File No.	210236

Committee Item	No.	
Board Item No.	23	

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:		Date:	
Board of Sup	pervisors Meeting	Date: A	oril 13, 2021
Cmte Boar	d		
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence		Report
OTHER			
H			
$\sqcup$			
H			
Prepared by:	: Lisa Lew	Date: Ar	oril 9, 2021
Prepared by:		Date:	•

25

1	[Affirming the Exemption Determination - 476 Lombard Street]
2	
3	Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed
4	project at 476 Lombard Street is exempt from further environmental review.
5	
6	WHEREAS, On July 15, 2020, the Planning Department ("Department") issued a
7	CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination for the proposed project located at 476 Lombard
8	Street ("Project") under the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code,
9	Section 2100 et seq., "CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title
10	14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15300-15333), and San Francisco Administrative Code,
11	Chapter 31; and
12	WHEREAS, The Project site is located on the north side of Lombard Street between
13	Stockton Street and Grant Avenues, in the North Beach neighborhood, on a 27'-6" wide by
14	100' deep 2,750 square-foot (sf) up-sloping lot; and
15	WHEREAS, The lot contains an existing three-story, 1,638 sf single-family residence
16	built in 1926, which the Department determined is an individual historic resource for the
17	purpose of CEQA review; and
18	WHEREAS, The Project proposes horizontal additions to the existing single-family
19	dwelling; the second-floor residential addition would increase the building depth toward the
20	rear of the property and also include rear-facing roof deck; the third-floor addition would
21	enlarge the existing third floor toward the front of the building with a setback 12'-10" from the
22	front façade, capture space in front of the addition for roof deck area, and provide a third floor
23	rear-facing deck; above the third-floor addition would be rooftop deck; the Project would
24	

1	include the infill of two light wells on the west façade; the Project would also include a new
2	elevator and two off-street parking; and
3	WHEREAS, As part of its review of the Project, the Department issued a Historic
4	Resource Evaluation Response, Part II, which concluded that the Project would meet the
5	Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation; therefore, the Department determined
6	that the Project would not result in an impact on historical resources; and
7	WHEREAS, On July 15, 2020, the Department issued a Categorical Exemption for the
8	Project, finding that the proposed project is exempt from CEQA as a Class 1 Categorical
9	Exemption (alteration and addition to an existing structure) and that no further environmental
10	review was required; and
11	WHEREAS, On January 28, 2021, the San Francisco Planning Commission conducted
12	a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Discretionary Review
13	Application No. 2018-017283DRP; the Commission did not find exceptional or extraordinary
14	circumstances surrounding the Project and did not take discretionary review on the Project,
15	which constituted the approval action for the project under CEQA; and
16	WHEREAS, On February 25, 2021, Susan Brandt-Hawley of Brandt-Hawley Law
17	Group, on behalf of Barbara and Arrigo Sturla (hereinafter Appellant) filed an appeal of the
18	categorical exemption determination; and
19	WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated March 3, 2021, the
20	Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely
21	filed; and
22	WHEREAS, On April 13, 2021, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to
23	consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant; and
24	WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board
25	reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the

appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 210235, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the Project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for an exemption determination under CEQA.

n:\land\as2020\1900434\01524423.docx

## **Introduction Form**

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

Time stamp or meeting date

1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendment)	
☐ 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
☐ 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor inquires"	
☐ 5. City Attorney request.	
☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.	
☐ 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ Ethics Commission ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commission  Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative Form.  Sponsor(s):	
Clerk of the Board	
Subject:	
Affirming the Exemption Determination - 476 Lombard Street	
The text is listed below or attached:	
Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project at 476 Lombard Street exempt from further environmental review.	is
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	
For Clerk's Use Only:	