols listed in Appendix B and incorporated into this Order by this reference. City public works projects shall also be subject to Appendix B, except if other protocols are specified by the Health Officer;**
- vi. **Newspapers, television, radio, and other media services;**
- vii. **Gas stations and auto-supply, auto-repair (including, but not limited to, for cars, trucks, motorcycles and motorized scooters), and automotive dealerships, but only for the purpose of providing auto-supply and auto-repair services. This subsection (vii) does not restrict the on-line purchase of automobiles if they are delivered to a Residence or Essential Business;**
- viii. **Bicycle repair and supply shops;**
- ix. **Banks and related financial institutions;**
- x. **Service providers that enable real estate transactions (including rentals, leases, and home sales), including, but not limited to, real estate agents, escrow agents, notaries, and title companies, provided that appointments and other residential real estate viewings must only occur virtually or, if a virtual viewing is not feasible, by appointment with no more than two visitors at a time residing within the same Household and one individual showing the unit (except that in person visits are not allowed when the occupant is present in the Residence);**
- xi. **Hardware stores;**
- xii. **Plumbers, electricians, exterminators, and other service providers who provide services that are necessary to maintaining the habitability, sanitation, or operation of Residences and Essential Businesses;**
- xiii. **Businesses providing mailing and shipping services, including post office boxes;**
- xiv. **Educational institutions—including public and private K-12 schools, colleges, and universities—for purposes of facilitating distance learning or performing essential functions, or as allowed under subsection (xxvi), provided that social distancing of six feet per person is maintained to the greatest extent possible;**
- xv. **Laundromats, drycleaners, and laundry service providers;**
- xvi. **Restaurants and other facilities that prepare and serve food, but only for delivery or carry out. Schools and other entities that typically provide free food services to students or members of the public may continue to do so under this Order on the condition that the food is provided to students or members of the public on a pick-up and take-away basis only. Schools and other entities that provide food services under this exemption shall not**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

- permit the food to be eaten at the site where it is provided, or at any other gathering site;
- xvii. **Funeral home providers, mortuaries, cemeteries, and crematoriums, to the extent necessary for the transport, preparation, or processing of bodies or remains, and to hold funerals for no more than 12 individuals (or, if higher, the number of individuals allowed to gather for social gatherings under Appendix C-2);**
 - xviii. **Businesses that supply other Essential Businesses and Outdoor Businesses with the support or supplies necessary to operate, but only to the extent that they support or supply these Businesses. This exemption shall not be used as a basis for engaging in sales to the general public from retail storefronts;**
 - xix. **Businesses that have the primary function of shipping or delivering groceries, food, or other goods directly to Residences or Businesses. This exemption shall not be used to allow for manufacturing or assembly of non-essential products or for other functions besides those necessary to the delivery operation;**
 - xx. **Airlines, taxis, rental car companies, rideshare services (including shared bicycles and scooters), and other private transportation providers providing transportation services necessary for Essential Activities and other purposes expressly authorized in this Order;**
 - xxi. **Home-based care for seniors, adults, children, and pets;**
 - xxii. **Residential facilities and shelters for seniors, adults, and children;**
 - xxiii. **Professional services, such as legal, notary, or accounting services, when necessary to assist in compliance with non-elective, legally required activities or in relation to death or incapacity;**
 - xxiv. **Services to assist individuals in finding employment with Essential Businesses;**
 - xxv. **Moving services that facilitate residential or commercial moves that are allowed under this Order;**
 - xxvi. **Childcare establishments and other educational or recreational institutions or programs providing care or supervision for children (with the exception of summer camps, which are addressed separately in Appendix C-1, and schools, which will be addressed separately) that enable owners and Personnel of Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Businesses, as well as people engaged in Minimum Basic Operations for other Businesses to work as allowed under this Order. To the extent possible, and unless otherwise provided in Appendix C-1 or a directive issued by the County Health Officer, these operations must comply with the following conditions:**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

1. They must be carried out in stable groups of 12 or fewer children (“stable” means that the same 12 or fewer children are in the same group each day);
 2. Children shall not change from one group to another;
 3. If more than one group of children is at one facility, each group must be in a separate room. Groups must not mix with each other; and
 4. Providers or educators must remain solely with one group of children;
- xxvii. **Businesses that operate, maintain, or repair Essential Infrastructure.**

b. *Outdoor Businesses.* “Outdoor Businesses” means:

- i. **The following Businesses that normally operated primarily outdoors before March 16, 2020, and where there is the ability to fully maintain social distancing of at least six feet between all persons:**
 1. **Businesses primarily operated outdoors, such as wholesale and retail plant nurseries, agricultural operations, and garden centers; and**
 2. **Service providers that primarily provide outdoor services, such as landscaping and gardening services, and environmental site remediation services.**

For clarity, “Outdoor Businesses” do not include outdoor restaurants, cafes, or bars. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-1, they also do not include Businesses that promote large, coordinated, and prolonged gatherings, such as outdoor concert venues and amusement parks.

c. *Additional Businesses.* “Additional Business” means any Business identified as an Additional Business in Appendix C-1, which will be updated as warranted based on the Health Officer’s ongoing evaluation of the COVID-19 Indicators and other data. In addition to the other requirements in this Order, operation of those Additional Businesses is subject to any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth in Appendix C-1 and in any industry-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer.

d. *Minimum Basic Operations.* “Minimum Basic Operations” means the following activities for Businesses, provided that owners, Personnel, and contractors comply with Social Distancing Requirements as defined this Section, to the extent possible, while carrying out such operations:

- i. **The minimum necessary activities to maintain and protect the value of the Business’s inventory and facilities; ensure security, safety, and sanitation; process payroll and employee benefits; provide for the delivery of existing inventory directly to Residences or Businesses; and related functions. For**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

clarity, this section does not permit Businesses to provide curbside pickup to customers; and

- ii. **The minimum necessary activities to facilitate owners, Personnel, and contractors of the Business being able to continue to work remotely from their Residences, and to ensure that the Business can deliver its service remotely.**
- e. ***Business.* A “Business” includes any for-profit, non-profit, or educational entity, whether a corporate entity, organization, partnership or sole proprietorship, and regardless of the nature of the service, the function it performs, or its corporate or entity structure.**
- f. ***Personnel.* “Personnel” means the following people who provide goods or services associated with the Business in the County: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the Business); independent contractors; vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite; volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Business. “Personnel” includes “gig workers” who perform work via the Business’s app or other online interface, if any.**
- g. ***Healthcare Operations.* “Healthcare Operations” includes, without limitation, hospitals, clinics, COVID-19 testing locations, dentists, pharmacies, blood banks and blood drives, pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, other healthcare facilities, healthcare suppliers, home healthcare services providers, mental health providers, or any related and/or ancillary healthcare services. “Healthcare Operations” also includes veterinary care and all healthcare services provided to animals. This exemption for Healthcare Operations must be construed broadly to avoid any interference with the delivery of healthcare, broadly defined. “Healthcare Operations” excludes fitness and exercise gyms and similar facilities.**

Allowed Activities.

- h. ***Essential Activities.* “Essential Activities” means to:**
 - i. **Engage in activities or perform tasks important to their health and safety, or to the health and safety of their family or Household members (including pets);**
 - ii. **Obtain necessary services or supplies for themselves and their family or Household members, or to deliver those services or supplies to others;**
 - iii. **Provide necessary care for a family member or pet in another Household who has no other source of care;**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

- iv. Attend a funeral with no more than 12 individuals present (or, if higher, the number of individuals allowed to gather for social gatherings under Appendix C-2); and
- v. **Move Residences.** When moving into or out of the Bay Area region, individuals are strongly urged to quarantine for 14 days, especially if you had been engaging in activities that would put you at higher risk of contracting the virus that causes COVID-19. These higher risk activities include those in which you were:
 - Interacting within six feet of individuals outside your Household, especially if you or those around you were not wearing Face Coverings at all times;
 - Interacting with individuals outside your Household in indoor settings;
 - Attending large gatherings, particularly ones where people were unmasked, people did not stay at least six feet apart, or gatherings that were held indoors; or
 - Sharing items, including food, with people outside your Household.

To quarantine, individuals should follow the guidance of the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

- i. **Outdoor Activities.** “Outdoor Activities” means:
 - i. To engage in outdoor recreation activity, including, by way of example and without limitation, walking, hiking, bicycling, and running, in compliance with Social Distancing Requirements and with the following limitations:
 1. Outdoor recreation activity at parks, beaches, and other open spaces must comply with any restrictions on access and use established by the Health Officer, government, or other entity that manages such area to reduce crowding and risk of transmission of COVID-19;
 2. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-2 or as otherwise authorized in writing by the Health Officer, use of outdoor recreational areas and facilities with high-touch equipment or that encourage gathering—including playgrounds, gym equipment, climbing walls, pools, spas, and barbecue areas—is prohibited outside of Residences, and all such areas must be closed to public access including by signage and, as appropriate, by physical barriers; and
 3. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-2, sports or activities that include the use of shared equipment or physical contact between participants may only be engaged in by members of the same Household.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

j. *Additional Activities.* “Additional Activities” means:

- i. To engage in outdoor recreation activities or other activities set forth in Appendix C-2, subject to any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth there.**

Allowed Travel.

k. *Essential Travel.* “Essential Travel” means travel for any of the following purposes:

- i. Travel related to the provision of or access to Essential Activities, Essential Governmental Functions, Essential Businesses, Minimum Basic Operations, Outdoor Activities, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Activities, and Additional Businesses;**
- ii. Travel to care for any elderly, minors, dependents, or persons with disabilities;**
- iii. Travel to or from educational institutions for purposes of receiving materials for distance learning, for receiving meals, and any other related services;**
- iv. Travel to return to a place of Residence from outside the County;**
- v. Travel required by law enforcement or court order;**
- vi. Travel required for non-residents to return to their place of Residence outside the County. Individuals are strongly encouraged to verify that their transportation out of the County remains available and functional before commencing such travel;**
- vii. Travel to manage after-death arrangements and burial;**
- viii. Travel to arrange for shelter or avoid homelessness;**
- ix. Travel to avoid domestic violence or child abuse;**
- x. Travel for parental custody arrangements; and**
- xi. Travel to a place to temporarily reside in a Residence or facility to avoid potentially exposing others to COVID-19, such as a hotel or other facility provided by a governmental authority for such purposes.**

Governmental Functions.

- l. *Essential Infrastructure.* “Essential Infrastructure,” including airports, utilities (including water, sewer, gas, and electrical), oil refining, roads and highways, public transportation, solid waste facilities (including collection, removal, disposal, recycling, and processing facilities), cemeteries, mortuaries, crematoriums, and telecommunications systems (including the provision of**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

essential global, national, and local infrastructure for internet, computing services, Business infrastructure, communications, and web-based services).

- m. *Essential Government Functions.* “Essential Governmental Functions” are determined by the governmental entity performing those functions in the County. Each governmental entity shall identify and designate appropriate Personnel, volunteers, or contractors to continue providing and carrying out any Essential Governmental Functions, including the hiring or retention of new personnel or contractors to perform such functions. Each governmental entity and its contractors must employ all necessary emergency protective measures to prevent, mitigate, respond to, and recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, and all Essential Governmental Functions must be performed in compliance with Social Distancing Requirements to the greatest extent feasible. All first responders, emergency management personnel, emergency dispatchers, court personnel, and law enforcement personnel, and others who need to perform essential services are categorically exempt from this Order to the extent they are performing those essential services.

Residences and Households.

- n. “Residences” and “Households” are defined as set forth in Section 4.b, above.

Social Distancing.

- o. *Social Distancing Requirements.* “Social Distancing Requirements” mean:
- i. Maintaining at least six-foot social distancing from individuals who are not part of the same Household;
 - ii. Frequently washing hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, or using hand sanitizer that is recognized by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as effective in combatting COVID-19;
 - iii. Covering coughs and sneezes with a tissue or fabric or, if not possible, into the sleeve or elbow (but not into hands);
 - iv. Wearing a face covering when out in public, consistent with the orders or guidance of the Health Officer; and
 - v. Avoiding all social interaction outside the Household when sick with a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms.

9. Incorporation of State and Local Emergency Proclamations and State Health Orders.

- a. State and Local Emergency Proclamations. This Order is issued in accordance with, and incorporates by reference, the March 4, 2020 Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the March 12, 2020 Executive



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Order (Executive Order N-25-20) issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the February 25, 2020 Proclamation by the Mayor Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency issued by Mayor London Breed, as supplemented on March 11, 2020, the March 6, 2020 Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) issued by the Health Officer, and guidance issued by the California Department of Public Health, as each of them have been and may be supplemented.

- b. **State Health Orders.** This Order is also issued in light of the March 19, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer (the “State Shelter Order”), which set baseline statewide restrictions on non-residential Business activities, effective until further notice, and the Governor’s March 19, 2020 Executive Order N-33-20 directing California residents to follow the State Shelter Order. The May 4, 2020 Executive Order issued by Governor Newsom and May 7, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer permit certain Businesses to reopen if a local health officer believes the conditions in that jurisdictions warrant it, but expressly acknowledge the authority of local health officers to establish and implement public health measures within their respective jurisdictions that are more restrictive than those implemented by the State Public Health Officer. Also on June 18, 2020 the State Department of Public Health issued guidance for the use of face coverings, requiring all people in the State to wear face coverings in certain high risk situations, subject to limited exceptions.

10. Obligation to Follow Stricter Requirements of Orders.

This Order adopts certain health and safety restrictions that are more stringent than those contained in the State Shelter Order. Without this tailored set of restrictions that further reduces the number of interactions between persons, scientific evidence indicates that the public health crisis in the County will worsen to the point at which it may overtake available health care resources within the County and increase the death rate. Where a conflict exists between this Order and any state public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive provision (i.e., the more protective of public health) controls. Consistent with California Health and Safety Code section 131080 and the Health Officer Practice Guide for Communicable Disease Control in California, except where the State Health Officer may issue an order expressly directed at this Order and based on a finding that a provision of this Order constitutes a menace to public health, any more restrictive measures in this Order continue to apply and control in this County. Also, to the extent any federal guidelines allow activities that are not allowed by this Order, this Order controls and those activities are not allowed.

11. Obligation to Follow Health Officer Directives and Mandatory State Guidance.

In addition to complying with all provisions of this Order, all individuals and entities, including all Businesses and governmental entities, must also follow any applicable directives issued by the County Health Officer



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

(<http://www.sfdph.org/directives>) and any applicable “COVID-19 Industry Guidance” issued by the California Department of Public Health, available at <https://covid19.ca.gov/industry-guidance/>. To the extent that provisions in the directives of the County Health Officer and the guidance of the State Health Officer conflict, the more restrictive provisions (i.e., the more protective of public health) apply.

12. Enforcement.

Under Government Code sections 26602 and 41601 and Health and Safety Code section 101029, the Health Officer requests that the Sheriff and the Chief of Police in the County ensure compliance with and enforce this Order. The violation of any provision of this Order (including, without limitation, any Health Directives) constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. The San Francisco Department of Public Health is authorized to respond to such public nuisances by issuing Notice(s) of Violation and ordering premises vacated and closed until the owner, tenant, or manager submits a written plan to eliminate all violations and the Department of Public Health finds that plan satisfactory. Such Notice(s) of Violation and orders to vacate and close may be issued based on a written report made by any City employees writing the report within the scope of their duty. The Department of Public Health must give notice of such orders to vacate and close to the Chief of Police or the Chief’s designee to be executed and enforced by officers in the same manner as provided by San Francisco Health Code section 597.

13. Effective Date.

This Order becomes effective at 12:00 p.m. on July 13, 2020, and will continue, as updated, to be in effect until it is rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer.

14. Relation to Other Orders of the San Francisco Health Officer.

Effective as of the date and time in Section 13 above, this Order revises and replaces Order Number C19-07e, issued May 17, 2020. This Order also extends Order Nos. C19-01b (prohibiting visitors at Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center and Unit 4A at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital), C19-03 (prohibiting visitors to specific residential facilities), C19-04 (imposing cleaning standards for residential hotels), C19-09 (prohibiting visitors to residential care facilities for the elderly, adult residential facilities, and residential facilities for the chronically ill), and C19-11 (placing Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center under protective quarantine) without any further need to amend those orders, with those listed orders otherwise remaining in effect until the specific listed order or this Order is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. This Order does not prohibit amendment of those orders separately. This



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Order also does not affect Order Nos. C19-06b (prohibiting visitors to general acute care hospitals and acute psychiatric hospitals), C19-08b (limiting routine appointments and elective surgeries and encouraging delivery of prescriptions and cannabis products), C19-10 (requiring reporting by labs of COVID-19 testing information), C19-12 (requiring face coverings), C19-13 (regarding testing, reporting, and cooperation at skilled nursing facilities), and C19-14 (regarding decedent testing), which continue indefinitely as provided in those respective orders until each of them is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer.

15. Copies.

The County must promptly provide copies of this Order as follows: (1) by posting on the Department of Public Health website (<http://www.sfdph.org/healthorders>); (2) by posting at City Hall, located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., San Francisco, CA 94102; and (3) by providing to any member of the public requesting a copy. Also, the owner, manager, or operator of any facility that is likely to be impacted by this Order is strongly encouraged to post a copy of this Order onsite and to provide a copy to any member of the public asking for a copy.

16. Severability.

If any provision of this Order or its application to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, the remainder of the Order, including the application of such part or provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this Order are severable.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Dated: July 13, 2020

- Attachments: Appendix A – Social Distancing Protocol for Businesses (revised July 13, 2020)
- Appendix B-1 – Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised July 13, 2020)
- Appendix B-2 – Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised July 13, 2020)
- Appendix C-1 – Additional Businesses (July 13, 2020)
- Appendix C-2 – Additional Activities (July 13, 2020)

Each business allowed to operate in San Francisco must complete, post onsite, and follow this Social Distancing Protocol checklist. The attached **Instructions and Requirements** detail what is required and how to complete this checklist.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business name:

Contact name:

Facility Address:

Email / telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this protocol.)

SIGNAGE & EDUCATION

- Post signage at each public entrance of the facility requiring of everyone:
 - (1) do not enter if experiencing COVID-19 symptoms (cough, fever, or not feeling well);
 - (2) maintain a minimum six-foot distance from others in line and in the facility;
 - (3) wear a face covering; and
 - (4) for self-brought bags, keep bags in a cart/basket or carry them and self-place items in bags after checkout
- Post a copy of this two-page Social Distancing Protocol checklist at each public entrance
- Post signage showing maximum number of patrons who can be in line and in the facility
- Educate Personnel about this Protocol and other COVID-19 related safety requirements

PROTECTIVE MEASURES

- Follow Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below, including:
 - Ensure Personnel stay home or leave work if they are sick
 - Provide a copy of the Attachment to Personnel to ensure they understand when to stay home; translated versions of the Attachment are available online
 - Ensure Personnel review health criteria before each shift and advise Personnel what to do if they are required to stay home
- Require Personnel and patrons to wear a face covering as required by Health Officer orders
- Implement a plan to keep site Personnel safe, including by limiting the number of Personnel and patrons onsite to a number that ensures physical distancing and favoring allowing Personnel to carry out their duties from home when possible
- Ensure that patrons may cancel an appointment or reservation without financial penalty based on any COVID-19 symptoms or a COVID-19 related reason and require cancelation for fever or severe coughing not explained by a pre-existing condition, but you may offer to reschedule for another time if the patron wants to reschedule instead of to cancel

MEASURES TO PREVENT UNNECESSARY CONTACT

- Tell Personnel and patrons to maintain physical distancing of at least six feet, except Personnel may momentarily come closer when necessary to accept payment, deliver goods or services, or as otherwise necessary
- Separate all used desks or individual work stations by at least six feet
- Place markings in patron line areas to ensure six feet physical distancing (inside and outside)

Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, disinfect payment systems regularly. The Board of Supervisors has required businesses to accept cash—if cash is used encourage exact change.

Maintain Plexiglas or other barriers between patrons and Personnel at point of payment (if not possible, then ensure at least six feet of distance)

Limit the number of patrons in the store at any one time to: _____

Separate ordering areas from delivery areas or similarly help distance patrons when possible

Optional—Describe other measures:

SANITIZING MEASURES

Regularly disinfect high touch areas, and do so continuously for surfaces patrons touch (countertops, payment systems, pens, and styluses)

Provide disinfecting wipes that are effective against SARS-CoV-2 near shopping carts, shopping baskets, and high-touch surfaces and provide hand sanitizer

Have Personnel disinfect carts and baskets after each use

Provide hand sanitizer, sink with soap and water, and/or disinfecting wipes to patrons and Personnel at or near the entrance of the facility, at checkout counters, and anywhere else where people have direct interactions

Disinfect break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas frequently, on the following schedule:

Break rooms:

Bathrooms:

Other:

Prevent people from self-serving any items that are food-related:

Provide lids and utensils for food items by Personnel, not for patrons to grab

Limit access to bulk-item food bins to Personnel—no self-service use

Require patrons and Personnel to follow requirements of Section 2.29 below for self-brought bags, and prohibit patrons from bringing any other reusable items such as coffee mugs.

Prohibit Personnel from using shared food prep equipment for their own use (e.g., microwaves, water coolers), but microwaves may be used if disinfected between each use and hand sanitizer is available nearby

Optional—Describe other measures (e.g., providing senior-only hours):

INDUSTRY-SPECIFIC DIRECTIVES

Ensure that you have read and implemented the attached list of requirements.

In addition to complying with the Social Distancing Protocol, many businesses must comply with additional, industry-specific directives. Go to www.sfdph.org/directives and check to see if your business is subject to one or more additional directives. For each one, you must review the Health and Safety Plan (HSP) requirements and post an additional checklist for each one that applies. In the event that any directive changes the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol, the more specific language of the directive controls, even if it is less restrictive. Check this box after you have checked the list of directives and posted any other required HSP.

* Any additional measures may be listed on separate pages and attached.

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]**Instructions:**

The two-page Social Distancing Protocol checklist above must reflect the business's completion of each requirement listed below unless an item is not applicable. Use the two-page checklist above to show compliance with these requirements. The business does not need to post these Instructions and Requirements, only the checklist above. The term "Personnel" is defined in Health Officer Order No. C19-07f to which this Appendix is attached. The term "patron" includes customers, others seeking services, visitors, and guests.

Requirements:

In addition to the items below, this protocol requires the business to ensure that Personnel who perform work associated with the business are covered by the Social Distancing Protocol checklist and comply with those requirements. Each business is required to take certain steps in the protocol related to its Personnel, including the actions listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below if Personnel are sick. Each business is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below. Personnel of each business are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and must comply with the protocol, including the rules for returning to work listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below.

1. Section 1 – Signage and Education:

- 1.1.** Post signage at each public entrance of the facility or location (if any) to inform all patrons that they must: not wait in line or enter the facility or location if they have a cough or fever or are not feeling well; maintain a minimum six-foot distance from others while in line or in the facility or location; wear a face covering or barrier mask (a "Face Covering") at all times; not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact; and, if they bring their own reusable bags, leave the bags in a shopping cart/basket or carry them and bag their own items after checkout. Criteria for Face Coverings and the requirements related to their use are set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12, issued on April 17, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"), including as that order is updated in the future. Sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>. A list of common symptoms of COVID-19 can be found at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/symptoms-testing/symptoms.html>.
- 1.2.** Post a copy of the Social Distancing Protocol checklist at each public entrance to the facility or location.
- 1.3.** Distribute to all Personnel copies of the Social Distancing Protocol checklist in hardcopy or electronic format.
- 1.4.** Educate all Personnel on the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol and any other Health Officer directive that applies.

2. Section 2 – Personnel and Patron Protection and Sanitation Requirements:

- 2.1.** Instruct all Personnel orally and in writing not to come to work or the facility if they are sick.
- 2.2.** Provide a copy of the attachment to this Exhibit, titled "Information for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Additional Business and Other Businesses Permitted To Operate During the Health Emergency" (the "Attachment"), to all Personnel who regularly work at the

facility or location in hardcopy format or electronically. PDF and translated versions of the Attachment can be found at www.sfcdcp.org/screening-handout. If the Attachment is updated, provide an updated copy to all Personnel.

- 2.3.** Review the criteria listed in Part 1 of the Attachment on a daily basis with all Personnel in the City who regularly work at the facility or location before each person enters work spaces or begins a shift. If such a review is not feasible because the business does not directly interact with some Personnel onsite daily, then that business must for those Personnel (1) instruct such Personnel to review the criteria before each shift in the City and (2) have such Personnel report to the business that they are okay to begin the shift such as through an app, website, or phone call.

Instruct any Personnel who answered yes to any question in Part 1 of the Attachment to return home or not come to work and follow the directions on the Attachment.

- 2.4.** Instruct Personnel who stayed home or who went home based on the criteria listed on the Attachment that they must follow the criteria as well as any applicable requirements from the quarantine and isolation directives (available at www.sfdph.org/healthorders) before returning to work. If they are required to self-quarantine or self-isolate, they may only return to work after they have completed self-quarantine or self-isolation. If they test negative for the virus (no virus found), they may only return to work after waiting for the amount of time listed on the Attachment after their symptoms have resolved. Personnel are not required to provide a medical clearance letter to return to work as long as they have met the requirements outlined on the Attachment.
- 2.5.** Businesses must periodically check the following website for any testing requirements for employers and businesses: www.sfcdcp.org/covid19. If requirements are added, ensure that the business and all Personnel comply with testing requirements.
- 2.6.** If an aspect of the business is allowed to operate and is covered by a Health Officer directive, then the business must comply with all applicable directives as well as this Social Distancing Protocol. Copies of other directives are available online at www.sfdph.org/directives. For each directive that applies, review the Health and Safety Plan (HSP) requirements and post an additional HSP checklist for each one that applies. In the event that any directive changes the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol, the more specific language of the directive controls, even if it is less restrictive.
- 2.7.** Instruct all Personnel and patrons to maintain at least a six-foot distance from others, including when in line and when shopping or collecting goods on behalf of patrons, except when momentarily necessary to facilitate or accept payment and hand off items or deliver goods. Note that if the business cannot ensure maintenance of a six-foot distance within the location or facility between Personnel or other people onsite, such as by moving work stations or spreading Personnel out, it must reduce the number of Personnel permitted in the location or facility accordingly.
- 2.8.** Provide Face Coverings for all Personnel, with instructions that they must wear Face Coverings at all times when at work, as further set forth in the Face Covering Order. A sample sign is available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>. Allow Personnel to bring their own Face Covering if they bring one that has been cleaned before the shift. In general, people should have multiple Face Coverings (whether reusable or disposable) to ensure they use a clean one each day. The Face Covering Order permits certain exceptions, and the business should be aware of exceptions that allow a person not to wear a Face Covering (for example, children 12 years old or younger or based on a written

medical excuse). When Personnel do not wear a Face Covering because of an exception, take steps to otherwise increase safety for all.

- 2.9.** If patrons wait in line outside or inside any facility or location operated by the business, require patrons to wear a Face Covering while waiting in line outside or inside the facility or location. This includes taking steps to notify patrons they will not be served if they are in line without a Face Covering and refusing to serve a patron without a Face Covering, as further provided in the Face Covering Order. The business may provide a clean Face Covering to patrons while in line. For clarity, the transaction or service must be aborted if the patron is not wearing a Face Covering. But the business must permit a patron who is excused by the Face Covering Order from wearing a Face Covering to conduct their transaction or obtain service, including by taking steps that can otherwise increase safety for all.
- 2.10.** Provide a sink with soap, water, and paper towels for handwashing for all Personnel working onsite at the facility or location and for patrons if sinks and restrooms are open to patrons. Require that all Personnel wash hands at least at the start and end of each shift, after sneezing, coughing, eating, drinking, smoking (to the extent smoking is allowed by law and the business), or using the restroom, when changing tasks, and, when possible, frequently during each shift. Personnel who work off-site, such as driving or delivering goods, must be required to use hand sanitizer throughout their shift.
- 2.11.** Provide hand sanitizer effective against SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, at appropriate locations for patrons and elsewhere at the facility or location for Personnel. Sanitizer must also be provided to Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive for use when they are shopping, delivering, or driving. If sanitizer cannot be obtained, a handwashing station with soap, water, and paper towels will suffice for Personnel who are on-site at the facility or location. But for Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive in relation to their work, the business must provide hand sanitizer effective against SARS-CoV-2 at all times; for any period during which the business does not provide sanitizer to such shopping, delivery, or driving Personnel, the business is not allowed for that aspect of its service to operate in the City. Information on hand sanitizer, including sanitizer effective against SARS-CoV-2 and how to obtain sanitizer, is available online from the Food and Drug Administration here: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/ga-consumers-hand-sanitizers-and-covid-19>.
- 2.12.** Provide disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and require Personnel to sanitize all high-touch surfaces under their control, including but not limited to: shopping carts and baskets used by Personnel and patrons; countertops, food/item display cases, refrigerator and freezer case doors, drawers with tools or hardware, and check-out areas; cash registers, payment equipment, and self-check-out kiosks; door handles; tools and equipment used by Personnel during a shift; and any inventory-tracking or delivery-tracking equipment or devices which require handling throughout a work shift. These items should be routinely disinfected during the course of the day, including as required below. A list of products listed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against SARS-CoV-2 can be found online here: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.
- 2.13.** Ensure that all shared devices and equipment are cleaned and/or sanitized by Personnel on frequent schedules, not less than at the beginning and end of each Personnel member's work shift and during the shift.
- 2.14.** Direct all Personnel to avoid touching unsanitized surfaces that may be frequently touched, such as door handles, tools, or credit cards, unless protective equipment such as gloves

(provided by the business) are used and discarded after each use or hand sanitizer is used after each interaction.

- 2.15.**Frequently disinfect any break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas. Create and use a daily checklist to document each time disinfection of these rooms or areas occurs. Conspicuously post the checklist inside each respective break room, bathroom, or other common area clearly detailing the dates and times the room was last cleaned, disinfected, or restocked.
- 2.16.**For any facility or location that has carts, baskets, or other equipment for use by Personnel, assign Personnel to disinfect carts, baskets, or other equipment after each use and take steps to prevent anyone from grabbing used carts, baskets, or other equipment before disinfection.
- 2.17.**Establish adequate time in the work day to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination throughout the facility or location by Personnel including, but not limited to, before closing for the day and opening in the morning.
- 2.18.**Suspend use of any microwaves, water coolers, drinking fountains, and other similar group equipment for breaks until further notice. Microwaves may be used if disinfected between each use and hand sanitizer is available nearby.
- 2.19.**When possible, provide a barrier between the patron and the cashier such as a plexi-glass temporary barrier. When not possible, create sufficient space to enable the patron to stand more than six feet away from the cashier while items are being scanned/tallied and bagged.
- 2.20.**Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each patron use. Patrons may pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage patrons to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment.
- 2.21.**For any larger facility or location, appoint a designated sanitation worker at all times to continuously clean and sanitize commonly touched surfaces and meet the environmental cleaning guidelines set by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention.
- 2.22.**If an employee or other Personnel tests positive for COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2, follow the guidance on “Business guidance if a staff member tests positive for COVID-19,” available at <https://sf.gov/business-guidance-if-staff-member-tests-positive-covid-19>.
- 2.23.**Post signs to advise patrons of the maximum line capacity to ensure that the maximum number of patrons in line is not exceeded. Once the maximum number of patrons is reached, patrons should be advised to return later to prevent buildup of congestion in the line.
- 2.24.**Place tape or other markings on the sidewalk or floor at least six feet apart in patron line areas with signs directing patrons to use the markings to maintain distance.
- 2.25.**When stocking shelves, if any, ensure that Personnel wash or sanitize hands before placing items on shelves, making sure to again wash or sanitize hands if they become contaminated by touching face or hair or being exposed to other soiled surfaces.
- 2.26.**Ensure that all Personnel who select items on behalf of patrons wear a Face Covering when selecting, packing, and/or delivering items.

2.27. Require Personnel to wash hands frequently, including:

- When entering any kitchen or food preparation area
- Before starting food preparation or handling
- After touching their face, hair, or other areas of the body
- After using the restroom
- After coughing, sneezing, using a tissue, smoking, eating, or drinking
- Before putting on gloves
- After engaging in other activities that may contaminate the hands

2.28. Assign Personnel to keep soap and paper towels stocked at sinks and handwashing stations at least every hour and to replenish other sanitizing products.**2.29.** [Added 7/13/20] If patrons bring their own reusable shopping bags, ensure that such bags, even in contexts other than grocery stores, are handled in a manner consistent with Cal/OSHA requirements available at <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dosh/Coronavirus/COVID-19-Infection-Prevention-in-Grocery-Stores.pdf>, including all of the following:

- Post signs at all entrances with infection control information to patrons, including requiring patrons to leave their own bags in the shopping cart or basket or carry them and bag their own items after checkout;
- Ensure that Personnel do not touch the bags or place items in them;
- Bags must not be placed on a conveyor belt, checkout area countertop, or other surface where patrons are served;
- Ensure that patrons bag their own items if they bring their own bags;
- Bags may not be loaded on the checkout area surface. Items can be left in a cart/basket and bagged elsewhere by the patron after checkout;
- Ensure that patrons maintain physical distancing while bagging their items; and
- Increase the frequency of disinfection in bagging areas and patron service areas frequented by patrons.

2.30. [Added 7/13/20] If a patron has symptoms of COVID-19 (see Section 1.1 above) or is otherwise unable to participate in an appointment or reservation for a COVID-19 related reason, the business must allow the patron to cancel without any financial penalty. If the patron reports having a fever or has a severe cough not explained by a pre-existing condition, the business must cancel the appointment or reservation. The business may offer to reschedule the appointment or reservation but cannot require rescheduling instead of allowing the patron to cancel. In the healthcare context, more specific Health Officer directives may allow appointments when a patient or client is ill, and the requirements of the directive must be followed in that situation.

Note – Sections 2.18 and 2.29 control over any contrary language in Health Officer Directive Nos. 2020-05, 2020-06, and 2020-07 until each of them is amended or updated.

City and County of San Francisco Health Officer Directive - Attachment
Handout for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and Other Businesses Permitted to Operate During the Health Emergency (May 18, 2020)

Any business or entity that is subject to a Health Officer Directive to which this handout is attached (each “Business”) must give a copy of this handout to Personnel who work in the City outside their household during this emergency. Go to www.sfgdcp.org/covid19 for more info or a copy of this form.

All Personnel: If you work outside your household in the City during this local health emergency, you may qualify for a free test for the virus that causes COVID-19, *even if you have no symptoms*. Contact your healthcare provider or go to **CityTestSF** at <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytestsf> to sign up for a free test.

Part 1 – You must answer the following questions before starting your work every day that you work.

You may be required to provide the answers in person or via phone or other electronic means to the Business before the start of each shift. If any answers change while you are at work, notify the Business by phone and leave the workplace.

1. Within the last 10 days have you been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming you have the virus?
2. Do you live in the same household with, or have you had **close contact*** with someone who in the past 14 days has been in isolation for COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the virus?

If the answer to either question is “yes”, do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 2** below.

3. Have you had any one or more of these symptoms today or within the past 24 hours, which is new or not explained by another reason?

- | | |
|--|---------------------------|
| • Fever, Chills, or Repeated Shaking/Shivering | • Loss of Taste or Smell |
| • Cough | • Muscle pain |
| • Sore Throat | • Headache |
| • Shortness of Breath, Difficulty Breathing | • Runny or congested nose |
| • Feeling Unusually Weak or Fatigued | • Diarrhea |

If the answer to Question 3 is “yes”, do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 3** below.

Part 2 –

- If you answered **yes to Question 1**: you are subject to the Health Officer Isolation Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Isolation Steps** at: <https://www.sfgdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- If you answered **yes to Question 2**: you are subject to the Health Officer Quarantine Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Quarantine Steps** at: <https://www.sfgdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- Do not return to work until the Isolation or Quarantine Steps tell you it is safe to return!
- The meaning of ***Close Contact** is explained in this document: <https://www.sfgdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>

Part 3 – If you answered yes to Question 3:

You may have COVID-19 and **must be tested for the virus** before returning to work. Without a test, the Business must treat you as being positive for COVID-19 and require you to stay out of work for at least **10** calendar days. In order to return to work sooner and to protect those around you, you must get tested for the virus. Follow these steps:

1. Contact your usual healthcare provider about getting tested for the virus, or sign up for free testing at CityTestSF <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytestsf>. If you live outside the City, you can check with the county where you live, get tested by your usual healthcare provider, or use CityTestSF.
 2. Wait for your test results at home while minimizing exposure to those you live with. A good resource is <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html>
- If your result is positive (confirms that you have the virus) go to **Part 2** above and follow **Isolation Steps**.
 - If your result is negative, do not return to work until you have had at least 3 days in a row without fever and with improvement in your other symptoms. Consult with your healthcare provider to decide.

If you have questions about any part of this Handout, please see FAQs at www.sfgdcp.org/covid19 under “Isolation & Quarantine Directives” or call 3-1-1

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-1

Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised July 13, 2020)

1. Any construction project meeting any of the following specifications is subject to this Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (“SCP Protocol”), including public works projects unless otherwise specified by the Health Officer:
 - a. For residential projects, any single-family, multi-family, senior, student, or other residential construction, renovation, or remodel project consisting of 10 units or fewer. This SCP Protocol does not apply to construction projects where a person is performing construction on their current residence either alone or solely with members of their own household.
 - b. For commercial projects, any construction, renovation, or tenant improvement project consisting of 20,000 square feet of floor area or less.
 - c. For mixed-use projects, any project that meets both of the specifications in subsections 1.a and 1.b.
 - d. All other construction projects not subject to the Large Construction Project Safety Protocol set forth in Appendix B-2.

2. The following restrictions and requirements must be in place at all construction job sites subject to this SCP Protocol:
 - a. Comply with all applicable and current laws and regulations including but not limited to OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference, or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and/or this SCP Protocol, the stricter standard shall apply.
 - b. Designate a site-specific COVID-19 supervisor or supervisors to enforce this guidance. A designated COVID-19 supervisor must be present on the construction site at all times during construction activities. A COVID-19 supervisor may be an on-site worker who is designated to serve in this role.
 - c. The COVID-19 supervisor must review this SCP Protocol with all workers and visitors to the construction site.
 - d. Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the construction site. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exits to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/index.html>.
 - e. Practice social distancing by maintaining a minimum six-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the construction project.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-1

- f. In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, the following must take place:
- i. Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care.
 - ii. Decontaminate and sanitize all surfaces at each location at which the infected worker was present. Provide those performing the decontamination and sanitization work with medical-grade PPE, ensure the workers are trained in proper use of the PPE, require the workers to use the provided PPE, and prohibit any sharing of the PPE. Prohibit anyone from entering the possibly contaminated area, except those performing decontamination and sanitization work. Cease all work in these locations until decontamination and sanitization is complete.
 - iii. Each subcontractor, upon learning that one of its employees is infected, must notify the General Contractor immediately, if you have one, and provide all of the information specified below. The General Contractor or other appropriate supervisor must notify the County Public Health Department Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) at 415-554-2830 immediately of every project site worker found to have a confirmed case of COVID-19, and provide all the information specified below. Follow all directives and complete any additional requirements by County health officials, including full compliance with any tracing efforts by the County.
- Information to be reported to CD Control regarding the jobsite:
 - 1) Address of jobsite;
 - 2) Name of project, if any;
 - 3) Name of General Contractor; and
 - 4) General Contractor point of contact, role, phone number and email.
 - Information to be reported to CD Control regarding the COVID-19 case(s):
 - 5) First and last name;
 - 6) Date of birth;
 - 7) Phone;
 - 8) Date tested positive;
 - 9) Date last worked;
 - 10) City of residence; and
 - 11) If the case is an employee of a subcontractor, please provide the following information:
 - Subcontractor;
 - Subcontractor contact name;
 - Subcontractor contact phone; and
 - Subcontractor contact email.
 - Information to be reported to CD Control regarding Close Contacts. For each reported case(s) above, please provide the following information (if you are

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-1

reporting more than one positive case, please include the name of the positive case for each close contact):

- 1) Close contact's first and last name;
- 2) Phone;
- 3) City of residence; and
- 4) Positive case name.

A "Close Contact" in the workplace is anyone who:

- o Stayed within 6 feet of the Person with COVID-19 for 10 minutes or more while they were not wearing a face mask; OR
- o Had direct contact for any amount of time with the body fluids and/or secretions of the Person With COVID-19 (e.g., was coughed or sneezed on, shared utensils with, or was provided care or provided care for them without wearing a mask, gown, and gloves).

Close contacts are high risk exposures and need to quarantine for a full 14 days due to the 14 day incubation period of the virus. Even if a close contact tests negative within 14 days of their last exposure to the case, they must continue quarantining the full 14 day period to prevent transmission of the virus.

- g. Where construction work occurs within an occupied residential unit, separate work areas must be sealed off from the remainder of the unit with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative entry/exit door to the entry/exit door used by residents. Available windows and exhaust fans must be used to ventilate the work area. If residents have access to the work area between workdays, the work area must be cleaned and sanitized at the beginning and at the end of workdays. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between workers and residents, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.
- h. Where construction work occurs within common areas of an occupied residential or commercial building or a mixed-use building in use by on-site employees or residents, separate work areas must be sealed off from the rest of the common areas with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative building entry/exit door to the building entry/exit door used by residents or other users of the building. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between worker and building residents and users, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.
- i. Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite, including gatherings for breaks or eating, except for meetings regarding compliance with this protocol or as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the construction project.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-1

- j. Cal-OSHA requires employers to provide water, which should be provided in single-serve containers. Sharing of any of any food or beverage is strictly prohibited and if sharing is observed, the worker must be sent home for the day.
- k. Provide personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction, including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed. At no time may a contractor secure or use medical-grade PPE unless required due to the medical nature of a jobsite. Face coverings must be worn in compliance with Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued April 17, 2020 and revised May 28, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.
- l. Prohibit use of microwaves, water coolers, and other similar shared equipment.
- m. Strictly control “choke points” and “high-risk areas” where workers are unable to maintain six-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit use to ensure that six-foot distance can easily be maintained between individuals.
- n. Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional and other project consultants, government agency representatives, including building and fire inspectors, and residents at residential construction sites.
- o. Stagger trades as necessary to reduce density and allow for easy maintenance of minimum six-foot separation.
- p. Discourage workers from using others’ desks, work tools, and equipment. If more than one worker uses these items, the items must be cleaned and disinfected with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 in between use by each new worker. Prohibit sharing of PPE.
- q. If hand washing facilities are not available at the jobsite, place portable wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite as warranted.
- r. Clean and sanitize any hand washing facilities, portable wash stations, jobsite restroom areas, or other enclosed spaces daily with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19. Frequently clean and disinfect all high touch areas, including entry and exit areas, high traffic areas, rest rooms, hand washing areas, high touch surfaces, tools, and equipment
- s. Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, phone number, address, and email.
- t. Post a notice in an area visible to all workers and visitors instructing workers and visitors to do the following:
 - i. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-1

- ii. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.
 - iii. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces such as work stations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines, shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs.
 - iv. Cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing, or cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve.
 - v. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home.
 - vi. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six feet at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person.
 - vii. Do not carpool to and from the jobsite with anyone except members of your own household unit, or as necessary for workers who have no alternative means of transportation.
 - viii. Do not share phones or PPE.
- u. The notice in Section 2.t must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the notice.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-2

Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised July 13, 2020)

1. Any construction project meeting any of the following specifications is subject to this Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (“LCP Protocol”), including public works projects unless otherwise specified by the Health Officer:
 - a. For residential construction projects, any single-family, multi-family, senior, student, or other residential construction, renovation, or remodel project consisting of more than 10 units.
 - b. For commercial construction projects, any construction, renovation, or tenant improvement project consisting of more than 20,000 square feet of floor area.
 - c. For construction of Essential Infrastructure, as defined in Section 8.1 of the Order, any project that requires twenty or more workers at the jobsite at any one time.
2. The following restrictions and requirements must be in place at all construction job sites subject to this LCP Protocol:
 - a. Comply with all applicable and current laws and regulations including but not limited to OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and/or this LCP Protocol, the stricter standard will apply.
 - b. Prepare a new or updated Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan to address COVID-19-related issues, post the Plan on-site at all entrances and exits, and produce a copy of the Plan to County governmental authorities upon request. The Plan must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the Plan.
 - c. Provide personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction, including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed. At no time may a contractor secure or use medical-grade PPE, unless required due to the medical nature of a job site. Face Coverings must be worn in compliance with Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued April 17, 2020 and revised May 28, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.
 - d. Ensure that employees are trained in the use of PPE. Maintain and make available a log of all PPE training provided to employees and monitor all employees to ensure proper use of the PPE.
 - e. Prohibit sharing of PPE.
 - f. Implement social distancing requirements including, at minimum:

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Appendix B-2

- i. Stagger stop- and start-times for shift schedules to reduce the quantity of workers at the jobsite at any one time to the extent feasible.
- ii. Stagger trade-specific work to minimize the quantity of workers at the jobsite at any one time.
- iii. Require social distancing by maintaining a minimum six-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project.
- iv. Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite, except for safety meetings or as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project.
- v. Strictly control “choke points” and “high-risk areas” where workers are unable to maintain minimum six-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit use to ensure that minimum six-foot distancing can easily be maintained between workers.
- vi. Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional and other project consultants, government agency representatives, including building and fire inspectors, and residents at residential construction sites.
- vii. Prohibit workers from using others’ phones or desks. Any work tools or equipment that must be used by more than one worker must be cleaned with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 before use by a new worker.
- viii. Place wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite as warranted.
- ix. Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, address, phone number, and email.
- x. Post a notice in an area visible to all workers and visitors instructing workers and visitors to do the following:
 1. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves.
 2. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.
 3. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces such as workstations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines, shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs.
 4. Cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing or cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve.
 5. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home.
 6. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six-foot distancing at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person.
 7. Do not share phones or PPE.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-2

- xi. The notice in section 2.f.x must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the notice.

- g. Implement cleaning and sanitization practices in accordance with the following:
 - i. Frequently clean and sanitize, in accordance with CDC guidelines, all high-traffic and high-touch areas including, at a minimum: meeting areas, jobsite lunch and break areas, entrances and exits to the jobsite, jobsite trailers, hand-washing areas, tools, equipment, jobsite restroom areas, stairs, elevators, and lifts.
 - ii. Establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite and post the protocol at entrances and exits of jobsite.
 - iii. Supply all personnel performing cleaning and sanitization with proper PPE to prevent them from contracting COVID-19. Employees must not share PPE.
 - iv. Establish adequate time in the workday to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination including prior to starting at or leaving the jobsite for the day.

- h. Implement a COVID-19 community spread reduction plan as part of the Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan that includes, at minimum, the following restrictions and requirements:
 - i. Prohibit all carpooling to and from the jobsite except by workers living within the same household unit, or as necessary for workers who have no alternative means of transportation.
 - ii. Cal-OSHA requires employers to provide water, which should be provided in single-serve containers. Prohibit any sharing of any food or beverage and if sharing is observed, the worker must be sent home for the day.
 - iii. Prohibit use of microwaves, water coolers, and other similar shared equipment.

- i. Assign a COVID-19 Safety Compliance Officer (SCO) to the jobsite and ensure the SCO's name is posted on the Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan. The SCO must:
 - i. Ensure implementation of all recommended safety and sanitation requirements regarding the COVID-19 virus at the jobsite.
 - ii. Compile daily written verification that each jobsite is compliant with the components of this LCP Protocol. Each written verification form must be copied, stored, and made immediately available upon request by any County official.
 - iii. Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff, to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the construction site. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exit to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/index.html>.
 - iv. Conduct daily briefings in person or by teleconference that must cover the following topics:
 - 1. New jobsite rules and pre-job site travel restrictions for the prevention of COVID-19 community spread.
 - 2. Review of sanitation and hygiene procedures.
 - 3. Solicitation of worker feedback on improving safety and sanitation.
 - 4. Coordination of construction site daily cleaning/sanitation requirements.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-2

5. Conveying updated information regarding COVID-19.
 6. Emergency protocols in the event of an exposure or suspected exposure to COVID-19.
 - v. Develop and ensure implementation of a remediation plan to address any non-compliance with this LCP Protocol and post remediation plan at entrance and exit of jobsite during remediation period. The remediation plan must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the document.
 - vi. The SCO must not permit any construction activity to continue without bringing such activity into compliance with these requirements.
 - vii. Report repeated non-compliance with this LCP Protocol to the appropriate jobsite supervisors and a designated County official.
- j. Assign a COVID-19 Third-Party Jobsite Safety Accountability Supervisor (JSAS) for the jobsite, who at a minimum holds an OSHA-30 certificate and first-aid training within the past two years, who must be trained in the protocols herein and verify compliance, including by visual inspection and random interviews with workers, with this LCP Protocol.
- i. Within seven calendar days of each jobsite visit, the JSAS must complete a written assessment identifying any failure to comply with this LCP Protocol. The written assessment must be copied, stored, and, upon request by the County, sent to a designated County official.
 - ii. If the JSAS discovers that a jobsite is not in compliance with this LCP Protocol, the JSAS must work with the SCO to develop and implement a remediation plan.
 - iii. The JSAS must coordinate with the SCO to prohibit continuation of any work activity not in compliance with rules stated herein until addressed and the continuing work is compliant.
 - iv. The remediation plan must be sent to a designated County official within five calendar days of the JSAS's discovery of the failure to comply.
- k. In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, the following must take place:
- i. Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care.
 - ii. Decontaminate and sanitize all surfaces at each location at which the infected worker was present. Provide those performing the decontamination and sanitization work with medical-grade PPE, ensure the workers are trained in proper use of the PPE, require the workers to use the provided PPE, and prohibit any sharing of the PPE. Prohibit anyone from entering the possibly contaminated area, except those performing decontamination and sanitization work. Cease all work in these locations until decontamination and sanitization is complete.
 - iii. Notify the County Public Health Department Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) immediately at 415-554-2830 and provide the information below. Follow all directives and complete any additional requirements by

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-2

County health officials, including full compliance with any tracing efforts by the County.

- Information to be reported to CD Control regarding the jobsite:
 - 1) Address of jobsite;
 - 2) Name of project, if any;
 - 3) Name of General Contractor; and
 - 4) General Contractor point of contact, role, phone number and email.

- Information to be reported to CD Control regarding the COVID-19 case(s):
 - 5) First and last name;
 - 6) Date of birth;
 - 7) Phone;
 - 8) Date tested positive;
 - 9) Date last worked;
 - 10) City of residence; and
 - 11) If the case is an employee of a subcontractor, please provide the following information:
 - Subcontractor;
 - Subcontractor contact name;
 - Subcontractor contact phone; and
 - Subcontractor contact email.

- Information to be reported to CD Control regarding Close Contacts. For each reported case(s) above, please provide the following information (if you are reporting more than one positive case, please include the name of the positive case for each close contact):
 - 1) Close contact's first and last name;
 - 2) Phone;
 - 3) City of residence; and
 - 4) Positive case name.

A "Close Contact" in the workplace is anyone who:

- Stayed within 6 feet of the Person with COVID-19 for 10 minutes or more while they were not wearing a face mask; OR
- Had direct contact for any amount of time with the body fluids and/or secretions of the Person With COVID-19 (e.g., was coughed or sneezed on, shared utensils with, or was provided care or provided care for them without wearing a mask, gown, and gloves).

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-2

Close contacts are high risk exposures and need to quarantine for a full 14 days due to the 14 day incubation period of the virus. Even if a close contact tests negative within 14 days of their last exposure to the case, they must continue quarantining the full 14 day period to prevent transmission of the virus.

If you are unable to obtain the above case or close contact information from your subcontractor, please ensure your subcontractor is aware that they will need to report directly to SFDPH CD Control.

- l.* Where construction work occurs within an occupied residential unit, any separate work area must be sealed off from the remainder of the unit with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative entry/exit door to the entry/exit door used by residents. Available windows and exhaust fans must be used to ventilate the work area. If residents have access to the work area between workdays, the work area must be cleaned and sanitized at the beginning and at the end of workdays. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between workers and residents, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.

- m.* Where construction work occurs within common areas of an occupied residential or commercial building or a mixed-use building in use by on-site employees or residents, any separate work area must be sealed off from the rest of the common areas with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative building entry/exit door to the building entry/exit door used by residents or other users of the building. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between worker and building residents and users, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

A. General Requirements

The “Additional Businesses” listed below may begin operating, subject to the requirements set forth in the Order and to any additional requirements set forth below or in separate industry-specific guidance by the Health Officer. These businesses were selected based on current health-related information, the risk criteria set forth in Section 3 of the Order, and the overall impact that allowing these businesses to resume operation will have on mobility and volume of activity in the County.

To mitigate the risk of transmission to the greatest extent possible, before resuming operations, each Additional Business must:

- Comply with Social Distancing Requirements (Section 8.o of the Order) and prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a Social Distancing Protocol checklist as specified in Section 5.d and Appendix A of the Order for each of their facilities in the County where Personnel or members of the public will be onsite;
- Prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a written health and safety plan checklist that addresses all applicable best practices set forth in relevant Health Officer directives; and
- Comply with any relevant state guidance and local directives. If a conflict exists between state guidance and local public health directives related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive provision shall be followed, as further provided in Section 10 of the Order.

The health-related basis for selection of Additional Businesses and the specific requirements for risk mitigation are summarized below. The bases for the additions were amended on July 13, 2020, to reflect an updated and refined analysis under the risk criteria set forth in Section 3 of the amended Order.

B. List of Additional Businesses

For purposes of the Order, Additional Businesses include the following subject to the stated limitations and conditions:

(1) Retail Stores for Goods.....	2
(2) Manufacturing, Warehousing and Logistical Support.....	5
(3) Childcare Programs and Summer Camps for All Children	6
(4) Curbside Pickup and Drop-Off for Low Contact Retail Services.....	7
(5) Outdoor Activity Equipment Rental Businesses.....	8
(6) Professional Sports Teams: Practices, Games, and Tournaments without In-Person Spectators with an Approved Plan	10
(7) Entertainment Venues: Live Streaming or Broadcasting Events without In-Person Audiences with an Approved Plan.....	11

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

(8) Outdoor Dining	12
(9) Outdoor Fitness Classes.....	13
(10) Indoor Household Services	15
(11) Offices for Non-Essential Businesses: Individuals Necessary for Operations Where Telecommuting is not Feasible.....	16
(12) Outdoor Zoos with an Approved Plan	17
(13) Charter Boat Operators	18

(1) Retail Stores for Goods

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel and customers can wear Face Coverings at all times and maintain at least six feet of physical distance except for brief interactions (e.g., while paying for goods). No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. While shopping customers interact only with a small number of individuals from other Households. Although Personnel are interacting with a moderate number of people, the duration of those interactions are low and safety limitations can ensure adequate physical distancing and adherence with other Social Distancing Requirements (Section 8.o of the Order) and other worker protection measures and decrease the risk of virus transmission. Consistent with Section 5.c of the Order and to the extent possible, retail stores are urged to conduct curbside/outdoor pickup to further decrease the risk.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate.
 1. Curbside/Outdoor Pickup: Retail stores may operate for curbside/outside pickup of goods, subject to the following limitations:
 - i. The store must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements;
 - ii. The store must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan;
 - iii. If a store chooses to display merchandise for sale on tables or otherwise outside the store, it must comply with the following specific requirements:
 - The store must obtain any necessary permits from the City;
 - Customers must either use hand sanitizer before touching items or ask the vendor to hand items to them;
 - Only the number of customers who can maintain at least six feet of physical distancing may approach the table at a time;
 - Chalk demarcations must be placed on the ground to indicate where shoppers should stand behind others, while waiting to purchase items; and

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

- The store must take measures to help ensure against congestion and blocking passage by pedestrians, including people with disabilities.

Stores may apply for a free temporary permit to use the sidewalk or parking lane for retail operations at <https://sf.gov/use-sidewalk-or-parking-lane-your-business>.

- iv. The store must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion; and
- v. Retail stores that are in an enclosed Indoor Shopping Center (defined as a large building or group of buildings where customer access to stores is possible only through indoor passage ways or indoor common areas, such as Stonestown Galleria, Westfield San Francisco Centre and the Ferry Building Marketplace) and that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area, may only reopen for curbside/outdoor pickup at this time if the Indoor Shopping Center operator submits to the Health Officer a proposed plan for reopening and that plan is approved as provided below. The proposed plan must include:
 - a. the number of stores and businesses that would be resuming operation;
 - b. the number of Personnel associated with each store or business;
 - c. the number of customers expected daily; and
 - d. the specific social distancing and sanitation measures the shopping center would employ to prevent congestion at the doorways and streets, and protect customers and Personnel.

Plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatt.org. Subject to the advance written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, retailers in the Indoor Shopping Center may then operate for curbside pickup consistent with the approved plan.

2. **In-Store Retail:** Beginning at 6:00 a.m. on June 15, 2020, retail stores may begin to operate for indoor shopping, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. The store must reduce maximum occupancy to limit the number of people (including both customers and Personnel) to the lesser of: (1) 50% the store's normal maximum occupancy or (2) the number of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the store at all times;
 - ii. Before opening for in-store shopping, the store must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-17, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses offering in-store shopping or services—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan;

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

- iii. If a store chooses to display merchandise for sale on tables or otherwise outside the store, it must comply with the following specific requirements:
- The store must obtain any necessary permits from the City;
 - Customers must either use hand sanitizer before touching items or ask the vendor to hand items to them;
 - Only the number of customers who can maintain at least six feet physical distancing may approach the table at a time;
 - Chalk demarcations must be placed on the ground to indicate where shoppers should stand behind others, while waiting to purchase items; and
 - The store must take measures to help ensure against congestion and blocking passage by pedestrians, including people with disabilities.

Stores may apply for a free temporary permit to use the sidewalk or parking lane for retail operations at <https://sf.gov/use-sidewalk-or-parking-lane-your-business>.

- iv. Retail stores that are in an enclosed Indoor Shopping Center (as defined in subsection (1)b.1.v. above) and that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area, may only reopen for in-store retail at this time if the Indoor Shopping Center submits to the Health Officer a proposed plan for reopening and that plan is approved as provided below. The proposed plan must include:
- a. the number of stores and businesses that would be resuming operation;
 - b. the number of Personnel associated with each store or business;
 - c. the number of customers expected daily;
 - d. how the shopping center will regulate the number of people in the common areas of the shopping center;
 - e. how the shopping center will address HVAC/circulated air, use of elevators, use and cleaning of bathrooms;
 - f. any special considerations for indoor parking garages and access points; and
 - g. whether the shopping center will permit curbside pickup.

Plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org. Subject to the written advance approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, the shopping center may then operate for in-store retail consistent with the approved plan.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

For clarity, operation of retail stores under category (1) and (2), above, applies only to the sale of goods and not to the provision of services or the rental of equipment, which are covered separately in Sections (4) and (5), below.

(Added May 17, 2020; Revised June 1, 2020, June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(2) Manufacturing, Warehousing and Logistical Support

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel can wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Personnel will interact only with a consistent and moderately sized group of people (i.e., the business’s other Personnel) as members of the public do not generally frequent these businesses. Finally, risks of virus transmission associated with this activity can be mitigated through Social Distancing Requirements (Order Section 8.o) and sanitation, and other worker safety protocols.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate.
 1. Manufacturing: Manufacturing businesses—including non-essential manufacturing businesses —may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. The business must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements; and
 - ii. The business must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-11, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for manufacturing businesses—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan.
 2. Warehousing and Logistical Support: Businesses that provide warehousing and logistical support—including non-essential businesses —may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. The business must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements; and
 - ii. The business must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-12, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for warehouse and logistical support businesses—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan.

(Added May 17, 2020; Revised June 1, 2020, June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

[July 13, 2020]

(3) Childcare Programs and Summer Camps for All Children

- a. Basis for Addition. Childcare is critical to early education and developmental equity, family social and economic wellbeing, and economic recovery from the pandemic. More specifically, childcare is an important element for a child’s social and emotional development, as well as for a child’s physical health and wellness. Also, childcare is often necessary to allow parents or guardians to work, making the availability of childcare important for individual families as well as the local economy. Although attendance at a childcare program involves a high number of close contacts that may be of lengthy duration. The risks of virus transmission can be reduced by mitigation measures, as generally described below. But children’s inability to consistently follow social distancing and sanitation recommendations means that even with the mitigation measures the risk of transmission is higher than in interactions exclusively among adults. And while based on available evidence, children do not appear to be at higher risk for COVID-19 than adults, medical knowledge about the possible health effects of COVID-19 on children is evolving. Accordingly, the decision about whether to enroll a child in a childcare program is an individualized inquiry that should be made by parents/guardians with an understanding of the risks that such enrollment entails. Parents/guardians may discuss these risks and their concerns with their pediatrician. The Health Officer will continue to monitor the changing situation and may amend this section as necessary to protect the public health.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate.
 1. Childcare Programs: Educational or recreational institutions or programs that provide care or supervision for children of any age (with the exception of summer camps, which are addressed separately in (b)(2) below) (“Childcare Programs”) may open for all children, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. Childcare Programs must limit group size to 12 children per room or space;
 - ii. Childcare Program sessions must be at least three weeks long, and childcare programs without set sessions may not enroll children for fewer than three weeks;
 - iii. Childcare Programs must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and comply with all of the requirements set forth in relevant industry-specific Health Officer directives (*see* Health Officer Directive No. 2020-14b), including the requirements to have the parent(s) or guardian(s) of any child attending the program sign an acknowledgement of health risks, and to prepare and implement a written health and safety plan to mitigate the risk of virus transmission to the greatest extent feasible.
 2. Summer Camps: Summer camps and summer learning programs that operate exclusively outside of the academic school year (“Summer Camps”) may operate for all children over the age of six and school-aged children currently in grades transitional kindergarten (TK) and above who are under age six, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

- i. Summer Camps must limit group size to 12 children (a “pod”) per room or space;
- ii. Summer Camp sessions must last at least three weeks;
- iii. Children must remain in the same pod for at least three weeks, and preferably for the entire time throughout the summer.
- iv. Summer Camps may not begin to operate until they have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and complied with all of the requirements set forth in relevant industry-specific Health Officer directives (*see* Health Officer Directive No. 2020-13b) including the requirements to complete an online form with general information about the program and required certifications, to have the parent(s) or guardian(s) of any child attending the program sign an acknowledgement of health risks, and to prepare and implement a written health and safety plan to mitigate the risk of virus transmission to the greatest extent feasible.

(Added May 22, 2020; Revised June 1, 2020, July 13, 2020; Non-substantive revisions June 11, 2020)

(4) Curbside Pickup and Drop-Off for Low Contact Retail Services

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel and customers can wear Face Coverings at all times and maintain at least six feet of physical distance except for brief interactions (e.g., in some instances where remote payment is not feasible, while paying for services). No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Customers interact only with a small number of individuals from other Households, and although Personnel are interacting with a moderate number of people, the duration of those interactions are low and safety limitations can ensure adequate social distancing and decrease the risk of virus transmission. The majority of interactions can occur outdoors, which further decreases risk—and consistent with Section 5.c of the Order, businesses are strongly urged to conduct interactions outdoors to the largest extent possible.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Services that do not generally require close customer contact (e.g., dog grooming and shoe or electronics repair) may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. To the extent feasible, all interactions and transactions between Personnel and customers should occur outdoors;
 - ii. The store must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements (Section 8.o of the Order);
 - iii. The businesses must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup and drop-off;

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

- iv. The stores must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion; and
- v. Stores in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time unless they are located in an approved Indoor Shopping Center as described in 1.b above.

For clarity, this provision does not apply to personal service businesses, such as hair salons, barbershops, nail salons, or piercing or tattoo parlors. As discussed in Section 1.b above regarding retail stores and Indoor Shopping Centers, stores within enclosed shopping centers may operate only upon advance written approval by the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee of a plan submitted by the Indoor Shopping Center operator. Plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org.

(Added June 1, 2020; Revised June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(5) Outdoor Activity Equipment Rental Businesses

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel and customers can wear Face Coverings at all times and maintain at least six feet of physical distance except for brief interactions (e.g., while paying for services). No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Customers interact only with a small number of individuals from other Households, and although Personnel are interacting with a moderate number of people, the duration of those interactions are low and safety limitations can ensure adequate social distancing and decrease the risk of virus transmission. The majority of interactions can occur outdoors, which further decreases risk—and businesses are strongly urged to conduct interactions outdoors to the largest extent possible. Also the risk of multiple individuals using shared equipment can be mitigated through sanitation measures. Finally, resumption of these businesses is expected to result in only a small increase in the number of people reentering the workforce and the overall volume of commercial activity.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Businesses that rent equipment for permissible outdoor recreational activities (e.g., bicycles, kayaks, paddleboards, boats, horseback riding or fishing equipment) may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. To the extent feasible, all interactions and transactions between Personnel and customers should occur outdoors;
 - ii. The store must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements (Section 8.o of the Order);
 - iii. The business must have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

Officer Directive No. 2020-10b, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup and drop-off;

- iv. The business must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion;
- v. Businesses in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time unless they are in an approved Shopping Center as described in 1.b above; and
- vi. All equipment must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected between each use with procedures effective against the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in accordance with the following guidelines, which may be modified by the Health Officer as new information becomes available:
 - For hard non-porous surfaces, clean with detergent or soap and water if the surfaces are visibly dirty, before applying disinfectant. For these purposes, appropriate disinfectants include:
 - Products listed on the Environmental Protection Agency’s list of Disinfectants for Use Against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19), which can be found online at <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2-covid-19>. Follow the manufacturer’s instructions for concentration, application method, and contact time for all cleaning and disinfection products.
 - Diluted household bleach solutions prepared according to the manufacturer’s label for disinfection, if appropriate for the surface. Follow manufacturer’s instructions for application and proper ventilation. Check to ensure the product is not past its expiration date. Never mix household bleach with ammonia or any other cleanser.
 - Alcohol solutions with at least 70% alcohol.
 - For soft or porous surfaces, remove any visible contamination, if present, and clean with appropriate cleaners indicated for use on these surfaces. After cleaning, use products that are EPA-approved as effective against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) (see link above) and that are suitable for porous surfaces.
 - For frequently touched electronic surfaces, remove visible dirt, then disinfect following the manufacturer’s instructions for all cleaning and disinfection products. If no manufacturer guidance is available, then consider the using alcohol-based wipes or sprays containing at least 70% alcohol to disinfect.
 - Gloves and any other disposable PPE used for cleaning and disinfecting the vehicle must be removed and disposed of after cleaning; wash hands immediately after removing gloves and PPE with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, or use an alcohol-based hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol if

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

soap and water are not available. If a disposable gown was not worn, work uniforms/clothes worn during cleaning and disinfecting should be laundered afterwards using the warmest appropriate water setting and dry items completely. Wash hands after handling laundry.

As discussed in Section 1.b above regarding retail stores and Indoor Shopping Centers, stores within Indoor Shopping Centers may operate only upon the advance written approval by the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee of a plan submitted by the Indoor Shopping Center operator. Proposed plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org.

(Added June 1, 2020; Revised June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(6) Professional Sports Teams: Practices, Games, and Tournaments without In-Person Spectators with an Approved Plan

- a. Basis for Addition. Although contact sports may present a significant risk of virus transmission, those risks can be mitigated by stringent social distancing, sanitation, and testing measures. Resuming such events—without a live audience and subject to strict health controls and mitigation measures—represents a first step toward the resumption of professional sports exhibitions that can be broadcast for the entertainment of the public and viewed by the public remotely in a safe manner.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Professional sports teams that wish to resume practices, games, or tournaments and broadcasting of those events in San Francisco, without in-person spectators, may submit to the Health Officer a proposed plan detailing the sanitation, social distancing, health screening, and other procedures that will be implemented to minimize the risk of transmission among players, staff, media, broadcast crew, and any others who will be in the facility. The plan must include a proposal for interval testing (without using City resources) of all players and coaching staff who will be present in the facility. Plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org. Subject to the advance written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee, the team may then resume activities consistent with the approved plan, including any conditions to approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee. Teams, games, exhibitions, and tournaments must also comply with any applicable Health Officer directives to the extent they are consistent with the approved plan; in the event of an inconsistency, the approved plan controls. Finally, crew, athletes, coaching staff and other workers should also abide by protocols agreed to by labor and management, to the extent they are at least as protective of health as the approved plan.

(Added June 1, 2020; Revised June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions June 26, 2020)

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

(7) Entertainment Venues: Live Streaming or Broadcasting Events without In-Person Audiences with an Approved Plan

- a. Basis for Addition. Although some types of live entertainment and cultural events, such as music, dance and comedy performances, may present a risk of virus transmission, those risks can be mitigated by stringent social distancing, sanitation, and testing measures. Resuming such events—without a live audience and subject to strict health controls and mitigation measures—represents a first step toward the resumption of these entertainment and cultural activities that can be broadcast and watched by the public remotely in a safe manner.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate.
 1. Operators of entertainment venues may film, stream, or otherwise broadcast small scale events so long as:
 - i. the venue remains closed to the public;
 - ii. the live stream is limited to the fewest number of Personnel needed (up to a maximum of 12 people in the facility, including, without limitation, media Personnel needed for the broadcast);
 - iii. doors and windows are left open to the extent possible, or mechanical ventilation systems are run, to increase ventilation;
 - iv. the venue complies with the Social Distancing Requirements set forth in Section 8.o of this Order; and
 - v. Because singing and playing wind or brass instruments can transmit particles farther in the air than breathing or speaking quietly, people must be in an isolation booth or in a separate room from others in the facility while singing or playing wind or brass instruments.
 2. Operators of entertainment venues that wish to film, stream, or otherwise broadcast events that require more than 12 people to be on site at the facility at any one time may submit to the Health Officer a proposed plan detailing the sanitation, social distancing, health screening, and other procedures that will be implemented to minimize the risk of transmission among participants. If the event involves singing, playing wind or brass instruments, or physical contact, the plan must include a proposal for interval testing (without using City resources) of those individuals. Proposed plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org. Subject to the advance written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee, the venue may then begin operating consistent with the approved plan, including any conditions to approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee. Cast, crew, and other workers should also abide by protocols agreed to by labor and management, to the extent they are at least as protective of health as the approved plan.

(Added June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions June 26, 2020)

[July 13, 2020]

(8) Outdoor Dining

- a. Basis for Addition. Outdoor dining with small groups of people potentially involves mixing of Households and a moderate number of contacts. Accordingly, and because Face Coverings must be removed to eat and drink, the risk of virus transmission is slightly higher than in other allowable interactions. But outdoor interactions carry a significantly lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions, and mitigation measures in outdoor dining establishments can significantly decrease the transmission risk.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Restaurants and bars that serve food (“outdoor dining establishments”) may operate for outdoor dining only subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. Patrons must remain outside the outdoor dining establishment and may enter the establishment only (1) to access a bathroom, (2) to access an outdoor space that is only accessible by traveling through the restaurant, or (3) to order or pickup food at an indoor counter;
 - ii. All patrons must be seated at a table to eat or drink—standing between tables or in other areas of the outdoor space is not permitted;
 - iii. Patrons may not be served food or beverages while waiting to be seated;
 - iv. Patrons must wear Face Coverings any time they are not eating or drinking, including but not limited to: while they are waiting to be seated; while reviewing the menu and ordering; while socializing at a table waiting for their food and drinks to be served or after courses or the meal is complete; and any time they leave the table, such as to use a restroom. Customers must also wear Face Coverings any time servers, bussers, or other Personnel approach their table;
 - v. Tables used to seat patrons outdoors must be spaced to ensure that patrons are at least six feet apart from other patrons seated at different service tables or separated by an impermeable physical barrier between;
 - vi. No more than six patrons may be seated at a single table, unless all are members of the same household—it is strongly encouraged that only individuals in the same household sit together at a single table;
 - vii. An outdoor dining establishment shall not be permitted to provide alcoholic beverage service without also providing real meal service in a bona fide manner. Bona fide meals must be prepared and served by the outdoor dining establishment or another person or business operating under an agreement with the outdoor dining establishment. The service of prepackaged food like sandwiches or salads, or simply heating frozen or prepared meals, shall not be deemed as compliant with this requirement;
 - viii. No patrons are allowed to eat or drink indoors in the dining establishment; and
 - ix. The business must have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

Officer Directive No. 2020-16b, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for outdoor dining.

Outdoor dining establishments may apply for a free temporary permit to use the sidewalk or parking lane for business operations at <https://sf.gov/use-sidewalk-or-parking-lane-your-business>.

(Added June 11, 2020; Revised July 13, 2020)

(9) Outdoor Fitness Classes

- a. Basis for Addition. Outdoor fitness classes involve mixing of Households and a moderate number of contacts. Also, the contacts are often of relatively long duration. Accordingly, and because exercise causes people to more forcefully expel airborne particles, the risk of virus transmission is higher than in other allowable interactions. But participants can—and must—wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance at all times and not share equipment. Further, outdoor interactions carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions, and health protocols in outdoor fitness classes can significantly decrease the transmission risk.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Outdoor fitness classes (e.g., outdoor boot camp, non-contact dance classes, tai chi, pilates, and yoga classes) may operate subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. No more than 12 people, including the instructor(s), may participate in an outdoor fitness class;
 - ii. The business/instructor should ask participants to voluntarily provide their name and phone number for potential contact tracing purposes—the business/instructor should keep this information on file for at least three weeks;
 - iii. The business/instructor must ask each participant whether they have had any of the following symptoms within the prior 24 hours that are new and not explained by another reason:
 - Fever or chills
 - Cough
 - Sore throat
 - Shortness of breath or trouble breathing
 - Feeling unusually weak or fatigued
 - New loss of taste or smell
 - Muscle pain
 - Headache
 - Runny or congested nose
 - diarrhea

Any participants who report having any of these symptoms should not be permitted to come to or participate in the fitness class.

In addition, the business/instructor must ask each participant (1) if within the last 10 days they have been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

have the SARS-CoV-2 virus; and (2) if they live with or have had close contact with someone who in the past 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus in that same period. Any participants who answer yes to either of these questions should not be permitted to come to or participate in the fitness class.

