File No. 210471

Committee Item No. _____ Board Item No. 39

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _____ Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date:

Date: May 4, 2021

Cmte Board

	\square	Motion
		Resolution
		Ordinance
		Legislative Digest
\mathbb{H}		
		Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
		Youth Commission Report
	\bowtie	Introduction Form
		Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
\Box		MÓU
		Grant Information Form
\square	\square	Grant Budget
H		•
	Ц	Subcontract Budget
		Contract/Agreement
		Form 126 – Ethics Commission
		Award Letter
Ē	Ē	Application

Public Correspondence

OTHER

_	

Prepared by:	Lisa Lew	Date:	April 30, 2021
Prepared by:		Date:	

FILE NO. 210471

MOTION NO.

1	[Adoption of Findings Related to Conditional Use Authorization - 590 Second Avenue]
2	
3	Motion adopting findings in support of the Board of Supervisors' disapproval of the
4	decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 20849, regarding the
5	Conditional Use Authorization identified as Planning Case No. 2019-015984CUA, which
6	approved the installation of a wireless telecommunications facility consisting of ten
7	panel antennae, for a proposed project at 590 Second Avenue.
8	
9	WHEREAS, On January 28, 2021, the Planning Commission adopted Motion No.
10	20849 approving a Conditional Use Authorization, identified as Planning Case No. 2019-
11	015984CUA, to install a new rooftop AT&T mobility macro wireless telecommunications facility
12	consisting of installation of 10 panel antennae and ancillary equipment as part of the AT&T
13	mobility telecommunications network for a proposed project located at 590 Second Avenue,
14	Assessor's Parcel Block No. 1544, Lot No. 026; and
15	WHEREAS, On March 1, 2021, Ann Green, on behalf of property owners affected by
16	the Conditional Use Authorization or located within 300 feet of the subject property, filed a
17	timely appeal protesting the approval by the Planning Commission; and
18	WHEREAS, On March 23, 2021, the Board of Supervisors ("Board") held a duly noticed
19	public hearing on the appeal of the approval of the Conditional Use Authorization; and
20	WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board voted by a vote
21	of 11-0 to conditionally disapprove the decision of the Planning Commission and deny the
22	issuance of the requested Conditional Use Authorization; and
23	WHEREAS, In deciding the appeal, the Board reviewed and considered the entire
24	written record before the Board, which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in
25	

1 File No. 210240, and all the public comments made in support of and in opposition to the

2 appeal; now, therefore, be it

3 MOVED, That the Board finds that:

4 (1) The written and oral information submitted by the project sponsor was not
5 persuasive or objectively verified, and the project sponsor was unable to demonstrate credibly
6 that the proposed wireless facility is necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the

7 neighborhood or the community.

8 (2) Notwithstanding representations made by the project sponsor that the project is 9 necessary to support first responder efforts, the project sponsor failed to verify these 10 representations.

(3) Written and oral information submitted into the record establish that less
intrusive alternate locations for the wireless antennae exist, which locations would still enable
the applicant to meet its service needs.

(4) The public testimony at the hearing and the documentation submitted in support
of the appeal showed that the project will not be desirable for or compatible with the
neighborhood in light of the size and unsightliness of the structures that will be built to obscure
the wireless antennae, and the shadows those structures will cast on neighboring residential
properties.

(5) There is nothing in the record to suggest that the Board's decision to disapprove
 the decision of the Planning Commission in this case would unreasonably discriminate against
 the applicant in favor of providers of functionally equivalent terms.

(6) There is nothing in the record to suggest that the Board's decision to disapprove
 the decision of the Planning commission in this case will limit or prohibit access to the
 applicant's wireless telecommunications services in the geographic area of the propose site.

25

(7) There is nothing in the record to suggest that the Board's decision to disapprove the decision of the Planning Commission in this case will prevent the applicant from filling a significant gap in the wireless telecommunications services it is providing to remote users of those services in the geographic area of the proposed site; and, be it FURTHER MOVED, That the Board finds that based on the forgoing findings and the entire record in Board File No. 210240, the proposed project will not be necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community; and, be it FURTHER MOVED, That based on the foregoing findings and the entire record in Board File No. 210240, the Board of Supervisors disapproved the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 20849, and denied the Conditional Use Authorization.

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I her	reby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):
	1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendment)
\square	2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.
	3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.
	4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor inquires"
	5. City Attorney request.
	6. Call File No. from Committee.
	7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).
	8. Substitute Legislation File No.
	9. Reactivate File No.
	10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on
Plea	se check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:
	Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission
Note:	For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative Form.
Spons	oor(s):
Clerk	a of the Board
Subje	ect:
Adop	tion of Findings Related to Conditional Use Authorization - 590 Second Avenue

The text is listed below or attached:

Motion adopting findings in support of the Board of Supervisors' disapproval of the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 20849, regarding the Conditional Use Authorization identified as Planning Case No. 2019-015984CUA, which approved the installation of a wireless telecommunications facility consisting of ten panel antennae, for a proposed project at 590 Second Avenue.

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: