

FILE NO. 200799

Petitions and Communications received from July 16, 2020, through July 23, 2020, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on July 28, 2020.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18), making the following appointment: Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

Yakuh Askew - Arts Commission - term ending July 15, 2021

From the Office of the Mayor and the Mayor's Office of Housing/Community Development, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-305, submitting their Annual Reports on Gifts received for FY2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Department of Health, submitting Orders of the Health Officer No. C19-07f, updated July 20, 2020; C19-15; and C19-12c. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the City Administrator, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-305(c), submitting their Annual Report on Donations for CY2019; and pursuant to Administrative Code, Section, 10.100-100, submitting their Annual Report for the San Francisco Disaster Emergency Response and Recovery Fund. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Office of the Controller, submitting the report titled Business Tax Change: Economic Impact Report. File No. 200648. Copy Each Supervisor: (5)

From the Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor, issuing two reports on SFMTA: Compliance Audits of North Beach and Vallejo Parking Garages. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the Department of the Environment, pursuant to the Environment Code, submitting the 2019 Annual Buy Green Report for San Francisco City Departments. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the Ethics Commission, pursuant to the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 1.156, submitting San Francisco's Limited Public Financing Program for the November 2019 Election. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the Public Utilities Commission, pursuant to Ordinance No. 143-18, for the Water Enterprise; Ordinance No. 144-18, for the Wastewater Enterprise; and Ordinance

No. 142-18, for the Power Enterprise, submitting a summary of Revenue Bonds Authorization. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From the Youth Commission, submitting eight actions from July 20, 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From Norton Life Lock, pursuant to the WARN Act, California Labor Code, Section 1401, submitting notice of plant closures and/or mass layoffs. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From Reuben Law, regarding proposed Ordinance - Planning Code - Zoning Controls - Urban Mixed Use District - Office Uses. File No. 200143. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Mychalleah Werner, regarding Hearing - Appeal of Determination of Exemption From Environmental Review - 743 Vermont Street. File No. 200160. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Mari Eliza, regarding proposed Ordinance - Planning Code - Arts Activities, Social Service or Philanthropic Facilities, and COVID-19 Recovery Activities as Temporary Uses; Fee Waiver for COVID-19 Recovery Activities. File No. 200215. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Ordinance - Administrative Code - Food Purchasing Standards and Departmental Goals. File No. 200244. 40 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Emergency Ordinance - Cleaning and Disease Prevention Standards in Tourist Hotels and Large Commercial Office Buildings. File No. 200638. 6 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From concerned citizens, regarding Hearing - Police Department - Budget Analysis. File No. 200531. 10 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From concerned citizens, regarding Caltrain and a proposed sales tax. 19 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Hearing - Public Health Department - Budget Analysis. File No. 200532. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Ordinances for Balboa Reservoir Project. File No. 200422, 200423, 200635. 54 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From Jordan Davis, regarding proposed Charter Amendment - Public Advocate. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Ordinance - Business and Tax Regulations, Planning Codes - Hub Housing Sustainability District. File No. 200558. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From concerned citizens, regarding skateboarders on Dolores Street. 8 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Hearing - Committee of the Whole - Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Mission Bay South Blocks 29-32 Redevelopment Project - July 21, 2020, at 3:00 p.m. File No. 200631. 5 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From Eileen Boken, regarding proposed Initiative Ordinance - Authorizing the Development of Up to 10,000 Affordable Rental Units in the City Under Article 34 of the California Constitution. File No. 200647. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, regarding proposed Emergency Ordinance - Temporary Waiver of Business Registration and License Fees for Certain Small Businesses Due to COVID-19 Pandemic. File No. 200760. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From concerned citizens, regarding issues associated with the COVID-19 pandemic. 7 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From Deetje Boler, regarding proposed Resolution - Contract - Next Generation Customer Information System - New Real-Time Vehicle Arrival and Service Update System - Not to Exceed \$88,980,877. File No. 200767. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Resolution - Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act. File No. 200771. 222 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From Jamey Frank, regarding the Fell Street protected bike lane "pilot" project. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From the Sierra Club, regarding the proposed sports lighting at St. Ignatius High School, and the need for an Environmental Impact Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From Sue Vaughan, regarding waving fees for tech shuttle busses. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)

From concerned citizens, regarding homelessness in San Francisco. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (33)

From Leo Pong, regarding justice for Breonna Taylor. Copy: Each Supervisor. (34)

From the City of Vallejo, regarding the Resolution No. 275-20, Urging the City of Vallejo to Require Vallejo Police to Release Body Camera Footage Related to the Killing of Sean Monterrosa. Copy: Each Supervisor. (35)

From Lily Donovan-Seid, regarding President Trump and federal officers in Portland and Chicago. Copy: Each Supervisor. (36)

From UCSF, submitting a Notice of Completion of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the UCSF Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan. Copy: Each Supervisor. (37)

From the Ethics Commission, regarding the need to maintain full funding for the Ethics Commission and department. Copy: Each Supervisor. (38)

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: TIME SENSITIVE: Mayoral Appointment 3.100(18) - Arts Commission
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 6:30:00 PM
Attachments: [Clerk's Memo - 7.20.2020.pdf](#)
[2020-Yakuh Askew-ART-Appt Letter.pdf](#)
[2020-Yakuh Askew-Resume.pdf](#)
[2020-Yakuh Askew-Ethics Waiver.pdf](#)
[Form 700 2019.2020 IA.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached complete Mayoral appointment package, pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). For more information and instructions please see the memo from the Clerk of the Board.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: July 20, 2020
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *AOC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral Appointment - Arts Commission

On July 20, 2020, the Mayor submitted the following complete appointment package pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). Appointments in this category are effective immediately unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors.

- **Yakuh Askew - Arts Commission**
 - Term ending - July 15, 2021

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on a Mayoral appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within 30 days of the appointment as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

If you would like to hold a hearing on this appointment, please notify me in writing by **12:00 p.m. on Wednesday, July 29, 2020.**

c: Hillary Ronen - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney
Sophia Kittler - Mayor's Legislative Liaison



Notice of Appointment

July 20, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment:

Yakuh Askew to the Arts Commission for the seat formerly held by Lydia So, for the unexpired portion of the four-year term ending July 15, 2021.

I am confident that Mr. Askew will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how his appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact Rebecca Peacock in my office at 415-554-6982.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

From: [Heckel, Hank \(MYR\)](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice Under Admin 10.100-305
Date: Friday, July 17, 2020 5:06:10 PM
Attachments: [Notice Letter re Admin Code 10.100-305.pdf](#)

Dear Madam Clerk,

Please see the attached report to the Board of Supervisors regarding gifts received by the Office of the Mayor in FY 19/20. Please let me know if there are any questions.

Thank you,

Hank Heckel
Legal Compliance Officer
Office of the Mayor
City and County of San Francisco
(415) 554-4796



VIA EMAIL
Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

July 17, 2020

Re: Annual Report on Gifts

Dear Madam Clerk:

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.100-305(c), this serves to provide the Board of Supervisors with a report on gifts received by the Office of the Mayor of up to \$10,000.

During FY 19-20, the Office of the Mayor received a gift of \$3,800 from the San Francisco Special Events Committee to fund the staff holiday party on December 19, 2019.

Sincerely,

/s/ Hank Heckel

Hank Heckel
Legal Compliance Officer
Office of the Mayor
City and County of San Francisco

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: MOHCD Gift Report FY 20
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 11:31:00 AM
Attachments: [BOS Gift Memo.docx](#)
[722 Montgomery Accept and Expend Reso.pdf](#)

From: Catapang, Rally (MYR) <rally.catapang@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 10:24 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: McCloskey, Benjamin (MYR) <benjamin.mccloskey@sfgov.org>
Subject: MOHCD Gift Report FY 20

Hi,

Please see MOHCD's FY 20 gift report as well as related A&E reso. Let me know in case you have any questions.

Thanks

Rally

Rally Catapang
Finance Manager
Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
1 South Van Ness, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
tel: 415.701.5562 fax: 415.701.5502
rally.catapang@sfgov.org

Subject: Annual Report on Gifts received by Department MOHCD in Fiscal Year 2020

[illegible]

1 [Accept and Expend Gift - San Francisco 722 Montgomery, LLC - Citywide Affordable
2 Housing Fund - \$100,000]

3 **Resolution authorizing the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development to**
4 **accept and expend a gift of \$100,000 from San Francisco 722 Montgomery, LLC, to the**
5 **Citywide Affordable Housing Fund.**

6
7 WHEREAS, The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) is
8 responsible for collecting and expending inclusionary housing fees and other fees that provide
9 funding for affordable housing; and

10 WHEREAS, San Francisco 722 Montgomery, LLC, a California limited liability
11 company, is developing a residential project located at 722 Montgomery Street and is paying
12 the required inclusionary fee of \$325,305 plus interest of \$90,782 for a total of \$416,087 to the
13 Citywide Affordable Housing Fund; and

14 WHEREAS, San Francisco 722 Montgomery, LLC has offered a gift of \$100,000 to the
15 City and County of San Francisco for the construction of new affordable housing in San
16 Francisco, which would be given to the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund for such purposes;
17 and



18 WHEREAS, The Citywide Affordable Housing Fund was established by Administrative
19 Code Section 10.100-49 for the purpose of receiving and expending funds for affordable
20 housing; now, therefore, be it


21 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes MOHCD to accept the
22 gift of from San Francisco 722 Montgomery, LLC and to expend it consistent with the
23 purposes, procedures, and requirements of the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund.
24
25

1 Recommended:

2
3 
4 Kate Hartley, Director

5 Approved:

6 
7 London N. Breed, Mayor
8 

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Ben Rosenfield, Controller



City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Resolution

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 190910

Date Passed: March 03, 2020

Resolution authorizing the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development to accept and expend a gift of \$100,000 from San Francisco 722 Montgomery, LLC, to the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund.


February 26, 2020 Budget and Finance Committee - RECOMMENDED


March 03, 2020 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 10 - Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani,
Walton and Yee
Absent: 1 - Fewer

File No. 190910

I hereby certify that the foregoing
Resolution was ADOPTED on 3/3/2020 by
the Board of Supervisors of the City and
County of San Francisco.


Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board


London N. Breed
Mayor


Date Approved

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: Final update to Health Officer Order No. C19-07f
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 12:40:00 PM
Attachments: [2020.07.20 FINAL Signed Health Officer Order C19-07f - Stay Safe at Home.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Order of the Health Officer No. C19-07f.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
 Executive Assistant
 Board of Supervisors
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 12:37 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Cc: Katy Tang (AIR) <katy.tang@flysfo.com>
Subject: Fw: Final update to Health Officer Order No. C19-07f

Hello Angela and Eileen,

Please see attached an updated safer at home order. Summary notes from City Attorney are below:

- Suspends business operations inside enclosed shopping centers, including in-store retail and essential businesses like restaurants. (Appendix C-1, Additional Businesses)
 - These interior stores may still operate outside/curbside pickup operations and interior restaurants can operate take out, under a safety plan approved by the Health Officer;
- Suspends non-essential office. (Appendix C-1)
- Suspends indoor funerals, including inside funeral homes and houses of worship. (Order text, subsections 8.a.xvii and 8.h.iv)
 - Funeral services can still occur outside, with up to 12 people with face coverings and physical distancing.
- The term of these suspensions is indefinite – they continue until the Health Officer decides to end them, after the State allows the businesses to resume. Under current state guidelines the

suspensions last for at least three weeks. The Health Officer may decide, based on local indicators, to continue them even longer.

- Continues to allow live streaming with restrictions.
 - Houses of worship and other venues, like live performance venues and gyms, can still live stream services indoors as long as all of the current conditions for doing so apply (e.g., only personnel and no congregants, face coverings on, minimum number of people needed to live stream and no more than 12 people total).
 - But the amendment adds a strong recommendation that all houses of worship and other venues now conduct their live streaming outdoors, instead of indoors, to the extent possible, and with the same capacity and other health restrictions as generally described above.
 - Large performance venues may continue to submit plans for approval by the Health Officer but this order suspends approval of those plans.
- Adds a provision, consistent with the State Health Officer's most recent order regarding watch list counties, that outdoor operations can take place under a canopy, tent or sun shade as long as no more than one side is enclosed to allow sufficient outdoor air movement.
- Makes clear that the public library can operate outdoor pickup and return. (Appendix C-2, Additional Activities.) This is consistent with what the order already allows for outdoor curbside operations that apply to retail businesses. There are no other changes to Appendix C-2.
- This amendment to the order does not address schools. A future amendment will likely any required limitations on in-person classes if the City remains on the State watch list.

Sneha Patil, MPH

Director, Office of Policy and Planning

San Francisco Department of Public Health

sneha.patil@sfdph.org | 415-554-2795



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DIRECTING ALL INDIVIDUALS IN THE COUNTY TO CONTINUE
STAYING SAFER AT THEIR PLACES OF RESIDENCE TO THE
EXTENT THEY CAN EXCEPT FOR IDENTIFIED NEEDS AND
ACTIVITIES, AND TO FOLLOW HEALTH RISK REDUCTION
MEASURES OUTSIDE THEIR RESIDENCES; URGING GOVERNMENT
AGENCIES TO PROVIDE SHELTER AND SANITATION FACILITIES
TO INDIVIDUALS EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS; REQUIRING
ALL BUSINESSES AND RECREATION FACILITIES THAT ARE
ALLOWED TO OPERATE TO IMPLEMENT HEALTH RISK
REDUCTION MEASURES; AND DIRECTING ALL BUSINESSES,
FACILITY OPERATORS, AND GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES TO
CONTINUE THE TEMPORARY CLOSURE OF ALL OPERATIONS
THAT ARE NOT YET SAFE ENOUGH TO RESUME**

(STAY SAFER AT HOME)

DATE OF ORDER: July 13, 2020 (updated July 20, 2020)

Please read this Order carefully. Violation of or failure to comply with this Order is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. (California Health and Safety Code § 120295, *et seq.*; California Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1); and San Francisco Administrative Code § 7.17(b))

Summary: On February 25, 2020 the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco (the “County”) declared a state of emergency to prepare for coronavirus disease 2019 (“COVID-19”). On March 5, 2020 there was the first reported case of COVID-19 in the County. On March 16, 2020 the County and five other Bay Area counties and the City of Berkeley, working together, were the first in the State to implement shelter-in-place orders in a collective effort to reduce the impact of the virus that causes COVID-19. That virus is easily transmitted, especially indoors or in group settings, and the disease can be extremely serious. It can require long hospital stays, and in some instances cause long-term health consequences or death. It can impact not only those who are older or have underlying health conditions and known to be at high risk, but also other people, regardless of age. And a major risk remains the spread of the virus that causes COVID-19 through asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic carriers, people who can spread the disease but do not even know they are infected and contagious. The spread of disease is a global pandemic causing untold societal, social, and economic harm.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Initially the shelter-in-place orders generally required individuals to stay in their residences except for essential needs like grocery shopping, working in essential businesses, providing essential government functions, or engaging in essential travel. Over time, and based on health data and a risk analysis, the County allowed the phased resumption of some businesses and activities, consistent with the roadmap that the State has established under its order. For instance, the County allowed businesses that had operated primarily outdoors before March 16, 2020, to resume outdoor business activities, and the County has allowed many outdoor recreation activities that do not involve physical contact or shared equipment. Later, the County allowed additional categories of businesses and activities to resume, such as outdoor dining, curbside pick-up, and in-store retail, with other businesses and activities to be added over time when safe to do so.

Through this gradual reopening process the County has adopted risk reduction measures for individuals and businesses as further described below. Beginning on April 17, 2020 and based on increasing evidence that face coverings help protect against the spread of the virus, the County adopted a requirement for people to wear face coverings. That requirement has since been updated to expand the requirement to most settings outside people's residences. The County Health Officer has also issued best practices health directives for a number of businesses and activities, and the County Department of Public Health has issued companion guidance documents.

Meanwhile, in March 2020 after the County and neighboring jurisdictions adopted their shelter-in-place orders, the State adopted its own shelter-in-place order that applied throughout California. And in mid-April 2020 the State established a four-stage roadmap for reopening that sets a baseline for all counties in California and allows counties to go at a slower pace. The State has continued to revise its roadmap. Consistent with the State roadmap, the County created its own phased reopening plan. The County's plan provides for the incremental resumption of certain business and other activities to gradually increase the volume of person-to-person contact to help contain the risk of a surge in COVID-19 cases in the County and the region. The County's plan is available online at <https://sf.gov/topics/reopening>. Because of the density of San Francisco and local health conditions, the County has moved more cautiously than the State otherwise allows. To help further protect workers and the public and give both more confidence in resuming day-to-day activities, the County has imposed health and safety measures that are more restrictive than the State's industry guidelines. In late June 2020, the County Health Officer, with support from the County Board of Supervisors, applied for and received a variance from the State to allow the County more flexibility in its decision-making on the phases of reopening. As long as the County makes progress on ways to contain virus transmission and health-based risk considerations support doing so, the Health Officer will allow additional business and other activities under a phased, incremental process, to provide for a safer economic recovery.

Our collective effort has had a positive impact on limiting the spread of the virus. Early on the County, along with the other Bay Area jurisdictions, were able to bend the curve



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

and preserve hospital capacity. The County continues to work on building up its testing, case finding, case investigation, contact tracing capacity, and resources to protect vulnerable populations and address outbreaks. Still, the severe danger the virus poses to the health and welfare of all continues, and there remains a continuing risk of a surge that will overwhelm the capacity of our hospital system. Also, while the search continues, treatment for disease is limited and there is no vaccine. The vast majority of the population remains susceptible to infection, and local conditions could rapidly worsen if reopening steps are taken too quickly.

Indeed, as of the date of this Order the County and the region are at the beginning of a major surge in infections and hospitalizations, and are taking appropriate steps to respond, including pausing the reopening process. Along with other counties in the Bay Area, the County has been placed on the State monitoring list and is suspending certain additional business activities as required by the State Health Officer. The County Health Officer may suspend more business and other activities—or for a longer duration—than the State requires, depending on local health indicators.

We are going to have to live with the threat of the virus for many months to come. And for us to be able to continue to resume business and other activities, we are all going to have to take responsibility to act safely, including wearing face coverings, keeping at least six feet from others who are not in our household, washing our hands frequently, and minimizing gatherings. We are all in this together, and each of us is going to have to make sacrifices for the good of the community as a whole, including for our most vulnerable members.

This new Order updates, changes, and replaces the prior shelter in place order. In particular, it reflects a shift in the County's approach to focus more on risk reduction while at the same time keeping to an incremental, health-data-driven plan for resuming business and other activity. This Order updates and refines the health data framework that will guide the Health Officer's "gating" decisions about whether to move forward with phases to reopen businesses and resume activities and otherwise modify this Order. Gating criteria are the benchmarks that, when met, will allow the County to move through the gate to the next level of reopening. In connection with those changes to the gating framework, this Order updates and refines the risk criteria that the Health Officer will apply to reopening decisions for specific business sectors and other activities. Those risk factors, described in more detail in the Order, include: the ability to modify behavior to reduce the risk; avoidance of risky activities; the nature of the setting; mixing of households; the number and nature of contacts; and the modification potential for the activity.

This Order includes the following requirements, and you should review the Order itself for additional details.

General Requirements. The Order:



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

- Urges all residents in the County to reduce the risk of COVID-19 transmission by staying in their residences to the extent possible and minimizing trips and activities outside the home;
- Allows people to engage in listed activities, including, for example, working for or going to the businesses listed below and certain governmental and essential infrastructure activities, as well as engaging in essential activities, outdoor activities, certain additional activities, and travel related to those activities;
- Urges older individuals and others who have serious underlying health conditions to remain home other than essential needs;
- Continues to require everyone to wear face coverings while outside their residences, subject to limited exceptions;
- Continues to require everyone to follow social distancing requirements, including staying at least six feet away from members outside of their household, subject to limited exceptions;
- Continues to urge government agencies to provide shelter and sanitation facilities for individuals experiencing homelessness;
- Continues to require everyone to comply with requirements issued by the State and other Health Officer orders and directives; and
- Limits gatherings among different households to help reduce the transmission of the virus.

Requirements for All Businesses. The Order:

- Allows only listed businesses to operate onsite, including essential businesses, outdoor businesses, healthcare operations, and certain additional businesses;
- Allows other businesses only to operate Minimum Basic Operations (as defined in the Order) onsite;
- Requires that businesses continue to maximize the number of people who work remotely from home to the extent possible;
- Requires businesses to complete and post a Social Distancing Protocol checklist in the form attached to the Order as Appendix A;
- Requires businesses to direct personnel to stay home when sick and prohibits adverse action against personnel for doing so;
- Requires businesses and governmental entities to report to the San Francisco Department of Public Health when three or more personnel test positive for the virus that causes COVID-19 within a two-week period;
- Allows for customers to use reusable shopping bags at businesses; and
- Requires businesses to cancel reservations or appointments without a financial penalty when a customer has a COVID-19 related reason.

Mandatory Best Practices Health Officer Directives. The Order requires that businesses and other entities review and comply with any applicable Health Officer Directives, and many of them require a Health and Safety Plan be completed and posted. These requirements include measures to help protect health of workers and customers, such as



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

face covering, social distancing and sanitation protocols and in many instances capacity limits. There are currently directives for many types of businesses and activities, including: construction projects; food delivery and take-out restaurants; residential delivery services; grocery stores, pharmacies, farmer's markets, and hardware stores; healthcare operations that offer elective surgeries, dental care, or ambulatory care; retail stores that offer curbside pickup; manufacturing and warehousing; summer camps; child care; golf and tennis facilities; outdoor dining; indoor retail sales and services; and office environments. All directives are available online at www.sfdph.org/directives.

Term. This Order will remain in effect, without a specific expiration date, for so long as the threat of the pandemic continues, or until this Order is otherwise extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. But the Health Officer will continue to carefully monitor the evolving situation and will periodically revise this Order to loosen – or if need be tighten – restrictions as conditions warrant, to help further the safer economic recovery and resumption of activities.

Table of Contents:

1. Purpose and Findings.....	6
2. Health Gating Framework.....	8
3. Risk Criteria for Additional Businesses and Additional Activities Under Phased Reopening.....	9
4. General Requirements for Individuals.....	10
5. General Requirements for Businesses and Business Activities.....	12
6. Public Transit.....	14
7. Mandatory Reporting by Businesses and Government Entities Regarding Personnel Contracting COVID-19.....	14
8. Definitions.....	14
Allowed Businesses and Business Activities.....	14
Allowed Activities.....	19
Allowed Travel.....	20
Governmental Functions.....	21
Residences and Households.....	21
Social Distancing.....	21
9. Incorporation of State and Local Emergency Proclamations and State Health Orders.....	22
10. Obligation to Follow Stricter Requirements of Orders.....	22



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

11. Obligation to Follow Health Officer Directives and Mandatory State Guidance.	23
12. Enforcement.	23
13. Effective Date.	24
14. Relation to Other Orders of the San Francisco Health Officer.	24
15. Copies.	24
16. Severability.	24

UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (“HEALTH OFFICER”) ORDERS:

1. **Purpose and Findings.**

- a. **Purpose.** As of the effective date and time set forth in Section 13, below, this Order supersedes the May 17, 2020 Order of the Health Officer, No. C19-07e, last updated on June 11, 2020, directing all individuals to shelter in place (the “Prior Order”), and all individuals, Businesses (as defined in Section 8.e below), and applicable government agencies in the County are required to follow the provisions of this Order. This Order continues to temporarily prohibit certain Businesses and activities from resuming and limits gatherings with individuals from other Households (as defined in Section 4.b below) until it is safer to do so. But it allows certain other Businesses, activities, travel and governmental functions to occur subject to specified health and safety restrictions, limitations, and conditions to limit the transmission of Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”). COVID-19 continues to pose a severe risk to residents of our County, and significant safety measures are necessary to protect against a surge in COVID-19 cases, serious illnesses and deaths. Accordingly, this Order requires risk reduction measures to be in place across Business sectors and activities that are allowed to occur, ensuring necessary precautions are followed as we adapt the way we live and function in light of the ongoing threat that the virus now poses and is very likely to continue to pose for some time to come. The Health Officer will continue to monitor data regarding COVID-19 and the evolving scientific understanding of the risks COVID-19 poses and may amend or rescind this Order based on analysis of that data and knowledge.
- b. **Intent.** The primary intent of this Order is to ensure that County residents continue to stay safer in their Residences (as defined in Section 4.b, below) to the extent possible and that together as a community our residents, along with visitors and workers in the County, take appropriate risk reduction measures, especially while outside their Residences, to slow the spread of COVID-19 and mitigate its impact on the delivery of critical healthcare services in the County



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

and the region. As further provided in Section 2, below, the Health Officer intends to allow the phased resumption of Businesses and activities to provide for a safer reopening, with specified risk reduction measures, all while the Health Officer continues to assess the transmissibility and clinical severity of COVID-19 in light of the COVID-19 Indicators and risk framework described in Sections 2 and 3, below.

- c. **Interpretation.** All provisions of this Order must be interpreted to effectuate the intent of this Order as described in subsection (b) above. The summary at the beginning of this Order as well as the headings and subheadings of sections contained in this Order are for convenience only and may not be used to interpret this Order; in the event of any inconsistency between the summary, headings or subheadings and the text of this Order below, the text will control. Certain initially capitalized used in this Order have the meanings given them in Section 8 below. The interpretation of this Order in relation to the health orders of the State is described in Section 10 below.
- d. **Effect of Failure to Comply.** Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both, as further provided in Section 12 below.
- e. **Continuing Severe Health and Safety Risk Posed by COVID-19.** This Order is issued based on evidence of continued significant community transmission of COVID-19 within the County and throughout the Bay Area; continued uncertainty regarding the degree of undetected asymptomatic transmission; scientific evidence and best practices regarding the most effective approaches to slow the transmission of communicable diseases generally and COVID-19 specifically; evidence that the age, condition, and health of a significant portion of the population of the County places it at risk for serious health complications, including death, from COVID-19; and further evidence that others, including younger and otherwise healthy people, are also at risk for serious outcomes. Due to the outbreak of the COVID-19 disease in the general public, which remains a pandemic according to the World Health Organization, there is a public health emergency throughout the County, region and State. That immediate threat to public health and safety is also reflected in the continuing declarations of emergency referenced in Section 9.a below. Making the problem worse, some individuals who contract the virus causing the COVID-19 disease have no symptoms or have mild symptoms, which means they may not be aware they carry the virus and are transmitting it to others. Further, evidence shows that the virus can survive for hours to days on surfaces and be indirectly transmitted between individuals and also may be transmitted through airborne micro-droplets. Because even people without symptoms can transmit the infection, and because evidence shows the infection is easily spread, gatherings of people and other direct or indirect interpersonal interactions, particularly those that occur indoors, can result in preventable transmission of the virus.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

- f. **Local Health Conditions Relating to COVID-19.** The efforts taken beginning in March 2020 under the prior shelter-in-place orders of the Health Officer, along with those of health officers of five neighboring counties, slowed the virus's trajectory. While the public health emergency and threat to the County's population remain severe, the region has significantly increased its capacity to detect cases, contain spread, and treat infected patients through widespread testing; greatly expanded its case investigation and contact tracing program and workforce; and expanded hospital resources and capacity. At the same time, across the region and the rest of the State, there has been a significant reopening of Businesses and activities, accompanied by an increase in cases and hospitalizations, which increases carry risks to County residents and resources. As we continue to evolve our strategies for protecting residents of the County from COVID-19, we must take into account both the trajectory of the virus in the County and across the region, and the increased health risks associated with the opening of many Businesses and activities under the Prior Order. To protect the community from COVID-19, we must ensure that when people engage in activities they are doing so as safely as possible.
- g. **Cases, Hospitalizations and Deaths.** As of July 18, 2020, there were 5,202 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the County (up from 37 on March 16, 2020, the day before the first shelter-in-place order in the County went into effect) as well as at least 52 deaths (up from 1 death on March 17, 2020). This information, as well as information regarding hospitalizations and hospital capacity, is regularly updated on the San Francisco Department of Public Health's website at <https://data.sfgov.org/stories/s/fjki-2fab>.

2. Health Gating Framework for Reopening.

To inform decisions about whether and how to augment, limit, or temporarily prohibit Businesses or activities to slow the spread of COVID-19, the Health Officer will continually review (1) progress on the COVID-19 Indicators; (2) developments in epidemiological and diagnostic methods for tracing, diagnosing, treating, or testing for COVID-19; and (3) scientific understanding of the transmission dynamics and clinical impact of COVID-19.

The COVID-19 Indicators will be key drivers in the Health Officer's gating decisions. In particular, the number of new COVID-19 cases per 100,000 residents, the rate of change in COVID-19 hospitalizations, and the amount of available hospital capacity will help guide decisions. If any indicator or a collection of these and other indicators are orange or red, then the Health Officer will give serious consideration to pausing or even reversing openings if appropriate. Also, the total number of hospitalized COVID-19 patients, and whether this total number is significantly increasing, flat, or decreasing, will play a role in gating decisions, especially if these numbers become larger than the prior surge (e.g., more than 100



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

COVID-19 positive patients in the County's hospitals at one time). Modeling estimates of peak hospitalizations will also be considered.

Information about San Francisco's status under the COVID-19 Indicators is available on the City's website at <https://data.sfgov.org/stories/s/Key-Health-Indicators-on-Containing-COVID-19/epem-wyzb>.

In addition to evaluating the COVID-19 Indicators in making gating decisions, the Health Officer will also consider the estimate of the effective reproductive number (R_e), and whether there is evidence it is increasing, stable, or decreasing. The effective reproductive number (R_e) is the average number of secondary cases per infectious case in the setting of public health interventions (e.g., sheltering in place, face coverings, physical distancing, etc.). When $R_e > 1$, the epidemic curve increases. When $R_e < 1$, the epidemic curve decreases. When $R_e \sim 1$, the epidemic curve is flat.

3. Risk Criteria for Additional Businesses and Additional Activities Under Phased Reopening.

In connection with the health indicators and other public health data discussed above, the Health Officer will consider the risk of transmission involved in Businesses or activities in determining when and how they can safely resume, or if they must remain or be ordered temporarily closed. The following risk criteria will inform this analysis:

- a) *Ability to modify behavior to reduce risk*—whether individuals engaged in the Business or other activity can wear face coverings at all times, maintain at least six feet of physical distancing at all times, and comply with other Social Distancing Requirements, including hand washing and sanitation;
- b) *Avoidance of risky activities*—whether the nature of the Business or activity necessarily involves eating or drinking (which requires removing face covering); gatherings with other Households (which presents risks as described in subsection d below); or singing, chanting, shouting, or playing wind/brass instruments (which all present significant risk of airborne transmission);
- c) *Setting*—Outdoor Businesses and activities are safer than indoor businesses or activities, so outdoors is strongly preferred;
- d) *Mixing of Households*—Mixing of people from different Households present higher risk of virus transmission and community spread, and the more different Households that mix, the greater the cumulative risk;
- e) *Number, frequency, duration and distance of contacts*—The more people who interact, the higher the risk of virus transmission; and the more people who gather at a site, or the more sites involved in the business, possible



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

interactions increase exponentially (number of contacts). The more often people interact, the higher the risk of virus transmission (frequency of contacts). The longer the duration of contacts, the higher the risk of virus transmission (duration of contacts). The closer the proximity of people, the higher the risk of virus transmission (distance of contacts); and

- f) *Modification potential*—the degree to which best practices health protocols can reduce the risk of transmission, where those protocols can be properly implemented.

4. General Requirements for Individuals.

- a. **Staying Safer At Home Is The Best Way To Control Risk.** All people are strongly reminded that continuing to stay home as much as possible is the best way to prevent the risk of COVID-19 transmission, and therefore minimizing trips and activities outside the home helps reduce risk to individuals and the community. All activities that involve contact with people from different Households increase the risk of transmission of COVID-19. Accordingly, all individuals currently living within the County are for the time being ordered to stay in their place of Residence to the extent possible. They are strongly urged to leave their Residence only to:
- Work for or access Businesses that are allowed to be open under this Order (Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, and Additional Businesses, as those terms are defined in Sections 8.a, 8.b and 8.c);
 - Work for, volunteer at, or access services at Healthcare Operations, as that term is defined in Section 8.g;
 - Engage in activities that are allowed under this Order (Essential Activities, Outdoor Activities, and Additional Activities, as those terms are defined in Sections 8.h, 8.i and 8.j); and
 - Engage in Essential Travel, as that term is defined in Section 8.k; or
 - Provide any services or perform any work necessary to the operation maintenance of Essential Governmental Functions or Essential Infrastructure, as those terms are defined in Sections 8.l and 8.m.
- b. **Residences and Households.** For purposes of this Order, “Residences” include hotels, motels, shared rental units, and similar facilities. Residences also include living structures and outdoor spaces associated with those living structures, such as patios, porches, backyards, and front yards that are only accessible to a single family or Household. For purposes of this order “Household” means people living in a single Residence or shared living unit.
- c. **Individuals Experiencing Homelessness.** Individuals experiencing homelessness are exempt from this Section, but are strongly urged to obtain shelter. Government agencies and other entities operating shelters and other facilities



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

that house or provide meals or other necessities of life for individuals experiencing homelessness are strongly urged to, as soon as possible, make such shelter available, and must take appropriate steps to help ensure compliance with Social Distancing Requirements, including adequate provision of hand sanitizer. Also, individuals experiencing homelessness who are unsheltered and living in encampments should, to the maximum extent feasible, abide by 12 foot by 12 foot distancing for the placement of tents, and government agencies should provide restroom and hand washing facilities for individuals in such encampments as set forth in Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Interim Guidance Responding to Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) Among People Experiencing Unsheltered Homelessness (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/unsheltered-homelessness.html>).

- d. **Older Adults and Individuals of Any Age with Underlying Medical Conditions.** Older adults and individuals with underlying medical conditions—including chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, immunocompromised state from solid organ transplant, obesity, serious heart conditions (such as heart failure, coronary artery disease, or cardiomyopathies), sickle cell disease, and diabetes—are strongly urged to stay in their Residence except to access critical necessities such as food, and to seek or provide medical care or Essential Governmental Functions. Individuals with other medical conditions might be at increased risk for severe illness from COVID-19 and are encouraged to minimize activities and interactions with people outside their Household to the extent practicable, except as necessary to seek or provide medical care or Essential Governmental Functions. These conditions, and the most up to date information about who is at greatest risk of severe illness as more information and data emerge about COVID-19, can be found at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/people-at-increased-risk.html>.
- e. **Mandatory Risk Reduction Measures For Individuals Outside their Place of Residence.** When people leave their place of Residence, they must (1) strictly comply with the Social Distancing Requirements as defined in Section 8.o, including maintaining at least six feet of social distance from other people not in the same Household, except as expressly provided in this subsection below or elsewhere in this Order, and (2) wear Face Coverings as provided in, and subject to the limited exceptions in, Health Officer Order No. C19-12b issued April 17, 2020 and revised May 28, 2020 (the “Face Covering Order”), including any future amendments to that order. The requirement to strictly comply with Social Distancing Requirements is subject to a limited exception as necessary to provide care (including childcare, adult or senior care, care to individuals with special needs, and patient care); as necessary to carry out the work of Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, or provide for Minimum Basic Operations; or as otherwise expressly provided in this Order. For clarity,



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

individuals who do not currently reside in the County must comply with all applicable requirements of this Order when in the County.

- f. **Limitations on Gatherings that Involve Mixing of Different Households to Reduce Virus Transmission Risk.** Gatherings of individuals from different Households pose a significant risk of virus transmission to the community. The greater the number of people from different households in a gathering, the greater the risk of the spread of COVID-19. All public and private gatherings of any number of people occurring outside a single Household are prohibited, except as expressly permitted in this Order including, but not limited to, gatherings allowed as Additional Activities in **Appendix C-2**. If, despite this prohibition, people find themselves with members of other Households, they are required to follow the health guidelines for safer interactions set forth in the Tip Sheet for Safer Interactions During COVID-19 Pandemic, posted at: www.sfdcp.org/communicable-disease/diseases-a-z/covid19whatsnew.

5. General Requirements for Businesses and Business Activities.

- a. **Allowed Businesses.** Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, and Additional Businesses, as defined in Sections 8.a, 8.b and 8.c, are allowed to operate in the County under this Order. All other Businesses are temporarily required to cease all activities at facilities located within the County except Minimum Basic Operations, as defined in Section 8.d. Except as otherwise provided in **Appendix C-1**, Businesses that include allowed operations alongside other operations that are not yet allowed must, to the extent feasible, scale down their operations to the allowed components only.
- b. **Maximization of Telework.** All Businesses must continue to maximize the number of Personnel who work remotely from their place of Residence, subject to the conditions and limitations provided in **Appendix C-1**.
- c. **Activities that Can Occur Outdoors.** All Businesses are strongly urged to move as many operations as possible outdoors, to the extent permitted by local law and permitting requirements, where there is generally less risk of COVID-19 transmission.
- d. **Social Distancing Protocol.** As a condition of operating under this Order, the operators of all Businesses allowed to operate must comply with the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol attached to this Order as **Appendix A** and must complete a Social Distancing Protocol checklist for each of their facilities in the County frequented by Personnel or members of the public. The Social Distancing Protocol checklist must be posted at or near each public entrance of each of the Business facilities and must be easily viewable by the public and Personnel. A copy of the Social Distancing Protocol checklist must also be provided in hardcopy or electronic format to each person performing



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

work at the facility. Each Business subject to this paragraph must provide evidence of its implementation of the Social Distancing Protocol requirements to any authority enforcing this Order upon demand. A copy of the Social Distancing Protocol checklist must also be provided by the Business or entity to any member of the public on request.

With the exception of construction activities—which must comply with the Construction Project Safety Protocols set forth in Appendix B—each Business must use the Social Distancing Protocol checklist included in Appendix A or a form that is substantially similar.

The July 13, 2020 update to Appendix A includes three new elements:

1. Reusable shopping bags are now allowed with certain limitations, including that the customer must bag their own items after checkout because Personnel are not allowed to handle bags brought by a customer;
2. Businesses and entities are required to post signs stating that customers with a cough or fever or who are not feeling well should avoid waiting in line for or entering the Business; and
3. When a customer has symptoms or another COVID-19 related reason, an appointment or reservation must be cancelled without financial penalty to the customer, although the Business or entity may offer to reschedule if the customer wants.

Any Business with an existing Social Distancing Protocol checklist must comply with the new requirements by July 27, 2020. All Businesses implementing a Social Distancing Protocol checklist for the first time on or after July 13, 2020 must comply with the requirements and post the updated checklist before resuming operations. The requirements in this subsection for a Social Distancing Protocol do not apply to governmental entities.

- e. Industry Specific Requirements. In addition to the Social Distancing Protocol, all Businesses allowed to operate under this Order must follow any industry or activity-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer related to COVID-19 (available online at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>) and any conditions on operation specified in this Order, including those specified in Appendix C-1.
- f. Businesses Must Allow Personnel to Stay Home When Sick. As outlined in the Social Distancing Protocol, Businesses are required to allow Personnel to stay home if they have symptoms associated with COVID-19, and Personnel are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and may only return to work as outlined in the Social Distancing Protocol. Each Business that is required to comply with the Social Distancing Protocol is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in the Social Distancing Protocol.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

6. Public Transit.

Transit agencies and people riding or waiting to ride on public transit must comply with Social Distancing Requirements, as defined in Section 8.o, and Personnel and passengers must wear Face Coverings as required by the Face Covering Order. Also, people riding or waiting to ride on public transit must follow any applicable directives issued by the County Health Officer (<http://www.sfdph.org/directives>) and any applicable "COVID-19 Industry Guidance" issued by the California Department of Public Health, available at <https://covid19.ca.gov/industry-guidance/>.

7. Mandatory Reporting by Businesses and Government Entities When Three or More Personnel Contract COVID-19 Within Two Weeks.

Businesses and governmental entities must require that all Personnel immediately alert the Business or governmental entity if they test positive for COVID-19 and were present in the workplace within the 48 hours before onset of symptoms or within 48 hours of the date on which they were tested. Businesses and governmental entities can learn more about what to do after a positive COVID-19 case among Personnel at www.sfdcp.org/covid19-positive-workplace. If a Business or governmental entity has three or more Personnel who test positive for COVID-19 within a two week period, then the Business or governmental entity is required to call the San Francisco Department of Public Health at 415-554-2830 immediately to report the cluster of cases. Businesses and governmental entities must also comply with all case investigation and contact tracing measures by the County, including providing any information requested.

8. Definitions.

For purposes of this Order, the following initially capitalized terms have the meanings given below.

Allowed Businesses and Business Activities.

a. *Essential Businesses.* "Essential Businesses" means:

- i. Healthcare Operations (as defined in subsection g below);**
- ii. Grocery stores, certified farmers' markets, farm and produce stands, supermarkets, food banks, convenience stores, and other establishments engaged in the retail sale of unprepared food, canned food, dry goods, non-alcoholic beverages, fresh fruits and vegetables, pet supply, fresh meats, fish, and poultry, as well as hygienic products and household consumer products necessary for personal hygiene or the habitability, sanitation, or operation of Residences. The Businesses included in this subsection include establishments that sell multiple categories of products provided that they**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

sell a significant amount of essential products identified in this subsection, such as liquor stores that also sell a significant amount of food;

- iii. Food cultivation, including farming, livestock, and fishing;
- iv. Businesses that provide food, shelter, and social services, and other necessities of life for economically disadvantaged or otherwise needy individuals;
- v. Construction, but only as permitted under the State Shelter Order and only pursuant to the Construction Safety Protocols listed in Appendix B and incorporated into this Order by this reference. City public works projects shall also be subject to Appendix B, except if other protocols are specified by the Health Officer;
- vi. Newspapers, television, radio, and other media services;
- vii. Gas stations and auto-supply, auto-repair (including, but not limited to, for cars, trucks, motorcycles and motorized scooters), and automotive dealerships, but only for the purpose of providing auto-supply and auto-repair services. This subsection (vii) does not restrict the on-line purchase of automobiles if they are delivered to a Residence or Essential Business;
- viii. Bicycle repair and supply shops;
- ix. Banks and related financial institutions;
- x. Service providers that enable real estate transactions (including rentals, leases, and home sales), including, but not limited to, real estate agents, escrow agents, notaries, and title companies, provided that appointments and other residential real estate viewings must only occur virtually or, if a virtual viewing is not feasible, by appointment with no more than two visitors at a time residing within the same Household and one individual showing the unit (except that in person visits are not allowed when the occupant is present in the Residence);
- xi. Hardware stores;
- xii. Plumbers, electricians, exterminators, and other service providers who provide services that are necessary to maintaining the habitability, sanitation, or operation of Residences and Essential Businesses;
- xiii. Businesses providing mailing and shipping services, including post office boxes;
- xiv. Educational institutions—including public and private K-12 schools, colleges, and universities—for purposes of facilitating distance learning or performing essential functions, or as allowed under subsection (xxvi), provided that social distancing of six feet per person is maintained to the greatest extent possible;
- xv. Laundromats, drycleaners, and laundry service providers;



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

- xvi. **Restaurants and other facilities that prepare and serve food, but only for delivery or carry out. Schools and other entities that typically provide free food services to students or members of the public may continue to do so under this Order on the condition that the food is provided to students or members of the public on a pick-up and take-away basis only. Schools and other entities that provide food services under this exemption shall not permit the food to be eaten at the site where it is provided, or at any other gathering site;**
- xvii. **Funeral home providers, mortuaries, cemeteries, and crematoriums, to the extent necessary for the transport, preparation, or processing of bodies or remains, and to hold funerals for no more than 12 individuals (or, if higher, the number of individuals allowed to gather for social gatherings under Appendix C-2) [EFFECTIVE JULY 20, 2020, AND UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER FOLLOWING AUTHORIZATION BY THE STATE HEALTH OFFICER, ALL FUNERAL SERVICES MUST BE HELD OUTDOORS];**
- xviii. **Businesses that supply other Essential Businesses and Outdoor Businesses with the support or supplies necessary to operate, but only to the extent that they support or supply these Businesses. This exemption shall not be used as a basis for engaging in sales to the general public from retail storefronts;**
- xix. **Businesses that have the primary function of shipping or delivering groceries, food, or other goods directly to Residences or Businesses. This exemption shall not be used to allow for manufacturing or assembly of non-essential products or for other functions besides those necessary to the delivery operation;**
- xx. **Airlines, taxis, rental car companies, rideshare services (including shared bicycles and scooters), and other private transportation providers providing transportation services necessary for Essential Activities and other purposes expressly authorized in this Order;**
- xxi. **Home-based care for seniors, adults, children, and pets;**
- xxii. **Residential facilities and shelters for seniors, adults, and children;**
- xxiii. **Professional services, such as legal, notary, or accounting services, when necessary to assist in compliance with non-elective, legally required activities or in relation to death or incapacity;**
- xxiv. **Services to assist individuals in finding employment with Essential Businesses;**
- xxv. **Moving services that facilitate residential or commercial moves that are allowed under this Order;**
- xxvi. **Childcare establishments and other educational or recreational institutions or programs providing care or supervision for children (with the exception**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

of summer camps, which are addressed separately in Appendix C-1, and schools, which will be addressed separately) that enable owners and Personnel of Essential Businesses, Essential Governmental Functions, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Businesses, as well as people engaged in Minimum Basic Operations for other Businesses to work as allowed under this Order. To the extent possible, and unless otherwise provided in Appendix C-1 or a directive issued by the County Health Officer, these operations must comply with the following conditions:

1. They must be carried out in stable groups of 12 or fewer children (“stable” means that the same 12 or fewer children are in the same group each day);
2. Children shall not change from one group to another;
3. If more than one group of children is at one facility, each group must be in a separate room. Groups must not mix with each other; and
4. Providers or educators must remain solely with one group of children;

xxvii. Businesses that operate, maintain, or repair Essential Infrastructure.

b. *Outdoor Businesses.* “Outdoor Businesses” means:

i. The following Businesses that normally operated primarily outdoors before March 16, 2020, and where there is the ability to fully maintain social distancing of at least six feet between all persons:

1. Businesses primarily operated outdoors, such as wholesale and retail plant nurseries, agricultural operations, and garden centers; and
2. Service providers that primarily provide outdoor services, such as landscaping and gardening services, and environmental site remediation services.

For clarity, “Outdoor Businesses” do not include outdoor restaurants, cafes, or bars. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-1, they also do not include Businesses that promote large, coordinated, and prolonged gatherings, such as outdoor concert venues and amusement parks.

Outdoor Businesses may conduct their operations under a tent, canopy, or other sun shelter, but only as long as no more than one side is closed, allowing sufficient outdoor air movement.

c. *Additional Businesses.* “Additional Business” means any Business identified as an Additional Business in Appendix C-1, which will be updated as warranted based on the Health Officer’s ongoing evaluation of the COVID-19 Indicators and other data. In addition to the other requirements in this Order, operation of those Additional Businesses is subject to any conditions and health and safety



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

requirements set forth in Appendix C-1 and in any industry-specific guidance issued by the Health Officer.

- d. ***Minimum Basic Operations.*** “Minimum Basic Operations” means the following activities for Businesses, provided that owners, Personnel, and contractors comply with Social Distancing Requirements as defined this Section, to the extent possible, while carrying out such operations:
 - i. The minimum necessary activities to maintain and protect the value of the Business’s inventory and facilities; ensure security, safety, and sanitation; process payroll and employee benefits; provide for the delivery of existing inventory directly to Residences or Businesses; and related functions. For clarity, this section does not permit Businesses to provide curbside pickup to customers; and
 - ii. The minimum necessary activities to facilitate owners, Personnel, and contractors of the Business being able to continue to work remotely from their Residences, and to ensure that the Business can deliver its service remotely.
- e. ***Business.*** A “Business” includes any for-profit, non-profit, or educational entity, whether a corporate entity, organization, partnership or sole proprietorship, and regardless of the nature of the service, the function it performs, or its corporate or entity structure.
- f. ***Personnel.*** “Personnel” means the following people who provide goods or services associated with the Business in the County: employees; contractors and sub-contractors (such as those who sell goods or perform services onsite or who deliver goods for the Business); independent contractors; vendors who are permitted to sell goods onsite; volunteers; and other individuals who regularly provide services onsite at the request of the Business. “Personnel” includes “gig workers” who perform work via the Business’s app or other online interface, if any.
- g. ***Healthcare Operations.*** “Healthcare Operations” includes, without limitation, hospitals, clinics, COVID-19 testing locations, dentists, pharmacies, blood banks and blood drives, pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, other healthcare facilities, healthcare suppliers, home healthcare services providers, mental health providers, or any related and/or ancillary healthcare services. “Healthcare Operations” also includes veterinary care and all healthcare services provided to animals. This exemption for Healthcare Operations must be construed broadly to avoid any interference with the delivery of healthcare, broadly defined. “Healthcare Operations” excludes fitness and exercise gyms and similar facilities.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Allowed Activities.

h. *Essential Activities.* “Essential Activities” means to:

- i. Engage in activities or perform tasks important to their health and safety, or to the health and safety of their family or Household members (including pets);**
- ii. Obtain necessary services or supplies for themselves and their family or Household members, or to deliver those services or supplies to others;**
- iii. Provide necessary care for a family member or pet in another Household who has no other source of care;**
- iv. Attend a funeral with no more than 12 individuals present (or, if higher, the number of individuals allowed to gather for social gatherings under Appendix C-2) [EFFECTIVE JULY 20, 2020, AND UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER FOLLOWING AUTHORIZATION BY THE STATE HEALTH OFFICER, ALL FUNERAL SERVICES MUST BE HELD OUTDOORS]; and**
- v. Move Residences. When moving into or out of the Bay Area region, individuals are strongly urged to quarantine for 14 days, especially if you had been engaging in activities that would put you at higher risk of contracting the virus that causes COVID-19. These higher risk activities include those in which you were:**
 - Interacting within six feet of individuals outside your Household, especially if you or those around you were not wearing Face Coverings at all times;**
 - Interacting with individuals outside your Household in indoor settings;**
 - Attending large gatherings, particularly ones where people were unmasked, people did not stay at least six feet apart, or gatherings that were held indoors; or**
 - Sharing items, including food, with people outside your Household.**

To quarantine, individuals should follow the guidance of the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

i. *Outdoor Activities.* “Outdoor Activities” means:

- i. To engage in outdoor recreation activity, including, by way of example and without limitation, walking, hiking, bicycling, and running, in compliance with Social Distancing Requirements and with the following limitations:**
 - 1. Outdoor recreation activity at parks, beaches, and other open spaces must comply with any restrictions on access and use established by the Health Officer, government, or other entity that manages such area to reduce crowding and risk of transmission of COVID-19;**



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

2. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-2 or as otherwise authorized in writing by the Health Officer, use of outdoor recreational areas and facilities with high-touch equipment or that encourage gathering—including playgrounds, gym equipment, climbing walls, pools, spas, and barbecue areas—is prohibited outside of Residences, and all such areas must be closed to public access including by signage and, as appropriate, by physical barriers; and
3. Except as otherwise provided in Appendix C-2, sports or activities that include the use of shared equipment or physical contact between participants may only be engaged in by members of the same Household.

Outdoor Activities may be conducted under a tent, canopy, or other sun shelter, but only as long as no more than one side is closed, allowing sufficient outdoor air movement.

j. *Additional Activities.* “Additional Activities” means:

- i. To engage in outdoor recreation activities or other activities set forth in Appendix C-2, subject to any conditions and health and safety requirements set forth there.

Allowed Travel.

k. *Essential Travel.* “Essential Travel” means travel for any of the following purposes:

- i. Travel related to the provision of or access to Essential Activities, Essential Governmental Functions, Essential Businesses, Minimum Basic Operations, Outdoor Activities, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Activities, and Additional Businesses;
- ii. Travel to care for any elderly, minors, dependents, or persons with disabilities;
- iii. Travel to or from educational institutions for purposes of receiving materials for distance learning, for receiving meals, and any other related services;
- iv. Travel to return to a place of Residence from outside the County;
- v. Travel required by law enforcement or court order;
- vi. Travel required for non-residents to return to their place of Residence outside the County. Individuals are strongly encouraged to verify that their transportation out of the County remains available and functional before commencing such travel;



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

- vii. Travel to manage after-death arrangements and burial;
- viii. Travel to arrange for shelter or avoid homelessness;
- ix. Travel to avoid domestic violence or child abuse;
- x. Travel for parental custody arrangements; and
- xi. Travel to a place to temporarily reside in a Residence or facility to avoid potentially exposing others to COVID-19, such as a hotel or other facility provided by a governmental authority for such purposes.

Governmental Functions.

- l. Essential Infrastructure.* “Essential Infrastructure,” including airports, utilities (including water, sewer, gas, and electrical), oil refining, roads and highways, public transportation, solid waste facilities (including collection, removal, disposal, recycling, and processing facilities), cemeteries, mortuaries, crematoriums, and telecommunications systems (including the provision of essential global, national, and local infrastructure for internet, computing services, Business infrastructure, communications, and web-based services).
- m. Essential Government Functions.* “Essential Governmental Functions” are determined by the governmental entity performing those functions in the County. Each governmental entity shall identify and designate appropriate Personnel, volunteers, or contractors to continue providing and carrying out any Essential Governmental Functions, including the hiring or retention of new personnel or contractors to perform such functions. Each governmental entity and its contractors must employ all necessary emergency protective measures to prevent, mitigate, respond to, and recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, and all Essential Governmental Functions must be performed in compliance with Social Distancing Requirements to the greatest extent feasible. All first responders, emergency management personnel, emergency dispatchers, court personnel, and law enforcement personnel, and others who need to perform essential services are categorically exempt from this Order to the extent they are performing those essential services.

Residences and Households.

- n. “Residences” and “Households” are defined as set forth in Section 4.b, above.

Social Distancing.

- o. Social Distancing Requirements.* “Social Distancing Requirements” mean:
 - i. Maintaining at least six-foot social distancing from individuals who are not part of the same Household;



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

- ii. Frequently washing hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, or using hand sanitizer that is recognized by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as effective in combatting COVID-19;
 - iii. Covering coughs and sneezes with a tissue or fabric or, if not possible, into the sleeve or elbow (but not into hands);
 - iv. Wearing a face covering when out in public, consistent with the orders or guidance of the Health Officer; and
 - v. Avoiding all social interaction outside the Household when sick with a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms.
9. **Incorporation of State and Local Emergency Proclamations and State Health Orders.**
- a. **State and Local Emergency Proclamations.** This Order is issued in accordance with, and incorporates by reference, the March 4, 2020 Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the March 12, 2020 Executive Order (Executive Order N-25-20) issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the February 25, 2020 Proclamation by the Mayor Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency issued by Mayor London Breed, as supplemented on March 11, 2020, the March 6, 2020 Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) issued by the Health Officer, and guidance issued by the California Department of Public Health, as each of them have been and may be supplemented.
 - b. **State Health Orders.** This Order is also issued in light of the March 19, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer (the "State Shelter Order"), which set baseline statewide restrictions on non-residential Business activities, effective until further notice, the Governor's March 19, 2020 Executive Order N-33-20 directing California residents to follow the State Shelter Order, and the July 13, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer. The May 4, 2020 Executive Order issued by Governor Newsom and May 7, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer permit certain Businesses to reopen if a local health officer believes the conditions in that jurisdictions warrant it, but expressly acknowledge the authority of local health officers to establish and implement public health measures within their respective jurisdictions that are more restrictive than those implemented by the State Public Health Officer. Also on June 18, 2020 the State Department of Public Health issued guidance for the use of face coverings, requiring all people in the State to wear face coverings in certain high risk situations, subject to limited exceptions.
10. **Obligation to Follow Stricter Requirements of Orders.**

This Order adopts certain health and safety restrictions that are more stringent than those contained in the State Shelter Order. Without this tailored set of



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

restrictions that further reduces the number of interactions between persons, scientific evidence indicates that the public health crisis in the County will worsen to the point at which it may overtake available health care resources within the County and increase the death rate. Where a conflict exists between this Order and any state public health order related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive provision (i.e., the more protective of public health) controls. Consistent with California Health and Safety Code section 131080 and the Health Officer Practice Guide for Communicable Disease Control in California, except where the State Health Officer may issue an order expressly directed at this Order and based on a finding that a provision of this Order constitutes a menace to public health, any more restrictive measures in this Order continue to apply and control in this County. Also, to the extent any federal guidelines allow activities that are not allowed by this Order, this Order controls and those activities are not allowed.

11. Obligation to Follow Health Officer Directives and Mandatory State Guidance.

In addition to complying with all provisions of this Order, all individuals and entities, including all Businesses and governmental entities, must also follow any applicable directives issued by the County Health Officer (<http://www.sfdph.org/directives>) and any applicable “COVID-19 Industry Guidance” issued by the California Department of Public Health, available at <https://covid19.ca.gov/industry-guidance/>. To the extent that provisions in the directives of the County Health Officer and the guidance of the State Health Officer conflict, the more restrictive provisions (i.e., the more protective of public health) apply.

12. Enforcement.

Under Government Code sections 26602 and 41601 and Health and Safety Code section 101029, the Health Officer requests that the Sheriff and the Chief of Police in the County ensure compliance with and enforce this Order. The violation of any provision of this Order (including, without limitation, any Health Directives) constitutes an imminent threat and menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. The San Francisco Department of Public Health is authorized to respond to such public nuisances by issuing Notice(s) of Violation and ordering premises vacated and closed until the owner, tenant, or manager submits a written plan to eliminate all violations and the Department of Public Health finds that plan satisfactory. Such Notice(s) of Violation and orders to vacate and close may be issued based on a written report made by any City employees writing the report within the scope of their duty. The Department of Public Health must give notice of such orders to vacate and close to the Chief of Police or the Chief’s designee to be executed and enforced by officers in the same manner as provided by San Francisco Health Code section 597.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

13. Effective Date.

This Order becomes effective at 12:00 p.m. on July 13, 2020, and will continue, as updated, to be in effect until it is rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer.

14. Relation to Other Orders of the San Francisco Health Officer.

Effective as of the date and time in Section 13 above, this Order revises and replaces Order Number C19-07e, issued May 17, 2020. This Order also extends Order Nos. C19-01b (prohibiting visitors at Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center and Unit 4A at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital), C19-03 (prohibiting visitors to specific residential facilities), C19-04 (imposing cleaning standards for residential hotels), C19-09 (prohibiting visitors to residential care facilities for the elderly, adult residential facilities, and residential facilities for the chronically ill), and C19-11 (placing Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center under protective quarantine) without any further need to amend those orders, with those listed orders otherwise remaining in effect until the specific listed order or this Order is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. This Order does not prohibit amendment of those orders separately. This Order also does not affect Order Nos. C19-06b (prohibiting visitors to general acute care hospitals and acute psychiatric hospitals), C19-08b (limiting routine appointments and elective surgeries and encouraging delivery of prescriptions and cannabis products), C19-10 (requiring reporting by labs of COVID-19 testing information), C19-12b (requiring face coverings), C19-13 (regarding testing, reporting, and cooperation at skilled nursing facilities), and C19-14 (regarding decedent testing), which continue indefinitely as provided in those respective orders until each of them is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer.

15. Copies.

The County must promptly provide copies of this Order as follows: (1) by posting on the Department of Public Health website (<http://www.sfdph.org/healthorders>); (2) by posting at City Hall, located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., San Francisco, CA 94102; and (3) by providing to any member of the public requesting a copy. Also, the owner, manager, or operator of any facility that is likely to be impacted by this Order is strongly encouraged to post a copy of this Order onsite and to provide a copy to any member of the public asking for a copy.

16. Severability.

If any provision of this Order or its application to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, the remainder of the Order, including the application of such part or provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected and shall



**City and County of
San Francisco**

**Department of Public Health
Order of the Health Officer**

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this Order are severable.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Dated: July 13, 2020 (updated July 20, 2020)

Attachments: Appendix A – Social Distancing Protocol for Businesses (revised July 13, 2020)
Appendix B-1 – Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised July 13, 2020)
Appendix B-2 – Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised July 13, 2020)
Appendix C-1 – Additional Businesses (July 20, 2020)
Appendix C-2 – Additional Activities (July 20, 2020)

Each business allowed to operate in San Francisco must complete, post onsite, and follow this Social Distancing Protocol checklist. The attached **Instructions and Requirements** detail what is required and how to complete this checklist.

Check off all items below that apply and list other required information.

Business name:

Contact name:

Facility Address:

Email / telephone:

(You may contact the person listed above with any questions or comments about this protocol.)

SIGNAGE & EDUCATION

- ☐ Post signage at each public entrance of the facility requiring of everyone:
 - (1) do not enter if experiencing COVID-19 symptoms (cough, fever, or not feeling well);
 - (2) maintain a minimum six-foot distance from others in line and in the facility;
 - (3) wear a face covering; and
 - (4) for self-brought bags, keep bags in a cart/basket or carry them and self-place items in bags after checkout
- ☐ Post a copy of this two-page Social Distancing Protocol checklist at each public entrance
- ☐ Post signage showing maximum number of patrons who can be in line and in the facility
- ☐ Educate Personnel about this Protocol and other COVID-19 related safety requirements

PROTECTIVE MEASURES

- ☐ Follow Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below, including:
 - ☐ Ensure Personnel stay home or leave work if they are sick
 - ☐ Provide a copy of the Attachment to Personnel to ensure they understand when to stay home; translated versions of the Attachment are available online
 - ☐ Ensure Personnel review health criteria before each shift and advise Personnel what to do if they are required to stay home
- ☐ Require Personnel and patrons to wear a face covering as required by Health Officer orders
- ☐ Implement a plan to keep site Personnel safe, including by limiting the number of Personnel and patrons onsite to a number that ensures physical distancing and favoring allowing Personnel to carry out their duties from home when possible
- ☐ Ensure that patrons may cancel an appointment or reservation without financial penalty based on any COVID-19 symptoms or a COVID-19 related reason and require cancelation for fever or severe coughing not explained by a pre-existing condition, but you may offer to reschedule for another time if the patron wants to reschedule instead of to cancel

MEASURES TO PREVENT UNNECESSARY CONTACT

- ☐ Tell Personnel and patrons to maintain physical distancing of at least six feet, except Personnel may momentarily come closer when necessary to accept payment, deliver goods or services, or as otherwise necessary
- ☐ Separate all used desks or individual work stations by at least six feet
- ☐ Place markings in patron line areas to ensure six feet physical distancing (inside and outside)

- ☐ Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, disinfect payment systems regularly. The Board of Supervisors has required businesses to accept cash—if cash is used encourage exact change.
- ☐ Maintain Plexiglas or other barriers between patrons and Personnel at point of payment (if not possible, then ensure at least six feet of distance)

- ☐ Limit the number of patrons in the store at any one time to: _____
- ☐ Separate ordering areas from delivery areas or similarly help distance patrons when possible
- ☐ Optional—Describe other measures:

SANITIZING MEASURES

- ☐ Regularly disinfect high touch areas, and do so continuously for surfaces patrons touch (countertops, payment systems, pens, and styluses)
- ☐ Provide disinfecting wipes that are effective against SARS-CoV-2 near shopping carts, shopping baskets, and high-touch surfaces and provide hand sanitizer
- ☐ Have Personnel disinfect carts and baskets after each use
- ☐ Provide hand sanitizer, sink with soap and water, and/or disinfecting wipes to patrons and Personnel at or near the entrance of the facility, at checkout counters, and anywhere else where people have direct interactions
- ☐ Disinfect break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas frequently, on the following schedule:
 - ☐ Break rooms:
 - ☐ Bathrooms:
 - ☐ Other:
- ☐ Prevent people from self-serving any items that are food-related:
 - ☐ Provide lids and utensils for food items by Personnel, not for patrons to grab
 - ☐ Limit access to bulk-item food bins to Personnel—no self-service use
- ☐ Require patrons and Personnel to follow requirements of Section 2.29 below for self-brought bags, and prohibit patrons from bringing any other reusable items such as coffee mugs.
- ☐ Prohibit Personnel from using shared food prep equipment for their own use (e.g., microwaves, water coolers), but microwaves may be used if disinfected between each use and hand sanitizer is available nearby
- ☐ Optional—Describe other measures (e.g., providing senior-only hours):

INDUSTRY-SPECIFIC DIRECTIVES

- ☐ Ensure that you have read and implemented the attached list of requirements.
- ☐ In addition to complying with the Social Distancing Protocol, many businesses must comply with additional, industry-specific directives. Go to www.sfdph.org/directives and check to see if your business is subject to one or more additional directives. For each one, you must review the Health and Safety Plan (HSP) requirements and post an additional checklist for each one that applies. In the event that any directive changes the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol, the more specific language of the directive controls, even if it is less restrictive. Check this box after you have checked the list of directives and posted any other required HSP.

* Any additional measures may be listed on separate pages and attached.

[You are not required to post these Instructions and Requirements]**Instructions:**

The two-page Social Distancing Protocol checklist above must reflect the business's completion of each requirement listed below unless an item is not applicable. Use the two-page checklist above to show compliance with these requirements. The business does not need to post these Instructions and Requirements, only the checklist above. The term "Personnel" is defined in Health Officer Order No. C19-07f to which this Appendix is attached. The term "patron" includes customers, others seeking services, visitors, and guests.

Requirements:

In addition to the items below, this protocol requires the business to ensure that Personnel who perform work associated with the business are covered by the Social Distancing Protocol checklist and comply with those requirements. Each business is required to take certain steps in the protocol related to its Personnel, including the actions listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below if Personnel are sick. Each business is prohibited from taking any adverse action against any Personnel for staying home in the circumstances listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below. Personnel of each business are prohibited from coming to work if they are sick and must comply with the protocol, including the rules for returning to work listed in Sections 2.1 through 2.4 below.

1. Section 1 – Signage and Education:

- 1.1. Post signage at each public entrance of the facility or location (if any) to inform all patrons that they must: not wait in line or enter the facility or location if they have a cough or fever or are not feeling well; maintain a minimum six-foot distance from others while in line or in the facility or location; wear a face covering or barrier mask (a "Face Covering") at all times; not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact; and, if they bring their own reusable bags, leave the bags in a shopping cart/basket or carry them and bag their own items after checkout. Criteria for Face Coverings and the requirements related to their use are set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-12, issued on April 17, 2020 (the "Face Covering Order"), including as that order is updated in the future. Sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>. A list of common symptoms of COVID-19 can be found at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/symptoms-testing/symptoms.html>.
- 1.2. Post a copy of the Social Distancing Protocol checklist at each public entrance to the facility or location.
- 1.3. Distribute to all Personnel copies of the Social Distancing Protocol checklist in hardcopy or electronic format.
- 1.4. Educate all Personnel on the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol and any other Health Officer directive that applies.

2. Section 2 – Personnel and Patron Protection and Sanitation Requirements:

- 2.1. Instruct all Personnel orally and in writing not to come to work or the facility if they are sick.
- 2.2. Provide a copy of the attachment to this Exhibit, titled "Information for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Additional Business and Other Businesses Permitted To Operate During the Health Emergency" (the "Attachment"), to all Personnel who regularly work at the

facility or location in hardcopy format or electronically. PDF and translated versions of the Attachment can be found at www.sfdcdp.org/screening-handout. If the Attachment is updated, provide an updated copy to all Personnel.

- 2.3.** Review the criteria listed in Part 1 of the Attachment on a daily basis with all Personnel in the City who regularly work at the facility or location before each person enters work spaces or begins a shift. If such a review is not feasible because the business does not directly interact with some Personnel onsite daily, then that business must for those Personnel (1) instruct such Personnel to review the criteria before each shift in the City and (2) have such Personnel report to the business that they are okay to begin the shift such as through an app, website, or phone call.

Instruct any Personnel who answered yes to any question in Part 1 of the Attachment to return home or not come to work and follow the directions on the Attachment.

- 2.4.** Instruct Personnel who stayed home or who went home based on the criteria listed on the Attachment that they must follow the criteria as well as any applicable requirements from the quarantine and isolation directives (available at www.sfdph.org/healthorders) before returning to work. If they are required to self-quarantine or self-isolate, they may only return to work after they have completed self-quarantine or self-isolation. If they test negative for the virus (no virus found), they may only return to work after waiting for the amount of time listed on the Attachment after their symptoms have resolved. Personnel are not required to provide a medical clearance letter to return to work as long as they have met the requirements outlined on the Attachment.
- 2.5.** Businesses must periodically check the following website for any testing requirements for employers and businesses: www.sfdcdp.org/covid19. If requirements are added, ensure that the business and all Personnel comply with testing requirements.
- 2.6.** If an aspect of the business is allowed to operate and is covered by a Health Officer directive, then the business must comply with all applicable directives as well as this Social Distancing Protocol. Copies of other directives are available online at www.sfdph.org/directives. For each directive that applies, review the Health and Safety Plan (HSP) requirements and post an additional HSP checklist for each one that applies. In the event that any directive changes the requirements of the Social Distancing Protocol, the more specific language of the directive controls, even if it is less restrictive.
- 2.7.** Instruct all Personnel and patrons to maintain at least a six-foot distance from others, including when in line and when shopping or collecting goods on behalf of patrons, except when momentarily necessary to facilitate or accept payment and hand off items or deliver goods. Note that if the business cannot ensure maintenance of a six-foot distance within the location or facility between Personnel or other people onsite, such as by moving work stations or spreading Personnel out, it must reduce the number of Personnel permitted in the location or facility accordingly.
- 2.8.** Provide Face Coverings for all Personnel, with instructions that they must wear Face Coverings at all times when at work, as further set forth in the Face Covering Order. A sample sign is available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>. Allow Personnel to bring their own Face Covering if they bring one that has been cleaned before the shift. In general, people should have multiple Face Coverings (whether reusable or disposable) to ensure they use a clean one each day. The Face Covering Order permits certain exceptions, and the business should be aware of exceptions that allow a person not to wear a Face Covering (for example, children 12 years old or younger or based on a written

medical excuse). When Personnel do not wear a Face Covering because of an exception, take steps to otherwise increase safety for all.

- 2.9.** If patrons wait in line outside or inside any facility or location operated by the business, require patrons to wear a Face Covering while waiting in line outside or inside the facility or location. This includes taking steps to notify patrons they will not be served if they are in line without a Face Covering and refusing to serve a patron without a Face Covering, as further provided in the Face Covering Order. The business may provide a clean Face Covering to patrons while in line. For clarity, the transaction or service must be aborted if the patron is not wearing a Face Covering. But the business must permit a patron who is excused by the Face Covering Order from wearing a Face Covering to conduct their transaction or obtain service, including by taking steps that can otherwise increase safety for all.
- 2.10.** Provide a sink with soap, water, and paper towels for handwashing for all Personnel working onsite at the facility or location and for patrons if sinks and restrooms are open to patrons. Require that all Personnel wash hands at least at the start and end of each shift, after sneezing, coughing, eating, drinking, smoking (to the extent smoking is allowed by law and the business), or using the restroom, when changing tasks, and, when possible, frequently during each shift. Personnel who work off-site, such as driving or delivering goods, must be required to use hand sanitizer throughout their shift.
- 2.11.** Provide hand sanitizer effective against SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, at appropriate locations for patrons and elsewhere at the facility or location for Personnel. Sanitizer must also be provided to Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive for use when they are shopping, delivering, or driving. If sanitizer cannot be obtained, a handwashing station with soap, water, and paper towels will suffice for Personnel who are on-site at the facility or location. But for Personnel who shop, deliver, or drive in relation to their work, the business must provide hand sanitizer effective against SARS-CoV-2 at all times; for any period during which the business does not provide sanitizer to such shopping, delivery, or driving Personnel, the business is not allowed for that aspect of its service to operate in the City. Information on hand sanitizer, including sanitizer effective against SARS-CoV-2 and how to obtain sanitizer, is available online from the Food and Drug Administration here: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/ga-consumers-hand-sanitizers-and-covid-19>.
- 2.12.** Provide disinfectant and related supplies to Personnel and require Personnel to sanitize all high-touch surfaces under their control, including but not limited to: shopping carts and baskets used by Personnel and patrons; countertops, food/item display cases, refrigerator and freezer case doors, drawers with tools or hardware, and check-out areas; cash registers, payment equipment, and self-check-out kiosks; door handles; tools and equipment used by Personnel during a shift; and any inventory-tracking or delivery-tracking equipment or devices which require handling throughout a work shift. These items should be routinely disinfected during the course of the day, including as required below. A list of products listed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as meeting criteria for use against SARS-CoV-2 can be found online here: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.
- 2.13.** Ensure that all shared devices and equipment are cleaned and/or sanitized by Personnel on frequent schedules, not less than at the beginning and end of each Personnel member's work shift and during the shift.
- 2.14.** Direct all Personnel to avoid touching unsanitized surfaces that may be frequently touched, such as door handles, tools, or credit cards, unless protective equipment such as gloves

(provided by the business) are used and discarded after each use or hand sanitizer is used after each interaction.

- 2.15.**Frequently disinfect any break rooms, bathrooms, and other common areas. Create and use a daily checklist to document each time disinfection of these rooms or areas occurs. Conspicuously post the checklist inside each respective break room, bathroom, or other common area clearly detailing the dates and times the room was last cleaned, disinfected, or restocked.
- 2.16.**For any facility or location that has carts, baskets, or other equipment for use by Personnel, assign Personnel to disinfect carts, baskets, or other equipment after each use and take steps to prevent anyone from grabbing used carts, baskets, or other equipment before disinfection.
- 2.17.**Establish adequate time in the work day to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination throughout the facility or location by Personnel including, but not limited to, before closing for the day and opening in the morning.
- 2.18.**Suspend use of any microwaves, water coolers, drinking fountains, and other similar group equipment for breaks until further notice. Microwaves may be used if disinfected between each use and hand sanitizer is available nearby.
- 2.19.**When possible, provide a barrier between the patron and the cashier such as a plexi-glass temporary barrier. When not possible, create sufficient space to enable the patron to stand more than six feet away from the cashier while items are being scanned/tallied and bagged.
- 2.20.**Provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each patron use. Patrons may pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage patrons to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment.
- 2.21.**For any larger facility or location, appoint a designated sanitation worker at all times to continuously clean and sanitize commonly touched surfaces and meet the environmental cleaning guidelines set by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention.
- 2.22.**If an employee or other Personnel tests positive for COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2, follow the guidance on "Business guidance if a staff member tests positive for COVID-19," available at <https://sf.gov/business-guidance-if-staff-member-tests-positive-covid-19>.
- 2.23.**Post signs to advise patrons of the maximum line capacity to ensure that the maximum number of patrons in line is not exceeded. Once the maximum number of patrons is reached, patrons should be advised to return later to prevent buildup of congestion in the line.
- 2.24.**Place tape or other markings on the sidewalk or floor at least six feet apart in patron line areas with signs directing patrons to use the markings to maintain distance.
- 2.25.**When stocking shelves, if any, ensure that Personnel wash or sanitize hands before placing items on shelves, making sure to again wash or sanitize hands if they become contaminated by touching face or hair or being exposed to other soiled surfaces.
- 2.26.**Ensure that all Personnel who select items on behalf of patrons wear a Face Covering when selecting, packing, and/or delivering items.

2.27. Require Personnel to wash hands frequently, including:

- When entering any kitchen or food preparation area
- Before starting food preparation or handling
- After touching their face, hair, or other areas of the body
- After using the restroom
- After coughing, sneezing, using a tissue, smoking, eating, or drinking
- Before putting on gloves
- After engaging in other activities that may contaminate the hands

2.28. Assign Personnel to keep soap and paper towels stocked at sinks and handwashing stations at least every hour and to replenish other sanitizing products.**2.29.** [Added 7/13/20] If patrons bring their own reusable shopping bags, ensure that such bags, even in contexts other than grocery stores, are handled in a manner consistent with Cal/OSHA requirements available at <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dosh/Coronavirus/COVID-19-Infection-Prevention-in-Grocery-Stores.pdf>, including all of the following:

- Post signs at all entrances with infection control information to patrons, including requiring patrons to leave their own bags in the shopping cart or basket or carry them and bag their own items after checkout;
- Ensure that Personnel do not touch the bags or place items in them;
- Bags must not be placed on a conveyor belt, checkout area countertop, or other surface where patrons are served;
- Ensure that patrons bag their own items if they bring their own bags;
- Bags may not be loaded on the checkout area surface. Items can be left in a cart/basket and bagged elsewhere by the patron after checkout;
- Ensure that patrons maintain physical distancing while bagging their items; and
- Increase the frequency of disinfection in bagging areas and patron service areas frequented by patrons.

2.30. [Added 7/13/20] If a patron has symptoms of COVID-19 (see Section 1.1 above) or is otherwise unable to participate in an appointment or reservation for a COVID-19 related reason, the business must allow the patron to cancel without any financial penalty. If the patron reports having a fever or has a severe cough not explained by a pre-existing condition, the business must cancel the appointment or reservation. The business may offer to reschedule the appointment or reservation but cannot require rescheduling instead of allowing the patron to cancel. In the healthcare context, more specific Health Officer directives may allow appointments when a patient or client is ill, and the requirements of the directive must be followed in that situation.

Note – Sections 2.18 and 2.29 control over any contrary language in Health Officer Directive Nos. 2020-05, 2020-06, and 2020-07 until each of them is amended or updated.

City and County of San Francisco Health Officer Directive - Attachment
Handout for Personnel (Employees, Contractors, Volunteers) of Essential Business and
Other Businesses Permitted to Operate During the Health Emergency (May 18, 2020)

Any business or entity that is subject to a Health Officer Directive to which this handout is attached (each "Business") must give a copy of this handout to Personnel who work in the City outside their household during this emergency. Go to www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19 for more info or a copy of this form.

All Personnel: If you work outside your household in the City during this local health emergency, you may qualify for a free test for the virus that causes COVID-19, even if you have no symptoms. Contact your healthcare provider or go to **CityTestSF** at <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests> to sign up for a free test.

Part 1 – You must answer the following questions before starting your work every day that you work.

You may be required to provide the answers in person or via phone or other electronic means to the Business before the start of each shift. If any answers change while you are at work, notify the Business by phone and leave the workplace.

1. Within the last 10 days have you been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming you have the virus?
2. Do you live in the same household with, or have you had **close contact*** with someone who in the past 14 days has been in isolation for COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the virus?

If the answer to either question is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 2** below.

3. Have you had any one or more of these symptoms today or within the past 24 hours, which is new or not explained by another reason?

- | | |
|--|---------------------------|
| • Fever, Chills, or Repeated Shaking/Shivering | • Loss of Taste or Smell |
| • Cough | • Muscle pain |
| • Sore Throat | • Headache |
| • Shortness of Breath, Difficulty Breathing | • Runny or congested nose |
| • Feeling Unusually Weak or Fatigued | • Diarrhea |

If the answer to Question 3 is "yes", do not go to work and follow the steps listed in **Part 3** below.

Part 2 –

- If you answered **yes to Question 1**: you are subject to the Health Officer Isolation Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Isolation Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- If you answered **yes to Question 2**: you are subject to the Health Officer Quarantine Directive. Do not go to work. **Follow Quarantine Steps** at: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>
- Do not return to work until the Isolation or Quarantine Steps tell you it is safe to return!
- The meaning of ***Close Contact** is explained in this document: <https://www.sfgcdcp.org/Isolation-Quarantine-Packet>

Part 3 – If you answered yes to Question 3:

You may have COVID-19 and **must be tested for the virus** before returning to work. Without a test, the Business must treat you as being positive for COVID-19 and require you to stay out of work for at least **10** calendar days. In order to return to work sooner and to protect those around you, you must get tested for the virus. Follow these steps:

1. Contact your usual healthcare provider about getting tested for the virus, or sign up for free testing at CityTestSF <https://sf.gov/get-tested-covid-19-citytests>. If you live outside the City, you can check with the county where you live, get tested by your usual healthcare provider, or use CityTestSF.
2. Wait for your test results at home while minimizing exposure to those you live with. A good resource is <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html>
- If your result is positive (confirms that you have the virus) go to **Part 2** above and follow **Isolation Steps**.
- If your result is negative, do not return to work until you have had at least 3 days in a row without fever and with improvement in your other symptoms. Consult with your healthcare provider to decide.

If you have questions about any part of this Handout, please see FAQs at www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19 under "Isolation & Quarantine Directives" or call 3-1-1

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-1

Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised July 13, 2020)

1. Any construction project meeting any of the following specifications is subject to this Small Construction Project Safety Protocol (“SCP Protocol”), including public works projects unless otherwise specified by the Health Officer:
 - a. For residential projects, any single-family, multi-family, senior, student, or other residential construction, renovation, or remodel project consisting of 10 units or fewer. This SCP Protocol does not apply to construction projects where a person is performing construction on their current residence either alone or solely with members of their own household.
 - b. For commercial projects, any construction, renovation, or tenant improvement project consisting of 20,000 square feet of floor area or less.
 - c. For mixed-use projects, any project that meets both of the specifications in subsections 1.a and 1.b.
 - d. All other construction projects not subject to the Large Construction Project Safety Protocol set forth in Appendix B-2.
2. The following restrictions and requirements must be in place at all construction job sites subject to this SCP Protocol:
 - a. Comply with all applicable and current laws and regulations including but not limited to OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference, or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and/or this SCP Protocol, the stricter standard shall apply.
 - b. Designate a site-specific COVID-19 supervisor or supervisors to enforce this guidance. A designated COVID-19 supervisor must be present on the construction site at all times during construction activities. A COVID-19 supervisor may be an on-site worker who is designated to serve in this role.
 - c. The COVID-19 supervisor must review this SCP Protocol with all workers and visitors to the construction site.
 - d. Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the construction site. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exits to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/index.html>.
 - e. Practice social distancing by maintaining a minimum six-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the construction project.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Appendix B-1

- f. In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, the following must take place:
- i. Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care.
 - ii. Decontaminate and sanitize all surfaces at each location at which the infected worker was present. Provide those performing the decontamination and sanitization work with medical-grade PPE, ensure the workers are trained in proper use of the PPE, require the workers to use the provided PPE, and prohibit any sharing of the PPE. Prohibit anyone from entering the possibly contaminated area, except those performing decontamination and sanitization work. Cease all work in these locations until decontamination and sanitization is complete.
 - iii. Each subcontractor, upon learning that one of its employees is infected, must notify the General Contractor immediately, if you have one, and provide all of the information specified below. The General Contractor or other appropriate supervisor must notify the County Public Health Department Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) at 415-554-2830 immediately of every project site worker found to have a confirmed case of COVID-19, and provide all the information specified below. Follow all directives and complete any additional requirements by County health officials, including full compliance with any tracing efforts by the County.
- Information to be reported to CD Control regarding the jobsite:
 - 1) Address of jobsite;
 - 2) Name of project, if any;
 - 3) Name of General Contractor; and
 - 4) General Contractor point of contact, role, phone number and email.
 - Information to be reported to CD Control regarding the COVID-19 case(s):
 - 5) First and last name;
 - 6) Date of birth;
 - 7) Phone;
 - 8) Date tested positive;
 - 9) Date last worked;
 - 10) City of residence; and
 - 11) If the case is an employee of a subcontractor, please provide the following information:
 - Subcontractor;
 - Subcontractor contact name;
 - Subcontractor contact phone; and
 - Subcontractor contact email.
 - Information to be reported to CD Control regarding Close Contacts. For each reported case(s) above, please provide the following information (if you are

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Appendix B-1

reporting more than one positive case, please include the name of the positive case for each close contact):

- 1) Close contact's first and last name;
- 2) Phone;
- 3) City of residence; and
- 4) Positive case name.

A "Close Contact" in the workplace is anyone who:

- o Stayed within 6 feet of the Person with COVID-19 for 10 minutes or more while they were not wearing a face mask; OR
- o Had direct contact for any amount of time with the body fluids and/or secretions of the Person With COVID-19 (e.g., was coughed or sneezed on, shared utensils with, or was provided care or provided care for them without wearing a mask, gown, and gloves).

Close contacts are high risk exposures and need to quarantine for a full 14 days due to the 14 day incubation period of the virus. Even if a close contact tests negative within 14 days of their last exposure to the case, they must continue quarantining the full 14 day period to prevent transmission of the virus.

- g. Where construction work occurs within an occupied residential unit, separate work areas must be sealed off from the remainder of the unit with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative entry/exit door to the entry/exit door used by residents. Available windows and exhaust fans must be used to ventilate the work area. If residents have access to the work area between workdays, the work area must be cleaned and sanitized at the beginning and at the end of workdays. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between workers and residents, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.
- h. Where construction work occurs within common areas of an occupied residential or commercial building or a mixed-use building in use by on-site employees or residents, separate work areas must be sealed off from the rest of the common areas with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative building entry/exit door to the building entry/exit door used by residents or other users of the building. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between worker and building residents and users, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.
- i. Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite, including gatherings for breaks or eating, except for meetings regarding compliance with this protocol or as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the construction project.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Appendix B-1

- j. Cal-OSHA requires employers to provide water, which should be provided in single-serve containers. Sharing of any of any food or beverage is strictly prohibited and if sharing is observed, the worker must be sent home for the day.
- k. Provide personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction, including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed. At no time may a contractor secure or use medical-grade PPE unless required due to the medical nature of a jobsite. Face coverings must be worn in compliance with Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued April 17, 2020 and revised May 28, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.
- l. Prohibit use of microwaves, water coolers, and other similar shared equipment.
- m. Strictly control “choke points” and “high-risk areas” where workers are unable to maintain six-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit use to ensure that six-foot distance can easily be maintained between individuals.
- n. Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional and other project consultants, government agency representatives, including building and fire inspectors, and residents at residential construction sites.
- o. Stagger trades as necessary to reduce density and allow for easy maintenance of minimum six-foot separation.
- p. Discourage workers from using others’ desks, work tools, and equipment. If more than one worker uses these items, the items must be cleaned and disinfected with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 in between use by each new worker. Prohibit sharing of PPE.
- q. If hand washing facilities are not available at the jobsite, place portable wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite as warranted.
- r. Clean and sanitize any hand washing facilities, portable wash stations, jobsite restroom areas, or other enclosed spaces daily with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19. Frequently clean and disinfect all high touch areas, including entry and exit areas, high traffic areas, rest rooms, hand washing areas, high touch surfaces, tools, and equipment
- s. Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, phone number, address, and email.
- t. Post a notice in an area visible to all workers and visitors instructing workers and visitors to do the following:
 - i. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Appendix B-1

- ii. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.
 - iii. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces such as work stations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines, shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs.
 - iv. Cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing, or cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve.
 - v. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home.
 - vi. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six feet at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person.
 - vii. Do not carpool to and from the jobsite with anyone except members of your own household unit, or as necessary for workers who have no alternative means of transportation.
 - viii. Do not share phones or PPE.
- u. The notice in Section 2.t must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the notice.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-2

Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (revised July 13, 2020)

1. Any construction project meeting any of the following specifications is subject to this Large Construction Project Safety Protocol (“LCP Protocol”), including public works projects unless otherwise specified by the Health Officer:
 - a. For residential construction projects, any single-family, multi-family, senior, student, or other residential construction, renovation, or remodel project consisting of more than 10 units.
 - b. For commercial construction projects, any construction, renovation, or tenant improvement project consisting of more than 20,000 square feet of floor area.
 - c. For construction of Essential Infrastructure, as defined in Section 8.1 of the Order, any project that requires twenty or more workers at the jobsite at any one time.
2. The following restrictions and requirements must be in place at all construction job sites subject to this LCP Protocol:
 - a. Comply with all applicable and current laws and regulations including but not limited to OSHA and Cal-OSHA. If there is any conflict, difference or discrepancy between or among applicable laws and regulations and/or this LCP Protocol, the stricter standard will apply.
 - b. Prepare a new or updated Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan to address COVID-19-related issues, post the Plan on-site at all entrances and exits, and produce a copy of the Plan to County governmental authorities upon request. The Plan must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the Plan.
 - c. Provide personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically for use in construction, including gloves, goggles, face shields, and face coverings as appropriate for the activity being performed. At no time may a contractor secure or use medical-grade PPE, unless required due to the medical nature of a job site. Face Coverings must be worn in compliance with Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued April 17, 2020 and revised May 28, 2020, or any subsequently issued or amended order.
 - d. Ensure that employees are trained in the use of PPE. Maintain and make available a log of all PPE training provided to employees and monitor all employees to ensure proper use of the PPE.
 - e. Prohibit sharing of PPE.
 - f. Implement social distancing requirements including, at minimum:

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Appendix B-2

- i. Stagger stop- and start-times for shift schedules to reduce the quantity of workers at the jobsite at any one time to the extent feasible.
- ii. Stagger trade-specific work to minimize the quantity of workers at the jobsite at any one time.
- iii. Require social distancing by maintaining a minimum six-foot distance between workers at all times, except as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project.
- iv. Prohibit gatherings of any size on the jobsite, except for safety meetings or as strictly necessary to carry out a task associated with the project.
- v. Strictly control “choke points” and “high-risk areas” where workers are unable to maintain minimum six-foot social distancing and prohibit or limit use to ensure that minimum six-foot distancing can easily be maintained between workers.
- vi. Minimize interactions and maintain social distancing with all site visitors, including delivery workers, design professional and other project consultants, government agency representatives, including building and fire inspectors, and residents at residential construction sites.
- vii. Prohibit workers from using others’ phones or desks. Any work tools or equipment that must be used by more than one worker must be cleaned with disinfectants that are effective against COVID-19 before use by a new worker.
- viii. Place wash stations or hand sanitizers that are effective against COVID-19 at entrances to the jobsite and in multiple locations dispersed throughout the jobsite as warranted.
- ix. Maintain a daily attendance log of all workers and visitors that includes contact information, including name, address, phone number, and email.
- x. Post a notice in an area visible to all workers and visitors instructing workers and visitors to do the following:
 1. Do not touch your face with unwashed hands or with gloves.
 2. Frequently wash your hands with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or use hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.
 3. Clean and disinfect frequently touched objects and surfaces such as workstations, keyboards, telephones, handrails, machines, shared tools, elevator control buttons, and doorknobs.
 4. Cover your mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing or cough or sneeze into the crook of your arm at your elbow/sleeve.
 5. Do not enter the jobsite if you have a fever, cough, or other COVID-19 symptoms. If you feel sick, or have been exposed to anyone who is sick, stay at home.
 6. Constantly observe your work distances in relation to other staff. Maintain the recommended minimum six-feet distancing at all times when not wearing the necessary PPE for working in close proximity to another person.
 7. Do not share phones or PPE.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Appendix B-2

- xi. The notice in section 2.f.x must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the notice.
- g. Implement cleaning and sanitization practices in accordance with the following:
 - i. Frequently clean and sanitize, in accordance with CDC guidelines, all high-traffic and high-touch areas including, at a minimum: meeting areas, jobsite lunch and break areas, entrances and exits to the jobsite, jobsite trailers, hand-washing areas, tools, equipment, jobsite restroom areas, stairs, elevators, and lifts.
 - ii. Establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite and post the protocol at entrances and exits of jobsite.
 - iii. Supply all personnel performing cleaning and sanitization with proper PPE to prevent them from contracting COVID-19. Employees must not share PPE.
 - iv. Establish adequate time in the workday to allow for proper cleaning and decontamination including prior to starting at or leaving the jobsite for the day.
- h. Implement a COVID-19 community spread reduction plan as part of the Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan that includes, at minimum, the following restrictions and requirements:
 - i. Prohibit all carpooling to and from the jobsite except by workers living within the same household unit, or as necessary for workers who have no alternative means of transportation.
 - ii. Cal-OSHA requires employers to provide water, which should be provided in single-serve containers. Prohibit any sharing of any food or beverage and if sharing is observed, the worker must be sent home for the day.
 - iii. Prohibit use of microwaves, water coolers, and other similar shared equipment.
- i. Assign a COVID-19 Safety Compliance Officer (SCO) to the jobsite and ensure the SCO's name is posted on the Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan. The SCO must:
 - i. Ensure implementation of all recommended safety and sanitation requirements regarding the COVID-19 virus at the jobsite.
 - ii. Compile daily written verification that each jobsite is compliant with the components of this LCP Protocol. Each written verification form must be copied, stored, and made immediately available upon request by any County official.
 - iii. Establish a daily screening protocol for arriving staff, to ensure that potentially infected staff do not enter the construction site. If workers leave the jobsite and return the same day, establish a cleaning and decontamination protocol prior to entry and exit of the jobsite. Post the daily screening protocol at all entrances and exit to the jobsite. More information on screening can be found online at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/index.html>.
 - iv. Conduct daily briefings in person or by teleconference that must cover the following topics:
 - 1. New jobsite rules and pre-job site travel restrictions for the prevention of COVID-19 community spread.
 - 2. Review of sanitation and hygiene procedures.
 - 3. Solicitation of worker feedback on improving safety and sanitation.
 - 4. Coordination of construction site daily cleaning/sanitation requirements.

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f

Appendix B-2

5. Conveying updated information regarding COVID-19.
 6. Emergency protocols in the event of an exposure or suspected exposure to COVID-19.
 - v. Develop and ensure implementation of a remediation plan to address any non-compliance with this LCP Protocol and post remediation plan at entrance and exit of jobsite during remediation period. The remediation plan must be translated as necessary to ensure that all non-English speaking workers are able to understand the document.
 - vi. The SCO must not permit any construction activity to continue without bringing such activity into compliance with these requirements.
 - vii. Report repeated non-compliance with this LCP Protocol to the appropriate jobsite supervisors and a designated County official.
- j. Assign a COVID-19 Third-Party Jobsite Safety Accountability Supervisor (JSAS) for the jobsite, who at a minimum holds an OSHA-30 certificate and first-aid training within the past two years, who must be trained in the protocols herein and verify compliance, including by visual inspection and random interviews with workers, with this LCP Protocol.
- i. Within seven calendar days of each jobsite visit, the JSAS must complete a written assessment identifying any failure to comply with this LCP Protocol. The written assessment must be copied, stored, and, upon request by the County, sent to a designated County official.
 - ii. If the JSAS discovers that a jobsite is not in compliance with this LCP Protocol, the JSAS must work with the SCO to develop and implement a remediation plan.
 - iii. The JSAS must coordinate with the SCO to prohibit continuation of any work activity not in compliance with rules stated herein until addressed and the continuing work is compliant.
 - iv. The remediation plan must be sent to a designated County official within five calendar days of the JSAS's discovery of the failure to comply.
- k. In the event of a confirmed case of COVID-19 at any jobsite, the following must take place:
- i. Immediately remove the infected individual from the jobsite with directions to seek medical care.
 - ii. Decontaminate and sanitize all surfaces at each location at which the infected worker was present. Provide those performing the decontamination and sanitization work with medical-grade PPE, ensure the workers are trained in proper use of the PPE, require the workers to use the provided PPE, and prohibit any sharing of the PPE. Prohibit anyone from entering the possibly contaminated area, except those performing decontamination and sanitization work. Cease all work in these locations until decontamination and sanitization is complete.
 - iii. Notify the County Public Health Department Communicable Disease Control (CD Control) immediately at 415-554-2830 and provide the information below. Follow all directives and complete any additional requirements by

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-2

County health officials, including full compliance with any tracing efforts by the County.

- Information to be reported to CD Control regarding the jobsite:
 - 1) Address of jobsite;
 - 2) Name of project, if any;
 - 3) Name of General Contractor; and
 - 4) General Contractor point of contact, role, phone number and email.