- iv. All participants must maintain a physical distance of at least six feet from each other, from the instructor(s), and from members of the public at all times;
- v. The business/instructor must have permission of the property owner to use the space;
- vi. All participants and instructors must wear a face covering at all times, unless they are specifically exempted from the face covering requirements in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020, as that order may be amended from time to time; and
- vii. Equipment (e.g., medicine balls, resistance bands, mats, weights, or yoga blocks) may not be shared by members of the class and must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected between each use with procedures effective against the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in accordance with the following guidelines, which may be modified by the Health Officer as new information becomes available:
 - For hard non-porous surfaces, clean with detergent or soap and water if the surfaces are visibly dirty, before applying disinfectant. For these purposes, appropriate disinfectants include:
 - Products listed on the Environmental Protection Agency’s list of Disinfectants for Use Against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19), which can be found online at <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2-covid-19>. Follow the manufacturer’s instructions for concentration, application method, and contact time for all cleaning and disinfection products.
 - Diluted household bleach solutions prepared according to the manufacturer’s label for disinfection, if appropriate for the surface. Follow manufacturer’s instructions for application and proper ventilation. Check to ensure the product is not past its expiration date. Never mix household bleach with ammonia or any other cleanser.
 - Alcohol solutions with at least 70% alcohol.
 - For soft or porous surfaces, remove any visible contamination, if present, and clean with appropriate cleaners indicated for use on these surfaces. After cleaning, use products that are EPA-approved as effective against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) (see link above) and that are suitable for porous surfaces.
 - Gloves and any other disposable PPE used for cleaning and disinfecting the equipment must be removed and disposed of after cleaning; wash hands immediately after removing gloves and PPE with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, or use an alcohol-based hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol if

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

soap and water are not available. If a disposable gown was not worn, work uniforms/clothes worn during cleaning and disinfecting should be laundered afterwards using the warmest appropriate water setting and dry items completely. Wash hands after handling laundry.

For clarity, this section does not allow contact sports (e.g., football) or fitness classes that involve physical contact (e.g., jiu jitsu or boxing with sparring) to resume. Also, this section does not cover childcare or summer camp programs for children or youth, which are governed by section 3 above and Heath Officer Directive Nos. 2020-13b and 2020-14b.

Additional guidance about outdoor fitness classes from the San Francisco Department of Public Health is available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.

(Added June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(10) Indoor Household Services

- a. Basis for Addition. Household service providers and residents can wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Although indoor household services may involve mixing of Households (if the resident is at home) and occurs indoors, the number of contacts is low. Finally, risks of virus transmission can be mitigated through adherence to other Social Distancing Requirements and to sanitation, and other safety protocols.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Providers of indoor household services that can be provided while maintaining social distancing (e.g., house cleaners and cooks) may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. Residents may not have any household service provider come into their home if they have experienced any of the following symptoms within the prior 24 hours that are new and not explained by another reason:
 - Fever or chills
 - Cough
 - Sore throat
 - Shortness of breath or trouble breathing
 - Feeling unusually weak or fatigued
 - New loss of taste or smell
 - Muscle pain
 - Headache
 - Runny or congested nose
 - diarrhea
 - ii. Household service providers may not enter a residence to provide services if they have experienced any of the above symptoms within the prior 24 hours that are new and not explained by another reason;

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

- iii. In addition, household service providers may not enter a residence to provide services if either the household service provider or anyone in the residence answers yes to either of the following questions: (1) within the last 10 days has the person been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus; and (2) does the person live with or have they had close contact with someone who in the past 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus in that same period.
- iv. When feasible, residents should leave the premises when household services providers are in their home—if leaving the premises is not feasible, residents should try to be in a different room than the household service provider to the greatest extent possible;
- v. When feasible, leave windows and doors open to increase ventilation or run mechanical ventilation systems;
- vi. High touch surfaces and any shared implements or tools should be cleaned at the beginning and end of any service visit;
- vii. Both residents and household service providers must wear a face covering at all times, unless they are specifically exempted from the face covering requirements in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020.

For clarity, this section does not allow personal service providers, such as hair dressers or personal trainers, to provide in-home services. Also, this section does not apply to in-home childcare, which is independently permissible under Section 8.a.xxi of the Order.

Additional guidance about indoor household services from the San Francisco Department of Public Health is available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.

(Added June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(11) Offices for Non-Essential Businesses: Individuals Necessary for Operations Where Telecommuting is not Feasible

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel can wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Personnel will interact only with a consistent and moderately sized group of people (i.e., the business's other Personnel). Finally, risks of virus transmission associated with this activity can be mitigated through adherence to other Social Distancing Requirements and to sanitation, and other safety protocols.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Office workplaces that are not otherwise permitted to operate under this Order may open for individuals necessary for operations who cannot work remotely, subject to the following conditions:
 - i. All workers who are able to telecommute must continue to do so, only individuals necessary for operations who cannot work remotely may come into the office;

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

- ii. Office Facilities must adjust their maximum occupancy rules based on the size of the facility to limit the number of people (including Personnel and members of the public), as follows:
 - Office Facilities with fewer than 20 Personnel must reduce their maximum occupancy to the number of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the facility at all times,
 - Office Facilities with 20 or more Personnel must reduce their maximum occupancy to the **lesser** of: (1) 20% the facility's normal maximum occupancy or (2) the number of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the facility at all times; and
- iii. The business must have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-18, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for businesses operating office facilities.

(Added June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(12) Outdoor Zoos with an Approved Plan

- a. Basis for Addition. Zoo Personnel and visitors can wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance from people in different households at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. And outdoor businesses—like the outdoor areas of the zoo—are safer than indoor businesses. Finally, the number, frequency and proximity of contacts can be minimized through capacity limitations and the risk of virus transmission can be reduced through other health protocols.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Zoos that wish to resume operations for visits by the public solely in their outdoor spaces may submit to the Health Officer a proposed plan detailing the sanitation, social distancing, health screening, and other procedures that will be implemented to minimize the risk of transmission among Personnel and visitors.

The plan must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org, and must include detailed descriptions of how the business intends to address the following safety precautions.

- Ensuring that the facility remains below the lesser of: (a) 50% of the maximum capacity for the outdoor space that is permitted to open; or (b) the capacity based on the ability of Personnel and patrons to comply with the Social Distancing Requirements;
- Signage regarding Social Distancing Requirements (to include at least six feet of distance, handwashing/sanitizer practices, face covering policy);
- Ensuring Personnel and patrons wear Face Coverings at all times, unless they are specifically exempted from the face covering requirements in Health Officer Order

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020, as that order may be amended from time to time;

- Ticketing booths and payment systems;
- Personnel safety precautions;
- HVAC systems (e.g., quality and level of filtration, percentage of air exchange with outside air can HVAC be run at 100% capacity to increase ventilation);
- Compliance with applicable Health Officer directives (e.g. regarding Food and beverage concessions, and retail gift shops);
- Social distancing in elevators;
- Monitoring and limiting patrons to ensure physical distancing between members of different Households;
- Paths of travel through the establishment and wayfinding signage;
- Sanitation for restrooms;
- Tours and audio self-tour equipment;
- Coat/personal property check services;
- Sanitation for high-touch surfaces and areas; and
- Closing interactive exhibits or modifying those exhibits to prevent common touching.

Beginning at 10 a.m. on July 13, 2020, and subject to the advance written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee, the zoo may resume operating its outdoor spaces for visits by the public at the lesser of: (a) 50% of the maximum capacity for the outdoor space that is permitted to open; or (b) the capacity based on the ability of Personnel and patrons to comply with the Social Distancing Requirements, consistent with the approved plan, including any conditions to approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee.

(Added July 13, 2020)

(13) Charter Boat Operators

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel and passengers can wear Face Coverings and maintain six feet of physical distance from people in different households at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. And charter boat tours occur outside, which is safer than indoor interactions. Finally, outdoor boating excursions of small, socially distanced groups involve only a moderate number of contacts, and health mitigation measures in small boating excursions can significantly decrease the transmission risk.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Individuals or businesses that offer bareboat or skippered charters (“Charter Boat Operators”) may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

- i. The total number of passengers must be limited to no more than 12—or fewer if necessary to ensure that at least six feet of spacing can be maintained;
- ii. All passengers must maintain a physical distance of at least six feet from each other, from the captain, and from Personnel, at all times;
- iii. Before boarding, passengers must wait on the dock at least six feet apart and must not board the vessel until the captain or crew allow boarding;
- iv. For fishing, rod holders must be spaced at least six feet apart from each other;
- v. Bathrooms (if any) must be sanitized after each use following EPA guidelines;
- vi. Passengers must stay in the open air portion of the boat except for brief periods, such as to use the bathroom;
- vii. Charter Boat Operators should ask passengers to voluntarily provide their name and phone number for potential contact tracing purposes—the business/captain should keep this information on file for at least three weeks;
- viii. Charter Boat Operators must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order);
- ix. Charter Boat Operators must ask each passenger whether they have had any of the following symptoms within the prior 24 hours that are new and not explained by another reason:
 - Fever or chills
 - Cough
 - Sore throat
 - Shortness of breath or trouble breathing
 - Feeling unusually weak or fatigued
 - New loss of taste or smell
 - Muscle pain
 - Headache
 - Runny or congested nose
 - diarrhea

Any passengers who report having any of these symptoms should not be permitted to board the boat, and may not be charged any cancellation fee.

Also, Charter Boat Operators must ask each passenger (1) if within the last 10 days they have been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus; and (2) if they live with or have had close contact with someone who in the past 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus in that same period. Any passengers who answer yes to either of these questions should not be permitted to board the boat, and may not be charged any cancellation fee.

- x. All passengers and Personnel must wear a face covering at all times while waiting to board, at all times while on board—except when eating or drinking, and at all times when disembarking from the vessel, unless they are specifically exempted from the face covering requirements in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020, as that order may be amended from time to time;

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 13, 2020]

- xi. Passengers from different households should not shake hands, share food or drinks, or engage in any unnecessary physical contact—the captain and crew must instruct passengers about these requirements;
- xii. Charter Boat Operators must make hand sanitizer available throughout the boat and at each rod station (if any);
- xiii. Equipment (e.g., fishing equipment) may not be shared by people outside of a single household, and the boat and all equipment belonging to the Charter Boat Operator or otherwise provided by the Charter Boat Operator must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected after each trip with procedures effective against the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in accordance with CDC guidelines (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/cleaning-disinfecting-decision-tool.html>).

For clarity, this section does not cover vessels used exclusively for transportation purposes or other Essential Travel (such as ferries and water taxis) and such vessels do not need to follow the conditions set forth in this section.

(Added July 13, 2020)

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[July 13, 2020]

A. General Requirements

The “Additional Activities” listed below may resume, subject to the requirements set forth in the Order and to any additional requirements set forth below or in separate guidance by the Health Officer. These activities were selected based on current health-related information, the risk criteria set forth in Section 3 of the Order, and the overall impact that allowing these activities to resume will have on mobility and volume of activity in the County.

The health-related basis for selection of Additional Activities and the specific requirements for risk mitigation are summarized below. The bases for the additions were amended on July 13, 2020, to reflect an updated and refined analysis under the risk criteria set forth in Section 3 of the amended Order.

B. List of Additional Activities

For purposes of the Order, Additional Activities include the following based on the summarized health risk related rationale:

(1) Outdoor Museums, Outdoor Historical Sites, and Public Gardens	1
(2) Outdoor Recreation: Golf and Tennis.....	3
(3) Outdoor Recreation: Dog Parks.....	3
(4) Small Outdoor Special Gatherings.....	4

(1) Outdoor Museums, Outdoor Historical Sites, and Outdoor Public Gardens

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel and visitors can wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance from people in different Households at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. And outdoor activities are safer than indoor activities. Finally, the number, frequency and proximity of contacts can be minimized through capacity limitations and the risk of virus transmission can be reduced through other health protocols.
- b. Description and Conditions. Outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and outdoor public gardens (for example, the Botanical Gardens and Japanese Tea Garden may reopen to the public—and individuals may leave their residence and travel to visit these locations—subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. Only outdoor spaces may be open to the public, except for restrooms as provided below.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[July 13, 2020]

2. Face Coverings must be worn by all staff and visitors, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
3. Physical distancing of at least six-feet must be maintained at all times other than between members of the same Household;
4. Other than picnic tables, which may be available for use with signs instructing patrons to clean them before and after use, common high-touch equipment and fixtures must be off-limits, with signage and with physical barriers as appropriate;
5. Public restrooms, if any, must
 - a. be routinely disinfected frequently throughout the day,
 - b. have open doors to prevent touching of door handles or knobs,
 - c. have soap and paper towels, and
 - d. have signs promoting handwashing;
6. The museum, outdoor historical site, or outdoor public garden must provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize any payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each customer use. Under San Francisco's Legal Tender Law, customers must be allowed to pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage customers to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment;
7. Signage must be posted at each public entrance to inform all personnel and customers that they must: avoid entering the facility or location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another while in the facility or location, wear a Face Covering at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact (sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>);
8. Any on-site retail stores (e.g., gift shops) may operate for curbside/outdoor pickup only, and must do so in compliance with Appendix C-1 of this Order and Health Officer Directive 2020-10b (available at <https://www.sfdph.org/directives>);
9. Before resuming operations, outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and outdoor public gardens must prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a Social Distancing Protocol checklist as required by Appendix A of the Order and a written health and safety plan that addresses all best practices listed in Section 1.b of this Appendix.

For clarity, this section does not apply to outdoor zoos, which are covered under Section 12 of Appendix C-1.

(Added May 17, 2020; revised June 1, 2020; Non-substantive revisions on July 13, 2020)

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[July 13, 2020]

(2) Outdoor Recreation: Golf and Tennis

- a. Basis for Addition. Non-contact outdoor sports like tennis and golf involve a low number of contacts and a high proximity of contact, as long as the groups engaged in play together are small, maintain required physical distance, and do not share equipment among different Households. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities. And the risk of transmission can be further mitigated by sanitation and hygiene practices. Finally, because outdoor recreation is already allowed under the Order, resumption of this activity is expected to result in only a relatively modest increase in mobility and may decrease congestion in other outdoor locations like public parks and beaches.
- b. Description and Conditions. Individuals may play tennis and golf outdoors, and outdoor tennis and golf facilities/clubs may open, subject to the following conditions:
 1. Face Coverings must be worn by all golf and tennis facility/club Personnel, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
 2. All golf and tennis players must wear a Face Covering while in facility/club parking lots, when entering and exiting facilities/clubs, and while waiting to play—Face Coverings may be removed during play if nobody from a different Household is within 30 feet of the player;
 3. For golf, groups must be limited to a maximum of four players per group, unless all players within the group are part of a single Household. Groups of players from different Households must comply with the State of California under its Stay-Safer-At Home Order;
 4. Tennis may only be played between members of the same Household or between a maximum two single individuals outside of the same Household; and
 5. Before resuming operations, each golf or tennis facility/club must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-15 regarding required best practices for tennis and golf.

(Added June 1, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(3) Outdoor Recreation: Dog Parks

- a. Basis for Addition. Although taking a dog to a dog park may involve mixing of Households, individuals can wear Face Coverings at all times and maintain at least six feet of physical distance from members of other Households except for short interactions. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Also, outdoor activities carry a lower risk of transmission than indoor interactions and activities, and risk of transmission can be reduced through health protocols.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[July 13, 2020]

- b. **Description and Conditions.** Individuals may take their dogs to dog parks (both enclosed and unenclosed), and all dog parks may open, subject to the following conditions:
1. Face Coverings must be worn by all people in the dog park, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
 2. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has advised that “[u]ntil we learn more about how this virus affects animals,” owners should “treat pets as you would other human family members to protect them from a possible infection.” Specifically, the CDC recommends that pet owners: “Do not let pets interact with people or other animals outside the household,” “Walk dogs on a leash, maintaining at least 6 feet (2 meters) from other people and animals,” and “Avoid dog parks or public places where a large number of people and dogs gather.” Accordingly, pet owners are urged to use on-leash dog parks or keep their dogs on a leash, particularly if the dog is not under voice control—pet owners who choose to let their dogs be off leash in an off-leash dog park should prevent their dog from interacting with other people or animals to the greatest extent feasible;
 3. People in the dog park should maintain at least six feet of physical distance from people or animals other than those in their same Household;
 4. People must bring their own water for themselves and their pets, and must not use common touch water facilities in the park;
 5. People must use their sleeve or a disposable cloth to touch high-touch surfaces like gates;
 6. People should bring their own bags for picking up and disposing of pet waste;
 7. Signage must be posted at each dog park to inform people that they must: avoid entering the location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another, wear a Face Covering at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact (sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>); and
 8. People must follow any other rules and regulations adopted by the operator of the dog park.

(Added June 1, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(4) Small Outdoor Special Gatherings

- a. **Basis for Addition.** As provided in Section 4.f of the Order, gatherings among different Households are strongly discouraged to help prevent the spread of COVID-19, and larger gatherings pose higher risks. Although small outdoor gatherings involve mixing of Households, individuals can wear Face Coverings at all times, except when eating and drinking, and maintain at least six feet of physical distance from others outside their

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[July 13, 2020]

Household at all times. Inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) can and are strongly urged to be minimized to the greatest extent possible. Also, outdoor activities carry a lower risk of transmission than indoor interactions and activities, and risk of transmission can be reduced through health protocols.

- b. Description and Conditions. As further provided in Section 4.f of the Order, all people are strongly encouraged to continue staying safe at home and minimizing unnecessary interactions with others to the maximum extent possible. But individuals may participate in small outdoor gatherings—including for ceremonies, religious services, and other special purposes—subject to the following conditions:
1. No more than six people may participate in a gathering that involves eating or drinking within six feet of each other, unless all are members of the same Household;
 2. No more than 12 people may participate in any other outdoor gathering under this section, unless all are members of the same Household.
 3. Unless eating or drinking in a group of six people or fewer, participants outside of the same Household must remain at least six feet apart from each other. Participants must otherwise follow all Social Distancing Requirements (Section 8.o of the Order), and wear Face Coverings unless eating, drinking, or exempted from wearing a Face Covering under Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (the Face Covering Order); and
 4. Participants and hosts of small outdoor gatherings must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-19 regarding required best practices for small outdoor gatherings and with the health guidelines for safer interactions set forth in the Tip Sheet for Safer Interactions During COVID-19 Pandemic, posted at: www.sfcdep.org/communicable-disease/diseases-a-z/covid19whatsnew.

For clarity, this section does not allow contact sports (e.g., football or boxing) or games with shared equipment (e.g. Frisbee, baseball, or playing catch) to resume among members of different Households. This section also does not apply to organized outdoor fitness classes, which are covered by Section 9 of Appendix C-1.

(Added June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2020
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 8:57:00 AM
Attachments: [CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2020.pdf](#)

From: Dion, Ichieh (TTX) <ichieh.dion@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 2:21 PM
To: Dion, Ichieh (TTX) <ichieh.dion@sfgov.org>
Subject: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2020

All-

Please find the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for the month of June attached for your use.

Regards,

Ichieh Dion
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 140
San Francisco, CA 94102
415-554-5433

Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector
City and County of San Francisco



José Cisneros, Treasurer

Tajel Shah, Chief Assistant Treasurer
Robert L. Shaw, CFA, Chief Investment Officer

Investment Report for the month of June 2020

July 15, 2020

The Honorable London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

Colleagues,

In accordance with the provisions of California State Government Code, Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's pooled fund portfolio as of June 30, 2020. These investments provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months and are in compliance with our statement of investment policy and California Code.

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for the month of June 2020 for the portfolios under the Treasurer's management. All pricing and valuation data is obtained from Interactive Data Corporation.

CCSF Pooled Fund Investment Earnings Statistics *

<i>(in \$ million)</i>	Current Month		Prior Month	
	Fiscal YTD	June 2020	Fiscal YTD	May 2020
Average Daily Balance	\$ 11,758	\$ 12,419	\$ 11,698	\$ 12,692
Net Earnings	225.33	11.60	213.73	14.14
Earned Income Yield	1.91%	1.14%	1.98%	1.31%

CCSF Pooled Fund Statistics *

<i>(in \$ million)</i>	% of Portfolio	Book Value	Market Value	Wtd. Avg. Coupon	Wtd. Avg. YTM	WAM
U.S. Treasuries	29.51%	\$ 3,589.6	\$ 3,613.9	0.92%	0.89%	212
Federal Agencies	29.99%	3,618.7	3,672.9	1.50%	2.76%	500
State & Local Government						
Agency Obligations	0.47%	56.4	57.5	2.35%	2.56%	288
Public Time Deposits	0.37%	45.0	45.0	0.21%	0.21%	128
Negotiable CDs	10.71%	1,308.8	1,311.9	1.00%	1.00%	122
Commercial Paper	4.82%	583.8	590.0	0.00%	1.78%	24
Medium Term Notes	0.04%	5.0	5.1	3.05%	3.08%	192
Money Market Funds	18.88%	2,312.5	2,312.5	0.12%	0.12%	1
Supranationals	5.20%	629.6	637.4	0.44%	1.97%	391
Totals	100.0%	\$ 12,149.5	\$ 12,246.0	0.95%	1.42%	249

In the remainder of this report, we provide additional information and analytics at the security-level and portfolio-level, as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission.

Respectfully,

José Cisneros
Treasurer

cc: Treasury Oversight Committee: Aimee Brown, Kevin Kone, Eric Sandler, Meghan Wallace
Ben Rosenfield - Controller, Office of the Controller
Tonia Lediju, Ph.D. - Chief Audit Executive, Office of the Controller
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
San Francisco Public Library
San Francisco Health Service System

Portfolio Summary

Pooled Fund

As of June 30, 2020

<i>(in \$ million)</i>							
Security Type	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value	Market/Book Price	Current % Allocation	Max. Policy Allocation	Compliant?
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 3,585.0	\$ 3,589.6	\$ 3,613.9	100.67	29.51%	100%	Yes
Federal Agencies	3,619.5	3,618.7	3,672.9	101.50	29.99%	100%	Yes
State & Local Government							
Agency Obligations	56.7	56.4	57.5	101.98	0.47%	20%	Yes
Public Time Deposits	45.0	45.0	45.0	100.00	0.37%	100%	Yes
Negotiable CDs	1,308.8	1,308.8	1,311.9	100.23	10.71%	30%	Yes
Bankers Acceptances	-	-	-	-	0.00%	40%	Yes
Commercial Paper	590.0	583.8	590.0	101.06	4.82%	25%	Yes
Medium Term Notes	5.0	5.0	5.1	101.48	0.04%	25%	Yes
Repurchase Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	10%	Yes
Reverse Repurchase/ Securities Lending Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$75mm	Yes
Money Market Funds - Government	2,312.5	2,312.5	2,312.5	100.00	18.88%	20%	Yes
LAIF	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$50mm	Yes
Supranationals	632.1	629.6	637.4	101.23	5.20%	30%	Yes
TOTAL	\$ 12,154.7	\$ 12,149.5	\$ 12,246.0	100.79	100.00%	-	Yes

The City and County of San Francisco uses the following methodology to determine compliance: Compliance is pre-trade and calculated on both a par and market value basis, using the result with the lowest percentage of the overall portfolio value. Cash balances are included in the City's compliance calculations.

Please note the information in this report does not include cash balances. Due to fluctuations in the market value of the securities held in the Pooled Fund and changes in the City's cash position, the allocation limits may be exceeded on a post-trade compliance basis. In these instances, no compliance violation has occurred, as the policy limits were not exceeded prior to trade execution. The full Investment Policy can be found at <https://sftreasurer.org/investments>

Totals may not add due to rounding.


City and County of San Francisco

Pooled Fund Portfolio Statistics

For the month ended June 30, 2020



Average Daily Balance	\$12,419,262,285
Net Earnings	\$11,597,994
Earned Income Yield	1.14%
Weighted Average Maturity	249 days

Investment Type	(\$ million)	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 3,585.0	\$ 3,589.6	\$ 3,613.9	
Federal Agencies	3,619.5	3,618.7	3,672.9	
State & Local Government				
Agency Obligations	56.7	56.4	57.5	
Public Time Deposits	45.0	45.0	45.0	
Negotiable CDs	1,308.8	1,308.8	1,311.9	
Commercial Paper	590.0	583.8	590.0	
Medium Term Notes	5.0	5.0	5.1	
Money Market Funds	2,312.5	2,312.5	2,312.5	
Supranationals	632.1	629.6	637.4	
Total	\$ 12,154.7	\$ 12,149.5	\$ 12,246.0	





Portfolio Analysis

Pooled Fund



Yield Curves



Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

As of June 30, 2020

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized		Market Value
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value	
U.S. Treasuries	912796UB3	TREASURY BILL	5/18/2020	7/2/2020	0.00	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 49,994,375	\$ 49,999,875	\$ 50,000,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796C1	TREASURY BILL	5/12/2020	7/7/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,992,222	49,999,167	49,999,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796D9	TREASURY BILL	5/21/2020	7/14/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,996,438	24,999,142	24,999,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796SZ3	TREASURY BILL	1/13/2020	7/16/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,610,601	49,968,427	49,997,500	
U.S. Treasuries	912796SZ3	TREASURY BILL	5/5/2020	7/16/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,991,500	49,998,229	49,997,500	
U.S. Treasuries	912796F4	TREASURY BILL	5/27/2020	7/21/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,991,139	49,996,778	49,997,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796G2	TREASURY BILL	5/4/2020	7/28/2020	0.00	75,000,000	74,984,533	74,995,087	74,992,500	
U.S. Treasuries	912796G2	TREASURY BILL	6/4/2020	7/28/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,995,500	24,997,750	24,997,500	
U.S. Treasuries	912796M9	TREASURY BILL	6/9/2020	8/4/2020	0.00	100,000,000	99,977,444	99,986,305	99,987,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796WZ8	TREASURY BILL	6/22/2020	8/6/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,991,625	49,993,300	49,994,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796WZ8	TREASURY BILL	6/29/2020	8/6/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,996,804	24,996,804	24,997,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796N7	TREASURY BILL	6/25/2020	8/11/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,996,090	24,996,589	24,996,250	
U.S. Treasuries	912796P2	TREASURY BILL	6/25/2020	8/18/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,995,463	24,995,967	24,995,500	
U.S. Treasuries	912796P2	TREASURY BILL	6/26/2020	8/18/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,995,363	24,995,800	24,995,500	
U.S. Treasuries	912796XF1	TREASURY BILL	6/11/2020	8/20/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,984,688	49,989,063	49,991,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796Q0	TREASURY BILL	6/24/2020	8/25/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,994,833	24,995,417	24,994,750	
U.S. Treasuries	912796Q0	TREASURY BILL	6/25/2020	8/25/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,994,599	24,995,130	24,994,750	
U.S. Treasuries	912796XG9	TREASURY BILL	5/29/2020	8/27/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,982,500	49,988,917	49,989,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796XG9	TREASURY BILL	5/29/2020	8/27/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,982,500	49,988,917	49,989,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796R8	TREASURY BILL	6/15/2020	9/1/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,983,750	49,987,083	49,988,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796X5	TREASURY BILL	6/12/2020	9/8/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,990,222	24,992,333	24,993,750	
U.S. Treasuries	912796X5	TREASURY BILL	6/12/2020	9/8/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,990,222	24,992,333	24,993,750	
U.S. Treasuries	912796TJ8	TREASURY BILL	6/15/2020	9/10/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,981,090	49,984,567	49,986,500	
U.S. Treasuries	912796TJ8	TREASURY BILL	6/15/2020	9/10/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,980,063	49,983,729	49,986,500	
U.S. Treasuries	912796Y3	TREASURY BILL	5/28/2020	9/15/2020	0.00	100,000,000	99,953,021	99,967,542	99,970,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796Y3	TREASURY BILL	6/2/2020	9/15/2020	0.00	40,000,000	39,982,208	39,987,122	39,988,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796G3	TREASURY BILL	6/18/2020	9/17/2020	0.00	100,000,000	99,955,764	99,962,083	99,969,000	
U.S. Treasuries	9128285B2	US TREASURY	10/1/2019	9/30/2020	2.75	60,000,000	60,553,125	60,137,902	60,382,800	
U.S. Treasuries	912796J7	TREASURY BILL	6/8/2020	10/1/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,986,655	24,989,324	24,990,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z2	US TREASURY	11/20/2019	10/15/2020	1.63	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,207,500	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964J5	TREASURY BILL	5/28/2020	10/20/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,969,540	49,976,682	49,977,000	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964J5	TREASURY BILL	6/8/2020	10/20/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,969,292	49,974,563	49,977,000	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964J5	TREASURY BILL	6/11/2020	10/20/2020	0.00	30,000,000	29,980,678	29,983,628	29,986,200	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964K2	TREASURY BILL	5/28/2020	10/27/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,965,694	49,973,368	49,977,000	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964Q9	TREASURY BILL	6/11/2020	11/3/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,963,750	49,968,750	49,969,600	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964Q9	TREASURY BILL	6/15/2020	11/3/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,966,066	49,969,917	49,969,600	
U.S. Treasuries	912796TP4	TREASURY BILL	6/9/2020	11/5/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,963,733	49,969,088	49,972,000	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964R7	TREASURY BILL	6/12/2020	11/10/2020	0.00	55,000,000	54,960,782	54,965,717	54,964,800	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964S5	TREASURY BILL	6/16/2020	11/17/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,961,500	49,965,250	49,966,200	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964S5	TREASURY BILL	6/16/2020	11/17/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,961,286	49,965,057	49,966,200	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964T3	TREASURY BILL	6/25/2020	11/24/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,983,375	24,984,031	24,984,750	
U.S. Treasuries	912796J6	TREASURY BILL	6/15/2020	12/10/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,978,739	24,980,650	24,982,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796J6	TREASURY BILL	6/15/2020	12/10/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,978,739	24,980,650	24,982,000	
U.S. Treasuries	912796J6	TREASURY BILL	6/15/2020	12/10/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,955,500	49,959,500	49,964,000	
U.S. Treasuries	9128283L2	US TREASURY	11/18/2019	12/15/2020	1.88	50,000,000	50,128,906	50,054,777	50,386,500	
U.S. Treasuries	9128283L2	US TREASURY	11/26/2019	12/15/2020	1.88	50,000,000	50,119,141	50,051,679	50,386,500	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963K3	TREASURY BILL	6/19/2020	12/17/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,959,149	49,961,858	49,964,500	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963L1	TREASURY BILL	6/29/2020	12/24/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,979,913	24,980,139	24,979,825	
U.S. Treasuries	912828N48	US TREASURY	11/22/2019	12/31/2020	1.75	50,000,000	50,058,594	50,026,476	50,386,500	

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	3/4/2019	1/15/2021		2.00	50,000,000	49,486,328	49,851,088	50,488,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	11/18/2019	1/15/2021		2.00	50,000,000	50,210,938	50,098,504	50,488,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	11/22/2019	1/15/2021		2.00	50,000,000	50,208,984	50,098,521	50,488,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	12/3/2019	1/15/2021		2.00	50,000,000	50,175,781	50,085,097	50,488,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	11/22/2019	3/15/2021		2.38	50,000,000	50,472,656	50,253,596	50,771,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	12/6/2019	3/15/2021		2.38	50,000,000	50,449,219	50,248,278	50,771,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828C57	US TREASURY	4/15/2019	3/31/2021		2.25	50,000,000	49,863,281	49,947,871	50,777,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	4/9/2019	4/15/2021		2.38	50,000,000	50,013,672	50,005,343	50,863,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	12/9/2019	4/15/2021		2.38	50,000,000	50,462,891	50,270,411	50,863,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	12/11/2019	4/15/2021		2.38	50,000,000	50,457,031	50,268,075	50,863,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	11/26/2019	6/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	50,732,422	50,450,821	51,168,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	11/27/2019	6/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	50,744,141	50,458,843	51,168,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	12/11/2019	6/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	50,697,266	50,440,844	51,168,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	12/18/2019	6/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	50,714,844	50,457,762	51,168,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828S27	US TREASURY	8/15/2017	6/30/2021		1.13	25,000,000	24,519,531	24,519,531	25,235,250
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	11/8/2019	6/30/2021		1.63	50,000,000	49,933,594	49,959,714	50,726,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	12/3/2019	6/30/2021		1.63	50,000,000	49,968,750	49,980,217	50,726,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	12/9/2019	6/30/2021		1.63	50,000,000	49,978,516	49,986,256	50,726,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y20	US TREASURY	12/12/2019	7/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	50,725,516	50,475,228	50,727,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828YC8	US TREASURY	12/9/2019	8/31/2021		1.50	50,000,000	49,865,234	49,909,017	50,763,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828T34	US TREASURY	12/11/2019	9/30/2021		1.13	50,000,000	49,498,047	49,652,670	50,594,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828T67	US TREASURY	11/10/2016	10/31/2021		1.25	50,000,000	49,574,219	49,885,817	50,717,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828U65	US TREASURY	12/13/2016	11/30/2021		1.75	100,000,000	99,312,500	99,803,951	102,231,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828U81	US TREASURY	11/22/2019	12/31/2021		2.00	50,000,000	50,402,344	50,286,343	51,363,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828XW5	US TREASURY	8/15/2017	6/30/2022		1.75	25,000,000	24,977,539	24,990,801	25,787,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828S35	US TREASURY	1/9/2020	6/30/2023		1.38	50,000,000	49,605,469	49,659,608	51,789,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828WE6	US TREASURY	12/17/2019	11/15/2023		2.75	50,000,000	51,960,938	51,690,605	54,285,000
Subtotals						0.92	\$ 3,585,000,000	\$ 3,589,623,360	\$ 3,588,644,145	\$ 3,613,850,975
Federal Agencies	3133EHQB2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	7/6/2017	7/6/2020		1.55	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 24,989,961	\$ 24,999,954	\$ 25,009,250
Federal Agencies	313384ZA0	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/11/2020	7/6/2020		0.00	24,300,000	24,296,220	24,299,663	24,299,514
Federal Agencies	313384ZD4	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/20/2020	7/9/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,996,701	24,999,472	24,999,250
Federal Agencies	313384ZD4	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/19/2020	7/9/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,996,635	24,999,472	24,999,250
Federal Agencies	313384ZH5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/21/2020	7/13/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,996,503	24,999,208	24,999,000
Federal Agencies	313384ZK8	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	1/31/2020	7/15/2020		0.00	50,000,000	49,640,333	49,969,667	49,997,500
Federal Agencies	313384ZQ5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/5/2020	7/20/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,994,722	24,998,681	24,998,500
Federal Agencies	313384ZQ5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	5/5/2020	7/20/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,994,722	24,998,681	24,998,500
Federal Agencies	3135G0T60	FANNIE MAE	8/1/2017	7/30/2020		1.50	50,000,000	49,848,500	49,995,984	50,055,500
Federal Agencies	313396D34	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	6/24/2020	8/24/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,994,705	24,995,313	24,994,500
Federal Agencies	3130ABZE9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/28/2017	8/28/2020		1.65	6,700,000	6,699,330	6,699,965	6,715,008
Federal Agencies	3130ADT93	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/14/2018	9/14/2020		2.40	25,000,000	24,984,458	24,998,726	25,113,750
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3N7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/21/2018	9/21/2020		2.77	25,000,000	24,990,750	24,998,815	25,159,500
Federal Agencies	313384H36	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	6/26/2020	9/25/2020		0.00	22,500,000	22,491,753	22,492,206	22,492,575
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/8/2017	9/28/2020		1.38	30,000,000	29,903,700	29,992,320	30,087,900
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/8/2017	9/28/2020		1.38	18,000,000	17,942,220	17,995,392	18,052,740
Federal Agencies	3130ACK52	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/12/2018	10/5/2020		1.70	25,530,000	25,035,101	25,479,349	25,629,312
Federal Agencies	313384K65	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	6/8/2020	10/14/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,985,333	24,987,969	24,989,000
Federal Agencies	313384K65	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	6/8/2020	10/14/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,985,333	24,987,969	24,989,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKR57	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	9/25/2019	10/20/2020		0.23	112,500,000	112,450,838	112,486,043	112,521,375
Federal Agencies	3130AHDF7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	2/12/2020	10/21/2020		1.63	50,000,000	50,019,700	50,008,756	50,218,000

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity			Par Value	Amortized		Market Value
			Settle Date	Date	Coupon		Book Value	Book Value	
Federal Agencies	3132X0KR1	FARMER MAC	11/2/2016	11/2/2020	0.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,018,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJT90	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/16/2018	11/16/2020	2.95	50,000,000	49,947,835	49,990,152	50,523,500
Federal Agencies	3137EAEK1	FREDDIE MAC	11/15/2017	11/17/2020	1.88	50,000,000	49,952,000	49,993,924	50,321,500
Federal Agencies	3134GBX56	FREDDIE MAC	11/24/2017	11/24/2020	2.25	60,000,000	60,223,200	60,029,733	60,480,000
Federal Agencies	3134GBLR1	FREDDIE MAC	5/25/2017	11/25/2020	1.75	24,715,000	24,712,529	24,714,716	24,856,617
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/27/2017	11/27/2020	1.90	25,000,000	24,992,629	24,998,998	25,178,250
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/27/2017	11/27/2020	1.90	25,000,000	24,992,629	24,998,998	25,178,250
Federal Agencies	3130A3UQ5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/13/2017	12/11/2020	1.88	10,000,000	9,957,600	9,993,683	10,076,900
Federal Agencies	3132X0ZY0	FARMER MAC	12/15/2017	12/15/2020	2.05	12,750,000	12,741,458	12,748,698	12,859,140
Federal Agencies	313384T74	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	6/19/2020	12/18/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,978,514	24,979,931	24,978,750
Federal Agencies	313384T74	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	6/19/2020	12/18/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,978,514	24,979,931	24,978,750
Federal Agencies	3133EGX75	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/21/2016	12/21/2020	0.38	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,039,000
Federal Agencies	3133EFTX5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/24/2015	12/24/2020	0.51	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,178,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJ4Q9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	1/11/2019	1/11/2021	2.55	100,000,000	99,934,000	99,982,484	101,136,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJCE7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/16/2018	2/12/2021	2.35	50,000,000	49,673,710	49,682,614	50,651,000
Federal Agencies	3137EAE9	FREDDIE MAC	2/16/2018	2/16/2021	2.38	22,000,000	21,941,920	21,987,812	22,294,800
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/11/2019	3/11/2021	2.55	50,000,000	49,975,000	49,991,347	50,797,500
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/11/2019	3/11/2021	2.55	50,000,000	49,975,000	49,991,347	50,797,500
Federal Agencies	3133EKR99	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/3/2019	3/25/2021	0.29	90,000,000	89,982,000	89,991,083	90,069,300
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	3/29/2018	3/29/2021	2.60	20,450,000	20,427,710	20,444,488	20,821,168
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	3/29/2018	3/29/2021	2.60	6,350,000	6,343,079	6,348,289	6,465,253
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/5/2019	4/5/2021	2.23	25,000,000	24,916,500	24,968,245	25,391,250
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/5/2019	4/5/2021	2.23	25,000,000	24,917,500	24,968,625	25,391,250
Federal Agencies	3133EJNS4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/22/2018	5/10/2021	2.70	17,700,000	17,653,095	17,686,456	18,074,709
Federal Agencies	3135G0U35	FANNIE MAE	6/25/2018	6/22/2021	2.75	25,000,000	24,994,250	24,998,127	25,621,250
Federal Agencies	3135G0Q89	FANNIE MAE	10/21/2016	10/7/2021	1.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,374,500
Federal Agencies	3133EJK24	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/19/2018	10/19/2021	3.00	25,000,000	24,980,900	24,991,722	25,911,250
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/25/2016	10/25/2021	1.38	14,500,000	14,500,000	14,500,000	14,724,750
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/25/2016	10/25/2021	1.38	15,000,000	15,000,000	15,000,000	15,232,500
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/15/2020	10/25/2021	0.40	50,000,000	49,992,387	49,993,438	50,133,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/15/2020	10/25/2021	0.40	50,000,000	49,992,387	49,993,438	50,133,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJNT4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/15/2018	11/15/2021	3.05	50,000,000	49,950,000	49,977,099	51,954,500
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021	1.63	17,000,000	16,970,930	16,980,176	17,335,070
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021	1.63	50,000,000	49,914,500	49,941,694	50,985,500
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021	1.63	25,000,000	24,957,250	24,970,847	25,492,750
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021	1.63	25,000,000	24,957,250	24,970,847	25,492,750
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021	1.63	45,000,000	44,923,050	44,947,525	45,886,950
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021	2.80	25,000,000	24,974,250	24,987,454	25,946,750
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021	2.80	25,000,000	24,974,250	24,987,454	25,946,750
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021	2.80	25,000,000	24,964,250	24,982,582	25,946,750
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/19/2020	12/17/2021	2.80	19,000,000	19,677,730	19,567,254	19,719,530
Federal Agencies	3130AHSR5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/20/2019	12/20/2021	1.63	22,500,000	22,475,700	22,482,149	22,955,850
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2020	1/18/2022	0.53	50,000,000	49,886,500	49,904,261	50,245,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/23/2020	1/18/2022	0.53	63,450,000	63,294,142	63,313,575	63,760,905
Federal Agencies	3133ELKN3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	1/28/2020	1/28/2022	1.55	100,000,000	99,992,000	99,993,696	102,079,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKAK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	2/19/2019	2/14/2022	2.53	20,700,000	20,682,612	20,690,549	21,474,387
Federal Agencies	3133EKBV7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/1/2019	3/1/2022	2.55	10,000,000	9,997,186	9,998,439	10,387,900
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/5/2019	3/11/2022	2.50	40,000,000	40,158,360	40,091,379	41,542,800
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/5/2019	3/11/2022	2.50	17,780,000	17,848,986	17,819,807	18,465,775
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/8/2019	3/14/2022	2.47	26,145,000	26,226,050	26,191,995	27,144,262

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized		
				Date					Book Value	Market Value	
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/8/2019	3/14/2022		2.47	45,500,000	45,634,680	45,578,092	47,239,010	
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,999,000	24,999,134	25,205,500	
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,993,000	24,993,940	25,205,500	
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,996,000	24,996,537	25,205,500	
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,983,250	24,985,499	25,205,500	
Federal Agencies	3135G0T45	FANNIE MAE	6/6/2017	4/5/2022		1.88	25,000,000	25,072,250	25,026,336	25,737,750	
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	4/6/2020	4/6/2022		1.20	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,004,000	
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	4/6/2020	4/6/2022		1.20	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,004,000	
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	4/6/2020	4/6/2022		1.20	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,004,000	
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	4/6/2020	4/6/2022		1.20	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,004,000	
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022		2.25	50,000,000	49,836,000	49,902,737	51,828,500	
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022		2.25	25,000,000	24,918,000	24,951,369	25,914,250	
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022		2.25	50,000,000	49,836,000	49,902,737	51,828,500	
Federal Agencies	3133EKHB5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/18/2019	4/18/2022		2.35	50,000,000	49,969,500	49,981,745	51,886,000	
Federal Agencies	3133EKLR5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/16/2019	5/16/2022		2.25	35,000,000	34,928,950	34,955,659	36,004,300	
Federal Agencies	3133EKLR5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/16/2019	5/16/2022		2.25	25,000,000	24,949,250	24,968,328	25,934,500	
Federal Agencies	3133EHLY7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/6/2017	6/2/2022		1.88	50,000,000	50,059,250	50,022,796	51,552,000	
Federal Agencies	3133EHLY7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/9/2017	6/2/2022		1.88	50,000,000	49,997,500	49,999,037	51,552,000	
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/16/2019	6/15/2022		1.63	25,000,000	24,998,676	24,998,963	25,670,000	
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/16/2019	6/15/2022		1.63	20,000,000	19,998,940	19,999,170	20,536,000	
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/16/2019	6/15/2022		1.63	25,000,000	24,998,676	24,998,963	25,670,000	
Federal Agencies	3133EHZP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2020	9/20/2022		1.85	25,000,000	25,718,750	25,636,361	25,874,250	
Federal Agencies	3133ELVL5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/3/2020	10/3/2022		0.70	40,000,000	39,990,000	39,990,975	40,386,000	
Federal Agencies	3133ELJH8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	1/23/2023		1.60	10,140,000	10,412,082	10,361,002	10,489,627	
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	3/30/2020	3/30/2023		1.00	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,025,750	
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	3/30/2020	3/30/2023		1.00	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,025,750	
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	3/30/2020	3/30/2023		1.00	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,025,750	
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	3/30/2020	3/30/2023		1.00	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,025,750	
Federal Agencies	3133ELNE0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2020	2/14/2024		1.43	20,495,000	20,978,283	20,917,104	21,300,454	
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/3/2019	12/3/2024		1.63	25,000,000	24,960,000	24,964,620	26,309,000	
Federal Agencies	3130AHWB5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	1/23/2020	1/21/2025		2.00	100,000,000	100,011,111	100,000,000	100,100,000	
Federal Agencies	3135G0X57	FANNIE MAE	1/24/2020	1/24/2025		2.00	38,780,000	38,780,000	38,780,000	38,812,187	
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	15,000,000	14,988,450	14,989,323	15,717,150	
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,996,441	5,239,050	
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,996,441	5,239,050	
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,996,441	5,239,050	
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	50,000,000	49,961,500	49,964,411	52,390,500	
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/23/2020	3/3/2025		1.21	24,000,000	23,980,373	23,966,220	24,834,720	
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/23/2020	3/3/2025		1.21	16,000,000	16,001,476	15,991,234	16,556,480	
Subtotals							1.50	\$ 3,619,485,000	\$ 3,618,678,255	\$ 3,620,188,275	\$ 3,672,854,066
State/Local Agencies	13063DGA0	CALIFORNIA ST	4/25/2018	4/1/2021		2.80	\$ 33,000,000	\$ 33,001,320	\$ 33,000,337	\$ 33,552,090	
State/Local Agencies	13066YTY5	CALIFORNIA ST DEPT OF WTR RES	2/6/2017	5/1/2021		1.71	21,967,414	21,595,725	21,894,279	22,187,088	
State/Local Agencies	91412GF59	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA CA REVENUE	8/9/2016	5/15/2021		1.91	1,769,000	1,810,695	1,783,620	1,783,329	
Subtotals						2.35	\$ 56,736,414	\$ 56,407,741	\$ 56,671,237	\$ 57,522,507	
Public Time Deposits	PPEQ54334	BRIDGE BANK	3/24/2020	9/21/2020		0.06	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	
Public Time Deposits	PP9U66BY8	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	3/25/2020	9/21/2020		0.35	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	
Public Time Deposits	PPE504BU6	SAN FRANCISCO CREDIT UNION	6/4/2020	12/1/2020		0.20	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	
Public Time Deposits	PPE505CM0	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	6/8/2020	12/7/2020		0.20	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
Public Time Deposits	PPE808900	BRIDGE BANK	6/23/2020	12/23/2020	0.22	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Subtotals					0.21	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
Negotiable CDs	89114NA54	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	11/6/2019	7/1/2020	1.86	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,002,457
Negotiable CDs	06417MFP5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	12/5/2019	7/1/2020	1.85	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,002,443
Negotiable CDs	65602VZK5	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	2/27/2020	7/1/2020	1.59	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,004,165
Negotiable CDs	96121T4A3	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	11/12/2019	8/3/2020	2.05	28,790,000	28,827,427	28,794,661	28,787,625
Negotiable CDs	06367BAC3	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	11/25/2019	9/2/2020	0.43	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,030,570
Negotiable CDs	06367BJM2	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	3/11/2020	9/14/2020	1.01	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,194,126
Negotiable CDs	89114N5H4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	9/25/2019	9/24/2020	0.48	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,094,287
Negotiable CDs	89114N5M3	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	9/27/2019	9/28/2020	0.51	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,051,711
Negotiable CDs	06417MCW3	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	9/27/2019	9/28/2020	0.51	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,051,180
Negotiable CDs	89114N6E0	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	10/1/2019	10/9/2020	0.51	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,046,294
Negotiable CDs	06417MDE2	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	10/3/2019	10/9/2020	0.51	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,046,294
Negotiable CDs	06370R6W4	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	11/13/2019	10/26/2020	0.44	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,042,513
Negotiable CDs	96130ADY1	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	10/30/2019	10/28/2020	0.46	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,047,254
Negotiable CDs	78012URS6	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	12/3/2019	12/3/2020	0.45	35,000,000	35,000,000	35,000,000	35,040,487
Negotiable CDs	06367BBD0	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	12/3/2019	12/4/2020	1.85	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,363,444
Negotiable CDs	96130AEP9	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	12/6/2019	12/9/2020	0.46	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,063,605
Negotiable CDs	96130AET1	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	12/13/2019	12/14/2020	1.86	75,000,000	75,000,000	75,000,000	75,583,366
Negotiable CDs	89114NFY6	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	1/23/2020	1/6/2021	1.73	70,000,000	70,000,000	70,000,000	70,537,860
Negotiable CDs	06367BFR5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	1/29/2020	1/28/2021	0.94	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,196,198
Negotiable CDs	06367BJF7	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	3/10/2020	3/1/2021	0.55	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,191,363
Negotiable CDs	78012UTJ4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	3/12/2020	3/15/2021	0.93	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,475,887
Subtotals					1.00	\$ 1,308,790,000	\$ 1,308,827,427	\$ 1,308,794,661	\$ 1,311,853,128
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFG BANK LTD NY	10/21/2019	7/1/2020	0.00	\$ 75,000,000	\$ 73,984,000	\$ 75,000,000	\$ 75,000,000
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFG BANK LTD NY	10/25/2019	7/1/2020	0.00	60,000,000	59,195,833	60,000,000	60,000,000
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	11/6/2019	7/1/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,381,861	50,000,000	50,000,000
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	12/9/2019	7/1/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,470,417	50,000,000	50,000,000
Commercial Paper	89233GGN0	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	2/25/2020	7/22/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,677,278	49,954,208	49,996,500
Commercial Paper	62479LGQ2	MUFG BANK LTD NY	2/27/2020	7/24/2020	0.00	60,000,000	59,630,000	59,942,500	59,995,400
Commercial Paper	62479LH57	MUFG BANK LTD NY	1/29/2020	8/5/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,553,750	49,917,361	49,994,167
Commercial Paper	46640PH63	JP MORGAN SECURITIES LLC	2/3/2020	8/6/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,789,306	24,959,000	24,997,000
Commercial Paper	89233GHH2	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	12/6/2019	8/17/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,358,958	49,881,847	49,992,167
Commercial Paper	46640PHH9	JP MORGAN SECURITIES LLC	2/3/2020	8/17/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,776,778	24,946,472	24,996,083
Commercial Paper	89233GHK5	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	2/14/2020	8/19/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,576,653	49,889,069	49,991,834
Commercial Paper	62479LHR9	MUFG BANK LTD NY	12/10/2019	8/25/2020	0.00	45,000,000	44,394,588	44,871,438	44,991,750
Subtotals					0.00	\$ 590,000,000	\$ 583,789,421	\$ 589,361,896	\$ 589,954,900
Medium Term Notes	89236TFQ3	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	1/8/2019	1/8/2021	3.05	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,997,000	\$ 4,999,216	\$ 5,070,900
Subtotals					3.05	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,997,000	\$ 4,999,216	\$ 5,070,900
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUND	6/30/2020	7/1/2020	0.06	\$ 11,383,584	\$ 11,383,584	\$ 11,383,584	\$ 11,383,584
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND	6/30/2020	7/1/2020	0.10	10,542,536	10,542,536	10,542,536	10,542,536
Money Market Funds	31607A703	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	6/30/2020	7/1/2020	0.11	979,199,201	979,199,201	979,199,201	979,199,201
Money Market Funds	608919718	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PF	6/30/2020	7/1/2020	0.12	1,300,789,105	1,300,789,105	1,300,789,105	1,300,789,105
Money Market Funds	262006208	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I	6/30/2020	7/1/2020	0.10	10,601,812	10,601,812	10,601,812	10,601,812
Subtotals					0.12	\$ 2,312,516,239	\$ 2,312,516,239	\$ 2,312,516,239	\$ 2,312,516,239

Investment Inventory Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
Supranationals	459052YV2	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	1/7/2020	7/1/2020		0.00	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 99,227,556	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 100,000,000
Supranationals	459052YV2	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	4/8/2020	7/1/2020		0.00	50,000,000	49,970,833	50,000,000	50,000,000
Supranationals	45818KZA3	INTER-AMERICAN DEVELOP DISCO	3/20/2020	7/6/2020		0.00	25,000,000	24,947,500	24,997,569	24,999,500
Supranationals	459058GA5	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	8/29/2017	9/4/2020		1.63	50,000,000	49,989,500	49,999,381	50,117,500
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	11/9/2017	11/9/2020		1.95	50,000,000	49,965,000	49,995,817	50,307,500
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	12/20/2017	11/9/2020		1.95	50,000,000	49,718,500	49,965,046	50,307,500
Supranationals	45950KCM0	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	1/25/2018	1/25/2021		2.25	50,000,000	49,853,000	49,972,102	50,542,500
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	4/19/2018	4/19/2021		2.63	45,000,000	44,901,000	44,973,624	45,842,400
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	5/16/2018	4/19/2021		2.63	50,000,000	49,693,972	49,916,408	50,936,000
Supranationals	45950KCJ7	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	5/23/2018	7/20/2021		1.13	12,135,000	11,496,942	11,922,683	12,234,628
Supranationals	459058GH0	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	7/25/2018	7/23/2021		2.75	50,000,000	49,883,000	49,958,612	51,328,500
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	1/28/2020	1/28/2025		2.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,190,500
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	1/28/2020	1/28/2025		2.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,190,500
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	1/28/2020	1/28/2025		2.05	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,381,000
Subtotals						1.58	\$ 632,135,000	\$ 629,646,802	\$ 631,701,241	\$ 637,378,028
Grand Totals						0.95	\$ 12,154,662,653	\$ 12,149,486,244	\$ 12,157,876,908	\$ 12,246,000,743

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2020

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Earned Interest	Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Expense		Gain/(Loss)	/Net Earnings	
U.S. Treasuries	9127962P3	TREASURY BILL	\$ -	0.00	0.05	5/28/20	6/2/20	\$ -	\$ 132	\$ -	\$ 132	
U.S. Treasuries	912796TX7	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.05	5/21/20	6/4/20	-	104	-	104	
U.S. Treasuries	912796TX7	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.05	5/22/20	6/4/20	-	94	-	94	
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.08	5/20/20	6/9/20	-	428	-	428	
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.07	5/22/20	6/9/20	-	394	-	394	
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.04	5/22/20	6/9/20	-	356	-	356	
U.S. Treasuries	9127962U2	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.07	5/28/20	6/9/20	-	750	-	750	
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	-	1.50	1.51	6/20/17	6/15/20	28,689	226	-	28,914	
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	-	1.50	2.67	12/20/18	6/15/20	57,377	43,508	-	100,885	
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	-	1.50	2.39	4/3/19	6/15/20	28,689	16,630	-	45,319	
U.S. Treasuries	9127962V0	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.09	5/20/20	6/16/20	-	917	-	917	
U.S. Treasuries	9127962X6	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.09	5/11/20	6/30/20	-	3,524	-	3,524	
U.S. Treasuries	9127962X6	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.12	6/4/20	6/30/20	-	4,153	-	4,153	
U.S. Treasuries	912828XY1	US TREASURY	-	2.50	2.38	4/3/19	6/30/20	99,588	(4,491)	-	95,097	
U.S. Treasuries	912796UB3	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	5/18/20	7/2/20	-	3,750	-	3,750	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963C1	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/12/20	7/7/20	-	4,167	-	4,167	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963D9	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/21/20	7/14/20	-	1,979	-	1,979	
U.S. Treasuries	912796SZ3	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	1.53	1/13/20	7/16/20	-	63,146	-	63,146	
U.S. Treasuries	912796SZ3	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	5/5/20	7/16/20	-	3,542	-	3,542	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963F4	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	5/27/20	7/21/20	-	4,833	-	4,833	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963G2	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.12	6/4/20	7/28/20	-	2,250	-	2,250	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963G2	TREASURY BILL	75,000,000	0.00	0.09	5/4/20	7/28/20	-	5,459	-	5,459	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963M9	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.15	6/9/20	8/4/20	-	8,861	-	8,861	
U.S. Treasuries	912796WZ8	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.13	6/22/20	8/6/20	-	1,675	-	1,675	
U.S. Treasuries	912796XF1	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.16	6/11/20	8/20/20	-	4,375	-	4,375	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963Q0	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.12	6/24/20	8/25/20	-	583	-	583	
U.S. Treasuries	912796XG9	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.14	5/29/20	8/27/20	-	5,833	-	5,833	
U.S. Treasuries	912796XG9	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.14	5/29/20	8/27/20	-	5,833	-	5,833	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963R8	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.15	6/15/20	9/1/20	-	3,333	-	3,333	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963X5	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.16	6/12/20	9/8/20	-	2,111	-	2,111	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963X5	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.16	6/12/20	9/8/20	-	2,111	-	2,111	
U.S. Treasuries	912796TJ8	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.16	6/15/20	9/10/20	-	3,478	-	3,478	
U.S. Treasuries	912796TJ8	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.17	6/15/20	9/10/20	-	3,667	-	3,667	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963Y3	TREASURY BILL	40,000,000	0.00	0.15	6/2/20	9/15/20	-	4,914	-	4,914	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963Y3	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.15	5/28/20	9/15/20	-	12,813	-	12,813	
U.S. Treasuries	9127962G3	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.18	6/18/20	9/17/20	-	6,319	-	6,319	
U.S. Treasuries	9128285B2	US TREASURY	60,000,000	2.75	1.81	10/1/19	9/30/20	135,246	(45,462)	-	89,784	
U.S. Treasuries	9127962J7	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	6/8/20	10/1/20	-	2,669	-	2,669	
U.S. Treasuries	912828222	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	1.63	11/20/19	10/15/20	66,598	-	-	66,598	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964J5	TREASURY BILL	30,000,000	0.00	0.18	6/11/20	10/20/20	-	2,950	-	2,950	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964J5	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.15	5/28/20	10/20/20	-	6,302	-	6,302	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964J5	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.17	6/8/20	10/20/20	-	5,271	-	5,271	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964K2	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.16	5/28/20	10/27/20	-	6,771	-	6,771	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964Q9	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.18	6/11/20	11/3/20	-	5,000	-	5,000	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964Q9	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.17	6/15/20	11/3/20	-	3,851	-	3,851	
U.S. Treasuries	912796TP4	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.18	6/9/20	11/5/20	-	5,355	-	5,355	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964R7	TREASURY BILL	55,000,000	0.00	0.17	6/12/20	11/10/20	-	4,935	-	4,935	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964S5	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.18	6/16/20	11/17/20	-	3,750	-	3,750	
U.S. Treasuries	9127964S5	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.18	6/16/20	11/17/20	-	3,771	-	3,771	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963J6	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	6/15/20	12/10/20	-	1,911	-	1,911	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963J6	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	6/15/20	12/10/20	-	1,911	-	1,911	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963J6	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.18	6/15/20	12/10/20	-	4,000	-	4,000	
U.S. Treasuries	9128283L2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.88	1.63	11/18/19	12/15/20	76,844	(9,840)	-	67,004	

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Earned Interest	Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Date		Expense	Gain/(Loss)	/Net Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	9128283L2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.88	1.65	11/26/19	12/15/20	76,844	(9,284)	-	67,561	
U.S. Treasuries	9127963K3	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.16	6/19/20	12/17/20	-	2,708	-	2,708	
U.S. Treasuries	912828N48	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.75	1.64	11/22/19	12/31/20	72,089	(4,340)	-	67,749	
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	2.57	3/4/19	1/15/21	82,418	22,562	-	104,980	
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.63	11/18/19	1/15/21	82,418	(14,925)	-	67,493	
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.63	11/22/19	1/15/21	82,418	(14,927)	-	67,490	
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.68	12/3/19	1/15/21	82,418	(12,893)	-	69,524	
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.64	11/22/19	3/15/21	96,807	(29,603)	-	67,204	
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.66	12/6/19	3/15/21	96,807	(28,982)	-	67,825	
U.S. Treasuries	912828C57	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.25	2.39	4/15/19	3/31/21	92,213	5,728	-	97,942	
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	2.36	4/9/19	4/15/21	97,336	(557)	-	96,780	
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.68	12/9/19	4/15/21	97,336	(28,168)	-	69,168	
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.68	12/11/19	4/15/21	97,336	(27,925)	-	69,412	
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.66	11/26/19	6/15/21	107,582	(38,752)	-	68,829	
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.65	11/27/19	6/15/21	107,582	(39,442)	-	68,140	
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.69	12/11/19	6/15/21	107,582	(37,895)	-	69,687	
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.65	12/18/19	6/15/21	107,582	(39,349)	-	68,233	
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	6/30/21	66,940	3,320	-	70,260	
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	1.67	12/3/19	6/30/21	66,940	1,630	-	68,570	
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	1.65	12/9/19	6/30/21	66,940	1,133	-	68,073	
U.S. Treasuries	912828S27	US TREASURY	25,000,000	1.13	1.64	8/15/17	6/30/21	23,172	10,187	-	33,358	
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y20	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.69	12/12/19	7/15/21	108,173	(37,617)	-	70,556	
U.S. Treasuries	912828YC8	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.50	1.66	12/9/19	8/31/21	61,141	6,407	-	67,549	
U.S. Treasuries	912828T34	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.13	1.69	12/11/19	9/30/21	46,107	22,851	-	68,957	
U.S. Treasuries	912828T67	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.25	1.43	11/10/16	10/31/21	50,951	7,034	-	57,985	
U.S. Treasuries	912828U65	US TREASURY	100,000,000	1.75	1.90	12/13/16	11/30/21	143,443	11,376	-	154,819	
U.S. Treasuries	912828U81	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.61	11/22/19	12/31/21	82,388	(15,676)	-	66,712	
U.S. Treasuries	912828XW5	US TREASURY	25,000,000	1.75	1.77	8/15/17	6/30/22	36,045	379	-	36,423	
U.S. Treasuries	912828S35	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.38	1.61	1/9/20	6/30/23	56,642	9,334	-	65,976	
U.S. Treasuries	912828WE6	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.75	1.71	12/17/19	11/15/23	112,092	(41,167)	-	70,925	
Subtotals			\$ 3,410,000,000					\$ 2,900,770	\$ (91,951)	\$ -	\$ 2,808,819	

Federal Agencies	313384XQ7	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	\$ -	0.00	1.56	2/3/20	6/2/20	\$ -	\$ 861	\$ -	\$ 861
Federal Agencies	313384YD5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.09	5/11/20	6/15/20	-	875	-	875
Federal Agencies	3133EHNK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	-	1.54	1.54	6/15/17	6/15/20	14,972	32	-	15,004
Federal Agencies	3133EHNK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	-	1.54	1.55	6/15/17	6/15/20	16,110	69	-	16,179
Federal Agencies	313312YE4	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.10	5/28/20	6/16/20	-	2,042	-	2,042
Federal Agencies	313396YL1	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	1.53	2/3/20	6/22/20	-	13,300	-	13,300
Federal Agencies	3134GBST0	FREDDIE MAC	-	1.65	1.65	6/22/17	6/22/20	14,125	-	-	14,125
Federal Agencies	313396YN7	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	1.56	2/6/20	6/24/20	-	49,514	-	49,514
Federal Agencies	313396YP2	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	1.55	2/6/20	6/25/20	-	10,300	-	10,300
Federal Agencies	313384ZA0	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	24,300,000	0.00	0.10	5/11/20	7/6/20	-	2,025	-	2,025
Federal Agencies	3133EHQB2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.55	1.56	7/6/17	7/6/20	32,292	275	-	32,566
Federal Agencies	313384ZD4	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/20/20	7/9/20	-	1,979	-	1,979
Federal Agencies	313384ZD4	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/19/20	7/9/20	-	1,979	-	1,979
Federal Agencies	313384ZH5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/21/20	7/13/20	-	1,979	-	1,979
Federal Agencies	313384ZK8	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	1.57	1/31/20	7/15/20	-	65,000	-	65,000
Federal Agencies	313384ZQ5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/5/20	7/20/20	-	2,083	-	2,083
Federal Agencies	313384ZQ5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	5/5/20	7/20/20	-	2,083	-	2,083
Federal Agencies	3135G0T60	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	1.50	1.60	8/1/17	7/30/20	62,500	4,154	-	66,654
Federal Agencies	313396D34	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	25,000,000	0.00	0.13	6/24/20	8/24/20	-	608	-	608
Federal Agencies	3130ABZE9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	6,700,000	1.65	1.65	8/28/17	8/28/20	9,213	18	-	9,231
Federal Agencies	3130ADT93	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.40	2.43	3/14/18	9/14/20	50,000	510	-	50,510
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3N7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.77	2.79	12/21/18	9/21/20	57,708	434	-	58,142

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Interest			
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	18,000,000	1.38	1.48	9/8/17	9/28/20	20,625	1,553	-	22,178
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	30,000,000	1.38	1.48	9/8/17	9/28/20	34,375	2,589	-	36,964
Federal Agencies	3130ACK52	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,530,000	1.70	2.48	3/12/18	10/5/20	36,168	15,828	-	51,996
Federal Agencies	313384K65	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	6/8/20	10/14/20	-	2,635	-	2,635
Federal Agencies	313384K65	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	6/8/20	10/14/20	-	2,635	-	2,635
Federal Agencies	3133EKR57	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	112,500,000	0.23	0.36	9/25/19	10/20/20	20,420	3,772	-	24,192
Federal Agencies	3130AHDF7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	1.63	1.57	2/12/20	10/21/20	67,708	(2,345)	-	65,363
Federal Agencies	3132X0KR1	FARMER MAC	25,000,000	0.38	0.38	11/2/16	11/2/20	8,071	-	-	8,071
Federal Agencies	3133EJT90	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.95	3.00	11/16/18	11/16/20	122,917	2,141	-	125,058
Federal Agencies	3137EAEK1	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.88	1.91	11/15/17	11/17/20	78,125	1,311	-	79,436
Federal Agencies	3134GBX56	FREDDIE MAC	60,000,000	2.25	2.12	11/24/17	11/24/20	112,500	(6,109)	-	106,391
Federal Agencies	3134GBLR1	FREDDIE MAC	24,715,000	1.75	1.75	5/25/17	11/25/20	36,043	58	-	36,101
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.90	1.91	11/27/17	11/27/20	39,583	202	-	39,785
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.90	1.91	11/27/17	11/27/20	39,583	202	-	39,785
Federal Agencies	3130A3UQ5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	10,000,000	1.88	2.02	12/13/17	12/11/20	15,625	1,163	-	16,788
Federal Agencies	3132X0ZY0	FARMER MAC	12,750,000	2.05	2.07	12/15/17	12/15/20	21,781	234	-	22,015
Federal Agencies	313384T74	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	6/19/20	12/18/20	-	1,417	-	1,417
Federal Agencies	313384T74	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	6/19/20	12/18/20	-	1,417	-	1,417
Federal Agencies	3133EGX75	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.38	0.38	12/21/16	12/21/20	15,302	-	-	15,302
Federal Agencies	3133EFTX5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	0.51	0.51	12/24/15	12/24/20	41,842	-	-	41,842
Federal Agencies	3133EJ4Q9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	2.55	2.58	1/11/19	1/11/21	212,500	2,709	-	215,209
Federal Agencies	3133EJCE7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.35	2.59	4/16/18	2/12/21	97,917	9,476	-	107,393
Federal Agencies	3137EAE19	FREDDIE MAC	22,000,000	2.38	2.47	2/16/18	2/16/21	43,542	1,590	-	45,131
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.55	2.58	3/11/19	3/11/21	106,250	1,026	-	107,276
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.55	2.58	3/11/19	3/11/21	106,250	1,026	-	107,276
Federal Agencies	3133EKR99	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	90,000,000	0.29	50.00	10/3/19	3/25/21	21,113	1,002	-	22,114
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	6,350,000	2.60	2.64	3/29/18	3/29/21	13,758	189	-	13,948
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	20,450,000	2.60	2.64	3/29/18	3/29/21	44,308	610	-	44,918
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.23	2.40	4/5/19	4/5/21	46,458	3,427	-	49,885
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.23	2.40	4/5/19	4/5/21	46,458	3,386	-	49,844
Federal Agencies	3133EJNS4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	17,700,000	2.70	2.79	5/22/18	5/10/21	39,825	1,298	-	41,123
Federal Agencies	3135G0U35	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	2.75	2.76	6/25/18	6/22/21	57,292	158	-	57,449
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	16,917	-	-	16,917
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	16,917	-	-	16,917
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	16,917	-	-	16,917
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	16,917	-	-	16,917
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	16,917	-	-	16,917
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	16,917	-	-	16,917
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	16,917	-	-	16,917
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	16,917	-	-	16,917
Federal Agencies	3135G0Q89	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	1.38	1.38	10/21/16	10/7/21	28,646	-	-	28,646
Federal Agencies	3133EJK24	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	3.00	3.03	10/19/18	10/19/21	62,500	523	-	63,023
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	14,500,000	1.38	1.38	10/25/16	10/25/21	16,615	-	-	16,615
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	15,000,000	1.38	1.38	10/25/16	10/25/21	17,188	-	-	17,188
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.40	0.41	4/15/20	10/25/21	16,667	409	-	17,076
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.40	0.41	4/15/20	10/25/21	16,667	409	-	17,076
Federal Agencies	3133EJT74	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	3.05	3.09	11/15/18	11/15/21	127,083	1,369	-	128,452
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	17,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	23,021	1,175	-	24,196
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	33,854	1,728	-	35,583
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	33,854	1,728	-	35,583
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	45,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	60,938	3,111	-	64,049
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	67,708	3,457	-	71,165
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	19,000,000	2.80	0.74	3/19/20	12/17/21	44,333	(31,868)	-	12,465
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	705	-	59,038

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Earned Interest	Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Expense		Gain/(Loss)	/Net Earnings	
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	705	-	59,038	
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.85	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	979	-	59,312	
Federal Agencies	3130AHSR5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	22,500,000	1.63	1.68	12/20/19	12/20/21	30,469	997	-	31,466	
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.53	0.65	3/18/20	1/18/22	22,083	5,075	-	27,158	
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	63,450,000	0.53	0.67	3/23/20	1/18/22	28,024	7,231	-	35,255	
Federal Agencies	3133ELKN3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	1.55	1.55	1/28/20	1/28/22	129,167	328	-	129,495	
Federal Agencies	3133EKAK2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,700,000	2.53	2.56	2/19/19	2/14/22	43,643	478	-	44,121	
Federal Agencies	3133EKBV7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10,000,000	2.55	2.56	3/1/19	3/1/22	21,250	77	-	21,327	
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	17,780,000	2.50	2.36	4/5/19	3/11/22	37,042	(1,932)	-	35,109	
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	40,000,000	2.50	2.36	4/5/19	3/11/22	83,333	(4,436)	-	78,897	
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	26,145,000	2.47	2.36	4/8/19	3/14/22	53,815	(2,270)	-	51,545	
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	45,500,000	2.47	2.36	4/8/19	3/14/22	93,654	(3,773)	-	89,882	
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.70	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	41	-	14,624	
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.71	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	288	-	14,871	
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.71	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	164	-	14,748	
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.73	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	688	-	15,272	
Federal Agencies	3134GVHU5	FREDDIE MAC	-	1.15	1.15	3/30/20	3/30/22	55,583	-	-	55,583	
Federal Agencies	3135G0T45	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	1.88	1.81	6/6/17	4/5/22	39,063	(1,229)	-	37,834	
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.20	1.20	4/6/20	4/6/22	25,000	-	-	25,000	
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.20	1.20	4/6/20	4/6/22	25,000	-	-	25,000	
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.20	1.20	4/6/20	4/6/22	25,000	-	-	25,000	
Federal Agencies	3134GVJB5	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.20	1.20	4/6/20	4/6/22	25,000	-	-	25,000	
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	46,875	2,245	-	49,120	
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	93,750	4,489	-	98,239	
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	93,750	4,489	-	98,239	
Federal Agencies	3133EKHB5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.35	2.37	4/18/19	4/18/22	97,917	835	-	98,752	
Federal Agencies	3133EKLR5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.25	2.32	5/16/19	5/16/22	46,875	1,389	-	48,264	
Federal Agencies	3133EKLR5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	35,000,000	2.25	2.32	5/16/19	5/16/22	65,625	1,945	-	67,570	
Federal Agencies	3133EHL7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	1.88	1.85	6/6/17	6/2/22	78,125	(976)	-	77,149	
Federal Agencies	3133EHL7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	1.88	1.88	6/9/17	6/2/22	78,125	41	-	78,166	
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,000,000	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	27,167	35	-	27,202	
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	33,958	44	-	34,002	
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	33,958	44	-	34,002	
Federal Agencies	3133EHZP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.85	0.69	3/18/20	9/20/22	38,542	(23,540)	-	15,002	
Federal Agencies	3133ELVL5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	40,000,000	0.70	0.71	4/3/20	10/3/22	23,333	329	-	23,662	
Federal Agencies	3133ELJH8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10,140,000	1.60	0.74	3/25/20	1/23/23	13,520	(7,083)	-	6,437	
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.00	1.00	3/30/20	3/30/23	20,833	-	-	20,833	
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.00	1.00	3/30/20	3/30/23	20,833	-	-	20,833	
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.00	1.00	3/30/20	3/30/23	20,833	-	-	20,833	
Federal Agencies	3134GVHA9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	1.00	1.00	3/30/20	3/30/23	20,833	-	-	20,833	
Federal Agencies	3133ELNE0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,495,000	1.43	0.85	3/18/20	2/14/24	24,423	(9,572)	-	14,852	
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.66	12/3/19	12/3/24	33,854	657	-	34,511	
Federal Agencies	3130AHRR6	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	-	2.10	2.10	12/19/19	12/19/24	103,472	205	17,724	121,401	
Federal Agencies	3130AHWB5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	100,000,000	2.00	2.00	1/23/20	1/21/25	166,667	-	-	166,667	
Federal Agencies	3135G0X57	FANNIE MAE	38,780,000	2.00	2.00	1/24/20	1/24/25	64,633	-	-	64,633	
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	63	-	6,313	
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	63	-	6,313	
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	63	-	6,313	
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	15,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	18,750	190	-	18,940	
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	62,500	633	-	63,133	
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	16,000,000	1.21	1.22	3/23/20	3/3/25	16,133	154	-	16,287	
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	24,000,000	1.21	1.24	3/23/20	3/3/25	24,200	594	-	24,794	
Federal Agencies	3134GVFP8	FREDDIE MAC	-	1.45	1.45	3/12/20	3/12/25	22,153	-	-	22,153	
Federal Agencies	3134GVFP8	FREDDIE MAC	-	1.45	1.45	3/12/20	3/12/25	11,076	-	-	11,076	

