

**From:** [Heidi Chiao](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 16, 2021 12:46:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Heidi Chiao  
heidichiao@yahoo.com

Oakland, California 94605

**From:** [Patricia Koren](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 16, 2021 2:48:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I worked many hours to help pass Prop I and K in the last election, and was very disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

The Board of Supervisors unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,  
Patricia Koren, District 8 Resident

Patricia Koren  
[pj.koren@gmail.com](mailto:pj.koren@gmail.com)

San Francisco, California 94103

**From:** [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!  
**Date:** Monday, June 21, 2021 11:08:55 AM

---

*Alisa Somera*

Legislative Deputy Director  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax  
[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 16, 2021 2:50 PM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <[bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS) <[junko.laxamana@sfgov.org](mailto:junko.laxamana@sfgov.org)>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <[wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org](mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org)>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** FW: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

---

**From:** Carletta Jackson-Lane <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 16, 2021 2:43 PM

**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco District Alma Jackson\_\_\_\_\_ and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called “affordable” housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

Carletta Jackson-Lane

[cjacksonalne@yahoo.com](mailto:cjacksonalne@yahoo.com)

401 Yerba Buena Avenue

San Francisco, California 94127

**From:** [Dominick Yu](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 16, 2021 5:03:56 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

Dominick Yu  
dominickyu8@gmail.com  
70 Parnell Ave  
Daly City, California 94015

**From:** [tolgakesler1@gmail.com](mailto:tolgakesler1@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 16, 2021 3:04:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco District \_\_\_\_ and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called “affordable” housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

tolgakesler1@gmail.com  
1050 N Mills Ave  
Claremont, California 91711

**From:** [Maria Hernández Pinto](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 16, 2021 2:56:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco District \_\_\_\_ and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called “affordable” housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

Maria Hernández Pinto  
mhernan@students.pitzer.edu  
2599 Huntington dr  
Claremont, California 91711

**From:** [Bisma Farzansyed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 16, 2021 2:53:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Francisco District \_\_\_\_ and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called “affordable” housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

Bisma Farzansyed  
bisma@sdaction.org  
2599 Huntington Dr  
Upland, California 91786



**From:** [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!  
**Date:** Monday, June 21, 2021 11:27:26 AM

---

*Alisa Somera*

Legislative Deputy Director  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax  
[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 18, 2021 10:14 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative\_aides@sfgov.org>; BOS-Administrative Aides <bos-administrative-aides@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS) <junko.laxamana@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** FW: Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

---

**From:** Jessica Lehman <[jessica@sdaction.org](mailto:jessica@sdaction.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 17, 2021 3:59 PM  
**To:** BOS-Legislative Aides <[bos-legislative\\_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Fund senior & disability rental subsidies!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Legislative Aides ,

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called “affordable” housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional \$3 million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional \$3 million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.

Thank you!

Jessica Lehman  
[jessica@sdaction.org](mailto:jessica@sdaction.org)  
1360 Mission Street #400  
San Francisco, California 94103

|

**From:** [Shaelyn Watson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Fund Prop I for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 15, 2021 8:34:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Shaelyn Watson and I live and work in District 1. I voted yes on Prop I to fund rent relief and social housing in San Francisco. Outside my window I can see several empty apartments and some people sleeping in their cars. These unhoused neighbors need support and housing - definitely not more policing.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Thank you,

Shaelyn Watson  
District 1 San Francisco  
Voted YES on Prop I for social housing funding and rent relief

Shaelyn Watson  
[shaelynjoy@gmail.com](mailto:shaelynjoy@gmail.com)

San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Brett Wilkins](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 9:59:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Brett Wilkins

Brett Wilkins  
brettsworld2002@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Enrique Vallejo](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 9:58:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Enrique Vallejo  
etv\_2003@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** [Gabriel Goffman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 8:53:56 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Gabriel Goffman  
gfgoffman@gmail.com

San Francisco , California 94115

**From:** [Harlo Pippenger](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 8:48:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Harlo Pippenger  
harlo.p.pippenger@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

**From:** [Maria Schulman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 8:44:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Maria Schulman  
maria.schulman@gmail.com

San Francisco , California 94110



**From:** [Brandon Harami](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 8:40:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Brandon Harami  
btravisharami@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Eleanor Cox](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 8:08:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Eleanor Cox  
eleanor\_ruth@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94122

**From:** [Jackie Barshak](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Me! Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 7:48:54 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I voted for Prop I because I thought it was going to be used for rent relief and social housing! Was I duped? I live in District 7 and support any way the money comes into the budget for these purposes.

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,  
Your constituent and voter,  
Jackie Barshak

Jackie Barshak  
[jackiebarshak@gmail.com](mailto:jackiebarshak@gmail.com)

San Francisco, California 94116

**From:** [Jason Kruta](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 5:24:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,  
Jason Kruta  
District 1 resident

Jason Kruta  
jpkruta@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Jennifer Feng](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 5:14:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Jennifer Feng  
jenniferfeng97@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94111

**From:** [Mullane Ahern](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 15, 2021 10:04:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Mullane Ahern  
mullane.ahern@gmail.com

San Francisco, Ca 94117

**From:** [Eva Arce](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 15, 2021 9:14:46 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Eva Arce  
eva.arce@att.net

San Francisco , California 94103



**From:** [Annie Koruga](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 15, 2021 8:55:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Annie Koruga  
annie.koruga@gmail.com

Fremont, California 94538

**From:** [christina Beach](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 15, 2021 3:19:26 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

christina Beach  
christina\_beach@yahoo.com

oakland, California 94612

**From:** [Eva Arce](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 15, 2021 9:14:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Eva Arce  
eva.arce@att.net

San Francisco , California 94103



**From:** [Annie Koruga](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 15, 2021 8:55:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Annie Koruga  
annie.koruga@gmail.com

Fremont, California 94538

**From:** [christina Beach](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 15, 2021 3:19:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

christina Beach  
christina\_beach@yahoo.com

oakland, California 94612

**From:** [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 15, 2021 3:16:12 PM

---

*Alisa Somera*

Legislative Deputy Director  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax  
[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 14, 2021 4:56 PM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <[bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <[wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org](mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org)>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS) <[junko.laxamana@sfgov.org](mailto:junko.laxamana@sfgov.org)>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** FW: Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

---

**From:** Laksh Bhasin <[info@sg.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@sg.actionnetwork.org)>

**Sent:** Monday, June 14, 2021 4:29 PM

**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Clerk,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Laksh and I was a coauthor of Prop K last year and a supporter of Prop I.

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Laksh Bhasin

[lakshbhasindeveloper@gmail.com](mailto:lakshbhasindeveloper@gmail.com)

299 Franklin St

Redwood City, California 94063



**From:** [Brett Wilkins](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 9:59:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Brett Wilkins

Brett Wilkins  
brettsworld2002@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Enrique Vallejo](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intent for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 9:58:37 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Enrique Vallejo  
etv\_2003@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** [Gabriel Goffman](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 8:53:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Gabriel Goffman  
gfgoffman@gmail.com

San Francisco , California 94115



**From:** [Harlo Pippenger](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 8:48:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Harlo Pippenger  
harlo.p.pippenger@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

**From:** [Maria Schulman](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 8:44:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Maria Schulman  
maria.schulman@gmail.com

San Francisco , California 94110

**From:** [Brandon Harami](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 8:40:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Brandon Harami  
btravisharami@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Eleanor Cox](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 8:08:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Eleanor Cox  
eleanor\_ruth@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94122



**From:** [Jackie Barshak](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Me! Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 7:48:54 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I voted for Prop I because I thought it was going to be used for rent relief and social housing! Was I duped? I live in District 7 and support any way the money comes into the budget for these purposes.

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,  
Your constituent and voter,  
Jackie Barshak

Jackie Barshak  
[jackiebarshak@gmail.com](mailto:jackiebarshak@gmail.com)

San Francisco, California 94116

**From:** [Jason Kruta](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 5:24:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,  
Jason Kruta  
District 1 resident

Jason Kruta  
jpkruta@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Jennifer Feng](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 5:14:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Jennifer Feng  
jenniferfeng97@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94111

**From:** [Laksh Bhasin](#)  
**To:** [Wong, Linda \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Listen to Voters: Fund Prop I's Intents for Social Housing and Rent Relief!  
**Date:** Monday, June 14, 2021 4:28:44 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms Linda Wong,

Re File #210538 - Budget and Appropriations Committee 06/16/21

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Laksh and I was a coauthor of Prop K last year and a supporter of Prop I.

I was disappointed to read that the Mayor's 2021-22 budget does not spend Prop I revenue on its voter-intended purposes of rent relief and social housing, and instead slightly increases funding to SFPD from the General Fund.

Over 1000 residents signed a petition asking Mayor Breed to appropriate Prop I revenue to the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund, and the Board of Supervisors has unanimously approved resolutions and supplemental appropriations on using Prop I funds in this manner. Prop I passed with 58% of the vote last year, and voters expected its revenue to go towards these two funds.

I would like to ask you to prioritize funding the Housing Stability Fund and Rent Resolution and Relief Fund in the add-back process, to ensure Prop I's intent is respected in the final budget. In addition to department add-backs, please also support the supplemental appropriation in File #210538 to utilize COVID Reserve funds for this purpose.

Prop I funds shouldn't be misused for other purposes, and certainly shouldn't fund the police department instead. We need a deeply affordable housing infrastructure in the long term, and – in the short term – need to keep tenants housed by paying back all rent debt accumulated during COVID. Both of these are worthy uses of COVID Reserve funds, and will ensure that Prop I's voter-approved intent remains intact.

Thank you,

Laksh Bhasin  
lakshbhasindeveloper@gmail.com  
299 Franklin St  
Redwood City, California 94063