| 1 | [Planning Code - Conditional Use Review and Approval Process: Priority Processing and Reduced Application Fee for Certain Uses of Commercial Space] | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | Ordinance amending the Planning Code to expedite the Conditional Use authorization | | |
| 4 | review and approval process and reduce the application fee for certain uses of | | |
| 5 | commercial space; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the | | |
| 6 | California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the Genera | | |
| 7 | Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101; and adopting | | |
| 8 | findings of public necessity, convenience, and general welfare under Planning Code, | | |
| 9 | Section 302. | | |
| 10 | NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. | | |
| 11 | Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> . Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u> . | | |
| 12 | Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. | | |
| 13 | Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables. | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | Section 1. Findings. | | |
| 18 | (a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this | | |
| 19 | ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resource | | |
| 20 | Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of | | |
| 21 | Supervisors in File No. 200214 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms | | |
| 22 | this determination. | | |
| 23 | (b) On May 28, 2020, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 20725, adopte | | |
| 24 | findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the | | |
| 25 | City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | Board of Supervisors in File No. 200214, -and is incorporated herein by reference. | | |
| 3 | (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that this | | |
| 4 | ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare for the reasons | | |
| 5 | set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 20725. | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | Section 2. Article 3 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by adding Section 303.2, | | |
| 8 | to read as follows: | | |
| 9 | SEC. 303.2. PRIORITY PROCESSING FOR CERTAIN USES IN COMMERCIAL SPACE: | | |
| 10 | EXPEDITED CONDITIONAL USE REVIEW AND APPROVAL PROCESS AND REDUCED | | |
| 11 | APPLICATION FEE. | | |
| 12 | (a) Findings. | | |
| 13 | (1) In April 2013, the Planning Commission adopted the Small Business Priority | | |
| 14 | Processing Pilot Program. The stated goal of the pilot program was to accelerate the review of certain | | |
| 15 | small business applications without compromising the review times of other applications. | | |
| 16 | (2) Building on the success of the pilot program, Planning Department staff in | | |
| 17 | consultation with staff from the Office of Small Business proposed expanding the program to additional | | |
| 18 | types of applications. The expanded program was adopted by the Planning Commission in February | | |
| 19 | 2015 and renamed the Community Business Priority Processing Program. As expressed in the | | |
| 20 | Commission's adoption Resolution No. 19323, the intent was to support the business community – | | |
| 21 | especially small and mid-sized businesses – and to increase efficiencies in the way the Commission and | | |
| 22 | Department handle related applications. | | |
| 23 | (3) By enacting this Section 303.2, the Board of Supervisors underscores the | | |
| 24 | importance of small and mid-sized businesses to the economic vitality of San Francisco's | | |
| 25 | neighborhoods and to the City as a whole, its residents, and visitors. The intent of this Section 303.2 is | | |

| 1 | to expedite the review and hearing process for these vital small and mid-sized businesses without | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | compromising public notice and input or the review times of other applications, and to build upon the | | |
| 3 | success of the Community Business Priority Process Program by expanding the scope of eligible | | |
| 4 | projects and ensuring that all eligible projects are considered accordingly, while preserving critical | | |
| 5 | opportunities for community input and accountability to the legislative branch of government. | | |
| 6 | (4) The Calle 24 Special Use District is still in its infancy. and due to its | | |
| 7 | unique history and special identity the projects within its boundaries require special | | |
| 8 | consideration in order to retain, enhance, and support its character. It is, therefore, exempted | | |
| 9 | from the priority processing provisions of this Section 303.2. | | |
| 10 | The City first recognized the area's unique history and special character in 2014, when | | |
| 11 | in Resolution No. 168-14 it established the Calle 24 ("Veinticuatro") Latino Cultural District. | | |
| 12 | The Resolution memorialized "a place whose richness of culture, history and entrepreneurship | | |
| 13 | is unrivaled in San Francisco." A 2014 report by San Francisco Architectural Heritage found | | |
| 14 | that many of the long-standing community-serving businesses within the area were at risk of | | |
| 15 | displacement due to San Francisco's volatile economic climate despite continued value and a | | |
| 16 | record of success. | | |
| 17 | The special character of the area was further recognized in 2017 when Ordinance No. | | |
| 18 | 85-17 was enacted to establish the Calle 24 Special Use District. In enacting that ordinance, | | |
| 19 | the Board specifically found, among other things, that "[t]he mix of businesses and uses, | | |
| 20 | including Legacy Businesses, murals, festivals and architectural neighborhood design and | | |
| 21 | character in the Calle 24 Special Use District contribute to a strong sense of neighborhood | | |
| 22 | and a unifying identify." This area continues to require special consideration in order to retain, | | |
| 23 | enhance, and support its unique history and character, including providing economic and | | |
| 24 | workforce opportunities for local residents, supporting the production and offering of local or | | |
| 25 | Latino artwork, and making sure that the area offers a range of goods and services available | | |

