File No.	100231	Committee Item No.	4
		Board Item No	<b>3</b>

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Land Use and Economic Developme	ent_l	Date _	March 29, 2010
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	. 1	Date <sub>-</sub>	April 4,2010
Cmte Boar	·d			
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	and/d	or Re	port
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space	e is n	eede	d)
		***************************************	······	1 25, 2010
Completed b	by: Alisa Somera Da	ate 🗎	March	n 30,2010

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document can be found in the file and the online version.

Resolution authorizing the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to accept and expend a grant for the Buena Vista Park Project, Phase II, in the amount of \$115,025 available under the Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Program administered by the California Department of Parks and Recreation under the provisions of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965.

[Accept and Expend Grant – Buena Vista Park Project, Phase II – \$115,025]

WHEREAS, The National Park Service provides funding under the Land and Water Conservation Program for statewide planning, acquisition and development of outdoor recreation areas and facilities; and

WHEREAS, The California Department of Parks and Recreation has been delegated the responsibility for the administration of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Program and the grant Project shown above; and

WHEREAS, The California Department of Parks and Recreation has recommended \$115,025 in grant funding for the Buena Vista Park Project, Phase II; and

WHEREAS, The grant terms prohibit including indirect costs in the grant budget; and, WHEREAS, The grant does not create any new positions and will not require an amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the San Francisco

#### RESOLUTION NO.

Recreation and Park Department to accept and expend \$115,025 in grant funding for the Buena Vista Park Project, Phase II, from the Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Program; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby waives inclusion of indirect costs as part of this grant budget; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED. That the Board of Supervisors authorizes the General Manager of the Recreation and Park Department, or his designee, as agent to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents including, but not limited to, applications, agreements, payment requests, etc., that may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned project.

F	oproved	and	Adopted	on	this	dav	Of	•	20	
					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				_	_

Recommended:

See	File	for	Signature
	1 110	101	Olginataro

General Manager, Recreation and Park Department

	FILE NO. 100231		RESOLUTION NO.
1	Approved:		
2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
3			
4			
5	See File for Signature	Soo Eilo for S	Signaturo
6	Mayor	See File for S  Controller	ngriature
7	Iviayor	Controller	
8			
9			
10			
11	·		
12			
13			
14			
15	,		
16			
17			
18	·		
19	·		
20			
21			

Supervisor Dufty BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

22

23

24

25

Page 3 12/18/2009

### **Grant Information Form**

File Number:	100231	(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisor	s)
--------------	--------	-------------------------------------------	----

### **Grant Information Form**

(Effective January 2000)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. Grant Title: Land and Water Conservation Fund Program

2. Department: Recreation and Park Department, Capital Division

3. Contact Person: Meghan Tiernan, Project Manager, Telephone: 415-581-2557

4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

[ ] Approved by funding agency

[X] Not yet approved

5. Amount of Grant Funding Applied for: \$107,500

6.

7. Amount of Grant funding awarded:

\$115,025 (Pending final approval from CSPR)

6a. Matching Funds Required: Yes

6b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):

Source	Amount		Date Appropriated
San Francisco Conservation Corps	American	\$164,760	In-Kind Labor and Materials
Prop 84 Grant			
Total Matching		\$164,760	
	<u> </u>	~,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

7a. Grant Source Agency: National Park Service

- 7b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): California Department of Parks and Recreation, Office of Grants and Local Services.
- 8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: The project consists of the creation of a gathering space at Buena Vista East, installation of benches and a community bulletin board, and rehabilitation of the existing unpaved trail (800 LF). The latter includes clearing, grubbing, constructing small retaining walls, native plantings, and erosion control measures. The trail restoration work will completed by the San Francisco Conservation Corps and serves as the grant match.
- 9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: April 1, 2010

End-Date: May 31, 2011

10. Number of new positions created and funded: 0

Buena Vista Park Project Land and Water Conservation Grant

11. If new positions are created, explain the disposition of employees once the grant ends? N/A
12a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$ 100,000  12b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Yes  12c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the department's MBE/WBE requirements? This will further LBE contracting goals as required by the Human Rights Commission.  12d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One-time
13a. Does the budget include indirect costs?  b1. If yes, how much?  b2. How was the amount calculated?  c. If no, why are indirect costs not included?  [X] Not allowed by granting agency  services  [] Other: All grant funds budgeted for contractual services or supplies.
14. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: No
**Disability Access Checklist***  15. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):  [X] Existing Site(s)  [] Existing Structure(s)  [] Existing Program(s) or Service(s)[X] Rehabilitated Site(s)  [] Rehabilitated Structure(s)  [] New Program(s) or Service(s)  [] New Site(s)  [] New Structure(s)
16. The departmental ADA Coordinator and/or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section:
Departmental or Mayor's Office of Disability  Reviewer: Paulina Haice, Profilmed - Etnine Mose-west Augustics  Paulina Araica, ADA Coordinator Recreation and Park Dept.  Paulina Araica, ADA Coordinator Recreation and Park Dept.
Department Approval:  Philip A. Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Park Dept.

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION • P.O. Box 942896 • Sacramento, CA 94295-000

Ruth Coleman, Director

(916) 653-7423

AUG 0 6 2009

S.F. REO E PANAGER 2009 AUG 12 AM 1:13

Phil Ginsburg General Manager 501 Stanyan Street, McLaren Lodge San Francisco, CA 94117

Re: Land and Water Conservation Fund Program

Buena Vista Park Project, Phase II

LW-38-011

Grant Amount: \$115,025

Dear Phil Ginsburg,

We are pleased to inform you the above project has been recommended for funding to the National Park Service (NPS) under the Land and Water Conservation Fund Program (LWCF). We anticipate receiving approval from NPS in approximately three months. You are cautioned not to proceed with the development until you have received a fully executed contract.

Meanwhile, as a grant recipient under the LWCF Program, you are required to submit the following items:

- If not already included in your application package, a copy of the project property deed, title, lease, easement or other appropriate documents to satisfy site control and land tenure.
- 2. Compliance with 36 CFR800, Executive Order 11593 and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended. The process identified below is outlined in the Memorandum of Understanding you submitted as part of your application. Any costs associated with completing Section 106 are eligible for reimbursement. The following instructions will assist you in this process:

Contact the California Historical Resources Information System at <a href="https://www.chrisinfo.org">www.chrisinfo.org</a> to find your regional Information Center (IC). Request a record search and recommendations for the next step in the compliance process. Briefly describe the project and include a copy (1" to 1' scale) of the appropriate USGS 7.5" quadrangle with the Area of Potential Environmental Impact outlined.

The IC response letter will advise one of the following based on their records search:

- A. No further work is necessary. Submit the records search to the Office of Grants and Local Services (OGALS.)
- B. Recommend a comprehensive historical resource survey of the project's Area of Potential Environmental Impact. Either:
  - Complete a survey by a professional who meets the applicable Secretary
    of the Interior's standards. (The IC will have a copy of these standards

and a referral list based upon these standards.) Submit the record search and the survey report to OGALS.

- Explain to the IC why you think a survey is not needed. If they agree, submit their concurrence in writing with the record search. If not, submit the record search and explanation of your position to OGALS for review and disposition. In no case should you initiate work on your project until we have formally agreed that a survey is not necessary.
- C. Historical resources are located within the Area of Potential Environmental Impact and should be evaluated for eligibility for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. Forward the record search to OGALS for a determination of the need for further work.

As these steps can be quite lengthy, it is recommended that you start the process early. Send a copy of the response from the Historical Resources Information Center and, if recommended, a completed records search and historical resource survey report to:

Barbara Baker, Supervisor Office of Grants and Local Services P.O. Box 942896 Sacramento, CA 94296-0001

Again, congratulations on your project having been recommended for funding. For LWCF program information, you may access the Department's web site at <a href="http://www.parks.ca.gov/grants">http://www.parks.ca.gov/grants</a>. If you have any questions, please contact Bonnie Morse West at 916/651-7740 or e-mail at bwest@parks.ca.gov.

Sincerely

Sedrick V. Mitchell Deputy Director

Enclosure

# State of California – The Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

## Land and Water Conservation Fund Program Application

PROJECT NAME Buena Vista Park Project, Phase II	Amount of Grant	requested	\$ 107,5	00	
	•	Match	\$ 107,5		
	Estimated Tot	al Project		•	
TYPE OF PROJECT: □Acquisition ☑Development	·	Cost	\$ <u>215,0</u>	00	
Grant Applicant (Agency, address, and zip code)	PROJECT ADDRI	SS (includ	ling zip c	ode)	
San Francisco Recreation and Park Department 30 Van Ness Avenue, Fifth Floor San Francisco, CA 94102-6020	Buena Vista and Haight Street San Francisco, CA 94117				
	COUNTY San Francisco		NEARE San Fra	ST CITY ncisco	
PROJECT LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE (Deg/Min/Sec) 37.768476, -122.441683	Congress District No. 8	Senate D No. 3	istrict	Assembly District No. 12	
Grant Applicant's Representative Authorized in Resolution			***		
Jared Blumenfeld, General Manager, San Francisco Recreation and Park Dept.	Jared:Blumenfeld@	sfgov.org	(41	5) 831-2701	
Name (typed or printed) and Title	E-mail Addr	ess		Phone	
Person with day-to-day responsibility for Project (if different from an	uthorized representative)				
Toni Moran, Grant Manager, San Francisco Recreation and Park Department	Toni:moran@sfgov	org	(41	5) 581-2555	
Name (typed or printed) and Title	E-mail Addr	ess		Phone	
GRANT SCOPE: (Items of work to be completed with LWCF funds	and the required Match a	mount. Do	not incli	ıde Project merits.)	
Trail extension, clearing and grubbing, erosion control measures, sm Passive lawn area, ADA accessible pathway, retaining wall and new		ive plantin	gs.		
For Development Projects – Project land is:36 acres	For Acquisition Projects – P	roject land	will be	acres	
Land is held in fee title by Grant Applicant	☐ Land to be acquired	in fee simpl	e by Grant	Applicant	
☐ Land held under a perpetual lease agreement	☐ Land to be acquired	in other than	n fee simpl	e (explain)	
Federal land is held under a minimum 25 year lease at the time of application		All (All (All (All (All (All (All (All	***************************************		
I certify that the information contained in this Project Application this Project is consistent with the park and recreation element of and recreation plan and will satisfy a high priority need.					
Signed					
			March	2, 2009	

## Buena Vista Park Project, Fuase II Land and Water Conservation Fund Program

# Development Cost Estimate with source of funds

Item	Projected Cost
Trail Rehabilitation and plantings	\$ 107,50
(SFCC Matching Grant Labor and Materials)  Open Turf Area Rehabilitation Construction	100,00
Contract	0007.70
Subtotal A (Construction contingencies are included in these costs)	\$207,50
Non-Construction Costs	
Project Management, Engineering/Architectural	\$15,02
Fees	
Fees	7,52
<i>y</i>	7,52 \$22,55
Fees State Administration Fee on Project	

Direct Opportunity (Recreation	Projected	Priority	Percentage
Venue - refer to the chart, See Page	Cost	Rating	of Total
20)			Costs
Trail	\$80,000	1	
Open Turf ( Passive Recreation)	110,000	4	
Subtotal A (Construction	\$190,000		
contingencies are included in these			
costs)			
Non-Constr	uction Co	sts	
Engineering/Administration			
Project Management,	25,000		
Engineering/Architectural Fees			
Subtotal B	25,000		
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$215,000		
(Subtotal A+B)	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
NOTE: The Total Project Cost must be			
consistent with the Total Project Cost			
on page 15			

*Applicant's Sources of Funds (by name) for 100% Project Cost, funds eligible sources, and cannot include LWCF.	must be from
Prop 84 San Francisco Conservation Grant (pending award in	
September 2009)	\$ 97,500
Gift of Friends of Buena Vista Park	10,000
San Francisco General Fund	107,500
Total funding sources for 100% Project cost:	\$215,000.00



## City and County of San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

Capital Improvement Division 30 Van Ness Avenue., 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102-6020

Phone: 415-581-2559 Fax: 415-581-2540

Web: parks.sfgov.org

July 21, 2009

Bonnie Morse-West P.O. Box 942896 Sacramento, CA 94296-0001

Subject: ADA Compliance of Buena Vista Park Project, Phase II

Dear Ms. Morse-West:

This letter serves to address your ADA compliance questions related to the Buena Vista Park Project. On July 9th, I met with Kevin W. Jensen, ADA Coordinator for the Department of Public Works, to discuss approval the Buena Vista Park Southeast Slope Improvement Project. He confirmed that the project, inclusive of work proposed to be funded by Land and Water Conservation Funds (LWCF), is in compliance with the American Disability Act under programmatic accessibility. The programmatic element of this park is access to scenery and we demonstrated that the ADA accessible path in construction would achieve these goals.

In terms of the LWCF project trail area, the existing trail was evaluated for ADA access improvements. To achieve ADA accessibility, it would require that the site be significantly altered due to the natural topography, sandy soils and severe erosion issues on the southeast slope. This is deemed contrary to the conservation requirements, and as a result only stabilization work was proposed to be done in this area. The ADA coordinator considers this to be trail maintenance and therefore is not required to meet ADA compliance. Another condition of departure from ADA compliance is the prevailing construction practices. As stated in the grant application, the San Francisco Conservation Corps will perform the proposed work on the trail. This agency provides construction training opportunities for young people and the crew working the project will be using hand tools to complete such tasks as grading trails, grubbing plants, construction of small retaining walls and wooden stair rehabilitation.

In terms of ADA access to the lawn area, the path of travel to the area commences at the location of the future ADA parking spot and drop-off zone. However, at this point the park visitors' path of travel moves along the existing sidewalk. The existing sidewalk leading to the lawn area meets all the ADA criteria of a walkway people with disability require with the exception of the grade and the grade of the sidewalk is only required to meet the grade of the street. According to Mr. Jensen, when a project is considered a remodel (as opposed to new construction), not all paths of travel need to be repaired, therefore, we are not required to modify the sidewalk to meet ADA grade requirements.

I hope this provides the clarification on how the project meets ADA compliance. Please contact me at (415) 581-2555 if you have additional questions.

-nu 11h

Toni Moran, Environmental Specialist, San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

cc: Kevin W. Jensen, ADA Coordinator, San Francisco Department of Public Works.

