File No.	210577	Committee Item No.		
		Board Item No.	40	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Board of Sup	pervisors Meeting	Date: Date:	May 25, 2021
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analys Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Lett MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	•	
OTHER			
Prepared by: Prepared by:	Jocelyn Wong	Date:	May 21, 2021

1	[Urging the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission to Pause Litigation Against the State Water Resources Control Board]
2	
3	Resolution urging the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission to pause its litigation
4	against the California State Water Resources Control Board and instead heed the
5	beneficial input of a diverse and inclusive group of stakeholders, including subject
6	matter experts in environmental protection, habitat restoration, and the diversification
7	of water supplies based on credible science.
8	
9	WHEREAS, The San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta Estuary (the
10	"Bay-Delta Estuary") is critical to the natural environment and economy of the State of
11	California, providing drinking water to two-thirds of the State's population, supplying some of
12	the State's most productive agricultural areas, and constituting one of the largest ecosystems
13	for fish and wildlife habitats and production in the United States; and
14	WHEREAS, The Tuolumne River, which flows into the Bay Delta Estuary, is the
15	primary water source for more than 2.7 million people in the Bay Area via the San Francisco
16	Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC); and
17	WHEREAS, In 2018, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a
18	Resolution urging the State Water Resources Control Board (the "State Water Board") to act
19	to adopt its proposed update to the 2006 Water Quality Control Plan to, among other things,
20	require 40% unimpaired flows from each of the Stanislaus, Tuolomne, and Merced Rivers
21	during the months of February through June "in order to maintain inflow conditions
22	sufficient to support and maintain the natural production of viable native San Joaquin River
23	watershed populations, including maintenance of flows that more closely mimic the natural
24	hydrographic conditions to which native fish species are adapted;" and

25

1	WHEREAS, In December 2018, through State Water Board Resolution No. 2018-0059,
2	the State Water Board amended the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco
3	Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary (the "Bay-Delta Plan"), and on February 25,
4	2019, the Office of Administrative Law approved the Bay-Delta Plan amendments, which are
5	now in effect; and
6	WHEREAS, The amended Bay-Delta Plan provided for additional flexibility through
7	"adaptive management" which optimizes flows to achieve environmental objectives and to
8	encourage voluntary agreements, including commitments to meet flow requirements and
9	undertake non-flow actions; and
10	WHEREAS, In the years leading up the State Water Board's action, up to 90% of flows
11	had been diverted from the San Joaquin River, causing salmon populations to plummet from
12	approximately 70,000 Chinook salmon in 1984 to just 8,000 in 2014; and
13	WHEREAS, The National Wildlife Federation classifies Chinook salmon as an
14	important keystone species of the region, a vital food source for a diversity of wildlife including
15	orcas, bears, seals and large birds of prey, and a proverbial "canary in the coalmine" relative
16	to the impact of climate change on the health of regional ecosystems; and
17	WHEREAS, Since the State Water Board, the Bay Delta Plan calling for 40% natural
18	flows, allowing 60% to go to cities and farms, has not been enforced, as San Francisco and
19	other irrigation districts have sought to undermine the State Water Board's 2018 Update to the
20	Bay-Delta Plan, and as a result the Bay-Delta Estuary has continued down a path toward
21	complete ecological collapse; and
22	WHEREAS, Despite the unanimously-adopted position of the San Francisco Board of
23	Supervisors, last week the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission unilaterally proceeded
24	with renewed litigation in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Tuolomne,

25

1	against the California State Water Resources Control Board, which threatens to further divide
2	parties and impair implementation of the 2018 Update to the Bay-Delta Plan; and
3	WHEREAS, Despite the magnitude and complexity of the issues involved, the San
4	Francisco Public Utilities Commission proceeded with litigation without holding a single public
5	hearing on the underlying issues and without notice to legislative policymakers who had
6	recently and formally weighed in on said issues; and
7	WHEREAS, The SFPUC's recent action coincides with ongoing revelations in a public
8	corruption scandal that have resulted in the resignation of and federal charges against the
9	General Manager of the SFPUC, leaving the SFPUC with interim leadership; now, therefore,
10	be it
11	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco
12	reiterates its support for the 2018 Update to the Bay-Delta Plan and calls on the San
13	Francisco Public Utilities Commission to pause its litigation against the State of California and
14	the State Water Resources Board to allow for deliberate public engagement on the underlying
15	issues and negotiation among the interested parties.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp or meeting date I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one): 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment). ✓ 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee. 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee. 4. Request for letter beginning: "Supervisor inquiries" 5. City Attorney Request. 6. Call File No. from Committee. 7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion). 8. Substitute Legislation File No. 9. Reactivate File No. 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following: Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission Ethics Commission **Building Inspection Commission** Planning Commission Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. Sponsor(s): Peskin Subject: Urging the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission to Pause Litigation Against the State Water Resources Control Board The text is listed: Resolution urging the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission to pause its litigation against the California State Water Resources Control Board and instead heed the beneficial input of a diverse and inclusive group of stakeholders, including subject matter experts in environmental protection, habitat restoration, and the diversification of water supplies based on credible science. Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: /s/ Aaron Peskin

For Clerk's Use Only