San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

230 people have signed a petition on Action Network telling you to We oppose the landlocked lot construction project at Fulton and Masonic.

Here is the petition they signed:

Dear Supervisors,

We oppose the landlocked lot construction project at 1846 Grove Street and ask the Board to disapprove this proposal.

The project site has one narrow 3.5-foot-wide breezeway as its only entrance and exit. Building four units at this site will lead to an unsafe situation for occupants and responding emergency personnel in the event of an emergency such as a fire. We ask that you enforce the applicable building and fire codes and deny this project's conditional use application.

Your Name

You can view each petition signer and the comments they left you below.

Thank you,

Malinda Tuazon

1. Yuko Juma (ZIP code: CA94536)

2. Pat Forbeck (ZIP code: 94117)

I am deeply concerned about the safety of this project. 4 units in such a congested space, with only a narrow corridor for access to the street -through which fire crews will also need access to fight any incident - seems a recipe for disaster. Since fire crews would be delayed getting to any incident at this building as a result of the problematic access, the numerous abutting buildings (and lives of those residents) would also be put at risk. Please reconsider this unsafe proposal.

3. M Davignon (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I oppose this project

4. Abbie Chen (*ZIP code: 94602*)

5. Abigail Kingan (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I oppose this infill project. I am a resident of this block. This project is too dense and unsafe. The space is zoned for 2 units and the developer will not consider two units.

6. Anne Lakota (ZIP code: 94949)

7. Anita Lofton (ZIP code: 94114)

I oppose this construction.

8. Alyssandra Wu (ZIP code: 94132)

9. Anne Megan McCarthy (ZIP code: 94117)

10. Amelia Holst (*ZIP code: 94117*)

this is not safe

11. amie Dowling (*ZIP code: 94410*)

12. Amy Weiss (*ZIP code: 94110*)

13. Amy Somers (*ZIP code:* 93923)

Please leave this space green and beautiful ___

14. Colleen Anderson (ZIP code: 94122)

To: San Francisco Board of Supervisors

From: [Your Name]

Dear Supervisors,

We oppose the landlocked lot construction project at 1846 Grove Street and ask the Board to disapprove this proposal.

The project site has one narrow 3.5-foot-wide breezeway as its only entrance and exit. Building four units at this site will lead to an unsafe situation for occupants and responding emergency personnel in the event of an emergency such as a fire. We ask that you enforce the applicable building and fire codes and deny this project's conditional use application.

Sincerely, Colleen Anderson

15. Angela Zhao (*ZIP code: 94107*)

16. Anita Lee (*ZIP code: 94121*)

17. Annarita Scaramozza (ZIP code: 94117)

18. Annick Persinger (*ZIP code: 90275*)

19. Avery Flasher-Duzgunes (ZIP code: 94941)

20. Ayana Yonesaka (*ZIP code: 94118*)

21. Basil Ayish (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I am all for appropriate development in appropriate locations. This proposal fails on both counts.

22. Matthew Langlois (*ZIP code: 94117*)

Please stop this build.

Our neighborhood and city doesn't need this, especially considering how fast the vacancy rate is rising along with so many newly built luxury apartments sitting empty!

23. emmeline chu (*ZIP code: 94116*)

opposed to this unsafe development

24. Brandon Daniel (*ZIP code: 94114*)

25. Silvia Navarro (*ZIP code: 94565*)

26. Christine Boyle (*ZIP code: 94102*)

27. Brad Aldridge (*ZIP code: 94117*)

28. Brandon Keefe (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I am a neighbor that would be impacted by the lack of fire safety.

29. Brie McFarland (*ZIP code: 97206*)

Unsafe!

30. Brittany Stonesifer (*ZIP code: 94118*)

31. Brooke Harris (*ZIP code: 95116*)

32. Calee Spinney (*ZIP code: 94928*)

33. Christine Cali (*ZIP code: 94117*)

not in my backyard!

34. Carolyn Mitsi Hanrahan (*ZIP code: 94115*)

35. Cat Stevans (*ZIP code: 94122*)

This proposed project defies all reason and logic. It is the definition of a fire trap.

36. Chelsea Brown (*ZIP code: 94605*)

37. Catherine Farmer (*ZIP code:*)

38. Christopher Fortier (*ZIP code: 94117*)

- **39. Chelsea Van Billiard** (*ZIP code: 94131*)
- 40. Chelsea Reichert (ZIP code: 94117)
- **41. Cherie Daly** (*ZIP code: 28056*)
- 42. Chloë Zimberg (ZIP code: 94117)

43. Christie Marshall (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I have written emails to the supervisors to express my opposition to this building project.

