

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103 628.652.7600 www.sfplanning.org

# **CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination**

# PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)		
436 GEARY ST			0306007		
Case No.			Permit No.		
2020-009596PRJ					
_	ldition/	Demolition (requires HRE for	New		
Alt	teration	Category B Building)	Construction		
		Planning Department approval.			
and a	The property known as Hotel Diva located at 440 Geary Street in San Francisco, CA includes 130 hotel rooms and approximately 4,700 sq feet of ground-floor retail space. The proposed project will convert these units to provide 130 units of group housing/ permanent supportive housing for people experiencing homelessness and vulnerable for COVID-19.				
BOS File no 201193: Resolution authorizing the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) to execute a Standard Agreement and Homekey Documents for up to \$30,000,000 of Homekey grant funds from the California Department of Housing and Community Development to Episcopal Community Services for the acquisition of a 130-room tourist hotel located at 440 Geary Street (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0306, Lot No. 007) for use as proposed future permanent supportive housing; approving and authorizing HSH to commit approximately \$27,473,340 in City funds to satisfy local match and operational subsidy requirements for five years, to commence following Board approval and upon the final award.					
The p	=	ON CLASS etermined to be categorically exempt under the	California Environmental Quality		
The p	project has been d CEQA).				
The p	oroject has been d CEQA). Class 1 - Existin Class 3 - New C	g Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionstruction. Up to three new single-family resider roial/office structures; utility extensions; change of	ions under 10,000 sq. ft.		
The p	Class 1 - Existin  Class 3 - New Combuilding; comme permitted or with  Class 32 - In-Fil 10,000 sq. ft. and (a) The project is policies as well a (b) The proposed substantially surful (c) The project s (d) Approval of the water quality. (e) The site can	g Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additionstruction. Up to three new single-family resider roial/office structures; utility extensions; change of	ions under 10,000 sq. ft.  Inces or six dwelling units in one use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally  The units or additions greater than  Ination and all applicable general plan  Incompanies.  It site of no more than 5 acres  Ithreatened species.  Is relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or		

### **STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS**

## TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

	Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (refer to EP _ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollution Exposure Zone)
	Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential?  Note that a categorical exemption shall not be issued for a project located on the Cortese List if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).
	<b>Transportation:</b> Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students, or a location 1,500 sq. ft. or greater? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? If yes, archeo review is requried (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area)
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography). If yes, Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
	Slope = or > 25%: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
	Seismic: Landslide Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones)  If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
	Seismic: Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.
Com	ments and Planner Signature (optional): Joy Navarrete

### STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER				
PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Property Information Map)				
	Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.			
	Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.			
STE	P 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST			
	E COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER			
Check	all that apply to the project.			
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.			
	2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.			
	3. <b>Window replacement</b> that meets the Department's <i>Window Replacement Standards</i> . Does not include storefront window alterations.			
	4. <b>Garage work.</b> A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.			
	5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.			
	6. <b>Mechanical equipment installation</b> that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.			
	7. <b>Dormer installation</b> that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .			
	8. <b>Addition(s)</b> that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.			
Note:	Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.			
	Project is not listed. <b>GO TO STEP 5.</b>			
	Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.			
STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS - ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER				
Chec	k all that apply to the project.			
	1. Project involves a <b>known historical resource (CEQA Category A)</b> as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.			
	2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.			
	3. <b>Window replacement</b> of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.			
	4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.			
	5. <b>Raising the building</b> in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.			
	6. <b>Restoration</b> based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.			

7. Addition(s), including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way

and meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.

	8. <b>Other work consistent</b> with the <i>Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties</i> (specify or add comments):				
	Tenant improvements only: no physical changes are proposed to the building exterior.				
	9. Other work that would not materially impair a historic district	t (specify or add comments):			
	(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservati	on Coordinator)			
	10. Reclassification of property status. (Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation				
	Reclassify to Category A	Reclassify to Category C			
	a. Per HRER or PTR dated	(attach HRER or PTR)			
	b. Other (specify):				
	Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Pre	servation Planner MUST sign below.			
	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.				
Comments (optional):  No physical changes to the structure is proposed as part of this project.					
Preser	vation Planner Signature: Joy Navarrete				
STEP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER					
	No further environmental review is required. The project is categorically exempt under CEQA.  There are no unusual circumstances that would result in a reasonable possibility of a significant effect.				
	Project Approval Action:	Signature:			
	Board of Supervisors Approval	Joy Navarrete 11/03/2020			
	Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31of the Administrative Code.  In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination can only be filed within 30 days of the project receiving the approval action.  Please note that other approval actions may be required for the project. Please contact the assigned planner for these approvals.				

### STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

### TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

### **MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Modified Project Description:					
DET	TERMINATION IF PROJECT (	CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION			
Com	pared to the approved project, w	ould the modified project:			
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;				
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;				
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?				
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?				
If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required.					
DET	ERMINATION OF NO SUBSTAI	NTIAL MODIFICATION			
	The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.				
If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are categorically exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can be filed within 10 days of posting of this determination.					
Planner Name:		Date:			