| 1  | [Reversing the Statutory Exemption Determination for the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Service Reductions] |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  | Motion reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the San Francisco                                       |
| 4  | Municipal Transportation Agency decision to implement service reductions is  |
| 5  | statutorily exempt from environmental review.  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | WHEREAS, The Planning Department issued a Statutory Exemption Certificate on   |
| 8  | January 4, 2010, finding that the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency ("SFMTA")                                  |
| 9  | response to a fiscal emergency by approving actions that would reduce service by up to                                     |
| 10 | 325,000 annual service hours through modifications to most of the Muni bus routes and rail                                 |
| 11 | lines (the "Project") fits within the definition of the statutory exemption set forth in the                               |
| 12 | California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), California Public Resources Code Section                                    |
| 13 | 21080.32 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15285, and therefore qualifies for an exemption from                                  |
| 14 | environmental review; and  |
| 15 | WHEREAS, on March 2, 2010, David Pilpel appealed the Planning Department's   |
| 16 | determination that the Project met the terms of the statutory exemption to this Board of                                   |
| 17 | Supervisors; and   |
| 18 | WHEREAS, On April 13, 2010, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to   |
| 19 | consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant, and following the public                            |
| 20 | hearing reversed the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the Project is                                |
| 21 | exempt from environmental review; and  |
| 22 | WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the statutory exemption determination, this  |
| 23 | Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses                                |
| 24 | to concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records                                      |
| 25 | before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and                                     |
|    |  |

opposed to the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors reversed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 100288 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the Project does not meet the criteria for a statutory exemption under Public Resources Code Section 21080.32 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15285 and reverses the Planning Department's determination that the Project is exempt from environmental review.