- 44. Christina Kitchen (ZIP code: 90808)
- **45.** Amy Mack (*ZIP code: 94116*)

Terrible plan!

46. wilson chu (*ZIP code: 94116*)

don't believe the paid lobby YIMBYs. there are not affordable housing units here. only luxury condos over a million dollars. shame on you for using affordable housing groups to get this approved. when there are no such units being built.

47. Courtney Cavagnero (ZIP code: 97209)

I used to go to school at USF and worked at the Starbucks on that corner. This patch of green is one of my best friend's backyard. Please don't.

- **48. Cole Keister** (*ZIP code: 97202*)
- **49. Colleen Ivie** (*ZIP code: 94117*)

50. Craig Rosen (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I am an owner in the adjacent area and I OPPOSE this construction project.

- **51. Christopher Green** (*ZIP code: 87111*)
- **52. Justin Andrews** (*ZIP code: 94116*)

53. Daniel Saucedo (*ZIP code: 94703*)

This is absurd. With the mass exodus of tech workers happening right now because of Covid, there's absolutely no need to build on every available square inch of land. Shame on you.

54. David Troup (*ZIP code: 94114*)

This is insane and should not be allowed.

55. David Ahn (*ZIP code: 94102*)

- **56. Dana Curtis** (*ZIP code: 95210*)
- **57. Deric Brown** (*ZIP code: 94117*)
- **58. Rachel Tennenbaum** (*ZIP code: 94121*)
- **59. David Rinaldo** (*ZIP code: 94117*)

This project is inherently unsafe. Should a fire, earthquake or other disaster block this narrow exit, the residents of this infill development will have no means of egress. I do not believe any building with a single entrance would be permitted.

- **60. Elayne Wesley** (*ZIP code: 94109*)
- **61. Elaine Robertson** (*ZIP code: 94117*)
- **62. Emily Sellers** (*ZIP code: 94118*)
- **63. Essi Salonen** (*ZIP code: 94110*)
- **64. Farrah McAdam** (*ZIP code: 94928*)
- **65. Rachel Clee** (*ZIP code: 94117*)
- **66. F Yoo** (*ZIP code: 94110*)

If you don't want to live with neighbors, move to the suburbs.

67. Geena Cali (*ZIP code: 94117*)

Do not let this happen.

- **68. Christine Geiser** (*ZIP code: 94110*)
- **69. Emily Navarra** (*ZIP code: 60645*)
- 70. Ginger Daughtry (ZIP code: 94602)
- 71. Meagan Ryall (ZIP code: 95404)
- **72.** Goldameir Clemente (*ZIP code: 94928*)
- **73. Kevin Bard** (*ZIP code: 94102*)
- **74. Gus Hernandez** (*ZIP code: 94117*)

75. Grant Keefe (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I strongly oppose this infill development problem. It would be unsafe for neighborhood and the prospective tenants.

76. Marc Fletcher (*ZIP code: 94117*)

The street access is so narrow it would be difficult for emergency services to access the proposed housing.

77. Christine Harper (ZIP code: 90042)

78. Hannah Marks (*ZIP code:* 96150)

79. Heike Rapp (*ZIP code: 94117*)

Please keep SF safe and don't overbuild. Seems like commercial real estate can be converted to apartments.

80. Helen Robertson (ZIP code: 94903)

Limited access in event of fire

81. Henry Tang (*ZIP code: 94117*)

This project is unlike any other proposed projects in S.F. It is confined by a narrow 3.5 ft by 50 ft breezeway as the only means of access/egress which renders the proposed development unsafe for its residents and neighbors. If built, it will be a monument to the folly of the current city government.

82. Kim Holt (*ZIP code: 94117*)

Unsafe. Limited Space and access. Potential hazard especially if tenants need to exit quickly in an emergency.

83. Hiromu Sogi (*ZIP code: 95476*)

84. lan Robertson (*ZIP code: 94903*)

This project should be denied permission. It is dangerously cramped with poor fire services entry.

