

FILE NO. 210061

Petitions and Communications received from January 7, 2021, through January 21, 2021, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on January 26, 2021.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting the Thirty-Third Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, dated February 25, 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Office of the Mayor, making the following appointments: Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

Pursuant to Charter, Section 3.104:

- **Carmen Chu** - City Administrator - five-year term beginning February 1, 2021

Pursuant to Article V, Section 7, of the Treasure Island Development Authority Bylaws:

- **Jamal Anderson** - Treasure Island Development Authority - term ending April 28, 2022

Pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18):

- **Katherine Chu** - Juvenile Probation Commission - term ending January 15, 2024
- **Lawanda Dickerson** - Small Business Commission - term ending January 6, 20204

From the Department of Public Health, submitting Order of the Health Officer No. C19-18. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, pursuant to Ordinance No. 273-20, submitting the Shelter in Place Hotel Reports #2 and #3. File No. 201328. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Police Department and Police Officers Association, regarding COVID-19 vaccinations for police officers. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From Recreation and Park Department, pursuant to Resolution No. 157-99, submitting the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Report for Fiscal Year 2020-2021. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the Veterans Affairs Commission, submitting their 2020 Annual Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the Office of the City Administrator, Capital Planning Committee, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 3.21, submitting a recommendation of approval for Municipal Transportation Agency Revenue Bonds and Annual Infrastructure Construction Cost Inflation Estimate (AICCIIE) from Calendar Year 2021. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor, submitting the quarterly report on the Give2SF Fund, dated January 8, 2021. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, pursuant to Ordinance No. 202-19, submitting the Annual Report on Chapter 120 Loan and Grant Agreements. File No. 190679. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From the Police Commission, regarding the election of Police Commission Officers. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From the Department of Public Health, submitting COVID-19 Vaccine Frequently Asked Questions. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From the Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector, pursuant to California State Government Code, Section 53646, submitting the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for December 2020. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Public Works, regarding the newly appointed Deputy Director for Operations, DiJaida Durden. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From the Office of the Controller, submitting Public Integrity Review for Ethical Standards for Contract Award Processes of the Airport Commission and Other Commissions and Boards. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From the President of the Board of Supervisors, calling a Special Board Meeting on January 19, 2021, at 2:00 p.m. to consider certain matters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From the President of the Board of Supervisors, submitting the 2021 Board of Supervisors Committee Assignments. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From the President of the Board of Supervisors, regarding the reinstatement of the Joint City, School District, and City College Select Committee. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Supervisor Myrna Melgar, regarding the proposed Resolution urging California Regents to consider the proposed UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan EIR. File No. 210017. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Anonymous, regarding various subjects. 18 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From the Black Employee Alliance, regarding various subjects. 5 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From Shad Fenton, regarding Navigation Center living and safety. 21 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From concerned citizens, regarding the decline of City services and safety in San Francisco during COVID-19. 9 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From concerned citizens, regarding support for the demands of frontline communities in Bayview Hunters Point and Treasure Island. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From Juana Alicia Araiza, regarding the proposed Resolution initiating the landmark designation at 800 Chestnut Street of the Diego Rivera mural, "The Making of a Fresco Showing the Building of a City." File No. 210016. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Resolution urging California Regents to Consider the Proposed UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan EIR. File No. 210017. 20 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From concerned citizens, regarding the economic relief for small businesses. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From concerned citizens, regarding support for nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic. 118 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From concerned citizens, regarding saving the tattoo industry. 10 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From Judge Quentin Kopp, regarding efforts to impose fees upon residents from parking on the streets where they reside or on employees of businesses who do the same. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From Jerry Dratler, regarding corruption at City Hall. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From Hunter Oatman-Stanford, regarding the proposed Resolution approving Interim Zoning Controls for Large Residential Projects in RC, RM and RTO Districts. File No. 201370. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)

From Shruti Desai, regarding the immediate resignation or removal of District Attorney, Chesa Boudin. Copy: Each Supervisor. (33)

From Steven Lee, regarding the Hearing on the Appropriation of COVID Contingency Reserve - HSA - Restaurant & Food Service Businesses in Chinatown - \$1,900,000 FY2020-2021 - Authorizing Controller to Reduce COVID Contingency Reserve Appropriations and Return Funds. File No. 210044. Copy: Each Supervisor. (34)

From RJ Sloan, regarding the proposed Resolution urging grocery stores to provide all employees an additional five dollars per hour in hazard pay. File No. 201405. Copy: Each Supervisor. (35)

From concerned citizens, regarding COVID-19 vaccinations. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (36)

From Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD, regarding the similarities between Flint Michigan and Bayview Hunters Point. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (37)

From RJ Sloan, regarding the Homelessness and Supportive Housing non-profit partner THC. Copy: Each Supervisor. (38)

From the California Fish and Game Commission, submitting two notices. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (39)

From the California Department of Parks and Recreation, Office of Historic Preservation, regarding Mission Cultural Center (Latinos in Twentieth Century CA MPS) Listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Copy: Each Supervisor. (40)

From Michael Lawler, regarding prohibiting the Police Department and other City agencies from making real-time use of private networks of surveillance cameras, and from obtaining data dumps of footage from these systems. Copy: Each Supervisor. (41)

From the California Public Utilities Commission, submitting notices of projects from Verizon Wireless. 3 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (42)



**From:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: 33rd Supplement - Right to Recover  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 11:55:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [33rdSupplement\\_01192021.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board is in receipt of the attached Thirty-Third Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <[sophia.kittler@sfgov.org](mailto:sophia.kittler@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 11:47 AM  
**To:** BOS-Operations <[bos-operations@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-operations@sfgov.org)>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) <[rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org](mailto:rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org)>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <[Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: 33rd Supplement - Right to Recover

Madam Clerk,

Please see attached Emergency Order supplement #33.

Sophia

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Power, Andres (MYR)" <[andres.power@sfgov.org](mailto:andres.power@sfgov.org)>  
Date: Jan 19, 2021 12:11 PM  
Subject: 33rd Supplement - Right to Recover  
To: "Kittler, Sophia (MYR)" <[sophia.kittler@sfgov.org](mailto:sophia.kittler@sfgov.org)>  
Cc: "RUSSI, BRAD (CAT)" <[Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org)>,"Geithman, Kyra (MYR)" <[kyra.geithman@sfgov.org](mailto:kyra.geithman@sfgov.org)>

**Andres Power** | Policy Director  
Office of Mayor London N. Breed  
City and County of San Francisco



**THIRTY-THIRD SUPPLEMENT TO MAYORAL PROCLAMATION  
DECLARING THE EXISTENCE OF A LOCAL EMERGENCY  
DATED FEBRUARY 25, 2020**

**WHEREAS**, California Government Code Sections 8550 et seq., San Francisco Charter Section 3.100(14) and Chapter 7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code empower the Mayor to proclaim the existence of a local emergency, subject to concurrence by the Board of Supervisors as provided in the Charter, in the case of an emergency threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County or its citizens; and

**WHEREAS**, On February 25, 2020, the Mayor issued a Proclamation (the “Proclamation”) declaring a local emergency to exist in connection with the imminent spread within the City of a novel (new) coronavirus (“COVID-19”); and

**WHEREAS**, On March 3, 2020, the Board of Supervisors concurred in the Proclamation and in the actions taken by the Mayor to meet the emergency; and

**WHEREAS**, On March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom proclaimed a state of emergency to exist within the State due to the threat posed by COVID-19; and

**WHEREAS**, On March 6, 2020, the Health Officer declared a local health emergency under Section 101080 of the California Health and Safety Code, and the Board of Supervisors concurred in that declaration on March 10, 2020; and

**WHEREAS**, On March 16, 2020, the City’s Health Officer issued a stay safe at home order, Health Officer Order No. C19-07 (the “Stay Safer At Home Order”), requiring most people to remain in their homes subject to certain exceptions including obtaining essential goods such as food and necessary supplies, and requiring the closure of non-essential businesses; the Health Officer has amended the Stay Safer At Home Order to modify the ongoing restrictions; and



**WHEREAS**, There have been over 29,000 confirmed cases of COVID-19 within the City and 262 COVID-19-related deaths in the City; there have been more than 2,900,000 confirmed cases in California and more than 33,000 COVID-19-related deaths in California; and

**WHEREAS**, The COVID-19 crisis and the ongoing restrictions on the ability of businesses to operate have placed a severe economic strain on workers in the City due to reduced hours, furloughs, and layoffs, and it is in the public interest to provide grants to workers to cover expenses not compensated by insurance or otherwise to assist in ensuring the housing and health stability of such workers during the emergency;

**NOW, THEREFORE,**

I, London N. Breed, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, proclaim that there continues to exist an emergency within the City and County threatening the lives, property or welfare of the City and County and its citizens;

**In addition to the measures outlined in the Proclamation and in the Supplements to the Proclamation issued on various dates, it is further ordered that:**

To promote the general welfare, the City may borrow up to \$6,000,000 in funds from deactivated Medical Reimbursement Accounts held by the City under Chapter 14 of the Administrative Code to use to make payments under the City's Right to Recover Program of up to \$1,285.60 to qualified individuals to assist such individuals and their families with COVID-19-related expenses not otherwise compensated for by insurance or otherwise. For purposes of this use of funds, "qualified individuals" are individuals: (1) who have tested positive for COVID-19; (2) who require financial assistance for COVID-19-related expenses necessary to quarantine that are not otherwise compensated for by insurance or otherwise; and (3) whose current or former employer has paid an amount to the City to satisfy its required health care expenditures under Chapter 14 of the Administrative Code during their employment. The Director of the Office of Economic and Workforce Development or the Director's designee shall set the commencement date of the use of these funds by posting notification on the Office of Economic and Workforce Development's website, is authorized to issue any rules and regulations necessary to implement this Order, and may limit the time period within which a qualified individual must have been employed by the employer that paid an amount to the City to satisfy its required health care expenditures under Chapter 14. This Order shall



remain in effect during the local emergency unless terminated earlier by the Mayor or the Board of Supervisors.

DATED: January 19, 2021

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London N. Breed".

---

London N. Breed  
Mayor of San Francisco

n:\govern\as2020\9690082\01506446.doc

**From:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [Peacock, Rebecca \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Mayoral Nomination - City Administrator  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 5:06:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Clerk's Memo - 1.20.21.pdf](#)  
[C. Chu Appointment Letter 01.15.21.pdf](#)  
[Biography\\_Carmen Chu.pdf](#)  
[Chu Carmen 3 31 2020.pdf](#)  
[01.14.21 City Administrator Nomination.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached complete nomination package pursuant to Charter, Section 3.104. Please see the memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
 Executive Assistant  
 Board of Supervisors  
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
 Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 10:26 AM  
**To:** BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) <rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org>; Lung, Holly (ASR) <holly.lung@sfgov.org>; Chu, Carmen (ASR) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>  
**Subject:** Nomination to City Administrator - Carmen Chu

Madam Clerk,

Please find attached the Mayor's formal nomination of Madam Assessor Carmen Chu to the position of City Administrator.

This includes:

- Formal nomination letter
- Form 700
- Biography

Please let me know if you have any questions.

thanks,  
Sophia

Sophia Kittler  
Office of Mayor London N. Breed  
415 554 6153 (desk)

**BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



**City Hall**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco 94102-4689**  
**Tel. No. 554-5184**  
**Fax No. 554-5163**  
**TDD/TTY No. 554-5227**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM**

---

---

Date: January 20, 2021  
To: Members, Board of Supervisors  
From: *ACC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Subject: Nomination by the Mayor - City Administrator

---

On January 20, 2021, the Mayor submitted the following complete nomination package pursuant to Charter, Section 3.104. Nominations in this category are subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors by a majority vote and are not effective until the Board takes action.

- Carmen Chu - City Administrator - for a term ending five years from the effective date of the Motion.

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has opened file for this nomination and will work with the Rules Chair to schedule a hearing before the Rules Committee.

(Attachments)

c: Aaron Peskin - Rules Committee Chair  
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy  
Victor Young - Rules Clerk  
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney  
Sophia Kittler - Mayor's Legislative Liaison





January 15, 2021

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to Section 3.104 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby nominate Carmen Chu for the position of City Administrator. Carmen Chu meets the Charter mandated minimum qualifications by possessing at least ten years' governmental management or finance experience with at least five years at the City, County, or City and County level.

Her term of office will be for five years from the effective date of the motion the Board of Supervisors adopts confirming her nomination.

Should you have any questions related to this appointment, please contact Sophia Kittler at 415.554.6153.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed  
Mayor

**From:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Hepner, Lee \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [Peacock, Rebecca \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Mayoral Nomination - TIDA  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:00:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Clerk's Memo 1.12.2021.pdf](#)  
[2021-Jamal Anderson-TIDA-Appt Letter.pdf](#)  
[2021-Jamal Anderson-Resume.pdf](#)  
[2021-Jamal Anderson-Draft F700.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached complete nomination package. Please see the memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



**City Hall**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco 94102-4689**  
**Tel. No. 554-5184**  
**Fax No. 554-5163**  
**TDD/TTY No. 554-5227**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM**

---

---

Date: January 12, 2021  
To: Members, Board of Supervisors  
From: *ACC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Subject: Nomination by the Mayor - Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors

---

On January 11, 2021, the Mayor submitted the following complete nomination package pursuant to Article V, Section 7 of the Treasure Island Development Authority Bylaws. Nominations in this category are subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors by a majority vote and are not effective until the Board takes action.

- Jamal Anderson - Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors -
  - term ending April 28, 2022.

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has opened file for this nomination (File No. 210026) and will work with the Rules Chair to schedule a hearing before the Rules Committee.

(Attachments)

c: Aaron Peskin - Rules Committee Chair  
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy  
Victor Young - Rules Clerk  
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney  
Sophia Kittler - Mayor's Legislative Liaison

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED  
MAYOR

### Notice of Nomination of Appointment

January 11, 2021

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA) Bylaws, Article V, of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following nomination:

**Jamal Anderson**, for appointment to the Treasure Island Board of Directors for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending April 28, 2022, to the seat formerly held by Paul Giusti.

I am confident that Mr. Anderson will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how his appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment nomination. Should you have any question about this appointment nomination, please contact my Director of Commission Affairs, Tyra Fennell, at 415-554-6696.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

**From:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [Peacock, Rebecca \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** TIME SENSITIVE: Mayoral Appointments Juvenile Probation Commission and Small Business Commission  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 5:15:43 PM  
**Attachments:** [Clerk's Memo - 1.19.21.pdf](#)  
[2021-Lawanda Dickerson-SBC-Appt Letter.pdf](#)  
[2021-Lawanda Dickerson-Draft F700.pdf](#)  
[2021-Lawanda Dickerson-Resume.pdf](#)  
[2021-Katherine Chu-JPC-Appt Letter.pdf](#)  
[2021-Katherine Chu-Resume.pdf](#)  
[2021-Katherine Chu-Draft F700.pdf](#)  
[2021-Katherine Chu-F700 A-1 Attachment.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached complete appointment packages pursuant to Charter 3.100 (18). Please see the memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



**City Hall**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco 94102-4689**  
**Tel. No. 554-5184**  
**Fax No. 554-5163**  
**TDD/TTY No. 554-5227**

---

**MEMORANDUM**

---

Date: January 19, 2021  
To: Members, Board of Supervisors  
From: *ACC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Subject: Mayoral Appointments - Juvenile Probation Commission and  
Small Business Commission

---

On January 15, 2021, the Mayor submitted the following complete appointment packages pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). Appointments in this category are effective immediately unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors within 30 days.

- **Katherine Chu** - Juvenile Probation Commission
  - term ending January 15, 2024
- **Lawanda Dickerson** - Small Business Commission
  - term ending January 6, 2024

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on a Mayoral appointment by timely notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within 30 days of the transmittal letter as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

If you would like to hold a hearing on either of these appointments please let me know in writing by 12:00 p.m. on Friday, January 22, 2021, and we will work with the Rules Committee Chair to schedule a hearing.

c: Aaron Peskin- Rules Committee Chair  
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy  
Victor Young - Rules Clerk  
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney  
Sophia Kittler - Mayor's Legislative Liaison

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED  
MAYOR

## Notice of Appointment

January 15, 2021

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment:

**Katherine Chu** to the Juvenile Probation Commission for a four-year term ending January 15, 2024, to the seat formerly held by Susan Jones.

I am confident that Ms. Chu will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Director of Commission Affairs, Tyra Fennell, at 415-554-6696.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED  
MAYOR

## Notice of Appointment

January 15, 2021

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment:

**Lawanda Dickerson** to the Small Business Commission for the unexpired portion of Emanuel Yekutieli's term, ending January 6, 2024.

I am confident that Mrs. Dickerson will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Director of Commission Affairs, Tyra Fennell, at 415-554-6696.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco





**City and County of  
San Francisco**

**Department of Public Health  
Order of the Health Officer**

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. C19-18**

**ORDER OF THE HEALTH OFFICER  
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REQUIRING LARGE  
HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS TO DELIVER TO THE HEALTH OFFICER  
COMPREHENSIVE COVID-19 VACCINATION PLANS AND REQUIRING ALL  
VACCINE PROVIDERS, AT THE HEALTH OFFICER'S REQUEST, TO MAKE  
AVAILABLE COVID-19 VACCINATION DATA**

**(PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY ORDER)**

DATE OF ORDER: January 19, 2021

**Please read this Order carefully. Violation of or failure to comply with this Order is a misdemeanor punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both. (California Health and Safety Code § 120295, *et seq.*; California Penal Code §§ 69, 148(a)(1); and San Francisco Administrative Code § 7.17(b)).**

**UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTIONS 101040, 101085, 120175, AND 120176, THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ORDERS:**

**1. Purpose and Findings.**

- a. Purpose and Intent. The federal government controls distribution to the states, pharmacies and other federal entities of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) vaccines, for which the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has given conditional use authorization. The State of California in turn controls the allocation of vaccines it receives from the federal government. The State then allocates shipments of COVID-19 vaccines directly to local public health authorities and Multi County Entities for distribution to health care providers located throughout California. In the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) health care providers receive the majority of COVID-19 vaccines. Scientific evidence indicates that broad community access to COVID-19 vaccines offers the clearest and quickest path to reduce the number of COVID-19 cases and to bring the pandemic to an end. It is also critical to speed up and prioritize access to COVID-19 vaccine for people who are most susceptible to serious illness or death as a result of the disease, such as people over 65 years of age and people living in congregate living situations. Protecting these groups can result in lower death rates and free up critical hospital space to better care for patients suffering from all types of injury or illness. For a number of reasons, information about the number of doses Vaccine Providers (as defined in Section 4 below) have received and administered, and their plans to administer future doses they receive, has not yet been readily available through State data channels. This Order is issued to ensure Vaccine Providers in the City are broadly, efficiently, and effectively distributing COVID-19 vaccines to residents and workers in the community consistent with State guidelines. The intent of this Order is to (1) provide the Health Officer of the City and County of San Francisco (the “Health Officer”) with timely



**Order of The Health Officer No. C19-18**

access to COVID-19 vaccination data from all Vaccine Providers so the Health Officer can monitor and facilitate distribution in the City and make information available to the public, (2) confirm that all Large Healthcare Providers have vaccination plans in place for the timely and effective distribution of the COVID-19 vaccine, and (3) help ensure that Vaccine Providers continue to meet the requirements and goals of their vaccination plans. This Order will also assist the Health Officer and public health authorities to identify and further support those Vaccine Providers whose continued partnership with public health and commitment to community-wide vaccination are resulting in the most rapid and successful distribution of COVID-19 vaccines.

- b. Interpretation. All provisions of this Order must be interpreted to effectuate the purpose and intent of this Order, as described in subsection 1.a above. Certain initially capitalized terms used in this Order have the meanings given them in Section 4 below or elsewhere in this Order. Initially capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Order are defined in Health Officer Order No. C19-07q (the "Stay-Safer-at-Home Order"), including as it may later be amended.
- c. Continuing Severe Health and Safety Risk Posed by COVID-19. This Order is issued based on evidence of continued widespread community transmission of COVID-19 within the City, throughout the Bay Area, across California, and across the United States; evidence that most COVID-19 infections are caused by people who have no symptoms at all of illness; scientific evidence and best practices regarding the most effective approaches to slow the transmission of communicable diseases generally and COVID-19 specifically, including broad distribution of effective vaccinations throughout the community; evidence that the age, condition, and health of a significant portion of the population of the City places it at risk for serious health complications, including death, from COVID-19; further evidence that others, including younger and otherwise healthy people, are also at risk for serious outcomes including death; the reality that a serious surge in SARS-CoV-2 infections and COVID-19 diagnoses is occurring throughout the United States and California; and the fact that City hospitals have a substantial corresponding increase in COVID-19 admissions. Due to the outbreak of COVID-19 in the general public, which is a pandemic according to the World Health Organization, there is a public health emergency throughout the City, region, State, and nation. That immediate threat to public health and safety is also reflected in the continuing declarations of emergency referenced in Section 5 below. There is also concern that new variants of the virus detected recently, such as those found in the United Kingdom, Colorado and other states, and Southern California among other varied geographic locations worldwide, are spreading, and this Order will help protect against that spread.
- d. Cases, Hospitalizations, and Deaths. As of January 16, 2021, there were 29,018 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the City (up from 37 on March 16, 2020, the day before the first shelter-in-place order in the City went into effect) as well as at least 262 deaths (up from a single death on March 17, 2020). San Francisco is currently averaging 333 new COVID-19 positive cases per day compared to the 34 per day that



**Order of The Health Officer No. C19-18**

it averaged in late October. Moreover, the City currently has over 2,000 COVID-19 cases diagnosed per week and hospitalizations remain at historic highs, and more than double the levels seen during past surges. As a result, the City's hospital capacity is under considerable stress. This information, as well as information regarding hospitalizations and hospital capacity, is regularly updated on the San Francisco Department of Public Health's website at <https://data.sfgov.org/stories/s/fjki-2fab> and incorporated into this Order by this reference.

**2. Vaccination Plan Requirements.**

No later than February 1, 2021, all Large Healthcare Providers (as defined in Section 4 below) must provide a written comprehensive vaccination plan to the Health Officer describing how the Large Healthcare Provider will timely and effectively vaccinate all of its primary care or, if applicable, specialty care patients in the City and how the Large Healthcare Provider will timely and effectively contribute to the shared goal of vaccinating all people who live or work in the City, regardless of their insurer. Plans must account for both current and maximal vaccine supply conditions. For convenience, a template plan including all required fields can be accessed online at [www.sfdph.org/vax-plan](http://www.sfdph.org/vax-plan). The comprehensive vaccination plan must at a minimum include all of the following elements:

- a. A comprehensive communication and appointment scheduling plan, describing (1) how the entity will communicate with all of its primary care patients (and, if applicable, specialty care patients) about the availability of vaccination through the entity when it becomes available, including options for obtaining follow up second doses and (2) how the entity will schedule and keep appointments, including appointments for second doses;
- b. A list of all vaccination sites that the entity is operating or plans to operate, as well as any mass vaccination or other jointly operated sites that the entity plans to participate in, and that together will provide reasonable and effective access to all of the entity's patients who live or work in the City;
- c. The capacity and schedule of daily vaccine appointments available at each currently operating vaccination site and the anticipated capacities and schedules of all planned vaccination sites, including a daily goal of administered vaccines;
- d. An expected timeline for achieving full vaccination (including multiples doses, if necessary) of the entity's patients who live or work in the City and who are eligible and willing to receive vaccination;
- e. A description of methods the entity has used or will use to provide vaccinations to people who live or work in the City but are not part of the entity's primary care patient population;



**Order of The Health Officer No. C19-18**

- f. A specific description of how the entity will ensure equitable access to vaccinations across patient populations, including how the entity will reach out to and vaccinate harder-to-serve patient populations using culturally and linguistically competent methods;
- g. Methods for preventing any vaccination “waste” and for addressing logistical concerns (including storage);
- h. A description of how the entity will ensure adherence to all federal and state requirements associated with vaccination eligibility for patients; and
- i. Methods for retaining and storing data and timely meeting all vaccination reporting requirements as provided by the State of California or this Order.

The comprehensive plan required by this Section 2 must be submitted via email to [covidvax@sfdph.org](mailto:covidvax@sfdph.org) with “Health Officer Order No. C19-18 vaccine plan” in the subject line, and the plan must be updated upon request by the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee.

**3. Vaccine Data Reporting Requirements.**

- a. Each Vaccine Provider in the City must continue to fully report all information relating to COVID-19 vaccination that the State of California requires, including reporting to the California Immunization Registry (“CAIR”). If (1) a Vaccine Provider fails or is unable to fully report all required information to CAIR, (2) the Health Officer is unable to timely access or validate complete data from CAIR or the California Department of Public Health, or (3) the Health Officer requires additional information from any Vaccine Provider to facilitate the vaccination of people who live or work in the City, that Vaccine Provider must promptly, upon the request of the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee, make available to the Health Officer all Vaccine Information (as defined in Section 4 below) that the Health Officer requires and in the manner and on the timeline directed by the Health Officer.
- b. Based on the Vaccine Information the Vaccine Provider discloses, the Health Officer may request additional information that is necessary for administration, oversight, or other purposes related to furthering COVID-19 vaccination in the City. Each Vaccine Provider must timely respond to any additional requests for information that the Health Officer or the Health Officer’s designee makes of the Vaccine Provider.

**4. Definitions.**

For purposes of this Order, the following initially capitalized terms have the meanings given below.



**Order of The Health Officer No. C19-18**

- a. ***Vaccine Information.*** “Vaccine Information” means information related to COVID-19 vaccination as requested by the Health Officer or her designee. Such information includes, but is not limited to, vaccine doses received, vaccine expiration dates, vaccines administered (by date, type, lot number, first/second dose, etc.), appointments for vaccination scheduled for the next seven days, maximum daily capacity to vaccinate per day, vaccines on hand, any vaccine doses wasted, and any other vaccination-related information requested by the Health Officer.
- b. ***Vaccine Provider.*** “Vaccine Provider” means any person or entity providing COVID-19 vaccinations in the City, including, but not limited to, Large Healthcare Providers and other health care providers and pharmacies.
- c. ***Multi County Entity.*** “Multi County Entity” means any entity within the City that the State of California has designated as a multi county entity for COVID-19 vaccination purposes.
- d. ***Large Healthcare Provider.*** “Large Healthcare Provider” means any (1) general acute care hospital in the City whose average inpatient population is made up of greater than 70% acute care admissions or (2) Multi County Entity or healthcare system that provides primary care services in the City and has, regardless of type of service, more than 100,000 patients. For clarity, general acute care hospitals that meet the definition of Large Healthcare Provider do not need to submit an additional plan if their parent organization’s plan includes vaccination operations at the general acute care hospital.

**5. Incorporation of State and Local Emergency Proclamations and State Health Orders.**

- a. **State and Local Emergency Proclamations.** This Order is issued in accordance with, and incorporates by reference, the March 4, 2020 Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the March 12, 2020 Executive Order (Executive Order N-25-20) issued by Governor Gavin Newsom, the February 25, 2020 Proclamation by the Mayor Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency issued by Mayor London Breed, as supplemented on March 11, 2020, the March 6, 2020 Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) issued by the Health Officer, and COVID-19-related guidance issued by the California Department of Public Health, as each of them have been and may be supplemented in the future.
- b. **State Health Orders.** This Order is also issued in light of the orders of the State Public Health Officer and the Governor and other State guidelines related to the pandemic and the State’s response to the pandemic, including, but not limited to, guidelines of the California Department of Public Health for allocating COVID-19 vaccines.



**Order of The Health Officer No. C19-18**

- c. Health Officer Orders and Directives. This Order is also issued in light of other orders and directives issued by the Health Officer as they relate to the pandemic and the City's response to the pandemic. Those orders and directives show the seriousness of the issue and the many efforts that the City, including but not limited to the Department of Public Health, have taken to address the spread of COVID-19 within the City. This Order incorporates by reference and is based in part on each of the other orders and directives issued by the Health Officer to this point, including as each of them may be updated in the future. That includes, without limitation, each of the following, including as they may be updated or amended in the future, in relation to this Order:
- i. The Stay-Safer-At-Home Order (imposing restrictions on activities outside the home for all people in the City to protect all during the pandemic);
  - ii. Order No. C19-12d (with limited exceptions, requiring all people in the City to wear Face Coverings when near people from different Households);
  - iii. Order No. C19-16 (requiring that general acute care hospitals share information about COVID-19 patients); and
  - iv. Order No. C19-17 (requiring quarantine after certain travel outside the Bay Area).

**6. Enforcement.**

Under Government Code sections 26602 and 41601 and Health and Safety Code section 101029, the Health Officer requests that the Sheriff and the Chief of Police in the City ensure compliance with and enforce this Order. As stated at the beginning of this Order, the violation of any provision of this Order constitutes an imminent threat and immediate menace to public health, constitutes a public nuisance, and is punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both.

**7. Effective Date.**

This Order becomes effective immediately upon issuance, and will continue in effect (as it may be updated) until the Health Officer rescinds, supersedes, or amends it in writing.

**8. Copies.**

The City must promptly provide copies of this Order as follows: (1) by posting on the Department of Public Health website ([www.sfdph.org/healthorders](http://www.sfdph.org/healthorders)); (2) by posting at City Hall, located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., San Francisco, CA 94102; and (3) by providing to any member of the public requesting a copy.



**City and County of  
San Francisco**

**Department of Public Health  
Order of the Health Officer**

**Order of The Health Officer No. C19-18**

**9. Severability.**

If any provision of this Order or its application to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, the remainder of the Order, including the application of such part or provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this Order are severable.

**IT IS SO ORDERED:**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Susan Philip", written over a horizontal line.

Susan Philip, MD, MPH,  
Acting Health Officer of the  
City and County of San Francisco

Date: January 19, 2021

**From:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Shelter in Place (SIP) Hotel Emergency Ordinance Report #2  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:35:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [1.11.2021\\_HSH SIP Hotel Reporting\\_FINAL.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see the attached report fulfill the reporting requirements in Emergency Ordinance 273-20, File No. 201328.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Board of Supervisors

---

**From:** Cohen, Emily (HOM) <emily.cohen@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 7:52 PM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative\_aides@sfgov.org>; BOS-Administrative Aides <bos-administrative-aides@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; HSH External Affairs, HSH (HOM) <hshexternalaffairs@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; Sawyer, Amy (MYR) <amy.sawyer@sfgov.org>; Schneider, Dylan (HOM) <dylan.schneider@sfgov.org>; Stewart-Kahn, Abigail (HOM) <abigail.stewart-kahn@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Shelter in Place (SIP) Hotel Emergency Ordinance Report #2

Honorable Supervisors and Aides,

Please find attached the second weekly written report to fulfill the reporting requirements in Emergency Ordinance 273-20, File No. 201328.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,  
Emily

**Emily Cohen (she/her)**

**Interim Director of Strategy and External Affairs**

San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

[Emily.Cohen@sfgov.org](mailto:Emily.Cohen@sfgov.org)



Learn: [hsh.sfgov.org](https://hsh.sfgov.org) | Follow: [@SF\\_HSH](#) | Like: [@SanFranciscoHSH](#)

*CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.*



## MEMO

January 11, 2021

**To: Honorable Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors**

**From: Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH)**

**Re: Shelter in Place (SIP) Hotel Emergency Ordinance Reporting Requirements**

---

### Overview

In March 2020, a [shelter-in-place order](#) was issued by the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) due to the community spread of COVID-19. The City activated the first Shelter-in-Place (SIP) hotel in April 2020, providing a safe place for individuals who were at the highest risk for severe disease. Over the intervening months, the City expanded the emergency SIP program to include 25 SIP hotel sites. Thanks to the hard work of City Departments and nonprofit partners, San Francisco opened and filled nearly 20% of all hotel rooms operated as part of the State's Project Roomkey, despite San Francisco only having 5% of the state's homeless population.

Given the large number of SIP sites, the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) created a data- and stakeholder-informed SIP Rehousing and Site Demobilization Proposal to connect people from the temporary SIP hotels to permanent solutions.

The [SIP Rehousing and Site Demobilization Proposal](#) uses a disaster rehousing model to move people from SIP sites into a variety of permanent housing interventions quickly. This model focuses rehousing efforts to act with urgency, connecting people in need immediately to housing resources, removing onerous documentation requirements and accessing needed public benefits and supports quickly. Additionally, HSH is exploring how to offer COVID-safe housing fairs within the plan to centralize resources onsite to assist the guests to connect to housing, receive service referrals and assist with move-out coordination, and is working with DPH to identify safe practices for quickly rehousing guests. This implementation strategy is contingent upon staffing support, new funding for an array of housing options needed to safely move guests from temporary hotels to stability and continued stakeholder input. As additional funding is approved and input received, HSH will work to continue to evolve the approach, but intends it to be iterative as The City continues to respond to the pandemic.

San Francisco recognizes that housing is healthcare. Guests who were referred to SIP sites since April 2020 are largely medically vulnerable and housing will not only further mitigate the spread of COVID-19 but improve the quality of life for our most vulnerable residents. It is to the City's benefit to rehouse guests in permanent housing and move forward with demobilizing these temporary and emergency resources in order to effectively utilize available resources to continue to provide permanent solutions to people experiencing homelessness.

### Emergency Ordinance 273-20: Overview

On December 8, 2020 the Board of Supervisors unanimously passed Emergency Ordinance 273-20 "Limiting COVID-19 Impacts by Not Moving People Experiencing Homelessness Currently Placed in Shelter-in-Place Hotel Rooms". The effective date of the Ordinance is December 23, 2020. An executed



copy of the legislation by Mayor London N. Breed was included in Board File No. 201328 [accompanied by a letter](#) from Mayor Breed addressed to the Board of Supervisors acknowledging that with the passage of this Ordinance the Board of Supervisors have directed a policy shift to utilize more of the City's resources for temporary shelter. By expanding the SIP hotel program away from COVID response and continuing to utilize backfills to these sites as temporary shelter there is a very real possibility that we may be returning people to the streets once FEMA funding has ended for this program.

### **Emergency Ordinance 273-20: Reporting Requirements**

This memo serves as the second public written report provided to the Board of Supervisors and to be included in Board File No. 201328 on a weekly basis or until a public dashboard is produced that meets the reporting requirements. HSH looks forward to providing additional details to fulfill the reporting requirements in future reports. Please note, the data included in this initial report is subject to change based on improvements to data quality and continued reconciliation efforts.

For the purposes of this report, the terms "client", "guest" or "household" refer to data collected by head of household. This methodology is standard when providing client-level data across multiple sub-populations. All data included in this report pertains to the "SIP Rehousing Cohort" which includes all guests active in SIP hotels as of November 15, 2020 whom the City has committed to provide permanent exits to through the SIP Rehousing process.

#### **I. Guest Status Reporting**

The data below related to Guest Status reflects data as of January 8, 2021. Future reporting will include the following categories in total and disaggregated by race, ethnicity and Sexual Orientation and Gender Identify (SOGI).

- (a) Guests in SIP Rehousing Cohort:* 1,862 active SIP hotel guests included in the total SIP Rehousing Cohort of 2,146. This data reflects 1,934 Adults, 71 Families, 45 Transitional Aged Youth (TAY) and 90 Veterans and 6 uncategorized guests in the total cohort.
- (b) Guests who received a Problem Solving Screening:* 548 guests have received a Problem Solving Screening. This data reflects 501 Adults, 15 Families, 8 TAY and 24 Veterans and 2 uncategorized guests. Problem Solving Screening is a new tool that was developed in November 2020 to identify whether or not a guest may have strong opportunities to resolve their homelessness through Problem Solving strategies. It is important to note that Problem Solving Screenings are distinct from Problem Solving Conversations which are a component of the Coordinated Entry system pre-COVID.
- (c) Guests deemed Problem Solving Status:* 805 guests have been determined to be Problem Solving Status. This data reflects 749 Adults, 17 Families, 10 TAY and 28 Veterans and 1 uncategorized guest. Please note that with the integration of Pandemic Prioritization the status of these guests may change.
- (d) Guests who received a Coordinated Entry Primary Assessment:* 1,760 guests have received a Coordinated Entry Primary Assessment and 386 guests have never received a Coordinated Entry Primary Assessment. Of the 1,760 guests with an assessment, 1,130 have an active assessment and 630 have an inactive assessment. Of the 1,760 guests who have received a Coordinated



Entry Primary Assessment at some point in time, this data reflects 1,596 Adults, 45 Families, 37 TAY, 87 Veterans and 4 uncategorized guests.

- (e) *Guests deemed Housing Referral Status:* 610 guests have been assessed and determined to be Housing Referral Status. This data reflects 521 Adults, 19 Families, 21 TAY, 46 Veterans and 3 uncategorized guests.
- (f) *Guests deemed Pandemic Prioritization Status:* HSH is actively working with partners to implement Pandemic Prioritization and look forward to providing additional reporting as this data becomes available. The Rehousing Proposal linked above includes an overview of Pandemic Prioritization categories and estimated population distribution of SIP hotel clients included in the SIP Rehousing Cohort. Please be aware that assigning pandemic prioritization is a collaborative effort with the Department of Public Health (DPH) which requires validating medical information and cross-referencing data.

## II. Exit Reporting

The data below related to Exit Reporting reflects data for guests in the SIP Rehousing Cohort who have exited to date as of January 11, 2021. Rehousing efforts were intentionally slowed over the last few months in order to update the SIP Rehousing Proposal and develop and implement the pandemic prioritization. Future reporting will include the following categories in total and disaggregated by race, ethnicity and Sexual Orientation and Gender Identify (SOGI) as well as include distinct data sets for Adults, Families, Transitional Aged Youth (TAY) and Veterans.

- (a) *Permanent Housing - Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH):* 55 guests have exited SIP hotels to Permanent Supportive Housing.
- (b) *Permanent Housing - Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool:* 12 guests have exited SIP hotels to the Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool.
- (c) *Permanent Housing - Rapid Rehousing (RRH):* 2 guest has exited to Rapid Rehousing.
- (d) *Homelessness Prevention/Problem Solving:* There are no confirmed exits through Homelessness Prevention/Problem Solving. Homeless Prevention programs provide one-time or short-term financial assistance to individuals and families such as Problem Solving and Diversion Plus.
- (e) *Homeward Bound:* There are no confirmed exits through Homeward Bound.
- (f) *Institutional Setting:* 12 guests have exited to an institutional setting, including 5 to hospital, 3 to nursing home or long-term care facility, 2 to substance abuse treatment or detox facility, and 2 to jail.
- (g) *Other:* Future reporting will include additional exit locations and definitions as appropriate.

## III. Available Exits



The data below related to Available Exits reflects data as of January 8, 2021. Future reporting will include additional details including breakdown of Available Exits by subpopulation for Adults, Families, Transitional Aged Youth (TAY) and Veterans.

- (a) *Permanent Housing – Permanent Supportive Housing*: There are over 8,000 total units/slots of Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) in the HSH PSH portfolio. Of these, 709 units/slots are currently available with an additional 882 planned.
- (b) *Permanent Housing – Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool*: There are 329 total units/slots in the Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool portfolio. Of these, 131 are currently available with an additional 890 planned.
- (c) *Permanent Housing – Rapid Rehousing*: There are 1,298 units/slots in the Rapid Rehousing portfolio. Of these, 469 are currently available with an additional 430 planned.
- (d) *Homelessness Prevention/Problem Solving – Diversion Plus*: There are 250 units/slots of Diversion Plus planned. Additional details to be provided in future reporting. Diversion Plus is a Problem Solving intervention that offers rental assistance for up to a year through a one-time grant.

#### *Glossary*

A glossary that includes terms used in the reporting above is included in this report as Appendix A. This glossary will continue to be updated to reflect any additional terminology that is utilized in future reporting.



## APPENDIX A: Glossary of Key Terms

TERM	DEFINITION
Adult/s	An individual age 18 or over living in a household of one or more people without minor children, or an individual under 18 who has been legally emancipated.
Available Exits: Total Units / Slots	The total number of units, slots, or subsidies dedicated to homeless clients under HSH's placement control. Includes both occupied and unoccupied resources.
Available Exits: Currently Available	The total number of vacant units, slots, or subsidies under HSH's placement control that are currently available for referral.
Available Exits: Planned	The total number of units, slots, or subsidies that are planned for opening by January 1, 2022. Includes inventory that has a funding request submitted, already has a funding commitment in place, and/or has a contract agreement with a provider already in place.
Coordinated Entry	Coordinated Entry facilitates the coordination and management of resources and services through the Homelessness Response System by utilizing common, population-specific assessments, a centralized data system, and a prioritization method that directs clients to the appropriate resources and allows for data-driven decision making and performance based accountability. Coordinated Entry in San Francisco is organized to serve three subpopulations: Adults, Families and Youth.
Coordinated Entry: Inactive Assessment	Applies to households who have a Coordinated Entry Primary Assessment that is more than 6 months old and have not maintained contact with the Access Points and/or Coordinated Entry System.
Coordinated Entry Primary Assessment	Coordinated Entry Primary Assessments are used to provide a standardized and consistent method for assessing households experiencing homelessness to identify who will be prioritized to receive an HSH-funded housing resource.
Coordinated Entry Status: Housing Referral Status	Housing Referral Status refers to households that are prioritized for HSH housing resources as a result of their Coordinated Entry Primary Assessment results. Housing Referral Status households are limited based on the number of housing placements available.
Coordinated Entry Status: Problem Solving Status	Problem Solving Status refers to households that are currently enrolled in the Coordinated Entry program in the ONE System and are not "Housing Referral Status."
Current SIP Status	Indicates if a guest is actively staying at a SIP Hotel or if they have exited.



Demobilization Phase	As part of the SIP Rehousing Plan, all SIP Hotels have been assigned to one of four phases in order to sequence site demobilization and client rehousing.
Diversion Plus	Problem Solving intervention that offers rental assistance for up to a year through a one-time grant.
Family (Families)	A household consisting of one or more adults with physical and legal custody of one or more minor children; or one or more adults in a household which includes a person who is pregnant; or one or more adults with one or more minor children not currently in their custody who are expected to reunify in less than 90 days and who have a letter from Child Protective Services stating that the only barrier to reunification is lack of shelter or housing.
Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool	A Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool provides tenants with an ongoing subsidy to utilize in housing units available in the private housing market. Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool programs include housing coordination, case management, and on-going in-home support.
Homeless Prevention	Homeless Prevention programs provide one-time or short-term financial assistance to individuals and families at imminent risk of becoming homeless to maintain their housing or find suitable alternative housing before becoming homeless.
Homeward Bound	The Homeward Bound program provides relocation assistance help reunite people experiencing homelessness in San Francisco with family and friends willing and able to offer ongoing support to end the cycle of homelessness.
Pandemic Prioritization	Pandemic Prioritization categories inform housing placement out of SIP Sites by adjusting Coordinating Entry Systems to prioritize guests for housing based on health vulnerabilities that will cause them to become severely ill from COVID.
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	HSH administers locally and federally funded supportive housing to provide long-term affordable housing with on-site social services to people exiting chronic homelessness.
Problem Solving	Problem Solving is a strategy to assist clients in exploring safe options for housing outside of the Homeless Response System. Problem Solving solutions can include, but are not limited to, mediation with family, friends, landlords, or others, family reunification, or limited financial assistance to help preserve or secure housing.
Problem Solving Screening	The Problem Solving Screening was a new tool introduced in November 2020 to quickly assess a client's opportunities to resolve their homelessness through Problem Solving Strategies (aka diverted from Homeless Response System resources).
Rapid Rehousing (RRH)	Rapid Rehousing provides time-limited rental assistance and services for households exiting homelessness. It includes housing identification, temporary rent and assistance, and case management.



Shelter In Place (SIP) Hotel	Shelter In Place (SIP) Hotels are part of the City's COVID-19 Alternative Housing program that provides emergency, temporary shelter options for the City's most vulnerable populations. SIP Hotels provide non-congregate, temporary shelter for people experiencing homelessness at highest risk of the disease.
SIP Rehousing Cohort	The SIP Rehousing Cohort refers to clients that were active in SIP Hotel sites as of November 15, 2020.
Transition Age Youth (TAY)	Transition Age Youth (TAY) are unaccompanied individuals between the ages of 18-24, or unaccompanied individuals under 18 who have been legally emancipated. Certain services and resources may be available for an extended definition of TAY up to 29 years old.
Uncategorized Guests	Refers to guests whose age has not been confirmed and could either be categorized as an Adult or TAY.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Shelter in Place (SIP) Hotel Emergency Ordinance Report #3  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 5:19:28 PM  
**Attachments:** [1.19.2020\\_HSH SIP Hotel Reporting\\_FINAL.pdf](#)  
[Outlook-DHSH\\_symbo.png](#)

---

---

**From:** Schneider, Dylan (HOM) <dylan.schneider@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 4:56 PM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative\_aides@sfgov.org>; BOS-Administrative Aides <bos-administrative-aides@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; HSH External Affairs, HSH (HOM) <hsheexternalaffairs@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Emily (HOM) <emily.cohen@sfgov.org>; Sawyer, Amy (MYR) <amy.sawyer@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; Stewart-Kahn, Abigail (HOM) <abigail.stewart-kahn@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Shelter in Place (SIP) Hotel Emergency Ordinance Report #3

Honorable Supervisors and Aides,

Please find attached the third weekly written report to fulfill the reporting requirements in Emergency Ordinance 273-20, File No. 201328.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,  
Dylan

**Dylan Rose Schneider (she/her)**

**Manager of Policy and Legislative Affairs**

San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

[Dylan.schneider@sfgov.org](mailto:Dylan.schneider@sfgov.org) | C: 415.961.8257

Learn: [hsh.sfgov.org](http://hsh.sfgov.org) | Follow: [@SF\\_HSH](https://twitter.com/SF_HSH) | Like: [@SanFranciscoHSH](https://www.facebook.com/SanFranciscoHSH)

*CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.*



## MEMO

January 19, 2021

**To: Honorable Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors**

**From: Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH)**

**Re: Shelter in Place (SIP) Hotel Emergency Ordinance Reporting Requirements**

---

### **Overview: Emergency Ordinance 273-20 Reporting Requirements**

This memo serves as the third public written report provided to the Board of Supervisors and is to be included in Board File No. 201328 on a weekly basis or until a public dashboard is produced that meets the reporting requirements. HSH's first weekly public written report was submitted to the Board of Supervisors on January 4, 2021 and the second was submitted on January 11, 2021. HSH looks forward to providing additional details to fulfill the reporting requirements in future reports. Please note, the data included in this initial report is subject to change based on improvements to data quality and continued reconciliation efforts.

For the purposes of this report, the terms "client", "guest" or "household" refer to data collected by head of household. This methodology is standard when providing client-level data across multiple sub-populations. All data included in this report pertains to the "SIP Rehousing Cohort" which includes all guests active in SIP hotels as of November 15, 2020 whom the City has committed to provide permanent exits to through the SIP Rehousing process.

#### **I. Guest Status Reporting**

All required SIP Hotel Guest Status reporting is now included on a public facing dashboard that will be updated regularly and available to the public. This dashboard is available online by visiting:  
<https://data.sfgov.org/stories/s/COVID-19-Alternative-Housing-Rehousing-SIP-Hotel-G/6ugi-a5jp/>

#### **II. Exit Reporting**

All required Exit Reporting is now included on a public facing dashboard that will be updated regularly and is available to the public. This dashboard is available online by visiting:  
<https://data.sfgov.org/stories/s/COVID-19-Alternative-Housing-Rehousing-SIP-Hotel-G/6ugi-a5jp/>

#### **III. Available Exits**

The data below related to Available Exits reflects data as of January 19, 2021. HSH is in the process of developing a public facing dashboard that will be automatically updated to reflect available and planned exits by subpopulation including: Adults, Families, Transitional Aged Youth (TAY) and Veterans.

- i. *Permanent Housing – Permanent Supportive Housing:* There are 7,755 units of Permanent Supportive Housing in the PSH portfolio. Of these, 713 are Currently Available, 638 Adult



units, 7 Veteran units, 9 TAY units, 36 Family Units and 23 Mixed Population Units. There are 882 Planned Permanent Supportive Housing Units, 818 Adult units, 54 TAY Units and 10 Family units.

- ii. *Permanent Housing – Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool:* There are 329 total units/slots in the Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool portfolio. Of these, 127 are Currently Available for Adults. There are 890 Planned, 850 for Adults, 20 for TAY and 20 for Families.
- iii. *Permanent Housing – Rapid Rehousing:* There are 1,298 units/slots in the Rapid Rehousing portfolio. Of these, 421 are Currently Available with 25 for Adults, 176 for Veterans, 119 for TAY and 101 for Families. There are 430 Planned, 350 for Adults and 80 for TAY.
- iv. *Diversion Plus:* There are 250 units/slots of Diversion Plus Planned. Diversion Plus is a Problem Solving intervention that offers rental assistance for up to a year through a one-time grant.

#### iv. Glossary

A glossary that includes terms used in the reporting above is included in this report as Appendix A. The full SIP Rehousing Reporting Glossary is available online at: <https://data.sfgov.org/dataset/HSH-Rehousing-Glossary-of-Terms-PDF/qz2m-2529>

#### Success Story: New Year New Home

Over the holidays HSH and our provider partners were able to transition, Brenda\*, a 59 year-old woman, who had been homeless for nearly her entire life, from a SIP hotel into permanent supportive housing. Brenda signed her lease on December 30<sup>th</sup> and rang in the new year in her new home. One of Brenda's greatest joys is finally being able to cook again. Nonprofit Brilliant Corners provided Brenda with kitchenware and she was able to cook her favorite dish, collard greens. As an older homeless woman who uses a walker, Brenda struggled on the streets and had trouble hanging onto her belongings and phone. Today, with stable permanent housing, Brenda is grateful to make homecooked meals to drop off for her friends.

*\*name changed to protect client privacy*



## APPENDIX A: Glossary of Key Terms

TERM	DEFINITION
Adult/s	An individual age 18 or over living in a household of one or more people without minor children, or an individual under 18 who has been legally emancipated.
Available Exits: Total Units / Slots	The total number of units, slots, or subsidies dedicated to homeless clients under HSH's placement control. Includes both occupied and unoccupied resources.
Diversion Plus	Problem Solving intervention that offers rental assistance for up to a year through a one-time grant.
Family (Families)	A household consisting of one or more adults with physical and legal custody of one or more minor children; or one or more adults in a household which includes a person who is pregnant; or one or more adults with one or more minor children not currently in their custody who are expected to reunify in less than 90 days and who have a letter from Child Protective Services stating that the only barrier to reunification is lack of shelter or housing.
Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool	A Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool provides tenants with an ongoing subsidy to utilize in housing units available in the private housing market. Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool programs include housing coordination, case management, and on-going in-home support.
Mixed Population	Refers to Permanent Supportive Housing sites that have units serving multiple populations (Adult, Families, etc.)
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	HSH administers locally and federally funded supportive housing to provide long-term affordable housing with on-site social services to people exiting chronic homelessness.
Rapid Rehousing (RRH)	Rapid Rehousing provides time-limited rental assistance and services for households exiting homelessness. It includes housing identification, temporary rent and assistance, and case management.
Shelter in Place (SIP) Hotel	Shelter In Place (SIP) Hotels are part of the City's COVID-19 Alternative Housing program that provides emergency, temporary shelter options for the City's most vulnerable populations. SIP Hotels provide non-congregate, temporary shelter for people experiencing homelessness at highest risk of the disease.
SIP Rehousing Cohort	The SIP Rehousing Cohort refers to clients that were active in SIP Hotel sites as of November 15, 2020.
Transition Age Youth (TAY)	Transition Age Youth (TAY) are unaccompanied individuals between the ages of 18-24, or unaccompanied individuals under 18 who have been legally emancipated. Certain services and resources may be available for an extended definition of TAY up to 29 years old.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Joint Letter from SFPD and SFPOA re Covid-19 Vaccine  
**Date:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 7:44:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Joint Letter from SFPD AND SFPOA re Covid 19 Vaccine1.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Scott, William (POL) <[william.scott@sfgov.org](mailto:william.scott@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 4:58 PM  
**To:** Colfax, Grant (DPH) <[grant.colfax@sfdph.org](mailto:grant.colfax@sfdph.org)>  
**Cc:** [Tomas.aragon@cdph.ca.gov](mailto:Tomas.aragon@cdph.ca.gov); [jenny.favorito@kp.org](mailto:jenny.favorito@kp.org); [erin.c.azevedo@kp.org](mailto:erin.c.azevedo@kp.org); [sarahkrevans@sutterhealth.org](mailto:sarahkrevans@sutterhealth.org); Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)>; ChanStaff (BOS) <[chanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:chanstaff@sfgov.org)>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <[rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org](mailto:rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org)>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org)>; [myrnaStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:myrnaStaff@sfgov.org); Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <[Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org](mailto:Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org)>; Cohen, Malia (POL) <[malia.cohen@sfgov.org](mailto:malia.cohen@sfgov.org)>; Elias, Cindy (POL) <[cindy.elias@sfgov.org](mailto:cindy.elias@sfgov.org)>; DeJesus, Petra (POL) <[petra.dejesus@sfgov.org](mailto:petra.dejesus@sfgov.org)>; Hamasaki, John (POL) <[john.hamasaki@sfgov.org](mailto:john.hamasaki@sfgov.org)>; Brookter, Dion-Jay (POL) <[dionjay.brookter@sfgov.org](mailto:dionjay.brookter@sfgov.org)>; Isen, Carol (HRD) <[carol.isen@sfgov.org](mailto:carol.isen@sfgov.org)>; Buick, Jeanne (HRD) <[jeanne.buick@sfgov.org](mailto:jeanne.buick@sfgov.org)>; Varisto, Michaela (DPH) <[michaela.varisto@sfdph.org](mailto:michaela.varisto@sfdph.org)>; Lee, Ivy (MYR) <[ivy.lee@sfgov.org](mailto:ivy.lee@sfgov.org)>; Sun, Selina (MYR) <[selina.sun@sfgov.org](mailto:selina.sun@sfgov.org)>; Tony Montoya ([tony@sfpoa.org](mailto:tony@sfpoa.org)) <[tony@sfpoa.org](mailto:tony@sfpoa.org)>; Matt Lobre <[MLobre@sfpoa.org](mailto:MLobre@sfpoa.org)>; Rocky Lucia <[rlucia@rlslawyers.com](mailto:rlucia@rlslawyers.com)>; Tracy Watson <[twatson@rlslawyers.com](mailto:twatson@rlslawyers.com)>; [Lloyd.Dean@DignityHealth.org](mailto:Lloyd.Dean@DignityHealth.org); Redmond, Michael (POL) <[michael.redmond@sfgov.org](mailto:michael.redmond@sfgov.org)>; Moser, Bob (POL) <[bob.moser@sfgov.org](mailto:bob.moser@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Joint Letter from SFPD and SFPOA re Covid-19 Vaccine

Dear Dr. Colfax:

On behalf of Chief William Scott and SFPOA President Tony Montoya, please see attached joint letter from SFPD and SFPOA regarding Covid-19 Vaccine for members of San Francisco Police Department.

Sincerely,  
 Rowena Carr

for

*Chief William Scott*

*San Francisco Police Department*

***Police Headquarters***

***1245 3rd Street***

***San Francisco, CA 94158***



January 19, 2021

**VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL [GRANT.COLFAX@SFGOV.ORG] & FIRST CLASS MAIL**

Dr. Grant Colfax, Director  
San Francisco Department of Public Health  
101 Grove Street, Room 308  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**Re: Vaccination of San Francisco Police Officers**

Dear Dr. Colfax:

As the Chief of Police of the San Francisco Police Department and the President of the San Francisco Police Officers' Association, we write to convey our concerns over the COVID-19 vaccination plans for the members of the Police Department. The urgency of the matter warrants this joint effort and unprecedented request as our members are constantly exposed to COVID-19 positive individuals. To date, we have 774 confirmed COVID-19 exposures and the numbers continue to grow. The vaccine is the exclusive remedy to ensure our members protect themselves and the public they interact with from spreading COVID-19 in the community.

Our SFPD officers have borne a heavy burden of exposures and infections. The exposure and infection rate are startling. Our patrol bureau is comprised of approximately 1,500 officers where more than half have been exposed to the virus, 148 have tested positive, 2 have been hospitalized, and 192 filed COVID-19 Workers' Compensation claims. COVID-19 was the leading cause of death for law enforcement in 2020<sup>1</sup> and luckily none of our officers have perished as a result of COVID-19 due in large part to the proactive steps taken by the Department of Public Health to reduce transmissions.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Officer Down Memorial Page reports that of the 315 on-duty law enforcement deaths 197 were as a result of contracting COVID-19 in the line of duty.  
(See <https://www.odmp.org/search/year?year=2020>)



Dr. Grant Colfax, Director  
San Francisco Department of Public Health  
**Re: Vaccination of San Francisco Police Officers**  
January 19, 2021  
Page 2

We come together to ask the Department of Public Health to repeat their strategic efforts to curb the spread of this deadly disease by prioritizing our members since they are the most impacted City Department and at high risk for spreading the contagion in the community.

Undoubtedly much forethought and consideration has been given to establishing protocols, priorities and criteria for distribution. The State of California's tiered priority criteria and the County implementation has not properly accounted for the health and safety of our officers who encounter hundreds of individuals on a daily basis and are duty bound to come in close contact regardless of social distancing and masking requirements. The significant number of unhoused people who live on the streets of San Francisco require our officers to assist those individuals regardless of potential COVID exposures. We are the epitome of "front-line" workers.

Without question, each of us assumes varying responsibility for the safety of the community as well as the police officers who serve that community. Our respective organizations have been engaged in serious and collaborative attempts to seek clarity on the plan of distribution of COVID-19 vaccinations for the members of the Department. Specifically, we have independently attended numerous meetings and briefings by various City and County officials relative to COVID-19 vaccinations. Unfortunately, as of the writing of this letter, despite repeated requests, we have not been presented with a specific plan or timetable for distribution of vaccine to the sworn members of the Department.

While the heroic efforts of DPH, frontline workers and the institutions copied on this letter have literally saved thousands of lives and the world celebrated the development of vaccinations, unfortunately, the celebratory fervor has given way to anxiety and frustration over its distribution.

The current COVID avoidance protocols cannot under any circumstances adequately protect our members and it is apparent that the vaccine is the exclusive remedy to protect our officers. Therefore, we are asking that your office, in collaboration with the healthcare institutions copied herein, take immediate action to authorize the vaccination of the members of the SFPD. The SFPD has developed an internal implementation plan which prioritizes our patrol officers assigned to District Stations that correlate with communities of color hit the hardest by COVID exposures/infections. The SFPD conducted a department survey and 87% indicated that they would like to receive the Covid-19 vaccine.

Dr. Grant Colfax, Director  
San Francisco Department of Public Health  
**Re: Vaccination of San Francisco Police Officers**  
January 19, 2021  
Page 3

The SFPD and the SFPOA are ready to move forward. Please advise us as to your plan to assist in our efforts to protect those who protect the residents and visitors of San Francisco.

Respectfully submitted.

**SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT**



William Scott, Chief of Police

**SAN FRANCISCO POLICE OFFICERS'  
ASSOCIATION**



Tony Montoya, President

cc: Dr. Tomas Aragon, California Department of Public Health Officer  
([Tomas.Aragon@cdph.ca.gov](mailto:Tomas.Aragon@cdph.ca.gov))

Kaiser Hospital, Carrie Owen Plietz - Regional President, Northern California  
(c/o [jenny.favorite@kp.org](mailto:jenny.favorite@kp.org), [erin.c.azevedo@kp.org](mailto:erin.c.azevedo@kp.org))

Dignity Health System, (Lloyd H. Dean | Chief Executive Officer or Marvin O'Quinn |  
President and Chief Operating Officer or Robert Wiebe, MD | Chief Medical Officer)

Sutter Health, Sarah Krevans - President and Chief Executive Officer  
([sarahkrevans@sutterhealth.org](mailto:sarahkrevans@sutterhealth.org))

Mayor London Breed

Members of the Board of Supervisors

Members of the Police Commission

Carol Isen, Acting Director of Department of Human Resources

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program 2nd Quarter Report FY 2020-2021  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 11:41:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program Q2 Report .pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please see attached, pursuant to Resolution No. 157-99, the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Report FY 2020-2021, from the Recreation and Parks Department.

Regards,

Jackie Hickey  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701  
[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)



London N. Breed, Mayor  
Philip A. Ginsburg, General Manager

January 15, 2021

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102-4689

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Please find attached the Recreation and Park Department's report for the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter of FY20-21 in response to the requirements of Resolution 157-99 Lead Poisoning Prevention. To date, the Department has completed assessment and cleanup at **190** sites since program inception in 1999.

We are currently conducting a soil abatement project at Brewster Rutledge Community Garden which is expected to continue into the new calendar year. We are currently evaluating our options for addressing soil that was found to still have elevated levels of lead.

I hope that you and interested members of the public find that the Department's performance demonstrates our commitment to the health and well-being of the children we serve.

Thank you for your support of this important program. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions, comments or suggestions you have.

Sincerely,  
DocuSigned by:

AF27F6596709494...

Philip A. Ginsburg  
General Manager

Attachments: 1. FY20-21 Implementation Plan, 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Status Report  
2. Status Report for All Sites

Copy: H. Ahmad, DPH, Children's Environmental Health Promotion

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2021 JAN 15 PM 4:56  
BY [Signature]

## Attachment 1. Implementation Plan Status Report

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Status Report

Plan Item	Status
<b>I. Hazard Identification and Control</b>	
a) Program Revision	Guidelines will be updated as needed.
b) Site Prioritization	<p>Site prioritization was updated in 2018 for changes in site information (as reflected in attached Status Report for All Sites).</p> <p>Prioritization is based on verified hazard reports (periodic inspections), documented program use (departmental and day care), estimated participant age, and presence of playgrounds or schoolyards.</p> <p>Sites are selected on a rolling basis; as one site is completed, the next site on the list becomes active.</p>
c) Survey	Brewster Rutledge Community Garden survey has been completed.
d) Cleanup	We are currently conducting a soil abatement project at Brewster Rutledge Community Garden which is expected to continue into the new calendar year. We are currently evaluating our options for addressing soil that was found to still have elevated levels of lead.
e) Site Posting and Notification	Each site has been or will be posted in advance of clean-up work so that staff and the public may be notified of the work to be performed.
f) Next site	Richmond Recreation Center
<b>II. Facilities Operations and Maintenance</b>	
a) Periodic Inspection	Annual periodic facility inspections are completed by staff, and includes a question pertaining to the condition of paint in a facility (so that paint in poor condition can be addressed by Structural Maintenance).

**City and County of San Francisco  
Recreation and Park Department**

**Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program  
FY2020-2021 Implementation Plan**

**b) Housekeeping**

Staff is reminded of this hazard and the steps to control it through our Lead Safe Work Practice.

**c) Staff Training**

Under the Department's Injury and Illness Prevention Program, basic lead awareness training is recommended every two years for appropriate staff (e.g. custodians, gardeners, recreation staff, structural maintenance staff, etc.).

Additionally, Structural Maintenance has developed an Operations and Maintenance program to allow small-scale lead work to be conducted in house (including sampling). Larger scale work will continue to be completed through DPW-SAR.

Attachment 2. Status Report for RPD Sites



## Status Report for RPD Sites

Sites are listed in order in which they were prioritized for survey. Prioritization is done using an algorithm which takes into account attributes of a site that would likely mean the presence of children from 0-12 years old (e.g. programming serving children, or the presence of a playground).

Sites are surveyed on a rolling basis. "Rolling" means that when one site finishes, the next site on the list will begin. Current sites that have not yet been evaluated are listed at the top. Sites may not be completed in exact order of priority due to re-tests and other extenuating circumstances.

The priority number reflects the overall priority for this site, which may not be reflected in the current order. This is due to a number of factors, including the addition of sites which were not initially included in the list, and were added back in when the list was reprioritized.

New facilities are those built after 1978 and are not required to be tested for lead based paint. However, these facilities will be surveyed to ensure soil and water are tested, and are acceptable.

### SITES REMAINING TO BE EVALUATED

Priority	Property Name	Location	FY Completed	Notes
144	Brewster-Rutledge Community Garden	Brewster and Rutledge Sts.		Abatement of soil in progress
28	Richmond Recreation Center	18th Ave./Lake St./Calif.		New facility; do not include painted surfaces. Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.
79	Victoria Manalo Draves Park	Folsom & Sherman		New facility; do not include painted surfaces. Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.
85	Visitacion Valley Playground	Cora/Leland/Raymond		New facility; do not include painted surfaces. Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.
90	Parque Ninos Unidos	23rd and Folsom		New facility; do not include painted surfaces. Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.
94	Aptos Playground	Aptos/Ocean Avenue		New facility; do not include painted surfaces. Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.
98	Park Presidio Boulevard	Park Presidio Blvd.		Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.

**Status Report for RPD Sites**

101	Alice Marble Tennis Courts	Greenwich/Hyde		New facility; do not include painted surfaces. Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.
103	India Basin Shoreline Park	E. Hunters Pt. Blvd.		New facility; do not include painted surfaces. Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.
113	Jefferson Square	Eddy/Gough		Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.
121	In Chan Kaajal Park	17th/Folsom		New facility; do not include painted surfaces. Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.
126	Kid Power Park	45 Hoff St.		New facility; do not include painted surfaces. Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.
128	Patricia's Green in Hayes Valley	Hayes & Octavia		New facility; do not include painted surfaces. Added out of order as this site was not tested previously, but is being tested now to address water and soil.
150	Crags Court CommunityGarden	8 Crags		
151	Esprit Park	Minnesota Street		
152	Fay Park	Chestnut and Leavenworth		
153	Fillmore-Turk Mini Park	Fillmore/Turk		
154	Geneva Avenue Strip	Geneva/Delano		
155	Howard-Langton Mini Park	Howard/Langton		
157	Joseph Conrad Mini Park	Columbus/Beach		
158	Maritime Plaza	Battery/Clay		
161	Ralph D. House Community Park			New facility; do not include painted surfaces
163	Sunnyside Conservatory	Monterey & Baden		
168	SoMa West Skatepark	Duboce/Stevenson		New facility; do not include painted surfaces. Is adjacent to Dog Park which may not need to be done depending on algorithm score (currently 0)

**Status Report for RPD Sites**

<b>SITES PREVIOUSLY EVALUATED</b>				
<b>Priority</b>	<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>FY Completed</b>	<b>Notes</b>
1	Camp Mather	Mather, Tuolumne County	04-05	
2	Hamilton Recreation Center	Geary/Steiner	00-01	Includes Pool
3	Golden Gate Park - Section 1		var	Includes Panhandle, Tennis Courts, Carrousel, Sharon Art, Conservatory, Kezar and Lodge
4	Herz Playground		99-00, 00-01	Includes Pool
5	Garfield Square	25th/Harrison	00-01	
6	Joe DiMaggio North Beach Playground	Lombard/Mason	99-00	Includes Pool
7	Duboce Park	Duboce/Scott	99-00, 01-02	Includes Harvey Milk RC
8	Angelo J. Rossi Playground	Arguello Blvd./Anza	00-01	Includes Pool
9	Mission Recreation Center	745 Treat Street	99-00, 02-03, 06-07	Includes both the Harrison (Rec) and Treat St. (Art) sides.
10	Corona Heights	16th/Roosevelt	00-01	Includes Randall Musuem
11	Carl Larsen Park	19th/Wawona	00-01	Includes Pool
12	Sunset Rec Center	28th Avenue/Lawton	99-00	
13	Eureka Valley Recreation Center	Collingwood/18th	99-00	
14	Palega Recreation Center	Felton/Holyoke	99-00	
15	Moscone Recreation Center	Chestnut/Buchanan	00-01	
16	Balboa Park	Ocean/San Jose	00-01	Includes Pool and Matthew Boxer stadium. Note no programming there as site has been closed for major renovation.
17	Glen Park	Chenery/Elk	99-00, 00-01	Includes Silver Tree Day Camp
18	Minnie & Lovie Ward Recreation Center	Capital Avenue/Montana	99-00	
19	St. Mary's Recreation Center	Murray St./JustinDr.	00-01	
20	Golden Gate Park - Section 6		var	Includes Beach Chalet, Golf Course
21	Crocker Amazon Playground	Geneva/Moscow	99-00	
22	Golden Gate Park - Section 5		var	Includes Polo Field, Senior Center, Angler's Lodge, Stables
23	Mission Playground	19th & Linda	99-00	
24	Upper Noe Recreation Center	Day/Sanchez	99-00	
25	Potrero Hill Recreation Center	22nd/Arkansas	99-00	
26	Golden Gate Park - Section 2		var	Includes Big Rec, Bandstand, Bowling Green and Nursery
27	Lake Merced Park	Skyline/Lake Merced	12-13	Includes Harding Park, Flemming Golf, Boat House and other sites. Note that the Sandy Tatum clubhouse and maintenance facilities were built in 2004 and should be excluded from the survey.
29	Betty Ann Ong Chinese Recreation Center	Washington/Mason	00-01	

**Status Report for RPD Sites**

30	John McLaren Park	Visitation Valley	06-07	
31	Julius Kahn Playground	Jackson/Spruce	01-02	
32	Jackson Playground	17th/Carolina	99-00, 04-05	
33	Sunnyside Playground	Melrose/Edna	00-01	
34	Golden Gate Park - Section 4		var	Includes Stow Lake
35	Raymond Kimbell Playground	Pierce/Ellis	01-02	
36	Sigmund Stern Recreation Grove	19th Avenue/Sloat Blvd.	04-05	
37	J. P. Murphy Playground	1960 9th Avenue	99-00	
38	Excelsior Playground	Russia/Madrid	99-00	
39	Bernal Heights Recreation Center	Moultrie/Jarboe	00-01	
40	Margaret S. Hayward Playground	Laguna, Turk	00-01	
41	Mission Dolores Park	18th/Dolores	06-07	
42	Cayuga Playground	Cayuga/Naglee	99-00	
43	Youngblood Coleman Playground	Galvez/Mendell	00-01	
44	Tenderloin Children's Recreation Center	560/570 Ellis Street	00-01	
45	West Sunset Playground	39th Avenue/Ortega	99-00	
46	McCoppin Square	24th Avenue/Taraval	02-03	
47	Golden Gate Park - Section 3		var	Includes County Fair Building
48	James Rolph Jr. Playground	Potrero Ave./Army Street	00-01, 02-03	
49	South Sunset Playground	40th Avenue/Vicente	99-00	
50	West Portal Playground	Ulloa/Lenox Way	00-01	
51	Cabrillo Playground	38th/Cabrillo	99-00	
52	Fulton Playground	27th Avenue/Fulton	00-01	
53	Joseph Lee Recreation Center	Oakdale/Mendell	00-01	
54	Junipero Serra Playground	300 Stonecrest Drive	99-00	
55	Lincoln Park	34th Avenue/Clement	02-03	Includes golf course
56	Gilman Playground	Gilman/Griffiths	00-01	
57	Louis Sutter Playground	University/Wayland	00-01	
58	Lower Great Highway	Sloat to Pt. Lobos	07-08	
59	Silver Terrace Playground	Silver Avenue/Bayshore	99-00	
60	Douglass Playground	Upper/26th Douglass	00-01	
61	Father Alfred E. Boeddeker Park	Ellis/Taylor/Eddy/Jones	00-01	
62	Hayes Valley Playground	Hayes/Buchanan	00-01	
63	Laurel Hill Playground	Euclid & Collins	10-11	
64	Parkside Square	26th Avenue/Vicente	02-03	
65	Yacht Harbor & Marina Green	Marina	06-07, 07-08	Includes Yacht Harbor, Gas House Cover, 2 Yacht Clubs and Marina Green
66	George Christopher Playground	Diamond Hts/Duncan	99-00	
67	Rochambeau Playground	24th Avenue/Lake Street	00-01, 09-10	
68	Argonne Playground	18th/Geary	99-00	
69	Eugene Friend Recreation Center	Folsom/Harriet/6th	99-00	
70	Grattan Playground	Stanyan/Alma	00-01	

**Status Report for RPD Sites**

71	Helen Wills Playground	Broadway/Larkin	99-00	
72	Holly Park	Holly Circle	02-03	
73	Alice Chalmers Playground	Brunswick/Whittier	99-00	
74	Bay View Playground	3rd/Armstrong	01-02	Includes Pool (which is a new facility and painted surfaces do not have to be tested)
75	Merced Heights Playground	Byxbee/Shields	99-00	
76	Mountain Lake Park	12th Avenue/Lake Sreet	02-03	
77	Portsmouth Square	Kearny/Washington	02-03	
78	Richmond Playground	18th Avenue/Lake Street	00-01	
80	Willie Woo Woo Wong Playground	Sacramento/Waverly	01-02, 09-10	
81	Buchanan Street Mall	Buchanan betw. Grove & Turk	01-02	
82	Midtown Terrace Playground	Clarendon/Olympia	00-01	
83	Miraloma Playground	Omar/Sequoia Ways	99-00	
84	Presidio Heights Playground	Clay/Laurel	00-01	
86	Adam Rogers Park	Jennings/Oakdale	01-02	
87	Alta Plaza	Jackson/Steiner	01-02	
88	Jose Coronado Playground	21st/Folsom	02-03	
89	Lafayette Park	Washington/Laguna	01-02	
91	States Street Playground	States St./Museum Way	01-02	
92	Woh Hei Yuen Park	1213 Powell	00-01	
93	Alamo Square	Hayes/Steiner	01-02	
95	Buena Vista Park	Buena Vista/Haight	01-02	
96	DuPont Courts	30th Ave./Clement	07-08	
97	Hilltop Park	La Salle/Whitney Yg. Circle	01-02	
99	Peixotto Playground	Beaver/15th Street	01-02	
100	Potrero del Sol Park	Potrero/Army	02-03	
102	Cow Hollow Playground	Baker/Greenwich	00-01; 09-10	
104	Noe Valley Courts	24th/Douglass	02-03	
105	Pine Lake Park	Crestlake/Vale/Wawona	07-08, 16-17	
106	Little Hollywood Park	Lathrop-Tocoloma	02-03	
107	McKinley Square	20th/Vermont	02-03	
108	Michelangelo Playground	Greenwich/Jones	01-02	
109	Palace of Fine Arts	3601 Lyon Street	09-10, 13-14	Includes Exploratorium/Theater
110	Washington Square	Filbert/Stockton	02-03	
111	Franklin Square	16th/Bryant	01-02	
112	Golden Gate Heights Park	12th Ave./Rockridge Dr.	01-02	
114	Kelloch-Velasco Mini Park	Kelloch/Velasco	02-03	
115	Koshland Park	Page/Buchanan	02-03	
116	Palou-Phelps Park	Palou at Phelps	02-03	
117	Precita Park	Precita/Folsom	02-03	

**Status Report for RPD Sites**

118	Selby-Palou Mini Park	Selby & Palou	10-11	
119	South Park	64 South Park Avenue	01-02	
120	Walter Haas Playground	Addison/Farnum/Beacon	02-03	
122	Alioto Mini Park	20th/Capp	01-02	
123	Brooks Park	373 Ramsell	01-02	
124	Head-Brotherhood Mini Park	Head/Brotherwood Way	02-03	
125	Jospeh L. Alioto Performing Arts Piazza	Grove/Larkin	01-02	
127	Lessing-Sears Mini Park	Lessing/Sears	10-11	
129	Telegraph Hill/Pioneer Park	Telegraph Hill	09-10	
130	10th Avenue-Clement Mini Park	Richmond Library	10-11	
131	24th Street-York Mini Park	24th/York/Bryant	02-03	
132	Bernal Heights Park	Bernal Heights Blvd.	01-02	
133	Collis P. Huntington Park	California/Taylor	01-02	
134	Juri Commons	San Jose/Guerrero/25th	05-06	
135	Muriel Leff Mini Park	7th Avenue/Anza	10-11	
136	Prentiss Mini Park	Prentiss/Eugenia	10-11	
137	Randolph-Bright Mini Park	Randolph/Bright	02-03	
138	Rolph Nicol Playground	Eucalyptus Dr./25th Avenue	04-05	
139	Seward Mini Park	Seward/Acme Alley	17-18	
140	Sharp Park	Pacifica, San Mateo Co.	06-07	Includes Golf Course
141	St. Mary's Square	California Street/Grant	09-10	
142	Sue Bierman Park	Clay/Embarcadero	17-18	Does not include Emb Plaza/J Herman, but can do survey together
143	Visitacion Valley Greenway	Campbell Ave./E.Rutland	02-03	
146	Allyne Park	Gough/Green	06-07	
147	Bush-Broderick Mini Park	Bush/Broderick	01-02	
148	Coleridge Mini Park	Coleridge/Esmeralda	02-03	
149	Cottage Row Mini Park	Sutter/E. Fillmore	01-02	
156	Hyde-Vallejo Mini Park	Hyde/Vallejo	02-03	
159	Page Street Community Garden		17-18	This was done in 2017 as part of another project.
160	Page-Laguna Mini Park	Page/Laguna	04-05	
162	Sgt. John Macaulay Park	Larkin/O'Farrell	02-03	
164	Turk-Hyde Mini Park	Turk & Hyde	10-11	
165	Union Square	Post/Stockton	09-10	
166	Washington-Hyde Mini Park	Washington/Hyde	04-05	
167	Embarcadero Plaza	Embarcadero/Clay	17-18	Includes Embarcadero/J Herman Plaza. Must get approval from Permits before doing to ensure there are no activities there that might interfere with clean up.
145	Noe-Beaver Community Garden	Noe/Beaver	18-19	



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Veterans Affairs Commission Appointments  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 4:39:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [VAC 2020 Annual Report.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please see attached 2020 Annual Report from the Veterans Affairs Commission.

Regards,

Jackie Hickey  
 Board of Supervisors  
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701  
[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Olivieri, Victor (VAC) <victor.olivieri.vac@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2021 11:13 AM  
**To:** Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Martin-Pinto, Stephen (VAC) <stephen.martin-pinto.vac@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Veterans Affairs Commission Appointments

Hi Victor and Wilson,

I hope you're both doing well with all the craziness in the world these days and that you have a very happy new year. I'm reaching out in my capacity as President of the Veterans Affairs Commission to forward our Annual Report to the new Board of Supervisors (Wilson), set up a time when I can speak with Victor (Rules Committee business) about the vacant BOS seats on the Commission, and introduce you to our new Commission Secretary, Stephen Martin-Pinto (cc'd), who will be handling all communications with the BOS in 2021.

Please let me know when it would be convenient to chat in the coming days. I look forward to it.

Thank you,

---

Victor Olivieri, Ph.D.  
 President  
 Veterans Affairs Commission  
 City and County of San Francisco



1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Ph: 415-439-9055



# 2020 Annual Report

## Executive Summary

The City and County of San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission had a remarkable and impactful 2020 despite the challenges and limitations imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition to revitalizing the Commission's bylaws, roster, and internal practices, the Commission successfully lobbied for the expedited hiring of the County Veterans Service Officer, recommended several pieces of legislation to varying degrees of success, and continued to hold public hearings to advance the mission of the Commission and improve the lives of the nearly 27,000 veterans who live in San Francisco.

There remains significant progress to be made in the realm of support the City's veterans, particularly with the hundreds of veterans experiencing homelessness and thousands more receiving healthcare at San Francisco Veterans Administration Medical Center or mental healthcare with the various service providers. The continued challenges in reintegrating veterans into an evolving workforce, the many issues exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, and the mental health care issues that will undoubtedly compound after the remarkable experience of 2020 will remain the key issues areas to take head-on in 2021. To these ends, San Francisco's elected leaders must act proactively to ensure that our veterans receive the resources and opportunities they earned while serving in uniform with dignity and expediency.

The Veterans Affairs Commission and San Francisco's elected leaders must take bold, progressive action so that veterans in San Francisco will have the opportunity to live and thrive in a more inclusive, respectful, and strong community.

## Background

The Veterans Affairs Commission (VAC) was rocked by unexpected departures in 2019-2020, but the membership has remained diverse and representative of the broad community and interests in San Francisco thanks to timely reappointments and new commissioner appointments. There are currently fourteen sitting Commissioners out of seventeen seats, with vacancies in Board of Supervisor's seats 4, 5, and 12, and the expiration of Board of Supervisor's seat 2 in January 31, 2021. The Commission has received several applicants for the vacant positions and will endeavor to work with the Board of Supervisor's Rules Committee to make the appropriate appointments/reappointments in January 2021.

The following officers were elected and installed for the 2020 administrative year:

- Commissioner Victor Olivieri, Ph.D., President
- Commissioner Margo Ellis, Vice President (resigned October 2020)
- Commissioner Christopher McDonald, Secretary (resigned June 2020)
- Commissioner George Ishikata, Interim Secretary
- Commissioner Courtney Miller, Secretary

The following officers were elected and installed for the 2021 administrative year:

- Commissioner Victor Olivieri, Ph.D., President
- Commissioner Ikram Mansori, Vice President
- Commissioner Stephen Martin-Pinto, Secretary

In recent years and under renewed leadership, the Veterans Affairs Commission has reinvigorated its mission of capturing the current state of services, benefits, and opportunities available to San Franciscan veterans, as well as uncovering the gaps in support and the most pressing issues facing those who served in the armed forces who now call San Francisco home, in order to better adhere with the San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission's charge to make **"recommendations to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors concerning the coordination of economic development, healthcare, and social services programs as they relate to veterans who are residents of the City and County of San Francisco."**

This Annual Report was drafted through the collaborative work of the Veterans Affairs Commissioners, the newly aligned Commission Committees, both testimony and public discussions, and public comment at regularly scheduled Commission meetings throughout 2020, with the exception of those that took place during the first annual San Francisco Veterans Summit, held in June 2019.<sup>1</sup>

## 2020 Veterans Affairs Commission Accomplishments

2020 was a difficult year for many reasons related to the COVID-19 pandemic, but the VAC accomplished a major milestone, pushed several legislative pieces forward, and continued to take part in veterans-related advocacy and public events:

- Successfully organized, mobilized, and lobbied to expedite the hiring of the County Veterans Service Officer after the position sat vacant for over a year.
- Drafted a resolution urging the City Administrator to immediately nominate an Interim County Veterans Service Officer to safeguard the public health of the veterans in the City and County of San Francisco during the existence of a local

---

<sup>1</sup> The 2020 Veterans Summit was postponed until 2021 due to COVID-19 meeting restrictions, pursuant to the Twelfth Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency dated February 25, 2020.

emergency due to the ongoing spread of the novel coronavirus COVID-19 (introduced by Supervisor Matt Haney).

- Drafted a resolution Commending Captain Brett Crozier, United States Navy for his Courageous Leadership as Commanding Officer, USS Theodore Roosevelt (introduced and passed by Supervisor Catherine Stefani).
- Drafted a resolution in support the mission of the Veterans Justice Court with appropriate funding.
- Drafted an ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish the County Veterans Fund to be used to defray the business and operating costs of the Veterans' Affairs Commission and support the programmatic efforts of the Veterans' Affairs Commission.
- Drafted an ordinance amending the Administrative Code to place the County Veterans Service Officer under the purview of the Veterans Affairs Commission.
- Drafted an ordinance exempting property owners from the property tax of a rented unit occupied by a HUD-VASH recipient or purchased by a veteran to incentivize the leasing of property to lower income veterans and the purchase of homes in San Francisco by veterans.
- Strengthened committee structure and supervisorial liaison assignments to better oversee the business of the Veterans Affairs Commission.

In addition, the Veterans Affairs Commission made significant strides in deepening its understanding of the issues plaguing the San Francisco veterans community by receiving the testimony of subject matter experts and veterans experiencing a gap in the following areas:

- Larry Vasquez, Capt. USN (Ret.), Director, Military and Veterans Affairs, Office of the Mayor, City of Los Angeles
- Judge Michael Begert – San Francisco Superior Court
- Allyson West – Director of Collaborative Justice Programs, San Francisco Superior Court
- Kate Shadoan – Benefits and Resource, San Francisco HUB Director
- Michael Blecker – Executive Director, Swords to Plowshares
- Gerard Choucron – Executive Director, Heart and Armor
- California Economic Development Office Veterans Data Sharing
- Adult Drug Court and Veterans Treatment Court Discretionary Grant Program
- San Francisco Emergency Operation Center veterans coordination
- Bataan Death March Commemoration
- Effects of defunding of US Post Office on veterans
- Veterans Preference in below market rate housing
- Philippine Human Rights campaign
- Board of Supervisors' resolution limiting Presidential powers

Additionally, Commission Committees and individual Commissioners held regular meetings and calls with dozens of agencies, city leaders, and organizations working in the veterans' space. These meetings serve to impart policy makers with a clear view of challenges

veterans are facing, opportunities for improvement, and ways to significantly impact the lives of veterans and their families in San Francisco and the Bay Area.

## 2021 Veterans Affairs Commission Goals

1. Improve access to **health care services**, particularly mental health and COVID-19 related services for veterans in San Francisco
2. Improve the transitional housing pipeline and functionally end veteran's **homelessness** in San Francisco
3. Improve veterans' access to quality job training, education, and **employment** opportunities that impact key high growth sectors, help retain and maintain high potential talent, and provide livable wages

## The State of Veterans in San Francisco

### Baseline Data

- In 2018, 21,695 veterans resided in San Francisco<sup>2</sup>
- 7,013 of San Francisco resident veterans receive some or all of their healthcare through the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA)
  - Additionally, over 60,000 veterans travel to San Francisco from the wider region to receive care at the San Francisco VA Medical Center
  - The VA reported a total of \$345,587,000 in spending in San Francisco
  - VA operates on VA Medical Center and 6 clinics in the City and County of San Francisco
  - VA currently reports seeing over 67,522 patients across its 7 local clinics, 10,595 of whom receive mental health treatment
- The 2019 San Francisco Point in Time (PIT) count tallied 608 homeless veterans during its one-night count
- In 2019, 632 annual VA Supportive Housing (VASH) grants were available in San Francisco
- The San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing currently has a by-name list of 360 veterans currently in need of housing<sup>3</sup>

Beyond these data points, the Veterans Affairs Commission (VAC) conducted a first of its kind Veterans Summit in 2019 to learn from city and community agencies in the veterans policy domain. The summit broadened the Commission's effort to develop keep a close pulse of the current state of affairs for veterans who call San Francisco home. Of specific note, the summit highlighted the outstanding work being done by Swords to Ploughshares, specifically their pro-bono programs that help veterans appeal other-than-honorable

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.va.gov/vetdata/Expenditures.asp>

<sup>3</sup> <http://hsh.sfgov.org/research-reports/san-francisco-homeless-point-in-time-count-reports/>

discharges and restore access to earned services and care. This event, which will become an annual occurrence, was highly beneficial and heavily informed this report.

In conducting its initial assessment, the VAC focused on a number of unsurprising issues that are the most prominent and consistent concerns of both veterans and policy makers. Effectively mirroring the VAC's charge and highlighting the persistent challenges faced by veterans in San Francisco, the top three issues impacting veterans in San Francisco are (and continue to be):

- 1. Healthcare**
- 2. Housing & Homelessness**
- 3. Employment & Workforce Development**

In order to focus effort and impact, the VAC recommends that the Mayor and Board of Supervisor focus their attention on these three strategic priorities. The sections below outline target issues and opportunities within each strategic priority that merit further investigation and collaboration with Federal, State, and local service providers alongside public, non-profit, and private sector partners.

## Health Care

Improving the wellness and healthcare for veterans will always be a top priority for the VAC and must be similarly prioritized by the City and County of San Francisco. San Francisco is one of the healthiest and most innovative cities in the world. We must harness the community's strengths to improve the lives of our veterans.

The Department of Veterans Affairs healthcare system provides medical care for about one third of veterans in San Francisco. This is about the average rate of coverage across the state and country. Their ability to do so is enabled by the location of the San Francisco VA Medical Center (VAMC) – the VA's General Practice Center of Innovation. Contrary to a common belief, not all veterans are eligible for free VA healthcare.

The Department of Veterans Affairs is only responsible for providing comprehensive healthcare for transitioning military service members for five years after they transition out of the military. After that, access to care through VA is dependent on the severity of chronic health conditions resulting from a veteran's military service. For veterans who end their service without a documented chronic condition, there is no guarantee they will have access to free care.

If a veteran earns above a certain disability rating threshold, they may use their insurance at the VA Medical Center the same way they would use it to see any other provider with the VA billing the insurance company for care. Eligible veterans may also elect to utilize limited VA healthcare services with some co-pays. If the veteran does not have insurance and cannot afford care, then the process to try and qualify for care at the VA is similar to other government programs for those unable to afford other medical coverage.

Some concerns and significant issues in the healthcare realm that the VAC has focused its attention on are:

- **Psychiatric Unit Remodel:** The San Francisco VAMC will close its psychiatric unit this fall for a one-year long renovation. This is going to be a major disruption in care for veterans in our region. Capacity is being increased at the Palo Alto VA Medical Center and Cooperative Agreements are underway with the University of San Francisco and Aurora Health Care to augment the local need for capacity. There will still likely be issues that arise from this needed remodeling plan.
- **VA Staffing Shortages:** Similar to other public sector and non-profit employers in San Francisco, cost of living is a major contributor to the VA's inability to fill and retain staff. This challenge is magnified by VA-wide challenges in healthcare recruitment and retention due to overall competitiveness in the healthcare field alongside restrictions on federal hiring and pay scales. This shortage is most pronounced among mental health and other specialty physicians and nursing staff.<sup>4</sup> The extreme cost of living in San Francisco and the Bay Area compounds these issues. Reforms at the VA meant to increase access to timely care have greatly increased the likelihood that veterans will be sent to non-VA facilities on a contract basis to receive specialty care in the interim. These facilities lack the custom-tailored programs that the VA has developed for the veteran population.
- **Veteran's Choice Act Transition:** The Veteran's Choice Act, which allows some veterans to choose non-VA providers for some of their service-connected medical needs can theoretically increase access to care and decrease wait times. However, the increased complexity of the healthcare complex will likely decrease the quality of care and patient satisfaction in the long term. The VA healthcare system has consistently outpaced the private sector health system in overall quality of care while grading on par with overall patient satisfaction.<sup>5</sup> While the VA transitions to a broader mix of VA-provided and contracted care, veterans may experience more convenient and timely appointments coupled with a less centralized managed care experience, though long term impacts of this change should be monitored.
- **VA Inpatient Transitional Housing Beds:** In San Francisco, the VA currently has only 12 beds for homeless veterans transitioning from inpatient care, all located at the San Francisco VAMC. This is an abhorrently low number given the acute homelessness problem in our City, especially given the prevalent comorbidity of mental and behavioral health disorders among those experiencing homelessness. The VA is constrained by space at the Fort Miley location and is continuing to look

---

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.militarytimes.com/news/pentagon-congress/2019/02/21/as-va-staff-vacancies-rise-union-leaders-blast-administration-officials-for-setting-us-up-to-fail/>

<sup>5</sup> <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-hospitals-usa-quality/va-may-top-other-hospitals-in-quality-but-not-patient-satisfaction-idUSKBN17K2D2>

into transitional bed expansions in other locations in the greater Bay Area region. VA has historically not prioritized favor of housing vouchers for use in the community over transitional housing on VA campuses.

- **Research Collaboration:** The VA participates in research and other studies with local medical systems and universities. It has continually sought to expand this collaboration with an openness to suggestions and introductions to facilitate communication and cooperation across the local healthcare delivery and academic landscape. Given the current opioid and other high-potency prescription drug crisis, it is important that the City advocate for a change in law to ensure expansion of medical research related to cannabis. As it stands, veterans have inequitable access to care in California, where cannabis is completely legal, since the VA restricts its medical professionals from have the type of open and honest conversations that other San Franciscans can have with their care teams about the efficacy of cannabis and its potential interactions with other course of treatment.
- **Greater Coordination during COVID-19:** There is little or no coordination between the city agencies, the city's Emergency Operations Center, the VA Medical Center, regional medical centers, and the County Veterans Service Officer. A dedicated liaison is necessary to coordinate response efforts, compile essential data, and provide recommendations to the Veterans Affairs Commission. The most logical office to handle these tasks in the County Veterans Service Officer.

## Housing & Homelessness

Many cities have pledged to end veterans homelessness in their cities, a commitment the San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing should clearly publicize. This is a complex task but can be accomplished through providing short- and long-term housing and adopting a housing first approach.

The number of veterans still experiencing homelessness remains a national tragedy. Nationally nearly 11% of Americans experiencing homelessness are believed to be veterans. Of veterans experiencing homelessness, 45% are African American or Latinx and 9% identify as women. There are an additional 1.4 million veterans believed to be at risk of experiencing homelessness.<sup>6</sup> This national issue is greatly magnified in San Francisco.

The recent 2019 PIT count identified 8,011 people experiencing homelessness on City streets in one night, an increase of 1,753 (17%) from 2018. Of that number, 608 were veterans, a decrease of 74 (11%) from 2018.<sup>7</sup> While progress in the veterans population, these gains are inadequate when factoring in the significant Federal and State resources available to support veterans experiencing homelessness in San Francisco and the Bay

---

<sup>6</sup> [http://nchv.org/index.php/news/media/background\\_and\\_statistics/](http://nchv.org/index.php/news/media/background_and_statistics/)

<sup>7</sup> <http://hsh.sfgov.org/research-reports/san-francisco-homeless-point-in-time-count-reports/>



Area. Unfortunately, these resources are significantly constrained by the city and region's lack of affordable housing.

- **Cost of Living Drives Up Number of San Francisco Veterans Experiencing Homelessness:** 70% of veterans interviewed by the San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing lost their housing in San Francisco due to the high cost of living. 43% have been residents of San Francisco for over 10 years and 31% reported experiencing homelessness for the first time in their lives while residing in San Francisco. This is in contrast to the homelessness crisis in southern California, where a greater rate of homelessness is due to people experiencing chronic homelessness migrating to cities from outside urban centers.<sup>8</sup>
- **San Francisco Housing Authority Reorganization:** In the Spring of 2018, control of the San Francisco Housing Authority, previously a semi-independent agency, was transferred to the city. The agency has been reorganized under the Mayor's Office and has been developing new processes for connecting those experiencing homelessness with housing opportunities.<sup>9</sup> There has long been frustration over the current process that involves 3 different Federal and City departments' inputs to determine eligibility and sources of funding to initiate placements. The transfer of control provides a unique opportunity to influence the process and ensure the needs of veterans remain a top priority. Additionally, the faster veterans receive federally funded assistance, the sooner that the City can redirect resources to other people who may not qualify for other assistance.
- **Hospital to Streets Pipeline:** Patients with mental health or serious medical conditions are often discharged from hospital with no housing plans, to include veterans. This problem will likely be exacerbated by the VA Medical Center's renovation efforts that will temporarily take away short-term treatment beds, adding stress to the already taxed City resources. The City has the opportunity to lead efforts that ensure veterans accessing care at local hospitals (to include San Francisco General Hospital) are identified as veterans and vectored immediately towards existing federal resources aimed at ensuring a continuity of treatment and social care.
- **Recreational Vehicle Living:** 2019 saw a dramatic 45% increase in the number of people experiencing homelessness who live in recreational vehicles (RV) in the Bay Area.<sup>10</sup> This worrying trend (which is notoriously difficult to quantify) is a sign that the housing crisis has led to a new phenomenon –employed people experiencing homelessness. People with the means to afford fuel and some amenities are unwilling or unable to leave their jobs and relocate to areas with lower permanent housing costs. Very little data exists about the people making this transition to RV

---

<sup>8</sup> <http://hsh.sfgov.org/wp-content/uploads/FINAL-PIT-Executive-Summary-2019-San-Francisco.pdf>

<sup>9</sup> <https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/HUD-turning-control-of-Housing-Authority-over-to-13671916.php>

<sup>10</sup> <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2019/aug/05/california-housing-homeless-rv-cars-bay-area>

living, but it is likely that some or many are veterans, to include students accessing their GI Bill benefits at City institutions of higher education.<sup>11</sup> Further research and investigation into the potential causes and policies to mitigate this trend are necessary.

## Employment/Workforce Development

Workforce training needs to provide opportunities for all veterans to translate their existing skills and effectively retrain to succeed in the rapidly evolving local marketplace. Particular attention needs to be paid to education, employment, and job placement programs for transitioning service members, women, and African American veterans who experience unemployment at rates well above national and state averages.

Veterans are a productive, resourceful, well trained, and sought after sector of the employment marketplace. Employers and industries seek to attract veterans as employees for their work ethic and skills, as well as for their own public relations and corporate social responsibility goals. Federal, State, and local veterans employment and training programs have made significant progress over the last decade in reducing unemployment for certain categories of veterans.

As of September 2019, the nationwide unemployment rate was 3.4% with veteran unemployment rate slightly below at 3.2%.<sup>12</sup> Unfortunately, the Trump administration stopped reporting veterans employment data by demographics. This move is incredibly disconcerting, especially given historical disparities in employment by demographic groups - specifically what is known about unemployment for African-Americans. According to a USA Today article in May 2019, black people in the United States faced an unemployment rate 116% higher than their white counterparts.<sup>13</sup>

For this reason, it is vital that San Francisco ensures that organizations in the City that claim to provide workforce development and employment services maintain records of diversity success. Understanding how unbalanced the scales currently are, if government stops tracking this data or fails to ensure its tracking by third-party providers, the risk of racial injustice within these programs will rise. Beyond ensuring the memorialization of this data in City and local programs, San Francisco should use its influence as a progressive hub of 21<sup>st</sup> Century employment to advocate for the reinstitution of workforce demographic tracking at the federal level. This will help to ensure that programs targeted towards veterans employment support all veterans, regardless of who they are.

- **Low Overall Unemployment Masks Workforce Issues Among Disadvantaged Populations:** In 2015 the last year women and African American veteran

---

<sup>11</sup> <https://projects.sfchronicle.com/sf-homeless/24-hours-homelessness/>

<sup>12</sup> <https://www.dol.gov/agencies/vets/latest-numbers>

<sup>13</sup> <https://www.usatoday.com/story/money/2019/05/06/black-unemployment-86-higher-than-us-average/39447773/>

unemployment was reported, the national veteran unemployment rate reached a then record low of 4.4%. However, female veteran unemployment was still above the national average at 5% and African American veteran unemployment was more than double (9.3%) that of their white counterparts. Underprivileged populations are frequently underrepresented or face significant barriers to participation in many highly-praised training, education, and job placement programs. There are several organizations that should be placed on a “School to RV Pipeline” list that highlights inequity in programing.

For example, the VAC has received reports that Vets in Tech, an organization that has enjoyed tremendous praise for veterans workforce development, has focused its efforts on serving veterans with the lowest levels of need. These disturbing reports allege that Vets in Tech may be actively discriminating against minority veterans, dismissing them at irrational rates, and providing little – if any – justification for decisions to dis-enroll black students. When asked to produce clarifying data, Vets in Tech refused. To ensure meaningful progress on racial justice, the City should develop and implement policy to ensure adequate measurements of success are tracked across race and gender demographics.

- **School to RV Pipeline** - The high cost of living, for-profit higher education institutions, and inadequate job training have led to a middle-class “School to RV pipeline”. Veterans are often not receiving adequate training, certifications, and/or job placement in programs meant to address these concerns. In the San Francisco housing market, this has led veterans using their valuable earned benefits on inadequate and ineffective training that does not provide gainful employment. Minority and women veterans are disproportionately impacted due to training programs geared towards tech and construction industries that already present significant barriers to entry.

## Veterans Affairs Commission Policy Recommendations

### Health Care

- **Place the County Veterans Service Officer under the purview of the Veterans Affairs Commission:** The office has one of the smallest budget of any City agency relative to the size of the population it serves and the current staff are not meeting the demand for services, with reports that the staff often work late, that they are overworked, and that the long waits observed at the SF County Veterans Office are accompanied by limited outreach capacity. Beyond that, the position of CVSO was vacant for over a year in 2019-2020, highlighting the need for greater oversight of the office, staffing, budget, and deliverables.
- **Track Impact of San Francisco VAMC Psychiatric Unit Remodel:** The City should monitor the VA’s year-long renovation of the SF VAMC Psychiatric Unit remodel

closely and engage local veterans organizations and service providers in anticipation of expected gaps and failures in coverage. Transportation availability and planning, timeliness of mental health referrals, and getting the word out to veterans about this disruption in service will be key factors in ensuring this necessary renovation does not negatively impact veterans in need of care.

- **Promote Collaborative Medical Research:** The City should investigate areas of opportunity for engagement between the VA and City healthcare agencies. The VAC can facilitate and aid in future engagements and formal partnerships.
- **Add Veterans as a Tracked Population in Healthy San Francisco:** Veterans are not currently a subcategory being tracked in this important initiative. The City should take all efforts to add veterans as tracked demographic within Healthy San Francisco to ensure veterans' health outcomes are tracked.
- **Advocate for Inclusion of Veterans in "Fair Share" of Mental Health Services Act:** The City should advocate for inclusions of veterans into Prop 63 (provides funding, personnel, and other resources to support county mental health programs and monitor progress toward statewide goals for children, transition age youth, adults, older adults, and families) formulae in order to receive a fair share of this public service program. Many of the veterans most in need of community mental health services are not eligible for VA healthcare services due to a lack of service connected disability or dishonorable discharges – often due to the same mental health diagnoses for which they require treatment. Additionally with the implementation of Choice Act reforms and the VA's inability to hire an adequate number of mental health professionals, even veterans enrolled in the VA healthcare system are often eligible to receive mental health care outside of the VA system. County health departments can contract with VA and Tricare West to provide fee for service care for eligible veterans. San Francisco should act of this opportunity to provide a higher level of care to veterans and activate another funding stream for these services.
- **Develop Collaborative Veterans Substance Abuse Disorder Strategic Plan:** The City should develop, in concert with the VA, strategic goals to tackle substance use disorders among veterans and develop a plan of action towards this objective. A portion of this effort should be advocating federal representatives on the need for the VA to allow Cannabis to be a course of treatment offered at VAMCs.

### Housing/Homelessness

- **Promote Development of Veterans Home at the Presidio:** The City should take steps, to include working with state elected officials, to designate underutilized barracks in the Presidio for development into a CALVETS State Veterans Home with a wing reserved for transitional housing, much like the Los Angeles State Veterans

Home. There is wide agreement and recognition that old barracks and other buildings in the Presidio of San Francisco are underutilized. Combined with the great need for both transitional and long-term veterans housing, as well as consensus that the number of seniors living on the streets is unacceptable, this is a viable and necessary option to consider.

- **Improve Data Collection on Veterans Housing:** The City should take a leading role in facilitating improved collaboration between the Department of Veterans Affairs, CALVETS, Swords to Plowshares and other nonprofits, SF Housing Authority, and the SF Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing. To start, the City should mandate reporting of relevant data points by City departments and contracted nonprofits to SF Open Data or another publicly available location. In order to better serve the veterans population in San Francisco, City leaders and policymakers need to easily and adequately understand of the share of the population in need of and receiving housing assistance, such as vouchers. Only with accurate and accessible data can data collection on veterans utilizing nonprofit homelessness assistance outside of the VASH voucher system be improved.
- **Engage SF Housing Authority During Reorganization:** The City should direct SF Housing Authority to designate a point of contact to engage with the VAC through the reorganization process. The Commission has an interest to engage the Housing Authority as it moves to streamline VASH and other housing funding stream assessment processes as a means to increase the share of supportive housing made available for veterans.
- **Take Action to Assist Reversal of Unjust Bad Paper Discharges:** Alongside continued support of Swords to Plowshares and other like non-profits, the City should develop legal clinics with local law schools (USF School of Law, San Francisco Law School, UC Hastings School of Law, etc.) as a means to rectify these historical injustices and ensure that veterans have access to all the privileges they earned by virtue of their service. Other-than-honorable discharges pose a common problem that disproportionately impacts African-American, Latinx, and LGBTQ veterans' eligibility for HUD-VASH vouchers. The circumstances of many discharges have been found to have roots in a long history of discriminatory military policy and practice towards racial minorities and LGBTQ personnel, often tied to indiscriminately harsh punishment for relatively minor offenses. Many veterans who received dishonorable or less-than-honorable discharges do not know how to appeal these decisions. They are often unaware or indifferent to the severe impacts these "bad paper discharges" have on their eligibility of many benefits and services.
- **Evaluate City and County of San Francisco Veterans Hiring Preference:** The City direct the Department of Human Resources to conduct an evaluation of the utilization of this program to determine if the City is doing all it can to provide opportunities for veterans to continue their service in local government. While the

City provides a veterans preference in its civil service hiring process, this process and the weight of service should be evaluated.<sup>14</sup>

## Employment/Workforce Development

- **Mandate Equity in Training and Education Programs:** The City should mandate, as a prerequisite to operation, that all such job training and workforce development programs track diversity enrollment and outcomes, as well as publish this data publicly to SF Open Data or in another publicly accessible manner. There are many job training, certification, and academic programs meant to provide critical skills and opportunities for veterans and transitioning service members. These programs often do not report completion or job placement rates that would inform veterans of how effective these programs are at increasing their employability. The lack of recruitment and marketing to women and minorities for specialized education, vocational rehab, employment security, and workforce development programs will only worsen existing gentrification in San Francisco. This recommendation will help ensure racial and gender equity of opportunity to quality jobs in emerging and growth sectors of the economy.
- **Ensure City College Workforce and Education Recovery Fund supports student veterans:** The Board of Supervisors approved the creation of the Workforce Education and Recovery Fund to provide support to City College. 25% of this yet-to-be funded pool is dedicated to supports students from historically under-represented or marginalized groups, to include student veterans. As this fund is appropriated dollars, it is crucial that City College fence off funding to support student veterans who often face institutional and personal challenges when engaging with higher education institutions that often do not fully understand the experiences and backgrounds of student veterans.
- **Apply Reciprocity for Department of Defense (DoD) Training Certifications:** The City Office of Economic and Workforce Development and Human Resources Department should ensure, where possible, that relevant DoD training certifications are given reciprocity. By providing reciprocity, the City would decrease the barrier to employment for veterans who possess tangible and applicable skills.
- **Improve Coordination with Department of Labor Veterans Training and Employment Services:** The San Francisco Human Resources Department should facilitate improved coordination and communications between the City and both the Department of Labor Veterans Employment and Training Services Offices and the Department of Veterans Affairs Office of Intergovernmental Affairs. These efforts will ensure the

---

<sup>14</sup> <https://sfdhr.org/veterans-preference>

- **Establish Veterans Employment Resource Group:** In keeping with the Mayor's No One Left Behind initiative, the San Francisco Human Services Agency should establish a Veterans Employment Resource Group to gather, analyze, and share resources and data from across the Federal, State, and Local governments, as well as nonprofit partners. With initial partnership between the Office of Economic and Workforce Development and Swords to Ploughshares, the Veterans Employment Resource Group should determine trends and gaps in local veteran employment that will inform policy makers. Accepting this recommendation can ensure that all veterans have access to high quality training and employment opportunities in the evolving regional economy.
- **Key Sectors in Economic and Workforce Development:**
  - **Veterans in Tech:** VAC will work to identify model and best practice programs to support and help grow (such as npower California), as well as ineffective or problematic initiatives (such as Vets in Tech).
  - **Veterans in Construction:** VAC will work with both the Office of Economic and Workforce Development's City Build program<sup>15</sup> and Helmets to Hardhats<sup>16</sup> to encourage veterans to participate in apprenticeship and training programs in the ever expanding construction industry. Helmets to Hardhats to develop targets for veteran employment and apprenticeship.
  - **Veterans in Health Care:** The Department of Veterans Affairs is the largest integrated health care system in the country as well as the largest employer of veterans. The Veterans Health Administration also happens to be in the middle of a provider crisis. VAC should continue to find ways to encourage veterans to pursue careers in health care and service at the Department of Veterans Affairs.

---

<sup>15</sup> <https://oewd.org/city-build>

<sup>16</sup> <https://helmetstohardhats.org/>

## Veterans Affairs Commission Membership and Attendance

### **Executive Board:**

Victor Olivieri, Ph.D.,  
President

*Vacant*, Vice-President  
Courtney Miller,  
Secretary

### **Appointment**

BOS Seat #1 (of 12), term expires January 31, 2023.

BOS Seat #12 (of 12), term expires January 31, 2021.

BOS Seat #6 (of 12), term expires January 31, 2024.

### **Commissioners:**

George Ishikata

William Barnickel

*Vacant*

Deborah Dacumos

Stephen Martin-Pinto

Douglas Bullard

Hanley Chan

Matthew Brauer

Christopher McDonald

Raymond Wong

Ikram Mansori

Myles Tucker

Jeff Marshall

*Vacant*

BOS Seat #2 (of 12), term expires January 31, 2021.

BOS Seat #3 (of 12), 2nd term expires January 31, 2024.

BOS Seat #4 (of 12), 2nd term expires January 31, 2023.

BOS Seat #5 (of 12), 2nd term expiring January 31, 2022.

BOS Seat #7 (of 12), term expires January 31, 2023.

BOS Seat #8 (of 12), term expires January 31, 2023.

BOS Seat #9 (of 12), 2nd term expires January 31, 2024.

BOS Seat #10 (of 12), 2nd term expires January 31, 2022.

BOS Seat #11 (of 12), term expires January 31, 2023.

MAYORAL Seat #1 (of 5), term expires January 31, 2023.

MAYORAL Seat #2 (of 5), term expires January 31, 2023.

MAYORAL Seat #3 (of 5), term expires January 31, 2023.

MAYORAL Seat #4 (of 5), term expires January 31, 2023.

MAYORAL Seat #5 (of 5), term expires January 31, 2022.



VETERANS AFFAIRS COMMISSION	1/14/20	2/11/20	3/10/20	4/28/20	5/12/20				9/8/20	10/13/20	11/10/20	Mtg Date		Annual Present	Annual Absent
	Jan Meeting	Feb Meeting	Mar Meeting	Apr Meeting	May Meeting	Jun Meeting SIP	July Recess	Aug Meeting SIP	Sept Meeting	Oct Meeting	Nov Meeting				
William Barnickel	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present				Present	Present	Present				
Matthew Brauer	Notified Absent	Present	Present	Present	Present				Notified Absent	Notified Absence	Notified Absence				
Douglas Bullard	x	x	Present	Present	Present				Present	Present	Present				
Christine Borgognoni	Notified Absent	Present	x	x	x				x	x	x				
Hanley Chan	Notified Absent	Present	Present	Present	Present				Present	Present	Present				
David Chasteen	Present	Present	Present	Notified Absence	Resigned Absent				Resigned Absent	x	x				
George Chewing	Notified Absent	Notified Absence	x	x	x				x	x	x				
Deborah Dacumos	Notified Absent	Present	Present	Present	Notified Absence				Absent	Absent	Absent				
Margo Ellis	Present	Present	Present	Present	Notified Absence				Present	Resigned Absent	x				
David Frommer	x	x	Present	Notified Absence	Resigned Absent				Resigned Absent	x	x				
George Ishikata	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present				Present	Present	Present				
Ikram Mansouri	Notified Absent	Present	Present	Present	Present				Present	Present	Present				
Jeff Marshall	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present				Present	Present	Present				
Stephen Martin-Pinto	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present				Present	Present	Absent				
Christopher McDonald	Present	Present	Present	Notified Absence	Present				Present	Present	Absent				
Courtney Miller	x	x	Present	Present	Present				Present	Notified Absence	Present				
Victor Olivier	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present				Present	Present	Present				
Myles Tucker	Notified Absent	Present	Present	Present	Present				Present	Notified Absence	Present				
Raymond Wong	Present	Present	Present	Present	Present				Present	Present	Present				
Commissioners Present	9	15	17	14	13				13	10	10				
Notified Absences	7	1	0	3	4				1	4	1				
Un-notified Absences	0	0	0	0	0				1	1	3				

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Capital Planning Committee Memo dated January 11, 2021  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 3:55:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Capital Planning Committee.pdf](#)

---

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached memorandum from the Capital Planning Committee regarding action items to be considered by the Board of Supervisors: MTA Revenue Bonds and Annual Infrastructure Construction Cost Inflation Estimate (AICCIE) for CY 2021.

Regards,

Jackie Hickey  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701  
[jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)



# Capital Planning Committee

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2021 JAN 12 PM 2:50

Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator, Chair

## MEMORANDUM

BY CP

January 11, 2021

**To:** Members of the Board of Supervisors  
**From:** Ken Bukowski, Acting City Administrator & Capital Planning Committee Chair **KAR**  
**Copy:** Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Capital Planning Committee  
**Regarding:** (1) MTA Revenue Bonds (2) Annual Infrastructure Construction Cost Inflation Estimate (AICCIE) for CY 2021

In accordance with Section 3.21 of the Administrative Code, on January 11, 2021, the Capital Planning Committee (CPC) approved the following action items to be considered by the Board of Supervisors. The CPC's recommendations are set forth below.

### 1. Board File Number: TBD

**Approval of the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) resolution authorizing, in part, the issuance of tax-exempt Revenue Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$300,000,000.**

#### Recommendation:

Recommend the Board of Supervisors approve the above resolution.

#### Comments:

The CPC recommends approval of this item by a vote of 9-0.

Committee members or representatives in favor: Ken Bukowski, Acting City Administrator; Ashley Groffenberger, Mayor's Budget Director; Alaric Degrafinried, Acting Director, Public Works; Ben Rosenfield, Controller; Jonathan Rewers, SFMTA; Ivar Satero, Director, Airport; Rich Hillis, Director, Planning; Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Parks Department; Kathy How, SF Public Utilities Commission.

### 2. CPC Action Item:

**Adoption of the AICCIE for CY 2021 at 3.5%. This rate was determined using a combination of cost inflation data; market trends; national, state and local construction cost inflation indices; and discussion with local construction experts. A copy of the analysis is available under the January 11<sup>th</sup> CPC meeting at <http://onesanfrancisco.org/>**

Action: The CPC approves adoption of AICCIE at 3.5% for CY 2021.

Comments: CPC approves this item by a vote of 10-0.

Committee members or representatives in favor: Ken Bukowski, Acting City Administrator; Shamann Walton, Board President; Ashley Groffenberger, Mayor's Budget Director; Alaric Degrafinried, Acting Director, Public Works; Anna Van Degna, Controller's Office; Jonathan Rewers, SFMTA; Ivar Satero, Director, Airport; Elaine Forbes, Executive Director, Port of San Francisco; Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Parks Department; Kathy How, SF Public Utilities Commission.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Give2SF Quarterly Update - 1/8/2021  
**Date:** Friday, January 8, 2021 2:02:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Give2SF Quarterly Update Memorandum 01.08.21.pdf](#)  
[Give2SF Monetary Donations List \(Through 12.31.2020\) - 01.08.21.xlsx](#)  
[In-Kind Donations Attachment \(Through 12.31.2020\) - 01.08.21.xlsx](#)

---

**From:** Kelley, Amanda (CON) <amanda.kelley@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2021 1:52 PM  
**To:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Elsbernd, Sean (MYR) <sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Rosenfield, Ben (CON) <ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org>; Kelly, Naomi (ADM) <naomi.kelly@sfgov.org>; Bukowski, Kenneth (ADM) <kenneth.bukowski@sfgov.org>; Carroll, Maryellen (DEM) <maryellen.carroll@sfgov.org>; Rydstrom, Todd (CON) <Todd.Rydstrom@sfgov.org>; delaRosa, Mark (CON) <mark.p.delarosa@sfgov.org>; Woo, Winnie (CON) <winnie.woo@sfgov.org>; Wen, Xing Hua (CON) <xinghua.wen@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Give2SF Quarterly Update - 1/8/2021

Madame Clerk and Mr. Chief of Staff,

Attached is the Controller's Quarterly report on the Give2SF fund, dated January 8, 2021. Please forward in your respective offices as you believe best.

Thank you and please let us know if you have any questions.

Respectfully,

**Amanda Kelley**  
 Office of the Controller | City Services Auditor  
 City & County of San Francisco  
 (415) 554-6623



# OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Todd Rydstrom  
Deputy Controller

## Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund

### Quarterly Status Update

**TO:** Mayor's Office  
Board of Supervisors

**FROM:** Ben Rosenfield, Controller

**CC:** Naomi Kelly, City Administrator, General Services Agency  
Mary Ellen Carroll, Executive Director, Department of Emergency Management

**DATE:** January 8, 2021

**SUBJECT:** City and County of San Francisco's Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund

---

The Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund (Give2SF) is a special fund established by the City and County of San Francisco (City) as part of the [Second Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency](#), dated February 25, 2020, and issued on March 13, 2020. This memorandum summarizes both monetary and in-kind donations (goods) for Give2SF.

### Monetary Donations

The Second Supplement authorizes the Controller to accept and expend funds to provide shelter, food, financial assistance, and other assistance to individuals and families in San Francisco impacted by the emergency; to replace, repair, and rebuild public buildings, infrastructure, and other assets for use in the City's efforts to respond to the emergency; to issue and administer grants and/or interest-free loans to small businesses in San Francisco to compensate for economic harms resulting from COVID-19; and for other city efforts to address the impacts of COVID-19. The Twenty-Sixth Supplement to the mayoral declaration, dated August 26, 2020, authorizes the Controller to accept and expend funds to support youth programs, including but not limited to, Community Hub and Emergency Child & Youth Care spaces that ensure professional supervision and access to educational and technological resources, physical activity, food, social and emotional development, and other support for the most vulnerable children and youth.

Within the authorized uses outlined above, the City has identified four priority areas for the use of the Give2SF funds: (1) food security, (2) access to housing, (3) security for workers and small businesses, and (4) youth programs. Disbursements of funds are approved by a committee consisting of City Administrator Naomi Kelly, Director of Emergency Management Mary Ellen Carroll, and myself.

Through December 31, 2020:

- \$32,305,012 has been donated to and received by Give2SF both directly and through the San Francisco Foundation, \$31,679,000 of which has been allocated.
- Of the \$31,679,000 that has been allocated:
  - \$19,095,000 is for programs operated by the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families and the Recreation and Park Department (jointly), Human Services Agency, Office of Early Care and Education, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, or the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco.
  - \$12,584,000 has been allocated to programs that will be administered, with city oversight, by nonprofit organizations through grant agreements between them and the San Francisco Foundation.

The exhibits below summarize this information, including how departments have disbursed the funds through their programs. An attachment to this memorandum shows the individual donations received, including donor name, date, amount, and fund (and excludes individual donations received by departments directly for their own use).

**Exhibit 1: Total Donations Received by Give2SF**

Donations Received Directly by Give2SF	Donations to Give2SF Through the San Francisco Foundation	Total Donations Received
\$8,545,995	\$23,759,017	\$32,305,012

**Exhibit 2: Total Approved Disbursements and Disbursements to Departments, by Use of Fund**

Department	Use of Fund	Disbursements Approved	Disbursements Made
Department of Children, Youth and Their Families and Recreation and Park Department	Youth programs	\$425,000	\$375,000
Human Services Agency	Food security	\$7,021,482	\$7,021,482
Office of Early Care and Education	Security for workers and small businesses	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
Office of Economic and Workforce Development	Security for workers and small businesses, food security	\$4,073,518	\$4,073,518
Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development	Access to housing	\$6,415,000	\$6,415,000
Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco	Food security, youth programs	\$160,000	\$160,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$19,095,000</b>	<b>\$19,045,000</b>



### Exhibit 3: Human Services Agency's Program Uses and Impacts

Purpose	Description	Nonprofit Organization Partner	Amount	Impact
Senior/ Disability Food and Nutrition Network	Support equipment, staffing, and other infrastructure needed to modify services under COVID-19 (for example, freezers to provide multiday meal packs, additional delivery drivers and vehicles).	Department of Disability and Aging Services Network Providers <sup>a</sup>	\$1,425,113	100,000 new meals per month and supporting program modifications to maintain pre-COVID-19 service levels of 250,000 meals per month
Undocumented and Immigrant Households	Support low-income, undocumented and immigrant households who may be ineligible for mainstream benefits (for example, CalFresh) by providing gift cards ranging from \$200 to \$600 in value.	HealthySF	\$500,000	2,631 households <sup>b</sup>
		Chinese for Affirmative Action	\$300,000	500-700 households
Family Support	Support low-income families with gift cards distributed through Family Resource Centers (value based on household size), which operate in high-priority zip codes (based on COVID-19 infection rate, CalFresh application volume, and other factors).	Family Resource Centers	\$750,000	Up to 1,400 families
Isolation/ Quarantine Support	Meet emergency food needs of households in isolation/quarantine due to confirmed or suspected infection until regular food support is established.	Shanti Project	\$75,000	300-450 households
LGBTQ Food Relief	Distribute gift cards to help LGBTQ people meet urgent food needs, with a particular focus on trans people of color, trans immigrants, low-income LGBTQ people, and those who have lost their income due to COVID-19.	LGBTQ Center	\$75,000	300-400 individuals
Food Relief for Low-Income Transitional Age Youth	Distribute gift cards to transitional age youth (age 18 to 24) receiving Medi-Cal benefits through the Human Services Agency, a group who reported pressing food needs via an agency-administered survey and are unlikely to receive other disaster assistance food support.	N/A – To be distributed by Human Services Agency	\$860,000	3,700-4,000 individuals
Support for Mothers and Infants of Color	Distribute gift cards to support mothers and infants of color, leveraging culturally appropriate pregnancy and postpartum care programs to reach low-income African-American, Latinx, and Pacific Islander pregnant women. Clients will receive \$599 to \$1,200 in gift cards over the support period.	SisterWeb SF Community Doula Network	\$44,925	75 families
		Black Infant Health	\$71,645	115 families
Food Support for Latinx Community	Support distribution of culturally-appropriate groceries to Latinx residents. This includes groceries picked up in person at pantries and deliveries to vulnerable residents.	CANA/Mission Food Hub	\$1,633,482	To be quantified <sup>c</sup>
		Homies Organizing the Mission to Empower Youth (HOMEY)	\$99,000	300 families



**Exhibit 3: Human Services Agency's Program Uses and Impacts** *(continued)*

Purpose	Description	Nonprofit Organization Partner	Amount	Impact
Meals for HOPE SF Residents	Support distribution of prepared meals to residents in the Sunnydale and Potrero HOPE SF sites. Meals will be delivered 2-3 times per week for up to 16 weeks. This funding helps sustain existing efforts at these locations.	Mercy Housing	\$192,000	19,000 meals distributed
		Shanti Project	\$96,000	6,000 meals distributed
Grocery Delivery to Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco Residents	Distribute groceries weekly for six weeks to 600 families who are residents of public housing or Rental Assistance Demonstration sites or who participate in the Housing Voucher (Section 8) program. This weekly service is rotated among sites so that a family will receive this support monthly.	Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco	\$169,236	600 families
Emergency and Short-Term Funding Support for Communities of Color <sup>d</sup>	Sustain meal service to homeless encampments during two-week gap in regular service through the Meals in Place program (neighborhoods: Upper Market, SOMA, Mission, and Bayview).	Nourish	\$292,824	19,600 meals distributed
	Support distribution of meals through the African-American Faith-Based Coalition to older and otherwise at-risk African-American households citywide.	San Francisco New Deal	\$241,955	21,916 meals distributed
	Support distribution of meals through Mother Brown's Dining Hall in the Bayview to ensure maintenance of weekend meal service levels.	La Cocina	\$22,600	2,000 meals distributed
	Pilot a weekly hot meal to supplement food resources for low-income Treasure Island residents.	One Treasure Island	\$14,000	160 residents
	Support the COVID-19 Holiday Food Security Program through distribution of turkeys and other dry goods to families in public housing sites, underserved neighborhoods, and community centers.	Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco	\$75,000	5,000 families
Funding for Communities of Color	TBD	<i>To be identified<sup>c</sup></i>	\$83,702	<i>To be quantified<sup>c</sup></i>
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$7,021,482</b>	

<sup>a</sup> Bayview Senior Services, Centro Latino, Episcopal Community Services, Glide, Jewish Family & Children's Services, Kimochi, Meals on Wheels, On Lok/30<sup>th</sup> Street, Project Open Hand, Russian American Community Services, San Francisco-Marin Food Bank, and Self-Help for the Elderly.

<sup>b</sup> Total card value exceeds allocation because bulk purchase of cards provides 5 percent discount.

<sup>c</sup> Program/service delivery is in the planning phase. Grantees and impacts will be reported in subsequent reports.

<sup>d</sup> Initiatives were identified by the COVID-19 Food Coordination Group under the COVID Command Center's Human Services Branch, as needing short-term support to fill critical funding gaps for community-driven efforts serving communities of color.

**Exhibit 4: Office of Economic and Workforce Development's Program Uses and Impacts**

Purpose	Description	Grantee	Amount	Impact
Small Business Resiliency Grants	Make emergency grants to eligible small businesses with evidence of at least 25 percent revenue loss in a 30-day period.	Northeast Community Federal Credit Union	\$1,000,000	At least 100 small businesses to access grants of up to \$10,000
Small Business No-Interest Loans	San Francisco Hardship Emergency Loan Program (SF HELP) funds can be used to pay payroll, rent, utilities, inventory, and more. Flexible loan terms determined on a case-by-case basis, based on borrower's ability to repay.	Mission Economic Development Agency	\$1,000,000	At least 20 businesses to access no-interest loan of up to \$50,000
		Main Street Launch	\$1,200,000	At least 24 businesses to access no-interest loan of up to \$50,000
Supportive Services for Immigrant Workers	Provide direct support to immigrants and undocumented children, families, and communities affected by COVID-19.	Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (Subrecipient: SF Labor Council)	\$115,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
		Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (Subrecipient: UndocuFund SF)	\$115,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
		Bay Area Community Resources	\$115,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
		Bay Area Community Resources (Subrecipient: Community Youth Center)	\$115,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
Supportive Services for Food Security	Provide direct relief to support immigrants and undocumented children, families, and communities affected by COVID-19.	Bay Area Community Resources	\$100,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
		Bay Area Community Resources (Subrecipient: Community Youth Center)	\$100,000	Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals
	Provide support to the Mission District Food Hub, which Carnaval kicked off on Cinco de Mayo.	San Francisco Arts Commission (Subrecipient: Cultura y Arte Nativa de las Americas (CANAA))	\$100,000	Provide groceries to 4,000 disadvantaged, mostly immigrant households
	Provide direct relief to support vulnerable residents in low-income communities of color hard-hit by the pandemic, with a focus on public housing residents and at-risk households.	Human Rights Commission (Subrecipient: Collective Impact)	\$63,518*	Provide meals to 3,800 vulnerable residents
	Support food relief efforts for low-income, limited English-speaking workers who test positive for COVID-19 and find they cannot support themselves during quarantine.	Bay Area Community Resources (Subrecipient: Community Youth Center)	\$50,000	Provide support to 250 low-income, vulnerable, disconnected households
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$4,073,518</b>	

\* \$63,518 of the original \$350,000 allocation was spent. The remaining balance of \$286,482 was transferred to the Human Services Agency to continue support for food security under the Food Support for Latinx Community program.

Source: Office of Economic and Workforce Development

### Exhibit 5: Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development's Program Uses and Impacts

Program	Description	Nonprofit Organization Partner	Amount	Impact
Housing Stabilization	Provide financial assistance for rent, mortgage, utility, and other housing costs to eligible households (average amount of \$4,000 per household per application period, with an assistance cap of \$10,000). Households are eligible, regardless of immigration status, if they have experienced a substantial loss of income due to COVID-19 and cannot afford their housing costs. Applications are run through a prioritization tool that identifies households that are most at risk.	Catholic Charities of San Francisco	\$1,258,000	Approximately 9,000 applications received requesting financial assistance of over \$42 million. To date, 1,443 prioritized applications are in the process of receiving up to a combined \$5.8 million in assistance.
		Eviction Defense Collaborative	\$1,258,000	
		La Raza Community Resource Center	\$1,383,000	
		Q Foundation	\$1,258,000	
		Young Community Developers	\$1,258,000	
Total			\$6,415,000	

Source: Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

### Exhibit 6: Office of Early Care and Education's Program Uses and Impacts

Program	Description	Nonprofit Organization Partner	Amount	Impact*																				
Family Child Care (FCC) Emergency Operating Grants	Provide economic relief to FCC educators, who are self-employed, low-income workers who are not eligible for or do not have access to many of the funding resources available to other business sectors. This relief will help FCCs to survive a loss of revenue until full enrollment can begin again.	Low Income Investment Fund (LIIF)	\$1,000,000	165 grants awarded to 35 large FCC homes (serving up to 14 children) and 130 small FCC homes (serving up to 8 children).																				
				<table><tr><th>Language Type</th><th>Large</th><th>Small</th><th>Amount</th></tr><tr><td>Chinese</td><td>25</td><td>63</td><td>\$565,000</td></tr><tr><td>Spanish</td><td>7</td><td>38</td><td>\$260,000</td></tr><tr><td>English</td><td>3</td><td>29</td><td>\$175,000</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>35</td><td>130</td><td>\$1,000,000</td></tr></table>	Language Type	Large	Small	Amount	Chinese	25	63	\$565,000	Spanish	7	38	\$260,000	English	3	29	\$175,000	Total	35	130	\$1,000,000
				Language Type	Large	Small	Amount																	
				Chinese	25	63	\$565,000																	
				Spanish	7	38	\$260,000																	
				English	3	29	\$175,000																	
Total	35	130	\$1,000,000																					
Total			\$1,000,000																					

\* The application deadline was July 17, 2020. LIIF has distributed all grants to the FCC grantees via direct deposit.

Source: Office of Early Care and Education

### Exhibit 7: Department of Children, Youth and Their Families' and Recreation and Park Department's Program Uses and Impacts

Program	Description	Nonprofit Organization Partner	Allocated Amount	Impact
COVID-19 Community Hubs Initiative	Community Hubs are designed to support children and youth who: are part of low-income households; live in HOPE SF, public housing, or single-room occupancy hotels; are experiencing homelessness; are foster youth; or are English language learners.	NA – To be distributed by Department of Children, Youth and Their Families	\$125,000	Provide charging and locking units to secure learning devices across 78 citywide sites, and headsets for up to 3,000 children and youth attending the Community Hubs.
		<i>To be identified</i>	\$250,000*	Provide technology, personal protective equipment, and cleaning supplies to nonprofit partners, children, and youth as more Community Hub sites go live.
Total			\$375,000	

\* Program has an additional directed \$50,000 donation that is to be used to provide technology needed by children and youth attending the Community Hubs.

Source: Department of Children, Youth and Their Families; Recreation and Park Department

### Exhibit 8: Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco's Program Uses and Impacts

Program	Description	Allocated Amount	Impact
Holiday Distribution of Age-Appropriate Gifts and Holiday Meal	In collaboration with the San Francisco Police Department, San Francisco Sheriff's Office, Mayor's Office of Neighborhood Services, Recreation and Park Department, and A. Philip Randolph Institute, provide age-appropriate gifts to youth at public housing and Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) sites and participating families in the Section 8 program.	\$70,000	Provide age-appropriate gifts to over 5,000 youth ages 0 to 16. Over 60% of the gifts are educational, including STEM-related.
Food Security Program: Continued Support of the Authority's Weekly Grocery Delivery	Support the continuation of the Authority's food security initiative. Authority staff handles the purchase, packaging, and distribution of groceries to residents. Groceries are intended to sustain households for up to two weeks and contain high-quality, fresh, and culturally appropriate food.	\$90,000	Groceries provided to 1,824 households at 14 sites.* Residents are those at public housing or Rental Assistance Demonstration sites or who participate in the Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8) program. This weekly service is rotated among sites to ensure a family or household will receive this support monthly.
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$160,000</b>	

\* As of January 8, 2021, \$60,728 has been spent.

Note: Gifts and food were distributed directly to the community by the Authority.

Source: Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco

**Exhibit 9: Total Approved Disbursements From the San Francisco Foundation Directly to Nonprofit Organizations With City Oversight**

Program	Oversight Department(s)	Disbursements Approved
Emergency Family Relief Fund	Office of Economic and Workforce Development/Human Rights Commission	\$4,884,000
Right to Recover	Office of Economic and Workforce Development/Human Rights Commission	\$4,700,000
COVID-19-Related Grants and Loans for Small Businesses	Office of Economic and Workforce Development	\$3,000,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$12,584,000</b>

**Exhibit 10: Uses, Impacts, and Grant Status for Programs Funded by Grant Agreements Between the San Francisco Foundation and Nonprofit Organizations With Joint Oversight From the Office of Economic and Workforce Development and Human Rights Commission**

Purpose	Description	Nonprofit Organization	Amount	Impact
Emergency Family Relief Fund	\$500 will be paid to families, or residents with children 18 and younger, affected by the COVID-19 pandemic who do not qualify for federal benefits.	Bay Area Community Resources	\$1,555,000	1550 families served
		Central American Resource Center—San Francisco	\$100,000	100 families served
		Chinese for Progressive Action	\$750,000	750 families served
		Coleman Advocates (Excelsior Works!)	\$200,000	199 families served
		Collective Impact	\$50,000	99 families served
		Community Youth Center of San Francisco	\$750,000	748 families served
		Dolores Street Community Services	\$150,000	150 families served
		Tenderloin Housing Clinic (La Voz Latina SF)	\$100,000	99 families served
		Mission Economic Development Agency	\$850,000	850 families served
		Young Community Developers	\$379,000	378 families served
Total			\$4,884,000	
Right to Recover Program <sup>a</sup>	Eligible low-income workers who have COVID-19 will receive two weeks of wage replacement or \$1,285, based on San Francisco’s hourly minimum wage.	Mission Economic Development Agency	\$2,400,000	Up to 1,866 workers
		Young Community Developers	\$1,900,000	Up to 1,477 workers
		Self-Help for the Elderly	\$100,000	Up to 79 workers
		Central City Hospitality House	\$100,000	Up to 79 workers
Total			\$4,500,000*	

\* Program has an additional \$200,000 of allocated funding. Granting of this approved disbursement to the San Francisco Foundation is in process.

**Exhibit 11: Uses, Impacts, and Grant Status for Programs Funded by Grant Agreements Between the San Francisco Foundation and Nonprofit Organizations With Oversight From the Office of Economic and Workforce Development**

Purpose	Description	Nonprofit Organization	Amount	Impact
COVID-19-Related Grants and Loans for Small Businesses	Funding will support the Office of Economic and Workforce Development’s existing grant and loan programs for small businesses affected by COVID-19, including \$1,500,000 that has been allocated to the City’s African-American Small Business Revolving Loan Fund.	Main Street Launch	\$2,000,000	At least 40 businesses to access no-interest loans of up to \$50,000
		Mission Economic Development Agency	\$1,000,000	At least 20 businesses to access no-interest loans of up to \$50,000
Total			\$3,000,000	

## In-Kind Donations

The Ninth Supplement to the mayoral declaration, dated April 10, 2020, revised and replaced Item 4 in the Second Supplement to authorize the acceptance and use of goods donated to support the City's COVID-19 response efforts. The Twenty-Sixth Supplement, dated August 26, 2020, authorizes the acceptance and use of goods and facilities to support youth programs. Some donated goods are received by the Logistics Section of the COVID Command Center (formerly Emergency Operations Center). Other in-kind goods have been donated directly to city departments for their use.

Through December 31, 2020:

- 5,751,633 units of in-kind goods have been donated to and received by Give2SF, with a donation value of \$3,429,388.<sup>1</sup>
- 1,509,949 units have been distributed to city departments by the COVID Command Center's Logistics Section.

Exhibit 12 summarizes this information, including valuation by category of donated goods. An attachment to this memorandum shows the individual donations received, including donor name, only for goods received through the COVID Command Center (and excludes individual donations received by departments directly for their own use).

### Exhibit 12: In-Kind Donations Received by Give2SF and Distributed by the COVID Command Center's Logistics Section

Category	Count Received	Count Distributed*
Aprons	429	429
Coveralls	14,603	4,763
Face Shields	63,037	7,337
Gloves	4,527,200	527,200
Goggles	3,362	3,362
Gowns	5,350	5,350
Hand Sanitizer	959	959
Liquid Disinfectant	302	302
Masks	907,523	806,243
Other Bulk Items	114,082	83,378
Shoe Covers	113,800	69,640
Wipes	986	986
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,751,633</b>	<b>1,509,949</b>

\* Excludes goods purchased and distributed by the COVID Command Center's Logistics Section.

Source: COVID Command Center, Logistics Section

---

<sup>1</sup> Valuation of in-kind donations is ongoing.

## Sunshine Ordinance Compliance

### Financial Interests and Disclosure of Donations

The Sunshine Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67) requires the disclosure of the source of any donation of over \$100 to the City and any financial interest the donor has involving the City. However, some donations received by Give2SF, both directly and through the San Francisco Foundation, were from donors who asked to remain anonymous.

The Controller's Office is following up with donors to try to learn the source of the anonymous donations to the City and any financial interest the donor may have involving the City. Due to these efforts, one donor-advised fund, Fidelity Charitable, has agreed to no longer process grants to the City directed by donors who request anonymity. Fidelity, Schwab Charitable, and the Silicon Valley Foundation confirmed that they are the owners of donations they process once the donated funds are in their possession. The City Attorney accepts this interpretation, so no donations from Fidelity, Schwab Charitable, or Silicon Valley Foundation are considered to be anonymous. Although donor-advised funds may technically own the donations they process, the true sources of the donations have control over the giving and direct the distribution of the funds, so donating anonymously to the City seems to be inconsistent with the Sunshine Ordinance. This is an area for policymakers' future consideration.

To date, only four donations (\$6,150 of \$8,545,995) directly received by Give2SF were made anonymously, two of which were made through donor-advised funds. The Controller's Office contacted all donor-advised funds regarding anonymous donations to the City that they handled, informed them of city law in this area, and requested the donors' names and financial interest confirmations. Of the four anonymous donations:

- Three were made via electronic wire transfer or money order with no contact information provided and for which no contact information is publicly available.
- One is still being followed up on by the donor-advised fund.

The Controller's Office attempts to contact those who make donations to Give2SF, requesting city-related financial interest information from donors with donations over \$100. This effort is ongoing. To date, 275 donors responded to the Controller's Office request to confirm they do not have a financial interest with the City and 4 donors have confirmed that they have a financial interest in the form of a permit or permits with the City. These donors are identified in the relevant attachment.

The Controller's Office is working collaboratively with the San Francisco Foundation to obtain donor names and financial interests when possible and determine which donors wish to remain anonymous. Similar to Fidelity, Schwab Charitable, and the Silicon Valley Foundation, the San Francisco Foundation confirmed that it is also the owner of all donations it processes once the donated funds are in its possession. To date, 205 donations (\$73,199 of \$23,759,017) through the San Francisco Foundation were made anonymously, both through donor-advised funds and corporate giving programs. Of these 205 donations, 73 (\$65,875) are over the Sunshine Ordinance's \$100 threshold.



Due to these ongoing efforts:

- For donations made through its website, the San Francisco Foundation has added to its website a statement that the Give2SF Fund cannot accept anonymous donations.
- For donations made via the San Francisco Foundation through donor-advised funds where the donor requests anonymity, the foundation will inform donors that it cannot process anonymous donations and will decline the donations.
- For donations made through corporate-giving platforms, such as Benevity Community Impact Fund, the San Francisco Foundation does not have control, so individual donors may still be anonymous.

None of the in-kind donors are anonymous. Two donors of goods have confirmed that they have a financial interest with the City in the form of a contract or contracts with one or more city departments. These donors are identified in the relevant attachment.

### **Policy Options for Anonymous Give2SF Donations Received**

Due to either the absence of a response or the explicit refusal of some donors to disclose their identity and possible financial interest with the City, the Controller's Office cannot report on this information and is seeking policymaker guidance on next steps. For example, the Board of Supervisors may move to accept these donations while acknowledging the outreach and identification efforts taken by the Controller's Office to obtain donor information. Alternatively, the Board may order the return of the anonymous donations for which the information required by the Sunshine Ordinance cannot be obtained. Further, the ordinance does not address donations via donor-advised funds or whether donor-advised funds can be considered the source of donations and, therefore, meet the ordinance's intent. The Controller's Office will continue to retain the value of the anonymous donations until policymakers' guidance is received.

Should you need additional information, please contact me at [ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org](mailto:ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org) or (415) 554-7500.

### **Attachments**

- Give2SF Monetary Donations Received
- Give2SF In-Kind Donations Received

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
	<b>Total Through December 31, 2020</b>	<b>\$ 8,545,994.64</b>	<b>\$ 23,759,016.60</b>	
12/31/2020	William White	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Ana Ghosh	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Catanzariti family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Elliot S. Earl	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Brian Stechschulte and Meredith Greene	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Mary A. Scheib	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Jason Ricci and Penelope Godbold	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Kimberly Fanady	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Ricky A. Yee	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Jesse Byler and Heather Barondess	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Ben Shaw and Suzanne Thomas Family	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/31/2020	Cotton the First Shirtmaker	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/31/2020	James P. Eby	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Anna Martin	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Alexander Hansen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Sara Winslow	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Mike Kopicko and Daniel Horn	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Jennifer S. Long	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Oscar and Lorena Rosales	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Daniel Frank	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Cecile Butman Michael	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Aaron Watson and Becca Wieder	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Christopher H. Pederson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Volker Kueffel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Philippe Farhi	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/31/2020	Joseph Pelina	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Fnu Vignesh Venugopal	\$ 5.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Gregory N. Sykes	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/31/2020	Carol J. Donohoe	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Jordan Hinkes	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Ryan McDonald	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Lisa Holleran	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/31/2020	Zachary Beaver	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/30/2020	Ellen M. Farrell	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/30/2020	Dolores Ubeda	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/30/2020	Jeffery H. Littlefield Revocable Trust	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/30/2020	Edward J. Goetzl and J. H. Goetzl	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/30/2020	Hammerschlag Family Trust	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/30/2020	Paul A. Allen	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
12/30/2020	Neil Mosher and Carol Bakker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/30/2020	Michael Mills	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/30/2020	Erika Oppen and James Horton	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
12/30/2020	Connor Cimowsky	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/30/2020	Surachai Khittrakun	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/30/2020	Joel Perlstein	\$ 45.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/30/2020	Michael D. and Stephanie A. Moy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/30/2020	David Hyman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/30/2020	Harold Erdman	\$ 5,400.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
12/29/2020	Schwab Charitable	\$ -	\$ 200,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/29/2020	Patricia Caldera-Munoz	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/29/2020	Keziah Plattner	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/29/2020	Delene S. Wolf	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/29/2020	Alissa M. Fitzgerald	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/29/2020	Ruth Krishnan	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/29/2020	Melissa A. Moy	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/28/2020	Jeffrey Nigh	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/28/2020	Robert Fruchtmann	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
12/28/2020	Molly S. Haile	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/28/2020	SOOD	\$ 10.85	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/28/2020	Yuliang Yin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/28/2020	Chang Hwan Kim	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/28/2020	Adam B. DeGregorio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/28/2020	Barbara L. Jue	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/28/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/28/2020	Emma Shlaes	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/28/2020	William Chen	\$ 30.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/28/2020	Rudolf Lupo-Dorut	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/28/2020	SF Foundation	\$ 25,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
12/24/2020	Adobe Systems Incorporated	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Adobe Systems Incorporated	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 1,300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Apple Inc.	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Frederick Salbatera	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (10 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 2,375.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Lee Newman	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Matthew Jee	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Netflix	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Peiyong Ma	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
12/24/2020	Peiying Ma	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Peiying Ma	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Peiying Ma	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Peiying Ma	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Rachael Vaughn	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Steven Hand	\$ -	\$ 400.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/24/2020	Michael J. McGinley	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/24/2020	Brian Badenoch	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/24/2020	Li-Ling Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/24/2020	Hannah and Martin Wolf	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/24/2020	Hannah N. Lachman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/24/2020	Silver Lining	\$ 250,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/23/2020	Arlene Waksberg and Charles M. Clark	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/23/2020	Paul Gamez	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/23/2020	Ruth Ainsworth and Paul Margaritis	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/23/2020	Richard L. Lee and Betty Ling Kwong-Lee	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/23/2020	Ruth Krishnan	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/23/2020	Rudolf Lupo-Dorut	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/23/2020	Yawen Yeh	\$ 80.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/22/2020	Noah Carr	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/22/2020	Susan Kahn and David Kaskowitz	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/22/2020	Kevin M. Burke	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Susan Curry	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Susan Curry	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Lora Hirschberg	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Julia V. Lobel	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Carl Stein	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Michael V. Shepherd	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Henry Muir King	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/21/2020	Randall C. Luecke	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Crystal Chun Wong	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/21/2020	Edward Lin	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Edward Lin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Nidhi Patel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Helene Hansen	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/21/2020	Matthew Cohen	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/18/2020	Karen and Stuart Gansky	\$ 108.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/17/2020	Megan Somogyi and Will Sargent	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/17/2020	Katie Giasullo	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/17/2020	Alexander F. Knowles	\$ 5.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/17/2020	Stephanie W. Holbrook	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/17/2020	Gaia Fund	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/16/2020	Emily J. Cohen	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
12/16/2020	Leslie H. Laila Kramer	\$ 35.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/16/2020	Anthony Fusco and Martha French	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/16/2020	Jonathan Ferdon	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/16/2020	Hengyu Kuang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/16/2020	Carl E. Goldberg	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/16/2020	Leroy K. Isaac	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/15/2020	The Baker Street Foundation	\$ -	\$ 500,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/15/2020	Elizabeth F. Smith	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
12/15/2020	Amy Sakon Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/15/2020	Josh Mukhopadhyay	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/15/2020	Katie Wang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/15/2020	Luca Pietrobon	\$ 2,225.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/14/2020	Netflix	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/14/2020	Nicole Chen	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/14/2020	Lynne Eggers	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/14/2020	Dana Friedman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/14/2020	Susannah Owen	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/14/2020	Stephen Garber and Rena Pasick	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
12/14/2020	Evelyn Kelsey	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/11/2020	Linda R. Lavelle	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/11/2020	Kathryn Kersey	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/11/2020	Lana Sheridan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/10/2020	Terri Sonoda	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/10/2020	Susie and Alan Greinetz	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/10/2020	Dan Davies	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
12/10/2020	Sterling-Bassett's	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/10/2020	Sterling-Bassett's	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/9/2020	Susan West and Michael Gold	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/9/2020	Peter Leaf	\$ 35.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/9/2020	Laurie Thomas	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/9/2020	Jeff Holland	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/9/2020	Maureen E. Flaherty	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/8/2020	Matt and Krysta Keating	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/8/2020	Jim Kingsbury and Leslie Hom	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Janine Guillot and Shannon Wilson	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Yamini Gupta	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Rajkiran Vojjala	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	David S. Buzby	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Deborah Krant and Tamim Ansary	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/7/2020	Benjamin M. Gould	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/7/2020	Robert H. Frank	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/7/2020	Hillevi A. Null	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/7/2020	Fannie Sullivan Living Trust	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/7/2020	Wanchai Charoenying and Pat Won	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/7/2020	Garret Yount and Yifang Qian	\$ 800.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Maureen Elizabeth Ishodi	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Dorene Cotter and Anthony Nash	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Virstan Choy and Marina Lew	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Thomas Dey	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
12/7/2020	Meredith J. Goldsmith	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Robert K. Tat	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/7/2020	Regina T. Villanueva and Rolando C. Villanueva	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/7/2020	Bao Phan and Kevin Rogers	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Lorraine Thompson	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Vilma I. Cavalcanti	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/7/2020	Noel E. Turner and Denise H. Turner	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/7/2020	OSIsoft, LLC	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/4/2020	VanderPang Family	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/4/2020	Heather C. Turey	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/3/2020	Thomas F. Lukens	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/3/2020	Laudan Siahpolo	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/3/2020	Samantha Kahn	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/3/2020	Peter Vliet	\$ 40.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
12/2/2020	Tony Chang	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/2/2020	William Ball	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
12/2/2020	Brian Woodfall	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/1/2020	Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (6 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 2,650.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Adobe Systems Incorporated	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Antonietta Oliva	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Apple Inc.	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Intuit, Inc.	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Karen Weiss	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Rachael Vaughn	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Selina Wang	\$ -	\$ 2,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
12/1/2020	Michelle J. Wang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/30/2020	Jean Jacobs and Paul Draper	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/30/2020	Frank and Carol Buonagurio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
11/30/2020	Sasha J. Cuttler R.N. Ph.D.	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
11/30/2020	Benjamin Weyhrauch	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/30/2020	Kristin Anundsen	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/30/2020	Lauren E. Tulp	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
11/30/2020	Diane and Todd Tate	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/30/2020	Diana McKennett and Clara M. McKennett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
11/30/2020	Lisa M. Garcia and Francis J. Deandreis	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/27/2020	Karen R. Traister	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/27/2020	Patrick Wolff	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/27/2020	Joaquin N. Torres	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
11/27/2020	Susan and Steven Rosen	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
11/27/2020	Bill Witte and Keiko Sakamoto	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/27/2020	Ronda Atencion	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/27/2020	Jeffrey A. Hurwitz	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/27/2020	SOOD LLC	\$ 4.52	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/27/2020	Stephanie A. Metz	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/25/2020	Rechee Jozil	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/25/2020	Daniel Healy	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/25/2020	Eduardo G. Frias	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/23/2020	Olivia E. Sears	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/23/2020	Anna Celli	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/23/2020	Carol Gadas	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/23/2020	Xin Liu	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/23/2020	Anand Ramesh	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/23/2020	Peter Vliet	\$ 50.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
11/23/2020	Cynthia Woo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/23/2020	Armando Urbina and Leoniza Z. Urbina	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/23/2020	Guy M. De Primo	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
11/23/2020	Susan Scurich	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/23/2020	Helena Setsuko Huoh	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/23/2020	Cherie Ann Milojevich-Moore	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/23/2020	S.H.A.R.P	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/19/2020	Bernadette W. Kim	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/19/2020	Tyler Quear	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/19/2020	Rachael Somerville	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/18/2020	Penelope Dunn Davison	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/17/2020	Ida's Rest Home, LLC	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/16/2020	Robert Priolo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/16/2020	Judson Coplan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/16/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/16/2020	Joan A. Donohue	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/16/2020	Green & Tsai Attorneys At Law	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/16/2020	The Boston Consulting Group, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/13/2020	Barbara J. Belloli	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/12/2020	Tony J. Gonzales	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
11/12/2020	Valerie and Jon Diamond	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/12/2020	WrightAdvisory, LLC	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/12/2020	Frank and Verna Moser	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/10/2020	Raymond S. Flournoy	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/9/2020	John S. Lee	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/9/2020	Kevin Fox	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/9/2020	Sandra LaFerrera	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
11/9/2020	Audrey Tomaselli and Gina Tomaselli	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/9/2020	Bruce Loke and Mona Lesa Loke	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/9/2020	IRA FBO Theresa P. Dick	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/9/2020	Daniel Roth and Karin Ashley	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/6/2020	Brookfield Properties	\$ 140,852.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/6/2020	Walter Richardson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/5/2020	Groshong Family	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/5/2020	Craig W. Peacock	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/4/2020	Lisa Farrell	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/4/2020	William Chen	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
11/4/2020	Jennifer Herriot-Hatfield	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
11/4/2020	The Ellin-Aiken Fund	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/3/2020	Lawrence E. Bernard	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/2/2020	Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (5 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 650.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Gilead Sciences, Inc.	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Richard Egler	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Peiyong Ma	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Peiyong Ma	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Peiyong Ma	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Peiyong Ma	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Cadence	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Chungwai Ng	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Adobe Systems Incorporated	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Matthew Jee	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Rachael Vaughn	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
11/2/2020	Yawen Yeh	\$ 70.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/2/2020	John E. Robinson	\$ 25.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
11/2/2020	Onesima Aguião	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/2/2020	Karen P. Scarr	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
11/2/2020	Alexandra K. Lee	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/2/2020	Francisco J. Zalduendo	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/2/2020	Norma Louie	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/2/2020	Gang Han and Juanjuan Geng	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/2/2020	C. Morris Family LLC	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
11/2/2020	Roger M. Boone	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/2/2020	Joel A. Lipski	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/30/2020	Laurence Spillane	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/30/2020	Teresa Delauretis	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/29/2020	Julie and David Ransom	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/28/2020	Poindexters	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/27/2020	Robert Abra	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/26/2020	Olivier Y. Flewellen Trustee - Olivier Y. Flewellen Living Trust	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/26/2020	Ivell M. Graham	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/26/2020	Janice Ann Chaffee and Paul C. Chaffee	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/26/2020	Desiree Syn Chen and Paul H. Chen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/26/2020	Patricia T. Dusenbury Trad IRA VFTC as Custodian	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
10/26/2020	Kristin L. Anundsen	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
10/26/2020	Cindy W. Yee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/26/2020	Barbara A. Wismer	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/26/2020	Formagrid Inc. dba Airtable	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
10/23/2020	Debra Y. Tjoa	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/22/2020	Carole E. Deitrich	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
10/22/2020	Akiko Kaji and Dennis C. Frezzo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/21/2020	Robert Michael Kappus	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/21/2020	Bank of America	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/21/2020	Koji Yoshida	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/21/2020	Mary Joy Quinn	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/20/2020	Thomas McKewan and Karen Johnson-McKewan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/20/2020	Lorraine Bassan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/19/2020	Marc Loran	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/19/2020	Jack Tse	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/19/2020	Virginia E. Vida	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/19/2020	Thomas Sattler	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/19/2020	Wendy A. Conway	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/19/2020	Rita Hovakimian	\$ 109.67	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/19/2020	Robert Spivack	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/19/2020	Laurel Kilgour	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/19/2020	Hugo Gonzalez	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/19/2020	Stefanie Arthur	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
10/19/2020	Bas Ording	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/19/2020	Michael Dahlin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/19/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
10/19/2020	Baron L. Miller	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/19/2020	Rolando Castro and Cecile M. Ehrmann	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
10/19/2020	Maria S. Tong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/19/2020	Cornelius Gregory Moore	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/19/2020	Bonnie J. Yuen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/16/2020	Frank and Verna Moser	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/16/2020	SOOD LLC	\$ 18.27	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/16/2020	Cornelis Lekkerkerker	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/16/2020	Mark Batchelor Allen	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/15/2020	Lorraine A. Thiebaud	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/15/2020	Lance Thornswood and Nils Heymann	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/15/2020	Jon Doellstedt	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/14/2020	Michael A. Xavier and Pod Raymond A. Xavier	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/14/2020	Ellen L. Yeung	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/14/2020	Lucarda Barr and Vince J. Barr, Sr.	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/14/2020	M. R. Lewkowitz and Charles J. Lewkowitz	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/14/2020	Bruce E. Colman	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
10/14/2020	Margie M. Sargent	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/13/2020	David Fairley	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/13/2020	M. Diane Weber, Retired Deputy City Attorney	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/13/2020	Judy Catherine Miner	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/13/2020	Jack Giessler	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/13/2020	Cynsa Bonorris	\$ 700.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/13/2020	Barbara E. Mallet	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/13/2020	Christina Zimbardo	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/13/2020	Joan Patropulos	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/13/2020	Ma Eloisa Mendoza	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/13/2020	Cornelis Lekkerkerker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/13/2020	Mai O. Woo	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/13/2020	Dattakumar M. Chitre	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/13/2020	David and Susanna Singer	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/13/2020	Samuel F. Palmer	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/13/2020	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	\$ 110.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
10/9/2020	Megan Somogyi and Will Sargent	\$ 2,570.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/9/2020	Nela C. Ponferrada	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/9/2020	David and Carmen Siegel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/9/2020	Greg Zinger	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/9/2020	Efrat Libkind	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/8/2020	Loan Monkey, Inc.	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/7/2020	Ashley Blanco	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/7/2020	Vincent J. Harris	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/7/2020	Peter Leung	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/7/2020	Paul Pitt	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/7/2020	Linda Moore	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/6/2020	Koorosh Hariri	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/6/2020	Larryett D. Anderson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/5/2020	Simon G. Donovan	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/5/2020	Sarah Kaplan	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/5/2020	Janet G. Bias	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/5/2020	Michael Govea	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
10/5/2020	Meredith N. Derecho	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/5/2020	Linda Wilford and Randall Ham	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/5/2020	Michael W. Powers	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/5/2020	Jill Higgins	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/5/2020	Joyce Oishi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/5/2020	Elaine C. Meng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/5/2020	Debbie Sison	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
10/5/2020	Jean Kroeger	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/5/2020	Terreno Realty Corporation	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/5/2020	Crankstart Foundation	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
10/2/2020	Andrea Snow	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
10/1/2020	Nicholas Weininger	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
9/30/2020	Lauren Miller	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
9/30/2020	Amy and James Ramsey	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
9/29/2020	Matthew Koontz	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
9/29/2020	Valerie C. Rodgers	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
9/29/2020	Adobe Systems Incorporated	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Dropbox	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Edward Lesmes	\$ -	\$ 384.62	No financial interest with the City
9/29/2020	Edward Lesmes	\$ -	\$ 384.62	No financial interest with the City
9/29/2020	Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (9 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 1,519.24	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Matthew Jee	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Matthew Jee	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Rachael Vaughn	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/29/2020	Tony Shih	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/28/2020	Thomas Roessler	\$ 750.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
9/28/2020	Leandro Castillo Valdes	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
9/28/2020	William Chen	\$ 65.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
9/28/2020	Yerba Buena Fund	\$ -	\$ 50,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
9/24/2020	Danielle Dooley	\$ 694.49	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
9/23/2020	Saul Rosenfield	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
9/21/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
9/18/2020	Tim Salzmann	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
9/17/2020	Dan Davies	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
9/16/2020	Shon Baldwin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
9/8/2020	Paul Heller and Helen Goldsmith	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
9/8/2020	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	\$ 110.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
9/8/2020	Tasha L. Yee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
9/2/2020	Marissa C. Skudlarek	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
9/2/2020	Meredith Safran	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
9/1/2020	Kelly Ling	\$ 5.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/31/2020	Dr. Cynthia A. Farner	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
8/31/2020	Jayanthi Swaminathan	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/31/2020	Alex Hsu	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 12.50	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 12.50	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 12.50	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 12.50	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 15.65	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 12.50	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Apple Inc. - Matching Gift Program (3 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 3,650.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Edward Lesmes	\$ -	\$ 384.62	No financial interest with the City
8/31/2020	Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (9 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 1,734.62	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
8/31/2020	Jeffrey Cross	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Peiyong Ma	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Peiyong Ma	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Steven Hand	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/31/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
8/28/2020	Christos Bastis	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/28/2020	Phillip Ai	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/26/2020	Stephanie Su	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/24/2020	Chris Jones and Martina Jones	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/24/2020	Austen Head and Lauren Chircus	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/24/2020	Melinda Weston	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/24/2020	Madison A. Fishstrom	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/24/2020	William Chen	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/21/2020	Ceilidh McElroy	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/19/2020	Asna Ansari	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/18/2020	Spencer Simonsen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
8/18/2020	Ricky A. Yee	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/17/2020	Peter Vliet	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
8/17/2020	Anonymous	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Contact information is not publicly available or provided by donor
8/17/2020	Bradley Sharpe	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/17/2020	Sue Ni	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/13/2020	Mary Franklin	\$ 6,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/13/2020	Ceilidh McElroy	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/11/2020	Amanda English	\$ 60.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/10/2020	Chris Jones and Martina Jones	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/10/2020	Susan Hertz and Paul Hertzmann	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/10/2020	Jeffrey D. Weitzel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
8/10/2020	Rozelle Lee	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
8/10/2020	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	\$ 110.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
8/10/2020	Alejandro A. Argueta	\$ 35.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/10/2020	Alyssa Young	\$ 5.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/7/2020	Laurie A. Schryver	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/6/2020	Colin Mckeehan	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
8/6/2020	Miss Victoria A. R. Carter	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/5/2020	Judith M. Coulter	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/4/2020	Jeff Holder and L.E.K. Consulting	\$ 700.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/3/2020	James Robert Kennedy, Jr. and Linda Ann Cicero	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Donor has pending construction permit with San Francisco Planning
8/3/2020	Andrea Snow	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
8/3/2020	Lawrence Hosken	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
8/3/2020	Rachel Goldman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
8/3/2020	Chloe Hill	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
8/3/2020	Anonymous	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Contact information is not publicly available or provided by donor
8/3/2020	Michael Kiehl	\$ -	\$ 105.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Garima Jajoo	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/30/2020	James P. Dowling	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/30/2020	Gregory E. Finch	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/30/2020	Airbnb Inc. - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 1,600.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 37.50	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 37.50	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Apple Inc.	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Blue Shield of California	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	DocuSign - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 40.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Dropbox	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Genentech, Inc.	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Greg Egan	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Heesun Lee	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Kiani Muhammad	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Peiying Ma	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Peiying Ma	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Robert Flory	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Selina Liu	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/30/2020	Steven Heidel	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	No financial interest with the City
7/30/2020	Twitter	\$ -	\$ 822.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/29/2020	Roberts-Castiaux Charitable Fund	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Contact information is not publicly available or provided by donor
7/29/2020	Bharat Family Fund	\$ 4,000.00	\$ -	Contact information is not publicly available or provided by donor
7/29/2020	Marian Beard and Daniel Wehmeier	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/29/2020	Vesuvio	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/29/2020	Eric Machado	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/29/2020	Oyindamola Ayo-Ani	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/29/2020	Howald Blake Fund	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	No financial interest with the City
7/28/2020	Matthew White	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
7/28/2020	Kis Lai	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/27/2020	Patricia T. Dusenbury	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/27/2020	Janice B. Whang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/27/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/27/2020	Atef Chaudhury	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/27/2020	Lorraine Thompson	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/27/2020	Anita Y. Cheng	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/27/2020	Alexandra K. Lee	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/23/2020	Maria Amundson and Elliot Krane	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
7/22/2020	Anonymous Through New Year Fund	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Contact information is not publicly available or provided by donor
7/22/2020	William G. Graham	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/21/2020	Miranda Dietz	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/21/2020	Jennie Kajiko	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/21/2020	Sheryl Ruskin	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
7/21/2020	Jesse and Roberta Fink	\$ 99.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/20/2020	Sean Curran	\$ 15,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/20/2020	Diane and Carl Shannon	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/20/2020	Navin Iyengar and Nichiketa Choudhary	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/20/2020	Ellie Rossiter	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/20/2020	Polly Rose	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/20/2020	Frank J. Leykamm	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/20/2020	Brooke Babcock	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/20/2020	Valued Cardholder	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/20/2020	Sophie Goodwin	\$ 18.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/17/2020	Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (15 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 2,925.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/16/2020	Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/16/2020	David Chen	\$ -	\$ 1,500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/16/2020	Jason Hicks	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/16/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/16/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/16/2020	Paige Stoermer	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/16/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/16/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/16/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/16/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/15/2020	Sy Aal	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/14/2020	Nhung T. Nhu	\$ 35.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/13/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/13/2020	Jacob Topper	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/10/2020	David Solow-Cordero	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/10/2020	Lyon Lay	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/10/2020	Tira Sims	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/8/2020	Cotton the First Shirtmaker	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/8/2020	Stuart W. Campbell	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/8/2020	Tiffany Chu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/7/2020	GIC Real Estate, Inc.	\$ 3,796.17	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
7/7/2020	Bryr Studio	\$ 425.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/7/2020	Amanda English	\$ 46.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/6/2020	Formagrid Inc. dba Airtable	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/6/2020	Philippe Farhi	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/6/2020	E. Max Koenker	\$ 470.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/6/2020	Chris J. Lane and Elizabeth A. Lane	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/6/2020	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	\$ 110.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
7/6/2020	Kimberly Low	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
7/6/2020	Gunderson Dettmer	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/3/2020	Joshua Goldstein and Glynnis Fowler	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/3/2020	Angelina Huang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
7/2/2020	Anthony Wu	\$ 325.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
7/2/2020	Adobe Systems Incorporated	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Airbnb Inc. - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 400.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Alejandra Dominguez	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Andrea Stewart	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (31 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 3,610.50	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Brian Radley	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Caitlyn Lai-Valenti	\$ -	\$ 125.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Cutter MacLeod	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Darcey Addicks	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Dolby	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Donna Ruane	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Don Winkler	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Genentech, Inc. - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 650.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Gonzalo Rodriguez	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Griff Potrock	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Griff Potrock	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Guillaume Forget	\$ -	\$ 200.00	No financial interest with the City
7/2/2020	Jaime Gobert	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Jarod Reyes	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Jeremy Hageman	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Jessica Owen	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Johanna Merino	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Justin Cai	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Kelly Pretzer	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Kelly Vedder	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Kerri Ryusaki	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	KKR	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Kurt Forsgren	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	LendingClub	\$ -	\$ 10.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Lina Smith	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	LinkedIn - Matching Gift Program (3 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 325.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Maya Rioux	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
7/2/2020	Michelle Jefferson	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Michelle Spanne	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Mike Zuehlke	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Netflix	\$ -	\$ 2,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Nick Chavez	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Okta	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Paypal	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Pedro Velarde	\$ -	\$ 30.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Peiying Ma	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Peiying Ma	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Peiying Ma	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Peiying Ma	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Peiying Ma	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Quan Trong	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Rachel Corral	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Ripple	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Robert Flory	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Robert Lucero	\$ -	\$ 2,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Rob Haynes	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Rob Haynes	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Ruthann Gore	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Samy Hernandez	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Scotty Nowak	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Shaun Bowen	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Tony Pauker	\$ -	\$ 90.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Twitter	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Tyler Devlin	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Vickrum Singh	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Visa, Inc. - Matching Gift Program (3 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 750.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Yeneneh Awage	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/2/2020	Zillow Group	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
7/1/2020	Evan Boido Charitable Fund	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/1/2020	The Ellin-Aiken Fund	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/1/2020	David Stiepleman and Carey Lifschultz	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/1/2020	Austen Head and Lauren Chircus	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/1/2020	The Ellin-Aiken Fund	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/1/2020	Gabrielle Hull	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/1/2020	Ardian US Foundation	\$ 12,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/30/2020	Matthew Jee	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/29/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
6/29/2020	Lindsay Schapiro and Adam Brudnick	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/26/2020	Nisit Jirangpitakul	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/26/2020	Ashley Moore	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/26/2020	Kathleen E. Triggs	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/25/2020	David W. Dumais	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/24/2020	Samsara	\$ 3,041.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/23/2020	California Cowboy Apparel	\$ 3,372.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/23/2020	Fidelity Charitable	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/23/2020	Ryan Moore	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/22/2020	Lucy Almers and Sean Rhea	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	John Garfinkle Charitable Fund, a Donor Advised Fund of Renaissance Charitable Foundation	\$ 7,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Harold Erdman	\$ 1,800.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/22/2020	Alexis and Rachel Rouda	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Janet C. Wade	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Fidelity Charitable	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Frank and Carolyn Hoke-Van Orden	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Dewitt Tien-Wei Cheng and Marjory J. Richards	\$ 350.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/22/2020	Dan Davies	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Linda Joan Saraf	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/22/2020	Amy Felsenthal	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/22/2020	Luke Lovett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/22/2020	Sephora USA, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/22/2020	Ramaswamy Srikant	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/22/2020	Adobe, Inc.	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/22/2020	Kenneth M. Ashford	\$ 45.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/22/2020	Dropbox	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/19/2020	Mark Brody	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/19/2020	Jennifer Perini	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Ben Zotto	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Arlene Waksberg, Charles M. Clark	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Fidelity Charitable	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	The Johnslington Fund	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/19/2020	Joyce B. Renaker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/19/2020	Kacey J. Clark	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/19/2020	Patricia Mahoney	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/18/2020	Vesuvio Cafe	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/17/2020	Brian Borromeo	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/16/2020	Shreyas R. Gandhi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/16/2020	Aish Fenton	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Alex Hsu	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Andrew Sullivan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (8 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 505.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Apple Inc. - Matching Gift Program (3 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 850.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Ben Marks	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
6/16/2020	Brina Viridi	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Charina Chou	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Comcast	\$ -	\$ 25,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Danny Kim	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Gilead Sciences, Inc.	\$ -	\$ 500,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (33 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 6,425.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Helen (Chou) McCabe	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Houman Azarm	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Jeffrey Cohen	\$ -	\$ 875.00	No financial interest with the City
6/16/2020	Jordan Smith	\$ -	\$ 500.00	No financial interest with the City
6/16/2020	Julia Karr	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Kelsey Vandermeulen	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Lindsay Pearson	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Llew Thomas	\$ -	\$ 2,000.00	No financial interest with the City
6/16/2020	Maggie Hughes	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Matisse Enzer	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Matthew Carona	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Natalia Fisher	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Netflix - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 3,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Nicole Franz	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Raymond Wong	\$ -	\$ 20.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Robert Orr	\$ -	\$ 200.00	No financial interest with the City
6/16/2020	Dolby	\$ -	\$ 200,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Simon Rogers	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/16/2020	Whitney Bosch	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/15/2020	Jack Douglas and Kelly Morton	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/15/2020	Matthew Munz	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/15/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/15/2020	Leslie Wellbaum	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/15/2020	Boris Cherny	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/12/2020	Mike Grisso and Grace Park	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Donor's employer is a sponsor on a new development wholesale flower market project with the City
6/12/2020	Patrick Family Fund	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/11/2020	Reynaldo L. Pantaleon	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/10/2020	Samuel Valdez	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/10/2020	Ira Fateman and Jobeth Walt	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/9/2020	Origina, Inc.	\$ 596.80	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
6/8/2020	Matt Beaumont-Gay	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/8/2020	Michael J. McGinley	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Josh and Meredith Bauer	\$ 318.50	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Peter Kinmond	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Pilates in Common Cooperative, Inc.	\$ 176.85	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/8/2020	Anne Fuchs-Chesney	\$ 54.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/8/2020	Jennifer Lin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/8/2020	Philip A. Reitz	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/5/2020	John Cuffney	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	Kristin Anundsen	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	Todd Rydstrom and Mike Dickman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Employee
6/5/2020	Andrew and Nancy Leahy	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	Russell Thau	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	John J. Beam	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/5/2020	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	\$ 110.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/5/2020	Blue Beyond Consulting, Inc.	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/5/2020	Patricia Wise	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/4/2020	Matthew Jee	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/4/2020	Lili Byers and Peter Straus	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/4/2020	Tom Budgick	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/4/2020	Jacob Ostrofsky	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/4/2020	Bruce Parker	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/4/2020	AT&T	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/3/2020	Fidelity Charitable	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/3/2020	Jenny Nguyen and Friends	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/3/2020	Sarah Owens	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Employee
6/3/2020	L. R. Ingersoll	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/3/2020	Sigma Computing	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/3/2020	I. M. Thomson	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/2/2020	Tess Winlock and Christine Luu	\$ 40,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Kimberly Low	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/2/2020	Brandon Schwartz	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/2/2020	Fidelity Charitable	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Jo Ann Ogden	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/2/2020	Francesca C. Vera	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/2/2020	Hung Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/2/2020	Lisel Joseph	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/2/2020	Ashley B. Macy	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/1/2020	William W. Atkins	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Robert K. Deel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Jesse A. Guzman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Tommy Lin	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	India C. Prentice	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/1/2020	Edward Lesmes Maldonado	\$ 237.81	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/1/2020	Alina C. Lodahl	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Sasha Cuttler, R.N. and Lauren Cuttler, R.N.	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Colin McKeenan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
6/1/2020	Tap Tap Organics	\$ 112.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Anna Mae Abia	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/1/2020	Sy Aal	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/1/2020	Jeffrey R. Rigo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/1/2020	Sophie Diao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
6/1/2020	Arjun Krishna Kumar	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/1/2020	Kevin Gao	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/1/2020	Cindy Changar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/1/2020	Carolyn Yao	\$ 15.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
6/1/2020	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Akshay Sethi	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Alex Song	\$ -	\$ 98.50	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Ali Miller	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Amita Gajewar	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anna Ramon	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (10 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 21,970.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Apple Inc. - Matching Gift Program (17 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 20,212.32	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Benjamin Turner	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Blue Shield of California	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Brandon Holt	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Casey Madden	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Christian Wofford	\$ -	\$ 2,500.00	No financial interest with the City
6/1/2020	Christian Wofford	\$ -	\$ 2,500.00	No financial interest with the City
6/1/2020	Craig Stout	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Daniel Kuo	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Derek Ryan	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Elizabeth Juenger	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Elizabeth Perakis	\$ -	\$ 40.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Eric Gourlaouen	\$ -	\$ 226.16	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Genentech, Inc. - Matching Gift Program (9 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 1,470.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (20 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 8,866.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Guillaume Forget	\$ -	\$ 150.00	No financial interest with the City
6/1/2020	Heather Moore	\$ -	\$ 200.00	No financial interest with the City
6/1/2020	Ivan Tanasic	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Jheel Doshi	\$ -	\$ 49.25	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Jonathan Grobstein	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Julianne McGoldrick	\$ -	\$ 300.00	No financial interest with the City
6/1/2020	Julie Nishihara	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Katie Shih	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Kristen Stotts	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Lauren Fernandez	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Lee Newman	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
6/1/2020	LinkedIn - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 370.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Marc Franklin	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Microsoft - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 1,100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Nick Turner	\$ -	\$ 83.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Nintendo	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Nitin Nitin	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	NVIDIA - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 700.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Oath Inc.	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Patrick Wynn	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Piper Sandler Companies	\$ -	\$ 350.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Playstation Cares - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Robert Broesler	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Rob Haynes	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Sabrine Rekik	\$ -	\$ 290.00	No financial interest with the City
6/1/2020	Sabrine Rekik	\$ -	\$ 25.00	No financial interest with the City
6/1/2020	Samuel Kaminsky	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Sandeep Chandna	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Sarah DeMarois	\$ -	\$ 40.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Shalin Mantri	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Stefan Reich	\$ -	\$ 250.00	No financial interest with the City
6/1/2020	Theodore Crockin	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	The Omidyar Group	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Todd Kerpelman	\$ -	\$ 450.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	TPG	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Wen-Ting Tsai	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Workday	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Xylem Inc. - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
6/1/2020	Zillow Group	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/29/2020	Arthur and Toni Rembe Rock	\$ 500,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Ardian US LLC	\$ 15,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Nicholas Reavill and Emily Wolahan	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/29/2020	James and Sheryl Reuben	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/29/2020	Jennifer Braun and Raymond J. Ryan	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/29/2020	OneBeacon Insurance Group	\$ 2,100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Robert Fearing	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Cary J. Fleisher	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/29/2020	Judith Tornese	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/29/2020	Wesley Wiley and Janice Kendall	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/29/2020	Heath Massey	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/29/2020	Connie J. Mar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/29/2020	Karen and Leon Traister	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/29/2020	Camille Lejeune	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/29/2020	Sheldon Kirchman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/29/2020	Frances Ohashi	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/29/2020	Leonard Torres	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/29/2020	Trisha Thadani	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/29/2020	David L. Klein, Jr. Fund	\$ -	\$ 7,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/29/2020	Tung Family Fund	\$ -	\$ 2,500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/29/2020	Dara Khosrowshahi	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/29/2020	Susan Pritzker	\$ -	\$ 250,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/28/2020	Andrew Work	\$ 426.50	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/28/2020	Louis Derosa	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/28/2020	James Christie	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/28/2020	Rachelle Axel	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/28/2020	Brenda Tucker	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/27/2020	Steve and Dianne Leonoudakis	\$ 800.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/27/2020	Jason Pellegrini	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/27/2020	Crunchbase, Inc.	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/27/2020	Morris Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/27/2020	Rebecca Herman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/27/2020	Gretchen M. Ehrenkauf	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/27/2020	Iran Narges	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/26/2020	Bigote de Gato/Teatro Tin Tan	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Rosa Birch	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Julia Lopez	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/26/2020	Lauren MacGuidwin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Golden Gate Trauma and Marriage Counseling	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Erin Bailey	\$ 297.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/26/2020	Alison Dame-Boyle	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Carmen Chu	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Employee
5/26/2020	Lara Hammamy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Pilates in Common Cooperative, Inc.	\$ 182.40	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/26/2020	Bruce Johnson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Blair G. Helsing	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/26/2020	Colin McKeenan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/26/2020	Vivian Fong	\$ 120.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/26/2020	Antonia I. Ruiz	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/26/2020	Evelyn Kelsey	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	Thomas X. Bockmon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/26/2020	Stephanie Schneider	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/26/2020	Robin Morales	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/26/2020	Cassandra McGoldrick	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/22/2020	David and Katherine deWilde	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Al Crowell	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Susan Rosen	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/22/2020	Matthew B. Bohm	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Julia A. Pak	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Richard L. Suen	\$ 125.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/22/2020	Ashesha Mehrotra	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/22/2020	Kathleen White	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/22/2020	Susan G. Van	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/21/2020	Lan V. Liem	\$ 281.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Marian Halley	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Richard Lesnick	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/21/2020	Teresa Feng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/21/2020	Leuwam Tesfai	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/21/2020	Charles Perl and Ted Nguyen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/21/2020	Brenda Tucker, SF Travel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/21/2020	Valeria Wilson	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/21/2020	Cynthia Gregory	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/20/2020	Donal and Nancy Duffy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/20/2020	Stephen Garber and Rena Pasick	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/20/2020	Rachel Lim	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/20/2020	Denise Selleck	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/20/2020	Antonio Gurgel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/20/2020	Kristin Tieche	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/20/2020	Allison C. Vicencio	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/19/2020	PJ Crowell, Gold Bloc Artist Collective	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	Tiffany Huang	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	Michael K. Truong	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	Diana R. Meistrell	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	John Melichar	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/19/2020	Taylor M. McNair	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/19/2020	Kawanna Jenkins	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/19/2020	Chris Wojcicki	\$ 12.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Box Inc. Fund	\$ 62,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Victor C. B. Smith	\$ 20,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Nehal and Jenny Fan Raj Fund	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Christopher H. Lord and Coltrane C. Lord	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/18/2020	David Bloom	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/18/2020	James L. Kilgore	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Christian Topham	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Stephanie Sun	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/18/2020	Bianca Doerschlag	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/18/2020	Andrew R. Hutchinson	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/18/2020	Levin Family Foundation	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Mark Leno	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Tamisie Vrolyk	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Hannah Krier	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Yesenia Lopez	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Claire R. Fram	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Samantha Steele	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Anton Herasymenko	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Clara J. Jeffery	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Bradley Collins	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/18/2020	Thomas Van Dyck	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/18/2020	Chris Farrell	\$ 400.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/18/2020	Adam Shaywitz	\$ 318.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Yesenia Lopez and Brian Reynoso	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Edward Lesmes Maldonado	\$ 237.81	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/18/2020	Stephen Heide	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Bonnie M. Moffett/Eugene V. Moffett	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/18/2020	Michael Silverman	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Janelle Caywood	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/18/2020	Cynthia Lin, M.D.	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Cara Kritikos	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/18/2020	Xuanthu Pham	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	JP Kempt Barber & Social	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	James and Jean Hardin	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Ian Solomon Charitable Fund	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Betsy Eckstein and David Heller	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Cynthia J. Goguen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Audrey E. Groomes	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Robert Livingstone	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Yohana Mehari	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Bryan Wolf	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Blaine Bookey and Richard T. Walker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Diane and Sam Sidd-Champion	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Xin Liu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
5/18/2020	Amy Wollman and Gerry Berkowitz	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Keith Hardaway	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Leah Jackson	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/18/2020	Peter L. Vliet	\$ 50.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/18/2020	David Kidd	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Scott McFadden	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Jeffrey Briz-Felisilda	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Arnel Bautista and Family	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Derron Thweatt	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Barbara Komansky	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Billy R. McFadden	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Deepak Kumar	\$ 15.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Laurel Gaddie	\$ 5.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/18/2020	Mark R. and Mauree Jane Perry	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/15/2020	Joan Wendt	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Stevana Case	\$ 1,250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Amy Beinart and Gary A. Marcus	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Holly French	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/15/2020	Jeffrey Tumlin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Employee
5/15/2020	Cyrus Hall	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/15/2020	Stacy and Christiaan Vorkink	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Matthew Koontz	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Sarah Cohen and Peter Barschall	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Stuart L. Silberman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Kristin Henry	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Gurlyn Singh Grewal	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/15/2020	Margaret J. Handler	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Harold Liss and Daniela Wellisz	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/15/2020	Stan and Monica Hayes	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Narayana Pappu	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Sloan Looney	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Anthony and Kathleen Jones	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/15/2020	Benjamin and Jessie Roodman	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/15/2020	Gareth J. Hoo	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/15/2020	Raymond and Joyce Quesada	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Michael Vuong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Anne K. Gallagher	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Priya and Alex Clemens	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Carolyn Goossen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Alexandra Sweet	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Jacob Y. Wang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Marsha O'Bannon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Jim Bolinger	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Lee Ryan and Nancy Aalberg	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Elizabeth Warner	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Sophie Goodwin	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Prayoonthong Families	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Lester Logue	\$ 15.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/15/2020	Susan Pritzker	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/14/2020	The Miners	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Sheila Stuart	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Emily Johnston	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Tracy Freedman	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Bruce Colman	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Tristan Cameron	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Jonathan Cohen	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Andrew Dai	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Susan L. Karp	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Rodman Rogers, M.D.	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Paul A. Allen	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Terri and Robert Ludden	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Stephen and Elizabeth Mangum	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Chana Greene	\$ 450.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Thomas Hanley	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Jan and Russ Potts	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Samuel M. Sobol	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Timothy Alan Simon, Esq.	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Kathryn Marple	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Caird Arbona Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Judith A. Holm	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	Gail M. MacGowan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	David E. Babbitz	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Steven Kasapi	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Robert M. Fruchtmann	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Mary L. Miller	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Jonathan A. Funk	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Genevieve Mansfield	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Jacob G. Wellins	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Charles T. Whipple	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Charlotte L. Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/14/2020	Gina Fromer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Deborah Fellinger	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Cathy Rabin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Diane C. Carr	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Sarah Marie Smith	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Andrew Y. Ong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Andrew Y. Ong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Michel Schoemaker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Henry Milich	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Carol Porter	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Catherine Cusic	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Eric Shaw	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Steve Bookbinder and Michelle Chan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	David J. Bloom	\$ 69.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/14/2020	Margaret Rubio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Kathryn Claiborn	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Kirk Beckstead	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Henry Minn	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Erin Loback	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Nedra Dias	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Serapheim Dimitropoulos	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Arta Zygielbaum	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Jennie Parrilla and Family	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Gustave Feldman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Sherrie Groshong	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Ariel Garcia De la Vega	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Laura L. Munter	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Kevin Darling	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Alana Ronen	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Bradley Tanzman	\$ 12.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/14/2020	Mark Barnes	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/13/2020	Christine Beard	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Sandy Leung	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/13/2020	Michael J. Solomon	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/13/2020	Winnie Ouyang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Victor Lin	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Sonja R. Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/13/2020	Rachel Hill	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/13/2020	Ryan Nichols	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/13/2020	Jamie Nargassans	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/13/2020	Vincent Eckert	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/13/2020	Jamal Rayshaun Collins	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/13/2020	Caroline Cross	\$ 5.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/12/2020	Cotton the First Shirtmaker	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/12/2020	Margaret Wilson	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/12/2020	Meghan Kamat	\$ 70.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/12/2020	Selina Selina	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/11/2020	Wells Fargo Foundation	\$ 150,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Gale Mondry and Bruce Cohen	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Christopher John Rupright and Pamela G. H. Rupright	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	McMorgan & Company	\$ 7,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Peter Vulgaris and Aimee Lucido	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Steven Chang	\$ 2,400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Mark Watson and Clare Winterton	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/11/2020	Heather and Arturo Gonzalez	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/11/2020	Ripa Saha	\$ 501.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Stewart Murrie	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/11/2020	Ginger Lau	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Ginger Lau	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Thomas R. and Georgia L. Schuttish	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Marcella Vendrell	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Pilates in Common Cooperative, Inc.	\$ 189.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/11/2020	Iris Fung	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole	\$ 110.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/11/2020	Wai M. Yee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/11/2020	Jason Greco	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/11/2020	Denise Powell	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/11/2020	Lorraine Thompson	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
5/11/2020	Daniel Hertz	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/8/2020	Eden Kfir	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	Vivan Som	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	Margaret Hom	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/8/2020	Kerry Viengvilai	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/8/2020	Zarana K. Udani	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/8/2020	Chime, Inc.	\$ -	\$ 75,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/7/2020	Karthik Balaji	\$ 1,220.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	James and Janet Respass	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/7/2020	Lauren M. Harriman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	Mary Mykhaylova	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	William S. Tannenbaum	\$ 180.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/7/2020	Andrew Crebar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/7/2020	Andrew Tremblay	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/7/2020	Cary Bronstein	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/7/2020	Patrick Family Fund	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/6/2020	Amanda Schapel	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Drew Liming	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Timothy Hsu	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Stephanie Rose	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Jeannie Sun	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/6/2020	Erika Oppen and James A. Horton	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/6/2020	Robert Taine	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/6/2020	Abraham Mertens and Ivory Madison	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/6/2020	Adriana Grino	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/6/2020	Felicia Pitre	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/6/2020	#Start Small	\$ -	\$ 15,000,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/5/2020	Heather and Gene Cutler	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	GGV Capital	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Sherry Coveney	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/5/2020	Addison Luria-Roberson	\$ 120.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/5/2020	Ken Ireland	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/5/2020	Shuchita Mishra	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/5/2020	James and Rosalind Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Jesse M. King	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/5/2020	Laurel Kilgour	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/5/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/5/2020	Divya M. Patel	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Google, LLC	\$ 500,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Lifschultz-Stiepleman Family	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/4/2020	Rhisa C. Muse	\$ 3,041.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Pagoda Arts Laser Cutting	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/4/2020	Robert Pooley	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	The Boudreau Ma Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	David N. Goldman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Charles Magahern	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/4/2020	Jonathon M. Grist	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	The Yunger Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Telegraph Hill Software	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Jonathan B. Hernandez	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	George H. Rey	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Andrew Vernon	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Cotton the First Shirtmaker	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Chris Lesch	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Alexa Hansen	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Owen G. Auch	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Edward Lesmes Maldonado	\$ 237.80	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/4/2020	Wilson J. Lam/Mary Leong Lam	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Kathy McCormick	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Tiffany Edwards	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Colin McKeenan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/4/2020	GIC	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/4/2020	Nordlund Family	\$ 101.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/4/2020	Michael Macia	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Daphne Wray	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	H. Kamimoto	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Darrin Ward	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Michael Moghaddam	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Linda Wilford and Randall Ham	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
5/4/2020	Aleksandra Ponomareva	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	David A. De Valeria	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Carolyn White	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
5/4/2020	Caroline Young	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Sarah Kaplan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
5/4/2020	Reynaldo L. Pantaleon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Steffen Frech	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Lizzette Otlang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Sarah Chuck	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Ramila Desai	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Timothy Yip	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	Kevin Metcalf	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/4/2020	First Republic Bank	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/4/2020	Jewish Family and Children's Services/Andy Coblentz and Shari Libicki Donor Advised Continuity Fund	\$ -	\$ 2,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
5/1/2020	Barbara Bernstein and John Tibbetts	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/1/2020	Alex Moskowicz	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Golden Gate Marriage and Trauma Therapy Center	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Allie Siu and Andrew O'Connor	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Frank and Carol Buonagurio	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
5/1/2020	Ankit Vaish	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/1/2020	Mathew Honan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/1/2020	Elysia B. Su	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/1/2020	Frederico Rocha	\$ 70.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
5/1/2020	JCF's Covid-19 Fund	\$ -	\$ 20,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/30/2020	Kristen Kalez	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Dennis Wei	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Jody Reiss	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/30/2020	Emily A. Headen	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Janet Clyde	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	ThousandEyes	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/30/2020	Ann and Jesse Agbayani	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/30/2020	Sarah Kiani	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/30/2020	Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (101 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 28,485.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/29/2020	Tracy Chapman	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Tania Lee and Brianna Lee	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/29/2020	The Bushichols Fund	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Goldberger-Barnett Donor Advised Fund	\$ 4,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Olagappan Manickam	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Helen Zhang	\$ 750.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/29/2020	Stanley Mandell	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Gianluca Franzese	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Debra E. Marchi	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Natalee McIntyre	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Joaquin N. Torres	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Jonathan Ferrugia	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Wee-Yong Ong	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	James Thomas Conte	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Isaac Brodsky	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Eliot Kent-Uritam	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Iris S. Johnson-Edlund/Robin E. McNally	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/29/2020	Wendy Rothenberg	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/29/2020	Alyssa Saquilayan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/29/2020	Gaye Beceren	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/29/2020	Steve Pepple	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/29/2020	David Heflin	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/29/2020	Joyce A. Calagos	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/29/2020	Slack Corp.	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/28/2020	Gong.io	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Lauren Poole and Charles Masten	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Timothy C. Dunn	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Helen Bai	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/28/2020	Annette M. Lai	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/28/2020	Andrea Aranda	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Francoise Herrmann	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/27/2020	Julie Kalter	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Pete Warden	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Jane Lang	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Carole E. Deitrich	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/27/2020	Brian Streiffer and Stefanie Eldred	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Julie E. Taylor	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	David Kaskowitz and Susan Kahn	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Ann and Kwan Chen	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Cotton the First Shirtmaker	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	The Fernberg Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Owenmark Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Phil	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Andrea Nickerson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Matthew C. Miller	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/27/2020	Sarquis Mejia Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Donor has a permit for residential construction with the City
4/27/2020	Pilates in Common Cooperative, Inc.	\$ 187.95	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/27/2020	Mia Risher	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Bruce Bowden Johnson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Haruko Hata	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Magdalena R. Blackmer	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/27/2020	Jean I. Korn	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Kara Maria Art Studio	\$ 125.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/27/2020	The Hollrah Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Kylee Lessard	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Nila Bogue Staudt	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Jolie Gines	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Liana Y. Szeto	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Robert Lim and Elaine Khoong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Geoffrey Bauman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Siu Ling Chen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Chris Emanuel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Esther Landau and Caroline Pincus	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Rachel I. Mozesson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Jeffrey Nigh	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/27/2020	Mary A. Garcia Tejada	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Adra Upadhyaya	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Peter Leaf	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Evelyn Kelsey	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Joanne Wong	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Florabel Flavio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Laney Whitcanack	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Meredith N. Derecho	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Sarah Ta	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Sara Zak	\$ 27.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Bernadette C. Tyler	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Mario Lanao	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Emily Fong	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/27/2020	Janis Greenspan	\$ 19.94	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Pacific Gas & Electric Company	\$ -	\$ 15,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/24/2020	Tailors' Keep	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Ivy Ngo	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	The Schmidt Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Jeanine Nicholson	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Rebecca Gaynor	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Bruce H. Agid	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Ed Pascucci	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Ko Ko Zin and Laura Lynn	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/24/2020	Bettie Holaday	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/24/2020	The Jacobson Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/24/2020	Nancy Y. Lui	\$ 99.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/24/2020	Robert Reinhard	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/24/2020	Pamela Rockwell	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/24/2020	William R. Conrad	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/24/2020	Impact Assets	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/23/2020	Hamid and Christina Moghadam	\$ 150,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/23/2020	Anonymous Through Jewish Communal Fund	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Excel Plumbing Supply	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Donor has a contract, grant, or lease with SFO for maintenance
4/23/2020	Wil Curiel	\$ 1,681.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Jonathan Lai	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Bo Meng	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Simmonds & Narita LLP	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/23/2020	Sandra Laferrera	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Kathleen Sasso	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Tom and Alice Pulliam	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/23/2020	Judith M. Coulter	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Gina M. Castro	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Hillary A. Ronen	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Dhruv Maheshwari	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Lovgreen's	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	The Garward Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Annie Palmer and Will Georgantas	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/23/2020	Lauren M. Harriman	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Akiyo Kinst-Hori	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Heorhi Fedchanka	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Ganesh Seshan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Maeve Metzger	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Neil David Byres	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Dilara T. Mehmed	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Valerie Aubel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Sara Spengler	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Lisa Ligon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Cynthia Guynn	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Shabnam Dadkhah	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Howard and Missy Isaacson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Jared Brown	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Serra C. Akgun	\$ 60.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Peter Woods	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Lionel E. Trufant	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Karlynn Tjaden	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/23/2020	Dr. Carolyn Scott	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Susan Livingood	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Meiko S. Simada	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Robert Morrison	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	The Sprague's	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Randy M. Girer	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Clay and Dagmar Crichton	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Elizabeth Totten	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Aditya Chakraborty	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Christine Chudd	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Suk P. Kwan	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	James Jude, Jr.	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Hoang Cuong	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Samuel K. Wilson	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/23/2020	Bank of America, Charitable Foundation	\$ -	\$ 200,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/23/2020	Comcast	\$ -	\$ 25,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/22/2020	Shivshakti Foundation	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Sheryl Evans Davis	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Daniel Adams	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Naomi Kelly	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/22/2020	Josh Mukhopadhyay	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Lanedin Robbins	\$ 440.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/22/2020	Infinity Services, LLC	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/22/2020	Josh Taylor	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Manaswini Garimella	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	John Robin Orme	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Aaron G. Calhoun	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Bruce Seidel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/22/2020	Chloe Hill	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Julie L. Campioni	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Kevin Frank and Abby Ramsden	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/22/2020	Chloe Hill	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Cathy Hong	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/21/2020	Guozi Dai	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	David W. Dumais	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Rupesh D. Chavan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/21/2020	Taylor Tromburg	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/21/2020	Kristin Leung	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Madeline Bredouw	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/21/2020	Sean Elsbernd	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Employee
4/20/2020	Moldaw Family	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Claire Solot and Sinjin Bain, Bigglesworth Family Foundation	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Jennifer Braun	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/20/2020	Charlie Osborne	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/20/2020	William A. V. McRae	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/20/2020	Scott Levokove	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/20/2020	True Sake	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Laura D. Straus	\$ 900.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Borenstein - Strauss Family Fund	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Nartker Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Paul and DeAnn Work	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Xiomara Holsworth	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Cotton the First Shirtmaker	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Joseph M. McCune III/Karen Kaye Smith-McCune	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Kelle Pedro	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Fred Holub and Geoff McNally	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/20/2020	Volley	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/20/2020	John Robert Bernhelm	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Madhavi Maheshwari	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Roxanne Brittain	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Isha and Rishabh Jain	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Christine Tran	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Mason Scott	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Nathaniel Fruchter	\$ 125.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/20/2020	Raymond Chan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	John Robinson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Kiranmayee Suryadevara	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Matthew Waters	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Laura E. Sanman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Arjan Schutte	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Candice Wold	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Caroline A. Cooper	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Volley	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/20/2020	Michael A. Barnett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/20/2020	Andrea Cathcart	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Priscilla Tov	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	D'Anne Duncan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Katherine Lam	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Katherine Wang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Lei Xiang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Vikram Mohan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Osorno Family	\$ 34.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Alex Kuo	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Richard A. Johnson	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Jeremy Gonzales	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Michael Gleeson	\$ 5.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/20/2020	Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (7 Donations)	\$ -	\$ 3,400.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/17/2020	Mapbox	\$ 4,400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	John J. Beam	\$ 2,400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	ZS Associates, Inc.	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Malena Spar	\$ 600.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/17/2020	Hanqing Huang	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Adanya Lustig	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Catherine Geewax	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/17/2020	Piper Lewis	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/17/2020	Jaren Bonillo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/17/2020	Soneri Chaturvedi	\$ 70.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/17/2020	Erin Bailey	\$ 52.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/17/2020	Craig McFadden	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/17/2020	Roman Martinez	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/17/2020	Cinta Lewis	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/16/2020	Hercules Capital	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	John & Marcia Goldman Foundation	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Cota Capital	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Mikhal and Ron Bouganin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/16/2020	Neeta Sahadev	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Raghavendra Sundresh	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Isabelle Boin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Swanson Family	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Mapel-Lee Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	James Lovette-Black	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/16/2020	Hanqing Huang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Megan Willson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Darren Olson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Asma Stephan	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Onur Burak Yildirim	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/16/2020	James Wilsterman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/16/2020	Sean Sorrell	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/16/2020	Jeremy Gordon Frisch	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/16/2020	Gabriel A. Mantegna	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/16/2020	David A. Petzold	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/16/2020	Emily Bussiere	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/16/2020	Courtny L. Dolan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/16/2020	Steele and Marsha Davidoff	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/16/2020	Bethany L. Taylor	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/16/2020	Ricky A. Yee	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/16/2020	David L. Klein, Jr. Fund	\$ -	\$ 3,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/16/2020	Friedman/Meyer Fund	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/16/2020	Emma Fisher c/o Hirsch & Associates	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/16/2020	LinkedIn For Good	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/15/2020	Josh Hannah and Denise Yamamoto	\$ 7,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Mukesh Agrawal	\$ 1,300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Rigney Turnham	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Cotton the First Shirtmaker	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Theodore J. Kwong	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	The Salem Family	\$ 108.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/15/2020	Daniel Ng and Gemma Gaisano	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/15/2020	Teresa Jones	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/15/2020	Aaron Rabideau	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/15/2020	Finkle Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/15/2020	Willy A. Saldana	\$ 60.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/15/2020	Derek Dong	\$ 60.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/15/2020	Puja Ramani	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/15/2020	Lara D'Emilio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/15/2020	Jason C. Wong	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/15/2020	Andrew M. Chen	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/15/2020	Brian H. Lee	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/14/2020	Robert Deel	\$ 1,130.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Reiri Sono	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Catherine Reilly	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	John Foley	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Tom Scharffenberger and Vicky Simonds	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Colin McKeenan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/14/2020	Mark A. White	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/14/2020	Matthew Cooper	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/14/2020	John W. Crittenden	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/14/2020	Jiajun Zhu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/14/2020	Valerie Law	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/14/2020	Tailors Keep	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/14/2020	Kyle and Tracy Voght Charitable Fund	\$ -	\$ 50,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/13/2020	Salesforce.com	\$ 1,500,000.00	\$ -	Donor has master enterprise contract with the City; including building and other permits related to 415 Mission St, 350 Mission St, and 50 Fremont St.
4/13/2020	Gerson Bakar Foundation	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Jeffrey Gordon Lawson and Erica Freeman Lawson	\$ 500,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Lisa Stone Pritzker Family Foundation	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -	Donor has construction permit with Department of Building Inspection
4/13/2020	John C. Clifford and Katrina M. Lake	\$ 25,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Joshua A. Lippman and Lauren Lippman	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Meridee Moore and Kevin King	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	The Richard and Nancy Blum Fund	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Bob and Daphne Bransten	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Josina Reddy	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Tyler Sonnemaker	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Yingzhuo Zhao	\$ 900.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Sarah Charlton & Andrew Braithwaite Charitable Fund	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Miranda Dietz	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Kristin L. Anundsen (IRA) WFCs as Custodian	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Jacqueline Lesage	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Regarding Art/San Francisco	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Leigh Kloss	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Jeanne Zara Lim	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Larry V. Pulliam and Ivan L. Hodgson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/13/2020	Regina O'Shaughnessy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Pilates In Common Cooperative, Inc.	\$ 178.80	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/13/2020	Tami Bryant for Democratic County Central Committee 2020 FPPC #1423709	\$ 123.72	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Aditi Maheshwari	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Isaac Brodsky	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Brandon R. Wirakesuma	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Barbara L. Jue	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
4/13/2020	Taylor Carroll	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Christopher Wittman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Xiaying Li	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Michelle Tallin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Paige S. Rossi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Mio Nitta	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Natalie Olin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Siddharth Mandava	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Lila W. Tyler	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Yun Zhao	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Emiko Oye	\$ 43.49	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Sara A Spencer	\$ 35.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	L. Sabau	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	John C. Carrillo	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Marielle Price	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Anna McBee	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Sukanda O'Donnell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/13/2020	Mable Woo	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/13/2020	Wagmore Benevolent IV Fund	\$ -	\$ 750.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/10/2020	Ray and Dagmar Dolby	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Reality San Francisco Church	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	GIC Real Estate, Inc.	\$ 20,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/10/2020	Jon Ying	\$ 15,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Jeffrey Tumlin	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Employee
4/10/2020	Meghan Guerin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Yvonne Young	\$ 800.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Lisa and Jeffrey Lin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Mike D. Ikeda	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Mark A. Rathbun	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Legacy Maker LLC	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Kristie Kookan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	Debra Guskin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/10/2020	Maryann Hulsman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/10/2020	Erin W. Tou	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/10/2020	Waymo, LLC	\$ -	\$ 50,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/9/2020	The Green Cross	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/9/2020	Patrick Tam	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/9/2020	Stephen Reichling and Paul Armer	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/9/2020	Alice Yen and Garrett Edel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/9/2020	Elizabeth Harrington	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/9/2020	Archangel Pangan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/9/2020	Lori Mason	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/9/2020	Sara R. Corrigan	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/9/2020	Anonymous	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/9/2020	Nion T. McEvoy, Sr.	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/9/2020	Eileen and Peter Michael Fund	\$ -	\$ 15,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/8/2020	D. Wilsey Properties Co.	\$ 111,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Elizabeth F. Smith	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/8/2020	Samantha and Steven Shows	\$ 800.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Cesar D. Iraheta	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Chia-Tsung Chou	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Chris and Melissa Retajczyk	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Connor Cimowsky	\$ 162.65	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/8/2020	Addison Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/8/2020	Cynthia Rancatore	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/8/2020	Adam L. Spector	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/8/2020	Boramy Khloth	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/8/2020	Jane Gong	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/7/2020	Lendlease Development	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	The Gardner Family	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Lori Yamauchi and James Fagler	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Jarie Bolander	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Patel Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/7/2020	Amelia May Teng Wong	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Pierre-Eric Jacoupy	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/7/2020	Marc Haeberlin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/7/2020	Charles and Nancy Ho	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/7/2020	Sarah P. Delaney	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/7/2020	Melissa Nelli	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/7/2020	Dagang Wei	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/7/2020	Lashanda Greene	\$ 60.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/7/2020	Rebecca Brown	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/7/2020	Rajvi Joshi	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/7/2020	Juliana Appenrodt	\$ 15.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Uskglass Fund	\$ 150,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Silicon Valley Community Foundation	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	John Pritzker Family Fund	\$ 100,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/6/2020	The Newman-Montella Charitable Gift Fund	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Goldberger-Barnett Donor Advised Fund	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Teresa Goebel	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Jeff Karas and Josephine Fong	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Chun Yang Fund	\$ 700.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Fidelity Charitable	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Smith Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Elizabeth Kondor, Jim Cole	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/6/2020	Carole E. Deitrich	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/6/2020	Phoebe Signer	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/6/2020	Dennis Sell	\$ 315.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/6/2020	Suzanne S. Choi/Mimi M. K. Choi	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Theodore Lamm	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/6/2020	Charles Z. Mooney	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Tal Shprecher	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Carson Rickey	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Jonathan S. Chan	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Michele C. Jackson	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/6/2020	Sangha C. Han	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/6/2020	Li Sun	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	David Goldbrenner	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Anand Ramesh	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/6/2020	Stefanie Arthur	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Julia Lee	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/6/2020	Michael Xing	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Angeline M. Miranda	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Aimee Pierce	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Aditya Kota	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Roselee Greenholtz	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/6/2020	Carly Webster	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Carolyn Wong	\$ 118.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Sam Stokes	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Tak M. Poon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Ronan Murphy	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	John G. Zlatunich	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Wayne Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Frederick Chatfield	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Ruth C. Dimagmaliw	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Lisa He	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Michelle Tallin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/6/2020	Melissa Woo	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Steven H. Fowler	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Hannah L. Byers-Straus	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Rene Venegas	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Karen Reyna	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Guojian He	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Guojian He	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Brian Ayuban	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Michael Frankenfield	\$ 3.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/6/2020	Kyle and Tracy Voght Charitable Fund	\$ -	\$ 111,682.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/6/2020	The Stupski Foundation	\$ -	\$ 500,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/3/2020	Chung Yan Lo	\$ 6,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Jeffrey Hihnbrand	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Nebiyu D. Tegegn	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Edith N. Williams	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Larry Rosenstein	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/3/2020	Tonya M. Grootendorst	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Juan Bosco Albanell Flores	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/3/2020	Inder Narula	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Manolito L. Claudel	\$ 130.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/3/2020	Suzanne Mero	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/3/2020	Tomoya Ogura	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/3/2020	Brennan J. Hom	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/3/2020	Jane Petersen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/3/2020	Jaclyn Karpiak	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/3/2020	Lisa J. Lightman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/3/2020	Matt Wright and Kristen Espinosa	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/3/2020	Mara Ezekiel	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/3/2020	Cinta Lewis	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/3/2020	Aneel Bhusri	\$ -	\$ 995,010.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/2/2020	Jessie Cheng Charitable Foundation	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Jake Saper and Dannie Herzberg	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Scott Mauvais	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/2/2020	Steve and Dianne Leonoudakis	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Karlo Berket	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Thomas Carabajal	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/2/2020	Chloe Agape	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Du Yun	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Colleen Boddy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Evonne Chen	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/2/2020	Norman Schlossberg	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/2/2020	Alice T. Rogers	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/2/2020	Chen Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/2/2020	Marco Bianchi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
4/2/2020	Tiffany Wong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/2/2020	IREX Iraq Program Team	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/2/2020	Lillian Bui	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/2/2020	Tiffany Lee	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/2/2020	Kara Gillis	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/2/2020	Jordan Jewell	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/2/2020	Pincus Family Fund	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/2/2020	Kan Family Fund	\$ -	\$ 50,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
4/1/2020	Rebecca S. Draper Fund	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
4/1/2020	Robin and Chris Donohoe	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
4/1/2020	Grammarly, Inc.	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
4/1/2020	Berkshire Partners	\$ 58,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Irene Zhou	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Evan Fried	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Bruce Agid	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Elliot Kendall	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Lillian Tsay	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Amy Hall	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Tianxuan Chen	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	The Andersons	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Joshua Taylor	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Chih Yi Hsieh	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Gregory Klasuner	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Cecilie Wrye	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	Camelin Blackstone	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/1/2020	Laurel Bailey	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/1/2020	Alison S. Lycette	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/1/2020	Angela Huang	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
4/1/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Ann and Gordon Getty	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Robert Li	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Buried Signal, LLC	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	The Burkhart-Fitzgerald Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Kristiina Kansen	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Laurie Bouck	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Elizabeth I. Powers	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Elizabeth N. Rosseter	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Lay Tshu Tan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Ava C. Yap	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/31/2020	Shane Dewael	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Anna Mei-Hsiu, Chien	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Qin M. Liang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Neal P. Mhaskar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Sophie Hwang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Diane Turner	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Alexander White	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Sarah Cirone	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/31/2020	Michelle Ann Taylor	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Zoe Camille McBride	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Roger O. Hernandez	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Rahul Patel	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Cinta Lewis	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/31/2020	Pablo Vargas	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	The Systrom Charitable Trust	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Okelola	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Timothee Geoghegan	\$ 3,580.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Charlie Stigler	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Ben Zotto	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Dave Piazza and Dakota Chase	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Caven Family	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Zhize Wang	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	William Koury	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Jessica Finkel	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Rani Manoharan	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Jay Anderson	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Damon Uyeda	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Schwab Charitable	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Alexander Family Fund	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Kalyani Girvanesh	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Susan Baker-Lehne	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Rik Williams	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Susan Karp and Paul Haahr	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Amy D. Lu	\$ 350.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Michael Chen	\$ 347.28	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Ellen Wang	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Anthony D. Truong	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Shubham Naik	\$ 284.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Richard Avendano	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Laura Tessin and Matthias Plappert	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Stacey Harte	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Blake, Rachael, and Rose Davidoff	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Siyao Zhu	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Vardhman Jain	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Mike Berkowitz and Debbie Tuttle	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Joseph M. Imbriani	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Amy T. Herbertson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Ayushi Samaddar	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Consuelo Spalding	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Shanna Wagnor	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Nancy McCormick	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Bo Meng	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Leon Parker	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Janet Y. Spears and Rae M. Laguna	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Jimmy Hsu	\$ 142.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/30/2020	Pilates In Common Cooperative, Inc.	\$ 135.15	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	The House of Gatto Revocable Trust - JJ Bergovoy Trustee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Kathleen Damore	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Jennifer D. Ng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Laura Humbrecht	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Victor Ronin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Christopher S. Rossi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Jenna Theisen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/30/2020	Michelle Fishberg and Vu Nguyen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Loretta Jones	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Ian Whelan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Cindy Muzio	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Sheli Chabon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Matthew S. Dietz	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	The Baizels	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Janet T. Oyama	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Shirley Mei	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Robert C. Long III	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Vania Fong	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Sarah S. Kaplan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
3/30/2020	Rachel Pia D'Agostino	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Rosny Daniel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Even/Odd Films	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Michael Mills	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
3/30/2020	Aishwarya Borkar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Kunal Sharma	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Noelle L'Etoile	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Cui Yu Huang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Hannah Katherine Long	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Ian Luo	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Monica Q. Culanay	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Michael Murray	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Rohan Natraj	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Phillip Kobernick	\$ 36.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Jonathan S. Abramson	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Richard J. Lawne	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Katie Thomas	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Hung Dinh	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Cinta Lewis	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Gaurav Murade	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Daniel L. Jiang	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/30/2020	Drake Piper	\$ 6.65	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Drake Piper	\$ 5.86	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/30/2020	Abigail Owens	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Ansley Peduru	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Belinda Yamate	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Brian Calvert	\$ -	\$ 1,350.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Bridget Collins	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Carden Bagwell	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Charles Harrington	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Chris Van Dusen	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Daniel Ammann	\$ -	\$ 8,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Daniel Edwards	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Daniel Kuljis	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Daniel Tien	\$ -	\$ 51.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Eben Freeman	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Edmond Jordan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Elton Loberternos	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Emily Lakritz	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Emmanuel Turley	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Erica Banh	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Franck Lefebvre	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Ishan Singh	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Jennifer Blight	\$ -	\$ 350.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Jennifer Huang	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Jessica Yao	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Jonathon Ryan Gillespie	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Kaitlyn Williams	\$ -	\$ 150.00	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Kristy Anne Boyd	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Luke Pulaski	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Manjukumar Harthikote Matha	\$ -	\$ 200.00	No financial interest with the City
3/30/2020	Marko Kudjerski	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Matthew Anderson	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Max Meyers	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Nandini Arora	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Nicholas Decker	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/30/2020	Nicholas Robinson	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Nolan Finn	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Olivia Tsai	\$ -	\$ 20.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Raya Islan	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Rebekah Brandt	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Santiago Vargas Soto	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	The Conway Family Charitable Fund	\$ -	\$ 50,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Sierra Gegenheimer	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Thomas Melanson	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/30/2020	Yunfei Wang	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Anjuli Felix	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Brooks Reed	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Christopher Phan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Michael Rusignola	\$ -	\$ 250.00	No financial interest with the City
3/29/2020	Namrata Rao	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Nariman Moezzi Madani	\$ -	\$ 30.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Neal Uppal	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Nicholas Christian	\$ -	\$ 15.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Sneha Sinha	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Unnikrishnan Nair	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/29/2020	Victor Oliveira	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Benjamin Goldstein	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Charles Matlack	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Matthew Fornero	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Sean Harris	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Sean Harris	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/28/2020	Sheila Egan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Peter Kinmond	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Dan Evans	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Gateway Roofing and Waterproofing, Inc.	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	GRC Roofing, Inc.	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Holly Haraguchi	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Suzanna Khatchatrian	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Anna Merritt and Dean Weesner	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	David and Laura Hecht	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/27/2020	Alexis Leifheit	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Employee
3/27/2020	James King	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/27/2020	Lawrence S. Lansing	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Jeremy Apthorp	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/27/2020	Scott M. Sandler	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Cyndi Wheeler	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Tyler and Teresa Herb	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Guohua Zheng	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/27/2020	Fatema Waliji	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Zachary Olson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Matthew L. Schumpert	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Alisa Diane Calvillo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Kristie Lee and Louis Dudley	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	James Laureys	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Rick H. N. Curvers	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Mallory P. Brown	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Tina and Oliver Burgelman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Melanie Pratt	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Kirill Zhukov	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Scotty Huhn	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Jesse Bounds	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Jennifer Murse	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Daniel Malmkvist	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Guohua Zheng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	William Summer	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Veronica Abreu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Ashley Harvey	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Yanna Tong	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Dimple Kapadia	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Mitchell Averett Harris	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Leon Zhang	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/27/2020	Andrea Santwier	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Devin Cass	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Elizabeth Sellier	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Eugene Wong	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Jessica Yao	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Violet World Foundation	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/27/2020	Shitao Zheng	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Franklyn O. Bakala	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Jason Maynard	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Jorge A. Lopez	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Sarah Israel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Lauren Slack	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Shannon Wells	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/26/2020	Dan Davies	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Kari Nordvik	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Bryan Lee	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Yvonne Yau	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Yannru Cheng	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Louis R. Acresti	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/26/2020	Linda Pham	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Natalia Mendez Cortes	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	Maryellen McGillan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/26/2020	KaiCheng Chang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Diana Hsu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Diane L. Huang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Alison Stosich	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Charles Olson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Hilary Hsu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Irene Yee Riley	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Jamila Keba	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Hannah B. Gordon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Karen Goldenberg	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Dana Riess	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Rengasudharsan Srinivasan	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Franklin Yam Ching	\$ 15.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Charla Kaul	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/26/2020	Brendan O'Hare	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Crankstart Foundation	\$ -	\$ 500,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Griffin Childers	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Hellman Foundation	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Hon Kwok	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Justin DeCell	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Karen Sun	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Olivia Isaac	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Shashwat Kandadai	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	No financial interest with the City
3/26/2020	Tom Raith	\$ -	\$ 20.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Vinitha Suresh	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/26/2020	Walter Gray	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Colin Denman	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Margaret and Henry Brodtkin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Kirsti Aho	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Rachit Nandwani and Priyanka Singh	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Ezra M. Rufino	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Sriram Krishnan	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Sanjay Mani	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Andrew Chan and Yena Kim	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/25/2020	Josephine Simon	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Peter Straus and Lili Byers	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/25/2020	George and MeiLuh Lee	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/25/2020	David Kennedy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Carolyn Tom and E. Matta, Jr.	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Wai Chung Wong	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Junan and Shanna Pang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/25/2020	Saurabh Sahni	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Vaish Srivathsan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Brian O'Hearn	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Andrew Branscomb	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/25/2020	Michael McKeon	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	James W. Pace	\$ 120.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/25/2020	Simon Tan	\$ 120.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/25/2020	Kaitlyn Fowler	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Zack Morris	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	William L. Rohrer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Patrick J. Maley	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Saining Li	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Vamshi Krishna Repala	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Sarah J. Aerni	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Ashlee Kirsten Tsukushi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Holly J. Allen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Richard W. Bailey III	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Slack for Good	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Fan Tielking	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Hailey C. Teton	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Brad Girardeau	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Shalini Shashi Kumar Shankar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Richard L. Ledon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Bradley Zundel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Pin-Ya Tseng	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Steven Kasapi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/25/2020	Olivia Malterre	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Anna Schomer	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Paula Gerhardt	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Liz Marxen	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Albert Lee	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Rita Hao	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Elizabeth A. Carey	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Sara Dermody	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Nola Ong	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/25/2020	Adriana Rosas	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Alexandri Zavodny	\$ -	\$ 500.00	No financial interest with the City
3/25/2020	Amber Illig	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Andrew Acosta	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/25/2020	Anup Parameswaren	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Cody Neil	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Craig Callihan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Eileen Bai	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Erik Danko	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Erin Antcliffe	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Fen Chen	\$ -	\$ 200.00	No financial interest with the City
3/25/2020	Feng Zhong	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Karine Mule	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Kelsey Abdollahian	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Luke Pulaski	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Maresh Seetharaman	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Marie Ledger	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Matthieu Fond	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Meet Bhagde	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Michael Pierce	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Michael Plotz	\$ -	\$ 360.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Moshe Ororn	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Rafael Quiroz	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Raluca Musaloiu-Elefteri	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Robert Grant	\$ -	\$ 1,200.00	No financial interest with the City
3/25/2020	Sahil Narang	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Shakti Shah	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Stephanie Box	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Taylor Thomas	\$ -	\$ 10.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Thomas Boyd	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Wyatt Alt	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/25/2020	Zhichang Yan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Kristine Boyden and Scott Taylor	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Corey Block	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Brian J. Tarricone	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Hala K. Hijazi	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Martin MacKerel	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/24/2020	Jeffrey C. Kuo	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Github Social Impact Team	\$ 800.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Ben Villagra	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Anand Ramesh	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/24/2020	Berthoux Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Irene Lee	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Richard and Phillip Gonzalez	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Alice Liu	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Deirdre Hussey	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Susanna Goldenstein	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/24/2020	Andreas Pedersen	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/24/2020	Lauren Tulp	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Marion Holaday	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Anna Brown	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Carol S. Michely	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Sherry J. Wickwire	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Mary Rose Costello	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Yu Chen Hou	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Matt Grigoryan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Asdrubal Ibarra	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Veena Bontu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Crystal Dolis	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Shirley Li	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Steven Kaplan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Karlynn Tjaden	\$ 75.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/24/2020	Brian P. Marentay	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Colleen Regan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Laura Spaventa	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Pranay Suresh	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Elizabeth Ramirez	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Khariza Estacio	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Alexander Venegas	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/24/2020	Amanda Jacob	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Amy Kepler	\$ -	\$ 250.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Anant Rathi	\$ -	\$ 200.00	No financial interest with the City
3/24/2020	Bruce Botsford	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Divya Thakur	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Matthew Goudeau	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Peter Martin	\$ -	\$ 25.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Rachelle Celebrezze	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Savannah Leggett	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Shahram Rezaei	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Sue-Ling Huynh	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Tristan Zier	\$ -	\$ 250.00	No financial interest with the City
3/24/2020	Tylor Cunningham	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Tyler Tate	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/24/2020	Wenbing Bai	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Schwab Charitable	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Ross A. Boucher Trust - Ross Aaron Boucher Trustee	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/23/2020	Sixth Street Partners	\$ 25,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Nicholas C. Fox	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Degree, Inc. dba Lattice	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Will and Julie Parish	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Ben, Ruby, and Jasper Shaw, and Suzanne Thomas	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Matthew G. Crocker	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Andrew Timmons	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Gibson B. Biddle and Kristen M. Hege	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Michael Gold/Susan West	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	David A. Herman	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Desiye Neil Collier	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Philip J. Rose	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Julie Trescott	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Fidelity Charitable	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Brandon Schwartz	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Liam Doyle	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Emma L. Dill	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Adam J. deSouza	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Walter A. Haas	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Killian Murphy and Elizabeth Drew	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	The Frances Ho and George Yu Endowment	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Long Tang and Kian Lim	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sarah E. Scussel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Alvin Baum and Robert Holgate	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Kamal Benkiran	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Pacini Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Andrew G. Scott	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Erika Brown Ekiel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Andrew Larsen	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Steve Cary and Sean McBride	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Kevin Burke	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	James C. Moschou	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Steven and Kay Sheh	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Mindy Ginsburg	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Andrea Freedman	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Raymond P. Hoehn, Jr.	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Shannon N. Bennett/Durrell D. Kapan	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Barbara Benjamin	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	David Wohlreich	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	S. Leslie Goldstein	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Douglas Coker	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Eric C. Schwartz	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Elizabeth and Jinal Surti	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jana Messerschmidt	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jennifer L. Scheidt/James D. Gold	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Erin Laye	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Audrey K. Tang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Erin Lane	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Stephan Zuercher	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Linda Lin	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	The Hartsell Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Julia Lee	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Bo Meng	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Fenny Lin	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Ellen Kort Price	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Michael Panoff	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Evelyn Killaby	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Glenn Thomas	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Drew Schuster and Krista Forgey	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Jane A. Sherman	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jessica Fain	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Lori Dietrich	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Sascha Benjamin Cohen	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Sumeet and Preeti Ajmani	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Swathi Bonda	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Jacquelyn M. Horton	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/23/2020	Crystal Le	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/23/2020	Connie Rawson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Sara Winslow	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
3/23/2020	Robert Combier	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Sabrina Rekik	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Sarah Locke-Henderson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Christopher Aycock	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Kelsey Villalobos	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Maria Pasos-Nunez and Richard Nunez	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Haoyang Zhu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Noah Levin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Troxel Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Nick and Shannon O'Shea	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Sean Sorrell	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/23/2020	Ryan Lanteigne	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Andrew J. Seigner	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	London Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Ji Young Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	McAuliffe Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Kelly K. Pagano	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Erik E. Rotman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Jacqueline O'Dwyer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Kameela Din	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Sarah Saltrick Meyer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Joshua A. Stubbs	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Naman Agrawal	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Caia Brookes	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Christopher M. Wade	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Danny S. Gonzalez	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Peter L. Scott	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Jennifer Liu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Ying He	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Erica J. Steimetz	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Patrick Lee	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Gregory S. Borman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Shalin Modi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Kristin Rhodes	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/23/2020	Vishal Singal	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Rachel Baker	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Adam Greenberg	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Vishal Seshagiri	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Lauren Sassoubre	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Drift Enterprise CSMs	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Denise Allen	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Sania Baqai	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Courtney Anne Bell	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Angela Avera	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Sanjana Ramana	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Family Suendermann-Oeft	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Randi Slaughter-Broussal	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Philipp Kuecuekryan	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Eugenia Lee	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Elzbieta Gibbons	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Selina B. Wang	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	The Harper Family	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Joan Gamell	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Tiffany Hsu	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Jordon Wing	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Matt Lewis	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Sheila D. Gunter	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Anthony Daniell	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Aish Raj Dahal	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Heidi R. Hamilton	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Ilona Smuk	\$ 1.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/23/2020	Albert Q. Pham	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Albert Yang	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Anvesh Kunati	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Arkadeb Ghosal	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Ashley Sams	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Cassandra Clark	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Daniel Tien	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/23/2020	David Rubin	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Eric Lujan	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Ian Swarbrick	\$ -	\$ 300.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Jonathan Tang	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Kenneth Fendick	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Kevin Keogh	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Kevin Metti	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Louis Roseguo	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Preston-Werner Foundation	\$ -	\$ 250,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Ramachandran Jagadeeswaran	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Rishab Sareen	\$ -	\$ 50.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Robert Ussey	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Roman Sergeev	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Spencer Hoffman	\$ -	\$ 150.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Stefanie Von Guten	\$ -	\$ 75.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Stephen Staffieri	\$ -	\$ 200.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Syed Hussain	\$ -	\$ 100.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Wilbur Arajuo	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/23/2020	Yi-Yu Chen	\$ -	\$ 500.00	Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation
3/20/2020	Thomas M. Gloger	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Epic Church San Francisco	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/20/2020	Shawn Grunberger and Debbie Kahn	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Benjamin Shulman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/20/2020	Riddhi Shah	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/20/2020	Gaurav Gollerkeri	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Colin Beighley	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Kyle Petrovich	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/20/2020	Amanda Eller	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/20/2020	Maliha Khan and Alan Cordova	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/20/2020	Patricia Perozo	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/20/2020	Claire Markham	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/20/2020	Amy Jiang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Randall Maycock	\$ 180.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/20/2020	Bingxin Zhang	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Kamryn Claridge	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Sean M. Kane	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Sylvia Irene Sroba	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	The Schnouies	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Neha Batra	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Sharon Coone	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Neil House III	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Diana Tsao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/20/2020	Ruud Visser	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Volley Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Eric Chun	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Nancy Pan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Vaishali K. Mullapudi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Andrew Scarani	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Chloe Aftel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Michael Young	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Christopher Shewchuck	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Emily R. McNab	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Samuel and Rebecca Garfield	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Elizabeth Reid	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Jean Dere	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Liesel Cruz Family	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Catherine Jue	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Jue Zou	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Rafaela de Oliveira	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Valerie Stevens	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Ralph Richart	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Alyssa M Lim	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Matt Zwicky	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/20/2020	Jeannine Fleck	\$ 5.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	John Stedman	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Michael Rolig	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/19/2020	Sneller and Erika Price	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Jordan Rose	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Leila M. Dwight	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/19/2020	Hayley N. Gross	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Stewart Mandel	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Mark F. Taylor	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Doug and Ellen Kahn	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Justin Durack	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/19/2020	Wey Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Sangmin Lee	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/19/2020	Alexandra Wong	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Guannan Shi	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Kirsten and Chris Byron	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/19/2020	Ben Neumann	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Nana Kofi K. Ohene-Adu	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Melanie Day	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Peter L. Vliet	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/19/2020	Joanna Ga Wah Gee	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Michael Holmes	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/19/2020	Vanessa Ginman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Maria S. Chang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/19/2020	Cameron J. Lencki	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Colleen Chung	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Grace Sakoda	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Cory Bennett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Kristan Frankel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Sonia Lawrence	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Carl Stein	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
3/19/2020	Menaka Fernando	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Amy Chen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Emily McLinden	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Christiana Lackner	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Zach Thigpen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Alice Zheng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Eric Chong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Liam Pedersen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Sheila Vergara and Elkin Chacon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Susan J. Adams	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Rodrigo Manubens	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Katherine Lohec	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Ruth Sappelt	\$ 99.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Alexander Kumamoto	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Louis M. Goudeau	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Samantha Harrington	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Corey Vernon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Monique Gannon	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Da-Yup Kim	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	April Gaudette	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Natasha Dimond	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Christina Pluta	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Cheryl Contee	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/19/2020	Annemaria Breaux	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Team Elbogen	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Rica Santos	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	John Robinson	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Craig and Lorraine Mautner	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/18/2020	The Hatfield Family	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/18/2020	Paul Mandel	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Caption Health	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Michael Zhang	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/18/2020	Sarah Chan	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Lisa Lin	\$ 400.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Nira Pandya and Neel Iyer	\$ 350.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Schulkin Family	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/18/2020	Aileen Appe	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/18/2020	Patty Kong and Philippe Fossier	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/18/2020	Vivien Nguyen	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/18/2020	Elliot Kendall	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Charlotte and Tyler Will	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Jonathan Eldridge	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/18/2020	Ana Gardea and Zac Cox	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Cynthia and Adi Berglez	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Atrejo Patridge	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/18/2020	Swaroop Jagadish	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Jaclyn H. Prange	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Gary and Linda Zellerbach	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/18/2020	Philip Chu and Corinna Lee	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Emily Martinez	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Ryan Ryan	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/18/2020	Elizabeth Hamel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Nicole Appleton	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Won Jun Bae	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Hannah Schlacter	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Tito and Sharon Piansay	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Lindsey Hogg	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Juanjuan Han	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Jennifer A. Plath	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Lara K. Owen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Yejin Kwon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Sarah Maisel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Jonathan Meade	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Rachel Hill	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/18/2020	Glennis Coursey	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Joseph and Margaret Ciarallo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Catherine and Trey House	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Leonore Ralston	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Luanne Sequeira	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Bill Smullin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Molly Alarcon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Helen Ung	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Jaosn Goodman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Patrick L. Canfield	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Shantha Condamoor	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Nikrad Mahdi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/18/2020	Ingfei Chen	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Alexander S. Majercik	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Nicole Glabinski	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Yash Kshirsagar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Kathryn Garner	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Liz Catalano	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Paul Chu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Jazmine Applin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Eric Constantin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Zachary M. Subin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Ozge Islegen	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Helen Resor	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Rachel Hsu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Marissa Phillips	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Pierce Street SF, LLC	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Scott Numamoto	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Jared Erundu	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	David Nolan	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/18/2020	Nathaniel Stanley	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	The Hansma Family	\$ 10,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/17/2020	Glynn Capital	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Britt H. Evangelist	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Steven Chang	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Eric Koslow	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Andrew L. Perito	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Evan McCulloch	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Charles Fisher and Chelsea Childs	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Faya Peng	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/17/2020	Elizabeth Mumm Meier	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Victor Smith	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kumar Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/17/2020	Kendra Byrne and McLean Echlin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Aaron Pigeon and Emily Olson	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Sean Engel	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Justyna Janczyszyn	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Sean D. Childers	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Samantha Jane Bennett	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Stacey Baradit	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Kristin Leung	\$ 270.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Theodore Kwong	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Yoo Hsiu Yeh	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/17/2020	Colleen McGinnis	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Michel Louis Alexander	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/17/2020	Marivel Nicolas	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Dennis A. Antenore	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Greg and Stephanie Rewis	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Guillaume Forget and Francisco Rodrigues	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/17/2020	William E. Reeves	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Paul Supawanich and Catherine Covington	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Sarah Chung	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/17/2020	Andrew Gibiansky	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/17/2020	Lauran Bradley Johnson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Pauli Lieponis and Ashley Hodges	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Craig Weibel	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Andrew Stanek	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/17/2020	Danielle Bogaards	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Elizabeth Wang and Thomas Yohannan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Amanda Morgan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Akash Mohanty	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Holly Friel	\$ 175.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Denise E. Lee	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Paul Bien and Karen Nakasato	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Pedro Orteiz	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	The Enrights	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Leslie Simon	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Brooke L. Peterson	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Jessica Nolan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Anna Andresian	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	George Koster	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Long Ouyang	\$ 101.69	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/17/2020	Tachtech	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Bezhou Feng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	The Lees	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Aaron Lapierre	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Brynne Henn	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Kimberly Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Devon, Amy, and Bennett Wilson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	James and Rosalind Johnson and Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Gulabi Rajasekar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Kathryn M. Jereza	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Sarah Murphy	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Samara Flug	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Mehmet C. Anbarlilar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Suhas Deshpande	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Danan Barnett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Minyoung Chun	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Katherine Meng	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Bianca Buckridee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Christoph Christoph	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Zofia M. Burr	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Elizabeth A. Joyce	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Michael R. Petrick	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Terrence B. Jenkins	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Aashna Mago	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Bronwen Marshall-Bass	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Kendra Wong Morrison	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/17/2020	Leah Jackson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Lucy Dotson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Jennifer Anderson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Faith H. Yi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Elizabeth Burl	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	David Tsai	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Dale A. Martin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Lyndsay Murrow	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Roberto Lopez and Martha Mellblom	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Rebecca Archer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Ryan Biggs	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Kristie Kookan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/17/2020	Lisa Ratner	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	William Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Kathryn Pulaski	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Stuebe Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Wendy Bear	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Sabeena Pradhan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Mara Raider	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Zahyaan M. Adnan, Asif M. Adnan, Farhana Tasneem	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Kaleb Tseo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Cristiano Ceccarelli	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Meredith Johnson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Nirav Nikunj Patel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	The Prodehl Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Joanna Goldin	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Jennifer Suen	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Katherine O'Brien	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Clement Canonne	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Nadine Carole	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Amar Chokshi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Casey Jung	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Carol R. Langbort	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	May Stearman	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Puthita Wacharasin	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/17/2020	Chester Hitz	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Phuong L. Vu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Kelly Murphy	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Aamna Dhillon	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Preethi Vijaykrishnan	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Brenna Marketello	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Judith F. Leff	\$ 36.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Clio A. Korn	\$ 35.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Jordan Rozsa	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Kathryn MacDonald	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Alisha Mowder	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Bethany S. Campos	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Isaac Jacobs-Gomes	\$ 20.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Namrita Singh Mathew	\$ 15.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Jeannine Fleck	\$ 10.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/17/2020	Ryan Davids	\$ 5.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Sahil Shah	\$ 6,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Frederick B. Zamore	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Justin Wyckoff	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jessica Hilberman and Katrina Reid	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Daniel Byrd	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Kent and Lauren Goldman	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alberto Gobbi and Man-Ling Lee	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Fiona Parker-Givens and Rob Hayes	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Wally and Gretchen Cheng	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Melanie Subbiah	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Brian Singerman	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Aestek Consulting	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Moir Burke	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lucy Farey-Jones	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Matthew Chanoff	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Margaret R. Wensch	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Christopher Tuttle	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Brett Bukowski	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mehul Kar	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	John F. Moroney	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Catherine Izard	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Tony Gonzales	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Vera Wasacz	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Ted and Grace Benson	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rebecca and Aaron Newton	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Meena Lin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kathleen O'Dowd	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Jean Yang	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Andrew Schlaikjer	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kapanoglu Family	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Frank Bailinson	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Gaurav and Pujita Mathur	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Jeffrey Tumlin	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Employee
3/16/2020	Vipul Prakash and Vanessa Hsu	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Robert Hodsdon	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Alexander Barth	\$ 600.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Etgen-Kieber Foundation	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	The Leifer Family	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mary Thengvall	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Natnael Getahun	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Sanae Rosen	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Amy Woodrum and Andrew Zloto	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Adam Cue	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Matthew Wagner	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Victor Torres	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	James Barnes	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Paul and Danice Fagin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sabeek Pradhan	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Caroline Fichtenberg	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Cecily A. Dumas	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mozhdeh Rastegar-Panah	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mary Lee and Reid Williams	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Devon Turner and Christine Trac	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shir Yehoshua	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jesse Vaughan and Jennifer Ong Vaughan	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hao Zou	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Granahan Law, P.C.	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Shannon and Chris Terschluse	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Edward Whitmore and Deborah Greenwood	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Britt Williams and John Stallard	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Brady J. Frey	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Andrew Sutherland	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Noelle Mabanta	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Erica, Ava and Livi Desouza	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeffrey Sarnat and Rebecca Schapiro	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Cole Bennett	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gillian Yu	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Susan Schwegman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Brian and Laura Millham	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Amandeep Jawa and Kimberly Conley	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sarah Rogers and Bob Hillman	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	David L. McIntosh	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Kelli A. Broin	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Emily Angyal	\$ 500.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Deborah Cooper	\$ 450.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Katlin Smith	\$ 300.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Jesse Charles Battalino	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sandeep Bhanot	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Aimee Lucido	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Doug Gravelle and Stephanie Kim	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Deb Janowski and Umesh Kaushal	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gareth B. Cross	\$ 300.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sayuri Dimitroff	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jacqueline Paige Stoermer	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Enrique Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael P. Rabbitt	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kristin Fleming	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kevin and Heather Lutz	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sharon Wang and Peter Shiau	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Matthew J. Losardo	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	David Ross	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Megan Chin	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	John R. Murgia, Jr.	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sean P. Cotter	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Roz Leiser and Lee Guion	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Truc Nguyen	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Katelyn Kimmons	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Angelos Kottas and Phyra McCandless	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Jeff Wallace	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Carolyn Rundell	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ryan Hoyt	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nikolai Varnavski and Radhika Ramanan	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Behnam Family	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Thomas Meyer, M.D. and Rebecca Husband	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Roberta Sarnoff	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Michael A. Shiplett	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	James Cross	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Heidi Patel	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Nicholas Peterson	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Yi Yin	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Avril Swan, M.D.	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	James Nguyen	\$ 250.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Chris and Madison Etterman	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jacqueline M. Ali Cordoba	\$ 250.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alyssa Roy	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Audrey K. O'Neill	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Yingzhuo Zhao	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Colin Dickau	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Melody Cheung	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Angelina Huang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Cathryn Domrose and Kurt Aguilar	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kerrilyn Renshaw	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Emanuel Evans	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Hutchison Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nathan Reynolds	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shannon Beck	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Grace Lattyak	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Brian R. Zaik	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jenny Wang	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lynn-Kai Chao	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lynn-Kai Chao	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jose and Leticia Chavez	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Evan Tana	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kyle Piddington	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kate S. Carson	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lisa M. Brown	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Kirsten and David Marcus	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Matthew Wagner	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Janet Fung and Jimmy Do	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Cara and Mike Leonardo	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Shectman Robinson Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mariana Magalhaes Chapei	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jennifer Rosen	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Janice A. McIntosh	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sutcliffe-Conaty Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jinoos Yazdany and Arash Anoshiravani	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Angela E. Gonzalez	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kristina Le	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Christina Armatas	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Caryl Shaw	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sarah Moss-Horwitz	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Mike Rinaldi and Nicole Friedland	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Kesteloots	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Robert J. Merck	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Polly A. Stryker	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Caroline Orsi	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Hannah S. Lee	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeffrey Erickson and Jacqueline Berger	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Smythe-Cullen Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kathryn Arnold	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Marlene Grenon	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Patricia E. Franks	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Anne Vaittinen	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	George and Rose Dobbins	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Seth and Julie Jacobs	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Sophia Zikanova	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Clara Brenot and Olivier Godement	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sara Scanlan	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sara N. Cosenza	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	The Isbister Family	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Eric Socolofsky	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Samuel James Maskell	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sokunthea Keo	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Renee Di Cherri	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Laurence Berland	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Amanda Pinsker	\$ 200.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeffrey M. Moore	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Benjamin W. Redman	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lauren Patti	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Alon Gilat	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kristina and Alan Gonzalez	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Ethan H. Stone	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Anne Diaz	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Eric Walder and Alexandra Corvin	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Allison N. Jorges	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Lisa Crossett	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Johann and Kaoru Lynch	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Evan and Sally Kerrigan	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Thomas Cruz	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Pierre Urbain	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	The Lemasters	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Eric Proegler	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jeffrey A. Gray	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Samuel Feldman-Crough	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Kirsten Irgens-Moller and Christopher Ho	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Yangchen Dolkar	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	The Wald Family	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Mary Lou Licwinko	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sarah Leyde and Kevin Lang	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Filip Spiridonov	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Tala Banatao	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Elizabeth Hartmann	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Kelsey Strohane	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Allison Carroll	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sarah and Jim Jones	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Asumu Takikawa	\$ 150.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Kristy R. Lee	\$ 150.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	M. Lee Dixon	\$ 125.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Unlimited Biking Rentals LLC	\$ 125.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Rui Wu and Tianyi Gou	\$ 120.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Team Talbott	\$ 120.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Patrick Holmes	\$ 111.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Muneeb A. Ahmad	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Daniel E. Medani	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Brian Har	\$ 110.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Paul Campbell	\$ 109.02	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Catherine Davis	\$ 108.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Christopher Leader	\$ 105.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Andrew Kaplan	\$ 105.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Vikram Raman	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Gurskis Hess Family	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nicholas E. Beyrer	\$ 101.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Natalie Schoch and Michael Mellody	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Emily Broas	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Maureen Haverty	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Rayana Stanek	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	George C. Rodgers	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Aaron Beitch	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Decad Trust	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Oliver Raskin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Rachel Proctor	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Michael L. Gummelt	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Charles E. Lowey-Ball	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Kate L. Hughes	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Keenan Rice	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ivalina Demarco	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Patrick and Nicole RoDee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Deirdre Anderson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Aleksandr Mistratov	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Chase Starr	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Tyler Wozny	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Charles Lim	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Rosie D. Belpasso	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Celine Cuevas	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Maxime Prades	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Kristy E. Leung	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Emily Kuhbach	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alex, Jennifer and Simon Cohen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Rachel P. Katz	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Dennis Biroscak	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Andrew Gaffney	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Julia Doan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Douglas Hanlin and Kelvin Lynch	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Lewis Stringer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Katrina Lake	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Michael Deninno	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Joshua W. Dunsby	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alexis Humiston	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Beverly Sutton	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Rebecca Wood	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Nell Herbert	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Sadie C. Harmon	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jennifer Lynch	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jennifer E. Raymond	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Trista Lacour	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jessica Fox	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Andrea Moore	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Patrick J. O'Brien	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Spencer Simonsen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Michael Sidgmore	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Bryce Goodman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alexander Best	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Melissa and Ganesh Krishnan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Arvind Venkataramani	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Carol Rossi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Louise Fong/William Bonham	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	The Kemper Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Janis Greenspan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Xin Xiao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Hongxia Li Tsai	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Christina Hellmich	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Catherine Hilary White	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	The Campbell Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jennifer A. Stella	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Omid Mortazavi	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ingrid Ojeda	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Lindsay Bruce	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jennifer Liu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	William Larsen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Utsav Ahuja	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Lindy and Erin Cunningham-McKnight	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Eva Gutierrez and Jason Holzheimer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Steve Susoyev	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Kimberly Quan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Eric H. Panzer	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Dale Nichole Jordan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	David Hurst	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Sergey Dubenko	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Suzanne Paige Sprincin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	The Navarros	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Rebecca Yukelson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Melissa Capria	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Evan Friel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Tracy P. Leone	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Arlene Singer and Barbara Leff	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Chenhung Wu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Kristen Nosky	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Julie L. Campioni	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Vadim Geshel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Sarah Schoellkopf	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Pingshun Huang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Aylene Bao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	John Snyder	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Stephen Forte	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Bryan Quintero	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Joyce Sabel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Gail and Alan Venable	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jeffrey E. Trull	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alexandra Kutik	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Stephanie Dang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Quang Duong	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Xian Ke	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Eileen Norman	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Hornor Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Laurie Fitzgerald	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Sarah Grossman-Swenson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jennifer Wu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Rachel Bonfanti	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jon Doellstedt	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
3/16/2020	Marie Que and John Scarpulla	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Justin Velo	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Mary Prah	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Casey S. Barrett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Lindsay Hershenhorn	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Eloise M. Bates	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Oliver Wilkie	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Rashi King Abramson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Stephanie Rose	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Vlad and Natalie Blumen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Julie Van Vliet	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Adrienne Cianfrocca	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Nicholas Kunst	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Nadia R. Baskett	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Broce Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Benjamin Peters	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ying Chen Chao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Nathan Sheard	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Frank Dario Jones	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Daniel Leffel	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Parissa Sayar	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jasmine Lawrence	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alyson Jacks	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jiasong Huang	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Francis Ellis	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	R. Mark Thornton	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Shotsy C. Faust	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Doyle White	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Pettee Edna	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Caroline Nassif and Stephen Lynch	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Sankaet Pathak	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alice Aronow	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	The Gellners	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Vivien D'Andrea	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Melina A. Wyatt	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Erin M. Leviant	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ben Wu	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Pedro Lima	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Nicole Arata	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Annabelle Ho	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Sarah Thompson	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Danil Panache	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Michael Lee	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	James Reffell	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Lawrence Hosken	\$ 100.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Shabnam Dadkhah	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Nauzli Dadkhah	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Teresa Ono	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Megan Crocker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	David Liao	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Azis Abakirov	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Christopher Nguyen	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Claudia Paz	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ben Tucker	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Thomas Sattler	\$ 100.00	\$ -	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
3/16/2020	Natalia A. Fisher	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Gamvros Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ioannis Yiakoumis	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Helen W. Bentley	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Johannah Goldstein	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Leslie A. Forrester	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Nancy Duan	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Emily Hague	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Annette and Kyle Lapham	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ingrid Flores	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Thoma Grey	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Edward Esslemont	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Brian Lovin	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	The Bastein Family	\$ 100.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Juliana Devries	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alice Dutrut	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Anna M. Zylcz	\$ 75.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ruth and Gene Greenwood	\$ 74.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ben Tucker	\$ 60.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Sheela Chandrasekhara	\$ 51.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Rachael Morton	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Naomi Stoll	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Naomi Stoll	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Sneha Krishna Sankavaram	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Danielle Simpson	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Brian A. Carr	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Yukiko Nishiguchi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Pin-Ya Tseng	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Suejin Kim	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Evan Gelfand	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Sophia Dermoutz	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Oi Man Ng	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ahmet Emre Unal	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alison Murphy	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Rebecca Brown	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Carmen Cole	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alexis Luscutoff	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Laura Yu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ann Cheung	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Daria Maggio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	The Sharma Family	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Michelle Tigchelaar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Raina K. Sheth	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Katherine and John Podesta	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Lijesh Manjacheri	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Mohammad Gowayyed	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Felicia Evans	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Lingamurthy Ravi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Gwynne Stoddart	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Julia Peppiatt	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Karsten Weide	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Xiaotong Chi	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Sam Gould and Alexa Ball	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Lynne Mathison	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Kathryn M. Jereza	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Michael Gangel	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jeffrey J. McClure	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Bailey E. Kass	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alan Magary	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Melissa Woo	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Melissa MacDonald	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Margaret Montgomery	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Michael and Lisa Palmo Moss	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Michael Coren	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Idil Bereket	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Malia Young-Brohn	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Russell West	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ao Xu	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Stephanie Leduc	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Kegan Garrison	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Michael Osofsky	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Daniel B. Fuchs	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Joanna Siegall	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Gabriel and Emma Dover	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Shelley R. Weisbrich	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Frank and Carol Buonagurio	\$ 50.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Yanwen Jiang	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Ahern Family	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Dave Glidden	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Blair L. Sirolli	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Julia Cheng	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Laura Hayes	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jeremy D. Wood	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Hannah Addario-Berry	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Nathan Geer and Colby Croft	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Kevin He	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Theresa Brown	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Shahab Asghar	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Rita Hao	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Earle McCartney	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Rachel M. Alonso	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Stephanie Boudreau	\$ 50.00	\$ -	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/16/2020	Jonathan Hendler	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Christopher Ota	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under



**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Hartley Miller	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Hannah Moskowitz	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Hollis J. Rich	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Heather Sullens	\$ 50.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Daniel Depaolo	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Robert M. Fruchtman	\$ 40.00	\$ -	No financial interest with the City
3/16/2020	Tara Lockhart	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Beth S. Bodner	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Lauren Reda	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Hunter Blankenbaker	\$ 40.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jessica I. Dell	\$ 38.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Leah Swiler	\$ 36.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	D'Anne Duncan	\$ 35.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alla Barkan	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Hayley Wyeth	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Anthony and Lourdes Devigal	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Christian Madden	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Nicholas J. Roberts	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alana N. Fink	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Safa Aliabadi	\$ 30.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Jennifer Collins	\$ 26.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Michael E. Fanning	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alexandra Brown	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Christopher D. Pappas	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Joan Lubamersky	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Julia Bailly	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Alexandra Emrich	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Linda Jordan	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Balakrishna Chennupati	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Nancy A. Tabor	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Connie Kwong	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Thaddeus Ballantine	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Anand and Shikha Chhatpar	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Juli Ann Carter	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Dorit Grunberger	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under



**Give2SF In-Kind Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donated Item	Financial Interest With the City
12/16/2020	Red Bubble	Fabric Face Masks	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
12/16/2020	Private Individual (Gregory Hilkert)	Fabric Face Masks	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
11/16/2020	Rombot	Level 1 Surgical Masks	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
10/22/2020	Amour Vert	Fabric Face Coverings	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
9/15/2020	Vietnam Consulate	Level 1 Surgical Masks	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
9/10/2020	OneMask	Fabric Face Coverings	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
9/2/2020	Vital Proteins	Bottles of water	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
8/18/2020	Amour Vert	Fabric Face Coverings	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
8/18/2020	Medtec (New Taipei City)	Coveralls - White	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
8/18/2020	Medtec (New Taipei City)	Gowns	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
8/18/2020	Medtec (New Taipei City)	Coveralls - White	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
8/18/2020	Missioncare (New Taipei City)	Masks - Surgical	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
8/18/2020	Medtec (New Taipei City)	Shoe Covers	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
8/18/2020	New Taipei City	Face Shields	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/28/2020	Private Individual (John K. Ng)	Surgical Masks	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/23/2020	Saving Face	Face Shields	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/15/2020	PCS	Peakfit 80102V N95 masks	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/15/2020	Ironman Foundation	Non-sterile Face Masks	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/13/2020	Seoul Municipal Government	Medical Grade Coveralls and Shoe Covers	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/13/2020	Seoul Municipal Government	Shoe Covers, 3M Brand, Universal Size	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/13/2020	Amour Vert	Fabric Face Coverings	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/6/2020	Pop's Foundation/SHP Foundation	Disposable Surgical Style Masks	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
7/2/2020	Athleta Inc.	Bandanna Masks	Disclosures will be requested by January 2021
6/16/2020	VM Ware	KN-95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	VM Ware	Hooded Isolation Suits	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Bebin Super Diaper Small 4/40's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Bebin Super Diaper Medium 4/40's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Bebin Super Diaper Large 4/40's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Bebin Super Diaper X-Large 4/40's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Bebin Super Diaper XX-Large 4/40's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/16/2020	Supply Bank	Supply Bank Wet Wipes 12/100's	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/15/2020	Dick's Sporting Goods	Black Strap Cloth Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/10/2020	Timbuk2	Face Coverings	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/9/2020	United Healthcare	Fabric Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Red Cross	Bottles of Water	Disclosure requested, response pending
6/8/2020	Mallory Safety and Supply	Goggles	A contract, grant or lease with Police Department, Recreation and Park Department, SFPUC, SFMTA
6/5/2020	PCS	Hand Sanitizer, Gallons	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/26/2020	SF Chinese Alliance Church	Level 1 Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Marine Layer	Handsewn Fabric Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Bay Area Face Shield Supply	3D Printed Face Shields	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Dry Ice Robotics	3D Printed Face Shields	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Private Individual (Kim Tirva)	40.5-ounce Refills of Foam Sanitizer for LTX-12 Touchless Dispensers	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/22/2020	Timbuk2	Bandannas and Neck Gaiters	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/15/2020	Onfleet	Monthly Software Access From Onfleet	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	New Taipei City	Cloth Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	New Taipei City	Epidemic Protection Face Shields	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	New Taipei City	Impervious Isolation Gowns	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	New Taipei City	Cloth Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/14/2020	PCS	Vented N95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	ICBC US Region	Hazmat Suits (Dupont)	None
5/13/2020	ICBC US Region	Safety Goggles (Condor Model 1VT70)	None
5/13/2020	Shanghai Hongbo Investment & Management (Group) Co., Ltd.	Disposable Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF In-Kind Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

<b>Gift Date</b>	<b>Donor Name</b>	<b>Donated Item</b>	<b>Financial Interest With the City</b>
5/13/2020	ICBC US Region	KN95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	China Mobile International (USA)	Surgical Mask w/ Ear Loops	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Greenwich Terrace Householding	1 Gal. RX 20/20 Hand Sanitizer, 80% Alcohol	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/13/2020	Vietnam Consulate General	Fabric Face Coverings	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/12/2020	Culk	Fabric Face Masks	None
5/12/2020	Private Individual (Lou and Suzanne Giraudo)	Boxes of Milton's Gluten-Free Crackers	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/12/2020	Private Individual (Lou and Suzanne Giraudo)	Chocolate Chip Cookies	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Dickinson Corp.	3D Printed Face Shields	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/11/2020	Timbuk2 X CNBC	Face Coverings	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	Private Individual (Yan Xiao)	Medical Gown	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	Private Individual (Yan Xiao)	Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/8/2020	SF Chinese Alliance Church	Level 1 Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/6/2020	Global Office	Face Shields	None
5/6/2020	HUB Group	Large Refrigerated Truck	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/5/2020	Private Individual (Jonica Little)	3D Printed Face Shields	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	BELLA+CANVAS	Fabric Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Veritas Investments	Level 1 Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Veritas Investments	3M N95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	Veritas Investments	San Huei N95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	EO Essentials	EO 40-ounce Unscented Hand Sanitizer	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/4/2020	SF Public Health Foundation	Boxed Lunch	A contract, grant or lease with Department of Public Health
5/1/2020	Marine Layer	Handsewn Fabric Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	Private Individual (Colette Vance-Wright)	Fabric Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
5/1/2020	WestPoint Home	Fabric Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/29/2020	Timbuk2 X CNBC	Timbuk2 Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Shanghai City Government	1,200 N95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	Shanghai City Government	20,000 KN95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/28/2020	RealReal	Level 1 Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/27/2020	Consulate General of the People's Republic of China	Escalier 3-Ply Single-Use Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Private Individual (Nikcole Cunningham)	Sunny Care Gloves, Size M	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Feysan Lodde	2 Pallets of 16.9-ounce Water Bottles	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Feysan Lodde	1 Pallet of Gallon Water Drums	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/24/2020	Hint, Inc.	16-ounce Water Bottles	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Private Individual (Guo Zi Dai)	Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/22/2020	Comcast	Large Tote Bags	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	WestPoint Home	Masks from WestPoint Home	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Private Individual (Nga Pham)	OEKO-TEX, Standard 100	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/21/2020	Timbuk2 X NBC Sports	Timbuk2 Face Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Timbuk2	Timbuk2 Bandannas	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Kerogen Capital	Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/20/2020	Fanatics	Surgical Mask w/ Ear Loops	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	DWU Consulting LLC	Standard Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	FitnessSF	FitnessSF Yowies	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Veritas Investments	Anphu Pharma and Medical Surgical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Veritas Investments	3M N95 8210 and 8710 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Veritas Investments	Anphu Pharma Medical Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/17/2020	Veritas Investments	3M N95/8710 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Consulate General of the People's Republic of China	Escalier 3-Ply Single-Use Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/16/2020	Apple	Face Shields	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/15/2020	Private Individual (Laurie Green)	3D Starbuss N95 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending

**Give2SF In-Kind Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

<b>Gift Date</b>	<b>Donor Name</b>	<b>Donated Item</b>	<b>Financial Interest With the City</b>
4/14/2020	Comcast	Cloth Bags	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Elite Supply Source	Vinyl Aprons, Cordova #RA0bc48, Clear, Tied String, Sewn Edges	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/13/2020	Elite Supply Source	Disposable Sleeves, Cordova #PS18W2 White, 8-inch	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	PCS	Peakfit N95 Particulate Respirators	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/10/2020	PCS	Shoe Covers	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/9/2020	RainBeau	Handsewn Fabric Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	AlterEco	Alter Eco Chocolates (Cases)	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Seamsters Union	Handsewn Fabric Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/7/2020	Levi's	Handsewn Fabric Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/6/2020	Apple	Disposable Particulate Filter Respirators (Safety Director: 305050A NIOSH-approved)	Disclosure requested, response pending
4/1/2020	One Medical	COVID-19 Test Kits (Swabs and Testing Media)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Dolby Laboratories	Waxie Shield Nitrile Powder-Free General-Purpose Gloves (W8644S) - Multiple Sizes	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Dolby Laboratories	SAS N95 Particulate Respirators (8625)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Endless West Spirits	Gallon Hand Sanitizer	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Endless West Spirits	Gallon Hand Sanitizer	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/27/2020	Apple	Epic 40578-RS5 Masks with Ear Loop	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Clorox Bleach Cannisters	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Tuff Grip Gloves (Nitrile, XL)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Tuff Grip Gloves (Nitrile, L)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Condor Safety Eyewear	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Hand Sanitizer Dispensers	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Germ Attack Hand Sanitizer, Gallon Refills	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Facebook	Self-Priming Filter, FDA Approved, NIOSH-Approved Respirators	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	DoorDash	Uline S-9632 Standard Respirators	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Asian Art Museum Foundation	Purple Nitrile Gloves, Kimberley Clark, Medium	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/25/2020	Asian Art Museum Foundation	Sperian One-Fit Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Roddy Lindsay	2 ounce CleanSF Sanitizer	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	Sperian One-Fit W1400 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	UniAir SH3500 Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	3-in-1 Valumax Disposable Ear Loop Masks	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	Shoma Gloves (M)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	Shoma Gloves (L)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	Tuff Grip (Nitrile, L)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Facebook	Tuff Grip (Nitrile, XL)	Disclosure requested, response pending
3/20/2020	Flexport LLC	Gloves	None
3/20/2020	Flexport LLC	Medical Gowns	None
3/20/2020	Flexport LLC	Surgical Masks	None
3/20/2020	Flexport LLC	Thermometers	None

**Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 12/31/2020**

Gift Date	Donor Name	Donation Amount		Financial Interest With the City
		Directly by Give2SF	Through SF Foundation	
3/16/2020	Zachary Subin	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under
3/16/2020	Travis Dittenber	\$ 25.00	\$ -	N/A - Financial interest disclosure not required for donations \$100 and under

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Annual Report for File 190679  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:29:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Delegated Loan Reporting 2020.pdf](#)  
[19-20 Delegated Loans.pdf](#)

---

---

**From:** Chan, Amy (MYR) <amy.chan@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 6, 2021 10:08 AM  
**To:** Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Annual Report for File 190679

Hi Alisa and Eileen,

Happy New Year.

Please distribute the attached FY19-20 report on Admin Code Chap 120 loans and grants as required by File 190679.

Thanks,

Amy

Amy Chan

Director of Policy and Legislative Affairs

Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development  
1 South Van Ness, 5th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103

wfh: 415.326.3978 fax: [415.701.5501](tel:415.701.5501)  
[amy.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:amy.chan@sfgov.org)

**Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development**  
City and County of San Francisco



**London N. Breed**  
Mayor

**Eric D. Shaw**  
Director

January 6, 2021

To: Mayor London Breed; Board of Supervisors  
From: Eric Shaw, Director  
CC: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Re: Annual Report on Chapter 120 Loan and Grant Agreements (File #190679)

---

City Ordinance 202-19 delegated authority to MOHCD Director to enter into the following agreements under Chapter 120 of the Administrative Code:

- Loans or grants that do not exceed \$10M under Homeownership, Multifamily or Acquisition Programs
- Local Operating Subsidy Program (LOSP) grants subject to annual appropriation by the Board of Supervisors
- Emergency life/safety loans exceeding \$10M subject to availability of funds and notification to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors

The Ordinance requires the Director to report annually to the Board of Supervisors with a summary of all loans and grants made under Chapter 120. Enclosed is the summary for fiscal year 2019-2020.

MOHCD is pleased to report that the department closed on 60 loans or grants to support 100% affordable housing projects, public housing redevelopment, and first-time homebuyers, including first responders and educators. Delegated authority enables the department to deliver funding expediently for affordable housing while maintaining long-term affordability restrictions.

**MOHCD Delegated Authority Loans, Multifamily, FY19-20**

Project Type	Project	Funded Loan Amount	Loan Agreement Date	Funding Sources	Repayment mechanism	Term	Interest Rate
Acquisitions	1411 Florida St	\$3,765,000	7/22/19	PASS: 2016 GO Bonds; SSP: 2015 GO Bonds, AHF, CCP	deferred, amortizing, residual receipts	40 yrs	1.39%-5.17%
Acquisitions	3280 17th St	\$9,452,000	7/22/19	PASS: 2016 GO bond; SSP: 2015 GO Bond, HTF	deferred, amortizing, residual receipts	40 yrs	1.39%-5.17%
Acquisitions	462 Green St	\$1,170,000	9/20/19	PASS: 2016 GO Bond; SSP: AHF Incl	amortizing, deferred, residual receipts	40 yrs	1.39%-5.17%
Acquisitions	305 San Carlos St	\$6,133,000	10/18/19	PASS: 2016 GO Bond; SSP: ERAF	amortizing, residual receipts	40 yrs	1.39%-5.17%
Acquisitions	65-69 Woodward St	\$3,647,000	11/27/19	PASS: 2016 Go Bond; SSP: ERAF	amortizing, deferred, residual receipts	40 yrs	1.39%-5.17%
Acquisitions	654-658 Capp St	\$4,193,000	12/4/19	PASS: 2016 GO Bond; SSP: ERAF	amortizing, deferred, residual receipts	40 yrs	1.39%-5.17%
Acquisitions	3182-3198 24th St	\$9,620,000	1/8/20	HTF	residual receipts	30 years	3%
Acquisitions	2153-2157 Grove St	\$3,940,000	1/17/20	SSP	deferred	30 yrs	3%
Acquisitions	520 Shrader St	\$4,701,000	3/6/20	PASS: 2-2016 GO Bond; SSP 2015 GO Bond, HTF	deferred	40 yrs	1.39%-5.17%
Acquisitions	70-72c Belcher St	\$1,201,000	3/27/20	PASS: 2016 GO Bond; SSP: AHF Incl	amortizing, deferred	40 yrs	1.39%-5.17%
Acquisitions	South Park Scattered Sites	\$3,300,000	5/15/20	CDBG, SSSCSF, HTF, PASS: 2016 GO Bond	residual receipts	57 years & 40 years	1.39%-5.17%
Multifamily	180 Jones	\$2,500,000	11/27/19	AHF & 180 Jones Fund	residual receipts	57 yrs	3%
Multifamily	FSK Annex 1360 43rd Ave	\$3,000,000	12/31/19	2015 GO Bond; 75 Howard Gift Fund	residual receipts	57 yrs	3%
Multifamily	1990 Folsom	\$1,284,000	12/31/19	2015 GO Bond; 75 Howard Gift Fund	residual receipts	57 yrs	2%
Multifamily	2340 San Jose - Upper Yard	\$4,300,000	1/6/20	AHF Inclusionary	residual receipts	57 yrs	0%
Multifamily	78 Haight St - Parcel U	\$2,600,250	1/20/20	ERAF	deferred	57 yrs	3%
Multifamily	266 4th St	\$3,000,000	1/20/20	Downtown Neighborhood Preservation Fund	residual receipts	4 yrs	3%
Multifamily	600 7th St	\$3,500,000	3/27/20	ERAF and EN SOMA	deferred	57 yrs	3%
Multifamily	1415 Scott - Midtown Park Apts	\$2,000,000	8/22/19	CPMC, CDBG and HTF	residual receipts	55 yrs	3%
Multifamily	Sunnydale HOPE SF Ph 1A3	\$5,800,000	8/19/19	2015 GO Bond, HTF	deferred	57 yrs	0%
Multifamily	Sunnydale Master Planning	\$236,000	12/31/19	2015 GO Bond; 75 Howard Gift Fund	residual receipts	55 yrs	0%
Multifamily	1845 25th St - Potrero HOPE SF Phase II Block B	\$2,206,907	12/31/19	2015 GO Bond; 75 Howard Gift Fund	residual receipts	57 yrs	3%
Multifamily	Sunnydale Block 3A	\$1,850,000	1/6/20	2015 GO Bond; 75 Howard Gift Fund	deferred	57 yrs	3%
Multifamily	Sunnydale Block 3B	\$1,850,000	1/6/20	2015 GO Bond; 75 Howard Gift Fund	deferred	57 yrs	3%
Multifamily	SFHA Scattered Sites	\$2,500,000	2/7/20	HTF	deferred	2 yrs	3%
Multifamily	Hayes Valley IV South - 401 Rose St	\$2,021,668	6/22/20	LMIHAF	residual receipts	57 yrs	3%

### MOHCD Delegated Authority Loans, Homeownership, FY19-20

Loan Program	Principal Amount	Funding Source	Term (Years)	Grant	Interest Rate (Shared Appreciation/Simple Interest/Forgivable)	% Share Appreciation	Closing Date
DALP	\$232,000	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	23%	8/7/19
DALP	\$350,000	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	27%	8/6/19
DALP	\$375,000	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	36%	8/12/19
DALP	\$240,000	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	44%	8/20/19
DALP	\$292,500	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	33%	8/15/19
DALP	\$297,900	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	45%	8/21/19
DALP	\$371,000	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	35%	9/17/19
DALP	\$331,500	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	38%	9/27/19
DALP	\$246,000	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	34%	10/31/19
DALP	\$335,500	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	30%	10/31/19
DALP	\$273,500	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	41%	12/11/19
DALP	\$375,000	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	32%	11/27/19
DALP	\$375,000	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	27%	12/9/19
DALP	\$374,940	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	45%	12/11/19
DALP	\$360,000	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	44%	2/4/20
DALP	\$375,000	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	40%	3/6/20
DALP	\$292,500	2015 GO Bond (BD15)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	27%	4/29/20
CSLP	\$261,000	City 2nd (CSLP)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	45%	12/10/19
CSLP	\$185,000	City 2nd (CSLP)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	29%	12/23/19
CSLP	\$375,000	City 2nd (CSLP)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	38%	2/18/20
CSLP	\$244,000	City 2nd (CSLP)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	35%	4/30/20
FRDALP	\$274,500	Housing Trust Fund (HTF)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	22%	7/15/19
EDALP	\$256,000	2015 GO Bond (TND)	30	No	Shared Appreciation	39%	7/3/19
TND	\$40,000	2015 GO Bond (TND)	10	Yes	Forgivable	N/A	7/3/19
TND	\$20,000	2015 GO Bond (TND)	10	Yes	Forgivable	N/A	7/1/19
TND	\$20,000	2015 GO Bond (TND)	10	Yes	Forgivable	N/A	1/29/20
TND	\$40,000	2015 GO Bond (TND)	10	Yes	Forgivable	N/A	4/30/20
BMR DALP	\$28,910	1996 Prop A Bond	30	No	Shared Appreciation	10%	7/31/19
BMR DALP	\$13,000	1996 Prop A Bond	30	No	Shared Appreciation	3%	8/16/19
BMR DALP	\$52,371	1996 Prop A Bond	30	No	Shared Appreciation	15%	8/28/19
BMR DALP	\$17,500	CalHome Mortgage Assistance	30	No	Shared Appreciation	5%	1/15/20
BMR DALP	\$31,575	CalHome Mortgage Assistance	30	No	Shared Appreciation	21%	1/21/20
BMR DALP	\$30,000	Condo Conversion	30	No	Shared Appreciation	6%	4/14/20
BMR DALP	\$16,000	CalHome Mortgage Assistance	30	No	Shared Appreciation	9%	6/23/20
MALP/HELP	No Closed & Funded Loans during FY 2019-2020						
COVID-19 HELP	No Closed & Funded Loans during FY 2019-2020						

### Summary

Loan Programs	Sum of Principal Amount	Count of Loans
BMR DALP	\$189,356	7
CSLP	\$1,065,000	4
DALP	\$5,497,340	17
EDALP	\$256,000	1
FRDALP	\$274,500	1
TND	\$120,000	4
Grand Total	\$7,402,196	34



## MOHCD Homeownership Loan Assistance Programs

Loan Programs	Primary Purpose	Targeted Population	Income Limit	Maximum Loan Amount	Program Webpage
<b>Downpayment Assistance Loan Program (DALP)</b>	Providing down payment assistance, in the form of a deferred payment loan, to qualified first time homebuyer households to purchase a market-rate principal residence in San Francisco.	General Public	175%	\$375,000	<a href="https://sfmohcd.org/dalp-details">https://sfmohcd.org/dalp-details</a>
<b>First Responders Downpayment Assistance Loan Program (FRDALP)</b>	Providing down payment assistance, in the form of a deferred payment loan, to qualified first time homebuyer households to purchase a market-rate principal residence in San Francisco.	First Responders: uniformed, sworn members of the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD), San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) and San Francisco Sheriff's Department (SFSD) households	200%	\$375,000	<a href="https://sfmohcd.org/first-responders-downpayment-assistance-loan-program-frdalp">https://sfmohcd.org/first-responders-downpayment-assistance-loan-program-frdalp</a>
<b>Educators Downpayment Assistance Loan Program (EDALP)</b>	Providing down payment assistance, in the form of a deferred payment loan, to qualified first time homebuyer households to purchase a market-rate principal residence in San Francisco.	Educators employed with San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD) households	200%	\$375,000	<a href="https://sfmohcd.org/educators-dalp">https://sfmohcd.org/educators-dalp</a>
<b>City Second Loan Program (CSLP)</b>	Providing down payment assistance, in the form of a deferred payment loan, to qualified first time homebuyer households to a certain market-rate principal residence in San Francisco through the city's right of first refusal.	General Public	120%	\$375,000	<a href="https://sfmohcd.org/city-second-details">https://sfmohcd.org/city-second-details</a>
<b>Below Market Rate Downpayment Assistance Loan Program (BMR DALP)</b>	Providing down payment assistance, in the form of a deferred payment loan, to qualified first time homebuyer households to purchase a home at below market price as principal residence in San Francisco.	General Public	120%	\$100,000 or 20% of the sales price	<a href="https://sfmohcd.org/bmr-dalp">https://sfmohcd.org/bmr-dalp</a>
<b>Teacher Next Door (TND)</b>	Providing down payment assistance, in the form of a forgivable grant, to qualified first time homebuyer households to a market-rate or below-market-rate principal residence in San Francisco through the city's right of first refusal.	Educators employed with San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD) households	200%	\$40,000 for market-rate properties; or \$20,000 for BMR properties	<a href="https://sfmohcd.org/teacher-next-door">https://sfmohcd.org/teacher-next-door</a>
<b>Homeowner Emergency Loan Program (HELP, formerly MALP)</b>	Providing a deferred payment loan to qualified San Francisco homeowners in need of a one-time emergency financial assistance due to an unforeseen financial hardship.	San Francisco Homeowners	120%	\$50,000	<a href="https://sfmohcd.org/help-loan">https://sfmohcd.org/help-loan</a>
<b>COVID-19 Homeowner Emergency Loan Program (COVID-19 HELP)</b>	Providing a deferred payment loan to qualified San Francisco homeowners who are struggling to make housing payments due to financial hardship caused by COVID-19.	San Francisco Homeowners	120%	\$25,000	<a href="https://sfmohcd.org/COVID19HELP">https://sfmohcd.org/COVID19HELP</a>

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Police Commission Resolution 21-1 Election of Officers  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 2:19:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [res 21-1 election of officers1.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Tom, Risa (POL) <[risa.tom@sfgov.org](mailto:risa.tom@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 12:06 PM  
**To:** Breed, London (MYR) <[london.breed@sfgov.org](mailto:london.breed@sfgov.org)>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <[Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org](mailto:Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org)>; Reynolds, Sondra (POL) <[sondra.reynolds@sfgov.org](mailto:sondra.reynolds@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Police Commission Resolution 21-1 Election of Officers

Honorable Mayor Breed and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Please see attached Police Commission Resolution 21-1, Election of Police Commission Officers.

Thank you,  
Risa

Risa Tom  
 SF Police Commission  
 1245 Third Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94158  
 415-837-7072  
[Risa.tom@sfgov.org](mailto:Risa.tom@sfgov.org)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use of disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws, including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.



# The Police Commission

---

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

January 8, 2021

Honorable Mayor London Breed  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
#1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors  
#1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Breed and Supervisors:

At the meeting of the Police Commission on Wednesday, January 6, 2021, the following resolution was adopted:

### **RESOLUTION NO. 21-1**

#### **ELECTION OF PRESIDENT OF THE POLICE COMMISSION**

RESOLVED, that Commissioner Malia Cohen shall serve as President of the San Francisco Police Commission for a term of one year.

AYES: Commissioners DeJesus, Elias, Hamasaki, Brookter, Cohen

#### **ELECTION OF VICE PRESIDENT OF THE POLICE COMMISSION**

RESOLVED, that Commissioner Cindy Elias shall serve as Vice President of the San Francisco Police Commission for a term of one year.

AYES: Commissioners DeJesus, Elias, Hamasaki, Brookter, Cohen

Very truly yours,

  
Sergeant Stacy Youngblood  
Secretary  
San Francisco Police Commission

MALIA COHEN  
President

CINDY ELIAS  
Vice President

PETRA DeJESUS  
Commissioner

JOHN HAMASAKI  
Commissioner

DION-JAY BROOKTER  
Commissioner

---

Sergeant Stacy Youngblood  
Secretary

1121/rct

# COVID-19 Vaccine FAQs

## About the Vaccine

### How do COVID-19 vaccines work?

Vaccines work with your body's natural defenses so your body will be ready to fight the virus if you are exposed. This is also called immunity. COVID-19 vaccination works by teaching your immune system how to recognize and fight the virus that causes COVID-19, and this protects you from getting sick with the virus.

To learn more about how vaccines



work, see: [www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/different-vaccines/how-they-work.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/different-vaccines/how-they-work.html)

### Will the vaccine give me COVID-19?

No. There is no live virus in the vaccines, so they can't infect you.

### How did they make the vaccine so quickly?

Many pharmaceutical companies invested significant resources into

quickly developing a vaccine for COVID-19 because of the world-wide impact of the pandemic. Both Pfizer and Moderna used similar processes when developing their vaccine. Even though COVID-19 is new, these types of viruses (called coronaviruses) have been studied since the 1960s. This knowledge helped scientists understand the virus to make a vaccine.

### How do we really know if COVID-19 vaccines are safe?

The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) reviews all data before recommending any COVID-19 vaccine.

More than 70,000 people of different ages, races, ethnicities, and medical conditions participated in clinical trials to make sure the vaccines meet safety standards and offer the protections we need.



For more on ensuring the safety of COVID-19 vaccines, see: [www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety.html)



## Is the COVID-19 vaccine safe for children?

The focus of COVID-19 vaccine development has been on adults. Pfizer's vaccine has been authorized for ages 16 and up. Moderna's vaccine is currently authorized for ages 18 and up.

## Will a COVID-19 vaccine alter my DNA?

No. The COVID-19 vaccine has mRNA in it and is not able to change a person's genetic makeup (DNA). The vaccine never enters the nucleus of the cell, which is where our DNA are kept. This means the vaccine does not affect or interact with our DNA in any way.

## Do either of these vaccines have mercury, aluminum, or formaldehyde in them?

No. The vaccines that are currently available do not have mercury, aluminum, formaldehyde, or preservatives.

For information on the ingredients of the vaccines, see:



Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine EUA Fact Sheet for Recipients and Caregivers (Pfizer): [www.fda.gov/media/144414/download](https://www.fda.gov/media/144414/download)



Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine EUA Fact Sheet for Recipients and Caregivers (Moderna): [www.fda.gov/media/144638/download](https://www.fda.gov/media/144638/download)

## Does the vaccine sterilize women?

No. Stories and claims on social media and anti-vaccination websites saying that the vaccine interferes with the formation of the placenta are false. There is no evidence the vaccine would result in sterilization of women.

# Getting Vaccinated

## When will I get vaccinated?

The federal and state government is overseeing the distribution of the vaccination. Most vaccines are being distributed directly to healthcare providers. Due to the limited amount of vaccine, the state of California developed a prioritization plan for who gets the vaccine when, based on their risk of getting COVID-19.



For more on the priority plan, see: [covid19.ca.gov/vaccines/#When-can-I-get-vaccinated](https://covid19.ca.gov/vaccines/#When-can-I-get-vaccinated)

## How can I get the COVID-19 vaccine?

Most people will get vaccinated through their healthcare providers.

If you are a healthcare worker, contact your employer.

If you are a Long-Term Care Facilities



(LTCF) resident, contact your caretaker.

In many parts of the state, CVS and Walgreen pharmacies will administer the vaccines to residents in long-term care and staff.

### **What happens when I get the vaccine?**

The vaccines currently available require two shots spaced 3–4 weeks apart. The first shot helps your body recognize the virus and helps prepare your immune system, and the second shot strengthens that immune response.

### **What happens if I only get 1 shot?**

You need both shots to be fully protected. We strongly recommend that you get both shots.

### **How much does it cost?**

Vaccine doses purchased with U.S. taxpayer dollars will be given to the American people at no cost. However, vaccination providers will be able to charge an administration fee for giving the shot to someone. Vaccine providers can get this fee reimbursed by the patient's public or private insurance company or, for uninsured patients, by the Health Resources and Services Administration's Provider Relief Fund.

### **Will I be able to choose which vaccine I get?**

No. The vaccine you get will be based on what your provider has available. The Pfizer and Moderna vaccines are almost identical. There are no differences in how well they work or their safety.

### **Is taking the vaccine mandatory?**

No, but you are strongly encouraged to get the vaccine once it's available.

### **Do I need to have a COVID-19 test before I get the vaccine?**

No, you do not need a COVID-19 test before getting a vaccine.

### **I have allergies. Should I take the vaccine?**

CDC recommends that people with a history of severe allergic reactions not related to vaccines or injectable medications — such as food, pet, venom, environmental, or latex allergies — get vaccinated. If you have had a severe allergic reaction to any ingredient in an mRNA COVID-19 vaccine, you should not get either of the currently available mRNA COVID-19 vaccines. If you had a severe allergic reaction after getting the first dose of an mRNA COVID-19 vaccine, CDC recommends that you should not get the second dose.





## Is there any reason I shouldn't get the vaccine?

Because of age, health conditions, or other factors, some people should not get certain vaccines or should wait before getting them.

To learn more about who should NOT get the vaccine, see:



[www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/info-by-product/clinical-considerations.html](https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/info-by-product/clinical-considerations.html)

## Do I have to get a vaccine if I've already had COVID-19?

Due to the severe health risks associated with COVID-19 and the fact that re-infection with COVID-19 is possible, people may be advised to get a COVID-19 vaccine even if they have been sick with COVID-19 before.

At this time, experts do not know how long someone is protected from getting sick again after recovering from COVID-19. The protection someone gains from having an infection (called natural immunity) varies depending on the disease, and it varies from person to person. Since this virus is new, we don't know how long natural immunity might last. So far reinfection has been rare.

## If I'm pregnant or breastfeeding, should I get the vaccine?

According to the CDC, we don't yet have information about how safe it is

for people who are pregnant to get a vaccine for COVID-19. However, they do state that if you are breastfeeding or are pregnant and are part of a group recommended to receive the vaccine, you may choose to be vaccinated. We suggest you talk with your health care provider so you can make an informed decision.

## Do I still have to wear a mask after getting the vaccine?

Yes. It's possible for you to spread the virus even after getting the vaccine. The vaccine is designed to stop the virus from making you sick. We don't yet know if the vaccine will stop you from spreading the virus.

# Vaccine Effectiveness

## Do the vaccines work differently or have different side effects for people of diverse ages, racial backgrounds, sexes, and other differences?

Trial results have shown that the vaccines are safe and work well for adults of all ages, races, genders, and ethnic backgrounds.



## How long will the COVID-19 vaccine last?

The research is not complete on this. Further research will tell us more about how long immunity lasts and if people will need more shots in the future.

## Can I still get COVID after I get the vaccine?

It's possible. While both vaccines are shown to be highly effective in preventing people from getting sick from the virus (90-95%), you can still get COVID-19 and be sick even if you get the vaccination. Most studies show that most people who get the vaccine, get less sick than those who do not get the vaccine. But until we can vaccinate everyone and because we don't know if the vaccine can stop you from spreading the virus, it's important to continue wearing a mask, avoiding crowds, socially distancing, and washing your hands frequently.

## What to Expect After Vaccination

### What are the side effects?

The vaccines may cause side effects in some people. For most people, these side effects will last no longer than a day or two.

### Possible side effects include:

On the arm where you got the shot:

- Pain, redness, and swelling

Throughout the rest of your body:

- Fever
- Chills
- Headache
- Tiredness
- Joint and body aches

To reduce pain and discomfort where you got the shot:

- Apply a clean, cool, wet washcloth over the area
- Use or exercise your arm

To reduce other symptoms, talk to your doctor about taking an over-the-counter medication such as Tylenol or Ibuprofen.

Side effects are a sign that the vaccine is working to help teach your body how to fight COVID-19 if you are exposed. They do NOT mean you have COVID-19. You can't get COVID-19 from the vaccine. If you have questions about your health after your shot, call your doctor, nurse, health care provider or clinic.

### Are there long-term side effects from COVID-19 vaccine?

Because all COVID-19 vaccines are new, it will take more time and more people getting vaccinated to learn about very rare or possible long-term side effects.





# Vaccine Planning

## When can we get the COVID-19 vaccination?

The state is determining who is getting vaccinated and when. They have outlined a plan based who is most at risk at contracting the virus.

The state has divided vaccine allocation into phases. Currently, California has only defined Phase 1a, which is split into three tiers and covers more than 80,000 people in San Francisco. It includes frontline healthcare workers at highest risk of being exposed to COVID-19 from their job, such as: acute care nurses, doctors, janitors, EMTs, and paramedics. It also includes residents of skilled nursing facilities, assisted living facilities, and similar settings for older or medically-vulnerable individuals.

## Who will get the COVID-19 vaccine first?

The state plan for vaccination provides the vaccine to those most at risk of getting the COVID-19 from their job and as well as older or vulnerable people. The plan has been split into phases (1a, 1b, 1c) and within those phases are tiers. San Francisco is currently in Phase 1a. More than 80,000 people in San Francisco are in Phase 1a.

## Phase 1a has 3 tiers.

See [www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/CDPH-Allocation-Guidelines-for-COVID-19-Vaccine-During-Phase-1A-Recommendations.aspx](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/CDPH-Allocation-Guidelines-for-COVID-19-Vaccine-During-Phase-1A-Recommendations.aspx)



### Tier 1

- Acute care, psychiatric, and correctional facility hospitals
- Skilled nursing facilities
- First responders (paramedics, EMTs)
- Dialysis centers

### Tier 2

- Intermediate care facilities
- Home health care and in-home supportive services
- Community health workers
- Public health field staff
- Primary and urgent care clinics

### Tier 3

- Specialty clinics
- Laboratory workers
- Dental and other oral health clinics
- Pharmacy staff not working in settings at higher tiers





Phase 1b is proposed but has not been approved. You can find updates here: [covid19.ca.gov/vaccines](https://covid19.ca.gov/vaccines)

### 1b Tier One:

- Individuals 75 and older
- Those at risk of exposure at work in the following sectors: education, childcare, emergency services, and food and agriculture

### 1b Tier Two:

- Individuals 65–74 years of age
- Those at risk of exposure at work in the following sectors: transportation and logistics; industrial, commercial, residential, and sheltering facilities and services; critical manufacturing
- Congregate settings with outbreak risk: incarcerated and homeless

## Other Links:

There are several safety monitoring systems set up in the US, including:



Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS): [vaers.hhs.gov](https://vaers.hhs.gov)



Clinical Immunization Safety Assessment Project (CISA): [www.cdc.gov/vaccine-safety/ensuringsafety/monitoring/cisa/index.html](https://www.cdc.gov/vaccine-safety/ensuringsafety/monitoring/cisa/index.html)



Vaccine safety datalink: [www.cdc.gov/vaccine-safety/ensuringsafety/monitoring/vsd/index.html](https://www.cdc.gov/vaccine-safety/ensuringsafety/monitoring/vsd/index.html)



“V-safe”: [www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety.html)



For additional and updated information about vaccine allocations, visit the California Department of Public Health’s website: [www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/COVID-19Vaccine.aspx](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/COVID-19Vaccine.aspx)



### San Francisco Vaccine Information

To learn more about vaccines in San Francisco, visit: [sf.gov/covidvaccine](https://sf.gov/covidvaccine)



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for December 2020  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 4:09:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for December 2020.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Dion, Ichieh (TTX) <ichieh.dion@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 15, 2021 10:28 AM  
**Subject:** CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for December 2020

All-

Please find the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for the month of December attached for your use.

Regards,

Ichieh Dion  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 140  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
415-554-5433

Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector  
City and County of San Francisco

Tajel Shah, Chief Assistant Treasurer  
Robert L. Shaw, CFA, Chief Investment Officer



José Cisneros, Treasurer

Investment Report for the month of December 2020

January 15, 2021

The Honorable London N. Breed  
Mayor of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

The Honorable Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

Colleagues,

In accordance with the provisions of California State Government Code, Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's pooled fund portfolio as of December 31, 2020. These investments provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months and are in compliance with our statement of investment policy and California Code.

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for the month of December 2020 for the portfolios under the Treasurer's management. All pricing and valuation data is obtained from Interactive Data Corporation.

**CCSF Pooled Fund Investment Earnings Statistics \***

(in \$ million)	Current Month		Prior Month	
	Fiscal YTD	December 2020	Fiscal YTD	November 2020
Average Daily Balance	\$ 11,453	\$ 12,529	\$ 11,235	\$ 11,427
Net Earnings	49.33	7.08	42.25	7.48
Earned Income Yield	0.85%	0.67%	0.90%	0.80%

**CCSF Pooled Fund Statistics \***

(in \$ million)	% of	Book	Market	Wtd. Avg.	Wtd. Avg.	
Investment Type	Portfolio	Value	Value	Coupon	YTM	WAM
U.S. Treasuries	63.43%	\$ 8,137.4	\$ 8,147.5	0.50%	0.38%	137
Federal Agencies	22.46%	2,849.3	2,885.2	1.34%	1.36%	371
State & Local Government						
Agency Obligations	0.44%	56.4	57.1	2.35%	2.56%	104
Public Time Deposits	0.31%	40.0	40.0	0.18%	0.18%	123
Negotiable CDs	2.49%	320.0	320.3	0.87%	0.87%	48
Medium Term Notes	0.04%	5.0	5.0	3.05%	3.08%	8
Money Market Funds	7.64%	981.9	981.9	0.01%	0.01%	1
Supranationals	3.18%	405.8	408.7	0.74%	1.91%	469
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 12,795.8</b>	<b>\$ 12,845.7</b>	<b>0.71%</b>	<b>0.64%</b>	<b>187</b>

In the remainder of this report, we provide additional information and analytics at the security-level and portfolio-level, as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "José Cisneros", written over a horizontal line.

José Cisneros  
Treasurer

cc: Treasury Oversight Committee: Aimee Brown, Kevin Kone, Brenda Kwee McNulty, Eric Sandler, Meghan Wallace  
Ben Rosenfield - Controller, Office of the Controller  
Mark de la Rosa - Acting Audits Director, Office of the Controller  
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance  
San Francisco County Transportation Authority  
San Francisco Public Library  
San Francisco Health Service System

# Portfolio Summary

## Pooled Fund

As of December 31, 2020

<i>(in \$ million)</i>							
<b>Security Type</b>	<b>Par Value</b>	<b>Book Value</b>	<b>Market Value</b>	<b>Market/Book Price</b>	<b>Current % Allocation</b>	<b>Max. Policy Allocation</b>	<b>Compliant?</b>
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 8,123.9	\$ 8,137.4	\$ 8,147.5	100.12	63.43%	100%	Yes
Federal Agencies	2,849.4	2,849.3	2,885.2	101.26	22.46%	100%	Yes
State & Local Government							
Agency Obligations	56.7	56.4	57.1	101.14	0.44%	20%	Yes
Public Time Deposits	40.0	40.0	40.0	100.00	0.31%	100%	Yes
Negotiable CDs	320.0	320.0	320.3	100.09	2.49%	30%	Yes
Bankers Acceptances	-	-	-	-	0.00%	40%	Yes
Commercial Paper	-	-	-	-	0.00%	25%	Yes
Medium Term Notes	5.0	5.0	5.0	100.08	0.04%	25%	Yes
Repurchase Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	10%	Yes
Reverse Repurchase/ Securities Lending Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$75mm	Yes
Money Market Funds - Government	981.9	981.9	981.9	100.00	7.64%	20%	Yes
LAIF	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$50mm	Yes
Supranationals	407.1	405.8	408.7	100.72	3.18%	30%	Yes
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 12,784.1</b>	<b>\$ 12,795.8</b>	<b>\$ 12,845.7</b>	<b>100.39</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>Yes</b>

The City and County of San Francisco uses the following methodology to determine compliance: Compliance is pre-trade and calculated on both a par and market value basis, using the result with the lowest percentage of the overall portfolio value. Cash balances are included in the City's compliance calculations.

Please note the information in this report does not include cash balances. Due to fluctuations in the market value of the securities held in the Pooled Fund and changes in the City's cash position, the allocation limits may be exceeded on a post-trade compliance basis. In these instances, no compliance violation has occurred, as the policy limits were not exceeded prior to trade execution. The full Investment Policy can be found at <https://sftreasurer.org/banking-investments/investments>

Totals may not add due to rounding.


# City and County of San Francisco

## Pooled Fund Portfolio Statistics

For the month ended December 31, 2020

Average Daily Balance	\$12,529,250,889
Net Earnings	\$7,078,141
Earned Income Yield	0.67%
Weighted Average Maturity	187 days

<b>Investment Type</b>	<b>Par Value</b>	<b>Book Value</b>	<b>Market Value</b>
	<i>(\$ million)</i>		
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 8,123.9	\$ 8,137.4	\$ 8,147.5
Federal Agencies	2,849.4	2,849.3	2,885.2
State & Local Government			
Agency Obligations	56.7	56.4	57.1
Public Time Deposits	40.0	40.0	40.0
Negotiable CDs	320.0	320.0	320.3
Medium Term Notes	5.0	5.0	5.0
Money Market Funds	981.9	981.9	981.9
Supranationals	407.1	405.8	408.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 12,784.1</b>	<b>\$ 12,795.8</b>	<b>\$ 12,845.7</b>




**Asset Allocation by Market Value**


# Portfolio Analysis

## Pooled Fund



### Par Value of Investments by Maturity



### Asset Allocation by Market Value



## Yield Curves





# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

As of December 31, 2020

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized		Market Value
				Date					Book Value		
U.S. Treasuries	912796A58	TREASURY BILL	8/4/2020	1/5/2021	0.00	\$	50,000,000	\$	49,979,039	\$	50,000,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796A58	TREASURY BILL	8/4/2020	1/5/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,978,397		50,000,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796A58	TREASURY BILL	11/17/2020	1/5/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,994,417		50,000,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127963T4	TREASURY BILL	10/29/2020	1/7/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,991,979		50,000,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127963T4	TREASURY BILL	12/8/2020	1/7/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,997,063		50,000,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796A66	TREASURY BILL	8/11/2020	1/12/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,988,717		24,999,750
U.S. Treasuries	912796A66	TREASURY BILL	8/11/2020	1/12/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,988,343		24,999,750
U.S. Treasuries	912796A66	TREASURY BILL	9/29/2020	1/12/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,993,438		24,999,750
U.S. Treasuries	912796A66	TREASURY BILL	8/27/2020	1/12/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,980,450		49,999,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127963U1	TREASURY BILL	8/31/2020	1/14/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,990,408		24,999,750
U.S. Treasuries	9127963U1	TREASURY BILL	10/15/2020	1/14/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,993,933		24,999,750
U.S. Treasuries	9127963U1	TREASURY BILL	7/16/2020	1/14/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,963,347		49,999,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127963U1	TREASURY BILL	12/8/2020	1/14/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,996,403		49,999,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	3/4/2019	1/15/2021	2.00		50,000,000		49,486,328		50,028,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	11/18/2019	1/15/2021	2.00		50,000,000		50,210,938		50,028,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	11/22/2019	1/15/2021	2.00		50,000,000		50,208,984		50,028,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	12/3/2019	1/15/2021	2.00		50,000,000		50,175,781		50,028,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796A74	TREASURY BILL	8/18/2020	1/19/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,987,618		24,999,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796A74	TREASURY BILL	8/26/2020	1/19/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,989,703		24,999,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796A74	TREASURY BILL	8/27/2020	1/19/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,979,458		49,999,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796A74	TREASURY BILL	11/24/2020	1/19/2021	0.00		100,000,000		99,989,111		99,998,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127963V9	TREASURY BILL	7/24/2020	1/21/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,984,163		24,999,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127963V9	TREASURY BILL	7/27/2020	1/21/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,984,425		24,999,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127963V9	TREASURY BILL	7/28/2020	1/21/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,985,127		24,999,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127963V9	TREASURY BILL	12/15/2020	1/21/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,996,587		49,999,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796A82	TREASURY BILL	8/25/2020	1/26/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,975,403		49,998,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796A82	TREASURY BILL	8/25/2020	1/26/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,975,938		49,998,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796A82	TREASURY BILL	10/13/2020	1/26/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,985,038		49,998,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796UC1	TREASURY BILL	12/17/2020	1/28/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,995,622		49,998,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796UC1	TREASURY BILL	12/17/2020	1/28/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,995,275		49,998,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B65	TREASURY BILL	9/1/2020	2/2/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,976,258		49,997,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B65	TREASURY BILL	9/4/2020	2/2/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,975,882		49,997,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B65	TREASURY BILL	10/15/2020	2/2/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,983,958		49,997,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127963W7	TREASURY BILL	8/10/2020	2/4/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,986,279		24,998,750
U.S. Treasuries	9127963W7	TREASURY BILL	8/18/2020	2/4/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,986,424		24,998,750
U.S. Treasuries	9127963W7	TREASURY BILL	8/6/2020	2/4/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,973,458		49,997,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796B73	TREASURY BILL	9/8/2020	2/9/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,974,868		49,998,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B73	TREASURY BILL	9/29/2020	2/9/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,982,451		49,998,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964C0	TREASURY BILL	8/24/2020	2/11/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,987,531		24,998,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964C0	TREASURY BILL	9/28/2020	2/11/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,991,406		24,998,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964C0	TREASURY BILL	8/13/2020	2/11/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,969,667		49,997,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B81	TREASURY BILL	11/4/2020	2/16/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,986,928		49,996,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964D8	TREASURY BILL	8/24/2020	2/18/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,986,156		24,998,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964D8	TREASURY BILL	8/31/2020	2/18/2021	0.00		25,000,000		24,987,591		24,998,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964D8	TREASURY BILL	8/20/2020	2/18/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,969,667		49,996,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B99	TREASURY BILL	9/22/2020	2/23/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,977,007		49,995,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796B99	TREASURY BILL	9/22/2020	2/23/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,979,445		49,995,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796B99	TREASURY BILL	9/30/2020	2/23/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,980,635		49,995,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796B99	TREASURY BILL	10/20/2020	2/23/2021	0.00		50,000,000		49,982,150		49,995,500

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	Market Value
				Date					Book Value	
U.S. Treasuries	912796XE4	TREASURY BILL	8/27/2020	2/25/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,971,942	49,991,521	49,995,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796XE4	TREASURY BILL	9/21/2020	2/25/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,977,854	49,992,242	49,995,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796XE4	TREASURY BILL	8/27/2020	2/25/2021		0.00	100,000,000	99,939,333	99,981,667	99,990,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796C23	TREASURY BILL	9/30/2020	3/2/2021		0.00	25,000,000	24,989,853	24,996,021	24,996,750
U.S. Treasuries	912796C23	TREASURY BILL	9/30/2020	3/2/2021		0.00	25,000,000	24,989,641	24,995,938	24,996,750
U.S. Treasuries	912796C23	TREASURY BILL	9/29/2020	3/2/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,978,397	49,991,583	49,993,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796C23	TREASURY BILL	9/29/2020	3/2/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,977,542	49,991,250	49,993,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964F3	TREASURY BILL	9/4/2020	3/4/2021		0.00	25,000,000	24,986,048	24,995,221	24,997,250
U.S. Treasuries	9127964F3	TREASURY BILL	9/3/2020	3/4/2021		0.00	150,000,000	149,912,792	149,970,292	149,983,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796C72	TREASURY BILL	10/15/2020	3/9/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,979,861	49,990,694	49,992,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796C72	TREASURY BILL	10/15/2020	3/9/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,979,861	49,990,694	49,992,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796C72	TREASURY BILL	12/17/2020	3/9/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,991,174	49,992,788	49,992,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964M8	TREASURY BILL	9/10/2020	3/11/2021		0.00	100,000,000	99,936,806	99,976,042	99,986,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	11/22/2019	3/15/2021		2.38	50,000,000	50,472,656	50,072,033	50,217,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	12/6/2019	3/15/2021		2.38	50,000,000	50,449,219	50,070,523	50,217,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796C80	TREASURY BILL	10/13/2020	3/16/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,976,451	49,988,684	49,993,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796C80	TREASURY BILL	10/13/2020	3/16/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,975,938	49,988,438	49,993,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796C80	TREASURY BILL	10/15/2020	3/16/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,976,144	49,988,386	49,993,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964N6	TREASURY BILL	9/17/2020	3/18/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,972,194	49,988,389	49,993,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964N6	TREASURY BILL	9/17/2020	3/18/2021		0.00	100,000,000	99,939,333	99,974,667	99,986,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796C98	TREASURY BILL	10/20/2020	3/23/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,975,938	49,987,344	49,992,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796C98	TREASURY BILL	10/20/2020	3/23/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,976,151	49,987,456	49,992,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796C98	TREASURY BILL	10/22/2020	3/23/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,978,361	49,988,469	49,992,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127962F5	TREASURY BILL	9/24/2020	3/25/2021		0.00	25,000,000	24,987,108	24,994,121	24,995,750
U.S. Treasuries	9127962F5	TREASURY BILL	9/24/2020	3/25/2021		0.00	25,000,000	24,987,159	24,994,144	24,995,750
U.S. Treasuries	9127962F5	TREASURY BILL	11/30/2020	3/25/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,986,184	49,990,028	49,991,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127962F5	TREASURY BILL	9/24/2020	3/25/2021		0.00	100,000,000	99,946,917	99,975,792	99,983,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796D22	TREASURY BILL	10/27/2020	3/30/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,978,397	49,987,656	49,991,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796D22	TREASURY BILL	10/27/2020	3/30/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,978,076	49,987,472	49,991,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796D22	TREASURY BILL	11/3/2020	3/30/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,979,481	49,987,717	49,991,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828C57	US TREASURY	4/15/2019	3/31/2021		2.25	50,000,000	49,863,281	49,983,006	50,250,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964P1	TREASURY BILL	10/1/2020	4/1/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,974,090	49,987,188	49,990,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964P1	TREASURY BILL	10/1/2020	4/1/2021		0.00	100,000,000	99,946,917	99,973,750	99,981,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964X4	TREASURY BILL	10/8/2020	4/8/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,972,826	49,985,517	49,990,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	4/9/2019	4/15/2021		2.38	50,000,000	50,013,672	50,001,929	50,320,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	12/9/2019	4/15/2021		2.38	50,000,000	50,462,891	50,097,648	50,320,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	12/11/2019	4/15/2021		2.38	50,000,000	50,457,031	50,096,805	50,320,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796D97	TREASURY BILL	11/19/2020	4/20/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,981,844	49,986,981	49,988,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127962Q1	TREASURY BILL	10/22/2020	4/22/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,973,332	49,983,735	49,988,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796F20	TREASURY BILL	11/24/2020	4/27/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,981,392	49,985,983	49,988,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796F20	TREASURY BILL	12/10/2020	4/27/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,984,667	49,987,111	49,988,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964Z9	TREASURY BILL	10/29/2020	4/29/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,974,596	49,983,529	49,986,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796F79	TREASURY BILL	12/1/2020	5/4/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,981,285	49,985,052	49,986,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796F79	TREASURY BILL	12/2/2020	5/4/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,981,300	49,984,967	49,986,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796F79	TREASURY BILL	12/29/2020	5/4/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,983,506	49,983,899	49,986,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127965A3	TREASURY BILL	11/5/2020	5/6/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,974,722	49,982,639	49,986,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127965A3	TREASURY BILL	11/5/2020	5/6/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,975,228	49,982,986	49,986,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127965A3	TREASURY BILL	11/5/2020	5/6/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,976,618	49,983,941	49,986,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796F87	TREASURY BILL	12/9/2020	5/11/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,982,469	49,985,104	49,985,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796F87	TREASURY BILL	12/10/2020	5/11/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,982,583	49,985,104	49,985,500

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	Market Value
				Date					Book Value	
U.S. Treasuries	912796F87	US TREASURY	12/14/2020	5/11/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,983,535	49,985,538	49,985,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796A25	TREASURY BILL	11/12/2020	5/13/2021		0.00	150,000,000	149,939,584	149,939,500	149,956,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796F95	TREASURY BILL	12/16/2020	5/18/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,981,916	49,983,807	49,985,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796F95	TREASURY BILL	12/15/2020	5/18/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,982,675	49,984,588	49,985,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796F95	TREASURY BILL	12/17/2020	5/18/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,981,844	49,983,636	49,985,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127962Y4	TREASURY BILL	10/21/2020	5/20/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,970,694	49,980,694	49,984,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127962Y4	TREASURY BILL	11/19/2020	5/20/2021		0.00	100,000,000	99,949,444	99,961,389	99,969,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796G29	TREASURY BILL	12/22/2020	5/25/2021		0.00	25,000,000	24,990,803	24,991,400	24,992,250
U.S. Treasuries	912796G29	TREASURY BILL	12/22/2020	5/25/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,980,750	49,982,000	49,984,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796G29	TREASURY BILL	12/22/2020	5/25/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,980,322	49,981,600	49,984,500
U.S. Treasuries	912796A41	TREASURY BILL	12/3/2020	6/3/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,978,009	49,981,513	49,983,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B24	TREASURY BILL	12/10/2020	6/10/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,978,514	49,981,111	49,982,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B24	TREASURY BILL	12/10/2020	6/10/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,978,261	49,980,889	49,982,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B24	TREASURY BILL	12/10/2020	6/10/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,978,009	49,980,667	49,982,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	11/26/2019	6/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	50,732,422	50,732,139	50,958,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	11/27/2019	6/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	50,744,141	50,216,931	50,558,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	12/11/2019	6/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	50,697,266	50,208,422	50,558,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	12/18/2019	6/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	50,714,844	50,216,421	50,558,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	11/10/2020	6/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	50,750,000	50,570,277	50,558,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127963H0	TREASURY BILL	12/17/2020	6/17/2021		0.00	200,000,000	199,914,056	199,921,139	199,924,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B32	TREASURY BILL	12/24/2020	6/24/2021		0.00	200,000,000	199,909,000	199,913,000	199,922,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	11/8/2019	6/30/2021		1.63	50,000,000	49,933,594	49,980,078	50,371,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	12/3/2019	6/30/2021		1.63	50,000,000	49,968,750	49,990,217	50,371,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	12/9/2019	6/30/2021		1.63	50,000,000	49,978,516	49,993,204	50,371,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	10/23/2020	6/30/2021		1.63	50,000,000	50,517,578	50,372,656	50,371,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828S27	US TREASURY	8/15/2017	6/30/2021		1.13	25,000,000	24,519,531	24,938,880	25,125,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828S27	US TREASURY	11/5/2020	6/30/2021		1.13	50,000,000	50,332,031	50,252,176	50,250,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B40	TREASURY BILL	12/31/2020	7/1/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,975,986	49,976,118	49,979,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796B40	TREASURY BILL	12/31/2020	7/1/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,975,986	49,976,118	49,979,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y20	US TREASURY	12/12/2019	7/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	50,728,516	50,244,510	50,664,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828YC8	US TREASURY	12/9/2019	8/31/2021		1.50	50,000,000	49,865,234	49,948,315	50,461,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964L0	TREASURY BILL	10/29/2020	9/9/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,951,438	49,961,304	49,970,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964L0	TREASURY BILL	11/10/2020	9/9/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,956,865	49,964,267	49,970,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128285A4	US TREASURY	11/19/2020	9/15/2021		2.75	50,000,000	51,328,924	50,926,940	50,922,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128285A4	US TREASURY	12/3/2020	9/15/2021		2.75	50,000,000	51,333,272	50,928,438	50,922,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828T34	US TREASURY	12/11/2019	9/30/2021		1.13	50,000,000	49,498,047	49,792,821	50,377,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964V8	TREASURY BILL	10/29/2020	10/7/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,944,977	49,955,244	49,965,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964V8	TREASURY BILL	10/29/2020	10/7/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,945,216	49,955,438	49,965,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964V8	TREASURY BILL	12/3/2020	10/7/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,960,217	49,963,963	49,965,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128285F3	US TREASURY	10/29/2020	10/15/2021		2.88	50,000,000	51,373,648	51,077,975	51,076,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828T67	US TREASURY	11/10/2016	10/31/2021		1.25	50,000,000	49,574,219	49,928,958	50,465,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127964W6	TREASURY BILL	11/5/2020	11/4/2021		0.00	23,860,000	23,827,431	23,832,531	23,841,628
U.S. Treasuries	9127964W6	TREASURY BILL	11/5/2020	11/4/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,930,486	49,941,371	49,961,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964W6	TREASURY BILL	11/10/2020	11/4/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,940,167	49,948,833	49,961,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127964W6	TREASURY BILL	11/19/2020	11/4/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,951,389	49,957,361	49,961,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828U65	US TREASURY	12/14/2020	11/30/2021		1.75	50,000,000	50,828,576	50,754,157	50,738,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828U65	US TREASURY	12/13/2016	11/30/2021		1.75	100,000,000	99,312,500	99,873,724	101,477,000
U.S. Treasuries	9127965G0	TREASURY BILL	12/17/2020	12/2/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,958,250	49,958,125	49,954,500
U.S. Treasuries	9127965G0	TREASURY BILL	12/3/2020	12/2/2021		0.00	100,000,000	99,888,778	99,897,639	99,909,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128285R7	US TREASURY	12/4/2020	12/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	51,291,016	51,194,876	51,189,500

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	Market Value
				Date					Book Value	
U.S. Treasuries	9128285R7	US TREASURY	12/8/2020	12/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	51,281,250	51,198,589	51,189,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128285R7	US TREASURY	12/9/2020	12/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	51,277,344	51,189,155	51,189,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128285R7	US TREASURY	12/15/2020	12/15/2021		2.63	50,000,000	51,257,813	51,199,229	51,189,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828U81	US TREASURY	11/22/2019	12/31/2021		2.00	50,000,000	50,402,344	50,190,199	50,933,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828XW5	US TREASURY	8/15/2017	6/30/2022		1.75	25,000,000	24,977,539	24,993,123	25,608,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828S35	US TREASURY	1/9/2020	6/30/2023		1.38	50,000,000	49,605,469	49,716,858	51,531,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828WE6	US TREASURY	12/17/2019	11/15/2023		2.75	50,000,000	51,960,938	51,438,112	53,711,000
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>0.50</b>	<b>\$ 8,123,860,000</b>	<b>\$ 8,137,395,171</b>	<b>\$ 8,133,976,781</b>	<b>\$ 8,147,519,878</b>
Federal Agencies	3133EJ4Q9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	1/11/2019	1/11/2021		2.55	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 99,934,000	\$ 99,999,097	\$ 100,052,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJCE7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/16/2018	2/12/2021		2.35	50,000,000	49,673,710	49,986,734	50,120,000
Federal Agencies	3137EAE19	FREDDIE MAC	2/16/2018	2/16/2021		2.38	22,000,000	21,941,920	21,997,562	22,058,960
Federal Agencies	313385CB0	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	12/22/2020	2/19/2021		0.00	44,000,000	43,994,231	43,995,209	43,995,600
Federal Agencies	313385CJ3	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	8/28/2020	2/26/2021		0.00	47,000,000	46,972,675	46,991,592	46,994,360
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/11/2019	3/11/2021		2.55	50,000,000	49,975,000	49,997,640	50,234,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/11/2019	3/11/2021		2.55	50,000,000	49,975,000	49,997,640	50,234,000
Federal Agencies	313385DD5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	10/13/2020	3/17/2021		0.00	10,000,000	9,994,833	9,997,500	9,998,200
Federal Agencies	3133EKR99	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/3/2019	3/25/2021		0.26	90,000,000	89,982,000	89,997,228	90,024,300
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	3/29/2018	3/29/2021		2.60	6,350,000	6,343,079	6,349,451	6,388,672
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	3/29/2018	3/29/2021		2.60	20,450,000	20,427,710	20,448,231	20,574,541
Federal Agencies	3133EKF6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/5/2019	4/5/2021		2.23	25,000,000	24,916,500	24,989,263	25,138,500
Federal Agencies	3133EKF6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/5/2019	4/5/2021		2.23	25,000,000	24,917,500	24,989,391	25,138,500
Federal Agencies	3133EJNS4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/22/2018	5/10/2021		2.70	17,700,000	17,653,095	17,694,418	17,867,265
Federal Agencies	313385GB6	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	11/30/2020	5/26/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,977,875	49,981,875	49,980,500
Federal Agencies	3135G0U35	FANNIE MAE	6/25/2018	6/22/2021		2.75	25,000,000	24,994,250	24,999,095	25,310,000
Federal Agencies	313313HN1	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	11/12/2020	6/30/2021		0.00	25,000,000	24,982,431	24,986,250	24,987,750
Federal Agencies	313313HP6	FED FARM CREDIT BANK DISCOUN	12/15/2020	7/1/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,975,250	49,977,375	49,975,500
Federal Agencies	313385HP4	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	12/10/2020	7/1/2021		0.00	100,000,000	99,949,250	99,954,750	99,951,000
Federal Agencies	313313JY5	FED FARM CREDIT BK DISCOUNT	12/18/2020	8/3/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,968,333	49,970,278	49,970,500
Federal Agencies	313313KP2	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	12/2/2020	8/18/2021		0.00	28,700,000	28,681,417	28,683,569	28,681,919
Federal Agencies	313313KX5	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	11/25/2020	8/26/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,961,945	49,967,083	49,967,500
Federal Agencies	313313LE6	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	11/16/2020	9/2/2021		0.00	10,000,000	9,990,333	9,991,867	9,992,600
Federal Agencies	313313LV8	FC DISCOUNT NT	12/21/2020	9/17/2021		0.00	10,000,000	9,992,500	9,992,806	9,992,200
Federal Agencies	313313MK1	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	11/18/2020	10/1/2021		0.00	5,000,000	4,994,717	4,995,450	4,995,900
Federal Agencies	3135G0Q89	FANNIE MAE	10/21/2016	10/7/2021		1.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,238,500
Federal Agencies	3133EJK24	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/19/2018	10/19/2021		3.00	25,000,000	24,980,900	24,994,929	25,579,500
Federal Agencies	313313NF1	FC DISCOUNT NT	12/21/2020	10/21/2021		0.00	5,000,000	4,995,778	4,995,931	4,995,550
Federal Agencies	313313NF1	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	11/19/2020	10/21/2021		0.00	16,000,000	15,983,573	15,985,676	15,985,760
Federal Agencies	313313NK0	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	11/23/2020	10/25/2021		0.00	20,000,000	19,979,467	19,981,850	19,982,000
Federal Agencies	313313NK0	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	11/30/2020	10/25/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,949,736	49,954,625	49,955,000
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/25/2016	10/25/2021		1.38	14,500,000	14,500,000	14,500,000	14,653,120
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/25/2016	10/25/2021		1.38	15,000,000	15,000,000	15,000,000	15,158,400
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/15/2020	10/25/2021		0.40	50,000,000	49,992,387	49,995,948	50,126,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/15/2020	10/25/2021		0.40	50,000,000	49,992,387	49,995,948	50,126,000
Federal Agencies	313313NM6	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	12/3/2020	10/27/2021		0.00	30,000,000	29,972,667	29,975,083	29,973,000
Federal Agencies	313313NN4	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	11/24/2020	10/28/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,953,056	49,958,333	49,954,500
Federal Agencies	3133EJT74	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/15/2018	11/15/2021		3.05	50,000,000	49,950,000	49,985,493	51,278,500
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021		1.63	17,000,000	16,970,930	16,987,385	17,224,570
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021		1.63	25,000,000	24,957,250	24,981,448	25,330,250
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021		1.63	25,000,000	24,957,250	24,981,448	25,330,250



# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	Market Value
				Date					Book Value	
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021		1.63	45,000,000	44,923,050	44,966,607	45,594,450
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/8/2019	11/19/2021		1.63	50,000,000	49,914,500	49,962,896	50,660,500
Federal Agencies	313313QA9	FED FARM CREDIT BANK DISCOUN	12/22/2020	12/3/2021		0.00	15,000,000	14,985,583	14,986,000	14,984,700
Federal Agencies	313313QL5	FED FARM CREDIT BANK DISCOUN	12/30/2020	12/13/2021		0.00	50,000,000	49,946,833	49,947,139	49,952,500
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/19/2020	12/17/2021		2.80	19,000,000	19,677,730	19,371,795	19,487,350
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021		2.80	25,000,000	24,974,250	24,991,777	25,641,250
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021		2.80	25,000,000	24,974,250	24,991,777	25,641,250
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021		2.80	25,000,000	24,964,250	24,988,583	25,641,250
Federal Agencies	3130AHSR5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/20/2019	12/20/2021		1.63	22,500,000	22,475,700	22,488,266	22,830,300
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2020	1/18/2022		0.53	50,000,000	49,886,500	49,935,385	50,219,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/23/2020	1/18/2022		0.53	63,450,000	63,289,472	63,357,925	63,727,911
Federal Agencies	3133ELKN3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	1/28/2020	1/28/2022		1.55	100,000,000	99,992,000	99,995,710	101,551,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKAK2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	2/19/2019	2/14/2022		2.53	20,700,000	20,682,612	20,693,481	21,252,897
Federal Agencies	3133EKBV7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/1/2019	3/1/2022		2.55	10,000,000	9,997,186	9,998,911	10,279,300
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/5/2019	3/11/2022		2.50	17,780,000	17,848,986	17,807,955	18,283,174
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/5/2019	3/11/2022		2.50	40,000,000	40,158,360	40,064,172	41,132,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/8/2019	3/14/2022		2.47	26,145,000	26,226,050	26,178,071	26,874,968
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/8/2019	3/14/2022		2.47	45,500,000	45,634,680	45,554,953	46,770,360
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,999,000	24,999,386	25,169,750
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,993,000	24,995,704	25,169,750
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,996,000	24,997,545	25,169,750
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	3/25/2022		0.70	25,000,000	24,983,250	24,989,721	25,169,750
Federal Agencies	3135G0T45	FANNIE MAE	6/6/2017	4/5/2022		1.88	25,000,000	25,072,250	25,018,800	25,552,500
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022		2.25	25,000,000	24,918,000	24,965,135	25,698,250
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022		2.25	50,000,000	49,836,000	49,930,270	51,396,500
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022		2.25	50,000,000	49,836,000	49,930,270	51,396,500
Federal Agencies	3133EKHB5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/18/2019	4/18/2022		2.35	50,000,000	49,969,500	49,986,865	51,425,500
Federal Agencies	3133EKLR5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/16/2019	5/16/2022		2.25	25,000,000	24,949,250	24,976,848	25,720,750
Federal Agencies	3133EKLR5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/16/2019	5/16/2022		2.25	35,000,000	34,928,950	34,967,587	36,009,050
Federal Agencies	3133EHLY7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/6/2017	6/2/2022		1.88	50,000,000	50,059,250	50,016,812	51,219,000
Federal Agencies	3133EHLY7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/9/2017	6/2/2022		1.88	50,000,000	49,997,500	49,999,289	51,219,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/16/2019	6/15/2022		1.63	20,000,000	19,998,940	19,999,384	20,428,800
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/16/2019	6/15/2022		1.63	25,000,000	24,998,676	24,999,230	25,536,000
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/16/2019	6/15/2022		1.63	25,000,000	24,998,676	24,999,230	25,536,000
Federal Agencies	3133EHZP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2020	9/20/2022		1.85	25,000,000	25,718,750	25,491,983	25,730,500
Federal Agencies	3133ELVL5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/3/2020	10/3/2022		0.70	40,000,000	39,990,000	39,992,990	40,387,200
Federal Agencies	3133ELJH8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/25/2020	1/23/2023		1.60	10,140,000	10,384,141	10,317,557	10,436,899
Federal Agencies	3133ELNE0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/18/2020	2/14/2024		1.43	20,495,000	20,950,604	20,858,398	21,247,371
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/3/2019	12/3/2024		1.63	25,000,000	24,960,000	24,968,648	26,266,500
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,996,829	5,245,150
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,996,829	5,245,150
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,996,829	5,245,150
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	15,000,000	14,988,450	14,990,488	15,735,450
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	2/14/2020	2/12/2025		1.50	50,000,000	49,961,500	49,968,293	52,451,500
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/23/2020	3/3/2025		1.21	16,000,000	15,990,720	15,992,179	16,560,800
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/23/2020	3/3/2025		1.21	24,000,000	23,964,240	23,969,863	24,841,200
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>1.34</b>	<b>\$ 2,849,410,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,849,288,020</b>	<b>\$ 2,849,799,818</b>	<b>\$ 2,885,249,047</b>

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized Book Value	Market Value
State/Local Agencies	13063DGA0	CALIFORNIA ST	4/25/2018	4/1/2021	2.80	\$ 33,000,000	\$ 33,001,320	\$ 33,000,111	\$ 33,204,930
State/Local Agencies	13066YTY5	CALIFORNIA ST DEPT OF WTR RES	2/6/2017	5/1/2021	1.71	21,967,414	21,595,725	21,938,545	22,073,517
State/Local Agencies	91412GF59	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA CA REVENUE	8/9/2016	5/15/2021	1.91	1,769,000	1,810,695	1,772,211	1,772,273
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>2.35</b>	<b>\$ 56,736,414</b>	<b>\$ 56,407,741</b>	<b>\$ 56,710,867</b>	<b>\$ 57,050,719</b>
Public Time Deposits	PPE20ZJV4	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	9/21/2020	3/22/2021	0.16	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPEF10AD0	BRIDGE BANK	9/21/2020	3/22/2021	0.16	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPE91C5A0	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	12/7/2020	6/7/2021	0.20	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPE51K841	BRIDGE BANK	12/23/2020	6/21/2021	0.20	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>0.18</b>	<b>\$ 40,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 40,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 40,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 40,000,000</b>
Negotiable CDs	89114NFY6	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	1/23/2020	1/6/2021	1.73	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 70,019,472
Negotiable CDs	06367BFR5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	1/29/2020	1/28/2021	0.27	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,008,253
Negotiable CDs	06367BJF7	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	3/10/2020	3/1/2021	0.53	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,079,119
Negotiable CDs	78012UTJ4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	3/12/2020	3/15/2021	0.89	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,170,777
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>0.87</b>	<b>\$ 320,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 320,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 320,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 320,277,620</b>
Medium Term Notes	89236TFQ3	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	1/8/2019	1/8/2021	3.05	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 4,997,000	\$ 4,999,971	\$ 5,001,200
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>3.05</b>	<b>\$ 5,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 4,997,000</b>	<b>\$ 4,999,971</b>	<b>\$ 5,001,200</b>
Money Market Funds	262006208	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I	12/31/2020	1/1/2021	0.02	\$ 108,604,070	\$ 108,604,070	\$ 108,604,070	\$ 108,604,070
Money Market Funds	608919718	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PF	12/31/2020	1/1/2021	0.01	237,058,475	237,058,475	237,058,475	237,058,475
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND	12/31/2020	1/1/2021	0.03	10,545,166	10,545,166	10,545,166	10,545,166
Money Market Funds	31607A703	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	12/31/2020	1/1/2021	0.01	614,331,523	614,331,523	614,331,523	614,331,523
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUND	12/31/2020	1/1/2021	0.03	11,385,074	11,385,074	11,385,074	11,385,074
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>0.01</b>	<b>\$ 981,924,308</b>	<b>\$ 981,924,308</b>	<b>\$ 981,924,308</b>	<b>\$ 981,924,308</b>
Supranationals	45950KCM0	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	1/25/2018	1/25/2021	2.25	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 49,853,000	\$ 49,996,781	\$ 50,063,500
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	4/19/2018	4/19/2021	2.63	45,000,000	44,901,000	44,990,245	45,311,850
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	5/16/2018	4/19/2021	2.63	50,000,000	49,693,972	49,969,082	50,346,500
Supranationals	459515HP0	INTL FINANCE CORP DISC	11/23/2020	7/1/2021	0.00	20,000,000	19,989,000	19,990,950	19,990,200
Supranationals	459515HP0	INTL FINANCE CORP DISC	11/17/2020	7/1/2021	0.00	35,000,000	34,978,028	34,982,403	34,982,850
Supranationals	459515HP0	INTL FINANCE CORP DISC	11/24/2020	7/1/2021	0.00	45,000,000	44,975,363	44,979,638	44,977,950
Supranationals	45950KCJ7	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	5/23/2018	7/20/2021	1.13	12,135,000	11,496,942	12,024,418	12,197,253
Supranationals	459058GH0	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	7/25/2018	7/23/2021	2.75	50,000,000	49,883,000	49,978,290	50,713,500
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	1/28/2020	1/28/2025	2.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,023,500
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	1/28/2020	1/28/2025	2.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,023,500
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	1/28/2020	1/28/2025	2.05	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,047,000
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>1.77</b>	<b>\$ 407,135,000</b>	<b>\$ 405,770,303</b>	<b>\$ 406,911,806</b>	<b>\$ 408,677,603</b>
<b>Grand Totals</b>					<b>0.71</b>	<b>\$ 12,784,065,722</b>	<b>\$ 12,795,782,543</b>	<b>\$ 12,794,323,551</b>	<b>\$ 12,845,700,375</b>

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

For month ended December 31, 2020

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM <sup>1</sup>	Settle Date	Maturity		Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
							Date	Earned Interest			
U.S. Treasuries	9127964U0	TREASURY BILL	\$ -	0.00	0.08	11/10/20	12/1/20	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
U.S. Treasuries	9127964U0	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.08	11/17/20	12/1/20	-	-	-	-
U.S. Treasuries	912796TU3	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.11	9/3/20	12/3/20	-	292	-	292
U.S. Treasuries	912796TU3	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.04	12/1/20	12/3/20	-	111	-	111
U.S. Treasuries	912796TU3	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.05	12/2/20	12/3/20	-	70	-	70
U.S. Treasuries	912796TU3	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.04	12/2/20	12/3/20	-	56	-	56
U.S. Treasuries	9127963J6	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.17	6/15/20	12/10/20	-	1,075	-	1,075
U.S. Treasuries	9127963J6	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.17	6/15/20	12/10/20	-	1,075	-	1,075
U.S. Treasuries	9127963J6	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.18	6/15/20	12/10/20	-	2,250	-	2,250
U.S. Treasuries	9127963J6	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.06	12/8/20	12/10/20	-	181	-	181
U.S. Treasuries	9127965C9	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.08	11/17/20	12/15/20	-	1,517	-	1,517
U.S. Treasuries	9127965C9	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.06	12/8/20	12/15/20	-	535	-	535
U.S. Treasuries	9128283L2	US TREASURY	-	1.88	1.63	11/18/19	12/15/20	35,861	(4,592)	-	31,269
U.S. Treasuries	9128283L2	US TREASURY	-	1.88	1.65	11/26/19	12/15/20	35,861	(4,332)	-	31,528
U.S. Treasuries	9127963K3	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.16	6/19/20	12/17/20	-	3,611	-	3,611
U.S. Treasuries	9127963K3	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.07	12/3/20	12/17/20	-	1,410	-	1,410
U.S. Treasuries	9127963K3	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.07	12/9/20	12/17/20	-	756	-	756
U.S. Treasuries	9127963K3	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.06	12/14/20	12/17/20	-	250	-	250
U.S. Treasuries	9127963K3	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.07	12/16/20	12/17/20	-	97	-	97
U.S. Treasuries	9127965D7	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.08	11/17/20	12/22/20	-	2,333	-	2,333
U.S. Treasuries	9127965D7	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.07	12/8/20	12/22/20	-	1,361	-	1,361
U.S. Treasuries	9127965D7	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.07	12/9/20	12/22/20	-	1,309	-	1,309
U.S. Treasuries	9127965D7	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.06	12/17/20	12/22/20	-	382	-	382
U.S. Treasuries	9127963L1	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.16	6/29/20	12/24/20	-	2,595	-	2,595
U.S. Treasuries	9127963L1	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.15	7/2/20	12/24/20	-	4,728	-	4,728
U.S. Treasuries	9127963L1	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.11	8/27/20	12/24/20	-	3,354	-	3,354
U.S. Treasuries	9127965F2	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.13	7/28/20	12/29/20	-	2,481	-	2,481
U.S. Treasuries	9127965F2	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.13	7/28/20	12/29/20	-	5,056	-	5,056
U.S. Treasuries	9127965F2	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.07	12/17/20	12/29/20	-	1,167	-	1,167
U.S. Treasuries	912796TY5	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	0.12	7/21/20	12/31/20	-	3,600	-	3,600
U.S. Treasuries	912828N48	US TREASURY	-	1.75	1.64	11/22/19	12/31/20	71,332	(4,340)	-	66,991
U.S. Treasuries	912796A58	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	8/4/20	1/5/21	-	4,219	-	4,219
U.S. Treasuries	912796A58	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	8/4/20	1/5/21	-	4,349	-	4,349
U.S. Treasuries	912796A58	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	11/17/20	1/5/21	-	3,532	-	3,532
U.S. Treasuries	9127963T4	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	10/29/20	1/7/21	-	3,552	-	3,552
U.S. Treasuries	9127963T4	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	12/8/20	1/7/21	-	2,350	-	2,350
U.S. Treasuries	912796A66	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.11	8/11/20	1/12/21	-	2,271	-	2,271
U.S. Treasuries	912796A66	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.11	8/11/20	1/12/21	-	2,347	-	2,347
U.S. Treasuries	912796A66	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.09	9/29/20	1/12/21	-	1,938	-	1,938
U.S. Treasuries	912796A66	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	8/27/20	1/12/21	-	4,392	-	4,392
U.S. Treasuries	9127963U1	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	8/31/20	1/14/21	-	2,186	-	2,186
U.S. Treasuries	9127963U1	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	10/15/20	1/14/21	-	2,067	-	2,067
U.S. Treasuries	9127963U1	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.15	7/16/20	1/14/21	-	6,243	-	6,243
U.S. Treasuries	9127963U1	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	12/8/20	1/14/21	-	2,333	-	2,333
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	2.57	3/4/19	1/15/21	84,239	23,315	-	107,554
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.63	11/18/19	1/15/21	84,239	(15,422)	-	68,817
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.63	11/22/19	1/15/21	84,239	(15,425)	-	68,814
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.68	12/3/19	1/15/21	84,239	(13,323)	-	70,916
U.S. Treasuries	912796A74	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.12	8/18/20	1/19/21	-	2,493	-	2,493
U.S. Treasuries	912796A74	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	8/26/20	1/19/21	-	2,186	-	2,186
U.S. Treasuries	912796A74	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	8/27/20	1/19/21	-	4,392	-	4,392
U.S. Treasuries	912796A74	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.07	11/24/20	1/19/21	-	6,028	-	6,028
U.S. Treasuries	9127963V9	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.13	7/24/20	1/21/21	-	2,713	-	2,713

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM <sup>1</sup>	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	9127963V9	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.13	7/27/20	1/21/21	-	2,713	-	2,713
U.S. Treasuries	9127963V9	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.12	7/28/20	1/21/21	-	2,605	-	2,605
U.S. Treasuries	9127963V9	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	12/15/20	1/21/21	-	1,568	-	1,568
U.S. Treasuries	912796A82	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	8/25/20	1/26/21	-	4,951	-	4,951
U.S. Treasuries	912796A82	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	8/25/20	1/26/21	-	4,844	-	4,844
U.S. Treasuries	912796A82	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	10/13/20	1/26/21	-	4,418	-	4,418
U.S. Treasuries	912796UC1	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	12/17/20	1/28/21	-	1,564	-	1,564
U.S. Treasuries	912796UC1	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	12/17/20	1/28/21	-	1,688	-	1,688
U.S. Treasuries	912796B65	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	9/1/20	2/2/21	-	4,779	-	4,779
U.S. Treasuries	912796B65	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	9/4/20	2/2/21	-	4,951	-	4,951
U.S. Treasuries	912796B65	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	10/15/20	2/2/21	-	4,521	-	4,521
U.S. Treasuries	9127963W7	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.11	8/10/20	2/4/21	-	2,390	-	2,390
U.S. Treasuries	9127963W7	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.12	8/18/20	2/4/21	-	2,476	-	2,476
U.S. Treasuries	9127963W7	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	8/6/20	2/4/21	-	4,521	-	4,521
U.S. Treasuries	912796B73	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	9/8/20	2/9/21	-	5,059	-	5,059
U.S. Treasuries	912796B73	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	9/29/20	2/9/21	-	4,090	-	4,090
U.S. Treasuries	9127964C0	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.11	8/24/20	2/11/21	-	2,260	-	2,260
U.S. Treasuries	9127964C0	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.09	9/28/20	2/11/21	-	1,959	-	1,959
U.S. Treasuries	9127964C0	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	8/13/20	2/11/21	-	5,167	-	5,167
U.S. Treasuries	912796B81	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	11/4/20	2/16/21	-	3,897	-	3,897
U.S. Treasuries	9127964D8	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.11	8/24/20	2/18/21	-	2,411	-	2,411
U.S. Treasuries	9127964D8	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	8/31/20	2/18/21	-	2,250	-	2,250
U.S. Treasuries	9127964D8	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	8/20/20	2/18/21	-	5,167	-	5,167
U.S. Treasuries	912796B99	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	9/22/20	2/23/21	-	4,628	-	4,628
U.S. Treasuries	912796B99	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	9/22/20	2/23/21	-	4,138	-	4,138
U.S. Treasuries	912796B99	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	9/30/20	2/23/21	-	4,112	-	4,112
U.S. Treasuries	912796B99	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	10/20/20	2/23/21	-	4,392	-	4,392
U.S. Treasuries	912796XE4	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	8/27/20	2/25/21	-	4,779	-	4,779
U.S. Treasuries	912796XE4	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	9/21/20	2/25/21	-	4,373	-	4,373
U.S. Treasuries	912796XE4	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.12	8/27/20	2/25/21	-	10,333	-	10,333
U.S. Treasuries	912796C23	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	9/30/20	3/2/21	-	2,056	-	2,056
U.S. Treasuries	912796C23	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	9/30/20	3/2/21	-	2,099	-	2,099
U.S. Treasuries	912796C23	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	9/29/20	3/2/21	-	4,349	-	4,349
U.S. Treasuries	912796C23	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	9/29/20	3/2/21	-	4,521	-	4,521
U.S. Treasuries	9127964F3	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.11	9/4/20	3/4/21	-	2,390	-	2,390
U.S. Treasuries	9127964F3	TREASURY BILL	150,000,000	0.00	0.12	9/3/20	3/4/21	-	14,854	-	14,854
U.S. Treasuries	912796C72	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	10/15/20	3/9/21	-	4,306	-	4,306
U.S. Treasuries	912796C72	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	10/15/20	3/9/21	-	4,306	-	4,306
U.S. Treasuries	912796C72	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	12/17/20	3/9/21	-	1,615	-	1,615
U.S. Treasuries	9127964M8	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.13	9/10/20	3/11/21	-	10,764	-	10,764
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.64	11/22/19	3/15/21	101,692	(30,589)	-	71,103
U.S. Treasuries	9128284B3	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.66	12/6/19	3/15/21	101,692	(29,948)	-	71,744
U.S. Treasuries	912796C80	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	10/13/20	3/16/21	-	4,740	-	4,740
U.S. Treasuries	912796C80	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	10/13/20	3/16/21	-	4,844	-	4,844
U.S. Treasuries	912796C80	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	10/15/20	3/16/21	-	4,865	-	4,865
U.S. Treasuries	9127964N6	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	9/17/20	3/18/21	-	4,736	-	4,736
U.S. Treasuries	9127964N6	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.12	9/17/20	3/18/21	-	10,333	-	10,333
U.S. Treasuries	912796C98	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	10/20/20	3/23/21	-	4,844	-	4,844
U.S. Treasuries	912796C98	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	10/20/20	3/23/21	-	4,801	-	4,801
U.S. Treasuries	912796C98	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	10/22/20	3/23/21	-	4,413	-	4,413
U.S. Treasuries	9127962F5	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	9/24/20	3/25/21	-	2,196	-	2,196
U.S. Treasuries	9127962F5	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.10	9/24/20	3/25/21	-	2,187	-	2,187
U.S. Treasuries	9127962F5	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	11/30/20	3/25/21	-	3,724	-	3,724
U.S. Treasuries	9127962F5	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.11	9/24/20	3/25/21	-	9,042	-	9,042



# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM <sup>1</sup>	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	912796D22	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	10/27/20	3/30/21	-	4,349	-	4,349
U.S. Treasuries	912796D22	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	10/27/20	3/30/21	-	4,413	-	4,413
U.S. Treasuries	912796D22	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	11/3/20	3/30/21	-	4,327	-	4,327
U.S. Treasuries	912828C57	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.25	2.39	4/15/19	3/31/21	95,810	5,919	-	101,730
U.S. Treasuries	9127964P1	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	10/1/20	4/1/21	-	4,413	-	4,413
U.S. Treasuries	9127964P1	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.11	10/1/20	4/1/21	-	9,042	-	9,042
U.S. Treasuries	9127964X4	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	10/8/20	4/8/21	-	4,628	-	4,628
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	2.36	4/9/19	4/15/21	101,133	(575)	-	100,558
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.68	12/9/19	4/15/21	101,133	(29,107)	-	72,027
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	1.68	12/11/19	4/15/21	101,133	(28,855)	-	72,278
U.S. Treasuries	912796D97	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	11/19/20	4/20/21	-	3,703	-	3,703
U.S. Treasuries	9127962Q1	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	10/22/20	4/22/21	-	4,542	-	4,542
U.S. Treasuries	912796F20	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	11/24/20	4/27/21	-	3,746	-	3,746
U.S. Treasuries	912796F20	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	12/10/20	4/27/21	-	2,444	-	2,444
U.S. Treasuries	9127964Z9	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	10/29/20	4/29/21	-	4,327	-	4,327
U.S. Treasuries	912796F79	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/1/20	5/4/21	-	3,767	-	3,767
U.S. Treasuries	912796F79	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/2/20	5/4/21	-	3,667	-	3,667
U.S. Treasuries	912796F79	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/29/20	5/4/21	-	393	-	393
U.S. Treasuries	9127965A3	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	11/5/20	5/6/21	-	4,306	-	4,306
U.S. Treasuries	9127965A3	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	11/5/20	5/6/21	-	4,219	-	4,219
U.S. Treasuries	9127965A3	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	11/5/20	5/6/21	-	3,983	-	3,983
U.S. Treasuries	912796F87	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	12/9/20	5/11/21	-	2,635	-	2,635
U.S. Treasuries	912796F87	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	12/10/20	5/11/21	-	2,521	-	2,521
U.S. Treasuries	912796F87	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	12/14/20	5/11/21	-	2,003	-	2,003
U.S. Treasuries	912796A25	TREASURY BILL	150,000,000	0.00	0.11	11/12/20	5/13/21	-	14,208	-	14,208
U.S. Treasuries	912796F95	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/16/20	5/18/21	-	1,891	-	1,891
U.S. Treasuries	912796F95	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	12/15/20	5/18/21	-	1,913	-	1,913
U.S. Treasuries	912796F95	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/17/20	5/18/21	-	1,792	-	1,792
U.S. Treasuries	9127962Y4	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	10/21/20	5/20/21	-	4,306	-	4,306
U.S. Treasuries	9127962Y4	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.10	11/19/20	5/20/21	-	8,611	-	8,611
U.S. Treasuries	912796G29	TREASURY BILL	25,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/22/20	5/25/21	-	597	-	597
U.S. Treasuries	912796G29	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/22/20	5/25/21	-	1,250	-	1,250
U.S. Treasuries	912796G29	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/22/20	5/25/21	-	1,278	-	1,278
U.S. Treasuries	912796A41	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/3/20	6/3/21	-	3,504	-	3,504
U.S. Treasuries	912796B24	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/10/20	6/10/21	-	2,597	-	2,597
U.S. Treasuries	912796B24	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/10/20	6/10/21	-	2,628	-	2,628
U.S. Treasuries	912796B24	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/10/20	6/10/21	-	2,658	-	2,658
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.66	11/26/19	6/15/21	111,503	(40,044)	-	71,459
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.65	11/27/19	6/15/21	111,503	(40,757)	-	70,746
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.69	12/11/19	6/15/21	111,503	(39,158)	-	72,345
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.65	12/18/19	6/15/21	111,503	(40,661)	-	70,842
U.S. Treasuries	9128284T4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	0.11	11/10/20	6/15/21	111,503	(107,143)	-	4,360
U.S. Treasuries	9127963H0	TREASURY BILL	200,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/17/20	6/17/21	-	7,083	-	7,083
U.S. Treasuries	912796B32	TREASURY BILL	200,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/24/20	6/24/21	-	4,000	-	4,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	6/30/21	68,481	3,431	-	71,912
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	1.67	12/3/19	6/30/21	68,481	1,685	-	70,166
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	1.65	12/9/19	6/30/21	68,481	1,171	-	69,651
U.S. Treasuries	9128287A2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.63	0.12	10/23/20	6/30/21	68,481	(64,180)	-	4,301
U.S. Treasuries	912828S27	US TREASURY	25,000,000	1.13	1.64	8/15/17	6/30/21	23,705	10,526	-	34,231
U.S. Treasuries	912828S27	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.13	0.11	11/5/20	6/30/21	47,410	(43,430)	-	3,980
U.S. Treasuries	912796B40	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	12/31/20	7/1/21	-	132	-	132
U.S. Treasuries	912796B40	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	12/31/20	7/1/21	-	132	-	132
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y20	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	1.69	12/12/19	7/15/21	110,564	(38,871)	-	71,693
U.S. Treasuries	912828YC8	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.50	1.66	12/9/19	8/31/21	64,227	6,621	-	70,847

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM <sup>1</sup>	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	9127964L0	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	10/29/20	9/9/21	-	4,779	-	4,779
U.S. Treasuries	9127964L0	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	11/10/20	9/9/21	-	4,413	-	4,413
U.S. Treasuries	9128285A4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.75	0.11	11/19/20	9/15/21	117,749	(111,810)	-	5,939
U.S. Treasuries	9128285A4	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.75	0.10	12/3/20	9/15/21	110,152	(104,765)	-	5,387
U.S. Treasuries	912828T34	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.13	1.69	12/11/19	9/30/21	47,905	23,612	-	71,518
U.S. Treasuries	9127964V8	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	10/29/20	10/7/21	-	4,973	-	4,973
U.S. Treasuries	9127964V8	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	10/29/20	10/7/21	-	4,951	-	4,951
U.S. Treasuries	9127964V8	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/3/20	10/7/21	-	3,746	-	3,746
U.S. Treasuries	9128285F3	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.88	0.13	10/29/20	10/15/21	122,424	(116,436)	-	5,988
U.S. Treasuries	912828T67	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.25	1.43	11/10/16	10/31/21	53,522	7,268	-	60,790
U.S. Treasuries	9127964W6	TREASURY BILL	23,860,000	0.00	0.14	11/5/20	11/4/21	-	2,774	-	2,774
U.S. Treasuries	9127964W6	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.14	11/5/20	11/4/21	-	5,920	-	5,920
U.S. Treasuries	9127964W6	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.12	11/10/20	11/4/21	-	5,167	-	5,167
U.S. Treasuries	9127964W6	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	11/19/20	11/4/21	-	4,306	-	4,306
U.S. Treasuries	912828U65	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.75	0.10	12/14/20	11/30/21	43,269	(40,765)	-	2,504
U.S. Treasuries	912828U65	US TREASURY	100,000,000	1.75	1.90	12/13/16	11/30/21	149,038	11,755	-	160,794
U.S. Treasuries	9127965G0	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/17/20	12/2/21	-	1,875	-	1,875
U.S. Treasuries	9127965G0	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	0.11	12/3/20	12/2/21	-	8,861	-	8,861
U.S. Treasuries	9128285R7	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	0.12	12/4/20	12/15/21	100,745	(96,139)	-	4,605
U.S. Treasuries	9128285R7	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	0.11	12/8/20	12/15/21	86,401	(82,661)	-	3,739
U.S. Treasuries	9128285R7	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	0.11	12/9/20	12/15/21	82,814	(79,188)	-	3,626
U.S. Treasuries	9128285R7	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.63	0.11	12/15/20	12/15/21	61,298	(58,583)	-	2,715
U.S. Treasuries	912828U81	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	1.61	11/22/19	12/31/21	84,284	(16,198)	-	68,086
U.S. Treasuries	912828XW5	US TREASURY	25,000,000	1.75	1.77	8/15/17	6/30/22	36,874	391	-	37,265
U.S. Treasuries	912828S35	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.38	1.61	1/9/20	6/30/23	57,945	9,645	-	67,591
U.S. Treasuries	912828WE6	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.75	1.71	12/17/19	11/15/23	117,749	(42,540)	-	75,209
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 8,123,860,000</b>					<b>\$ 3,432,118</b>	<b>\$ (716,506)</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 2,715,612</b>
Federal Agencies	313384S59	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	\$ -	0.00	0.04	12/7/20	12/8/20	\$ -	\$ 56	\$ -	\$ 56
Federal Agencies	313384S59	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.04	12/7/20	12/8/20	-	28	-	28
Federal Agencies	313384S67	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.03	12/8/20	12/9/20	-	42	-	42
Federal Agencies	313384S67	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.03	12/8/20	12/9/20	-	42	-	42
Federal Agencies	313384S75	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.05	12/9/20	12/10/20	-	35	-	35
Federal Agencies	3130A3UQ5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	-	1.88	2.02	12/13/17	12/11/20	5,208	388	-	5,596
Federal Agencies	313384T33	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.05	12/11/20	12/14/20	-	208	-	208
Federal Agencies	3132X0ZY0	FARMER MAC	-	2.05	2.07	12/15/17	12/15/20	10,165	109	-	10,274
Federal Agencies	313384T41	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.05	12/14/20	12/15/20	-	69	-	69
Federal Agencies	313384T41	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.05	12/14/20	12/15/20	-	69	-	69
Federal Agencies	313384T58	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.05	12/15/20	12/16/20	-	70	-	70
Federal Agencies	313384T58	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.05	12/15/20	12/16/20	-	69	-	69
Federal Agencies	313384T74	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.17	6/19/20	12/18/20	-	2,007	-	2,007
Federal Agencies	313384T74	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.17	6/19/20	12/18/20	-	2,007	-	2,007
Federal Agencies	313384U23	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.05	12/18/20	12/21/20	-	208	-	208
Federal Agencies	3133EGX75	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	-	0.34	0.34	12/21/16	12/21/20	9,319	-	-	9,319
Federal Agencies	3133EFTX5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	-	0.48	0.48	12/24/15	12/24/20	30,675	-	-	30,675
Federal Agencies	313384V30	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	0.16	7/7/20	12/30/20	-	6,606	-	6,606
Federal Agencies	3133EJ4Q9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	2.55	2.58	1/11/19	1/11/21	212,500	2,799	-	215,299
Federal Agencies	3133EJCE7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.35	2.59	4/16/18	2/12/21	97,917	9,792	-	107,709
Federal Agencies	3137EAE19	FREDDIE MAC	22,000,000	2.38	2.47	2/16/18	2/16/21	43,542	1,643	-	45,184
Federal Agencies	313385CB0	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	44,000,000	0.00	0.08	12/22/20	2/19/21	-	978	-	978
Federal Agencies	313385CJ3	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	47,000,000	0.00	0.12	8/28/20	2/26/21	-	4,654	-	4,654
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.55	2.58	3/11/19	3/11/21	106,250	1,060	-	107,310
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.55	2.58	3/11/19	3/11/21	106,250	1,060	-	107,310
Federal Agencies	313385DD5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	10,000,000	0.00	0.12	10/13/20	3/17/21	-	1,033	-	1,033

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM <sup>1</sup>	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
Federal Agencies	3133EKR99	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	90,000,000	0.26	0.34	10/3/19	3/25/21	20,123	1,035	-	21,158
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	6,350,000	2.60	2.64	3/29/18	3/29/21	13,758	196	-	13,954
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	20,450,000	2.60	2.64	3/29/18	3/29/21	44,308	630	-	44,939
Federal Agencies	3133EKF6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.23	2.40	4/5/19	4/5/21	46,458	3,541	-	49,999
Federal Agencies	3133EKF6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.23	2.40	4/5/19	4/5/21	46,458	3,499	-	49,957
Federal Agencies	3133EJNS4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	17,700,000	2.70	2.79	5/22/18	5/10/21	39,825	1,341	-	41,166
Federal Agencies	313385GB6	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	11/30/20	5/26/21	-	3,875	-	3,875
Federal Agencies	3135G0U35	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	2.75	2.76	6/25/18	6/22/21	57,292	163	-	57,455
Federal Agencies	313313HN1	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	25,000,000	0.00	0.11	11/12/20	6/30/21	-	2,368	-	2,368
Federal Agencies	313313HP6	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/15/20	7/1/21	-	2,125	-	2,125
Federal Agencies	313385HP4	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	100,000,000	0.00	0.09	12/10/20	7/1/21	-	5,500	-	5,500
Federal Agencies	313313JY5	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	12/18/20	8/3/21	-	1,944	-	1,944
Federal Agencies	313313KP2	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	28,700,000	0.00	0.09	12/2/20	8/18/21	-	2,153	-	2,153
Federal Agencies	313313KX5	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	11/25/20	8/26/21	-	4,306	-	4,306
Federal Agencies	313313LE6	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	10,000,000	0.00	0.12	11/16/20	9/2/21	-	1,033	-	1,033
Federal Agencies	313313LV8	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	10,000,000	0.00	0.10	12/21/20	9/17/21	-	306	-	306
Federal Agencies	313313MK1	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	5,000,000	0.00	0.12	11/18/20	10/1/21	-	517	-	517
Federal Agencies	3135G0Q89	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	1.38	1.38	10/21/16	10/7/21	28,646	-	-	28,646
Federal Agencies	3133EJK24	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	3.00	3.03	10/19/18	10/19/21	62,500	540	-	63,040
Federal Agencies	313313NF1	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	5,000,000	0.00	0.10	12/21/20	10/21/21	-	153	-	153
Federal Agencies	313313NF1	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	16,000,000	0.00	0.11	11/19/20	10/21/21	-	1,516	-	1,516
Federal Agencies	313313NK0	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	20,000,000	0.00	0.11	11/23/20	10/25/21	-	1,894	-	1,894
Federal Agencies	313313NK0	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	11/30/20	10/25/21	-	4,736	-	4,736
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	14,500,000	1.38	1.38	10/25/16	10/25/21	16,615	-	-	16,615
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	15,000,000	1.38	1.38	10/25/16	10/25/21	17,188	-	-	17,188
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.40	0.41	4/15/20	10/25/21	16,667	423	-	17,090
Federal Agencies	3133ELWS9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.40	0.41	4/15/20	10/25/21	16,667	423	-	17,090
Federal Agencies	313313NM6	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	30,000,000	0.00	0.10	12/3/20	10/27/21	-	2,417	-	2,417
Federal Agencies	313313NN4	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	0.10	11/24/20	10/28/21	-	4,306	-	4,306
Federal Agencies	3133EJT74	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	3.05	3.09	11/15/18	11/15/21	127,083	1,414	-	128,498
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	17,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	23,021	1,215	-	24,235
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	33,854	1,786	-	35,640
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	33,854	1,786	-	35,640
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	45,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	60,938	3,215	-	64,152
Federal Agencies	3130AHJY0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	1.63	1.71	11/8/19	11/19/21	67,708	3,572	-	71,280
Federal Agencies	313313QA9	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	15,000,000	0.00	0.10	12/22/20	12/3/21	-	417	-	417
Federal Agencies	313313QL5	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	12/30/20	12/13/21	-	306	-	306
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	19,000,000	2.80	0.74	3/19/20	12/17/21	44,333	(32,930)	-	11,403
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	728	-	59,062
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	728	-	59,062
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.85	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	1,011	-	59,345
Federal Agencies	3130AHSR5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	22,500,000	1.63	1.68	12/20/19	12/20/21	30,469	1,031	-	31,499
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	0.53	0.69	3/18/20	1/18/22	22,083	5,244	-	27,327
Federal Agencies	3133ELTN4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	63,450,000	0.53	0.67	3/23/20	1/18/22	28,024	7,472	-	35,496
Federal Agencies	3133ELKN3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	1.55	1.55	1/28/20	1/28/22	129,167	339	-	129,506
Federal Agencies	3133EKAK2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,700,000	2.53	2.56	2/19/19	2/14/22	43,643	494	-	44,137
Federal Agencies	3133EKBV7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10,000,000	2.55	2.56	3/1/19	3/1/22	21,250	80	-	21,330
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	17,780,000	2.50	2.36	4/5/19	3/11/22	37,042	(1,997)	-	35,045
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	40,000,000	2.50	2.36	4/5/19	3/11/22	83,333	(4,584)	-	78,750
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	26,145,000	2.47	2.36	4/8/19	3/14/22	53,815	(2,346)	-	51,469
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	45,500,000	2.47	2.36	4/8/19	3/14/22	93,654	(3,898)	-	89,756
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.70	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	42	-	14,626
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.71	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	297	-	14,881
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.71	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	170	-	14,753

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM <sup>1</sup>	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
Federal Agencies	3133ELUQ5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	0.70	0.73	3/25/20	3/25/22	14,583	711	-	15,295
Federal Agencies	3135G0T45	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	1.88	1.81	6/6/17	4/5/22	39,063	(1,270)	-	37,793
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	46,875	2,319	-	49,194
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	93,750	4,639	-	98,389
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	93,750	4,639	-	98,389
Federal Agencies	3133EKHB5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.35	2.37	4/18/19	4/18/22	97,917	863	-	98,779
Federal Agencies	3133EKLK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.25	2.32	5/16/19	5/16/22	46,875	1,435	-	48,310
Federal Agencies	3133EKLK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	35,000,000	2.25	2.32	5/16/19	5/16/22	65,625	2,010	-	67,635
Federal Agencies	3133EHLK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	1.88	1.85	6/6/17	6/2/22	78,125	(1,008)	-	77,117
Federal Agencies	3133EHLK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	1.88	1.88	6/9/17	6/2/22	78,125	43	-	78,168
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,000,000	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	27,167	36	-	27,203
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	33,958	45	-	34,003
Federal Agencies	3133ELDK7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	12/16/19	6/15/22	33,958	45	-	34,003
Federal Agencies	3133EHZP1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.85	0.69	3/18/20	9/20/22	38,542	(24,325)	-	14,217
Federal Agencies	3133ELVL5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	40,000,000	0.70	0.71	4/3/20	10/3/22	23,333	340	-	23,673
Federal Agencies	3133ELJH8	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10,140,000	1.60	0.74	3/25/20	1/23/23	13,520	(7,320)	-	6,201
Federal Agencies	3133ELNE0	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,495,000	1.43	0.85	3/18/20	2/14/24	24,423	(9,891)	-	14,533
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.63	1.66	12/3/19	12/3/24	33,854	679	-	34,533
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	65	-	6,315
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	65	-	6,315
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	5,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	6,250	65	-	6,315
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	15,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	18,750	196	-	18,946
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.50	1.52	2/14/20	2/12/25	62,500	654	-	63,154
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	16,000,000	1.21	1.22	3/23/20	3/3/25	16,133	159	-	16,293
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	24,000,000	1.21	1.24	3/23/20	3/3/25	24,200	614	-	24,814
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 2,849,410,000</b>					<b>\$ 3,224,127</b>	<b>\$ 47,372</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 3,271,499</b>
State/Local Agencies	13063DGA0	CALIFORNIA ST	\$ 33,000,000	2.80	2.80	4/25/18	4/1/21	\$ 77,000	\$ (38)	\$ -	\$ 76,962
State/Local Agencies	13066YTY5	CALIFORNIA ST DEPT OF WTR RESO	21,967,414	1.71	2.30	2/6/17	5/1/21	31,358	7,458	-	38,816
State/Local Agencies	91412GF59	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA CA REVENUES	1,769,000	1.91	1.40	8/9/16	5/15/21	2,816	(743)	-	2,073
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 56,736,414</b>					<b>\$ 111,174</b>	<b>\$ 6,677</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 117,851</b>
Public Time Deposits	PPE504BU6	SAN FRANCISCO CREDIT UNION	\$ -	0.22	0.22	6/4/20	12/1/20	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Public Time Deposits	PPE505CM0	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	-	0.23	0.23	6/8/20	12/7/20	194	-	-	194
Public Time Deposits	PPE808900	BRIDGE BANK	-	0.22	0.22	6/23/20	12/23/20	1,331	-	-	1,331
Public Time Deposits	PPE20ZJV4	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	10,000,000	0.16	0.16	9/21/20	3/22/21	1,184	-	-	1,184
Public Time Deposits	PPEF10AD0	BRIDGE BANK	10,000,000	0.16	0.16	9/21/20	3/22/21	1,359	-	-	1,359
Public Time Deposits	PPE91C5A0	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	10,000,000	0.20	0.20	12/7/20	6/7/21	1,370	-	-	1,370
Public Time Deposits	PPE51K841	BRIDGE BANK	10,000,000	0.20	0.20	12/23/20	6/21/21	493	-	-	493
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 40,000,000</b>					<b>\$ 5,931</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 5,931</b>
Negotiable CDs	78012URS6	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	\$ -	0.36	0.36	12/3/19	12/3/20	\$ 702	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 702
Negotiable CDs	06367BBD0	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	-	1.85	1.85	12/3/19	12/4/20	7,708	-	-	7,708
Negotiable CDs	96130AEP9	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	-	0.39	0.39	12/6/19	12/9/20	4,353	-	-	4,353
Negotiable CDs	96130AET1	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	-	1.86	1.86	12/13/19	12/14/20	50,375	-	-	50,375
Negotiable CDs	89114NFB6	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	70,000,000	1.73	1.73	1/23/20	1/6/21	104,281	-	-	104,281
Negotiable CDs	06367BFR5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	0.27	0.27	1/29/20	1/28/21	11,722	-	-	11,722
Negotiable CDs	06367BJF7	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	100,000,000	0.53	0.53	3/10/20	3/1/21	46,048	-	-	46,048
Negotiable CDs	78012UTJ4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	100,000,000	0.91	0.91	3/12/20	3/15/21	77,553	-	-	77,553
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 320,000,000</b>					<b>\$ 302,742</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 302,742</b>
Medium Term Notes	89236TFQ3	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	\$ 5,000,000	3.05	3.08	1/8/19	1/8/21	\$ 12,708	\$ 127	\$ -	\$ 12,836
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 5,000,000</b>					<b>\$ 12,708</b>	<b>\$ 127</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 12,836</b>

## Monthly Investment Earnings

### Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM <sup>1</sup>	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Earned Interest	Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
Money Market Funds	262006208	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I	\$ 108,604,070	0.02	0.02	12/31/20	1/1/21	\$ 383	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 383
Money Market Funds	608919718	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PRM	237,058,475	0.01	0.01	12/31/20	1/1/21	3,131	-	-	3,131
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND	10,545,166	0.03	0.03	12/31/20	1/1/21	238	-	-	238
Money Market Funds	31607A703	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	614,331,523	0.01	0.01	12/31/20	1/1/21	4,924	-	-	4,924
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUND	11,385,074	0.03	0.03	12/31/20	1/1/21	290	-	-	290
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 981,924,308</b>					<b>\$ 8,966</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 8,966</b>
Supranationals	45950KCM0	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	\$ 50,000,000	2.25	2.35	1/25/18	1/25/21	\$ 93,750	\$ 4,158	\$ -	\$ 97,908
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	45,000,000	2.63	2.70	4/19/18	4/19/21	98,438	2,800	-	101,238
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	50,000,000	2.63	2.84	5/16/18	4/19/21	109,375	8,875	-	118,250
Supranationals	459515HP0	INTL FINANCE CORP DISC	20,000,000	0.00	0.09	11/23/20	7/1/21	-	1,550	-	1,550
Supranationals	459515HP0	INTL FINANCE CORP DISC	35,000,000	0.00	0.10	11/17/20	7/1/21	-	3,014	-	3,014
Supranationals	459515HP0	INTL FINANCE CORP DISC	45,000,000	0.00	0.09	11/24/20	7/1/21	-	3,488	-	3,488
Supranationals	45950KCJ7	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	12,135,000	1.13	2.97	5/23/18	7/20/21	11,387	17,140	-	28,527
Supranationals	459058GH0	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	2.75	2.85	7/25/18	7/23/21	114,583	3,315	-	117,899
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	25,000,000	2.05	2.05	1/28/20	1/28/25	42,708	-	-	42,708
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	25,000,000	2.05	2.05	1/28/20	1/28/25	42,708	-	-	42,708
Supranationals	459058HV8	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	2.05	2.05	1/28/20	1/28/25	85,417	-	-	85,417
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 407,135,000</b>					<b>\$ 598,366</b>	<b>\$ 44,340</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 642,705</b>
<b>Grand Totals</b>			<b>\$ 12,784,065,722</b>					<b>\$ 7,696,131</b>	<b>\$ (617,990)</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 7,078,141</b>

<sup>1</sup> Yield to maturity is calculated at purchase



# Investment Transactions

## Pooled Fund

For month ended December 31, 2020

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Purchase	12/1/20	5/4/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796F79	\$ 50,000,000	0.00	0.09	\$ 99.96	\$ -	\$ 49,981,285
Purchase	12/1/20	12/3/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TU3	50,000,000	0.00	0.04	100.00	-	49,999,889
Purchase	12/2/20	8/18/21	Federal Agencies	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	313313KP2	28,700,000	0.00	0.09	99.94	-	28,681,417
Purchase	12/2/20	5/4/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796F79	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.96	-	49,981,300
Purchase	12/2/20	12/3/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TU3	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	49,999,931
Purchase	12/2/20	12/3/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TU3	50,000,000	0.00	0.04	100.00	-	49,999,944
Purchase	12/3/20	10/27/21	Federal Agencies	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	313313NM6	30,000,000	0.00	0.10	99.91	-	29,972,667
Purchase	12/3/20	12/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963K3	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	49,998,591
Purchase	12/3/20	10/7/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964V8	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.92	-	49,960,217
Purchase	12/3/20	12/2/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127965G0	100,000,000	0.00	0.11	99.89	-	99,888,778
Purchase	12/3/20	6/3/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796A41	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.96	-	49,978,009
Purchase	12/3/20	9/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128285A4	50,000,000	2.75	0.10	102.07	300,069	51,333,272
Purchase	12/4/20	11/1/21	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	130,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	130,000,000
Purchase	12/4/20	12/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128285R7	50,000,000	2.63	0.12	102.58	616,803	51,907,819
Purchase	12/7/20	12/8/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384S59	25,000,000	0.00	0.04	100.00	-	24,999,972
Purchase	12/7/20	12/8/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384S59	50,000,000	0.00	0.04	100.00	-	49,999,944
Purchase	12/7/20	6/7/21	Public Time Deposits	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	PPE91C5A0	10,000,000	0.20	0.20	100.00	-	10,000,000
Purchase	12/8/20	12/9/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384S67	50,000,000	0.00	0.03	100.00	-	49,999,958
Purchase	12/8/20	12/9/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384S67	50,000,000	0.00	0.03	100.00	-	49,999,958
Purchase	12/8/20	11/1/21	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	91,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	91,000,000
Purchase	12/8/20	12/10/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963J6	50,000,000	0.00	0.06	100.00	-	49,999,820
Purchase	12/8/20	11/7/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963T4	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	99.99	-	49,997,063
Purchase	12/8/20	11/14/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963U1	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	99.99	-	49,996,403
Purchase	12/8/20	12/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127965C9	50,000,000	0.00	0.06	100.00	-	49,999,465
Purchase	12/8/20	12/22/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127965D7	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	49,998,639
Purchase	12/8/20	12/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128285R7	50,000,000	2.63	0.11	102.56	631,148	51,912,398
Purchase	12/9/20	12/10/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384S75	25,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	24,999,965
Purchase	12/9/20	12/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963K3	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	49,999,245
Purchase	12/9/20	12/22/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127965D7	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	49,998,691
Purchase	12/9/20	5/11/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796F87	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	99.96	-	49,982,469
Purchase	12/9/20	12/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128285R7	50,000,000	2.63	0.11	102.55	634,734	51,912,077
Purchase	12/10/20	7/1/21	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313385HP4	100,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.95	-	99,949,250
Purchase	12/10/20	6/10/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796B24	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.96	-	49,978,514
Purchase	12/10/20	6/10/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796B24	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.96	-	49,978,261
Purchase	12/10/20	6/10/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796B24	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.96	-	49,978,009
Purchase	12/10/20	4/27/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796F20	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	99.97	-	49,984,667
Purchase	12/10/20	5/11/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796F87	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	99.97	-	49,982,583
Purchase	12/11/20	12/14/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T33	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	49,999,792
Purchase	12/11/20	11/1/21	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	36,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	36,000,000
Purchase	12/14/20	12/15/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T41	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	49,999,931
Purchase	12/14/20	12/15/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T41	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	49,999,931
Purchase	12/14/20	12/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963K3	50,000,000	0.00	0.06	100.00	-	49,999,750
Purchase	12/14/20	5/11/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796F87	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	99.97	-	49,983,535
Purchase	12/14/20	11/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828U65	50,000,000	1.75	0.10	101.59	33,654	50,828,576
Purchase	12/15/20	7/1/21	Federal Agencies	FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT	313313HP6	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.95	-	49,975,250
Purchase	12/15/20	12/16/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T58	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	49,999,930
Purchase	12/15/20	12/16/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T58	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	49,999,931
Purchase	12/15/20	11/21/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963V9	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	99.99	-	49,996,587
Purchase	12/15/20	5/18/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796F95	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	99.97	-	49,982,675
Purchase	12/15/20	12/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128285R7	50,000,000	2.63	0.11	102.52	-	51,257,813
Purchase	12/16/20	12/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963K3	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	49,999,903
Purchase	12/16/20	5/18/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796F95	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.96	-	49,981,916

# Investment Transactions

## Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Purchase	12/17/20	6/17/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963H0	200,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.96	-	199,914,056
Purchase	12/17/20	5/18/21	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796F95	50,000,000	0.00	0.09	99.96	-	49,981,844
Purchase	12/29/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	80,000,000	0.02	0.02	100.00	-	80,000,000
Purchase	12/29/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	37,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	37,000,000
Purchase	12/30/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	18,000,000	0.02	0.02	100.00	-	18,000,000
Purchase	12/31/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	383	0.02	0.02	100.00	-	383
Purchase	12/31/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	3,131	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	3,131
Purchase	12/31/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	238	0.03	0.03	100.00	-	238
Purchase	12/31/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	4,924	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	4,924
Purchase	12/31/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	290	0.03	0.03	100.00	-	290
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>\$3,060,708,966</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>\$ 100.21</b>	<b>\$ 2,216,407</b>	<b>\$3,069,240,851</b>
Sale	12/1/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	\$ 42,000,000	0.02	0.02	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ 42,000,000
Sale	12/2/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	48,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	48,000,000
Sale	12/3/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	18,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	18,000,000
Sale	12/7/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	50,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	50,000,000
Sale	12/9/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	73,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	73,000,000
Sale	12/10/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	6,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	6,000,000
Sale	12/14/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	18,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	18,000,000
Sale	12/15/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	24,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	24,000,000
Sale	12/16/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	4,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	4,000,000
Sale	12/18/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	5,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	5,000,000
Sale	12/22/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	6,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	6,000,000
Sale	12/22/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	7,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	7,000,000
Sale	12/23/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	97,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	97,000,000
Sale	12/31/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	43,000,000	0.01	0.01	100.00	-	43,000,000
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>\$ 441,000,000</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>\$ 100.00</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 441,000,000</b>
Maturity	12/1/20	12/1/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964U0	\$ 25,000,000	0.00	0.08	100.00	\$ -	\$ 25,000,000
Maturity	12/1/20	12/1/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127964U0	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/1/20	12/1/20	Public Time Deposits	SAN FRANCISCO CREDIT UNI	PPE504BU6	10,000,000	0.22	0.22	100.00	5,152	10,005,152
Maturity	12/3/20	12/3/20	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012URS6	35,000,000	0.36	0.36	100.00	31,950	35,031,950
Maturity	12/3/20	12/3/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TU3	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/3/20	12/3/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TU3	50,000,000	0.00	0.04	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/3/20	12/3/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TU3	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/3/20	12/3/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TU3	50,000,000	0.00	0.04	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/4/20	12/4/20	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	06367BBD0	50,000,000	1.85	1.85	100.00	942,986	50,942,986
Maturity	12/7/20	12/7/20	Public Time Deposits	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	PPE505CM0	5,000,000	0.23	0.23	100.00	2,556	5,002,556
Maturity	12/8/20	12/8/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384S59	25,000,000	0.00	0.04	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	12/8/20	12/8/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384S59	50,000,000	0.00	0.04	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/9/20	12/9/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384S67	50,000,000	0.00	0.03	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/9/20	12/9/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384S67	50,000,000	0.00	0.03	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/9/20	12/9/20	Negotiable CDs	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	96130AEP9	50,000,000	0.39	0.39	100.00	49,513	50,049,513
Maturity	12/10/20	12/10/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384S75	25,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	12/10/20	12/10/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963J6	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	12/10/20	12/10/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963J6	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	12/10/20	12/10/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963J6	50,000,000	0.00	0.18	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/10/20	12/10/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963J6	50,000,000	0.00	0.06	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/11/20	12/11/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130A3UQ5	10,000,000	1.88	2.02	100.00	93,750	10,093,750
Maturity	12/14/20	12/14/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T33	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/14/20	12/14/20	Negotiable CDs	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	96130AET1	75,000,000	1.86	1.86	100.00	1,422,125	76,422,125
Maturity	12/15/20	12/15/20	Federal Agencies	FARMER MAC	3132X0ZY0	12,750,000	2.05	2.07	100.00	130,688	12,880,688
Maturity	12/15/20	12/15/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T41	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	50,000,000

# Investment Transactions

## Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Maturity	12/15/20	12/15/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T41	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/15/20	12/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127965C9	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/15/20	12/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127965C9	50,000,000	0.00	0.06	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/15/20	12/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128283L2	50,000,000	1.88	1.63	100.00	468,750	50,468,750
Maturity	12/15/20	12/15/20	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128283L2	50,000,000	1.88	1.65	100.00	468,750	50,468,750
Maturity	12/16/20	12/16/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T58	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/16/20	12/16/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T58	50,000,000	0.00	0.05	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/17/20	12/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963K3	50,000,000	0.00	0.16	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/17/20	12/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963K3	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/17/20	12/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963K3	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/17/20	12/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963K3	50,000,000	0.00	0.06	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/17/20	12/17/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963K3	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/18/20	12/18/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T74	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	12/18/20	12/18/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384T74	25,000,000	0.00	0.17	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	12/21/20	12/21/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EGX75	50,000,000	0.34	0.34	100.00	13,979	50,013,979
Maturity	12/22/20	12/22/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127965D7	50,000,000	0.00	0.08	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/22/20	12/22/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127965D7	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/22/20	12/22/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127965D7	50,000,000	0.00	0.07	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/23/20	12/23/20	Public Time Deposits	BRIDGE BANK	PPE808900	10,000,000	0.22	0.22	100.00	11,035	10,011,035
Maturity	12/24/20	12/24/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EFTX5	100,000,000	0.48	0.48	100.00	40,011	100,040,011
Maturity	12/24/20	12/24/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963L1	25,000,000	0.00	0.16	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	12/24/20	12/24/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963L1	50,000,000	0.00	0.15	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/24/20	12/24/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127963L1	50,000,000	0.00	0.11	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/29/20	12/29/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127965F2	25,000,000	0.00	0.13	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	12/29/20	12/29/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	9127965F2	50,000,000	0.00	0.13	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/30/20	12/30/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384V30	50,000,000	0.00	0.16	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	12/31/20	12/31/20	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796TY5	36,000,000	0.00	0.12	100.00	-	36,000,000
Maturity	12/31/20	12/31/20	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828N48	50,000,000	1.75	1.64	100.00	437,500	50,437,500
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>\$2,268,750,000</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 4,118,744</b>	<b>\$2,272,868,744</b>

Interest	12/1/20	3/1/21	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	06367BJF7	\$ 100,000,000	0.53	0.53	0.00	0.00	\$ 42,624
Interest	12/2/20	6/2/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EHL7	50,000,000	1.88	1.85	0.00	0.00	468,750
Interest	12/2/20	6/2/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EHL7	50,000,000	1.88	1.88	0.00	0.00	468,750
Interest	12/3/20	12/3/24	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELCP7	25,000,000	1.63	1.66	0.00	0.00	203,125
Interest	12/15/20	6/15/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELDK7	20,000,000	1.63	1.63	0.00	0.00	163,000
Interest	12/15/20	6/15/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELDK7	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	0.00	0.00	203,750
Interest	12/15/20	6/15/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133ELDK7	25,000,000	1.63	1.63	0.00	0.00	203,750
Interest	12/15/20	3/15/21	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012UTJ4	100,000,000	0.89	0.89	0.00	0.00	71,765
Interest	12/15/20	6/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128284T4	50,000,000	2.63	1.66	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	12/15/20	6/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128284T4	50,000,000	2.63	1.65	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	12/15/20	6/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128284T4	50,000,000	2.63	1.69	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	12/15/20	6/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128284T4	50,000,000	2.63	1.65	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	12/15/20	6/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128284T4	50,000,000	2.63	0.11	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	12/15/20	12/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128285R7	50,000,000	2.63	0.12	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	12/15/20	12/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128285R7	50,000,000	2.63	0.11	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	12/15/20	12/15/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128285R7	50,000,000	2.63	0.11	0.00	0.00	656,250
Interest	12/17/20	12/17/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJ3B3	19,000,000	2.80	0.74	0.00	0.00	266,000
Interest	12/17/20	12/17/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJ3B3	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	0.00	0.00	350,000
Interest	12/17/20	12/17/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJ3B3	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	0.00	0.00	350,000
Interest	12/17/20	12/17/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJ3B3	25,000,000	2.80	2.85	0.00	0.00	350,000
Interest	12/20/20	12/20/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AHSR5	22,500,000	1.63	1.68	0.00	0.00	182,813
Interest	12/22/20	6/22/21	Federal Agencies	FANNIE MAE	3135GOU35	25,000,000	2.75	2.76	0.00	0.00	343,750
Interest	12/25/20	3/25/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKR99	90,000,000	0.26	0.32	0.00	0.00	19,510



# Investment Transactions

## Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Interest	12/25/20	3/22/21	Public Time Deposits	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	PPE20ZJV4	10,000,000	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.00	3,989
Interest	12/31/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	208,604,070	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	383
Interest	12/31/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	188,058,475	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	3,131
Interest	12/31/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	10,545,166	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	238
Interest	12/31/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	557,331,523	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	4,924
Interest	12/31/20	1/1/21	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	11,385,074	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	290
Interest	12/31/20	6/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128287A2	50,000,000	1.63	1.71	0.00	0.00	406,250
Interest	12/31/20	6/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128287A2	50,000,000	1.63	1.67	0.00	0.00	406,250
Interest	12/31/20	6/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128287A2	50,000,000	1.63	1.65	0.00	0.00	406,250
Interest	12/31/20	6/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	9128287A2	50,000,000	1.63	0.12	0.00	0.00	406,250
Interest	12/31/20	6/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828S27	25,000,000	1.13	1.64	0.00	0.00	140,625
Interest	12/31/20	6/30/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828S27	50,000,000	1.13	0.11	0.00	0.00	281,250
Interest	12/31/20	6/30/23	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828S35	50,000,000	1.38	1.61	0.00	0.00	343,750
Interest	12/31/20	12/31/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828U81	50,000,000	2.00	1.61	0.00	0.00	500,000
Interest	12/31/20	6/30/22	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828XW5	25,000,000	1.75	1.77	0.00	0.00	218,750
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>\$2,412,424,308</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>0.71</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 12,059,917</b>
<b>Grand Totals</b>			62	Purchases							
			(14)	Sales							
			(53)	Maturities / Calls							
			(5)	Change in number of positions							

**From:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: DiJaida Durden Appointed New Deputy Director for Operations  
**Date:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 10:32:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [For Immediate Release – DiJaida Durden Appointed New Operations Chief for San Francisco Public Works.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

**From:** Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) <alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 10:28 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative\_aides@sfgov.org>; Durden, DiJaida (DPW) <DiJaida.Durden@sfdpw.org>; Spitz, Jeremy (DPW) <Jeremy.Spitz@sfdpw.org>  
**Subject:** DiJaida Durden Appointed New Deputy Director for Operations

Honorable Supervisors,

As you may know, Larry Stringer retired in November after 15 years with Public Works. After a thorough search and interview process, I am happy to announce the appointment of DiJaida Durden as the Public Works Deputy Director for Operations (DDO) – a role that puts her in charge of street cleaning, urban forestry, street and sewer repair, central operations and the maintenance and repair of civic buildings and structures.

The job is of monumental importance in the City and DiJaida is the right person at the right time to lead our Operations teams. She is a strong leader who rose through the ranks and knows what it takes to inspire staff and deliver the quality services San Franciscans expect and deserve.

Born and raised in San Francisco's Western Addition neighborhood, DiJaida began her career with the City as an entry-level cement mason. As DDO, she will oversee a staff of 600 with a diverse portfolio. During her 18-year tenure at Public Works, DiJaida has held several high-level supervisory and management positions in the Operations bureaus with a focus on staff development, data-driven process improvements, field safety protocol and project delivery.

DiJaida's first day on the job was Tuesday, January 19. I look forward to introducing her to each of you so that we can continue to work together to care for the City.

Best,

Alaric



**Alaric Degrafinried**  
Acting Director

San Francisco Public Works | City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place | San Francisco, CA 94102 | (415) 554-6920 | [sfpublicworks.org](http://sfpublicworks.org) ·  
[twitter.com/sfpublicworks](https://twitter.com/sfpublicworks)

**From:** [San Francisco Controller's Office Reports](#)  
**To:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Issued – Public Integrity Review: Ethical Standards for Contract Award Processes of the Airport Commission and Other Commissions and Boards  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:29:04 PM

---

# Office of the Controller

## City Services Auditor



## Public Integrity Review Issued

In conjunction with the City Attorney's investigation stemming from alleged criminal wrongdoing by former Public Works Director Mohammed Nuru, the Controller's Office has released its fourth policy and process assessment report.

This report covers city commissions' and boards' ethical standards for awarding contracts and focuses on the Airport Commission and Revenue Development and Management unit at San Francisco International Airport. The assessment was conducted because of the allegations in the federal criminal complaint against Mohammed Nuru that identify former Airport Commissioner Linda Crayton as a target of an alleged bribery scheme by Mr. Nuru.

[Download the full report](#)

# PUBLIC INTEGRITY REVIEW

## City Commissions' and Boards' Ethical Standards for Contract Award Processes

*In conjunction with the City Attorney's investigation into alleged wrongdoing of former Public Works Director Mohammed Nuru, the Controller's Office has released the fourth report in its series of public integrity assessments. This one covers ethical standards of city commissions and boards for awarding contracts.*

### AIRPORT COMMISSIONERS APPROPRIATELY EXCLUDED FROM THE BID SELECTION PROCESS

Members of the Airport Commission do not participate in the Airport's evaluation of proposals for contracts in the Revenue Development and Management unit, including leases, and have no direct contact with the Airport employees who select the panelists that evaluate proposals.

Also, the Airport keeps the identities of evaluation panelists confidential, so a commissioner would be unable to influence them.

Each panel selects a successful bidder and, through the Revenue Development and Management unit, recommends that the Airport Commission approve awarding the contract to the successful proposer.

### FORMER AIRPORT COMMISSIONER HAD PROHIBITED COMMUNICATIONS WITH A POTENTIAL BIDDER

The Airport prohibits contract bidders from soliciting or meeting with any city elected official, Airport commissioner, and/or any other city or Airport personnel with the intent to influence the outcome of the selection process.

The meeting between Linda Crayton, who was then an Airport commissioner, and Nick Bovis, who was a potential bidder, violated this prohibition.

### STRONGER CITY POLICIES NEEDED

City departments' statements of incompatible activities prohibit members of city commissions and boards from selectively assisting bidders competing to obtain contracts. However, none of these statements prohibits selective assistance to a potential bidder or proposer, such as Mr. Bovis was.

#### Given the findings of our preliminary assessment, we offer the following recommendations:

- ✓ The City should codify that its employees and members of commissions and boards may not selectively assist or give a competitive advantage to any potential bidder for a city contract.
- ✓ City departments should clearly state in writing the restrictions on communication by and with potential bidders for city contracts and enforce the restrictions.
- ✓ City departments should work with the Controller's Office to develop and implement plans for enhancing the City's financial system to accommodate management of departmental revenue contracts, including from planning through contract award. Also, the Controller's Office should improve the City's financial system to better meet departments' needs.
- ✓ For certain contracts, city departments should require bidders to submit key information about their affiliates and subcontractors in their proposals, and departments should enter this information in the City's financial system.
- ✓ Members of city commissions and boards should be trained annually on their department's statement of incompatible activities.



City and County of San Francisco Office of the Controller



This is a send-only e-mail address.

For questions about the report, please contact City Controller Ben Rosenfield at [ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org](mailto:ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org) or (415) 554-7500.

For all press queries, please contact Communications Manager Alyssa Sewlal at [alyssa.sewlal@sfgov.org](mailto:alyssa.sewlal@sfgov.org) or (415) 394-3261.

Twitter




LinkedIn



---

**Share this email:**

Email 

**Manage** your preferences | **Opt out** using **TrueRemove®**

Got this as a forward? **Sign up** to receive our future emails.

View this email **online**.


1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA | 94102 US

This email was sent to eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org.  
*To continue receiving our emails, add us to your address book.*

# Public Integrity Review

## Preliminary Assessment:

Ethical Standards for Contract Award  
Processes of the Airport Commission  
and Other Commissions and Boards



**CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

Controller's Office

January 11, 2021



## Assessment Summary

This preliminary assessment summarizes ethical standards for contract award and focuses on the:

- Airport Commission (referred to here as *the Commission* and distinct from the Airport as a department; the Commission oversees the department).
- Airport's Commercial Division, Revenue Development and Management (RDM), at San Francisco International Airport. (The department is referred to here as *the Airport*).

This document also summarizes:

- The results of our survey of five city commissions/boards, including the Airport Commission, and the Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA) on ethical standards.
- The role of commissions and boards in the contract award process.

More reviews of other internal control processes will be released as our Public Integrity Review progresses. This assessment is the fourth in the series, is offered for public comment and review, and may be revised in the future as our work continues.

# Assessment Summary

## Background

In 2018 then-Airport Commissioner Linda Crayton allegedly agreed to meet with and help a potential bidder, Nick Bovis, by leveraging her position and authority and did not report the improper request for preferential assistance. The meeting described in the complaint violated the request for proposal (RFP) instructions, which prohibit any attempt by the proposer to communicate with or solicit any city elected official, Airport commissioner, and/or any other city or Airport personnel during the quiet period, except as instructed in the RFP, with the intent to influence the outcome of the selection process or award of the lease.

## Highlights: Preliminary Findings

This assessment was conducted because of the seriousness of the allegations in the federal complaint but, in contrast to our previous public integrity assessments, we found no substantial structural problems that impair the overall control environment regarding commission and boards' involvement in procurement processes. However, we do note changes that could improve these processes.

## Assessment Summary

- Although the criminal complaint states that during the meeting Ms. Crayton claimed she could influence the contract award process, the Airport confirmed that commissioners have no direct contact with Revenue Development and Management staff. Also, the identities of evaluation panelists are kept confidential, so a commissioner would not have been able to influence them.
- Of surveyed city commissions/boards and the TJPA, the Airport\* and the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's Board of Directors have separate policies prohibiting communications with potential bidders. All of the surveyed organizations' RFPs contain instructions that prohibit potential bidders from contacting any member of the organization. Every city department has a statement of incompatible activities that applies to commissioners or directors and prohibits giving selective assistance to bidders or proposers, but none of these documents prohibits selective assistance to *potential* bidders or proposers, such as Mr. Bovis.

\* The Airport implemented its Competitive Selection Process Communications Policy on December 19, 2020.

## Background on the Public Integrity Investigation

The City Attorney's Office (City Attorney) is leading the investigation into alleged wrongdoing by city employees outlined in criminal charges brought by the U.S. Attorney's Office against:

- Mohammed Nuru, former director of San Francisco Public Works
- Nick Bovis, owner of Lefty's Grill and Buffet and other restaurants
- Sandra Zuniga, former director of the Mayor's Office of Neighborhood Services
- Florence Kong, former member of the Immigrant Rights Commission
- Balmore Hernandez, chief executive of engineering firm AzulWorks, Inc., a company with large city contracts
- Wing Lok "Walter" Wong, building permit expediter and owner of several entities that do business with the City
- Alan Varela and Bill Gilmartin, officers of ProVen Management, Inc.
- Paul Giusti, former group government and community relations manager for Recology
- Harlan Kelly, Jr., former general manager of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

## **Background on the Public Integrity Investigation** *(continued)*

Mr. Bovis and Mr. Wong have pled guilty to schemes to defraud the City using bribery and kickbacks. Mr. Wong admitted to conspiring with Mr. Nuru and other unnamed city officials since 2004. Both are now cooperating with the ongoing federal investigation. Also, Mr. Hernandez has pled guilty and will cooperate, and Ms. Kong has pled guilty to providing bribes to Mr. Nuru.

Beyond involving those facing criminal charges, the investigation led Tom Hui, then the director of the Department of Building Inspection, to resign in March 2020 because of evidence showing he had accepted improper gifts, violated city law by giving preferential treatment to a developer and a permit expediter, and abused his official position to help his son and his son's girlfriend obtain city jobs.

The City Attorney has focused its investigation on misconduct by current and former city employees and any remedies for specific decisions or contracts tainted by conflicts of interest or other legal or policy violations.

## The Criminal Complaint Against Mr. Nuru and Mr. Bovis

The FBI affidavit in support of the criminal complaint alleges that Mr. Nuru and Mr. Bovis tried to bribe Airport Commissioner Crayton in exchange for assistance in obtaining a city lease at San Francisco International Airport for a company of Mr. Bovis. The affidavit details recorded conversations between Mr. Nuru, Mr. Bovis, Ms. Crayton, confidential sources, and undercover agents at a dinner meeting discussing the possibility of Ms. Crayton securing the Airport Commission's votes to grant Lease #5 to Mr. Bovis and his investors. The affidavit alludes to the fact that Mr. Nuru and Ms. Crayton had a relationship when Mr. Bovis states she told him "Nuru has never asked her for any favors before so whatever he wants because he does a lot of things for her." According to the affidavit, at the April 2018 meeting Mr. Bovis explained to the undercover agents that Ms. Crayton controls the Airport Commission and knows "the vote before the Commission make the decision on Airport bids." The affidavit states that allegedly **Ms. Crayton said she would check to make sure the lease was not promised to others, would find out who will be on the selection committee, and "do everything I can" to assist Mr. Bovis in securing the lease.**

The complaint also notes that **Mr. Nuru** was the chair of the TIPA and **allegedly used this position to try to secure a desirable lease for Mr. Bovis in the Transbay Transit Center in exchange for benefits provided by Mr. Bovis but was unsuccessful.**

## Background on the Airport Commission

The Airport Commission is the policy body that oversees San Francisco International Airport as a city department. The Airport director is the chief executive officer of the department and has full power and authority to administer the Airport's affairs.

The Commission is made up of five members appointed by the mayor to staggered four-year terms. There is no limit on the number of terms that can be served. Mayor Breed has appointed two commissioners who are serving their first term, reappointed two commissioners, and one commissioner's term expires in 2021. Under the San Francisco Charter, Section 3.100(18), the Board of Supervisors may reject mayoral appointments. Of the three long-serving Airport commissioners, each has been on the Commission for at least 14 years. Former Commissioner Crayton served from 1996 until 2020.

The Commission's purpose is to formulate, evaluate, and approve goals, objectives, plans, and programs, and set policies for the Airport. It also awards contracts and leases. The Commission may not—nor may its members—interfere with the Airport director's day-to-day management of the Airport's administrative affairs.

## Airport Revenue Development and Management's Contract Award Functions

As a unit of the Airport's Commercial Division, Revenue Development and Management (RDM):

- Manages the use and leasing activities of concessionaires and related businesses on Airport property.
- Develops, implements, and administers proposals for use, bid packages, leases, permits, and other documents related to the Airport's concession property rental.
- Obtains required approvals from the Commission and Board of Supervisors for concession tenant revenue contracts.
- Is the primary contact for concession tenants.

Revenue contracts are agreements between the City and suppliers that generate income for the City. The City's financial system is not yet configured to store revenue contract information but should be. Historically, departments have used their own websites and subsystems for departmental revenue contracts.



## RDM Competitive Solicitation Requirements at the Airport

The San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2A.173, requires that concessionaires at the Airport be chosen through a competitive solicitation and selection process. According to RDM, it complies with this requirement by:

- Issuing RFPs as a standard practice to select tenants for retail and food and beverage concessions.
- Relying on its established concession program and continually seeking new concessions to maximize goods and services available to passengers. These concessions offer goods and services including:
  - Retail (shops selling items to meet travelers' needs)
  - Food and beverage
  - Rental cars
  - Services such as banking, currency exchange, and luggage carts

Also, contracts with anticipated revenue of \$1 million or more are subject to additional review and approval by the Board of Supervisors per the San Francisco Charter, Section 9.118(c).

## Summary of Airport Concession Agreements *(continued)*

From July 1, 2015, through August 21, 2020, the Airport Commission awarded 99 concession agreements.


Category	Number of Agreements	Year Awarded					Average Term (Years)	Minimum Annual Guarantee <sup>b</sup>
		2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20		
Retail	41	3	3	12 <sup>a</sup>	17	6	6.9	\$57,190,905
Food and Beverage	45	14	9	4	11	7	8.6	15,015,736
Rental Car	4	0	0	0	4	0	5.0	47,166,392
Service	9	2	0	5	2	0	4.1	3,838,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>13<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>\$123,211,533</b>

<sup>a</sup> One retail lease was rescinded because the owner retired and sold the business.

<sup>b</sup> Minimum Annual Guarantee (MAG) is the minimum rent/fee the tenant is required to remit to the Airport per agreement year. Most agreements have multiyear terms. The Airport's revenue per agreement may exceed the MAG because most agreements also include a percentage rent provision.

<sup>c</sup> Since January 1, 2019, city departments have been required to submit Form SFEC-126f2 to the Ethics Commission for any proposal with anticipated revenue of \$1 million or more. For fiscal year 2019-20, the Airport was required to submit this form for 12 of the 13 concession agreements executed and obtain the Board of Supervisors' approval.

## The Airport Commission's Involvement in RDM's Contract Award Process



Airport staff presents before Commission to get contract award approval to proceed.

<sup>1</sup> The RDM director must file Form SFEC-126f2 with the Ethics Commission within 30 days of receipt of the proposal if the RFP has anticipated revenue of \$1 million or more.

<sup>2</sup> Contracts with anticipated revenue of \$1 million or more also require the Board of Supervisors' approval per the San Francisco Charter, Section 9.118. Within 5 days of contract award approval, city elective officers who approve the contract must file Form SFEC-126f4 with the Ethics Commission.

## The Airport Commission's Involvement in RDM's Contract Award Process *(continued)*

The Commission is notified of Airport lease solicitations from the pre-proposal stage to the final stage of lease award. According to RDM, its staff obtains Commission approval at the following decision points:

### 1. RFP Commencement

RDM submits a leasing opportunity package to the Commission to seek its approval to "Commence Proposal/Bid Process" for proposed terms and qualifications and to allow RDM to hold an informational conference.

### 2. After Informational Conference/Accept Commission Package

RDM updates the Commission on any comments and recommendations from the informational conference. The Commission then approves the final minimum qualifications and business terms and authorizes RDM to accept proposals/bids for the lease.

### 3. Lease Award

After evaluating the qualifying proposals/bids, RDM submits the results to the Commission to formally award the lease.

## Concession Leases Awarded Based on Solicitation Results

### Preliminary Finding:

Despite Ms. Crayton's alleged assurances to Mr. Bovis, the Airport did not award Lease #5 (or any other lease during this solicitation) to him—nor could it have—because Mr. Bovis did not ultimately submit a proposal. **The concession lease (Lease #5) was awarded to a bidder based on the results of the competitive solicitation process.**

Moreover, Ms. Crayton would not have had the opportunity to ensure Mr. Bovis was awarded Lease #5. According to the Airport's director of RDM, Airport commissioners have no direct contact with RDM staff administering solicitations or with solicitation panelists. Also, the identities of evaluation panelists are kept confidential, so Ms. Crayton could not have influenced them because she was not allowed to know who they were.

## Concession Leases Awarded Based on Solicitation Results

*(continued)*

The July 2019 audit report, *The Airport Complied With Solicitation Procedures for Concession Leases but Can Better Track Small and Local Business Participation*, found that Airport's solicitation process complies with city and departmental rules and regulations. The audit:

- Assessed the competitive solicitation process used to select tenants for food and beverage and retail concession leases at the Airport.
- Concluded that the Airport properly administers the solicitation process in accordance with city policy and procedures and grants concession leases in accordance with departmental policies for food and beverage and retail concession leases.

## Concession Leases Awarded Based on Solicitation Results

*(continued)*

### Preliminary Finding:

**Based on the 2019 audit and this review, Airport concession leases are awarded based on evaluation results from the competitive solicitation process.**

Beyond the findings of our 2019 audit, this review found further evidence that the Airport awards concession leases based on its evaluation of proposals as part of the competitive solicitation process, including:

- From July 1, 2015, through August 21, 2020, Airport records show that the Airport Commission awarded 99 concession agreements as recommended by RDM through its competitive solicitation process and evaluation results; the Commission did not reject any of the recommended proposers RDM submitted.
- According to the Airport's former chief business and finance officer and the current RDM director, during their tenures the Commission did not reject a lease award proposed by the evaluation team based on the competitive solicitation process. *(The former chief business and finance officer held the position for 30 years. The RDM director has held that position for 13 years.)*

## Evaluation Panels for Solicitations

According to the criminal complaint, confidential sources mentioned they previously had lost bids for restaurants at the Airport. Ms. Crayton allegedly stated she would have to make sure she had “everyone onboard” and would find out who would be on the selection committee.

### Preliminary Finding:

**Airport commissioners are appropriately excluded from participating in the selection process for evaluation panels.**

- Successful proposers are selected based on final scores evaluated by the RFP evaluation panel. The panels are generally made up of other Airport division staff and may include industry professionals or staff from another airport. RDM staff selects the panelists; the Airport Commission is not involved in the selection process. According to the Airport’s former chief business and finance officer, panelists’ identities are confidential. Thus, they are not disclosed to commissioners, and only high-level details are shared about panelists’ experience. According to the commission secretary, she ensures that panelist names do not appear in the commissioners’ package.



## Former Commissioner Crayton Did Not Report Improper Communications With the Potential Bidder

According to the criminal complaint, on April 4, 2018, then-Commissioner Crayton had a dinner meeting during which Mr. Nuru and Mr. Bovis sought preferential assistance to obtain a concession lease at the Airport for a business belonging to Mr. Bovis.

### Preliminary Finding:

**Ms. Crayton allegedly agreed to meet with and help a potential bidder by leveraging her position and authority and did not report the improper request for preferential assistance.** According to the complaint, Ms. Crayton met with a proposer during the “quiet period” related to the RFP for the Terminal 1 food and beverage concession lease. This period, in 2018, began on January 29<sup>th</sup>, the day the RFP was issued, and ended on May 2<sup>nd</sup>, the due date for proposals. The meeting described in the complaint violated the RFP instructions, which prohibit any attempt by the proposer to communicate with or solicit any city elected official, Airport commissioner, and/or any other city or Airport personnel during the quiet period, except as instructed in the RFP, with the intent to influence the outcome of the selection process or award of the lease.

## Former Commissioner Crayton Did Not Report Improper Communications With the Potential Bidder *(continued)*

### Preliminary Finding *(continued)*:

According to the Airport, Mr. Bovis ultimately did not submit a proposal for the lease in question. Regardless, Ms. Crayton should have reported the improper request for preferential assistance from a potential bidder and a city official to the City Attorney. She could also have reported it to the Controller's Whistleblower Program and the Ethics Commission. The [Controller's Whistleblower Program](#) website states it will respond to specific allegations of administrative wrongdoing by city employees and those who do business with the City.

## The Airport's Statement of Incompatible Activities Does Not Expressly Prohibit Ms. Crayton's Actions

### Preliminary Finding:

**Ms. Crayton allegedly promised to provide selective assistance to a potential bidder, but the Airport's statement of incompatible activities (SIA) does not prohibit communications with potential bidders and proposers.** The SIA prohibits officers, including commissioners, and employees from knowingly providing selective assistance to individuals or entities in a manner that confers a competitive advantage on a bidder or proposer who is competing for a city contract. As Mr. Bovis did not ultimately submit a bid, Ms. Crayton's alleged actions did not violate the SIA. Yet, these alleged actions are unethical, so should be clearly disallowed.

Departmental SIAs do not universally prohibit knowingly providing selective assistance to individuals or entities that confers a competitive advantage on a *potential* or actual bidder or proposer who competes or may compete for a city contract. To remedy this gap and to ensure the universal application of this rule, the City should codify in the San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code some of the major SIA rules, such as those around selective assistance to potential or actual bidders or proposers.

## New Airport Contracting Policies and Procedures

### Preliminary Finding:

**The Airport has strengthened its contracting policies and continues to make improvements.**

In response to the allegations in the federal criminal complaint and to ensure transparency and further strengthen its competitive solicitation and contracting processes, the Airport reports that it has implemented the following procedural changes:

- Added restrictions on communications by bidders and proposers during the quiet period to all RFPs and Requests for Bid (RFBs). Previously, according to the Airport, RFPs did not specifically designate a quiet period, rather a quiet period has been a longstanding practice.
- On December 19, 2020, implemented a Competitive Selection Process Communications Policy prohibiting communication with potential and actual proposers during the restricted communications period. This policy supplements the Airport's Statement of Incompatible Activities.

## New Airport Contracting Policies and Procedures *(continued)*

The following are among the additional implemented processes:

- Updated solicitation documents to incorporate the new Competitive Selection Process Communications Policy.
- Added (as a witness) an employee of the Social Responsibility & Community Sustainability office to the kickoff meeting of each evaluation panel.
- Trained staff involved in all processes described above.
- Updated guidelines to ensure evaluation panelists' names are confidential and do not appear in commission memorandums, which according to the Airport, has also been a longstanding practice within RDM.

## New Airport Contracting Policies and Procedures *(continued)*

The following are among the additional processes that are not yet implemented:

- Add language to Commission package memorandums about quiet periods and no contact before any RFP or RFB issuance.
- Improve screening of potential evaluation panelists by requiring panelists to sign certifications about the quiet period and the prohibition of contact with proposers.
- Have the Airport director or Commission president make a public statement about the prohibition against contacting officials or staff and the quiet period. This statement would occur during the Commission's open session when the Commission approves the commencement of an RFP or bid solicitation process.
- Require that an officer of each proposer attest in the proposer's bid or proposal that the proposer has not violated any rule of the City or Commission governing the competitive solicitation process.

## Other Organizations Surveyed on Contract Award Process

We conducted a survey to compare the Airport Commission's contract award process with those of four other city commissions/boards—the Port Commission (Port), Recreation and Park Commission (Rec & Park), San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors (SFMTA), and San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (PUC)—and the TJPA.

### Preliminary Finding:

**Similar to the Airport, other surveyed city commissions and the TJPA are not involved in the solicitation process or evaluation panels' selection process.**

Category	Airport	Port	Rec & Park	SFMTA	PUC	TJPA
Commission/board involved in solicitation process or proposal evaluation?	No <sup>1</sup>	No <sup>2</sup>	No <sup>3</sup>	No	No	No

<sup>1</sup> Approves solicitation commencement, bid acceptance, and contract award, but is not involved in administering solicitation or solicitation process.

<sup>2</sup> Approves solicitation commencement and contract award.

<sup>3</sup> May approve solicitation in advance if it involves new business concepts or may raise community concerns regarding park use and its impact.

## Other Organizations' Thresholds and Policies

Surveyed organizations have policies to define thresholds for awarding revenue contract leases\* and policies, though not always consistent, regarding prohibitions of communications with potential bidders.

Category	Airport	Rec & Park	Port	SFMTA	PUC	TJPA
Board or commission approves at contract award (and threshold)*	All concession contracts	Leases and concessions that exceed one year	Retail and maritime leases	Over \$1M (Revenue contract award & amendments)	Over \$1M or 10 or more years (Real estate leases)	Over \$1.8M or 10 or more years (Real estate & retail leases)
Written policy prohibits commission/board members from communicating with potential bidders	Yes**	No	No	Yes	No	No
Departmental SIA prohibits selective assistance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not applicable
RFP instructs potential bidders on how they may communicate with the organization	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

\* Pursuant to San Francisco Charter, Section 9.118, specific contracts may also require Board of Supervisors to approve contract award.

\*\* The Airport implemented its Competitive Selection Process Communications Policy on December 19, 2020.



## Other Organizations' Roles in the Contract Award Process

### Preliminary Finding:

Surveyed organizations have policies to define thresholds for awards requiring board or commission approval. All have SIAs that apply to commissioners or directors and prohibit selective assistance, but none of the SIAs prohibit communicating with potential proposers or bidders. Only one organization has separate policies on prohibitions of communications with potential bidders. However, all organizations' RFPs prohibit potential bidders from contacting any member of the organization.

From our survey and review, we learned that:

- All surveyed organizations have policies to define thresholds for awards requiring board or commission approval. The thresholds differ but appear reasonable.
- Like the Airport Commission, no other surveyed organization reports having rejected a lease or other contract recommended by department staff for contract award approval.

## Other Organizations' Roles in the Contract Award Process

*(continued)*

- No departmental SIA we reviewed prohibits the organization's officials or employees from communicating with potential bidders. All surveyed city departments' SIAs have the same language on selective assistance. The City should codify some major SIA provisions, including the prohibition of selective assistance to potential and actual bidders or proposers.
- Of the commissions/boards we reviewed, the Airport\* and SFMTA's Board of Directors have written policies prohibiting their members from communicating with potential bidders during the RFP process through the date of contract award, but all boards and commissions should have policies that include this.
- All organizations include written communication instructions in their RFPs that prohibit potential bidders from contacting any member of the organization. However, departments' RFPs are inconsistent regarding with whom potential bidders cannot communicate. Although all the RFPs specify a quiet period during which potential bidders and department staff cannot communicate, the Port and TJPA's RFPs also prohibit bidders from contacting members of boards or commissions, consultants, and RFP evaluation panel members.

\*The Airport implemented its Competitive Selection Process Communications Policy on December 19, 2020.

## The City May Benefit From Codifying Limitations on Commissioner and Board Director Involvement in the Contract Award Process

The San Francisco Charter, Section 4.102, outlines the powers and duties of boards and commissions, but says very little about what boards and commissions should not do. One of the main limitations is that "Each board or commission... shall deal with administrative matters solely through the department head or his or her designees, and any dictation, suggestion or interference herein prohibited on the part of any member of a board or commission shall constitute official misconduct..."

In contrast, Los Angeles County has codified director and commissioner involvement in contracts. The Los Angeles Municipal Code, Section 49.5.11, states that "except at a public meeting, a member of a City board or commission shall not participate in the development, review, evaluation, or negotiation of or the recommendation process for bids, proposals, or any other requests for the award or termination of a contract, amendment, or change order involving that board, commission, or agency..."

### Preliminary Finding:

**The City may benefit from codifying requirements to make explicit directors' and commissioners' roles, including the limitations thereon, in the contract award process.**

## SF Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 1.126

The San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 1.126, prohibits city contractors or affiliates from contributing to city elective officers where a contract must be approved by the city elective officer, a candidate for a city elective office, or a committee controlled by such an individual.

Since January 1, 2019, city departments and city elective officers\* have been required to report when a contract has a value of \$100,000 or more per fiscal year and requires the approval of a city elective officer.

- Departments must comply with Section 1.126(f)(2) and file Form SFEC-126f2 within 30 days of receipt of the proposal.
- City elective officers must comply with Section 1.126(f)(4) and file Form SFEC-126f4 within 5 business days of the approval of a contract.

Forms SFEC-126f2 and SFEC-126f4 are not in the City's financial system; they are submitted separately to the Ethics Commission.

\* City elective officers include the assessor, city attorney, district attorney, mayor, public defender, sheriff, treasurer, and members of the Board of Supervisors

## SF Charter, Section 9.118

Under the San Francisco Charter, Section 9.118, certain contracts and actions require Board of Supervisors' approval, including:

- Contracts with anticipated revenue of \$1 million or more or the modification, amendment, or termination of any such contract.
- Excluding construction contracts, contracts with a term of ten years or more, contracts requiring anticipated expenditures of \$10 million or more, or the modification of a contract with an impact of more than \$500,000.
- Leases of real property for a period of ten or more years, including options to renew, or having anticipated revenue of \$1 million or more; the modification, amendment, or termination of any covered lease.
- Any sale or other transfer of city-owned real property.

Such contracts, which may be entered into by any department, may be subject to the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 1.126.

## Ethics Forms SFEC-126f2 and SFEC-126f4

Form	Required Filers	Information Required to File
SFEC-126f2	City departments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Filing information</li> <li>• City contract information</li> <li>• Contract information, including RFP number, file number, and nature of the contract</li> <li>• Contractor information, including contractor or subcontractor name, contractor type, and date of proposal submission</li> <li>• Verification signature</li> </ul>
SFEC-126f4	City elective officers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Filing information</li> <li>• City elective office or board</li> <li>• Filer's contact information</li> <li>• Contracting department contact</li> <li>• Contractor information</li> <li>• Contract information, including RFP number, file number, contract amount, and nature of the contract</li> <li>• Contract approvals</li> <li>• Affiliates and subcontractors, including members of the contractor's board of directors; contractor's principal officers, including chief executive officer, chief financial officer, chief operating officer, or other persons with similar titles; any individual or entity who has an ownership interest of 10 percent or more in the contractor; and any subcontractor listed in the bid or contract</li> <li>• Verification signature</li> </ul>

## Increased Sightline for Contract Approvers by Using Information on Form SFEC-126f4

### Preliminary Finding:

**Before a contract is awarded, departmental and commission contract approvers may not know of contractor information that could help them avoid conflicts of interest.** The City could use information elected officials must provide when filing Form SFEC-126f4, which includes the name of the bidder, its subcontractor(s), subcontractor owner(s), directors, and officers.\* If this information was collected when the bid proposal was submitted and through the City's financial system instead of through departmental subsystems, this information could then be distributed to contract approvers before award approval so they know who is subject to the City's competitive selection policies.

This information would increase transparency, may help avoid violations of competitive selection policies, and should facilitate the completion of Form SFEC-126f4. If sharing this information with contract approvers proves useful to help prevent conflicts of interest, the City should consider requiring that this information be obtained for other contracts and enter it in the City's financial system.

\* A bidder may already be required to file this information as part of its business registration and tax filings, such as on IRS tax returns for nonprofit organizations, in Securities and Exchange Commission filings for publicly traded corporations, and in business registration filings with the State of California and the City.

## Recommendations

Given the findings of our preliminary assessment, we offer the following preliminary recommendations, which we may refine as the investigation and review continue and we consider the feedback we receive in the review process.

1. **City commissions and boards should revise their policies and procedures to include requirements to address members' involvement in contract award processes and prohibit communications during competitive selection.**
2. **In consultation with the Ethics Commission, each city commission and board should annually train its members on the department's statement of incompatible activities. The training should state that if proposers request inappropriate assistance, commissioners should report this to the commission secretary on public record and consult with the City Attorney's Office and the Ethics Commission for next steps.**
3. **City law should be amended to codify that city officials and employees shall not knowingly provide selective assistance to individuals or entities that confers a competitive advantage on a proposer or potential proposer for a city contract.**



## Recommendations *(continued)*

4. The Ethics Commission should work with the City Attorney's Office to consider codifying prohibitions in the statement of incompatible activities to ensure citywide consistency in their enforcement and increase the visibility of these prohibitions.
5. City departments should include in their competitive solicitation documents the restrictions on communication by and with potential bidders and enforce the restrictions by requiring commissions and board members to affirm compliance in writing annually.
6. The Airport should regularly issue reports to the Airport Commission listing published solicitation documents, so commissioners are aware of the pending restricted communications period for each solicitation, pursuant to the Airport's Competitive Selection Process Communications Policy.
7. The Airport should implement the remaining newly proposed aspects of the department's competitive solicitation process.

## Recommendations *(continued)*

8. The City should consider whether it would be warranted to codify the roles of commission and board members, including the limitations thereon, in the contract award process.
9. To promote data-driven decisions and consistency and transparency in city contracting:
  - a. City departments should work with the Controller's Office to develop and implement plans for enhancing the City's financial system to accommodate management of departmental revenue contracts, including bid opportunities, outreach, contract award, revenue collection, and revenue recognition.
  - b. The Controller's Office should, to enable this change, improve the City's financial system to better meet departments' needs.

## Recommendations *(continued)*

### 10. The City should:

- a. Direct departments to require proposers to submit key information about their affiliates and subcontractors, including identification of owners, directors, and officers, for contracts subject to the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 1.126(f)(4), in their response to the competitive solicitation process and enter this information in the City's financial system.
- b. Consider requiring departments to obtain this information for contracts not subject to Section 1.126(f)(4).

## Completed and Upcoming Public Integrity Reporting

Our Public Integrity Review, performed in consultation with the City Attorney, will continue to assess selected city policies and procedures to evaluate their adequacy in preventing abuse and fraud. Completed, current, and future assessments and reports address the following topics:

1. [San Francisco Public Works Contracting](#) (report issued on June 29, 2020)
2. [Gifts to Departments Through Non-City Organizations Lack Transparency and Create "Pay-to-Play" Risk](#) (report issued on September 24, 2020)
3. [San Francisco's Debarment Process](#) (report issued on November 5, 2020)
4. Citywide ethics reporting requirements
5. The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission contracting process
6. The Department of Building Inspection's policies and practices to award permits
7. A final report on the topics covered in these preliminary assessments

Additional reviews and assessments will be determined and performed as the City Attorney's investigation proceeds.

# Any questions or comments?

Contact us at: [ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org](mailto:ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org)  
[todd.rydstrom@sfgov.org](mailto:todd.rydstrom@sfgov.org)  
[mark.p.delarosa@sfgov.org](mailto:mark.p.delarosa@sfgov.org)

**From:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Gee, Natalie \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [Rose, Harvey \(BUD\)](#); [Campbell, Severin \(BUD\)](#); [Rosenfield, Ben \(CON\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [Rhorer, Trent \(HSA\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Presidential Memo - Special Board Meeting - January 19, 2021  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 9:08:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Presidential Memo - Special Meeting - Tuesday January 19 2021.pdf](#)

---

Dear Members,

At the request of President Walton, attached is a call for a Special Board meeting - on January 19, 2021 at 2:00 p.m. to hear the following item:

File No. 210014: Appropriation, COVID Contingency Reserve – Human Services Agency, Restaurant and Food Service Businesses in Chinatown, \$1,900,000 - FY2020-2021, Authorizing the Controller to Reduce COVID Contingency Reserve Appropriations and Return Funds to the full Board of Supervisors meeting on January 19, 2021.

For any questions please contact Natalie Gee.

Angela Calvillo  
 Clerk of the Board

---

**From:** Gee, Natalie (BOS) <natalie.gee@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 3:33 PM  
**To:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Presidential Memo - Special Board Meeting - January 19, 2021

Good afternoon Madam Clerk,

Please find attached a memo from President Walton calling for a Special Board of Supervisors' meeting on Tuesday, January 19, 2021 at 2:00pm. We would like to also request if your office can distribute to all parties in the "Cc" field and any other entities that require noticing.

Thank you for all your guidance and support!

Best,  
 Natalie

**Natalie Gee** 朱凱勤, Chief of Staff  
**Supervisor Shamann Walton, District 10**  
**President, Board of Supervisors**  
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl, San Francisco | Room 282  
**Direct:** 415.554.7672 | **Office:** 415.554.7670

*I am working from home due to the **COVID-19 Stay Safer At Home order** and will be most responsive by email.*

President, Board of Supervisors  
District 10



City and County of San Francisco

SHAMANN WALTON

---

## MEMORANDUM

---

DATE: January 11, 2021

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: President Shamann Walton

CC: Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors  
Board Legislative Aides  
Board Administrative Aides  
Anne Pearson, Office of the City Attorney  
Budget Legislative Analyst's Office  
Mayor's Office  
Controller's Office

SUBJECT: Special Board of Supervisors Meeting - Tuesday, January 19, 2021, 2:00pm

---

Dear Madam Clerk,

On Wednesday, January 13, 2021, the Board of Supervisors Budget and Finance Committee will consider sending File No. 210014 (Appropriation, COVID Contingency Reserve – Human Services Agency, Restaurant and Food Service Businesses in Chinatown, \$1,900,000 - FY2020-2021, Authorizing the Controller to Reduce COVID Contingency Reserve Appropriations and Return Funds) to the full Board of Supervisors meeting.

In conference with my Board colleagues, I am calling for a Special Board of Supervisors' meeting to take place on Tuesday, January 19, 2021, at 2:00pm to consider the urgent action on File No. 210014 if it is referred to the Board from the January 13, 2021, Budget and Finance Committee.

The remote Special Board of Supervisors Meeting shall include the following matters:

- **File No. 210014:** Appropriation – COVID Contingency Reserve – Human Services Agency – Restaurant and Food Service Businesses in Chinatown - \$1,900,000 – FY2020-2021 – Authorizing the Controller to Reduce COVID Contingency Reserve Appropriations and Return Funds (*under a Committee of the Whole hearing*)
- **Roll Call for Introductions**
- **General Public Comment**

On behalf of the entire Board, I want to extend my deepest gratitude and appreciation for you and your hard-working staff. We are always appreciative of the Clerk's staff ability to go above and beyond to meet requests during a very demanding time. Thank you.





President, Board of Supervisors  
District 10

City and County of San Francisco

SHAMANN WALTON


---

## MEMORANDUM

---

DATE: January 10, 2021

TO: Members of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: President Shamann Walton 

CC: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
Anne Pearson, Office of the City Attorney  
Board Legislative Aides

SUBJECT: **2021 Board Committee Assignments**

---

Dear Supervisors,

As we welcome our new colleagues to the Board of Supervisors, I am pleased to present updated Board Committee assignments for 2021. I am grateful to all of you for your input and collaboration. These appointments will go into effect immediately.

**Budget & Finance Committee**

Supervisor Matt Haney, Chair  
Supervisor Ahsha Safai, Vice Chair  
Supervisor Gordon Mar, Member

**Land Use & Transportation Committee**

Supervisor Myrna Melgar, Chair  
Supervisor Dean Preston, Vice Chair  
Supervisor Aaron Peskin, Member

**Budget & Appropriations Committee\***

Supervisor Matt Haney, Chair  
Supervisor Ahsha Safai, Vice Chair  
Supervisor Gordon Mar, Member  
Supervisor Hillary Ronen, Member  
Supervisor Shamann Walton, Member

**Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee**

Supervisor Gordon Mar, Chair  
Supervisor Catherine Stefani, Vice Chair  
Supervisor Matt Haney, Member

**Government Audit & Oversight Committee**

Supervisor Dean Preston, Chair  
Supervisor Connie Chan, Vice Chair  
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman, Member

**Rules Committee**

Supervisor Aaron Peskin, Chair  
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman, Vice Chair  
Supervisor Connie Chan, Member

**Joint City, School District, and City College Select Committee\*\***

Supervisor Hillary Ronen, Chair  
Supervisor Ahsha Safai, Vice Chair  
Supervisor Matt Haney, Alternate Member

*\* Pursuant to Section 3.25.1 of the Board of Supervisors' Rules of Order, the Budget & Appropriations Committee shall convene year-round on an as needed basis determined by the President of the Board.*

*\*\* Pursuant to Section 3.31 of the Board of Supervisors' Rules of Order, the Joint City, School District, and City College Select Committee is also comprised of two Commissioners from the Board of Education and two Trustees from the City College Board of Trustees that are appointed by their respective bodies.*

**From:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Administrative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)  
**Subject:** Reinstatement of Joint Schools Committee  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:12:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Presidential Memo - Joint City, School District, and City College Committee.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see the attached memo from President Walton regarding the Joint City, School District and City College Committee.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

President, Board of Supervisors  
District 10



City and County of San Francisco

**SHAMANN WALTON**

---

## **MEMORANDUM**

---

DATE: January 12, 2021

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: President Shamann Walton

CC: Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors  
Board Legislative Aides  
Board Administrative Aides  
Anne Pearson, Office of the City Attorney  
Mayor's Office

SUBJECT: Joint City, School District, and City College Committee

---

Dear Madam Clerk,

The Joint City, School District, and City College Committee shall be referred requests for matters that impact the City, School District, City College, its employees, its students, the families of its students, and the public use of School District and City College facilities. The Committee shall be chaired by a Member of the Board of Supervisors designated by the President. The Committee shall be comprised of two Members of the Board of Supervisors, two Commissioners of the Board of Education, and two Trustees of the City College Board of Trustees. Each respective body shall also appoint one alternate Member. Three members, including at least one Member of the Board of Supervisors, shall constitute a quorum of the Committee. This Committee shall terminate on, or before, January 1, 2023.

