To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Subject: FW: Thank you.

**Date:** Wednesday, March 24, 2021 11:29:43 AM

From: Julia Lavroushin <jlavroushin@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 9:40 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Thank you.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings Board of Supervisors, who were elected to represent the residents of San Francisco!

Thank you for doing the right thing and supporting the objection to the 10 rooftop AT&T panel antennas and ancillary equipment on 590 Second Avenue. You placed people above the financial gain of a business and supported the residents who would be the most affected by this project. You supported the unique nature of the community and the neighborhood character.

Full points to you for a job well done.

In gratitude,

Julia Lavroushin

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

**Subject:** FW: Thank you re: no macro antenna at 590 Second Ave

**Date:** Wednesday, March 24, 2021 8:25:55 AM

----Original Message-----

 $From: Brooke \ Kuhn < brookekuhn@gmail.com >$ 

Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 9:48 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <box>
<br/>
dos.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Thank you re: no macro antenna at 590 Second Ave

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Thank you so much for voting no on the macro antenna at 590 Second Ave. my family and I deeply appreciate your time and attention to this matter.

Best regards, Brooke Kuhn 604 Second Ave 415-577-5624

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

 Subject:
 FW: File No 210240 - Vote No

 Date:
 Tuesday, March 23, 2021 4:37:55 PM

----Original Message-----

From: Jacky Iniguez < jackyiniguez@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 3:12 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <box>
<br/>
<br/>
| Soard.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: File No 210240 - Vote No

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Hello,

My name is Jacky Iniguez and I am a resident of 584 2nd Ave. I would like for the board to consider voting no on the conditional use authorization of the satellite disk on 590 2nd Ave. The building height is not standard for a satellite of this size. It will be intrusive to our views on our back deck, which is part of the reason we moved to our home. We love our home and hope that you consider an alternative site that is more commercially appropriate for a satellite of this size.

Thank you, Jacky Iniguez

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Subject: FW: File No. 210240

**Date:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 1:26:32 PM

From: Derek Ung <ung.derek@gmail.com> Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 12:49 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Cc: Thuy Tran <thithu999@gmail.com>

Subject: File No. 210240

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am unable to attend today's hearing on the proposed AT&T Tower proposed for 590 Second Avenue.

I am a resident of 690 Arguello Blvd, Unit 102. I would like to respectfully submit my objection to the project:

- 1. I enjoy looking out my window towards Golden Gate Bridge and the Western Beaches. I believe that the towers will block my view of the water and take away this enjoyment.
- 2. The cell phone towers may cause an increase in vehicular traffic and noise. As I understand it, the project site will be subject to 24/7 access to allow for maintenance. This would cause a disturbance during quiet hours and impair my right to quiet enjoyment.
- 3. The health effects of the towers have not been adequately studied.

Best regards,

Derek 690 Arguello Blvd, Unit 102 San Francisco, CA 94118

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

**Subject:** FW: Support the new wireless communications facility

**Date:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 12:49:04 PM

From: Jose da Silva <Jose.daSilva.357424834@p2a.co>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 7:42 AM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support the new wireless communications facility

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today in support of AT&T's proposed cell site that will improve wireless network infrastructure in the Richmond District. The proposed site is located at 590 2nd Avenue.

This site will provide an extremely valuable service to those who live, travel, and do business in the area. It will help give people the ability to call for emergency services in the event of an accident, to engage with employees or clients outside of the office, and allow people to communicate with family members.

This is also important for the safety of our residents. As a critical tool for first responders, public safety is improved by the power of mobile communications in emergency situations. When 80 percent of 911 calls are made from wireless phones, no call is more important than one that can save a life.

I ask for your support.

Sincerely,

Jose da Silva 389 9th Ave San Francisco, CA 94118

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

**Subject:** FW: Support the new wireless communications facility

**Date:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 11:37:05 AM

From: Calvin Joe <Calvin.Joe.432879737@p2a.co>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 8:43 AM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Support the new wireless communications facility

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today in support of AT&T's proposed cell site that will improve wireless network infrastructure in the Richmond District. The proposed site is located at 590 2nd Avenue.

