[Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts]

Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency

with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section, 101.1.

WHEREAS, Planning Code, Section 306.7, authorizes the Board of Supervisors to impose interim zoning controls to allow time for the orderly completion of a planning study and for the adoption of appropriate legislation, which are necessary to ensure that the legislative scheme that may be ultimately adopted is not undermined during the planning and legislative process by the approval or issuance of permits authorizing changes of use that could conflict with that scheme; and

 WHEREAS, In recent decades, the rate of production of housing in San Francisco has failed to keep pace with an influx of jobs and increased demand for housing in San Francisco and in the broader region, which has contributed to increased unaffordability and repeat waves of evictions and displacement, largely to the detriment of long-term residents and communities and lower-income communities, in particular; and

WHEREAS, Policymakers at the City and state level have sought to increase housing density across the state, including through the implementation of a Citywide Accessory Dwelling Unit Program in San Francisco that applies to existing structures and to new

construction and which allows for the increased densification of residential and mixed use neighborhoods and zoning districts; and

WHEREAS, While significant emphasis has been placed on increasing the capacity for increased housing density in residential and mixed use zoning districts, and to remove various substantive and procedural restrictions on the construction of affordable housing in particular, comparatively little emphasis has been placed on setting density minimums and creating disincentives for low-density projects in zoning districts that allow for greater density; and

WHEREAS, The construction of large residences is indicative of a market preference for demonstrably unaffordable housing, even in zoning districts that permit greater capacity for housing density and which tend to be characterized by higher density, more affordable, and rent-stabilized housing; and

WHEREAS, The construction of large residences in zoning districts that permit greater capacity for housing density, such as Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) or Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, forgoes opportunities for more affordable housing, and frequently results in the loss or conversion of housing protected by rent stabilization provisions of the San Francisco Rent Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Objective 2 of San Francisco's 2014 Housing Element states that "conserving and improving the existing (housing) stock is critical to San Francisco's long term housing strategy"; and

WHEREAS, The 2020 Housing Balance Report, produced by the Planning Department pursuant to Section 103 of the Planning Code, indicates that for the period from 2010 Quarter 1 - 2019 Quarter 4, 7,081 units of net new affordable housing have been built in San Francisco while 3,951 units have been removed from protected status, a ratio of just 1.79 units built for every 1 unit lost; and

WHEREA	1
demolition, merg	2
housing and, not	3
capacity for hous	4
WHEREA	5
"Residential Flat	6
housing typology	7
within a building,	8
and	9
WHEREA	10
the Residential F	11
Residential Flat t	12
WHEREA	13
orderly completic	14
WHEREA	15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WHEREAS, The loss of affordable and rent controlled housing is driven in part by the demolition, merger, and conversion of those homes and their replacement with market rate housing and, notably, large single family homes in zoning districts that permit increased capacity for housing density; and

WHEREAS, Planning Commission Resolution No. 20024, also known as the "Residential Flat Removal Policy," defines a "Residential Flat" as a common San Francisco housing typology consisting of a single dwelling unit, generally occupying an entire story within a building, and having exposure onto open areas at the front and rear of the property; and

WHEREAS, Planning Commission Resolution No. 20024 ensconces a preference for the Residential Flat housing typology by subjecting any project that proposes to remove a Residential Flat to mandatory discretionary review before the Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, The interim controls established by this Resolution will allow time for the orderly completion of a planning study and for the adoption of appropriate legislation; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors (Board) has considered the impact on the public health, safety, peace, and general welfare if these interim controls are not imposed; and

WHEREAS, The Board has determined that the public interest will best be served by imposition of these interim controls to ensure that the legislative scheme which may be ultimately adopted is not undermined during the planning and legislative process for permanent controls; and

WHEREAS, The Board makes the following findings of consistency with the Priority Policies set forth in Planning Code, Section 101.1: by requiring Conditional Use authorization for any residential development in an RC, RM or RTO district that does not maximize the number of units that could be constructed based on the applicable density limits, these interim controls advance Priority Policy 2, that existing housing and neighborhood character be

1	conserved and protected to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our
2	neighborhoods, and these interim controls do not conflict with the other Priority Policies of
3	Section 101.1; and
4	WHEREAS, The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in
5	this Resolution comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public
6	Resources Code, Section 21000 et seq.); said determination is on file with the Clerk of the
7	Board of Supervisors in File No and is incorporated herein by reference; the Board
8	hereby affirms this determination; now, therefore be it
9	RESOLVED, That in multi-unit residential (R) districts, and in single family home zoning
10	districts that allow for the construction of an Accessory Dwelling Unit, the City and County of
11	San Francisco hereby reiterates its preference for multi-unit buildings consisting of equitably-
12	sized Residential Flats, as that housing typology is defined in Planning Commission
13	Resolution No. 20024; and, be it
14	FURTHER RESOLVED, That as to the alteration or new construction of all residential
15	buildings in RC, RM and RTO zoning districts, Conditional Use Authorization under Planning
16	Code, Section 303, shall be required if the residential building does not maximize allowable
17	density while adhering to the minimum unit size requirements set forth in Planning Code,
18	Section 206.3; and, be it
19	FURTHER RESOLVED, That if existing lot conditions or form-based restrictions on
20	development (e.g., height, bulk, rear yard requirements) are such that a proposed project
21	cannot maximize density while adhering to the minimum unit size requirements set forth in
22	Planning Code Section 206.3, Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code, Section
23	303 shall not be required if a proposed project increases density on a subject lot, does not
24	include any single unit greater than 2000 square feet in size, and would not be subject to

Conditional Use Authorization under any other provision of the Planning Code; and, be

25

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That Conditional Use Authorization shall not be required for
2	expansions of existing residential buildings in RC, RM and RTO districts, wherein the
3	proposed expansion is 10% or less of the existing residential building; and, be it
4	FURTHER RESOLVED, That upon imposition of these interim controls, the Planning
5	Department shall conduct a study of the contemplated zoning proposal and propose
6	permanent legislation to address the issues posed by large residential development that does
7	not maximize the allowable density; and be it
8	FURTHER RESOLVED, That these interim controls shall apply to all applications for
9	residential development where a final site or building permit has not been issued as of the
10	effective date of this Resolution, to the extent allowed by law; and be it
11	FURTHER RESOLVED, That for projects scheduled for a hearing at the Planning
12	Commission under a Discretionary Review as of the effective date of this Resolution, the
13	Planning Department is requested to expedite the processing and calendaring of any required
14	Conditional Use authorization under these controls; and, be it
15	FURTHER RESOLVED, That these interim controls shall remain in effect for 18
16	months from the effective date of this Resolution, or until the adoption of permanent legislation
17	that addresses substantially the same issues, whichever first occurs; and, be it
18	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Planning Department shall provide reports to the
19	Board pursuant to Planning Code, Section 306.7(i).
20	
21	APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
22	DENING J. HERRERA, City Attorney
23	By: /s/ AUDREY PEARSON
24	Deputy City Attorney
25	n:\legana\as2020\2100201\01499096.docx