**From:** [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Letter to UC Regents from Supervisor Melgar  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 7:35:31 PM  
**Attachments:** [Supervisor Melgar - Letter to UC Regents - 1.19.2020.pdf](#)

---

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

Please find attached the letter that Supervisor Melgar submitted to the UC Board of Regents regarding the UCSF Parnassus Plan.

Thank you,

Jen

Jen Low | 羅菁霞

*pronouns: she,her/hers* ([What's this?](#))

Legislative Aide

**Supervisor Myrna Melgar**

San Francisco Board of Supervisors, District 7

**P:** 415.554.6521 | **E:** [jen.low@sfgov.org](mailto:jen.low@sfgov.org)



**MYRNA MELGAR**

January 19, 2021

Office of the Secretary and Chief of Staff to the Regents  
1111 Franklin Street, 12th Floor  
Oakland, CA 94607

SENT VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

**RE: Amendment #7 to the UC San Francisco 2014 Long Range Development Plan for the Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan Following Action Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, and Amendment #2 to the Physical Design Framework, San Francisco Campus**

Dear Chair Perez, Committee Chair Makarechian, and Committee Members,

I am writing in support of the University of California San Francisco's (UCSF) Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan (CPHP) and to request your support to refine the Memorandum of Understanding with the City and County of San Francisco. UCSF is the only hospital on the Westside of San Francisco and it has long been an anchor institution in our city, training generations of medical professionals and healing our city during pandemics and after natural disasters. I am committed to collaborating with Chancellor Hawgood and his staff to ensure a sustainable future, and a space plan that supports UCSF's success for generations to come.

Last week, I joined the majority of my colleagues on the San Francisco Board of Supervisors in asking the Regents to postpone the vote on certification of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for UCSF's proposed upgrades to their Parnassus campus to your March meeting. While the Memorandum of Understanding executed between City and County of San Francisco, acting through the Planning Department, and UCSF provides much needed investment in affordable workforce housing, transit improvements and operations, workforce opportunities and other community investments and physical upgrades to a critical emergency facility serving San Francisco and the Bay Area, I wanted to give the UCSF team more time to respond to the community's articulated desire to have an agreement with labor unions and a stronger and more specific commitment to housing UCSF's workforce particularly those with lower incomes.

I am happy to report that we have made great progress this past week, and a community workforce agreement has been developed and a stronger agreement achieved for the housing commitment. If you vote on certifying this EIR, I urge you to encourage UCSF to continue working to develop a more specific housing commitment targeted to the lowest paid UCSF workers. Technicians, janitors and clerical workers among others have increasingly had to move out of San Francisco and commute long distances to do lifesaving work at UCSF. Specifically, I would ask that the commitment be refined as follows: Of the 40% of new units built and made available as affordable:

- 30% of units be targeted to households earning between 60-70% of the Area Median Income or below
- 20% of units be targeted to households earning between 70% and 80% of the Area Median Income
- 25% of units be targeted to households earning between 80% and 90% of the Area Median Income
- 25% of units be targeted to households earning between 90 and 120% of the Area Median Income

California is grappling with the issues of climate change and an acute housing crisis. Governor Gavin Newsom and our State Legislature have made both issues priorities. The University of California has a unique opportunity to lead in finding solutions, working collaboratively with other state agencies, such as CalHFA, local partners and housing development financing organizations to develop a model of workforce housing with ambitious goals that support its mission and employees. Please take up this opportunity.

As the supervisor for the district where the hospital and research center are located, I am grateful to UCSF and its many contributions to my district and our city, and will continue working to support the vision for a modern, useful, state of the art campus where workers and staff can save the lives of others and thrive themselves as well. Thank you for your service to our city and the residents of California.

Sincerely,



Myrna Melgar  
Supervisor, District 7  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Cc: The Honorable Mayor London Breed  
The Honorable Board of Supervisors

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Banned withholdings - immediate disclosure request for each Supervisor/Clerk  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:33:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Fw Banned withholdings - immediate disclosure request for each SupervisorClerk.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
 Sent: Sunday, January 10, 2021 8:30 AM  
 To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>;  
 Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean  
 (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS]  
 <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS)  
 <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>  
 Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR)  
 <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>  
 Subject: Fw: Banned withholdings - immediate disclosure request for each Supervisor/Clerk

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Anonymous](#)  
**To:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [MayorSunshineRequests, MYR \(MYR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: Banned withholdings - immediate disclosure request for each Supervisor/Clerk  
**Date:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 8:30:26 AM  
**Attachments:** [signature.asc](#)

---

Supervisors Catherine Stefani, Aaron Peskin, Gordon Mar, Dean Preston, Matt Haney, Rafael Mandelman, Hillary Ronen, Shamann Walton, Ahsha Safai, each of your Offices, Clerk Calvillo, and Office of the Clerk:

This request is regarding the normally prohibited justifications that the Mayor purported to allow supposedly for COVID reasons, but I believe were done solely to hide the Mayor's records.

It's possible that you have not used any of the Mayor's "special" COVID justifications, so your response will be simply "no records".

Please read SF Admin Code 67.26 and 67.27 carefully before you respond - make sure you provide to me a specific legal citation for every redaction (with a key) and for every record fully withheld. Please provide rolling responses. Ensure you meet the 10-day CPRA deadline for responding *with justifications*, even if it takes longer to produce the records during COVID.

As you are aware I will pursue every violation of the CPRA or Sunshine Ordinance by every public official.

I have never yet needed to bring a complaint against a Supervisor or the Office of the Clerk - please keep it that way.

**This is an immediate disclosure request to each of the 9 Supervisors (and their Offices), and to the Clerk (and her Office):**

- (a) responses (to records requests) from Jan 1, 2020 to present which you have justified a withholding or redaction by Gov Code 6255 (public-interest balancing test aka catchall exemption), because they are documents and information during the course of contract negotiations, the deliberative process privilege, Penal Code 832.7(b)(6), Times Mirror Co v Superior Court (1991), or any other public-interest balancing test,
- (b) underlying original records (without any redactions you may have previously applied) for which you previously claimed any of the redactions/withholdings in (a) (that's not the same as (a) - read carefully - I want the cover letters in (a) and also the original records in (b), and you must justify their withholding - if you withhold them today - to me in writing *to this request*) EXCLUDED are:
- (c) prior responses to this specific From email address at protonmail. Do NOT assume that responses to anonymous people on MuckRock are me, or exclude on that basis. Since the Scott/Breed homeless texts went viral there are a large number of people making similar requests on MuckRock, often copy-pasting my verbiage and legalese from my requests.

I intend to ensure you justify all those refusals *to me in this request* (**which I will then appeal while the earlier requesters may choose not to**) and to legally force you to preserve all such original records pending appeals until after COVID orders expire or are thrown out by the Board or a Court, and then also to re-request all of those records at that time.



***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.***

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Sunday, January 10th, 2021 at 8:11 AM, Anonymous  
<arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com> wrote:

Mayor Breed, Mayor's Office, Dennis Herrera, City Attorney's Office:

This is an immediate disclosure request for all:

(a) responses (to records requests) from Jan 1, 2020 to present which you have justified a withholding or redaction by Gov Code 6255, because they are documents and information during the course of contract negotiations, the deliberative process privilege, Penal Code 832.7(b)(6), Times Mirror Co v Superior Court (1991), or any other public-interest balancing test,  
(b) underlying unredacted, original records for which you claimed any of the redactions/withholdings in (a) (that's not the same as (a) - read carefully - I want the cover letters and also the original records)

EXCLUDED are:

(c) responses to this specific From email address at protonmail. Do NOT assume that responses to anonymous people on MuckRock are me, or exclude on that basis. Since the Scott/Breed homeless texts went viral there are a large number of people making similar requests on MuckRock, often copy-pasting my verbiage and legalese from my requests.

I intend to ensure you justify all those refusals *to me in this request* (which I will then appeal while the earlier requesters may not) and to preserve all such original records pending appeals until after COVID orders expire or are thrown out by the Board or a Court, and then also to re-request all of those records at that time. I am already appealing 100% of your responses to this email address, so I don't need those here.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely***

*authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.*

Sincerely,

Anonymous

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Further ICS calendar / email fields - SOTF 19044 and 19047  
**Date:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 11:22:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [signature.asc](#)

---

**From:** Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 10:49 AM

**To:** Tovar, Joshua (TIS) <joshua.tovar@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Gerull, Linda (TIS) <linda.gerull@sfgov.org>; Heckel, Hank (MYR) <hank.heckel@sfgov.org>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; COTE, JOHN (CAT) <John.Cote@sfcityatty.org>; Makstman, Michael (TIS) <Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Further ICS calendar / email fields - SOTF 19044 and 19047

Thanks Joshua for all your work on this!

**Linda/Michael:** Based on CAO/Cote's recent email header production, I'm asking for one addition to the Email allowlist at this time:

- **Authentication-Results** - As SOTF posited in their very first hearings on this matter - the indication of whether or not a particular email is spoofed/forged is in fact important to disclose. If forged, the email may not actually be the work of the person claimed in the From or Sender. Note that the Authentication-**Results** field does not include the private authentication material (i.e. any private cryptographic keys) used in authentication, it is merely the **result** (pass/fail) about whether the email is believed to be forged. As with the Received header, IP addresses, if any may be exempt (if for a private person) under personal privacy or (if for the City) under IT security. [citation: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8601> ]

I am not conceding that all of the email header values not provided by CAO are in fact exempt IT security risks. I simply don't need to fight for them in this one record at this time. Just as the CAO lengthily disclaims, if some day a record comes up that I need to fight for a particular other header, I'll do so at that time.

And based on MYR/Heckel's calendar ICS recent production, I'm asking for the following four Calendar fields to be added to the allow list:

- **X-MS-OLK-FORCEINSPECTOROPEN** - yes/no flag, indicates whether the record is calendar appointment or an export [citation: [https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/openspecs/exchange\\_server\\_protocols/ms-oxcical/d2a0a079-02a6-4643-9e78-0ac35998e1fb](https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/openspecs/exchange_server_protocols/ms-oxcical/d2a0a079-02a6-4643-9e78-0ac35998e1fb)]
- **TRANSP** - yes/no flag, whether or not the attendee has blocked the time as busy on their calendar [citation: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5545#page-102> ]
- **X-MS-OLK-AUTOFILLLOCATION** - yes/no flag, whether the location was automatically populated [citation: [https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/openspecs/exchange\\_server\\_protocols/ms-oxcical/73693601-ce75-486c-9dd2-f5549d15feeaa](https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/openspecs/exchange_server_protocols/ms-oxcical/73693601-ce75-486c-9dd2-f5549d15feeaa)]
- **X-MS-OLK-CONFTYPE** - integer between 0 and 2. indicates the type of conference. this

doesn't actually provide conference call info, it just indicates what *kind* of conference it is [citation: [https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/openspecs/exchange\\_server\\_protocols/ms-oxcical/0ac38289-6578-4a6f-b8be-efd74edd3d71](https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/openspecs/exchange_server_protocols/ms-oxcical/0ac38289-6578-4a6f-b8be-efd74edd3d71), [https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/openspecs/exchange\\_server\\_protocols/ms-oxocal/38eb04cc-92c9-4e3d-9e80-55a9976a5b7e](https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/openspecs/exchange_server_protocols/ms-oxocal/38eb04cc-92c9-4e3d-9e80-55a9976a5b7e)]

That would make 100% of the ICS record unredacted.

Please let me know DT's cybersec determination on these fields.

**NOTE:** 1. If you are a public official: I intend that these communications all be disclosable public records, and I will not hold in confidence any of your messages, notwithstanding any notices to the contrary. 2. Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. 3. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. 4. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender.

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, January 21st, 2021 at 9:58 AM, Tovar, Joshua (TIS) <[joshua.tovar@sfgov.org](mailto:joshua.tovar@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Hi Linda,

Yes, confirming these headers are on the allow list.

Regards,

---

**From:** Linda Gerull <[linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org)>

**Date:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 at 9:30 AM

**To:** Anonymous <[arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com](mailto:arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com)>

**Cc:** Joshua Tovar <[joshua.tovar@sfgov.org](mailto:joshua.tovar@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** RE: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

Yup. I will check again but I believe we added these in the last round of changes.

Josh, can you please verify?

Thanks,

LG

---

**From:** Anonymous <[arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com](mailto:arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 9:29 AM  
**To:** Gerull, Linda (TIS) <[linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** RE: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

I was talking about this thread - btw.

----- Original Message -----

On Tuesday, January 12th, 2021 at 12:39 PM, Anonymous  
<[arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com](mailto:arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com)> wrote:

Thank you Ms. Gerull for your team's considered judgment.

Based on DPW's production of calendar ICS records, I ask DT to consider the following for further addition to the Calendar Metadata Allow-list.

- CREATED - when the entry was created
- LAST-MODIFIED - when the entry was modified
- X-ALT-DESC - this is the formatted version of the meeting description which should be redacted for usual privilege, privacy, etc., but not blocked completely based on IT risk as it is now
- X-MICROSOFT-CDO-IMPORTANCE - whether the meeting is flagged as Important or not
- X-MS-OLKAPPTSEQTIME - the date the ICS was generated
- X-MS-OLK-APPTLASTSEQUENCE - the number of times the meeting

has re-occurred

- X-MS-OLK-SENDER - the identity of the person who sent an invite on behalf of another

You may also want to consider whether to remove the following items from the Calendar Allow-list:

- UID - a unique identifier for the entry.

A number of these you had previously agreed to, but I think they might have been missed.

Thank you.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.***

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Tuesday, January 12th, 2021 at 11:28 AM, Gerull, Linda (TIS) [linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org) wrote:

All,

The Department of Technology cyber Security team has review this request and determined this data file can be released.

X -OriginalArrivalTime Identifies the time when the message first entered the Exchange organization.

This field will be added to the Metadata Allow List and the list will be updated.

If you have any questions please contact me.

Regadrs,

Linda

Linda J. Gerull

City CIO

Executive Director | Department of Technology

City and County of San Francisco

628.652.5182| [linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org)

@SFCityCIO | [sfgov.org/dt](https://sfgov.org/dt)

-----Original Message-----

From: Gerull, Linda (TIS) [linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org)

Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 7:44 PM

To: Anonymous [arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com](mailto:arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com); SOTF, (BOS) [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org); Steinberg, David (DPW) [david.steinberg@sfdpw.org](mailto:david.steinberg@sfdpw.org); Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) [alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org](mailto:alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org)

Cc: Lila LaHood [lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com](mailto:lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com); Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) [sotf@brucewolfe.net](mailto:sotf@brucewolfe.net); Cityattorney [Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Andraychak, Michael (POL) [michael.andraychak@sfgov.org](mailto:michael.andraychak@sfgov.org); Makstman, Michael (TIS) [Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org](mailto:Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org); Heckel, Hank

(MYR) [hank.heckel@sfgov.org](mailto:hank.heckel@sfgov.org)

Subject: RE: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

We have received your request and we will review the addition of "X-OriginalArrivalTime" to the allow list.

Regards,

Linda

Linda J. Gerull

City CIO

Executive Director | Department of Technology City and County of San Francisco

628.652.5182 | [linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org)

@SFCityCIO | [sfgov.org/dt](https://sfgov.org/dt)

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous [arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com](mailto:arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com)

Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 7:02 PM

To: SOTF, (BOS) [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org); Steinberg, David (DPW) [david.steinberg@sfdpw.org](mailto:david.steinberg@sfdpw.org); Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) [alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org](mailto:alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org)

Cc: Gerull, Linda (TIS) [linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org); Lila LaHood [lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com](mailto:lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com); Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) [sotf@brucewolfe.net](mailto:sotf@brucewolfe.net); Cityattorney [Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Andraychak, Michael (POL) [michael.andraychak@sfgov.org](mailto:michael.andraychak@sfgov.org); Makstman, Michael (TIS) [Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org](mailto:Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org); Heckel, Hank (MYR) [hank.heckel@sfgov.org](mailto:hank.heckel@sfgov.org)

Subject: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.





**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Fw: Banned withholdings - immediate disclosure request for each Supervisor/Clerk  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:34:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Re Fw Banned withholdings - immediate disclosure request for each SupervisorClerk.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, January 10, 2021 8:35 AM  
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Snyder, Jen (BOS) <jen.snyder@sfgov.org>  
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MayorSunshineRequests, MYR (MYR) <mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>  
Subject: Re: Fw: Banned withholdings - immediate disclosure request for each Supervisor/Clerk

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Anonymous](#)  
**To:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Snyder, Jen \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [MayorSunshineRequests, MYR \(MYR\)](#); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Fw: Banned withholdings - immediate disclosure request for each Supervisor/Clerk  
**Date:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 8:35:06 AM  
**Attachments:** [signature.asc](#)

---

Also - to all of you: remember that you need to re-evaluate your prior justifications *in this specific request*.

It is possible that the public-interest balancing no longer supports a prior redaction or that a contract negotiation is no longer in progress, etc.

--Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Sunday, January 10th, 2021 at 8:30 AM, Anonymous  
<[arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com](mailto:arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com)> wrote:

Supervisors Catherine Stefani, Aaron Peskin, Gordon Mar, Dean Preston, Matt Haney, Rafael Mandelman, Hillary Ronen, Shamann Walton, Ahsha Safai, each of your Offices, Clerk Calvillo, and Office of the Clerk:

This request is regarding the normally prohibited justifications that the Mayor purported to allow supposedly for COVID reasons, but I believe were done solely to hide the Mayor's records.

It's possible that you have not used any of the Mayor's "special" COVID justifications, so your response will be simply "no records".

Please read SF Admin Code 67.26 and 67.27 carefully before you respond - make sure you provide to me a specific legal citation for every redaction (with a key) and for every record fully withheld. Please provide rolling responses. Ensure you meet the 10-day CPRA deadline for responding *with justifications*, even if it takes longer to produce the records during COVID.

As you are aware I will pursue every violation of the CPRA or Sunshine Ordinance by every public official.

I have never yet needed to bring a complaint against a Supervisor or the Office of the Clerk - please keep it that way.

**This is an immediate disclosure request to each of the 9 Supervisors (and their Offices), and to the Clerk (and her Office):**

(a) responses (to records requests) from Jan 1, 2020 to present which you have justified a withholding or redaction by Gov Code 6255 (public-interest balancing test aka catchall exemption), because they are documents and information during the course of contract negotiations, the deliberative process privilege, Penal Code 832.7(b)(6), *Times Mirror Co v Superior Court* (1991), or any other public-interest balancing test,

(b) underlying original records (without any redactions you may have previously applied) for which you previously claimed any of the redactions/withholdings in (a) (that's not the same as (a) - read carefully - I want the cover letters in (a) and

also the original records in (b), and you must justify their withholding - if you withhold them today - to me in writing *to this request*)

EXCLUDED are:

(c) prior responses to this specific From email address at protonmail. Do NOT assume that responses to anonymous people on MuckRock are me, or exclude on that basis. Since the Scott/Breed homeless texts went viral there are a large number of people making similar requests on MuckRock, often copy-pasting my verbiage and legalese from my requests.

I intend to ensure you justify all those refusals *to me in this request* (**which I will then appeal while the earlier requesters may choose not to**) and to legally force you to preserve all such original records pending appeals until after COVID orders expire or are thrown out by the Board or a Court, and then also to re-request all of those records at that time.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.***

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Sunday, January 10th, 2021 at 8:11 AM, Anonymous  
<arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com> wrote:

Mayor Breed, Mayor's Office, Dennis Herrera, City Attorney's Office:

This is an immediate disclosure request for all:

(a) responses (to records requests) from Jan 1, 2020 to present which you have justified a withholding or redaction by Gov Code 6255, because they are documents and information during the course of contract negotiations, the deliberative process privilege, Penal Code 832.7(b)(6), Times Mirror Co v Superior Court (1991), or any other public-interest balancing test,

(b) underlying unredacted, original records for which you claimed any of the redactions/withholdings in (a) (that's not the same as (a) - read carefully - I want the cover letters and also the original records)  
EXCLUDED are:

(c) responses to this specific From email address at protonmail. Do

NOT assume that responses to anonymous people on MuckRock are me, or exclude on that basis. Since the Scott/Breed homeless texts went viral there are a large number of people making similar requests on MuckRock, often copy-pasting my verbiage and legalese from my requests.

I intend to ensure you justify all those refusals *to me in this request* (which I will then appeal while the earlier requesters may not) and to preserve all such original records pending appeals until after COVID orders expire or are thrown out by the Board or a Court, and then also to re-request all of those records at that time. I am already appealing 100% of your responses to this email address, so I don't need those here.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.***

Sincerely,

Anonymous

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:45:00 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Gerull, Linda (TIS) <linda.gerull@sfgov.org>  
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:29 AM  
To: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Steinberg, David (DPW) <david.steinberg@sfdpw.org>; Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) <alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org>  
Cc: Lila LaHood <lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com>; Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) <sotf@brucewolfe.net>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>; Makstman, Michael (TIS) <Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org>; Heckel, Hank (MYR) <hank.heckel@sfgov.org>; Tovar, Joshua (TIS) <joshua.tovar@sfgov.org>; King, Dan (TIS - Contractor) <dan.king@sfgov.org>  
Subject: RE: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

All,

The Department of Technology cyber Security team has review this request and determined this data file can be released.

X -OriginalArrivalTime Identifies the time when the message first entered the Exchange organization.

This field will be added to the Metadata Allow List and the list will be updated.

If you have any questions please contact me.

Regadr,  
Linda

Linda J. Gerull  
City CIO  
Executive Director | Department of Technology City and County of San Francisco

628.652.5182 | linda.gerull@sfgov.org  
@SFCityCIO | sfgov.org/dt

-----Original Message-----

From: Gerull, Linda (TIS) <linda.gerull@sfgov.org>  
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 7:44 PM  
To: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Steinberg, David (DPW) <david.steinberg@sfdpw.org>; Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) <alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org>  
Cc: Lila LaHood <lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com>; Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) <sotf@brucewolfe.net>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>; Makstman, Michael (TIS) <Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org>; Heckel, Hank (MYR) <hank.heckel@sfgov.org>  
Subject: RE: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

We have received your request and we will review the addition of "X-OriginalArrivalTime" to the allow list.

Regards,

Linda

Linda J. Gerull  
City CIO  
Executive Director | Department of Technology City and County of San Francisco

628.652.5182 | [linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org)  
[@SFCityCIO](https://www.sfgov.org/dt) | [sfgov.org/dt](https://www.sfgov.org/dt)

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <[arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com](mailto:arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com)>  
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 7:02 PM  
To: SOTF, (BOS) <[sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org)>; Steinberg, David (DPW) <[david.steinberg@sfdpw.org](mailto:david.steinberg@sfdpw.org)>; Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) <[alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org](mailto:alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org)>  
Cc: Gerull, Linda (TIS) <[linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org)>; Lila LaHood <[lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com](mailto:lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com)>; Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) <[sotf@brucewolfe.net](mailto:sotf@brucewolfe.net)>; Cityattorney <[Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org)>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; Andraychak, Michael (POL) <[michael.andraychak@sfgov.org](mailto:michael.andraychak@sfgov.org)>; Makstman, Michael (TIS) <[Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org](mailto:Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org)>; Heckel, Hank (MYR) <[hank.heckel@sfgov.org](mailto:hank.heckel@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:13:00 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Gerull, Linda (TIS) <linda.gerull@sfgov.org>  
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 7:44 PM  
To: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Steinberg, David (DPW) <david.steinberg@sfdpw.org>; Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) <alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org>  
Cc: Lila LaHood <lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com>; Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) <sotf@brucewolfe.net>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>; Makstman, Michael (TIS) <Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org>; Heckel, Hank (MYR) <hank.heckel@sfgov.org>  
Subject: RE: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

We have received your request and we will review the addition of "X-OriginalArrivalTime" to the allow list.

Regards,  
Linda

Linda J. Gerull  
City CIO  
Executive Director | Department of Technology City and County of San Francisco

628.652.5182 | linda.gerull@sfgov.org  
@SFCityCIO | sfgov.org/dt

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 7:02 PM  
To: SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Steinberg, David (DPW) <david.steinberg@sfdpw.org>; Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) <alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org>  
Cc: Gerull, Linda (TIS) <linda.gerull@sfgov.org>; Lila LaHood <lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com>; Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) <sotf@brucewolfe.net>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>; Makstman, Michael (TIS) <Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org>; Heckel, Hank (MYR) <hank.heckel@sfgov.org>  
Subject: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:13:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 7:02 PM  
To: SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Steinberg, David (DPW) <david.steinberg@sfdpw.org>; Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) <alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org>  
Cc: Gerull, Linda (TIS) <linda.gerull@sfgov.org>; Lila LaHood <lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com>; Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) <sotf@brucewolfe.net>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>; Makstman, Michael (TIS) <Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org>; Heckel, Hank (MYR) <hank.heckel@sfgov.org>  
Subject: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 1:24:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [signature.asc](#)

---

**From:** Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 12:39 PM

**To:** Gerull, Linda (TIS) <linda.gerull@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Steinberg, David (DPW) <david.steinberg@sfdpw.org>; Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) <alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org>; Lila LaHood <lilahood.sotf@gmail.com>; Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) <sotf@brucewolfe.net>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>; Makstman, Michael (TIS) <Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org>; Heckel, Hank (MYR) <hank.heckel@sfgov.org>; Tovar, Joshua (TIS) <joshua.tovar@sfgov.org>; King, Dan (TIS - Contractor) <dan.king@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** RE: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

Thank you Ms. Gerull for your team's considered judgment.

Based on DPW's production of calendar ICS records, I ask DT to consider the following for further addition to the Calendar Metadata Allow-list.

- CREATED - when the entry was created
- LAST-MODIFIED - when the entry was modified
- X-ALT-DESC - this is the formatted version of the meeting description which should be redacted for usual privilege, privacy, etc., but not blocked completely based on IT risk as it is now
- X-MICROSOFT-CDO-IMPORTANCE - whether the meeting is flagged as Important or not
- X-MS-OLKAPPTSEQTIME - the date the ICS was generated
- X-MS-OLK-APPTLASTSEQUENCE - the number of times the meeting has re-occurred
- X-MS-OLK-SENDER - the identity of the person who sent an invite on behalf of another

You may also want to consider whether to remove the following items from the Calendar Allow-list:

- UID - a unique identifier for the entry.

A number of these you had previously agreed to, but I think they might have been missed.

Thank you.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any***

***other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.***

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Tuesday, January 12th, 2021 at 11:28 AM, Gerull, Linda (TIS) [linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org) wrote:

All,

The Department of Technology cyber Security team has review this request and determined this data file can be released.

X -OriginalArrivalTime Identifies the time when the message first entered the Exchange organization.

This field will be added to the Metadata Allow List and the list will be updated.

If you have any questions please contact me.

Regadrs,

Linda

Linda J. Gerull

City CIO

Executive Director | Department of Technology

City and County of San Francisco

628.652.5182| [linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org)

@SFCityCIO | [sfgov.org/dt](https://sfgov.org/dt)

-----Original Message-----

From: Gerull, Linda (TIS) [linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org)

Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 7:44 PM

To: Anonymous [arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com](mailto:arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com); SOTF, (BOS) [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org); Steinberg, David (DPW) [david.steinberg@sfdpw.org](mailto:david.steinberg@sfdpw.org); Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) [alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org](mailto:alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org)

Cc: Lila LaHood [lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com](mailto:lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com); Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) [sotf@brucewolfe.net](mailto:sotf@brucewolfe.net); Cityattorney [Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Andraychak, Michael (POL) [michael.andraychak@sfgov.org](mailto:michael.andraychak@sfgov.org); Makstman, Michael (TIS) [Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org](mailto:Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org); Heckel, Hank (MYR) [hank.heckel@sfgov.org](mailto:hank.heckel@sfgov.org)

Subject: RE: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

We have received your request and we will review the addition of "X-OriginalArrivalTime" to the allow list.

Regards,

Linda

Linda J. Gerull

City CIO

Executive Director | Department of Technology City and County of San Francisco

628.652.5182 | [linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org)

@SFCityCIO | [sfgov.org/dt](https://sfgov.org/dt)

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous [arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com](mailto:arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com)

Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 7:02 PM

To: SOTF, (BOS) [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org); Steinberg, David (DPW) [david.steinberg@sfdpw.org](mailto:david.steinberg@sfdpw.org); Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) [alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org](mailto:alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org)

Cc: Gerull, Linda (TIS) [linda.gerull@sfgov.org](mailto:linda.gerull@sfgov.org); Lila LaHood [lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com](mailto:lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com); Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) [sotf@brucewolfe.net](mailto:sotf@brucewolfe.net); Cityattorney [Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Andraychak, Michael (POL) [michael.andraychak@sfgov.org](mailto:michael.andraychak@sfgov.org); Makstman, Michael (TIS) [Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org](mailto:Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org); Heckel, Hank (MYR) [hank.heckel@sfgov.org](mailto:hank.heckel@sfgov.org)

Subject: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - almost there!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - completed  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:45:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Re Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - completed.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 10:09 AM  
To: SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Steinberg, David (DPW) <david.steinberg@sfdpw.org>; Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) <alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org>  
Cc: Gerull, Linda (TIS) <linda.gerull@sfgov.org>; Lila LaHood <lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com>; Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) <sotf@brucewolfe.net>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>; Makstman, Michael (TIS) <Michael.Makstman@sfgov.org>; Heckel, Hank (MYR) <hank.heckel@sfgov.org>  
Subject: Re: Metadata compliance and SOTF 19097 - completed

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Privacy rights failure by Herrera re: Bryan Carmody Warrants - Complaint  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:37:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Re Privacy rights failure by Herrera re Bryan Carmody Warrants - Complaint.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, January 10, 2021 1:08 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative\_aides@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Connie Chan (SF BoS Supervisor) <Connie.Chan@sfgov.org>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Leger, Cheryl (BOS) <cheryl.leger@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff <ChanStaff@sfgov.org>  
Cc: Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; FEITELBERG, BRITTANY (CAT) <Brittany.Feitelberg@sfcityatty.org>; dsnyder@firstamendmentcoalition.org;  
FAC@FIRSTAMENDMENTCOALITION.ORG  
Subject: Re: Privacy rights failure by Herrera re: Bryan Carmody Warrants - Complaint

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Privacy rights failure by Herrera re: Bryan Carmody Warrants  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:37:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Privacy rights failure by Herrera re Bryan Carmody Warrants.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, January 10, 2021 11:33 AM  
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative\_aides@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Connie Chan (SF BoS Supervisor) <Connie.Chan@sfgov.org>  
Cc: dsnyder@firstamendmentcoalition.org  
Subject: Privacy rights failure by Herrera re: Bryan Carmody Warrants

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: PUC Harlan Kelly Jr. Text Messages Petition  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 3:52:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Re PUC Harlan Kelly Jr. Text Messages Petition.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: sfrecordsresearch@pm.me <sfrecordsresearch@pm.me>  
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2021 2:53 PM  
To: RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>; Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>; CHIN, HOLLY (CAT) <Holly.Chin@sfcityatty.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; COTE, JOHN (CAT) <John.Cote@sfcityatty.org>; FEITELBERG, BRITTANY (CAT) <Brittany.Feitelberg@sfcityatty.org>  
Subject: Re: PUC Harlan Kelly Jr. Text Messages Petition

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [sfrecordsresearch@pm.me](mailto:sfrecordsresearch@pm.me)  
**To:** [RUSSI, BRAD \(CAT\)](#); [Records, Supervisor \(CAT\)](#); [CHIN, HOLLY \(CAT\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [COTE, JOHN \(CAT\)](#); [FEITELBERG, BRITTANY \(CAT\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: PUC Harlan Kelly Jr. Text Messages Petition  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 2:52:57 PM  
**Attachments:** [signature.asc](#)

---

Weekly reminder: Herrera refuses to rule up or down (since July 9, 2020) on whether or not PUC ex-GM Harlan Kelly, Jr's texts with criminal Walter Wong -- related to payments, travel, insurance and more -- are public.

These are records PUC disclosed to me, that I have read, and that were then retracted by PUC.

Herrera has a legal responsibility to make a ruling under SF Admin Code 67.21(d) within 10 days.  
If he thinks these should be secret, he only needs to rule against the public, and put an end to this.

When will you rule Mr. Herrera?

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, January 7th, 2021 at 1:26 PM, [sfrecordsresearch@pm.me](mailto:sfrecordsresearch@pm.me) <[sfrecordsresearch@pm.me](mailto:sfrecordsresearch@pm.me)> wrote:

Supervisor of Records Dennis Herrera:  
*BCC journalists.*

Your staff informed me the Sup of Records would respond to my other Dec 10, 2020 petition under 67.21(d) by Jan 15, 2021.

**The below 67.21(d) petition regarding texts between Harlan Kelly and Walter Wong has been outstanding since July 9, 2020.** It is almost certainly the most important of my petitions outstanding considering the allegedly criminal behavior of a senior City official evidenced in the records withheld.

Is there any date you expect this petition to be replied to?

**Mr. Press Secretary Cote:**

Is Herrera's plan to refuse to respond to the petition until the story is long forgotten and covered up?

Any comment?

--Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Wednesday, December 30th, 2020 at 4:04 PM, [sfrecordsresearch@pm.me](mailto:sfrecordsresearch@pm.me) <[sfrecordsresearch@pm.me](mailto:sfrecordsresearch@pm.me)> wrote:

Ms. Chin-

You informed me Sup of Records would respond to my other Dec 10, 2020 petition under 67.21(d) by Jan 15, 2021.

Please note that the below 67.21(d) petition has been outstanding since July 9, 2020. Is there any date you expect this petition to be replied to?

--Anonymous

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 1:23 AM, [sfrecordsresearch@pm.me](mailto:sfrecordsresearch@pm.me) <[sfrecordsresearch@pm.me](mailto:sfrecordsresearch@pm.me)> wrote:

Dennis Herrera,

Today is day 174 of you refusing to respond to the Kelly-Wong text message petition under SF Admin Code 67.21(d), and thus also day 174 of you helping PUC and Harlan Kelly, Jr. to hide these records of communications between Kelly and a City contractor who has pled guilty to crimes related to City corruption.

As City Attorney, you have a legal duty to protect public access to information.

Why do you refuse to respond to my July 9, 2020 Supervisor of Records petition for the Kelly-Wong text messages?

What happened to the supposed unparalleled independence of your Office that Mr. Cote touts to the press?

PUC possesses the unredacted original whether or not Kelly is a city employee - all you have to do is order one or more parts of it disclosed.

Sincerely,  
Anonymous

On Tue, Dec 1, 2020 at 2:24 PM, sfrecordsresearch@pm.me <[sfrecordsresearch@pm.me](mailto:sfrecordsresearch@pm.me)> wrote:

Dennis Herrera,

Set aside your opinion of me and my other petitions - this is a case where your office must agree that the public interest is served by immediately reviewing my attached Supervisor of Records petition and ordering the disclosure of these records. **This is of the highest urgency and it is your duty to issue a written determination against PUC and order disclosure.**

You claim to have unparalleled independence. Do not let PUC hide communications of allegedly corrupt behavior. The criminal complaint by the United States against Mr. Kelly references topics *related to various messages partially withheld by the PUC from me re: travel, insurance payments, and more that were, at first, disclosed*. If nothing else, your office must review the unredacted versions of those text messages. I have no idea whether Mr. Kelly is guilty or not of any crime, but the public deserves to know the exact conduct that took place between Kelly and Wong.

At first PUC gave me nearly all of the text messages between Wong and Kelly, when I voluntarily informed that they had left readable someone's lockbox code, they then requested I delete the entire PDF, including many other messages not related to such sensitive private info. Note that the public hyperlinks to this PDF were published by PUC online.

While I did delete my own copy of the specific PDF, voluntarily, and without conceding any legal authority of the PUC to command me to do anything at all, the government cannot essentially erase my brain.

I informed PUC:

*You appear to have partially obscured some of the text messages between Harlan Kelly Jr. and Walter Wong, but the text of some of those records is still visible in "Walter redacted final.pdf". It's unclear why these communications - regarding meetings, contracting, and travel between the two persons, have been partially obscured - they are neither completely redacted nor plainly visible as they have black rectangles on top of them, but they remain part of the record.*

*Therefore, below are new Immediate Disclosure Requests (SF Admin Code 67.25(a)) directed to SFPUC and Harlan Kelly Jr. Your response is required by July 8, 2020. Rolling records responses are requested (SFAC 67.25(d)) if you are unable to immediately produce records. Exact copies of every responsive record are requested (Gov Code 6253(b)) - do not: provide mere URLs, print and scan electronic records, convert native files to PDFs, or provide black and white versions of any color images. Provide only copies of records not requiring fees and in-person inspection of all other records (GC 6253).*

*1. All calendar entries of any kind, with all details, invitee lists, attachments, and metadata, for Harlan Kelly Jr. for any meetings or travel with Walter Wong (including but not limited to [jaidin@pachell.net](mailto:jaidin@pachell.net), [jdngpr@pachell.net](mailto:jdngpr@pachell.net), or any email address ending with jaidin.net ) (you must search personal accounts pursuant to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)); see also SOTF 19047 Anonymous v. Breed*

2. All payments made by Harlan Kelly Jr. to Walter Wong/Jaidin Consulting Group/Jaidin Associates/affiliated companies (you must search personal accounts pursuant to *City of San Jose v Superior Court* (2017))

3. All payments made by Walter Wong/Jaidin Consulting Group/Jaidin Associates/affiliated companies to Harlan Kelly Jr. (you must search personal accounts pursuant to *City of San Jose v Superior Court* (2017))

4. All contracts between Walter Wong/Jaidin Consulting Group/Jaidin Associates/affiliated companies and Harlan Kelly Jr. (you must search personal accounts pursuant to *City of San Jose v Superior Court* (2017))

----- Original Message -----

On Tuesday, December 1st, 2020 at 1:00 PM, sfrecordsresearch@pm.me <sfrecordsresearch@pm.me> wrote:

Wow! I should pay more attention to the news...  
Many of the messages unlawfully withheld, and asked to be deleted by me, by PUC in this case are **directly** related to the allegations made by the federal government in United States v. Kelly, <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndca/press-release/file/1341026/download> ; <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndca/pr/general-manager-san-francisco-public-utilities-commission-charged-honest-services-wire>

Both the Supervisor of Records and SOTF must order their immediate disclosure.

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, July 9th, 2020 at 12:11 PM, sfrecordsresearch@pm.me <sfrecordsresearch@pm.me> wrote:

Supervisor of Records Herrera,

Attached is an SFAC 67.21(d) petition against Harlan Kelly, Jr. and PUC regarding, *inter alia*, text messages between Kelly and Walter Wong.

Thanks!

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, July 9, 2020 4:42 AM, sfrecordsresearch@pm.me <sfrecordsresearch@pm.me> wrote:

**PUC** - Without waiving any rights or conceding that you have the right to demand that I do so, I have voluntarily deleted my own copy of the file `Walter redacted final.pdf` having SHA256 checksum 8254cbe0fcdb6a8a2548d3464171ccab0d386439c888959707db07fbd5f54453  
- I am also filing an SOTF/Ethics/Supervisor of Records complaint against Mr. Kelly and PUC for disclosure of some or all of these messages.

**SOTF:**

Please file attached complaint, and provide formal notice: Anonymous ([94992-15550486@requests.muckrock.com](mailto:94992-15550486@requests.muckrock.com)) v. Harlan Kelly, Jr and Public Utilities Commission - your webform will be filled out.

Allegations: SFAC 67.21(b), 67.26, 67.27, 67.29-7(a); Gov Code 6253(b; c; d(3))

----- Original Message -----

On Wednesday, July 8, 2020 7:03 PM,  
sfrecordsresearch@pm.me  
<sfrecordsresearch@pm.me> wrote:

I'm unsure why you are claiming attorney client privilege in this email. You are not my attorney nor am I your's; perhaps you bcc-ed Herrera's office or something.

Regardless, I'm not MuckRock, I'm just one of their many users.

Please contact MuckRock Foundation directly if you need to give their entity some sort of specific demand. I've let them know to expect your request. The request to reference is <https://www.muckrock.com/foi/san-francisco-141/inter-agency-text-messages-immediate-disclosure-request-sf-puc-94992/#comm-911729> Info@muckrock.com is their support email but I cannot represent that it is the proper avenue for such a request.

Again, \*please\* do inform whoever that lockbox code is about that you released it accidentally - even if MuckRock agrees now to take any copies down that it has (if any), your sharefile link was in fact accessible in the past, publicly.

Also don't forget that there are still outstanding records requests to you on the original [requests.muckrock.com](https://requests.muckrock.com) thread.

----- Original Message -----

--

On Jul 8, 2020, 5:12 PM,  
Public Records <

PublicRecords@sfgov.org>  
wrote:

Dear MuckRock,

Thank you for bringing to our attention an inadvertent error in the redactions we performed for the text messages we provided you on July 6, 2020 labeled Bates numbers PUC 000175 through PUC 000219. As explained in our July 3rd email to you, we redacted from these records messages containing personal and private information that did not constitute a "public record." Although the legal basis for these redactions was proper, we now realize that the technical method we used to black out the private material did not in fact protect the private information from disclosure. That was not our intent and was an inadvertent error. The material we intended to redact contains sensitive personal information that is protected from disclosure by Cal. Constitution Art. I, Sec. I and Section 6254(c) of the Public Records Act.

In such cases of

accidental,  
inadvertent  
disclosure of  
private or  
confidential  
information in  
response to a  
Public Records  
Act request, the  
documents must  
be returned and  
copies destroyed  
if the City  
notifies those  
who have  
received an  
inadvertently  
disclosed record.  
(See *Ardon v.*  
*City of Los*  
*Angeles* (2016)  
62 Cal.4th 1176).  
The SFPUC  
hereby requests  
that MuckRock  
immediately  
destroy all copies  
of Bates numbers  
PUC 000175  
through PUC  
000219 in its  
possession and  
remove them  
from all publicly  
accessible  
locations,  
including the  
MuckRock.com  
website.

Please note that  
the version of this  
text exchange  
that we shared  
with you on July  
6, 2020 has been  
removed from the  
Sharefile weblink  
and that we will  
be providing you  
with a new  
redacted version  
of this text  
exchange, which  
should be  
available at the  
following link by  
the end of today:  
<https://sfpuc.sharefile.com/d-sabd81b687ef4187b>.

Please respond on

or before  
Thursday July 9  
at 5 pm  
confirming that  
you have  
destroyed and/or  
removed these  
records. Thank  
you for your  
anticipated  
cooperation.

SFPUC Public  
Records

**From:**  
[sfrecordsresearch@pm.me](mailto:sfrecordsresearch@pm.me)  
<[sfrecordsresearch@pm.me](mailto:sfrecordsresearch@pm.me)>

**Sent:** Tuesday,  
July 7, 2020  
12:37 AM  
**To:** Public  
Records  
<[PublicRecords@sfwater.org](mailto:PublicRecords@sfwater.org)>

**Subject:**  
Released info -  
please check!

**CAUTION:** This  
email originated  
from **outside** of  
the  
organization.  
Do not click  
links or open  
attachments  
unless you  
recognize the  
sender and  
know the  
content is safe.

Hi PUC,



You released to my  
MuckRock  
request 94992 a file  
called "Walter  
redacted final.pdf"  
at <https://sfpuc.sharefile.com/d-sfaf513caac747dcb>

In that file you had  
some partially  
obscured texts (i.e.  
the text messages are  
still visible but just  
have extra black  
rectangles on them).  
One of them says:

"1/19/17, 11:14 AM

The door at garage  
is lock can enter in  
house , when you  
open let us know we  
can check repair

There is a key  
in the lock box

The code is XXXX"  
(but the real number  
is the document)

Given that you've  
already released this  
document publicly on  
the Internet and on  
MuckRock, **please do  
make sure whoever  
this message is about  
is informed to  
ensure the lock box  
code isn't still being  
used.**

It is unclear whether  
any other especially  
sensitive information  
was in the PDF.

(I'm contacting you  
via this email instead  
of MuckRock  
regarding this specific  
issue since the  
messages on  
MuckRock are  
public).

Thanks!



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SFPD metadata compliance - File 19098  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 8:54:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Re SFPD metadata compliance - File 19098.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 5:11 PM  
To: Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>; Cox, Andrew (POL) <r.andrew.cox@sfgov.org>  
Cc: SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Scott, William (POL) <william.scott@sfgov.org>; CABRERA, ALICIA (CAT) <Alicia.Cabrera@sfcityatty.org>; Fountain, Christine (POL) <christine.fountain@sfgov.org>; Lila LaHood <lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Subject: Re: SFPD metadata compliance - File 19098

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Anonymous](#)  
**To:** [Andraychak, Michael \(POL\)](#); [Cox, Andrew \(POL\)](#)  
**Cc:** [SOTF, \(BOS\)](#); [Scott, William \(POL\)](#); [CABRERA, ALICIA \(CAT\)](#); [Fountain, Christine \(POL\)](#); [Lila LaHood](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: SFPD metadata compliance - File 19098  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 5:10:44 PM  
**Attachments:** [SOTF\\_ORDER\\_19098.pdf](#)  
[signature.asc](#)

---

SFPD:

SOTF Order 19098 was just published. The Police Department was found in violation for 5 reasons:

On October 7, 2020, the SOTF moved by Member Hyland, seconded by Member LaHood, to find the Police Department in violation of Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Sections 67.21(b), by failing to provide copies of electronic records by printing and scanning them instead;  
67.21(k) by failing to search for all personally held public records within the scope of *City of San Jose v. Superior Court* (2017);  
Section 67.26 by withholding partial text message records namely the To and From of each message  
and also by withholding all email metadata namely email headers, and  
Section 67.26 by failing to key each redaction with a footnote or other clear reference to a justification.

I believe you are in progress of complying with the of the *City of San Jose* issue, the scanning of electronic records issue, and the redaction key issue.

There are two metadata issues outstanding:

1. To/From of each text message
2. email headers

Are you willing to produce these or not?

As you may have realized, whatever system DT has got going was able to work for DPW, and there is no reason it should not work for you.

If you produce enough sent and received email header samples that I can ensure SFPD is doing it correctly, I may be willing to waive the remainder of the production as I did with DPW (as long as you do not make any disclaimer or preservation of purported right to refuse to provide headers in the future or something like that).

Please consider carefully, and let me know within the next 5 days (SFAC 67.21(e, f)), whether you or willing to comply or not.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature***

*(signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. If you are a public official: I intend that these communications all be disclosable public records, and will not hold in confidence any of your messages.*

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Wednesday, January 6th, 2021 at 1:29 PM, Anonymous  
<arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com> wrote:

**SOTF and Compliance Chair LaHood:**

I request a Compliance hearing for SOTF 19098 v SFPD.

I will prove willful violation by demonstrating SFPD made false statements - originally written by Hank Heckel of the Mayor's Office, transmitted by Heckel to Andraychak after Heckel knew they were false, and copy pasted with reckless disregard for their truth by Sgt. Michael Andraychak - in their refusal to comply.

SFPD refuses to retract their statements even after being informed that they are false.

As you may recall, in SOTF 19112 Lt. Andrew Cox falsely told this tribunal that SFPD would comply with requests for Chief Scott's calendars. Then, Chief Scott's office reneged, disavowing Lt. Cox's statements, *after the SOTF's decision in my favor was made.*

Treatment of Sunshine issues with contempt for the truth to avoid responsibility is now a repeated pattern of behavior by the Police Department and City employees.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.***

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, December 31st, 2020 at 7:07 PM, Anonymous  
<arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com> wrote:

+Cox, Cabrera, and Fountain:

You should be aware that Hank Heckel lied to each of you yesterday about my refusal to participate in DT's metadata pilot - I realize now that Heckel sent you those emails too, via a records request to Andraychak. Sgt. Andraychak then copy-pasted Heckel's false statements back to me - I will assume he apparently did not know any better at the time and was misled by Heckel. Andraychak, however, has yet to retract the statement now that he knows it is false.

Regardless, who knew that the San Francisco Police take their marching orders from Hank Heckel, right?

Not only did I participate in DT's pilot, but DT and I have no outstanding disputes over what was disclosable, as we informed SOTF, in DT's own metadata complaint, SOTF 19119. Ask DT yourself or see the attached email from CIO Linda Gerull to me, Heckel and Andraychak earlier today. I find it curious that on the thread between Heckel and you all, DT was apparently never consulted.

**What I am asking is very simple: that SFPD, like DPW, produce at least *one responsive received email* in this case with metadata manually redacted per DT's most recent guidelines. Are you willing to do this or not? It will take you a few minutes at the absolute maximum. That would then demonstrate the "good faith" SFPD claims to act in, instead of willful non-compliance.**

Whether SFPD's citation of my refusal to work with DT was just to avoid your own compliance, or a genuine misunderstanding of the state of the issue caused by Heckel, is now up to you to decide. DPW not providing email metadata is also false, here is one they recently provided, manually redacted per DT's suggestions: <https://sanfrancisco.nextrequest.com/documents/6046865>

Your dislike of me should not get in the way of your agency complying with the law and acting with integrity.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely***

*authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.*

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, December 31st, 2020 at 2:14 PM, Anonymous  
<arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com> wrote:

Chief Scott, Sgt. Andraychak, and SFPD:

Your reply to me is about *ICS (calendar)* data, not *email headers*. I didn't even ask SFPD for ICS files or calendars in SOTF 19098 =)  
Heckel's complaint - not yours - was about ICS files - so its clearly a copy/paste.  
You still have a chance to retract your statements here.  
Consider talking directly to the actual experts - CIO Gerull and CISO Makstman - like I suggested.

Let's start over without Hank Heckel:  
**Will SFPD produce a *single* responsive SOTF 19098 received (not sent) sfgov.org email record, properly and manually redacted with all the headers, and according to DT's most recent guidance to demonstrate good faith and that they are not willfully non-compliant?**

David Steinberg of Public Works could figure out how to do it after talking to DT:  
<https://sanfrancisco.nextrequest.com/documents/6046865> .

I'm sure SFPD is up to the task.  
Just because Heckel uses a bunch of legalese to sound informed doesn't make him right. You don't have to behave like him - you are your own agency.

Happy new years.

**City Attorney's Office:** The prior IDR to you in this thread is rescinded. The culprit here is apparently the Mayor's Office, not you...

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties,***

*express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.*

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, December 31st, 2020 at 10:07 AM,  
Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
wrote:

Hi Mr. Heckel/Andraychak,

***SOTF: File this for Compliance in Files  
19098 and 19047 please.***

Are City employees now copy-pasting each others' lies? Is the copy-paste lie originating from the Mayor's Office or the City Attorney? I will soon find out.

Not only did I agree to DT's pilot, but I recently informed SOTF that at least for their own metadata complaint 19119 there were no remaining disputes - I mostly got everything I wanted (very late, but still at this point complete). CIO Gerull either disclosed or agreed, in writing, to disclose **all** of the additional fields I wanted disclosable. Unlike you, Gerull and CISO Makstman appear to respect the Sunshine Ordinance with the purpose of fulfilling the public's right access, instead of with the intent to hide lawfully disclosable information.

That's fine, both of you can keep on lying, in writing. Better record for future judges, and evidence of willful violations for me. Sgt.



Andraychack, as a police officer you cannot engage in dishonesty in your job. Please retract your lies immediately.

Andraychak sent almost exactly what Heckel sent, including the underlined lie:

We understand and respect the rulings of SOTF on these issues. We are continuing to consult with the Department of Technology and the City Attorney's Office regarding the scope of any metadata, including calendar ICS data, that can be safely and efficiently disclosed without jeopardizing the safety, security and integrity of the City and County of San Francisco's computer networks, proprietary and licensed systems, and individual users' accounts. See Cal. Govt. Code ? 6253.9(f); 6254.19.

As you are well aware, this task is far from simple. I understand that you have not agreed to a pilot protocol including a metadata field "green list" proposed by the Department of Technology and continue to disagree with the boundaries drawn by the City's technical experts. This reflects the continuing complexity of solving the associated technical and practical challenges of providing all of the information in all of the formats you have sought, without inadvertently disclosing cyber-sensitive information or information traditionally withheld for other reasons such as privilege or privacy, that may be

embedded in metadata.

Thus, we are working through additional guidance on these issues from the appropriate City professionals, and will respond further when we have a clearer understanding of our obligations under any applicable authorities or rulings, and a reliable methodology for securely and effectively producing permissibly disclosed information while safeguarding exempt information.

**Sgt. Andraychak:** This is an immediate disclosure request for all communications in any form that you have received with the words "We understand and respect the rulings of SOTF on these issues"

**Office of the Mayor:** This is an immediate disclosure request for all communications in any form that your office has sent or received with the words "We understand and respect the rulings of SOTF on these issues"

**Office of the City Attorney:** This is an immediate disclosure request for all communications in any form that you have sent with the words "We understand and respect the rulings of SOTF on these issues"

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public***

*records.*

Sincerely,

Anonymous

**SUNSHINE ORDINANCE  
TASK FORCE**



City Hall  
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-7724  
Fax No. (415) 554-7854  
TTD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

**ORDER OF DETERMINATION**  
January 13, 2021

**DATE DECISION ISSUED**  
October 7, 2020

**CASE TITLE – Anonymous v. Police Department**  
(File No. 19098)

**FACTS OF THE CASE**

The following petition/complaint was filed with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (SOTF):

Complaint filed by Anonymous against the Police Department for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Sections 67.21, 67.26 and 67.27, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**HEARING ON THE COMPLAINT**

On September 15, 2020, the Complaint Committee acting in its capacity to hear petitions/complaints heard the matter.

Anonymous (Petitioner) provided a summary of the complaint and requested the Committee to find a violation. Anonymous stated that he sent a records request to the Police Department and they responded on July 15, 2020, asking for a 14-day extension. Anonymous stated that on August 5, 2020, the Police Department sent blank emails and continued to provide documents on a rolling basis. Anonymous stated that on February 19, 2020, the Police Department responded stating that more records would be produced. Anonymous believes that those records were not copies of original records. Anonymous also noted that the Police Department did not provide citations for the redactions in the records provided.

Lt. Andraychak (Police Department) (Respondent), provided a summary of the department's position. Lt. Andraychak stated that Anonymous' request was not simple or routine. In addition to emails, Anonymous asked for Facebook Messenger documents. Lt. Andraychak noted that his department is still processing some emails from the Command staff and due to Covid-19 and riots, some officers were taken away from providing the requested records. Lt. Andraychak also noted that he is not aware of any rulings regarding metadata.

Lt. Andraychak stated that he can ask officers to check their personal email accounts and will provide that information.

The Complaint Committee referred the matter to the SOTF.

On October 7, 2020, the SOTF held a hearing to review the recommendation from Committee and/or to review the merits of the petition/complaint.

Anonymous (Petitioner) provided a summary of the complaint and requested the Committee to find a violation. Anonymous stated that the Police Department did not comply with his request. Anonymous said SFPD told their employees that they would not have any responsive records if they did not use their personal emails for work purposes, which Anonymous said does not comply with the *City of San Jose v. Superior Court* (2017) case. Anonymous stated that the records they did provide were not copies of originals. Anonymous stated that SFPD did not provide email header metadata and that the new practice of the Police Department is to include references and footnotes. Anonymous noted that the SOTF has held metadata is a public record. Anonymous stated that they did not provide the to and from of the text messages.

Lt. Andrew Cox (Police Department) spoke on behalf of the Respondent and stated that the Respondent was on scene dealing with the untimely death of a Fire Fighter.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSION OF LAW

Based on the testimony and evidence presented, the SOTF found that the Police Department violated Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Sections 67.21(b) by failing to provide copies of electronic records by printing and scanning them; 67.21(k) by failing to search for all personally held public records within the scope of *City of San Jose v. Superior Court* (2017); Section 67.26 by withholding partial text message records namely the To and From of each message and also by withholding all email metadata namely email headers, and Section 67.26 by failing to key each redaction with a footnote or other clear reference to a justification.

## DECISION AND ORDER OF DETERMINATIONS

On October 7, 2020, the SOTF moved by Member Hyland, seconded by Member LaHood, to find the Police Department in violation of Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Sections 67.21(b), by failing to provide copies of electronic records by printing and scanning them instead; 67.21(k) by failing to search for all personally held public records within the scope of *City of San Jose v. Superior Court* (2017); Section 67.26 by withholding partial text message records namely the To and From of each message and also by withholding all email metadata namely email headers, and Section 67.26 by failing to key each redaction with a footnote or other clear reference to a justification.

### **The motion PASSED by the following vote:**

Ayes: 7 - Hyland, LaHood, Schmidt, Wong, Yankee, Hinze,  
Wolfe

Noes: 0 - None



Bruce Wolfe, Chair  
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

cc. Anonymous (Petitioner/Complainant)  
Lt. Andrew Cox, Police Department (Respondent)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SFPD metadata compliance - File 19098  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 1:37:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Re SFPD metadata compliance - File 19098.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2021 11:51 AM  
To: Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>; Cox, Andrew (POL) <r.andrew.cox@sfgov.org>  
Cc: SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Scott, William (POL) <william.scott@sfgov.org>; CABRERA, ALICIA (CAT) <Alicia.Cabrera@sfcityatty.org>; Fountain, Christine (POL) <christine.fountain@sfgov.org>; Lila LaHood <lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Subject: Re: SFPD metadata compliance - File 19098

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Anonymous](#)  
**To:** [Andraychak, Michael \(POL\)](#); [Cox, Andrew \(POL\)](#)  
**Cc:** [SOTF, \(BOS\)](#); [Scott, William \(POL\)](#); [CABRERA, ALICIA \(CAT\)](#); [Fountain, Christine \(POL\)](#); [Lila LaHood](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: SFPD metadata compliance - File 19098  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 11:50:44 AM  
**Attachments:** [signature.asc](#)

---

Ms. Gerull informs me she's looking for departments to test out the metadata redaction software. Given that you have an Order against you for this info, you should go try it out on some of these emails.\

--Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Wednesday, January 13th, 2021 at 5:10 PM, Anonymous  
<arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com> wrote:

SFPD:

SOTF Order 19098 was just published. The Police Department was found in violation for 5 reasons:

On October 7, 2020, the SOTF moved by Member Hyland, seconded by Member LaHood, to find the Police Department in violation of Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Sections 67.21(b), by failing to provide copies of electronic records by printing and scanning them instead; 67.21(k) by failing to search for all personally held public records within the scope of *City of San Jose v. Superior Court* (2017); Section 67.26 by withholding partial text message records namely the To and From of each message and also by withholding all email metadata namely email headers, and Section 67.26 by failing to key each redaction with a footnote or other clear reference to a justification.

I believe you are in progress of complying with the of the *City of San Jose* issue, the scanning of electronic records issue, and the redaction key issue.

There are two metadata issues outstanding:

1. To/From of each text message
2. email headers

Are you willing to produce these or not?

As you may have realized, whatever system DT has got going was able to work for DPW, and there is no reason it should not work for you.

If you produce enough sent and received email header samples that I can ensure SFPD is doing it correctly, I may be willing to waive the remainder of the



production as I did with DPW (as long as you do not make any disclaimer or preservation of purported right to refuse to provide headers in the future or something like that).

Please consider carefully, and let me know within the next 5 days (SFAC 67.21(e, f)), whether you are willing to comply or not.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. If you are a public official: I intend that these communications all be disclosable public records, and will not hold in confidence any of your messages.***

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Wednesday, January 6th, 2021 at 1:29 PM, Anonymous  
<arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com> wrote:

**SOTF and Compliance Chair LaHood:**

I request a Compliance hearing for SOTF 19098 v SFPD.

I will prove willful violation by demonstrating SFPD made false statements - originally written by Hank Heckel of the Mayor's Office, transmitted by Heckel to Andraychak after Heckel knew they were false, and copy pasted with reckless disregard for their truth by Sgt. Michael Andraychak - in their refusal to comply.

SFPD refuses to retract their statements even after being informed that they are false.

As you may recall, in SOTF 19112 Lt. Andrew Cox falsely told this tribunal that SFPD would comply with requests for Chief Scott's calendars. Then, Chief Scott's office reneged, disavowing Lt. Cox's statements, *after the SOTF's decision in my favor was made.*

Treatment of Sunshine issues with contempt for the truth to avoid responsibility is now a repeated pattern of behavior by the Police Department and City employees.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any***

*kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.*

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, December 31st, 2020 at 7:07 PM, Anonymous  
<arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com> wrote:

+Cox, Cabrera, and Fountain:

You should be aware that Hank Heckel lied to each of you yesterday about my refusal to participate in DT's metadata pilot - I realize now that Heckel sent you those emails too, via a records request to Andraychak. Sgt. Andraychak then copy-pasted Heckel's false statements back to me - I will assume he apparently did not know any better at the time and was misled by Heckel. Andraychak, however, has yet to retract the statement now that he knows it is false.

Regardless, who knew that the San Francisco Police take their marching orders from Hank Heckel, right?

Not only did I participate in DT's pilot, but DT and I have no outstanding disputes over what was disclosable, as we informed SOTF, in DT's own metadata complaint, SOTF 19119. Ask DT yourself or see the attached email from CIO Linda Gerull to me, Heckel and Andraychak earlier today. I find it curious that on the thread between Heckel and you all, DT was apparently never consulted.

**What I am asking is very simple: that SFPD, like DPW, produce at least *one responsive received email* in this case with metadata manually redacted per DT's most recent guidelines. Are you willing to do this or not? It will take you a few minutes at the absolute maximum. That would then demonstrate the "good faith" SFPD claims to act in, instead of**

**willful non-compliance.**

Whether SFPD's citation of my refusal to work with DT was just to avoid your own compliance, or a genuine misunderstanding of the state of the issue caused by Heckel, is now up to you to decide.

DPW not providing email metadata is also false, here is one they recently provided, manually redacted per DT's suggestions: <https://sanfrancisco.nextrequest.com/documents/6046865>

Your dislike of me should not get in the way of your agency complying with the law and acting with integrity.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.***

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, December 31st, 2020 at 2:14 PM,  
Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
wrote:

Chief Scott, Sgt. Andraychak, and SFPD:

Your reply to me is about **ICS (calendar)** data, not **email headers**. I didn't even ask SFPD for ICS files or calendars in SOTF 19098 =)

Heckel's complaint - not yours - was about ICS files - so its clearly a copy/paste.

You still have a chance to retract your statements here. Consider talking directly to the actual experts - CIO Gerull and CISO Makstman - like I suggested.

Let's start over without Hank Heckel:  
**Will SFPD produce a *single* responsive SOTF 19098 received (not sent) sfgov.org email record, properly and manually redacted with all the headers, and according to DT's most recent guidance to demonstrate good faith and that they are not *willfully* non-compliant?**

David Steinberg of Public Works could figure out how to do it after talking to DT:  
<https://sanfrancisco.nextrequest.com/documents/6046865> .

I'm sure SFPD is up to the task.  
Just because Heckel uses a bunch of legalese to sound informed doesn't make him right.  
You don't have to behave like him - you are your own agency.

Happy new years.

**City Attorney's Office:** The prior IDR to you in this thread is rescinded. The culprit here is apparently the Mayor's Office, not you...

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.***

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, December 31st, 2020 at 10:07

AM, Anonymous  
<arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
wrote:

Hi Mr. Heckel/Andraychak,

***SOTF: File this for  
Compliance in Files 19098 and  
19047 please.***

Are City employees now copy-pasting each others' lies? Is the copy-paste lie originating from the Mayor's Office or the City Attorney? I will soon find out.

Not only did I agree to DT's pilot, but I recently informed SOTF that at least for their own metadata complaint 19119 there were no remaining disputes - I mostly got everything I wanted (very late, but still at this point complete). CIO Gerull either disclosed or agreed, in writing, to disclose all of the additional fields I wanted disclosable. Unlike you, Gerull and CISO Makstman appear to respect the Sunshine Ordinance with the purpose of fulfilling the public's right access, instead of with the intent to hide lawfully disclosable information.

That's fine, both of you can keep on lying, in writing. Better record for future judges, and evidence of willful violations for me. Sgt. Andraychack, as a police officer you cannot engage in dishonesty in your job. Please retract your lies immediately.

Andraychak sent almost exactly what Heckel sent, including the underlined lie:

We understand and respect the rulings of SOTF on these issues. We are continuing to consult with the Department of Technology and the City Attorney's Office regarding the scope of any metadata, including calendar ICS data, that can be safely and efficiently disclosed without jeopardizing the safety, security and integrity of the City and County of San Francisco's computer networks, proprietary and licensed systems, and individual users' accounts. See Cal. Govt. Code ? 6253.9(f); 6254.19.

As you are well aware, this task is far from simple. I understand that you have not agreed to a pilot protocol including a metadata field "green list" proposed by the Department of Technology and continue to disagree with the boundaries drawn by the City's technical experts. This reflects the

continuing complexity of solving the associated technical and practical challenges of providing all of the information in all of the formats you have sought, without inadvertently disclosing cyber-sensitive information or information traditionally withheld for other reasons such as privilege or privacy, that may be embedded in metadata.

Thus, we are working through additional guidance on these issues from the appropriate City professionals, and will respond further when we have a clearer understanding of our obligations under any applicable authorities or rulings, and a reliable methodology for securely and effectively producing permissibly disclosed information while safeguarding

exempt  
information.

**Sgt. Andraychak:** This is an immediate disclosure request for all communications in any form that you have received with the words "We understand and respect the rulings of SOTF on these issues"

**Office of the Mayor:** This is an immediate disclosure request for all communications in any form that your office has sent or received with the words "We understand and respect the rulings of SOTF on these issues"

**Office of the City Attorney:** This is an immediate disclosure request for all communications in any form that you have sent with the words "We understand and respect the rulings of SOTF on these issues"

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.***



Sincerely,

Anonymous

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SOTF - Attached email regarding City Attorney Memos  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 2:31:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Re SOTF - Attached email regarding City Attorney Memos.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2021 11:40 AM  
To: SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Cc: Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>  
Subject: Re: SOTF - Attached email regarding City Attorney Memos

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: [Anonymous](#)  
To: [Anonymous](#), [Board of Supervisors](#), [SOTF](#)  
Cc: [Cheryl Legor](#)  
Subject: Re: SOTF - Attached email regarding City Attorney Memo  
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 11:42:24 AM  
Attachments: [signature.asc](#)  
[signature.asc](#)

*This is a public communication to the Task Force for inclusion in your agenda packet, with attachment.*

Thank you very much for SOTF Motion 21001 waiving the attorney-client privilege and disclosing these public records.

I believe the SOTF should consider whether or not it should ask the BoS for more support, given this decision by the City Attorney to reduce legal support for the SOTF.

**NOTE:** 1. If you are a public official: I intend that these communications all be disclosable public records, and I will not hold in confidence any of your messages, notwithstanding any notices to the contrary. 2. Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. 3. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. 4. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender.

Sincerely,

Anonymous

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, January 14th, 2021 at 9:17 AM, SOTF, (BOS) <[sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Dear Anonymous: Attached please find the email string regarding City Attorney memos.

Cheryl Legor

Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

[Cheryl.Legor@sfgov.org](mailto:Cheryl.Legor@sfgov.org)

Tel: 415-554-7724

Fax: 415-554-5163

<https://www.nsf-protection.com/v1/inf?>

or [www.afboos.org/gg-YzFDNTNlMWE4ZmFY2Q2Yg==&h=ODU0MjhhYjMSYVY2NTc0MTESNThmMj1MWMjMTBZMjZDZDhMCRkZjYmY1OTI5ZGY3NGFkMGRjMjZlYjU3YQ==&ip=YXAuOnoNmZHQyOmF2YW50b3pvcZmZpY2UzNjYVZWlhaWczX2VvYWVhOmQ0ZWJlM2U3NkZlZTBlMmU4MDAsYVZcczMDFjZDZmZTBlOnYs](http://www.afboos.org/gg-YzFDNTNlMWE4ZmFY2Q2Yg==&h=ODU0MjhhYjMSYVY2NTc0MTESNThmMj1MWMjMTBZMjZDZDhMCRkZjYmY1OTI5ZGY3NGFkMGRjMjZlYjU3YQ==&ip=YXAuOnoNmZHQyOmF2YW50b3pvcZmZpY2UzNjYVZWlhaWczX2VvYWVhOmQ0ZWJlM2U3NkZlZTBlMmU4MDAsYVZcczMDFjZDZmZTBlOnYs)



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclaimer: Personal information that is provided to communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not retain any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

---

**Update re memos**

6 messages

**Thoreen, Peder (CAT)** <Peder.Thoreen@sfcityattorney.org>

Mon, Mar 2, 2020 at 3:06 PM

To: Bruce Wolfe &lt;sotf@brucewolfe.net&gt;

Cc: "Leger, Cheryl (BOS)" &lt;cheryl.leger@sfgov.org&gt;, "Wolf, Marc Price (CAT)" &lt;Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityattorney.org&gt;

Dear Bruce,

On review of the City Attorney's Office recent memos, we have concluded that the written complaint summaries routinely prepared by this Office are non-legal work. This is work that is appropriate for non-attorney SOTF staff to perform. Therefore, this Office will no longer be preparing complaint summaries. Rather, this Office will deliver legal advice on discrete legal issues material to the disposition of pending complaints. Going forward, SOTF should request written advice memos from this Office only for legal questions (1) that are too complex or difficult for the Deputy City Attorney to effectively address orally on the spot at SOTF meetings, (2) that are material to a pending complaint, and (3) that have not already been addressed by previous memos from this Office. When SOTF requests written legal advice memos in the future, the request should identify the specific legal issue on which our advice is requested, and it should explain how the request meets all three of these criteria. And please send these all such memo requests through Cheryl.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Peder



**Peder J. V. Thoreen**

Deputy City Attorney

Office of City Attorney Dennis Herrera

(415) 554-3846 Direct

[www.sfcityattorney.org](http://www.sfcityattorney.org)

Find us on: [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [Instagram](#)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.



image001.jpg  
51K

---

**Bruce Wolfe** <sotf@brucewolfe.net>

Mon, Mar 2, 2020 at 6:23 PM

To: "Thoreen, Peder (CAT)" <Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org>

Cc: "Leger, Cheryl (BOS)" <cheryl.leger@sfgov.org>, "Wolf, Marc Price (CAT)" <Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityatty.org>

Dear Peder,

What may I ask prompted this sudden change?

This has been a service since the inception of the sunshine task force. I think it would be prudent to have a meeting to discuss this further.

Yours,

Bruce Wolfe, Chair

On Mon, Mar 2, 2020, 3:06 PM Thoreen, Peder (CAT) <[Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org)> wrote:

Dear Bruce,

On review of the City Attorney's Office recent memos, we have concluded that the written complaint summaries routinely prepared by this Office are non-legal work. This is work that is appropriate for non-attorney SOTF staff to perform. Therefore, this Office will no longer be preparing complaint summaries. Rather, this Office will deliver legal advice on discrete legal issues material to the disposition of pending complaints. Going forward, SOTF should request written advice memos from this Office only for legal questions (1) that are too complex or difficult for the Deputy City Attorney to effectively address orally on the spot at SOTF meetings, (2) that are material to a pending complaint, and (3) that have not already been addressed by previous memos from this Office. When SOTF requests written legal advice memos in the future, the request should identify the specific legal issue on which our advice is requested, and it should explain how the request meets all three of these criteria. And please send these all such memo requests through Cheryl.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Peder

**Peder J. V. Thoreen**



Deputy City Attorney  
Office of City Attorney Dennis Herrera  
(415) 554-3846 Direct  
[www.sfcityattorney.org](http://www.sfcityattorney.org)

Find us on: [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [Instagram](#)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

---

3 attachments



image001.jpg  
51K



image002.jpg  
5K



image002.jpg  
5K

---

**Thoreen, Peder (CAT)** <Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org>

Tue, Mar 3, 2020 at 12:15 PM

To: Bruce Wolfe <sotf@brucewolfe.net>

Cc: "Leger, Cheryl (BOS)" <cheryl.leger@sfgov.org>, "Wolf, Marc Price (CAT)" <Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityatty.org>

Bruce,

Please give me a call to discuss this matter; we can also discuss the prior questions you emailed.

Peder



**Peder J. V. Thoreen**

Deputy City Attorney

Office of City Attorney Dennis Herrera

(415) 554-3846 Direct

[www.sfcityattorney.org](http://www.sfcityattorney.org)

Find us on: [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [Instagram](#)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

**From:** Bruce Wolfe <[sotf@brucewolfe.net](mailto:sotf@brucewolfe.net)>

**Sent:** Monday, March 2, 2020 6:24 PM

**To:** Thoreen, Peder (CAT) <[Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org)>

**Cc:** Leger, Cheryl (BOS) <[cheryl.leger@sfgov.org](mailto:cheryl.leger@sfgov.org)>; Wolf, Marc Price (CAT) <[Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityatty.org)>

**Subject:** Re: Update re memos

Dear Peder,

What may I ask prompted this sudden change?

This has been a service since the inception of the sunshine task force. I think it would be prudent to have a meeting to discuss this further.

Yours,

Bruce Wolfe, Chair

On Mon, Mar 2, 2020, 3:06 PM Thoreen, Peder (CAT) <[Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org)> wrote:

Dear Bruce,

On review of the City Attorney's Office recent memos, we have concluded that the written complaint summaries routinely prepared by this Office are non-legal work. This is work that is appropriate for non-attorney SOTF staff to perform. Therefore, this Office will no longer be preparing complaint summaries. Rather, this Office will deliver legal advice on discrete legal issues material to the disposition of pending complaints. Going forward, SOTF should request written advice memos from this Office only for legal questions (1) that are too complex or difficult for the Deputy City Attorney to effectively address orally on the spot at SOTF meetings, (2) that are material to a pending complaint, and (3) that have not already been addressed by previous memos from this Office. When SOTF requests written legal advice memos in the future, the request should identify the specific legal issue on which our advice is requested, and it should explain how the request meets all three of these criteria. And please send these all such memo requests through Cheryl.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Peder



**Peder J. V. Thoreen**

Deputy City Attorney

Office of City Attorney Dennis Herrera

(415) 554-3846 Direct

[www.sfcityattorney.org](http://www.sfcityattorney.org)

Find us on: [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [Instagram](#)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.



image001.jpg  
51K

**Thoreen, Peder (CAT)** <Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org>

Tue, Mar 3, 2020 at 12:59 PM

To: Bruce Wolfe <sotf@brucewolfe.net>

Cc: "Leger, Cheryl (BOS)" <cheryl.leger@sfgov.org>, "Wolf, Marc Price (CAT)" <Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityatty.org>

Hi Bruce,

I'm off the phone and available now.



**Peder J. V. Thoreen**

Deputy City Attorney

Office of City Attorney Dennis Herrera

(415) 554-3846 Direct



**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

**From:** Bruce Wolfe <[sotf@brucewolfe.net](mailto:sotf@brucewolfe.net)>

**Sent:** Monday, March 2, 2020 6:24 PM

**To:** Thoreen, Peder (CAT) <[Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org)>

**Cc:** Leger, Cheryl (BOS) <[cheryl.leger@sfgov.org](mailto:cheryl.leger@sfgov.org)>; Wolf, Marc Price (CAT) <[Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityatty.org)>

**Subject:** Re: Update re memos

Dear Peder,

What may I ask prompted this sudden change?

This has been a service since the inception of the sunshine task force. I think it would be prudent to have a meeting to discuss this further.

Yours,

Bruce Wolfe, Chair

On Mon, Mar 2, 2020, 3:06 PM Thoreen, Peder (CAT) <[Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org)> wrote:

Dear Bruce,

On review of the City Attorney's Office recent memos, we have concluded that the written complaint summaries routinely prepared by this Office are non-legal work. This is work that is appropriate for non-attorney SOTF staff to perform. Therefore, this Office will no longer be preparing complaint summaries. Rather, this Office will deliver legal advice on discrete legal issues material to the disposition of pending complaints. Going forward, SOTF should request written advice memos from this Office only for legal questions (1) that are too complex or difficult for the Deputy City Attorney to effectively address orally on the spot at SOTF meetings, (2) that are material to a pending complaint, and (3) that have not already been addressed by previous memos from this Office. When SOTF requests written legal advice memos in the future, the request should identify the specific legal issue on which our advice is requested, and it should explain how the request meets all three of these criteria. And please send these all such memo requests through Cheryl.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Peder



**Peder J. V. Thoreen**

Deputy City Attorney

Office of City Attorney Dennis Herrera

(415) 554-3846 Direct

[www.sfcityattorney.org](http://www.sfcityattorney.org)

Find us on: [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [Instagram](#)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.



image001.jpg  
51K

---

**Wolf, Marc Price (CAT)** <Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityatty.org>  
To: Bruce Wolfe <sotf@brucewolfe.net>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 12:34 PM

Hi Bruce,

I got your vm and am about to call you back. To aid in our discussion, I am forwarding this email from a few months ago by Peder.

Talk soon,  
Marc

---

**From:** Thoreen, Peder (CAT) <Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 2, 2020 3:07 PM  
**To:** 'Bruce Wolfe' <sotf@brucewolfe.net>  
**Cc:** Leger, Cheryl (BOS) <cheryl.leger@sfgov.org>; Wolf, Marc Price (CAT) <Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityatty.org>  
**Subject:** Update re memos

Dear Bruce,

On review of the City Attorney's Office recent memos, we have concluded that the written complaint summaries routinely prepared by this Office are non-legal work. This is work that is appropriate for non-attorney SOTF staff to perform. Therefore, this Office will no longer be preparing complaint summaries. Rather, this Office will deliver legal advice on discrete legal issues material to the disposition of pending complaints. Going forward, SOTF should request written advice memos from this Office only for legal questions (1) that are too complex or difficult for the Deputy City Attorney to effectively address orally on the spot at SOTF meetings, (2) that are material to a pending complaint, and (3) that have not already been addressed by previous memos from this Office. When SOTF requests written legal advice memos in the future, the request should identify the specific legal issue on which our advice is requested, and it should explain how the request meets all three of these criteria. And please send these all such memo requests through Cheryl.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Peder



Peder J. V. Thoreen

Deputy City Attorney

Office of City Attorney Dennis Herrera

(415) 554-3846 Direct

[www.sfcityattorney.org](http://www.sfcityattorney.org)

Find us on: [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [Instagram](#)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.



image001.jpg  
51K

Dear SOTF Members: Per Chair Wolfe please see the email below from Peder Thoreen regarding City Attorney Memos.

Cheryl Leger

Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

[Cheryl.Leger@sfgov.org](mailto:Cheryl.Leger@sfgov.org)

Tel: 415-554-7724

Fax: 415-554-5163

[www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Thoreen, Peder (CAT) <[Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org)>

**Sent:** Monday, March 2, 2020 3:07 PM

**To:** 'Bruce Wolfe' <[sotf@brucewolfe.net](mailto:sotf@brucewolfe.net)>

**Cc:** Leger, Cheryl (BOS) <[cheryl.leger@sfgov.org](mailto:cheryl.leger@sfgov.org)>; WOLF, MARC (CAT) <[Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Marc.Price.Wolf@sfcityatty.org)>

**Subject:** Update re memos

Dear Bruce,

On review of the City Attorney's Office recent memos, we have concluded that the written complaint summaries routinely prepared by this Office are non-legal work. This is work that is appropriate for non-attorney SOTF staff to perform. Therefore, this Office will no longer be preparing complaint summaries. Rather, this Office will deliver legal advice on discrete legal issues material to the disposition of pending complaints. Going forward, SOTF should request written advice memos from this Office only for legal questions (1) that are too complex or difficult for the Deputy City Attorney to effectively address orally on the spot at SOTF meetings, (2) that are

material to a pending complaint, and (3) that have not already been addressed by previous memos from this Office. When SOTF requests written legal advice memos in the future, the request should identify the specific legal issue on which our advice is requested, and it should explain how the request meets all three of these criteria. And please send these all such memo requests through Cheryl.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Peder



**Peder J. V. Thoreen**

Deputy City Attorney

Office of City Attorney Dennis Herrera

(415) 554-3846 Direct

[www.sfcityattorney.org](http://www.sfcityattorney.org)

Find us on: [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [Instagram](#)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.



**image001.jpg**  
51K

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SOTF 19044 - Formal compliance request  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 9:07:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [SOTF 19044 - Formal compliance request.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2021 8:27 PM  
To: COTE, JOHN (CAT) <John.Cote@sfcityatty.org>; COOLBRITH, ELIZABETH (CAT) <Elizabeth.Coolbrith@sfcityatty.org>; FEITELBERG, BRITTANY (CAT) <Brittany.Feitelberg@sfcityatty.org>; BUTA, ODAYA (CAT) <Odaya.Buta@sfcityatty.org>; GIVNER, JON (CAT) <Jon.Givner@sfcityatty.org>; PRADHAN, MANU (CAT) <Manu.Pradhan@sfcityatty.org>  
Cc: Lila LaHood <lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com>; Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) <sotf@brucewolfe.net>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Ethics Commission, (ETH) <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Subject: SOTF 19044 - Formal compliance request

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SOTF 19044 - Formal compliance request  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 11:56:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Re SOTF 19044 - Formal compliance request.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Saturday, January 9, 2021 11:10 AM  
To: COTE, JOHN (CAT) <John.Cote@sfcityatty.org>; COOLBRITH, ELIZABETH (CAT) <Elizabeth.Coolbrith@sfcityatty.org>; FEITELBERG, BRITTANY (CAT) <Brittany.Feitelberg@sfcityatty.org>; BUTA, ODAYA (CAT) <Odaya.Buta@sfcityatty.org>; GIVNER, JON (CAT) <Jon.Givner@sfcityatty.org>; PRADHAN, MANU (CAT) <Manu.Pradhan@sfcityatty.org>; Leger, Cheryl (BOS) <cheryl.leger@sfgov.org>  
Cc: Lila LaHood <lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com>; Bruce Wolfe (Chair, SOTF, SF) <sotf@brucewolfe.net>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>; Ethics Commission, (ETH) <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Subject: Re: SOTF 19044 - Formal compliance request

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Violation of Gov Code 6254.5 by the City Attorney  
**Date:** Friday, January 8, 2021 9:45:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Violation of Gov Code 6254.5 by the City Attorney.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Thursday, January 7, 2021 6:26 PM  
To: Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>  
Cc: COTE, JOHN (CAT) <John.Cote@sfcityatty.org>; COOLBRITH, ELIZABETH (CAT) <Elizabeth.Coolbrith@sfcityatty.org>; GIVNER, JON (CAT) <Jon.Givner@sfcityatty.org>; RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>  
Subject: Violation of Gov Code 6254.5 by the City Attorney

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**From:** [Anonymous](#)  
**To:** [Records, Supervisor \(CAT\); SOTF, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [COTE, JOHN \(CAT\); COOLBRITH, ELIZABETH \(CAT\); GIVNER, JON \(CAT\); RUSSI, BRAD \(CAT\)](#)  
**Subject:** Violation of Gov Code 6254.5 by the City Attorney  
**Date:** Thursday, January 7, 2021 6:26:01 PM  
**Attachments:** [signature.asc](#)

---

### **Supervisor of Records:**

This is a SF Admin Code 67.21(d) petition against Dennis Herrera, Elizabeth Coolbrith and the Office of the City Attorney.

Please determine in writing that some or all portions of the record withheld, namely some or all of the email headers, are public and order them disclosed.

**SOTF:** Please file complaint Anonymous ([106278-45502273@requests.muckrock.com](mailto:106278-45502273@requests.muckrock.com)) v Dennis Herrera, Elizabeth Coolbrith, and the Office of the City Attorney.

Allegations: CPRA Gov Code 6254.5, Sunshine Ordinance 67.21(K) and 67.26 and 67.34

### **Argument:**

See the attached 2020 request and response in Ex. A and record produced in Ex. B.

On May 17, **2019**, Respondents voluntarily disclosed additional parts (namely email headers) *for this specific record*, thus waiving any potential argument for their exemption, to a different records request.

Under Gov Code 6254.5, Respondents are estopped from now claiming that those parts previously disclosed to the public in this same record are now exempt.

**67.34:** Because Respondents were ordered to produce even more data in this same record in SOTF 19044, and because Respondents themselves did in fact produce more data in 2019 for this same record, Respondents' non-minimal withholding and violation of the CPRA (incorporated by reference via SFAC 67.21(K)) is a willful violation of the Sunshine Ordinance.

Respondents are, like soon to be former President Trump, merely sore losers. Instead of contesting my arguments - which have prevailed in at least five different cases against various City agencies including Herrera - through the lawful timely procedural means which are now past, Respondents simply use the force of their government authority to refuse to comply with the law, because they believe themselves above the law, and above tribunals such as the SOTF.

Mr. Cote, Respondents' director of propaganda, argued on January 6 that my efforts to enforce the City's laws, *exactly as the laws itself dictate*, against his boss are somehow improper - a view SOTF rejected. There is only one law-breaking party in these disputes, and that is Dennis Herrera (see SOTF 19108) and his Office (see SOTF 19044 and 19120). Mr. Herrera's attempt, through Mr. Cote, to delegitimize the enforcement of the Sunshine Ordinance is also pure hypocrisy - Herrera's job involves going after those who break various City laws; the only difference is in my case the persons held to account are him and his staff, and the laws enforced are those that protect public access to government. The day Herrera fully and totally submits to the Sunshine Ordinance and CPRA is the day that I can stop bringing complaints against him.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims***

*all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.*

Sincerely,

Anonymous

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Violation of Gov Code 6254.5 by the City Attorney  
**Date:** Friday, January 8, 2021 9:46:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Re Violation of Gov Code 6254.5 by the City Attorney.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>  
Sent: Thursday, January 7, 2021 6:29 PM  
To: Records, Supervisor (CAT) <Supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org>; SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>  
Cc: COTE, JOHN (CAT) <John.Cote@sfcityatty.org>; COOLBRITH, ELIZABETH (CAT) <Elizabeth.Coolbrith@sfcityatty.org>; GIVNER, JON (CAT) <Jon.Givner@sfcityatty.org>; RUSSI, BRAD (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>  
Subject: Re: Violation of Gov Code 6254.5 by the City Attorney

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**From:** [Anonymous](#)  
**To:** [Records, Supervisor \(CAT\); SOTF, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [COTE, JOHN \(CAT\); COOLBRITH, ELIZABETH \(CAT\); GIVNER, JON \(CAT\); RUSSI, BRAD \(CAT\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Violation of Gov Code 6254.5 by the City Attorney  
**Date:** Thursday, January 7, 2021 6:29:28 PM  
**Attachments:** [Exhibits.pdf](#)  
[signature.asc](#)

---

+Exhibits

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, January 7th, 2021 at 6:25 PM, Anonymous  
<arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com> wrote:

**Supervisor of Records:**

This is a SF Admin Code 67.21(d) petition against Dennis Herrera, Elizabeth Coolbrith and the Office of the City Attorney.

Please determine in writing that some or all portions of the record withheld, namely some or all of the email headers, are public and order them disclosed.

**SOTF:** Please file complaint Anonymous ([106278-45502273@requests.muckrock.com](#)) v Dennis Herrera, Elizabeth Coolbrith, and the Office of the City Attorney.

Allegations: CPRA Gov Code 6254.5, Sunshine Ordinance 67.21(K) and 67.26 and 67.34

**Argument:**

See the attached 2020 request and response in Ex. A and record produced in Ex. B.

On May 17, **2019**, Respondents voluntarily disclosed additional parts (namely email headers) *for this specific record*, thus waiving any potential argument for their exemption, to a different records request.

Under Gov Code 6254.5, Respondents are estopped from now claiming that those parts previously disclosed to the public in this same record are now exempt.

**67.34:** Because Respondents were ordered to produce even more data in this same record in SOTF 19044, and because Respondents themselves did in fact produce more data in 2019 for this same record, Respondents' non-minimal withholding and violation of the CPRA (incorporated by reference via SFAC 67.21(K)) is a willful violation of the Sunshine Ordinance.

Respondents are, like soon to be former President Trump, merely sore losers. Instead of contesting my arguments - which have prevailed in at least five different cases against various City agencies including Herrera - through the lawful timely procedural means which are now past, Respondents simply use the force of their government authority to refuse to comply with the law, because they believe themselves above the law, and above tribunals such as the SOTF.

Mr. Cote, Respondents' director of propaganda, argued on January 6 that my efforts to enforce the City's laws, *exactly as the laws itself dictate*, against his boss are somehow improper - a view SOTF rejected. There is only one law-breaking

party in these disputes, and that is Dennis Herrera (see SOTF 19108) and his Office (see SOTF 19044 and 19120). Mr. Herrera's attempt, through Mr. Cote, to delegitimize the enforcement of the Sunshine Ordinance is also pure hypocrisy - Herrera's job involves going after those who break various City laws; the only difference is in my case the persons held to account are him and his staff, and the laws enforced are those that protect public access to government. The day Herrera fully and totally submits to the Sunshine Ordinance and CPRA is the day that I can stop bringing complaints against him.

***NOTE: Nothing herein is legal, IT, or professional advice of any kind. The author disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to all warranties of merchantability or fitness. In no event shall the author be liable for any special, direct, indirect, consequential, or any other damages whatsoever. The digital signature (signature.asc attachment), if any, in this email is not an indication of a binding agreement or offer; it merely authenticates the sender. Please do not include any confidential information, as I intend that these communications with the government all be disclosable public records.***

Sincerely,

Anonymous

## Exhibit A – Response/Request/Record

**From: Anonymous Person**

**12/28/2020**

**Subject: California Public Records Act Request: an email - immediate disclosure request**

**Email**

We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA), as an Immediate Disclosure Request:

an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, OR in the .EML format, OR the .MSG format (your choice), with all e-mail headers, metadata, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of:

- the email record having Message-Id:

[20190418173050.839.30844@f720c6d2-4be2-4478-af65-](mailto:20190418173050.839.30844@f720c6d2-4be2-4478-af65-b9b764b16768.prvt.dyno.rt.heroku.com)

[b9b764b16768.prvt.dyno.rt.heroku.com](mailto:b9b764b16768.prvt.dyno.rt.heroku.com)

- the email record having Message-Id:

[requests@muckrock.com](mailto:requests@muckrock.com)

If you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record as retained by you, which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. If you instead provide PDFs or printed emails with only a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and therefore withhold the other headers/attachments without justification, you may be in violation of the Sunshine Ordinance.

**From: San Francisco City Attorney**

**12/30/2020**

**Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request: an email - immediate disclosure request**

**Email**

Dear Requester,

As explained previously, the immediate disclosure process is currently suspended, yet you continue to claim that your requests are immediate disclosure requests. Going forward we will endeavor to respond to your requests as soon as possible, but we are not required to treat them as immediate disclosure requests despite your efforts to label them as such. We will resume following the immediate disclosure process once that process is reinstated.

Sincerely,

[signature\_540561676]Odaya Buta

Paralegal

Office of City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera

[www.sfcityattorney.org](http://www.sfcityattorney.org)<applewebdata://354EB39C-2368-4201-BE40-DFCD2DA81691/www.sfcityattorney.org>

Find us on: Facebook<<https://www.facebook.com/sfcityattorney/>>

Twitter<<https://twitter.com/SFCityAttorney>>

Instagram<<https://www.instagram.com/sfcityattorney/>>

This message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may include privileged or confidential information. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately, and permanently delete this message and any attachments.



Image001

[Download](#)



From: San Francisco City Attorney

01/07/2021

Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request: an email - immediate disclosure request

Email

Dear requester,

Thank you for your request. We do not organize our emails by message ID, so even if an IT person could find a way to accomplish what you are asking for, we would ask that you clarify your request in terms of a date or topic or similar information.

Please send replies to [cityattorney@sfcityatty.org](mailto:cityattorney@sfcityatty.org)<mailto:cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>  
Best,

[image010]Elizabeth A. Coolbrith  
Paralegal  
Office of City Attorney Dennis Herrera  
(415) 554-4685 Direct  
[www.sfcityattorney.org](http://www.sfcityattorney.org)



image001

[Download](#)



~WRD000

[Download](#)

From: Anonymous Person

01/07/2021

Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request: an email - immediate disclosure request

Email

The Subject is exactly "California Public Records Act Request: Immediate Disclosure Request - PRA Opinions"

From: San Francisco City Attorney

01/07/2021

Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request: an email - immediate disclosure request

Email

Dear requester,

I am responding on behalf of the City Attorney's Office to your request for the email with the subject "California Public Records Act Request\_ Immediate Disclosure Request - PRA Opinions". Attached to this email please find the email you requested. We are withholding metadata information. To the extent that information is a public record, we are withholding it based on Cal. Evid. Code section 1040 and Cal. Gov't Code sections 6255 and 6254.19. As we have explained, we are continuing to review these issues, and we will let you know if our analysis changes so that you have the option of submitting a follow-up request if desired.

Please send replies to [cityattorney@sfcityatty.org](mailto:cityattorney@sfcityatty.org)<mailto:cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>  
Best,

[image010]Elizabeth A. Coolbrith  
Paralegal  
Office of City Attorney Dennis Herrera  
(415) 554-4685 Direct





Responsive Record

[Download](#)



image001

[Download](#)



## Exhibit B – Record

## Coolbrith, Elizabeth (CAT)

---

**From:** 71969-51399120@requests.muckrock.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 18, 2019 10:31 AM  
**To:** CityAttorney  
**Subject:** California Public Records Act Request: Immediate Disclosure Request - PRA Opinions  
**Attachments:** ZX03-190418-0620-20SF20Attorney.pdf

San Francisco City Attorney  
PRA Office  
Room 234  
1 Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place  
SF, CA 94102

April 18, 2019

This is an Immediate Disclosure Request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.  
Please see the attached letter.

Filed via MuckRock.com

E-mail (Preferred): 71969-51399120@requests.muckrock.com

Upload documents directly:

[https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Femail%3Dcityattorney%2540sfcityatty.org%26next%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency\\_login%252Fsan-francisco-city-attorney-797%252Fimmediate-disclosure-request-pra-opinions-71969%252F%2523agency-reply&url\\_auth\\_token=AAAuFPyowSKvISVcsOY\\_\\_\\_QbVFM%3A1hHAs4%3AOWQe4c\\_mSkc6wjcWujmU\\_cmkaGU](https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Femail%3Dcityattorney%2540sfcityatty.org%26next%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-city-attorney-797%252Fimmediate-disclosure-request-pra-opinions-71969%252F%2523agency-reply&url_auth_token=AAAuFPyowSKvISVcsOY___QbVFM%3A1hHAs4%3AOWQe4c_mSkc6wjcWujmU_cmkaGU)  
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):

MuckRock News  
DEPT MR 71969  
411A Highland Ave  
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.



## California Public Records Act Request

### Immediate Disclosure Request

Your immediate response is requested.

Date

2019-04-18

Our Ref. Num.

ZX03-190418-06

Please provide the following public records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (the “Act”)<sup>1</sup>, the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, and Article I of the California Constitution. If the recipient cannot address this request, please forward it to the appropriate official or staff member. “You” and “your,” refer to each of the one or more requestee public agencies, and not merely the individual recipient or member, agent, officer, or employee of the public agency. “Including” means “including but not limited to.” We reserve all of our rights under the Act and other applicable law.

**All of your responses, including any disclosed records, secret URLs or file share passwords, may be automatically, instantly, and publicly viewable via the muckrock.com service.**

Please be certain all responses are properly redacted. I am not a representative of MuckRock.

**We request electronic copies of only those records that will be provided to us without any fees and/or that you waive fees.** As we do not want any physical copies, we are not expecting any fees. However, if you determine that you would assess fees to provide us with copies of some or all records (which we may challenge), instead provide us with the fee-free determination of which responsive records exist, so that we may inspect the records instead (for free), if we so choose. As numerous records may be responsive, after providing your statutory response within appropriate deadlines, we are happy to receive record production in a rolling or incremental manner.

### Requestee Public Agency

City and County of San Francisco – City Attorney

### Requestor

An anonymous member of the public<sup>2</sup>, who may be contacted only via email

<sup>1</sup>References to the Act are made with respect to the Cal. Gov’t. Code as listed on [https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/codes\\_displayexpandedbranch.xhtml?tocCode=GOV&division=7.&title=1.&part=&chapter=3.5.&article=](https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayexpandedbranch.xhtml?tocCode=GOV&division=7.&title=1.&part=&chapter=3.5.&article=)

<sup>2</sup>Since Act requests are not even required to be in writing (“The California Public Records Act plainly does not require a written request.” *Los Angeles Times v. Alameda Corridor Transp. Auth.* (2001) 88 Cal.App.4th 1381, 1392.) and may not be distinguished by the purpose of the request (Gov’t Code sec. 6257.5), I choose not to use any forms you may have made available for Act requests nor will I identify myself nor provide contact information other than e-mail address. I will not use any private entity’s contracted public records website if doing so would require agreement to terms or privacy policies which impose any conditions beyond the requirements of the Act.

## Requests

Electronic copies, via email, of all records prepared, owned, used, or retained by each agency (including all appendices, attachments, inline images, exhibits, or shared files referenced in or by the below requested records, and including all public records that pursuant to *City of San Jose v. Superior Court* (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608 may exist on personal accounts or devices) of any of the following:

- R1. all requests for legal opinion from the City Attorney pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code 67.21 from January 1, 2010 through April 18, 2019
- R2. all responses to R1, including published and unpublished opinions and refusals to provide an opinion

Note that per Gov't Code 6253.9(a)(1): "The agency shall make the information available in any electronic format in which it holds the information." If you have these records in .msg or .eml formats, please provide a lossless copy of that record in that original format, or in another format that fully preserves all e-mail headers and other metadata. If you instead, for example, print the email to PDF format, we will lose valuable data associated with the record, and you will not have provided us a complete copy of the public record.

In your notice of determination, state whether you have records responsive to each of the requests made. Please cite legal authority for any records or portions thereof withheld<sup>3</sup> and the names and titles<sup>4</sup> of each person responsible for such withholding. Please perform a diligent search for responsive records and examine them before determining they are exempt, as you may find that responsive records have segregatable disclosable portions that you must disclose,<sup>5</sup> which cannot be determined unless you actually search for records.

Please provide all records solely in electronic format<sup>6</sup> and via e-mail. If a record is available on your public website, a URL is preferable to duplication. If it is not, please consider publishing it so as to benefit the entire public and not just me. If records are too large to provide over e-mail, please use a file sharing service if your agency has one. If you use your file sharing service, and file access would expire, please set the expiration to no less than 30 days after notifying us of record availability. We choose not to provide a mailing address for physical CD-ROMs or USB drives both to preserve anonymity and reduce financial and environmental costs.

All public records "prepared, owned, used, or retained"<sup>7</sup> by every agency<sup>8</sup> named must be considered. Under *City of San Jose v. Superior Court* (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608 personal email accounts or mobile devices may contain disclosable public records – please search them as appropriate. If multiple agencies are addressed, a response from each is expected.

Please make note of the reference number (ZX03-190418-06) as I may have sent you multiple,

---

<sup>3</sup>Gov't Code sec. 6255

<sup>4</sup>Gov't Code sec. 6253(d)

<sup>5</sup>Gov't Code sec. 6253(a)

<sup>6</sup>Gov't Code sec. 6253.9(a)

<sup>7</sup>Gov't Code sec. 6252(e)

<sup>8</sup>See Gov't Code sec. 6527(e)(5) if you are a joint powers agency or joint powers authority.

distinct requests with different reference numbers.

Please promptly disclose<sup>9</sup> of all disclosable records responsive to this request, and provide assistance, as needed, in identifying and locating responsive records and overcoming objections to their disclosure,<sup>10</sup> in accordance with the Act, any “requirements for [yourself] that allow for faster, more efficient, or greater access to records,”<sup>11</sup> and other applicable laws and regulations.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

---

<sup>9</sup>Gov't Code sec. 6253(b)

<sup>10</sup>Gov't Code sec. 6253.1(a)

<sup>11</sup>Gov't Code sec. 6253(e)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: FW: Breed & Herrera hire union-busting firm to defend SF's racist policies  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 4:42:00 PM

---

**From:** Black Employee Alliance <blackemployeealliance@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 3:47 PM

**To:** Black Employee Alliance <blackemployeealliance@gmail.com>;  
blackemployeesalliance@outlook.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR)  
<mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) <andrea.bruss@sfgov.org>;  
sean.elbernd@sfgov.org; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Haney,  
Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mar,  
Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston,  
Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS)  
<ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann  
(BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; CivilService,  
Civil (CSC) <civilservice@sfgov.org>; rudy@sflaborcouncil.org; kim@sflaborcouncil.org;  
sflc@sflaborcouncil.org; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; connie@conniechansf.com;  
Myrna Melgar <melgarsf@gmail.com>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; John  
Doherty <jdoherty@ibew6.org>; cityworker@sfcwu.org; clavery@oe3.org; mbrito@oe3.org;  
tneep@oe3.org; oashworth@ibew6.org; debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org; kgeneral@ifpte21.org; Jessica  
Beard <jbeard@ifpte21.org>; tmathews@ifpte21.org; Vivian Araullo <varaullo@ifpte21.org>;  
ewallace@ifpte21.org; aflores@ifpte21.org; smcgarry@nccrc.org; larryjr@ualocal38.org;  
jchiarenza@ualocal38.org; SEichenberger@local39.org; Richard Koenig <richardk@smw104.org>;  
anthonyu@smw104.org; Charles, Jasmin (MTA) <Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com>;  
twulocal200@sbcglobal.net; roger marenco <rmarenco@twusf.org>; pwilson@twusf.org; Theresa  
Foglio <laborers261@gmail.com>; bart@dc16.us; dharrington@teamster853.org;  
MLeach@ibt856.org; jason.klumb@seiu1021.org; theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org;  
XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org; Hector Cardenas <Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org>;  
pmendeziamaw@comcast.net; mjayne@iam1414.org; raquel@sfmea.com (contact)  
<raquel@sfmea.com>; christina@sfmea.com; criss@sfmea.com; l200twu@gmail.com; Local Twu  
<local200twu@sbcglobal.net>; lkuhls@teamsters853.org; staff@sfmea.com;  
president@sanfranciscodsa.com; SFDPOA@icloud.com; sfbia14@gmail.com; ibew6@ibew6.org;  
SFPD, Commission (POL) <SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org>; Airport Commission Secretary (AIR)  
<airportcommissionsecretary@flysfo.com>; Commission, Fire (FIR) <fire.commission@sfgov.org>;  
DPH, Health Commission (DPH) <HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org>; MTABoard@sfmta.com;  
info@sfwater.org; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC)  
<kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC)  
<sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC)  
<theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Fwd: FW: Breed & Herrera hire union-busting firm to defend SF's racist policies

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon members of the Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness, Labor Partners, Members of the Board of Supervisors, City Leaders, and Ma -

We hope this message finds you well. Below, is a Press Release that was forwarded by several of our members. It outlines the City and County of San Francisco's movement to defend their position against several lawsuits recently filed against the City pertaining to anti-Black, racial harassment, and discrimination. While the outcomes of the lawsuits will be determined at some point, it is the BEA's hope that the City and County of San Francisco owns its wrongdoing of perpetual unfair, disparate, and discriminatory employment practices which have led to these cases, and the indefensible disparities we see across all outcomes regarding Black employees and our disenfranchisement across all City departments. We are urging the Mayor, City Attorney's Office, Board of Supervisors, and other City leaders to do the right thing. This means accounting for and correcting previous wrongs - and implementing systematic policies and protocols that will shift disparate and disproportionate outcomes in Black employment at the City and County of San Francisco.

In addition to this issue, we have also witnessed the demise of several City department heads and leaders across the City, and while there has been little to no comment about the fact that all these people have been Black City department leaders (i.e., Harlan Kelly, Mohammed Nuru, Naomi Kelly), this very pivotal fact has not been lost on members and allies of the Black Employees Alliance. To witness the ways in which White, male members of the United States Congress, along with President Donald Trump incited sedition and an insurrection at the U.S. Capitol last week; and that there will most likely be no accountability for that type of treason and violence - yet the pursuit of three prominent Black SF City Leaders for purposes of petty-theft and kickbacks has led to the suffering and destruction of their families - is a hard pill to swallow. It reinforces the very real reality of which all of us are aware, which is that justice is on the side of Whiteness, and White people. As quoted by a U.S. Attorney in 1866 (the year after the U.S. Civil War ended), "We have the power to pass stringent police laws to govern the Negroes. This is a blessing. For they must be controlled in some way or White people cannot live amongst them". We understand the notion that breaking the law is wrong. However, we also understand that in most cases breaking the law is wrong mostly when you are Black.

If there is to be any real or true change in San Francisco, there must be a reckoning of rooting out both the misconduct of all leaders who are "breaking the law", and not Black leaders or employees only.



The unrelenting trauma and pain of seeing, living, witnessing the reality of overenforcement and underinvestment towards Black people at this City, on every scale is debilitating. And so, we are urging the City and County to hold accountable White, and non-Black leaders who continue to perpetuate and/or remain complicit in anti-Black racial harm, harassment, and discrimination. And we are urging the Board of Supervisors, and the Mayor to be vigilant on these issues in 2021, by appointing new leadership from outside of the City who can bring true change to the culture and nature of San Francisco City government.

The BEA supports the Mayor in all of her efforts to effect change and will continue doing what we can to facilitate progress.

Please see below for the Press Release referenced above.

Best,

Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness

***Press Release – January 12, 2021***

**BREED, HERRERA HIRE NOTORIOUS UNION-BUSTING FIRM TO DEFEND SF'S RACIST POLICIES**

San Francisco Mayor London Breed and City Attorney Dennis Herrera have hired the notorious and expensive union-busting law firm Jackson Lewis to defend the City against lawsuits arising from what the city has admitted is systemic racism against Black employees in pay and promotions.

The move came the same week that a mob, led by white supremacists, some carrying Confederate flags, stormed the U. S. Capitol Building in a violent protest of President Trump's loss in the Presidential election. "Hiring a law firm with a long history of union-busting and defending racist employers to represent the City is the legal equivalent of flying a Confederate flag in City Hall," said Karl Olson, one of the attorneys representing Black employees in a class action against the City.

The City is being sued both by individuals represented by former Supervisor Angela Alioto and by a class of Black employees at the Municipal Transportation Authority ("MTA"), the Department of Public Health and the Department of Public Works. The lawsuits followed the City's admission, in a presentation by MTA chief Jeffrey Tumlin to the MTA board, that it has a long history of systemic racism in pay and promotion which has resulted in Black employees making significantly less than white employees.

“The City appears clueless about how to run buses and trains or clean streets,” said Olson, “while it adeptly implements racist pay, promotion, and discipline practices and fails to prevent harassment and discrimination.”

The City's decision to hire the Jackson Lewis firm isn't the first time it has used taxpayer dollars on big law firms to defend bad employment practices. City Attorney Herrera shelled out \$5 million in taxpayer money to a campaign contributor's law firm, Kecker, Van Nest & Peters, in an unsuccessful attempt to defeat a whistleblower's lawsuit against the City regarding, in part, illegal claims handling practices in Herrera's own office. The City ended up paying a \$7 million judgment in that case.

Hiring the Jackson Lewis law firm is an eyebrow-raiser in a City which is known for touting its so-called progressive politics. A *New York Times* article observed that Jackson Lewis “is widely known as one of the most aggressively anti-union law firms in the United States.” Founded in 1958, it employs more than 900 attorneys in 58 offices in 37 states. Its revenues grew from \$196 million in 2008 to \$420 million in 2018. One recent article stated that in the past decade, it has moved aggressively into public-sector higher-education anti-unionism.

One recent account observed, “There are thousands of law firms in the U.S. that do management-side labor law, and most do it much cheaper than Jackson Lewis, and most do not bend and break the law as Jackson Lewis has done. When a company or university hires Jackson Lewis, it's because of its specialty in no-holds-barred anti-unionism.” One critic said, “Breaking the law is part of its overall anti-union strategy. Labor busting used to be the domain of Pinkerton goons in jackboots. Now it's Jackson Lewis lawyers in Brioni suits.” One observer, David Correia, observed after the University of New Mexico hired the firm, “While universities like UNM continue to disinvest in the academic mission, publicly-funded union busting gets a blank check.”

**CONTACT:** Karl Olson, Cannata, O'Toole, Fickes & Olson, LLP: 415-602-0841  
([kolson@cofolaw.com](mailto:kolson@cofolaw.com))

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: The Protection of White Employees" Failure vs. The Demise of Black Employees Careers and Lives When We Fail: "Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity" - PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST  
**Date:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 9:02:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[MCCP Bonuses by Race by Fiscal Year.pdf](#)  
[City and County of San Francisco Managers By Race.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Black Employee Alliance <blackemployeealliance@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 10:07 PM

**To:** Black Employee Alliance <blackemployeealliance@gmail.com>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) <andrea.bruss@sfgov.org>; sean.elbernd@sfgov.org; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; president@sanfranciscodsa.com; Ponder, Steve (HRD) <steve.ponder@sfgov.org>; Isen, Carol (HRD) <carol.isen@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** SFPD, Commission (POL) <SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org>; Airport Commission Secretary (AIR) <airportcommissionsecretary@flysfo.com>; Commission, Fire (FIR) <fire.commission@sfgov.org>; DPH, Health Commission (DPH) <HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org>; MTABoard@sfmta.com; info@sfwater.org; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Fwd: The Protection of White Employees' Failure vs. The Demise of Black Employees Careers and Lives When We Fail: "Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity" - PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST

Good evening Mayor Breed, SF Board President Walton, Members of the Board of Supervisors, and BEA Members -

I hope this message finds you well. The Department of Human Resources fulfilled the public records (FOIA) request we submitted on January 3rd. Attached you will find a document containing management bonuses by race (MCCP), for fiscal years 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19. In addition, there is a separate attachment, which includes all management/MCCP-eligible classifications across the City and County of San Francisco.

Some of the most notable and concerning data points in this attachment are:

- **While there has been a decrease in White management and leadership representation between the years of 2015 and 2019 (roughly 3% decrease overall, consistently), bonuses for this group have increased exponentially.**

- **Bonuses for the Asian population have fluctuated but have decreased since 2015-16. At no time have they increased in similar proportion to White leadership. The same determination can be made for the Hispanic/Latinx population of employees.**
- **While the numbers for Black managers and Filipino managers seem somewhat improved, the increases come nowhere close to the exponential increases in bonuses for White San Francisco leaders. At no time have they increased in similar proportion to White leadership.**

**In 2016, bonuses for White leaders totaled \$623, 222; \$694,731 in 2017. However, in 2018, the total jumps to \$805,883, more than \$110,000. In 2019, this number increased by almost \$50k, totaling approximately \$850,000 in bonuses for White managers. It is important to note that bonuses are not based upon any set percentage of salary but are discretionary in nature.** This data substantiates and indicates the ways in which the City's discretionary bonus structure has remained in favor of White managers and leaders.

More than negligent, this is disgraceful. The Municipal Executives Association (MEA), the Department of Human Resources (DHR), and the Civil Service Commission (CSC), are responsible for enabling, approving, and allowing decisions to be made each year, that have led to the outcomes represented here.

We are urging both Mayor Breed and the Board of Supervisors to address the manipulation of the MCCP process. Please hold accountable all entities named above and ensure that there are checks and balances created to ensure that there is equitable and proportional distribution of bonuses across all groups. The stark representation of the decrease in White City leadership, against the \$150k in increased budget for bonuses for this group, is absurd. It is demonstrative of concrete racism.

We are requesting an official response from the Mayor's Office, and the Board of Supervisors about the specific steps and actions that will be taken to address this issue. Please respond by Friday, February 5th.

Best,

Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ponder, Steve (HRD)** <[steve.ponder@sfgov.org](mailto:steve.ponder@sfgov.org)>

Date: Wed, Jan 20, 2021 at 9:51 AM

Subject: RE: The Protection of White Employees' Failure vs. The Demise of Black Employees Careers and Lives When We Fail: "Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity" - PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST

To: Black Employee Alliance <[blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)>

Cc: Isen, Carol (HRD) <[carol.isen@sfgov.org](mailto:carol.isen@sfgov.org)>

Black Employee Alliance,

Please see attached document that notes the amount of M CCP bonuses received by race for FY15-16, FY16-17, FY17-18 and FY18-19. These bonuses are also noted as a percent of bonuses paid and then percent of management payroll for context.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.



Connecting People with Purpose

**Steven Ponder, Classification and Compensation Director**

Department of Human Resources

One South Van Ness Ave., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

Phone: (415) 557-4917

Website: [www.sfdhr.org](http://www.sfdhr.org)

---

**From:** Black Employee Alliance <[blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Sunday, January 03, 2021 12:37 PM

**To:** [president@sanfranciscodsa.com](mailto:president@sanfranciscodsa.com); Isen, Carol (HRD) <[carol.isen@sfgov.org](mailto:carol.isen@sfgov.org)>; Ponder, Steve (HRD) <[steve.ponder@sfgov.org](mailto:steve.ponder@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** Mrs. Nikcole Cunningham <[mrs.nikcolecunningham@gmail.com](mailto:mrs.nikcolecunningham@gmail.com)>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)>; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) <[andrea.bruss@sfgov.org](mailto:andrea.bruss@sfgov.org)>; [sean.elbernd@sfgov.org](mailto:sean.elbernd@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <[mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org)>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <[sandra.fewer@sfgov.org](mailto:sandra.fewer@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <[norman.yee@sfgov.org](mailto:norman.yee@sfgov.org)>; SFPD, Commission (POL) <[SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org](mailto:SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org)>; Airport Commission Secretary (AIR) <[airportcommissionsecretary@flysf.com](mailto:airportcommissionsecretary@flysf.com)>; Commission, Fire (FIR) <[fire.commission@sfgov.org](mailto:fire.commission@sfgov.org)>; DPH, Health Commission (DPH) <[HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org](mailto:HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org)>; [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com); [info@sfwater.org](mailto:info@sfwater.org); Koppel, Joel (CPC) <[joel.koppel@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.koppel@sfgov.org)>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <[kathrin.moore@sfgov.org](mailto:kathrin.moore@sfgov.org)>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <[deland.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:deland.chan@sfgov.org)>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <[sue.diamond@sfgov.org](mailto:sue.diamond@sfgov.org)>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <[frank.fung@sfgov.org](mailto:frank.fung@sfgov.org)>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <[theresa.imperial@sfgov.org](mailto:theresa.imperial@sfgov.org)>; CivilService, Civil (CSC) <[civilservice@sfgov.org](mailto:civilservice@sfgov.org)>; [rudysflaborcouncil.org](mailto:rudysflaborcouncil.org); [kimsflaborcouncil.org](mailto:kimsflaborcouncil.org); [sflc@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:sflc@sflaborcouncil.org); John Doherty <[jdoherthy@ibew6.org](mailto:jdoherthy@ibew6.org)>; [cityworker@sfcwu.org](mailto:cityworker@sfcwu.org); [clavery@oe3.org](mailto:clavery@oe3.org); [mbrito@oe3.org](mailto:mbrito@oe3.org); [tneep@oe3.org](mailto:tneep@oe3.org); [oashworth@ibew6.org](mailto:oashworth@ibew6.org); [debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org](mailto:debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org); [kgeneral@ifpte21.org](mailto:kgeneral@ifpte21.org); Jessica Beard <[jbeard@ifpte21.org](mailto:jbeard@ifpte21.org)>; [tmathews@ifpte21.org](mailto:tmathews@ifpte21.org); [varaullo@ifpte21.org](mailto:varaullo@ifpte21.org); [ewallace@ifpte21.org](mailto:ewallace@ifpte21.org); [aflores@ifpte21.org](mailto:aflores@ifpte21.org); [smcgarry@nccrc.org](mailto:smcgarry@nccrc.org); [larryjr@ualocal38.org](mailto:larryjr@ualocal38.org); [jchiarenza@ualocal38.org](mailto:jchiarenza@ualocal38.org); [SEichenberger@local39.org](mailto:SEichenberger@local39.org); Richard Koenig <[richardk@smw104.org](mailto:richardk@smw104.org)>; [anthonyu@smw104.org](mailto:anthonyu@smw104.org); Charles, Jasmin (MTA) <[Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com](mailto:Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com)>; [twulocal200@sbcglobal.net](mailto:twulocal200@sbcglobal.net); roger marenco <[rmarenco@twusf.org](mailto:rmarenco@twusf.org)>; [pwilson@twusf.org](mailto:pwilson@twusf.org); Theresa Foglio <[laborers261@gmail.com](mailto:laborers261@gmail.com)>; [bart@dc16.us](mailto:bart@dc16.us); [dharrington@teamster853.org](mailto:dharrington@teamster853.org); [MLeach@ibt856.org](mailto:MLeach@ibt856.org); [jason.klumb@seiu1021.org](mailto:jason.klumb@seiu1021.org); [theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org](mailto:theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org); [XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org](mailto:XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org); Hector Cardenas

<[Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org](mailto:Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org)>; [pmendeziamaw@comcast.net](mailto:pmendeziamaw@comcast.net); [mjayne@iam1414.org](mailto:mjayne@iam1414.org); [raquel@sfmea.com](mailto:raquel@sfmea.com) (contact) <[raquel@sfmea.com](mailto:raquel@sfmea.com)>; [christina@sfmea.com](mailto:christina@sfmea.com); [criss@sfmea.com](mailto:criss@sfmea.com); [l200twu@gmail.com](mailto:l200twu@gmail.com); Local Twu <[local200twu@sbcglobal.net](mailto:local200twu@sbcglobal.net)>; [lkuhls@teamsters853.org](mailto:lkuhls@teamsters853.org); [staff@sfmea.com](mailto:staff@sfmea.com); [SFDPOA@icloud.com](mailto:SFDPOA@icloud.com); [sfbia14@gmail.com](mailto:sfbia14@gmail.com); [ibew6@ibew6.org](mailto:ibew6@ibew6.org); [my1reed@hotmail.com](mailto:my1reed@hotmail.com)

**Subject:** Re: The Protection of White Employees' Failure vs. The Demise of Black Employees Careers and Lives When We Fail: "Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity" - PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon -

Thank you very much for sending this message.

Department leaders very much receive bonuses. While the structure is unclear for department heads, we will defer to the Mayor's Office to provide clarity on this question. However, all other leaders (i.e. Managing Deputy Directors, HR Directors, senior/executive-level managers, etc.) receive bonuses that are tied to the MEA MOU. It registers as MCCP, and to our knowledge, these bonuses have not been forgone in recent years. These bonuses are typically recommended at the discretion of management (very biased). The MCCP bonus distribution has also been administered systematically and routinely to White management and executive level directors, while non-White managers and Directors have gone unrecommended for these bonus opportunities. Please [click here](#) to see statistics.

Thank you very much Ken, for your inquiry and attention to this matter.

If you have any additional questions, please let us know.

In solidarity!

Good afternoon Director Carol Isen and Steven Ponder

The Black Employees Alliance would like to submit a public records request for aggregated totals of MCCP Bonuses paid to management (by race), for fiscal years 2015-16; 2016-17; 2017-18; 2018-19. To be clear, we are not requesting individual breakdowns for each employee. We would like group totals by \$\$ for the total amount of bonuses paid to Asian, Black, Filipino, Hispanic, and White executives and managers. We look forward to receiving this information from you by Friday, January 22nd.

Thank you!

Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness

On Sat, Dec 19, 2020 at 3:56 PM President <[PRESIDENT@sanfranciscodsa.com](mailto:PRESIDENT@sanfranciscodsa.com)> wrote:

Hi Everyone,

I have been reading and monitoring your emails. Thank you for the information.

Since, you have many union leaders, community leaders, and city leaders on this email thread, I have a question for all of you in regards to a yearly problem which this year has been amplified in our department.

It is in regards to cost saving (aka salary savings, attrition savings).

Seems like our members suffer a heavy and unfair burden by our department due to intentional cost savings.

**My questions is, do department leaders get bonuses? Do they get any type of bonus whether its a goal bonus or a savings bonus?**

We will be posting our labor legal actions on our webpage, if you would like to read them you will find them at <http://SanFranciscoDSA.com> We have a current PERB case posted with more to come.

Best regards,

Ken Lomba  
SFDSA President  
415-513-8973



---

**From:** Mrs. Nikcole Cunningham <[mrs.nikcolecunningham@gmail.com](mailto:mrs.nikcolecunningham@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Saturday, December 19, 2020 8:34 AM

**To:** Black Employee Alliance <[BlackEmployeeAlliance@gmail.com](mailto:BlackEmployeeAlliance@gmail.com)>

**Cc:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)>; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) <[andrea.bruss@sfgov.org](mailto:andrea.bruss@sfgov.org)>; [sean.elbernd@sfgov.org](mailto:sean.elbernd@sfgov.org) <[sean.elbernd@sfgov.org](mailto:sean.elbernd@sfgov.org)>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org)>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <[Matt.Haney@sfgov.org](mailto:Matt.Haney@sfgov.org)>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <[MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org)>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org](mailto:Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean



(BOS) <[Dean.Preston@sfgov.org](mailto:Dean.Preston@sfgov.org)>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <[Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org](mailto:Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary <[Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org](mailto:Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org)>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org)>; Shamann (BOS) <[Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org](mailto:Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org)>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <[Norman.Yee@sfgov.org](mailto:Norman.Yee@sfgov.org)>; SFPD, Commission (POL) <[sfpd.commission@sfgov.org](mailto:sfpd.commission@sfgov.org)>; Airport Commission Secretary (AIR) <[AirportCommissionSecretary@flysfo.com](mailto:AirportCommissionSecretary@flysfo.com)>; Commission, Fire (FIR) <[Fire.Commission@sfgov.org](mailto:Fire.Commission@sfgov.org)>; DPH, Health Commission (DPH) <[healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org](mailto:healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org)>; [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com) <[MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com)>; [info@sfwater.org](mailto:info@sfwater.org) <[info@sfwater.org](mailto:info@sfwater.org)>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <[joel.koppel@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.koppel@sfgov.org)>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <[kathrin.moore@sfgov.org](mailto:kathrin.moore@sfgov.org)>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <[deland.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:deland.chan@sfgov.org)>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <[sue.diamond@sfgov.org](mailto:sue.diamond@sfgov.org)>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <[frank.fung@sfgov.org](mailto:frank.fung@sfgov.org)>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <[theresa.imperial@sfgov.org](mailto:theresa.imperial@sfgov.org)>; CivilService, Civil (CSC) <[civilservice@sfgov.org](mailto:civilservice@sfgov.org)>; [rudysf@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:rudysf@sflaborcouncil.org) <[rudysf@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:rudysf@sflaborcouncil.org)>; [kimsf@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:kimsf@sflaborcouncil.org) <[kimsf@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:kimsf@sflaborcouncil.org)>; [sfslc@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:sfslc@sflaborcouncil.org) <[sfslc@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:sfslc@sflaborcouncil.org)>; John Doherty <[jdoherty@ibew6.org](mailto:jdoherty@ibew6.org)>; [cityworker@sfcwu.org](mailto:cityworker@sfcwu.org) <[cityworker@sfcwu.org](mailto:cityworker@sfcwu.org)>; [clavery@oe3.org](mailto:clavery@oe3.org) <[clavery@oe3.org](mailto:clavery@oe3.org)>; [mbrito@oe3.org](mailto:mbrito@oe3.org) <[mbrito@oe3.org](mailto:mbrito@oe3.org)>; [tneep@oe3.org](mailto:tneep@oe3.org) <[tneep@oe3.org](mailto:tneep@oe3.org)>; [oashworth@ibew6.org](mailto:oashworth@ibew6.org) <[oashworth@ibew6.org](mailto:oashworth@ibew6.org)>; [debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org](mailto:debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org) <[debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org](mailto:debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org)>; [kgeneral@ifpte21.org](mailto:kgeneral@ifpte21.org) <[kgeneral@ifpte21.org](mailto:kgeneral@ifpte21.org)>; [jbeard@ifpte21.org](mailto:jbeard@ifpte21.org) <[jbeard@ifpte21.org](mailto:jbeard@ifpte21.org)>; [tmathews@ifpte21.org](mailto:tmathews@ifpte21.org) <[tmathews@ifpte21.org](mailto:tmathews@ifpte21.org)>; [varaullo@ifpte21.org](mailto:varaullo@ifpte21.org) <[varaullo@ifpte21.org](mailto:varaullo@ifpte21.org)>; [ewallace@ifpte21.org](mailto:ewallace@ifpte21.org) <[ewallace@ifpte21.org](mailto:ewallace@ifpte21.org)>; [aflores@ifpte21.org](mailto:aflores@ifpte21.org) <[aflores@ifpte21.org](mailto:aflores@ifpte21.org)>; [smcgarry@nccrc.org](mailto:smcgarry@nccrc.org) <[smcgarry@nccrc.org](mailto:smcgarry@nccrc.org)>; [larryjr@ualocal38.org](mailto:larryjr@ualocal38.org) <[larryjr@ualocal38.org](mailto:larryjr@ualocal38.org)>; [jchiarenza@ualocal38.org](mailto:jchiarenza@ualocal38.org) <[jchiarenza@ualocal38.org](mailto:jchiarenza@ualocal38.org)>; [SEichenberger@local39.org](mailto:SEichenberger@local39.org) <[SEichenberger@local39.org](mailto:SEichenberger@local39.org)>; Richard Koenig <[richardk@smw104.org](mailto:richardk@smw104.org)>; [anthonyu@smw104.org](mailto:anthonyu@smw104.org) <[anthonyu@smw104.org](mailto:anthonyu@smw104.org)>; Charles, Jasmin (MTA) <[Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com](mailto:Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com)>; [twulocal200@sbcglobal.net](mailto:twulocal200@sbcglobal.net) <[twulocal200@sbcglobal.net](mailto:twulocal200@sbcglobal.net)>; roger marenco <[rmarenco@twusf.org](mailto:rmarenco@twusf.org)>; [pwilson@twusf.org](mailto:pwilson@twusf.org) <[pwilson@twusf.org](mailto:pwilson@twusf.org)>; Theresa Foglio <[laborers261@gmail.com](mailto:laborers261@gmail.com)>; [bart@dc16.us](mailto:bart@dc16.us) <[bart@dc16.us](mailto:bart@dc16.us)>; [dharrington@teamster853.org](mailto:dharrington@teamster853.org) <[dharrington@teamster853.org](mailto:dharrington@teamster853.org)>; [MLeach@ibt856.org](mailto:MLeach@ibt856.org) <[MLeach@ibt856.org](mailto:MLeach@ibt856.org)>; [jason.klumb@seiu1021.org](mailto:jason.klumb@seiu1021.org) <[jason.klumb@seiu1021.org](mailto:jason.klumb@seiu1021.org)>; [theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org](mailto:theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org) <[theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org](mailto:theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org)>; [XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org](mailto:XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org) <[XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org](mailto:XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org)>; Hector Cardenas <[Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org](mailto:Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org)>; [pmendeziamaw@comcast.net](mailto:pmendeziamaw@comcast.net) <[pmendeziamaw@comcast.net](mailto:pmendeziamaw@comcast.net)>; [mjayne@iam1414.org](mailto:mjayne@iam1414.org) <[mjayne@iam1414.org](mailto:mjayne@iam1414.org)>; [raquel@sfmea.com](mailto:raquel@sfmea.com) (contact) <[raquel@sfmea.com](mailto:raquel@sfmea.com)>; [christina@sfmea.com](mailto:christina@sfmea.com) <[christina@sfmea.com](mailto:christina@sfmea.com)>; [criss@sfmea.com](mailto:criss@sfmea.com) <[criss@sfmea.com](mailto:criss@sfmea.com)>; [l200twu@gmail.com](mailto:l200twu@gmail.com) <[l200twu@gmail.com](mailto:l200twu@gmail.com)>; Local Twu <[local200twu@sbcglobal.net](mailto:local200twu@sbcglobal.net)>; [lkuhls@teamsters853.org](mailto:lkuhls@teamsters853.org) <[lkuhls@teamsters853.org](mailto:lkuhls@teamsters853.org)>; [staff@sfmea.com](mailto:staff@sfmea.com) <[staff@sfmea.com](mailto:staff@sfmea.com)>; President <[PRESIDENT@sanfranciscodsa.com](mailto:PRESIDENT@sanfranciscodsa.com)>; [SFDPOA@icloud.com](mailto:SFDPOA@icloud.com) <[SFDPOA@icloud.com](mailto:SFDPOA@icloud.com)>; [sfbia14@gmail.com](mailto:sfbia14@gmail.com) <[sfbia14@gmail.com](mailto:sfbia14@gmail.com)>; [ibew6@ibew6.org](mailto:ibew6@ibew6.org) <[ibew6@ibew6.org](mailto:ibew6@ibew6.org)>

**Subject:** Re: The Protection of White Employees' Failure vs. The Demise of Black Employees Careers and Lives When We Fail: "Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity"

Happy Holidays everyone,

I'd like to take this time to thank the Black Employee Alliance for their relentless and tireless efforts to ensure Black employees are afforded the **same** treatments and **privileges** as white



employees, and until then, the saga continues.

Next, if the position enclosed in this email is indeed a Disaster Service Worker position then the answers to the below questions should be simple, honest and within the legal policy and procedures of the City and County of San Francisco and MOU.

As always, I have to plug Mayor London Breed for doing an AMAZING job in her efforts to ensure Black PEOPLE throughout San Francisco including Black employees are being heard and equitable change IS on the horizon. With that said, BEA continue to make our mayor aware of the decades long disparities amongst black employees and the promotion of racist and abusive employees throughout the City and County of San Francisco, this corruption needs to be dismantled "any means **NECESSARY!**" 🙏

Enjoy!

Nikcole

Racist status: Not-Racist, You?

Preferred Pronouns: She/Her

"You have to find the blessings within the hell you're in!" Nikcole

On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:40 PM Black Employee Alliance <[blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)> wrote:

Good morning Mayor Breed and Member of the Board of Supervisors,

We hope this message finds you well. The reason for our message to you today is to underscore a very prominent practice at the City and County of San Francisco:

- Protections for the failures of White employees, and the Demise for failure of Black employees

The following message below was forwarded to the Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness. The message sent below, issued by the SFMTA Director, Jeffrey Tumlin, highlights the transition of the CFO/Director of Finance, Information, and Technology, who will be transitioning to a "DSW assignment" at the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH). Please note that the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing is 2.5% the size of the SFMTA; consisting of 120-150 employees, vs. the SFMTA's almost 6,000 employees.

It is the impression of many SFMTA employees (across racial/ethnic groups) that the transition of the CFO is due to performance deficiency, rather than the "urgent" or "critical" need to reassign the CFO to a DSW assignment at Homelessness and Supportive Housing. This CFO position at the SFMTA is ranked 3rd or 4th within the scale of the organization (both in rank and pay). How is it possible that Director Tumlin would allow the person in charge of all finance within the SFMTA, to take a Disaster Service Worker "budget assignment" in the midst of

SFMTA's own budget crisis? This does not make sense, and it is indicative of the cronyism and corruption that the City has long dealt with, and that we are continuing to see at this moment. It is either very bad judgement on Director Tumlin's part, or Director Tumlin has chosen to exhibit a lack of honesty about why the person in one of the most vital and critical roles at that agency is transitioning suddenly during the midst of what Director Tumlin has referred to as the "demise" of the Transportation agency in San Francisco; not to mention the proclamation of cutting 20% of the agency (900-1,200 potential layoffs)? Something does not add-up here.

Most notably, the person implicated in Director Tumlin's email made \$260,000 in salary only - in 2019 (roughly \$314,000 including benefits), for occupying a Director II level position at the SFMTA, the City's second largest agency, which has a budget of approximately \$1.2-billion. HSH has an annual budget of \$42.9-million. Does this mean that because this City leader is in essence "being demoted" by Director Tumlin, that the salary for this individual will change, and reflect a level that is in alignment and more appropriate for someone in a department that that has 97% less employees; whose budget is 96% smaller than the budget at the SFMTA (also noting that the decrease in overall direct and indirect reporting is significant)? There are many questions to be answered here.

The fact that Director Tumlin has chosen this narrative to accompany the transition of this person, who almost assuredly will not be returning due to recent in-fighting and problematic behavior that has unfolded over the course of the last several years, is not only alarming and disingenuous; but it speaks to the ways in which City resources are continuously manipulated to support the facade of "need", which is actually a cover for someone who has failed miserably in their position. Most notably for the Black Employees Alliance, is that this person is a White male; and that Black employees at the SFMTA have been involuntarily released at disproportionately alarming rates vs White employees (over the past several years), and specifically in instances where White employees should also have been terminated.

This component is important to underscore, because there have been multiple distinct cases and situations over the last 3-4 years where it has been observed that several White employees, who were demoted, have been able to maintain their position classifications and corresponding salaries; even though their responsibilities have changed drastically. We see the trend across the City that **when Black employees "do not succeed" in our employment experiences, the City's practice is to terminate**. However, we see here (and as we have noted in several other cases), **when White employees (both male and female) are deficient and/or are unsuccessful, the City practice is to create space and opportunities for such employees to transition into roles with significantly less responsibility, yet sustain their pay and potentially their classification - at the expense of taxpayer dollars**. This was true for the former DHR-Employee Relations Director in 2016 (who racially harassed a former Black DHR employee), who was reassigned to an alternate assignment (allowed to maintain the same pay), while he looked for work outside of the City system. The BEA has several more instances we are prepared to share.

We would like for the Mayor's Office (**not anyone at the SFMTA because it appears that stories and narratives are embellished by the leadership there**) to provide answers to the

following questions:

- What is the full scale and scope of the new DSW assignment for this employee?
- Will the employee be allowed to maintain the salary of roughly \$260k-\$270k?
- Will this remain on the SFMTA payroll or is it being paid by a central fund and/or HSH?
- How long is this assignment for and when will the employee be returning to their role as the CFO/Director of Finance, Information, and Technology at the SFMTA?
- If the employee is not returning, then Why would Director Tumlin present the situation as though it is temporary?
- Will there be a Classification and Compensation analysis for this new assignment to potentially scale the salary and benefits accordingly?
- Have DHR Director Carol Isen, and SFMTA HR Director Kimberly Ackerman, and DHR Director of Finance Steve Ponder approved the sustained classification and pay, for the DSW assignment role that has significantly less responsibility?

In addition, Black employees know and are very aware of the disparate treatment we receive daily. It is important that moments like this are amplified for all to see and take notice; especially in the midst of Black employees who are choosing to take action against the City to root-out such actions and behaviors.

We are calling on you once again to hold City department heads (which report directly to you) accountable for discriminatory behavior (favors and favorable treatment towards White and East Asian employees vs. unfavorable treatment towards Black employees). Unfortunately, this is a reflection on your leadership, and while we support you, it is incumbent upon you to take action.

Best Regards,

Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness

\*\*\*\*\*

**From:** Tumlin, Jeffrey <[Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com](mailto:Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, December 11, 2020 4:09:32 PM

**To:** ExecutiveTeam <[ExecutiveTeam@sfmta.com](mailto:ExecutiveTeam@sfmta.com)>; SeniorManagementTeam <[SeniorManagementTeam@sfmta.com](mailto:SeniorManagementTeam@sfmta.com)>

**Subject:** Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity

Colleagues,

**NAME OF EMPLOYEE HAS BEEN REDACTED**, CFO and Director of our Finance, Information and Technology (FIT) Division, has taken a DSW assignment with San Francisco's Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing. I will be appointing someone to serve as the Acting Division Director and CFO. An acting position is a wonderful way to gain additional leadership experience and I am hopeful that there will be broad interest in this opportunity. If this position is not a good fit for you, please consider whether there is a superstar on your team whose skills and interests align with this role and send it to them.

The original CFO/Division Director job description is [here](#). The role and responsibilities remain substantially the same as what is outlined in the job description- to serve as the agency's top financial and operational administration advisor to the Director of Transportation; however, specific upcoming priorities include:

- Manage budget revision process
- Support development of new revenue measure proposals
- Reprioritize capital projects based on available funding, in collaboration with Divisions
- Lead upcoming financing including refunding of existing debt and potentially a new bond issuance
- Oversee and guide business process improvements to align FIT operations and practices in support of our cash flow needs and overall agency functioning
- Guide year-end financial audit including grant billings, work with funding agencies to bring funding in
- Serve as a member of the agency's leadership team, advancing collaboration and support across business units and leading with integrity, transparency, and respect
- Develop five-year financial plan
- Exercise tact, political acumen, and compassion in representing the agency internally and externally
- Provide policy direction for finance, contracts, and administrative operations decisions
- Manage and support day-to-day operations of FIT business support units
- Advance a culture of collaboration, communication, timeliness, and accountability within the FIT Division
- Manage direct reports, including Performance Plans and appraisals

### **Desirable Experience and Skills**

Ten years of management experience, policy development experience, excellent communication skills, demonstrated skills in collaboration and delegation, demonstrated commitment to racial equity.

## Selection Process and Timeline

Interested candidates submit an updated resume demonstrating experience and an email, not to exceed 500 words, expressing their interest. The email should contain an explanation of why the candidate is interested in this assignment and how it would support their professional development goals.

- Please submit the email by **5pm, December 16** to Sophia Simpliciano ([sophia.simpliciano@sfmta.com](mailto:sophia.simpliciano@sfmta.com)) with the subject heading: *Acting CFO Statement of Interest*
- After review of the submissions, I will meet with the top candidates and select one to appoint to this interim position.
- I hope to announce the Acting Division Director/CFO the first week of January.

Until the announcement is made, please continue to contact Leo's delegate, Jonathan Rewers ([jonathan.rewers@sfmta.com](mailto:jonathan.rewers@sfmta.com)), with matters normally managed by the CFO/Division Director.

Thank you,

Jeff

**Jeffrey Tumlin**

Director of Transportation

(he/him/his)

**Sophia Simpliciano**

Executive Assistant

[jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com](mailto:jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com)

[sophia.simpliciano@sfmta.com](mailto:sophia.simpliciano@sfmta.com)

dot 415.646.2522 | [sfmta reception](#) 415.701.5600

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

**MCCP Post-Appointment Bonuses by Race/Ethnicity and Fiscal Year***Last Updated on 1/19/21***Fiscal Year 2018-2019**

<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>	<u>Bonuses (\$)</u>	<u>% of Bonuses</u>	<u>% of Payroll</u>	<u>Difference (%)</u>
Asian	\$265,225	17.61%	17.97%	-0.36%
Black	\$169,360	11.25%	10.34%	0.91%
Filipino	\$93,446	6.21%	6.42%	-0.21%
Hispanic	\$121,916	8.10%	9.35%	-1.25%
White	\$846,676	56.23%	55.01%	1.22%

**Fiscal Year 2017-2018**

<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>	<u>Bonuses (\$)</u>	<u>% of Bonuses</u>	<u>% of Payroll</u>	<u>Difference (%)</u>
Asian	\$245,753	16.99%	18.62%	-1.63%
Black	\$148,898	10.29%	9.52%	0.77%
Filipino	\$105,218	7.27%	6.18%	1.09%
Hispanic	\$138,236	9.56%	9.81%	-0.25%
White	\$805,883	55.71%	55.23%	0.48%

**Fiscal Year 2016-2017**

<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>	<u>Bonuses (\$)</u>	<u>% of Bonuses</u>	<u>% of Payroll</u>	<u>Difference (%)</u>
Asian	\$241,881	18.34%	18.52%	-0.18%
Black	\$143,067	10.85%	9.82%	1.03%
Filipino	\$99,408	7.54%	5.92%	1.62%
Hispanic	\$137,009	10.39%	9.83%	0.56%
White	\$694,731	52.68%	55.65%	-2.97%


**Fiscal Year 2015-2016**

<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>	<u>Bonuses (\$)</u>	<u>% of Bonuses</u>	<u>% of Payroll</u>	<u>Difference (%)</u>
Asian	\$284,360	23.63%	19.82%	3.81%
Black	\$118,365	9.83%	9.34%	0.49%
Filipino	\$65,986	5.48%	5.82%	-0.34%
Hispanic	\$109,179	9.07%	9.68%	-0.61%
White	\$623,222	51.78%	54.96%	-3.18%

▪ Percentages do not add up to 100% as only consists of the 5 requested racial groups.

Classification  
Multiple values

Classification: 0922 - Manager I, 0923 - Manager II, 0931 - Manager III and 16 more





**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: The Protection of White Employees" Failure vs. The Demise of Black Employees Careers and Lives When We Fail: "Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity" - PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST  
**Date:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 9:02:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[MCCP Bonuses by Race by Fiscal Year.pdf](#)  
[City and County of San Francisco Managers By Race.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Black Employee Alliance <[blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 10:25 PM

**To:** Ponder, Steve (HRD) <[steve.ponder@sfgov.org](mailto:steve.ponder@sfgov.org)>; Isen, Carol (HRD) <[carol.isen@sfgov.org](mailto:carol.isen@sfgov.org)>; Black Employee Alliance <[blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)>

**Cc:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)>; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) <[andrea.bruss@sfgov.org](mailto:andrea.bruss@sfgov.org)>; sean.elbernd@sfgov.org; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <[mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org)>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <[norman.yee@sfgov.org](mailto:norman.yee@sfgov.org)>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <[myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org)>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <[connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:connie.chan@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Fwd: The Protection of White Employees' Failure vs. The Demise of Black Employees Careers and Lives When We Fail: "Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity" - PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST

Please amend our FOIA request to include 2019-20. In addition, we want all MCCP denials, or reductions (i.e. manager submits request, and it is either denied or reduced from the original amount), by race and sex.

We are requesting this additional request be fulfilled by Friday February 12th, which is more than enough time.

We look forward to receiving this information from you.

Best,

BEA

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Black Employee Alliance** <[blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)>

Date: Wed, Jan 20, 2021 at 10:06 PM

Subject: Fwd: The Protection of White Employees' Failure vs. The Demise of Black Employees Careers and Lives When We Fail: "Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity" - PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST

To: Black Employee Alliance <[blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)>, Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)>, Bruss, Andrea (MYR) <[andrea.bruss@sfgov.org](mailto:andrea.bruss@sfgov.org)>, <[sean.elbernd@sfgov.org](mailto:sean.elbernd@sfgov.org)>, Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org)>, Haney,

Matt (BOS) <[Matt.Haney@sfgov.org](mailto:Matt.Haney@sfgov.org)>, MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <[MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org)>, Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org](mailto:Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org)>, Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org)>, Preston, Dean (BOS) <[Dean.Preston@sfgov.org](mailto:Dean.Preston@sfgov.org)>, Ronen, Hillary <[Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org)>, Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org](mailto:Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org)>, Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org)>, Shamann (BOS) <[Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org](mailto:Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org)>, Yee, Norman (BOS) <[Norman.Yee@sfgov.org](mailto:Norman.Yee@sfgov.org)>, <[Myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:Myrna.melgar@sfgov.org)>, <[Connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:Connie.chan@sfgov.org)>, President <[president@sanfranciscodsa.com](mailto:president@sanfranciscodsa.com)>, <[steve.ponder@sfgov.org](mailto:steve.ponder@sfgov.org)>, Isen, Carol (HRD) <[carol.isen@sfgov.org](mailto:carol.isen@sfgov.org)>  
Cc: Bruss, Andrea (MYR) <[andrea.bruss@sfgov.org](mailto:andrea.bruss@sfgov.org)>, <[sean.elbernd@sfgov.org](mailto:sean.elbernd@sfgov.org)>, Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org)>, MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <[MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org)>, Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org](mailto:Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org)>, SFPD, Commission (POL) <[sfpd.commission@sfgov.org](mailto:sfpd.commission@sfgov.org)>, Airport Commission Secretary (AIR) <[AirportCommissionSecretary@flysfo.com](mailto:AirportCommissionSecretary@flysfo.com)>, Commission, Fire (FIR) <[Fire.Commission@sfgov.org](mailto:Fire.Commission@sfgov.org)>, DPH, Health Commission (DPH) <[healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org](mailto:healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org)>, <[MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com)>, <[info@sfwater.org](mailto:info@sfwater.org)>, Koppel, Joel (CPC) <[joel.koppel@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.koppel@sfgov.org)>, Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <[kathrin.moore@sfgov.org](mailto:kathrin.moore@sfgov.org)>, Chan, Deland (CPC) <[deland.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:deland.chan@sfgov.org)>, Diamond, Susan (CPC) <[sue.diamond@sfgov.org](mailto:sue.diamond@sfgov.org)>, Fung, Frank (CPC) <[frank.fung@sfgov.org](mailto:frank.fung@sfgov.org)>, Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <[theresa.imperial@sfgov.org](mailto:theresa.imperial@sfgov.org)>

Good evening Mayor Breed, SF Board President Walton, Members of the Board of Supervisors, and BEA Members -

I hope this message finds you well. The Department of Human Resources fulfilled the public records (FOIA) request we submitted on January 3rd. Attached you will find a document containing management bonuses by race (MCCP), for fiscal years 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19. In addition, there is a separate attachment, which includes all management/MCCP-eligible classifications across the City and County of San Francisco.

Some of the most notable and concerning data points in this attachment are:

- **While there has been a decrease in White management and leadership representation between the years of 2015 and 2019 (roughly 3% decrease overall, consistently), bonuses for this group have increased exponentially.**
- **Bonuses for the Asian population have fluctuated but have decreased since 2015-16. At no time have they increased in similar proportion to White leadership.** The same determination can be made for the Hispanic/Latinx population of employees.
- **While the numbers for Black managers and Filipino managers seem somewhat improved, the increases come nowhere close to the exponential increases in bonuses for White San Francisco leaders. At no time have they increased in similar proportion to White leadership.**

**In 2016, bonuses for White leaders totaled \$623, 222; \$694,731 in 2017. However, in 2018, the total jumps to \$805,883, more than \$110,000. In 2019, this number increased by almost \$50k, totaling approximately \$850,000 in bonuses for White managers. It is important to note that bonuses are not based upon any set percentage of salary but are discretionary in nature.** This

data substantiates and indicates the ways in which the City's discretionary bonus structure has remained in favor of White managers and leaders.

More than negligent, this is disgraceful. The Municipal Executives Association (MEA), the Department of Human Resources (DHR), and the Civil Service Commission (CSC), are responsible for enabling, approving, and allowing decisions to be made each year, that have led to the outcomes represented here.

We are urging both Mayor Breed and the Board of Supervisors to address the manipulation of the M CCP process. Please hold accountable all entities named above and ensure that there are checks and balances created to ensure that there is equitable and proportional distribution of bonuses across all groups. The stark representation of the decrease in White City leadership, against the \$150k in increased budget for bonuses for this group, is absurd. It is demonstrative of concrete racism.

We are requesting an official response from the Mayor's Office, and the Board of Supervisors about the specific steps and actions that will be taken to address this issue. Please respond by Friday, February 5th.

Best,

Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ponder, Steve (HRD)** <[steve.ponder@sfgov.org](mailto:steve.ponder@sfgov.org)>

Date: Wed, Jan 20, 2021 at 9:51 AM

Subject: RE: The Protection of White Employees' Failure vs. The Demise of Black Employees Careers and Lives When We Fail: "Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity" - PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST

To: Black Employee Alliance <[blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)>

Cc: Isen, Carol (HRD) <[carol.isen@sfgov.org](mailto:carol.isen@sfgov.org)>

Black Employee Alliance,

Please see attached document that notes the amount of M CCP bonuses received by race for FY15-16, FY16-17, FY17-18 and FY18-19. These bonuses are also noted as a percent of bonuses paid and then percent of management payroll for context.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.



**Steven Ponder, Classification and Compensation Director**

Department of Human Resources

One South Van Ness Ave., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

Phone: (415) 557-4917

Website: [www.sfdhr.org](http://www.sfdhr.org)

**From:** Black Employee Alliance <[blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Sunday, January 03, 2021 12:37 PM

**To:** [president@sanfranciscodsa.com](mailto:president@sanfranciscodsa.com); Isen, Carol (HRD) <[carol.isen@sfgov.org](mailto:carol.isen@sfgov.org)>; Ponder, Steve (HRD) <[steve.ponder@sfgov.org](mailto:steve.ponder@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** Mrs. Nikcole Cunningham <[mrs.nikcolecunningham@gmail.com](mailto:mrs.nikcolecunningham@gmail.com)>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)>; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) <[andrea.bruss@sfgov.org](mailto:andrea.bruss@sfgov.org)>; [sean.elbernd@sfgov.org](mailto:sean.elbernd@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <[mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org)>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <[sandra.fewer@sfgov.org](mailto:sandra.fewer@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <[norman.yee@sfgov.org](mailto:norman.yee@sfgov.org)>; SFPD, Commission (POL) <[SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org](mailto:SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org)>; Airport Commission Secretary (AIR) <[airportcommissionsecretary@flysfso.com](mailto:airportcommissionsecretary@flysfso.com)>; Commission, Fire (FIR) <[fire.commission@sfgov.org](mailto:fire.commission@sfgov.org)>; DPH, Health Commission (DPH) <[HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org](mailto:HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org)>; [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com); [info@sfwater.org](mailto:info@sfwater.org); Koppel, Joel (CPC) <[joel.koppel@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.koppel@sfgov.org)>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <[kathrin.moore@sfgov.org](mailto:kathrin.moore@sfgov.org)>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <[deland.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:deland.chan@sfgov.org)>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <[sue.diamond@sfgov.org](mailto:sue.diamond@sfgov.org)>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <[frank.fung@sfgov.org](mailto:frank.fung@sfgov.org)>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <[theresa.imperial@sfgov.org](mailto:theresa.imperial@sfgov.org)>; CivilService, Civil (CSC) <[civilservice@sfgov.org](mailto:civilservice@sfgov.org)>; [rudysflaborcouncil.org](mailto:rudysflaborcouncil.org); [kimsflaborcouncil.org](mailto:kimsflaborcouncil.org); [sflc@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:sflc@sflaborcouncil.org); John Doherty <[jdoherty@ibew6.org](mailto:jdoherty@ibew6.org)>; [cityworker@sfcwu.org](mailto:cityworker@sfcwu.org); [clavery@oe3.org](mailto:clavery@oe3.org); [mbrito@oe3.org](mailto:mbrito@oe3.org); [tneep@oe3.org](mailto:tneep@oe3.org); [oashworth@ibew6.org](mailto:oashworth@ibew6.org); [debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org](mailto:debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org); [kgeneral@ifpte21.org](mailto:kgeneral@ifpte21.org); Jessica Beard <[jbeard@ifpte21.org](mailto:jbeard@ifpte21.org)>; [tmathews@ifpte21.org](mailto:tmathews@ifpte21.org); [varaullo@ifpte21.org](mailto:varaullo@ifpte21.org); [ewallace@ifpte21.org](mailto:ewallace@ifpte21.org); [aflores@ifpte21.org](mailto:aflores@ifpte21.org); [smcgarry@nccrc.org](mailto:smcgarry@nccrc.org); [larryjr@ualocal38.org](mailto:larryjr@ualocal38.org); [jchiarenza@ualocal38.org](mailto:jchiarenza@ualocal38.org); [SEichenberger@local39.org](mailto:SEichenberger@local39.org); Richard Koenig <[richardk@smw104.org](mailto:richardk@smw104.org)>; [anthonyu@smw104.org](mailto:anthonyu@smw104.org); Charles, Jasmin (MTA) <[Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com](mailto:Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com)>; [twulocal200@sbcglobal.net](mailto:twulocal200@sbcglobal.net); roger marenco <[rmarenco@twusf.org](mailto:rmarenco@twusf.org)>; [pwilson@twusf.org](mailto:pwilson@twusf.org); Theresa Foglio <[laborers261@gmail.com](mailto:laborers261@gmail.com)>; [bart@dc16.us](mailto:bart@dc16.us); [dharrington@teamster853.org](mailto:dharrington@teamster853.org); [MLeach@ibt856.org](mailto:MLeach@ibt856.org); [jason.klumb@seiu1021.org](mailto:jason.klumb@seiu1021.org); [theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org](mailto:theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org); [XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org](mailto:XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org); Hector Cardenas <[Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org](mailto:Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org)>; [pmendeziamaw@comcast.net](mailto:pmendeziamaw@comcast.net); [mjayne@iam1414.org](mailto:mjayne@iam1414.org); [raquel@sfmea.com](mailto:raquel@sfmea.com) (contact) <[raquel@sfmea.com](mailto:raquel@sfmea.com)>; [christina@sfmea.com](mailto:christina@sfmea.com); [criss@sfmea.com](mailto:criss@sfmea.com); [l200twu@gmail.com](mailto:l200twu@gmail.com); Local Twu <[local200twu@sbcglobal.net](mailto:local200twu@sbcglobal.net)>; [lkuhls@teamsters853.org](mailto:lkuhls@teamsters853.org); [staff@sfmea.com](mailto:staff@sfmea.com); [SFDPOA@icloud.com](mailto:SFDPOA@icloud.com); [sfbia14@gmail.com](mailto:sfbia14@gmail.com); [ibew6@ibew6.org](mailto:ibew6@ibew6.org); [my1reed@hotmail.com](mailto:my1reed@hotmail.com)

**Subject:** Re: The Protection of White Employees' Failure vs. The Demise of Black Employees Careers and Lives When We Fail: "Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity" - PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon -

Thank you very much for sending this message.

Department leaders very much receive bonuses. While the structure is unclear for department heads, we will defer to the Mayor's Office to provide clarity on this question. However, all other leaders (i.e. Managing Deputy Directors, HR Directors, senior/executive-level managers, etc.) receive bonuses that are tied to the MEA MOU. It registers as MCCP, and to our knowledge, these bonuses have not been forgone in recent years. These bonuses are typically recommended at the discretion of management (very biased). The MCCP bonus distribution has also been administered systematically and routinely to White management and executive level directors, while non-White managers and Directors have gone unrecommended for these bonus opportunities. Please [click here](#) to see statistics.

Thank you very much Ken, for your inquiry and attention to this matter.

If you have any additional questions, please let us know.

In solidarity!

Good afternoon Director Carol Isen and Steven Ponder

The Black Employees Alliance would like to submit a public records request for aggregated totals of MCCP Bonuses paid to management (by race), for fiscal years 2015-16; 2016-17; 2017-18; 2018-19. To be clear, we are not requesting individual breakdowns for each employee. We would like group totals by \$\$ for the total amount of bonuses paid to Asian, Black, Filipino, Hispanic, and White executives and managers. We look forward to receiving this information from you by Friday, January 22nd.

Thank you!

Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness

On Sat, Dec 19, 2020 at 3:56 PM President <[PRESIDENT@sanfranciscodsa.com](mailto:PRESIDENT@sanfranciscodsa.com)> wrote:

Hi Everyone,

I have been reading and monitoring your emails. Thank you for the information.

Since, you have many union leaders, community leaders, and city leaders on this email thread, I have a question for all of you in regards to a yearly problem which this year has been amplified in our department.

It is in regards to cost saving (aka salary savings, attrition savings).

Seems like our members suffer a heavy and unfair burden by our department due to intentional cost savings.

**My questions is, do department leaders get bonuses? Do they get any type of bonus whether its a goal bonus or a savings bonus?**

We will be posting our labor legal actions on our webpage, if you would like to read them you will find them at <http://SanFranciscoDSA.com> We have a current PERB case posted with more to come.

Best regards,

Ken Lomba  
SFDSA President  
415-513-8973



---

**From:** Mrs. Nikcole Cunningham <[mrs.nikcolecunningham@gmail.com](mailto:mrs.nikcolecunningham@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Saturday, December 19, 2020 8:34 AM

**To:** Black Employee Alliance <[BlackEmployeeAlliance@gmail.com](mailto:BlackEmployeeAlliance@gmail.com)>

**Cc:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)>; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) <[andrea.bruss@sfgov.org](mailto:andrea.bruss@sfgov.org)>; sean.elbernd@sfgov.org <[sean.elbernd@sfgov.org](mailto:sean.elbernd@sfgov.org)>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org)>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <[Matt.Haney@sfgov.org](mailto:Matt.Haney@sfgov.org)>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <[MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org)>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org](mailto:Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[Dean.Preston@sfgov.org](mailto:Dean.Preston@sfgov.org)>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <[Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org](mailto:Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary <[Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org](mailto:Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org)>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org)>; Shamann (BOS) <[Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org](mailto:Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org)>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <[Norman.Yee@sfgov.org](mailto:Norman.Yee@sfgov.org)>; SFPD, Commission (POL) <[sfpd.commission@sfgov.org](mailto:sfpd.commission@sfgov.org)>; Airport Commission Secretary (AIR) <[AirportCommissionSecretary@flysfso.com](mailto:AirportCommissionSecretary@flysfso.com)>; Commission, Fire (FIR) <[Fire.Commission@sfgov.org](mailto:Fire.Commission@sfgov.org)>; DPH, Health Commission (DPH) <[healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org](mailto:healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org)>; MTABoard@sfmta.com <[MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com)>; info@sfwater.org <[info@sfwater.org](mailto:info@sfwater.org)>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <[joel.koppel@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.koppel@sfgov.org)>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <[kathrin.moore@sfgov.org](mailto:kathrin.moore@sfgov.org)>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <[deland.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:deland.chan@sfgov.org)>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <[sue.diamond@sfgov.org](mailto:sue.diamond@sfgov.org)>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <[frank.fung@sfgov.org](mailto:frank.fung@sfgov.org)>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <[theresa.imperial@sfgov.org](mailto:theresa.imperial@sfgov.org)>; CivilService, Civil (CSC)

<civilservice@sfgov.org>; rudy@sflaborcouncil.org <rudy@sflaborcouncil.org>;  
kim@sflaborcouncil.org <kim@sflaborcouncil.org>; sflc@sflaborcouncil.org  
<sflc@sflaborcouncil.org>; John Doherty <jdoherty@ibew6.org>; cityworker@sfcwu.org  
<cityworker@sfcwu.org>; clavery@oe3.org <clavery@oe3.org>; mbrito@oe3.org  
<mbrito@oe3.org>; tneep@oe3.org <tneep@oe3.org>; oashworth@ibew6.org  
<oashworth@ibew6.org>; debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org <debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org>;  
kgeneral@ifpte21.org <kgeneral@ifpte21.org>; jbeard@ifpte21.org <jbeard@ifpte21.org>;  
tmathews@ifpte21.org <tmathews@ifpte21.org>; varaullo@ifpte21.org <varaullo@ifpte21.org>;  
ewallace@ifpte21.org <ewallace@ifpte21.org>; aflores@ifpte21.org <aflores@ifpte21.org>;  
smcgarry@nccrc.org <smcgarry@nccrc.org>; larryjr@ualocal38.org <larryjr@ualocal38.org>;  
jchiarenza@ualocal38.org <jchiarenza@ualocal38.org>; SEichenberger@local39.org  
<SEichenberger@local39.org>; Richard Koenig <richardk@smw104.org>; anthonyu@smw104.org  
<anthonyu@smw104.org>; Charles, Jasmin (MTA) <Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com>;  
twulocal200@sbcglobal.net <twulocal200@sbcglobal.net>; roger marenco  
<rmarenco@twusf.org>; pwilson@twusf.org <pwilson@twusf.org>; Theresa Foglio  
<laborers261@gmail.com>; bart@dc16.us <bart@dc16.us>; dharrington@teamster853.org  
<dharrington@teamster853.org>; MLeach@ibt856.org <MLeach@ibt856.org>;  
jason.klumb@seiu1021.org <jason.klumb@seiu1021.org>; theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org  
<theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org>; XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org <XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org>; Hector  
Cardenas <Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org>; pmendeziamaw@comcast.net  
<pmendeziamaw@comcast.net>; mjayne@iam1414.org <mjayne@iam1414.org>;  
raquel@sfmea.com (contact) <raquel@sfmea.com>; christina@sfmea.com  
<christina@sfmea.com>; criss@sfmea.com <criss@sfmea.com>; l200twu@gmail.com  
<l200twu@gmail.com>; Local Twu <local200twu@sbcglobal.net>; lkuhls@teamsters853.org  
<lkuhls@teamsters853.org>; staff@sfmea.com <staff@sfmea.com>; President  
<PRESIDENT@sanfranciscodsa.com>; SFDPOA@icloud.com <SFDPOA@icloud.com>;  
sfbia14@gmail.com <sfbia14@gmail.com>; ibew6@ibew6.org <ibew6@ibew6.org>

**Subject:** Re: The Protection of White Employees' Failure vs. The Demise of Black Employees  
Careers and Lives When We Fail: "Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity"

Happy Holidays everyone,

I'd like to take this time to thank the Black Employee Alliance for their relentless and tireless efforts to ensure Black employees are afforded the **same** treatments and **privileges** as white employees, and until then, the saga continues.

Next, if the position enclosed in this email is indeed a Disaster Service Worker position then the answers to the below questions should be simple, honest and within the legal policy and procedures of the City and County of San Francisco and MOU.

As always, I have to plug Mayor London Breed for doing an AMAZING job in her efforts to ensure Black PEOPLE throughout San Francisco including Black employees are being heard and equitable change IS on the horizon. With that said, BEA continue to make our mayor aware of the decades long disparities amongst black employees and the promotion of racist and abusive employees throughout the City and County of San Francisco, this corruption needs to be dismantled "any means **NECESSARY!**" 🙏

Enjoy!

Nikcole



Racist status: Not-Racist, You?

Preferred Pronouns: She/Her

"You have to find the blessings within the hell you're in!" Nikcole

On Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 12:40 PM Black Employee Alliance <[blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)> wrote:

Good morning Mayor Breed and Member of the Board of Supervisors,

We hope this message finds you well. The reason for our message to you today is to underscore a very prominent practice at the City and County of San Francisco:

- Protections for the failures of White employees, and the Demise for failure of Black employees

The following message below was forwarded to the Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness. The message sent below, issued by the SFMTA Director, Jeffrey Tumlin, highlights the transition of the CFO/Director of Finance, Information, and Technology, who will be transitioning to a "DSW assignment" at the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH). Please note that the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing is 2.5% the size of the SFMTA; consisting of 120-150 employees, vs. the SFMTA's almost 6,000 employees.

It is the impression of many SFMTA employees (across racial/ethnic groups) that the transition of the CFO is due to performance deficiency, rather than the "urgent" or "critical" need to reassign the CFO to a DSW assignment at Homelessness and Supportive Housing. This CFO position at the SFMTA is ranked 3rd or 4th within the scale of the organization (both in rank and pay). How is it possible that Director Tumlin would allow the person in charge of all finance within the SFMTA, to take a Disaster Service Worker "budget assignment" in the midst of SFMTA's own budget crisis? This does not make sense, and it is indicative of the cronyism and corruption that the City has long dealt with, and that we are continuing to see at this moment. It is either very bad judgement on Director Tumlin's part, or Director Tumlin has chosen to exhibit a lack of honesty about why the person in one of the most vital and critical roles at that agency is transitioning suddenly during the midst of what Director Tumlin has referred to as the "demise" of the Transportation agency in San Francisco; not to mention the proclamation of cutting 20% of the agency (900-1,200 potential layoffs)? Something does not add-up here.

Most notably, the person implicated in Director Tumlin's email made \$260,000 in salary only - in 2019 (roughly \$314,000 including benefits), for occupying a Director II level position at the SFMTA, the City's second largest agency, which has a budget of approximately \$1.2-billion. HSH has an annual budget of \$42.9-million. Does this mean that because this City leader is in essence "being demoted" by Director Tumlin, that the salary for this individual will change, and



reflect a level that is in alignment and more appropriate for someone in a department that that has 97% less employees; whose budget is 96% smaller than the budget at the SFMTA (also noting that the decrease in overall direct and indirect reporting is significant)? There are many questions to be answered here.

The fact that Director Tumlin has chosen this narrative to accompany the transition of this person, who almost assuredly will not be returning due to recent in-fighting and problematic behavior that has unfolded over the course of the last several years, is not only alarming and disingenuous; but it speaks to the ways in which City resources are continuously manipulated to support the facade of "need", which is actually a cover for someone who has failed miserably in their position. Most notably for the Black Employees Alliance, is that this person is a White male; and that Black employees at the SFMTA have been involuntarily released at disproportionately alarming rates vs White employees (over the past several years), and specifically in instances where White employees should also have been terminated.

This component is important to underscore, because there have been multiple distinct cases and situations over the last 3-4 years where it has been observed that several White employees, who were demoted, have been able to maintain their position classifications and corresponding salaries; even though their responsibilities have changed drastically. We see the trend across the City that **when Black employees "do not succeed" in our employment experiences, the City's practice is to terminate.** However, we see here (and as we have noted in several other cases), **when White employees (both male and female) are deficient and/or are unsuccessful, the City practice is to create space and opportunities for such employees to transition into roles with significantly less responsibility, yet sustain their pay and potentially their classification - at the expense of taxpayer dollars.** This was true for the former DHR-Employee Relations Director in 2016 (who racially harassed a former Black DHR employee), who was reassigned to an alternate assignment (allowed to maintain the same pay), while he looked for work outside of the City system. The BEA has several more instances we are prepared to share.

We would like for the Mayor's Office (**not anyone at the SFMTA because it appears that stories and narratives are embellished by the leadership there**) to provide answers to the following questions:

- What is the full scale and scope of the new DSW assignment for this employee?
- Will the employee be allowed to maintain the salary of roughly \$260k-\$270k?
- Will this remain on the SFMTA payroll or is it being paid by a central fund and/or HSH?
- How long is this assignment for and when will the employee be returning to their role as the CFO/Director of Finance, Information, and Technology at the SFMTA?
- If the employee is not returning, then Why would Director Tumlin present the situation as though it is temporary?
- Will there be a Classification and Compensation analysis for this new assignment to potentially scale the salary and benefits accordingly?
- Have DHR Director Carol Isen, and SFMTA HR Director Kimberly Ackerman, and DHR Director of Finance Steve Ponder approved the sustained classification and pay, for the

DSW assignment role that has significantly less responsibility?  
In addition, Black employees know and are very aware of the disparate treatment we receive daily. It is important that moments like this are amplified for all to see and take notice; especially in the midst of Black employees who are choosing to take action against the City to root-out such actions and behaviors.

We are calling on you once again to hold City department heads (which report directly to you) accountable for discriminatory behavior (favors and favorable treatment towards White and East Asian employees vs. unfavorable treatment towards Black employees). Unfortunately, this is a reflection on your leadership, and while we support you, it is incumbent upon you to take action.

Best Regards,

Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness

\*\*\*\*\*

**From:** Tumlin, Jeffrey <[Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com](mailto:Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, December 11, 2020 4:09:32 PM

**To:** ExecutiveTeam <[ExecutiveTeam@sfmta.com](mailto:ExecutiveTeam@sfmta.com)>; SeniorManagementTeam <[SeniorManagementTeam@sfmta.com](mailto:SeniorManagementTeam@sfmta.com)>

**Subject:** Acting CFO/FIT Division Director Opportunity

Colleagues,

**NAME OF EMPLOYEE HAS BEEN REDACTED**, CFO and Director of our Finance, Information and Technology (FIT) Division, has taken a DSW assignment with San Francisco's Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing. I will be appointing someone to serve as the Acting Division Director and CFO. An acting position is a wonderful way to gain additional leadership experience and I am hopeful that there will be broad interest in this opportunity. If this position is not a good fit for you, please consider whether there is a superstar on your team whose skills and interests align with this role and send it to them.

The original CFO/Division Director job description is [here](#). The role and responsibilities remain substantially the same as what is outlined in the job description- to serve as the agency's top financial and operational administration advisor to the Director of Transportation; however, specific upcoming priorities include:

- Manage budget revision process
- Support development of new revenue measure proposals
- Reprioritize capital projects based on available funding, in collaboration with Divisions

- Lead upcoming financing including refunding of existing debt and potentially a new bond issuance
- Oversee and guide business process improvements to align FIT operations and practices in support of our cash flow needs and overall agency functioning
- Guide year-end financial audit including grant billings, work with funding agencies to bring funding in
- Serve as a member of the agency's leadership team, advancing collaboration and support across business units and leading with integrity, transparency, and respect
- Develop five-year financial plan
- Exercise tact, political acumen, and compassion in representing the agency internally and externally
- Provide policy direction for finance, contracts, and administrative operations decisions
- Manage and support day-to-day operations of FIT business support units
- Advance a culture of collaboration, communication, timeliness, and accountability within the FIT Division
- Manage direct reports, including Performance Plans and appraisals

### **Desirable Experience and Skills**

Ten years of management experience, policy development experience, excellent communication skills, demonstrated skills in collaboration and delegation, demonstrated commitment to racial equity.

### **Selection Process and Timeline**

Interested candidates submit an updated resume demonstrating experience and an email, not to exceed 500 words, expressing their interest. The email should contain an explanation of why the candidate is interested in this assignment and how it would support their professional development goals.

- Please submit the email by **5pm, December 16** to Sophia Simpliciano ([sophia.simpliciano@sfmta.com](mailto:sophia.simpliciano@sfmta.com)) with the subject heading: *Acting CFO Statement of Interest*
- After review of the submissions, I will meet with the top candidates and select one to appoint to this interim position.

- I hope to announce the Acting Division Director/CFO the first week of January.

Until the announcement is made, please continue to contact Leo's delegate, Jonathan Rewers ([jonathan.rewers@sfmta.com](mailto:jonathan.rewers@sfmta.com)), with matters normally managed by the CFO/Division Director.

Thank you,

Jeff

**Jeffrey Tumlin**

Director of Transportation

(he/him/his)

**Sophia Simpliciano**

Executive Assistant

[jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com](mailto:jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com)

[sophia.simpliciano@sfmta.com](mailto:sophia.simpliciano@sfmta.com)

[dot 415.646.2522](tel:415.646.2522) | [sfmta reception 415.701.5600](tel:415.701.5600)

|

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

| | | |



**MCCP Post-Appointment Bonuses by Race/Ethnicity and Fiscal Year***Last Updated on 1/19/21***Fiscal Year 2018-2019**

<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>	<u>Bonuses (\$)</u>	<u>% of Bonuses</u>	<u>% of Payroll</u>	<u>Difference (%)</u>
Asian	\$265,225	17.61%	17.97%	-0.36%
Black	\$169,360	11.25%	10.34%	0.91%
Filipino	\$93,446	6.21%	6.42%	-0.21%
Hispanic	\$121,916	8.10%	9.35%	-1.25%
White	\$846,676	56.23%	55.01%	1.22%

**Fiscal Year 2017-2018**

<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>	<u>Bonuses (\$)</u>	<u>% of Bonuses</u>	<u>% of Payroll</u>	<u>Difference (%)</u>
Asian	\$245,753	16.99%	18.62%	-1.63%
Black	\$148,898	10.29%	9.52%	0.77%
Filipino	\$105,218	7.27%	6.18%	1.09%
Hispanic	\$138,236	9.56%	9.81%	-0.25%
White	\$805,883	55.71%	55.23%	0.48%

**Fiscal Year 2016-2017**

<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>	<u>Bonuses (\$)</u>	<u>% of Bonuses</u>	<u>% of Payroll</u>	<u>Difference (%)</u>
Asian	\$241,881	18.34%	18.52%	-0.18%
Black	\$143,067	10.85%	9.82%	1.03%
Filipino	\$99,408	7.54%	5.92%	1.62%
Hispanic	\$137,009	10.39%	9.83%	0.56%
White	\$694,731	52.68%	55.65%	-2.97%


**Fiscal Year 2015-2016**

<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>	<u>Bonuses (\$)</u>	<u>% of Bonuses</u>	<u>% of Payroll</u>	<u>Difference (%)</u>
Asian	\$284,360	23.63%	19.82%	3.81%
Black	\$118,365	9.83%	9.34%	0.49%
Filipino	\$65,986	5.48%	5.82%	-0.34%
Hispanic	\$109,179	9.07%	9.68%	-0.61%
White	\$623,222	51.78%	54.96%	-3.18%

▪ Percentages do not add up to 100% as only consists of the 5 requested racial groups.

Classification  
Multiple values

Classification: 0922 - Manager I, 0923 - Manager II, 0931 - Manager III and 16 more



**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Request for Visit and Inspection Mayor Breed, BOS / Bayshore Navigation Center  
**Date:** Friday, January 8, 2021 10:37:23 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I understand you are all very busy.

Please honor my request for an in person visit for your own documentation and experience what Bayshore Navigation Center is currently offering citizens here that rely on the management's care.

Human lives are in grave danger and are being risked every minute this center stays open and citizens are not placed into appropriate housing for mitigation, physical, and for mental health needs.

To not visit is just plain cowardly.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Plea for help letter to Newsom / Bayshore Navigation Center  
**Date:** Friday, January 8, 2021 5:02:00 PM

---

**From:** Shad Fenton <shadfenton@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2021 4:16 PM

**To:** Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Graff, Amy <agraff@sfgate.com>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Plea for help letter to Newsom / Bayshore Navigation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed, Supervisors

I've sent a letter to our Governor seeking his help in moving forward with placing us into Safe SIP environments where there is care available for those that need it.

Tucker , the Austic man in his 60's is once again wandering around in urine stained clothing, without showering for days and he himself has become a public health issue due to lack of care.

I truly suggest this city's government immediately looks into getting us into proper SIP safe environments or this city's citizens may start losing state funding just as the citizens of Palm Springs did.

We are in a grave health crisis here, both physically and mentally without the proper staff to care for us.

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](#); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Covid Testing / Mitigation Response Bayshore Navigation Center / Request for quarantine  
**Date:** Saturday, January 9, 2021 9:55:03 AM  
**Attachments:** [Missed appt2021-01-09 at 9.36.31 AM.png](#)  
[Screen Shot 2021-01-09 at 9.53.34 AM.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dr. Imbert,

I realize you're very busy and thank you for reading and responding to my email.

I've CC'd CCSF Gov't officials here and the media, due to the negligence of care and complete disregard for mitigation I discovered and am tracking.

My name is Shad (Beauprez) Fenton, and I am a current resident at Bayshore Navigation Center in SF. My full legal name is David Shad Beauprez.

I'm emailing you today because I am getting SFDPH My chart messages that have your name attached to Covid Testing here at the center, and you serve as the MD/Epi lead for the Shelter/Encampment Outbreak Response Team.

I have a couple of questions for you to please respond to.

Do you have records with dates that DPH performed inspections at 125 Bayshore that document that mitigation techniques were being adhered to?

Why are their individuals here with mental health and physical needs not receiving care from trained DPH staff on a daily basis? There are citizens here walking around in urine stained clothing, not showering and are a walking health risk to themselves and this entire community, including Five Keys Staff.

When a covid positive case comes forward at this center, why is it that we, the community here that are in direct contact with those positive cases, are not quarantined immediately? Too expensive?

Why is there constant cold air blowing into the dorm room, and no heat has been provided whatsoever during this winter which is creating agitation amongst the community. People here are very agitated Dr. Imbert and it's become life threatening.

Why are human lives here at Bayshore being risked daily and left in harm's way by DPH, Five Keys, and CCSF with no way to mitigate their own safety in their own SIP sheltered room where they can receive care?

I've also attached screenshots of my MY Chart testings. I received one this morning that stated I missed an appointment for testing, but that testing was voluntary as all testings have been here, so why the "missed appointment" testing tag on my chart?

If you could please help me and any others that wish to get into quarantine I would be forever grateful.

I'll await your response.

Shad Fenton

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](mailto:james.queally@latimes.com); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Covid Testing / Mitigation Response Bayshore Navigation Center / Request for quarantine  
**Date:** Saturday, January 9, 2021 10:49:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dr. Imbert,

Of those two attachments, the appointments stating 'missed' if you click inside them are actually appointments I kept and was tested. So I'm not understanding why they are showing up as 'missed'.

On Sat, Jan 9, 2021 at 9:54 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dr. Imbert,

I realize you're very busy and thank you for reading and responding to my email.

I've CC'd CCSF Gov't officials here and the media, due to the negligence of care and complete disregard for mitigation I discovered and am tracking.

My name is Shad (Beauprez) Fenton, and I am a current resident at Bayshore Navigation Center in SF. My full legal name is David Shad Beauprez.

I'm emailing you today because I am getting SFDPH My chart messages that have your name attached to Covid Testing here at the center, and you serve as the MD/Epi lead for the Shelter/Encampment Outbreak Response Team.

I have a couple of questions for you to please respond to.

Do you have records with dates that DPH performed inspections at 125 Bayshore that document that mitigation techniques were being adhered to?

Why are their individuals here with mental health and physical needs not receiving care from trained DPH staff on a daily basis? There are citizens here walking around in urine stained clothing, not showering and are a walking health risk to themselves and this entire community, including Five Keys Staff.

When a covid positive case comes forward at this center, why is it that we, the community here that are in direct contact with those positive cases, are not quarantined immediately? Too expensive?

Why is there constant cold air blowing into the dorm room, and no heat has been provided whatsoever during this winter which is creating agitation amongst the community. People here are very agitated Dr. Imbert and it's become life

threatening.

Why are human lives here at Bayshore being risked daily and left in harm's way by DPH, Five Keys, and CCSF with no way to mitigate their own safety in their own SIP sheltered room where they can receive care?

I've also attached screenshots of my MY Chart testings. I received one this morning that stated I missed an appointment for testing, but that testing was voluntary as all testings have been here, so why the "missed appointment" testing tag on my chart?

If you could please help me and any others that wish to get into quarantine I would be forever grateful.

I'll await your response.

Shad Fenton

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [John Warner](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Request to quarantine  
**Date:** Saturday, January 9, 2021 11:22:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

UPDATE, 56 DEGREES was the reading interior temp.

Human lives are in danger here with the continued agitation of harassing cold air blowing at us all day and night, lack of sleep caused from trying to stay warm. An altercation was documented last night between 4 citizens here, all over the use of ear pods vs. not using them in a congregate environment.

One staff member responded, assuming due to staff shortages.

REQUESTING A TRANSFER INTO SAFETY.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 11:56 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE with Case Worker Charles at Bayshore Navigation Center.

I just met briefly with Charles, and repeated my request for my case file. His response is he can't print just my case, he would have to print everyone's. I stated, get with Mr. Chase to get it done.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 8:59 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE on Bayshore Navigation Center this morning:

Still cold air blowing directly on us. NO HEAT at all this winter. NO HEAT in the showers, NO heat in the bathrooms.

The only place to find heating is in the offices of Director Tony Chase and his staff and the small common kitchen where none of us should be hanging out in the first place. With that it's another attempt to herd us all into one room.

For some insane reason, staff have been told they can't use the microwaves here to warm up our cold meals, although staff uses them for theirs. If the microwaves can't be used, then remove the damn microwaves. A citizen here got very upset this morning about that, and an employee called in a supervisor who stated that "they told us we can't use the microwave" whoever "they" are is a mystery.

On Wed, Jan 6, 2021 at 9:53 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE 56 Degrees this morning is the interior temp of my phone in this room. Phone was guarded from intense cold air blowing directly on it, as it does day and night here.

Citizen Nicole very vocally agitated this morning. Human lives are in grave danger here at Bayshore Navigation Center due to lack of care, negligence in care, forced cold air, and

none are able to safely SIP away from Covid 19, violence, dictatorship values, beliefs about Covid 19 from those in charge of our lives.

On Sun, Jan 3, 2021 at 3:05 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Citizens are still coughing, Still very cold air blowing on us.

Meal program looks to be a sham. Same exact warm meals in less than a week. Same cold meals in less than two days. Breakfast meal boxes are hit and miss with products. Where is the calendar of the meal program from Meals on Wheels Posted for guests?

If this seems petty compared to the crimes of public endangerment, what I am documenting is all endangerment. Citizens here are agitated and it's only getting worse.

On Sat, Jan 2, 2021 at 10:20 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: 56 degrees with cold air blowing.

Citizens still coughing.

On Fri, Jan 1, 2021 at 8:22 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am aware of the recent sexual harassment allegations of a citizen here charged against a member of your supervisory team, I'm also aware of your criminal suggestion to have a meeting with all three of you to talk it through.

You are not above the law Mr. Chase, and you will be held accountable. Please refrain from harassing, or denying that vulnerable kid any more of his rights.

Sexual Harassment Charges

No Mitigation until I blew the whistle

Denied Transparency of positive Covid 19 Cases

No heat in the Dorm all winter. This morning, temperature read 56, temperature right now reads 59 at 8:10 PM

Cold meals in the mornings and night

Illegal Christmas Party thrown during lockdown and against government mandates.

"if you don't like it, there's the door"

Warden / prisoner structure.

Former prisoners working 16 hour shifts 100 plus work weeks without a real Director that cares for human safety.

The worse..is this government's response to it all. A government that leaves humans in harm's way trying to figure out how to cover what they did is corrupt, but more importantly, all of those officials whose jobs are to protect and serve, become criminals.

It's a New Year, a new start, do the right thing please.

Get us out of here and into safe environments where we can mitigate, and where those that need care, can receive it.

On Thu, Dec 31, 2020 at 5:33 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Human lives are in danger. Guest in Bed 53

Mr. Chase, the man in bed 53 has been coughing non stop for days. I've recorded it. He does not deserve to die here from your lack of care and freezing cold air being blown on him while he is ill. WE do not deserve to be exposed to this virus since you've made it apparent that you are not disclosing nor are transparent of covid positive cases here.

Please walk yourself over to the dorm and take this man to the ER where he can be cared for since there is no medical staff on duty whatsoever. You sneak in and out of the back gate and not once check in on any citizens that are under your supervision.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:41 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE and plea for Mr. Chase, All

Two days ago this came into my inbox:

Good morning everyone,

There is a new housing development on the [Dahlia San Francisco Housing Portal](#) called Broadway Cove with 93 available units. The deadline to apply is January 13. If any of your clients are interested in applying, please have them call us at either 415-651-7824 or 415-431-1180. They can also email us at [resourceroom@womensbuilding.org](mailto:resourceroom@womensbuilding.org) with their name and phone number.

We can help them make an account, fill out the application, and answer any questions. Clients may also apply on their own. Please see the flyer attached and feel free to share it.

Warm regards,





**Daniela Flores**

Programs Assistant

[Pronouns: She/her](#)

phone: + 415 651 2776

ext. 7224

email: [daniela@womensbuilding.org](mailto:daniela@womensbuilding.org)

3543 18th St #8

San Francisco, CA 94110



I am pleading that I can apply to get a one bedroom. It would offer me and my boston terrier Grayson a place to live and a start to rebuild our lives.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:06 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Yesterday DPH Nurse and Tech were here, the Dr. WAS NOT.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 9:54 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: HUMAN LIVES IN GRAVE DANGER.

THREE CITIZENS SICK WITH COUGHS HERE THIS MORNING

Was told this morning by supervising staff when asking about the heat again, that there was a work order in to fix it.

WHY WAS THERE PUBLIC WORKS EMPLOYEES HERE OVER 2 WEEKS AGO SHUTTING OFF THE ROOF VENTS?

WHY CAN'T THE FANS BLOWING THE FREEZING COLD AIR JUST BE SHUT OFF?

Was told two nights ago by medically untrained night staff that "a cough could be any cough, not necessarily Covid."

Last night I received a temperature check at 9 pm. Was told my temp was 93. I stated, that can't be I'd be dead.

THERE ARE NO MEDICALLY TRAINED STAFF ADMINISTERING TESTS ONLY UNTRAINED SECURITY HERE AT NIGHT.

Please get us all into safety, citizens here are very agitated FROM THE

NEGLIGENCE OF CARE, AND SLEEPING IN THE COLD.

On Sun, Dec 27, 2020 at 9:24 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

I am still waiting for my transport to quarantine. It's 57 degrees in the dorm from the reading from my phone. My phone is left alone underneath my bed, exposed.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 4:00 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am still waiting to be sent to quarantine.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 9:36 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Still waiting on my requested transfer to quarantine.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 7:49 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

All,

For those of you coming into this email chain you are here now for accountability and as witnesses. Bayshore Navigation has threatened too many lives and this must end.

I'll quickly plead for all that remain here at Bayshore Navigation ( not many ) as my life, and all these lives that are still under Mr. Chase's dictation are in danger and deserve to be so much safer and taken care of. There is no way to self mitigate or dodge infection when you are up against a director that doesn't send people to quarantine or one that only starts mitigation when the whistle blows.

My "driver" has always been human safety, not just mine but all citizens here and everywhere. The gross negligence in care during this covid pandemic by Mr. Chase has been documented. Mandatory mitigations have been made ( grateful for those ) and people suffering from mental health issues have been brought back after being evicted while Mr. Chase was off campus.

My "complaints" as Mr. Chase stated made things happen in order to help save people from possible infections and from infecting others. Unfortunately, as you've all been a part to witness, Mr. Chase believes somehow that the environment that he creates is a safe one, but evidence shows a much different and disturbing lack of that safety, of mitigation and also shows very negligent care among

persons with disabilities.

He is in control of public health, yet he broke a mandate and threw a holiday party, inviting infection spread onto both parties in attendance, possibly creating a superspreader event.

This is not responsible behavior of a director of a Navigation Center for a community housing development. City Life Church was here for a photo op and served some hot food, which is also lacking here and it was a meal, that I simply took, ate quickly by myself, until a friend Mario sat down, I sat with him less than 5 minutes, then returned to the community room by myself to be with my dog. For the record.

I am a victim of corruption, conspiracy, and terror. I am a victim of civil rights violations of due process. I lost my entire 400 K investment, then my car, then my condo, then my possessions fighting for justice, and that is coming. It has to. Too many high rankers have broken too many laws and got caught. Because I caught them. I've been pleading for my safety for 20 months at least.

I am scared shitless of retaliation, because that's what the PS Government did to me. Evidence points to two PS Gov't officials having everything to do with the attempt on my life. I've survived though, this challenge with Mr. Chase, Five Keys, DPH is a big one due to I have no escape.

Underlying in Mr. Chase's emails is that same tone. His emails show no empathy, no action, it's as if he's incapable of it. He just points his finger to his "door" and says go find someplace else.

Mr. Chase you are here because I am transparent and also because if you fuck with my safety any further and I am not in quarantine or placed into an SIP room, away from the constant coughing of others that should be quarantined on the first sign of a cough! Of the constant cold air harassment in the dorm (there hasn't been heat for 7 weeks now) and your delusions and personal harassment, you are not, in any way, above the law.

I beg with those that do govern with care to get us out of here, into safety and care, before someone dies because of this man's tyranny.

With hope, Shad Fenton

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 5:52 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Your email does not answer when my transfer request is coming through to quarantine.

I was transferred into this shelter with a statement it was safe. I have stated to you over and over again that I do not feel safe here, and have proven why.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 4:54 PM Tony Chase

<[tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)> wrote:

Mr. Fenton,

Merry Christmas to you!

I don't understand, because you were seen right there enjoying the festivities that were given safely and for everybody's enjoyment! But, since this is the 84th one of your critical emails, I can only remind you that you are free to go find you a place that YOU will feel safe at, since it is not here. My intention is to keep the environment safe for all, including for you, but I hope that you will find what you are driven to look for.

Thank you and Happy Holidays!

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 2:39 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, It's now 2:27 PM. Your supervisors and staff know of my request to quarantine. I have yet to hear back from you. Please respond when I will be transferred.

Thank You,

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 9:02 AM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,


Due to your negligence in care, the fact you threw an illegal Christmas Party during lockdown and involved members of City Life Church, AND the constant coughing from possible Covid 19 citizens here, last night being one of many.

(The bed neighbor was coughing so loudly and continuously he woke many of us up at 4 AM. That recording is also attached here)

I am requesting to go into quarantine for the entire time an incubation cycle occurs according to the CDC.

*The incubation period for COVID-19 is thought to extend to **14 days**, with a median time of **4-5 days** from exposure to symptoms onset. One study reported that 97.5% of people with COVID-19 who have symptoms will do so within 11.5 days of **SARS-CoV-2** infection.*

I will notify your staff as such.



--



**TONY CHASE**

DIRECTOR,  
BAYSHORE NAVIGATION CENTER

**D:** 415.596.1475

**O:** 415.920.8920

**F:** 415.734.3314

**E:** [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)

**A:** 5125 Bayshore Blvd., San Francisco, CA  
94124

**W:** [www.fivekeys.org](http://www.fivekeys.org)

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [John Warner](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Request to quarantine  
**Date:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 2:03:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

UPDATE: Another day of freezing cold air blowing on us. Staff continue to have space heaters at their desks running the entire day and night while the rest of us are continually harassed by the cold air. This is not a call out to staff being allowed, they are afflicted as well. Five Keys, CCSF, Tony Chase and all Directors above him are in violation of providing a safe and comfortable work environment.

Five Keys is a business.

I've requested shaving razors for days now, still none, although Mr. Chase can purchase cases of single use Kurig Peet's Coffee pods for himself and staff.

Hygiene kits disappeared right before the recent pandemic wave and lockdown.

This is real harassment for the sake of keeping citizens out of this shelter and not wanting to return, all due to the fact the CCSF, Five Keys, DPH, cannot keep citizens in harm's way and keep exposing them to possible covid positive cases without providing any sort of mitigation.

I state cannot, but yet they still are.

Lives are in grave danger here and yet many of us are still here.

Requesting Quarantine, Requesting transfer into safety.

On Sat, Jan 9, 2021 at 11:21 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE, 56 DEGREES was the reading interior temp.

Human lives are in danger here with the continued agitation of harassing cold air blowing at us all day and night, lack of sleep caused from trying to stay warm. An altercation was documented last night between 4 citizens here, all over the use of ear pods vs. not using them in a congregate environment.

One staff member responded, assuming due to staff shortages.

REQUESTING A TRANSFER INTO SAFETY.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 11:56 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE with Case Worker Charles at Bayshore Navigation Center.

I just met briefly with Charles, and repeated my request for my case file. His response is he can't print just my case, he would have to print everyone's. I stated, get with Mr. Chase to get it done.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 8:59 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE on Bayshore Navigation Center this morning:

Still cold air blowing directly on us. NO HEAT at all this winter. NO HEAT in the showers, NO heat in the bathrooms.

The only place to find heating is in the offices of Director Tony Chase and his staff and the small common kitchen where none of us should be hanging out in the first place. With that it's another attempt to herd us all into one room.

For some insane reason, staff have been told they can't use the microwaves here to warm up our cold meals, although staff uses them for theirs. If the microwaves can't be used, then remove the damn microwaves. A citizen here got very upset this morning about that, and an employee called in a supervisor who stated that "they told us we can't use the microwave" whoever "they" are is a mystery.

On Wed, Jan 6, 2021 at 9:53 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE 56 Degrees this morning is the interior temp of my phone in this room. Phone was guarded from intense cold air blowing directly on it, as it does day and night here.

Citizen Nicole very vocally agitated this morning. Human lives are in grave danger here at Bayshore Navigation Center due to lack of care, negligence in care, forced cold air, and none are able to safely SIP away from Covid 19, violence, dictatorship values, beliefs about Covid 19 from those in charge of our lives.

On Sun, Jan 3, 2021 at 3:05 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Citizens are still coughing, Still very cold air blowing on us.

Meal program looks to be a sham. Same exact warm meals in less than a week. Same cold meals in less than two days. Breakfast meal boxes are hit and miss with products. Where is the calendar of the meal program from Meals on Wheels Posted for guests?

If this seems petty compared to the crimes of public endangerment, what I am documenting is all endangerment. Citizens here are agitated and it's only getting worse.

On Sat, Jan 2, 2021 at 10:20 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: 56 degrees with cold air blowing.

Citizens still coughing.

On Fri, Jan 1, 2021 at 8:22 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am aware of the recent sexual harassment allegations of a citizen here charged against a member of your supervisory team, I'm also aware of your criminal suggestion to have a meeting with all three of you to talk it through.

You are not above the law Mr. Chase, and you will be held accountable. Please refrain from harassing, or denying that vulnerable kid any more of his rights.

Sexual Harassment Charges

No Mitigation until I blew the whistle

Denied Transparency of positive Covid 19 Cases

No heat in the Dorm all winter. This morning, temperature read 56, temperature right now reads 59 at 8:10 PM

Cold meals in the mornings and night

Illegal Christmas Party thrown during lockdown and against government mandates.

'if you don't like it, there's the door"

Warden / prisoner structure.

Former prisoners working 16 hour shifts 100 plus work weeks without a real Director that cares for human safety.

The worse..is this government's response to it all. A government that leaves humans in harm's way trying to figure out how to cover what they did is corrupt, but more importantly, all of those officials whose jobs are to protect and serve, become criminals.

It's a New Year, a new start, do the right thing please.

Get us out of here and into safe environments where we can mitigate, and where those that need care, can receive it.

On Thu, Dec 31, 2020 at 5:33 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Human lives are in danger. Guest in Bed 53

Mr. Chase, the man in bed 53 has been coughing non stop for days. I've recorded it. He does not deserve to die here from your lack of care and freezing cold air being blown on him while he is ill. WE do not deserve to be exposed to this virus since you've made it apparent that you are not disclosing nor are transparent of covid positive cases here.

Please walk yourself over to the dorm and take this man to the ER where he can be cared for since there is no medical staff on duty whatsoever. You sneak in and out of the back gate and not once check in on any citizens that are under your supervision.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:41 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE and plea for Mr. Chase, All



Two days ago this came into my inbox:

Good morning everyone,

There is a new housing development on the [Dahlia San Francisco Housing Portal](#) called Broadway Cove with 93 available units. The deadline to apply is January 13. If any of your clients are interested in applying, please have them call us at either 415-651-7824 or 415-431-1180. They can also email us at [resourceroom@womensbuilding.org](mailto:resourceroom@womensbuilding.org) with their name and phone number.

We can help them make an account, fill out the application, and answer any questions. Clients may also apply on their own. Please see the flyer attached and feel free to share it.

Warm regards,

--



**Daniela Flores**

Programs Assistant

[Pronouns: She/her](#)

phone: + 415 651 2776

ext. 7224

email: [daniela@womensbuilding.org](mailto:daniela@womensbuilding.org)

3543 18th St #8

San Francisco, CA 94110



I am pleading that I can apply to get a one bedroom. It would offer me and my boston terrier Grayson a place to live and a start to rebuild our lives.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:06 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Yesterday DPH Nurse and Tech were here, the Dr. WAS NOT.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 9:54 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: HUMAN LIVES IN GRAVE DANGER.

THREE CITIZENS SICK WITH COUGHS HERE THIS MORNING  
Was told this morning by supervising staff when asking about the heat again, that there was a work order in to fix it.

WHY WAS THERE PUBLIC WORKS EMPLOYEES HERE OVER 2 WEEKS AGO SHUTTING OFF THE ROOF VENTS?  
WHY CAN'T THE FANS BLOWING THE FREEZING COLD AIR JUST BE SHUT OFF?

Was told two nights ago by medically untrained night staff that "a cough could be any cough, not necessarily Covid."

Last night I received a temperature check at 9 pm. Was told my temp was 93. I stated, that can't be I'd be dead.

THERE ARE NO MEDICALLY TRAINED STAFF ADMINISTERING TESTS ONLY UNTRAINED SECURITY HERE AT NIGHT.

Please get us all into safety, citizens here are very agitated FROM THE NEGLIGENCE OF CARE, AND SLEEPING IN THE COLD.

On Sun, Dec 27, 2020 at 9:24 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

I am still waiting for my transport to quarantine. It's 57 degrees in the dorm from the reading from my phone. My phone is left alone underneath my bed, exposed.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 4:00 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am still waiting to be sent to quarantine.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 9:36 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Still waiting on my requested transfer to quarantine.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 7:49 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

All,

For those of you coming into this email chain you are here now for

accountability and as witnesses. Bayshore Navigation has threatened too many lives and this must end.

I'll quickly plead for all that remain here at Bayshore Navigation ( not many ) as my life, and all these lives that are still under Mr. Chase's dictation are in danger and deserve to be so much safer and taken care of. There is no way to self mitigate or dodge infection when you are up against a director that doesn't send people to quarantine or one that only starts mitigation when the whistle blows.

My "driver" has always been human safety, not just mine but all citizens here and everywhere. The gross negligence in care during this covid pandemic by Mr. Chase has been documented. Mandatory mitigations have been made ( grateful for those ) and people suffering from mental health issues have been brought back after being evicted while Mr. Chase was off campus.

My "complaints" as Mr. Chase stated made things happen in order to help save people from possible infections and from infecting others. Unfortunately, as you've all been a part to witness, Mr. Chase believes somehow that the environment that he creates is a safe one, but evidence shows a much different and disturbing lack of that safety, of mitigation and also shows very negligent care among persons with disabilities.

He is in control of public health, yet he broke a mandate and threw a holiday party, inviting infection spread onto both parties in attendance, possibly creating a superspreader event.

This is not responsible behavior of a director of a Navigation Center for a community housing development. City Life Church was here for a photo op and served some hot food, which is also lacking here and it was a meal, that I simply took, ate quickly by myself, until a friend Mario sat down, I sat with him less than 5 minutes, then returned to the community room by myself to be with my dog. For the record.

I am a victim of corruption, conspiracy, and terror. I am a victim of civil rights violations of due process. I lost my entire 400 K investment, then my car, then my condo, then my possessions fighting for justice, and that is coming. It has to. Too many high rankers have broken too many laws and got caught. Because I caught them. I've been pleading for my safety for 20 months at least.

I am scared shitless of retaliation, because that's what the PS Government did to me. Evidence points to two PS Gov't officials having everything to do with the attempt on my life. I've survived though, this challenge with Mr. Chase, Five Keys, DPH is a big one due to I have no escape.

Underlying in Mr. Chase's emails is that same tone. His emails show no empathy, no action, it's as if he's incapable of it. He just points his

finger to his 'door' and says go find someplace else.

Mr. Chase you are here because I am transparent and also because if you fuck with my safety any further and I am not in quarantine or placed into an SIP room, away from the constant coughing of others that should be quarantined on the first sign of a cough! Of the constant cold air harassment in the dorm (there hasn't been heat for 7 weeks now) and your delusions and personal harassment, you are not, in any way, above the law.

I beg with those that do govern with care to get us out of here, into safety and care, before someone dies because of this man's tyranny.

With hope, Shad Fenton

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 5:52 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Your email does not answer when my transfer request is coming through to quarantine.

I was transferred into this shelter with a statement it was safe. I have stated to you over and over again that I do not feel safe here, and have proven why.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 4:54 PM Tony Chase

<[tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)> wrote:

Mr. Fenton,

Merry Christmas to you!

I don't understand, because you were seen right there enjoying the festivities that were given safely and for everybody's enjoyment! But, since this is the 84th one of your critical emails, I can only remind you that you are free to go find you a place that YOU will feel safe at, since it is not here. My intention is to keep the environment safe for all, including for you, but I hope that you will find what you are driven to look for.

Thank you and Happy Holidays!

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 2:39 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, It's now 2:27 PM. Your supervisors and staff know of my request to quarantine. I have yet to hear back from you. Please respond when I will be transferred.

Thank You,

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 9:02 AM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Due to your negligence in care, the fact you threw an illegal Christmas Party during lockdown and involved members of City Life Church, AND the constant coughing from possible Covid 19 citizens here, last night being one of many.

(The bed neighbor was coughing so loudly and continuously he woke many of us up at 4 AM. That recording is also attached here)

I am requesting to go into quarantine for the entire time an incubation cycle occurs according to the CDC.

*The incubation period for COVID-19 is thought to extend to **14 days**, with a median time of **4-5 days** from exposure to symptoms onset. One study reported that 97.5% of people with COVID-19 who have symptoms will do so within 11.5 days of **SARS-CoV-2** infection.*

I will notify your staff as such.

--



TONY CHASE

DIRECTOR,  
BAYSHORE NAVIGATION CENTER

D: 415.596.1475

O: 415.920.8920

F: 415.734.3314

E: [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)

A: 5125 Bayshore Blvd., San Francisco, CA  
94124

W: [www.fivekeys.org](http://www.fivekeys.org)

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](mailto:james.queally@latimes.com); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Covid Testing / Mitigation Response Bayshore Navigation Center / Request for quarantine  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 11:16:50 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## UPDATE on Bayshore Navigation Center

Community member Tucker (Autistic) hasn't been seen in days. Hopefully he has been transferred to a care facility.

Still no heat anywhere but in the communal kitchen, where we aren't supposed to congregate due to mandates. Still severe agitation of citizens here. Still life threatening levels of Covid Exposure due to transient shelter and no way to SIP in quarantine.

Requesting transfer into safety and quarantine for health reasons.

On Sat, Jan 9, 2021 at 10:48 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dr. Imbert,

Of those two attachments, the appointments stating 'missed' if you click inside them are actually appointments I kept and was tested. So I'm not understanding why they are showing up as 'missed'.

On Sat, Jan 9, 2021 at 9:54 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dr. Imbert,

I realize you're very busy and thank you for reading and responding to my email.

I've CC'd CCSF Gov't officials here and the media, due to the negligence of care and complete disregard for mitigation I discovered and am tracking.

My name is Shad (Beauprez) Fenton, and I am a current resident at Bayshore Navigation Center in SF. My full legal name is David Shad Beauprez.

I'm emailing you today because I am getting SFDPH My chart messages that have your name attached to Covid Testing here at the center, and you serve as the MD/Epi lead for the Shelter/Encampment Outbreak Response Team.

I have a couple of questions for you to please respond to.

Do you have records with dates that DPH performed inspections at 125 Bayshore

that document that mitigation techniques were being adhered to?

Why are their individuals here with mental health and physical needs not receiving care from trained DPH staff on a daily basis? There are citizens here walking around in urine stained clothing, not showering and are a walking health risk to themselves and this entire community, including Five Keys Staff.

When a covid positive case comes forward at this center, why is it that we, the community here that are in direct contact with those positive cases, are not quarantined immediately? Too expensive?

Why is there constant cold air blowing into the dorm room, and no heat has been provided whatsoever during this winter which is creating agitation amongst the community. People here are very agitated Dr. Imbert and it's become life threatening.

Why are human lives here at Bayshore being risked daily and left in harm's way by DPH, Five Keys, and CCSF with no way to mitigate their own safety in their own SIP sheltered room where they can receive care?

I've also attached screenshots of my MY Chart testings. I received one this morning that stated I missed an appointment for testing, but that testing was voluntary as all testings have been here, so why the "missed appointment" testing tag on my chart?

If you could please help me and any others that wish to get into quarantine I would be forever grateful.

I'll await your response.

Shad Fenton

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [John Warner](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Request to quarantine  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 11:19:36 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mr. Chase

I've requested my case file from Charles three times now. He stated that is up to you and that he didn't have the ability to only print my case file, he would have to print them all.

Please have my entire case file printed for me where I can pick it up at the front desk no later than tomorrow.

On Sun, Jan 10, 2021 at 2:02 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: Another day of freezing cold air blowing on us. Staff continue to have space heaters at their desks running the entire day and night while the rest of us are continually harassed by the cold air. This is not a call out to staff being allowed, they are afflicted as well. Five Keys, CCSF, Tony Chase and all Directors above him are in violation of providing a safe and comfortable work environment.

Five Keys is a business.

I've requested shaving razors for days now, still none, although Mr. Chase can purchase cases of single use Kurig Peet's Coffee pods for himself and staff.

Hygiene kits disappeared right before the recent pandemic wave and lockdown.

This is real harassment for the sake of keeping citizens out of this shelter and not wanting to return, all due to the fact the CCSF, Five Keys, DPH, cannot keep citizens in harm's way and keep exposing them to possible covid positive cases without providing any sort of mitigation.

I state cannot, but yet they still are.

Lives are in grave danger here and yet many of us are still here.

Requesting Quarantine, Requesting transfer into safety.

On Sat, Jan 9, 2021 at 11:21 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE, 56 DEGREES was the reading interior temp.

Human lives are in danger here with the continued agitation of harassing cold air blowing at us all day and night, lack of sleep caused from trying to stay warm. An altercation was documented last night between 4 citizens here, all over the use of ear pods vs. not using them in a congregate environment.



One staff member responded, assuming due to staff shortages.

REQUESTING A TRANSFER INTO SAFETY.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 11:56 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE with Case Worker Charles at Bayshore Navigation Center.

I just met briefly with Charles, and repeated my request for my case file. His response is he can't print just my case, he would have to print everyone's. I stated, get with Mr. Chase to get it done.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 8:59 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE on Bayshore Navigation Center this morning:

Still cold air blowing directly on us. NO HEAT at all this winter. NO HEAT in the showers, NO heat in the bathrooms.

The only place to find heating is in the offices of Director Tony Chase and his staff and the small common kitchen where none of us should be hanging out in the first place. With that it's another attempt to herd us all into one room.

For some insane reason, staff have been told they can't use the microwaves here to warm up our cold meals, although staff uses them for theirs. If the microwaves can't be used, then remove the damn microwaves. A citizen here got very upset this morning about that, and an employee called in a supervisor who stated that "they told us we can't use the microwave" whoever "they" are is a mystery.

On Wed, Jan 6, 2021 at 9:53 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE 56 Degrees this morning is the interior temp of my phone in this room. Phone was guarded from intense cold air blowing directly on it, as it does day and night here.

Citizen Nicole very vocally agitated this morning. Human lives are in grave danger here at Bayshore Navigation Center due to lack of care, negligence in care, forced cold air, and none are able to safely SIP away from Covid 19, violence, dictatorship values, beliefs about Covid 19 from those in charge of our lives.

On Sun, Jan 3, 2021 at 3:05 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Citizens are still coughing, Still very cold air blowing on us.

Meal program looks to be a sham. Same exact warm meals in less than a week. Same cold meals in less than two days. Breakfast meal boxes are hit and miss with products. Where is the calendar of the meal program from Meals on Wheels Posted for guests?

If this seems petty compared to the crimes of public endangerment, what I am documenting is all endangerment. Citizens here are agitated and it's only getting worse.

On Sat, Jan 2, 2021 at 10:20 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: 56 degrees with cold air blowing.

Citizens still coughing.

On Fri, Jan 1, 2021 at 8:22 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am aware of the recent sexual harassment allegations of a citizen here charged against a member of your supervisory team, I'm also aware of your criminal suggestion to have a meeting with all three of you to talk it through.

You are not above the law Mr. Chase, and you will be held accountable. Please refrain from harassing, or denying that vulnerable kid any more of his rights.

Sexual Harassment Charges

No Mitigation until I blew the whistle

Denied Transparency of positive Covid 19 Cases

No heat in the Dorm all winter. This morning, temperature read 56, temperature right now reads 59 at 8:10 PM

Cold meals in the mornings and night

Illegal Christmas Party thrown during lockdown and against government mandates.

'if you don't like it, there's the door"

Warden / prisoner structure.

Former prisoners working 16 hour shifts 100 plus work weeks without a real Director that cares for human safety.

The worse..is this government's response to it all. A government that leaves humans in harm's way trying to figure out how to cover what they did is corrupt, but more importantly, all of those officials whose jobs are to protect and serve, become criminals.

It's a New Year, a new start, do the right thing please.

Get us out of here and into safe environments where we can mitigate, and where those that need care, can receive it.

On Thu, Dec 31, 2020 at 5:33 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Human lives are in danger. Guest in Bed 53

Mr. Chase, the man in bed 53 has been coughing non stop for days. I've recorded it. He does not deserve to die here from your lack of care and freezing cold air being blown on him while he is ill. WE do not deserve to be exposed to this virus since you've made it apparent that you are not disclosing nor are transparent of covid positive cases here.

Please walk yourself over to the dorm and take this man to the ER where he can be cared for since there is no medical staff on duty whatsoever. You sneak in and out

of the back gate and not once check in on any citizens that are under your supervision.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:41 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:  
UPDATE and plea for Mr. Chase, All

Two days ago this came into my inbox:

Good morning everyone,

There is a new housing development on the [Dahlia San Francisco Housing Portal](#) called Broadway Cove with 93 available units. The deadline to apply is January 13. If any of your clients are interested in applying, please have them call us at either 415-651-7824 or 415-431-1180. They can also email us at [resourceroom@womensbuilding.org](mailto:resourceroom@womensbuilding.org) with their name and phone number.

We can help them make an account, fill out the application, and answer any questions. Clients may also apply on their own. Please see the flyer attached and feel free to share it.

Warm regards,

--

Logo



**Daniela Flores**

Programs Assistant

[Pronouns: She/her](#)

phone: + 415 651 2776

ext. 7224

email: [daniela@womensbuilding.org](mailto:daniela@womensbuilding.org)

3543 18th St #8

San Francisco, CA 94110



I am pleading that I can apply to get a one bedroom. It would offer me and my boston terrier Grayson a place to live and a start to rebuild our lives.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:06 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Yesterday DPH Nurse and Tech were here, the Dr. WAS NOT.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 9:54 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: HUMAN LIVES IN GRAVE DANGER.

THREE CITIZENS SICK WITH COUGHS HERE THIS MORNING  
Was told this morning by supervising staff when asking about the heat again, that there was a work order in to fix it.

WHY WAS THERE PUBLIC WORKS EMPLOYEES HERE OVER 2 WEEKS AGO SHUTTING OFF THE ROOF VENTS?  
WHY CAN'T THE FANS BLOWING THE FREEZING COLD AIR JUST BE SHUT OFF?

Was told two nights ago by medically untrained night staff that "a cough could be any cough, not necessarily Covid."

Last night I received a temperature check at 9 pm. Was told my temp was 93. I stated, that can't be I'd be dead.

THERE ARE NO MEDICALLY TRAINED STAFF ADMINISTERING TESTS ONLY UNTRAINED SECURITY HERE AT NIGHT.

Please get us all into safety, citizens here are very agitated FROM THE NEGLIGENCE OF CARE, AND SLEEPING IN THE COLD.

On Sun, Dec 27, 2020 at 9:24 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

I am still waiting for my transport to quarantine. It's 57 degrees in the dorm from the reading from my phone. My phone is left alone underneath my bed, exposed.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 4:00 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am still waiting to be sent to quarantine.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 9:36 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Still waiting on my requested transfer to quarantine.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 7:49 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

All,

For those of you coming into this email chain you are here now for accountability and as witnesses. Bayshore Navigation has threatened too many lives and this must end.

I'll quickly plead for all that remain here at Bayshore Navigation ( not many ) as my life, and all these lives that are still under Mr. Chase's dictation are in danger and deserve to be so much safer and taken care of. There is no way to self mitigate or dodge infection when you are up against a director that doesn't send people to quarantine or one that only starts mitigation when the whistle blows.

My "driver" has always been human safety, not just mine but all citizens here and everywhere. The gross negligence in care during this covid pandemic by Mr. Chase has been documented. Mandatory mitigations have been made ( grateful for those ) and people suffering from mental health issues have been brought back after being evicted while Mr. Chase was off campus.

My "complaints" as Mr. Chase stated made things happen in order to help save people from possible infections and from infecting others. Unfortunately, as you've all been a part to witness, Mr. Chase believes somehow that the environment that he creates is a safe one, but evidence shows a much different and disturbing lack of that safety, of mitigation and also shows very negligent care among persons with disabilities.

He is in control of public health, yet he broke a mandate and threw a holiday party, inviting infection spread onto both parties in attendance, possibly creating a superspreader event.

This is not responsible behavior of a director of a Navigation Center for a community housing development. City Life Church was here for a photo op and served some hot food, which is also lacking here and it was a meal, that I simply took, ate quickly by myself, until a friend Mario sat down, I sat with him less than 5 minutes, then returned to the community room by myself to be with my dog. For the record.

I am a victim of corruption, conspiracy, and terror. I am a victim of civil rights violations of due process. I lost my entire 400 K investment, then my car, then my condo, then my possessions fighting for justice, and that is coming. It has to. Too many high rankers have broken too many laws and got caught. Because I caught them. I've been pleading for my safety for 20 months at least.

I am scared shitless of retaliation, because that's what the PS Government did to me. Evidence points to two PS Gov't officials having everything to do with the attempt on my life. I've survived though, this challenge with Mr. Chase, Five Keys, DPH is a big one due to I have no escape.

Underlying in Mr. Chase's emails is that same tone. His emails show no empathy, no action, it's as if he's incapable of it. He just points his finger to his "door" and says go find someplace else.

Mr. Chase you are here because I am transparent and also because if you fuck with my safety any further and I am not in quarantine or placed into an SIP room, away from the constant coughing of others that should be quarantined on the first sign of a cough! Of the constant cold air harassment in the dorm (there hasn't been heat for 7 weeks now) and your delusions and personal harassment, you are not, in any way, above the law.

I beg with those that do govern with care to get us out of here, into safety and care, before someone dies because of this man's tyranny.

With hope, Shad Fenton

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 5:52 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Your email does not answer when my transfer request is coming through to quarantine.

I was transferred into this shelter with a statement it was safe. I have stated to you over and over again that I do not feel safe here, and have proven why.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 4:54 PM Tony Chase

<[tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)> wrote:

Mr. Fenton,

Merry Christmas to you!

I don't understand, because you were seen right there enjoying the festivities that were given safely and for everybody's enjoyment! But, since this is the 84th one of your critical emails, I can only remind you that you are free to go find you a place that YOU will feel safe at, since it is not here. My intention is to keep the environment safe for all, including for you, but I hope that you will find what you are driven to look for.

Thank you and Happy Holidays!

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 2:39 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, It's now 2:27 PM. Your supervisors and staff know of my request to quarantine. I have yet to hear back from you. Please respond when I will be transferred.

Thank You,

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 9:02 AM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Due to your negligence in care, the fact you threw an illegal Christmas Party during lockdown and involved members of City Life Church, AND the constant coughing from possible Covid 19 citizens here, last night being one of many.

(The bed neighbor was coughing so loudly and continuously he woke many of us up at 4 AM. That recording is also attached here)

I am requesting to go into quarantine for the entire time an incubation cycle occurs according to the CDC.

*The incubation period for COVID-19 is thought to extend to **14 days**, with a median time of **4-5 days** from exposure to symptoms onset. One study reported that 97.5% of people with COVID-19 who have symptoms will do so within 11.5 days of **SARS-CoV-2** infection.*

I will notify your staff as such.

--



TONY CHASE

DIRECTOR,  
BAYSHORE NAVIGATION CENTER

D: 415.596.1475

O: 415.920.8920

F: 415.734.3314

E: [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)

A: 5125 Bayshore Blvd., San Francisco, CA  
94124

W: [www.fivekeys.org](http://www.fivekeys.org)

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [John Warner](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Request to quarantine  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 12:35:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

#### UPDATE:

Mr. Chase confronted me with hostility in front of three witnesses in the common kitchen with his statement he would not communicate with me through email.

Then walked out back into his area. I then went into the offices, confronted him. at least 5 witnesses were surrounding. I stated his job was to communicate with me. He said if you want to communicate man to man..etc.. I said sure. I would like my case file printed today. He said no. They were busy. I said I've been asking for that for three weeks now.

I stated "are you being honest with your staff here"

I said do I need to call the police to get my case file printed, he said, "go ahead, would you like to use my phone?"

There is no safety for anyone here, especially for me, who has reported and has documented the herd immunity and negligence of care that he as well as DPH are absolutely accountable for.

Mr. Chase also stated in the common kitchen in front of three witnesses that he doesn't answer any emails.

On Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 11:19 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase

I've requested my case file from Charles three times now. He stated that is up to you and that he didn't have the ability to only print my case file, he would have to print them all.

Please have my entire case file printed for me where I can pick it up at the front desk no later than tomorrow.

On Sun, Jan 10, 2021 at 2:02 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: Another day of freezing cold air blowing on us. Staff continue to have space heaters at their desks running the entire day and night while the rest of us are continually harassed by the cold air. This is not a call out to staff being allowed, they are afflicted as well. Five Keys, CCSF, Tony Chase and all Directors above him are in violation of providing a safe and comfortable work environment.

Five Keys is a business.

I've requested shaving razors for days now, still none, although Mr. Chase can purchase cases of single use Kurig Peet's Coffee pods for himself and staff.



Hygiene kits disappeared right before the recent pandemic wave and lockdown.

This is real harassment for the sake of keeping citizens out of this shelter and not wanting to return, all due to the fact the CCSF, Five Keys, DPH, cannot keep citizens in harm's way and keep exposing them to possible covid positive cases without providing any sort of mitigation.

I state cannot, but yet they still are.

Lives are in grave danger here and yet many of us are still here.

Requesting Quarantine, Requesting transfer into safety.

On Sat, Jan 9, 2021 at 11:21 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE, 56 DEGREES was the reading interior temp.

Human lives are in danger here with the continued agitation of harassing cold air blowing at us all day and night, lack of sleep caused from trying to stay warm. An altercation was documented last night between 4 citizens here, all over the use of ear pods vs. not using them in a congregate environment.

One staff member responded, assuming due to staff shortages.

REQUESTING A TRANSFER INTO SAFETY.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 11:56 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE with Case Worker Charles at Bayshore Navigation Center.

I just met briefly with Charles, and repeated my request for my case file. His response is he can't print just my case, he would have to print everyone's. I stated, get with Mr. Chase to get it done.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 8:59 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE on Bayshore Navigation Center this morning:

Still cold air blowing directly on us. NO HEAT at all this winter. NO HEAT in the showers, NO heat in the bathrooms.

The only place to find heating is in the offices of Director Tony Chase and his staff and the small common kitchen where none of us should be hanging out in the first place. With that it's another attempt to herd us all into one room.

For some insane reason, staff have been told they can't use the microwaves here to warm up our cold meals, although staff uses them for theirs. If the microwaves can't be used, then remove the damn microwaves. A citizen here got very upset this morning about that, and an employee called in a supervisor who stated that "they told us we can't use the microwave" whoever "they" are is a mystery.

On Wed, Jan 6, 2021 at 9:53 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE 56 Degrees this morning is the interior temp of my phone in this room. Phone

was guarded from intense cold air blowing directly on it, as it does day and night here.

Citizen Nicole very vocally agitated this morning. Human lives are in grave danger here at Bayshore Navigation Center due to lack of care, negligence in care, forced cold air, and none are able to safely SIP away from Covid 19, violence, dictatorship values, beliefs about Covid 19 from those in charge of our lives.

On Sun, Jan 3, 2021 at 3:05 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Citizens are still coughing, Still very cold air blowing on us.

Meal program looks to be a sham. Same exact warm meals in less than a week. Same cold meals in less than two days. Breakfast meal boxes are hit and miss with products. Where is the calendar of the meal program from Meals on Wheels Posted for guests?

If this seems petty compared to the crimes of public endangerment, what I am documenting is all endangerment. Citizens here are agitated and it's only getting worse.

On Sat, Jan 2, 2021 at 10:20 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: 56 degrees with cold air blowing.  
Citizens still coughing.

On Fri, Jan 1, 2021 at 8:22 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am aware of the recent sexual harassment allegations of a citizen here charged against a member of your supervisory team, I'm also aware of your criminal suggestion to have a meeting with all three of you to talk it through.

You are not above the law Mr. Chase, and you will be held accountable. Please refrain from harassing, or denying that vulnerable kid any more of his rights.

Sexual Harassment Charges

No Mitigation until I blew the whistle

Denied Transparency of positive Covid 19 Cases

No heat in the Dorm all winter. This morning, temperature read 56, temperature right now reads 59 at 8:10 PM

Cold meals in the mornings and night

Illegal Christmas Party thrown during lockdown and against government mandates.

'if you don't like it, there's the door"

Warden / prisoner structure.

Former prisoners working 16 hour shifts 100 plus work weeks without a real Director that cares for human safety.

The worse..is this government's response to it all. A government that leaves humans in harm's way trying to figure out how to cover what they did is corrupt, but more importantly, all of those officials whose jobs are to protect and serve, become criminals.

It's a New Year, a new start, do the right thing please.

Get us out of here and into safe environments where we can mitigate, and where those that need care, can receive it.

On Thu, Dec 31, 2020 at 5:33 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Human lives are in danger. Guest in Bed 53

Mr. Chase, the man in bed 53 has been coughing non stop for days. I've recorded it. He does not deserve to die here from your lack of care and freezing cold air being blown on him while he is ill. WE do not deserve to be exposed to this virus since you've made it apparent that you are not disclosing nor are transparent of covid positive cases here.

Please walk yourself over to the dorm and take this man to the ER where he can be cared for since there is no medical staff on duty whatsoever. You sneak in and out of the back gate and not once check in on any citizens that are under your supervision.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:41 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE and plea for Mr. Chase, All

Two days ago this came into my inbox:

Good morning everyone,

There is a new housing development on the [Dahlia San Francisco Housing Portal](#) called Broadway Cove with 93 available units. The deadline to apply is January 13. If any of your clients are interested in applying, please have them call us at either 415-651-7824 or 415-431-1180. They can also email us at [resourceroom@womensbuilding.org](mailto:resourceroom@womensbuilding.org) with their name and phone number.

We can help them make an account, fill out the application, and answer any questions. Clients may also apply on their own. Please see the flyer attached and feel free to share it.

Warm regards,

--

**Daniela Flores**

Programs Assistant

[Pronouns: She/her](#)

phone: + 415 651 2776

ext. 7224

email: [daniela@womensbuilding.org](mailto:daniela@womensbuilding.org)

3543 18th St #8

San Francisco, CA 94110



I am pleading that I can apply to get a one bedroom. It would offer me and my boston terrier Grayson a place to live and a start to rebuild our lives.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:06 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Yesterday DPH Nurse and Tech were here, the Dr. WAS NOT.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 9:54 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: HUMAN LIVES IN GRAVE DANGER.

THREE CITIZENS SICK WITH COUGHS HERE THIS MORNING

Was told this morning by supervising staff when asking about the heat again, that there was a work order in to fix it.

WHY WAS THERE PUBLIC WORKS EMPLOYEES HERE OVER 2 WEEKS AGO SHUTTING OFF THE ROOF VENTS?

WHY CAN'T THE FANS BLOWING THE FREEZING COLD AIR JUST BE SHUT OFF?

Was told two nights ago by medically untrained night staff that "a cough could be any cough, not necessarily Covid."

Last night I received a temperature check at 9 pm. Was told my temp was 93. I stated, that can't be I'd be dead.

THERE ARE NO MEDICALLY TRAINED STAFF ADMINISTERING TESTS ONLY UNTRAINED SECURITY HERE AT NIGHT.

Please get us all into safety, citizens here are very agitated FROM THE NEGLIGENCE OF CARE, AND SLEEPING IN THE COLD.

On Sun, Dec 27, 2020 at 9:24 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

I am still waiting for my transport to quarantine. It's 57 degrees in the dorm from the reading from my phone. My phone is left alone underneath my bed, exposed.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 4:00 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am still waiting to be sent to quarantine.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 9:36 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Still waiting on my requested transfer to quarantine.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 7:49 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

All,

For those of you coming into this email chain you are here now for accountability and as witnesses. Bayshore Navigation has threatened too many lives and this must end.

I'll quickly plead for all that remain here at Bayshore Navigation ( not many ) as my life, and all these lives that are still under Mr. Chase's dictation are in danger and deserve to be so much safer and taken care of. There is no way to self mitigate or dodge infection when you are up against a director that doesn't send people to quarantine or one that only starts mitigation when the whistle blows.

My "driver" has always been human safety, not just mine but all citizens here and everywhere. The gross negligence in care during this covid pandemic by Mr. Chase has been documented. Mandatory mitigations have been made ( grateful for those ) and people suffering from mental health issues have been brought back after being evicted while Mr. Chase was off campus.

My "complaints" as Mr. Chase stated made things happen in order to help save people from possible infections and from infecting others. Unfortunately, as you've all been a part to witness, Mr. Chase believes somehow that the environment that he creates is a safe one, but evidence shows a much different and disturbing lack of that safety, of mitigation and also shows very negligent care among persons with disabilities.

He is in control of public health, yet he broke a mandate and threw a holiday party, inviting infection spread onto both parties in attendance, possibly creating a superspreader event.

This is not responsible behavior of a director of a Navigation Center for a community housing development. City Life Church was here for a photo op and served some hot food, which is also lacking here and it was a meal, that I simply took, ate quickly by myself, until a

friend Mario sat down, I sat with him less than 5 minutes, then returned to the community room by myself to be with my dog. For the record.

I am a victim of corruption, conspiracy, and terror. I am a victim of civil rights violations of due process. I lost my entire 400 K investment, then my car, then my condo, then my possessions fighting for justice, and that is coming. It has to. Too many high rankers have broken too many laws and got caught. Because I caught them. I've been pleading for my safety for 20 months at least.

I am scared shitless of retaliation, because that's what the PS Government did to me. Evidence points to two PS Gov't officials having everything to do with the attempt on my life. I've survived though, this challenge with Mr. Chase, Five Keys, DPH is a big one due to I have no escape.

Underlying in Mr. Chase's emails is that same tone. His emails show no empathy, no action, it's as if he's incapable of it. He just points his finger to his "door" and says go find someplace else.

Mr. Chase you are here because I am transparent and also because if you fuck with my safety any further and I am not in quarantine or placed into an SIP room, away from the constant coughing of others that should be quarantined on the first sign of a cough! Of the constant cold air harassment in the dorm (there hasn't been heat for 7 weeks now) and your delusions and personal harassment, you are not, in any way, above the law.

I beg with those that do govern with care to get us out of here, into safety and care, before someone dies because of this man's tyranny.

With hope, Shad Fenton

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 5:52 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Your email does not answer when my transfer request is coming through to quarantine.

I was transferred into this shelter with a statement it was safe. I have stated to you over and over again that I do not feel safe here, and have proven why.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 4:54 PM Tony Chase

<[tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)> wrote:

Mr. Fenton,

Merry Christmas to you!

I don't understand, because you were seen right there enjoying the festivities that were given safely and for everybody's

enjoyment! But, since this is the 84th one of your critical emails, I can only remind you that you are free to go find you a place that YOU will feel safe at, since it is not here. My intention is to keep the environment safe for all, including for you, but I hope that you will find what you are driven to look for.  
Thank you and Happy Holidays!

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 2:39 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, It's now 2:27 PM. Your supervisors and staff know of my request to quarantine. I have yet to hear back from you. Please respond when I will be transferred.

Thank You,

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 9:02 AM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Due to your negligence in care, the fact you threw an illegal Christmas Party during lockdown and involved members of City Life Church, AND the constant coughing from possible Covid 19 citizens here, last night being one of many.

(The bed neighbor was coughing so loudly and continuously he woke many of us up at 4 AM. That recording is also attached here)

I am requesting to go into quarantine for the entire time an incubation cycle occurs according to the CDC.

*The incubation period for COVID-19 is thought to extend to **14 days**, with a median time of **4-5 days** from exposure to symptoms onset. One study reported that 97.5% of people with COVID-19 who have symptoms will do so within 11.5 days of **SARS-CoV-2** infection.*

I will notify your staff as such.

--



TONY CHASE

DIRECTOR,  
BAYSHORE NAVIGATION CENTER

D: 415.596.1475

O: 415.920.8920

F: 415.734.3314

E: [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)

A: 5125 Bayshore Blvd., San Francisco, CA  
94124

W: [www.fivekeys.org](http://www.fivekeys.org)

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [tonyc@fivekeys.org](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [John Warner](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Request to quarantine  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 12:46:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have stated this previously. I am involved in an FBI investigation into corruption, attempted murder, and civil rights violations amongst many other crimes committed against me and other businesses and individuals in Palm Springs by mafia and their aligned corrupt PSPD, PSFD and government actors. That investigation follows me everywhere. Please trust that.

On Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 12:35 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE:

Mr. Chase confronted me with hostility in front of three witnesses in the common kitchen with his statement he would not communicate with me through email.

Then walked out back into his area. I then went into the offices, confronted him. at least 5 witnesses were surrounding. I stated his job was to communicate with me. He said if you want to communicate man to man..etc.. I said sure. I would like my case file printed today. He said no. They were busy. I said I've been asking for that for three weeks now.

I stated "are you being honest with your staff here"

I said do I need to call the police to get my case file printed, he said, "go ahead, would you like to use my phone?"

There is no safety for anyone here, especially for me, who has reported and has documented the herd immunity and negligence of care that he as well as DPH are absolutely accountable for.

Mr. Chase also stated in the common kitchen in front of three witnesses that he doesn't answer any emails.

On Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 11:19 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase

I've requested my case file from Charles three times now. He stated that is up to you and that he didn't have the ability to only print my case file, he would have to print them all.

Please have my entire case file printed for me where I can pick it up at the front desk no later than tomorrow.

On Sun, Jan 10, 2021 at 2:02 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: Another day of freezing cold air blowing on us. Staff continue to have space heaters at their desks running the entire day and night while the rest of us are continually harassed by the cold air. This is not a call out to staff being allowed, they are afflicted as well. Five Keys, CCSF, Tony



Chase and all Directors above him are in violation of providing a safe and comfortable work environment.

Five Keys is a business.

I've requested shaving razors for days now, still none, although Mr. Chase can purchase cases of single use Kurig Peet's Coffee pods for himself and staff.

Hygiene kits disappeared right before the recent pandemic wave and lockdown.

This is real harassment for the sake of keeping citizens out of this shelter and not wanting to return, all due to the fact the CCSF, Five Keys, DPH, cannot keep citizens in harm's way and keep exposing them to possible covid positive cases without providing any sort of mitigation.

I state cannot, but yet they still are.

Lives are in grave danger here and yet many of us are still here.

Requesting Quarantine, Requesting transfer into safety.

On Sat, Jan 9, 2021 at 11:21 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE, 56 DEGREES was the reading interior temp.

Human lives are in danger here with the continued agitation of harassing cold air blowing at us all day and night, lack of sleep caused from trying to stay warm. An altercation was documented last night between 4 citizens here, all over the use of ear pods vs. not using them in a congregate environment.

One staff member responded, assuming due to staff shortages.

REQUESTING A TRANSFER INTO SAFETY.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 11:56 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE with Case Worker Charles at Bayshore Navigation Center.

I just met briefly with Charles, and repeated my request for my case file. His response is he can't print just my case, he would have to print everyones. I stated, get with Mr. Chase to get it done.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 8:59 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE on Bayshore Navigation Center this morning:

Still cold air blowing directly on us. NO HEAT at all this winter. NO HEAT in the showers, NO heat in the bathrooms.

The only place to find heating is in the offices of Director Tony Chase and his staff and the small common kitchen where none of us should be hanging out in the first place. With that it's another attempt to herd us all into one room.

For some insane reason, staff have been told they can't use the microwaves here to warm up

our cold meals, although staff uses them for theirs. If the microwaves can't be used, then remove the damn microwaves. A citizen here got very upset this morning about that, and an employee called in a supervisor who stated that "they told us we can't use the microwave" whoever "they" are is a mystery.

On Wed, Jan 6, 2021 at 9:53 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE 56 Degrees this morning is the interior temp of my phone in this room. Phone was guarded from intense cold air blowing directly on it, as it does day and night here.

Citizen Nicole very vocally agitated this morning. Human lives are in grave danger here at Bayshore Navigation Center due to lack of care, negligence in care, forced cold air, and none are able to safely SIP away from Covid 19, violence, dictatorship values, beliefs about Covid 19 from those in charge of our lives.

On Sun, Jan 3, 2021 at 3:05 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Citizens are still coughing, Still very cold air blowing on us.

Meal program looks to be a sham. Same exact warm meals in less than a week. Same cold meals in less than two days. Breakfast meal boxes are hit and miss with products. Where is the calendar of the meal program from Meals on Wheels Posted for guests?

If this seems petty compared to the crimes of public endangerment, what I am documenting is all endangerment. Citizens here are agitated and it's only getting worse.

On Sat, Jan 2, 2021 at 10:20 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: 56 degrees with cold air blowing.

Citizens still coughing.

On Fri, Jan 1, 2021 at 8:22 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am aware of the recent sexual harassment allegations of a citizen here charged against a member of your supervisory team, I'm also aware of your criminal suggestion to have a meeting with all three of you to talk it through.

You are not above the law Mr. Chase, and you will be held accountable. Please refrain from harassing, or denying that vulnerable kid any more of his rights.

Sexual Harassment Charges

No Mitigation until I blew the whistle

Denied Transparency of positive Covid 19 Cases

No heat in the Dorm all winter. This morning, temperature read 56, temperature right now reads 59 at 8:10 PM

Cold meals in the mornings and night  
Illegal Christmas Party thrown during lockdown and against government mandates.  
'if you don't like it, there's the door"  
Warden / prisoner structure.  
Former prisoners working 16 hour shifts 100 plus work weeks without a real Director  
that cares for human safety.

The worse..is this government's response to it all. A government that leaves humans  
in harm's way trying to figure out how to cover what they did is corrupt, but more  
importantly, all of those officials whose jobs are to protect and serve, become  
criminals.

It's a New Year, a new start, do the right thing please.  
Get us out of here and into safe environments where we can mitigate, and where  
those that need care, can receive it.

On Thu, Dec 31, 2020 at 5:33 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Human lives are in danger. Guest in Bed 53  
Mr. Chase, the man in bed 53 has been coughing non stop for days. I've recorded  
it. He does not deserve to die here from your lack of care and freezing cold air  
being blown on him while he is ill. WE do not deserve to be exposed to this virus  
since you've made it apparent that you are not disclosing nor are transparent of  
covid positive cases here.

Please walk yourself over to the dorm and take this man to the ER where he can be  
cared for since there is no medical staff on duty whatsoever. You sneak in and out  
of the back gate and not once check in on any citizens that are under your  
supervision.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:41 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE and plea for Mr. Chase, All

Two days ago this came into my inbox:

Good morning everyone,

There is a new housing development on the [Dahlia San Francisco Housing Portal](#) called Broadway Cove with 93 available units. The  
deadline to apply is January 13. If any of your clients are interested  
in applying, please have them call us at either 415-651-7824 or  
415-431-1180. They can also email us  
at [resourceroom@womensbuilding.org](mailto:resourceroom@womensbuilding.org) with their name and phone  
number.

We can help them make an account, fill out the application, and  
answer any questions. Clients may also apply on their own. Please  
see the flyer attached and feel free to share it.

Warm regards,

--

Logo



**Daniela Flores**

Programs Assistant

[Pronouns: She/her](#)

phone: + 415 651 2776

ext. 7224

email: [daniela@womensbuilding.org](mailto:daniela@womensbuilding.org)

3543 18th St #8

San Francisco, CA 94110



I am pleading that I can apply to get a one bedroom. It would offer me and my boston terrier Grayson a place to live and a start to rebuild our lives.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:06 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Yesterday DPH Nurse and Tech were here, the Dr. WAS NOT.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 9:54 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: HUMAN LIVES IN GRAVE DANGER.

THREE CITIZENS SICK WITH COUGHS HERE THIS MORNING

Was told this morning by supervising staff when asking about the heat again, that there was a work order in to fix it.

WHY WAS THERE PUBLIC WORKS EMPLOYEES HERE OVER 2

WEEKS AGO SHUTTING OFF THE ROOF VENTS?

WHY CAN'T THE FANS BLOWING THE FREEZING COLD AIR JUST BE SHUT OFF?

Was told two nights ago by medically untrained night staff that "a cough could be any cough, not necessarily Covid."

Last night I received a temperature check at 9 pm. Was told my temp was 93. I stated, that can't be I'd be dead.

THERE ARE NO MEDICALLY TRAINED STAFF ADMINISTERING TESTS ONLY UNTRAINED SECURITY HERE AT NIGHT.

Please get us all into safety, citizens here are very agitated FROM THE NEGLIGENCE OF CARE, AND SLEEPING IN THE COLD.

On Sun, Dec 27, 2020 at 9:24 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

I am still waiting for my transport to quarantine. It's 57 degrees in the dorm from the reading from my phone. My phone is left alone underneath my bed, exposed.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 4:00 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am still waiting to be sent to quarantine.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 9:36 AM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Still waiting on my requested transfer to quarantine.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 7:49 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

All,

For those of you coming into this email chain you are here now for accountability and as witnesses. Bayshore Navigation has threatened too many lives and this must end.

I'll quickly plead for all that remain here at Bayshore Navigation ( not many ) as my life, and all these lives that are still under Mr. Chase's dictation are in danger and deserve to be so much safer and taken care of. There is no way to self mitigate or dodge infection when you are up against a director that doesn't send people to quarantine or one that only starts mitigation when the whistle blows.

My "driver" has always been human safety, not just mine but all citizens here and everywhere. The gross negligence in care during this covid pandemic by Mr. Chase has been documented. Mandatory mitigations have been made ( grateful for those ) and people suffering from mental health issues have been brought back after being evicted while Mr. Chase was off campus.

My "complaints" as Mr. Chase stated made things happen in order to help save people from possible infections and from infecting others. Unfortunately, as you've all been a part to witness, Mr. Chase believes somehow that the environment that he creates is a safe one, but evidence shows a much different and disturbing lack of that safety, of mitigation and also shows very negligent care among persons with disabilities.

He is in control of public health, yet he broke a mandate and threw a holiday party, inviting infection spread onto both parties in attendance, possibly creating a superspreader event.

This is not responsible behavior of a director of a Navigation Center for a community housing development. City Life Church was here for a photo op and served some hot food, which is also lacking here and it was a meal, that I simply took, ate quickly by myself, until a friend Mario sat down, I sat with him less than 5 minutes, then returned to the community room by myself to be with my dog. For the record.

I am a victim of corruption, conspiracy, and terror. I am a victim of civil rights violations of due process. I lost my entire 400 K investment, then my car, then my condo, then my possessions fighting for justice, and that is coming. It has to. Too many high rankers have broken too many laws and got caught. Because I caught them. I've been pleading for my safety for 20 months at least.

I am scared shitless of retaliation, because that's what the PS Government did to me. Evidence points to two PS Gov't officials having everything to do with the attempt on my life. I've survived though, this challenge with Mr. Chase, Five Keys, DPH is a big one due to I have no escape.

Underlying in Mr. Chase's emails is that same tone. His emails show no empathy, no action, it's as if he's incapable of it. He just points his finger to his "door" and says go find someplace else.

Mr. Chase you are here because I am transparent and also because if you fuck with my safety any further and I am not in quarantine or placed into an SIP room, away from the constant coughing of others that should be quarantined on the first sign of a cough! Of the constant cold air harassment in the dorm (there hasn't been heat for 7 weeks now) and your delusions and personal harassment, you are not, in any way, above the law.

I beg with those that do govern with care to get us out of here, into safety and care, before someone dies because of this man's tyranny.

With hope, Shad Fenton

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 5:52 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Your email does not answer when my transfer request is coming through to quarantine.

I was transferred into this shelter with a statement it was safe. I have stated to you over and over again that I do not feel safe here, and have proven why.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 4:54 PM Tony Chase

<[tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)> wrote:

Mr. Fenton,

Merry Christmas to you!

I don't understand, because you were seen right there enjoying the festivities that were given safely and for everybody's enjoyment! But, since this is the 84th one of your critical emails, I can only remind you that you are free to go find you a place that YOU will feel safe at, since it is not here. My intention is to keep the environment safe for all, including for you, but I hope that you will find what you are driven to look for.

Thank you and Happy Holidays!

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 2:39 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, It's now 2:27 PM. Your supervisors and staff know of my request to quarantine. I have yet to hear back from you. Please respond when I will be transferred.

Thank You,

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 9:02 AM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Due to your negligence in care, the fact you threw an illegal Christmas Party during lockdown and involved members of City Life Church, AND the constant coughing from possible Covid 19 citizens here, last night being one of many.

(The bed neighbor was coughing so loudly and continuously he woke many of us up at 4 AM. That recording is also attached here)

I am requesting to go into quarantine for the entire time an incubation cycle occurs according to the CDC.

*The incubation period for COVID-19 is thought to extend to **14 days**, with a median time of **4-5 days** from exposure to symptoms onset. One study reported that 97.5% of people with COVID-19 who have symptoms will do so within 11.5 days of **SARS-CoV-2** infection.*

I will notify your staff as such.

--



TONY CHASE

DIRECTOR,  
BAYSHORE NAVIGATION CENTER

D: 415.596.1475

O: 415.920.8920

F: 415.734.3314

E: [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)

A: 5125 Bayshore Blvd., San Francisco, CA  
94124

W: [www.fivekeys.org](http://www.fivekeys.org)

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [John Warner](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Request to quarantine  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:27:46 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## UPDATE: VIOLATIONS OF CIVIL RIGHTS

Mr. Chase and Charles, my case worker, are in violation of my civil rights of access to my records. Two community care representatives whose jobs are to direct care upon me have violated my civil rights.

<https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/righttoaccessmemo.pdf>

Respectfully requesting a safe transfer into an SIP room or hotel where I can mitigate my Covid responsibilities.

On Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 12:45 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

I have stated this previously. I am involved in an FBI investigation into corruption, attempted murder, and civil rights violations amongst many other crimes committed against me and other businesses and individuals in Palm Springs by mafia and their aligned corrupt PSPD, PSFD and government actors. That investigation follows me everywhere. Please trust that.

On Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 12:35 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE:

Mr. Chase confronted me with hostility in front of three witnesses in the common kitchen with his statement he would not communicate with me through email.

Then walked out back into his area. I then went into the offices, confronted him. at least 5 witnesses were surrounding. I stated his job was to communicate with me. He said if you want to communicate man to man..etc.. I said sure. I would like my case file printed today. He said no. They were busy. I said I've been asking for that for three weeks now.

I stated "are you being honest with your staff here"

I said do I need to call the police to get my case file printed, he said, "go ahead, would you like to use my phone?"

There is no safety for anyone here, especially for me, who has reported and has documented the herd immunity and negligence of care that he as well as DPH are absolutely accountable for.

Mr. Chase also stated in the common kitchen in front of three witnesses that he doesn't answer any emails.

On Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 11:19 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase



I've requested my case file from Charles three times now. He stated that is up to you and that he didn't have the ability to only print my case file, he would have to print them all.

Please have my entire case file printed for me where I can pick it up at the front desk no later than tomorrow.

On Sun, Jan 10, 2021 at 2:02 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: Another day of freezing cold air blowing on us. Staff continue to have space heaters at their desks running the entire day and night while the rest of us are continually harassed by the cold air. This is not a call out to staff being allowed, they are afflicted as well. Five Keys, CCSF, Tony Chase and all Directors above him are in violation of providing a safe and comfortable work environment.

Five Keys is a business.

I've requested shaving razors for days now, still none, although Mr. Chase can purchase cases of single use Kurig Peet's Coffee pods for himself and staff.

Hygiene kits disappeared right before the recent pandemic wave and lockdown.

This is real harassment for the sake of keeping citizens out of this shelter and not wanting to return, all due to the fact the CCSF, Five Keys, DPH, cannot keep citizens in harm's way and keep exposing them to possible covid positive cases without providing any sort of mitigation.

I state cannot, but yet they still are.

Lives are in grave danger here and yet many of us are still here.

Requesting Quarantine, Requesting transfer into safety.

On Sat, Jan 9, 2021 at 11:21 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE, 56 DEGREES was the reading interior temp.

Human lives are in danger here with the continued agitation of harassing cold air blowing at us all day and night, lack of sleep caused from trying to stay warm. An altercation was documented last night between 4 citizens here, all over the use of ear pods vs. not using them in a congregate environment.

One staff member responded, assuming due to staff shortages.

REQUESTING A TRANSFER INTO SAFETY.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 11:56 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE with Case Worker Charles at Bayshore Navigation Center.

I just met briefly with Charles, and repeated my request for my case file. His response is he can't print just my case, he would have to print everyone's. I stated, get with Mr. Chase to get it done.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 8:59 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE on Bayshore Navigation Center this morning:

Still cold air blowing directly on us. NO HEAT at all this winter. NO HEAT in the showers, NO heat in the bathrooms.

The only place to find heating is in the offices of Director Tony Chase and his staff and the small common kitchen where none of us should be hanging out in the first place. With that it's another attempt to herd us all into one room.

For some insane reason, staff have been told they can't use the microwaves here to warm up our cold meals, although staff uses them for theirs. If the microwaves can't be used, then remove the damn microwaves. A citizen here got very upset this morning about that, and an employee called in a supervisor who stated that "they told us we can't use the microwave" whoever "they" are is a mystery.

On Wed, Jan 6, 2021 at 9:53 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE 56 Degrees this morning is the interior temp of my phone in this room. Phone was guarded from intense cold air blowing directly on it, as it does day and night here.

Citizen Nicole very vocally agitated this morning. Human lives are in grave danger here at Bayshore Navigation Center due to lack of care, negligence in care, forced cold air, and none are able to safely SIP away from Covid 19, violence, dictatorship values, beliefs about Covid 19 from those in charge of our lives.

On Sun, Jan 3, 2021 at 3:05 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Citizens are still coughing, Still very cold air blowing on us.

Meal program looks to be a sham. Same exact warm meals in less than a week. Same cold meals in less than two days. Breakfast meal boxes are hit and miss with products. Where is the calendar of the meal program from Meals on Wheels Posted for guests?

If this seems petty compared to the crimes of public endangerment, what I am documenting is all endangerment. Citizens here are agitated and it's only getting worse.

On Sat, Jan 2, 2021 at 10:20 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: 56 degrees with cold air blowing.

Citizens still coughing.

On Fri, Jan 1, 2021 at 8:22 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am aware of the recent sexual harassment allegations of a citizen here charged against a member of your supervisory team, I'm also aware of your criminal suggestion to have a meeting with all three of you to talk it through.

You are not above the law Mr. Chase, and you will be held accountable. Please refrain from harassing, or denying that vulnerable kid any more of his rights.

Sexual Harassment Charges

No Mitigation until I blew the whistle

Denied Transparency of positive Covid 19 Cases

No heat in the Dorm all winter. This morning, temperature read 56, temperature right now reads 59 at 8:10 PM

Cold meals in the mornings and night

Illegal Christmas Party thrown during lockdown and against government mandates. 'if you don't like it, there's the door"

Warden / prisoner structure.

Former prisoners working 16 hour shifts 100 plus work weeks without a real Director that cares for human safety.

The worse..is this government's response to it all. A government that leaves humans in harm's way trying to figure out how to cover what they did is corrupt, but more importantly, all of those officials whose jobs are to protect and serve, become criminals.

It's a New Year, a new start, do the right thing please.

Get us out of here and into safe environments where we can mitigate, and where those that need care, can receive it.

On Thu, Dec 31, 2020 at 5:33 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Human lives are in danger. Guest in Bed 53

Mr. Chase, the man in bed 53 has been coughing non stop for days. I've recorded it. He does not deserve to die here from your lack of care and freezing cold air being blown on him while he is ill. WE do not deserve to be exposed to this virus since you've made it apparent that you are not disclosing nor are transparent of covid positive cases here.

Please walk yourself over to the dorm and take this man to the ER where he can be cared for since there is no medical staff on duty whatsoever. You sneak in and out of the back gate and not once check in on any citizens that are under your supervision.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:41 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE and plea for Mr. Chase, All

Two days ago this came into my inbox:

Good morning everyone,

There is a new housing development on the [Dahlia San Francisco Housing Portal](#) called Broadway Cove with 93 available units. The deadline to apply is January 13. If any of your clients are interested in applying, please have them call us at either 415-651-7824 or 415-431-1180. They can also email us at [resourceroom@womensbuilding.org](mailto:resourceroom@womensbuilding.org) with their name and phone number.

We can help them make an account, fill out the application, and answer any questions. Clients may also apply on their own. Please see the flyer attached and feel free to share it.

Warm regards,

--



**Daniela Flores**

Programs Assistant

[Pronouns: She/her](#)

phone: + 415 651 2776

ext. 7224

email: [daniela@womensbuilding.org](mailto:daniela@womensbuilding.org)

3543 18th St #8

San Francisco, CA 94110



I am pleading that I can apply to get a one bedroom. It would offer me and my boston terrier Grayson a place to live and a start to rebuild our lives.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:06 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Yesterday DPH Nurse and Tech were here, the Dr. WAS NOT.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 9:54 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: HUMAN LIVES IN GRAVE DANGER.

THREE CITIZENS SICK WITH COUGHS HERE THIS MORNING

Was told this morning by supervising staff when asking about the heat again, that there was a work order in to fix it.

WHY WAS THERE PUBLIC WORKS EMPLOYEES HERE OVER 2 WEEKS AGO SHUTTING OFF THE ROOF VENTS?

WHY CAN'T THE FANS BLOWING THE FREEZING COLD AIR JUST BE SHUT OFF?

Was told two nights ago by medically untrained night staff that "a cough could be any cough, not necessarily Covid."

Last night I received a temperature check at 9 pm. Was told my temp was 93. I stated, that can't be I'd be dead.

THERE ARE NO MEDICALLY TRAINED STAFF ADMINISTERING TESTS ONLY UNTRAINED SECURITY HERE AT NIGHT.

Please get us all into safety, citizens here are very agitated FROM THE NEGLIGENCE OF CARE, AND SLEEPING IN THE COLD.

On Sun, Dec 27, 2020 at 9:24 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

I am still waiting for my transport to quarantine. It's 57 degrees in the dorm from the reading from my phone. My phone is left alone underneath my bed, exposed.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 4:00 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am still waiting to be sent to quarantine.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 9:36 AM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Still waiting on my requested transfer to quarantine.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 7:49 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

All,

For those of you coming into this email chain you are here now for accountability and as witnesses. Bayshore Navigation has threatened too many lives and this must end.

I'll quickly plead for all that remain here at Bayshore Navigation ( not many ) as my life, and all these lives that are still under Mr. Chase's dictation are in danger and deserve to be so much safer and taken care of. There is no way to self mitigate or dodge infection when you are up against a director that doesn't send people to quarantine or one that only starts mitigation when the whistle blows.

My "driver" has always been human safety, not just mine but all citizens here and everywhere. The gross negligence in care during this covid pandemic by Mr. Chase has been documented. Mandatory mitigations have been made ( grateful for those ) and people suffering from mental health issues have been brought back after being evicted while Mr. Chase was off campus.

My "complaints" as Mr. Chase stated made things happen in order to help save people from possible infections and from infecting others. Unfortunately, as you've all been a part to witness, Mr. Chase believes somehow that the environment that he creates is a safe one, but evidence shows a much different and disturbing lack of that safety, of mitigation and also shows very negligent care among persons with disabilities.

He is in control of public health, yet he broke a mandate and threw a holiday party, inviting infection spread onto both parties in attendance, possibly creating a superspreader event.

This is not responsible behavior of a director of a Navigation Center for a community housing development. City Life Church was here for a photo op and served some hot food, which is also lacking here and it was a meal, that I simply took, ate quickly by myself, until a friend Mario sat down, I sat with him less than 5 minutes, then returned to the community room by myself to be with my dog. For the record.

I am a victim of corruption, conspiracy, and terror. I am a victim of civil rights violations of due process. I lost my entire 400 K investment, then my car, then my condo, then my possessions fighting for justice, and that is coming. It has to. Too many high rankers have broken too many laws and got caught. Because I caught them. I've been pleading for my safety for 20 months at least.

I am scared shitless of retaliation, because that's what the PS Government did to me. Evidence points to two PS Gov't officials having everything to do with the attempt on my life. I've survived though, this challenge with Mr. Chase, Five Keys, DPH is a big one due to I have no escape.

Underlying in Mr. Chase's emails is that same tone. His emails show no empathy, no action, it's as if he's incapable of it. He just points his finger to his "door" and says go find someplace else.

Mr. Chase you are here because I am transparent and also because if you fuck with my safety any further and I am not in quarantine or placed into an SIP room, away from the constant coughing of others that should be quarantined on the first sign of a cough! Of the constant cold air harassment in the dorm (there hasn't been heat for 7 weeks now) and your delusions and personal harassment, you are not, in any way, above the law.

I beg with those that do govern with care to get us out of here, into safety and care, before someone dies because of this man's tyranny.

With hope, Shad Fenton

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 5:52 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,  
Your email does not answer when my transfer request is coming through to quarantine.

I was transferred into this shelter with a statement it was safe. I have stated to you over and over again that I do not feel safe here, and have proven why.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 4:54 PM Tony Chase

<[tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)> wrote:

Mr. Fenton,

Merry Christmas to you!

I don't understand, because you were seen right there enjoying the festivities that were given safely and for everybody's enjoyment! But, since this is the 84th one of your critical emails, I can only remind you that you are free to go find you a place that YOU will feel safe at, since it is not here. My intention is to keep the environment safe for all, including for you, but I hope that you will find what you are driven to look for.

Thank you and Happy Holidays!

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 2:39 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, It's now 2:27 PM. Your supervisors and staff know of my request to quarantine. I have yet to hear back from you. Please respond when I will be transferred.

Thank You,

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 9:02 AM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Due to your negligence in care, the fact you threw an illegal Christmas Party during lockdown and involved members of City Life Church, AND the constant coughing from possible Covid 19 citizens here, last night being one of many.

(The bed neighbor was coughing so loudly and continuously he woke many of us up at 4 AM. That recording is also attached here)

I am requesting to go into quarantine for the entire time an incubation cycle occurs according to the CDC.

*The incubation period for COVID-19 is thought to extend to **14 days**, with a median time of **4-5 days** from exposure to symptoms onset. One study reported that 97.5% of people with COVID-19 who have symptoms will do so within 11.5 days of **SARS-CoV-2** infection.*

I will notify your staff as such.

--



TONY CHASE

DIRECTOR,  
BAYSHORE NAVIGATION CENTER

D: 415.596.1475

O: 415.920.8920

F: 415.734.3314

E: [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)

A: 5125 Bayshore Blvd., San Francisco, CA  
94124

W: [www.fivekeys.org](http://www.fivekeys.org)

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](mailto:james.queally@latimes.com); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** URGENT: Civil Rights Violations Bayshore Navigation Center CCSF / Five Keys / Director Tony Chase /DPH  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 10:34:42 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed, Supervisors, Dr. Imbert, All

Media is copied on this email.

Yesterday, Director Tony Chase violated my civil right to copies of my case record at Bayshore by denying me that copy. The same copy I have requested, but been denied now for three weeks.

The CCSF / DPH / Five Keys / Tony Chase / SF Sheriff's Dept are in violation of the following:

Cal Con Article 1 Section 7

(a) A person may not be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law or denied equal protection of the laws;

CCSF / DPW / HOTTEAM / Five Keys / Tony Chase are in violation of the following:  
Article 1 Section 1

All people are by nature free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness, and privacy.

I allege that there isn't a case file for me here at Five Keys, because if they started one and continued to add to it, Director Chase, Five Keys, CCSF, DPH, Charles would be accountable for tracking me and my care.

That's just a start of what I can bring into a lawsuit against all contracted government actors in these violations I allege. We all deserve and have rights to safety, to being kept from harm, to follow mandates and not be harassed when we question, when we report abuses, or when we know our rights are being violated.

All here, I am not alone here. It's everyone in this shelter, everyone in every congregate shelter run by dictators who deny care.

Calvin Curtiss the victim of sexual harassment here, has yet to hear a word or have a check in by Director Tony Chase about what transpired between his concerns of sexual harassment. And Mr. Curtiss told me that he heard that his aggressor was just sent home.

If you think that Mr. Curtiss has a case file in it with that grievance, Please have Tony Chase and his aligned Case Workers here prove that and moreso, prove that he's received after care.

Mr. Curtiss has been here for months now, and it was me, NOT HIS CASE WORKER, that helped him sign up for General Assistance the other day.



I have continually stated and requested a mental health care provider SINCE I WAS TRANSFERRED INTO HERE 4 MONTHS AGO.

Everyone here must be transferred into safe, SIP environments where their civil rights cannot be violated or their "CARE" be treated by a dictatorship.

Human lives are in grave danger here at Bayshore Navigation due to the lack of care, negligence in mitigation, and civil rights violations.

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](mailto:james.queally@latimes.com); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: URGENT: Civil Rights Violations Bayshore Navigation Center CCSF / Five Keys / Director Tony Chase /DPH  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:20:49 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Yesterday, Mr. Chase voiced the following harassing statements to me and in front of at least 5 staff witnesses:

if you want to talk man to man

"We're busy" referring to my request for a copy of my health record. The same record I have requested verbally and backed up in writing for the last three weeks

Constant cold air blows on me and every other citizen and employee here day and night in the dorm room and there has been no heat whatsoever. Not in the dorm, not in the community room, not in the showers or bathrooms. ONLY IN Mr. Chase's offices and in the dining room.

Citizens have been removed and evicted at all hours without due diligence, without proper medically trained staff present, then suddenly returned when I sent emails of concern and liability.

A citizen that experienced sexual harassment here AND outside of the center by an employee has been denied care. Instead, Mr. Chase suggested the three of them to meet and talk about it. The Director of Care at Bayshore suggested to place a victim in front of the man he accused to 'talk it though'

Herd Immunity techniques were, and still are being used here at Bayshore Navigation Center.

ENOUGH IS ENOUGH

Dr. Imbert, ALL, Please help get us all into safety before someone dies from harassment, negligence, eviction, or the CCSF, DPH become more liable for continued human civil rights violations.

On Tue, Jan 12, 2021 at 10:33 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mayor Breed, Supervisors, Dr. Imbert, All

Media is copied on this email.

Yesterday, Director Tony Chase violated my civil right to copies of my case record at Bayshore by denying me that copy. The same copy I have requested, but been denied now for three weeks.

The CCSF / DPH / Five Keys / Tony Chase / SF Sheriff's Dept are in violation of the following:

Cal Con Article 1 Section 7

(a) A person may not be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law or denied equal protection of the laws;

CCSF / DPW / HOTTEAM / Five Keys / Tony Chase are in violation of the following:  
Article 1 Section 1

All people are by nature free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness, and privacy.

I allege that there isn't a case file for me here at Five Keys, because if they started one and continued to add to it, Director Chase, Five Keys, CCSF, DPH, Charles would be accountable for tracking me and my care.

That's just a start of what I can bring into a lawsuit against all contracted government actors in these violations I allege. We all deserve and have rights to safety, to being kept from harm, to follow mandates and not be harassed when we question, when we report abuses, or when we know our rights are being violated.

All here, I am not alone here. It's everyone in this shelter, everyone in every congregate shelter run by dictators who deny care.

Calvin Curtiss the victim of sexual harassment here, has yet to hear a word or have a check in by Director Tony Chase about what transpired between his concerns of sexual harassment. And Mr. Curtiss told me that he heard that his aggressor was just sent home.

If you think that Mr. Curtiss has a case file in it with that grievance, Please have Tony Chase and his aligned Case Workers here prove that and moreso, prove that he's received after care.

Mr. Curtiss has been here for months now, and it was me, NOT HIS CASE WORKER, that helped him sign up for General Assistance the other day.

I have continually stated and requested a mental health care provider SINCE I WAS TRANSFERRED INTO HERE 4 MONTHS AGO.

Everyone here must be transferred into safe, SIP environments where their civil rights cannot be violated or their "CARE" be treated by a dictatorship.

Human lives are in grave danger here at Bayshore Navigation due to the lack of care, negligence in mitigation, and civil rights violations.

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](mailto:james.queally@latimes.com); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: URGENT: Civil Rights Violations Bayshore Navigation Center CCSF / Five Keys / Director Tony Chase /DPH  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 10:06:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## UPDATE: Five Keys

Today Nicole who has mental health needs was removed from the dorm. There was an altercation outside with a Five Keys Supervisor / another man, and Charles caseworker standing in the distance. No presence of Director Tony Chase. I went out to see how she was. she was very upset, waiting for transportation. She stated her civil rights were being violated again.

On Tue, Jan 12, 2021 at 11:20 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Yesterday, Mr. Chase voiced the following harassing statements to me and in front of at least 5 staff witnesses:

if you want to talk man to man

"We're busy" referring to my request for a copy of my health record. The same record I have requested verbally and backed up in writing for the last three weeks

Constant cold air blows on me and every other citizen and employee here day and night in the dorm room and there has been no heat whatsoever. Not in the dorm, not in the community room, not in the showers or bathrooms. ONLY IN Mr. Chase's offices and in the dining room.

Citizens have been removed and evicted at all hours without due diligence, without proper medically trained staff present, then suddenly returned when I sent emails of concern and liability.

A citizen that experienced sexual harassment here AND outside of the center by an employee has been denied care. Instead, Mr. Chase suggested the three of them to meet and talk about it. The Director of Care at Bayshore suggested to place a victim in front of the man he accused to 'talk it though'

Herd Immunity techniques were, and still are being used here at Bayshore Navigation Center.

ENOUGH IS ENOUGH

Dr. Imbert, ALL, Please help get us all into safety before someone dies from harassment, negligence, eviction, or the CCSF, DPH become more liable for continued human civil rights violations.

On Tue, Jan 12, 2021 at 10:33 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Mayor Breed, Supervisors, Dr. Imbert, All

Media is copied on this email.

Yesterday, Director Tony Chase violated my civil right to copies of my case record at Bayshore by denying me that copy. The same copy I have requested, but been denied now for three weeks.

The CCSF / DPH / Five Keys / Tony Chase / SF Sheriff's Dept are in violation of the following:

Cal Con Article 1 Section 7

(a) A person may not be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law or denied equal protection of the laws;

CCSF / DPW / HOTTEAM / Five Keys / Tony Chase are in violation of the following:

Article 1 Section 1

All people are by nature free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness, and privacy.

I allege that there isn't a case file for me here at Five Keys, because if they started one and continued to add to it, Director Chase, Five Keys, CCSF, DPH, Charles would be accountable for tracking me and my care.

That's just a start of what I can bring into a lawsuit against all contracted government actors in these violations I allege. We all deserve and have rights to safety, to being kept from harm, to follow mandates and not be harassed when we question, when we report abuses, or when we know our rights are being violated.

All here, I am not alone here. It's everyone in this shelter, everyone in every congregate shelter run by dictators who deny care.

Calvin Curtiss the victim of sexual harassment here, has yet to hear a word or have a check in by Director Tony Chase about what transpired between his concerns of sexual harassment. And Mr. Curtiss told me that he heard that his aggressor was just sent home.

If you think that Mr. Curtiss has a case file in it with that grievance, Please have Tony Chase and his aligned Case Workers here prove that and moreso, prove that he's received after care.

Mr. Curtiss has been here for months now, and it was me, NOT HIS CASE WORKER, that helped him sign up for General Assistance the other day.

I have continually stated and requested a mental health care provider SINCE I WAS TRANSFERRED INTO HERE 4 MONTHS AGO.

Everyone here must be transferred into safe, SIP environments where their civil rights cannot be violated or their "CARE" be treated by a dictatorship.

Human lives are in grave danger here at Bayshore Navigation due to the lack of care, negligence in mitigation, and civil rights violations.

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](mailto:james.queally@latimes.com); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Covid Testing / Mitigation Response Bayshore Navigation Center / Request for quarantine  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 5:14:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

UPDATE;

Today Rapid Covid Testing was set up. Was told by DPH they are setting it up twice a week here at Bayshore and others. The test results do not go onto my medical record, instead it's an entirely different system. NOT EVERYONE IN THE SHELTER TOOK THE TEST.

Many beds are vacant, many citizens have not returned and have stayed away past the 48 hour policy.  
Only three women remain.

Director Tony Chase was not seen by myself today, and not seen at the testing by me.

On Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 11:16 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:  
UPDATE on Bayshore Navigation Center

Community member Tucker (Autistic) hasn't been seen in days. Hopefully he has been transferred to a care facility.

Still no heat anywhere but in the communal kitchen, where we aren't supposed to congregate due to mandates. Still severe agitation of citizens here. Still life threatening levels of Covid Exposure due to transient shelter and no way to SIP in quarantine.

Requesting transfer into safety and quarantine for health reasons.

On Sat, Jan 9, 2021 at 10:48 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Dr. Imbert,

Of those two attachments, the appointments stating 'missed' if you click inside them are actually appointments I kept and was tested. So I'm not understanding why they are showing up as 'missed'.

On Sat, Jan 9, 2021 at 9:54 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Dr. Imbert,  
I realize you're very busy and thank you for reading and responding to my email.

I've CC'd CCSF Gov't officials here and the media, due to the negligence of care and complete disregard for mitigation I discovered and am tracking.

My name is Shad (Beauprez) Fenton, and I am a current resident at Bayshore Navigation Center in SF. My full legal name is David Shad Beauprez.

I'm emailing you today because I am getting SFDPH My chart messages that have your name attached to Covid Testing here at the center, and you serve as the MD/Epi lead for the Shelter/Encampment Outbreak Response Team.

I have a couple of questions for you to please respond to.

Do you have records with dates that DPH performed inspections at 125 Bayshore that document that mitigation techniques were being adhered to?

Why are their individuals here with mental health and physical needs not receiving care from trained DPH staff on a daily basis? There are citizens here walking around in urine stained clothing, not showering and are a walking health risk to themselves and this entire community, including Five Keys Staff.

When a covid positive case comes forward at this center, why is it that we, the community here that are in direct contact with those positive cases, are not quarantined immediately? Too expensive?

Why is there constant cold air blowing into the dorm room, and no heat has been provided whatsoever during this winter which is creating agitation amongst the community. People here are very agitated Dr. Imbert and it's become life threatening.

Why are human lives here at Bayshore being risked daily and left in harm's way by DPH, Five Keys, and CCSF with no way to mitigate their own safety in their own SIP sheltered room where they can receive care?

I've also attached screenshots of my MY Chart testings. I received one this morning that stated I missed an appointment for testing, but that testing was voluntary as all testings have been here, so why the "missed appointment" testing tag on my chart?

If you could please help me and any others that wish to get into quarantine I would be forever grateful.

I'll await your response.

Shad Fenton





**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](#); [Cityattorney](#); [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [info@sfcityattorney.org](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [ROTHSCHILD, MATTHEW \(CAT\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Jeff Ballinger](#)  
**Subject:** URGENT : Fraud / Embezzlement of human safety and human lives Bayview Navigation Center  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 8:07:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor, City Attorney, Supervisors, All,  
I've CC'd Palm Springs City Attorney Jeff Ballinger here, because his experience is in ethics and code enforcement.

Reading the news of City Administrator Naomi Kelly's resignation and reading the words corruption scandal, brought me back almost two years ago, when I first started to learn and realize that 20 year PS City Manager David Ready was running the entire city government his way, corruptly with absolute disregard for common law, for ethics, or for human safety because of his insane greed and the insanity to reign supreme above everyone else. Justice is going to come for him and others. No one is above the law and way too many laws have been broken.

Mr. Ready uses behind the scenes corrupt harassment techniques ie. use of police to harass homeless, ie, use of his mafia pyramid to harass anyone out of Palm Springs that brought something to Palm Springs. He sure didn't want any businesses to succeed that weren't corruptible, and with me, when I figured him out, and publicly posted that I did, he wanted me dead.

This homeless experience in San Francisco is no different than what I went through with that government and those actors.

All agencies here just shoved me to the next, and when I was transferred into Bayshore, I discovered the exact same herd immunity scheme. The exact same government corruption scheme to harass homeless people so they don't stick around. Currently that scheme is running with Five Keys and I assume all others are run fraudulently, to harass, intimidate and use police to "care" for the ones that get removed and made to disappear. Five Keys use of parolees and kids on parole as employees to staff the entire shelter is unjust. Do they have the tools to care for others right out of prison?

A while back I brought up to the PS City Attorney Jeff Ballinger and the entire PS Govt and Council my contract with the city. I opened a business, paid for a business license (contract) and was supposed to receive an inspection at my place of business to make sure my space was suitable for my store to exist. I told them all that I made a commitment to the City of Palm Springs, and most importantly to the community I was going to serve, to bring in an investment, and my investment was a design gift store to give back and bring education and happiness to the world that visits.

I didn't set out to fraud, intimidate, harass, embezzle, manipulate, obtain special interests,

I set out to give. I believe that any person, business, politician, that chooses to serve others and signs a contract to provide their services should sign a simple ethics clause that they won't harm others in any way. Simple as that. If every Government Actor, every business, every citizen were accountable to obey what they signed, corruption would become a thing of the past, because of Accountability. I also believe that every citizen that chooses to land their feet into a city should come with the intent to give something to it.

Amend the city contracts, amend city employee contracts, change the city to one of safety, truth and happiness. See ethical businesses return, and with those, see the ethical community supporting them return. If a landlord, homeowner, land owner, citizen pays taxes, they have a contract. Think about that. If doing business here meant everyone signed the same ethics clause?

I swear to you all, I wake up every morning with the sole intent to give as much as I can everyday. If we all had that one simple intention, the world would have peace. And what if Tech did that as well? I'm not an ethics lawyer, I am a dreamer, but it all makes sense to me, I hope it does to all of you here as well.

Five Keys Bayshore Navigation Center and Director Tony Chase are willfully endangering human safety and human lives, while also violating civil rights. ALL lives are precious and must be cared for, in this case, every life here must be moved to safety until permanent housing can be awarded.

HERD IMMUNITY  
CIVIL RIGHTS  
HARASSMENT  
ENDANGERMENT  
HARMING OTHERS FOR GREED

Sincerely, Shad

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graft, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](#); [Cityattorney](#); [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [info@sfcityattorney.org](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [ROTHSCHILD, MATTHEW \(CAT\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Jeff Ballinger](#)  
**Subject:** Re: URGENT : Fraud / Embezzlement of human safety and human lives Bayview Navigation Center  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 9:21:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Continued: DPH is also directly and wilfully endangering human lives and are accountable for extreme negligence in the scheme to herd immunity the homeless.

Cold air still blows day and night. Citizens are still unable to escape being exposed to possible positive citizens returning and infecting the environment and possible death from becoming infected. DPH can schedule a Covid Testing everyday here, but it will make little difference unless all that shelter here are mandated to test and remain in this sheter day and night until a vaccine is distributed to all. The undeserved mental and emotional harm that is being inflicted will never disappear.

NOTE: 27 Breakfast boxes were tossed this morning, as is done everyday with the same amount of lunch and dinners, BECAUSE THERE ARE ONLY A FEW STAYING HERE AND THE OTHERS STAY AWAY BECAUSE THEY ARE WISER AND HAVE FRIENDS AND TENTS TO STAY IN AND WITH OUTSIDE OF HERE.

Two new citizens moved in recently. That's two more lives of liability from harassment, endangerment and negligence of care.

On Fri, Jan 15, 2021 at 8:06 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mayor, City Attorney, Supervisors, All,  
I've CC'd Palm Springs City Attorney Jeff Ballinger here, because his experience is in ethics and code enforcement.

Reading the news of City Administrator Naomi Kelly's resignation and reading the words corruption scandal, brought me back almost two years ago, when I first started to learn and realize that 20 year PS City Manager David Ready was running the entire city government his way, corruptly with absolute disregard for common law, for ethics, or for human safety because of his insane greed and the insanity to reign supreme above everyone else. Justice is going to come for him and others. No one is above the law and way too many laws have been broken.

Mr. Ready uses behind the scenes corrupt harassment techniques ie. use of police to harass homeless, ie, use of his mafia pyramid to harass anyone out of Palm Springs that brought something to Palm Springs. He sure didn't want any businesses to succeed that weren't corruptible, and with me, when I figured him out, and publicly posted that I did, he wanted me dead.

This homeless experience in San Francisco is no different than what I went through with that government and those actors.

All agencies here just shoved me to the next, and when I was transferred into Bayshore, I discovered the exact same herd immunity scheme. The exact same government corruption scheme to harass homeless people so they don't stick around. Currently that scheme is running with Five Keys and I assume all others are run fraudulently, to harass, intimidate and use police to "care" for the ones that get removed and made to disappear. Five Keys use of parolees and kids on parole as employees to staff the entire shelter is unjust. Do they have the tools to care for others right out of prison?

A while back I brought up to the PS City Attorney Jeff Ballinger and the entire PS Govt and Council my contract with the city. I opened a business, paid for a business license (contract) and was supposed to receive an inspection at my place of business to make sure my space was suitable for my store to exist. I told them all that I made a commitment to the City of Palm Springs, and most importantly to the community I was going to serve, to bring in an investment, and my investment was a design gift store to give back and bring education and happiness to the world that visits.

I didn't set out to fraud, intimidate, harass, embezzle, manipulate, obtain special interests, I set out to give. I believe that any person, business, politician, that chooses to serve others and signs a contract to provide their services should sign a simple ethics clause that they won't harm others in any way. Simple as that. If every Government Actor, every business, every citizen were accountable to obey what they signed, corruption would become a thing of the past, because of Accountability. I also believe that every citizen that chooses to land their feet into a city should come with the intent to give something to it.

Amend the city contracts, amend city employee contracts, change the city to one of safety, truth and happiness. See ethical businesses return, and with those, see the ethical community supporting them return. If a landlord, homeowner, land owner, citizen pays taxes, they have a contract. Think about that. If doing business here meant everyone signed the same ethics clause?

I swear to you all, I wake up every morning with the sole intent to give as much as I can everyday. If we all had that one simple intention, the world would have peace. And what if Tech did that as well? I'm not an ethics lawyer, I am a dreamer, but it all makes sense to me, I hope it does to all of you here as well.

Five Keys Bayshore Navigation Center and Director Tony Chase are willfully endangering human safety and human lives, while also violating civil rights. ALL lives are precious and must be cared for, in this case, every life here must be moved to safety until permanent housing can be awarded.

