File No. 210266

Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_\_ Board Item No. 23

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: \_\_\_\_\_ Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date:

Date: March 16, 2021

### **Cmte Board**

	$\boxtimes$	Motion
П	$\square$	Resolution
H	H	Ordinance
H	H	
		Legislative Digest
		Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
		Youth Commission Report
	$\boxtimes$	Introduction Form
		Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
		MOU
		Grant Information Form
		Grant Budget
		Subcontract Budget
		Contract/Agreement
		Form 126 – Ethics Commission
		Award Letter
		Application

#### Public Correspondence

#### OTHER

Prepared by:	Lisa Lew	Date:	March 12, 2021
Prepared by:		Date:	

FILE NO. 210266

#### MOTION NO.

1	[Findings to Reverse the Common Sense Exemption - 2675 Geary Boulevard]
2	
3	Motion adopting findings to reverse the determination by the Planning Department that
4	the proposed project at 2675 Geary Boulevard is exempt from further environmental
5	review.
6	
7	WHEREAS, On September 11, 2020, the Planning Department issued a CEQA
8	determination for the proposed project located at 2675 Geary Boulevard ("Project") under the
9	California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco
10	Administrative Code, Chapter 31, finding that the Project is subject to the Common Sense
11	Exemption; and
12	WHEREAS, The project site is a vacant 49,780-square-foot retail space within an
13	existing 250,843- square-foot shopping center, the "City Center", located at the southeast
14	corner of Masonic Avenue and Geary Boulevard, in the Western Addition Neighborhood of
15	San Francisco (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 1094, Lot No. 001); the City Center shopping
16	center, constructed in 1951, occupies the block bounded by Geary Boulevard to the north,
17	Masonic Avenue to the west, O'Farrell Street to the south and Lyon Street to the east; the
18	southern portion of the 288,297-square-foot City Center parcel (along O'Farrell Street) is
19	generally upward sloping between Masonic Avenue and just east of Anza Vista Avenue, and
20	then downward sloping from just east of Anza Vista Avenue to Lyon Street; the northern
21	portion of the City Center parcel along Geary Boulevard is generally downward sloping
22	between Masonic Avenue and Lyon Street; as a result, the 250,843 square feet of retail space
23	in City Center is located on four levels with six separate parking lots (Lots A -F), each with
24	independent access from O'Farrell Street, Geary Boulevard or Masonic Avenue; the City
25	Center retail buildings are generally clustered along the northern portion of the City Center

1 parcel adjacent to Geary Boulevard and the northern portion of the Masonic Avenue

2 frontages; the parking lots fan out from the City Center retail buildings to the south, southwest,

3 east and southeast; and

WHEREAS, The proposed Project is a new grocery store, restaurant, and coffee bar use within an existing vacant retail space; the proposed project would include a 49,780square-foot grocery store (Whole Foods), with a 3,320-square-foot restaurant, and a 1,190square-foot coffee shop; the existing on-site parking "Lot C", with 117 parking spaces, would be available for parking for Whole Foods customers; loading and deliveries would occur from an existing 3,528-square-foot on-site loading dock, accessed from O'Farrell Street just east of

10 Anza Vista Avenue, via "Lot F;" no changes to vehicle parking, bicycle parking, loading,

11 driveway access, or on-site circulation are proposed; in addition, no changes are proposed to

12 the public right-of-way; the project is limited to interior renovation; the project does not include

13 exterior construction and would not require excavation; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to the CEQA Guidelines, the Planning Department issued a
 Common Sense Exemption for the project on September 11, 2020, finding that the proposed
 project is exempt from further review under CEQA; and

WHEREAS, On September 18, 2020, M.R. Wolfe & Associates, P.C., on behalf of Julie
 Fisher and Tony Vargas, and United Food & Commercial Workers Union (UFCW) Local 5,

19 and its members who live and/or work in San Francisco (collectively, "Appellants"), filed an

20 appeal of the CEQA determination; and

21 WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated September 29, 2020, the 22 Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely 23 filed; and

24 WHEREAS, On November 17, 2020, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to 25 consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants; and

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WHEREAS, The Board considered, among other issues, Appellants' argument that the
 Project would result in air quality impacts from emissions from delivery vehicles that could
 potentially expose sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the site to significant levels of toxic air
 contaminants; and

- 5 WHEREAS, Under CEQA Guidelines, Section 15061(b)(2), the Common Sense
  6 Exemption applies only "when it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the
  7 activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment;" and
- 8 WHEREAS, Appellants' claims raise serious concerns about the use of the Common 9 Sense exemption in this instance, particularly the in light of the conflicting information in the 10 record regarding potential air quality impacts; and
- WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and
- WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, in Motion No. M20-0175 the Board of Supervisors conditionally reversed the exemption determination for the Project subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of such determination based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and
- 21 WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the 22 appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the 23 Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of 24 the exemption determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.
- 25

- 201127 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be
   it
- MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reverses the determination by the Planning
   Department that the Project is exempt from CEQA under the Common Sense Exemption; and,
- 5 be it
- FURTHER MOVED, That the Board directs the Planning Department to further analyze
  the potential air quality impacts of the Project to sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the
  Project site; and, be it
- 9 FURTHER MOVED, That as to all other issues, the Board finds the Common Sense
- 10 Exemption conforms to the requirements of CEQA and is adequate, accurate, and objective,
- 11 the record does not include substantial evidence to support a fair argument that the project
- 12 may have a significant effect on the environment, and no further analysis is required.
- 13
- 14 n:\land\as2020\1900434\01497870.docx
- 15
- 16
- 17
- ••
- 18
- 19
- 20
- \_ .
- 21
- 22

23

- 24
- 25

# **Introduction Form**

#### By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date				
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendment)					
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.					
<ul> <li>3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor</li> </ul>	inquires"				
5. City Attorney request.					
6. Call File No. from Committee.	6. Call File No. from Committee.				
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).				
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	8. Substitute Legislation File No.				
9. Reactivate File No.	9. Reactivate File No.				
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on					
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to t         Small Business Commission       Youth Commission         Planning Commission       Building Inspection C	ics Commission				
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a In	perative Form.				
Sponsor(s):					
Clerk of the Board					
Subject:					
Findings to Reverse the Common Sense Exemption - 2675 Geary Boulevard					
The text is listed below or attached:					

Motion adopting findings to reverse the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project at 2675 Geary Boulevard is exempt from further environmental review.

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

For Clerk's Use Only: