1	[Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Exemption Determination - 476 Lombard Street]
2	
3	Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the determination
4	by the Planning Department that the proposed project at 476 Lombard Street is exempt
5	from further environmental review.
6	
7	WHEREAS, On July 15, 2020, the Planning Department ("Department") issued a
8	CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination for the proposed project located at 476 Lombard
9	Street ("Project") under the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code,
10	Section 2100 et seq., "CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title
11	14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15300-15333), and San Francisco Administrative Code,
12	Chapter 31; and
13	WHEREAS, The Project site is located on the north side of Lombard Street between
14	Stockton Street and Grant Avenues, in the North Beach neighborhood, on a 27'-6" wide by
15	100' deep 2,750 square-foot (sf) up-sloping lot; and
16	WHEREAS, The lot contains an existing three-story, 1,638 sf single-family residence
17	built in 1926, which the Department determined is an individual historic resource for the
18	purpose of CEQA review; and
19	WHEREAS, The Project proposes horizontal additions to the existing single-family
20	dwelling; the second-floor residential addition would increase the building depth toward the
21	rear of the property and also include rear-facing roof deck; the third-floor addition would
22	enlarge the existing third floor toward the front of the building with a setback 12'-10" from the
23	front façade, capture space in front of the addition for roof deck area, and provide a third floor

rear-facing deck. Above the third-floor addition would be rooftop deck; the Project would

25

24

1	include the infill of two light wells on the west façade; the Project would also include a new
2	elevator and two off-street parking; and
3	WHEREAS, As part of its review of the Project, the Department issued a Historic
4	Resource Evaluation Response, Part II, which concluded that the Project would meet the
5	Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation; therefore, the Department determined
6	that the Project would not result in an impact on historical resources; and
7	WHEREAS, On July 15, 2020, the Department issued a Categorical Exemption for the
8	Project, finding that the proposed project is exempt from CEQA as a Class 1 Categorical
9	Exemption (alteration and addition to an existing structure) and that no further environmental
10	review was required; and
11	WHEREAS, On January 28, 2021, the San Francisco Planning Commission conducted
12	a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Discretionary Review
13	Application No. 2018-017283DRP; the Commission did not find exceptional or extraordinary
14	circumstances surrounding the Project and did not take discretionary review on the Project,
15	which constituted the approval action for the project under CEQA; and
16	WHEREAS, On February 25, 2021, Susan Brandt-Hawley of Brandt-Hawley Law
17	Group, on behalf of Barbara and Arrigo Sturla (hereinafter Appellant) filed an appeal of the
18	categorical exemption determination; and
19	WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated March 3, 2021, the
20	Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely
21	filed; and
22	WHEREAS, On April 13, 2021, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to
23	consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant; and
24	WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board
25	reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the

appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors conditionally reversed the exemption determination subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of such determination based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors, as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 210235, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to prepare the findings specifying the basis for its decision on the appeal of the exemption determination issued by the Planning Department for the Project.

n:\land\as2020\1900434\01524425.docx

23

24

25