MOTION NO.

1	[Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - 617 Sanchez Street]
2	
3	Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed
4	project at 617 Sanchez Street is categorically exempt from further environmental
5	review.
6	
7	WHEREAS, On April 8, 2019, the Planning Department issued a CEQA Categorical
8	Exemption Determination for the proposed project located at 617 Sanchez Street ("Project")
9	under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San
10	Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and
11	WHEREAS, The approximately 2,600-square-foot project site (Assessor's Block 3600
12	and Lot 055) is located on Sanchez Street between 19th and Cumberland streets in the
13	Castro/Upper Market neighborhood; this block of Sanchez Street is a dead end with no
14	vehicle access to 19th Street; the Sanchez Street stairs provides pedestrian access from this
15	block of Sanchez Street to 19th Street; the surrounding area is characterized by residential
16	properties; and
17	WHEREAS, The subject site is a 105-foot by 25-foot lateral and down sloping lot that
18	contains a two-story, single-family home in the rear portion of the lot and a free-standing, one-
19	story garage structure at the front; and
20	WHEREAS, The Project consists of the demolition of the existing two-story, single-
21	family home and free- standing garage, and the construction of an approximately 4,200-
22	square-foot, single-family home; the proposed four-bedroom home would be approximately 27
23	feet in height at the front of the lot and 41-feet tall from grade to the top of the uppermost roof
24	at the rear of the lot; the Project contains one off-street parking space and one bicycle parking
25	

space and involves excavation to a depth of 16 feet resulting in approximately 650 cubic yards
of soil removal; and

3 WHEREAS, The Planning Department, pursuant to Title 14 of the CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15300-15333), 4 5 issued a categorical exemption for the Project on September 5, 2019, finding that the Project 6 is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as a Class 3 categorical 7 exemption (New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures), and that no further 8 environmental review was required; and 9 WHEREAS, On February 20, 2020, the Planning Commission passed a resolution denying a discretionary review request at a public hearing which constituted the approval 10 action for the Project under CEQA; and 11 12 WHEREAS, On March 23, 2020, Sue Hestor on behalf of Joreg Rathenerg (hereinafter 13 appellant) filed an appeal of the categorical exemption determination to the Board of 14 Supervisors; and WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated June 29, 2020, the 15 Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely 16 filed; and 17 18 WHEREAS, On December 1, 2020, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to 19 consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant; and 20 WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board 21 reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the 22 appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before 23 the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and 24 25

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors
affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the
Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and
opposed to the appeal; and

5 WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the 6 appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the 7 Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of 8 the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 200825 and is 9 incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by
reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the Project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for an exemption determination under CEQA.

- 22
- 23 n:\land\as2020\1900434\01469390.docx
- 24
- 25