This site will provide an extremely valuable service to those who live, travel, and do business in the area. It will help give people the ability to call for emergency services in the event of an accident, to engage with employees or clients outside of the office, and allow people to communicate with family members.

This is also important for the safety of our residents. As a critical tool for first responders, public safety is improved by the power of mobile communications in emergency situations. When 80 percent of 911 calls are made from wireless phones, no call is more important than one that can save a life.

I ask for your support.

Sincerely,

Calvin Joe 2841 Turk Blvd San Francisco, CA 94118

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Subject: FW: File No. 210240 on the docket today

Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 11:36:20 AM

From: Kevin Clark < kpcrtm@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 11:07 AM

**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** File No. 210240 on the docket today

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Hello Board of Supervisors,

Today you will be asked to make a decision that will impact neighborhoods across San Francisco. Installing the rooftop mobility macro wireless facilities on 2nd Avenue is the first step and will ultimately allow AT&T to build rooftop mobility macro wireless facilities consisting of ten panel antennas and ancillary equipment in other residential areas in our City by the Bay.

The Residential Planning Department has retained control over projects to insist that residential projects fit into the character of the neighborhoods. The same should apply to corporate America. The ten panel antennas and ancillary equipment at the corner of 2nd Avenue and Balboa absolutely does not fit into the character of the neighborhood. They would be clearly visible from a distance in many directions. Attached is a picture of the proposed site.

Furthermore, such a structure will cause your constituents to leave their homes and San Francisco. This will result in decreased property value. Imagine such a structure on the building next to your residence. I think that you would fight to prevent that. If the 2nd and Balboa project succeeds, will it set a precedent for AT&T to have "free reign" to install these structures wherever they want?

We implore you to listen to your constituents, put the families who elect you first and above corporate America, reject this proposal, and keep San Francisco the beautiful city that it is.

Thank you for supporting the objection to this project. It is the will of your constituents. Please do not allow this to happen to the neighborhood we call home.

I respectfully request that the Board of Supervisors deny this permit.

Thank you,

Kevin Clark

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

 Subject:
 FW: File No. 210240 590 Second Avenue

 Date:
 Tuesday, March 23, 2021 11:02:18 AM

**From:** karen jefferson <KJeff37@msn.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 8:50 AM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: File No. 210240 590 Second Avenue

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have lived on this block for over 57 years and I can not believe how much this neighborhood has changed. There are so many wires and power lines that I no longer recognize it. Why more? I operate a family daycare across the street from 590. There is another daycare on the same side of the street as 590 Second Ave. A children mental therapist and physical therapist are in the next block. There are three schools within 3 blocks. Why not put these ten panel antennas somewhere else where there are less people. There are plenty of buildings, empty tall buildings all over the city that would be better.

Frustrated, concerned neighbor

Karen Jefferson

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

r

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Subject: FW: AT&T Project at 590 Second Avenue
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 9:50:07 AM

From: Ekaterina Clark <ekalavcla@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 7:19 AM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** AT&T Project at 590 Second Avenue

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please do not allow AT&T to build the project proposed at 590 Second Avenue. My cellphone reception is fine without it. It adds clutter to the cityscape, is out of character with the neighborhood, and is not a good idea in earthquake-prone San Francisco. This City is my home. Please do not allow this massive telecommunications facility to ruin it.

Sincerely,

E. Clark

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Subject: FW: File No. 210240 on the docket today - Public Hearing, Please pass to members.

**Date:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 9:28:02 AM

**From:** Julia Lavroushin < jlavroushin@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 7:17 AM

**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** File No. 210240 on the docket today - Public Hearing. Please pass to members.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board of Supervisors, who are elected to represent the residents of San Francisco!