| 1 | and accessib | and accessible to residents, including immigrant and low-income and moderate-income | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | households. | | | |
| 3 | <u>(b)</u> | Priority Processing for Certain Uses. Applications for Conditional Use authorization | | |
| 4 | that comply w | ith the requirements of subsection (c) are eligible for priority processing and a prorated | | |
| 5 | application fe | application fee. Eligibility for priority processing shall not require any application separate from a | | |
| 6 | completed app | completed application for Conditional Use authorization. Unless modified by this Section 303.2, the | | |
| 7 | provisions of S | Section 303 shall apply. | | |
| 8 | <u>(c)</u> | Eligibility for Priority Processing. An application for a Conditional Use authorization | | |
| 9 | <u>qualifies for p</u> | riority processing ("eligible application") pursuant to this Section 303.2 if it complies | | |
| 10 | with all of the following requirements: | | | |
| 11 | | (1) It pertains exclusively to Non-Residential Uses; | | |
| 12 | | (2) It is limited to changes of use, tenant improvements, or other interior or | | |
| 13 | storefront wor | <u>·k;</u> | | |
| 14 | | (3) It does not involve the removal of any Dwelling Units; | | |
| 15 | | (4) It does not involve a Formula Retail use; | | |
| 16 | | (5) It does not propose or require the consolidation of multiple storefronts; | | |
| 17 | | (6) It does not seek to provide off-street parking in a quantity beyond that allowed as | | |
| 18 | of right; | | | |
| 19 | | (7) It does not seek to establish, expand, or intensify activities during hours of | | |
| 20 | operation beyond those permitted as of right; | | | |
| 21 | | (8) It does not seek to sell alcoholic beverages for either on-site or off-premises | | |
| 22 | consumption, | excepting beer and/or wine sold in conjunction with the operation of a Bona Fide Eating | | |
| 23 | <u>Place; and</u> | | | |
| 24 | | (9) It does not seek to establish or expand any of the following uses: | | |
| 25 | | (A) Adult Entertainment. | | |

| 1 | <u>(B) Bar.</u> |
|----|---|
| 2 | (C) Drive-up Facility. |
| 3 | (D) Fringe Financial Service. |
| 4 | (E) Medical Cannabis Dispensary. |
| 5 | (F) Nighttime Entertainment. |
| 6 | (G) Non-Retail Sales and Service that is closed to the general public. |
| 7 | (H) Tobacco Paraphernalia Establishment. |
| 8 | (I) Wireless Communication Facility; and |
| 9 | (10) Is not within the Calle 24 Special Use District, as described and set forth |
| 10 | in Section 249.59 of this Code. |
| 11 | If the application qualifies for priority processing, the Department shall notify the applicant of the date |
| 12 | of acceptance of the complete application and of the applicant's eligibility for priority processing. The |
| 13 | application fee shall be prorated pursuant to subsection (f). |
| 14 | (d) Expedited Commission Hearing. An eligible application shall be scheduled for a public |
| 15 | hearing on the Planning Commission's consent calendar within 90 days from the date that the |
| 16 | application has been deemed complete, unless the hearing date is extended pursuant to subsection (e). |
| 17 | An application is deemed complete when the application and filing fee have been accepted by the |
| 18 | Department. The Planning Commission shall develop rules and regulations to ensure that eligible |
| 19 | applications are heard and determined within 90 days without compromising the review times of other |
| 20 | applications. |
| 21 | (e) Extension of Commission Hearing Date. The Planning Commission may at any time |
| 22 | adopt a one-time extension of not more than 60 days of the hearing date for an eligible application |
| 23 | beyond 90 days if: |
| 24 | (1) the Planning Director or the Director's designee requests in writing that the item |
| 25 | be removed from the Commission's consent calendar; or |

| 1 | (2) any member of the Planning Commission requests that the item be removed from | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | the Commission's consent calendar; or | | |
| 3 | (3) any neighborhood organization maintained on a list by the Planning Department | | |
| 4 | pursuant to subsection 311(d)(4) submits within 60 days of the submission of a complete Conditional | | |
| 5 | Use authorization application, or at any point prior to the Planning Commission's scheduled hearing, | | |
| 6 | a letter of opposition or written request that the item be removed from the Commission's consent | | |
| 7 | <u>calendar.</u> | | |
| 8 | (f) Reduced Application Fee; Fee Refund. The fee for an application that meets the | | |
| 9 | priority processing requirements of subsection (c) shall be 50% of the otherwise applicable fee | | |
| 10 | established by Section 350 of this Code. If the Planning Commission does not hold a hearing on a | | |
| 11 | Conditional Use application that is eligible for priority processing within 90 days of the date the | | |
| 12 | application is deemed complete, or within the additional time allotted if the Commission continues the | | |
| 13 | matter pursuant to subsection (e), the applicant shall be entitled to a full refund of the application fee. | | |
| 14 | (g) Report to the Board of Supervisors. One year from the effective date of this Section | | |
| 15 | 303.2 and for three years thereafter on an annual basis, the Planning Department shall submit to the | | |
| 16 | Board of Supervisors a report showing the number and percentage of eligible applications that are | | |
| 17 | considered within 90 days of the date the Department has deemed the application complete and the | | |
| 18 | reason or reasons why eligible applications were not heard within 90 days, if any. | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after | | |
| 21 | enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | /// | | |
| 25 | | | |

| 1 | ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance | | | | | |
| 3 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | | | | | |
| 4 | DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney | | | | | |
| 5 | By: <u>/s/ JUDITH A. BOYAJIAN</u> JUDITH A. BOYAJIAN | | | | | |
| 6 | Deputy City Attorney | | | | | |
| 7 | n:\legana\as2020\2000341\01465590.docx | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |