1	[Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - 2651-2653 Octavia Street]
2	
3	Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed
4	project at 2651-2653 Octavia Street is categorically exempt from further environmental
5	review.
6	
7	WHEREAS, On January 27, 2021, the Planning Department ("Department") issued a
8	Categorical Exemption Determination for the proposed project located at 2651-2653 Octavia
9	Street ("Project") under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA
10	Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and
11	WHEREAS, The project site is located on the block bounded by Green Street to the
12	north, Octavia Street to the east, Vallejo Street to the south, and Laguna Street to the west, in
13	the Pacific Heights neighborhood; the approximately 3,100-square-foot project site is within
14	the Residential, House, Two-Family (RH-2) Zoning District and a 40-X Height and Bulk
15	District; the project site is currently occupied by a two-family residence; and
16	WHEREAS, The Project includes the construction a fourth-floor-level vertical and
17	horizontal addition to an existing 37-foot-tall (inclusive of a 7-foot-tall mansard roof), three-
18	story, 4,151-gross-square-foot two-family residence constructed in 1950, resulting in a 40-
19	foot-tall (exclusive of a 3.5-foot-tall parapet and clear glass guardrail on the roof deck), four-
20	story, 6,512-gross-square-foot two family residence; the Project construction would involve
21	localized excavation for new foundation and possible excavation to replace existing
22	foundations in kind, resulting in a total of approximately 15 to 30 cubic yards of soil excavated
23	at an average depth of 1.5 feet; and
24	

25

1	WHEREAS, On September 5, 2019, the Department issued a categorical exemption
2	determination, finding that the Project was categorically exempt under CEQA Class 1 -
3	alteration and addition to an existing structure, and that no further environmental review was
4	required; and
5	WHEREAS, On October 21, 2019, Paul Guermonprez, on behalf of the 2634 Octavia
6	Street Homeowners Association ("HOA") and 1791-1795 Green Street HOA, filed with the
7	Department a discretionary review request regarding the Project; on February 6, 2020, the
8	Planning Commission ("Commission") denied the discretionary review request at a public
9	hearing, which constituted the approval action for the Project; and
10	WHEREAS, On March 6, 2020, Maureen Holt, Elizabeth Reilly, Paul Guermonprez,
11	and Jack Fowler timely filed an appeal of the September 5, 2019, categorical exemption to the
12	Board of Supervisors ("Board"); and
13	WHEREAS, On July 28, 2020, after initial delays due to the onset of the COVID-19
14	pandemic, the Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal, upheld the
15	appeal, and reversed the September 5, 2019, categorical exemption; and
16	WHEREAS, On September 22, 2020, the Board unanimously passed Motion No. M20-
17	129, finding that the Department did not document that it analyzed the Project's potential
18	impacts on the character-defining features of the Golden Gate Valley Library, a known historic
19	resource, prior to issuing the September 5, 2019, categorical exemption; and
20	WHEREAS, Motion No. M20-129 directed the Department to analyze the Project's
21	potential historic resource impacts on the character-defining features of the library –
22	specifically, to consider whether the Project's potential impacts on the lighting inside the
23	library's main reading room would significantly impact those character-defining features; and
24	WHEREAS, In Motion No. M20-129, the Board found that, except for the Project's

potential historic resource impacts on the character-defining features of the library, the

25

1	September 5, 2019, categorical exemption "conforms to the requirements of CEQA and is
2	adequate, accurate, and objective, the record does not include substantial evidence to
3	support a fair argument that the proposed project may have a significant effect on the
4	environment, and no further analysis is required;" and
5	WHEREAS, On January 27, 2021, the Department, pursuant to Title 14 of the CEQA
6	Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15300-
7	15333), issued a second categorical exemption for the Project, finding that the Project is
8	exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as a Class 1 categorical
9	exemption (alteration and addition to an existing structure) and that no further environmental
10	review was required; and
11	WHEREAS, As directed by the Board, prior to issuing the second categorical
12	exemption determination, the Department considered the Project's potential impacts on the
13	character-defining features of the library, including the Project's potential impacts on the
14	interior light level inside the library; and
15	WHEREAS, On February 4, 2021, the Commission denied the discretionary review
16	request at a public hearing (Planning Department Case No. 2018-011022DRP), which
17	constituted the approval action for the Project under Chapter 31 of the San Francisco
18	Administrative Code; and

WHEREAS, On March 5, 2021, Gloria D. Smith, on behalf of Golden Gate Valley Library Friends (collectively, "Appellant"), timely filed an appeal of the January 27, 2021, categorical exemption to the Board; and

WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated March 10, 2021, the Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely filed; and

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

24

25

WHEREAS, On April 20, 2021, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Department prepared, the other written records before the Board and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the Board as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 210275, and is incorporated in this Motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this Motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the Project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption

1	determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for an exemption determination
2	under CEQA.
3	
4	n:\land\as2020\1900434\01525582.docx
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	