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Earned Interest	Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Expense		Gain/(Loss)	/Net Earnings	
Federal Agencies	3134GVFP8	FREDDIE MAC	-	1.45	1.45	3/12/20	3/12/25	11,076	-	-	11,076	
Subtotals			\$ 3,596,985,000					\$ 4,890,664	\$ 178,212	\$ 17,724	\$ 5,086,601	
State/Local Agencies	13063DGA0	CALIFORNIA ST	\$ 33,000,000	2.80	2.80	4/25/18	4/1/21	\$ 77,000	\$ (37)	\$ -	\$ 76,963	
State/Local Agencies	13066YTY5	CALIFORNIA ST DEPT OF WTR RESO	21,967,414	1.71	2.30	2/6/17	5/1/21	31,358	7,217	-	38,576	
State/Local Agencies	91412GF59	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA CA REVENUES	1,769,000	1.91	1.40	8/9/16	5/15/21	2,816	(719)	-	2,097	
Subtotals			\$ 56,736,414					\$ 111,174	\$ 6,461	\$ -	\$ 117,636	
Public Time Deposits	PP9N4D668	SAN FRANCISCO CRED UNION	\$ -	1.83	1.83	12/4/19	6/4/20	\$ 1,507	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,507	
Public Time Deposits	PP9J7XBG2	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	-	1.79	1.79	12/11/19	6/8/20	1,744	-	-	1,744	
Public Time Deposits	PP9W8R1R2	BRIDGE BANK	-	1.98	1.98	12/23/19	6/23/20	11,930	-	-	11,930	
Public Time Deposits	PP9U66BY8	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	10,000,000	0.35	0.35	3/25/20	9/21/20	2,794	-	-	2,794	
Public Time Deposits	PPEQ54334	BRIDGE BANK	10,000,000	0.06	0.06	3/24/20	9/21/20	493	-	-	493	
Public Time Deposits	PPE504BU6	SAN FRANCISCO CREDIT UNION	10,000,000	0.20	0.20	6/4/20	12/1/20	1,479	-	-	1,479	
Public Time Deposits	PPE505CM0	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	5,000,000	0.20	0.20	6/8/20	12/7/20	639	-	-	639	
Public Time Deposits	PPE808900	BRIDGE BANK	10,000,000	0.22	0.22	6/23/20	12/23/20	482	-	-	482	
Subtotals			\$ 45,000,000					\$ 21,069	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 21,069	
Negotiable CDs	89114NB20	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	\$ -	1.83	1.83	11/19/19	6/22/20	\$ 64,050	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,050	
Negotiable CDs	89114NGG4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	-	1.65	1.65	2/6/20	6/25/20	55,000	-	-	55,000	
Negotiable CDs	06417MFP5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	1.85	1.85	12/5/19	7/1/20	77,083	-	-	77,083	
Negotiable CDs	65602VZK5	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	100,000,000	1.59	1.59	2/27/20	7/1/20	132,500	-	-	132,500	
Negotiable CDs	89114NA54	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	1.86	1.86	11/6/19	7/1/20	77,500	-	-	77,500	
Negotiable CDs	96121T4A3	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	28,790,000	2.05	1.87	11/12/19	8/3/20	49,183	(4,237)	-	44,946	
Negotiable CDs	06367BAC3	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.43	0.43	11/25/19	9/2/20	19,801	-	-	19,801	
Negotiable CDs	06367BJM2	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	100,000,000	1.01	1.01	3/11/20	9/14/20	84,167	-	-	84,167	
Negotiable CDs	89114N5H4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	100,000,000	0.48	0.48	9/25/19	9/24/20	39,342	-	-	39,342	
Negotiable CDs	06417MCW3	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	0.51	0.51	9/27/19	9/28/20	20,852	-	-	20,852	
Negotiable CDs	89114N5M3	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	0.51	0.51	9/27/19	9/28/20	21,017	-	-	21,017	
Negotiable CDs	06417MDE2	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	0.51	0.51	10/3/19	10/9/20	21,717	-	-	21,717	
Negotiable CDs	89114N6E0	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	0.51	0.51	10/1/19	10/9/20	21,717	-	-	21,717	
Negotiable CDs	06370R6W4	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.44	0.44	11/13/19	10/26/20	17,922	-	-	17,922	
Negotiable CDs	96130ADY1	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	50,000,000	0.46	0.46	10/30/19	10/28/20	18,768	-	-	18,768	
Negotiable CDs	78012URS6	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	35,000,000	0.45	0.45	12/3/19	12/3/20	15,230	-	-	15,230	
Negotiable CDs	06367BBD0	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	1.85	1.85	12/3/19	12/4/20	77,083	-	-	77,083	
Negotiable CDs	96130AEP9	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	50,000,000	0.46	0.46	12/6/19	12/9/20	26,909	-	-	26,909	
Negotiable CDs	96130AET1	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	75,000,000	1.86	1.86	12/13/19	12/14/20	116,250	-	-	116,250	
Negotiable CDs	89114NFY6	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	70,000,000	1.73	1.73	1/23/20	1/6/21	100,917	-	-	100,917	
Negotiable CDs	06367BFR5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.94	0.94	1/29/20	1/28/21	39,047	-	-	39,047	
Negotiable CDs	06367BJF7	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	100,000,000	0.55	0.55	3/10/20	3/1/21	46,053	-	-	46,053	
Negotiable CDs	78012UTJ4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	100,000,000	0.93	0.93	3/12/20	3/15/21	77,852	-	-	77,852	
Subtotals			\$ 1,308,790,000					\$ 1,219,958	\$ (4,237)	\$ -	\$ 1,215,721	
Commercial Paper	62479LF59	MUFG BANK LTD NY	\$ -	0.00	2.07	9/24/19	6/5/20	\$ -	\$ 5,667	\$ -	\$ 5,667	
Commercial Paper	62479LFA8	MUFG BANK LTD NY	-	0.00	1.92	12/30/19	6/10/20	-	19,000	-	19,000	
Commercial Paper	62479LFF7	MUFG BANK LTD NY	-	0.00	2.07	9/24/19	6/15/20	-	39,667	-	39,667	
Commercial Paper	62479LFQ3	MUFG BANK LTD NY	-	0.00	1.65	2/3/20	6/24/20	-	26,194	-	26,194	
Commercial Paper	89233GFR2	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	-	0.00	1.67	2/11/20	6/25/20	-	27,667	-	27,667	
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFG BANK LTD NY	60,000,000	0.00	1.96	10/25/19	7/1/20	-	96,500	-	96,500	
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFG BANK LTD NY	75,000,000	0.00	1.95	10/21/19	7/1/20	-	120,000	-	120,000	
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	1.89	11/6/19	7/1/20	-	77,917	-	77,917	
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	1.88	12/9/19	7/1/20	-	77,500	-	77,500	
Commercial Paper	89233GGN0	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	1.58	2/25/20	7/22/20	-	65,417	-	65,417	
Commercial Paper	62479LGQ2	MUFG BANK LTD NY	60,000,000	0.00	1.51	2/27/20	7/24/20	-	75,000	-	75,000	

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Earned Interest			
Commercial Paper	62479LH57	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	1.72	1/29/20	8/5/20	-	70,833	-	70,833
Commercial Paper	46640PH63	JP MORGAN SECURITIES LLC	25,000,000	0.00	1.65	2/3/20	8/6/20	-	34,167	-	34,167
Commercial Paper	46640PHH9	JP MORGAN SECURITIES LLC	25,000,000	0.00	1.65	2/3/20	8/17/20	-	34,167	-	34,167
Commercial Paper	89233GHH2	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	1.83	12/6/19	8/17/20	-	75,417	-	75,417
Commercial Paper	89233GHK5	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	1.64	2/14/20	8/19/20	-	67,917	-	67,917
Commercial Paper	62479LHR9	MUFG BANK LTD NY	45,000,000	0.00	1.90	12/10/19	8/25/20	-	70,125	-	70,125
Subtotals			\$ 590,000,000					\$ -	\$ 983,153	\$ -	\$ 983,153
Medium Term Notes	89236TFQ3	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	\$ 5,000,000	3.05	3.08	1/8/19	1/8/21	\$ 12,708	\$ 123	\$ -	\$ 12,831
Subtotals			\$ 5,000,000					\$ 12,708	\$ 123	\$ -	\$ 12,831
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND	\$ 10,542,536	0.10	0.10	6/30/20	7/1/20	\$ 889	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 889
Money Market Funds	262006208	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I	10,601,812	0.10	0.10	6/30/20	7/1/20	852	-	-	852
Money Market Funds	31607A703	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	979,199,201	0.11	0.11	6/30/20	7/1/20	83,875	-	-	83,875
Money Market Funds	608919718	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PRM	1,300,789,105	0.12	0.12	6/30/20	7/1/20	138,566	-	-	138,566
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUND	11,383,584	0.06	0.06	6/30/20	7/1/20	579	-	-	579
Subtotals			\$ 2,312,516,239					\$ 224,762	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 224,762
Supranationals	459052XW1	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	\$ -	0.00	1.61	12/11/19	6/8/20	\$ -	\$ 31,111	\$ -	\$ 31,111
Supranationals	459052YA8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	-	0.00	0.34	4/14/20	6/12/20	-	5,194	-	5,194
Supranationals	45818KYD8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	-	0.00	0.33	4/13/20	6/15/20	-	6,417	-	6,417
Supranationals	459052YU4	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	-	0.00	0.80	3/18/20	6/30/20	-	51,556	-	51,556
Supranationals	459052YV2	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	50,000,000	0.00	0.25	4/8/20	7/1/20	-	10,417	-	10,417
Supranationals	459052YV2	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP DISC	100,000,000	0.00	1.59	1/7/20	7/1/20	-	131,667	-	131,667
Supranationals	45818KZA3	INTER-AMERICAN DEVELOP DISCOUN	25,000,000	0.00	0.70	3/20/20	7/6/20	-	14,583	-	14,583
Supranationals	459058GA5	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	1.63	1.64	8/29/17	9/4/20	67,750	286	-	68,036
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	1.95	1.97	11/9/17	11/9/20	81,250	958	-	82,208
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	1.95	2.15	12/20/17	11/9/20	81,250	8,005	-	89,255
Supranationals	45950KCM0	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	50,000,000	2.25	2.35	1/25/18	1/25/21	93,750	4,024	-	97,774
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	45,000,000	2.63	2.70	4/19/18	4/19/21	98,438	2,710	-	101,147
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	50,000,000	2.63	2.84	5/16/18	4/19/21	109,375	8,588	-	117,963
Supranationals	45950KCJ7	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	12,135,000	1.13	2.97	5/23/18	7/20/21	11,387	16,587	-	27,974
Supranationals	459058GH0	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	2.75	2.85	7/25/18	7/23/21	114,583	3,208	-	117,792
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	25,000,000	2.05	2.05	1/28/20	1/28/25	42,708	-	-	42,708
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	25,000,000	2.05	2.05	1/28/20	1/28/25	42,708	-	-	42,708
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	2.05	2.05	1/28/20	1/28/25	85,417	-	-	85,417
Subtotals			\$ 632,135,000					\$ 828,616	\$ 295,311	\$ -	\$ 1,123,926
Grand Totals			\$ 11,957,162,653					\$ 10,209,722	\$ 1,367,072	\$ 17,724	\$ 11,594,518

¹ Yield to maturity is calculated at purchase

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2020

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Purchase	6/2/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	\$ 93,000,000	0.12	0.12	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ 93,000,000
Purchase	6/2/20	9/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963Y3	40,000,000	0.00	0.15	99.96	-	39,982,208
Purchase	6/4/20	6/30/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962X6	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	99.99	-	49,995,847
Purchase	6/4/20	7/28/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963G2	25,000,000	0.00	0.12	99.98	-	24,995,500
Purchase	6/4/20	12/1/20	Public Time Deposits	SAN FRANCISCO CREDIT UNI	PPE504BU6	10,000,000	0.20	0.20	100.00	-	10,000,000
Purchase	6/5/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	100,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	6/8/20	10/1/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962J7	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	99.95	-	24,986,655
Purchase	6/8/20	10/14/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384K65	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	99.94	-	24,985,333
Purchase	6/8/20	10/14/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384K65	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	99.94	-	24,985,333
Purchase	6/8/20	10/20/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964J5	50,000,000	0.00	0.17	99.94	-	49,969,292
Purchase	6/8/20	12/7/20	Public Time Deposits	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	PPE505CM0	5,000,000	0.20	0.20	100.00	-	5,000,000
Purchase	6/9/20	8/4/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963M9	100,000,000	0.00	0.15	99.98	-	99,977,444
Purchase	6/9/20	11/5/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TP4	50,000,000	0.00	0.18	99.93	-	49,963,733
Purchase	6/11/20	8/20/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796XF1	50,000,000	0.00	0.16	99.97	-	49,984,688
Purchase	6/11/20	10/20/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964J5	30,000,000	0.00	0.18	99.94	-	29,980,678
Purchase	6/11/20	11/3/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964Q9	50,000,000	0.00	0.18	99.93	-	49,963,750
Purchase	6/12/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	98,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	98,000,000
Purchase	6/12/20	9/8/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963X5	25,000,000	0.00	0.16	99.96	-	24,990,222
Purchase	6/12/20	9/8/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963X5	25,000,000	0.00	0.16	99.96	-	24,990,222
Purchase	6/12/20	11/10/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964R7	55,000,000	0.00	0.17	99.93	-	54,960,782
Purchase	6/15/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	57,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	57,000,000
Purchase	6/15/20	9/1/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963R8	50,000,000	0.00	0.15	99.97	-	49,983,750
Purchase	6/15/20	9/10/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TJ8	50,000,000	0.00	0.16	99.96	-	49,981,090
Purchase	6/15/20	9/10/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TJ8	50,000,000	0.00	0.17	99.96	-	49,980,063
Purchase	6/15/20	11/3/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964Q9	50,000,000	0.00	0.17	99.93	-	49,966,066
Purchase	6/15/20	12/10/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963J6	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	99.91	-	24,978,739
Purchase	6/15/20	12/10/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963J6	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	99.91	-	24,978,739
Purchase	6/15/20	12/10/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963J6	25,000,000	0.00	0.18	99.91	-	49,955,500
Purchase	6/16/20	11/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964S5	50,000,000	0.00	0.18	99.92	-	49,961,500
Purchase	6/16/20	11/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964S5	50,000,000	0.00	0.18	99.92	-	49,961,286
Purchase	6/18/20	9/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962G3	100,000,000	0.00	0.18	99.96	-	99,955,764
Purchase	6/19/20	12/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963K3	50,000,000	0.00	0.16	99.92	-	49,959,149
Purchase	6/19/20	12/18/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T74	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	99.91	-	24,978,514
Purchase	6/19/20	12/18/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T74	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	99.91	-	24,978,514
Purchase	6/22/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	22,000,000	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	22,000,000
Purchase	6/22/20	8/6/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796WZ8	50,000,000	0.00	0.13	99.98	-	49,991,625
Purchase	6/23/20	12/23/20	Public Time Deposits	BRIDGE BANK	PPE808900	10,000,000	0.22	0.22	100.00	-	10,000,000
Purchase	6/24/20	8/24/20	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE DISCOUNT	313396D34	25,000,000	0.00	0.13	99.98	-	24,994,705
Purchase	6/24/20	8/25/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963Q0	25,000,000	0.00	0.12	99.98	-	24,994,833
Purchase	6/25/20	8/11/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963N7	25,000,000	0.00	0.12	99.98	-	24,996,090
Purchase	6/25/20	8/18/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963P2	25,000,000	0.00	0.12	99.98	-	24,995,463
Purchase	6/25/20	8/25/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963Q0	25,000,000	0.00	0.13	99.98	-	24,994,599
Purchase	6/25/20	11/24/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964T3	25,000,000	0.00	0.16	99.93	-	24,983,375
Purchase	6/26/20	8/18/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963P2	25,000,000	0.00	0.13	99.98	-	24,995,363
Purchase	6/26/20	9/25/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384H36	22,500,000	0.00	0.15	99.96	-	22,491,753
Purchase	6/29/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	51,000,000	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	51,000,000
Purchase	6/29/20	8/6/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796WZ8	25,000,000	0.00	0.12	99.99	-	24,996,804
Purchase	6/29/20	12/24/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963L1	25,000,000	0.00	0.16	99.92	-	24,979,913
Purchase	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	889	0.10	0.10	100.00	-	889
Purchase	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	852	0.10	0.10	100.00	-	852
Purchase	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	83,875	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	83,875
Purchase	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	50,000,000	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	50,000,000

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Purchase	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	138,566	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	138,566
Purchase	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	40,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	40,000,000
Purchase	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	579	0.06	0.06	100.00	-	579
Subtotals						\$2,058,724,762	0.03	0.15	\$ 99.96	\$	- \$2,057,969,645
Sale	6/1/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	\$ 100,000,000	0.15	0.15	\$ 100.00	\$	- \$ 100,000,000
Sale	6/1/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	18,000,000	0.16	0.16	100.00	-	18,000,000
Sale	6/3/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	21,000,000	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	21,000,000
Sale	6/4/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	40,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	40,000,000
Sale	6/5/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	16,000,000	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	16,000,000
Sale	6/8/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	66,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	66,000,000
Sale	6/9/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	24,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	24,000,000
Sale	6/10/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	24,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	24,000,000
Sale	6/11/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	25,000,000	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	25,000,000
Sale	6/11/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	90,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	90,000,000
Sale	6/16/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	18,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	18,000,000
Sale	6/17/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	15,000,000	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	15,000,000
Sale	6/18/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	20,000,000	0.11	0.11	100.00	-	20,000,000
Sale	6/18/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	100,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	100,000,000
Sale	6/23/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	14,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	14,000,000
Sale	6/24/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	10,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	10,000,000
Sale	6/25/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	15,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	15,000,000
Sale	6/26/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	68,000,000	0.12	0.12	100.00	-	68,000,000
Subtotals						\$ 684,000,000	0.13	0.13	\$ 100.00	\$	- \$ 684,000,000
Call	6/12/20	3/12/25	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVFP8	\$ 25,000,000	1.45	1.45	100.00	\$	- \$ 25,000,000
Call	6/12/20	3/12/25	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVFP8	25,000,000	1.45	1.45	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	6/12/20	3/12/25	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVFP8	50,000,000	1.45	1.45	100.00	-	50,000,000
Call	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEX8	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEX8	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEX8	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEX8	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	6/19/20	12/19/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AHRR6	98,545,000	2.10	2.10	100.00	-	98,545,000
Call	6/30/20	3/30/22	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVHU5	60,000,000	1.15	1.15	100.00	-	60,000,000
Subtotals						\$ 458,545,000	1.80	1.80	\$ -	\$	- \$ 458,545,000
Maturity	6/2/20	6/2/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384XQ7	\$ 20,000,000	0.00	1.56	100.00	\$	- \$ 20,000,000
Maturity	6/2/20	6/2/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962P3	100,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	100,000,000
Maturity	6/4/20	6/4/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TX7	25,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	6/4/20	6/4/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TX7	25,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	6/4/20	6/4/20	Public Time Deposits	SAN FRANCISCO CRED UNION	PP9N4D668	10,000,000	1.83	1.83	100.00	42,695	10,042,695
Maturity	6/5/20	6/5/20	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479LF59	25,000,000	0.00	2.07	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	6/8/20	6/8/20	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	459052XW1	100,000,000	0.00	1.61	100.00	-	100,000,000
Maturity	6/8/20	6/8/20	Public Time Deposits	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	PP9J7XBG2	5,000,000	1.79	1.79	100.00	19,625	5,019,625
Maturity	6/9/20	6/9/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962U2	25,000,000	0.00	0.08	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	6/9/20	6/9/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962U2	25,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	6/9/20	6/9/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962U2	40,000,000	0.00	0.04	100.00	-	40,000,000
Maturity	6/9/20	6/9/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962U2	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/10/20	6/10/20	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479LFA8	40,000,000	0.00	1.92	100.00	-	40,000,000
Maturity	6/12/20	6/12/20	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	459052YA8	50,000,000	0.00	0.34	100.00	-	50,000,000

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Maturity	6/15/20	6/15/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384YD5	25,000,000	0.00	0.09	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	6/15/20	6/15/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EHNK5	25,000,000	1.54	1.54	100.00	192,500	25,192,500
Maturity	6/15/20	6/15/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EHNK5	26,900,000	1.54	1.55	100.00	207,130	27,107,130
Maturity	6/15/20	6/15/20	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	45818KYD8	50,000,000	0.00	0.33	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/15/20	6/15/20	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479LFF7	50,000,000	0.00	2.07	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/15/20	6/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828XU9	50,000,000	1.50	1.51	100.00	375,000	50,375,000
Maturity	6/15/20	6/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828XU9	50,000,000	1.50	2.39	100.00	375,000	50,375,000
Maturity	6/15/20	6/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828XU9	100,000,000	1.50	2.67	100.00	750,000	100,750,000
Maturity	6/16/20	6/16/20	Federal Agencies	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	313312YE4	49,000,000	0.00	0.10	100.00	-	49,000,000
Maturity	6/16/20	6/16/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962V0	25,000,000	0.00	0.09	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	6/22/20	6/22/20	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	313396YL1	15,000,000	0.00	1.53	100.00	-	15,000,000
Maturity	6/22/20	6/22/20	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GBST0	14,675,000	1.65	1.65	100.00	121,069	14,796,069
Maturity	6/22/20	6/22/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114NB20	60,000,000	1.83	1.83	100.00	658,800	60,658,800
Maturity	6/23/20	6/23/20	Public Time Deposits	BRIDGE BANK	PP9W8R1R2	10,000,000	1.98	1.98	100.00	82,505	10,082,505
Maturity	6/24/20	6/24/20	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	313396YN7	50,000,000	0.00	1.56	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/24/20	6/24/20	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479LFFQ3	25,000,000	0.00	1.65	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	6/25/20	6/25/20	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC DISCOUNT NT	313396YP2	10,000,000	0.00	1.55	100.00	-	10,000,000
Maturity	6/25/20	6/25/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114NGG4	50,000,000	1.65	1.65	100.00	320,833	50,320,833
Maturity	6/25/20	6/25/20	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233GFR2	25,000,000	0.00	1.67	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	6/30/20	6/30/20	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	459052YU4	80,000,000	0.00	0.80	100.00	-	80,000,000
Maturity	6/30/20	6/30/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962X6	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/30/20	6/30/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127962X6	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	6/30/20	6/30/20	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828XY1	50,000,000	2.50	2.38	100.00	625,000	50,625,000
Subtotals						\$1,480,575,000	0.52	1.14	\$ -	\$ 3,770,158	\$1,484,345,158

Interest	6/1/20	3/1/21	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	06367BJF7	\$ 100,000,000	0.75	0.75	0.00	0.00	\$ 64,595
Interest	6/2/20	6/2/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EHLY7	50,000,000	1.88	1.85	0.00	0.00	468,750
Interest	6/2/20	6/2/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EHLY7	50,000,000	1.88	1.88	0.00	0.00	468,750
Interest	6/2/20	9/2/20	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	06367BAC3	50,000,000	1.67	1.67	0.00	0.00	213,437
Interest	6/2/20	11/2/20	Federal Agencies	FARMER MAC	3132X0KR1	25,000,000	0.53	0.53	0.00	0.00	11,402
Interest	6/3/20	12/3/20	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012URS6	35,000,000	1.57	1.57	0.00	0.00	140,674
Interest	6/3/20	12/3/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELCP7	25,000,000	1.63	1.66	0.00	0.00	203,125
Interest	6/9/20	10/9/20	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	06417MDE2	50,000,000	0.55	0.55	0.00	0.00	22,218
Interest	6/9/20	10/9/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114N6E0	50,000,000	0.55	0.55	0.00	0.00	22,218
Interest	6/9/20	12/9/20	Negotiable CDs	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	96130AEP9	50,000,000	1.15	1.15	0.00	0.00	146,801
Interest	6/11/20	12/11/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130A3UQ5	10,000,000	1.88	2.02	0.00	0.00	93,750
Interest	6/12/20	3/12/25	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVFP8	25,000,000	1.45	1.45	0.00	0.00	90,625
Interest	6/12/20	3/12/25	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVFP8	25,000,000	1.45	1.45	0.00	0.00	90,625
Interest	6/12/20	3/12/25	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVFP8	50,000,000	1.45	1.45	0.00	0.00	181,250
Interest	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	0.00	0.00	126,875
Interest	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	0.00	0.00	126,875
Interest	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	0.00	0.00	126,875
Interest	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	0.00	0.00	126,875
Interest	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	0.00	0.00	126,875
Interest	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	0.00	0.00	126,875
Interest	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	0.00	0.00	126,875
Interest	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	0.00	0.00	126,875
Interest	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	0.00	0.00	126,875
Interest	6/13/20	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAEO	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	0.00	0.00	126,875
Interest	6/15/20	3/15/21	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012UTJ4	100,000,000	0.93	0.93	0.00	0.00	80,396
Interest	6/15/20	6/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128284T4	50,000,000	2.63	1.66	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	6/15/20	6/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128284T4	50,000,000	2.63	1.65	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	6/15/20	6/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128284T4	50,000,000	2.63	1.69	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	6/15/20	6/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128284T4	50,000,000	2.63	1.65	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	6/15/20	6/15/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELDK7	20,000,000	1.63	1.63	0.00	0.00	162,094

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Interest	6/15/20	6/15/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELDK7	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	0.00	0.00	202,618
Interest	6/15/20	6/15/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELDK7	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	0.00	0.00	202,618
Interest	6/15/20	12/15/20	Federal Agencies	FARMER MAC	3132X0ZY0	12,750,000	2.05	2.07	0.00	0.00	130,688
Interest	6/15/20	12/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128283L2	50,000,000	1.88	1.63	0.00	0.00	468,750
Interest	6/15/20	12/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128283L2	50,000,000	1.88	1.65	0.00	0.00	468,750
Interest	6/17/20	12/17/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJ3B3	19,000,000	2.80	0.74	0.00	0.00	266,000
Interest	6/17/20	12/17/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJ3B3	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	0.00	0.00	350,000
Interest	6/17/20	12/17/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJ3B3	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	0.00	0.00	350,000
Interest	6/17/20	12/17/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJ3B3	25,000,000	2.80	2.85	0.00	0.00	350,000
Interest	6/19/20	12/19/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AHRR6	98,545,000	2.10	2.10	0.00	0.00	1,034,723
Interest	6/20/20	10/20/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKR57	112,500,000	0.21	0.32	0.00	0.00	20,416
Interest	6/20/20	12/20/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AHSR5	22,500,000	1.63	1.68	0.00	0.00	182,813
Interest	6/21/20	12/21/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EGX75	50,000,000	0.36	0.36	0.00	0.00	15,538
Interest	6/22/20	6/22/21	Federal Agencies	FANNIE MAE	3135G0U35	25,000,000	2.75	2.76	0.00	0.00	343,750
Interest	6/24/20	9/24/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114N5H4	100,000,000	0.47	0.47	0.00	0.00	37,720
Interest	6/24/20	12/24/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EFTX5	100,000,000	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.00	42,905
Interest	6/25/20	3/25/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKR99	90,000,000	0.28	0.30	0.00	0.00	21,564
Interest	6/25/20	9/21/20	Public Time Deposits	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	PP9U66BY8	10,000,000	0.33	0.33	0.00	0.00	8,822
Interest	6/26/20	10/26/20	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	06370R6W4	50,000,000	0.43	0.43	0.00	0.00	18,439
Interest	6/29/20	9/28/20	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	06417MCW3	50,000,000	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.00	22,200
Interest	6/29/20	9/28/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114N5M3	50,000,000	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.00	23,089
Interest	6/29/20	10/28/20	Negotiable CDs	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	96130ADY1	50,000,000	0.45	0.45	0.00	0.00	19,978
Interest	6/30/20	3/30/22	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GVHU5	60,000,000	1.15	1.15	0.00	0.00	172,500
Interest	6/30/20	6/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128287A2	50,000,000	1.63	1.71	0.00	0.00	406,250
Interest	6/30/20	6/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128287A2	50,000,000	1.63	1.67	0.00	0.00	406,250
Interest	6/30/20	6/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128287A2	50,000,000	1.63	1.65	0.00	0.00	406,250
Interest	6/30/20	6/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828S27	25,000,000	1.13	1.64	0.00	0.00	140,625
Interest	6/30/20	6/30/22	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828XW5	25,000,000	1.75	1.77	0.00	0.00	218,750
Interest	6/30/20	6/30/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828S35	50,000,000	1.38	1.61	0.00	0.00	343,750
Interest	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	10,542,536	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.00	889
Interest	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	10,601,812	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.00	852
Interest	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	947,199,201	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.00	83,875
Interest	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	1,150,789,105	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.00	138,566
Interest	6/30/20	7/1/20	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	11,383,584	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00	579
Interest	6/30/20	12/31/20	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828N48	50,000,000	1.75	1.64	0.00	0.00	437,500
Interest	6/30/20	12/31/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828U81	50,000,000	2.00	1.61	0.00	0.00	500,000
Subtotals						\$4,720,811,239	0.81	0.76	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,938,727

Grand Totals	55	Purchases
	(18)	Sales
	(50)	Maturities / Calls
	(13)	Change in number of positions

From: [Reports, Controller \(CON\)](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\)](#); [Fay, Abigail \(MYR\)](#); [Bruss, Andrea \(MYR\)](#); [Power, Andres \(MYR\)](#); [Kirkpatrick, Kelly \(MYR\)](#); [Ma, Sally \(MYR\)](#); [Cretan, Jeff \(MYR\)](#); [Lynch, Andy \(MYR\)](#); [Owens, Sarah \(MYR\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [Finkel, Jessica \(MYR\)](#); [Campbell, Severin \(BUD\)](#); [Brousseau, Fred \(BUD\)](#); [Goncher, Dan \(BUD\)](#); [Docs, SF \(LIB\)](#); [Smith, Susie \(HSA\)](#); [Johns, Rose \(HSA\)](#); [Barnes, Maximilian \(MYR\)](#); [Gleason, Alexander \(HSA\)](#); [Dobson, Graham \(HSA\)](#); [Cheu, Brian \(MYR\)](#); [Ramirez, Hugo \(MYR\)](#); [Pascual, Merrick \(ECN\)](#); [Johnson, Chandra \(HSA\)](#); [Chan, Gloria \(ECN\)](#); [Goudeau, Matthew \(ADM\)](#); [Strong, Brian \(ADM\)](#); [Faust, Kate \(ADM\)](#); [Salem, David \(ADM\)](#); [SHEN, ANDREW \(CAT\)](#); [CURRY, LAUREN \(CAT\)](#); [Vasilyeva, Kay \(DEM\)](#); [CON-EVERYONE](#); [MYR-ALL Department Heads](#); [CON-Finance Officers](#)
Subject: Issued – Give2SF COVID-19 Response & Recovery Fund Fiscal Year 2019-20 Annual Report – July 13, 2020
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 3:26:43 PM

The Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund (Give2SF) is a special fund established by the City and County of San Francisco (City) as part of the Second Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, dated February 25, 2020, and issued on March 13, 2020.

This memorandum summarizes both monetary and in-kind donations (goods) for Give2SF and the Controller's Office.

To view the memorandum, please visit our website at:

<http://openbook.sfgov.org/webreports/details3.aspx?id=2852>

To view the monetary donations attachment, please visit our website at:

<http://openbook.sfgov.org/webreports/details3.aspx?id=2853>

To view the in-kind donations attachment, please visit our website at:

<http://openbook.sfgov.org/webreports/details3.aspx?id=2854>

This is a send-only e-mail address.

For questions about the memorandum, please contact the Controller's Office at ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org or (415) 554-7500.

For all press inquiries, please contact Alyssa Sewlal at alyssa.sewlal@sfgov.org.



OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Todd Rydstrom
Deputy Controller

Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund

Fiscal Year 2019-20 Annual Report

TO: Mayor's Office
Board of Supervisors

FROM: Ben Rosenfield, Controller

CC: Naomi Kelly, City Administrator, General Services Agency
Mary Ellen Carroll, Executive Director, Department of Emergency Management

DATE: July 13, 2020

SUBJECT: City and County of San Francisco's Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund

The Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund (Give2SF) is a special fund established by the City and County of San Francisco (City) as part of the *Second Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency*, dated February 25, 2020, and issued on March 13, 2020. This memorandum summarizes both monetary and in-kind donations (goods) for Give2SF in fiscal year 2019-20.

Monetary Donations

The Second Supplement authorizes the Controller to accept and expend funds to provide shelter, food, financial assistance, and other assistance to individuals and families in San Francisco impacted by the emergency; to replace, repair, and rebuild public buildings, infrastructure, and other assets for use in the City's efforts to respond to the emergency; to issue and administer grants and/or interest-free loans to small businesses in San Francisco to compensate for economic harms resulting from COVID-19; and for other city efforts to address the impacts of COVID-19.

Within the authorized uses outlined above, the City has identified three priority areas for the immediate use of the Give2SF funds: (1) food security; (2) access to housing; and (3) security for workers and small businesses. Disbursements of funds are approved by a committee consisting of City Administrator Naomi Kelly, Director of Emergency Management Mary Ellen Carroll, and myself.

Through June 30, 2020:

- \$28,428,537 had been donated to and received by Give2SF both directly and through the San Francisco Foundation.
- All outstanding pledges have been fulfilled.

- Of \$28,194,000 that has been allocated:
 - \$18,310,000 is for programs operated by the Human Services Agency, Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development, Office of Early Care and Education, or Office of Economic and Workforce Development.
 - \$9,884,000 has been allocated to programs that will be administered, with city oversight, by nonprofit organizations through grant agreements between them and the San Francisco Foundation.

The exhibits below summarize this information, including how departments have disbursed the funds through their programs. An attachment to this memorandum shows the individual donations received, including donor name, date, amount, and fund (and excludes individual donations received by departments directly for their own use).¹

Exhibit 1: Total Donations Received by Give2SF

Donations Received Directly by Give2SF	Donations to Give2SF Through San Francisco Foundation	Total Donations Received
\$7,559,479	\$20,869,058	\$28,428,537

Exhibit 2: Total Approved Disbursements and Disbursements to Departments, by Use of Fund

Department	Use of Fund	Disbursements Approved	Disbursements Made*
Human Services Agency	Food security	\$6,660,000	\$4,160,000*
Office of Early Care and Education	Security for workers and small businesses	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
Office of Economic and Workforce Development	Security for workers and small businesses	\$4,360,000	\$4,360,000
Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development	Access to housing	\$6,290,000	\$6,285,000*
Total		\$18,310,000	\$15,805,000

* Disbursements to the Human Services Agency and Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development are in progress.

¹ The San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 10.100-305, requires city departments to report to the Board of Supervisors all gifts they receive each fiscal year. The reporting must occur within two weeks after the end of each fiscal year.

Exhibit 3: Human Services Agency's Program Uses and Impacts

Purpose	Description	Nonprofit Organization Partner	Amount	Impact
Senior/ Disability Food and Nutrition Network	Support equipment, staffing, and other infrastructure needed to modify services under COVID-19 (for example, freezers to provide multiday meal packs, additional delivery drivers and vehicles).	Department of Disability and Aging Services Network Providers ^a	\$1,600,000	100,000 new meals per month and supporting program modifications to maintain pre-COVID-19 service levels of 250,000 meals per month
Disconnected Populations	Support undocumented populations ineligible for mainstream benefits (for example, CalFresh), disbursed as \$200 gift cards for undocumented and mixed-status households.	HealthySF	\$500,000	2,631 households ^b
Family Support	Support low-income families with gift cards distributed through Family Resource Centers (value based on household size), which operate in high-priority zip codes (based on COVID-19 infection rate, CalFresh application volume, and other factors).	Family Resource Centers	\$750,000	Up to 1,400 families
Asian/Pacific Islander Immigrant Needs	Distribute gift cards to support undocumented and low-income Asian/ Pacific Islander immigrant households. Card values are based on household size.	Chinese for Affirmative Action	\$300,000	500-700 households
Isolation/ Quarantine Support	Meet emergency food needs of households in isolation/quarantine due to confirmed or suspected infection until regular food support is established.	Shanti Project	\$75,000	300-450 households
LGBTQ Food Relief	Distribute gift cards to help LGBTQ people meet urgent food needs, with a particular focus on trans people of color, trans immigrants, low-income LGBTQ people, and those who have lost their income due to COVID-19.	LGBTQ Center	\$75,000	300-400 individuals
Vulnerable Residents Receiving Safety Net Support	Distribute gift cards to vulnerable residents receiving Human Services Agency-administered public benefits who report pressing food needs via an agency-administered survey and are unlikely to receive other disaster assistance food support. Specifically, gift cards will be sent to transitional aged youth on Medi-Cal.	N/A – To be distributed by Human Services Agency	\$860,000	3,700-4,000 individuals
Total			\$4,160,000	

Notes:

^a Bayview Senior Services, Centro Latino, Episcopal Community Services, Glide, Jewish Family & Children's Services, Kimochi, Meals on Wheels, On Lok/30th Street, Project Open Hand, Russian American Community Services, San Francisco-Marin Food Bank, Self-Help for the Elderly

^b Total card value exceeds allocation because bulk purchase of cards provides 5 percent discount.

Source: Human Services Agency

An additional \$2,500,000 has been allocated to the Human Services Agency for food security programs focused on communities of color. Although the funding has not yet been dispersed to it, the Human Services Agency has been coordinating closely with the COVID-19 Command Center's food security stakeholder group and community leaders to plan how the funds will be used. Support will include:

- Grocery programs and food pantries supporting Latinx residents in the Mission and Excelsior neighborhoods.
- Meals, culturally-appropriate groceries, and grocery gift cards to African-American households in the Bayview-Hunters Point and other targeted neighborhoods with larger African American populations.
- Meals for people across San Francisco experiencing homelessness who were previously served by congregate meal programs.
- Low-income African-American, Latinx, and Pacific Islander pregnant woman supported by culturally appropriate pregnancy and postpartum care programs.

Exhibit 4: Office of Economic and Workforce Development Program Uses and Impacts

Purpose	Description	Grantee	Amount	Impact
Small Business Resiliency Grants	Make emergency grants to eligible small businesses with evidence of at least 25% revenue loss in a 30-day period.	Northeast Community Federal Credit Union	\$1,000,000	At least 100 small businesses to access grants of up to \$10,000
Small Business No-Interest Loans	San Francisco Hardship Emergency Loan Program (SF HELP) funds can be used to pay payroll, rent, utilities, inventory, and more. Flexible loan terms determined on a case-by-case basis, based on borrower's ability to repay.	Mission Economic Development Agency	\$1,000,000	At least 20 businesses to access no-interest loan of up to \$50,000
		Main Street Launch	\$1,200,000	At least 24 businesses to access no-interest loan of up to \$50,000
Supportive Services for Immigrant Workers	Provide direct support to immigrants and undocumented children, families, and communities affected by COVID-19.	Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (Subrecipient: SF Labor Council)	\$115,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
		Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (Subrecipient: UndocuFund SF)	\$115,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
		Bay Area Community Resources	\$115,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
		Bay Area Community Resources (Subrecipient: Community Youth Center)	\$115,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
Supportive Services for Food Security	Provide direct relief to support immigrants and undocumented children, families, and communities affected by COVID-19.	Bay Area Community Resources	\$100,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
		Bay Area Community Resources (Subrecipient: Community Youth Center)	\$100,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
	Provide support to the Mission District Food Hub, which Carnaval kicked off on Cinco de Mayo.	San Francisco Arts Commission (Subrecipient: Cultura y Arte Nativa de las Americas (CANA))	\$100,000	Provide groceries to 4,000 disadvantaged, mostly immigrant households
	Provide direct relief to support vulnerable residents in low-income communities of color hard-hit by the pandemic, with a focus on public housing residents and at-risk households.	Human Rights Commission (Subrecipients El/La Para TransLatinas, Larkin Street Youth Services, Code Tenderloin, Rafiki Coalition, Collective Impact, Calle 24)	\$350,000	Provide meals to 6,000 vulnerable residents*
	Support food relief efforts for low-income, limited English-speaking workers who test positive for COVID-19 and find they cannot support themselves during quarantine.	Bay Area Community Resources (Subrecipient: Community Youth Center)	\$50,000	Provide support to 250 low-income, vulnerable, disconnected households
Total			\$4,360,000	

* Meals funded by \$100,000 of the \$350,000. Use of the remaining \$250,000 is in the planning phase. Full impact will be shown in subsequent reports.

Source: Office of Economic and Workforce Development

Exhibit 5: Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development’s Program Uses and Impact

Program	Description	Nonprofit Organization Partner	Amount*	Impact
Housing Stabilization	Provide financial assistance of up to \$3,000 for rent, mortgage, utility, and other housing costs to eligible households per application period (with an assistance cap of \$10,000 per household). Households are eligible, regardless of immigration status, if they have experienced a substantial loss of income due to COVID-19 and cannot afford their housing costs. Applications are run through a prioritization tool that identifies households that are most at risk.	Catholic Charities of San Francisco	\$1,257,000	5,825 applications received requesting financial assistance amounting to \$20,746,022. To date, 1,000 prioritized applications are in the process of receiving up to a combined \$3,000,000 in assistance.
		Eviction Defense Collaborative	\$1,257,000	
		La Raza Community Resource Center	\$1,257,000	
		Q Foundation	\$1,257,000	
		Young Community Developers	\$1,257,000	
		Total	\$6,285,000	

*An additional \$5,000 allocated amount has not yet been disbursed to the department.

Source: Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development

Exhibit 6: Office of Early Care and Education’s Program Uses and Impact

Program	Description	Nonprofit Organization Partner	Amount	Impact*
Family Child Care (FCC) Emergency Operating Grants	Provide economic relief to FCC educators, who represent a sector of self-employed, low-income workers, who are not eligible or do not have access to many of the funding resources available to other business sectors, in order to help FCCs to survive loss of revenue until full enrollment can begin again. The grants will be \$5,000 for small FCC homes (serving up to 8 children) and \$10,000 for large FCC homes (serving up to 14 children).	Low Income Investment Fund	\$1,000,000	Since applications were made available on June 19, 2020, Office of Early Care and Education has received completed applications in the following languages: 164 in Chinese 133 in English 80 in Spanish
		Total	\$1,000,000	

* Grant awards will be disbursed beginning on July 20, 2020.

Source: Office of Early Care and Education

Exhibit 7: Total Approved Disbursements from the San Francisco Foundation Directly to Nonprofit Organizations With City Oversight

Program	Oversight Department(s)	Disbursements Approved
Emergency Family Relief Fund	Office of Economic and Workforce Development/Human Rights Commission	\$4,884,000
Right to Recover	Office of Economic and Workforce Development/Human Rights Commission	\$2,000,000
COVID-19 Related Grants and Loans for Small Businesses	Office of Economic and Workforce Development	\$3,000,000
Total		\$9,884,000

Exhibit 8: Uses, Impacts, and Grant Status for Programs Funded by Grant Agreements Between the San Francisco Foundation and Nonprofit Organizations With Joint Oversight from the Office of Economic and Workforce Development and Human Rights Commission

Purpose	Description	Nonprofit Organization	Amount	Impact
Emergency Family Relief Fund	\$500 will be paid to families, or residents with children 18 and younger, affected by the COVID-19 pandemic who do not qualify for federal benefits.	Bay Area Community Resources ^a	\$1,555,000	Up to 1,555 families
		Central American Resource Center—San Francisco	\$100,000	Up to 100 families
		Chinese for Progressive Action	\$750,000	Up to 750 families
		Coleman Advocates (Excelsior Works!)	\$200,000	Up to 200 families
		Collective Impact	\$50,000	50 to 100 families
		Community Youth Center of San Francisco ^a	\$750,000	Up to 750 families
		Dolores Street Community Services	\$150,000	Up to 150 families
		Tenderloin Housing Clinic (La Voz Latina SF)	\$100,000	Up to 100 families
		Mission Economic Development Agency ^a	\$850,000	Up to 850 families
		Young Community Developers ^a	\$379,000	379 to 758 families
		Total	\$4,884,000	
Right to Recover Program	Eligible low-income workers who have COVID-19 will receive two weeks of wage replacement or \$1,285, based on San Francisco's hourly minimum wage.	Mission Economic Development Agency ^a	\$500,000	Up to 395 workers
		Young Community Developers ^a	\$500,000	Up to 395 workers
		Self-Help for the Elderly ^a	\$100,000	Up to 79 workers
		Central City Hospitality House	\$100,000	Up to 79 workers
		<i>To be determined</i> ^b	\$1,000,000	An additional 632 workers
		Total	\$2,000,000	

^a Grant agreements between the San Francisco Foundation and nonprofit organizations have been executed, and funds have been disbursed to nonprofit organizations. Others remain in progress.

^b Program/service delivery is in the planning phase. Grantees and impacts will be reported in subsequent reports.

Exhibit 9: Uses, Impacts, and Grant Status for Programs Funded by Grant Agreements Between the San Francisco Foundation and Nonprofit Organizations With Oversight from the Office of Economic and Workforce Development

Purpose	Description	Nonprofit Organization	Amount	Impact
COVID-19 Related Grants and Loans for Small Businesses	Funding will support the Office of Economic and Workforce Development's existing grant and loan programs for small businesses affected by COVID-19, including \$1,500,000 that has been allocated to the City's African-American Small Business Revolving Loan Fund.	MainStreet Launch ^a	\$1,500,000	At least 30 businesses to access no-interest loans of up to \$50,000
		Mission Economic Development Agency ^a	\$500,000	At least 10 businesses to access no-interest loans of up to \$50,000
		<i>To be determined</i> ^b	\$1,000,000	<i>To be quantified</i> ^b
		Total	\$2,000,000	

^a Grant agreements between the San Francisco Foundation and nonprofit organizations are in progress.

^b Program/service delivery is in the planning phase. Grantees and impacts will be reported in subsequent reports.

In-Kind Donations

The Ninth Supplement, dated April 10, 2020, revised and replaced Item 4 in the Second Supplement to authorize the acceptance and use of goods donated to support the City's COVID-19 response efforts. Some donated goods are received by the Emergency Operations Center's feeding and affordable housing units and are distributed according to highest need by the Logistics Section of the Emergency Operations Center. Other in-kind goods have been donated directly to city departments for their use.

Through June 30, 2020:

- 1,214,454 units of in-kind goods have been donated to and received by Give2SF, with a donation value of \$2,616,664.
- 1,047,651 units have been distributed to city departments by the Emergency Operations Center's Logistics Section.

Exhibit 10 summarizes this information, including valuation by category of donated goods. An attachment to this memorandum shows the individual donations received, including donor name, only for goods received through the Emergency Operations Center (and excludes individual donations received by departments directly for their own use).

Exhibit 10: In-Kind Donations Received by Give2SF and Distributed by the Logistics Section of the Emergency Operations Center

Category	Count Received	Donation Value by Category	Count Distributed*	Count Remaining
Face Shields	2,788	\$11,617	236	2,552
Gloves	517,400	86,727	502,700	14,700
Goggles	3,362	13,970	3,079	283
Gowns	4,372	36,825	1,543	2829
Masks	467,726	1,975,823	355,488	112,238
Other Bulk Items*	151,397	474,092	119,116	32,281
Shoe Covers	1,800	342	-	1,800
Wipes or Sanitizers	65,609	17,268	65,489	120
Total	1,214,454	\$2,616,664	1,047,651	166,803

* Excludes goods purchased and distributed by the Emergency Operations Center's Logistics Section.

Source: Controller's Office, Accounting Operations & Supplier Division; Emergency Operations Center, Logistics Section

Sunshine Ordinance Compliance: Financial Interests and Disclosure of True Sources of Donations

The Sunshine Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67) requires the disclosure of the true source of the donation to the City and any financial interest the donor has involving the City. However, some donations received by Give2SF, both directly and through the San Francisco Foundation, were from donors who wish to remain anonymous. The Controller's Office is following up with donors to try to learn the true source of the anonymous donations to the City and any financial interest the donor may have involving the City. Initial correspondence was sent for all donations received up to June 30, 2020.

To date, only 12 of 2,225 donations (\$210,459 of \$7,559,479) received by Give2SF directly were made anonymously, all of which were made through donor-advised funds. The Controller's Office reached out to all donor-advised funds regarding anonymous donations that they handled and that the City received through June 30, 2020, to inform them of our city laws and respectfully request the donors' names and financial interest confirmations. Also, through June 30, 2020, two donors contacted the Controller's Office to state they do not have a financial interest involving the City. They are identified in the relevant attachment.

Regarding the 12 anonymous donors contacted through their donor-advised funds:

- 2 donor-advised funds confirmed that their donors wish to remain anonymous.
- 10 donor-advised funds have not responded to the Controller's Office's request for information.

The Controller's Office is working collaboratively with the San Francisco Foundation to obtain donor names and financial interests when possible and determine which donors wish to remain anonymous. To date, only 93 of 469 donations (\$53,640 of \$20,869,058) through the San Francisco Foundation were made anonymously, both through donor-advised funds and corporate giving programs.

None of the in-kind donors are anonymous. Two donors of goods have confirmed that they have a financial interest with the City in the form of a contract with one or more city departments. These donors are identified in the relevant attachment.

Policy Options for Anonymous Give2SF Donations Received

Due to either the absence of a response or the explicit refusal of some donors to disclose their identity and possible financial interest with the City, the Controller's Office cannot report on this information and is seeking policymaker guidance on next steps. For example, the Board of Supervisors may move to accept these donations while acknowledging the outreach and identification efforts taken by the Controller's Office to obtain donor information. Alternatively, the Board may order the return of the anonymous donations for which the information required by the Sunshine Ordinance cannot be obtained.

Should you need additional information, please contact me at ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org or (415) 554-7500.

Attachments

- Give2SF Monetary Donations Received
- Give2SF In-Kind Donations Received

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
	Total Through June 30, 2020	\$ 7,559,478.87	\$ 20,869,058.23	
6/30/2020	Matthew Jee	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/29/2020	Lindsay Schapiro and Adam Brudnick	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/29/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/26/2020	Nisit Jirangpitakkul	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/26/2020	Ashley Moore	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/25/2020	David W Dumais	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/23/2020	California Cowboy Apparel	\$ 3,372.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/23/2020	Ryan Moore	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/23/2020	Anonymous Through Fidelity Charitable	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Dropbox	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/22/2020	Ramaswamy Srikant	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Davies Dan	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Amy Felsenthal	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Harold Erdman	\$ 1,800.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Alexis and Rachel Rouda	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Linda Joan Saraf	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Luke Lovett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Janet C Wade	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Anonymous Through Fidelity Charitable	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Kenneth M. Ashford	\$ 45.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Adobe Inc.	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Sephora USA, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Dewitt Tien-Wei Cheng and Marjory J. Richards	\$ 350.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Frank and Carolyn Hoke-Van Orden	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	John Garfinkle Charitable Fund	\$ 7,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Lucy Almers and Sean Rhea	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Jennifer Perini	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	The Johnslington Fund	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Ben Zotto	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Joyce B Renaker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Arlene Waksberg, Charles M Clark	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Mark Brody	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Patricia Mahoney	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Kacey J Clark	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Anonymous Through Fidelity Charitable	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/18/2020	Vesuvio Cafe	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/17/2020	Brian Borromeo	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Apple Computer, Inc. - Matching Gift Program (3 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 850.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Comcast	\$ -	\$ 25,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Gilead Sciences, Inc.	\$ -	\$ 500,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Google Inc. - Matching Gift Program (33 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 6,425.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Netflix - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 3,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Dolby	\$ -	\$ 200,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Simon Rogers - Matching Gift Program (35 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 7,675.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Shreyas R Gandhi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/15/2020	Matthew Munz	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/15/2020	Boris Cherny	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/15/2020	Jack Douglas and Kelly Morton	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/15/2020	Leslie Wellbaum	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/15/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
6/12/2020	Patrick Family Fund	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/12/2020	Mike Grisso and Grace Park	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/11/2020	Reynaldo L. Pantaleon	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/10/2020	Samuel Valdez	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/10/2020	Ira Fateman and Jobeth Walt	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Peter Kinmond	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Pilates In Common Cooperative Inc	\$ 176.85	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Matt Beaumont-Gay	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Josh and Meredith Bauer	\$ 318.50	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Philip A Reitz	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Anne Fuchs-Chesney	\$ 54.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Michael J McGinley	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Jennifer Lin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	Patricia Wise	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	andrew and Nancy Leahy	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	Russell Thau	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	James Cole	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	John J Beam	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	John Cuffney	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	Blue Beyond Consulting, Inc	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	Kristin Anundsen	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	Todd Rydstrom and Mike Dickman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Employee
6/4/2020	AT&T	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/4/2020	Lili Byers and Peter Straus	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/4/2020	Bruce Parker	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/4/2020	Jacob Ostrofsky	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/4/2020	Matthew Jee	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/4/2020	Tom Budgick	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/3/2020	L. R. Ingersoll	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/3/2020	Sarah Owens	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/3/2020	I M Thomson	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/3/2020	Jenny Nguyen and Friends	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/3/2020	Sigma Computing	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/3/2020	Anonymous Through Fidelity Charitable	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Lisel Joseph	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Tess Winlock and Christine Luu	\$ 40,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Ashley B Macy	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Jo Ann Ogden	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Kimberly Low	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Francesca C Vera	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Hung Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Brandon Schwartz	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Anonymous Through Fidelity Charitable	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Akshay Sethi	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Alex Song	\$ -	\$ 98.50	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Ali Miller	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Amita Gajewar	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anna Ramon	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 20.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
6/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Apple Computer, Inc. - Matching Gift Program (17 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 20,212.32	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Benjamin Turner	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Blue Shield of California	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Brandon Holt	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Casey Madden	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Christian Wofford	\$ -	\$ 2,500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Christian Wofford	\$ -	\$ 2,500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Craig Stout	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Daniel Kuo	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Derek Ryan	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Elizabeth Juenger	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Elizabeth Perakis	\$ -	\$ 40.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Eric Gourlaouen	\$ -	\$ 226.16	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
6/1/2020	Genentech, Inc. - Matching Gift Program (9 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 1,470.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Google Inc. - Matching Gift Program (20 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 8,866.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Guillaume Forget	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Heather Moore	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Ivan Tanasic	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Jheel Doshi	\$ -	\$ 49.25	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Jonathan Grobstein	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Julianne McGoldrick	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Julie Nishihara	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Katie Shih	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Kristen Stotts	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Lauren Fernandez	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Lee Newman	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	LinkedIn - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 370.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Marc Franklin	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Microsoft - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 1,100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Nick Turner	\$ -	\$ 83.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Nintendo	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Nitin Nitin	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	NVIDIA - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 700.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Oath Inc	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Patrick Wynn	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
6/1/2020	Piper Sandler Companies	\$ -	\$ 350.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Playstation Cares - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Robert Broesler	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Rob Haynes	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Sabrine Rekik	\$ -	\$ 290.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Sabrine Rekik	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Samuel Kaminsky	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Sandeep Chandna	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Sarah DeMarois	\$ -	\$ 40.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Shalin Mantri	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Stefan Reich	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Theodore Crockin	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	The Omidyar Group	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 450.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	TPG	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Wen-Ting Tsai	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Workday	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Xylem Inc.	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Xylem Inc.	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Zillow Group	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Alina C Lodahl	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Sasha Cuttler RN and Lauren Cuttler RN	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Tap Tap Organics	\$ 112.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Tommy Lin	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Kevin Gao	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
6/1/2020	Carolyn Yao	\$ 15.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Anna Mae Abia	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	William W. Atkins	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Sy Aal	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Robert K. Deel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Arjun Krishna Kumar	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Cindy Changar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Colin McKeehan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Jeffrey R Rigo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Jesse A Guzman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Edward Lesmes Maldonado	\$ 237.81	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	India C Prentice	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Sophie Diao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	David L. Klein, Jr. Fund	\$ -	\$ 7,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/29/2020	Tung Family Fund	\$ -	\$ 2,500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/29/2020	Dara Khosrowshahi	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/29/2020	Susan Pritzker	\$ -	\$ 250,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/29/2020	Judith Tomese	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Arthur and Toni Rembe Rock	\$ 500,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Onebeacon Insurance Group	\$ 2,100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Wesley Wiley and Janice Kendall	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Ms. Jennifer Braun and Mr. Raymond J Ryan	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Nicholas Reavill and Emily Wolahan	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Robert Fearing	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Reuben Family Fund	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Ardian US LLC	\$ 15,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Frances Ohashi	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Leonard Torres	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Connie J Mar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Trisha Thadani	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Karen and Leon Traister	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Heath Massey	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Cary J Fleisher	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Camille Lejeune	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Sheldon Kirchman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/28/2020	Rachelle Axel	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/28/2020	Louis Derosa	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/28/2020	Brenda Tucker	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/28/2020	Andrew Work	\$ 426.50	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/28/2020	James Christie	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/27/2020	Steve and Dianne Leonoudakis	\$ 800.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/27/2020	Gretchen M Ehrenkauffer	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/27/2020	Jason Pellegrini	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/27/2020	Morris Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/27/2020	Rebecca Herman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/27/2020	Crunchbase Inc.	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/27/2020	Iran Narges	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Bruce Johnson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Robin Morales	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Bigote De Gato/Teatro Tin Tan	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Erin Bailey	\$ 297.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Rosa Birch	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Lara Hammamy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Pilates In Common Cooperative, Inc.	\$ 182.40	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Antonia I Ruiz	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Julia Lopez	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Lauren MacGuidwin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Blair G Helsing	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/26/2020	Colin McKeehan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Cassandra McGoldrick	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Thomas X Bockmon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Golden Gate Trauma and Marriage Counseling	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Alison Dame-Boyle	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Evelyn Kelsey	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Stephanie Schneider	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Vivian Fong	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Carmen Chu	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	David and Katherine Dewilde	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Susan Rosen	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Richard L Suen	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Al Crowell	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Ashesha Mehrotra	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Kathleen White	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Matthew B Bohm	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Susan G. Van	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Julia A Pak	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Brenda Tucker, SF Travel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Valeria Wilson	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Lan V Liem	\$ 281.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Marian Halley	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Teresa Feng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Cynthia Gregory	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Richard Lesnick	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Leuwam Tesfai	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Charles Perl and Ted Nguyen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/20/2020	Donal and Nancy Duffy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/20/2020	Stephen Garber and Rena Pasick	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/20/2020	Allison C Vicencio	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/20/2020	Antonio Gurgel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/20/2020	Kristin Tieche	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/20/2020	Denise Selleck	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/20/2020	Rachel Lim	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	Diana R Meistrell	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	Taylor M McNair	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	Pj Crowell, Gold Bloc Artist Collective	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	Chris Wojcicki	\$ 12.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	John Melichar	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	Michael K Truong	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	Tiffany Huang	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	Kawanna Jenkins	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Mark R. and Mauree Jane Perry	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/18/2020	Victor C B Smith	\$ 20,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Ian Solomon Charitable Fund	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	David Bloom	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Box Inc. Fund	\$ 62,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Levin Family Foundation	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Cynthia J Goguen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Stephen Heide	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Audrey E. Groomes	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Bonnie M. Moffett / Eugene V. Moffett	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Nehal and Jenny Fan Raj Fund	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Mark Leno	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Michael Silverman	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Janelle Caywood	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Adam Shaywitz	\$ 318.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Laurel Gaddie	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Betsy Eckstein and David Heller	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Tamisie Vrolyk	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Keith Hardaway	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Jeffrey Briz-Felisilda	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Robert Livingstone	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Cynthia Lin, MD	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Yohana Mehari	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/18/2020	Bianca Doerschlag	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Leah Jackson	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Bryan Wolf	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Peter L Vliet	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Claire R Fram	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Arnel Bautista and Family	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Samantha Steele	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Billy R McFadden	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Scott McFadden	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	David Kidd	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Christian Topham	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Andrew R Hutchinson	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Anton Herasymenko	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Deepak Kumar	\$ 15.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	James L Kilgore	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Clara J Jeffery	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Cara Kritikos	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Derron Thweatt	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Blaine Bookey and Richard T. Walker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Hannah Krier	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Xuantho Pham	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Edward Lesmes Maldonado	\$ 237.81	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Barbara Komansky	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Diane and Sam Sidd-Champion	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Xin Liu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Bradley Collins	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Amy Wollman and Gerry Berkowitz	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Chris Farrell	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Yesenia Lopez	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Yesenia Lopez and Brian Reynoso	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Stephanie Sun	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Thomas Van Dyck	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	JP Kempt Barber & Social	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	James and Jean Hardin	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Mr. Christopher H Lord Ms. Coltrane C. Lord	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Susan Pritzker	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/15/2020	Holly French	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Jim Bolinger	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Harold Liss and Daniela Wellisz	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Stan and Monica Hayes	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Raymond and Joyce Quesada	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Gurlyn Singh Grewal	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Michael Vuong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Prayoonthong Family	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Anne K Gallagher	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Lee Ryan and Nancy Aalberg	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Lester Logue	\$ 15.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Priya and Alex Clemens	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Narayana Pappu	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Sophie Goodwin	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Stuart L Silberman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Carolyn Goossen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Amy Beinart and Gary A Marcus	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Sloan Looney	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Anthony and Kathleen Jones	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Benjamin and Jessie Roodman	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Elizabeth Warner	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Jeffrey Tumlin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Stevana Case	\$ 1,250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Alexandra Sweet	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Gareth J Hoo	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Cyrus Hall	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Joan Wendt	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Stacy and Christiaan Vorkink	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/15/2020	Jacob Y Wang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Marsha Obannon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Matthew Koontz	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Margaret J Handler	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Kristin Henry	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Sarah Cohen and Peter Barschall	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Sherrie Groshong	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Margaret Rubio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Sheila Stuart	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Susan L Karp	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Rodman Rogers MD	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Paul A Allen	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Mary L Miller	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Jonathan A Funk	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Ariel Garcia De La Vega	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Jan and Russ Potts	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Gail M MacGowan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Samuel M. Sobol	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	David J Bloom	\$ 69.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Genevieve Mansfield	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	David E Babbitz	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Jacob G Wellins	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Bradley Tanzman	\$ 12.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Charles T Whipple	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Thomas Hanley	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Kathryn Claiborn	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Mark Barnes	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Kirk Beckstead	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Charlotte L Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Gina Fromer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Henry Minn	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Laura L Munter	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Timothy Alan Simon, Esq.	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Kevin Darling	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Deborah Fellingner	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Erin Loback	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Terri and Robert Ludden	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Cathy Rabin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Diane C Carr	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Nedra Dias	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Alana Ronen	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Emily Johnston	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Sarah Marie Smith	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Tracy Freedman	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Kathryn Marple	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Andrew Y Ong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Andrew Y Ong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Caird Arbona Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Michel Schoemaker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Serapheim Dimitropoulos	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Bruce Colman	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Stephen and Elizabeth Mangum	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Henry Milich	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Judith A Holm	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Steven Kasapi	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Tristan Cameron	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Chana Greene	\$ 450.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Carol Porter	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Arta Zygielbaum	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Robert M Fruchtmann	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Jonathan Cohen	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Catherine Cusic	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Jennie Parrilla and Family	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Andrew Dai	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	The Miners	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Eric Shaw	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Steve Bookbinder and Michelle Chan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/14/2020	Gustave Feldman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Christine Beard	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Winnie Ouyang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Sonja R. Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Michael J. Solomon	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Sandy Leung	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Rachel Hill	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Victor Lin	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Jamie Nargassans	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Caroline Cross	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Vincent Eckert	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Jamal Rayshaun Collins	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Ryan Nichols	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/12/2020	Cotton The First Shirtmaker	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/12/2020	Meghan Kamat	\$ 70.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/12/2020	Selina Selina	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/12/2020	Margaret Wilson	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Iris Fung	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Pilates In Common Cooperative, Inc.	\$ 189.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Denise Powell	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	James Cole	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Ripa Saha	\$ 501.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Mark Watson and Clare Winterton	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Peter Vulgaris and Aimee Lucido	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Heather and Arturo Gonzalez	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Thomas R. and Georgia L. Schuttish	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Lorraine Thompson	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	McMorgan & Company	\$ 7,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Gale Mondry and Bruce Cohen	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Wells Fargo Foundation	\$ 150,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Marcella Vendrell	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Mr. Christopher John Rupright and Mrs. Pamela G.H. Rupright	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Wai M Yee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Stewart Murrie	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Steven Chang	\$ 2,400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Daniel Hertz	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Jason Greco	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Ginger Lau	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Ginger Lau	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	Chime, Inc.	\$ -	\$ 75,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/8/2020	Margaret Hom	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	Kerry Viengvilai	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	Eden Kfir	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	Vivan Som	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	Zarana K Udani	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	Patrick Family Fund	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/7/2020	William S Tannenbaum	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	Andrew Crebar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	Lauren M Harriman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	Mary Mykhaylova	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	James and Janet Respass	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	Cary Bronstein	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	Karthik Balaji	\$ 1,220.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	Andrew Tremblay	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	#Start Small	\$ -	\$ 15,000,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/6/2020	Adriana Grino	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Amanda Schapel	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Stephanie Rose	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Robert Taine	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Felicia Pitre	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Drew Liming	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/6/2020	Jeannie Sun	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Erika Oppen and James A Horton	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Timothy Hsu	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Abraham Mertens and Ivory Madison	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Jesse M King	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Ken Irelan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Laurel Kilgour	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Addison Luria-Roberson	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Shuchita Mishra	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Sherry Coveney	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	GGV Capital	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Divya M Patel	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	James and Rosalind Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Heather and Gene Cutler	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	First Republic Bank	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/4/2020	Jewish Family and Children's Services/ Andy Coblenz and Shari Libicki Donor Advised Continuity Fund	\$ -	\$ 2,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/4/2020	Google, LLC	\$ 500,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	George H. Rey	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Michael Macia	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Daphne Wray	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Wilson J Lam/Mary Leong Lam	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	H. Kamimoto	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Timothy Yip	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Kathy McCormick	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Darrin Ward	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Michael Moghaddam	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Chris Lesch	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	The Boudreau Ma Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Tiffany Edwards	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Sarah Kaplan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Alexa Hansen	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Linda Wilford and Randall Ham	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	David N Goldman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Charles Magahern	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Jonathan B Hernandez	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Ramila Desai	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Jonathon M Grist	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Sarah Chuck	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Owen G Auch	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Reynaldo L. Pantaleon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Pagoda Arts Laser Cutting	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Rhisa C Muse	\$ 3,041.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	The Yunger Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Lifschultz-Stiepleman Family	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Telegraph Hill Software	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Steffen Frech	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Aleksandra Ponomareva	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Andrew Vernon	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Colin McKeenan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Robert Pooley	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Kevin Metcalf	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	David De Valeria	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Edward Lesmes Maldonado	\$ 237.80	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Cotton The First Shirtmaker	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Nordlund Family	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Carolyn White	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Caroline Young	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	GIC	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Lizzette Otlang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	JCF's Covid-19 Fund	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/1/2020	Golden Gate Marriage and Trauma Therapy Center	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Ankit Vaish	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Barbara Bernstein and John Tibbetts	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Mathew Honan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Allie Siu and Andrew O'Connor	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Frederico Rocha	\$ 70.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Frank and Carol Buonagurio	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Elysia B Su	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Alex Moskowitz	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (67 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 18,170.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/30/2020	Ann and Jesse Agbayani	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Kristen Kalez	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Sarah Kiani	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Dennis Wei	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Jody Reiss	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Emily A Headden	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Janet Clyde	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Thousandeyes	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Slack	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/29/2020	Joyce A Calagos	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	James Thomas Conte	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Debra E. Marchi	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Gianluca Franzese	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	The Bushichols Fund	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Goldberger-Barnett Donor Advised Fund	\$ 4,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Isaac Brodsky	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Olagappan Manickam	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Tania Lee and Brianna Lee	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Iris S Johnson-Edlund/Robin E McNally	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Tracy Chapman	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Natalee McIntyre	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	David Heflin	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Joaquin N Torres	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Eliot Kent-Uritam	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Steve Pepple	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Wendy Rothenberg	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Jonathan Ferrugia	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Helen Zhang	\$ 750.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Alyssa Saquilayan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Stanley Mandell	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Gaye Beceren	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Wee-Yong Ong	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Helen Bai	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Lauren Poole and Charles Masten	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Gong.io	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Annette M Lai	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Timothy C Dunn	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Andrea Aranda	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Pacific Gas & Electric Company	\$ -	\$ 15,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/27/2020	The Hollrah Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Mary A Garcia Tejada	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	The Fernberg Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Bernadette C. Tyler	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Kylee Lessard	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Janis Greenspan	\$ 19.94	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Francoise Herrmann	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Andrea Nickerson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Julie Kalter	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Owenmark Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Mia Risher	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Adra Upadhyaya	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/27/2020	Nila Bogue Staudt	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	David Kaskowitz and Susan Kahn	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Jolie Gines	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Liana Y Szeto	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Pilates In Common Cooperative, Inc.	\$ 187.95	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Peter Leaf	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Carole E Deitrich	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Bruce Bowden Johnson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Phil	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Robert Lim and Elaine Khoong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Geoffrey Bauman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Siu Ling Chen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Evelyn Kelsey	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Chris Emanuel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Matthew C Miller	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Ann and Kwan Chen	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Kara Maria Art Studio	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Brian Streiffer and Stefanie Eldred	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Joanne Wong	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Sara Zak	\$ 27.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Pete Warden	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Florabel Flavio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Sarquis Mejia Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Jane Lang	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Laney Whitcanack	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Haruko Hata	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Magdalena R Blackmer	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Julie E Taylor	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Mario Lanao	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Emily Fong	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Meredith N Derecho	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Esther Landau and Caroline Pincus	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Sarah Ta	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Cotton The First Shirtmaker	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Jean I. Korn	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Rachel I Mozesson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Jeffrey Nigh	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Impact Assets	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/24/2020	Ivy Ngo	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Nancy Y Lui	\$ 99.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Tailors' Keep	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Ko Ko Zin and Laura Lynn	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Robert Reinhard	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Pamela Rockwell	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Bettie Holaday	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	The Jacobson Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	The Schmidt Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Jeanine Nicholson	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Rebecca Gaynor	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	William R. Conrad	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Ed Pascucci	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Bruce H Agid	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Bank of America	\$ -	\$ 200,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/23/2020	Comcast	\$ -	\$ 25,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/23/2020	Anonymous Through Jewish Communal Fund	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Hamid and Christina Moghadam	\$ 150,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/23/2020	The Garward Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Bo Meng	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Akiyo Kinst-Hori	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Suk P Kwan	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Annie Palmer and Will Georgantas	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/23/2020	Peter Woods	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Kathleen Sasso	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Lionel E Trufant	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Heorhi Fedchanka	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Karlyn Tjaden	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Dr. Carolyn Scott	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Ganesh Seshan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Clay and Dagmar Crichton	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Maeve Metzger	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Neil David Byres	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Jonathan Lai	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Susan Livingood	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Excel Plumbing Supply	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Dilara T. Mehmed	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Hoang Cuong	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Valerie Aubel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Sara Spengler	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Tom and Alice Pulliam	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Lisa Ligon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Samuel K Wilson	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Sandra LaFerrera	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Lauren M Harriman	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Elizabeth Totten	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Aditya Chakraborty	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Cynthia Guynn	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Christine Chudd	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Judith M. Coulter	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Simmonds & Narita LLP	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Meiko S Simada	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Serra C Akgun	\$ 60.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Robert Morrison	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	James Jude Jr	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Wil Curiel	\$ 1,681.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Randy M Girer	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	The Sprague's	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Shabnam Dadkhah	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Gina M Castro	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Hillary A Ronen	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Howard and Missy Isaacson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Dhruv Maheshwari	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Jared Brown	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Lovgreen's	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Sheryl Evans Davis	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Bruce Seidel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Shivshakti Foundation	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	John Robin Orme	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Chloe Hill	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Daniel Adams	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Lanedin Robbins	\$ 440.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Chloe Hill	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Infinity Services LLC	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Naomi Kelly	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Josh Taylor	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Julie L Campioni	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Aaron G Calhoun	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Josh Mukhopadhyay	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Kevin Frank and Abby Ramsden	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Manaswini Garimella	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Sean Elsbernd	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/21/2020	David W Dumais	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Taylor Tromburg	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Madeline Bredouw	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Cathy Hong	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Kristin Leung	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Guozi Dai	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/21/2020	Rupesh D Chavan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (15 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 8,500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/20/2020	Xiomara Holsworth	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Joseph M. McCune III/Karen Kaye Smith-McCune	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Raymond Chan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Claire Solot and Sinjin Bain, Bigglesworth Family Foundation	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Jennifer Braun	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Borenstein - Strauss Family Fund	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Moldaw Family	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Alex Kuo	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Nartker Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Isha and Rishabh Jain	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	D'Anne Duncan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	John Robert Bernhelm	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Kelle Pedro	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Christine Tran	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	John Robinson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Kiranmayee Suryadevara	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Cotton The First Shirtmaker	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Matthew Waters	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Billy and Michelle McRae	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Richard A Johnson	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Laura D Straus	\$ 900.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Madhavi Maheshwari	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Jeremy Gonzales	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Scott Levokove	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Laura E Sanman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Roxanne Brittain	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	True Sake	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Katherine Lam	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Fred Holub and Geoff McNally	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Michael Gleeson	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Arjan Schutte	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Candice Wold	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Caroline A Cooper	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Katherine Wang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Charlie Osborne	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Osorno Family	\$ 34.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Volley	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Volley	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Lei Xiang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Nathaniel Fruchter	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Michael A Barnett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Andrea Cathcart	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Paul and DeAnn Work	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Vikram Mohan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Priscilla Tov	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Roman Martinez	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	ZS Associates, Inc.	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Craig McFadden	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Hanqing Huang	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Catherine Geewax	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Adanya Lustig	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Piper Lewis	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Malena Spar	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Cinta Lewis	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	John J Beam	\$ 2,400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Soneri Chaturvedi	\$ 70.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Jaren Bonillo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Erin Bailey	\$ 52.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Mapbox	\$ 4,400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	David L. Klein, Jr. Fund	\$ -	\$ 3,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/16/2020	Friedman/Meyer Fund	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/16/2020	Emma Fisher c/o Hirsch & Associates	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/16/2020	LinkedIn For Good	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/16/2020	John & Marcia Goldman Foundation	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Hercules Capital	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Onur Burak Yildirim	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Swanson Family	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	James Wilsterman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Asma Stephan	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Neeta Sahadev	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Darren Olson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	James Lovette-Black	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Raghavendra Sundresh	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Hanqing Huang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Sean Sorrell	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Megan Willson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Bethany L Taylor	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Jeremy Gordon Frisch	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Cota Capital	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Gabriel A Mantegna	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	David A Petzold	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Mapel-Lee Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Emily Bussiere	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Courtney L Dolan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Isabelle Boin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Steele and Marsha Davidoff	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Ricky A Yee	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Mikhal and Ron Bouganim	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Mukesh Agrawal	\$ 1,300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Josh Hannah and Denise Yamamoto	\$ 7,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Daniel Ng and Gemma Gaisano	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Puja Ramani	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Teresa Jones	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	The Salem Family	\$ 108.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Brian H Lee	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Rigney Turnham	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Cotton The First Shirtmaker	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Theodore J Kwong	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Aaron Rabideau	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Jason C Wong	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Willy A Saldana	\$ 60.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Andrew M Chen	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Derek Dong	\$ 60.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Finkle Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Lara D'Emilio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Kyle and Tracy Voght Charitable Fund	\$ -	\$ 50,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/14/2020	Mark A White	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Colin McKeehan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Reiri Sono	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Robert Deel	\$ 1,130.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	John Foley	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Matthew Cooper	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Tom Scharffenberger and Vicky Simonds	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	John W. Crittenden	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Tailors Keep	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Catherine Reilly	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Jiajun Zhu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Valerie Law	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/13/2020	Wagmore Benevolent IV Fund	\$ -	\$ 750.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/13/2020	Salesforce.Com	\$ 1,500,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Gerson Bakar Foundation	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Mr. Jeffrey Gordon Lawson and Mrs. Erica Freeman Lawson	\$ 500,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Mr. Joshua A. Lippman and Mrs. Lauren Lippman	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Sarah Charlton & Andrew Braithwaite Charitable Fund	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Lisa Stone Pritzker Family Foundation	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	John C. Clifford and Katrina M. Lake	\$ 25,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	The Richard and Nancy Blum Fund	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Miranda Dietz	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Isaac Brodsky	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Kristin L Anundsen (IRA) WFCS As Custodian	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	L Sabau	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Tami Bryant For Democratic County Central Committee 2020 P	\$ 123.72	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	John C Carrillo	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Leigh Kloss	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Meridee Moore and Kevin King	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Natalie Olin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Brandon R Wirakesuma	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Sara A Spencer	\$ 35.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Emiko Oye	\$ 43.49	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Jeanne Zara Lim	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Pilates In Common Cooperative, Inc.	\$ 178.80	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Bob and Daphne Bransten	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Barbara L Jue	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Taylor Carroll	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Sukanda Odonnell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Marielle Price	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Siddharth Mandava	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Mable Woo	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Josina Reddy	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Christopher Wittman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Xiaying Li	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Tyler Sonnemaker	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Yingzhuo Zhao	\$ 900.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Lila W Tyler	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Michelle Tallin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Jacqueline Lesage	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Anna McBee	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Paige S Rossi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Mio Nitta	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Yun Zhao	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Larry V. Pulliam and Ivan L. Hodgson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Regina O'Shaughnessy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Aditi Maheshwari	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Regarding Art/San Francisco	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Waymo, LLC	\$ -	\$ 50,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/10/2020	Ray and Dagmar Dolby	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Jon Ying	\$ 15,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Lisa and Jeffrey Lin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Realty San Francisco Church	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Debra Guskin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Maryann Hulsman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Kristie Kookan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	GIC Real Estate, Inc.	\$ 20,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Mike D Ikeda	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Jeffrey Tumlin	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Yvonne Young	\$ 800.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Erin W Tou	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Mark A Rathbun	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Legacy Maker LLC	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Meghan Guerin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/9/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/9/2020	Nion T. McEvoy, Sr.	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/9/2020	Eileen and Peter Michael Fund	\$ -	\$ 15,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/9/2020	The Green Cross	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/9/2020	Archangel Pangan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/9/2020	Alice Yen and Garrett Edell	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/9/2020	Sara R Corrigan	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/9/2020	Lori Mason	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/9/2020	Elizabeth Harrington	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/9/2020	Patrick Tam	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/9/2020	Stephen Reichling and Paul Armer	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	D. Wilsey Properties Co.	\$ 111,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Addison Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Elizabeth F Smith	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Cynthia Rancatore	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Jane Gong	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Chia-Tsung Chou	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Boramy Khloth	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Cesar D Iraheta	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Connor Cimowsky	\$ 162.65	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Chris and Melissa Retajczyk	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Adam L Spector	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Samantha and Steven Shows	\$ 800.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Lendlease Development	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Marc Haeberlin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Juliana Appenrodt	\$ 15.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Charles and Nancy Ho	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Rebecca Brown	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Lori Yamauchi and James Fagler	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Pierre-Eric Jacoupy	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Jarie Bolander	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Rajvi Joshi	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Patel Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Lashanda Greene	\$ 60.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Sarah P Delaney	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Amelia May Teng Wong	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Melissa Nelli	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	The Gardner Family	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Daqanq Wei	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Kyle and Tracy Voght Charitable Fund	\$ -	\$ 111,682.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/6/2020	The Stupski Foundation	\$ -	\$ 500,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/6/2020	Anonymous Through Silicon Valley Community Foundation	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Uskglass Fund	\$ 150,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	John Pritzker Family Fund	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Chun Yang Fund	\$ 700.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	The Newman-Montella Charitable Gift Fund	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Goldberger-Barnett Donor Advised Fund	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Suzanne S Choi/Mimi M.K. Choi	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Anonymous Through Fidelity Charitable	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Sam Stokes	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Dennis Sell	\$ 315.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Smith Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	James Cole	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Sangha C Han	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Theodore Lamm	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Melissa Woo	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Aditya Kota	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Tak M Poon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/6/2020	Carolyn Wong	\$ 118.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Karen Reyna	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Michael Frankenfield	\$ 3.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Ronan Murphy	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Li Sun	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	John G Zlatunich	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Wayne Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Carole E Deitrich	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Roselee Greenholtz	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	David Goldbrenner	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Anand Ramesh	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Frederick Chatfield	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Teresa Goebel	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Ruth C Dimagmaliw	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Lisa He	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Phoebe Signer	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Stefanie Arthur	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Michelle Tallin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Julia Lee	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Michael Xing	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Angeline M Miranda	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Guojian He	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Guojian He	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Carson Rickey	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Jeff Karas and Josephine Fong	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Aimee Pierce	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Carly Webster	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Jonathan S Chan	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Brian Ayuban	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Charles Z Mooney	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Steven H Fowler	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Michele C Jackson	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Rene Venegas	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Hannah L Byers-Straus	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Tal Shprecher	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Aneel Bhusri	\$ -	\$ 995,010.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/3/2020	Nebiyu D Tegegn	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Juan Bosco Albanell Flores	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Edith N Williams	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Jeffrey Hlnbrand	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Chung Yan Lo	\$ 6,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Larry Rosenstein	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Inder Narula	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Mara Ezekiel	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Jaclyn Karpiak	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Manolito L. Claudel	\$ 130.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Cinta Lewis	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Suzanne Mero	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Tonya M Grootendorst	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Tomoya Ogura	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Brennan J Hom	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Lisa J Lightman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Matt Wright and Kristen Espinosa	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Jane Petersen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Pincus Family Fund	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/2/2020	Norman Schlossberg	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Scott Mauvais	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Tiffany Lee	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Alice T Rogers	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Chen Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Marco Bianchi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Colleen Boddy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Chloe Agape	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/2/2020	Steve and Dianne Leonoudakis	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Anthony Federico	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Tiffany Wong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Kara Gillis	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Karlo Berket	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Jordan Jewell	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Jessie Cheng Charitable Foundation	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Jake Saper and Dannie Herzberg	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Du Yun	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Lillian Bui	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Thomas Carabajal	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Evonne Chen	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	IREX Iraq Program Team	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Berkshire Partners	\$ 58,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Amy Hall	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Elliot Kendall	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Bruce Agid	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Camelin Blackstone	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Tianxuan Chen	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	The Andersons	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Lillian Tsay	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Joshua Taylor	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Chih Yi Hsieh	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Irene Zhou	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Angela Huang	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Grammarly, Inc.	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Evan Fried	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Laurel Bailey	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Alison S Lycette	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Cecilie Wrye	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Gregory Klasuner	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Pablo Vargas	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/31/2020	Ann and Gordon Getty	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Laurie Bouck	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Sarah Cirone	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Rahul Patel	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Elizabeth I Powers	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Zoe Camille McBride	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	The Burkhart-Fitzgerald Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Shane Dewael	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Robert Li	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Cinta Lewis	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Michelle Ann Taylor	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Elizabeth N Rosseter	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Anna Mei-Hsiu, Chien	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Buried Signal LLC	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Qin M Liang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Lay Tshu Tan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Neal P Mhaskar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Sophie Hwang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Kristiina Kansan	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Diane Turner	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Alexander White	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Roger O Hernandez	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Ava C Yap	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Abigail Owens	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Ansley Peduru	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Belinda Yamate	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/30/2020	Brian Calvert	\$ -	\$ 1,350.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Bridget Collins	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Carden Bagwell	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Charles Harrington	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Chris Van Dusen	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Daniel Ammann	\$ -	\$ 8,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Daniel Edwards	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Daniel Kuljis	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Daniel Tien	\$ -	\$ 51.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Eben Freeman	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Edmond Jordan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Elton Loberternos	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Emily Lakritz	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Emmanuel Turley	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Erica Banh	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Franck Lefebvre	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Ishan Singh	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Jennifer Blight	\$ -	\$ 350.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Jennifer Huang	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Jessica Yao	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Jonathon Ryan Gillespie	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Kaitlyn Williams	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/30/2020	Kristy Anne Boyd	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Luke Pulaski	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Manjukumar Harthikote Matha	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Marko Kudjerski	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Matthew Anderson	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Max Meyers	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Nandini Arora	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Nicholas Decker	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Nicholas Robinson	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Nolan Finn	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Olivia Tsai	\$ -	\$ 20.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Raya Islan	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Rebekah Brandt	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Santiago Vargas Soto	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	The Conway Family Charitable Fund	\$ -	\$ 50,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Sierra Gegenheimer	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Thomas Melanson	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Yunfei Wang	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Anonymous Through Fidelity Charitable	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Charlie Stigler	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Ben Zotto	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Anonymous Through Schwab Charitable	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Alexander Family Fund	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Okelola	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Janet T. Oyama	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	The House Of Gatto Revocable Trust - JJ Bergovoy Trustee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Shirley Mei	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Robert C Long III	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Dave Piazza and Dakota Chase	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Vania Fong	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Richard Avendano	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Siyao Zhu	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/30/2020	Caven Family	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Ellen Wang	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Richard J Lawne	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Sarah S Kaplan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Rachel Pia D'Agostino	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Michael Chen	\$ 347.28	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Rosny Daniel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Kathleen Damore	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Cinta Lewis	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Drake Piper	\$ 6.65	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Drake Piper	\$ 5.86	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Even/Odd Films	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Kalyani Girvanesh	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Laura Tessin and Matthias Plappert	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Jennifer D Ng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Michael Mills	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Vardhman Jain	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Mike Berkowitz and Debbie Tuttle	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Laura Humbrecht	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Aishwarya Borkar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Kunal Sharma	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Zhize Wang	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	William Koury	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Jessica Finkel	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Pilates In Common Cooperative, Inc.	\$ 135.15	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Noelle L'Etoile	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Susan Baker-Lehne	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Katie Thomas	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Gaurav Murade	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Victor Ronin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Anthony D. Truong	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Christopher S Rossi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Rik Williams	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Stacey Harte	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Cui Yu Huang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Daniel L Jiang	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Jenna Theisen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Michelle Fishberg and Vu Nguyen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Hannah Katherine Long	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Joseph M. Imbriani	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Ian Luo	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Monica Q Culanay	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Leon Parker	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Loretta Jones	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Rani Manoharan	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Jay Anderson	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Ian Whelan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Shubham Naik	\$ 284.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Jimmy Hsu	\$ 142.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Amy T Herbertson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Michael Murray	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Amy D Lu	\$ 350.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Ayushi Samaddar	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Consuelo Spalding	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Jonathan S Abramson	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Shanna Wagner	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Damon Uyeda	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Cindy Muzio	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Nancy McCormick	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Timothee Geoghegan	\$ 3,580.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Sheli Chabon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Susan Karp and Paul Haahr	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Bo Meng	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Blake, Rachael, and Rose Davidoff	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Hung Dinh	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Rohan Natraj	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/30/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	The Baizels	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Janet Y Spears and Rae M. Laguna	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Matthew S Dietz	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Phillip Kobernick	\$ 36.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/29/2020	Anjuli Felix	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Brooks Reed	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Christopher Phan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Michael Rusignola	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Namrata Rao	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Nariman Moezzi Madani	\$ -	\$ 30.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Neal Uppal	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Nicholas Christian	\$ -	\$ 15.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Sneha Sinha	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Unnikrishnan Nair	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Victor Oliveira	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Benjamin Goldstein	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Charles Matlack	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Matthew Fornero	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Sean Harris	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Sean Harris	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Sheila Egan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Andrea Santwier	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Devin Cass	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Elizabeth Sellier	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Eugene Wong	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/27/2020	Jessica Yao	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Violet World Foundation	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Shitao Zheng	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Matthew L Schumpert	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Dimple Kapadia	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Alisa Diane Calvillo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Gateway Roofing and Waterproofing, Inc.	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	GRC Roofing, Inc.	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Kristie Lee and Louis Dudley	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	James Laureys	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Rick HN Curvers	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Alexis Leifheit	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Holly Haraguchi	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Mallory P Brown	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Suzanna Khatchatrian	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Leon Zhang	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	William Summer	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Tina and Oliver Burgelman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Melanie Pratt	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Kirill Zhukov	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	James King	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Peter Kinmond	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Lawrence S Lansing	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Jeremy Apthorp	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Dan Evans	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Anna Merritt and Dean Weesner	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Zachary Olson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Scott M Sandler	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Scotty Huhn	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Jesse Bounds	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Cyndi Wheeler	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Tyler and Teresa Herb	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Jennifer Murse	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Veronica Abreu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Ashley Harvey	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Daniel Malmkvist	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Guohua Zheng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Yanna Tong	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Guohua Zheng	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	David and Laura Hecht	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Mitchell Averett Harris	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Fatema Waliji	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Brendan O'Hare	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Crankstart Foundation	\$ -	\$ 500,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Griffin Childers	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Hellman Foundation	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Hon Kwok	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Justin DeCell	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/26/2020	Karen Sun	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Olivia Isaac	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Shashwat Kandadai	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Tom Raith	\$ -	\$ 20.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Vinitha Suresh	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Walter Gray	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Kaicheng Chang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Charla Kaul	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Diana Hsu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Diane L Huang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Sarah Israel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Yvonne Yau	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Linda Pham	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Lauren Slack	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Hannah B Gordon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Alison Stosich	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Jamila Keba	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Charles Olson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Shannon Wells	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Hilary Hsu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Rengasudharsan Srinivasan	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Jorge A Lopez	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Franklin Yam Ching	\$ 15.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Bryan Lee	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Natalia Mendez Cortes	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Yannru Cheng	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Dan Davies	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Franklyn O Bakala	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Karen Goldenberg	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Irene Yee Riley	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Jason Maynard	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Maryellen McGillan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Kari Nordvik	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Louis R Acresti	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Dana Riess	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Adriana Rosas	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Alexandri Zavodny	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Amber Illig	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Andrew Acosta	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Anup Parameswaren	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Cody Neil	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Craig Callihan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/25/2020	Eileen Bai	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Erik Danko	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Erin Antcliffe	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Fen Chen	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Feng Zhong	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Karine Mule	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Kelsey Abdollahian	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Luke Pulaski	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Mahesh Seetharaman	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Marie Ledger	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Matthieu Fond	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Meet Bhagde	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Michael Pierce	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Michael Plotz	\$ -	\$ 360.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Moshe Ororn	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Rafael Quiroz	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Raluca Musaloiu-Elefteri	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Robert Grant	\$ -	\$ 1,200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Sahil Narang	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Shakti Shah	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Stephanie Box	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Taylor Thomas	\$ -	\$ 10.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/25/2020	Thomas Boyd	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Wyatt Alt	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Zhichang Yan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Fan Tielking	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Anna Schomer	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Hailey C Teton	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Elizabeth A. Carey	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Peter Straus and Lili Byers	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Kaitlyn Fowler	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Zack Morris	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Brad Girardeau	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	William L Rohrer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Patrick J Maley	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	George and Meiluh Lee	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Vaish Srivathsan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	James W Pace	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Andrew Chan and Yena Kim	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Shalini Shashi Kumar Shankar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	David Kennedy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Albert Lee	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Carolyn Tom and E Matta Jr	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Richard L Ledon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Brian Ohearn	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Paula Gerhardt	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Sara Dermody	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Wai Chung Wong	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Margaret and Henry Brodtkin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Saining Li	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Vamshi Krishna Repala	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Olivia Malterre	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Rachit Nandwani and Priyanka Singh	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Rita Hao	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Ezra M Rufino	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Sriram Krishnan	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Sarah J Aerni	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Andrew Branscomb	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Ashlee Kirsten Tsukushi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Bradley Zundel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Junan and Shanna Pang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Pin-Ya Tseng	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Kirsti Aho	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Colin Denman	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Josephine Simon	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Holly J Allen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Richard W Bailey III	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Nola Ong	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Liz Marxen	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Steven Kasapi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Simon Tan	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Slack For Good	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Michael McKeon	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Sanjay Mani	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Saurabh Sahni	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Amanda Jacob	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Amy Kepler	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Anant Rathi	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/24/2020	Bruce Botsford	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Divya Thakur	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Matthew Goudeau	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Peter Martin	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Rachelle Celebrezze	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Savannah Leggett	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Shahram Rezaei	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Sue-Ling Huynh	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Tristan Zier	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Tyalar Cunnington	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Tyler Tate	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Wenbing Bai	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Carol S Michely	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Richard and Phillip Gonzalez	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Kristine Boyden and Scott Taylor	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Brian P Marentay	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Deirdre Hussey	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Hala K Hijazi	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Sherry J Wickwire	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Mary Rose Costello	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Brian J Tarricone	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Alexander Venegas	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Susanna Goldenstein	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Andreas Pedersen	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Colleen Regan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Lauren Tulp	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Yu Chen Hou	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Martin Mackerel	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Matt Grigoryan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Marion Holaday	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Corey Block	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Laura Spaventa	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Anna Brown	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Github Social Impact Team	\$ 800.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Asdrubal Ibarra	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Pranay Suresh	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Elizabeth Ramirez	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Veena Bontu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Crystal Dolis	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Shirley Li	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Khariza Estacio	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Jeffrey C Kuo	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Ben Villagra	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Anand Ramesh	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/24/2020	Steven Kaplan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Karlyn Tjaden	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Berthoux Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Irene Lee	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Alice Liu	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Albert Q. Pham	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Albert Yang	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Anvesh Kunati	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Arkadeb Ghosal	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Ashley Sams	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Cassandra Clark	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Daniel Tien	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	David Rubin	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Eric Lujan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Ian Swarbrick	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Jonathan Tang	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Kenneth Fendick	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Kevin Keogh	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Kevin Metti	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Louis Roseguo	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Preston-Werner Foundation	\$ -	\$ 250,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Ramachandran Jagadeeswaran	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Rishab Sareen	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Robert Ussery	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Roman Sergeev	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Spencer Hoffman	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/23/2020	Stefanie Von Guten	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Stephen Staffieri	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Syed Hussain	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Wilbur Arajuo	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Yi-Yu Chen	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Sixth Street Partners	\$ 25,000.00	\$ -	None
3/23/2020	Anonymous Through Schwab Charitable	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jennifer L Scheidt/James D Gold	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Gibson B. Biddle and Kristen M. Hege	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Randi Slaughter-Broussal	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Andrea Freedman	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Will and Julie Parish	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Raymond P Hoehn, Jr	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Shannon N Bennett/Durrell D Kapan	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Ben, Ruby and Jasper Shaw, and Suzanne Thomas	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	The Frances Ho and George Yu Endowment	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Michael Gold/Susan West	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Barbara Benjamin	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Nicholas C Fox	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	David A Herman	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Desiye Neil Collier	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Philip J Rose	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Julie Trescott	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Ross A Boucher Trust - Ross Aaron Boucher Trustee	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Anonymous Through Fidelity Charitable	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Connie Rawson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sumeet and Preeyanka Ajmani	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	David Wohlreich	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Tiffany Hsu	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Erica J Steimetz	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	James C Moschou	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Philipp Kuecukyan	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Eugenia Lee	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sheila D. Gunter	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Steven and Kay Sheh	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Ying He	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Long Tang and Kian Lim	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sara Winslow	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sarah E Scussel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Robert Combier	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Alvin Baum and Robert Holgate	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Patrick Lee	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Erin Laye	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sabrina Rezik	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	S Leslie Goldstein	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sarah Locke-Henderson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Brandon Schwartz	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Kamal Benkiran	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Pacini Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Christopher Aycoc	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Audrey K Tang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Liam Doyle	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Mindy Ginsburg	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Gregory S. Borman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Erin Lane	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Shalin Modi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/23/2020	Kristin Rhodes	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Kelsey Villalobos	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Emma L Dill	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Stephan Zuercher	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Adam J Desouza	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Vishal Singal	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Maria Pasos-Nunez and Richard Nunez	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Haoyang Zhu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sanjana Ramana	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Andrew G Scott	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Noah Levin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Linda Lin	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Troxel Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	The Hartsell Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Nick and Shannon O'Shea	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Erika Brown Ekiel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Walter A Haas	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Rachel Baker	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Elzbieta Gibbons	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sean Sorrell	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Ryan Lanteigne	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Douglas Coker	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Eric C Schwartz	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Ilona Smuk	\$ 1.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Andrew J Seigner	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Julia Lee	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Andrew Larsen	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Aish Raj Dahal	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Bo Meng	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Fenny Lin	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Adam Greenberg	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	London Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Vishal Seshagiri	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Lauren Sassoubre	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Steve Cary and Sean McBride	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Elizabeth and Jinal Surti	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Ji Young Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jana Messerschmidt	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jordon Wing	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Ellen Kort Price	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Family Suendermann-Oeft	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Degree, Inc. dba Lattice	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Heidi R Hamilton	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Drift Enterprise Csms	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	McAuliffe Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Kelly K Pagano	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Swathi Bonda	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Denise Allen	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Erik E Rotman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jacqueline O'Dwyer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jacquelyn M Horton	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sania Baqai	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Kevin Burke	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Michael Panoff	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Courtney Anne Bell	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Matthew G Crocker	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Angela Avera	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Kameela Din	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Selina B Wang	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Evelyn Killaby	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sarah Saltrick Meyer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Glenn Thomas	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Drew Schuster and Krista Forgey	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jane A Sherman	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Andrew Timmons	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jessica Fain	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Joshua A Stubbs	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/23/2020	Naman Agrawal	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Matt Lewis	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Lori Dietrich	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Caia Brookes	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Crystal Le	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Christopher M Wade	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	The Harper Family	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Danny S Gonzalez	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Joan Gamell	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sascha Benjamin Cohen	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Peter L Scott	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jennifer Liu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Killian Murphy and Elizabeth Drew	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Benjamin Shulman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Andrew Scarani	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Ralph Richart	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Sean M Kane	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Shawn Grunberger and Debbie Kahn	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Sylvia Irene Sroba	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Thomas M Gloger	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	The Schnouies	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Chloe Aftel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Neha Batra	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Michael Young	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Christopher Shewchuck	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Sharon Coone	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Emily R McNab	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Samuel and Rebecca Garfield	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Kyle Petrovich	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Elizabeth Reid	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Maliha Khan and Alan Cordova	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Jean Dere	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Claire Markham	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Liezel Cruz Family	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Riddhi Shah	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Neil House III	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Diana Tsao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Amanda Eller	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Randall Maycock	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Ruud Visser	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Kamryn Claridge	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Volley Inc	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Alyssa M Lim	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Valerie Stevens	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Gaurav Gollerkeri	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Epic Church San Francisco	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Jeannine Fleck	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Matt Zwicky	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Amy Jiang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Eric Chun	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Patricia Perozo	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Nancy Pan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Vaishali K Mullapudi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Catherine Jue	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Jue Zou	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Colin Beighley	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Rafaela De Oliveira	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Bingxin Zhang	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Sangmin Lee	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Vanessa Ginman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Monique Gannon	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Jordan Rose	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Alexandra Wong	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Michael Holmes	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Stewart Mandel	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Peter L Vliet	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Maria S Chang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/19/2020	Cameron J Lencki	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	John Stedman	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Alexander Kumamoto	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Guannan Shi	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Colleen Chung	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Grace Sakoda	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Cory Bennett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Kristan Frankel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Mark F Taylor	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Kirsten and Chris Byron	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Sonia Lawrence	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Cheryl Contee	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Michael Rolig	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Louis M Goudeau	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Joanna Ga Wah Gee	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Samantha Harrington	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Ruth Sappelt	\$ 99.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Corey Vernon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	April Gaudette	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Snuller and Erika Price	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Carl Stein	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Menaka Fernando	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Amy Chen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Emily McLinden	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Christiana Lackner	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Zach Thigpen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Annemaria Breaux	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Alice Zheng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Eric Chong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Liam Pedersen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Doug and Ellen Kahn	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Natasha Dimond	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Sheila Vergara and Elkin Chacon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Ben Neumann	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Justin Durack	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Wey Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Susan J Adams	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Da-Yup Kim	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Nana Kofi K Ohene-Adu	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Melanie Day	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Rodrigo Manubens	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Christina Pluta	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Leila M Dwight	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Hayley N Gross	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Katherine Lohec	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Nira Pandya and Neel Iyer	\$ 350.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Elizabeth Hamel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Alexander S Majercik	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Jaclyn H Prange	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Nicole Appleton	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Won Jun Bae	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Hannah Schlacter	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Tito and Sharon Piansay	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Marissa Phillips	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Lindsey Hogg	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Nicole Glabinski	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Michael Zhang	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Sarah Chan	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Yash Kshirsagar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Kathryn Garner	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Liz Catalano	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Elliot Kendall	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Juanjuan Han	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	David Nolan	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Ryan Ryan	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Ingfei Chen	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Jennifer A Plath	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/18/2020	Pierce Street SF, LLC	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Lisa Lin	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Schulkin Family	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Cynthia and Adi Berglez	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Rica Santos	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Gary and Linda Zellerbach	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Paul Chu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Atrejo Patridge	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Lara K Owen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Aileen Appe	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	John Robinson	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Yejin Kwon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Jazmine Applin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Sarah Maisel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Jonathan Meade	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Rachel Hill	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Glennis Coursey	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Patty Kong and Philippe Fossier	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Philip Chu and Corinna Lee	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Joseph and Margaret Ciarallo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Craig and Lorraine Mautner	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Charlotte and Tyler Will	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Team Elbogen	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	The Hatfield Family	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Catherine and Trey House	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Nathaniel Stanley	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Leonore Ralston	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Luanne Sequeira	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Bill Smullin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Jonathan Eldridge	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Molly Alarcon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Emily Martinez	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Helen Ung	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Scott Numamoto	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Ana Gardea and Zac Cox	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Vivien Nguyen	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Paul Mandel	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Jared Erнду	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Swaroop Jagadish	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Eric Constantin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Caption Health	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Jaosn Goodman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Zachary M Subin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Ozge Islegen	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Patrick L Canfield	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Helen Resor	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Shantha Condamoor	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Rachel Hsu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Nikrad Mahdi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Judith F Leff	\$ 36.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Steven Chang	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Bezhou Feng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Theodore Kwong	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	The Lees	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Denise E Lee	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Aaron Lapierre	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Brynne Henn	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Faya Peng	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Sarah Chung	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kimberly Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Jennifer Suen	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Devon, Amy and Bennett Wilson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	James and Rosalind Johnson and Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Glynn Capital	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Jeannine Fleck	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Yoo-Yoo Yeh	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Paul Bien and Karen Nakasato	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/17/2020	Gulabi Rajasekar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kathryn M Jereza	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Namrita Singh Mathew	\$ 15.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Sarah Murphy	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Katherine Obrien	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Samara Flug	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Clement Canonne	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Mehmet C Anbarlilar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Suhas Deshpande	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Justyna Janczyszyn	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Jordan Rozsa	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Colleen McGinnis	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Danan Barnett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Minyoung Chun	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Eric Koslow	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Alisha Mowder	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Nadine Carole	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Katherine Meng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Elizabeth Mumm Meier	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Andrew Gibiansky	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Amar Chokshi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Lauran Bradley Johnson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Michel Louis Alexander	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Casey Jung	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Bethany S Campos	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Victor Smith	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Carol R Langbort	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Long Ouyang	\$ 101.69	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Pedro Ortez	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Bianca Buckridee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Christoph Christoph	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Joanna Goldin	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Zofia M Burr	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Isaac Jacobs-Gomes	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Elizabeth A Joyce	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Andrew L Perito	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Michael R Petrick	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Terrence B Jenkins	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Aashna Mago	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Britt H Evangelist	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Bronwen Marshall-Bass	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	May Stearman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Sean D Childers	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kumar Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Samantha Jane Bennett	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Pauli Lieponis and Ashley Hodges	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Marivel Nicolas	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kendra Wong Morrison	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Leah Jackson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	The Enrights	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Lucy Dotson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Jennifer Anderson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Puthita Wacharasin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Faith H Yi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Craig Weibel	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Dennis A Antenore	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Elizabeth Burl	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Greg and Stephanie Rewis	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Andrew Stanek	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	David Tsai	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Chester Hitz	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Phuong L Vu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kelly Murphy	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Danielle Bogaards	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	The Hansma Family	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Aamna Dhillon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kendra Byrne and McLean Echlin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/17/2020	Dale A Martin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Leslie Simon	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Lyndsay Murrow	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Guillaume Forget and Francisco Rodrigues	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Brooke L Peterson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Roberto Lopez and Martha Mellblom	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kathryn MacDonald	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Preethi Vijaykrishnan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Rebecca Archer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Tachtech	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Elizabeth Wang and Thomas Yohannan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Ryan Biggs	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kristie Kooken	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Lisa Ratner	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Stacey Baradit	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Evan McCulloch	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	William Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kathryn Pulaski	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Jessica Nolan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kristin Leung	\$ 270.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Anna Andresian	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Stuebe Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	William E Reeves	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Amanda Morgan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Clio A Korn	\$ 35.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Wendy Bear	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Brenna Marketello	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Ryan Davids	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Aaron Pigeon and Emily Olson	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Sabeena Pradhan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Charles Fisher and Chelsea Childs	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Paul Supawanich and Catherine Covington	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Mara Raider	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Zahyaan M Adnan, Asif M Adnan, Farhana Tasneem	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kaleb Tseo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Cristiano Ceccarelli	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Sean Engel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Holly Friel	\$ 175.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	George Koster	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Akash Mohanty	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Meredith Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Nirav Nikunj Patel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	The Prodehl Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alberto Gobbi and Man-Ling Lee	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Natalie Schoch and Michael Melody	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeffrey M Moore	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rachael Morton	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alyssa Roy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Emily Broas	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael E Fanning	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Frederick B Zamore	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Maureen Haverty	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sayuri Dimitroff	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rayana Stanek	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	George C Rodgers	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Paul Campbell	\$ 109.02	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Audrey K O'Neill	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Naomi Stoll	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Yingzhuo Zhao	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Naomi Stoll	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Etgen-Kieber Foundation	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alexandra Brown	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Leifer Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Aaron Beitch	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jacqueline Paige Stoermer	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Colin Dickau	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Benjamin W Redman	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Daniel Depaolo	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Decad Trust	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sneha Krishna Sankavaram	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alexander Barth	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Katlin Smith	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mary Thengvall	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Melody Cheung	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Christopher D. Pappas	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Angelina Huang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Oliver Raskin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Enrique Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ben Tucker	\$ 60.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Natnael Getahun	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael P Rabbitt	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rachel Proctor	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Cathryn Domrose and Kurt Aguilar	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Joan Lubamersky	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kerrilyn Renshaw	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Julia Baily	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael L Gummelt	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Charles E. Lowey-Ball	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kate L Hughes	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jessica I Dell	\$ 38.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Keenan Rice	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ivalina Demarco	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jesse Charles Battalino	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Robert M Fruchtman	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sanae Rosen	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sandeep Bhanot	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Emanuel Evans	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Danielle Simpson	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Amy Woodrum and Andrew Zloto	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Patrick and Nicole Rodee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Brian A Carr	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Adam Cue	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Deirdre Anderson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ryan Davids	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ryan Davids	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Maral Mara	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Maral Mara	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Yukiko Nishiguchi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Pin-Ya Tseng	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hutchison Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nathan Reynolds	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Suejin Kim	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Evan Gelfand	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shannon Beck	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Vikram Raman	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Matthew Wagner	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sophia Dermoutz	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Victor Torres	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Aleksandr Mistratov	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Chase Starr	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Oi Man Ng	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	James Barnes	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jessica Da Silva	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ahmet Emre Unal	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Tyler Wozny	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alison Murphy	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Grace Lattyak	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Grace Gellman	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rebecca Brown	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kristin Fleming	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Fiona Parker-Givens and Rob Hayes	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lauren Patti	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alon Gilat	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kevin and Heather Lutz	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Ruth and Gene Greenwood	\$ 74.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hester Loo	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Charles Lim	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Wally and Gretchen Cheng	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Paul and Danice Fagin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Carmen Cole	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sheela Chandrasekhara	\$ 51.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Brian R Zaik	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sabeek Pradhan	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rosie D Belpasso	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alla Barkan	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kristina and Alan Gonzalez	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jenny Wang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Celine Cuevas	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ryan Wong	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ti-Fen Pan	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Caroline Fichtenberg	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Maxime Prades	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sharon Wang and Peter Shiau	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeremy Tsuchitani-Watson	\$ 15.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kristy E Leung	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Emily Kuhbach	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ethan H Stone	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alex, Jennifer and Simon Cohen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Aimee Lucido	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rachel P Katz	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Dennis Biroscak	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Muneeb A Ahmad	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Matthew J Losardo	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Patrick Holmes	\$ 111.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lynn-Kai Chao	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Andrew Gaffney	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lynn-Kai Chao	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alexandra Emrich	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Linda Jordan	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Julia Doan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	David Ross	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alexis Luscutoff	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Douglas Hanlin and Kelvin Lynch	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Tara Lockhart	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Megan Chin	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lewis Stringer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Anne Diaz	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Katrina Lake	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hayley Wyeth	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Molly Fosco	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jose and Leticia Chavez	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Cecily A Dumas	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	M. Lee Dixon	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Juliana Devries	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Eric Walder and Alexandra Corvin	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Evan Tana	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Balakrishna Chennupati	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Laura Yu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Melanie Subbiah	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael Deninno	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Brian Singerman	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ann Cheung	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Joshua W Dunsby	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Daria Maggio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alexis Humiston	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nancy A Tabor	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kyle Piddington	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Aestek Consulting	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Beverly Sutton	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rebecca Wood	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	John R Murgia Jr	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	The Sharma Family	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nell Herbert	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kyna Kellogg	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sean P Cotter	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sadie C Harmon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jennifer Lynch	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Moira Burke	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jennifer E Raymond	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Trista Lacour	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jessica Fox	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kate S Carson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Andrea Moore	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Patrick J Obrien	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Spencer Simonsen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Allison N Jorges	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Christopher Leader	\$ 105.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael Sidgmore	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Bryce Goodman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michelle Tigchelaar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alexander Best	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Connie Kwong	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Thaddeus Ballantine	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lisa M Brown	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Melissa and Ganesh Krishnan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Arvind Venkataramani	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Raina K Sheth	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Carol Rossi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Leah Swiler	\$ 36.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lisa Crossett	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Johann and Kaoru Lynch	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lucy Farey-Jones	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Doug Gravelle and Stephanie Kim	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Louise Fong/William Bonham	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Katherine and John Podesta	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mozhdeh Rastegar-Panah	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lijesh Manjacheri	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Kemper Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Janis Greenspan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Roz Leiser and Lee Guion	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Evan and Sally Kerrigan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Xin Xiao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kirsten and David Marcus	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Matthew Wagner	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Matthew Chanoff	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Adriana Villagran	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Janet Fung and Jimmy Do	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Antonio Martinez	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Savannah Wagner Belk	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Cara and Mike Leonardo	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kent and Lauren Goldman	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hongxia Li Tsai	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Daniel E Medani	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Truc Nguyen	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Christina Hellmich	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Catherine Hilary White	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Margaret R Wrensch	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sectman Robinson Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mary Lee and Reid Williams	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Campbell Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Devon Turner and Christine Trac	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jennifer A Stella	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Anand and Shikha Chhatpar	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mohammad Gowayyed	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Christopher Tuttle	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Omid Mortazavi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mariana Magalhaes Chapei	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jennifer Rosen	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Juli Ann Carter	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shir Yehoshua	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Felicia Evans	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lingamurthy Ravi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Janice A. McIntosh	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ingrid Ojeda	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Thomas Cruz	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Dorit Grunberger	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lindsay Bruce	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jennifer Liu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gwynne Stoddart	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Julia Pippiatt	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	William Larsen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Utsav Ahuja	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lindy and Erin Cunningham-McKnight	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Irena Martinez	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Eva Gutierrez and Jason Holzheimer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Steve Susoyev	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Limmons Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sahil Shah	\$ 6,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Karsten Weide	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sutcliffe-Conaty Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jinoos Yazdany and Arash Anoshiravani	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Frances Yap	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Xiaotong Chi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Danielle Bautista	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kimberly Quan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Eric H Panzer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Brian Har	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Angela E Gonzalez	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jesse Vaughan and Jennifer Ong Vaughan	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Dalel Nichole Jordan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hao Zou	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	David Hurst	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sergey Dubenko	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Suzanne Paige Sprincin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sam Gould and Alexa Ball	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Danne Duncan	\$ 35.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lynne Mathison	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Daniel Byrd	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Navarros	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rui Wu and Tianyi Gou	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Pierre Urbain	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rebecca Yukelson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Tracey Lin	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sharlene Baker	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Melissa Capria	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kathryn M Jereza	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kristina Le	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael Gangel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Evan Friel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Christina Armatas	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Brett Bukowski	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Tracy P. Leone	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeffrey J McClure	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Beth S Bodner	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Zachary Subin	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Angelos Kottas and Phyra McCandless	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Granahan Law, P.C.	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Aura Terrell	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Arlene Singer and Barbara Leff	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Caryl Shaw	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Deb Janowski and Umesh Kaushal	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jacqueline Chu	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Chenhung Wu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sarah Moss-Horwitz	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kristen Nosky	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Julie L Campioni	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Vadim Geshel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Bailey E Kass	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Anthony and Lourdes Devigal	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shannon and Chris Terschluse	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sarah Schoellkopf	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mehul Kar	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Pingshun Huang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kyle and Jasmin Pimley	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alan Magary	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Lemasters	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Aylene Bao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	John Snyder	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Stephen Forte	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mike Rinaldi and Nicole Friedland	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Bryan Quintero	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Joyce Sabel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Travis Dittenber	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Edward Whitmore and Deborah Greenwood	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gail and Alan Venable	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Matthew Dello Russo	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Melissa Woo	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeffrey E Trull	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	John F. Moroney	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Paul Goodman	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alexandra Kutik	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Stephanie Dang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Melissa MacDonald	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Eric Proegler	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Quang Duong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Britt Williams and John Stallard	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Margaret Montgomery	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Brady J. Frey	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeff Wallace	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Xian Ke	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Catherine Izard	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Eileen Norman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Luis M Aroche	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Kesteloots	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shauna Odonnell	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Robert J Merck	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Tony Gonzales	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Christian Madden	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mike Huynh	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hornor Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Laurie Fitzgerald	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Polly A. Stryker	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sarah Grossman-Swenson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Caroline Orsi	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jennifer Wu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rachel Bonfanti	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Vera Wasacz	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeffrey A. Gray	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael and Lisa Palmo Moss	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Team Talbott	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ted and Grace Benson	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Samuel Feldman-Crough	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jon Doellstedt	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Carolyn Rundell	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kirsten Irgens-Moller and Christopher Ho	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ryan Hoyt	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael Coren	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Marie Que and John Scarpulla	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Justin Velo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rebecca and Aaron Newton	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Idil Bereket	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Annie Pang	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Mary Prah	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Casey S Barrett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Andrew Sutherland	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Meena Lin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Malia Young-Brohn	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nicholas J Roberts	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lauren Reda	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kathleen Odowd	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lindsay Hershenhorn	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Russell West	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Christina Hui Lin Feng	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jolanta Zandecki	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Charuwan Pholsith	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Eloise M Bates	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nikolai Varnavski and Radhika Ramanan	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Oliver Wilkie	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rashi King Abramson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ao Xu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Behnam Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hannah S Lee	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Stephanie Rose	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nicole Lycett	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Vlad and Natalie Blumen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Thomas Meyer, MD and Rebecca Husband	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Stephanie Leduc	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Benkay Il Kajihara	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Chase Reserve	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Roberta Sarnoff	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jean Yang	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Noelle Mabanta	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kegan Garrison	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Julie Van Vliet	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeffrey Erickson and Jacqueline Berger	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael Osofsky	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Adrienne Cianfrocca	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Constance Channon	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nicholas Kunst	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Smythe-Cullen Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kathryn Arnold	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alana N Fink	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Marlene Grenon	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Yangchen Dolkar	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nadia R Baskett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Daniel B Fuchs	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Broce Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	L. Bishop Photography	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gurskis Hess Family	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sanchez Family	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Benjamin Peters	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ying Chen Chao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nathan Sheard	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nicholas E Beyrer	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Frank Dario Jones	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Wald Family	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nicole C. Raeburn	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mary Lou Licwinko	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Daniel Leffel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Parissa Sayar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jasmine Lawrence	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael A Shiplett	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Andrew Schlaikjer	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Vanessa J Bell	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Patricia E Franks	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Catherine Davis	\$ 108.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sarah Leyde and Kevin Lang	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Anne Vaittinen	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alyson Jacks	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Jiasong Huang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	David Abramsky	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Joanna Siegall	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Erica, Ava and Livi Desouza	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ann Huber	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gabriel and Emma Dover	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shelley R Weisbrich	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	James Cross	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeffrey Sarnat and Rebecca Schapiro	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Frank and Carol Buonagurio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alice Dutrut	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	George and Rose Dobbins	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Francis Ellis	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Filip Spiridonov	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Seth and Julie Jacobs	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Cole Bennett	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	R Mark Thornton	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Yanwen Jiang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gillian Yu	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ahern Family	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shotsy C Faust	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Dave Glidden	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Doyle White	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Blair L Sirolli	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sophia Zikanova	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Clara Brenot and Olivier Godement	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Pettee Edna	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Angela Glielmi	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kapanoglu Family	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Caroline Nassif and Stephen Lynch	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Tala Banatao	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Susan Schwegman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sankaet Pathak	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Julia Cheng	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alice Aronow	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Scanlas	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Gellners	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Elena Fromer	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Regina Coleman	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Unlimited Biking Rentals LLC	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Suzanne and Carlos Poma	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Vivien D'Andrea	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sara N Cosenza	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Frank Bailinson	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Heidi Patel	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Andrew Kaplan	\$ 105.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Blair J Davis	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Melina A Wyatt	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Erin M Leviant	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Elizabeth Hartmann	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ben Wu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Pedro Lima	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nicole Arata	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Laura Hayes	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Isbister Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Annabelle Ho	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeremy D Wood	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hannah Addario-Berry	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Tressa E Crozier	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sarah Thompson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jennifer Collins	\$ 26.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Deborah Cooper	\$ 450.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kelsey Stroschane	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Fe Valentin	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Danil Panache	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nathan Geer and Colby Croft	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Kevin He	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Theresa Brown	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gaurav and Pujita Mathur	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	James Reffell	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hunter Blankenbaker	\$ 40.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shahab Asghar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rita Hao	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jessica Hilberman and Katrina Reid	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Justin Wyckoff	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Eric Socolofsky	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Brian and Laura Millham	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lawrence Hosken	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Amandeep Jawa and Kimberly Conley	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shabnam Dadkhah	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nauzli Dadkhah	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Aditya T Wresniyandaka	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Samuel James Maskell	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Teresa Ono	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Megan Crocker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	David Liao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Azis Abakirov	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nicholas Peterson	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Christopher Nguyen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Noel Garcia	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shawn E Trombley	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeffrey Tumlin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gareth B Cross	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Earle McCartney	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Claudia Paz	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ben Tucker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Vipul Prakash and Vanessa Hsu	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sarah Rogers and Bob Hillman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	David L McIntosh	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Yi Yin	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sattler Sattler	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Natalia A Fisher	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rachel M Alonso	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Avril Swan Md	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kelli A Broin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Stephanie Boudreau	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Robert Hodsdon	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sokunthea Keo	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gamvros Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gabriel Paul Ortiz	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ioannis Yiakoumis	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	James Nguyen	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Tyler Ochiai	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Helen W. Bentley	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Johannah Goldstein	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Allison Carroll	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Leslie A Forrester	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Renee Di Cherri	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nancy Duan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Timothy J Oleneack	\$ 20.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jonathan Hendler	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Laurence Berland	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Emily Hague	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Anton Kapralov	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Annette and Kyle Lapham	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Chris and Madison Etterman	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sarah and Jim Jones	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Asumu Takikawa	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ingrid Flores	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Thoma Grey	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Edward Esslemont	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kristy R Lee	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Emily Angyal	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Safa Aliabadi	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Christopher Ota	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hartley Miller	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hannah Moskowitz	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lauren Jong	\$ 10.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Brian Lovin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Anna M Zylicz	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jacqueline M Ali Cordoba	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hollis J Rich	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Amanda Pinsker	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Bastein Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Heather Sullens	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nicole Johnson	\$ 5.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shawn Allen	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF In-Kind Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donated Item	Financial Interest With the City
6/16/2020	VM Ware	KN-95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	VM Ware	Hooded Isolation Suits	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Bebin Super Diaper Small 4/40's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Bebin Super Diaper Medium 4/40's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Bebin Super Diaper Large 4/40's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Bebin Super Diaper X-Large 4/40's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Bebin Super Diaper XX-Large 4/40's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Supply Bank Wet Wipes 12/100's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/15/2020	Dick's Sporting Goods	Black Strap Cloth Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/10/2020	Timbuk2	Face Coverings	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/9/2020	United Healthcare	Fabric Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Red Cross	Bottles of Water	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Mallory Safety and Supply	Goggles	A contract, grant or lease with Police Department, Recreation and Park Department, SFPUC, SFMTA
6/5/2020	PCS	Hand Sanitizer, Gallons	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	SF Chinese Alliance Church	Level 1 Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Marine Layer	Handsewn Fabric Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Bay Area Face Shield Supply	3D Printed Face Shields	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Dry Ice Robotics	3D Printed Face Shields	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Private Individual (Kim Tirva)	40.5-ounce Refills of Foam Sanitizer for LTX-12 Touchless Dispensers	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Timbuk2	Bandannas and Neck Gaiters	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Onfleet	Monthly Software Access From Onfleet	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	New Taipei City	Cloth Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	New Taipei City	Epidemic Protection Face Shields	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	New Taipei City	Impervious Isolation Gowns	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	New Taipei City	Cloth Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	PCS	Vented N95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	ICBC US Region	Hazmat Suits (Dupont)	None
5/13/2020	ICBC US Region	Safety Goggles (Condor Model 1VT70)	None
5/13/2020	Shanghai Hongbo Investment & Management (Group) Co., Ltd	Disposable Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	ICBC US Region	KN95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	China Mobile International (USA)	Surgical Mask w/ Ear Loops	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Greenwich Terrace Householding	1 Gal. RX 20/20 Hand Sanitizer, 80% Alcohol	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Vietnam Consulate General	Fabric Face Coverings	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/12/2020	Culk	Fabric Face Masks	None
5/12/2020	Private Individual (Lou and Suzanne Giraud)	Boxes of Milton's Gluten-Free Crackers	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF In-Kind Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donated Item	Financial Interest With the City
5/12/2020	Private Individual (Lou and Suzanne Giraud)	Chocolate Chip Cookies	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Dickinson Corp.	3D Printed Face Shields	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Timbuk2 X CNBC	Face Coverings	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	Private Individual (Yan Xiao)	Medical Gown	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	Private Individual (Yan Xiao)	Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	SF Chinese Alliance Church	Level 1 Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Global Office	Face Shields	None
5/6/2020	HUB Group	Large Refrigerated Truck	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Private Individual (Jonica Little)	3D Printed Faceshields	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	BELLA+CANVAS	Fabric Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Veritas Investments	Level 1 Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Veritas Investments	3M N95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Veritas Investments	San Huei N95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	EO Essentials	EO 40-ounce Unscented Hand Sanitizer	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	SF Public Health Foundation	Boxed Lunch	A contract, grant or lease with Department of Public Health
5/1/2020	Marine Layer	Handsewn Fabric Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Private Individual (Colette Vance-Wright)	Fabric Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Westpoint homes	Fabric Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Timbuk2 X CNBC	Timbuk2 Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Shanghai City Government	1,200 N95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Shanghai City Government	20,000 KN95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	RealReal	Level 1 Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Consulate General of the People's Republic of China	Escalier 3-Ply Single-Use Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Private Individual (Nikcole Cunningham)	Sunny Care Gloves, Size M	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Feysan Lodde	2 Pallets of 16.9-ounce Water Bottles	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Feysan Lodde	1 Pallet of Gallon Water Drums	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Hint, Inc	16-ounce Water Bottles	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Private Individual (Guo Zi Dai)	Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Comcast	Large Tote Bags	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	WestPoint Home	Masks from Westpoint Home	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Private Individual (Nga Pham)	OEKO-TEX, Standard 100	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Timbuk2 X NBC Sports	Timbuk2 Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Timbuk2	Timbuk2 Bandannas	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Kerogen Capital	Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Fanatics	Surgical Mask w/ Ear Loops	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	DWU Consulting LLC	Standard Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	FitnessSF	FitnessSF Yowies	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Veritas Investments	Anphu Pharma and Medical Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Veritas Investments	3M N95 8210 and 8710 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Veritas Investments	Anphu Pharma Medical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Veritas Investments	3M N95/8710 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Consulate General of the People's Republic of China	Escalier 3-Ply Single-Use Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Apple	Face Shields	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Private Individual (Laurie Green)	3D Starbuss N95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Comcast	Cloth Bags	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Elite Supply Source	Vinyl Aprons, Cordova #RA0bc48, Clear, Tied String, Sewn Edges	Disclosure requested, response pending

Give2SF In-Kind Donations Received Through 6/30/2020

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donated Item	Financial Interest With the City
4/13/2020	Elite Supply Source	Disposable Sleeves, Cordova #PS18W2 White, 8-inch	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	PCS	Peakfit N95 Particulate Respirators	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	PCS	Shoe Covers	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/9/2020	RainBeau	Handsewn Fabric Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	AlterEco	Alter Eco Chocolates (Cases)	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Seamsters Union	Handsewn Fabric Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Levi's	Handsewn Fabric Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Apple	Disposable Particulate Filter Respirators (Safety Director: 305050A NIOSH-approved)	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	One Medical	COVID-19 Test Kits (Swabs and Testing Media)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Dolby Laboratories	Waxie Shield Nitrile Powder-Free General-Purpose Gloves (W8644S) - Multiple Sizes	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Dolby Laboratories	SAS N95 Particulate Respirators (8625)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Endless West Spirits	Gallon Hand Sanitizer	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Endless West Spirits	Gallon Hand Sanitizer	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Apple	Epic 40578-R55 Masks with Ear Loop	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Clorox Bleach Cannisters	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Tuff Grip Gloves (Nitrile, XL)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Tuff Grip Gloves (Nitrile, L)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Condor Safety Eyewear	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Hand Sanitizer Dispensers	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Germ Attack Hand Sanitizer, Gallon Refills	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Self-Priming Filter, FDA Approved, NIOSH-Approved Respirators	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	DoorDash	Uline S-9632 Standard Respirators	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Asian Art Museum Foundation	Purple Nitrile Gloves, Kimberley Clark, Medium	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Asian Art Museum Foundation	Sperian One-Fit Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Roddy Lindsay	2 ounce CleanSF Sanitizer	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	Sperian One-Fit W1400 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	UniAir SH3500 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	3-in-1 Valumax Disposable Ear Loop Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	Shoma Gloves (M)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	Shoma Gloves (L)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	Tuff Grip (Nitrile, L)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	Tuff Grip (Nitrile, XL)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Flexport LLC	Gloves	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Flexport LLC	Medical Gowns	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Flexport LLC	Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Flexport LLC	Thermometers	Disclosure requested, response pending

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Gift Report of San Francisco Public Library for FY 2019-2020 to Board of Supervisors
Date: Friday, July 10, 2020 12:15:00 PM
Attachments: [SFPL FY 20 BOS Gift Report - FINAL.pdf](#)

From: Kong, Wilfred (LIB) <Wilfred.Kong@sfpl.org>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 10:57 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Lindsley, Lovely (LIB) <lovely.lindsley@sfpl.org>; Singleton, Maureen (LIB) <Maureen.Singleton@sfpl.org>
Subject: Gift Report of San Francisco Public Library for FY 2019-2020 to Board of Supervisors

Dear Sirs,

In the attachment, please find the memorandum to Board of Supervisors and the gift record of San Francisco Public Library for Fiscal Year 2019-2020. Further, would I still need to submit their hard copies to the clerk of Board of Supervisors in City Hall before 07/25/20? Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Wilfred Kong
Revenue Accountant
San Francisco Public Library
Tel: 415-557-4244



San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street (Civic Center)
San Francisco, CA 94102

Date: July 10, 2020
To: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: San Francisco Public Library-Finance Department
Subject: Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000.00

MEMORANDUM

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.100-305, this memo serves to provide the Board of Supervisors with a report on gifts up to \$10,000.00 received by the Department during FY 2019-2020.

Please find attached report for your reference.

Sincerely,

Maureen Singleton
Chief Operating Officer

cc: File, SFPL-Finance Department

**SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
GIFT LIST
FY2019-2020**

Date Received by the City	Source	Value	Gift Description	Disposition
07/05/19	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 20.00	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
07/07/19	Anonymous	\$ 100.00	cash	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
07/12/19	Kazuhiko and Jannine Yoo Sano	\$ 250.00	check	Gift - Library Services & Program Support
07/23/19	Aida Seballos	\$ 25.00	check	Gift - Library Services & Program Support
07/30/19	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 984.64	check	Gift - Library Services & Program Support
08/03/19	Merry Frances Walensa	\$ 13.40	check	Gift - Library Services & Program Support
08/30/19	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 207.39	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
09/03/19	James C.A. Whitten, Exec. for Probate Estate of Raquel Epifania Aragones	\$ 118.38	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
09/12/19	Richard A. and Jean M.Lerche	\$ 100.00	check	Gift - Library Services & Program Support
09/24/19	ACICEC - American Chinese Int'l Cultural Exchange Council	\$ 60.00	check	Gift - Library Services & Program Support
10/01/19	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 82.68	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
10/29/19	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 121.23	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
11/12/19	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 20.00	check	\$10.00 for Books and Materials-Adult; \$10 for Books and Materials-Youth.
11/27/19	Ann Knepper	\$ 50.00	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
12/03/19	Heritage on the Marina Residents Council	\$ 200.00	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
12/03/19	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 72.68	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
12/11/19	Aida Seballos	\$ 25.00	check	Gift - Library Services & Program Support
12/30/19	William Quan	\$ 50.00	check	Gift - Library Services & Program Support
12/30/19	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 101.52	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
02/03/20	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 131.61	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support

**SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
GIFT LIST
FY2019-2020**

Date Received by the City	Source	Value	Gift Description	Disposition
02/28/20	Erika Boissiere	\$ 20.00	check	Gift: for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth
04/16/20	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 72.68	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
04/16/20	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 75.00	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
05/01/20	Andrea Lynn Frome	\$ 107.00	check	Gift: for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth
05/12/20	Michael J. Bertinetti	\$ 5,500.00	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support
06/18/20	The Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$ 85.00	check	Gift: 50% for Books and Materials-Adult/Youth; 50% for Library Services & Program Support

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Annual Gift Report for FY2019-2020
Date: Friday, July 10, 2020 4:22:14 PM
Attachments: [Gifts FY 19-20.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Pursuant to Administrative Code, Sections 10.100-305(c) and Section 67.29-6, please see the attached Annual Gifts Report for FY2019-2020, from the Department of the Board of Supervisors. As you know, from December 3rd - 6th, 2019, the Office of the Clerk of the Board hosted the Annual California Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Association Conference. The attached report breaks down the gifts we received and how they were used in order to make our conference successful.

Should you have any questions please let us know.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: July 1, 2020
To: Board of Supervisors
From: *ACC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Gifts

Section 10.100-305(c) of the Administrative Code requires departments to furnish to the Board of Supervisors annually within the first two weeks of July a report showing gifts received, the nature or amount of said gifts, and the disposition thereof.

Additionally, Section 67.29-6 of the Administrative Code, the Sunshine Ordinance of 1999, requires disclosure of any money, goods, or services worth more than \$100 for the purpose of carrying out or assisting City functions.

As you know, the California State Association of Counties (CSAC) held their annual conference in San Francisco from December 3-6, 2019. The Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors hosted the California Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Association (CCBSA)'s annual conference and dinner. The Board of Supervisors authorized \$15,000 of General Fund dollars for the purpose of the Conference. The Office of the Clerk of the Board received the following gifts through fundraising for the conference and expended only \$6,094 from the General Fund portion, and returned the remaining funds to the General Fund.

Cash Donation

Donor Name	Gift Description	Amount
Steven Kay	Cash	\$3,000
Barnes, Mosher, Whitehurst, Lauter & Partners, Inc.	Cash	\$3,000
Ground Floor Public Affairs Corp.	Cash	\$3,000
Lighthouse Public Affairs, LLC.	Cash	\$3,000
California Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Association	Cash	\$2,000
Noyola Piccini Group	Cash	\$1,000
Daily Journal Corporation	Cash	\$1,000
San Francisco Examiner	Cash	\$1,000
Total		\$17,000

In-kind Donation

Donor Name	Gift Description	Fair Market Value
Benafsha Irani	11 Cases of 2016 1000 Stories Red Wine	\$2,376
Daily Journal Corporation	108 8 oz. See's Candies Gift Box	\$1,291
Golden Gate Fortune Cookie Factory	1,000 Fortune Cookies	\$350
9 th & Larkin	40 Honduras Wampusirpi 72% Cacao Chocolate	\$280
Thatcher's	100 Cheddar Cheese Pouch	\$279
Nana Jones	100 Spiced Almonds	\$200
Speakeasy	16 6-Pack Speakeasy Beer	\$176
3 Fish Studios	15 "I Love You California" Postcard Set	\$150
Total		\$5,102

All cash donations were expended for the purpose of hosting the 2019 CCBSA Annual Conference and Dinner and all in-kind donations were distributed to the conference attendees.

For full disclosure, sources and uses for the CCBSA Annual Conference and Dinner are provided below.

Sources

Cash Donation	\$17,000
General Fund	\$6,094
Ticket Sales	\$3,080
Total	\$26,174

Uses

Dinner	\$18,265
Training	\$3,400
Transportation	\$2,151
Speaker	\$2,000
Gift Bags	\$280
Program	\$78
Total	\$26,174

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Dept of Environment Annual Gift Report
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 9:33:00 PM
Attachments: [2019-2020 Dept of Environment Annual Gift Report to the BOS.pdf](#)

From: Sheehan, Charles (ENV) <charles.sheehan@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 9:38 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>
Cc: Deepak, Lavanya (ENV) <lavanya.deepak@sfgov.org>
Subject: Dept of Environment Annual Gift Report

Hi Angela and Alisa,

Hope you are both well. Per Administrative Code Sec. 10.100-305, we are furnishing the BOS with our annual gift report. Please let us know if you have any questions. Thanks.

-Charles

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: FY 2019-20 SFPUC Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 12:26:00 PM
Attachments: [BOS Memo - SFPUC Annual Gift Report_07152020.pdf](#)

From: Chen, Vivian Ai Yi <AChen@sflower.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 11:56 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: FY 2019-20 SFPUC Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000

Hello,

Please find attached memo on the Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000 by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission.


Please let me know if you have any question.

Best,

Vivian

Vivian Chen
Acting Director, Accounting Services
Financial Services | Accounting Services
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
direct: (415) 487-5280 | email: AChen@sflower.org

MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 15, 2020
 TO: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
 THROUGH: Eric Sandler, AGM Business Services and Chief Financial Officer
 FROM: Nancy L. Hom, Deputy Chief Financial Officer 
 SUBJECT: FY 2019-20 Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000

In Accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code Section 10.100-305(c), this memo serves to provide the Board of Supervisors a report on gifts received up to \$10,000 by the Department during the past fiscal year.

In-Kind Donation:

Donor Name	Gift Description	Fair Market Value
World Gym	two used multi-function workout machines for an employee wellness center at one of SFPUC's Divisions.	\$6,000

Please contact me if you have any questions. Thank you.

London N. Breed
 Mayor
Ann Moller Caen
 President
Francesca Vietor
 Vice President
Anson Moran
 Commissioner
Sophie Maxwell
 Commissioner
Tim Paulson
 Commissioner
Harlan L. Kelly, Jr.
 General Manager



From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Notice of Ballot Simplification Committee meetings for the November 3, 2020, General Consolidated Election
Date: Friday, July 10, 2020 7:16:00 AM
Attachments: [Notice.BSC.Nov.3.pdf](#)

From: Stevens, Peter (REG) <peter.stevens@sfgov.org>

Sent: Thursday, July 9, 2020 4:22 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Cc: BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; MYR-All Department Head Assistant <MYR-All.DepartmentHeadAssistant@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>; Mihal, Natasha (CON) <natasha.mihal@sfgov.org>; Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>; Kuzina, Nataliya <nataliya.kuzina@sfgov.org>; REG-Campaign Services <elections.cs@sfgov.org>; Docs, SF (LIB) <sfdocs@sfpl.org>

Subject: Notice of Ballot Simplification Committee meetings for the November 3, 2020, General Consolidated Election

Memorandum

To: Honorable London Breed, Mayor
Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

From: John Arntz, Director of Elections

Date: July 9, 2020

RE: Notice of a Ballot Simplification Committee Meeting for the November 3, 2020, Consolidated General Election

Beginning Monday, July 20, 2020, and continuing through Friday, August 7, 2020, the Ballot Simplification Committee will conduct public meetings to prepare an impartial summary of each local ballot measure for publication in San Francisco's Voter Information Pamphlet for the upcoming November 3, 2020, Consolidated General Election. Pursuant to the 20th Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, the Committee will meet remotely via Webex. The Committee will include instructions regarding remote access in its meeting agenda. The Committee must complete its digests no later than 85 days before the election, which is Monday, August 10.

Meeting agendas and related materials will be posted at sfelections.org/bsc. Agendas will be posted at least 72 hours prior to the meeting, as mandated by the Sunshine Ordinance. Other agenda materials will be made available as early as possible. Please check often for any updates.

About the Ballot Simplification Committee

The Ballot Simplification Committee works in public meetings to prepare a fair and impartial summary of

each local ballot measure in simple language. These summaries, or “digests,” are printed in San Francisco’s Voter Information Pamphlet, which is mailed to every registered voter before the election.

Each digest must explain the primary purposes and points of the measure, but is not required to include auxiliary or subsidiary information. Each digest must include the following four sections:

- *The Way It Is Now*
- *The Proposal*
- *A “Yes” Vote Means*
- *A “No” Vote Means*

In general, each digest is limited to 300 words. Digests may exceed the 300-word limit if the Committee determines that the complexity or scope of the proposed measure requires a longer digest. In addition, digests must be written as close as possible to the eighth-grade reading level.

The Ballot Simplification Committee also assists the Department of Elections in preparing other informational material for the Voter Information Pamphlet, such as a glossary of terms that appear in the pamphlet.

For more information about the Ballot Simplification Committee, please visit sfelections.org/bsc or contact the Department of Elections office at (415) 554-4375.

Peter Stevens

Peter.Stevens@sfgov.org

Candidate and Voter Support Manager

San Francisco Department of Elections

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

City Hall, Room 48

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4375

sfelections.org



Follow the Department of Elections on [Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#)!

Your feedback is important to us! Please take our [Customer Service Survey](#).

- Check your registered party preference using [Voter Portal](#). Your party preference determines the presidential candidates on your ballot

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: You Clean the Streets, We'll clean the sheets
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:32:00 PM

From: Lorenzo Pacheco <rendaddy21@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 8:35 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Jeremy Kueffner <jeremy.kueffner@axiomhotel.com>; Garry Cox <garry.cox@axiomhotel.com>
Subject: You Clean the Streets, We'll clean the sheets

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

July 14, 2020

Salutations,

This is an imperative message from the engineering supervisor of the Axiom Hotel in San Francisco. I (Lorenzo Pacheco) would like to reiterate the Healthy Buildings Ordinance in S.F. I would also like to inform you that, I am immensely conscious of the safety and prevention measures that must be considered for all establishments to remain fully functional. I respectfully ask that you reconsider and allow the Axiom Hotel to continue to serve our outstanding patrons. The streets of San Francisco have become a main focal point for the prevention of Covid-19. As a Supervisor, I recognize the safety and health of Americans remain the number one priority but, I feel that to reduce the spread of Covid-19, it is our duty to participate in these precautions not just as individuals, but as a team.

On behalf of the Axiom Hotel, I can assure you that all safety regulations will be taken, to exceed all sanitary standards for reopening henceforth. The Axiom Hotel is prepared to customize plans for team member by ways of, new safety training, installing and updating clear and appropriate social distancing signage and enforcing imperative personal protective equipment for every team member. The Axiom Hotel will update all cleaning procedures to include new technologies that will protect our team members and guests from unwanted outside contamination's.

In closing, the city of San Francisco will undeniably climb out from underneath this

pandemic, and as the Engineering Supervisor of the Axiom Hotel, I would like it to remain lucrative by being able to function at a proper economic level. I feel that in doing so, the Axiom Hotel will lead by example.

This is a message from the Engineering Supervisor on behalf of the Axiom Hotel regarding the disapproval of the Healthy Buildings Ordinance.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Re Open Hotels
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:32:00 PM

From: Jordan Davenport <jordan.davenport456@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 12:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re Open Hotels

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello. My name is Jordan Davenport and I worked as Bartender at the Kimpton Sir Francis Drake Hotel. I am writing to express strong opposition to the Emergency Healthy Business Ordinance. My hotel is currently closed. I want my hotel to reopen and call me back, and when that happens, I want to feel safe in my work environment. This new ordinance is not endorsed by the CDC or other US-based scientific experts. Furthermore, it adds significant costs with no benefit. It will only result in more exposure for hotel employees. No other city in the US is following these troublesome cleaning standards.

Most of my co-workers are out of work, including myself. We were not included in the decision to stray from the cleaning protocols provided by the CDC, as well as federal and state health officials. This ordinance attacks not only unfairly target hotels workers, but minority groups especially. More than 75% of the employees in the hotel industry are people of color.

Federal and state health officials recommend limiting personal interaction. However, the City Supervisors in San Francisco are increasing my exposure to potentially infected guests by mandating I enter occupied rooms, strip beds and perform deep cleaning daily.

Cleanliness is not only a critical and essential part of my job but a #1 priority for our hotel so we can serve our guests. I am disappointed I was not part of the conversation that is keeping me unemployed and affecting my family. These additional costs will certainly keep my hotel closed longer than previously planned. Please hear me now as I express strong opposition to this ordinance.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Opposition to the Emergency Healthy Business Ordinance
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:33:00 PM

From: Sandy Yu <sandy924@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2020 12:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposition to the Emergency Healthy Business Ordinance

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello.

My name is Caixia Yu and I worked as housekeeper at Hotel Adagio. I am writing to express strong opposition to the Emergency Healthy Business Ordinance. My hotel is currently closed. I want my hotel to reopen and call me back, and when that happens, I want to feel safe in my work environment. This new ordinance is unproven and is not endorsed by the CDC or other US-based scientific experts. Furthermore, it adds significant costs with no proven benefit. No other city in the US is following these unproven cleaning standards.

Most of my co-workers are out of work, including myself. We were not included in the decision to stray from the cleaning protocols provided by the CDC, as well as federal and state health officials. This ordinance attacks not only unfairly target hotels workers, but minority groups especially. More than 75% of the employees in the hotel industry are people of color.

Federal and state health officials recommend limiting personal interaction. However, the City Supervisors in San Francisco are increasing my exposure to potentially infected guests by mandating I enter occupied rooms, strip beds and perform deep cleaning daily.

Cleanliness is not only a critical and essential part of my job but a #1 priority for our hotel so we can serve our guests. I am disappointed I was not part of the conversation that is keeping me unemployed, which also affects my family. Please hear me now as I express strong opposition to this ordinance.

Sincerely,
Caixia Yu

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 15 letters for File No. 200531
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:28:00 PM
Attachments: [15 letters for File No. 200531.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 15 letters for File No. 200531.

File No. 200531 - Hearing on the budget for the Police Department, including an analysis of changes over the last decade, structure for the department, and COVID-19-related impacts on services, revenues and expenditures; and requesting the Police Department and the Budget and Legislative Analyst to report.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Miriam Ruiz](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Slash SFPD budget
Date: Friday, July 10, 2020 11:36:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Miriam Ruiz, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Miriam Ruiz

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Monika Dymerski](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: Please Defund SFPD
Date: Friday, July 10, 2020 2:11:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers:

My name is Monika Dymerski and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past month, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,
Monika Dymerski

--

Monika Dymerski (*she/her/hers*)

+1 (909) 800-5711

www.linkedin.com/in/monika-dymerski

From: [Georgia Andrews](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Subject: On shifting SFPD funding to other city services
Date: Saturday, July 11, 2020 11:58:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

o Mayor Breed, the SF Board of Supervisors, SF Board of Ed, and other SF Elected Officers

My name is Georgia Andrews, and I am a resident of District 9 in San Francisco (almost 10 years going!). This past **month+**, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police violence, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America - specifically defunding police departments. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action -- I was at the impressively large protest on June 3rd that started in front of Mission High and several since then.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police. In 2017, I worked at a (now defunct) startup, RideAlong, aimed at improving the response of police to mental health crisis calls by giving PDs a way to share information & resources, emphasizing de-escalation and alternatives to taking people to ERs and jails as responses. We approached SFPD and it was **not** receptive to what we were selling, which has saved the city of Seattle significant amounts of money while improving outcomes.

That experience, coupled with the **multiple** racist text scandals, aforementioned lack of improvements, and what I've learned from the organizers and abolitionists in the current movement, leads me to call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards actually solving some of the issues facing our city, particularly homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. There have been a lot of attempts by this city to address homelessness, but none so far have attempted the effective approach of actually housing people - let's give that a go! Our public school system could also use the funding (teachers are priced out of the city on their salaries, and they do a difficult and important job, even & especially if it's remote). I could go on.

Sincerely,

Georgia Andrews
1488 15th st, San Francisco
and.georgia.m@gmail.com

--

[Women Who Code San Francisco](#) Network Mentor

From: [Nicolette Fischer](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: DEFUND 12
Date: Saturday, July 11, 2020 4:22:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Nicolette Fischer, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Nicolette Fischer

225 Masonic Ave

fischern2004@gmail.com

(415) 244-8413

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Cameron Cole](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Gabriela Lopez](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [faauugamoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [jennylam@sfusd.edu](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stand Up for What is Right—Defund SFPD
Date: Saturday, July 11, 2020 5:48:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Cameron Cole and I am a San Francisco resident. This past month, protests have erupted across the U.S. calling for rapid systemic change with regard to the behavior of the police, an end to anti-Blackness and white supremacy, and immediate changes to how Black people are treated in the U.S. Our city, as it often is, has been at the forefront of much of this action. It has been brought to my attention that the 2021 budget is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD is and long has been a waste of valuable resources. In 2019, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, most of which is pulled from the San Francisco general fund. Although we've spent great amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to homelessness, safety, mental health, or affordability in San Francisco. Instead, we have witnessed the profoundly negative impact of police on the communities of Black people, unhoused people, and other marginalized groups.

I call on you to be leaders in standing for what is necessary and what is right. Significantly defund SFPD and instead put those funds towards public health, making public transportation free for everyone, mental health and addiction initiatives, and ending homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

You have the funds and the power to do so. Stand up for what is right and be an example for the rest of the U.S.

Sincerely,

Cameron Cole

1039 Portola Dr
San Francisco, CA 94127

From: [Lyle Kahney](#)
Subject: Defund the police now
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 7:05:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Lyle Kahney, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Lyle Kahney

From: [Joanna Shenk](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)
Cc: [Joanna Lawrence Shenk](#)
Subject: Defund SFPD to Refund Crucial City Services
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 3:28:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Rev. Joanna Lawrence Shenk, and I am a resident of San Francisco and a pastor at First Mennonite Church of San Francisco. In these recent months our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources, especially in the lack of accountability related to police brutality (Amilcar Perez Lopez was killed 2.5 blocks from my home) and the flaunting of lawful reforms by the POA. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Rev. Joanna Lawrence Shenk

Associate Pastor, First Mennonite Church of San Francisco

joanna@menno.org

Resident of the Mission District, 94110

Author of "[The Movement Makes Us Human: An Interview with Dr. Vincent Harding on Mennonites, Vietnam, and MLK.](#)"

"You'll be free indeed, not when your days are without a care, nor your nights without grief, but rather when these things bind up your life, and yet you rise above them, unbound." -Kahlil Gibran

From: [Carson Baum](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 6:23:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Carson Baum. I am a resident of Pac Heights and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change. (With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,

Carson Baum

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Carson Baum](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 6:24:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Carson Baum I am a resident of Pac Heights, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change. (With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>)).

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,

Carson Baum

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Carson Baum](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Divest from the SFPD
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 6:24:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

My name is Carson Baum. I am a resident of [SF neighborhood], and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to name a few.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and something needs to change. (With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>)).

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the country. Social services also play a vital role in providing support and resources for marginalized communities during a global pandemic. This divestation is crucial and urgent to ensure the flourishing of all communities and an end to systemic racism and oppression.

Sincerely,

Carson Baum

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Alliza Isabella](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [FaaaugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#); [StevonCook](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Elected Officers -- DEFUND SFPD
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 12:04:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Alliza Manayan, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Alliza Manayan

From: drucilla.ramey
To: MayorLondonBreed@dfgov.org; [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Move most police department funding to social services and homelessness
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 12:43:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Drucilla Ramey

1372 Masonic Ave.

dstender77@aol.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Chloe Hibser](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Human rights first
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 1:51:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Chloe Hibser, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Chloe Hibser

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Maura Mana](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: A data driven look at policing
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 11:14:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Below is a link to an article dated 6/10/2020 by Heather Mac Donald on facts, data and compelling stories from people who are affected by crime everyday of their lives. Please consider this perspective before you make any rash decisions on police defunding.

Thank you for your consideration.

Maura Healy Mana

<https://www.city-journal.org/repudiate-the-anti-police-narrative>

Sent from my Verizon Motorola Smartphone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Separate traffic enforcement from SFPD
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 2:23:00 PM

From: Chris Arvin <carvin@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 1:39 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Separate traffic enforcement from SFPD

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board & Mayor,

There's been a lot of important conversation lately about rethinking public safety, decreasing the use of sworn officers and redirecting funds from the SFPD towards better uses.

I want to bring your attention to something that's happening in Berkeley, which has received coverage in the [NYTimes](#) and [SF Chronicle](#). The city council will be considering a plan to shift traffic enforcement duties from Berkeley Police to civilianized positions in a new organization ("BerkDOT").

I strongly encourage you to work on making this happen in San Francisco. Traffic enforcement stops make up 52% of police contacts nationwide. They have been part of the stories in the killings of Black Americans such as Philando Castile, Sandra Bland, and Maurice Gordon.

In San Francisco, the numbers are clear and [have been reported](#). I took a look at the latest data from the SFPD on traffic enforcement, [available on their website](#).

- Black motorists make up over **17% of traffic enforcement stops, despite making up only around 5% of the San Francisco population.**
- Black and Hispanic motorists account for **70% of traffic violation stops that result in a police search.**
- Black and Hispanic motorists account for **61% of traffic violation stops that result in an in-custody arrest.**

San Francisco's [Vision Zero website](#) says that "law enforcement will help create safe, livable streets in San Francisco." Safety, for who, though? As someone who cares deeply about transportation equity and safe streets, trying to address unsafe traffic conditions with a law enforcement system rooted in racism and violence is simply trading one kind of harm for another.

Thanks for taking the time to read my comments.

– Chris

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please support the Caltrain ballot measure
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:36:00 PM

From: Joel Samelson <joelsamelson94@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 3:37 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please support the Caltrain ballot measure

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board members:

Please vote to advance the ballot measure for Caltrain and let the voters decide on its worth.

We must continue our commitment to fighting climate change and supporting those essential workers who depend on Caltrain during this pandemic.

While there are valid concerns about Caltrain's governance structure and the equity of a sales tax, it is impossible to operate on a dead patient - we must keep Caltrain alive in order to see any potential future reforms. The only way to do so in the short term is to offer up this measure to voters.

Thank you for your consideration,

Joel Samelson

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please Support Caltrain
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:36:00 PM

From: Jack Roth <jc.roth73@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 3:33 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Support Caltrain

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I was shocked to hear that your board declined the 1/8 cent sales tax measure that would allow Caltrain to stay open during this time, and afterwards. Caltrain served close to [70,000 commuters each weekday](#) pre-pandemic. If Caltrain closes permanently, those commuters will be forced onto the already too-crowded roads of San Francisco and the rest of the Peninsula. This is not to mention the additional environmental damage that will result from these additional drivers.

I hope that the Board of Supervisors will either reconsider this action or propose an alternative plan that will sustain this vital transit system.

I am sincerely disappointed in this decision.

-Jack

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please keep Caltrain running!
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:36:00 PM

From: Charlotte Brannon <charlotte.m.brannon@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 3:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please keep Caltrain running!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing because I just made the decision to move to San Francisco. I just signed a lease to live right next to the Caltrain stop at 4th and King and I will rely on Caltrain to get to and from my place of employment every day. I recently learned that Caltrain may be shutting down, and that this is due to a decision made by your board to prevent a sales tax that would permit Caltrain to function and provide an essential service during this unprecedented global pandemic. **Please reverse this decision!** I will be working as a Biology researcher and I was drawn to the SF Bay area because of its stellar resources and institutions. In the time of a pandemic, I should think it would be important for me to get to work.

Again, please do all that you can to keep the Caltrain running.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Brannon
15 July 2020

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Caltrain Sales Tax Measure
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:37:00 PM

From: Ben Northcutt <benjnorthcutt@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 12:21 PM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>
Subject: Caltrain Sales Tax Measure

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

President Yee,

As a resident of San Francisco I would ask that you introduce or support the introduction of an urgency ordinance to place the Caltrain sales tax on the ballot on the November election. I would hope that you support the measure, but if not, the measure deserves to be heard and debated by the Board of Supervisors. Caltrain is a critical piece of our transportation system. It's existence enables me to take car-free trips to visit friends and family down the peninsula.

I hope you will support or introduce an urgency ordinance on the Caltrain's sales tax measure.

Ben Northcutt
San Francisco Resident

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Letter in Support of Caltrain Ballot Measure
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:37:00 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[Caltrain SB 797 Support - Leg Delegation .pdf](#)

From: Linehan, Amy <LinehanA@samtrans.com>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 3:46 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; mayoremail@sanjoseca.gov; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Groom, Carole [cgroom@smcgov.org] <cgroom@smcgov.org>; Horsley, Don [dhorsley@smcgov.org] <dhorsley@smcgov.org>; wslocum@smcgov.org; dcanepa@smcgov.org; mike.wasserman@bos.sccgov.org; Chavez, Cindy [cindy.chavez@bos.sccgov.org] <cindy.chavez@bos.sccgov.org>; dave.cortese@bos.sccgov.org; supervisor.ellenberg@bos.sccgov.org; supervisor.simitian@bos.sccgov.org; MTABoard@SFMTA.com; board.secretary@vta.org; marie.blankley@ci.gilroy.us; Bruins, Jeannie [jbruins@losaltosca.gov] <jbruins@losaltosca.gov>; larry.carr@morganhill.ca.gov; district5@sanjoseca.gov; District6@sanjoseca.gov; District4@sanjoseca.gov; hendrickscouncil@sunnyvale.ca.gov; District1@sanjoseca.gov; john.mcalister@mountainview.gov; liz.kniss@cityofpaloalto.org; hmiller@saratoga.ca.us; bnunez@ci.milpitas.ca.gov; mayorandcouncil@santaclaraca.gov; district3@sanjoseca.gov; rrennie@losgatosca.gov
Cc: Fromson, Casey <Fromsonc@samtrans.com>
Subject: Letter in Support of Caltrain Ballot Measure

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

All:

Attached for your review and consideration is a letter that was submitted to the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board of Directors in support of Caltrain and the proposed 1/8 cent sales tax ballot measure.

The letter has been signed by the following members of California's legislative delegation:

- Speaker Pro Tem Kevin Mullin (22nd District)
- Senator Jerry Hill (13th District)
- Senator Scott Wiener (8th District)
- Senator Jim Beall (15th District)
- Assemblymember Marc Berman (24th District)
- Assemblymember David Chiu (17th District)
- Assemblymember Kansen Chu (25th District)

- Assemblymember Ash Karla (27th District)
- Assemblymember Evan Low (28th District)
- Assemblymember Phil Ting (19th District)

Please let us know if you have any questions. Thank you.

Best,
Amy C. Linehan

Amy Colleen Linehan, Public Affairs Specialist

1250 San Carlos Ave., San Carlos, CA 94070

San Mateo County Transit District





July 13, 2020

San Mateo County Supervisor Dave Pine, Chair
Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board of Directors
1250 San Carlos Avenue
San Carlos, CA 94070

Dear Chair Pine:

Thank you for your efforts to help the Caltrain commuter rail system navigate the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. The impacts of the pandemic on public transportation are likely to continue for a prolonged period of time. We recognize that Caltrain is particularly vulnerable because, unlike other Bay Area transit agencies, it does not have a dedicated source of revenue. The survival of Caltrain is critical to the region's recovery from the pandemic and to our long-term need for safe, reliable, equitable, congestion-reducing transit alternatives. We urge Caltrain and its member agencies to take the actions needed to place a measure on the November 2020 ballot that will finally provide Caltrain with a dedicated funding source to help it endure this crisis, and eventually expand service to accommodate regional growth.

We were troubled to learn that the allocation of Federal Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act funds will not be sufficient to cover Caltrain's operating needs, even in the near-term, and that the slow return of ridership could force the system to shut down entirely unless a dedicated source of funding is secured.

In 2017, we worked to pass SB 797 (Hill), which allows Caltrain to place a 1/8-cent sales tax on the ballot as long as it is authorized by the transportation agencies and boards of supervisors in the three counties that Caltrain serves. We have reviewed recent polling showing that this measure is politically viable in 2020. Last year, the Caltrain Board directed staff to evaluate moving forward with this measure. It is clearly needed now more than ever before.


Last year, the Caltrain Board also approved a 2040 Service Vision that would transform Caltrain into a modernized, frequent mass-transit system that carries nearly 180,000 riders, almost three times the current ridership. The implementation of this vision starts with the operation of 8-train-per hour expanded electrified service that will put the equivalent of two additional freeway lanes of traffic on Caltrain instead of our local streets and roads. This cannot be accomplished unless significant new local investment in the system is secured.

A 1/8-cent sales tax would generate enough revenue to make this service a reality. It would also relieve Caltrain's member agencies from their obligation to cover the system's annual operating shortfalls, providing millions of dollars in new revenues to support Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA), San Mateo County Transit District (SamTrans), and San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) transit services at a time when these systems will need it most.


We understand that the Caltrain Board has also made significant progress to evaluate the current management and governance structure. Ultimately, given the size, complexity, and unique structure of the Caltrain system, it is critical for the long-term resiliency of the system that Caltrain eventually become an agency with its own staff — potentially independent of the San Mateo County Transit District — that can focus and specialize solely on the needs of our regional rail commuters. The continued evaluation of reforms that would achieve this should be prioritized. However, given the urgency of this catastrophic funding shortfall, this necessary reform need not be an explicit condition for the desperate need to put a Caltrain dedicated funding measure on the ballot this year. We look forward to continuing to work with Caltrain and the San Mateo County Transit District on this governance reform.

Again, we thank you for all you are doing to position the system to make it through this current crisis and to continue Caltrain's long track record of successfully serving our communities. If we can offer any help to advance this incredibly important effort, please let us know.


Sincerely,



Kevin Mullin
Speaker Pro Tempore
22nd District



Jerry Hill
Senator
13th District



Scott Wiener
Senator
8th District



Jim Beall
Senator
15th District



Marc Berman
Assemblymember
24th District



David Chiu
Assemblymember
17th District




Kansen Chu
Assemblymember
25th District



Ash Kalra
Assemblymember
27th District



Evan Low
Assemblymember
28th District



Phil Ting
Assemblymember
19th District

cc: San Francisco Mayor London Breed
San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo
San Francisco City and County Board of Supervisors
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors
Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors
San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Agency Board of Directors

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: OPPOSE CalTrain Sales Tax
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:37:00 PM

From: Jordan Davis <jodav1026@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 8:01 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; DSA Transit Justice <transit-justice-sf@googlegroups.com>; BCLI Alumni <bclialumni@urbanhabitat.org>; Bob Allen <bob@urbanhabitat.org>
Subject: OPPOSE CalTrain Sales Tax

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

<https://www.sfexaminer.com/news/buoyed-by-public-support-caltrain-one-step-closer-to-considering-1-8-cent-sales-tax/>

Dear Board Of Supervisors

I am extremely concerned about this article, and how a regressive sales tax to fund a service often used by wealthier Bay Area residents may be on the ballot. I urge you to oppose the placement of this tax on the ballot.

In the article, it claims that the sales tax would go to nearly doubling the service levels that existed before shelter in place, even though only 55% of people would go back to their regular commuting patterns. While there are some essential workers who will be riding Caltrain, the vast majority of ridership tends to work in jobs that can easily be done remotely.

IT MAKES NO FISCAL SENSE WHATSOEVER, in a budget crisis AND facing a massive transition to working from home, to increase service from before (and while social distancing has become necessary on transit in light of COVID-19, frequency increases may not be needed when ridership is permanently down).

We may get a vaccine and social distancing and masks will eventually be a thing of the past, but the commutes are also going to be a thing of the past.

Please do not approve this sales tax.

Jordan Davis
(she/her)
District 6

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Mr. Walton's divisive, mean spirited sexism
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:38:00 PM

From: S. A. <oceanlady_20032003@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2020 8:08 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Mr. Walton's divisive, mean spirited sexism

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Board of Supervisors:

Why is Mr. Walton deliberately using slang terms to divide people and demean the female sex? White males are capable of racism, and, in fact, are more likely to commit acts of violence against people of color. White males have killed people of color. Please inform Mr. Walton that some women are offended by the sexist term "Karen" because it specifically targets females. Mr. Walton could have called the "CAREN" Act the "George" Act, or the "Ken Act". Apparently, Mr. Walton thought he was being cute, but he has upset a lot of women. Mr. Walton is completely clueless, or he just doesn't care how much bitter division he deliberately stirred up. Mr. Walton should be aware that the term "Karen" is being used in ways that have nothing to do with acts of racism. It's a new, politically correct way to insult and demean women, and, in many cases, it's not related to racism. Anytime a woman does something stupid, she is a "Karen". I did some googling. Women with funny hair are now "Karens". Just google "Karen and funny hair". What does hair have to do with racism? Obese white women who aren't very bright are "Karen". Anytime a woman steps out of line, she is a "Karen". My wife didn't make my breakfast. Stupid "Karen"! I could go on and on.

What does this graphic of a "Karen" have to do with racism? The graphic is an older white woman with big hair. The caption says, "I Just Found the Leader of the Karens". Aunt Jemima is a racist stereotype, but portraying an older white woman as a scowling, nagging, useless buffoon with big hair is perfectly fine. It's not a stereotype. I see right through Mr. Walton's sexism.

I want Mr. Walton to tell the whole world why he thinks this is appropriate. Make no mistake. He is encouraging this behavior, and he doesn't care. Mr. Walton's "CAREN" bill hit all the major news stations. He must be proud of himself.

<https://imgflip.com/i/3lrmgg>

Think of all the slang words to describe the female sex. From the "B" word to the "C" word. I'm sure Mr. Walton is rather proud of himself for making it easier for males to insult the female sex. Not only do males get a free pass to demean women, they get points for being politically correct. Great job, Mr. Walton.

Despite what Mr. Walton might think, not all white women are ignorant little racists. Or, old white women with big hair.

CHANGE THE NAME TO THE KEN ACT. This would upset white males, and that is never going to happen. White men have power. It's much easier to just dump on women.

By the way, there are women named Karen. Their feelings don't count.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: CAREN Act
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:39:00 PM

From: ER Zep <erkozep@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 9:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: CAREN Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors,

I recently read about your new CAREN act. In the following article.

www.forbes.com/sites/rachelsandler/2020/07/07/san-francisco-lawmaker-introduces-caren-act-to-deter-false-racially-biased-911-calls/

I am writing in to regards to the name of the act. Many millions of people have been lovingly given the name Caren, Karen, Caryn, Karyn, by their parents. This bond is inseparable identity for a child and your choice to further stain their name and the loving bond bestowed upon them is shameful. I am sure you would never wish that for your own child and not for any child you love. I urge you to reconsider your choice of name that is objective and still helps you achieve your goals.

I am not a community member. I live in another county. However, I have friends, community members, office peers, loved ones, with this name.

Thank you for your consideration,

Erica

from my mobile

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Caren Act
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:39:00 PM

From: Dawn Matheson <dawnmatheson@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 9, 2020 3:21 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Caren Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please read about where the pejorative expressions "Karen" comes from before you reinforce this negative stereotype.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karen_\(pejorative\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karen_(pejorative))

Call it the "Amy" act if you have to but all calling someone a Karen does is reinforce that a middle-aged white woman never has a reason to complain or be assertive and I assure you, we do. As a female engineer I can't tell you how much sexual harassment and belittling I have endured over my career. I have literally been stalked at work, not once but twice at two different employers. I have many times suggested something at a meeting only to have a male colleague later make the very same suggestion and suddenly it's a good idea. And I have had to ask to talk to a supervisor numerous times when dealing with my medical insurance company over claims for my autistic son when insurance law was being ignored.

I know this is nothing compared with what African Americans deal with. No one is taking away my freedom or my life over these issues but they are real and they don't need people thinking that every educated middle-aged white woman who asserts herself is unreasonable.

Thanks for listening,

Dawn Matheson

- -
 -
 -
-

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: 1 letter for File No. 200735
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 4:36:00 PM
Attachments: [1 letter for File No. 200735.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please find the attached letter received from 311 for File No. 200735.

File No. 200735 - Ordinance amending the Police Code to make it unlawful to cause a peace officer to contact a person solely to discriminate against the person on the basis of the person's race, ethnicity, religious affiliation, gender, sexual orientation, or gender identity; creating a civil cause of action and providing for damages for violating the prohibition.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Date / Time: 2020-07-08 12:01:04.21

Service Request Number: 12626537

Request for City Services

CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION:

Name: **Karen Francone**
 Phone: **559-313-5143**
 Address:
 Email: **karenfrancone@icloud.com**

DEPARTMENTS:

Department: ([help me choose](#)) **Board of Supervisors (BOS)**

Sub-Division:* **Clerk of the Board**

Department Service Levels: **The City's goal is to respond to these types of requests within 7-21 calendar days; 21 days for request for service; 7 days for all other categories.**

PROPERTY ADDRESS:

Point of Interest:

Street Number:

Street Name:

Street Name 2:

City:

ZIP Code:

X coordinate:

Y coordinate:

Latitude:

Longitude:

CNN:

Unverified Address:

ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION:

Location Description:

(e.g. 600-block of Market St. or in front of Main Library entrance)

REQUEST DETAILS:

Nature of Request:* **Complaint**

ADDITIONAL REQUEST DETAILS:

Additional Request Details: **Caller wanted to submit a complaint about the 'caren' act. She is outrage and takes offense to this being named after women named Karen. This is a term to describe white women with racist behavior and this is offensive to her- as that is her name**

Provided recap of SR to caller?:* **Yes**

BACK

OFFICE USE *****

ONLY

Source

Agency Request

Number:

Responsible

Agency Request

Number:

Service

Request Work

Status:

Work

Status

Updated:

Media URL:

Submit Cancel

From: [Hitomi Benedetti](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: info@japantowntaskforce.org; [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Japan Town Peace Plaza Remodel
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 1:43:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello! I saw some plans on the NC_CBF intagram page for a possible remodel of the Peace Plaza Pagoda space in Japan Town and I just wanted to write in to say I think it's a great idea! I spent many a weekend there as a kid hanging out in the mall whole my mom worked at Zen Hairstyles. My brother and I would explore all the shops, have lunch and visit with my Aunt around the corner.

I remember way back when there were ponds by the pagoda and how they would leak into the garage below. Got so bad they finally just drained the ponds. The plaza has been spruced up bit by bit over the years but this refresh will really bring a new look to a treasured gathering place that I still fondly visit with my kids to this day.

I hope you vote yes on giving the Peace Plaza a chance on the ballot for a fresh new look!
Thank you. -hitomi

Hitomi Benedetti

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: In support of the Japantown Peace Plaza in the Mental Health & Recovery Act Bond (File No. 200478)
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 2:55:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

From: Rosalyn Tonai <rosalyn@njahs.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 12:00 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Rosalyn <rosalyn@njahs.org>
Subject: In support of the Japantown Peace Plaza in the Mental Health & Recovery Act Bond

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Supervisors,

Thank you for your on-going support. As a board member of the Japantown Task Force and the Executive Director of The National Japanese American Historical Society, Inc. I would like to extend my full support for the proposed Mental Health & Recovery Act Bond package which includes \$25 million for the Peace Plaza Renovation Project in San Francisco's Japantown.

This has been a long and strategic planning process involving a community-wide effort under the Japantown Cultural Heritage & Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS). As a promise that "Japantown will thrive as a culturally rich, authentic, and economically vibrant neighborhood, which will serve as the cultural heart of the Japanese and Japanese American communities for generations to come," the Board of Supervisors unanimously approved JCHESS in October 2013. I am so pleased to see that the Peace Plaza project was selected as one of the first initiatives from the JCHESS Report. to be Implemented through an extensive review process over these past 7 years.

I would like to remind this Board that there have been several historical firsts that San Francisco can be most proud of with regard to Japanese American and US-Japan relations. San Francisco can claim to have one of the oldest and longest remaining Japantown's in America. In the aftermath of the SF earthquake and fire, the Western Addition became an international ethnic neighborhood, and Japantown was established at its current location. At the time, Japan contributed the most of all nations combined to the City's earthquake relief.

As WWII waged on, African Americans migrating from the South were able to find affordable housing in homes and apartments once occupied by Japanese Americans who were forcibly removed to concentration camps under Executive Order 9066. And when the camps closed and Japanese Americans returned to their neighborhood, it was their African American neighbors in Japantown, who patronized the stores & restaurants of Japanese American mom & pop businesses in their to re-establish themselves. As early as 1948, Japantown and the Western Addition were slated to be one of the first urban renewal "experiments," in the nation.

In 1945, San Francisco celebrated the establishment of the United Nations, In 1951, the Japan Peace Treaty and Security Pact was signed in San Francisco, all against the backdrop of Japantown residents who welcomed many of the first time delegates.

In 1968, the iconic Peace Pagoda was presented as a gift from the people of Osaka to the people of San Francisco, as a symbol of peace and friendship. The surrounding Peace Plaza would host over 50 years of annual Cherry Blossom Festival performances, 'Mo Magic concerts, seasonal celebrations, community Street Fairs, historic peace commemorations, ---all reflecting our City's proud multi-cultural heritage.

Likewise our own Historical Society has relocated to Japantown across from the Japantown Peace Plaza to become involved in its historic preservation and cultural heritage revitalization with exhibits and programming.

The Peace Plaza has gone through numerous renovations, always cosmetic and never alleviating the structural defects and leaks. The \$25 million budgeted for the Peace Plaza Renovation should be enough to complete the necessary renovations needed that include the preservation of the historic "heart" of Japantown, the Peace Pagoda.

As we've stated in earlier public comments, the Peace Pagoda and Peace Plaza have historic value and international appeal. They remain as iconic symbols of community resilience and hope for humanity in San Francisco's post-pandemic recovery.

We encourage your full support of the Mental Health & Recovery Act Bond.

Sincerely yours,

--

Rosalyn Tonai
Executive Director
National Japanese American Historical Society, Inc.
1684 Post Street
San Francisco CA 94115
rosalyn@njahs.org
415.921.5007 x 104 -phone
415.921.5087- fax
www.njahs.org

From: [Lucy Fisher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); AaronPeskin@sfgov.org; [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support the Peace Plaza and Mental Health & Recovery Bond
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 10:41:49 AM
Attachments: [BOS_peace_plaza.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Members,
Please see my attached letter in support of the Peace Plaza and Mental Health and Recovery Bond.

Sincerely,
Lucy Fisher

July 13, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm a board member of the Japantown Task Force and spoken at the Budget Committee hearing on July 8. This letter is to underscore our support for the full \$25 million needed to repair the Peace Plaza in San Francisco's Japantown and the proposed 2020 Mental Health & Recovery Act Bond package.

As you are aware, the Peace Plaza meets the Recreation & Parks Department's targets for capital funding. Among other criteria, it is "shovel ready" so work can begin immediately, a conditions assessment has identified specific areas for repair, and the Plaza is a multi-use, inter-generational community hub for social, cultural, economic and spiritual happenings.

We urge your full support for the Peace Plaza renovation and the Mental Health & Recovery Bond. In this time of upheaval, this Bond will support the mental health and economic recovery for San Francisco's residents and visitors for decades to come.

Sincerely,

Lucy Fisher, RN, PhD
Lucyfisher555@gmail.com
Board member, Japantown Task Force
District 8 resident

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Public comment against cut of deputy sheriff at DPH clinic
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 2:37:00 PM

From: Ryan Nguyen <anlac855@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 8:40 PM
To: Wong, Linda (BOS) <linda.wong@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public comment against cut of deputy sheriff at DPH clinic

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Ryan Nguyen. I work at the Chinatown/North Beach Mental Health Clinic. I want to speak against the elimination of the deputy sheriff position. A part of DPH, our clinic serves severely mentally ill clients by keeping them

healthy and safe. The deputy sheriff has been an instrumental part in reaching that goal. Being a long time community member, her presence has reassured clients when they didn't feel safe. She has also been very effective in diverting and de-escalating situations that could have ended in catastrophe.

During this health crisis when many are sicker and

with unmet needs, potential for chaos and disorder is high. We had clients who were experiencing psychotic symptoms and under the influence of substances presented aggressively with threats and violence toward other clients and staff. The deputy sheriff is needed to set the tone and maintain safety for all.

Sent from my iPad

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Files 200422, 200635: Fallacy of market-rate housing subsidizing affordable units
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 2:34:00 PM

From: aj <ajahjah@att.net>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 10:53 PM
To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>
Subject: Files 200422, 200635: Fallacy of market-rate housing subsidizing affordable units

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use & Transportation Committee:

The 3/15/2018 Budget & Legislative Analyst Report (File 18-0163) on the Reservoir Project specified a Key Point. The Report's finding of feasibility and responsibility rested on a Key Point which was: **"33 percent would be affordable to low- and moderate-income households, funded by developer equity and project revenues."**


The Reservoir Project has been very successfully marketed as 'market-rate units subsidizing affordable units'. **But this representation is not borne out in fact.**

The propaganda has been so successful that just about everybody thinks that the developers will be gifting us with 50% affordable. This PR is false advertising/ propaganda.

The actual funding for the affordable units will be coming mainly from public sources, NOT from private equity.

Here's the unit breakdown for the 550 affordable units, for which the developer is supposed to be responsible for 363. The 363 developer's share of affordable units will be subsidized with \$79.5 M of State funds. This breakdown is sourced from the Reservoir Project's own documents:

DATA FROM 5/12/20 EPS MEMO	UNITS	AMOUNT	PRICE/UNIT	Price/Unit SOURCE
550 MARKET-RATE UNITS	550	***	***	***
CITY-FUNDED 187 UNITS	187	\$ 44.7 M	\$239K	Development Agreement
STATE-FUNDED 257 UNITS	257	\$ 79.5 M	\$310K	EPS Memo
DEVELOPER 106 UNITS	106	\$33.0 M	\$310K	EPS Memo



Contrary to the marketing hype of market-rate housing subsidizing affordable, the exact opposite is the truth:
THE PUBLIC WILL BE SUBSIDIZING THE MARKET-RATE UNITS.

Furthermore, 57 years down the line, even though 444 units will have been funded from public sources, all 550 could go market-rate..... and the Project will be owned free and clear by the developer.

Please do not enable this Privatization Scam.

Sincerely,
Alvin Ja, D7

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's meeting 6/23/20
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 2:33:00 PM
Attachments: [Appeal No. 20-034. SF Board of Appeals with corrections.docx](#)

From: Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2020 10:16 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Bohn, Nicole (ADM) <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>; Kaplan, Deborah (ADM) <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's meeting 6/23/20

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, MOD, and City Attorney:

1. I request the same disability accommodations to attend Tuesday's meeting.
2. I would also appreciate a reply to my email that I've posted a couple times here. Thank you so much.
3. Please see below the letter that I read last week and the attached appeal, concerning our loss of urban canopy and a conflict of interest at the Board of Appeals.

OPEN LETTER

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors

I'm writing as a concerned citizen who recognizes that San Francisco has the smallest urban canopy of any major American city at less than 13.7% greenery.

Department of Public Works has been putting all of our trees on the chopping block , deforesting our city of over 2,700 trees in 2019 alone. They're cutting down even more trees during Covid-19, even though scientific studies have shown that air pollution has been linked to heavy fatality rates and a further spread of the virus.

Next up there going to cut down almost all our trees on 24th St. in the Mission, which will devastate the community there.

What concerns me even more is that the Board of Appeals goes along with all of these horrible tree killings because vice president Darryl Honda is close personal friends with Chris Buck, who works for the Department of Public Works.

How can we have a fair hearing at the Board of Appeals for our public trees, when the vice president [brags about his friendship with therepresentative from DPW?](#)

I urge the Board of Supervisors to investigate and take action on this egregious conflict of interest. The Board of Appeals is one of our most important departments and it cannot function properly or be remotely in line with just rulings with this kind of heavy personal bias. Please suspend all tree killings during Covid-19, especially those that were ordered under Muhammed Nuru before his arrest by the FBI.

Please support our urban canopy.

Thank you for your time.

– Zach Karnazes

Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist

<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>

Please note: *While technology has improved a lot, computer accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability needs. Using the computer hurts for me, always.*

My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels and functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to follow up with me if you don't get a reply.

My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in your response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.

To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond including the numbering system provided, if any is used.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected from disclosure.

On Tue, Jul 7, 2020 at 2:24 PM Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear BOS staff, MOD, Nicole Bohn, and SF City Attorney:

I would still appreciate a response to my email request.

>>>Communication with Wilson has been difficult, and very upsetting for me.

>>> Talking with Angela is much more productive, I think for everyone
>>> involved. I kindly ask that she be the point of contact on these issues
>>> moving forward. If she is not available, is there another ADA
>>> coordinator, ADA coordinator assistant, or anyone else on staff that
>>> could answer questions?>>

>

> Please let me know if this reasonable accommodation request is being
> denied.

-Zach K.

On 7/6/20, Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com> wrote:

> Dear BOS staff, MOD, Nicole Bohn, and SF City Attorney:

>

> I request a response, again, to my June 27th, 2020 email:

>

>>>Communication with Wilson has been difficult, and very upsetting for me.

>>> Talking with Angela is much more productive, I think for everyone
>>> involved. I kindly ask that she be the point of contact on these issues
>>> moving forward. If she is not available, is there another ADA
>>> coordinator, ADA coordinator assistant, or anyone else on staff that
>>> could answer questions?>>

>

> Please let me know if this reasonable accommodation request is being
> denied.

>

> I would appreciate to speak earlier in the agenda tomorrow due to my
> chronic health conditions. thank you.

>

> -Zach K.

>

>

>

> On 6/27/20, Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com> wrote:

>> Dear BOS staff, MOD, Nicole Bohn, and SF City Attorney:

>> I want to publicly thank Angela Calvillo for her very thoughtful
>> email,

>> which is also full of useful updates and information. Angela, I really
>> appreciate your work on this.

>>

>> Please know, what I'm mainly hoping for is some effort towards
>> accessibility improvements. Clearly, from Angela's email, your office is
>> making the effort and communicating with departments about this which is
>> wonderful! Having some accessibility phrasing on the meeting website
>> would

>> be a great next step that hopefully would not require any exhaustive
>> coordination between departments.

>>

>> Communication with Wilson has been difficult, and very upsetting for me.

>> Talking with Angela is much more productive, I think for everyone
>> involved. I kindly ask that she be the point of contact on these issues
>> moving forward. If she is not available, is there another ADA
>> coordinator,

>> ADA coordinator assistant, or anyone else on staff that could answer
>> questions?

>>

>> Thank you again,

>>

>> - Zach Karnazes

>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist

>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>

>>

>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.

>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels and
>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>> follow

>> up with me if you don't get a reply.

>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in your
>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.

>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond
>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.

>>

>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected
>> from disclosure.*

>>

>>

>>

>> On Fri, Jun 26, 2020 at 8:03 PM Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <

>> angela.calvillo@sfgov.org> wrote:

>>

>>> *Hello Zach,*
>>>
>>> I appreciate the aggravation you are subject to to document
>>> accessibility
>>> concerns with our video and tele systems (system). I understand why you
>>> are dissatisfied with the lack of accessibility with our public comment
>>> system, but we are committed identifying and eliminating barriers for
>>> users, beginning with you. In our conversation I learned plain and
>>> simple
>>> what your concerns were. You also highlighted them in your email below.
>>> Although you cannot see our work, Wilson and I are in constant
>>> communication regarding ADA accessibility issues. This past week,
>>> Wilson
>>> and I met together with the Directors of the Department of Technology
>>> (DT),
>>> and the Mayor's Office on Disability regarding other accessibility
>>> issues
>>> with the system, and we will be meeting again to specifically address
>>> your
>>> concerns. Additionally, our IT lead for the departments our video and
>>> tele
>>> systems has been on the phone with ATT, and WebEX most days of the week
>>> since February, testing the provider on workarounds or enhancements that
>>> can improve and make our system more accessible.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Wilson has been a true advocate for the ADA community and will be again
>>> specifically on the concerns you bring to light here. Be assured this
>>> week
>>> Wilson provided your list of access concerns to DT and MOD and we will
>>> meet
>>> to discuss and will document that conversation for a report back to you.
>>> Evidenced by your latest email below, the issues are not being
>>> resolved
>>> fast enough. We get it. This is not because we do not take these
>>> concerns
>>> seriously, nor is it that we do not care. Although several departments
>>> are
>>> working diligently on these matters, we will do everything we can to
>>> minimize the time it takes for improvements to appear functionally with
>>> the
>>> system. On our call, you made it clear that you have brought these
>>> concerns forward to benefit the ADA community as whole, which relies on
>>> our
>>> successful collaboration. Please continue to engage with us over these
>>> issues. Together I think we can create some wins for the community.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Angela Calvillo
>>>
>>> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
>>>
>>> City and County of San Francisco
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> *1. *I go to great lengths the draft this email with accessibility software. It's really sad and the Board of Supervisors has an ADA coordinator was apparently uncommitted to accessibility.

>>>
>>> *2. * I don't know what a "interrogatories" is, I was just trying to list out the access barriers that I had mentioned to Angela over the phone, since there have yet to be a reply or try to fix.

>>>
>>> *3. *If the ADA coordinator is unwilling to give a substantive reply to these access barrier, *I would at least appreciate clarification on my reasonable accommodation request to make public comment during the communication section of the meeting. Can this accommodation be made for Tuesday? It was offered last week but I had already scheduled my medications and home care help*

>>>
>>> *Zach we will accommodate your request to provide public comment after communications.*

>>>
>>> *Wilson will provide you the information you need to provide your public comment on Tuesday directly after my communication.*

>>>
>>> *4. * *I formally request that Mayors Office on Disability file these accessibility problems as a formal Grievance*, since the ADA coordinator seems to be unwilling to address them or even call (or respond about calling) WebEx to see if there are options to improve access.

>>>
>>> *5. *I request a reasonable accommodation for any replies be numbered, thank you for your time.

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> *From:* Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com>
>>> *Sent:* Friday, June 26, 2020 5:26 PM
>>> *To:* Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; Kaplan, Deborah (ADM) <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>; Bohn, Nicole (ADM) <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>
>>> *Subject:* Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's meeting
>>> 6/23/20

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Dear BOS staff, MOD, Nicole Bohn, and SF City Attorney:

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> *1. *I go to great lengths the draft this email with accessibility software. It's really sad and the Board of Supervisors has an ADA

>>> coordinator was apparently uncommitted to accessibility.
>>>
>>> *2.* I dont know what a "interrogatories" is, I was just trying to list
>>> out the access barriers that I had mentioned to Angela over the phone,
>>> since there have yet to be a reply or try to fix.
>>>
>>> *3. *If the ADA coordinator is unwilling to give a substantive reply to
>>> these access barrier, *I would at least appreciate clarification on my
>>> reasonable accommodation request to make public comment during the
>>> communication section of the meeting. Can this accommodation be made
>>> for
>>> Tuesday? It was offered last week but I had already scheduled my
>>> medications and home care help*
>>>
>>> *4. * *I formally request that Mayors Office on Disability file these
>>> accessibility problems as a formal Grievance*, since the ADA coordinator
>>> seems to be unwilling to address them or even call (or respond about
>>> calling) WebEx to see if there are options to improve access.
>>>
>>> *5. *I request a reasonable accommodation for any replies be numbered,
>>> thank you for your time.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> *Please note: * *While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels and
>>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> follow
>>> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>>
>>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in your
>>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>>
>>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond
>>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>>
>>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected
>>> from disclosure.*
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> On Fri, Jun 26, 2020 at 1:53 PM Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> Dear Zach,
>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> See responses inline below.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> Please note that interrogatories are not accommodation requests under
>>> ADA.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> In response to your request for accommodation to bypass the access code
>>> and to be queued up at the beginning public comment, as per my
>>> communication to you on June 23, 2020, you may contact our office at
>>> (415)
>>> 554-5184 in advance of the scheduled public comment period for the Board
>>> of
>>> Supervisors meeting, and we will be glad to identify, transfer, and
>>> queue
>>> you up.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> Sincerely,

>>>

>>> * _ *

>>>

>>> *Wilson L. Ng*

>>>

>>> Deputy Director of Operations

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors

>>>

>>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

>>>

>>> San Francisco, CA 94102

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> Phone: (415) 554-7725

>>>

>>> Web: www.sfbos.org

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> *Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> providing complete access to the legislative process and our services. *

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> [image: CustomerSatisfactionIcon]

>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> Click here

>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a Board of

>>> Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

>>>

>>> *Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under
>>> the
>>> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.
>>> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> public
>>> are not required to provide personal identifying information when they
>>> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> written
>>> or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available
>>> to
>>> all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and
>>> similar
>>> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> and
>>> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> other
>>> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> *From:* Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com>
>>> *Sent:* Friday, June 26, 2020 12:02 PM
>>> *To:* Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors,
>>> (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <
>>> bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <
>>> angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <
>>> eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org>; Bohn, Nicole
>>> (ADM) <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>; Kaplan, Deborah (ADM) <
>>> deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Somera,
>>> Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <
>>> Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>
>>> *Subject:* Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> meeting
>>> 6/23/20

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or
>>> attachments from untrusted sources.

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> Dear Board of Supervisors, City Attorney, and MOD,

>>>

>>> I'm glad you granted some basic accommodations without the retaliation
>>> me

>>> "proving" my disability (Mr. Ng is the first person I ever knew to ever
>>> retaliate in this way).

>>>

>>> However, access is still much worse than when I attended meetings in
>>> 2019.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> *1. *The roundabout, highly confusing, call-patching networking system
>>> is a workaround that is at least something.

>>>

>>> However, your office continues to make zero commitment to others with
>>> disabilities, including information on how to request such a roundabout
>>> "fix" to attend on the meetings <<https://sfgov.org/bdappeal/meetings>>
>>> page or in the agenda.

>>>

>>> Noted. We will pass your feedback to the City's Department of
>>> Technology,
>>> who provisions enterprise technology solutions for departments. The
>>> Mayor's Office on Disability is also working with the Department of
>>> Technology on general Citywide policy guidance.

>>>

>>>

>>> *2.* I was told on Friday 06/19/20 over the phone by Angela Calvillo
>>> that
>>> accessibility information for how to attend meetings and get the
>>> special,
>>> extremely complicated technical accommodations, would be provided on
>>> your
>>> website here within 24 hours:

>>>

>>> <https://sfgov.org/bdappeal/meetings>

>>>

>>> A week later no such text is provided.
>>> The website link you provided is for the Board of Appeals. Board of
>>> Supervisors and Committee meetings is at www.sfbos.org/meetings.

>>>

>>> *3.* I explained to Angela on the phone of other important
>>> accessibility
>>> issues, such as:

>>>

>>> *4.* *The unbelievably unreadable text for Supervisors names via SFGovt
>>> TV
>>> (see attached image).

>>>

>>> How are disabled people and nondisabled people supposed to know who is
>>> speaking if we can't read that text?

>>>

>>> Noted. We will pass your feedback to the City's Department of
>>> Technology,
>>> who provisions enterprise technology solutions for departments and
>>> SFGovTV. The Mayor's Office on Disability is also working with the
>>> Department of Technology on general Citywide policy guidance.

>>>

>>> *5.* * The incredibly inaccessible and difficult scrolling text for the
>>> call in number that appears on SFGov TV. As I asked on the phone, this
>>> really could be stationary and placed at the bottom of the screen to
>>> make
>>> accessibility easier for the disabled.

>>> Noted. We will pass your feedback to the City's Department of
>>> Technology,
>>> who provisions enterprise technology solutions for departments and
>>> SFGovTV. The Mayor's Office on Disability is also working with the
>>> Department of Technology on general Citywide policy guidance.

>>>
>>>
>>> *6.* Changes to the call-in system including:
>>> * a.* Adding a voice dialing option for those with disabilities
>>> * b.* Increasing the time to input the ever-changing 10-digit passcode
>>> to attend
>>> * c.* Informing callers when a passcode is input incorrectly instead of
>>> saying "this meeting hasn't started yet."
>>> * d.* Reducing the pass code to less than 10-digits (I don't believe
>>> you
>>> when you say this is impossible, and I think you are not making enough
>>> effort here)
>>> * e.* Letting callers know after the third attempt that the password is
>>> not input correctly, instead of saying "Thanks for calling webex,
>>> goodbye!"
>>>
>>> * f.* Changing the "leave your place in line" to something other than
>>> *3. Making *3 the way to stay in line and leave the line is extremely
>>> confusing and difficult for people with disabilities like myself. The
>>> system doesn't give you an option to confirm that you want to leave the
>>> line, if you accidentally press this, unsure of public comment has
>>> started
>>> yet.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> *g.* There are other issues as well. You could call Webex to ask for
>>> improvements. *Have you even tried to have a conversation with the
>>> provider? When did you call?*>>>
>>> In response to your request for accommodation to bypass the access code
>>> and to be queued up at the beginning public comment, as per my
>>> communication to you on June 23, 2020, you may contact our office at
>>> (415)
>>> 554-5184 in advance of the scheduled public comment period for the Board
>>> of
>>> Supervisors meeting, and we will be glad to identify, transfer, and
>>> queue
>>> you up.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> *7.* I'm very disappointed that your office is not taking this
>>> seriously
>>> or attempting to fix any of these issues but simply saying that this is
>>> out
>>> of your hands and not an ADA violation.
>>>
>>> This is clearly an ADA violation and these are problems that are very
>>> fixable if you decided to care about them, even a little bit. Why can't
>>> you call Webex and ask them to make some changes?
>>> It's really sad to see an ADA coordinator that doesn't prioritize
>>> access
>>> or work to improve it *for all people* with disabilities. I hope
>>> someone
>>> else can do a better job in the future.
>>> Per my communication to you on June 22, 2020, the public comment access
>>> code is integral to and necessary for the operation of the program, and

>>> does not violate the American with Disabilities Act.
>>>
>>>
>>> In response to your request for accommodation to bypass the access code,
>>> we provided you with the option to contact our office at (415) 554-5184
>>> in
>>> advance of the scheduled public comment period for the Board of
>>> Supervisors
>>> meeting(s), and will be glad to identify, transfer, and queue you up.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> *8.* I would like to attend the meeting on Tuesday with the
>>> accommodation for speaking during the Communications portion of the
>>> meeting. This was granted to me in November of 2019.
>>> Per my communication to you on June 16, 2020, on November 19, 2019, our
>>> office successfully provided you with accommodation by providing you
>>> with
>>> a
>>> call in number and access code. In your complaint dated June 15, 2020,
>>> you indicated that the access code has since provided you with an access
>>> barrier.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> In response to your request for accommodation to bypass the access code
>>> and to be queued up at the beginning public comment, as per my
>>> communication to you on June 23, 2020, you may contact our office at
>>> (415)
>>> 554-5184 in advance of the scheduled public comment period for the Board
>>> of
>>> Supervisors meeting, and we will be glad to identify, transfer, and
>>> queue
>>> you up.
>>>
>>> *9*. As with all my emails / future replies, I request a reasonable
>>> accommodation to use the numbering and lettering system provided in your
>>> response.
>>> Noted.
>>>
>>>
>>> Sincerely,
>>> -Zach K.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> On 6/23/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org> wrote:
>>> > Dear Zach,
>>> >
>>> > We are glad to have successfully accommodated you in queueing you up
>>> first
>>> > for public comment today during the scheduled public comment period at
>>> the
>>> > Board meeting.
>>> >
>>> > Going forward, please feel free to similarly contact our office at

>>> > (415)
>>> > 554-5184 in advance of the scheduled public comment period, and we
>>> > will
>>> > be
>>> > glad to identify, transfer, and queue you up public comment.
>>> >
>>> > Sincerely,
>>> > __
>>> > Wilson L. Ng
>>> > Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >
>>> > San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> > 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> > San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >
>>> > Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> > Web: www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org/>>
>>> >
>>> > Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> > Order,
>>> > the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> > providing
>>> > complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >
>>> > [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> > Board of
>>> > Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> > Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> > to
>>> > the
>>> > Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> > California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> > Ordinance.
>>> > Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> > public
>>> > are not required to provide personal identifying information when they
>>> > communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> > written or
>>> > oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> > Office
>>> > regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> > all
>>> > members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> > does
>>> > not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> > personal
>>> > information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> > information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> > and
>>> > its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> > other
>>> > public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >
>>> > From: Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> > Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2020 12:10 PM
>>> > To: Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com>
>>> > Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>;

>>> > BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
>>> > <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
>>> > <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org>; Bohn, Nicole
>>> (ADM)
>>> > <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>; Kaplan, Deborah (ADM)
>>> > <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org
>>> >;
>>> > Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
>>> > <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <
>>> > Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>;
>>> > Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>
>>> > Subject: RE: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> > meeting
>>> > 6/23/20
>>> >
>>> > Dear Zach,
>>> >
>>> > See responses inline below.
>>> > __
>>> > Wilson L. Ng
>>> > Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >
>>> > San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> > 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> > San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >
>>> > Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> > Web: www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org/>>
>>> >
>>> > Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> > Order,
>>> > the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> > providing
>>> > complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >
>>> > [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> > Board of
>>> > Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> > Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> > to
>>> > the
>>> > Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> > California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> > Ordinance.
>>> > Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> > public
>>> > are not required to provide personal identifying information when they
>>> > communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> > written or
>>> > oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> > Office
>>> > regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> > all
>>> > members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> > does
>>> > not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> > personal

>>> > information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> > information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> > and
>>> > its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> > other
>>> > public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >
>>> > From: Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>
>>> > Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2020 11:44 AM
>>> > To: Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> > <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>
>>> >>;
>>> > Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
>>> > <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>>;
>>> > BOS-Operations
>>> > <bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>
>>> >>;
>>> > Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
>>> > <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>>; Mchugh,
>>> > Eileen (BOS)
>>> > <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
>>> >>;
>>> > MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org<mailto:mod@sfgov.org>>; Bohn, Nicole (ADM)
>>> > <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>; Kaplan, Deborah
>>> > (ADM)
>>> > <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org<mailto:deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>>; Tse, John
>>> > (BOS)
>>> > <john.tse@sfgov.org<mailto:john.tse@sfgov.org>>; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
>>> > <alisa.somera@sfgov.org<mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org>>; PEARSON, ANNE
>>> > (CAT)
>>> > <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org<mailto:Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>>;
>>> > Cityattorney
>>> > <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org<mailto:Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>>
>>> > Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> > meeting
>>> > 6/23/20
>>> >
>>> > Dear BOS staff, MOD, and SF City Attorney:
>>> > Thank you for the responses and accessibility help.
>>> >
>>> > 1. Given the complexities of the board meeting to vote on a change to
>>> > the
>>> > agenda so close to the meeting, I request the accommodation to just be
>>> > put
>>> > in the beginning of public comment to avoid additional access barrier
>>> > issues
>>> > like last weeks meeting.
>>> > Noted.
>>> >
>>> > 2. As I expressed to Anglela Calvillo over the phone, what would help
>>> > with
>>> > my medication schedule, pain management, and other special needs is
>>> > knowing
>>> > a *time estimate* when I will be able to speak. Being at the beginnig
>>> > of in
>>> > the que for public comment would really help with this but I would
>>> > really
>>> > appreciate an estimate of time when I can call in.

>>>> As per instructions provided yesterday, please call in at 1:45pm.
>>>> Instructions restated below:
>>>> Please note that we will need a way to identify you as the caller in
>>>> order
>>>> to queue you up when you call in, so we ask for your cooperation and
>>>> patience.
>>>>
>>>> Here are the steps to be identified, queued, and prioritized for
>>>> public
>>>> comment [...], per your request for accommodation.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> 1. Call in to the public comment line at 1:45pm so that our staff
>>>> can
>>>> identify you prior to the start of the meeting and prioritize your
>>>> call.
>>>> 2. You may call in via any one of these options:
>>>>
>>>> * Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial
>>>> access
>>>> code "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>>> * Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to
>>>> bypass
>>>> having to dial the number and access code
>>>>
>>>> * Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013 #
>>>> #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,#
>>>> <+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,%23>>
>>>>
>>>> * Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184,
>>>> and
>>>> our
>>>> staff will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your
>>>> call.
>>>>
>>>> 1. Once you are in the queue, you will be prioritized and placed on
>>>> hold
>>>> until the designated public comment period for you to speak. You may
>>>> continue to listen to the meeting while you are queued from your
>>>> phone,
>>>> or
>>>> you can watch and follow the Board's proceedings on
>>>> www.sfgovtv.org<<http://www.sfgovtv.org>> until the designated public
>>>> comment
>>>> period.
>>>>
>>>> 3. I will use the call in number to patch through of 415-554-5184
>>>> Noted.
>>>>
>>>> 4. I request a reasonable accommodation that somebody be available by
>>>> email
>>>> in case there are access difficulties like last week I cannot get
>>>> through.
>>>> If I'm calling in, I can't call two numbers at the same time.
>>>> You may email me at
>>>> Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org<<mailto:Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>>
>>>> during the meeting.
>>>>

>>> > 5. There is still no meeting accessibility information for disabled
>>> people
>>> > on
>>> > <https://sfbos.org/events/calendar/upcoming>
>>> > or
>>> > <https://sfbos.org/meetings/42>
>>> > I would appreciate this to be fixed ASAP so that disabled people know
>>> that
>>> > these accommodations are even available.
>>> > There are still so many ADA access problems that I'm hoping will be
>>> > addressed in the near future.
>>> > ADA accessibility information is noted on every published Board and
>>> > Committee agenda. Please click on the agendas contained in the links
>>> > you
>>> > provided.
>>> > See page 3 of this week's agenda:
>>> > https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/bag062320_agenda.pdf
>>> >
>>> > 6. I would appreciate some clarity on whether or not Wilson Ng is the
>>> ADA
>>> > accessibility coordinator for this office.
>>> > Mr. Ng does not appear to have training or much sensitivity around ADA
>>> > special needs and I request to speak with the ADA coordinator that has
>>> > training and sensitivity around special needs.
>>> > Per my communication to you on 6/22/20, our office has confirmed that
>>> > the
>>> > Department ADA coordinator for the Board of Supervisors is Wilson Ng,
>>> > Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org<mailto:Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>.
>>> > You may alternatively contact the Mayor's Office of Disability at
>>> > 415-554-6789 or MOD@sfgov.org<mailto:MOD@sfgov.org> if you require
>>> > additional assistance.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > Thank you for your time.
>>> > – Zach Karnazes
>>> > Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> > <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >
>>> > *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> > accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> > disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> > My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> > and
>>> > functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> > follow
>>> > up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> > My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> > your
>>> > response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> > To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond
>>> > including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >
>>> > *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> > attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> > confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> > protected
>>> > from disclosure.*
>>> >

>>> >
>>> > On 6/22/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> > <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>> wrote:
>>> >> Dear Zach,
>>> >>
>>> >> See responses inline below.
>>> >>
>>> >> __
>>> >> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>
>>> >> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> >> San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>
>>> >> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >> Web: www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org/>>
>>> >>
>>> >> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> >> Order,
>>> >> the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> >> providing
>>> >> complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >>
>>> >> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >> Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> >> Board
>>> >> of
>>> >> Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> >> to
>>> >> the
>>> >> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> >> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> >> Ordinance.
>>> >> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> >> public
>>> >> are not required to provide personal identifying information when
>>> >> they
>>> >> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> >> written
>>> >> or
>>> >> oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> >> Office
>>> >> regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> >> all
>>> >> members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> >> does
>>> >> not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> >> personal
>>> >> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> >> and
>>> >> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> >> other
>>> >> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >>
>>> >> From: Zach

>>> >> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><mailto:
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>
>>> >> Sent: Monday, June 22, 2020 4:08 PM
>>> >> To: Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
>>> >> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> <mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org<mailto:
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> BOS-Operations
>>> >> <bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
>>> >> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Mchugh,
>>> >> Eileen (BOS)
>>> >> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> MOD, (ADM)
>>> >> <mod@sfgov.org<mailto:mod@sfgov.org>><mailto:mod@sfgov.org<mailto:
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Bohn, Nicole (ADM)
>>> >> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Kaplan, Deborah (ADM)
>>> >> <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org<mailto:deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org<mailto:deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Tse, John (BOS)
>>> >> <john.tse@sfgov.org<mailto:john.tse@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> <john.tse@sfgov.org<mailto:john.tse@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Somera, Alisa (BOS)
>>> >> <alisa.somera@sfgov.org<mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> <alisa.somera@sfgov.org<mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> PEARSON, ANNE (CAT)
>>> >> <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org<mailto:Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org
>>> <mailto:Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org<mailto:Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org
>>> >>>;
>>> >> Cityattorney
>>> >> <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org<mailto:Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org
>>> <mailto:Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org<mailto:Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>>>
>>> >> Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> >> meeting
>>> >> 6/23/20
>>> >>
>>> >> Dear BOS, MOD, and City Attorney,
>>> >> Thank you for granting the accommodation regarding the numbering
>>> >> system.
>>> >> This is a great help.
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. Regarding the other accommodation (#1 from previous email). For
>>> >> tomorrow, I request to speak earlier on the agenda than item #23 to
>>> >> avoid
>>> >> the confusion and technical difficulties that happened last week. I
>>> >> had
>>> >> a
>>> >> long phone call with Angela Calvillo, explained the embarrassing

>>> >> details
>>> >> of
>>> >> my disability so that I can be granted this accommodation which was
>>> >> previously granted to me. My disabilities have not changed since the
>>> >> last
>>> >> time it was granted to me.).
>>> >> To confirm, we can accommodate you speaking earlier in the public
>>> >> comment
>>> >> queue during the regularly scheduled public comment period. However,
>>> >> as
>>> >> per
>>> >> my inquiry to the Clerk of the Board, speaking at an earlier item on
>>> >> the
>>> >> agenda will require the Board's unanimous consent on a motion to
>>> >> provide
>>> >> privilege of the floor outside of regular public comment; this is to
>>> >> be
>>> >> determined tomorrow during the meeting. Note that if you are
>>> >> provided
>>> >> privilege of the floor to speak, you will not be eligible to speak
>>> >> during
>>> >> the regularly scheduled public comment period.
>>> >>
>>> >> This is also to confirm that our staff will prioritize you
>>> >> accordingly
>>> >> in
>>> >> the public comment queue – whether it be during general public
>>> >> comment
>>> >> or
>>> >> at
>>> >> an earlier agenda item – contingent that you follow the steps that we
>>> >> have
>>> >> outlined so that we can have a way to identify you as the caller and
>>> >> queue
>>> >> you up when you call in.
>>> >> As per my earlier communication today,
>>> >> Here are the steps to be identified, queued, and prioritized for
>>> >> public
>>> >> comment tomorrow, per your request for accommodation.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. Call in to the public comment line at 1:45pm so that our staff
>>> >> can
>>> >> identify you prior to the start of the meeting and prioritize your
>>> >> call.
>>> >> 2. You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >>
>>> >> * Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial
>>> >> access
>>> >> code "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>> >> * Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to
>>> >> bypass
>>> >> having to dial the number and access code
>>> >>
>>> >> * Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013
>>> >> #
>>> >> #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,#
>>> >> <+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,%23>>

>>> >>
>>> >> * Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184,
>>> >> and
>>> >> our
>>> >> staff will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your
>>> >> call.
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. Once you are in the queue, you will be prioritized and placed
>>> >> on
>>> >> hold
>>> >> until the designated public comment period for you to speak. You
>>> >> may
>>> >> continue to listen to the meeting while you are queued from your
>>> >> phone,
>>> >> or
>>> >> you can watch and follow the Board's proceedings on
>>> >> www.sfgovtv.org<<http://www.sfgovtv.org>><<http://www.sfgovtv.org>> until
>>> >> the
>>> >> designated public comment
>>> >> period.
>>> >>
>>> >> 2. Please let me know what agenda item you could include me on.
>>> >> See response to item 1 above.
>>> >> 3. I also appreciate the option to call in and patch through without
>>> >> a
>>> >> pass
>>> >> code. This will help me a lot personally, and I hope that you can
>>> >> advertise
>>> >> this to other disabled and nondisabled members of the public that are
>>> >> struggling to use the extremely inaccessible 10-digit dial-in system.
>>> >> Noted.
>>> >>
>>> >> 4. I spent 1.5 hours on the phone with Angela Calvillo On Friday
>>> >> afternoon
>>> >> and provided exhaustive detail to all the accessibility problems with
>>> >> the
>>> >> current call-in system and meeting structure. I'm hopeful that they
>>> >> are
>>> >> being looked into and would appreciate updates as they become
>>> >> available.
>>> >> Noted. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, extends her availability
>>> >> if
>>> >> you
>>> >> would like to discuss further.
>>> >>
>>> >> 5. I politely request again a numbered response to my email from Jun
>>> >> 15,
>>> >> 2020 at 2:53 PM. I went to great lengths to write that email with
>>> >> accessible technologies and I would really appreciate a response
>>> >> using
>>> >> the
>>> >> numbering system provided as an accommodation. It is copied below
>>> >> again
>>> >> or
>>> >> your convenience
>>> >> See responses inline below.
>>> >>
>>> >> sincerely,

>>> >> -Zach K.
>>> >> -----
>>> >>
>>> >> Dear Board of Supervisors, SF City Attorney, Mayor's Office on
>>> >> Disability,
>>> >> and Mayor's Disability Council,
>>> >>
>>> >> I'm very concerned that this accessibility problem and ADA access
>>> >> barrier
>>> >> is not being taken seriously.
>>> >> Mayors Office on Disability:
>>> >> Please file this issue as a disability grievance and send a copy to
>>> >> the
>>> >> Mayor's Disability Council.
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. While appreciate the offer of assistance, this access barrier is
>>> >> not
>>> >> a
>>> >> problem with my ability to work a phone or knowledge of how to access
>>> >> the
>>> >> meeting. This is an added unnecessary access barrier, which causes
>>> >> many
>>> >> issues for many people other than myself (disabled and non-disabled).
>>> >> There
>>> >> is also no voice input command option.
>>> >> Per my communication to you on 6/16/20,
>>> >>
>>> >> In response to your questions regarding the necessity of an access
>>> >> code/passcode, please note that this is in fact necessary for
>>> >> telephonic
>>> >> participation – it is synonymous to a phone extension or meeting room
>>> >> number, which ensures connection to the correct meeting; it is
>>> >> imperative
>>> >> that an access code/passcode be provided as a unique identifier to
>>> >> differentiate amongst a potentially limitless number of meetings
>>> >> hosted
>>> >> by
>>> >> the product vendor. Without an access code/passcode, no alternative
>>> >> mechanism exists to permit setting up a live meeting for members of
>>> >> the
>>> >> public to participate telephonically. The access code/passcode
>>> >> length
>>> >> is
>>> >> also not a variable within our control, as this is a system-generated
>>> >> requirement to host live meetings.
>>> >>
>>> >> Accommodations provided per my earlier communication to you today,
>>> >>
>>> >> [...] You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >>
>>> >> o Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial access
>>> >> code
>>> >> "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>> >>
>>> >> o Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to bypass
>>> >> having
>>> >> to dial the number and access code
>>> >>

>>> >> § Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013 #
>>> >> #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,#
>>> >> <+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,%23>>
>>> >>
>>> >> o Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184, and
>>> >> our
>>> >> staff
>>> >> will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your call.
>>> >>
>>> >> 2. I have not received any explanation for why a passcode is being
>>> >> required
>>> >> for public meetings. I see no reason for it, since everyone is
>>> >> allowed
>>> >> to
>>> >> attend. What security does this help with when anyone can call in?
>>> >> I
>>> >> would
>>> >> appreciate an explanation of why this access barrier is being put in
>>> >> place,
>>> >> changing every meeting, and not being removed.
>>> >> See response to item 1 above.
>>> >>
>>> >> 3. The telephone system that processes the passcode has a very short
>>> >> input
>>> >> time and will hang up on the caller if we (disabled people
>>> >> especially)
>>> >> don't
>>> >> input the passcode quickly enough. This is extremely unfair to those
>>> >> of
>>> >> us
>>> >> trying to attend public meetings.
>>> >> Per my communication to you on 6/16/20,
>>> >>
>>> >> [...] we can manually create a hyperlink with the dial-In number that
>>> >> you
>>> >> can
>>> >> click on via a smart phone, which would automatically dial the number
>>> >> and
>>> >> enter the access code for you. Note that you will still need to dial
>>> >> *
>>> >> 3
>>> >> to
>>> >> raise your hand.
>>> >>
>>> >> Accommodations provided per my earlier communication to you today,
>>> >>
>>> >> [...]You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >>
>>> >> o Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial access
>>> >> code
>>> >> "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>> >>
>>> >> o Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to bypass
>>> >> having
>>> >> to dial the number and access code
>>> >>
>>> >> § Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013 #
>>> >> #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,#

<+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,%23>>
>>> >>
>>> >> o Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184, and
>>> >> our
>>> >> staff
>>> >> will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your call.
>>> >>
>>> >> 4. The voice prompt system is confusing, and does not clearly tell
>>> >> people
>>> >> when they will be given a chance to speak and what the instructions
>>> >> are
>>> >> (thus all the confusion with "can I speak yet" and "silent" public
>>> >> comments
>>> >> happening at meetings). It also does not say that pressing "*3" or
>>> >> "#0"
>>> >> again will remove your place in line, which is really unfair to
>>> >> people
>>> >> that
>>> >> have waited an hour or more to leave public comment. These are some
>>> >> of
>>> >> the
>>> >> many problems with this system and these are serious access barriers.
>>> >> Noted. That feature for custom voice prompts is currently not
>>> >> available
>>> >> in
>>> >> the system, but we will be glad to pass your feedback along to the
>>> >> City's
>>> >> Department of Technology, who provisions enterprise technology
>>> >> solutions
>>> >> for
>>> >> departments. The Mayor's Office on Disability is also working with
>>> >> the
>>> >> Department of Technology on general Citywide policy guidance.
>>> >> 5. Section 8.2000 of Title II of the American with Disabilities Act
>>> >> states:
>>> >> A public entity must review its policies and practices to determine
>>> >> whether
>>> >> any exclude or limit the participation of individuals with
>>> >> disabilities
>>> >> in
>>> >> its programs, activities, or services. Such policies or practices
>>> >> must
>>> >> be
>>> >> modified, unless they are necessary for the operation or provision of
>>> >> the
>>> >> program, service, or activity. The self-evaluation should identify
>>> >> policy
>>> >> modifications to be implemented and include complete justifications
>>> >> for
>>> >> any
>>> >> exclusionary or limiting policies or practices that will not be
>>> >> modified.
>>> >> 3) A public entity should review its policies to ensure that it
>>> >> communicates
>>> >> with applicants, participants, and members of the public with
>>> >> disabilities
>>> >> in a manner that is as effective as its communications with others...
>>> >>

>>> >> 5a. This unnecessary passcode system and accompanying difficulties
>>> >> in
>>> >> leaving public comment is excluding the disabled from our right to
>>> >> participate in local government. It is clearly in violation of the
>>> >> American
>>> >> with Disabilities Act.
>>> >> The public comment access code is integral to and necessary for the
>>> >> operation of the program (Microsoft Teams), and does not violate the
>>> >> American with Disabilities Act.
>>> >>
>>> >> Per my communication to you on 6/16/20,
>>> >> In response to your questions regarding the necessity of an access
>>> >> code/passcode, please note that this is in fact necessary for
>>> >> telephonic
>>> >> participation – it is synonymous to a phone extension or meeting room
>>> >> number, which ensures connection to the correct meeting; it is
>>> >> imperative
>>> >> that an access code/passcode be provided as a unique identifier to
>>> >> differentiate amongst a potentially limitless number of meetings
>>> >> hosted
>>> >> by
>>> >> the product vendor. Without an access code/passcode, no alternative
>>> >> mechanism exists to permit setting up a live meeting for members of
>>> >> the
>>> >> public to participate telephonically. The access code/passcode
>>> >> length
>>> >> is
>>> >> also not a variable within our control, as this is a system-generated
>>> >> requirement to host live meetings.
>>> >>
>>> >> We also provided several alternatives for you to bypass having to
>>> >> dial
>>> >> the
>>> >> number and access code in response to your request for accommodation,
>>> >> per
>>> >> my
>>> >> communication to you on 6/16/20 and today. See response to item 3
>>> >> above.
>>> >>
>>> >> 5b. If your office is unwilling to remove the passcode, would you at
>>> >> least
>>> >> explore the options of making it a short three digit passcode with a
>>> >> much
>>> >> longer time to input it and with a voice input option?
>>> >> See email response provided to you today, 6/22/20, restated in item 3
>>> >> above.
>>> >>
>>> >> 6. I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors, MOD, and the City
>>> >> Attorney
>>> >> to work cooperatively to address this very serious issue, not because
>>> >> of
>>> >> any
>>> >> law, but because of the meaning behind the law which is for humanity,
>>> >> compassion, and inclusion of people with disabilities.
>>> >> Noted.
>>> >>
>>> >> 7. I would like to speak and leave a public comment during
>>> >> tomorrow's

>>> >> Board
>>> >> of Supervisors meeting. I respectfully request the disability
>>> >> accommodation
>>> >> to leave comment a the beginning of the public comment period
>>> >> tomorrow.
>>> >> Please let me know if this request will be accepted or denied.
>>> >> See response to item 1 of the first section above.
>>> >>
>>> >> 8. I respectfully request the:
>>> >> a) name and contact email information of the Board of Supervisors ADA
>>> >> coordinator
>>> >> b) a reply and signed resolution from the ADA coordinator for the
>>> >> Board
>>> >> of
>>> >> Supervisors for the concerns raised in this email
>>> >> c) that the ADA coordinator for the Board of Supervisors name and
>>> >> contact
>>> >> information be provided and made publicly available for all meeting
>>> >> notices
>>> >> (especially at the top of the
>>> >> agenda
>>> >> [https://www.google.com/url?
q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeeting%2Fagenda%2F2020%2Fbag061920_agenda&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNEsp4LemNTP-
04OwHOX1H1jxlenA](https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeeting%2Fagenda%2F2020%2Fbag061920_agenda&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNEsp4LemNTP-04OwHOX1H1jxlenA)
>>> >)
>>> >> and published on your meeting
>>> >> page
>>> >> [https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeetings%2F42&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNGyhV-
IBQvt7_TqDvZpi0VnWQuZ7Q](https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeetings%2F42&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNGyhV-IBQvt7_TqDvZpi0VnWQuZ7Q)
>>> >.
>>> >> d) that the ADA coordinator for the Board of Supervisors have a
>>> >> staffed
>>> >> and
>>> >> publicly noticed phone and email committed to helping people with
>>> >> disabilities access public meetings, with a response time within a
>>> >> minimum
>>> >> of 72 hours for ADA access issues.
>>> >> e) If the Board of Supervisors does not have an ADA coordinator, than
>>> >> I
>>> >> request the same information above be provided for the next best city
>>> >> representative for helping with ADA grievances and access barriers.
>>> >> By reply to this item, the Department ADA coordinator for the Board
>>> >> of
>>> >> Supervisors is Wilson Ng,
>>> >> Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org<mailto:Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org<mailto:Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>>.
>>> >> The ADA coordinator
>>> >> information is contained and published on every Board and Committee
>>> >> agenda<<https://sfbos.org/events/calendar/upcoming>>. It is also
>>> >> available
>>> >> here<<https://sfbos.org/ada-services-english>>. Restated below. The
>>> >> Mayor's
>>> >> Office on Disability is the respective agency and contact for
>>> >> grievances.
>>> >>
>>> >> Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)
>>> >>
>>> >> The ADA is a civil rights law that protects people with different

>>> >> types
>>> >> of
>>> >> disabilities from discrimination in all aspects of social life. More
>>> >> specifically, Title II of the ADA requires that all programs offered
>>> >> through
>>> >> the state and local government such as the City and County of San
>>> >> Francisco
>>> >> must be accessible and usable to people with disabilities. The ADA
>>> >> and
>>> >> City
>>> >> policy require that people with disabilities have equal access to all
>>> >> City
>>> >> services, activities, and benefits. People with disabilities must
>>> >> have
>>> >> an
>>> >> equal opportunity to participate in the programs and services offered
>>> >> through the City and County of San Francisco. If you believe your
>>> >> rights
>>> >> under the ADA are violated, contact the ADA Coordinator.
>>> >>
>>> >> Ordinance 90-10 added Section 2A.22.3 to the Administrative Code,
>>> >> which
>>> >> adopted a Citywide Americans with Disabilities Act Reasonable
>>> >> Modification
>>> >> Policy that requires City departments to: (1) provide notice to the
>>> >> public
>>> >> of the right to request reasonable modification; (2) respond promptly
>>> >> to
>>> >> such requests; (3) provide appropriate auxiliary aids and services to
>>> >> people
>>> >> with disabilities to ensure effective communication; and (4) train
>>> >> staff
>>> >> to
>>> >> respond to requests from the public for reasonable modification, and
>>> >> that
>>> >> requires the Mayor's Office on Disability to provide technical
>>> >> assistance
>>> >> to
>>> >> City departments responding to requests from the public for
>>> >> reasonable
>>> >> modifications.
>>> >>
>>> >> The Board of Supervisors and Office of the Clerk of the Board support
>>> >> the
>>> >> Mayor's Office on Disability to help make San Francisco a city where
>>> >> all
>>> >> people enjoy equal rights, equal opportunity, and freedom from
>>> >> illegal
>>> >> discrimination under disability rights laws.
>>> >>
>>> >> Meetings are real-time captioned and are cablecast open-captioned on
>>> >> SFGovTV, the Government Channel 26. Board and Committee meeting
>>> >> agendas
>>> >> and
>>> >> minutes are available on the Board's website
>>> >> (www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>>) and
>>> >> adhere
>>> >> to

>>> >> web development
>>> >> guidelines based upon the Federal Access Board's Section 508
>>> >> Guidelines.
>>> >> To
>>> >> request sign language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or
>>> >> other
>>> >> accommodations, please contact (415) 554-5184 or (415) 554-5227
>>> >> (TTY).
>>> >> Requests made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting will help
>>> >> to
>>> >> ensure availability. If further assistance is needed, please contact
>>> >> Wilson
>>> >> Ng, ADA Coordinator, at
>>> >> Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org<mailto:Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org<mailto:Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>>.
>>> >>
>>> >> 9. I respectfully request disability accommodation that response
>>> >> this
>>> >> email
>>> >> use the numbering system provided.
>>> >> Response provided inline.
>>> >>
>>> >> Thank you so much for your time and attention towards creating a more
>>> >> accessible way for people to engage with our public officials.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> On 6/22/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>
>>> >> wrote:
>>> >>> Dear Zach,
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Please see my responses inline below on behalf of the Office of the
>>> >>> Clerk
>>> >>> of
>>> >>> the Board.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> 1. To be able to speak at an earlier item on the agenda (preferably,
>>> >>> which
>>> >>> is been granted in the past and would be very easy to avoid last
>>> >>> meeting's
>>> >>> confusion) OR be able to speak earlier in public comment
>>> >>> Please kindly confirm which accommodation it is that you are
>>> >>> requesting.
>>> >>> Pending your response, we can accommodate you speaking earlier in
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> public
>>> >>> comment queue during the regularly scheduled public comment period
>>> >>> at
>>> >>> tomorrow's Board meeting (6/23/20).
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Please note that we will need a way to identify you as the caller in
>>> >>> order
>>> >>> to queue you up when you call in, so we ask for your cooperation and
>>> >>> patience.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Here are the steps to be identified, queued, and prioritized for
>>> >>> public

>>> >>> comment tomorrow, per your request for accommodation.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> 1. Call in to the public comment line at 1:45pm so that our staff
>>> can
>>> >>> identify you prior to the start of the meeting and prioritize your
>>> call.
>>> >>> 2. You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >>> * Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial
>>> access
>>> >>> code "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>> >>> * Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to
>>> >>> bypass
>>> >>> having to dial the number and access code
>>> >>> * Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013
>>> >>> #
>>> >>> #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,#
>>> >>> <+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,%23>>>
>>> >>> * Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184,
>>> >>> and
>>> >>> our
>>> >>> staff will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your
>>> >>> call.
>>> >>> 3. Once you are in the queue, you will be prioritized and placed
>>> >>> on
>>> >>> hold
>>> >>> until the designated public comment period for you to speak. You
>>> >>> may
>>> >>> continue to listen to the meeting while you are queued from your
>>> >>> phone,
>>> >>> or
>>> >>> you can watch and follow the Board's proceedings on
>>> >>> www.sfgovtv.org<<http://www.sfgovtv.org>><<http://www.sfgovtv.org>><
>>> >>> <http://www.sfgovtv.org>>
>>> >>> until the
>>> >>> designated public comment
>>> >>> period.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> 2. For a numbering system to be used to reply to these emails so I
>>> >>> can
>>> >>> follow along with what's being said and not have to injure myself
>>> >>> repeating
>>> >>> myself.
>>> >>> Responses provided inline as requested.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Please let me know if these accommodations are being denied. In my
>>> >>> many
>>> >>> years as a disability advocate and wheelchair user I've never been
>>> >>> asked
>>> >>> for
>>> >>> proof of my disability before for basic no-cost accommodations like
>>> >>> this,
>>> >>> but if that is required, please let me know what kind of proof that
>>> >>> you
>>> >>> need
>>> >>> (doctor's letter, medical tests, etc.). In order to get a doctor's
>>> >>> letter,
>>> >>> I will need some additional time though as my doctors office is

>>> >>> flooded
>>> >>> with
>>> >>> Covid-19 cases at the moment.
>>> >>> Your request is not being denied. We are also not requesting for –
>>> >>> nor
>>> >>> require – proof of your disability. We are, however, providing you
>>> >>> with
>>> >>> solutions for reasonable accommodation in response to your request,
>>> >>> and
>>> >>> ask
>>> >>> for your cooperation. See clarifying questions and instructions
>>> >>> outlined
>>> >>> in our response to item 1.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> 3. I was trying to find when your office hours are, but that email
>>> >>> is
>>> >>> buried and very tricky for me to find. I would appreciate if someone
>>> >>> could
>>> >>> chair the calendar of office hours with me.
>>> >>> The Office of the Clerk of the Board’s virtual office hours are
>>> >>> currently
>>> >>> on
>>> >>> a recurring basis every Monday 3pm-5pm and Friday 1pm-3pm.
>>> >>> Information
>>> >>> about office hours is updated and publicly available on the top
>>> >>> banner
>>> >>> of
>>> >>> our website at
>>> >>> www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>><
>>> >>> <http://www.sfbos.org>>.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Thanks,
>>> >>> __
>>> >>> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >>> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>>
>>> >>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> >>> San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >>> Web:
>>> >>> www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>><
>>> >>> <http://www.sfbos.org/>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in
>>> >>> Place
>>> >>> Order,
>>> >>> the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> >>> providing
>>> >>> complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >>> Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> >>> Board
>>> >>> of
>>> >>> Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >>> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications

>>> >>> to
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> >>> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> >>> Ordinance.
>>> >>> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> >>> public
>>> >>> are not required to provide personal identifying information when
>>> >>> they
>>> >>> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> >>> written
>>> >>> or
>>> >>> oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> >>> Office
>>> >>> regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> >>> all
>>> >>> members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> >>> does
>>> >>> not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> >>> personal
>>> >>> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >>> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the
>>> >>> Board
>>> >>> and
>>> >>> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> >>> other
>>> >>> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> From: Zach
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><mailto:
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>>
>>> >>> Sent: Friday, June 19, 2020 2:38 PM
>>> >>> To: Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>>;
>>> >>> Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
>>> >>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>><mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>>>>
>>> >>> MOD, (ADM)
>>> >>> <mod@sfgov.org<mailto:mod@sfgov.org><mailto:mod@sfgov.org<mailto:
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>><mailto:mod@sfgov.org<mailto:mod@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> <mod@sfgov.org><mailto:mod@sfgov.org>>>>;
>>> >>> Bohn, Nicole (ADM)
>>> >>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org><mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org><mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org><mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>>>;
>>> >>> BOS-Operations
>>> >>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org><mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org><mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>>>>

>>> bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >>> Calvillo,
>>> >>> Angela (BOS)
>>> >>> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>>>>;
>>> >>> Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
>>> >>> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>>>>
>>> >>> Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> >>> meeting
>>> >>> 6/16/20
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open
>>> >>> links
>>> or
>>> >>> attachments from untrusted sources.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Dear BOS,
>>> >>> I have not received a reply to my email from Tuesday. I request
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> same
>>> >>> accommodations for future email communication and this coming
>>> >>> Tuesday
>>> >>> meeting (6/23):
>>> >>>
>>> >>> 1. To be able to speak at an earlier item on the agenda (preferably,
>>> >>> which
>>> >>> is been granted in the past and would be very easy to avoid last
>>> >>> meeting's
>>> >>> confusion) OR be able to speak earlier in public comment
>>> >>>
>>> >>> 2. For a numbering system to be used to reply to these emails so I
>>> >>> can
>>> >>> follow along with what's being said and not have to injure myself
>>> >>> repeating
>>> >>> myself.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Please let me know if these accommodations are being denied. In my
>>> >>> many
>>> >>> years as a disability advocate and wheelchair user I've never been
>>> >>> asked
>>> >>> for
>>> >>> proof of my disability before for basic no-cost accommodations like
>>> >>> this,
>>> >>> but if that is required, please let me know what kind of proof that
>>> >>> you
>>> >>> need
>>> >>> (doctor's letter, medical tests, etc.). In order to get a doctor's
>>> >>> letter,
>>> >>> I will need some additional time though as my doctors office is
>>> >>> flooded
>>> >>> with

>>> >>> Covid-19 cases at the moment.

