COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Land Use and Transportation Committee Date January 11, 2021

	pervisors Meeting	Date		
Cmte Board				
	Motion			
	Resolution			
	Ordinance			
	Legislative Digest			
	Budget and Legislative Analyst Re	port		
	Youth Commission Report			
	Introduction Form			
	Department/Agency Cover Letter a	ind/or Report		
	MOU Grant Information Form			
	Grant Budget			
	Subcontract Budget			
	Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission			
	Award Letter			
	Application			
H	Public Correspondence			
	i ubile correspondence			
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)				
	Referral CEQA 121120			
$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ \Box	CEQA Determination 121520			
$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	Referral CEQA 121820			
	CEQA Determination 121820			
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ \Box	Hearing Notice 011121			
Completed	by Erico Mojor	to lonuony 7 2021		
Completed by: Erica Major Date January 7, 2021 Completed by: Erica Major Date				
completed t	Dy. ∟nua iviajui Da	ເຮ		

FILE NO. 201370

SUBSTITUTED 12/15/20

RESOLUTION NO.

1	[Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts]		
2			
3	Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in		
4	Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential -		
5	Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any		
6	residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by		
7	applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination		
8	under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency		
9	with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section, 101.1.		
10			
11	WHEREAS, Planning Code, Section 306.7, authorizes the Board of Supervisors to		
12	impose interim zoning controls to allow time for the orderly completion of a planning study and		
13	for the adoption of appropriate legislation, which are necessary to ensure that the legislative		
14	scheme that may be ultimately adopted is not undermined during the planning and legislative		
15	process by the approval or issuance of permits authorizing changes of use that could conflict		
16	with that scheme; and		
17	WHEREAS, In recent decades, the rate of production of housing in San Francisco has		
18	failed to keep pace with an influx of jobs and increased demand for housing in San Francisco		
19	and in the broader region, which has contributed to increased unaffordability and repeat		
20	waves of evictions and displacement, largely to the detriment of long-term residents and		
21	communities and lower-income communities, in particular; and		
22	WHEREAS, Policymakers at the City and state level have sought to increase housing		
23	density across the state, including through the implementation of a Citywide Accessory		
24	Dwelling Unit Program in San Francisco that applies to existing structures and to new		
25			

1 construction and which allows for the increased densification of residential and mixed use

2

neighborhoods and zoning districts; and

WHEREAS, While significant emphasis has been placed on increasing the capacity for
increased housing density in residential and mixed use zoning districts, and to remove various
substantive and procedural restrictions on the construction of affordable housing in particular,
comparatively little emphasis has been placed on setting density minimums and creating
disincentives for low-density projects in zoning districts that allow for greater density; and

8 WHEREAS, The construction of large residences is indicative of a market preference 9 for demonstrably unaffordable housing, even in zoning districts that permit greater capacity for 10 housing density and which tend to be characterized by higher density, more affordable, and 11 rent-stabilized housing; and

WHEREAS, The construction of large residences in zoning districts that permit greater capacity for housing density, such as Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential -Mixed (RM) or Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, forgoes opportunities for more affordable housing, and frequently results in the loss or conversion of housing protected by rent stabilization provisions of the San Francisco Rent Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Objective 2 of San Francisco's 2014 Housing Element states that
"conserving and improving the existing (housing) stock is critical to San Francisco's long term
housing strategy"; and

WHEREAS, The 2020 Housing Balance Report, produced by the Planning Department pursuant to Section 103 of the Planning Code, indicates that for the period from 2010 Quarter 1 - 2019 Quarter 4, 7,081 units of net new affordable housing have been built in San Francisco while 3,951 units have been removed from protected status, a ratio of just 1.79 units built for every 1 unit lost; and

25

1 WHEREAS, The loss of affordable and rent controlled housing is driven in part by the 2 demolition, merger, and conversion of those homes and their replacement with market rate 3 housing and, notably, large single family homes in zoning districts that permit increased 4 capacity for housing density; and 5 WHEREAS, Planning Commission Resolution No. 20024, also known as the 6 "Residential Flat Removal Policy," defines a "Residential Flat" as a common San Francisco 7 housing typology consisting of a single dwelling unit, generally occupying an entire story 8 within a building, and having exposure onto open areas at the front and rear of the property;

