File No	200421	Committee Item No.	2	
		Board Item No.	17	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST				
Committee:	Land Use and Transportation Committee	<u>ee</u> Date	July 20, 2020	
	pervisors Meeting	Date	August 11, 2020	
Cmte Board	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Rep Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter an MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence		port	
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)				
X	Referral CEQA 050620			
X X X X X X X X	Referral PC 050620			
X X	CEQA Determination 040720 PC Transmittal 071620			
	1 0 114H0HH444 07 1020			
Completed by: Erica Major Date July 16, 2020				
Completed by: Erica Major Date July 22, 2020				

1	[Planning Code - Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots - Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District]		
2	reignbornood Commercial Transic District		
3	Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street Neighborhood		
4	Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming parking lot that is on		
5	the site of a designated City landmark; affirming the Planning Department's		
6	determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of		
7	consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code,		
8	Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and general		
9	welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.		
10	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.		
11	Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.		
12	Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.		
13	Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.		
14			
15	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:		
16			
17	Section 1. Findings.		
18	(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this		
19	ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources		
20	Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of		
21	Supervisors in File No. 200421 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms		

(b) On July 16, 2020, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 20761, adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board

this determination.

22

23

24

- adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 200421, and is incorporated herein by reference.
 - (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that these Planning Code amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 20761.

Section 2. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Section 184, to read as follows:

SEC. 184. SHORT-TERM CONTINUANCE OF CERTAIN NONCONFORMING USES.

Except as provided in subsection (c) below, Tthe period of time during which the following nonconforming uses may continue or remain shall be limited to five years from the effective date of this Code (May 2, 1960), or of the amendment thereto which caused the use to be nonconforming. Every such nonconforming use shall be completely eliminated within 90 days after the expiration of such period.

- (a) A Parking Lot or any other *Any*-nonconforming commercial or industrial use of land where no enclosed building is involved in such use, except for permanent off-street Parking Lots in the C-3-O, C-3-R, and C-3-G Districts existing on the effective date of Ordinance No. 414-85, provided that such lots are screened in the manner required by Section 156(e); such permanent uses shall be eliminated no later than five years and 90 days from the effective date of an amendment to this Code that makes such permanent uses nonconforming.
- (b) Any use of a type first permitted as a $p\underline{P}$ rincipal or $e\underline{C}$ onditional $\underline{u}\underline{U}$ se in an NC, RC, C, or M District or in a Residential-Commercial Combined District, when occupying a building in an R District other than a Residential-Commercial Combined District that has an

assessed valuation not in excess of \$500 on the effective date of this Code or such later date as the use becomes nonconforming, with the following exceptions:

- (1) Any lawful use in this category in a building having an assessed valuation of \$250 or more on the effective date of this Code, or such later date as the use becomes nonconforming, shall have a period of permitted continuance of 10 years from the date at which the property was placed in a residential zoning classification, if such a period of continuance produces an expiration date that is later than the expiration date stated above; or
- (2) Any lawful use in this category that is of a type first permitted in an NC-1 District; or of a type first permitted in any other district and supplying commodities at retail, or offering personal services, primarily to residents of the immediate vicinity; shall have a period of permitted continuance of 10 years from the effective date of this Code, or of the amendment thereto that caused the use to be nonconforming. After five years of such period have elapsed, any use as described in this Paragraph (b)(2) shall, upon application, be qualified for consideration by the Planning Commission as a conditional use as regulated in Section 303 of this Code.
- (c) In the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, a Parking Lot that is on the site of a designated landmark under Article 10 of this Code as of the effective date of this

 Ordinance No. _____ may continue its Use as a Parking Lot for five additional years from the original expiration date provided by this Section 184.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance

1	Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors		
2	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,		
3	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal		
4	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment		
5	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under		
6	the official title of the ordinance.		
7			
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
9	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney		
10	By: /s/ Judith A. Boyajian		
11	JUDITH A. BOYAJIAN Deputy City Attorney		
12	n:\legana\as2020\2000461\01444330.docx		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Planning Code - Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots - Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming parking lot that is on the site of a designated City landmark; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and general welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

Existing Law

Planning Code Section 184 establishes the periods of time during which certain nonconforming uses are allowed to continue or remain before the use is required to be eliminated.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance will authorize the continuation of a nonconforming parking lot in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial District if it is on the site of a landmark designated under Article 10 of the Planning Code as of the effective date of this ordinance. The parking lot use would be allowed to remain for an additional five years from expiration of the time originally allowed by Section 184.