>>> >>>

>>> >>> 3. I was trying to find when your office hours are, but that email

>>> >>> is

>>> >>> buried and very tricky for me to find. I would appreciate if someone

>>> >>> could

>>> >>> chair the calendar of office hours with me.

>>> >>>

>>> >>> Thank you,

>>> >>>

>>> >>> – Zach Karnazes

>>> >>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist

>>> >>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>

>>> >>>

>>> >>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer

>>> >>> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and

>>> >>> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.

>>> >>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels

>>> >>> and

>>> >>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to

>>> >>> follow

>>> >>> up with me if you don't get a reply.

>>> >>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in

>>> >>> your

>>> >>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.

>>> >>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please

>>> >>> respond

>>> >>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.

>>> >>>

>>> >>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any

>>> >>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain

>>> >>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally

>>> >>> protected

>>> >>> from disclosure.*

>>> >>>

>>> >>> – Zach Karnazes

>>> >>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist

>>> >>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>

>>> >>>

>>> >>> Please note: While technology has improved a lot, computer

>>> >>> accessibility

>>> >>> aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability

>>> >>> needs.

>>> >>> Using the computer hurts for me, always.

>>> >>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels

>>> >>> and

>>> >>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to

>>> >>> follow

>>> >>> up with me if you don't get a reply.

>>> >>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in

>>> >>> your

>>> >>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.

>>> >>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please

>>> >>> respond

>>> >>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.

>>> >>>

>>> >>> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any

>>> >>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain

>>> >>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >>> protected
>>> >>> from
>>> >>> disclosure.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> On Tue, Jun 16, 2020 at 4:12 PM Zach
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:
>>> >>> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><mailto:
>>> >>> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>>
>>> >>> wrote:
>>> >>> Dear BOS and MOD and City attorney
>>> >>> last email said
>>> >>>>>As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing
>>> >>>>> you
>>> >>> at
>>> >>>>> the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated
>>> >>> public
>>> >>>>> comment period. >>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> this has been denied, I am on the call and cannot speak and no one
>>> >>> can
>>> >>> hear
>>> >>> me.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> my last four digits are 0021.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> is anyone reading this? i still cannot join.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> do you need a doctors letter to prvoe my disability? ive never een
>>> >>> asked this bedore.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> -ZachK.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> On 6/16/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> >>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>>
>>> >>> wrote:
>>> >>>>> Can you please provide us the phone number that you are calling
>>> >>>>> from
>>> >>> so
>>> >>>>> that
>>> >>>>> we may identify and prioritize you accordingly in the public
>>> >>>>> comment
>>> >>>>> queue?
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Also, please note my initial question to you so that we can ensure
>>> >>> that
>>> >>>>> we
>>> >>>>> are seeking the appropriate accommodation for your various
>>> >>>>> requests.
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Please kindly advise us how your specific disability needs impact

>>> >>>> your
>>> >>>> ability to attend or access the publicly accessible information
>>> >>>> available
>>> >>>> under ADA, and we will be glad to provide assistance. As you may
>>> know,
>>> >>>> the
>>> >>>> ADA prohibits discrimination based on disability and ensures equal
>>> >>>> opportunity for access to public meetings. Disability is defined
>>> under
>>> >>>> the
>>> >>>> ADA, Title 42, Chapter 126, Sec.
>>> >>>> 12102<<http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>>, as, "(A) a
>>> >>>> physical
>>> >>>> or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major
>>> >>>> life
>>> >>>> activities of such individual; (B) a record of such an impairment;
>>> >>>> or
>>> >>>> (C)
>>> >>>> being regarded as having such an impairment."
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> __
>>> >>>> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >>>> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >>>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> >>>> San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >>>> Web:
>>> >>>> www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>><
>>> <http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in
>>> >>>> Place
>>> >>>> Order,
>>> >>>> the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> >>>> providing
>>> >>>> complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >>>> Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> >>>> Board
>>> >>>> of
>>> >>>> Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >>>> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in
>>> >>>> communications
>>> to
>>> >>>> the
>>> >>>> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under
>>> >>>> the
>>> >>>> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> Ordinance.
>>> >>>> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> >>>> public
>>> >>>> are not required to provide personal identifying information when
>>> >>>> they

>>> >>>> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> >>>> written
>>> >>>> or
>>> >>>> oral communications that members of the public submit to the
>>> >>>> Clerk's
>>> >>>> Office
>>> >>>> regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> >>>> all
>>> >>>> members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's
>>> >>>> Office
>>> >>>> does
>>> >>>> not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> >>>> personal
>>> >>>> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >>>> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the
>>> >>>> Board
>>> >>>> and
>>> >>>> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> >>>> other
>>> >>>> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>

>>> >>>> -----Original Message-----

>>> >>>> From: Zach

>>> >>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:
>>> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:
>>> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>>

>>> >>>> Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 4:01 PM

>>> >>>> To: Ng, Wilson (BOS)

>>> >>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>>

>>> >>>> Board of

>>> >>>> Supervisors, (BOS)

>>> >>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> <mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org<mailto:
>>> board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>><mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> <mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>>>>

>>> >>>> MOD, (ADM)

>>> >>>> <mod@sfgov.org<mailto:mod@sfgov.org><mailto:mod@sfgov.org<mailto:
>>> mod@sfgov.org>><mailto:mod@sfgov.org<mailto:mod@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> mod@sfgov.org<mailto:mod@sfgov.org>>>>

>>> >>>> Bohn, Nicole

>>> >>>> (ADM)

>>> >>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>>>

>>> >>>> BOS-Operations

>>> >>>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>>>>

>>> >>>> Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> meeting
>>> >>>> 6/16/20
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open
>>> >>>> links
>>> >>>> or
>>> >>>> attachments from untrusted sources.
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> last email said
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>>>As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing
>>> >>>>>>> you
>>> >>>>>>> at
>>> >>>>>>> the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated
>>> >>>>>>> public
>>> >>>>>>> comment period. >>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> is this denied now?
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >>>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >>>> accessibility
>>> >>>> aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability
>>> >>>> needs.
>>> >>>> *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain
>>> >>>> levels
>>> >>>> and
>>> >>>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free
>>> >>>> to
>>> >>>> follow
>>> >>>> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >>>> your
>>> >>>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.

>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >>>> respond
>>> >>>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >>>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may
>>> >>>> contain
>>> >>>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >>>> protected
>>> >>>> from
>>> >>>> disclosure.*
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> On 6/16/20, Zach
>>> >>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>>
>>> >>>> wrote:
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>> Im on the call now and this didnt happen
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> On 6/15/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >>>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>><mailto:
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>><mailto:
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>><mailto:
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>>
>>> >>>>> wrote:
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>> Dear Zach Karnazes,
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>>> Thank you for your inquiry.
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>>> Please kindly advise us how your specific disability needs impact
>>> >>>>

>>> >>>>>> your ability to attend or access the publicly accessible
>>> >>>>>> information
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> available under ADA, and we will be glad to provide assistance.
>>> >>>>>> As
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> you may know, the ADA prohibits discrimination based on
>>> >>>>>> disability
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> and ensures equal opportunity for access to public meetings.
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> Disability is defined under the ADA, Title 42, Chapter 126, Sec.
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> 12102<<http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>>, as, "(A) a
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or
>>> >>>>>> more
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> major life activities of such individual; (B) a record of such an
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> impairment; or (C) being regarded as having such an impairment."
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing
>>> >>>>>> you
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> at the beginning of the public comment queue during the
>>> >>>>>> designated
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> public comment period.
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> Sincerely,
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> _
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>

>>> >>>>> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>> Web:
>>> >>>>> www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>><
>>> <http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>%3chttp/
>>> www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>><
>>> <http://www.sfbos.org>>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in
>>> Place
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely
>>> while
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> providing complete access to the legislative process and our
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> services.
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>
>>> >
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete
>>> >>>>> a
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in
>>> >>>>> communications
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Sunshine Ordinance.
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of
>>> >>>>> the
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> public are not required to provide personal identifying
>>> >>>>> information
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> committees. All written or oral communications that members of
>>> >>>>> the
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation
>>> >>>>> or
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> hearings will be made available to all members of the public for
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> information from these submissions. This means that personal

>>> >> and
>>> >> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> >> follow
>>> >> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >> your
>>> >> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> >> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >> respond
>>> >> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>
>>> >> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> >> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >> protected
>>> >> from
>>> >> disclosure.
>>> >>
>>> >> On Mon, Jun 22, 2020 at 3:25 PM Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>
>>> >> wrote:
>>> >> Dear Zach,
>>> >>
>>> >> Please see my responses inline below on behalf of the Office of the
>>> >> Clerk
>>> >> of
>>> >> the Board.
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. To be able to speak at an earlier item on the agenda (preferably,
>>> >> which
>>> >> is been granted in the past and would be very easy to avoid last
>>> >> meeting's
>>> >> confusion) OR be able to speak earlier in public comment
>>> >> Please kindly confirm which accommodation it is that you are
>>> >> requesting.
>>> >> Pending your response, we can accommodate you speaking earlier in the
>>> >> public
>>> >> comment queue during the regularly scheduled public comment period at
>>> >> tomorrow's Board meeting (6/23/20).
>>> >>
>>> >> Please note that we will need a way to identify you as the caller in
>>> >> order
>>> >> to queue you up when you call in, so we ask for your cooperation and
>>> >> patience.
>>> >>
>>> >> Here are the steps to be identified, queued, and prioritized for
>>> >> public
>>> >> comment tomorrow, per your request for accommodation.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. Call in to the public comment line at 1:45pm so that our staff
>>> >> can
>>> >> identify you prior to the start of the meeting and prioritize your
>>> >> call.
>>> >> 2. You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >>
>>> >> * Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial

>>> >> access
>>> >> code "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>> >> * Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to
>>> bypass
>>> >> having to dial the number and access code
>>> >>
>>> >> * Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013
>>> >> #
>>> >> #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,%23>
>>> >>
>>> >> * Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184,
>>> >> and
>>> >> our
>>> >> staff will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your
>>> >> call.
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. Once you are in the queue, you will be prioritized and placed
>>> >> on
>>> >> hold
>>> >> until the designated public comment period for you to speak. You
>>> >> may
>>> >> continue to listen to the meeting while you are queued from your
>>> >> phone,
>>> >> or
>>> >> you can watch and follow the Board's proceedings on
>>> >> www.sfgovtv.org<<http://www.sfgovtv.org>><<http://www.sfgovtv.org>> until
>>> >> the
>>> >> designated public comment
>>> >> period.
>>> >>
>>> >> 2. For a numbering system to be used to reply to these emails so I
>>> >> can
>>> >> follow along with what's being said and not have to injure myself
>>> >> repeating
>>> >> myself.
>>> >> Responses provided inline as requested.
>>> >>
>>> >> Please let me know if these accommodations are being denied. In my
>>> >> many
>>> >> years as a disability advocate and wheelchair user I've never been
>>> >> asked
>>> >> for
>>> >> proof of my disability before for basic no-cost accommodations like
>>> >> this,
>>> >> but if that is required, please let me know what kind of proof that
>>> >> you
>>> >> need
>>> >> (doctor's letter, medical tests, etc.). In order to get a doctor's
>>> >> letter,
>>> >> I will need some additional time though as my doctors office is
>>> >> flooded
>>> >> with
>>> >> Covid-19 cases at the moment.
>>> >> Your request is not being denied. We are also not requesting for –
>>> >> nor
>>> >> require – proof of your disability. We are, however, providing you
>>> >> with
>>> >> solutions for reasonable accommodation in response to your request,

>>> >> and
>>> >> ask
>>> >> for your cooperation. See clarifying questions and instructions
>>> >> outlined
>>> >> in our response to item 1.
>>> >>
>>> >> 3. I was trying to find when your office hours are, but that email
>>> >> is
>>> >> buried and very tricky for me to find. I would appreciate if someone
>>> >> could
>>> >> chair the calendar of office hours with me.
>>> >> The Office of the Clerk of the Board's virtual office hours are
>>> >> currently
>>> >> on
>>> >> a recurring basis every Monday 3pm-5pm and Friday 1pm-3pm.
>>> >> Information
>>> >> about office hours is updated and publicly available on the top
>>> >> banner
>>> >> of
>>> >> our website at
>>> >> www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>
>>> >> .
>>> >>
>>> >> Thanks,
>>> >> __
>>> >> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>
>>> >> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> >> San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>
>>> >> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >> Web: www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org/>>
>>> >>
>>> >> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> >> Order,
>>> >> the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> >> providing
>>> >> complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >>
>>> >> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >> Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> >> Board
>>> >> of
>>> >> Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> >> to
>>> >> the
>>> >> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> >> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> >> Ordinance.
>>> >> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> >> public
>>> >> are not required to provide personal identifying information when
>>> >> they
>>> >> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> >> written

>>> >> or
>>> >> oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> >> Office
>>> >> regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> >> all
>>> >> members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> >> does
>>> >> not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> >> personal
>>> >> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> >> and
>>> >> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> >> other
>>> >> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >>
>>> >> From: Zach
>>> >> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:
>>> >> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>
>>> >> Sent: Friday, June 19, 2020 2:38 PM
>>> >> To: Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
>>> >> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> >> <mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org<mailto:
>>> >> board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> MOD, (ADM)
>>> >> <mod@sfgov.org<mailto:mod@sfgov.org><mailto:mod@sfgov.org<mailto:
>>> >> mod@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Bohn, Nicole (ADM)
>>> >> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> BOS-Operations
>>> >> <bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Calvillo,
>>> >> Angela (BOS)
>>> >> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
>>> >> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>>>
>>> >> Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> >> meeting
>>> >> 6/16/20
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links
>>> >> or
>>> >> attachments from untrusted sources.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Dear BOS,
>>> >> I have not received a reply to my email from Tuesday. I request
>>> >> the
>>> >> same
>>> >> accommodations for future email communication and this coming Tuesday

>>> >> meeting (6/23):
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. To be able to speak at an earlier item on the agenda (preferably,
>>> >> which
>>> >> is been granted in the past and would be very easy to avoid last
>>> >> meeting's
>>> >> confusion) OR be able to speak earlier in public comment
>>> >>
>>> >> 2. For a numbering system to be used to reply to these emails so I
>>> >> can
>>> >> follow along with what's being said and not have to injure myself
>>> >> repeating
>>> >> myself.
>>> >>
>>> >> Please let me know if these accommodations are being denied. In my
>>> >> many
>>> >> years as a disability advocate and wheelchair user I've never been
>>> >> asked
>>> >> for
>>> >> proof of my disability before for basic no-cost accommodations like
>>> >> this,
>>> >> but if that is required, please let me know what kind of proof that
>>> >> you
>>> >> need
>>> >> (doctor's letter, medical tests, etc.). In order to get a doctor's
>>> >> letter,
>>> >> I will need some additional time though as my doctors office is
>>> >> flooded
>>> >> with
>>> >> Covid-19 cases at the moment.
>>> >>
>>> >> 3. I was trying to find when your office hours are, but that email
>>> >> is
>>> >> buried and very tricky for me to find. I would appreciate if someone
>>> >> could
>>> >> chair the calendar of office hours with me.
>>> >>
>>> >> Thank you,
>>> >>
>>> >> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>
>>> >> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> >> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> >> and
>>> >> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> >> follow
>>> >> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >> your
>>> >> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> >> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >> respond
>>> >> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>

>>> >> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> >> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >> protected
>>> >> from disclosure.*

>>> >>

>>> >> – Zach Karnazes

>>> >> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist

>>> >> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>

>>> >>

>>> >> Please note: While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >> accessibility

>>> >> aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability
>>> >> needs.

>>> >> Using the computer hurts for me, always.

>>> >> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels

>>> >> and

>>> >> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to

>>> >> follow

>>> >> up with me if you don't get a reply.

>>> >> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in

>>> >> your

>>> >> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.

>>> >> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please

>>> >> respond

>>> >> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.

>>> >>

>>> >> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any

>>> >> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain

>>> >> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally

>>> >> protected

>>> >> from

>>> >> disclosure.

>>> >>

>>> >> On Tue, Jun 16, 2020 at 4:12 PM Zach

>>> >> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:

>>> >> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>

>>> >> wrote:

>>> >> Dear BOS and MOD and City attorney

>>> >> last email said

>>> >>>>As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing you

>>> >>>> at

>>> >>>> the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated

>>> >>>> public

>>> >>>> comment period. >>

>>> >>

>>> >> this has been denied, I am on the call and cannot speak and no one

>>> >> can

>>> >> hear

>>> >> me.

>>> >>

>>> >> my last four digits are 0021.

>>> >>

>>> >> is anyone reading this? i still cannot join.

>>> >>

>>> >> do you need a doctors letter to prvoe my disability? ive never een

>>> >> asked this bedore.

>>> >>

>>> >> -ZachK.
>>> >>
>>> >> On 6/16/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>
>>> >> wrote:
>>> >>> Can you please provide us the phone number that you are calling from
>>> >>> so
>>> >>> that
>>> >>> we may identify and prioritize you accordingly in the public comment
>>> >>> queue?
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Also, please note my initial question to you so that we can ensure
>>> >>> that
>>> >>> we
>>> >>> are seeking the appropriate accommodation for your various requests.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Please kindly advise us how your specific disability needs impact
>>> >>> your
>>> >>> ability to attend or access the publicly accessible information
>>> >>> available
>>> >>> under ADA, and we will be glad to provide assistance. As you may
>>> >>> know,
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> ADA prohibits discrimination based on disability and ensures equal
>>> >>> opportunity for access to public meetings. Disability is defined
>>> >>> under
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> ADA, Title 42, Chapter 126, Sec.
>>> >>> 12102<<http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>>, as, "(A) a
>>> >>> physical
>>> >>> or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major
>>> >>> life
>>> >>> activities of such individual; (B) a record of such an impairment;
>>> >>> or
>>> >>> (C)
>>> >>> being regarded as having such an impairment."
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> __
>>> >>> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >>> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>>
>>> >>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> >>> San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >>> Web:
>>> >>> www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>><
>>> <http://www.sfbos.org>/>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in
>>> >>> Place
>>> >>> Order,

>>> >>> the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> providing
>>> >>> complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >>> Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> Board
>>> >>> of
>>> >>> Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >>> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> >>> to
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> >>> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> >>> Ordinance.
>>> >>> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> >>> public
>>> >>> are not required to provide personal identifying information when
>>> >>> they
>>> >>> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> >>> written
>>> >>> or
>>> >>> oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> >>> Office
>>> >>> regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> >>> all
>>> >>> members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> >>> does
>>> >>> not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> >>> personal
>>> >>> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >>> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the
>>> >>> Board
>>> >>> and
>>> >>> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> >>> other
>>> >>> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> -----Original Message-----
>>> >>> From: Zach
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com><<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>>
>>> >>> Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 4:01 PM
>>> >>> To: Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>><<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>>;
>>> >>> Board of
>>> >>> Supervisors, (BOS)
>>> >>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org><<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>>
>>> >>> <<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>><<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>>>>;
>>> >>> MOD, (ADM)
>>> >>> <mod@sfgov.org><<mailto:mod@sfgov.org>><<mailto:mod@sfgov.org>><<mailto:mod@sfgov.org>>>>;
>>> >>> Bohn, Nicole

>>> >>> (ADM)
>>> >>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >>> BOS-Operations
>>> >>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>>>
>>> >>> Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> >>> meeting
>>> >>> 6/16/20
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open
>>> >>> links
>>> or
>>> >>> attachments from untrusted sources.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> last email said
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing
>>> >>>>> you
>>> at
>>> >>>>> the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated
>>> public
>>> >>>>> comment period. >>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> is this denied now?
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >>> accessibility
>>> >>> aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability
>>> >>> needs.
>>> >>> *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> and
>>> >>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> >>> follow
>>> >>> up with me if you don't get a reply.

>>> >>>

>>> >>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >>> your
>>> >>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.

>>> >>>

>>> >>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >>> respond
>>> >>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.

>>> >>>

>>> >>>

>>> >>>

>>> >>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> >>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >>> protected
>>> >>> from
>>> >>> disclosure.*

>>> >>>

>>> >>>

>>> >>>

>>> >>> On 6/16/20, Zach

>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:

>>> >>> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><mailto:

>>> >>> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com

>>> >>> <mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>>

>>> >>> wrote:

>>> >>>

>>> >>>> Im on the call now and this didnt happen

>>> >>>

>>> >>>>

>>> >>>

>>> >>>> On 6/15/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)

>>> >>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:

>>> >>>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>><mailto:

>>> >>>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:

>>> >>>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>>

>>> >>>> wrote:

>>> >>>

>>> >>>>> Dear Zach Karnazes,

>>> >>>

>>> >>>>>

>>> >>>

>>> >>>>>

>>> >>>

>>> >>>>>

>>> >>>

>>> >>>>> Thank you for your inquiry.

>>> >>>

>>> >>>>>

>>> >>>

>>> >>>>>

>>> >>>

>>> >>>>> Please kindly advise us how your specific disability needs impact

>>> >>>

>>> >>>>> your ability to attend or access the publicly accessible

>>> >>>>> information

>>> >>>

>>> >>>>> available under ADA, and we will be glad to provide assistance.

>>> >>>>> As
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> you may know, the ADA prohibits discrimination based on disability
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> and ensures equal opportunity for access to public meetings.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Disability is defined under the ADA, Title 42, Chapter 126, Sec.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> 12102<<http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>>, as, "(A) a
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or
>>> >>>>> more
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> major life activities of such individual; (B) a record of such an
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> impairment; or (C) being regarded as having such an impairment."
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing
>>> >>>>> you
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> at the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> public comment period.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Sincerely,
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> _
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Web:
>>> >>>>> www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>><
>>> <http://www.sfbos.org/><[http://www.sfbos.org%](http://www.sfbos.org%3chttp://www.sfbos.org/)3chttp://www.sfbos.org/<
>>> <http://www.sfbos.org/>><<http://www.sfbos.org/>>>>

>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in
>>> >>>>> Place
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely
>>> >>>>> while
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> providing complete access to the legislative process and our
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> services.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in
>>> >>>>> communications
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Sunshine Ordinance.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of
>>> >>>>> the
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> public are not required to provide personal identifying
>>> >>>>> information
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> committees. All written or oral communications that members of the
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation
>>> >>>>> or
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> hearings will be made available to all members of the public for
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> information from these submissions. This means that personal
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the
>>> >>>>> Board
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website
>>> >>>>> or
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> in other public documents that members of the public may inspect

>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> whenever it is possible at the earliest slot. I know it usually
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> makes sense do some housekeeping beforehand, so just appreciate an
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> earlier opportunity to address the public comment.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Thank you for your consideration of this reasonable accommodation
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> request.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> disability needs.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain
>>> >>>>> levels
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> and functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel
>>> >>>>> free
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> to follow up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in

>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> your response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is
>>> >>>>> confusing.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> respond including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and
>>> >>>>> any
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may
>>> >>>>> contain
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> protected from
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> disclosure.*
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> On 6/9/20, Zach
>>> >>>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:
>>> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><mailto:
>>> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:
>>> zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>%3cmailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:3cmailto%3Azkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> ><mailto:3cmailto%3Azkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:
>>> 3cmailto%253Azkarnazes@gmail.com>>>>>
>>> >>>>> wrote:
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>

>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>> Dear BOS,
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Ive been trying over and over to get into the meeting now. it
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> keeps
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> rejecting the pass code. is it correct in the agenda? (attached)
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> please help, thanks
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> --
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain
>>> >>>>> and
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain
>>> >>>>> levels

>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> and functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> free
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> to follow up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know
>>> >>>>> in
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> your response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is
>>> >>>>> confusing.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> respond
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and
>>> >>>>> any
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may
>>> >>>>> contain
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> protected from disclosure.*
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>

>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> --
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> disability needs.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain
>>> >>>>> levels
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> and functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel
>>> >>>>> free
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> to follow up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> your response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is
>>> >>>>> confusing.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> respond including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>>

>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and
>>> >>>>> any
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may
>>> >>>>> contain
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> protected from
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> disclosure.*
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> --
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain
>>> >>>>> levels
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> and functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel
>>> >>>>> free
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> to follow up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> your response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is
>>> >>>>> confusing.
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >>>>> respond
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>>>>

>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may
>>> >>>> contain
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> protected from disclosure.*
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> --
>>> >>>
>>> >>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >>>> accessibility
>>> >>>> aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability
>>> >>>> needs.
>>> >>>> *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> >>>> and
>>> >>>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> >>>> follow
>>> >>>> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >>>> your
>>> >>>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >>>> respond
>>> >>>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >>>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> >>>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >>>> protected
>>> >>>> from
>>> >>>> disclosure.*
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> --

>>> >> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>
>>> >> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> >> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> >> and
>>> >> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> >> follow
>>> >> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >> your
>>> >> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> >> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >> respond
>>> >> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>
>>> >> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> >> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >> protected
>>> >> from disclosure.*

>>> >>
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > --
>>> >
>>> > – Zach Karnazes
>>> > Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> > <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >
>>> > Please note: While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> > accessibility
>>> > aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability needs.
>>> > Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> > My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> > and
>>> > functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> > follow
>>> > up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> > My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> > your
>>> > response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> > To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond
>>> > including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >
>>> > CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> > attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> > confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> > protected
>>> > from
>>> > disclosure.
>>> >
>>> > On Mon, Jun 22, 2020 at 6:29 PM Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> > <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>> wrote:
>>> > Dear Zach,

>>> >
>>> > See responses inline below.
>>> >
>>> > __
>>> > Wilson L. Ng
>>> > Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >
>>> > San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> > 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> > San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >
>>> > Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> > Web: www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org/>>
>>> >
>>> > Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> > Order,
>>> > the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> > providing
>>> > complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >
>>> > [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> > Board of
>>> > Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> > Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> > to
>>> > the
>>> > Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> > California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> > Ordinance.
>>> > Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> > public
>>> > are not required to provide personal identifying information when they
>>> > communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> > written or
>>> > oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> > Office
>>> > regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> > all
>>> > members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> > does
>>> > not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> > personal
>>> > information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> > information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> > and
>>> > its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> > other
>>> > public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >
>>> > From: Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com><<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>
>>> > Sent: Monday, June 22, 2020 4:08 PM
>>> > To: Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> > <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>
>>> >>;
>>> > Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
>>> > <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org><<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> > BOS-Operations

>>> > <bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>>>
>>> >>;
>>> > Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
>>> > <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>>>; Mchugh,
>>> > Eileen (BOS)
>>> > <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>>>
>>> >>;
>>> > MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org<mailto:mod@sfgov.org>>>; Bohn, Nicole (ADM)
>>> > <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>>; Kaplan, Deborah
>>> (ADM)
>>> > <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org<mailto:deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>>>; Tse, John
>>> (BOS)
>>> > <john.tse@sfgov.org<mailto:john.tse@sfgov.org>>>; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
>>> > <alisa.somera@sfgov.org<mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org>>>; PEARSON, ANNE
>>> (CAT)
>>> > <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org<mailto:Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>>>;
>>> > Cityattorney
>>> > <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org<mailto:Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>>>
>>> > Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> > meeting
>>> > 6/23/20
>>> >
>>> > Dear BOS, MOD, and City Attorney,
>>> > Thank you for granting the accommodation regarding the numbering
>>> > system.
>>> > This is a great help.
>>> >
>>> > 1. Regarding the other accommodation (#1 from previous email). For
>>> > tomorrow, I request to speak earlier on the agenda than item #23 to
>>> > avoid
>>> > the confusion and technical difficulties that happened last week. I
>>> > had
>>> > a
>>> > long phone call with Angela Calvillo, explained the embarrassing
>>> > details
>>> > of
>>> > my disability so that I can be granted this accommodation which was
>>> > previously granted to me. My disabilities have not changed since the
>>> > last
>>> > time it was granted to me.).
>>> > To confirm, we can accommodate you speaking earlier in the public
>>> > comment
>>> > queue during the regularly scheduled public comment period. However,
>>> > as
>>> > per
>>> > my inquiry to the Clerk of the Board, speaking at an earlier item on
>>> > the
>>> > agenda will require the Board's unanimous consent on a motion to
>>> > provide
>>> > privilege of the floor outside of regular public comment; this is to
>>> > be
>>> > determined tomorrow during the meeting. Note that if you are
>>> > provided
>>> > privilege of the floor to speak, you will not be eligible to speak
>>> > during
>>> > the regularly scheduled public comment period.
>>> >
>>> > This is also to confirm that our staff will prioritize you accordingly

>>> > in
>>> > the public comment queue – whether it be during general public comment
>>> > or at
>>> > an earlier agenda item – contingent that you follow the steps that we
>>> > have
>>> > outlined so that we can have a way to identify you as the caller and
>>> > queue
>>> > you up when you call in.
>>> > As per my earlier communication today,
>>> > Here are the steps to be identified, queued, and prioritized for
>>> > public
>>> > comment tomorrow, per your request for accommodation.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > 1. Call in to the public comment line at 1:45pm so that our staff
>>> > can
>>> > identify you prior to the start of the meeting and prioritize your
>>> > call.
>>> > 2. You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >
>>> > * Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial
>>> > access
>>> > code “145 198 2013 # #” on your keypad
>>> > * Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to
>>> > bypass
>>> > having to dial the number and access code
>>> >
>>> > * Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013 #
>>> > #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,%23>
>>> >
>>> > * Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184,
>>> > and
>>> > our
>>> > staff will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your
>>> > call.
>>> >
>>> > 1. Once you are in the queue, you will be prioritized and placed on
>>> > hold
>>> > until the designated public comment period for you to speak. You may
>>> > continue to listen to the meeting while you are queued from your
>>> > phone,
>>> > or
>>> > you can watch and follow the Board’s proceedings on
>>> > www.sfgovtv.org<<http://www.sfgovtv.org>> until the designated public
>>> > comment
>>> > period.
>>> >
>>> > 2. Please let me know what agenda item you could include me on.
>>> > See response to item 1 above.
>>> > 3. I also appreciate the option to call in and patch through without a
>>> > pass
>>> > code. This will help me a lot personally, and I hope that you can
>>> > advertise
>>> > this to other disabled and nondisabled members of the public that are
>>> > struggling to use the extremely inaccessible 10-digit dial-in system.
>>> > Noted.
>>> >
>>> > 4. I spent 1.5 hours on the phone with Angela Calvillo On Friday

>>> afternoon
>>> > and provided exhaustive detail to all the accessibility problems with
>>> > the
>>> > current call-in system and meeting structure. I'm hopeful that they
>>> > are
>>> > being looked into and would appreciate updates as they become
>>> > available.
>>> > Noted. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, extends her availability
>>> > if
>>> > you
>>> > would like to discuss further.
>>> >
>>> > 5. I politely request again a numbered response to my email from Jun
>>> > 15,
>>> > 2020 at 2:53 PM. I went to great lengths to write that email with
>>> > accessible technologies and I would really appreciate a response using
>>> > the
>>> > numbering system provided as an accommodation. It is copied below
>>> > again
>>> > or
>>> > your convenience
>>> > See responses inline below.
>>> >
>>> > sincerely,
>>> > -Zach K.
>>> > -----
>>> >
>>> > Dear Board of Supervisors, SF City Attorney, Mayor's Office on
>>> > Disability,
>>> > and Mayor's Disability Council,
>>> >
>>> > I'm very concerned that this accessibility problem and ADA access
>>> > barrier
>>> > is not being taken seriously.
>>> > Mayor's Office on Disability:
>>> > Please file this issue as a disability grievance and send a copy to
>>> > the
>>> > Mayor's Disability Council.
>>> >
>>> > 1. While appreciate the offer of assistance, this access barrier is
>>> > not
>>> > a
>>> > problem with my ability to work a phone or knowledge of how to access
>>> > the
>>> > meeting. This is an added unnecessary access barrier, which causes
>>> > many
>>> > issues for many people other than myself (disabled and non-disabled).
>>> > There
>>> > is also no voice input command option.
>>> > Per my communication to you on 6/16/20,
>>> >
>>> > In response to your questions regarding the necessity of an access
>>> > code/passcode, please note that this is in fact necessary for
>>> > telephonic
>>> > participation – it is synonymous to a phone extension or meeting room
>>> > number, which ensures connection to the correct meeting; it is
>>> > imperative
>>> > that an access code/passcode be provided as a unique identifier to

>>> > differentiate amongst a potentially limitless number of meetings
>>> > hosted
>>> > by
>>> > the product vendor. Without an access code/passcode, no alternative
>>> > mechanism exists to permit setting up a live meeting for members of
>>> > the
>>> > public to participate telephonically. The access code/passcode
>>> > length
>>> > is
>>> > also not a variable within our control, as this is a system-generated
>>> > requirement to host live meetings.
>>> >
>>> > Accommodations provided per my earlier communication to you today,
>>> >
>>> > [...] You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >
>>> > o Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial access
>>> > code
>>> > "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>> >
>>> > o Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to bypass
>>> > having
>>> > to dial the number and access code
>>> >
>>> > • Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013 #
>>> > #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,%23>
>>> >
>>> > o Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184, and our
>>> > staff
>>> > will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your call.
>>> >
>>> > 2. I have not received any explanation for why a passcode is being
>>> > required
>>> > for public meetings. I see no reason for it, since everyone is allowed
>>> > to
>>> > attend. What security does this help with when anyone can call in? I
>>> > would
>>> > appreciate an explanation of why this access barrier is being put in
>>> > place,
>>> > changing every meeting, and not being removed.
>>> > See response to item 1 above.
>>> >
>>> > 3. The telephone system that processes the passcode has a very short
>>> > input
>>> > time and will hang up on the caller if we (disabled people especially)
>>> > don't
>>> > input the passcode quickly enough. This is extremely unfair to those
>>> > of
>>> > us
>>> > trying to attend public meetings.
>>> > Per my communication to you on 6/16/20,
>>> >
>>> > [...] we can manually create a hyperlink with the dial-In number that
>>> > you
>>> > can
>>> > click on via a smart phone, which would automatically dial the number
>>> > and
>>> > enter the access code for you. Note that you will still need to dial

>>> > *
>>> > 3 to
>>> > raise your hand.
>>> >
>>> > Accommodations provided per my earlier communication to you today,
>>> >
>>> > [...]You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >
>>> > o Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial access
>>> > code
>>> > "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>> >
>>> > o Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to bypass
>>> > having
>>> > to dial the number and access code
>>> >
>>> > • Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013 #
>>> > #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,%23>
>>> >
>>> > o Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184, and our
>>> > staff
>>> > will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your call.
>>> >
>>> > 4. The voice prompt system is confusing, and does not clearly tell
>>> > people
>>> > when they will be given a chance to speak and what the instructions
>>> > are
>>> > (thus all the confusion with "can I speak yet" and "silent" public
>>> > comments
>>> > happening at meetings). It also does not say that pressing "*3" or
>>> > "#0"
>>> > again will remove your place in line, which is really unfair to people
>>> > that
>>> > have waited an hour or more to leave public comment. These are some of
>>> > the
>>> > many problems with this system and these are serious access barriers.
>>> > Noted. That feature for custom voice prompts is currently not
>>> > available
>>> > in
>>> > the system, but we will be glad to pass your feedback along to the
>>> > City's
>>> > Department of Technology, who provisions enterprise technology
>>> > solutions
>>> > for
>>> > departments. The Mayor's Office on Disability is also working with
>>> > the
>>> > Department of Technology on general Citywide policy guidance.
>>> > 5. Section 8.2000 of Title II of the American with Disabilities Act
>>> > states:
>>> > A public entity must review its policies and practices to determine
>>> > whether
>>> > any exclude or limit the participation of individuals with
>>> > disabilities
>>> > in
>>> > its programs, activities, or services. Such policies or practices must
>>> > be
>>> > modified, unless they are necessary for the operation or provision of
>>> > the

>>> > program, service, or activity. The self-evaluation should identify
>>> > policy
>>> > modifications to be implemented and include complete justifications
>>> > for
>>> > any
>>> > exclusionary or limiting policies or practices that will not be
>>> > modified.
>>> > 3) A public entity should review its policies to ensure that it
>>> > communicates
>>> > with applicants, participants, and members of the public with
>>> > disabilities
>>> > in a manner that is as effective as its communications with others...
>>> >
>>> > 5a. This unnecessary passcode system and accompanying difficulties in
>>> > leaving public comment is excluding the disabled from our right to
>>> > participate in local government. It is clearly in violation of the
>>> > American
>>> > with Disabilities Act.
>>> > The public comment access code is integral to and necessary for the
>>> > operation of the program (Microsoft Teams), and does not violate the
>>> > American with Disabilities Act.
>>> >
>>> > Per my communication to you on 6/16/20,
>>> > In response to your questions regarding the necessity of an access
>>> > code/passcode, please note that this is in fact necessary for
>>> > telephonic
>>> > participation – it is synonymous to a phone extension or meeting room
>>> > number, which ensures connection to the correct meeting; it is
>>> > imperative
>>> > that an access code/passcode be provided as a unique identifier to
>>> > differentiate amongst a potentially limitless number of meetings
>>> > hosted
>>> > by
>>> > the product vendor. Without an access code/passcode, no alternative
>>> > mechanism exists to permit setting up a live meeting for members of
>>> > the
>>> > public to participate telephonically. The access code/passcode
>>> > length
>>> > is
>>> > also not a variable within our control, as this is a system-generated
>>> > requirement to host live meetings.
>>> >
>>> > We also provided several alternatives for you to bypass having to dial
>>> > the
>>> > number and access code in response to your request for accommodation,
>>> > per my
>>> > communication to you on 6/16/20 and today. See response to item 3
>>> > above.
>>> >
>>> > 5b. If your office is unwilling to remove the passcode, would you at
>>> > least
>>> > explore the options of making it a short three digit passcode with a
>>> > much
>>> > longer time to input it and with a voice input option?
>>> > See email response provided to you today, 6/22/20, restated in item 3
>>> > above.
>>> >
>>> > 6. I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors, MOD, and the City

>>> Attorney
>>> > to work cooperatively to address this very serious issue, not because
>>> > of
>>> any
>>> > law, but because of the meaning behind the law which is for humanity,
>>> > compassion, and inclusion of people with disabilities.
>>> > Noted.
>>> >
>>> > 7. I would like to speak and leave a public comment during tomorrow's
>>> Board
>>> > of Supervisors meeting. I respectfully request the disability
>>> accommodation
>>> > to leave comment at the beginning of the public comment period
>>> > tomorrow.
>>> > Please let me know if this request will be accepted or denied.
>>> > See response to item 1 of the first section above.
>>> >
>>> > 8. I respectfully request the:
>>> > a) name and contact email information of the Board of Supervisors ADA
>>> > coordinator
>>> > b) a reply and signed resolution from the ADA coordinator for the
>>> > Board
>>> of
>>> > Supervisors for the concerns raised in this email
>>> > c) that the ADA coordinator for the Board of Supervisors name and
>>> > contact
>>> > information be provided and made publicly available for all meeting
>>> notices
>>> > (especially at the top of the
>>> > agenda
>>> [https://www.google.com/url?
q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeeting%2Fagenda%2F2020%2Fbag061920_agenda&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNESp4LemNTP-
_04OwHOX1H1jxlenA](https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeeting%2Fagenda%2F2020%2Fbag061920_agenda&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNESp4LemNTP-_04OwHOX1H1jxlenA)
>>> >)
>>> > and published on your meeting
>>> > page
>>> [https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeetings%2F42&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNGyhV-
IBQvt7_TqDvZpiQVnWQuZ7Q](https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeetings%2F42&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNGyhV-IBQvt7_TqDvZpiQVnWQuZ7Q)
>>> >.
>>> > d) that the ADA coordinator for the Board of Supervisors have a
>>> > staffed
>>> and
>>> > publicly noticed phone and email committed to helping people with
>>> > disabilities access public meetings, with a response time within a
>>> > minimum
>>> > of 72 hours for ADA access issues.
>>> > e) If the Board of Supervisors does not have an ADA coordinator, than
>>> > I
>>> > request the same information above be provided for the next best city
>>> > representative for helping with ADA grievances and access barriers.
>>> > By reply to this item, the Department ADA coordinator for the Board of
>>> > Supervisors is Wilson Ng,
>>> > Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org<mailto:Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>. The ADA
>>> > coordinator
>>> > information is contained and published on every Board and Committee
>>> > agenda<<https://sfbos.org/events/calendar/upcoming>>. It is also
>>> > available
>>> > here<<https://sfbos.org/ada-services-english>>. Restated below. The

>>> Mayor's
>>> > Office on Disability is the respective agency and contact for
>>> > grievances.
>>> >
>>> > Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)
>>> >
>>> > The ADA is a civil rights law that protects people with different
>>> > types
>>> > of
>>> > disabilities from discrimination in all aspects of social life. More
>>> > specifically, Title II of the ADA requires that all programs offered
>>> > through
>>> > the state and local government such as the City and County of San
>>> > Francisco
>>> > must be accessible and usable to people with disabilities. The ADA and
>>> > City
>>> > policy require that people with disabilities have equal access to all
>>> > City
>>> > services, activities, and benefits. People with disabilities must have
>>> > an
>>> > equal opportunity to participate in the programs and services offered
>>> > through the City and County of San Francisco. If you believe your
>>> > rights
>>> > under the ADA are violated, contact the ADA Coordinator.
>>> >
>>> > Ordinance 90-10 added Section 2A.22.3 to the Administrative Code,
>>> > which
>>> > adopted a Citywide Americans with Disabilities Act Reasonable
>>> > Modification
>>> > Policy that requires City departments to: (1) provide notice to the
>>> > public
>>> > of the right to request reasonable modification; (2) respond promptly
>>> > to
>>> > such requests; (3) provide appropriate auxiliary aids and services to
>>> > people
>>> > with disabilities to ensure effective communication; and (4) train
>>> > staff
>>> > to
>>> > respond to requests from the public for reasonable modification, and
>>> > that
>>> > requires the Mayor's Office on Disability to provide technical
>>> > assistance to
>>> > City departments responding to requests from the public for reasonable
>>> > modifications.
>>> >
>>> > The Board of Supervisors and Office of the Clerk of the Board support
>>> > the
>>> > Mayor's Office on Disability to help make San Francisco a city where
>>> > all
>>> > people enjoy equal rights, equal opportunity, and freedom from illegal
>>> > discrimination under disability rights laws.
>>> >
>>> > Meetings are real-time captioned and are cablecast open-captioned on
>>> > SFGovTV, the Government Channel 26. Board and Committee meeting
>>> > agendas
>>> > and
>>> > minutes are available on the Board's website
>>> > (www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>>) and adhere to web development

>>> > guidelines based upon the Federal Access Board's Section 508
>>> > Guidelines.
>>> > To
>>> > request sign language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or
>>> > other
>>> > accommodations, please contact (415) 554-5184 or (415) 554-5227 (TTY).
>>> > Requests made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting will help to
>>> > ensure availability. If further assistance is needed, please contact
>>> > Wilson
>>> > Ng, ADA Coordinator, at
>>> > Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org<mailto:Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>.
>>> >
>>> > 9. I respectfully request disability accommodation that response this
>>> > email
>>> > use the numbering system provided.
>>> > Response provided inline.
>>> >
>>> > Thank you so much for your time and attention towards creating a more
>>> > accessible way for people to engage with our public officials.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > On 6/22/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> > <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>> wrote:
>>> >> Dear Zach,
>>> >>
>>> >> Please see my responses inline below on behalf of the Office of the
>>> >> Clerk
>>> >> of
>>> >> the Board.
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. To be able to speak at an earlier item on the agenda (preferably,
>>> >> which
>>> >> is been granted in the past and would be very easy to avoid last
>>> >> meeting's
>>> >> confusion) OR be able to speak earlier in public comment
>>> >> Please kindly confirm which accommodation it is that you are
>>> >> requesting.
>>> >> Pending your response, we can accommodate you speaking earlier in the
>>> >> public
>>> >> comment queue during the regularly scheduled public comment period at
>>> >> tomorrow's Board meeting (6/23/20).
>>> >>
>>> >> Please note that we will need a way to identify you as the caller in
>>> >> order
>>> >> to queue you up when you call in, so we ask for your cooperation and
>>> >> patience.
>>> >>
>>> >> Here are the steps to be identified, queued, and prioritized for
>>> >> public
>>> >> comment tomorrow, per your request for accommodation.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. Call in to the public comment line at 1:45pm so that our staff
>>> >> can
>>> >> identify you prior to the start of the meeting and prioritize your
>>> >> call.
>>> >> 2. You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >> * Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial

>>> >> access
>>> >> code "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>> >> * Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to
>>> bypass
>>> >> having to dial the number and access code
>>> >> * Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013
>>> >> #
>>> >>
>>> >> #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,#<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,%23>>
>>> >> * Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184,
>>> >> and
>>> >> our
>>> >> staff will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your
>>> >> call.
>>> >> 3. Once you are in the queue, you will be prioritized and placed
>>> >> on
>>> >> hold
>>> >> until the designated public comment period for you to speak. You
>>> >> may
>>> >> continue to listen to the meeting while you are queued from your
>>> >> phone,
>>> >> or
>>> >> you can watch and follow the Board's proceedings on
>>> >> www.sfgovtv.org<<http://www.sfgovtv.org>><<http://www.sfgovtv.org>> until
>>> >> the
>>> >> designated public comment
>>> >> period.
>>> >>
>>> >> 2. For a numbering system to be used to reply to these emails so I
>>> >> can
>>> >> follow along with what's being said and not have to injure myself
>>> >> repeating
>>> >> myself.
>>> >> Responses provided inline as requested.
>>> >>
>>> >> Please let me know if these accommodations are being denied. In my
>>> >> many
>>> >> years as a disability advocate and wheelchair user I've never been
>>> >> asked
>>> >> for
>>> >> proof of my disability before for basic no-cost accommodations like
>>> >> this,
>>> >> but if that is required, please let me know what kind of proof that
>>> >> you
>>> >> need
>>> >> (doctor's letter, medical tests, etc.). In order to get a doctor's
>>> >> letter,
>>> >> I will need some additional time though as my doctors office is
>>> >> flooded
>>> >> with
>>> >> Covid-19 cases at the moment.
>>> >> Your request is not being denied. We are also not requesting for –
>>> >> nor
>>> >> require – proof of your disability. We are, however, providing you
>>> >> with
>>> >> solutions for reasonable accommodation in response to your request,
>>> >> and
>>> >> ask

>>> >> for your cooperation. See clarifying questions and instructions
>>> >> outlined
>>> >> in our response to item 1.
>>> >>
>>> >> 3. I was trying to find when your office hours are, but that email
>>> >> is
>>> >> buried and very tricky for me to find. I would appreciate if someone
>>> >> could
>>> >> chair the calendar of office hours with me.
>>> >> The Office of the Clerk of the Board's virtual office hours are
>>> >> currently
>>> >> on
>>> >> a recurring basis every Monday 3pm-5pm and Friday 1pm-3pm.
>>> >> Information
>>> >> about office hours is updated and publicly available on the top
>>> >> banner
>>> >> of
>>> >> our website at
>>> >> www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>
>>> >> .
>>> >>
>>> >> Thanks,
>>> >> ___
>>> >> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>
>>> >> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> >> San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>
>>> >> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >> Web: www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>>
>>> >>
>>> >> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> >> Order,
>>> >> the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> >> providing
>>> >> complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >>
>>> >> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >> Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> >> Board
>>> >> of
>>> >> Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> >> to
>>> >> the
>>> >> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> >> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> >> Ordinance.
>>> >> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> >> public
>>> >> are not required to provide personal identifying information when
>>> >> they
>>> >> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> >> written
>>> >> or
>>> >> oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's

>>> >> Office
>>> >> regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> >> all
>>> >> members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> >> does
>>> >> not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> >> personal
>>> >> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> >> and
>>> >> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> >> other
>>> >> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >>
>>> >> From: Zach
>>> >> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com><mailto:
>>> >> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>
>>> >> Sent: Friday, June 19, 2020 2:38 PM
>>> >> To: Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
>>> >> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> >> <mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org<mailto:
>>> >> board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> MOD, (ADM)
>>> >> <mod@sfgov.org<mailto:mod@sfgov.org><mailto:mod@sfgov.org<mailto:
>>> >> mod@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Bohn, Nicole (ADM)
>>> >> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> BOS-Operations
>>> >> <bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Calvillo,
>>> >> Angela (BOS)
>>> >> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> angela.calvillo@sfgov.org<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >> Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
>>> >> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> >> eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org<mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>>>
>>> >> Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> >> meeting
>>> >> 6/16/20
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links
>>> >> or
>>> >> attachments from untrusted sources.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Dear BOS,
>>> >> I have not received a reply to my email from Tuesday. I request
>>> >> the
>>> >> same
>>> >> accommodations for future email communication and this coming Tuesday
>>> >> meeting (6/23):
>>> >>

>>> >> 1. To be able to speak at an earlier item on the agenda (preferably,
>>> >> which
>>> >> is been granted in the past and would be very easy to avoid last
>>> >> meeting's
>>> >> confusion) OR be able to speak earlier in public comment
>>> >>
>>> >> 2. For a numbering system to be used to reply to these emails so I
>>> >> can
>>> >> follow along with what's being said and not have to injure myself
>>> >> repeating
>>> >> myself.
>>> >>
>>> >> Please let me know if these accommodations are being denied. In my
>>> >> many
>>> >> years as a disability advocate and wheelchair user I've never been
>>> >> asked
>>> >> for
>>> >> proof of my disability before for basic no-cost accommodations like
>>> >> this,
>>> >> but if that is required, please let me know what kind of proof that
>>> >> you
>>> >> need
>>> >> (doctor's letter, medical tests, etc.). In order to get a doctor's
>>> >> letter,
>>> >> I will need some additional time though as my doctors office is
>>> >> flooded
>>> >> with
>>> >> Covid-19 cases at the moment.
>>> >>
>>> >> 3. I was trying to find when your office hours are, but that email
>>> >> is
>>> >> buried and very tricky for me to find. I would appreciate if someone
>>> >> could
>>> >> chair the calendar of office hours with me.
>>> >>
>>> >> Thank you,
>>> >>
>>> >> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>
>>> >> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> >> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> >> and
>>> >> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> >> follow
>>> >> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >> your
>>> >> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> >> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >> respond
>>> >> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>
>>> >> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain

>>> >> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >> protected
>>> >> from disclosure.*
>>> >>
>>> >> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>
>>> >> Please note: While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >> accessibility
>>> >> aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability
>>> >> needs.
>>> >> Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> >> and
>>> >> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> >> follow
>>> >> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >> your
>>> >> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> >> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >> respond
>>> >> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>
>>> >> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> >> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >> protected
>>> >> from
>>> >> disclosure.
>>> >>
>>> >> On Tue, Jun 16, 2020 at 4:12 PM Zach
>>> >> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><mailto:
>>> >> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>
>>> >> wrote:
>>> >> Dear BOS and MOD and City attorney
>>> >> last email said
>>> >>>>As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing you
>>> >>>> at
>>> >>>> the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated
>>> >>>> public
>>> >>>> comment period. >>
>>> >>
>>> >> this has been denied, I am on the call and cannot speak and no one
>>> >> can
>>> >> hear
>>> >> me.
>>> >>
>>> >> my last four digits are 0021.
>>> >>
>>> >> is anyone reading this? i still cannot join.
>>> >>
>>> >> do you need a doctors letter to prvoe my disability? ive never een
>>> >> asked this bedore.
>>> >>
>>> >> -ZachK.
>>> >>

>>> >> On 6/16/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><mailto:
>>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>
>>> >> wrote:
>>> >>> Can you please provide us the phone number that you are calling from
>>> >>> so
>>> >>> that
>>> >>> we may identify and prioritize you accordingly in the public comment
>>> >>> queue?
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Also, please note my initial question to you so that we can ensure
>>> >>> that
>>> >>> we
>>> >>> are seeking the appropriate accommodation for your various requests.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Please kindly advise us how your specific disability needs impact
>>> >>> your
>>> >>> ability to attend or access the publicly accessible information
>>> >>> available
>>> >>> under ADA, and we will be glad to provide assistance. As you may
>>> >>> know,
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> ADA prohibits discrimination based on disability and ensures equal
>>> >>> opportunity for access to public meetings. Disability is defined
>>> >>> under
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> ADA, Title 42, Chapter 126, Sec.
>>> >>> 12102<<http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>>, as, "(A) a
>>> >>> physical
>>> >>> or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major
>>> >>> life
>>> >>> activities of such individual; (B) a record of such an impairment;
>>> >>> or
>>> >>> (C)
>>> >>> being regarded as having such an impairment."
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> ___
>>> >>> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >>> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>>
>>> >>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> >>> San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >>> Web:
>>> >>> www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>><
>>> <http://www.sfbos.org>/>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in
>>> >>> Place
>>> >>> Order,
>>> >>> the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> >>> providing

>>> >>> complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >>> Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> Board
>>> >>> of
>>> >>> Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >>> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> >>> to
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> >>> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> >>> Ordinance.
>>> >>> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> >>> public
>>> >>> are not required to provide personal identifying information when
>>> >>> they
>>> >>> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> >>> written
>>> >>> or
>>> >>> oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> >>> Office
>>> >>> regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> >>> all
>>> >>> members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> >>> does
>>> >>> not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> >>> personal
>>> >>> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >>> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the
>>> >>> Board
>>> >>> and
>>> >>> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> >>> other
>>> >>> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> -----Original Message-----
>>> >>> From: Zach
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com><<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>>
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com><<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>>
>>> >>> Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 4:01 PM
>>> >>> To: Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>><<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>>
>>> >>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org><<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>>;
>>> >>> Board of
>>> >>> Supervisors, (BOS)
>>> >>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org><<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>><<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>>>>
>>> >>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org><<mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>>>>;
>>> >>> MOD, (ADM)
>>> >>> <mod@sfgov.org><<mailto:mod@sfgov.org>><<mailto:mod@sfgov.org>><<mailto:mod@sfgov.org>>>>
>>> >>> <mod@sfgov.org><<mailto:mod@sfgov.org>>>>;
>>> >>> Bohn, Nicole
>>> >>> (ADM)
>>> >>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org><<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>><<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>><<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>>>

>>> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>>;
>>> >>> BOS-Operations
>>> >>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>>><mailto:
>>> bos-operations@sfgov.org<mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org>>>
>>> >>> Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> >>> meeting
>>> >>> 6/16/20
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open
>>> >>> links
>>> or
>>> >>> attachments from untrusted sources.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> last email said
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing
>>> >>>>> you
>>> at
>>> >>>>> the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated
>>> public
>>> >>>>> comment period. >>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> is this denied now?
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >>> accessibility
>>> >>> aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability
>>> >>> needs.
>>> >>> *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> and
>>> >>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> >>> follow
>>> >>> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in

>>> >>> your
>>> >>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >>> respond
>>> >>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> >>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >>> protected
>>> >>> from
>>> >>> disclosure.*
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> On 6/16/20, Zach
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><mailto:
>>> >>> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><mailto:
>>> >>> zkarnazes@gmail.com<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>><mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>>
>>> >>> <mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>>>
>>> >>> wrote:
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Im on the call now and this didnt happen
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> On 6/15/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> >>>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> >>>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> >>>> wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>>>>>
>>> >>>> wrote:
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Dear Zach Karnazes,
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Thank you for your inquiry.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Please kindly advise us how your specific disability needs impact
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> your ability to attend or access the publicly accessible
>>> >>>>> information
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> available under ADA, and we will be glad to provide assistance.
>>> >>>>> As
>>> >>>

>>> >>>>> you may know, the ADA prohibits discrimination based on disability
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> and ensures equal opportunity for access to public meetings.
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Disability is defined under the ADA, Title 42, Chapter 126, Sec.
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> 12102<<http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>>, as, "(A) a
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or
>>> >>>>> more
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> major life activities of such individual; (B) a record of such an
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> impairment; or (C) being regarded as having such an impairment."
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing
>>> >>>>> you
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> at the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> public comment period.
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Sincerely,
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> _
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>> Web:
>>> >>>>> www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>><
>>> <http://www.sfbos.org><<http://www.sfbos.org>>%3chttp://www.sfbos.org/<
>>> <http://www.sfbos.org>><<http://www.sfbos.org>/>>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>>>

>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in
>>> >>>>> Place
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely
>>> >>>>> while
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> providing complete access to the legislative process and our
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> services.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in
>>> >>>>> communications
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Sunshine Ordinance.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of
>>> >>>>> the
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> public are not required to provide personal identifying
>>> >>>>> information
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> committees. All written or oral communications that members of the
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation
>>> >>>>> or
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> hearings will be made available to all members of the public for
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> information from these submissions. This means that personal
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the
>>> >>>>> Board
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website
>>> >>>>> or
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> in other public documents that members of the public may inspect
>>> >>>>> or
>>> >>>>> copy.

>>> >>>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>><mailto:
>>> nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>>%
>>> 3cmailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:3cmailto%3Anicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <mailto:3cmailto%3Anicole.bohn@sfgov.org<mailto:
>>> 3cmailto%253Anicole.bohn@sfgov.org>>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Subject: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> >>>>> meeting
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> 6/16/20
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open
>>> >>>>> links
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> or attachments from untrusted sources.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Dear SF Board of Supervisors,
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> I was hoping my health would be better today, but I would really
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> appreciate the disability accommodation to leave a public comment
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> earlier at the beginning of the agenda for the meeting tomorrow,
>>> >>>>> or
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> whenever it is possible at the earliest slot. I know it usually

>>> >>>
>>> >>>> makes sense do some housekeeping beforehand, so just appreciate an
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> earlier opportunity to address the public comment.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Thank you for your consideration of this reasonable accommodation
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> request.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> disability needs.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain
>>> >>>> levels
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> and functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel
>>> >>>> free
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> to follow up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> your response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is

>>>> Please note: While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>>> accessibility
>>>> aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability needs.
>>>> Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>>> and
>>>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>>> follow
>>>> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>>> your
>>>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond
>>>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>>>
>>>> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>>> protected
>>>> from
>>>> disclosure.
>>>>
>>>> On Mon, Jun 22, 2020 at 3:25 PM Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>> wrote:
>>>> Dear Zach,
>>>>
>>>> Please see my responses inline below on behalf of the Office of the
>>>> Clerk of
>>>> the Board.
>>>>
>>>> 1. To be able to speak at an earlier item on the agenda (preferably,
>>>> which
>>>> is been granted in the past and would be very easy to avoid last
>>>> meeting's
>>>> confusion) OR be able to speak earlier in public comment
>>>> Please kindly confirm which accommodation it is that you are
>>>> requesting.
>>>> Pending your response, we can accommodate you speaking earlier in the
>>>> public
>>>> comment queue during the regularly scheduled public comment period at
>>>> tomorrow's Board meeting (6/23/20).
>>>>
>>>> Please note that we will need a way to identify you as the caller in
>>>> order
>>>> to queue you up when you call in, so we ask for your cooperation and
>>>> patience.
>>>>
>>>> Here are the steps to be identified, queued, and prioritized for
>>>> public
>>>> comment tomorrow, per your request for accommodation.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> 1. Call in to the public comment line at 1:45pm so that our staff
>>>> can
>>>> identify you prior to the start of the meeting and prioritize your
>>>> call.
>>>> 2. You may call in via any one of these options:
>>>>

>>> > * Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial
>>> > access
>>> > code "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>> > * Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to
>>> > bypass
>>> > having to dial the number and access code
>>> >
>>> > * Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013 #
>>> > #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,%23>
>>> >
>>> > * Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184,
>>> > and
>>> > our
>>> > staff will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your
>>> > call.
>>> >
>>> > 1. Once you are in the queue, you will be prioritized and placed on
>>> > hold
>>> > until the designated public comment period for you to speak. You may
>>> > continue to listen to the meeting while you are queued from your
>>> > phone,
>>> > or
>>> > you can watch and follow the Board's proceedings on
>>> > www.sfgovtv.org<<http://www.sfgovtv.org>> until the designated public
>>> > comment
>>> > period.
>>> >
>>> > 2. For a numbering system to be used to reply to these emails so I
>>> > can
>>> > follow along with what's being said and not have to injure myself
>>> > repeating
>>> > myself.
>>> > Responses provided inline as requested.
>>> >
>>> > Please let me know if these accommodations are being denied. In my
>>> > many
>>> > years as a disability advocate and wheelchair user I've never been
>>> > asked
>>> > for
>>> > proof of my disability before for basic no-cost accommodations like
>>> > this,
>>> > but if that is required, please let me know what kind of proof that
>>> > you
>>> > need
>>> > (doctor's letter, medical tests, etc.). In order to get a doctor's
>>> > letter,
>>> > I will need some additional time though as my doctors office is
>>> > flooded
>>> > with
>>> > Covid-19 cases at the moment.
>>> > Your request is not being denied. We are also not requesting for –
>>> > nor
>>> > require – proof of your disability. We are, however, providing you
>>> > with
>>> > solutions for reasonable accommodation in response to your request,
>>> > and
>>> > ask
>>> > for your cooperation. See clarifying questions and instructions

>>> outlined
>>> > in our response to item 1.
>>> >
>>> > 3. I was trying to find when your office hours are, but that email is
>>> > buried and very tricky for me to find. I would appreciate if someone
>>> > could
>>> > chair the calendar of office hours with me.
>>> > The Office of the Clerk of the Board's virtual office hours are
>>> > currently on
>>> > a recurring basis every Monday 3pm-5pm and Friday 1pm-3pm.
>>> > Information
>>> > about office hours is updated and publicly available on the top banner
>>> > of
>>> > our website at www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org>>.
>>> >
>>> > Thanks,
>>> > __
>>> > Wilson L. Ng
>>> > Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >
>>> > San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> > 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> > San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >
>>> > Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> > Web: www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org/>>
>>> >
>>> > Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> > Order,
>>> > the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> > providing
>>> > complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >
>>> > Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service
>>> > Satisfaction form<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> > to
>>> > the
>>> > Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> > California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> > Ordinance.
>>> > Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> > public
>>> > are not required to provide personal identifying information when they
>>> > communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> > written or
>>> > oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> > Office
>>> > regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> > all
>>> > members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> > does
>>> > not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> > personal
>>> > information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> > information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> > and
>>> > its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in

>>> > other
>>> > public documents that members of the public may inspect or
>>> > copy.<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > From: Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com>
>>> > Sent: Friday, June 19, 2020 2:38 PM
>>> > To: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors,
>>> > (BOS)
>>> > <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org>; Bohn,
>>> > Nicole
>>> > (ADM) <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations
>>> > <bos-operations@sfgov.org>
>>> >;
>>> > Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen
>>> > (BOS)
>>> > <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
>>> > Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> > meeting
>>> > 6/16/20<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links
>>> > or
>>> > attachments from untrusted
>>> > sources.<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >
>>> > <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > Dear BOS,
>>> > I have not received a reply to my email from Tuesday. I request the
>>> > same
>>> > accommodations for future email communication and this coming Tuesday
>>> > meeting (6/23):
>>> >
>>> > 1. To be able to speak at an earlier item on the agenda (preferably,
>>> > which
>>> > is been granted in the past and would be very easy to avoid last
>>> > meeting's
>>> > confusion) OR be able to speak earlier in public comment
>>> >
>>> > 2. For a numbering system to be used to reply to these emails so I
>>> > can
>>> > follow along with what's being said and not have to injure myself
>>> > repeating
>>> > myself.
>>> >
>>> > Please let me know if these accommodations are being denied. In my
>>> > many
>>> > years as a disability advocate and wheelchair user I've never been
>>> > asked
>>> > for
>>> > proof of my disability before for basic no-cost accommodations like
>>> > this,
>>> > but if that is required, please let me know what kind of proof that
>>> > you
>>> > need
>>> > (doctor's letter, medical tests, etc.). In order to get a doctor's
>>> > letter,
>>> > I will need some additional time though as my doctors office is

>>> > flooded
>>> with
>>> > Covid-19 cases at the moment.
>>> >
>>> > 3. I was trying to find when your office hours are, but that email is
>>> > buried and very tricky for me to find. I would appreciate if someone
>>> could
>>> > chair the calendar of office hours with me.
>>> >
>>> > Thank you,
>>> >
>>> > – Zach Karnazes
>>> > Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> > <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >
>>> > *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> > accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> > disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> > My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> > and
>>> > functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> follow
>>> > up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> > My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> > your
>>> > response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> > To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond
>>> > including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >
>>> > *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> > attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> > confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> > protected
>>> > from disclosure.*<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >
>>> > <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > – Zach Karnazes
>>> > Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> > <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/><
>>> > <http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > Please note: While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> > accessibility
>>> > aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability needs.
>>> > Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> > My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> > and
>>> > functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> follow
>>> > up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> > <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> > your
>>> > response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is
>>> > confusing.<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond
>>> > including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >

>>> > CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> > attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> > confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> > protected
>>> from
>>> > disclosure.<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > On Tue, Jun 16, 2020 at 4:12 PM Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com>
>>> > wrote:<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> > Dear BOS and MOD and City attorney
>>> > last email said
>>> >>>As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing you
>>> >>> at
>>> >>> the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated
>>> >>> public
>>> >>> comment period. >>
>>> >
>>> > this has been denied, I am on the call and cannot speak and no one can
>>> > hear
>>> > me.
>>> >
>>> > my last four digits are 0021.
>>> >
>>> > is anyone reading this? i still cannot join.
>>> >
>>> > do you need a doctors letter to prvoe my disability? ive never een
>>> > asked this bedore.
>>> >
>>> > -ZachK.
>>> >
>>> > On 6/16/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org> wrote:
>>> >> Can you please provide us the phone number that you are calling from
>>> >> so
>>> >> that
>>> >> we may identify and prioritize you accordingly in the public comment
>>> >> queue?
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Also, please note my initial question to you so that we can ensure
>>> >> that
>>> >> we
>>> >> are seeking the appropriate accommodation for your various requests.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Please kindly advise us how your specific disability needs impact
>>> >> your
>>> >> ability to attend or access the publicly accessible information
>>> >> available
>>> >> under ADA, and we will be glad to provide assistance. As you may
>>> >> know,
>>> >> the
>>> >> ADA prohibits discrimination based on disability and ensures equal
>>> >> opportunity for access to public meetings. Disability is defined
>>> >> under
>>> >> the
>>> >> ADA, Title 42, Chapter 126, Sec.
>>> >> 12102<<http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>>, as, "(A) a

>>> >> physical
>>> >> or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life
>>> >> activities of such individual; (B) a record of such an impairment; or
>>> (C)
>>> >> being regarded as having such an impairment.”
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> __
>>> >> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>
>>> >> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> >> San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>
>>> >> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >> Web: www.sfbos.org<<http://www.sfbos.org/>>
>>> >>
>>> >> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> >> Order,
>>> >> the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> >> providing
>>> >> complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >>
>>> >> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>> >> Click here<<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>> to complete a
>>> Board
>>> >> of
>>> >> Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> >> to
>>> >> the
>>> >> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> >> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> >> Ordinance.
>>> >> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> >> public
>>> >> are not required to provide personal identifying information when
>>> >> they
>>> >> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> written
>>> >> or
>>> >> oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> >> Office
>>> >> regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> >> all
>>> >> members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> >> does
>>> >> not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> >> personal
>>> >> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> >> and
>>> >> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> >> other
>>> >> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >>
>>> >>

>>> >>

>>> >>

>>> >> -----Original Message-----

>>> >> From: Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com>

>>> >> Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 4:01 PM

>>> >> To: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors,

>>> (BOS)

>>> >> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org>; Bohn,

>>> >> Nicole

>>> >> (ADM) <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations

>>> >> <bos-operations@sfgov.org><<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>> >

>>>

>>>

>>> – Zach Karnazes

>>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist

>>> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> *Please note: * *While technology has improved a lot, computer

>>> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and

>>> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.

>>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels and

>>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to

>>> follow

>>> up with me if you don't get a reply.

>>>

>>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in your

>>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.

>>>

>>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond

>>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.

