FILE NO. 210824
Petitions and Communications received from July 8, 2021, through July 15, 2021, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on July 20, 2021.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the City Administrator, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12X, submitting a memo adding the state of Montana to the ban on City contracts and travel to states with anti-LGBT and abortion-restrictive laws. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for Fiscal Years ending June 30, 2022, and June 30, 2023. File Nos. 210643 and 210644. 95 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Municipal Executives Association, submitting a letter to the President of the Board of Supervisors regarding civil discourse and protection of our City Managers; and a response from President Shamann Walton. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Office of the Controller, submitting the FY 2022 and FY 2023 Budget and Appropriations Committee Budget Appropriation Ordinance and Salary Ordinance as of July 15, 2021. File Nos. 210643 and 210644. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From concerned citizens, regarding a proposed Resolution urging the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission to pause its litigation against the California State Water Resources Control Board. File No. 210595. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From concerned citizens, regarding a proposed Resolution approving and authorizing the Director of the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development with 2550 Irving Associates, L.P. to execute loan documents relating to financing for the acquisition of real property located at 2550 Irving Street. File No. 210763. 93 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From Public Works, pursuant to Administrative Code, Chapter 21B, submitting a letter of intent for Alternative Permitting Procedures Notification for 33 Gough Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the Police Department, submitting their Weekly Crime Trends Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting the July 2021 State Legislation Committee positions. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From Verizon Wireless, providing notice to the California Public Utilities Commission regarding new cell tower sites. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From SF Animal Care and Control and the Human Services Agency, submitting San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapters 12B and 14B, Waiver Requests. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting their Sole Source Report for Fiscal Year 2020-2021. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From the Office of the Controller, submitting the GIVE2SF Annual Update. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From the Office of the Treasurer \& Tax Collector, pursuant to California State Government Code, Section 53646, submitting the City and County of San Francisco Pooled Investment Report for June 2021. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Administrative, Public Works, and Transportation Codes for Shared Spaces. File No. 210284. 6 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From Charles Leyes, regarding City Government. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)
From John R. Jones, regarding the Commercial Rent Relief Fund. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Michael Anders, regarding cable car tracks. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)
From Brian Hertzog, regarding homelessness and crime in District 5. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Zach Karnazes, regarding the Human Rights Commission's minutes posting. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From the Market Zone Working Group, regarding the establishment of new group in the San Francisco Produce Market area. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From a concerned citizen, regarding hazard pay during the COVID-19 pandemic for Baker Places staff. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From concerned citizens, regarding an appointment to the San Francisco Sheriff's Department Oversight Board. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Great Highway. 43 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From Don Staley, regarding conditions at the Henry Hotel. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)
From Ingleside San Francisco, regarding regular daily street sweeping and parking restrictions on Ocean Avenue. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From the Sierra Club SF Bay Chapter, regarding the property located at 520 John Muir Drive. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From Louis Chan, regarding the proposed Sunset Chinese Cultural Center. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding inventory of San Francisco pools and public adjacent spaces. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From Selina Low, regarding fireworks on July 4, 2021, in Visitacion Valley. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From Bhanu Vikram, regarding the safety of COVID-19 vaccines. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Order of the Health Officer No. 19-19. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)

From the Black Employees Alliance, regarding various issues. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (33)

From Gina Tobar, regarding the Hearing for an Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization Disapproval for the property located at 5 Leland Avenue and 2400 Bayshore Boulevard. File No. 210756. Copy: Each Supervisor. (34)

From concerned citizens, regarding the San Francisco Gay Men's Chorus. 3 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (35)

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; BOS-Administrative Aides }}$ |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Wong, Jessica (BOS) }}$ |
| Subject: | FW: Updated Memo Re Ban on City Contracts and Travel to States with Anti-LGBT and Abortion-Restrictive Laws |
|  | - Montana Added to List of Covered States |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 1:36:00 PM |
| Attachments: | $\underline{12 X \text { Covered State List Updated Memo (added Montana), 7-9-21.pdf }}$ |

From: Administrator, City (ADM) [city.administrator@sfgov.org](mailto:city.administrator@sfgov.org)
Sent: Friday, July 9, 2021 1:35 PM
To: city.administrator@sfgo.org
Cc: Kurella, Sailaja (ADM) [sailaja.kurella@sfgov.org](mailto:sailaja.kurella@sfgov.org); Ellis, Kimberly (WOM)
[kimberly.n.ellis@sfgov.org](mailto:kimberly.n.ellis@sfgov.org); Farley, Clair (ADM) [clair.farley@sfgov.org](mailto:clair.farley@sfgov.org)
Subject: Updated Memo Re Ban on City Contracts and Travel to States with Anti-LGBT and AbortionRestrictive Laws - Montana Added to List of Covered States

Dear Colleagues,

Attached, please see an updated memo regarding ban on City contracts and travel to states with anti-LGBT and abortion-restrictive laws with Montana being added to list of covered states.

Sincerely,
Carmen

Carmen Chu
City Administrator

City \& County of San Francisco
London N. Breed, Mayor

Office of the City Administrator
Carmen Thu, City Administrator

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Department Heads
City Financial Officers
Contracting Officers


FROM: Carmen Cha, City Administrator
Sailaja Kurella, Acting Purchaser and Director of Office of Contract Administration

Kimberly Ellis, Director, Department on the Status of Women Clair Farley, Executive Director, Office of Transgender Initiatives

SUBJECT: Ban on City Contracts and Travel to States with Anti-LGBT and Abortion-Restrictive Laws - Montana Added to List of Covered States

DATE: July 9, 2021

On October 14, 2016, the Board of Supervisors enacted Chapter 12X of the Administrative Code (Ordinance No. 189-16, file No. 160425) ("Ordinance") which prohibits city-funded travel and City contracts involving states with certain anti-LGBT laws. Administrative Code Section 12X. 3 requires the City Administrator to create and maintain a list of states with laws meeting the definition of a "Covered State."

On August 9, 2019, the Board of Supervisors enacted an ordinance amending Chapter 12X (Ordinance No. 200-19, file No. 190658). The ordinance moved the existing provisions concerning states with anti-LGBT laws into Article I and created a new Article II, which prohibits city-funded travel and City contracts involving states with laws that prohibit abortion prior to the viability of the fetus. Administrative Code Section 12X. 13 requires the City Administrator to create and maintain the Covered State List, including states that have enacted abortion prohibitions and meet the definition of a Covered State under Article II.

## Generally:

Subject to certain exceptions, Chapter 12X prohibits the City from funding travel to states on the Covered State List under Article I or under Article II. Chapter 12X provides that the City shall not enter into any Contract with a Contractor that has its United States headquarters in a state or where any or all of the work on the Contract will be performed in a state on the Covered State list under Article I or Article II. Unless otherwise exempted, this contracting ban applies to all contracts entered into by the City. (See Administrative Code Sec. 12X.5(b) and 12X.15(b) for
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specific circumstances where the contracting prohibition is not applicable, exempted, or eligible for a waiver). However, please note that the contracting ban only applies to the prime contractor and does not extend to lower tier subcontractors, suppliers, or vendors.

## Update to the Covered State List:

The following state was added to the Covered State List based on recent legislative action meeting the definition above under Article I of Chapter 12X:

- Montana:
- SB 280 increases barriers for transgender people to amend their birth certificates.
- SB 215 allows discrimination towards LGBTQ people based on religious beliefs.
- HB 112 bars transgender interscholastic athletes from participating in sports consistent with their gender identity.
- The addition of Montana to the Covered State List was effective on April 22, 2021.

The following states were already on the Covered State List due to anti-abortion laws meeting the definition of a Covered State under Article II of Chapter 12X. These states recently passed an anti-LGBT law or laws meeting the definition of a Covered State under Article I of Chapter 12X, and the Covered State List has been updated to reflect the additional basis for including these states:

- West Virginia:
- HB 3293 bars transgender interscholastic athletes from participating in sports consistent with their gender identity.
- West Virginia was added to the Covered State List in January 2020 due to antiabortion laws.
- Arkansas:
- SB 354 bars transgender interscholastic athletes from participating in school sports consistent with their gender identity.
- HB 1570 prohibits healthcare professionals from providing or referring transgender young people to medically necessary health care and allows private insurers to refuse coverage for gender-affirming care for people of any age.
- Arkansas was added to the Covered State List in January 2020 due to antiabortion laws.
- North Dakota
- HB 1503 permits student groups at colleges, universities, and high schools to discriminate against LGBTQ students.
- North Dakota was added to the Covered State List in January 2020 due to antiabortion laws.

Memo: Ban on City Contracts \& Travel, Montana Added
July 9, 2021
Page $\mathbf{3}$ of $\mathbf{3}$
The list below indicates all the states currently on the Covered State List. States with an asterisk have anti-LGBT laws meeting the definition of a Covered State under Article I of Chapter 12X and also have anti-abortion laws meeting the definition of a Covered State under Article II of Chapter 12X. States with two asterisks have only anti-LGBT laws meeting the definition of a Covered State under Article I of Chapter 12X. States with no asterisk have only anti-abortion laws meeting the definition of a Covered State under Article II of Chapter 12X.

- Alabama (effective 6/30/2017)*
- Arkansas (effective $1 / 1 / 2020$ )*
- Florida (effective $1 / 1 / 2020$ )
- Georgia (effective $1 / 1 / 2020$ )
- Indiana (effective $1 / 1 / 2020$ )
- Iowa (effective 10/4/2019)*
- Idaho (effective 7/1/2020)**
- Kansas (effective 2/11/2017)*
- Kentucky (effective 6/30/2017)*
- Louisiana (effective $1 / 1 / 2020$ )
- Mississippi (effective $2 / 11 / 2017$ )*
- Montana (effective 4/22/2021)**
- Nebraska (effective $1 / 1 / 2020$ )
- Nevada (effective $1 / 1 / 2020$ )
- North Carolina (effective $2 / 11 / 2017$ )**
- North Dakota (effective 1/1/2020)*
- Ohio (effective $1 / 1 / 2020$ )
- Oklahoma (effective 11/1/2018)*
- Pennsylvania (effective $1 / 1 / 2020$ )
- South Carolina (effective 04/15/2019)*
- South Dakota (effective 3/14/2017)*
- Tennessee (effective $2 / 11 / 2017$ )**
- Texas (effective 9/1/2017)*
- West Virginia (effective $1 / 1 / 2020$ )*
- Wisconsin (effective $1 / 1 / 2020$ )

The Covered State List is reviewed on a semiannual basis. When a state is removed or added the Office of the City Administrator will make that information public and post the updated list on its website, available at https://sfgsa.org/chapter-12x-state-ban-list.

If you have questions or require further clarification on City-funded travel, please contact your Financial Officer or accountant representative.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}$ |
| Subject: | $\underline{\text { Wong, Linda (BOS) }}$ (14 letters regarding File Nos. $210643 \& 210644$ |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 12:23:00 PM |
| Attachments: | $\underline{14 ~ l e t t e r s ~ r e g a r d i n g ~ t h e ~ B u d g e t . p d f ~}$ |

Hello Supervisors,

Please see the attached 14 letters regarding File Nos. 210643 \& 210644.

File No. 210643 - Budget and Appropriation Ordinance appropriating all estimated receipts and all estimated expenditures for Departments of the City and County of San Francisco as of June 1, 2021, for the Fiscal Years (FYs) ending June 30, 2022, and June 30, 2023.

File No. 210644 - Annual Salary Ordinance enumerating positions in the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for the Fiscal Years (FYs) ending June 30, 2022, and June 30, 2023, continuing, creating, or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which compensations are paid from City and County funds and appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance; authorizing appointments or continuation of appointments thereto; specifying and fixing the compensations and work schedules thereof; and authorizing appointments to temporary positions and fixing compensations therefore.

Regards,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

| From: | Natasha Flambures |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | A Request for Change in Policing |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 10:49:34 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hello, my name is Natasha and I live in San Francisco.
I am writing to ask you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, an obvious violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

Instead of investing in police, let's invest in (and aggressively expand) alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite having closed an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$ for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund the SFPD, defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

## For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.

Thank you for your time.
Natasha Flambures
NatashaMuse@gmail.com
31 Page Street, 401
San Francisco, California 94102

| From: | Finn Black |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Divesting from the SFSD and SFPD |
| Date: | Wednesday, July 14, 2021 12:23:52 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
My name is Finn Black. I am a San Francisco resident, a nursing student at UCSF, and a former employee of the SF DPH.

I am writing to urge you to:

1) Support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.
2) Divert the proposed $\$ 270$ million in funds for the SFSD to public health. As a nursing student, I've seen SFSD used to intimidate and harass patients of color and homeless patients at SFGH. Police have no place in therapeutic environments. Their presence does not keep our patients or providers safe.
3) Divert the $\$ 658$ million for SFPD to evidence based interventions for addressing homelessness, substance use, and community violence.
4) Divert $\$ 35.7 \mathrm{M}$ for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021. This money would be better spent on education and youth development programs.
5) Divert the $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$ for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.

Thank you,
Finn Black MA
Finn Black
finn.black2@ucsf.edu
95 Behr Ave 204
San Francisco, California 94131

| From: | Rachel Erickson |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Constituent feedback for proposed budget: please further reduce funding for SFPD |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:23:33 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Dear Supervisors and Mayor,
My name is Rachel Erickson and I live in San Francisco District 4.
I am reaching out to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't prevent crime, they respond to crime. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART. If we want to decrease crime in San Francisco we need to invest in services that will increase the social safety net and meet people's basic needs--fully accessible and affordable childcare, healthcare, food, housing etc.

With the proposed budget, it appears the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund

- money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This
influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all. I think we can create a safer and more equitable city/Bay Area if we all focus on investing in communities, housing and children instead of responding to crime.

Refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.
I am reaching out to you because we have seen that increased policing doesn't work to decrease crime. It's important that we stop funding a system that doesn't work, I hope that the current budget proposal can be amended to further decrease funding and scale back the police/sheriffs offices. A good place to start would be cutting funding for the police academies as more officers will not be needed.

Thank you for your time.
Rachel
Rachel Erickson
rc.erick01@gmail.com
1259 35th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Brian Soucek |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | keeping budget promises |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:51:07 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Brian Soucek and I live in San Francisco.
I am writing because the proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

All too often, policing in SF and elsewhere has perpetuated cycles of violence and injustice, and we all know that it disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Thank you for your time.
Brian Soucek
Brian Soucek
brian.soucek@gmail.com
1130 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, California 94117

| From: | Lulius Oatts |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Disappointed constituent |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:52:52 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Julius Oatts and I live in supervisor Mandelman's district in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

Thank you for your time.
Julius Oatts
jtoatts@gmail.com
288 Mersey Street
San Francisco, California 94114

| From: | Erik Rivas |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | SFPD Budget |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:54:11 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Erik and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Erik Rivas
erikkant27@gmail.com
10 raymond ave
San Francisco, California 94134

| From: | Allie Curry |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Cut the SFPD academies! What changed from last summer? |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 10:06:22 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Allie and I live in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Allie Curry
curry.allie.m@gmail.com
2890 CALIFORNIA ST APT 403
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94115

| From: | Mimi Klausner |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | More police will NOT keep SF safer -- Fully fund CART |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:59:44 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
My name is Mimi Klausner and l've lived in San Francisco for 36 years.
I urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Mimi Klausner
kimklausner@comcast.net
1541 Alabama Street
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Harry Pariser |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Remove Police Academy funding from budget! |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 12:05:31 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is(415) 665-4829 (land line) and I live in San Francisco.

I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Harry Pariser
friskoan@gmail.com
1327 9th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

```
From: Casey Selden
T0: BOS-Leqislative Aides
Subject: Listen, our police budget is way to high already
Date:
Monday, July 12, 2021 4:16:11 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Casey Selden and I am a San Franciscan living in the Richmond district.
A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care. Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of

Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

Thank you for your time and attention. I can't say enough how important this is to so many of us. Please listen to the city residents who have had enough of the status quo.

Sincerely,
Casey Selden
Casey Selden
casey_selden@yahoo.com
396 27th Ave Apt 2
San Francisco, California 94121

```
From: Lose Ty
To: BOS-Leqislative Aides
Subject: LGBTQ+ for Defunding SFPD and Sheriff's Dept
Date:
Monday, July 12, 2021 5:39:13 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is JoJo and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Best,
JoJo Ty
Jose Ty
j.ty415@gmail.com

900 Naples St
San Francisco, California 94112

| From: | DWIGHT OST |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | \$ for Community, not for Cops! |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 7:32:08 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi, I'm DWIGHT (DISTRICT 8, RM)
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

LET ATTRITION RUN ITS COURSE. A COP FOR EVERY 3 PROTESTERS, 3 COPS HASSLING A HOMELESS PERSON, NOT UNCOMMON. COPS AREN'T VIOLENCE INTERRUPTERS, AS CAT BROOKS OF APT SAYS \& TO PARAPHRASE, MORE OF THEM HAVEN'T MADE US THE SAFEST NATION...
We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART. WE NEED PREVENTION, NOT RESPONSE AFTER-THE-FACT!

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to:
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. WTF, WHY IS THERE AN INCREASE IN SUPPORT FROM THE GENERAL FUND?!

ALA OAKLAND'S MAYOR, WHAT \$ GOING TO THE COMMUNITY, IS NOT TAKEN FROM the Police, it's What they were proposed.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
IF YOU ARE DEFUNDING, WHY ARE THE BUDGETS INCREASING? QUIT TALKING POLITICIAN-SPEAK; ADMIT KNEELING ALA KAEPERNICK WAS HOLLOW; STOP BEING A POA ALLY, DO THE RIGHT THING!

DWIGHT OST
lindao2728@gmail.com
27 28th St
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Vy Truong |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | STOP FUNDING SFPD |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 10:44:46 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi, my name is Vy and I work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Vy Truong
vy@lyric.org
2212 Rosedale Avenue
Oakland, California 94601

| From: | Raul Maldonado |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! - Raul Maldonado |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 10:07:22 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Raul Maldonado
rmaldonadocloud@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94132

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); |
| Subject: | $\frac{\text { Wond, Linda (BOS) }}{81 \text { letters regarding File Nos. } 210643 \& 210644}$ |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 4:26:00 PM |
| Attachments: | $\underline{81 \text { letters regarding the Budget.pdf }}$ |

Hello,

Please see the attached 81 letters regarding File Nos. 210643 \& 210644.

File No. 210643 - Budget and Appropriation Ordinance appropriating all estimated receipts and all estimated expenditures for Departments of the City and County of San Francisco as of June 1, 2021, for the Fiscal Years (FYs) ending June 30, 2022, and June 30, 2023.

File No. 210644 - Annual Salary Ordinance enumerating positions in the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for the Fiscal Years (FYs) ending June 30, 2022, and June 30, 2023, continuing, creating, or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which compensations are paid from City and County funds and appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance; authorizing appointments or continuation of appointments thereto; specifying and fixing the compensations and work schedules thereof; and authorizing appointments to temporary positions and fixing compensations therefore.

Regards,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

| From: | Vy Truong |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | STOP FUNDING SFPD |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 10:44:45 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi , my name is Vy and I work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Vy Truong
vy@lyric.org
2212 Rosedale Avenue
Oakland, California 94601

| From: | K cloudsrest |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS) |
| Cc: | cloudsrest789@gmail.com; Dion wong; Kenton Wong |
| Subject: | Vandalism in Nob Hill |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 12:00:20 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Peskin:
On June 27, 2021, my property was vandalized when a homeless person kicked in the glass pane of my door (SFPD report \#210402151). It was an unnerving experience but luckily no one in my family was injured. However, I no longer feel safe going out by myself. May I propose the following actions:

1. Hire more police officers. Increase the number of SFPD officers on patrol duty in our neighborhood. Police presence provides a sense of safety for law-abiding citizens and is an effective crime deterrent. I have asked Central Station to conduct passing calls from 12 midnight to 5:00 am for the next month, but that is only an interim solution. There are not enough police to keep us safe given the rise in mischief/vandalism so please allocate funds to get more police officers out on the streets to deter crime. ALL lives matter - Black, Brown, Yellow, Red and White.
2. Defund the Homeless Programs. No amount of money will solve the homeless issue if effective programs are not in place. Throwing $\$ 1$ billion at these programs will not solve anything. The solution is to place the homeless under conservatorship to help them manage their lives better. You cannot trust drug-addicted people to make good decisions so someone needs to step in to protect and help them back on their feet. They -like us - need to be held accountable for their actions. But they must be able to think rationally. Announcing $\$ 1$ billion for these programs is like an open invitation to all the homeless in the country to "come on over to San Francisco and get your free handout."
Thank you.
A concerned citizen,
Karen Wong
mbile (415) 992-2489

| From: | Anita O"Shea |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | For Racial Equity and Real Solutions to Mental Health Crises: Defund SFPD and Sheriff |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 9:13:46 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Anita O'Shea and I work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Sincerely,
Anita O'Shea
Operations Director, St James Infirmary
San Francisco born and raised
Anita O'Shea
anita.oshea@stjamesinfirmary.org
730 Polk Street, 4th Floor
San Francisco, California 94109

| From: | Anita O"Shea |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | For Racial Equity and Real Solutions to Mental Health Crises: Defund SFPD and Sheriff |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 9:13:48 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Anita O'Shea and I work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Sincerely,
Anita O'Shea
Operations Director, St James Infirmary
San Francisco born and raised
Anita O'Shea
anita.oshea@stjamesinfirmary.org
730 Polk Street, 4th Floor
San Francisco, California 94109

| From: | Karina Bucciarelli |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | DONT VOTE FOR THIS BUDGET - defund the SFPD NOW!! |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:43:26 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Karina Bucciarelli and I live in San Francisco.
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time. Karina Bucciarelli
Karina Bucciarelli
karinabucc@gmail.com
3387 22nd St
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Karina Bucciarelli |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | DONT VOTE FOR THIS BUDGET - defund the SFPD NOW!! |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:43:27 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Karina Bucciarelli and I live in San Francisco.
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time. Karina Bucciarelli
Karina Bucciarelli
karinabucc@gmail.com
3387 22nd St
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Erik Rivas |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | SFPD Budget |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:52:10 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Erik and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Erik Rivas
erikkant27@gmail.com
10 raymond ave
San Francisco, California 94134

| From: | Erik Rivas |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Leqislative Aides |
| Subject: | SFPD Budget |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 10:07:19 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Erik and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Erik Rivas
erikkant27@gmail.com
10 raymond ave
San Francisco, California 94134

| From: | Natalie Blackman |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | SFPD Budget |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 10:34:11 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Natalie Blackman and I live in San Francisco in your district.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time,
Natalie Blackman
Natalie Blackman
nhblackman@gmail.com
338 Kirkham St Apt 1
San Francisco , California 94122

| From: | Natalie Blackman |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | SFPD Budget |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 10:34:13 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Natalie Blackman and I live in San Francisco in your district.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time,
Natalie Blackman
Natalie Blackman
nhblackman@gmail.com
338 Kirkham St Apt 1
San Francisco , California 94122

```
From: Dean Schaffer
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Keep your promise and don"t expand SFPD
Date:
Monday, July 12, }2021\mathrm{ 10:22:18 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,

Hi,

My name is Dean Schaffer and I live in San Francisco (District 5).
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have more than 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund

- money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$ for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Best,
Dean
Dean Schaffer
dschaffer23@gmail.com
338 Kirkham St., Apt 1
San Francisco, California 94122

```
From: Dean Schaffer
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: Keep your promise and don"t expand SFPD
Date:
Monday, July 12, 2021 10:22:19 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi,

My name is Dean Schaffer and I live in San Francisco (District 5).
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have more than 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund

- money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$ for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Best,
Dean
Dean Schaffer
dschaffer23@gmail.com
338 Kirkham St., Apt 1
San Francisco, California 94122

```
From: Casey Selden
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Listen, our police budget is way to high already
Date:
Monday, July 12, 2021 4:16:10 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Casey Selden and I am a San Franciscan living in the Richmond district.
A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care. Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of

Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

Thank you for your time and attention. I can't say enough how important this is to so many of us. Please listen to the city residents who have had enough of the status quo.

Sincerely,
Casey Selden
Casey Selden
casey_selden@yahoo.com
396 27th Ave Apt 2
San Francisco, California 94121

| From: | Lose Ty |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | LGBTQ+ for Defunding SFPD and Sheriff's Dept |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 5:39:13 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is JoJo and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Best,
JoJo Ty
Jose Ty
j.ty415@gmail.com

900 Naples St
San Francisco, California 94112

| From: | DWIGHT OST |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | \$ for Community, not for Cops! |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 7:32:08 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi, I'm DWIGHT (DISTRICT 8, RM)
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

LET ATTRITION RUN ITS COURSE. A COP FOR EVERY 3 PROTESTERS, 3 COPS HASSLING A HOMELESS PERSON, NOT UNCOMMON. COPS AREN'T VIOLENCE INTERRUPTERS, AS CAT BROOKS OF APT SAYS \& TO PARAPHRASE, MORE OF THEM HAVEN'T MADE US THE SAFEST NATION...
We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART. WE NEED PREVENTION, NOT RESPONSE AFTER-THE-FACT!

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to:
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. WTF, WHY IS THERE AN INCREASE IN SUPPORT FROM THE GENERAL FUND?!

ALA OAKLAND'S MAYOR, WHAT \$ GOING TO THE COMMUNITY, IS NOT TAKEN FROM the Police, it's What they were proposed.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
IF YOU ARE DEFUNDING, WHY ARE THE BUDGETS INCREASING? QUIT TALKING POLITICIAN-SPEAK; ADMIT KNEELING ALA KAEPERNICK WAS HOLLOW; STOP BEING A POA ALLY, DO THE RIGHT THING!

DWIGHT OST
lindao2728@gmail.com
27 28th St
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Rose Leroy-Bell |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | DEFUND Police Academies NOW! |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 12:26:25 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
My name is Rose Leroy-Bell and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am emailing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.

- Rose Leroy-Bell

Rose Leroy-Bell
leroybell.lilia@gmail.com
3570 Sacramento St.
San Francisco, California 94118

| From: | Rose Leroy-Bell |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | DEFUND Police Academies NOW! |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:06:36 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
My name is Rose Leroy-Bell and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am emailing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.

- Rose Leroy-Bell

Rose Leroy-Bell
leroybell.lilia@gmail.com
3570 Sacramento St.
San Francisco, California 94118

| From: | Rose Leroy-Bell |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | DEFUND Police Academies NOW! |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:56:55 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
My name is Rose Leroy-Bell and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am emailing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.

- Rose Leroy-Bell

Rose Leroy-Bell
leroybell.lilia@gmail.com
3570 Sacramento St.
San Francisco, California 94118

| From: | Annabelle Pinnecoose |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Interesting Turn of Events |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:47:00 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is, Annabelle Pinnecoose, and I travel for work to San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Kindly,
A. Pinnecoose

Annabelle Pinnecoose
abelles9119@icloud.com
378 Anna RD
Ignacio, Colorado 81137

| From: | Annabelle Pinnecoose |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Interesting Turn of Events |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:48:01 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is, Annabelle Pinnecoose, and I travel for work to San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Kindly,
A. Pinnecoose

Annabelle Pinnecoose
abelles9119@icloud.com
378 Anna RD
Ignacio, Colorado 81137

| From: | Talia Eisenstein |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Please Invest in True Safety, not Cops |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:37:22 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Dr. Talia Eisenstein and I live in District 9 in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Dr. Talia Eisenstein, MD
Talia Eisenstein
talia.eisenstein@ucsf.edu
195 Lexington St, Apt 5
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Talia Eisenstein |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Please Invest in True Safety, not Cops |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:41:49 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Dr. Talia Eisenstein and I live in District 9 in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Dr. Talia Eisenstein, MD
Talia Eisenstein
talia.eisenstein@ucsf.edu
195 Lexington St, Apt 5
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Brett Debbold |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, $(B O S)$ |
| Subject: | Cosmetic cuts are not enough, please honor our city and it"s people by truly making change. |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:38:35 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Brett and I live in San Francisco. I have lived in the Bay my entire life (outside of four years of college) and truly love it here.

I know that form fitted messages get over looked because a police spokesperson has told me so. I also know that messages that I take weeks to write and include my own data analysis in are ignored because I've sent those many times over the last year as well with very little response.

I find it truly gross the way that my elected leaders have been lying to me and pretending we aren't part of the problem in this country. San Francisco should be a leader in the anti-carceral movement but instead I have seen you drag your feet and claim to be more progressive than your policies and decisions actually are. The people of SF have tried to make their desires clear, we've marched in the streets, called into budget meetings, emailed you, voted to have less police officers... and only the smallest of cosmetic changes have taken place.

I truly believe that we can be better here, that the bay and the city specifically can be an example to the country and the world that being safe does not mean having armed forces of the state patrolling our streets. I know that you know that the police disproportionately cite and arrest people of color because I've seen this information presented to each of you. I've yet to see a meaningful response from anyone.

I'm tired of paying for police bull shit when people are sleeping on the streets. I'm tired of seeing lines for food banks stretch for hours. I'm tired of being told that we can't feed, house, and educate our city all while we have more officers per capita than any city in the state. I'm tired of seeing the mayor brag about "San Francisco hasn't used tear gas in over 30 years. We don't use rubber bullets. We don't do this kind of thing." meanwhile when I went to a peaceful protest of police brutality last year many of the hundreds of police I saw had tear gas launchers and military grade armor.

I hope you know that you have the opportunity to make our city better, to make our country better, and to reimagine the world. You are in a position of power, and the way that our city operates is now on you. Adhering to the way they've always done things is letting the system that was set up by racists to do a specific racist thing (I almost guarantee you know this is true) continue to work as planned. Change is necessary.

That was all me, and l'm sure will be ignored. Here is more from the defundsfpd campaign who I trust have put together a lot for you to think about that you've also ignored. I have read this and I stand by everything they have said. I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community
safety.

## For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.

Thank you, and please remember that your choices in power will define your impact on the world. Choosing to keep things the same or make cosmetic changes will not help anyone. You can do better, and I urge you to do so.

Brett Debbold
bdebbold@yahoo.com
231 RIVOLI ST
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94117

| From: | Brett Debbold |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Cosmetic cuts are not enough, please honor our city and it"s people by truly making change. |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:38:57 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Brett and I live in San Francisco. I have lived in the Bay my entire life (outside of four years of college) and truly love it here.

I know that form fitted messages get over looked because a police spokesperson has told me so. I also know that messages that I take weeks to write and include my own data analysis in are ignored because I've sent those many times over the last year as well with very little response.

I find it truly gross the way that my elected leaders have been lying to me and pretending we aren't part of the problem in this country. San Francisco should be a leader in the anti-carceral movement but instead I have seen you drag your feet and claim to be more progressive than your policies and decisions actually are. The people of SF have tried to make their desires clear, we've marched in the streets, called into budget meetings, emailed you, voted to have less police officers... and only the smallest of cosmetic changes have taken place.

I truly believe that we can be better here, that the bay and the city specifically can be an example to the country and the world that being safe does not mean having armed forces of the state patrolling our streets. I know that you know that the police disproportionately cite and arrest people of color because I've seen this information presented to each of you. I've yet to see a meaningful response from anyone.

I'm tired of paying for police bull shit when people are sleeping on the streets. I'm tired of seeing lines for food banks stretch for hours. I'm tired of being told that we can't feed, house, and educate our city all while we have more officers per capita than any city in the state. I'm tired of seeing the mayor brag about "San Francisco hasn't used tear gas in over 30 years. We don't use rubber bullets. We don't do this kind of thing." meanwhile when I went to a peaceful protest of police brutality last year many of the hundreds of police I saw had tear gas launchers and military grade armor.

I hope you know that you have the opportunity to make our city better, to make our country better, and to reimagine the world. You are in a position of power, and the way that our city operates is now on you. Adhering to the way they've always done things is letting the system that was set up by racists to do a specific racist thing (I almost guarantee you know this is true) continue to work as planned. Change is necessary.

That was all me, and l'm sure will be ignored. Here is more from the defundsfpd campaign who I trust have put together a lot for you to think about that you've also ignored. I have read this and I stand by everything they have said. I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community
safety.

## For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.

Thank you, and please remember that your choices in power will define your impact on the world. Choosing to keep things the same or make cosmetic changes will not help anyone. You can do better, and I urge you to do so.

Brett Debbold
bdebbold@yahoo.com
231 RIVOLI ST
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94117

| From: | Ida Poberezovsky |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | DEFUND SFPD - CUT THE ACADEMIES - THIS BUDGET CYCLE |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:13:29 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Ida and I work in community mental health services in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Ida Poberezovsky
ida.pobe@gmail.com
816A Lexington Ave
El Cerrito, California 94530

| From: | Ida Poberezovsky |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | DEFUND SFPD - CUT THE ACADEMIES - THIS BUDGET CYCLE |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:36:36 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Ida and I work in community mental health services in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Ida Poberezovsky
ida.pobe@gmail.com
816A Lexington Ave
El Cerrito, California 94530

| From: | Ida Poberezovsky |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | DEFUND SFPD - CUT THE ACADEMIES - THIS BUDGET CYCLE |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:38:08 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Ida and I work in community mental health services in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Ida Poberezovsky
ida.pobe@gmail.com
816A Lexington Ave
El Cerrito, California 94530

| From: | Brian Soucek |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | keeping budget promises |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:52:01 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Brian Soucek and I live in San Francisco.
I am writing because the proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

All too often, policing in SF and elsewhere has perpetuated cycles of violence and injustice, and we all know that it disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$ for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Thank you for your time.
Brian Soucek
Brian Soucek
brian.soucek@gmail.com
1130 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, California 94117

| From: | Brian Soucek |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Leqislative Aides |
| Subject: | keeping budget promises |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:37:15 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Brian Soucek and I live in San Francisco.
I am writing because the proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

All too often, policing in SF and elsewhere has perpetuated cycles of violence and injustice, and we all know that it disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Thank you for your time.
Brian Soucek
Brian Soucek
brian.soucek@gmail.com
1130 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, California 94117

| From: | Harry Pariser |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Remove Police Academy funding from budget! |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 12:25:30 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is(415) 665-4829 (land line) and I live in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Harry Pariser
friskoan@gmail.com
1327 9th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Harry Pariser |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Remove Police Academy funding from budget! |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:29:29 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is(415) 665-4829 (land line) and I live in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Harry Pariser
friskoan@gmail.com
1327 9th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Quincy Wright |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Invest in Community not Cops |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:12:37 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Quincy and I live in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Sincerely
Quincy Wright
Noe Valley
Quincy Wright
quincy.w.wright@gmail.com
288 Mersey Street
San Francisco, California 94114

| From: | Quincy Wright |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Invest in Community not Cops |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:38:57 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Quincy and I live in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Sincerely
Quincy Wright
Noe Valley
Quincy Wright
quincy.w.wright@gmail.com
288 Mersey Street
San Francisco, California 94114

| From: | Quincy Wright |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Invest in Community not Cops |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:21:04 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Quincy and I live in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Sincerely
Quincy Wright
Noe Valley
Quincy Wright
quincy.w.wright@gmail.com
288 Mersey Street
San Francisco, California 94114

| From: | Leandrew Dailey |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | REFORM IS NOT ENOUGH |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:03:51 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Leandrew Dailey and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Leandrew Dailey
leandrew.dailey@gmail.com
344 Ellis St \#24
San Francisco, California 94102

| From: | Leandrew Dailey |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Leaislative Aides |
| Subject: | REFORM IS NOT ENOUGH |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 12:55:23 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Leandrew Dailey and I live and work in San Francisco.

I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Leandrew Dailey
leandrew.dailey@gmail.com
344 Ellis St \#24
San Francisco, California 94102

| From: | Leandrew Dailey |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | REFORM IS NOT ENOUGH |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:11:47 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Leandrew Dailey and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Leandrew Dailey
leandrew.dailey@gmail.com
344 Ellis St \#24
San Francisco, California 94102

| From: | Mimi Klausner |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | More police will NOT keep SF safer -- Fully fund CART |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:54:43 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
My name is Mimi Klausner and l've lived in San Francisco for 36 years.
I urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Mimi Klausner
kimklausner@comcast.net
1541 Alabama Street
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Mimi Klausner |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | More police will |
| DOT keep SF safer -- Fully fund CART |  |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 12:33:04 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
My name is Mimi Klausner and l've lived in San Francisco for 36 years.
I urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Mimi Klausner
kimklausner@comcast.net
1541 Alabama Street
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Brian Burrell |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Refund Academies- Invest in San Francisco |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 10:59:11 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Brian and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Brian
Brian Burrell
Briburrell@gmail.com
1578 Stilwell Rd
San francisco, California 94129

| From: | Brian Burrell |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Leqislative Aides |
| Subject: | Refund Academies- Invest in San Francisco |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:07:31 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Brian and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Brian
Brian Burrell
Briburrell@gmail.com
1578 Stilwell Rd
San francisco, California 94129

```
From: Brian Burrell
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Refund Academies- Invest in San Francisco
Date: Monday, July 12, 2021 12:05:13 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Brian and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Brian
Brian Burrell
Briburrell@gmail.com
1578 Stilwell Rd
San francisco, California 94129

| From: | Matt Lane |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Leqislative Aides |
| Subject: | Please read |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 10:45:38 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Matt and I live Cole Valley in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Matt Lane
lanematt!@gmail.com
1130 Stanyan St, Apt. \#2
San Francisco, California 94117-3868

| From: | Matt Lane |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Please read |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:43:55 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Matt and I live Cole Valley in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Matt Lane
lanematt!@gmail.com
1130 Stanyan St, Apt. \#2
San Francisco, California 94117-3868

| From: | Adrienne Fong |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Tuesday"s SF BOS meeting regarding budget |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:28:54 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Adrienne Fong, I live in San Francisco.
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Adrienne Fong
afong@jps.net
750 Presidio Ave. \#207
San Francisco, California 94115

| From: | Adrienne Fong |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Tuesday"s SF BOS meeting regarding budget |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:30:22 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Adrienne Fong, I live in San Francisco.
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Adrienne Fong
afong@jps.net
750 Presidio Ave. \#207
San Francisco, California 94115

| From: | Timothy Draut |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Please work towards investing in our SF communities by reducing police budget |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 10:56:26 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Timothy Draut and I live in District 7, and work in District 6 in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Timothy Draut
timd488@comcast.net
1800 Owens Street, Suite 200
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94158

```
From: Timothy Draut
To: BOS-Leqislative Aides
Subject: Please work towards investing in our SF communities by reducing police budget
Date:
Monday, July 12, 2021 11:08:23 AM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Timothy Draut and I live in District 7, and work in District 6 in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Timothy Draut
timd488@comcast.net
1800 Owens Street, Suite 200
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94158

```
From: Timothy Draut
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Please work towards investing in our SF communities by reducing police budget
Date:
Monday, July 12, 2021 11:24:54 AM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Timothy Draut and I live in District 7, and work in District 6 in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Timothy Draut
timd488@comcast.net
1800 Owens Street, Suite 200
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94158

| From: | Lennifer Dl\|OSEPH |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Defund SFPD now. |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:34:48 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hello, my name is Jennifer. I have resided in San Francisco since 1991, and am an engaged voter.

In the strongest possible terms, I urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

The police force in this country was developed to serve property owners and to reign in the the movements and freedom of all others, especially African-Americans. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets people with mental health issues; Black, Brown, and poor people; and LGBTQ+ people; and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of

Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$ for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Jennifer DIJOSEPH
jtdijoseph@yahoo.com
1383 44th Ave
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94122

| From: | Lennifer DII OSEPH |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Defund SFPD now. |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 10:43:09 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hello, my name is Jennifer. I have resided in San Francisco since 1991, and am an engaged voter.

In the strongest possible terms, I urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

The police force in this country was developed to serve property owners and to reign in the the movements and freedom of all others, especially African-Americans. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets people with mental health issues; Black, Brown, and poor people; and LGBTQ+ people; and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of

Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$ for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Jennifer DIJOSEPH
jtdijoseph@yahoo.com
1383 44th Ave
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94122

| From: | Lennifer DIIOSEPH |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Defund SFPD now. |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:14:29 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hello, my name is Jennifer. I have resided in San Francisco since 1991, and am an engaged voter.

In the strongest possible terms, I urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

The police force in this country was developed to serve property owners and to reign in the the movements and freedom of all others, especially African-Americans. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets people with mental health issues; Black, Brown, and poor people; and LGBTQ+ people; and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of

Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$ for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Jennifer DIJOSEPH
jtdijoseph@yahoo.com
1383 44th Ave
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94122

```
From: Rachel Erickson
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Constituent feedback for proposed budget: please further reduce funding for SFPD
Date:
Monday, July 12, 2021 9:53:43 AM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Dear Supervisors and Mayor,
My name is Rachel Erickson and I live in San Francisco District 4.
I am reaching out to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't prevent crime, they respond to crime. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART. If we want to decrease crime in San Francisco we need to invest in services that will increase the social safety net and meet people's basic needs--fully accessible and affordable childcare, healthcare, food, housing etc.

With the proposed budget, it appears the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This
influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all. I think we can create a safer and more equitable city/Bay Area if we all focus on investing in communities, housing and children instead of responding to crime.

Refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.
I am reaching out to you because we have seen that increased policing doesn't work to decrease crime. It's important that we stop funding a system that doesn't work, I hope that the current budget proposal can be amended to further decrease funding and scale back the police/sheriffs offices. A good place to start would be cutting funding for the police academies as more officers will not be needed.

Thank you for your time.
Rachel
Rachel Erickson
rc.erick01@gmail.com
1259 35th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Lulius Oatts |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Disappointed constituent |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 10:11:34 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Julius Oatts and I live in supervisor Mandelman's district in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

Thank you for your time.
Julius Oatts
jtoatts@gmail.com
288 Mersey Street
San Francisco, California 94114

| From: | Allie Curry |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Cut the SFPD academies! What changed from last summer? |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 10:47:40 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Allie and I live in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Allie Curry
curry.allie.m@gmail.com
2890 CALIFORNIA ST APT 403
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94115

| From: | Monique Flambures |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, $(\mathrm{BOS})$ |
| Subject: | Stop funding hate, racism and destruction of our communities |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 7:31:54 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Monique Flambures and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES. ----Its the least you can do
Thank you for your time, Monqiue Flambures
Monique Flambures
moniqueflambures@gmail.com
31 PAGE ST UNIT 401
San Francisco, California 94102

| From: | Monique Flambures |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Leqislative Aides |
| Subject: | Stop funding hate, racism and destruction of our communities |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 7:36:30 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,
Hi my name is Monique Flambures and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES. ----Its the least you can do
Thank you for your time, Monqiue Flambures
Monique Flambures
moniqueflambures@gmail.com
31 PAGE ST UNIT 401
San Francisco, California 94102

