1	Fee for Miti			w Fees to Increase Fees for Cost Recovery, Establish a New s of Approval Monitoring, and Make Other Clarifying
2	Changes.]			
3				
4	Ordinance	amending the	San	Francisco Administrative Code by amending Sections
5	31.22, 31.2	3 and 31.24 <u>3</u> 1	l <u>.23.1</u>	to increase all fees 2.61 percent which is the cost of
6	living adjus	stment, and a	n addi	itional 2.04 percent for cost recovery, further increase
7	Categorica	I Exemption (:lass	32, Negative Declaration and EIR addendum fees for cost
8	recovery, o	hange the tim	ning o	f EIR payments, add a new fee for mitigation and
9	condition o	of approval me	onitor	ing, and for the MTA and PUC for Categorical Exemption
10	Certificates	s, clarify phas	e coll	ection payments and refund policy, and establish a
11	processing	g fee for phase	ed pay	ments.
12		NOTE:	Addit	tions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman;</u> ions are strike through italics Times New Roman .
13			Boar	d amendment additions are double-underlined;
14			PBOS	ard amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.
15	Be it	ordained by th	e Peo	ple of the City and County of San Francisco:
16	Sect	ion 1. Findings	s. (a)	The Planning Department is able to recover the cost of long
17	range planr	ning through its	buildi	ng permit review, CEQA (California Environmental Quality
18	Act, Californ	nia Public Reso	ources	Code Sections 21000 et seq.) review, and land use
19	entitlement	fees.		
20	(b)	The current	iee str	ructure is set to recover a portion of long range planning cost
21	through said	d fees, but the	cost o	f long range planning, which includes historic preservation
22	survey and	designation wo	ork, in	increasing beyond the annual cost of living adjustment.
23	(c)	It is in the pu	ıblic in	terest for the private project sponsor to reimburse the City for
24	the benefit l	he or she deriv	es as	a consequence of public supported planning.
25				

1	(d)	Environmental Finding. The Planning Department has determined that the	
2	proposed fe	ee adjustments are statutorily excluded from CEQA under the CEQA Guidelin	es
3	Section 152	73(a), which exempts rates, tolls, fares and charges such as those proposed	here.
4	Said determ	ination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.	
5		and is incorporated herein by reference.	
6	Section	on 2. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amendi	ng
7	Sections 31	.22 and 31.23 and adding 31.24, to read as follows:	
8	SEC.	31.22 BASIC FEES.	
9	(a)	The Planning Department shall charge the following basic fees to applicant	s for
10	projects loca	ated outside of recently adopted Plan Areas (adopted after July 1, 2005) that	do
11	not require of	one or more of the following, which will be initiated through the adoption of an	Area
12	Plan: Code	amendments for the height or bulk district and General Plan amendments, as	,
13	specified in	Section 31.21 above:	
14	(1)	For an initial study of a project excluding use of special expertise or technic	al
15	assistance,	as described in Section 31.23 below, the initial fee shall be:	
16		Where the total estimated construction cost as defined by the San Francisc	0
17	Building Cod	de is between \$0 and \$9,999: \$ 1,003 - <u>1,070</u> ;	
18		Where said total estimated construction cost is \$10,000 or more, but less the	an
19	\$200,000: \$	\$ <u>4,163</u> 3,903_PLUS <u>1.934</u> 2.024% of the cost over \$10,000;	
20		Where said total estimated construction cost is \$200,000 or more, but less to	han
21	\$1,000,000:	\$ <u>8,084</u> 7,579 PLUS <u>1.462</u> 1.530 % of the cost over \$200,000;	
22		Where said total estimated construction cost is \$1,000,000 or more, but less	S
23	than \$10,00	0,000: \$20,561 19,275 PLUS 1.227 1.284% of the cost over \$1,000,000;	
24		Where said total estimated construction cost is \$10,000,000 or more, but le	SS
25	than \$30,00	0,000: \$138,356,129,705 PLUS 0.3780.396% of the cost over \$10,000,000;	
	Mayor Newso		Page 2

1	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$30,000,000 or more, but less
2	than \$50,000,000: \$ <u>218,998</u> 205,305 PLUS <u>0.142</u> 0.149% of the cost over \$30,000,000;
3	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$50,000,000 or more, but less
4	than \$100,000,000: \$249,293233,705 PLUS 0.0340.036% of the cost over \$50,000,000;
5	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$100,000,000 or more:
6	\$ <u>267,426</u> 250,705 PLUS <u>0.015</u> 0.016% of the cost over \$100,000,000.
7	An applicant proposing major revisions to a project application that has been inactive
8	for more than six months and is assigned shall submit a new application. An applicant
9	proposing significant revisions to a project which has not been assigned and for which an
10	application is on file with the Planning Department shall be charged time and materials to
11	cover the full costs in excess of the initial fee paid.
12	(2) For preparation of an environmental impact report excluding use of special
13	expertise or technical assistance, as described in Section 31.23 below, the initial fee shall be
14	Where the total estimated construction cost as defined in the San Francisco
15	Building Code is between \$0 to \$199,999: \$23,76322,277;
16	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$200,000 or more, but less than
17	\$1,000,000: \$23,76322,277 PLUS 0.5580.584% of the cost over \$200,000;
18	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$1,000,000 or more, but less
19	than \$10,000,000: \$28,65526,864 PLUS 0.3780.396% of the cost over \$1,000,000;
20	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$10,000,000 or more, but less
21	than \$30,000,000: \$64,94560,884 PLUS 0.1620.155% of the cost over \$10,000,000;
22	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$30,000,000 or more, but less
23	than \$50,000,000: \$98,01291,884 PLUS 0.0440.042% of the cost over \$30,000,000;
24	Where said total construction cost is \$50,000,000 or more, but less than
25	\$100,000,000: \$107,025,100,333 PLUS 0.044,0.042% of the cost over \$50,000,000;
	Mayor Newsom

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Where said total estimated construction cost is \$100,000,000 or more: \$129,747 PLUS 0.0160.015% of the cost over \$100,000,000.

An applicant proposing major revisions to a project application that has been inactive for more than six months and is assigned shall submit a new application. An applicant proposing significant revisions to a project which has not been assigned and for which an application is on file with the Planning Department shall be charged time and materials to cover the full costs in excess of the initial fee paid.

- (3) For an appeal to the Planning Commission: The fee shall be \$500.00 to the appellant; provided, however, that the fee shall be waived if the appeal is filed by a neighborhood organization that: (a) has been in existence for 24 months prior to the appeal filing date, (b) is on the Planning Department's neighborhood organization notification list, and (c) can demonstrate to the Planning Director or his/her designee that the organization is affected by the proposed project. An exemption from paying this appeal fee may be granted when the requestor's income is not enough to pay for the fee without affecting their abilities to pay for the necessities of life, provided that the person seeking the exemption demonstrates to the Planning Director or his/her designee that they are substantially affected by the proposed project.
- (4) For an appeal to the Board of Supervisors of environmental determinations, including the certification of an EIR, a negative declaration, or determination of a categorical exemption, the fee shall be \$500.00 to the appellant; provided, however, that the fee shall be waived if the appeal is filed by a neighborhood organization that: (a) has been in existence for 24 months prior to the appeal filing date, (b) is on the Planning Department's neighborhood organization notification list, and (c) can demonstrate to the Planning Director or his/her designee that the organization is affected by the proposed project. Fees shall be used to defray the cost of appeal for the Planning Department. Such fee shall be refunded to the

appellant in the event the Planning Department rescinds its determination or the Board of
Supervisors remands or rejects the environmental impact report, negative declaration, or
determination of a categorical exemption to the Planning Commission for revisions based or
issues related to the adequacy and accuracy of the environmental determination. An
exemption from paying this appeal fee may be granted when the requestor's income is not
enough to pay for the fee without affecting their ability to pay for the necessities of life,
provided that the person seeking the exemption demonstrates to the Clerk of the Board of
Supervisors or his/her designee that they are substantially affected by the proposed project.

- (5) For preparation of an addendum to an environmental impact report that has previously been certified, pursuant to Section 15164 of the State CEQA Guidelines: <u>or</u>

 <u>reevaluation of a modified project for which a negative declaration has been prepared:</u> \$22,281 9.

 <u>5388,941</u> plus time and materials as set forth in Subsection (b)(2).
- (6) For preparation of a supplement to a draft or certified final environmental impact report: One-half of the fee that would be required for a full environmental impact report on the same project, as set forth in Paragraph (2) above, plus time and materials as set forth in Subsection (b)(2).
- (7) For reevaluation of a modified project for which a negative declaration has been prepared: \$8,941 plus time and materials as set forth in Subsection (b)(2).
- (7)(a) For preparation of a Certificate of Exemption from Environmental Review determining that a project is categorically exempt, statutorily exempt, ministerial/nonphysical, an emergency, or a planning and feasibility study: \$285267 for applications that require only a stamp, \$5,5815,232 as an initial fee for applications that require an Exemption Certificate, plus time and materials as set forth in Subsection (b)(2).
- (7)(b) For preparation of a Class 32 Certificate of Exemption from Environmental Review determining that a project is categorically exempt, the initial fee shall be:

1	Where the total estimated construction cost as defined by the San Francisco Building
2	Code is between \$0 and \$9,999: \$10,264;
3	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$10,000 or more, but less than \$200,000:
4	\$10,264 PLUS 0.178% of the cost over \$10,000;
5	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$200,000 or more, but less than
6	\$1,000,000: \$10,602 PLUS 0.169% of the cost over \$200,000;
7	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$1,000,000 or more, but less than
8	\$10,000,000: \$11,954 PLUS 0.052% of the cost over \$1,000,000;
9	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$10,000,000 or more: \$16,634 PLUS
10	<u>0.378% of the cost over \$10,000,000.</u>
11	(8) For preparation of an exemption that requires review of historical resource
12	issues only, the following fees apply. For a determination of whether a property is an
13	historical resource under CEQA, the fee is $$2,3392,193$. For a determination of whether a
14	project would result in a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical
15	resource, the fee is \$3,243 3,040.
16	(9) For preparation of a letter of exemption from environmental review: \$285267,
17	plus time and materials as set forth in Subsection (b)(2).
18	(10) For review of a categorical exemption prepared by another City Agency, such as the
19	Municipal Transportation Agency or the Public Utilities Commission: \$240, plus time and materials as
20	set forth in Subsection (b)(2).
21	(11) For reactivating an application that the Environmental Review Officer has deemed
22	withdrawn due to inactivity and the passage of time, subject to the approval of the
23	Environmental Review Officer and within six months of the date the application was deemed
24	withdrawn: \$232218 plus time and materials to cover any additional staff costs.
25	

1	(12) Monitoring Conditions of Approval and Mitigation Monitoring: Upon adoption of
2	conditions of approval and/or mitigation measures which the Environmental Review Officer determine
3	require active monitoring, the fee shall be \$1,130, as an initial fee, plus time and materials as set forth
4	<u>in Section 31.22(b)2.</u>
5	(b) Payment.
6	(1) The fee specified in Subsection (a)(1) shall be paid to the Planning Department
7	at the time of the filing of the environmental evaluation application, and where an
8	environmental impact report is determined to be required, the fee specified in Subsection
9	(a)(2) shall be paid at the time the preliminary draft environmental impact report 1 (PDEIR 1)
10	the Notice of Preparation is prepared, except as specified below. However, the Director of
11	Planning or his/her designee_may authorize phased collection of the fee for a project whose
12	work is projected to span more than one fiscal year. A nonrefundable processing fee of \$52 is
13	required to set-up any installment payment plan for all application fees. The balance of phased
14	payments must be paid in full one week in advance of the first scheduled public hearing before the
15	Planning Commission in consider the project or before any Environmental Impact Report is published.
16	(2) The Planning Department shall charge the applicant for any time and material
17	costs incurred in excess of the initial fee charged if required to recover the Department's costs
18	for providing services. Provided, however, that where a different limitation on time and
19	materials is set forth elsewhere in this section, then that limitation shall prevail.
20	(3) The Controller will annually adjust the fee amounts specified in Section
21	31.22(a)(1), (2), (5), (7), (8), (9), (10), and (11), Section 31.22 (c), Section 31.23(d) and
22	Section 31.23.1(a) and (b) by the two-year average consumer price index (CPI) change for

the San Francisco/San Jose Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area (PMSA).

Any fraternal, charitable, benevolent or any other nonprofit organization, that is

exempt from taxation under the Internal Revenue laws of the United States and the Revenue

(4)

23

24

and raxation Code of the State of California as a bona fide fraternal, charitable, benevolent of
other nonprofit organization, or public entity that submits an application for the development of
residential units or dwellings all of which are affordable to low and moderate income
households, as defined by the United State Housing and Urban Development Department, for
a time period that is consistent with the policy of the Mayor's Office of Housing and the San
Francisco Redevelopment Agency may defer payment of the fees specified herein, with the
exception of the fees payable pursuant to Section 31.22(a)(3) and (4) and Section
31.22(a)(11) herein, until the time of issuance of the building permit, before the building permit
is released to the applicant; or (2) within one year of the date of completion of the
environmental review document, whichever is sooner. This exemption shall apply
notwithstanding the inclusion in the development of other nonprofit ancillary or accessory
uses.