 - Information to be reported to CD Control regarding the COVID-19 case(s):
 - 5) First and last name;
 - 6) Date of birth;
 - 7) Phone;
 - 8) Date tested positive;
 - 9) Date last worked;
 - 10) City of residence; and
 - 11) If the case is an employee of a subcontractor, please provide the following information:
 - Subcontractor;
 - Subcontractor contact name;
 - Subcontractor contact phone; and
 - Subcontractor contact email.

 - Information to be reported to CD Control regarding Close Contacts. For each reported case(s) above, please provide the following information (if you are reporting more than one positive case, please include the name of the positive case for each close contact):
 - 1) Close contact's first and last name;
 - 2) Phone;
 - 3) City of residence; and
 - 4) Positive case name.
- A "Close Contact" in the workplace is anyone who:
- Stayed within 6 feet of the Person with COVID-19 for 10 minutes or more while they were not wearing a face mask; OR
 - Had direct contact for any amount of time with the body fluids and/or secretions of the Person With COVID-19 (e.g., was coughed or sneezed on, shared utensils with, or was provided care or provided care for them without wearing a mask, gown, and gloves).

ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-07f
Appendix B-2

Close contacts are high risk exposures and need to quarantine for a full 14 days due to the 14 day incubation period of the virus. Even if a close contact tests negative within 14 days of their last exposure to the case, they must continue quarantining the full 14 day period to prevent transmission of the virus.

If you are unable to obtain the above case or close contact information from your subcontractor, please ensure your subcontractor is aware that they will need to report directly to SFDPH CD Control.

- l.* Where construction work occurs within an occupied residential unit, any separate work area must be sealed off from the remainder of the unit with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative entry/exit door to the entry/exit door used by residents. Available windows and exhaust fans must be used to ventilate the work area. If residents have access to the work area between workdays, the work area must be cleaned and sanitized at the beginning and at the end of workdays. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between workers and residents, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.

- m.* Where construction work occurs within common areas of an occupied residential or commercial building or a mixed-use building in use by on-site employees or residents, any separate work area must be sealed off from the rest of the common areas with physical barriers such as plastic sheeting or closed doors sealed with tape to the extent feasible. If possible, workers must access the work area from an alternative building entry/exit door to the building entry/exit door used by residents or other users of the building. Every effort must be taken to minimize contact between worker and building residents and users, including maintaining a minimum of six feet of social distancing at all times.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

A. General Requirements

The “Additional Businesses” listed below may begin operating, subject to the requirements set forth in the Order and to any additional requirements set forth below or in separate industry-specific guidance by the Health Officer. These businesses were selected based on current health-related information, the risk criteria set forth in Section 3 of the Order, and the overall impact that allowing these businesses to resume operation will have on mobility and volume of activity in the County.

To mitigate the risk of transmission to the greatest extent possible, before resuming operations, each Additional Business must:

- Comply with Social Distancing Requirements (Section 8.o of the Order) and prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a Social Distancing Protocol checklist as specified in Section 5.d and Appendix A of the Order for each of their facilities in the County where Personnel or members of the public will be onsite;
- Prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a written health and safety plan checklist that addresses all applicable best practices set forth in relevant Health Officer directives; and
- Comply with any relevant state guidance and local directives. If a conflict exists between state guidance and local public health directives related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the most restrictive provision shall be followed, as further provided in Section 10 of the Order.

Businesses that are permitted to operate outdoors may conduct their operations under a tent, canopy, or other sun shelter, but only as long as no more than one side is closed, allowing sufficient outdoor air movement.

The health-related basis for selection of Additional Businesses and the specific requirements for risk mitigation are summarized below. The bases for the additions were amended on July 13, 2020, to reflect an updated and refined analysis under the risk criteria set forth in Section 3 of the amended Order.

B. List of Additional Businesses

For purposes of the Order, Additional Businesses include the following subject to the stated limitations and conditions:

- | | |
|---|---|
| (1) Retail Stores for Goods— SUSPENDED IN PART | 2 |
| (2) Manufacturing, Warehousing and Logistical Support | 5 |
| (3) Childcare Programs and Summer Camps for All Children | 6 |
| (4) Curbside Pickup and Drop-Off for Low Contact Retail Services..... | 8 |
| (5) Outdoor Activity Equipment Rental Businesses..... | 9 |

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

(6) Professional Sports Teams: Practices, Games, and Tournaments without In-Person Spectators with an Approved Plan	11
(7) Entertainment Venues: Live Streaming or Broadcasting Events without In-Person Audiences with an Approved Plan	12
(8) Outdoor Dining	13
(9) Outdoor Fitness Classes	14
(10) Indoor Household Services	16
(11) Offices for Non-Essential Businesses: Individuals Necessary for Operations Where Telecommuting is not Feasible— SUSPENDED	18
(12) Outdoor Zoos with an Approved Plan	19
(13) Charter Boat Operators	20

(1) Retail Stores for Goods—SUSPENDED IN PART

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel and customers can wear Face Coverings at all times and maintain at least six feet of physical distance except for brief interactions (e.g., while paying for goods). No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. While shopping customers interact only with a small number of individuals from other Households. Although Personnel are interacting with a moderate number of people, the duration of those interactions are low and safety limitations can ensure adequate physical distancing and adherence with other Social Distancing Requirements (Section 8.o of the Order) and other worker protection measures and decrease the risk of virus transmission. Consistent with Section 5.c of the Order and to the extent possible, retail stores are urged to conduct curbside/outdoor pickup to further decrease the risk.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate.
 1. Curbside/Outdoor Pickup: Retail stores may operate for curbside/outside pickup of goods, subject to the following limitations:
 - i. The store must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements;
 - ii. The store must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan;
 - iii. If a store chooses to display merchandise for sale on tables or otherwise outside the store, it must comply with the following specific requirements:
 - The store must obtain any necessary permits from the City;
 - Customers must either use hand sanitizer before touching items or ask the vendor to hand items to them;

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

- Only the number of customers who can maintain at least six feet of physical distancing may approach the table at a time;
- Chalk demarcations must be placed on the ground to indicate where shoppers should stand behind others, while waiting to purchase items; and
- The store must take measures to help ensure against congestion and blocking passage by pedestrians, including people with disabilities.

Stores may apply for a free temporary permit to use the sidewalk or parking lane for retail operations at <https://sf.gov/use-sidewalk-or-parking-lane-your-business>.

- iv. The store must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion; and

Retail stores that are in an enclosed Indoor Shopping Center (defined as a large building or group of buildings where customer access to stores is possible only through indoor passage ways or indoor common areas, such as Stonestown Galleria, Westfield San Francisco Centre and the Ferry Building Marketplace) and that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area, may only reopen for curbside/outdoor pickup at this time if the Indoor Shopping Center operator submits to the Health Officer a proposed plan for reopening and that plan is approved as provided below. The proposed plan must include:

- a. the number of stores and businesses that would be resuming operation;
- b. the number of Personnel associated with each store or business;
- c. the number of customers expected daily; and
- d. the specific social distancing and sanitation measures the shopping center would employ to prevent congestion at the doorways and streets, and protect customers and Personnel.

Plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityattys.org. Subject to the advance written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, retailers in the Indoor Shopping Center may then operate for curbside pickup consistent with the approved plan.

2. In-Store Retail: Beginning at 6:00 a.m. on June 15, 2020, retail stores may begin to operate for indoor shopping, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
- i. The store must reduce maximum occupancy to limit the number of people (including both customers and Personnel) to the lesser of: (1) 50% the store's normal maximum occupancy or (2) the number of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the store at all times;
 - ii. Before opening for in-store shopping, the store must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order)

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-17, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses offering in-store shopping or services—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan;

- iii. If a store chooses to display merchandise for sale on tables or otherwise outside the store, it must comply with the following specific requirements:
- The store must obtain any necessary permits from the City;
 - Customers must either use hand sanitizer before touching items or ask the vendor to hand items to them;
 - Only the number of customers who can maintain at least six feet physical distancing may approach the table at a time;
 - Chalk demarcations must be placed on the ground to indicate where shoppers should stand behind others, while waiting to purchase items; and
 - The store must take measures to help ensure against congestion and blocking passage by pedestrians, including people with disabilities.

Stores may apply for a free temporary permit to use the sidewalk or parking lane for retail operations at <https://sf.gov/use-sidewalk-or-parking-lane-your-business>.

- iv. **THIS SUBSECTION IS SUSPENDED. INDOOR SHOPPING CENTERS ARE NOT ALLOWED TO BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR IN-STORE RETAIL UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER FOLLOWING AUTHORIZATION BY THE STATE HEALTH OFFICER TO REOPEN. FOR CLARITY, EVEN THOSE SHOPPING CENTERS THAT PREVIOUSLY RECEIVED WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE HEALTH OFFICER TO RESUME INDOOR RETAIL OPERATIONS MUST TEMPORARILY CLOSE TO THE PUBLIC. FURTHER, ALL BUSINESS IN THE INDOOR SHOPPING CENTER (INCLUDING ESSENTIAL BUSINESSES) MUST TEMPORARILY CLOSE TO THE PUBLIC. DURING THIS SUSPENSION THEY MAY OPERATE FOR CURBSIDE/OUTDOOR PICKUP IF THE INDOOR SHOPPING CENTER HAS AN APPROVED PLAN UNDER SECTION 1.b.1.iv, ABOVE. ONCE INDOOR SHOPPING CENTERS FOR NON-ESSENTIAL BUSINESSES ARE ALLOWED TO REOPEN, THE CONDITIONS TO OPERATE SET FORTH BELOW MAY BE REVISED AND/OR ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS CONDITIONS MAY BE ADDED TO PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PLANS.**

[Retail stores that are in an enclosed Indoor Shopping Center (as defined in subsection 1.b.1.iv above) and that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area, may only reopen for in-store retail at this time if the Indoor Shopping Center submits to the Health Officer a

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

proposed plan for reopening and that plan is approved as provided below. The proposed plan must include:

- a. the number of stores and businesses that would be resuming operation;*
- b. the number of Personnel associated with each store or business;*
- c. the number of customers expected daily;*
- d. how the shopping center will regulate the number of people in the common areas of the shopping center;*
- e. how the shopping center will address HVAC/circulated air, use of elevators, use and cleaning of bathrooms;*
- f. any special considerations for indoor parking garages and access points; and*
- g. whether the shopping center will permit curbside pickup.*

Plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org. Subject to the written advance approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, the shopping center may then operate for in-store retail consistent with the approved plan.]

For clarity, operation of retail stores under category (1) and (2), above, applies only to the sale of goods and not to the provision of services or the rental of equipment, which are covered separately in Sections (4) and (5), below.

(Added May 17, 2020; Revised June 1, 2020, June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020; Subsection suspended July 20, 2020)

(2) Manufacturing, Warehousing and Logistical Support

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel can wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Personnel will interact only with a consistent and moderately sized group of people (i.e., the business's other Personnel) as members of the public do not generally frequent these businesses. Finally, risks of virus transmission associated with this activity can be mitigated through Social Distancing Requirements (Order Section 8.o) and sanitation, and other worker safety protocols.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate.
 1. Manufacturing: Manufacturing businesses—including non-essential manufacturing businesses—may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

- i. The business must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements; and
 - ii. The business must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-11, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for manufacturing businesses—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan.
2. Warehousing and Logistical Support: Businesses that provide warehousing and logistical support—including non-essential businesses —may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. The business must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements; and
 - ii. The business must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-12, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for warehouse and logistical support businesses—including the requirement to create a Health and Safety Plan.

(Added May 17, 2020; Revised June 1, 2020, June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(3) Childcare Programs and Summer Camps for All Children

- a. Basis for Addition. Childcare is critical to early education and developmental equity, family social and economic wellbeing, and economic recovery from the pandemic. More specifically, childcare is an important element for a child's social and emotional development, as well as for a child's physical health and wellness. Also, childcare is often necessary to allow parents or guardians to work, making the availability of childcare important for individual families as well as the local economy. Although attendance at a childcare program involves a high number of close contacts that may be of lengthy duration. The risks of virus transmission can be reduced by mitigation measures, as generally described below. But children's inability to consistently follow social distancing and sanitation recommendations means that even with the mitigation measures the risk of transmission is higher than in interactions exclusively among adults. And while based on available evidence, children do not appear to be at higher risk for COVID-19 than adults, medical knowledge about the possible health effects of COVID-19 on children is evolving. Accordingly, the decision about whether to enroll a child in a childcare program is an individualized inquiry that should be made by parents/guardians with an understanding of the risks that such enrollment entails. Parents/guardians may discuss these risks and their concerns with their pediatrician. The Health Officer will continue to monitor the changing situation and may amend this section as necessary to protect the public health.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

b. Description and Conditions to Operate.

1. Childcare Programs: Educational or recreational institutions or programs that provide care or supervision for children of any age (with the exception of summer camps, which are addressed separately in (b)(2) below) (“Childcare Programs”) may open for all children, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. Childcare Programs must limit group size to 12 children per room or space;
 - ii. Childcare Program sessions must be at least three weeks long, and childcare programs without set sessions may not enroll children for fewer than three weeks;
 - iii. Childcare Programs must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and comply with all of the requirements set forth in relevant industry-specific Health Officer directives (*see* Health Officer Directive No. 2020-14b), including the requirements to have the parent(s) or guardian(s) of any child attending the program sign an acknowledgement of health risks, and to prepare and implement a written health and safety plan to mitigate the risk of virus transmission to the greatest extent feasible.
2. Summer Camps: Summer camps and summer learning programs that operate exclusively outside of the academic school year (“Summer Camps”) may operate for all children over the age of six and school-aged children currently in grades transitional kindergarten (TK) and above who are under age six, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. Summer Camps must limit group size to 12 children (a “pod”) per room or space;
 - ii. Summer Camp sessions must last at least three weeks;
 - iii. Children must remain in the same pod for at least three weeks, and preferably for the entire time throughout the summer.
 - iv. Summer Camps may not begin to operate until they have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and complied with all of the requirements set forth in relevant industry-specific Health Officer directives (*see* Health Officer Directive No. 2020-13b) including the requirements to complete an online form with general information about the program and required certifications, to have the parent(s) or guardian(s) of any child attending the program sign an acknowledgement of health risks, and to prepare and implement a written health and safety plan to mitigate the risk of virus transmission to the greatest extent feasible.

(Added May 22, 2020; Revised June 1, 2020, July 13, 2020; Non-substantive revisions June 11, 2020)

[July 20, 2020]

(4) Curbside Pickup and Drop-Off for Low Contact Retail Services

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel and customers can wear Face Coverings at all times and maintain at least six feet of physical distance except for brief interactions (e.g., in some instances where remote payment is not feasible, while paying for services). No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Customers interact only with a small number of individuals from other Households, and although Personnel are interacting with a moderate number of people, the duration of those interactions are low and safety limitations can ensure adequate social distancing and decrease the risk of virus transmission. The majority of interactions can occur outdoors, which further decreases risk—and consistent with Section 5.c of the Order, businesses are strongly urged to conduct interactions outdoors to the largest extent possible.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Services that do not generally require close customer contact (e.g., dog grooming and shoe or electronics repair) may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. To the extent feasible, all interactions and transactions between Personnel and customers should occur outdoors;
 - ii. The store must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements (Section 8.o of the Order);
 - iii. The businesses must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup and drop-off;
 - iv. The stores must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion; and
 - v. Stores in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time unless they are located in an approved Indoor Shopping Center as described in 1.b above.

For clarity, this provision does not apply to personal service businesses, such as hair salons, barbershops, nail salons, or piercing or tattoo parlors.

As discussed in Section 1.b above regarding retail stores and Indoor Shopping Centers, stores within enclosed shopping centers may operate only upon advance written approval by the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee of a plan submitted by the Indoor Shopping Center operator. Plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityattys.org. **NOTE THAT SECTION 1.b.2.iv REGARDING INDOOR SHOPPING CENTERS IS SUSPENDED. INDOOR SHOPPING CENTERS ARE NOT ALLOWED TO BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR IN-STORE RETAIL UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER FOLLOWING AUTHORIZATION BY THE STATE HEALTH OFFICER TO REOPEN. FOR CLARITY, EVEN THOSE SHOPPING CENTERS THAT PREVIOUSLY**

[July 20, 2020]

RECEIVED WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE HEALTH OFFICER MUST TEMPORARILY CLOSE TO THE PUBLIC. INDOOR SHOPPING CENTERS MAY OPEN FOR CURBSIDE/OUTDOOR PICKUP ONLY WITH AN APPROVED PLAN AS DISCUSSED IN SECTION 1.b.1.iv ABOVE.

(Added June 1, 2020; Revised June 11, 2020, July 20, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(5) Outdoor Activity Equipment Rental Businesses

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel and customers can wear Face Coverings at all times and maintain at least six feet of physical distance except for brief interactions (e.g., while paying for services). No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Customers interact only with a small number of individuals from other Households, and although Personnel are interacting with a moderate number of people, the duration of those interactions are low and safety limitations can ensure adequate social distancing and decrease the risk of virus transmission. The majority of interactions can occur outdoors, which further decreases risk—and businesses are strongly urged to conduct interactions outdoors to the largest extent possible. Also the risk of multiple individuals using shared equipment can be mitigated through sanitation measures. Finally, resumption of these businesses is expected to result in only a small increase in the number of people reentering the workforce and the overall volume of commercial activity.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Businesses that rent equipment for permissible outdoor recreational activities (e.g., bicycles, kayaks, paddleboards, boats, horseback riding or fishing equipment) may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. To the extent feasible, all interactions and transactions between Personnel and customers should occur outdoors;
 - ii. The store must limit the number of Personnel in the facility so that Personnel can comply with Social Distancing Requirements (Section 8.o of the Order);
 - iii. The business must have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-10b, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for retail businesses with curbside pickup and drop-off;
 - iv. The business must have direct access to an immediately adjacent sidewalk, street, alley, or parking area for pickup by customers using any mode of travel, without blocking pedestrian access or causing pedestrian or vehicle congestion;

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

- v. Businesses in an enclosed indoor shopping center that do not have direct access to adjacent sidewalk, street, parking lot or alley area may not reopen at this time unless they are in an approved Shopping Center as described in 1.b above; and
- vi. All equipment must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected between each use with procedures effective against the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in accordance with the following guidelines, which may be modified by the Health Officer as new information becomes available:
 - For hard non-porous surfaces, clean with detergent or soap and water if the surfaces are visibly dirty, before applying disinfectant. For these purposes, appropriate disinfectants include:
 - Products listed on the Environmental Protection Agency’s list of Disinfectants for Use Against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19), which can be found online at <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2-covid-19>. Follow the manufacturer’s instructions for concentration, application method, and contact time for all cleaning and disinfection products.
 - Diluted household bleach solutions prepared according to the manufacturer’s label for disinfection, if appropriate for the surface. Follow manufacturer’s instructions for application and proper ventilation. Check to ensure the product is not past its expiration date. Never mix household bleach with ammonia or any other cleanser.
 - Alcohol solutions with at least 70% alcohol.
 - For soft or porous surfaces, remove any visible contamination, if present, and clean with appropriate cleaners indicated for use on these surfaces. After cleaning, use products that are EPA-approved as effective against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) (see link above) and that are suitable for porous surfaces.
 - For frequently touched electronic surfaces, remove visible dirt, then disinfect following the manufacturer’s instructions for all cleaning and disinfection products. If no manufacturer guidance is available, then consider the using alcohol-based wipes or sprays containing at least 70% alcohol to disinfect.
 - Gloves and any other disposable PPE used for cleaning and disinfecting the vehicle must be removed and disposed of after cleaning; wash hands immediately after removing gloves and PPE with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, or use an alcohol-based hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol if soap and water are not available. If a disposable gown was not worn, work uniforms/clothes worn during cleaning and disinfecting should be laundered afterwards using the warmest appropriate water setting and dry items completely. Wash hands after handling laundry.

As discussed in Section 1.b above regarding retail stores and Indoor Shopping Centers, stores within Indoor Shopping Centers may operate only upon the advance written approval by the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee of a plan submitted by the Indoor Shopping

[July 20, 2020]

Center operator. Proposed plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org. **NOTE THAT SECTION 1.b.2.iv REGARDING INDOOR SHOPPING CENTERS IS SUSPENDED. INDOOR SHOPPING CENTERS ARE NOT ALLOWED TO BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC FOR IN-STORE RETAIL UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER FOLLOWING AUTHORIZATION BY THE STATE HEALTH OFFICER TO REOPEN. FOR CLARITY, EVEN THOSE SHOPPING CENTERS THAT PREVIOUSLY RECEIVED WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE HEALTH OFFICER MUST TEMPORARILY CLOSE TO THE PUBLIC. INDOOR SHOPPING CENTERS MAY OPEN FOR CURBSIDE/OUTDOOR PICKUP ONLY WITH AN APPROVED PLAN AS DISCUSSED IN SECTION 1.b.1.iv ABOVE.**

(Added June 1, 2020; Revised June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020; Suspension note added July 20, 2020)

(6) Professional Sports Teams: Practices, Games, and Tournaments without In-Person Spectators with an Approved Plan

- a. **Basis for Addition.** Although contact sports may present a significant risk of virus transmission, those risks can be mitigated by stringent social distancing, sanitation, and testing measures. Resuming such events—without a live audience and subject to strict health controls and mitigation measures—represents a first step toward the resumption of professional sports exhibitions that can be broadcast for the entertainment of the public and viewed by the public remotely in a safe manner.
- b. **Description and Conditions to Operate.** Professional sports teams that wish to resume practices, games, or tournaments and broadcasting of those events in San Francisco, without in-person spectators, may submit to the Health Officer a proposed plan detailing the sanitation, social distancing, health screening, and other procedures that will be implemented to minimize the risk of transmission among players, staff, media, broadcast crew, and any others who will be in the facility. The plan must include a proposal for interval testing (without using City resources) of all players and coaching staff who will be present in the facility. Plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org. Subject to the advance written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee, the team may then resume activities consistent with the approved plan, including any conditions to approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee. Teams, games, exhibitions, and tournaments must also comply with any applicable Health Officer directives to the extent they are consistent with the approved plan; in the event of an inconsistency, the approved plan controls. Finally, crew, athletes, coaching staff and other workers should also abide by protocols agreed to by labor and management, to the extent they are at least as protective of health as the approved plan.

NOTE THAT ALTHOUGH TEAMS MAY CONTINUE TO SUBMIT PLANS, NO PLANS FOR INDOOR EVENTS INVOLVING MORE THAN 12 PEOPLE WILL BE APPROVED UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE BY THE HEALTH OFFICER.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

(Added June 1, 2020; Revised June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions June 26, 2020;
Suspension note added July 20, 2020)

(7) Entertainment Venues: Live Streaming or Broadcasting Events without In-Person Audiences with an Approved Plan

- a. Basis for Addition. Although some types of live entertainment and cultural events, such as music, dance and comedy performances, may present a risk of virus transmission, those risks can be mitigated by stringent social distancing, sanitation, and testing measures. Resuming such events—without a live audience and subject to strict health controls and mitigation measures—represents a first step toward the resumption of these entertainment and cultural activities that can be broadcast and watched by the public remotely in a safe manner.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate.
 1. Operators of entertainment venues may film, stream, or otherwise broadcast small scale events so long as:
 - i. the venue remains closed to the public;
 - ii. the live stream is limited to the fewest number of Personnel needed (up to a maximum of 12 people in the facility, including, without limitation, media Personnel needed for the broadcast);
 - iii. doors and windows are left open to the extent possible, or mechanical ventilation systems are run, to increase ventilation;
 - iv. the venue complies with the Social Distancing Requirements set forth in Section 8.o of this Order; and
 - v. Because singing and playing wind or brass instruments can transmit particles farther in the air than breathing or speaking quietly, people must be in an isolation booth or in a separate room from others in the facility while singing or playing wind or brass instruments.

To further reduce the risk of transmission, it is strongly recommended that all events allowed under this section be conducted and filmed, streamed, or otherwise broadcast from outdoors. The same outdoors recommendation applies to all other operations that are allowed under the Order to be filmed, live streamed or otherwise broadcast indoors with health restrictions.

2. Operators of entertainment venues that wish to film, stream, or otherwise broadcast events that require more than 12 people to be on site at the facility at any one time may submit to the Health Officer a proposed plan detailing the sanitation, social distancing, health screening, and other procedures that will be implemented to minimize the risk of transmission among participants. If the event involves singing, playing wind or brass instruments, or physical contact, the plan must include a

[July 20, 2020]

proposal for interval testing (without using City resources) of those individuals. Proposed plans must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityattys.org. Subject to the advance written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, the venue may then begin operating consistent with the approved plan, including any conditions to approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee. Cast, crew, and other workers should also abide by protocols agreed to by labor and management, to the extent they are at least as protective of health as the approved plan.

NOTE THAT ALTHOUGH VENUES MAY CONTINUE TO SUBMIT PLANS, NO PLANS FOR INDOOR EVENTS INVOLVING MORE THAN 12 PEOPLE HAVE BEEN APPROVED TO DATE OR WILL BE APPROVED UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE BY THE HEALTH OFFICER.

(Added June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions June 26, 2020; Revised July 20, 2020)

(8) Outdoor Dining

- a. Basis for Addition. Outdoor dining with small groups of people potentially involves mixing of Households and a moderate number of contacts. Accordingly, and because Face Coverings must be removed to eat and drink, the risk of virus transmission is slightly higher than in other allowable interactions. But outdoor interactions carry a significantly lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions, and mitigation measures in outdoor dining establishments can significantly decrease the transmission risk.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Restaurants and bars that serve food ("outdoor dining establishments") may operate for outdoor dining only subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. Patrons must remain outside the outdoor dining establishment and may enter the establishment only (1) to access a bathroom, (2) to access an outdoor space that is only accessible by traveling through the restaurant, or (3) to order or pickup food at an indoor counter;
 - ii. All patrons must be seated at a table to eat or drink—standing between tables or in other areas of the outdoor space is not permitted;
 - iii. Patrons may not be served food or beverages while waiting to be seated;
 - iv. Patrons must wear Face Coverings any time they are not eating or drinking, including but not limited to: while they are waiting to be seated; while reviewing the menu and ordering; while socializing at a table waiting for their food and drinks to be served or after courses or the meal is complete; and any time they leave the table, such as to use a restroom. Customers must also wear Face Coverings any time servers, bussers, or other Personnel approach their table;

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

- v. Tables used to seat patrons outdoors must be spaced to ensure that patrons are at least six feet apart from other patrons seated at different service tables or separated by an impermeable physical barrier between;
- vi. No more than six patrons may be seated at a single table, unless all are members of the same household—it is strongly encouraged that only individuals in the same household sit together at a single table;
- vii. An outdoor dining establishment shall not be permitted to provide alcoholic beverage service without also providing real meal service in a bona fide manner. Bona fide meals must be prepared and served by the outdoor dining establishment or another person or business operating under an agreement with the outdoor dining establishment. The service of prepackaged food like sandwiches or salads, or simply heating frozen or prepared meals, shall not be deemed as compliant with this requirement;
- viii. No patrons are allowed to eat or drink indoors in the dining establishment; and
- ix. The business must have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-16b, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for outdoor dining.

Outdoor dining establishments may apply for a free temporary permit to use the sidewalk or parking lane for business operations at <https://sf.gov/use-sidewalk-or-parking-lane-your-business>.

(Added June 11, 2020; Revised July 13, 2020)

(9) Outdoor Fitness Classes

- a. Basis for Addition. Outdoor fitness classes involve mixing of Households and a moderate number of contacts. Also, the contacts are often of relatively long duration. Accordingly, and because exercise causes people to more forcefully expel airborne particles, the risk of virus transmission is higher than in other allowable interactions. But participants can—and must—wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance at all times and not share equipment. Further, outdoor interactions carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions, and health protocols in outdoor fitness classes can significantly decrease the transmission risk.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Outdoor fitness classes (e.g., outdoor boot camp, non-contact dance classes, tai chi, pilates, and yoga classes) may operate subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. No more than 12 people, including the instructor(s), may participate in an outdoor fitness class;

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

- ii. The business/instructor should ask participants to voluntarily provide their name and phone number for potential contact tracing purposes—the business/instructor should keep this information on file for at least three weeks;
- iii. The business/instructor must ask each participant whether they have had any of the following symptoms within the prior 24 hours that are new and not explained by another reason:
 - Fever or chills
 - Cough
 - Sore throat
 - Shortness of breath or trouble breathing
 - Feeling unusually weak or fatigued
 - New loss of taste or smell
 - Muscle pain
 - Headache
 - Runny or congested nose
 - diarrhea

Any participants who report having any of these symptoms should not be permitted to come to or participate in the fitness class.

In addition, the business/instructor must ask each participant (1) if within the last 10 days they have been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus; and (2) if they live with or have had close contact with someone who in the past 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus in that same period. Any participants who answer yes to either of these questions should not be permitted to come to or participate in the fitness class.

- iv. All participants must maintain a physical distance of at least six feet from each other, from the instructor(s), and from members of the public at all times;
- v. The business/instructor must have permission of the property owner to use the space;
- vi. All participants and instructors must wear a face covering at all times, unless they are specifically exempted from the face covering requirements in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020, as that order may be amended from time to time; and
- vii. Equipment (e.g., medicine balls, resistance bands, mats, weights, or yoga blocks) may not be shared by members of the class and must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected between each use with procedures effective against the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in accordance with the following guidelines, which may be modified by the Health Officer as new information becomes available:
 - For hard non-porous surfaces, clean with detergent or soap and water if the surfaces are visibly dirty, before applying disinfectant. For these purposes, appropriate disinfectants include:
 - Products listed on the Environmental Protection Agency’s list of Disinfectants for Use Against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19), which can be

[July 20, 2020]

found online at <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2-covid-19>. Follow the manufacturer's instructions for concentration, application method, and contact time for all cleaning and disinfection products.

- Diluted household bleach solutions prepared according to the manufacturer's label for disinfection, if appropriate for the surface. Follow manufacturer's instructions for application and proper ventilation. Check to ensure the product is not past its expiration date. Never mix household bleach with ammonia or any other cleanser.
- Alcohol solutions with at least 70% alcohol.
- For soft or porous surfaces, remove any visible contamination, if present, and clean with appropriate cleaners indicated for use on these surfaces. After cleaning, use products that are EPA-approved as effective against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) (see link above) and that are suitable for porous surfaces.
- Gloves and any other disposable PPE used for cleaning and disinfecting the equipment must be removed and disposed of after cleaning; wash hands immediately after removing gloves and PPE with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, or use an alcohol-based hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol if soap and water are not available. If a disposable gown was not worn, work uniforms/clothes worn during cleaning and disinfecting should be laundered afterwards using the warmest appropriate water setting and dry items completely. Wash hands after handling laundry.

For clarity, this section does not allow contact sports (e.g., football) or fitness classes that involve physical contact (e.g., jiu jitsu or boxing with sparring) to resume. Also, this section does not cover childcare or summer camp programs for children or youth, which are governed by section 3 above and Heath Officer Directive Nos. 2020-13b and 2020-14b.

Additional guidance about outdoor fitness classes from the San Francisco Department of Public Health is available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.

(Added June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(10) Indoor Household Services

- a. Basis for Addition. Household service providers and residents can wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Although indoor household services may involve mixing of Households (if the resident is at home) and occurs indoors, the number of contacts is low. Finally, risks of virus transmission can be mitigated through adherence to other Social Distancing Requirements and to sanitation, and other safety protocols.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Providers of indoor household services that can be provided while maintaining social distancing (e.g., house cleaners and cooks) may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
- i. Residents may not have any household service provider come into their home if they have experienced any of the following symptoms within the prior 24 hours that are new and not explained by another reason:
 - Fever or chills
 - Cough
 - Sore throat
 - Shortness of breath or trouble breathing
 - Feeling unusually weak or fatigued
 - New loss of taste or smell
 - Muscle pain
 - Headache
 - Runny or congested nose
 - diarrhea
 - ii. Household service providers may not enter a residence to provide services if they have experienced any of the above symptoms within the prior 24 hours that are new and not explained by another reason;
 - iii. In addition, household service providers may not enter a residence to provide services if either the household service provider or anyone in the residence answers yes to either of the following questions: (1) within the last 10 days has the person been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus; and (2) does the person live with or have they had close contact with someone who in the past 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus in that same period.
 - iv. When feasible, residents should leave the premises when household services providers are in their home—if leaving the premises is not feasible, residents should try to be in a different room than the household service provider to the greatest extent possible;
 - v. When feasible, leave windows and doors open to increase ventilation or run mechanical ventilation systems;
 - vi. High touch surfaces and any shared implements or tools should be cleaned at the beginning and end of any service visit;
 - vii. Both residents and household service providers must wear a face covering at all times, unless they are specifically exempted from the face covering requirements in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020.

For clarity, this section does not allow personal service providers, such as hair dressers or personal trainers, to provide in-home services. Also, this section does not apply to in-home childcare, which is independently permissible under Section 8.a.xxi of the Order.

Additional guidance about indoor household services from the San Francisco Department of Public Health is available at <http://www.sfdph.org/directives>.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

(Added June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(11) Offices for Non-Essential Businesses: Individuals Necessary for Operations Where Telecommuting is not Feasible—SUSPENDED

THIS SECTION IS SUSPENDED. OFFICES FOR NON-ESSENTIAL BUSINESSES ARE NOT ALLOWED TO OPERATE UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER FOLLOWING AUTHORIZATION BY THE STATE HEALTH OFFICER TO REOPEN. ONCE OFFICES FOR NON-ESSENTIAL BUSINESSES ARE ALLOWED TO REOPEN, THE CONDITIONS TO OPERATE SET FORTH BELOW MAY BE REVISED.

- a. *[Basis for Addition. Personnel can wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Personnel will interact only with a consistent and moderately sized group of people (i.e., the business's other Personnel). Finally, risks of virus transmission associated with this activity can be mitigated through adherence to other Social Distancing Requirements and to sanitation, and other safety protocols.]*
- b. *Description and Conditions to Operate. Office workplaces that are not otherwise permitted to operate under this Order may open for individuals necessary for operations who cannot work remotely, subject to the following conditions:*
 - i. *All workers who are able to telecommute must continue to do so, only individuals necessary for operations who cannot work remotely may come into the office;*
 - ii. *Office Facilities must adjust their maximum occupancy rules based on the size of the facility to limit the number of people (including Personnel and members of the public), as follows:*
 - *Office Facilities with fewer than 20 Personnel must reduce their maximum occupancy to the number of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the facility at all times,*
 - *Office Facilities with 20 or more Personnel must reduce their maximum occupancy to the **lesser** of: (1) 20% the facility's normal maximum occupancy or (2) the number of people who can maintain at least six feet of physical distance from each other in the facility at all times; and*
 - iii. *The business must have created, posted and implemented a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-18, as that directive may be amended from time to time, regarding required best practices for businesses operating office facilities.]*

(Added June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020; Suspended July 20, 2020)

[July 20, 2020]

(12) Outdoor Zoos with an Approved Plan

- a. Basis for Addition. Zoo Personnel and visitors can wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance from people in different households at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. And outdoor businesses—like the outdoor areas of the zoo—are safer than indoor businesses. Finally, the number, frequency and proximity of contacts can be minimized through capacity limitations and the risk of virus transmission can be reduced through other health protocols.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Zoos that wish to resume operations for visits by the public solely in their outdoor spaces may submit to the Health Officer a proposed plan detailing the sanitation, social distancing, health screening, and other procedures that will be implemented to minimize the risk of transmission among Personnel and visitors.

The plan must be submitted to HealthPlan@sfcityatty.org, and must include detailed descriptions of how the business intends to address the following safety precautions.

- Ensuring that the facility remains below the lesser of: (a) 50% of the maximum capacity for the outdoor space that is permitted to open; or (b) the capacity based on the ability of Personnel and patrons to comply with the Social Distancing Requirements;
- Signage regarding Social Distancing Requirements (to include at least six feet of distance, handwashing/sanitizer practices, face covering policy);
- Ensuring Personnel and patrons wear Face Coverings at all times, unless they are specifically exempted from the face covering requirements in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020, as that order may be amended from time to time;
- Ticketing booths and payment systems;
- Personnel safety precautions;
- HVAC systems (e.g., quality and level of filtration, percentage of air exchange with outside air can HVAC be run at 100% capacity to increase ventilation);
- Compliance with applicable Health Officer directives (e.g. regarding Food and beverage concessions, and retail gift shops);
- Social distancing in elevators;
- Monitoring and limiting patrons to ensure physical distancing between members of different Households;
- Paths of travel through the establishment and wayfinding signage;
- Sanitation for restrooms;
- Tours and audio self-tour equipment;
- Coat/personal property check services;
- Sanitation for high-touch surfaces and areas; and
- Closing interactive exhibits or modifying those exhibits to prevent common touching.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

Beginning at 10 a.m. on July 13, 2020, and subject to the advance written approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee, the zoo may resume operating its outdoor spaces for visits by the public at the lesser of: (a) 50% of the maximum capacity for the outdoor space that is permitted to open; or (b) the capacity based on the ability of Personnel and patrons to comply with the Social Distancing Requirements, consistent with the approved plan, including any conditions to approval of the Health Officer or the Health Officer's designee.

(Added July 13, 2020)

(13) Charter Boat Operators

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel and passengers can wear Face Coverings and maintain six feet of physical distance from people in different households at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. And charter boat tours occur outside, which is safer than indoor interactions. Finally, outdoor boating excursions of small, socially distanced groups involve only a moderate number of contacts, and health mitigation measures in small boating excursions can significantly decrease the transmission risk.
- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Individuals or businesses that offer bareboat or skippered charters ("Charter Boat Operators") may operate, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
 - i. The total number of passengers must be limited to no more than 12—or fewer if necessary to ensure that at least six feet of spacing can be maintained;
 - ii. All passengers must maintain a physical distance of at least six feet from each other, from the captain, and from Personnel, at all times;
 - iii. Before boarding, passengers must wait on the dock at least six feet apart and must not board the vessel until the captain or crew allow boarding;
 - iv. For fishing, rod holders must be spaced at least six feet apart from each other;
 - v. Bathrooms (if any) must be sanitized after each use following EPA guidelines;
 - vi. Passengers must stay in the open air portion of the boat except for brief periods, such as to use the bathroom;
 - vii. Charter Boat Operators should ask passengers to voluntarily provide their name and phone number for potential contact tracing purposes—the business/captain should keep this information on file for at least three weeks;
 - viii. Charter Boat Operators must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order);
 - ix. Charter Boat Operators must ask each passenger whether they have had any of the following symptoms within the prior 24 hours that are new and not explained by another reason:

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-1: Additional Businesses Permitted to Operate

[July 20, 2020]

- Fever or chills
- Cough
- Sore throat
- Shortness of breath or trouble breathing
- Feeling unusually weak or fatigued
- New loss of taste or smell
- Muscle pain
- Headache
- Runny or congested nose
- diarrhea

Any passengers who report having any of these symptoms should not be permitted to board the boat, and may not be charged any cancellation fee.

Also, Charter Boat Operators must ask each passenger (1) if within the last 10 days they have been diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus; and (2) if they live with or have had close contact with someone who in the past 14 days was diagnosed with COVID-19 or had a test confirming they have the SARS-CoV-2 virus in that same period. Any passengers who answer yes to either of these questions should not be permitted to board the boat, and may not be charged any cancellation fee.

- x. All passengers and Personnel must wear a face covering at all times while waiting to board, at all times while on board—except when eating or drinking, and at all times when disembarking from the vessel, unless they are specifically exempted from the face covering requirements in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b, issued on May 28, 2020, as that order may be amended from time to time;
- xi. Passengers from different households should not shake hands, share food or drinks, or engage in any unnecessary physical contact—the captain and crew must instruct passengers about these requirements;
- xii. Charter Boat Operators must make hand sanitizer available throughout the boat and at each rod station (if any);
- xiii. Equipment (e.g., fishing equipment) may not be shared by people outside of a single household, and the boat and all equipment belonging to the Charter Boat Operator or otherwise provided by the Charter Boat Operator must be thoroughly cleaned and disinfected after each trip with procedures effective against the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in accordance with CDC guidelines (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/cleaning-disinfecting-decision-tool.html>).

For clarity, this section does not cover vessels used exclusively for transportation purposes or other Essential Travel (such as ferries and water taxis) and such vessels do not need to follow the conditions set forth in this section.

(Added July 13, 2020)

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[July 20, 2020]

A. General Requirements

The “Additional Activities” listed below may resume, subject to the requirements set forth in the Order and to any additional requirements set forth below or in separate guidance by the Health Officer. These activities were selected based on current health-related information, the risk criteria set forth in Section 3 of the Order, and the overall impact that allowing these activities to resume will have on mobility and volume of activity in the County.

The health-related basis for selection of Additional Activities and the specific requirements for risk mitigation are summarized below. The bases for the additions were amended on July 13, 2020, to reflect an updated and refined analysis under the risk criteria set forth in Section 3 of the amended Order.

B. List of Additional Activities

For purposes of the Order, Additional Activities include the following based on the summarized health risk related rationale:

(1) Outdoor Museums, Outdoor Historical Sites, and Outdoor Public Gardens	1
(2) Outdoor Recreation: Golf and Tennis	3
(3) Outdoor Recreation: Dog Parks	3
(4) Small Outdoor Special Gatherings.....	4
(5) Libraries for Curbside Pickup and Return	5

(1) Outdoor Museums, Outdoor Historical Sites, and Outdoor Public Gardens

- a. Basis for Addition. Personnel and visitors can wear Face Coverings and maintain at least six feet of physical distance from people in different Households at all times. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. And outdoor activities are safer than indoor activities. Finally, the number, frequency and proximity of contacts can be minimized through capacity limitations and the risk of virus transmission can be reduced through other health protocols.
- b. Description and Conditions. Outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and outdoor public gardens (for example, the Botanical Gardens and Japanese Tea Garden may reopen to the public—and individuals may leave their residence and travel to visit these locations—subject to the following conditions:
 1. Only outdoor spaces may be open to the public, except for restrooms as provided below.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[July 20, 2020]

2. Face Coverings must be worn by all staff and visitors, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
3. Physical distancing of at least six-feet must be maintained at all times other than between members of the same Household;
4. Other than picnic tables, which may be available for use with signs instructing patrons to clean them before and after use, common high-touch equipment and fixtures must be off-limits, with signage and with physical barriers as appropriate;
5. Public restrooms, if any, must
 - a. be routinely disinfected frequently throughout the day,
 - b. have open doors to prevent touching of door handles or knobs,
 - c. have soap and paper towels, and
 - d. have signs promoting handwashing;
6. The museum, outdoor historical site, or outdoor public garden must provide for contactless payment systems or, if not feasible, sanitize any payment systems, including touch screens, payment portals, pens, and styluses, after each customer use. Under San Francisco's Legal Tender Law, customers must be allowed to pay with cash but to further limit person-to-person contact, Personnel should encourage customers to use credit, debit, or gift cards for payment;
7. Signage must be posted at each public entrance to inform all personnel and customers that they must: avoid entering the facility or location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another while in the facility or location, wear a Face Covering at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact (sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>);
8. Any on-site retail stores (e.g., gift shops) may operate for curbside/outdoor pickup only, and must do so in compliance with Appendix C-1 of this Order and Health Officer Directive 2020-10b (available at <https://www.sfdph.org/directives>);
9. Before resuming operations, outdoor museums, outdoor historical sites, and outdoor public gardens must prepare, post, implement, and distribute to their Personnel a Social Distancing Protocol checklist as required by Appendix A of the Order and a written health and safety plan that addresses all best practices listed in Section 1.b of this Appendix.

For clarity, this section does not apply to outdoor zoos, which are covered under Section 12 of Appendix C-1.

(Added May 17, 2020; revised June 1, 2020; Non-substantive revisions on July 13, 2020)

[July 20, 2020]

(2) Outdoor Recreation: Golf and Tennis

- a. Basis for Addition. Non-contact outdoor sports like tennis and golf involve a low number of contacts and a high proximity of contact, as long as the groups engaged in play together are small, maintain required physical distance, and do not share equipment among different Households. Also, interactions and activities that occur outdoors carry a lower risk of transmission than most indoor interactions and activities. And the risk of transmission can be further mitigated by sanitation and hygiene practices. Finally, because outdoor recreation is already allowed under the Order, resumption of this activity is expected to result in only a relatively modest increase in mobility and may decrease congestion in other outdoor locations like public parks and beaches.
- b. Description and Conditions. Individuals may play tennis and golf outdoors, and outdoor tennis and golf facilities/clubs may open, subject to the following conditions:
 1. Face Coverings must be worn by all golf and tennis facility/club Personnel, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
 2. All golf and tennis players must wear a Face Covering while in facility/club parking lots, when entering and exiting facilities/clubs, and while waiting to play—Face Coverings may be removed during play if nobody from a different Household is within 30 feet of the player;
 3. For golf, groups must be limited to a maximum of four players per group, unless all players within the group are part of a single Household. Groups of players from different Households must comply with the State of California under its Stay-Safer-At Home Order;
 4. Tennis may only be played between members of the same Household or between a maximum two single individuals outside of the same Household; and
 5. Before resuming operations, each golf or tennis facility/club must create, post and implement a Social Distancing Protocol checklist (Appendix A to this Order) and comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-15 regarding required best practices for tennis and golf.

(Added June 1, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(3) Outdoor Recreation: Dog Parks

- a. Basis for Addition. Although taking a dog to a dog park may involve mixing of Households, individuals can wear Face Coverings at all times and maintain at least six feet of physical distance from members of other Households except for short interactions. No inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) are involved. Also, outdoor activities carry a lower risk of transmission than indoor interactions and activities, and risk of transmission can be reduced through health protocols.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[July 20, 2020]

- b. **Description and Conditions.** Individuals may take their dogs to dog parks (both enclosed and unenclosed), and all dog parks may open, subject to the following conditions:
1. Face Coverings must be worn by all people in the dog park, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (e.g., for young children), including as that order is amended in the future;
 2. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has advised that “[u]ntil we learn more about how this virus affects animals,” owners should “treat pets as you would other human family members to protect them from a possible infection.” Specifically, the CDC recommends that pet owners: “Do not let pets interact with people or other animals outside the household,” “Walk dogs on a leash, maintaining at least 6 feet (2 meters) from other people and animals,” and “Avoid dog parks or public places where a large number of people and dogs gather.” Accordingly, pet owners are urged to use on-leash dog parks or keep their dogs on a leash, particularly if the dog is not under voice control—pet owners who choose to let their dogs be off leash in an off-leash dog park should prevent their dog from interacting with other people or animals to the greatest extent feasible;
 3. People in the dog park should maintain at least six feet of physical distance from people or animals other than those in their same Household;
 4. People must bring their own water for themselves and their pets, and must not use common touch water facilities in the park;
 5. People must use their sleeve or a disposable cloth to touch high-touch surfaces like gates;
 6. People should bring their own bags for picking up and disposing of pet waste;
 7. Signage must be posted at each dog park to inform people that they must: avoid entering the location if they have a cough or fever, maintain a minimum six-foot distance from one another, wear a Face Covering at all times, and not shake hands or engage in any unnecessary physical contact (sample signs are available online at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>); and
 8. People must follow any other rules and regulations adopted by the operator of the dog park.

(Added June 1, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(4) Small Outdoor Special Gatherings

- a. **Basis for Addition.** As provided in Section 4.f of the Order, gatherings among different Households are strongly discouraged to help prevent the spread of COVID-19, and larger gatherings pose higher risks. Although small outdoor gatherings involve mixing of Households, individuals can wear Face Coverings at all times, except when eating and drinking, and maintain at least six feet of physical distance from others outside their

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[July 20, 2020]

Household at all times. Inherently risky activities (e.g., singing, shouting, eating, drinking, etc.) can and are strongly urged to be minimized to the greatest extent possible. Also, outdoor activities carry a lower risk of transmission than indoor interactions and activities, and risk of transmission can be reduced through health protocols.

- b. **Description and Conditions.** As further provided in Section 4.f of the Order, all people are strongly encouraged to continue staying safe at home and minimizing unnecessary interactions with others to the maximum extent possible. But individuals may participate in small outdoor gatherings—including for ceremonies, religious services, and other special purposes—subject to the following conditions:
1. No more than six people may participate in a gathering that involves eating or drinking within six feet of each other, unless all are members of the same Household;
 2. No more than 12 people may participate in any other outdoor gathering under this section, unless all are members of the same Household.
 3. Unless eating or drinking in a group of six people or fewer, participants outside of the same Household must remain at least six feet apart from each other. Participants must otherwise follow all Social Distancing Requirements (Section 8.o of the Order), and wear Face Coverings unless eating, drinking, or exempted from wearing a Face Covering under Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (the Face Covering Order); and
 4. Participants and hosts of small outdoor gatherings must comply with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-19 regarding required best practices for small outdoor gatherings and with the health guidelines for safer interactions set forth in the Tip Sheet for Safer Interactions During COVID-19 Pandemic, posted at: www.sfcddcp.org/communicable-disease/diseases-a-z/covid19whatsnew.

For clarity, this section does not allow contact sports (e.g., football or boxing) or games with shared equipment (e.g. Frisbee, baseball, or playing catch) to resume among members of different Households. This section also does not apply to organized outdoor fitness classes, which are covered by Section 9 of Appendix C-1.

(Added June 11, 2020; Non-substantive revisions July 13, 2020)

(5) Libraries for Curbside Pickup and Return

- a. **Basis for Addition.** Personnel and patrons can wear Face Coverings at all times and maintain at least six feet of physical distance except for brief interactions (e.g., while picking up items). Patrons interact only with a small number of individuals from other Households, and although Personnel are interacting with a moderate number of people, the duration of those interactions are low and safety limitations can ensure adequate social distancing and decrease the risk of virus transmission. In addition, interactions can occur outdoors, which further decreases risk.

Order No. C19-07f – Appendix C-2: Allowed Additional Activities

[July 20, 2020]

- b. Description and Conditions to Operate. Libraries may open for curbside/outside pickup and drop off of items, and approved by the City Administrator. All Personnel and patrons must comply with Social Distancing Requirements—including the requirement to maintain at least six feet of physical distance—and wear a Face Covering at all times, subject to the limited exceptions in Health Officer Order No. C19-12b (e.g., for young children), as that order may be amended from time to time.

(Added July 20, 2020)

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: FW: New Health Officer Order No. C19-15 re large facility testing and testing priority guidelines
Date: Tuesday, July 21, 2020 7:40:00 PM
Attachments: [2020.07.21 FINAL Signed Order No. C19-15 Health Facility and Provider Testing.pdf](#)

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached Order of the Health Officer No. C19-15.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2020 7:37 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Cc: Katy Tang (AIR) <katy.tang@flysfo.com>
Subject: New Health Officer Order No. C19-15 re large facility testing and testing priority guidelines

Hi Angela and Eileen,

Please see attached a new Health Order regarding facility/medical group testing and healthcare provider testing prioritization. Summary from City Attorney is below:

1. Has a testing mandate that applies to Healthcare Facilities in the City, defined as any:
 - (a) Acute care hospital, including emergency room, inpatient units, and on-site ambulatory care clinics;
 - (b) Clinic, office, or urgent care facility that is owned, directly or indirectly, by any entity that also owns and operates an acute care hospital, even if that hospital is outside the City;
 - (c) Part of a practice or medical group that has 100 or more licensed healthcare

professionals, regardless of how many such professionals are in the City (think Brown and Toland); or

- (d) Clinic, office, or urgent care facility that already tests patients for SARS-CoV-2 and has its own CLIA-certified lab to process those tests.

2. For each Healthcare Facility in the City, requires diagnostic testing to be conducted the same day as the patient's visit (or telemedicine consultation) or the following calendar day in three situations:
 - (a) If the patient has symptoms consistent with COVID-19, regardless of whether in the hospital and regardless of age;
 - (b) If the patient is a close contact of an actual or suspected person with the SARS-CoV-2 virus; or
 - (c) When directed by DPH (such as in the event of a surge when DPH needs others to conduct testing).
3. Requires any Healthcare Facility that fails to conduct tests within the 2-day window for the three categories listed above in item 2 to notify the Health Officer within 48 hours of the reasons for non-compliance and efforts they have taken to resolve the issue.
4. Requires any Healthcare Facility covered by the order to notify patients through a website or patient portal of the testing criteria, and recommends that they also post signs in patient care areas when feasible with those same criteria.
5. Attaches testing guidelines that address how all healthcare providers should prioritize tests in light of the limited capacity/delays for testing, with an emphasis on the groups who are most likely to have a positive result, including the three IDed in Item 2 and other categories that include higher risk (such as healthcare workers, certain other essential workers, and people in communities that are highly impacted by the pandemic).
6. Makes those guidelines mandatory for consideration of all healthcare providers in the City, based on the clinical context of the specific patient.
7. Includes in the order and guidelines some distinctions between symptom testing criteria for adults and children under 18—for children, the following are not listed as COVID-19 symptoms: sore throat, headache, body aches, fatigue, diarrhea, runny nose, and nasal congestion.
8. Does not require any individual healthcare provider that is not part of a Healthcare Facility to collect specimens for Diagnostic Testing.
9. Notes that Healthcare Facilities must follow state and federal rules regarding reimbursement, including rules prohibiting charging patients for testing.
10. Reminds providers to continue to report test results as required by law.
11. Does not prohibit any facility or provider from offering more testing than is required by the order.

Sneha Patil, MPH

Director, Office of Policy and Planning

San Francisco Department of Public Health

sneha.patil@sfdph.org | 415-554-2795



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-15

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REQUIRING CERTAIN
HEALTHCARE FACILITIES WITHIN THE CITY TO OFFER AND CONDUCT SARS-
CoV-2 DIAGNOSTIC TESTING TO SYMPTOMATIC PERSONS, PERSONS WHO
HAVE HAD CONTACT WITH CONFIRMED COVID-19 CASES, AND PERSONS WHO
ARE AT HIGHER RISK OF EXPOSURE, AND DIRECTING OTHER HEALTHCARE
PROVIDERS TO FOLLOW TESTING GUIDELINES ISSUED BY THE DEPARTMENT
OF PUBLIC HEALTH**

(PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY ORDER)

DATE ORDER ISSUED: July 21, 2020

Please read this Order carefully. Violation of or failure to comply with this Order is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. (California Health and Safety Code § 120295, *et seq.*; California Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1); and San Francisco Administrative Code § 7.17(b).)

Summary: On February 25, 2020, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) declared a state of emergency to prepare for coronavirus disease 2019 (“COVID-19”). On March 5, 2020, the City had its first reported case of COVID-19 in the County. On March 16, 2020, the City and five other Bay Area counties and the City of Berkeley, working together, were the first in the State to implement shelter-in-place orders in a collective effort to reduce the impact of the virus that causes COVID-19. That virus is easily transmitted, especially indoors or in group settings, and the disease can be extremely serious. It can require long hospital stays, and in some instances cause long-term health consequences or death. It can impact not only those who are older or have underlying health conditions and known to be at high risk, but also other people, regardless of age. And a major risk remains in that the virus that causes COVID-19 can be spread by asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic carriers, people who can spread the disease but do not even know they are infected and contagious. The spread of disease is a global pandemic causing untold societal, social, and economic harm.

As of the date of this Order the City and the region are at the beginning of a major surge in infections and hospitalizations and are taking appropriate steps to respond, including pausing the reopening process. Along with other counties in the Bay Area, the City has been placed on the State monitoring list and is suspending certain additional business activities as required by the State Health Officer.

We are going to have to live with the threat of the virus for many months to come. And for us to be able to continue to resume business and other activities, as well as to protect our healthcare system from being overwhelmed, it is critical to maintain and properly utilize the diagnostic testing in the City for the virus. But as cases rise, testing availability is become strained and the turn-around time for testing results is increasing. For these reasons, it is imperative that testing occur in the best possible way during this crisis.



Order Of The Health Officer No. C19-15

This Order has three key requirements. First, it requires each Healthcare Facility, as that term is defined by the Order, in the City to conduct diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, for certain key groups of patients with the intent of quickly identifying those who are most likely to have the virus and thereby are at highest risk of infecting others. By focusing on testing these people first, this Order helps not only provide appropriate care to those who are infected, including many who may not know it, but also supports the collective effort to protect everyone in the City by identifying outbreaks, informing policy making, and reducing the spread of the virus by helping isolate those who are infected before they can infect others.

Diagnostic Testing must occur the same day as healthcare is provided or the next calendar day for anyone who: 1) has symptoms associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection; 2) is a close contact of someone who is a confirmed or likely carrier of the virus; or 3) is identified by the Department of Public Health as needing priority testing. Only larger facilities or those with the ability to conduct tests are required to meet these testing requirements, including: hospitals; any facility, clinic, or office that is owned by an entity that owns an acute care hospital; large practice or “medical groups” that have more than 100 licensed healthcare professionals; and any clinic or facility that provides healthcare, conducts diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2, and has its own lab testing equipment that is operated under federal lab certification requirements. Healthcare Facilities that are unable to conduct testing within this timeframe must notify the Health Officer via email of the reasons for the delays in testing and what steps they are taking to address any delays.

Second, such Healthcare Facilities must notify patients through websites or online patient portals, and also when feasible through signs in patient care areas, of the criteria for such testing, are reminded to follow state and federal laws regarding seeking reimbursement from patients for such testing, and are required to report test results as required by law.

Third, this Order attaches prioritization guidelines issued by the City’s Department of Public Health regarding diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 and directs all Healthcare Facilities and other providers of healthcare to follow those guidelines, subject to the specific clinical context of a given patient. All providers of healthcare are strongly encouraged, upon patient request, to provide or order testing utilizing that testing prioritization. And each provider of healthcare is encouraged to order or provide Diagnostic Testing utilizing this testing prioritization whenever capacity allows, to take all reasonable steps to obtain necessary testing-related supplies, and to notify patients of the testing criteria and availability.

This Order goes into effect at 11:59 p.m. on July 22, 2020, although the notification requirements do not go into effect for Healthcare Providers until 11:59 p.m. on July 29, 2020. The Order will remain in effect, without a specific expiration date, for so long as the threat of the pandemic continues, or until this Order is otherwise extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer.

Table of Contents:

1. Intent.....	3
2. Testing Advisory: Priorities for COVID-19 Testing.....	3



Order Of The Health Officer No. C19-15

3. Testing Requirements for Healthcare Facilities	4
4. High-Priority Testing Recommendations for Healthcare Facilities and Other Healthcare Providers.....	4
5. Testing Timeframes.....	4
6. Compliance With Reimbursement Rules and Restrictions.....	5
7. Patient Notification Requirements.....	5
8. No Limitation on Testing	5
9. Compliance With Reporting Requirements.....	6
10. Definitions.....	6
11. Continuing Severe Health and Safety Risk Posed by COVID-19.....	7
12. Cases, Hospitalizations, and Deaths.....	7
13. Incorporation of State and Local Emergency Proclamations and State Health Orders.....	7
14. Effective Date.....	8
15. Copies.....	8
16. Severability.....	8
17. Interpretation	9

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, AND 120175, THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORDERS:**

- 1. Intent.** The intent of this Order is to ensure that patients have access to and receive Diagnostic Testing, as defined in Section 10 below, within the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) in order to effectively prevent and control the spread of COVID-19 in our community. Expansion of Diagnostic Testing is essential because it helps identify people who have SARS-CoV-2 (the virus that causes COVID-19), ensures those persons receive appropriate care, protects vulnerable populations, contains the spread of COVID-19 through case investigation and contact tracing, facilitates better understanding of the spread of the disease in the City, and ultimately prevents serious illness and death. As of the effective date and time of this Order set forth in Section 14 below, each Healthcare Facility as defined in Section 10, is required to follow the provisions of this Order. In addition, other providers of healthcare are directed to utilize the testing prioritization criteria described in Sections 2 and 4 below, subject to their professional clinical judgment, when collecting samples for or ordering Diagnostic Testing.
- 2. Testing Advisory: Priorities for COVID-19 Testing.** Attached as Appendix A to this Order is a document titled “Health Advisory: Priorities for COVID-19 Testing” issued by the City’s Department of Public Health (“DPH”) and updated on July 21, 2020 (the “Testing Advisory”). The Testing Advisory outlines the priority for



Order Of The Health Officer No. C19-15

testing to be used in the City by all providers of healthcare who are authorized by law to order Diagnostic Testing, subject to their professional clinical judgment in each case. The Testing Advisory may be updated from time to time, and this Order automatically incorporates any future changes to the Testing Advisory without the need to update this Order. For that reason, each healthcare provider that is subject to this Order is directed to periodically check for updates to the Testing Advisory. The Testing Advisory is available online at <https://www.sfcddp.org/testingadvisory>.

3. **Testing Requirements for Healthcare Facilities.** Each Healthcare Facility in the City **must** provide Diagnostic Testing to each of the following categories of patients who seek care—whether in-person or by remote methods such as telephone, video encounter, or electronic message—through the Healthcare Facility:
 - (a) Any Symptomatic Person, as defined in Section 10 below, regardless of age, hospitalization status, comorbidities, or other risk factors for COVID-19 (this category corresponds to the first bulleted item under **Tier 1** and the first bulleted item under **Tier 2** of the attached Testing Advisory);
 - (b) Any person who is referred to their provider for Diagnostic Testing by DPH—such as in relation to an outbreak (this category corresponds to the second bullet under **Tier 1** of the Testing Advisory); and
 - (c) Any person who is considered a close contact to a confirmed case of COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2 infection—“close contact” is defined in the guidance available online at www.sfcddp.org/Home-Isolation-Quarantine-Guidelines (this category corresponds to the third bulleted item under **Tier 1** of the Testing Advisory).
4. **High-Priority Testing Recommendations for Healthcare Facilities and Other Healthcare Providers.** Separate from any testing required by Section 3 of this Order, each Healthcare Facility in the City and all providers of healthcare in the City who are authorized by law to order Diagnostic Testing are strongly encouraged, upon patient request, to provide or order Diagnostic Testing utilizing the testing prioritization outlined in the Testing Advisory. Each such provider of healthcare should order or provide Diagnostic Testing whenever capacity allows and take all reasonable steps to obtain necessary testing-related supplies such as swabs. This Order does not require any individual healthcare provider that is not part of a Healthcare Facility to collect specimens for Diagnostic Testing.
5. **Testing Timeframes.** For any patient described in Section 3 above, the Healthcare Facility that is providing care to the patient must provide the Diagnostic Testing either on the same day the patient presents for care or the following calendar day. In the event a patient described in Section 3 presents for care via telehealth or other remote methods, the Healthcare Facility must conduct or otherwise cause to be provided a Diagnostic Test no later than the end of the calendar day following the remote visit.



Order Of The Health Officer No. C19-15

The Healthcare Facility providing Diagnostic Testing under this Section 5 must make all reasonable efforts to conduct the testing within the listed timeframe, and if the context is such that routine testing of patients who are symptomatic, or are close contacts, cannot be completed within this timeframe, the Healthcare Facility, but not each individual provider, must within 48 hours notify the Health Officer via email through DPH (include "Health Officer Order No. C19-15" in the subject) of the reasons for the delays in testing and what steps it has taken to resolve the delays.

6. **Compliance With Reimbursement Rules and Restrictions.** Where applicable, Healthcare Facilities should seek payment from patients' group health plans or health insurance issuers for any fees, costs, or charges incurred in ordering or completing Diagnostic Testing under this Order. Healthcare Facilities must comply with all state and federal laws and regulations regarding reimbursement for testing, including any laws or regulations prohibiting patients from being billed for fees, costs, or charges related to Diagnostic Testing.
7. **Patient Notification Requirements.** Healthcare Facilities must publicize information to patients through their standard communication channels and methods as to how patients can access Diagnostic Testing. Healthcare Facilities that have a public- or patient-facing website or other information portal must post information on that website or through that portal about how patients can access Diagnostic Testing from the Healthcare Facility. Each Healthcare Facility should also, when feasible, conspicuously post a notice detailing for patients the criteria to qualify for Diagnostic Testing in areas visible to all patients, such as in patient waiting areas and exam or treatment rooms, in order to ensure that patients understand when they are eligible for Diagnostic Testing.

Healthcare Facilities must also provide patients receiving Diagnostic Testing with information regarding best isolation or quarantine practices at the point and time of testing to ensure symptomatic individuals isolate until clinical interpretation of diagnostic results rules out COVID-19 and to ensure close contacts quarantine for 14 days regardless of diagnostic result. Information that may be used for this purpose, including a description of exceptions to this 14 day quarantine requirement and translated versions of the information, is available online at www.sfdcp.org/i&q.

All other providers of healthcare in the City are strongly encouraged to follow these patient notification requirements in relation to Diagnostic Testing of patients.

8. **No Limitation on Testing.** Nothing in this Order prohibits a Healthcare Facility from also offering Diagnostic Testing to other categories of persons at its own discretion. Healthcare Facilities are strongly urged to expand Diagnostic Testing to the greatest extent possible and to implement the recommendations and guidance from DPH regarding broader testing of individuals for COVID-19 listed in the attached Testing Advisory.



Order Of The Health Officer No. C19-15

9. **Compliance With Reporting Requirements.** Healthcare Facilities in the City must, as applicable, continue to comply with the reporting requirements regarding testing results set forth in Health Officer Order No. C19-10 or any subsequent Health Officer order, as well as any additional reporting required or requested by the Health Officer and any reporting required by state and federal law. The Health Officer Orders are available online at www.sfdph.org/healthorders.
10. **Definitions:** For the purposes of this Order, the following initially capitalized terms have the meanings given below:
- (a) **“Diagnostic Testing”** means the use of Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) testing to detect the presence of SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, with the primary purpose of individualized diagnosis or treatment.
 - (b) **“Healthcare Facility”** means any facility located in the City that provides healthcare and that is any of the following:
 - i. An acute care hospital, including but not limited to an emergency room, any inpatient units, and any on-site ambulatory care clinics;
 - ii. A clinic, office, or urgent care facility that is owned, directly or indirectly, by any entity (non-profit, religious, governmental, for-profit, or otherwise) that also owns and operates an acute care hospital, regardless of where that acute care hospital is located;
 - iii. Part of a practice or “medical group” of affiliated physicians and other healthcare providers where the overall group has at least 100 licensed healthcare providers (such as doctors, registered nurses, physicians assistants, or others), regardless of where those healthcare providers are located; or
 - iv. A clinic, office, or urgent care facility that provides healthcare and meets all of the following criteria: (A) it has staff trained to administer diagnostic tests to patients; (B) it administers Diagnostic Testing for SARS-CoV-2 to its patients or members of the public using tests authorized by the United States Food and Drug Administration for that purpose; and (C) it has its own lab with a certificate issued under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) allowing it to process samples collected for Diagnostic Testing.
 - (c) **“COVID-19 Symptom”** means a symptom consistent with SARS-CoV-2 infection, as listed in the first bullet point under **Tier 2** of the Testing Advisory, including as that document is updated by DPH in the future. At the time this Order is issued, that list includes for individuals 18 years or



Order Of The Health Officer No. C19-15

older any of the following symptoms which is not explained by another condition or diagnosis: temperature greater than 100.4F (38.0C); cough; sore throat; shortness of breath; chills; headache; body aches; fatigue; loss of smell or taste; diarrhea; runny nose; nasal congestion; or other symptoms if there is associated clinical concern for COVID-19. For those younger than 18 years, “COVID-19 Symptom” means any of the following symptoms which is not explained by another condition or diagnosis: temperature greater than 100.4F (38.0C); chills; cough; shortness of breath or trouble breathing; loss of smell or taste; or other symptoms if there is associated clinical concern for COVID-19.

(d) “Symptomatic Person” means any individual, regardless of age, who has any COVID-19 Symptom.

11. Continuing Severe Health and Safety Risk Posed by COVID-19. This Order is issued based on the need for increased testing to detect COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2 infections within the City. Due to the outbreak of the COVID-19 virus in the general public, which is now a pandemic according to the World Health Organization, there is a public health emergency throughout the City. Adequate Diagnostic Testing is essential to detect both symptomatic and asymptomatic transmission of the virus, provide appropriate treatment for infected patients, be able to identify cases and isolate them, identify contacts of cases and quarantine them, thereby slow virus transmission as much as possible in order to protect the most vulnerable, prevent infections and serious illness and death, and prevent the healthcare system from being overwhelmed. Diagnostic Testing is also an essential tool in the City’s mitigation efforts in order to understand the prevalence and spread of the disease in the City. One proven way to slow transmission is to identify sources of infection and isolate or quarantine close contacts of those sources before further outbreak occurs. Conducting broader testing provides greater opportunity to react to positive cases quickly enough to stop uncontrollable transmission. Broad testing also increases the availability of invaluable data used to better understand and combat COVID-19 and the virus that causes it.

12. Cases, Hospitalizations, and Deaths. As of July 20, 2020, there are 5,363 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the City (up from 37 on March 16, 2020, the day before the first shelter-in-place order in the City went into effect) as well as at least 53 deaths (up from 1 death on March 17, 2020). This information, as well as information regarding hospitalizations and hospital capacity, is regularly updated on the San Francisco Department of Public Health’s website at <https://data.sfgov.org/stories/s/fjki-2fab>.

13. Incorporation of State and Local Emergency Proclamations and State Health Orders.

(a) **State and Local Emergency Proclamations.** This Order is issued in accordance with, and incorporates by reference, the March 4, 2020



City and County of San Francisco

Department of Public Health Order of the Health Officer

Order Of The Health Officer No. C19-15

Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the March 12, 2020 Executive Order (Executive Order N-25-20) issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the February 25, 2020 Proclamation by the Mayor Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency issued by Mayor London Breed, as supplemented on March 11, 2020, the March 6, 2020 Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) issued by the Health Officer, and guidance issued by the California Department of Public Health, as each of them have been and may be supplemented.

(b) **State Health Orders.** This Order is also issued in light of the March 19, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer (the “State Shelter Order”), which set baseline statewide restrictions on non-residential Business activities, effective until further notice, the Governor’s March 19, 2020 Executive Order N-33-20 directing California residents to follow the State Shelter Order, and the July 13, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer. The May 4, 2020 Executive Order issued by Governor Newsom and May 7, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer permit certain Businesses to reopen if a local health officer believes the conditions in that jurisdictions warrant it, but expressly acknowledge the authority of local health officers to establish and implement public health measures within their respective jurisdictions that are more restrictive than those implemented by the State Public Health Officer. Also on June 18, 2020 the State Department of Public Health issued guidance for the use of face coverings, requiring all people in the State to wear face coverings in certain high risk situations, subject to limited exceptions.

14. **Effective Date.** This Order becomes effective at 11:59 p.m. on July 22, 2020, and will continue to be in effect until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. But each Healthcare Facility has until 11:59 p.m. on July 29, 2020, to comply with the patient notification requirements listed in Section 7 above.

15. **Copies.** The City must promptly provide copies of this Order as follows: (1) by posting on the Department of Public Health website at www.sfdph.org/healthorders; (2) by posting at City Hall, located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., San Francisco, CA 94102; and (3) by providing to any member of the public requesting a copy. In addition, the owner, manager, or operator of any facility, business, or entity that is likely to be impacted by this Order is strongly encouraged to post a copy of this Order onsite and must provide a copy to any member of the public asking for a copy.

16. **Severability.** If any provision of this Order or its application to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, then the remainder of the Order, including the application of such part or provision to other people or circumstances, shall not be affected and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this



**City and County of
San Francisco**

**Department of Public Health
Order of the Health Officer**

Order Of The Health Officer No. C19-15

Order are severable.

17. Interpretation. All provisions of this Order must be interpreted to effectuate the intent of this Order as described in Section 1 above. The summary at the beginning of this Order as well as the headings and subheadings of sections contained in this Order are for convenience only and may not be used to interpret this Order; in the event of any inconsistency between the summary, headings, or subheadings and the text of this Order, the text will control. Certain initially capitalized terms used in this Order have the meanings given them in Section 10 above.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

Date: July 21, 2020



Appendix A

Health Advisory:

Priorities for COVID-19 Diagnostic Testing

July 21, 2020

HIGHLIGHTED CHANGES FROM ORIGINAL HEALTH ADVISORY POSTED JULY 17, 2020:

- Specified COVID-19 symptoms for pediatric and adult patients.

SITUATIONAL UPDATE:

- COVID-19 testing capacity has expanded over the past several months and we have learned much about which populations and communities it impacts disproportionately.
- San Francisco is experiencing an alarming increase in COVID-19 transmission and must align its testing and mitigation measures to support populations at highest risk of COVID-19 morbidity and mortality.
- On July 14, CDPH issued [updated COVID-19 testing guidance](#) to support public health officials, health care providers, and laboratories in determining who should be tested given the current context of the COVID-19 pandemic in California.
- SFDPH has adopted CDPH's 4-tier testing prioritization; *SFDPH additions or clarifications are noted in italics*. Notably, SFDPH added an entire category of at-risk populations that should be prioritized for asymptomatic testing given structural barriers to health – Category 2A below.
- This SFDPH testing prioritization is incorporated into a July 21 Health Officer order; see www.sfdph.org/healthorders. The order **requires** healthcare providers in San Francisco to test:
 - Everyone in Tier 1
 - All other individuals with COVID-19 symptoms (the first bullet of Tier 2).

PRIORITIES FOR COVID-19 DIAGNOSTIC TESTING:

Tier 1 Priority

- Hospitalized individuals with COVID-19 symptoms.
- Investigation and management of outbreaks, under direction of state and local public health departments (includes contact tracing).
- Close contacts of confirmed cases.



Tier 2 Priority

- All other individuals with COVID-19 symptoms.
 - *For individuals age 18 or greater, COVID-19 symptoms include any one or more of the following symptoms which is not explained by another condition or diagnosis: temperature greater than 100.4F (38.0C), cough, sore throat, shortness of breath, chills, headache, body aches, fatigue, loss of smell or taste, diarrhea, runny nose, nasal congestion, or other symptoms if there is associated clinical concern for COVID-19.*
 - *For individuals younger than 18 years old, COVID-19 symptoms include any one or more of the following symptoms which is not explained by another condition or diagnosis: temperature greater than 100.4F (38.0C); chills; cough; shortness of breath or trouble breathing; loss of smell or taste; or other symptoms if there is associated clinical concern for COVID-19.*
- Individuals who are asymptomatic (having no symptoms of COVID-19), who fall into one of the following categories:
 1. Live in higher risk congregate care facilities including skilled nursing facilities, residential care facilities for the elderly *or disabled*, correctional facilities, homeless shelters, *or other types of congregate residential care/treatment facilities.*
 2. Work in the health care sector who have frequent interactions with the public or with people who may have COVID-19 or have been exposed to SARS-CoV-2. The health care sector includes: hospitals; skilled nursing facilities; long-term care facilities; ambulatory surgery centers; health care providers' offices; health care clinics; pharmacies; blood banks; dialysis centers; hospices; and, home health providers.
 3. Work in a congregate care facility, including shelters for people experience homelessness, residential care facilities for the elderly *or disabled*, *or other types of congregate residential care/treatment facilities.*
 4. Provide care to an elderly person or a person with a disability in the home, including a person providing care through California's In-Home Supportive Services Program.
 5. Work in the emergency services sector who have frequent interactions with the public or with people who may have COVID-19 or have been exposed to SARS-CoV-2. The emergency services sector includes police and public safety departments, fire departments, ambulances, and emergency service response operations.
 6. Work in a correctional facility.
 7. Patients requiring pre-operative, pre-hospital admission, *or pre-high-risk procedure (e.g., dental care)* screening.
 8. Patients being discharged from hospitals to lower levels of care.



Tier 2A Priority

[Tier 2A represents populations with structural barriers to health; priority falls between Tier 2 and Tier 3; see <https://sfcdcp.org/structurallyvulnerable> for more information]

- *People experiencing conditions that facilitate the spread of infection and may be at higher risk of developing COVID-19.*
 - *People Living in High Density Situations*
 - *Other congregate living settings not mentioned above such as single room occupancy (SRO) hotels*
 - *Low-income housing*
 - *Multi-generational households where isolation is difficult*
 - *Racially segregated and/or densely populated neighborhoods*
 - *People with High-Risk Economic/Work Conditions*
 - *People without paid sick leave and/or health insurance*
 - *Sex workers*
 - *People with low income who must go out in public for resources frequently*
- *People experiencing marginalization, systemic inequity, and health inequities that increase their risk for severe illness and death from COVID-19:*
 - *Racial and ethnic minority groups (see: www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extraprecautions/racial-ethnic-minorities.html):*
 - *Black/African American Community*
 - *Latina/o/x Community*
 - *Native Americans/Indigenous Community*
 - *Pacific Islander Community*
 - *Immigrant and undocumented people*
 - *People with disabilities*
 - *People with developmental and behavioral disorders*
 - *People experiencing homelessness*
 - *People who use drugs or have substance use disorder*

Tier 3 Priority

- *Asymptomatic workers who:*
 - *Work in the retail or manufacturing sectors who have frequent interactions with the public or who work in an environment where it is not practical to maintain at least six feet of space from other workers on a consistent basis (e.g., construction, retail cashiers, gas station attendants, laundromat service providers).*
 - *Work in the food services sector who have frequent interactions with the public. The food services sector includes grocery stores, convenience stores, restaurants, and grocery or meal delivery services.*
 - *Work in the agricultural or food manufacturing sector who have frequent interactions with the public or who work in an environment where it is not practical to maintain at least six feet of space from other workers on a consistent basis. The agricultural or food manufacturing sector includes food production and processing facilities, slaughter facilities, harvesting sites or facilities, and food packing facilities.*



- Work in the public transportation sector who have frequent interactions with the public. The public transportation sector includes public transit, passenger rail service, passenger ferry service, public airports, and commercial airlines.
- Work in the education sector who have frequent in-person interactions with students or the public. The education sector includes public and private childcare establishments; public and private pre-kindergarten programs; primary and secondary schools; *vocational schools*; and public and private colleges and universities.

Tier 4 Priority

- Tier Four would be implemented when the *City's testing turnaround time, as monitored by SFDPH*, is less than 48 hours.
- Other individuals not specified above including: those who are asymptomatic but believe they have a risk for being actively infected, as well as routine testing by employers.

COUNSELING ABOUT PROPER USE OF TEST

Please ensure those getting diagnostic testing for COVID-19 understand that:

- A negative diagnostic test only means that virus was not detectable at the time of testing (assuming it was a true negative test); and that
- At any time after that point of testing, they could develop or progress to COVID-19 infection that can be transmitted to others; and that
- They should therefore continue to adhere to social distancing practices with people outside their household.

REMINDER TO ADDRESS THE SPREAD OF COVID-19

Testing is only one tool for preventing the spread of COVID-19. Given the alarming increase in COVID-19 transmission in San Francisco, please emphasize the following important messages to all your patients:

- Stay home to the extent possible while caring for your mental and physical health. See more at www.sfcdcp.org/safersocial.
- If you leave your home:
 - Avoid gathering with people outside your household.
 - If you must gather with people outside of your household, avoid being indoors. Outdoor interactions are much safer.
 - Keep your social circles small and stable.
 - Cover your face (both mouth and nose) with a bandana, scarf, cloth, or mask.
 - Keep 6 feet between you and people outside your household at all times.



ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Health advisories and alerts are posted at www.sfgcdcp.org/health-alerts-emergencies/health-alerts/.

See www.sfgcdcp.org/covid19hcp for additional COVID-19 information and guidance for San Francisco health care providers.

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Revised Health Officer Order No. C19-12c re face coverings
Date: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 9:04:03 PM
Attachments: [2020.07.22 FINAL Signed Order No. C19-12c - Requiring Face Coverings.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see the attached Order of the Health Officer No. C19-12c dated July 22, 2020.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
415-554-5184

Get [Outlook](#) for iOS

From: Pearson, Anne (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 8:55:50 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Revised Health Officer Order No. C19-12c re face coverings

Supervisors –

Please find the final, signed face covering order, attached.

Thanks,
Anne

Anne Pearson – available by cell phone at 646-241-7670

Deputy City Attorney
Office of the City Attorney
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Suite 234
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: (415) 554-4706
anne.pearson@sfcityatty.org

Attorney-Client Communication - Do Not Disclose
Confidential Attorney-Work Product - Do Not Disclose

Attached is the updated face covering order (Health Officer Order No. C19-12c), signed and ready to be

posted and circulated. This order goes into effect tomorrow night (Thurs, July 23, 2020) at 11:59 p.m. and remains effective until revised or revoked.

Here are the key updates, and all other aspects of the prior order remain in place. (Refer to the summary at the start of the order for more details.)

1. The order has a slightly changed structure to bring it closer to the safer at home order. It adds section titles and a table of contents
2. It clarifies the requirement to wear a face covering outside when others are nearby. The prior order talked about needing to put on a face covering when someone else was within 30 feet. This was confusing for some people. The new version makes clear that a face covering must be worn in fluid situations where distances between people change frequently, such as a busy sidewalk or popular outdoor area where it is impractical or impossible to maintain six feet of distance at all times. It points out the focus is to have your face covering on by the time someone is within 6 feet of you and then gives an example that at normal walking speeds, people should begin donning their face covering when they are about 30 feet, or two car lengths, away from each other.
3. It clarifies the prior language about wearing face coverings when outside a person's residence and otherwise inside, such as in an office, apartment building, or other shared living space. When working in a cubicle, areas with shared equipment, and shared offices, and also when in common areas such as conference rooms, elevators, laundry rooms, break rooms, lobbies, hallways, and bathrooms, a face covering is required, even when alone. When in a completely enclosed space like a private office a face covering is not required when alone.
4. The requirements for children have been updated to match CDPH and CDC guidelines. Any child younger than 2 must not wear a face covering. The prior order said that any child 2 or younger must not wear a face covering. But this change brings local rules in line with state and federal guidance. Also, children two to nine should wear a face covering to the greatest extent feasible and should be supervised by a parent or caregiver. A parent or caregiver who is with a child two to nine should not be refused any essential services if a child refuses or cannot wear one, but the parent or caregiver should when possible take reasonable steps to have the child wear a face covering in order to protect others and minimize instances when children without face coverings are brought into settings with other people. So there is flexibility built in for children between 2 and 9. This also means that children ten and older are required to wear a face covering (the prior rule made face coverings optional between three and twelve).
5. When a person is unable to wear a face covering, the new order adds a requirement consistent with CDPH and CDC guidelines that they must wear an alternative face covering, such as a face shield with a drape on the bottom edge, unless they can show either: (1) a medical professional has provided a written exemption to this alternative face covering requirement, based on the individual's medical condition, other health concern, or disability; or (2) wearing an alternative face covering while working would create a risk to the person related to their work as determined by local, state, or federal regulators or workplace safety guidelines.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12c

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
GENERALLY REQUIRING MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND
WORKERS TO WEAR FACE COVERINGS**

(PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY ORDER)

DATE OF ORDER: July 22, 2020

Please read this Order carefully. Violation of or failure to comply with this Order is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. (California Health and Safety Code § 120295, *et seq.*; Cal. Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1); San Francisco Administrative Code §7.17(b))

Summary:

Since March 2020, the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”), its citizens, and the Bay Area have collectively worked together to reduce the spread of SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) and that is the cause of the global pandemic. While these efforts have slowed the spread of COVID-19, the City is currently at the beginning of a major surge in infections and hospitalizations. To help secure what gains we have made against this disease and return to increasing personal interactions with others and reopen businesses and our schools, we must redouble our commitment to wearing Face Coverings for as long as it takes to end the pandemic.

Face Coverings are more important now than ever. Substantial scientific evidence shows that when combined with physical distancing and other health and safety practices like handwashing and regular disinfection of surfaces, wearing Face Coverings significantly reduces the chance of COVID-19 spreading in the community. Face Coverings are particularly important when people are indoors or when physical distancing of six feet is difficult to maintain (for example, on mass transit). Face Coverings reduce the amount of infectious aerosols that people generate while talking and release into the air, posing a risk of infection to others. Face Coverings also provide some protection to the wearer by reducing the amount of infectious droplets expelled from persons not wearing a face covering that would otherwise land on the wearer’s face.

In these important ways and others, wearing a Face Covering is both an act of altruism and self-interest. By doing so, we not only protect our fellow community members, but ultimately ourselves and our loved ones, especially those who are vulnerable due to age or health conditions. And in wearing a Face Covering around others, we show that we care for those around us. “My mask protects you, and yours protects me.”



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12c

In sum, going forward and for as long as this Order remains in effect as needed to address the pandemic, and unless a specific exception set forth in this Order applies:

- Everyone must wear a Face Covering when outside their residence if anyone else other than members of their household or living unit is within six feet and must start putting it on early enough to meet the six foot requirement;
- Everyone must wear a Face Covering when outdoors where distances between people change frequently and often come to six feet or less, such as a busy sidewalk;
- Everyone must wear a Face Covering in the workplace except when in a completely enclosed private space or an isolated area not regularly used by others;
- Everyone must wear a Face Covering when in shared areas of buildings including lobbies, common rooms, hallways, laundry areas, food preparation spaces, and bathrooms; and
- Everyone must wear a Face Covering when preparing food or other items for sale or distribution to people who are not members of their household or living unit.

People may remove their Face Covering when they are outdoors if they are alone or with only members of their household or living unit and nobody else is within six feet. People may remove their Face Covering when otherwise permitted by a Health Officer order or directive.

This Order includes certain specific exceptions. For instance, this Order requires that any child younger than two years not wear a Face Covering because of the risk of suffocation. Older children are required to wear a Face Covering, and children between the ages of two and nine should also do so when feasible. This Order also does not apply to people who are in their own cars alone or with members of their own household or living unit, unless they use the vehicle to transport others. And anyone who has a written exemption from a healthcare provider based on a disability, medical condition, or other condition that prevents them from wearing a Face Covering does not need to wear one.

The Order replaces the prior Face Covering order (Health Officer Order No. C19-12b) issued on May 28, 2020. Beginning at 11:59 p.m. on July 23, 2020, all people in the City must comply with this new Order. This Order is in effect, without a specific expiration date, until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. The Health Officer will continue to carefully monitor the evolving situation and will periodically revise this Order as conditions warrant to protect the public and limit the spread of the virus.

This summary is for convenience only and may not be used to interpret this Order; in the event of any inconsistency between the summary and the text of this Order below, the text will control.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12c

Table of Contents

1. Effective Date.....	3
2. Face Covering Defined.....	3
3. Face Covering Requirement and Exceptions.	4
4. Face Covering Requirements in Specific Circumstances.....	7
5. Wearing Face Coverings Around People Vulnerable to COVID-19.	7
6. Examples Where Face Covering is Required.....	7
7. Face Covering Requirements for Businesses.....	8
8. Intent.....	9
9. Continuing Severe Health and Safety Risk Posed by COVID-19.....	9
10. Cases and Deaths.....	9
11. Incorporation of State and Local Emergency Proclamations and State Health Orders.....	10
12. Failure to Comply With Order.	10
13. Copies.....	10
14. Severability.....	11
15. Interpretation.	11

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE
SECTIONS 101040, 101085, 120175, AND 120220, THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (“HEALTH OFFICER”) ORDERS:**

1. Effective Date.

This Order will take effect at 11:59 p.m. on July 23, 2020, and will continue to be in effect until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. Effective as of 11:59 p.m. on July 23, 2020, this Order revises and replaces Order Number C19-12b, issued May 28, 2020. Any capitalized terms in this Order that are defined in the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order incorporate the definitions from that order and are automatically updated to incorporate revisions to that order without a need to update this Order.

2. Face Covering Defined.

As used in this Order, a “Face Covering” means a covering made of cloth, fabric, or other soft or permeable material, without holes, that covers only the nose and mouth and surrounding areas of the lower face. A covering that hides or obscures the wearer’s eyes or forehead is not a Face Covering. Examples of Face Coverings include a scarf or bandana; a neck gaiter; a homemade covering made from a t-shirt,



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12c

sweatshirt, or towel, held on with rubber bands or otherwise; or a mask, which need not be medical-grade. A Face Covering may be factory-made, or may be handmade and improvised from ordinary household materials. The Face Covering should be comfortable, so that the wearer can breathe through the nose and does not have to adjust it frequently, so as to avoid touching the face. For Face Coverings that are not disposed of after each use, people should clean them frequently and have extra ones available so that they have a clean one available for use. Information on cleaning a Face Covering is available from the CDC at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/how-to-wash-cloth-face-coverings.html>.

For as long as medical-grade masks such as N95 masks and surgical masks are in short supply, members of the public should not purchase those masks for use as Face Coverings under this Order; those medical-grade masks should be reserved for health care providers and first responders.

Any mask that incorporates a one-way valve (typically a raised plastic cylinder about the size of a quarter on the front or side of the mask) that is designed to facilitate easy exhaling allows droplets to be released from the mask, putting others nearby at risk. As a result, these masks are not a Face Covering under this Order and must not be used to comply with this Order's requirements.

A video showing how to make a face covering and additional information about how to wear and clean Face Coverings may be found at the CDC website, at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/div-cloth-face-coverings.html>.

3. Face Covering Requirement and Exceptions.

Each person in the City must wear a Face Covering when outside the person's household, living unit, or other place they reside (when "Outside the Residence") at all times except as follows:

- a. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when allowed by another Health Officer order or directive not to wear a Face Covering, as those orders or directives may be amended. In such instances—for example Health Officer Directives Nos. 2020-14b (Childcare Providers), 2020-16b (Outdoor Dining) and 2020-19 (Small Outdoor Gatherings) found at www.sfdph.org/directives—the other order or directive will describe the specific conditions that permit the person not to wear a Face Covering.
- b. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when outdoors alone or with a member of their household or living unit only if (i) they can maintain a minimum of six feet distance from all people outside of their household or living unit at all times whether or not they are stationary or moving and (ii) they have a Face Covering visible and immediately ready to cover the nose and mouth (such as



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12c

hanging around their neck). A Face Covering must always be worn in fluid situations where distances between people change frequently such as a busy sidewalk or popular outdoor area where it is impractical or impossible to maintain six feet of distance at all times. In other situations where maintaining constant social distance is more practicable, such as walking on an uncrowded sidewalk or trail, a person must ensure that their Face Covering is in place before they are within six feet of anyone outside of their household or living unit. For clarity, if two people are walking towards each other on a sidewalk, they must begin donning their Face Covering early enough so that all faces are covered before they come within six feet of each other (for example, at normal walking speeds, people should begin donning their Face Covering when they are about 30 feet, or two car lengths, away from each other).

- c. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when wearing personal protective equipment ("PPE") such as a medical-grade N95 mask or a similar mask that is more protective than a Face Covering, as required by (i) any workplace policy or (ii) any local, state, or federal law, regulation, or other mandatory guidance. When a person is not required to wear such PPE, they must wear a Face Covering unless otherwise exempted from this Order.
- d. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when they are alone or with a member of their household or living unit in a building or completely enclosed space such as a private office, and people from outside their household or living unit are not likely to be in the same space at any time in the following few days. If someone outside of a person's household or living unit enters the enclosed space, both people must wear a Face Covering for the duration of the interaction. For clarity, individuals must wear Face Coverings whenever they are in semi-enclosed spaces such as cubicles. When Outside the Residence, a Face Covering must be worn if the person is in a space where others outside of their household or living unit routinely are present, even if the person is alone at the time. By way of example and without limitation, a Face Covering must be worn in shared office spaces, office spaces or desks where different individuals work on different days, spaces where shared equipment or tools are used or stored, and in common areas such as conference rooms, elevators, laundry rooms, food preparation areas, break rooms, lobbies, hallways and bathrooms. A Face Covering must also be worn by someone like a plumber, teacher, care assistant, or housecleaner who visits someone else's house or living space to perform work, and anyone who lives there should also wear a Face Covering when near the visitor.

A Face Covering does not need to be worn in such spaces by someone who is eating or drinking so long as that person complies with Section 3.e below. And anyone who is preparing food or other items for sale or distribution to others is required by Section 4.b below to wear a Face Covering at all times when preparing such food or other items, even if they are alone when doing so.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12c

- e. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when (i) alone or only with members of their household or living unit, (ii) they are eating or drinking, whether indoors or outdoors, and (iii) nobody else is within six feet. In the context of foodservice such as a restaurant, guidelines issued by the state or in a separate Health Officer order or directive must be followed and may require servers to wear a Face Covering.
 - f. In accordance with California Department of Public Health (“CDPH”) and United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (“CDC”) guidelines, any child younger than two years old must not wear a Face Covering because of the risk of suffocation. Children ages two to nine years should wear Face Coverings to the greatest extent feasible. Children from age two to nine and their accompanying parents or caregivers should not be refused any essential service based on a child’s inability to wear a Face Covering (for example, if a young child refuses to wear the Face Covering), but the parent or caregiver should when possible take reasonable steps to have the child wear a Face Covering in order to protect others and minimize instances when children without Face Coverings are brought into settings with other people. Parents and caregivers of children age two to nine years must supervise the use of Face Coverings to ensure safety and avoid misuse.
 - g. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when they can show either:
(1) a medical professional has provided a written exemption to the Face Covering requirement, based on the individual’s medical condition, other health concern, or disability; or (2) wearing a Face Covering while working would create a risk to the person related to their work as determined by local, state, or federal regulators or workplace safety guidelines. In accordance with CDPH and CDC guidelines, if a person is exempt from wearing a Face Covering under this paragraph, they still must wear an alternative face covering, such as a face shield with a drape on the bottom edge, unless they can show either: (1) a medical professional has provided a written exemption to this alternative face covering requirement, based on the individual’s medical condition, other health concern, or disability; or (2) wearing an alternative face covering while working would create a risk to the person related to their work as determined by local, state, or federal regulators or workplace safety guidelines.
- A Face Covering should also not be used by anyone who has trouble breathing or is unconscious, incapacitated, or otherwise unable to remove the Face Covering without assistance.
- h. A person does not need to wear a Face Covering when in a motor vehicle and either alone or exclusively with other members of the same household or living unit. But a Face Covering is required when alone in the vehicle if the vehicle is used as a taxi or for any private car service or ride-sharing vehicle as outlined in Section 4.c below.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12c

4. Face Covering Requirements in Specific Circumstances.

Regardless of the exceptions listed above, a Face Covering is required as follows:

- a. A person must wear a Face Covering when they are required by another Health Officer order or directive to wear a Face Covering, including when the requirement of the other order or directive is more restrictive than this Order.
- b. A person must wear a Face Covering when they are working in any space where food or other goods are handled, prepared, or packaged for sale or distribution to others. This requirement does not apply when preparing food or items for members of a person's own household or living unit.
- c. A driver or operator of any public transportation or paratransit vehicle, taxi, or private car service or ride-sharing vehicle must wear a Face Covering when driving, operating, standing, or sitting in such vehicle, regardless of whether anyone else is in the vehicle, due to the need to reduce the spread of respiratory droplets in the vehicle at all times. But drivers or operators of public transportation vehicles are permitted to remove a Face Covering when seated in the operator compartment of the vehicle at terminals, the vehicle is stopped, and there are no passengers onboard due to the physical separation of the operator compartment and cleaning protocols between drivers.

5. Wearing Face Coverings Around People Vulnerable to COVID-19.

People in the City are encouraged to consider whether wearing a Face Covering in their household or living unit would protect someone else living there who is vulnerable to COVID-19. Vulnerable people include: people 60 years old and older; people with serious heart conditions, hypertension, severe obesity, diabetes, chronic lung disease, chronic kidney disease being treated by dialysis, and moderate-to-severe asthma; and those who are immunosuppressed. A full list of populations that are vulnerable to COVID-19 and which should accordingly take extra precautions is available online at <https://www.sfdcp.org/infectious-diseases-a-to-z/coronavirus-2019-novel-coronavirus/> (look at the Frequently Asked Questions section). This determination is left to the individual, but if anyone who lives with a vulnerable person is engaged in frequent out-of-home activity under the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order, wearing a Face Covering when home may reduce the risk to the vulnerable person.

6. Examples Where Face Covering is Required.

By way of example and without limitation, this Order requires a Face Covering when a person is Outside the Residence in all of the following circumstances unless an exception applies:



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12c

- a. When working at, engaged in, in line at, or seeking services or goods from any Essential Business, Outdoor Business, or Additional Business;
- b. When inside or at any location or facility engaging in Minimum Basic Operations or when seeking, receiving, or providing Essential Government Functions;
- c. When engaged in Essential Infrastructure work;
- d. When engaged in any Outdoor Activity or Additional Activity, unless otherwise specifically provided in a separate Health Officer order or directive;
- e. When providing or obtaining services at Healthcare Operations unless permitted by this Order or a directive not to wear a Face Covering for a limited amount of time;
- f. When at or near a transit stop, station, or terminal and when waiting for or riding on public transportation (including without limitation any bus, BART, Muni light rail, street car, cable car, or CalTrain) or in a paratransit vehicle, taxi, private car service, or ride-sharing vehicle; and
- g. When in or walking through common areas such as hallways, stairways, elevators, and parking facilities.

7. Face Covering Requirements for Businesses.

All Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Businesses, as well as entities and organizations with people engaged in Essential Infrastructure work, Minimum Basic Operations, Essential Government Functions, Outdoor Activities, Additional Activities, or Healthcare Operations, must:

- a. Require their employees, contractors, owners, volunteers, gig workers, and other personnel to wear a Face Covering at the workplace and when performing work off-site at all times as required by this Order and with allowance for exceptions included in the order.
- b. Take reasonable measures, such as posting signs, to remind customers, clients, visitors, and others of the requirement that they wear a Face Covering while inside of or waiting in line to enter the business, facility, or location. Essential Businesses, Outdoor Businesses, Additional Businesses, and entities or organizations that are engaged in Essential Infrastructure work, Minimum Basic Operations, Essential Government Functions, or Healthcare Operations or that facilitate Outdoor Activities or Additional Activities must take all reasonable steps to prohibit any member of the public who is not wearing a Face Covering from waiting in line or entering, must not serve that person if those efforts are unsuccessful, and seek to remove that person.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12c

A sample sign to be used for notifying customers can be found at the Department of Public Health website, at <https://sf.gov/outreach-toolkit-coronavirus-covid-19>.

8. Intent.

The intent of this Order is to ensure that all people when Outside the Residence in the City as permitted by the Stay-Safe-At-Home Order wear a Face Covering to reduce the likelihood that they may transmit or contract the virus that causes COVID-19. In so doing, this Order will help reduce the spread of the virus and mitigate its impact on members of the public and on the delivery of critical healthcare services to those in need. All provisions of this Order must be interpreted to effectuate this intent.

9. Continuing Severe Health and Safety Risk Posed by COVID-19.

This Order is issued based on evidence of ongoing and increasing occurrence of COVID-19 and transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus within the City, the Bay Area, and the United States of America and best practices regarding the most effective approaches to slow the transmission of communicable diseases generally and COVID-19 specifically. Due to the outbreak of the virus in the general public, which is a pandemic according to the World Health Organization, there is a public health emergency throughout the City. People can be infected with the virus and be contagious and not have any symptoms, meaning they are asymptomatic. People can also be infected and contagious 48 hours before developing symptoms, the time when they are pre-symptomatic. Many people with the SARS-CoV-2 virus also have only mild symptoms and do not realize they are infected and contagious. Asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic people and those with only mild symptoms can unintentionally infect others. Evidence shows that wearing a face covering, when combined with physical distancing of at least six feet and frequent hand washing, significantly reduces the risk of transmitting coronavirus when in public and engaged in activities. And because it is not always possible to maintain at least six feet of distance, all people must wear a Face Covering when outdoors near others or engaged in work and other activities when others are nearby or likely to touch shared surfaces or use shared equipment. For clarity, although wearing a Face Covering is one tool for reducing the spread of the virus, doing so is not a substitute for sheltering in place, physical distancing of at least six feet, and frequent hand washing.

10. Cases, Hospitalizations, and Deaths.

This Order is also issued in light of the existence, as of July 21, 2020, of 5,459 confirmed cases of infection by the virus that causes COVID-19, primarily by way of community transmission, and 53 deaths in the City. This information, as well as information regarding hospitalizations and hospital capacity, is regularly updated on the San Francisco Department of Public Health's website at <https://data.sfgov.org/stories/s/fjki-2fab>.



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12c

11. Incorporation of State and Local Emergency Proclamations and State Health Orders.

- (a) **State and Local Emergency Proclamations.** This Order is issued in accordance with, and incorporates by reference, the March 4, 2020 Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the March 12, 2020 Executive Order (Executive Order N-25-20) issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the February 25, 2020 Proclamation by the Mayor Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency issued by Mayor London Breed, as supplemented on March 11, 2020, the March 6, 2020 Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) issued by the Health Officer, and guidance issued by the California Department of Public Health, as each of them have been and may be supplemented.
- (b) **State Health Orders.** This Order is also issued in light of the March 19, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer (the "State Shelter Order"), which set baseline statewide restrictions on non-residential Business activities, effective until further notice, the Governor's March 19, 2020 Executive Order N-33-20 directing California residents to follow the State Shelter Order, and the July 13, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer. The May 4, 2020 Executive Order issued by Governor Newsom and May 7, 2020 Order of the State Public Health Officer permit certain Businesses to reopen if a local health officer believes the conditions in that jurisdictions warrant it, but expressly acknowledge the authority of local health officers to establish and implement public health measures within their respective jurisdictions that are more restrictive than those implemented by the State Public Health Officer. Also on June 29, 2020 the CDPH issued updated guidance for the use of face coverings, requiring all people in the State to wear face coverings in many situations, subject to limited exceptions.

12. Failure to Comply With Order.

Failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and immediate menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

13. Copies.

The City must promptly provide copies of this Order as follows: (1) by posting on the Department of Public Health website at www.sfdph.org/healthorders; (2) by posting at City Hall, located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., San Francisco, CA 94102; and (3) by providing to any member of the public requesting a copy. In addition, the owner, manager, or operator of any facility, business, or entity that is likely to be impacted by this Order is strongly encouraged to post a copy of this Order onsite and must provide a



ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-12c

copy to any member of the public asking for a copy.

14. Severability.

If any provision of this Order or its application to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, then the remainder of the Order, including the application of such part or provision to other people or circumstances, shall not be affected and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this Order are severable.

15. Interpretation.

All provisions of this Order must be interpreted to effectuate the intent of this Order as described in Section 1 above. The summary at the beginning of this Order as well as the headings and subheadings of sections contained in this Order are for convenience only and may not be used to interpret this Order; in the event of any inconsistency between the summary, headings, or subheadings and the text of this Order, the text will control.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Tomás Aragón".

Tomás J. Aragón, MD, DrPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

July 22, 2020

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: City Administrator's Office Reports to Clerk of the Board
Date: Thursday, July 23, 2020 1:37:00 PM
Attachments: [2020 Emergency Funds Controller and City Administrator Report.pdf](#)
[City Administrator Report to the Board CY 2019.pdf](#)

From: Quetone, Tal (ADM) <tal.quetone@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2020 11:53 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: City Administrator's Office Reports to Clerk of the Board

Hello,

I hope this finds you well. Please find attached the following two memos and accompanying reports:

- Annual Report to the Board of Supervisors on Donations, CY 2019
- San Francisco Disaster Emergency Response and Recovery Fund Annual Report from the Controller and City Administrator

Please let me know if this should be sent to a different email or if I should include anyone else on this correspondence to the Clerk of the Board's Office.

Thank you,

Tal Quetone
Office of the City Administrator
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 362
(415) 554-4928



OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR



London N. Breed, Mayor
Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator

July 10, 2020

MEMORANDUM

TO: Board of Supervisors

FROM: Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator

SUBJ: Annual Report to the Board of Supervisors on Donations, CY 2019

The four reports enclosed are submitted pursuant to Administrative Code Section 10.100-305 (c) requiring departments to report to the Board on donations received during the prior year.

Donations to the programs within the Office of the City Administrator that received donations are listed on the enclosed documents:

Animal Care & Control	\$ 17,864.70
Community Challenge Grant Fund	\$ 115.00
Disaster Funds – Housing, Animals, Infrastructure, Not Specified	\$ 1,563.51
Voluntary Arts Contribution Fund	\$1,255,890.20
Total	<u>\$1,275,233.41</u>

If you should need additional information on these funds, please contact Joan Lubamersky of my office, (415) 554-4859 or Joan.Lubamersky@sfgov.org

Enclosures (4)

REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL CALENDAR YEAR 2019

The Department received the following monetary gifts during this period:

Date	Donor Name	Amount \$100 and over
1/2/19	Christopher Benoist	\$500.00
1/2/19	Kimberly Kylo	\$150.00
1/3/19	Scott Cantley	\$150.00
1/3/19	Susan Maerki	\$100.00
1/6/19	Unknown	\$100.00
2/10/19	Katherine Scott	\$100.00
4/5/19, 9/16/19, 11/8/19	Mary Ann Hartman	\$150.00
4/30/19	Salesforce	\$100.00
5/15/19	Robin Dye	\$100.00
5/18/19	Jeremy Hageman	\$200.00
6/10/19	Javier Flores	\$100.00
6/21/19	Christopher D. Delaney	\$500.00
7/1/19	Fernando Gonzalez	\$500.00
7/19/19	Gary Howard	\$100.00
7/23/19	Mr. Raccoon/Junio Costa	\$100.00
7/31/19	David Owens	\$100.00
8/4/19	April Fujimoto	\$100.00
8/9/19	EAH TIAA Charitable Fund	\$100.00
8/20/19	Sue Perderson	\$100.00
8/30/19, 12/3/19	Diana Ankrom	\$6,133.04
9/18/19	Lisa Dunn	\$100.00
9/26/19	Kelly Ferriss	\$150.00
9/27/19	David Beterano	\$300.00
9/27/19	Ben Campofreda	\$177.00
9/30/19	Beverly Benson	\$200.00
10/1/19	The Willow Foundation	\$1,000.00
10/22/19	Joyce Dubay	\$100.00
12/13/19	Jane Hopkins	\$250.00
12/20/19	Susan Spellman	\$100.00
12/30/19	Eddie Tsao	\$500.00
12/31/19	Salesforce	\$100.00
12/31/19	Gary Mankin	\$200.00
	Total donations \$100 & over:	\$12,660.04

Cumulative donations less than \$100.00: \$ **5,204.66**

Total donations calendar year 2019: \$ **17,864.70**

Disposition of funds: Animal Supplies such as veterinary equipment, animal food, treats, enrichment items; staff training.*

*Note: we have not exhausted all of the funds.

THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE
GIFTS TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
ANNUAL REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Name of your program: Community Challenge Grant Program (CCG)
Also known as Neighborhood Beautification Fund (NBF)

Monetary donations received calendar year 2019

Donor Name	Amount if over \$100
No donations were received in CY 2019 over the amount of \$100	\$0

Cumulative donations \$100 or less

4 donations received totaling **\$115**

Total donations calendar year 2019

\$115 (4 donations)

Disposition of funds: **The funds donated to CCG (NBF) through GIVE2SF for CY 2019 will be dispersed during the annual CCG Grant Cycle that opens on October 14, 2020.**

THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE
GIFTS TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
ANNUAL REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Emergency Funds: Animals, Housing, Infrastructure, Not Specified
Calendar Year 2019

Donor Name	Amount if \$100 or more
-------------------	--------------------------------

Disaster Fund for Animals

No Donations \$100.00 or higher.

Total Donations:	\$180.00
------------------	----------

Disaster Fund for Housing

Ulrike Delling	\$500.00
----------------	----------

Total Donations:	\$823.51
------------------	----------

Disaster Fund for Infrastructure

Cecile Butman-Michael	\$100.00
-----------------------	----------

Total Donations:	\$275.00
------------------	----------

Disaster Fund for Non Specified

Suzanne & Mimi Choi	\$200.00
---------------------	----------

Total Donations:	\$285.00
------------------	----------

ALL EMERGENCY FUNDS TOTAL: \$1,563.51

Disposition of funds: The funds held in these accounts pending an emergency event.

Grants for the Arts

GIFTS TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ANNUAL REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Name of your program: Voluntary Arts Contribution Fund

Calendar Year 2019

The Department received the following monetary gifts during this period:

Date	Donor Name	Amount \$100 & over
1/2/2019	Barbara Blasdel	\$250
1/2/2019	Robert Kossler	\$100
1/9/2019	March Conservation Fund	\$500
9/11/2019	Josephine Malti Trust	\$250,000
10/8/2019	DL Campbell	\$100
10/11/2019	Marc J Loran	\$100
10/28/2019	Eileen Chung	\$100
10/28/2019	Jan Neufeld	\$100
10/29/2019	Ellen F R Uyenco	\$100
11/6/2019	Philip Zimbardo	\$200
11/6/2019	Ted Margadent	\$100
11/6/2019	Tiia Carswell	\$500
11/12/2019	Doris M Yu	\$100
11/20/2019	Leslie Bonus	\$100
12/2/2019	Willia B Shore	\$100
12/2/2019	Allison Adams	\$100
12/2/2019	Cornelius Moore	\$100
12/2/2019	Laurel Samuels	\$500
12/3/2019	Martha J Wellington	\$200
12/4/2019	V Yee	\$1,000
12/4/2019	Bruce Neuburger	\$100
12/4/2019	Robert Nachtigall	\$100
12/4/2019	Victor Seeto	\$100
12/6/2019	Keith Goldstein	\$100
12/9/2019	Christopher H Pederson	\$100
12/13/2019	Josephine Malti Trust	\$1,000,000
12/16/2019	Philip and Vera Frost	\$150

Cumulative donations \$100 or less

\$890.20

Total donations calendar year 2019

\$1,255,890.20

Disposition of funds: To San Francisco-based nonprofit arts organizations for capital improvement and safety upgrade projects. **Please note that the above donations are from those mailed to GFTA's office directly, as well as donations received via Give2SF.**



OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR





London N. Breed, Mayor
Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator

July 14, 2020

TO: Honorable Board of Supervisors

FROM: Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator
Benjamin Rosenfield, Controller



SUBJ: San Francisco Disaster Emergency Response and Recovery Fund
Annual Report from the Controller and City Administrator

This report is submitted pursuant to Administrative Code Section 10.100-100, which directs the Controller and City Administrator to report annually regarding Disaster and Emergency Response and Recovery Fund sources and uses. This fund is different from the special fund established by the Mayoral Proclamation issued March 13, 2020. That fund is being reported separately by the Controller.

This fund was created by amendment to the previous San Francisco Disaster Recovery Fund and in FY 16-17, funds totaling \$546 were carried over from the prior Disaster Recovery Fund to the new Disaster and Emergency Response and Recovery Fund. This fund is comprised of four components: (1) Animal Care; (2) Housing & Relief; (3) Public Infrastructure; and (4) Unspecified. At the start of FY 19-20, it began with a total combined fund balance of \$5,558. During FY 19-20, \$2,613 was donated. The total amount in the fund as of June 30, 2020 is \$8,171.

If you should desire additional information, please contact Joan Lubamersky of my office, 5545-4859 or Joan.Lubamersky@sfgov.org

Enclosures (1)

FY 2019-20
Disaster & Emergency Response & Recovery Fund
Report run 7/14/2020

	PS_ProjectID	FY19-20 Beginning Fund Balance	FY19-20 Q1		FY19-20 Q2		FY19-20 Q3		FY19-20 Q4		FY19-20 Total		FYE19-20
			Sources	Uses	Sources	Uses	Sources	Uses	Sources	Uses	Sources	Uses	Fund Balance
SF Disaster Fund - Animal Care	10000004	\$1,165	\$0	\$0	\$105	\$0	\$25	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$130	\$0	\$1,295
SF Disaster Fund - Housing & Relief	10000005	\$1,208	\$0	\$0	\$742	\$0	\$240	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$982	\$0	\$2,190
SF Disaster Fund - Public Infrastructure	10000006	\$970	\$0	\$0	\$225	\$0	\$15	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$240	\$0	\$1,210
SF Disaster Fund - Unspecified	10000007	\$2,215	\$0	\$0	\$245	\$0	\$151,241	\$0	-\$150,225	\$0	\$1,261	\$0	\$3,476
SF Disaster Fund - Total		\$5,558	\$0	\$0	\$1,317	\$0	\$151,521	\$0	-\$150,225	\$0	\$2,613	\$0	\$8,171

From: [Egan, Ted \(CON\)](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\)](#); [Power, Andres \(MYR\)](#); [Bruss, Andrea \(MYR\)](#); [Kirkpatrick, Kelly \(MYR\)](#); [Cretan, Jeff \(MYR\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); alubos@sftc.org; [Campbell, Severin \(BUD\)](#); [Rose, Harvey \(BUD\)](#); [Docs, SF \(LIB\)](#); [Rosenfield, Ben \(CON\)](#); [Rydstrom, Todd \(CON\)](#); [Lane, Maura \(CON\)](#); [Torres, Joaquin \(ECN\)](#); [Dick-Endrizzi, Regina \(ECN\)](#); [REIBER, SCOTT \(CAT\)](#); [Groffenberger, Ashley \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Issued: Business Tax Change: Economic Impact Report
Date: Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:41:24 AM

The Controller's Office of Economic Analysis has issued an economic impact report on the proposed changes to the City's business taxes, introduced by Board President Yee and Supervisors Fewer, Haney, and Peskin. The full report may be read here:
<http://openbook.sfgov.org/webreports/details3.aspx?id=2860>

Main Conclusions:


The proposed legislation would make a number of changes to San Francisco's system of business taxes. The revenues from two new taxes approved by San Francisco voters in 2018 have been impounded by the City Controller's Office, because of ongoing litigation. The proposed tax changes would establish a backstop tax that would permit the City to expend those impounded funds, and replace the tax revenues for 20 years, if the City loses that litigation. Additionally, the proposal would eliminate the City's Payroll Expense Tax, reduce business registration fees for small businesses, and make a number of adjustments to the City's Gross Receipts Tax rates.

The proposal will affect the city's economy in three primary ways. First, the backstop feature will allow the spending of Big C/Baby C revenue, prior to the resolution of the litigation. This spending will create a positive impact on the city's economy, without any offsetting negative impact on businesses. Secondly, the proposal fully shifts the City's business tax base away from payroll expense, to gross receipts, which will create an incentive to add payroll and employment in the city. Thirdly, the proposal changes the Gross Receipts Tax burden, across industries and businesses of different sizes. Since industries in San Francisco differ in their sensitivity to the cost of doing business, and their multiplier effects, these shifts may also impact the city's economy.

The COVID-19 emergency has dramatically worsened the near-term economic outlook for the city. The baseline jobs forecast is for the loss of 100,000 jobs in 2020, and no full recovery to 2019 employment levels until after 2025. In this context, the tax proposal could improve the economy in the early years; its expected impact of 5,500 jobs in 2021 would account for 10% of all projected job recovery in the city next year. After the resolution of the tax litigation, when no new spending from Big C and Baby C is expected, the economic impact would be much more modest. An increase in employment in the City, and in City contractors, would slightly outweigh the job losses in the industries that face tax increases under the proposal.

[Ted Egan, Ph.D., Chief Economist](#)
Office of the Controller
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5268

Business Tax Changes: Economic Impact Report



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Office of the Controller
Office of Economic Analysis

Item #200648

07.21.2020

- The proposed legislation would make a number of changes to San Francisco's system of business taxes. The changes would require voter approval, by a simple majority vote, at the November 2020 election.
- The revenues from two new taxes approved by San Francisco voters in 2018 have been impounded by the City Controller's Office, because of ongoing litigation. The proposed tax changes would permit the City to expend those impounded funds, and replace the tax revenues for 20 years, if the City loses that litigation.
- Additionally, the proposal would eliminate the City's Payroll Expense Tax, reduce business registration fees for small businesses, and make a number of adjustment to the City's Gross Receipts Tax rates.
- The Office of Economic Analysis (OEA) has prepared this economic impact report after determining that the proposed changes could have a material impact on the city's economy.
- The proposed tax is incorporated within a City Charter amendment which also excludes the tax's revenue from budget baselines. Only the tax changes are considered in this report, as the baseline changes do not affect the broader city economy.

- Specifically, the proposed tax would make the following changes:
 1. Providing a new revenue stream (a “backstop”) that would permit the City to expend the impounded revenue now being collected from the Commercial Rents Tax (“Baby C”) and Homelessness Gross Receipts Tax (“Big C”). The backstop is an increase in Gross Receipts Tax rates that mirror Big C and Baby C, and would go into effect for 20 years, only if the City loses the litigation.
 2. A repeal of the Payroll Expense Tax, effective in tax year 2021, funded by an across-the-board increase in Gross Receipts Tax rates, of 40%.
 3. A reduction of business registration fees, for businesses with \$1 million or less in San Francisco gross receipts.
 4. An increase in the small business exemption for the Gross Receipts Tax, to \$2 million. It’s 2019 level is \$1.17 million.
 5. Temporary reductions in Gross Receipts Tax rate for certain industries, and phased, permanent, increases in Gross Receipts Tax rates for other industries, as detailed on the following three pages.

Proposed Temporary Rate Reductions

4

- The City's Gross Receipts Tax has progressive tax rates, in which a business faces higher tax rates on higher tiers of gross receipts.
- The proposed measure would reduce the tax rates on the lowest three tiers (up to \$25 million in gross receipts), for the following industries: Retail Trade; Certain Services; Manufacturing; Arts, Entertainment, Recreation; Accommodations; and Food Services.
- The rates reductions for all 6 industries would be, in tax years 2021 & 2022, equivalent to 50% of the existing rates below \$25 million, adjusted by the 40% increase to fund the elimination of the Payroll Expense Tax. In tax year 2023, the reduction would be 25% of this adjusted rate, and in tax year 2024 and afterwards, there would be no reduction.
- Rates for all industries and tiers, for each year, are shown in the Appendix.

Proposed Rate Increases

5

- The proposal would change the rates that apply to the Information industry, beginning in tax year 2021, by raising them to match the rates that apply to the Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services industry.
- Additionally, further stepped increases would apply, to the industries and in the tax years shown on the next page. These increases are shown as a percentage increase of current rates, for all tiers.
- In 2023 and 2024, the increases would be delayed by one year if total San Francisco gross receipts for the proceeding year was below 90% or 95%, respectively, of San Francisco gross receipts total for 2019. This effectively defers tax increases if there is a major decline in the city's economy that continues to 2022 or 2023.
- The detailed rate increases by industry are shown on the next page. Full rate detail is provided in appendix.

Rate Increase Details

6

Business Activity	2021	2022	2023	2024
Certain Services	0%	0%	0%	0%
Retail Trade	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wholesale Trade	0%	0%	0%	0%
Biotechnology	0%	5%	10%	15%
Clean Technology	0%	0%	0%	0%
Food Services	0%	0%	0%	0%
Information	0%	10%	15%	20%
Manufacturing	0%	0%	0%	0%
Transportation and Warehousing	0%	0%	0%	0%
Accommodations	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	0%	0%	0%	0%
Utilities	0%	5%	10%	15%
Activity Not Listed Above	0%	10%	15%	20%
Administrative and Support Services	0%	5%	10%	15%
Private Education and Health Services	0%	5%	10%	15%
Construction	0%	0%	0%	0%
Financial Services	0%	10%	15%	20%
Insurance	0%	5%	10%	15%
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	0%	10%	15%	20%
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing Services	0%	5%	10%	15%
Administrative Office Tax Payers	0%	5%	10%	15%

- An estimate of the revenue changes associated with each of the features of the tax proposal is shown on the table on the next page.
- The table assumes a resolution of the Big C/Baby C tax litigation, in the City's favor, in 2023, and that there is no revenue associated with the backstop in that year or beyond. As stated earlier, if the City loses the litigation, the backstop would replace the revenue of Big C/Baby C, as a general tax, for 20 years.
- The table shows the Big C and Baby C revenues that have been paid, or will be paid between now and 2023. This payment has and will continue to occur, regardless of whether this proposal is adopted. The proposal only permits the City to spend it prior to the final resolution of the litigation.

Revenue Impact of Policy Features, 2020-2025

8

Tax Feature	Spending Use	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Unlocked Big C Revenue	Affordable Housing	\$197	\$94	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Mental Health	\$98	\$47	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Homelessness Prevention	\$59	\$28	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Shelter & Hygiene	\$40	\$19	\$0	\$0	\$0
	General Fund Re-Payments	\$197	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unlocked Baby C Revenue	Childcare	\$273	\$160	\$0	\$0	\$0
	General Fund Distributions	\$107	\$28	\$0	\$0	\$0
Replace Payroll Tax with Gross Receipts Tax Increase	General Fund	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1
Reduce Business Registration Fees	General Fund	-\$5	-\$5	-\$5	-\$5	-\$5
Raise Gross Receipts Tax Small Business Exemption	General Fund	-\$12	-\$12	-\$12	-\$12	-\$12
Temporary Tax Reductions	General Fund	-\$22	-\$22	-\$11	\$0	\$0
Move Information to Schedule 6 Rates	General Fund	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30
Stepped Tax Increases	General Fund	\$0	\$39	\$61	\$83	\$83
Total		\$963	\$407	\$64	\$97	\$97

- The proposal will affect the city's economy in three primary ways:
 1. The backstop feature will allow the spending of Big C/Baby C revenue, prior to the resolution of the litigation. This spending will create a positive impact on the city's economy, without any offsetting negative impact on businesses.
 2. Secondly, the proposal fully shifts the City's business tax base away from payroll expense, to gross receipts, which will create an incentive to add payroll and employment in the city.
 3. Thirdly, the proposal changes the Gross Receipts Tax burden, across industries and businesses of different sizes. Since industries in San Francisco differ in their sensitivity to the cost of doing business, and their multiplier effects, these shifts may also impact the city's economy.
- The estimated net impact of the proposal on each industry, for the years 2021-2024, is shown in the table on the next page.

Change in Business Taxes by Industry, 2021-2024


10

Industry	2021 (\$M)	2022 (\$M)	2023 (\$M)	2024 (\$M)
Accommodations	-\$1	-\$1	\$0	\$2
Activity Not Listed Above	\$0	\$1	\$2	\$3
Administrative and Support Services	\$0	\$1	\$2	\$3
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	-\$2	-\$2	-\$1	\$0
Biotechnology	-\$1	-\$1	\$0	\$0
Certain Services	-\$2	-\$2	-\$1	-\$1
Clean Technology	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$3
Financial Services	\$8	\$17	\$21	\$25
Food Services	-\$9	-\$9	-\$5	-\$2
Information	\$16	\$30	\$36	\$43
Insurance	\$0	\$1	\$1	\$1
Manufacturing	-\$3	-\$3	-\$2	\$0
Private Education and Health Services	\$0	\$1	\$1	\$2
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	-\$6	\$4	\$10	\$15
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing Services	\$4	\$5	\$7	\$8
Retail Trade	-\$9	-\$9	-\$6	-\$3
Transportation and Warehousing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Utilities	\$1	\$1	\$2	\$2
Wholesale Trade	-\$2	-\$2	-\$2	-\$2
Administrative Office Tax payers	\$0	\$1	\$3	\$4

Economic Impact Assessment

11

San Francisco Total Employment, 2019-25: With Proposed Tax Changes, and Baseline Forecast



The OEA used the REMI model to estimate the economic impact of the tax and spending changes summarized on pages 8 and 10.

The chart to the left illustrates a baseline forecast of city employment, prepared since COVID-19 emergency, and the REMI estimate of city employment were the tax proposal to go into effect.

The baseline forecast is for the city to lose approximately 100,000 jobs in 2020. 55,000 would be recovered in 2021.

The tax proposal would raise the number of jobs by about 5,500 in 2021, and 1,900 in 2022, due to the expenditure of the unlocked Big C/Baby C funds. In later years, when no new backstop spending is assumed, the job impacts are much more modest.

*The estimate assumes a resolution of the tax litigation in 2023, and that the triggered tax increases are not deferred and go into effect in 2023 and 2024.

- The COVID-19 emergency has dramatically worsened the near-term economic outlook for the city. The baseline jobs forecast is for the loss of 100,000 jobs in 2020, and no full recovery to 2019 employment levels until after 2025.
- In this context, the tax proposal could improve the economy in the early years; its expected impact of 5,500 jobs in 2021 would account for 10% of all projected job recovery in the city next year.
- After the resolution of the tax litigation, when no new spending from Big C and Baby C is expected, the economic impact would be much more modest. An increase in employment in the City, and in City contractors, would slightly outweigh the job losses in the industries that face tax increases under the proposal.

Appendix: Proposed Tax Rates by Industry

13

	2021				2022				2023				2024			
Business Activity	\$0-1M	\$1-2.5M	\$2.5-\$25M	\$25M+	\$0-1M	\$1-2.5M	\$2.5-\$25M	\$25M+	\$0-1M	\$1-2.5M	\$2.5-\$25M	\$25M+	\$0-1M	\$1-2.5M	\$2.5-\$25M	\$25M+
Certain Services	0.053%	0.070%	0.095%	0.224%	0.053%	0.070%	0.095%	0.224%	0.079%	0.105%	0.142%	0.224%	0.105%	0.140%	0.189%	0.224%
Retail Trade	0.053%	0.070%	0.095%	0.224%	0.053%	0.070%	0.095%	0.224%	0.079%	0.105%	0.142%	0.224%	0.105%	0.140%	0.189%	0.224%
Wholesale Trade	0.105%	0.140%	0.189%	0.224%	0.105%	0.140%	0.189%	0.224%	0.105%	0.140%	0.189%	0.224%	0.105%	0.140%	0.189%	0.224%
Biotechnology	0.175%	0.287%	0.518%	0.665%	0.181%	0.297%	0.537%	0.689%	0.188%	0.308%	0.555%	0.713%	0.194%	0.318%	0.574%	0.736%
Clean Technology	0.175%	0.287%	0.518%	0.665%	0.175%	0.287%	0.518%	0.665%	0.175%	0.287%	0.518%	0.665%	0.175%	0.287%	0.518%	0.665%
Food Services	0.088%	0.144%	0.259%	0.665%	0.088%	0.144%	0.259%	0.665%	0.131%	0.215%	0.389%	0.665%	0.175%	0.287%	0.518%	0.665%
Information	0.560%	0.644%	0.714%	0.784%	0.573%	0.665%	0.751%	0.832%	0.579%	0.675%	0.770%	0.855%	0.585%	0.685%	0.788%	0.879%
Manufacturing	0.088%	0.144%	0.259%	0.665%	0.088%	0.144%	0.259%	0.665%	0.131%	0.215%	0.389%	0.665%	0.175%	0.287%	0.518%	0.665%
Transportation and Warehousing	0.175%	0.287%	0.518%	0.665%	0.175%	0.287%	0.518%	0.665%	0.175%	0.287%	0.518%	0.665%	0.175%	0.287%	0.518%	0.665%
Accommodations	0.210%	0.228%	0.228%	0.560%	0.210%	0.228%	0.228%	0.560%	0.315%	0.341%	0.341%	0.560%	0.420%	0.455%	0.455%	0.560%
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	0.210%	0.228%	0.228%	0.560%	0.210%	0.228%	0.228%	0.560%	0.315%	0.341%	0.341%	0.560%	0.420%	0.455%	0.455%	0.560%
Utilities	0.420%	0.455%	0.455%	0.560%	0.435%	0.471%	0.471%	0.580%	0.450%	0.488%	0.488%	0.600%	0.465%	0.504%	0.504%	0.620%
Activity Not Listed Above	0.735%	0.770%	0.840%	0.910%	0.788%	0.825%	0.900%	0.975%	0.814%	0.853%	0.930%	1.008%	0.840%	0.880%	0.960%	1.040%
Administrative and Support Services	0.735%	0.770%	0.840%	0.910%	0.761%	0.798%	0.870%	0.943%	0.788%	0.825%	0.900%	0.975%	0.814%	0.853%	0.930%	1.008%
Private Education and Health Services	0.735%	0.770%	0.840%	0.910%	0.761%	0.798%	0.870%	0.943%	0.788%	0.825%	0.900%	0.975%	0.814%	0.853%	0.930%	1.008%
Construction	0.420%	0.490%	0.560%	0.630%	0.420%	0.490%	0.560%	0.630%	0.420%	0.490%	0.560%	0.630%	0.420%	0.490%	0.560%	0.630%
Financial Services	0.560%	0.644%	0.714%	0.784%	0.600%	0.690%	0.765%	0.840%	0.620%	0.713%	0.791%	0.868%	0.640%	0.736%	0.816%	0.896%
Insurance	0.560%	0.644%	0.714%	0.784%	0.580%	0.667%	0.740%	0.812%	0.600%	0.690%	0.765%	0.840%	0.620%	0.713%	0.791%	0.868%
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	0.560%	0.644%	0.714%	0.784%	0.600%	0.690%	0.765%	0.840%	0.620%	0.713%	0.791%	0.868%	0.640%	0.736%	0.816%	0.896%
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing Services	0.399%	0.399%	0.420%	0.420%	0.413%	0.413%	0.435%	0.435%	0.428%	0.428%	0.450%	0.450%	0.442%	0.442%	0.465%	0.465%
Administrative Office Tax (% of Payroll Expense)	1.400%	1.400%	1.400%	1.400%	1.470%	1.470%	1.470%	1.470%	1.540%	1.540%	1.540%	1.540%	1.610%	1.610%	1.610%	1.610%

Ted Egan, Ph.D., Chief Economist
ted.egan@sfgov.org

From: [Reports, Controller \(CON\)](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\)](#); [Bruss, Andrea \(MYR\)](#); [Kirkpatrick, Kelly \(MYR\)](#); [Groffenberger, Ashley \(MYR\)](#); [Cretan, Jeff \(MYR\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [Anatolia Lubos](#); [ettore.leale@sfcgi.org](#); [Campbell, Severin \(BUD\)](#); [Brousseau, Fred \(BUD\)](#); [Goncher, Dan \(BUD\)](#); [Rose, Harvey \(BUD\)](#); [Docs, SF \(LIB\)](#); [CON-EVERYONE](#); [Tumlin, Jeffrey \(MTA\)](#); [Boomer, Roberta \(MTA\)](#); [Sakelaris, Kathleen \(MTA\)](#); [Levenson, Leo \(MTA\)](#); [Graff, Ted \(MTA\)](#); [Malone, Rob \(MTA\)](#); [Dunham, David \(MTA\)](#); [lynda@secteam.com](#); [Katie Dillon](#); [Neal Schlosser](#); [caskins@lazparking.com](#)
Subject: Issued: SFMTA: Compliance Audits of North Beach and Vallejo Parking Garages
Date: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:12:54 PM

The Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA) today issued two audit reports, prepared by Sjoberg Evashenk Consulting, on the North Beach and Vallejo parking garages for July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2019.

North Beach Parking Garage

LAZ Parking LLC (LAZ) operates the North Beach Parking Garage. LAZ reported to San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) \$2,656,019 in operating revenues and \$1,489,139 in expenses during the audit period. In general, SFMTA ensured that LAZ appropriately performed most garage activities, with the goal of achieving optimal operational and financial performance at the garage. However, the audit identified few areas in which SFMTA could improve its oversight of the garage's operations and better monitor compliance with the lease.

To view the report, please visit our website at:
<http://openbook.sfgov.org/webreports/details3.aspx?id=2855>

Vallejo Parking Garage

LAZ Parking LLC (LAZ) operates the Vallejo Parking Garage. LAZ reported to SFMTA \$1,436,028 in operating revenues and \$700,369 in expenses during the audit period. In general, SFMTA ensured that LAZ appropriately performed most garage activities, with the goal of achieving optimal operational and financial performance at the garage. However, the audit identified a few areas in which SFMTA could improve its oversight of the garage's operations and better monitor compliance with the lease.


To view the report, please visit our website at:
<http://openbook.sfgov.org/webreports/details3.aspx?id=2856>

This is a send-only e-mail address. For questions about the report, please contact Acting Director of Audits Mark de la Rosa at mark.p.delarosa@sfgov.org or 415-554-7574 or the CSA Audits Division at 415-554-7469.

Follow us on Twitter @SFController.

LAZ Parking LLC Adequately Performed Operational Duties, but a Few Improvements Can Strengthen Its Operations at the North Beach Parking Garage

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency



July 16, 2020

City & County of San Francisco
Office of the Controller
City Services Auditor

About the Audits Division

The City Services Auditor (CSA) was created in the Office of the Controller through an amendment to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco (City) that was approved by voters in November 2003. Within CSA, the Audits Division ensures the City's financial integrity and promotes efficient, effective, and accountable government by:

- Conducting performance audits of city departments, contractors, and functions to assess efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery and business processes.
- Investigating reports received through its whistleblower hotline of fraud, waste, and abuse of city resources.
- Providing actionable recommendations to city leaders to promote and enhance accountability and improve the overall performance and efficiency of city government.

Team:

Winnie Woo, Senior Auditor

Consultant:

Sjoberg Evashenk Consulting, Inc.

For more information please contact:

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of Audits
Office of the Controller
City and County of San Francisco
(415) 554-7574



<http://www.sfcontroller.org>



@sfcontroller



LinkedIn Office of the Controller

Audit Authority

CSA conducted this audit under the authority of the San Francisco Charter, Section 3.105 and Appendix F, which requires that CSA conduct periodic, comprehensive financial and performance audits of city departments, services, and activities.



OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Todd Rydstrom
Deputy Controller

July 16, 2020

Board of Directors
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mr. Jeffrey Tumlin
Director of Transportation
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Board Chairman, Board Members, and Mr. Tumlin:

The Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA), Audits Division, engaged Sjoberg Evashenk Consulting, Inc., (SEC) to audit the lease agreement (lease) under which LAZ Parking LLC, (LAZ) operates the North Beach Parking Garage (North Beach Garage). SEC also reviewed the management and oversight of the lease by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA).

Reporting Period: July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2019

Revenue: \$2,656,019

Results:

LAZ reported to SFMTA \$2,656,019 in operating revenues and \$1,489,139 in expenses during the audit period. In general, SFMTA ensured that LAZ appropriately performed most garage activities, with the goal of achieving optimal operational and financial performance at the North Beach Garage. However, the audit identified few areas in which SFMTA could improve its oversight of the garage's operations and better monitor compliance with the lease.

The report includes six recommendations for SFMTA to improve its oversight of the North Beach Garage lease. The responses from SFMTA and LAZ are attached. CSA will work with the department to follow up every six months on the status of the open recommendations made in this report.

CSA appreciates the assistance and cooperation of all staff involved in this audit. For questions about the report, please contact me at mark.p.delarosa@sfgov.org or 415-554-7574 or CSA at 415-554-7469.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark de la Rosa".

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of Audits

cc: Board of Supervisors
Budget Analyst
Civil Grand Jury
Citizens Audit Review Board
City Attorney
Mayor
Public Library

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Authority: Laz Parking LLC Adequately Performed Operational Duties, but a Few Improvements Can Strengthen Its Operations at the North Beach Parking Garage

June 10, 2020



Executive Summary

Purpose of the Audit

As authorized by the San Francisco Administrative Code, the Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor engaged Sjoberg Evashenk Consulting, Inc., to assess whether Laz Parking LLC (LAZ) complied with certain provisions in its lease agreement (lease) with the City and County of San Francisco (City) to operate the North Beach Parking Garage (North Beach Garage). The audit also assessed whether the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) appropriately managed and oversaw the lease.

Highlights

Overall, the audit found that SFMTA ensured LAZ appropriately performed parking garage activities to ensure optimal operational and financial performance at the North Beach Garage. However, the audit identified the following areas where SFMTA could improve its oversight of garage operations and better monitor compliance with the lease between the City and LAZ:

- LAZ did not properly assess monthly parker late fees.
- SFMTA does not have a formal agreement with the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD), whose employees are allowed to park their personal vehicles in the garage, and related invoicing processes need improvement.

Recommendations

The report includes six recommendations for SFMTA to ensure cash-handling best practices are employed at the garage and to ensure compliance with the lease.

The report recommends that SFMTA should:

- Require LAZ Parking LLC to charge late fees in accordance with the parking regulations.
- Collect \$342 in uncollected late fee revenue from LAZ Parking LLC and determine whether additional steps should be taken to mitigate the loss of revenue from untested months.
- Require LAZ Parking LLC to invoice the San Francisco Police Department for \$216 in uncollected revenue and determine whether additional steps should be taken to mitigate the loss of revenue from untested months.
- Require LAZ Parking LLC to work with SKIDATA to develop reports that do not require garage staff to perform manual calculations when generating invoices.
- Work with LAZ Parking LLC to develop a secondary review process to verify that the amount billed to SFPD is accurate.
- Establish a formal parking agreement with SFPD and assess whether any terms of the existing arrangement should be updated or revised.

INTRODUCTION

Audit Authority

The lease agreement (lease) for the North Beach Parking Garage (North Beach Garage) between the City and County of San Francisco (City) and Laz Parking LLC (LAZ) authorizes the City and its representatives to audit all accounts and records established under the lease. The San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 10.6-2, grants the Office of the Controller (Controller) the authority to audit departments to ensure they adequately manage their agreements for leased property. Also, the San Francisco Charter provides the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA) with broad authority to conduct audits. This audit was conducted under these authorities and pursuant to an audit plan agreed to by the Controller and the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA). CSA engaged Sjoberg Evashenk Consulting, Inc., (SEC) to audit the lease between the City and LAZ under which it operates the North Beach Garage and to assess SFMTA's management of the lease.

Background

The North Beach Garage is a public parking garage located at 735 Vallejo Street in San Francisco. LAZ's current lease to operate the garage commenced on February 1, 2012, and was set to expire on January 31, 2018. In 2018 and 2019, the City extended the lease, which now expires on January 31, 2021.

Under the Parking and Revenue Control Systems (PARCS) initiative, SFMTA has updated nearly all 22 city-owned parking garages with new parking equipment and software to enhance the efficiency and safety of the garages. As part of PARCS, the SKIDATA system, an automated parking access and revenue control system, was installed at the North Beach Garage in 2017 and has allowed the garage to automate most payment processes. Other technological upgrades include the addition of an Automated License Plate Recognition (ALPR), a camera system that converts the image of a license plate to computer-readable data. The ALPR system collects data for calculating parking fees, issuing citations, and re-issuing lost tickets. According to SFMTA's website, all final parking garage updates are scheduled to be completed by fall 2020.

SFMTA manages and oversees the City's public, off-street parking garages. The City delegated authority to SFMTA to oversee the activities of the operators responsible for the daily management and operations of the parking garages. SFMTA is responsible for reviewing and approving parking garage budgets and operational expenses, conducting garage inspections, and ensuring the operators adhere to the terms and provisions of their leases.

LAZ is responsible for the supervision and oversight of the North Beach Garage's operational activities and ensuring revenues generated and operational expenses incurred through the garage are appropriately remitted and reported to the City. LAZ remits daily to the City all North Beach Garage revenue, including transient parking and monthly parking revenue, and submits monthly requests for reimbursement for operational expenses, including staff salaries and benefits.

Objectives

The purpose of this audit was to determine whether LAZ:

- Reported and correctly submitted to SFMTA all revenues collected from the operation of the North Beach Garage;
- Calculated and correctly reported all of its operating expenses; and,
- Complied with other provisions of its lease with the City.

The audit also included evaluating whether SFMTA's contract management practices and procedures adequately ensured that LAZ complied with certain lease provisions.

Scope and Methodology

The audit covered July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2019.

To meet the audit objectives, the audit team:

- Reviewed the applicable terms of the lease between the City and LAZ.
- Assessed LAZ's internal controls and procedures over collecting, recording, summarizing, and reporting gross revenues and expenditures, including day-end close-out practices associated with verification of amounts collected and preparing the daily deposit.
- For the months of September 2017, February 2018, September 2018, and February 2019, traced transient and monthly revenue collected to SFMTA's bank accounts and determined whether expenses were accurately and appropriately billed to SFMTA and were supported with sufficient documentation.
- Assessed LAZ's process to bill the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) for monthly parking payments and for April 2020 determined whether the invoice was accurately calculated.
- Evaluated controls associated with the SKIDATA system.

Statement of Auditing Standards

SEC conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that auditors plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. SEC believes that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

AUDIT RESULTS

Summary

From July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2019, LAZ reported to SFMTA total operating revenues of \$2,656,019 and expenses of \$1,489,139. Exhibit 1 summarizes the North Beach Garage's revenues, expenditures, and operating income for the audit period.

Exhibit 1 North Beach Garage Operating Revenues and Expenses July 1, 2017, Through June 30, 2019			
Reporting Period	Revenues	Expenses	Operating Income (Revenues Less Expenses)
July 1, 2017 – June 30, 2018	\$1,345,415	\$769,154	\$576,261
July 1, 2018 – June 30, 2019	\$1,310,604	\$719,985	\$590,619
Total	\$2,656,019	\$1,489,139	\$1,166,880

Source: June 2018 and June 2019 Monthly Summary Reports

The audit found that, in general, LAZ appropriately performed most parking garage activities at the North Beach Garage, in accordance with the lease agreement. Also, the SKIDATA system allowed the garage to automate many traditional cash-handling procedures, such as transient revenue collection, physical parking ticket reconciliation, and cashier drawer closeout. Further, SFMTA and LAZ have recently implemented several process improvements, such as reconciling active cards in the monthly parking system, PARIS, to active cards in the SKIDATA system to ensure revenue is properly collected from all monthly parkers with access to the garage.

However, the audit identified the following areas that warrant improvement:

- LAZ did not properly assess monthly parker late fees.
- SFMTA does not have a written agreement with SFPD for parking, and related invoicing processes need improvement.

Finding 1

LAZ Did Not Properly Assess Monthly Parker Late Fees

In addition to transient parkers who pay an hourly or daily parking fee, the North Beach Garage offers parking options for a monthly fee, such as motorcycle, carpool, evening and regular 24-hour access. Monthly parkers execute a monthly parking agreement with LAZ and receive a SKIDATA access card that grants access to the garage. A review of monthly parking documents revealed that LAZ did not assess late fees in accordance with SFMTA's parking regulations.

Specifically, in 2017 Section 3.1(b)(i) of the SFMTA parking regulations was updated to stipulate that monthly parking fees shall be assessed on the second day of each month. However, the audit's review of monthly parking payments for September 2017, February 2018, September 2018, and February 2019 revealed that LAZ did not assess late fees until the fifth day of each month. LAZ state it was unaware the late fee policy in the parking regulations had changed in 2017. However, documents show that SFMTA informed LAZ of the updated regulations in 2017 and LAZ reviewed and commented on the final version of the regulations.

Because LAZ did not assess late fees until the fifth of each month, SFMTA lost \$342 in late fee revenue for the four months reviewed. Although this amount is minimal, the total revenue lost is likely higher because LAZ assessed monthly parking late fees on the fifth of each month for several years after the regulations changed in 2017. Due to the minimal amount of revenue in question and time that would have been needed to determine the late fees for the entire audit period, the audit did not calculate the amount of revenue lost for the entire audit period. However, the average monthly revenue loss for the four tested months was \$85. Extrapolating this average, the potential yearly loss was \$1026 in late fee revenue, which equals 0.1 percent of total revenue.

Recommendations

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency should:

1. Require LAZ Parking LLC to charge late fees in accordance with the parking regulations.
2. Collect \$342 in uncollected late fee revenue from LAZ Parking LLC and determine whether additional steps should be taken to mitigate the loss of revenue from untested months.

Finding 2

SFMTA Does Not Have a Written Agreement With SFPD for Parking, and Related Invoicing Processes Need Improvement

SFPD's Central Station is located across the street from the North Beach Garage. Due to the close proximity of the two facilities, SFMTA and SFPD have an informal arrangement allowing SFPD employees to park their personal vehicles in the garage while on duty. The arrangement allows SFPD to have nearly 200 garage access passes for its employees, for which it is charged the standard monthly parking fee of \$360 per pass. A maximum of 38 vehicles can be parked in the garage at any time using an SFPD access pass. If more than 38 vehicles of SFPD employees are parked in the garage at once, LAZ charges SFPD the daily parking rate for each.¹

No formal agreement exists between SFMTA and SFPD to stipulate the parking arrangement. Such an agreement is required of all other monthly parking customers and delineated in Section 3.1 of the parking regulations. SFMTA stated the arrangement has been in place for years, predating the current SFMTA parking garage management and North Beach Garage facility manager. To ensure parking and payment expectations are consistently met, SFMTA should determine whether any terms of the existing arrangement should be revised.

Besides the lack of a written agreement, LAZ needs to improve the processes it uses to bill SFPD for the monthly and daily parking charges. Specifically, when SKIDATA was initially implemented in 2017, the system could not automatically count the number of SFPD passes in use. Therefore, to count the number of vehicles parked in the garage using an SFPD access card, garage staff manually logged the vehicles as they entered the garage and periodically counted them when parked in the garage, requiring an in-depth knowledge of the SFPD employee customers and their vehicles. To determine the monthly and daily charges for each monthly invoice, LAZ used the manual logs that indicate the number of vehicles parked with an SFPD access pass each day.

In 2019, SKIDATA updated a system-generated daily occupancy report that automatically counts the number of vehicles that enter the garage associated with an SFPD access pass. Because the occupancy reports only reflect the number of vehicles that entered the garage with an SFPD access card at a point in time, garage staff must use the raw data to manually calculate the number of vehicles exceeding the 38-vehicle maximum allowed at any one time.

¹ The daily maximum rate increased during the audit period, from \$30 in July 2017 to \$36 in August 2018.

The audit could not determine the accuracy of the invoices LAZ sent to SFPD because the process LAZ used during the audit period was based on a manual count and LAZ was unsure whether the paper-based tally documents are available. Also, reliable occupancy reports were unavailable for periods before late 2019, which is outside the audit period. However, to determine whether LAZ's current process—which calculates the number of vehicles requiring monthly and daily parking charges from the occupancy report—results in accurate invoices to SFPD, we compared the April 2020 invoiced amount to the raw data in SKIDATA's daily occupancy reports.

This test identified several instances in which LAZ did not invoice SFPD for six vehicles at the daily rate of \$36. Specifically, LAZ invoiced SFPD \$9,504, but should have invoiced \$9,720, an underbilling of \$216. The manual nature of the invoicing process increases the risk that errors may occur. This risk is further increased because SFMTA lacks a process to validate LAZ's invoices. According to LAZ, it is working with SKIDATA to enable the system to generate relevant reports that do not require garage staff to perform manual calculations.

Recommendations

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency should:

3. Require LAZ Parking LLC to invoice the San Francisco Police Department for \$216 in uncollected parking revenue and determine whether additional steps should be taken to mitigate the loss of revenue from untested months.
4. Require LAZ Parking LLC to work with SKIDATA to develop reports that do not require garage staff to perform manual calculations in order to prepare invoices for the San Francisco Police Department.
5. Work with LAZ Parking LLC to develop a secondary review process that SFMTA can use to test the accuracy of the amounts billed to the San Francisco Police Department.
6. Establish a formal parking agreement with the San Francisco Police Department and assess whether any terms of the existing arrangement should be updated or revised.

ATTACHMENT A: SFMTA RESPONSE



London Breed, Mayor

Gwyneth Borden, Chair
Amanda Eaken, Vice Chair

Cheryl Brinkman, Director
Steve Heminger, Director

Jeffrey Tumlin, Director of Transportation

June 26, 2020

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of Audits
City Hall, Room 476
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: SFMTA North Beach Parking Garage and SFMTA Vallejo Parking Garage Audits

Dear Mr. De la Rosa:

We have reviewed the draft reports for the above-named audits and have included our responses to the recommendations in the attached documents.

I would like to thank you and your audit team for the work conducted during these important audits. If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at (415) 701-4720.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Jeffrey Tumlin'.

Jeffrey Tumlin
Director of Transportation

Recommendations and Responses

For each recommendation, the responsible agency should indicate in the column labeled *Agency Response* whether it concurs, does not concur, or partially concurs and provide a brief explanation. If it concurs with the recommendation, it should indicate the expected implementation date and implementation plan. If the responsible agency does not concur or partially concurs, it should provide an explanation and an alternate plan of action to address the identified issue.

Recommendation	Agency Response	CSA Use Only Status Determination*
The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency should:		
1. Require LAZ Parking LLC to charge late fees in accordance with the parking regulations.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Do Not Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Partially Concur SFMTA directed LAZ to update its process. LAZ implemented that change as of May 2020.	<input type="checkbox"/> Open <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Closed <input type="checkbox"/> Contested
2. Collect \$342 in uncollected late fee revenue from LAZ Parking LLC and determine whether additional steps should be taken to mitigate the loss of revenue from untested months.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Do Not Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Partially Concur SFMTA directed LAZ to reimburse SFMTA \$342. SFMTA will also work with LAZ to review the detail from untested months and determine if further reimbursement is warranted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open <input type="checkbox"/> Closed <input type="checkbox"/> Contested
3. Require LAZ Parking LLC to invoice the San Francisco Police Department for \$216 in uncollected parking revenue and determine whether additional steps should be taken to mitigate the loss of revenue from untested months.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Do Not Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Partially Concur SFMTA directed LAZ to bill the SFPD \$216. SFMTA will also work with LAZ to review the detail from untested months and determine if further billing of SFPD is warranted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open <input type="checkbox"/> Closed <input type="checkbox"/> Contested

* Status Determination based on audit team's review of the agency's response and proposed corrective action.

Recommendation	Agency Response	CSA Use Only Status Determination*
4. Require LAZ Parking LLC to work with SKIDATA to develop reports that do not require garage staff to perform manual calculations in order to prepare invoices for the San Francisco Police Department.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Do Not Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Partially Concur SFMTA will continue to work with LAZ and Skidata on reporting to support the SFPD parking program. If needed, SFMTA will negotiate new procedures with SFPD to mitigate the need for ongoing manual calculations.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open <input type="checkbox"/> Closed <input type="checkbox"/> Contested
5. Work with LAZ Parking LLC to develop a secondary review process that SFMTA can use to test the accuracy of the amounts billed to the San Francisco Police Department.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Do Not Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Partially Concur SFMTA and LAZ will collaborate to develop a review process. A new procedure will be approved by SFMTA by 9/30/2020.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open <input type="checkbox"/> Closed <input type="checkbox"/> Contested
6. Establish a formal parking agreement with the San Francisco Police Department and assess whether any terms of the existing arrangement should be updated or revised.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Do Not Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Partially Concur SFMTA will take the lead on negotiating an updated agreement with SFPD Central Station staff to govern parking by officers. We will work toward having an updated, signed agreement by 12/31/2020.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open <input type="checkbox"/> Closed <input type="checkbox"/> Contested

* Status Determination based on audit team's review of the agency's response and proposed corrective action.

ATTACHMENT B: LAZ PARKING LLC RESPONSE



July 13th, 2020

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Chief Audit Executive
City Hall, Room 476
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mr. de la Rosa:

We have received and reviewed the draft audit report, *San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency: LAZ Parking LLC Needs to Improve Some Controls to Strengthen its Operations at the North Beach Garage*. LAZ will implement and practice the recommendations provided.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 415-602-4466.

Cordially,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "CAskins", is positioned above the typed name.

Christian Askins
Operations Manager

**North Beach
Garage**


735 Vallejo St.
San Francisco, CA
94133

P: 415.399.9564

www.lazparking.com

LAZ Parking LLC Adequately Performed Operational Duties, but a Few Improvements Can Strengthen Its Operations at the Vallejo Parking Garage

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency



July 16, 2020

City & County of San Francisco
Office of the Controller
City Services Auditor

About the Audits Division

The City Services Auditor (CSA) was created in the Office of the Controller through an amendment to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco (City) that was approved by voters in November 2003. Within CSA, the Audits Division ensures the City's financial integrity and promotes efficient, effective, and accountable government by:

- Conducting performance audits of city departments, contractors, and functions to assess efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery and business processes.
- Investigating reports received through its whistleblower hotline of fraud, waste, and abuse of city resources.
- Providing actionable recommendations to city leaders to promote and enhance accountability and improve the overall performance and efficiency of city government.

Team:

Winnie Woo, Senior Auditor

Consultant:

Sjoberg Evashenk Consulting, Inc.

For more information please contact:

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of Audits
Office of the Controller
City and County of San Francisco
(415) 554-7574



<http://www.sfcontroller.org>



@sfcontroller



LinkedIn Office of the Controller

Audit Authority

CSA conducted this audit under the authority of the San Francisco Charter, Section 3.105 and Appendix F, which requires that CSA conduct periodic, comprehensive financial and performance audits of city departments, services, and activities.



OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Todd Rydstrom
Deputy Controller

July 16, 2020

Board of Directors
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mr. Jeffrey Tumlin
Director of Transportation
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Board Chairman, Board Members, and Mr. Tumlin:

The Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA), Audits Division, engaged Sjoberg Evashenk Consulting, Inc., (SEC) to audit the lease agreement (lease) under which LAZ Parking LLC (LAZ) operates the Vallejo Parking Garage (Vallejo Garage). SEC also reviewed the management and oversight of the lease by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA).

Reporting Period: July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2019

Revenue: \$1,436,028

Results:

LAZ reported to SFMTA \$1,436,028 in operating revenues and \$700,369 in expenses during the audit period. In general, SFMTA ensured that LAZ appropriately performed most garage activities, with the goal of achieving optimal operational and financial performance at the Vallejo Garage. However, the audit identified a few areas in which SFMTA could improve its oversight of the garage's operations and better monitor compliance with the lease.

The report includes four recommendations for SFMTA to improve its oversight of the Vallejo Garage lease. The responses from SFMTA and LAZ are attached. CSA will work with the department to follow up every six months on the status of the open recommendations made in this report.

CSA appreciates the assistance and cooperation of all staff involved in this audit. For questions about the report, please contact me at mark.p.delarosa@sfgov.org or 415-554-7574 or CSA at 415-554-7469.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark de la Rosa".

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of Audits

cc: Board of Supervisors
Budget Analyst
Civil Grand Jury
Citizens Audit Review Board
City Attorney
Mayor
Public Library

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Authority: LAZ Parking LLC Adequately Performed Operational Duties, but a Few Improvements Can Strengthen Its Operations at the Vallejo Garage

June 10, 2020



455 Capitol Mall • Suite 700 • Sacramento, California • 95814 • Tel 916.443.1300 • Fax 916.443.1350

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Purpose of the Audit

As authorized by the San Francisco Administrative Code, the Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor engaged Sjoberg Evashenk Consulting, Inc., to assess whether LAZ Parking LLC (LAZ) complied with certain provisions in its lease agreement (lease) with the City and County of San Francisco (City) to operate the Vallejo Parking Garage (Vallejo Garage). The audit also assessed whether the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) appropriately managed and oversaw the lease.

Highlights

Overall, the audit found that SFMTA ensured LAZ appropriately performed parking garage activities to ensure optimal operational and financial performance at the Vallejo Garage. However, the audit identified the following areas where SFMTA could improve its oversight of garage operations and better monitor compliance with the lease agreement between the City and LAZ:

- Monthly parker late fees were not properly assessed.
- The process to record manual gate lifts should be improved.

Key Recommendations

The report includes four recommendations for SFMTA to ensure cash-handling best practices are employed at the garage and to ensure compliance with the lease. The report recommends that SFMTA should:

- Require LAZ to charge late fees in accordance with the parking regulations.
- Collect \$190 in uncollected late fee revenue from LAZ and determine whether additional steps should be taken to mitigate the loss of revenue from untested months.
- Ensure LAZ properly tracks and reviews all manual gate lifts.
- Consider implementing a monetary penalty for unauthorized manual gate lifts.

INTRODUCTION

Audit Authority

The lease agreement (lease) between the City and County of San Francisco (City) and LAZ Parking LLC (LAZ) for it to operate the Vallejo Parking Garage (Vallejo Garage) authorizes the City and its representatives to audit all accounts and records established under the lease. The San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 10.6-2, grants the Office of the Controller (Controller) the authority to audit departments to ensure they adequately manage their agreements for leased property. Also, the San Francisco Charter provides the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA) with broad authority to conduct audits. This audit was conducted under these authorities and pursuant to an audit plan agreed to by the Controller and the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA). CSA engaged Sjoberg Evashenk Consulting, Inc., (SEC) to audit the lease between the City and LAZ under which it operates the Vallejo Garage and to assess SFMTA's management of the lease.

Background

The Vallejo Garage is a public parking garage located at 766 Vallejo Street in San Francisco. LAZ's current lease to operate the garage commenced on February 1, 2012, and was set to expire on January 31, 2018. In 2018 and 2019, the City extended the lease, which now expires on January 31, 2021.

Under the Parking and Revenue Control Systems (PARCS) initiative, SFMTA has updated nearly all 22 city-owned parking garages with new parking equipment and software to enhance the efficiency and safety of the garages. As part of PARCS, the SKIDATA system (SKIDATA), an automated parking access and revenue control system, was installed at the Vallejo Garage in 2017 and has allowed the garage to automate most payment processes. Other technological upgrades include the addition of an Automated License Plate Recognition (ALPR) system, a camera system that converts the image of a license plate to computer-readable data. The ALPR system collects data for calculating parking fees, issuing citations, and re-issuing lost tickets. According to SFMTA's website, all final parking garage updates are scheduled to be completed by fall 2020.

SFMTA manages and oversees the City's public, off-street parking garages. The City delegated authority to SFMTA to oversee the activities of the operators responsible for the daily management and operations of the parking garages. SFMTA is responsible for reviewing and approving parking garage budgets and operational expenses,

conducting garage inspections, and ensuring the operators adhere to the terms and provisions of their leases.

LAZ is responsible for the supervision and oversight of operational activities at the Vallejo Garage and ensuring revenues generated and operational expenses incurred through the garage are appropriately remitted and reported to the City. LAZ remits to the City daily all Vallejo Garage revenue, including transient parking and monthly parking revenue, and submits monthly requests for reimbursement for operational expenses, including staff salaries and benefits.

Objectives

The purpose of this audit was to determine whether LAZ:

- Reported and correctly submitted to SFMTA all revenues collected from the operation of the Vallejo Garage;
- Calculated and correctly reported all of its operating expenses; and,
- Complied with other provisions of its lease with the City.

The audit also evaluated whether SFMTA's contract management practices and procedures adequately ensured that LAZ complied with certain lease provisions.

Scope and Methodology

The audit covered July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2019. To meet the audit objectives, the audit team:

- Reviewed the applicable terms of the lease between the City and LAZ.
- Assessed LAZ's internal controls and procedures over collecting, recording, summarizing, and reporting gross revenues and expenditures, including day-end close-out practices associated with verification of amounts collected and preparing the daily deposit.
- For the months of December 2017, May 2018, December 2018, and May 2019, traced transient and monthly revenue¹ collected to SFMTA's bank accounts and determined whether expenses were accurately and appropriately billed to SFMTA and were supported with sufficient documentation.
- Evaluated controls associated with SKIDATA.

¹ Auditors did not test monthly parking revenue for December 2017 because the Vallejo Garage did not offer monthly parking in that month.

Statement of Auditing Standards

SEC conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that auditors plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. SEC believes that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

AUDIT RESULTS

Summary

From July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2019, LAZ reported to SFMTA total operating revenues of \$1,436,028 and expenses of \$700,369. Exhibit 1 summarizes the Vallejo Garage's revenues, expenditures, and operating income for the audit period.

Exhibit 1 Vallejo Garage Operating Revenues and Expenses July 1, 2017, Through June 30, 2019			
Reporting Period	Revenues	Expenses	Operating Income (Revenues Less Expenses)
July 1, 2017 – June 30, 2018	\$732,954	\$397,900	\$335,054
July 1, 2018 – June 30, 2019	\$703,074	\$302,469	\$400,605
Total	\$1,436,028	\$700,369	\$735,659

Source: June 2018 and June 2019 Monthly Summary Reports

The audit found that, in general, LAZ appropriately performed most parking garage activities at the Vallejo Garage, in accordance with the lease. Also, SKIDATA allowed the garage to automate many traditional cash-handling procedures, such as transient revenue collection, physical parking ticket reconciliation, and cashier drawer closeout. Further, SFMTA and LAZ have recently implemented several process improvements, such as reconciling active cards in the monthly parking system, PARIS, to active cards in SKIDATA to ensure revenue is properly collected from all monthly parkers with access to the garage.

However, the audit identified the following areas that warrant improvement:

- LAZ did not properly assess monthly parker late fees.
- The process to record manual gate lifts should be improved.

Finding 1

LAZ Did Not Properly Assess Monthly Parker Late Fees

In addition to transient parkers who pay an hourly or daily parking fee, the Vallejo Garage offers parking options for a monthly fee, such as motorcycle, carpool, evening and regular 24-hour access. Monthly parkers execute a monthly parking agreement with LAZ and receive SKIDATA access cards that grant access to the garage. A review of monthly parking documents revealed that LAZ did not assess late fees in accordance with SFMTA's parking regulations.

Specifically, in 2017 Section 3.1(b)(i) of the SFMTA parking regulations was updated to stipulate that monthly parking fees shall be assessed on the second day of each month. However, our review of monthly parking payments for December 2017, May 2018, and May 2019 revealed LAZ did not assess late fees until the fifth day of each month. LAZ stated that it was unaware that the late fee policy in the parking regulations had changed in 2017. However, documents show that SFMTA informed LAZ of the updated regulations in 2017 and that LAZ reviewed and commented on the final version of the regulations.

Because LAZ did not assess late fees until the fifth of each month, SFMTA lost \$190 in late fee revenue for the three months reviewed. Although this amount is minimal, the total amount of revenue lost is likely higher because LAZ assessed monthly parking late fees on the fifth of each month for several years after the regulations changed in 2017. Due to the minimal amount of revenue in question and time that would have been needed to determine the late fees for the entire audit period, the audit did not determine the amount of revenue lost for the entire audit period. However, the average monthly revenue loss in the three tested months was \$63. Extrapolating this average, the potential loss was \$756 per year in late fee revenue, which equals 0.1 percent of total revenue.

Recommendations

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency should:

1. Require LAZ Parking LLC to charge late fees in accordance with the parking regulations.
2. Collect \$190 in uncollected late fee revenue from LAZ Parking LLC and determine whether additional steps should be taken to mitigate the loss of revenue for untested months.

Finding 2

Process to Record Manual Gate Lifts Should Be Improved

SKIDATA has several features to control exceptions to the normal ticketing process, such as recording all instances where the gate is lifted manually on the system daily report. According to LAZ, all exceptions are explained in a printed exception log and reviewed by LAZ management, including those associated with manual gate lifts. According to SFMTA, manual gate lifts can be completed remotely from SFMTA's centralized command center, where garages are monitored, or on-site at the garage, either from a workstation or directly at the gate with a key. The command center maintains a separate exception log to record the remote gate lifts, and staff were instructed to contact garage staff to update the on-site exception log.

To determine whether LAZ's process to record and review manual gate lifts in the exception log is working as intended, the audit compared the exceptions reported in the January 2020 SKIDATA monthly system report to the exceptions noted in the on-site printed exception log. One of the three manual gate lifts in the system report is not recorded in the log; only two lifts are recorded in the garage's on-site exception log, and both entries include explanations.

To streamline the process to track exceptions and reduce duplicative processes, SFMTA recently implemented a centralized exception log accessible online by both garage operators and command center staff to record and review all exceptions to the normal ticketing process.

Recommendations

3. The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency should ensure LAZ Parking LLC properly tracks and reviews all manual gate lifts.
4. Consider implementing a monetary penalty for unauthorized manual gate lifts.

ATTACHMENT A: SFMTA RESPONSE



London Breed, Mayor

Gwyneth Borden, Chair
Amanda Eaken, Vice Chair

Cheryl Brinkman, Director
Steve Heminger, Director

Jeffrey Tumlin, Director of Transportation

June 26, 2020

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Director of Audits
City Hall, Room 476
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: SFMTA North Beach Parking Garage and SFMTA Vallejo Parking Garage Audits

Dear Mr. De la Rosa:

We have reviewed the draft reports for the above-named audits and have included our responses to the recommendations in the attached documents.

I would like to thank you and your audit team for the work conducted during these important audits. If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at (415) 701-4720.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Jeffrey Tumlin'.

Jeffrey Tumlin
Director of Transportation

Recommendations and Responses

For each recommendation, the responsible agency should indicate in the column labeled **Agency Response** whether it concurs, does not concur, or partially concurs and provide a brief explanation. If it concurs with the recommendation, it should indicate the expected implementation date and implementation plan. If the responsible agency does not concur or partially concurs, it should provide an explanation and an alternate plan of action to address the identified issue.

Recommendation	Agency Response	CSA Use Only Status Determination*
The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency should:		
1. Require LAZ Parking LLC to charge late fees in accordance with the parking regulations.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Do Not Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Partially Concur SFMTA directed LAZ to update its process. LAZ implemented that change as of May 2020.	<input type="checkbox"/> Open <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Closed <input type="checkbox"/> Contested
2. Collect \$190 in uncollected late fee revenue from LAZ Parking LLC and determine whether additional steps should be taken to mitigate the loss of revenue for untested months.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Do Not Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Partially Concur SFMTA directed LAZ to reimburse SFMTA \$190. SFMTA will also work with LAZ to review the detail from untested months and determine if further reimbursement is warranted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open <input type="checkbox"/> Closed <input type="checkbox"/> Contested
3. Ensure LAZ Parking LLC properly tracks and reviews all manual gate lifts.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Do Not Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Partially Concur SFMTA, LAZ and Skidata are collaborating to address this issue. A final procedure will be approved by SFMTA by 9/30/2020.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open <input type="checkbox"/> Closed <input type="checkbox"/> Contested
4. Consider implementing a monetary penalty for unauthorized manual gate lifts.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Do Not Concur <input type="checkbox"/> Partially Concur SFMTA will review this issue in detail and consider adding a monetary penalty for manual gate lifts to the next iteration of the Parking Regulations that govern garage operations.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open <input type="checkbox"/> Closed <input type="checkbox"/> Contested

ATTACHMENT B: LAZ PARKING LLC RESPONSE



July 13th, 2020

Mark de la Rosa
Acting Chief Audit Executive
City Hall, Room 476
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mr. de la Rosa:

We have received and reviewed the draft audit report, *San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency: LAZ Parking LLC Needs to Improve Some Controls to Strengthen its Operations at the Vallejo Garage*. LAZ will implement and practice the recommendations provided.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 415-602-4466.

Cordially,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "C. Askins".

Christian Askins
Operations Manager

**Vallejo
Garage**
766 Vallejo St.
San Francisco, CA
94133

P: 415.399.9564

www.lazparking.com

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: 2019 EPPO Buy Green Report
Date: Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:23:00 PM
Attachments: [2020 SFE Buy Green Report.pdf](#)

From: Sweiss, Joseph (ENV) <joseph.sweiss@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 9:52 AM
To: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: 2019 EPPO Buy Green Report

Hi Alisa,

Each year, SFE issues the attached "Buy Green Report", required by the Environmentally Preferable Purchasing Ordinance (EPPO). This is simply for the Board's records.

Thank you, and please let me know if you have any questions.

Best,

Joseph Sweiss
Policy & Communications
San Francisco Dept. of the Environment



2019 Annual Buy Green Report for San Francisco City Departments

Prepared by the San Francisco Department of the Environment (SFE) Green Purchasing Program
Presented to the San Francisco Commission on the Environment
June 10, 2020

Table of Contents

- I. Executive Summary..... 2
- II. Background 2
- III. City staff champions 3
- IV. 2019 Projects 3
- V. City Green Purchasing Trends: Focus on IT..... 5
- VI. Next steps 10

I. Executive Summary

Several San Francisco City ordinances, most notably the [Environmentally Preferable Purchasing Ordinance](#) (EPPO), require that City departments purchase only green products under certain circumstances. The EPPO requires that the San Francisco Department of the Environment (SFE) implement the ordinances' mandates, which include filing this annual report.

SFE's Green Purchasing Program 2019 highlights include the below projects and initiatives. See Section IV for more information on each.

- Worked to strengthen a leading third-party environmental product certification
- Launched the City Sustainable Purchasing Committee*
- Piloted rechargeable landscaping equipment*
- Assisted in developing a C40 Cities case study on San Francisco's carpet regulation
- Assisted in implementing the Single-Use Foodware, Plastics, Toxics, and Litter Reduction Ordinance
- Updated the City's information technology purchasing policy
- Added health and environmental specifications to nine contracts, including two new paint contracts
- Updated guidance on furniture purchases
- Blocked noncompliant office supplies in online store
- Worked on system to promote green purchasing via the Financial Systems Project (FSP)
- Presentations and trainings to City staff and beyond

Each year, we conduct an in-depth analysis of certain products the City purchases. For 2019, we analyzed purchases of information technology (IT) products. Sales reports show that the percentage of information technology (IT) products purchased decreased from 83% to 58% in 2019. Part of this decrease is due to the adoption of more rigorous purchasing requirements and expansion of product categories covered. In the coming year, SFE staff will explore opportunities to improve green purchasing performance with City IT managers.

II. Background

History and mandate

Green products are less toxic, more energy efficient, more recyclable and/or compostable, have higher levels of recycled content, and have less impact on climate change than comparable alternative products. The EPPO, also known as the Precautionary Purchasing Ordinance (Environment Code, Chapter 2), was passed in 2005 and is the umbrella ordinance governing City government procurement of green products. Additionally, the Resource Conservation Ordinance (Env. Code, Chapter 5) mandates that departments maximize purchases of recycled products and the Green Building Ordinance (Env. Code, Chapter 7) mandates green purchasing requirements for interior finishes of City construction projects, including furniture, carpets, and paints. SFE leads the implementation of these mandates through its Green Purchasing Program, in collaboration with the Office of Contract Administration (OCA) and other City departments.

How green product specifications are developed

SFE works with City departments and OCA to develop green requirements for targeted City commodity and service contracts. These requirements range from purchasing products that meet existing standards or have ecolabels, such as Energy Star or Green Seal, to more detailed, independently developed technical specifications. In keeping with the Precautionary Principle Ordinance (Environment Code, Chapter 1), SFE seeks to prevent harm from certain products; conduct assessments of safest alternatives available to fulfill the same product function; and take a full-cost, life-cycle view of product costs and impacts.

The Green Purchasing Program seeks to develop purchasing criteria that satisfy three goals:

- Performance. Do compliant products work well? Are they durable and efficient? (Primarily addressed by product users)
- Impact. Do the products minimize impacts on worker health, public health, and the environment?

- Cost. Are compliant products cost-effective, including production, use, and disposal phases? (Primarily addressed by OCA)

Where City staff can find green products & specifications

SFE maintains the [SFApproved.org](https://sfapproved.org) website as a clearinghouse for compliant green products, health and environmental purchasing criteria, specifications, and other green purchasing information. Product categories in SFApproved.org are classified as either of the following:

✓ Required

For [Required product categories](#), City staff may only purchase products that meet Required specifications. Listed products meet all three goals above and usually have discounts on citywide term contracts. There are currently 34 product categories that are Required.

✓ Suggested

City staff are encouraged to buy products that meet Suggested environmental specifications and rate them at SFApproved.org, to help determine which products should be included in future contracts. SFE has researched environmental impacts of these products however, more work may be needed on cost and/or performance goals. There are currently 54 Suggested product categories.

III. City staff champions



This year's Buy Green champions are the Nutritional Services staff at Laguna Honda Hospital (LHH) and Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital (ZSFGH), especially Elvis Lavarreda, the Nutritional Services Director at LHH (pictured left) and Chef Michael Jenkins at ZSFGH (pictured right). Both Elvis and Michael have been outstanding champions of the Department's environmental initiatives. They have been great thought partners, collaborated closely with the Green Purchasing Team, and went above and beyond to ensure that the products in the foodware contract met both the hospitals' standards as well as environmentally preferable criteria. In addition, in 2019, both Elvis and Michael have participated in the development of a detailed baseline assessment of their food purchases, using the Center for Good Food Purchasing method. We applaud their efforts to both measure their current purchases, their ongoing efforts to source high quality, local produce and other food, and their dedication to continuous improvement.

IV. 2019 Projects

Worked to strengthen a prominent ecolabel

Green purchasing efforts rely heavily on rigorous, credible ecolabels. Most ecolabel organizations publish a list of products certified as meeting their requirements, making it easy for purchasers to evaluate vendors' compliance with bid

requirements. When no existing ecolabels meet San Francisco's environmental or health requirements, staff must verify compliance themselves, and create and update lists of compliant products; these are highly time- and resource-intensive tasks. SFE's carpet requirements are an example; though based on the Cradle to Cradle (C2C) version 3 ecolabel, San Francisco's additional requirements (for example, a prohibition on fluorinated chemicals) make independent verification necessary. For these reasons, SFE organized and submitted wide-ranging comments promoting stricter standards to C2C version 4 in its 2019 request for comments.

Launched the City Sustainable Purchasing Committee

The Green Purchasing Program convened a new, interdepartmental working group to work on citywide sustainable purchasing priorities, initiatives, process improvements, and communications, in keeping with recommendations from a consultant's program review in 2018. SFE hosted three meetings which had about 30 attendees each time and intends to continue convening the group on a quarterly basis. Meetings featured prominent speakers, including Matt Henigan, Deputy Secretary for Sustainability with California's Department of General Services, and Elizabeth Bagley, Director of Sustainability for California Academy of Sciences. The group exchanged ideas to help the City buy green, was apprised of new green City contracts, and developed a template for department-level green purchasing scorecards.

Piloted less polluting, rechargeable landscaping equipment

Gas-powered landscape maintenance equipment (string trimmers, blowers, etc.) pollute the air, emit greenhouse gasses, and pose a small but quantified cancer risk to workers. To address these issues, SFE organized pilot tests with Recreation and Parks and Public Works of battery powered landscaping equipment. The California Air Resources Board generously provided their "e-landscaping" equipment trailer, along with expertise to support the effort. Both departments are already using battery powered equipment on a limited basis; the pilot aimed to promote this equipment and identify highest performing brands. The departments are currently exploring whether a citywide contract would be helpful in this regard. SFE's ultimate goal is to improve worker health, reduce climate impact, and ensure that alternatives to herbicides are truly safe and effective.

Featured SF's carpet regulation in a C40 Cities case study

San Francisco's carpet [regulation](#) is among the strictest in the nation. It includes, among other requirements, a restriction on broadloom (rolled) carpet and cushion-backed carpet tiles, a requirement for minimum recycled content and Cradle-to-Cradle Silver certification, and a ban on additional toxic chemicals including PFASs. SFE updates [lists of over 100 compliant carpet tile lines and eight compliant carpet adhesives](#). SFE staff assisted C40 Cities in creating a case study on SF's carpet regulation. C40 is a network of the world's megacities committed to addressing climate change.

Assisted with implementing the 2019 Single-Use Foodware, Plastics, Toxics & Litter Reduction Ordinance

This Citywide ordinance aims to reduce plastic pollution and eliminating fluorinated chemicals. It requires that:

- All plastic straws (including compostable plastic ones) be restricted,
- All compostable foodware (including paper and fiber straws) be [BPI certified](#), except for napkins, stirrers, splash or cocktail sticks, toothpicks and utensils made of natural fiber.
- Single-use accessories (condiment packages and portion cups, cup lids and sleeves, cutlery, drink stirrers and plugs, and napkins) be available only upon request or at self-service stations; and
- Events with prepared drinks for more than 100 attendees on City property promote or provide reusable drink containers to at least 10% of attendees.

In support of City departments that must also comply with the ordinance, SFE compiled [a guidance document](#) for City purchases and SFApproved.org page [listing](#). SFE staff reviewed products in use and offered to the City's two hospital cafeterias.

Updated the City's Green Technology Purchasing Policy

In 2008, San Francisco became the first City in the nation to buy only computers on the EPEAT-Gold list. EPEAT lists products that are less-toxic, more efficient and recyclable. In 2019, SFE worked with the SF Committee on Information Technology (COIT) to adopt new IT purchasing requirements for servers, computers and monitors, and added two new

product categories (imaging equipment; televisions and large digital displays). The new requirements for computers and monitors were needed due to EPEAT's adoption of an updated, more rigorous standard for these products.

Added health & environmental specifications to nine contracts

SFE staff worked with OCA and city staff end users to provide specifications for:

1. Plastic and Compostable Plastic bin liners
2. Furniture (general)
3. Furniture (49 South Van Ness)
4. Information technology
5. Janitorial services
6. City Government refuse services
7. Lighting contract requiring LEDs
8. Paint for SFMTA
9. Paint for SFO

Updated guidance on furniture purchasing

SFE developed "Suggested" criteria for furniture purchases in 2018. In 2019, SFE updated [SFApproved.org](https://www.sfapproved.org/) to include "Green-Greener-Greenest" criteria for furniture, as well as lists of compliant furniture. These criteria include a prohibition on more than 5,000 Teflon-like chemicals (per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances, or PFASs) that are commonly added for their stain-, water-, and grease-resistant properties. PFASs are associated with cardiovascular toxicity and cancer, are extremely persistent, and can sometimes migrate out of products into our food and bodies. Since no ecolabels currently certify that furniture is PFAS free, purchasers must depend on manufacturers' claims to identify PFAS-free products. SFE submitted samples from one of the products claimed to be PFAS free to an independent lab, and learned that it contained the chemicals – further highlighting the need for rigorous third-party certifications.

Blocked noncompliant industrial and office supplies in online stores

One of the most efficient and effective ways to steer City staff to buy green is to block noncompliant products in online stores used by City departments. Using this approach, City purchasers are not burdened with checking environmental purchasing requirements, because the work has already been done for them. SFE has used this approach on a small scale for many years, but in the past three years has worked extensively with OCA and the current office supplies vendor, Staples, to expand the scope of product blocking. The current office supplies contract was awarded in 2016 and was the City's first effort to place the responsibility for blocking products on the contracted vendor. SFE's role is to spot-check key product categories to ensure that noncompliant products have been blocked from the online store. The results have been inconsistent, and SFE continues to work with the vendor to improve their system for meeting their contract obligation.

Steering City staff to buy green via the Financial Systems Project (FSP)

FSP is the City's comprehensive, citywide accounting, purchasing, and budgeting system that launched in 2018. SFE continues working with the Controller's Office to steer City staff to buy green in FSP and get detailed reports of products purchased. This effort is fundamental to integrating green purchasing into all aspects of City purchasing. These efforts have been slowed by the extensive and often urgent competing priorities faced by FSP administrators.

Delivered trainings and presentations

SFE gave presentations to City staff on the Green Purchasing Program and its mandates, how to find compliant products, and how SFE can make it easier for them to buy green. SFE gave 21 presentations and trainings to 686 people, including two national events.

V. City Green Purchasing Trends: Focus on IT


Impacts of stricter IT requirements

Overall purchases of green-compliant IT equipment declined in 2019 (see Figure 1), primarily due to a major redefinition of the City's green purchasing criteria. The City's new [Green Technology Purchasing Policy](#) went into effect in February 2019 for all product categories except computers/laptops/monitors, which went into effect July 1, 2019. With computers/laptops/monitors, the previous City requirement was EPEAT-Gold; however, EPEAT transitioned to the new, much more rigorous IEEE 1680.1 standard as of July 1. Because there were very few EPEAT Silver or Gold compliant products available on July 1, the City requirement was reduced to EPEAT-Bronze on that date. Since the change in City purchasing requirement took effect halfway through the year, this report categorizes EPEAT-Bronze and above products as compliant. Figure 2 shows the percentage of compliant or "green" computer/laptop/monitor purchases dropped from 82% in 2017 to 63% in 2019, possibly as a result of a dramatic drop in the number of EPEAT-registered products after July 1. However, manufacturers quickly responded and registered more products, and by the end of 2019, SFE's review concluded that the City computer standard can be raised again to EPEAT-Silver in 2020. This change is pending approval by the Committee on Information Technology (COIT).

Figure 1.




Figure 2.



Other 2019 IT purchasing criteria are also significantly more rigorous than in the past. Before 2019, the (non-mandatory) criteria for computer servers was simply that they be Energy Star-listed; the new criteria was set to EPEAT-Bronze (NSF/ANSI

426 – 2017 standard), which incorporates Energy Star along with a long list of other requirements. This higher standard accounts for the significant drop in compliant server purchases in 2019, from 100% to 29% (see Figure 3). However, if Energy Star registered purchases were still considered compliant in 2019, the percentage green purchases would be 89%.

Figure 3.



Prior to 2019, there were no mandatory purchasing requirements for imaging equipment or televisions/large digital displays. For imaging equipment, EPEAT-Gold registration is now required (IEEE 1680.2a - 2017 standard), and for TVs/large digital displays EPEAT-Bronze (IEEE 1680.3a – 2017 standard) or Energy Star are required. The percentage of compliance EPEAT purchases was very low (10%) for imaging equipment. If Energy Star and lower-tier EPEAT products were considered compliant in 2019, the percentage green purchases of imaging equipment would be 86% (see Figure 4). Purchases of TVs/large digital displays were quite small in 2019, but the percentage compliance was extremely low (2%). The reasons for these low compliance rates are still unclear and will be explored in the coming year.

Figure 4.





Figure 5.



Department compliance

Department purchases of IT products varied widely, as did their compliance with the new 2019 Green Technology Purchasing Policy. Departments whose mission includes very specialized equipment, such as Emergency Management or Elections, were likely to have lower percentages of green product purchases due to the unavailability of certified products. Table 1 shows departments and how well they complied with IT purchasing requirements.

Table 1. IT Green Purchasing Compliance, by Department

Department	Total IT Purchases (\$)	Percentage of City's Total IT Purchases	Percentage Green
Adult Probation	50,077	1%	86%
Airport	1,385,050	12%	75%
Arts Commission	675	0%	100%
Assessor-Recorder	34,025	0%	68%
Board of Appeals	1,275	0%	0%
Building Inspection	137,741	0%	7%
Child Support Services	3,531	0%	0%
Children Youth & Families	15,185	0%	61%
City Administrator's Office	257,100	2%	75%
City Attorney	65,431	0%	9%
City Hall	107,237	1%	61%
Controller's Office	121,394	1%	100%
District Attorney	31,677	0%	6%
Elections	84,217	0%	0%
Emergency Management	107,171	0%	17%
Ethics Commission	10,695	0%	0%
Fire	237,378	1%	40%
General Services Agency	651,772	7%	93%
Health Service System	15,365	0%	97%
Homelessness and Supportive Housing	43,283	1%	100%
Human Resources	10,264	0%	87%
Human Services	888,825	5%	48%
Juvenile Probation	1,082	0%	57%
Law Library	3,980	0%	0%
Library	768,702	5%	58%
Mayor's Office of Community Development	61,487	1%	100%
Municipal Transportation Agency	3,115	0%	100%
Office of Contracts Administration	67,204	0%	0%
Planning	83,539	1%	100%
Police	567,639	1%	21%
Port	72,760	0%	32%
Public Health	5,249	0%	76%
Public Works	906,004	7%	69%
PUC	345,525	1%	27%
Rec & Park	83,910	1%	94%
Redevelopment Agency	1,784	0%	38%
Sheriff	11,341	0%	0%
Technology	585,619	1%	9%
Treasurer/Tax Collector	3,580	0%	100%
Unknown	729,422	8%	92%
War Memorial	12,790	0%	71%
Grand Total	8,574,101	58%	58%

Vendor compliance

There are currently nine vendors listed on the Citywide contract for IT, seven of which sold products in the categories covered by the Green Technology Purchasing Policy (see Table 2 for a list of vendors and percent of compliant sales). Contract language requires these vendors to restrict sales of non-green products, and to submit sales data to the City.

Historically, vendor-provided data has been difficult to obtain and is sometimes incomplete and/or inaccurate. This year, data was provided by all vendors.

Table 2.
IT Compliance, by Vendor

Vendor	Total IT Sales (\$)	Total Green Sales (\$)	Percentage Green
Ameritech	198,622	101,633	51%
BridgeMicro	399,564	79,914	20%
Cland	2,921,829	1,978,702	68%
Insight	1,134,670	947,431	83%
SoftNet	1,098,839	768,521	70%
Xtech	1,311,064	1,131,786	86%
Zones	1,509,512	4,879	0%
Grand Total	8,574,101	5,012,865	58%

VI. Next steps

In the coming year, SFE's Green Purchasing Program will focus on the following projects:

1. Update the existing purchasing requirements for carpet.
2. Pilot test Directors' Scorecards for green purchasing, together with the City Sustainable Purchasing Committee.
3. Follow up on departmental efforts to convert to rechargeable landscaping equipment.
4. Update the City's Green Technology Purchasing Policy to reflect changes in the EPEAT system, and explore possible improvements in departmental compliance for TVs and large displays.
5. Create new green purchasing regulations for paints and resilient flooring, in conjunction with the Paints and Flooring Green Teams.
6. Update the City's office supplies contract, and continue to seek improvements in the system of blocking noncompliant products.
7. Continue to work with the Controllers Office to integrate green purchasing into FSP.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Post-Election Public Financing Report
Date: Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:00:00 PM
Attachments: [Post Election Report on Public Financing - 2019 Election Report Transmittal.pdf](#)

From: Pelham, Leeann (ETH) <leeann.pelham@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2020 8:45 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Ford, Patrick (ETH) <patrick.ford@sfgov.org>
Subject: Post-Election Public Financing Report

Good Morning Clerk Calvillo,

Attached is our transmittal to the Board of Supervisors of the Ethics Commission's post-election report on public financing in the November 2019 elections, which was released at the Commission's recent July 10 meeting.

Please feel free to be in touch with any questions.

I hope all continues to be well with you and your team.

Regards,
LeeAnn



ETHICS COMMISSION

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOREEN AMBROSE
CHAIR

July 20, 2020

By Electronic Mail Only

YVONNE LEE
VICE-CHAIR

The Honorable London Breed, Mayor
The Honorable Board of Supervisors
Attn: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

DAINA CHIU
COMMISSIONER

City and County of San Francisco
City Hall

FERN M. SMITH
COMMISSIONER

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

LARRY BUSH
COMMISSIONER

Dear Mayor Breed and Honorable Board Members:

LEEANN PELHAM
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

This transmits for your information the Ethics Commission's report, *San Francisco's Limited Public Financing Program for the November 2019 Election*, which was issued at the Commission's July 10, 2020 Regular Meeting.

As you know, San Francisco's voluntary system of limited public financing for City offices was first enacted through Proposition O, a ballot measure approved by the voters in November 2000. Prop. O established public financing for candidates for the Board of Supervisors and in the 2006 the program was extended in City law to include Mayoral candidates.

Section 1.156 of the San Francisco Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code requires a post-election report by the Ethics Commission on the use of public funds. The report is required to include data on the number of participating and non-participating candidates; the number of candidates who received public funding; the amount of public funds disbursed; the amount of qualified campaign expenditures made by all candidates; and the amount of independent expenditures made in connection with the election. The report may also provide any other relevant information the Commission may wish to include. For this report, the data presented is based on information reported in disclosure statements covering the start of candidates' campaigns through December 31, 2019.

As part of its mandate to periodically review the laws it administers and enforces, the Ethics Commission undertook a comprehensive review of the public financing program in two phases from July 2018 through October 2019. That review resulted in the enactment of two ordinances, three sets of regulation amendments, improved compliance materials, and refinements to the program's administrative processes. While some targeted provisions to strengthen the administration of the program took effect in time for the November 2019 election, changes to a number of fundamental features of the program were operative only beginning with the November 2020 election cycle. As a result, the Commission will seek to assess the effects of those programmatic changes in its future reports.

Please feel free to contact me or Senior Policy and Legislative Affairs Counsel Pat Ford if you have any questions about the report or would like any further information from our office.

Sincerely,

LeeAnn Pelham

LeeAnn Pelham
Executive Director



**ETHICS COMMISSION
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

**Report on
San Francisco's Public Campaign Financing Program
November 5, 2019 Election**

San Francisco Ethics Commission
25 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 220
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
415.252.3100
ETHICS.COMMISSION@SFGOV.ORG
SFETHICS.ORG

June 30, 2020

Patrick Ford
Senior Policy and Legislative Affairs Counsel

I. Introduction

The Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code requires that “[f]ollowing each election at which the Mayor or members of the Board of Supervisors are elected, the Ethics Commission shall submit a report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors” that provides certain information about the use of the public financing program in that election.¹ In the November 5, 2019 election, voters selected candidates for the offices of Mayor and District 5 Supervisor. The Ethics Commission prepared this report for the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to provide information about the operation of the public financing program in both of these races.

The data presented in this report is based on information reported in campaign disclosure statements covering the start of candidates’ campaigns through December 31, 2019, the last date for which information is available at the time of writing. The report also includes information from disclosures filed by independent committees and from Commission records of public funds disbursements to participating candidates who qualified to receive public funds.

II. Program Goals and Overview

San Francisco’s voluntary program of limited public campaign financing for City candidates was first established by Proposition O, a ballot measure approved by the voters in November 2000. Prop O established public financing for candidates for the Board of Supervisors, and in 2006 the program was expanded to include Mayoral candidates.

A. Program Goals

The City’s public campaign financing program serves many important public policy goals. The program seeks to ensure that candidates with a demonstrated level of community support can secure sufficient resources to mount a viable campaign. In doing so, public financing reduces candidates’ dependence on private contributions and encourages candidates to spend less on their campaigns, both of which lessen the potential for and appearance of undue influence by contributors and serves to improve the public’s trust in local government. Public financing also seeks to enable candidates to spend less time fundraising and more time interacting with voters and engaging in discussions on important issues. The program also enables some candidates who might not otherwise be able to fund a viable campaign to do so. This enhances the diversity of the field of candidates running for elective office. The availability of public matching funds also encourages citizens to be more politically active by incentivizing and empowering small-dollar contributions. By supporting candidates who have community support, public financing can also lead to more competitive races, which is important in ensuring quality representation of constituents.

B. Program Overview

San Francisco’s public financing system is funded through the Election Campaign Fund (the “Fund”) established by the City’s Campaign Finance Reform Ordinance (“CFRO”). Under CFRO, the Fund receives a General Fund appropriation of \$2.75 per resident each fiscal year.² When a special election is held to fill a vacancy for the office of Mayor or Supervisor, CFRO may require additional appropriations into the

¹ Campaign & Gov. Conduct Code § 1.156.

² *Id.* at § 1.138(b).

Fund to ensure that sufficient funds are available to allow for the program's effectiveness in that election.³ Additional appropriations may occur before a regularly scheduled election, as well, if the fund's balance does not meet prescribed statutory minimums.⁴ At the outset of the FY20 fiscal year on July 1, 2019, the fund held approximately \$11,462,800.

Eligibility and Program Qualification

To establish eligibility to receive public financing, candidates must demonstrate a base of community support by raising a minimum number and total amount of contributions from City residents. To be certified for public funding in the 2019 election, a non-incumbent supervisorial candidate was required to raise contributions of at least \$10,000 from at least 100 City residents, while an incumbent candidate was required to raise at least \$15,000 in qualifying contributions from at least 150 residents. A non-incumbent mayoral candidate was required to raise contributions totaling \$50,000 from at least 500 residents, and an incumbent was required to raise \$75,000 from at least 750 residents. Only contributions of \$10 to \$100 counted as qualifying contributions. These qualifying contributions had to be received by the candidate no earlier than eighteen months before the date of the election. Candidates had to abide by a campaign spending limit, could not accept loans from others, could contribute only a limited amount of their own funds to their campaigns, and had to agree to debate their opponents. Finally, in order to qualify, a candidate had to be opposed by another candidate who had received contributions or made expenditures over a certain amount.

Public Funds Provided

Once certified as eligible for the program, candidates receive an initial grant. In the 2019 election, Supervisorial candidates received an initial grant of \$20,000, while mayoral candidates received an initial grant of \$100,000.⁵ After receiving the initial grant, candidates received matching funds distributed at either a two-to-one or one-to-one ratio for every dollar of contributions received. For example, for each dollar of privately raised contributions up to \$50,000, a non-incumbent supervisorial candidate received two dollars from the fund, up to a maximum of \$100,000. For each additional dollar of contributions raised thereafter, the candidate received public funds on a one-to-one match until reaching the maximum amount, which is \$155,000 for non-incumbent supervisorial candidates. The maximum amount of public funds that a supervisorial candidate could receive was \$155,000 (non-incumbents) or \$152,500 (incumbents), and the maximum amount that a mayoral candidate could receive was \$975,000 (non-incumbents) or \$962,500 (incumbents).

Spending Limit Adjustments

Based on spending activity in the race, a candidate's spending limit (the Individual Expenditure Ceiling or "IEC") must be raised by the Ethics Commission. This provision intends for candidates who are bound by a spending limit to have the ability to respond when independent expenditures and opponent

³ *Id.* at § 1.138(b)(3)–(4).

⁴ *Id.* at § 1.154(b)(1)–(2). CFRO sets \$7.50 per resident, plus fifteen percent for administrative costs, as the minimum for a regularly scheduled mayoral election and \$1.50 per resident plus fifteen percent as the minimum for a regular supervisorial election.

⁵ As discussed in Section II.C, *supra*, the amounts of the initial grant and the matching ratio will be increased for the 2020 election.

fundraising exceed the candidate's initial IEC. Three factors may necessitate an increase to a candidate's spending limit: (1) contributions received by the candidate's best funded opponent, (2) independent expenditures in support of the candidate's best funded opponent, and (3) independent expenditures in opposition of the candidate. If these three factors, together, exceed the candidate's current spending limit by any amount, then the candidate's spending limit must be increased. Spending limits are adjusted daily on a candidate-by-candidate basis. The spending limits of supervisorial candidates are adjusted in increments of \$50,000, and the spending limits of mayoral candidates are adjusted in increments of at least \$250,000. In the 2019 election, each supervisorial candidate's IEC started at \$250,000.⁶

C. Ethics Commission's Review of the Public Financing Project

From July 2018 to October 2019, the Ethics Commission engaged in a comprehensive review of the City's public campaign finance program. The review was undertaken in two phases. The first phase, which began in June 2018 and concluded in early 2019, sought to deepen the program's impact without making significant changes to the program's basic features. The narrow and targeted nature of this phase was designed to enable quick implementation of those changes in order to be completed in time for the November 2019 election. The second phase, which took place during 2019, examined the more fundamental features of the program to strengthen the program's impact in future elections. The changes to the program brought about through Phase II were not in place for the November 2019 election. The first election for which the Phase II changes will be operative is the November 2020 election. Both phases of the review project are discussed below.

1. Phase I – Administrative Features

Phase I sought to identify features of the Program that created undue complexity, confusion, or requirements on participating candidates while not yielding a corresponding policy benefit. The goal was to identify ways in which the Program's effectiveness and workability could be improved to support broad candidate participation in the program and strengthen the program's impact on participating candidates.

The features of the Program addressed in Phase I were those that candidates and the Commission observed to be problematic for candidates during the June and November elections in 2018. Through formal appeals, public comment, questions, concerns and feedback, there was a clear indication that candidates, treasurers, and members of the public were experiencing some frustration with these aspects of the Program. Phase I responded to these concerns by analyzing these Program features and identifying ways to improve them while still maintaining the current structure and parameters of the Program. Staff endeavored to complete the Phase I improvements in time for them to be in place for the November 2019 election.

The improvements created through Phase I took multiple forms:

- (1) a set of revised regulations to provide greater clarity about various program rules and requirements, which the Commission approved at its regularly scheduled November 2018 meeting and which became operative in January 2019;

⁶ *Id.* at § 1.143 (as amended by [File No. 190287](#)). Beginning in the 2020 election, supervisorial candidates' IEC will begin at \$350,000, as discussed in Section II.C, *supra*.

- (2) reexamination of administrative aspects of the program to ensure that the program continues to perform in a predictable, efficient, and fair manner;
- (3) improvements to the written resources that are available to Program participants to provide more detailed information about how to qualify for the program and comply with its rules; and
- (4) an ordinance that would change certain procedural features of the program that are established by statute.

The Phase I ordinance was operative for the November 2019 election.⁷ The new program rules that were in effect for this election were:

- The deadline for the Statement of Participation was changed to three days after the deadline to file nomination papers (previously the deadline for both filings was the same day);
- The IEC adjustment mechanism was changed so that changes would be triggered when opponent financial activity exceeds a candidate's current IEC by any amount, rather than by a minimum dollar amount (previously \$10,000 for Board candidates and \$50,000 for Mayoral candidates);
- The IEC adjustment mechanism was changed so that adjustments would be done in larger increments; and
- Candidates were allowed to maintain all contributions in a single committee account (previously candidates had to maintain a separate account for funds that exceeded the candidate's current IEC). This change did not affect the amount of spending that a candidate could undertake.

2. Phase II – Core Program Features

Phase II analyzed the basic parameters of the Program, including, among other things, the total amount of public funding that candidates can qualify to receive, the requirements for qualifying for the Program, the ratio at which private contributions are matched with public money (both via an initial grant and subsequent contribution matching), the initial spending limit that applies to participants, and whether any alternative model of public financing, such as democracy vouchers, would be more effective. The goal of Phase II was to evaluate how well the program was achieving its policy goals and to identify adjustments that could be made to improve the program without increasing its overall cost.

The ordinance that was approved following Phase II was not in effect for the November 2019 election.⁸ Beginning with the November 2020 election, the new rules:

- Increase the total amount of public financing that a candidate can receive for Supervisorial candidates (from \$155,000 to \$255,000) and for Mayoral candidates (from \$975,000 to \$1,200,000);
- Increase the matching ratio for public funds from 2:1 to 6:1;
- Restrict the amount of a contribution that can be matched with public funds from \$500 to \$150; and
- Increase the initial Individual Expenditure Ceiling for Supervisorial candidates (from \$250,000 to \$350,000) and Mayoral candidates (\$1,475,000 to \$1,700,000).

⁷ [File No. 190287](#).

⁸ [File No. 190660](#).

III. Information Regarding the November 2019 Election

November 2019 was the regularly scheduled election for the office of Mayor. In addition, because of the vacancy created on the Board of Supervisors, District 5 by London Breed's election as Mayor in June 2018, a special election to fill that Supervisorial vacancy was also held in November 2019.

A. Candidates

In the Mayoral race, six candidates appeared on the November ballot, but none of the candidates applied for public financing. In the race to represent District 5 on the Board of Supervisors, four candidates appeared on the ballot. Two of these candidates applied for public financing, and both were certified as eligible and received public funds.

Table 1 – Candidates in November 2019 Election

Seat	Candidates on the Ballot	Candidates Applied for Public Financing	Candidates Received Public Financing
Mayor	6	0	0
District 8	4	2	2
Total	10	2	2

B. Candidate Fundraising, Public Financing, and Spending

Table 2 provides data regarding the spending, fundraising, and public funding levels for the candidates who appeared on the ballot for the Mayoral race. Adding together all candidates in the race, the candidates raised \$763,387 in contributions. The table provides each candidate's total expenditures made in the race, which includes cash payments made, loans received, and unpaid debts incurred by the candidate's committee. In the aggregate, candidates spent \$743,281 in the 2019 Mayor's race.

Table 2 – Public Funds, Contributions, and Spending by Mayoral Candidates in the November 2019 Election

Candidate Name	Public Funds	Contributions	Total Funds	Total Expenditures
London Breed*	-	\$623,729	\$623,729	\$630,049
Ellen Lee Zhou	-	\$98,533	\$98,533	\$97,720
Joel Ventrasca	-	\$32,880	\$32,880	\$7,432
Paul Ybarra Robertson	-	\$8,245	\$8,245	\$8,080
Wilma Pang**	-	-	-	-
Robert L. Jordan Jr.**	-	-	-	-
Total	\$0	\$763,387	\$763,387	\$743,281

* Indicates candidate elected

** Indicates candidate did not report reaching \$2,000 in campaign activity and was therefore not required to file full campaign disclosure statements.

Table 3 provides data regarding the spending, fundraising, and public funding levels for the candidates who appeared on the ballot for the District 5 race. In total, two candidates received \$307,500 in public financing. Public financing represented roughly 31 percent of Vallie Brown's total funds and 35 percent of Dean Preston's total funds. Both candidates received the maximum amount of public funds available to them, which differed depending on the candidate's status as an incumbent or non-incumbent. In total, the candidates in the District 5 race reported receiving \$939,929 in total funds (including public financing) and spending \$935,675.

Table 3 – Public Funds, Contributions, and Spending by D5 Candidates in the November 2019 Election

Candidate Name	Public Funds	Contributions	Total Funds	Public Funds as % of Total Funds	Total Expenditures
Dean Preston*	\$155,000	\$288,221	\$443,221	35.0%	\$437,825
Vallie Brown	\$152,500	\$344,208	\$496,708	30.7%	\$497,850
Ryan Lam**	-	-	-	-	-
Nomvula O'Meara**	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$307,500	\$632,429	\$939,929		\$935,675

* Indicates candidate elected

** Indicates candidate did not report reaching \$2,000 in campaign activity and was therefore not required to file full campaign disclosure statements.

C. Candidate Spending Limits

Although candidates who receive public financing must agree to abide to a limit on their campaign's expenditures, each candidate's individual expenditure ceiling, or IEC, must be increased by the Ethics Commission if campaign activity in the race reaches a certain level. Table 4 indicates the number of IEC adjustments for each publicly financed candidate and the final level of each candidate's spending limit. IECs were adjusted eleven times during the November 2019 election.

Table 4 – Spending Limit (IEC) Adjustments for Publicly Financed Candidates – November 2019

Candidate	Date of First IEC Increase	Number of IEC Increases	Highest Adjusted IEC
Dean Preston (D5)	9/30/2019	7	\$750,000
Vallie Brown (D5)	9/30/2019	4	\$550,000
Total		11	

D. Third-Party Spending

During the 90 days immediately preceding an election, third-parties (i.e. individuals or groups that are not candidate committees) are required to file a report any time they make independent expenditures totaling \$1,000 or more or spend \$1,000 or more to distribute member communications or electioneering communications. Independent expenditures fund activity that expressly advocates for or against the election of a particular candidate. These expenditures are intended to affect the outcome of the election, so making information about them public serves an important transparency purpose.

A member communication is a communication that is distributed exclusively to “members, employees, shareholders, or families of members, employees, or shareholders of an organization, including a communication by a political party.”⁹ A group that makes a member communication has to file a report if the communication advocates for or against a candidate for City office. Like the disclosure of independent expenditures, disclosing member communications serves to inform voters about the origins of communications that seek to affect the outcome of a local election.

An electioneering communication is a communication that refers to a clearly identified candidate and is distributed within 90 days before an election. Electioneering communications, however, do not expressly advocate for the election or defeat of the candidate.¹⁰ Although electioneering communications do not contain express advocacy, they still have the potential to affect the outcome of an election by publicizing an identified candidate shortly before the election. Even without expressly advocating for the candidate’s election or defeat, electioneering communications can portray the candidate in a positive or negative light or simply build name recognition. Disclosing information about these communications therefore serves the same transparency interest as with independent expenditures and member communications.

Third party spending reports serve multiple purposes. They inform the public about the amount and the source of money that is being spent to influence the outcome of an election. Additionally, spending limit adjustments are based in part on third party activity, and the reports are the source of the necessary data for the Commission to increase candidates’ spending limits. Each of these three types of reports must be filed within twenty-four hours of the communication being distributed to give voters information about the communications shortly after they are distributed. During the November 2019 election, no third-party reports were filed in connection with the mayoral race, while forty-two reports were filed in connection with the District 5 race.

Using the data disclosed on third-party spending reports, Table 5 and the two following charts show the total amount of third-party spending to support or oppose candidates in the November 2019 election. Third parties spent \$363,126 in the race for District 5 Supervisor.


Table 5 – Third-Party Spending in November 2019 Election

Affected Candidate	Supportive Spending	Opposition Spending	Total 3rd Party Spending
Dean Preston	\$108,088	\$169,010	\$277,098
Vallie Brown	\$86,028	\$0	\$86,028
Ryan Lam	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nomvula O'Meara	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$194,116	\$169,010	\$363,126


⁹ Cal. Gov. Code § 85703(c), as incorporated by Campaign & Gov. Conduct Code § 1.104.

¹⁰ Campaign & Gov. Conduct Code § 1.104.

Third-Party Spending in November 2019 Supervisory Election, by Type of Spending



Third-Party Spending on Candidates in November 2019 Supervisory Election



From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Bond Authorization Report for Clerk of the Board
Date: Thursday, July 23, 2020 2:10:00 PM
Attachments: [SFPUC Bond Authorization Summary Water, Wastewater, Power FY 21 & FY22.BLA.pdf](#)
[SFPUC Bonds Authorization Memo FY 21 FY 22.BLA TY.pdf](#)

From: Brown, Mike <MBrown@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 11:22 AM
To: Wong, Linda (BOS) <linda.wong@sfgov.org>
Cc: Perl, Charles (PUC) <CPerl@sfgov.org>; Morales, Richard (PUC) <RMorales@sfgov.org>;
BLAKE, MARK (CAT) <Mark.Blake@sfcityattys.org>; Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>;
Kwong, Edward (PUC) <EKwong@sfgov.org>
Subject: Bond Authorization Report for Clerk of the Board

Dear Linda,

The attached reports have been prepared in connection with ordinance 143-18 (file # 180451) for the Water Enterprise, ordinance 144-18 (file # 180452) for the Wastewater Enterprise, and ordinance 142-18 (file # 180450) for the Power Enterprise. The ordinances directed the Commission to provide to the Board of Supervisors a written report detailing the total amount of bonds authorized, the total amount bonds sold, the remaining bonds authorized but unissued amount, and the bond authorization no longer necessary due to changes in projects and project financing.

Please add the attached documents to the file numbers above.

Thank you and kind regards,
Mike Brown



July 13, 2020

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Summary of SFPUC Revenue Bonds Authorization

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

The attached reports are being prepared pursuant to ordinance 143-18 for the Water Enterprise, ordinance 144-18 for the Wastewater Enterprise, and ordinance 142-18 for the Power Enterprise. The ordinances set forth the following reporting requirement:

The Commission is further directed as a part of the two-year budget review to provide to this Board of Supervisors a written report . . . detailing the total amount authorized, the total amount sold, the remaining authorized but unissued amount, and the bond authorization no longer necessary due to changes in projects and project financing, beginning in May 2020.

The SFPUC recommends retaining outstanding bond authorization as existing projects are underway and all authorization is projected to be used.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions.

Very truly yours,

Tricia Gang (SIC Director on behalf of Eric Sandler)

Eric L. Sandler
Assistant General Manager & Chief Financial Officer

Enclosures: 1. Summary of Water Bonds Authorization
2. Summary of Wastewater Bonds Authorization
3. Summary of Power Bonds Authorization

cc: Charles Perl, Deputy CFO
Richard Morales, Debt Manager
Mark Blake, Deputy City Attorney

London N. Breed
Mayor

Ann Moller Caen
President

Francesca Vietor
Vice President

Anson Moran
Commissioner

Sophie Maxwell
Commissioner

Tim Paulson
Commissioner

Harlan L. Kelly, Jr.
General Manager



Summary of SFPUC Water Revenue Bonds Authorization
July 1, 2020

WSIP - 2002 Proposition A

Charter 9.107			TOTAL
Authorization	1,628,000,000		1,628,000,000
<u>Debt Issaunce</u>	<u>Par</u>		
2006A	507,815,000	507,815,000	
2009A	412,000,000	412,000,000	
2009B	412,000,000	412,000,000	
2012B	16,520,000	16,520,000	
State Loan (Westside Recycled)	186,220,000	186,220,000	
Commerical Paper	-	-	
Total Issued / Utilized	1,534,555,000		
Remaining Unused Authorization - 2002 Prop A	93,445,000		93,445,000

.....

WSIP - 2002 Proposition E

Ordinance		189-09	89-10	108-14	108-14	112-16	154-17	TOTAL	
Authorization		1,310,307,119	1,647,249,198	113,252,000	113,252,000	-	-	3,184,060,317	
<u>Debt Issuance</u>	<u>Par</u>								
2010 B WSIP	417,720,000	417,720,000							
2010 D WSIP	71,360,000	71,360,000							
2010 E WSIP	344,200,000	344,200,000							
2010 FG WSIP	532,430,000	477,027,119	55,402,881						
2011 A WSIP	602,715,000		602,715,000						
2012 A WSIP	591,610,000		591,610,000						
2016 C (WSIP)	259,350,000		259,350,000						
2017 A (WSIP)	121,140,000		121,140,000						
Commerical Paper	26,561,000		17,031,317	9,529,683					
Total Issued / Utilized	2,967,086,000								
Remaining Unused Authorization - 2002 Prop E		-	-	103,722,317	113,252,000	-	-	216,974,317	

Non-WSIP - 2002 Proposition E

Ordinance		89-10	100-11	113-12	108-14	112-16	154-17	219-17	143-18	0153-19	TOTAL
Authorization		90,474,840	49,100,000	163,400,000	95,919,566	264,997,468	9,132,962	12,600,000	478,440,136	35,084,529	1,199,149,501
<u>Debt Issuance</u>	<u>Par</u>										
2010 A	56,945,000	56,945,000									
2011 B	28,975,000	28,975,000									
2011 C Non-WSIP	33,595,000	4,554,840	29,040,160								
2017 B	147,725,000		20,059,840	127,665,160							
2017 C	70,675,000			35,734,840	34,940,160						
Commerical Paper	335,880,000				60,979,406	264,997,468	9,132,962	770,164			
Total Issued / Utilized	673,795,000										
Remaining Unused Authorization - 2002 Prop E		-	-	-	-	-	-	11,829,836	478,440,136	35,084,529	525,354,501

Summary of SFPUC Wastewater Revenue Bonds Authorization
 July 1, 2020

Ordinance	68-10	93-10	115-12	107-14	111-16	144-18	TOTAL
Authorization	282,400,000	297,756,235	522,810,000	534,131,000	1,112,601,280	987,414,494	3,454,713,009
Debt Issuance	Par						
2010 AB Bonds	239,565,000	239,565,000					
2013 B Bonds	331,585,000	58,191,235	273,393,765				
2016 AB Bonds	308,400,000		249,416,235	58,983,765			
State & Federal Loans	1,501,000,000			475,147,235	1,025,852,765		
2018 ABC Bonds	594,145,000				86,748,515	507,396,485	
Commercial Paper	208,045,000					208,045,000	
Total Issued / Utilized	3,182,740,000		-				
Remaining Unused Authorization - 2002 Prop E		-	-	-	-	271,973,009	271,973,009

July 1, 2020

Power - 2018 Proposition A, Charter 9.107(6), Charter 9.107(8)

Ordinance		41-15	113-16	142-18	152-19	TOTAL
Authorization		48,000,000	32,483,088	154,928,059	44,970,467	280,381,614
<u>Debt Issuance</u>	<u>Par</u>					
2015 AB	39,555,000	39,555,000	-			
Commercial Paper Issued	63,585,000	8,445,000	32,483,088	22,656,912		
	-		-			
Total Issued / Utilized	103,140,000					
Remaining Unused Authorization		-	-	132,271,147	44,970,467	177,241,614

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Eight Youth Commission Actions from July 20, 2020
Date: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 2:42:00 PM
Attachments: [July 20, 2020 Youth Commission- Eight Actions.pdf](#)
[1920-AL-14 FINAL RESOLUTION Free Muni For ALL Youth included in SFMTA budget.pdf](#)
[1920-AL-15 FINAL RESOLUTION Survivors of Sexual Harassment and Assault.pdf](#)
[1920-AL-16 FINAL RESOLUTION Universal Basic Income Program.pdf](#)
[1920-AL-17 MOTION Caltrain Letter re Lack of action on the proposed Caltrain tax.pdf](#)
[1920-RBM-12.pdf](#)
[1920-RBM-13.pdf](#)
[1920-RBM-14.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

From: Youthcom, (BOS) <youthcom@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2020 12:02 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) <rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; Lam, Jenny (MYR) <jenny.h.lam@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; Su, Maria (CHF) <maria.su@dcyf.org>; Faed, Pegah (CHF) <pegah.faed@sfgov.org>; B, Alecia (CHF) <alecia.b@sfgov.org>; Kahn, Abigail (DPH) <abigail.kahn@sfdph.org>; Miyamoto, Paul (SHF) <paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org>; Boudin, Chesa (DAT) <chesa@sfgov.org>; Scott, William (POL) <william.scott@sfgov.org>; Ilightman@sftc.org; Miller, Katherine (JUV) <katherine.miller@sfgov.org>; Fletcher, Karen (ADP) <karen.fletcher@sfgov.org>; Bell, Lauren (ADP) <lauren.bell@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>; Morris, Geoffrea (ADP) <geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org>; jeff.tumlin@sfmta.com; Hosmon, Kiely (BOS) <kiely.hosmon@sfgov.org>; Truong, Austin (BOS) <austin.truong@sfgov.org>; Estrada, Itzel (BOS) <itzel.estrada@sfgov.org>
Subject: Eight Youth Commission Actions from July 20, 2020

YOUTH COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor London Breed
 Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

CC: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
 Rebecca Peacock, Mayor's Government Affairs Team support
 Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
 Jenny Lam, Mayor's Education Advisor
 Legislative Aides, Board of Supervisors
 Maria Su, Executive Director, Department of Children Youth and Their Families
 Dr. Pegah Faed, Director, Our Children, Our Families Council
 Alecia Barillas, Council Coordinator, Our Children, Our Families

Council
Abigail Stewart-Kahn, Interim Director, Department of Homelessness
and Supportive Housing
Sheriff Paul Miyamoto
Chesa Boudin, District Attorney, Office of the District Attorney
Chief William Scott, Chief of Police, San Francisco Police Department
Lisa Lightman, Director, SF Collaborative Courts
Katherine Weinstein Miller, Chief Juvenile Probation Officer, SF Juvenile
Probation Department
Karen Fletcher, Chief Adult Probation Officer
Lauren Bell, Reentry Division Director
Public Defender Manohar Raju
Geoffrea Morris, Reentry Policy Planner, Reentry Division, Adult Probation
Department
Jeffrey Tumlin, Director of Transportation, SFMTA

FROM: 2019-2020 Youth Commission

DATE: Tuesday, July 21, 2020

RE: Eight Youth Commission Actions from July 20, 2020: unanimous support for BOS File No. 200735 [Police Code - Discriminatory Reports to Law Enforcement]; unanimous support for BOS File No. 200744 [Hearing - Status of Socioeconomic Equity in San Francisco]; unanimous support for BOS File No. 200743 [Hearing - Funding Assessment for Racial Equity and Vulnerable Populations]; motion to approve an AB 1007 Support Letter: Reinvest \$100M+ in CBOs/Youth Services; motion to approve Resolution No.1920-AL-14 [Free Muni For All - Transportation Equity]; motion to approve Resolution No. 1920-AL-15 [Urging the City and County of San Francisco to support Survivors of Sexual Harassment and Assault]; motion to approve Resolution No.1920-AL-16 [Urging the City and County of San Francisco to Implement a Universal Basic Income Program]; motion to approve Motion No. 1920-AL-17 [Motion to Approve a Letter on the Lack of Board of Supervisors Action on the Proposed Caltrain 1/8th cent Tax and Rejection of the City's 47-year-old Transit First Policy]

At its virtual meeting on Monday, July 6, 2020 the Youth Commission took the following actions:

1. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to support BOS File No. 200735 [Police Code - Discriminatory Reports to Law Enforcement]. A record of their response, with recommendations, can be viewed in referral response no. 1920-RBM-12 ([PDF](#)) (attached).

The Youth Commissioners voted to include the following recommendations:

- we must all do our part regarding community outreach, so communities of color know and understand their legal options to defend themselves and to use this as a tool
- the sponsors office explores making it a local misdemeanor on basis of race, ethnicity, gender identity, sexual orientation,
- question-how does this build into housing instability as calls to police about “suspicious behavior” are also rooted in classism, as well as racism?

- that 911 dispatchers initially flag calls that could be possibly discriminatory/racially motivated
2. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to support BOS File No. 200744 [Hearing - Status of Socioeconomic Equity in San Francisco]. A record of their response, with recommendations, can be viewed in referral response no. 1920-RBM-13 ([PDF](#)) (attached).

The Youth Commissioners voted to include the following recommendations:

- have a future report research the age breakdown to see how young people and transitional aged youth are impacted
 - have a future report covering COVID19 and its impacts
3. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to support BOS File No. 200743 [Hearing - Funding Assessment for Racial Equity and Vulnerable Populations]. A record of their response, with recommendations, can be viewed in referral response no. 1920-RBM-14 ([PDF](#)) (attached).

The Youth Commissioners voted to include the following recommendations:

- consider inequities specific to transitional age youth and youth populations
4. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to approve an AB 1007 Support Letter: Reinvest \$100M+ in CBOs/Youth Services.
 5. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to approve Resolution No.1920-AL-14 [Free Muni For All - Transportation Equity] ([PDF](#)) (attached).
 6. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to approve Resolution No. 1920-AL-15 [Urging the City and County of San Francisco to support Survivors of Sexual Harassment and Assault] ([PDF](#)) (attached).
 7. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to suspend their bylaws and to approve on a first reading Resolution No.1920-AL-16 [Urging the City and County of San Francisco to Implement a Universal Basic Income Program] ([PDF](#)) (attached).
 8. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to approve Motion No. 1920-AL-17 [Motion to Approve a Letter on the Lack of Board of Supervisors Action on the Proposed Caltrain 1/8th cent Tax, and Rejection of the City's 47-year-old Transit First Policy] ([PDF](#)) (attached).

Please do not hesitate to contact Youth Commissioners or Youth Commission staff (415) 554-6446 with any questions. Thank you.



YOUTH COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor London Breed
Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

CC: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Rebecca Peacock, Mayor's Government Affairs Team support
Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
Jenny Lam, Mayor's Education Advisor
Legislative Aides, Board of Supervisors
Maria Su, Executive Director, Department of Children Youth and Their Families
Dr. Pegah Faed, Director, Our Children, Our Families Council
Alecia Barillas, Council Coordinator, Our Children, Our Families Council
Abigail Stewart-Kahn, Interim Director, Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
Sheriff Paul Miyamoto
Chesa Boudin, District Attorney, Office of the District Attorney
Chief William Scott, Chief of Police, San Francisco Police Department
Lisa Lightman, Director, SF Collaborative Courts
Katherine Weinstein Miller, Chief Juvenile Probation Officer, SF Juvenile Probation Department
Karen Fletcher, Chief Adult Probation Officer
Lauren Bell, Reentry Division Director
Public Defender Manohar Raju
Geoffrea Morris, Reentry Policy Planner, Reentry Division, Adult Probation Department
Jeffrey Tumlin, Director of Transportation, SFMTA

FROM: 2019-2020 Youth Commission

DATE: Tuesday, July 21, 2020

RE: Eight Youth Commission Actions from July 20, 2020: unanimous support for BOS File No. 200735 [Police Code - Discriminatory Reports to Law Enforcement]; unanimous support for BOS File No. 200744 [Hearing - Status of Socioeconomic Equity in San Francisco]; unanimous support for BOS File No. 200743 [Hearing - Funding Assessment for Racial Equity and Vulnerable Populations]; motion to approve an AB 1007 Support Letter: Reinvest \$100M+ in CBOs/Youth Services; motion to approve Resolution No.1920-AL-14 [Free Muni For All - Transportation Equity]; motion to approve Resolution No. 1920-AL-15 [Urging the City and County of San Francisco to support Survivors of Sexual Harassment and Assault]; motion to approve Resolution No.1920-AL-16 [Urging the City and County of San Francisco to Implement a Universal Basic Income Program]; motion to approve Motion No. 1920-AL-17 [Motion to Approve a Letter on the Lack of Board of Supervisors Action on the Proposed Caltrain 1/8th cent Tax and Rejection of the City's 47-year-old Transit First Policy]



At its virtual meeting on Monday, July 6, 2020 the Youth Commission took the following actions:

1. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to support BOS File No. 200735 [Police Code - Discriminatory Reports to Law Enforcement]. A record of their response, with recommendations, can be viewed in referral response no. 1920-RBM-12 ([PDF](#)) (attached).

The Youth Commissioners voted to include the following recommendations:

- we must all do our part regarding community outreach, so communities of color know and understand their legal options to defend themselves and to use this as a tool
- the sponsors office explores making it a local misdemeanor on basis of race, ethnicity, gender identity, sexual orientation,
- question-how does this build into housing instability as calls to police about "suspicious behavior" are also rooted in classism, as well as racism?
- that 911 dispatchers initially flag calls that could be possibly discriminatory/racially motivated

2. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to support BOS File No. 200744 [Hearing - Status of Socioeconomic Equity in San Francisco]. A record of their response, with recommendations, can be viewed in referral response no. 1920-RBM-13 ([PDF](#)) (attached).

The Youth Commissioners voted to include the following recommendations:

- have a future report research the age breakdown to see how young people and transitional aged youth are impacted
- have a future report covering COVID19 and its impacts

3. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to support BOS File No. 200743 [Hearing - Funding Assessment for Racial Equity and Vulnerable Populations]. A record of their response, with recommendations, can be viewed in referral response no. 1920-RBM-14 ([PDF](#)) (attached).

The Youth Commissioners voted to include the following recommendations:

- consider inequities specific to transitional age youth and youth populations

4. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to approve an AB 1007 Support Letter: Reinvest \$100M+ in CBOs/Youth Services.
5. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to approve Resolution No.1920-AL-14 [Free Muni For All - Transportation Equity] ([PDF](#)) (attached).
6. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to approve Resolution No. 1920-AL-15 [Urging the City and County of San Francisco to support Survivors of Sexual Harassment and Assault] ([PDF](#)) (attached).
7. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to suspend their bylaws and to approve on a first reading Resolution No.1920-AL-16 [Urging the City and County of San Francisco to Implement a Universal Basic Income Program] ([PDF](#)) (attached).
8. Youth Commissioners unanimously voted to approve Motion No. 1920-AL-17 [Motion to

Youth Commission
City Hall ~ Room 345
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4532



(415) 554-6446
(415) 554-6140 FAX
www.sfgov.org/youth_commission

Approve a Letter on the Lack of Board of Supervisors Action on the Proposed Caltrain 1/8th cent Tax, and Rejection of the City's 47-year-old Transit First Policy] ([PDF](#)) (attached).

Please do not hesitate to contact Youth Commissioners or Youth Commission staff (415) 554-6446 with any questions. Thank you.

Youth Commission
City Hall ~ Room 345
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4532



(415) 554-6446
(415) 554-6140 FAX
www.sfgov.org/youth_commission

YOUTH COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: John Carrol, Assistant Clerk, Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee
FROM: Youth Commission
DATE: Tuesday, July 21, 2020
RE: Referral response to BOS File No. 200735 [Police Code - Discriminatory Reports to Law Enforcement]

At our **Monday, July 20, 2020, meeting**, the Youth Commission unanimously voted to support the following motion:

BOS File No. 200735 [Police Code - Discriminatory Reports to Law Enforcement]

The Youth Commissioners also voted to include the following recommendations:

- we must all do our part regarding community outreach, so communities of color know and understand their legal options to defend themselves and to use this as a tool
- the sponsors office explores making it a local misdemeanor on basis of race, ethnicity, gender identity, sexual orientation,
- question-how does this build into housing instability as calls to police about "suspicious behavior" are also rooted in classism, as well as racism?
- that 911 dispatchers initially flag calls that could be possibly discriminatory/racially motivated

Youth Commissioners thank the Board of Supervisors for their attention to this issue. If you have any questions, please contact our office at (415) 554-6446, or your Youth Commissioner.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Josephine Cureton".

Josephine Cureton, Chair
Adopted on July 20, 2020
2019-2020 San Francisco Youth Commission

Youth Commission
City Hall ~ Room 345
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4532



(415) 554-6446
(415) 554-6140 FAX
www.sfgov.org/youth_commission

YOUTH COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Linda Wong, Assistant Clerk, Budget and Appropriations Committee
FROM: Youth Commission
DATE: Tuesday, July 21, 2020
RE: Referral response to BOS File No. 200744 [Hearing on the Status of Socioeconomic Equity in San Francisco]

At our **Monday, July 20, 2020, meeting**, the Youth Commission unanimously voted to support the following motion:

BOS File No. 200744 [Hearing on the Status of Socioeconomic Equity in San Francisco]

The Youth Commissioners also voted to include the following recommendations:

- have a future report research the age breakdown to see how young people and transitional aged youth are impacted
- have a future report covering COVID19 and its impacts

Youth Commissioners thank the Board of Supervisors for their attention to this issue. If you have any questions, please contact our office at (415) 554-6446, or your Youth Commissioner.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Josephine Cureton".

Josephine Cureton, Chair
Adopted on July 20, 2020
2019-2020 San Francisco Youth Commission

Youth Commission
City Hall ~ Room 345
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4532



(415) 554-6446
(415) 554-6140 FAX
www.sfgov.org/youth_commission

YOUTH COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Linda Wong, Assistant Clerk, Budget and Appropriations Committee
FROM: Youth Commission
DATE: Tuesday, July 21, 2020
RE: Referral response to BOS File No. 200743 Hearing - Funding Assessment for Racial Equity and Vulnerable Populations

At our **Monday, July 20, 2020, meeting**, the Youth Commission unanimously voted to support the following motion:

BOS File No. 200743 Hearing - Funding Assessment for Racial Equity and Vulnerable Populations

The Youth Commissioners also voted to include the following recommendations:

- consider inequities specific to transitional age youth and youth populations

Youth Commissioners thank the Board of Supervisors for their attention to this issue. If you have any questions, please contact our office at (415) 554-6446, or your Youth Commissioner.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Josephine Cureton".

Josephine Cureton, Chair
Adopted on July 20, 2020
2019-2020 San Francisco Youth Commission

1 [Transportation Equity - Free Muni for All Youth]

2
3 **Resolution urging the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board to**
4 **include the Free Muni For All Youth fare proposal in its FY 2020-2021 and FY 2021-22**
5 **budgets.**
6

7 WHEREAS, Public transit is the most efficient and equitable way for large numbers of
8 people to travel to access opportunities and resources in a dense urban area; and

9 WHEREAS, Over 60 percent of San Francisco high schoolers take public transit to
10 school; and

11 WHEREAS, The Youth Commission has previously advocated for the establishment of
12 the Free Muni for Youth Program (FMFY) within the San Francisco Municipal Transportation
13 Agency (SFMTA); and

14 WHEREAS, The FMFY program suffers from a complex application process and is not
15 widely known, particularly for people with limited English proficiency and people who do not
16 have easy access to the SFMTA offices at 1 South Van Ness Ave. and/or internet facilities,
17 who are disproportionately Black, Indigenous and/or people of color; and

18 WHEREAS, The Youth Commission has previously urged the SFMTA to distribute the
19 FMFY pass through SFUSD, allowing youth to utilize the FMFY program without having to
20 apply (YC File No. 1920-AL-09); and

21 WHEREAS, The SFMTA had proposed in its original budget to roll out Free Muni for All
22 Youth (FMFAY), which would eliminate the nominal fare for youth up to age 19 (with provision
23 for a future expansion of FMFAY to Transitional Age Youth) without an application; and

24 WHEREAS, The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in far less transit ridership and a
25 worsening economy which has decimated the SFMTA's revenues; and

1 WHEREAS, This has entailed a worsening financial outlook for the SFMTA, rendering
2 budget cuts necessary; and

3 WHEREAS, In the most recent budget passed unanimously by the SFMTA Board of
4 Directors on June 30, 2020, which was crafted in response to Board of Supervisors opposition
5 to fare hikes on the adult non-discounted fares, the FMFAY program was cut from the
6 proposed budget; and


7 WHEREAS, The Youth Commission advocates for equitable transit options, especially
8 for underserved populations who do not have many other options and who are
9 disproportionately affected by harmfully punitive fare enforcement, as further detailed in YC
10 File No. 1920-AL-09, a Youth Commission resolution on fare evasions inclusivity and
11 accessibility and the Muni Youth Lifeline Discount Fast Pass Program; and

12 WHEREAS, The SFMTA would offset a part of the cost of FMFAY by the correlated
13 elimination of bureaucratic and administrative costs needed to process the current application-
14 based FMFY program; now, therefore, be it

15 RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the SFMTA to include the FMFAY fare
16 option in its FY 2020-2021 and FY 2021-2022 budgets; and, be it

17 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the SFMTA to work with
18 the SFUSD to increase the visibility of the existing FMFY program, particularly for people with
19 limited English proficiency and Black and Indigenous people and people of color; and, be it

20 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the SFMTA and Board of
21 Supervisors to work with local, state and federal officials to diversify the SFMTA's funding
22 stream so that fare increases and unspecified general fund transfers do not affect the
23 agency's budget.

1 
2

3 Josephine Cureton, Chair
4 Adopted on July 20, 2020
5 2019-2020 San Francisco Youth Commission
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 [Survivors of Sexual Harassment and Assault - Support and Supportive Services]

2 **Resolution urging the City and County of San Francisco to support Survivors of Sexual**
3 **Harassment and Assault.**
4

5 WHEREAS, Sexual violence is a social and cultural problem, rather than an individual
6 problem; and

7 WHEREAS, Systemic gender-inequality, toxic masculinity, and power imbalances
8 foster an environment that perpetuates and promotes violence; and

9 WHEREAS, That all institutions have a role, one that supports survivors and their
10 healing and builds a climate of accountability and culture free of sexual violence; and

11 WHEREAS, Recently, sexual harassment and assault allegations have been widely
12 shared by San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD) students and other Bay Area
13 students via social media, including an accusation against former Youth Commissioner Drew
14 Min; and

15 WHEREAS, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 is a federal law that
16 prohibits sex discrimination in education, including K-12 schools; and

17 WHEREAS, SFUSD's sexual harassment policy (State Education Code and Board
18 Policy 5166) states that "the Board prohibits sexual harassment of students by other students,
19 employees or other persons, at school or at school-sponsored or school-related activities;"
20 and

21 WHEREAS, According to Rape, Abuse & Incest National Network (RAINN), the
22 nation's largest anti-sexual violence organization, someone is sexually assaulted in America
23 every 73 seconds; and
24
25

1 WHEREAS, Those aged 12-34 are at the highest risk of rape and sexual assault, as
2 the majority of sexual assault victims are under 30; and

3 WHEREAS, Females aged 16-19 are 4 times more likely than the general population to
4 be victims of rape or sexual assault; and

5 WHEREAS, 21 percent of transgender, genderqueer, and nonconforming (TGQN)
6 college students have been sexually assaulted, compared to 18 percent of cisgender females
7 and 4 percent of cisgender males; and

8 WHEREAS, Black, Indigenous, and people of color (BIPOC) are disproportionately
9 victims of sexual assault, especially Native Americans, who are twice as likely to experience
10 rape or sexual assault than any other race; and

11 WHEREAS, According to a study conducted by the California Coalition Against Sexual
12 Assault (CCASA), in California, more than 86 percent of women (compared with 81 percent
13 nationally) and 53 percent of men (compared with 43 percent nationally) report having
14 experienced some form of sexual harassment and/or assault in their lifetime; and

15 WHEREAS, According to the San Francisco Police Department, instances of rape in
16 San Francisco rose 7.31 percent from 2017 to 2018; and

17 WHEREAS, On May 6, 2020, U.S. Secretary of Education Betsy DeVos passed new
18 Title IX regulations, where college institutions must presume that all those accused of sexual
19 misconduct are innocent prior to the investigative process, which protects perpetrators of
20 sexual misconduct; and

21 WHEREAS, According to Inside Higher Ed, these new regulations set higher evidence
22 and cross-examination standards, which can retraumatize and prevent victims from reporting
23 sexual assault; and

24 WHEREAS, According to RAINN, the likelihood that a person suffers suicidal or
25 depressive thoughts increases after sexual violence, as 94 percent of women who are raped,

1 experience symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) two weeks after the rape, 33
2 percent of women who are raped contemplate suicide, and 13 percent of women who are
3 raped attempt suicide; and

4 WHEREAS, In partnership with more than 1,000 local sexual assault service providers,
5 RAINN operates a national sexual assault hotline (1-800-656-4673) that provides confidential
6 support from trained staff members, support in finding local health facilities that are trained to
7 care for survivors of sexual assault, and local resources that can assist survivors in the
8 healing and recovery process; and

9 WHEREAS, There are numerous community organizations in San Francisco that offer
10 emotional and legal support for sexual assault survivors, including LYRIC, Asian Pacific
11 Islander (API) Legal Outreach, and San Francisco Women Against Rape, all of which have
12 local programs and resources that support survivors; and

13 WHEREAS, These programs are not actively promoted in schools, so students are not
14 aware of or connected to these organizations and the resources available to them; and

15 WHEREAS, The San Francisco Board of Supervisors passed legislation in 2016 to
16 create the Safer Schools Sexual Assault Task Force, which met monthly from November 2016
17 to November 2017; and

18 WHEREAS, The Task Force met monthly to analyze state and federal laws regarding
19 campus sexual assault, recommend best practices for colleges and universities in San
20 Francisco to reduce sexual assault, and recommend steps that the City can take to reduce
21 sexual assault on college and university campuses; and

22 WHEREAS, The Safer Schools Sexual Assault Task Force Report and
23 Recommendations did not include any specific recommendations for reducing sexual assault
24 on elementary, middle school, and high school campuses; and

1 WHEREAS, The Task Force did not invite young people to provide input and feedback
2 on the report and recommendations; and

3 WHEREAS, In 2017, the United States Department of Justice conducted an audit of
4 the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) and produced 272 reform recommendation,
5 none of which addressed the conditions in the department's Special Victims Unit and its
6 treatment of rape survivors; and

7 WHEREAS, In 2013, ABC7 News reported that SFPD had found 753 untested rape kits
8 from 2003 to 2013, despite a law passed four years earlier by the Board of Supervisors
9 mandating that all rape kits be tested; and

10 WHEREAS, In 2004, the Youth Commission and the SFUSD Student Advisory Council
11 (SAC) hosted a community hearing with representatives of community-based organizations
12 and various government agencies to understand the current situation of sexual harassment
13 and assault in San Francisco schools; and

14 WHEREAS, In April 2005, the Youth Commission and SFUSD SAC produced the San
15 Francisco Youth Commission Report on Sexual Assault and Harassment in San Francisco
16 Schools, including information from the hearing, SFUSD student input, and recommendations
17 to City agencies; now, therefore, be it

18 RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission stands with all survivors of sexual
19 harassment and assault and condemns the actions of all perpetrators of sexual harassment
20 and assault; and, be it

21 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the District Attorney's office
22 and SFPD to test all rape kits and begin an investigation within 48 hours of receiving them;
23 and, be it

24 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges SFPD to properly and
25 legally assist survivors in filing a police report, getting medical care, holding the perpetrator

1 accountable, and maintaining a survivor's legal rights in a timely manner, without causing
2 increased trauma; and, be it


3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges SFPD to have survivors
4 solely interact with experts trained in trauma-informed counseling, such as social workers and
5 domestic violence counselors, unless necessary under law, to ensure survivors do not endure
6 further trauma and gaslighting; and, be it

7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the San Francisco
8 Department of Police Accountability to ensure SFPD supports all survivors of sexual
9 harassment and assault and follows all laws and regulations; and be it

10 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges SFUSD to follow the
11 recommendations of SFUSD SAC in their Title IX resolution; and, be it

12 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges SFUSD to adopt
13 mandatory trainings for youth, educators, and school site staff on the definitions of sexual
14 harassment and assault, reporting procedures, supporting students, and identifying and
15 preventing these crimes; and, be it

16 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Youth Commission urges the Board of Education to
17 pass a resolution affirming survivor's rights and access to supportive resources when sexually
18 assaulted or harassed on a SFUSD campus and/or by a SFUSD student or employee.

19
20 

21 Josephine Cureton, Chair
22 Adopted on July 20, 2020
23 2019-2020 San Francisco Youth Commission
24
25

1 [Universal Basic Income]

2
3 **Resolution urging the City of San Francisco to implement a Universal Basic Income**
4 **Program.**

5
6 WHEREAS, The COVID-19 pandemic has led to soaring unemployment rates
7 worldwide; and

8 WHEREAS, The global crisis has sparked renewed interest in Universal Basic Income
9 (UBI) as a solution to address this problem by providing residents with a guaranteed income
10 payment; and

11 WHEREAS, UBI is a cash payment that residents receive every month from the
12 government with no strings attached; and

13 WHEREAS, Government officials across the world are considering implementing UBI
14 programs to alleviate financial losses and uplift underserved communities; and

15 WHEREAS, Experts believe that a basic income can help curb poverty, allow for
16 creative and useful forms of unpaid labor to flourish, improve mental well-being, and provide
17 financial security for communities in need; and

18 WHEREAS, In 1967, Martin Luther King Jr. proposed a guaranteed income for
19 American citizens, stating that it could reduce income inequality and abolish poverty; and

20 WHEREAS, Economist Milton Friedman proposed a negative income tax to allow
21 people from lower-income backgrounds to receive a tax credit; and

22 WHEREAS, UBI was popularized by former 2020 Presidential Candidate Andrew Yang
23 as a way to offset job losses caused by automation and artificial intelligence; and

24 WHEREAS, UBI has many proven benefits, including increased financial security,
25 improved mental well-being, and overall better quality of life; and

1 WHEREAS, UBI can expand financial freedom for recipients so that college students
2 can pay for tuition and caregivers can take sick days to care for family or relatives; and

3 WHEREAS, UBI can improve the quality of life and mental well-being for residents,
4 particularly those who come from lower socioeconomic backgrounds; and

5 WHEREAS, UBI can allow people to expand on their hobbies and creative pursuits,
6 such as music, arts, and entrepreneurship; and

7 WHEREAS, As seen during COVID-19, a basic income can help stabilize the economy
8 during recessions; and

9 WHEREAS, A basic income has no specific income conditions, so there would be less
10 bureaucratic work on auditing payments; and

11 WHEREAS, It would cost less to administer UBI than many existing welfare programs
12 by eliminating processes like auditing income-verification paperwork; and

13 WHEREAS, UBI also has fewer restrictions than many traditional welfare programs that
14 perpetuate structural inequalities by setting income and background restrictions; and

15 WHEREAS, UBI recipients won't have to go through a lengthy approval process or
16 adhere by existing income restrictions; and

17 WHEREAS, Grassroots organizations such as Humanity Forward, Income Movement,
18 Youth For UBI, The Gerald Huff Fund for Humanity, and many others, are working to pass
19 UBI legislation across the country; and

20 WHEREAS, There are successful UBI pilot programs that have shown positive results;
21 and

22 WHEREAS, The state of Alaska has had an active UBI program since 1982; and

23 WHEREAS, Stockton Mayor Michael D. Tubbs started the Stockton Economic
24 Empowerment Demonstration (SEED), a pilot program that gives \$500 a month to 125 local
25 families in Stockton, California; and

1 WHEREAS, SEED has found that providing a cash payment to residents has increased
2 economic security for working-class families, who spent the money on basic necessities like
3 paying for groceries, utilities, transportation, and rent; and

4 WHEREAS, A 2017-2018 national, government-backed basic income experiment by
5 the Finnish government gave 2,000 unemployed residents aged 25-58 a monthly basic
6 income with no specific requirements while a control group received normal unemployment
7 benefits at the same time; and

8 WHEREAS, The study found that basic income recipients had improved overall well-
9 being, and were generally more satisfied with their lives and experienced less mental strain
10 and depression than the control group; and

11 WHEREAS, The researchers also noted that children and families who received a
12 basic income had greater feelings of autonomy, financial security, and confidence in the
13 future; and

14 WHEREAS, Participants who were freelancers, artists, and entrepreneurs said UBI
15 created opportunities for them to pursue their dreams; and

16 WHEREAS, Participants also volunteered more often and got involved with community
17 initiatives at higher rates than before; and

18 WHEREAS, A basic income study called 'Mincome' was conducted in a small, rural
19 town of Dauphin, Canada, where families were guaranteed \$16,000 annually; and

20 WHEREAS, The study saw rates of hospitalizations fall, improvements in mental
21 health, and a rise in the number of children who graduated from high school; and

22 WHEREAS, There was an 8.5% decline in hospitalizations due to a decrease in stress-
23 induced mental health issues; and

24 WHEREAS, Researchers of the experiment believe this was a direct result of the
25 added security in people's lives provided by a basic income; and

1 WHEREAS, The Hawaii State Legislature passed a bill asking the government to
2 implement a UBI program to help citizens receive permanent financial security; and

3 WHEREAS, Canada is experimenting with a three-year UBI program by giving 4,000
4 residents living in poverty with monthly payments; and

5 WHEREAS, Scotland committed 250,000 pounds to four pilot programs that pay
6 citizens for life; and

7 WHEREAS, Finland gave 2,000 unemployed citizens 560 euros a month for two years;
8 and

9 WHEREAS, Recipients of the basic income in Finland said the payment reduced
10 stress, with many of them saying they received financial freedom to start their own business;
11 and

12 WHEREAS, Since 2011, the international nongovernmental organization GiveDirectly,
13 Inc. has provided Unconditional Cash Transfers (UCT) to poor households in Kenya; and

14 WHEREAS, GiveDirectly, Inc. found that distributing cash payments improved the
15 consumption of food, medicine, education, and social events; and

16 WHEREAS, Citizens in the program also had improved overall well-being and
17 increased investments in livestock, furniture, and home improvements; and

18 WHEREAS, Based on a number of pilot studies around the world, there is evidence
19 that a basic income has lasting, long-term impacts on young people; and

20 WHEREAS, Youth who receive a basic income are more likely to complete their
21 secondary school education because the pressure to earn money to support their family is
22 eased; and

23 WHEREAS, Young people can utilize cash payments to pay off their tuition or student
24 debt; and
25

1 WHEREAS, UBI grants youth the financial freedom to pursue a third-level education or
2 internships that can provide them with crucial experience for future professions; and

3 WHEREAS, UBI encourages youth to be civically engaged and volunteer more; and

4 WHEREAS, A basic income has been shown to be effective in addressing poverty in
5 families, particularly for single parents and single-income households; and

6 WHEREAS, In Canada, an increase in child benefits led to a drop in poverty rates and
7 addressed economic inequality; and

8 WHEREAS, In Native American communities living along the Rio Grande, the
9 introduction of casino revenue payouts led to a decrease in child and spousal abuse; and

10 WHEREAS, In Eastern Cherokee households in North Carolina, income transfer
11 payments led to increased voter turnout among adults who had been disadvantaged as
12 children; and

13 WHEREAS, A guaranteed basic income could be a good way to increase civic
14 engagement and narrow the gap in political participation between wealthier households and
15 people from underprivileged backgrounds; and

16 WHEREAS, American startup accelerator Y Combinator is paying 100 families in
17 Oakland, California between one thousand to two thousand dollars a month; and

18 WHEREAS, A research team at the University of Chicago, in collaboration with
19 nonprofit organization Point Source Youth, are developing biweekly, direct cash assistance for
20 youth experiencing homelessness in New York City; and

21 WHEREAS, The program will also offer counseling, housing navigation, and
22 connections to services that support young people's pathways to self-defined success; and

23 WHEREAS, The Santa Clara government recognized the financial impacts of the
24 COVID-19 pandemic, particularly on foster youth; and
25

1 WHEREAS, In May 2020, the Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors approved a
2 plan to provide 72 young adults ages 21 to 24, who were former foster youth, with one
3 thousand dollar monthly payments for a one-year pilot program; and

4 WHEREAS, Santa Clara County officials will provide youth in the program with financial
5 services and will check-in with them regularly to obtain information on how they spend the
6 money and how the basic income impacts them; and

7 WHEREAS, A labor economist at the University of Pennsylvania who has studied
8 social welfare believes that an unconditional cash payment like the one instituted by Santa
9 Clara County officials can improve youth mental health, increase educational achievement,
10 and promote greater voting and civic participation among young people; and

11 WHEREAS, According to the Chronicle of Social Change, cash transfers for young
12 people impart what economists call “positive spillover effects”; and

13 WHEREAS, UBI pilot programs geared towards youth has found overwhelming
14 evidence that low-income and vulnerable populations spend the money on basic needs; and

15 WHEREAS, When youth spend cash on goods and services in their community, they
16 help stimulate their local economy; and

17 WHEREAS, According to numerous studies, the Bay Area has the highest income
18 inequality in the state of California; and

19 WHEREAS, The Public Policy Institute of California (PPIC) analyzed the 2018 U.S.
20 Census Bureau data and found that top income earners in the Bay Area make 12.2 times as
21 much as those who are at the bottom of the economic ladder; and

22 WHEREAS, PPIC also found that Bay Area residents in the 90th percentile of incomes
23 earn on average \$384,000 a year compared to \$32,000 for those in the bottom 10th
24 percentile; and

1 WHEREAS, The 2018 Census data shows that San Francisco County has the widest
2 income disparities in the Bay Area, with the top five percent of households making an average
3 of \$808,105 annually compared to \$16,184 for the bottom twenty percent of households; and

4 WHEREAS, According to the San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco ranks 84 out of
5 100 regions in the United States for economic inclusion; and

6 WHEREAS, In 2017, the earnings of white residents in San Francisco were \$70,200
7 annually while earnings for people of color were \$41,500; and

8 WHEREAS, That gap continues to widen, having grown by \$2588 in 2017 alone; and

9 WHEREAS, According to The Chronicle of Social Change, legacies of systemic racism
10 in housing and employment has led black and brown families to have less disposable income
11 to give young adult family members to cover basic needs; and

12 WHEREAS, The Brookings Institute found that San Francisco has the sixth-highest
13 level of income inequality in the country; and

14 WHEREAS, San Francisco residents at the 20th percentile of earnings make \$31,840
15 per household annually, as compared to those at the 95th percentile, who bring in \$507,824
16 per household; and

17 WHEREAS, The COVID-19 global pandemic has amplified existing inequities in our
18 government and educational institutions, especially for marginalized youth of color; and

19 WHEREAS, The sudden closure of schools in San Francisco has resulted in students
20 not receiving adequate meals, increased rates of job loss for youth and their parents, and an
21 overall decline in educational quality; and

22 WHEREAS, After the San Francisco Unified School District transitioned to online
23 learning, more than 12,000 Chromebook laptops were distributed and loaned to students in
24 need; and

1 WHEREAS, Despite these efforts, in April 2020, an estimated 5,000 students still could
2 not attend class virtually because their family couldn't afford adequate internet service at
3 home; and

4 WHEREAS, A March 2020 study conducted by the Pew Research Center found that
5 workers ages 16-24 make up 24 percent of all frontline workers in the industries most affected
6 by COVID-19 closures; and

7 WHEREAS, Low-income youth of color, who have been most severely impacted by the
8 COVID-19 crisis in San Francisco, should be included in the recovery process; and


9 WHEREAS, UBI would be a viable solution to address this growing income inequality
10 and empower underserved communities; now, therefore, be it

11 RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Youth Commission urges the San Francisco
12 Board of Supervisors (BOS), Mayor, and City departments to work with community
13 organizations and study the impacts of a guaranteed basic income on San Francisco's
14 children, teens, and families; and, be it

15 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Youth Commission urges the Mayor
16 to join Mayors for Guaranteed Income, a coalition of mayors and city leaders from 11
17 American cities who are investing in guaranteed-income pilots and are advocating for state
18 and federal cash-based policies; and, be it

19 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Youth Commission urges the BOS
20 and Mayor to enact legislation to implement a guaranteed basic income program in San
21 Francisco; and, be it

22 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Youth Commission urges the BOS
23 and Mayor to include financial services, housing navigation, and counseling workshops for
24 young people ages 16+ in conjunction with the UBI program.

1 
2

3 Josephine Cureton, Chair
4 Adopted on July 20, 2020
5 2019-2020 San Francisco Youth Commission
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 [Motion to Approve a Letter on the Lack of Board of Supervisors Action on the Proposed
2 Caltrain 1/8th cent Tax, and Rejection of the City's 47-year-old Transit First Policy]

3
4 Supplementary Information:

5 Letter of Opposition approved as attached (see below).
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

July 20, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

RE: Lack of action on the proposed Caltrain 1/8th cent tax & rejection of City's 47-year-old transit first policy

Dear Supervisors,

The Youth Commission opposes the actions taken by two members of this board on July 14, 2020, where Supervisors Walton and Peskin failed to introduce a critical tax measure that would benefit Caltrain. The Youth Commission supports the tax going on the November ballot.

The Youth Commission is a body of 17 youth from San Francisco between the ages of 12 and 23. Created by the voters under a 1995 amendment to the City Charter, the commission is responsible for advising the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor on policies and laws related to young people. The Youth Commission is also charged with providing comment and recommendation on all proposed laws that would primarily affect youth before the Board takes final action.

On July 14, 2020, a tax measure that would have given a boost to a transit agency should have been introduced, but it was not, due to concerns about governance. For the would-be sponsors, that was more important than the fact that a transit agency's existence was on the line. This happened in San Francisco, not some historically anti-transit locale, and it happened in a city that has had a transit first policy for the past 47 years in its charter. That very policy and section, in its most current form, states in subsection 8A.115-a-1 that "To ensure quality of life and economic health in San Francisco, the primary objective of the transportation system must be the safe and efficient movement of people and goods." The mere thought of letting a train die to be replaced by a far less efficient, safe, and environmentally friendly bus (not forgetting that the train supports social distancing and efficient safe movement in current times), violates that subsection. These actions which took place on July 14 also represent a violation in the charter, section 8A.115-a, "The following principles shall constitute the City and County's transit-first policy and shall be incorporated into the General Plan of the City and County. All officers, boards, commissions, and departments shall implement these principles in conducting the City and County's affairs." Letting transit, with all of its environmental benefits, take second priority during a climate crisis is unconscionable. And yet it happened. Here, in San Francisco.

Mass transit is the most efficient, sustainable, and affordable mode of transport for a large number of people who seek to get from point A to point B. To that end, the bigger the vehicle, the better. Also, if said vehicle has its own right of way and dedicated infrastructure, the better. Many youth take mass transit around the world, and in the Bay Area, that is also true. Youth use mass transit to get to school, afterschool activities, employment opportunities, social gatherings, and because traveling on public transit is good for the environment. The youth of today are fully aware that their decisions and decisions of the current government (who they do NOT have the power to elect) will end up affecting their lives in massive ways in the future. Youth deserve the opportunity to choose the sustainable option, and those who can vote deserve the opportunity to vote on the measure to enable youth to ride the sustainable train.

Simply throwing a childish meltdown, and ruining a commuter rail system that other urban areas can only dream of, because of an unsuccessful attempt to reform its governance is downright ridiculous. The Youth Commission agrees that there should be reforms to how Caltrain is governed.

The current system, voted in by Supervisor Peskin, has not worked out. Setting fire to the whole operation accomplishes nothing. To reform how Caltrain is run, Caltrain must exist. A surgeon cannot operate on a patient and expect that patient to one day leave the hospital if the patient was left to die by those who thought the patient was unworthy of saving. Caltrain is needed, reform is needed, and political nonsense is not.

Caltrain would not be the only agency to suffer from this error in judgement made on July 14, 2020. In order for Caltrain riders to be transported safely (as the charter requires), 60-foot long bendy busses would be needed. These would need to be borrowed from other transit agencies, and that would adversely affect the agencies and their riders. As the SFMTA has noted, agencies need to transport as many people as safely possible in order to avoid even worse financial peril. Borrowing a bus, to cover for a train is ludicrous. The Bay Area only has four Federal Railroad Administration regulated commuter railways. It has countless bus lines, and a quarter of that number are corridors served by high capacity busses. It would be a big error to replace a train with a bus, a bus that could save an agency if deployed elsewhere. Youth need to be able to get around when the pandemic and the associated mitigation measures are over. They do not want to be stranded because a San Francisco politician had a grievance and decided to incapacitate the transit system they serve on the board of. The Youth Commission sincerely hopes that this would not be the reality for any youth in San Francisco, and especially not the reality in other locales Caltrain serves. Imagine not having an efficient and sustainable transit system, maybe the only one in your town, because somebody up in a city, spoiled with many mass transit options, did not know how to compromise.


Caltrain needs funding, and it needs it now. As it stands, this board has pushed the SFMTA, and Caltrain to the brink. Yet, the Police and Sheriff's departments have yet to see their budgets cut. Transit services help youth, policing does not. The Youth Commission is dismayed that the Board has yet to make formative action towards editing a budget that would make San Francisco a better place for youth. The action of not introducing the tax measure by Supervisors Peskin and Walton, one as the SFCTA chair, the other as a Joint Powers Board member, make crystal clear their opinions on transit. The rest of the board must realize that it has an obligation to make the world a better place for the next generation, the current youth. We cannot vote, and we would not be able to anyways if the reforms at the JPB that Supervisors Peskin and Walton want enacted come to fruition. We trust the Board to make decisions, and the Youth Commission to make sure those decisions have a positive impact on youth. We see that members of the Board have not acted on this crucial issue, and that decision has a negative impact on youth. One way to create a positive impact for youth would be to call an emergency meeting, not just making statements on a social media platform that is not frequented by youth. The Youth Commission has been, and will always be an advocate for action, not wordplay.

The time to act is now. The tax deserves to be before the voters, and the other counties and advocates deserve an explanation from Supervisors Peskin and Walton. May Caltrain continue to run, and the city finally follow the charter and truly put Transit First.

For all these reasons, the Youth Commission opposes the actions taken by two members of this board on July 14, 2020, where Supervisors Walton and Peskin failed to introduce a critical tax measure that would benefit Caltrain, supports this tax going on the November ballot, and we thank Supervisor Haney for working to make this tax go before voters in November.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Youth Commission



Josephine Cureton, Chair
Adopted on July 20, 2020
2019-2020 San Francisco Youth Commission

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: WARN Notice
Date: Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:37:00 AM
Attachments: [WARN Notice_MTV and SF_7.20.20.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

From: Tracey Bye <Tracey.Bye@nortonlifelock.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:36 AM
To: Tracey Bye <Tracey.Bye@nortonlifelock.com>
Subject: WARN Notice

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, attached is a WARN notice regarding a recent elimination of positions at NortonLifeLock, Inc. *(formerly known as Symantec Corporation)*.

Thank you,

Tracey Bye
Sr. Paralegal, Employment Law
O: 650 527 5081
tracey_bye@symantec.com
NortonLifeLock.com



Symantec Corporation and its related Consumer Division (Norton and LifeLock) are now NortonLifeLock Inc. – a standalone company dedicated to consumer Cyber Safety. Email addresses will change in February 2020.

July 20, 2020

Sent via e-Mail

Mayor Lisa Matichak
City Hall
500 Castro Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Lisa.matichak@mountainview.gov

Mayor London Breed
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 941023
mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org

NOVA Consortium (North Santa Clara)
Ms. Kristan Stadelman, Director
North Valley Job Training Consortium (NOVA)
505 W. Olive, Suite 550
Sunnyvale, CA 94086
business@novaworkforce.org

San Francisco City/County Workforce Development
Mr. Joshua Arce, Director
1 South Van Ness Ave., 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
Workforce.development@sfgov.org

County of Santa Clara
Santa Clara Board of Supervisors
70 West Hedding Street, 10th Floor, East Wing
San Jose, CA 95110
Cindy.chavez@bos.sccgov.org

County of San Francisco
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place #244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

WARN Act Coordinator
Program Support Unit
Workforce Services Division
Employment Development Department
722 Capitol Mall, MIC 50/Room 5099
Sacramento, CA 95814
eddwarnnotice@edd.ca.gov

Re. Notice of Layoff: Mountain View, California and San Francisco, California



To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is to notify you that NortonLifeLock Inc. (formerly known as Symantec Corporation) ("NortonLifeLock") will be permanently eliminating the positions of 5 employees in or associated with the Mountain View and San Francisco, California offices.

In the event the Federal Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act and/or any corollary state law is applicable, we hereby provide you with the following information:

1. Location of Mountain View, California and San Francisco, California facilities:

NortonLifeLock Inc.
350 Ellis Street
Mountain View, California 94043

NortonLifeLock Inc.
795 Folsom Street, 1st Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111

2. Expected dates of layoff:

With limited potential variation, employees were notified July 15, 2020 and the termination date will either be September 16, 2020. Layoffs are expected to be permanent.

3. Bumping rights:

None of the affected employees are represented by a union, and no bumping rights exist.

4. Job titles of positions to be affected, the number of affected employees in each job, associated location, and noticed term date:

See Attachment A.

5. For further information, please contact:

Tracey Bye
NortonLifeLock Inc.
Legal & Global Cyber Security
350 Ellis Street
Mountain View, CA 94043
650-527-5081

Any assistance that the State might provide to NortonLifeLock employees who will be losing their employment with this action would be appreciated.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Tracey Bye". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Tracey" and last name "Bye" clearly visible.

Tracey Bye
Sr. Paralegal

ATTACHMENT A

July 2020 Notifications			
Job Title	Headcount	Job Location	Term Dates
Dir, Product Marketing	2	Mountain View, CA	9/16/2020
Princ Paralegal	1	Mountain View, CA	9/16/2020
Sr Dir, On-Line Business Mktg	1	Mountain View, CA	9/16/2020
Sr Mgr, Online Programs	1	Mountain View, CA	9/16/2020

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: 7/20 LU Committee - Item #3 - UMU Legislation (File No. 200143)
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 9:18:00 AM

From: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 8:28 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: 7/20 LU Committee - Item #3 - UMU Legislation

C pages

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

---

**From:** Tuija Catalano <[tcatalano@reubenlaw.com](mailto:tcatalano@reubenlaw.com)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:02 PM  
**To:** Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <[sunny.angulo@sfgov.org](mailto:sunny.angulo@sfgov.org)>; Sandoval, Suhagey (BOS) <[suhagey.sandoval@sfgov.org](mailto:suhagey.sandoval@sfgov.org)>; Snyder, Jen (BOS) <[jen.snyder@sfgov.org](mailto:jen.snyder@sfgov.org)>; Beinart, Amy (BOS) <[amy.beinart@sfgov.org](mailto:amy.beinart@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** Major, Erica (BOS) <[erica.major@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.major@sfgov.org)>; Patricia Delgrande <[patty@dnwalter.com](mailto:patty@dnwalter.com)>; Evette Davis <[edavis@bergdavis.com](mailto:edavis@bergdavis.com)>; Luis Cuadra <[lcuadra@bergdavis.com](mailto:lcuadra@bergdavis.com)>

**Subject:** 7/20 LU Committee - Item #3 - UMU Legislation

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Safai, Preston and Ronen,

My office represents the project sponsor for 2300 Harrison Street. We will be speaking at tomorrow's land use committee hearing on the UMU legislation and are continuing to ask for inclusion of a grandfathering clause, because excluding a grandfathering clause would set a negative precedent and would also place a Planning Comm'n approved project on an uncertain race against the proposed legislation.

**Background:** PC approved 2300 Harrison in December 2019. UMU legislation was introduced in February 2020, when the 2300 Harrison project was on appeal at the Board of Appeals and the Board of Supervisors. Due to the pandemic, the CEQA appeal has not yet been heard by the BOS, which is also preventing the BOA appeal from being scheduled/heard. We have heard about a tentative BOS appeal date, but do not yet have confirmation from the Clerk's Office and thus we have no confirmed BOS or BOA appeal hearing dates as of today. Even if the BOS and BOA dates were confirmed today/tomorrow, those hearings could always be continued, which places the 2300 Harrison project in an extremely uncertain position where the UMU legislation may well become effective before the pending appeals have been heard/decided.

**Unfairness:** 2300 Harrison followed all applicable Planning processes, engaged in extensive community discussions, was designed based on UMU zoning that has existed since 2009 (as part of the EN plan), and spent years to process approvals. It is fundamentally unfair and inequitable to change the rules after the project has already been approved by the PC and after the project sponsor has spent years and substantial amount of money to entitle the project per existing rules.

**Clarification:** 2300 Harrison uses the State Density Bonus Program (SDBP), however importantly, the use of the program has NO impact on the amount of proposed office use. Current UMU controls regulate office uses pursuant to vertical controls under Sec. 803.9(f). The existing controls allow up to 2 floors of office for a 5-story building, which is the proposal without the SDBP. The SDBP merely allows the addition of a 6th, residential, floor, but does not allow any additional office square footage than what would be allowed without the use of the SDBP.

**Project Benefits:** In addition to the approx. 27,000 sf office addition, the project proposes 24 residential rental units on an existing surface parking lot, including 6 on-site BMR units (which is double the required amount) at AMI levels as low as 50% and up to 80%. The project also results in over \$3.5M in impact fee payments that will fund infrastructure, schools, childcare, and other programs, broken down as follows:

| <b>Project's Impact Fees</b>            | <b>Residential Fee</b> | <b>Non-Resid. Fee</b> | <b>Total Fee</b>   |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Transportation Sustainability Fee       | \$266,322              | \$649,999             | \$916,321          |
| EN Infrastructure Fee                   | \$350,808              | \$459,255             | \$810,063          |
| Child Care Fee                          | \$62,853               | \$49,981              | \$112,834          |
| School Impact Fee                       | \$110,797              | \$18,626              | \$129,423          |
| Jobs-Housing Linkage Fee                | n/a                    | \$1,365,235           | \$1,365,235        |
| Inclusionary In Lieu Fee on Bonus Units | \$246,462              | n/a                   | \$246,462          |
| <b>TOTALS</b>                           | <b>\$1,037,242</b>     | <b>\$2,543,096</b>    | <b>\$3,580,338</b> |

**PC Recommendation:** On April 23, 2020, the PC discussed the legislation, including lengthy discussion re inclusion of a grandfathering clause. I just rewatched the hearing and below are verbatim quotes from couple of the Commissioners (Sue Diamond and Kathrin Moore) expressing support for the grandfathering clause.

**Commissioner Sue Diamond:** "I also feel that grandfathering is a way to be fair to those who have already submitted their applications consistent with the old rules. And that's especially true in this case where we have a project that has been in the pipeline for many, many years and has received Planning Commission approval. If I understand it correctly without grandfathering this project is dead, but with grandfathering the project appeals can be heard by the Board of Supervisors or BOA and judged on its merits. My understanding is also that it's has been the past pattern and practice of this Commission and Department to use grandfathering as a way to ameliorate the impacts on those that are caught midstream. For example the two other pieces of legislation that we have on the docket today both explicitly address and include a grandfathering clause. And I do believe that predictability is an important way to keep costs down so that money isn't wasted pursuing a particular project only to find that the rules are changed at the very end of the process."

**Commissioner Kathrin Moore:** "I am in full support of the legislation, with an emphasis on limiting office expansion in the Mission. I am in support to not affect the Harrison project, partially because it is already on its own cycle in terms of the appeals. I am surprised that it even would fall under something that wouldn't be a grandfathering clause. I am interested to know more what other projects are in the pipeline."

The Commission unanimously recommended approval on 6-0 vote on the motion to "approve, with staff modifications, including a grandfathering clause to exempt those projects that submitted applications to the Planning Department at the date of introduction."

**Conclusion:** We respectfully ask that that the legislation be amended to include a grandfathering clause so that the 2300 Harrison project can be heard on its merits at the BOS and BOA appeals

instead of being potentially superseded by the pending legislation. After several years of processing of the applications, a project should not be placed in a position to race against the timing for concurrently pending legislation that was introduced after the PC approvals. Specifically, we ask that the legislation provide that "it shall not apply to projects that have filed their Development Application with the Planning Department prior to the date when the legislation was introduced (i.e. prior to Feb. 11, 2020)."

Thank you for your time. If you have any questions, my cell phone number is included below and I would be happy to talk more today or tomorrow.



Tuija Catalano, Partner

Office: (415) 567-9000

Cell: (925) 404-4255

[tcatalano@reubenlaw.com](mailto:tcatalano@reubenlaw.com)

[www.reubenlaw.com](http://www.reubenlaw.com)

One Bush Street, Suite 600

San Francisco, CA 94104



**PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE** – This transmittal is intended solely for use by its addressee, and may contain confidential or legally privileged information. If you receive this transmittal in error, please email a reply to the sender and delete the transmittal and any attachments.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: File No. 200160 / 743 Vermont St. Proposal - comments from Potrero resident  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:57:00 PM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Mychalleah Werner <mlwerner@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 3:02 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Subject: Re: File No. 200160 / 743 Vermont St. Proposal - comments from Potrero resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

My husband and I live in a rental flat on Potrero Hill on Missouri Street just down from Christopher's Books. We have lived there for 6 years. We love the neighborhood and frequently visit the shops and restaurants on 18th & 20th.

We have a 3 month old baby who was born during the covid pandemic in the new CPMC hospital in San Francisco.

My family has just learned that we are losing our home because the owner of the 2/3 Flat Building is selling to take advantage of the High prices and High Demand for housing on Potrero Hill. The other occupant of the home is a 74 year old who has been there for 20+ years. She was a school principal and has also been a strong member of the community. I anticipate we will be forced to leave as there is no way the new property owners would be able to keep the property with us and the other tenant in the building.

By allowing people like 743 Vermont Project Sponsors to expand already large homes to make them bigger just to sell them and make money, puts Home Ownership on Potrero Hill and the rest of the city out of reach for young families like mine. The homes get bigger unnecessarily, and the cost of expansion of a home is very low compared the Return Expanders can get for their investment. These are two people with No Children or family members.

·Further, by allowing an Unpermitted separate Unit to be incorporated into a home that is being significantly expanded, the City will Lose another affordable unit that will Never be recovered.

Thank you for your consideration and help in enforcing the Codes and rules that already exist to help young families still have affordable home buying and rental options! We will likely move from the city when we have to leave our home, which will cause us both to need to commute instead of using the affordable and eco-conscious public transit we can now use. We would love to continue to participate in city living but rapid expansion of properties and high sales price are going to be pushing us out.

Mychalleah  
6502966218

Mychalleah  
6502966218

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support for Ordinance 200215  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:39:00 AM

---

**From:** zrants <zrants@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:30 AM  
**To:** Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Beinart, Amy (BOS) <amy.beinart@sfgov.org>; Dean Preston <deanpreston7@gmail.com>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Support for Ordinance 200215

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

July 21, 2020

Supervisors:

re: Support for Ordinance 200215

I want to register my support Ordinance 20021 to amend the zoning to allow Arts Activities and Social Service or Philanthropic Facilities as Temporary Uses to empty storefronts.

I have been thinking for some time that taking the medical facilities to the neighborhoods makes a lot more sense than forcing people to travel to the few large medical centers that are often located miles from the patients. It is especially important now for unhealthy and frail people to have easier access to medical care, but, setting up more small practices around the neighborhoods could prove to be quite popular and some of the doctors may like working in smaller less congested environments in the communities they serve.



There is one other idea I would like to share with you in case you haven't thought of it yet. Watching the long lines of cars idling for hours as the drivers pull into the COVID testing sites, it seems like there has to be an easier way to get the testing done. Instead of forcing the public to go to the testing sites, why not put the testing sites on wheels and drive them around the neighborhoods?

That way you would make it easier for sick people to get tested, cover the area one block at a time and have a better idea which neighborhoods are the most hard hit by the virus. You could have people prepare by writing down the information or filling out the forms ahead of time and handing it to the tester as they are tested. No reason to take appointments as anyone who wants to be tested may be tested. Just drive around like the ice cream trucks used to do with loudspeakers or bells to alert people to the fact that the testing truck has arrived.

Think about it anyway. You would cut the traffic and pollution from the idling vehicles and get the tests to a lot more people with less stress on everyone.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza

*forgive my typos*

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 31 letters regarding File No. 200244 - Item #3 on Rules Committee Agenda 7/20/2020  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:55:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [31 letters regarding File No. 200244.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 31 letters regarding File No. 200244, which is Item #3 on Rules Committee Agenda today, July 20, 2020.

**File No. 200244** - Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to introduce standards and goals for food purchasing by the Department of Public Health and Sheriff's Department in hospitals and jails.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701  
[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Tania Campos](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Good Food Purchasing Program: 15% is not enough  
**Date:** Thursday, July 16, 2020 1:45:11 PM  
**Attachments:** [group-pic.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

More than 34,000 workers in meatpacking facilities have tested positive for COVID-19. They form the largest clusters of cases in a lot of rural areas. Yet major meatpacking plants like Tyson failed to provide basic protections like daily testing and personal protective equipment while COVID-19 was spreading rapidly in their slaughterhouses. What's more, Tyson is lobbying the USDA to make slaughter line speeds even faster, which makes socially distancing impossible.

When Tyson forces workers - mostly people of color and immigrants - to face these dangerous conditions without protections, they send a clear message that the lives of their workers don't matter as much as their bottom line.

This is extremely painful to me because I used to be an undocumented immigrant many years ago and I personally know the struggles that people of color and immigrants face in this country on a daily basis. My heart breaks when I see our statistics and realize most of the COVID cases in California are hispanics and other people of color, many of whom are working at animal exploitation facilities.

Please don't support cruelty towards humans and animals, don't let these workers and animals die. San Francisco's public hospitals supply from Tyson and Smithfield. Please stop public funds from funding this.

I know the Good Food Purchasing Program ordinance was introduced by Supervisor Fewer, and one of the goals is to replace a percentage of animal product purchases with plant based proteins in hospitals and jails. I am disappointed because 15% is not enough for hospitals given how cruel animal products are. Please consider raising it to 50% at least to match the Sheriff's department.

I am attaching pictures and a video of many Bay Area residents who share my perspective about not spending our city funds on products that cause so much environmental harm and cruelty to animals.

Thank you so much for your consideration!

Sincerely,

Tania Patricia Campos Suarez

**From:** [Lori Painter](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Food for jails, hospitals and schools  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 8:30:36 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

When this vote takes place on Monday, please consider adding more healthy vegan meals to the menu of these facilities. No one needs to eat meat to be healthy and meat production is an environmental disaster. It's a win-win and you can make a difference for people, animals and our planet with your vote!

Thanks for your time!

**From:** [Denise Alvarado](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Food programs  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:29:08 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

- **No one needs to eat meat!** Billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. There are wonderful and delicious superior vegan options that are healthy and do not cause incredible, horrible suffering for innocent beings.
- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes. Meat is terrible for our health!
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster!** Livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

Please vote that all social food programs have only vegan options, options that do not destroy life and health, but rather enhances our health and that of the planet, and does not partake in the horrifying torment of billions of innocent beings, animals that feel, fear, love and have a right to life.

**From:** [Mary Hules](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Meal-service for public institutions  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:33:28 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I urge you to include vegan and vegetarian options for food supplied to our schools, hospitals, and prisons/jails. Such meat/egg/dairy alternatives will improve the health of recipients, especially for those with diabetes, obesity, and cardiovascular problems. Factory farming of animal causes much suffering, and the eating of animal products causes a greater incidence of cancer, obesity, and heart disease.

Thank you.

**From:** [Ramona Draeger](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support Vegan meals only  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:34:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Board of Supervisors: please mandate that these institutions serve only healthy, animal- and environmentally friendly, vegan meals.**

Vegan food



- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.



- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

Peace for All Animals,  
Ramona Draeger

**From:** [Sabrina](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Run Off  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:44:54 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Run off from your livestock is causing dead zones and pollution that erode the ozone layer and the environment.  
Please be more responsible and stop it.

Sabrina Le Sueur,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Ingrid Petalas](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** There is no need for meat, or for the sad state of our once beloved city  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:46:30 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern:

As a longtime resident, I am tired of paying exorbitant taxes, only to be accosted by homeless people in our now filthy city. No district is unaffected. My husband and I gave San Francisco one last chance yesterday. We found the perfect home to purchase in Pac Heights, only to be confronted by a homeless person. It was the last straw.

On another note, why on earth would you even feed prisoners meat, especially at the taxpayers expense? Any human can have a perfectly well-balanced diet without meat, even if you still include dairy. In fact, it's often healthier, less expensive and may reduce healthcare costs! Free heroin, hotels, and meat for homeless and criminals? How are they treated better and have seemingly more rights than law-abiding, tax-paying citizens? It's insane, and pathetic. This is why everyone is leaving the city, including us.

Ingrid Petalas

**From:** [Kylie](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Plant & Planet Safe Food!  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:48:08 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

I understand that the San Francisco Board of Supervisors will be voting on Monday regarding food programs, including how much meat, eggs, and dairy should be on the menu for hospitals, jails, and schools. Please consider the following:

- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.
- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster**. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

Please consider that these institutions serve only healthy, animal- and environmentally friendly, vegan meals.

Thank you,  
Kylie  
San Francisco, CA

**From:** [Brian Marshall](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vegan meals...  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:51:10 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors will be voting on Monday, July 20, regarding food programs, including how much meat, eggs, and dairy should be on the menu for hospitals, jails, and schools. Time is running out, so **please take a moment today to urge the board to mandate that these institutions serve only healthy, animal- and environmentally friendly, vegan meals.**

**From:** [ray staar](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** No one needs to eat meat.  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:53:59 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to vote down the use of animal based products in the city's schools and public health institutions. The science is clear. Not only are animal products unnecessary to maintain human health, consumption of animal products has been CAUSALLY LINKED TO OUR DEADLIEST CHRONIC DISEASES, namely cardiovascular disease, cancer and diabetes.

Please take the lead, as San Francisco has done so often in the past. Be the first city in the nation to fully endorse whole food plant based eating by eliminating animal based foods in our schools and hospitals.

Thank you,  
Ray Staar

**From:** [Whitney Wildman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter re: City Food Programs vote on July 20  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 12:01:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, SF Board of Supervisors.

I understand that you will be voting on Monday, July 20, regarding city food programs, including how much meat, eggs, and dairy should be on the menu for our hospitals, jails, and schools. I am writing to ask that you vote to ensure that these institutions serve only healthy, animal- and environmentally-friendly vegan meals, for the health of our people, our animals and our environment.

As you are probably aware, the production of meat in our world is a leading contributor to widespread and pervasive environmental damage, as well as to the continuing degradation of human health. This is to say nothing of the unimaginable cruelty that animals experience in their short, cramped and tortured lives at the hands of humans running factory farms. There is a better way - for ALL of us- and we need to start taking steps NOW to ensure that our planet can continue to support us.

Please note:

- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, **billions** of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.
- Meat processing plants are hotbeds of disease; this is especially visible today with the current coronavirus pandemic. In addition, people who work in slaughterhouses display high levels of depression, violence, and antisocial behavior. It is simply an unsafe and unhealthy environment - for both animals and for people.
- Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes. Don't forget about the growth hormones that affect human development, and the antibiotic residues which contribute to widespread antibiotic resistance, a serious and growing threat to human health everywhere. Can we afford that? No way.
- Animal agriculture is a global disaster. [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the

world's transportation systems combined. Can we afford that? Not now, and not ever.

What are we doing? Destroying the very planet that sustains us in the name of what? Eating meat? UNACCEPTABLE. There IS a better way. I am asking you to be a part of the solution.

San Francisco has always been a progressive vanguard city. San Franciscans are proud to be on the forefront of new movements that propel humanity into a more evolved position. Let's not take this huge step backwards by continuing to rely on animal products for food. It is unethical, unhealthy and unwise. And San Francisco is better than that. Animals and people deserve better than that. It doesn't need to be this way. The power is in your vote.  
I am asking you to do the right thing.

Thank you,

W. Wildman  
1366 47th Ave., Apt A  
San Francisco, CA 94122



**From:** [victoria keoleian](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Menu planning for hospitals, schools and jails  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 12:06:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I encourage you to support 100% plant-based meals for hospitals, schools and jails. Let's make sure our population is as healthy as possible, especially in the midst of this terrible virus. The idea that vegan food tastes bad is a very outdated one. I went vegan to support animals and was shocked that I do not miss my former meat and dairy-heavy diet. There are so many great vegan recipes that would fool many meat eaters, and taste buds have been shown to change over time as well. As an added bonus to assuming 100% plant-based meals at these organization is that these meals are extremely helpful for the environment and preventing the needless death and torture of animals as well. Notably, animals in SF are especially afflicted due to all the constant building and construction. A friend of mine takes care of feral cats deemed it a massacre. Let's redeem ourselves a bit for how the SF construction has hurt defenseless animals and also be a leader in healthy eating and help the environment: please support 100% plant-based!

Sincerely,  
Victoria Keoleian  
San Francisco CA 94123 (District 2)

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Jessica Tong-Lee](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Food programs vote on 7/20 - please vote for more vegetables, less meat  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 12:07:26 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hii and to whom it may concern,

I know there is a vote scheduled on 7/20 re: food programs. Please consider voting for more vegetables, less meat. At least less beef and pork.

- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.
- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.
- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.

Thanks,  
Jessica (SF constituent)

**From:** [ELIZABETH Rybak](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Sustainable food  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 12:23:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Im interested in relaying & communicating what a amazing idea it would be to start giving vegan meals to inmates. They would be helping the “save the planet” movement and they would become calmer, healthier, more conscious, positive less aggressive human beings. Have them watch documentaries like: The Game Changers, Forks Over Knives, What the health, to inform them and all staff of the scientifically proven benefits of a whole food plant based diet! Raise the level of awareness, and the vibrancy of the whole institution and turn jails into positive Institutions of re-habilitizing change of people vs further pushing them further under into a state of victimhood and shame and negativity.

WE ARE WHAT WE EAT!

It’s time to make changes and be resourceful. This would be a really smart tool to implement as soon as possible. There are only positive outcomes that can come from this. It needs to be considered, discussed and hopefully implemented.

Thank you!

Lisa Rybak

El Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Jill Bittner](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I want you to mandate that these institutions serve only healthy, animal- and environmentally friendly, vegan meals.  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 1:07:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.
- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

**From:** [Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** No more meat!  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 2:33:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.
- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems Combined.

**From:** [Andrea Camacho](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Food programs  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 2:43:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I learned that The San Francisco Board of Supervisors will be voting on Monday, July 20, regarding food programs.

**I urge the board to mandate that institutions serve only healthy, animal- and environmentally friendly, vegan meals.**

- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.
- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

Thank you for your consideration. We still have time to make a positive impact on people's health and pur planet.

Best regards,

Andrea C.

**From:** [Nancy Loewen](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please San Francisco -- serve only vegan meals at your institutions  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 2:56:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I am writing regarding the upcoming vote on Monday, July 20, 2020 to urge you all to decide that San Francisco will serve only vegan meals at its various city institutions. This will be such a positive decision for San Franciscans, the environment, the animals, and for helping to prevent the next pandemic -- these killer viruses emerge from caged animals, slaughterhouses and meat markets.

By taking this step, San Francisco will provide a wonderful example of how, in one fell swoop, this City can help to improve the health of its citizens, protect the environment and save countless animals from suffering horrific slaughter at the hands of workers who suffer terrible injuries and mistreatment to produce food that we do not even need for our health. By serving delicious vegan food at its institutions and functions, San Francisco will truly be in alignment with its name, inspired by St. Francis of Assisi.

Sincerely,

Nancy Loewen  
317 Judah Street  
San Francisco, CA 94122

**From:** [sfsonshine@aol.com](mailto:sfsonshine@aol.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** City Meals  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 3:07:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a citizen that was born and raised in the City, I write you regarding food programs, including how much meat, eggs, and dairy should be on the menu for hospitals, jails, and schools. **I urge the board to mandate that these institutions serve only healthy, animal- and environmentally friendly, vegan meals.**

- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.
- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

Thank you for your consideration.

Desiree Mitchell  
San Francisco



**From:** [Cynthia Barrett](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** A Modest Proposal  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 5:56:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am just one individual so it is unlikely my opinion will make change in local policy. However, I would like to share that voting on public food programs is a great opportunity to promote healthy change in our community, and lead the world in doing so.

I think it would be wonderful to reinforce our commitment to the health of our community, and of the earth, by limiting animal products in meals served in schools, jails, and other public programs. A vegan or plant-based diet is cheaper, healthier, and more environmentally sustainable. Those we take care of in the community through food programs deserve food that will support their physical & mental health, and we as a community deserve a healthier habitat, one which is supported by a diet based mainly or entirely on plants.

It can be overwhelming to try to reorient to a new way of eating, even just as an individual, so trying to change the city's food programs in this way would be especially taxing - knowing what to serve, how to provide sufficient protein and other nutrients, and creating entirely new meal plans must seem like a great burden. To assist with the huge change that would be needed, please know that there are many supportive citizens in the community who would jump at the chance to volunteer their knowledge of food sourcing, diet, cooking, and meal-planning to support the city in this endeavor. I'm just one of them :)

Thank you so much for your attention. I appreciate your work and wish you all the best!

Sincerely,  
Cynthia

**From:** [Sharon](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Plant-based foods  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 9:19:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I hear you're voting very soon on food menus in San Francisco. As a vegan/vegetarian for the better part of three decades and native San Francisco voter, I urge you to consider the health of San Franciscans, the environment, and the animals, in support of plant-based food. No body needs meat, milk or eggs.

Sincerely yours,

Sharon Leong  
293 18th Ave.  
SF, CA 94122

**From:** [Jonathan McKim](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Board of Supervisors to Vote on Monday Regarding Food Programs  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 8:58:20 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.
- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

--

Jonathan McKim, AIA, NCARB, CDT  
MCKIM Architectural Atelier  
250 Douglass Street, Cottage 16  
San Francisco, CA 94114  
P: (310) 908-6564  
W: [www.mckimaa.com](http://www.mckimaa.com)

**From:** [Joyce](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Asking for your vote  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 9:17:15 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please, I urge you to mandate that these institutions only serve healthy and animal or environmentally friendly vegan meals. The global implications are well documented that plant-based diets are best for humans and scientific studies have found that poor food choices may lead to diabetes and other health problems that face many minorities. These choices also come with the bonus of being cost effective.

Thank you for acknowledging my concerns.

Joyce Thornton  
San Francisco resident since 1976



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](https://www.avast.com)

**From:** [Gwen McClure](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Food Program vote-Monday, July 20  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 9:32:30 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear, San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

**Please mandate hospitals, jails and schools to serve only healthy, animal- and environmentally friendly, vegan meals!!!**

- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.
- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

Sincerely, Gwen McClure

**From:** [Silvia Kellum](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Food programs  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 12:17:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a registered dietitian and I use the science to educate my patients on the importance of vegetables and fruits and whole grains . The evidence is clear we don't need to eat animal products to live longer and healthier, that's the opposite. I urge the board to vote for a healthier meal programs , with vegan options on the menu daily .

Thanks

Silvia Kellum

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Patricia Policicchio](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Menus  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 9:30:20 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Regarding the food programs for institutions in San Francisco... please seriously consider including only healthy, animal and environmentally friendly vegan meals in hospitals, jails, and schools. Or try to limit the amount of non plant-based options on the menus.

Thank you for your time. Take care and be well.

All the best,  
Patricia Policicchio

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Davy Davidson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Plant-based Eating Now  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 8:59:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello SF Board of Supervisors,

On Monday, July 20 I urge you to adopt at least 50% plant-based menus for hospitals, schools and jails. For our health, for our planet, even the American Culinary Institute advises this is the future! <https://www.plantforwardkitchen.org>

Many Bay area physicians are signing on to a letter asking the publicly funded hospitals in SF to move to more plant-based menus for patients and staff eating there. Very exciting!

Sodexo, a major U.S. distributor to institutions has already prepared plant-based menus and food supplies. <https://www.sodexo.com/all-inspired-thinking/future-50-launch.html>

It's time! Europe has been out in front: Health Care Without Harm in 2018:

[Plant-based diets and the transition to healthy and sustainable food in healthcare](#)

Thank you for voting "yes" to plant-based foods in our public institutions!

Ms. Davy Davidson  
Los Altos Hills, CA



**From:** [Victor Kamendrowsky](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Healthy food  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 12:47:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Beans and bean products are a healthier source of protein than meat and eggs. Combined with nuts and whole grains,, fruits and vegetables they provide a healthy, balanced, and tasty diet.

**From:** [Gavrilah Wells](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please mandate these institutions serve only healthy, animal- and environmentally friendly, vegetarian meals  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:13:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for all the good work you do in San Francisco. I feel so blessed to be a San Francisco native and to have all of working diligently making our city a much better and more humane and conscientious place to live. Tomorrow, July 20, you will be asked to vote on food programs, including how much meat, eggs, and dairy should be on the menu for hospitals, jails, and schools.

**I urge you to consider the below when voting tomorrow.**

- **The harm that the meat industry causes is coming to light in mainstream media. No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegetarian and vegan options that are delicious and healthy, we should encourage to provide healthy, vegan eating wherever we can.
- **Animal flesh is filled with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which are linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

Warm wishes,  
Gavrilah Wells  
San Francisco, CA 94114

**From:** [Donna Staton](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please vote plant-based on Monday the 20th  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:57:57 PM  
**Attachments:** [PastedGraphic-1.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



P.O. Box 539  
Los Altos, CA 94023-0539  
[www.GreenTownLosAltos.org](http://www.GreenTownLosAltos.org)

July 19, 2020

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I am writing as a Board Member of GreenTown Los Altos and as a pediatrician with backgrounds in nutrition and public health to urge you to vote tomorrow (Monday, July 20) to adopt at least 50% plant-based meals for our public institutions, including schools, hospitals and jails.

Simply put, this is a true win-win-win for our citizens, for the environment, and for our budgets!

Obesity, Type II diabetes, hypertension and heart disease are all fueled by consumption of saturated fats, so common in meat dishes served by commercial kitchens.

The way we raise and bring to market livestock in this country leaves so much to be desired. Globally, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

Plant-based meals are an economical source of excellent nutrition.

Let's lead by example: the downstream benefits of a decision such as this are enormously positive!

Thank you for your leadership!

Sincerely,  
Donna

*Donna M. Staton, MD, MPH*  
*Pediatrician*  
*Board Member, GreenTown Los Altos*

**From:** [jeannette smith](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Stop the mistreatment of animals  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 8:26:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I humbly ask you to stop the of animals.

We have so many healthy options with the vegan diet. So many people died of cancer and other illnesses because of Eating meat, So many animals suffer.

Please consider other options .

Thank you.