```
From: Heidi Moseson
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Remove funding for police academies and reduce SFPD and Sheriff budgets
Date:
Monday, July 12, 2021 7:18:09 AM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Heidi and I live in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Heidi Moseson
hmoseson@gmail.com
2582 Great Hwy
San Francisco, California 94116

```
From: Heidi Moseson
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: Remove funding for police academies and reduce SFPD and Sheriff budgets
Date:
Monday, July 12, 2021 7:18:28 AM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is Heidi and I live in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Heidi Moseson
hmoseson@gmail.com
2582 Great Hwy
San Francisco, California 94116

| From: | Zina Goodall |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | A Letter of Concern Re: FY21/22 budget process |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 6:51:48 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hello, my name is Zina Goodall. I live and work in San Francisco's District 8.
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

I am so tired of hearing the same platitudes about "solving the homeless problem" as the city AGAIN pledges an inordinate amount of money to the SFPD, instead of simply HOUSING PEOPLE. Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to

- \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund
- money that could and should instead be directed to housing, schools, City College,
healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
- $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$. Where is the money from the closure of 850 Bryant? As a community, we asked that this closure refund the city's most needy programs.
- \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). Why is the Board of Supervisors granting this influx of funding through 2023 despite your own
legislative mandate to close the facility by the end of 2021?
— \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
- Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
- Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time,
Zina
Zina Goodall
zinagoodall@gmail.com
4682 18th St
San Francisco, California 94114

| From: | Zina Goodall |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | A Letter of Concern Re: FY21/22 budget process |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 6:54:12 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hello, my name is Zina Goodall. I live and work in San Francisco's District 8.
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

I am so tired of hearing the same platitudes about "solving the homeless problem" as the city AGAIN pledges an inordinate amount of money to the SFPD, instead of simply HOUSING PEOPLE. Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to

- \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund
- money that could and should instead be directed to housing, schools, City College,
healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
- $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$. Where is the money from the closure of 850 Bryant? As a community, we asked that this closure refund the city's most needy programs.
- \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). Why is the Board of Supervisors granting this influx of funding through 2023 despite your own
legislative mandate to close the facility by the end of 2021?
— \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
- Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
- Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time,
Zina
Zina Goodall
zinagoodall@gmail.com
4682 18th St
San Francisco, California 94114

| From: | Priya Chatwani |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Hello from Priya |
| Date: | Sunday, July 11, 2021 8:54:43 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Legislative Aides,

Hi ,

I hope this email finds you well. My name is Priya, and I'm writing to ask that you remove police academies from the proposed budget. SF pledged to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care, and this proposal does not maintain this promise.

What my neighbors do need are affordable housing, healthcare, and education. We need to invest in organizations that keep up safe, like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

Thank you for listening!

Priya Chatwani
priyachatwani5@gmail.com
3414 18th Street
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Priya Chatwani |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Hello from Priya |
| Date: | Sunday, July 11, 2021 8:54:45 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,

Hi ,

I hope this email finds you well. My name is Priya, and I'm writing to ask that you remove police academies from the proposed budget. SF pledged to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care, and this proposal does not maintain this promise.

What my neighbors do need are affordable housing, healthcare, and education. We need to invest in organizations that keep up safe, like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

Thank you for listening!

Priya Chatwani
priyachatwani5@gmail.com
3414 18th Street
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Lydia Goff |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Defund the Police immediately please |
| Date: | Sunday, July 11, 2021 8:05:15 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is [NAME] and I live [OR WORK] in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Lydia Goff
luvkiisha@yahoo.com
891 Bellevue Avenue Unit 1
Daly City , California 94014

| From: | Lydia Goff |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Defund the Police immediately please |
| Date: | Sunday, July 11, 2021 8:05:17 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Board of Supes,
Hi my name is [NAME] and I live [OR WORK] in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Lydia Goff
luvkiisha@yahoo.com
891 Bellevue Avenue Unit 1
Daly City , California 94014

| From: | Eddy Hernandez |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Leqislative Aides |
| Subject: | DEFUND* SFPD \& REFUND COMMUNITIES |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 8:02:19 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors ,

Hi, my name is Eddy Hernandez and I am an SF resident in D7.

I urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.

## Eddy Hernandez

edward.d.hernandez@gmail.com
256 Brighton Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; BOS-Administrative Aides |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Wong, Linda (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: It's not too late to do the right thing this budget season |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 9:34:00 AM |

From: Melissa Hernandez [info@sg.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@sg.actionnetwork.org)
Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 8:03 PM
To: BOS-Legislative Aides [bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org)
Subject: It's not too late to do the right thing this budget season

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Leg Aides Board of Supervisors ,

Hello there,
My name is Melissa and I live in San Francisco— District 7. I also work in District 6.

I am emailing to urge you to make a motion to remove funding for vacancies from the sheriff budget, reduce the sheriff's overtime budget more significantly, and remove funding for CBO programs from the sheriff's budget and move it to GSA.

I am also asking you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD and the Sheriff every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from \$509 million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Melissa Hernandez
mghernandez117@gmail.com
256 Brighton Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

| From: | Shaquille Heath |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Stop Using My Tax Dollars to Beef Up Police |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 9:47:49 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors ,
Hi my name is Shaquille and I live in the city of San Francisco.
I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care. I'm not really sure how 2020 disappeared in your minds, or how this budget would invest in our community by giving even more tax dollars to police, but please correct this.

Police don't keep us safe. I know this. They never have. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare. As a Black woman, I know this deeply.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety. Show up, show out, and start doing the right THING.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Shaquille Heath
Shaquille Heath
erin@representcollaborative.com
1393 Grove St.
San Francisco, California 94117

| From: | Layla Rocha |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Reimagine our communities! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 10:07:53 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors ,
Hi my name is Layla and I frequent San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Layla Rocha
Layla Rocha
laylamarie96@yahoo.com
14789 Farnsworth St
San Leandro, California 94579

| From: | Savannah Landau |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Leqislative Aides |
| Subject: | City Safety and Budget Concerns |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 10:11:45 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Savannah, I live in District 5 and work in District 9.

I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time,
Savannah Landau
Savannah Landau
nonielandau@gmail.com
825 Lincoln Way
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Sara Allen |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Budget |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 10:31:14 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors ,
Hi my name is Sara Allen and I live in the Richmond District in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets come at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Sara Allen
sara.aubrey.allen@gmail.com
772 6th Ave., Apt. 6
San Francisco, California 94118

| From: | Laksh Bhasin |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Supporting further defunding of SFPD and Sheriff"s Dept |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 12:54:11 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is Laksh and I work in San Francisco.

I am writing to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the
expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

Thank you for your time.
Laksh Bhasin
lakshbhasindeveloper@gmail.com
299 Franklin St
Redwood City, California 94063

| From: | Austin Truong |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Reinvest CJ 4 savings into our community and get the sheriff out of clinics and hospitals! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 1:13:40 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors ,
Hi my name is AUSTIN TRUONG and I WORK in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Austin Truong
catruong19@gmail.com
1668 35th Ave
Oakland, California 94601

| From: | Shayna Fertiq |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Defund SFPD |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 1:54:59 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors ,
Hi my name is Shayna and I live in San Francisco.
I am urging you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Shayna Fertig
srfertig@gmail.com
787 Guerrero St.
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Chris Arvin |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Defund the SFPD and Sheriff"s Dept THIS BUDGET CYCLE! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 3:23:06 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors,

Hi my name is Chris and I live in District 5 in San Francisco.

I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Chris Arvin
carvin@gmail.com
315A Parnassus Ave
San Francisco, California 94117

| From: | laura byrne |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Leqislative Aides |
| Subject: | Proposed Budget |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 9:16:57 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors,
Hi my name is [NAME] and I live [OR WORK] in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
laura byrne
laurapearlbyrne@gmail.com
11372 bay laurel street
dublin, California 94568

| From: | Andrea Salinas |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Defund Police Academies |
| Date: | Saturday, July 10, 2021 12:13:31 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors ,
Hi my name is Andrea Salinas and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Andrea Salinas
aasalinas@gmail.com
66 Alvarado Street
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Nicolas Crowell |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Redirect police funding to Department of Public Health |
| Date: | Saturday, J uly 10, 2021 12:37:56 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors ,
Hi my name is Nicolas Crowell. I've lived in San Francisco since 2014. I started out on the 3rd floor above Smoke Shop \#2 on Mission St, then I moved to Cortland Ave in 2015. I moved to Crescent Ave in 2018. and I recently moved to the Miraloma neighborhood overlooking Glen Canyon.

I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART. Additionally, the bulk of this funding could be redirected to funding affordable housing through the Department of Public Health.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This
influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Nicolas Crowell
politics@crowell.me
45 Encline Ct
San Francisco, California 94127

| From: | Leilani Ishaan |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Leqislative Aides |
| Subject: | Allocate more funding for community programs |
| Date: | Saturday, July 10, 2021 8:07:57 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors ,
Hi my name is Leilani I live \& WORK in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> $\$ 658$ million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund - money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children (87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> \$5.3M for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to
concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.
Thank you for your time.
Leilani Ishaan
leilaniishaan@gmail.com
433
San Francisco , California 94121

| From: | Laura Hawkins |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | Crime Victim asking you to Defund SFPD and Sheriff's Office |
| Date: | Saturday, July 10, 2021 8:53:43 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leg Aides Board of Supervisors ,
Hi my name is Laura Hawkins and I live and work in San Francisco.
I am calling to urge you to support removing all the proposed police academies from the budget. The proposed budget aims to add new officers to the SFPD every year, a blatant violation of San Francisco's pledge last budget cycle to reduce the scope of policing and invest in community care.

Police didn't stop people from breaking into our home while our family was sleeping in April last year. Catching the people who did it (which police also did not do) might have gotten our stuff back, but it wouldn't have solved the problems that led them to steal my clean \& dry sneakers, leaving behind mismatched wet ones, along with the laptop. That isn't what police are for, but that is what our community needs.

Police don't keep us safe. Policing perpetuates cycles of violence and injustice, and disproportionately targets Black, Brown, poor, and LGBTQ+ people, and diverts money from being spent on the needs of our most vulnerable neighbors: rent relief, affordable housing, healthcare.

The Mayor and SFPD say that this increase to the SFPD budget is simply to offset a projected reduction in the number of police officers on our streets when officers retire or resign. Yet, by early 2022 when these officers will actually join the department, San Francisco will possibly have over 10 alternative response teams whose purpose is to divert calls from police.

We don't need more police: instead, invest in and aggressively expand alternatives like the Street Crisis Response Teams and CART.

With the proposed budget, the Mayor and Supervisors are committing to
-> \$658 million for SFPD. This is primarily from an increase in support from the General Fund

- money that can be directed to housing, schools, City College, healthcare, and more - from $\$ 509$ million to $\$ 549$ million: now the most money ever dedicated from the General Fund to SFPD.
-> $\$ 270$ million for the Sheriff's department. This includes increasing the Sheriff's budget by $\$ 25$ million despite closing an entire county jail with an operating cost of $\$ 24 \mathrm{~m}$, money our community is clearly not being refunded for.
-> \$35.7M for Juvenile Hall, a facility that cages children ( 87 percent of whom are Black). This influx of funding would keep it open until 2023 despite the legislative mandate by the Board of Supervisors to close the facility by the end of 2021.
-> $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$ for "Log Cabin Ranch", a detention center for children that was closed in 2018 due to concerns about the safety of the children.
-> Increasing the budgets of District Attorney, Adult Probation, and Juvenile Probation.
-> Generally expanding the violent, anti-poor, ableist, racist, and explicitly anti-Black, 'criminal' punishment system!

A budget is a statement of values. Increases to the SFPD and Sheriff's budgets comes at the expense of resources that create real public safety for all.

Defund SFPD, Defund Sheriffs, refund our communities, and reimagine the path to community safety.

For starters, CUT THE ACADEMIES.

Thank you for your time.
Laura Hawkins
Laura Hawkins
hawkinslaurav@gmail.com
2976 21st Street
San Francisco , California 94110

| From: | Christina Fong |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Walton, Shamann (BOS) |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Elsbernd, Sean (MYR); Isen, Carol (HRD); Gregg Adam; raquel@sfmea.com (contact); Lohn Stead-Mendez; Larry Mazzola J r. |
| Subject: | Municipal Executives" Association (MEA) Letter re: Request for Civil Discourse and Protection of our City Managers |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 7:51:39 PM |
| Attachments: | MEA Letter to BOS (FINAL 7.13.21).pdf |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Hello President Walton,

Hope you are well.
Please see attached letter from Raquel Silva, MEA Executive Director.
Thank you,

Christina Fong
Deputy Nirector
San Francisco Xhuricipal Executives Alssociation


870 Market Street, Suite 490 ~ San Francisco, CA 94102
christina@sfmea.com

## CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me by reply e-mail and immediately and permanently delete this message and any attachments without forwarding, printing or distributing it. Thank you in advance.

# Via Electronic Mail Only 

The Honorable Shamann Walton President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Re: Request for Civil Discourse and Protection of our City Managers

Dear President Walton:

I write on behalf of City managers to request civil discourse from members of the Board of Supervisors (BOS). San Francisco Municipal Executives' Association (MEA) represents City managers in every City department. They are hardworking professionals from diverse backgrounds, who have dedicated themselves to public service. They have spent their careers working to ensure innovative, efficient, and critical services are delivered to San Franciscans and remain devoted to ensuring the employees they manage are well trained, given the tools they need to do their jobs, and treated with respect. These managers are the critical link in implementing the BOS policy directives.

Now is the time when they most need the BOS support, as most of them have worked tirelessly on the front lines of the City's pandemic response. The Board of Supervisors believes in dignity and respect for all our citizens, and we ask the same for our City staff.

The City has a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with MEA which binds all City officers and officials, including members of the Board of Supervisors, to its terms, and which was approved by this Board:

It is agreed that the delivery of municipal services in the most efficient, effective, and courteous manner is of paramount importance to the City, the Association, and represented employees. Such achievement is recognized to be a mutual obligation of the parties to this Agreement within their respective roles and responsibilities. [Article I. Agreement]

Additionally, Paragraph 73 of the MOU protects MEA-represented employees from harassment by any City coworker or official. Paragraph 83 prohibits verbal reprimands without just cause. MEA has stated in the past, Section 2.114 of the San Francisco Charter prohibits Supervisors from
involving themselves in disciplinary or administrative actions - violation of which constitutes official misconduct.

MEA is urging you to lead a better work place culture for our City managers. BOS rules require that members of the Board of Supervisors show civility toward each other, we ask that the Board demonstrate its commitment to civility toward City staff in two important ways - maintain decorum in its meetings and assume leadership in developing a Code of Ethics for Elected Officials.

The seriousness of recent issues requires a concerted effort and to insure civility remains the standard, the BOS must lead an effort to establish a Code of Ethics for Elected Officials, as other cities have done.' The City of Sunnyvale's 2021 Code of Ethics and Conduct for Elected and Appointed Officials state:

Governance of a City relies on the cooperative efforts of elected officials, who set policy, appointed officials who advise the elected, and City staff who implements and administers the Council's policies. Therefore, every effort should be made to be cooperative and show mutual respect for the contributions made by each individual for the good of the community. Treat all staff as professionals, clear, honest communication that respects the abilities, experience, and dignity of each individual is expected. Poor behavior towards staff is not acceptable.ii 1

Implementing such a policy and offering training to elected Supervisors would be a strong start to addressing these concerns.

MEA hopes that the Board takes this opportunity to improve their relationship with the City's managers and the Association stands ready to collaborate with you towards that worthy goal.

Respectfully,

Raquel Silva
Executive Director, MEA

[^0]cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Connie Chan, District 1
Catherine Stefani, District 2
Aaron Peskin, District 3
Gordo Mar, District 4
Dean Preston, District 5
Matt Haney, District 6
Myna Melgar, District 7
Rafael Mandelman, District 8
Hillary Ronen, District 9
Ahsha Safai, District 11
London Breed, Mayor
Sean Elsbernd, Chief of Staff
Carol Isen, DHR Director
Christina Fong, MEA Deputy Director
Gregg McLean Adam, MEA Counsel
Public Employee Coalition

[^1]| From: | Walton, Shamann (BOS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Christina Fong |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Elsbernd, Sean (MYR); Isen, Carol (HRD); Gregg Adam; raquel@sfmea.com (contact); Lohn Stead-Mendez; Larry Mazzola Jr.; Gee, Natalie (BOS); Gallardo, Tracy (BOS); Burch, Percy (BOS); Evans, Abe (BOS) |
| Subject: | RE: Municipal Executives" Association (MEA) Letter re: Request for Civil Discourse and Protection of our City Managers |
| Date: | Wednesday, July 14, 2021 10:49:11 AM |

Christina,

Thank you for your email and the attached letter.

Although I am not attaching a letter, I would also ask for the same courtesy from Department Heads. I have witnessed Department Heads disrespect women supervisors in meetings and be completely dismissive in their interactions with these women supervisors and with supervisor's aides.

