

From: [Arman Khatchatrian](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Balboa Reservoir Project Case #s: 200422 (SUD), 200635 (General Plan), 200423 (Development Agreement) and 200740 (Purchase and Sale Agreement)
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 9:22:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

My name is Arman Khatchatrian and I live in the Glen Park neighborhood. I have been participating in the community planning process for the Balboa Reservoir and am writing in support of the development proposal being reviewed by the Land Use Committee and on July 27, 2020 and Budget and Finance Committee on July 29, 2020.

Living in San Francisco, we have an opportunity to reduce our reliance on automobiles in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, slow global warming, and reduce automobile congestion in our neighborhoods. This can only be done by encouraging residents to use car-alternatives for getting around our City, whether by walking, biking, and using public transit and minimizing private auto trips. The Reservoir Partners development proposal of 1,100 homes is designed to provide new residents access to modes of transportation that will reduce residents' reliance on cars. The multiple direct pedestrian connections to Ocean Avenue and transit, the new protected bike lanes, bike share docking stations, and bicycle parking all allow people to get around the neighborhood without a car. Car share parking pods and memberships will provide residents with auto options, but along with the unbundled parking associated with the apartments, will help decrease car ownership rates.

In terms of neighborhood transit improvements, the Reservoir development's lengthy planning process and the development's contribution of approximately \$10mil for Transportation Sustainability Fees is spurring improvements along Ocean Avenue planned by SFMTA, the Planning Department, and CCSF. As described in their 4/27/20 Community Advisory Committee presentation, SFMTA is proposing to improve the safety and usability of the Geneva/Ocean Avenue intersection as well as west along Ocean Avenue and to reduce delays along the K, 43, and 29 MUNI lines. CCSF is working with the City to significantly increase the width of the sidewalk along the campus frontage from Frida Kahlo Way east towards the BART and MUNI stations. All of these improvements, and more, will help support the City's Vision Zero plan for Ocean Avenue, making it safer for Ocean Avenue's pedestrians, transit riders, and car drivers, neighbors and shoppers alike. Please support this project.

Arman Khatchatrian
armank0089@gmail.com
124 Bemis St.
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [kathie.piccagli](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 11:55:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Yee,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare. The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for

an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

kathie piccagli
kpiccagli@gmail.com
100 Dorado Terrace
san francisco, California 94112

From: [Jill Stanton](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 4:30:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Ronen,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare. The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for

an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Jill Stanton

jillstanton9@gmail.com

415 Franconia St

San Francisco, California 94110-5735

From: [Nick Reavill](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 5:49:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Ronen,

I'm a resident of San Francisco and constituent of Supervisor Ronen writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

If we are going to fully electrify the economy, which we must to fight the climate crisis, this change to our building codes will have to happen eventually. Why not now, to give people in effected industries a chance to transition (hopefully with support from government).

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was

submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare. The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Nick Reavill
nreavill@gmail.com
646 Felton St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [kevin.meissner](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 6:34:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Ronen,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare. The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for

an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

kevin meissner
chimere@gmail.com
1138 treat ave
san francisco, California 94110

From: [elliott helman](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 8:12:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Haney,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare. The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for

an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

elliot helman

muzungu_x@yahoo.com

626 mission bay blvd N #210

san francisco, California 94158-2497

From: [Maia Piccagli](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 8:46:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Ronen,

I'm a resident of San Francisco District 9, writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare. The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for

an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Sincerely,
Maia Piccagli

Maia Piccagli
maiapic@gmail.com
1577 Treat Ave
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Adrienne Gembala](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 9:01:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Preston,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare. The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Adrienne Gembala
adriennegembala@gmail.com
1617 Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Jacob Hurwitz](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 10:53:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Ronen,

I'm a resident of San Francisco (D9) writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare." The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for

an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Jacob Hurwitz
jacobhurwitz@gmail.com
3228 22nd St
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Joyce Calagos](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Thursday, September 17, 2020 7:22:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Safai,

I'm a resident of San Francisco since 1948! I live in District 11 where my supervisor is Ahsha Safai.

As the very first non-canonically professed Lay Promoter for Justice, Peace and Care of Creation of the Western Dominican Province of the Most Holy Name of Jesus, and as a member of Senior Disability Action, I am writing to urge you to strongly support the ordinance that would ban gas and use only earth-friendly, energy-saving electricity in construction.

Let San Francisco lead our State, our country, and the entire world in caring for creation by adopting this ordinance.

The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by EarthJustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the

news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.

5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare." The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Joyce Calagos
joycecalagos1@gmail.com
1636 Geneva Ave.
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Cherie Salonga](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Thursday, September 17, 2020 10:15:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Mar,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare." The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for

an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Cherie Salonga
cherie.salonga@gmail.com
1401 43rd Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Brittany Schiro](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Thursday, September 17, 2020 10:30:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or

Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare." The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Sincerely,

Brittany Schiro

San Francisco Resident

From: [Daniel Tahara](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Thursday, September 17, 2020 10:36:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Mandelman,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare." The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for

an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Daniel Tahara
dktahara@gmail.com
466 14th St
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Barbara Jue](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Thursday, September 17, 2020 10:52:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Haney,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. Indeed these dangers are exacerbated in an area susceptible to earthquakes.

My particular neighborhood, The East Cut, is filled with recently constructed high-density housing much of which has been equipped with gas kitchens. There has been so much new gas infrastructure to support this development, and the resulting emissions along with the threats listed above put my neighborhood at risk. It certainly doesn't make me feel safe.

Therefore it is important to me that we make the following changes to the ordinance:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
4. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. There is no reason why new kitchens cannot be all-electric going forward.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Barbara Jue
sfbar48@gmail.com
81 Lansing Street, #411

San Francisco, California 94105

From: [Charles Whitfield](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701 (Item 1 of 9/21 Land Use)
Date: Thursday, September 17, 2020 11:37:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Mandelman,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare." The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for

an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Charles Whitfield
whitfield.cw@gmail.com
233 Eureka Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [NIDHI KALRA](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701 (Item 1 of 9/21 Land Use)
Date: Thursday, September 17, 2020 12:01:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Mandelman,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare." The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for

an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

NIDHI KALRA

nidhi.r.kalra@gmail.com

4039 26th St.

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Danielle Maybach](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701 (Item 1 of 9/21 Land Use)
Date: Thursday, September 17, 2020 12:23:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors, and Sup. Stefani,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless deemed to be in the public welfare." The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for

an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Danielle Maybach
danielle.maybach@gmail.com
3106 Fillmore Street, Second Floor
San Francisco, California 94123

From: [C. Homsey](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Re: BoS File 200701
Date: Thursday, September 17, 2020 1:12:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Major,

I'm a resident of San Francisco writing to strongly support prohibiting gas in new construction. The methane leaks, air pollution, and explosion dangers of natural gas are no longer necessary for the functioning of our homes and businesses. San Francisco can lead the state and the country in building a better future.

In addition to recommending the ordinance, I would also like to ask the Commission to recommend the changes to the ordinance as laid out by Earthjustice, the Sierra Club, the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition, and other local groups in their letter to the Commission and Board.

It is important to me that we:

1. Eliminate the feasibility exception to the electric-ready requirement and make fully electric-ready construction a baseline requirement for new construction. We know that the future is electric. Allowing any building to be built that will require massive retrofits in the near future is unacceptable. With full electric readiness, we minimize that retrofit cost.
2. Create a Clean Energy Building Hub through the City and County of San Francisco that provides for the outreach, resources, and education needed to eliminate barriers and maximize opportunity for all-electric new construction to benefit both climate and equity.
3. Expand the ordinance's definition of "mixed-fuel buildings" to include laboratory, industrial, and decorative uses of gas. Gas shouldn't be allowed for upscale decorative uses. It's wrong to harm public health for private enjoyment.
4. Provide additional limitations and transparency in the exemption process to ensure any project found exempt for infeasibility is truly in the public interest. I'm concerned about the news of powerful and connected people being able to get favors from DBI. We need sunshine on the exemption process, and exemptions should only be given in the public interest.
5. Amend section 106A.1.17 to require that the Building Official find "sufficient evidence was submitted to substantiate the infeasibility of an All-Electric Building or Project design without regard to financial, floor-area, or amenity-related loss unless

deemed to be in the public welfare." The housing crisis is real. And we need to find ways of fixing it without sacrificing our children's future. The space taken up by a transformer should not be an acceptable reason for an exemption.

6. Eliminate the blanket exemption for commercial kitchens delaying compliance until 2022. Existing restaurants are not helped by giving builders a pass on making future commercial kitchens all-electric.

Thank you for taking up this important issue and considering the health and safety of our residents and climate.

Sincerely,

Catherine Homsey
140 Bella Vista Way
San Francisco, CA 94127

--

Catherine Homsey
415.608.3181
joyofzerowaste.com
Instagram & Facebook @joyofzerowaste

From: [Rebecca Barker](#)
To: [Tanenberg, Diedre \(ENV\)](#)
Cc: mvespa@earthjustice.org; [Sheehan, Charles \(ENV\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [Hepner, Lee \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Sandoval, Suhagey \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Snyder, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Bintliff, Jacob \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Raphael, Deborah \(ENV\)](#); patrick.o.riordan@sfgov.org; [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Harris, Sonya \(DBI\)](#); dktahara@gmail.com; c@n-a-s-o.com; matt.gough@sierraclub.org
Subject: Comment Letter for July 28 Commission on the Environment Meeting
Date: Monday, July 27, 2020 4:50:45 PM
Attachments: [ATT00001.png](#)
[BE Ordinance Letter of Support from Earthjustice, SC, SFCEC, and Allies.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Attached please find a letter from Earthjustice, Sierra Club, SF Climate Emergency Coalition, and many more allied organizations in support of the building electrification ordinance (Board of Supervisors File No. 200701) listed as agenda item #7 for the Commission on the Environment's July 28 meeting. Please don't hesitate to reach out with any questions or concerns.

Thank you,
Rebecca Barker

Rebecca Barker
She/her/hers
Associate Attorney
Clean Energy Program
50 California Street, Suite 500
San Francisco, CA 94111
Phone: 415.217.2056
rbarker@earthjustice.org



The information contained in this email message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you think that you have received this email message in error, please notify the sender by reply email and delete the message and any attachments.