Nettie Smith

415-233-0297

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** 9 letters regarding File No. 200244  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 2:53:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [9 letters regarding File No. 200244.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 9 letters regarding File No. 200244.

**File No. 200244** - Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to introduce standards and goals for food purchasing by the Department of Public Health and Sheriff's Department in hospitals and jails.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701  
[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [aeboken](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING Rules Committee Agenda Item #3 Administrative Code - Food Purchasing Standards and Departmental Goals File #200244  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:12:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

I am strongly supporting the creation of standards and goals for purchasing food for the hospital and jails.

I support purchasing food based not only on lowest cost and convenience but also on nutritional quality and best value.

Eileen Boken  
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods\*

\* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

**From:** [Ramona Draeger](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support Vegan meals only  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:34:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Board of Supervisors: please mandate that these institutions serve only healthy, animal- and environmentally friendly, vegan meals.**

Vegan food



- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.



- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

Peace for All Animals,  
Ramona Draeger

**From:** [Pam and Roy Webb](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:14:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please vote to mandate that hospitals, jails and schools serve only healthy, vegan meals. This change will make a dramatic improvement in the health of those who eat these meals and support companies and products that do not damage the environment and hurt animals.

Thank you,

Pam Webb

---

**From:** [Pam and Roy Webb](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support Plant Based Foods for Institutions  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:18:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please vote to mandate that hospitals, jails and schools serve only healthy, plant based meals. This change will make a dramatic improvement in the health of those who eat these meals and support companies and products that do not damage the environment and hurt animals.

Thank you,

Pam Webb

**From:** [Megan Webb](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support Improved Meals  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:22:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please vote to mandate that hospitals, jails and schools serve only healthy, plant based meals. This change will make a dramatic improvement in the health of those who eat these meals and support companies and products that do not damage the environment and hurt animals.

Thank you,

Megan Webb

**From:** [Kris Jensen](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Adopt 50 Percent Plant-Based Menus!  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:51:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honored Board of Supervisors:

On Monday, July 20 I urge you to adopt at least 50 percent plant-based menus for hospitals, schools and jails. This is for our health and for our planet. Even the American Culinary Institute advises this is the future.

Additionally, many Bay area physicians are signing on to a letter asking publicly funded hospitals in San Francisco to move to more plant-based menus for patients and staff eating there. And even Sodexo, a major U.S. distributor to institutions, has prepared plant-based menus and food supplies. The time is now to make this change.

Thank you for voting "yes" to plant-based foods in our public institutions.

Kris

--

Kris Jensen  
(pronouns: he/him/his)  
Executive Director  
[GreenTown Los Altos](#)

mobile - 650.521.1536  
email - [kris@greentownlosaltos.org](mailto:kris@greentownlosaltos.org)

*{office hours every Wednesday at Red Berry in downtown Los Altos}*

**From:** [rochelle9@gmail.com](mailto:rochelle9@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** PETA's campaign  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 2:04:24 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom this may concern:

I support PETA's campaign and agree with the following points:

- **No one needs to eat meat**, yet every year, billions of animals are mutilated without painkillers and violently killed for their flesh. When the food industry is producing superior vegan options that are delicious and healthy and we know the harm that the meat industry causes, we should encourage and provide for healthy, vegan eating where we can.
- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

I trust these are sufficient points to consider.

My best,  
Dr Rochelle Suri, PhD, MFT

--

[www.rochellesuri.com](http://www.rochellesuri.com)

**From:** [Mohan Gurunathan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please vote for AT LEAST 50% plant based menus for hospitals, schools and jails!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 8:27:44 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello SF Board of Supervisors,

On Monday, July 20 I urge you to adopt at least 50% plant-based menus for hospitals, schools and jails. For our health, for our planet, even the American Culinary Institute advises this is the future! <https://www.plantforwardkitchen.org>

Many Bay area physicians are signing on to a letter asking the publicly funded hospitals in SF to move to more plant-based menus for patients and staff eating there. Very exciting!

Sodexo, a major U.S. distributor to institutions has already prepared plant-based menus and food supplies. <https://www.sodexo.com/all-inspired-thinking/future-50-launch.html>

It's time! Europe has been out in front: Health Care Without Harm in 2018: [Plant-based diets and the transition to healthy and sustainable food in healthcare](#)

Thank you for voting "yes" to plant-based foods in our public institutions!

Mr. Mohan Gurunathan  
Mountain View, California

**From:** [Antonelle Racelis](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment about the Good Food Purchasing Program  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 11:17:55 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Antonelle Racelis, and I'm submitting a public comment about the Good Food Purchasing Program ordinance that's being proposed by Supervisor Fewer. I appreciate that this food policy has climate goals, but I believe it can go even further. Animal agriculture is a breeding ground for future pandemics like bird flu and swine flu, and it is a major driver of deforestation and our climate emergency. After everything the world has been through, especially here in the US, more has to be done in order to prevent future pandemics from happening. San Francisco needs to divest and defund animal agriculture by transitioning to a 100% plant-based purchasing policy. Thank you.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** 6 letters regarding File No. 200638  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 3:03:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [6 letters regarding File No. 200638.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 6 letters regarding File No. 200638.

**File No. 200638** - Emergency ordinance to establish cleaning and disease prevention standards in tourist hotels and large commercial office buildings to help contain COVID-19; to require training related to these standards for employees on paid time and to provide certain protections to employees as they perform cleaning duties; to prohibit retaliation against employees for refusing to perform work under conditions they believe may be unsafe or for reporting such conditions or exercising rights protected by the ordinance; and providing for administrative enforcement by the Department of Public Health and financial penalties as authorized by state law.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701  
[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Justin Epps](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** CWI 2 San Francisco Hotel Request to Repeal Emergency Ordinance File #200638 Cleaning and Disease Prevention  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 11:35:14 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

On behalf of the owner of The Ritz-Carlton San Francisco, CWI 2 San Francisco Hotel, LP, I am writing to ask that you repeal the Emergency Ordinance – Cleaning and Disease Prevention Standards in Tourist Hotels and Large Commercial Office Buildings File #200638. The health and safety of the hotel's employees and guests has always been the hotel's highest priority. This remains a particularly important concern for us in the midst of the COVID-19 crisis. Simply put, the hotel strongly requests the Ordinance be repealed; health guidelines must be determined by the medical experts in the Department of Health, not by the Board of Supervisors.

San Francisco hotels already have guidelines developed by the Hotel Council of San Francisco, California Hotel & Lodging, and American Hotel & Lodging that are all based on medical expert guidance from CDC, CDPH and CAL OSHA guidelines. Additionally, the Ritz-Carlton brand, a subsidiary of Marriott International, has also already adopted stringent COVID 19-era protocols for health and safety, such as Marriott's *Committed to Clean* program.

We believe the Ordinance will place employees at our hotel at increased risk of contracting COVID 19 as a result of its daily room cleaning mandate, which will inherently result in greater close-quarters interaction between employees and guests. We are aware of no scientific data supporting the proposition that daily room cleaning will reduce the transmission of COVID 19. Additionally, the Ordinance's daily linen and towel cleaning requirements are obviously contrary to City's environmental and sustainability goals.

Lastly, please understand that with this Ordinance in place, we are now unsure of when we will be able to resume operations. For all these reasons, we ask that you repeal this ordinance and allow the medical experts to provide the guidance.

Thank you.

**Justin Epps**

Vice President, Asset Management | Watermark Lodging Trust, Inc.

150 North Riverside Plaza, Suite 4200, Chicago, IL 60606

p: (847) 482-8600 | d: (847) 582-9983 | c: (312) 213-2177 | [epps@watermarklodging.com](mailto:epps@watermarklodging.com)

[www.watermarklodging.com](http://www.watermarklodging.com)



**Effective April 13, 2020 Carey Watermark Investors 1 Inc. and Carey Watermark Investors 2 Inc. merged to create Watermark Lodging Trust, Inc. Please use the new contact information and email address noted above. Thank you.**

The information contained in this electronic transmission (and/or the documents attached to it) may be confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which this transmission is addressed and named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone or by reply electronic messaging and delete the received transmission and any attached documents. Unless expressly stated in this transmission, nothing in this transmission (or any documents attached to it) shall be construed as a digital or electronic signature or electronic agreement of the sender or anyone on whose behalf this transmission may be sent.

**From:** [Paul Fisher](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Healthy Building Ordinance  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:07:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a California hotelier, I urge you to keep politics out of public health and support the hotel industry's efforts to protect employees and guests. As the San Francisco hotel industry seeks to rebound from the collapse of demand due to the coronavirus pandemic, ensuring the safety of guests and hotel associates is paramount. Unfortunately, the recently enacted "Healthy Buildings Ordinance" has the potential to increase exposure of our workforce and our guests to COVID-19 and create an incredible strain on business operations. The emergency ordinance requires cleaning protocols that are not grounded in California or federal guidance and would effectively require a hotel to be entirely cleaned and sanitized multiple times a day. The ordinance puts hotel employees at greater risk of exposure to COVID-19, will delay those workers' return to work and adds costly and unnecessary cleaning requirements to a single industry while exempting all public buildings such as BART stations, jails, and even your own offices. The hotel industry already has launched an industry-wide, enhanced standard of health and safety protocols, called Safe Stay, designed in accordance with the CDC to meet the needs of the current public health crisis and assure our guests and our employees that hotels are safe. And to further protect employees, we have launched the Safe Stay Guest Checklist, emphasizing the need for face coverings by guests in hotels' public spaces.

Allowing this ordinance to stay on the books will result in hundreds of millions of dollars in tax revenue lost, impacting important funding for education, transportation, parks, and other vital government services. Reopen hotels safely, repeal this bad policy!

Sincerely,

**Paul Fisher**

Vice President, Asset Management | Watermark Lodging Trust, Inc.

150 North Riverside Plaza, Suite 4200, Chicago, IL 60606

p: (847) 482-8600 | d: (847) 582-9981 | c: 908-670-5559 | [fisher@watermarklodging.com](mailto:fisher@watermarklodging.com)

[www.watermarklodging.com](http://www.watermarklodging.com)



The information contained in this electronic transmission (and/or the documents attached to it) may be confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which this transmission is addressed and named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone or by reply electronic messaging and delete the received transmission and any attached documents. Unless expressly stated in this transmission, nothing in this transmission (or any documents attached to it) shall be construed as a digital or electronic signature or electronic agreement of the sender or anyone on whose behalf this transmission may be sent.



**From:** [Paul Martinez](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Healthy Building ordinance should NOT be passed  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:54:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please Board of Supervisors do NOT approve the Healthy Building ordinance. This new ordinance unfairly targets hotel workers and is a hotel jobs killer.

Sincerely,

Paul

**Paul Martinez**  
**951.415.4273**



*Great Chemistry Without the Bad Chemicals*



**From:** [Vandesteeg, Janice](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Repeal the Healthy Buildings Ordinance Today  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:58:31 PM  
**Attachments:** [image003.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a California hotelier, I urge you to keep politics out of public health and support the hotel industry's efforts to protect employees and guests. As the San Francisco hotel industry seeks to rebound from the collapse of demand due to the coronavirus pandemic, ensuring the safety of guests and hotel associates is paramount. Unfortunately, the recently enacted "Healthy Buildings Ordinance" has the potential to increase exposure of our workforce and our guests to COVID-19 and create an incredible strain on business operations.

The emergency ordinance requires cleaning protocols that are not grounded in California or federal guidance and would effectively require a hotel to be entirely cleaned and sanitized multiple times a day.

The ordinance puts hotel employees at greater risk of exposure to COVID-19, will delay those workers' return to work and adds costly and unnecessary cleaning requirements to a single industry while exempting all public buildings such as BART stations, jails, and even your own offices.

The hotel industry already has launched an industry-wide, enhanced standard of health and safety protocols, called Safe Stay, designed in accordance with the CDC to meet the needs of the current public health crisis and assure our guests and our employees that hotels are safe. And to further protect employees, we have launched the Safe Stay Guest Checklist, emphasizing the need for face coverings by guests in hotels' public spaces.

Allowing this ordinance to stay on the books will result in hundreds of millions of dollars in tax revenue lost, impacting important funding for education, transportation, parks, and other vital government services.

Reopen hotels safely, repeal this bad policy!

Sincerely,

Janice M. Vande Steeg

Vice president of Operations



---

**JANICE M. VANDE STEEG** | Vice President of Operations | **M 714-272-1558** | [AimbridgeHospitality.com](http://AimbridgeHospitality.com)

-



**From:** [Linda Yau](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Repeal the Healthy Buildings Ordinance  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 1:37:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a California hotelier, I urge you to keep politics out of public health and support the hotel industry's efforts to protect employees and guests. As the San Francisco hotel industry seeks to rebound from the collapse of demand due to the coronavirus pandemic, ensuring the safety of guests and hotel associates is paramount. Unfortunately, the recently enacted "Healthy Buildings Ordinance" has the potential to increase exposure of our workforce and our guests to COVID-19 and create an incredible strain on business operations.

The emergency ordinance requires cleaning protocols that are not grounded in California or federal guidance and would effectively require a hotel to be entirely cleaned and sanitized multiple times a day. The ordinance puts hotel employees at greater risk of exposure to COVID-19, will delay those workers' return to work and adds costly and unnecessary cleaning requirements to a single industry while exempting all public buildings such as BART stations, jails, and even your own offices.

The hotel industry already has launched an industry-wide, enhanced standard of health and safety protocols, called Safe Stay, designed in accordance with the CDC to meet the needs of the current public health crisis and assure our guests and our employees that hotels are safe. And to further protect employees, we have launched the Safe Stay Guest Checklist, emphasizing the need for face coverings by guests in hotels' public spaces.

Allowing this ordinance to stay on the books will result in hundreds of millions of dollars in tax revenue lost, impacting important funding for education, transportation, parks, and other vital government services.

**Reopen hotels safely, repeal this bad policy!**

Sincerely,

Linda Yau  
Vice President, Asset Management  
Pebblebrook Hotel Trust (NYSE: PEB)  
**Please note new address:**  
4747 Bethesda Avenue, Suite 1100  
Bethesda, MD 20814

240.507.1367 (O)

347.256.7381 (M)

[lyau@pebblebrookhotels.com](mailto:lyau@pebblebrookhotels.com)

**From:** [Knowles, Andrea](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Request to Repeal Healthy Buildings Ordinance  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:25:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I hope this email finds you safe and well. I applaud your efforts as we manage this global pandemic. As a California hotelier, I urge you to keep politics out of public health and support the hotel industry's efforts to protect employees and guests. As the San Francisco hotel industry seeks to rebound from the collapse of demand due to the coronavirus pandemic, ensuring the safety of guests and hotel associates is paramount. Unfortunately, the recently enacted "Healthy Buildings Ordinance" has the potential to increase exposure of our workforce and our guests to COVID-19 and create an incredible strain on business operations.

The emergency ordinance requires cleaning protocols that are not grounded in California or federal guidance and would effectively require a hotel to be entirely cleaned and sanitized multiple times a day. The ordinance puts hotel employees at greater risk of exposure to COVID-19, will delay those workers' return to work and adds costly and unnecessary cleaning requirements to a single industry while exempting all public buildings such as BART stations, jails, and even your own offices.

The hotel industry already has launched an industry-wide, enhanced standard of health and safety protocols, called Safe Stay, designed in accordance with the CDC to meet the needs of the current public health crisis and assure our guests and our employees that hotels are safe. And to further protect employees, we have launched the Safe Stay Guest Checklist, emphasizing the need for face coverings by guests in hotels' public spaces.

Allowing this ordinance to stay on the books will result in hundreds of millions of dollars in tax revenue lost, impacting important funding for education, transportation, parks, and other vital government services.

**Reopen hotels safely, repeal this bad policy!**

Sincerely,

**ANDREA KNOWLES**

Dual General Manager  
Courtyard & Residence Inn L.A. LIVE  
901 West Olympic Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90015  
O – 213.254.4971

[www.marriott.com/laxld](http://www.marriott.com/laxld)

[www.marriott.com/laxri](http://www.marriott.com/laxri)

## [An Update from Our CEO: Marriott's Commitment to Cleanliness](#)

This communication contains information from Marriott International, Inc. that may be confidential. Except for personal use by the intended recipient, or as expressly authorized by the sender, any person who receives this information is prohibited from disclosing, copying, distributing, and/or using it. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately delete it and all copies, and promptly notify the sender. Nothing in this communication is intended to operate as an electronic signature under applicable law.

**From:** [David Wieand](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Reallocating Police Funding to the Black Community  
**Date:** Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:39:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is David Wieand, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past month, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

I applaud Mayor Breed and Supervisor Walton for their bold leadership in this regard.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness and investing further in youth programs, restorative justice, and mental health workers to keep the community safe. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness and unrest a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

David Wieand  
70 Divisadero Street  
[dwieand@gmail.com](mailto:dwieand@gmail.com)

**From:** [Mookie Margolis](#)  
**Subject:** DEFUND THE POLICE: Stop harassing, arresting, and murdering black people! We have had it with the police killing our friends and family.  
**Date:** Sunday, July 12, 2020 7:26:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is [YOUR NAME], and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,  
Mookie Margolis

mookiemargo@gmail.com

415-571-4891

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Mookie Margolis](#)  
**Subject:** DEFUND THE POLICE: Stop harassing, arresting, and murdering innocent black people!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 12, 2020 7:32:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Mookie Margolis, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past week, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Mookie Margolis

5907 California St. San Francisco, CA 94121

mookiemargo@gmail.com

415-571-4891

Sent from my MacBook

**From:** [Stephanie Kennell-Heiling](#)  
**To:** [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff. \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney; District Attorney. \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)  
**Subject:** Change the funding!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 14, 2020 9:44:11 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Stephanie Kennell-Heiling, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This past month, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD should not be the primary recipient of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see unfruitful actions of our police.

I call on you to decrease the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Kennell-Heiling  
1458 20th Ave Apt 101



**From:** [Natalie Morris](#)  
**Subject:** Divest from Police, Invest in Community  
**Date:** Thursday, July 16, 2020 5:52:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Natalie Morris, I am a San Francisco resident of District 9 and I am writing (once again) to demand that SFPD prioritize divesting from its police department

In the wake of this summer's international protests, I want to remind our elected officials that our country is calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen significant improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we see wasteful and harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Thank you for your time,

Natalie Morris

**From:** [Lesly Miranda](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Divest from the SFPD Into Impacted Communities  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 12:05:21 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, specifically the Budget & Finance Committee of Supervisors Sandra Fewer, Shamann Walton, and Rafael Mandelman,

I am Lesly Miranda, a resident of the Excelsior district, and I am emailing today to demand a divestation of funds from San Francisco's police department and redistribution towards social services focused on community safety and health. These include: San Francisco Human Services Agency, Adult Protective Services, SF Dept of Emergency Preparedness and Assistance, Medi-Cal, CalWORKS, County Adult Assistance Programs, JobsNOW!, Families Rising, Family and Children's Services, and SF Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, for starters.

As you are reviewing Mayor London Breed's proposed city budget for the next two years, I know SF's financial outlook has changed drastically as a result of COVID-19 and many social services are up for budget cuts. I also know that the SFPD has a history of fatal police shootings (the murders of Alex Nieto, Amilcar Perez-Lopez, Mario Woods, Luis Gongora Pat, Jessica Williams, the list goes on), and countless reports of officer misconduct and brutality that continue to persist despite efforts by the District Attorney and public defenders to press charges, and despite implementation of additional bias trainings and other reforms from both a local and federal level. These harmful issues persist and change MUST happen. Failure to do so would be FATAL for the suffering black and indigenous members of gentrified San Francisco.

(With funds that are directed to the police department I ask that additional efforts towards ending police violence be made, outlined in Campaign Zero (<https://www.joincampaignzero.org/solutions#solutionsoverview>).)

I am demanding that you remove funds from this institution that violently responds to community concerns and move these vital funds to services that work to de-escalate crime before it has the chance to emerge. These services, initiatives such as affordable housing, youth programs, social worker support, mental health support, and crisis intervention and hotlines, are better equipped to protect our communities both now and long-term than police departments that have a consistent and inexcusable history of violence and excessive force, specifically against communities of color, as we are seeing at a local and national level from police departments around the world.

The root of police violence is police. There is no other viable solution other than removing them from the equation replacing them with effective social services. For too long our most vulnerable members of the community are criminalized and targeted for circumstances perpetuated by the very systems and policies that continue to ignore and exacerbate a housing crisis in the middle of a WORLDWIDE pandemic. We will be watching very closely.

Sincerely,  
Lesly

**From:** [ponger88](#)  
**Subject:** Defund San Francisco Police  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 9:16:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Leo Pong, and I am a resident of San Francisco. Do something for Breonna Taylor.

Sincerely,

Leo Pong

708 Dorado Terrace  
San Francisco, California 94112

[ponger88@yahoo.com](mailto:ponger88@yahoo.com)

4158289757

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Marci Seville](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Chu, Carmen \(ASR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [District Attorney \(DAT\)](#); [SFSO Complaints \(SHF\)](#); [Cisneros, Jose \(TTX\)](#); [Raju, Manohar \(PDR\)](#); [MarkSanchez@sfusd.edu](#); [GabrielaLopez@sfusd.edu](#); [AlisonMCollins](#); [StevonCook](#); [JennyLam@sfusd.edu](#); [FaauugaMoliga@sfusd.edu](#); [RachelNorton@sfusd.edu](#)  
**Subject:** Need for reallocation of resources spent on policing  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 11:51:43 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Marci Seville and I am a resident of San Francisco. In recent weeks our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. It has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD is receiving a greater proportion of the city budget than necessary. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, but have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city. Instead, we often see harmful actions of our police.

I call on you to cut the SFPD budget and instead use those resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt disproportionately by our Black and Latinx neighbors and veterans. People are living in tents throughout the city and we can anticipate more homelessness as a result of the Covid pandemic. I urge you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,

Marci Seville

521 Elizabeth Street

San Francisco, CA 94114

415-794-7204

[marci.seville@gmail.com](mailto:marci.seville@gmail.com)

**From:** [Mary Plant-Thomas](#)  
**Subject:** Reallocate Police Budget  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:58:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers,

My name is Mary Plant-Thomas, and I am a resident of San Francisco. This summer, our nation has been gripped by protests calling for rapid and meaningful change with regard to police behavior, an end to racism and anti-Blackness, and immediate reform in how Black people are treated in America. Our city has been at the forefront of much of this action. Accordingly, it has come to my attention that the budget for 2021 is being decided as these protests continue.

SFPD has been a waste of our resources. Last year, the SFPD budget was \$611,701,869, the majority of which comes from the San Francisco general fund. While we've been spending extraordinary amounts on policing, we have not seen improvements to safety, homelessness, mental health, or affordability in our city.

I call on you to slash the SFPD budget and instead use those extraordinary resources towards solving homelessness, which is felt most by our Black neighbors and veterans. We implore you to give every member of our community experiencing homelessness a place to call home and the treatment they need.

We can be a beacon for other cities to follow if only we have the courage to change.

Sincerely,  
Mary Plant-Thomas  
453A Fulton St.

--

(858) 336-3549

Biology Teacher & Faculty Representative to the Board  
**Gateway High School**

**From:** [Luma Nichol](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Cut the SFPD budget  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 3:52:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors:

My name is Luma Nichol. I live in Glen Park and today I am speaking on behalf of a newly formed coalition to rein in the SF police.

We seek a 50% reduction in the budget of the SFPD and that these funds be allocated for desperately needed services such as affordable housing, healthcare, education and more.

SFPD's budget is bloated at of about \$736 million dollars. San Francisco spends more per capita on policing than most major cities.

And what do we get for that? Just look at the Black population of our city. Black people make up just 5% of San Francisco's but 35% of the homeless, 54% of the jail population, and 40% of people who are killed by police. **We need to *house* Black San Franciscans, *not* leave them on the streets, jail them, and murder them.**

Also victimized by the police are trans people, the homeless, protesters, immigrants, and all variety of people of color.

The police have military grade weaponry they do not need. The working people of SF are not the enemy!

Ever since social service budgets were cut by the advocates of small government and privatization, the police have been called on in cases of mental health crises, killing too many of those in desperate need of professional psychological help. They are too often the front line when issues with the unhoused arise and too many of our homeless brothers and sisters have been harassed as a result. They are a main resource with domestic violence calls, many made worse by their armed intervention. And they are used against protesters exercising our First Amendment Rights. Enough!

As many of you referenced, in these uncertain times revenue to the city coffers is in jeopardy. Cutting the police budget is one way to provide for more and better social services to address our city's many problems. 50% is the *minimum* the budget should be cut.

Thank you.

Luma Nichol

7/21/2020



**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SVLG SUPPORT for Caltrain 1/8 cent sales tax on November 2020 ballot  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:59:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [SVLG Support Letter for Caltrain.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Stephen Tu <stu@svlg.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 17, 2020 3:54 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** SVLG SUPPORT for Caltrain 1/8 cent sales tax on November 2020 ballot

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

President Yee and Supervisors Fewer, Stefani, Peskin, Mar, Preston, Haney, Mandelman, Ronen, Walton, and Safai:

Please see attached for a **letter of SUPPORT** from the Silicon Valley Leadership Group for considering placement of the Caltrain 1/8 cent sales tax on the November 2020 ballot.

Kind regards,  
 Stephen Tu

--

**Stephen Tu, AICP**

Director, Transportation Policy

O: 408.501.7870 | M: 510.557.8573

Connect with us: [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Facebook](#)

*Silicon Valley: Better Together*



2001 Gateway Place, Suite 101E  
San Jose, California 95110  
(408)501-7864 svlg.org

CARL GUARDINO  
President & CEO

**Board Officers:**  
STEVE MILLIGAN, Chair  
Western Digital Corporation  
JAMES GUTIERREZ, Vice Chair  
Aura  
RAQUEL GONZALEZ, Treasurer  
Bank of America  
GREG BECKER, Former Chair  
Silicon Valley Bank  
STEVE BERGLUND, Former Chair  
Trimble Inc.  
AART DE GEUS, Former Chair  
Synopsis  
TOM WERNER, Former Chair  
SunPower

**Board Members:**  
BOBBY BELL  
KLA  
DAWNET BEVERLEY  
Donnelley Financial Solutions  
CARLA BORAGNO  
Genentech  
CHRIS BOYD  
Kaiser Permanente  
RAMI BRANTZKY  
Sapphire Ventures  
JOE BURTON  
Poly  
LISA DANIELS  
KPMG  
TOM FALLON  
Infirera  
VINTAGE FOSTER  
AMF Media Group  
JOHN GAUDER  
Comcast  
KEN GOLDMAN  
Hillspire  
DOUG GRAHAM  
Lockheed Martin  
LAURA GUIO  
IBM  
STEFAN HECK  
Nauto  
ROBERT HOFFMAN  
Accenture  
ERIC HOUSER  
Wells Fargo Bank  
JULIA HU  
Lark  
VICKI HUFF ECKERT  
PwC  
AIDAN HUGHES  
ARUP  
MARY HUSS  
SVBJ/SF Business Times  
MICHAEL ISIP  
KQED  
RHONDA JOHNSON  
AT&T  
TRAVIS Kiyota  
East West Bank  
IBI KRUKUBO  
EY  
ERIC KUTCHER  
McKinsey & Company  
BRENT LADD  
Stryker  
JANET LAMKIN  
United Airlines  
ENRIQUE LORES  
HP Inc.  
ALAN LOWE  
Lumentum  
MATT MAHAN  
Brigade  
TARKAN MANER  
Nexenta  
BEN MINICUCCI  
Alaska Airlines  
KATHLEEN MURRAY  
SAP  
BILL NAGEL  
San Francisco Chronicle  
STACY OWEN  
NBC Universal  
MARY PAPAIZIAN  
San Jose State University  
JES PEDERSEN  
Webcor Builders  
KIM POLESE  
ClearStreet  
RYAN POPPLE  
Proterra  
RUDY REYES  
Verizon  
SHARON RYAN  
Bay Area News Group  
TOMI RYBA  
Regional Medical Center San Jose  
JEFF THOMAS  
Nasdaq  
JED YORK  
San Francisco 49ers  
ERIC YUAN  
Zoom  
Established in 1978 by  
David Packard

July 17, 2020

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

## Re: Urgent Action to Consider the Caltrain 1/8-cent sales tax on the November 2020 ballot

Dear President Yee and Supervisors Fewer, Stefani, Peskin, Mar, Preston, Haney, Mandelman, Ronen, Walton, and Safai:

There is insufficient funding for transit in our region. Federal money has helped stem the bleeding, but as deliberations at the Blue Ribbon Commission on transit recovery and recent vote at the Metropolitan Transportation Commission's (MTC's) Programming and Allocations committee made clear, we are looking at a future of very hard choices when it comes to transit funding across the Bay Area.

**We urge the Board of Supervisors to consider urgent action, with grace and cooperative spirit, to allow the Caltrain one-eighth cent sales tax to proceed to the November 2020 ballot.** It has never been more clear that we need to grow the pie so that our entire transit system can be more financially stable. This offers the vehicle we need to finally provide Caltrain the dedicated source of operating funding to run the quality transportation that San Francisco Bay Area residents deserve.

Setting Caltrain on a path towards financial independence would not only benefit Caltrain, its riders and the economy it helps fuel, but also would mean agencies like the MTA would gain back badly needed funds - millions of dollars a year that MTA and other partner agencies now contribute to Caltrain -that MTA could use to strengthen its system for the long term as well.

The Leadership Group is proud to be a partner in the longtime effort to secure a dedicated source of funding for Caltrain. We see the recent poll results as heartening, particularly in light of the conditions in which they were taken. If the Caltrain board and all the transit agency boards and Boards of Supervisors involved allow this measure to be put on the ballot, we believe voters will pass it.

The alternative, in the absence of Caltrain funding, is a bleak future of traffic congestion and exodus of jobs and Bay Area residents - where carbon footprints dramatically increase as Californians move out of state. We hope that any agency or board member who is concerned about funding for transit in our region will support growing the pie to make the entire network more stable and better able to meet the needs of our Bay Area.

Thank you for your leadership and service.

Sincerely,

Stephen Tu  
Director, Transportation Policy  
Silicon Valley Leadership Group

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Please save Caltrain SF Board of Supervisors  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:18:00 AM

---

**From:** Joe A. Kunzler <growlernoise@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 7:50 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Falzon, Frankie (BOS) <frankie.falzon@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; rswan@sfnchronicle.com; Alicia Trost <atrost@bart.gov>; shwanika.narayan@sfnchronicle.com; info@seamlessbayarea.org; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Please save Caltrain SF Board of Supervisors

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

19 July 2020

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors;

The Covid19 pandemic hit the same month I planned to take Caltrain most of the way from your wonderful city to SFO. I have read Rachel Swan's + Shwanika Naraya's wonderful journalism the past week in the [San Francisco Chronicle](#) about the Caltrain crisis and been a bit active about it on the Twitters at @TransitGeekJoe. Can you please:

**a)** Override respectfully Supervisor Peskin and get a clean ballot measure to your voters to let them decide whether or not Caltrain is worth saving? As per [a letter from multiple electeds your offices should have received](#) and [Ms. Naraya's journalism tonight](#) the supposed compromise of Supervisor Peskin first [exposed in the Chronicle](#) is nothing short of a high risk, uncertain future of a political maneuver.

**b)** As to the governance issues, please request [Seamless Bay Area](#) present to your Land Use & Transportation Committee on what needs doing next. It's clear to me from my Washington State perch that group is well worth \$10/month to advance a better network, preferably one with an elected board.

Thank you for reading. I sincerely hope to ride Caltrain post-pandemic as I will Muni & BART.

Very thoughtfully;

Joe A. Kunzler  
[growlernoise@gmail.com](mailto:growlernoise@gmail.com)

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: City of Sunnyvale Letter of Support for Caltrain Sales Tax Ballot Measure  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 11:31:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)  
[image005.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[Caltrain-BallotMeasure-SUPPORT-20200720.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Jennifer Nunez <JNunez@sunnyvale.ca.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:13 AM  
**To:** dpine@smcgov.org  
**Cc:** Kent Steffens <KSteffens@sunnyvale.ca.gov>; Teri Silva <TSilva@sunnyvale.ca.gov>; Michelle Zahraie <MZahraie@sunnyvale.ca.gov>; Larry Klein <KleinCouncil@sunnyvale.ca.gov>  
**Subject:** City of Sunnyvale Letter of Support for Caltrain Sales Tax Ballot Measure

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning Chair Pine,

Please see attached letter from Mayor Klein in support of the Caltrain 1/8 cent sales tax ballot measure.

Thank you and please do not hesitate to contact us if you have questions.



Follow us on:



**JENNIFER NUÑEZ** (pronouns: she/her/hers)  
**Executive Assistant – Mayor & City Council**  
Office of the City Manager

Phone (Direct): 408-730-7913  
Mayor & Council (Main) : 408-730-7473  
[Sunnyvale.ca.gov](http://Sunnyvale.ca.gov)

July 20, 2020

Honorable David Pine, Chair  
Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board of Directors  
1250 San Carlos Avenue  
San Carlos, CA 94070  
**Via email: [dpine@smcgov.org](mailto:dpine@smcgov.org)**

**RE: Caltrain 1/8-cent sales tax ballot measure- SUPPORT**

Dear Chair Pine:

Larry Klein  
Mayor

Nancy Smith  
Vice Mayor

Gustav Larsson  
Councilmember

Glenn Hendricks  
Councilmember

Russ Melton  
Councilmember

Michael S. Goldman  
Councilmember

Mason Fong  
Councilmember

On behalf of the City of Sunnyvale, I am writing to express my strong support for the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board's (Caltrain's) efforts to allow voters to consider a one-eighth cent sales tax in the counties of San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara for the purpose of operating, maintaining and improving Caltrain commuter rail service.

Caltrain performs one of the most important transportation services in the state. The Caltrain Corridor closely parallels Highway 101 between San Francisco and San Jose. This portion of Highway 101 is both one of the most congested highway corridors in the country as well as an economic juggernaut. Expanded Caltrain service is needed to help accommodate continued job and population growth throughout a corridor that supports innovative solutions not just for the region but also the state and country.

Caltrain is the only passenger rail service in the Bay Area without a dedicated permanent source of funding. With ridership demand expected to triple by 2040, it is essential that the agency be equipped with the resources to maintain and increase services.

A one-eighth cent sales tax across all three counties would generate approximately \$100 million per year to help support the operation of enhanced Caltrain service levels throughout the corridor from San Francisco to Gilroy. Enhanced service levels will more fully serve expected market demand on the Caltrain corridor over the next decade and beyond. It will deliver many benefits to the Caltrain corridor communities, including:

- increased capacity to support ridership growth
- longer time periods for peak service, and
- additional service in the off-peak periods

July 20, 2020

This enhanced service will lead to a massive increase in service frequency along the Caltrain corridor, resulting in most of Caltrain stations receiving service levels of 4- or 8-trains per hour per direction (as compared to just a handful of stations that receive this level of service today).

In addition to benefiting the Caltrain corridor communities, the enhanced service would allow Caltrain to provide the service and capacity needed to make maximum use of the Downtown Extension once that project is open, and it will be foundational to the development of an integrated regional rail network, including potential future connections with the East Bay via the San Francisco-Oakland Transbay Rail Crossing.

Enhanced service will also allow for greater all-day connectivity to the larger regional transit network, and significantly advances equity on the Caltrain corridor by providing high quality off-peak service that meets the needs of customers who wish to use the system for reasons outside of traditional commuting.

The City of Sunnyvale is supportive of an enhanced electrified Caltrain service and a one-eighth cent sales tax ballot measure is a critical step towards that future. Thank you for consideration of our position and please do not hesitate to contact me if you wish to discuss further.

Sincerely,



Larry Klein  
Mayor

cc: Peninsula Joint Powers Board of Directors  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors  
Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors  
San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors  
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority Board of Directors  
City Council  
Kent Steffens, City Manager  
Teri Silva, Assistant City Manager

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Caltrain 1/8-cent sales tax ballot measure urgency ordinance letter from Mayor Fine  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 11:33:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)  
[image005.png](#)  
[image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[Caltrain sales tax ballot measure urgency ordinance.pdf](#)  
[image002.png](#)

---

**From:** Rice, Danille <Danille.Rice@CityofPaloAlto.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2020 11:30 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Caltrain 1/8-cent sales tax ballot measure urgency ordinance letter from Mayor Fine

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors President Norman Yee,  
On behalf of City Manager Ed Shikada, please find the attached letter signed by Mayor Adrian Fine supporting Caltrain efforts in enacting a one-eighth cent sales tax in the counties of San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara for the purpose of operating, maintaining and improving Caltrain commuter rail service.

Warm regards,  
Danille

**Danille Rice**

Executive Assistant to the City Manager  
(650) 329-2105 | [danille.rice@cityofpaloalto.org](mailto:danille.rice@cityofpaloalto.org)  
[www.cityofpaloalto.org](http://www.cityofpaloalto.org)





July 20, 2020

President Norman Yee  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Pl #244,  
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Caltrain 1/8-cent sales tax ballot measure urgency ordinance

Dear President Yee,

On behalf of the City of Palo Alto, I am writing to express my strong support for the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board's (Caltrain's) efforts in enacting a one-eighth cent sales tax in the counties of San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara for the purpose of operating, maintaining and improving Caltrain commuter rail service.

The City of Palo Alto understands that in the absence of significant ridership gains, Caltrain is likely to run out of operating funds before the end of the year. Given the urgent need to identify new funding, **the San Francisco Board of Supervisors must support an urgency ordinance for a 1/8-cent sales tax on the November 2020 ballot.**

Caltrain provides a vital link in the region's transit network, which provides critical alternatives to single-occupancy vehicle travel. Thousands of essential workers and transit-dependent riders continue to use the service. Former riders have indicated that they are prepared to return to the system when allowed to do so, and as the regional economy continues to struggle, we will likely see a growing number of transit-dependent riders throughout the Bay Area. Allowing Caltrain to fail will leave all of these riders without a transit option. We owe it to the communities we serve to do everything we can to prevent that from happening.

We were excited to learn that Caltrain's recent poll revealed that support for new revenue to maintain and improve Caltrain has increased compared to where it was a year ago. Voters clearly understand how important Caltrain is to regional economic recovery, managing traffic congestion, and enhancing mobility. We cannot let this opportunity to secure Caltrain's future go by. This is an opportunity to save Caltrain, and at the same time create revenue to improve it, tripling ridership and making the system more affordable and accessible for everyone.

Improving Caltrain was always dependent on dedicated funding, but now the system's survival depends on it. Before the pandemic, Caltrain's member agencies signaled that they could not afford to increase their contributions, and now their financial situation is even more precarious. Opportunities to create new funding for transit operations are incredibly limited. With so much at stake, we cannot let this one pass us by.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Adrian Fine', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Adrian Fine  
Mayor  
City of Palo Alto

Cc:

Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board  
San Francisco Mayor London Breed  
San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo  
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors  
Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors  
San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors  
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Agency Board of Directors



**From:** [Kash](#)  
**To:** [Tumlin, Jeffrey \(MTA\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [dave.cortese@bos.sccgov.org](mailto:dave.cortese@bos.sccgov.org); [supervisor.simitian@bos.sccgov.org](mailto:supervisor.simitian@bos.sccgov.org); [cindy.chavez@bos.sccgov.org](mailto:cindy.chavez@bos.sccgov.org); [supervisor.ellenberg@bos.sccgov.org](mailto:supervisor.ellenberg@bos.sccgov.org); [mike.wasserman@bos.sccgov.org](mailto:mike.wasserman@bos.sccgov.org); [Emma@bikesiliconvalley.org](mailto:Emma@bikesiliconvalley.org); [Knight, Heather](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Take Action to Support Funding for Caltrain  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 5:50:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition-

Thank you for making me aware of Caltrain's deep financial problems, but this isn't new. Samtrans' mismanagement of Caltrain goes back decades. They've been crying structural deficit for so long that nobody believes them now that the wolf is actually at the door.

I don't see anything in Caltrain's plan that benefits bicyclists. And I don't know any transit system that has engendered as much raw hatred for the human misery they've caused than Caltrain has by denying bicyclists access to the trains over the years.

I don't think any cycling organization should be shilling for them until they get their act together and start treating us right.

Caltrain has not promised anything that remotely helps with moving people during the Covid crisis, instead presenting a plan that gives lip service to "equity" and promises to publish new schedules. That's not going to cut it.

The solution to the current problem is to increase bicycle capacity on the trains. Caltrain has the space, and with local transit severely cutting back on routes, a last mile solution that gets people to the trains and minimizes covid exposure becomes paramount.

If Caltrain wants to do more for low income workers, then they can support the most economical and flexible transportation that exists; bicycles.


If Caltrain wants to help people minimize their potential covid exposure, then they can support transportation that best does that; bicycles.

The only solution that has been proven to work is for people to ride their bikes to the train, take their bikes on the train, and ride to their destinations. Get Caltrain to commit to actually serving their passengers by guaranteeing sufficient bicycle capacity on the trains, both now and when the crisis is over, and I'll support them.

CORONAVIRUS UPDATE APPOINTMENT ONLY call 415-974-6440 between 11am and 5pm Monday - Friday or email [repairs@warmplanetbikes.com](mailto:repairs@warmplanetbikes.com) to scheule.  
-Kash

On 2020-07-20 4:54 PM, Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition wrote:

[View this email in your browser](#)





Dear Kash,

We'll keep this short and sweet. If you haven't been following Caltrain in the news this past week, the agency is in need of funding to sustain operations. It is attempting to put a sales tax measure on November's ballot. This requires

approval by seven (that's right, SEVEN) boards.

Caltrain has historically been funded by San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara Counties each contributing funds every year and a very high farebox recovery rate (70% of funding comes from fares). Since COVID19, ridership has fallen 95%. While the agency received federal stimulus money, that will soon run out and Caltrain is in danger of significantly reducing service or worse.

Caltrain has also recently been working on [policies to make the service more equitable](#). If the Caltrain board adopts these policies in August, they would be incorporated into the Caltrain business plan, and would therefore guide the spending of the proposed ballot measure, if it moves forward and if it passes.

**Tomorrow, Tuesday, July 21 Santa Clara County (in the morning) and San Francisco Board of Supervisors (in the afternoon) will consider the issue. San Mateo County Boards have already approved the measure to go on the ballot. If you want to vote on a funding measure in November to fund Caltrain, please contact your representatives to ensure that the measure gets to the ballot. Your email should include why Caltrain is important to you. ([More background can be found here.](#))**

- Click here for [Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors](#) or email the below addresses and copy [Emma@bikesiliconvalley.org](mailto:Emma@bikesiliconvalley.org):
  - Dave Cortese: [dave.cortese@bos.sccgov.org](mailto:dave.cortese@bos.sccgov.org)
  - Joe Simitian: [supervisor.simitian@bos.sccgov.org](mailto:supervisor.simitian@bos.sccgov.org)
  - Cindy Chavez: [cindy.chavez@bos.sccgov.org](mailto:cindy.chavez@bos.sccgov.org)
  - Susan Ellenberg: [supervisor.ellenberg@bos.sccgov.org](mailto:supervisor.ellenberg@bos.sccgov.org)
  - Mike Wasserman: [mike.wasserman@bos.sccgov.org](mailto:mike.wasserman@bos.sccgov.org)
- San Francisco Board of Supervisors: [click for an action from Friends of Caltrain](#)

Thank you,

Emma Shlaes

Deputy Director

P.S. If you are able to support the work we're doing, you can [make a donation here](#). Every dollar improves local bicycle road facilities, trails, education, and

more.



---

*Copyright © 2020 Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition, All rights reserved.*

You are receiving this email because you signed up either online, through a membership application, or at an event.

**Our mailing address is:**

Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition  
PO Box 1927  
San Jose, CA 95109

[Add us to your address book](#)

Want to change how you receive these emails?

You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).



**From:** [Shirley Johnson](#)  
**To:** [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** please vote against ballot measure for Caltrain funding  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 7:36:32 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mandelman,

Thank you for your service on the board of supervisors.

I urge you to reject the proposed ballot measure for Caltrain funding at your July 21 meeting. Caltrain has done an abysmal job serving its most loyal customers, bicycle riders.

Caltrain has denied service to people with bicycles with paid tickets in hand for decades, while all walk-on passengers are allowed to board. Such differential treatment is unacceptable for a transit agency that is supposed to be serving all the public, not just those they consider somehow more worthy.

Caltrain plans to REDUCE bike capacity on electric trains and has ignored pleas for adequate seats within view of bicycles. Passengers need to watch their bikes to help prevent theft, but Caltrain is building cars that invite bicycle theft. Caltrain doesn't make passengers put their laptops out of sight in another car, but that's exactly what they're expecting people to do with their bicycles.

I have been a Caltrain rider since the 1980s and am appalled at how Caltrain ignores customer needs. Caltrain does not deserve funding until it takes the needs of its customers seriously.

Please vote against the proposed sales tax ballot measure for Caltrain.

Sincerely,  
Shirley Johnson  
3480 17th St  
San Francisco

**From:** [Scott Yarbrough](#)  
**To:** [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote No on Caltrain ballot measure  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:20:02 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Supervisor Mandelman,

I am writing to thank you for your service on the board and to ask that you please vote against the ballot proposed by Caltrain for a sales tax increase. Although I relied on Caltrain to commute from San Francisco to Santa Clara county each day prior to the CoV19 measures and expect to continue to rely on Caltrain in the future, my experience with Caltrain as a bicyclist who requires a bike on both ends of my commute has been frustrating for many years.

Caltrain staff and the current governing infrastructure have ignored years of advocacy by the customers who rely on the train and require long trips to/from the Caltrain stations by bike to get to/from work/home. Cyclists are "bumped" regularly and left on the platforms while walk-on customers are permitted to board and stand on trains. Denial of service to cyclists, the fastest growing ridership demographic until Caltrain artificially limited bike access, does not make financial sense for Caltrain.

Electric trains as currently designed will potentially limit cyclist interest in using Caltrain, as all bikes will be stored separate from where cyclists will sit, increasing probable bike theft. The bicycle capacity of electric trains is even more limited than the insufficient capacity that existed prior to March 2020 on the diesel trains.

I support Supervisors Walton and Peskin in advocating against the ballot measure, and appreciate their work in supporting a JPB organizational infrastructure more responsive to all of Caltrain's customers' concerns.

Please vote against the Caltrain sales tax ballot measure.

Sincerely,  
Scott Yarbrough  
4063 26th Street  
94131



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Letter from Senator Hill - SB 797 Caltrain  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 12:29:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image002.png](#)  
[2020-07-21 Hill Caltrain.pdf](#)

---

Jackie Hickey  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701  
[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Mccauley, Ryan <MccauleyR@samtrans.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:33 AM  
**Subject:** Letter from Senator Hill - SB 797 Caltrain

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Attached for your review is a letter from Senator Jerry Hill, author of SB797, the enabling legislation to provide Caltrain with a dedicated source of revenue.

Please let me know if you have any questions or comments.

Thank you,  
Ryan

**Ryan McCauley, Gov. & Community Affairs Specialist**  
1250 San Carlos Ave. San Carlos, CA 94070  
Cell: 650.730.4022  
San Mateo County Transit District



# California State Senate

SENATOR  
JERRY HILL

THIRTEENTH SENATE DISTRICT



CAPITOL OFFICE  
STATE CAPITOL  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814  
TEL (916) 651-4013  
FAX (916) 651-4913

DISTRICT OFFICE  
1528 S. EL CAMINO REAL  
SUITE 303  
SAN MATEO, CA 94402  
TEL (650) 212-3313  
FAX (650) 212-3320

SENATE.CA.GOV/HILL  
SENATOR.HILL@SENATE.CA.GOV

COMMITTEES  
LABOR, PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT &  
RETIREMENT  
CHAIR

APPROPRIATIONS  
BUSINESS, PROFESSIONS &  
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

ENERGY, UTILITIES &  
COMMUNICATIONS  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION

July 21, 2020

Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors  
70 West Hedding Street  
San Jose, CA 95110

Dear President Chavez and Members of the Board,

As the author of the legislation that allows a Caltrain dedicated funding measure to be put on the ballot, I urge you to pass a clean measure, without conditions that restrict the funds from being made available immediately and continuously to support Caltrain operations and capital needs.

My intent in authoring SB 797 was to provide Caltrain with a dedicated source of revenue so that it can provide reliable, improved service for all of the communities it serves. That funding is still needed to grow the service and transform it in the ways envisioned by the Caltrain Business Plan, but the revenues are also now critical to the very survival of the system following the devastating impacts of the pandemic.

Attaching new conditions that limit Caltrain's ability to access these revenues is well outside of what is allowed under SB 797. A measure with these conditions will not make it to the ballot and if it did, I would oppose it and would encourage others to do the same.

Caltrain is the only system in the Bay Area without a dedicated source of revenue, making it especially vulnerable to the pandemic's impacts on ridership. Limiting Caltrain's ability to access the same funds that are meant to save it is a blatant power grab and is wrong.

Even if a measure were to pass, it is obvious from the legal opinions offered that the measure would very clearly be vulnerable to a legal challenge. Instead, I encourage you to move forward with a clean ballot measure. Polling shows that a clean measure has the best chance to pass, it is supported by a broad and vocal coalition of advocates, there is no question as to its legality, and it will save Caltrain from imminent shut down.

A vote for anything else is a vote against dedicated funding for Caltrain, and a vote against the riders that depend on it.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Jerry Hill".

Jerry Hill  
Senator, 13<sup>th</sup> District

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Caltrain 1/8 cent sales tax ballot measure  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 12:29:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[Caltrain Ballot Measure Support 7.20.20.pdf](#)  
[image002.png](#)

---

---

**From:** Patea, Marie <Marie.Patea@ssf.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:48 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Caltrain 1/8 cent sales tax ballot measure

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.


Good morning, Supervisors,

Attached is a letter of support from the Mayor of South San Francisco, Richard Garbarino.

Thank you for all that do.

Warmest regards,  
MP

Marie E. Patea  
Executive Assistant to the City Manager  
City of South San Francisco  
400 Grand Avenue  
South San Francisco, CA 94080  
(650) 829-6666





**CITY COUNCIL 2020**

RICHARD GARBARINO, MAYOR  
MARK ADDIEGO, VICE MAYOR  
KARYL MATSUMOTO, COUNCILMEMBER  
MARK NAGALES, COUNCILMEMBER  
BUENAFLOR NICOLAS, COUNCILMEMBER

MIKE FUTRELL, CITY MANAGER

July 20, 2020

**OFFICE OF THE MAYOR**

Honorable Dave Pine  
Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board of Directors  
1250 San Carlos Avenue  
San Carlos, CA 94070

RE: Caltrain 1/8-cent sales tax ballot measure

Dear Chair Pine:

Thank you for everything the Caltrain Board is doing to keep the system operating in the face of truly unprecedented challenges. The City of South San Francisco understands that in the absence of significant ridership gains, Caltrain is likely to run out of operating funds before the end of the year.

I also understand that identifying new external revenues will be critical to maintaining service and personnel during the remainder of the fiscal year and through FY 22. Given the urgent need to identify new funding, **I urge you to take the actions needed to place a 1/8-cent sales tax on the November 2020 ballot.**

Caltrain provides a vital link in the region's transit network. Thousands of essential workers and transit dependent riders continue to use the service. Former riders have indicated that they are prepared to return to the system when allowed to do so, and as the regional economy continues to struggle, we will likely see a growing number of transit dependent riders throughout the Bay Area. Allowing Caltrain to fail will leave all of these riders without a transit option. We owe it to the communities we serve to do everything we can to prevent that from happening.

I am excited to learn that Caltrain's recent poll revealed that support for new revenue to maintain and improve Caltrain has actually increased compared to where it was a year ago. Voters clearly understand how important Caltrain is to regional economic recovery, managing traffic congestion, and enhancing mobility. We cannot let this opportunity to secure Caltrain's future go by. This is an opportunity to save Caltrain, and at the same time create revenue to improve it, tripling ridership and making the system more affordable and accessible for everyone.

Improving Caltrain was always dependent on dedicated funding, but now the system's survival depends on it. Prior to the pandemic, Caltrain's member agencies signaled that they could not afford to increase their contributions, and now their financial situation is even more precarious. Opportunities to create new funding for transit operations are incredibly limited. With so much at stake, we cannot let this one pass us by.

Sincerely,

Richard Garbarino  
Mayor, South San Francisco

Cc: San Francisco Mayor London Breed  
San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors  
Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors  
San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors  
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Agency Board of Directors  
South San Francisco City Council

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Caltrain 1/8-cent sales tax ballot measure  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 12:30:00 PM

---

---

**From:** Fine, Adrian <Adrian.Fine@CityofPaloAlto.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:29 AM  
**To:** board@caltrain.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; mayoremail@sanjoseca.gov; BoardOperations@cob.sccgov.org; MTABoard@SFMTA.com; board@samtrans.com; VTA Board of Directors <VTABoardofDirectors@vta.org>; dpine@smcgov.org; cgroom@smcgov.org; dhorsley@smcgov.org; wslocum@smcgov.org; dcanepa@smcgov.org  
**Cc:** Council, City <city.council@cityofpaloalto.org>  
**Subject:** Caltrain 1/8-cent sales tax ballot measure

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Date:  
July 21, 2020

To:

Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board

San Francisco Mayor London Breed

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo

San Mateo County Board of Supervisors

Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors

San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors

Santa Clara Valley Transportation Agency Board of Directors

Regarding:  
Caltrain 1/8-cent sales tax ballot measure

Honorable Officials,

I wouldn't normally write a message like this but my colleague's disputatious and misleading message to you on 7/20 forces me to do so. This letter only speaks for myself.

On Monday morning, you received a letter from the City of Palo Alto - signed by me - supporting placing Caltrain's 1/8 cent sales tax measure on the ballots in our three counties. This note was written in full accordance with city council and city policies, including our [2020 legislative priorities](#) and our council [advocacy guidelines](#). The intent was to encourage each agency to place the measure on the ballot so that voters could decide on the measure. The Palo Alto City Council and others can still take a position on that measure.

In short, the letter is not contrary to my authority as Mayor; my colleague the Vice Mayor just disagrees with the substance of the letter and is using process to dispute that. It's a pattern I've seen before, and it undermines the credibility our city has. Maybe that's the purpose.

On the substance of the measure, of course there are issues with governance, ridership during COVID-19, and the regressive nature of a sales tax. But the focus on governance and process issues that the Vice Mayor raises - while some of them valid - is really just a road to nowhere, a do-nothing approach. My city and I support regional transit, and Caltrain is a vital part of our transportation network. I have a hard time imagining our three counties without robust Caltrain service.

I'm disappointed to air Palo Alto's dirty laundry in a letter like this. Personally, it's become tiresome and predictable to continuously have the Vice Mayor run interference on the city's business with which he disagrees. I hope you all have colleagues who work in partnership and in service of your communities as you discuss and decide on the region's transportation future.

My Best Regards,  
Adrian Fine  
Palo Alto Mayor

Adrian Fine

Mayor, City of Palo Alto

[adrian.fine@cityofpaloalto.org](mailto:adrian.fine@cityofpaloalto.org)

Cc:

Palo Alto City Council



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Legal Review: Caltrain Ballot Measure  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 12:56:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image002.png](#)  
[07.21.2020 JMW Letter Caltrain Ballot Measure .pdf](#)

---

---

**From:** Linehan, Amy <LinehanA@samtrans.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:00 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>;  
mike.wasserman@bos.sccgov.org; Chavez, Cindy [cindy.chavez@bos.sccgov.org]  
<cindy.chavez@bos.sccgov.org>; dave.cortese@bos.sccgov.org;  
supervisor.ellenberg@bos.sccgov.org; supervisor.simitian@bos.sccgov.org  
**Subject:** Legal Review: Caltrain Ballot Measure

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

All:

Attached for your review and consideration, please find a letter recently submitted to the San Mateo County Transit District regarding a legal review of the Caltrain Ballot Measure and the associated law.

Please let us know if you have any questions. Thank you.

Best,  
Amy C. Linehan

**Amy Colleen Linehan, Public Affairs Specialist**

1250 San Carlos Ave., San Carlos, CA 94070  
San Mateo County Transit District





Wagstaffe, von Loewenfeldt,  
Busch & Radwick LLP

---

**James M. Wagstaffe**  
PARTNER

100 Pine Street, Suite 725  
San Francisco, CA 94111

wagstaffe@wvbrlaw.com  
wvbrlaw.com

415-357-8900 *Main*  
415-357-8910 *Fax*

---

July 21, 2020

**VIA EMAIL**

Jim Hartnett  
San Mateo County Transit District  
hartnettj@samtrans.com


Dear San Mateo County Transit District Board Members:

As Special Counsel to the San Mateo County Transit District, I was tasked with looking at SB 797, the recent California law that provides a unique opportunity for Caltrain to submit a one-eighth cent sales tax measure to the voters to support our vital local train service. However, the one thing that is clear is that it must be a clean ballot proposal requiring that “the net revenues from the tax” must “be used by the board for the operating and capital purposes of the Caltrain rail services.”

Simply put, the law means the political bodies needing to approve the ballot measure must not impose collateral or delaying conditions on use of the funds. Thus, the law prohibits linking the straightforward fiscal measure designed to save Caltrain to unrelated—even if salutary—organizational or other objectives. Specifically, it is my strong opinion that larding approval with requirements of changed governance or targeted or restricted spending requirements will render the tax subject to a successful taxpayer legal challenge.

Importantly, there is nothing that prevents the three members of the JPA from continuing their separate discussions about organizational change and management structure. Plainly, however, the California Legislature was saying you can do that, just don’t tie such political negotiations to the overall economic wellbeing of the railway.

Very truly yours,



James M. Wagstaffe

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: put the Caltrain proposal on the November ballot  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 3:12:00 PM

---

---

**From:** sfrobink@aol.com <sfrobink@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 17, 2020 12:12 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** put the Caltrain proposal on the November ballot

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To everyone on this board -

I and thousands of others in the Bay Area would like you to put the proposal for an extra 1/8 cent sales tax funding for Caltrain on the November ballot.

Thousands of people either need or want to ride the trains.

Disabled people need to be able to ride the train. I ride it to see relatives down the peninsula. As a person with disability, they have always worked with me - ie they show courtesy and sensitivity to people with disabilities. Buses are too bouncy for me - I need to be able to ride the smoother train.

The trains reduce traffic congestion and pollution.

Caltrain has done a great job recently re electrification and ordering of new trains. It is also considering equity proposals that will aid low-income communities.

The 1/8 sales tax proposal appears to be polling well. Frankly, 1/8 cent sales tax does not seem that much to ask! I can assure you that a lot of us are going to advocate for its passage in the coming months.

You have time to work out governance and finance issues, but Caltrain may not have time. So please, save it now, and save the rest for later.

Sincerely, Robin Krop  
A Caltrain rider

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Caltrain Sales Tax  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 3:12:00 PM

---

---

**From:** Kevin Chou <kevinchou10@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:50 AM  
**To:** Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Caltrain Sales Tax

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board President Mr. Yee and SF Supervisors,

I'm writing to you regarding the Caltrain sales tax, and would request that it be put on the ballot for voters to decide. I'm a lifelong San Francisco resident but I work in the Peninsula (COVID-19 notwithstanding). I do not own a car, and without Caltrain would not be able to get to work.

I understand some of the issues that have been brought up that sales tax is potentially regressive, Caltrain should have a more sustainable funding source, and coordination with regional services is needed. I agree with these things, however losing Caltrain as a public transportation option would be disastrous. I do not agree whatsoever that Caltrain is public transportation for the affluent as one of your Board has suggested.

AM and PM rides are filled with everyday people just trying to get to work and go home and not sit in traffic. I'm not going to get into the GHG reduction and all of the traffic reduction benefits and just simply say observe at 4th/King during 4-7pm and see how many people rely on it to get home (again, COVID notwithstanding). OR even during a Giants' home game and consider how many cars that removes from the freeway.

Putting it on the ballot lets the voters decide if this is an important resource. Holding it ransom as a negotiation tool is not democracy and is doing your citizens a disservice. I completely agree we need regional solutions and everyone at the table, but this is not the way to do it.

Thank you for your consideration.

-Kevin

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Caltrain Tax  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 3:12:00 PM

---

---

**From:** Robert Feinbaum <bobf@att.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:35 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Caltrain Tax

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

President Yee and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

SaveMUNI supports putting the 1/8 % sales tax increase to fund Caltrain on the ballot in November.

We believe that the voters of the three counties - San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Clara - deserve the opportunity to decide whether they want to provide a stable funding source for Caltrain.

Although some administrative issues need to be worked out, San Francisco's representatives on the Joint Powers Board should be capable of advancing the city's concerns. Governance issues should not be used as a smokescreen to deprive voters of the opportunity to decide whether to fund the operation of Caltrain.

SaveMUNI urges approval of placing the 1/8 % sales tax increase to fund Caltrain on the ballot in November.

Bob Feinbaum  
President, SaveMUNI

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Caltrain funding measure  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 3:13:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [SFTR Caltrain Funding Measure.pdf](#)

---

---

**From:** Cat Carter <cat@sftransitriders.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:25 PM  
**To:** Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Senator.Wiener@senate.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Ting@assembly.ca.gov; Phil Ting <phil.ting@asm.ca.gov>; Assemblymember.Chiu@assembly.ca.gov  
**Subject:** Caltrain funding measure

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Yee,

Attached find our letter of support for a vote on the Caltrain funding measure.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Cat Carter

--

**Cat Carter**  
Acting Executive Director  
*she/her*  
[sftransitriders.org](http://sftransitriders.org)

[JOIN US](#)



July 20, 2020

Supervisor Norman Yee, President  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Norman.Yee@sfgov.org

Re: Caltrain Funding Measure

Dear Supervisor Yee,

I am writing to request that you give the Board of Supervisors a means to allow the people of San Francisco the chance to accept or reject taxing themselves to support public transit.

I am referring to the proposed 1/8 cent sales tax to fund Caltrain. We ask you to consider ensuring a full vote on a resolution to put this measure on the ballot in November, so voters can debate, learn, and decide whether the measure should pass.

There are several good reasons to allow this measure to come to the board for a vote including:

- Be a good regional partner. No matter what you might think of the communications from Caltrain (which we think could be improved), having Caltrain continue service is a good thing for San Franciscans and the region. During normal times, 30,000 San Franciscans rode Caltrain daily.
- Improve equity. While sales tax may be regressive, depending solely on fares is even more inequitable. Without the proposed sales tax coming from the broader population - all of whom benefit from Caltrain whether or not they personally use it - Caltrain would be unable to continue moving forward to improve equitable access for all. Caltrain is working on equity in a serious way for the first time in its history. If there is no Caltrain, there will be no opportunity for lower income riders to get to where they are going faster and with a much-needed discount.
- Fight climate change. A vote to stop Caltrain is a vote for car congestion. We cannot in good conscience both declare a Climate Emergency and then tell people they have to drive or take SamTrans up and down the Peninsula, increasing congestion and pollution. What kind of a legacy does this give our children and grandchildren? What does it teach them about our seriousness combatting climate change?
- Increase the pool of transit funding. If San Francisco voters approve this measure (which they can't do if it never makes it to the ballot), an estimated \$15.6M will return to Muni, which is now contemplating its own decimation due to COVID-19.
- Good governance. All we are asking is that a funding measure be moved forward for open debate among the Supervisors, and hopefully then the San Francisco voters. We need a clear, open debate. Many San Franciscans want to see this measure move forward and want to support Caltrain.



- Caltrain's long term health. Voting on this measure does not in any way prohibit improving governance for Caltrain. In fact, in a letter signed by every legislator in Caltrains region, they support both better governance for Caltrain and the 1/8 cent sales tax.

I know that this is a difficult decision, and appreciate your deliberation. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions. We urge you to do your part for good governance in San Francisco, and allow voters to have the chance to decide themselves whether we want to keep Caltrain.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Cat Carter".

Cat Carter  
Interim Executive Director  
San Francisco Transit Riders

cc: San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
Senator Scott Wiener  
Assemblymember David Chiu  
Assemblymember Phil Ting



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Caltrain funding  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 3:14:00 PM

---

---

**From:** Nancy Arbuckle <crockerbuckle@mindspring.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2020 2:04 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Caltrain funding

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the SF Board of Supervisors,

Please find below my letter to the editor of the SF Chronicle regarding Caltrain funding:

"The San Francisco Board of Supervisors failed to advance a ballot measure that would have let the voters decide if they wanted Caltrain to have stable funding. Instead of advancing the cause of sustainable transit, a goal many of us share, they opted for shutting down a service that, pre-Covid, carried four freeway lanes worth of cars. Caltrain provides a direct link between major job centers and two of California's largest cities. Its loss means clogged freeways and gridlocked SF streets, a deep change in how people get around, layoffs, and a giant step backwards in addressing the climate emergency that we face. The SF Board of Supervisors should immediately reconsider their ill-advised decision. They unwittingly killed Caltrain. If they work fast, they can wisely resurrect it."

I urge you to let the voters decide.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Arbuckle  
2111 Hyde St.  
SF 94109

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Please support Caltrain!  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 3:21:00 PM

---

---

**From:** sfrobink@aol.com <sfrobink@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2020 2:31 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Please support Caltrain!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To all supervisors:

Please support Caltrain by allowing the 1/8th sales tax measure to go on the November ballot.

If this means you need to put the item on Wednesday's Budget and Finance committee agenda, please do so.

Caltrain is a very important lifeline for the Bay Area - for the people who ride it, for reduction of traffic congestion, etc. It has always appeared very well run, to me - the trains run on time, with any announcement if they are delayed. Conductors are responsible, courteous and friendly.

I am a Caltrain rider. I am disabled and have a special arrangement with them to lie down. They have always worked well with me, as well as with any rider in a wheelchair.

I have family down the peninsula whom I visit. If there is no train, riding the buses hurts me. I hate to have to think about being on painkillers to go anywhere down the peninsula!!

I'm aware that you have concerns about governance and noncomingling of funds. I think you have time to work out those issues. But Caltrain does not have time. For the time being, please support Caltrain's continuation while you work out your concerns.

Thanks -  
Robin Krop  
Caltrain rider

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Caltrain Ballot Measure support letter from City of Menlo Park  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 2:04:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [CMP\\_Email\\_Logo\\_100dpi\\_05d92d5b-e8e3-498f-93a6-d0da509bd60211111111.png](#)  
[20200721\\_Menlo\\_Park\\_Caltrain\\_Ballot\\_Measure\\_Support\\_Letter.docx.pdf](#)

---

---

**From:** Casados, Nicole <nscasados@menlopark.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 3:32 PM  
**Cc:** Jerome-Robinson, Starla L <SLRobinson@menlopark.org>  
**Subject:** Caltrain Ballot Measure support letter from City of Menlo Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board Chair Pine and Board members (bcc),

Please see attached Caltrain Ballot Measure support letter from the City of Menlo Park.

Thank you,



**Nicole S. Casados**  
Executive Assistant to City Manager  
City Hall - 2nd Floor  
701 Laurel St.  
tel 650-330-6611 | fax 650-328-7935  
[menlopark.org](http://menlopark.org)



July 21, 2020

Chair Pine  
Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board of Directors  
1250 San Carlos Avenue  
San Carlos, CA 94070

**RE: Caltrain 1/8-cent sales tax ballot measure**

Dear Chair Pine,

Thank you for everything the Caltrain Board is doing to keep the system operating in the face of truly unprecedented challenges. Given the timing, the City Council of Menlo Park has not had sufficient time on their agenda to consider a position on the proposed sales tax. As the City Manager, however, I understand that in the absence of significant ridership gains, Caltrain is likely to run out of operating funds before the end of the year.

I also understand that identifying new external revenues will be critical to maintaining service and personnel during the remainder of the fiscal year and through FY 22. Given the urgent need to identify new funding, **I urge you to take the actions needed to place a 1/8-cent sales tax on the November 2020 ballot.**

Caltrain provides a vital link in the region's transit network. Thousands of essential workers and transit dependent riders continue to use the service, including many City employees. Former riders have indicated that they are prepared to return to the system when allowed to do so, and as the regional economy continues to struggle, we will likely see a growing number of transit dependent riders throughout the Bay Area. Allowing Caltrain to fail will leave all of these riders without a transit option. We owe it to the communities we serve to do everything we can to prevent that from happening.

Many of the City Council's decisions around land use are based on Caltrain's availability to riders within half a mile of the train station. Reduced or eliminated availability will substantially erode the viability of these land use decisions.

Caltrain's recent poll revealed that support for new revenue to maintain and improve Caltrain has actually increased compared to where it was a year ago. Voters clearly understand how important Caltrain is to regional economic recovery, managing traffic

congestion, and enhancing mobility. We must act on this opportunity to secure Caltrain's future. This is an opportunity to save Caltrain, and at the same time create revenue to improve it, and making the system more affordable and accessible for everyone.

Improving Caltrain was always dependent on dedicated funding, but now the system's survival depends on it. Prior to the pandemic, Caltrain's member agencies signaled that they could not afford to increase their contributions, and now their financial situation is even more precarious. Opportunities to create new funding for transit operations are incredibly limited. With so much at stake, action must be taken.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

*Starla Jerome-Robinson*

Starla Jérôme-Robinson  
City Manager

Cc: San Francisco Mayor London Breed  
San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
San Mateo County Board of Supervisors  
Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors  
San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors  
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Agency Board of Directors

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Correct spelling of my name & req for link to Caltrain legislation  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 9:18:00 AM

---

---

**From:** Joe A. Kunzler <growlernoise@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:02 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Re: Correct spelling of my name & req for link to Caltrain legislation

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**I forgot something!!!**

On Tue, Jul 21, 2020 at 10:01 PM Joe A. Kunzler <[growlernoise@gmail.com](mailto:growlernoise@gmail.com)> wrote:

21 July 2020

Dear Clerk Angela Calvillo;

Joe A. Kunzler here, I just wanted to give you the correct spelling of my name since it may have been unclear. I called about Caltrain and praised Supervisor Stefani.

I also noted considering San Francisco's governance problems how inappropriate it was for all Supervisors not named Supervisor Stefani to harshly criticize Caltrain for governance when the 21 July 2020 Board Meeting covered actual corruption cases, deputies staging gladiator fights and even regulating adult intimate activities. Finally, I also noticed that Supervisor Aaron Peskin got wrong the ridership stats as if Caltrain carried less than a Muni Metro Route. In 2018 65095 Caltrain riders versus 43000 Muni N riders. I decided to not name the member to preserve parliamentary decorum as a guest, but otherwise it was Supervisor Peskin's comments I was responding to.

**Also I hope to see online tomorrow (22 July) the Caltrain legislation that was tabled! Thanks!!!!**

There you go Clerk Calvillo and staff. I hope this e-mail finds you well. Your staff and the Supervisors handled well what was a long event.

Very thoughtfully;

Joe A. Kunzler

[growlernoise@gmail.com](mailto:growlernoise@gmail.com)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SFSD and San Francisco General Hospital  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 12:53:00 PM

---

---

**From:** Heather Bollinger <bollingerrn@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:54 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** SFSD and San Francisco General Hospital

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Today you will be presented with a petition regarding removing the San Francisco Sheriff's officers from SFGH campus.

This petition is utilizing the current political climate against police brutality to target this group disingenuously,

The SFSD officers at SFGH hospital work diligently to provide a safe and secure environment for our staff and patients. They go above and beyond the call of duty on a daily basis to assist our staff. The cadets and deputies are from a wide ethnic background. They assist patients in multiple languages when they are confused, lost, or frightened. They support families and help us maintain a professional clinical environment when we experience violent incidents in the Emergency Department. They have literally saved lives in the Emergency Department (pulling violent patients off of staff members or off of other patients). They de-escalate patients and family members. They are vital to maintaining a safe and therapeutic environment.

Violent incidents against healthcare workers are on the rise. This is a national problem and well documented. I am glad the writer of this petition has not suffered any violence during her practice as a midwife. I wish I could say all of us have been that lucky. She would do well to consider some of her colleagues that have not been as lucky.

I am disappointed that this petition is not being focused on providing the SFSD officers with the training and resources they need to provide the level of support this midwife expects. Perhaps if her efforts were focused less on eliminating them, and more on educating them we could see some progress.

CalOsha recently completed an investigation and lobbied significant fines against SFGH for failing to provide adequate training and protections to its staff. It is not a coincidence that the Sheriff's force is being held responsible for their failings. As we have seen time and time again SFGH administration fails to provide what the front line staff need to be successful, then turns around and blames them for what are administrative failures. This pattern needs to be broken. If the SFSD force has been inconsistent in their interactions with patients, or have failed to provide staff with what they require then their SUPERVISORS should be held accountable for that fact.



Please understand this petition does not reflect the large majority of SFGH staff. A counter petition is being drafted which will have signatures of actual front line employees who are faced with the type of situations some of the inpatient units are protected from. Protected by the very people they are asking to eliminate.

Thank you,

Heather Bollinger RN, MSN

San Francisco General Emergency Room and Trauma Center

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Comment for budget and appropriations committee issue 3  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 1:47:00 PM

---

---

**From:** Anna Fiskin <fiskin@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 5:02 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Wong, Linda (BOS) <linda.wong@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Comment for budget and appropriations committee issue 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern.

I am a psychiatrist at Chinatown North Beach Mental Health Services (CTNB) located in district 3. I was unable to comment at budget and appropriations committee meeting but would like to submit my comment on issue 3. I am writing in regards to elimination of the position for our on-site sheriff's deputy Karen Lee at CTNB in response to mayor's budget reduction initiative as of July 1. What was proposed for security is that deputies will stop by our clinic on patrol twice a day, which has not happened so far, or that we can call the police when there's a crisis. This is not a good plan for us, and endangers our safety and safety our clients.

We work with patients with severe mental illness and many of them have had a worsening in their mental health and have been presenting as more volatile than usual. At the same time, due to covid, we only have 3-5 people at the clinic at a time as opposed to the usual 30, making it more difficult for us to respond to crises. For example, in the past month, we have had patients come in and get agitated because they were asked to wear a mask, and were threatening to staff. We also had a patient wait outside the door every day for one of our psychiatrists at the end of her shift and would not respond to redirection. A psychotic man came into our clinic today with a metal rod.

While presence of law enforcement in mental health is appropriately being criticized for unnecessary use of force and using threat of violence as a deterrent, deputy Karen Lee is an excellent and positive example of law enforcement working collaboratively and building relationships with our clients and staff to ensure everyone's safety. As an Asian American woman, she is sought out and respected by our clients, who are predominantly monolingual Asian immigrants. Karen Lee has modeled that law enforcement is not there to be a threat to them, and has created an environment where they can feel safe coming to a community mental health clinic, which can otherwise feel overwhelming or chaotic to them. Her work with our clinic has been an excellent demonstration of a true partnership between mental health and law enforcement. We are concerned that without Karen Lee's presence, if we have an incident at the clinic, when we call for assistance, we will have officers that do not know our patients show up in the midst of crisis. This is likely to escalate the situation, as opposed to deescalate, leading to higher risk of arrest or violence for our patients. I know that the system as a whole is moving away from using sheriff deputies, but the alternatives that we have been given increase risk of violence to patients and to ourselves.

Sincerely,

Anna Fiskin MD

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** 54 letters regarding File Nos. 200422, 200423, 200635  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 4:23:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [54 letters regarding File Nos. 200422, 200423, 200635 .pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 54 letters regarding File Nos. 200422, 200423, 200635.

**File No. 200422** - Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the Balboa Reservoir Special Use District and rezone the Balboa Reservoir west basin project site generally bounded by the City College of San Francisco Ocean Campus to the east, Archbishop Riordan High School to the north, the Westwood Park neighborhood to the west, and a San Francisco Public Utilities Commission parcel containing a water pipeline running parallel to a mixed-use multifamily residential development along Ocean Avenue to the south; adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency under the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

**File No. 200423** - Ordinance approving a Development Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and Reservoir Community Partners, LLC, for the Balboa Reservoir Project (at the approximately 17.6-acre site located generally north of the Ocean Avenue commercial district, west of the City College of San Francisco Ocean Campus, east of the Westwood Park neighborhood, and south of Archbishop Riordan High School), with various public benefits, including 50% affordable housing and approximately four acres of publicly accessible parks and open space; making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act, findings of conformity with the General Plan, and with the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1(b), and findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302; approving development impact fees and waiving any conflicting provision in Planning Code, Article 4, or Administrative Code, Article 10; confirming compliance with or waiving certain provisions of Administrative Code, Section 6.22 and Chapters 14B, 23, 41B, 56, 82, and 83, Planning Code, Sections 169, 138.1, 414A, 415, and 422, Public Works Code, Section 806(d), Subdivision Code, Section 1348, and Health Code, Article 12C; and ratifying certain actions taken in connection therewith, as defined herein.

**File No. 200635** - Ordinance amending the General Plan to revise the Balboa Park Station Area Plan, the Recreation and Open Space Element, and the Land Use Index, to reflect the Balboa Reservoir Project; amending the Housing Element in regard to the design of housing for families with children; adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making public necessity, convenience, and general welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 340.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701

[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Catherine Zabelin](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [dgonzales@ccsf.edu](mailto:dgonzales@ccsf.edu)  
**Subject:** Oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project: No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF  
**Date:** Thursday, July 16, 2020 6:04:50 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project which you will soon be voting on.

The City is about to sell the Balboa Reservoir, which is public land, to a corporate housing developer whose CEO makes \$10M/year. The developer claims that by building 550 market rate units it will be able to subsidize an additional 550 affordable, or below market rate units. In reality, it is mainly city and state funds that will subsidize the affordable units.

The housing crisis in San Francisco is an affordable housing crisis. This Project, built on public land, should be a 100% truly affordable development.

Even worse, the City is selling the land at a deep discount to this private developer, subsidizing a wealthy corporation with tax payer's dollars. It's a sweetheart deal, corporate welfare at its worst and should not be tolerated.

An additional concern is that by building separate market rate and affordable units, the Project results in a development that creates de facto segregation. This is inconsistent with San Francisco's inclusionary housing policy, which mandates that affordable and market rate units should all be under the same roof, creating a diverse housing community. In addition the open space will be controlled by members of the Home Owners Association who are mainly the owners of market rate, not affordable, units.

This project will also cause irreparable harm to City College of San Francisco. The Balboa Reservoir land has been used by CCSF for decades. Currently it provides commuter students, staff, and faculty access to CCSF with essential parking. Loss of this parking, without first ensuring other viable transportation options, will make it difficult, if not impossible, for many of the low income students and students of color to access the campus and get the education and professional training they need.

This is a city-wide issue. We need a City government that fights for housing justice and education.

Please oppose this project. Say No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF.

Sincerely,  
Dr. Catherine Zabelin, DC

**From:** [Dina L. Wilson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project!  
**Date:** Thursday, July 16, 2020 6:36:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to ask you to oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project which you will soon be voting on.

The City is about to sell the Balboa Reservoir, which is public land, to a corporate housing developer whose CEO makes \$10M/year. The developer claims that by building 550 market rate units it will be able to subsidize an additional 550 affordable, or below market rate units. In reality, it is mainly city and state funds that will subsidize the affordable units.

The housing crisis in San Francisco is an affordable housing crisis. This Project, built on public land, should be a 100% truly affordable development.

Even worse, the City is selling the land at a deep discount to this private developer, subsidizing a wealthy corporation with tax payer's dollars. It's a sweetheart deal, corporate welfare at its worst and should not be tolerated.

An additional concern is that by building separate market rate and affordable units, the Project results in a development that creates de facto segregation. This is inconsistent with San Francisco's inclusionary housing policy, which mandates that affordable and market rate units should all be under the same roof, creating a diverse housing community. In addition the open space will be controlled by members of the Home Owners Association who are mainly the owners of market rate, not affordable, units.

This project will also cause irreparable harm to City College of San Francisco. The Balboa Reservoir land has been used by CCSF for decades. Currently it provides commuter students, staff, and faculty access to CCSF with essential parking. Loss of this parking, without first ensuring other viable transportation options, will make it difficult, if not impossible, for many of the low income students and students of color to access the campus and get the education and professional training they need.

This is a city-wide issue. We need a City government that fights for housing justice and education.

Please oppose this project. Say No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF.

Sincerely,

Dina Wilson

ESL Instructor

Mission Campus

City College of San Francisco - *Ohlone Territory*

(415) 652-1390

pronouns: she/her/hers



**From:** [esleve@yahoo.com](mailto:esleve@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project: No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 11:30:22 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to ask you to oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project which you will soon be voting on.

The City is about to sell the Balboa Reservoir, which is public land, to a corporate housing developer whose CEO makes \$10M/year. The developer claims that by building 550 market rate units it will be able to subsidize an additional 550 affordable, or below market rate units. In reality, it is mainly city and state funds that will subsidize the affordable units.

The housing crisis in San Francisco is an affordable housing crisis. This Project, built on public land, should be a 100% truly affordable development.

Even worse, the City is selling the land at a deep discount to this private developer, subsidizing a wealthy corporation with tax payer's dollars. It's a sweetheart deal, corporate welfare at its worst and should not be tolerated.

An additional concern is that by building separate market rate and affordable units, the Project results in a development that creates de facto segregation. This is inconsistent with San Francisco's inclusionary housing policy, which mandates that affordable and market rate units should all be under the same roof, creating a diverse housing community. In addition the open space will be controlled by members of the Home Owners Association who are mainly the owners of market rate, not affordable, units.

This project will also cause irreparable harm to City College of San Francisco. The Balboa Reservoir land has been used by CCSF for decades. Currently it provides commuter students, staff, and faculty access to CCSF with essential parking. Loss of this parking, without first ensuring other viable transportation options, will make it difficult, if not impossible, for many of the low income students and students of color to access the campus and get the education and professional training they need.

This is a city-wide issue. We need a City government that fights for housing justice and education.

Please oppose this project. Say No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF.

Sincerely,

Name

*Indicate if you are a student; if you live in San Francisco, if you live in the supervisor's district, or any other relevant information*

## Talking points

The Balboa Reservoir Project is unacceptable for many reasons:

- It allows the sale of San Francisco's largest pieces of public land to a private, for-profit developer for market-rate housing. This public land that should be used only for 100% affordable housing;
- It sells this public land to the for-profit developer at a deep discount, thereby subsidizing and enriching a corporation with tax-payer's dollars;
- It creates a development that segregates wealthy residents from those living in lower-income, affordable housing;
- It causes significant impacts on transportation, noise, and air quality that cannot be mitigated;
- It gentrifies one of the last affordable neighborhoods in San Francisco;
- It failed to adequately consider the impacts of the project on City College of San Francisco;
- It eliminates hundreds of parking spaces at CCSF that students rely on to access the school, making it impossible for many CCSF students to attend classes;
- It fails to improve public transit students need to easily get to school, especially since parking will be significantly reduced;
- It will disproportionately impact low income students and students of color, the majority of CCSF's student body, who are squeezing in classes between family and job obligations, and need to drive to school;
- It creates an over-sized, dense housing development right across the street from CCSF and adjoining a neighborhood of single-family homes. It is out of scale with the surrounding community, and shoehorns thousands of people into a few acres of land with very little open space.
- It disregards the overwhelming support for Prop A (\$845 M Bond for CCSF), shows SF voters desire the development and expansion of CCSF. The Balboa Reservoir is critical for CCSF's growth. Privatizing this land it contradicts and undermines the public interest.

**From:** [barbara@clarkfineart.com](mailto:barbara@clarkfineart.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project: No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 1:06:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board fo Supervisors,

I am writing to ask you to oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project which you will soon be voting on.

The City is about to sell the Balboa Reservoir, which is public land, to a corporate housing developer whose CEO makes \$10M/year. The developer claims that by building 550 market rate units it will be able to subsidize an additional 550 affordable, or below market rate units. In reality, it is mainly city and state funds that will subsidize the affordable units.

The housing crisis in San Francisco is an affordable housing crisis. This Project, built on public land, should be a 100% truly affordable development.

Even worse, the City is selling the land at a deep discount to this private developer, subsidizing a wealthy corporation with tax payer's dollars. It's a sweetheart deal, corporate welfare at its worst and should not be tolerated.

An additional concern is that by building separate market rate and affordable units, the Project results in a development that creates de facto segregation. This is inconsistent with San Francisco's inclusionary housing policy, which mandates that affordable and market rate units should all be under the same roof, creating a diverse housing community. In addition the open space will be controlled by members of the Home Owners Association who are mainly the owners of market rate, not affordable, units.

This project will also cause irreparable harm to City College of San Francisco. The Balboa Reservoir land has been used by CCSF for decades. Currently it provides commuter students, staff, and faculty access to CCSF with essential parking. Loss of this parking, without first ensuring other viable transportation options, will make it difficult, if not impossible, for many of the low income students and students of color to access the campus and get the education and professional training they need.

This is a city-wide issue. We need a City government that fights for housing justice and education.

Please oppose this project. Say No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF.

Sincerely,

***Barbara Mann  
Christopher Clark Fine Art  
377 Geary Street  
San Francisco, CA. 94102***

**From:** [Eleanor Cloutier](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 2:22:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm a Bay Area resident and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

I work in the city and normally commute in for an hour each day - though that's been disrupted by the pandemic. I know that I'm lucky to only commute for an hour, and that there are so many essential workers who live further out.

We need affordable housing for people in the city, and we need to make sure that workers can afford to live near their jobs.

Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help. The pandemic has shown the importance of childcare and outdoor space, and the Balboa Reservoir plans to have these on the site. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Cloutier  
elcloutier@gmail.com  
12 Bret Harte  
Berkeley, California 94708

**From:** [Monica Collins](#)  
**To:** [swilliams](#); [Tom Temprano](#); [Brigitte Davila](#); [ivylee@ccsf.edu](#); [alexrandolph](#); [John Rizzo](#); [tselby](#); [Max Hirschfeld](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [erica.major@sfgov](#); [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#); [rvurdien@ccsf.edu](#); [lmlloy@ccsf.edu](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir /CCSF  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 4:45:20 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Gentlefolk:

We concerned taxpayers, neighbors and CCSF staffers, students, community and supporters are begging you not to approve the PUC deal this month. That is, to sell public land at a valuation of pennies on the dollar to a private, for profit, Fortune 500 developer. The deal absolutely smells bad. In light of the shocking corruption unearthed at City Hall and at City agencies this last spring, it raises a ton of concerns. There is AvalonBay housing blocks away over Whole Foods on Ocean. \$4,000 to start with for a one bedroom efficiency apartment in a large complex. And they are building more of these?

There is absolutely no reason City College itself - or a consortium of supporters- couldn't purchase the land at this bargain basement price. Bonds on behalf of the school have been approved. Remodeling, new buildings and other investments in the future of this wonderful Bay Area resource, should be assured. This beloved school is a wonderful local tradition and even a rite of passage for countless San Franciscans. It is also a center for vocational programs not offered elsewhere in Northern California.

What is the reason a city agency would offer this sort of a sweetheart deal to a private, for profit developer?

For years we've been treated to the smoke and mirrors dog and pony show the developers put on for the locals. Hints, promises, and tons of "oh yeah, we want to see about THAT!" Since none of us just left the farm, few seasoned observers were fooled by the razzle dazzle, forgive mixed metaphors here. The definition of "affordable housing" is so squishy, they should just call it NICE housing. It would be as meaningful! "Up to 50% affordable housing" simply means, "less than 50% housing".

The media are all over this story and the cat is out of the proverbial bag. If this shameful giveaway is approved it will be just another scandal on top of many- and the names of those who approved, enabled, and instigated this rotten scheme will be revealed. This sort of a secret isn't kept hidden for long. The stench of it will cling to anyone with their fingerprints on it. The city has tons of available housing- almost all of it market rate. Which is what this project would be- with a few crumbs for the fortunate few.

City College is an academic and vocational resource for countless Bay Area residents. As a staffer for a quarter century, many at Ocean Campus, I've seen countless students, most of the desperate or even on the edge, go from welfare, from poverty, from homelessness to being happy taxpayers, proud to be giving back. Education like this is a resource that is an investment paying back almost ten times the cost of education.

How many single parents with a preschool and grade school child at home, living in say, Pinole, are up at 4am to drop one at pre school/ day care and the other at school before beginning the first of two jobs, then arriving at school for their academic or vocational course of study, 9 or more units? BART doesn't enable this- most don't live on a BART line, and BART is pretty costly, even for working commuters. That ugly, unfashionable parking lot helps them tremendously. Further curtailing available parking for a 55 year old adjunct (part

time, temp) faculty member dragging 50 pounds of books, teaching materials, term papers almost a mile from BART, or like my friend who has to carry two large musical instruments to campus- hurrying as they have other jobs to get to and from- this is no joke.

As for the one lane "freeway" that's Frida Kahlo Way- it's clogged six days a week as it is during school days and nights. It's also a thoroughfare adjoining two neighborhoods- Sunnyside/ Glen Park and environs, to OMI, Stonestown, Ingleside and Ocean shopping district. It's a nightmare normally. And they want to make it worse? I can't tell you how many faculty & others have sat on FKW for 20 minutes. Of course the fire station is right on the corner at Ocean. Will the fire trucks fly over traffic? This is a huge health & safety issue. PLEASE do not consider this misbegotten, scandalous sale. We beg you to think of the students, neighbors, CCSF community, taxpayers and voters!

Thank you so much for reading. Respectfully submitted,  
Monica Collins, CCSF ex-staffer, Sunnyside /District 7 resident

**From:** [Suzanne Bryan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 9:23:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

This is a rare opportunity for hundreds of families to secure an affordable place to live in our increasingly unaffordable city.

Making sure our essential workers are able to stay in San Francisco and continue to be part of the fabric of our community is more important than ever. Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help.

The inclusion of a childcare center on the site and the addition of public spaces for that everyone can use is also very welcome. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Bryan  
ohsuzann@pacbell.net  
48 Lurline Street  
San Francisco, California 94122



**From:** [Jennifer Heggie](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Hearings  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 8:17:32 AM  
**Attachments:** [Comments\\_jdh\\_BOS-Hearings-July2020.docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use & Transportation Committee, Budget & Finance Committee, BOS (Files 200422, 200423, 200635):

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached my comments on the Balboa Reservoir development to be discussed in Committee hearings 7/27/20 and 7/29/20. Though, like most San Franciscans, I would like to see more affordable housing, there are serious implications with this development that I hope you will consider.

Thank you for your review of the points in the attached letter.

Regards,  
Jennifer Heggie

July 21, 2020

Dear Supervisors:

The Balboa Reservoir development will create more problems than it solves. After participating in five years of community meetings, the key issues have still not been addressed, and I urge you not to support this development as it is currently planned. The damage will be serious, not just to the immediate neighborhoods, schools and daycare centers, but also to the City at large as equitable access to education is curtailed. As livelihoods are lost due to this pandemic, many will need to retrain to support themselves and their families. This is not the time to shut down access to retraining facilities. But that will be the unintended consequence of beginning construction of the Balboa Reservoir development at the time planned.

There are many legitimate and important reasons this plan falls short, and I am including only a few of them here. Some of these shortcomings are due to a lack of resources from the City and County of San Francisco. If you choose to move the project forward despite the pain it will cause, please make any approval conditional on a feasible SFMTA improvement plan for the area with finances to implement the recommendations or require the developers to provide additional public parking, and postpone the Balboa Reservoir development construction until after the critical City College construction has been completed adjacent to it. Those measures will mitigate a few of the issues.

Four key concerns are described in more detail below. They are: 1) Inadequate replacement parking for City College students will result in less access to the opportunities that education provides; 2) Needed improvements for the safe access of pedestrian and non-car vehicles to City College and the Balboa Reservoir development are mostly unplanned and unfunded; 3) Significant adverse impacts to transportation, noise and air quality from the Balboa Reservoir development are identified in the EIR causing particular harm to nearby sensitive receptors; and 4) Delays due to simultaneous construction will result in significant added costs to City College.

**1. Inadequate replacement parking for City College students will result in less access to the opportunities that education provides:**

- a. Despite public comments at PUC hearings and the SF Public Utilities CAC, the implications of long-planned improvements to City College were ignored by the SFPUC when deciding to sell their land. City College of San Francisco has been planning for at least 15 years to construct new buildings on its main campus western parking lot while using the Balboa Reservoir for replacement student parking during and after construction. The plan for re-placing campus buildings was long delayed due to the uncertainty of the future of the college, lawsuits over past shoddy construction, a revolving door of senior administrators, and funding redirected to emergency patches that would allow ADA access and keep existing buildings in use long past their expected lifetime.

- b. The Balboa Reservoir developers have agreed to build “up to 450 public parking spaces” to replace the typical amount of parking use on the Balboa Reservoir when classes are in session. This is not “replacement” parking because it does not take into account:
  - i. That the loss of parking spaces on the City College owned “upper lot” (adjacent to the Balboa Reservoir) displaced by replacement campus buildings is not considered in the 450 count. Per the Fehr-Peers TDM study of 2018, construction of the Performing Arts Education Center (PAEC) would result in the removal of 760 existing parking spaces. The City College plan has changed since the 2018 TDM and the 2019 Subsequent EIR, and the number of parking spaces displaced will be represented by the combined footprints of the Diego Rivera Theater and STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, arts and Math) building. What has remained consistent, at least up until the time of the pandemic, is that the City College-owned “upper lot” is consistently full during midday on week days, and the Balboa Reservoir is used for the overflow, an overflow that will increase as new City College buildings are constructed.
  - ii. The lack of an identified and assured source of funding for discounted student parking rates in the public-use parking lot where market rate parking is planned. This has implications for the equity of access to public education.
  - iii. The “replacement” parking number does not take into account the periods of highest student parking use in the Balboa Reservoir, midday during the first two weeks of the semester when students are deciding which classes to take, when many more than 450 parking spaces on the reservoir are filled.
  - iv. The core TDM plan assumes a pre-pandemic public transportation infrastructure that would result a shortfall in parking during peak periods in 2026. (See Fehr-Peers CCSF TDM Study of 2018.) It’s unclear whether implementing even the core TDM plan is still feasible.
  - v. The lack of funding for implementing more aggressive and expensive Additional TDM Measures that would reduce the need for driver parking. There is no funding for these measures from the Balboa Reservoir developers, SFMTA or City College.

**2. Needed improvements for the safe access of pedestrian and non-car vehicles to City College and the Balboa Reservoir development are unplanned and unfunded.**

- a. An SFMTA plan for wider pedestrian walkways, bike lanes, and other safety improvements along Ocean Avenue from the Balboa BART station to Frida Kahlo Way, is

not expected to be available until the end of the year, and it is unclear if it will include the heavily congested area along Frida Kahlo Way to Judson. In the current climate it doesn't appear likely that any of the needed improvements on which the dense Balboa Reservoir development was justified will be funded. From the start, it has been clear that safe alternatives to driving to mitigate the significant increase in population into an already heavily congested area requires some sort of mitigation.

- b. A TDM study developed to gauge what would cause students to switch to non-car alternatives identified key concerns of students. When asked how City College should allocate available resources to transportation, the largest response (29%) was to improve connections to BART and Muni. And in response to the question about the key barrier to switching from driving to other forms of transportation, the majority (39%) responded, "time-based access." (Fehr-Peers CCSF TDM study of 2018) But nothing is being done to improve the connection to BART and Muni from the Ocean campus or reduce commute times. In fact the opposite is the case due to pandemic fallout.

**3. Significant adverse impacts to transportation, noise and air quality from the Balboa Reservoir construction and operation are identified in the EIR, causing particular harm to nearby sensitive receptors.**

Three areas identified in the City Planning EIR cannot be adequately mitigated per the current Balboa Reservoir developer plan. Transportation and Noise, and Air Quality, if the construction time period is compressed, meet or exceed the threshold of "significant adverse impacts." The developer is planning offsets for air pollution, but that won't help the detrimental impacts to learning, brain development and health in the surrounding area. The development will sit smack in the middle of multiple daycare centers, a high school which houses boarding students, City College, a 100% affordable multi-unit building that includes a daycare center, residences, and a grocery store with loading dock on a single lane road for driving in and out of the Reservoir. The only other point of ingress/egress for drivers is already heavily used by employees and students of City College and Riordan High School. Ongoing noise pollution during key periods of construction (9am to 4pm on weekdays) will adversely impact student learning, and the health impacts of high pollution areas are well known. All of the adjoining institutions and residents will be adversely impacted as well as a larger swath of San Francisco, as pollution from the development construction mixes with that of the 280 freeway APEZ zones.

The plan identifies the use of backup generators at the many large residential buildings in the development. Post construction, once the Balboa Reservoir development is operational, each building will be starting up their diesel generators on a regular basis for testing. As we express concerns about natural gas in our new construction, so should we also require electric battery generator backup, rather than heavily polluting diesel generators.

4. **Delays due to simultaneous construction will result in significant added costs to City College.**

Famous artist Diego Rivera gifted the Pan American Unity mural to City College. The replacement City College theater has been designed to display that mural to the public. The mural is to be loaned for an exhibition at SFMOMA while the City College Diego Rivera theater is being constructed on City College's parking lot. That coincides with the period of adjacent Balboa Reservoir construction. SFMOMA has a timeline by which the mural must be gone after the exhibit. That date is a month after the projected completion date of City College's Diego Rivera theater, a very tight schedule. If the theater construction is delayed, the mural will need to be placed in very expensive storage. This is not an additional cost that City College is in a position to handle.

Allowing simultaneous construction of the City College and Balboa Reservoir buildings creates a real risk of theater construction delay due to vehicle congestion as well as cumulative environmental factors. We already know from the EIR that there will be months at a time when trucks will be going in and out of the Balboa Reservoir every 2 to 3 minutes from 9am to 4pm, during the most active hours for City College student access. Further delays may need to be imposed to reduce periods of excessive noise or cumulative air pollution during simultaneous construction. If construction of the Balboa Reservoir development can be postponed, some of the worst cumulative impacts during construction can be averted, and City College won't be forced into another expensive loss imposed by outside forces.

Thank you for your consideration of the preceding points. I hope you will consider the alternatives to approving this development and, at a minimum, delay the start of the Balboa Reservoir construction until after City College concerns have been addressed. As we emerge from this pandemic, City College's ability to provide the transitional training that San Francisco residents will need, makes it clear that this is a time to prioritize access to City College and the educational services that it provides.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Heggie



**Berkson  
Associates**

Urban Economics  
Policy Forensics & Forecasting  
Planning & Policy Analysis

# REPORT

## BALBOA RESERVOIR PROJECT

## FINDINGS OF FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY AND FEASIBILITY

Prepared for the City and County of San Francisco

Prepared by Berkson Associates

February 9, 2018



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                                                       |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....                                                | 1  |
| 1. THE PROJECT & COSTS OF CONSTRUCTION.....                           | 5  |
| Construction Costs and Assessed Value                                 |    |
| 2. AVAILABLE FUNDING FOR THE PROJECT .....                            | 7  |
| Horizontal & Vertical Development of the Site                         |    |
| Funding of Affordable Housing                                         |    |
| Other Maintenance Funding                                             |    |
| 3. FISCAL ANALYSIS: INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE & PUBLIC SERVICES..... | 9  |
| Maintenance and Service Costs                                         |    |
| Public Revenues                                                       |    |
| Development Impact Fees                                               |    |
| 4. DEBT LOAD TO BE CARRIED BY THE CITY AND THE SFPUC.....             | 17 |
| 5. BENEFITS TO THE CITY AND SFPUC.....                                | 17 |
| Fiscal Benefits                                                       |    |
| Economic Benefits to the City                                         |    |
| Direct Financial Benefits to the City and SFPUC                       |    |
| New Public Facilities                                                 |    |
| Other Benefits                                                        |    |

### **Appendix A:** Fiscal Analysis



## FIGURES AND TABLES

|                                                                             |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| <b>Figure 1</b> Balboa Reservoir Project Areas .....                        | 2  |
| <b>Table 1</b> Summary of Construction Costs and Assessed Value .....       | 6  |
| <b>Table 2</b> Estimated Annual Net General Revenues and Expenditures ..... | 9  |
| <b>Table 3</b> Estimated One-Time Fees and Revenues .....                   | 10 |



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Chapter 29 of the City's Administrative Code requires that the Board of Supervisors make findings of fiscal feasibility for certain development projects before the City's Planning Department may begin California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") review of those proposed projects. Chapter 29 requires consideration of five factors: (1) direct and indirect financial benefits of the project, including, to the extent applicable, cost savings and/or new revenues, including tax revenues generated by the proposed project; (2) the cost of construction; (3) available funding for the project; (4) the long term operating and maintenance cost of the project; and (5) debt load to be carried by the City department or agency.

This report provides information for the Board's consideration in evaluating the fiscal feasibility of a proposed development (the "Project") at the 17-acre Balboa Reservoir parcel shown in **Figure 1**. The City and County of San Francisco ("City"), under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission ("SFPUC"), owns the parcel ("Site"). The City has entered into exclusive negotiations with a team of developers led by BRIDGE Housing Corporation and AvalonBay Communities (the "Development Team") to create a mixed-income housing project (the "Project") at the Site. The Development Team would purchase the Site and build a mix of apartments, condos and townhouses.

Up to half of the units will be affordable to a range of low, moderate, and middle-income households occupying apartments and the condo units. The first 33 percent of units will be affordable units funded by value created by the Project; the additional affordable units, or up to 17 percent of total units, will be funded by public sources that could potentially include tax credits and other state sources, project-generated sources, future bonds, or the proposed gross receipts tax increase. For the purpose of the current analysis, a scenario consisting of 1,100 units, consistent with the Development Team's initial proposal, is evaluated; it is anticipated that subsequent environmental analysis will consider a range of alternatives.

**Legend**

- Parcel for sale (SFPUC land in fee)
- No-build zone to ensure SFPUC pipeline integrity
- SFPUC pipeline
- Public access easement to CCSF (50' wide) & SFPUC (60' wide)

Approx. Location: 37.723750 (lat)  
-122.453246 (long)

San Francisco Water Power Sewer  
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission  
Real Estate Services

**Dimensions of Balboa Reservoir**

The City does not guarantee that the information is accurate or complete. The City is not responsible for any damages arising from the use of data. Users should verify the information before making project commitments. Pipeline locations are only estimations. Measurements shown are only estimations from surveys, and are not exact.

N  
Scale 1:1,500  
0 25 50 100 150 200 Feet

Aerial Photo Flown in 2015

All dollar amounts are expressed in terms of 2017 purchasing power, unless otherwise noted. Information and assumptions are based on data available as of February 2018. Actual numbers may change depending on Project implementation and future economic and fiscal conditions.

## FISCAL BENEFITS

The proposed Balboa Reservoir Project, if approved, will create approximately \$4 million in new, annual ongoing general tax revenues to the City. After deducting required baseline allocations, and preliminary estimates of direct service costs described in **Chapter 3**, the Project as proposed will generate about \$1.7 million annually to the City, in addition to about \$1 million in other dedicated and restricted revenues. The fiscal results are largely proportional to the number of units, assuming the mix of affordable units remains constant. A reduction in the number of units would reduce the magnitude of the potential benefits, but the net impact on the City General Fund would remain positive.

The Project will generate an additional \$400,000 annually to various other City funds (children's fund, libraries, open space), and \$600,000 annually to other restricted uses including SFMTA (parking taxes), public safety (sales taxes), and San Francisco Transportation Authority (sales taxes).

Additional one-time general revenues, including construction-related sales tax and construction gross receipts tax, total \$3.3 million.

Based on standard fee rates, development impact fees total an estimated \$23 million, although the City may agree to credit some of these fees back to the Project in consideration of public-serving improvements that the Project provides in kind. In addition, certain development fees, including childcare fees and bicycle facility in-lieu fees, could be offset by facilities constructed onsite, according to the City's standard impact fee policy. No affordable housing or jobs housing linkage fees are assumed due to the provision of affordable housing onsite.

The new general revenues will fund direct services needed by the Project, including police and fire/EMS services, and maintenance of roads dedicated to the City. Other services, including maintenance and security of parks and open space, will be funded directly by tenants of the Project. The estimated \$1.7 million in net City general revenues, after deducting service costs and Charter-mandated baseline allocations of general revenues, will be available to the City to fund improved or expanded Citywide infrastructure, services and affordable housing. **Chapter 3** further describes fiscal revenue and expenditure estimates.

## ECONOMIC BENEFITS

The Project will provide a range of direct and indirect economic benefits to the City. These benefits include a range of economic benefits such as new jobs, economic activity, and increased public and private expenditures as described in **Chapter 5** and summarized below:

- Over \$560 million of construction activity and approximately 2,800 construction-related job-years during development, in addition to indirect and induced jobs.
- Approximately 1,100 new residential units, including up to 550 permanently affordable units. This housing is critical to economic growth in San Francisco and the region.

The Project will also create a small number of permanent non-construction jobs onsite related to parking facilities, landscape maintenance, and various services associated with the residential units.

## DIRECT FINANCIAL BENEFITS TO THE SFPUC

The SFPUC, which has exclusive jurisdiction over the Site, will benefit financially from the sale of the Site. The land sale price will be negotiated to reflect the final development and public benefits program. The SFPUC may also realize increased revenues by providing power to the Project's residents.

## NEW PUBLIC FACILITIES

The Project will construct parks and open spaces available to the general public. The Project also includes a childcare center that will be accessible by the public as well as the Project's residents.

## OTHER BENEFITS

The Project may fall within the Ocean Avenue Community Benefits District (CBD), which assesses property owners to provide funding for a range of services within the neighborhood, including maintenance and cleaning of public rights of way, sidewalk operations and public safety, and District identity and streetscape improvements. Parcels within the CBD pay for and receive these services as participants in the CBD. The CBD's applicability and associated tax rate will be determined prior to project approvals.

# 1. THE PROJECT & COSTS OF CONSTRUCTION

The Project will be constructed in two phases with Site preparation and construction planned to begin as early as 2021, Phase 1 units leased and sold as early as 2023, and Phase 2 units leased and sold by 2025, according to current plans. The Project and its development costs total at least \$560 million, as described below. The Development Team will be responsible for planning, construction, marketing and operating the Project. The Development Team will reimburse the City for its costs incurred during the Project planning and environmental review process, including City staff costs. **Chapter 2** describes sources of funding to pay for development costs.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Balboa Reservoir Site is an approximately 17-acre parcel that the City owns under the SFPUC's jurisdiction. The Site is located in the central southern portion of San Francisco, bounded by City College of San Francisco's Ocean Campus to the east, Riordan High School to the north, the Westwood Park neighborhood to the west, and the Avalon Ocean Avenue apartments to the south.

Plans for the Site's development envision a mixed-income housing Project. The Development Team would purchase the Site and build a mix of apartments, condos and townhouses.

**Residential** – This fiscal analysis assumes a scenario consisting of 1,100 total residential units. This scenario is based on the Development Team's response to the SFPUC Request for Proposals; environmental analysis will evaluate a range of units that may differ from the scenario in this report, and the Project's final unit count may also differ accordingly.

**Affordable Housing** – The Project proposes 50 percent of total units to be affordable, including 18 percent affordable to low-income households,<sup>1</sup> and 15 percent affordable to moderate-income households<sup>2</sup>, for a subtotal of 33 percent affordable housing units. An additional 17 percent of units are proposed to be affordable to a combination of low, moderate, and middle-income households.

**Parking** – The fiscal analysis evaluates 1,010 parking spaces. Of the total spaces, 500 will be constructed in a parking garage and shared with the City College community.

---

<sup>1</sup> Low-income rents would not exceed 55% of Area Median Income (AMI), and low-income for-sale prices would not exceed 80% of AMI.

<sup>2</sup> Moderate-income rents and sales prices would not exceed 120% of AMI.

## CONSTRUCTION COSTS AND ASSESSED VALUE

**Table 1** summarizes development costs totaling at least \$560 million,<sup>3</sup> which will be phased through buildout by 2025 depending on future market conditions. Taxable assessed value is estimated based on development cost, with affordable rental housing exempted from property taxes if serving households who earn no more than 80% of AMI . These costs and values provide the basis for estimates of various fiscal tax revenues and economic impacts.

**Table 1 Summary of Construction Costs and Assessed Value**

| Item                                    | Development Cost      |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <u>Residential Buildings (1)</u>        |                       |
| Townhouses (Market-rate)                | \$60,598,000          |
| Condos (Affordable)                     | \$15,360,000          |
| Apartments (Market-rate)                | \$169,412,000         |
| Apartments (Moderate)                   | \$87,818,000          |
| Apartments (Low-income)                 | <u>\$88,031,000</u>   |
| Subtotal, Residential Buildings         | \$421,219,000         |
| <u>Other</u>                            |                       |
| Parking - shared (500 spaces)           | \$13,830,000          |
| Infrastructure (2)                      | \$38,000,000          |
| Other Costs (3)                         | <u>\$86,787,000</u>   |
| <b>Total</b>                            | <b>\$559,836,000</b>  |
| <b>(less) Property Tax-Exempt</b>       |                       |
| Low-income Rental Units (up to 80% AMI) | <b>(\$88,031,000)</b> |
| <b>Net Taxable Assessed Value</b>       | <b>\$471,805,000</b>  |

- (1) Includes building hard costs, residential parking, and site development. Site acquisition and community benefits are to be negotiated and are not included.
- (2) Master infrastructure includes utilities, roads, grading, parks and open space.
- (3) "Other Costs" include soft costs (eg legal, design, finance, furnishings and fixtures).  
Permits & Fees not included for purposes of A.V. estimates. 2/9/18

<sup>3</sup> Hard and soft development costs; land costs, community benefits and other mitigations are to be negotiated and are not estimated.



## 2. AVAILABLE FUNDING FOR THE PROJECT

As described in the prior chapter, development costs are anticipated to total \$560 million or more over the course of Project buildout. Several financing mechanisms and sources will assure funding of these costs and development of the Project.


### HORIZONTAL & VERTICAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE

The Development Team will be responsible for funding all horizontal Site improvements, infrastructure and public facilities needed to serve the Project, and vertical building construction with the exception of a portion of the affordable housing, as described in the section that follows. In addition to Developer equity and private financing, Project-based sources of funding and/or reimbursement could include (but may not be not limited to) the following:

- **Net sales proceeds and lease revenues** -- Revenues generated by the Project will help to fund improvements and repay private sources of investment and debt.
- **Mello-Roos Community Facilities District (CFD)** -- Bond proceeds secured by CFD special taxes may help to fund infrastructure costs. CFD special taxes not required for CFD debt service may fund horizontal Site development costs on a “pay-as-you-go” basis.
- **State sources** – No direct City subsidy will be used to build the 33% of the Project’s total housing units that must paid for by the Project. However, the Developer may access non-competitive state funding such as 4% tax credits and tax-exempt bonds

### FUNDING OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING

As described above, 33% of the Project’s total housing units will be affordable housing paid for by the Project, such as with Developer equity or revenues generated by the market-rate portion of the Project, or non-competitive state sources. This baseline 33% rate is based on Proposition K (2015), which set the expectation that housing on property sold by the City will have no less than this amount of affordable housing.

Up to an additional 17% of the Project’s total housing units will be affordable housing paid for with non-Project funds. The Development Team’s initial proposal estimated that a subsidy of approximately \$26 million would be required to provide approximately 187 additional  affordable housing units, although this cost is subject to change as a result of changes in construction costs, availability of state funding, the low income housing tax credit market, and the Project’s unit count or affordable housing program.

Funding sources for this additional affordable housing could potentially include:

- **Gross Receipts Tax.** In June, 2018, San Francisco voters will consider a ballot measure that would raise funds for affordable housing by increasing the gross receipts tax rate for commercial space. If this measure is approved, the Project would be eligible to utilize a portion of the new affordable housing funds.
- **Project-Generated Sources.** As determined by fiscal feasibility analysis, the Project will generate net new General Fund revenue of approximately \$1.7 million. A portion of this revenue could be reinvested back into the Project; the mechanism for this reinvestment could be an infrastructure financing district, an affordable housing investment plan pursuant to AB 1598, or a direct transfer from the City.
- **State Sources.** The Project could apply for one of several funding sources administered at the state level, such as the California's Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities program and certain low income housing tax credit programs.
- **Bond Revenue.** In November, 2018, California voters will consider a \$4 billion state affordable housing bond. In addition, local affordable housing bonds are likely to be proposed in San Francisco in upcoming years; most recently, in 2015, San Francisco voters approved a \$310 million affordable housing bond.

## OTHER MAINTENANCE FUNDING

In addition to the public tax revenues generated to fund public services and road maintenance, as described in the **Chapter 3** fiscal analysis, CFD special taxes (or HOA fees) will be paid by property owners to fund a range of public services including onsite parks and open space maintenance and operation.



### 3. FISCAL ANALYSIS: INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE & PUBLIC SERVICES

Development of the Project will create new public infrastructure including streets, parks and open space that will require ongoing maintenance. **Table 2** summarizes total annual general revenues created by the Project, and net revenues available after funding the Project's service costs. The fiscal results are largely proportional to the number of units, assuming the mix of affordable units remains constant. A reduction in the number of units would reduce the magnitude of the potential benefits and an increase in the number of units would increase their magnitude, but in either case the net impact on the City General Fund would remain positive.

**Table 2 Estimated Annual Net General Revenues and Expenditures**

| Item                                                 | Annual Amount                  |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Annual General Revenue</b>                        |                                |
| Property Taxes (1)                                   | \$2,682,000                    |
| Property Tax in Lieu of VLF                          | \$567,000                      |
| Property Transfer Tax                                | 391,000                        |
| Sales Tax                                            | 261,000                        |
| Parking Tax (City 20% share)                         | 95,000                         |
| Gross Receipts Tax                                   | <u>63,000</u>                  |
| <b>Subtotal, General Revenue</b>                     | <b>\$4,059,000</b>             |
| (less) 20% Charter Mandated Baseline                 | <u>(\$811,800)</u>             |
| <b>Revenues to General Fund above Baseline</b>       | <b>\$3,247,200</b>             |
| <b>Public Services Expenditures</b>                  |                                |
| Parks and Open Space                                 | <i>Project's taxes or fees</i> |
| Roads (maintenance, street cleaning)                 | 76,000                         |
| Police (2)                                           | 855,000                        |
| Fire (2)                                             | <u>607,000</u>                 |
| <b>Subtotal, Services</b>                            | <b>\$1,538,000</b>             |
| <b>NET Annual General Revenues</b>                   | <b>\$1,709,200</b>             |
| <b>Annual Other Dedicated and Restricted Revenue</b> |                                |
| Property Tax to Other SF Funds (1)                   | \$413,000                      |
| Parking Tax (MTA 80% share)                          | \$380,000                      |
| Public Safety Sales Tax                              | \$130,000                      |
| SF Cnty Transportation Auth'y Sales Tax              | <u>\$130,000</u>               |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                                      | <b>\$1,053,000</b>             |
| <b>TOTAL, Net General + Other SF Revenues</b>        | <b>\$2,762,200</b>             |
| <b>Other Revenues</b>                                |                                |
| Property Tax to State Education Rev. Fund (ERAF)     | <b>\$1,195,000</b>             |

(1) Property tax to General Fund at 57%. Other SF funds include the Children's Fund, Library Fund, and Open Space Acquisition.

(2) Police and Fire costs based on Citywide avg. cost per resident and per job.

2/9/18

As noted in the prior **Table 2**, certain service costs will be funded through special taxes or assessments paid by new development and managed by a master homeowners association (HOA). Other required public services, including additional police, fire and emergency medical services (EMS), as well as the maintenance of any new roads that are built by the Project and transferred to the City, will be funded by increased General Fund revenues from new development. MUNI/transportation services may also be affected and will be offset by a combination of service charges, local, regional and State funds.

**Table 3** summarizes development impact fees and other one-time revenues during construction. The impact fee revenue will be dedicated and legally required to fund infrastructure and facilities targeted by each respective fee. Credits may be provided against certain fees to the extent that the Project builds qualifying infrastructure and public facilities onsite, for example, bicycle parking and childcare facilities. The City may also agree to credit some of these fees back to the Project in consideration of public-serving improvements that the Project provides in kind. Certain impact fee revenues may be used Citywide to address needs created by new development. No affordable housing in-lieu fees or jobs housing linkage fees are assumed due to the Project providing affordable units equal to 50 percent of total units.

**Table 3 Estimated Impact Fees and One-Time Revenues**

| Item                                    | Total Amount        |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>City Development Impact Fees (1)</b> |                     |
| Balboa Park Community Infrastructure    | \$9,371,000         |
| Jobs Housing Linkage (2)                | na                  |
| Affordable Housing (3)                  | provided onsite     |
| Child Care (4)                          | \$2,308,000         |
| Bicycle Parking In-lieu                 | provided onsite     |
| Transportation Sustainability Fee       | <u>\$11,315,000</u> |
|                                         | <b>\$22,994,000</b> |
| <b>Other Fees</b>                       |                     |
| San Francisco Unified School District   | <b>\$3,957,000</b>  |
| <b>Other One-Time Revenues</b>          |                     |
| Construction Sales Tax (1% Gen'l Fund)  | \$1,419,000         |
| Gross Receipts Tax During Construction  | <u>\$1,892,000</u>  |
| Total: Other One-Time Revenues          | <b>\$3,311,000</b>  |

(1) Impact fee rates as of Jan. 1, 2018. Refer to Table A-3 for additional detail.

(2) Linkage fee (commercial uses only) assumed offset by Project's affordable housing.

(3) Affordable housing will be provided on site.

(4) Child Care impact fee may be waived in consideration for the Project's on-site childcare center.

2/9/18

## MAINTENANCE AND SERVICE COSTS

Actual costs will depend on the level of future service demands, and Citywide needs by City departments at the time of development and occupancy.

### **Public Open Space**

The Project will include at least 4.0 acres of public parks and open spaces. The parks consist of a large open space of approximately 2 acres, and at least 1.5 acres, along with “gateway” green spaces to serve as gathering places that unite the Site with the surrounding neighborhoods.

The Recreation and Parks Department (RPD) may express interest in assuming ownership and/or operations and maintenance responsibilities for the proposed large open space, subject to agreement between the Project developer and the City. The developer may engage in discussions with RPD about potentially entering into such an arrangement as part of the Development Agreement. However, absent such an arrangement, the Project will fund the parks and open spaces’ ongoing operating costs, including administration, maintenance, and utility costs using CFD services special taxes (or HOA fees) paid by property owners. A master homeowners association would be responsible for managing maintenance activities, as well as the programming of recreation activities not otherwise provided by the City. Specific service needs and costs will be determined based on the programming of the parks.

### **Police**

The Project Site is served by the SFPD’s Ingleside Station. The addition of the Project’s new residents would likely lead the Ingleside Police District to request additional staffing. Over the past several decades, the SFPD has kept staffing levels fairly constant and manages changing service needs within individual districts by re-allocating existing capacity. If needed to serve new residents associated with the Project, additional officers would most likely be reassigned from other SFPD districts and/or hired to fill vacancies created by retirements.<sup>4 5</sup> For purposes of this analysis, the Project’s police service cost is estimated using the City’s current per capita service rate.

### **Fire and EMS**

The San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) deploys services from the closest station with available resources, supplemented by additional resources based on the nature of the call. SFFD

---

<sup>4</sup> Carolyn Welch, San Francisco Police Department, telephone interview, December 22, 2017.

<sup>5</sup> Jack Hart, San Francisco Police Department, telephone interview, January 3, 2017.

anticipates that it will require additional resources to serve the Site and its vicinity as that area's population grows, but it has not yet determined the anticipated costs.<sup>6</sup> The costs in this report have been estimated based on Citywide averages.

**SFMTA**

Using the City's Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Ordinance as a guide, the Project will include a TDM program that encourages the use of sustainable modes of transportation for residents and visitors. This approach will increase demand for and revenues to local public transit service, which includes the J, K, and M MUNI light rail lines and the 8, 29, 43, 49, and 88X bus lines. The Project will also be required to pay the Transportation Sustainability Fee and/or provide equivalent in-kind transportation benefits, as well as provide transportation mitigation measures required as a result of the environmental review process. Specific impacts on transit services, costs, and cost recovery will be studied and determined by the final development program, TDM plan, and environmental review findings.

**Department of Public Works (DPW)**

The Project will create new rights of way to provide access into and out of the Site and circulation within it. These improvements may be accepted by the City, provided that they are designed to standards approved by applicable City agencies, in which case DPW would be responsible for cleaning and maintaining them. Based on the anticipated type and intensity of these proposed rights of way, DPW is estimating annual maintenance costs<sup>7</sup>. For purposes of the current analysis, a Citywide average cost per mile of road provides an estimated cost.

The Project may also include some smaller roads and access points that would remain private, in which case the City would not be responsible for their ongoing operation and maintenance. Instead, special taxes paid by owners of Project buildings, for example as participants in a services CFD, could fund their maintenance. The services budget would be sized to pay for ongoing maintenance of facilities as well as periodic "life cycle" costs for repair and replacement of facilities.

---

<sup>6</sup> Olivia Scanlon, San Francisco Fire Department, telephone interview, February 8, 2018.

<sup>7</sup> Bruce Robertson, Department of Public Works, correspondence with City Project staff.

## PUBLIC REVENUES

New tax revenues from the Project will include ongoing annual revenues and one-time revenues, as summarized in the prior tables. The revenues represent direct, incremental benefits of the Project. These tax revenues will help fund public improvements and services within the Project and Citywide. The following sections describe key assumptions and methodologies employed to estimate each revenue.

### **Charter Mandated Baseline Requirements**

The City Charter requires that a certain share of various General Fund revenues be allocated to specific programs. An estimated 20 percent of revenue is shown deducted from General Fund discretionary revenues generated by the Project (in addition to the share of parking revenues dedicated to MTA, shown separately). While these baseline amounts are shown as a deduction, they represent an increase in revenue as a result of the Project to various City programs whose costs aren't necessarily directly affected by the Project, resulting in a benefit to these services.

### **Property Taxes**

Property tax at a rate of 1 percent of value will be collected from the land and improvements constructed by the Project.<sup>8</sup> The City receives up to \$0.65 in its General Fund and special fund allocations, of every property or possessory interest tax dollar collected. The State's Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) receives \$0.25 of every property tax dollar collected.

The remaining \$0.10 of every property tax dollar collected, beyond the City's \$0.65 share and the \$0.25 State ERAF share, is distributed directly to other local taxing entities, including the San Francisco Unified School District, City College of San Francisco, the Bay Area Rapid Transit District and the San Francisco Bay Area Air Quality Management District. These distributions will continue and will increase as a result of the Project.

Upon the sale of a parcel, building, or individual unit constructed at the Project, the taxable value will be assessed at the new transaction price. The County Assessor will determine the assessed values; the estimates shown in this analysis are preliminary and may change depending on future economic conditions and the exact type, amount and future value of development.

---

<sup>8</sup> Ad valorem property taxes supporting general obligation bond debt in excess of this 1 percent amount and other assessments are excluded for purposes of this analysis. Such taxes require separate voter approval and proceeds are payable only for uses approved by the voters.

Certain properties, including non-profits providing low-income rental housing, are exempt from property tax.

It is likely that property taxes will also accrue during construction of infrastructure and individual buildings, depending on the timing of assessment and tax levy. These revenues have not been estimated.

#### **Property Tax In-Lieu of Vehicle License Fees**

In prior years, the State budget converted a significant portion of Motor Vehicle License Fee (VLF) subventions into property tax distributions; previously these revenues were distributed by the State using a per-capita formula. Under the current formula, these distributions increase over time based on assessed value growth within a jurisdiction. Thus, these City revenues will increase proportionate to the increase in the assessed value added by the new development.

#### **Sales Taxes**

The City General Fund receives 1 percent of taxable sales. New residents will generate taxable sales to the City. In addition to the 1 percent sales tax received by every city and county in California, voter-approved local taxes dedicated to transportation purposes are collected. Two special districts, the San Francisco County Transportation Authority and the San Francisco Public Financing Authority (related to San Francisco Unified School District) also receive a portion of sales taxes (0.50 and 0.25 percent, respectively) in addition to the 1 percent local General Fund portion. The City also receives revenues from the State based on sales tax for the purpose of funding public safety-related expenditures.

#### **Sales Taxes from Construction**

During the construction phases of the Project, one-time revenues will be generated by sales taxes on construction materials and fixtures purchased in San Francisco. Sales tax will be allocated directly to the City and County of San Francisco in the same manner as described in the prior paragraph. Construction sales tax revenues may depend on the City's collection of revenues pursuant to a sub-permit issued by the State.

#### **Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT)**

Hotel Room Tax (also known as Transient Occupancy Tax or TOT) will be generated when hotel occupancies are enhanced by the residential uses envisioned for the Project, such as when friends and relatives come to San Francisco to visit Project residents but choose to stay at hotels. The City currently collects a 14 percent tax on room charges. However, given that no hotels are envisioned for the Project (out-of-town visitors to the Site will likely stay at hotels elsewhere in the City), the impact will not be direct and is excluded from this analysis.

**Parking Tax**

The City collects tax on parking charges at garages, lots, and parking spaces open to the public or dedicated to commercial users. The tax is 25 percent of the pre-tax parking charge. The revenue may be deposited to the General Fund and used for any purpose, however as a matter of City policy the SFMTA retains 80 percent of the parking tax revenue; the other 20 percent is available to the General Fund for allocation to special programs or purposes. This analysis assumes that parking spaces envisioned for the Project's 500-space shared parking garage will generate parking tax; no parking tax is assumed from the residential-only parking spaces. Off-site parking tax revenues that may be generated by visitors or new residents are not included.

**Property Transfer Tax**

The City collects a property transfer tax ranging from \$2.50 on the first \$500 of transferred value on transactions up to \$250,000 to \$15.00 per \$500 on transactions greater than \$25 million.

The fiscal analysis assumes that commercial apartment property sells once every ten to twenty years, or an average of about once every 15 years. For estimating purposes, it is assumed that sales are spread evenly over every year, although it is more likely that sales will be sporadic. An average tax rate has been applied to the average sales transactions to estimate the potential annual transfer tax to the City. Actual amounts will vary depending on economic factors and the applicability of the tax to specific transactions.

The for-sale units can re-sell independently of one another at a rate more frequent than rental buildings. This analysis conservatively assumes that the average condominium or townhouse will be sold to a new owner every ten years, on average.

**Gross Receipts Tax**

Commercial activity, including residential rental property, generates gross receipts taxes. Actual revenues from future gross receipt taxes will depend on a range of variables, including the amount of rental income. This analysis assumes the current gross receipts tax rate of 0.3% (applicable to revenues in the \$2.5 million to \$25 million range).

## DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES

The Project will generate a number of one-time City impact fees including:

- **Balboa Park Community Infrastructure** (Planning Code Sec. 422) -- These fees "shall be used to design, engineer, acquire, improve, and develop pedestrian and streetscape improvements, bicycle infrastructure, transit, parks, plazas and open space, as defined in the

Balboa Park Community Improvements Program with the Plan Area. Funds may be used for childcare facilities that are not publicly owned or "publicly-accessible."<sup>9</sup>

- **Jobs Housing Linkage** (Planning Code Sec. 413)-- These fees apply only to commercial uses and are assumed to be offset by the affordable housing provided onsite.
- **Affordable Housing** (Planning Code Sec. 415) --All affordable housing will be provided on the Site, and therefore the Project will be exempt from the fees.
- **Child Care** (Planning Code Sec. 414, 414A) -- A fee per square foot is charged to residential uses. It is likely that all or some portion of these fees will be offset and reduced by the value of childcare facilities constructed onsite.
- **Bicycle Parking In-lieu Fee** (Planning Code Sec. 430) -- This fee is assumed to be offset by facilities provided onsite.
- **Transit Sustainability Fee (TSF)** (Planning Code Sec. 411A) -- This fee, effective December 25, 2015, replaced the Transit Impact Development Fee. It is a fee per square foot paid by residential and non-residential uses.

In addition to the impact fees charged by the City, utility connection and capacity charges will be collected based on utility consumption and other factors. Other fees will include school impact fees to be paid to the San Francisco Unified School District. The Project will also pay various permit and inspection fees to cover City costs typically associated with new development projects.

---

<sup>9</sup> San Francisco Planning Code, Article 4, Sec. 422.5(b)(1) Balboa Park Community Improvements Fund, Use of Funds.



## 4. DEBT LOAD TO BE CARRIED BY THE CITY AND THE SFPUC

No debt is anticipated to be incurred by the City or the SFPUC in connection with the Project. However, public financing or other non-Project sources will be required to achieve the target affordable housing rate of 50%, as described above. The City could potentially issue bonds in conjunction with several of these sources, subject to regulatory and/or voter approval, but a number of other financing options would allow the City to avoid issuing new debt.

## 5. BENEFITS TO THE CITY AND SFPUC

The Project will provide a range of direct and indirect benefits to the City and the SFPUC. These benefits include tax revenues that exceed service costs, as well as a range of other economic benefits such as new jobs, economic activity, and increased public and private expenditures.

### FISCAL BENEFITS

As described in **Chapter 3**, the Project is anticipated to generate a net \$1.7 million of annual general City tax revenues in excess of its estimated public service costs, in addition to about \$1 million in other dedicated and restricted revenues. These revenues would be available for expansion of local and/or Citywide services and public facilities. Approximately 20 percent of revenues are allocated to "Baseline" costs, which represents a benefit to the City.

### ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO THE CITY

**New Permanent Jobs** - The Project will create a small number of new jobs related to the parking facilities and services, childcare services at the childcare center, and landscape and other onsite maintenance services. The residential uses will also create janitorial and domestic service jobs. Because the Project is entirely residential, its economic "multiplier" effects are minimal.

**Temporary Jobs** - The construction of the Project will create short-term construction spending and construction jobs, estimated at 2,800 job-years.

**New Housing Supply** - Completion of approximately 1,100 residential units also will have the positive economic benefit of adding a significant amount to the City's total supply of housing. This provides increased access to housing for existing City residents, as well employees working within the City. Importantly, these approximately 1,100 units will include up to 550 units of affordable to low, moderate, and middle-income households, which are populations with acute housing needs in San Francisco.

## DIRECT FINANCIAL BENEFITS TO THE CITY AND SFPUC

The Project will result in several direct financial benefits:

**Proceeds from Property Sale** -- The sale of the property currently owned by the City will generate net proceeds. The SFPUC will receive fair market value for the sale of the property.

**Increased Sale of Public Power** -- The SFPUC may provide electrical power to the Project's residents, generating net revenues to the SFPUC.

## NEW PUBLIC FACILITIES

The Project will construct parks and open spaces, a shared parking garage, and a community room available to the general public. The Project also includes a childcare center that will be accessible by the public as well as the Project's residents. These facilities are expected to be utilized by the City College community and residents of surrounding neighborhoods.

## OTHER BENEFITS

The Project may participate in the Ocean Avenue Community Benefits District (CBD) that provides funding for a range of services within the neighborhood, including maintenance and cleaning of public rights of way, sidewalk operations and public safety, and District identity and streetscape improvements. The CBD's applicability and associated tax rate will be determined prior to project approvals.



## APPENDIX A: FISCAL ANALYSIS

**Table of Contents**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

|                |                                                           |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Table 1</b> | Fiscal Results Summary, Ongoing Revenues and Expenditures |
| <b>Table 2</b> | Fiscal Results Summary, One-Time Revenues                 |

|                   |                                                    |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Table A-1a</b> | Project Description Summary                        |
| <b>Table A-1b</b> | Project Description Summary – Affordable Units     |
| <b>Table A-2</b>  | Population and Employment                          |
| <b>Table A-3</b>  | San Francisco City Development Impact Fee Estimate |
| <b>Table A-4</b>  | Assessed Value Estimate                            |
| <b>Table A-5</b>  | Property Tax Estimate                              |
| <b>Table A-6</b>  | Property Tax in Lieu of VLF Estimate               |
| <b>Table A-7</b>  | Property Transfer Tax                              |
| <b>Table A-8</b>  | Sales Tax Estimates                                |
| <b>Table A-9</b>  | Parking Tax                                        |
| <b>Table A-10</b> | Gross Receipts Tax Estimates                       |
| <b>Table A-11</b> | Rental Income for Gross Receipts Tax Estimates     |
| <b>Table A-12</b> | Estimated City Services Costs                      |

**Table 1**  
**Fiscal Results Summary, Ongoing Revenues and Expenditures**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                                 | Annual Amount                  |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Annual General Revenue</b>                        |                                |
| Property Taxes (1)                                   | \$2,682,000                    |
| Property Tax in Lieu of VLF                          | \$567,000                      |
| Property Transfer Tax                                | 391,000                        |
| Sales Tax                                            | 261,000                        |
| Parking Tax (City 20% share)                         | 95,000                         |
| Gross Receipts Tax                                   | <u>63,000</u>                  |
| <b>Subtotal, General Revenue</b>                     | <b>\$4,059,000</b>             |
| (less) 20% Charter Mandated Baseline                 | <u>(\$811,800)</u>             |
| <b>Revenues to General Fund above Baseline</b>       | <b>\$3,247,200</b>             |
| <b>Public Services Expenditures</b>                  |                                |
| Parks and Open Space                                 | <i>Project's taxes or fees</i> |
| Roads (maintenance, street cleaning)                 | 76,000                         |
| Police (2)                                           | 855,000                        |
| Fire (2)                                             | <u>607,000</u>                 |
| <b>Subtotal, Services</b>                            | <b>\$1,538,000</b>             |
| <b>NET Annual General Revenues</b>                   | <b>\$1,709,200</b>             |
| <b>Annual Other Dedicated and Restricted Revenue</b> |                                |
| Property Tax to Other SF Funds (1)                   | \$413,000                      |
| Parking Tax (MTA 80% share)                          | \$380,000                      |
| Public Safety Sales Tax                              | \$130,000                      |
| SF Cnty Transportation Auth'y Sales Tax              | <u>\$130,000</u>               |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                                      | <b>\$1,053,000</b>             |
| <b>TOTAL, Net General + Other SF Revenues</b>        | <b>\$2,762,200</b>             |
| <b>Other Revenues</b>                                |                                |
| Property Tax to State Education Rev. Fund (ERAF)     | <b>\$1,195,000</b>             |

(1) Property tax to General Fund at 57%. Other SF funds include the  
 Childrens' Fund, Library Fund, and Open Space Acquisition.

(2) Police and Fire costs based on Citywide avg. cost per resident and per job.

2/9/18

**Table 2**  
**Fiscal Results Summary, One-Time Revenues**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| <b>Item</b>                                    | <b>Total<br/>Amount</b> |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b><u>City Development Impact Fees (1)</u></b> |                         |
| Balboa Park Community Infrastructure           | \$9,371,000             |
| Jobs Housing Linkage (2)                       | na                      |
| Affordable Housing (3)                         | provided onsite         |
| Child Care (4)                                 | \$2,308,000             |
| Bicycle Parking In-lieu                        | provided onsite         |
| Transportation Sustainability Fee              | <u>\$11,315,000</u>     |
|                                                | <b>\$22,994,000</b>     |
| <b><u>Other Fees</u></b>                       |                         |
| San Francisco Unified School District          | <b>\$3,957,000</b>      |
| <b><u>Other One-Time Revenues</u></b>          |                         |
| Construction Sales Tax (1% Gen'l Fund)         | \$1,419,000             |
| Gross Receipts Tax During Construction         | <u>\$1,892,000</u>      |
| Total: Other One-Time Revenues                 | <b>\$3,311,000</b>      |

(1) Impact fee rates as of Jan. 1, 2018. Refer to Table A-3 for additional detail.

(2) Linkage fee (commercial uses only) assumed offset by Project's affordable housing.

(3) Affordable housing will be provided on site.

(4) Child Care impact fee may be waived in consideration for the Project's on-site  
childcare center.

2/9/18

**Table A-1a**  
**Project Description Summary**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item (1)                                     | Units, Sq.Ft., or Spaces |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Apartments                                   |                          |
| Market Rate                                  | 483 units                |
| Affordable                                   | <u>502</u> units         |
| Total, Apts                                  | 985 units                |
| Condos and Townhouses                        |                          |
| Market Rate Townhouses                       | 67 units                 |
| Affordable Condos                            | <u>48</u> units          |
| Total, Condos and Townhouses                 | 115 units                |
| Total, Residential                           | units                    |
| Market Rate                                  | 50% 550 units            |
| Affordable                                   | 50% <u>550</u> units     |
|                                              | 1,100 units              |
| Community Gathering Space                    | 1,500 sq.ft.             |
| Childcare Center (capacity for 100 children) | 5,000 sq.ft.             |
| Shared Garage                                | 500 spaces               |
|                                              | 175,000 sq.ft.           |

(1) Number of units and space are preliminary and for evaluation purposes only.  
Further analysis may consider different development program scenarios.

2/9/18

**Table A-1b**  
**Project Description Summary -- Affordable Units**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Housing Category                     | %<br>of Total | Units (1)    |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| <u>Baseline Affordable Apts.</u>     |               |              |
| Low-Income (Bridge/Mission <55% AMI) | 16%           | 174          |
| Moderate-Income (Bridge <120% AMI)   | 15%           | <u>165</u>   |
| Total Baseline Affordable            |               | 339          |
| <u>Baseline Affordable Condos</u>    |               |              |
| Low-Income (Habitat <80% AMI)        | 2%            | 24           |
| <b>Total Baseline Affordable</b>     | <b>33%</b>    | <b>363</b>   |
| <u>Additional Affordable Apts.</u>   |               |              |
| Low-Income (Bridge <20% & <55% AMI)  | 15%           | 163          |
| <u>Additional Affordable Condos</u>  |               |              |
| Moderate-Income (Habitat <105% AMI)  | 2%            | 24           |
| <b>Total Additional Affordable</b>   | <b>17%</b>    | <b>187</b>   |
| <b>Total Affordable</b>              | <b>50%</b>    | <b>550</b>   |
| Market-Rate Apts                     |               | 483          |
| Market-Rate Townhouses               |               | <u>67</u>    |
| Total, Market Rate                   | <b>50%</b>    | <b>550</b>   |
| <b>TOTAL UNITS</b>                   | <b>100%</b>   | <b>1,100</b> |

(1) Number of units and space are preliminary and for evaluation purposes only;  
Further analysis may consider different development program scenarios.

2/9/18



**Table A-2**  
**Population and Employment**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                                | Assumptions                     | Total          |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Population                                          | 2.27 persons per unit (1)       | 2,497          |
| <u>Employment (FTEs)</u>                            |                                 |                |
| Residential (2)                                     | 27.9 units per FTE (2)          | 39             |
| Parking                                             | 270 spaces per FTE (2)          | <u>2</u>       |
| Total                                               |                                 | 41             |
| Construction (job-years) (5)                        | \$559,836,000 Construction cost | 2,754          |
| <b>TOTAL SERVICE POPULATION</b>                     |                                 |                |
| Residents                                           |                                 | 2,497          |
| Employees (excluding construction jobs)             |                                 | <u>41</u>      |
| Total Service Population (Residents plus Employees) |                                 | 2,538          |
| <b>CITYWIDE</b>                                     |                                 |                |
| Residents (3)                                       |                                 | 874,200        |
| Employees (4)                                       |                                 | <u>710,300</u> |
| Service Population (Residents plus Employees)       |                                 | 1,584,500      |

(1) ABAG 2015 estimate (citywide); actual Project density will vary depending on unit size and mix.

(2) Residential jobs include building management, janitorial, cleaning/repair, childcare, and other domestic services. Factors based on comparable projects.

(3) Cal. Dept. of Finance, Rpt. E-1, 2017

(4) BLS QCEW State and County Map, 2016Q3.

(5) Construction job-years based on IMPLAN job factors.

2/9/18

**Table A-3**  
**San Francisco City Development Impact Fee Estimate**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                                    |                 | Total<br>Sq.Ft. (1) | Total Fees          |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <u>Residential</u>                                      | <b>Units</b>    |                     |                     |
| Market-Rate                                             | 550             | 605,000             |                     |
| Moderate-Income                                         | 189             | 189,000             |                     |
| Low-Income                                              | <u>361</u>      | <u>342,950</u>      |                     |
| <b>Total</b>                                            | 1,100           | 1,136,950           |                     |
| <u>Other</u>                                            |                 |                     |                     |
| Childcare Facility                                      | approximately   | 5,000               |                     |
| Shared Parking (2)                                      |                 | 175,000             |                     |
| <b>City Impact Fees</b> (per gross building sq.ft.) (2) | <b>Fee Rate</b> |                     |                     |
| Balboa Park Community Infrastructure                    |                 |                     |                     |
| Residential (3)                                         | \$11.32 /sq.ft. | 794,000             | \$8,988,080         |
| Non-Residential (3)                                     | \$2.13 /sq.ft.  | 180,000             | \$383,400           |
| Jobs Housing Linkage (4)                                | na              |                     | na                  |
| Affordable Housing (5)                                  | na              |                     | na                  |
| Child Care (6)                                          | \$2.03 /sq.ft.  | 1,136,950           | \$2,308,009         |
| Bicycle Parking In-lieu Fee (7)                         | na              |                     | na                  |
| Transportation Sustainability Fee                       |                 |                     |                     |
| Residential (8)                                         | \$9.71 /sq.ft.  | 794,000             | \$7,709,740         |
| Non-Residential (3)                                     | \$20.03 /sq.ft. | 180,000             | <u>\$3,605,400</u>  |
| <b>Total</b>                                            |                 |                     | <b>\$22,994,629</b> |
| <b>Other Impact Fees (9)</b>                            |                 |                     |                     |
| San Francisco Unified School District                   | \$3.48 /sq.ft.  | 1,136,950           | <b>\$3,956,586</b>  |

(1) Residential fees assume approximately 950 to 1,100 sq.ft./unit. Mix of sizes will vary in final program.

(2) All impact fees are as of January 2018.

(3) Units affordable to a maximum 80% AML exempt from Balboa Park Community Infrastructure Fee.  
100% of non-residential assumed to be subject to TSF & Community Infrastructure Fee.

(4) Jobs Housing Linkage not applicable to residential.

(5) Plans anticipate affordable units sufficient to offset fee requirement.

(6) Child Care impact fee may be waived in consideration for the Project's on-site childcare facility.

(7) Bicycle facilities provided onsite, not subject to fee.

(8) Units affordable to a maximum 80% AML exempt from Transportation Sustainability Fee (TSF).

(9) Additional utility fees and charges will be paid, depending on final Project design.

Sources: City of San Francisco, and Berkson Associates.

2/9/18

**Table A-4**  
**Assessed Value Estimate**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                    | Development Cost      |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <u>Residential Buildings (1)</u>        |                       |
| Townhouses (Market-rate)                | \$60,598,000          |
| Condos (Affordable)                     | \$15,360,000          |
| Apartments (Market-rate)                | \$169,412,000         |
| Apartments (Moderate)                   | \$87,818,000          |
| Apartments (Low-income)                 | <u>\$88,031,000</u>   |
| Subtotal, Residential Buildings         | \$421,219,000         |
| <u>Other</u>                            |                       |
| Parking - shared (500 spaces)           | \$13,830,000          |
| Infrastructure (2)                      | \$38,000,000          |
| Other Costs (3)                         | <u>\$86,787,000</u>   |
| <b>Total</b>                            | <b>\$559,836,000</b>  |
| <b>(less) Property Tax-Exempt</b>       |                       |
| Low-income Rental Units (up to 80% AMI) | <b>(\$88,031,000)</b> |
| <b>Net Taxable Assessed Value</b>       | <b>\$471,805,000</b>  |

(1) Includes building hard costs, residential parking, and site development. Site acquisition and community benefits are to be negotiated and are not included.

(2) Master infrastructure includes utilities, roads, grading, parks and open space.

(3) "Other Costs" include soft costs (eg legal, design, finance, furnishings and fixtures).

Permits & Fees not included for purposes of A.V. estimates.

2/9/18

**Table A-5**  
**Property Tax Estimate**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                   | Assumption     | Total                |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| <b>Taxable Assessed Value (1)</b>      |                | <b>\$471,805,000</b> |
| <b>Gross Property Tax</b>              | 1.0%           | <b>\$4,718,000</b>   |
| <b>Allocation of Tax</b>               |                |                      |
| General Fund                           | <b>56.84%</b>  | <b>\$2,682,000</b>   |
| Childrens' Fund                        | 3.75%          | \$177,000            |
| Library Preservation Fund              | 2.50%          | \$118,000            |
| Open Space Acquisition Fund            | <u>2.50%</u>   | <u>\$118,000</u>     |
| <b>Subtotal, Other Funds</b>           | <b>8.75%</b>   | <b>\$413,000</b>     |
| ERAF                                   | 25.33%         | \$1,195,000          |
| SF Unified School District             | 7.70%          | \$363,000            |
| Other                                  | <u>1.38%</u>   | <u>\$65,000</u>      |
|                                        | <b>34.41%</b>  | <b>\$1,623,000</b>   |
| <b>Total, 1%</b>                       | <b>100.00%</b> | <b>\$4,718,000</b>   |
| Other (bonds, debt, State loans, etc.) | <b>17.23%</b>  | <b>\$813,000</b>     |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                           | <b>117.23%</b> | <b>\$5,531,000</b>   |

Sources: City of San Francisco, and Berkson Associates

2/9/18

**Table A-6**  
**Property Tax in Lieu of VLF Estimate**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| <b>Item</b>                                                          | <b>Total</b>      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Citywide Total Assessed Value (1)                                    | \$231,000,000,000 |
| Total Citywide Property Tax in Lieu of Vehicle License Fee (VLF) (2) | \$233,970,000     |
| Project Assessed Value                                               | \$559,836,000     |
| Growth in Citywide AV due to Project                                 | 0.24%             |
| <b>TOTAL PROPERTY TAX IN LIEU OF VLF (3)</b>                         | <b>\$567,000</b>  |

(1) Based on the CCSF FY2017 total assessed value, Office of the Assessor-Controller, July 21, 2017.

(2) City and County of San Francisco Annual Appropriation Ordinance for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2018, page 127.

(3) Equals the increase in Citywide AV due to the Project multiplied by the current Citywide Property Tax In Lieu of VLF.  
No assumptions included about inflation and appreciation of Project or Citywide assessed values.

Sources: City of San Francisco, and Berkson Associates

2/9/18

**Table A-7**  
**Property Transfer Tax**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                                       | Assumptions                           | Total            |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| <u>Annual Transfer Tax From Condo and Townhouses Sales</u> |                                       |                  |
| Assessed Value (AV)                                        | \$75,958,000                          |                  |
| Annual Transactions                                        | 10.0% (avg. sale once/10 years)(4)    | \$7,596,000      |
| Transfer Tax From Condos and Townhouses                    | \$3.40 /\$500 (1)                     | \$52,000         |
| <u>Market-Rate Apartments (5)</u>                          |                                       |                  |
| Assessed Value (AV)                                        | \$169,400,000                         |                  |
| Avg. Sales Value                                           | 6.7% (avg. sale once/15 years)(3),(4) | \$11,293,000     |
| Transfer Tax: Apartment Buildings (annual avg.)            | \$15.00 /\$500 (2)                    | \$339,000        |
| <b>TOTAL ONGOING TRANSFER TAX</b>                          |                                       | <b>\$391,000</b> |

(1) Rates range from \$2.50 per \$500 of value for transactions up to \$250k, \$3.40 up to \$1 million, to \$3.75 per \$500 of value for transactions from \$1 million to \$5 million; applies to sale of affordable and market-rate ownership units.

(2) Assumes rate applicable to sales > \$25 million for market-rate apartment buildings.

(3) Actual sales will be periodic and for entire buildings; revenues have been averaged and spread annually for the purpose of this analysis.

(4) Turnover rates are estimated averages based on analysis of similar projects; actual % and value of sales will vary annually.

(5) No transactions assumed for low-income and moderate-rate apartments owned by non-profits.

2/9/18

**Table A-8**  
**Sales Tax Estimates**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                                                  | Low-Income Apts (<55% AMI) |                 | Moderate-Income Apts (<120% AMI) |                 | Low-Income Condos (<80% AMI) |                |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------|
|                                                                       | Assumptions                | Total           | Assumptions                      | Total           | Assumptions                  | Total          |
| <b>Taxable Sales From New Residential Uses</b>                        |                            |                 |                                  |                 |                              |                |
| Sale Price                                                            |                            |                 |                                  |                 |                              |                |
| Average Annual Rent or Housing Payment (1)                            |                            |                 |                                  |                 |                              |                |
| Average Household Income                                              | 50% of AMI 2.27/hh         | \$47,700        | 110% of AMI 2.27/hh              | \$104,900       | 70% of AMI 2.27/hh           | \$66,700       |
| Average HH Retail Expenditure (3)                                     | 27%                        | \$12,900        | 27%                              | \$28,300        | 27%                          | \$18,000       |
| New Households                                                        |                            | 337             |                                  | 165             |                              | 24             |
| Total New Retail Sales from Households                                |                            | \$4,347,000     |                                  | \$4,670,000     |                              | \$432,000      |
| New Taxable Retail Sales Captured in San Francisco (4)                | 80% of retail expend       | \$3,477,600     | 80% of retail expend             | \$3,736,000     | 80% of retail expend.        | \$345,600      |
| <b>Net New Sales Tax to GF From Residential Uses</b>                  | 1.0% tax rate              | <b>\$34,800</b> | 1.0% tax rate                    | <b>\$37,400</b> | 1.0% tax rate                | <b>\$3,500</b> |
| <b>TOTAL Sales Tax to General Fund (1%)</b>                           |                            | <b>\$34,800</b> |                                  | <b>\$37,400</b> |                              | <b>\$3,500</b> |
| <b>Annual Sales Tax Allocation</b>                                    |                            |                 |                                  |                 |                              |                |
| Sales Tax to the City General Fund                                    | 1.00% tax rate             | <b>\$34,800</b> | 1.00% tax rate                   | <b>\$37,400</b> | 1.00% tax rate               | <b>\$3,500</b> |
| <b>Other Sales Taxes</b>                                              |                            |                 |                                  |                 |                              |                |
| Public Safety Sales Tax                                               | 0.50% tax rate             | <b>\$17,400</b> | 0.50% tax rate                   | <b>\$18,700</b> | 0.50% tax rate               | <b>\$1,800</b> |
| San Francisco County Transportation Authority (6)                     | 0.50% tax rate             | <b>\$17,400</b> | 0.50% tax rate                   | <b>\$18,700</b> | 0.50% tax rate               | <b>\$1,800</b> |
| SF Public Financing Authority (Schools) (6)                           | 0.25% tax rate             | <b>\$8,700</b>  | 0.25% tax rate                   | <b>\$9,400</b>  | 0.25% tax rate               | <b>\$900</b>   |
| <b>One-Time Sales Taxes on Construction Materials and Supplies</b>    |                            |                 |                                  |                 |                              |                |
| Total Development Cost                                                |                            |                 |                                  |                 |                              |                |
| Direct Construction Costs (exc. land, profit, soft costs, fees, etc.) |                            |                 |                                  |                 |                              |                |
| Supply/Materials Portion of Construction Cost                         | 60.00%                     |                 |                                  |                 |                              |                |
| San Francisco Capture of Taxable Sales                                | 50.00%                     |                 |                                  |                 |                              |                |
| Sales Tax to San Francisco General Fund                               | 1.0% tax rate              |                 |                                  |                 |                              |                |

(1) Incomes from "2017 MAXIMUM INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE derived from the Unadjusted Area Median Income (AMI) for HUD Metro Fair Market Rent Area (HMFA) that Contains San Francisco". Affordable rents adjusted for average household size of 2.27.

(2) Avg. market rate apartment rent based on average for comparable project (Axiometrics 12/17 survey).

Estimated townhouse sale price from Berkson Associates, August 2017, avg. for new detached homes in San Francisco.

(3) Based on typical household spending as reported for the San Francisco MSA by the State Board of Equalization.

(4) Estimated portion of sales assumed to be captured within the City based on analyses prepared for comparable projects.

Source: Berkson Associates

2/9/18

**Table A-8**  
**Sales Tax Estimates**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                                   | Moderate-Income Townhouses (<105% AMI) |                | Market-Rate Apts    |                  | Market-Rate Townhouses |                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
|                                                        | Assumptions                            | Total          | Assumptions         | Total            | Assumptions            | Total           |
| <b>Taxable Sales From New Residential Uses</b>         |                                        |                |                     |                  |                        |                 |
| Sale Price                                             |                                        |                |                     |                  | \$1,500,000 (2)        |                 |
| Average Annual Rent or Housing Payment (1)             |                                        |                | \$3,300 /unit (2)   | \$39,600         | \$7,300 per household  | \$87,600        |
| Average Household Income                               | 100% of AMI 2.27/hh                    | \$95,400       | 30%                 | \$132,000        | 30%                    | \$292,000       |
| Average HH Retail Expenditure (3)                      | 27%                                    | \$25,800       | 27%                 | \$35,600         | 27%                    | \$78,800        |
| New Households                                         |                                        | 24             |                     | 483              |                        | 67              |
| Total New Retail Sales from Households                 |                                        | \$619,000      |                     | \$17,195,000     |                        | \$5,280,000     |
| New Taxable Retail Sales Captured in San Francisco (4) | 80% of retail expen                    | \$495,200      | 80% of retail expen | \$13,756,000     | 80% of retail expen    | \$4,224,000     |
| <b>Net New Sales Tax to GF From Residential Uses</b>   | 1.0% tax rate                          | <b>\$5,000</b> | 1.0% tax rate       | <b>\$137,600</b> | 1.0% tax rate          | <b>\$42,200</b> |
| <b>TOTAL Sales Tax to General Fund (1%)</b>            |                                        | <b>\$5,000</b> |                     | <b>\$137,600</b> |                        | <b>\$42,200</b> |
| <b>Annual Sales Tax Allocation</b>                     |                                        |                |                     |                  |                        |                 |
| Sales Tax to the City General Fund                     | 1.00% tax rate                         | <b>\$5,000</b> | 1.00% tax rate      | <b>\$137,600</b> | 1.00% tax rate         | <b>\$42,200</b> |
| <b>Other Sales Taxes</b>                               |                                        |                |                     |                  |                        |                 |
| Public Safety Sales Tax                                | 0.50% tax rate                         | <b>\$2,500</b> | 0.50% tax rate      | <b>\$68,800</b>  | 0.50% tax rate         | <b>\$21,100</b> |
| San Francisco County Transportation Authority (6)      | 0.50% tax rate                         | <b>\$2,500</b> | 0.50% tax rate      | <b>\$68,800</b>  | 0.50% tax rate         | <b>\$21,100</b> |
| SF Public Financing Authority (Schools) (6)            | 0.25% tax rate                         | <b>\$1,300</b> | 0.25% tax rate      | <b>\$34,400</b>  | 0.25% tax rate         | <b>\$10,600</b> |

(1) Incomes from "2017 MAXIMUM INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE derived from the Unadjusted Area Median Income (AMI) for HUD Metro Fair Market Rent Area (HMFA) that Contains San Francisco". Affordable rents adjusted for average household size of 2.27.

(2) Avg. market rate apartment rent based on average for comparable project (AxioMetrics 12/17 survey).

Estimated townhouse sale price from Berkson Associates, August 2017, avg. for new detached homes in San Francisco.

(3) Based on typical household spending as reported for the San Francisco MSA by the State Board of Equalization.

(4) Estimated portion of sales assumed to be captured within the City based on analyses prepared for comparable projects.

Source: Berkson Associates

2/9/18



**Table A-8**  
**Sales Tax Estimates**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                                            | TOTAL              |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Taxable Sales From New Residential Uses</b>                  |                    |
| Sale Price                                                      | na                 |
| Average Annual Rent or Housing Payment (1)                      | na                 |
| Average Household Income                                        | na                 |
| Average HH Retail Expenditure (3)                               | na                 |
| New Households                                                  | 1,100              |
| Total New Retail Sales from Households                          |                    |
| New Taxable Retail Sales Captured in San Francisco (4)          |                    |
| <b>Net New Sales Tax to GF From Residential Uses</b>            | <b>\$260,500</b>   |
| <b>TOTAL Sales Tax to General Fund (1%)</b>                     | <b>\$260,500</b>   |
| <b>Annual Sales Tax Allocation</b>                              |                    |
| Sales Tax to the City General Fund                              | <b>\$260,500</b>   |
| <b>Other Sales Taxes</b>                                        |                    |
| Public Safety Sales Tax                                         | <b>\$130,300</b>   |
| San Francisco County Transportation Authority (6)               | <b>\$130,300</b>   |
| SF Public Financing Authority (Schools) (6)                     | <b>\$65,300</b>    |
| <b>One-Time Sales Taxes on Construction Materials and</b>       |                    |
| Total Development Cost                                          | \$559,836,000      |
| Direct Construction Costs (exc. land, profit, soft costs, fees) | \$473,049,000      |
| Supply/Materials Portion of Construction Cost                   | \$283,829,000      |
| San Francisco Capture of Taxable Sales                          | \$141,914,500      |
| Sales Tax to San Francisco General Fund                         | <b>\$1,419,000</b> |

(1) Incomes from "2017 MAXIMUM INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD SIZE derived from the Unadjusted Area Median Income (AMI) for HUD Metro Fair Market Rent Area (HMFA) that Contains San Francisco". Affordable rents adjusted for average household size of 2.27.

(2) Avg. market rate apartment rent based on average for comparable project (Axiometrics 12/17 survey).

Estimated townhouse sale price from Berkson Associates, August 2017, avg. for new detached homes in San Francisco.

(3) Based on typical household spending as reported for the San Francisco MSA by the State Board of Equalization.

(4) Estimated portion of sales assumed to be captured within the City based on analyses prepared for comparable projects.

Source: Berkson Associates

**Table A-9**  
**Parking Tax**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                                    | Assumption             | Total            |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Garage Revenue (2)                                      |                        | \$1,900,000      |
| Spaces (shared garage) (1)                              |                        | 500              |
| <u>Parking Revenues</u>                                 |                        |                  |
| Annual Total (2)                                        | \$3,800 per year/space | \$1,900,000      |
| <u>San Francisco Parking Tax (3)</u>                    | 25% of revenue         | <b>\$475,000</b> |
| Parking Tax Allocation to General Fund/Special Programs | 20% of tax proceeds    | \$95,000         |
| Parking Tax Allocation to Municipal Transp. Fund        | 80% of tax proceeds    | \$380,000        |

(1) Shared spaces will be a mix of residents and City College parking.

(2) Based on estimated revenue from parking garage; actual hourly and daily revenue will vary depending on occupancy rates, turnover during the day, and long-term parking rates vs. hourly rates.

(3) 80 percent is transferred to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency for public transit as mandated by Charter Section 16.110.

Source: Berkson Associates

2/9/18

**Table A-10**  
**Gross Receipts Tax Estimates**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                         | Total Gross Receipts | Gross Revenue Tier (1) |               |                |        | Gross Receipts Tax |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|
|                              |                      | up to \$1m             | \$1m - \$2.5m | \$2.5m - \$25m | \$25m+ |                    |
| <u>Business Income</u>       |                      |                        |               |                |        |                    |
| Subtotal                     | na                   |                        |               |                |        | na                 |
| <u>Rental Income (2)</u>     |                      |                        |               |                |        |                    |
| Parking                      | \$1,900,000          | 0.285%                 | 0.285%        | 0.300%         | 0.300% | \$5,700            |
| Residential                  | <u>\$19,127,000</u>  | 0.285%                 | 0.285%        | 0.300%         | 0.300% | <u>\$57,381</u>    |
| Subtotal                     | \$21,027,000         |                        |               |                |        | \$63,081           |
| <b>Total Gross Receipts</b>  | <b>\$21,027,000</b>  |                        |               |                |        | <b>\$63,081</b>    |
| <u>Project Construction</u>  |                      |                        |               |                |        |                    |
| Total Development Value (3)  | \$559,836,000        |                        |               |                |        |                    |
| Direct Construction Cost (4) | \$473,049,000        | 0.300%                 | 0.350%        | 0.400%         | 0.450% | <b>\$1,892,196</b> |

(1) This analysis applies highlighted tax rate in tier for each use.

(2) See tables referenced in Table A-11.

(3) Based on total development cost.

(4) Direct construction costs exclude soft costs, community benefits and land.

Source: Berkson Associates

2/9/18

**Table A-11**  
**Rental Income for Gross Receipts Tax Estimates**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                      | Gross Sq.Ft.<br>Units, or Space | Annual<br>Avg. Rent | Total               |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Parking (excludes Gross Receipts Tax) (1) | 500 spaces                      |                     | \$1,900,000         |
| Market-Rate Apartments (2)                | 483 units                       | \$39,600            | <u>\$19,126,800</u> |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                              |                                 |                     | <b>\$21,026,800</b> |

(1) Refer to Table A-9 for additional parking detail.

(2) See Table A-8 for estimated market-rate apartment rents.

2/9/18

**Table A-12**  
**Estimated City Services Costs**  
**Balboa Reservoir**

| Item                                 | City<br>Total Budget | Cost per Service<br>Pop. (1) or Mile | Factor                 | Total<br>Cost      |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Citywide Service Population (1)      |                      |                                      | 1,584,500 service pop. |                    |
| Project Service Population (1)       |                      |                                      | 2,538 service pop.     |                    |
| Citywide DPW Miles of Road (4)       |                      |                                      | 981 miles              |                    |
| Miles of Road in Project (estimated) |                      |                                      | 0.66 miles             |                    |
| Fire Department (2)                  | \$378,948,000        | \$239                                | 2,538 service pop.     | \$607,000          |
| Police Department (3)                | \$533,899,000        | \$337                                | 2,538 service pop.     | \$855,000          |
| Roads (4)                            | \$112,200,000        | \$114,373                            | 0.66 miles             | <u>\$75,815</u>    |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                         |                      |                                      |                        | <b>\$1,462,000</b> |

(1) Service Population equals jobs plus residents (see Table A-2).

(2) Total fire budget (FY17-18 Adopted) excludes "Administration & Support Services", assuming no impact or additional administrative costs required due to Project.

(3) Total police budget (FY17-18 Adopted) excludes "Airport Police".

(4) Road costs (FY16-17) for \$52.1 mill. street resurfacing capital expenditures and \$60.1 mill. environmental services (pothole repair, sidewalks, graffiti, street sweeping, etc.).

Road miles from SFdata, <https://data.sfgov.org/City-Infrastructure/Miles-Of-Streets/5s76-j52p/data>

2/9/18

**From:** [Abby Green](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 10:49:59 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

This is a rare opportunity for hundreds of families to secure an affordable place to live in our increasingly unaffordable city.

Making sure our essential workers are able to stay in San Francisco and continue to be part of the fabric of our community is more important than ever. Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help.

The inclusion of a childcare center on the site and the addition of public spaces for that everyone can use is also very welcome. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,

Abby Green  
abbylgreen@gmail.com  
701 Fell St  
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Keith Wycoff](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 10:52:12 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of the San Francisco Bay Area and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

This is a rare opportunity for hundreds of families to secure an affordable place to live in our increasingly unaffordable city.

Making sure our essential workers are able to stay in San Francisco and continue to be part of the fabric of our community is more important than ever. Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help.

The inclusion of a childcare center on the site and the addition of public spaces for that everyone can use is also very welcome. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,

Keith Wycoff  
kwycoff@planetbiotechnology.com  
2399 Carmel Drive  
Palo Alto, California 94303

**From:** [SILVIA SANTANA](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 11:00:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

This is a rare opportunity for hundreds of families to secure an affordable place to live in our increasingly unaffordable city.

Making sure our essential workers are able to stay in San Francisco and continue to be part of the fabric of our community is more important than ever. Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help.

The inclusion of a childcare center on the site and the addition of public spaces for that everyone can use is also very welcome. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,

SILVIA SANTANA  
nahomy\_49@yahoo.com  
2258 CAPITOL AVE  
EAST Palo Alto, California 94303



**From:** [Emily Mattison-Earls](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 1:13:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

This is a rare opportunity for hundreds of families to secure an affordable place to live in our increasingly unaffordable city.

Making sure our essential workers are able to stay in San Francisco and continue to be part of the fabric of our community is more important than ever. Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help.

The inclusion of a childcare center on the site and the addition of public spaces for that everyone can use is also very welcome. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,

Emily Mattison-Earls  
emily.mattisonearls@gmail.com  
325 27th Street  
Oakland, California 94612

**From:** [Catherine Weitenbeck](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 2:40:07 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

This is a rare opportunity for hundreds of families to secure an affordable place to live in our increasingly unaffordable city.

Making sure our essential workers are able to stay in San Francisco and continue to be part of the fabric of our community is more important than ever. Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help.

The inclusion of a childcare center on the site and the addition of public spaces for that everyone can use is also very welcome. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,

Catherine Weitenbeck  
weitenbeck.cathy@outlook.com  
1451 7th Ave Apt 4  
San Francisco, California 94122

**From:** [Jean Barish](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [swilliams](#); [Tom Temprano](#); [davila](#); [Ivy Lee](#); [alexrandolph](#); [John Rizzo](#); [tselby](#); [studenttrustee@mail.ccsf.edu](#); [rvurdien@ccsf.edu](#); [lmilloy@ccsf.edu](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [madelinenmueller@gmail.com](#); [Christine Hanson](#); [Wynd Kuafman](#); [Vicki Legion](#); [madelinenmueller@gmail.com](#); [Leslie Simon](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 3:16:55 PM  
**Attachments:** [Board of Supervisors Letter.docx](#)  
[Att. 1 Smooke Letter & Resume.pdf](#)  
[Att. 2 Berkson Report.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Attached is a letter and attachments from Public Lands for Public Good and Defend City College Alliance regarding the Balboa Reservoir Project.

We appreciate your attention to the issues raised in this letter.

Cordially,

*Jean*

*Jean B Barish  
Public Lands for Public Good  
415-752-0185*

*Stay safe and be well*

***PUBLIC LANDS FOR PUBLIC GOOD  
DEFEND CITY COLLEGE ALLIANCE***

July 22, 2020

VIA EMAIL

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
Land Use and Transportation Committee  
Budget and Finance Committee  
1 Dr. Carleton Goodlett Place, #244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: **Balboa Reservoir Project**  
**Land Use and Transportation Committee Legislative Items: 200422; 200635**  
**Budget and Finance Committee Legislative Items: 200423; 200740**

Dear Supervisors:

We are writing on behalf of Public Lands for Public Good and Defend City College Alliance. On July 27, 2020 the Board of Supervisors Land Use and Transportation Committee will be considering legislation related to the Balboa Reservoir Project. This legislation involves amending the San Francisco General Plan, the Planning Code, and Zoning Maps to enable rezoning of the Balboa Reservoir. And on July 29, 2020 the Budget and Finance Committee will consider the Development Agreement and an Agreement for the Sale of Real Estate for this Project.

This legislation will enable the construction of a housing development of 1,100 units on land adjacent to City College of San Francisco. For the reasons set forth below, we respectfully request you do not recommend approval of any of this legislation.

**I. Introduction**

The Balboa Reservoir Project would develop the Balboa Reservoir with a combination of market rate and affordable housing. It will take over all of the land on the Balboa Reservoir, public land

owned by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission and used by City College of San Francisco ("CCSF," "City College") since 1946. It will privatize over sixteen acres of land by selling it to a private developer for an unjustified, unreasonable deep discount at a time when public land for 100% affordable projects is scarce. It dooms hope for restoring and growing enrollment at City College. And it will create significant impacts on pedestrian and bicycle safety, transit delay, and air and noise pollution. This Project deals multiple blows to CCSF and the City, and must be rejected.

## **II. The Project will Cause Irreparable Harm to City College of San Francisco**

We are sure that the Supervisors understand the immense value that City College delivers to the City and County of San Francisco. The City itself previously performed a budget analysis on the financial impact of City College. In a detailed report to the Board of Supervisors, dated September 16, 2013, commissioned by Supervisor Eric Mar, the conclusion was that the financial benefits of City College to the City exceeded \$311 million. But it's not just about economics. It's also about improving the quality of life of everyone in the City by providing well-educated and well-trained San Franciscans, from home health aides to tech workers to engineers to artists and musicians.

When the Budget and Finance Committee addressed the proposed Project in March of 2018, Supervisor Norman Yee recognized that there are a number of problems that the developers must address with City College, including parking, before he could approve the Project. He recognized that the school is a commuter school serving the interests of low-income students. In fact, more than 80% of the students at City College are low-income and/or persons of color. Many of these students have part-time jobs as well as family obligations. In order for them to squeeze in classes between other responsibilities they must drive to access their classes. These classes enable them to be upwardly mobile.

Recognizing the needs of City College students, Supervisor Yee stated at the March, 2018 meeting that parking was a key for such students. He said: "if we don't have a solution, we're not going to be able to move forward with this project." The City representative responded, saying that the Developer had to reach a resolution with City College to replace the many spaces lost by the proposed Development.

A Fehr & Peers transportation report was submitted to City College in March of 2019. [https://go.boarddocs.com/ca/ccsf/Board.nsf/files/BPHPXA618C17/\\$file/CCSF%20TDM%20Plan\\_2019-03-15\\_FP\\_Facilities%20May%2014%202020.pdf](https://go.boarddocs.com/ca/ccsf/Board.nsf/files/BPHPXA618C17/$file/CCSF%20TDM%20Plan_2019-03-15_FP_Facilities%20May%2014%202020.pdf) That report concluded that with the loss of parking due to the development, at least 980 replacement parking spaces were needed on low demand days, and that the unserved demand on peak times would be 1,767 spaces.

Hence, the Fehr & Peers report demonstrated that Supervisor Norman Yee was correct that this parking problem needs to be solved before the development can proceed.

The importance of parking cannot be overstated. City College students and employees live throughout San Francisco, as well as the surrounding area. According to the Fehr & Peers report, about one-third of CCSF students drive alone to school, and about 2/3 of employees drive alone. (F&P, p. 9) That represents thousands of students and employees who, should they lose parking, will find it difficult, if not impossible, to get to their classes or their jobs.

Exacerbating the impact of lost parking is the fact that public transit will not adequately compensate for this loss. SF MTA has just announced plans to significantly reduce transit for the foreseeable future. And they have stated on several occasions that there are not any firm plans to increase transit to CCSF. There are discussions of plans, but so far these are only tentative, provisional or aspirational. This lack of access to City College could destroy the school. Approval of this project must be held up until this issue is resolved.

Before this Project can be approved the loss of educational access and other issues must be addressed. At this point there is no agreement or MOU between the Developer and City College with respect to anything. An agreement between the Developer and City College must be in place before the project is approved that minimally addresses the following:

1. the college's need for at least 980 parking spaces
2. appropriate placement of the North Access road
3. coordination of construction schedules
4. mitigation of noise, traffic, and air pollution during construction

Additionally, the privatization of precious public land must be quashed. This land currently functions as an integral part of City College's Ocean campus. With the recently approved bond measure of over \$800 M, City College could potentially develop the land to maximize the educational value of CCSF.

There are numerous problems impacting City College that cannot be solved with the proposed 1,100 unit development. The best solution is to have all of the Balboa Reservoir serve the interests of the public. That solution is set forth below, in Section III.

### **III. The Project Should be a 100% Affordable Project**

The development at the Balboa Reservoir should meet the City's growing need for affordable, not market rate housing on a portion of the Balboa Reservoir. The remaining portion of the land could continue to be used by City College to meet its needs for student, faculty, and staff access, as well as for any other purpose that serves its needs. While there is a glut of market

rate housing, the City is far behind in providing affordable housing, especially for low-income residents.

The Balboa Reservoir site provides an ideal location for a 100% affordable development. A significant barrier to building affordable housing in San Francisco is available land. The public Balboa Reservoir land meets that need while at the same time allowing another portion of the land to meet the needs of City College.

The attached report from Joseph Smooke, an affordable housing expert, details how a 100% affordable development on the Balboa Reservoir public land could be fully funded using various sources, including state grants, City monies, low income housing tax credits, other affordable housing capital subsidies and a bank loan. According to Mr. Smooke, this is a typical leveraging structure that MOHCD expects when it invests in affordable housing.

Mr. Smooke's analysis is that 100% affordable housing is both visionary and financially feasible. Furthermore, the current Project primarily benefits a for-profit developer. The 100% alternative better serves the City and CCSF, and should be adopted.

#### **IV. The Development Agreement is Flawed**

As Mr. Smooke sets out in his letter, this Development Agreement is fatally flawed and should not be approved. In addition to the fact that the price of the land and the terms of the Agreement unfairly favor the Developer, what is especially concerning is that this Project creates unacceptable *de facto* segregation and class divide. All, or almost all, of the "affordable" units are rental, not ownership units. And these units will all be built in separate buildings, unconnected to the market rate buildings. This is simply not the "on site inclusionary" housing policy that this City supports. According to Mr. Smooke:

What is proposed for this site should either be considered as "off site" inclusionary housing which would trigger a 30% requirement, or it should be viewed as a development with what is typically called a "poor door" situation where the upper income market rate residents go in through one door and the residents in the affordable units go in through a separate door. Inclusionary legislation is intentionally crafted to ensure that developers are not able to create these "poor door" conditions.

To make the segregation and class divide issues even worse, the open space at the center of the development is a privately owned public open space (POPOS). The owner and manager of this POPOS is the group of homeowners who live in the ownership units. What people do in the open space and at what hours are determined by the homeowners association for everyone who might live there or visit.

The finances of this deal are also highly problematic, as detailed in Mr. Smooke's analysis. First, the City is selling more than sixteen acres of public land to a private developer at a heavily discounted price of \$11.4M, approximately 95% of the market rate. Further, the Development Agreement says that the developer has no obligation to build anything at any time. (Development Agreement, Para. 6). And, finally, the developer would have the ability to sell off any portion of the property, purchased at 5% market price, for whatever the market will pay. This is a great deal for the developer, but a terrible deal for the City.

And adding insult to injury, the "affordable" units do not meet the City's required definition of "affordable" as defined in the City's "inclusionary" program. This project defines "low income" as 60% of AMI which is 5% more expensive than the City's inclusionary definition.

The proposed project also has affordable units for "moderate income" households. And the City's inclusionary program sets "moderate income" rents as being affordable to households earning 80% of AMI, while this project is defining "moderate income" as 100% of AMI, 20% more than the City's requirement.

As Mr. Smooke so clearly concludes:

The fact that this project has come so far through the approval in this form is beyond comprehension. The scheme of privatization without accountability, the confusing of definitions of what is "affordable" to guarantee higher levels of cash flow for the developer, and the segregation of wealthy and non-wealthy and of owner versus renter all add up to a misuse of public resources and of the public trust. As such my recommendation is to urge the Board of Supervisors to reject this development proposal and commit to a new development proposal that ensures 100% affordable housing is built at the Balboa Reservoir.

## **V. The Final Subsequent EIR has Many Significant Flaws**

The Balboa Reservoir Project not only threatens to do irreparable damage to City College, but its environmental impacts are significant enough to justify a legal challenge to the Certification of the Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (SEIR.) The SEIR understates the project's significant and unavoidable impacts. Several of these significant and unavoidable impacts would adversely affect human health and safety for inhabitants of the area surrounding the project, including impacts on students, bicyclists, and young children. Furthermore, many of the claimed benefits are not supported by substantial evidence in the record.

Some of the key issues in the legal challenge of the SEIR include the following:

- it fails to give an accurate and complete description of the project area and existing conditions;



- it fails to analyze the significant impacts of the Balboa Reservoir Project's construction schedule on the construction and renovation of buildings on the CCSF campus;
- it fails to give stable, accurate, and finite descriptions of the affordable units it promises;
- it fails to fully identify and mitigate significant impacts on noise, air quality, transit delay, pedestrian and bicyclist safety;
- it fails to include feasible alternatives, such as 100% truly affordable housing, and,
- it completely ignores the changed circumstances presented by the COVID-19 Pandemic.

There is no reason to rush through the approval of a Project that would have been highly flawed and suspect even before the deep game-change of the COVID-19 Pandemic and the need to analyze its future effects. The appeal of the SEIR Certification should be approved, and the project should not go forward pending further CEQA review.

## **VI. Failure to Adequately Collaborate with CCSF and the Community**

While some have lauded the fact that there have been years of collaboration with the community, the so-called public outreach and engagement has in fact been little more than one-way directives and co-opting City College's facilities planning processes.

The Community has stated and restated concerns about the project for years at the Balboa Reservoir Community Advisory Committee, even though SF Planning, OEWD, and other City agencies have tightly controlled those meetings. Issues repeated over and over again there do not seem to have had any effect on the process. Here is a link to all of the minutes encompassing nearly five years of meetings: <https://sfplanning.org/project/balboa-reservoir-and-community-advisory-committee-cac#meetings>

Following is a sample from these minutes that illustrate the failure of the community process to address the concerns of CCSF:

- We must protect City College, City College is the center of the neighborhood and a vital resource for the area—*City College will bear the brunt of the transportation issues that are completely unresolved after five years of discussion.*
- The developer advertises its "collaboration" with City College. SFMTA officers glow about working with the City College "team"—*there is no MOU between the City and City College because the City has been working almost exclusively with City College's paid consultants, not its constituents or Board members.*
- City College has representation on the Balboa Reservoir CAC—the *premier document written by the CAC, the Parameters and Principles, has been ignored in the Development Agreement. Early meetings held between the City and City College staff*

*were so secret that even College Trustee Davila, a CAC member, didn't know about them.*

- The Community has repeatedly called for a BART shuttle. Surveys show City College constituents could more reliably use BART if there were a shuttle—*Skyline College serves 70,000 with a free BART shuttle costing \$300K a year that spans a 7 mile stretch, but the study commissioned by the Reservoir Partners projected costs of more than \$1 million a year to run a shuttle up the most congested route in the area.*
- People opposing this project have frequently been referred to as NIMBY—but 5 years of CAC minutes show that project opposition is not to housing, but to market rate housing built on public land and the destruction of City College.

Additionally, every single planning document omits the mention of COVID-19 and the changes that have happened and will continue to happen in San Francisco. That reason alone is enough to push pause and reevaluate the challenges that it brings to this project on multiple levels.

- If people who previously didn't drive are now buying cars, what will that do to the amount of parking available to the new residents?
- The promise has been to increase public transportation opportunities (except for providing a BART shuttle) but now Muni's budget has been gutted by the pandemic, this promise, shaky at best, has been obliterated.
- We now have the highest unemployment levels recorded since the Great Depression. When this happens people rely more heavily on City College to jumpstart their lives. But how will students get to campus?

## **VII. Conclusion**

Over forty years ago historian and former California State Librarian Kevin Starr, commenting on a housing development on the South Basin of the Balboa Reservoir that would have impacted City College, stated:

For more than fifty years, City College of San Francisco has been keeping alive the dream of a better life, a better future, for generations of aspiring young San Franciscans. City College of San Francisco is truly a symbol of hope in an embattled, increasingly restrictive and elitist society. You do not have to be born in this country. You do not have to have been a straight A student in high school. All you need is hope and discipline, and City College takes you in and gives you the tools to realize your dream. (Kevin Starr, "Why I am voting no on Prop. L," Election Alert, p. 1, vol. 1, no. 1, May 28, 1988)

We hope that you will consider Mr. Starr's words, and support a Project that will enable future generations to realize their dreams.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Public Lands for Public Good  
Defend City College Alliance

cc: Mayor London Breed  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City College of San Francisco Board of Trustees  
Chancellor Rajen Vurdien

**From:** [Leslie Simon](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [ttemprano@ccsf.edu](#); [davila](#); [ivylee@ccsf.edu](#); [alexrandolph](#); [jrizzo@ccsf.edu](#); [tselby](#); [studenttrustee@mail.ccsf.edu](#); [rvurdien@ccsf.edu](#); [swilliams](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project: No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 5:03:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear public officials,

As a long time City College instructor and community activist, I am writing to ask you to oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project which you will soon be voting on.

The City is about to sell the Balboa Reservoir, which is public land, to a corporate housing developer whose CEO makes \$10M/year. The developer claims that by building 550 market rate units it will be able to subsidize an additional 550 affordable, or below market rate units. In reality, it is mainly city and state funds that will subsidize the affordable units.

Several community groups have been consulting with **Joseph Smooke of People. Power. Media.** We have forwarded his assessment to you. As one of the main community developers of the 1100 Ocean deeply and 100% affordable housing, Mr. Smooke has determined that it is possible to fund 100% deeply affordable housing at the Balboa Reservoir **without cross-financing with market rate housing.**

The housing crisis in San Francisco is an affordable housing crisis. This Project, built on public land, should be a 100% truly affordable development.

Even worse, the City is selling the land at a deep discount to this private developer, subsidizing a wealthy corporation with tax payer's dollars. It's a sweetheart deal, corporate welfare at its worst and should not be tolerated.

An additional concern is that by building separate market rate and affordable units, the Project results in a development that creates de facto segregation. This is inconsistent with San Francisco's inclusionary housing policy, which mandates that affordable and market rate units should all be under the same roof, creating a diverse housing community. In addition the open space will be controlled by members of the Home Owners Association who are mainly the owners of market rate, not affordable, units.

This project will also cause irreparable harm to City College of San Francisco. The Balboa Reservoir land has been used by CCSF for decades. Currently it provides commuter students, staff, and faculty access to CCSF with essential parking. Loss of this parking, without first ensuring other viable transportation options, will make it difficult, if not impossible, for many of the low income students and students of color to access the campus and get the education and professional training they

need.

This is a city-wide issue. We need a City government that fights for housing justice and education.

Please oppose this project. Say No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF.

Sincerely,

Leslie Simon

Leslie Simon  
Cell: 415-377-5330  
San Francisco

**From:** [Edita Santiago](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 7:28:12 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

This is a rare opportunity for hundreds of families to secure an affordable place to live in our increasingly unaffordable city.

Making sure our essential workers are able to stay in San Francisco and continue to be part of the fabric of our community is more important than ever. Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help.

The inclusion of a childcare center on the site and the addition of public spaces for that everyone can use is also very welcome. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,  
Edita Santiago

Edita Santiago  
[edita\\_santiago@glic.com](mailto:edita_santiago@glic.com)  
535 Haight Street  
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Cassandra Yang](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 10:27:31 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

This is a rare opportunity for hundreds of families to secure an affordable place to live in our increasingly unaffordable city.

Making sure our essential workers are able to stay in San Francisco and continue to be part of the fabric of our community is more important than ever. Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help.

The inclusion of a childcare center on the site and the addition of public spaces for that everyone can use is also very welcome. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,

Cassandra Yang

Cassandra Yang  
cyang619@hotmail.com  
442 Monterey Blvd  
San Francisco, California 94127

**From:** [Maureen Persico](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 12:53:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

This is a rare opportunity for hundreds of families to secure an affordable place to live in our increasingly unaffordable city.

Making sure our essential workers are able to stay in San Francisco and continue to be part of the fabric of our community is more important than ever. Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help.

The inclusion of a childcare center on the site and the addition of public spaces for that everyone can use is also very welcome. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,  
Maureen Persico

Maureen Persico  
SFWOM1@gmail.com  
4026 Folsom Street  
San Francisco, California 94110



**From:** [Nhung T. Le](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** submitting comments about the Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 8:26:52 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Erica,

I am living two blocks away from the City College and the points I plan to make that I hope will resonate with Supervisors from other districts:

- 1) Inadequate replacement parking for City College students will result in less access to the opportunities that education provides;
- 2) Needed improvements for safe access of pedestrian and non-car vehicles to City College and the Balboa Reservoir development are mostly unplanned and unfunded;
- 3) Significant adverse impacts to transportation, noise and air quality from the Balboa Reservoir construction and operation are identified in the EIR; and
- 4) Delays due to simultaneous construction at City College and the Balboa Reservoir will result in significant additional costs to City College.

Thank you for listening to my comments.

Best,  
Nhung

**From:** [Bonnie White](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa reservoir comments  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 8:17:51 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Comment by Bonnie White, 326 Frida Kahlo Wy, SF, 94112:

Impact on parking to City College Students, Riordan High School students, neighborhood residents, and the new residents who will be moving into the planned apartments. More people, less parking, in other words. Also, I am worried about the affect so much construction will have on our neighborhood, pollution wise, and traffic wise. Please give some consideration to residents and home owners who are already here.

Respectfully,

Bonnie White

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [Jennifer Heggie](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Hearings  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 8:17:32 AM  
**Attachments:** [Comments\\_jdh\\_BOS-Hearings-July2020.docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use & Transportation Committee, Budget & Finance Committee, BOS (Files 200422, 200423, 200635):

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached my comments on the Balboa Reservoir development to be discussed in Committee hearings 7/27/20 and 7/29/20. Though, like most San Franciscans, I would like to see more affordable housing, there are serious implications with this development that I hope you will consider.

Thank you for your review of the points in the attached letter.

Regards,  
Jennifer Heggie

July 21, 2020

Dear Supervisors:

The Balboa Reservoir development will create more problems than it solves. After participating in five years of community meetings, the key issues have still not been addressed, and I urge you not to support this development as it is currently planned. The damage will be serious, not just to the immediate neighborhoods, schools and daycare centers, but also to the City at large as equitable access to education is curtailed. As livelihoods are lost due to this pandemic, many will need to retrain to support themselves and their families. This is not the time to shut down access to retraining facilities. But that will be the unintended consequence of beginning construction of the Balboa Reservoir development at the time planned.

There are many legitimate and important reasons this plan falls short, and I am including only a few of them here. Some of these shortcomings are due to a lack of resources from the City and County of San Francisco. If you choose to move the project forward despite the pain it will cause, please make any approval conditional on a feasible SFMTA improvement plan for the area with finances to implement the recommendations or require the developers to provide additional public parking, and postpone the Balboa Reservoir development construction until after the critical City College construction has been completed adjacent to it. Those measures will mitigate a few of the issues.

Four key concerns are described in more detail below. They are: 1) Inadequate replacement parking for City College students will result in less access to the opportunities that education provides; 2) Needed improvements for the safe access of pedestrian and non-car vehicles to City College and the Balboa Reservoir development are mostly unplanned and unfunded; 3) Significant adverse impacts to transportation, noise and air quality from the Balboa Reservoir development are identified in the EIR causing particular harm to nearby sensitive receptors; and 4) Delays due to simultaneous construction will result in significant added costs to City College.

**1. Inadequate replacement parking for City College students will result in less access to the opportunities that education provides:**

- a. Despite public comments at PUC hearings and the SF Public Utilities CAC, the implications of long-planned improvements to City College were ignored by the SFPUC when deciding to sell their land. City College of San Francisco has been planning for at least 15 years to construct new buildings on its main campus western parking lot while using the Balboa Reservoir for replacement student parking during and after construction. The plan for re-placing campus buildings was long delayed due to the uncertainty of the future of the college, lawsuits over past shoddy construction, a revolving door of senior administrators, and funding redirected to emergency patches that would allow ADA access and keep existing buildings in use long past their expected lifetime.

- b. The Balboa Reservoir developers have agreed to build “up to 450 public parking spaces” to replace the typical amount of parking use on the Balboa Reservoir when classes are in session. This is not “replacement” parking because it does not take into account:
  - i. That the loss of parking spaces on the City College owned “upper lot” (adjacent to the Balboa Reservoir) displaced by replacement campus buildings is not considered in the 450 count. Per the Fehr-Peers TDM study of 2018, construction of the Performing Arts Education Center (PAEC) would result in the removal of 760 existing parking spaces. The City College plan has changed since the 2018 TDM and the 2019 Subsequent EIR, and the number of parking spaces displaced will be represented by the combined footprints of the Diego Rivera Theater and STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, arts and Math) building. What has remained consistent, at least up until the time of the pandemic, is that the City College-owned “upper lot” is consistently full during midday on week days, and the Balboa Reservoir is used for the overflow, an overflow that will increase as new City College buildings are constructed.
  - ii. The lack of an identified and assured source of funding for discounted student parking rates in the public-use parking lot where market rate parking is planned. This has implications for the equity of access to public education.
  - iii. The “replacement” parking number does not take into account the periods of highest student parking use in the Balboa Reservoir, midday during the first two weeks of the semester when students are deciding which classes to take, when many more than 450 parking spaces on the reservoir are filled.
  - iv. The core TDM plan assumes a pre-pandemic public transportation infrastructure that would result a shortfall in parking during peak periods in 2026. (See Fehr-Peers CCSF TDM Study of 2018.) It’s unclear whether implementing even the core TDM plan is still feasible.
  - v. The lack of funding for implementing more aggressive and expensive Additional TDM Measures that would reduce the need for driver parking. There is no funding for these measures from the Balboa Reservoir developers, SFMTA or City College.

**2. Needed improvements for the safe access of pedestrian and non-car vehicles to City College and the Balboa Reservoir development are unplanned and unfunded.**

- a. An SFMTA plan for wider pedestrian walkways, bike lanes, and other safety improvements along Ocean Avenue from the Balboa BART station to Frida Kahlo Way, is

not expected to be available until the end of the year, and it is unclear if it will include the heavily congested area along Frida Kahlo Way to Judson. In the current climate it doesn't appear likely that any of the needed improvements on which the dense Balboa Reservoir development was justified will be funded. From the start, it has been clear that safe alternatives to driving to mitigate the significant increase in population into an already heavily congested area requires some sort of mitigation.

- b. A TDM study developed to gauge what would cause students to switch to non-car alternatives identified key concerns of students. When asked how City College should allocate available resources to transportation, the largest response (29%) was to improve connections to BART and Muni. And in response to the question about the key barrier to switching from driving to other forms of transportation, the majority (39%) responded, "time-based access." (Fehr-Peers CCSF TDM study of 2018) But nothing is being done to improve the connection to BART and Muni from the Ocean campus or reduce commute times. In fact the opposite is the case due to pandemic fallout.

**3. Significant adverse impacts to transportation, noise and air quality from the Balboa Reservoir construction and operation are identified in the EIR, causing particular harm to nearby sensitive receptors.**

Three areas identified in the City Planning EIR cannot be adequately mitigated per the current Balboa Reservoir developer plan. Transportation and Noise, and Air Quality, if the construction time period is compressed, meet or exceed the threshold of "significant adverse impacts." The developer is planning offsets for air pollution, but that won't help the detrimental impacts to learning, brain development and health in the surrounding area. The development will sit smack in the middle of multiple daycare centers, a high school which houses boarding students, City College, a 100% affordable multi-unit building that includes a daycare center, residences, and a grocery store with loading dock on a single lane road for driving in and out of the Reservoir. The only other point of ingress/egress for drivers is already heavily used by employees and students of City College and Riordan High School. Ongoing noise pollution during key periods of construction (9am to 4pm on weekdays) will adversely impact student learning, and the health impacts of high pollution areas are well known. All of the adjoining institutions and residents will be adversely impacted as well as a larger swath of San Francisco, as pollution from the development construction mixes with that of the 280 freeway APEZ zones.