We pride ourselves in decorum at the Board of Supervisors and will continue to treat everyone with respect, while we fulfill the duties of our job. I appreciate the communication and thank you for reaching out.

## Supervisor Shamann Walton

President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors, District 10
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett PI, Room 282
Office: 415.554.7670

From: Christina Fong [christina@sfmea.com](mailto:christina@sfmea.com)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 7:51 PM
To: Walton, Shamann (BOS) [shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org); ChanStaff (BOS) [chanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:chanstaff@sfgov.org);
Stefani, Catherine (BOS) [catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org); Peskin, Aaron (BOS)
[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org); Mar, Gordon (BOS) [gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org); Preston, Dean (BOS) [dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org); Haney, Matt (BOS) [matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org); MelgarStaff (BOS) [melgarstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:melgarstaff@sfgov.org); MandelmanStaff, [BOS] [mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org); Ronen, Hillary [hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org); Safai, Ahsha (BOS) [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org); Breed, Mayor London (MYR) [mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org); Elsbernd, Sean (MYR) [sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org](mailto:sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org); Isen, Carol (HRD) [carol.isen@sfgov.org](mailto:carol.isen@sfgov.org); Gregg Adam [gregg@majlabor.com](mailto:gregg@majlabor.com); raquel@sfmea.com (contact) [raquel@sfmea.com](mailto:raquel@sfmea.com); John Stead-Mendez [john.stead-mendez@seiu1021.org](mailto:john.stead-mendez@seiu1021.org); Larry Mazzola Jr. [larryjr@ualocal38.org](mailto:larryjr@ualocal38.org)
Subject: Municipal Executives' Association (MEA) Letter re: Request for Civil Discourse and Protection of our City Managers

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello President Walton,
Hope you are well.

Please see attached letter from Raquel Silva, MEA Executive Director.

Thank you,

## Christina Fong

Deputy Director
San Francisco Xunicipal Executives Alssociation


870 Market Street, Suite 490 ~ San Francisco, CA 94102 christina@sfmea.com

## CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me by reply e-mail and immediately and permanently delete this message and any attachments without forwarding, printing or distributing it. Thank you in advance.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; BOS-Administrative Aides |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Ng , Wilson (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Issued: FY 2022 and FY 2023 Budget and Appropriations Committee Budget Appropriation Ordinance and Salary Ordinance as of July 15, 2021 |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 10:47:00 AM |

From: San Francisco Controller's Office Reports [controller.reports@sfgov.org](mailto:controller.reports@sfgov.org)
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2021 10:46 AM
To: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) [eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)
Subject: Issued: FY 2022 and FY 2023 Budget and Appropriations Committee Budget Appropriation Ordinance and Salary Ordinance as of July 15, 2021

## Office of the Controller Budget and Analysis

## Report Issued

The Fiscal Years 2021-2022 \& 2022-2023 Budget and Appropriations Committee Budget and Appropriation Ordinance as of July 15, 2021 was submitted to the Board of Supervisors on July 15, 2021.

## Download the Appropriation Ordinance

Additionally, the Fiscal Years 2021-2022 \& 2022-2023 Budget and Appropriations Committee Salary Ordinance as of July 15, 2021 was submitted to the Board of Supervisors on July 15, 2021.

## Download the Salary Ordinance



## Sian up to receive news and uodates

| Twitter | Linkedln |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\square$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |

This is a send-only email address.
For questions about the report, please contact Director of Budget and Analysis Michelle Allersma at michelle.allersma@sfgov.org.

For press queries, please contact Communications Manager Alyssa Sewlal at alyssa.sewlal@sfgov.org.

## Share this email:

## 2

Manage your preferences | Opt out using TrueRemove ${ }^{\circledR}$
Got this as a forward? Sign up to receive our future emails.
View this email online

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102 US

## This email was sent to eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org.

To continue receiving our emails, add us to your address book.


## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE BUDGET AND APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE

AS OF JULY 15, 2021



File No. $\qquad$
$\qquad$

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2022 and FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2023

# PROPOSED BUDGET AND APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE 

AS OF JULY 15, 2021
FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2022
and
FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2023

The Proposed Budget and Appropriation Ordinance as of July 15, 2021 and its accompanying schedules are produced by the Controller's Budget Office. Upon approval, this is the document that is the legal authority for the City to spend funds during the fiscal year.

This document contains information on the sources and uses of City funds and selected departments, detailed by department and program. Additional schedules summarize City revenues and expenditures by service area, department, and fund. Please see the table of contents for a complete list of the information contained in this document.

Copies of this document are distributed to City libraries and posted on the City Controller's website (http://www.sfcontroller.org). They may also be viewed at the following City Hall offices:

Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 288
Controller's Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

If you would like additional copies or need further information, please call the Controller's Budget Office at (415) 554-7500.
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FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO. $\qquad$

# AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS 

AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2022
and
THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2023

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

SECTION 1. The amounts of estimated receipts, income, prior-year fund balance, prior-year reserves, de-appropriations, and revenue enumerated herein are hereby appropriated to the funds and departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided.

SECTION 2. The amounts of proposed expenditures are hereby appropriated to the funds and departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made is hereby authorized to use, in the manner provided by the law, the amounts so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance.

## CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF SOURCES AND USES

Consolidated Schedule of Sources and Uses

| Sources of Funds | 2021-2022 |  |  | 2022-2023 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Fund | Self Supporting | Total | General Fund | Self Supporting | Total |
| Prior Year Fund Balance | 498,844,441 | 276,356,405 | 775,200,846 | 299,961,627 | 179,409,875 | 479,371,502 |
| Prior Year Reserves | 279,701,373 | 33,242,647 | 312,944,020 | 13,998,902 | 29,243,545 | 43,242,447 |
| Regular Revenues | 5,426,557,965 | 6,734,006,680 | 12,160,564,645 | 5,846,113,101 | 6,379,321,584 | 12,225,434,685 |
| Transfers Into the General Fund | 158,329,019 | $(158,329,019)$ | 0 | 162,941,430 | $(162,941,430)$ | 0 |
| Sources of Funds Total | 6,363,432,798 | 6,885,276,713 | 13,248,709,511 | 6,323,015,060 | 6,425,033,574 | 12,748,048,634 |


| Uses of Funds | General Fund | Self Supporting | Total | General Fund | Self Supporting | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gross Expenditures | $5,604,026,031$ | $8,101,514,742$ | $13,705,540,773$ | $5,512,048,798$ | $7,937,171,106$ | $13,449,219,904$ |
| Less Interdepartmental Recoveries | $(594,421,165)$ | $(595,785,903)$ | $(1,190,207,068)$ | $(611,222,876)$ | $(610,629,338)$ | $(1,221,852,214)$ |
| Capital Projects | $112,824,315$ | $272,005,588$ | $384,829,903$ | $36,298,976$ | $174,973,718$ | $211,272,694$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | $2,982,114$ | $60,352,149$ | $63,334,263$ | $2,657,969$ | $61,417,219$ | $64,075,188$ |
| Reserves | $61,744,763$ | $223,466,877$ | $285,211,640$ | $60,294,939$ | $185,038,123$ | $245,333,062$ |
| Transfers From the General Fund | $1,176,276,740$ | $(1,176,276,740)$ | 0 | $1,322,937,254$ | $(1,322,937,254)$ | 0 |
| Uses of Funds Total | $\mathbf{6 , 3 6 3 , 4 3 2 , 7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 8 8 5 , 2 7 6 , 7 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 4 8 , 7 0 9 , 5 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 2 3 , 0 1 5 , 0 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 4 2 5 , 0 3 3 , 5 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 7 4 8 , 0 4 8 , 6 3 4}$ |

## SOURCES OF FUNDS BY MAJOR SERVICE AREA

## AND DEPARTMENT

Sources of Funds by Service Area and Department

|  | Fiscal Year 2021-2022 |  |  | Fiscal Year 2022-2023 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | Departmental Revenues and Recoveries | Allocated General Fund Support | Total Departmental Sources | Departmental Revenues and Recoveries | Allocated General Fund Support | Total Departmental Sources |

49,424,399 N

 9,620,863


 | - |
| :---: |
| $\infty$ |
| $\infty$ |
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| $\vdots$ |
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| :---: |
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| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ | $1,780,046,483$ 1,131,558,388 0

0
0
0




 4,685,586,196 662,104,287 4,685,586,196
 M
N
N
N゙
N N
N
O.
N
N $\infty$
0
0
0
0
0
on
in
 $1,060,031,658$
$14,411,996$
 700,387,105 2,336,974,918

| Department | Departmental Revenues and Recoveries | Allocated General Fund Support | Total Departmental Sources | Departmental Revenues and Recoveries | Allocated General Fund Support | Total Departmental Sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL Community Health | 1,982,540,054 | 837,641,937 | 2,820,181,991 | 1,786,639,339 | 970,814,675 | 2,757,454,014 |
| 05: Culture \& Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAM ASIAN ART MUSEUM | 453,210 | 10,145,079 | 10,598,289 | 461,971 | 10,667,601 | 11,129,572 |
| ART ARTS COMMISSION | 17,250,314 | 33,915,076 | 51,165,390 | 18,625,464 | 10,720,101 | 29,345,565 |
| FAM FINE ARTS MUSEUM | 1,217,770 | 19,767,986 | 20,985,756 | 1,243,066 | 19,678,620 | 20,921,686 |
| LIB PUBLIC LIBRARY | 75,282,254 | 95,940,000 | 171,222,254 | 72,771,356 | 99,550,000 | 172,321,356 |
| LLB LAW LIBRARY |  | 2,033,206 | 2,033,206 |  | 2,130,773 | 2,130,773 |
| REC RECREATION \& PARK COMMSN | 150,858,876 | 92,416,506 | 243,275,382 | 143,740,074 | 85,765,612 | 229,505,686 |
| SCI ACADEMY OF SCIENCES |  | 5,572,739 | 5,572,739 |  | 5,686,913 | 5,686,913 |
| WAR WAR MEMORIAL | 19,653,058 | 9,502,809 | 29,155,867 | 18,850,686 | 9,557,306 | 28,407,992 |
| TOTAL Culture \& Recreation | 264,715,482 | 269,293,401 | 534,008,883 | 255,692,617 | 243,756,926 | 499,449,543 |
| 06: General Administration \& Finance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADM GEN SVCS AGENCY-CITY ADMIN | 476,480,774 | 78,344,955 | 554,825,729 | 463,934,874 | 86,357,323 | 550,292,197 |
| ASR ASSESSOR / RECORDER | 7,884,111 | 28,294,880 | 36,178,991 | 8,086,572 | 27,557,238 | 35,643,810 |
| BOS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS | 542,071 | 20,036,535 | 20,578,606 | 443,136 | 20,047,422 | 20,490,558 |
| CAT CITY ATTORNEY | 71,909,561 | 26,769,706 | 98,679,267 | 70,596,461 | 30,963,983 | 101,560,444 |
| CON CONTROLLER | 65,079,174 | 11,860,088 | 76,939,262 | 64,047,636 | 11,607,288 | 75,654,924 |
| CPC CITY PLANNING | 54,718,899 | 7,258,681 | 61,977,580 | 48,974,694 | 8,786,819 | 57,761,513 |
| CSC CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION | 360,839 | 1,027,473 | 1,388,312 | 360,839 | 1,057,857 | 1,418,696 |
| ETH ETHICS COMMISSION | 157,200 | 6,393,878 | 6,551,078 | 157,200 | 7,301,001 | 7,458,201 |
| HRD HUMAN RESOURCES | 90,720,609 | 27,325,787 | 118,046,396 | 94,177,991 | 20,502,357 | 114,680,348 |
| HSS HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM | 12,570,769 | 0 | 12,570,769 | 12,908,805 | 0 | 12,908,805 |
| MYR MAYOR | 56,753,727 | 210,762,085 | 267,515,812 | 41,705,834 | 122,641,735 | 164,347,569 |
| REG ELECTIONS | 4,755,472 | 25,916,525 | 30,671,997 | 519,291 | 22,395,709 | 22,915,000 |
| RET RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 41,830,857 | $(470,239)$ | 41,360,618 | 42,179,805 | $(440,874)$ | 41,738,931 |
| TIS GSA - TECHNOLOGY | 136,901,749 | 16,121,325 | 153,023,074 | 138,031,897 | 7,167,155 | 145,199,052 |
| TTX TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR | 19,034,898 | 25,927,408 | 44,962,306 | 19,018,572 | 26,631,000 | 45,649,572 |
| TOTAL General Administration \& Finance | 1,039,700,710 | 485,569,087 | 1,525,269,797 | 1,005,143,607 | 392,576,013 | 1,397,719,620 |
| 07: General City Responsibilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEN GENERAL CITY / UNALLOCATED | 5,914,214,598 | $(4,314,148,038)$ | 1,600,066,560 | 5,829,426,359 | $(4,326,411,472)$ | 1,503,014,887 |
| TOTAL General City Responsibilities | 5,914,214,598 | $(4,314,148,038)$ | 1,600,066,560 | 5,829,426,359 | $(4,326,411,472)$ | 1,503,014,887 |
| Less Citywide Transfer Adjustments | $(1,010,694,172)$ |  | $(1,010,694,172)$ | (990,344,813) |  | (990,344,813) |
| Less Interdepartmental Recoveries | $(1,190,207,068)$ |  | $(1,190,207,068)$ | $(1,221,852,214)$ |  | $(1,221,852,214)$ |

## SOURCES OF FUNDS

## Sources of Funds - FY 2021-2022

General Fund


Property Taxes, 33.2\%
GENERAL FUND Sorted by Size

| Sources of Funds | FY 2021-2022 | \% of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Taxes | $2,115,600,000$ | $33.2 \%$ |
| Business Taxes | $957,140,000$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - State | $854,372,018$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| Other Local Taxes | $777,750,000$ | $12.2 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Federal | $359,612,475$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| Charges for Services | $255,110,935$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $36,247,329$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Licenses, Permits \& Franchises | $27,944,010$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Other Revenues | $24,237,535$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | $11,728,090$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Fines and Forfeitures | $4,034,532$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Other | $2,781,041$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Regular Revenues | $\mathbf{5 , 4 2 6 , 5 5 7 , 9 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 3 \%}$ |
| Prior Year Fund Balance | $498,844,441$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8 \%}$ |
| Prior Year Designated Reserve | $279,701,373$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Transfers into General Fund | $\mathbf{1 5 8 , 3 2 9 , 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{9 3 6 , 8 7 4 , 8 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ |
| Total Sources | $\mathbf{6 , 3 6 3 , 4 3 2 , 7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Sources of Funds - FY 2022-2023 General Fund



GENERAL FUND
Sorted by Size

| Sources of Funds | FY 2022-2023 | \% of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Taxes | $2,211,700,000$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| Other Local Taxes | $1,076,092,000$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| Business Taxes | $1,065,350,000$ | $16.8 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - State | $815,451,491$ | $12.9 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Federal | $312,222,214$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Charges for Services | $256,048,067$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $38,307,329$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Licenses, Permits \& Franchises | $27,996,656$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Other Revenues | $24,256,463$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | $13,120,090$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Fines and Forfeitures | $3,087,750$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Other | $2,481,041$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Regular Revenues | $\mathbf{5 , 8 4 6 , 1 1 3 , 1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 5 \%}$ |
| Prior Year Fund Balance | $299,961,627$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Transfers into General Fund | $162,941,430$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Prior Year Designated Reserve | $13,998,902$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 \%}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{4 7 6 , 9 0 1 , 9 5 9}$ |
| Total Sources | $\mathbf{7 , 5}$ |  |
|  |  |  |

[^2]
## Sources of Funds - FY 2021-2022 All Funds



Prior Year Fund Balance, 5.9\%

ALL FUNDS

| Sources of Funds | FY 2021-2022 | \% of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Charges for Services | $3,819,316,382$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| Property Taxes | $2,714,089,572$ | $20.5 \%$ |
| Business Taxes | $1,513,810,000$ | $11.4 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - State | $1,159,119,671$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Federal | $916,095,165$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| Other Local Taxes | $796,331,031$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | $455,403,146$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Other Revenues | $303,244,025$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Fines and Forfeitures | $147,026,119$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Other | $134,201,514$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $75,436,731$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Other Financing Sources | $69,900,683$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Licenses, Permits \& Franchises | $56,590,606$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Regular Revenues | $\mathbf{1 2 , 1 6 0 , 5 6 4 , 6 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 8 \%}$ |
| Prior Year Fund Balance | $\mathbf{7 7 5 , 2 0 0 , 8 4 6}$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Prior Year Designated Reserve | $\mathbf{3 1 2 , 9 4 4 , 0 2 0}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 8 , 1 4 4 , 8 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 2 \%}$ |
| Total Sources | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 4 8 , 7 0 9 , 5 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Sources of Funds - FY 2022-2023

 All FundsPrior Year Designated Reserve, 0.3\%


Prior Year Fund Balance, 3.8\%

## ALL FUNDS

| Sources of Funds | FY 2022-2023 | $\%$ of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Charges for Services | $3,825,753,382$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| Property Taxes | $2,764,839,451$ | $21.7 \%$ |
| Business Taxes | $1,616,655,693$ | $12.7 \%$ |
| Other Local Taxes | $1,121,252,865$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - State | $1,096,101,089$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Federal | $613,126,288$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | $525,792,115$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Other Revenues | $248,782,147$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Fines and Forfeitures | $144,600,396$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Other | $131,888,621$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $80,133,830$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Licenses, Permits \& Franchises | $56,508,808$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Other Financing Sources | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Regular Revenues | $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 2 5 , 4 3 4 , 6 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 9 \%}$ |
| Prior Year Fund Balance | $\mathbf{4 7 9 , 3 7 1 , 5 0 2}$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Prior Year Designated Reserve | $\mathbf{4 3 , 2 4 2 , 4 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 \%}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{5 2 2 , 6 1 3 , 9 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 \%}$ |
| Total Sources | $\mathbf{1 2 , 7 4 8 , 0 4 8 , 6 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

[^3]
## USES OF FUNDS

## Uses of Funds - FY 2021-2022 <br> General Fund



Non-Personnel Operating Costs, 14.1\%

|  | Gross |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Types of Use | FY 2021-2022 | \% of Total |
| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | $1,953,347,227$ | $30.7 \%$ |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | $854,126,255$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{2 , 8 0 7 , 4 7 3 , 4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 1 \%}$ |
| Non-Personnel Operating Costs | $913,275,869$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| Debt Service | $27,443,587$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Capital \& Equipment | $344,559,016$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Aid Assistance | $468,334,921$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| Grants | $630,403,063$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| Reserves | $55,615,763$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Fund Balance | $6,129,000$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | $2,982,114$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Transfer from General Fund | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 6 , 2 7 6 , 7 4 0}$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| Services of Other Depts, Recoveries \& Ov | $\mathbf{6 9 , 0 6 0 , 7 5 7 )}$ | $-1.1 \%$ |
| Non - Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{3 , 5 5 5 , 9 5 9 , 3 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 9 \%}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{6 , 3 6 3 , 4 3 2 , \mathbf { 7 9 8 }}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |


| Net Recoveries |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| FY 2021-2022 | \% of Total |
| $1,917,091,187$ | $30.1 \%$ |
| $838,272,834$ | $13.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 , 7 5 5 , 3 6 4 , 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 3} \%$ |
| $896,324,573$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| $27,443,587$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| $344,559,016$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| $468,334,921$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| $630,403,063$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| $55,615,763$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| $6,129,000$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $2,982,114$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 6 , 2 7 6 , 7 4 0}$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 , 6 0 8 , 0 6 8 , 7 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 7} \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 , 3 6 3 , 4 3 2 , 7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

## Uses of Funds - FY 2022-2023

General Fund


Non-Personnel Operating Costs, 13.9\%
Fund Balance, 0.1\%
Personnel - Salaries \& Wages, 31.3\%

|  | Gross |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Types of Use | FY 2022-2023 | \% of Total |
| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | $2,016,876,568$ | $31.9 \%$ |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | $869,832,683$ | $13.8 \%$ |
| Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{2 , 8 8 6 , 7 0 9 , 2 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 7 \%}$ |
| Non-Personnel Operating Costs | $42,592,578$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| Debt Service | $188,779,520$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Capital \& Equipment | $457,782,014$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Aid Assistance | $536,541,454$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| Grants | $54,450,939$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Reserves | $5,844,000$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Fund Balance | $2,657,969$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | $\mathbf{1 , 3 2 2 , 9 3 7 , 2 5 4}$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Transfer from General Fund | $\mathbf{6 8 , 1 8 9 , 5 5 8})$ | $-1.1 \%$ |
| Services of Other Depts, Recoveries \& Ov | $\mathbf{3 , 4 3 6 , 3 0 5 , 8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 3 \%}$ |
| Non - Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{6 , 3 2 3 , 0 1 5 , 0 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |


| Net Recoveries |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| FY 2022-2023 | \% of Total |
| $1,980,486,269$ | $31.3 \%$ |
| $854,138,380$ | $13.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 , 8 3 4 , 6 2 4 , 6 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 8 \%}$ |
| $876,487,621$ | $13.9 \%$ |
| $42,909,520$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| $188,779,639$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $457,782,014$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| $536,541,454$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| $54,450,939$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| $5,844,000$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $2,657,969$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $1,322,937,254$ | $20.9 \%$ |
| 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 , 4 8 8 , 3 9 0 , 4 1 0}$ | $55.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 , 3 2 3}, 015,060$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

[^4]
## Uses of Funds - FY 2021-2022 <br> All Funds



Grants, 9.3\%

|  | Gross |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Types of Use | FY 2021-2022 |  |
| \% of Total |  |  |
| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | $4,166,700,020$ | $31.4 \%$ |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | $\mathbf{1 , 8 5 8 , 2 9 1 , 9 5 1}$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{6 , 0 2 4 , 9 9 1 , 9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 5 \%}$ |
| Non-Personnel Operating Costs | $1,831,576,319$ | $21.4 \%$ |
| Debt Service | $1,428,023,608$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| Grants | $1,231,542,458$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| Capital \& Equipment | $1,082,185,470$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| Aid Assistance | $538,941,970$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Reserves | $260,752,208$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | $63,334,263$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Fund Balance | $24,459,432$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Services of Other Depts, Recoveries \& Ov | $(237,098,188)$ | $-1.8 \%$ |
| Non - Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{7 , 2 2 3 , 7 1 7 , 5 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5 \%}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 4 8 , 7 0 9 , 5 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Average Per Employee (FTE)

| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | 129,430 | $69.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | 57,724 | $30.8 \%$ |
| Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Uses of Funds - FY 2022-2023 <br> All Funds



| Types of Use | Gross |  | Net Recoveries |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2022-2023 | \% of Total | FY 2022-2023 | \% of Total |
| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | 4,306,541,587 | 33.8\% | 4,185,443,591 | 32.8\% |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | 1,896,201,704 | 14.9\% | 1,842,881,372 | 14.5\% |
| Personnel - Sub Total | 6,202,743,291 | 48.7\% | 6,028,324,963 | 47.3\% |
| Non-Personnel Operating Costs | 2,815,390,705 | 22.1\% | 2,736,223,195 | 21.5\% |
| Debt Service | 1,344,652,186 | 10.5\% | 1,344,652,186 | 10.5\% |
| Grants | 1,123,617,662 | 8.8\% | 1,123,617,662 | 8.8\% |
| Capital \& Equipment | 711,805,927 | 5.6\% | 711,805,927 | 5.6\% |
| Aid Assistance | 494,016,451 | 3.9\% | 494,016,451 | 3.9\% |
| Reserves | 225,427,414 | 1.8\% | 225,427,414 | 1.8\% |
| Facilities Maintenance | 64,075,188 | 0.5\% | 64,075,188 | 0.5\% |
| Fund Balance | 19,905,648 | 0.2\% | 19,905,648 | 0.2\% |
| Services of Other Depts, Recoveries \& Ov | $(253,585,838)$ | -2.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Non - Personnel - Sub Total | 6,545,305,343 | 51.3\% | 6,719,723,671 | 52.7\% |
| Grand Total | 12,748,048,634 | 100.0\% | 12,748,048,634 | 100.0\% |
| Average Per Employee (FTE) |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | 133,886 | 69.4\% |  |  |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | 58,951 | 30.6\% |  |  |
| Personnel - Sub Total | 192,837 | 100.0\% |  |  |

[^5]
## POSITIONS BY MAJOR SERVICE AREA

## AND DEPARTMENT

## Funded Positions by Major Service Area and Department

Service Area: A, Public Protection

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2021-2022 } \\ & \text { Recommended } \\ & \text { Budget } \end{aligned}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Recommended Budget | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADULT PROBATION | 147.38 | 154.55 | 7.17 | 153.07 | (1.48) |
| DISTRICT ATTORNEY | 266.83 | 282.99 | 16.16 | 283.64 | 0.65 |
| EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT | 296.79 | 295.31 | (1.48) | 274.60 | (20.71) |
| FIRE DEPARTMENT | 1,641.24 | 1,677.68 | 36.44 | 1,673.31 | (4.37) |
| JUVENILE PROBATION | 183.61 | 176.25 | (7.36) | 177.41 | 1.16 |
| POLICE | 3,048.45 | 2,880.71 | (167.74) | 2,925.47 | 44.76 |
| POLICE ACCOUNTABILTY | 47.94 | 42.38 | (5.56) | 42.51 | 0.13 |
| PUBLIC DEFENDER | 188.44 | 194.58 | 6.14 | 195.04 | 0.46 |
| SHERIFF | 1,007.63 | 999.66 | (7.97) | 999.76 | 0.10 |
| SHERIFF ACCOUNTABILITY OIG | 0.00 | 7.70 | 7.70 | 13.00 | 5.30 |
| Service Area: A, Public Protection Total | 6,828.31 | 6,711.81 | (116.50) | 6,737.81 | 26.00 |

Service Area: B, Public Works, Transportation \& Commerce


Service Area: C, Human Welfare \& Neighborhood Development

|  | $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Recommended <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Recommended <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2021-2022$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES | 66.22 | 66.06 | $(0.16)$ | 65.73 | $(0.33)$ |
| CHILDREN AND FAMILIES COMMISSION | 14.19 | 16.00 | 1.81 | 0.00 | $(16.00)$ |
| CHILDREN; YOUTH \& THEIR FAMILIES | 54.92 | 55.15 | 0.23 | 55.07 | $(0.08)$ |
| DEPT OF EARLY CHILDHOOD | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 61.74 | 61.74 |
| ENVIRONMENT | 70.70 | 69.74 | $(0.96)$ | 69.61 | $(0.13)$ |
| HOMELESSNESS AND SUPPORTIVE HOUSING | 156.97 | 217.21 | 60.24 | 192.12 | $(25.09)$ |
| HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION | 22.91 | 21.14 | $(1.77)$ | 23.02 | 1.88 |
| HUMAN SERVICES | $2,159.97$ | $2,204.27$ | 44.30 | $2,159.54$ | $(44.73)$ |
| RENT ARBITRATION BOARD | 35.15 | 46.74 | 11.59 | 49.61 | 2.87 |
| STATUS OF WOMEN | 5.38 | 6.92 | 1.54 | 6.88 | $(0.04)$ |
| Service Area: C, Human Welfare \& Neighborhood | $\mathbf{2 , 5 8 6 . 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 3 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 8 3 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 9 . 9 1 )}$ |
| Development Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Service Area: D, Community Health

|  | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Recommended <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Recommended <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PUBLIC HEALTH | $7,161.30$ | $7,371.89$ | 210.59 | $7,314.40$ | $\mathbf{( 5 7 . 4 9 )}$ |
| Service Area: D, Community Health Total | $\mathbf{7 , 1 6 1 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 7 1 . 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 1 4 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 7 . 4 9 )}$ |

Service Area: E, Culture \& Recreation


Service Area: F, General Administration \& Finance

|  | $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Recommended <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Recommended <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2021-2022$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ASSESSOR / RECORDER | 161.69 | 164.17 | 2.48 | 167.29 | 3.12 |
| BOARD OF SUPERVISORS | 85.95 | 86.70 | 0.75 | 86.90 | 0.20 |
| CITY ATTORNEY | 309.80 | 311.04 | 1.24 | 313.08 | 2.04 |
| CITY PLANNING | 217.29 | 207.41 | $(9.88)$ | 208.51 | 1.10 |
| CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION | 5.79 | 6.00 | 0.21 | 6.00 | 0.00 |
| CONTROLLER | 248.19 | 250.50 | 2.31 | 255.85 | 5.35 |
| ELECTIONS | 61.20 | 79.95 | 18.75 | 59.22 | $(20.73)$ |
| ETHICS COMMISSION | 22.78 | 30.50 | 7.72 | 32.33 | 1.83 |
| GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY-CITY ADMIN | 913.06 | 962.00 | 48.94 | 967.76 | 5.76 |
| HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM | 47.12 | 47.17 | 0.05 | 47.17 | 0.00 |
| HUMAN RESOURCES | 177.28 | 203.17 | 25.89 | 189.88 | $(13.29)$ |
| MAYOR | 76.06 | 81.11 | 5.05 | 82.61 | 1.50 |
| RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 106.83 | 111.98 | 5.15 | 117.25 | 5.27 |
| GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY-TECHNOLOGY | 223.75 | 229.27 | 5.52 | 230.29 | 1.02 |
| TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR | 205.44 | 198.40 | $(7.04)$ | 199.25 | 0.85 |
| Service Area: F, General Administration \& Finance | $\mathbf{2 , 8 6 2 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 6 9 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 6 3 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 . 9 8 )}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Service Area: G, General City Responsibilities

|  | $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Recommended <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Recommended <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2021-2022$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL CITY / UNALLOCATED | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Service Area: G, General City Responsibilities Total | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{3 1 , 7 7 7 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 1 9 2 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 4 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 1 6 5 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 6 . 9 6 )}$ |

[^6]
## MAJOR FUND BUDGETARY RECAP

Enterprise Internal Service Other Total All Funds 775，201

| 312,944 |
| ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 8 , 1 4 5}$ |
| $2,714,090$ |
| 796,331 |
| $1,513,810$ |
| 455,403 |
| 147,026 |
| 75,437 |合合 1，159， 120

$\mathbf{9 1 6 , 0 9 5}$ てOZ＇ャ६し


 $\begin{array}{r}1,176,277 \\ 470,779 \\ \hline 1,647,056\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{r}1,647,056 \\ \hline 14,895,765\end{array}$ 14，895，765 2，763，748）
 ふ্ণ
$(631,194)$ $(2,368,400)$ $(1,696,360)$ $(4,401,871)$

$\begin{array}{r}(1,376,00) \\ (271,055) \\ (1,647,056) \\ \hline(14,871,306)\end{array}$

 ．．

66，854 -
-
$(115)$ （ $\varepsilon 9 L^{\prime} \downarrow 9$（ t 90 c ） $(5,083)$ － $\begin{array}{rr}- & - \\ (1,383,579) & - \\ - & -\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rr}- & - \\ (10,100) & - \\ (6,230) & - \\ (36,443) & - \\ - & (379,472) \\ (2,000) & - \\ (12,258) & - \\ (9,000) & -\end{array}$
$\mathbf{( 5 , 1 8 1 , 0 2 7 )} \quad(2,065,318) \quad(76,031)$ $\begin{array}{lrl}(5,181,027) & (4,198) & -\end{array}$

| $5,452,441)$ |
| :--- |
| $(219,042)$ |
| $(195,582)$ |


| $(1,176,277)$ | $(56,155)$ | 0 |  | $(414,624)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(6,357,304)$ | $(2,121,472)$ | $(76,031)$ | $(379,472)$ | $(5,867,066)$ |

[^7] Governmental Funds (in Thousands of Dollars)

Other Total All Funds


$$
(68,764)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { (115) } \\
(68764)
\end{array}
$$

43,242
522,614 1,121,253 1,616,656 525,792
144,600

 1,096,101
613,126

 s\&ャ'szて'zl $1,322,937$
479,075
 14,550,060
$(2,701,269)$ $(486,578)$
$(687,543)$ ( $\varepsilon$ เG' $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ 289) $(567,919)$ $(2,240,321)$ $(1,728,641)$
$(4,315,872)$ $(12,728,143)$

 | $(23,906)$ | $(41,912)$ | - | $(211,283)$ | - | $(277,101)$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(1,322,937)$ | $(48,750)$ | 0 | $(430,325)$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $(1,802,012)$ |  |
| $(6,317,171)$ | $(1,952,112)$ | 0 | $(319,568)$ | $(5,868,883)$ | $(3,542)$ | $(68,879)$ | General Fund Special Revenue Capital Projects Debt Service 2,422 Enterprise Internal Service 136,531 2,029 Agency/Trust

,

$$
\mathfrak{n}
$$

## APPROPRIATION DETAIL BY DEPARTMENT

Department Appropriations (2 year)

| Department: SCI Academy Of Sciences |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCI Academy Of Sciences | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |
| Total Uses by Division | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 1,346,947 | 1,449,182 | 102,235 | 1,490,586 | 41,404 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 577,885 | 594,046 | 16,161 | 600,888 | 6,842 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 1,499,468 | 1,499,468 |  | 1,499,468 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 603,398 | 318,568 | $(284,830)$ | 484,496 | 165,928 |
| Facilities Maintenance |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | $(100,000)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,560,025 | 1,611,475 | 51,450 | 1,611,475 |  |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund Support | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |
| Total Sources by Fund | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Department Appropriations (2 year)

| Department: ADP Adult Probation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From |
| Community Health Services Fund | 223,295 | 236,894 | 13,599 |  | $(236,894)$ |
| General Fund | 37,580,970 | 44,834,874 | 7,253,904 | 45,848,255 | 1,013,381 |
| Public Protection Fund | 4,013,844 | 3,591,734 | $(422,110)$ | 3,576,144 | $(15,590)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 41,818,109 | 48,663,502 | 6,845,393 | 49,424,399 | 760,897 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADP Adult Probation | 41,818,109 | 48,663,502 | 6,845,393 | 49,424,399 | 760,897 |
| Total Uses by Division | 41,818,109 | 48,663,502 | 6,845,393 | 49,424,399 | 760,897 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 16,119,633 | 18,215,609 | 2,095,976 | 18,662,329 | 446,720 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 8,672,462 | 9,926,159 | 1,253,697 | 10,049,370 | 123,211 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 8,106,067 | 7,260,582 | $(845,485)$ | 7,356,849 | 96,267 |
| City Grant Program | 4,730,309 | 6,155,146 | 1,424,837 | 6,108,160 | $(46,986)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 438,579 | 211,783 | $(226,796)$ | 211,783 |  |
| Programmatic Projects |  | 3,505,189 | 3,505,189 | 3,600,000 | 94,811 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 3,751,059 | 3,389,034 | $(362,025)$ | 3,435,908 | 46,874 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 41,818,109 | 48,663,502 | 6,845,393 | 49,424,399 | 760,897 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 444931 Fed Grants Pass-Thru State-Oth | 777,254 | 402,946 | $(374,308)$ | 387,356 | $(15,590)$ |
| 444939 Federal Direct Grant | 300,000 |  | $(300,000)$ |  |  |
| 448411 Realignment Backfill | 780,891 |  | $(780,891)$ |  |  |
| 448920 Local Community Correctn-Ab109 | 14,807,530 | 21,867,052 | 7,059,522 | 23,247,052 | 1,380,000 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


* The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission.

| Salaries |  | 177,646,718 | 188,635,499 | 10,988,781 | 195,058,344 | 6,422,845 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits |  | 92,840,267 | 94,328,615 | 1,488,348 | 96,046,190 | 1,717,575 |
| Non-Personnel Services |  | 176,923,940 | 164,641,264 | $(12,282,676)$ | 155,318,147 | $(9,323,117)$ |
| Capital Outlay |  | 78,978,982 | 59,417,976 | $(19,561,006)$ | 55,064,473 | $(4,353,503)$ |
| Debt Service |  | 531,312,000 | 521,435,725 | $(9,876,275)$ | 484,231,331 | $(37,204,394)$ |
| Facilities Maintenance |  | 13,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 15,000,000 |  |
| Intrafund Transfers Out |  | 440,482,686 | 356,271,654 | $(84,211,032)$ | 160,475,464 | $(195,796,190)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies |  | 19,971,753 | 17,373,352 | $(2,598,401)$ | 16,360,852 | $(1,012,500)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations |  | $(5,914,351)$ | $(5,266,357)$ | 647,994 | $(5,382,966)$ | $(116,609)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts |  | 85,262,466 | 83,992,915 | $(1,269,551)$ | 84,830,304 | 837,389 |
| Transfers Out |  | 25,173,863 | 23,031,713 | $(2,142,150)$ | 35,031,713 | 12,000,000 |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  | 270,387,824 | 12,308,167 | (258,079,657) |  | $(12,308,167)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(440,482,686)$ | (356,271,654) | 84,211,032 | (160,475,464) | 195,796,190 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 1,465,583,462 | 1,174,898,869 | $(290,684,593)$ | 1,131,558,388 | $(43,340,481)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 425150 | Airport Traffic Fines | 136,000 | 34,000 | $(102,000)$ | 34,000 |  |
| 425920 | Penalties | 1,222,000 | 463,000 | $(759,000)$ | 463,000 |  |
| 430120 | Interest Earned-FisclAgentAcct | 1,930,000 | 5,282,000 | 3,352,000 | 9,453,000 | 4,171,000 |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 68,000 | 399,000 | 331,000 | 1,399,000 | 1,000,000 |
| 435271 | SFO-PrkingGarge,Lots\&Permits | 69,224,000 | 49,331,000 | (19,893,000) | 60,034,000 | 10,703,000 |
| 437213 | Rentl-North Term T3 (Non-Air) | 1,153,000 | 878,000 | $(275,000)$ | 1,183,000 | 305,000 |
| 437214 | Rentl-South Term T1 (Non-Air) | 504,000 | 379,000 | $(125,000)$ | 513,000 | 134,000 |
| 437215 | Rental -T2 (Non Airline) | 754,000 | 566,000 | $(188,000)$ | 762,000 | 196,000 |
| 437216 | Rental-ITB (Non-Airline) | 1,382,000 | 1,036,000 | $(346,000)$ | 1,397,000 | 361,000 |
| 437217 | Rental-BART | 3,244,000 | 3,384,000 | 140,000 | 3,402,000 | 18,000 |
| 437219 | Rental-Other BdlgsNon-Airline | 14,000 | 14,000 |  | 15,000 | 1,000 |
| 437311 | Rental-UnimprvdAreaNon-Airline | 4,201,000 | 4,285,000 | 84,000 | 4,370,000 | 85,000 |
| 437321 | Rental Car Facility Fee | 17,630,000 | 17,983,000 | 353,000 | 18,342,000 | 359,000 |
| 437411 | Concession-Groundside | 73,000 | 10,000 | $(63,000)$ | 13,000 | 3,000 |
| 437421 | Concession-Telephone | 2,975,000 | 2,975,000 |  | 3,075,000 | 100,000 |
| 437425 | Telecommunication Fees | 4,347,000 | 4,867,000 | 520,000 | 5,062,000 | 195,000 |
| 437441 | Concession-Advertising | 12,116,000 | 12,790,000 | 674,000 | 13,039,000 | 249,000 |
| 437499 | Concession-Others | 4,899,000 | 3,283,000 | $(1,616,000)$ | 3,366,000 | 83,000 |
| 437501 | Concession-Others-ITB | 4,056,000 | 121,000 | $(3,935,000)$ | 130,000 | 9,000 |
| 437512 | Concess Rev-DutyFreelnBond-ITB | 25,890,000 | 11,120,000 | (14,770,000) | 16,845,000 | 5,725,000 |
| 437521 | Concession-Gifts \& Merchandise | 5,380,000 | 4,200,000 | $(1,180,000)$ | 6,375,000 | 2,175,000 |



| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 477942 |  | Reimbursement From SFOTEC |  |  | 123,000 | 125,000 | 2,000 | 128,000 | 3,000 |
| 477951 |  | Rent-Governmental Agency |  |  | 5,472,000 | 6,250,000 | 778,000 | 6,304,000 | 54,000 |
| 477999 |  | Misc Airport Revenue |  |  | 7,000 | 7,000 |  | 7,000 |  |
| 486460 |  | Exp Rec Fr Muni TransprtnAAO |  |  | 136,195 | 136,195 |  | 136,195 |  |
| 486530 |  | Exp Rec Fr Port Commission AAO |  |  | 30,000 | 51,000 | 21,000 | 51,000 |  |
| 495021 |  | ITI Fr 5A-Airport Funds |  |  | 440,482,686 | 356,271,654 | $(84,211,032)$ | 160,475,464 | $(195,796,190)$ |
| 499999 |  | Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only |  |  | 77,151,574 |  | $(77,151,574)$ | 61,978,154 | 61,978,154 |
| 999989 |  | ELIMSD TRANSFER ADJ-SOURCES |  |  | $(551,892,492)$ | $(459,017,980)$ | 92,874,512 | (266,936,425) | 192,081,555 |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  |  | 1,465,583,462 | 1,174,898,869 | (290,684,593) | 1,131,558,388 | $(43,340,481)$ |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Board Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10026671 |  | AC Airport Operations |  |  |  | 5,100,000 | 5,100,000 |  | $(5,100,000)$ |
| Board Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  |  | 5,100,000 | 5,100,000 | 0 | $(5,100,000)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title |  | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 17960 | AIR Op Annual Account Ctrl |  |  | Salaries | 167,000,713 | 179,207,543 | 12,206,830 | 185,495,441 | 6,287,898 |
|  |  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 86,240,451 | 88,258,318 | 2,017,867 | 89,967,564 | 1,709,246 |
|  |  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 176,821,940 | 164,599,264 | $(12,222,676)$ | 155,276,147 | $(9,323,117)$ |
|  |  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 3,992,609 | 4,403,646 | 411,037 |  | $(4,403,646)$ |
|  |  |  |  | Debt Service | 531,312,000 | 521,435,725 | $(9,876,275)$ | 484,231,331 | $(37,204,394)$ |
|  |  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 20,722,187 | 24,369,654 | 3,647,467 | 24,446,464 | 76,810 |
|  |  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 19,891,753 | 17,349,352 | $(2,542,401)$ | 16,336,852 | $(1,012,500)$ |
|  |  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 5,099,075 | 5,309,597 | 210,522 | 5,309,597 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 84,709,918 | 83,615,890 | $(1,094,028)$ | 84,453,279 | 837,389 |
|  |  |  |  | Transfers Out | 25,173,863 | 23,031,713 | $(2,142,150)$ | 35,031,713 | 12,000,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 270,387,824 | 12,308,167 | (258,079,657) |  | $(12,308,167)$ |
|  |  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(20,722,187)$ | (24,369,654) | $(3,647,467)$ | $(24,446,464)$ | $(76,810)$ |
| 17960 Total |  |  |  |  | 1,370,630,146 | 1,099,519,215 | (271,110,931) | 1,056,101,924 | $(43,417,291)$ |
| Operating Total |  |  |  |  | 1,370,630,146 | 1,099,519,215 | $(271,110,931)$ | 1,056,101,924 | $(43,417,291)$ |




Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 412210 | Hotel Room Tax | 10,287,000 | 3,946,600 | $(6,340,400)$ | 11,405,000 | 7,458,400 |
| 420360 | Street Artist Certificatn Fee | 117,872 |  | $(117,872)$ |  |  |
| 448999 | Other State Grants \& Subventns | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  | $(50,000)$ |
| 449997 | City Depts Revenue From OCII |  | 1,123,884 | 1,123,884 |  | $(1,123,884)$ |
| 460127 | Civic Design Fee - Arts Comssn | 180,503 | 188,427 | 7,924 | 195,250 | 6,823 |
| 460155 | City Hall Tours | 3,500 | 3,500 |  | 3,500 |  |
| 462841 | Art Comm Symphony Concerts | 1,100,683 | 1,405,699 | 305,016 | 1,419,728 | 14,029 |
| 462849 | Art Comm Other Performances | 4,000 | 30,000 | 26,000 | 30,000 |  |
| 466501 | Transit Advertising | 242,603 | 242,603 |  | 242,603 |  |
| 475415 | Community ImprovementImpactFee | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  | $(50,000)$ |
| 478201 | Private Grants | 77,000 | 77,000 |  |  | $(77,000)$ |