Before you on March 23, 2021 is a decision that will impact every district in San Francisco. It is a test case. It will allow AT&T to build rooftop mobility macro wireless facilities consisting of ten panel antennas and ancillary equipment in residential areas.

AT&T wants you to believe that you do not have the option to decline approving this project because they have allegedly done due diligence to find an alternate site and this approval is essential to San Francisco. We have fine reception at this time for any emergency. AT&T has several antennas already in place within blocks of this site.

Although you cannot stop AT&T from building the sites, you retain control of whether or not they fit into the character of the neighborhood and follow residential Planning Department's guidelines. The Planning Department did not appear to want to take on Goliath.

You - Connie Chan, Catherine Stefani, Aaron Peskin, Gordon Mar, Dean Preston, Matt Haney, Myrna Melgar, Rafael Mandelman, Hillary Ronen, Shamann Walton and Ahsha Safai - have the option to follow the will of the people who wrote 40 letters, braved COVID to sign the Objection and who live in the shadows of this plan. We live here and AT&T only does business here. AT&T has chosen to contract with a gentleman in his 90's, who does not reside on-site.

It comes down to a David vs Goliath situation. You already know that 2 structures spanning 17 and 23 by 6 feet tall are intrusive and unwanted by the residents. Some cities simply ban them in residential areas. This does not even attend to the point about the potential for health hazards, an area regulated by federal agencies. The same ones who said that the

Marlboro man, who was told that smoking was safe, would be fine until he died of lung cancer. Similarly, these agencies recommended no masks for COVID in the beginning, but then we found out otherwise.

Imagine the structure and size. Then, imagine it on the building next to your residence. Your common sense tells you this is wrong. Now, you need to listen to that sense, along with the voices of opposition of those who live around it, to vote your conscience.

Thank you for supporting the Objection. It places people above financial gain. It reflects the desire of the residents. AT&T is correct that it has chosen this site carefully. They discriminately chose a historically working class neighborhood to test their project. Please do not allow this to happen to the neighborhood we call home.

I respectfully request that the Board of Supervisors deny this permit for all of the stated reasons.

Thank you,

Julia Lavroushin

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

**Subject:** FW: Support the new wireless communications facility

**Date:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 9:17:43 AM

From: Jane Louie < Jane.Louie.356980621@p2a.co>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 7:01 AM

**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Support the new wireless communications facility

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today in support of AT&T's proposed cell site that will improve wireless network infrastructure in the Richmond District. The proposed site is located at 590 2nd Avenue.

This site will provide an extremely valuable service to those who live, travel, and do business in the area. It will help give people the ability to call for emergency services in the event of an accident, to engage with employees or clients outside of the office, and allow people to communicate with family members.

This is also important for the safety of our residents. As a critical tool for first responders, public safety is improved by the power of mobile communications in emergency situations. When 80 percent of 911 calls are made from wireless phones, no call is more important than one that can save a life.

I ask for your support.

Sincerely,

Jane Louie 576 17th Ave San Francisco, CA 94121

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: <u>Calvillo, Angela (BOS)</u>; <u>Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u>; <u>Ng, Wilson (BOS)</u>; <u>BOS Legislation, (BOS)</u>

**Subject:** FW: Support the new wireless communications facility

**Date:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 9:08:44 AM

From: John McCreary < John. McCreary. 355495018@p2a.co>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 6:38 AM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Support the new wireless communications facility

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today in support of AT&T's proposed cell site that will improve wireless network infrastructure in the Richmond District. The proposed site is located at 590 2nd Avenue.

This site will provide an extremely valuable service to those who live, travel, and do business in the area. It will help give people the ability to call for emergency services in the event of an accident, to engage with employees or clients outside of the office, and allow people to communicate with family members.

This is also important for the safety of our residents. As a critical tool for first responders, public safety is improved by the power of mobile communications in emergency situations. When 80 percent of 911 calls are made from wireless phones, no call is more important than one that can save a life.

I ask for your support.

Sincerely,

John McCreary 2328 Anza St San Francisco, CA 94118

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Subject: FW: Opposition to Project 2019-015984CUA at 590 2nd Avenue

**Date:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 8:58:52 AM

From: Katie Green <katiefg@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 7:32 PM

**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org> **Subject:** Opposition to Project 2019-015984CUA at 590 2nd Avenue

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Katie. I grew up in 588 2nd Avenue and my parents live there. I am writing to express my strong opposition to project 2019-015984CUA at 590 2nd Avenue.

590 2nd Avenue is a Location 7 Preference Site in a residential district, last on a list of 7 according to the Siting Guidelines. My mother has multiple disabilities, receives social security and has mobility difficulties. This will render my mother's own home inaccessible to her by blocking direct sunlight to her deck and yard for many months out of the year. She has rheumatoid arthritis, needing maximum access to sunlight for vitamin D and has difficulty receiving vitamin D outside of her home due to her mobility difficulties. This will cut off some of her only medically necessary access to sunlight. Disabled individuals deserve equal access to sunlight as those who can easily leave their home, and reducing this sunlight in her backyard eliminates her access to that equal right.

It is difficult to adequately convey how devastating this entire process has been for my family and how much more devastating it would be if the project went through. Studies show that the property values of homes near the antennae will go down, and my parents will lose a significant proportion of their savings for elder care if they need to leave the home due to this, making it difficult for them to buy a new home and remain in the city where they have lived for all of their lives. Who is accountable for this harm to my family? This antenna project would throw my family and their plans for retirement under the bus for antennae on a dispreferred site.

In addition, the environmental impact statement shows radiation exposure for the roof of our home of 98% of the legal limit, and our decks and bedrooms are right next to the roof. We will be regularly exposed. I also have not seen pollution coverage - who would be liable for health impacts based on loss of sunlight to my mother, and any potential impact on my hopefully upcoming pregnancy?

I can only beg you not to irreparably harm our community and our family. I trust that you will take the needs of our community into account over the benefit of a large corporation. Our neighborhood deserves to be protected from this harm.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Warm regards,

Katie Green

From: Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)

To: BOS Legislation, (BOS)

**Subject:** FW: File No. 210240 on the docket today - Public Hearing. Please pass to members.

**Date:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 8:13:27 AM

From: Julia Lavroushin <jlavroushin@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 23, 2021 7:23 AM

**To:** Wong, Jocelyn (BOS) <jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Fw: File No. 210240 on the docket today - Public Hearing. Please pass to members.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Good morning.

Thank you for making sure that all the supervisors get a copy.

Kind regards,

## Julia Lavroushin

---- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Julia Lavroushin < <u>ilavroushin@yahoo.com</u>>

To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org < board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org >

Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021, 07:16:49 AM PDT

**Subject:** File No. 210240 on the docket today - Public Hearing. Please pass to members.

Hello Board of Supervisors, who are elected to represent the residents of San Francisco!

Before you on March 23, 2021 is a decision that will impact every district in San Francisco. It is a test case. It will allow AT&T to build rooftop mobility macro wireless facilities consisting of ten panel antennas and ancillary equipment in residential areas.

AT&T wants you to believe that you do not have the option to decline approving this project because they have allegedly done due diligence to find an alternate site and this approval is essential to San Francisco. We have fine reception at this time for any emergency. AT&T has several antennas already in place within blocks of this site.

Although you cannot stop AT&T from building the sites, you retain control of whether or not they fit into the character of the neighborhood and follow residential Planning Department's guidelines. The Planning Department did not appear to want to take on Goliath.

You - Connie Chan, Catherine Stefani, Aaron Peskin, Gordon Mar, Dean Preston, Matt Haney, Myrna Melgar, Rafael Mandelman, Hillary Ronen, Shamann Walton and Ahsha Safai - have the option to follow the will of the people who wrote 40 letters, braved COVID to sign the Objection and who live in the shadows of this plan. We live here and AT&T only does business here. AT&T has chosen to contract with a gentleman in his 90's, who does not reside on-site.

It comes down to a David vs Goliath situation. You already know that 2 structures spanning 17 and 23 by 6 feet tall are intrusive and unwanted by the residents. Some cities simply ban them in residential areas. This does not even attend to the point about the potential for health hazards, an area regulated by federal agencies. The same ones who said that the Marlboro man, who was told that smoking was safe, would be fine until he died of lung cancer. Similarly, these agencies recommended no masks for COVID in the beginning, but then we found out otherwise.

Imagine the structure and size. Then, imagine it on the building next to your residence. Your common sense tells you this is wrong. Now, you need to listen to that sense, along with the voices of opposition of those who live around it, to vote your conscience.

Thank you for supporting the Objection. It places people above financial gain. It reflects the desire of the residents. AT&T is correct that it has chosen this site carefully. They discriminately chose a historically working class neighborhood to test their project. Please do not allow this to happen to the neighborhood we call home.

I respectfully request that the Board of Supervisors deny this permit for all of the stated reasons.

Thank you,

Julia Lavroushin

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

**Subject:** FW: Support the new wireless communications facility

**Date:** Monday, March 22, 2021 4:46:29 PM

From: Ben Golombek <Ben.Golombek.316603821@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 4:31 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Support the new wireless communications facility

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today in support of AT&T's proposed cell site that will improve wireless network infrastructure in the Richmond District. The proposed site is located at 590 2nd Avenue.

This site will provide an extremely valuable service to those who live, travel, and do business in the area. It will help give people the ability to call for emergency services in the event of an accident, to engage with employees or clients outside of the office, and allow people to communicate with family members.

This is also important for the safety of our residents. As a critical tool for first responders, public safety is improved by the power of mobile communications in emergency situations. When 80 percent of 911 calls are made from wireless phones, no call is more important than one that can save a life.

I ask for your support.

Sincerely,

Ben Golombek 3841 Clement St San Francisco, CA 94121

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

**Subject:** FW: Support the new wireless communications facility

**Date:** Monday, March 22, 2021 4:43:31 PM

From: Andrew Cantrill < Andrew. Cantrill. 432655258@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 4:14 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Support the new wireless communications facility

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today in support of AT&T's proposed cell site that will improve wireless network infrastructure in the Richmond District. The proposed site is located at 590 2nd Avenue.

This site will provide an extremely valuable service to those who live, travel, and do business in the area. It will help give people the ability to call for emergency services in the event of an accident, to engage with employees or clients outside of the office, and allow people to communicate with family members.

This is also important for the safety of our residents. As a critical tool for first responders, public safety is improved by the power of mobile communications in emergency situations. When 80 percent of 911 calls are made from wireless phones, no call is more important than one that can save a life.

I ask for your support.

Sincerely,

Andrew Cantrill 195 20th Ave San Francisco, CA 94121

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

**Subject:** FW: Support the new wireless communications facility

**Date:** Monday, March 22, 2021 4:42:40 PM

From: Carol Huang < Carol. Huang. 84446077@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 4:13 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Support the new wireless communications facility

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today in support of AT&T's proposed cell site that will improve wireless network infrastructure in the Richmond District. The proposed site is located at 590 2nd Avenue.

This site will provide an extremely valuable service to those who live, travel, and do business in the area. It will help give people the ability to call for emergency services in the event of an accident, to engage with employees or clients outside of the office, and allow people to communicate with family members.

This is also important for the safety of our residents. As a critical tool for first responders, public safety is improved by the power of mobile communications in emergency situations. When 80 percent of 911 calls are made from wireless phones, no call is more important than one that can save a life.

I ask for your support.