Background Information

Recognizing the importance of supporting the preservation of designated landmarks as well as the challenge of maintaining such sites, the Planning Code offers flexibility in allowable uses for landmarked parcels. For example, the Code allows office uses in PDR-1-G zoning districts under certain circumstances.

Landmark sites have limited potential development options. The City is actively working to address vacancies along commercial corridors, and with the extended shutdown of businesses and economic activity due to the COVID-19 health crisis and uncertainty in future development investment, the City has an interest in ensuring that sites in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial District remain active and do not sit vacant and underused.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 1

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 6, 2020

File No. 200421

Lisa Gibson **Environmental Review Officer** Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On April 28, 2020, Supervisor Ronen submitted the following legislation:

File No. 200421

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming parking lot that is on the site of a designated City landmark; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and general welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning C: Don Lewis, Environmental Planning

> Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it would not result in a direct or indirect physical change in the environment.

> > Joy Navarrete

04/07/2020

July 16, 2020

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk Honorable Supervisor Ronen Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102 1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception:

415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Re: Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2020-005179PCA:

Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots - Mission Street

Neighborhood Commercial Board File No. 200421

Historic Preservation Commission Recommendation: Approval

Planning Commission Recommendation: Approval

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Supervisor Ronen,

On July 15, 2020 and July 16, 2020, the Historic Preservation and Planning Commissions conducted duly noticed public hearings at regularly scheduled meetings to consider the proposed Ordinance, introduced by Supervisor Ronen that would amend Planning Code Section 184. At the hearings, both the Historic Preservation and Planning Commissions recommended approval.

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

Please find attached documents relating to the actions of the Commission. If you have any questions or require further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Starr

Manager of Legislative Affairs

cc:

Judy Boyajian, Deputy City Attorney Amy Beinhart, Aide to Supervisor Ronen Erica Major, Office of the Clerk of the Board

Transmital Materials

CASE NO. 2020-005179PCA Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots – Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District

Attachments:

Historic Preservation Resolution Planning Commission Resolution Planning Department Executive Summary Project Name:

Planning Commission Resolution No. 20761

HEARING DATE: JULY 16, 2020

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots -

Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial

Case Number: 2020-005179PCA [Board File No. 200421]

Initiated by: Supervisor Ronen / Introduced April 28, 2020

Staff Contact: Veronica Flores, Legislative Affairs

Veronica.Flores@sfgov.org, 415-575-9173

Reviewed by: Aaron D Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6362

Fax: **415.558.6409**

Planning Information: **415.558.6377**

RESOLUTION APPROVING A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD THE PLANNING CODE TO ALLOW IN THE MISSION STREET NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL TRANSIT DISTRICT THE CONTINUANCE OF A NONCONFORMING PARKING LOT THAT IS ON THE SITE OF A DESIGNATED CITY LANDMARK; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE, SECTION 101.1; AND ADOPTING FINDINGS OF PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND GENERAL WELFARE UNDER PLANNING CODE, SECTION 302.

WHEREAS, on April 28, 2020 Supervisor Ronen introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 200421, which would amend the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming Parking Lot that is on the site of a designated City landmark;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on July 16, 2020; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15378 and 15060(c)(2); and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby **approves** the proposed ordinance.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The proposed Ordinance would support an existing nonconforming Parking Lot use and help avoid vacancies in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District.
- 2. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 2

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

Policy 2.1

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the city.

MISSION AREA PLAN

OBJECTIVE 1.3

INSTITUTE FLEXIBLE "LEGAL NONCONFORMING USE" PROVISIONS TO ENSURE A CONTINUED MIX OF USES IN THE MISSION

Policy 1.3.1

Continue existing, legal nonconforming rules, which permit pre-existing establishments to remain legally even if they no longer conform to new zoning provisions, as long as the use was legally established in the first place.