| 486020 | Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 31,025 | 50,025 | 19,000 | 50,025 |  |
| 486030 | Exp Rec Fr Admin Svcs (AAO) | 2,200,000 | 680,025 | (1,519,975) | 2,200,000 | 1,519,975 |
| 486150 | Exp Rec Fr Adm (AAO) | 475,000 | 475,000 |  | 475,000 |  |
| 486190 | Exp Rec Fr Child;Youth\&Fam AAO | 343,362 | 346,937 | 3,575 | 346,937 |  |
| 486430 | Exp Rec Fr Public Library AAO | 199,430 | 840,927 | 641,497 | 840,927 |  |
| 486450 | Exp Rec From Mohcd | 92,168 |  | $(92,168)$ |  |  |
| 486560 | Exp Rec Fr Public Works (AAO) | 150,000 | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  |
| 486630 | Exp Rec Fr Rec \& Park (AAO) | 13,000 | 13,000 |  | 13,000 |  |
| 493001 | OTI Fr 1G-General Fund | 57,317 | 7,574,016 | 7,516,699 | 1,250,823 | $(6,323,193)$ |
| 495001 | ITI Fr 1G-General Fund |  | 2,671 | 2,671 | 2,671 |  |
| General Fund Support |  | 8,087,552 | 33,915,076 | 25,827,524 | 10,720,101 | (23,194,975) |
| Total Sources by Fund |  | 23,762,015 | 51,165,390 | 27,403,375 | 29,345,565 | (21,819,825) |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10005837 | AR DIF-Market \& Octavia |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | $(50,000)$ |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  | 50,000 | 50,000 | 0 | $(50,000)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |


Department Appropriations (2 year)


| Department: AAM Asian Art Museum |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Culture and Recreation Fund | 536,379 | 453,210 | $(83,169)$ | 461,971 | 8,761 |
| General Fund | 9,699,937 | 10,145,079 | 445,142 | 10,667,601 | 522,522 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 10,236,316 | 10,598,289 | 361,973 | 11,129,572 | 531,283 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAM Asian Art Museum | 10,236,316 | 10,598,289 | 361,973 | 11,129,572 | 531,283 |
| Total Uses by Division | 10,236,316 | 10,598,289 | 361,973 | 11,129,572 | 531,283 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 4,821,075 | 5,049,150 | 228,075 | 5,214,251 | 165,101 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,281,083 | 2,280,720 | (363) | 2,313,041 | 32,321 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 1,711,224 | 1,248,380 | $(462,844)$ | 1,248,380 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 299,939 | 325,000 | 25,061 | 575,000 | 250,000 |
| Facilities Maintenance |  | 306,495 | 306,495 | 321,820 | 15,325 |
| Overhead and Allocations | 32,840 | 24,902 | $(7,938)$ | 24,902 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,090,155 | 1,363,642 | 273,487 | 1,432,178 | 68,536 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 10,236,316 | 10,598,289 | 361,973 | 11,129,572 | 531,283 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 462851 Museum Exhibition Admission | 517,530 | 435,405 | $(82,125)$ | 461,971 | 26,566 |
| 499999 Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only | 18,849 | 17,805 | $(1,044)$ |  | $(17,805)$ |
| General Fund Support | 9,699,937 | 10,145,079 | 445,142 | 10,667,601 | 522,522 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Department: ASR Assessor / Recorder

| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2021-2022 } \\ & \text { Recommended } \\ & \text { Budget } \end{aligned}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund | 37,139,680 | 34,132,286 | $(3,007,394)$ | 33,464,673 | $(667,613)$ |
| General Services Fund | 1,965,751 | 2,046,705 | 80,954 | 2,179,137 | 132,432 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 39,105,431 | 36,178,991 | $(2,926,440)$ | 35,643,810 | $(535,181)$ |

Division Summary
Chart of Account Summary

| $16,763,976$ | $18,368,735$ | $1,604,759$ | $19,429,619$ | $1,060,884$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7,726,796$ | $8,082,196$ | 355,400 | $8,374,774$ | 292,578 |  |
| $1,531,516$ | $1,632,835$ | 101,319 | $1,432,162$ | $(200,673)$ |  |
| 142,855 | 138,000 | $(4,855)$ | 225,000 | 87,000 |  |
| 261,831 | 99,502 | $(162,329)$ | 99,502 |  |  |
| $9,693,815$ | $5,086,633$ | $(4,607,182)$ | $3,236,274$ | $(1,850,359)$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3 9 , 9 8 4 , 6 4 2}$ | $2,771,090$ | $(213,552)$ | $2,846,479$ | 75,389 |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

## Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation

| 22-2023 | 2022-2023 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ommended | Change From |
| Budget | 2021-2022 |
| 473,670 | 15,277 |
| 255,532 | 5,284 |
| 61,700 |  |
| 9,398 |  |
| 320,210 | 4,035 |
| 1,120,510 | 24,596 |

24,596
Department: BOS Board of Supervisors

| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund | 18,588,318 | 20,517,841 | 1,929,523 | 20,472,558 | $(45,283)$ |
| General Services Fund | 18,000 | 60,765 | 42,765 | 18,000 | $(42,765)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 18,606,318 | 20,578,606 | 1,972,288 | 20,490,558 | $(88,048)$ |

Division Summary

 | 81,624 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 76,822 |  |
| 421,160 |  |
| 350,000 |  |
| 13,956 |  |
| $1,004,516$ | 1 |
| 24,210 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 2 , 2 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |

 909'8LG'0 $\begin{array}{r}701,348 \\ 2,363,745 \\ 4,289,305 \\ 341,240 \\ 172,373 \\ 10,364,652 \\ 373,655 \\ \hline \mathbf{1 8 , 6 0 6 , 3 1 8}\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{r}10,768 \\ \hline(88,048)\end{array}$ (850‘88) 00000

Sources of Funds Detail by Account
40,000

Bos - Planning Appeal Surchrge BOS Supervisors
BOS Assessment Appeals Board BOS Budget \& Legis Analysis BOS Clerk Of The Board BOS Local Agncy Formation Comm BOS Sunshine Ord Task Force

BOS Youth Commission
Total Uses by Division
Salaries
Mandatory Fringe Benefits Non-Personnel Services

Materials \& Supplies
Services Of Other Depts
Total Uses by Chart o
Total Uses by Chart of Account


| Department: DBI Building Inspection |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From $2020-2021$ | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Building Inspection Fund | 89,501,462 | 89,590,317 | 88,855 | 90,966,585 | 1,376,268 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 89,501,462 | 89,590,317 | 88,855 | 90,966,585 | 1,376,268 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| DBI Adminlstration | 25,006,260 | 23,900,366 | $(1,105,894)$ | 24,236,045 | 335,679 |
| DBI Inspection Services | 45,385,749 | 47,124,022 | 1,738,273 | 47,561,334 | 437,312 |
| DBI Permit Services | 19,109,453 | 18,565,929 | $(543,524)$ | 19,169,206 | 603,277 |
| Total Uses by Division | 89,501,462 | 89,590,317 | 88,855 | 90,966,585 | 1,376,268 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 32,439,066 | 35,007,069 | 2,568,003 | 36,614,014 | 1,606,945 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 16,244,569 | 16,173,209 | $(71,360)$ | 16,580,395 | 407,186 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 5,513,557 | 5,712,960 | 199,403 | 5,112,960 | $(600,000)$ |
| City Grant Program | 5,230,314 | 5,230,314 |  | 5,230,314 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 624,000 |  | $(624,000)$ |  |  |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 14,090,562 | 26,663,610 | 12,573,048 | 26,663,610 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 1,249,751 | 530,438 | $(719,313)$ | 530,438 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | 1,759,138 | 1,426,525 | $(332,613)$ | 1,426,525 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 26,441,067 | 25,509,802 | $(931,265)$ | 25,471,939 | $(37,863)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(14,090,562)$ | $(26,663,610)$ | $(12,573,048)$ | $(26,663,610)$ |  |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 89,501,462 | 89,590,317 | 88,855 | 90,966,585 | 1,376,268 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420931 Apartment License Fee | 6,334,098 | 6,334,098 |  | 6,334,098 |  |


| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | 2,500,000 |
| 460175 | TTX-Gen Government Svc Charges |  | 1,563 | 1,563 | 1,563 |
| 461101 | Plan Checking | 15,000,000 | 16,769,954 | 1,769,954 | 16,769,954 |
| 461102 | Premium Plan Review | 552,309 | 242,309 | $(310,000)$ | 242,309 |
| 461103 | Preplan Application Meeting | 90,000 | 118,259 | 28,259 | 118,259 |
| 461104 | Subpoena | 3,300 | 7,820 | 4,520 | 7,820 |
| 461105 | Application Extension Fee | 145,039 | 193,830 | 48,791 | 193,830 |
| 461108 | Notices | 21,000 | 48,648 | 27,648 | 48,648 |
| 461110 | Street Numbers | 86,872 | 68,384 | $(18,488)$ | 68,384 |
| 461111 | Reproduction | 2,000 | 2,000 |  | 2,000 |
| 461112 | Central Permit Bureau Fee | 300,000 | 240,575 | $(59,425)$ | 240,575 |
| 461115 | Building Permits | 10,000,000 | 8,771,718 | $(1,228,282)$ | 8,771,718 |
| 461116 | Bid Investigation Fee | 160,000 | 211,698 | 51,698 | 211,698 |
| 461117 | Addition Bldg Inspections | 214,000 | 863,937 | 649,937 | 863,937 |
| 461118 | Condo Conversion Reports | 100,000 | 124,441 | 24,441 | 124,441 |
| 461119 | Off Hours Bldg Inspection | 43,497 |  | $(43,497)$ |  |
| 461120 | Energy Inspection | 123,000 | 285,246 | 162,246 | 285,246 |
| 461121 | Permit Extension Fee | 1,121 |  | $(1,121)$ |  |
| 461130 | Plumbing Permit Issuance Fee | 2,800,000 | 3,637,852 | 837,852 | 3,637,852 |
| 461131 | Penaltes-Plumbng-MechancIPermt | 147,000 | 132,065 | $(14,935)$ | 132,065 |
| 461132 | Plumbing Inspection | 100,000 | 248,512 | 148,512 | 248,512 |
| 461133 | Off Hours Plumbing Inspection | 85,000 | 80,315 | $(4,685)$ | 80,315 |
| 461135 | Mechanical Permit Issuance Fee | 80,000 | 151,516 | 71,516 | 151,516 |
| 461140 | Electrical Permit | 3,900,000 | 4,900,000 | 1,000,000 | 4,900,000 |
| 461141 | Penalties Electrical Permit | 50,000 | 36,716 | $(13,284)$ | 36,716 |
| 461142 | Additional Electrical Inspectn | 85,000 | 166,954 | 81,954 | 166,954 |
| 461143 | Off Hours Electrical Inspectn | 45,000 | 55,177 | 10,177 | 55,177 |
| 461144 | Sign Permit | 9,000 | 6,640 | $(2,360)$ | 6,640 |
| 461150 | Mechanical Plan Review | 48,500 | 15,652 | $(32,848)$ | 15,652 |
| 461155 | Boiler Permit | 333,011 | 347,744 | 14,733 | 347,744 |
| 461156 | Boiler Permit Penalties | 1,930 |  | $(1,930)$ |  |
| 461160 | Hotel License Fee | 302,643 | 302,643 |  | 302,643 |
| 461161 | 1 \& 2 Family Rental Unit Fee | 1,191,902 | 1,191,902 |  | 1,191,902 |
| 461162 | Hotel Conversion Ordinance | 40,081 | 40,081 |  | 40,081 |
| 461164 | Building Standards Fees | 30,000 | 30,000 |  | 30,000 |
| 461165 | Code Enforcement | 280,532 | 280,532 |  | 280,532 |
| 461167 | Code Enforce - Assessment Fees | 150,000 | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |
| 461168 | CodeEnforce-CityAttrnyLitigatn | 560,522 | 238,478 | $(322,044)$ | 238,478 |
| 461170 | Seismic Retrofitting | 81,163 | 60,000 | $(21,163)$ | 60,000 |



Department: DBI
Department Appropriations (2 year)
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10210 | SR Building Standards Comssn | 16665 | BI Building Standards Commissi | 30,000 | 30,000 |  | 30,000 |  |
| 10210 Total |  |  |  | 30,000 | 30,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 |
| 10230 | SR BIF-Continuing Projects | 10000 | Operating | 1,100,000 | 1,000,000 | $(100,000)$ | 1,000,000 |  |
|  |  | 16670 | BI Illegal In-law Units | 11,455 | 12,182 | 727 | 13,144 | 962 |
| 10230 Total |  |  |  | 1,111,455 | 1,012,182 | $(99,273)$ | 1,013,144 | 962 |
| 10250 | SR PW-Strong Motion Admin | 16680 | BI Strong Motion Administratio | 554,410 |  | $(554,410)$ |  |  |
| 10250 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 554,410 | 0 | $(554,410)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,695,865 | 1,042,182 | $(653,683)$ | 1,043,144 | 962 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 89,501,462 | 89,590,317 | 88,855 | 90,966,585 | 1,376,268 |


| Department: CSS Child Support Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Change From <br> $2020-2021$ | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Children and Families Fund | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSS Child Support Services | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Total Uses by Division | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 6,897,163 | 7,332,135 | 434,972 | 7,549,846 | 217,711 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,969,517 | 4,022,593 | 53,076 | 4,058,301 | 35,708 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 350,094 | 212,348 | $(137,746)$ | 162,348 | $(50,000)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 152,165 | 51,531 | $(100,634)$ | 99,451 | 47,920 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 2,040,130 | 1,652,436 | $(387,694)$ | 1,667,070 | 14,634 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 440199 Other Fed-PublicAssistnceAdmin | 8,433,006 | 8,365,471 | $(67,535)$ | 8,519,877 | 154,406 |
| 445299 Other State-Publc Asstnce Prog | 4,117,472 | 4,309,484 | 192,012 | 4,389,027 | 79,543 |
| 479995 Child Support Offsetting Aid | 691,928 | 453,000 | $(238,928)$ | 480,000 | 27,000 |
| 486370 Exp Rec Fr Comm Health Svc AAO | 1,368 |  | $(1,368)$ |  |  |
| 486690 Exp Rec Fr Human Services AAO | 165,295 | 143,088 | $(22,207)$ | 148,112 | 5,024 |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 11300 SR Child Support-Operating |  |  | Salaries | 6,897,163 | 7,332,135 | 434,972 | 7,549,846 | 217,711 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits <br> Non-Personnel Services | 3,969,517 | 4,022,593 | 53,076 | 4,058,301 | 35,708 |
|  |  |  |  | 350,094 | 212,348 | $(137,746)$ | 162,348 | $(50,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 152,165 | 51,531 | $(100,634)$ | 99,451 | 47,920 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 2,040,130 | 1,652,436 | $(387,694)$ | 1,667,070 | 14,634 |
| 11300 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
|  |  |  |  | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Total Use | of Funds |  |  | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |


| Department: CFC Children \& Families Commsn |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Children and Families Fund | 31,194,003 | 32,964,536 | 1,770,533 |  | $(32,964,536)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 31,194,003 | 32,964,536 | 1,770,533 | 0 | $(32,964,536)$ |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFC Children \& Families Commsn | 31,194,003 | 32,964,536 | 1,770,533 |  | $(32,964,536)$ |
| Total Uses by Division | 31,194,003 | 32,964,536 | 1,770,533 | 0 | $(32,964,536)$ |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 1,682,929 | 2,010,363 | 327,434 |  | $(2,010,363)$ |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 816,611 | 888,831 | 72,220 |  | $(888,831)$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | 1,105,271 | 874,910 | $(230,361)$ |  | $(874,910)$ |
| City Grant Program | 25,729,177 | 27,083,152 | 1,353,975 |  | $(27,083,152)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 91,950 | 80,075 | $(11,875)$ |  | $(80,075)$ |
| Programmatic Projects | 154,230 | 158,370 | 4,140 |  | $(158,370)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,613,835 | 1,868,835 | 255,000 |  | $(1,868,835)$ |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 31,194,003 | 32,964,536 | 1,770,533 | 0 | $(32,964,536)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 430150 Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 150,000 | 100,000 | $(50,000)$ |  | $(100,000)$ |
| 444931 Fed Grants Pass-Thru State-Oth | 418,456 | 592,985 | 174,529 |  | $(592,985)$ |
| 445417 Prop 10 Tobacco Tax Funding | 8,107,295 | 7,784,339 | $(322,956)$ |  | $(7,784,339)$ |
| 448999 Other State Grants \& Subventns | 1,405,183 | 1,205,183 | $(200,000)$ |  | $(1,205,183)$ |
| 486190 Exp Rec Fr Child; Youth\&Fam AAO | 6,026,001 | 6,026,001 |  |  | $(6,026,001)$ |
| 486370 Exp Rec Fr Comm Health Svc AAO |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 4,620,636 4,009,869 |  | $(610,767)$ |  | $(4,009,869)$ |
| Total Us | s of Funds |  |  | 31,194,003 | 32,964,536 | 1,770,533 |  | (32,964,536) |


| Department: CHF Children;Youth \& Families |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Children and Families Fund | 207,435,791 | 214,805,802 | 7,370,011 | 219,715,655 | 4,909,853 |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 1,000,222 |  | (1,000,222) |  |  |
| General Fund | 73,423,062 | 92,134,718 | 18,711,656 | 75,826,634 | $(16,308,084)$ |
| Public Protection Fund | 3,496,424 | 6,514,001 | 3,017,577 | 3,086,418 | $(3,427,583)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 285,355,499 | 313,454,521 | 28,099,022 | 298,628,707 | $(14,825,814)$ |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHF Children;Youth \& Families | 285,355,499 | 313,454,521 | 28,099,022 | 298,628,707 | $(14,825,814)$ |
| Total Uses by Division | 285,355,499 | 313,454,521 | 28,099,022 | 298,628,707 | $(14,825,814)$ |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 6,547,757 | 7,116,310 | 568,553 | 7,359,600 | 243,290 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,157,084 | 3,281,836 | 124,752 | 3,314,715 | 32,879 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 8,585,115 | 7,845,502 | $(739,613)$ | 6,245,843 | $(1,599,659)$ |
| City Grant Program | 229,058,280 | 239,210,216 | 10,151,936 | 242,267,438 | 3,057,222 |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 5,060,000 | 5,580,000 | 520,000 | 6,310,000 | 730,000 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 297,649 | 352,649 | 55,000 | 352,649 |  |
| Programmatic Projects |  | 16,589,909 | 16,589,909 | 55,370 | $(16,534,539)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 37,709,614 | 39,058,099 | 1,348,485 | 39,033,092 | $(25,007)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(5,060,000)$ | $(5,580,000)$ | $(520,000)$ | $(6,310,000)$ |  |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 285,355,499 | 313,454,521 | 28,099,022 | 298,628,707 | $(14,825,814)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 410110 Prop Tax Curr Yr-Secured | 96,990,000 | 100,565,000 | 3,575,000 | 104,307,000 | 3,742,000 |







| Fund Code |  | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11190 | SR Children and Youth |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | (5,060,000) | (5,580,000) | $(520,000)$ | (6,310,000) | $(730,000)$ |
| 11190 Total |  |  |  | 106,515,862 | $109,155,603$ | $2,639,741$ | $114,940,456$ | $5,784,853$ |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 108,293,685 111,734,201 |  | $3,440,516 \quad 117,528,470$ |  |  |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 17230 | Community Based Agencies | 1,160,700 1,160,700 |  | 1,160,700 |  |  |
|  |  | 20111 | CH Family Empowerment | 3,242,786 | 3,242,786 | 3,242,786 |  |  |
|  |  | 20112 | CH Justices Services | 1,446,116 | 1,446,116 | 1,446,116 |  |  |
|  |  | 20115 | CH Outreach and Access | 1,518,203 | 1,518,203 | 1,518,203 |  |  |
|  |  | 20118 | CH Early Care and Education | 4,647,925 | 4,647,925 | 4,647,925 |  |  |
|  |  | 20119 | CH Educational Supports | 5,310,140 |  | $(5,310,140)$ | 991,279 | 991,279 |
|  |  | 20120 | CH Enrichment Leadership Skill | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 18,325,870 | 13,015,730 | $(5,310,140)$ | 14,007,009 | 991,279 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 18,325,870 | 13,015,730 | $(5,310,140)$ | 14,007,009 | 991,279 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10000 | Operating |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16916 | CH Bos Allocations | 6,555,724 | $4,044,500$ | (2,511,224) | 1,260,000 | (2,784,500) |
|  |  | 16918 | CH Dcyf Nutrition Project | 642,462 | 662,683 | 20,221 | 674,465 | 11,782 |
|  |  | 16919 | CH Our Children; Our Families | 903,789 | 906,302 | 2,513 | 1,048,101 | 141,799 |
|  |  | 17230 | Community Based Agencies | 8,461,024 | 14,456,085 | 5,995,061 | 9,743,585 | $\begin{array}{r} (4,712,500) \\ 534,640 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 19805 | City College Enroll Asst Fund | 15,700,000 | 16,400,000 | 700,000 | 16,934,640 |  |
|  |  | 20110 | CH Emotional Well-Bring | 186,000 | 186,000 |  | 186,000 | $534,640$ |
|  |  | 20111 | CH Family Empowerment | 283,250 | 283,250 | 283,250 |  |  |
|  |  | 20112 | CH Justices Services | 450,000 | 450,000 | 450,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 20113 | CH Mentorship Service Area | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 20114 | CH Out of School Time | 5,179,609 | 5,179,609 | 5,179,609 |  |  |
|  |  | 20115 | CH Outreach and Access | 5,030,705 | 5,155,705 | 125,000 | 5,155,705 |  |
|  |  | 20117 | CH Youth Workforce Development | 1,215,677 | 1,215,677 |  | 1,215,677 |  |
|  |  | 20118 | CH Early Care and Education | 1,819,025 | 1,819,025 | 1,819,025 |  |  |
|  |  | 20119 | CH Educational Supports | 125,000 | 15,125,000 | 15,000,000 | 125,000 | (15,000,000) |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13720 | SR Public Protection-Grant Sta | 10035818 | CH FY 21-22 SFCOPS Program | 578,621 |  | 578,621 | 578,621 | $(578,621)$ |
|  |  | 10036743 | JUV Placement Alternative Fund |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10037204 | CH FY 22-23 SFCOPS Program |  |  | 578,621 |  |  |
|  |  | 10037207 | CH FY 22-23 JJCPA Grant |  |  | 2,416,996 | 2,416,996 |  |
| 13720 Total |  |  |  | 2,939,178 | 6,422,901 |  | 3,483,723 | 2,995,617 | $(3,427,284)$ |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 4,531,353 | 7,534,200 |  | 3,002,847 | 4,106,617 | $(3,427,583)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Project Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 11150 | SR Child Youth\&Fam-Grants Oth | 10033045 | CH 2020 CFE Fund | 400,000 | $(400,000)$ |  |  | $(400,000)$ |
|  |  | 10036182 | CH 2021 CFE Fund |  | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 10037464 | CH 2022 CFE Fund |  |  |  | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| 11150 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Project Control Total |  |  |  | 400,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 |
|  |  | Continuing Projects - Project Control Total |  | 400,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 |
| 10060 GF Work Order |  |  |  | 3,337,104 | 3,676,554 | 339,450 | 3,676,554 |  |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  | 3,337,104 | 3,676,554 | 339,450 | 3,676,554 | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 3,337,104 | 3,676,554 | 339,450 | 3,676,554 | 0 |
| Total Us | es of Funds |  |  | 285,355,499 | 313,454,521 | 28,099,022 | 298,628,707 | $(14,825,814)$ |


| Department: CAT City Attorney |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From $2021-2022$ |
| General Fund | 88,672,808 | 93,390,515 | 4,717,707 | 96,149,640 | 2,759,125 |
| Public Protection Fund | 5,141,717 | 5,288,752 | 147,035 | 5,410,804 | 122,052 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 93,814,525 | 98,679,267 | 4,864,742 | 101,560,444 | 2,881,177 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAT City Attorney | 93,814,525 | 98,679,267 | 4,864,742 | 101,560,444 | 2,881,177 |
| Total Uses by Division | 93,814,525 | 98,679,267 | 4,864,742 | 101,560,444 | 2,881,177 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 52,633,050 | 56,639,041 | 4,005,991 | 58,996,635 | 2,357,594 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 22,517,321 | 22,582,152 | 64,831 | 22,918,062 | 335,910 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 14,204,637 | 14,981,753 | 777,116 | 15,126,916 | 145,163 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 155,000 | 139,500 | $(15,500)$ | 155,000 | 15,500 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 4,304,517 | 4,336,821 | 32,304 | 4,363,831 | 27,010 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 93,814,525 | 98,679,267 | 4,864,742 | 101,560,444 | 2,881,177 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 425310 Consumer Protection Fines | 5,141,717 | 5,288,752 | 147,035 | 5,410,804 | 122,052 |
| 449997 City Depts Revenue From OCII | 400,000 | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |  |
| 486020 Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 4,465,000 | 4,965,000 | 500,000 | 4,490,000 | $(475,000)$ |
| 486050 Exp Rec Fr Adult Probation AAO | 380,000 | 200,000 | $(180,000)$ | 200,000 |  |
| 486070 Exp Rec Fr Assessor (AAO) | 900,000 | 900,000 |  | 900,000 |  |