HERD IMMUNITY  
CIVIL RIGHTS  
HARASSMENT  
ENDANGERMENT  
HARMING OTHERS FOR GREED

Sincerely, Shad



**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](#); [Cityattorney](#); [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [info@sfcityattorney.org](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [ROTHSCHILD, MATTHEW \(CAT\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Jeff Ballinger](#)  
**Subject:** Re: URGENT : Fraud / Embezzlement of human safety and human lives Bayview Navigation Center  
**Date:** Saturday, January 16, 2021 9:30:38 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## UPDATE: Unresponsive citizen taken to the hospital from Bayshore Navigation Center

Early this morning a member here was unresponsive and was taken to the hospital by paramedics. When I asked a non medically trained employee I witnessed standing over the unresponsive citizen if he overdosed, she replied with "why would you think it was an overdose?" without empathy, without care or concern for what I witnessed. I left just saying " I just wanted to see if he was o.k. "

To all of you here, the insane amount of negligence and lack of care, lack of empathy and the use of policing instead of care has been documented. It's up to you lawmakers and those that have direct positions to provide care, health and wellness, and all that take the oath to protect and serve to do just that, do your jobs please.

On Fri, Jan 15, 2021 at 9:21 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Continued: DPH is also directly and wilfully endangering human lives and are accountable for extreme negligence in the scheme to herd immunity the homeless.

Cold air still blows day and night. Citizens are still unable to escape being exposed to possible positive citizens returning and infecting the environment and possible death from becoming infected. DPH can schedule a Covid Testing everyday here, but it will make little difference unless all that shelter here are mandated to test and remain in this shelter day and night until a vaccine is distributed to all. The undeserved mental and emotional harm that is being inflicted will never disappear.

NOTE: 27 Breakfast boxes were tossed this morning, as is done everyday with the same amount of lunch and dinners, BECAUSE THERE ARE ONLY A FEW STAYING HERE AND THE OTHERS STAY AWAY BECAUSE THEY ARE WISER AND HAVE FRIENDS AND TENTS TO STAY IN AND WITH OUTSIDE OF HERE.

Two new citizens moved in recently. That's two more lives of liability from harassment, endangerment and negligence of care.

On Fri, Jan 15, 2021 at 8:06 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mayor, City Attorney, Supervisors, All,  
I've CC'd Palm Springs City Attorney Jeff Ballinger here, because his experience is in ethics and code enforcement.

Reading the news of City Administrator Naomi Kelly's resignation and reading the words corruption scandal, brought me back almost two years ago, when I first started to learn and realize that 20 year PS City Manager David Ready was running the entire city government his way, corruptly with absolute disregard for common law, for ethics, or for human safety because of his insane greed and the insanity to reign supreme above everyone else. Justice is going to come for him and others. No one is above the law and way too many laws have been broken.

Mr. Ready uses behind the scenes corrupt harassment techniques ie. use of police to harass homeless, ie, use of his mafia pyramid to harass anyone out of Palm Springs that brought something to Palm Springs. He sure didn't want any businesses to succeed that weren't corruptible, and with me, when I figured him out, and publicly posted that I did, he wanted me dead.

This homeless experience in San Francisco is no different than what I went through with that government and those actors.

All agencies here just shoved me to the next, and when I was transferred into Bayshore, I discovered the exact same herd immunity scheme. The exact same government corruption scheme to harass homeless people so they don't stick around. Currently that scheme is running with Five Keys and I assume all others are run fraudulently, to harass, intimidate and use police to "care" for the ones that get removed and made to disappear. Five Keys use of parolees and kids on parole as employees to staff the entire shelter is unjust. Do they have the tools to care for others right out of prison?

A while back I brought up to the PS City Attorney Jeff Ballinger and the entire PS Govt and Council my contract with the city. I opened a business, paid for a business license (contract) and was supposed to receive an inspection at my place of business to make sure my space was suitable for my store to exist. I told them all that I made a commitment to the City of Palm Springs, and most importantly to the community I was going to serve, to bring in an investment, and my investment was a design gift store to give back and bring education and happiness to the world that visits.

I didn't set out to fraud, intimidate, harass, embezzle, manipulate, obtain special interests, I set out to give. I believe that any person, business, politician, that chooses to serve others and signs a contract to provide their services should sign a simple ethics clause that they won't harm others in any way. Simple as that. If every Government Actor, every business, every citizen were accountable to obey what they signed, corruption would become a thing of the past, because of Accountability. I also believe that every citizen that chooses to land their feet into a city should come with the intent to give something to it.

Amend the city contracts, amend city employee contracts, change the city to one of safety, truth and happiness. See ethical businesses return, and with those, see the ethical community supporting them return. If a landlord, homeowner, land owner, citizen pays taxes, they have a contract. Think about that. If doing business here meant everyone signed the same ethics clause?

I swear to you all, I wake up every morning with the sole intent to give as much as I can everyday. If we all had that one simple intention, the world would have peace. And what if Tech did that as well? I'm not an ethics lawyer, I am a dreamer, but it all makes sense to me, I hope it does to all of you here as well.



Five Keys Bayshore Navigation Center and Director Tony Chase are willfully endangering human safety and human lives, while also violating civil rights. ALL lives are precious and must be cared for, in this case, every life here must be moved to safety until permanent housing can be awarded.

HERD IMMUNITY

CIVIL RIGHTS

HARASSMENT

ENDANGERMENT

HARMING OTHERS FOR GREED

Sincerely, Shad

**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](#); [Cityattorney](#); [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [info@sfcityattorney.org](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [ROTHSCHILD, MATTHEW \(CAT\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Jeff Ballinger](#)  
**Subject:** Re: URGENT : Fraud / Embezzlement of human safety and human lives Bayview Navigation Center  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 11:58:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed, All,

This, I hope will be my last email and I hope that I've helped shed light on the injustices that are occurring within the DPH, Five Keys, CCSF, Code Tenderloin, ECS and any other agencies I've been dealing with in my homeless experience here.

Watching the Inauguration this morning moved me, I shed tears, tears of hope for a better today and a better everyday here forward.

My true hope is that those who choose harm over help simply make the switch.

The people and agencies that I believe must be investigated and need to be held accountable, I've mentioned here and before, yet I wait. Yet all of us wait.

Civil Rights must be upheld and not violated by those in power for self or political gain. We all deserve our rights and we all deserve happiness.

This morning I discovered a superstar. May her star shine bright for eternity.  
Amanda Gorman and her words:

We've braved the belly of the beast, we've learned that quiet isn't always peace and the norms and notions of what just is, isn't always justice. And yet the dawn is ours before we knew it, somehow we do it, somehow we've weathered and witnessed a nation that isn't broken but simply unfinished.

We will not march back to what was, but move to what shall be a country that is bruised, but whole, benevolent, but bold, fierce, and free. We will not be turned around or interrupted by intimidation because we know our inaction and inertia will be the inheritance of the next generation. Our blunders become their burdens. But one thing is certain, if we merge mercy with might and might with right, then love becomes our legacy and change our children's birthright.

On Sat, Jan 16, 2021 at 9:29 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: Unresponsive citizen taken to the hospital from Bayshore Navigation Center

Early this morning a member here was unresponsive and was taken to the hospital by

paramedics. When I asked a non medically trained employee I witnessed standing over the unresponsive citizen if he overdosed, she replied with "why would you think it was an overdose?" without empathy, without care or concern for what I witnessed. I left just saying " I just wanted to see if he was o.k. "

To all of you here, the insane amount of negligence and lack of care, lack of empathy and the use of policing instead of care has been documented. It's up to you lawmakers and those that have direct positions to provide care, health and wellness, and all that take the oath to protect and serve to do just that, do your jobs please.

On Fri, Jan 15, 2021 at 9:21 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Continued: DPH is also directly and wilfully endangering human lives and are accountable for extreme negligence in the scheme to herd immunity the homeless.

Cold air still blows day and night. Citizens are still unable to escape being exposed to possible positive citizens returning and infecting the environment and possible death from becoming infected. DPH can schedule a Covid Testing everyday here, but it will make little difference unless all that shelter here are mandated to test and remain in this shelter day and night until a vaccine is distributed to all. The undeserved mental and emotional harm that is being inflicted will never disappear.

NOTE: 27 Breakfast boxes were tossed this morning, as is done everyday with the same amount of lunch and dinners, BECAUSE THERE ARE ONLY A FEW STAYING HERE AND THE OTHERS STAY AWAY BECAUSE THEY ARE WISER AND HAVE FRIENDS AND TENTS TO STAY IN AND WITH OUTSIDE OF HERE.

Two new citizens moved in recently. That's two more lives of liability from harassment, endangerment and negligence of care.

On Fri, Jan 15, 2021 at 8:06 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mayor, City Attorney, Supervisors, All,  
I've CC'd Palm Springs City Attorney Jeff Ballinger here, because his experience is in ethics and code enforcement.

Reading the news of City Administrator Naomi Kelly's resignation and reading the words corruption scandal, brought me back almost two years ago, when I first started to learn and realize that 20 year PS City Manager David Ready was running the entire city government his way, corruptly with absolute disregard for common law, for ethics, or for human safety because of his insane greed and the insanity to reign supreme above everyone else.

Justice is going to come for him and others. No one is above the law and way too many laws have been broken.

Mr. Ready uses behind the scenes corrupt harassment techniques ie. use of police to harass homeless, ie, use of his mafia pyramid to harass anyone out of Palm Springs that brought something to Palm Springs. He sure didn't want any businesses to succeed that weren't corruptible, and with me, when I figured him out, and publicly posted that I did, he wanted me dead.

This homeless experience in San Francisco is no different than what I went through with

that government and those actors.

All agencies here just shoved me to the next, and when I was transferred into Bayshore, I discovered the exact same herd immunity scheme. The exact same government corruption scheme to harass homeless people so they don't stick around. Currently that scheme is running with Five Keys and I assume all others are run fraudulently, to harass, intimidate and use police to "care" for the ones that get removed and made to disappear. Five Keys use of parolees and kids on parole as employees to staff the entire shelter is unjust. Do they have the tools to care for others right out of prison?

A while back I brought up to the PS City Attorney Jeff Ballinger and the entire PS Govt and Council my contract with the city. I opened a business, paid for a business license (contract) and was supposed to receive an inspection at my place of business to make sure my space was suitable for my store to exist. I told them all that I made a commitment to the City of Palm Springs, and most importantly to the community I was going to serve, to bring in an investment, and my investment was a design gift store to give back and bring education and happiness to the world that visits.

I didn't set out to fraud, intimidate, harass, embezzle, manipulate, obtain special interests,

I set out to give. I believe that any person, business, politician, that chooses to serve others and signs a contract to provide their services should sign a simple ethics clause that they won't harm others in any way. Simple as that. If every Government Actor, every business, every citizen were accountable to obey what they signed, corruption would become a thing of the past, because of Accountability. I also believe that every citizen that chooses to land their feet into a city should come with the intent to give something to it.

Amend the city contracts, amend city employee contracts, change the city to one of safety, truth and happiness. See ethical businesses return, and with those, see the ethical community supporting them return. If a landlord, homeowner, land owner, citizen pays taxes, they have a contract. Think about that. If doing business here meant everyone signed the same ethics clause?

I swear to you all, I wake up every morning with the sole intent to give as much as I can everyday. If we all had that one simple intention, the world would have peace.

And what if Tech did that as well? I'm not an ethics lawyer, I am a dreamer, but it all makes sense to me, I hope it does to all of you here as well.

Five Keys Bayshore Navigation Center and Director Tony Chase are willfully endangering human safety and human lives, while also violating civil rights. ALL lives are precious and must be cared for, in this case, every life here must be moved to safety until permanent housing can be awarded.

HERD IMMUNITY  
CIVIL RIGHTS  
HARASSMENT  
ENDANGERMENT  
HARMING OTHERS FOR GREED

Sincerely, Shad



**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [james.queally@latimes.com](mailto:james.queally@latimes.com); [Cityattorney](#)  
**Subject:** Re: URGENT: Civil Rights Violations Bayshore Navigation Center CCSF / Five Keys / Director Tony Chase /DPH  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 7:58:32 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Unfortunately, another email coming your way tonight from Bayshore Navigation Center

Gloria, was evicted tonight from the dorm without a case worker, without Director Tony Chase and by the authority of a parolee supervisor. Two SFPD officers were on scene. I arrived a bit late at the exit but caught them as she was exiting. Asked out to Gloria if she was alright, her frightened eyes stared back at me with no words. The officers asked who I was, I stated I'm a civil rights advocate, the male officer stated back she wanted to leave. I overheard it was about her entering back in.

Gloria has been a citizen here since I arrived here. She's quiet, she volunteers to help clean the floors and tables. She is no threat to any one here. I have only seen her have conflicts with staff and those conflicts revolved around minor accommodations.

Harassment and intimidation are the real issues to everyone here. Top all that off with intent to infect and herd immunity and the City of San Francisco and all the players are liable for not doing anything to stop it.

This eviction is endangering her life both physically and mentally. The kid barely speaks to others here. She's shy and there's reasons for that.

Please get everyone out of this shelter and into safety NOW. Today of all days was a gift to a new beginning, and not supposed to be more of the same corrupt harassing bullshit for greed.

On Wed, Jan 13, 2021 at 10:05 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: Five Keys

Today Nicole who has mental health needs was removed from the dorm. There was an altercation outside with a Five Keys Supervisor / another man, and Charles caseworker standing in the distance. No presence of Director Tony Chase. I went out to see how she was. she was very upset, waiting for transportation. She stated her civil rights were being violated again.

On Tue, Jan 12, 2021 at 11:20 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Yesterday, Mr. Chase voiced the following harassing statements to me and in front of at least 5 staff witnesses:

if you want to talk man to man

"We're busy" referring to my request for a copy of my health record. The same record I have requested verbally and backed up in writing for the last three weeks

Constant cold air blows on me and every other citizen and employee here day and night in the dorm room and there has been no heat whatsoever. Not in the dorm, not in the community room, not in the showers or bathrooms. ONLY IN Mr. Chase's offices and in the dining room.

Citizens have been removed and evicted at all hours without due diligence, without proper medically trained staff present, then suddenly returned when I sent emails of concern and liability.

A citizen that experienced sexual harassment here AND outside of the center by an employee has been denied care. Instead, Mr. Chase suggested the three of them to meet and talk about it. The Director of Care at Bayshore suggested to place a victim in front of the man he accused to 'talk it through'

Herd Immunity techniques were, and still are being used here at Bayshore Navigation Center.

ENOUGH IS ENOUGH

Dr. Imbert, ALL, Please help get us all into safety before someone dies from harassment, negligence, eviction, or the CCSF, DPH become more liable for continued human civil rights violations.

On Tue, Jan 12, 2021 at 10:33 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Mayor Breed, Supervisors, Dr. Imbert, All

Media is copied on this email.

Yesterday, Director Tony Chase violated my civil right to copies of my case record at Bayshore by denying me that copy. The same copy I have requested, but been denied now for three weeks.

The CCSF / DPH / Five Keys / Tony Chase / SF Sheriff's Dept are in violation of the following:

Cal Con Article 1 Section 7

(a) A person may not be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law or denied equal protection of the laws;

CCSF / DPW / HOTTEAM / Five Keys / Tony Chase are in violation of

the following:

### Article 1 Section 1

All people are by nature free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness, and privacy.

I allege that there isn't a case file for me here at Five Keys, because if they started one and continued to add to it, Director Chase, Five Keys, CCSF, DPH, Charles would be accountable for tracking me and my care.

That's just a start of what I can bring into a lawsuit against all contracted government actors in these violations I allege. We all deserve and have rights to safety, to being kept from harm, to follow mandates and not be harassed when we question, when we report abuses, or when we know our rights are being violated.

All here, I am not alone here. It's everyone in this shelter, everyone in every congregate shelter run by dictators who deny care.

Calvin Curtiss the victim of sexual harassment here, has yet to hear a word or have a check in by Director Tony Chase about what transpired between his concerns of sexual harassment. And Mr. Curtiss told me that he heard that his aggressor was just sent home.

If you think that Mr. Curtiss has a case file in it with that grievance, Please have Tony Chase and his aligned Case Workers here prove that and moreso, prove that he's received after care.

Mr. Curtiss has been here for months now, and it was me, NOT HIS CASE WORKER, that helped him sign up for General Assistance the other day.

I have continually stated and requested a mental health care provider SINCE I WAS TRANSFERRED INTO HERE 4 MONTHS AGO.

Everyone here must be transferred into safe, SIP environments where their civil rights cannot be violated or their "CARE" be treated by a dictatorship.

Human lives are in grave danger here at Bayshore Navigation due to the lack of care, negligence in mitigation, and civil rights violations.



**From:** [Shad Fenton](#)  
**To:** [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Imbert, Elizabeth \(UCSF\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Brian Edwards](#); [John Warner](#); [Graff, Amy](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [info@sfcityattorney.org](mailto:info@sfcityattorney.org); [ROTHSCHILD, MATTHEW \(CAT\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Request to quarantine  
**Date:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 11:24:43 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

UPDATE : 1..21.21

Please everyone here, acknowledge the facts, acknowledge the injustices.

Late last night around 7:30 PM Gloria was evicted without proper representation at Bayshore Navigation Center. Instead a very shy, very introverted young lady was thrown out by a Five Keys Supervisor and two SFPD officers in the midst of a deadly Covid 19 health crisis and surge.

This morning, I had a meeting with Charles, my caseworker and talked about my case file and record that I've been denied.

To catch all up here on that, I have sent email requests to Director Tony Chase, I have requested in person to Charles my case file and history for 4 weeks now.

I was told by Charles a couple of weeks ago that he couldn't just print my case file, he would have to print them all.

I was told by Director Tony Chase when I asked to have my case file printed he replied 'we're busy'

Today I was told by Charles that he spoke to his superiors and they told him I don't have that right, that I would need to get a lawyer.

Why would there be such a play on my case file from "I can't print just one" , to "we are busy" to "you have to get a lawyer" 4 weeks now I've been asking for my case file.

Many of you here are lawyers.

#### **SUMMARY OF YOUR RIGHTS**

- You have the right to obtain complete information about your medical condition and care.
- You have the right to inspect your medical records within 5 days of making a written request.
- You have the right to have your medical records kept confidential unless you provide written consent, except in limited circumstances.
- You have the right to sue any person who unlawfully releases your medical information without your consent.

My hunch all along, I don't have a record here, because if I did, the CCSF, Department of Housing, Five Keys, ECS and DPH would be liable for my care and tracking my progress into permanent housing.

When I stated my concern about that to Charles this morning, I only received his silence which was more telling than his statement to get a lawyer.

The injustices here are many, for the most part, I've witnessed favoritism, rules can be "forgiven" for some and others get harassed for breaking the same. That's targeting certain individuals for an end goal. And as you've all been given this information and yet no recourse has occurred, you are all becoming part of the problem and not the solution. There is no time to waste, every individual life is precious, even if they have a

mental or physical need.

There is enough evidence to investigate the conspiracy of care, but no time to waste in getting lives into safety while that investigation continues. The harm that is occurring here, both mentally and physically is profound.

Two women have been evicted in the last week. Two human lives have forever been altered due to lack of care and accountability.

Forced Herd Immunity  
Intent to Infect  
Favoritism  
Nepotism  
Injustice  
Corruption

In Palm Springs, The PSPD told me to get a lawyer when they refused to investigate fraud and embezzlement due to their corrupt alliances with the PS Mafia.

On Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 1:27 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: VIOLATIONS OF CIVIL RIGHTS

Mr. Chase and Charles, my case worker, are in violation of my civil rights of access to my records. Two community care representatives whose jobs are to direct care upon me have violated my civil rights.

<https://www.bhs.gov/sites/default/files/righttoaccessmemo.pdf>

Respectfully requesting a safe transfer into an SIP room or hotel where I can mitigate my Covid responsibilities.

On Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 12:45 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

I have stated this previously. I am involved in an FBI investigation into corruption, attempted murder, and civil rights violations amongst many other crimes committed against me and other businesses and individuals in Palm Springs by mafia and their aligned corrupt PSPD, PSFD and government actors. That investigation follows me everywhere. Please trust that.

On Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 12:35 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE:

Mr. Chase confronted me with hostility in front of three witnesses in the common kitchen with his statement he would not communicate with me through email.

Then walked out back into his area. I then went into the offices, confronted him. at least 5 witnesses were surrounding. I stated his job was to communicate with me. He said if you want to communicate man to man..etc.. I said sure. I would like my case file printed today. He said no. They were busy. I said I've been asking for that for three weeks now.

I stated "are you being honest with your staff here"

I said do I need to call the police to get my case file printed, he said, "go ahead, would you like to use my phone?"

There is no safety for anyone here, especially for me, who has reported and has documented the herd immunity and negligence of care that he as well as DPH are absolutely accountable for.

Mr. Chase also stated in the common kitchen in front of three witnesses that he doesn't answer any emails.

On Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 11:19 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase

I've requested my case file from Charles three times now. He stated that is up to you and that he didn't have the ability to only print my case file, he would have to print them all.

Please have my entire case file printed for me where I can pick it up at the front desk no later than tomorrow.

On Sun, Jan 10, 2021 at 2:02 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: Another day of freezing cold air blowing on us. Staff continue to have space heaters at their desks running the entire day and night while the rest of us are continually harassed by the cold air. This is not a call out to staff being allowed, they are afflicted as well. Five Keys, CCSF, Tony Chase and all Directors above him are in violation of providing a safe and comfortable work environment.

Five Keys is a business.

I've requested shaving razors for days now, still none, although Mr. Chase can purchase cases of single use Kurig Peet's Coffee pods for himself and staff.

Hygiene kits disappeared right before the recent pandemic wave and lockdown.

This is real harassment for the sake of keeping citizens out of this shelter and not wanting to return, all due to the fact the CCSF, Five Keys, DPH, cannot keep citizens in harm's way and keep exposing them to possible covid positive cases without providing any sort of mitigation.

I state cannot, but yet they still are.

Lives are in grave danger here and yet many of us are still here.

Requesting Quarantine, Requesting transfer into safety.

On Sat, Jan 9, 2021 at 11:21 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE, 56 DEGREES was the reading interior temp.

Human lives are in danger here with the continued agitation of harassing cold air blowing at us all day and night, lack of sleep caused from trying to stay warm. An altercation was documented last night between 4 citizens here, all over the use of ear pods vs. not using them in a congregate environment.

One staff member responded, assuming due to staff shortages.

REQUESTING A TRANSFER INTO SAFETY.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 11:56 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE with Case Worker Charles at Bayshore Navigation Center.

I just met briefly with Charles, and repeated my request for my case file. His response is he can't print just my case, he would have to print everyone's. I stated, get with Mr. Chase to get it done.

On Thu, Jan 7, 2021 at 8:59 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE on Bayshore Navigation Center this morning:

Still cold air blowing directly on us. NO HEAT at all this winter. NO HEAT in the showers, NO heat in the bathrooms.

The only place to find heating is in the offices of Director Tony Chase and his staff and the small common kitchen where none of us should be hanging out in the first place. With that it's another attempt to herd us all into one room.

For some insane reason, staff have been told they can't use the microwaves here to warm up our cold meals, although staff uses them for theirs. If the microwaves can't be used, then remove the damn microwaves. A citizen here got very upset this morning about that, and an employee called in a supervisor who stated that "they told us we can't use the microwave" whoever "they" are is a mystery.

On Wed, Jan 6, 2021 at 9:53 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE 56 Degrees this morning is the interior temp of my phone in this room. Phone was guarded from intense cold air blowing directly on it, as it does day and night here.

Citizen Nicole very vocally agitated this morning. Human lives are in grave danger here at Bayshore Navigation Center due to lack of care, negligence in care, forced cold air, and none are able to safely SIP away from Covid 19, violence, dictatorship values, beliefs about Covid 19 from those in charge of our lives.

On Sun, Jan 3, 2021 at 3:05 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Citizens are still coughing, Still very cold air blowing on us.

Meal program looks to be a sham. Same exact warm meals in less than a week. Same cold meals in less than two days. Breakfast meal boxes are hit and miss with products. Where is the calendar of the meal program from Meals on Wheels Posted for guests?

If this seems petty compared to the crimes of public endangerment, what I am documenting is all endangerment. Citizens here are agitated and it's only getting worse.

On Sat, Jan 2, 2021 at 10:20 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: 56 degrees with cold air blowing.  
Citizens still coughing.

On Fri, Jan 1, 2021 at 8:22 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am aware of the recent sexual harassment allegations of a citizen here charged against a member of your supervisory team, I'm also aware of your criminal suggestion to have a meeting with all three of you to talk it through.

You are not above the law Mr. Chase, and you will be held accountable. Please refrain from harassing, or denying that vulnerable kid any more of his rights.

Sexual Harassment Charges

No Mitigation until I blew the whistle

Denied Transparency of positive Covid 19 Cases

No heat in the Dorm all winter. This morning, temperature read 56, temperature right now reads 59 at 8:10 PM

Cold meals in the mornings and night

Illegal Christmas Party thrown during lockdown and against government mandates.

'if you don't like it, there's the door"

Warden / prisoner structure.

Former prisoners working 16 hour shifts 100 plus work weeks without a real Director that cares for human safety.

The worse..is this government's response to it all. A government that leaves humans in harm's way trying to figure out how to cover what they did is corrupt, but more importantly, all of those officials whose jobs are to protect and serve, become criminals.

It's a New Year, a new start, do the right thing please.

Get us out of here and into safe environments where we can mitigate, and where those that need care, can receive it.

On Thu, Dec 31, 2020 at 5:33 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Human lives are in danger. Guest in Bed 53

Mr. Chase, the man in bed 53 has been coughing non stop for days. I've recorded it. He does not deserve to die here from your lack of care and freezing cold air being blown on him while he is ill. WE do not deserve to be exposed to this virus since you've made it apparent that you are not disclosing nor are transparent of covid positive cases here.

Please walk yourself over to the dorm and take this man to the ER where he can be cared for since there is no medical staff on duty whatsoever. You sneak in and out of the back gate and not once check in on any citizens that are under your supervision.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:41 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE and plea for Mr. Chase, All

Two days ago this came into my inbox:

Good morning everyone,

There is a new housing development on the [Dahlia San Francisco Housing Portal](#) called Broadway Cove with 93 available units. The deadline to apply is January 13. If any of your clients are interested in applying, please have them call us at either 415-651-7824 or 415-431-1180. They can also email us at [resourceroom@womensbuilding.org](mailto:resourceroom@womensbuilding.org) with their name and phone number.

We can help them make an account, fill out the application, and answer any questions. Clients may also apply on their own. Please see the flyer attached and feel free to share it.

Warm regards,

--

Logo



**Daniela Flores**

Programs Assistant

[Pronouns: She/her](#)

phone: + 415 651 2776

ext. 7224

email: [daniela@womensbuilding.org](mailto:daniela@womensbuilding.org)

3543 18th St #8

San Francisco, CA 94110



I am pleading that I can apply to get a one bedroom. It would offer me and my boston terrier Grayson a place to live and a start to rebuild our lives.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 10:06 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Yesterday DPH Nurse and Tech were here, the Dr. WAS NOT.

On Wed, Dec 30, 2020 at 9:54 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

UPDATE: HUMAN LIVES IN GRAVE DANGER.

THREE CITIZENS SICK WITH COUGHS HERE THIS MORNING

Was told this morning by supervising staff when asking about the heat again, that there was a work order in to fix it.

WHY WAS THERE PUBLIC WORKS EMPLOYEES HERE OVER 2

WEEKS AGO SHUTTING OFF THE ROOF VENTS?  
WHY CAN'T THE FANS BLOWING THE FREEZING COLD AIR JUST  
BE SHUT OFF?

Was told two nights ago by medically untrained night staff that "a cough  
could be any cough, not necessarily Covid."

Last night I received a temperature check at 9 pm. Was told my temp was 93.  
I stated, that can't be I'd be dead.

THERE ARE NO MEDICALLY TRAINED STAFF ADMINISTERING  
TESTS ONLY UNTRAINED SECURITY HERE AT NIGHT.

Please get us all into safety, citizens here are very agitated FROM THE  
NEGLIGENCE OF CARE, AND SLEEPING IN THE COLD.

On Sun, Dec 27, 2020 at 9:24 AM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)>  
wrote:

Mr. Chase,

I am still waiting for my transport to quarantine. It's 57 degrees in the dorm  
from the reading from my phone. My phone is left alone underneath my  
bed, exposed.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 4:00 PM Shad Fenton <[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)>  
wrote:

Mr. Chase, I am still waiting to be sent to quarantine.

On Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 9:36 AM Shad Fenton  
<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Still waiting on my requested transfer to quarantine.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 7:49 PM Shad Fenton  
<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

All,

For those of you coming into this email chain you are here now for  
accountability and as witnesses. Bayshore Navigation has threatened  
too many lives and this must end.

I'll quickly plead for all that remain here at Bayshore Navigation (   
not many ) as my life, and all these lives that are still under Mr.  
Chase's dictation are in danger and deserve to be so much safer and  
taken care of. There is no way to self mitigate or dodge infection  
when you are up against a director that doesn't send people to  
quarantine or one that only starts mitigation when the whistle blows.

My "driver" has always been human safety, not just mine but all  
citizens here and everywhere. The gross negligence in care during  
this covid pandemic by Mr. Chase has been documented. Mandatory  
mitigations have been made ( grateful for those ) and people  
suffering from mental health issues have been brought back after

being evicted while Mr. Chase was off campus.

My "complaints" as Mr. Chase stated made things happen in order to help save people from possible infections and from infecting others. Unfortunately, as you've all been a part to witness, Mr. Chase believes somehow that the environment that he creates is a safe one, but evidence shows a much different and disturbing lack of that safety, of mitigation and also shows very negligent care among persons with disabilities.

He is in control of public health, yet he broke a mandate and threw a holiday party, inviting infection spread onto both parties in attendance, possibly creating a superspreader event.

This is not responsible behavior of a director of a Navigation Center for a community housing development. City Life Church was here for a photo op and served some hot food, which is also lacking here and it was a meal, that I simply took, ate quickly by myself, until a friend Mario sat down, I sat with him less than 5 minutes, then returned to the community room by myself to be with my dog. For the record.

I am a victim of corruption, conspiracy, and terror. I am a victim of civil rights violations of due process. I lost my entire 400 K investment, then my car, then my condo, then my possessions fighting for justice, and that is coming. It has to. Too many high rankers have broken too many laws and got caught. Because I caught them. I've been pleading for my safety for 20 months at least.

I am scared shitless of retaliation, because that's what the PS Government did to me. Evidence points to two PS Gov't officials having everything to do with the attempt on my life. I've survived though, this challenge with Mr. Chase, Five Keys, DPH is a big one due to I have no escape.

Underlying in Mr. Chase's emails is that same tone. His emails show no empathy, no action, it's as if he's incapable of it. He just points his finger to his "door" and says go find someplace else.

Mr. Chase you are here because I am transparent and also because if you fuck with my safety any further and I am not in quarantine or placed into an SIP room, away from the constant coughing of others that should be quarantined on the first sign of a cough! Of the constant cold air harassment in the dorm (there hasn't been heat for 7 weeks now) and your delusions and personal harassment, you are not, in any way, above the law.

I beg with those that do govern with care to get us out of here, into safety and care, before someone dies because of this man's tyranny.

With hope, Shad Fenton

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 5:52 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Your email does not answer when my transfer request is coming



through to quarantine.

I was transferred into this shelter with a statement it was safe. I have stated to you over and over again that I do not feel safe here, and have proven why.

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 4:54 PM Tony Chase

<[tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)> wrote:

Mr. Fenton,

Merry Christmas to you!

I don't understand, because you were seen right there enjoying the festivities that were given safely and for everybody's enjoyment! But, since this is the 84th one of your critical emails, I can only remind you that you are free to go find you a place that YOU will feel safe at, since it is not here. My intention is to keep the environment safe for all, including for you, but I hope that you will find what you are driven to look for.

Thank you and Happy Holidays!

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 2:39 PM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase, It's now 2:27 PM. Your supervisors and staff know of my request to quarantine. I have yet to hear back from you. Please respond when I will be transferred.

Thank You,

On Fri, Dec 25, 2020 at 9:02 AM Shad Fenton

<[shadfenton@gmail.com](mailto:shadfenton@gmail.com)> wrote:

Mr. Chase,

Due to your negligence in care, the fact you threw an illegal Christmas Party during lockdown and involved members of City Life Church, AND the constant coughing from possible Covid 19 citizens here, last night being one of many.

(The bed neighbor was coughing so loudly and continuously he woke many of us up at 4 AM. That recording is also attached here)

I am requesting to go into quarantine for the entire time an incubation cycle occurs according to the CDC.


*The incubation period for COVID-19 is thought to extend to **14 days**, with a median time of **4-5 days** from exposure to symptoms onset. One study reported that 97.5% of people with COVID-19 who have symptoms will do so within 11.5 days of **SARS-CoV-2** infection.*

I will notify your staff as such.

--



TONY CHASE  
DIRECTOR,



BAYSHORE NAVIGATION CENTER

**D:** 415.596.1475

**O:** 415.920.8920

**F:** 415.734.3314

**E:** [tonyc@fivekeys.org](mailto:tonyc@fivekeys.org)

**A:** 5125 Bayshore Blvd., San Francisco, CA  
94124

**W:** [www.fivekeys.org](http://www.fivekeys.org)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Trauma-Informed Care: Parting Thoughts & Suggestions  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 4:01:00 PM

---

**From:** Valentine, Puppy (HOM) <puppy.valentine@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 3:13 PM  
**To:** HOM-SFHOT-ALL <dhsh.sfhotal@sfgov.org>; HOM-All Staff <HSH.AllStaff@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** ChanStaff (BOS) <ChanStaff@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; PeskinStaff (BOS) <peskinstaff@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; haney.staff@sfgov.org; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <MelgarStaff@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; mandelmannstaff@sfgov.org; Mundy, Erin (BOS) <erin.mundy@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Li-D9, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; SafaiStaff (BOS) <safaistaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; CEO@helunahealth.org; HOM - Mark Barbeau <mbarbeau@helunahealth.org>; bcutler@helunahealth.org; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; hello@helunahealth.org  
**Subject:** Trauma-Informed Care: Parting Thoughts & Suggestions

Thursday, January 14<sup>th</sup>, 2021

Dear San Francisco Homelessness Response System and Board of Supervisors,

Why are we working with police and public works employees while we're doing social work? How can we be working with police and describing our services as trauma-informed?<sup>1</sup> Police and trauma-informed care are incompatible. Full stop. Trauma-informed care is not a value held by the Department of Public Works (DPW),<sup>2</sup> so they should not be involved with our interventions at encampments. The current implementation of our services is actively and passively retraumatizing our clients.

I joined the city's Homeless Outreach Team excited to meet folks where they're at, physically and emotionally. Of course, I heard about SFHOT's collaboration with DPW in sweeps<sup>3</sup> from clients<sup>4</sup> in the past. I'd heard how destructive and traumatizing DPW sweeps and other actions by DPW were for the people living at these sites. However, I also know that people exaggerate and that there's another side to every story -- while I take folks at their word, I like to verify the specifics of what's happening, and decide what to do for myself. I didn't learn until I arrived that SFPD was also involved in these sweeps. In my six months here, I have worked with ERT quite a few times, usually during weekend overtime shifts. Usually, SFPD presence at sweeps consisted of two officers sitting in their car, appropriately distanced from the site so as to let social workers do social work. While DPW would occasionally be pushy with clients, I didn't see anything unethical happening.

This changed on Christmas Eve (December 24<sup>th</sup>, 2020), when I worked with ERT at a sweep on 13<sup>th</sup>/S Van Ness. There I saw a DPW worker toss my client's purse into the back of a garbage truck. This client

had been complying with all requests made of her and was working on sorting through her belongings. According to her, the worker claimed, “don’t worry, I’ll watch it for you,” before tossing it into the back of the garbage truck.

On Monday, December 28<sup>th</sup>, 2020, I was assigned to the ERT instead of my normal district, as the ERT was short-staffed that day. I was surprised when I arrived at Polk & Willow, as there was not the usual SFPD presence. Instead, there were around a dozen officers, all out of their cruisers and hanging out in the middle of the resolution site. While the officers hardly interacted with clients while I was there, their presence seemed to embolden DPW workers, who were actively harassing clients.<sup>5</sup> Groups of workers converged on peaceful clients to bully and harass them, being verbally abusive, throwing away their belongings without their consent, shaking their tents, and being generally cruel to them. I went home sick about an hour after arriving on site.

Below are six guiding principles for a trauma-informed approach to care during public health emergencies, as identified by the CDC<sup>6</sup>, which includes those identified by the Buffalo Center for Social Research (BCSR) at the University of Buffalo.<sup>7</sup> I have also included a few ideas for implementing these principles, pulled from my experience and trainings as well as SAMHSA<sup>8</sup> and the University at Buffalo. some of which we already utilize, but there is always room for improvement.

## 1. Safety

Frontline: validate clients’ experiences, without assuming you can understand those experiences; be conscious of how you move through and navigate space around clients; provide clients with emotional support, though this is less documentable, it is key to creating a safe working relationship; genuinely meet clients with unconditional positive regard...

Organizationally: handle data securely & responsibly; role-model proper confidentiality; adopt practices that ensure staff safety in the field; work to understand safety as defined by those we serve; discontinue collaboration with institutions actively traumatizing our clients, such as SFPD & DPW...

## 2. Trustworthiness & Transparency

Frontline: do not promise clients any services; be honest and upfront around limitations related to role; intentionally and explicitly establish professional boundaries with clients and reassert these as necessary...

Organizationally: role-model transparency and trustworthiness within the chain-of command; disseminate new information to all staff as it becomes available; operate in reliable and predictable ways...

## 3. Peer Support

Frontline: meet your clients as equals; respect your clients as authorities on their own needs and experiences; explore shared experiences within the context of professional boundaries; connect clients to the multiple communities they reside within; advocate for your clients as you should for yourself...

Organizationally: incorporate peer support programs into service offerings; ensure accessibility of grievance processes for clients and staff; identify challenges to implementing these programs and strategies for overcoming those challenges; treat those below you in the chain-of-command as equals, in all senses; consider the non-professional lived experiences of job applicants in addition to education and work experience...

## 4. Collaboration & Mutuality

Frontline: make decisions with, rather than for, your clients; provide clients with the information and time they need to make informed decisions for themselves; ensure that clients are directing the creation of their own case plan and support them in this process...

Organizationally: make decisions with, rather than for, your staff; solicit staff feedback frequently and incorporate this feedback into organizational practices and programs; respect the capability of all staff and recognize the validity of multiple ways of knowing; ensure effective communication between departments...

## 5. Empowerment & Choice

Frontline: have faith in client's ability to affect change for themselves and in the world; offer truly voluntary services, without coercion, and include options without ultimatum; support clients in doing what work they can on their own, helping them to build the skills to do so as necessary...

Organizationally: trust staff to act in accordance with the training provided them; believe in their ability and desire to affect positive change, allow staff the agency to succeed or fail on their own and follow-up as needed; prioritize programs that build clients' self-esteem and skillsets...

## 6. Cultural, Historical & Gender Issues

Frontline: be aware of the history between your organization and your clients and recognize difficulties in this relationship; recognize the imbalance of power created by a social worker-client dynamic, as well as gender, racial, and ethnic inequalities;

Organizationally: acknowledge past practices and mistakes that have harmed or retraumatized clients; prioritize the shift to a trauma-informed culture; offer access to gender-responsive services; recognize historical trauma; incorporate policies, protocol, and processes that are responsive to the racial, ethnic, and cultural needs of populations served; address, discuss, and correct "potential[ly] retraumatizing policies and procedures"...<sup>9</sup>

While individual services and employees might meet or practice these principles, on an organizational level, SFHOT and the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing are failing to meet most of these principles. The best intentions of my colleagues mean nothing without administrative support and buy-in.

I will miss working here. I will miss my coworkers, who so clearly love our clients and want to make this world and this city a better place for all people. Please hear me and do better.

I know there are many organizations in the city working to improve the way we support our homeless community members.<sup>10</sup> Hopefully you take this opportunity to initiate the conversation in a meaningful and genuine way.

With love,

Puppy Valentine (she/they pronouns)

Outreach Specialist, Level 2

San Francisco Homeless Outreach Team (SFHOT)

50 Ivy Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information, including patient information protected by federal and state privacy laws. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any

review, dissemination, distribution, or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Hello, This is Max the 29 year old resident who gave a public comment on 1/19/2021 and hoping to follow up  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 1:39:00 PM

---

**From:** Mrar Dudre <hiimmisterdude@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 5:37 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Hello, This is Max the 29 year old resident who gave a public comment on 1/19/2021 and hoping to follow up

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, I am the 29-year-old resident of district 6 who gave a public comment regarding the fact that San Francisco is no longer a safe place, which I have included in quotes below. I wanted to know what I could do to follow up on my comments? How do I get a real response acknowledging how there will be support for my needs along with addressing the policies that have allowed my situation to occur so it doesn't continue occurring to those vulnerable to the same situation? How will these injustices be acknowledged and addressed, so I feel safe enough to do more than wait to die at home afraid to seek medical care or seek justice for things like being coerced into unprotected sex I did not want by someone who lied about being tested for STDs that gave me chlamydia and gonorrhea that I do not feel safe enough to report because of the way I am misrepresented to avoid having to deal with the complex problems I face by blaming me for allowing them to happen?.... As if I should have known that someone had malicious intent making neglecting their crime acceptable as police have done on the two other occasions I was coerced into sex I did not truly desire. What can I do to address this situation that directly affects me, has taken a drastic toll on my livelihood including my general health, and has left me disabled vulnerable to criminals that have taken advantage of me not only as thieves; but also as sexual predators who promise to be the best supportive person in the world only to reveal after they've gotten what they wanted that it was never their intent to be supportive? As I have been trying to address this situation for 10 years now ever since I became homeless October 10th 2010 thanks to the issues that I brought up that led to me being placed in "transitional housing" in 2013 with "managed income" that has caused my funds to be completely mismanaged while forcing me to remain in San Francisco due to requirements to maintain a residential address to continue receiving benefits. All while having no support in assisting me in transferring my situation to somewhere I could live where I would feel safe like El Cerrito as I specifically mentioned was my goal when I went to general assistance asking for help in the first place before putting me in this restrictive situation that has left me vulnerable to criminal negligence and abuse that gets swept under the carpet every time I attempt to pursue resolution through grievance, patient relations, medicare QIO, police accountability, human rights watch, adult protective services, mental health advocates, etc.... I did not use to think San Francisco was unsafe, but ever since cannabis legalization throughout the country and federal legalization of same sex marriage there is very little to no reason for the communities that fought to make San Francisco a progressive forward thinking community to remain here as living costs soar; and the city becomes over run with criminals abusing the lax legal system and easy to access drug market that has shifted from potheads & psychonauts to junkies & fiends. Not only that, but the support system with a reputation for easy access has become overwhelmed by those from other states trying to access benefits to take elsewhere and countless taking advantage of the system to live unproductive; and even criminal lifestyles causing those who truly need the system to be unable to even get past intake. Since the changes in cannabis laws I have seen the drug market shift from medical focus into recreational use that caters mainly to those just trying to get

high while the medical system has begun to accuse any in need of medications with dependency risks of being addicts claiming they can just smoke pot. As a result they are creating a new age prohibition of these commonly abused medications sending those who need such medications for medical need to the street that cartels are happy to fulfill the supply demand as they learn how to produce such compounds and the many analogs that skirt the law with many having severe detrimental side effects to provide for those that are unable to jump through the many hoops doctors rely on to avoid prescribing while denying liability blaming the patient for not "being compliant" for action usually taken after being denied care. As I stated they are not thinking in the patient's best interest; and only self-preservation using claims that providing is risking their license if the DEA challenges them referring to policies meant to address diversion that are not meant to deny patients over claims of addiction or abuse. If anything a doctor should provide something standardized and stable that a patient can safely take rather than forcing them to go to clinics that do not address their health care needs addressing only substance dependency while being forced to the streets for unstandardized products that are impossible to maintain a functional condition utilizing them even if they can make the health issue bearable. Not only that, but the fight for equality and acceptance has become more of a catch phrase than an actual effort as the need to be in San Francisco for equal rights becomes less and less necessary with federal legalization of same sex marriage where the city has degraded into a divided place with prejudice being common place. I now hear the term "faggot" being used as an insult nearly every day along with other slurs and general expressions of hate and anger. I have watched as those who fought for equality abandon the city as prices rise and the need to be here to receive non judgmental medical care the LGBTQ community fought for during the AIDS crisis becomes less of a necessity. Plus the need to be here to access to alternative medications the medical cannabis community fought for that are now used as an excuse to deny essential medicines that have a long history of use, and endless evidence of their benefits when used appropriately, that are being treated as impossible to safely use to avoid having to become liable for a patients needs as they use policies meant to end diversion to refuse necessary and essential care. I can not begin to touch on the many ways I have been shamed and degraded for asking for help by being told that my request for medical care is selfish because "there are other people who really need help" while being compared to Asian people collecting trash on the street to claim that I am not making enough effort to be productive to deserve support. It is a very hard subject to speak on due to the controversial nature that leads to attacks on my character to deny my statements claiming I am too uneducated to have a right to speak, but I am tired of staying silent out of fear of being called privileged, ignorant, or even a racist because my skin is white; and I am from the millennial generation with financially stable parents where no one acknowledges the toxic situation caused by their "just say no" attitude inspired by the war on drugs prohibitionist efforts where after I started using cannabis it became "just say yes to whatever the doctors say" after raising me to believe the doctors only wanted to get me on their drugs to make money. I mention my white skin as there are those out there that assume white skin means ignorant, privileged, and associated with American slavery when the reality is my family only recently came to this country; and have no blood relation to slave owners. In fact because of my Jewish blood I actually have more relations to victims of slavery than I do those who engaged in it. The reason I mention being a millennial should be obvious where my toxic family situation was dismissed where I was expected to ignore their abuse because as a millennial my concerns were dismissed as I was treated as spoiled, unappreciative, and ungrateful. I can no longer stay silent out of fear of being dismissed because of my age, income, developmental mental health on the autism spectrum, or my lack of employment due to my disabled condition that is dismissed on the claims I am too young to have health problems. I have accepted such dehumanizing statements for too long and that is why my situation is as such along with the fact that the social support and health care system is broken due to a lack of oversight into neglect and abuse I tried to bring up the week before getting cut off likely because I didn't realize it was not general comments... At least I hope that is the case. Please contact me either responding to this email or directly sending to my [hiimmisterdude@gmail.com](mailto:hiimmisterdude@gmail.com) address. Because of the pandemic I understand it is impossible to arrange to meet to sit down and talk, but I also don't want to just get a phone call at random when I am unprepared to speak on such difficult topics that have traumatized me where discussing them leads to reliving rape trauma, human rights violation, and in general things I do not want to speak of that I can not move past as I have not had any assistance in finding closure; and having these crimes and violations of my



rights addressed that I am not the only person experiencing in this city. I want to discuss these issues as I truly believe they are the root of what is preventing people from accessing support that this city is dumping tons of money into with little evidence of any real progress even before this pandemic hit; and even before Trump was giving the presidency. Please let me know what I need to do to have these issues more directly addressed where not only can the policies that have allowed such issues to happen, but to even help me access the support I should've been given a long time ago before my identity was stolen, before being raped by those preying on my need for support along with the willingness that occurred to the desperation for simple bare necessities, and before so much of my life has been wasted as my health continues to degrade that I have given up all hopes of going to college in hopes of getting a degree in neuropsychopharmacology to pursue my interest into personality development, what causes abnormal development that pushes people to become something they don't want to be, and what it takes to provide support using Maslow's hierarchy of needs to address a person's needs without relying on drugging them to keep them happy while trying to establish care; and rather figure out the dietary, lifestyle, economical, social, or personal needs to reach self actualization to become the productive person we all have the capacity to become with the proper support. Sincerely, Max, a native resident in district 6 Ps. I am still wondering when Matt Haney is ever going to schedule the meeting he offered on twitter, responded to my request to take up his offer and discuss the lack of oversight into neglect and abuse with an enthusiastic "Let's do it!," and even promised to listen to me at his bar crawl celebrating the creation of the "Leather cultural district" deferring me to his assistant before finally shutting me out completely when his assistant did not follow through on providing support while never giving me that meeting. I even can get the twitter message complaining about my righteous frustration that I consider unacceptable even if certain behaviors were extreme caused by duress trying to be heard as I experienced neglect that should never have occurred; let alone be ignored as long as it has even before this pandemic or even my efforts to make sure that Matt Haney couldn't just avoid me after over a year of trying to be acknowledged... I deserve the right to humane treatment I am denied because of dehumanizing efforts that I have tried to look past for too long as they have destroyed my life. All while leaving me unable to trust anyone after being made a victim time and time again while alienating me from those who care who then also suffer feeling helpless leaving me feeling unmotivated to reach out to anyone knowing that it will just cause them pain causing me to become isolated with pain I have no outlet to express forcing me to bottle it up as it literally kills me... The worst part is I am not the only one experiencing this neglect and fully aware of the fact, which my awareness has also been used as an excuse to deny me support that everyone should have the right to access because "I understand the system is broken and should just accept it" as I have been told time and time again. The fact is I shouldn't have to accept it and no longer will I do so to appease others as it takes a drastic toll on my well-being while being blamed for struggling; and not being able to find support as if I am not making an effort. Countless times I have been told I need to "advocate for myself more," when the fact is it is either my effort is used to deny me support, or I am expected to stay silent allowing myself to get worse while everyone turns a blind eye to my struggle to forget me because they don't want to have to accept liability... Tell me that is not what Matt Haney has clearly done in my case... I dare all of you. I only say this because this is private because while I respect the rules of the BOS meeting to not specifically address a board member I am not going to just pretend like it is not a clear and obvious issue that has allowed my situation to continue when support should have been provided long before these issues have become what they are. " I need to know what will be done to address my situation and overall the broken policies that have allowed this situation to occur. I am literally dying as my health takes a severe nose dive as of December after years of trying to maintain stability through medical neglect while living in this "transitional SRO" trying to be grateful for what I have as I am called spoiled and accused of not advocating enough for myself as the reason that I am still struggling when my family especially will tell you I have fought tooth and nail for my human rights only to be neglected and abused time and time again; and especially when I was homeless and being dumped into PES time and time again when I should have been brought to a shelter and set up with support instead of being dumped into PES like it was a drunk tank or time out zone. I need to know what will be done to address human rights violations I have experienced that occur on a regular basis in this city due to the lack of oversight into abuse that leave those like myself unable to find any justice or closure leaving us traumatized and unable to move forward. "Hello my name is Max and I will start

from the beginning, I am a 29 years old San Francisco native, and I am a resident of district 6th abandoned in transitional SRO housing. I want to address the fact that San Francisco is not a safe place anymore; especially for the low income and disabled community members. So I will ask what is going to be done to make San Francisco a safe & supportive city that it used to be? I honestly did not want to speak again after not being able to finish last week, but after having my phone stolen in broad daylight on mission between 4th and 5th right in front of Mels I feel the need to. I believe I was targeted because his friend recognized me from the methadone clinics that I have been forced into repeatedly by pain management doctors trying to avoid prescribing opioids as they do to any patient in need of opioid pain management. This forces many to not only be grouped in with dangerous characters that choose to abuse drugs and engage in criminal behavior, but it forces many to engage in pseudo addiction behavior themselves that is then mislabeled as addiction & abuse to deny said patient from accessing the support they need; as those same workers deny liability even as they cause direct harm choosing to neglect a patients needs. Many end up turning to the streets as methadone & suboxone clinics aren't meant to manage pain while following the recommendations from these places to use "other drugs" is rarely helpful; while even being counter-productive to the overall goal of recovering from substance dependency. Many end up accepting unnecessary, and even detrimental regimens because the drs want to claim they've looked into other options rather than act in the patient's best interest. What will the board do to end this violation of citizens civil and human rights to fair, humane, and not judgmental treatment? What will be done to stop fueling the open air drug markets that have more business then the rest of the city during this pandemic? What will be done to keep citizens safe from criminals who previously focused on shoplifted from the many retail stores that are either closed or can now prevent shoplifting due to a reduction of customers because of the pandemic? What will be done to make this city a safe place again that maintains a diverse, compassionate, and understanding community rather than the current segregated & divided community this broken city promotes?"

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: A preview of the subject I will speak on next week regarding being abandoned in this SRO I was raped in on multiple occasions  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 1:57:00 PM

---

**From:** Mrar Dudre <hiimmisterdude@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 12:40 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** A preview of the subject I will speak on next week regarding being abandoned in this SRO I was raped in on multiple occasions

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I still have to write up a statement that I can read in two minutes, but because I learned today that my reasonable accommodation request from my doctors before they abandoned me to be moved into housing that has facilities that I can actually take care of myself in without having to risk COVID every time I need to use the bathroom that isn't associated with trauma or in an area that I do not feel safe even stepping out the front door in. This reasonable accommodation request was put in over a year ago and Matt Haney said Han was supposed to help me where I was led to believe there was direct involvement to make sure that there was prioritization because of my severe need to be moved into housing where I can not only take care of myself, but also the dog that the first abusive ex who I was placed next to in the shelter that spent that time convincing me that I could trust them only to end up stealing my identity before abandoning me after leading me on to believe he would be supportive and help me move away from this city. This situation is a clear violation of my human rights as no one should have to see the room they were raped in by men who took advantage of my need for support, just a basic back massage, and my lack of social development that allowed them to manipulate me by pretending to be a good person when I was more gullible and actually tried to trust others. I know better now to never trust anyone again as not only did they fracture my trust, but also the police officer who blamed me for allowing it to happen refusing to acknowledge that I was taken advantage of; and even the EMT I tried opening up to who also blamed me for not living in fear while even claiming he would be called to my room and find me dead of an overdose acting like I was his problem when I first developed the lower left abdomen issues last month that has left me feeling like I am dying where every time I pass stools they are covered in dark red blood along with bloody red mucous... I would send pictures, but they were saved on the phone that was stolen out of my hand in broad daylight in an area that used to be one of the most populated areas in the city where something like that would never happen the way it did..

I am still waiting for someone to get into contact with me that is actually motivated to work these issues out as whenever I advocate for myself I am harassed for doing so by people who then claim that it is my fault that I have not gotten help claiming I have not advocated enough. Seriously what the fuck am I supposed to do? What would any of you do if you were in my situation? As I have sought every type of treatment from non-drug pain treatment, opioid replacement therapy and detox, and every type of psychotherapy where it is a waste of time at this point as they all

acknowledge I need situational changes out of my control and that I am doing better than most would do in my situation as they spend most the time open jawed in shock hearing what I have been through while I kill myself having to trigger stress reliving each trauma just trying to get someone to hear me and help me. I have all just about given up and wish that something would kill me as I have tried and it is not easy.... Although whatever is going on with my heart and lower left abdomen definitely will kill me sooner or later if I am unable to find medical care where I have been to the ER, UC, and the PCP before being abandoned too many times only to be referred in circles between the three as they charge me more and more for medical care that is neglected as my health take a steeper and steeper nose dive.

So now I ask personally... What will all of you do to help me? As these "city resources" you all dump money into and praise only support themselves as they neglect people like me who really need help because they just want easy cases that only have to come in for standard updates and nothing else. I am seriously tired of it... If I have to spend another birthday in July having to do whatever I can to pretend that I am not living in a room where I was raped as I am denied care and dehumanized for seeking it you all might as well be killing me yourselves as I have spent over a year trying to get Matt Haney to help me as his whole office from the start has also accused me of not doing enough while he himself promised to acknowledge me at his bar crawl after I asked Honey nervously to introduce me as was afraid to approach him during a time of celebration with such trauma only to be led on to believe I would be heard only to then end up going through the same thing I always do being treated like a child having a temper tantrum that doesn't deserve attention or support, which is completely unacceptable no matter what personal opinions they may have against me.

Sincerely,

Max, An abandoned native resident of district 6 in desperate need of support this city denies

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Parking Mewters set to charge full price  
**Date:** Friday, January 8, 2021 1:25:00 PM

---

**From:** James White <homejames07@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2021 12:20 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Parking Mewters set to charge full price

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

If you use your credit card it adds the full amount of the meter. In the past, I was always able to put my credit card in and add the minutes that I wanted. So if I was going to only be 10-15 minutes at a parking spot I would put that much money on the meter. Now you set the meters where I have to pay for 2 hours or whatever the maximum amount is (full meter) on my credit card just to pop into the post office or pick up dry cleaning. It's bad enough that they are removing parking and putting in parklets and huge oversized islands for the bus stops, but this is just a rip-off. The parking meters were originally put in so that people would put the amount of money needed for the time they were going to be there and they would be in and out so they wouldn't get a ticket and it kept the cars moving so there were always spaces. This is just wrong.

Sincerely

James  
White

--

James White  
415-308-7323  
3515 Fillmore St. 104  
San Francisco, CA. 94123

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Filth in the streets  
**Date:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 8:52:00 AM

---

**From:** Beth Weissman <beth@bweissman.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 4:45 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Filth in the streets

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent from my iPad

**Dear Supervisors,**

The city of San Francisco has less people on the streets than in normal pre-Pandemic times. Yet, the garbage and litter in many places is worse than ever. Yesterday, I drove down Hyde Street from Bush Street, crossing Market to Folsom. The stretch before Market was hard to believe; a normally bad part of town now looked similar to the worst parts of Calcutta or other violently poor places. Garbage everywhere, drugged up, maskless people on the streets in the midst of the filth; why is there no apparent plan to clean the City. The entire City is dirtier than is acceptable. with certain areas an abomination. Some day the pandemic will end; if we expect tourists to come back, if we expect those of us who live here to stay and remain spending members of the City, something needs to be done now. Our reaction as we drove through the city, was that we weren't sure we would be able to live here much longer unless something was done to clean up the streets. Why not start literally? We have so many people out of work due to Covid - why doesn't the City hire them to clean each area of the city? Many other cities do this to everyone's advantage. The unemployed get paid and have a chance of not becoming homeless, or of finding a place to live if homeless, and the City gains by becoming a livable city again. Chicago did this a number of years ago and it was miraculous. There's no excuse for SF to fall into this downward spiral when an easy fix is available. It's at least a place to start and a win for everyone.

Beth Weissman

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: loud  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 1:29:00 PM

---

**From:** Eddy.N@verizon.net <Eddy.N@verizon.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 12:11 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** loud

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF

Because there are so many noisy cars on the road that cause a public nuisance and disrupt our tranquility, the people of SF demand SFPD, DMV to crack down on this noise pollution by revoking both driver license and registration for the same owner with the same car if caught with loud exhaust a **second time**. California must be more brutal in enforcing violations of Vehicle Code Section 27150 or 27151, where state Referee Center must flag vehicles that came back a second time for the same violation and report them to DMV for license and registration revocation. DMV must send warning letters to revoke their license/registration for first time offenders, and law enforcement must use their bodycams to video the cat-less exhaust or make detailed notes on back of ticket (with blue titanium pipes, electrical wires that control diverter valves to bypass muffler, no catalytic converter, and more than one muffler) under the car and email to Referee Center to prevent cheating, because this most likely will be swapped for stock exhaust later. Fines are slaps on the wrist that do not work because owners of supercars like Bugatti, Ferrari can easily afford them after paying \$10,000 or more to make their exhaust louder and even spit fire.





California law requires that all vehicles must be equipped with muffler to prevent excessive noise from exhaust and prohibits exhaust noise level greater than 95 decibels or 95 dB. The trouble is many car buffs from Toyotas to Lamborghinis modify their exhausts with titanium and no catalytic for even louder noise with on/off switch, remote control, diverter valves and when they get a ticket, they just swap their modified exhaust for the stock one to get a Certificate of Compliance from state Referee, then after ticket is dismissed they reinstall the modified exhaust, and they also do this prior to any smog check to renew registration. Undercover agents must get info from violators and companies that make aftermarket exhausts like [www.ryft.co](http://www.ryft.co), [www.quicksilverexhausts.com](http://www.quicksilverexhausts.com), [www.fi-exhaust.com](http://www.fi-exhaust.com), [www.fabspeed.com](http://www.fabspeed.com) or shops that modify cars to learn the latest tricks and technology. The fines for fix it loud exhaust tickets are no deterrence that unless these psychopathic noise violators lose their license and registration they will do this again and again, with DMV, police none the wiser and Californians subjected to loud noises every single day.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: In-person Civil Service Exam 1/2/2021 (3632 Librarian II)  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 10:44:00 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Kelley Jeanne Trahan <kelleytrahan@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, January 18, 2021 1:09 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Subject: In-person Civil Service Exam 1/2/2021 (3632 Librarian II)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am shocked that the City is holding in-person civil service exams at this time.

I am a librarian at the San Francisco Public Library, currently working as a DSW doing contact tracing. The city is in the biggest surge it has seen since March 2020.

My husband is a type 1 diabetic, my son has an immunodeficiency and I am worried about my own safety as well.

I have taken the civil service test many times to stay on the Librarian II civil service list - the room is packed with over 100 people, all about 6 feet apart. It seems insane to do that right now but I do not want to fall off the civil service list.

The list often gets extended by 6 months or a year for apparently no reason at all, but now, in a pandemic, the list will not be extended?

Surely it is allowed and the exam is not urgent. I hope that this decision gets reevaluated asap!

Thank you,  
Kelley

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Swarming Motor Bikes  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 10:04:00 AM

---

**From:** Deborah Callis <[deborahcallis@mindspring.com](mailto:deborahcallis@mindspring.com)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 17, 2021 5:51 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Swarming Motor Bikes

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just passed through the intersection of Bay and Laguna. Approximately 100 riders disobeying any and all road rules. They are LOUD and dangerous. Where are the police?  
This large swarm was heading down Bay, certainly some police should have been aware of the swarm and done something. This is not the first time these bikers have come into town. They are probably emboldened because the police are no shows.

PO BOX 475009, SAN FRANCISCO. CA. 94147  
3501 Laguna Street, #208, San Francisco CA 94123  
415-370-5846  
[DeborahCallis@mindspring.com](mailto:DeborahCallis@mindspring.com)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Mob violence instigated by Donald Trump - San Francisco safety  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:57:00 PM

---

**From:** Carol Ratner <carol\_ratner@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 8:05 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Mob violence instigated by Donald Trump - San Francisco safety

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello. My name is Carol Ratner. I live in San Francisco. I want to urge you to make sure our city, especially the area that Nancy Pelosi and Dianne Feinstein live in, is protected by the police and National Guard beginning **right now through February, 2021**. As you know the mob that descended on Washington DC at the behest of Donald Trump was screaming for blood and specifically called for physically harming Nancy Pelosi. It seemed that the DC Police and the National Guard were prevented from guarding the Capitol Building by their own captains and by Donald Trump.

Trump and the mob are calling for more violence over the next few weeks. Please do everything you can to protect San Francisco and its citizens. We must be prepared. Law enforcement must be prepared. We must be able to repel all violent mobs. Please inform the public of the plans San Francisco will put in place to repel all mobs that may try to physically harm us and destroy our property. Make the announcements on a daily basis. Please investigate all of the mob's means of communication to thwart their efforts. Thank you.

Carol Ratner  
Noe Valley  
San Francisco

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support the demands of frontline communities in Bayview Hunters Point and Treasure Island  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 4:15:00 PM

---

**From:** Leah Redwood <leahredwood@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 15, 2021 12:45 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Support the demands of frontline communities in Bayview Hunters Point and Treasure Island

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of the San Francisco Bay Area and am very concerned about my own health, the health of people living in Bayview Hunters Point and Treasure Island and the health of the entire Bay Area ecosystem.

The city of San Francisco intends to add 10,000+ new residences, hotels, shops and offices to BVHP and Treasure Island, on land contaminated by radioactive wastes, polychlorinated biphenyls, dioxins, lead, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, arsenic, pesticides, paints, waste oil and fuel, solvents, asbestos, acids, and heavy metals.

I strongly urge you to support the demands of the frontline communities

- 1) **Comprehensive, independent retesting** and **comprehensive remediation** of Bay View Hunters Point and Treasure Island with **full community oversight**.
- 2) Protection of BVHP and Treasure Island residents by **relocating** them away from contamination, and providing them full **compensation**, long-term safe **housing**, and **rental support**.
- 3) Guarantees that BVHP and Treasure Island residents will **not be evicted** in retaliation for speaking out.

Thank you for your work. I look forward to hearing back from you.

Leah Redwood  
 San Francisco Bay Area Resident  
[leahredwood@icloud.com](mailto:leahredwood@icloud.com)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support the Demands of Frontline Communities  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 4:15:00 PM

---

**From:** ritafostersharkey <ritafostersharkey@protonmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 15, 2021 12:47 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Support the Demands of Frontline Communities

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of the San Francisco Bay Area and am very concerned about my own health and the health of the Bay Area ecosystem.

The city of San Francisco intends to add 10,000+ new residences, hotels, shops and offices to BVHP and Treasure Island, on land contaminated by radioactive wastes, polychlorinated biphenyls, dioxins, lead, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, arsenic, pesticides, paints, waste oil and fuel, solvents, asbestos, acids, and heavy metals.

I strongly urge you to support the demands of the frontline communities

- 1) **Comprehensive, independent retesting** and **comprehensive remediation** of Bay View Hunters Point and Treasure Island with **full community oversight**.
- 2) Protection of BVHP and Treasure Island residents by **relocating** them away from contamination, and providing them full **compensation**, long-term safe **housing**, and **rental support**.
- 3) Guarantees that BVHP and Treasure Island residents will **not be evicted** in retaliation for speaking out.

Thank you for your work. I look forward to hearing back from you.

Sincerely,  
Rita Foster  
Bay Area Resident

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Treasure Island BVHP frontline communities  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 4:15:00 PM

---

**From:** F. Raedeker <cfraedeker@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 15, 2021 12:51 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Treasure Island BVHP frontline communities

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of the San Francisco Bay Area and am very concerned about my own health and the health of the Bay Area ecosystem.

The city of San Francisco intends to add 10,000+ new residences, hotels, shops and offices to BVHP and Treasure Island, on land contaminated by radioactive wastes, polychlorinated biphenyls, dioxins, lead, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, arsenic, pesticides, paints, waste oil and fuel, solvents, asbestos, acids, and heavy metals.

I strongly urge you to support the demands of the frontline communities

- 1) **Comprehensive, independent retesting** and **comprehensive remediation** of Bay View Hunters Point and Treasure Island with **full community oversight**.
- 2) Protection of BVHP and Treasure Island residents by **relocating** them away from contamination, and providing them full **compensation**, long-term safe **housing**, and **rental support**.
- 3) Guarantees that BVHP and Treasure Island residents will **not be evicted** in retaliation for speaking out.

Thank you for your work. I look forward to hearing back from you ([cfraedeker@yahoo.com](mailto:cfraedeker@yahoo.com)) .

San Francisco Bay Area Resident,

Fran Raedeker

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Bayview Hunters Point and Treasure Island  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 4:34:00 PM

---

**From:** Blair <blair@drlapin.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 15, 2021 1:01 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Bayview Hunters Point and Treasure Island

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors, and especially to my district supervisor, Board President Shamann Walton:

I have been a resident of San Francisco for over 35 years. For the past three-and-a-half years I have lived in the Bayview Hunters Point district of the City. I am very concerned about my own health and the health of the Bay Area ecosystem.

The city of San Francisco intends to add 10,000+ new residences, hotels, shops and offices to BVHP and Treasure Island, on land contaminated by radioactive wastes, polychlorinated biphenyls, dioxins, lead, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, arsenic, pesticides, paints, waste oil and fuel, solvents, asbestos, acids, and heavy metals. Current plans of the city are to leave these poisons in the ground. With global climate change and consequent sea level rise, the San Francisco Bay will also rise, and all of these toxins will spread throughout the bay and the entire San Francisco Bay Area. This is not acceptable!

I strongly urge you to support the demands of the BVHP and Treasure Island frontline communities:

- 1) **Comprehensive, independent retesting** and **comprehensive remediation** of Bay View Hunters Point and Treasure Island with **full community oversight**.
- 2) Protection of BVHP and Treasure Island residents by **relocating** them away from contamination, and providing them full **compensation**, long-term safe **housing**, and **rental support**.
- 3) Guarantees that BVHP and Treasure Island residents will **not be evicted** in retaliation for speaking out.

Thank you for your work. I look forward to hearing back from you.

BVHP Resident,

Blair Sandler, Ph.D., J.D.

1742 Newcomb Ave. 94124

---

<[blair@drlapin.org](mailto:blair@drlapin.org)>

signal: <dr.lapin>

or +1 415-996-6140

phone: +1 415-996-6140

skype: <dr.lapin>



**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Juana Alicia Araiza; Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Diego Rivera Mural Preservation at the San Francisco Art Institute Site  
**Date:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 7:01:23 PM

---

Thank you for your testimony, it has been added to the official Board File No. 210016.

**ERICA MAJOR**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Juana Alicia Araiza <[juanaaliciaa@gmail.com](mailto:juanaaliciaa@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 6:55 PM  
**To:** Major, Erica (BOS) <[erica.major@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.major@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Diego Rivera Mural Preservation at the San Francisco Art Institute Site


This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Major and Other Concerned Parties,

I am writing to express my opposition to the removal of Diego Rivera's fresco, **The Making of a Fresco Showing the Building of a City**, currently located at the San Francisco Art Institute, where it was created as a site-specific work of art. The disgraceful proposition by SFAI to sell the iconic San Francisco legacy work in order to pay for the art school's financial bungling, is unacceptable to me, as a public artist and beneficiary of the legacy of that mural. As a Masters of Fine Art graduate of SFAI, I did my thesis in fresco painting under the tutelage of Rivera's painting assistants and restorers of that fresco, Lucienne Bloch and Stephen Dimitroff. These two artists trained a generation or two of Bay Area muralists in the fresco technique, which the Dimitroffs had mastered while assisting Rivera in Detroit, New York and San Francisco. They widened the circle of politically aware artists who learned from the Mexican Mural Movement, and these generations went on to create a potent and internationally recognized mural movement in the Bay Area. The Rivera mural played a key role in the formation of many artists that attended or taught at the Art Institute over many generations. Among those artists were members of Las Mujeres Muralistas, Dewey Crumpler, Luis and Susan Cervantes, Lucia Ippolito and many more. **The Making of a Fresco Showing the Building of a City** is part of our community's cultural heritage and an important signifier for the history of San Francisco's labor movement as well.

As a muralist and art professor, I have taken many students to draw, critique and reinterpret the mural. It is one of the several fresco jewels in the City's crown of WPA and [Public Works of Art Project](#), and part of a tour that includes the San Francisco Stock Exchange and Coit Tower. Rivera and his colleagues' murals in Mexico were the inspiration for putting artists to work on the government payroll during the Great Depression, and could not be more relevant than they are today, as we face another catastrophic economic and social depression in the United States, complicated by the corona virus pandemic. I urge the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to exercise their leadership in favor of preserving this monumental testimony to the power of an artist's vision to shape their world. San Francisco has traditionally been a beacon for human rights and progressive voices, and a vanguard in the arts. It is essential that the Rivera fresco at the SFAI be made a permanent part of the City's history landmarks for the benefit of future generations.

Thank you for your consideration,



GET OUT!•¡FUERA! Drawing on scratchboard, Juana Alicia ©2020

[Juana Alicia](#)

<https://www.juanaalicia.com>

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on file 201429 UCSF MOU and support for file 210017 Resolution for Regents delay  
**Date:** Friday, January 8, 2021 3:53:00 PM

---

**From:** Nancy Wuerfel <nancenum1@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2021 3:35 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff <ChanStaff@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff <MelgarStaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Comments on file 201429 UCSF MOU and support for file 210017 Resolution for Regents delay

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

January 8, 2021

RE: Comments on file 201429 UCSF MOU and support for file 210017 Resolution for Regents delay

Dear Supervisors:

The Land Use Committee will have a hearing on File 201429 and File 210017 on January 11, 2021. The Board will consider File 201429, item 15 on the agenda, for the meeting on January 12, 2021. I ask that my comments on both these files be considered at both the Land Use Committee hearing and at the full Board meeting for item 15.

(1) My comments for **File 201429** relate only to the UCSF-CCSF MOU, not to the CPHP plan. I responded on October 13, 2020 to Planning's request to the public for suggested topics to be added to the MOU developed for the CPHP. I submitted my written comments on the scope of MOU to Planning staff. Receipt of my timely comments was acknowledged, but they were never presented at the community meetings nor were any of my requests incorporated in the MOU. The following are the three requests I asked for:

**REQUEST #1 to add a topic to the MOU** - "UCSF should require the City to prioritize its responsibility to protect the existing and proposed developments at the Parnassus Campus from fires following a major earthquake by expanding the dedicated-to-fire-suppression Auxiliary Water Supply System (AWSS) of high-pressure pipelines and hydrants into the unprotected western and southern

neighborhoods, and by providing an unlimited volume of saltwater pumped from the ocean for fire suppression, instead of using the limited amount of potable water stored in the Sunset Reservoir which is the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's (SFPUC) current plan, and to comply with the Board of Supervisors resolution declaring a State of Urgency requiring improvements to be completed by 2034."

#### JUSTIFICATION FOR REQUEST # 1

As UCSF embarks on implementing its Long Range Development Plan, it is reasonable for UCSF to expect that the City will fulfill its obligations to be fully prepared to protect lives and property at the Parnassus Campus from catastrophic fires following a major earthquake. The backup to the drinking water delivery system is the AWSS infrastructure that now protects the eastern and central areas of the City by supplying unlimited volumes of saltwater, but the AWSS has not been expanded to the western and southern areas that need the same fire protection. UCSF has an opportunity in negotiating a new MOU to raise its concerns over the City's failure to build the promised AWSS expansion and auxiliary water supply that could protect the campus. This failure directly places all of UCSF's structures and population in jeopardy from uncontrolled fires following an earthquake.

**REQUEST #2 to add a topic to the MOU** - "The City should require UCSF to contribute funds to facilitate the City's completion by 2034 of both the expansion of the dedicated-to-fire-suppression Auxiliary Water Supply System (AWSS) of high-pressure pipelines and hydrants and the development of a saltwater delivery system from the ocean, both of which are both essential to protecting the entire Parnassus Campus complex from fires following a major earthquake, and the City should negotiate with UCSF an offset to the currently unreimbursed City costs for the Fire Department's services and for increases in other City services as a result of UCSF's proposed expansion plans."

#### JUSTIFICATION FOR REQUEST # 2

The City is legally required by the California Constitution Sec. 35 (a)(2) to provide the protection of public safety as local government's *first responsibility* and the local officials have an obligation to give priority to the provision of adequate public safety services. The legislature has also empowered the City in Government Code, Chapter 13.7, Section 54999.3 (b) and (c) to impose fees on a public agency (this includes the Regents of the University of California) to help fund capital facilities construction, and may do so after agreement has been reached between the two agencies through negotiations entered into by both parties. The Mayor points out in her January 16, 2020 letter to Chancellor Hawgood that the city looks forward to working collaboratively with UCSF and supporting the growth plans. Therefore, it is reasonable for the City to expect that UCSF will participate in funding the AWSS infrastructure expansion and the oceanside pump station vital to preserving the campus from catastrophic fires, just as it is reasonable for UCSF to expect that the City will prioritize fulfilling its fire protection obligations.

**REQUEST # 3 to add topic to the MOU** - "Both UCSF and the City should engage in a dialogue through

the MOU to explicitly address UCSF's water needs and the City's responsibility to fulfill those needs, so that appropriate *planning for all water needs* including saltwater can take place by each party."

### JUSTIFICATION FOR REQUEST # 3

A comprehensive review of all of the City's water needs has never taken place and is long overdue. There are department-level decisions about water at the SFPUC, Planning Department, Fire Department, Public Works, and Office of Resilience and Capital Planning, but there is no across-the-board compilation report totaling the City's water needs and how the City plans to supply this water. The City must provide adequate water to serve the needs of its citizens and for public safety. The current bifurcated way the City understands its water needs through individual departmental assessments does not provide the comprehensive knowledge the City must have when advising City leaders who are making decisions about citywide planning issues, capital plans, and funding. Therefore, I recommend that the Mayor appoint a standing committee to analyze data and produce a single report on the City's current and long range water requirements each year to be heard before the Board of Supervisors.

### MY CONCLUSION

UCSF can no longer take it for granted that the City will be able to protect the Parnassus Campus from the fires that follow an earthquake. The City does not have this capability in place now, so the City can no longer postpone prioritizing and funding citywide expansion of the independent AWSS along with providing unlimited saltwater, both of which are essential for protecting UCSF from fires. Acknowledging these issues in the MOU leads to resolving problems. If the lessons learned from the 1906 and 1989 earthquake fires are not enough to mandate fire preparedness for the whole City now, then we need look no further than the devastation that conflagrations are causing in the North Bay counties to see that this could be our future. We CAN choose to protect all of San Francisco from uncontrollable fires because we know HOW to do this with our proven Auxiliary Water Supply System. UCSF should lend its voice and financial support to this solution.

(2) My comments for **file 210017** fully support the resolution and especially the "RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges The Regents to consider the proposed CPHP EIR at their March 2021 meeting in order to allow the residents of the City and County of San Francisco to better understand, consider, and comment upon the project including the draft MOU between their local representatives and UCSF." The proposed MOU has not been presented for approval, or approved, by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors. *No elected public official will be a signatory*

to the MOU, even though legally binding financial commitments for over \$20 million are part of the Agreement. It is clear that the current draft MOU is a premature document, so I request that the draft MOU not be submitted to the Regents of the University of California as a supporting document to the Long Range Development Plan (LRDP). I support postponing the Regents' consideration for approval of the EIR on the LRDP until their March 2021 meeting.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Wuerfel

-->

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Testimony on Agenda Item #2, Proposed UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 7:53:00 PM

---

**From:** pmonette-shaw <pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>

**Sent:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 7:47 PM

**To:** Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff <ChanStaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff <MelgarStaff@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Yu, Angelina (BOS) <angelina.yu@sfgov.org>; Fregosi, Ian (BOS) <ian.fregosi@sfgov.org>; Chelsea.Boilard@sfgov.org; Herzstein, Daniel (BOS) <daniel.herzstein@sfgov.org>; Bennett, Samuel (BOS) <samuel.bennett@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Falzon, Frankie (BOS) <frankie.falzon@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Souza, Sarah (BOS) <sarah.s.souza@sfgov.org>; Quan, Daisy (BOS) <daisy.quan@sfgov.org>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong1@sfgov.org>; Wright, Edward (BOS) <edward.w.wright@sfgov.org>; RivamonteMesa, Abigail (BOS) <abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org>; Mcdonald, Courtney (BOS) <courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org>; Mahogany, Honey (BOS) <honey.mahogany@sfgov.org>; Zou, Han (BOS) <han.zou@sfgov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>; Maybaum, Erica (BOS) <erica.maybaum@sfgov.org>; Vejby, Caitlin (BOS) <caitlin.vejby@sfgov.org>; Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>; Temprano, Tom (BOS) <tom.temprano@sfgov.org>; Mundy, Erin (BOS) <erin.mundy@sfgov.org>; Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>; Goossen, Carolyn (PDR) <carolyn.goossen@sfgov.org>; Monge, Paul (BOS) <paul.monge@sfgov.org>; Beinart, Amy (BOS) <amy.beinart@sfgov.org>; Li-D9, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org>; Burch, Percy (BOS) <percy.burch@sfgov.org>; Gallardo, Tracy (BOS) <tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org>; Gee, Natalie (BOS) <natalie.gee@sfgov.org>; Evans, Abe (BOS) <abe.evans@sfgov.org>; Sandoval, Suhagey (BOS) <suhagey.sandoval@sfgov.org>; Ho, Tim (BOS) <tim.h.ho@sfgov.org>; Chinchilla, Monica (BOS) <monica.chinchilla@sfgov.org>; Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <preston.kilgore@sfgov.org>; Yu, Avery (BOS) <avery.yu@sfgov.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Testimony on Agenda Item #2, Proposed UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Patrick Monette-Shaw**



975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6  
San Francisco, CA 94109  
Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail:  
[pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net](mailto:pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net)

January 10, 2021

Board of Supervisors Land Use and Transportation Committee

The Honorable Aaron Peskin, LUT Committee Chairperson

The Honorable Ahsha Safai, LUT Committee Member

The Honorable Dean Preston, LUT Committee Member

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: **Testimony on Agenda Item #2,**

**Proposed UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan**

Dear Chairperson Peskin and Land Use Committee Members,

I fully support this Resolution urging the California Regents to move consideration of the proposed UCSF *Parnassus Expansion Plan* EIR from the Regents' January 2021 meeting to its March 2021 meeting.

Back in 2017 and 2018, San Francisco's Department of Public Health collaborated with the Hospital Council of Northern and Central California in exploring options for post-acute care facilities in our City following CPMC's closure of its sub-acute SNF at St. Luke's hospital. The so-called Post-Acute Care Collaborative (PACC) led by the Hospital Council dragged its feet, but eventually issued recommendations that amount to untenable long-term care solutions.

To this day, after CPMC did close St. Luke's sub-acute unit, San Francisco has been without any sub-acute SNF's co-located on a hospital campus having an ICU — which is an absolute necessity for this level of patient care. Obviously, since LHH does not have an ICU, a sub-acute SNF can simply not be placed there.

At the time in 2017, the Department of Public Health requested that private-sector hospitals submit data on their out-of-county discharge data. Only UCSF and CPMC provided data on out-of-county discharges to DPH's Sneha Patil, and then only for calendar year 2016 (CPMC) and FY 2016–2017 (UCSF). Chinese Hospital, St. Mary's, St. Francis, and Kaiser failed to provide Ms. Patil with any out-of-county discharge data.

UCSF admitted to Ms. Patil that it had discharged 137 folks to out-of-county facilities during FY 16-17 alone. We have no idea how many more patients UCSF has discharged out-of-county either before FY 2016–2017, or in the years following FY 2016–2017.

We do know that across the years, a bare minimum of 1,722 patients have been discharged out-of-county, over half of which were discharged from SFGH and LHH, partly because CPMC would not accept admissions to its sub-acute SNF from non-CPMC facilities.

At one point, it was thought that Supervisor Hillary Ronen was going to introduce legislation requiring each hospital in San Francisco to report annually their out-of-county discharge data, but to date, no such legislation has been introduced to the Board of Supervisors.

UCSF's intention of providing only behavioral health services as the focus of its draft *Parnassus Expansion Plan* MOU between the City and UCSF is wholly inadequate.

The latest Health Care Services Master Plan put out by SFDPH and the Planning Department acknowledges the need for long-term care facilities in the City, but doesn't adequately address how those facilities will be provided as San Franciscans age.

The proposed UCSF *Parnassus Expansion Plan* must be delayed from the UC Regents' January 2021 meeting to its March 2021 meeting in order to provide time to address including sub-acute SNF services, at minimum, in the MOU.

The City cannot afford to make the same kind of mistakes in an MOU with UCSF that mirror similar mistakes discovered in CPMC's Cathedral Hill Hospital MOU.

Respectfully submitted,

**Patrick Monette-Shaw**

*Columnist,*

*Westside Observer* Newspaper

cc: The Honorable Shamann Walton, Board President

The Honorable Connie Chan, Supervisor, District 1

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, Supervisor, District 2

The Honorable Gordon Mar, Supervisor, District 4

The Honorable Matt Haney, Supervisor, District 6

The Honorable Myrna Melgar, Supervisor, District 7

The Honorable Rafel Mandelman, Supervisor, District 8

The Honorable Hillary Ronen, Supervisor, District 9

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Erica Major, Board of Supervisors Clerk to the Land Use and Transportation Committee

**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Testimony on Agenda Item #2, Proposed UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan  
**Date:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 8:07:24 PM

---

Got it, thanks!

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 7:53 PM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <[bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Major, Erica (BOS) <[erica.major@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.major@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** FW: Testimony on Agenda Item #2, Proposed UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan

---

**From:** pmonette-shaw <[pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net](mailto:pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 7:47 PM  
**To:** Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS)

<[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <[rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org](mailto:rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; ChanStaff <[ChanStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:ChanStaff@sfgov.org)>; MelgarStaff <[MelgarStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:MelgarStaff@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Yu, Angelina (BOS) <[angelina.yu@sfgov.org](mailto:angelina.yu@sfgov.org)>; Fregosi, Ian (BOS) <[ian.fregosi@sfgov.org](mailto:ian.fregosi@sfgov.org)>; [Chelsea.Boilard@sfgov.org](mailto:Chelsea.Boilard@sfgov.org); Herzstein, Daniel (BOS) <[daniel.herzstein@sfgov.org](mailto:daniel.herzstein@sfgov.org)>; Bennett, Samuel (BOS) <[samuel.bennett@sfgov.org](mailto:samuel.bennett@sfgov.org)>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <[andrew.mullan@sfgov.org](mailto:andrew.mullan@sfgov.org)>; Falzon, Frankie (BOS) <[frankie.falzon@sfgov.org](mailto:frankie.falzon@sfgov.org)>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <[sunny.angulo@sfgov.org](mailto:sunny.angulo@sfgov.org)>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <[lee.hepner@sfgov.org](mailto:lee.hepner@sfgov.org)>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <[calvin.yan@sfgov.org](mailto:calvin.yan@sfgov.org)>; Souza, Sarah (BOS) <[sarah.s.souza@sfgov.org](mailto:sarah.s.souza@sfgov.org)>; Quan, Daisy (BOS) <[daisy.quan@sfgov.org](mailto:daisy.quan@sfgov.org)>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <[alan.wong1@sfgov.org](mailto:alan.wong1@sfgov.org)>; Wright, Edward (BOS) <[edward.w.wright@sfgov.org](mailto:edward.w.wright@sfgov.org)>; RivamonteMesa, Abigail (BOS) <[abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org](mailto:abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org)>; Mcdonald, Courtney (BOS) <[courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org](mailto:courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org)>; Mahogany, Honey (BOS) <[honey.mahogany@sfgov.org](mailto:honey.mahogany@sfgov.org)>; Zou, Han (BOS) <[han.zou@sfgov.org](mailto:han.zou@sfgov.org)>; Low, Jen (BOS) <[jen.low@sfgov.org](mailto:jen.low@sfgov.org)>; Maybaum, Erica (BOS) <[erica.maybaum@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.maybaum@sfgov.org)>; Vejby, Caitlin (BOS) <[caitlin.vejby@sfgov.org](mailto:caitlin.vejby@sfgov.org)>; Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <[kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org](mailto:kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org)>; Temprano, Tom (BOS) <[tom.temprano@sfgov.org](mailto:tom.temprano@sfgov.org)>; Mundy, Erin (BOS) <[erin.mundy@sfgov.org](mailto:erin.mundy@sfgov.org)>; Adkins, Joe (BOS) <[joe.adkins@sfgov.org](mailto:joe.adkins@sfgov.org)>; Goossen, Carolyn (PDR) <[carolyn.goossen@sfgov.org](mailto:carolyn.goossen@sfgov.org)>; Monge, Paul (BOS) <[paul.monge@sfgov.org](mailto:paul.monge@sfgov.org)>; Beinart, Amy (BOS) <[amy.beinart@sfgov.org](mailto:amy.beinart@sfgov.org)>; Li-D9, Jennifer (BOS) <[jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org](mailto:jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org)>; Burch, Percy (BOS) <[percy.burch@sfgov.org](mailto:percy.burch@sfgov.org)>; Gallardo, Tracy (BOS) <[tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org](mailto:tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org)>; Gee, Natalie (BOS) <[natalie.gee@sfgov.org](mailto:natalie.gee@sfgov.org)>; Evans, Abe (BOS) <[abe.evans@sfgov.org](mailto:abe.evans@sfgov.org)>; Sandoval, Suhagey (BOS) <[suhagey.sandoval@sfgov.org](mailto:suhagey.sandoval@sfgov.org)>; Ho, Tim (BOS) <[tim.h.ho@sfgov.org](mailto:tim.h.ho@sfgov.org)>; Chinchilla, Monica (BOS) <[monica.chinchilla@sfgov.org](mailto:monica.chinchilla@sfgov.org)>; Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <[kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org](mailto:kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org)>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <[preston.kilgore@sfgov.org](mailto:preston.kilgore@sfgov.org)>; Yu, Avery (BOS) <[avery.yu@sfgov.org](mailto:avery.yu@sfgov.org)>; Major, Erica (BOS) <[erica.major@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.major@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Testimony on Agenda Item #2, Proposed UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Patrick Monette-Shaw

975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6  
San Francisco, CA 94109  
Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail:  
[pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net](mailto:pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net)

January 10, 2021

Board of Supervisors Land Use and Transportation Committee

The Honorable Aaron Peskin, LUT Committee Chairperson

The Honorable Ahsha Safai, LUT Committee Member

The Honorable Dean Preston, LUT Committee Member

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: **Testimony on Agenda Item #2,**

**Proposed UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan**

---

Dear Chairperson Peskin and Land Use Committee Members,

I fully support this Resolution urging the California Regents to move consideration of the proposed UCSF *Parnassus Expansion Plan* EIR from the Regents' January 2021 meeting to its March 2021 meeting.

Back in 2017 and 2018, San Francisco's Department of Public Health collaborated with the Hospital Council of Northern and Central California in exploring options for post-acute care facilities in our City following CMPC's closure of its sub-acute SNF at St. Luke's hospital. The so-called Post-Acute Care Collaborative (PACC) led by the Hospital Council dragged its feet, but eventually issued recommendations that amount to untenable long-term care solutions.

To this day, after CPMC did close St. Luke's sub-acute unit, San Francisco has been without any sub-acute SNF's co-located on a hospital campus having an ICU — which is an absolute necessity for this level of patient care. Obviously, since LHH does not have an ICU, a sub-acute SNF can simply not be placed there.

At the time in 2017, the Department of Public Health requested that private-sector hospitals submit data on their out-of-county discharge data. **Only UCSF and CPMC provided data on out-of-county discharges to DPH's Sneha Patil, and then only for calendar year 2016 (CPMC) and FY 2016–2017 (UCSF).** Chinese Hospital, St. Mary's, St. Francis, and Kaiser failed to provide Ms. Patil with any out-of-county discharge data.

UCSF admitted to Ms. Patil that it had discharged 137 folks to out-of-county facilities during FY 16-17 alone. We have no idea how many more patients UCSF has discharged out-of-county either before FY 2016–2017, or in the years following FY 2016–2017.

We do know that across the years, a bare minimum of 1,722 patients have been discharged out-of-county, over half of which were discharged from SFGH and LHH, partly because CPMC would not accept admissions to its sub-acute SNF from non-CPMC facilities.

At one point, it was thought that Supervisor Hillary Ronen was going to introduce legislation requiring each hospital in San Francisco to report annually their out-of-county discharge data, but to date, no such legislation has been introduced to the Board of Supervisors.

UCSF's intention of providing only behavioral health services as the focus of its draft *Parnassus Expansion Plan* MOU between the City and UCSF is wholly inadequate.

The latest Health Care Services Master Plan put out by SFDPH and the Planning Department acknowledges the need for long-term care facilities in the City, but doesn't adequately address how those facilities will be provided as San Franciscans age.

The proposed UCSF *Parnassus Expansion Plan* must be delayed from the UC Regents' January 2021 meeting to its March 2021 meeting in order to provide time to address including sub-acute SNF services, at minimum, in the MOU.

The City cannot afford to make the same kind of mistakes in an MOU with UCSF that mirror similar mistakes discovered in CPMC's Cathedral Hill Hospital MOU.

Respectfully submitted,

**Patrick Monette-Shaw**

*Columnist,*

*Westside Observer Newspaper*

cc: The Honorable Shamann Walton, Board President  
The Honorable Connie Chan, Supervisor, District 1  
The Honorable Catherine Stefani, Supervisor, District 2  
The Honorable Gordon Mar, Supervisor, District 4  
The Honorable Matt Haney, Supervisor, District 6  
The Honorable Myrna Melgar, Supervisor, District 7  
The Honorable Rafel Mandelman, Supervisor, District 8  
The Honorable Hillary Ronen, Supervisor, District 9  
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Erica Major, Board of Supervisors Clerk to the Land Use and Transportation Committee

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Action alert - write today! SUPPORT BOS Resolution - More time to consider UCSF Expansion Project  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:51:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [2021-01-04 Sierra Club - UCSF - CPHP comments.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Kathy Howard <kathyhoward@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 5:41 AM  
**To:** Kathy Howard <kathyhoward@earthlink.net>  
**Cc:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Action alert - write today! SUPPORT BOS Resolution - More time to consider UCSF Expansion Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi affordable housing supporters,

You have probably read about the UCSF Parnassus massive expansion project. Despite the glowing descriptions in the *SF Chronicle*, this project will have an enormous negative impact on the neighborhood and will exacerbate the housing crises in SF by bringing in more people while providing only a small increase in housing. Please see the attached letter from the Sierra Club outlining some of the other problems with this project.

Supervisor Preston has introduced a resolution asking that the UC Regents give the City a few more months to review this project. This is NOT a request to cancel the project but rather for more time for input.

*Please support his resolution at the BOS Land Use Committee today and at the full BOS tomorrow.*

Write today to:

A Clerk of the Board [Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org)

Subject: UCSF Resolution - Support

I support the Resolution asking that the Regents consider the proposed CPHP EIR at their March 2021 meeting in order to allow the residents of the City and County of San Francisco to better understand, consider, and comment upon the project, including the draft MOU between their local representatives and UCSF.

Thanks, everyone!

Kathy H.



## **San Francisco Group, SF Bay Chapter**

Serving San Francisco County

January 4, 2021

Board of Regents

University of California at San Francisco

c/o Office of the Secretary and Chief of Staff to the Regents

1111 Franklin St., 12th floor

Oakland, CA 94607

[regentsoffice@ucop.edu](mailto:regentsoffice@ucop.edu)

Subject: Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan (CPHP)

Dear Board of Regents,

In December 2020, the Sierra Club held a meeting to discuss the Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan (CPHP) attended by representatives from UCSF and from the local community. The topics discussed at that meeting were transportation, housing, open space, and community participation in the planning process.

First let us say that we deeply appreciate the work that the staff of UCSF has done during the COVID-19 pandemic and the benefit that they bring to the community. We also understand the need to update or replace facilities that may be at the end of their lifespan. We appreciate UCSF's goals to incorporate "planning elements that seek to improve mobility, increase campus housing, and create significantly more open spaces and greater community access."<sup>1</sup> After reviewing the CPHP planning and environmental documents and hearing from community members who have been involved with this project and with UCSF for many years, we are submitting the following concerns for your consideration.

### Transportation

UCSF proposes to add an average of 8,000 people to its daily population. UCSF estimates that this will mean adding approximately 3,000 automobile trips a day, about two-thirds of which would be people driving alone, with an additional 2,500 people taking public transportation.

This will place a substantial strain on an already over-burdened transportation system and in particular on the N Judah streetcar, the primary route to downtown for the entire northern part of the Sunset District. Pre-COVID, the N Judah streetcar route was one of San Francisco's most heavily used transit lines, with full-capacity trains that often didn't stop to pick up passengers at rush hour. We commend

---

<sup>1</sup> "Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan," Updated June 2020, Page 3.



UCSF for volunteering to donate approximately \$20 million <sup>2</sup> to San Francisco's Transportation Sustainability Fee program for transit improvements, an amount equal to that which would normally be paid by a private developer; however, it is unlikely that the proposed fee will be enough to accommodate the additional riders that will use public transit over the life of the project. It is also unclear how the current system could be expanded to handle the additional projected ridership.

Furthermore, projecting 3,000 automobile trips a day is not a sustainable approach to transportation. The increase in air pollution and greenhouse gases alone are of concern. The State of California has an ambitious goal of reducing greenhouse gasses, and an aggressive transit element in the UCSF proposal is necessary. Any increase in the amount of automobile traffic will also have a negative impact on the surrounding residential neighborhood.

*Better plans for and more extensive funding of public transit are going to be needed to keep people out of their cars and meet climate goals regarding greenhouse gases.*

### Jobs and Housing Balance

The project will bring approximately 4,100 new staff and students to the UCSF campus on Parnassus by 2030. However, only 134 units of housing will be developed by 2030. By 2050, another 1,000 jobs will be created and some additional housing units are planned, but only a fraction of what is needed. In addition, there is no housing planned for the workforce that will be needed to support the additional faculty and staff. It is likely that the result will be the further gentrification of the housing surrounding the UCSF campus as well as the loss of affordable housing for those living nearby through evictions, raised rents, or other pressures.

The Sierra Club is a strong advocate for social equity. A large portion of the existing workforce already cannot afford to live in San Francisco. We urge UCSF to better balance the amount of housing with the number of new jobs. We commend UCSF for increasing the percentage of affordable housing to 40% affordable, which is much closer to our preference for 50%. However, there is no indication when the affordable homes will be built other than a promise to do so by 2050. The need is now, not in 30 years.

Many workers commute daily from as far away as Tracy and Sacramento. Without a substantial increase in the workforce housing, this project will put more economic pressures on the staff and have an enormous negative impact on the local community. Pushing the workforce into extended commutes will result in significant increases in greenhouse gas emissions and undermine attempts to reduce the amount of climate change we are already facing in the future.

*The housing-jobs balance for this project should be re-evaluated for the impact it will have on housing not only for the current residents of the neighborhood and the City as a whole, but also for the new workforce.*

### Open Space – Shadows and Wind

We appreciate the emphasis on open space that is shown in the Programmatic EIR. The Sierra Club supports providing more open space for people who will be working on the new campus as well as for the local residents. Opening up the campus so that there is a connection between Mt. Sutro and Golden Gate Park ("Park to Peak") is also to be commended. However, there is a certain irony to this connection, because the proposed new hospital – the height of a 30-story-tall building - will have a negative impact in terms of wind and shadows on the open space as well as on Golden Gate Park.

---

<sup>2</sup> *SF Chronicle*, January 4, 2021.

A three-hundred-foot building is completely out of scale with this residential neighborhood. Because it is being placed on the side of a hill, the top of the building will be over 400 feet above the level of Golden Gate Park. This building will have considerable impacts in terms of shadows and wind.

The Sierra Club recognizes the importance of open space in urban areas. Parks are a vital resource not only for public health and recreation but also as necessary habitat for wildlife. Wildlife is struggling everywhere, and our cities are becoming one of the areas where they can eke out survival. As isolated parkland surrounded on three sides by urban development, Golden Gate Park is easily impacted by any negative changes in its environment. Even limited shadowing will have an impact on the health of the plant life and on the wildlife habitat as well as detract from the park experience for people, who use the park at all hours of the day.

The EIR illustrates shadowing in Golden Gate Park, including the Park nursery, an area that would be especially sensitive to the need for steady sunlight. In addition, two schools (including school yards open for the public as part of the City's shared Schoolyard Project) and an additional park and a playground, as well as part of the Reserve will be in shadow part of the time with the new project. The Sierra Club opposes any shadowing of our parks, and asks that this plan be modified so that the new buildings will not shadow our parks and have a limited shadow impact on other outdoor space in the neighborhood, such as backyards, which also provide habitat.

An increase in the wind in the area is also a concern. Parnassus Heights is already a windy area. The On-shore breezes sweep in from the ocean. Once the wind hits the proposed 300-foot-tall building, it will be intensified and bounce down into the open space and the surrounding neighborhoods. Although UCSF states that it will meet the City's requirement for wind hazards, that requirement is only for 26-mph winds that don't last more than one hour; this does not make for a comfortable park experience and most plants do not do well in a constantly windy environment.

Moreover, stating in the EIR that tall buildings can be built even if wind speed reduction strategies are "not feasible" or cost more money,<sup>3</sup> is essentially the same as saying that wind reduction does not have to be done.

*A shorter building, wind baffles and other controls on all of the buildings to decrease windspeed, and a more stringent requirement for a lower wind speed throughout the project site should be part of the analysis of this project.*

In addition, the CPHP proposes adding outdoor heating elements to mitigate the wind conditions on the project.<sup>4</sup> This is not environmentally sustainable and should not be considered for this site.

*Instead, a building and open space design that naturally protects open space from wind and preserves natural sunlight should be supported.*

### Community input

The CHPC states, "We are excited to begin the transformation of Parnassus Heights, a process that will be guided by the continued collaboration and guidance of our stakeholders over many decades".<sup>5</sup>

However, many neighbors feel that the local community's suggestions have not been given serious consideration and that UCSF entered the public feedback process with a predetermined plan that was

---

<sup>3</sup> "OCSF CPHP, EIR". July 2020 page 4.1-47

<sup>4</sup> "Design buildings and public spaces to address the local microclimate (wind, solar access, fog). Exterior spaces should function for year-round occupancy and include wind mitigation treatments, heating elements, and efficient lighting."

<sup>5</sup> "Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan," Updated June 2020, Page 3.

more or less unchangeable. The Sierra Club has signed on to the Jemez Principles for Democratic Organizing, which support local determination for communities.<sup>6</sup>

*We suggest that UCSF go back to the neighborhood and include residents' ideas in the plans for the CPHP.*

### Conclusion

The Sierra Club understands the importance of up-to-date facilities for medical care; however, we would also like to put forward the idea that a healthy environment is important for the well-being of local communities and to combat climate change. A project of this magnitude needs to address its environmental and social equity impacts.

The project's massive increase in square footage, resulting in a much larger campus and patient/workforce/commuter population, as well as the addition of a 300-foot-tall building on a hillside in the middle of a residential community with parks, schools, and other open space, are major factors in the negative environmental impacts that this project will have on this residential section of San Francisco.

New developments should strive to balance new jobs with providing new housing and meeting social equity goals by having a large percentage of the housing be affordable. Providing sufficient affordable housing will also help reduce the greenhouse gas emissions by reducing the number of car trips needed by employees who will walk to work rather than commute long distances. In addition, the project will need an aggressive mass transit program in order to reduce single car use so that the project will not result in increased greenhouse gas emissions.

*The Sierra Club urges UCSF to rethink the parameters of this project and create a more environmentally-sustainable, equitable, and neighborhood-friendly project.*

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

*Barry Hermanson*

Barry Hermanson

On behalf of the

San Francisco Group Executive Committee

cc: San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco Planning Commission

---

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.ejnet.org/ej/jemez.pdf>

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: UCSF Parnassus Resolution - Support  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:51:00 PM

---

**From:** James Parke <jdbparke@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 7:50 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** UCSF Parnassus Resolution - Support

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support the Resolution asking that the Regents consider the proposed CPHP EIR at their March 2021 meeting in order to allow the residents of the City and County of San Francisco to better understand, consider, and comment upon the project, including the draft MOU between their local representatives and UCSF.

James Parke

1375 44th Avenue  
San Francisco

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: UCSF Parnassus Resolution - Support  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:55:00 PM

---

**From:** James Parke <jdbparke@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 7:50 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** UCSF Parnassus Resolution - Support

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support the Resolution asking that the Regents consider the proposed CPHP EIR at their March 2021 meeting in order to allow the residents of the City and County of San Francisco to better understand, consider, and comment upon the project, including the draft MOU between their local representatives and UCSF.

James Parke

1375 44th Avenue  
San Francisco

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: UCSF expansion: more time needed!  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:58:00 PM

---

**From:** Carl Russo <c\_russo@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 8:11 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Susan Latham <sdlatham@yahoo.com>; Vanessa Picton <vpicton@hotmail.com>;  
tesw@aol.com; Bruce Wolfe <bruce@brucewolfe.net>; hancsf@yahoo.com; Denise Bradley  
<sfodab@hotmail.com>; sherry hugi <sherryhugi@hotmail.com>  
**Subject:** UCSF expansion: more time needed!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I support Supervisor Preston's resolution asking UCSF regents to delay the hearing on their proposed CPHP EIR until March. San Franciscans need more time to weigh the enormity of such an expansion, which will impact neighbors, affordable housing, transportation, traffic, and the environment.

Thank you,

Carl Russo  
1965 Page Street, Apt. 303  
San Francisco, CA 94117

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: UCSF expansion: more time needed!  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:58:00 PM

---

**From:** sdlatham <sdlatham@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 8:14 AM

**To:** Carl Russo <c\_russo@hotmail.com>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Vanessa Picton <vpicton@hotmail.com>; tesw@aol.com; Bruce Wolfe <bruce@brucewolfe.net>; hancsf@yahoo.com; Denise Bradley <sfodab@hotmail.com>; sherry hugi <sherryhugi@hotmail.com>

**Subject:** Re: UCSF expansion: more time needed!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Exactly.

Susan Latham  
1965 Page Street #301  
San Francisco CA 94117

On Monday, January 11, 2021, 8:10 AM, Carl Russo <[c\\_russo@hotmail.com](mailto:c_russo@hotmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I support Supervisor Preston's resolution asking UCSF regents to delay the hearing on their proposed CPHP EIR until March. San Franciscans need more time to weigh the enormity of such an expansion, which will impact neighbors, affordable housing, transportation, traffic, and the environment.

Thank you,

Carl Russo  
1965 Page Street, Apt. 303  
San Francisco, CA 94117

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SUPPORT Resolution to postpone UCSF approval  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:59:00 PM

---

**From:** Bruce Wolfe <brucewolfe.sf@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 8:23 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** SUPPORT Resolution to postpone UCSF approval

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors of the Rules Committee,

I am a District 5 neighbor.

I SUPPORT Supervisor Dean Preston's Resolution asking that the Regents consider the proposed CPHP EIR at their March 2021 meeting in order to allow the residents of the City and County of San Francisco to better understand, consider, and comment upon the project, including the draft MOU between their local representatives and UCSF.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: letter to BOS & Land Use re UCSF-MOU  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 2:17:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Microsoft Word - Delay Regents UCSF EIR Vote.docx.pdf](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Major, Erica (BOS) <[erica.major@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.major@sfgov.org)>  
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 12:00 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; Denis Mosgofian <[denismosgofian@gmail.com](mailto:denismosgofian@gmail.com)>  
Subject: FW: letter to BOS & Land Use re UCSF-MOU

Confirming receipt and submittal to the full Board.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 [Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Denis Mosgofian <[denismosgofian@gmail.com](mailto:denismosgofian@gmail.com)>  
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 11:50 AM  
To: Major, Erica (BOS) <[erica.major@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.major@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: letter to BOS & Land Use re UCSF-MOU

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Erica Major,

Please distribute this letter to the Land Use Committee Supervisors and to the rest of the full Board of Supervisors.

Thank you,

Lori Liederman and Denis Mosgofian

January 10, 2021

Re: Support Delay Request for Regents UCSF EIR Vote

Dear Supervisors,

**That UCSF is a greatly valued medical institution is not at issue.**

**The central issues addressed herein, concern the role of the City representatives vis-à-vis UCSF as a Developer.** The purpose of the MOU is to help ensure the community benefits for Parnassus Heights align with the City's priorities for housing, open space, and transportation. But overall, the role of the City officials must be to insure that the project is prohibited from imposing undue hardships on adjacent neighborhoods, and from shifting to San Franciscans, the tax burdens required to sustain the project which are rightly the responsibility of the project sponsors.

Unfortunately, the MOU between the City of San Francisco and UCSF falls short. It is the people of San Francisco who will be left to endure the massive 30 year construction project, and who will bear the pressures from the lack of affordable housing, displacement, and congestion. It will be the taxpayers of San Francisco who will have to bear the ongoing costs for MUNI when the paltry \$20M runs out.

The 2014 Long Range Development Plan (LRDP), which included the Parnassus Campus, reaffirmed the 3.55 million square feet space ceiling. Less than 2 years later UCSF began developing a new plan that expanded the space ceiling by 42%. This expansion betrayed the 2014 LRDP and was an overt bait and switch for the community.

While the planned hospital will be subject to a separate environmental review, there is no such assurance with respect to the remainder of the project. It is disheartening that CPHP's violation of decades of understandings and agreements is not addressed at all in this MOU. What assurance can there be that UCSF leaders of the future won't violate this MOU when it is again inconvenient?

We appreciate the work of City departments and elected officials to negotiate an MOU with UCSF mid-pandemic within UCSF's fast-paced-timeline. The negotiated increases to housing and transit are positive but **are obviously not nearly enough**. There is too little housing, too late in the 30-year process, with too little affordability for the staff. As we have seen repeatedly, this leads to many workers commuting longer distances with high-salaried recruits putting pressure on local housing costs driving gentrification and displacement.

It is reflective of the entire MOU that UCSF retains the option to pay an in-lieu fee or give in-lieu land to the City for up to 200 of the affordable units, with no timely deadline for said decision. The MOU wrongly permits UCSF to choose whether or not to build, at or near the end of the 30-year construction period, leaving the City of San Francisco to produce the actual housing after UCSF's own deadlines for construction.

\$20 million for transit is a drop in the bucket to help pay SFMTA for public transit that will be required for the UCSF population for the rest of the century. It is less than \$700,000 per each year of construction. SFMTA would be on the hook for the rest.

**The bulk of agreements in the MOU are rendered non-binding** by the use of language such as: "Good faith efforts", "Investigate potential for", "explore opportunities", "subject to available space", "reaffirms desire to", etc. The MOU must be a commitment, not full of vague get-out-of-jail-free cards. Even with respect to the much-lauded commitment to behavioral health, the MOU cites intentions, not requirements. It overflows with paragraphs that simply acknowledge existing partnerships and programs.

While understanding the limits on the City's authority in this case, it is concerning that San Francisco Officials relinquished their only true leverage, the issuance of permits, in exchange for so little. UC receives numerous exemptions in addition to exemption from our Planning and Zoning laws. They pay no property taxes, which means they contribute nothing to our long-term bonds. They pay no gross-receipts tax and they pay a reduced sales tax on all purchases related to research and development.

We wish to remind the City that when UCSF was contesting the Chase-Warriors Stadium, the City threatened UCSF's role at SF General Hospital if UCSF did not back down. So when the City officials were representing billionaire Warrior owners and Chase Bank, the City used its leverage. The city must use its leverage now to make UCSF pay for what it intends to impose.

Finally, UC's rush to seek Regent approval during a pandemic within 7 months of releasing the **Draft** EIR for this massive 30-year plan manifests a lack of good faith. As of this writing, the **Final** EIR has yet to be released to the public. There is insufficient time this month for the City, the public or the Regents to review and consider the final EIR.

We support the request for a delayed vote by the Regents.

Sincerely,

Lori Liederman, Inner Sunset D5  
Denis Mosgofian, Inner Sunset D5  
Allan Chalmers Inner Sunset D5  
Linda Chalmers Inner Sunset D5  
Jonnina Simpson Inner Sunset D5  
Madeleine Simpson Inner Sunset D5  
David Simpson Inner Sunset D5  
Dave Freitas Inner Sunset D5  
Jerry Gerber Inner Sunset D5  
Pat Chin Inner Sunset D5  
Ray Dudum Sunset D4

Renee Curran Inner Sunset D5  
Hugo Kobayashi Inner Sunset D5  
Lilian Stielstra Inner Sunset D5  
Scott Stielstra Inner Sunset D5  
Dennis Antenore Inner Sunset D7  
Maria Wabl Inner Sunset D7  
Roger Hofmann Kirkham Hts. D7  
Pam Hofmann Kirkham Hts. D7  
Jacqueline Ventura Kirkham Hts. D7  
Daniel Tomasevich Inner Sunset D7  
D'Anna Alexander Kirkham Hts. D7  
Patricia Veitch Kirkham Hts. D7  
Tiina Sepp, Kirkham Hts. D7  
Sarah Safir, Kirkham Hts. D7  
Steve Labrum, Kirkham Hts. D7

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support Resolution to postpone UCSF approval to March 2021  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 2:19:00 PM

---

**From:** Jane Dunlap <dunlapjc@att.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 12:15 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Support Resolution to postpone UCSF approval to March 2021

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support the Resolution asking that the Regents consider the proposed CPHP EIR at their March 2021 meeting in order to allow the residents of the City and County of San Francisco to better understand, consider, and comment upon the project, including the draft MOU between their local representatives and UCSF.

Jane Dunlap  
SF 94122

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support Resolution to postpone UCSF approval to March 2021  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:10:00 AM

---

**From:** zrants <zrants@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 6:21 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Support Resolution to postpone UCSF approval to March 2021

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

January 11, 2021

Board of Supervisors and staff:

re: Resolution to request the Regents consider the proposed CPHP EIR at their March 2021 meeting.

I support the Resolution asking that the Regents consider the proposed CPHP EIR at their March 2021 meeting in order to allow the residents of the City and County of San Francisco to better understand, consider, and comment upon the project, including the draft MOU between their local representatives and UCSF.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza, with EMIA and CSFN

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: UCSF Project Delay - Strong Support for Peskin Delay (tried to call in)  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:16:00 AM

---

**From:** Media Content <[bingewatchingmediacontent@gmail.com](mailto:bingewatchingmediacontent@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 8:01 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: UCSF Project Delay - Strong Support for Peskin Delay (tried to call in)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I called in to make public comment on something I am passionate about and waited several hours believing that I was waiting in cue. Nothing indicated I was not in cue, and I was very upset when the call in portion of the meeting ended and I was never able to make public comment. Please let me know that my words have been heard regarding this issue and that you will fix this issue in the future. I'm sure I'm not the only person who has experienced this frustration. Thank you

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Media Content** <[bingewatchingmediacontent@gmail.com](mailto:bingewatchingmediacontent@gmail.com)>  
Date: Mon, Jan 11, 2021 at 7:55 PM  
Subject: UCSF Project Delay - Strong Support for Peskin Delay (tried to call in)  
To: <[Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org)>

Hello Supervisors, my name is Kendall Osborne. As a WORKING CLASS San Franciscan, I would like to reiterate what other callers said that it's disingenuous, rude, and manipulative to paint anyone who supports the delay as millionaire NIMBY home owners, stupid, naive, racist, or new to housing issues while at the same time being "the same people who show up for every housing issue". Save your faux moral outrage and condescension. I have never and will never be able to afford owning a home in San Francisco, despite being a 3rd generation San Franciscan. Trying to shame people for having VERY VALID concerns is unscrupulous. Especially if you're actually listening its quite the opposite, and it's apparently wealthy folks who are all in on this project. There are people in this city who have been using the homeless for political fodder while not doing anything to help them for years. Also using the covid pandemic as an excuse to push this through is quite disingenuous when it won't be ready for years.

We have a city where a giant mural of Greta Thunberg looms over our city and our Board of Supervisors gives a land acknowledgment about stolen land to the Ohlone people at the beginning of every meeting. And that's lovely. But the 1 study that HAS been done regarding the impact on the destruction of this land for this project has indicated that over 6 thousand birds a year will die from the destruction of the old growth trees that will be removed forever to make way for buildings that will be pushed through while people are in lockdown and unaware of this destruction. The rush to



push this project through because of the need for housing is also disingenuous when, as others have pointed out, the housing that would be built wouldn't help the people living in tents on sidewalks.

Speaking of housing, there is now a glut of available housing as another caller has pointed out if you drive around and just look at all of the for rent signs, and yet this hasn't impacted the people in tents at all and won't. Clearly trickle down housing has not and will not ever work. So we need to stop pushing through every development that comes through City Hall under the false premise of "housing." It's not the fact that we aren't building enough housing that's pushed 100 thousand people out of this city since the pandemic started, it's the ridiculous and performative wokeness of our city leaders making this city a laughing stock on the world stage while being in the pocket of big business and corporate hospitals that made 100 thousand people leave this dystopian nightmare of a city, despite how the people that work for UCSF and the contractors unions have tried to shame the rest of us by beating people down by calling them NIMBY's, racists, and privileged simply for making a reasonable request of delaying this project.

I support Supervisor Peskins resolution and against pushing through the expansion. The land and forest that will be destroyed will be gone forever. Please take all voices into consideration and not people who bizarrely presume that they speak for some supposed silent majority who I'm sure TOTALLY also would have called in if they had known about this, while insulting the working class and faking outrage. Thank you.

**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 2:23:19 PM

---

Please add to c-pages.

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Cynthia Hinkle <cyn.hinkle@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 10:10 AM  
**To:** Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Opposition to UCSF Parnassus Expansion Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Madam Clerk -

I spoke at the Board of Supervisors meeting on Monday 1/11, but I would appreciate it if you could

please pass this message along to the board of supervisors as well.

Dear Distinguished Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed UCSF expansion plan. My family home is at the end of Farnsworth Lane, which is quite literally in the backyard of the proposed UCSF hospital. Consequently, as I am sure you can imagine, we are strongly opposed to the building of a 300-foot hospital in this proposed site for a multitude of reasons. My parents have lived in this house for over 35 years, have raised three children there and have enjoyed this very unique and special corner of the city for most of their adult lives. The area surrounding their house is populated by countless Eucalyptus and other trees and plants and is home to lots of wildlife. **(According to the Golden Gate Audubon Society, The Mt Sutro Open Space Reserve is home to 47 species of birds. A study prepared by expert biologist Dr. Shawn Smallwood estimates that the new hospital will kill more than 6000 birds each year, because of its height and location, which would intrude into the reserve)** The dust, noise and traffic that the constuction of a 300-foot tall tower will generate will be a death sentence to the wildlife and plantlife in the surrounding area, and will continue non-stop for 30 years.

My father will be 80 in 2021 and his wife, my stepmother, is in her mid-70's. Were this plan to get approved they would be forced to leave their home of 37 years as the prospect of 30 years of construction with a 300-foot tower in their backyard at the end of the 30 years is not acceptable to them. Perhaps forcing two elderly people from of their family home doesn't feel equal to the care that so many would recieve with a new hospital, but I am very confident that there must be a more appropriate site in the City of San Francisco somewhere (Mission Bay?) for said hospital that would not be disrupting the Edgewood neighborhood, nor the animal and birdlife present in the Mt. Sutro Open Space Reserve, nor the UCSF Parnassas campus itself.

Additionally, many of the supporters of this proposal mentioned how important it is to have a seismically sound hospital available to the public. This fact cannot be denied, nor can the importance of healthcare for all citizens. HOWEVER, in the 30 years it will take to build this new hospital and with the \$300M required to complete the project, patients will still need to be attended to - wouldn't it make more sense, waste less time and cost less money to improve/expand the hospital that is currently being used - get it up to seismic standards before an earthquake occurs which is bound to be sometime in the next 30 years?

I hope you will consider how damaging this project will be to not only a number of families and seniors but to the environment and to the plant and animal life that call their home the Sutro Open Space reserve.

Thank you for your time.

Best Regards,  
Cynthia Hinkle

**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [K cloudsrest](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Yan, Calvin \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Relief for Small Business COVID-19  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 2:24:10 PM

---

Thank you for your testimony, forwarding to the full Board.

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** K cloudsrest <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 11:29 AM

**To:** Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; PeskinStaff (BOS) <peskinstaff@sfgov.org>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Relief for Small Business COVID-19

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I support all legislation that provides assistance to SF small businesses during the pandemic, especially the recent SF small business grant. Hair salons, estheticians - especially sole proprietorships - need help paying rents, utilities. The PPP does not work for sole proprietors! Sole proprietors need financial help! Thank you!!

--

**Karen Y. Wong**  
**mobile (415) 992-2489**

**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Please do not delay a renewed UCSF Parnassus Heights and solutions for SF  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 9:18:02 AM

---

Please add to c-pages.

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Michelle Moritz <Michelle.Moritz.404159007@p2a.co>

**Sent:** Friday, January 15, 2021 7:07 AM

**To:** Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Please do not delay a renewed UCSF Parnassus Heights and solutions for SF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings,

My name is Michelle Moritz and I am a UCSF researcher, and advocate for UCSF's plans to revitalize Parnassus Heights. I appreciate UCSF led an extensive community engagement and internal planning process. These updates reflect both the University's mission and community's priorities based on years of internal collaboration, neighborhood engagement, and community input. I appreciate UCSF and the City/County of San Francisco have been working together to advance local investments that best serve our community.

In order to support healthcare and scientific research in San Francisco, it is vital for UCSF to be able to modernize and expand affordable housing at the Parnassus campus. UCSF also plans to facilitate the improvement of public transportation in the area. San Francisco needs to stop delaying such improvements and provisions for affordable housing and transportation. A renovated campus with state-of-the-art facilities will help UCSF remain committed to San Francisco and UCSF's mission to serve the community. Without the CPHP, UCSF will be unable to invest millions in transportation, affordable housing, and a new hospital for the community.

Please support this important plan for the future of UCSF Parnassus Heights and San Francisco.

Many thanks,

Michelle Moritz  
383 28th Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94121

**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Investments in UCSF Parnassus Heights and surrounding neighborhoods  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 9:17:06 AM

---

C pages please

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Tim Dunccheon <Tim.Dunccheon.403184875@p2a.co>

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 7:27 AM

**To:** Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Investments in UCSF Parnassus Heights and surrounding neighborhoods

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I hope this email finds you well.



I ask for your support of UCSF's commitment to our community and revitalization of UCSF Parnassus Heights.

I am a neighbor and supporter of UCSF's Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan. I live in Cole Valley, and my roommate has worked at UCSF for sixteen years. This summer and fall, because of the pandemic, I have spent more time than ever before walking through the streets of our lovely neighborhood. I firmly believe that UCSF's plan will make our area even more of a destination.

UCSF is a longtime community institution that provides valuable services and jobs for our neighborhood and our city. But it cannot maintain the status quo. Without the CPHP, UCSF will be unable to invest millions in transportation, affordable housing, and a new hospital for the community. Furthermore, the project will fuel the neighborhood's economy and permit even more to live here. It addresses many challenges identified by Parnassus Heights neighbors, such as transit, housing, and open space.

UCSF has already engaged in significant community input. In fact, I heard about the plan and associated benefits during the planning process and attended information sessions to learn more about the CPHP and community benefits. These updates reflect UCSF's mission and the community's priorities - based on years of internal collaboration, neighborhood input, and community outreach.

I ask for your support of UCSF's Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan and associated community investments including affordable housing, thousands of new jobs, and transit improvements. I appreciate UCSF and the City/County of San Francisco have been working together to advance local investments that best serve our community. Please do not delay this essential project that will benefit all San Franciscans.

Thank you,

Tim Duncheon  
721 Clayton St  
San Francisco, CA 94117

**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Please do not delay a renewed UCSF Parnassus Heights and solutions for SF  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 10:02:30 AM

---

C pages please.

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Laimonas Turauskas <Laimonas.Turauskas.404159971@p2a.co>

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 9:38 AM

**To:** Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Please do not delay a renewed UCSF Parnassus Heights and solutions for SF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings,

My name is Laimonas Turauskas and I am a SF resident, and advocate for UCSF's plans to revitalize Parnassus Heights. I recently learned about the plans for renewed campus, hospital, and neighborhood improvements and am excited by the vision. These updates reflect both the University's mission and community's priorities based on years of internal collaboration, neighborhood engagement, and community input. I appreciate UCSF and the City/County of San Francisco have been working together to advance local investments that best serve our community.

This project should be an obvious yes for San Francisco. More housing, more jobs, why is it being blocked by a few people? A renovated campus with state-of-the-art facilities will help UCSF continue driving world-renowned innovation in research, education, and care delivery for decades to come. Without the CPHP, UCSF will be unable to update UCSF's clinically obsolete facilities that hamstringing frontline workers and face a seismic safety deadline.

Please support this important plan for the future of UCSF Parnassus Heights and San Francisco.

Many thanks,

Laimonas Turauskas  
900 Bush St  
San Francisco, CA 94109

**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Memorandum of Understanding : City and County of San Francisco and UCSF re Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 9:51:24 AM  
**Attachments:** [UCSF MOU Comments to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1.20.21.pdf](#)

---

C pages please.

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Susan Maerki <smaerkisf@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 20, 2021 4:44 PM

**To:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Joshua.Switsky@sfgov.org; Sheila.Nickolopolous@sfgov.org; Major, Erica (BOS)

<erica.major@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Memorandum of Understanding : Clty and County of San Francisco and UCSF re Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I respectfully submit these comments in regard to the Draft MOU with UCSF re the Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan. I believe the current draft is not sufficient to meet city and community concerns.

If there are questions, I can be reached at the email or telephone numbers below.

—

---

susan maerki. [smaerkiSF@gmail.com](mailto:smaerkiSF@gmail.com)  
415.254.9255

**To: Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors**

**From: Susan Maerki**

**Date: January 19, 2021**

**In RE: UCSF Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan, Draft/Final Environmental Impact Report and Draft Memorandum of Understanding between UCSF and the City and County of San Francisco**

### **BACKGROUND**

My name is Susan Maerki. I originally moved to San Francisco 40 years ago to do health policy research at the Institute for Health Policy Studies at UCSF. I am also a campus neighbor on Sixth Avenue (D7), a longtime member of the Community Advisory Group (CAG) and participated in both the “visioning” and advisory group process associated with the development of the Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan, the CPHP, over the period from late 2018 to June 2020.

In general, I support the CPHP, the concept of “Park to Peak” and realigning the campus into functional areas for clinical services, research and academic instruction. As a health policy specialist, I recognize both the legal requirement and the medical necessity to construct a new hospital and to modernize other facilities.

I applaud the hard work done by staff of San Francisco Planning, other city agencies, and UCSF to develop the draft Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) of policies and commitments by UCSF to lessen the impact and pressure this development will have on the city and the surrounding neighborhoods.

### **MOU CONCERNS**

The Draft MOU does not adequately address the city and neighborhood development concerns and contains language that is imprecise and has loopholes. San Francisco leadership and key agencies must continue to negotiate and refine the current version of the MOU (as prepared for SF Planning Commission Meeting on January 7, 2021).

While I have many comments, I want to focus on four areas.

1. **SIZE and MASSING**: The CPHP does not adequately justify the size and massing of the proposed UCSF development, jettisons past commitments and established community planning principles, and will create shadowing and wind tunnel effects that will be uncomfortable at the pedestrian level.

- a. While I do not believe that the University must be permanently limited to a space ceiling established nearly 45 years ago, there is not sufficient documentation to justify the entire 2 million square footage and associated height increases.
- b. Lack of documentation is most notable in the revision to the size and height of the replacement hospital. The original CPHP was completed in October 2019. At that time, the overall CPHP was estimated to be a 30% increase in gsf at the campus site.

In the original CPHP (October 2019) the new hospital was expected to replace 150 hospital beds in Moffit hospital, but increased it by 200 beds over the 2014 LRDP hospital proposal.

Shortly before the release of the Draft Environmental Impact Review (EIR) in July 2020, the CPHP was revised to increase the size of the replacement hospital by another 200 beds, plus an unknown increase in Emergency Department bays, operating rooms, and supporting clinical activities. This results in a tower that is expected to be almost 300 feet, nearly 50% taller than the current hospital. The overall CPHP increase is now 42% greater than the existing development.

Clearly, this was done outside the “extensive community process” and without deliberative community consultation. We are expected to rely on general statements of population growth, aging population, and increasing need for tertiary and quaternary care. Much of the resident comment and discussion of the hospital size is after the fact of community engagement.

- c. Other buildings also greatly exceed existing zoning and height limitations. The two buildings proposed along the Irving Street entrance will add at least three stories and increase height more than 50%. The UC Hall replacement on Parnassus will add about 4 stories and increase height nearly 50%. None of the proposed Westside housing will be within the 40-foot residential height limit (approx. 4 stories) of the adjacent neighborhood. Most will be buildings 6 to 10 stories tall. The final Environmental Impact Report considers these insignificant increases because they compare the new height to a 75-foot zoning limit rather than existing heights.
- d. While the CPHP Final EIR says final shadow and wind effects will be analyzed during the building design process, it does not commit to reducing impacts if USCF determines it is infeasible to meet its planning goals and mission, or if modifications would significantly increase cost.

Because shadow and high wind levels would affect the street level conditions on the sidewalks, which I believe is city property, *I request that city staff closely*

*review any design plans that are submitted and investigate how city planning regulations apply if proposed building designs create conditions that a private developer would be required to address and ensure that there is language in the Final MOU that would enforce the planning guidelines.*

2. **TRANSPORTATION:** The University transportation financial contribution and commitment to implement a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) plan to reduce average vehicle trips at least 15% below projected trips without the TDM is probably insufficient.

- a. The \$20 million estimated value of the contribution is significantly lower than the “greater than \$30 million” impact estimated in the September 11 letter submitted by the city to UCSF as comments on the Draft EIR.

*Members of the Board of Supervisors and Land Use and Transportation Committee should request a staff analysis of reasons for the \$10 million difference in preliminary estimate and the \$20 million in the Draft MOU before finalizing the MOU.*

- b. The transportation contribution is appropriately focused on service improvements to the N-Judah, but appears to ignore the impact of a projected 75%-100% increase in peak and daily traffic at a number of intersections just outside the campus perimeter and the fact that there will be 400 fewer off street parking spaces on campus at the end of the plan. The CPHP Final EIR asserts that traffic delays and parking do not need to be analyzed under CEQA. Therefore, it is not clear if these impacts were taken into consideration in determining the \$20 million UCSF voluntary contribution.
3. **HOUSING:** The MOU terms regarding housing development must be clarified by establishing a clear baseline from which to measure net new units and affordability. In addition, the University should be required to develop a project specific EIR for the proposed Westside housing.

I commend the city and university negotiations that have resulted in a commitment to an increase in number of total units and an accelerated timeline for completion. However, I believe the housing commitment is smaller than implied.

- a. *The university should be required to submit an inventory of existing/under development housing and identify any units that would qualify as affordable using percentage household Average Median Income and allowable rent levels established annually by the city.*

In background UCSF prepared for the UC Regents meeting on January 19-21, 2021 which will consider the resolution to increase the space ceiling to 5.05



million gross square feet (excluding housing) and vote on certification of the CPHP Final EIR, UCSF appears to indicate that it has committed to only an additional 200 units of affordable housing, not the 500 units implied by the MOU.

The memorandum to the Regents counts the 762 new units already incorporated into the CPHP, 71 units it is remodeling for faculty on Post Street (the building was a gift to the university) and the 230 units in partnership with UC Hastings in Academic Village at Civic Center. These two developments, plus the original CPHP of 762 units, account for 1,063 of the 1,263 units indicated in the Draft MOU.

It is not clear how affordable will be defined. The MOU only refers to Average Median Income (AMI). UCSF asserts that much of its current housing is rented at below market rates. But it is not clear whether UCSF rents are at or below the monthly rental by AMI established annually by city. Is UCSF also committing to limit rent amounts to levels set by affordability guidelines?

- b. The DRAFT EIR identifies the replacement hospital as the only project subject to a project specific EIR. At its discretion, it may prepare additional analysis that leads to a negative declaration or a project specific EIR. At present, the CPHP Final EIR indicates that it has considered vehicle trips from that population, but has not identified other additional impacts of the construction and development *I request the MOU incorporate a requirement for a project specific EIR for the re-establishment of the block of 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue and the 430 housing units.*

4. **HEALTH CARE and MEDI-CAL:** The MOU terms regarding Health Care Services must be clarified by establishing a clear baseline from which to measure new services and increased access for low-income populations, such as the uninsured and those enrolled in Medi-Cal.

- a. The MOU must clarify the services and the location of service expansion that will meet the requirements. As a follow up to the main MOU agreement, there should also be a discussion of metrics to be utilized. Given projected population growth, raw admission or visits counts are insufficient. Possible metrics would consider psych/Medi-Cal services as a percent of total services at UCSF facilities or as estimates of San Francisco/Bay Area market share.

At present, the UCSF Parnassus Heights campus provides a limited proportion of city services delivered to low-income populations. To my knowledge, the UCSF Parnassus campus accepts only specialty referrals for outpatient and inpatient care to Medi-Cal beneficiaries.

The majority of UCSF care delivery to low-income residents of San Francisco is through the staffing contract between the City and UCSF for Zuckerberg San Francisco General. Also, UCSF operates the Benioff Children's Hospital at Mission Bay. Because children's hospitals offer pediatric specialty care and many of these treatments can be for serious and expensive medical conditions, *ALL* children's hospitals report a high proportion of Medi-Cal and services under the California Children's Program. Public programs pay for over 60% of inpatient and outpatient care delivered in California Children's Hospitals.

The MOU should specify baseline and how the increase is to be measured. I believe services provided through the city contract at ZSFGH must be excluded from consideration. I also believe Benioff Children's Hospital should be separate and not included. I leave it to the discretion of those revising the MOU to determine how other locations should be classified. Increased services to the Medi-Cal/low-income populations at Parnassus inpatient and outpatient would be counted. How would increases at Mt Zion (psychiatric?), Helen Diller/Bakar Cancer Centers, Moore Women's Hospital, remote clinics such as those near the Giants stadium and Lakeshore be calculated toward the MOU goal? How would new service sites, such as block 34 ambulatory surgery, be incorporated? Will metrics be limited to San Francisco/Greater Bay Area population or include services to those who reside outside the major geographic service area?

.  
Thank you for your consideration of these comments

Susan Maerki  
1500 Sixth Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94122

Email: [smaerkiSF@gmail.com](mailto:smaerkiSF@gmail.com)  
Cell: 415.254.9255

CC: Mayor London Breed [MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org](mailto:MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org)  
Members of the Board of Supervisors  
Connie Chan D1 [ChanStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:ChanStaff@sfgov.org)  
Matt Haney D6 [Matt.Haney@sfgov.org](mailto:Matt.Haney@sfgov.org)  
Rafael Mandelman D8 [MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org)  
Gordon Mar D4 [Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org](mailto:Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org)  
Myrna Melgar D7 [MelgarStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:MelgarStaff@sfgov.org)  
Aaron Peskin D3 [Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org)  
Dean Preston D5 [Dean.Preston@sfgov.org](mailto:Dean.Preston@sfgov.org)  
Hillary Ronen D9 [Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org)

Ahsha.Safai D11  
Catherine Stefani D2  
Shamann Walton D11

[Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org](mailto:Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org)  
[Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org)  
[Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org](mailto:Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org)

SF Planning Commission

[Joshua.Switsky@sfgov.org](mailto:Joshua.Switsky@sfgov.org)  
[Sheila.Nickolopolous@sfgov.org](mailto:Sheila.Nickolopolous@sfgov.org)

Land Use and Transportation Committee Staff

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org)

**From:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: A vital project that will advance the health and well-being of San Francisco  
**Date:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 10:17:26 AM

---

C pages.

## ERICA MAJOR

### Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Shahin Saneinejad <Shahin.Saneinejad.403253643@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 11:18 PM  
**To:** Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** A vital project that will advance the health and well-being of San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I am writing today to ask for your support of UCSF's Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan and associated community investments including affordable housing, thousands of new jobs, and transit improvements. UCSF Parnassus is one of the closest medical campuses to my home and would increase access to healthcare for me. I also believe we need more density in the center of our city, and I'm looking forward to the transit improvements that UCSF will be contributing.

UCSF cannot maintain the status quo. Without the CPHP, UCSF cannot invest millions in transportation, affordable housing, and a new hospital for the community. I recently learned about the plans for renewed campus, hospital, and neighborhood improvements and am excited by the vision. These updates reflect both the University's mission and community's priorities based on years of internal collaboration, neighborhood engagement, and community input. I also appreciate UCSF and the City and County of San Francisco have been working together to advance local investments that best serve the community.

A renovated campus with state-of-the-art facilities will help UCSF expand patient care, construct new housing, and bring transit solutions to San Francisco. As a SF resident, supporter, UCSF patient, I am in full support the UCSF Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan and community benefits.

Kind regards,

Shahin Saneinejad  
263 Lee Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94112

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Aid for Mid-Tier Small Businesses  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 1:27:00 PM

---

**From:** Outerlands Admin <admin@outerlandssf.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 11:55 AM  
**To:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; OEWD (ECN) <oewd@sfgov.org>; SFOSB (ECN) <sfosb@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Aid for Mid-Tier Small Businesses

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Honorable Mayor London Breed and SF Board of Supervisors,

We recently learned of Mayor Breed's initiative to support SF small businesses with a new round of grants and low interest loans. We want to express our gratitude in anticipation of this desperately needed aid.

Our family restaurant has been closed since March, and we have utilized every possible avenue for staying afloat. Yet after so many months of struggle, without effective relief we will soon be facing bankruptcy. Our goal is to come through this, and to again provide the jobs and services we have been so proud to bring to our community, but we cannot do this without support from our City leaders. We applaud Mayor Breed's actions to keep our City safe during this period, while recognizing the impact of COVID measures on small businesses.

With the RESTAURANTS Act off the table for this round of stimulus, we implore our local leaders to advocate for our industry with this coming aid, by including businesses with larger than 2.5 million in sales or more than 50 employees, for both grants and loans. This move will be critical for restaurants, as we are typically higher volume, lower profit businesses, with services that require a significantly higher number of employees than other business types. Thus far, there has been no city or state direct aid of which we are aware, including state-backed lending programs, for businesses with more than 2.5M or 50 employees, and PPP's applicability to restaurants was sorely limited. While we recognize the particular needs of very small businesses, as a small business just over the threshold, it has been an additional hardship to find that there is limited relief available for us, especially since regular bank loan options are virtually nonexistent at this time.

Like many businesses, if we do manage to reopen, we are unlikely to return to our previous business levels for many years, if ever. For this reason, as we approach the one-year mark of the pandemic shut downs, we ask that our City leaders consider factors beyond the 2019 profiles of businesses which have been irrevocably changed

by this crisis.

Thank you for your support of small business through this time, and for your consideration of our pleas.

Sincerely,

Lana Porcello  
Outerlands Restaurant

Outerlands  
4001 Judah St., SF  
[outerlandssf.com](http://outerlandssf.com)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: San Francisco Chamber: Economic Relief For Small Businesses 2021  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 11:19:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Outlook-cid\\_image0.png](#)  
[SFChamber\\_2021EconomicRecovery\\_Small Businesses.pdf](#)

---

**From:** Emily Abraham <eabraham@sfchamber.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 15, 2021 12:26 PM  
**To:** Emily Abraham <eabraham@sfchamber.com>  
**Cc:** Amelia Linde <alinde@sfchamber.com>  
**Subject:** San Francisco Chamber: Economic Relief For Small Businesses 2021

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Federal, State, and Local Leaders,

As the COVID-19 pandemic and ensuing economic crisis continues, our local San Francisco community of residents, employees, and employers continues to struggle. The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and our community partners urge our federal, state, and local elected leaders to act immediately to develop continued relief strategies for businesses and employees. To support the survival of our small business community as we work towards recovery, we urge the federal government and President Elect Biden's administration to immediately implement additional support for small businesses in the form of direct financial relief.

Please see attached for our full letter from the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, California Music & Culture Association, San Francisco Bar Owner Alliance, Tonic Nightlife Group, Golden Gate Restaurant Association, Hotel Council of San Francisco, San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations, San Francisco Travel Association, and American Car Rental Association.

Respectfully,

Emily Abraham

**Emily Abraham**

Deputy Director, Public Policy

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

(Direct) 916-294-5029 • (E) [eabraham@sfchamber.com](mailto:eabraham@sfchamber.com)

Pronouns: [she/her/hers](#)









235 Montgomery St., Ste. 760, San Francisco, CA 94104  
tel: 415.392.4520 • fax: 415.392.0485  
sfchamber.com • twitter: @sf\_chamber

January 15, 2021

Re: Economic Relief For Small Businesses 2021

Dear Federal, State, and Local Leaders,

As the COVID-19 pandemic and ensuing economic crisis continues, our local San Francisco community of residents, employees, and employers continues to struggle. The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and our community partners urge our federal, state, and local elected leaders to act immediately to develop and implement relief strategies for businesses and employees. **To support the survival of our small business community as we work towards recovery, we urge the federal government and President Elect Biden's administration to immediately implement additional support for small businesses in the form of direct financial relief.**

On a local and state level, we appreciate the grants, permit and business fee waivers, and announcements like the Governor's Equitable Recovery for California Businesses and Jobs. However, our businesses and their employees need more to survive. At the beginning of the Covid-19 pandemic and subsequent health orders, businesses and members of the community thought there would be several weeks of disruption and planned accordingly. Just weeks into the initial economic disruption, congress was able to pass the CARES Act which provided meaningful support to small businesses and direct stimulus to adversely impacted residents.

The new aid package passed in December and the new round of PPP loans will support businesses which have been operating in the red to keep their doors open and support employees. Unfortunately, the initial critical relief from the CARES Act was followed by months without any implemented expansion. This lapse cost our communities thousands of small businesses along with the jobs they created. Nearly half of all US employees work for small businesses, and national numbers are still hovering around 800,000 new unemployment claims weekly. In order to be able to plan for the future, small businesses need federal relief.

The expansion of PPP loans after four months of continued economic shutdowns has left our small businesses with fleeting short-term support. Aid from the package in December only covers payroll costs for around three and a half months. This is not enough. Our remaining businesses remain in a position of severe fiscal hardship.

Upon the swearing in of our new President and Vice President, we urge our federal government to immediately act to save our small businesses and implement the American Rescue Plan in full. We can no longer offer short term relief. PPP loans will run out before we enter a full recovery, and even a few months without direct relief will decimate small businesses who are hanging on by a thread.



235 Montgomery St., Ste. 760, San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415.392.4520 • fax: 415.392.0485

sfchamber.com • twitter: @sf\_chamber

Small businesses need additional federal economic relief in order to mitigate cash flow shortfalls so they can remain viable until some sense of stability is restored to our public health and economic situation.

**Specifically, we urge the federal government to provide cash flow options for businesses struggling with payroll and rent in the form of low-interest small business loans, expanded grants, or through a temporary unemployment insurance expansion and utility payment extensions.**

Our government leaders must continue to act swiftly and decisively in order to stabilize the long term economic environment for all. The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and our partners look forward to working with our local, state and federal leaders to tailor solutions for the community as the situation evolves.

Sincerely,

**Rodney Fong**

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

**Ben Bleiman**

California Music & Culture Association

San Francisco Bar Owner Alliance

Owner, Tonic, Soda Popinski, Teeth, Tonic Nightlife Group

**Laurie Thomas**

Golden Gate Restaurant Association

**Kevin Carroll**

Hotel Council of San Francisco

**Maryo Mogannam**

San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations

**Joe D'Alessandro**

San Francisco Travel Association

**Sharky Laguana**

Small Business Leader

President, American Car Rental Association

cc: San Francisco Board of Supervisors; Mayor London Breed; State Senator Scott Wiener; State Assemblymember David Chiu; State Assemblymember Phil Ting; Governor Gavin Newsom; Speaker Nancy Pelosi; Senator Alex Padilla; Congressmember Jackie Speier; Senator Dianne Feinstein; Vice President Kamala Harris

From: [Tamara Houston](#)  
To: [Boris, Wang, London, A/CCO, S&S-Superintendents](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:11:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Tamara Houston

This email was sent by Tamara Houston via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Tamara provided an email address (thouston.houston@sfdph.org) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Tamara Houston at thouston.houston@sfdph.org.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.net/protection.com/v1/ut/>  
o=www.dogooder.co&g=YzRmMjgZaU11ZDBMMEZNA=4kh-NGRAZjRmNGFjY2RmZWQ5YmVjMTUwYTMtOGNlbnRlMWwRbGQ1ODQzNzdhMjZDE1OGZGTzE3MTJmYmlNcMSZA=8p-YXAaOeNmZHQyOmfZYW5hbjpZmZpY2UzbnVlZGZlhaWwXzY1YWh4OmfMTQ1ZGQzZGZlZTQyNTZlbnY1Y2M3NTU3MDhmYWw3OeYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.net/protection.com/v1/ut/>  
o=https://a11ytools.inf.org/html/65-3834&g=ZTZlY2RmZWQ5YmVjMTUwYTMtOGNlbnRlMWwRbGQ1ODQzNzdhMjZDE1OGZGTzE3MTJmYmlNcMSZA=8p-YXAaOeNmZHQyOmfZYW5hbjpZmZpY2UzbnVlZGZlhaWwXzY1YWh4OmfMTQ1ZGQzZGZlZTQyNTZlbnY1Y2M3NTU3MDhmYWw3OeYs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Tamara Houston

This email was sent by Tamara Houston via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Tamara provided an email address (thouston.houston@sfdph.org) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Tamara Houston at [thouston.houston@sfdph.org](mailto:thouston.houston@sfdph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?>

[illegible]

From: [Andrew Baker](#)  
To: [David Weber](#), [Andrew Weber](#), [RCS Support](#)  
Subject: Support for our city's nurses  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:40:56 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Andrew Baker

This email was sent by Andrew Baker via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Andrew provided an email address ([bakerandrewj@icloud.com](mailto:bakerandrewj@icloud.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Andrew Baker at [bakerandrewj@icloud.com](mailto:bakerandrewj@icloud.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.ari-protection.com/v1/inf?](https://avanan.ari-protection.com/v1/inf?o=www.dggooder.co&g=ZWQoNTRhNmMhYjQ4MDYy&h=YWNkZDQ4NmQ3ZWVhZTIsZTAuNiIsAFWEhY2EjNDIjMDM5YjA2NmYiOTkzZTUzZWZlZTUyYTVmZTc1YjlmMQ==&p=YXAuOjNmZHQyOmlZlW5bbjpvZmZpY2UsNjVGVW1haWxzZWVhOmlhMDIjYzAyYVNmMTUsMTczNW5iOTRmYWYyZThhYTE2OnYx)  
o=www.dggooder.co&g=ZWQoNTRhNmMhYjQ4MDYy&h=YWNkZDQ4NmQ3ZWVhZTIsZTAuNiIsAFWEhY2EjNDIjMDM5YjA2NmYiOTkzZTUzZWZlZTUyYTVmZTc1YjlmMQ==&p=YXAuOjNmZHQyOmlZlW5bbjpvZmZpY2UsNjVGVW1haWxzZWVhOmlhMDIjYzAyYVNmMTUsMTczNW5iOTRmYWYyZThhYTE2OnYx  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.ari-protection.com/v1/inf?](https://avanan.ari-protection.com/v1/inf?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=NjBhZWVhZTIsZTAuNiIsAFWEhY2EjNDIjMDM5YjA2NmYiOTkzZTUzZWZlZTUyYTVmZTc1YjlmMQ==&h=YWYyYjZlNDIjNjZlZTUyYTVmZTc1YjlmMQ==&p=YXAuOjNmZHQyOmlZlW5bbjpvZmZpY2UsNjVGVW1haWxzZWVhOmlhMDIjYzAyYVNmMTUsMTczNW5iOTRmYWYyZThhYTE2OnYx)  
o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=NjBhZWVhZTIsZTAuNiIsAFWEhY2EjNDIjMDM5YjA2NmYiOTkzZTUzZWZlZTUyYTVmZTc1YjlmMQ==&h=YWYyYjZlNDIjNjZlZTUyYTVmZTc1YjlmMQ==&p=YXAuOjNmZHQyOmlZlW5bbjpvZmZpY2UsNjVGVW1haWxzZWVhOmlhMDIjYzAyYVNmMTUsMTczNW5iOTRmYWYyZThhYTE2OnYx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Djalma Fonseca

This email was sent by Djalma Fonseca via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Djalma provided an email address ([suzuki96\\_750@yahoo.com](mailto:suzuki96_750@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Djalma Fonseca at [suzuki96\\_750@yahoo.com](mailto:suzuki96_750@yahoo.com).

[illegible]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Wendy Xu

This email was sent by Wendy Xu via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Wendy provided an email address (wendy.y.xu@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Wendy Xu at [wendy.yip.xu@gmail.com](mailto:wendy.yip.xu@gmail.com).

[illegible]



From: [Brigid Antalos](#)  
To: [Bantoko, Brigid](#) [and Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 12:03:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Brigid Antalos

This email was sent by Brigid Antalos via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Brigid provided an email address (bantoko@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Brigid Antalos at bantoko@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/ur/>

o=www.dogooder.co&p=ZTU1MDxcZjlsZmMAMTQsNQ==&h=Y2EAY2QzM2JOGQ3M2BkZjkyZWZmZmZlZTBkMmM1Y2E3YVAnNndwN2M4MTM2NWVl4NthYzBmN2NmGUxOTgNg==&p=YXAuOnNmZlRQYOnfZYW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVlZWlhaWxzX2VvYWbOnmQwZTMUZDxcGZWUyMGISZTJmMDMMA5OGVYnmMxOTJjOnYx

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <http://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/ur/>

o=http%3A%3D%3Fp=ZTU1MDxcZjlsZmMAMTQsNQ==&h=Y2EAY2QzM2JOGQ3M2BkZjkyZWZmZmZlZTBkMmM1Y2E3YVAnNndwN2M4MTM2NWVl4NthYzBmN2NmGUxOTgNg==&p=YXAuOnNmZlRQYOnfZYW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVlZWlhaWxzX2VvYWbOnmQwZTMUZDxcGZWUyMGISZTJmMDMMA5OGVYnmMxOTJjOnYx

From: [Brigid Antalos](#)  
To: [Bantoko, Brigid](#) [and Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 12:03:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Brigid Antalos

This email was sent by Brigid Antalos via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Brigid provided an email address (bantoko@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Brigid Antalos at bantoko@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.art/protection.com/v1/ur/>

o=www.dogooder.co&p=ZTU1MDxcZjlsZmMAMTQsNQ==&h=Y2EAY2QzM2JOGQ3M2BkZjkyZWZmZmZlZTBkMmM1Y2E3YVAnNndwN2M4MTM2NWVl4NthYzBmN2NmGU0TGsNg==&p=YXAuOnNmZlRQY0nfZlYw5bhpvZmZpY2UuNjVlZWlhaWxzX2VvYWIsOnMqZmUzZDx0ZWUyMGISZTJmMDMMA5OGVYnmM0OTJjOnYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <http://avanan.art/protection.com/v1/ur/>

o=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.dogooder.co&p=ZTU1MDxcZjlsZmMAMTQsNQ==&h=Y2EAY2QzM2JOGQ3M2BkZjkyZWZmZmZlZTBkMmM1Y2E3YVAnNndwN2M4MTM2NWVl4NthYzBmN2NmGU0TGsNg==&p=YXAuOnNmZlRQY0nfZlYw5bhpvZmZpY2UuNjVlZWlhaWxzX2VvYWIsOnMqZmUzZDx0ZWUyMGISZTJmMDMMA5OGVYnmM0OTJjOnYs

From: [Emerita Ligutan](#)  
To: [Brenda Wilson](#), [Arlene](#), [KCS-San Francisco](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 12:27:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Just put yourself in our shoe even for a few moments. We can choose to stay at home, but we choose to come to work and help the fight because we are all in this fight. Please don't leave us behind when we also fight to have access to that emergency leave.

Yours sincerely,  
Emerita Ligutan

This email was sent by Emerita Ligutan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Emerita provided an email address ([emerita.c.ligutan@sfph.org](mailto:emerita.c.ligutan@sfph.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Emerita Ligutan at [emerita.c.ligutan@sfph.org](mailto:emerita.c.ligutan@sfph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.ar1.protection.com/v/1u67>  
o=www.dogooder.co&p=ZauBM2F8Nq4NjlyYAU0fw---&h=OWE0MjVhYWRBZjlkNjRjMjQyOTgYmMjMmFwY2VhY2M1YjczNDk0NTUyZjkwZTQwODQZTDdmZ3wYjlnZWwMzA---&p=YYAaC0uNnZHQ2yOndFYWShbjpZauZpY2UzNjVZVWlhaWwzC2VhYWwQjdmMGVnNWUzYzQ4MjlnNWVIMdIMGMINDQ3MGY3MWF0wYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.ar1.protection.com/v/1u67>  
o=https://a/boohs.enf.org.html/sf:3834&p=MTmZGZjZGUUNTRVWFNw---&h=3Mj3MTc2ZjhmWU0ZuFjY2M3MmFwMjU5MTg0MTBhMjVhOGQ2NTUyZjkwZTQwODQZTDdmZ3wYjlnZWwMzA---&p=YYAaC0uNnZHQ2yOndFYWShbjpZauZpY2UzNjVZVWlhaWwzC2VhYWwQjdmMGVnNWUzYzQ4MjlnNWVIMdIMGMINDQ3MGY3MWF0wYs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse (ICU P103 in surgical unit is floated to covid unit before regular 2320 RNs), so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

Yours sincerely,  
yuko murakami

This email was sent by yuko murakami via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however yuko provided an email address ([yuko\\_thesun@hotmail.com](mailto:yuko_thesun@hotmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to yuko murakami at [yuko\\_thesun@hotmail.com](mailto:yuko_thesun@hotmail.com).

to learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.aunai-protection.com/en>

[www.dogooder.com](https://www.dogooder.com) [www.dogooder.com](https://www.08000200VIZITITUNSW-46-ZGRANDASME2TVAMWLNDE2TAMNDAENCMZDMZDTOT2TN4p7YDwXmZmFzJmMlNGE0WZOT0tiNmY4Q--4p-YXAcOnZHQ0mF2Y7WShjZmZpZmY2iVzUzIwWcXZiYVW0nY1MmMlJmFmJmNjW3EjMlMeIzDzEmaUjYmZMjBmJmQmY0nQmY) [www.08000200VIZITITUNSW-46-ZGRANDASME2TVAMWLNDE2TAMNDAENCMZDMZDTOT2TN4p7YDwXmZmFzJmMlNGE0WZOT0tiNmY4Q--4p-YXAcOnZHQ0mF2Y7WShjZmZpZmY2iVzUzIwWcXZiYVW0nY1MmMlJmFmJmNjW3EjMlMeIzDzEmaUjYmZMjBmJmQmY0nQmY](https://www.08000200VIZITITUNSW-46-ZGRANDASME2TVAMWLNDE2TAMNDAENCMZDMZDTOT2TN4p7YDwXmZmFzJmMlNGE0WZOT0tiNmY4Q--4p-YXAcOnZHQ0mF2Y7WShjZmZpZmY2iVzUzIwWcXZiYVW0nY1MmMlJmFmJmNjW3EjMlMeIzDzEmaUjYmZMjBmJmQmY0nQmY)

to learn more about web protocol R384 visit <https://www.aunai-protection.com/en>

[www.384.iedt.org/en/r384-v1-mZwN8rNTmZBjMmJmMl-46-NWRjYtAMT0MmNjTlT5MlAmQ0WNTBmZMjYj5YjJmNjNTJmY4YtZmD0N0Z2ZDMZMjY4p--4p-YXAcOnZHQ0mF2Y7WShjZmZpZmY2iVzUzIwWcXZiYVW0nY1MmMlJmFmJmNjW3EjMlMeIzDzEmaUjYmZMjBmJmQmY0nQmY](https://www.384.iedt.org/en/r384-v1-mZwN8rNTmZBjMmJmMl-46-NWRjYtAMT0MmNjTlT5MlAmQ0WNTBmZMjYj5YjJmNjNTJmY4YtZmD0N0Z2ZDMZMjY4p--4p-YXAcOnZHQ0mF2Y7WShjZmZpZmY2iVzUzIwWcXZiYVW0nY1MmMlJmFmJmNjW3EjMlMeIzDzEmaUjYmZMjBmJmQmY0nQmY)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely

This email was sent by Martha Baer via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Martha provided an email address (martha@marthabaer.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Martha Baer at [martha@marthabaer.com](mailto:martha@marthabaer.com).

[illegible]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Alma Garcia

This email was sent by Alma García via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Alma provided an email address (swtrosa@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Alma Garcia at [swtrosa@gmail.com](mailto:swtrosa@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit: <https://vraman.ai/protection/cn/v1/>  
 or you can download code from GitHub: <https://github.com/VRAMANAI/WNYWVZGZM2MBMTJBMZBJBYmLzJAjMcGEoYcXNoNaMDwBTATz3zNmJZUyZW4WFOA> → [XXAYArOnZhNqZOmFzYWShbpZzPzYUzNZWtHwXzZYVWhQzANdksMjUzTdbYzOOGIIZWQZYfMGGRNzYvOdfoInscYs](#)

To learn more about web protection RFP 384 visit: <https://vraman.ai/protection/cn/v1/>  
 or you can download code from GitHub: <https://github.com/VRAMANAI/WNYWVZGZM2MBMTJBMZBJBYmLzJAjMcGEoYcXNoNaMDwBTATz3zNmJZUyZW4WFOA> → [XXAYArOnZhNqZOmFzYWShbpZzPzYUzNZWtHwXzZYVWhQzANdksMjUzTdbYzOOGIIZWQZYfMGGRNzYvOdfoInscYs](#)

From: [Kimberly Jane Ramos](#)  
To: [Brenda Wilson](#), [Kris-Santoro](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 1:28:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Kimberly Jane Ramos

This email was sent by Kimberly Jane Ramos via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Kimberly Jane provided an email address ([kimberlyjane12@yahoo.com](mailto:kimberlyjane12@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kimberly Jane Ramos at [kimberlyjane12@yahoo.com](mailto:kimberlyjane12@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1url?](https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1url?o=www.digooder.co&g=Y2RlbnRlYXN0ZG90ZGVwNTMlMGU2Nw==&p=YXAuOwNuZHRyOmF2YW50bjpwZnZpY2UuNjVlZWlhaWxzY2VhYWh0bmJMaVZlZlMmY3NjVhYTQyYmVlZWY4YWRANWRlMDp5OwYs)  
o=www.digooder.co&g=Y2RlbnRlYXN0ZG90ZGVwNTMlMGU2Nw==&p=YXAuOwNuZHRyOmF2YW50bjpwZnZpY2UuNjVlZWlhaWxzY2VhYWh0bmJMaVZlZlMmY3NjVhYTQyYmVlZWY4YWRANWRlMDp5OwYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1url?](https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1url?o=http%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=NTk5OWU3ZDlYNDNlNWUeZQ==&h=Y3cyNTU0MjE1MDNlN2pweNjUuZWlhaWxzY2VhYWh0bmJMaVZlZlMmY3NjVhYTQyYmVlZWY4YWRANWRlMDp5OwYs)  
o=http%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=NTk5OWU3ZDlYNDNlNWUeZQ==&h=Y3cyNTU0MjE1MDNlN2pweNjUuZWlhaWxzY2VhYWh0bmJMaVZlZlMmY3NjVhYTQyYmVlZWY4YWRANWRlMDp5OwYs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Athena Miller via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Athena provided an email address ([athenabronwyn@gmail.com](mailto:athenabronwyn@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Athena Miller at [athenabronwyn@gmail.com](mailto:athenabronwyn@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?>

[illegible]



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Claire Costello (me)

This email was sent by Claire Costello via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Claire provided an email address (ccostello09@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Claire Costello at [ccostello09@yahoo.com](mailto:ccostello09@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?>

to learn more about <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202202117>  
[www.dogodonor.co.uk-ZGZk2ZGhmMWQ5YjKZTewZg=&ch=NWYtXm5tNDU0M3R1VlZjM4NzQzODMyODJlNGM0YmRmNDJlOGZjYjA2MTRhMGY1MzYwZmZkx0GRh7YkZkZD0YTjJhZQ==&p=YYXaZsOmNmZHQzOmF2Yw5hb3pZmZnZlY2UzNjYlVGVZlhaWZkX2YrYVlsOmYyYWQzZGQ1NTBlZnZlYjQ0Y2U0ZTl4NzRhNDNmM2NkOnYs](https://www.dogodonor.co.uk-ZGZk2ZGhmMWQ5YjKZTewZg=&ch=NWYtXm5tNDU0M3R1VlZjM4NzQzODMyODJlNGM0YmRmNDJlOGZjYjA2MTRhMGY1MzYwZmZkx0GRh7YkZkZD0YTjJhZQ==&p=YYXaZsOmNmZHQzOmF2Yw5hb3pZmZnZlY2UzNjYlVGVZlhaWZkX2YrYVlsOmYyYWQzZGQ1NTBlZnZlYjQ0Y2U0ZTl4NzRhNDNmM2NkOnYs)

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?>

<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz834>; &Njc2MzUyMTk3NTBmYTEzMQ==&h-YzszDy14mjC4OTY2OWJnZXNlOGRvZGE4YzEjInJESMTB2ZFJmMmFzZjkxMDg0ZDYlOTUyMWYyMTQzMTZmNmZzNA==&p-YYXaOmNmZhQyOmFY2YWShbjpnZmZpY2UyZnVlZWZhaWwvczV2YVlwOmYyYVYwQGZGQ1NTBLZjNjYjQ0Y2UzGTt4NgRhNDhmNmNkOnYs

From: [Ann Spernoga](#)  
To: [Brenda Wang](#), [London Arfky](#), [RCS-Separations](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 3:07:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear valued San Francisco leaders,

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2520 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy. I will continue with to work tirelessly on the frontline for our city's most vulnerable clients.

Yours sincerely,

Ann Spernoga MSN, RN

---

This email was sent by Ann Spernoga via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Ann provided an email address ([aspernoga@gmail.com](mailto:aspernoga@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Ann Spernoga at [aspernoga@gmail.com](mailto:aspernoga@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1url?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1url?o=www.dogooder.co&p=NDy3MFY5NTIpeVTL5MWMzZg==&b=ODU1OTE2YjNuc2W3NSg3ZTM5YjQ4YWY5ZTJYnBjZjJkYjU5MWMQJODpJ0W8bVjgN2TMzZTRjOGU0MzY0MTY2OAA==&p=YXAuOeNmZHQyOmf2YW5ShjpwZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVYVWbQjNzWE4MeQjZjZAMTUzZDZmNTVhYjNDRnNTEAMGM0cYs)  
[o=www.dogooder.co&p=NDy3MFY5NTIpeVTL5MWMzZg==&b=ODU1OTE2YjNuc2W3NSg3ZTM5YjQ4YWY5ZTJYnBjZjJkYjU5MWMQJODpJ0W8bVjgN2TMzZTRjOGU0MzY0MTY2OAA==&p=YXAuOeNmZHQyOmf2YW5ShjpwZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVYVWbQjNzWE4MeQjZjZAMTUzZDZmNTVhYjNDRnNTEAMGM0cYs](https://www.dogooder.co&p=NDy3MFY5NTIpeVTL5MWMzZg==&b=ODU1OTE2YjNuc2W3NSg3ZTM5YjQ4YWY5ZTJYnBjZjJkYjU5MWMQJODpJ0W8bVjgN2TMzZTRjOGU0MzY0MTY2OAA==&p=YXAuOeNmZHQyOmf2YW5ShjpwZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVYVWbQjNzWE4MeQjZjZAMTUzZDZmNTVhYjNDRnNTEAMGM0cYs)

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1url?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1url?o=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.dogooder.co%2F%3Fp%3DNDy3MFY5NTIpeVTL5MWMzZg%3D%26b%3DODU1OTE2YjNuc2W3NSg3ZTM5YjQ4YWY5ZTJYnBjZjJkYjU5MWMQJODpJ0W8bVjgN2TMzZTRjOGU0MzY0MTY2OAA%3D%26p%3DYXAuOeNmZHQyOmf2YW5ShjpwZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVYVWbQjNzWE4MeQjZjZAMTUzZDZmNTVhYjNDRnNTEAMGM0cYs)  
[o=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.dogooder.co%2F%3Fp%3DNDy3MFY5NTIpeVTL5MWMzZg%3D%26b%3DODU1OTE2YjNuc2W3NSg3ZTM5YjQ4YWY5ZTJYnBjZjJkYjU5MWMQJODpJ0W8bVjgN2TMzZTRjOGU0MzY0MTY2OAA%3D%26p%3DYXAuOeNmZHQyOmf2YW5ShjpwZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVYVWbQjNzWE4MeQjZjZAMTUzZDZmNTVhYjNDRnNTEAMGM0cYs](https://www.dogooder.co%2F%3Fp%3DNDy3MFY5NTIpeVTL5MWMzZg%3D%26b%3DODU1OTE2YjNuc2W3NSg3ZTM5YjQ4YWY5ZTJYnBjZjJkYjU5MWMQJODpJ0W8bVjgN2TMzZTRjOGU0MzY0MTY2OAA%3D%26p%3DYXAuOeNmZHQyOmf2YW5ShjpwZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVYVWbQjNzWE4MeQjZjZAMTUzZDZmNTVhYjNDRnNTEAMGM0cYs)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Annette Sandoval

This email was sent by Annette Sandoval via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Annette provided an email address ([annette.sandoval@sfdph.org](mailto:annette.sandoval@sfdph.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Annette Sandoval at [annette.sandoval@sfdph.org](mailto:annette.sandoval@sfdph.org).

[illegible]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Krista Zimmerman

This email was sent by Krista Zimmerman via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Krista provided an email address ([krista.zimmerman@sfdph.org](mailto:krista.zimmerman@sfdph.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Krista Zimmerman at [krista.zimmerman@sfdph.org](mailto:krista.zimmerman@sfdph.org).

[illegible]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Krystina MacKenzie

This email was sent by Krystina MacKenzie via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Krystina provided an email address (krystinamackenzie@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Krystina MacKenzie at [krystinamackenzie@gmail.com](mailto:krystinamackenzie@gmail.com).

[illegible]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Lauri Hjelm via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Lauri provided an email address (laughjelm@comcast.net) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Lauri Hjelm at [laughhjelm@comcast.net](mailto:laughhjelm@comcast.net).

To learn more about Do Gender visit: <https://www.audible.de/protection.com/?url=0>  
<https://www.developer.com/help/protected-reports/yvGZdM4zGd6zND8zEzS6zMBDE1YTYnWzMTBfOWmAMd4YzJUNTZ2ZgQm4Z7M4dM4zNWUz4Zt8DR6mN4Uw9w4ZcYXkA9zN4mZJhQY0mF2YW5h3pZzY2ZlYnV2ZWlw4XZVYWh0f4mZMZJZ74BMQZ7YtNdY3Nm4M3U0ZD4N8mZyN4wO4Ys>  
 To learn more about web protection R334 visit: <https://www.audible.de/protection.com/?url=0>  
<https://www.audible.de/help/protected-reports/yvGZdM4zGd6zND8zEzS6zMBDE1YTYnWzMTBfOWmAMd4YzJUNTZ2ZgQm4Z7M4dM4zNWUz4Zt8DR6mN4Uw9w4ZcYXkA9zN4mZJhQY0mF2YW5h3pZzY2ZlYnV2ZWlw4XZVYWh0f4mZMZJZ74BMQZ7YtNdY3Nm4M3U0ZD4N8mZyN4wO4Ys>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,

This email was sent by Vivian Rounds via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Vivian provided an email address (vrounds2002@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Vivian Rounds at [vrounds2002@yahoo.com](mailto:vrounds2002@yahoo.com).

to learn more about Go Docker visit <https://vananand.protection.com>

to learn more about web protection R354 visit <https://vananand.protection.com>

to learn more about web protection R354 visit <https://vananand.protection.com>

From: [Beatriz Aguilar](#)  
To: [David Wagner](#), [Lorena Wright](#), [SOS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 5:00:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Beatriz Aguilar

This email was sent by Beatriz Aguilar via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Beatriz provided an email address ([bealabro@yahoo.com](mailto:bealabro@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Beatriz Aguilar at [bealabro@yahoo.com](mailto:bealabro@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?o=www.dogooder.co&g=NGY1YU1uNDMwYWU1ZDZmZg==&l=YyY2Mjg5NWU1OWU0Y2QwOWM0MGVhNDI4YVY5YTAzMTE3Mjg1YWR3MjU0MTBhNTBkOWRlOTVMTE1NTFmNmVhYQ==&p=YXAuOnNuzHQtOnF2YW5hbHJpZmZpY2UuNjVlZlZlhaWw1uX2Y1YWU0QjQ5NDJlMWMwZjYyNmQ4NkkyZWU1ZG9hOnYx)  
o=www.dogooder.co&g=NGY1YU1uNDMwYWU1ZDZmZg==&l=YyY2Mjg5NWU1OWU0Y2QwOWM0MGVhNDI4YVY5YTAzMTE3Mjg1YWR3MjU0MTBhNTBkOWRlOTVMTE1NTFmNmVhYQ==&p=YXAuOnNuzHQtOnF2YW5hbHJpZmZpY2UuNjVlZlZlhaWw1uX2Y1YWU0QjQ5NDJlMWMwZjYyNmQ4NkkyZWU1ZG9hOnYx  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=ZDgwM2Y1Y2Y4NjZlNDQ1Zg==&l=YyY2YWR3MjU0MTBhNTBkOWRlOTVMTE1NTFmNmVhYQ==&p=YXAuOnNuzHQtOnF2YW5hbHJpZmZpY2UuNjVlZlZlhaWw1uX2Y1YWU0QjQ5NDJlMWMwZjYyNmQ4NkkyZWU1ZG9hOnYx)  
o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=ZDgwM2Y1Y2Y4NjZlNDQ1Zg==&l=YyY2YWR3MjU0MTBhNTBkOWRlOTVMTE1NTFmNmVhYQ==&p=YXAuOnNuzHQtOnF2YW5hbHJpZmZpY2UuNjVlZlZlhaWw1uX2Y1YWU0QjQ5NDJlMWMwZjYyNmQ4NkkyZWU1ZG9hOnYx



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Diep Le

This email was sent by Diep Le via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Diep provided an email address ([dieple.m.n@gmail.com](mailto:dieple.m.n@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Diep Le at [dieple.m.n@gmail.com](mailto:dieple.m.n@gmail.com).

To learn more about De Groeder visit: <https://www.iranian-art-protection.com/en/v1/>  
 To learn more about De Groeder visit: <https://www.iranian-art-protection.com/en/v1/>  
 To learn more about web protocol RFC 3846 visit: <https://www.iranian-art-protection.com/en/v1/>  
 To learn more about web protocol RFC 3846 visit: <https://www.iranian-art-protection.com/en/v1/>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Alycia Rafnson

This email was sent by Alycia Rafison via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Alycia provided an email address ([jimbojones2000@gmail.com](mailto:jimbojones2000@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Alycia Rafnson at [jimbojones2000@gmail.com](mailto:jimbojones2000@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?>

To learn more about DoDgator visit <https://avanan.ir-protect.com/en/1/uat/>  
 or <https://dodgator.com> - YtJbZmIrgzODbLzQWlQIwQ=&-kNzJN5SMGU40Wt1MzNmYjgy5NWt5ANwDE40tHJzDnTg1ZGUzZG12NDRzYmQyNtNj3t0T&ZGQ4Nn1NGGZ2Z=&-pYXAAzOmNmZHQyOmFY2W5hbjpZmZpYUzNjVtZW1hWszX2VYlWbOmY2YTZ2MdlYTRlRjEwYThlNWFWNTU2NDczMDM5YTQ0a0Ns

To learn more with web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.ir-protect.com/en/1/uat/>  
 or <https://3a1.tools/ietf.org/html/rfc3834#rNzEMjTtYlThY2lYQyYlZlWQyYmQz0W4M4jZfYtZuNzY3RzZmMjEYOW10YThlMz4M4j3ZTpxZA=&-pYXAAzOmNmZHQyOmFY2W5hbjpZmZpYUzNjVtZW1hWszX2VYlWbOmY2YTZ2MdlYTRlRjEwYThlNWFWNTU2NDczMDM5YTQ0a0Ns>

From: [Rachel Abdel](#)  
To: [Brenda, Sharon, Carolyn, et al.](#)  
Subject: [Support all of our city's nurses](#)  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 6:58:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Rachel Abdel

This email was sent by Rachel Abdel via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Rachel provided an email address ([abdelfamily@aol.com](mailto:abdelfamily@aol.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Rachel Abdel at [abdelfamily@aol.com](mailto:abdelfamily@aol.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.ar1.protection.com/v1/ur?o=www.dogooder.co&g=CTYCHMDE11MJBINWFjMAjZAw-dk-Vu1Y2M0YnaM5NjJlMDk5Znd4NzFhVjJj4OTJH2ndE1MTZuNmNmZDlnM2U1OWE5YjY0ZThYjcwYTB&NT15MDhBNQ==&p=YXAuOnNmZHR2OmFZYW5hbGpvc2ZpY2UzNjVGVZW1haWszX2Y1YWloOnlSNWMyMTUwNzIxYjA5NDAlYjU2Mjc0ZWZlMjY0OwYx>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.ar1.protection.com/v1/ur?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=YWUyNDIzMGIYMEZlZTksSWw-dk-V2YxYjcsNTNjM2I3NSA5Y2ZlYjA1M2JlODAlMjY1YTMyMTUwNjY0ZThYjcwYTB&NT15MDhBNQ==&p=YXAuOnNmZHR2OmFZYW5hbGpvc2ZpY2UzNjVGVZW1haWszX2Y1YWloOnlSNWMyMTUwNzIxYjA5NDAlYjU2Mjc0ZWZlMjY0OwYx>

From: [Stacey Hoffmayer](#)  
To: [David Wang-Lundberg, AUCD](#), [Kris-Saunders](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 7:00:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Stacey Hoffmayer

This email was sent by Stacey Hoffmayer via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Stacey provided an email address ([staceymccann@hotmail.com](mailto:staceymccann@hotmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Stacey Hoffmayer at [staceymccann@hotmail.com](mailto:staceymccann@hotmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.ari-protection.com/v1/ut/>

o=www.dogooder.co&g=NjBhNjU3ZTZlZU5NTA0Mw=---&h=NuUwZDp0OWZmYnRkOGZlZGZlOTN0NnN0ZTAAMdQzZjRlNTQyMzRlYjU0MjU0ODg0ODsSZWRjYTLlODM0OA---&p=YXAuOnNmZlRQyOnF2YW5hbjpwZnZpY2UuNjVlZGZlhaWwX2VlYWh0OmMlZGZlNDg5MmMzZTAZGZlNGFudOGMwMDUyNWMyNTQyOnYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.ari-protection.com/v1/ut/>

o=https://a1.b00d1.enf.org/html/65-303&g=ZmZlZGZlNTUyUmludOTQ2NQ=---&h=MDUxYjUuNmQlODZlMDRlNTFwMTElZWlwYmQ5Yk5NTRlNZZlYsOwYyYjUuNmQlODZlNDg5MmMzZTAZGZlNGFudOGMwMDUyNWMyNTQyOnYs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Gina Limón via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Gina provided an email address (pixies0013@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Gina Limón at [pixies0013@yahoo.com](mailto:pixies0013@yahoo.com).

[illegible]

From: [Vanessa Crews](#)  
To: [Brenda Wilson](#), [Marty](#), [KCS-Senators](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 8:52:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Vanessa Crews

This email was sent by Vanessa Crews via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Vanessa provided an email address ([vecrews@gmail.com](mailto:vecrews@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Vanessa Crews at [vecrews@gmail.com](mailto:vecrews@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avananet-protection.com/v1/ut7-o-www.dogooder.co.uk-NoaM0TtN5a1hZ/qN-N6uNq---dkh-0GJYThNDZGZmY2QpZDNIZWtSOTRZDY1YTRmYzASyWNhNTHYakzDqzTZTZNDg4nTbZmQzZmYhNZU1NA---dkp-YXAaOnNmZHQpOmF2YW5hbGpZmZpY2UsNjYVZW1haWwXZ2VlYWwOmNjZjE1ZGZlNTQ0Y2ZmZGU0NDI0NmlUZWFuNGNjMjJpOnYs>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avananet-protection.com/v1/ut7-o-https://3A/boob.lef.org.html/r6:3834&p=MDK2MGVYNjU1MdhNzBjYw---dkh-3dnNMZMOODQZ2ZmZGRZDhNGU1ZVZDRjODASNWt4MWBMTgNzASyWVnNDYMTgWDU0NDYwMDI0OTBGA---dkp-YXAaOnNmZHQpOmF2YW5hbGpZmZpY2UsNjYVZW1haWwXZ2VlYWwOmNjZjE1ZGZlNTQ0Y2ZmZGU0NDI0NmlUZWFuNGNjMjJpOnYs>

From: [David Preston](#)  
To: [David Preston](#), [James Wright](#), [SOS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 8:52:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
David Preston

---

This email was sent by David Preston via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however David provided an email address ([davidpreston@gmail.com](mailto:davidpreston@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to David Preston at [davidpreston@gmail.com](mailto:davidpreston@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.aunai.protection.com/v1/inf7>  
o=www.dgoder.colg-Md9bYTI2Y2RmMDMmZDU1OQ==&h=YWU0GENYTTIwZTliZmJlSNWMeNmY3NDkxNuRkYzBINGVYyZTUwMTU1ZGJlNTg1ZDZl1NGJyODJlZDUyYyJmMlWYw==&p=YXAuOnNmZHQyOmF2YWShhjpZmZpY2UzNjVlZlWlhaWwXzZlYVYwOjNmNWE2MTE5ZmNmMDkZlWQlMlQ5MmJlYlY1NjA3OTc1OwYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://www.aunai.protection.com/v1/inf7>.  
o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=NtKlNTQlZDMmNjQmTgZg==&h=MDVlYjBhZDYyZGJlYlYlYlUwMzJlMmRkNDU1MGMFYyY2YyA4MGJlMDA5NjI4ZWYlZlE3NmQxNmU3MmBhZlQlYlYQ==&p=YXAuOnNmZHQyOmF2YWShhjpZmZpY2UzNjVlZlWlhaWwXzZlYVYwOjNmNWE2MTE5ZmNmMDkZlWQlMlQ5MmJlYlY1NjA3OTc1OwYs

From: [Amber Robbins](#)  
To: [Board, Mayor, Amber, SCS-Superintends](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's heroes  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 8:54:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Amber Robbins via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Amber provided an email address ([arobbin707@gmail.com](mailto:arobbin707@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Amber Robbins at [arobbin707@gmail.com](mailto:arobbin707@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.dogooder.com/v1/ur7>  
or [www.dogooder.com/v1/ur7](https://www.dogooder.com/v1/ur7)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://www.dogooder.com/v1/ur7>  
or <https://www.dogooder.com/v1/ur7>



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Sapna Patel

This email was sent by Sapna Patel via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Sapna provided an email address (sapna819@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sapna Patel at [sapna819@gmail.com](mailto:sapna819@gmail.com).

o learn more about Do Gooder visit: <https://www.audible.com.au/v1/>  
 o <https://www.digiposter.co.uk/?p=ZMGE2MNMVWQZG2M1Mw=&sk=MI&INGENUIT0GGZT2UNGYMA0MYATWZ7Y1YSME2M4M1UNTHY1Y4YJYVWU1N3&IN&IN&T&ROWFmDgZg4=&dp=XXA0uXnM2H2Qp0r2YWSbnpZcZpYUN1ZWVW4WxX2VYVW4QrBmJfNmYxN5YmY1YmJ2MmUN0M&NDZgZpZW40Y4>  
 o learn more about web protocol R384 visit: <https://www.digiposter.co.uk/?p=ZMGE2MNMVWQZG2M1Mw=&sk=MI&INGENUIT0GGZT2UNGYMA0MYATWZ7Y1YSME2M4M1UNTHY1Y4YJYVWU1N3&IN&IN&T&ROWFmDgZg4=&dp=XXA0uXnM2H2Qp0r2YWSbnpZcZpYUN1ZWVW4WxX2VYVW4QrBmJfNmYxN5YmY1YmJ2MmUN0M&NDZgZpZW40Y4>  
 o <https://h3ps.34.to/visit/?p=ZMGE2MNMVWQZG2M1Mw=&sk=MI&INGENUIT0GGZT2UNGYMA0MYATWZ7Y1YSME2M4M1UNTHY1Y4YJYVWU1N3&IN&IN&T&ROWFmDgZg4=&dp=XXA0uXnM2H2Qp0r2YWSbnpZcZpYUN1ZWVW4WxX2VYVW4QrBmJfNmYxN5YmY1YmJ2MmUN0M&NDZgZpZW40Y4>

From: [Kimberly Polish](#)  
To: [Board, Mayor, Supervisor, Civil Servations](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's heroes!  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 10:09:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Kimberly Polish

This email was sent by Kimberly Polish via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 1834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Kimberly provided an email address ([boar94129@yahoo.com](mailto:boar94129@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kimberly Polish at [boar94129@yahoo.com](mailto:boar94129@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/ut/>

o=www.dogooder.co&p=ZTQ5ZThhYWIzZjgzMjA2YQ==&b=MTA2MjMjZThhZjRlMmRmZDMMNWZmNjhhY2E4YjU4OWY1ZGh5ZDhhNWwMjU4NWZjYjU4MWZmMWM3MmM1Y2QyYw==&p=YXAuOnNnZlRQyOmF2YW5hbjpcZnZpY2UuNjVGVZWlhaWwXCVVWb0NmM1MwJmRlRlNGE3ZDU1OGU4MWQ1MTQyMDk2MmU1SODR0eYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 1834 visit: <https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/ut/>

o=https://a.1b0ds.enf.org/html/65/3634&p=VWY2ZjhhZThhYWIzZjgzMjA2YQ==&b=NDQyYTRlNWU1MThhNWwMjU4MWZmMWM3MmM1Y2QyYw==&p=YXAuOnNnZlRQyOmF2YW5hbjpcZnZpY2UuNjVGVZWlhaWwXCVVWb0NmM1MwJmRlRlNGE3ZDU1OGU4MWQ1MTQyMDk2MmU1SODR0eYs

From: [Mollie Miller](#)  
To: [David Wagner](#), [Lorena Wright](#), [SOS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 10:24:32 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Mollie Miller via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Mollie provided an email address ([mmiller707@gmail.com](mailto:mmiller707@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Mollie Miller at [mmiller707@gmail.com](mailto:mmiller707@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avaan.url-protection.com/v1/ut7>

o=www.dgoder.co&g=zjM2MDU0ZTZYMjk5ZjFuMw==&b=MWYtZDc0MGVjZjRlYjE2ZWYtOWEwNWMyZW44NmYtMDk4YmJkY2VINWEyNjUwZDNLQWYwNTIhNDQ0NzA5MDMsYg==&p=YXAuOnNmZjRyOmF2YW50b3p0ZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWw0X2VlYWw0OmE3YjYmQlZjJlMTIhMDZlODUwM2JhMDg0MDIwY2VnOnYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avaan.url-protection.com/v1/ut7>

o=https%3A%2Ftools.ietf.org%2Fhtml%2F3834&g=NTYtZDc0MGVjZjRlYjE2ZWYtOWEwNWMyZW44NmYtMDk4YmJkY2VINWEyNjUwZDNLQWYwNTIhNDQ0NzA5MDMsYg==&b=MWYtZDc0MGVjZjRlYjE2ZWYtOWEwNWMyZW44NmYtMDk4YmJkY2VINWEyNjUwZDNLQWYwNTIhNDQ0NzA5MDMsYg==&p=YXAuOnNmZjRyOmF2YW50b3p0ZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWw0X2VlYWw0OmE3YjYmQlZjJlMTIhMDZlODUwM2JhMDg0MDIwY2VnOnYs

From: [mikel jaye](#)  
To: [Susan Warren-Wells](#), [GDS-Superintend](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 10:37:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2520 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
mikel jaye

This email was sent by mikel jaye via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however mikel provided an email address ([enjef@hotmail.com](mailto:enjef@hotmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to mikel jaye at [enjef@hotmail.com](mailto:enjef@hotmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanun.artl-protection.com/v1/uf7>  
or [www.digooder.co.uk](http://www.digooder.co.uk) -MEF0BAjYsOTbmMTYxOTAAjYw==dkb-YWMeMDYwOTUzZml3OGVhOTJmNjNWNhNTg2MjMzMmQ3OWU2Mg0MTNlZmM3OTc1Y2JmMTk4YjJlN2YxMg==dkp-YXAuOnNmZjRyOmF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZlZlhaWwxaXZlYlYyWlOnZlYml4ZTE0NTI1NzJmM2UzYWFlb2E1ODdkODk0OTB0eYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanun.artl-protection.com/v1/uf7>  
or <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834#section-4> -OTkxYjhmNAZNTBNTVhNp==dkb-MGM0eNnYyYTgwMzU5OTg2MDJlODdkZmY4ZjYxYzYmODGUSZG8NnNpSNGR0YTlNDBkYjg2MWBhODk1OWNmMzJhYw==dkp-YXAuOnNmZjRyOmF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZlZlhaWwxaXZlYlYyWlOnZlYml4ZTE0NTI1NzJmM2UzYWFlb2E1ODdkODk0OTB0eYs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
josie mooney

This email was sent by Josie Mooney via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Josie provided an email address (josie.mooney@sein1021.org) which we included in the REPLY TO field.

Please reply to josie mooney at [josie.mooney@seiu1021.org](mailto:josie.mooney@seiu1021.org)

[illegible]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Yvette Rosales via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Yvette provided an email address (t.yvette55@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Yvette Rosales at [r.yvette55@yahoo.com](mailto:r.yvette55@yahoo.com).

[illegible]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Thank you,

This email was sent by Natalya Sturtz via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Natalya provided an email address ([Natalyavsturtz@gmail.com](mailto:Natalyavsturtz@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Natalya Sturtz at [Natalyavsturtz@gmail.com](mailto:Natalyavsturtz@gmail.com).

To learn more about the DoS vector visit <https://www.exploit-db.com/exploits/4117/>

<https://www.exploit-db.com/exploits/4117/>

To learn more about how protocol RST 384 used visit <https://www.exploit-db.com/exploits/4117/>

<https://www.exploit-db.com/exploits/4117/>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Kat Russell via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Kat provided an email address ([katherine.russell@sfdph.org](mailto:katherine.russell@sfdph.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kat Russell at [katherine.russell@sfdph.org](mailto:katherine.russell@sfdph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?source=doooder&utm\\_source=ZCM&utm\\_medium=NTU&utm\\_campaign=doooder&utm\\_term=N](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?source=doooder&utm_source=ZCM&utm_medium=NTU&utm_campaign=doooder&utm_term=N)

o=www.dogooder.co.uk&g=ZGNmM2M4YjlmNTUzMzI1Nw==&h=OTNmNmFjNGNlYjRlNTAyMTA1OGZlODF0OWwZTlE0YkZmQzM2M2OTg5YjYwODJlOTU5NWY5YjE2MDYwZTlmNw==&p=YXAzOmNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbjpZmZpY2UzNjVlZmVlhaWxzX2VlYW50bksZGYwYjYmZGQ2NjhhMDI4YWYlMjE2N2U1MGR3ZTl0OnYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?url=https://3A8h00de.leif.com/html/0f-28248-MEM10GZLV-ZLZD4NEX0VO-Eb-MCJ3>

[illegible]



From: Evelyn Loua  
To: [Mustangs 109](#)  
Subject: [Support all of our city's nurses](#)  
Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 8:24:25 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Evelyn Loua

This email was sent by Evelyn Loua via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.io](mailto:campaigns@goodr.io), however Evelyn provided an email address ([mustangs109@gmail.com](mailto:mustangs109@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Evelyn Loua at [mustangs109@gmail.com](mailto:mustangs109@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.dogooder.com/v1/url?o=www.dogooder.co&p=ZDM5NnA5OTQyMWR0bXNjZGp=4b-0TZVYl0VZZbZ6MCE5OWU1ZMTYyMjY1MjY1VIZl0N2lJZWF0b2N0Y2ZmUwN2IscZGNjblMTd2ZTsOQ==&p=YXAuQmN0ZHQyOmF2YW5kbnZmZgY2L0NjVGVWlhaWxzX2VYWh0bnRlN2VMTM2NTc0bWJlMTA5NTA5YjgyZmZlMTWE1MmVhOnYs>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://www.dogooder.com/v1/url?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=ZjlyYVY1OTZlMmZmMjE2Mw==&b=ZDQyZTlnNTU1MjY1VIZl0N2lJZWF0b2N0Y2ZmUwN2IscZGNjblMTd2ZTsOQ==&p=YXAuQmN0ZHQyOmF2YW5kbnZmZgY2L0NjVGVWlhaWxzX2VYWh0bnRlN2VMTM2NTc0bWJlMTA5NTA5YjgyZmZlMTWE1MmVhOnYs>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Patrick Williams via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Patrick provided an email address (patrick94114@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Patrick Williams at [patrick94114@gmail.com](mailto:patrick94114@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?>

[illegible]

From: [Laura Johnson](#)  
To: [Laura Johnson](#), [SOS-Superheroes](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's heroes!  
Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 8:42:43 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Laura Johnson

This email was sent by Laura Johnson via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Laura provided an email address ([lojonyah@gmail.com](mailto:lojonyah@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Laura Johnson at [lojonyah@gmail.com](mailto:lojonyah@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut7>  
o=www.dogooder.co&g=OTBjM2RlY2RmZjZlYw==&h=MmI5N2VhMTQNGYxY2E2MTI1NjRlY2RlY2RlY2E2NTc5NDp5ZTBlMTVnc2ZlbnMjA2NWJmMjBmMDRlMDY5NTQyNTAzZml4MTQyZTUMMc2NTTSVjg==&p=YXAuOzNmZHRyOmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuZSjVlZGV1haWwzX2Y1YWh0MjYlZjZMZEwYnRlZmNDaWYlUyVWVlYWY5aWQ0ZmVjOeYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut7>  
o=https://a.1tools.net/org/html/65/3E3d&g=NGMlZjZlYw==&h=ZDZlbnDY1NQ5MlcwM2Y5NDp5ZTBlMTVnc2ZlbnMjA2NWJmMjBmMDRlMDY5NTQyNTAzZml4MTQyZTUMMc2NTTSVjg==&p=YXAuOzNmZHRyOmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuZSjVlZGV1haWwzX2Y1YWh0MjYlZjZMZEwYnRlZmNDaWYlUyVWVlYWY5aWQ0ZmVjOeYs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Crystal Anderson via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Crystal provided an email address (itsurdhospeak@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Crystal Anderson at [itshardtospeak@gmail.com](mailto:itshardtospeak@gmail.com).

to learn more about Do Gooder visit: <https://www.aauan.net/protection.com/v1/v1/>

to <https://www.dogooder.com/2021/02/12/1Y3YrJ00DyQvYg=4h3QJ0dZQwZ7fMg9y5ZbVnNDb0tQz1N2fMnRnWYy1g1Yz1zNz0tQz1Z7W2M2M1T1YU5Y1ZQ0=4kp-YYXaOnSmZhQY0mf2Yw5bhpZmZpY2Uz1NjVZW1WxXzY1W5Wj0YtKyYnrKjNaZDK27fDbNDY2Zt5MDg3N2hNjW0N0Ys>

to learn more about web protocol RFC 384 visit: <https://www.aauan.net/protection.com/v1/v1/>

to <https://www.1xhook.net/cgi-bin/cf3846c-SuBjRjZK0dZQwZ7fMg9y5ZbVnNDb0tQz1N2fMnRnWYy1g1Yz1zNz0tQz1Z7W2M2M1T1YU5Y1ZQ0=4kp-YYXaOnSmZhQY0mf2Yw5bhpZmZpY2Uz1NjVZW1WxXzY1W5Wj0YtKyYnrKjNaZDK27fDbNDY2Zt5MDg3N2hNjW0N0Ys>

From: [Erica Vasquez](#)  
To: [David Wheeler](#), [London White](#), [KDS-Superstars](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 12:13:54 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Erica Vasquez via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Erica provided an email address ([ericavasquez@yahoo.com](mailto:ericavasquez@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Erica Vasquez at [ericavasquez@yahoo.com](mailto:ericavasquez@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v/1url?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v/1url?o=www.dogooder.co&g=NTUjNWUwZTRhNmMmMwMg==&b=ZGQZjBhOTk1ZWQzZDkwM2M0OTg0MjFjMmlyNWU0ODk4ZWZmMTk4ZDh4MFWYzMDBiN2EzMk5NDVlYjYxMGI0Q==&p=YXAzOzNmZjH0Y0nf2YW5hbGpvc2uZpY2UuNjVlZW1haWwzX2Y1YWh0OjdMjA0MWVlMFWlSMjZmMjRlZjg=YTtyjNTkzOTk1MFWlR0wYs)  
[o=www.dogooder.co&g=NTUjNWUwZTRhNmMmMwMg==&b=ZGQZjBhOTk1ZWQzZDkwM2M0OTg0MjFjMmlyNWU0ODk4ZWZmMTk4ZDh4MFWYzMDBiN2EzMk5NDVlYjYxMGI0Q==&p=YXAzOzNmZjH0Y0nf2YW5hbGpvc2uZpY2UuNjVlZW1haWwzX2Y1YWh0OjdMjA0MWVlMFWlSMjZmMjRlZjg=YTtyjNTkzOTk1MFWlR0wYs](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v/1url?o=www.dogooder.co&g=NTUjNWUwZTRhNmMmMwMg==&b=ZGQZjBhOTk1ZWQzZDkwM2M0OTg0MjFjMmlyNWU0ODk4ZWZmMTk4ZDh4MFWYzMDBiN2EzMk5NDVlYjYxMGI0Q==&p=YXAzOzNmZjH0Y0nf2YW5hbGpvc2uZpY2UuNjVlZW1haWwzX2Y1YWh0OjdMjA0MWVlMFWlSMjZmMjRlZjg=YTtyjNTkzOTk1MFWlR0wYs)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v/1url?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=ZjBhY2M2MmZTgwYTtBbnw==&b=MGFhYlQZjZTMSZThNjRjZjYWEzZW1lZDEiYlQ3OWh1YmMzMmF1ZDljM2E1YTl0bMGYzZDQ4MTdmM2NjNWQ3OA==&p=YXAzOzNmZjH0Y0nf2YW5hbGpvc2uZpY2UuNjVlZW1haWwzX2Y1YWh0OjdMjA0MWVlMFWlSMjZmMjRlZjg=YTtyjNTkzOTk1MFWlR0wYs>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Elizabeth Merrick via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Elizabeth provided an email address ([Elizabethmerrick@rocketmail.com](mailto:Elizabethmerrick@rocketmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Elizabeth Merrick at [Elizabethmerrick@rocketmail.com](mailto:Elizabethmerrick@rocketmail.com).

[illegible]

From: [Monique Alioto](#)  
To: [David Wong](#), [Lynette Wang](#), [SOS Superheroes](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 12:56:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

---

This email was sent by Monique Alioto via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Monique provided an email address ([mo.gutierrez87@gmail.com](mailto:mo.gutierrez87@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Monique Alioto at [mo.gutierrez87@gmail.com](mailto:mo.gutierrez87@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.aunai-protection.com/v1.tur?>

o=www.dogooder.org-NTBtYabOWWYjBtN2UxMg==&h=YT1wYjBNTYzZjRlMWE1NGFmMTQ4MGY4MWJmZjE0ODBlYjg4N2IyZjEjZWVjOGYyZjMzZGQ0WE4MDQ0ZjksNg==&p=YXAuOnNuzHQyOnF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWwzX2YyWWh0AAYzE0ZDRmMmUzZWJNTUxM2FMGE1ZjVjNzQwNDAAQeYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://www.aunai-protection.com/v1.tur?>

o=https%3A%2Ftools.ietf.org%2Fhtml%2Frfc3834%3Fg=YTYyODM2YzdlNzN0OGQyMw==&h=NDhmNjNmM2Y4MjYyOWUzZjlsOGNkN2hndVJmZjE0ODBlYjg4N2IyZjEjZWVjOGYyZjMzZGQ0WE4MDQ0ZjksNg==&p=YXAuOnNuzHQyOnF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWwzX2YyWWh0AAYzE0ZDRmMmUzZWJNTUxM2FMGE1ZjVjNzQwNDAAQeYs

From: [Annette Rosales](#)  
To: [Susan Wang Rosales, MD, PhD - Supervisor](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's heroes!  
Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 1:49:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untreated sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Annette Rosales via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Annette provided an email address ([annetterosales.ar@gmail.com](mailto:annetterosales.ar@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Annette Rosales at [annetterosales.ar@gmail.com](mailto:annetterosales.ar@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This email was sent by Sara Paredes via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Sara provided an email address (sara\_paredesm@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sara Paredes at [sara\\_paredesm@yahoo.com](mailto:sara_paredesm@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit: [www.anoniprot-protection.com/en/v1/url/](http://www.anoniprot-protection.com/en/v1/url/)  
<https://www.dogooder.co.uk/news/2MS0GFN3Y2YTAx0A==&b=MDZ9NzNkNzGnY205MD0xZGZjMTc1MTYwOGExMjNGMmW01N2U40DV0GNI0DFN2RlZDk3NjA0YzUzO==&p=YYAx0NimZHOv0mF2YW5bbipZmZnY2U2NivlZWlWxXz2VYwIs0mJf0N2mYmNwVnY2YT0mZlUzZm0ZlRlYTA1OTk1OnYy>

o=www.dogodger.co.uk~NWU2M5G0FN2Y3YATArOA~&h=MDZpZjNnNjZGZmZDQ0ZGZGMtIzMTYwOGEhMzlnNGMhWQWjNlZU40VDJOGNODFN2RlZDk3NjA0YzU5ZQ~&p=YXAuOnNmZHQyOmF2YWhjbWZmZjY2UzNjVGVZWhWzX2VjVWlsOmJlNTQyN2MhNWVWVjY2YTU0ZmlUzWjZmZjZlYTAOTkyOnYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <http://avanan.net/gotc-section-1/#!/t/>

o=https://3a0s.1eej.org/html/rfc384&h=NWU2MGMhNmNlNGN5SE1YQ~&h=NGR0t4bMGlZGZGyYUW3N2MjZDY0NWFWNTU3YmJlNGEhM2MjZmNlNG0lOGFhZjA1ZlZkY2E0W0Y4MGFhZjZlZGZl~&p=YXAuOnNmZHQyOmF2YWhjbWZmZjY2UzNjVGVZWhWzX2VjVWlsOmJlNTQyN2MhNWVWVjY2YTU0ZmlUzWjZmZjZlYTAOTkyOnYs

From: [Roger Ladiere](#)  
To: [David, Mayor, Carolyn Altieri, KGS, Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses  
Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 5:14:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Roger Ladiere

This email was sent by Roger Ladiere via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Roger provided an email address ([ladiere@yahoo.com](mailto:ladiere@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Roger Ladiere at [ladiere@yahoo.com](mailto:ladiere@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://www.aunai-urif-protection.com/v1/urif?](https://www.aunai-urif-protection.com/v1/urif?o=www.dogooder.co&g=MSEUYMNdOY2YmYWixYg---4th-MGQpYYTbMMLNNDjZT8NsmZ2N2ShOGQ2MmQzMadjZTc4NGhYTM8NTYyZTM8NsmQsMTHY2IM6ZLYjYNaFMA---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs)  
[o=www.dogooder.co&g=MSEUYMNdOY2YmYWixYg---4th-MGQpYYTbMMLNNDjZT8NsmZ2N2ShOGQ2MmQzMadjZTc4NGhYTM8NTYyZTM8NsmQsMTHY2IM6ZLYjYNaFMA---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs](https://www.dogooder.co&g=MSEUYMNdOY2YmYWixYg---4th-MGQpYYTbMMLNNDjZT8NsmZ2N2ShOGQ2MmQzMadjZTc4NGhYTM8NTYyZTM8NsmQsMTHY2IM6ZLYjYNaFMA---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://www.aunai-urif-protection.com/v1/urif?](https://www.aunai-urif-protection.com/v1/urif?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=VYyYZWVIN2RkZQpYjg4OA---4th-ODU4OTZLZDYYcOWQyMmZlZW12MDAyMDM4Y2JhMGREN2ZlMmE2Zm12OTpMcRkMmU4MWMY2YadNTcxZmRjMDA1NQ---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs)  
[o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=VYyYZWVIN2RkZQpYjg4OA---4th-ODU4OTZLZDYYcOWQyMmZlZW12MDAyMDM4Y2JhMGREN2ZlMmE2Zm12OTpMcRkMmU4MWMY2YadNTcxZmRjMDA1NQ---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs](https://www.dogooder.co&g=MSEUYMNdOY2YmYWixYg---4th-MGQpYYTbMMLNNDjZT8NsmZ2N2ShOGQ2MmQzMadjZTc4NGhYTM8NTYyZTM8NsmQsMTHY2IM6ZLYjYNaFMA---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs)

From: [Roger Ladiere](#)  
To: [David, Mayor, Carolyn Altieri, KGS, Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses  
Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 5:14:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Roger Ladiere

This email was sent by Roger Ladiere via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Roger provided an email address ([ladiere@yahoo.com](mailto:ladiere@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Roger Ladiere at [ladiere@yahoo.com](mailto:ladiere@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://www.aunai-urif-protection.com/v1/urif?](https://www.aunai-urif-protection.com/v1/urif?o=www.dogooder.co&g=MSEUYMNdOY2YmYWixYg---4th-MGQpYYTbMMLNNDjZT8NsmZ2N2ShOGQ2MmQzMadjZTc4NGhYTM8NTYyZTM8NsmQsMTHY2IM6ZLYjYNaFMA---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs)  
[o=www.dogooder.co&g=MSEUYMNdOY2YmYWixYg---4th-MGQpYYTbMMLNNDjZT8NsmZ2N2ShOGQ2MmQzMadjZTc4NGhYTM8NTYyZTM8NsmQsMTHY2IM6ZLYjYNaFMA---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs](https://www.dogooder.co&g=MSEUYMNdOY2YmYWixYg---4th-MGQpYYTbMMLNNDjZT8NsmZ2N2ShOGQ2MmQzMadjZTc4NGhYTM8NTYyZTM8NsmQsMTHY2IM6ZLYjYNaFMA---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://www.aunai-urif-protection.com/v1/urif?](https://www.aunai-urif-protection.com/v1/urif?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=VYyYZWVIN2RkZQpYjg4OA---4th-ODU4OTZLZDYYcOWQyMmZlZW12MDAyMDM4Y2JhMGREN2ZlMmE2Zm12OTpMcRkMmU4MWMY2YadNTcxZmRjMDA1NQ---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs)  
[o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=VYyYZWVIN2RkZQpYjg4OA---4th-ODU4OTZLZDYYcOWQyMmZlZW12MDAyMDM4Y2JhMGREN2ZlMmE2Zm12OTpMcRkMmU4MWMY2YadNTcxZmRjMDA1NQ---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs](https://www.aunai-urif-protection.com/v1/urif?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=VYyYZWVIN2RkZQpYjg4OA---4th-ODU4OTZLZDYYcOWQyMmZlZW12MDAyMDM4Y2JhMGREN2ZlMmE2Zm12OTpMcRkMmU4MWMY2YadNTcxZmRjMDA1NQ---4p-YXAuOnSmZHQ2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZWlhaWwX2VlYWYwOjE2MjY1MjE0ZDBhNTk4ZWY4Y2VANGY1ZDVBNjA1MTE3OwYs)

From: [Kathleen Thomas](#)  
To: [Brenda Wilson-Lundberg](#), [RCS-Superintendents](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses  
Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 4:20:00 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Is a retired public health nurse I am aware that Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and they have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep the city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Kathleen Thomas via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Kathleen provided an email address ([kathymt5@gmail.com](mailto:kathymt5@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kathleen Thomas at [kathymt5@gmail.com](mailto:kathymt5@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan-art.protection.com/v1/ut/?o=www.dogooder.co&g=Y48Y7TUsYpZ5gZGZBYw==&h=MTtH0WJYTBZQJQIMWWEjMTfKZT4Yv&IMstQZZDdNjZbZnUIMdVfODL2MJE2MD8yMD8Mc3NFNGM3NWBRZQ==&p=YXAoOnNuzHRQyOmfZYW5hbGpcZmZpY2UuNjVIZW1haWxzX2VlYWVhOmsMYAAt5Zjg4MDBiMTVZDBZjc1NmVlZDM4MGZlZTl0aWYx>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan-art.protection.com/v1/ut/?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org%2Fhtml%2F3834&g=NDAA8MmM3NjI4ZTYwZTl0aWYw==&h=OGZlZDRlOTM5MDg5Y2NuzTAAmJZ8YAMSOTU0NWf8NT8acJDU2NjcyMEESNWU4U2MDg8NWVlNGM4Y2QyZDAsaWw==&p=YXAoOnNuzHRQyOmfZYW5hbGpcZmZpY2UuNjVIZW1haWxzX2VlYWVhOmsMYAAt5Zjg4MDBiMTVZDBZjc1NmVlZDM4MGZlZTl0aWYx>

From: [Kathleen Thomas](#)  
To: [Brenda Wilson-Lundberg](#), [RCS-Superintendents](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses  
Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 4:20:00 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Is a retired public health nurse I am aware that Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and they have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep the city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Kathleen Thomas via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Kathleen provided an email address ([kathymt5@gmail.com](mailto:kathymt5@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kathleen Thomas at [kathymt5@gmail.com](mailto:kathymt5@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan-art.protection.com/v1/ut/?o=www.dogooder.co&g=Y48Y7TUsYpZ5gZGZBYw==&h=MTtH0WJYTBZQJQIMWWEjMTfKZT4Yv&IMstQZZDdNjZbZnUIMdVfODL2MJE2MD8yMD8Mc3NFNGM3NWBRZQ==&p=YXAoOnNmZHRyOmRZYW5hbGpcZmZpY2UuNjVIZW1haWxzX2YyWb0uOmMYA1UzJg4MD8BMTVZDBZjpc1NmVlZDM4MGZlZTl0aWYx>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan-art.protection.com/v1/ut/?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org%2Fhtml%2F3834&g=NDAA8MmM1NjE4ZTYwZTl0aWYw==&h=OGZlZDR6OTM5MDg5Y2NnZTAAjZbYAMSOTU0NW5hNTJhc0RUC2NjcyMEESNWU4U2MDg5NWVlNGM4Y2Q1ZDAsaWw==&p=YXAoOnNmZHRyOmRZYW5hbGpcZmZpY2UuNjVIZW1haWxzX2YyWb0uOmMYA1UzJg4MD8BMTVZDBZjpc1NmVlZDM4MGZlZTl0aWYx>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a P103 Nurse. I work full time hours (3 12 hour shifts) and also pick up shifts at times when asked. I also am at risk during a pandemic just like full time nurses. I too need to keep both my patients and family safe.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,

This email was sent by Loren Corella via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Loren provided an email address ([lorencore08@gmail.com](mailto:lorencore08@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Loren Corella at [lorenero108@gmail.com](mailto:lorenero108@gmail.com).

To learn more about Doxider visit <https://www.doxider.protection.com>  
 To learn more about Doxider visit <https://www.doxider.protection.com>  
 To learn more about web protection R344 visit <https://www.doxider.protection.com>  
 To learn more about web protection R344 visit <https://www.doxider.protection.com>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a P103 Nurse. I work full time hours (3 12 hour shifts) and also pick up shifts at times when asked. I also am at risk during a pandemic just like full time nurses. I too need to keep both my patients and family safe.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,

This email was sent by Loren Corella via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Loren provided an email address ([lorencore08@gmail.com](mailto:lorencore08@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Loren Corella at [lorenero108@gmail.com](mailto:lorenero108@gmail.com).

To learn more about Doxider visit <https://www.doxider.protection.com/>  
 To learn more about Doxider visit <https://www.doxider.protection.com/>  
 To learn more about web protection R344 visit <https://www.doxider.protection.com/>  
 To learn more about web protection R344 visit <https://www.doxider.protection.com/>

From: [Cris Orr](#)  
To: [David Wilson](#), [Jennifer Wills](#), [SOS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 8:12:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

As a P103, I have not taken a single sick day since February, 2020 in order to accrue enough sick pay should I or my family get Covid or have to quarantine. All the while, 2320s have taken time off right and left, leaving us P103s to fill in the vacancies. I work as many hours as most 2320s and suffer the same stresses and would love to have the reassurance that I will not lose money should I or my family get Covid.

Yours sincerely,  
Cris Orr

---

This email was sent by Cris Orr via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Cris provided an email address ([cristina.orr@sfdpg.org](mailto:cristina.orr@sfdpg.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Cris Orr at [cristina.orr@sfdpg.org](mailto:cristina.orr@sfdpg.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.aurl-protection.com/v1/uf7>

o=www.dgoder.co&g=MDMAMsNDYlMTZlZDE0OQ==&h=MDNkNTU5ZWVhOEDpNmQ5YTYsNzAwMdl1YmU2OWVmMmRkYWY3YjY1YjFOTQxOGM1MzY5NjZMTU5YQ==&p=YXAuOeNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWxzX2VlYW5hOmY4YjJhOTU2ZmU4ZDc1Zjg6OTM4NjhhNjNjExMzcwOnYx

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://www.aurl-protection.com/v1/uf7>

o=https%3A%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=MWY1YzA4ZjA3NzYwZmU1sNg==&h=NDYlNDZmMTcwNmY3YzQzMjZlZDElZTYlMGQyNGZlZjYyYzYwODRlMDhhYjY1YjFOTQxOGM1MzY5NjZMTU5YQ==&p=YXAuOeNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWxzX2VlYW5hOmY4YjJhOTU2ZmU4ZDc1Zjg6OTM4NjhhNjNjExMzcwOnYx



From: [Alberto Mejia-Moreno](#)  
To: [Brenda Wilson-Linden](#), [Alberto](#), [GDS-San Francisco](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 10:16:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Alberto Mejia-Moreno

This email was sent by Alberto Mejia-Moreno via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Alberto provided an email address ([alberto.mejia@sein1021.org](mailto:alberto.mejia@sein1021.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Alberto Mejia-Moreno at [alberto.mejia@sein1021.org](mailto:alberto.mejia@sein1021.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.ai/protection.com/v1/ut7>  
or [www.dogooder.co.uk](http://www.dogooder.co.uk) MDkZlhaGCMZJbnSThNp—dkh-YTfjNjEhYWE2NThjZDU2NDMyOGYzZTElMmUZZTNIYlJlMDUuYyUwYTlM0YTQ0MDQ5ZjEzZmMyZWMyZWMyMGVhYyE—dkp-YXAuOnNmZHQyOnF2YW50bjprZmZpY2UuNjVlZW1haWwXZ2VlYWVhOnRlZGY1NjU5NDdjZjc5MmFwOWJlYTBlbnN2FmOTQ0MQ40aYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.ai/protection.com/v1/ut7>  
or <https://3a7tools.net.org/html/rf3834dkp-Y2RlZDlnbnN2FmOTN0aNA—dkh-OWVhNGJlNTBlbnN2ZmOTN0aWwWbWBMjhlNDE2MFWZmNDkSMdRlbnRjY2MwMjQwNWE5NGE1MDQlZDQyYWF1Yw—dkp-YXAuOnNmZHQyOnF2YW50bjprZmZpY2UuNjVlZW1haWwXZ2VlYWVhOnRlZGY1NjU5NDdjZjc5MmFwOWJlYTBlbnN2FmOTQ0MQ40aYs>

From: [ariel seeley](#)  
To: [David Wagner](#), [Landon White](#), [SOS Superheroes](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 1:16:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
ariel seeley

This email was sent by ariel seeley via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however ariel provided an email address ([ariel.brianita.seeley@gmail.com](mailto:ariel.brianita.seeley@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to ariel seeley at [ariel.brianita.seeley@gmail.com](mailto:ariel.brianita.seeley@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.aunai.url-protection.com/v1.net/>  
or [www.dogooder.co.uk](http://www.dogooder.co.uk) YTY1NzJhMDU1NDIwOGUwYjg=4h-ZjBjZGZAMWYyYVWQZDQ3NndZTQ1MTBhMWVnNjU1NWUSNWUyZTImZDhyODVhMWJhZkYtOzMhRmRmZDZlU1Yw==&p=YYXaOOnNmZHQyOnF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVIZW1haWwXZ2VlYWwOjE4MGY0YTY3M2Q0MGYwYjg1NmQ5NmUyZjJkYzc4OTd0OnYx  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://www.aunai.url-protection.com/v1.net/>  
or <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834#page=0> NzcwZjY0NjY0OGY2ZWJBNAA=4h-YjImNjNmYTISZGM4ZmQ0YTl0OTM0OGUwMjYzZTcxOGNwOjM1MTBhMWVnNjU1NWUSNWUyZTImZDhyODVhMWJhZkYtOzMhRmRmZDZlU1Yw==&p=YYXaOOnNmZHQyOnF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVIZW1haWwXZ2VlYWwOjE4MGY0YTY3M2Q0MGYwYjg1NmQ5NmUyZjJkYzc4OTd0OnYx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
ariel seeley

This email was sent by ariel seeley via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however ariel provided an email address (ariel.brianita.seeley@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to ariel seeley at [ariel.brianita.seeley@gmail.com](mailto:ariel.brianita.seeley@gmail.com).

[illegible]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Shana Alan

This email was sent by Shana Alan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Shana provided an email address (salant56@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Shana Alan at [salan656@yahoo.com](mailto:salan656@yahoo.com).

to learn more about Go Docker visit <https://www.anuall-protection.com/v1/ml/>

to learn more deposer click <https://www.anuall-protection.com/v1/ml/>

to learn more about web protocol R384 visit <https://www.anuall-protection.com/v1/ml/>

to learn more <https://www.anuall-protection.com/v1/ml/>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Shana Alan

This email was sent by Shana Alan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Shana provided an email address (salant56@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Shana Alan at [salan656@yahoo.com](mailto:salan656@yahoo.com).

[illegible]

From: [Salustra S Urbin](#)  
To: [David Wilson-Lewis, Mayor](#), [SOS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 3:52:00 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Salustra S Urbin via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Salustra provided an email address ([salustra@gmail.com](mailto:salustra@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Salustra S Urbin at [salustra@gmail.com](mailto:salustra@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.aunai-protection.com/v1/inf?>

o=www.dgoder.codg-MGM1ZDM8M4wZhmNDAJNA---&h=NmKMTjZnE4ZTM8NWZlY2U2MjQ3N2Y3YTRmOTUzMjg5MjY2ZmRlOGElVjRmNjZDTElMjZlY2lYmQzZmU3Yg---&p=YXAuOnNuzJHQzOmF2YW5hbGpcZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWxzX2YyW3QjdYjgzNmZANWJlZjQwNTBIOGZlNTFYTTwMjZlYTYyOnYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://www.aunai-protection.com/v1/inf?>

o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g-ZTNkYmE2NjUwZDM6MTY3YQ---&h=NTVhNDRMjcYrRbYjU1ZGM1MGZlZlU5NnRlYyVIN2Y3M2F1NW1hN2lN2hMDM5NW4Y2Y0OGhNGRmODI1MA---&p=YXAuOnNuzJHQzOmF2YW5hbGpcZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWxzX2YyW3QjdYjgzNmZANWJlZjQwNTBIOGZlNTFYTTwMjZlYTYyOnYs

From: [Salustra S Urbin](#)  
To: [David Wilson-Lewis, Mayor](#), [SOS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 3:52:00 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Salustra S Urbin via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Salustra provided an email address ([salustra@gmail.com](mailto:salustra@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Salustra S Urbin at [salustra@gmail.com](mailto:salustra@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.aunai-protection.com/v1/inf?>

o=www.dgoder.codg-MGM1ZDM8M4wZhmNDAJNA---&h=NmKMTtjZnE4ZTM8NWZlY2U2MjQ3N2Y3YTRmOTUzMjg5MjY2ZmRlOGElYjRmNjZDTE1MjZlY2lYmQzZmU3Yg---&p=YXAuOnNuzJHQzOmF2YW5hbGpcZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWxzX2Y1YW1haW5kYjJgZmZlZWJlZQpNTBIOGZlNTFYTTwMjZlYTYyOnVs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://www.aunai-protection.com/v1/inf?>

o=https%3A%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g-ZTNkYmE2NjUwZDM6MTY3YQ---&h=NTVhNDRlMjc5YjR5YjU1ZGM1MGZlZlU5NjRlYyVlN2Y3Y2ZlNTBIOGZlNTFYTTwMjZlYTYyOnVs

From: [Alek Kersten](#)  
To: [Board, Mayor, Alesan, Alder, S&S-Superintendents](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 at 20:42 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Alek Kersten via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Alek provided an email address ([Alek.Kersten@sfdph.org](mailto:Alek.Kersten@sfdph.org)) which we included in the REPLY.TO field.

Please reply to Alek Kersten at [Alek.Kersten@sfdph.org](mailto:Alek.Kersten@sfdph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut/?o=www.dogooder.co&p=Y2R6f9wNAZm8ZTg3NtaMeNg==&h=Y3U1YgRlMGY3NWY4ZmdsZjgsOTJlYjYyMTM0YAA3NjMlYTM5ZDEzZjE0OTUxYW11MkksNTM2NGYwMGQwYTNjZQ==&p=YXAuOeNuzZHQzOmF2YW5hbjpsZmZpY2UzNjVZWihaWxsX2VvYW50JgsNDQ0MGUwNDI2NGY4YTM6ODVhZW50OGNMTN8YjM50wYs>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut/?o=https://a/books.tsf.org/html/6/3834&p=Y2R6f9wNAZm8ZTg3NtaMeNg==&h=Y3U1YgRlMGY3NWY4ZmdsZjgsOTJlYjYyMTM0YAA3NjMlYTM5ZDEzZjE0OTUxYW11MkksNTM2NGYwMGQwYTNjZQ==&p=YXAuOeNuzZHQzOmF2YW5hbjpsZmZpY2UzNjVZWihaWxsX2VvYW50JgsNDQ0MGUwNDI2NGY4YTM6ODVhZW50OGNMTN8YjM50wYs>



From: [Alek Kersten](#)  
To: [Board, Mayor, Alesan, Alder, S&S-Superintendents](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 at 20:42 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Alek Kersten via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Alek provided an email address ([Alek.Kersten@sfdph.org](mailto:Alek.Kersten@sfdph.org)) which we included in the REPLY.TO field.

Please reply to Alek Kersten at [Alek.Kersten@sfdph.org](mailto:Alek.Kersten@sfdph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut/?o=www.dogooder.co&p=Y2R6f9wNAZmRZTg3NnsMnNg==&h=Y3U11YgRlMGY3NWY4ZndzZjgsOTJlYjYyMTM0YyA3NmIYTMSZDEzZjEzOTUxYW11MkksNTM2NGYwMGQwYTNjZQ==&p=YXAuOeNnzZHQzOmF2YW5hbjpsZmZpY2UzNjVZWihaWxsX2VvYW50JgsNDQ0MGUwNDI2NGY4YTMsODVhZWVhZG9NMTN8YjM5OwYs>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut/?o=https://a/boobz.tnf.org/html/6/3834&p=YzBPNZGVYxQzNDM2YmMwOQ==&h=YmRlZDk6ZDQwNmZjY2U3MDEzZDh0OGY0MjM1OGI4MDU3ZW5hYTE3M2M0YyY3cyMDQ5MGIwMGQzZWJlYTU4ZWlyMw==&p=YXAuOeNnzZHQzOmF2YW5hbjpsZmZpY2UzNjVZWihaWxsX2VvYW50JgsNDQ0MGUwNDI2NGY4YTMsODVhZWVhZG9NMTN8YjM5OwYs>

From: [Norma Meraz](#)  
To: [Norma Meraz](#), [Do Gooder](#), [RCS-SanFrancisco](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 5:55:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Norma Meraz via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Norma provided an email address ([norma.meraz76@gmail.com](mailto:norma.meraz76@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Norma Meraz at [norma.meraz76@gmail.com](mailto:norma.meraz76@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan-art-protection.com/v1/ut/>  
o=www.dogooder.co&g=ME&E=3MG&w=N&U=ND&Y&gYpY=dkh-MDhMjQZWNhYjYAMWQ2MDQyNjMYYd1ZDpxOTQ2MDIeYzTi8=NZVhNjY2ZjA3N6FyYk1YWNoNDAAAGI2YWFhMw==&p=YXAuOeNuzHQyOuf2YWShjpwZnZpY2UzNjVZWWIhaWwXCViYWbOnVnMTA2YyYyMjMzZjM1OWU0NnMzODRmZTE2YdE0N2NnOnYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan-art-protection.com/v1/ut/>  
o=http%3A%2Ftools.ietf.org%2Fhtml%2F3834&g=NDA5NDI2ZjY3YWU1NTk1YQ==dkh-YW12MjM4OGQ2NnMhYWYyNDp1Y2ZiM2U0OWN2ZVhYTkxZTNhYTMyM4ZWI0YnVlYTQ1MTUSZmYnZjFhNGRlMjYyYg==&p=YXAuOeNuzHQyOuf2YWShjpwZnZpY2UzNjVZWWIhaWwXCViYWbOnVnMTA2YyYyMjMzZjM1OWU0NnMzODRmZTE2YdE0N2NnOnYs

From: [Norma Meraz](#)  
To: [Norma Meraz](#), [Do Gooder](#), [SOS-SanFrancisco](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 5:55:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Norma Meraz via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Norma provided an email address ([norma.meraz76@gmail.com](mailto:norma.meraz76@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Norma Meraz at [norma.meraz76@gmail.com](mailto:norma.meraz76@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan-art-protection.com/v1/ut/>  
o=www.dogooder.co&g=MEZ3MGdheN2U0NDM1YyYpY=dkh-MDhMjQZWNhYjYAMWQ2MDQyNjM1Yj1ZDpxOTQ2MDIeZT1hN2VhNjVjZjA3b3FyYjY1YW50aDAAMGZlWFhMw=---&p=YXAuOeNuzHQyOuf2YW5hbjpZmZpY2UzNjVZWWIhWuXCViYW9uVnMTA2YyYyMjMzZjM1OWU0NmMzODRmZTE2YjE0N2NmOeYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan-art-protection.com/v1/ut/>  
o=http%3A%2Ftools.ietf.org%2Fhtml%2F3834&g=NDA5NDI2ZjY3YWU1NTk1YQ=dkh-YW12MjM0Q2N0mhYWYyNDpYj2ZlM2U0W9N2VhYkxZTNhYTMyM4ZW0YnVjYtQjMTUSZmYnN2F0NGRjMjYyYg=---&p=YXAuOeNuzHQyOuf2YW5hbjpZmZpY2UzNjVZWWIhWuXCViYW9uVnMTA2YyYyMjMzZjM1OWU0NmMzODRmZTE2YjE0N2NmOeYs

From: [Emily Doll](#)  
To: [Brenda Wilson](#), [Emily Doll](#), [SOS-San Francisco](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 at 07:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Emily Doll

This email was sent by Emily Doll via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Emily provided an email address ([emilyeddoll@gmail.com](mailto:emilyeddoll@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Emily Doll at [emilyeddoll@gmail.com](mailto:emilyeddoll@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/ut/?o=www.dogooder.co&g=zJk3N8aMPWtZGNpJbNq---4h-YxGQ2baUzZDZMTbaN8NYTbYTLzZQrOGH4Mnd11ND42M6EUNgaAMjEUz2csNjksNjgZzQrOTRiYAmxZGE2NA---4p-YXArOnNmZHQvOmF2YwShbjp=ZmZpY2UuNjVlZGVhaWwXZlVlYWwOmQvYmY2ODhYmUlwOGNlOTUyYzRlNshOWUzZDRlOTFQwYx>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/ut/?o=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.dogooder.co&g=zJk3N8aMPWtZGNpJbNq---4h-YxGQ2baUzZDZMTbaN8NYTbYTLzZQrOGH4Mnd11ND42M6EUNgaAMjEUz2csNjksNjgZzQrOTRiYAmxZGE2NA---4p-YXArOnNmZHQvOmF2YwShbjp=ZmZpY2UuNjVlZGVhaWwXZlVlYWwOmQvYmY2ODhYmUlwOGNlOTUyYzRlNshOWUzZDRlOTFQwYx>

From: [Emily Doll](#)  
To: [Brenda Wilson](#), [Emily Doll](#), [SOS-San Francisco](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 at 07:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Emily Doll

This email was sent by Emily Doll via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Emily provided an email address ([emilydollar@gmail.com](mailto:emilydollar@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Emily Doll at [emilydollar@gmail.com](mailto:emilydollar@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/ut/?o=www.dogooder.co&g=zJk3NjkaMPWtZGZINpJbNq---dkh-YxGQ2baUzZDZMTbaNjNYTbYTLzZQrOGH4MndI1ND42M6EUNgaAMjEUz2csNjksNjgZzQrOTRjYAmxZGE2NA---&p-YXArOnNmZHQyOmF2YwShbjpZmZpY2UuNjVlZGZlhaWcxX2VlYWVsOmQxYmY2ODRsYmUwOGNlOTUsYzRlNshOWUzZDRjOTFjQwYx>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/ut/?o=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.dogooder.co%2F%3Fg=zJk3NjkaMPWtZGZINpJbNq---dkh-YxGQ2baUzZDZMTbaNjNYTbYTLzZQrOGH4MndI1ND42M6EUNgaAMjEUz2csNjksNjgZzQrOTRjYAmxZGE2NA---&p-YXArOnNmZHQyOmF2YwShbjpZmZpY2UuNjVlZGZlhaWcxX2VlYWVsOmQxYmY2ODRsYmUwOGNlOTUsYzRlNshOWUzZDRjOTFjQwYx>

From: [Anne Andrews](#)  
To: [David, Sharon, Jeff](#), [RSS-Subscribers](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Friday, January 15, 2021 4:34:58 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Anne Andrews

This email was sent by Anne Andrews via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Anne provided an email address ([amandrews7@yahoo.com](mailto:amandrews7@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Anne Andrews at [amandrews7@yahoo.com](mailto:amandrews7@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanun.url-protection.com/v1url?](https://avanun.url-protection.com/v1url?o=www.dogooder.co&p=YjlnYWMxZjBkZTc5YmRkZA==&b=NWQOTQpYsQ5NTQ0NjY1ODp=NmZkYWVmZjRkMjc5NmU1YWVmNmZkOTNMjNmQ5NmQ5MTdnZWFrY2MzZGUxYg==&p=YYAaOnNmZHR2pOnF2YW5hbjpZmZpY2UzNjVZZW1haWxzX2VhYm9kL3Q0ISMtMTI1MDQ5MjQ3MTZBMThwZWVhM2RmZGF6bThhOwYx)  
o=www.dogooder.co&p=YjlnYWMxZjBkZTc5YmRkZA==&b=NWQOTQpYsQ5NTQ0NjY1ODp=NmZkYWVmZjRkMjc5NmU1YWVmNmZkOTNMjNmQ5NmQ5MTdnZWFrY2MzZGUxYg==&p=YYAaOnNmZHR2pOnF2YW5hbjpZmZpY2UzNjVZZW1haWxzX2VhYm9kL3Q0ISMtMTI1MDQ5MjQ3MTZBMThwZWVhM2RmZGF6bThhOwYx  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanun.url-protection.com/v1url?](https://avanun.url-protection.com/v1url?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=OTN6ZWQ0OWUyQWJlYmFwZA==&b=MzJNTZkODZmZjRkMjc5NmU1YWVmNmZkOTNMjNmQ5NmQ5MTdnZWFrY2MzZGUxYg==&p=YYAaOnNmZHR2pOnF2YW5hbjpZmZpY2UzNjVZZW1haWxzX2VhYm9kL3Q0ISMtMTI1MDQ5MjQ3MTZBMThwZWVhM2RmZGF6bThhOwYx)  
o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=OTN6ZWQ0OWUyQWJlYmFwZA==&b=MzJNTZkODZmZjRkMjc5NmU1YWVmNmZkOTNMjNmQ5NmQ5MTdnZWFrY2MzZGUxYg==&p=YYAaOnNmZHR2pOnF2YW5hbjpZmZpY2UzNjVZZW1haWxzX2VhYm9kL3Q0ISMtMTI1MDQ5MjQ3MTZBMThwZWVhM2RmZGF6bThhOwYx

From: [Heather MacLean](#)  
To: [David Wilson](#), [Miki GSC-Superstars](#)  
Subject: Support all of our City's Nurses  
Date: Friday, January 15, 2021 1:27:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Heather MacLean via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaign@good.do](mailto:campaign@good.do), however Heather provided an email address ([heathervmac@gmail.com](mailto:heathervmac@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY TO field.

Please reply to Heather MacLean at [heathervmac@gmail.com](mailto:heathervmac@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut?o=www.digooder.co&p=MWZ2ZnU2MjU2MTE4YWZg&h=YWJhMmMwZjg2NQyZmktMDx3MTA1Y2ZlY2QzZThlNDI0MDFWV3YjRlZGZMTAAzZThhMGE5ZTU5MnduYQwMcYwYg&p=YXAuOnNuZHQyOnF2YW50bGprZmZpY2UuNjVGVWJhaWxzX2VhYWbQjFlNmNjMTQyODdkNA00ODc5MGYwZDBhNGRlOWQ1NmFjOWYx)

[o=www.digooder.co&p=MWZ2ZnU2MjU2MTE4YWZg&h=YWJhMmMwZjg2NQyZmktMDx3MTA1Y2ZlY2QzZThlNDI0MDFWV3YjRlZGZMTAAzZThhMGE5ZTU5MnduYQwMcYwYg&p=YXAuOnNuZHQyOnF2YW50bGprZmZpY2UuNjVGVWJhaWxzX2VhYWbQjFlNmNjMTQyODdkNA00ODc5MGYwZDBhNGRlOWQ1NmFjOWYx](https://www.digooder.co&p=MWZ2ZnU2MjU2MTE4YWZg&h=YWJhMmMwZjg2NQyZmktMDx3MTA1Y2ZlY2QzZThlNDI0MDFWV3YjRlZGZMTAAzZThhMGE5ZTU5MnduYQwMcYwYg&p=YXAuOnNuZHQyOnF2YW50bGprZmZpY2UuNjVGVWJhaWxzX2VhYWbQjFlNmNjMTQyODdkNA00ODc5MGYwZDBhNGRlOWQ1NmFjOWYx)

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=M2U1ZTE2NjUyYjYyODM5MA&h=YTY0Y2RlMFWYIGV3V3YjA0MGFhNDI0ODMyZTtyZW5lNTUuMjU2ZTE2Y2MwZW5lNjNjNDZlMjcwMGhMjYyYjYy&p=YXAuOnNuZHQyOnF2YW50bGprZmZpY2UuNjVGVWJhaWxzX2VhYWbQjFlNmNjMTQyODdkNA00ODc5MGYwZDBhNGRlOWQ1NmFjOWYx)

[o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=M2U1ZTE2NjUyYjYyODM5MA&h=YTY0Y2RlMFWYIGV3V3YjA0MGFhNDI0ODMyZTtyZW5lNTUuMjU2ZTE2Y2MwZW5lNjNjNDZlMjcwMGhMjYyYjYy&p=YXAuOnNuZHQyOnF2YW50bGprZmZpY2UuNjVGVWJhaWxzX2VhYWbQjFlNmNjMTQyODdkNA00ODc5MGYwZDBhNGRlOWQ1NmFjOWYx](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=M2U1ZTE2NjUyYjYyODM5MA&h=YTY0Y2RlMFWYIGV3V3YjA0MGFhNDI0ODMyZTtyZW5lNTUuMjU2ZTE2Y2MwZW5lNjNjNDZlMjcwMGhMjYyYjYy&p=YXAuOnNuZHQyOnF2YW50bGprZmZpY2UuNjVGVWJhaWxzX2VhYWbQjFlNmNjMTQyODdkNA00ODc5MGYwZDBhNGRlOWQ1NmFjOWYx)

From: [Xavier A](#)  
To: [Boris, Robert, Caroline, Jeffery, RGS-Superheroes](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's heroes!  
Date: Saturday, January 16, 2021 10:19:25 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I myself worked many hours testing ZSPGH employees in the COVID-19 Testing Trailer, worked in clinic and off-site testing countless ZSPGH employees for TB, and fitting those same employees for N-95 masks to prevent the spread of COVID-19, as well as being a part of the staff that administered the flu vaccine to virtually the whole hospital. However after all of these efforts to protect and arm our staff and the community, I and all other P103 Per diem nurses, despite our large number, and being subjected the arguably more high-risk assignments, was/were never offered any of the one/two rounds of emergency COVID-19 sick time relief banks - as were all the permanent employees at ZSPGH. To say the least, I consider this appalling and unjust.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,

Xavier A Garcia RN BSN P103 Employee @ ZSPGH

---

This email was sent by Xavier A via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.io](mailto:campaigns@goodr.io), however Xavier provided an email address ([xavierangelgarcia@gmail.com](mailto:xavierangelgarcia@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Xavier A at [xavierangelgarcia@gmail.com](mailto:xavierangelgarcia@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut?o=www.dogooder.co&g=Z2BjQ0p3NTk5YjUzMWQ1ZG---&b=NWU0OGFmZGZjYTd0OTEzOWZkYnZmNGVhMTVhYjlmMjM0M2Y1OWM0NjUzZjg4NSNlMTI0ODJlNWExNjA0YmM1ZQ---&p=YXAuOnNuzBQyOnF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWwzX2VlYW9hOjg2ZW50NTU4ZjgweZTpxYzA5NDExOTk5ZTA2NjFhZmJmOnYx)  
o=www.dogooder.co&g=Z2BjQ0p3NTk5YjUzMWQ1ZG---&b=NWU0OGFmZGZjYTd0OTEzOWZkYnZmNGVhMTVhYjlmMjM0M2Y1OWM0NjUzZjg4NSNlMTI0ODJlNWExNjA0YmM1ZQ---&p=YXAuOnNuzBQyOnF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWwzX2VlYW9hOjg2ZW50NTU4ZjgweZTpxYzA5NDExOTk5ZTA2NjFhZmJmOnYx  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ut?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=NTMyMGFhM0RlNTA3OGRkNw---&b=MTcyYzUwMjYwYzggOYndZmQ0MTc5NDQ5YjU4MmEwNDVjZGUwNmE1ZGM0NDg0Y2RmYTdhZjkwYzU3YjE5ODMzMw---&p=YXAuOnNuzBQyOnF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWwzX2VlYW9hOjg2ZW50NTU4ZjgweZTpxYzA5NDExOTk5ZTA2NjFhZmJmOnYx)  
o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=NTMyMGFhM0RlNTA3OGRkNw---&b=MTcyYzUwMjYwYzggOYndZmQ0MTc5NDQ5YjU4MmEwNDVjZGUwNmE1ZGM0NDg0Y2RmYTdhZjkwYzU3YjE5ODMzMw---&p=YXAuOnNuzBQyOnF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWwzX2VlYW9hOjg2ZW50NTU4ZjgweZTpxYzA5NDExOTk5ZTA2NjFhZmJmOnYx



From: [Christine McKee](#)  
To: [David, Sharon, Jordan, Jeff](#); [BOS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses  
Date: Saturday, January 16, 2021 10:27:09 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Christine McKee

---

This email was sent by Christine McKee via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Christine provided an email address ([chrismckee4@icloud.com](mailto:chrismckee4@icloud.com)) which we included in the REPLY TO field.

Please reply to Christine McKee at [chrismckee4@icloud.com](mailto:chrismckee4@icloud.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1url?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1url?o=www.dogooder.co&p=YTGZTbNt8NjAoODYsMQ---4b-sZbNtZzsmGZYIMtHAmkyZTHAMGZbMrlwZTBbYyVnNGY4ODsjMTM0ZmYsYjhhMzE2YjUzZGYsZGp5MndjMDg2MA---8p-YXAuOeNmZHRyOmf2YWShbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVWlhaWwXZVYVWbGjYSZGhhZWZjZmZiOW11OTM2MDhNjZbZml0OWNSNjMwOnYs)  
o=www.dogooder.co&p=YTGZTbNt8NjAoODYsMQ---4b-sZbNtZzsmGZYIMtHAmkyZTHAMGZbMrlwZTBbYyVnNGY4ODsjMTM0ZmYsYjhhMzE2YjUzZGYsZGp5MndjMDg2MA---8p-YXAuOeNmZHRyOmf2YWShbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVWlhaWwXZVYVWbGjYSZGhhZWZjZmZiOW11OTM2MDhNjZbZml0OWNSNjMwOnYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1url?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1url?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=NDUwMzQ5OTM0ZmZjZmZiOW11OTM2MDhNjZbZml0OWNSNjMwOnYs)  
o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=NDUwMzQ5OTM0ZmZjZmZiOW11OTM2MDhNjZbZml0OWNSNjMwOnYs

From: [Mariah Mercer](#)  
To: [David, Mayor, London, 2020](#), [SOS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Saturday, January 16, 2021 11:11:07 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2520 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Mariah Mercer

---

This email was sent by Mariah Mercer via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Mariah provided an email address ([libra2482@yahoo.com](mailto:libra2482@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Mariah Mercer at [libra2482@yahoo.com](mailto:libra2482@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://www.aunl-protection.com/v1url?](https://www.aunl-protection.com/v1url?o=www.dogooder.co&g=NjM1MDk2Zm9wYTM4ZjNlNw==&b=NlQ2ZmM5NzU2a4kMTc=OTJYjgZDYzYml1MlUuNTU5OWEzMzQzNmVhZjlmZWFMdRmGhwMmU1MDRlOTU4ZA==&p=YXAuOnNzHQzOmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVlZlZlWlhuWuX2VlYWVhOnVlMTFwQzNm4NlVlZjE1NzJmOWJlYiBmMjNjZjUuNjQ5OmYs)  
[o=www.dogooder.co&g=NjM1MDk2Zm9wYTM4ZjNlNw==&b=NlQ2ZmM5NzU2a4kMTc=OTJYjgZDYzYml1MlUuNTU5OWEzMzQzNmVhZjlmZWFMdRmGhwMmU1MDRlOTU4ZA==&p=YXAuOnNzHQzOmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVlZlZlWlhuWuX2VlYWVhOnVlMTFwQzNm4NlVlZjE1NzJmOWJlYiBmMjNjZjUuNjQ5OmYs](https://www.dogooder.co&g=NjM1MDk2Zm9wYTM4ZjNlNw==&b=NlQ2ZmM5NzU2a4kMTc=OTJYjgZDYzYml1MlUuNTU5OWEzMzQzNmVhZjlmZWFMdRmGhwMmU1MDRlOTU4ZA==&p=YXAuOnNzHQzOmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVlZlZlWlhuWuX2VlYWVhOnVlMTFwQzNm4NlVlZjE1NzJmOWJlYiBmMjNjZjUuNjQ5OmYs)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://www.aunl-protection.com/v1url?](https://www.aunl-protection.com/v1url?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=ZmZpY2UuNjVlZlZlWlhuWuX2VlYWVhOnVlMTFwQzNm4NlVlZjE1NzJmOWJlYiBmMjNjZjUuNjQ5OmYs)  
[o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=ZmZpY2UuNjVlZlZlWlhuWuX2VlYWVhOnVlMTFwQzNm4NlVlZjE1NzJmOWJlYiBmMjNjZjUuNjQ5OmYs](https://www.aunl-protection.com/v1url?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=ZmZpY2UuNjVlZlZlWlhuWuX2VlYWVhOnVlMTFwQzNm4NlVlZjE1NzJmOWJlYiBmMjNjZjUuNjQ5OmYs)

From: [Barndep Zenda](#)  
To: [Board Mayor Jordan Weiss](#), [SOS-Superstudies](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Saturday, January 16, 2021 11:43:44 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Barndep Zenda

---

This email was sent by Barndep Zenda via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Barndep provided an email address ([barndepz@gmail.com](mailto:barndepz@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Barndep Zenda at [barndepz@gmail.com](mailto:barndepz@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.aunai.net/protection.com/v1/ut/>

o=www.dogooder.codg=NzwNTQjYTLZaEwMGL5OA==&l=ZmZANWU0MmRlMmEjINTM0YTNhZjczNlUNSGlwYzEjMDM5YjQjZTQ5YWE2ZDhkZGUE3MmNDYsNmMmNjA5MWFjYw==&p=YXAuOnNmZHRQjOnF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZlZlW1hWwXc2VlYWw0JjYlYWQ4OTBLOWVnZTc5ZjhlN2FkYTlSN2UjZDMzMmcyOnYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://www.aunai.net/protection.com/v1/ut/>

o=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.dogooder.codg=NzwNTQjYTLZaEwMGL5OA==&l=ZmZANWU0MmRlMmEjINTM0YTNhZjczNlUNSGlwYzEjMDM5YjQjZTQ5YWE2ZDhkZGUE3MmNDYsNmMmNjA5MWFjYw==&p=YXAuOnNmZHRQjOnF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZlZlW1hWwXc2VlYWw0JjYlYWQ4OTBLOWVnZTc5ZjhlN2FkYTlSN2UjZDMzMmcyOnYs

From: [Peter Hendler](#)  
To: [Brenda Whelan](#), [Maggie](#), [SOS Support](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Saturday, January 16, 2021 11:46:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Peter Hendler

This email was sent by Peter Hendler via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Peter provided an email address ([peter.hendler@sfdph.org](mailto:peter.hendler@sfdph.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Peter Hendler at [peter.hendler@sfdph.org](mailto:peter.hendler@sfdph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.url-protection.com/v1?url?o=www.dogooder.co&g=YzhhYTViY2I2MmYwMGVlNQ==&b=MDRlYzZmYThhYjE1ZGFNTQ0YTjImNTNlMjNlMDEuYjllMjhhYW5lZjY1ZjU0Nm80YTkyNDNlNGVlOTg0OWY4NQ==&p=YXAuOnNlZGQyOnF2YW50bGpZanZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWwXcXZlYVYhOjE5YTZmZmUxYTg4NjRlNGRlNWZmZmE5NDcxNjhMjMyOnYx>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://www.url-protection.com/v1?url?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=ZjZmZmUxYTg4NjRlNGRlNWZmZmE5NDcxNjhMjMyOnYx>

From: [MARK THOMAS](#)  
To: [David Wilson-Lindley \(DIL\)](#), [SOS-San Francisco](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Saturday, January 16, 2021 1:14:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
MARK THOMAS

This email was sent by MARK THOMAS via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however MARK provided an email address ([mark.thomas@sfdph.org](mailto:mark.thomas@sfdph.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to MARK THOMAS at [mark.thomas@sfdph.org](mailto:mark.thomas@sfdph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://www.url-protection.com/v1/uf7>  
or [www.dogooder.co.uk](http://www.dogooder.co.uk) (NWZZTL1MFWUwNTMwZTM0Nw==&b=NDZjMGI0MjM0NDAsMDU4NWVIM6U0MjksNmQwMjUzYWJMcwMTM4OTUyNWVhYzAwNw==&p=VXAuOnNmZHQyOnf2YW5hbGpvcZmZpY2UzNjVZZW1haWxzX2VhYWoQRiOTk5ZGlyMANiODcyNTUyYTYzNDQ1MFWQ4M2QyOGM5OnYx  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://www.url-protection.com/v1/uf7>  
or <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834#p=Yxk1Mzd0Nng1Y2ZiY214Ng==&b=VYTY2NTd6ZW50ODg1NTdhNjUwN2EwM2g5MzA2MDU4NWZ0OTdmNDRjMjE0Y2QwZGRZjk2NTFmMzd0M2VhYzAwNw==&p=VXAuOnNmZHQyOnf2YW5hbGpvcZmZpY2UzNjVZZW1haWxzX2VhYWoQRiOTk5ZGlyMANiODcyNTUyYTYzNDQ1MFWQ4M2QyOGM5OnYx>

From: [Keith MacLean](#)  
To: [Board, Mayor, Mayor's Office, SCS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Saturday, January 16, 2021 1:43:00 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

PLEASE TAKE A MOMENT, AND UNDERSTAND THIS PLEA AS YOU READ IT....K

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Keith MacLean

---

This email was sent by Keith MacLean via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Keith provided an email address ([KeithMacLeanA36@gmail.com](mailto:KeithMacLeanA36@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Keith MacLean at [KeithMacLeanA36@gmail.com](mailto:KeithMacLeanA36@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://www.url-protection.com/v1/url?](https://www.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=www.dogooder.co&g=MLFbYrBjY2RZGVIMBlmZA==&b=Njc3NTcyZjZlbnRlcwZWI0ODVnN2Y2N2ZmZjA4Y2E5MTNmYyZDlBMGM0Y2Y0MmZjNzY4ZTI0ODBlmZWVhYjFIMw==&p=YXAuOnNmZjRQY0mf2YW5hbGpvc2mZpY2UzNjVZZW1haWxzX2VhYWs0jc1YmFmM2QwODdlYWJlZjU1NzE2MTRhNW14ZDdlYjF90hYx)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://www.url-protection.com/v1/url?](https://www.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=https%3A%2F%2Fmail-rl-35348g-SNmYkMTTZqSNoANCMINw==&b=ZDYyY2Y0ODRkYzZmFmZDRkODcwNWIMMDU4ZW1mZGJlOTUzZjhhMTkzZjktZjZlYyA1NTI4ZDhlZmEwZmZjA4Y2E5MTNmYyZDlBMGM0Y2Y0MmZjNzY4ZTI0ODBlmZWVhYjFIMw==&p=YXAuOnNmZjRQY0mf2YW5hbGpvc2mZpY2UzNjVZZW1haWxzX2VhYWs0jc1YmFmM2QwODdlYWJlZjU1NzE2MTRhNW14ZDdlYjF90hYx)

From: [Sandra Nicholson](#)  
To: [David Wagner](#), [Sandra Nicholson](#), [Karl Sauer](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Saturday, January 16, 2021 4:19:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Sandra Nicholson

This email was sent by Sandra Nicholson via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Sandra provided an email address ([sanabbi@gmail.com](mailto:sanabbi@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sandra Nicholson at [sanabbi@gmail.com](mailto:sanabbi@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/urf?](https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/urf?o=www.digooder.co&g=MjA1MmU0NDM4ZDZlZmRlNm0wM0YWMWY3MDY3YjN2U0M0M0ZTU0MTAANmRkNjE4MTY1ZjI1NWZjZjI2N2YyYjZDZjNDM0ZDZlM0M0bVJjZDU0Ww==&p=YXAuOnN0ZjR2OnR2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVY1W0QjVhNTE2Mw14ZTVlMTNmMTN0ODU3M2FwOTYyYjI2OeYs)  
[o=www.digooder.co&g=MjA1MmU0NDM4ZDZlZmRlNm0wM0YWMWY3MDY3YjN2U0M0M0ZTU0MTAANmRkNjE4MTY1ZjI1NWZjZjI2N2YyYjZDZjNDM0ZDZlM0M0bVJjZDU0Ww==&p=YXAuOnN0ZjR2OnR2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVY1W0QjVhNTE2Mw14ZTVlMTNmMTN0ODU3M2FwOTYyYjI2OeYs](https://www.digooder.co&g=MjA1MmU0NDM4ZDZlZmRlNm0wM0YWMWY3MDY3YjN2U0M0M0ZTU0MTAANmRkNjE4MTY1ZjI1NWZjZjI2N2YyYjZDZjNDM0ZDZlM0M0bVJjZDU0Ww==&p=YXAuOnN0ZjR2OnR2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVY1W0QjVhNTE2Mw14ZTVlMTNmMTN0ODU3M2FwOTYyYjI2OeYs)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/urf?](https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/urf?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=Y2Y4NkZNGFNjNYTUyOQ==&h=MGRjZTEzTVZlZTRlMw0yNjE4MGRlM0M0MTMzNjE4N2N0NjQ2NTI2MwV1mZM2NmOGYwYyYSGZmZjR2I1MA==&p=YXAuOnN0ZjR2OnR2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVY1W0QjVhNTE2Mw14ZTVlMTNmMTN0ODU3M2FwOTYyYjI2OeYs)  
[o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=Y2Y4NkZNGFNjNYTUyOQ==&h=MGRjZTEzTVZlZTRlMw0yNjE4MGRlM0M0MTMzNjE4N2N0NjQ2NTI2MwV1mZM2NmOGYwYyYSGZmZjR2I1MA==&p=YXAuOnN0ZjR2OnR2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVY1W0QjVhNTE2Mw14ZTVlMTNmMTN0ODU3M2FwOTYyYjI2OeYs](https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/urf?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=Y2Y4NkZNGFNjNYTUyOQ==&h=MGRjZTEzTVZlZTRlMw0yNjE4MGRlM0M0MTMzNjE4N2N0NjQ2NTI2MwV1mZM2NmOGYwYyYSGZmZjR2I1MA==&p=YXAuOnN0ZjR2OnR2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVW1haWwXZVY1W0QjVhNTE2Mw14ZTVlMTNmMTN0ODU3M2FwOTYyYjI2OeYs)

From: [Kali Drake](#)  
To: [David, Sharon, Jennifer, Michelle, Kiki, Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Saturday, January 16, 2021 8:54:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Kali Drake

---

This email was sent by Kali Drake via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Kali provided an email address (drakekali@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kali Drake at drakekali@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf7>  
o=www.dogooder.co&g=N2FMT8Y7LINDM5ZGVhZQ==&h=Z0FmKGJhZG8hNTJhNjY5NGE3YTU1ZWJlbnRkMTc3NjFyMDk3ZWw6NTJhNTA5NjE1Y2E1MDk4d0W1S2ZlZ2WfYyYj==&p=YXAuOnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbGpvc2uZpY2UzNjVlZWJhaWw6X2VlYWVhOmFjZGpYTY1Mm8lMWVhNj8lZjZMwOGZMTM4NDk1YW5lOnYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf7>  
o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=N2FMT8Y7LINDM5ZGVhZQ==&h=NjY5ZTlNGE3N2NjZTYwOGYyZjE1NmFhNjY5NW5lMTk3MmZlZG83Mm8lYm8lNDI1Zjg5Zj8lOTg0NzN0aMTN0g==&p=YXAuOnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbGpvc2uZpY2UzNjVlZWJhaWw6X2VlYWVhOmFjZGpYTY1Mm8lMWVhNj8lZjZMwOGZMTM4NDk1YW5lOnYs



From: [Tori Daniel](#)  
To: [Daniel, Tori](#)  
Subject: [Support all of our city's nurses](#)  
Date: Sunday, January 17, 2021 6:12:31 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Tori Daniel

This email was sent by Tori Daniel via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Tori provided an email address ([toridaniel051207@outlook.com](mailto:toridaniel051207@outlook.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Tori Daniel at [toridaniel051207@outlook.com](mailto:toridaniel051207@outlook.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/uf7>  
or [www.dogooder.co.uk](http://www.dogooder.co.uk)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/uf7>  
or <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834#section-5>

From: [Christina Giannelli](#)  
To: [Board, Mayor, Council, Board of Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's heroes!  
Date: Sunday, January 17, 2021 8:08:55 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untreated sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Christina Giannelli via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Christina provided an email address ([giannelli.christina@gmail.com](mailto:giannelli.christina@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Christina Giannelli at [giannelli.christina@gmail.com](mailto:giannelli.christina@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.net/protection.com/v1/inf/>  
or [www.dogooder.co.uk](http://www.dogooder.co.uk) -NW6f0MDx1ZJ6ZnVjNzY1Yw==dkb-inY0MTA2MGYwYjMwMzQ1ZGQyNzE5YmNkMjRmYzNlYTIjMzVhOTU3NDZlYmRlMTZlZDh5NzYyMjA5NTFZTA5NA==dkp-YXAuOnNmZHRyOnF2YW50bGpvc29uZyY2LzUzNjVlZGVhaWwuc2ZlVlYWIuOnQyOTQ5NGRlbnVhMjYyYThhZDh5NDZlZGQ5aW50bG50fuaMzA2MGU3OwYx  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.net/protection.com/v1/inf/>  
or

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Bojana Topalovic

This email was sent by Bojana Topalovic via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Bojana provided an email address ([bojanatop@yahoo.com](mailto:bojanatop@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Bojana Topalovic at [bojanatop@yahoo.com](mailto:bojanatop@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?>

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <http://avananurl-protection.com/v1/url/>  
[https://www.donordrive.co.uk/membership/10MjW18mRhwU1?utm\\_source=Email&utm\\_medium=MailingList&utm\\_campaign=MG16ZTZesMcQ1ZTYvMA6fGOTDy1IlyvM4NkRlYtV-M3M4Z7ilzLmZTJnNmXasNGZ7ic4YxzeZW01ZW1DMA6nfns-YXA9aBsmZH0cOmEZYWShbmZmZnY2IlnNivZrwIwHwxX3VvYW0cmF4YrbhNTKxYxzeYic1Y2NyBrINDVcmMfRsmM78N27QeY](https://www.donordrive.co.uk/membership/10MjW18mRhwU1?utm_source=Email&utm_medium=MailingList&utm_campaign=MG16ZTZesMcQ1ZTYvMA6fGOTDy1IlyvM4NkRlYtV-M3M4Z7ilzLmZTJnNmXasNGZ7ic4YxzeZW01ZW1DMA6nfns-YXA9aBsmZH0cOmEZYWShbmZmZnY2IlnNivZrwIwHwxX3VvYW0cmF4YrbhNTKxYxzeYic1Y2NyBrINDVcmMfRsmM78N27QeY)

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=www.dogooder.co&g=M1IimvGU0M1WU5MzRnOWU2Mlg=&n=miuJOU12M0Jz1)

<https://3A/tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&c=Y2YlNWMA4YjA2ZDIwNThiNQ==&h=M2FjZjZjZmQ3SUYlQzNmMyOGNkMzIjZmM0MDA4YmYyYUW2OTQ3ZmQwYzhiODA0OTNmMGMS3ZmE1ZWU1NDZhZjUzSUQ=&p=YXA0OmNmZHQ3OmF2YW5hbjpZmZpZ2UzNjYlZWZlIiwKX2VlYVVsOmI5YzhkNThlZGZyZjY3Y2NHYjRlNDVmZmZmM2R2N2I2OmYs>

From: [Ann Massie](#)  
To: [David Wilson](#), [Ann Massie](#), [SOS Supervisor](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses  
Date: Sunday, January 17, 2021 1:31:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Ann Massie via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Ann provided an email address ([noebutac@gmail.com](mailto:noebutac@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Ann Massie at [noebutac@gmail.com](mailto:noebutac@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avananurl-protection.com/v1/uf7>

o=www.dogooder.co&p=M22SNWUYTY2NWRJZQ5hw---&h=MTYxNjE0OTM4MzQxODM4Yzg5NW5kN2Q1YmE5N2U0ZW5ZWNkYWU5N2U3YTQ1MTQwNzZlMjA0MGNmZW44Zg---&p=YXAuOnNnZHQyOmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVtZW1haWw5XCViYW90bmNTU1MacyZjczMjYxNg5MmRmMDIjOWEzZGZmYjFjOWhYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avananurl-protection.com/v1/uf7>  
o=https%3A%2Ftools.ietf.org%2Fhtml%2Frfc%3834&p=OGZlYW5hMDQyZTl0MmI3OA---&h=YWE1OTE0NWF0N2U0ZW5ZWNkYWU5N2U3YTQ1MTQwNzZlMjA0MGNmZW44Zg---&p=YXAuOnNnZHQyOmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVtZW1haWw5XCViYW90bmNTU1MacyZjczMjYxNg5MmRmMDIjOWEzZGZmYjFjOWhYs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Johnnie Lanier

This email was sent by Johnnie Lanier via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Johnnie provided an email address ([johnnie.collier@yahoo.com](mailto:johnnie.collier@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Johnnie Lanier at [johnnie.collier@yahoo.com](mailto:johnnie.collier@yahoo.com).

[illegible]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
john mcspeidon

This email was sent by John McSpedon via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however John provided an email address (johnmc1547@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to John McSpedon at [johnmc1547@gmail.com](mailto:johnmc1547@gmail.com).

[illegible]

From: [Jill Salutan](#)  
To: [David Wang-Lundin, MD](#), [SOS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Monday, January 18, 2021 11:04:10 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Good day!

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Jill Salutan

This email was sent by Jill Salutan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Jill provided an email address ([etram\\_k29@yahoo.com](mailto:etram_k29@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jill Salutan at [etram\\_k29@yahoo.com](mailto:etram_k29@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://www.dogooder.com/v1/urf?](https://www.dogooder.com/v1/urf?o=www.dogooder.com&w=MZWhNWE2MndJZaQWw=&b=NDJNANjYU2NjEzOTBNTUjMTc3YjQwNmMxNDcxYndjYjQ4MmJNLSU3NmEzNjktNmU2MjE4MjZlbnNlWU2OQ==&p=YXAuOnNlZHQyOmfZYW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWxzX2VlYWIsOnRlYzBmMjc0NDFnOGRmZmE2M2M1Mjc5ZDRhODRlZGM2OnYx)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://www.dogooder.com/v1/urf?](https://www.dogooder.com/v1/urf?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=Y2RlNzc3M2NDYjZlZW1haWxzZW5lYjYyMjYyZDYyZlNlQ3NmQ3NDU3ZjM2c4NzNmNmRlOTg1NGM4YTFhMmMzZGQyMThhOQ==&p=YXAuOnNlZHQyOmfZYW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWxzX2VlYWIsOnRlYzBmMjc0NDFnOGRmZmE2M2M1Mjc5ZDRhODRlZGM2OnYx)

From: [Xiacong Liang](#)  
To: [David, Sharon, Jeff](#), [RSS-Subscribers](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses  
Date: Monday, January 18, 2021 12:31:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Xiacong Liang

This email was sent by Xiacong Liang via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Xiacong provided an email address ([liangxiacong@hotmail.com](mailto:liangxiacong@hotmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Xiacong Liang at [liangxiacong@hotmail.com](mailto:liangxiacong@hotmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=www.dogooder.co&p=NuAGZTtZfjBNZdM008kYw---4b-ZD8yZWU4DTZAYWZZJJaODVZZDQIM2VhOTAwMGMyNmM0MFWMaM2VhNTMzZWY0MDQ0Nk2YWwGGEZRWY2NWVLYs---4p-YXAAsOnNmZHQyOmF2YWShbjp-ZmZpY2UsNjVGVZWlhaWxzX2VvYWludMjB0ThNGEiYadmMTZlOTQ1MDMwYTYvYWFuNjlaOnYs)  
o=www.dogooder.co&p=NuAGZTtZfjBNZdM008kYw---4b-ZD8yZWU4DTZAYWZZJJaODVZZDQIM2VhOTAwMGMyNmM0MFWMaM2VhNTMzZWY0MDQ0Nk2YWwGGEZRWY2NWVLYs---4p-YXAAsOnNmZHQyOmF2YWShbjp-ZmZpY2UsNjVGVZWlhaWxzX2VvYWludMjB0ThNGEiYadmMTZlOTQ1MDMwYTYvYWFuNjlaOnYs  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=MzZlOTU2OTc0NWY0Y1ZlZQ---4b-NmM3MjNmZThhMWNjMWVvMjYvYTYwMGIvbmQ2M4A1ZlZlZlM5NCQyYU0MTGpYVWJmNkYyYTYxZTZlZlZlY2V3Y2V3Y2V3---4p-YXAAsOnNmZHQyOmF2YWShbjp-ZmZpY2UsNjVGVZWlhaWxzX2VvYWludMjB0ThNGEiYadmMTZlOTQ1MDMwYTYvYWFuNjlaOnYs)  
o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=MzZlOTU2OTc0NWY0Y1ZlZQ---4b-NmM3MjNmZThhMWNjMWVvMjYvYTYwMGIvbmQ2M4A1ZlZlZlM5NCQyYU0MTGpYVWJmNkYyYTYxZTZlZlZlY2V3Y2V3Y2V3---4p-YXAAsOnNmZHQyOmF2YWShbjp-ZmZpY2UsNjVGVZWlhaWxzX2VvYWludMjB0ThNGEiYadmMTZlOTQ1MDMwYTYvYWFuNjlaOnYs



From: [Rebecca Krause](#)  
To: [David, Mayor, San Francisco](#), [SOS, San Francisco](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Monday, January 18, 2021 2:20:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Rebecca Krause

This email was sent by Rebecca Krause via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Rebecca provided an email address ([robstar85@gmail.com](mailto:robstar85@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Rebecca Krause at [robstar85@gmail.com](mailto:robstar85@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.ar1.protection.com/v1/ut?](https://avanan.ar1.protection.com/v1/ut?o=www.dogooder.co&g=CTVYQZu8NjAaYjwOTYtZA==&h=YWQjMjBjZTgUZTc1YTA2MmMyNzI5ZjRlN2YyZTBlNjZkZGVjNGQ2MjYzMDQ3MTZkMDQyYzhYmMyN2h0ODhMA==&p=YXAuOnNmZHRyOmF2YW5hbGpvc2mZpY2UzNjYVZlZWlhaWwXZGVhYVWbG9mL4YjUzMjJGZGQZmUyMDhOTU0N2hOTBhYzJZDVYjQwYs)  
[o=www.dogooder.co&g=CTVYQZu8NjAaYjwOTYtZA==&h=YWQjMjBjZTgUZTc1YTA2MmMyNzI5ZjRlN2YyZTBlNjZkZGVjNGQ2MjYzMDQ3MTZkMDQyYzhYmMyN2h0ODhMA==&p=YXAuOnNmZHRyOmF2YW5hbGpvc2mZpY2UzNjYVZlZWlhaWwXZGVhYVWbG9mL4YjUzMjJGZGQZmUyMDhOTU0N2hOTBhYzJZDVYjQwYs](https://www.dogooder.co/g=CTVYQZu8NjAaYjwOTYtZA==&h=YWQjMjBjZTgUZTc1YTA2MmMyNzI5ZjRlN2YyZTBlNjZkZGVjNGQ2MjYzMDQ3MTZkMDQyYzhYmMyN2h0ODhMA==&p=YXAuOnNmZHRyOmF2YW5hbGpvc2mZpY2UzNjYVZlZWlhaWwXZGVhYVWbG9mL4YjUzMjJGZGQZmUyMDhOTU0N2hOTBhYzJZDVYjQwYs)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.ar1.protection.com/v1/ut?o=https%3A%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=MmUQ2ODhOTNlN2RlMjZlN2Q==&h=YTYwMGE4YUWU4NGVjZjZjZjZlZTM3OGY4YTM0ZmU4OTF5OGYwM2E2MDVMDAAyYTQZZjkuN2NmNDh0ZTRyNmU3Mw==&p=YXAuOnNmZHRyOmF2YW5hbGpvc2mZpY2UzNjYVZlZWlhaWwXZGVhYVWbG9mL4YjUzMjJGZGQZmUyMDhOTU0N2hOTBhYzJZDVYjQwYs>

From: [Charlie Jones](#)  
To: [Susan, Ward, Susan, Kelly, GS-Senators](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses  
Date: Monday, January 18, 2021 4:58:48 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Charlie Jones

This email was sent by Charlie Jones via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Charlie provided an email address ([ckjonesjr@gmail.com](mailto:ckjonesjr@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Charlie Jones at [ckjonesjr@gmail.com](mailto:ckjonesjr@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1.usf?o=www.dogooder.co&g=YTba2BWVZCZmZGNZGN8Zg==dkh-OTBEMTVYjZlZWYAMzUwMzZmVlODk5OTBhOGExYmUsY2U5YjhlNg==&p=YXAuOndNaZHQyOndFYWShhpcZmZpY2UzNjVGVWlhaWxzCVVYb3QzM2MDJjZDVMZmZmZjZmMzMDk6NzE1MmMlN2Q5ZGRjOwYx>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1.usf?o=https%3A%2F%2Fhtml5f.3834&g=MCN8NinQAZTjyOTAwMTba2Q==dkh-OTBhOGExYmUsY2U5YjhlNg==&p=YXAuOndNaZHQyOndFYWShhpcZmZpY2UzNjVGVWlhaWxzCVVYb3QzM2MDJjZDVMZmZmZjZmMzMDk6NzE1MmMlN2Q5ZGRjOwYx>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
France Pean-Rush

This email was sent by France Pean-Rush via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however France provided an email address (frush@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to France Pean-Rush at [fprush@yahoo.com](mailto:fprush@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gender visit: <http://www.aanet.protection.com/en/1-1/>  
<https://www.dropbox.com/sh/0VAMgRmMhMhZGN0Q02Mn4?u=1&list=1&id=5M6M2Y1mYTMjJZRRnYzY1TY8YpNDX0zJASnMqYmWkXN2GQ5OQmJqYnNmMzNhd0A4-4p-YAaOcNhZpOqFY2YShbpZnZpY1NzY1ZWfWxWxY2Y1WbW0tODRZzMcM4MmNmM4M4tND4M45MQG0N5TzZMc0YQY>  
 To learn more about web protocol RFP 1334 visit: <http://www.aanet.protection.com/en/1-1/>  
<https://www.dropbox.com/sh/3t4oUj8f1834?u=1&list=1&id=5N6JWZ0Y0ZJ4M4M4-44-0D0Y1WbMTtBtMnNzWzBzWzMcZmZnZNTY1NzY1NmM4Vd4fANW5M4QY0WRNWNmM4DZ1Z7Y1WY40-44-YAaOcNhZpOqFY2YShbpZnZpY1NzY1ZWfWxWxY2Y1WbW0tODRZzMcM4MmNmM4M4tND4M45MQG0N5TzZMc0YQY>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Kim Do

This email was sent by Kim Do via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Kim provided an email address ([kim.y.do@gmail.com](mailto:kim.y.do@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kim Do at [kim.y.do@gmail.com](mailto:kim.y.do@gmail.com).

to learn more about Go Docker visit: <https://www.ianlondon.com/learn-go-docker/>

to learn more about Go Docker visit: <https://www.ianlondon.com/learn-go-docker/>

to learn more about web React 3834 visit: <https://www.ianlondon.com/learn-go-docker/>

to learn more about web React 3834 visit: <https://www.ianlondon.com/learn-go-docker/>

From: [Vanessa Crews](#)  
To: [David, Mayor, vanessa@sfph.org](#), [SOS-San Francisco](#)  
Subject: Support Act of our city's nurses  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 8:42:19 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Vanessa Crews

This email was sent by Vanessa Crews via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](#), however Vanessa provided an email address ([vcrews@sfph.org](#)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Vanessa Crews at [vcrews@sfph.org](#).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?o=www.dogooder.co&p=YJA1MjpsMjY0MDRlNmVjMA==&b=MaMSNMjYTA2OTNjY2lsNjMwZTYyYjZmMDhmZTZjMjBhMmMlVjMwNmMzZjkwNTBhFWZzZGRhYyVMTQzOWMhNm==&p=YXAzOeNmZHQzOmFZYW5hbjpvZmZpY2UsNjVZZW1haWxzX2VlYW5hQjY2ZmM4ZDhMcjZG1lVjNmMW5hOGUsMjFhMGU4NGY3OeYs)  
[o=www.dogooder.co&p=YJA1MjpsMjY0MDRlNmVjMA==&b=MaMSNMjYTA2OTNjY2lsNjMwZTYyYjZmMDhmZTZjMjBhMmMlVjMwNmMzZjkwNTBhFWZzZGRhYyVMTQzOWMhNm==&p=YXAzOeNmZHQzOmFZYW5hbjpvZmZpY2UsNjVZZW1haWxzX2VlYW5hQjY2ZmM4ZDhMcjZG1lVjNmMW5hOGUsMjFhMGU4NGY3OeYs](https://www.dogooder.co&p=YJA1MjpsMjY0MDRlNmVjMA==&b=MaMSNMjYTA2OTNjY2lsNjMwZTYyYjZmMDhmZTZjMjBhMmMlVjMwNmMzZjkwNTBhFWZzZGRhYyVMTQzOWMhNm==&p=YXAzOeNmZHQzOmFZYW5hbjpvZmZpY2UsNjVZZW1haWxzX2VlYW5hQjY2ZmM4ZDhMcjZG1lVjNmMW5hOGUsMjFhMGU4NGY3OeYs)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org%2Fhtml%3F3834&p=MTM5MGIjODQzZjVlNTI0MA==&b=YThZdG9YjlkZDYxZTA1MGRhOWVhZW50NGVjZThhZWZkMjc5ZDdjOTBhMWMzMhUzZTZANmYyNjY1NWYwMDg0OQ==&p=YXAzOeNmZHQzOmFZYW5hbjpvZmZpY2UsNjVZZW1haWxzX2VlYW5hQjY2ZmM4ZDhMcjZG1lVjNmMW5hOGUsMjFhMGU4NGY3OeYs)

From: [Peter Hendler](#)  
To: [Peter Hendler](#), [Do Gooder](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 8:55:17 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Peter Hendler via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Peter provided an email address ([peterhendler@gmail.com](mailto:peterhendler@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Peter Hendler at [peterhendler@gmail.com](mailto:peterhendler@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avatan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?](https://avatan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?o=www.dogooder.co&g=zml1Yy5lZGl1NTk4ZWFiOTI4ZmZjOTc2MmI2M2VkODI2ZWY2NkNDUxMGQ0Yg==&p=YXAuOnNuc2RQyOnf2YW5hbjpcZmZpY2UuNjVlZlWlhaWx4X2Y1YWw6QjNjN2MyNTQ2NjU2MDAaNTJlZTNkNjZlZDh4N2U1YmlUS0wYx)  
o=www.dogooder.co&g=zml1Yy5lZGl1NTk4ZWFiOTI4ZmZjOTc2MmI2M2VkODI2ZWY2NkNDUxMGQ0Yg==&p=YXAuOnNuc2RQyOnf2YW5hbjpcZmZpY2UuNjVlZlWlhaWx4X2Y1YWw6QjNjN2MyNTQ2NjU2MDAaNTJlZTNkNjZlZDh4N2U1YmlUS0wYx  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avatan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?](https://avatan.url-protection.com/v1/urf?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=MmlwYTQwMWJmZTAyNjNkMA==&h=M2JmNWw6NDhBNThmYml0OGU0ZTlZlYk9YTjg0OGIzZjQ2NmQ2Y2NjY2ZjNTNmN2U3OTlmMGI1ZDAyOWV1NzRlYg==&p=YXAuOnNuc2RQyOnf2YW5hbjpcZmZpY2UuNjVlZlWlhaWx4X2Y1YWw6QjNjN2MyNTQ2NjU2MDAaNTJlZTNkNjZlZDh4N2U1YmlUS0wYx)  
o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=MmlwYTQwMWJmZTAyNjNkMA==&h=M2JmNWw6NDhBNThmYml0OGU0ZTlZlYk9YTjg0OGIzZjQ2NmQ2Y2NjY2ZjNTNmN2U3OTlmMGI1ZDAyOWV1NzRlYg==&p=YXAuOnNuc2RQyOnf2YW5hbjpcZmZpY2UuNjVlZlWlhaWx4X2Y1YWw6QjNjN2MyNTQ2NjU2MDAaNTJlZTNkNjZlZDh4N2U1YmlUS0wYx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
maricar mendoza

This email was sent by maricar.mendoza via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however maricar provided an email address (maricarmendoza@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to maricar mendoza at maricarmmendoza@gmail.com.

[illegible]

From: [Nora King](#)  
To: [Board, Mayor, Justice, WHO, BOS-Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 9:03:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am sending this as a P103 charge nurse, MERT, resource, preceptor and covid ICU RN who works full time hours. Most of my shifts are in the covid icu.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Nora King

---

This email was sent by Nora King via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Nora provided an email address ([nora.king@sfdph.org](mailto:nora.king@sfdph.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Nora King at [nora.king@sfdph.org](mailto:nora.king@sfdph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avaman.arf-protection.com/v1/ut?](https://avaman.arf-protection.com/v1/ut?o=www.dogooder.co&g=3FWUQZWM3MTU12MnkhYnU1AMQ&---dkb=3GQyMeA1ZTA0T2bZGRbMjgNWbNYTZL0WQyODFkZWlyNGY4YzAwNmIhODhMTA2YyMjY0YVY0YVU4MDIhODMwMg==dkp=YXAuOnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbjpZmZpY2UzNjVlZWVhaWwzX2VlYWw0QWwZGU1OWE3NGMzZjVlYmF2YVMTc2ZD3ODBjZmNkOnYx)

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avaman.arf-protection.com/v1/ut?](https://avaman.arf-protection.com/v1/ut?o=https%3A%2F%2Fbooks.isrf.org/html%2F3834&g=3MnF2ZDhgYyAyMjgZTc3NW&---dkb=ZTFC3Nm13NzIhNzE0ZTgYzU1MDI6ZmUzZDZlZG41Y2FjNTcyNzRmZGRhMmIhMDIhMjYxZjg3MjBmODRlYw==dkp=YXAuOnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbjpZmZpY2UzNjVlZWVhaWwzX2VlYWw0QWwZGU1OWE3NGMzZjVlYmF2YVMTc2ZD3ODBjZmNkOnYx)



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Chris Baytan

This email was sent by Chris Baytan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Chris provided an email address (cabuyan@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Chris Baytan at [cbaytan@gmail.com](mailto:cbaytan@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?>

[illegible]

From: [Vin Morone](#)  
To: [Brenda Moore \(vincent.morone@sfph.org\)](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 9:32:19 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Vin Morone

This email was sent by Vin Morone via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Vin provided an email address ([vincent.morone@sfph.org](mailto:vincent.morone@sfph.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Vin Morone at [vincent.morone@sfph.org](mailto:vincent.morone@sfph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.ari-protection.com/v1/inf7>

o=www.dogooder.co&g=VjBZjYhOQNINtQYThaMA---&h=VjFOTNINuM40WRmM2NjNDFYyJjZjEzMDIwM4UMDIjYTI3MDk2NTBZuEwOTMZYmYsNDY1ZDhYTiYjMzRlNA---&p=YXAuOusNmZHRyOusfZYW5bbjpwZmZpY2UuNjVGVWthaWxzZVYyWkOusYaODUuZWUINuYSNjklMGONhYWVODUuZDQyNWlyYzgtOwYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.ari-protection.com/v1/inf7>

o=https://a/booh.inf.org/html/c6/3d4&g=NTQUNDRuSNDQwNTUjODQpseMw---&h=MjNjNDIuM4Y2NDRmNzA1MjQ3OTUuYThaYmVjZTZjYk5MTZMGfNDRmM2Y5OTNnZTUuNTgwOTVhNWlnaOTRlNA---&p=YXAuOusNmZHRyOusfZYW5bbjpwZmZpY2UuNjVGVWthaWxzZVYyWkOusYaODUuZWUINuYSNjklMGONhYWVODUuZDQyNWlyYzgtOwYs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Crystal Loucel

This email was sent by Crystal Loucel via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Crystal provided an email address (crystal.loucel@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Crystal Loucel at [crystal.loucel@gmail.com](mailto:crystal.loucel@gmail.com).

