We are the Drug Policy Alliance.

Contingency Management

January 2021

Contingency management (CM) is a highly effective model of substance use disorder (SUD) treatment that involves providing incentives for behavioral outcomes aligned with treatment goals. Hundreds of peerreviewed research articles spanning over thirty years have consistently demonstrated the positive impacts of CM, including reductions in drug use, increased treatment retention, compatibility with other forms of treatment, and effectiveness across diverse populations. CM should be widely implemented in SUD treatment settings across the U.S.

The Need for Evidence-Based Substance Use Disorder Treatment

In 2018, over 20 million United States residents were estimated to have a substance use disorder (SUD), but only about 3.7 million accessed treatment (less than 20 percent of those in need).¹ Only a small fraction of those who access treatment receive interventions backed by scientific research.² This helps explain why less than half of people who access treatment actually complete it, as implementing evidence-based care is known to increase treatment engagement.³

Contingency Management: A Highly Effective Substance Use Disorder Treatment

Contingency management (CM) is a behavioral intervention that uses tangible (usually monetary) rewards to reinforce positive behavior change. In the context of SUDs, CM involves providing an incentive, such as a voucher, prize drawing, or cash, for behavior aligned with treatment goals, such as attending treatment or submitting a negative urine drug test. Rewards increase with consistent demonstration of the target behavior.

CM is one of the most effective behavioral therapies available for SUD treatment.⁴ Both the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA)⁵ and National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA)⁶ recognize CM as an established evidencebased intervention, and the Department of Veterans Affairs has incorporated it into their health services throughout the United States.⁷

Contingency Management Significantly Improves Treatment Outcomes

CM implementation vastly improves a wide variety of treatment outcomes, including:

- Significant reductions in drug use while in treatment;⁸
- Improved treatment attendance and participation, key indicators of long-term success;⁹
- Reductions in risky drug use;10
- Reductions in risky sexual behavior;¹¹
- Reductions in drug cravings;¹²
- Increased medication adherence;¹³ and
- Increased physical activity.¹⁴

Contingency Management Works for a Variety of Substance Use Disorders

Research suggests that CM is effective in treating stimulant (e.g., cocaine and methamphetamine),¹⁵ opioid,¹⁶ marijuana,¹⁷ nicotine,¹⁸ and polydrug use disorders.¹⁹ It also shows promising results in the treatment of alcohol use disorders.²⁰ CM can be used to prioritize reducing use for a specific drug or class of drugs while not requiring abstinence from other drugs, helping to tailor treatment to individual needs. The Department of Veterans Affairs encourages this method for reducing stimulant use among people who access its SUD services.²¹

Contingency Management Is the Most Effective Treatment for Stimulant Use Disorders

Currently, there are no FDA-approved medications for the treatment of stimulant use disorders, which includes addiction to cocaine and methamphetamine. This makes implementing effective behavioral interventions all the more important for the 2.6 million people living with a stimulant use disorder.²² CM is the most successful treatment available for the treatment of stimulant use disorders.²³ A recent meta-analysis found that CM alone and CM in conjunction with other treatments were the only interventions that consistently produced better results for methamphetamine and cocaine use disorder treatment.²⁴ One study found CM doubled the likelihood of urine samples that tested negative for stimulant drugs like cocaine or methamphetamine compared to those who did not receive CM.²⁵ CM should be widely available for people with stimulant use needs.

Contingency Management Can Be Implemented in Any Setting

Studies have found that CM can be applied in a range of treatment settings and in conjunction with other treatment methods.²⁶ It can be built into varying intensities of substance use disorder treatment, from outpatient to residential. It works with other psychosocial interventions like cognitive-behavioral therapy, often improving the effectiveness beyond what the other intervention would have achieved alone.²⁷ CM also works as an adjunct to medications for addiction treatment (MAT), including methadone and buprenorphine.²⁸

Contingency Management Works for Diverse Populations

Research shows that CM is effective for many patient demographics including racial and ethnic minority groups, varying socio-economic groups, and clients with existing health conditions and diverse presenting problems.²⁹ It has been found to work for people who live with both a SUD and serious mental health needs.³⁰ CM also improves outcomes for people with criminal legal system involvement and/or who are unemployed or homeless.³¹ While all SUD treatment should be tailored to the unique needs of individuals, CM may be a valuable tool regardless of background.

Contingency Management Is Cost-Effective

The benefits of CM likely offset the costs of implementation. Reduced risky use and associated behaviors will result in societal benefits, and research shows that investing additional amounts in CM up front can result in great benefits down the line.³² The Washington State Institute for Public Policy recently estimated that CM is likely to cost only about \$600 per participant but produce benefits of over \$23,000 per participant, including over \$15,000 in reduced mortality, over \$4,000 in health care costs, and nearly \$4,000 in labor market earnings.³³

Despite the Evidence, Barriers Prevent Widespread Adoption of Contingency Management

CM remains the least implemented evidence-based SUD treatment.³⁴ Barriers to CM access range from philosophical to practical, including wrongly assumed incompatibility with other models (e.g., 12-Step), lack of provider knowledge and training, and concerns over implementation costs.³⁵ Notably, insurance rarely covers the costs of CM.³⁶ The expansion of technology, including web-based CM and remote drug testing tools, may help to alleviate these barriers,³⁷ but policies and investment of resources supporting CM adoption are sorely needed.