- (5) An exemption from paying the full fees set forth in Section 31.22(a) (3) and (4) herein may be granted when the requestor's income is not enough to pay the fee without affecting his or her ability to pay for the necessities of life, provided that the person seeking the exemption demonstrates to the Director of Planning or his/her designee that he or she is substantially affected by the proposed project.
- (6) Exceptions to the payment provisions noted above may be made when the Director of Planning or his/her designee has authorized phased collection of the fee for a project whose work is projected to span more than one fiscal year. <u>A nonrefundable processing</u> fee of \$52 is required to set-up any installment payment plan for all application fees. The balance of phased payments must be paid in full one week in advance of the first scheduled public hearing before the Planning Commission to consider the project or before any Environmental Impact Report is published.

(c) Refunds. When a request for an initial evaluation or for preparation of an
environmental impact report is (1) either withdrawn by the applicant prior to publication of an
environmental document or (2) deemed canceled by the Planning Department due to inactivity
on the part of the applicant, then the applicant shall be entitled to a refund of the fees paid to
the Department less the time and materials expended minus a $$427400$ processing fee.
Refund requests must be submitted within six months of the project closure date.

- (d) Late Charges and Collection of Overdue Accounts. The Director or his/her designee shall call upon the Bureau of Delinquent Revenues or duly licensed collection agencies for assistance in collecting delinquent accounts more than 60 days in arrears, in which case any additional costs of collection may be added to the fee amount outstanding. If the Department seeks the assistance of a duly licensed collection agency, the approval procedures of Administrative Code Article 5, Section 10.39-1 et seq. will be applicable.
- (e) These amendments to fees related to the Planning Department are intended to provide revenues for the staffing and other support necessary to provide more timely processing of applications within that Department.

SEC. 31.23 OTHER FEES.

(a) Where an initial evaluation or preparation of an environmental impact report and related environmental studies require the use of special expertise or technical assistance not provided by the board, commission, department or other person who is to carry out the project, such expertise or assistance shall be paid for by such board, commission, department or other person. This payment shall be made either to the Planning Department or, if the Planning Department so requests, directly to the party that will provide such expertise or technical assistance.

24

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 1 (b) Where outside consultants are used for such purposes, and the project is to be 2 directly carried out by a person other than a board, commission or department of the City, 3 such consultants shall report their findings directly to the Planning Department.
 - (c) Where employees of the City are used for such purposes, the costs of such employees shall be paid to the board, commission or department providing such employees.
 - (d) In addition to any filing fees required by statute, the County Clerk shall collect a documentary handling fee in the amount of \$3230 for each filing made pursuant to California Fish and Game Code Section 711.4, Subdivision (d).

SEC. 31.23.1 Community Plan Fees.

- (a) The Planning Department shall charge the following Community Plan Fees for environmental applications filed in adopted Plan Areas effective after July 1, 2005:
- (1) For Class 1 and 3 Exemptions: same as basic fees outlined in Section 31.22(a)(8) and (10).
- (2) For determination of the appropriate environmental document: \$\frac{12,462}{11,683}\$ and any fee pursuant to Section 31.23.1(c) below. In addition, the applicant shall pay the following fees as appropriate:
- (i) If the determination is that the project qualifies for a Community exemption or exclusion, the applicant shall pay a fee of \$6,8096,383.
- (ii) If the determination is that the project does not qualify for a Community exemption or exclusion, the applicant shall pay fees as set forth in Section 31.23.1(b) below.
- (b) The fees for projects determined not to qualify for a Community exemption or exclusion are as follows:
- (1) For an initial study excluding use of special expertise or technical assistance, as described in Section 31.22 above, the initial fee shall be:

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	Where the total estimated construction cost as defined by the San Francisco
2	Building Code is between \$0 and \$9,999: \$1,3321,249;
3	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$10,000 or more, but less than
4	\$200,000: \$5,5365,190 PLUS 2.5192.407% of the cost over \$10,000;
5	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$200,000 or more, but less than
6	\$1,000,000: \$10,4159,764 PLUS 1.9041.819% of the cost over \$200,000;
7	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$1,000,000 or more, but less
8	than \$10,000,000: \$25,94124,319 PLUS 1.5971.526% of the cost over \$1,000,000;
9	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$10,000,000 or more, but less
10	than \$30,000,000: \$172,491,161,706 PLUS 0.492 0.470% of the cost over \$10,000,000;
11	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$30,000,000 or more, but less
12	than \$50,000,000: \$272,846255,785 PLUS 0.1850.177% of the cost over \$30,000,000;
13	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$50,000,000 or more, but less
14	than \$100,000,000: \$310,647291,223 PLUS 0.0440.042% of the cost over \$50,000,000;
15	Where said total estimated construction cost is \$100,000,000 or more:
16	\$333,148312,317 PLUS 0.0190.018% of the cost over \$100,000,000.
17	An applicant proposing major revisions to a project application that has been inactive
18	for more than six months and is assigned shall submit a new application. An applicant
19	proposing significant revisions to a project which has not been assigned and for which an
20	application is on file with the Planning Department shall be charged time and materials to
21	cover the full costs in excess of the initial fee paid.
22	(2) For preparation of an environmental impact report excluding use of special
23	expertise or technical assistance, as described in Section 31.23 above, the initial fee shall be:
24	Where the total estimated construction cost as defined in the San Francisco
25	Building Code is between \$0 to \$199,999: \$29,57327,724;

1		Where said total estimated construction cost is \$200,000 or more, but less than
2	\$1,000,000:	\$29,57327,724 PLUS 0.7260.694% of the cost over \$200,000;
3		Where said total estimated construction cost is \$1,000,000 or more, but less
4	than \$10,00	0,000: \$35,663333,433 PLUS 0.4920.470% of the cost over \$1,000,000;
5		Where said total estimated construction cost is \$10,000,000 or more, but less
6	than \$30,00	0,000: \$ <u>80,822</u> 75,769 PLUS <u>0.202</u> 0.193 % of the cost over \$10,000,000;
7		Where said total estimated construction cost is \$30,000,000 or more, but less
8	than \$50,00	0,000: \$ <u>121,999</u> 114,371 PLUS <u>0.055</u> 0.053 % of the cost over \$30,000,000;
9		Where said total construction cost is \$50,000,000 or more, but less than
10	\$100,000,00	0: \$ <u>133,306</u> 124,971 PLUS <u>0.055</u> 0.053 % of the cost over \$50,000,000;
11		Where said total estimated construction cost is \$100,000,000 or more:
12	\$ <u>161,573</u> 151	,471 PLUS <u>0.019</u> 0.018% of the cost over \$100,000,000.
13	An ap	pplicant proposing major revisions to a project application that has been inactive
14	for more tha	n six months and is assigned shall submit a new application. An applicant
15	proposing si	gnificant revisions to a project which has not been assigned and for which an
16	application is	s on file with the Planning Department shall be charged time and materials to
17	cover the ful	I costs in excess of the initial fee paid.
18	(3)	For the preparation of a focused Environmental Impact Report: one-half the fee
19	that would b	e required for a full environmental impact report, as set forth in Paragraph (b)(2)
20	above, plus	time and materials.
21	(4)	The fees above listed in Section 31.24(b) will sunset 20 years after the effective

The Planning Department shall recover the cost of preparing and defending

programmatic EIRs, including consultant and City Attorney costs, from project sponsors that

date of Plan Adoption.

(c)

22

23

24

1	file or have filed projects in recently adopted Plan Areas (after July 1, 2005) and filed projects
2	within 10 years of the Programmatic EIR certification.
3	The fee shall be a proportional share of the cost of the Programmatic EIR, which is
4	equal to the Department's average time and material costs to prepare and defend a
5	Programmatic EIR divided by the buildable envelope times the square footage of the
6	proposed project.
7	(d) Except as provided below for projects in the Transit Center District area, if at the
8	time of Community Plan adoption, a project application undergoing review required
9	amendments for height or bulk districts or General Plan amendments and now complies with
10	the Community Plan Zoning, the applicant may choose to pay either the fees specified in
11	Section 31.22 or Section 31.23.1. For projects that paid fees under Section 31.22 and opt to
12	pay fees under Section 31.23.1, the applicant shall withdraw the application filed under
13	Section 31.22 and file a new application. Applicants that file a new application and pay the
14	Section 31.23.1 fees shall be entitled to a refund under Section 31.22(c).
15	(i) Transit Center District Plan. Projects in the Transit Center District area that
16	require amendments for height or bulk district or General Plan amendments at the time of
17	project application shall pay the fees specified in Administrative Code Section 31.23.1(b) and
18	31.23.1(c). For projects that paid fees under Section 31.22, the applicant shall pay the
19	difference between Section 31.22 fees and Section 31.23.1(b) and 31.23.1(c) fees.
20	
21	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
22	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
23	
0.4	By:

Mayor Newsom BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Audrey Pearson Deputy City Attorney

24