**BOARD of SUPERVISORS** 



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. (415) 554-5184 Fax No. (415) 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

May 24, 2021

Planning Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On May 18, 2021, Supervisor Mandelman introduced the following legislation:

#### File No. 210564

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to provide a density limit exception for Corner Lots in RH (Residential, House) zoning districts, to permit up to four dwelling units per lot; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted for review. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

n Major

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

c: Rich Hillis, Director

Scott Sanchez, Deputy Zoning Administrator Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator Lisa Gibson, Environmental Review Officer Devyani Jain, Deputy Environmental Review Officer Adam Varat, Acting Director of Citywide Planning AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs Dan Sider, Director of Executive Programs Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning

1	[Planning Code - Dwelling Unit Density Exception for Corner Lots in Residential Districts]
2	
3	Ordinance amending the Planning Code to provide a density limit exception for Corner
4	Lots in RH (Residential, House) zoning districts, to permit up to four dwelling units per
5	lot; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California
6	Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan,
7	and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public
8	necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.
9	NOTE: <b>Unchanged Code text and uncodified text</b> are in plain Arial font.
10	Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> . Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u> .
11	Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u> . Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.
12	Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.
13	
14	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
15	
16	Section 1. CEQA and Land Use Findings.
17	(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
18	ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
19	Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
20	Supervisors in File No and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this
21	determination.
22	(b) On, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No,
23	adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance,
24	with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The
25	

Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of
the Board of Supervisors in File No. \_\_\_\_\_\_, and is incorporated herein by reference.
(c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that these Planning Code
amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set
forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_\_, and the Board adopts such
reasons as its own. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
Supervisors in File No. \_\_\_\_\_\_ and is incorporated herein by reference.

8

9

Section 2. Background and Findings.

(a) San Francisco faces a severe crisis of housing affordability and availability,
 characterized by dramatic increases in rent and home sale prices over recent years and
 historic underproduction of new housing units across income levels, particularly in the City's
 western neighborhoods and RH (Residential, House) zoning districts.

(b) According to the Planning Department's 2020 Housing Inventory, the cost of
housing in San Francisco has increased dramatically since the Great Recession of 20082009, with the median sale price for a two-bedroom house more than tripling from 2011 to
2021, from \$493,000 to \$1,580,000. This includes a 9% increase from 2019 to 2020 alone,
even in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. The median rental price for a two-bedroom
apartment saw similar although slightly smaller increases, nearly doubling from \$2,570 to
\$4,500 per month, from 2011 to 2019, before declining in 2020 due to the pandemic.

(c) These housing cost trends come after decades of underproduction of housing in
San Francisco, with only 600 net new units on average added per year from 1960 to 1990,
compared with 37,000 per year in the Bay Area as a whole, and fewer than 1,000 units per
year in the 1990s, before increasing to an average of roughly 2,500 net new units per year

25

from 2000 to 2019, according to the Planning Department's 2019 Housing Affordability
 Strategies Report.

3 (d) The City's Chief Economist has estimated that approximately 5,000 units of new
4 market-rate housing units per year would be required to keep housing prices constant with
5 inflation generally, rather than greatly exceeding general rates of inflation.

6 (e) Housing opportunities have not kept pace with population growth at the State level
7 either, with a 2016 report by the McKinsey Institute finding that California ranks 49th out of 50
8 states in the number of housing units per person.

9 (f) San Francisco will be challenged to meet increased Regional Housing Needs 10 Allocation goals in the upcoming 2023-2031 Housing Element cycle, which are expected to be 11 at least 72,000 units over eight years, more than 2.5 times the goal of the previous cycle. At 12 the same time, relatively new State laws like Senate Bill 35 (2017) would limit San Francisco's 13 local zoning control and discretion if the City does not meet these RHNA housing production 14 goals.

(g) San Francisco's new housing production in recent years has been heavily
concentrated in the eastern and southeastern parts of the City, with 90% of all new housing
produced in just 10 eastside and central neighborhoods, according to the Housing
Affordability Strategies Report. These neighborhoods are home to many of the City's most
established communities of color and communities most vulnerable to displacement
pressures.

(h) The majority, roughly 60%, of San Francisco's developable land area is in the RH
zoning districts, with 38% zoned exclusively for single-family homes in the Residential, House,
One Family (RH-1) and Residential, House, One Family, Detached Dwellings (RH-1(D))
zoning districts, concentrated almost entirely on the City's west side. In spite of the expansive

25

geographic coverage of these zoning districts throughout the City, only 10% of the total new
 housing units in 2020 were built in these districts.

- (i) Neighborhoods zoned for RH encompass a wide variety of housing and building
  typologies, with a distinct historic pattern of taller, higher-density buildings routinely located on
  corner lots throughout residential neighborhoods in the City, which predate RH zoning
  established in the 1970s.
- (j) The City's COVID-19 Economic Recovery Task Force included a recommendation
  in its October 2020 report to support construction of small multifamily buildings in low density
  areas to support "missing middle" housing opportunities. Corner lots, in particular, offer
  specific physical characteristics that facilitate the construction of such buildings, including
  additional street frontage to accommodate required air and light exposure for dwelling units
  and means of egress for multifamily buildings.
- 13
- Section 3. Article 2 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 207and 209.1, to read as follows:
- 16
- 17 SEC. 207. DWELLING UNIT DENSITY LIMITS.
- 18
- (c) Exceptions to Dwelling Unit Density Limits. An exception to the calculations
   under this Section 207 shall be made in the following circumstances:
- 21

