San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

121 people have signed a petition on Action Network telling you to We oppose the landlocked lot construction project at Fulton and Masonic.

Here is the petition they signed:

Dear Supervisors,

We oppose the landlocked lot construction project at 1846 Grove Street and ask the Board to disapprove this proposal.

The project site has one narrow 3.5-foot-wide breezeway as its only entrance and exit. Building four units at this site will lead to an unsafe situation for occupants and responding emergency personnel in the event of an emergency such as a fire. We ask that you enforce the applicable building and fire codes and deny this project's conditional use application.

Your Name

You can view each petition signer and the comments they left you below.

Thank you,

Malinda Tuazon

1. Pat Forbeck (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I am deeply concerned about the safety of this project. 4 units in such a congested space, with only a narrow corridor for access to the street -through which fire crews will also need access to fight any incident - seems a recipe for disaster. Since fire crews would be delayed getting to any incident at this building as a result of the problematic access, the numerous abutting buildings (and lives of those residents) would also be put at risk. Please reconsider this unsafe proposal.

2. M Davignon (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I oppose this project

3. Abigail Kingan (ZIP code: 94117)

I oppose this infill project. I am a resident of this block. This project is too dense and unsafe. The space is zoned for 2 units and the developer will not consider two units.

4. Anne Lakota (*ZIP code: 94949*)

5. Anita Lofton (ZIP code: 94114)

I oppose this construction.

6. Anne Megan McCarthy (ZIP code: 94117)

7. Colleen Anderson (ZIP code: 94122)

To: San Francisco Board of Supervisors

From: [Your Name]

Dear Supervisors,

We oppose the landlocked lot construction project at 1846 Grove Street and ask the Board to disapprove this proposal.

The project site has one narrow 3.5-foot-wide breezeway as its only entrance and exit. Building four units at this site will lead to an unsafe situation for occupants and responding emergency personnel in the event of an emergency such as a fire. We ask that you enforce the applicable building and fire codes and deny this project's conditional use application.

Sincerely, Colleen Anderson

- 8. Annick Persinger (ZIP code: 90275)
- **9. Basil Ayish** (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I am all for appropriate development in appropriate locations. This proposal fails on both counts.

10. emmeline chu (*ZIP code: 94116*) opposed to this unsafe development

- 11. Brandon Daniel (ZIP code: 94114)
- 12. Silvia Navarro (ZIP code: 94565)
- **13. Christine Boyle** (*ZIP code: 94102*)
- 14. Brandon Keefe (ZIP code: 94117)

I am a neighbor that would be impacted by the lack of fire safety.

15. Brie McFarland (ZIP code: 97206)

Unsafe!

- 16. Brittany Stonesifer (ZIP code: 94118)
- **17. Brooke Harris** (*ZIP code: 95116*)
- 18. Carolyn Mitsi Hanrahan (ZIP code: 94115)
- **19. Cat Stevans** (*ZIP code: 94122*)

This proposed project defies all reason and logic. It is the definition of a fire trap.

20. Christopher Fortier (*ZIP code: 94117*)

21. wilson chu (*ZIP code: 94116*)

don't believe the paid lobby YIMBYs. there are not affordable housing units here. only luxury condos over a million dollars. shame on you for using affordable housing groups to get this approved. when there are no such units being built.

- **22. Cole Keister** (*ZIP code: 97202*)
- 23. Christopher Green (ZIP code: 87111)

24. David Troup (*ZIP code: 94114*)

This is insane and should not be allowed.

- **25. David Ahn** (*ZIP code: 94102*)
- **26. Dana Curtis** (*ZIP code: 95210*)

27. David Rinaldo (*ZIP code: 94117*)

This project is inherently unsafe. Should a fire, earthquake or other disaster block this narrow exit, the residents of this infill development will have no means of egress. I do not believe any building with a single entrance would be permitted.

- **28. Elayne Wesley** (*ZIP code: 94109*)
- **29. Elaine Robertson** (*ZIP code: 94117*)
- **30. Emily Sellers** (*ZIP code: 94118*)
- **31. Rachel Clee** (*ZIP code: 94117*)

32. F Yoo (*ZIP code: 94110*)

If you don't want to live with neighbors, move to the suburbs.

- **33. Christine Geiser** (*ZIP code: 94110*)
- **34. Ginger Daughtry** (*ZIP code: 94602*)
- **35. Kevin Bard** (*ZIP code: 94102*)
- **36. Gus Hernandez** (*ZIP code: 94117*)

37. Marc Fletcher (*ZIP code: 94117*)

The street access is so narrow it would be difficult for emergency services to access the proposed

housing.

38. Christine Harper (*ZIP code: 90042*)

39. Heike Rapp (*ZIP code: 94117*)

Please keep SF safe and don't overbuild. Seems like commercial real estate can be converted to apartments.

40. Helen Robertson (ZIP code: 94903)

Limited access in event of fire

41. Henry Tang (*ZIP code: 94117*)

This project is unlike any other proposed projects in S.F. It is confined by a narrow 3.5 ft by 50 ft breezeway as the only means of access/egress which renders the proposed development unsafe for its residents and neighbors. If built, it will be a monument to the folly of the current city government.

42. lan Robertson (*ZIP code: 94903*)

This project should be denied permission. It is dangerously cramped with poor fire services entry.

43. Jeffreyasko Masko (ZIP code: 94117)

The fire dept has said it could handle fires in the back but never said how they would handle an obstructed passage in an emergency. There are too many issues with safety and density to see this as a viable project

44. jason chujason chu (ZIP code: 94122)

Neighbors were not properly noticed of meetings regarding the development which is why the developers did not receive feedback. One time meeting location was changed the day before and the only notice was posted to the gate for the subject property. Plans issued to the planning commission de-emphasize the height and lack of setback given to neighbors. Requests for compromise were scoffed at or ignored. Fire and emergency safety is being ignored with a 3.5 foot wide egress/ingress as the ONLY way to get in or out. The developer should follow the rules and just build two units, not stuff five units (later changed to 4 units but no change in overall use of land space) without consideration of safety for the people who will live in his luxury condo units. He also wants to build all of this with a wheelbarrow and shopping cart (his words at a planning commission meeting) as he can't get heavy machinery into the lot to build. Basically I'd be scared for the new owners. And as for affordable housing ... there is none. Its all going to be million \$ plus luxury condo. With COVID 19, less dense housing saves lives. There is a mass exodus out of cities due to covid 19. The virus has solved the rental price crisis by making people realize its great to live outside of dense cities. Learn from NYC ... dense housing = more viral infections.

45. Jay Keister (*ZIP code: 97461*)

I am Jean Kellogg's brother and have spent many days at her house. I was shocked to hear of this proposal. Any reasonable person would think it crazy to cram such a building into such a small and inaccessible place. The best city in the country can do better.

46. Julie Stiefel Stiefel (*ZIP code: 94115-5315*)

47. Jeff Dewey (*ZIP code: 94117*)

48. Jessica Potts (*ZIP code: 94117*)

This project is completely unsafe for current and future neighbors. We need additional housing in San Francisco, but in a safe way.

49. Jennifer Liu (*ZIP code: 94110*)

50. Johnathon Garcia (*ZIP code: 95811*)

51. Jia Rogal (*ZIP code: 94117*)

We're believe this project sets a dangerous precedent for the neighborhood.

52. Jean Kellogg (*ZIP code: 94117*)

The safety issues with the single very narrow entrance greatly concerns me. If there's a fire or other emergency, only one person can get through those 50 feet at a time. So anyone entering as someone is trying to get out will cause blockage. I'm concerned for all - those living in the project and those living in the buildings surrounding it.

53. John-Mark Ikeda (*ZIP code: 94117*)

This lot not only provides much needed green space for all residents on our block but adding all those units and residents with only one small 3.5 foot access point that goes back over 100 feet would be a significant safety issue.

The builder has done little to nothing to address concerns of the neighbors which is why almost everyone on our block vocally opposes it.

54. Jodi Sommers (*ZIP code:* 97461)

I am concerned with fire safety for this development.

55. jonathan chu (*ZIP code: 94121*)

development for profit ... not for people. safety issues abound from fire to covid-19 spread with this high density housing. no affordable housing component.

56. Judi Bolanos (*ZIP code: 94070*)

57. Julia Warthin (*ZIP code: 94901*)

As a 3rd generation San Francisco native I know that this development will not benefit our community. We need to build up NOT out.

Julia Warthin

58. Guy Silvestro (*ZIP code: 94117*)

59. karen liu (*ZIP code: 94122*)

ill conceived.

not what san francisco needs.

we need open space, we need affordable housing. we need safe housing. this provides none of that ... only profits for wealthy investors and developers. a developer with a public history of not cooperating with neighbors or the city -- just google his name

60. Karlie Guthrie (ZIP code: 94114)

61. Katrina McHugh (ZIP code: 94121)

62. Kam Bacon (*ZIP code: 94103*)

Stop the madness

63. Kenya Sims (*ZIP code: 94619*)

64. Kevin Tang (*ZIP code: 94117*)

65. Brian Kingan (*ZIP code: 94117*)

We're only asking that the investor adhere to the planning and building code rules and be fair.

66. Kirsten VerHaar (ZIP code: 94123)

67. Kristin Tieche (ZIP code: 94117)

I live on Fulton an I oppose this development scheme.

68. michael leeder (*ZIP code: 94117*)

69. Linda Ordonio-Dixon (*ZIP code: 94510*)

This really is unsafe for the surrounding homes. Please don't approve this.

70. Damien Ivan (*ZIP code: 94103*)

This is abdurd

71. Evaristo Sandoval (*ZIP code: 94110*)

72. Larry O'Loane (*ZIP code: 99901*)

I am familiar with this property and can not think of a less suitable use than the proposed development.

73. Purvi Sahu (*ZIP code: 94115*)

74. Malinda Tuazon (*ZIP code: 94117*)

75. Marian Ivan (*ZIP code: 94117-1225*)

This project is inappropriate for the site.

76. Marina Solomon (*ZIP code: 94118*)

77. Matt Bissinger (*ZIP code: 94117*) We don't want to lose our open space!

78. Maxine Raphael (ZIP code: 94118)

79. Meg Tuazon Shemai (ZIP code: 87122)

Don't build these properties!

80. Meg Gray (*ZIP code: 94117*)

81. Michelle Nardella (ZIP code: 94102)

82. Mike Andrews (*ZIP code: 94118*)

83. Mathew Mitchell (ZIP code: 94117)

84. Maya Lujan (*ZIP code: 78736*)

85. Monica Schlaug (*ZIP code: 90026*)

86. Michelle Dobrow (ZIP code: 94127)

87. Nadia Muwafi (*ZIP code: 94127*)

88. Sophia T (*ZIP code: 94118*)

89. Ozzie Rohm (*ZIP code: 94114*)

90. Pedro Vidal (*ZIP code: 94102*)

91. Priya Talreja (*ZIP code: 94043*)

We live in an earthquake/fire hazard environment to start with and a place like this does not seem appropriate in this type of environment.

92. Alyce Kalmar (*ZIP code: 94110*)

Do you remember Ghostship?? This is a terrible idea.

93. Richard Kay (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I can't believe that Planning would permit a project like this -- it seems so unsafe. I wonder if down

the road, if there's ever an emergency such as a quake, with zero access for emergency vehicles, could the City be held liable for permitting this development.

94. Mark D'Avignon (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I oppose this project.

95. pota perimenis (*ZIP code: 94117*)

Opposed!! This lot is virtually landlocked and is not in keeping with San Francisco planning provisions. It should have been parceled as part of people's back yards long ago, like other similar lots. Having a 3.5 foot wide access to the street makes it a safety hazard to be avoided, not an opportunity to build on.

96. Margaret Rothschild (ZIP code: 94705)

97. Sara George (*ZIP code: 94103*)

98. Sara McNulty (*ZIP code: 94114*)

99. Seth Schoenfeld (ZIP code: 94118)

This is the wrong place for a project like this and will dramatically reduce the quality of life not only for the tenants and property owners already loving there but for the new occupants, as well. I oppose this project strenuously.

100. renee curran (*ZIP code: 94122*)

101. Victor Valdiviezo (*ZIP code: 94115*)

102. Suzanne Gelber Rinaldo (*ZIP code: 94117*)

We strongly protest this poorly located development that does nothing to address fire and safety and egress concerns and in fact poses an unacceptable hazard to existing dwellings.

103. Susan Prion (*ZIP code: 94117-1216*)

104. Shannon Bolt (*ZIP code: 94110*)

105. Rhonda Smith (*ZIP code: 94134*)

106. Spike Wray kirk (*ZIP code: 47401*)

107. Ben Stefonik (*ZIP code: 94117*)

108. Stephanie Bourne (*ZIP code: 94110*)

PLEASE respect the safety and uniqueness that makes our SF neighborhoods unique and liveable

109. Suzanne Glynne (*ZIP code: 94117*)

110. Christine Wilkin (ZIP code: 89434)

111. Thomas Ballard (*ZIP code: 94115*)

112. Valencia Herrera (ZIP code: 94124)

113. Vincent Pietromartire (*ZIP code: 94115*)

This plan was wrong when I first heard about last year. In the current (Covid-19) era we are now in this plan makes even less sense. the Safety/ emergency response issues are numerous and far outweigh the gain of 4 additional units.

114. William Dice (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I oppose the landlocked lot construction project at Fulton and Masonic. This neighborhood is dense enough without shoving buildings into my neighbor's back yard

115. Lisa Awbrey (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I live within 2 blocks of the site. I have walked through the property. Endangering hundreds of neighbors by developing a landlocked parcel is completely irresponsible. The sole access point to the back lot is a narrow 3.5 foot wide alley, the only way in or out. Building multiple units on a back lot with a single way in and out violates fire and safety codes.

116. Zuhra St. Denny (*ZIP code: 94117*)