OBJECTIVE 1.3

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN THE MISSION'S NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS

Policy 1.8.2

Ensure that the Mission's neighborhood commercial districts continue to serve the needs of residents, including immigrant and low-income households.

The proposed Ordinance would support an existing nonconforming Parking Lot use within a City designated landmark. This is especially important since there are development constraints on historic

Case No. 2020-005179PCA Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots – Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District

landmark sites, even if the Parking Lot use itself is not considered historic. Even though the Ordinance is not consistent with the Transit-First Policy, this Ordinance helps ensure that the property retains a neighborhood business, even if just on a temporary basis of five years.

- 3. **Planning Code Section 101 Findings.** The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:
 - 1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would help address neighborhood commercial vacancies by supporting an existing, legal noncomplying Parking Lot.
 - 2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.
 - 3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.
 - 4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.
 - 5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.
 - 6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.
 - 7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

Resolution No. 20761 July 16, 2020

Case No. 2020-005179PCA Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots – Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

4. **Planning Code Section 302 Findings.** The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby APPROVES the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on July 16, 2020.

Jonas P. Ionin Commission Secretary

AYES: Chan, Diamond, Fung, Imperial, Johnson, Koppel, Moore

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ADOPTED: July 16, 2020

Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1125

HEARING DATE: JULY 15, 2020

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: **415.558.6377**

Project Name: Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots -

Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial

Case Number: 2020-005179PCA [Board File No. 200421]

Initiated by: Supervisor Ronen / Introduced April 28, 2020

Staff Contact: Veronica Flores, Legislative Affairs

Veronica.Flores@sfgov.org, 415-575-9173

Reviewed by: Aaron D Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6362

RESOLUTION APPROVING A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD THE PLANNING CODE TO ALLOW IN THE MISSION STREET NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL TRANSIT DISTRICT THE CONTINUANCE OF A NONCONFORMING PARKING LOT THAT IS ON THE SITE OF A DESIGNATED CITY LANDMARK; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE, SECTION 101.1; AND ADOPTING FINDINGS OF PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND GENERAL WELFARE UNDER PLANNING CODE, SECTION 302.

WHEREAS, on April 28, 2020 Supervisor Ronen introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 200421, which would amend the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming Parking Lot that is on the site of a designated City landmark;

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on July 15, 2020; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15378 and 15060(c)(2); and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby **approves** the proposed ordinance.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The proposed Ordinance would support an existing nonconforming Parking Lot use and help avoid vacancies in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District.
- 2. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 2

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

Policy 2.1

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the city.

MISSION AREA PLAN

OBJECTIVE 1.3

INSTITUTE FLEXIBLE "LEGAL NONCONFORMING USE" PROVISIONS TO ENSURE A CONTINUED MIX OF USES IN THE MISSION

Policy 1.3.1

Continue existing, legal nonconforming rules, which permit pre-existing establishments to remain legally even if they no longer conform to new zoning provisions, as long as the use was legally established in the first place.

OBJECTIVE 1.3

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN THE MISSION'S NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS

Policy 1.8.2

Ensure that the Mission's neighborhood commercial districts continue to serve the needs of residents, including immigrant and low-income households.

The proposed Ordinance would support an existing nonconforming Parking Lot use within a City designated landmark. This is especially important since there are development constraints on historic landmark sites, even if the Parking Lot use itself is not considered historic. Even though the Ordinance is not consistent with the Transit-First Policy, this Ordinance helps ensure that the property retains a neighborhood business, even if just on a temporary basis of five years.

- 3. **Planning Code Section 101 Findings.** The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:
 - 1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would help address neighborhood commercial vacancies by supporting an existing, legal noncomplying Parking Lot.
 - 2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.
 - 3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.
 - 4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.
 - 5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

3

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

Resolution No. 1125 July 15, 2020

Case No. 2020-005179PCA
Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots –
Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

4. **Planning Code Section 302 Findings.** The Historic Preservation Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby APPROVES the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on July 15, 2020.

Jonas P. Ionin

Commission Secretary

AYES: Black, Foley, Hyland, Matsuda, Pearlman, So

NOES: None

ABSENT: Johns

ADOPTED: July 15, 2020

Executive Summary Planning Code Text Amendment

HEARING DATE: JULY 16, 2020 90-DAY DEADLINE: AUGUST 4, 2020 1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: **415.558.6378**

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Project Name: Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots –

Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District

Case Number: 2020-005179PCA [Board File No. 200421]

Initiated by: Supervisor Ronen / Introduced April 28, 2020

Staff Contact: Veronica Flores, Legislative Affairs

Veronica.Flores@sfgov.org, 415-575-9173

Reviewed by: Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6362

Recommendation: Approval

PLANNING CODE AMENDMENT

The proposed Ordinance would amend the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming Parking Lot that is on the site of a designated City landmark.

The Way It Is Now:

Planning Code Section 184 establishes the periods of time during which certain nonconforming uses can continue or remain before the use is required to be eliminated. Under this section, nonconforming Parking Lots can continue for a period of up to five years from when they last became nonconforming.

The Way It Would Be:

The Ordinance would authorize nonconforming Parking Lots in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial District and within a designated City landmark to continue for an additional five years from original expiration date provided by Section 184.

BACKGROUND

Planning Code Section 184 was intended to allow short-term continuation of uses made nonconforming when the Neighborhood Commercial Districts were created. Only certain uses deemed beneficial to a neighborhood commercial area, such as Parking Lots, could continue for a period of five years from the last amendment that made the use nonconforming.

The Parking Lot located behind the historic El Capitan Theater and Hotel could continue to operate until 2020. This Ordinance seeks to extend the short-term continuance of the Parking Lot by another five years because landmark sites have limited development potential options. Additionally, the Ordinance addresses vacancy issues in Neighborhood Commercials Districts, which have been exacerbated by COVID-19.

ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS

Landmark Status

Case No. 2020-005179PCA Continuation of Use of Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots – Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District

The El Capitan Theater and Hotel Landmark Designation per Ordinance No, 38-96 states that the landmark is limited to the building footprint. Even though the Parking Lot itself is not considered historic, because it is located on the same parcel as a historic landmark, there are development constraints on the site. In addition to the standard building permit application requirements, any proposed new construction on the property would also require the following additional review:

- Architectural Review Committee (sub-committee of the Historic Preservation Commission) to review the proposed design for compatibility with the historic landmark
- A Certificate of Appropriateness entitlement from the Historic Preservation Commission

The additional reviews listed above assume that the scope of work does not include any work on the historic landmark itself. While the Historic Preservation Commission regularly reviews such applications, this does result in an additional level of discretion over future development on designated City landmarks.

It is also important to note that the designation Ordinance also stated that if in the future the parcel was to be subdivided, any new construction on newly subdivided lot would not require a Certificate of Appropriateness. While this provision does eliminate the need for Historic Preservation Commission's review, it does require a Parcel Map / Final Map Application from San Francisco Public Works.

Neighborhood Commercial Vacancies

Vacancy rates in Neighborhood Commercial Districts has been a growing issue in recent years. This is exacerbated by the shelter in place orders due to COVID-19. The Ordinances helps address some of these issues by extending the short-term continuance of the Parking Lot. If the Ordinance is not enacted, the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial District will see another vacant property instead of a neighborhood amenity. Even if an application for a new project was submitted to the City today, there would be a vacancy during the interim between application review and project approval. This Ordinance eliminates that gap even if there was potential development at the site.

Transit-First Policy

The Board of Supervisors adopted a Transit-First policy in efforts to reduce traffic congestion and air pollution and encourage public transit over private vehicle use.² The El Capitan Theater and Hotel, located directly on Mission Street, is in a transit-rich area and located in between two different BART stations. Parking lots only serve to increase traffic congestion by encouraging people to drive to the neighborhood, which is inconsistent with the City's Transit First policy. Given the public transit options available in this area, this site could be developed with an amenity or service that would better serve the community and take advantage of the significant transit investments made in this neighborhood.

Temporary Use

The Ordinance would continue the short-term continuance of the nonconforming Parking Lot for an additional five years, at which point it would need to cease operation. The same concerns regarding limited development opportunities on a designated City landmark and addressing vacancy issues in the Mission

¹ Ordinance No. 38-96

² Ordinance No. 189-73

Case No. 2020-005179PCA Continuation of Use of Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots – Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District

Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District may arise again, pending the economic outlook at that time.

Another Potential Path

If the Ordinance is not enacted, the project sponsor can still pursue a temporary Parking Lot by way of Conditional Use Authorization from Planning Commission per Planning Code Section 156. This would require additional time and fees, as well as a public hearing to be considered. However, this review process would all be outside of the legislative process and could begin as soon as a complete application is submitted.

General Plan Compliance

The proposed Ordinance would support an existing nonconforming Parking Lot use within a City designated landmark. This is especially important since there are development constraints on historic landmark sites, even if the Parking Lot use itself is not considered historic. Even though the Ordinance is not consistent with the Transit-First Policy, this Ordinance helps ensure that the property retains a neighborhood business, even if just on a temporary basis of five years.

Racial and Social Equity Analysis

Understanding the benefits, burdens and opportunities to advance racial and social equity that proposed Planning Code amendments provide is part of the Department's Racial and Social Equity Initiative. This is also consistent with the Mayor's Citywide Strategic Initiatives for equity and accountability and with the forthcoming Office of Racial Equity, which will require all Departments to conduct this analysis.

The Planning Code amendments in the proposed Ordinance help preserve an existing, legal noncomplying Parking Lot in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District. This benefits the existing commercial businesses by providing customers with another option in addition to the public transit in the neighborhood. Adjacent businesses in the immediate vicinity include restaurants, personal services, and other general retail.

Almost 40% of Mission residents identify as Latino.³ Additionally, the median family income is approximately \$68,000 compared to approximately \$104,000 for the entire city.⁴ Based on these figures, it is likely local residents do not own cars and rely on public transportation. With its prime location on Mission Street, this Parking Lot might be used by more affluent residents from outside the community. While this potentially drives in additional wealth into the Mission corridor, the location might better serve the community by providing a different neighborhood amenity or service.

The subject Parking Lot has been operating in this neighborhood for a long time, and the Ordinance to extend the short-term continuance should not further burden the neighborhood; however, this extension does not seem to advance racial and social equity in the Mission. This Ordinance is a short-term solution in addressing commercial vacancies along the Mission, and it is likely that the same concerns will arise in five years given current challenges. Future development considerations at the project site that advance

³ 2016 Five Year American Community Survey

⁴ Ibid.

Executive Summary Hearing Date: July 16, 2020

Case No. 2020-005179PCA Continuation of Use of Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots -**Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District**

racial and society equity must be considered. These may include affordable housing or community-serving non-profit spaces that are currently struggling to find spaces in commercial corridors.

Implementation

The Department has determined that this Ordinance will not impact our current implementation procedures.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Commission approve the proposed Ordinance and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Department is recommending approval of the proposed Ordinance because it supports the Commerce and Industry Element's goals to support existing commercial businesses, and supports the Mission Area Plan's goal to continue existing, legal nonconforming uses that benefit the neighborhood. Additionally, the Ordinance would help prevent a vacancy on Mission Street, which has been an increasing concern in all commercial corridors. However, the Department is concerned about the continuation of a Parking Lot Use in one of the most transit rich areas of the City, and the Ordinance's inconsistency with the City's Transit First Policy. The Department also notes that while the ordnance should not further burden the neighborhood, it does not help advance racial and social equity in the Mission; therefore, the Department strongly recommends that the property owner use the next five years to engage with the community about future development opportunities on this site. That engagement must take into consideration the goals and policies outlined in MAP 2020 and the General Plan, the City's Transit First Policy, as well as advancing racial and social equity in the Mission.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may approve it, reject it, or approve it with modifications.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

PUBLIC COMMENT

As of the date of this report, the Planning Department has not received any public comment regarding the proposed Ordinance.

Attachments:

Exhibit A: **Draft Planning Commission Resolution** Exhibit B: Board of Supervisors File No. 200421

Planning Commission Draft Resolution

HEARING DATE JULY 16, 2020

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Project Name: Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots -

Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial 2020-005179PCA [Board File No. 200421]

Case Number: 2020-005179PCA [Board File No. 200421]

Initiated by: Supervisor Ronen / Introduced April 28, 2020

Staff Contact: Veronica Flores, Legislative Affairs

Veronica.Flores@sfgov.org, 415-575-9173

Reviewed by: Aaron D Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 415-558-6362

Fax: **415.558.6409**

Planning Information: **415.558.6377**

RESOLUTION APPROVING A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD THE PLANNING CODE TO ALLOW IN THE MISSION STREET NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL TRANSIT DISTRICT THE CONTINUANCE OF A NONCONFORMING PARKING LOT THAT IS ON THE SITE OF A DESIGNATED CITY LANDMARK; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE, SECTION 101.1; AND ADOPTING FINDINGS OF PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND GENERAL WELFARE UNDER PLANNING CODE, SECTION 302.

WHEREAS, on April 28, 2020 Supervisor Ronen introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 200421, which would amend the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming Parking Lot that is on the site of a designated City landmark;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on July 16, 2020; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15378 and 15060(c)(2); and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby **approves** the proposed ordinance.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The proposed Ordinance would support an existing nonconforming Parking Lot use and help avoid vacancies in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District.
- 2. General Plan Compliance. The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 2

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

Policy 2.1

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the city.

MISSION AREA PLAN

OBJECTIVE 1.3

INSTITUTE FLEXIBLE "LEGAL NONCONFORMING USE" PROVISIONS TO ENSURE A CONTINUED MIX OF USES IN THE MISSION

Policy 1.3.1

Continue existing, legal nonconforming rules, which permit pre-existing establishments to remain legally even if they no longer conform to new zoning provisions, as long as the use was legally established in the first place.

OBJECTIVE 1.3

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN THE MISSION'S NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS

Policy 1.8.2

Ensure that the Mission's neighborhood commercial districts continue to serve the needs of residents, including immigrant and low-income households.

The proposed Ordinance would support an existing nonconforming Parking Lot use within a City designated landmark. This is especially important since there are development constraints on historic

Case No. 2020-005179PCA Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots – Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District

landmark sites, even if the Parking Lot use itself is not considered historic. Even though the Ordinance is not consistent with the Transit-First Policy, this Ordinance helps ensure that the property retains a neighborhood business, even if just on a temporary basis of five years.

- 3. **Planning Code Section 101 Findings.** The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:
 - 1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would help address neighborhood commercial vacancies by supporting an existing, legal noncomplying Parking Lot.
 - 2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.
 - 3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.
 - 4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.
 - 5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.
 - 6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.
 - 7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

Resolution XXXXXX July 16, 2020 Case No. 2020-005179PCA
Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots –
Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

4. **Planning Code Section 302 Findings.** The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby APPROVES the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on July 16, 2020.

Jonas P. Ionin Commission Secretary

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: July 16, 2020

1	[Planning Code - Continuation of Use for Certain Nonconforming Parking Lots - Mission Stree Neighborhood Commercial Transit District]
2	3
3	Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street Neighborhood
4	Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming parking lot that is on
5	the site of a designated City landmark; affirming the Planning Department's
6	determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of
7	consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code,
8	Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and general
9	welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.
10	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.
11	Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> . Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u> .
12	Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code
13	subsections or parts of tables.
14	
15	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
16	
17	Section 1. Findings.
18	(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
19	ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
20	Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
21	Supervisors in File No and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board
22	affirms this determination.
23	(b) On, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No
24	adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance,
25	with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The

1 Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of 2 the Board of Supervisors in File No. ______, and is incorporated herein by reference. 3 (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that these Planning Code amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and general 4 5 welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. ______ 6 7 Section 2. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Section 184, to read as 8 follows: SEC. 184. SHORT-TERM CONTINUANCE OF CERTAIN NONCONFORMING USES. 9 Except as provided in subsection (c) below, Tthe period of time during which the following 10 11 nonconforming uses may continue or remain shall be limited to five years from the effective 12 date of this Code (May 2, 1960), or of the amendment thereto which caused the use to be 13 nonconforming. Every such nonconforming use shall be completely eliminated within 90 days 14 after the expiration of such period. 15 (a) A Parking Lot or any other Any nonconforming commercial or industrial use of land where no enclosed building is involved in such use, except for permanent off-street 16 17 Parking Lots in the C-3-O, C-3-R, and C-3-G Districts existing on the effective date of 18 Ordinance No. 414-85, provided that such lots are screened in the manner required by 19 Section 156(e); such permanent uses shall be eliminated no later than five years and 90 days 20 from the effective date of an amendment to this Code that makes such permanent uses 21 nonconforming. 22 (b) Any use of a type first permitted as a pPrincipal or eConditional uUse in an NC, 23 RC, C, or M District or in a Residential-Commercial Combined District, when occupying a

building in an R District other than a Residential-Commercial Combined District that has an

24

assessed valuation not in excess of \$500 on the effective date of this Code or such later date as the use becomes nonconforming, with the following exceptions:

- (1) Any lawful use in this category in a building having an assessed valuation of \$250 or more on the effective date of this Code, or such later date as the use becomes nonconforming, shall have a period of permitted continuance of 10 years from the date at which the property was placed in a residential zoning classification, if such a period of continuance produces an expiration date that is later than the expiration date stated above; or
- (2) Any lawful use in this category that is of a type first permitted in an NC-1 District; or of a type first permitted in any other district and supplying commodities at retail, or offering personal services, primarily to residents of the immediate vicinity; shall have a period of permitted continuance of 10 years from the effective date of this Code, or of the amendment thereto that caused the use to be nonconforming. After five years of such period have elapsed, any use as described in this Paragraph (b)(2) shall, upon application, be qualified for consideration by the Planning Commission as a conditional use as regulated in Section 303 of this Code.
- (c) In the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, a Parking Lot that is on the site of a designated landmark under Article 10 of this Code as of the effective date of this

 Ordinance No. _____ may continue its Use as a Parking Lot for five additional years from the original expiration date provided by this Section 184.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance

1	Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors	
2	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,	
3	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal	
4	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment	
5	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under	
6	the official title of the ordinance.	
7		
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
9	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney	
10	By: /s/ Judith A. Boyajian	
11	JUDITH A. BOYAJIAN Deputy City Attorney	
12	n:\legana\as2020\2000461\01444330.docx	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 6, 2020

File No. 200421

Lisa Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On April 28, 2020, Supervisor Ronen submitted the following legislation:

File No. 200421

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming parking lot that is on the site of a designated City landmark; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and general welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Don Lewis, Environmental Planning

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 6, 2020

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On April 28, 2020, Supervisor Ronen introduced the following legislation:

File No. 200421

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street
Neighborhood Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming
parking lot that is on the site of a designated City landmark; affirming the
Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality
Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority
policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public
necessity, convenience, and general welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302(b), for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

c: Rich Hillis, Director
Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
Lisa Gibson, Environmental Review Officer
AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs
Devyani Jain, Deputy Environmental Review Officer
Adam Varat, Acting Director of Citywide Planning
Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs
Andrea Ruiz-Esquide, Deputy City Attorney
Joy Navarrete, Major Environmental Analysis

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction	or meeting date	
	. (44444)	
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance	e, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendmen	nt).
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Re	ference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at C	ommittee.	
4. Request for letter beginning:"Supervisor		inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.		
6. Call File No.	from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written me	otion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.		
9. Reactivate File No.		
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance b	pefore the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The propose	ed legislation should be forwarded to the follower	lowing:
Small Business Commission	Youth Commission Ethics Co	ommission
Planning Commission	Building Inspection Commiss	sion
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution i	not on the printed agenda), use the Imper	ative Form.
Sponsor(s):		
Ronen		
Subject:		
[Planning Code - Continuation of Use for Certain Commercial District]	Nonconforming Parking Lots in the Mission	1 Street Neighborhood
The text is listed:		
Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District the continuance of a nonconforming parking lot that is on the site of a designated City landmark; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and general welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.		
Signature of Spo	nsoring Supervisor: /s/ Hillary Ronen	

For Clerk's Use Only