**BOARD of SUPERVISORS** 



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

December 18, 2020

File No. 201370

Lisa Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On December 15, 2020, Supervisor Peskin submitted the following substitute legislation:

File No. 201370

Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section, 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Major

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Don Lewis, Environmental Planning FILE NO. 201370

## SUBSTITUTED 12/15/20

**RESOLUTION NO.** 

1	[Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts]
2	
3	Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in
4	Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential -
5	Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any
6	residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by
7	applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination
8	under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency
9	with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section, 101.1.
10	
11	WHEREAS, Planning Code, Section 306.7, authorizes the Board of Supervisors to
12	impose interim zoning controls to allow time for the orderly completion of a planning study and
13	for the adoption of appropriate legislation, which are necessary to ensure that the legislative
14	scheme that may be ultimately adopted is not undermined during the planning and legislative
15	process by the approval or issuance of permits authorizing changes of use that could conflict
16	with that scheme; and
17	WHEREAS, In recent decades, the rate of production of housing in San Francisco has
18	failed to keep pace with an influx of jobs and increased demand for housing in San Francisco
19	and in the broader region, which has contributed to increased unaffordability and repeat
20	waves of evictions and displacement, largely to the detriment of long-term residents and
21	communities and lower-income communities, in particular; and
22	WHEREAS, Policymakers at the City and state level have sought to increase housing
23	density across the state, including through the implementation of a Citywide Accessory
24	Dwelling Unit Program in San Francisco that applies to existing structures and to new
25	

1 construction and which allows for the increased densification of residential and mixed use

2

neighborhoods and zoning districts; and

WHEREAS, While significant emphasis has been placed on increasing the capacity for
increased housing density in residential and mixed use zoning districts, and to remove various
substantive and procedural restrictions on the construction of affordable housing in particular,
comparatively little emphasis has been placed on setting density minimums and creating
disincentives for low-density projects in zoning districts that allow for greater density; and

8 WHEREAS, The construction of large residences is indicative of a market preference 9 for demonstrably unaffordable housing, even in zoning districts that permit greater capacity for 10 housing density and which tend to be characterized by higher density, more affordable, and 11 rent-stabilized housing; and

WHEREAS, The construction of large residences in zoning districts that permit greater capacity for housing density, such as Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential -Mixed (RM) or Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, forgoes opportunities for more affordable housing, and frequently results in the loss or conversion of housing protected by rent stabilization provisions of the San Francisco Rent Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Objective 2 of San Francisco's 2014 Housing Element states that
"conserving and improving the existing (housing) stock is critical to San Francisco's long term
housing strategy"; and

WHEREAS, The 2020 Housing Balance Report, produced by the Planning Department pursuant to Section 103 of the Planning Code, indicates that for the period from 2010 Quarter 1 - 2019 Quarter 4, 7,081 units of net new affordable housing have been built in San Francisco while 3,951 units have been removed from protected status, a ratio of just 1.79 units built for every 1 unit lost; and

25

1 WHEREAS, The loss of affordable and rent controlled housing is driven in part by the 2 demolition, merger, and conversion of those homes and their replacement with market rate 3 housing and, notably, large single family homes in zoning districts that permit increased 4 capacity for housing density; and 5 WHEREAS, Planning Commission Resolution No. 20024, also known as the 6 "Residential Flat Removal Policy," defines a "Residential Flat" as a common San Francisco 7 housing typology consisting of a single dwelling unit, generally occupying an entire story 8 within a building, and having exposure onto open areas at the front and rear of the property;

9 and

WHEREAS, Planning Commission Resolution No. 20024 ensconces a preference for 10 11 the Residential Flat housing typology by subjecting any project that proposes to remove a 12 Residential Flat to mandatory discretionary review before the Planning Commission; and 13 WHEREAS, The interim controls established by this Resolution will allow time for the 14 orderly completion of a planning study and for the adoption of appropriate legislation; and 15 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors (Board) has considered the impact on the public 16 health, safety, peace, and general welfare if these interim controls are not imposed; and 17 WHEREAS, The Board has determined that the public interest will best be served by 18 imposition of these interim controls to ensure that the legislative scheme which may be ultimately adopted is not undermined during the planning and legislative process for 19 permanent controls; and 20

21 WHEREAS, The Board makes the following findings of consistency with the Priority 22 Policies set forth in Planning Code, Section 101.1: by requiring Conditional Use authorization 23 for any residential development in an RC, RM or RTO district that does not maximize the 24 number of units that could be constructed based on the applicable density limits, these interim 25 controls advance Priority Policy 2, that existing housing and neighborhood character be

Supervisor Peskin BOARD OF SUPERVISORS 1 conserved and protected to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our

2 neighborhoods, and these interim controls do not conflict with the other Priority Policies of

3 Section 101.1; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in
this Resolution comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public
Resources Code, Section 21000 et seq.); said determination is on file with the Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors in File No. \_\_\_\_\_ and is incorporated herein by reference; the Board
hereby affirms this determination; now, therefore be it

9 RESOLVED, That in multi-unit residential (R) districts, and in single family home zoning 10 districts that allow for the construction of an Accessory Dwelling Unit, the City and County of 11 San Francisco hereby reiterates its preference for multi-unit buildings consisting of equitably-12 sized Residential Flats, as that housing typology is defined in Planning Commission

13 Resolution No. 20024; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That as to the proposed new construction of a residential building in RC, RM and RTO zoning districts. or as to any proposed alteration that would result in the expansion of a residential building in RC, RM and RTO zoning districts, Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code, Section 303, shall be required if the

residential building does not maximize allowable density while adhering to the minimum unit

19 size requirements set forth in Planning Code, Section 206.3; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That if existing lot conditions or form-based restrictions on development (e.g., height, bulk, rear yard requirements) are such that a proposed project cannot maximize density without seeking a variance or subdividing existing units on the lot, and while adhering to the minimum unit size requirements set forth in Planning Code, Section 206.3, Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code, Section 303 shall not be required if a proposed project increases density on a subject lot, does not include any single unit

1 greater than 2000 square feet in size, and would not be subject to Conditional Use 2 Authorization under any other provision of the Planning Code; and, be 3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That Conditional Use Authorization shall not be required for expansions of existing residential buildings in RC, RM and RTO districts, wherein the 4 5 proposed expansion is 25% or less of the existing residential building, provided that no 6 resulting unit would be greater than 2000 square feet in size; and, be it 7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That upon imposition of these interim controls, the Planning 8 Department shall conduct a study of the contemplated zoning proposal and propose 9 permanent legislation to address the issues posed by large residential development that does 10 not maximize the allowable density; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That these interim controls shall apply to all applications for 11 12 residential development where a final site or building permit has not been issued as of the 13 effective date of this Resolution, to the extent allowed by law; and be it 14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That for projects scheduled for a hearing at the Planning 15 Commission under a Discretionary Review as of the effective date of this Resolution, the 16 Planning Department is requested to expedite the processing and calendaring of any required 17 Conditional Use authorization under these controls; and, be it 18 FURTHER RESOLVED. That these interim controls shall remain in effect for 18 months from the effective date of this Resolution, or until the adoption of permanent legislation 19 20 that addresses substantially the same issues, whichever first occurs; and, be it 21 // // 22 23 // // 24 // 25

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Planning Department shall provide reports to the
2	Board pursuant to Planning Code, Section 306.7(i).
3	
4	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
5	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
6	By: /s/
7	AUDREY PEARSON Deputy City Attorney
8	n:\legana\as2020\2100201\01500645.docx
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	