22

- (8) Residential Density on Corner Lots in RH Districts. For projects located on
- 23 Corner Lots in RH Districts, and that are not seeking or receiving a density bonus under the provisions
- 24 of Planning Code Sections 206.5 or 206.6, residential density limits shall be waived for up to four
- 25 <u>dwelling units, not inclusive of any Accessory Dwelling Units as permitted under this Section 207.</u>

\* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \*

1	<u>Projects utili</u>	zing the density	exception of t	his subsection	(c)(8) shall be	subject to the	<u>building</u>		
2	<u>standards ap</u>	plicable to the <b>K</b>	RH-3 zoning di	istrict as set fo	orth in Section 2	<u>209.1.</u>			
3									
4	SEC.	209.1. RH (R	ESIDENTIA	L, HOUSE) [	DISTRICTS.				
5	These Districts are intended to recognize, protect, conserve, and enhance areas								
6	characterized by dwellings in the form of houses, usually with one, two, or three units with								
7	separate entrances, and limited scale in terms of building width and height. Such areas tend								
8	to have similarity of building styles and predominantly contain large units suitable for famil								
9	occupancy, considerable open space, and limited nonresidential uses. The RH Districts are								
10	composed c	nposed of five separate classes of districts, as follows:							
11	* * *	* * * *							
12									
13		ZONI		Table 209.1	I OR RH DISTF	RICTS			
14									
15	Zoning Category	§ References	RH-1(D)	RH-1	RH-1(S)	RH-2	RH-3		
16	* * * *								
17	Residential	Uses							
18				Dura ta ana	P up to two units per lot,				
19				P up to one unit per lot.	if the second unit is 600		P up to		
20	Residential			C up to one unit per	sq. ft. or		three units		
21	Density,		One unit	3,000	less. C up to one unit per	lot. C up to one unit per	per lot. C up to one		
22	Units	<u>§§</u> <u>102,</u> 207	per lot.	square feet of lot area,	3,000 square feet	1,500	unit per 1,000		
23	(6) <u>(10)</u>			with no more than three units per lot.	of lot area, with no more than three units per lot.	square reer	square feet of lot area.		
24									
25									

1	* * * *
י <u>2</u>	
3	* Not listed below.
4	* * * *
5	(10) P for up to four dwelling units on Corner Lots pursuant to Section 207(c)(8).
6	
7	Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
3	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
)	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
)	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
1	
2	Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
3	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
1	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
5	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
6	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
,	the official title of the ordinance.
3	
)	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
)	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
	By: <u>/s/ Andrea Ruiz-Esquide</u>
2	ANDREA RUIZ-ESQUIDE Deputy City Attorney
5	n:\legana\as2021\2100295\01531808.docx

## LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Planning Code - Dwelling Unit Density Exception for Corner Lots in Residential Districts]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to provide a density limit exception for Corner Lots in RH (Residential, House) zoning districts, to permit up to four dwelling units per lot; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

#### Existing Law

The Planning Code sets forth different zoning districts throughout the City, where different uses are permitted, conditional or prohibited, and where various controls (such as height, bulk, setbacks, etc.) apply. Residential, House (RH) districts are "intended to recognize, protect, conserve and enhance areas characterized by dwellings in the form of houses, usually with one, two or three units with separate entrances, and limited scale in terms of building width and height. Such areas tend to have similarity of building styles and predominantly contain large units suitable for family occupancy, considerable open space, and limited nonresidential uses." (Section 209.1). The RH districts are composed of five separate classes of districts, depending on the number of units permitted in each:

- RH-1(D) Districts: One-Family (Detached Dwellings); RH-1 Districts: One-Family; and RH-1(S) Districts: One-Family with Minor Second Unit, which are generally characterized by single-family houses;
- RH-2 Districts: Two-Family, which generally consist of one-family and two-family houses;
- RH-3 Districts: Three-Family, in which structures with three units are common in addition to one-family and two-family houses.

#### Amendments to Current Law

This Ordinance would create a density waiver to allow up to four units in corner lots in all RH districts, for projects that are not seeking or receiving a density bonus. These four units would be permitted in addition to any Accessory Dwelling Units permitted under the Code. All building standards that are applicable to RH-3 Districts would be applicable to projects that take advantage of this density waiver.

### **Background Information**

The Ordinance contains ample findings setting forth the need to promote housing development in San Francisco. It states that the City faces a severe crisis of housing affordability and availability, characterized by dramatic increases in rent and home sale prices over recent years and historic underproduction of new housing units across income levels, particularly in the City's western neighborhoods and RH zoning districts. It further explains that adopting policies that promote construction of small multifamily buildings in low density areas to support "missing middle" housing opportunities was one of the recommendations of the City's COVID-19 Economic Recovery Task Force, and that corner lots, in particular, offer specific physical characteristics that facilitate the construction of such buildings.

n:\legana\as2021\2100295\01532806.docx

# **Introduction Form**

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

<b>X</b> 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordina	ance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).	
2. Request for next printed agenda Without	Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter a	at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor	r	inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.		_
6. Call File No.	from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached writter	n motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.		
9. Reactivate File No.		
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearan	ce before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The prop	posed legislation should be forwarded to the following	g:
Small Business Commission	Youth Commission Ethics Commission	ssion
<b>X</b> Planning Commission	Building Inspection Commission	
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution	on not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative 1	Form.
Sponsor(s):	I	
Mandelman		
Subject: [Planning Code - Dwelling Unit Density Exception :	for Corner Lots in Residential Districts]	
The text is listed:		
zoning districts, to permit up to four dwelling units California Environmental Quality Act; and making f	e a density limit exception for Corner Lots in RH (Residenti per lot; affirming the Planning Department's determination indings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight ings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under P	under the t priority
Signature of S	Sponsoring Supervisor:	

For Clerk's Use Only