File No. 200801

Committee Item No. _____ Board Item No. _5

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _____ Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date:

Date: September 1, 2020

Cmte Board

	\square	Motion
H		Resolution
		Ordinance
		Legislative Digest
		Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
		Youth Commission Report
	\square	Introduction Form
		Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
		MOU
		Grant Information Form
		Grant Budget
		Subcontract Budget
		Contract/Agreement
		Form 126 – Ethics Commission
		Award Letter
		Application

Public Correspondence

OTHER

Prepared by:	Lisa Lew	Date:	August 7, 2020
Prepared by:	Lisa Lew	Date:	August 28, 2020

1	[Affirming the Revised Mitigated Negative Declaration - Proposed 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street Project]
2	
3	Motion affirming the Revised Mitigated Negative Declaration prepared by the Planning
4	Department under the California Environmental Quality Act for the proposed project
5	located at 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street.
6	
7	WHEREAS, A Preliminary Mitigated Negative Declaration ("MND") for the proposed
8	project located at 3516-3526 Folsom Street ("Project") was published on April 26, 2017; and
9	WHEREAS, On May 16, 2017, Kathy Angus, on behalf of the Bernal Heights South
10	Slope Organization, filed a letter appealing the Preliminary MND; and
11	WHEREAS, On June 15, 2017, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on the
12	merits of the appeal, and affirmed the Environmental Review Officer's decision to issue the
13	MND pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code, Sections
14	21000 et seq. ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, 14 Cal. Code of Reg., Sections 15000 et seq.,
15	and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, finding that the Project could not
16	have a significant impact on the environment; and
17	WHEREAS, On July 17, 2017, Ryan Patterson of Zacks, Freeman and Patterson, on
18	behalf of Bernal Heights South Slope Organization, Bernal Safe & Livable, Neighbors Against
19	the Upper Folsom Street Extension, Gail Newman and Ann Lockett filed a letter appealing the
20	MND; and
21	WHEREAS, That appeal was heard by the Board of Supervisors ("Board") on
22	September 12, 2017, and at the conclusion of that hearing the Board adopted two motions:
23	Motion No. M17-130, conditionally reversing the approval of the MND for the Project, subject
24	to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of this determination, and Motion
25	

M17-131, directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the approval by the
 Planning Commission of the MND for the Project; and

- 3 WHEREAS, On September 26, 2017, the Board adopted Motion No. M17-152, reversing the approval by the Planning Commission of the MND for the Project, and 4 5 requesting that the Planning Department undertake further analysis with respect to the 6 potential vibration impacts of Project construction on PG&E Pipeline 109 - specifically, 7 whether such impacts could create a risk to public safety; and 8 WHEREAS, Also in Motion No. M17-152, the Board instructed the Planning 9 Department to prepare a Vibration Management Plan for the Project prior to the issuance of the revised environmental review document, and a site-specific Emergency Response and 10 11 Evacuation Plan to ensure adequate access for emergency response and the ability for a safe 12 and timely evacuation of the site; and 13 WHEREAS, The Board also found, in Motion No. M17-152, that as to all other issues, 14 the MND conformed to the requirements of CEQA and was adequate, accurate, and objective; that the record did not include substantial evidence to support a fair argument that the Project 15 16 may have a significant effect on the environment, and no further analysis is required; and 17 WHEREAS, On March 25, 2020, the Planning Department issued a Revised MND, 18 responding to Motion No. M17-152; and WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on April 19 20 24, 2020, Kathy Angus, on behalf of the Bernal Heights South Slope Organization 21 ("Appellant"), appealed the Revised MND; and 22 WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by
- 23 memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated July 27, 2020, determined that the appeal had

24 been timely filed; and

25

WHEREAS, On September 1, 2020, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to
 consider the appeal of the Revised MND filed by Appellant; and

WHEREAS, The project site consists of two vacant lots located on the west side of the
unimproved ("paper street") segment of Folsom Street, between Chapman Street and Bernal
Heights Boulevard, in the Bernal Heights neighborhood; and

6 WHEREAS, The Project involves the construction of two single-family residences on 7 these two vacant lots, along the west side of the unimproved portion of Folsom Street; the 8 construction of the connecting segment of Folsom Street to provide vehicle and pedestrian 9 access to the project site; and the construction of a stairway between Folsom Street and 10 Bernal Heights Boulevard; each single-family home would be 27 feet tall, two stories overgarage with one off-street vehicle parking spaces accessed from a twelve-foot-wide garage 11 12 door; the 3516 Folsom Street building would be approximately 2,551 square feet of gross 13 living space; the 3526 Folsom Street building would be approximately 2,384 square feet of 14 gross living space; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the Revised MND, the Board reviewed and considered the environmental determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department and the project sponsor prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

20 WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the 21 appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the 22 Board by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the Revised 23 MND is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 200800 and is incorporated in this 24 motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

25

1	MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco
2	hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set
3	forth, the Revised MND; and, be it
4	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole
5	record before it there are no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project
6	circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the
7	conclusions set forth in the Revised MND; and, be it
8	FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the Revised MND,
9	including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public
10	testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the Revised MND, this
11	Board concludes that the Project qualifies for a MND and that no fair argument supported by
12	substantial evidence in the record has been presented that the Project as proposed would
13	result in any significant impact on the environment.
14	
15	n:\land\as2020\1900434\01468064.docx
16	
17	
18	
19	

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

1006	
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinar	ance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).
2. Request for next printed agenda Without	t Reference to Committee.
✓ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at	at Committee.
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor	r inquirie
5. City Attorney Request.	
6. Call File No.	from Committee.
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written	n motion).
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance	ice before the BOS on
Small Business Commission	posed legislation should be forwarded to the following: Youth Commission Ethics Commission Building Inspection Commission
	on not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form.
	on not on the printed agenda), use the imperative rorm.
Sponsor(s): Clerk of the Board	
Subject:	
	claration - Proposed 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street Project
The text is listed:	
Motion affirming the Revised Mitigated Negativ	tive Declaration prepared by the Planning Department under th proposed project located at 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street.
Signature of S	Sponsoring Supervisor:

For Clerk's Use Only