85. Jeffreyasko Masko (*ZIP code: 94117*)

The fire dept has said it could handle fires in the back but never said how they would handle an obstructed passage in an emergency. There are too many issues with safety and density to see this as a viable project

86. Jacqueline Burns (ZIP code: 94901)

87. Jasmine Pritchard (ZIP code: 94118)

88. jason chujason chu (ZIP code: 94122)

Neighbors were not properly noticed of meetings regarding the development which is why the developers did not receive feedback. One time meeting location was changed the day before and the

only notice was posted to the gate for the subject property. Plans issued to the planning commission de-emphasize the height and lack of setback given to neighbors. Requests for compromise were scoffed at or ignored. Fire and emergency safety is being ignored with a 3.5 foot wide egress/ingress as the ONLY way to get in or out. The developer should follow the rules and just build two units, not stuff five units (later changed to 4 units but no change in overall use of land space) without consideration of safety for the people who will live in his luxury condo units. He also wants to build all of this with a wheelbarrow and shopping cart (his words at a planning commission meeting) as he can't get heavy machinery into the lot to build. Basically I'd be scared for the new owners. And as for affordable housing ... there is none. Its all going to be million \$ plus luxury condo. With COVID 19, less dense housing saves lives. There is a mass exodus out of cities due to covid 19. The virus has solved the rental price crisis by making people realize its great to live outside of dense cities. Learn from NYC ... dense housing = more viral infections.

89. Jay Keister (*ZIP code:* 97461)

I am Jean Kellogg's brother and have spent many days at her house. I was shocked to hear of this proposal. Any reasonable person would think it crazy to cram such a building into such a small and inaccessible place. The best city in the country can do better.

90. Julie Stiefel Stiefel (*ZIP code: 94115-5315*)

91. Jessica Langlois (ZIP code: 05255)

92. Justine Costerouse (*ZIP code: 94114*)

93. Jeff Dewey (*ZIP code: 94117*)

94. Jennifer Latimer (ZIP code: 94117)

95. Jennifer Satoh (*ZIP code: 94610*)

96. Jennifer Gamble (ZIP code: 94061)

97. Jesse Dunn (*ZIP code: 94117*)

Clearly this is a dangerous and unsafe development project.

98. Jessica Potts (*ZIP code: 94117*)

This project is completely unsafe for current and future neighbors. We need additional housing in San Francisco, but in a safe way.

99. Jennifer Liu (*ZIP code: 94110*)

100. Johnathon Garcia (ZIP code: 95811)

101. Jia Rogal (*ZIP code: 94117*)

We're believe this project sets a dangerous precedent for the neighborhood.

102. Jean Kellogg (*ZIP code: 94117*)

The safety issues with the single very narrow entrance greatly concerns me. If there's a fire or other emergency, only one person can get through those 50 feet at a time. So anyone entering as someone is trying to get out will cause blockage. I'm concerned for all - those living in the project and those living in the buildings surrounding it.

103. John-Mark Ikeda (*ZIP code: 94117*)

This lot not only provides much needed green space for all residents on our block but adding all those units and residents with only one small 3.5 foot access point that goes back over 100 feet would be a significant safety issue.

The builder has done little to nothing to address concerns of the neighbors which is why almost everyone on our block vocally opposes it.

104. Jodi Sommers (*ZIP code:* 97461)

I am concerned with fire safety for this development.

105. joey castor (*ZIP code: 95403*)

106. jonathan chu (*ZIP code: 94121*)

development for profit ... not for people. safety issues abound from fire to covid-19 spread with this high density housing. no affordable housing component.

107. Jordan Wanderer (*ZIP code: 94114*)

108. Judi Bolanos (*ZIP code:* 94070)

109. Julia Daniel (*ZIP code: 95073*)

110. Julia Warthin (*ZIP code: 94901*)

As a 3rd generation San Francisco native I know that this development will not benefit our community. We need to build up NOT out.

Julia Warthin

111. Becca Klarin (*ZIP code: 94117*)

Please do not develop this land as a 4-unit apartment building in a landlocked lot. This plan as proposed, would affect many families and long-term residents on the block.

112. Guy Silvestro (*ZIP code: 94117*)

113. karen liu (*ZIP code: 94122*)

ill conceived.

not what san francisco needs.

we need open space, we need affordable housing. we need safe housing. this provides none of that

... only profits for wealthy investors and developers. a developer with a public history of not cooperating with neighbors or the city -- just google his name

114. Karlie Guthrie (*ZIP code: 94114*)

115. Kate Langlois (*ZIP code: 94122*)

116. Katherine Disenhof (*ZIP code: 94401*)

117. Kathryn Jaller (*ZIP code: 94117*)

118. Katrina McHugh (*ZIP code: 94121*)

119. Kam Bacon (*ZIP code: 94103*)

Stop the madness

120. Keith MacGowan (*ZIP code: 94122*)

121. Kenya Sims (*ZIP code: 94619*)

122. Kevin Tang (*ZIP code: 94117*)

123. Brian Kingan (*ZIP code: 94117*)

We're only asking that the investor adhere to the planning and building code rules and be fair.