The plan identifies the use of backup generators at the many large residential buildings in the development. Post construction, once the Balboa Reservoir development is operational, each building will be starting up their diesel generators on a regular basis for testing. As we express concerns about natural gas in our new construction, so should we also require electric battery generator backup, rather than heavily polluting diesel generators.

4. **Delays due to simultaneous construction will result in significant added costs to City College.**

Famous artist Diego Rivera gifted the Pan American Unity mural to City College. The replacement City College theater has been designed to display that mural to the public. The mural is to be loaned for an exhibition at SFMOMA while the City College Diego Rivera theater is being constructed on City College's parking lot. That coincides with the period of adjacent Balboa Reservoir construction. SFMOMA has a timeline by which the mural must be gone after the exhibit. That date is a month after the projected completion date of City College's Diego Rivera theater, a very tight schedule. If the theater construction is delayed, the mural will need to be placed in very expensive storage. This is not an additional cost that City College is in a position to handle.

Allowing simultaneous construction of the City College and Balboa Reservoir buildings creates a real risk of theater construction delay due to vehicle congestion as well as cumulative environmental factors. We already know from the EIR that there will be months at a time when trucks will be going in and out of the Balboa Reservoir every 2 to 3 minutes from 9am to 4pm, during the most active hours for City College student access. Further delays may need to be imposed to reduce periods of excessive noise or cumulative air pollution during simultaneous construction. If construction of the Balboa Reservoir development can be postponed, some of the worst cumulative impacts during construction can be averted, and City College won't be forced into another expensive loss imposed by outside forces.

Thank you for your consideration of the preceding points. I hope you will consider the alternatives to approving this development and, at a minimum, delay the start of the Balboa Reservoir construction until after City College concerns have been addressed. As we emerge from this pandemic, City College's ability to provide the transitional training that San Francisco residents will need, makes it clear that this is a time to prioritize access to City College and the educational services that it provides.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Heggie

**From:** [Paul Anderson](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 7:08:01 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Paul Anderson and I live in the Monterey Heights neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes literally at Ocean Avenue's doorstep has been designed to connect the new residents to retail and services along Ocean Avenue without creating commercial space that would be in competition with the small businesses along Ocean Avenue. In fact, the development has been designed to specially complement the existing and future Ocean Avenue businesses. The walking paths designed along Lee, Brighton, and the Ingleside Library will connect Reservoir residents directly to Ocean Avenue while also enabling neighbors, employees and pedestrians easy access from Ocean Avenue to the Reservoir's new neighborhood park, dog walking areas, and other open spaces located directly behind Whole Foods. During this time of sheltering-in-place, business stress and future economic uncertainty, the Balboa Reservoir development provides the support of thousands of new customers living in the 1,100 new homes that will be vital to stabilizing all of the small businesses along Ocean Avenue and helping the neighborhood thrive long into the future.

Paul Anderson  
pa94787@gmail.com  
46 San Jacinto Way,  
San Francisco, California 94127



**From:** [Jeff Kaliss](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 9:31:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is \_\_\_\_\_Jeff Kaliss\_\_\_\_\_ and I live in the \_\_\_\_\_Westwood Highlands\_\_\_\_\_ neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Given our City's dire housing crisis and the lack of affordable housing, I support the City's efforts to provide new housing opportunities for San Franciscans, especially when the new homes are built in places with good transportation access and existing services. The best combination would be new affordable housing for families located near family-friendly amenities, like playgrounds, parks, and child care centers.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes includes 550 affordable homes for people earning between 30% and 120% area median income (AMI). These affordable rental homes sized for working families will be built by San Francisco-based non-profits BRIDGE Housing and Mission Housing, along with a handful of for-sale affordable homes built by Habitat For Humanity. One of these rental buildings with approximately 150 apartments will offer prioritized housing for City College educators and staff earning between 80%-120% AMI with a secondary preference for SF Unified School District educators and staff. As with the market-rate apartments being built concurrently, all of these households will have access to the new neighborhood park, dog play areas, and the on-site child-care center that create a strong family friendly environment for future residents and all existing neighbors. Please support this project.

Jeff Kaliss  
jeffkal@jeffkaliss.com  
230 Hazelwood Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94127

**From:** [Suzanne Bryan](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 9:23:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

I am a resident of San Francisco and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

This is a rare opportunity for hundreds of families to secure an affordable place to live in our increasingly unaffordable city.

Making sure our essential workers are able to stay in San Francisco and continue to be part of the fabric of our community is more important than ever. Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help.

The inclusion of a childcare center on the site and the addition of public spaces for that everyone can use is also very welcome. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Bryan  
ohsuzann@pacbell.net  
48 Lurline Street  
San Francisco, California 94122

**From:** [Brett Mosley](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 3:42:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is \_\_\_\_\_ and I live in the \_\_\_\_\_ neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike. Please support this project.

Brett Mosley  
bmosley1015@gmail.com  
286 Orizaba Ave  
San Francisco, California 94132

**From:** [Eleanor Cloutier](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter in support of Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 2:22:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

I'm a Bay Area resident and would like to register my support for the Balboa Reservoir project.

I work in the city and normally commute in for an hour each day - though that's been disrupted by the pandemic. I know that I'm lucky to only commute for an hour, and that there are so many essential workers who live further out.

We need affordable housing for people in the city, and we need to make sure that workers can afford to live near their jobs.

Balboa Reservoir will be a huge help. The pandemic has shown the importance of childcare and outdoor space, and the Balboa Reservoir plans to have these on the site. I appreciate that great pains have been taken to keep these homes closely integrated with the wider neighborhood - this is a development where everyone will be included.

Placing these homes on the site of the CCSF overflow parking lot is a good use of public land. The City has proceeded wisely in assembling the mix of housing on the site and maximizing the number of affordable homes.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to endorse this project.

Thank you for taking the time to consider this submission.

Sincerely,

Eleanor Cloutier  
elcloutier@gmail.com  
12 Bret Harte  
Berkeley, California 94708

**From:** [Connor Skelly](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** IN SUPPORT - Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD) and 200635 (General Plan)  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 12:43:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors Land Use and Transportation Committee,

My name is Connor Skelly and I'm a homeowner nearby the proposed Balboa Reservoir project. I'm a former SFUSD teacher and I now work at a nonprofit. I have been participating in the community planning process and am writing in support of the development proposal.

Given our City's dire housing crisis and the lack of affordable housing, I support the City's efforts to provide new housing opportunities for San Franciscans, especially when the new homes are built in places with good transportation access and existing services. The best combination would be new affordable housing for families located near family-friendly amenities, like playgrounds, parks, and child care centers. I'm thrilled that the project will be 50% affordable housing, and excited about all the new amenities like the child care center. My family has two children under 2, with hopefully a few more on the way. We hope to use this Child Care Center once it is built.

Honestly, my biggest disappointment about the project is that there are only 1,100 new homes instead of the over 2,000 originally proposed!

Please approve this plan and allow for more neighbors to move into our community.

With gratitude for your service to the city,

Connor Skelly

**From:** [Christopher Pederson](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve Housing at Balboa Reservoir - Case Nos. 200422 and 200635  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:14:45 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Peskin and Supervisors Preston and Safai:

Given the urgency of the affordable housing crisis and the climate crisis, I urge you to approve the Balboa Reservoir general plan amendments and special use district ordinance to allow the maximum amount of housing evaluated in the EIR, but to amend them to eliminate or shrink the proposed public parking garage.

### **Maximize the Amount of Affordable Housing**

Given the site's location close to the Balboa Park BART station and multiple Muni routes, its adjacency to City College, and its proximity to the Ocean Avenue neighborhood commercial district, it is an ideal location for genuinely transit- and pedestrian-oriented housing. The severity of the City's affordable housing crisis and the magnitude of the earth's climate crisis mandate that the City maximize the amount of housing, especially affordable housing, on the site and minimize automobile commuting to the area. As the EIR's Response to Comments acknowledges, including more housing in the project would result in lower per capita driving and greenhouse gas emissions. (RTC pg. 4.F-22.)

The Additional Housing Option evaluated in the EIR allows the City to approve a total of 1550 residences on the site, 775 of which would be below-market rate units. The developer's proposal to build only 1100 units (including 550 affordable units) on the site would fail to achieve the project's full potential. The Board should treat the developer's proposal as the absolute minimum amount of housing appropriate for the site. Indeed, any significant reduction in the number of units below the developer's proposal is likely to render the entire project infeasible, depriving the City of sorely needed affordable housing. (See Economic Planning Systems, Memorandum: Financial Feasibility of Balboa Reservoir Project Alternative B, May 12, 2020.)

Because the site is located on an under-used surface parking lot with large institutional uses on two sides, recently built market-rate apartment buildings (including a Whole Foods) on the third side, and the affluent Westwood Park neighborhood on the fourth, the market-rate component of the project does not raise the kinds of concerns about gentrification and displacement that market-rate projects in lower-income neighborhoods can raise. Indeed, to deny or reduce the housing included in the project would exacerbate housing costs in other parts of the City, thereby increasing risks of displacement and gentrification in low-income neighborhoods.

Some argue that the project should be one hundred percent affordable, but the proposed mixed-income project complies with the affordability goals and requirements of both Proposition Ks from 2014 and 2015. To require the project to be one hundred percent affordable would drain the City's affordable housing resources and would almost certainly

result in a substantially smaller project.

### **Minimize Automobile Commuting by Eliminating Public Parking Garage**

Consistent with the EIR's Additional Housing Option, the Board should eliminate the proposed public parking garage. Constructing a new public parking garage is irreconcilable with the City's Climate Action Strategy for 80% of all trips to be by sustainable modes by the year 2030. As the EIR's Response to Comments admits, providing additional parking encourages more automobile commuting and undermines the effectiveness of TDM programs. (RTC pp. 4.C-62-63, 4.H.63-64.) Given that the Balboa Reservoir site currently functions merely as overflow parking for City College and is mostly empty even when college is in session, there would be little reason to build a public parking garage even if City College hadn't committed to undertaking an aggressive TDM program to reduce automobile commuting.

The City's Transit First policies and its climate change goals mandate minimizing automobile commuting. As the City's experience with managing parking in downtown demonstrates, the single most effective mechanism for reducing automobile commuting is to reduce parking supply.

### **Alternatively, Shrink the Public Parking Garage and Prohibit Parking Discounts**

If the Board allows a public parking garage, it should dramatically reduce its size. The record before the Board includes no justification whatsoever for a massive 450-space parking garage. According to parking surveys, the maximum parking shortfall that might occur during City College's midday peak is 239 spaces. That assumes that changes to parking supply and TDM measures will have absolutely no effect on automobile commuting, which would be a striking deviation from the City's experience elsewhere. Any public parking garage, therefore, should include substantially fewer than 239 spaces in order to avoid undercutting efforts to minimize automobile commuting.

The Board should also prohibit the developer from offering weekly or monthly parking passes and discounted rates for City College users. Planning Code sections 155(g) and 303(t) expressly prohibit multi-day passes or discounts for new parking garages in downtown and mixed-use districts precisely because they encourage automobile commuting. The Board should apply these prohibitions to any public parking garage at the Balboa Reservoir. All users of the parking garage should be required to pay market rates on an hourly or (at most) a daily basis. This change would require amendments to both the Special Use District ordinance and to the Development Agreement (Exhibit J).

Thank you for your consideration of my comments.

Sincerely,

Christopher Pederson

District 7 resident

**From:** [Krishnan Eswaran](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:27:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Krishnan Eswaran and I live in the Ingleside neighborhood, at Ocean and Lee. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes literally at Ocean Avenue's doorstep has been designed to connect the new residents to retail and services along Ocean Avenue without creating commercial space that would be in competition with the small businesses along Ocean Avenue. In fact, the development has been designed to specially complement the existing and future Ocean Avenue businesses. The walking paths designed along Lee, Brighton, and the Ingleside Library will connect Reservoir residents directly to Ocean Avenue while also enabling neighbors, employees and pedestrians easy access from Ocean Avenue to the Reservoir's new neighborhood park, dog walking areas, and other open spaces located directly behind Whole Foods. During this time of sheltering-in-place, business stress and future economic uncertainty, the Balboa Reservoir development provides the support of thousands of new customers living in the 1,100 new homes that will be vital to stabilizing all of the small businesses along Ocean Avenue and helping the neighborhood thrive long into the future.

Krishnan Eswaran  
krish.eswaran@gmail.com  
1117 Ocean Avenue, Unit 308  
San Francisco, California 94112



**From:** [John Sommerfield](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:16:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is \_\_\_\_\_ John Sommerfield \_\_\_\_\_ and I live in the \_\_\_\_\_ ingleside \_\_\_\_\_ neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike. Please support this project.

John Sommerfield  
john@sommerfield.com  
152 Jules Ave  
San Francisco , California 94112

**From:** [Andrew Doupe](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 7:56:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Andrew and I live in the Ingleside neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes literally at Ocean Avenue's doorstep has been designed to connect the new residents to retail and services along Ocean Avenue without creating commercial space that would be in competition with the small businesses along Ocean Avenue. In fact, the development has been designed to specially complement the existing and future Ocean Avenue businesses. The walking paths designed along Lee, Brighton, and the Ingleside Library will connect Reservoir residents directly to Ocean Avenue while also enabling neighbors, employees and pedestrians easy access from Ocean Avenue to the Reservoir's new neighborhood park, dog walking areas, and other open spaces located directly behind Whole Foods. During this time of sheltering-in-place, business stress and future economic uncertainty, the Balboa Reservoir development provides the support of thousands of new customers living in the 1,100 new homes that will be vital to stabilizing all of the small businesses along Ocean Avenue and helping the neighborhood thrive long into the future.

Andrew Doupe  
andrew.j.doupe@gmail.com  
1117 Ocean Ave  
San Francisco, California 94112

**From:** [Julie Doupe](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 7:55:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Julie and I live in the Ingleside neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Given our City's dire housing crisis and the lack of affordable housing, I support the City's efforts to provide new housing opportunities for San Franciscans, especially when the new homes are built in places with good transportation access and existing services. The best combination would be new affordable housing for families located near family-friendly amenities, like playgrounds, parks, and child care centers. There currently is not good open space or playgrounds near Ingleside, and this project would help with that tremendously.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes includes 550 affordable homes for people earning between 30% and 120% area median income (AMI). These affordable rental homes sized for working families will be built by San Francisco-based non-profits BRIDGE Housing and Mission Housing, along with a handful of for-sale affordable homes built by Habitat For Humanity. One of these rental buildings with approximately 150 apartments will offer prioritized housing for City College educators and staff earning between 80%-120% AMI with a secondary preference for SF Unified School District educators and staff. As with the market-rate apartments being built concurrently, all of these households will have access to the new neighborhood park, dog play areas, and the on-site child-care center that create a strong family friendly environment for future residents and all existing neighbors. Please support this project.

Julie Doupe  
juliedoupe@gmail.com  
1117 Ocean Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94112

**From:** [Jason Jungreis](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [dgonzales@ccsf.edu](#); [lmliloy@ccsf.edu](#); [ivylee@ccsf.edu](#); [swilliams](#); [ttemprano@ccsf.edu](#); [davila](#); [alexrandolph](#); [jrizzo@ccsf.edu](#); [tselby](#); [studenttrustee@mail.ccsf.edu](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project: 1. it's corporate welfare; 2. it's damaging to CCSF; 3. It's chasing the wrong housing solution  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 5:19:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

All,

I am writing to oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project which you will soon be voting on. It is a bad deal, and a bad idea, and fundamentally the wrong solution. You need to just do the work to make the correct solutions -- that are already in the pipeline! -- happen sooner (or not, given Covid's demand suppression).

First, the City is about to sell the Balboa Reservoir, which is public land, to a corporate housing developer whose CEO makes \$10M/year. The developer claims that by building 550 market rate units it will be able to subsidize an additional 550 affordable, or below market rate units, but in reality, it is mainly city and state funds that will subsidize the affordable units. Even worse, the City is selling the land at a deep discount to this private developer. This is a subsidy for a wealthy corporation with tax payer's dollars. It's a sweetheart deal, corporate welfare at its worst and should not be tolerated.

Second, it's not the land in question is useless. Projections show the growth of City College, and City College needs to plan its construction of better buildings for the future. Moreover, it disregards the overwhelming support for Prop A (\$845 M Bond for CCSF), shows SF voters desire the development and expansion of CCSF, and Balboa Reservoir is critical for CCSF's growth.

Third, and mostly, the better arguments are these three issues:

1. San Francisco has about 65,000 housing units approved for construction. This is enough to house 130,000 new San Franciscans. And that is PLENTY for our natural growth and our available infrastructure.
2. More housing in and of itself is a formula for terrible efficiency. Planned communities are a formula for excellent efficiency. San Francisco's larger development plans should be built, as they are logical, efficient, self-contained planned communities, not a jumble.
3. The Board has done zipo, nada, nothing to promote the prompt development of Hunter's Point, Lake Merced, Treasure Island, and the many other large-scale developments that are in the pipeline for approved construction. This is a problem the Board can and should address. It is NOT a problem of a need for yet-more construction approvals -- it is a simple but classic problem of getting stuff done.

Please oppose this project. Say No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF. And get to work on the real work of getting buildings built.

Sincerely,

Jason Jungreis  
527 47th Avenue  
San Francisco

**From:** [Mary Harris](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Nora Collins](#); [Sam Moss](#); [Scott Falcone](#)  
**Subject:** Letter of Support for the Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:41:47 PM  
**Attachments:** [Balboa Reservoir- Board of Supervisors Budget Committee Community Support letter template - Final \(6\).docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Land Use Committee Members,  
Please see attached Letter of Support for the Balboa Reservoir Project from OMI NIA.  
Thank you for your time and attention, Mary C. Harris, President OMI NIA

July 20, 2020

## OMI Neighbors in Action... a community organization of neighbors helping neighbors

To: City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors Budget and Finance Committee

Re: Balboa Reservoir Project Case No. 200635

**Sent via e-mail to:**

Assistant Clerk at [Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org)

Committee Chair at [aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org) and Vice Chair at [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)

CC: [dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)

Board Chair at [norman.yee@sfgov.org](mailto:norman.yee@sfgov.org) and [jen.low@sfgov.org](mailto:jen.low@sfgov.org)

Dear Supervisors Fewer, Walton, and Mandelman:

My name is Mary Harris and I am the President of OMI Neighbors in Action. We have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

### **Affordable Housing and Family Friendly Amenities**

Given our City's dire housing crisis and the lack of affordable housing, We support the City's efforts to provide new housing opportunities for San Franciscans, especially when the new homes are built in places with good transportation access and existing services. The best combination would be new affordable housing for families located near family-friendly amenities, like playgrounds, parks, and child care centers.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes includes **550 affordable homes** for people earning between 30% and 120% area median income (AMI). These affordable rental homes sized for working families will be built by San Francisco-based non-profits BRIDGE Housing and Mission Housing, along with a handful of for-sale affordable homes built by Habitat For Humanity. One of these rental buildings with approximately 150 apartments will offer prioritized housing for City College educators and staff earning between 80%-120% AMI with a secondary preference for SF Unified School District educators and staff. As with the market-rate apartments being built concurrently, all of these households will have access to the new neighborhood park, dog play areas, and the on-site child-care center that create a strong family friendly environment for future residents and all existing neighbors.

The new **Reservoir Child Care Center**, located at the Brighton Paseo entrance to the Reservoir from Ocean Avenue, will offer 100 spaces for children living either in the new Reservoir homes and from the

surrounding neighborhoods. Importantly, up to half of the childcare spaces will be offered at subsidized rates for low-income families. The design of the outdoor space dedicated as part of the child care center and the easy drop-off and pick-up access within the Reservoir and from the adjacent neighborhoods make the new childcare center a very valuable addition to the neighborhood.

The new **Reservoir Community Park**, located at the heart of the Balboa Reservoir, includes 2 acres of programmed areas and open space plantings all connected via nicely landscaped pathways to the other smaller open spaces throughout the Reservoir. The park includes active playground and grassy areas for children's play along with a gazebo and benches for more passive relaxation. California native plants and other non-water intensive vegetation will be chosen for the larger natural planted areas and as borders for the pathways throughout the property. Multiple dog play areas will be available at different locations on the Reservoir for easy access to the existing neighbors from Sunnyside, Ingleside and Westwood Park along with the new residents.

### **Transit/Car Alternatives**

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike.

### **Small business and Commercial support**

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes literally at Ocean Avenue's doorstep has been designed to connect the new residents to retail and services along Ocean Avenue without creating commercial space that would be in competition with the small businesses along Ocean Avenue. In fact, the development has been designed to specially complement the existing and future Ocean Avenue



July 20, 2020

businesses. The walking paths designed along Lee, Brighton, and the Ingleside Library will connect Reservoir residents directly to Ocean Avenue while also enabling neighbors, employees and pedestrians easy access from Ocean Avenue to the Reservoir's new neighborhood park, dog walking areas, and other open spaces located directly behind Whole Foods. During this time of sheltering-in-place, business stress and future economic uncertainty, the Balboa Reservoir development provides the support of thousands of new customers living in the 1,100 new homes that will be vital to stabilizing all of the small businesses along Ocean Avenue and helping the neighborhood thrive long into the future.

Sincerely,

Mary C. Harris, President OMI NIA

65 Beverly St. SF, CA 94132

**From:** [Mary Harris](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Nora Collins](#); [Sam Moss](#); [Scott Falcone](#)  
**Subject:** Letter of Support for the Balboa Reservoir  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:41:47 PM  
**Attachments:** [Balboa Reservoir- Board of Supervisors Budget Committee Community Support letter template - Final \(6\).docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Land Use Committee Members,  
Please see attached Letter of Support for the Balboa Reservoir Project from OMI NIA.  
Thank you for your time and attention, Mary C. Harris, President OMI NIA

July 20, 2020

## OMI Neighbors in Action... a community organization of neighbors helping neighbors

To: City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors Budget and Finance Committee

Re: Balboa Reservoir Project Case No. 200635

**Sent via e-mail to:**

Assistant Clerk at [Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org)

Committee Chair at [aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org) and Vice Chair at [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)

CC: [dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)

Board Chair at [norman.yee@sfgov.org](mailto:norman.yee@sfgov.org) and [jen.low@sfgov.org](mailto:jen.low@sfgov.org)

Dear Supervisors Fewer, Walton, and Mandelman:

My name is Mary Harris and I am the President of OMI Neighbors in Action. We have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

### **Affordable Housing and Family Friendly Amenities**

Given our City's dire housing crisis and the lack of affordable housing, We support the City's efforts to provide new housing opportunities for San Franciscans, especially when the new homes are built in places with good transportation access and existing services. The best combination would be new affordable housing for families located near family-friendly amenities, like playgrounds, parks, and child care centers.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes includes **550 affordable homes** for people earning between 30% and 120% area median income (AMI). These affordable rental homes sized for working families will be built by San Francisco-based non-profits BRIDGE Housing and Mission Housing, along with a handful of for-sale affordable homes built by Habitat For Humanity. One of these rental buildings with approximately 150 apartments will offer prioritized housing for City College educators and staff earning between 80%-120% AMI with a secondary preference for SF Unified School District educators and staff. As with the market-rate apartments being built concurrently, all of these households will have access to the new neighborhood park, dog play areas, and the on-site child-care center that create a strong family friendly environment for future residents and all existing neighbors.

The new **Reservoir Child Care Center**, located at the Brighton Paseo entrance to the Reservoir from Ocean Avenue, will offer 100 spaces for children living either in the new Reservoir homes and from the

surrounding neighborhoods. Importantly, up to half of the childcare spaces will be offered at subsidized rates for low-income families. The design of the outdoor space dedicated as part of the child care center and the easy drop-off and pick-up access within the Reservoir and from the adjacent neighborhoods make the new childcare center a very valuable addition to the neighborhood.

The new **Reservoir Community Park**, located at the heart of the Balboa Reservoir, includes 2 acres of programmed areas and open space plantings all connected via nicely landscaped pathways to the other smaller open spaces throughout the Reservoir. The park includes active playground and grassy areas for children's play along with a gazebo and benches for more passive relaxation. California native plants and other non-water intensive vegetation will be chosen for the larger natural planted areas and as borders for the pathways throughout the property. Multiple dog play areas will be available at different locations on the Reservoir for easy access to the existing neighbors from Sunnyside, Ingleside and Westwood Park along with the new residents.

### **Transit/Car Alternatives**

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike.

### **Small business and Commercial support**

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes literally at Ocean Avenue's doorstep has been designed to connect the new residents to retail and services along Ocean Avenue without creating commercial space that would be in competition with the small businesses along Ocean Avenue. In fact, the development has been designed to specially complement the existing and future Ocean Avenue

July 20, 2020

businesses. The walking paths designed along Lee, Brighton, and the Ingleside Library will connect Reservoir residents directly to Ocean Avenue while also enabling neighbors, employees and pedestrians easy access from Ocean Avenue to the Reservoir's new neighborhood park, dog walking areas, and other open spaces located directly behind Whole Foods. During this time of sheltering-in-place, business stress and future economic uncertainty, the Balboa Reservoir development provides the support of thousands of new customers living in the 1,100 new homes that will be vital to stabilizing all of the small businesses along Ocean Avenue and helping the neighborhood thrive long into the future.

Sincerely,

Mary C. Harris, President OMI NIA

65 Beverly St. SF, CA 94132

**From:** [Claire Kostohryz](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 2:35:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Claire Kostohryz and I live in the Bay Area. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Living in the Bay, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike. Please support this project.

Claire Kostohryz  
clkosto@gmail.com  
4138 West Street  
San Francisco , California 94608

**From:** [leonard.manuel](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 11:39:59 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Leonard and I currently live in the southeast Visitacion Valley Portola Little Hollywood neighborhood, however previously I resided in the Balboa Park Ocean Avenue Ingleside district. I have read about community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike. Please support this project.

We urgently need more affordable housing units and options. Please consider demolishing unused/underused buildings meant for religious gatherings/functions, and rather convert the space into affordable housing for people. I have been living in various neighborhoods of SF since 2006 and honestly, I would like to see this specific project be completed within my

lifetime (I am almost 39 years old). I say that because the pace of housing being built is \*slow\*.

Thank you for reading and your consideration.

Leonard

A concerned SF resident

leonard manuel

ldmanuel@yahoo.com

campbell

San Francisco, California



**From:** [Charles Whitfield](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:44:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is \_\_\_\_\_ and I live in the \_\_\_\_\_ neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike. Please support this project.

Charles Whitfield  
whitfield.cw@gmail.com  
1 St Francis Place  
San Francisco, California 94107

**From:** [Avishai Halev](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:32:46 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Avishai and I live in the Castro. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes literally at Ocean Avenue's doorstep has been designed to connect the new residents to retail and services along Ocean Avenue without creating commercial space that would be in competition with the small businesses along Ocean Avenue. In fact, the development has been designed to specially complement the existing and future Ocean Avenue businesses. The walking paths designed along Lee, Brighton, and the Ingleside Library will connect Reservoir residents directly to Ocean Avenue while also enabling neighbors, employees and pedestrians easy access from Ocean Avenue to the Reservoir's new neighborhood park, dog walking areas, and other open spaces located directly behind Whole Foods. During this time of sheltering-in-place, business stress and future economic uncertainty, the Balboa Reservoir development provides the support of thousands of new customers living in the 1,100 new homes that will be vital to stabilizing all of the small businesses along Ocean Avenue and helping the neighborhood thrive long into the future.

Avishai Halev  
avishaihalev@gmail.com  
53 Collingwood St  
San Francisco, California 94114

**From:** [George Coleman](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:10:52 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is \_\_\_\_George Coleman\_\_\_\_ and I live in the \_\_\_\_Glen ParkSt.\_\_\_\_ neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Given our City's dire housing crisis and the lack of affordable housing, I support the City's efforts to provide new housing opportunities for San Franciscans, especially when the new homes are built in places with good transportation access and existing services. The best combination would be new affordable housing for families located near family-friendly amenities, like playgrounds, parks, and child care centers.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes includes 550 affordable homes for people earning between 30% and 120% area median income (AMI). These affordable rental homes sized for working families will be built by San Francisco-based non-profits BRIDGE Housing and Mission Housing, along with a handful of for-sale affordable homes built by Habitat For Humanity. One of these rental buildings with approximately 150 apartments will offer prioritized housing for City College educators and staff earning between 80%-120% AMI with a secondary preference for SF Unified School District educators and staff. As with the market-rate apartments being built concurrently, all of these households will have access to the new neighborhood park, dog play areas, and the on-site child-care center that create a strong family friendly environment for future residents and all existing neighbors. Please support this project.

George Coleman  
info@hartfordproperties.com  
197 Laidley St.  
San Francisco, California 94131

**From:** [Galit Gontar](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:21:21 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Galit and I live in the Glen Park neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes literally at Ocean Avenue's doorstep has been designed to connect the new residents to retail and services along Ocean Avenue without creating commercial space that would be in competition with the small businesses along Ocean Avenue. In fact, the development has been designed to specially complement the existing and future Ocean Avenue businesses. The walking paths designed along Lee, Brighton, and the Ingleside Library will connect Reservoir residents directly to Ocean Avenue while also enabling neighbors, employees and pedestrians easy access from Ocean Avenue to the Reservoir's new neighborhood park, dog walking areas, and other open spaces located directly behind Whole Foods. During this time of sheltering-in-place, business stress and future economic uncertainty, the Balboa Reservoir development provides the support of thousands of new customers living in the 1,100 new homes that will be vital to stabilizing all of the small businesses along Ocean Avenue and helping the neighborhood thrive long into the future.

Galit Gontar  
galit.gontar@gmail.com  
124 Bemis St.  
San Francisco, California 94131

**From:** [Philip Crone](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:18:10 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Phil Crone, and I am an Ingleside resident. I am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes has been designed to connect the new residents to retail and services along Ocean Avenue without creating commercial space that would be in competition with the small businesses along Ocean Avenue. In fact, the development has been designed to specially complement the existing and future Ocean Avenue businesses. The walking paths designed along Lee, Brighton, and the Ingleside Library will connect Reservoir residents directly to Ocean Avenue while also enabling neighbors, employees and pedestrians easy access from Ocean Avenue to the Reservoir's new neighborhood park, dog walking areas, and other open spaces located directly behind Whole Foods. During this time of sheltering-in-place, business stress and future economic uncertainty, the Balboa Reservoir development provides the support of thousands of new customers living in the 1,100 new homes that will be vital to stabilizing all of the small businesses along Ocean Avenue and helping the neighborhood thrive long into the future.

Philip Crone  
Philip.crone@gmail.com  
100 De Montfort Avenue  
San Francisco, California

**From:** [Robert Fruchtman](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:36:47 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Robert Fruchtman and I live in the Lower Haight neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes literally at Ocean Avenue's doorstep has been designed to connect the new residents to retail and services along Ocean Avenue without creating commercial space that would be in competition with the small businesses along Ocean Avenue. In fact, the development has been designed to specially complement the existing and future Ocean Avenue businesses. The walking paths designed along Lee, Brighton, and the Ingleside Library will connect Reservoir residents directly to Ocean Avenue while also enabling neighbors, employees and pedestrians easy access from Ocean Avenue to the Reservoir's new neighborhood park, dog walking areas, and other open spaces located directly behind Whole Foods. During this time of sheltering-in-place, business stress and future economic uncertainty, the Balboa Reservoir development provides the support of thousands of new customers living in the 1,100 new homes that will be vital to stabilizing all of the small businesses along Ocean Avenue and helping the neighborhood thrive long into the future. Half of these homes will be available at prices below market rate, which will especially stabilize the neighborhood. I urge you to support this comprehensive proposal.

Robert Fruchtman  
rfruchtose@gmail.com  
616 Page St  
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Jui-Yun Hsia](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:28:29 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Annie Hsia, and I am a long time resident of Bernal Heights. I am writing in support of the development proposal for Balboa Reservoir being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike. Please support this project.

Jui-Yun Hsia  
ajhsia@gmail.com  
30 Patton St  
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** [Jaime Tanner](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:25:17 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Jaime Tanner and I live in Lower Pacific Heights. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike. Please support this project.

Jaime Tanner  
jaimeatanner@gmail.com  
2664 Bush Street  
San Francisco, California 94115



**From:** [Jacqueline Mauro](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:17:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Jackie Mauro and I live in Noe Valley. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Given our City's dire housing crisis and the lack of affordable housing, I support the City's efforts to provide new housing opportunities for San Franciscans, especially when the new homes are built in places with good transportation access and existing services. This will also shore up our tax base in the face of this terrible pandemic. The best combination would be new affordable housing for families located near family-friendly amenities, like playgrounds, parks, and child care centers.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes includes 550 affordable homes for people earning between 30% and 120% area median income (AMI). These affordable rental homes sized for working families will be built by San Francisco-based non-profits BRIDGE Housing and Mission Housing, along with a handful of for-sale affordable homes built by Habitat For Humanity. One of these rental buildings with approximately 150 apartments will offer prioritized housing for City College educators and staff earning between 80%-120% AMI with a secondary preference for SF Unified School District educators and staff. My sister was a preschool special ed teacher and was driven from the city by lack of affordability--we need our teachers! As with the market-rate apartments being built concurrently, all of these households will have access to the new neighborhood park, dog play areas, and the on-site child-care center that create a strong family friendly environment for future residents and all existing neighbors. Please support this project.

Jacqueline Mauro  
jacqueline.amauro@gmail.com  
658 Duncan St  
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94131

**From:** [Zack Subin](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:15:57 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Zack Subin and I live in the Ocean View neighborhood less than a mi uphill from the site. I attended multiple of the community meetings for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

This project brings much needed homes to the Westside, surrounded by a single family neighborhood that is was formed based on exclusionary principles and has seen almost no housing production even while other parts of the city experience change. The project goes above and beyond the city's floor for inclusionary housing and provides 50% subsidized affordable homes. Most importantly, it would convert a vast sea of asphalt into a village of homes, green space, and integrated shopping and transit. Even though I already own my home in Ocean View (thanks only to a generous gift from family), this will improve my experience of the entire neighborhood by providing more walkability and bikability, and more people on the street and keeping our small businesses alive.

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly

increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike. Please support this project.

Sincerely,

Zack Subin

Zack Subin

[zack.subin@fastmail.fm](mailto:zack.subin@fastmail.fm)

192 Caine Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

**From:** [Renne Arias](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:05:09 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Renne Arias and I live in the Ingleside neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Given our City's dire housing crisis and the lack of affordable housing, I support the City's efforts to provide new housing opportunities for San Franciscans, especially when the new homes are built in places with good transportation access and existing services. The best combination would be new affordable housing for families located near family-friendly amenities, like playgrounds, parks, and child care centers.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes includes 550 affordable homes for people earning between 30% and 120% area median income (AMI). These affordable rental homes sized for working families will be built by San Francisco-based non-profits BRIDGE Housing and Mission Housing, along with a handful of for-sale affordable homes built by Habitat For Humanity. One of these rental buildings with approximately 150 apartments will offer prioritized housing for City College educators and staff earning between 80%-120% AMI with a secondary preference for SF Unified School District educators and staff. As with the market-rate apartments being built concurrently, all of these households will have access to the new neighborhood park, dog play areas, and the on-site child-care center that create a strong family friendly environment for future residents and all existing neighbors. Please support this project.

Renne Arias  
rennearias@gmail.com  
1770 San Jose Avenue, #8  
San Francisco, California 94112

**From:** [Sarah Boudreau](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 7:44:41 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Sarah and I live in Cow Hollow. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes literally at Ocean Avenue's doorstep has been designed to connect the new residents to retail and services along Ocean Avenue without creating commercial space that would be in competition with the small businesses along Ocean Avenue. In fact, the development has been designed to specially complement the existing and future Ocean Avenue businesses. The walking paths designed along Lee, Brighton, and the Ingleside Library will connect Reservoir residents directly to Ocean Avenue while also enabling neighbors, employees and pedestrians easy access from Ocean Avenue to the Reservoir's new neighborhood park, dog walking areas, and other open spaces located directly behind Whole Foods. During this time of sheltering-in-place, business stress and future economic uncertainty, the Balboa Reservoir development provides the support of thousands of new customers living in the 1,100 new homes that will be vital to stabilizing all of the small businesses along Ocean Avenue and helping the neighborhood thrive long into the future.

Please approve the project without delay so that our city can get going on building this carefully-planned and much-needed housing.

Sarah Boudreau  
boudreau.sarah.m@gmail.com  
1520 Greenwich Street, Apartment 11  
San Francisco, California 94123

**From:** [Serena McNair](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 7:44:03 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Serena McNair and I live in Parkmerced. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike. Please support this project.

Serena McNair  
ravenxwriter@gmail.com  
94132  
San Francisco, California 94132

**From:** [Marty Cerles Jr](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 7:42:01 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Marty Cerles and I live in the Lower Pac Heights neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike. Please support this project.

Marty Cerles Jr  
martycerles@gmail.com  
2890 California St  
San Francisco, California 94115

**From:** [Brendan D](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 7:28:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Brendan D and I live in the West Portal neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Given our City's dire housing crisis and the lack of affordable housing, I support the City's efforts to provide new housing opportunities for San Franciscans, especially when the new homes are built in places with good transportation access and existing services. The best combination would be new affordable housing for families located near family-friendly amenities, like playgrounds, parks, and child care centers.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes includes 550 affordable homes for people earning between 30% and 120% area median income (AMI). These affordable rental homes sized for working families will be built by San Francisco-based non-profits BRIDGE Housing and Mission Housing, along with a handful of for-sale affordable homes built by Habitat For Humanity. One of these rental buildings with approximately 150 apartments will offer prioritized housing for City College educators and staff earning between 80%-120% AMI with a secondary preference for SF Unified School District educators and staff. As with the market-rate apartments being built concurrently, all of these households will have access to the new neighborhood park, dog play areas, and the on-site child-care center that create a strong family friendly environment for future residents and all existing neighbors. Please support this project.

Brendan D  
bwendan@gmail.com  
2430 16th Ave  
San Francisco, California 94116



**From:** [esleve@yahoo.com](mailto:esleve@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project: No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 11:34:09 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to oppose the Balboa Reservoir Project which you will soon be voting on.

The City is about to sell the Balboa Reservoir, which is public land, to a corporate housing developer whose CEO makes \$10M/year. The developer claims that by building 550 market rate units it will be able to subsidize an additional 550 affordable, or below market rate units. In reality, it is mainly city and state funds that will subsidize the affordable units.

The housing crisis in San Francisco is an affordable housing crisis. This Project, built on public land, should be a 100% truly affordable development.

Even worse, the City is selling the land at a deep discount to this private developer, subsidizing a wealthy corporation with tax payer's dollars. It's a sweetheart deal, corporate welfare at its worst and should not be tolerated.

An additional concern is that by building separate market rate and affordable units, the Project results in a development that creates de facto segregation. This is inconsistent with San Francisco's inclusionary housing policy, which mandates that affordable and market rate units should all be under the same roof, creating a diverse housing community. In addition the open space will be controlled by members of the Home Owners Association who are mainly the owners of market rate, not affordable, units.

This project will also cause irreparable harm to City College of San Francisco. The Balboa Reservoir land has been used by CCSF for decades. Currently it provides commuter students, staff, and faculty access to CCSF with essential parking. Loss of this parking, without first ensuring other viable transportation options, will make it difficult, if not impossible, for many of the low income students and students of color to access the campus and get the education and professional training they need.

This is a city-wide issue. We need a City government that fights for housing justice and education.

Please oppose this project. Say No to Corporate Welfare – Yes to CCSF.

Sincerely,  
Eve

San Francisco Balboa reservoir area resident and voter

## Talking points

The Balboa Reservoir Project is unacceptable for many reasons:

- It allows the sale of San Francisco's largest pieces of public land to a private, for-profit developer for market-rate housing. This public land that should be used only for 100% affordable housing;
- It sells this public land to the for-profit developer at a deep discount, thereby subsidizing and enriching a corporation with tax-payer's dollars;
- It creates a development that segregates wealthy residents from those living in lower-income, affordable housing;
- It causes significant impacts on transportation, noise, and air quality that cannot be mitigated;
- It gentrifies one of the last affordable neighborhoods in San Francisco;
- It failed to adequately consider the impacts of the project on City College of San Francisco;
- It eliminates hundreds of parking spaces at CCSF that students rely on to access the school, making it impossible for many CCSF students to attend classes;
- It fails to improve public transit students need to easily get to school, especially since parking will be significantly reduced;
- It will disproportionately impact low income students and students of color, the majority of CCSF's student body, who are squeezing in classes between family and job obligations, and need to drive to school;
- It creates an over-sized, dense housing development right across the street from CCSF and adjoining a neighborhood of single-family homes. It is out of scale with the surrounding community, and shoehorns thousands of people into a few acres of land with very little open space.
- It disregards the overwhelming support for Prop A (\$845 M Bond for CCSF), shows SF voters desire the development and expansion of CCSF. The Balboa Reservoir is critical for CCSF's growth. Privatizing this land it contradicts and undermines the public interest.

**From:** [Steve Marzo](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 10:43:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors Land Use and Transportation Committee

Re: Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD) and 200635 (General Plan)

**Sent via e-mail to:**

Assistant Clerk at [Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org)

Committee Chair at [aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org) and Vice-Chair at [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)

Board Chair at [norman.yee@sfgov.org](mailto:norman.yee@sfgov.org) and [jen.low@sfgov.org](mailto:jen.low@sfgov.org)

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Safai, and Preston:

My name is Steve Marzo and I live in the Ingleside neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee on July 27, 2020.

**Affordable Housing and Family Friendly Amenities**

Given our City's dire housing crisis and the lack of affordable housing, I support the City's efforts to provide new housing opportunities for San Franciscans, especially when the new homes are built in places with good transportation access and existing services. The best combination would be new affordable housing for families located near family-friendly amenities, like playgrounds, parks, and child care centers.

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes includes **550 affordable homes** for people earning between 30% and 120% area median income (AMI). These affordable rental homes sized for working families will be built by San Francisco-based non-profits BRIDGE Housing and Mission Housing, along with a handful of for-sale affordable homes built by Habitat For Humanity. One of these rental buildings with approximately 150 apartments will offer prioritized housing for City College educators and staff earning between 80%-120% AMI with a secondary preference for SF Unified School District educators and staff. As with the market-rate apartments being built concurrently, all of these households will have access to the new neighborhood park, dog play areas, and the on-site child-care center that create a strong family friendly environment for future residents and all existing neighbors.

The new **Reservoir Child Care Center**, located at the Brighton Paseo entrance to the Reservoir from Ocean Avenue, will offer 100 spaces for children living either in the new Reservoir homes and from the surrounding neighborhoods. Importantly, up to half of the childcare spaces will be offered at subsidized rates for low-income families. The design of the outdoor space dedicated as part of the child care center and the easy drop-off and pick-up access within the Reservoir and from the adjacent neighborhoods make the new childcare center a very valuable addition to the neighborhood.

The new **Reservoir Community Park**, located at the heart of the Balboa Reservoir, includes 2 acres

of programmed areas and open space plantings all connected via nicely landscaped pathways to the other smaller open spaces throughout the Reservoir. The park includes active playground and grassy areas for children's play along with a gazebo and benches for more passive relaxation. California native plants and other non-water intensive vegetation will be chosen for the larger natural planted areas and as borders for the pathways throughout the property. Multiple dog play areas will be available at different locations on the Reservoir for easy access to the existing neighbors from Sunnyside, Ingleside and Westwood Park along with the new residents.

### **Transit/Car Alternatives**

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike.

### **Small business and Commercial support**

The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes literally at Ocean Avenue's doorstep has been designed to connect the new residents to retail and services along Ocean Avenue without creating commercial space that would be in competition with the small businesses along Ocean Avenue. In fact, the development has been designed to specially complement the existing and future Ocean Avenue businesses. The walking paths designed along Lee, Brighton, and the Ingleside Library will connect Reservoir residents directly to Ocean Avenue while also enabling neighbors, employees and pedestrians easy access from Ocean Avenue to the Reservoir's new neighborhood park, dog walking areas, and other open spaces located directly behind Whole Foods. During this time of sheltering-in-place, business stress and future economic uncertainty, the Balboa Reservoir development provides the support of thousands of new customers living in the 1,100 new homes that will be vital to stabilizing all of the small businesses along Ocean Avenue and helping the neighborhood thrive long into the future.

Sincerely,

Steve Marzo

Ingleside Resident

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Reading You To Filth Over Public Advocate  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 9:36:00 AM

---

**From:** Jordan Davis <jodav1026@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 9:21 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Reading You To Filth Over Public Advocate

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am sorry that I am a day late, but I am in Oregon right now, and I highly doubt that any email I would have sent would have made a difference.

But anyway, I would like to register my disappointment to you all over the bare failure of this board to give the hundreds of thousands of voters in this fair city the ability to vote on the Public Advocate Charter Amendment. I thank Supervisor Mar for introducing this, and thank Sups. Ronen, Haney, Walton, and Preston for their support.

You know, it's strange, I don't get mad at Supervisor Stefani and Safa'i for pulling stuff like this, after all, they would probably have been Republicans in the 1980s. Instead, I am angry that Supervisors Fewer (who was elected in 2016 with progressives united behind her), Mandelman (elected in 2018 with progressives united behind him) Peskin (who came back in 2015 with progressives united behind him), and Norman Yee (who was elected in 2012 and re-elected in 2016 with progressives who cared about electoral harm reduction behind him) decided to side with the moderates in killing this charter amendment; it should also be noted that Supervisors Peskin and Yee voted to put a nearly identical measure in 2016.

Let me tell you why this was important to me, as somebody who has served on a commission (albeit a backwater advisory board), I was appalled to find out that the corruption scandal, in addition to public works, permeated into Planning and DBI (the committee met in Planning Department conference rooms and was staffed by DBI). I was upset that people who I may have passed in the hallways may have been involved in this scandal, and given the investigation is not complete, I often worry about whether someone I knew and "worked with" had been involved in something. I also had to teach myself about ethics, open public meetings laws, and what an advisory body can and cannot do, and this has given me a very strong set of ethics that I have upheld while serving on the body I served on.

Also, as a resident of housing for the formerly homeless, I have to deal with non-profit slumlords and a department who have no accountability or oversight whatsoever (especially since the blocking of another charter amendment creating an HSH commission). There have been rumours for years among SRO tenants about Randy Shaw's shady dealings, and I feel as if we had a Public Advocate, we

could stop some of the BS that some of our tenants in city funded housing face.

Furthermore, and most of all, you were not voting to create the Public Advocate, you were voting to give the hundreds of thousands of voters in the city the opportunity to decide whether or not to vote to create a Public Advocate, which is why I enjoy being able to partake in what is essentially a scaled up version of the New England town hall. I voted for Prop H in 2016, it barely failed, but I did my part. You may have no legal duty to allow charter amendments on the ballot, but you, for the most part, have a moral and ethical duty to allow us to make this decision, and whether this initiative would have passed or failed at the ballot box, I would respect the decision.

Right before the bare passage of a resolution calling for rent relief at the SRO Task Force, which I authored, a public commenter asked to naysayers "What's The Problem? Why Are You Here? Who Are You Defending?" In this context, must ask the same thing, who are you defending? Is there something you are not telling us? Something you are hiding? Because I do not want the racist, xenophobic, transphobic Trump administration having the job of rooting out corruption in our city, which is supposed to be a sanctuary city in more than one context.

Now, we have to wait until June 2022 at the earliest to have our say. I am likely scheduled to have gender confirmation surgery in 2021, and may move up to Oregon soon after. But I never want to see what happened with the Public Advocate and HSH charter amendments ever to happen again in the City and County of San Francisco. Let this be a message from a pissed off voter to do better and well, I hope that whoever replaces Supervisors Fewer, Yee, and Safa'i in 2021 will respect the intelligence of the voters and allow good policy on the ballot when necessary.

Despondently,

Jordan Davis (she/her)  
District 6

PS: I am glad to be able to vote on the "Clean Up Public Works" charter amendment, but I want to say to the naysayers that I hope that you never have to step on needles or shit like I risk every day. While oversight commissions are the second worst thing you can do, the absolutely worst thing you can do is NOT have an oversight commission

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: 7/21 Board of Supervisors Agenda- Land Use Committee report re: HUB Area Plan  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 5:06:00 PM

---

---

**From:** Jon Jacobo <jjacobco@todco.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:48 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** 7/21 Board of Supervisors Agenda- Land Use Committee report re: HUB Area Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Madam Clerk,

Our coalition would like to get the letter below added on the record. Please let me know if we need to do anything additional.

Thank you,

### **Letter to all Supervisors**

Good Afternoon Supervisor,

I am with the Central City Coalition which consists of member organizations in the South of Market, Tenderloin, Hayes Valley, and the Mission District. We are collectively committed to ensuring that we have a more equitable San Francisco that will protect and enhance the ability for our working class communities of color to continue to exist, live, and work within the spaces that are created and maintained in this city.

We are writing to you today to express our support for the amended Market and Octavia Area Plan "The HUB Area Plan" that Supervisor Preston has put forth as a Land Use and Transportation Committee report. We are asking the Supervisors to approve a limited HUB Area Plan with the proposed amendments, which provides for a phased adoption of the area plan that encompasses.

- The approval of the three key development sites at 10 South Van Ness, 30 Van Ness and 98 Franklin, that are situated in the plan that has been submitted and are in process for project approval
- A Race and Social Equity assessment by the Central City Coalition that is intended to be used to provide a framework for the future "Phase 2" planning of the HUB Area Plan. This framework is already being developed.

Race and Social Equity study based on the framework, that advises modifications to the HUB Area Plan to mitigate potential harms to working class communities of color within the HUB Area Plan and in bordering areas, prior to final adoption.

Our Coalition has been working on this area plan for over a year and a half. The three projects being proposed in the plan have met with the community over the last several months and have come to agreements with the community. These projects go above and beyond with required benefits conferred and help mitigate the negative impacts their developments would pose.

Finally, this plan is moving on a quicker track because one of the community benefits is the purchase of 1979 Mission known publicly as “The Monster in The Mission”. This parcel has been fought for by the community since the 1960s and is of high importance to many constituencies in San Francisco.

We ask that you support the proposed amended area plan that our Central City Coalition has worked to achieve.

Sincerely,

The members of the Central City Coalition  
SOMCAN  
Tenderloin People's Congress  
TODCO  
Yerba Buena Neighborhood Consortium  
United to Save the Mission

Please feel free to contact me with any questions.

Thank you!

Jon A. Jacobo  
TODCO Group “People First”  
Director of Engagement and Public Policy  
230 Fourth Street San Francisco, CA 94103  
Office: (415) 426-6820  
Mobile: (415)-672-5391  
[JJacob@TODCO.org](mailto:JJacob@TODCO.org)  
[Website](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)





**From:** [anastasia Yovanopoulos](#)  
**To:** [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** 7/21 BOS agenda- Committee report re: HUB Area Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:57:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

As a D#8 tenant and member of Central Cities Coalition, I am asking you to support the proposed **amended HUB Area Plan** on the BOS agenda as a "Committee Report from the Land Use and Transportation Committee" on 7/21/2020.

Central Cities Coalition supports a **limited HUB Area Plan and the proposed amendments**, providing for a **phased adoption of the area plan** that encompasses:

- the approval of the three key development sites at 10 South Van Ness, 30 Van Ness and 98 Franklin, situated in the plan that has been submitted and are in process for project approval.
- a Race and Social Equity Assessment by the Central City Coalition that is intended to be used to provide a framework for the future "Phase 2" planning of the HUB Area Plan. This framework is already being developed.
- a future Race and Social Equity study based on the framework, that advises modifications to the HUB Area Plan to mitigate potential harms to working class

We have worked diligently and tirelessly on the HUB area plan: the **3 projects being proposed** in the plan have met with the community over the last several months and have come to agreements with the community. These projects **go above and beyond with required benefits conferred, and help mitigate the negative impacts their developments would pose.**

This plan is moving on a quicker track in consideration of the community benefits of the purchase of 1979 Mission known publicly as "The Monster in The Mission". This parcel has been fought for by the community since the 1960s and is of high importance to many constituencies in San Francisco.

I ask you to **vote to approve the proposed amended HUB area plan** that our Central City

Coalition has worked to achieve.  
Sincerely,

Anastasia Yovanopoulos,  
SFTenants Union member, D#8  
Central City Coalition member

**From:** [mahin Charles](#)  
**To:** [Gideon Kramer](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Caltagirone, Gaetano \(POL\)](#); [Fong, Daryl \(POL\)](#); [Cole, Davin \(POL\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Siamak Akhavan](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Lewis Peter](#); [Thomas Carolyn](#); [carolyn.kenady@gmail.com](#); [Fred Winograd](#); [Closson, Jessica \(POL\)](#); [Lucia Bogatay](#); [Ross Shelagh](#); [Haigh Stephen](#); [Starkey Marius](#); [Donna Shibata](#); [Kelly Patrick](#); [Reuben Smith](#); [Alex Sayde](#); [Beverly Choe](#); [Auerbach-morris Darby](#); [Ginsburg Paula](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Skateboarders back on Dolores Street for the third weekend in a row!  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 8:00:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Does anyone know whether this maddness will be happening only tonite or continues thru the weekend?

Mahin Charles

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 17, 2020, at 6:02 PM, Gideon Kramer <[gykramer1@gmail.com](mailto:gykramer1@gmail.com)> wrote:

ENOUGH IS ENOUGH! This is outrageous. How many times do we have to plead, only to have our pleas fall on deaf ears? Time and again, the city simply retreats and relinquishes the streets to the Skateboard Mob, thereby emboldening it further. Have SFPD and the Board of Supervisors become so spineless and intimidated by a bunch of brazen scofflaws that they're afraid to enforce the law??? Does the City really think that the good citizens of this neighborhood are going to continue to tolerate this insanity much longer???

THIS HAS GOT TO STOP!!

Gideon Kramer

On Jul 17, 2020, at 4:42 PM, Siamak Akhavan wrote:

Dear all,

For the third weekend in a row, the skateboarding horde has taken over Dolores Street, this time starting on Friday afternoon, unilaterally (without police involvement) redirecting traffic. I saw several cars intimidated (banging on their hoods/windows) if they didn't obey!

No one is socially-distanced or masked, potentially contaminating this neighborhood with Covid19. Please exercise your legally and electorally-mandated control over this neighborhood.

Siamak Akhavan

Neighborhood resident , Mission Dolores Neighborhood Association (MDNA) Board member

<IMG\_4618.jpeg><IMG\_4617.jpeg><IMG\_4616.jpeg>

**From:** [Patrick Kelly](#)  
**To:** [Gideon Kramer](#); [mahin Charles](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Caltagirone, Gaetano \(POL\)](#); [Fong, Daryl \(POL\)](#); [Cole, Davin \(POL\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Siamak Akhavan](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Lewis Peter](#); [Thomas Carolyn](#); [carolyn.kenady@gmail.com](#); [Fred Winograd](#); [Closson, Jessica \(POL\)](#); [Lucia Bogatay](#); [Ross Shelagh](#); [Haigh Stephen](#); [Starkey Marius](#); [Donna Shibata](#); [Reuben Smith](#); [Alex Sayde](#); [Beverly Choe](#); [Auerbach-morris Darby](#); [Ginsburg Paula](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Skateboarders back on Dolores Street for the third weekend in a row!  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 9:12:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

"The City" has given over control of **our neighborhood** to a group of unemployed adolescents who hate their parents and who want to cause as much chaos and destruction as possible.

Aided by a far left District Attorney (Chesa Boudin) who wants to overthrow the American system of justice so he can get his parents (convicted of murder!) out of federal prison to become martyrs and cause more mayhem.

The only time The City will intervene is in case of serious injury or death, which happened twice today, and only to let ambulances inside **the occupied zone!**

Once the bodies are collected, law enforcement leaves the scene to the anarchists.

As with any defense against a homeless invasion, the residents are on their own WHILE STILL PAYING TAXES TO SFGOV! I'd suggest stop paying property taxes until the City of San Francisco can fulfill their obligations.

The BOS should refuse their salaries until they can prove they are able to govern.

On Friday, July 17, 2020, 08:00:13 PM PDT, mahin Charles <ferdousi68.mh@gmail.com> wrote:

Does anyone know whether this madness will be happening only tonite or continues thru the weekend?

Mahin Charles

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 17, 2020, at 6:02 PM, Gideon Kramer <[gykramer1@gmail.com](mailto:gykramer1@gmail.com)> wrote:

ENOUGH IS ENOUGH! This is outrageous. How many times do we have to plead, only to have our pleas fall on deaf ears? Time and again, the city simply retreats and relinquishes the streets to the Skateboard Mob, thereby emboldening it further. Have SFPD and the Board of Supervisors

become so spineless and intimidated by a bunch of brazen scofflaws that they're afraid to enforce the law??? Does the City really think that the good citizens of this neighborhood are going to continue to tolerate this insanity much longer???

**THIS HAS GOT TO STOP!!**

**Gideon Kramer**

On Jul 17, 2020, at 4:42 PM, Siamak Akhavan wrote:

Dear all,

For the third weekend in a row, the skateboarding horde has taken over Dolores Street, this time starting on Friday afternoon, unilaterally (without police involvement) redirecting traffic. I saw several cars intimidated (banging on their hoods/windows) if they didn't obey!

No one is socially-distanced or masked, potentially contaminating this neighborhood with Covid19. Please exercise your legally and electorally-mandated control over this neighborhood.

Siamak Akhavan

Neighborhood resident , Mission Dolores Neighborhood Association (MDNA) Board member

<IMG\_4618.jpeg><IMG\_4617.jpeg><IMG\_4616.jpeg>

**From:** [Siamak Akhavan](#)  
**To:** [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#)  
**Cc:** [Peter Lewis](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Mahin Charles](#); [Cole, Davin \(POL\)](#); [Fong, Daryl \(POL\)](#); [Thomas Carolyn](#); [carolyn.kenady@gmail.com](#); [Closson, Jessica \(POL\)](#); [Lucia Bogatay](#); [Shelagh Ross](#); [Stephen Haigh](#); [Marius Starkey](#); [Siamak Akhavan](#); [Donna Shibata](#); [Reuben Smith](#); [Patricia Algara // BASE](#); [Alex Sayde](#); [Beverly Choe](#); [Caltagirone, Gaetano \(POL\)](#)  
**Subject:** Skateboarders back on Dolores Street for the third weekend in a row!  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 4:42:06 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.


Dear all,

For the third weekend in a row, the skateboarding horde has taken over Dolores Street, this time starting on Friday afternoon, unilaterally (without police involvement) redirecting traffic. I saw several cars intimidated (banging on their hoods/windows) if they didn't obey!


No one is socially-distanced or masked, potentially contaminating this neighborhood with Covid19. Please exercise your legally and electorally-mandated control over this neighborhood.

Siamak Akhavan

Neighborhood resident , Mission Dolores Neighborhood Association (MDNA) Board member











**From:** [Siamak Akhavan](#)  
**To:** [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#)  
**Cc:** [Peter Lewis](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Mahin Charles](#); [Cole, Davin \(POL\)](#); [Fong, Daryl \(POL\)](#); [Thomas Carolyn](#); [carolyn.kenady@gmail.com](#); [Closson, Jessica \(POL\)](#); [Lucia Bogatay](#); [Shelagh Ross](#); [Stephen Haigh](#); [Marius Starkey](#); [Siamak Akhavan](#); [Donna Shibata](#); [Reuben Smith](#); [Patricia Algara // BASE](#); [Alex Sayde](#); [Beverly Choe](#); [Caltagirone, Gaetano \(POL\)](#); [Gideon Kramer](#)  
**Subject:** Skateboarders back on Dolores Street for the third weekend in a row!  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 4:42:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.


Dear all,


For the third weekend in a row, the skateboarding horde has taken over Dolores Street, this time starting on Friday afternoon, unilaterally (without police involvement) redirecting traffic. I saw several cars intimidated (banging on their hoods/windows) if they didn't obey!

No one is socially-distanced or masked, potentially contaminating this neighborhood with Covid19. Please exercise your legally and electorally-mandated control over this neighborhood.

Siamak Akhavan

Neighborhood resident , Mission Dolores Neighborhood Association (MDNA) Board member









**From:** [mahin Charles](#)  
**To:** [Siamak Akhavan](#)  
**Cc:** [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Peter Lewis](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Cole, Davin \(POL\)](#); [Fong, Daryl \(POL\)](#); [Thomas Carolyn](#); [carolyn.kenady@gmail.com](#); [Closson, Jessica \(POL\)](#); [Lucia Bogatay](#); [Shelagh Ross](#); [Stephen Haigh](#); [Marius Starkey](#); [Donna Shibata](#); [Reuben Smith](#); [Patricia Algara // BASE](#); [Alex Sayde](#); [Beverly Choe](#); [Caltagirone, Gaetano \(POL\)](#); [pjkellysf@yahoo.com](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Skateboarders back on Dolores Street for the third weekend in a row!  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 6:57:56 PM

---


This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is absolutely insane. It has taken a week to remedy their damage of the previous event and they are at it again. Where are our elected officials with all their promises?

Mahin Charles

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 17, 2020, at 4:41 PM, Siamak Akhavan <siamakakhavan@mac.com> wrote:  
>  
> Dear all,  
>  
> For the third weekend in a row, the skateboarding horde has taken over Dolores Street, this time starting on Friday afternoon, unilaterally (without police involvement) redirecting traffic. I saw several cars intimidated (banging on their hoods/windows) if they didn't obey!  
>  
> No one is socially-distanced or masked, potentially contaminating this neighborhood with Covid19. Please exercise your legally and electorally-mandated control over this neighborhood.  
>  
> Siamak Akhavan  
> Neighborhood resident , Mission Dolores Neighborhood Association (MDNA) Board member  
>  
>  
>



> <IMG\_4617.jpeg><IMG\_4616.jpeg>

**From:** [Patrick Kelly](#)  
**To:** [Siamak Akhavan](#); [mahin Charles](#)  
**Cc:** [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Peter Lewis](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Cole, Davin \(POL\)](#); [Fong, Daryl \(POL\)](#); [Thomas Carolyn](#); [carolyn.kenady@gmail.com](#); [Closson, Jessica \(POL\)](#); [Lucia Bogatay](#); [Shelagh Ross](#); [Stephen Haigh](#); [Marius Starkey](#); [Donna Shibata](#); [Reuben Smith](#); [Patricia Algara // BASE](#); [Alex Sayde](#); [Beverly Choe](#); [Caltagirone, Gaetano \(POL\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Skateboarders back on Dolores Street for the third weekend in a row!  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 7:10:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The word on the street is **one person is dead**.

Maybe this will be a wake-up call for the City to do something. The skateboarders don't seem to care that someone (*allegedly*) died, **they are still bombing the hill as of 7PM**.

To their credit, the **SFPD DID show up** with a large presence.

I walked around wearing my "Thin Blue Line" mask to show my support...

...ironically **the same mask that Chief Scott banned** because it may be interpreted as ***political***. by the rioters. Shame on you Chief Scott for not supporting your officers!

Patrick Kelly  
415-685-7728

On Friday, July 17, 2020, 06:57:53 PM PDT, mahin Charles <ferdousi68.mh@gmail.com> wrote:

This is absolutely insane. It has taken a week to remedy their damage of the previous event and they are at it again. Where are our elected officials with all their promises?

Mahin Charles

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 17, 2020, at 4:41 PM, Siamak Akhavan <[siamakakhavan@mac.com](mailto:siamakakhavan@mac.com)> wrote:

>

> Dear all,

>

> For the third weekend in a row, the skateboarding horde has taken over Dolores Street, this time starting on Friday afternoon, unilaterally (without police involvement) redirecting traffic. I saw several cars intimidated (banging on their hoods/windows) if they didn't obey!

>

> No one is socially-distanced or masked, potentially contaminating this neighborhood with Covid19. Please exercise your legally and electorally-mandated control over this neighborhood.

>

> Siamak Akhavan

> Neighborhood resident , Mission Dolores Neighborhood Association (MDNA) Board member

>

>

>

> <IMG\_4617.jpeg><IMG\_4616.jpeg>

**From:** [Siamak Akhavan](#)  
**To:** [Patrick Kelly](#)  
**Cc:** [mahin Charles](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Peter Lewis](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Cole, Davin \(POL\)](#); [Fong, Daryl \(POL\)](#); [Thomas Carolyn](#); [carolyn.kenady@gmail.com](#); [Closson, Jessica \(POL\)](#); [Lucia Bogatay](#); [Shelagh Ross](#); [Stephen Haigh](#); [Marius Starkey](#); [Donna Shibata](#); [Reuben Smith](#); [Patricia Algara // BASE](#); [Alex Sayde](#); [Beverly Choe](#); [Caltagirone, Gaetano \(POL\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Skateboarders back on Dolores Street for the third weekend in a row!  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 7:26:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Now we have a full-fledged street riot party, with loudspeaker-blasting hiphop music, screaming drunks, firecrackers, and the usual accompanying intoxicating paraphernalia.

FYI, there's still fire-cacker residues on our roof that I had to extinguish last week with an extinguisher. **If there's an accidental building fire in the neighborhood, surely the city will be held legally responsible.**

Siamak Akhavan

On Jul 17, 2020, at 7:10 PM, Patrick Kelly <[pjkellysf@yahoo.com](mailto:pjkellysf@yahoo.com)> wrote:

The word on the street is **one person is dead**.

Maybe this will be a wake-up call for the City to do something. The skateboarders don't seem to care that someone (*allegedly*) died, **they are still bombing the hill as of 7PM.**

To their credit, the **SFPD DID show up** with a large presence.

I walked around wearing my "Thin Blue Line" mask to show my support...

...ironically **the same mask that Chief Scott banned** because it may be interpreted as **political**. by the rioters. Shame on you Chief Scott for not supporting your officers!

Patrick Kelly  
415-685-7728

On Friday, July 17, 2020, 06:57:53 PM PDT, mahin Charles <[ferdousi68.mh@gmail.com](mailto:ferdousi68.mh@gmail.com)> wrote:

This is absolutely insane. It has taken a week to remedy their damage of the previous event and they are at it again. Where are our elected officials with all their promises?

Mahin Charles

Sent from my iPhone



> On Jul 17, 2020, at 4:41 PM, Siamak Akhavan <[siamakakhavan@mac.com](mailto:siamakakhavan@mac.com)> wrote:  
>  
> Dear all,  
>  
> For the third weekend in a row, the skateboarding horde has taken over Dolores Street, this time starting on Friday afternoon, unilaterally (without police involvement) redirecting traffic. I saw several cars intimidated (banging on their hoods/windows) if they didn't obey!  
>  
> No one is socially-distanced or masked, potentially contaminating this neighborhood with Covid19. Please exercise your legally and electorally-mandated control over this neighborhood.  
>  
> Siamak Akhavan  
> Neighborhood resident , Mission Dolores Neighborhood Association (MDNA) Board member  
>  
>  
>  
> <IMG\_4617.jpeg><IMG\_4616.jpeg>

**From:** [Siamak Akhavan](#)  
**To:** [Caltagirone, Gaetano \(POL\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [mahin Charles](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Peter Lewis](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Cole, Davin \(POL\)](#); [Fong, Daryl \(POL\)](#); [Thomas Carolyn](#); [carolyn.kenady@gmail.com](#); [Closson, Jessica \(POL\)](#); [Lucia Bogatay](#); [Shelagh Ross](#); [Stephen Haigh](#); [Marius Starkey](#); [Donna Shibata](#); [Reuben Smith](#); [Patricia Algara // BASE](#); [Alex Sayde](#); [Beverly Choe](#); [Patrick Kelly](#); [Gideon Kramer](#)  
**Subject:** UPDATE: Thank you SFPD Mission Station -Skateboarders back on Dolores Street for the third weekend in a row!  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 9:20:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you Captain Caltagirone.

There are now 5-6 police cruisers blocking Dolores Street at 20th, effectively blocking the skateboarding 'runway' down the hill. They're peaceful, calm, and in control, and limiting possible trouble with the unruly crowd that's beginning to understand that we won't put up with them coming into SF (most of them seem to be out-of-towners here to party and make trouble) to disrupt our city and neighborhood. They're gradually dispersing. I hope we will see the same response to any more of these dangerous events, seemingly organized via social media.

**Thank you Captain Caltagirone and valiant SFPD Mission Station officers** for your hard and dangerous work, we certainly appreciate the troubles you have to deal with.

Siamak Akhavan  
Resident and MDNA Board member

Now we have a full-fledged street riot party, with loudspeaker-blasting hiphop music, screaming drunks, firecrackers, and the usual accompanying intoxicating paraphernalia.

**FYI, there's still fire-cracker residues on our roof that I had to extinguish last week with an extinguisher. If there's an accidental building fire in the neighborhood, surely the city will be held legally responsible.**

Siamak Akhavan

On Jul 17, 2020, at 7:10 PM, Patrick Kelly <[pjkellysf@yahoo.com](mailto:pjkellysf@yahoo.com)> wrote:

The word on the street is **one person is dead**.

Maybe this will be a wake-up call for the City to do something. The skateboarders don't seem to care that someone (*allegedly*) died, **they are still bombing the hill as of 7PM.**

To their credit, the **SFPD DID show up** with a large presence.

I walked around wearing my "Thin Blue Line" mask to show my support...

...Ironically **the same mask that Chief Scott banned** because it may be interpreted as ***political*** by the rioters. Shame on you Chief Scott for not supporting your officers!

Patrick Kelly  
415-685-7728

On Friday, July 17, 2020, 06:57:53 PM PDT, mahin Charles <[ferdousi68.mh@gmail.com](mailto:ferdousi68.mh@gmail.com)> wrote:

This is absolutely insane. It has taken a week to remedy their damage of the previous event and they are at it again. Where are our elected officials with all their promises?

Mahin Charles

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 17, 2020, at 4:41 PM, Siamak Akhavan <[siamakakhavan@mac.com](mailto:siamakakhavan@mac.com)> wrote:

>

> Dear all,

>

> For the third weekend in a row, the skateboarding horde has taken over Dolores Street, this time starting on Friday afternoon, unilaterally (without police involvement) redirecting traffic. I saw several cars intimidated (banging on their hoods/windows) if they didn't obey!

>

> No one is socially-distanced or masked, potentially contaminating this neighborhood with Covid19. Please exercise your legally and electorally-mandated control over this neighborhood.

>

> Siamak Akhavan

> Neighborhood resident , Mission Dolores Neighborhood Association (MDNA) Board member

>

>

>

> <IMG\_4617.jpeg><IMG\_4616.jpeg>

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** 5 letters regarding File No. 200631  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 2:59:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [5 letters regarding File No. 200631.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 5 letters regarding File No. 200631.

**File No. 200631** - Hearing of the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole on July 21, 2020, at 3:00 p.m., to hold a public hearing to consider an ordinance approving an amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project in regard to Blocks 29-32 (also known as the site of Chase Center) which modifies the land use designation for certain property to add hotel and residential as permitted uses, increases the total leasable square feet of retail space permitted on this property, increases the number of hotels and hotel rooms in the plan area, and authorizes certain dwelling units to be built on certain property in the plan area; directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to transmit a copy of the ordinance upon its enactment to the Successor Agency; making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1(b); scheduled pursuant to Motion No. M20-065, approved by the Board on June 9, 2020.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701  
[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Items 31-32, 7/21 BOS Agenda--please support (File Nos. 200631 and 200575)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:20:00 AM

---

**From:** Alice Rogers <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>

**Sent:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 11:28 AM

**To:** Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Yoyo Chan <ychan@warriors.com>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Items 31-32, 7/21 BOS Agenda--please support

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Yee and Supervisors Haney, Fewer, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, and Walton,

While not a resident of Mission Bay per se, my South End Historic District neighborhood has become closely knit with the redevelopment neighborhoods surrounding it: Mission Bay to the South, South Beach adjacent, and Rincon to the east. Our residents mix and mingle in a variety of neighborhood groups and we eagerly support the neighborhood-serving businesses that are taking root.

In this context, I'm writing to offer my support for the plan amendments that would allow more hotel rooms, residential units, and additional retail on the Chase Center site. Each use seems appropriate and useful in supporting the growing neighborhood. The Warriors management team has done extensive outreach on their intended project and has proven admirable in actively managing probable impacts the activities at the Chase Center might have on the City's transportation network as a whole, and on the immediate neighborhood. I believe they will be effective in integrating these uses to best advantage.

Please support these plan amendments to further enhance the area. I am sorry not to deliver my comments at your hearing, but will be out of town on Tuesday.

Sincerely,

Alice Rogers

.....

Alice Rogers  
10 South Park St  
Studio 2  
San Francisco, CA 94107

CC: Yoyo Chan, Vice President, Government and Public Affairs

**From:** [Cynthia Gómez](#)  
**To:** [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Josephine Radbill](#); [Anand Singh](#); [Ian Lewis](#)  
**Subject:** Letter of support, Warriors hotel project  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:03:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

This letter is in support of the proposed mixed-use project which will serve the Warriors arena in Mission Bay. We have signed an agreement with the project sponsor regarding the jobs at this hotel, specifically a guarantee for a fair and neutral process for the eventual hospitality workers if they wish to be represented by a union. Agreements such as these continue to create a path for the hardworking people in the hospitality industry to fight for respect and dignity on the job, affordable health care benefits, a dignified retirement, and a living wage. We urge you to support this project's approvals at the Board on July 21.

Thank you,

--

Cynthia Gómez  
Senior Research Analyst  
she/her/hers  
UNITE/HERE, Local 2  
209 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
[cgomez@unitehere2.org](mailto:cgomez@unitehere2.org)  
[415.864.8770](tel:415.864.8770), ext. 763

Jennifer Houser  
Bryr Studio  
2331 3rd Street  
San Francisco, CA 94107

08 May 2020

Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure  
One S. Van Ness Avenue, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
Re: Golden State Warriors Hotel Mixed-Use Project

Chair Bustos and Members of the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure,

I am writing to express my support for the Golden State Warriors' proposed hotel mixed-use project at Blocks 29-32 in Mission Bay.

As a small business manager in the Dogpatch, I believe this project and its proposed uses are much needed in our neighborhood, where hotels and hospitality amenities are currently lacking. This proposed project will also complement the existing activities at Chase Center and help to create additional public activation and retail opportunities that will benefit our neighborhood.

Since the opening of Chase Center in September, I have been impressed with the ongoing operations and the Warriors' collaboration and communications with the surrounding community. I know they will uphold the same process and standards as the hotel project moves forward.

Our business, as most on the 3rd Street corridor, is directly impacted by the events and activations at the Chase Center. I'm excited to collaborate with GSW leadership to encourage and share all that Dogpatch has to offer with guests and residents of the hotel project.

I hope you will support this item. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Houser  
Bryr Studio

cc:  
Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure (OCII)  
San Francisco Planning Commission  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: GSW Hotel Project  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 1:00:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [GSW\\_HotelProject.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please find the attached regarding Items 31 and 32, File Nos. 200632 and 200575, on the agenda today for the Board of Supervisors meeting.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701  
[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Jenny Houser <jenny@bryrstudio.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 8:16 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** GSW Hotel Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern,

Please find my letter in support of the GSW Hotel Project, items 31-32 on the agenda today, 7/21.

Many thanks,  
Jenny

--

Jenny Houser  
[Bryr Studio](#)  
2331 3rd Street  
San Francisco, CA 94107  
c: 603-568-6584



**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Chase Center Hotel Project  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 3:23:00 PM

---

---

**From:** Don Parker <parkdonny@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 3:51 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** vgonzalez@warriors.com; ychan@warriors.com  
**Subject:** Chase Center Hotel Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I tried joining the webex conference this afternoon to voice my support for the hotel project at Chase Center, but was unable to stay on the line past 30 minutes and the item had yet to come up for public comment. With that said, I still wanted to show my support for the project as a neighbor of Chase Center. I live at the Madrone condo building, which is a block from the arena and sight of the proposed hotel. I went to the Warriors presentation to the neighborhood and feel it's a well thought out plan that will have minimal overall impact to the neighborhood.

I've lived at Madrone since 2012, before Chase Center was even proposed for that site. I, like many of my neighbors, had initial concerns about the arena and how that might impact our neighborhood with increased traffic and activity. However, I have been very impressed by The Warriors outreach to the community and responsiveness to our feedback, both before and after the arena opened. I have confidence in their plan for this hotel addition and support it moving forward. There aren't a lot of hotel options in the area and it will be nice to have additional room capacity for friends and relatives who want to stay near us when they visit, as well.

Thank you for your consideration and taking my support into account regarding this decision.

Regards,

Don Parker  
420 Mission Bay Blvd N.  
Unit 907  
San Francisco, CA 94158

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Golden State Warriors Hotel Support  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 3:24:00 PM

---

---

**From:** vanessa r aquino <vanessa.r.aquino@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 1:58 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Vanessa Gonzalez <VGonzalez@warriors.com>; Yoyo Chan <YChan@warriors.com>  
**Subject:** Golden State Warriors Hotel Support

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Planning Commissioners,

Hi, it's Vanessa Aquino, your Latina/Dogpatch resident, and board member of Dogpatch Neighborhood Association for over 16 years. I am writing to show my support for the Warriors Hotel Project.

In the past, I have attended several SF Planning hearings, in support of the Chase Arena, the new home of the Golden State Warriors, the Giants Mission Rock Development, Pier 70, and the Power Station Project.

Having the Warriors Hotel located adjacent to the Chase Arena will add on more great opportunities to the area, community, and city at large! The Warriors Hotel Project will bring people from around the world and introduce them to a whole new look and view of our already great global city, help shine a light on the neighboring communities such as Dogpatch, Bayview and Potrero Hill beside the rest of the city.

I support the Warriors Hotel Project.

---

vanessa ross aquino  
Membership Coordinator | Social-Media | Photographer  
[Dogpatch Neighborhood Association](#)  
**Dogpatch Block Party Coming 2020**

My Website | [www.movingroovin.com](http://www.movingroovin.com)  
My Twitter | [@sfmovingroovin](https://twitter.com/sfmovingroovin)



**From:** [aeboken](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING Rules Committee Agenda Item #2 Initiative Ordinance - Authorizing the Development of up to 10,000 Affordable Rental Units in the City under Article 34 of the California Constitution File #200647  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:02:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

I am supporting this initiative ordinance to expose the flaws in California Constitution Article 34.

Eileen Boken  
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods\*

\* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Letter of Support for File 200760 - Temporary Small Business Registration and License Fee Waiver  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:58:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)  
[Support for File 200760.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Amelia Linde <[alinde@sfchamber.com](mailto:alinde@sfchamber.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2020 2:14 PM  
**To:** Amelia Linde <[alinde@sfchamber.com](mailto:alinde@sfchamber.com)>  
**Cc:** Jay Cheng <[ajcheng@sfchamber.com](mailto:ajcheng@sfchamber.com)>  
**Subject:** Letter of Support for File 200760 - Temporary Small Business Registration and License Fee Waiver

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor London N. Breed, Board President Norman Yee, and Supervisors,

The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce would like to offer our support for Ordinance 200760: Emergency Ordinance - Temporary Waiver of Business Registration and License Fees for Certain Small Businesses Due to COVID-19 Pandemic.

Please see attached, our letter of support.

Have a wonderful day,



**Amelia Linde, MBA.**

Manager, Small Business Initiatives and Engagement  
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce  
235 Montgomery St., Ste. 760, San Francisco, CA 94104  
(Direct) • 415.352.8814 (Office) • 415.392.4520  
(E) [alinde@sfchamber.com](mailto:alinde@sfchamber.com) Pronouns: She/Her/Hers





235 Montgomery St., Ste. 760, San Francisco, CA 94104  
tel: 415.352.4520 • fax: 415.392.0485  
sfchamber.com • twitter: @sf\_chamber

July 20, 2020

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, #244  
San Francisco, CA 94012

**RE: Support for file 200760 - Emergency Ordinance - Temporary Waiver of Business Registration and License Fees for Certain Small Businesses Due to COVID-19 Pandemic**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce supports the legislation introduced by Supervisor Gordon Mar, and co-sponsored by Supervisors Stefani and Walton that will waive business registration fees for eligible small businesses for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020, and those fees due on March 31st, 2020.

This ordinance is a great step to show support and provide hope for small businesses who are doing all they can to persevere through the COVID-19 pandemic amid a truly unprecedented crash in revenue. Recent consumer spending data has indicated that retail spending has fallen by upwards of 90% since March, defining essentially a hard stop of cash flow for many of San Francisco's beloved small businesses.

The businesses that would benefit from this emergency ordinance would include many of the hallmark small businesses that make our neighborhoods the diverse and engaging places they are to work and live like florists, hair salons, small fitness studios, or the local gathering place which has been decommissioned by COVID-19. Many of these businesses are the ones who have not had substantial help from other programs and are vital to our neighborhoods.

The savings from the waived fees, while modest, could be the difference between being able to invest in tools to help the business reopen safely like PPE or new signage. We must do everything we can to try and preserve our small business ecosystem in San Francisco and invest in their resiliency.

The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce actively supports policies that uplift our small business community which contributes so greatly to San Francisco's vibrance and culture. We believe this ordinance will help small businesses to maintain a foothold in San Francisco's neighborhood commercial districts and hopefully be successful in the long-term.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Rodney Fong", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Rodney Fong, President & CEO  
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

cc: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors; All Supervisors; Mayor London N. Breed

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: School Closure/Distance Learning and halt to Residential Construction  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 11:32:00 AM

---

---

**From:** Miller, Chaka <chaka.miller@uchastings.edu>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:56 AM  
**To:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MARCSANCHEZ@SFUSD.EDU; GABRIELALOPEZ@SFUSD.EDU  
**Subject:** School Closure/Distance Learning and halt to Residential Construction

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Mayor, Supervisors, School Board Leadership

Since the SFUSD now requires students to learn from home, will you **please restore the temporary halt to residential construction** (where children are distance learning) until children are able to return to school safely?

There was a halt to residential construction during the initial stay-at-home-order.

It will be impossible for my children to do distance learning at home, and impossible for my wife to teach (SFUSD) via computer, while construction continues. How many other children, parents, and teachers are facing the same issue?

Thank You!

Chaka Miller (parent of two girls age 5 & 9 and resident of district 5)  
1555 Oak St. Apt. 8  
San Francisco, Ca. 94117  
415-626-6005

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Where is the City Services we property taxpayers pay YOU FOR?  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 1:50:00 PM

---

---

**From:** chris w <dragonflysfo@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2020 1:34 PM  
**To:** Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Where is the City Services we property taxpayers pay YOU FOR?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

During this Pandemic, DOES NOT MEAN CITY CIVIL SERVANTS TAKE A "PAID FREE VACATION" ....WHILE THIS CITY GOES TO POT! ....REALLY??  
DONT DEPLOY THIS COVID 19 to NOT DO YOUR JOB<WE PAY YOU TO DO!!  
The Mess and GHETTO /LAWLESSNESS, on top of BUSINESS LOSSES ETC> IS WORST  
Than the PANDEMIC<and you will have to "REBUILD THIS CITY" ....REALLY??  
HOW LAZY "CIVIL SERVANTS" CAN YOU BE?  
Shame on ON ALL OF YOU!

SF TAXPAYERS SAYS "ENOUGH IS ENOUGH"!!!



**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: School Closure/Distance Learning and halt to Residential Construction  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 12:54:00 PM

---

**From:** Miller, Chaka <chaka.miller@uchastings.edu>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:26 AM  
**To:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; GABRIELALOPEZ@SFUSD.EDU; AlisonMCollins <AlisonMCollins@sfusd.edu>; StevonCook <StevonCook@sfusd.edu>; Snyder, Jen (BOS) <jen.snyder@sfgov.org>; Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <preston.kilgore@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** School Closure/Distance Learning and halt to Residential Construction

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**From:** Miller, Chaka  
**Sent:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:55 AM  
**To:** [MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org](mailto:MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org) <[MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org](mailto:MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org)>; [Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org) <[Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org)>; [MARCSANCHEZ@SFUSD.EDU](mailto:MARCSANCHEZ@SFUSD.EDU) <[MARCSANCHEZ@SFUSD.EDU](mailto:MARCSANCHEZ@SFUSD.EDU)>; [GABRIELALOPEZ@SFUSD.EDU](mailto:GABRIELALOPEZ@SFUSD.EDU) <[GABRIELALOPEZ@SFUSD.EDU](mailto:GABRIELALOPEZ@SFUSD.EDU)>  
**Subject:** School Closure/Distance Learning and halt to Residential Construction

Dear SF Mayor, Supervisors, School Board Leadership, Preston Staff

Since the SFUSD now requires students to learn from home, will you **please restore the temporary halt to residential construction** (where children are distance learning) until children are able to return to school safely?

There was a halt to residential construction during the initial stay-at-home-order. Now, the landlord has been granted permits from the city (or will) and is:

- demolishing 7 of 12 units in our building,
- excavating the back yard (where my daughters play since the playgrounds are closed),
- removing the seismic retrofit completed just four years ago -to do it again,
- building ADUs in garage even though there are seven empty units in our building - five

of which have not been rented in over two years,

- jackhammering thousands of square feet of concrete,
- potentially exposing COVID-19 to residents, including four senior citizens in their 70's,
- exposing residents to lead and asbestos during demolition

**No child should be forced to learn under these conditions**, but the Department of Building Inspection doesn't consider the effects of a 16-month construction project on children who are required to learn from home.

It will be impossible for my children to do distance learning at home, and impossible for my wife to teach (SFUSD) via computer, while construction continues. How many other children, parents, and teachers are facing the same issue?

Thank You!

Chaka Miller (parent of two girls age 5 & 9 and resident of district 5)  
1555 Oak St. Apt. 8  
San Francisco, Ca. 94117  
415-626-6005

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Blair Beekman. Tuesday, July 21, 2020. \_\_\_\_\_ a thank you.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 3:09:00 PM

---

**From:** b. beekman <cranberrysauce23@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:30 AM

**To:** D1. <rkesarwani@cityofberkeley.info>; D2. <cdavilra@cityofberkeley.info>; bbartlett@cityofberkeley.info; D4. <kharrison@cityofberkeley.info>; D5. <shahn@cityofberkeley.info>; D6. <swengraf@cityofberkeley.info>; D7. <rrobinson@cityofberkeley.info>; mayor <jarreguin@cityofberkeley.info>; b. beekman <cranberrysauce23@gmail.com>; C.Mgr. <manager@cityofberkeley.info>; attrny. <attorney@cityofberkeley.info>; Dept. of Health Services <dhs@cityofberkeley.info>; Housing Dept. <housing@cityofberkeley.info>; Human Resources <hr@cityofberkeley.info>; O.E.S. <OES@cityofberkeley.info>; o.E.M.S. <dmcpartland@cityofberkeley.info>; o.fire <fire@cityofberkeley.info>; o.fire.c.mgr. <kchin@cityofberkeley.info>; p.r.c. <PRC@cityofberkeley.info>; p.r.c.Lee, Katherine <KLee@ci.berkeley.ca.us>; parks <parks@cityofberkeley.info>; planning <planning@cityofberkeley.info>; Public Works <publicworks@cityofberkeley.info>; Transportation <transportation@cityofberkeley.info>; cl.d1. Charles "Chappie" Jones <District1@sanjoseca.gov>; cl.d2. Sergio Jimenez <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; cl.d3. Raul Peralez <District3@sanjoseca.gov>; cl.staff.d4. Lauren DeCarlo <Lauren.DeCarlo@sanjoseca.gov>; cl.d5. Magdalena Carrasco <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; cl.staff.d6. Louansee Moua <Louansee.Moua@sanjoseca.gov>; cl.d7. Maya Esparza <maya.esparza@sanjoseca.gov>; cl.d8. Sylvia Arenas <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; cl.d9. Pam Foley <pam.foley@sanjoseca.gov>; Mayor Sam Licarrdo <mayoremail@sanjoseca.gov>; Mayor's office. Qiaojie Wu <qiaojie.wu@sanjoseca.gov>; C.Innovation.Mgr.Kip Harkness <kip.harkness@sanjoseca.gov>; C. Innovation. Dolan Beckel <dolan.beckel@sanjoseca.gov>; C. Innovation. Rajani Nair <Rajani.Nair@sanjoseca.gov>; C. Innovation Rob Lloyd <rob.lloyd@sanjoseca.gov>; c.mgr.Jim Ortbal <jim.ortbal@sanjoseca.gov>; C. Manager Angel Rios <Angel.Rios@sanjoseca.gov>; c.mgr. Sabrina Parra <sabrina.parra-garcia@sanjoseca.gov>; cDoT.Dir.John Ristow <John.Ristow@sanjoseca.gov>; cDOT Laura Wells <laura.wells@sanjoseca.gov>; Pblic.Wrks. - Matt Cano <matt.cano@sanjoseca.gov>; v. zero. Vu Dao <vu.dao@sanjoseca.gov>; SAAG-Lori Severino <Lori.Severino@sanjoseca.gov>; S.J. Arena Authority <info@sjaa.com>; Prks&Rec. John Cicirelli <john.cicirelli@sanjoseca.gov>; IPA <ipa@sanjoseca.gov>; OES.Office of Emergency Services <oes@sanjoseca.gov>; Police Chief. Edgardo Garcia <edgardo.garcia@sanjoseca.gov>; Police Lt. Jason Dwyer-s.ops.. <jason.dwyer@sanjoseca.gov>; Police Ofc. Anthony Mata <anthony.mata@sanjoseca.gov>; Supervisor Dave Cortese <dave.cortese@bos.sccgov.org>; Supervisor Cindy Chavez <Cindy.Chavez@bos.sccgov.org>; Supervisor Joseph Simitian <supervisor.simitian@bos.sccgov.org>; Supervisor Mike Wasserman <mike.wasserman@bos.sccgov.org>; Supervisor Susan Ellenberg <supervisor.ellenberg@bos.sccgov.org>; VTA Board Secretary <board.secretary@vta.org>; Housing.dept. Jacky Morales-Ferrand <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>; Housing.dept. Rachel VanderVeen <Rachel.VanderVeen@sanjoseca.gov>; Gary Miskell <gary.miskell@vta.org>; Plng.Dir. Rosalynn Hughey <rosalynn.hughey@sanjoseca.gov>; c.r.Jennifer Maguire supervisor.carson@acgov.org <Keith.Carson@acgov.org>; Wilma.Chan@acgov.org;

richard.valle@acgov.org; Nate.Miley@acgov.org; Scott.Haggerty@acgov.org; Dziedzic, Craig (DEM) <craig.dziedzic@sfgov.org>; Myhre, Janell (DEM) <janell.myhre@sfgov.org>; Gary Malais <malaisG@co.monterey.ca.us>; Carroll, Maryellen (DEM) <maryellen.carroll@sfgov.org>; city clerk.Berkly.Mark. L. <MNumainville@ci.berkeley.ca.us>; City Clerk.Brklly. <clerk@cityofberkeley.info>; city clerk-sj. Toni Taber <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; Ec.Dvlpt.-Nanci Klein <nanci.klein@sanjoseca.gov>; Kim-Molina, Mikyung (DEM) <mikyung.kim-molina@sfgov.org>; Kim.Walesh@sanjoseca.gov; Mayor's office. Paul Pereira <Paul.Pereira@sanjoseca.gov>; Phyllis Onstad <phyllis@sfcad.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; lmei@fremont.gov; councilmemberjones@fremont.gov; tkeng@fremont.gov; jkassan@fremont.gov; rsalwan@fremont.gov; vbacon@fremont.gov; crankin@fremont.gov; mdanaj@fremont.gov  
**Subject:** Blair Beekman. Tuesday, July 21, 2020. \_\_\_\_\_ a thank you.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

A revised 3rd version, with added email addresses.

Please make this, the official copy.

- blair beekman.

Dear community of Oakland, and communities of the s.f. bay area.

We have had to rearrange, a lot of our lives, in the worries of Covid-19.

It is sort of miraculous, we can work, in much of our familiar ways, at this time, of Covid-19.

It is hopeful, we can continue to rely on, our good ideals, practices, and years of previous studies & research, at this time.

And interestingly, it can be a time to rely on, good ideals & principles, that undoubtedly were working parallel, as the Covid-19 pandemic, was growing and developing, over the past year.

Maybe, it is with this reasoning, that is how to understand, police budget re-allocation & restructuring, at this time.

And in currently considering, the years of well-researched community policing ideas and practicea.

In comparison to the ways, a police dept, can still needed, by the community & local neighborhoods.

A thank you, for all of your work and efforts, in this stressful time of Covid-19.

In however, we will begin to move forward, I hope we first consider, we no longer have to hurt, mass numbers of people, in the needs of long term social planning.

It is communities, at the local level, working towards positive sustainability, at this time, that can create, a peace & better reasoning, needed to be understood, at the national & intl. level, in the future.

As my own work, is to describe, to many local cities, new surveillance and technology accountability guidelines.

And its important concepts, of how open democratic practices, can help the ideas of more positive sustainability.

And how local communities, can transition, to help end, u.s. continual war, and the concepts of shock doctrine.

I hope my work, and the work of others, can be of some help, to all bay area communities, at this time.

As Oakland & each Bay Area community, has their own individual needs,

A good luck, in how the entire S.F Bay Area, will be able, to better consider their own communities, in the budget decision making today, at the Oakland city council meeting.

sincerely,

blair beekman  
san jose, ca.

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: How do you solve this problem consumed by the people you sheltered  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:46:00 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Eden Niemela <evanier9567@icloud.com>  
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:42 PM  
To: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Subject: How do you solve this problem consumed by the people you sheltered

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Preston Staff and Mayor,

There is no leak. The presumed leak was solved before Covid. The tenant who refused to work has a new toilet and new bathroom installed by a professional plumber!

How do you solve this problem “ 1,591.75 water bill for 2 months from tenants sheltered by the law!”

You said you are not relieving tenants of respect for paying bills— this tenant refused to go back to work as an Uber/Lyft driver” and now giving us the responsibility of 1,591.75 water bill THEY consumed for 2 months!

Just because they are “White physically able men” does not entitle them to abuse us!

\$1591.75 for 2 months are water bill from irresponsible White men!

We already lost too much money from them and now this water bill? There’s no leak.

How much more can you take away from us?

Where can we avail help of this high water consumed from the “White “ men you sheltered?

Sincerely,  
Eden Niemela

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: How do you solve this problem consumed by the people you sheltered  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:47:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image0.png](#)

---

**From:** Eden Niemela <evanier9567@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:43 PM  
**To:** PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Re: How do you solve this problem consumed by the people you sheltered



[Bills & Payments](#)

[My Water Use](#)

[Questions](#)

### My Account

Account # 6175210000

106 Caselli Av

Current Amount Due: **\$1,591.75**

Payment Due Date: **07/28/20**

Last Payment Received: **\$353.82**

Payment Received Date: **05/05/20**

[View My Bill](#)

[Bill & Payment History](#)

[Manage Multiple Accounts](#)

[Start or Stop a Service](#)

[High Bill?](#)

[Have Questions?](#)

Richard Evans  
7504 Summitview Ave  
Yakima, WA 98908  
July 10, 2020

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2020 JUL 21 AM 8:59

BY 

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Many citizens of the City of San Francisco & The State of California are really getting tired of being scared to death about COVID-19 from you, Mayor London N. Breed & other officials. I am not a San Francisco resident; however, I do have friends and family who do live there & in the surrounding areas and many of them are scared because of Mayor Breed, Governor Newsom and the government creating fear among others over COVID-19.

Also, I, and others are getting sick and tired of having you make orders to wear a mask. Well I have news for you, Mayor Breed & Governor Newsom, I, and many others WILL NOT comply with this. I, other citizens & businesses who are fined will fight this and WILL WIN! Mayor Breed & other officials have no business acting like dictators & dictating what the citizens of San Francisco to do. They do have freedom and liberty. Here are two sections of the Washington State constitution that may be like California's constitution. Maybe you should read them & take notes.

Article 1, Section 1 POLITICAL POWER. All political power is inherent in the people, and governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed and are established to protect and maintain individual rights.


Article 1, Section 7 INVASION OF PRIVATE AFFAIRS OR HOME PROHIBITED. No person shall be disturbed in his private affairs, or his home invaded, without authority of law.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors, we all know that COVID-19 is a problem, but it has been blown out of proportion by government officials and especially the media. Look at the statistics of recovery and death! You need to tell the citizens of San Francisco & possibly the rest of the nation the truth! There is something about COVID-19 that is not being told to the people! The San Francisco govt, The State of California & other officials have killed businesses and ruined people's lives because of this! The citizens of San Francisco, The State of California and other US states with similar actions have had enough!

I will also be sending another letter, and/or email to Mayor Breed, Governor Newsom, representatives, senators, and congressmen of California to quit creating fear about COVID-19. Maybe it is time for someone to step in and say, "enough is enough".

San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Mayor Breed & others need to stop acting like dictators! We are in America, NOT a communist country! This tyranny needs to stop! Stop the fear! If not, maybe Mayor Breed or some of the Board of Supervisors & other officials need to resign!

If you wish, you can contact me by phone, e-mail, or letter. A response would be appreciated.

  
Richard Evans, [richse340@charter.net](mailto:richse340@charter.net), 509-961-1400  
c.c. Mayor London N. Breed, Grant Colfax, Director Department of Public Health, Tomas Aragon, San Francisco County Health Officer, Governor Gavin Newsom.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Vote No on funding unnecessary improvement of Muni arrival time system (File No. 200767)  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 1:42:00 PM

---

---

**From:** Deetje B <deetje@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 10:38 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** artpersyko@gmail.com  
**Subject:** Vote No on funding unnecessary improvement of Muni arrival time system

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor: Re: Funding for unnecessary improvement of Muni arrival time system

No! No! No! It is absurd to be implementing a plan begun in 2018 to improve arrival-time system when now (because of reduced fare-box income consequent to COVID restrictions) many bus lines are out of service entirely. What use is signage to people who have no bus whatsoever to wait for at all??

Disabled, seniors and youth depend upon the (discontinued) busses to live their normal lives in this City, not on the signage.

And as for the \$200,000/yr folks needing (*unnecessarily*) improved signage to be tempted onto MUNI, well, let them eat cake! Seriously, they already have more frequent service than others: for example, the 1-California runs about every 5 minutes, whereas heavily traveled lines such as the 22-Fillmore run far less frequently. (I have complained to Muni about this in the past with no results.) And now millions of dollars are requested for the reason that it will get the \$200,000+ residents out of their private conveyances and onto MUNI? No way!

You must vote against this ridiculous request while most bus routes are out of function. If any more funding for MUNI is approved by this Board it should be for returned bus service, not for signage (which, by the way, in my experience is quite adequate, and in addition there is the very handy and accurate 311 number to call for arrival times. Vote NO on this requested funding.

If anything, you should act to get the discontinued MUNI bus routes returned to service.

Thank you for your attention.

Yours truly,

Deetje Boler

1280 Laguna St., S.F., 94115

(415) 567-8446

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** 18 letters regarding File No. 200771  
**Date:** Thursday, July 23, 2020 3:07:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [18 letters regarding File No. 200771.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 18 letters regarding File No. 200771.

**File No.** 200771 - Resolution opposing India's exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act and reaffirming San Francisco as a welcoming city, expressing the City and County of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors' solidarity with San Francisco's South Asian community regardless of religion and caste.

Thank you,

Jackie Hickey  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701  
[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Samirah Shri](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 1:09:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, I'm Samirah Boomi from San José 95148 and I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Samirah Boomi

**From:** [Robby Borton](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I support the SF City Council Resolution opposing India's NRC and CAA #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMP  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 1:13:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear all,

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sincerely,

Robby

**From:** [chhedane@gmail.com](mailto:chhedane@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 1:23:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Best,  
Neha Chheda

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Alejandra Dani Martinez](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I support the SF City Council Resolution opposing India's NRC and CAA #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 1:49:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for the City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. This is an important moment for San Francisco to demonstrate our values and support for South Asian community members.

I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sincerely,  
Dani Martinez

--

Alejandra Dani Martínez  
she / her / ella  
650.283.9062

**From:** [Nishant Totla](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution: #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 1:58:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's resolution opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote YES on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

--

Nishant



**From:** [Mavish Mahomed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 2:11:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Mavish Mahomed

**From:** [Mika Weissbuch](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I support the SF City Council Resolution opposing India's NRC and CAA #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMP  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 2:32:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sincerely,

--

Mika Kie Weissbuch  
Founder, Huellas de Paz  
[www.facebook.com/HuellasdePazNicaragua](https://www.facebook.com/HuellasdePazNicaragua)  
[www.huellasdepaz.org](http://www.huellasdepaz.org)

**From:** [Altaf Khan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 3:01:07 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Altaf Khan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 3:01:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Jasleen Matharu](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 4:04:56 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Mirza Madra](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 4:20:46 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

Thank you,  
Mirza

--

Mirza Madra  
they/them/theirs

**From:** [Theeba Soundararajan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 4:58:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Zulfikar Ali Bhutto](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPs  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 6:12:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco (or your city), and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

**Zulfikar Ali Bhutto**  
Artist | Performer | Curator  
[www.zulfikaralibhuttoart.org](http://www.zulfikaralibhuttoart.org)



**From:** [Ha in](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 7:16:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Jawed Khan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 7:50:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [jiqbal](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 7:50:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

**From:** [Aftab Usmani](#)  
**To:** [Aftab Usmani](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: 200771 [Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act]  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 8:44:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Comments line are open now.

[https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish\\_id=6&autoplay=1](https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=6&autoplay=1)

On Jul 21, 2020, at 12:38 AM, Aftab Usmani <AFTABUSMANI@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Board Members,

India;s new citizenship amendment act(CAA) is discriminatory and is designed to make India's 200 million population stateless in their country of birth. I would like you to adopt this resolution and condemn this atrocious law. SF has history of standing up for just causes and this is one of them. San Francisco should stand up for India's religious minorities just as other progressive cities like Seattle has done it.

Thanks  
Aftab

**From:** [Shahbaz Khan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 12:13:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
S. R. Khan.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** 209 letters for Item 47  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 2:29:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [209 letters regarding File No. 200771.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached 209 letters regarding File No. 200771, or Item 47 on today's agenda.

**File No. 200771** - Resolution opposing India's exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act and reaffirming San Francisco as a welcoming city, expressing the City and County of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors' solidarity with San Francisco's South Asian community regardless of religion and caste.

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Nazeer Ahmed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORT FOR RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSSTDETENTIONCAMPS, FILE 200771  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 9:48:57 AM  
**Attachments:** [image.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors, The Hon. Gordon.Mar, Norman.Yee, Matt.Haney Sandra.Fewer, Rafael.Mandelman,Aaron.Peskin, Dean.Preston, Hillary.Ronen, Ahsha.Safai, Catherine.Stefani, Shamann.Walton

*Sub: SUPPORT FOR RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSSTDETENTIONCAMPS, FILE 200771  
Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities*

In 1940, Golwalkar, the ideologue of Hindutva wrote these ominous words:

"The non-Hindu people of Hindustan must either adopt Hindu culture and languages, must learn and respect and hold in reverence the Hindu religion, must entertain no idea but of those of glorification of the Hindu race and culture ... in a word they must cease to be foreigners; or may stay in the country, wholly subordinated to the Hindu nation, claiming nothing, deserving no privileges, far less any preferential treatment—not even citizens' rights."

"To keep up the purity of its race and culture, Germany shocked the world by her purging the country of the Semitic races—the Jews. Race pride at its highest has been manifested here, a good lesson for us in Hindustan to learn and profit by".

The current administration in India has now embarked on implementing Golwalkar's chilling vision. In December 2019 the Parliament of India passed the Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA) which blatantly discriminates against Muslims. The newly amended law allows the National Register of Citizens (NRC) to be updated based on religion so as to exclude Muslims. It violates India's international obligations to prevent deprivation of citizenship on the basis of race, color, descent, nation or ethnicity.

India has taken the first step towards preparation of a National Register of Citizens with the National Population Register (NPR). The NPR allows local bureaucrats to mark down as "doubtful" the citizenship of people who have lived in India for generations, sometimes for thousands of years. Anyone whose citizenship is so questioned must then produce papers to prove his/her citizenship. In a country where millions live on footpaths, tens of millions are migrant laborers, where most births take place at home and are not recorded, where hundreds of millions live in poverty and squalor, such documentation simply does not exist. The migrant laborers, women, LBGTQ people and discriminated minorities are particularly vulnerable.

The Citizenship Amendment Act together with the National Register of Citizens and the National Population Register will create millions of stateless persons in India resulting in a massive tragedy in South Asia. It will create an unprecedented refugee crisis at a time when the United Nations is trying to contain the flood of refugees in the world.

Even before the first person has been declared a "foreigner" in states as far south as Karnataka, India is building "detention centers" (concentration camps) for the anticipated influx of non-



citizens. Several are already operational in the state of Assam.

The experience of neighboring Myanmar confirms what can happen if India is not persuaded to desist from its current policies. Until 1938 Myanmar (Burma) was a part of British India. As the territories of modern-day India, Pakistan, Bangladesh and Burma were under the British crown and shared a common central government, a large number of Bengalis migrated to the Rakhine state of Myanmar in search of a livelihood. When Myanmar was severed from British India, the Bengalis found themselves on the wrong side of the border. Decades later, the government of Myanmar declared these Bengalis to be “foreigners” and “non-citizens”. They were bundled together with native Burmese Muslims as “Rohingyas” paving the way for the Rohingya genocide of 2012-17.

The case of Assam in northeastern India supports our position. An application of NCR in that state has resulted in the declaration of 1.9 million people as “non-citizens”. The list includes Muslims, Hindus and Christians alike. The Citizenship Amendment Act of 2019 provides the non-Muslims a path to citizenship, isolating the Muslims alone as “foreigners” tagged as Bangladeshis.

The situation in northeastern India is similar to that in the Rakhine state of Myanmar. The borders of the state of Bengal have shifted five times over the last century: 1906, 1911, 1938, 1947 and 1971. With each shift in the border, the hardworking Bengalis have found themselves on the “wrong” side of shifting borders. *Just as the changing borders led to a genocide of Rohingyas in Myanmar, the current policies of India point towards a massive tragedy in India, this time on a much larger scale.*

Assam has six detention centres in Dibrugarh, Goalpara, Jorhat, Kokrajhar, Silchar and Tezpur.





A Detention Camp in Goalpara, Assam, India. Courtesy: The Hindu (National Daily), India

Violence against Muslims and other minorities in India has shown a sharp spike in recent years. The anti-Sikh riots of 1984, the razing of the Babri Masjid in 1992 and the anti-Muslim pogrom of 2002 in Gujarat are well documented. Muslims and Dalits are lynched on mere rumors that they eat beef and the perpetrators go unpunished. The large-scale anti-Muslim pogrom of February 23-26, 2020 in Delhi killed 54 people and destroyed millions in property including mosques and historical tombs. Muslim men and boys were lynched and thrown into ditches. Muslim homes were singled out and targeted while the neighboring properties of non-Muslims were untouched even as the police looked on.

While anti-minority violence is not new, what is new since 2014 is that hate speech and hate crimes are officially condoned. For instance, the home minister of India has called the hapless Bengali migrants “termites”. The 2020 Delhi pogrom was incited by the hate speech of a member of the ruling party.

I appeal to you to pass Resolution *#SFAGAINSSTDETENTIONCAMPS*.

Prof. Dr. Nazeer Ahmed

Former Member, Karnataka Legislative Assembly, India

Former Member, State Knowledge Commission, Bangalore, India

Scientist NASA projects

[dna@delixus.com](mailto:dna@delixus.com)

925-451-3017

Concord, CA

**References: Database of atrocities against Muslims, Christians, Sikhs and Dalits in India:**

<https://dotodatabase.com/>

**From:** [Nazeer Ahmed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORT FOR RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSSTDETENTIONCAMPS, FILE 200771  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 9:48:57 AM  
**Attachments:** [image.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors, The Hon. Gordon.Mar, Norman.Yee, Matt.Haney Sandra.Fewer, Rafael.Mandelman,Aaron.Peskin, Dean.Preston, Hillary.Ronen, Ahsha.Safai, Catherine.Stefani, Shamann.Walton

*Sub: SUPPORT FOR RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSSTDETENTIONCAMPS, FILE 200771  
Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act,  
affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities*

In 1940, Golwalkar, the ideologue of Hindutva wrote these ominous words:

"The non-Hindu people of Hindustan must either adopt Hindu culture and languages, must learn and respect and hold in reverence the Hindu religion, must entertain no idea but of those of glorification of the Hindu race and culture ... in a word they must cease to be foreigners; or may stay in the country, wholly subordinated to the Hindu nation, claiming nothing, deserving no privileges, far less any preferential treatment—not even citizens' rights."

"To keep up the purity of its race and culture, Germany shocked the world by her purging the country of the Semitic races—the Jews. Race pride at its highest has been manifested here, a good lesson for us in Hindustan to learn and profit by".

The current administration in India has now embarked on implementing Golwalkar's chilling vision. In December 2019 the Parliament of India passed the Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA) which blatantly discriminates against Muslims. The newly amended law allows the National Register of Citizens (NRC) to be updated based on religion so as to exclude Muslims. It violates India's international obligations to prevent deprivation of citizenship on the basis of race, color, descent, nation or ethnicity.

India has taken the first step towards preparation of a National Register of Citizens with the National Population Register (NPR). The NPR allows local bureaucrats to mark down as "doubtful" the citizenship of people who have lived in India for generations, sometimes for thousands of years. Anyone whose citizenship is so questioned must then produce papers to prove his/her citizenship. In a country where millions live on footpaths, tens of millions are migrant laborers, where most births take place at home and are not recorded, where hundreds of millions live in poverty and squalor, such documentation simply does not exist. The migrant laborers, women, LBGTQ people and discriminated minorities are particularly vulnerable.

The Citizenship Amendment Act together with the National Register of Citizens and the National Population Register will create millions of stateless persons in India resulting in a massive tragedy in South Asia. It will create an unprecedented refugee crisis at a time when the United Nations is trying to contain the flood of refugees in the world.

Even before the first person has been declared a "foreigner" in states as far south as Karnataka, India is building "detention centers" (concentration camps) for the anticipated influx of non-

citizens. Several are already operational in the state of Assam.

The experience of neighboring Myanmar confirms what can happen if India is not persuaded to desist from its current policies. Until 1938 Myanmar (Burma) was a part of British India. As the territories of modern-day India, Pakistan, Bangladesh and Burma were under the British crown and shared a common central government, a large number of Bengalis migrated to the Rakhine state of Myanmar in search of a livelihood. When Myanmar was severed from British India, the Bengalis found themselves on the wrong side of the border. Decades later, the government of Myanmar declared these Bengalis to be “foreigners” and “non-citizens”. They were bundled together with native Burmese Muslims as “Rohingyas” paving the way for the Rohingya genocide of 2012-17.

The case of Assam in northeastern India supports our position. An application of NCR in that state has resulted in the declaration of 1.9 million people as “non-citizens”. The list includes Muslims, Hindus and Christians alike. The Citizenship Amendment Act of 2019 provides the non-Muslims a path to citizenship, isolating the Muslims alone as “foreigners” tagged as Bangladeshis.

The situation in northeastern India is similar to that in the Rakhine state of Myanmar. The borders of the state of Bengal have shifted five times over the last century: 1906, 1911, 1938, 1947 and 1971. With each shift in the border, the hardworking Bengalis have found themselves on the “wrong” side of shifting borders. *Just as the changing borders led to a genocide of Rohingyas in Myanmar, the current policies of India point towards a massive tragedy in India, this time on a much larger scale.*

Assam has six detention centres in Dibrugarh, Goalpara, Jorhat, Kokrajhar, Silchar and Tezpur.





A Detention Camp in Goalpara, Assam, India. Courtesy: The Hindu (National Daily), India

Violence against Muslims and other minorities in India has shown a sharp spike in recent years. The anti-Sikh riots of 1984, the razing of the Babri Masjid in 1992 and the anti-Muslim pogrom of 2002 in Gujarat are well documented. Muslims and Dalits are lynched on mere rumors that they eat beef and the perpetrators go unpunished. The large-scale anti-Muslim pogrom of February 23-26, 2020 in Delhi killed 54 people and destroyed millions in property including mosques and historical tombs. Muslim men and boys were lynched and thrown into ditches. Muslim homes were singled out and targeted while the neighboring properties of non-Muslims were untouched even as the police looked on.

While anti-minority violence is not new, what is new since 2014 is that hate speech and hate crimes are officially condoned. For instance, the home minister of India has called the hapless Bengali migrants “termites”. The 2020 Delhi pogrom was incited by the hate speech of a member of the ruling party.

I appeal to you to pass Resolution *#SFAGAINSSTDETENTIONCAMPS*.

Prof. Dr. Nazeer Ahmed  
Former Member, Karnataka Legislative Assembly, India  
Former Member, State Knowledge Commission, Bangalore, India  
Scientist NASA projects  
[dna@delixus.com](mailto:dna@delixus.com)  
925-451-3017  
Concord, CA

**References: Database of atrocities against Muslims, Christians, Sikhs and Dalits in India:**  
<https://dotodatabase.com/>

**From:** [Sujaatha Ramni](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:29:22 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

- **Animal flesh is laden with saturated fat and cholesterol**, both of which have been linked to heart disease, cancer, obesity, and diabetes.
- **Animal agriculture is a global disaster.** [According to the Food and Agriculture Organization](#) of the United Nations, livestock production is the leading cause of ocean dead zones, water pollution, species extinction, and habitat destruction, and it creates more greenhouse gas emissions than all the world's transportation systems combined.

Peace for All Animals,  
Ramona Draeger

**From:** [first last](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:35:41 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.



**From:** [Armaan Singh](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:39:21 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of Oakland, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

**Best,**

**Armaan Singh**

**From:** [S.V.](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 12:05:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [U R](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 12:22:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Khurshed Mazhar](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 12:45:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thanks  
Khurshed

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Anil Wagde](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 12:56:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Gulrez Azhar](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 12:58:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Apologies for typos, sent from a mobile device.

**From:** [Dolphin Fish](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 1:08:42 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

Thank You,  
Farhat

**From:** [Sharmin Hossain](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 1:30:44 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Commissioners,

I am an activist and I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thank you,  
Sharmin Hossain

Sent from my iPhone



**From:** [Sharmin Hossain](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 1:31:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Commissioners,

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thank you,  
Sharmin Hossain

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [namita.aggarwal](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 1:33:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Mehnaz Naqvi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 1:52:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [kedar reddy](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 1:52:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi!

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens(NRC) and Citizenship Amendment Act(CAA), affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thank you,  
Kedar Reddy

**From:** [Sangeeta Sarkar](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 2:36:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Sriyandass](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 3:48:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

Regards,  
Sriyan

**From:** [Sriyandass](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 3:51:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm a resident of San Jose. Not San Francisco. Sorry about the mistake.

Regards,  
Sriyan

On Fri, 17 Jul 2020, 15:47 Sriyandass, <[sriyan2494@gmail.com](mailto:sriyan2494@gmail.com)> wrote:

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

Regards,  
Sriyan

**From:** [Nazeer Ahmed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORT FOR RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSSTDETENTIONCAMPS, FILE 200771  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 3:57:39 PM  
**Attachments:** [image.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors, The Hon. Gordon.Mar, Norman.Yee, Matt.Haney Sandra.Fewer, Rafael.Mandelman,Aaron.Peskin, Dean.Preston, Hillary.Ronen, Ahsha.Safai, Catherine.Stefani, Shamann.Walton

*Sub: SUPPORT FOR RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSSTDETENTIONCAMPS, FILE 200771  
Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act,  
affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities*

In 1940, Golwalkar, the ideologue of Hindutva wrote these ominous words:

"The non-Hindu people of Hindustan must either adopt Hindu culture and languages, must learn and respect and hold in reverence the Hindu religion, must entertain no idea but of those of glorification of the Hindu race and culture ... in a word they must cease to be foreigners; or may stay in the country, wholly subordinated to the Hindu nation, claiming nothing, deserving no privileges, far less any preferential treatment—not even citizens' rights."

"To keep up the purity of its race and culture, Germany shocked the world by her purging the country of the Semitic races—the Jews. Race pride at its highest has been manifested here, a good lesson for us in Hindustan to learn and profit by".

The current administration in India has now embarked on implementing Golwalkar's chilling vision. In December 2019 the Parliament of India passed the Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA) which blatantly discriminates against Muslims. The newly amended law allows the National Register of Citizens (NRC) to be updated based on religion so as to exclude Muslims. It violates India's international obligations to prevent deprivation of citizenship on the basis of race, color, descent, nation or ethnicity.

India has taken the first step towards preparation of a National Register of Citizens with the National Population Register (NPR). The NPR allows local bureaucrats to mark down as "doubtful" the citizenship of people who have lived in India for generations, sometimes for thousands of years. Anyone whose citizenship is so questioned must then produce papers to prove his/her citizenship. In a country where millions live on footpaths, tens of millions are migrant laborers, where most births take place at home and are not recorded, where hundreds of millions live in poverty and squalor, such documentation simply does not exist. The migrant laborers, women, LGBTQ people and discriminated minorities are particularly vulnerable.

The Citizenship Amendment Act together with the National Register of Citizens and the National Population Register will create millions of stateless persons in India resulting in a massive tragedy in South Asia. It will create an unprecedented refugee crisis at a time when the United Nations is trying to contain the flood of refugees in the world.

Even before the first person has been declared a "foreigner" in states as far south as Karnataka, India is building "detention centers" (concentration camps) for the anticipated influx of non-citizens. Several are already operational in the state of Assam.



The experience of neighboring Myanmar confirms what can happen if India is not persuaded to desist from its current policies. Until 1938 Myanmar (Burma) was a part of British India. As the territories of modern-day India, Pakistan, Bangladesh and Burma were under the British crown and shared a common central government, a large number of Bengalis migrated to the Rakhine state of Myanmar in search of a livelihood. When Myanmar was severed from British India, the Bengalis found themselves on the wrong side of the border. Decades later, the government of Myanmar declared these Bengalis to be “foreigners” and “non-citizens”. They were bundled together with native Burmese Muslims as “Rohingyas” paving the way for the Rohingya genocide of 2012-17.

The case of Assam in northeastern India supports our position. An application of NCR in that state has resulted in the declaration of 1.9 million people as “non-citizens”. The list includes Muslims, Hindus and Christians alike. The Citizenship Amendment Act of 2019 provides the non-Muslims a path to citizenship, isolating the Muslims alone as “foreigners” tagged as Bangladeshis.

The situation in northeastern India is similar to that in the Rakhine state of Myanmar. The borders of the state of Bengal have shifted five times over the last century: 1906, 1911, 1938, 1947 and 1971. With each shift in the border, the hardworking Bengalis have found themselves on the “wrong” side of shifting borders. *Just as the changing borders led to a genocide of Rohingyas in Myanmar, the current policies of India point towards a massive tragedy in India, this time on a much larger scale.*

Assam has six detention centres in Dibrugarh, Goalpara, Jorhat, Kokrajhar, Silchar and Tezpur.



A Detention Camp in Goalpara, Assam, India. Courtesy: The Hindu (National Daily), India

Violence against Muslims and other minorities in India has shown a sharp spike in recent years. The anti-Sikh riots of 1984, the razing of the Babri Masjid in 1992 and the anti-Muslim pogrom of 2002 in Gujarat are well documented. Muslims and Dalits are lynched on mere rumors that they eat beef and the perpetrators go unpunished. The large-scale anti-Muslim pogrom of February 23-26, 2020 in Delhi killed 54 people and destroyed millions in property including mosques and historical tombs. Muslim men and boys were lynched and thrown into ditches. Muslim homes were singled out and targeted while the neighboring properties of non-Muslims were untouched even as the police looked on.

While anti-minority violence is not new, what is new since 2014 is that hate speech and hate crimes are officially condoned. For instance, the home minister of India has called the hapless Bengali migrants "termites". The 2020 Delhi pogrom was incited by the hate speech of a member of the ruling party.

I appeal to you to pass Resolution *#SFAGAINSSTDETENTIONCAMPS*.

Prof. Dr. Nazeer Ahmed  
Former Member, Karnataka Legislative Assembly, India  
Former Member, State Knowledge Commission, Bangalore, India  
Scientist NASA projects  
[dna@delixus.com](mailto:dna@delixus.com)  
925-451-3017  
Concord, CA

**References: Database of atrocities against Muslims, Christians, Sikhs and Dalits in India:**  
<https://dotodatabase.com/>

**From:** [Prashant Nema](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 3:59:46 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thanks  
Prashant

**From:** [Asif Mohammed](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS (in India)  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 4:15:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable San Francisco Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Asif Mohammed

**From:** [gulrez khan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 4:22:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hope you and family are keeping safe!

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

Thank You

Gulrez

**From:** [M. Jawaid](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 4:23:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Board of Supervisors;

I am a resident of San Francisco/Bay-Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution **Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act**, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote YES on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and **URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Name: M. Jawaid

Danville, CA

**From:** [Naveed Akhter](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 4:32:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,  
Naveed Akhter

**From:** [Jameel Usmani](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 4:56:46 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Ahmed Usmani



**From:** [Irshad Rana](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 6:50:07 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Irshad Rana

**From:** [Asma Ali](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 7:05:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Abdulla Mohammad](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 8:47:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Tazeen Gmail](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 8:58:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and

URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,

Tazeen Ghaznavi

From: [Moina Shaiq](#)  
To: [moina shaiq](#)  
Subject: Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
Date: Friday, July 17, 2020 9:04:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Moina Shaiq

**From:** [Shaheen Rasheed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:06:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Board of Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston, and Shaman Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and

URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,  
Shaheen Rasheed

**From:** [Shaheen Rasheed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:07:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Board of Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston, and Shaman Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Iman Rasheed

**From:** [Aliya Janjua](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 11:53:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Aliya Janjua



**From:** [Munira Shamim](#)  
**To:** [Munira Shamim](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 3:33:42 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Board of Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston, and Shaman Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and

**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Munira Shamim

**From:** [Zahra Murtaza](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Supervisor Mar's Resolution  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 7:23:21 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Zahra Murtaza, Ph.D.

--

Zahra Murtaza, Ph.D.  
Clinical/Community Psychologist  
Graduate of Georgia State University (GSU) (Class of 2020)

**From:** [iazimi@yahoo.com](mailto:iazimi@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 11:45:44 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Imran Azimi

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

**From:** [Abdul Majid Mohammed](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 12:20:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Ismail Mahomed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 1:31:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,

Ismail Mahomed  
San Ramon, CA 94582

**From:** [Anwarbeg Mirza](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 1:32:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Sophia Tareen](#)  
**To:** [Sophia Tareen](#)  
**Subject:** We must support the resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 1:46:48 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

Thank you,

Sophia

--

Sophia Tareen

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/sophiatareen>

[www.sophtar.com](http://www.sophtar.com)

**From:** [Azra Khan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 6:36:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston and fShamann Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,  
Azra Khan, Ph. D. , LMFT



**From:** [Zameer Khan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 6:40:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston and fShamann Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,  
Zameer Khan

\*\*\*\*\* End of email to the  
Supervisors\*\*\*\*\*

Please also contact at least 10 friends and family with a quick phone call telling them about the importance of emailing this MESSAGE. Then send them a copy of this email.

With profound thanks,  
Maimoona

•

•  
•  
•

**From:** [Mohammad Shaig](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 9:40:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Mohammad Shaiq

**From:** [Salma Aziz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 10:18:56 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Salma  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Lalitha Reddy](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 10:37:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thank you,  
Lalitha Reddy  
[lalithareddy711@gmail.com](mailto:lalithareddy711@gmail.com)

**From:** [Syed Salman Qadri](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 18, 2020 10:49:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Rizwan Khan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 8:05:41 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Rizwan Khan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 8:06:11 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.



**From:** [Ala Din](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting resolution SFAGAINST DETENTION CAMOS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 8:09:59 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Dr. Ala Din

**From:** [Yasmeen Fatimah](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 9:11:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely,  
Yasmeen Fatimah

**From:** [ashfaqimran@yahoo.com](mailto:ashfaqimran@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 9:21:50 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Ashfaq Syed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 9:22:11 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Tahseen Adeeb](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 9:34:58 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Tahseen Adeeb

**From:** [Wasim Ahmed Shaikh](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:13:48 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Wasim Ahmed Shaikh  
Sent From Cellphone.  
Please excuse any error.

**From:** [Fadi Saba](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** India's anti-Muslim law  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:58:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

July 19, 2020

Dear Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors;

This letter is to urge you to support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming San Francisco as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. Though I'm not a resident of San Francisco itself (I reside in SJ), The City is a critical trailblazer for other municipalities in the Bay Area, who often follow your lead. And as such, I ask you to support the resolution opposing India's racist Citizenship Amendment Act. This is critical as The City is known to welcome all people with open arms. And this would send a strong message that people should not be treated as second class citizens. Some may say that this is a foreign matter that a municipality should not involve herself in. However, one only needs to look at the history of The City's past resolutions of solidarity, including those regarding Central America. Those past resolutions have made a difference to many. In fact, this resolution follows the saying goes, Think Globally, Act Locally.

Further, the actions by the Indian government impact Indo-Americans that live in San Francisco; making this a domestic matter. Many, along with their families, would become stateless, as that would be the result of the Citizenship Amendment Act. It is important that you vote YES to support the passage of this critical human rights resolution which will show that The City stands with progressives throughout The City and the Bay Area in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia, detention camps, and human rights abuses. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

Please join Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai and support this wonderful statement of solidarity against human rights abuses. I urge you to vote YES this Tuesday.

PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Fadi Saba  
History teacher  
San Jose, California

--

*Fadi Saba*



**From:** [Elliot Helman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** support the resolution against India's citizenship amendment act  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 11:32:02 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco (Mission Bay), and I am asking you to support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste-oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the President Modi and the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population. It is important for the Supervisors to vote YES on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will stand for justice and continue to be a welcoming city.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

I thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

elliott helman  
SF 94158

**From:** [Shad Ahmad](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 11:46:32 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Regards  
Shad R Ahmad

**From:** [saleem.rehman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 11:50:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Saleem rehman

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

**From:** [Javed Mohammed](#)  
**To:** [Javed Mohammed](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 12:04:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

Hi,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.

javed mohammed

**From:** [Lubna Hasanain](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support Resolution#SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS(in india)  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 12:12:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Board of Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston, and Shaman Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY  
CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Dr. Lubna Hasanain. MD, MPH

**From:** [A Mirza](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support SF Resolution #200771 #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 12:18:42 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the SF Board of Supervisors:

I am a resident of San Francisco/Bay Area and I support Supervisor Gordon Mar's Resolution opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act and affirming San Francisco as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. We are excited that San Francisco is on it's way to becoming the 6th American city that will pass a resolution condemning India's Islamophobic Citizenship Amendment Act this Tuesday, July 21<sup>st</sup>, 2020.

For months, Bay Area Muslims and Allies have worked to get this resolution passed, to affirm San Francisco's commitment to stand in solidarity with India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. This resolution is major - as there is a large Muslim, Dalit, and Sikh community in the Bay Area.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston and Shamann Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION. PLEASE ADD MY  
CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Sincerely yours,  
Asif Mirza

**From:** [ishaq\\_syed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 12:28:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco City council members,

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thanks  
Ishaq

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

**From:** [Zabi Ahmed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 12:52:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Zabi Khan  
Sent from my iPhone



**From:** [Rafi Shaik](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:01:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Anjum Tanveer](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:07:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Anjum Tanveer  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Omair Farooqui](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Omair Farooqui](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:16:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps.

There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Respectfully submitted,  
Omair M. Farooqui  
Palo Alto Legal Group, PC  
1023 Corporation Way, 2nd Floor  
Palo Alto, CA 94306  
D: 408.579.1281  
F: 408.886.9468

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Hafsa Farooqui](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:23:20 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sincerely,  
Hafsa Farooqui  
Ph: 562.833.7177

**From:** [Shakeel Masood](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:25:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thanks,  
Shakeel Masood  
San Francisco Bay resident since 1984

**From:** [Saleha Farooqui](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:27:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Edris Marof](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:28:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Edris Marof  
510-599-9773  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Yama Achikzai](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS (in India)  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:33:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Board of Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston, and Shaman Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Yama and Lubna Achikzai



**From:** [Salim Akhtar](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:40:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thank You  
Salim Akhtar

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [tafzeel urrahman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:44:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thanks,

Tafzeel

**From:** [waqar roomani](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:47:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [waqar roomani](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:48:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Mahammad Ghouse Shaik \(V\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:48:50 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Ghouse](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:50:02 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Navaid Asad](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:50:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [yameen patel](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 2:12:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)



**From:** [Ali Ahmed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 2:28:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [syed khadarvali](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 2:39:00 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Syed Razzaque](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 2:45:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Syed M. Razzaque

**From:** [Akhtar Hussain](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:14:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Regards,  
Akhtar

**From:** [Mohammad Afzal](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:15:37 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Regards  
Mohammad Afzal  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Wajid Jalaldin](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:17:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Sabba Maqbool](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:32:56 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Sabba Maqbool.

**From:** [Junaid Ansari](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:43:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone



**From:** [Rifakat Saiyed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:43:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Sharp Ssi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:44:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Shoaib Shaikh](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:44:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Naeem Raza](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:44:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Mahamed yunus Saiyed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:46:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Yunus Saiyed

**From:** [Wahaj Syed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:53:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support the City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens(NRC) and Citizenship Amendment Act(CAA), affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's minorities and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Kamran Memon](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:57:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Mustafa Husaini](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:58:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thanks

Syed  
Bay Area



**From:** [Usman Choudhery](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:06:56 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Abdul Diwan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:19:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thx,  
Abdul L. Diwan

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Tanzim Siddiqui](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:30:26 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [M.S](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:30:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

**From:** [Farooque Sayed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:33:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [aamirg](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:36:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

July 19, 2020

Dear Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors;

This letter is to urge you to support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming San Francisco as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. This is critical as The City is known to welcome all people with open arms. We can send a strong message that people should not be treated as second class citizens. Some may say that this is a foreign matter that a municipality should not involve herself in. However, one only needs to look at the history of The City's past resolutions of solidarity, including those regarding Central America. Those past resolutions have made a difference to many. In fact, this resolution follows the saying goes, Think Globally, Act Locally.

Further, the actions by the Indian government impact Indo-Americans that live in San Francisco; making this a domestic matter. Many, along with their families, would become stateless, as that would be the result of the Citizenship Amendment Act. It is important that you vote YES to support the passage of this critical human rights resolution which will show that The City stands with progressives throughout The City and the Bay Area in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia, detention camps, and human rights abuses. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

Please join Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai and support this wonderful statement of solidarity against human rights abuses. I urge you to vote YES this Tuesday.

PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

**From:** [aamirq](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:37:20 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

July 19, 2020

Dear Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors;

This letter is to urge you to support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming San Francisco as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. Though I'm not a resident of San Francisco itself (I reside in SJ), The City is a critical trailblazer for other municipalities in the Bay Area, who often follow your lead. And as such, I ask you to support the resolution opposing India's racist Citizenship Amendment Act. This is critical as The City is known to welcome all people with open arms. And this would send a strong message that people should not be treated as second class citizens. Some may say that this is a foreign matter that a municipality should not involve herself in. However, one only needs to look at the history of The City's past resolutions of solidarity, including those regarding Central America. Those past resolutions have made a difference to many. In fact, this resolution follows the saying goes, Think Globally, Act Locally.

Further, the actions by the Indian government impact Indo-Americans that live in San Francisco; making this a domestic matter. Many, along with their families, would become stateless, as that would be the result of the Citizenship Amendment Act. It is important that you vote YES to support the passage of this critical human rights resolution which will show that The City stands with progressives throughout The City and the Bay Area in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia, detention camps, and human rights abuses. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

Please join Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai and support this wonderful statement of solidarity against human rights abuses. I urge you to vote YES this Tuesday.

PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Aamir Qureshy

**From:** [Maverick Calibre](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:40:37 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.



**From:** [Ahsan Khan Mohammed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:49:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sincerely Thanking You  
Ahsan Khan

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

**From:** [Fayaz Shaik](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:51:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Marâ€™s Resolution Opposing Indiaâ€™s Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP governmentâ€™s process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Mahjabeen Syed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPs  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 4:53:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

---

Sponsored by [https://www.newser.com/?utm\\_source=part&utm\\_medium=uol&utm\\_campaign=rss\\_taglines\\_more](https://www.newser.com/?utm_source=part&utm_medium=uol&utm_campaign=rss_taglines_more)

The Arab World Has a New First

<http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL3131/5f14dcb51c9a85cb40613st04vuc1>

Guy Accused of Beheading His Boss Had 'Mystery' Date

<http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL3131/5f14dcb53cd945cb40613st04vuc2>

'It's Up to Us': Workers Have to Track Virus Cases

<http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL3131/5f14dcb55d9225cb40613st04vuc3>

**From:** [Latief Bazaz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:00:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisors,

I am a resident of the San Francisco Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Latief Bazaz  
2028 Feathermint Dr.  
San Ramon, CA 94582

**From:** [Fauzia jalali](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:02:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and

**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Fauzia J.

**From:** [Mateen M](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:05:25 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Mateen M](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:05:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Sofheem](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:23:46 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thanks  
Sofheem



**From:** [Shoaib Mohammed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:29:44 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Mohd Aslam](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:32:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Mukarram Baig](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:52:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Farha Andrabi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:55:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Farha Andrabi Navaid

**From:** [Keerthy Reddy](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:55:50 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Pearson Paradise](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** upporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 6:01:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston and fShamann Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,  
Brenda Stadnik

**From:** [kafeel](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 6:07:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Azzam Qureshi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 6:18:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.



**From:** [A A](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 6:28:46 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [A A](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 6:29:48 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Lubna H](#)  
**To:** [Lubna.Zargar@gmail.com](mailto:Lubna.Zargar@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 6:32:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Lubna Zargar

**From:** [AyeshCream](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 6:45:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS  
RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE  
200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Mohammad Asif Alvi

**From:** [Zeenat Ghouse](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 7:08:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [A Raza](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 7:09:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Haamid Ali](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 7:11:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Haamid Ali

**From:** [Akheel Mukhtar](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 7:15:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone



**From:** [Ayub H. Patel](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 7:28:48 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [sbrazza61@gmail.com](mailto:sbrazza61@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 7:43:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [sbraz61@gmail.com](mailto:sbraz61@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 7:44:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Syed Babar Raza  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Farhan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 8:02:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Nausheen Haque](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 8:06:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my Huawei Mobile

**From:** [Junaid Hussain](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 8:17:25 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Junaid Hussain  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [mushtaq syed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 9:13:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [mushtaq.a.syed786](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 9:17:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.



**From:** [mushtaq syed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 9:24:42 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Dear Gentlemen and Gentlewomen:**

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sincerely,

Mushtaq A. Syed

**From:** [Najam Mirza Baig](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 9:31:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims , Christians, Dalits and any other caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thank you  
Mirza

**From:** [American Muslim Community](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS (in India)  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 9:48:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston, and Shaman Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
John Hayward

**From:** [omar.siddiqui@gmail.com](mailto:omar.siddiqui@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 9:56:44 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thank you for your humanity

Omar

**From:** [Deborah Duarte](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:01:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Zahid Patel](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:01:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Best Regards,  
Zahid

**From:** [Anjum Tanveer](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:05:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

--

Best regards,  
Anjum Tanveer | m +1.408.306.6165 | [Anjum.Tanveer@gmail.com](mailto:Anjum.Tanveer@gmail.com)

**From:** [sana siddiqui](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:13:26 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.



**From:** [saikat bhattacharya](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:21:50 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Sincerely,  
-Saikat Bhattacharya

**From:** [Mus S](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:22:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Syed Kalamuddin](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:26:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar%20's Resolution Opposing India%20's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government%20's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

**From:** [Ansar SR](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:32:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Sobia Jamali](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:32:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston and Shamann Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE  
ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Dr Sobia Sultan

**From:** [Shahid Siddiqui](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:36:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Shahid Siddiqui](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:37:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Salman pansare](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:40:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)



**From:** [nazim\\_newyork@yahoo.com](mailto:nazim_newyork@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:53:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [ibrahim9080](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:58:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

**From:** [Rizwan Merchant](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 11:58:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [M. Yasir Y. Sheikh](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Sunday, July 19, 2020 11:59:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Nighat](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:17:02 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Sakib Mehasanewala](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:32:40 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [asif rahman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:42:48 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Asif Rahman

**From:** [Mumtaz Ali](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 1:21:48 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone



**From:** [Abdul Khan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 3:22:19 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thanks  
-Abdul

**From:** [Zubair Ahmed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 7:08:41 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Zubair Ahmed

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Afshan Nahvi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Resolution  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:01:18 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,

Afshan Rizvi  
San Ramon, CA  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Meghana Nallajerla](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:16:54 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

Best,

Meghana Nallajerla  
*[she/her/hers]*

**From:** [Jag Khakh](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:18:11 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [chaitanya diwadkar](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:22:57 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of San Francisco, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

Sincerely,

Chaitanya Diwadkar

**From:** [Sangeeta Sarkar](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:32:07 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Aftab Umar](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:41:49 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,

Aftab UMAR



**From:** [Raju Rajagopal](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [HfHR-Active-members@googlegroups.com](mailto:HfHR-Active-members@googlegroups.com)  
**Subject:** Please Vote Yes to Resolution Opposing India's Unconstitutional Citizenship Laws  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 8:57:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



6456 Mystic St, Oakland, CA 94618. Tel: 1-510-318-4332

July 20, 2020

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors;

We are writing to urge you to support Supervisor Mar's resolution opposing India's discriminatory and unconstitutional National Register of Citizens (NRC) and Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA), affirming San Francisco as a welcoming city that support the rights of all minorities.

**Hindus for Human Rights** is a US-based advocacy organization dedicated to speaking up for the human rights of all communities in India, the U.S., and beyond. We have presence in several cities in the U.S., including the SF Bay Area, and we also have ground presence in India.

One of the areas of our work in India has been to keep close track of the NRC project from its very inception, to the point where its implementation in the state of Assam left 1.9 million people stateless – simply because they could not meet the onerous documents required to prove their presence in India.

The NRC process turned out to be so arbitrary that sometimes within the same family, one sibling was admitted and the other rejected; at other time, parents were in, but the children were not, etc. The process has been particularly harsh on women headed households, as one can imagine.

The worst part of the Assam outcome was that, contrary to what the BJP government had expected, more than half of those rejected were Hindus!

That was the genesis of the Modi government's Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA), which was quickly enacted under the guise of offering asylum to persecuted minorities from neighboring countries. But the real intent of the CAA was to devise a way to allow only Hindus and others of the 1.9 million excluded to remain in India, while all Muslims were to

remain in limbo and potentially sent to detention camps -- which could be the death warrant for many of them, especially the aged and the infirm.

It is the CAA and the so called National Population Register (NPR), the first stage of the NRC, which are now being planned for all of India.

Knowing the outcomes in Assam, this plan is seen as an existential threat by over 190 million Muslim citizens of India, who fear that they may be next to be made stateless in their own country of birth, by a government that has made no secret of wanting to establish a Hindu majoritarian state.

Today, under the cover of COVID-19 lock-downs, the Indian government is going about systematically hounding and arresting many of those who participated in peaceful protests against the CAA, using laws that now allow any individual to be declared a terrorist or even “causing disaffection against the government.” The space for dissent and press freedoms are shrinking fast.

We provide here a link to the just released fact-finding report by the Delhi Minorities Commission detailing how the state and the police machinery have been filing charges against the victims, while the real offenders are continuing their provocations with immunity: [Report of state complicity in Delhi](#)

This is the context in which we feel that American lawmakers, all the way from the Congress to City Councils must raise their voices against the dangerous path that a fellow democratic country and America’s friend is on under the leadership of the BJP’s Modi government.

Please join Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai and support their statement of solidarity against human rights abuses in India. We urge you to vote YES this Tuesday.

Best Regards,

Raju Rajagopal  
Co-founder, [www.hindusforhumanrights.org](http://www.hindusforhumanrights.org)

**From:** [Nadeem Z](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:00:39 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Board of Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston, and Shaman Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Nadeem Zafar

**From:** [Saif Ahmed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support SF Resolution #200771  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:47:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the SF Board of Supervisors:

I am a resident of San Francisco and I support Supervisor Gordon Mar's Resolution opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act and affirming San Francisco as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. We are excited that San Francisco is on its way to becoming the 6th American city that will pass a resolution condemning India's Islamophobic Citizenship Amendment Act this Tuesday, July 21<sup>st</sup>, 2020.

For months, Bay Area Muslims and Allies have worked to get this resolution passed, to affirm San Francisco's commitment to stand in solidarity with India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. This resolution is major - as there is a large Muslim, Dalit, and Sikh community in the Bay Area.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston and Shamann Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION. PLEASE ADD MY  
CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Sincerely yours,  
Saif Ahmed

**From:** [preeti](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:48:49 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution.

Thanks

Preeti

**From:** [Amalcrespo](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: against detention camps  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:59:30 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)  
[Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org](mailto:Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org)  
[Norman.Yee@sfgov.org](mailto:Norman.Yee@sfgov.org)  
[Matt.Haney@sfgov.org](mailto:Matt.Haney@sfgov.org)  
[Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org](mailto:Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org)  
[Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org](mailto:Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org)  
[Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org)  
[Dean.Preston@sfgov.org](mailto:Dean.Preston@sfgov.org)  
[Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org)  
[Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org](mailto:Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org)  
[Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org)  
[Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org](mailto:Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org)

MESSAGE:

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston and fShamann Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Amal Crespo

[amalcrespo@yahoo.com](mailto:amalcrespo@yahoo.com)

**From:** [Anita Zafar](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:05:23 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

Honorable San Francisco Board of Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston, and Shaman Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION**  
and **PLEASE ADD**  
**MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Anita Zafar



**From:** [Kimberly Young](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:12:50 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston and Shamann Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,  
Dr. Kimberly A. Young

**From:** [Khalid Raza](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:16:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone  
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

**From:** [Swati Rayasam](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 10:26:27 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

My name is Swati Rayasam, I am a co-coordinator for the Alliance of South Asians Taking Action (a progressive South Asian organization), and a resident of Berkeley.

I am emailing you because I wholeheartedly support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste-oppressed communities.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral project by India's current ruling party, the BJP, which is the process of disenfranchising the most vulnerable in society (under the guise of efficiency) and creating a stateless population. It is important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. When [genocidal campaigns](#) begin, international condemnation has always proven an important intervention.

The Bay Area has always been a refuge for many survivors of genocide from all over South Asia and the world. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community, and to other immigrant communities who are currently under attack from the Trump Administration, that San Francisco will continue to open its doors to the most vulnerable. I urge you to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

Best,  
Swati Rayasam

**From:** [Zafir Shaig](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 11:03:02 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [SALIM MASTAN](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supervisors Mars resolution  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:04:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thanks and regards  
Salim Mastan

**From:** [SALIM MASTAN](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPs  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:09:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support supervisor Mar's resolution

Thanks and regards  
Salim Mastan

**From:** [jaweed Mohammed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:12:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Thanks

Jaweed Mohammed

Bay area chapter president -IAMC (Indian American Muslim Council)

**From:** [Ather- Yahoo](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:28:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone



**From:** [Sadia Saifuddin](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:36:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To our SF Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of the San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area their home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and **URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,  
Sadia Saifuddin

--

Sadia Saifuddin  
[saif.sadia10@gmail.com](mailto:saif.sadia10@gmail.com) l (510) 421-9096

Make miracles, not excuses.

**From:** [M.AM](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 12:48:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar%20's Resolution Opposing India%20's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government%20's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

**From:** [Kanak](#)  
**To:** [Kanak Natarajan](#); [Board of Supervisors](#) (BOS); [Mar, Gordon](#) (BOS); [Haney, Matt](#) (BOS); [Fewer, Sandra](#) (BOS); [Mandelman, Rafael](#) (BOS); [Peskin, Aaron](#) (BOS); [Preston, Dean](#) (BOS); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha](#) (BOS); [Stefani, Catherine](#) (BOS); [Walton, Shamann](#) (BOS); [Yee, Norman](#) (BOS)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 1:13:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello The leaders of the great San Francisco City - Greetings!!

I am a resident of Pleasanton, CA - US citizen. I'm also a former citizen of India and born in a Dalit community (*called 'untouchables' according to the CASTE oppressed Indian social order system*). I work in the great city of San Francisco's and I often call it my second home city.

I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities members like me and my family.

The Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA) together with "[flawed](#)" two other acts named National Population Register (NPR) and National Register of Citizens (NRC) are an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population. It is important for the Supervisors to "**VOTE YES**" on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. Even the on-going Covid19 pandemic in India and elsewhere did not deter them from halting these inhuman actions. In fact, they used this pandemic situation to [silently jailing](#) many organizers of CAA/NRC/NPC protests including a [27yrs old pregnant organizer](#).

There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to **file 200771**.

Huge thank you for your support and solidarity in advance !

Regards

Kanak Natarajan

Email: [nkanakaraj@gmail.com](mailto:nkanakaraj@gmail.com)

**From:** [Hala Hijazi](#)  
**To:** [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Yu, Angelina \(BOS\)](#); [Fregosi, Ian \(BOS\)](#); [Boilard, Chelsea \(BOS\)](#); [Herzstein, Daniel \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [Snyder, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [RivamonteMesa, Abigail \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Maybaum, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Temprano, Tom \(BOS\)](#); [Monge, Paul \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Sandoval, Suhagey \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** TOMORROW: REQUEST: SUPPORT RESOLUTION #200771: #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 1:17:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Thank you for your public service and sacrifices to lead and to protect our City of St. Francis. Our country remains in the clutches of structural racism, white supremacy and a racial caste system that has led to the systemic oppression of non-white people, mass incarceration, destruction of families and communities, and murder of many innocent and vulnerable Americans. We all must stand together against and try to stop any injustices: at home and abroad.

I want to commend and thank **Supervisor Gordon Mar** for his [Resolution #200771](#) opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act and affirming San Francisco as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. On behalf of the SF Muslim Community, we are grateful for his leadership and support.

Also, thank you to the following Supervisors for Co-Sponsoring Resolution #200771: Supervisors **Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Matt Haney, Shamann Walton, Dean Preston**. We are grateful.

We are excited that San Francisco is on it's way to becoming the **6th American city** that will pass a resolution condemning India's Islamophobic Citizenship Amendment Act.

The vote will take place **tomorrow, Tuesday, July 21<sup>st</sup>, 2020**.

For months, San Francisco and Bay Area Muslims and Allies have worked to mobilize resources to get us here, to affirm San Francisco's commitment to stand in solidarity with India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. This resolution is major - as there is a large Muslim, Dalit, and Sikh community in San Francisco and Bay Area.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of India's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the San Francisco and Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

I respectfully urge **ALL of YOU to Co-Sponsor** and to **VOTE YES** on this Resolution #200771.

To be added as a co-sponsor, please email **Daisy Quan** at [Daisy.Quan@sfgov.org](mailto:Daisy.Quan@sfgov.org).

On behalf of the SF Muslim Community, we are grateful for your continued leadership and support, especially during these difficult times.

Please add my correspondence to file 200771.

With gratitude,

Hala Hijazi

Resident of San Francisco, District 2

Board of Directors, San Francisco Interfaith Council

Commissioner, Human Rights Commission, CCSF

Board of Directors, SF Muslim Community Center, District 11

**From:** [Mohsin Imam \(محسن امام\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 1:52:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Mohsin Imam

**From:** [Raihan Zaidi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 2:37:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of the San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps.

There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Raihan Zaidi



**From:** [Eswar Reddy](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 3:28:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [varmanenator@gmail.com](mailto:varmanenator@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 3:55:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Shakthi Jayavelu  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Stevens, Peter \(REG\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** BSC draft digest for the Removing Citizenship Requirements for Members of City Bodies measure  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:16:46 PM  
**Attachments:** [City.Bodies.Draft.Digest - EDIT GIRARDIN.pdf](#)

---

Hello measure proponents,

Attached is the Ballot Simplification Committee's first draft of the Removing Citizenship Requirements for Members of City Bodies measure digest. This is the draft that the Committee will use as a starting point during our meeting tomorrow. The meeting will start at 9am, and this charter amendment is the first item on the agenda. More information can be found on our website here, <https://sfelections.sfgov.org/ballot-simplification-committee-information-%E2%80%93-november-3-2020-general-election>.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Best,

Peter Stevens  
[Peter.Stevens@sfgov.org](mailto:Peter.Stevens@sfgov.org)  
Candidate and Voter Support Manager  
San Francisco Department of Elections  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall, Room 48  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Office: (415) 554-4375  
Cell: (415) 553-0712  
[sfelections.org](http://sfelections.org)



Follow the Department of Elections on [Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#)!

Your feedback is important to us! Please take our [Customer Service Survey](#).

-

## **Removing Citizenship Requirements for Members of City Bodies\***

**Digest** by the Ballot Simplification Committee

**Status:** Draft for Consideration  
**On:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020  
**Members:** Packard, Anderson, Girardin, Patterson

**Deadline to Request Reconsideration:** TBD

---

**The Way It Is Now:** The City government includes many boards, commissions, and advisory bodies (City Bodies). In general, City Bodies are created through either the City's Charter or an ordinance.

People who serve on City Bodies created through the Charter must be registered to vote in San Francisco, unless the Charter sets a different rule. To register to vote in San Francisco, a person must be a United States citizen, a San Francisco resident and of voting age, and cannot be in prison, be on parole for a felony conviction or have been found mentally incompetent to vote by a court.

People who serve on City Bodies created through an ordinance are required to be registered to vote in San Francisco unless:

- The Board of Supervisors has removed the requirement; or
- The public official making the appointment to a City Body waives the San Francisco residency requirement because a qualified San Francisco resident could not be found.

People who serve on all City Bodies, whether created through the Charter or an ordinance, must be U.S. citizens.

**The Proposal:** Proposition \_\_ would remove the requirement that a person must be a registered voter and a U.S. citizen to serve on any City Body.

Proposition \_\_ would still require that members of City Bodies created through the Charter be old enough to vote in City elections and be San Francisco residents, unless the Charter sets a different rule for a particular City Body.

For City Bodies created through an ordinance, the Board of Supervisors could remove the voting age or residency requirements or the official making the appointment could waive these requirements if a person meeting those requirements cannot be found.

**A "YES" Vote Means:** If you vote "yes," you want to amend the City's Charter to remove the requirement that people serving on the City's boards, commissions, and advisory bodies generally must be U.S. citizens and registered voters.

**A "NO" Vote Means:** If you vote "no," you do not want to make this change.

*\*Working title, for identification only. The Director of Elections determines the title of each local ballot measure; measure titles are not considered during Ballot Simplification Committee meetings.*

**From:** [Mohammad Sheikh](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:24:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [alaljibury@yahoo.com](mailto:alaljibury@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS (in India)  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:39:42 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Honorable San Francisco Supervisors

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
**URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and  
PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.**

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Abdullatif Aljibury

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Mohammad Hanif](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 4:46:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

I thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Mohammad Hanif

**From:** [Amina Zohra Abid](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 5:33:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

I am a resident of the San Francisco Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Dean Preston and Ahsha Safai for co-sponsoring this Resolution and URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you,  
Amina Abid  
Hayward, CA Resident



**From:** [Karthikeyan Shanmugam](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:48:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco (or your city), and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you as a constituent to co-sponsor this resolution, and please add my correspondence to file 200771.

regards  
S Karthikeyan

**From:** [Aftab Usmani](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 200771 [Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act]  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 12:38:43 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Members,  
India;s new citizenship amendment act(CAA) is discriminatory and is designed to make India's 200 million population stateless in their country of birth. I would like you to adopt this resolution and condemn this atrocious law. SF has history of standing up for just causes and this is one of them. San Francisco should stand up for India's religious minorities just as other progressive cities like Seattle has done it.

Thanks  
Aftab

**From:** [Mohtashim Syed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 2:42:54 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Respected Board of Supervisors,

I moved to the city in year 2000. I am writing to let you know my support for City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Regards,  
Syed

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Syeda Reshma Inamdar](#)  
**To:** [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support SF Resolution #200771 #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPs  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 8:58:02 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the SF Board of Supervisors:

I am a resident of San Francisco Bay Area and I support Supervisor Gordon Mar's Resolution opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act and affirming San Francisco as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. We are excited that San Francisco is on its way to becoming the 6th American city that will pass a resolution condemning India's undemocratic and bigoted Citizenship Amendment Act this Tuesday, July 21<sup>st</sup>, 2020.

For months, Bay Area Muslims and Allies have worked to get this resolution passed, to affirm San Francisco's commitment to stand in solidarity with India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. This resolution is critical as there is a large Muslim, Dalit, and Sikh community in the Bay Area.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia, religious and caste bigotry, and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney, Aaron Peskin, Ahsha Safai, Dean Preston and Shamann Walton for co-sponsoring this Resolution and

URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION. PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE

200771.

Sincerely yours,

**Syeda R Inamdar**

**From:** [Angana CHATTERJI](#)  
**To:** [Mar. Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Support of Resolution #200771\_Opposing India"s National Register of Citizens & Citizenship Amendment Act  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 9:00:53 AM  
**Attachments:** [Support of Resolution #200771\\_AChatterji.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the SF Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco and write in support of Resolution #200771. Enclosed, as attachment, please find a statement outlining the reasons for my support. Thank you ever so much for your action.

Please accept my well wishes.

My best regards,  
Angana

<https://www.crg.berkeley.edu/angana-chatterji/>

---

Angana Chatterji, PhD  
Research Anthropologist  
Co-chair, Initiative on Political Conflict, Gender and People's Rights  
Center for Race and Gender  
University of California, Berkeley

[achatterji@berkeley.edu](mailto:achatterji@berkeley.edu) | +1.415.640.4013 (mobile)  
<https://crg.berkeley.edu/research/political-conflict-gender-and-peoples-rights-initiative/>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This transmission, and any attachments thereto, may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, or the person responsible for delivery to the intended recipient, you must not disclose, disseminate, distribute or copy any of the information in this e-mail, including attachments hereto. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail and permanently delete the original copy and any printout thereof. Thank you.

**To: San Francisco Board of Supervisors**

From:

Dr. Angana Chatterji

Co-chair, Political Conflict, Gender and People's Rights Initiative

Center for Race and Gender

University of California, Berkeley

July 21, 2020

**Re: Supporting Resolution #200771 Opposing India's National Register of Citizens & Citizenship Amendment Act**

Dear Members of the SF Board of Supervisors:

I am a resident of San Francisco and write in support of Resolution #200771. Please allow me to elaborate on the reasons for my support.

The Hindu Right's popular re-election in May 2019 has brought about the reign of majoritarian fascists in India today. Following the elections, the Narendra Modi-led Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) national government sought to aggressively further the ascendance of the majority population and the relegation of non-Hindus as secondary subjects. Inherently Brahmanical and hetero-patriarchal, this illiberal new order incorporates four features: populism, nationalism, authoritarianism, and majoritarianism. There is abject disregard for social facts, secular institutions, and the rule of law. Heightened Islamophobia and the targeting of reasoned dissent as 'anti-national' have eroded India's already conflicted democracy.

The actions of government, judiciary, state forces, and Hindu Right mobs and militia have fractured the identity and community, material culture, psychosocial well-being, livelihood and belonging of religious minorities and other marginalized communities. Muslims in India, numbering over 160 million, are rendered exceedingly vulnerable through systemic mistreatment, social and economic boycott, massified violence, gendered and sexualized violence, and lynching, and the reordering of citizenship laws.

The advance of majoritarianism in India has correspondingly impacted Kashmir. On August 5, 2019, the Indian state lay siege on Kashmir, nullifying Article 370 and revoking Article 35A of the Indian Constitution, to disestablish the state of Jammu and Kashmir. Article 35A, the Permanent Residents Law, defined Kashmiri rights over land, critical to Kashmiri self-professed struggles for self-determination.

### **Injurious Citizenship Laws**

Reordering citizenship, commenced in Assam, is scheduled for implementation across India. These laws fortify legal discrimination on the basis of religion. "Citizenship" laws in India today aim to determine to whom political and civil rights may be accorded. They are akin to the Nuremberg Laws instituted in September 1935 in Nazi Germany. The Nuremberg Laws had paralyzing and devastating impact on the social, cultural, political and economic life of the Jewish community. Germans overwhelmingly acquiesced to Nazi propaganda legitimating the laws. The popularization of Jews as a separate and inferior race had far-reaching impact. Further, to oppose or resist the Nazis inevitably incurred the rage of the Gestapo, the German secret police during Nazi rule.

On August 31, 2019, the National Register of Citizens (NRC) was "updated" in Assam. It excluded 1.9 million persons, placing their citizenship rights and protections at risk, and may render such persons stateless. While those excluded can appeal to the Foreigners Tribunal, the process is complex. Of those excluded, reportedly, 486,000 are Muslims, 500,000-690,000 are Bengalis of Hindu descent, along with tribal (indigenous), ethnic and minority communities. Reports evidence how valid documentation of

residency was rejected. Reportedly, immigration detention centers (also spoken of as labor and concentration camps) are being constructed to imprison those deemed “illegal immigrants.”

Enacted in December 2019, the Citizenship (Amendment) Act of 2019 (CAA) establishes new naturalization and citizenship procedures for undocumented immigrants, including from Buddhist, Christian, Hindu, Jain, Parsi, and Sikh communities. Muslims, Adivasis (tribal) and Tamils are excluded. Home Minister Amit Shah has stated that the NRC would be implemented to expel those deemed illegal immigrants, using the pejorative term “infiltrators.” The National Population Register (NPR) is a database of all “usual residents,” citizen and non-citizen. In December 2019, the Indian Cabinet approved funds for “updating the National Population Register (NPR) across [India], beyond Assam.” The mandatory update is scheduled between April-September 2020. The National Population Register is the first step to creating a National Register of Citizens. The CAA, NPR, NRC and other existing laws such as the Enemy Property Act (1968) are utilized with impunity to privilege Hindus in defining citizenship.

Since December 2019, peaceful civil society protests (with few exceptions) have swept across India, dissenting the citizenship laws. In authoritarian display, state forces and Hindu Right activists perpetrated violence against the protesters (at Shaheen Bagh, Jamia Milia University, Jawaharlal Nehru University). As of December 27, 2019, 27 civilians had been killed across India and criminal charges were brought against 1,200 persons for participating in a candlelight march in Aligarh. Muslim communities, students, media persons, scholars, public intellectuals, lawyers, artists are being targeted. Free speech protesting the state’s actions is deemed seditious, and indiscriminately held actionable.

Between February 24-28, 2020, Muslims in Delhi were beset by violence. Armed Hindutva mobs surrounded Muslim homes, torched property with people inside, attacking Muslims and allies. As in Gujarat 2002, mobs in Delhi knew which houses to target. News media reported on the inaction and complicity of the police and the participation of BJP leaders in inciting violence. Some reportage used fictive equivalencies in outlining the impact on “Hindus and Muslims,” failing to underscore vast disparities in relations of power between them. As of March 6, 53 persons had died. Politically and purposively, Delhi 2020 is perhaps the continuation of the pogrom in Gujarat in 2002 under Mr. Modi’s chief minister-ship. This prolonged persecution was routed through episodic violence in Odisha, 2007-2008, against Christians, Dalits, and Adivasis, and in Muzaffarnagar, 2013, against Muslims.

On June 28, 2020, United Nations human rights experts made a statement on the arrest of 11 anti-CAA protesters, noting that: “their arrest seems clearly designed to send a chilling message to India’s vibrant civil society that criticism of government policies will not be tolerated.” On July 1, 2020, invoking the Recovery of Damages to Public and Private Property Ordinance (2020), Uttar Pradesh witnessed the seizure of property of persons participating in anti-CAA protests, who were accused of vandalizing public property.

The rage and arrogance that fuel the Modi-state draws lifeblood from weaponizing religion and demonizing difference. The partisan state unfolds in varying registers, constitutive of states of exception without-end. In Mr. Modi’s book, *Jyotipunj* (2008), the essay, ‘Pujniya Shri Gururji’ (guru worthy of worship), extols early Hindu Right ideologue, Madhav Sadashiv Golwalkar’s vision. In 2017, the BJP-led government used state bodies to generate public praise for Golwalkar, whereby he was positioned as a “champion of robust nationalism.” Golwalkar professed admiration for Nazi Germany: “To keep up the purity of the Race and its culture, Germany shocked the world by her purging the country of the semitic [sic] Races – the Jews. Race pride at its highest has been manifested here,” continuing, “the non-Hindu...may stay in the country, wholly subordinated to the Hindu nation, claiming nothing, deserving no privileges... not even citizen’s rights.”

The prolific power and deep relevance of the Black Lives Matter movement in the United States is inspiring and its call to dismantle foundational racism in the U.S. must evoke the solidarity of allies. It calls for mindfulness as well to injustices elsewhere, as demonstrated by the SF Board of Supervisors Resolution #200771 Opposing India's National Register of Citizens & Citizenship Amendment Act.



**From:** [mona\\_masud@yahoo.com](mailto:mona_masud@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:33:47 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Nusrat Khan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:38:58 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Nusrat M. Khan  
Broker/Realtor  
NMK Real Estate Services  
DRE Lic#: 01915486  
Office: 510-717-8989  
Fax: 510-777-0388

**From:** [Aquila Aswat](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 10:57:19 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Aquila Aswat  
Lkmwt.org

**From:** [Umar Ahmed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:07:40 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [Shaikh Sultani](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:12:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

iPhone

**From:** [Khurram Faraaz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:17:17 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

**From:** [S Fareed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Supporting Resolution #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 12:25:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable San Francisco Supervisor,

I am a resident of San Francisco/ Bay Area, and I support Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable.

When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

We thank Supervisors Gordon Mar, Matt Haney and Aaron Peskin for co-sponsoring this Resolution and  
URGE YOU AS A CONSTITUENT TO CO-SPONSOR THIS RESOLUTION and PLEASE ADD MY CORRESPONDENCE TO FILE 200771.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,  
Syed Y Fareed

**From:** [Mushir Shaikh](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING RESOLUTION #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 12:57:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities. The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sent from my iPhone



**From:** [Kamardip Singh](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I support the SF City Council Resolution opposing India's NRC and CAA #SFAGAINSTDETENTIONCAMPS  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 1:02:25 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear all,

I support City of San Francisco Supervisor Mar's Resolution Opposing India's Exclusionary National Register of Citizens and Citizenship Amendment Act, affirming our city as a welcoming city for India's Muslims and caste oppressed communities.

The Citizenship Amendment Act is an integral part of the BJP government's process of creating a stateless population, making it important for the Supervisors to vote yes on this resolution and stand in solidarity with millions who are standing up against Islamophobia and detention camps. There are many survivors of genocide who live here from all over South Asia that have made the Bay Area home. This will be a sign of assurance to the entirety of the South Asian American community that San Francisco will continue to welcome the most vulnerable. When genocidal campaigns begin, one important intervention is international condemnation.

I urge you to pass this resolution.

Sincerely,  
Kamardip Singh

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Fell Street protected bike lane "pilot" - SFMTA meeting 7/21  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:22:00 AM

---

**From:** Jamey Frank <jameyfrank@me.com>

**Sent:** Friday, July 17, 2020 6:30 PM

**To:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Brown, Vallie (BOS) <vallie.brown@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Fwd: Fell Street protected bike lane "pilot" - SFMTA meeting 7/21

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear supervisors and mayor,

This project needs to be stopped in its tracks right now. There's already a bike lane through the Panhandle in both directions. This is completely unnecessary, and punitive, only serving to punish drivers that live and park in the the panhandle.

The bicycles are only 4% of residents, but you act like they are the majority of San Franciscans, which they're not. And they never will be.

If and when we return to work, we are all going to drive. Muni has failed us. Nobody wants to ride their bikes except those few already doing so.

Please stop the incredibly expensive redesigning our city based on Draconian political correctness!

--Jamey Frank  
 370 Church Street

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** zrants <[zrants@gmail.com](mailto:zrants@gmail.com)>

**Date:** July 17, 2020 at 6:11:44 PM PDT

**To:** Zrants GM <[zrants@gmail.com](mailto:zrants@gmail.com)>

**Subject:** Fwd: Fell Street protected bike lane "pilot" - SFMTA meeting 7/21

From a D-5 resident:

**Subject: Fell Street protected bike lane "pilot" - SFMTA meeting 7/21**

Fell Street protected bike lane project is moving through as "emergency response to the COVID-19 pandemic" with minimal review - this is completely unnecessary as there is already very protected bike and walkway in the panhandle.

The SFMTA is meeting on Tuesday, July 21

<https://sfbike.org/news/fell-street-protected-bike-lane-coming-soon/>

Please write or call if if you can. I agree this is a waste of time and money. More moving chairs on the deck for no reason other than to placate special interests.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Item 16. St Ignatius Sports Lighting - Please require an EIR.  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 4:02:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [2020-07-22 Saint Ignatius - Sierra Club comments -.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Kathy Howard <kathyhoward@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 11:33 AM  
**To:** Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Kathrin Moore <mooreurban@aol.com>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Item 16. St Ignatius Sports Lighting - Please require an EIR.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Planning Commission President Joel Koppel,

Attached please find the Sierra Club's letter regarding our concerns with the proposed sports lighting at St. Ignatius and the need for an Environmental Impact Report.

Thank you for your consideration.

Katherine Howard

SF Group Executive Committee, member  
 SF Group Conservation Committee, member  
 California Conservation Committee, past member



## San Francisco Bay Chapter

Serving Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin and San Francisco counties

July 22, 2020

Mr. Joel Koppel, President  
San Francisco Planning Commission  
City Hall  
San Francisco, CA

**Re: PLANNING CASE NUMBER 2018-012648CUA  
SAINT IGNATIUS STADIUM LIGHTING PROJECT**

Dear President Koppel,

The Saint Ignatius (SI) Stadium Lighting project will install 90 foot tall utility poles with extensive night sports lighting, projected to be in use up to 200 nights a year, for sporting events that will both involve and attract large numbers of people from outside of the local community. We are concerned with the combined adverse effects of light and glare, noise, and traffic congestion on the community and the surrounding environment.

### An Environmental Impact Report is needed

The SI stadium lighting project has received a categorical exemption under CEQA; however, a full Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is needed to understand this project's impacts in terms of light pollution, nighttime noise pollution, impacts to wildlife and increased VMT (vehicle miles travelled) in this residential neighborhood. The SI Stadium Lighting project should not be approved until these impacts have been fully evaluated.

### Wildlife Impacts

Western San Francisco is on the Pacific Flyway. Artificial night lighting can have negative impacts on the nesting habits and migration patterns of many species of birds. The proposed project could cause significant light pollution, even with fully shielded fixtures. This can be exacerbated by the fog that often blankets the area and could increase ambient illumination over a wide area to levels that are ecologically disruptive.

The school is adjacent to the Sunset Boulevard Greenbelt and the Sunset Community Garden. As of July 2020, 67 different species of birds have been recorded in the area on ebird. An EIR is needed to evaluate the impact on nesting birds, migrating birds, and bats currently living in the vicinity of the project.

### Health Impacts

Studies commissioned by the community have concluded that the quantity, height, and planned frequent use of the lights will create a significant impact on the health and well-being of those living near the fields – especially the children living directly adjacent to the school. These findings need to be

## Sierra Club

evaluated in an EIR.

### Lack of Dialogue and Inclusion

The Sierra Club supports the Jemez Principles, the goals of which are to "achieve just societies that include all people in decision-making" and to "be sure that relevant voices of people directly affected are heard."<sup>1</sup> However, the community has expressed frustration with a lack of meaningful engagements by SI with the community and with SI's refusal to discuss community-proposed alternatives. A full EIR would present alternatives that could benefit both parties.

### Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT)

The creation of a night-time lighted sports field will likely result in increased traffic on Sunset Blvd and local streets. Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG) will likely increase. This needs to be evaluated in an EIR.

### Conclusion

The potential for negative environmental impacts from this project and the lack of effective community participation are such that the Sierra Club supports a full Environmental Impact Report be completed before this project can be approved.

Sincerely,



Katherine Howard

SF Group Executive Committee, member  
SF Group Conservation Committee, member  
California Conservation Committee, past member

CC: Planning Commissioners  
Board of Supervisors  
Commission Secretary

---

<sup>1</sup> "Jemez Principles," This policy was developed by European-American representatives for Environmental and Economic Justice and widely used in the development of environmental policy. <http://www.ejnet.org/ej/jemez.pdf>

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Wave fees for the tech shuttle buses?  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 9:14:00 AM

---

---

**From:** Sue Vaughan <selizabethvaughan@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 7:33 PM  
**To:** Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Wave fees for the tech shuttle buses?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I hope not. Not many are running right now, but they still serve the planet's wealthiest corporations ever. And the program is still based on permitting tech shuttle providers to get away with violating the law, CVC 22500.i.

Sue Vaughan

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Homeless Recovery Plan - Wednesday 7/22  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 9:12:00 AM

---

**From:** Schneider, Dylan (HOM) <dylan.schneider@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 4:57 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Lim, Victor (DEM) <victor.lim@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; Sawyer, Amy (MYR) <amy.sawyer@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Homeless Recovery Plan - Wednesday 7/22

Good afternoon,

Excited to share that HSH's Director Abigail Stewart-Kahn will be co-presenting with the Controller's Office on the Mayor's Homeless Recovery Plan that was [announced today](#) as part of the standing COVID Command Center briefing on Wednesday 7/22 at 12pm.

HSH is happy to schedule follow-up briefings with any of your offices if you have additional questions or concerns following tomorrow's briefing on this topic.

We greatly appreciate DEM and the COVID Command Center's generosity in sharing this agenda with us for this exciting initiative.

Thank you,  
 Dylan

**Dylan Schneider (she/her), MPA**

Acting Director of Strategy and External Affairs  
 San Francisco Department of Homelessness & Supportive Housing  
[Dylan.schneider@sfgov.org](mailto:Dylan.schneider@sfgov.org) | D: 628.652.7742 | C: 415.961.8257

Learn: [hsh.sfgov.org](https://hsh.sfgov.org) | Follow: [@SF\\_HSH](#) | Like: [@SanFranciscoHSH](#)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.



**From:** [Patrick Kelly](#)  
**To:** [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [Mahin Charles](#)  
**Cc:** [Cole, Davin \(POL\)](#); [Fong, Daryl \(POL\)](#); [Gideon Kramer](#); [Carolyn Thomas](#); [carolyn.kenady@gmail.com](mailto:carolyn.kenady@gmail.com); [Closson, Jessica \(POL\)](#)  
**Subject:** Encampment Back at Dolores Park (Residential Side)  
**Date:** Saturday, July 11, 2020 2:52:32 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I noticed this group had returned to the park yesterday by the trash cans. They set up in front of 565 Dolores sometime last night, on the residential side of the street.

As I predicted last week, its just a matter of time until the full encampments return to Dolores Park, the sidewalks and the median between 18th and 20th. It's just going to get worse.

I found it ironic that someone was paid to write a document called *Safe Sleeping Site Guidelines* that clearly states:

"Tents and structures cannot be placed in the street or medians, impede traffic, or block driveways."

But of course there is an *escape clause* so no none is held responsible:

"If someone is asked to move, they have agency to decide for themselves within these guidelines what location is safe for them."

Sounds like some Double Speak drafted by Jennifer Friedenbach at COH.

**565 Dolores Street  
Saturday July 11  
2:00PM**

**Orange tent set up on side-  
walk in front of residences.  
311 Report #12638061**

Patrick Kelly  
415-685-7728

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Justice for Breonna Taylor  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 9:15:00 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: ponger88 <ponger88@yahoo.com>  
Sent: Saturday, July 18, 2020 8:44 AM  
Subject: Justice for Breonna Taylor

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and San Francisco Elected Officers

My name is Leo Pong, and I am a resident of San Francisco. Do something for Breonna Taylor.

Sincerely,

Leo Pong

708 Dorado Terrace  
San Francisco, California 94112

ponger88@yahoo.com

4158289757

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Letter Received from SF Board of Sups re: Sean Monterrosa  
**Date:** Monday, July 20, 2020 1:43:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [recv\\_d 7-1t-20 from SF Board of Sups.pdf](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Michelle Straub <Michelle.Straub@cityofvallejo.net>  
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 12:13 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Cc: Anne Cardwell <Anne.Cardwell@cityofvallejo.net>; Randy J. Risner <Randy.Risner@cityofvallejo.net>; Greg Nyhoff <Greg.Nyhoff@cityofvallejo.net>; Shawny Williams <Shawny.Williams@cityofvallejo.net>; Angela Knight <Angela.Knight@cityofvallejo.net>; Christina Lee <Christina.Lee@cityofvallejo.net>; Brittany Jackson <Brittany.Jackson@cityofvallejo.net>; Dawn Abrahamson <Dawn.Abrahamson@cityofvallejo.net>  
Subject: Letter Received from SF Board of Sups re: Sean Monterrosa

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Attention of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

(Vallejo City Council have been blind copied on this email)

We are in receipt of your letter and Resolution, and would like to direct you to the City of Vallejo's webpage regarding this incident:

<https://www.cityofvallejo.net/cms/One.aspx?portalId=13506&pageId=16807212>

Please let us know if you have any further questions. Thank you.

---

Michelle Straub

Executive Assistant to the City Manager, Greg Nyhoff City of Vallejo | City Manager's Office  
(707) 648-4576 | michelle.straub@cityofvallejo.net



BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Tel. No. 554-5184  
Fax No. 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

July 8, 2020

City Council  
City of Vallejo  
555 Santa Clara Street  
Vallejo, CA 94590

RECEIVED

JUL 15 2020

CITY MANAGERS OFFICE  
CITY OF VALLEJO

Re: Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 275-20

Dear Council Members:

On June 16, 2020, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco adopted Resolution No. 275-20 (Urging the City of Vallejo to Require Vallejo Police to Release Body Camera Footage Related to the Killing of Sean Monterrosa), which was enacted on June 26, 2020.

The Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to forward the following document to your attention:

- One copy of Resolution No. 275-20 (File No. 200628)

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184, or by e-mail: [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Angela Calvillo".

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco

c.: Members of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisors Hillary Ronen, Dean Preston, Ahsha Safai  
Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors  
Eddie McCaffrey, Mayor's Manager of State and Federal Legislative Affairs  
Andres Power, Mayor's Policy Director  
Rebecca Peacock, Mayor's Office

1 [Urging the City of Vallejo to Require Vallejo Police to Release Body Camera Footage Related  
2 to the Killing of Sean Monterrosa]

3 **Resolution urging the Mayor and City Council of the City of Vallejo to require the**  
4 **Vallejo Police Department to release the body camera footage of the officer-involved**  
5 **shooting that claimed the life of San Francisco resident, Sean Monterrosa.**  
6

7 WHEREAS, Sean Monterrosa was the child of Argentine immigrants and the middle of  
8 three children who grew up in Bernal Heights and attended Aptos Middle School before  
9 graduating from Independence High School; and

10 WHEREAS, As a youth, Sean was involved with local nonprofit organizations including  
11 Horizons Unlimited, where he demonstrated a passion for learning, reading, and mentoring  
12 other young people to develop a love of learning as well; and

13 WHEREAS, On Tuesday, June 2, 2020, Sean was tragically shot and killed at the age  
14 of 22 by the Vallejo Police Department; and

15 WHEREAS, According to police reports, Sean was kneeling, unarmed, and had his  
16 hands up in a surrender position when he was shot five times by Vallejo police; and

17 WHEREAS, Reports indicate that the Vallejo officer involved opened fire through the  
18 windshield of a police cruiser; and

19 WHEREAS, John Burris, a renowned civil rights attorney representing Sean  
20 Monterrosa's family, said the case was one of the worst he had seen because Sean was in a  
21 position of surrender when he was shot; and

22 WHEREAS, Sean was committed to social justice and was involved in the fights to  
23 secure justice for Mario Woods, Alex Nieto, and Jessica Williams, all of whom were shot and  
24 killed by San Francisco police in recent years; and  
25

1 WHEREAS, According to Michelle Monterrosa, Sean's sister, one of the final  
2 messages he shared with her before his death was a request that she sign a petition  
3 demanding justice for George Floyd, a black man killed by Minneapolis Police officer Derek  
4 Chauvin who kneeled on his neck for more than eight minutes; and

5 WHEREAS, For over a week, protesters have been marching and demonstrating  
6 nationwide against police killings and brutality following the killing of George Floyd; and

7 WHEREAS, This national outrage, marked by day after day of protests, rallies and  
8 marches, has called for radical reforms to the nation's policing system which continues to  
9 perpetuate racial injustice; and

10 WHEREAS, For years, police accountability and civil rights activist in Vallejo have run  
11 up against a police department that has disproportionately targeted people of color, has been  
12 allowed to brutalize black and Latino residents, and has rarely been held accountable for its  
13 actions; and

14 WHEREAS, In recent years, there have been more than a dozen officers on the Vallejo  
15 police force who have killed multiple people, and the department's rate of killing has been  
16 significantly higher than the national average and other cities across Northern California; and

17 WHEREAS, Over the past decade, Vallejo police have shot 32 people, 18 of them  
18 fatally, and no officer has been fired for their role in a police shooting in that time; and

19 WHEREAS, Last February, Vallejo police killed Willie McCoy, a 20-year-old who had  
20 been sleeping in his car when six officers fired 55 rounds, and the city eventually determined  
21 the killing of McCoy was "reasonable" despite widespread outrage over footage of the death;  
22 and

23 WHEREAS, The California Department of Justice has launched a formal investigation  
24 and reform initiative over the Vallejo Police Department, a rare and substantial move  
25 announced just days after its officer fatally shot and killed Sean Monterrosa; and

1 WHEREAS, According to public records, Jarrett Tonn, the officer identified as having  
2 shot Sean, has fired his gun at a person three other times while on duty over the last five  
3 years, including two shootings within a six-week period in 2017; and

4 WHEREAS, On Friday, June 5, 2020, hundreds of friends, family, and community  
5 members gathered at the 24th Street and Mission BART plaza in San Francisco in  
6 remembrance of Sean Monterrosa, demonstrating the number of people whose lives he  
7 touched; now, therefore, be it

8 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco  
9 urges the Mayor and City Council of the City of Vallejo to require the Vallejo Police  
10 Department to release the police body camera footage of the officer-involved shooting  
11 incident that claimed the life of Sean Monterrosa and to fully comply with the California  
12 Department of Justice investigation on police misconduct; and, be it

13 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San  
14 Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies of this Resolution to the Mayor and  
15 the City Council of the City of Vallejo.





# City and County of San Francisco

## Tails Resolution

City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

**File Number:** 200628

**Date Passed:** June 16, 2020

Resolution urging the Mayor and City Council of the City of Vallejo to require the Vallejo Police Department to release the body camera footage of the officer-involved shooting that claimed the life of San Francisco resident, Sean Monterrosa.

June 16, 2020 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 11 - Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and Yee

File No. 200628

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 6/16/2020 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board

\_\_\_\_\_  
Unsigned

London N. Breed  
Mayor

\_\_\_\_\_  
6/26/20

Date Approved

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without her approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board

\_\_\_\_\_  
6/26/2020

Date

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: What can we do if Trump sends federal officers to our community?  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 22, 2020 8:50:00 AM

---

**From:** Lily Donovan-Seid <2lilyds@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 1:26 PM

**To:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Chu, Carmen (ASR) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; District Attorney, (DAT) <districtattorney@sfgov.org>; SFSO Complaints (SHF) <sfsoc.complaints@sfgov.org>; Cisneros, Jose (TTX) <jose.cisneros@sfgov.org>; Raju, Manohar (PDR) <manohar.raju@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** What can we do if Trump sends federal officers to our community?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

It has been terrifying reading the news in Portland, as well as what looks to be an expanding program into Chicago and rumors of Oakland. Do we have plans in place or is there anything we can do in preparation both for our city and our neighbors across the Bay if federal forces come and begin abducting people?

Thank you,

Lily  
 600 Page St, San Francisco, CA 94117  
 415-324-0004



University of California  
San Francisco

July 13, 2020

## NOTICE OF COMPLETION

### UCSF Real Estate

UCSF Box 0286  
654 Minnesota Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94143

[www.ucsf.edu](http://www.ucsf.edu)

|                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>To: San Francisco Board of Supervisors<br/>Attn: Clerk of the Board<br/>1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place<br/>City Hall, Room 244<br/>San Francisco, CA 94102</p> | <p>From: University of California Regents<br/>c/o U.C. San Francisco<br/>Campus Planning Office<br/>654 Minnesota Street, 2nd Floor<br/>San Francisco, CA 94143-0286</p> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

RE: Notice of Completion of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the  
UCSF Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan, State Clearinghouse  
Number 2020010175

In compliance with the State and University of California (UC) guidelines for implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the UC Regents and the University of California, acting as Lead Agency, have completed a Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR) for the proposed Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan (CPHP, published October 2019 and revised June 2020). The proposed CPHP would guide future growth and development at the Parnassus Heights campus site through the year 2050. Because the CPHP proposes to modify the Parnassus Heights development plans identified in the UCSF 2014 Long Range Development Plan (LRDP), an amendment of the 2014 LRDP is proposed. The Draft EIR has been prepared and is now available for public review. The Draft EIR is also available online at <https://www.ucsf.edu/cphp/community#eir>.

Office of Record: UCSF Real Estate - Campus Planning, 654 Minnesota Street, Box 0286  
San Francisco, CA 94143-0286

To provide written feedback on the Draft EIR, please write to Diane Wong at the above address, or email her at [EIR@ucsf.edu](mailto:EIR@ucsf.edu). **All comments must be submitted during the 60-day public review period from July 13 through September 11, 2020 at 5:00 p.m.**

UCSF will also hold a Draft EIR Public Hearing on August 26, 2020 beginning at 5:30 p.m. to solicit public comments on the adequacy and accuracy of the information presented in the Draft EIR. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Draft EIR Public Hearing will be conducted via Zoom. If you are interested in attending this meeting, please register at: <http://tiny.ucsf.edu/CPHPDEIRHearing>.

Thank you for your interest in UCSF's CPHP and EIR.

Diane Wong, Environmental Coordinator  
UCSF Real Estate - Campus Planning  
654 Minnesota Street, San Francisco, CA 94143-0286  
(415) 502-5952  
[EIR@ucsf.edu](mailto:EIR@ucsf.edu)

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2020 JUL 21 AM 9:00  
BY

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Forwarding on Behalf of Ethics Chair Ambrose  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:26:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ETH Chair Ambrose Letter July 21 2020.pdf](#)

---

---

**From:** Pelham, Leeann (ETH) <leeann.pelham@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 21, 2020 3:20 PM  
**To:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Forwarding on Behalf of Ethics Chair Ambrose

Good Afternoon Madam Clerk,

Please see the attached letter to Members of the Board of Supervisors that Ethics Commission Chair Ambrose has asked me to forward on her behalf.

Thank you.  
LeeAnn



# ETHICS COMMISSION

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOREEN AMBROSE  
CHAIR

YVONNE LEE  
VICE-CHAIR

DAINA CHIU  
COMMISSIONER

FERN M. SMITH  
COMMISSIONER

LARRY BUSH  
COMMISSIONER

LEEANN PELHAM  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

July 21, 2020

*By Electronic Mail*

Honorable Mayor London Breed

City and County of San Francisco

Honorable Chair Sandra Lee Fewer,

Honorable Members: Shamann Walton, Rafael Mandelman, Hillary Ronen, and Norman Yee  
Board of Supervisors, Budget and Appropriations Committee

Dear Mayor Breed and Members of the Board,

I write to convey the Ethics Commission's strongly held view that full funding of the department's mission is of critical importance at this time. We recognize that the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting dire economic conditions make your task of balancing the budget excruciating. But now more than ever, it is imperative that the public have complete confidence in the honesty and efficacy of its government.

The allegations of corruption, bid rigging, bribes, and malfeasance in office brought to light by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the City Attorney and Controller's further exposure of legal and ethical violations, reflects a failure to root out wrongdoing and maintain the public trust. Cutting the Ethics Commission funding would render it unable to fully perform its core functions and initiate the remedial work that is required to fulfill our collective commitment to right these wrongs. Please don't send that message.

This Mayoral administration, the Board, Commission and the voters of San Francisco have taken significant steps to strengthen the City's Ethics laws and practices in recent years. The Commission, Board and the Mayor approved the Anti-Corruption and Accountability Ordinance, effective January 2019. A review of the Campaign Public Financing program also yielded significant amendments adopted and implemented in that year. In November 2019, a supermajority of San Francisco voters adopted Proposition F, Campaign Contribution Restrictions and Advertisement Disclaimer Requirements, which five Supervisors had placed on the ballot. The Ethics Commission had expected to receive funds to implement these new initiatives, and begin to address long standing, but unfunded, Charter mandates.

In February, the Executive Director gave the Ethics Commission a proposed budget to meet those objectives. That request was for \$6.2 million for FY21, an increase of roughly \$1.7 million from its FY20 budget, and a miniscule fraction of the City's \$6 billion General Fund Budget. For perspective, consider that the entire Ethics budget is dwarfed by the amount of money involved in the corruption and tainted contracts under investigation.

The Executive Director and staff continue to respond to the Mayor's revised Budget instructions, identifying potential cuts as required, but flagging the impact on current staff positions, our mission and work program. That process is ongoing, and the Commission awaits the Mayor and the Board's determinations. In doing so, we trust you will pay

particular attention to the Controller's recommendations in his *Preliminary Assessment: San Francisco Public Works Contracting*, issued on June 29th.

As part of the Controller and City Attorney's continuing investigations, initially stemming from the federal criminal investigation and charges against the then Public Works Director, Mohammed Nuru, and others, the Controller's Office presented its *Preliminary Assessment* to the Board's Government Audit Committee on July 2nd, and to the Ethics Commission on July 10th. The Controller promised periodic reports on additional aspects of its public integrity review, while the City Attorney continues to subpoena documents, conduct interviews, and pursue related legal actions to ferret out corruption.

The Controller noted that the "tone at the top" determined the "ethical atmosphere" of the workplace and discussed the Public Works department's abject failure on that score. More specific recommendations relevant to the Ethics Commission's FY 21- 22 budget include:

- The Ethics Commission should examine and close loopholes in the San Francisco Campaign and Government Code to ensure that city law does not create avenues for unethical behavior in acceptance of gifts; and
- The Commission should expeditiously enable and require that all Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700) are filed electronically by all required filers; and
- The Commission should conduct annual compliance reviews of these [Form 700] filings.

Achieving these preliminary recommendations is intrinsically aligned with the Commission's proposed work program and budget as presented in February 2020.

The Commission mission statement commits it to: "enforce all ethics laws and rules," and "recommend new laws, rules and programs that will lead to ethics compliance." The proposed February budget had identified funding for staff with the qualifications to perform compliance reviews, undertake campaign and lobbying audits, and provide advice and policy evaluations to promote ethical conduct, together with funding for the technology services required to improve transparency in this online digital age.

For the more than 3,500 City employees required to file a Statement of Economic Interest (Form 700), the staff last year completed the initial policy work and labor outreach to implement electronic filing. But technical and compliance staff, identified in the proposed budget, are needed to train and advise these new electronic filers, and then review and enforce compliance.

These measures will improve transparency and accountability, but the proposed budget also envisioned this new economic interest disclosure protocol as an opportunity to improve the "ethical atmosphere" within the City. The "tone at the top" extends beyond Department heads to the deputy directors, managers, policy makers, permit issuers and contracting officers who carry out or influence government action, and thus are required to disclose their economic interests. The Executive Director's initial budget proposal identified a multi-year initiative, called *Ethics@Work*, to enable the Commission to begin the outreach, education and for ethical conduct within this level of the City's ranks. This effort was anticipated in the initial Charter provisions for the Ethics Commission, but never fully realized (See Charter App. C, Section C3.699-11, Duties.) With the necessary budget resources and support, we envision 3,500+ high level City employees fully versed in the City's conflict of interest, gift and contracting laws, whose economic interests are transparent to the public, and who are supported and protected by the Commission, Controller and City Attorney through ethics compliance

and whistleblower enforcement. We look to you to help us empower them, as we work with you to establish the “tone at the top” consistent with the public’s trust.

The COVID-19 pandemic and economic crisis are also ongoing, and we know the City’s ability to address many critical public needs is severely constrained. City workers, like the San Franciscans we serve, expect to make sacrifices when disasters strike. Many Ethics Commission staff have been or are now serving as Disaster Service Workers, and the Commission necessarily prioritized those emergency services over its own work. We know, too, that City departments are expected to weather budget cuts when financial downturns occur. But please recognize that this is not the time to extract across-the-board cuts from the Ethics department.

With each wave of criminal charges, guilty pleas, resignations and revelations stemming from the corruption investigations, the public loses faith. The Ethics Commission has been underfunded for years, and as the Board’s pending Budget and Legislative performance audit will likely show, it has not been able to fully meet its core responsibilities and implement new ethical regulations that the Board, Mayor, Commission and voters prescribed. Staff will be unable to achieve electronic filing and regular compliance reviews of Form 700s for the 3,500+ high level City employees as a direct consequence of cutting funds for the Ethics Commission. Please give due consideration to these facts, and help us find the resources to foster an ethical atmosphere in the workplace.

At its July 10th Ethics Commission meeting, we began to explore alternative sources of funding and ways to expedite the hiring process in order to redress long standing deficiencies. The Commission collects fees, penalties and fines that go directly into the General Fund. This past year those activities exceeded initial budget projections by nearly \$100,000. We also considered that operating departments could be a source of work orders commensurate with the scale of support that Ethics Staff could provide to them. For example, there should be cost savings to departments when the Form 700 filing programs are transferred to the Ethics Commission. Another source could be departments whose substantial contracting and permitting activities require additional attention, particularly while we implement recently adopted laws requiring more disclosure and compliance reviews around those activities. But these measures require time to explore, and if fruitful, to legislate and appropriate. We need resources in FY21 to help you restore the public’s trust now.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

/s/ Noreen Ambrose

Noreen Ambrose  
Chair, San Francisco Ethics Commission

cc: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors  
Controller, Ben Rosenfield  
City Attorney, Dennis Herrera  
Members of the Ethics Commission  
Vice Chair, Yvonne Lee  
Commissioner Daina Chiu  
Commissioner Fern M. Smith  
Commissioner Larry Bush