[illegible]

From: [Brenda Goodgame](#)  
To: [Brenda Goodgame \(brenda@goodgame811.comcast.net\)](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 10:22:20 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Brenda Goodgame

This email was sent by Brenda Goodgame via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Brenda provided an email address ([b.goodgame811@comcast.net](mailto:b.goodgame811@comcast.net)) which we included in the REPLY TO field.

Please reply to Brenda Goodgame at [b.goodgame811@comcast.net](mailto:b.goodgame811@comcast.net).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanat.url-protection.com/v1/uf?>

o=[www.digiposts.co.uk](https://www.digiposts.co.uk)~MjgZTEUzNDJhMjAwYTUxYQ==dkh=ODMANTEg3MzUzNTBhNTBhZTFjMjFmNGJmMTU5OWRlZDhuc2QyNmQ1MEhZaW5hMTBhMjAwODhYmRmNjNjNjRmNw==&p=YXAuOeNmZHRyOmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZW1haWxzX2VvYW5hQjRlMjBhM5MDA3ZDA4YTZmY2FjN2E3ZDZmMTBhN2QyYjRlOnYx

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanat.url-protection.com/v1/uf?>

o=<https://a+/tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834#g-NWRBMGFjNTY5N2RlN2JlNQ==dkh=NDUzZDZlMmZmMTBhMjFmY2ZmZmNDFmMTU5OWRlZDhuc2QyNmQ1MEhZaW5hMTBhMjAwODhYmRmNjNjNjRmNw==&p=YXAuOeNmZHRyOmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UuNjVZZW1haWxzX2VvYW5hQjRlMjBhM5MDA3ZDA4YTZmY2FjN2E3ZDZmMTBhN2QyYjRlOnYx>

From: [Kristine Miratani](#)  
To: [David Wong](#), [Lorena Wang](#), [SOS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: Support for our city's nurses  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 10:29:51 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Kristine Miratani

This email was sent by Kristine Miratani via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Kristine provided an email address ([amperandhyphen@yahoo.com](mailto:amperandhyphen@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kristine Miratani at [amperandhyphen@yahoo.com](mailto:amperandhyphen@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.unl-protection.com/v1/arf7>  
o=www.dogooder.co&g=GD&w=ZD&NjMlNDZjMjQ3MTUwYTQwMjE3ZWUzZGYxNjVhMjQ3ZTg3ODk&ZmM5NjE0M2NmM2NmNGVjNWlwMw==&p=YXAuOnNmZHQyOmF2YW50bjpvZmZpY2UzLnNjVGVZWlhaWwXaXZlVlYWhOmDOTAaNDRIaNDZjMGQZ2TVmZWZlODU1NTIzOTIwOnYx  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.unl-protection.com/v1/arf7>  
o=https%3A%2Ftools.ietf.org%2Fhtml%2Frfc3834&g=ZTNkYWMyODAzMmMwZTJFYjg==&h=VjAweY2E2OWRlYkZlZDhZbGZlNDg5MzA2a2kzMVQ3Y2UzLnNjVjMTQ1YjZANQ3ODIhNmE5YTM3OGZMg==&p=YXAuOnNmZHQyOmF2YW50bjpvZmZpY2UzLnNjVGVZWlhaWwXaXZlVlYWhOmDOTAaNDRIaNDZjMGQZ2TVmZWZlODU1NTIzOTIwOnYx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely  
Kate Gardiner

This email was sent by Kate Gardiner via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Kate provided an email address ([kate.e.gardiner@gmail.com](mailto:kate.e.gardiner@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kate Gardiner at [kate.e.gardiner@gmail.com](mailto:kate.e.gardiner@gmail.com)

[illegible]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Victoria Van Essem

This email was sent by Victoria Van Eszen via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Victoria provided an email address ([Karotte18@yahoo.com](mailto:Karotte18@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Victoria Van Essen at [Karotte18@yahoo.com](mailto:Karotte18@yahoo.com).

[illegible]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Karyn Sanchez

This email was sent by Karyn Sanchez via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Karyn provided an email address (ksscoterchick@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Karyn Sanchez at [ksscooterchick@gmail.com](mailto:ksscooterchick@gmail.com).

to learn more about Do Degrader visit: <https://www.aol.com/protection.com/en-us>

<https://www.dogedrop.com/cv/0Tz2Yv32R0VY3MkZu4--d4h-0d5tMm5eMDNjNjY5ODQyYjNmZDUmZDUhAGU5OU5MjNjY3Y2U2ZTcyYzY2NjNjY2Y1NjZWM3NW5hYWRnZW5hMkRlR3Q=> --d4h-0d5tMm5eMDNjNjY5ODQyYjNmZDUmZDUhAGU5OU5MjNjY3Y2U2ZTcyYzY2NjNjY2Y1NjZWM3NW5hYWRnZW5hMkRlR3Q=

to learn more about web protection R34 visit: <https://www.aol.com/protection.com/en-us>

[https://h3m5.3a.7ools.net/cv/hmLrCf3eY5m5eYjNjVDM2Mm5tA0U7jM5w--d4h-2Y5E2Mm5YtE4Zj5NGV3Y2Y2cmQ0YzQ0TmY4Wm5Mm5m5eYjNjY2NjNmZjMhMjMhMjNjRkODY0MDM5MDM5Zm5w--d4h-0d5tMm5eMDNjNjY5ODQyYjNmZDUmZDUhAGU5OU5MjNjY3Y2U2ZTcyYzY2NjNjY2Y1NjZWM3NW5hYWRnZW5hMkRlR3Q=](https://h3m5.3a.7ools.net/cv/hmLrCf3eY5m5eYjNjVDM2Mm5tA0U7jM5w--d4h-2Y5E2Mm5YtE4Zj5NGV3Y2Y2cmQ0YzQ0TmY4Wm5Mm5m5eYjNjY2NjNmZjMhMjMhMjMhMjNjRkODY0MDM5MDM5Zm5w--d4h-0d5tMm5eMDNjNjY5ODQyYjNmZDUmZDUhAGU5OU5MjNjY3Y2U2ZTcyYzY2NjNjY2Y1NjZWM3NW5hYWRnZW5hMkRlR3Q=)



From: [Landon Stiggers](#)  
To: [Brenda Whang](#), [Landon Stiggers](#), [RCS-San Francisco](#)  
Subject: Support all of our P103 nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 11:14:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Landon Stiggers

This email was sent by Landon Stiggers via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.io](mailto:campaigns@goodr.io), however Landon provided an email address ([landon.stiggers@sfdph.org](mailto:landon.stiggers@sfdph.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Landon Stiggers at [landon.stiggers@sfdph.org](mailto:landon.stiggers@sfdph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.url.protection.com/v1/ur?](https://avanan.url.protection.com/v1/ur?o=www.dogooder.co&g=MEswwVZDYZXDMEZQVYQ==&h=NDAMONZY5MndEOTgJINDBiNGMAaMTRbNjc2MDYTYTRZjBhOGElMhESZGNiYmZuNzI2ZDlnZjI0ODFYTgZDYTQSMQ==&p=YXAuOnNuc2hQyOnF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVZW1haWwXZVlYWbQj0YU1wYWQ2MmNlYWQ4MWRlYjFhMTA0M2hOTUyY250bYs)  
[o=www.dogooder.co&g=MEswwVZDYZXDMEZQVYQ==&h=NDAMONZY5MndEOTgJINDBiNGMAaMTRbNjc2MDYTYTRZjBhOGElMhESZGNiYmZuNzI2ZDlnZjI0ODFYTgZDYTQSMQ==&p=YXAuOnNuc2hQyOnF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVZW1haWwXZVlYWbQj0YU1wYWQ2MmNlYWQ4MWRlYjFhMTA0M2hOTUyY250bYs](https://www.dogooder.co&g=MEswwVZDYZXDMEZQVYQ==&h=NDAMONZY5MndEOTgJINDBiNGMAaMTRbNjc2MDYTYTRZjBhOGElMhESZGNiYmZuNzI2ZDlnZjI0ODFYTgZDYTQSMQ==&p=YXAuOnNuc2hQyOnF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVZW1haWwXZVlYWbQj0YU1wYWQ2MmNlYWQ4MWRlYjFhMTA0M2hOTUyY250bYs)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.url.protection.com/v1/ur?](https://avanan.url.protection.com/v1/ur?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=YzgYTRRnGU2YhMDAaMw==&h=ZWEGOTI2TRlOTIMU2MTQ3Y2UzMmQwZmVhZjBhNWJhNDZmZkYhbnMTYXNlNTk1NWU0ZTMjNGVlZGlzM2YlMw==&p=YXAuOnNuc2hQyOnF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVZW1haWwXZVlYWbQj0YU1wYWQ2MmNlYWQ4MWRlYjFhMTA0M2hOTUyY250bYs)  
[o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=YzgYTRRnGU2YhMDAaMw==&h=ZWEGOTI2TRlOTIMU2MTQ3Y2UzMmQwZmVhZjBhNWJhNDZmZkYhbnMTYXNlNTk1NWU0ZTMjNGVlZGlzM2YlMw==&p=YXAuOnNuc2hQyOnF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVZW1haWwXZVlYWbQj0YU1wYWQ2MmNlYWQ4MWRlYjFhMTA0M2hOTUyY250bYs](https://avanan.url.protection.com/v1/ur?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&g=YzgYTRRnGU2YhMDAaMw==&h=ZWEGOTI2TRlOTIMU2MTQ3Y2UzMmQwZmVhZjBhNWJhNDZmZkYhbnMTYXNlNTk1NWU0ZTMjNGVlZGlzM2YlMw==&p=YXAuOnNuc2hQyOnF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVZW1haWwXZVlYWbQj0YU1wYWQ2MmNlYWQ4MWRlYjFhMTA0M2hOTUyY250bYs)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Mary Ingalla

This email was sent by Mary Ingalla via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Mary provided an email address (maryingalla@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Mary Ingalla at [maryingalla@gmail.com](mailto:maryingalla@gmail.com).

[illegible]

From: [Martin Cunanan](#)  
To: [Brenda Whelan](#), [Susan Sorenson](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 11:29:36 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a P103 nurse who works directly with covid-19 patients since the beginning of the pandemic.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Martin Cunanan

This email was sent by Martin Cunanan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Martin provided an email address ([martin.cunanan@sfdoh.org](mailto:martin.cunanan@sfdoh.org)) which we included in the REPLY TO field.

Please reply to Martin Cunanan at [martin.cunanan@sfdoh.org](mailto:martin.cunanan@sfdoh.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanun.atl-protection.com/v1/ut/>  
o=[www.dogooder.co.uk](mailto:www.dogooder.co.uk)~Nl~jN2Y3MaIZWJdY5M~---4b~YTHNDRMWM5YjA2MEK5YtgZ2mYyMjJmYyMTVjMDJhMjZlNGE0N2MyNDhM2JhNkA3NmE4NTNmYnYxYyFjNA---4p~YXAoOnNmZHRyOmF2YW5hbjp/ZmZpY2UuNjVGVW1haWxzY2VhWjQmZjksMTIuOGNlNmFwMjc5ZWYZZDxIZjg5YzIuM1NWRhOwYx  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanun.atl-protection.com/v1/ut/>  
o=<https://3a/tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834#page=4>~MzUzYjE3OTY5MjA3YTA3Mg---4b~MGNlNDM5MjA4YTM5ZjZOTAwNTQ5OTgzZmYwNDhM2JhNkA3NmE4NTNmYnYxYyFjNA~MGRhZWM5YjE3OTY5MjA3YTA3Mg---4p~YXAoOnNmZHRyOmF2YW5hbjp/ZmZpY2UuNjVGVW1haWxzY2VhWjQmZjksMTIuOGNlNmFwMjc5ZWYZZDxIZjg5YzIuM1NWRhOwYx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Genevieve Hamer

This email was sent by Genevieve Hamer via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Genevieve provided an email address ([genevievehamer@yahoo.com](mailto:genevievehamer@yahoo.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Genevieve Hamer at [genevievehamer@yahoo.com](mailto:genevievehamer@yahoo.com).

To learn more about Do Gender visit <https://iranian-art.protection.com/>  
<https://www.doi.org/doi/10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-33333>  
 or check our most web protection RF384 visit: <https://iranian-art.protection.com/>  
 or <https://x.com/iranian-art>  
 or <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCN8rZGpG0GLZjYmabnMn4b4k7YccNw4a>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Kristin Olson

This email was sent by Kristin Olson via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Kristin provided an email address ([Kristin.N.Olson@gmail.com](mailto:Kristin.N.Olson@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kristin Olson at [Kristin.N.Olson@gmail.com](mailto:Kristin.N.Olson@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit: [www.audible-protection.com/v1/mkt](http://www.audible-protection.com/v1/mkt)  
[www.dogooder.org/](https://www.dogooder.org/)  
 To learn more about our pet protocol R384 visit: [www.audible-protection.com/v1/mkt](http://www.audible-protection.com/v1/mkt)  
 To learn more about us: <https://www.facebook.com/dogooder/>

From: [Joshua Lehner](#)  
To: [David, Mayor Leander \(MTE\) - 605-Superior](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 11:49:08 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Joshua Lehner

---

This email was sent by Joshua Lehner via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Joshua provided an email address ([joshua.lehner@sfph.org](mailto:joshua.lehner@sfph.org)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Joshua Lehner at [joshua.lehner@sfph.org](mailto:joshua.lehner@sfph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan-art-protection.com/v1/uri/>  
or <https://www.dogooder.co/gp-ZWVXNjE2ZW5NMjYxYjZMAA--dkh-Vonh8SbzZAGU/SZTYyNGYsOGVlZDk2ZGRhYTcyQDNlODRkZjRlYmJlYTBlOTBZWVYyOTYyZDdlMjEwZDRhYTpxYQ==dkp-YXAuOnNuzZlRQyOnfZYW5hbjpwZmZpY2UuNjVlZW1haWwXZlYyYWhlOnMlZjRlNDhA4NDhjN2UuNGM6NnY4N2ZkYWJlMTElMjA3OnYs>  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan-art-protection.com/v1/uri/>  
or <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834#g-MEY2MTg2YWFnNmNzUUNQ--dkh-YW5lODRlMDQyOTYyYjA4N2ZkZTlZm5M5MG0MnduzZWQ2ZTMzZGZlMTZkZWYlNWYyN2VhMzRlbnNjYjZlZDZlMg==dkp-YXAuOnNuzZlRQyOnfZYW5hbjpwZmZpY2UuNjVlZW1haWwXZlYyYWhlOnMlZjRlNDhA4NDhjN2UuNGM6NnY4N2ZkYWJlMTElMjA3OnYs>

From: [Tamara Houston](#)  
To: [Brenda Houston](#), [Michele](#), [RCS-Superintendents](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 11:59:52 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave. COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Tamara Houston

This email was sent by Tamara Houston via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Tamara provided an email address ([houston299@ca.com](mailto:houston299@ca.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Tamara Houston at [houston299@ca.com](mailto:houston299@ca.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=www.dogooder.co&p=NGUZYTD0QFutOTInNGU=Nqg=4hs-MYfouDshZGEaOTVbMdeYzRtYcyOWBbNjBNGUSMGUaZTM2NzU3ODh4MjYyYTZaZWJhM2JlZTRMTB1YTlyMA==&p=YYAaGnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbjprZmZpY2UuNjVGVZW1haWxzX2VlYWh0Qj0NW3MMDH1ZjYxMzRlbnVjMTA0OTFhYwYTEwNmU3OeYx)  
[o=www.dogooder.co&p=NGUZYTD0QFutOTInNGU=Nqg=4hs-MYfouDshZGEaOTVbMdeYzRtYcyOWBbNjBNGUSMGUaZTM2NzU3ODh4MjYyYTZaZWJhM2JlZTRMTB1YTlyMA==&p=YYAaGnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbjprZmZpY2UuNjVGVZW1haWxzX2VlYWh0Qj0NW3MMDH1ZjYxMzRlbnVjMTA0OTFhYwYTEwNmU3OeYx](https://www.dogooder.co&p=NGUZYTD0QFutOTInNGU=Nqg=4hs-MYfouDshZGEaOTVbMdeYzRtYcyOWBbNjBNGUSMGUaZTM2NzU3ODh4MjYyYTZaZWJhM2JlZTRMTB1YTlyMA==&p=YYAaGnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbjprZmZpY2UuNjVGVZW1haWxzX2VlYWh0Qj0NW3MMDH1ZjYxMzRlbnVjMTA0OTFhYwYTEwNmU3OeYx)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=MjBhM2UuNjVhMDRmODAlNG==&h=MrQZ3MCOYZZTdbNTYrOGJhM2JlZWJhM2JlZTRMTB1YTlyMA==&p=YYAaGnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbjprZmZpY2UuNjVGVZW1haWxzX2VlYWh0Qj0NW3MMDH1ZjYxMzRlbnVjMTA0OTFhYwYTEwNmU3OeYx)  
[o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=MjBhM2UuNjVhMDRmODAlNG==&h=MrQZ3MCOYZZTdbNTYrOGJhM2JlZWJhM2JlZTRMTB1YTlyMA==&p=YYAaGnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbjprZmZpY2UuNjVGVZW1haWxzX2VlYWh0Qj0NW3MMDH1ZjYxMzRlbnVjMTA0OTFhYwYTEwNmU3OeYx](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=https%3A%2F%2Ftools.ietf.org/html/rfc3834&p=MjBhM2UuNjVhMDRmODAlNG==&h=MrQZ3MCOYZZTdbNTYrOGJhM2JlZWJhM2JlZTRMTB1YTlyMA==&p=YYAaGnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbjprZmZpY2UuNjVGVZW1haWxzX2VlYWh0Qj0NW3MMDH1ZjYxMzRlbnVjMTA0OTFhYwYTEwNmU3OeYx)

From: [Sarah Garden](#)  
To: [Board, Mayor, London, 30302](#), [SOS Supervisors](#)  
Subject: P103 - RN  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 12:16:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

In April our unit was deployed to support the PPE supply chain for the ICU. Currently I continue to do my department's work, while two colleagues are deployed to covid support assignments. I feel dispensable to the city as a per diem, utilized for the pandemic but not afforded the same covid leave which other RNs have received. The lack of covid sick time contributes to Nurses deciding whether to go to work sick, or be unpaid. Covid time = people staying home when sick or other family emergencies arise.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Sarah Garden

This email was sent by Sarah Garden via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.ds](mailto:campaigns@good.ds), however Sarah provided an email address ([sarah.garden@gmail.com](mailto:sarah.garden@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sarah Garden at [sarah.garden@gmail.com](mailto:sarah.garden@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [https://avanat.art/protection.com/v1url?](https://avanat.art/protection.com/v1url?o=www.dogooder.co&g=Yjg2NQY2E1YjNmMGIuZkA=4k-YWZlOTZlOTBhN2QyOTBhOTA1Ngk5NjM1ZmRlYm42MTZm10YjNjU1NCQ5ZmRlNjZlUzOWZlMTJlYkEwZGp=dkp-YXAuOnNmZlRQZmF2YmV5bGpvc2mZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWxzX2VlYWlsOjUyMjIwMzIyYmRlOnYs)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: [https://avanat.art/protection.com/v1url?](https://avanat.art/protection.com/v1url?o=help%3A%2Ftools.net.org/html/rfc3834&g=Z2h0MTEyNmM1MjRlNjZlUzOWZlMTJlYkEwZGp=4k-YjVlYjRlNDJlZmFwMTk1YmRlYWE2ZDZlZmRlYzZmNmU1ZmV3M5NTNlYzU0OTVlZlA0ODk3NmVlYzhnQ=dkp-YXAuOnNmZlRQZmF2YmV5bGpvc2mZpY2UzNjVlZW1haWxzX2VlYWlsOjUyMjIwMzIyYmRlOnYs)



From: [Joshua Ruggles](#)  
To: [Board, Mayor, London, MWD, BOS-Superior](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 12:20:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

---

This email was sent by Joshua Ruggles via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Joshua provided an email address ([joshuaruggles@gmail.com](mailto:joshuaruggles@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Joshua Ruggles at [joshuaruggles@gmail.com](mailto:joshuaruggles@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf7>

o=www.dogooder.co&g=ODg1MhjY2l2MDASZGYlNQ==&h=MzR0Ynd14YjRmDY3MmJOTFjYjQ2YjglYjdlNDUuOTBjY2EhYj04OTgyYTY4YzMiZWFiODdNWjYyZg4YTI1MQ==&p=YXAuOnNouZlRQyOnf2YW5hhjprZnZpY2UuNjYVZlW1haWwXZVYVWlOjNlZjZlNW4ZlWU2M4EzMzA3NGJNTBjZGVjOGZjNDBlOwYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/urf7>

o=helpn3JAu0oakurl.org/bsmt163834&g=NOQjZjYlN2lYayYjY0YVQ==&h=NmRMTV/NodkYjQjYTRiOWH4ODhmMGRINGF/NdohMDAaZjY2M4YnNDUuZGZlMzA3NGJNTBjZGVjOGZjNDBlOwYs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful. 2320's already get PAID benefits, now they also get FREE benefits, when us P103's have to pay for our own healthcare and other benefits and most cannot afford to be out sick if infected.

So far this year I have spent all my accrued sick time on mandatory testing when vague possible covid symptoms came up.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus. In fact, we make up 40% of nurses in SFDPH!

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers have received.

Yours sincerely,

Mia Jensen

This email was sent by Mia Jensen via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Mia provided an email address (mia.t.jensen@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Mia Jensen at [mia.t.jensen@gmail.com](mailto:mia.t.jensen@gmail.com).

to learn more about Go Docker visit <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1YtZ0T0M0jI0>  
<https://www.dogondocker.co.uk/news/YTAAtDgZIBtBjS5mW...>  
to learn more about web protocol R384 visit <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1YtZ0T0M0jI0>  
<https://www.10xtoit.com/learn/1R384...>  
<https://www.dogondocker.co.uk/news/YTAAtDgZIBtBjS5mW...>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Luke Powell

This email was sent by Luke Powell via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@goodr.do](mailto:campaigns@goodr.do), however Luke provided an email address ([lucky.lamour@gmail.com](mailto:lucky.lamour@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Luke Powell at [luckylamour@gmail.com](mailto:luckylamour@gmail.com).

To learn more about Data Doctor visit <https://www.audible.at/protection.com/en>

You can also download our app from Google Play or the App Store:  
Google Play: [com.audible.audibleprotection&hl=en](https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.audible.audibleprotection&hl=en)  
App Store: [audible-protection/id1468907110?l=en](https://apps.apple.com/us/app/audible-protection/id1468907110?l=en)

To learn more about our website privacy policy visit <https://www.audible.at/protection.com/en/privacy-policy>

You can also check out our new website at <https://www.audible.at/protection.com/en>

From: [Rebecca Taylor](#)  
To: [David, Mayor, San Francisco, CA](#), [SOS-San Francisco](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 2:13:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Rebecca Taylor

This email was sent by Rebecca Taylor via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Rebecca provided an email address ([rebecca.j.taylor@sfdph.org](mailto:rebecca.j.taylor@sfdph.org)) which we included in the REPLY TO field.

Please reply to Rebecca Taylor at [rebecca.j.taylor@sfdph.org](mailto:rebecca.j.taylor@sfdph.org).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ur7>  
o=[www.digisocial.co.uk/g-YmUlyY2U3YTIUM2Q0YkY0A=4b=N2Y6DTVZTM6MTU5ZTM2ODJNDzNjJiY2Y1OGQ5ZGJZcWY4Mm8iNGYwYTQ4NmI2ZGFhMzJlNWNlMmYwMGYyYQ==4p=YXAuOnNmZHR2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVZW1hWmVhOjM5Y2QzZmQ0MjAAM2USN2Y4YTRlZDRjZDM5M2J0aYk](https://www.digisocial.co.uk/g-YmUlyY2U3YTIUM2Q0YkY0A=4b=N2Y6DTVZTM6MTU5ZTM2ODJNDzNjJiY2Y1OGQ5ZGJZcWY4Mm8iNGYwYTQ4NmI2ZGFhMzJlNWNlMmYwMGYyYQ==4p=YXAuOnNmZHR2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVZW1hWmVhOjM5Y2QzZmQ0MjAAM2USN2Y4YTRlZDRjZDM5M2J0aYk)  
To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/ur7>  
o=<https://3A/3oos.ief7.org.htmlrf:3834/g-Mxc4YjMZZTNjOWVhMTdMcg=4b=-ZWQ5OGMAMDDUYmQwODR0RTU3ZTlIM6Y4ODAiYnNlNmZmZmJ0GEwZTc0ODc4ZmVlOTUzMjJlN2VlODRlZGU1OQ==4p=YXAuOnNmZHR2OmF2YW5hbjpvZmZpY2UzNjVGVZW1hWmVhOjM5Y2QzZmQ0MjAAM2USN2Y4YTRlZDRjZDM5M2J0aYk>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

Yours sincerely,  
Edward Martin

This email was sent by Edward Martin via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Edward provided an email address (cubuffalo90@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Edward Martin at cubuffalo90@hotmail.com.

to learn more about Do Degrade visit: <https://www.anul.protection.com/v1/v1/>  
 to <https://www.anul.protection.com/v1/v1/>  
 to learn more about web protection href: <https://www.anul.protection.com/v1/v1/>  
 to <https://www.anul.protection.com/v1/v1/>

From: [Diana Houtamez](#)  
To: [Board, Mayor, London, WHO, SOS-Superheroes](#)  
Subject: Support all of our city's nurses!  
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 4:23:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

---

This email was sent by Diana Houtamez via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Diana provided an email address ([dimago870@gmail.com](mailto:dimago870@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Diana Houtamez at [dimago870@gmail.com](mailto:dimago870@gmail.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/art/>

o=www.dggooder.codg=MDQ5OWQ4ZjhmMGQ5ZjM5MQ==&h=NDIEZjA1ZjhlYmNsZmM4YjU3Mjk4MzQ2NGRlYjQyMGIyNWY0ZDY4MzFkYW50NzY2NmMjA3Mjg3Mjc2Mjc2MQ==&p=YXAuOnNnZHQyOnF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjY0ZW1haWwzC2VYYWw4ZjUzTg5NC2ZjA4OGFhYjczYzMiZTE3MTQzMmZmZDg3OnYs

To learn more about web protocol RFC 3834 visit: <https://avanan.art-protection.com/v1/art/>

o=https%3A%2F%2Favanan.art-protection.com%2Fv1%2Fart%2F%3Fp%3DMDQ5OWQ4ZjhmMGQ5ZjM5MQ==&h=NDIEZjA1ZjhlYmNsZmM4YjU3Mjk4MzQ2NGRlYjQyMGIyNWY0ZDY4MzFkYW50NzY2NmMjA3Mjg3Mjc2Mjc2MQ==&p=YXAuOnNnZHQyOnF2YW5hbjpwZmZpY2UzNjY0ZW1haWwzC2VYYWw4ZjUzTg5NC2ZjA4OGFhYjczYzMiZTE3MTQzMmZmZDg3OnYs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Anya Wayne via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Anya provided an email address ([threeblindmice76@gmail.com](mailto:threeblindmice76@gmail.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Anya Wayne at [threeblindmice76@gmail.com](mailto:threeblindmice76@gmail.com).

to learn more about Go Docker visit <https://www.anuj-protection.com>

to learn more about Docker visit <https://www.docker.com>

to learn more about web pentest visit <https://www.anuj-protection.com>

to learn more about web pentest visit <https://www.anuj-protection.com>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Grae Singer via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Grae provided an email address ([grae.singer@sdfph.org](mailto:grae.singer@sdfph.org)) which we included in the REPLY TO field.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?>

o=[www.dooder.co.uk/~MiniZ/2M4N3J1Y14MA~&h=U12U1K4J5Y1Z1ZL~&g=2N2NOUDH7Jc:3MDJHJBJ7WNZ1QKN1K3N;VBM8H6Z1MGZNEJN1BJ0JDM8WQZQQ~&p=YAGOnmZHQYQma2Y7WhbjpZmZpY2UzjVZVh1aWxX2VtYWh8j2N1A0NjgYtYBjZmZkMQSNZa2N1imMGUwZjQwMTQwOnYx](http://www.dooder.co.uk/~MiniZ/2M4N3J1Y14MA~&h=U12U1K4J5Y1Z1ZL~&g=2N2NOUDH7Jc:3MDJHJBJ7WNZ1QKN1K3N;VBM8H6Z1MGZNEJN1BJ0JDM8WQZQQ~&p=YAGOnmZHQYQma2Y7WhbjpZmZpY2UzjVZVh1aWxX2VtYWh8j2N1A0NjgYtYBjZmZkMQSNZa2N1imMGUwZjQwMTQwOnYx)  
to learn more about web protocol RFC3846: [www.iana.org/protection/protocol/y1url](http://www.iana.org/protection/protocol/y1url)  
o=<https://3A/tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3846&g=YUjZTY0YyZwNzhNTYsMA~&h=ZTZ3J0WYmZjN2Q1MTA3MzhkYjEwInEjNjZjZjY0YwQND3MDAaZWw5NzU4MTAaZTZhNWU2NTc3MDU4MQ~&p=YYaXaOnmZHQYQma2Y7WhbjpZmZpY2UzjVZVh1aWxX2VtYVYWh8j2N1A0NjgYtYBjZmZkMQSNZa2N1imMGUwZjQwMTQwOnYx>



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Nurses are the frontline of defense for our community's health and have worked tirelessly around the clock to keep our city's residents safe from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the city rolled out its COVID leave bank last March, however, it decided that P103 nurses should not have access to that emergency leave.

COVID-19 doesn't care whether it's infecting a 2320 nurse or a P103 nurse, so why does our city believe that only one type of nurse deserves access to COVID leave if they or a loved one falls ill? This is shameful.

P103 nurses are part of the backbone of the city's response to this pandemic, working in some of the most high-risk areas of San Francisco and risking our personal health and safety to combat this virus.

I write today to ask the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to extend to P103 nurses the same access to COVID-19 leave benefits that other frontline workers enjoy.

This email was sent by Emily Birney via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol RFC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Emily provided an email address ([ebirney1@icloud.com](mailto:ebirney1@icloud.com)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Emily Birney at [ebirney1@icloud.com](mailto:ebirney1@icloud.com).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit <https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?>

To learn more about our products visit <https://www.iamanirprotection.com/v1/v1/>  
 or <https://www.dogooder.co.uk-5Y2RmJZQY2DMZ4Z2IMT0E0MA==4h-YTZh0TQVINGMIYzhanNtEwMGRzGzQwY2hZDAiNGMIYmE3ZTM5NjQnNmQ2NTRzZmVjNTRlYmE0MDIhMzA0ZWYyZQ==&-p-YYXaOnSmZHQyOmFY2YW5hbjpZmZpZyZUzUxNjVGVZlUwWxXzX2Y1Wt0k4ZjYzYyA3ZTJlZmMyMzRlbnNA4ZDZSWZY4OTB0bDc0O0Ys>  
 To learn more about our product RfC 3834 visit: <https://www.iamanirprotection.com/v1/v1/>  
 or <https://a1.t0oia.net/brand/1c/83446-c-0WfJmJAZGvJOWQ1YjkyZ4Z2-0TfMQG2mThNaM4ZDMJY3ZQwMzjY2NtU3NDElMwW5S0DlYzY2VGVZlUwWxXzX2Y1Wt0k4ZjYzYyA3ZTJlZmMyMzRlbnNA4ZDZSWZY4OTB0bDc0O0Ys>

**From:** [Holly Ellis](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** Plea to Waive Tattoo License Fees  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 10:24:37 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern:

This week the health department sent out a memo to Tattoo and Body Art announcing the Mayor's new \$62 million relief plan for small businesses. I have read the press release and I'm looking forward to applying for these grants and encouraging my coworkers and peers to do the same. It is really wonderful to see people of color, women, longstanding businesses and a variety of neighborhoods represented and I'm proud to say- the Tattoo and Body Art community in San Francisco fits in to each of those categories.

Many tattoo and body art shops may not qualify for the loans, however, and we are unable to participate in the Shared Spaces program due to health department restrictions. We are an industry that has been devastated through the state and local mandated closures. We have no ability to make an income right now. Unlike other personal services, we have barely been allowed to open for two months out of the last ten.

Uniquely, we are a sector that pays **all** of our licensing and registration fees to the City, this amounts to thousands of dollars per shop paid both by the shop owner as well as annual fees paid by each individual technician. Many personal services are regulated by the State- however in California, Tattoo and Body Art is regulated by the County. Fee waivers for Tattoo and Body Art could mean the difference between shops closing or weathering these unprecedented times. Not to mention **EVERY** single registered tattoo and body art technician would be impacted by the relief, that is several hundred people.

When the Mayor budgeted \$5 million in fee waivers for nightlife, she added restaurants and art spaces to the list of recipients. Tattoo and body art representative Jill Bonny, met with OEWD Director Joaquin Torres and Jorge Rivas Jr. in October, and left feeling accomplished after the meeting in that the OEWD formally recognized tattoo artists as a unique group of artists in SF affected by the pandemic related closures. By that standard shouldn't our studios qualify as art spaces?

My group is truly struggling right now, both financially and emotionally. Please help me understand what kind of relief the City can provide us to give us hope for our futures. Thank you.

Best,  
 Holy Ellis  
 Idle Hand Tattoo  
 575 Haight St  
 San Francisco

[www.idlehandsf.com](http://www.idlehandsf.com)

Of The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

contact: Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.

**From:** [Reopen SF Tattoo Shops](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** SAVE SF TATTOOING  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 10:25:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern:

This week the health department sent out a memo to Tattoo and Body Art announcing the Mayor's new \$62 million relief plan for small businesses. I have read the press release and I'm looking forward to applying for these grants and encouraging my coworkers and peers to do the same. It is really wonderful to see people of color, women, longstanding businesses and a variety of neighborhoods represented and I'm proud to say- the Tattoo and Body Art community in San Francisco fits in to each of those categories.

Many tattoo and body art shops may not qualify for the loans, however, and we are unable to participate in the Shared Spaces program due to health department restrictions. We are an industry that has been devastated through the state and local mandated closures. We have no ability to make an income right now. Unlike other personal services, we have barely been allowed to open for two months out of the last ten.

Uniquely, we are a sector that pays **all** of our licensing and registration fees to the City, this amounts to thousands of dollars per shop paid both by the shop owner as well as annual fees paid by each individual technician. Many personal services are regulated by the State- however in California, Tattoo and Body Art is regulated by the County. Fee waivers for Tattoo and Body Art could mean the difference between shops closing or weathering these unprecedented times. Not to mention **EVERY** single registered tattoo and body art technician would be impacted by the relief, that is several hundred people.

When the Mayor budgeted \$5 million in fee waivers for nightlife, she added restaurants and art spaces to the list of recipients. Tattoo and body art representative Jill Bonny, met with OEWD Director Joaquin Torres and Jorge Rivas Jr. in October, and left feeling accomplished after the meeting in that the OEWD formally recognized tattoo artists as a unique group of artists in SF affected by the pandemic related closures. By that standard shouldn't our studios qualify as art spaces?

My group is truly struggling right now, both financially and emotionally. Please help me understand what kind of relief the City can provide us to give us hope for our futures. Thank you.

Best,

The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

contact: [Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com](mailto:Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com)

--

She/Her

*Advocate*

The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

*Owner/Tattooer*

Studio Kazoku, [www.studiokazokutattoo.com](http://www.studiokazokutattoo.com)

txt. (415)735-5754

**From:** [Jared Smith](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Help  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 10:26:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern:

This week the health department sent out a memo to Tattoo and Body Art announcing the Mayor's new \$62 million relief plan for small businesses. I have read the press release and I'm looking forward to applying for these grants and encouraging my coworkers and peers to do the same. It is really wonderful to see people of color, women, longstanding businesses and a variety of neighborhoods represented and I'm proud to say- the Tattoo and Body Art community in San Francisco fits in to each of those categories.

Many tattoo and body art shops may not qualify for the loans, however, and we are unable to participate in the Shared Spaces program due to health department restrictions. We are an industry that has been devastated through the state and local mandated closures. We have no ability to make an income right now. Unlike other personal services, we have barely been allowed to open for two months out of the last ten.

Uniquely, we are a sector that pays **all** of our licensing and registration fees to the City, this amounts to thousands of dollars per shop paid both by the shop owner as well as annual fees paid by each individual technician. Many personal services are regulated by the State- however in California, Tattoo and Body Art is regulated by the County. Fee waivers for Tattoo and Body Art could mean the difference between shops closing or weathering these unprecedented times. Not to mention **EVERY** single registered tattoo and body art technician would be impacted by the relief, that is several hundred people.

When the Mayor budgeted \$5 million in fee waivers for nightlife, she added restaurants and art spaces to the list of recipients. Tattoo and body art representative Jill Bonny, met with OEWD Director Joaquin Torres and Jorge Rivas Jr. in October, and left feeling accomplished after the meeting in that the OEWD formally recognized tattoo artists as a unique group of artists in SF affected by the pandemic related closures. By that standard shouldn't our studios qualify as art spaces?

My group is truly struggling right now, both financially and emotionally. Please

help me understand what kind of relief the City can provide us to give us hope for our futures. Thank you.

Best,

Jared Smith, Authentic Tattoo, 1509 Church St. , [authenticattoo.com](http://authenticattoo.com),  
Of The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

contact: [Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com](mailto:Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com)

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Jill Bonny](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** SAVE SF TATTOOING  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 10:29:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern:

This week the health department sent out a memo to Tattoo and Body Art announcing the Mayor's new \$62 million relief plan for small businesses. I have read the press release and I'm looking forward to applying for these grants and encouraging my coworkers and peers to do the same. It is really wonderful to see people of color, women, longstanding businesses and a variety of neighborhoods represented and I'm proud to say- the Tattoo and Body Art community in San Francisco fits in to each of those categories.

Many tattoo and body art shops may not qualify for the loans, however, and we are unable to participate in the Shared Spaces program due to health department restrictions. We are an industry that has been devastated through the state and local mandated closures. We have no ability to make an income right now. Unlike other personal services, we have barely been allowed to open for two months out of the last ten.

Uniquely, we are a sector that pays **all** of our licensing and registration fees to the City, this amounts to thousands of dollars per shop paid both by the shop owner as well as annual fees paid by each individual technician. Many personal services are regulated by the State- however in California, Tattoo and Body Art is regulated by the County. Fee waivers for Tattoo and Body Art could mean the difference between shops closing or weathering these unprecedented times. Not to mention **EVERY** single registered tattoo and body art technician would be impacted by the relief, that is several hundred people.

When the Mayor budgeted \$5 million in fee waivers for nightlife, she added restaurants and art spaces to the list of recipients. I represented tattooing in a meeting with OEWD Director Joaquin Torres and Jorge Rivas Jr. in October, and left feeling accomplished after the meeting in that the OEWD formally recognized tattoo artists as a unique group of artists in SF affected by the pandemic related closures. By that standard shouldn't our studios qualify as art spaces?

My group is truly struggling right now, both financially and emotionally. Please help me understand what kind of relief the City can provide us to give us hope for our futures. Thank you.



Best,

Jill “Horiyuki” Bonny

Of The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

contact: [Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com](mailto:Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com)

--

She/Her

*Advocate*

The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

*Owner/Tattooer*

Studio Kazoku, [www.studiokazokutattoo.com](http://www.studiokazokutattoo.com)

1915 Page St.

txt. (415)735-5754

From: [Genevieve Dapre](#)  
To: [Jill Bonny](#), [Jorge Rivas Jr.](#)  
Subject: Re: Relief for Tattoo Shops  
Date: Thursday, January 15, 2021 10:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern:

This week the health department sent out a memo to Tattoo and Body Art announcing the Mayor's new \$62 million relief plan for small businesses. I have read the press release and I'm looking forward to applying for these grants and encouraging my coworkers and peers to do the same. It is really wonderful to see people of color, women, longstanding businesses and a variety of neighborhoods represented and I'm proud to say the Tattoo and Body Art community in San Francisco fits in to each of those categories.

Many tattoo and body art shops may not qualify for the loans, however, and we are unable to participate in the Shared Spaces program due to health department restrictions. We are an industry that has been devastated through the state and local mandated closures. We have no ability to make an income right now. Unlike other personal services, we have barely been allowed to open for two months out of the last ten.

Uniquely, we are a sector that pays **all** of our licensing and registration fees to the City, this amounts to thousands of dollars per shop paid both by the shop owner as well as annual fees paid by each individual technician. Many personal services are regulated by the State- however in California, Tattoo and Body Art is regulated by the County. Fee waivers for Tattoo and Body Art could mean the difference between shops closing or weathering these unprecedented times. Not to mention **EVERY** single registered tattoo and body art technician would be impacted by the relief, that is several hundred people.

When the Mayor budgeted \$5 million in fee waivers for nightlife, she added restaurants and art spaces to the list of recipients. Tattoo and body art representative Jill Bonny, met with OEWD Director Joaquin Torres and Jorge Rivas Jr. in October, and left feeling accomplished after the meeting in that the OEWD formally recognized tattoo artists as a unique group of artists in SF affected by the pandemic related closures. By that standard shouldn't our studios qualify as art spaces?

My group is truly struggling right now, both financially and emotionally. Please help me understand what kind of relief the City can provide us to give us hope for our futures. Thank you.

Best,

Genevieve Dapre  
NightShade Studios  
214 Pierce St.  
San Francisco, CA  
94117

[https://www.art-protection.com/v1.net?](https://www.art-protection.com/v1.net?o=www.GenevieveDapre.com&g=MdEZjYwNGVYyNzZuOGHSMw==&h=YTUyMGFnYjEjZGViaMGFnNDUuNGVhY2lyZDM0YTU4YjM0bWVhOTY4ZmNmMWQzMjwNGVjYTYt40A==&p=YXAuCuNmZHQyOmI2YW50bGpvc2ZpY2UuNSVhZGVhWuX2VhYWh0YmYg4ZTBmYjYxZWYyOWIuODQyYTYZDRZTBhZDEwOnYx)

[o=www.GenevieveDapre.com&g=MdEZjYwNGVYyNzZuOGHSMw==&h=YTUyMGFnYjEjZGViaMGFnNDUuNGVhY2lyZDM0YTU4YjM0bWVhOTY4ZmNmMWQzMjwNGVjYTYt40A==&p=YXAuCuNmZHQyOmI2YW50bGpvc2ZpY2UuNSVhZGVhWuX2VhYWh0YmYg4ZTBmYjYxZWYyOWIuODQyYTYZDRZTBhZDEwOnYx](https://www.GenevieveDapre.com&g=MdEZjYwNGVYyNzZuOGHSMw==&h=YTUyMGFnYjEjZGViaMGFnNDUuNGVhY2lyZDM0YTU4YjM0bWVhOTY4ZmNmMWQzMjwNGVjYTYt40A==&p=YXAuCuNmZHQyOmI2YW50bGpvc2ZpY2UuNSVhZGVhWuX2VhYWh0YmYg4ZTBmYjYxZWYyOWIuODQyYTYZDRZTBhZDEwOnYx)

Of The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

contact: [jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com](mailto:jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com)

**From:** [Pierre Sahmel](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** please help!  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 10:52:50 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern:

This week the health department sent out a memo to Tattoo and Body Art announcing the Mayor's new \$62 million relief plan for small businesses. I have read the press release and I'm looking forward to applying for these grants and encouraging my coworkers and peers to do the same. It is really wonderful to see people of color, women, longstanding businesses and a variety of neighborhoods represented and I'm proud to say- the Tattoo and Body Art community in San Francisco fits in to each of those categories.

Many tattoo and body art shops may not qualify for the loans, however, and we are unable to participate in the Shared Spaces program due to health department restrictions. We are an industry that has been devastated through the state and local mandated closures. We have no ability to make an income right now. Unlike other personal services, we have barely been allowed to open for two months out of the last ten.

Uniquely, we are a sector that pays **all** of our licensing and registration fees to the City, this amounts to thousands of dollars per shop paid both by the shop owner as well as annual fees paid by each individual technician. Many personal services are regulated by the State- however in California, Tattoo and Body Art is regulated by the County. Fee waivers for Tattoo and Body Art could mean the difference between shops closing or weathering these unprecedented times. Not to mention **EVERY** single registered tattoo and body art technician would be impacted by the relief, that is several hundred people.

When the Mayor budgeted \$5 million in fee waivers for nightlife, she added restaurants and art spaces to the list of recipients. Tattoo and body art representative Jill Bonny, met with OEWD Director Joaquin Torres and Jorge Rivas Jr. in October, and left feeling accomplished after the meeting in that the OEWD formally recognized tattoo artists as a unique group of artists in SF affected by the pandemic related closures. By that standard shouldn't our studios qualify as art spaces?

My group is truly struggling right now, both financially and emotionally. Please

help me understand what kind of relief the City can provide us to give us hope for our futures. Thank you.

Best,

Pierre Sahmel Rebel tattoo gallery  
Of The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

**From:** [isaiah leyva](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** Financial Relief for SF Tattoo shops  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 11:06:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern:

This week the health department sent out a memo to Tattoo and Body Art announcing the Mayor's new \$62 million relief plan for small businesses. I have read the press release and I'm looking forward to applying for these grants and encouraging my coworkers and peers to do the same. It is really wonderful to see people of color, women, longstanding businesses and a variety of neighborhoods represented and I'm proud to say- the Tattoo and Body Art community in San Francisco fits in to each of those categories.

Many tattoo and body art shops may not qualify for the loans, however, and we are unable to participate in the Shared Spaces program due to health department restrictions. We are an industry that has been devastated through the state and local mandated closures. We have no ability to make an income right now. Unlike other personal services, we have barely been allowed to open for two months out of the last ten.

Uniquely, we are a sector that pays **all** of our licensing and registration fees to the City, this amounts to thousands of dollars per shop paid both by the shop owner as well as annual fees paid by each individual technician. Many personal services are regulated by the State- however in California, Tattoo and Body Art is regulated by the County. Fee waivers for Tattoo and Body Art could mean the difference between shops closing or weathering these unprecedented times. Not to mention **EVERY** single registered tattoo and body art technician would be impacted by the relief, that is several hundred people.

When the Mayor budgeted \$5 million in fee waivers for nightlife, she added restaurants and art spaces to the list of recipients. Tattoo and body art representative Jill Bonny, met with OEWD Director Joaquin Torres and Jorge Rivas Jr. in October, and left feeling accomplished after the meeting in that the OEWD formally recognized tattoo artists as a unique group of artists in SF affected by the pandemic related closures. By that standard shouldn't our studios qualify as art spaces?

My group is truly struggling right now, both financially and emotionally. Please

help me understand what kind of relief the City can provide us to give us hope for our futures. Thank you.

Best,

Of The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

contact: [Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com](mailto:Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com)

**From:** [Beth Gould](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** Relief plan Sf tattoo shops?  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 6:32:11 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern:

This week the health department sent out a memo to Tattoo and Body Art announcing the Mayor's new \$62 million relief plan for small businesses. I have read the press release and I'm looking forward to applying for these grants and encouraging my coworkers and peers to do the same. It is really wonderful to see people of color, women, longstanding businesses and a variety of neighborhoods represented and I'm proud to say- the Tattoo and Body Art community in San Francisco fits in to each of those categories.

Many tattoo and body art shops may not qualify for the loans, however, and we are unable to participate in the Shared Spaces program due to health department restrictions. We are an industry that has been devastated through the state and local mandated closures. We have no ability to make an income right now. Unlike other personal services, we have barely been allowed to open for two months out of the last ten.

Uniquely, we are a sector that pays **all** of our licensing and registration fees to the City, this amounts to thousands of dollars per shop paid both by the shop owner as well as annual fees paid by each individual technician. Many personal services are regulated by the State- however in California, Tattoo and Body Art is regulated by the County. Fee waivers for Tattoo and Body Art could mean the difference between shops closing or weathering these unprecedented times. Not to mention **EVERY** single registered tattoo and body art technician would be impacted by the relief, that is several hundred people.

When the Mayor budgeted \$5 million in fee waivers for nightlife, she added restaurants and art spaces to the list of recipients. Tattoo and body art representative Jill Bonny, met with OEWD Director Joaquin Torres and Jorge Rivas Jr. in October, and left feeling accomplished after the meeting in that the OEWD formally recognized tattoo artists as a unique group of artists in SF affected by the pandemic related closures. By that standard shouldn't our studios qualify as art spaces?

My group is truly struggling right now, both financially and emotionally. Please

help me understand what kind of relief the City can provide us to give us hope for our futures. Thank you.

Best,

Of The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

contact: [Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com](mailto:Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com)



**From:** [Beth Gould](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Relief for Sf tattoo shops?  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 6:32:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This week the health department sent out a memo to Tattoo and Body Art announcing the Mayor's new \$62 million relief plan for small businesses. I have read the press release and I'm looking forward to applying for these grants and encouraging my coworkers and peers to do the same. It is really wonderful to see people of color, women, longstanding businesses and a variety of neighborhoods represented and I'm proud to say- the Tattoo and Body Art community in San Francisco fits in to each of those categories.

Many tattoo and body art shops may not qualify for the loans, however, and we are unable to participate in the Shared Spaces program due to health department restrictions. We are an industry that has been devastated through the state and local mandated closures. We have no ability to make an income right now. Unlike other personal services, we have barely been allowed to open for two months out of the last ten.

Uniquely, we are a sector that pays **all** of our licensing and registration fees to the City, this amounts to thousands of dollars per shop paid both by the shop owner as well as annual fees paid by each individual technician. Many personal services are regulated by the State- however in California, Tattoo and Body Art is regulated by the County. Fee waivers for Tattoo and Body Art could mean the difference between shops closing or weathering these unprecedented times. Not to mention **EVERY** single registered tattoo and body art technician would be impacted by the relief, that is several hundred people.

When the Mayor budgeted \$5 million in fee waivers for nightlife, she added restaurants and art spaces to the list of recipients. Tattoo and body art representative Jill Bonny, met with OEWD Director Joaquin Torres and Jorge Rivas Jr. in October, and left feeling accomplished after the meeting in that the OEWD formally recognized tattoo artists as a unique group of artists in SF affected by the pandemic related closures. By that standard shouldn't our studios qualify as art spaces?

My group is truly struggling right now, both financially and emotionally. Please help me understand what kind of relief the City can provide us to give us hope

for our futures. Thank you.

Best,

Beth Gould, Trudy's Tattoo Parlour 2275 Market St San Francisco 94114  
4154318900

Of The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

contact: [Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com](mailto:Jillbonnytattoo@gmail.com)

From: [Felix Gray](#)  
To: [BOG-Suspensions](#)  
Subject: Tattoo Industry in dire need/We are significantly overlooked  
Date: Friday, January 15, 2021 8:28:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern:

This week the health department sent out a memo to Tattoo and Body Art announcing the Mayor's new \$62 million relief plan for small businesses. I have read the press release and I'm looking forward to applying for these grants and encouraging my coworkers and peers to do the same. It is really wonderful to see people of color, women, longstanding businesses and a variety of neighborhoods represented and I'm proud to say- the Tattoo and Body Art community in San Francisco fits in to each of those categories.

Many tattoo and body art shops may not qualify for the loans, however, and we are unable to participate in the Shared Spaces program due to health department restrictions. We are an industry that has been devastated through the state and local mandated closures. We have no ability to make an income right now. Unlike other personal services, we have barely been allowed to open for two months out of the last ten.

Uniquely, we are a sector that pays all of our licensing and registration fees to the City, this amounts to thousands of dollars per shop paid both by the shop owner as well as annual fees paid by each individual technician. Many personal services are regulated by the State- however in California, Tattoo and Body Art is regulated by the County. Fee waivers for Tattoo and Body Art could mean the difference between shops closing or weathering these unprecedented times. Not to mention **EVERY** single registered tattoo and body art technician would be impacted by the relief, that is several hundred people.

When the Mayor budgeted \$5 million in fee waivers for nightlife, she added restaurants and art spaces to the list of recipients. Tattoo and body art representative Jill Bonny, met with OEWD Director Joaquin Torres and Jorge Rivas Jr. in October, and left feeling accomplished after the meeting in that the OEWD formally recognized tattoo artists as a unique group of artists in SF affected by the pandemic related closures. By that standard shouldn't our studios qualify as art spaces?

My group is truly struggling right now, both financially and emotionally. Please help me understand what kind of relief the City can provide us to give us hope for our futures. Thank you.

**Best,**

[illegible]

**THE HELM**  
4539 Mission St.  
San Francisco, CA 94112  
415.466.6260  
Of The Unified Tattoo and Body Art Shops of San Francisco

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Judge Quentin L. Kopp's copy of January 11, 2021 email  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 9:21:00 AM

---

**From:** Thelma Tannis <ttannis@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 5:17 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Thelma Tannis <ttannis@hotmail.com>  
**Subject:** Judge Quentin L. Kopp's copy of January 11, 2021 email

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am resending this email as the earlier email sent yesterday returned undelivered. Thank you.

On behalf of Judge Quentin L. Kopp (Ret.), below is his email. Thank you.

Judge Quentin L. Kopp (Ret.)  
 380 West Portal Ave., Suite F  
 San Francisco, CA 94127  
 (415) 681-5555  
[quentinkopp@gmail.com](mailto:quentinkopp@gmail.com)

January 11, 2021

Via Email  
 The Board of Directors  
 SFMTA  
 Email: [MTABOARD@SFMTA.com](mailto:MTABOARD@SFMTA.com)

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

I write to record my opposition to any effort to impose fees upon residents for parking on the streets where they reside or on employees of businesses who do the same.

Motor vehicle operators have since 1922 paid a fee to use city streets, county roads and state highways. It is called the gasoline tax. That means the more one drives his or her motor vehicle the more he or she uses gasoline and the more he or she pays such user fee, commonly called the gasoline tax. Those streets in San Francisco which would be the subject of any further parking tax that now exists on commercial streets provided the money to build residential streets.

I don't believe in double taxation. I hope you don't believe in double taxation, especially because San Franciscans and other Californians pay by far the highest gas taxes in the nation.

Please notify me of the date, place and time of all hearings on any such proposal.

Judge Quentin L. Kopp (Ret.)

cc: Hon. Mayor London Breed  
Board of SF Supervisors Members

Thelma Tannis  
Assistant to Judge Quentin L. Kopp (Ret.)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Another example of corruption at SF City Hall- Carmen Chu's improper property tax reductions  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 19, 2021 11:43:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [September 14 2015 response from Ed Lee Mohammed Nuru and Ben Rosenfield.pdf](#)  
[Attachment to Jerry Dratler email to Board of Supervisors January 18, 2021.pdf](#)

---

**From:** dratlerj@gmail.com <dratlerj@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 18, 2021 4:41 PM  
**To:** ChanStaff (BOS) <ChanStaff@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <MelgarStaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; asha.safai@sfgov.org; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; District Attorney, (DAT) <districtattorney@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Another example of corruption at SF City Hall- Carmen Chu's improper property tax reductions

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton, S. F. BOS Clerk Calvillo

Cc: Mayor Breed, S. F. District Attorney Boudin

From: Jerry Dratler

Date: January 18, 2021

**Subject:** Another example of corruption at SF City Hall- Carmen Chu's improper property tax reductions

The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors urgently need to address the culture of corruption in San Francisco City government. I have observed this culture firsthand through public service. I served on the Civil Grand Jury (CGJ) twice and also served on the SF Citizens General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee.

I recommend that the BOS establish and fund an independent oversight committee to address citywide corruption. The oversight committee could function much as the Prop C: Our City, Our Home Oversight Committee which was established to make recommendations to you on allocating revenues targeted at homelessness in SF. The corruption oversight committee

should include representation by local business leaders, community representatives and individuals with SF City government experience like former City Controller Ed Harrington or former Ethics Commission members Quentin Kopp, Peter Keane and Paul Renne. The first step in the process should be drafting a scope of work and workplan. Staffing for the oversight committee should exclude current City employees. The oversight committee would be dissolved when its work is completed.

I am a retired CPA and have observed SF City Government since 2012. The City's business processes are poorly designed and documented. The information systems that support the City's business practices are inadequate. Sloppy business processes and weak information systems reflect weak leadership in the Mayor's Office. Below I provide the rationale underlying my recommendation including potential ethics violations by Carmen Chu, currently being put forward for the position of City Administrator.

#### **Rationale for creation of corruption oversight committee:**

- **Culture of corruption:** Actions by the former Directors of the Department of Public Works (DPW), Department of Building Inspection (DBI) and the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) reported by the media have eroded the public's confidence in SF City government. Addressing the existing culture of corruption in an organization with over 33,000 employees is a full-time job, not a part time job to be assumed by leaders within the City structure.
- The Mayor's corruption task force headed by the City Controller and the City Attorney is a public relations effort and not a practical effort to identify or remedy the City's culture of corruption. The Controller is needed full time to manage the City's current budget crisis and the City Attorney has been ineffective in addressing corruption in the Department of Building Inspection over the last three years.
- The replacement for City Administrator Kelly needs to have both the integrity and skills to address corruption in City departments.
  - Information provided by Peter Fatooh, a former member of the SF Assessment Appeals Board if found to be accurate would indicate that Ms. Chu is not the right person to address corruption in City departments.
  - It appears that Ms. Chu failed to file Assessment Appeal Applications for the three property tax reductions she received while she served on the Board of Supervisors.
  - Attached is a summary I prepared from information provided by Peter Fatooh. If the allegations in Mr. Fatooh's July 2, 2019 letter to the Ethics Commission are accurate Ms. Chu would not be a good choice to replace Ms. Kelly.
- **Department of Building Inspection:** Mayor Breed often mentions the need for citizens

to rely on permit expeditors like Walter Wong to secure building permits. Permit expeditors are another reflection of the City's sloppy business processes. A 2007 business process analysis of DBI resulted in approximately 180 business process change recommendations. Many of the recommended changes have not been implemented after thirteen years! The Mayor's solution of removing existing regulations rather than fixing sloppy business processes is shortsighted and removes important public safety controls. DBI is currently working to eliminate the need to file architectural plans for kitchen and bathroom remodels. This would undermine DBI's mission to ensure the construction of safe buildings that comply with the State's Building Code.

The Mayor is correct, there is a real cost associated with the City's dysfunctional business processes. When the Civil Grand Jury interviewed large City contractors, they told us it cost them an extra 10% to do business with the City.

- **Department of Public Works:** DPW is the general contractor for the City. DPW administers billions of dollars in annual payments to contractors for the construction of roads, buildings, airports, hospitals, parks, etc. I was a member of the 2015 CGJ that issued a report with specific recommendations to address internal control problems at DPW over construction contractor payments. The City's leadership rejected our recommendations. Former Mayor Lee, former DPW Director Nuru and current City Controller Rosenfield issued a joint response to the report recommendations that a uniform citywide policy for processing construction change orders and closing out construction contracts was unnecessary and would not be implemented. A copy of their September 14, 2015 response to the eight report recommendations is attached and worth reading.

The following audit findings would be eliminated if there were a Citywide policy for processing construction change orders and closing out construction contracts.

- DPW did not prepare independent cost estimates for change orders submitted by the general contractor on the \$248 million Public Safety Building or require the contractor to document the labor rates on the change orders.
  - Recreation and Parks has no published change order process or procedures and also did not obtain independent cost estimates on the change orders for the Chinese Recreation Center and Mission Clubhouse project.
  - 47% of the PUC change orders for the \$65 million Alameda Siphon No. 4 project were approved after substantial completion of the project.
  - DPW did not use a close out check list (a best practice) for closing out construction contracts on the \$585 million Laguna Honda Hospital replacement project.
- **Systems installation failures:** The city has a history of failing to install information



systems that support sound financial controls. Recent new system installation failures indicate relief is years away. The City's most recent system installation failures include the Controller's flawed implementation of the new city-wide financial system with 6,000 users and DBI's failure to install Accela, a system that was successfully installed by the SF Planning Department. The BOS needs to take a more active role in holding the Mayor responsible for investing in new systems and having the professional staff in place to effectively manage new systems implementation.

I would welcome the opportunity to discuss any of the issues raised in this email with individual members of the BOS or your staff. My email is [dratlerj@gmail.com](mailto:dratlerj@gmail.com).

**Attachment to Jerry Dratler email to Board of Supervisors dated January 18, 2021:**

Documentation supporting the claim the SF Office of the Assessor improperly reduced the assessed value of Carmen Chu's residence

It appears improper actions were taken on behalf of Carmen Chu by the SF Assessor's office when Ms. Chu was sitting as a member of the Board of Supervisors and Phil Ting served as the SF Assessor.

Employees who work in the Office of the SF Assessor notified former SF Assessment Appeals Board member Peter Fatooh there are no Assessment Appeal Applications on file for the three Proposition 8 reductions to Ms. Chu's home at [REDACTED] between 2009 and 2012.

- Former Assessor Phil Ting's office processed three temporary assessed value reductions (Proposition 8 adjustments) for Carmen Chu's home at [REDACTED] between 2009 and 2012 when she was a member of the SF Board of Supervisors.
- The three reductions in the assessed value of [REDACTED] totaled \$122,320 (-\$62,320, -\$50,000 and -\$10,000) or approximately 15% of the 2008/09 \$832,320 assessed value of her home.
- There are no Assessment Appeal Applications for [REDACTED] on file with the SF Assessment Appeals Board.
- City employees in the Office of the SF Assessor informed Mr. Peter Fatooh, a former member of the SF Assessor Appeals Board, of the improper processing of three Assessment Appeals.

Mr. Fatooh confirmed there were no applications on file for the three temporary assessed value reductions.

Mr. Fatooh sent the attached letter to the SF Ethics Commission on July 2, 2019. The SF Ethics Commission told Mr. Fatooh the Ethics Commission could not address his complaint. Mr. Fatooh asked me to assist him in presenting his complaint where the complaint could be heard.

- Assessor's Office employees informed Mr. Fatooh of the three improper adjustments because they feared retaliation if they reported the three adjustments to other City officials.
- The processing of the reduction of the assessed value of [REDACTED] was not a random error; the same serious policy violation occurred three times in three different years.
- Ms. Chu should not have cashed the two property tax refund checks (approximately \$1,000 and \$1,500) she received from the SF Tax Collector, and she should have

informed the SF Assessor's office that the three reductions in the assessed value of her home lacked her written authorization.

- In 2013, the median home price in San Francisco was \$915,000. This would indicate the assessed value of [REDACTED] should have increased to \$848,966 (\$832,320, the pre-adjustment value in 2013, plus a 2% increase of \$16,646). The assessed value of [REDACTED] in 2013/14 was substantially undervalued by the Assessor at \$750,000.
- In fiscal 2014/15 the assessed value of [REDACTED] was \$850,000 and the under-valuation of [REDACTED] was corrected.

### **Facts**

1. Ms. Chu purchased her home for \$800,000 on April 12, 2006. The attached bar chart of San Francisco median home prices shows the 2006 median home price was slightly over her \$800,000 purchase price.
2. The bar chart and the table of median home prices by year from 2007 to 2019 at the end of this memo show median home prices declined in 2008-2011 and the median home price in 2013 bounced back to slightly more than \$915,000 in 2013. The assessed value of [REDACTED] should have been adjusted to the assessed value before the Proposition 8 adjustments (\$832,320) plus a 2% adjustment (\$16,646). The assessed value of [REDACTED] in 2013/14 was only \$750,000.
3. California County Assessors process two types of assessed value adjustments. The three adjustments to [REDACTED] were Prop 8 adjustments.
  - a. A Prop 13 adjustment is a permanent reduction in assessed value.
  - b. A Prop 8 adjustment is a temporary downward market adjustment in assessed value. The Proposition 8 adjustment is reversed (the assessed value of the property is adjusted upward) when the market value of the home returns to the pre-Proposition 8 assessed value. An explanation of Proposition 8 adjustments from the Santa Clara Assessor is on pages 8 and 9 of this document.


| Tax year           | Point at which Prop 8 adjustments are added back | Median Single family home price |               |          | Assessor Land value | Assessor Structure Value | Assessor Land+structure | Yr. \$ change | % change |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------|
|                    |                                                  |                                 | Yr. \$ change | % change |                     |                          |                         |               |          |
| 2017/18            |                                                  | \$ 1,420,000                    | \$ 93,500     | 7.0%     | \$ 565,194          | \$ 376,796               | \$ 941,990              | \$ 18,470     | 2.0%     |
| 2016/17            |                                                  | \$ 1,326,500                    | \$ 76,500     | 6.1%     | \$ 554,112          | \$ 369,408               | \$ 923,520              | \$ 13,871     | 1.5%     |
| 2015/2016          |                                                  | \$ 1,250,000                    | \$ 179,000    | 16.7%    | \$ 545,789          | \$ 363,860               | \$ 909,649              | \$ 59,649     | 7.0%     |
| 2014/15            |                                                  | \$ 1,071,000                    | \$ 156,000    | 17.0%    | \$ 510,000          | \$ 340,000               | \$ 850,000              | \$ 100,000    | 13.3%    |
| 2013/14            | \$ 832,320                                       | \$ 915,000                      | \$ 153,000    | 20.1%    | \$ 450,000          | \$ 300,000               | \$ 750,000              | \$ 40,000     | 5.6%     |
| 2012/13            |                                                  | \$ 762,000                      | \$ 72,000     | 10.4%    | \$ 497,000          | \$ 213,000               | \$ 710,000              | \$ (10,000)   | -1.4%    |
| 2011/12            |                                                  | \$ 690,000                      | \$ (55,000)   | -7.4%    | \$ 504,000          | \$ 216,000               | \$ 720,000              | \$ (50,000)   | -6.5%    |
| 2010/11            |                                                  | \$ 745,000                      | \$ (5,000)    | -0.7%    | \$ 462,000          | \$ 308,000               | \$ 770,000              | \$ -          | 0.0%     |
| 2009/10            |                                                  | \$ 750,000                      | \$ (80,000)   | -9.6%    | \$ 540,000          | \$ 230,000               | \$ 770,000              | \$ (62,320)   | -7.5%    |
| 2008/09            |                                                  | \$ 830,000                      | \$ (70,000)   | -7.8%    | \$ 499,392          | \$ 332,928               | \$ 832,320              | \$ 16,320     | 2.0%     |
| 2007/08            |                                                  | \$ 900,000                      |               |          | \$ 489,600          | \$ 326,400               | \$ 816,000              | \$ 16,000     | 1.9%     |
| 2006/2007-purchase |                                                  |                                 |               |          |                     |                          | \$ 800,000              |               |          |

## Median Sales Price Changes Longer Term: 1993 - Present

### San Francisco Home Price Appreciation

Median Sales Prices by Year, 1993 - Present (6/30/18)

last reported to R115  
by 12/31/18



Median sales price is that price at which half the sales are sold for more and half for less. It can be and often is affected by other market factors besides changes in fair market value. Data from sources deemed reliable but may contain errors and subject to revision.

COMPASS

| San Francisco<br>(Single Family Homes)     |             |             |       |     |        |        |                |        |       |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-----|--------|--------|----------------|--------|-------|
| Year                                       | Prices      |             | Other |     |        |        | Percent Change |        |       |
|                                            | Average     | Median      | Sold  | DOM | SP/LP  | SP/OLP | Average        | Median | Sold  |
| 2007                                       | \$1,205,997 | \$900,000   | 2,215 | 41  | 103.3% | 101.7% |                |        |       |
| 2008                                       | \$1,129,265 | \$830,000   | 2,049 | 49  | 100.8% | 98.4%  | -6.4%          | -7.8%  | -7.5% |
| 2009                                       | \$973,978   | \$750,000   | 2,059 | 61  | 99.1%  | 95.5%  | -13.8%         | -9.6%  | 0.5%  |
| 2010                                       | \$986,336   | \$745,000   | 2,355 | 59  | 99.4%  | 96.8%  | 1.3%           | -0.7%  | 14.4% |
| 2011                                       | \$948,797   | \$690,000   | 2,473 | 63  | 99.1%  | 90.4%  | -3.8%          | -7.4%  | 5.0%  |
| 2012                                       | \$1,107,685 | \$762,000   | 2,613 | 53  | 102.3% | 92.4%  | 16.7%          | 10.4%  | 5.7%  |
| 2013                                       | \$1,295,089 | \$915,000   | 2,632 | 35  | 106.9% | 105.2% | 16.9%          | 20.1%  | 0.7%  |
| 2014                                       | \$1,444,800 | \$1,071,000 | 2,405 | 31  | 109.9% | 109.0% | 11.6%          | 17.0%  | -8.6% |
| 2015                                       | \$1,634,433 | \$1,250,000 | 2,297 | 27  | 111.6% | 110.6% | 13.1%          | 16.7%  | -4.5% |
| 2016                                       | \$1,709,160 | \$1,326,500 | 2,206 | 32  | 107.6% | 106.3% | 4.6%           | 6.1%   | -4.0% |
| 2017                                       | \$1,781,483 | \$1,420,000 | 2,269 | 27  | 110.5% | 109.3% | 4.2%           | 7.0%   | 2.9%  |
| 2018                                       | \$1,972,999 | \$1,600,000 | 2,222 | 23  | 111.0% | 110.2% | 10.8%          | 12.7%  | -2.1% |
| 2019                                       | \$2,017,981 | \$1,600,000 | 2,169 | 25  | 108.8% | 103.6% | 2.3%           | 0.0%   | -2.4% |
| San Francisco<br>(Condos/Town Homes/Flats) |             |             |       |     |        |        |                |        |       |
|                                            | Prices      |             | Other |     |        |        | Percent Change |        |       |
|                                            | Average     | Median      | Sold  | DOM | SP/LP  | SP/OLP | Average        | Median | Sold  |



# Parcel 2400020

| Fiscal Year | Land Value   | Structure Value | Fixtures Value | Personal Property Value | Last Sale  | Year Built | Building Area (sq ft) |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|
| 2017/18     | \$565,194.00 | \$376,796.00    | \$0.00         | \$0.00                  | 2006-04-12 |            | 1,300                 |
| 2016/17     | \$554,112.00 | \$369,408.00    | \$0.00         | \$0.00                  | 2006-04-12 |            | 1,300                 |
| 2014/15     | \$510,000.00 | \$340,000.00    | \$0.00         | \$0.00                  | 2006-04-12 |            | 1,300                 |
| 2013/14     | \$450,000.00 | \$300,000.00    | \$0.00         | \$0.00                  | 2006-04-12 |            | 1,300                 |
| 2012/13     | \$497,000.00 | \$213,000.00    | \$0.00         | \$0.00                  | 2006-04-12 |            | 1,300                 |
| 2011/12     | \$504,000.00 | \$216,000.00    | \$0.00         | \$0.00                  | 2006-04-12 |            | 1,300                 |
| 2010/11     | \$462,000.00 | \$308,000.00    | \$0.00         | \$0.00                  | 2006-04-12 |            | 1,300                 |
| 2009/10     | \$540,000.00 | \$230,000.00    | \$0.00         | \$0.00                  | 2006-04-12 |            | 1,300                 |
| 2008/09     | \$499,392.00 | \$332,928.00    | \$0.00         | \$0.00                  | 2006-04-12 |            | 1,300                 |
| 2007/08     | \$489,600.00 | \$326,400.00    | \$0.00         | \$0.00                  | 2006-04-12 |            | 1,300                 |

Please send questions about this report to the [Office of the Assessor-Recorder](#).

Missing values for the year 2015/16

July 2, 2019

Ethics Commission  
City and County of San Francisco  
25 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, Ca. 94102

Re: Complaint v Cannen Chu, Assessor:  
Matthew Thomas, Chief Appraiser (assessor's office) and Dennis Herrera, City  
Attorney; Phil Ting, former Assessor

Dear Commission:

In 2006, Carmen Chu and her husband purchased their home located at [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] San Francisco. The purchase price paid was \$800,000.00

By 2008, the above property would have had a 'factored-up' taxable value of  
\$832,320 (statutory tax increases made annually by the assessor following the  
2006 purchase).

During the 2009 property tax year, the taxable value of the home was \$848,964.  
While a Supervisor for the City and County of San Francisco, Chu contacted Phil  
Ting, the then-assessor, and without filing an appeal of her property taxes, obtained  
a reduction to her 2009 taxable value when Ting, in collusion with his appointed  
Chief Appraiser, Matthew Thomas, unilaterally lowered the 2009 value to \$770,000.  
By performing the latter, Chu was then entitled to a refund of her property taxes of  
approximately \$100,000. This process was repeated again in 2010 by Ting and  
Thomas. There was never a public notice of this reduction nor a public assessment  
evaluation kept by the Assessor's office for the public to review.

In 2011, Ting and Thomas lowered Chu's property value again - with no tax appeal  
ever filed by Chu - from its taxable value of \$653,326 to \$720,000. This action  
would have given Chu a tax refund of approximately \$150,000. In 2012, Ting and  
Thomas lowered it even further from \$870,390 to \$710,000. Once again, no public  
record to review the merits of these reductions from the Assessor's office.

In February of 2013, Carmen Chu was appointed Assessor by the late Mayor Ed Lee.  
Without any public disclosure or filing of any property tax appeal, Chu unilaterally  
lowered her own home's 2013 taxable value from \$867,796 to \$750,000. She  
repeated this process, without any disclosure, again in 2014 when she unilaterally  
lowered the value from \$891,626 to \$650,000.

Carmen Chu, while Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, together with  
her appointed Chief Appraiser, Matthew Thomas, engaged in a complete conflict of  
interest by unilaterally lowering her own property taxes without any public  
knowledge. Dennis Herrera is equally guilty of misconduct because he appoints full



Ethics Commission

July 2, 2019


-2-

time counsel to the Assessor and that attorney should have been aware of not only what Chu was doing with her own property, but how Ting and Thomas accommodated Chu as a member of the Board of Supervisors. These are violations of SF C&GC Code sections 3.206 and 3.210

Chu lowered her own property taxes in 2013 and 2014 while her office was vociferously opposing property owners who had filed appeals of the values. Chu made no effort to publicly notice her own reductions.

The forementioned reductions were made knowledgeable by whistle blowers in the assessor's office who contacted me this past Spring. I performed the research that accompanies these complaints and demonstrates her misconduct. To the best of my knowledge, there is not a public appraisal of her property. From information I have gathered there appears to have never been an 'on-site' visit to her home by an appraiser. The latter is typically performed, especially when the property is owned by a public official.

Matthew Thomas appointed the persons in the assessor's office to perform some of the mentioned valuations. One of the persons received a promotion soon after completing her lowering of Chu's property value. Chu had no right or authority to use City employees to conduct an appraisal of her property for her own personal gain. Like other property tax payers, she should have retained a private appraiser to evaluate her property—not employees in her office.



Peter J. Fatooh  
Complaining Party

Attachments



### An Example of how Prop 8 works

Let's say you bought a home several years ago for \$100,000. The Assessor determined that the purchase price was the fair market value, so the new base year value of the property was set at \$100,000.

Over the next year, real estate values increased by 10% and you could sell your home for \$110,000. That's good, you acquired \$10,000 in equity. Even though the market value increased by 10%, the factored base year value could only increase 2% to \$102,000, because of the limits set by Prop. 13. The Assessor compares the market value to the factored base year value and enrolls the lesser of the two. The assessed value is \$102,000.

The real estate market continued to grow, and property values in Santa Clara increased another 10% the following year. Last year you could have sold your home for \$110,000, this year you could sell it for \$11,000 more, or \$121,000. But your Prop. 13 factored base year value was considerably less. Last year's value of \$102,000 plus 2% gives you a new factored base year value of \$104,040. The Assessor again compares the two values and enrolls the lowest one. This year's assessed value is \$104,040.

You can see in this example, after 2 years the difference between the market value and the assessed value is \$16,960! Proposition 13 protected you from unpredictable increases in property taxes because the assessed value was based on factored base year value, not market value. But what happens if the market value goes down? Proposition 8 allows the Assessor to temporarily reduce the assessed value of property if the market value is lower than the factored base year value. This is exactly what happened a few years ago to many property owners in Santa Clara. As a result, the Assessor temporarily reduced the assessed value of over 100,000 parcels.

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO

EDWIN M. LEE  
MAYOR

September 14, 2015

The Honorable John K. Stewart  
Presiding Judge  
Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco  
400 McAllister Street  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Judge Stewart:

Pursuant to Penal Code sections 933 and 933.05, the following is in reply to the 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury report, *San Francisco's City Construction Program: It Needs Work*. We would like to thank the members of the Civil Grand Jury for their interest in the City's construction contracting and management practices.

This is an area that the City has already begun to improve. In May 2014, the Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA), issued an audit entitled "Citywide Construction: Adopting Leading Practices Could Improve the City's Construction Contractor Bid Pool," which contained a number of improvements to citywide construction contracting practices. In response to that report, CSA convened a work group to revise Chapter 6 of the Administrative Code, which governs construction contract management. The first set of changes was adopted by the Board of Supervisors in June of this year. The work group continues to meet, and anticipates proposing additional amendments in the coming months. Improvements under consideration include development of a shared database to track contractor performance.

Public Works is adopting its own changes, with the goal of developing improved construction contract management practices, which can then be introduced to the other City departments that undertake construction projects (Airport, Public Works, Port, Recreation and Park, SFMTA, and SFPUC).

**A detailed response from the Mayor's Office, the Controller's Office, and the Department of Public Works to the Civil Grand Jury's findings and recommendations follows.**

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on this Civil Grand Jury report.

Sincerely,

Edwin M. Lee  
Mayor

Mohammed Nutu  
Director, Public Works

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

**Finding 3:** The complexity of the contracting environment, especially as it relates to LBEs, reduces the pool of contractors willing to do business with the City, thereby limiting vendor selection.

Disagree with finding, partially. The City's contracting process can be difficult for new entrants. However, the City continually strives to improve the quality of the bid pool—by attracting new contractors, improving existing contractors, and shoring up processes to minimize non-responsible/non-responsive bids. Effective August 1, 2015, Mayor Lee signed legislation including more than three dozen changes to Chapter 6 of the City's Administrative Code. The changes are intended to simplify and streamline the process for both contractors and City employees. The changes incorporate some industry best practices because updated processes are more likely to attract contractors familiar with the most recent industry innovations, allowing our competitive process to better serve the public.

**Recommendation 3:** The CGJ recommends that the proposed Chapter 6 amendment make past performance a construction award criterion for all future City construction contracts including LBE subcontracts.

The recommendation has not been, but will be, implemented in the future. The six Chapter 6 departments (Airport, Public Works, Port, Recreation and Park, SFMTA, and SFPUC), are committed to improving the pool of contractors who bid on City construction projects. In conjunction with the City Attorney and the Office of the Controller, the Chapter 6 departments are actively working to revise Chapter 6 to require performance evaluations and to devise procedures to consider past performance in contract awards. The departments are meeting regularly with a goal of presenting amendments to the law and associated processes to the Board of Supervisors in 2016.

**Finding 4:** Change orders are not managed uniformly across departments, which exposes the City to increased project costs.

Disagree with finding, partially. The jury is correct that change orders are not managed uniformly across the City. As written, Chapter 6 of the Administrative Code provides for decentralized project management for the six departments it covers (the Airport, Public Works, the Port, Recreation and Park, SF Municipal Transportation Agency, and the SF Public Utilities Commission). Though departments need to abide by their respective change order policies, having a uniform change order management policy is not feasible given the differing project types and project delivery methods citywide. While change orders are not managed uniformly across City departments, each department has its own procedures and controls in place, allowing for greater flexibility and specialization, commensurate with the various sizes and types of construction projects carried out by each department.

**Recommendation 4:** The Office of the Controller should implement a standardized change order management policy and require all City departments to adhere to any new change order policy.

The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted. The Office of the Controller, and specifically the City Services Auditor (CSA), audits and assesses departments' adherence to relevant construction policies and procedures citywide, and provides technical assistance to departments as needed. As presently written, the Administrative Code calls for a decentralized approach to construction management for Chapter 6 departments, leaving this authority with each department. This allows for a

segregation of duties between the Office of the Controller and the departments charged with construction management.

Given the wide variety of project types, sizes, budgets, and complexity undertaken by the Chapter 6 departments, a "one size fits all" approach is not in accordance with best practices. However, as recommended by CSA's May 2014 audit of citywide construction practices, the Chapter 6 departments, in conjunction with CSA, are moving forward with amendments to the Administrative Code, including potential modifications related to change order management policies. Public Works has a change order management tracking system. Change orders are tracked, categorized and regularly discussed in order to inform project management decisions. This system could be tailored to other Chapter 6 department's needs.

**Finding 5:** Construction contract closeout procedures are not followed, which can result in the City not receiving the services it contracted to receive.

Disagree with finding, partially. Contract closeout can vary by project complexity and staff, and results vary depending on these and other fact-specific issues; a uniform construction contract closeout policy would not necessarily ensure that the City receives its contracted services. In all cases, however, the City strives to follow the most efficient and effective best practices to close out projects as promptly and cost-efficiently as possible. The City's use of the Controller's City Services Auditor (CSA), in addition to other auditing mechanisms, ensures adherence to these best practices. Over the last three fiscal years, CSA has completed construction contract closeout assessments involving all six Chapter 6 departments. Based on the results of these audits and assessments of various city departments' construction contract closeout procedures, and as noted in the Jury's report, CSA found some internal control weaknesses related to the audited departments' closeout procedures, including lack of sufficient documentation, adequate review or verification, and adherence to existing policies and procedures. CSA follows up on all open (unresolved) audit recommendations every six months to ensure that departments have implemented corrective actions.

**Recommendation 5:** The Office of the Controller should implement a standardized construction contract closeout policy and require all City departments to adhere to any new policy.

The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted. The Office of the Controller, and specifically the City Services Auditor (CSA), conducts audits and assessments of departments' adherence to relevant construction policies and procedures citywide, and provides technical assistance to departments as needed. As presently written, however, the Administrative Code calls for a decentralized approach to construction management for Chapter 6 departments, leaving this authority with each department. This allows for a segregation of duties between the Office of the Controller and the departments charged with construction management.

Given the wide variety of project types, sizes, budgets, and complexity undertaken by the Chapter 6 departments, a "one size fits all" approach is not always in accordance with best practices. However, as recommended by GSA's May 2014 audit of citywide construction practices, the Chapter 6 departments, in conjunction with CSA, are moving forward with amendments to the Administrative Code, including potential modifications related to construction contract closeout policies. At this time, Public Works is piloting new construction contract closeout procedures; if successful, this system is designed to be shared with the other Chapter 6 departments.

**Finding 6:** The variety of construction projects in the City creates a mismatch between the design and engineering skills required for current projects and the skills of the staff, resulting in duplicate labor costs when outside firms are retained and excess capacity when there is a decline in construction activity.

Disagree with finding, partially. The City relies on Public Works to maintain a broad professional skillset across multiple engineering, architectural, and professional disciplines in order to perform a wide range of architectural, engineering, and construction services for many City agencies, including the Library, SFPD, and SFFD. Accordingly, Public Works staff maintain an extensive range of in-house design and engineering skills. The use of consultants gives the department flexibility to meet the needs of client departments and meet peak demands without the need to increase its staff and overall project costs.

**Recommendation 6:** The BOS should request the BLA or CSA to benchmark the City's design and engineering workforce organizational structure against comparable cities and issue a report.

The recommendation requires further analysis. A benchmarking analysis could provide important and helpful insight into best practices for how to improve the organizational structure of the City's design and engineering workforce, and merits further consideration. As the Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor prepares its work plan, a benchmarking report will be considered, but must be weighed against other requests for that office's resources. The departments participating in this response defer to the Board of Supervisors with respect to involvement of the Board's Legislative Analyst, and the Office of the Controller will consult with the Board regarding which, if any, office performs the analysis.

**Finding 7:** The lack of integrated construction management systems and the failure to follow centralized construction management policies and procedures prevents the City from generating citywide construction reports.

Disagree with finding, partially. The Jury is correct that there is not an integrated citywide construction management system. There has not, however, been a consistent finding of Chapter 6 departments failing to follow centralized construction management policies, as the report notes. In addition, the City has developed a coordinated capital planning and budgeting process to review and prioritize capital budget requests, coordinate funding sources and uses, and provide, citywide policy analysis and reporting on interagency capital planning efforts. Oversight bodies, including general obligation and revenue bond oversight committees, as well as departmental commissions, routinely review and monitor activities related to the City's capital and construction projects under their purview.

**Recommendation 7:** The Mayor should allocate financial resources in the current City budget to fund the Department of Technology hiring a consulting firm with extensive construction management expertise to develop citywide system requirements for the implementation of a construction management system.

**MYR:** The recommendation requires further analysis. The City's annual budget process begins in December of each year, and concludes in June the following year. As part of the Fiscal Years 2016-17 and 2017-18 budget process, Public Works, the Department of Technology, and the Mayor's Office will consider the inclusion of financial resources to fund a consultant to meet the vision of the Jury. Any request, however, must be weighed against other citywide funding requests, so funding cannot be guaranteed at this time.

**Finding 8:** The City does not have an independent management group reviewing citywide construction performance reports and monitoring adherence to change Orders and construction contract closeout policies and procedures.

Disagree with finding, partially. The Jury is correct that there is not an independent management group that monitors construction; instead, the City has numerous independent management groups. The Capital Planning Committee, a public decision-making body that monitors, crafts, and recommends policies related to infrastructure investments, is the lead in this area. Construction contracts and projects are further reviewed by various bodies, most notably, department commissions, the Budget and Legislative Analyst, and the Office of the Controller. Further, the Board of Supervisors may exercise its authority to hold hearings related to specific projects or contracts, or general construction closeout procedures and trends.

In addition, in its capacity as the City's auditing body for contracts, CSA has found in previous audits and assessments of various City departments' change order management and closeout policies and procedures that some internal control weaknesses exist. Every six months, CSA follows up on all (open) unresolved audit recommendations at a hearing at the Board of Supervisors' Government Auditing and Oversight (GAO) Committee; all departments in question are required to publicly present updates and progress reports at these hearings.

**Recommendation 8:** The BOS should either request the CSA or BLA, or retain an outside firm, to benchmark the independent construction management structure of other cities and develop recommendations applicable to San Francisco.

The recommendation requires further analysis. This recommendation overlaps with recent and existing work of a workgroup of Chapter 6 departments. Legislation modernizing Chapter 6 went into effect August 1, 2015 after more than a year of collaboration. The next round of changes, including a shared database to track contractor performance, is being discussed now with a goal of implementation by summer 2016.

However, a benchmarking analysis could provide important and helpful insight into best practices for how to improve the City's independent construction management structure, and will be considered. As the Office of the Controller's City Services Auditor prepares its work plan going forward, a benchmarking report will be considered, but must be weighed against other requests for that office's resources. The departments participating in this response defer to the Board of Supervisors with respect to involvement of the Board's Legislative Analyst, and the Office of the Controller will consult with the Board regarding which, if any, office performs the analysis.

**Finding 9:** San Francisco City departments do not issue final reports on construction projects that are readily available to its citizens.

Disagree with finding, partially. The Jury is correct that City departments do not issue final reports on all construction projects when complete. City departments do, however, report on projects especially those funded via the General Obligation bond program, which includes mandatory reporting procedures before, during, and after construction. In addition, Chapter 6 departments must prepare closeout and acceptance documents that must be executed per Administrative Code Section 6.22(k). All reports prepared under these regulations are posted online and publicly available.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Hearing on upzoning communities of concern  
**Date:** Friday, January 8, 2021 1:29:00 PM

---

**From:** Hunter Oatman-Stanford <hoatmanstanford@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2021 12:28 PM

**To:** Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Mahogany, Honey (BOS) <honey.mahogany@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Hearing on upzoning communities of concern

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Haney & Others:

As a longtime resident of D6, I'm shocked to hear that the Supervisors on the Land Use and Transportation Committee are attempting to increase/maximize growth in many of San Francisco's communities of concern in response to our state required zoning for housing growth (RHNA) while allowing our wealthiest and least dense western neighborhoods to remain unchanged. The notice of this emergency bill is found here:

[https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/lut011121\\_201370\\_Notice.pdf](https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/lut011121_201370_Notice.pdf)

Instead of focusing on equity by expanding/fast-tracking housing production in the neighborhoods south and west of the Mission, which have long maintained exclusionary neighborhoods of single-family homes as a way to prevent people of color and lower-income residents from moving there, city leaders now want to push more growth into the few neighborhoods, like most of D6, that have already built the vast majority of new housing over the last 3 decades. While the Sunset would remain virtually unchanged, this policy would target growth in the Tenderloin, Fillmore, Japantown, Mission, etc.

This is offensive and goes against every stated city policy on housing equity, and practically begs for the state to take more decisive action in removing control from local politics. Please DO NOT allow such a farce to continue—San Francisco deserves to see housing growth spread equitably among every neighborhood in the city, with particular protections for communities of concern and expanded growth in wealthy, job-rich neighborhoods that have built little to no new housing. I have no issue with fast-tracking housing development, particularly when it is providing affordable units of funds for future affordable housing; however, this bill seems to pretend it is promoting affordable-housing growth, while directing the zoning changes at neighborhoods facing wide scale displacement and does nothing to promote growth in the two-thirds of SF that currently ban



apartments.

Please let me know how you plan to fix this.

best,

Hunter Oatman-Stanford

855 Folsom Street, #502

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Immediate Resignation or Removal  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:41:00 PM

---

**From:** Shruti Desai <srsdesai@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 2:20 PM

**To:** District Attorney, (DAT) <districtattorney@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Brown, Vallie (BOS) <vallie.brown@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (POL) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Senator.Wiener@senate.ca.gov; sf.nancy@mail.house.gov; jackie.speier@mail.house.gov

**Subject:** Immediate Resignation or Removal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Chesa Boudin must resign or be removed from his post as SF's District Attorney ASAP. He has claimed the lives of multiple innocent people thus far. He was raised with terroristic ideals. This has to stop immediately. Please don't let anymore innocent people die under his criminal negligence. I don't want my family or friends to be the next victims.

Thank you,

**Shruti Desai**

[srsdesai@gmail.com](mailto:srsdesai@gmail.com) | 408.504.6737 | [shrutid.com](http://shrutid.com)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Cc:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Letter of Support for the Chinatown Restaurant Support and Food Security" program  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 9:18:00 AM

---

**From:** Steven Lee <steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 6:58 PM  
**To:** Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Letter of Support for the Chinatown Restaurant Support and Food Security" program

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Matt Haney - Chair  
Budget and Appropriations Committee  
  
City Hall - Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244,  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Supervisor Haney,

I hope you will support Supervisor Peskin's Chinatown Restaurant Support and Food Security" program. As we all look forward to the \$500 million State relief grants for Small Business. By the time things get sorted out and State figures out the review process or who qualifies to receive any part of these grants. San Francisco Chinatown will lose another legacy business and soon a San Francisco historic tourist attraction will have more boarded up storefronts.

Chinatown restaurants have a huge disadvantage of surviving in this district. Compared to other neighborhoods, families with paychecks are able to work at home or receive unemployment. Ordering Take Out meals for pick up is usually available within minutes of their front door steps.

Chinatown however, residents are of low income, Immigrants Seniors are on fixed incomes and family members are without jobs. Chinatown restaurants on Grant ave and side streets, survive on at least 70% sales to seasonal tourists, 20% locals and 10% from the neighborhood. With tourism plenty much non existent, indoor and outdoor dining shut down, SRO residents unable to buy prepared meals on a regular basis. Food To Go sales from the 20% local San Franciscans is just not enough to break even.

This designated \$1.9 million Chinatown Restaurant Support and Food Security" program is important to this Community. Buying meals from struggling restaurants and providing food for the residents is a win for both dire situations and very

successful. However, the money has run out for most of these programs. Sam Wo Restaurant is down to only one now.

<https://www.sfchronicle.com/food/amp/Longtime-owner-of-Chinatown-institution-Sam-Wo-15812552.php?fbclid=IwAR3c8xMTNTnDXZioQGiXbi9VR5wNaZxq8boGWDifQb33W-pfG4S8qdQJRcc>

The discouraging attempts of applying and competing for City or State grants have proven to be unreliable and disappointing during the first, and second round funding of PPP and Grants. Many of the immigrant businesses were either delayed getting the final answers or later to be rejected for unexplained reasons. At best, simply saying funds have runned out.

What really saved many Chinatown restaurants from closing were the meal programs funded by the generosity of private non profits in San Francisco and Oakland. Therefore, please support Supervisor Peskin proposed legislation to set aside the \$1.9 million to continue the meal programs. Chinatown restaurants will have a better chance of surviving until Spring when the Vaccine becomes available to the masses.

Thank you for your time and support. Many Chinatown and important Legacy business need your support.

## 100 Restaurants America Can't Afford to Lose

Just posted by Esquire Magazine. 12/12/2021

[https://www.esquire.com/food-drink/restaurants/a34864761/restaurants-america-covid-19-pandemic-service-industry/?fbclid=IwAR0s0nIXx4PriUr3LnQXPk7VI5NwO9evWNbwQvHPzm-p\\_QSr-CKfcvgeqgk](https://www.esquire.com/food-drink/restaurants/a34864761/restaurants-america-covid-19-pandemic-service-industry/?fbclid=IwAR0s0nIXx4PriUr3LnQXPk7VI5NwO9evWNbwQvHPzm-p_QSr-CKfcvgeqgk)

***Sam Wo (San Francisco, CA):*** *I love the new-school takes on SF Chinatown from chefs like Brandon Jew. But Sam Wo's represents something else, something deeper. The origins are murky but the original restaurant probably sprang up right after the 1906 earthquake. For decades it hosted famous patrons—Bukowski, Ginsberg, Conan O'Brien—along with hungry Bay Area residents, including my family. This is probably why I took my wife on our first date there. Esquire has written, in recent years, that tech money has turned San Francisco restaurants into a reflection of Silicon Valley; there's a lot of technical mastery but the soul is missing. Restaurants like Wo's are more important than ever, serving as a connection to the past, without dipping into schmaltz or nostalgia. — DD*

Have a great week.

Steven Lee

**415.720.4208**

**STEVEN LEE VENTURES**

DL: 415.720.4208 11am - 11pm

[www.stevenleeventures.com](http://www.stevenleeventures.com)

[steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com](mailto:steven.lee.ventures@gmail.com)

<http://facebook.com/glaskatbossman>

[StevenLeeTopPicks@groups.facebook.com](mailto:StevenLeeTopPicks@groups.facebook.com)

**Entertainment Commission of San Francisco**

Commissioner - Industry Seat / [www.sfgov.org/entertainment](http://www.sfgov.org/entertainment)

**Sam Wo Ventures, LLC**

Co-Founder / Shareholder / [www.samworestaurant.com](http://www.samworestaurant.com)

**Chinese Railroad Workers Memorial Project**

Founder / Director / [www.crrwmemorialproject.com](http://www.crrwmemorialproject.com)

**Portsmouth Square Garage Corporation**

Board Member / [www.sfmta.com/garages-lots/portsmouth-square-](http://www.sfmta.com/garages-lots/portsmouth-square-)

**California Music and Culture Association**

Board Member / [www.cmacsf.com](http://www.cmacsf.com)

This electronic mail message contains opinioned, privileged and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If the reader is not the intended addressee, any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: San Francisco Grocery Clerk Heroes Get Additional \$5.00/Hour Hazard Pay During Coronavirus Global Pandemic. -- SF Supportive Housing Essential Staff? No Hazard Pay. No Cal/OSHA Workplace Safety Training.  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 1:50:00 PM

---

**From:** rjsloan <rjsloan@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 10, 2021 9:58 PM  
**To:** Schneider, Dylan (HOM) <dylan.schneider@sfgov.org>; HSH External Affairs, HSH (HOM) <hshexternalaffairs@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fleisher, Arielle (DPH) <arielle.fleisher@sfdph.org>  
**Cc:** Randy Shaw <randy@thclinic.org>; THC Michelle Duke <michelle@thclinic.org>; Tabitha Allen <tabitha@thclinic.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; DPH-SRO Sites <srosites@sfdph.org>; DPH-Equity Neighborhoods <Equity-neighborhoods@sfgov.org>; Rebecca Tang THC <rebecca@thclinic.org>; Hale, Helen (MYR) <helen.hale@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** San Francisco Grocery Clerk Heroes Get Additional \$5.00/Hour Hazard Pay During Coronavirus Global Pandemic. -- SF Supportive Housing Essential Staff? No Hazard Pay. No Cal/OSHA Workplace Safety Training.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee:

FYI. See email below. Please re-consider budget appropriations to supportive housing non-profit SFHSH 'partners' that place vulnerable supportive housing clients and staff in danger of contracting the virus that causes the covid-19 disease.

"The City's Budget is a Moral Document." -- Budget and Appropriations Committee Chair, Supervisor Matt Haney.

RJ Sloan  
SFDBI SRO Taskforce SRO Tenant Representative, 2019 - present.  
(415) 465-3261  
[RJSLOAN@YAHOO.COM](mailto:RJSLOAN@YAHOO.COM)

----- Original message -----

From: rjsloan <[rjsloan@yahoo.com](mailto:rjsloan@yahoo.com)>  
Date: 1/9/21 5:54 PM (GMT-08:00)  
To: Mark Rabine <[markrabine@yahoo.com](mailto:markrabine@yahoo.com)>  
Cc: Randy Shaw <[randy@thclinic.org](mailto:randy@thclinic.org)>, THC Michelle Duke <[michelle@thclinic.org](mailto:michelle@thclinic.org)>, THC HR

<[tabitha@thclinic.org](mailto:tabitha@thclinic.org)>, Rebecca Tang THC <[rebecca@thclinic.org](mailto:rebecca@thclinic.org)>

---

Subject: FW: RANDALL SLOAN REQUEST FOR MEETING Re: THC WORKPLACE SAFETY TRAINING.

Mark,

Those of us who have paid attention understand that socio-economic vulnerability requires the Latinx community and the working poor of any 'race'\* or color to work onsite in essential occupations.

At the Tenderloin Housing Clinic (THC), a 40-year old San Francisco supportive housing pioneer, NO coronavirus workplace safety training has been provided to onsite essential staff, many who are not college educated nor fluent English speakers. There is certainly not a basic level of science-literacy amongst THC essential staff. (Again, no commitment has been made to train staff as coronavirus science emerges regularly throughout this global pandemic.)

Peat and I have discussed a potential mandatory workplace safety training series (given Peat's creativity and passion to clarify) that would help essential workers keep themselves safe from the coronavirus while at work -- indoors in poorly ventilated spaces for shifts of up to eight hours. Mask quality optional. (Seriously!)

Tenderloin Housing Clinic (THC) operates 23 SRO hotels throughout the Tenderloin, SOMA and Mission neighborhoods. This non-profit SFHSH-funded 'partner' has not been in compliance with Cal/OSHA mandates to provide ONGOING workplace safety training to all onsite, at-risk essential workers.

Below is an example of typographical combat that onsite essential workers are forced to engage in to keep themselves and their loved ones safe against a careless employer.

RJ

\*Race: A data-category/social construct.

----- Original message -----

From: Randall Sloan <[rsloan@thclinic.org](mailto:rsloan@thclinic.org)>

Date: 1/9/21 11:48 AM (GMT-08:00)

To: Tabitha Allen <[tabitha@thclinic.org](mailto:tabitha@thclinic.org)>, Michelle Duke <[michelle@thclinic.org](mailto:michelle@thclinic.org)>, Randy Shaw <[randy@thclinic.org](mailto:randy@thclinic.org)>

Cc: [cgherga@dir.ca.gov](mailto:cgherga@dir.ca.gov), Pratibha Tekkey <[pratibha@thclinic.org](mailto:pratibha@thclinic.org)>, Courtney Shepherd <[courtneys@thclinic.org](mailto:courtneys@thclinic.org)>, [RJSLOAN@YAHOO.COM](mailto:RJSLOAN@YAHOO.COM)

Subject: RANDALL SLOAN REQUEST FOR MEETING Re: THC WORKPLACE SAFETY TRAINING.

TABITHA,

THIS IS THE ABSOLUTE FINAL TIME THAT I WILL INFORM YOU OF YOUR RESPONSIBILITY AS AN EMPLOYER TO CONDUCT ONGOING WORKPLACE SAFETY TRAINING.

TODAY I AM WORKING AT THE HARTLAND WITH A COLLEAGUE WHO REMOVES HIS REQUIRED MASK IN THE SAME SHARED OFFICE SPACE. REPEATEDLY, EVEN AFTER BEING REMINDED.

IT IS NOT MY RESPONSIBILITY TO TRAIN YOUR STAFF ABOUT HOW THE CORONAVIRUS SPREADS TO OTHERS WHO SHARE THE SAME INDOOR, UNVENTILATED WORKSPACE. MY COLLEAGUE SHARED WITH ME THAT HE HAS RECIEVED NO ONGOING WORKPLACE SAFETY TRAINING BY THC DURING THIS GLOBAL CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC.

PERIOD. FULL STOP.

I AM COPYING MY PERSONAL EMAIL AND A Ca/OSHA ENFORCEMENT REPRESENTATIVE.

Randall Sloan  
(415) 465-3261

---



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: COVID vaccine registration  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 9:31:00 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Stephen Davis <s\_l\_davis@msn.com>  
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 6:17 AM  
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Subject: COVID vaccine registration

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello board of supervisors,

I am concerned with the vaccine rollout in San Francisco. I fully support the plan and tiered rollout, but there doesn't seem to be any means for people to be identified for each tier. My healthcare provider says that to get the vaccine, an order from the health department is required. This is good as it prevents selfish actions from risking those most vulnerable.

Based on the tiers, I see that I am in phase 1b, tier 2 and my daughter is in phase 1b, tier 1. How would the department of health identify or verify these tiers? In order to ensure vaccines are distributed as quickly as possible, now is the time to get a voluntary registration for those people who want to get the vaccine. I implore the board to either implement or work with whatever department is responsible to build this system ASAP as the vaccine production ramps up.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Stephen Davis  
San Francisco resident

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Covid vaccination in Sf  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 9:45:00 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Jean Brodie <jean.brodie@icloud.com>  
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 9:18 AM  
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Subject: Covid vaccination in Sf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a new resident of SF within the last year. I am concerned about the set-up of vaccinations. People my age (71) and younger are able to receive their vaccination at the local grocery store in Denver CO where I moved from. On the local news this morning I heard SF Board is currently debating at City Hall how to handle the vaccination set up, and vote wouldn't be for 2 weeks. This seems unconscionable as people are sick, dying and business are closing. It is time to act, not debate.

Respectfully,  
Jean Brodie

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Illegal unfair distribution of vaccines!  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 8:15:00 AM

---

**From:** Maysam Sodagari <m.sodagari@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 10:12 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Illegal unfair distribution of vaccines!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I would like to report instances of unfair distribution of vaccines. Two of my friends posted pictures of their vaccination in social media while they are not qualified to get the vaccine. They both are at their 30s, with no underlying medical conditions, not a healthcare worker and not an essential worker. I reached out to them, one simply replied " If you have a friend /family who is a doctor or nurse, they can help you get a vaccine, because they have plenty of vaccines!" The other friend replied " His partner is a healthcare worker, and he called him to come and get the vaccine. They have zero waste policy and he got the leftover does from an open vial". I am outraged by this unfair illegal vaccine distribution. Why are not the local officials supervising and monitoring the distribution and holding accountable the hospitals and clinics? While millions of seniors and essential workers do not have access to the vaccine, people with privilege, connections and power are jumping in front of the line? This comes on top of the failure of the state and local government to roll out the vaccination in a timely manner and effectively, having 9 months to plan this.

I am looking forward to hearing back from you. I will contact other officials and representatives and will hold them accountable.

Regards

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Absolutely inexcusable failure by SF City  
**Date:** Friday, January 15, 2021 4:11:00 PM

---

**From:** imtreble@snakebite.com <imtreble@snakebite.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 15, 2021 10:30 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Absolutely inexcusable failure by SF City

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have been trying to find out SOME, ANY, information on COVID Vaccine for the fleet of Ramp Tax Drivers. These individuals are in the Phase 1a and 1b tier 1, 2, and 3, priority and several have no health care provider. The absolute failure of the city and county of San Francisco to even furnish a web site with any current, updated information is inexcusable. The fact that the medical facilities who received this vaccine are hoarding it for their own people to get 2 doses is reprehensible. The fact that it is very clear California, and the city and county of San Francisco SAT ON THEIR HANDS FOR 9 MONTHS while Trump managed to get two vaccines out into distribution is beyond belief.

These ramp taxi drivers transport people from every at risk location in the city all day every day. There is no way to “socially distance” when securing a wheel chair into a vehicle. These drivers have taken ZERO time off and have continued doing their jobs putting themselves and their families at risk EVERY DAY. Yet I, nor any of them, can even get ANYONE IN SF GOVERNMENT to respond to our requests. WHERE DO THESE DRIVERS GO TO GET THEIR VACCINE?! One infected driver could potentially spread the virus in a single day to people in hospital, nursing homes, medical facilities, dialysis centers. 10 or more people in a day.

I cannot even tell you how disgusted I am at your failure to take action. Mayor, if you can call her that, Breed has completely vanished. All we hear from her is “stay home stay home”. We will see a pic of her now and then wearing the same blue dress. I am beginning to believe she is actually sitting home eating

bon bons and photo shopping herself into these photos.

DO SOMETHING, PLEASE GIVE US INFORMATION FOR THESE DRIVERS  
IMMEDIATELY, PLEASE!!

Carol Osorio  
415-879-0370 cell  
415-351-7066 office

***Women represent more than 51% of the population. What if we all voted!***

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Michigan Plans To Charge Ex-Governor Rick Snyder In Flint Water Probe  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 1:23:00 PM

---

**From:** Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD <[asumchai@gmail.com](mailto:asumchai@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 12:13 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Michigan Plans To Charge Ex-Governor Rick Snyder In Flint Water Probe

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD  
 Golden State MD Health & Wellness  
 Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD <[asumchai@gmail.com](mailto:asumchai@gmail.com)>  
**Date:** January 12, 2021 at 12:12:21 PM PST  
**To:** Sumchai MD Ahimsa Porter  
 <[AhimsaPorterSumchaiMD@hunterspointcommunitybiomonitoring.net](mailto:AhimsaPorterSumchaiMD@hunterspointcommunitybiomonitoring.net)>, Walton  
 Shamann <[Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org](mailto:Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org)>, [Grant.Colfax@sfdph.org](mailto:Grant.Colfax@sfdph.org), supervisors Board  
 Of <[boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org)>, "Aragon Tomas MD, DrPH"  
 <[Tomas.Aragon@sfdph.org](mailto:Tomas.Aragon@sfdph.org)>, Commission Health <[healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org](mailto:healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org)>  
**Subject: Fwd: Michigan Plans To Charge Ex-Governor Rick Snyder In Flint Water Probe**

Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD  
 Golden State MD Health & Wellness  
 Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD  
 <[AhimsaPorterSumchaiMD@comcast.net](mailto:AhimsaPorterSumchaiMD@comcast.net)>  
**Date:** January 12, 2021 at 12:06:04 PM PST  
**To:** Sumchai MD Ahimsa Porter <[asumchai@gmail.com](mailto:asumchai@gmail.com)>, Ratcliff Mary  
 <[mary@sfbayview.com](mailto:mary@sfbayview.com)>, Mary Ratcliff <[editor@sfbayview.com](mailto:editor@sfbayview.com)>, Brown  
 Nube <[nube@sfbayview.com](mailto:nube@sfbayview.com)>  
**Subject: Michigan Plans To Charge Ex-Governor Rick Snyder In Flint**

## Water Probe

[https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?  
o=https%3A//www.huffpost.com/entry/rick-snyder-charged-flint-water-  
crisis\\_n\\_5ffdf9b9c5b63642b6ffc49d&g=ZDA5ODUxMGYyNzk1OWViYg==  
&h=MWMxNTJhMWFhMTczZTIyOTY3OTc0ZGVIN2FhNjdjOGNiNGQxYzlhZ  
DE5NmlyZTk1MzQ3MDYzZmUyOTA5ZTU4ZQ==&p=YXAzOnNmZHQyOmF  
2YW5hbGpvZmZpY2UzNjVfZW1haWxzX2VtYWlsOjE1N2RkMzEzMzViMTUz  
ODcwZGUyNjkzNDBlNjg5YmQ3OnYx](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=https%3A//www.huffpost.com/entry/rick-snyder-charged-flint-water-crisis_n_5ffdf9b9c5b63642b6ffc49d&g=ZDA5ODUxMGYyNzk1OWViYg==&h=MWMxNTJhMWFhMTczZTIyOTY3OTc0ZGVIN2FhNjdjOGNiNGQxYzlhZDE5NmlyZTk1MzQ3MDYzZmUyOTA5ZTU4ZQ==&p=YXAzOnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbGpvZmZpY2UzNjVfZW1haWxzX2VtYWlsOjE1N2RkMzEzMzViMTUzODcwZGUyNjkzNDBlNjg5YmQ3OnYx)

Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD  
Golden State MD Health & Wellness  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Wait for it!. The Amazing Parallels Between Flint... | by Hunters Point Community Biomonitoring Program | Jan, 2021 | Medium  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 4:54:00 PM

---

**From:** Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD <asumchai@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 4:06 PM

**To:** editor@sfbayview.com; Nube Brown <nube@sfbayview.com>; Tascoe Ramona <ramona.tascoe@alumni.ucsf.edu>; Ramona Tascoe <tascoe@att.net>; Aude Bouagnon <audetojoy@gmail.com>; Bouagnon, Aude (UCSF) <Aude.Bouagnon@ucsf.edu>; rupa <radiorupa@gmail.com>; Marya, Rupa (UCSF) <Rupa.Marya@ucsf.edu>; Raymond Tompkins <rtomp@sbcglobal.net>; Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD <ahimsaportersumchaimd@hunterspointcommunitybiomonitoring.net>; Alexander Mark <ajjic51@mac.com>; Bonner Charles <cbonner799@aol.com>; matt@bonnerlaw.com; subracom@aol.com; Angel Bradley <bradley@greenaction.org>; Janice Hunter <janice@greenaction.org>; STEVE zELTZER <lvsf@igc.org>; Arieann Harrison <a.harrison@tuchs.org>; Elaine Brown <sistaelaine@gmail.com>; Stacey Harrison <sistahs4hh@gmail.com>; Minister Christopher Muhammad <m26sf@aol.com>; Reza Shirazi <shirazi@brookes.ac.uk>; Brother Miles <rezurn@hotmail.com>; Leon Muhammad <leonmuhammad@gmail.com>  
**Cc:** Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; DPH, Health Commission (DPH) <HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; DPH, EnvHealth (DPH) <EnvHealth.DPH@sfdph.org>; Al Gore <info@carthagegroup.com>; EarthJustice <info@earthjustice.org>; Seidel, Keith (DPH) <keith.seidel@sfdph.org>

**Subject:** Wait for it!. The Amazing Parallels Between Flint... | by Hunters Point Community Biomonitoring Program | Jan, 2021 | Medium

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

<https://ahimsaportersumchaimd.medium.com/wait-for-it-e028d8a7b91d>

Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD

[asumchai@gmail.com](mailto:asumchai@gmail.com)



**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Michigan Plans To Charge Ex-Governor Rick Snyder In Flint Water Probe  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 1:23:00 PM

---

**From:** Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD <[asumchai@gmail.com](mailto:asumchai@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 12, 2021 12:13 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Michigan Plans To Charge Ex-Governor Rick Snyder In Flint Water Probe

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD  
Golden State MD Health & Wellness  
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD <[asumchai@gmail.com](mailto:asumchai@gmail.com)>  
**Date:** January 12, 2021 at 12:12:21 PM PST  
**To:** Sumchai MD Ahimsa Porter  
<[AhimsaPorterSumchaiMD@hunterspointcommunitybiomonitoring.net](mailto:AhimsaPorterSumchaiMD@hunterspointcommunitybiomonitoring.net)>, Walton  
Shamann <[Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org](mailto:Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org)>, [Grant.Colfax@sfdph.org](mailto:Grant.Colfax@sfdph.org), supervisors Board  
Of <[boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org)>, "Aragon Tomas MD, DrPH"  
<[Tomas.Aragon@sfdph.org](mailto:Tomas.Aragon@sfdph.org)>, Commission Health <[healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org](mailto:healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org)>  
**Subject: Fwd: Michigan Plans To Charge Ex-Governor Rick Snyder In Flint Water Probe**

Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD  
Golden State MD Health & Wellness  
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD  
<[AhimsaPorterSumchaiMD@comcast.net](mailto:AhimsaPorterSumchaiMD@comcast.net)>  
**Date:** January 12, 2021 at 12:06:04 PM PST  
**To:** Sumchai MD Ahimsa Porter <[asumchai@gmail.com](mailto:asumchai@gmail.com)>, Ratcliff Mary  
<[mary@sfbayview.com](mailto:mary@sfbayview.com)>, Mary Ratcliff <[editor@sfbayview.com](mailto:editor@sfbayview.com)>, Brown  
Nube <[nube@sfbayview.com](mailto:nube@sfbayview.com)>  
**Subject: Michigan Plans To Charge Ex-Governor Rick Snyder In Flint**

## Water Probe

[https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?  
o=https%3A//www.huffpost.com/entry/rick-snyder-charged-flint-water-  
crisis\\_n\\_5ffdf9b9c5b63642b6ffc49d&g=ZDA5ODUxMGYyNzk1OWViYg==  
&h=MWMxNTJhMWFhMTczZTIyOTY3OTc0ZGVIN2FhNjdjOGNiNGQxYzlhZ  
DE5NmlyZTk1MzQ3MDYzZmUyOTA5ZTU4ZQ==&p=YXAzOnNmZHQyOmF  
2YW5hbGpvZmZpY2UzNjVfZW1haWxzX2VtYWlsOjE1N2RkMzEzMzViMTUz  
ODcwZGUyNjkzNDBlNjg5YmQ3OnYx](https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=https%3A//www.huffpost.com/entry/rick-snyder-charged-flint-water-crisis_n_5ffdf9b9c5b63642b6ffc49d&g=ZDA5ODUxMGYyNzk1OWViYg==&h=MWMxNTJhMWFhMTczZTIyOTY3OTc0ZGVIN2FhNjdjOGNiNGQxYzlhZDE5NmlyZTk1MzQ3MDYzZmUyOTA5ZTU4ZQ==&p=YXAzOnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbGpvZmZpY2UzNjVfZW1haWxzX2VtYWlsOjE1N2RkMzEzMzViMTUzODcwZGUyNjkzNDBlNjg5YmQ3OnYx)

Ahimsa Porter Sumchai MD  
Golden State MD Health & Wellness  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SF Homelessness and Supportive Housing Non-Profit Partner THC Actively Resists Covid-19 Workplace Safety Training?  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 8:55:00 AM

---

**From:** rjsloan <rjsloan@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 5:43 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; HSH External Affairs, HSH (HOM) <hshexternalaffairs@sfgov.org>; Fleisher, Arielle (DPH) <arielle.fleisher@sfdph.org>; CAL OSHA <cgherga@dir.ca.gov>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; DPH-Equity Neighborhoods <Equity-neighborhoods@sfgov.org>; DPH-SRO Sites <srosites@sfdph.org>; Evan CCSROC <Evan.oravec@gmail.com>; Allyn Mejia <allynmejia@gmail.com>; Rebecca Tang THC <rebecca@thclinic.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** SF Homelessness and Supportive Housing Non-Profit Partner THC Actively Resists Covid-19 Workplace Safety Training?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As an SRO Tenant Representative I am more than a little concerned that SF Homelessness and Supportive Housing (SFHSH) non-profit partner Tenderloin Housing Clinic (THC) has, throughout this coronavirus global pandemic, actively resisted developing and rolling-out ongoing Covid-19 workplace safety training to protect vulnerable clients and onsite essential staff (including janitors) from a potential coronavirus infection. THC currently operates 23 supportive housing SRO hotels throughout the city and is actively engaged in accessing Prop C funds in order to expand their unsafe operation, in my opinion.

My attempts to communicate with THC leadership around this workplace safety training issue has been met with silence for the past several months. It is my understanding that to keep an organization's non-profit status its non-profit Board of Directors must be open to public feedback regarding potentially dangerous employment practices and potential client exposure to illness. This matter becomes a public health and safety concern. Bad actors have no place in the supportive housing space.

Please be aware of the above described behavior of one of San Francisco's HSH supportive housing 'partners.' In the meantime, I will be pursuing these allegations to their logical conclusion(s).

RJ Sloan  
 (415) 465-3261 (Text)

[RJSLOAN@YAHOO.COM](mailto:RJSLOAN@YAHOO.COM)

**Commissioners**  
**Eric Sklar**, President  
 Saint Helena  
**Samantha Murray**, Vice President  
 Del Mar  
**Jacque Hostler-Carmesin**, Member  
 McKinleyville  
**Peter S. Silva**, Member  
 Jamul  
**Vacant**, Member

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
 Gavin Newsom, Governor

## Fish and Game Commission



*Celebrating 150 Years of  
 Wildlife Heritage and Conservation!*

**Melissa Miller-Henson**  
**Executive Director**  
 P.O. Box 944209  
 Sacramento, CA 94244-2090  
 (916) 653-4899  
[fgc@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:fgc@fgc.ca.gov)  
[www.fgc.ca.gov](http://www.fgc.ca.gov)

January 15, 2021

### TO ALL INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES:

This is to provide you with a copy of the notice of proposed regulatory action relative to Section 502, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, relating to Waterfowl hunting season 2021-2022.

Please note the date of the public hearing related to this matter and associated deadlines for receipt of written comments. Additional information and associated documents may be found on the Fish and Game Commission web site at <https://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations>.

Melanie Weaver, Senior Environmental Scientist, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations. She can be reached at (916) 445-3717 or via email at [Melanie.Weaver@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:Melanie.Weaver@wildlife.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

Jon D. Snellstrom  
 Associate Governmental Program Analyst

Attachment

RECEIVED  
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
 SAN FRANCISCO  
 2021 JAN 19 AM 9:30  
 BY

## **TITLE 14. Fish and Game Commission**

### **Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by Sections 265 and 355, Fish and Game Code and to implement, interpret or make specific Sections 265, 355, and 356; Title 14, California Code of Regulations, relating to annual waterfowl hunting regulations.

### **Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview**

Current regulations in Section 502, Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR), provide definitions, hunting zone descriptions, season opening and closing dates, and daily bag and possession limits. The proposed Frameworks for the 2021-22 season were approved by the flyway councils in August and at the Service's Regulations Committee meeting in October. The Frameworks allow for a liberal duck season which includes: a 107-day season; a 7 daily duck limit including 7 mallards but only 2 hen mallards, 1 pintail, 2 canvasback, 2 redheads, and 2 scaup (during an 86 day season); and closing no later than January 31. The duck daily bag limits and season length, as well as the season lengths for geese, are provided as ranges below, to allow the Commission flexibility in determining the final regulations.

A range of season length and bag limit (zero bag limit represents a closed season) are also provided for black brant. The range is necessary, as the black brant Framework cannot be determined until the Pacific Flyway Winter Brant Survey is conducted in January 2021 because the regulatory package is determined by the most current Winter Brant Survey, rather than the prior year survey. The regulatory package will be prescribed per the Black Brant Harvest Strategy pending results of the survey, well before the Commission's adoption meeting. See the table in the Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview section below for the range of season and bag limits. Lastly, Federal regulations require that California's hunting regulations conform to those of Arizona in the Colorado River Zone and those of Oregon in the North Coast Special Management Area.

The Department recommended changes to Section 502 are:

- Increase the duck season length to 101 days in subsection 502(d)(2)(B) for the Southern San Joaquin Valley Zone, in subsection 502(d)(3)(B) for the Southern California Zone, and in subsection 502(d)(5)(B) for the Balance of State Zone.
- Increase the goose season length to 101 days in subsection 502(d)(2)(B) for the Southern San Joaquin Valley Zone and in subsection 502(d)(3)(B) for the Southern California Zone.
- Allow up to two days of falconry-only season in subsection 502(g)(1)(B)2 for the Balance of State Zone, in subsection 502(g)(1)(B)3 for the Southern San Joaquin Valley Zone and in subsection 502(g)(1)(B)4 for the Southern California Zone.
- Minor editorial changes are also proposed to clarify and simplify the regulations and to comply with existing federal Frameworks

### **Goals and Benefits of the Regulation:**

The benefits of the proposed regulations are consistency with federal law and the sustainable management of the State's waterfowl resources. Positive impacts to jobs and/or businesses that provide services to waterfowl hunters will be realized with the continued adoption of waterfowl hunting seasons in 2020-21.

### **Non-monetary benefits to the public**

The Commission does not anticipate non-monetary benefits to the protection of public health and safety, worker safety, the prevention of discrimination, the promotion of fairness or social equity, and the increase in openness and transparency in business and government.

### **Consistency with State Regulations**

The Commission has reviewed its regulations in Title 14, CCR, and conducted a search of other regulations on this topic and has concluded that the proposed amendments to Section 502 is neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing State regulations. No other State agency has the authority to promulgate waterfowl hunting regulations.

**NOTICE IS GIVEN** that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a webinar/teleconference hearing to be held on Wednesday, February 10, 2021, at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. Instructions for participation in the webinar/teleconference hearing will be posted at [www.fgc.ca.gov](http://www.fgc.ca.gov) in advance of the meeting or may be obtained by calling 916-653-4899.

**NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN** that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a webinar/teleconference hearing to be held on Wednesday, April 14, 2021 at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. Instructions for participation in the webinar/teleconference hearing will be posted at [www.fgc.ca.gov](http://www.fgc.ca.gov) in advance of the meeting or may be obtained by calling 916-653-4899.

It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted on or before February 8, 2021 at the address given below, or by email to [FGC@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:FGC@fgc.ca.gov). Written comments mailed, or emailed to the Commission office, must be received before 12:00 noon on February 9, 2021. All comments must be received no later than February 10, 2021, during the webinar/teleconference hearing. If you would like copies of any modifications to this proposal, please include your name and email or mailing address. Mailed comments should be addressed to Fish and Game Commission, PO Box 944209, Sacramento, CA 94244-2090.

### **Availability of Documents**

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulation in underline and strikeout format can be accessed through the Commission website at [www.fgc.ca.gov](http://www.fgc.ca.gov). The regulations as well as all related documents upon which the proposal is based (rulemaking file), are on file and available for public review from the agency representative, Melissa Miller-Henson, Acting Executive Director, Fish and Game Commission, 1416 Ninth Street, P.O. Box 944209, Sacramento, California 94244-2090, phone (916) 653-4899. Please direct requests for the above-mentioned documents and inquiries concerning the regulatory process to Melissa Miller-Henson or Jon Snellstrom at the preceding address or phone number. **Melanie Weaver, Senior Environmental Scientist, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations. She can be reached at (916) 502-1139 or via email at [Melanie.Weaver@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:Melanie.Weaver@wildlife.ca.gov).**

## **Availability of Modified Text**

If the regulations adopted by the Commission differ from but are sufficiently related to the action proposed, they will be available to the public for at least 15 days prior to the date of adoption. Circumstances beyond the control of the Commission (e.g., timing of Federal regulation adoption, timing of resource data collection, timelines do not allow, etc.) or changes made to be responsive to public recommendation and comments during the regulatory process may preclude full compliance with the 15-day comment period, and the Commission will exercise its powers under Section 265 of the Fish and Game Code. Regulations adopted pursuant to this section are not subject to the time periods for adoption, amendment or repeal of regulations prescribed in Sections 11343.4, 11346.4, 11346.8 and 11347.1 of the Government Code. Any person interested may obtain a copy of said regulations prior to the date of adoption by contacting the agency representative named herein.

If the regulatory proposal is adopted, the final statement of reasons may be obtained from the address above when the approved final has been received from the agency program staff.

### **Impact of Regulatory Action/Results of the Economic Impact Assessment**

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

#### **(a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Businesses, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States**

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states.

The proposed regulations would provide additional recreational opportunity to the public and could result in minor increases in hunting days and hunter spending on equipment, fuel, food and accommodations.

#### **(b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California; Benefits of the Regulation to the Health and Welfare of California Residents, Worker Safety, and the State's Environment**

The Commission does not anticipate any impacts on the creation or elimination of jobs, the creation of new business, the elimination of existing businesses, or the expansion of businesses in California. The proposed waterfowl regulations will set the 2021-22 waterfowl hunting season dates and bag limits within the federal Frameworks. Little to minor positive impacts to jobs and/or businesses that provide services to waterfowl hunters may result from the proposed regulations for the 2021-22 waterfowl hunting season.

The most recent U.S. Fish and Wildlife national survey of fishing, hunting, and wildlife-associated recreation for California, estimated that migratory bird hunters contributed about \$169 million to the state economy during the 2011 migratory bird hunting season. The



impacted businesses are generally small businesses employing a few individuals and, like all small businesses, are subject to failure for a variety of causes. Additionally, the long-term intent of the proposed regulations is to sustainably manage waterfowl populations, and consequently, the long-term viability of the same small businesses.

(c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business

The agency is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State

None.

(e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None.

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts None.

(g) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code: None.

(h) Effect on Housing Costs: None.

**Effect on Small Business**

It has been determined that the adoption of these regulations may affect small business. The Commission has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to Government Code Sections 11342.580 and 11346.2(a)(1).

**Consideration of Alternatives**

The Commission must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Commission, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Commission, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

David Thesell  
Program Manager

Dated: January 15, 2021

**Commissioners**  
**Eric Sklar**, President  
 Saint Helena  
**Samantha Murray**, Vice President  
 Del Mar  
**Jacque Hostler-Carmesin**, Member  
 McKinleyville  
**Peter S. Silva**, Member  
 Jamul  
**Vacant**, Member

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
 Gavin Newsom, Governor

## Fish and Game Commission



*Celebrating 150 Years of  
 Wildlife Heritage and Conservation!*

**Melissa Miller-Henson**  
**Executive Director**  
 P.O. Box 944209  
 Sacramento, CA 94244-2090  
 (916) 653-4899  
[fgc@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:fgc@fgc.ca.gov)  
[www.fgc.ca.gov](http://www.fgc.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
 SAN FRANCISCO  
 2021 JAN 12 AM 9:32  
 BY *[Signature]*

### CALIFORNIA FISH AND GAME COMMISSION NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF PETITION

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, pursuant to the provisions of Section 2077 of the Fish and Game Code, the California Fish and Game Commission (Commission), on November 13, 2020, received from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) a five-year status review concerning Milo Baker's lupine (*Lupinus milo-bakeri*) recommending up-listing the species from threatened to endangered status. This five-year status review is considered the equivalent of a petition with a Department recommendation to accept and consider the petition (Fish and Game Code sections 2072.7 and 2077).

Milo Baker's lupine is endemic to California, and its range is restricted to Round Valley in eastern Mendocino County and Bear Valley in western Colusa County. The natural habitat of this species before roads were constructed through Covelo (Round Valley) is not known. It is presumed, based on the current location of Milo Baker's lupine in wet, roadside ditches, that this species used to be common along streams and in areas of high groundwater in the Covelo area. In the open and grazed grassland habitat of Bear Valley in 1985, Milo Baker's lupine was seen growing near dark soil rich in clay by gullies that were very wet in the springtime. Beyond this, little is definitively known about the habitat necessary for survival of the species.

The Commission formally received the five-year status review at its December 9-10, 2020 meeting via teleconference and webinar. The Commission will consider the five-year status review at its February 10-11, 2021, meeting via teleconference and webinar.

Interested parties may contact Isabel Baer, Habitat Conservation Planning Branch Chief, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 944209, Sacramento, CA 94244-2090, telephone (916) 203-3193, or email [Isabel.Baer@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:Isabel.Baer@wildlife.ca.gov) for information on the petition or to submit information to the Department relating to the petitioned species.

December 28, 2020

Fish and Game Commission

Melissa Miller-Henson  
 Executive Director



**DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION  
OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION**

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer

1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95816-7100

Telephone: (916) 445-7000

FAX: (916) 445-7053

calshpo.ohp@parks.ca.gov

[www.ohp.parks.ca.gov](http://www.ohp.parks.ca.gov)

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2021 JAN 12 AM 9:32

BY 

Armando Quintero, Director

January 5, 2021

San Francisco County Board of Supervisors  
Clerk of the Board  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

RE: Mission Cultural Center (Latinos in Twentieth Century CA MPS) Listing in the  
National Register of Historic Places

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I write to notify you that on December 29, 2020, the above-named property was placed in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register). As a result of being placed in the National Register, this property has also been listed in the California Register of Historical Resources, pursuant to Section 4851(a)(2) of the Public Resources Code.

Placement on the National Register affords a property the honor of inclusion in the nation's official list of cultural resources worthy of preservation and provides a degree of protection from adverse effects resulting from federally funded or licensed projects. Registration provides a number of incentives for preservation of historic properties, including special building codes to facilitate the restoration of historic structures, and certain tax advantages.

There are no restrictions placed upon a private property owner with regard to normal use, maintenance, or sale of a property listed in the National Register. However, a project that may cause substantial adverse changes in the significance of a registered property may require compliance with local ordinances or the California Environmental Quality Act. In addition, registered properties damaged due to a natural disaster may be subject to the provisions of Section 5028 of the Public Resources Code regarding demolition or significant alterations, if imminent threat to life safety does not exist.

If you have any questions or require further information, please contact the Registration Unit at (916) 445-7009.

Sincerely,



Julianne Polanco  
State Historic Preservation Officer

Enclosure: National Register Notification of Listing

WEEKLY LIST OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON PROPERTIES: 12/28/2020 THROUGH  
12/31/2020

KEY: State, County, Property Name, Address/Boundary, City, Vicinity, Reference  
Number, NHL, Action, Date, Multiple Name

CALIFORNIA, ALAMEDA COUNTY,  
Hotel Menlo,  
344 13th St.,  
Oakland, SG100005984,  
LISTED, 12/29/2020

CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY,  
Mission Cultural Center,  
2868 Mission St.,  
San Francisco, MP100005987,  
LISTED, 12/29/2020  
(Latinos in 20th Century California MPS)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Stop SFPD's illegal use of private cameras to spy on Black-led protests against police violence.  
**Date:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 2:31:00 PM

---

**From:** Michael Lawler <mlawler067@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, January 14, 2021 1:02 PM

**To:** Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Stop SFPD's illegal use of private cameras to spy on Black-led protests against police violence.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident, I am writing to urge you to prohibit the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) and other city agencies from making real-time use of private networks of surveillance cameras, and from obtaining data dumps of footage from these systems.

With overwhelming Board support, the Stop Secret Surveillance ordinance was adopted to empower the people of San Francisco to participate in meaningful decisions about government surveillance technology and to prohibit city use of face recognition technology. The Electronic Frontier Foundation recently revealed that, without notifying the public or the Board of Supervisors, the SFPD established real-time access to the Union Square Business Improvement Districts' surveillance camera system. The SFPD did so in order to spy on protests calling for an end to police violence against Black people. Situations like this are precisely what the ordinance was passed to prevent.

As you know, the Stop Secret Surveillance ordinance is the result of robust and open debate among the city's residents, civil society organizations, and government stakeholders. In providing an opportunity for robust and informed community engagement before adopting technologies with the power to chill free speech and disproportionately burden marginalized members of our community, the Stop Secret Surveillance Ordinance puts into action the values that make our city a shining light in a troubled nation.

Public safety requires trust between the public and the agencies sworn to keep them safe. With this in mind, I ask that you rebuke unlawful spying on activity protected by the First Amendment and the California Constitution, and take immediate action to prevent further harm by banning real-time SFPD use of private surveillance camera systems and data dumps of footage from those systems.

Respectfully,

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF LM 215 - A-428831  
**Date:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 11:23:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [CPUC\\_1367.pdf](#)

---

**From:** CPUC Team <westareacpuc@verizonwireless.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 21, 2021 11:13 AM  
**To:** GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov  
**Cc:** westareacpuc@verizonwireless.com; CPC.Wireless <CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org>; Administrator, City (ADM) <city.administrator@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; clarence.chavis@verizonwireless.com  
**Subject:** CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF LM 215 - A-428831

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") see attachment.  
This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

Jan 21, 2021

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for SF LM 215 - A  
San Francisco, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

---

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ( "CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein  
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory  
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400  
Schaumburg, IL 60173  
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com



| JURISDICTION          | PLANNING MANAGER       | CITY MANAGER                 | CITY CLERK                     | DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD | COUNTY        |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| City of San Francisco | CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org | city.administrator@sfgov.org | Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org |                          | San Francisco |

| VZW Legal Entity           |                 | Site Name        |                  | Site Address                            |                  | Tower Design                 | Size of Building or NA |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| GTE Mobilnet California LP |                 | SF LM 215 - A    |                  | 201 Mission St, San Francisco , CA94105 |                  | PUBLIC LIGHT (FREE STANDING) | N/A                    |
| Site Latitude              | Site Longitude  | PS Location Code | Tower Appearance | Tower Height (in feet)                  | Type of Approval | Approval Issue Date          |                        |
| 37°47'27.14"N              | 122°23'37.911"W | 428831           | Antenna Rad 28.8 | 32.16                                   | Permitting       | 12/24/2020                   |                        |

Project Description: 5GNR - Install 3 5G Ericsson antennas and related equipment on an existing pole.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF LM PH1 SC 44 - A-297878  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 2:20:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [CPUC\\_1343.pdf](#)

---

**From:** CPUC Team <westareacpuc@verizonwireless.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 12:53 PM  
**To:** GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov  
**Cc:** westareacpuc@verizonwireless.com; CPC.Wireless <CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org>; Administrator, City (ADM) <city.administrator@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; clarence.chavis@verizonwireless.com  
**Subject:** CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF LM PH1 SC 44 - A-297878

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") see attachment.

This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

Jan 11, 2021

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for SF LM PH1 SC 44 - A  
San Francisco, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

---

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ( "CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein  
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory  
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400  
Schaumburg, IL 60173  
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com



| JURISDICTION          | PLANNING MANAGER       | CITY MANAGER                 | CITY CLERK                     | DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD | COUNTY        |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| City of San Francisco | CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org | city.administrator@sfgov.org | Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org |                          | San Francisco |

| VZW Legal Entity           |                 | Site Name           |                  | Site Address                           |                  | Tower Design        | Size of Building or NA |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| GTE Mobilnet California LP |                 | SF LM PH1 SC 44 - A |                  | 17 South Park, San Francisco , CA94107 |                  | Utility pole/tower  | N/A                    |
| Site Latitude              | Site Longitude  | PS Location Code    | Tower Appearance | Tower Height (in feet)                 | Type of Approval | Approval Issue Date |                        |
| 37°46'55.222"N             | 122°23'35.499"W | 297878              | Antenna Rad 33   | 35                                     | Zoning           | 03/03/2020          |                        |

Project Description: Installation of 3 antennas on an existing utility pole. oDAS NODE- Lower Market

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [MelgarStaff](#); [ChanStaff](#)  
**Subject:** FW: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF PAC HEIGHTS 061 - A-414955  
**Date:** Monday, January 11, 2021 2:33:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [CPUC\\_1344.pdf](#)

---

**From:** CPUC Team <westareacpuc@verizonwireless.com>

**Sent:** Monday, January 11, 2021 2:23 PM

**To:** GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

**Cc:** westareacpuc@verizonwireless.com; CPC.Wireless <CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org>; Administrator, City (ADM) <city.administrator@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; clarence.chavis@verizonwireless.com

**Subject:** CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF PAC HEIGHTS 061 - A-414955

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") see attachment.  
This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

Jan 11, 2021

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for SF PAC HEIGHTS 061 - A  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

---

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ( "CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein  
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory  
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400  
Schaumburg, IL 60173  
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com



| JURISDICTION          | PLANNING MANAGER       | CITY MANAGER                 | CITY CLERK                     | DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD | COUNTY        |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| City of San Francisco | CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org | city.administrator@sfgov.org | Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org |                          | San Francisco |

| VZW Legal Entity           |                | Site Name              |                  | Site Address                             |                  | Tower Design                 | Size of Building or NA |
|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| GTE Mobilnet California LP |                | SF PAC HEIGHTS 061 - A |                  | 2700 VALLEJO ST, SAN FRANCISCO , CA94123 |                  | PUBLIC LIGHT (FREE STANDING) | N/A                    |
| Site Latitude              | Site Longitude | PS Location Code       | Tower Appearance | Tower Height (in feet)                   | Type of Approval | Approval Issue Date          |                        |
| 37°47'41.35"N              | 122°26'30.82"W | 414955                 | Antenna Rad 30.5 | 32.5                                     | Zoning           | 08/16/2020                   |                        |

Project Description: Install 1 cylindrical antenna on an existing light pole. NA oDAS NODE- Pacific Heights oDAS NODE- Pacific Heights