124. Kirsten VerHaar (*ZIP code: 94123*)

125. Kristen Daley (*ZIP code: 94952*)

126. Kris Jensen (*ZIP code: 78702*)

127. Kristin Tieche (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I live on Fulton an I oppose this development scheme.

128. Laura Carmany (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I oppose this construction . It is a fire hazard for one but also creates a hyper density of buildings which is not healthy or necessary for both those that abut the property but for the entire neighborhood.

129. Laura Malchow-Hay (*ZIP code: 94610*)

130. Lauren Monheim (*ZIP code: 94619*)

131. Lauren Rosenfield (*ZIP code: 94609*)

132. michael leeder (*ZIP code: 94117*)

133. Leigh Riley (*ZIP code: 94114*)

134. Linda Ordonio-Dixon (*ZIP code: 94510*)

This really is unsafe for the surrounding homes. Please don't approve this.

135. Damien Ivan (*ZIP code: 94103*)

This is abdurd

136. Evaristo Sandoval (*ZIP code: 94110*)

137. Larry O'Loane (*ZIP code: 99901*)

I am familiar with this property and can not think of a less suitable use than the proposed development.

138. Purvi Sahu (*ZIP code: 94115*)

139. Malinda Tuazon (*ZIP code: 94117*)

140. Margaret Ohrn (*ZIP code: 01950*)

141. Marian Ivan (*ZIP code: 94117-1225*)

This project is inappropriate for the site.

142. Marina Solomon (*ZIP code: 94118*)

143. Marshall Woodward (*ZIP code: 04102*)

144. Matt Bissinger (*ZIP code: 94117*)

We don't want to lose our open space!

145. Maxine Raphael (*ZIP code: 94118*)

146. Maria Doglio (*ZIP code: 05775*)

This is a lovely open space. Better made into a park and community gardens for residents is a wiser use of the property. Hope you have success in blocking the apartment development.

147. Melissa Clark (*ZIP code: 95945*)

148. Meg Tuazon Shemai (ZIP code: 87122)

Don't build these properties!

149. Meg Gray (*ZIP code: 94117*)

150. Meegan Hertensteiner (*ZIP code: 94103*)

151. Michelle Nardella (*ZIP code: 94102*)

152. Mike Andrews (*ZIP code: 94118*)

153. Mirba Estrellas (*ZIP code: 94117*)

154. Harmony Jupiter (*ZIP code: 90046*)

I grew up right near here. Too many construction projects ruin the experience of neighbors. Please don't do this!!!

155. Mathew Mitchell (ZIP code: 94117)

156. Maya Lujan (*ZIP code: 78736*)

157. Monica Schlaug (*ZIP code: 90026*)

158. Monique Fong (*ZIP code: 94117*)

159. Michelle Dobrow (*ZIP code: 94127*)

160. Michelle Ciccarello (*ZIP code: 94131*)

161. Nadia Muwafi (*ZIP code: 94127*)

162. Naomi Oppenheim (*ZIP code: 94014*)

163. Nathalie Khankan (*ZIP code: 94117*)

It is the wrong project in the wrong place.

164. Nina Sawant (*ZIP code: 94601*)

165. Sophia T (*ZIP code: 94118*)

166. Natalie Greene (*ZIP code: 94134*)

167. Nina Haft (*ZIP code: 94611*)

Green space is vital to human survival!

168. Oona Wong-Danders (ZIP code: 94609)

169. Ozzie Rohm (*ZIP code: 94114*)

170. Pedro Vidal (*ZIP code: 94102*)

171. Paul Grayson (*ZIP code: 94117*)

172. Pauline Canteneur (*ZIP code: 94110*)

173. Patrick Kelly (*ZIP code:* 91001)

It's dangerous. It takes away valuable green space it should be illegal.

174. Phyllis Moir (*ZIP code: 94127*)

175. Emily Davis (*ZIP code: 97405*)

176. Donovan Plant (*ZIP code: 94109*)

177. Phoenicia Pettyjohn (ZIP code: 94115)

178. Shailesh Phansalkar (ZIP code: 94117)

179. Priya Talreja (*ZIP code: 94043*)

We live in an earthquake/fire hazard environment to start with and a place like this does not seem appropriate in this type of environment.

180. Alyce Kalmar (*ZIP code: 94110*)

Do you remember Ghostship?? This is a terrible idea.

181. Kimberley (*ZIP code: 94928*)

182. Richard Kay (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I can't believe that Planning would permit a project like this -- it seems so unsafe. I wonder if down the road, if there's ever an emergency such as a quake, with zero access for emergency vehicles, could the City be held liable for permitting this development.

183. Rose Allen (*ZIP code: 94611*)

184. Mark D'Avignon (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I oppose this project.

185. pota perimenis (*ZIP code: 94117*)

Opposed!! This lot is virtually landlocked and is not in keeping with San Francisco planning provisions. It should have been parceled as part of people's back yards long ago, like other similar lots. Having a 3.5 foot wide access to the street makes it a safety hazard to be avoided, not an

opportunity to build on.

186. Margaret Rothschild (*ZIP code: 94705*)

187. Sally Spalding (*ZIP code: 95247*)

188. Sara George (*ZIP code: 94103*)

189. Sara McNulty (*ZIP code: 94114*)

190. Sara O'Hearn (*ZIP code: 94103*)

191. Seth Schoenfeld (*ZIP code: 94118*)

This is the wrong place for a project like this and will dramatically reduce the quality of life not only for the tenants and property owners already loving there but for the new occupants, as well. I oppose this project strenuously.

192. renee curran (*ZIP code: 94122*)

193. Victor Valdiviezo (*ZIP code: 94115*)

194. Suzanne Gelber Rinaldo (ZIP code: 94117)

We strongly protest this poorly located development that does nothing to address fire and safety and egress concerns and in fact poses an unacceptable hazard to existing dwellings.

195. sheil Harman (*ZIP code: 94044*)

Please, control the push for fancy is better housing.

196. Susan Prion (*ZIP code: 94117-1216*)

197. Shannon Bolt (*ZIP code: 94110*)

198. Rhonda Smith (*ZIP code: 94134*)

199. Spike Wray kirk (*ZIP code: 47401*)

200. Sonya Lowe (*ZIP code: 94597*)

201. Anjelica Martinez (*ZIP code: 92069*)

202. Jesse Bie (*ZIP code: 94114*)

203. Ben Stefonik (*ZIP code: 94117*)

204. Stephanie Bourne (*ZIP code: 94110*)

PLEASE respect the safety and uniqueness that makes our SF neighborhoods unique and liveable

205. Suzanne Glynne (*ZIP code: 94117*)

206. Christine Wilkin (*ZIP code: 89434*)

207. Sherri Morris (*ZIP code: 94121*)

How would any emergency service easily access a multi-unit building with 3'-6" of frontage space? Alone, the further congestion at an already congested corner should be enough to squash this plan.

208. Thomas Ballard (*ZIP code: 94115*)

209. Claire Shoun (*ZIP code: 94102*)

210. Tom murphy (*ZIP code: 94109*)

follow your own rules

211. Tom Greenberg (*ZIP code: 94709*)

Safety should be a primary concern

Accessibility and safety!

There is no compromise, please do not allow this to move forward!

212. Tony Moir (*ZIP code: 94127*)

213. Thea Patterson (*ZIP code: 94577*)

214. Valencia Herrera (*ZIP code: 94124*)

215. Jenna Valez (*ZIP code: 94109*)

216. Vincent Pietromartire (*ZIP code: 94115*)

This plan was wrong when I first heard about last year. In the current (Covid-19) era we are now in this plan makes even less sense. the Safety/ emergency response issues are numerous and far outweigh the gain of 4 additional units.

217. William Dice (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I oppose the landlocked lot construction project at Fulton and Masonic. This neighborhood is dense enough without shoving buildings into my neighbor's back yard

218. Lisa Awbrey (*ZIP code: 94117*)

I live within 2 blocks of the site. I have walked through the property. Endangering hundreds of neighbors by developing a landlocked parcel is completely irresponsible. The sole access point to the back lot is a narrow 3.5 foot wide alley, the only way in or out. Building multiple units on a back lot with a single way in and out violates fire and safety codes.

219. Whitney Boomer (*ZIP code: 76209*)

220. Zuhra St. Denny (*ZIP code: 94117*)