Sincerely,

Carol Huang 183 Cook St San Francisco, CA 94118

To: BOS-Supervisors

Cc: <u>Calvillo, Angela (BOS)</u>; <u>Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u>; <u>Ng, Wilson (BOS)</u>; <u>BOS Legislation, (BOS)</u>

**Subject:** FW: Support the new wireless communications facility

**Date:** Monday, March 22, 2021 4:41:09 PM

From: Nicola Erbe < Nicola. Erbe. 432652107@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 4:02 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support the new wireless communications facility

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today in support of AT&T's proposed cell site that will improve wireless network infrastructure in the Richmond District. The proposed site is located at 590 2nd Avenue.

This site will provide an extremely valuable service to those who live, travel, and do business in the area. It will help give people the ability to call for emergency services in the event of an accident, to engage with employees or clients outside of the office, and allow people to communicate with family members.

This is also important for the safety of our residents. As a critical tool for first responders, public safety is improved by the power of mobile communications in emergency situations. When 80 percent of 911 calls are made from wireless phones, no call is more important than one that can save a life.

I ask for your support.

Sincerely,

Nicola Erbe 865 25th Ave San Francisco, CA 94121

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

**Subject:** FW: Support the new wireless communications facility

**Date:** Monday, March 22, 2021 3:15:40 PM

From: John Southworth < John. Southworth. 355491896@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 3:07 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support the new wireless communications facility

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today in support of AT&T's proposed cell site that will improve wireless network infrastructure in the Richmond District. The proposed site is located at 590 2nd Avenue.

This site will provide an extremely valuable service to those who live, travel, and do business in the area. It will help give people the ability to call for emergency services in the event of an accident, to engage with employees or clients outside of the office, and allow people to communicate with family members.

This is also important for the safety of our residents. As a critical tool for first responders, public safety is improved by the power of mobile communications in emergency situations. When 80 percent of 911 calls are made from wireless phones, no call is more important than one that can save a life.

I ask for your support.

Sincerely,

John Southworth 2430 Lake St San Francisco, CA 94121

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: <u>Calvillo, Angela (BOS)</u>; <u>Somera, Alisa (BOS)</u>; <u>Ng, Wilson (BOS)</u>; <u>BOS Legislation, (BOS)</u>

**Subject:** FW: Support the new wireless communications facility

**Date:** Monday, March 22, 2021 3:15:07 PM

From: Phillip Ng <Phillip.Ng.432600861@p2a.co>

Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 2:41 PM

**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support the new wireless communications facility

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today in support of AT&T's proposed cell site that will improve wireless network infrastructure in the Richmond District. The proposed site is located at 590 2nd Avenue.

This site will provide an extremely valuable service to those who live, travel, and do business in the area. It will help give people the ability to call for emergency services in the event of an accident, to engage with employees or clients outside of the office, and allow people to communicate with family members.

This is also important for the safety of our residents. As a critical tool for first responders, public safety is improved by the power of mobile communications in emergency situations. When 80 percent of 911 calls are made from wireless phones, no call is more important than one that can save a life.

I ask for your support.

Sincerely,

Phillip Ng 2438 Lake St San Francisco, CA 94121

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Subject: FW: regarding file #210240 - proposed Macro Cell site at 590 2nd Avenue

**Date:** Friday, March 19, 2021 12:15:48 PM

From: Jose Dearaujo <gobrasil@pacbell.net> Sent: Friday, March 19, 2021 11:37 AM

Subject: regarding file #210240 - proposed Macro Cell site at 590 2nd Avenue

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

to: San Francisco Board of Supervisors

This is in regard to file # 210240 - AT&T's proposed macro cell site at 590 2nd Avenue.

This location is not deemed favorable by The San Francisco Planning Department.

This is a residential neighborhood and using this location for a macro cell site is out of character for the neighborhood. It will have a negative impact on surrounding homes. It will be visible from all angles because of its size and design. The proposed macros cell installation is too large a structure for the size of the roof.

With all of AT&T's resources they can surely find a more appropriate location for this project.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Cindy Quan

Richmond District Resident