| File | No | 100253 |
|------|----|--------|
|------|----|--------|

| Committee | Item | No |
|------------|------|----|
| Board Item | No | 22 |

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

| Committee_ | | Date | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------------|----------|--|--|
| Board of Su | pervisors Meeting | Date | 04/13/10 | | |
| Cmte Boar | rd | | | | |
| | Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearings Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence | • | port | | |
| OTHER | (Use back side if additional spa | | d) | | |
| | oy:oy: | Date04/ Date | 08/10 | | |

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

| [Affirming] | the | Exemption | Determin | nation for | 100 | 32nd | Avenue [*] |
|-------------|-----|-----------|----------|------------|-----|------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | | | | - |

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the project located at 100 – 32nd Avenue is exempt from environmental review.

WHEREAS, On or about February 19, 2009, the Planning Department determined that a proposal to add a three-story side horizontal addition and enlarge the existing partial fourth floor at the existing four-story, single-family residence located at 100 – 32nd Avenue (the "Project") was exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31 as a Class 1 categorical exemption, a minor alteration to an existing structure (the "exemption determination"). By letter to the Clerk of the Board, Stephen Williams, on behalf of Sanford Garfinkel, other neighbors and the Lincoln Park Neighborhood Association ("Appellant"), received by the Clerk's Office on or around March 1, 2010, appealed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, On April 13, 2010, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant, and following the public hearing affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

| | • |
|---|--|
| 1 | hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in |
| 2 | support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the |
| 3 | public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and |
| 4 | opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of |
| 5 | Supervisors File No. 100252 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its |
| 6 | entirety; now therefore be it |
| 7 | MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco |
| 8 | hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set |
| | |

forth, the exemption determination; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole
record before it there are no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project
circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the

circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the proposed Project is exempt from environmental review; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for a exemption determination under CEQA Guidelines Section 15301.