9 and

WHEREAS, Planning Commission Resolution No. 20024 ensconces a preference for 10 11 the Residential Flat housing typology by subjecting any project that proposes to remove a 12 Residential Flat to mandatory discretionary review before the Planning Commission; and 13 WHEREAS, The interim controls established by this Resolution will allow time for the 14 orderly completion of a planning study and for the adoption of appropriate legislation; and 15 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors (Board) has considered the impact on the public 16 health, safety, peace, and general welfare if these interim controls are not imposed; and 17 WHEREAS, The Board has determined that the public interest will best be served by 18 imposition of these interim controls to ensure that the legislative scheme which may be ultimately adopted is not undermined during the planning and legislative process for 19 permanent controls; and 20

21 WHEREAS, The Board makes the following findings of consistency with the Priority 22 Policies set forth in Planning Code, Section 101.1: by requiring Conditional Use authorization 23 for any residential development in an RC, RM or RTO district that does not maximize the 24 number of units that could be constructed based on the applicable density limits, these interim 25 controls advance Priority Policy 2, that existing housing and neighborhood character be

Supervisor Peskin BOARD OF SUPERVISORS 1 conserved and protected to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our

2 neighborhoods, and these interim controls do not conflict with the other Priority Policies of

3 Section 101.1; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in
this Resolution comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public
Resources Code, Section 21000 et seq.); said determination is on file with the Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors in File No. 201370 and is incorporated herein by reference; the Board
hereby affirms this determination; now, therefore be it

9 RESOLVED, That in multi-unit residential (R) districts, and in single family home zoning 10 districts that allow for the construction of an Accessory Dwelling Unit, the City and County of 11 San Francisco hereby reiterates its preference for multi-unit buildings consisting of equitably-12 sized Residential Flats, as that housing typology is defined in Planning Commission

13 Resolution No. 20024; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That as to the proposed new construction of a residential
building in RC, RM and RTO zoning districts. or as to any proposed alteration that would
result in the expansion of a residential building in RC, RM and RTO zoning districts,
Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code, Section 303, shall be required if the
residential building does not maximize allowable density while adhering to the minimum unit

19 size requirements set forth in Planning Code, Section 206.3; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That if existing lot conditions or form-based restrictions on development (e.g., height, bulk, rear yard requirements) are such that a proposed project cannot maximize density without seeking a variance or subdividing existing units on the lot, and while adhering to the minimum unit size requirements set forth in Planning Code, Section 206.3, Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code, Section 303 shall not be required if a proposed project increases density on a subject lot, does not include any single unit

1 greater than 2000 square feet in size, and would not be subject to Conditional Use 2 Authorization under any other provision of the Planning Code; and, be 3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That Conditional Use Authorization shall not be required for expansions of existing residential buildings in RC, RM and RTO districts, wherein the 4 5 proposed expansion is 25% or less of the existing residential building, provided that no 6 resulting unit would be greater than 2000 square feet in size; and, be it 7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That upon imposition of these interim controls, the Planning 8 Department shall conduct a study of the contemplated zoning proposal and propose 9 permanent legislation to address the issues posed by large residential development that does 10 not maximize the allowable density; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That these interim controls shall apply to all applications for 11 12 residential development where a final site or building permit has not been issued as of the 13 effective date of this Resolution, to the extent allowed by law; and be it 14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That for projects scheduled for a hearing at the Planning 15 Commission under a Discretionary Review as of the effective date of this Resolution, the 16 Planning Department is requested to expedite the processing and calendaring of any required 17 Conditional Use authorization under these controls; and, be it 18 FURTHER RESOLVED. That these interim controls shall remain in effect for 18 months from the effective date of this Resolution, or until the adoption of permanent legislation 19 20 that addresses substantially the same issues, whichever first occurs; and, be it 21 // // 22 23 // // 24 // 25

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Planning Department shall provide reports to the		
2	Board pursuant to Planning Code, Section 306.7(i).		
3			
4	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
5	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney		
6	By: /s/		
7	AUDREY PEARSON Deputy City Attorney		
8	n:\legana\as2020\2100201\01500645.docx		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Geodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

December 18, 2020

File No. 201370

Lis a Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On December 15, 2020, Supervisor Pesk in submitted the following substitute legislation:

File No. 201370

Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section, 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Jai Jan Myion

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

 Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Don Lewis, Environmental Planning

> Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it would not result in a direct or indirect physical change in the environment.

12/18/2020



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

December 11, 2020

File No. 201370

Lisa Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On December 8, 2020, Supervisor Peskin submitted the following legislation:

File No. 201370

Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section, 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Don Lewis, Environmental Planning

> Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it would not result in a direct or indirect physical change in the environment.