>>>

>>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any

>>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain

>>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected

>>> from disclosure.*

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> On Tue, Jun 23, 2020 at 5:38 PM Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>

>>> wrote:

>>>

>>> Dear Zach,

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> We are glad to have successfully accommodated you in queueing you up

>>> first

>>> for public comment today during the scheduled public comment period at

>>> the

>>> Board meeting.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> Going forward, please feel free to similarly contact our office at (415)

>>> 554-5184 in advance of the scheduled public comment period, and we will

>>> be
>>> glad to identify, transfer, and queue you up public comment.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Sincerely,
>>>
>>> * _ *
>>>
>>> *Wilson L. Ng*
>>>
>>> Deputy Director of Operations
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>>
>>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>>
>>> San Francisco, CA 94102
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>>
>>> Web: www.sfbos.org
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> *Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> providing complete access to the legislative process and our services. *
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Click *here* to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service
>>> Satisfaction form <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>>
>>> *Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to
>>> the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.
>>> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> public
>>> are not required to provide personal identifying information when they
>>> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> written
>>> or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available
>>> to
>>> all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and
>>> similar
>>> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> and
>>> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> other
>>> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>*

>>>

>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> *From: Ng, Wilson (BOS) Sent: Tuesday, June 23, 2020 12:10 PM To: Zach

>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com> Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations

>>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS)

>>> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

>>> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org>; Bohn, Nicole

>>> (ADM)

>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>; Kaplan, Deborah (ADM)

>>> <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>;

>>> Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS)

>>> <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT)

>>> <Anne.Pearson@sfcityattty.org>; Cityattorney

>>> <Cityattorney@sfcityattty.org>

>>> Subject: RE: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's meeting

>>> 6/23/20 <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>*

>>>

>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> Dear Zach, <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> See responses inline below. <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> * __ <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>*

>>>

>>> *Wilson L. Ng <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>*

>>>

>>> Deputy Director of Operations <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors

>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> San Francisco, CA 94102 <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> Phone: (415) 554-7725 <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> Web: *www.sfbos.org* <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>

>>>

>>> *Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place

>>> Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while

>>> providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>*
>>>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>>
>>> Click *here* to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service
>>> Satisfaction form <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>
>>>
>>> *Disclosures:* Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under
>>> the
>>> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.
>>> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> public
>>> are not required to provide personal identifying information when they
>>> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> written
>>> or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available
>>> to
>>> all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and
>>> similar
>>> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> and
>>> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> other
>>> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> *From:* Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>
>>> *Sent:* Tuesday, June 23, 2020 11:44 AM
>>> *To:* Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*>;
>>> Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*>; BOS-Operations
>>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org
>>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org>*>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
>>> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
>>> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>*>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
>>> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org
>>> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>*>; MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*>;
>>> Bohn, Nicole (ADM) <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>;
>>> Kaplan, Deborah (ADM) <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org
>>> <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>*>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org
>>> <john.tse@sfgov.org>*>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org
>>> <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>*>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT)
>>> <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org
>>> <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>*>; Cityattorney
>>> <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org
>>> <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>*>
>>> *Subject:* Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> meeting
>>> 6/23/20
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>> Dear BOS staff, MOD, and SF City Attorney:
>>> Thank you for the responses and accessibility help.
>>>
>>> *1. * Given the complexities of the board meeting to vote on a change to
>>> the agenda so close to the meeting, I request the accommodation to just
>>> be
>>> put in the beginning of public comment to avoid additional access
>>> barrier
>>> issues like last weeks meeting.
>>> Noted.
>>>
>>>
>>> *2. *As I expressed to Anglela Calvillo over the phone, what would help
>>> with my medication schedule, pain management, and other special needs
>>> is
>>> knowing a *time estimate* when I will be able to speak. Being at the
>>> beginnig of in the que for public comment would really help with this
>>> but
>>> I
>>> would really appreciate an estimate of time when I can call in.
>>>
>>> As per instructions provided yesterday, please call in at 1:45pm.
>>> Instructions restated below:
>>>
>>> *Please note that we will need a way to identify you as the caller in
>>> order to queue you up when you call in, so we ask for your cooperation
>>> and
>>> patience. *
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> *Here are the steps to be identified, queued, and prioritized for public
>>> comment [...], per your request for accommodation. *
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> 1. *Call in to the public comment line at 1:45pm so that our staff
>>> can
>>> identify you prior to the start of the meeting and prioritize your
>>> call. *
>>> 2. *You may call in via any one of these options: *
>>>
>>>
>>> - *Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial access
>>> code "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad*
>>> - *Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to
>>> bypass
>>> having to dial the number and access code*
>>>
>>>
>>> - *Link: **Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013 # #**
>>>
>>>
>>> - *Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184, and our
>>> staff will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag
>>> your

>>> call.*
>>>
>>>
>>> 1. *Once you are in the queue, you will be prioritized and placed on
>>> hold until the designated public comment period for you to speak.
>>> You
>>> may
>>> continue to listen to the meeting while you are queued from your
>>> phone,
>>> or
>>> you can watch and follow the Board's proceedings on* *www.sfgovtv.org
>>> <<http://www.sfgovtv.org>>* *until the designated public comment
>>> period.
>>> *
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> *3. * I will use the call in number to patch through of 415-554-5184
>>>
>>> Noted.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> *4. * I request a reasonable accommodation that somebody be available by
>>> email in case there are access difficulties like last week I cannot get
>>> through. If I'm calling in, I can't call two numbers at the same time.
>>>
>>> You may email me at *Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org <Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>*
>>> during
>>> the meeting.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> *5.* There is still no meeting accessibility information for disabled
>>> people on
>>>
>>> *<https://sfbos.org/events/calendar/upcoming>
>>> <<https://sfbos.org/events/calendar/upcoming>>*
>>>
>>> or
>>> *<https://sfbos.org/meetings/42> <<https://sfbos.org/meetings/42>>*
>>>
>>> I would appreciate this to be fixed ASAP so that disabled people know
>>> that
>>> these accommodations are even available.
>>>
>>> There are still so many ADA access problems that I'm hoping will be
>>> addressed in the near future.
>>>
>>> ADA accessibility information is noted on every published Board and
>>> Committee agenda. Please click on the agendas contained in the links
>>> you
>>> provided.
>>>
>>> See page 3 of this week's agenda:
>>> *https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/bag062320_agenda.pdf
>>> <https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/bag062320_agenda.pdf>*
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>> *6. * I would appreciate some clarity on whether or not Wilson Ng is the
>>> ADA accessibility coordinator for this office.
>>>
>>> Mr. Ng does not appear to have training or much sensitivity around ADA
>>> special needs and I request to speak with the ADA coordinator that has
>>> training and sensitivity around special needs.
>>>
>>> Per my communication to you on 6/22/20, our office has confirmed that
>>> the
>>> Department ADA coordinator for the Board of Supervisors is Wilson Ng,
>>> *Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org
>>> <Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>*.
>>>
>>> You may alternatively contact the Mayor's Office of Disability at
>>> 415-554-6789 or *MOD@sfgov.org <MOD@sfgov.org>* if you require
>>> additional
>>> assistance.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Thank you for your time.
>>>
>>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> *<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> <<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>>*
>>>
>>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels and
>>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> follow
>>> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in your
>>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond
>>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>>
>>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected
>>> from disclosure.*
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> On 6/22/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS) <*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*> wrote:
>>> > Dear Zach,
>>> >
>>> > See responses inline below.
>>> >
>>> > __

>>> > Wilson L. Ng
>>> > Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >
>>> > San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> > 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> > San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >
>>> > Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> > Web: *www.sfbos.org <<http://www.sfbos.org>>* <*<http://www.sfbos.org/>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/>>*>
>>> >
>>> > Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> Order,
>>> > the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> > providing
>>> > complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >
>>> > [CustomerSatisfactionIcon] <*<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>*>
>>> > Click here <*<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>*> to complete a Board of
>>> > Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> > Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> > to
>>> > the
>>> > Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> > California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> > Ordinance.
>>> > Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> public
>>> > are not required to provide personal identifying information when they
>>> > communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> written or
>>> > oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> Office
>>> > regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> > all
>>> > members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> > does
>>> > not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> personal
>>> > information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> > information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> > and
>>> > its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> > other
>>> > public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >
>>> > From: Zach <*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>* <mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>>
>>> > Sent: Monday, June 22, 2020 4:08 PM
>>> > To: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*
>>> <mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*>>;
>>> > Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
>>> > <*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*
>>> <mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*

>>> >>;
>>> > BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org <bos-operations@sfgov.org>*
>>> <mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org <bos-operations@sfgov.org>*>>;
>>> > Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
>>> > <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
>>> > <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>*<mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
>>> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>*>>; Mchugh,
>>> > Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>*
>>> <mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>*>>;
>>> > MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org <mod@sfgov.org>*<mailto:mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*>>; Bohn, Nicole (ADM)
>>> > <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> > <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*<mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>>; Kaplan, Deborah (ADM)
>>> > <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org
>>> > <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>*<mailto:deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org
>>> <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>*>>; Tse, John (BOS)
>>> > <john.tse@sfgov.org <john.tse@sfgov.org>*<mailto:john.tse@sfgov.org
>>> <john.tse@sfgov.org>*>>; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
>>> > <alisa.somera@sfgov.org
>>> > <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>*<mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org
>>> <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>*>>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT)
>>> > <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org
>>> > <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>*<mailto:Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org
>>> <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>*>>;
>>> > Cityattorney
>>> > <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org
>>> > <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>*<mailto:Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org
>>> <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>*>>
>>> > Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> > meeting
>>> > 6/23/20
>>> >
>>> > Dear BOS, MOD, and City Attorney,
>>> > Thank you for granting the accommodation regarding the numbering
>>> > system.
>>> > This is a great help.
>>> >
>>> > 1. Regarding the other accommodation (#1 from previous email). For
>>> > tomorrow, I request to speak earlier on the agenda than item #23 to
>>> > avoid
>>> > the confusion and technical difficulties that happened last week. I
>>> > had
>>> > a
>>> > long phone call with Angela Calvillo, explained the embarrassing
>>> > details
>>> > of
>>> > my disability so that I can be granted this accommodation which was
>>> > previously granted to me. My disabilities have not changed since the
>>> > last
>>> > time it was granted to me.).
>>> > To confirm, we can accommodate you speaking earlier in the public
>>> > comment
>>> > queue during the regularly scheduled public comment period. However,
>>> > as
>>> > per
>>> > my inquiry to the Clerk of the Board, speaking at an earlier item on
>>> > the

>>> > agenda will require the Board's unanimous consent on a motion to
>>> > provide
>>> > privilege of the floor outside of regular public comment; this is to
>>> > be
>>> > determined tomorrow during the meeting. Note that if you are
>>> > provided
>>> > privilege of the floor to speak, you will not be eligible to speak
>>> > during
>>> > the regularly scheduled public comment period.
>>> >
>>> > This is also to confirm that our staff will prioritize you accordingly
>>> > in
>>> > the public comment queue – whether it be during general public comment
>>> > or at
>>> > an earlier agenda item – contingent that you follow the steps that we
>>> > have
>>> > outlined so that we can have a way to identify you as the caller and
>>> > queue
>>> > you up when you call in.
>>> > As per my earlier communication today,
>>> > Here are the steps to be identified, queued, and prioritized for
>>> > public
>>> > comment tomorrow, per your request for accommodation.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > 1. Call in to the public comment line at 1:45pm so that our staff
>>> > can
>>> > identify you prior to the start of the meeting and prioritize your
>>> > call.
>>> > 2. You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >
>>> > * Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial
>>> > access
>>> > code "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>> > * Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to
>>> > bypass
>>> > having to dial the number and access code
>>> >
>>> > * Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013 #
>>> > #<tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,*>
>>> >
>>> > * Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184,
>>> > and
>>> > our
>>> > staff will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your
>>> > call.
>>> >
>>> > 1. Once you are in the queue, you will be prioritized and placed on
>>> > hold
>>> > until the designated public comment period for you to speak. You may
>>> > continue to listen to the meeting while you are queued from your
>>> > phone,
>>> > or
>>> > you can watch and follow the Board's proceedings on
>>> > *www.sfgovtv.org <<http://www.sfgovtv.org>>* <<http://www.sfgovtv.org>
>>> > <<http://www.sfgovtv.org>>* until the designated public comment
>>> > period.
>>> >

>>> > 2. Please let me know what agenda item you could include me on.
>>> > See response to item 1 above.
>>> > 3. I also appreciate the option to call in and patch through without a
>>> > pass
>>> > code. This will help me a lot personally, and I hope that you can
>>> > advertise
>>> > this to other disabled and nondisabled members of the public that are
>>> > struggling to use the extremely inaccessible 10-digit dial-in system.
>>> > Noted.
>>> >
>>> > 4. I spent 1.5 hours on the phone with Angela Calvillo On Friday
>>> > afternoon
>>> > and provided exhaustive detail to all the accessibility problems with
>>> > the
>>> > current call-in system and meeting structure. I'm hopeful that they
>>> > are
>>> > being looked into and would appreciate updates as they become
>>> > available.
>>> > Noted. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, extends her availability
>>> > if
>>> > you
>>> > would like to discuss further.
>>> >
>>> > 5. I politely request again a numbered response to my email from Jun
>>> > 15,
>>> > 2020 at 2:53 PM. I went to great lengths to write that email with
>>> > accessible technologies and I would really appreciate a response using
>>> > the
>>> > numbering system provided as an accommodation. It is copied below
>>> > again
>>> > or
>>> > your convenience
>>> > See responses inline below.
>>> >
>>> > sincerely,
>>> > -Zach K.
>>> > -----
>>> >
>>> > Dear Board of Supervisors, SF City Attorney, Mayor's Office on
>>> > Disability,
>>> > and Mayor's Disability Council,
>>> >
>>> > I'm very concerned that this accessibility problem and ADA access
>>> > barrier
>>> > is not being taken seriously.
>>> > Mayors Office on Disability:
>>> > Please file this issue as a disability grievance and send a copy to
>>> > the
>>> > Mayor's Disability Council.
>>> >
>>> > 1. While appreciate the offer of assistance, this access barrier is
>>> > not
>>> > a
>>> > problem with my ability to work a phone or knowledge of how to access
>>> > the
>>> > meeting. This is an added unnecessary access barrier, which causes
>>> > many
>>> > issues for many people other than myself (disabled and non-disabled).

>>> There
>>> > is also no voice input command option.
>>> > Per my communication to you on 6/16/20,
>>> >
>>> > In response to your questions regarding the necessity of an access
>>> > code/passcode, please note that this is in fact necessary for
>>> > telephonic
>>> > participation – it is synonymous to a phone extension or meeting room
>>> > number, which ensures connection to the correct meeting; it is
>>> > imperative
>>> > that an access code/passcode be provided as a unique identifier to
>>> > differentiate amongst a potentially limitless number of meetings
>>> > hosted
>>> > by
>>> > the product vendor. Without an access code/passcode, no alternative
>>> > mechanism exists to permit setting up a live meeting for members of
>>> > the
>>> > public to participate telephonically. The access code/passcode
>>> > length
>>> > is
>>> > also not a variable within our control, as this is a system-generated
>>> > requirement to host live meetings.
>>> >
>>> > Accommodations provided per my earlier communication to you today,
>>> >
>>> > [...] You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >
>>> > o Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial access
>>> > code
>>> > “145 198 2013 # #” on your keypad
>>> >
>>> > o Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to bypass
>>> > having
>>> > to dial the number and access code
>>> >
>>> > § Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013 #
>>> > #<*tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,#*>
>>> >
>>> > o Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184, and our
>>> > staff
>>> > will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your call.
>>> >
>>> > 2. I have not received any explanation for why a passcode is being
>>> > required
>>> > for public meetings. I see no reason for it, since everyone is allowed
>>> > to
>>> > attend. What security does this help with when anyone can call in? I
>>> > would
>>> > appreciate an explanation of why this access barrier is being put in
>>> > place,
>>> > changing every meeting, and not being removed.
>>> > See response to item 1 above.
>>> >
>>> > 3. The telephone system that processes the passcode has a very short
>>> > input
>>> > time and will hang up on the caller if we (disabled people especially)
>>> > don't
>>> > input the passcode quickly enough. This is extremely unfair to those

>>> > of
>>> us
>>> > trying to attend public meetings.
>>> > Per my communication to you on 6/16/20,
>>> >
>>> > [...] we can manually create a hyperlink with the dial-In number that
>>> > you
>>> can
>>> > click on via a smart phone, which would automatically dial the number
>>> > and
>>> > enter the access code for you. Note that you will still need to dial
>>> > *
>>> 3 to
>>> > raise your hand.
>>> >
>>> > Accommodations provided per my earlier communication to you today,
>>> >
>>> > [...]You may call in via any one of these options:
>>> >
>>> > o Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial access
>>> > code
>>> > "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad
>>> >
>>> > o Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to bypass
>>> having
>>> > to dial the number and access code
>>> >
>>> > § Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013 #
>>> > #<*tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,#*>
>>> >
>>> > o Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184, and our
>>> staff
>>> > will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your call.
>>> >
>>> > 4. The voice prompt system is confusing, and does not clearly tell
>>> people
>>> > when they will be given a chance to speak and what the instructions
>>> > are
>>> > (thus all the confusion with "can I speak yet" and "silent" public
>>> comments
>>> > happening at meetings). It also does not say that pressing "*3" or
>>> > "#0"
>>> > again will remove your place in line, which is really unfair to people
>>> that
>>> > have waited an hour or more to leave public comment. These are some of
>>> the
>>> > many problems with this system and these are serious access barriers.
>>> > Noted. That feature for custom voice prompts is currently not
>>> > available
>>> in
>>> > the system, but we will be glad to pass your feedback along to the
>>> > City's
>>> > Department of Technology, who provisions enterprise technology
>>> > solutions
>>> for
>>> > departments. The Mayor's Office on Disability is also working with
>>> > the
>>> > Department of Technology on general Citywide policy guidance.

>>> > 5. Section 8.2000 of Title II of the American with Disabilities Act
>>> states:
>>> > A public entity must review its policies and practices to determine
>>> whether
>>> > any exclude or limit the participation of individuals with
>>> > disabilities
>>> in
>>> > its programs, activities, or services. Such policies or practices must
>>> > be
>>> > modified, unless they are necessary for the operation or provision of
>>> > the
>>> > program, service, or activity. The self-evaluation should identify
>>> > policy
>>> > modifications to be implemented and include complete justifications
>>> > for
>>> > any
>>> > exclusionary or limiting policies or practices that will not be
>>> > modified.
>>> > 3) A public entity should review its policies to ensure that it
>>> communicates
>>> > with applicants, participants, and members of the public with
>>> disabilities
>>> > in a manner that is as effective as its communications with others...
>>> >
>>> > 5a. This unnecessary passcode system and accompanying difficulties in
>>> > leaving public comment is excluding the disabled from our right to
>>> > participate in local government. It is clearly in violation of the
>>> American
>>> > with Disabilities Act.
>>> > The public comment access code is integral to and necessary for the
>>> > operation of the program (Microsoft Teams), and does not violate the
>>> > American with Disabilities Act.
>>> >
>>> > Per my communication to you on 6/16/20,
>>> > In response to your questions regarding the necessity of an access
>>> > code/passcode, please note that this is in fact necessary for
>>> > telephonic
>>> > participation – it is synonymous to a phone extension or meeting room
>>> > number, which ensures connection to the correct meeting; it is
>>> > imperative
>>> > that an access code/passcode be provided as a unique identifier to
>>> > differentiate amongst a potentially limitless number of meetings
>>> > hosted
>>> by
>>> > the product vendor. Without an access code/passcode, no alternative
>>> > mechanism exists to permit setting up a live meeting for members of
>>> > the
>>> > public to participate telephonically. The access code/passcode
>>> > length
>>> is
>>> > also not a variable within our control, as this is a system-generated
>>> > requirement to host live meetings.
>>> >
>>> > We also provided several alternatives for you to bypass having to dial
>>> the
>>> > number and access code in response to your request for accommodation,
>>> per my
>>> > communication to you on 6/16/20 and today. See response to item 3

>>> > above.
>>> >
>>> > 5b. If your office is unwilling to remove the passcode, would you at
>>> least
>>> > explore the options of making it a short three digit passcode with a
>>> > much
>>> > longer time to input it and with a voice input option?
>>> > See email response provided to you today, 6/22/20, restated in item 3
>>> > above.
>>> >
>>> > 6. I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors, MOD, and the City
>>> Attorney
>>> > to work cooperatively to address this very serious issue, not because
>>> > of
>>> > any
>>> > law, but because of the meaning behind the law which is for humanity,
>>> > compassion, and inclusion of people with disabilities.
>>> > Noted.
>>> >
>>> > 7. I would like to speak and leave a public comment during tomorrow's
>>> Board
>>> > of Supervisors meeting. I respectfully request the disability
>>> accommodation
>>> > to leave comment at the beginning of the public comment period
>>> > tomorrow.
>>> > Please let me know if this request will be accepted or denied.
>>> > See response to item 1 of the first section above.
>>> >
>>> > 8. I respectfully request the:
>>> > a) name and contact email information of the Board of Supervisors ADA
>>> > coordinator
>>> > b) a reply and signed resolution from the ADA coordinator for the
>>> > Board
>>> > of
>>> > Supervisors for the concerns raised in this email
>>> > c) that the ADA coordinator for the Board of Supervisors name and
>>> > contact
>>> > information be provided and made publicly available for all meeting
>>> notices
>>> > (especially at the top of the
>>> > agenda<*[https://www.google.com/url?
q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeeting%2Fagenda%2F2020%2Fbag061920_agenda&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNESp4LemNTP-_04OwHOX1H1jxlenA](https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeeting%2Fagenda%2F2020%2Fbag061920_agenda&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNESp4LemNTP-_04OwHOX1H1jxlenA)
>>> <[https://www.google.com/url?
q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeeting%2Fagenda%2F2020%2Fbag061920_agenda&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNESp4LemNTP-_04OwHOX1H1jxlenA](https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeeting%2Fagenda%2F2020%2Fbag061920_agenda&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNESp4LemNTP-_04OwHOX1H1jxlenA)>*)
>>> >)
>>> > and published on your meeting
>>> > page<*[https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeetings%2F42&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNGyhV-
IBQvt7_TqDvZpi0VnWQuZ7Q](https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeetings%2F42&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNGyhV-IBQvt7_TqDvZpi0VnWQuZ7Q)
>>> <[https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeetings%2F42&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNGyhV-
IBQvt7_TqDvZpi0VnWQuZ7Q](https://www.google.com/url?q=https%3A%2F%2Fsfbos.org%2Fmeetings%2F42&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNGyhV-IBQvt7_TqDvZpi0VnWQuZ7Q)>*)
>>> >.
>>> > d) that the ADA coordinator for the Board of Supervisors have a
>>> > staffed
>>> > and
>>> > publicly noticed phone and email committed to helping people with
>>> > disabilities access public meetings, with a response time within a

>>> minimum
>>> > of 72 hours for ADA access issues.
>>> > e) If the Board of Supervisors does not have an ADA coordinator, than
>>> > I
>>> > request the same information above be provided for the next best city
>>> > representative for helping with ADA grievances and access barriers.
>>> > By reply to this item, the Department ADA coordinator for the Board of
>>> > Supervisors is Wilson Ng,
>>> > *Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org
>>> > <Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org
>>> > <Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>*>. The ADA coordinator
>>> > information is contained and published on every Board and Committee
>>> > agenda<*<https://sfbos.org/events/calendar/upcoming>
>>> > <<https://sfbos.org/events/calendar/upcoming>>*>. It is also available
>>> > here<*<https://sfbos.org/ada-services-english>
>>> > <<https://sfbos.org/ada-services-english>>*>. Restated below. The
>>> Mayor's
>>> > Office on Disability is the respective agency and contact for
>>> > grievances.
>>> >
>>> > Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)
>>> >
>>> > The ADA is a civil rights law that protects people with different
>>> > types
>>> > of
>>> > disabilities from discrimination in all aspects of social life. More
>>> > specifically, Title II of the ADA requires that all programs offered
>>> > through
>>> > the state and local government such as the City and County of San
>>> > Francisco
>>> > must be accessible and usable to people with disabilities. The ADA and
>>> > City
>>> > policy require that people with disabilities have equal access to all
>>> > City
>>> > services, activities, and benefits. People with disabilities must have
>>> > an
>>> > equal opportunity to participate in the programs and services offered
>>> > through the City and County of San Francisco. If you believe your
>>> > rights
>>> > under the ADA are violated, contact the ADA Coordinator.
>>> >
>>> > Ordinance 90-10 added Section 2A.22.3 to the Administrative Code,
>>> > which
>>> > adopted a Citywide Americans with Disabilities Act Reasonable
>>> > Modification
>>> > Policy that requires City departments to: (1) provide notice to the
>>> > public
>>> > of the right to request reasonable modification; (2) respond promptly
>>> > to
>>> > such requests; (3) provide appropriate auxiliary aids and services to
>>> > people
>>> > with disabilities to ensure effective communication; and (4) train
>>> > staff
>>> > to
>>> > respond to requests from the public for reasonable modification, and
>>> > that
>>> > requires the Mayor's Office on Disability to provide technical
>>> > assistance to

>>> > City departments responding to requests from the public for reasonable
>>> > modifications.
>>> >
>>> > The Board of Supervisors and Office of the Clerk of the Board support
>>> > the
>>> > Mayor's Office on Disability to help make San Francisco a city where
>>> > all
>>> > people enjoy equal rights, equal opportunity, and freedom from illegal
>>> > discrimination under disability rights laws.
>>> >
>>> > Meetings are real-time captioned and are cablecast open-captioned on
>>> > SFGovTV, the Government Channel 26. Board and Committee meeting
>>> > agendas
>>> > and
>>> > minutes are available on the Board's website
>>> > ([*www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org) <<http://www.sfbos.org>>* <<http://www.sfbos.org>
>>> > <<http://www.sfbos.org>>*) and adhere to web development
>>> > guidelines based upon the Federal Access Board's Section 508
>>> > Guidelines.
>>> > To
>>> > request sign language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or
>>> > other
>>> > accommodations, please contact (415) 554-5184 or (415) 554-5227 (TTY).
>>> > Requests made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting will help to
>>> > ensure availability. If further assistance is needed, please contact
>>> > Wilson
>>> > Ng, ADA Coordinator, at
>>> > [*Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org](mailto:Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org)
>>> > <Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>* <<mailto:Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>
>>> > <Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org>* >.
>>> >
>>> > 9. I respectfully request disability accommodation that response this
>>> > email
>>> > use the numbering system provided.
>>> > Response provided inline.
>>> >
>>> > Thank you so much for your time and attention towards creating a more
>>> > accessible way for people to engage with our public officials.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > On 6/22/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> > <[*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org](mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org)
>>> > <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>* <<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>
>>> > <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>* >> wrote:
>>> >> Dear Zach,
>>> >>
>>> >> Please see my responses inline below on behalf of the Office of the
>>> >> Clerk
>>> >> of
>>> >> the Board.
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. To be able to speak at an earlier item on the agenda (preferably,
>>> >> which
>>> >> is been granted in the past and would be very easy to avoid last
>>> >> meeting's
>>> >> confusion) OR be able to speak earlier in public comment
>>> >> Please kindly confirm which accommodation it is that you are
>>> >> requesting.

>>> >> Pending your response, we can accommodate you speaking earlier in the
>>> >> public
>>> >> comment queue during the regularly scheduled public comment period at
>>> >> tomorrow's Board meeting (6/23/20).

>>> >>

>>> >> Please note that we will need a way to identify you as the caller in
>>> >> order
>>> >> to queue you up when you call in, so we ask for your cooperation and
>>> >> patience.

>>> >>

>>> >> Here are the steps to be identified, queued, and prioritized for
>>> >> public
>>> >> comment tomorrow, per your request for accommodation.

>>> >>

>>> >>

>>> >> 1. Call in to the public comment line at 1:45pm so that our staff
>>> >> can
>>> >> identify you prior to the start of the meeting and prioritize your
>>> >> call.

>>> >> 2. You may call in via any one of these options:

>>> >> * Option 1: From a phone, dial 1 (415) 655-0001, then dial
>>> >> access

>>> >> code "145 198 2013 # #" on your keypad

>>> >> * Option 2: From a smart phone, click the following link to
>>> >> bypass

>>> >> having to dial the number and access code

>>> >> * Link: Dial-In: +1 415-655-0001 / MeetingID: 145 198 2013
>>> >> #

>>> >> #<*tel:+1%20415-655-0001,,1451982013#,,#*>

>>> >> * Option 3: From a phone, call our office at (415) 554-5184,
>>> >> and

>>> >> our

>>> >> staff will transfer you in to the public comment queue and flag your
>>> >> call.

>>> >> 3. Once you are in the queue, you will be prioritized and placed
>>> >> on

>>> >> hold

>>> >> until the designated public comment period for you to speak. You

>>> >> may

>>> >> continue to listen to the meeting while you are queued from your

>>> >> phone,

>>> >> or

>>> >> you can watch and follow the Board's proceedings on

>>> >> *www.sfgovtv.org <<http://www.sfgovtv.org>>*<*<http://www.sfgovtv.org>

>>> >> <<http://www.sfgovtv.org>>*><*<http://www.sfgovtv.org>

>>> >> <<http://www.sfgovtv.org>>*> until the

>>> >> designated public comment

>>> >> period.

>>> >>

>>> >> 2. For a numbering system to be used to reply to these emails so I
>>> >> can

>>> >> follow along with what's being said and not have to injure myself

>>> >> repeating

>>> >> myself.

>>> >> Responses provided inline as requested.

>>> >>

>>> >> Please let me know if these accommodations are being denied. In my

>>> >> many

>>> >> years as a disability advocate and wheelchair user I've never been
>>> >> asked
>>> >> for
>>> >> proof of my disability before for basic no-cost accommodations like
>>> >> this,
>>> >> but if that is required, please let me know what kind of proof that
>>> >> you
>>> >> need
>>> >> (doctor's letter, medical tests, etc.). In order to get a doctor's
>>> >> letter,
>>> >> I will need some additional time though as my doctors office is
>>> >> flooded
>>> >> with
>>> >> Covid-19 cases at the moment.
>>> >> Your request is not being denied. We are also not requesting for –
>>> >> nor
>>> >> require – proof of your disability. We are, however, providing you
>>> >> with
>>> >> solutions for reasonable accommodation in response to your request,
>>> >> and
>>> >> ask
>>> >> for your cooperation. See clarifying questions and instructions
>>> >> outlined
>>> >> in our response to item 1.
>>> >>
>>> >> 3. I was trying to find when your office hours are, but that email
>>> >> is
>>> >> buried and very tricky for me to find. I would appreciate if someone
>>> >> could
>>> >> chair the calendar of office hours with me.
>>> >> The Office of the Clerk of the Board's virtual office hours are
>>> >> currently
>>> >> on
>>> >> a recurring basis every Monday 3pm-5pm and Friday 1pm-3pm.
>>> >> Information
>>> >> about office hours is updated and publicly available on the top
>>> >> banner
>>> >> of
>>> >> our website at [*www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)
>>> >> [*http://www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org) <<http://www.sfbos.org>
>>> >> [*http://www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)>*<<http://www.sfbos.org><<http://www.sfbos.org>>*>.
>>> >>
>>> >> Thanks,
>>> >> __
>>> >> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>
>>> >> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> >> San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>
>>> >> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >> Web: [*www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org) <<http://www.sfbos.org>>*<<http://www.sfbos.org>
>>> >> [*http://www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)>*<<http://www.sfbos.org/>
>>> >> <http://www.sfbos.org/>>*>
>>> >>
>>> >> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place
>>> >> Order,

>>> >> the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> >> providing
>>> >> complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >>
>>> >> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<*<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>
>>> >> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>*>
>>> >> Click here<*<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>
>>> >> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>*> to complete a Board
>>> >> of
>>> >> Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> >> to
>>> >> the
>>> >> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> >> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> >> Ordinance.
>>> >> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> >> public
>>> >> are not required to provide personal identifying information when
>>> >> they
>>> >> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> >> written
>>> >> or
>>> >> oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> >> Office
>>> >> regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> >> all
>>> >> members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> >> does
>>> >> not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> >> personal
>>> >> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board
>>> >> and
>>> >> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> >> other
>>> >> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >>
>>> >> From: Zach
>>> >> <*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*><mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>
>>> >> <mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>>>
>>> >> Sent: Friday, June 19, 2020 2:38 PM
>>> >> To: Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >> <*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*>>>;
>>> >> Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
>>> >> <*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*>
>>> >> <mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> >> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*>
>>> >> <mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> >> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*>
>>> >> <mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*
>>> >>;
>>> >> MOD, (ADM)
>>> >> <[*mod@sfgov.org](mailto:mod@sfgov.org) <mod@sfgov.org>*<[mailto:*mod@sfgov.org](mailto:mod@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <mod@sfgov.org>*
>>> >> <[mailto:*mod@sfgov.org](mailto:mod@sfgov.org) <mod@sfgov.org>*<[mailto:*mod@sfgov.org](mailto:mod@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <mod@sfgov.org>*>>>;
>>> >> Bohn, Nicole (ADM)
>>> >> <[*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org](mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*<[mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org](mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*><[mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org](mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*<[mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org](mailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>>>;
>>> >> BOS-Operations
>>> >> <[*bos-operations@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <bos-operations@sfgov.org>*<[mailto:*bos-operations@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <bos-operations@sfgov.org>*><[mailto:*bos-operations@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <bos-operations@sfgov.org>*<[mailto:*bos-operations@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <bos-operations@sfgov.org>*>>>;
>>> >> Calvillo,
>>> >> Angela (BOS)
>>> >> <[*angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>*<[mailto:*angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>*><[mailto:*angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>*<[mailto:*angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>*>>>;
>>> >> Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
>>> >> <[*eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>*<[mailto:*eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>*><[mailto:*eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>*<[mailto:*eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)
>>> >> <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>*>>>
>>> >> Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> >> meeting
>>> >> 6/16/20
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links
>>> >> or
>>> >> attachments from untrusted sources.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Dear BOS,
>>> >> I have not received a reply to my email from Tuesday. I request
>>> >> the
>>> >> same
>>> >> accommodations for future email communication and this coming Tuesday
>>> >> meeting (6/23):
>>> >>
>>> >> 1. To be able to speak at an earlier item on the agenda (preferably,
>>> >> which
>>> >> is been granted in the past and would be very easy to avoid last
>>> >> meeting's
>>> >> confusion) OR be able to speak earlier in public comment
>>> >>
>>> >> 2. For a numbering system to be used to reply to these emails so I
>>> >> can
>>> >> follow along with what's being said and not have to injure myself

>>> >> repeating
>>> >> myself.
>>> >>
>>> >> Please let me know if these accommodations are being denied. In my
>>> >> many
>>> >> years as a disability advocate and wheelchair user I've never been
>>> >> asked
>>> >> for
>>> >> proof of my disability before for basic no-cost accommodations like
>>> >> this,
>>> >> but if that is required, please let me know what kind of proof that
>>> >> you
>>> >> need
>>> >> (doctor's letter, medical tests, etc.). In order to get a doctor's
>>> >> letter,
>>> >> I will need some additional time though as my doctors office is
>>> >> flooded
>>> >> with
>>> >> Covid-19 cases at the moment.
>>> >>
>>> >> 3. I was trying to find when your office hours are, but that email
>>> >> is
>>> >> buried and very tricky for me to find. I would appreciate if someone
>>> >> could
>>> >> chair the calendar of office hours with me.
>>> >>
>>> >> Thank you,
>>> >>
>>> >> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >> *<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >> <<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>>*
>>> >>
>>> >> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
>>> >> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> >> and
>>> >> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> >> follow
>>> >> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >> your
>>> >> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> >> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >> respond
>>> >> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>
>>> >> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> >> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >> protected
>>> >> from disclosure.*
>>> >>
>>> >> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >> *<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> >> <<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>>*

>>> >>
>>> >> Please note: While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> accessibility
>>> >> aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability
>>> >> needs.
>>> >> Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> >> and
>>> >> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> >> follow
>>> >> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >> your
>>> >> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> >> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >> respond
>>> >> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>
>>> >> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> >> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >> protected
>>> >> from
>>> >> disclosure.
>>> >>
>>> >> On Tue, Jun 16, 2020 at 4:12 PM Zach
>>> >> <[*zkarnazes@gmail.com](mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com)>
>>> >> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*<<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>
>>> >> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*><<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>>
>>> >> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*
>>> >> <<mailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>>>
>>> >> wrote:
>>> >> Dear BOS and MOD and City attorney
>>> >> last email said
>>> >>>>As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing you
>>> >>>> at
>>> >>>> the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated
>>> >>>> public
>>> >>>> comment period. >>
>>> >>
>>> >> this has been denied, I am on the call and cannot speak and no one
>>> >> can
>>> >> hear
>>> >> me.
>>> >>
>>> >> my last four digits are 0021.
>>> >>
>>> >> is anyone reading this? i still cannot join.
>>> >>
>>> >> do you need a doctors letter to prvoe my disability? ive never een
>>> >> asked this bedore.
>>> >>
>>> >> -ZachK.
>>> >>
>>> >> On 6/16/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >> <[*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org](mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org)>
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*<<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>
>>> >> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*><<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>>

>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*<<mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*>>>
>>> >> wrote:
>>> >>> Can you please provide us the phone number that you are calling from
>>> >>> so
>>> >>> that
>>> >>> we may identify and prioritize you accordingly in the public comment
>>> >>> queue?
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Also, please note my initial question to you so that we can ensure
>>> >>> that
>>> >>> we
>>> >>> are seeking the appropriate accommodation for your various requests.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Please kindly advise us how your specific disability needs impact
>>> >>> your
>>> >>> ability to attend or access the publicly accessible information
>>> >>> available
>>> >>> under ADA, and we will be glad to provide assistance. As you may
>>> >>> know,
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> ADA prohibits discrimination based on disability and ensures equal
>>> >>> opportunity for access to public meetings. Disability is defined
>>> >>> under
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> ADA, Title 42, Chapter 126, Sec.
>>> >>> 12102<*<http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>
>>> <<http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>>*>, as, "(A) a
>>> >>> physical
>>> >>> or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major
>>> >>> life
>>> >>> activities of such individual; (B) a record of such an impairment;
>>> >>> or
>>> >>> (C)
>>> >>> being regarded as having such an impairment."
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> ___
>>> >>> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >>> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>>
>>> >>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
>>> >>> San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >>> Web:
>>> >>> *www.sfbos.org <<http://www.sfbos.org>>*<*<http://www.sfbos.org>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org>>*><*<http://www.sfbos.org>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org>>*><*<http://www.sfbos.org/>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/>>*>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in
>>> >>> Place
>>> >>> Order,

>>> >>> the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while
>>> providing
>>> >>> complete access to the legislative process and our services.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<*<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>*>
>>> >>> Click here<*<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>*> to complete a Board
>>> >>> of
>>> >>> Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form
>>> >>> Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications
>>> >>> to
>>> >>> the
>>> >>> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the
>>> >>> California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine
>>> >>> Ordinance.
>>> >>> Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the
>>> >>> public
>>> >>> are not required to provide personal identifying information when
>>> >>> they
>>> >>> communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All
>>> >>> written
>>> >>> or
>>> >>> oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's
>>> >>> Office
>>> >>> regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to
>>> >>> all
>>> >>> members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office
>>> >>> does
>>> >>> not redact any information from these submissions. This means that
>>> >>> personal
>>> >>> information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar
>>> >>> information that a member of the public elects to submit to the
>>> >>> Board
>>> >>> and
>>> >>> its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in
>>> >>> other
>>> >>> public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> -----Original Message-----
>>> >>> From: Zach
>>> >>> <*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*><mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>
>>> >>> <mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>>>
>>> >>> Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 4:01 PM
>>> >>> To: Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >>> <*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> >>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> >>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> >>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*>>>;
>>> >>> Board of
>>> >>> Supervisors, (BOS)

>>> >>> <*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*>
>>> <mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*>
>>> ><mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
>>> > <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*>
>>> <mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*>
>>> >>>;
>>> >>> MOD, (ADM)
>>> >>> <*mod@sfgov.org <mod@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*mod@sfgov.org>
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*mod@sfgov.org>
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*mod@sfgov.org>
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*>>>;
>>> >>> Bohn, Nicole
>>> >>> (ADM)
>>> >>> <*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>
>>> >>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>>>;
>>> >>> BOS-Operations
>>> >>> <*bos-operations@sfgov.org>
>>> >>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*bos-operations@sfgov.org>
>>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*bos-operations@sfgov.org>
>>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*bos-operations@sfgov.org>
>>> <bos-operations@sfgov.org>*>>>
>>> >>> Subject: Re: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> >>> meeting
>>> >>> 6/16/20
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open
>>> >>> links
>>> or
>>> >>> attachments from untrusted sources.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> last email said
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing
>>> >>>>> you
>>> >>> at
>>> >>>>> the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated
>>> >>> public
>>> >>>>> comment period. >>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> is this denied now?
>>> >>>

>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> – Zach Karnazes
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
>>> >>> *<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>>> <<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>>*
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
>>> >>> accessibility
>>> >>> aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability
>>> >>> needs.
>>> >>> *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels
>>> >>> and
>>> >>> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to
>>> >>> follow
>>> >>> up with me if you don't get a reply.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in
>>> >>> your
>>> >>> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please
>>> >>> respond
>>> >>> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
>>> >>> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
>>> >>> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally
>>> >>> protected
>>> >>> from
>>> >>> disclosure.*
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> On 6/16/20, Zach
>>> >>> <*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*><mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*&br/>>>> >>> <mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>><mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*&br/>>>> >>> <mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>>>>
>>> >>> wrote:
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Im on the call now and this didnt happen
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>

>>> >>>
>>> >>>> On 6/15/20, Ng, Wilson (BOS)
>>> >>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> >>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*>><mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org
>>> <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>*>>>>
>>> >>>> wrote:
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Dear Zach Karnazes,
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Thank you for your inquiry.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Please kindly advise us how your specific disability needs impact
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> your ability to attend or access the publicly accessible
>>> >>>>> information
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> available under ADA, and we will be glad to provide assistance.
>>> >>>>> As
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> you may know, the ADA prohibits discrimination based on disability
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> and ensures equal opportunity for access to public meetings.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Disability is defined under the ADA, Title 42, Chapter 126, Sec.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> 12102<*<http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>
>>> <<http://www.ada.gov/pubs/adastatute08.htm#12102>>*>, as, "(A) a
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or
>>> >>>>> more
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> major life activities of such individual; (B) a record of such an
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> impairment; or (C) being regarded as having such an impairment."
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> As a potential reasonable accommodation, we may consider placing

>>> >>>> you
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> at the beginning of the public comment queue during the designated
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> public comment period.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Sincerely,
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> _
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Wilson L. Ng
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Deputy Director of Operations
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> San Francisco Board of Supervisors
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Phone: (415) 554-7725
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Web:
>>> >>>> *www.sfbos.org <<http://www.sfbos.org>>* <<http://www.sfbos.org>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org>>* ><<http://www.sfbos.org>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org>>* ><<http://www.sfbos.org/>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/>>* <<http://www.sfbos.org> <<http://www.sfbos.org>>*
>>> %3chttp:/*<http://www.sfbos.org/> <<http://www.sfbos.org/>>* <<http://www.sfbos.org/>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/>>* ><<http://www.sfbos.org/>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/>>*
>>> >>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in
>>> >>>> Place
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely
>>> >>>> while
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> providing complete access to the legislative process and our
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> services.
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> [CustomerSatisfactionIcon]<*<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>
>>> <<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>>* >
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> Click here<*<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>

>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> From: Zach
>>> >>>>> <*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> >>>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*><mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*
>>> <mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>><mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*
>>> ><mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> > <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>><mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*
>>> ><mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> > <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <zkarnazes@gmail.com>*>>%*3cmailto:zkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <3cmailto%3Azkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*3cmailto%3Azkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <3cmailto%253Azkarnazes@gmail.com>*><mailto:*3cmailto%3Azkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <3cmailto%253Azkarnazes@gmail.com>*<mailto:*3cmailto%253Azkarnazes@gmail.com
>>> <3cmailto%25253Azkarnazes@gmail.com>*>>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> Sent: Monday, June 15, 2020 4:15 PM
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> <*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*
>>> <mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*
>>> ><mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> > <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*
>>> <mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*
>>> >><mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
>>> <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>*>>>
>>> >>>
>>> >>>>> >>; MOD, (ADM)
>>> >>>>> >> <*mod@sfgov.org <mod@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*>><mailto:*mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*><mailto:*mod@sfgov.org

>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*>><mailto:*mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*>><mailto:*mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*mod@sfgov.org
>>> <mod@sfgov.org>*>>%*3cmailto:mod@sfgov.org <3cmailto%3Amod@sfgov.org>*
>>> <mailto:*3cmailto%3Amod@sfgov.org
>>> <3cmailto%253Amod@sfgov.org>*>><mailto:*3cmailto%3Amod@sfgov.org
>>> <3cmailto%253Amod@sfgov.org>*>><mailto:*3cmailto%253Amod@sfgov.org
>>> <3cmailto%25253Amod@sfgov.org>*>>>>>;
>>> >>>>> >> Bohn, Nicole
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>> (ADM)
>>> >>>>> <*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> >>>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>><mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>>><mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>><mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>>><mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>>%*3cmailto:nicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <3cmailto%3Anicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*<mailto:*3cmailto%3Anicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <3cmailto%253Anicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>><mailto:*3cmailto%3Anicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <3cmailto%253Anicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>><mailto:*3cmailto%253Anicole.bohn@sfgov.org
>>> <3cmailto%25253Anicole.bohn@sfgov.org>*>>>>>>>
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>> Subject: Reasonable disability accommodation for tomorrow's
>>> >>>>>>> meeting
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>> 6/16/20
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open
>>> >>>>>>> links
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>> or attachments from untrusted sources.
>>> >>>>>>>
>>> >>>>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>

Appeal No. 20-034

Appellant: Zachary Karnazes

Respondent: San Francisco Public Works Bureau Of Urban Forestry

Date Filed: 05-18-20

Hearing Date: 06-03-20

I. Introduction

Appellant, Zachary Karnazes, respectfully appeals the Department of Public Works' decision to kill, destroy, or otherwise cut down three apple trees which are "fruit trees adjacent to 1501 Quint Street" from Public Works Order No: 202958. "DPW / BUF" in this document refers to the San Francisco Department of Public Works and the Bureau of Urban Forestry.

II. Argument Summary and References:

- BUF / DPW staff "acknowledged the trees are in good/fair condition."¹ These are healthy trees, that pose no immediate need for destruction.
- The COVID-19 pandemic is exacerbated by air pollution.² The virus spreads more easily on air pollution particles,³ and scientists have linked air pollution directly with higher death rates in Italy.⁴ Destroying healthy trees that are reducing air pollution right

¹ As stated in Public Works Order No: 202958, among other places.

² *How Air Pollution Exacerbates COVID-19:*

<https://www.bbc.com/future/article/20200427-how-air-pollution-exacerbates-covid-19>

³ <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.15.20065995v2>

⁴ "We conclude that the high level of pollution in Northern Italy should be considered an additional co-factor of the high level of lethality recorded in that area."

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0269749120320601?>

now, in order to increase air pollution with construction, is the opposite of what city departments should be doing.

- Public Works (DPW) and numerous City Officials were notified of accessibility issues multiple times during and before the hearing process for Order No: 202958.⁵ I contacted BUF staff and the ADA coordinator Kevin Jensen numerous times in an attempt to have these ADA issues resolved. I directly emailed DPW and the Mayor's Office on Disability numerous times over the course of many months, and detailed concerns again on March 6th, 2020.⁶ The ADA coordinator or their assistant have yet to respond.
- Multiple people spoke up in defense of these fruit trees at the 1-27-2020 meeting, indicating the importance they have in the beneficial qualities they give the community. People took time out of their lives, unpaid, to come to city hall and voice their concern and support for these fruit trees. Conversely, there was no strong community support for DPW / BUF's recommendation in this order number.
- Fruit trees, and healthy fruit trees in particular, should be given priority and extra consideration towards their preservation, given their obvious health contributions to the public good and neighboring wildlife.

⁵ 1-27-20 BUF hearing comment: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OC5ZTqCv4PY>
1-27-20 BUF hearing (full): <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4gQgpyapf2k>
(Note, DPW uses Youtube as their official host of public meeting records)

⁶ Email to ADA coordinator Kevin Jensen (unreplied): <https://docdro.id/sr6wf5N>

- DPW / BUF staff has indicated that the only reason they want these trees destroyed is for "public safety" for a new construction project to "ease the flow of traffic" at this intersection. It is not factually evident that these trees must be killed to create such a project, or that contractors and engineers could not find a way to work around them. They are currently located out of the public way against a fence and are completely unobtrusive to the public right-of-way. DPW / BUF staff went as far as to use disability access as a reason to kill these trees, which is a particularly concerning attempt to exploit the needs of my community to further an unrelated agenda.
- These fruit trees reside in the Bayview district, which has been home to SF's largest remaining African-American population. That population is quickly being forced out through the process of gentrification⁷, exacerbated by construction projects like the one DPW is proposing. The current percentage of African-Americans in San Francisco is now less than 3% of our population.⁸ Construction projects like this, which do not prioritize the community's wishes and are destroying healthy trees, increase air pollution, noise, and debris during a stay-at-home order, are likely to increase the mounting stresses of cabin fever in the immediate area. The large construction project proposed at this site will be incredibly loud and disruptive to families struggling for quiet and calm

⁷ *The Loneliness of Being Black in San Francisco:*

<https://www.nytimes.com/2016/07/21/us/black-exodus-from-san-francisco.html>

⁸ "... African Americans, which are between 0-3 percent in many communities.":

<https://belonging.berkeley.edu/racial-segregation-san-francisco-bay-area-part-2>

during the spreading pandemic of COVID-19, and will likely result in more noise complaints as well.⁹

- DPW's priorities could be better spent during this pandemic, especially after the FBI arrest of their director Mohammed Nuru.¹⁰ David Steinberg (DPW custodian of public records) has written to me on more than one occasion¹¹ that DPW cannot keep up with current public records requests since the arrest. Many of San Francisco's residents are in dire need of support and resources during the COVID-19 pandemic, and there are a plethora of reasons why killing healthy fruit trees could be postponed or dropped.
- DPW / BUF promises replacement trees for this location. Their staff neglects to appreciate the special usefulness of fruit trees that have proven resilient in a harsh urban climate. DPW and BUF staff neglect to mention the high rate of death and disease for young saplings,¹² many of which BUF and DPW do not properly care for, but instead

⁹ *Stuck At Home During Pandemic, Construction Noise Driving Some People Nuts:*
<https://chicago.cbslocal.com/2020/04/28/stuck-at-home-during-pandemic-construction-noise-driving-some-people-nuts/>

¹⁰ <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndca/press-release/file/1240101/download>

¹¹ In our communication for public records request #20-524

¹² *Urban Tree Mortality: a Primer on Demographic Approaches*, March, 2016 by Lara A. Roman, John J. Battles, Joe R. McBride:

“...survival of new young trees added to the system was fairly low, with only 83 percent of new trees surviving for 2 years.” – a study of Oakland’s urban trees which have a much more hospitable environment. https://www.fs.fed.us/nrs/pubs/gtr/gtr_nrs158.pdf

rely on volunteers to do work for them¹³. Additionally, it took 5 years for replacement trees to be planted at 3500 Mission St. (which DPW had promised in 2014), and this was only accomplished after years of protests to the department.¹⁴

III. Additional Background and Argument

It is particularly illogical that during a worldwide pandemic, one which is disproportionately devastating to communities of color,¹⁵ that DPW is utilizing limited city resources to cut down and destroy healthy fruit trees in the predominantly African-American Bayview district for a new (and unnecessary) construction project.

As new studies emerge, it is becoming clear that air pollution exacerbates COVID-19 (see footnotes 3, 4 and 5 above) and has been found to coincide with a higher mortality rate in communities with poor air quality. As San Francisco has the smallest urban canopy of any major American city,¹⁶ it is especially thoughtless and irresponsible to be diminishing the established urban canopy that we have, while increasing construction pollution, during a health pandemic.

¹³ BOA meeting 1-23-19, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D0ArSA3dMfg>

¹⁴ BOA meeting 11-06-29: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tDMY8eTNhCs>

¹⁵ *COVID-19 in Racial and Ethnic Minority Groups*:
<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/racial-ethnic-minorities.html>

¹⁶ *2014 San Francisco Urban Forest Plan* (page 9):

" A city's tree canopy is measured by the amount of land covered by trees when viewed from above. San Francisco's tree canopy (13.7%) is smaller than Chicago (17%), Los Angeles (21%), and New York City (24%). This translates to very few trees"

San Francisco residents made an impassioned plea to save these trees during the original hearing on 1-27-20, while I myself had to slur over the phone due to my health issues¹⁷ and attempt to articulate the ongoing ADA violations that DPW and BUF continue to engage in.

I have yet to hear from the DPW ADA coordinator (or his assistant, Rick Pearman), after many months of reaching out,¹⁸ and all of my ADA concerns are being fielded (and mostly ignored) through a customer service agent named Nancy Sarieh. Mr. Sarieh is clearly not well-versed or trained in handling ADA issues and has been mishandling access issues for as long as I've been contact with her.

Even though I requested a phone hearing for the 1-27-20 hearing months in advance (on 11-05-29, 12-16-19 and 12-19-19), and Nancy Sarieh told me a phone line would be set up as early as 12-20-19 (via email), however, she didn't provide it for weeks. I had to request the phone line again on (01-17-20) and was still not provided any slides or visuals that were used at the hearing. Instead, I was told by the hearing judge that I should have requested the slides beforehand.

How was I to know to request them?

Nancy and DPW / BUF did not tell me there would be slides or that I needed to request them first. They should have been provided when I requested the remote call-in number.

¹⁷ Audio from the 1-27-10 meeting concerning the fruit trees:
<https://youtu.be/OC5ZTqCv4PY>

¹⁸ Eventually leading to a public records request, #20-1003

Another example of ADA issues is the public notifications website for tree removals¹⁹ which was set up only after I spent a year of hard work advocating for it, consulting with attorneys, and filed a highly publicized appeal with this office. At the Board of Appeals meeting on January 8, 2020, Chris Buck of DPW / BUF admitted that I played a major role in the creation of this website by being a "squeaky wheel." This unflattering depiction is an example of the lack of motivation on DPW / BUF's part to comply with Title II of the ADA and engage in self-evaluation.

Disabled people should not have to work so hard (with exhausting, labor intensive hours and for no pay) to make DPW and BUF ADA compliant.

On January 8th, 2020, Chris Buck told me in person that he felt the tree notifications page should retain all the photos that are originally posted online and that they should not be deleted after the posting period. I have been requesting this, or at least some text informing disabled people that images will be removed, ever since the creation of the public notification webpage from both DPW / BUF and the Mayor's Office on Disability.

As of this filing, more than four months later, images are still being deleted off the site with no notification to disabled people. As a result, disabled people like me do not have equal access to know which trees are being destroyed, but must keep logging in and checking on a website that neglects to inform us of image deletion or adequately include us in the appeals process.

¹⁹ <http://sfpublicworks.org/tree-removal-notifications>

Title II of the American with Disabilities Act, Section §35.107²⁰ states:

"A public entity that employs 50 or more persons shall designate at least one employee to coordinate its efforts to comply with and carry out its responsibilities under this part, including any investigation of any complaint communicated to it alleging its noncompliance with this part or alleging any actions that would be prohibited by this part. The public entity shall make available to all interested individuals the name, office address, and telephone number of the employee or employees designated pursuant to this paragraph."

In an email dated 2-10-20 I asked DPW staff:

"6. I'm happy to copy the DPW ADA coordinators as well, and would appreciate their email contacts. Can you send them over?"

DPW / BUF staff member Nicholas Crawford replied on 2-11-20:

"6. I don't have that information."

Eventually I learned the employee who is in the position to coordinate and carry out the responsibilities of this section is an ADA coordinator named Kevin Jensen, who's name, office address, and telephone were not made readily available. I had to submit a detailed written public records request just to be provided this info.²¹ As of the date of this filing, neither him nor his assistant, Rick Pearman, have replied to my emails about access issues related to this order number.

²⁰ <https://www.ada.gov/reg2.htm>

²¹ SF DPW public records request #20-1003

Section §35.107 and the self-evaluation described in Title II 8.2000 of the ADA are not new sections. They have been part of that law for nearly thirty years. Section 8.2000 states:

A public entity must review its policies and practices to determine whether any exclude or limit the participation of individuals with disabilities in its programs, activities, or services. Such policies or practices must be modified, unless they are necessary for the operation or provision of the program, service, or activity. The self-evaluation should identify policy modifications to be implemented and include complete justifications for any exclusionary or limiting policies or practices that will not be modified.

It should not require members of the public like myself to donate hundreds of hours of personal time which should be used for my medical care and well-being, in order to be the "squeaky wheel" to make DPW / BUF ADA compliant for these tree appeals processes. As evidenced by the recording of this hearing²² and the facts listed above, DPW and BUF are not identifying "exclusionary or limiting policies or practices" but instead are relying on me to do that for them, through repeated emails and hearings.

These issues, along with the others previously mentioned, make it difficult to resolve the access issues that took place during the 1-27-20 hearing and to have a fair hearing process for the disabled public to appeal the destruction of San Francisco trees, as proposed by DPW / BUF staff. This was not an accessible hearing or appeals process, as outlined by the ADA, and the

²² 1-27-20 BUF hearing for these trees (excerpt):
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OC5ZTqCv4PY>

fate of these trees should not be decided without compliance for access as outlined by federal law.

V. Conclusion

The actions being pushed forward by DPW and BUF are a stark reminder of this department's lack of priorities for the community and the health of San Francisco residents.

The FBI arrest of the previous director of DPW Mohammed Nuru²³ should've been a wake-up call to DPW and BUF staff. I for one was hopeful that this would bring around a change, one which would reorganize to care for the betterment of San Franciscans, instead of criminalizing and stealing from the poor,²⁴ refusing to prioritize ADA access,²⁵ and destroying our urban canopy.²⁶ Instead of quietly restructuring, DPW and BUF are looking at a long list of lawsuits, public outcry, and bad press. I urge the Board of Appeals to reduce these costs to our city's image and well-being, and to use your authority over projects such as this to reduce the harms that DPW and BUF are inflicting upon our communities.

²³ FBI report detailing previous DPW director Mohammed Nuru's alleged fraud, corruption, and arrest:

<https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndca/press-release/file/1240101/download>

²⁴ *Belonging(s) Stolen in San Francisco Sweeps*:
(Detailed article documenting DPW's stealing of homeless belongings):

<http://www.streetsheet.org/belongings-stolen-in-san-francisco-sweeps/>

²⁵ SF BOA meeting, 11-06-19:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tDMY8eTNhCs>

²⁶ *How I became a Tree Hugger: SF's Urban Canopy Crisis*:

<http://www.streetsheet.org/how-i-became-a-tree-hugger-sfs-urban-canopy-crisis/>

During the COVID-19 pandemic, poor decisions such as those in Public Works order number 202958 are more dire than they are under regular circumstances. The accelerating destruction of our urban canopy should be the last priority, or (preferably) no priority at all. When public meetings are being canceled in the wake of the current crisis, including those at the Mayor's Office on Disability, it is completely illogical for city resources to be used in this way. We need to come together as a collective to protect our air quality for the sake of our own lives. I know that the Board of Appeals appreciates the severity of the climate crisis we are facing and the worldwide death toll from COVID-19. Please do not vote to accelerate both of these crises by using city money and city resources to kill off healthy trees in our community.

Note: that typing out this document was extremely painful and difficult with my disabilities. I appreciate the accommodations that the Board of Appeals made in granting a 2 business day extension for filing. Due to the difficulties with my health, I kindly request that the footnotes and links in this document be used as exhibits for this appeal.

Respectfully submitted,
Zachary Karnazes

Signature

Date: 05-18-20

>
> --
> – Zach Karnazes
> Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
> <https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>
>
> *Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
> accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
> disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
> My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels and
> functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to follow
> up with me if you don't get a reply.
> My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in your
> response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
> To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond
> including the numbering system provided, if any is used.
>
> *CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
> attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
> confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected
> from disclosure.*
>

--
– Zach Karnazes
Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist
<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>

*Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer
accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and
disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.
My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels and
functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to follow
up with me if you don't get a reply.
My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in your
response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.
To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond
including the numbering system provided, if any is used.

*CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any
attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain
confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected
from disclosure.*

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Request for Rehearing 1501 Quint Street Trees (conflict of interest, illegal cutting, and accessibility issues)
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 8:11:00 AM
Attachments: [Appeal No. 20-034, SF Board of Appeals with corrections.docx](#)

From: Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2020 10:05 PM
To: Rosenberg, Julie (BOA) <julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org>; Monge, Paul (BOS) <paul.monge@sfgov.org>; Longaway, Alec (BOA) <alec.longaway@sfgov.org>; Sullivan, Katy (BOA) <katy.sullivan@sfgov.org>; Mejia, Xiomara (BOA) <xiomara.mejia@sfgov.org>; MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Ethics Commission, (ETH) <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>; Bohn, Nicole (ADM) <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>
Subject: Request for Rehearing 1501 Quint Street Trees (conflict of interest, illegal cutting, and accessibility issues)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Appeals, Board of Supervisors, Hilary Ronen's Office, SF Ethics Commission, and Mayor's Office on Disability,

I'm writing you to kindly request a **rehearing for my Appeal 20-034** (attached). I request a rehearing on the grounds that:

1. Department of Public Works [illegally destroyed most of the trees that were part of this appeal](#), even before the Appeal was brought before the Board of Appeals.
2. Board of Appeals Vice President [Darryl Honda bragged about his personal friendship with the defendant](#) representing Public Works (Chris Buck) during the hearing. Darryl Honda proposed the motion to grant this appeal, and clearly was not operating from an unbiased position.
3. [The original hearing on 1-27-20](#) was not made fully accessible to those with disabilities. As Public Works admits in their email response (delivered **only 2.5 hours before the hearing**, as late as possible to make it difficult for me to access. Even though I asked the accommodation request months before), they did not provide the Power Point presentation used in the hearing to those with disabilities, even though I requested to attend by phone months in

advance, I was not given a copy of the presentation.

4. DPW / BUF refused the basic disability accommodation to pass along my info to those that attended the 1-27-20 hearing in person. As [I'm a person with disabilities, I could not attend in person to speak with the community](#). To exclude me from that process is very hurtful and in my opinion, in violation of Title II of the American with Disabilities Act. This process should include *all* San Franciscans, not just those with able bodies.

a) It should be noted that Julie Roseberg did not participate in this form of segregation, and did offer to communicate my information to those in attendance on 07-01-20 at least. Unfortunately, that is the day the decision was rendered, which excluded me from having a chance to communicate with the community for a fair, equal access to this process.

From what I just learned, this request for a rehearing may be 24 hours late. I request that this still be filed due to:

5. An extremely painful flareup in my physical condition that has made extraordinarily painful for me to use the computer this week (requesting a reasonable disability accommodation of a one-day extension).

6. The webpage for the Appeal process here [says nothing about how to request a rehearing](#). This was very confusing to me with my disabilities not knowing what options were available until I dug through documents after my health was improving.

7. The Board of Appeals has recently done an excellent job in improving accessibility, thanks mostly to Julie Rosenberg. I very much appreciate her work.

8. I kindly request that the webpage about the appeals process be made more accessible to those low income disabled residents

a) Please include the appeals fee waiver options for those with

disabilities struggling with basic needs on the [Board of Appeals website](#).

b) Please include information on rehearing rights, process, and deadlines, on the appeals process page on the [Board of Appeals website](#).

9. I ask a reasonable disability accommodation that replies include the numbering system provided here so that I can follow along with what's being said.

Thank you so much for your time and attention to these important issues,

--

– Zach Karnazes

Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist

<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/save-sf-s-trees>

*Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, always.

My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels and functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to follow up with me if you don't get a reply.

My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in your response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.

To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond including the numbering system provided, if any is used.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected from disclosure.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Mayor's Office on Disability refuses ADA complaints and denies Public records (was Re: Response to 5-14-20 request)
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 1:26:00 PM

From: Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 12:34 PM
To: Kaplan, Deborah (ADM) <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Monge, Paul (BOS) <paul.monge@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MOD, (ADM) <mod@sfgov.org>; Bohn, Nicole (ADM) <nicole.bohn@sfgov.org>; Alzaghari, Iman (ADM) <iman.alzaghari@sfgov.org>; Stephen Herman <annajoy1@aol.com>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>
Cc: hlpelzman@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Mayor's Office on Disability refuses ADA complaints and denies Public records (was Re: Response to 5-14-20 request)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear MOD, Nicole Bohn, Board of Supervisors, SF City Attorney, Sunshine Ordinance, and other concerned parties:

Well over a month ago I submitted the email below requesting reconsideration from the Mayors Office on Disability and asked for a disability accommodation. It causes me physical pain time I have to right again to request a response from this department.

I've heard no word from MOD in response since I sent on June 8th, 2020.

I would very much appreciate a response from MOD or supervising department such as the Board of Supervisors for why issues and services and protections for ADA access continue to be ignored and / or not addressed by this department. Instead we get fake "resolutions" when nothing is actually been resolved.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter

– Zach Karnazes

Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist

<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>

Please note: *While technology has improved a lot, computer accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability needs. Using the computer hurts for me, always.*

My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels and functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to follow up with me if you don't get a reply.

My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in your response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.

To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond including the numbering system provided, if any is used.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected from disclosure.

On Mon, Jun 8, 2020 at 7:32 PM Zach <zkarnazes@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear MOD, Nicole Bohn, Board of Supervisors, SF City Attorney, Sunshine Ordinance, and other concerned parties:

The email below by Deborah Kaplan, is a clear disregard of the disabled public and the rights and responsibilities of the Mayor's Office on Disability. It's disappointing to read, to say the least.

1. The mayor's office on disability has more obligations than to simply forward a complaint to the department. What happens if that department ignores the ADA complaint? [The Mayor's Office on Disability webpage](#) says:

"C. Within thirty (30) business days of the receipt of the complaint, a written draft response will be sent to the Mayor's Office on Disability prior to the final copy, signed by the departmental ADA Coordinator and department head, being sent to the complainant."

I have not received a signed copy for many of these complaints, especially not within 30 days.

The DPW ADA coordinator has been ignoring me for many months. What is MOD doing about this?

2. *" D. The Mayor's Office on Disability will maintain a file relating to each complaint and the response thereto and will maintain that file for five years."*

I've still not received a copy of any complaints, even though I opened a public records request on January 31, 2020.

Additionally, I opened a public records request to learn how much money was being donated to the Mayors office on disability by CitiBank to help with COVID-19 (this was mentioned in the

May 12th, 2020 "disability town hall" hosted by MOD, but they deleted the video record). Deborah Kaplan said on 6/4/20 at the IHSS Task Force meeting that maybe I'd get that record "in a week or two." Meanwhile this pandemic continues to kill and destroy our community. **Why is the mayor's office on disability trying to keep emergency donations a secret and block transparency to the public?**

3. *"E. The complainant can request reconsideration of the complaint if he or she is dissatisfied with the resolution. The request for reconsideration should be addressed to the Mayor's Office on Disability in writing, or in an alternative format accessible to the complainant, within ten (10) business days of the issuance of the City's response to the complaint. "*

I kindly request reconsideration of the "resolution" since for most of these, there is none. I think today is the 10th business day but if not, I kindly request a reasonable disability accommodation for extended time for this request. I did not see this email until recently, and with my disabilities needing accessible aid to type this long response email (since my requests were ignored for months) and the added health problems and stress of this emergency health pandemic, I think this can still be reconsidered.

4. *" F. The Mayor's Office on Disability will inform the departmental ADA Coordinator of this reconsideration request and the departmental ADA Coordinator will respond to the request for reconsideration within fifteen (15) business days of receiving the request. The departmental ADA Coordinator's response must be approved by the Mayor's Office on Disability prior to being sent to the complainant. The departmental ADA Coordinator will forward a copy of the approved response to the request for reconsideration to the Mayor's Office on Disability."*

I have still received no complaints and nothing in writing of any resolution per my records request on January 31, 2020.

Please note, that when departments usually "investigate" so-called any of these issues, if they happen to it all, they simply deny all allegations as existing. This does not solve our ADA access issues.

5. Deborah Kaplan said,
" Multiple requests regarding the public notification provided by the Department of Public Works (DPW) about tree removals. This matter has been referred by MOD to DPW, and any further resolution of the matter should be dealt with by contacting DPW directly."

The DPW ADA coordinator Kevin Jensen and his assistant do not respond to me. How am I supposed to get any further resolution? I need MOD's help.

6. Deborah Kaplan said,
" The May 12 video recording was meant as a cross check for the accuracy of the captions provided and was not saved or retained by the Community Branch or MOD. "
I requested a copy of the video of this public meeting video *during the meeting* and in email afterwards. **Why would your office delete a public record like this?** and without notice to me

and my request?

The Mayor's Office on Disability can do better, and has done better in the past. Parts 7 and 10 below were resolved by MOD in the past, when different people were on staff and cared about the disabled. I am very, very grateful for their work and have publicly sung the praises of MOD in the past. I also know that this terrible lack of advocacy does not reflect the attitudes and wishes of *all* MOD and MDC staff. Some are still doing good work. The prevailing attitude and function of this department is alarming though.

Sadly, the current body seems to **ignore the disabled public and respond as little as possible**, including providing public records about emergency PPE to our community.

I'm at a loss for words for how an office that used to do so much good is now gatekeeping and causing harm to the disability community by such a lack of transparency and advocacy.

- 7. I ask a reasonable disability accommodation that a reply from MOD use the numbering system provided.**
- 8. Please forward this email to the Mayors Disability Council.**
- 9. I would appreciate response from SOTF about the current state of my complaints, please.**
- 10. I would appreciate response from the City Attorney about MOD's supposed functions as outlined on the website and listed above.**

– Zach Karnazes

Disability Advocate | Journalist | Artist

<https://zkarnazes.wixsite.com/access/>

Please note: *While technology has improved a lot, computer accessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability needs. Using the computer hurts for me, always.*

My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levels and functional use of my hands. I appreciate your patience! Feel free to follow up with me if you don't get a reply.

My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in your response if any part of my email needs clarifying or is confusing.

To help with confusion and disability, I ask that you please respond including the numbering system provided, if any is used.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected from disclosure.

On Wed, May 27, 2020 at 3:39 PM Kaplan, Deborah (ADM) <deborah.kaplan@sfgov.org> wrote:

Dear Mr. Karnazes,

I'm responding to your message dated 5/14/20 in which you ask for a response regarding the following matters:

1. Records requests. The MOD has begun to process the requested records, i.e. "grievances filed on my behalf". We will send you the results when they are completed.
2. The May 12 video recording was meant as a cross check for the accuracy of the captions provided and was not saved or retained by the Community Branch or MOD. However, MOD does have a raw and unedited transcript of the session. If you would like this, please let me know. The statement that the Town Hall was not a public meeting referred to the fact that this town hall was an informational session hosted by the San Francisco Emergency Operations Center for the purpose of disseminating information. It was not a meeting of a public decision-making body such as a Commission, which would be subject to requirements such as a specific advance notice period and other public record requirements.
3. Here are the past requests and complaints we are aware of from you, along with a brief description of their status:
 1. Multiple requests regarding the public notification provided by the Department of Public Works (DPW) about tree removals. This matter has been referred by MOD to DPW, and any further resolution of the matter should be dealt with by contacting DPW directly.
 2. Complaints against SFMTA regarding their complaint process and complaint hearing process. This matter has been referred by MOD to SFMTA, and any further resolution of the matter should be dealt with by contacting DPW directly.
 3. Complaint against SFMTA regarding the April 19th, 2018 MAAC meeting. Referred to SFMTA and resolution provided.
 4. Multiple complaints against the Department of Disability and Aging Services (DAS) regarding IHSS (Taskforce, Public Authority, and Mentorship Program), these were referred to DAS and resolutions were provided.
 5. Complaint against DAS regarding the Institute on Aging. Referred to DAS and resolution was provided.
 6. Complaint against SFMTA regarding the ADA compliance of the traction on MUNI bus ramp. This was referred to SFMTA and response was provided.
 7. Complaint against SFMTA regarding the accessibility of the 3rd floor SFTMA bathroom. . Referred to SFMTA and resolution provided.
 8. Curb Ramp requests for multiple locations. These were referred to the Department of Public Works and resolutions were provided. Some locations were **under RPD pending response from RPD**
 9. Complaint against DAS regarding Meals on Wheels. Referred to DAS and resolution was provided.

10. Complaint against SFMTA regarding rideshare services with Paratransit. Referred to SFMTA and resolution provided.
11. Complaint against Sheriff's Department for harassment while laying down in a meeting room. This has been referred to the Sherriff's Department.
12. Requests regarding the San Francisco Public Library's (SFPL) Library by Mail program. These requests were referred to SFPL, and resolutions were provided.
13. Complaint filed against DAS regarding the Community Living Fund (CLF). Referred to DAS and it was determined that grievances were previously responded to by DAS.

Some of the issues you refer to seem to have been the subject of comments you have made during Mayor's Disability Council (MDC) meetings. The MDC and the Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) are two distinct bodies. MOD is a City office whose primary charge is to oversee Citywide accessibility compliance. . Mayor's Disability Council members are volunteers appointed by the Mayor, not employees of the City or Commissioners with oversight of MOD as a department

Part of MOD's charge, however, is to provide administrative support and general advisement to the Council on the issues that the Council would like to address. The Council then can choose whether or not to provide specific accessibility-related recommendations to the Mayor, based on presentations from their public hearings and/or feedback to from the public.

Participating in public comment at an MCD meeting is not the same thing as filing an accessibility compliance complaint with MOD. While the Council as an entity can choose whether or not it would like to follow-up with a particular public comment, specific accessibility compliance concerns should be filed separately and directly with the agency responsible for the potential accessibility compliance oversight, and/or directly with MOD, for assistance in complaint facilitation and response dissemination.

If there is a particular accessibility compliance concern that you feel remains unaddressed, please follow the process to file this complaint. For your convenience, [the accessibility compliance complaint form is available here.](#)

Please let me know if you have additional questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Deborah Kaplan
Deputy Director for Programmatic Access
Mayor's Office on Disability
City and County of San Francisco

Cc: Nicole Bohn, Director
Mayor's Office on Disability

Stephan Herman, Co-Chair
Mayor's Disability Council

Helen Pelzman, Co-Chair
Mayor's Disability Council

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: NY Times - security cameras and Community Benefit Districts
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 12:28:00 PM

From: John C. Hooper <hooparb@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 6:32 PM
To: l-tsi@pacbell.net; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>
Cc: Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Ethics Commission, (ETH) <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: NY Times - security cameras and Community Benefit Districts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you, Lilian, for writing the City Administration with an important message.

Numerous Citizens have been asking the City (Mayor's Office, City Attorney, SOTF, BOS, OEWD, DPW etc) to look into CBDs and GBDs for several years. n There has been no (as in ZERO) interest at City Hall!

Commercial Benefit Districts (CBDs) and Green Benefit Districts (GBDs) are major recipients and distributors of public funds which are then paid to various autonomous firms (private security, private street cleaning, private gardening etc) at the behest of a small group of "in" neighbors which is selected for its subservience to City policy. One such recipient of public funds - SF Parks Alliance - is currently being investigated by the feds.

Is a genuine effort being made to clean up San Francisco government? Are you up to it, Mayor Breed?

Best, John Hooper

-----Original Message-----

From: Lilian Tsi <l-tsi@pacbell.net>
To: Breed Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; aaron.peskin@sfgov.org <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Norman Yee <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Mandelman Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; matt.haney@sfgov.org <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; dean.preston@sfgov.org <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; shamann.walton@sfgov.org <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; sandra.fewer@sfgov.org <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; gordon.mar@sfgov.org <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>

Cc: cityattorney@sfcityatty.org <cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; ethics.commission@sfgov.org <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>
Sent: Mon, Jul 13, 2020 5:30 pm
Subject: NY Times - security cameras and Community Benefit Districts

Dear Mayor and Board of Supervisors,

First of all, thank you for your prompt actions regarding the pandemic sweeping through this country. The early actions to shut the city down was a good pre-emptive move against a virus which knows no limits.

While in "shelter in place" mode, lots of reading and the article in the NY Times (link below) highlight issues with Community Benefit Districts that are disturbing.

1. Community Benefit Districts (CBDs) have to be approved by the Board of Supervisors ...after a petition and ballot process which is horrifying to say the least. (another rant another time) Proposed CBD's have to make known their management plans to the Board of Supervisors. How many of the CBDs included "spyware" in their management plans for approval?
2. In the article, the rich man on the hill says "it's whack-a-mole" with reference to how the criminals move away from Area A to Area B after cameras are installed in Area A. Area B then is forced to install cameras...and criminals move to Area C...and now...what if Area C is not a CBD...are residents/business owners in Area C then forced to set up a CBD so that they too can enjoy the largesse of the rich man on the hill? By the way...the same applies to homeless individuals who have been "ushered" away from downtown are now camping in Golden Gate Park...lovely isn't it when children go to the playgrounds or tourists walk in the park and find needles and assorted litter?
3. CBDs are non-profit organizations and request for grants and additional support for funding beyond collecting assessments from property owners. As it is now publicly known...the DPW and it's crony network of SF Parks Alliance nonprofits is rife with corruption. CBDs are potentially now another funnel for corruption for city contracts and services. Or maybe they already are...

Cameras filming and documenting crimes are not necessarily an evil. Most honest people don't care and won't mind. However, the citizens affected need to consent and be aware of the cameras. That means, if you are running for office, it should be a part of your platform and citizens vote you in to effect such policies. If indeed it is the city's policy to have cameras, the cameras need to be everywhere...not just in select areas, we can't have some neighborhoods more equal than others. CBDs and GBDs are dangerous entities which privatize what should be services provided by the city.

<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/10/business/camera-surveillance-san-francisco.html>

Writing from home,
Lilian Stielstra
Inner Sunset long time resident

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: NY Times - security cameras and Community Benefit Districts
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 8:37:00 AM

From: Lilian Tsi <l-tsi@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 5:30 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>
Cc: Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Ethics Commission, (ETH) <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>
Subject: NY Times - security cameras and Community Benefit Districts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Board of Supervisors,

First of all, thank you for your prompt actions regarding the pandemic sweeping through this country. The early actions to shut the city down was a good pre-emptive move against a virus which knows no limits.

While in "shelter in place" mode, lots of reading and the article in the NY Times (link below) highlight issues with Community Benefit Districts that are disturbing.

1. Community Benefit Districts (CBDs) have to be approved by the Board of Supervisors ...after a petition and ballot process which is horrifying to say the least. (another rant another time) Proposed CBD's have to make known their management plans to the Board of Supervisors. How many of the CBDs included "spyware" in their management plans for approval?
2. In the article, the rich man on the hill says "it's whack-a-mole" with reference to how the criminals move away from Area A to Area B after cameras are installed in Area A. Area B then is forced to install cameras...and criminals move to Area C...and now...what if Area C is not a CBD...are residents/business owners in Area C then forced to set up a CBD so that they too can enjoy the largesse of the rich man on the hill? By the way...the same applies to homeless individuals who have been "ushered" away from downtown are now camping in Golden Gate Park...lovely isn't it when children go to the playgrounds or tourists walk in the park and find needles and assorted litter?
3. CBDs are non-profit organizations and request for grants and additional support for funding beyond collecting assessments from property owners. As it is now publicly known...the DPW and it's crony network of SF Parks Alliance nonprofits is rife with corruption. CBDs are potentially now another funnel for corruption for city contracts and services. Or maybe they already are...

Cameras filming and documenting crimes are not necessarily an evil. Most honest people don't care and won't mind. However, the citizens affected need to consent and be aware of the cameras. That means, if you are running for office, it should be a part of your platform and citizens vote you in to effect such policies. If indeed it is the city's policy to have cameras, the cameras need to be everywhere...not just in

select areas, we can't have some neighborhoods more equal than others. CBDs and GBDs are dangerous entities which privatize what should be services provided by the city.

<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/10/business/camera-surveillance-san-francisco.html>

Writing from home,
Lilian Stielstra
Inner Sunset long time resident

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Letter to the Supervisors in support of legislation to amend UMU zoning in the Mission
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 9:50:00 AM
Attachments: [PeterPhanLetter.pdf](#)

From: factory 1 design <design@factory1.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 4:49 PM
To: Beinart, Amy (BOS) <amy.beinart@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter to the Supervisors in support of legislation to amend UMU zoning in the Mission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Amy-

I received the following letter from Peter Phan of Discount Performance Auto in support of amending UMU zoning in the Mission.

He asked that I email this on his behalf as he cannot call in for public comment, nor email himself.

He wants Supervisors to hear about his experience and understand the pricing pressures and displacement industrial businesses face in the current climate and to prevent other businesses in the Mission from the same fate as his own.

Best.

Larisa Pedroncelli

From: [aeboken](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: SUPPORTING Budget and Finance Committee (Special) Initiative Ordinance- Business and Tax Regulations Code - Tax on Businesses with Disproportionate Executive Pay. File #200629
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 2:58:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

I am strongly supporting this initiative ordinance because it addresses issues of income inequality.

Eileen Boken
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Commissioner Hamasaki's Unprofessional and Abusive Conduct at the June 3, 2020 Police Commission Hearing
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 11:12:00 AM
Attachments: [Commissioner Hamasaki's Unprofessional and Abusive Conduct at the June 3, 2020 Police Commission Hearing.01.pdf](#)

From: Raquel Silva <raquel@sfmea.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 10:18 AM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Commissioner Hamasaki's Unprofessional and Abusive Conduct at the June 3, 2020 Police Commission Hearing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Yee:

I am forwarding the attached correspondence that was sent to the SF Police Commission on July 1, 2020, as I have been informed that the members of the Board of Supervisors are the oversight body for Police Commission members. As noted below, MEA believes that the Commissioner's conduct towards an MEA manager was unprofessional and abusive and the BOS needs to address this conduct as soon as possible to ensure that it does not happen again. If you would like to discuss this further I can be reached at 415-971-7729. Thank you.

Regards,

Raquel Silva
Executive Director
San Francisco Municipal Executives Association
870 Market Street, Suite 490
San Francisco, CA 94102
1-415-971-7729 (cell)
1-415-989-7244 (office)
1-415-989-7077 (fax)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Raquel Silva** <raquel@sfmea.com>
Date: Wed, Jul 1, 2020 at 12:07 PM
Subject: Commissioner Hamasaki's Unprofessional and Abusive Conduct at the June 3, 2020 Police

Commission Hearing

To: <sfpd.commission@sfgov.org>, Scott, William (POL) <William.Scott@sfgov.org>

Cc: Micki Callahan <Micki.Callahan@sfgov.org>, <Catherine.McGuire@sfgov.org>, Gregg Adam <gregg@majlabor.com>

Honorable Taylor:

I am sending the attached correspondence with some urgency in the hope that Commissioner Hamasaki's unprofessional and abusive conduct towards MEA represented employees in the SFPD can be dealt with as soon as possible.

Raquel Silva

Executive Director

San Francisco Municipal Executives Association

870 Market Street, Suite 490

San Francisco, CA 94102

1-415-971-7729 (cell)

1-415-989-7244 (office)

1-415-989-7077 (fax)

SENT VIA E-MAIL - sfpd.commission@sfgov.org

June 30, 2020

Damali Taylor, Vice-President
San Francisco Police Commission
San Francisco Police Headquarters
1245 3rd Street
San Francisco, CA 94158

Chief William Scott
San Francisco Police Headquarters
1245 3rd Street
San Francisco, CA 94158

**Re: Commissioner Hamasaki's Unprofessional and Abusive Conduct at the June 3, 2020
Police Commission Hearing**

Dear Vice-President Taylor and Chief Scott:

The San Francisco Municipal Executives' Association (MEA) has received numerous complaints from our Union's members regarding Commissioner Hamasaki's disturbing behavior towards Police Department staff. His conduct was abusive and the Commission needs to put a stop to it—immediately. City commissioners should set an example, not use their privileged position to publicly abuse hard-working public servants who dedicate their full-time careers to bettering our city.

Criticism is fair game—abuse is not.

Pursuant to the Employee Handbook's Policy Regarding the Treatment of Co-Workers and Members of the Public, City policy requires employees to treat co-workers and members of the public with courtesy and respect. City employees and managers must maintain a safe and productive workplace which is free from inappropriate workplace behavior.

MEA managers appear and present frequently to the Police Commission. Many report that they are subjected to abusive behavior by Commissioner Hamasaki. His conduct and reputation causes them to fear retaliation, stay anonymous, and ask their Union to address this serious problem.

The most recent incident occurred at the June 3, 2020 Police Commission meeting. Catherine McGuire, SFPD Deputy Director—and a union member—updated the Commission on a proposed Department General Order on "Interactions with Deaf and Hard of Hearing Individuals" (DGO). Discussion followed about when the matter could be set for action on the Commission's calendar. Rather than simply stating his displeasure with the update, attendees advise me that Commissioner Hamasaki berated Ms. McGuire for the status of the DGO. I confirmed this

description by reviewing the Commission footage myself. Mr. Hamasaki indicated he was “not happy and has expressed it multiple times” with the status of the DGO. Such was his right. Then, however, he lost it and starting attacking Ms. McGuire personally.

Commissioner Hamasaki began: “I hold you Director McGuire squarely responsible in this situation. It is your knee on the neck of the deaf and hard of hearing community.” At this point, an unidentified Commissioner interrupted him—presumably because the comments were so outrageous and unwarranted. Unperturbed, Commissioner Hamasaki continued, “Don’t interrupt me.” His diatribe went on: “you put lives at risk and I’ve told you that repeatedly...” if someone had died during the delay “because you couldn’t get it together, that would be on your hands, and that would be on mine too....” (Video at 4:24:55-4:26:40.)

Hamasaki made some meek apology (presumably for the cameras). Notably, he failed to apologize directly to Ms. McGuire.

Commissioner Hamasaki's behavior violates the San Francisco Charter. Section 4.102 (BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS – POWERS AND DUTIES) states:

Each board or commission, relative to the affairs of its own department, shall deal with administrative matters solely through the department head or his or her designees, and any dictation, suggestion or interference herein prohibited on the part of any member of a board or commission shall constitute official misconduct.

Commissioner Hamasaki’s public tirade interferes in the administrative matters of the SFPD. Moreover, it publicly smears an MEA manager’s reputation. Video footage suggests Commissioner Hamasaki’s conduct is not shared by his colleagues.

Good public policy, principles of progressive discipline, and common decency, dictate that praise is given in public forums and reprimands are doled out in private. If Commissioner Hamasaki has a problem or issue with Ms. McGuire’s work product, he should address it with Chief Scott.

Commissioner Hamasaki's conduct as described above needs to be nipped in the bud immediately. An angry, out of control, bullying male commissioner berating a female manager reflects far worse on the Commission as a whole than it does on Ms. McGuire.

He should be counseled regarding his conduct during Commission meetings and in other public forums. And his disparaging comments directed at staff must be deleted from the minutes of the June 3, 2020 meeting.

Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss this complaint. I can be reached at 415-971-7729.

Sincerely,

Raquel Silva
Executive Director
MEA

cc: All Police Commissioners
Micki Callahan, Director of DHR
Catherine McGuire, Deputy Director, SFPD
Gregg Adam, Messing Adam & Jasmine, LLP

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: MORATORIUM ON ALL DEVELOPMENT ON HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD--NEW DANGER TO PEOPLE OF HUNTERS POINT
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 8:39:00 AM

From: Elaine Brown <sistereb@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 5:31 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; waltonstaff@ilmmsfgov.org; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Charles Bonner <cbonner799@aol.com>; Abdul Rashidullah Muhammad <m26sf@aol.com>; Mark Alexander <ajjjic51@mac.com>
Subject: MORATORIUM ON ALL DEVELOPMENT ON HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD--NEW DANGER TO PEOPLE OF HUNTERS POINT

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Supervisor Walton and San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the People of the Hunters Point community, with and for whom we have been working and organizing for years to address the deadly exposure they've suffered from living in proximity to the Hunters Point Shipyard, we are hereby demanding that you **order an immediate moratorium on all Shipyard development until this entire toxic site has in fact been certifiably remediated.**

As you know, the Shipyard was declared a Superfund Site in 1989 by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. In 2018, the purported clean up of the site by the Tetra Tech company was exposed as a complete fraud, and the **Shipyard remains 100% dangerously toxic** (See, *United States of America v. Tetra Tech EC, Inc.*, Case No. 3:13-cv-03835).

The People of Hunters Point realized then they were facing their worst fears, having suffered unusual cancer rates and deaths for decades from living around the Shipyard—now aggravated by the spread of the deadly Coronavirus 2019 disease.—As you also know, Hunters Point has been documented to have one of the Bay Area's highest Coronavirus infection and death rates.

Unbelievably, under all these circumstances, only two weeks ago, earth movers of the City's Shipyard development partner, Lennar (aka Five Point and a number of other pseudonyms), were observed excavating dirt at the Shipyard in the Kiska Road area of Hunters Point. There are innumerable homes and children's play areas contiguous to or near this Lennar excavation, which released asbestos and radiation particles into the air, exacerbating the health risks of this vulnerable community.

We reach out to you not only because you represent the People of Hunters Point, with the power to order them to "shelter in place" and to impose a curfew on them, but also because you are blackelected officials. Only last month, Mayor, you declared, on the steps of City Hall at a June 1, 2020, rally, "Yes, I'm the Mayor but **I'm a black woman first.**" And, in your *Vogue* magazine interview, published in its July 9, 2020, issue, Mayor, you stated: "I don't care if you're a black mayor or not, if you see what's happening to Black people in comparison to any other race in San Francisco, and you aren't making deliberate investments that will change the outcome, then, why even be in this position?" As two blackelected officials, then, to whom the predominantly-black community of Hunters Point looks for leadership and support, it is our hope that you will find the moral will to put an immediate halt to all development activity at the Hunters Point Shipyard.

We look forward to hearing back from you.

Sincerely yours,

Elaine Brown, and on behalf of Attorney Charles Bonner, Minister Abdul Rashidullah Muhammad and Dr. Mark Alexander, for the People of the Hunters Point community

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: SFPUC Disgrace
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 1:29:00 PM

From: Jack Sprayer <omnibus5210@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 10:03 AM
To: commission@sfgov.org; abmoran@sprynet.com
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SFPUC Disgrace

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Commission Secretary Hood - can you again please get my letter to the SFPUC Commissioners? I only have Commissioner Moran's direct email

Dear SFPUC Commissioners

What has become of O'Shaughnessy's Public Utilities Commission?

Following reports of a longstanding sexual affair between the General Manager and Assistant General Manager and growing accounts of financial wrongdoing comes this weekend's Chronicle article stating that the SFPUC was served with a broad, sweeping, agency-wide subpoena by the United States Attorney.

On June 15.

Were you as Commissioners informed that this occurred last month? If so, what did you do to hold our water and power agency staff accountable to cooperate with federal authorities? Why didn't you disclose the fact that the SFPUC is under federal investigation to the public at your June 23 meeting?

What are you doing as Commissioner-fiduciaries now that the Federal Subpoena IS in fact public?

And if you did NOT know about the fact that the Justice Department has demanded records of SFPUC contracting, financial and travel records of General Manager Kelly and Assistant General Manager Ellis going back to 2005, and all personnel records for staff earning over \$100,000 in salary, the question is:

How could you not know?

What have you done to prevent what may turn out to be years and years of wrongdoing and abuse at our esteemed Public Utilities Commission?

What have you done, and what will you DO, to address the misconduct and looming public disgrace at the agency built, like our awe-inspiring water system, by the great Michael O'Shaughnessy?

May your service as PUC Commissioners continue, or immediately cease, based on your answer to this question.

Jack

<https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/U-S-attorney-hits-SFPUC-with-subpoena-as-SF-City-15400910.php>

U.S. attorney hits SFPUC with subpoena as SF City Hall corruption investigation widens

[REDACTED]

[Dominic Fracassa](#) July 10, 2020 Updated: July 10, 2020 8:37 p.m.

[REDACTED]

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission general manager Harlan Kelly visits a section of the sewer system under the 300 block Ellis St. which dates back to the 1866 in, San Francisco, on Thursday October 12, 2017.

Photo: Michael Macor / The Chronicle

Federal officials served the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission with a sweeping subpoena last month, demanding numerous records and documents that appear to draw the agency into the widening City Hall corruption scandal touched off by the arrest of former Public Works Director Mohammed Nuru in January.

The U.S. Attorney's Office issued the subpoena on June 15, according to a copy obtained by The Chronicle on Friday.

The subpoena suggests that federal investigators are interested in examining contracts the commission awarded to several companies, some of which have previously been linked to alleged schemes traced back to Nuru in investigations by the FBI and the City Attorney's Office.

Among them: Jaidin Consulting Group, a firm helmed by Walter Wong, a contractor and permit consultant arrested last month on charges of conspiracy to commit fraud and conspiracy to commit money laundering. Wong agreed to plead guilty to the charges and cooperate with federal investigators.

Wong is named as "Contractor 2" in [the criminal complaint](#) against Nuru, which alleges that Wong paid for trips that Nuru took to China and South America in 2018 in exchange for preferential treatment on projects "when needed."



Mohammed Nuru (center), former director of San Francisco Public Works, leaves a federal courthouse with attorney Ismail Ramsey (right) in February.

Photo: Jeff Chiu / Associated Press

Nuru has been charged with fraud in connection with an alleged scheme to bribe an airport commissioner for help getting restaurateur Nick Bovis a concession at SFO. Bovis, who was also arrested, [agreed to plead guilty](#) to charges of honest services wire fraud and wire fraud last month and cooperate with investigators.

The Public Utilities Commission is a sprawling city agency that oversees San Francisco's water, power and sewer systems, and approves many construction contracts. But unlike Public Works, where Nuru was able to exert a tremendous amount of direct influence over contracting, the utilities agency is governed by a five-member commission that sets policy and approves most contracts. Public Works has no such oversight.

The subpoena also includes a demand for any communications and contracts between the commission and Alternate Choice LLC, a business registered to a member of Wong's family. The company is registered at the same San Francisco address as Wong's Jaidin Consulting Group and secured a \$5.2 million contract with the city to provide trash cans in 2018.

The federal subpoena specifically demands all communications "related to any LED

light installation contracts” between commission employees and Walter Wong, Washington Wong, the relative with the business registration, and their affiliated companies. The [City Attorney’s Office previously filed a subpoena](#) directly to Alternate Choice in February.

The utilities commission must also turn over all communications between any agency employee and Florence Kong, along with any documents related to contracts the agency signed with Kong’s companies, Kwan Wo Ironworks and SFR Recovery, a construction-debris recycling firm. Both companies do business with the city.

The Justice Department charged Kong last month with lying to the FBI during its investigation into Nuru’s activities. She has been accused of providing Nuru with cash, a Rolex watch worth more than \$40,000 and other gifts, but denied discussing business with Nuru. But federal officials say wiretapped calls show Nuru did, in fact, help Kong with construction contracts for city projects.

Kong ended a phone call when The Chronicle requested comment and did not respond to further requests for a response.

Federal officials also demanded the complete personnel files of utilities commission General Manager Harlan Kelly and Chief Strategy Officer Juliet Ellis, along with all records from 2005 to the present day related to any trips they took, including expense reports and reimbursement records. They also ordered the agency to produce any commission audits from 2010 to the present related to trips taken by Kelly and Ellis.

“We are cooperating with the investigation and will be producing the requested records,” commission spokesman Tyler Gamble said.

Kelly’s wife is City Administrator Naomi Kelly, Nuru’s former boss.

[Ellis came under scrutiny in 2013](#) for an alleged ethics violation after she helped steer a \$200,000 contract to a nonprofit where she served as a paid board member. [Kelly defended her](#) at the time and said that while an internal probe “confirmed that there were violations” of reporting requirements and “a possible violation” of state conflict-of-interest laws, “the fact-finding also uncovered mitigating circumstances suggesting that there was no unethical intent behind any of the violations.” The contract was scuttled, but Ellis stayed on as a top deputy at the agency.

Federal officials also demanded all communications between the commission and

any representative or employee of Mlok Consulting, along with any contracts the agency approved with the company, which on its website provides only an ambiguous mission statement of working “as the interface of government, business and community.” Melanie Lok, the company’s president and CEO, could not be reached for comment.

The Chronicle reported in 2011 that Lok’s firm had been paid \$132,000 since 2009 for work on the SFPUC’s online invoicing system. Yet she listed her occupation as a “homemaker” when she donated \$500 to former Mayor Ed Lee’s mayoral campaign in 2011. The discrepancy raised questions at the time about a possible violation of the city’s ban on contributions from city contractors.

Lok was also listed as a contact for a 2011 fundraiser for an independent expenditure committee for Lee. The featured honorees at the fundraiser included former Mayor Willie Brown and former Supervisor Jane Kim. Lok could not be reached for comment.

Federal officials also ordered the agency to turn over appointment calendars, credit card records, personnel evaluations, resumés and other information for all utilities commission employees who earned at least \$100,000 from January 2010 to the present.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: WARN Notice - JW Marriott San Francisco Union Square
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 8:03:00 AM
Attachments: [JW Marriott San Francisco Union Square WARN Notice.pdf](#)

-----Original Message-----

From: Kitchens, Greg <Greg.Kitchens@marriott.com>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 6:39 PM
To: eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov; Development, Workforce (ECN) <workforce.development@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Cc: Kitchens, Greg <Greg.Kitchens@marriott.com>; Anderson, John <John.Anderson@marriott.com>
Subject: WARN Notice - JW Marriott San Francisco Union Square

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please see the attached Warn Notice.

GREG KITCHENS
DIRECTOR OF HUMAN RESOURCES | JW MARRIOTT SAN FRANCISCO UNION SQUARE
515 Mason Street | San Francisco CA, 94102 greg.kitchens@marriott.com | Tel 415.929.2023 jwmarrriott.com

This communication contains information from Marriott International, Inc. that may be confidential. Except for personal use by the intended recipient, or as expressly authorized by the sender, any person who receives this information is prohibited from disclosing, copying, distributing, and/or using it. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately delete it and all copies, and promptly notify the sender. Nothing in this communication is intended to operate as an electronic signature under applicable law.

-----Original Message-----

From: gskit331 <Greg.Kitchens@marriott.com>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 2:52 PM
To: Kitchens, Greg <Greg.Kitchens@marriott.com>
Subject: Message from "RNP002673B847A3"

This E-mail was sent from "RNP002673B847A3" (MP C4503).

Scan Date: 07.10.2020 14:51:45 (-0700)
Queries to: RicohMPC4503@marriott.com

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: File No. 200160 / 743 Vermont St. Proposal
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:30:00 PM

From: Stefan K. <stefan.kalomoiris@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 2:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: File No. 200160 / 743 Vermont St. Proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors,

My name is Stefan Kalomoiris and I live in Potrero Hill, at a shared apartment on 1201 Tennessee Street. I am a scientist who came to the U.S. from Greece 12 years ago. I love this city and this is where I want to be. Also as a proud and active member of the LGBTQ+ community, I want to be part of San Francisco and I feel most at home here.

However, even with a doctorate in Biochemistry/Cell Biology and as someone contributing to both the social and scientific community, I know that living here is very hard and unaffordable to many. I volunteer on Sunday mornings at St. Anthony's Foundation in the Tenderloin, where I serve meals to guests who are largely homeless, and are all food-insecure, and I am keenly aware of my relative privilege in being able to find a home to live in.

I love Potrero Hill but found it very challenging to find an affordable apartment anywhere in the city. Even with a good job and a roommate, it was extremely difficult to find affordable housing. The difficulties in finding affordable housing in the city are not new and not unique to me. The young generations of San Franciscans are intimately aware of how big a challenge it is to find housing in the city. For vulnerable people like the LGBTQ+ and undocumented communities, finding a home in the relative safety of San Francisco can be a matter of life or death.

Efforts made by the city to address the housing crisis are appreciated, and a good start to making the city more livable. The existing regulations and processes for establishing AND removing affordable housing units are essential to ensuring that the city can remain a home to the vulnerable communities and marginalized people who live here.

Therefore, it is extremely concerning when existing codes and regulations are not enforced, as in the present issue. By not applying the existing Building and Planning Codes to all individual housing projects and modifications, you are enabling landlords

and speculators to reduce the supply of affordable units that someone like me could live in. It's important to remember that every project and every affordable housing unit matters to someone. Especially in San Francisco, it can mean the difference between a home and homelessness.

Where units already exist in nice homes and safe neighborhoods like this one, I implore you to prevent construction projects that would expand an already large single family home for only two people and eliminate a unit without the legally required hearing and consideration for the impacts this has on the community. It is imperative that you recognize and regulate the Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) that already exists in this home. For all these reasons I would like to support the appellant on File No. 200160 / 743 Vermont St.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stefan Kalomoiris, PhD

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: File No. 200160 / 743 Vermont St. Proposal
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:31:00 PM

From: G. Cory Warren <gcwarren@mac.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 2:21 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: File No. 200160 / 743 Vermont St. Proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO:
Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
RE: File No. 200160 / 743 Vermont St. Proposal

Hi, my name is Cory Warren. My husband and I live in a home on Church Street in District 8 with our teenaged son. We've lived in San Francisco for almost 25 years. As our family has changed, so, too, have our needs. We're fortunate to have lived in five different neighborhoods in San Francisco.

We've done a renovation project in San Francisco and played by the rules, working directly with those affected. We remained on good terms with all involved.

As we're all aware, there is a shortage of affordable housing in San Francisco, particularly for those who make this city run — our teachers, first responders, essential workers, public employees and others. Many of the people who support our basic city services drive hours every day just to get to work because there are no affordable housing options here for them and their families.

Every existing unit in homes across our city can help someone who needs and wants to be in San Francisco.

Every project — including the 743 Vermont Street expansion — matters. This project proposes to significantly expand an already large home without adding any new livable rooms and without need for extra space for two people. And as long as our regulations, codes, and design guidelines are followed, and cooperation to minimize neighborhood impact is taken into account, expansion projects are permissible.

But with the 743 Vermont Street project, it's my understanding this hasn't been done. In the spirit of our planning process guidelines and just being a good San Francisco neighbor and citizen, each of us should work together cooperatively to minimize impacts to adjacent properties, regardless of which side of a project we are on. We did this exhaustively with our previous project and I am personally aware that Ms. McKnight did this with her small project in 2012, stopping her addition dead even with the back of the current 743 Vermont Street property, as requested by those property owners,

now Project Sponsors. Even after repeated requests over many months, the Project Sponsors have not once sat down with Ms. McKnight to directly discuss the Project's impact and potential mitigations.

Still, the key issue here is that this expansion proposal would eliminate an un-permitted dwelling unit on the ground floor of the home and bring it into the permitted space of a single family home. It does not matter that the owners did not build it or that they are not currently renting the space. It also doesn't matter why or how planning staff may have accepted confusing, conflicting or inaccurate plans and application materials throughout the process.

By closely reviewing the materials and filings, you can see that planning staff initially accepted inaccurate ground floor plans, then recognized a Notice of Violation that was issued for the un-permitted unit and stated that the unit was being legalized, when the plans did not reflect this. Then staff stated that the Unit was there but that it did not have to be legalized. No one seems to know for sure what is going on.

At the Appeals hearing on July 21, you have an opportunity to clear up the confusion, correct any oversight and make it right. We respectfully ask the Board to require the un-permitted dwelling unit at 743 Vermont Street be fully permitted as an ADU (or alternatively require all steps for eliminating a Unit). In our current environment, it seems that our City should take every reasonable opportunity to preserve existing affordable housing units. It is much easier to preserve and legalize a unit that is already present in a home than to build a new one. And every one maintained can help another family — which ultimately helps this city.

Thank you for your attention to this submission.

Cory Warren

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: In support of Appellant; Re: File No. 200160 / 743 Vermont St. Proposal
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 3:57:00 PM

From: George Kenny <georgekenny@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 3:22 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>
Cc: George Kenny <georgekenny@sbcglobal.net>; Meg McKnight <mcknight.meg@gene.com>
Subject: In support of Appellant; Re: File No. 200160 / 743 Vermont St. Proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Wit

As a friend and colleague to the Appellant, Meg McKnight, and a fellow San Francisco resident, I would like to support the Appellant in the present situation, File No. 200160 / 743 Vermont St. Proposal

Appellant Meg McKnight has sought to work collaboratively with her neighbors at 743 Vermont Street during this permitting process. As the record likely shows, Meg accommodated the same neighbors' request for cooperation and made adjustments to her renovation that was conducted several years ago. Now, the same neighbors who asked for Meg's accommodation will not even meet with Meg to discuss this project.

I see no need to further reiterate the deficits in this project application as have been meticulously documented by others. I support Meg's desire to have this application reviewed and to hopefully bring her neighbors to the table for good faith conversations to begin to resolve the ongoing issues.

I live on the 200 block of Laussat Street in the Lower Haight. We have a VERY active neighborhood association. And while we all like each other, we disagree on issues all the time. There have been many renovations and building improvements completed in just the one block in which I reside. We've had our disagreements – but we never refused to talk or stopped talking.

George Kenny
254 Laussat Street, SF, CA 94117

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee - Emergency Food Relief
Date: Friday, July 10, 2020 12:12:00 PM
Attachments: [SDDTAC Emergency Food Statement Letter copyjimb.cleaned.pdf](#)

From: Martin, Melinda (DPH) <melinda.martin@sfdph.org>

Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 11:33 AM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; sandra.fewer@sfgov.org; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>

Cc: Rana, Shalini (DPH) <shalini.rana@sfdph.org>; Groffenberger, Ashley (MYR) <ashley.groffenberger@sfgov.org>; Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>; Aragon, Tomas (DPH) <tomas.aragon@sfdph.org>; Packer, Tracey (DPH) <tracey.packer@sfdph.org>; Erwin, Patricia (DPH) <patricia.erwin@sfdph.org>; joi@3rdstyouth.org; Butler, Jonathan (UCSF) <Jonathan.Butler@ucsf.edu>; Goette, Christina (DPH) <christina.goette@sfdph.org>; Jones, Paula (DPH) <paula.jones@sfdph.org>; Varisto, Michaela (DPH) <michaela.varisto@sfdph.org>

Subject: Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee - Emergency Food Relief

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Mayor London Breed and San Francisco Residents,

On behalf of the co-chairs (Joi Jackson Morgan and Jonathan Butler) of the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee (SDDTAC), the committee respectfully submits the attached letter identifying COVID 19 emergency food relief funds from the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax fund. The advisory committee remains resolute in its values and is committed to ensuring food security for San Francisco's vulnerable populations.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact SDDTAC backbone staff, Christina Goette or Melinda Martin.

Thank you

Melinda Martin

Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee Coordinator
 Community Health Equity & Promotion Branch
 Population Health Division
 San Francisco Department of Public Health
 25 Van Ness Ave, Suite 500
 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Phone:(628) 206-7651
 email: melinda.martin@sfdph.org



Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee (SDDTAC) makes funding recommendations that support services and other innovative, community-led work to decrease sugary beverage consumption and related chronic diseases.

SDDTAC Co-Chairs

Joi Jackson-Morgan
Executive Director
3rd Street Youth Center and Clinic

Jonathan Butler
Assistant Professional Researcher
UCSF Dept of Family & Community Medicine

SDDTAC Members

Vanessa Bohm
John Maa
Roberto Ariel Vargas
Aaron Kunz
Larry McClendon
Saeeda Hafiz
Lauren Heumann
Rita Nguyen
Irene Hilton
Michelle Kim
Linda Barnard
Janna N. Cordeiro
Derik Aoki

www.sfdph.org/sddtac
www.sodatx-sf.org

June 18, 2020

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Mayor London Breed and San Francisco Residents,

For all of us, these last four months have been filled with unexpected challenges and rapid changes. The Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee (SDDTAC) members have done a remarkable job of adapting to this challenging and unparalleled time by upholding the mission of our committee—making funding recommendations that support services and other innovate, community-led work to decrease sugary beverage consumption and related chronic disease. According to the San Francisco Food Security Task Force 2018 Report, 50% of low-income residents were food insecure. Additionally, 23-30% of Black/African American and Latinx pregnant women were food insecure. The prevalence of food insecurity for San Franciscans could double as many of our residents are becoming more under-employed, unemployed, and unable to afford food for their families. Consequently, On March 18, 2020, the SDDTAC voted to consider using unused funds from the fiscal year 2019-2020 to support food security and food distribution costs for the most vulnerable populations in the city and county of San Francisco. The SDDTAC has recommended that \$1.65 million be allocated to increase food security for our priority populations, especially seniors, children, and pregnant women within minority communities.

The SDDTAC remains resolute in our values—community-led and culturally relevant work, strong partnerships, long-term health impacts, and achieving equity—and in our vision to improve health, eliminate health disparities, and achieve equity through effective services and changes to the environment, systems, and policies. **The SDDTAC is committed to recommending the investments our communities care most about: equitable access to healthy food for low-income people and students; food security; access to clean drinking water; access to safe and affordable physical activity; oral health; and a built environment that ensures access to all these things.**

Please find below the many ways that the funds were used to address food insecurity. Thank you for your continued support.

SDDT Emergency Food Security Funds for Vulnerable Communities Impacted by COVID-19

Vulnerable Community	Organization	Amount
Pregnant women low-income families	San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) Maternal Childhood and Adolescent Health Division	\$200,000
Undocumented Families	LatinX Task Force	\$200,000
Children and Families	San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD)	\$300,000
Public housing sites - Potrero Hill	SF Housing Authority	\$300,000
Low-income families Seniors	SF African American Faith Based Coalition	\$200,000
Low-income families	Farming Hope	\$30,000
Bayview Hunters Point (BVHP) families/seniors	BVHP Advocates	\$20,000
All populations	SF Produce Market	\$400,000
Total		1,650,000



Joi Jackson-Morgan, MPH
Executive Director
3rd Street Youth Center & Clinic



Jonathan Z. Butler, PhD, MDiv
Assistant Professional Researcher
Department of Family & Community Medicine, UCSF

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: [New post] Bill to create state public bank could jumpstart SF efforts
Date: Friday, July 10, 2020 7:21:00 AM

From: zrants <zrants@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 1:58 AM
To: Brown Ellen <ellenbrown@gmail.com>; Chiu David <Assemblymember.Chiu@assembly.ca.gov>
Cc: Armstrong Marc <marctarmstrong@gmail.com>; Jackie Fielder <contact@jackieforsenate.com>; Dean Preston <deanpreston7@gmail.com>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: [New post] Bill to create state public bank could jumpstart SF efforts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

July 10, 2020

Public Bank supporters and San Francisco city officials:

re: support for Assemblymember Chiu's AB 310 to establish a state bank.

We hope you will join us in our support and enthusiasm for AB310 to establish a state bank in California. This would help our cities and counties cope with the economic downturn we anticipate will last for a while.

Thanks for all your efforts and support for public banks!

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza, concerned citizen

Please share this with your contacts.

Begin forwarded message:

Respond to this post by replying above this line

New post on zRants

[Bill to create state public bank could jumpstart SF efforts](#)

by [zRants](#)

By [Ida Mojaddad](#) : [sfexaminer](#) - excerpt

California may move \$10 billion of its investment banking funds to rebuild...

California may move \$10 billion of its investment banking funds to rebuild its economy battered by coronavirus and convert the financial arm into the country's second state public bank.

Assemblymembers David Chiu, who represents San Francisco, and **Miguel Santiago**, who represents part of Los Angeles, announced [Assembly Bill 310](#) on Thursday to turn the **California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank**, known as **IBank**, into a state public bank.

That bank would eventually allow various agencies and departments in California to make deposits, [freeing it from fees and investment choices of big banks](#). For cities like San Francisco, which is in the process of creating its own local public bank, the state bank would help jumpstart that effort after it was stalled by coronavirus...

But time isn't on the side of [bill proponents](#). Chiu and Santiago acknowledge AB 310 will face great resistance before being passed, all while having **just five weeks** to gather support and bring it through the California Legislature. Its recess was extended to **July 27 and bills must be passed by Aug. 31**.

"It will be a fight, there's no doubt about that," Santiago said Thursday. "It's going to be a very quick, brutal process. This is an opportunity for us to reinvest California dollars in California communities."...[\(more\)](#)

It appears that the North Dakota model may be the one that California embraces. In many ways, that may be the best way to launch a public bank as

the model already exists, and the cities would be spared the expense they can hardly afford at this time, while they may take advantage of access to cheap financing through the state bank. We have been wondering what the next step might be and now we have an answer. Preparing for the day when the friendly Fed may open in Washington is in our opinion a good first start. Stay tuned for more details and discussions on the public bank option and the legislators who support it: <https://zrants.wordpress.com/public-banks/>

Thank you David Chiu and Miguel Santiago for carrying this effort to establish and public bank forward. We need a strong financial foundation to get through the next couple of years and the nation fights the epidemic.

zRants | July 10, 2020 at 1:25 am | Categories: [Politics](#) | URL: <https://wp.me/p1eN-25I>

Comment [See all comments](#) [Like](#)

Change your email settings at [Manage Subscriptions](#).

Trouble clicking? Copy and paste this URL into your browser:

<https://zrants.wordpress.com/2020/07/10/bill-to-create-state-public-bank-could-jumpstart-sf-efforts/>

Thanks for flying with  [WordPress.com](#)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Typos in SF Ordinance 188-19
Date: Thursday, July 9, 2020 1:43:00 PM

From: Rob Geller <robgeller@sonic.net>
Sent: Thursday, July 9, 2020 9:40 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Typos in SF Ordinance 188-19

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello-

I was reading through the ordinance and noticed typos on Page 2, Lines 15 & 16. The line is "In 1852, California legislators passed a fugitive slave law of its own, reinforcing the existence of Antebellum slavery in the state into the 1960s."

That should be the 1860s, not the 1960s!

Also, the line should be "...legislators passed a fugitive slave law of *their* own" not "its own."

Thank you for correcting this so it reads well, and so future generations do not read the ordinance and believe slavery existed into the 20th century.

All the best,

Rob Geller

SF History teacher

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Fell Street Panhandle bikeway
Date: Friday, July 10, 2020 12:16:00 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Tracy Purrington <tracypurr@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 10:48 AM
To: Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Sallaberry, Mike (MTA) <Mike.Sallaberry@sfmta.com>
Subject: Fell Street Panhandle bikeway

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Preston,

I have recently read a couple of articles regarding the ongoing delay of installing a protected bikeway along Fell Street, parallel to the Panhandle. I'm urging your office to really increase the pressure on SFMTA to get this done. The current northern Panhandle is increasingly congested, leading to unsafe distancing during this COVID pandemic and just generally unsafe conditions all around as more pedestrians compete with more cyclists on an already narrow and limited pathway. And all of this while there is a significant decrease in the number of cars traveling westbound along Fell! Four lanes bookended by on-street parking is simply unnecessary and is an anachronistic legacy of a time when San Francisco planned it's transportation priorities around the personal automobile. Let's end this now! Please make this a priority.

Tracy Purrington
Mission District

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Opening Bath Houses, Please forward to all Supervisors
Date: Friday, July 10, 2020 3:19:00 PM

From: Sandy <sweil46117@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, July 10, 2020 1:41 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: re: Opening Bath Houses, Please forward to all Supervisors

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS:

Under no circumstances, at this time of COVID19 and spiking should the bath houses be opened!
For everyone's safety they must stay closed. Period. Until this virus is fully under control.
I am sorry to have to say this, but this is all about public health and safety!
Sincerely,
Sandy Weil, SF, CA 94116

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Turn Your Cameras On
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 1:25:00 PM

From: C Nelson <cnelsonsic@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 12:37 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Turn Your Cameras On

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

It is your responsibility to work with IT to get your cameras turned on.

I'm sure YOUR cameras are on, but you need to tell them to not have the blue title card, and instead show your faces.

C Nelson
<cnelsonsic@gmail.com>

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Muni 44 outbound overcrowded no ability to keep 6ft distancing on buses SFMTA needs to provide more essential service !!!
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 8:27:00 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 7:53 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Muni 44 outbound overcrowded no ability to keep 6ft distancing on buses SFMTA needs to provide more essential service !!!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Reminder 44 is a major line for workers it was over stuffed with no room for distancing between mission and San bruno ave additional service is required

Ag D11

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Travesty
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 12:19:00 PM

From: Katrina Smith <katrinaesmith@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 12:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Travesty

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Is this really what you want for our City? Public funds? Call the front desk before shooting up? The Mark Hopkins?!?!?!

It makes me wonder...do you want economic success for ALL citizens? Or would you rather see The City crumble under these Marxist/Socialist ideas that are being hidden under the cover of "progressive", and when it all fails I'm sure you will blame "capitalism". What a bunch a malarkey. No wonder businesses are leaving. And conferences are cancelling. And the citizens are moving to other states.

<https://www.city-journal.org/san-francisco-hotel-motel-plan-for-homeless#.XvPDAmNvWHE.twitter>

Date / Time: 2020-07-13 07:03:20.857

Service Request Number: 12642328

Request for City Services

CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION:

Name: **John Murnin**
 Phone: **415-823-3793**
 Address: **705 VALLEJO ST Apt 2 SAN FRANCISCO 94133**
 Email: **johnmathewmurlin@gmail.com**

DEPARTMENTS:

Department: ([help me choose](#)) **Board of Supervisors (BOS)**

Sub-Division:* **Clerk of the Board**

Department Service Levels: **The City's goal is to respond to these types of requests within 7-21 calendar days; 21 days for request for service; 7 days for all other categories.**

PROPERTY ADDRESS:

Point of Interest:

Street Number:

Street Name:

Street Name 2:

City:

ZIP Code:

X coordinate:

Y coordinate:

Latitude:

Longitude:

CNN:

Unverified Address:

ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION:

Location Description:

(e.g. 600-block of Market St. or in front of Main Library entrance)

REQUEST DETAILS:

Nature of Request:* **Complaint**

ADDITIONAL REQUEST DETAILS:

Additional Request Details: **Caller called in to complain all the supervisors mail boxes are full. They tell us they want feedback from the public and the mail boxes are full. Tell them to empty their mail boxes.**

All the city buses are too crowded. No enough space for riders. Dangerous.

The Mayors mail box is full too.

Provided recap of SR to caller?:* **Yes**

BACK

OFFICE USE *****

Source

Agency Request

Number:

Responsible

Agency Request

Number:

Service

Request Work

Status:

Work

Status

Updated:

Media URL:

Submit Cancel

Date / Time: 2020-06-22 11:37:39.137

Service Request Number: 12559280

Request for City Services

BOS-11,
Pages

CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION:

Name:
Phone:
Address:
Email:

DEPARTMENTS:

Department: ([help me choose](#)) Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Sub-Division:* Clerk of the Board

Department Service Levels: The City's goal is to respond to these types of requests within 7-21 calendar days; 21 days for request for service; 7 days for all other categories.

PROPERTY ADDRESS:

Point of Interest:
Street Number:
Street Name:
Street Name 2:
City:
ZIP Code:
X coordinate:
Y coordinate:
Latitude:
Longitude:
CNN:
Unverified Address:

ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION:

Location Description:

(e.g. 600-block of Market St. or in front of Main Library entrance)

REQUEST DETAILS:

Nature of Request:* Other

ADDITIONAL REQUEST DETAILS:

Additional Request Details: I suggest that the Juniper Serra statue should be taken down & all the statues of oppressors with some good people that have contributed good things to society. Like for example, Jack London or

From: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 80 letters for File 200510, Item 29 on 7/14/2020 Agenda
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 1:52:00 PM
Attachments: [80 letters for File No. 200510.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 80 letters for File No. 200510, which is Item 29 on the agenda for the Board of Supervisors meeting today, July 14, 2020.

File No. 200510 - Charter Amendment (Fourth Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to create the Department of Sanitation and Streets to succeed to specific duties currently performed by the Department of Public Works; to create a Sanitation and Streets Commission to oversee the Department of Sanitation and Streets; to create a Public Works Commission to oversee the Department of Public Works; and to require an annual performance audit and cost and waste analysis for both departments; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; at an election to be held on November 3, 2020.

Regards,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Brandon Harami](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 11:20:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Brandon Harami
btravisharami@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Brandon Harami](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 11:20:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Brandon Harami
btravisharami@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Elysha Kensinger](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 12:53:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Elysha Kensinger
adida714@aol.com

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Elysha Kensinger](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 12:53:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Elysha Kensinger
adida714@aol.com

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Dr. Haemin Cho](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 12:55:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Dr. Haemin Cho
haemincho@gmail.com
164 B Beacon Street
SF, California 94131

From: [Dr. Haemin Cho](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 12:55:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Dr. Haemin Cho
haemincho@gmail.com
164 B Beacon Street
SF, California 94131

From: [Todd Snyder](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 1:29:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Todd Snyder
toddclarksnyder@gmail.com
1941 Turk street
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Todd Snyder](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 1:29:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Todd Snyder
toddclarksnyder@gmail.com
1941 Turk street
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Jacob Picheny](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 1:41:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Jacob Picheny
elidora84@gmail.com

Berkeley, California 94705

From: [Jacob Picheny](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 1:41:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Jacob Picheny
elidora84@gmail.com

Berkeley, California 94705

From: [Rufus Browning](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 1:45:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Rufus Browning
rufus.browning@gmail.com
1406 18th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Rufus Browning](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 1:45:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Rufus Browning
rufus.browning@gmail.com
1406 18th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Carlos Rodriguez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 1:48:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Carlos Rodriguez
casas07@gmail.com
2161 Turk
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Carlos Rodriguez](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 1:48:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Carlos Rodriguez
casas07@gmail.com
2161 Turk
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Jamie S.](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 1:58:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Sincerely,
J. Sheldon
94121

Jamie S.
jamiespiral55@yahoo.com
463-22nd Ave. , San Francisco

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Jamie S.](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 1:58:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Sincerely,
J. Sheldon
94121

Jamie S.
jamiespiral55@yahoo.com
463-22nd Ave. , San Francisco

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Paul Kangas](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 2:04:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Paul Kangas
dr8kangas@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Paul Kangas](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 2:04:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Paul Kangas
dr8kangas@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Heidi Chiao](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 2:51:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Heidi Chiao
heidichiao@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Heidi Chiao](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 2:51:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Heidi Chiao
heidichiao@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Gilbert Lopez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:00:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Gilbert Lopez
factura@astound.net

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Gilbert Lopez](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:00:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Gilbert Lopez
factura@astound.net

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Leoul Samson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:01:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Leoul Samson
leoul.sonofsam@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Leoul Samson](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:01:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Leoul Samson
leoul.sonofsam@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Samantha Quest-Neubert](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:07:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Samantha Quest-Neubert
questneuberts@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Samantha Quest-Neubert](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:07:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Samantha Quest-Neubert
questneuberts@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Vince Courtney](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:09:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Vince Courtney
mail@vincecourtney.com

Walnut Creek, California 94596

From: [Vince Courtney](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:09:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Vince Courtney
mail@vincecourtney.com

Walnut Creek, California 94596

From: [Marion Pellegrini](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:09:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Marion Pellegrini
marionpellegrini@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Marion Pellegrini](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:09:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Marion Pellegrini
marionpellegrini@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Cameron Galloway](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:16:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust. Your public support of these measures will demonstrate to citizens your desire to be a public servant above being a self serving politician.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Thank you!

Cameron Galloway
camiegal22@gmail.com
351 Cornwall Street Apt 6
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Cameron Galloway](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:16:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust. Your public support of these measures will demonstrate to citizens your desire to be a public servant above being a self serving politician.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Thank you!

Cameron Galloway
camiegal22@gmail.com
351 Cornwall Street Apt 6
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Theresa Foglio-Ramirez](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:26:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Theresa Foglio-Ramirez
laborers261@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Theresa Foglio-Ramirez](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:26:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Theresa Foglio-Ramirez
laborers261@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Stardust Doherty](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:38:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Stardust Doherty
actionnetwork@willdoherty.org

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Patricia Hackett](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:43:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Patricia Hackett
patriciahackett415@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Patricia Hackett](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:43:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Patricia Hackett
patriciahackett415@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Deshelia Mixon](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:06:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Deshelia Mixon
dmixon35@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Deshelia Mixon](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:06:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Deshelia Mixon
dmixon35@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Joe Chmielewski](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:12:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Joe Chmielewski
jcin506@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Joe Chmielewski](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:12:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Joe Chmielewski
jcin506@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Marvin Mouton](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:31:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Marvin Mouton
moutonmarvin@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Marvin Mouton](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:31:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Marvin Mouton
moutonmarvin@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [V Maita](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:35:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

V Maita
enziomg@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [V Maita](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:35:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

V Maita
enziomg@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Carla Mae Naz](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: San Francisco Needs a Department of Sanitation and Streets and Oversight Over Departments!
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:49:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

I am writing in support of Supervisors Haney, Ronen, Walton, Preston, and Mar's Charter Amendment that would finally address the state of our streets and to create oversight commissions for the Department of Public Works and the new Department of Sanitation and Streets.

Cleaner streets and public spaces that are taken care of sends a strong message to the people of San Francisco that their city cares for them. I work at an after school program at Bessie Carmichael Schools, and it concerns me that my students walk through streets and alleyways that aren't properly sanitized. Especially with the current situation of SFUSD heavily considering a hybrid model for schools (i.e. students having to go to school physically in the Fall), there will be an urgent need for this especially in the midst of this pandemic. Please show us you care for our wellbeing.

San Francisco is one of the only major cities in America without a Department of Sanitation. Especially during the time of COVID-19 and heightened fears about the spread of infectious disease, creating a new Department of Sanitation and Streets is common sense: it is a solution to keep our city clean with data-driven decision making and real accountability and oversight. The new department will be dedicated to making sure our streets and sidewalks are cleaned, that we have trash cans for litter, and that we have public bathrooms available for people to use.

The Department of Sanitation and Streets will make a huge impact on our streets and the quality of life for all San Franciscans. While our streets continue to get more dirty, the current department tasked with street cleaning has been full of fraud and corruption. We need oversight and we need it now.

Please support Supervisor Haney's charter amendment. Our city needs this change now!

Thank you,

Carla Mae Naz

she/her/hers

Middle School Program Leader

FEC Galing Bata After School Program

Bessie Carmichael/Filipino Education Center in SOMA Pilipinas



From: [Sherri McEllistrim](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:56:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Sherri McEllistrim
sherrimp36@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Sherri McEllistrim](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:56:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Sherri McEllistrim
sherrimp36@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Angel Gonzales](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 5:01:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Angel Gonzales
siccmade41510@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Angel Gonzales](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 5:01:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Angel Gonzales
siccmade41510@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [jonathan meade](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 5:03:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

jonathan meade
juandelosperros@gmail.com
114 bridgeview drive
san francisco, California 94124

From: [jonathan meade](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 5:03:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

jonathan meade
juandelosperros@gmail.com
114 bridgeview drive
san francisco, California 94124

From: [Robert Bustamonte](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 5:29:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Robert Bustamonte
bobinsf@icloud.com
1250 Haight St. #311
San Francisco, California 94117-3078

From: [Robert Bustamonte](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 5:29:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Robert Bustamonte
bobinsf@icloud.com
1250 Haight St. #311
San Francisco, California 94117-3078

From: [Lun Yip](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 6:24:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Lun Yip
89cam56ly@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Lun Yip](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 6:24:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Lun Yip
89cam56ly@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Russell Eckert](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 6:41:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Russell Eckert
russelleckert50@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Russell Eckert](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 6:41:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Russell Eckert
russelleckert50@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Kim Anderson](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 6:58:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Kim Anderson
kimnoreen@gmail.com
60 Alviso Street
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Kim Anderson](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 6:58:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Kim Anderson
kimnoreen@gmail.com
60 Alviso Street
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Daniel FEERICK](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 7:15:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Daniel FEERICK
danfee24@gmail.com

Daly City, California 94015

From: [Daniel FEERICK](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 7:15:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Daniel FEERICK
danfee24@gmail.com

Daly City, California 94015

From: [Teresa Palmer M.D.](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 7:55:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Teresa Palmer M.D.
TeresaPalmer2014@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Teresa Palmer M.D.](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 7:55:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Teresa Palmer M.D.
TeresaPalmer2014@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Justin Truong](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 11:03:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Justin Truong
justintruong56@gmail.com
33 Junior Terrace
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Justin Truong](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 11:03:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Justin Truong
justintruong56@gmail.com
33 Junior Terrace
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Suzanne Cowan](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 12:56:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

As your constituent and a long-time voter, I am writing to urge you to support the Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordon Mar and Matt Haney.

Our neighborhood, like most in San Francisco today, is in a serious mess. Streets keep getting dirtier, and corruption and greed are going unchecked. San Francisco needs oversight and accountability to ensure that our government serves the public good. We must clear out corruption and clean up our streets! The most effective way to do that is by creating a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

These services make sense. They can work together to make our City more accountable, clean and green.

In light of the health and fiscal crises facing San Francisco today, it is especially important to rebuild trust in our government by cleaning up our City and City Hall. The structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar will help accomplish these goals.

Please vote YES for the Public Advocate and YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, to keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Suzanne Cowan
suzcowan@mindspring.com
1646 Grove St.
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Suzanne Cowan](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 12:56:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

As your constituent and a long-time voter, I am writing to urge you to support the Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordon Mar and Matt Haney.

Our neighborhood, like most in San Francisco today, is in a serious mess. Streets keep getting dirtier, and corruption and greed are going unchecked. San Francisco needs oversight and accountability to ensure that our government serves the public good. We must clear out corruption and clean up our streets! The most effective way to do that is by creating a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

These services make sense. They can work together to make our City more accountable, clean and green.

In light of the health and fiscal crises facing San Francisco today, it is especially important to rebuild trust in our government by cleaning up our City and City Hall. The structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar will help accomplish these goals.

Please vote YES for the Public Advocate and YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, to keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Suzanne Cowan
suzcowan@mindspring.com
1646 Grove St.
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Maeve Johnston](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 4:38:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Maeve Johnston
maevejo@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94601

From: [Maeve Johnston](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 4:39:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Maeve Johnston
maevejo@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94601

From: [Matt Swagler](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: vote YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 6:46:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

My name is Matt Swagler. I've worked for SF rec and park for 3 years. I've been following the FBI investigations into corruption in city departments closely. My work (pest control) takes me all over the city and I see how dirty the streets are. I think splitting dpw into a streets division with oversight would result in some real changes. I think it could practically pay for itself if we eliminate some of the money laundering and diversion.

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Matt Swagler

swagdog@gmail.com
685 5th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Matt Swagler](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: vote YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 6:46:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

My name is Matt Swagler. I've worked for SF rec and park for 3 years. I've been following the FBI investigations into corruption in city departments closely. My work (pest control) takes me all over the city and I see how dirty the streets are. I think splitting dpw into a streets division with oversight would result in some real changes. I think it could practically pay for itself if we eliminate some of the money laundering and diversion.

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Matt Swagler

swagdog@gmail.com
685 5th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Brian Capurro](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 6:55:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Brian Capurro
briancapurro@gmail.com

San Leandro, California 94578

From: [Brian Capurro](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 6:55:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Brian Capurro
briancapurro@gmail.com

San Leandro, California 94578

From: [Dan Foldes](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 7:06:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Dan Foldes
danfoldes@gmail.com
152 Central Ave
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Dan Foldes](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 7:06:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Dan Foldes
danfoldes@gmail.com
152 Central Ave
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [anne woods](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 7:14:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

anne woods
anile02@gmail.com
CA
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [anne woods](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 7:14:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

anne woods
anile02@gmail.com
CA
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Alby Gebretsadik](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 7:39:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Alby Gebretsadik
sfalbyg@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94602

From: [Alby Gebretsadik](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: YES on DSS & Public Advocate to clean up corruption and clean up our streets
Date: Monday, July 13, 2020 7:39:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Of the Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I'm writing in strong support for both the Public Advocate and the Department of Sanitation and Streets measures sponsored by Supervisors Gordan Mar and Matt Haney.

The status quo is broken. While corrupt officials continue to line their pockets, our streets keep getting dirtier. San Francisco needs structural reform to address the corruption that's run rampant for years. We need oversight and accountability to ensure our government is effective, transparent, and serving the public good. We need to clean up corruption, and clean up our streets, and we can do that with a Public Advocate and a Department of Sanitation and Streets.

The Public Advocate and Department of Sanitation and Streets (DSS) are both common sense measures that are thoughtful, comprehensive, and will work hand in hand to make our City more effective and accountable. DSS will ensure we have a department dedicated to keeping our city clean and green and will provide the public oversight we so desperately need. The Public Advocate will ensure we have an office dedicated to rooting out corruption, fraud, and abuse of the public trust.

In light of the public health crisis, the economic downturn, an ongoing FBI scandal, and additional revenue measures heading to the November ballot, it's more important than ever that we rebuild trust in our government. We need to clean up our City and City Hall, and we need the structural reforms proposed by Supervisors Haney and Mar to do it. To deny voters the chance to decide on these measures in November would be a further betrayal of the public trust.

Please vote YES to fix the broken status quo -- vote YES for the Public Advocate, vote YES for the Department of Sanitation and Streets, and keep our City clean, safe, and accountable.

Alby Gebretsadik
sfalbyg@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94602

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 26 letters for File Nos. 200645, 200648, 200651 and 200656
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 6:15:00 PM
Attachments: [26 letters for File Nos. 200645 200648 200651 200656.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 26 letters for File Nos. 200645, 200648, 200651 and 200656.

File No. 200645 - Initiative Ordinance - Business and Tax Regulations Code - Business Tax Changes

File No. 200648 - Charter Amendment and Business and Tax Regulations Code - Adjustment of Baseline Funding and Business Tax Changes

File No. 200651 - Hearing - Initiative Ordinance - Business and Tax Regulations Code - Business Tax Changes

File No. 200656 - Hearing - Initiative Ordinance - Business and Tax Regulations Code - Business Tax Changes

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Anthony Roumph](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 1:58:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express my personal strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

As a longtime resident of the city (and lifelong Bay Area resident) I am truly amazed by the seemingly continued disdain for our industry. Your continued legislation only leads to the destruction of jobs not their creation. Supervisor Peskin speaks of billionaires and bailouts – no hotel I know of has received any such bailout, nor do I believe the eleven of you truly understand a situation that is so dire, most of your constituents hotels have found it more cost effective to close than to stay open.

To assume additional taxes on an industry that needs resuscitation and support is beyond mind blowing, instead you attempt to add another nail in the coffin. Your focus on creating standards for us to be “the cleanest and safest” hotels in the nation is also farcical. Your governance has already made the city a place where tourist are cautious to travel. Oracle in our own backyard left us, multiple conventioners mention they well never return – all before the pandemic and all because of your failed policies that you continue to enact and perpetuate.

Your “double whammy” of legislation targeted at our industry only will make our industry’s recovery that much longer if even at all. The golden goose has no eggs left to take, please work on legislation that helps build us back up and not laws that continue to break us down further.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Tony Roumph | General Manager

Kimpton Sir Francis Drake Hotel
450 Powell St. | San Francisco, CA 94102
D: 415.395.8514
anthony.roumph@sirfrancisdrake.com | www.sirfrancisdrake.com

Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail - Kimpton Earthcare 

From: [Patrick Dougherty](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: BEI San Francisco Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 2:03:04 PM
Attachments: [8E437968C2F94639853810B2966D7CC1\[34907372\].png](#)
[1E4F544675A643648A75ECF30BF59319\[34907377\].png](#)
[9E524F9AA8BE422083E53A05972BC948\[34907378\].png](#)
[315434FEE16B418CB27182432CC019CA\[34907379\].png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express (*company or individual name*) strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I/we are requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

I am flummoxed! The Supervisors just passed a ridiculous cleaning ordinance, at no point were hospitality professionals brought in to assist with its crafting; the negative consequences will be felt by the hard-working property staff members for years to come. Now, because of years of poor financial management the supervisors will again try to extract even more money from our industry. When is enough, enough? For an industry that has participated in the growth of this city, helped transform it into the world-class destination San Francisco has become and has put billions of dollars into the city funds, we receive very little respect for our contributions. How about you begin to worry about the homelessness crisis right outside my door. There is a homeless hotel right next to our property, the city is paying for these unused rooms, yet there is still tents on the sidewalk right where you enter the property. How is that possible? We lose business on a weekly basis because the reviews of this area are not conducive to peoples business or vacation plans. I walked past a different person smoking crack 3x just in the past 7 days. Start to work with this industry and we can all partner to help this wonderful city reach its potential.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,



PATRICK DOUGHERTY

General Manager
50 Eighth St. San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-575-5200

pdougherty@beihotelsf.com

www.beihotelsf.com

<https://www.beihotelsf.com/work/collaborate-at-bei/>

From: [Garry Cox](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Fang, Greg](#); [Phil Tufano](#); [Rick Patten](#); [Kevin Carroll](#); [Kelly Powers](#); [Jeremy H. Kueffner](#); [Heiko Novak](#); [Robel Seyoum](#); [Brian Bolf](#)
Subject: Axiom Hotel Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 3:59:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express Axiom Hotel's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. We are requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for the City to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be expected that the City would be discussing tax relief for industries such as accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

If these tax measures are implemented, it would further increase the cost of doing business in San Francisco for our hotel and we would be forced to commensurately increase rates, making it less attractive for travelers to visit the City and spend their money. If this strategy did not prove successful, we would have to make cuts to our variable expense of labor, likely losing jobs as a result.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Garry Cox

Garry Cox, CHA | Area General Manager

Email: garry.cox@axiomhotel.com

Tel: 415.39AXIOM ([415.392.9466](tel:415.392.9466)) **Direct:** [628.242.0222](tel:628.242.0222)

[28 Cyril Magnin Street, San Francisco, CA 94102](#)



From: [Chip Conley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Chip Conley's Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 4:26:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

If passed, the below hotels, restaurants and spa that I have ownership in will be even more negatively impacted than they already are in the midst of this pandemic, and will threaten our ability to reopen and continue to be part of our city's rich history:

Businesses and Number of Years in San Francisco:

Phoenix Hotel/Chambers Restaurant- 34 years
Kabuki Spa - 22 years
Laurel Inn/Laureate Restaurant- 21 years
Hotel Del Sol - 21 years
Petite Auberge - 18 years
White Swan Inn - 18 years

Again, I ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Chip Conley

Wisdom@Work is available [here](#)
Explore MEA's 2020/21 Workshops [here](#)
My daily blog, WisdomWell, is available [here](#)

From: [Sue Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Argonaut Hotel Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 5:17:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express the Argonaut Hotel's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time.

These new tax measures create anxiety and more uncertainty at precisely the wrong time. Our goal is to re-open as soon as possible and have work for our employees. Please help us achieve this goal.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Sue Tan
Staff Accountant
Argonaut Hotel

From: [Sue Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Hotel Zoe Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 5:19:49 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express the Hotel Zoe's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time.

These new tax measures create anxiety and more uncertainty at precisely the wrong time. Our goal is to re-open as soon as possible and have work for our employees. Please help us achieve this goal.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Sue Tan
Controller


FISHERMAN'S WHARF

425 North Point Street, San Francisco, CA 94133

(O) 415-292-4564

(C) 415-292-4596

stan@noblehousehotels.com

From: [Volkart, Jacqueline](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: The St. Regis San Francisco - Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 5:32:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express The St. Regis San Francisco strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I/we are requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

As the Chair of the Marriott Business Council for the Bay Area and the General Manager of The St. Regis San Francisco, I have an obligation to protect the jobs of our employees in the city and hotels staying open for many years to come. Covid-19 has put hotel ownerships in very challenging situation, many asking, *"Is it worth to reopen a hotel; how can we structure the operation not to create even bigger losses"*. An extra tax will put a huge burden in our already struggling industry. We all know, the city of San Francisco sparkles when we welcomes conventions, leisure guests and business travellers. The city comes alive, provides jobs to people and keeps our hospitality professionals able to take care of their families. Therefore, please reconsider, our industry creates unique, memorable and personal experiences for our guests by our incredible employees, who go above and beyond every single day.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Warm regards & [Live Exquisite](#)
Jackie

Jacqueline Volkart, MBA, CMP, CAM
General Manager & Chair of the Marriott Business Council

The St. Regis San Francisco
125 Third Street San Francisco, California 94103
t. +1 415 284 4050 | m. +1-415-748-7014
stregissanfrancisco.com

From: [Stefan Muhle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Argonaut Hotel Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 5:42:18 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express the Argonaut Hotel's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time.

These new tax measures create anxiety and more uncertainty at precisely the wrong time. Our goal is to re-open as soon as possible and have work for our employees. Please help us achieve this goal.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Stefan Mühle
Area Managing Director


FISHERMAN'S WHARF

ARGONAUT™
A NOBLE HOUSE HOTEL

495 Jefferson St, San Francisco, CA 94109

(O) 415-345-5505

(F) 415-345-5513

smuhle@noblehousehotels.com



From: [Stefan Muhle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Hotel Zoe Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 5:43:13 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express Hotel Zoe's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time.

These new tax measures create anxiety and more uncertainty at precisely the wrong time. Our goal is to re-open as soon as possible and have work for our employees. Please help us achieve this goal.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Stefan Mühle
Area Managing Director


FISHERMAN'S WHARF


A NOBLE HOUSE HOTEL

495 Jefferson St, San Francisco, CA 94109

(O) 415-345-5505

(F) 415-345-5513

smuhle@noblehousehotels.com



From: [Celia Ghanem](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Argonaut Hotel Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 7:24:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express the Argonaut Hotel's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time.

These new tax measures create anxiety and more uncertainty at precisely the wrong time. Our goal is to re-open as soon as possible and have work for our employees. Please help us achieve this goal.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Celia Ghanem
Area Director of Finance
Argonaut Hotel

From: [Celia Ghanem](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Hotel Zoe Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 7:26:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express the Hotel Zoe's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time.

These new tax measures create anxiety and more uncertainty at precisely the wrong time. Our goal is to re-open as soon as possible and have work for our employees. Please help us achieve this goal.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Celia Ghanem
Area Director of Finance
Hotel Zoe

From: [Diogo Vieira](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Argonaut Hotel Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 8:39:10 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image009.png](#)
[image010.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express the Argonaut Hotel's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time.

These new tax measures create anxiety and more uncertainty at precisely the wrong time. Our goal is to re-open as soon as possible and have work for our employees. Please help us achieve this goal.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Diogo Vieira
Assistant Front Office Manager



425 North Point Street, San Francisco, CA 94133

(O) 415-561-1100

(F) 415-561-1199

dvieira@noblehousehotels.com

From: [Don Dennis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: exempt hotels from November Tax Measures
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 6:22:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express Noble House Hotel's, representing The Argonaut Hotel and Hotel Zoe, strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I/we are requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

Adding additional operating expenses will force hotels to raise rates in a market that was already struggling to attract new business prior to COVID-19. This will ultimately lead to a reduction in future demand. Less business equates to not only less tax revenues, but also less jobs.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Don Dennis
President, Operations



600 6th Street S, Kirkland, WA 98033

(O) 425-636-5675

(C) 206-793-7999

ddennis@noblehousehotels.com

From: [Brittney Beck](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please exempt hotels from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 7:35:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I want to express my strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry - especially small, Legacy Businesses like mine. I'm requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting. Please, help our struggling industry. My hotel was built in 1958, by my grandfather. We have never closed a day since, however, with the current situation, I don't know how we are going to continue. We are sinking, and I'm gasping for air. These are things that keep me awake at night. When I think about additional tax and hardships that are continually being put on businesses like mine, it leaves a very sour taste. Help me regain a love for this city.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Brittney Beck



2222 Market St.,
San Francisco | CA | 94114
p: 415-621-8212

From: [Clifton, Angie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Marriott Union Square Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 10:51:55 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express the San Francisco Marriott Union Square's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. We are requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

If passed these increased expenses would further delay in the re-opening of the hotel, negatively impacting close to 200 employees/city residents.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,
Angie Clifton



ANGIE CLIFTON, GENERAL MANAGER
San Francisco Marriott Union Square
480 Sutter Street, San Francisco, CA 94108

P 415.398.8900 | **F** 415.398.5981 | **E** angie.clifton@marriott.com | marriotthotels.com



From: [Kevin Thang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Hotel Zoe Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 12:13:31 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express the Hotel Zoe's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time.

These new tax measures create anxiety and more uncertainty at precisely the wrong time. Our goal is to re-open as soon as possible and have work for our employees. Please help us achieve this goal.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Kevin Thang
Area Director of IT
Hotel Zoe

Kevin Thang
Area Director of IT

Hotel Zoe
FISHERMAN'S WHARF

ARGONAUT™
A NOBLE HOUSE HOTEL

495 Jefferson St, San Francisco, CA 94109

Argonaut (O) 415-345-5553 | Hotel Zoe (O) 415-292-4529

kthang@noblehousehotels.com



From: [Kevin Thang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Argonaut Hotel Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 12:13:32 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express the Argonaut Hotel's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time.

These new tax measures create anxiety and more uncertainty at precisely the wrong time. Our goal is to re-open as soon as possible and have work for our employees. Please help us achieve this goal.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Kevin Thang
Area Director of IT
Argonaut Hotel

Kevin Thang
Area Director of IT

Hotel Zoe
FISHERMAN'S WHARF

ARGONAUT™
A NOBLE HOUSE HOTEL

495 Jefferson St, San Francisco, CA 94109

Argonaut (O) 415-345-5553 | Hotel Zoe (O) 415-292-4529

kthang@noblehousehotels.com



From: [Phelan, Jim](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Hotel Vitale Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 12:40:31 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Jim Phelan
Director of Revenue Strategy
HOTEL VITALE | AMERICANO RESTAURANT & BAR | SPA VITALE
A Joie de Vivre Hotel, Restaurant & Spa
Eight Mission Street
San Francisco, California 94105
Mobile 919-586-1885



jdvhotels.com | hotelvital.com

facebook.com/hotelvital

instagram.com/hotelvital

From: [Ashesh Manandhar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Argonaut Hotel Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 12:53:07 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express the Argonaut Hotel's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time.

These new tax measures create anxiety and more uncertainty at precisely the wrong time. Our goal is to re-open as soon as possible and have work for our employees. Please help us achieve this goal.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Ashesh Manandhar
Revenue Analyst


FISHERMAN'S WHARF

ARGONAUT™
A NOBLE HOUSE HOTEL

495 Jefferson St, San Francisco, CA 94109

(O) 415.345.5516

amanandhar@noblehousehotels.com

From: [Ashesh Manandhar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Hotel Zoe Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 12:54:21 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express the Hotel Zoe's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time.

These new tax measures create anxiety and more uncertainty at precisely the wrong time. Our goal is to re-open as soon as possible and have work for our employees. Please help us achieve this goal.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Ashesh Manandhar
Revenue Analyst


FISHERMAN'S WHARF

ARGONAUT™
A NOBLE HOUSE HOTEL

495 Jefferson St, San Francisco, CA 94109

(O) 415.345.5516

amanandhar@noblehousehotels.com

From: [Huldi, Roger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: November 2020 Tax ballot measures
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 4:01:07 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express W Hotel San Francisco's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

Our hotel desperately trying to find a path to reopen and get our talent and team members back to work. Not only are we now burden with an extremely expensive Health Ordinance but also face tax increases that make that threatens our future existence.

Again, we respectfully ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Roger Huldi

ROGER HULDI
GENERAL MANAGER / W SAN FRANCISCO
181 Third Street / San Francisco, CA 94103
T 415-817-7878 / E Roger.Huldi@whotels.com
[EXPLORE WHAT'S NEW / NEXT AT W HOTELS WORLDWIDE](#)
WHOTELS.COM / facebook.com/wsanfrancisco / twitter.com/wsanfrancisco / Instagram [@wsanfrancisco](#) & [@tracewsf](#)



From: [Kimball, Jon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 4:58:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express The Westin St. Francis on Union Square strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. We are requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

The Westin ST. Francis on Union Square has been part of San Francisco's history for over 115 years. This is by far the most difficult period we have had to endure. I have to admit that any additional tax initiatives impacting us would be so difficult to withstand and ultimately lead to further staffing changes throughout hotel, affecting our precious associates who have been part of our hotel's great history.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed. Thanks very much.

Sincerely,

**JON
KIMBALL**
Area General Manager

THE WESTIN ST. FRANCIS SAN FRANCISCO ON UNION SQUARE
335 Powell Street, San Francisco, CA 94102
T 415.774.0101 M 415.725.1089

www.westinstfrancis.com

[Marriott BonVoy](#) > [Facebook](#) > [Twitter](#) > [Instagram](#)

From: [Sigrid Schafmann](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Proposed Tax Increases – Opposed!
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 8:17:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor London Breed, Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

I am writing to you express my upmost opposition to any new or increased taxes on San Francisco businesses.

As businesses are struggling to stay alive, especially small businesses and rental property owners, raising taxes at this time will be disastrous. Already, businesses and people are fleeing the city, as well as the state of California, en masse.

The gross receipt tax is particularly burdensome since it does not take into consideration expenses and can leave a business without any income, or not enough to survive. We are struggling to survive, raising taxes at this time, when the economy has not even opened, is not only highly insensitive, it is reckless and the kiss of death. You can eat the golden goose only once.

We need your support by lowering taxes and fees, reduce waste, and speed up services.

San Francisco does not need new or higher taxes, but accountability for the City's \$12 billion annual budget! The City does not need more money, it needs to focus on getting quality services, accountability, and to stop and procecute scandalous corruption.

The quality of life in San Francisco has been deteriorating for years*, scaring away tourist, businesses, and profitable conventions alike (e.g.: American Medical Association).

Sincerely,
Sigrid Schafmann

*rampant car break-ins, muggings, filth and trash everywhere, gridlock, congestion, crumbling infrastructure, never-ending, over-budget construction, mentally ill people roaming the streets, lootings, etc.

From: [Humphreys, Matt \(SFORS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 10:48:47 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

We need our hotels open so we can get these employees back to work and get this city back to its glory. The Hospitality industry has been hit the hardest and we need your support to ensure we can get our teams back up and running. I know the city is hurting but let us drive fill your pockets through our TOT tax from getting back in business, not by adding more taxes that will keep us closed.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Matt

Matthew Humphreys
Area Vice President & General Manager

HYATT REGENCY SAN FRANCISCO
5 Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, CA 94111, USA
T: +1 415.291.6585 F: +1 415.677.9986 E: matt.humphreys@hyatt.com
www.sanfranciscoregency.hyatt.com



THINK BEFORE YOU PRINT: Please consider the environment before printing this email.

[Brand New Water View Rooms Press Release](#)

Why we love San Francisco? [Find out here.](#)

[VIEW](#) our electronic brochure

2019 Ranked #6 Bay Area's Best Places to Work: Largest Companies

2019 Certificate of Excellence, *TripAdvisor*

2019 Green Leaders – Gold Level, *TripAdvisor*

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

From: [Mark Beevor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Hotel Zetta's Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 11:34:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express Hotel Zetta's strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. We are requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

I understand the need to drive revenue to help fill the deficit but hitting an industry that is already being targeted with the healthy ordinance is surely going to increase the slow down of hotels being able to open up, which slows the creation of jobs, slowing the revenue stream for the city. These new taxes are a lose / lose for the city.

In speaking to my team who are at home, they just want to come back to work. I had a sales manager come by this week just so he could say hi and feel the energy of the hotel again. To say he is hungry to come back to work is an understatement. When he asked when does he think employees will be coming back in the past I would say it depends on the uptick of business, now I find myself saying it depends on the Supervisors. This is not about driving dollars this about effecting peoples lives who are already in a tough spot.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Mark.

Mark Beevor | General Manager

HOTEL ZETTA SAN FRANCISCO

D 415 321 5132 **M** 307 690 5666 **F** 415 543 5885

E mark.beevor@viceroymotelgroup.com

55 5th Street

San Francisco, CA 94103



Follow us [@HotelZetta](https://www.instagram.com/HotelZetta)

<p>HOTEL zetta SAN FRANCISCO</p>		<p>BEST RATE ANYWHERE HERE ONLINE</p> <p>BOOK NOW</p>
---	---	--

From: [Noyes, Mark](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: November Tax Measures
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 11:49:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: Hotel Vitale Request for Hotels to be Exempt from November 2020 Tax Measures

Dear Supervisors,

I, Mark Noyes, General Manager at Hotel Vitale am writing to express *my* strong opposition to any additional taxes being placed on the hotel industry. I am requesting that hotels be exempt from all tax measures being discussed at the July 16th Budget and Finance Committee meeting.

The current business tax initiatives proposed for the November 2020 ballot would be devastating for San Francisco hotels who are trying to determine how and when they can open again and bring back employees. Now is the time for our city to help its number one industry get back on its feet. It is simply not the time to be increasing taxes on one of the hardest hit industries by the COVID-19 Pandemic. In fact, it would be more expected that the city would be discussing tax relief for industries like accommodations at this time. We ask that hotels be exempt from any tax increases.

These additional taxes would further delay the reopening of my hotel and cause convention meeting planners to take a closer look at booking San Francisco due to such a high tax base versus other comparable cities.

Again, we ask that hotels be exempt from the November 2020 tax initiatives being proposed.

Sincerely,

Mark Noyes

General Manager

HOTEL VITALE | AMERICANO RESTAURANT & BAR | SPA VITALE

A Joie de Vivre Hotel, Restaurant & Spa

Eight Mission Street

San Francisco, California 94105

Tel: (415) 278-3710



jdvhotels.com | hotelvitale.com

facebook.com/hotelvitale

instagram.com/hotelvitale