Recommendations to Increase Contingency Management Utilization

These recommendations would support implementation of this highly effective treatment intervention:

- Federal and state laws should exempt CM for SUD treatment from fraud and anti-kickback statutes, allowing for Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement.
- Public and private health insurance should adequately reimburse providers for providing CM.
- Federal and state health agencies should adopt organization-wide support and implementation procedures for CM in services they provide and contract for third parties to provide, like the Department of Veterans Affairs has done.
- Federal and state governments should remove barriers to telehealth and support use of technologies to facilitate remote CM utilization.
- Addiction and other health professional organizations should prioritize dissemination to ensure all of their members are trained and supported to provide CM.
- Research should continue to investigate the societal benefits of CM, including reductions in health care, law enforcement, and other social costs.

Contact

Kellen Russoniello, Senior Staff Attorney Drug Policy Alliance krussoniello@drugpolicy.org

Endnotes

- 1 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. *Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: Results from the 2018 National Survey on Drug Use and Health* (HHS Publication No. PEP19-5068, NSDUH Series H-54, Rockville, MD, 2019), 50-51.
- 2 National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University. *Addiction Medicine: Closing the Gap between Science and Practice* (New York, NY, 2012), 199.
- 3 U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, Office of Disability, Aging and Long-Term Care Policy. *Best Practices and Barriers to Engaging People with Substance Use Disorders in Treatment* (Washington, DC, 2019), 1, 14.
- 4 Higgins, Stephen T., Kurti, Allison N., & Davis, Danielle R., "Voucher-Based Contingency Management Is Efficacious but Underutilized in Treating Addictions." *Perspectives on Behavior Science* 42 (2019): 501-524. doi:10.1007/s40614-019-00216-z.; Petry, Nancy M. et al. "Contingency Management Treatment for Substance Use Disorders: How Far Has It Come, and Where Does It Need to Go?" *Psychology of Addictive Behavior* 31, no. 8 (2017): 897-906. doi:10.1037/ adb0000287; Kiluk, Brian D. & Carroll, Kathleen M. "New Developments in Behavioral Treatments for Substance Use Disorders." *Current Psychiatry Reports* 15, no. 12 (2013). doi:10.1007/s11920-013-0420-1.
- 5 "Prize Incentives Contingency Management for Substance Abuse." Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration National Registry of Evidence-based Programs and Practices. http://legacy.nreppadmin.net/ ViewIntervention.aspx?id=344 (retrieved Feb. 28, 2020).
- 6 National Institute on Drug Abuse. Principles of Drug Addiction Treatment: A Research-Based Guide (Third Edition). (Rockville, MD, 2018), 9.
- 7 Petry, Nancy M. et al. "Nationwide Dissemination of Contingency Management: The Veterans Administration Initiative." *American Journal on Addictions* 23, no. 3 (2014): 205-210. doi:10.1111/j.1521-0391.2014.12092.x.
- 8 Farrell, Michael et al. "Responding to Global Stimulant Use: Challenges and Opportunities." *Lancet* 394, no. 10209 (2019): 1652-1667. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32230-5; Benishek, Lois A. et al. "Prize-Based Contingency Management for the Treatment of Substance Abusers: A Meta-Analysis." *Addiction* 109, no. 9 (2014): 1426-1436. doi:10.1111/add.12589; Dutra, Lissa et al. "A Meta-Analytic Review of Psychosocial Interventions for Substance Use Disorders." *American Journal of Psychiatry* 165, no. 2 (2008): 179-187. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.06111851.
- 9 Petry, Nancy M. et al. "A Randomized Trial of Contingency Management Reinforcing Attendance at Treatment: Do Duration and Timing of Reinforcement Matter?" *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 86, no. 10 (2018): 799-809. doi:10.1037/ccp0000330.
- 10 Dougherty, Donald M. et al. "Using Contingency Management Procedures to Reduce At-Risk Drinking in Heavy Drinkers." *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* 39, no. 4 (2015): 743-751. doi:10.1111/acer.12687.
- 11 Nyamathi, Adeline et al. (2016). "Impact of Tailored Interventions to Reduce Drug Use and Sexual Risk Behaviors Among Homeless Gay and Bisexual Men." *American Journal of Men's Health* 11, no. 2 (2017): 208-220. doi:10.1177/1557988315590837.
- 12 Pirnia, Bijan et al. "The Efficacy of Contingency Management on Cocaine Craving, using Prize-based Reinforcement of Abstinence in Cocaine Users." *Electronic Physician* 8, no. 11 (2016): 3214-3221. doi:10.19082/3214.
- 13 Sorensen, James L. et al. "Voucher Reinforcement Improves Medication Adherence in HIV-Positive Methadone Patients: A Randomized Trial." *Drug & Alcohol Dependence* 88, no. 1 (2007): 54-63. doi:10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2006.09.019.
- 14 Weinstock, Jeremiah, Barry, Danielle, & Petry, Nancy M. "Exercise-Related Activities Are Associated with Positive Outcome in Contingency Management Treatment for Substance Use Disorders." Addictive Behaviors 33, no. 8 (2008): 1072–1075. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2008.03.011.
- 15 Farrell, *supra*, note 8; De Crescenzo, Franco et al. "Comparative Efficacy and Acceptability of Psychosocial Interventions for Individuals with Cocaine and Amphetamine Addiction: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis." *PLoS Medicine* 15, no. 12 (2018). doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002715; Schierenberg Alwin et al. "Efficacy of Contingency Management for Cocaine Dependence Treatment: A Review of the Evidence." *Current Drug Abuse Reviews* 5, no. 4 (2012): 320–331. doi:10.2174/1874473711205040006.
- 16 Petry, Nancy M. & Carroll, Kathleen M. "Contingency Management Is Efficacious in Opioid-Dependent Outpatients Not Maintained on Agonist Pharmacotherapy." *Psychology of Addictive Behavior* 27, no. 4 (2013): 1036-1043. doi:10.1037/ a0032175.

- 17 Gates, Peter J. et al. "Psychosocial Interventions for Cannabis Use Disorder." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews,* no. 5 (2016). doi:10.1002/14651858.
- 18 Notley, Caitlin et al. "Incentives for Smoking Cessation." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 7 (2019). doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004307.pub6.
- 19 Benishek, supra, note 8.
- 20 Dougherty, Donald M. et al. "Transdermal Alcohol Concentration Data Collected during a Contingency Management Program to Reduce At-Risk Drinking." *Drug & Alcohol Dependency* 148 (2015): 77-84. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.12.021.
- 21 DePhilippis, Dominick et al. "The National Implementation of Contingency Management (CM) in the Department of Veterans Affairs: Attendance at CM Sessions and Substance Use Outcomes." *Drug & Alcohol Dependence* 185 (2018): 367-373. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.12.020.
- 22 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, *supra*, note 1, at 40.
- 23 Farrell, *supra*, note 8.
- 24 De Crescenzo, supra note 15.
- 25 Peirce Jessica M. et al. "Effects of Lower-Cost Incentives on Stimulant Abstinence in Methadone Maintenance Treatment: A National Drug Abuse Treatment Clinical Trials Network Study." *Archives of General Psychiatry* 63, no. 2 (2006): 201– 208. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.63.2.201.
- 26 Petry, supra, note 4.
- 27 Id.
- 28 Stitzer, Maxine & Petry, Nancy. "Contingency Management for Treatment of Substance Abuse." *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 2, no. 1 (2006): 411–434. doi:10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.2.022305.095219.
- 29 Petry, supra, note 4.
- 30 McPherson, Sterling M. et al. "A Review of Contingency Management for the Treatment of Substance-Use Disorders: Adaptation for Underserved Populations, Use of Experimental Technologies, and Personalized Optimization Strategies." Substance Abuse & Rehabilitation 2018, no. 9 (2018): 43-57.doi:10.2147/SAR.S138439; Tracy, Kathlene et al. "Contingency Management to Reduce Substance Use in Individuals Who are Homeless with Co-Occurring Psychiatric Disorders." American Journal of Drug & Alcohol Abuse 33 (2007): 253-258. doi:10.1080/00952990601174931.
- 31 Petry, supra, note 4.
- 32 Kirby, Kimberly C., Benishek, Lois A., & Tabit, Mary B. "Contingency Management Works, Clients Like It, and It Is Cost-Effective." *American Journal of Drug & Alcohol Abuse* 42, no. 3 (2016): 250-253. doi:10.3109% 2F00952990.2016.1139585.
- 33 Washington State Institute for Public Policy. Contingency Management (Higher Cost) for Substance Use Disorders. (WA, 2019) 1. https://www.wsipp.wa.gov/BenefitCost/ProgramPdf/297/Contingency-management-higher-cost-for-substance-use-disorders.
- 34 Petry, *supra*, note 4.
- 35 *Id.*
- 36 *Id.;* Carroll, Kathleen M. "Lost in Translation? Moving Contingency Management and Cognitive Behavioral Therapy into Clinical Practice." *Annals of the New York Academy of Science* 1327, no. 1 (2014): 94-111. doi:10.1111/nyas.12501.
- 37 Rash, Carla J., Stitzer, Maxine, & Weinstock, Jeremiah. "Contingency Management: New Directions and Remaining Challenges for an Evidence-Based Intervention." *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 72 (2017): 10-18. doi:10.1016/j. jsat.2016.09.008.