12/15/2020



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

December 11, 2020

File No. 201370

Lisa Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On December 8, 2020, Supervisor Peskin submitted the following legislation:

File No. 201370

Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section, 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Mjor

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Don Lewis, Environmental Planning



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

December 18, 2020

File No. 201370

Lisa Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On December 15, 2020, Supervisor Peskin submitted the following substitute legislation:

File No. 201370

Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section, 101.1.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Major

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Don Lewis, Environmental Planning Member, Board of Supervisors District 3



City and County of San Francisco

AARON PESKIN

DATE: January 7, 2021

- TO: Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
- FROM: Supervisor Aaron Peskin, Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee
- RE: Land Use and Transportation Committee COMMITTEE REPORTS

Pursuant to Board Rule 4.20, as Chair of the Land Use and Transportation Committee, I have deemed the following matters are of an urgent nature and request they be considered by the full Board on Tuesday, January 12, 2021, as Committee Reports:

201370 Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts

Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section, 101.1.

[TBD] Urging California Regents to Consider the Proposed UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan EIR at their March 2021 Meeting

Resolution urging the California Regents to move consideration of the proposed University of California at San Francisco (UCSF) Parnassus Expansion Plan Environmental Impact Report (EIR) from their January 2021 meeting to their March 2021 meeting.

[TBD] Initiating Landmark Designation - 800 Chestnut Street - Diego Rivera Mural "The Making of a Fresco Showing the Building of a City"

Resolution initiating a landmark designation under Article 10 of the Planning Code for Diego Rivera's fresco, titled "The Making of a Fresco Showing the Building of a City," painted in 1931 and located at 800 Chestnut Street.

These matters will be heard in the Land Use and Transportation Committee at a Regular Meeting on Monday, January 11, 2020, at 1:30 p.m.

/s/ Aaron Peskin



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Transportation Committee of the City and County of San Francisco will hold a remote public hearing to consider the following matter and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date: January 11, 2021

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Location: REMOTE MEETING VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE Watch: <u>www.sfgovtv.org</u> Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78 or 99 (depending on provider) Public Comment Call-In: <u>https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call</u>

Subject: File No. 201370. Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section, 101.1.

On March 17, 2020, the Board of Supervisors authorized their Board and Committee meetings to convene remotely and allow for remote public comment due to the Coronavirus -19 pandemic. Therefore, Board of Supervisors meetings that are held through videoconferencing will allow remote public comment. Visit the SFGovTV website (www.sfgovtv.org) to stream the live meetings or watch them on demand.

Please visit the Board's website (<u>https://sfbos.org/city-board-response-covid-19</u>) regularly to be updated on the City's response to COVID-19 and how the legislative process may be impacted.

Land Use and Transportation Committee Board of Supervisors Hearing Notice - Board File No. 201370 Page 2

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN

WATCH: SF Cable Channel 26, 78 or 99 *(depending on your provider)* once the meeting starts, and the telephone number and access code will be displayed on the screen; or

VISIT: https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, January 8, 2021.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact Erica Major, the Clerk of the Land Use and Transportation Committee:

Erica Major (erica.major@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-4441)

Please Note: The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email.

5 CLOVER

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

em:lw:ams

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.
6. Call File No. from Committee.
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).
 ✓ 8. Substitute Legislation File No. 201370
9. Reactivate File No.
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:
Small Business Commission Vouth Commission Ethics Commission
Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission
Planning CommissionBuilding Inspection CommissionNote: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form.
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form.
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s):
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s): Peskin
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s): Peskin Subject:
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s): Peskin Subject: Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts The text is listed: Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s): Peskin Subject: Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts The text is listed: Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential - Transit
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s): Peskin Subject: Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts The text is listed: Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s): Peskin Subject: Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts The text is listed: Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s): Peskin Subject: Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts The text is listed: Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s): Peskin Subject: Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts The text is listed: Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s): Peskin Subject: Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts The text is listed: Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: /s/ Aaron Peskin

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

\checkmark 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).	
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor	inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.	1
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:	
Small Business Commission Vouth Commission Ethics Commiss	sion
Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission	
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative F	orm.
Sponsor(s):	
Peskin	
Subject:	
Interim Zoning Controls - Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts	
The text is listed:	
Resolution imposing interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels in Residential-Comm Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, require Use authorization for any residential development that does not maximize the number of units allowed density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environ Act, and making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Plann Section, 101.1.	ing Conditional ed by applicable mental Quality

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: /s/ Aaron Peskin