| 486100 Exp Rec Fr Bus \& Enc Dev (AAO) | 792,360 | 1,292,360 | 500,000 | 1,292,360 |  |
| 486110 Exp Rec Fr Bldg Inspection AAO | 3,501,592 | 3,000,000 | $(501,592)$ | 3,000,000 |  |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| 486800 | Exp Rec Fr Cleanwater (AAO) |  |  | $1,116,000$ | 1,116,000 | 1,116,000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 487230 | Exp Rec From Isd (Non-AAO) |  |  | 100,000 | $(100,000)$ |  | 400,000 |  |
| 487250 | Exp Rec Fr PUC (Non-AAO) |  |  | 690,000 | 400,000 | $(290,000)$ |  |  |
| 487990 | Exp Rec-Unallocated Non-AAO Fd |  |  | 300,000 | 345,000 | 45,000 | $(345,000)$ |  |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 25,305,115 | 26,769,706 | 1,464,591 | 30,963,983 | 4,194,277 |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 93,814,525 | 98,679,267 | 4,864,742 | 101,560,444 | 2,881,177 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 50,278,639 | 54,098,310 | 3,819,671 | 56,366,205 | 2,267,895 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 21,488,737 | 21,592,853 | 104,116 | 21,896,410 | 303,557 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 13,140,915 | 13,918,031 | 777,116 | 14,063,194 | 145,163 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 155,000 | 139,500 | $(15,500)$ | 155,000 | 15,500 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 904,517 | 936,821 | 32,304 | 963,831 | 27,010 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 85,967,808 | 90,685,515 | 4,717,707 | 93,444,640 | 2,759,125 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 85,967,808 | 90,685,515 | 4,717,707 | 93,444,640 | 2,759,125 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 16966 | Business Tax Litigation | 470,000 | 470,000 | 470,000 |  |  |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 470,000 | 470,000470,000 | 0 | 470,000 | 0 |
| Annual Proj | ects - Authority Control Total |  |  | 470,000 |  | 0 | 470,000 | 0 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 16965 | CA Legal Initiatives | 2,235,000 | 2,235,000 | 2,235,000 |  |  |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 2,235,000 | 2,235,000 | 0 | 2,235,000 | 0122,052 |
| 13490 | SR City Attorney-Special Rev | 16967 | CA Cat Consumer Protection Enf | 5,141,717 | 5,288,752 | 147,035 | $5,410,804$ |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 | 2022-2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Budget | Recommended | Change From | Recommended | Change From |
| Budget | Budget | 2020-2021 | Budget | 2021-2022 |
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## Total Sources by Fund

Reserved Appropriations


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14020 Total |  |  |  | 0 200,000 |  | 200,000 | 0 | $(200,000)$ |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 2,396,000 | 3,420,000 | 1,024,000 | 820,000 | $(2,600,000)$ |
| Total Us | s of Funds |  |  | 60,446,114 | 61,977,580 | 1,531,466 | 57,761,513 | $(4,216,067)$ |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023




| 486750 | Exp Rec Fr Hetch Hetchy (AAO) |  |  | 79,743 | 86,270 | 6,527 | 88,828 | 2,558 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 486760 | Exp Rec Fr Water Dept (AAO) |  |  | 422,561 | 438,943 | 16,382 | 451,960 | 13,017 |
| 486800 | Exp Rec Fr Cleanwater (AAO) |  |  | 211,392 | 237,000 | 25,608 | 244,028 | 7,028 |
| 486990 | Exp Rec-General Unallocated |  |  | 22,636,017 | 23,441,420 | 805,403 | 22,270,063 | $(1,171,357)$ |
| 487910 | Exp Rec-Bond Issuance Costs |  |  | 135,000 | 135,000 |  | 135,000 |  |
| 487990 | Exp Rec-Unallocated Non-AAO Fd |  |  | 495,272 | 605,219 | 109,947 | 616,264 | 11,045 |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 11,676,614 | 11,860,088 | 183,474 | 11,607,288 | $(252,800)$ |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 75,093,898 | 76,939,262 | 1,845,364 | 75,654,924 | $(1,284,338)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 13,565,100 | 14,591,980 | 1,026,880 | 15,394,107 | 802,127 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 6,248,547 | 6,268,198 | 19,651 | 6,472,506 | 204,308 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 1,348,472 | 1,943,656 | 595,184 | 2,005,722 | 62,066 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 84,853 | 402,341 | 317,488 | 315,857 | $(86,484)$ |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | $(3,495,908)$ | (3,701,701) | $(205,793)$ | $(3,747,380)$ | $(45,679)$ |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 1,227,447 | 1,244,531 | 17,084 | 834,749 | $(409,782)$ |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 18,978,511 | 20,749,005 | 1,770,494 | 21,275,561 | 526,556 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 18,978,511 | 20,749,005 | 1,770,494 | 21,275,561 | 526,556 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 16935 | CO Economic Analysis | 582,671 | 618,233 | 35,562 | 632,050 | 13,817 |
|  |  | 16937 | CO Coit-approved Projects | 2,617,650 | 1,115,575 | (1,502,075) |  | $(1,115,575)$ |
|  |  | 16940 | CO Office Of Public Finance | 495,272 | 535,219 | 39,947 | 546,264 | 11,045 |
|  |  | 20300 | CO Systems Enhancement | 820,383 |  | $(820,383)$ |  |  |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 4,515,976 | 2,269,027 | $(2,246,949)$ | 1,178,314 | $(1,090,713)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 4,515,976 | 2,269,027 | $(2,246,949)$ | 1,178,314 | $(1,090,713)$ |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| 411223 | Com Rnt GR Tx-Child Care_Ed |  |  |  | 162,950,000 | 162,950,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash |  |  |  | 714,736 | 714,736 |
| 440150 | CalStateDeptEd-Stage2ChildCare |  |  |  | 319,610 | 319,610 |
| 444931 | Fed Grants Pass-Thru State-Oth |  |  |  | 794,709 | 794,709 |
| 445150 | Cal State Dept Of Educ-Stge2-3 |  |  |  | 10,778,910 | 10,778,910 |
| 445417 | Prop 10 Tobacco Tax Funding |  |  |  | 6,695,121 | 6,695,121 |
| 448999 | Other State Grants \& Subventns |  |  |  | 1,254,185 | 1,254,185 |
| 475413 | Childcare Requirement Fee |  |  |  | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 |
| 475415 | Community ImprovementImpactFee |  |  |  | 1,918,000 | 1,918,000 |
| 486030 | Exp Rec Fr Admin Svcs (AAO) |  |  |  | 128,500 | 128,500 |
| 486050 | Exp Rec Fr Adult Probation AAO |  |  |  | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| 486190 | Exp Rec Fr Child; Youth\&Fam AAO |  |  |  | 22,967,603 | 22,967,603 |
| 486191 | Exp Rec Fr Early Childhood |  |  |  | 12,290,503 | 12,290,503 |
| 486370 | Exp Rec Fr Comm Health Svc AAO |  |  |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| 486450 | Exp Rec From Mohcd |  |  |  | 417,500 | 417,500 |
| 486690 | Exp Rec Fr Human Services AAO |  |  |  | 4,533,288 | 4,533,288 |
| 493001 | OTI Fr 1G-General Fund |  |  |  | 602,496 | 602,496 |
| 499999 | Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only |  |  |  | 2,334,623 | 2,334,623 |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  | 69,962,154 | 69,962,154 |
| Total Sources by Fund |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304,221,938 | 304,221,938 |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Board Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10036593 | HS CH ECE for All |  |  |  | 162,950,000 | 162,950,000 |
| Board Reserves: Total |  |  | 0 | 0 | 162,950,000 | 162,950,000 |
| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10022889 | HS CH Childcare Capital Funds |  |  |  | 1,918,000 | 1,918,000 |
| Controller | es: Total |  | 0 | 0 | 1,918,000 | 1,918,000 |
| Mayor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10036593 | HS CH ECE for All |  |  |  | 99,786,925 | 99,786,925 |
| Mayor Reserves: Total |  |  | 0 | 0 | 99,786,925 | 99,786,925 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11020 | SR Children\&FamiliesGrants Fed | 10035577 | CFC IMPACT 2020 Local |  |  |  | 320,142 | 320,142 |
|  |  | 10037041 | CFC IMPACT 2020 HUB |  |  |  | 1,095,708 | 1,095,708 |
|  |  | 10037043 | CFC FY21-22 CSPP QRIS |  |  |  | 1,205,183 | 1,205,183 |
|  |  | 10037044 | CFC FY21-22 QCC QRIS |  |  |  | 313,456 | 313,456 |
| 11020 Total |  |  |  | 00 |  | 0 | 2,934,489 | 2,934,489 |
| 12960 | SR Human Welfare-Grants | 10037028 | HS CH CLPC Planning Cnl FY22 |  |  |  | 56,647 | 56,647 |
|  |  | 10037029 | HS CH QCC Wkfc Pathways FY22 |  |  |  | 424,606 | 424,606 |
| 12960 Total |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 481,253 | 481,253 |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 3,415,742 | 3,415,742 |
| Continuing Projects - Project Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 12920 | SR Human Welfare-Grants Sta | 10037028 | HS CH CLPC Planning Cnl FY22 |  |  |  | 5,122 | 5,122 |
|  |  | 10037029 | HS CH QCC Wkfc Pathways FY22 |  |  |  | 43,880 | 43,880 |
| 12920 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Project Control Total |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 49,002 | 49,002 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 49,002 | 49,002 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  | 304,221,938 | 304,221,938 |

Department Appropriations (2 year)

| Department: DPA Police Accountabilty |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 10,415,143 | 9,373,996 | $(1,041,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 246,867 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 10,415,143 | 9,373,996 | $(1,041,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 246,867 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| DPA Police Accountabilty | 10,415,143 | 9,373,996 | $(1,041,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 246,867 |
| Total Uses by Division | 10,415,143 | 9,373,996 | $(1,041,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 246,867 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 6,173,633 | 5,612,357 | $(561,276)$ | 5,827,887 | 215,530 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,638,221 | 2,251,878 | $(386,343)$ | 2,277,407 | 25,529 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 444,336 | 344,336 | $(100,000)$ | 344,336 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 34,918 | 34,918 |  | 34,918 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | 160,000 | 100,000 | $(60,000)$ | 100,000 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 964,035 | 1,030,507 | 66,472 | 1,036,315 | 5,808 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 10,415,143 | 9,373,996 | $(1,041,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 246,867 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 486020 Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 8,000 | 8,000 |  | 8,000 |  |
| 486370 Exp Rec Fr Comm Health Svc AAO | 100,000 | 20,000 | $(80,000)$ | 20,000 |  |
| 486500 Exp Rec Fr Police Comssn AAO | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |
| General Fund Support | 10,207,143 | 9,245,996 | $(961,147)$ | 9,492,863 | 246,867 |
| Total Sources by Fund | 10,415,143 | 9,373,996 | $(1,041,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 246,867 |



| Department: DAT District Attorney |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 65,400,723 | 72,989,107 | 7,588,384 | 75,000,794 | 2,011,687 |
| General Services Fund | 310,000 | 310,000 |  | 310,000 |  |
| Public Protection Fund | 8,011,083 | 7,938,498 | $(72,585)$ | 7,925,379 | $(13,119)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 73,721,806 | 81,237,605 | 7,515,799 | 83,236,173 | 1,998,568 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAT District Attorney | 73,721,806 | 81,237,605 | 7,515,799 | 83,236,173 | 1,998,568 |
| Total Uses by Division | 73,721,806 | 81,237,605 | 7,515,799 | 83,236,173 | 1,998,568 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 39,256,498 | 45,298,997 | 6,042,499 | 46,953,228 | 1,654,231 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 16,173,121 | 17,390,981 | 1,217,860 | 17,358,564 | $(32,417)$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | 3,998,873 | 3,721,786 | $(277,087)$ | 3,724,981 | 3,195 |
| City Grant Program | 1,143,707 | 1,164,077 | 20,370 | 1,149,493 | $(14,584)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 359,557 | 227,326 | $(132,231)$ | 227,326 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | $(190,350)$ | $(196,114)$ | $(5,764)$ | $(194,843)$ | 1,271 |
| Programmatic Projects | 2,708,666 | 2,908,666 | 200,000 | 2,908,666 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 10,271,734 | 10,721,886 | 450,152 | 11,108,758 | 386,872 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 73,721,806 | 81,237,605 | 7,515,799 | 83,236,173 | 1,998,568 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 444931 Fed Grants Pass-Thru State-Oth | 2,914,160 | 2,917,076 | 2,916 | 2,917,076 |  |
| 444932 Fed-Narc Forfeitures \& Seizure | 25,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  |
| 444939 Federal Direct Grant | 175,000 |  | $(175,000)$ |  |  |




Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 767,880 679,853 |  | $(88,027)$ | 679,853 | 0 |
| Total Use | of Funds |  |  | 73,721,806 | 81,237,605 | 7,515,799 | 83,236,173 | 1,998,568 |

Department: ECN Economic \& Wrkfrce Dvipmnt

| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 5,505,253 | 7,651,249 | 2,145,996 | 7,225,917 | $(425,332)$ |
| Culture and Recreation Fund | 1,452,390 | 1,200,000 | $(252,390)$ | 1,225,000 | 25,000 |
| General Fund | 89,191,982 | 142,929,728 | 53,737,746 | 98,588,545 | $(44,341,183)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 96,149,625 | 151,780,977 | 55,631,352 | 107,039,462 | $(44,741,515)$ |

Division Summary


Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation

Controller Reserves:
10037562 EW Small Biz Support Fund
Controller Reserves: Total $000 \times 009^{\prime} \mathrm{Z} 000^{\circ} 009^{〔}$ 己 $000^{\circ} 00 S^{\prime} z \quad 000^{\circ} 00 s^{\prime} z$
Annual Projects - Authority Control
Annual Projects - Authority Control Total
10020 GF Continuing Authority CtrI

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10770 | SR Neighborhood Dev-Grants | 10037517 | Workforce Accelerator Fund 9.0 |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |
|  |  | 10037675 | Slingshot 4.0 |  | 117,505 | 117,505 |  | $(117,505)$ |
| 10770 Total |  |  |  | 4,635,123 | 5,575,922 | 940,799 | 4,458,417 | $(1,117,505)$ |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 5,495,253 | 7,651,249 | 2,155,996 | 4,725,917 | $(2,925,332)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Project Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10855 |  | 10037562 | EW Small Biz Support Fund |  |  |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| 10855 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Project Control Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 96,149,625 | 151,780,977 | 55,631,352 | 107,039,462 | (44,741,515) |


| Department: REG Elections |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 24,388,329 | 30,671,997 | 6,283,668 | 22,915,000 | $(7,756,997)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 24,388,329 | 30,671,997 | 6,283,668 | 22,915,000 | $(7,756,997)$ |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| REG Elections Services | 24,294,798 | 30,602,909 | 6,308,111 | 22,843,989 | (7,758,920) |
| REG Elections-Commission | 93,531 | 69,088 | $(24,443)$ | 71,011 | 1,923 |
| Total Uses by Division | 24,388,329 | 30,671,997 | 6,283,668 | 22,915,000 | (7,756,997) |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 6,976,682 | 9,548,156 | 2,571,474 | 7,410,644 | $(2,137,512)$ |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,004,547 | 2,178,835 | 174,288 | 2,044,802 | $(134,033)$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | 13,179,906 | 15,587,152 | 2,407,246 | 10,906,605 | $(4,680,547)$ |
| City Grant Program | 250,000 | 300,000 | 50,000 | 300,000 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 31,465 | 21,700 | $(9,765)$ | 21,700 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 413,001 | 790,401 | 377,400 | 490,401 | $(300,000)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,532,728 | 2,245,753 | 713,025 | 1,740,848 | $(504,905)$ |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 24,388,329 | 30,671,997 | 6,283,668 | 22,915,000 | $(7,756,997)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 448999 Other State Grants \& Subventns | 2,668,750 | 4,623,500 | 1,954,750 |  | $(4,623,500)$ |
| 460136 County Candidate Filing Fee | 16,013 | 52,762 | 36,749 | 57,881 | 5,119 |
| 460149 Paid Ballot Argument Fee | 10,000 | 8,000 | $(2,000)$ | 10,000 | 2,000 |
| 460199 Other General Government Chrge | 1,505 | 1,210 | (295) | 1,410 | 200 |
| 469999 Other Operating Revenue | 750,000 |  | $(750,000)$ | 450,000 | 450,000 |






Sources of Funds Detail by Account
Department: ENV Environment



| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12200 | SR Env-Operating-Non-Project | Mandatory Fringe Benefits |  | 1,036,731 | 987,405 | $(49,326)$ | 1,117,152 | 129,747 |
|  |  | Non-Personnel Services |  | 931,621 | 761,582 | $(170,039)$ | 328,582 | $(433,000)$ |
|  |  | Materials \& Supplies |  | 32,157 | 32,157 |  | 32,157 |  |
|  |  | Overhead and Allocations |  | 241,643 | 289,969 | 48,326 | 286,455 | $(3,514)$ |
|  |  | Services Of Other Depts |  | 536,191 | 851,384 | 315,193 | 906,514 | 55,130 |
| 12200 Total |  |  |  | 4,444,137 | 4,864,239 | 420,102 | 4,680,552 | $(183,687)$ |
| 13850 | SR Cigarette Litter Abatement | Services Of Other Depts |  | 3,800,000 | 3,230,000 | $(570,000)$ | 3,230,000 |  |
| 13850 Total |  |  |  | 3,800,000 | 3,230,000 | $(570,000)$ | 3,230,000 | 0 |
| 13990 | SR Solid Waste Non-Project | Salaries |  | 3,984,913 | 4,366,037 | 381,124 | 4,516,412 | 150,375 |
|  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits |  | 2,265,431 | 2,270,324 | 4,893 | 2,310,401 | 40,077 |
|  |  | Non-Personnel Services |  |  | 997,210 | $(1,426,920)$ | 997,210 |  |
|  |  | City Grant Program |  | $2,424,130$ 360,000 | 400,200 | 40,200 | 400,200 |  |
|  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out |  | 6,458,349 | 3,833,465 | $(2,624,884)$ | 3,932,259 | 98,794 |
|  |  | Materials \& Supplies |  | 121,210 | 95,260 | $(25,950)$ | 105,260 | 10,000 |
|  |  | Services Of Other Depts |  | 896,335 | 1,352,502 | 456,167 | 1,434,078 | 81,576 |
|  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(4,264,733)$ | $(3,833,465)$ | 431,268 | $(3,932,259)$ | $(98,794)$ |
| 13990 Total |  |  |  | 12,245,635 | 9,481,533 | $(2,764,102)$ | 9,763,561 | 282,028 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 20,489,772 | 17,575,772 | $(2,914,000)$ | 17,674,113 | 98,341 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 12210 | SR Env-Continuing Projects | 19256 | WB Air Travel Carbon Offset Pr WA Safe Drug Disposal Ordinanc | 260,000164,430 | 75,116 | $(184,884)$ | 74,863 | (253) |
|  |  | 19366 |  |  | 164,184 | (246) | 128,137 | $(36,047)$ |
| 12210 Total |  |  |  | 424,430 | 239,300 | $(185,130)$ | 203,000 | $(36,300)$ |
| 14000 | SR Solid Waste Projects | 15740 | EV Environment Now Program | 4,264,733 | 3,833,465 | $(431,268)$ | 3,932,259 | 98,794 |
| 14000 Total |  |  |  | 4,264,733 | 3,833,465 | $(431,268)$ | 3,932,259 | 98,794 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 4,689,163 | 4,072,765 | $(616,398)$ | 4,135,259 | 62,494 |
| Grants Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 12230 | SR Grants; ENV Continuing | 10034582 10036050 10036051 | SEAT Grant FY 2021 Used Oil FY21 SWMP Outreach Bottle Bill FY21 | 45,000 240,388 213,505 |  | $(45,000)$ $(240,388)$ $(213,505)$ |  |  |



| Department: ETH Ethics Commission |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $2021-2022$ <br> Recommended <br> Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| ETH Ethics Commission | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Total Uses by Division | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 2,840,721 | 4,043,216 | 1,202,495 | 4,432,197 | 388,981 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 1,217,115 | 1,631,980 | 414,865 | 1,745,926 | 113,946 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 223,918 | 272,433 | 48,515 | 263,433 | $(9,000)$ |
| City Grant Program |  |  |  | 446,860 | 446,860 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 23,508 | 59,508 | 36,000 | 23,508 | $(36,000)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 419,253 | 543,941 | 124,688 | 546,277 | 2,336 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420710 Lobbyist Registration Fee | 85,000 | 85,000 |  | 85,000 |  |
| 420711 Campagn Consltnt Registrtn Fee | 7,000 | 7,000 |  | 7,000 |  |
| 425510 Campaign Disclosure Fines | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  |
| 425520 Lobby Fines | 2,000 | 2,000 |  | 2,000 |  |
| 425521 Campaign Consultant Fines | 2,000 | 2,000 |  | 2,000 |  |
| 425530 Economic Interest Fines | 1,250 | 1,250 |  | 1,250 |  |
| 425590 Other Ethics Fines | 7,500 | 7,500 |  | 7,500 |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


| Department: FAM Fine Arts Museum |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Culture and Recreation Fund | 1,123,614 | 1,038,770 | $(84,844)$ | 1,064,066 | 25,296 |
| General Fund | 17,346,489 | 19,946,986 | 2,600,497 | 19,857,620 | $(89,366)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,921,686 | $(64,070)$ |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAM Fine Arts Museum | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,921,686 | $(64,070)$ |
| Total Uses by Division | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,921,686 | $(64,070)$ |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 8,954,502 | 9,800,763 | 846,261 | 10,150,354 | 349,591 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 4,391,425 | 4,475,646 | 84,221 | 4,556,588 | 80,942 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 617,481 | 617,481 |  | 617,481 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 422,456 | 1,399,579 | 977,123 | 1,020,258 | $(379,321)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 40,900 | 158,130 | 117,230 | 36,500 | $(121,630)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations | 186,513 | 64,593 | $(121,920)$ | 64,593 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 3,856,826 | 4,469,564 | 612,738 | 4,475,912 | 6,348 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,921,686 | $(64,070)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 462851 Museum Exhibition Admission | 750,000 | 771,934 | 21,934 | 1,064,066 | 292,132 |
| 486020 Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 154,000 | 179,000 | 25,000 | 179,000 |  |
| 487020 Exp Rec Fr Airport (Non-AAO) | 25,000 |  | $(25,000)$ |  |  |
| 493001 OTI Fr 1G-General Fund | 373,614 | 266,836 | $(106,778)$ |  | $(266,836)$ |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Department Appropriations (2 year)

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11940 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 1,123,614 | 1,038,770 | $(84,844)$ | 1,064,066 | 25,296 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,323,614 | 1,578,770 | 255,156 | 1,664,066 | 85,296 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,921,686 | $(64,070)$ |

Division Summary


Department: FIR

Department: FIR Fire Department


| Programmatic Projects |  | 3,650,000 | 8,050,000 | 4,400,000 | 2,475,000 | $(5,575,000)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services Of Other Depts |  | 27,094,720 | 28,258,183 | 1,163,463 | 28,802,295 | 544,112 |
| Transfers Out |  | 1,267,894 | 1,290,721 | 22,827 | 1,290,721 |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(3,069,392)$ | $(3,092,219)$ | $(22,827)$ | $(3,092,219)$ |  |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 412,290,704 | 439,975,978 | 27,685,274 | 443,320,401 | 3,344,423 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420150 | MedCannbisDispnsryApplicatnFee | 45,500 | 45,500 |  | 45,500 |  |
| 439899 | Other City Property Rentals | 370,000 | 370,000 |  | 370,000 |  |
| 444939 | Federal Direct Grant | 869,894 | 892,721 | 22,827 | 892,721 |  |
| 444940 | US Navy Cooperative Agreement | 398,000 | 398,000 |  | 398,000 |  |
| 447611 | CA OES Disaster - State Share | 500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,500,000 |  |
| 448311 | Public Safety Sales Tax Alloc | 48,530,000 | 40,994,000 | $(7,536,000)$ | 40,191,000 | $(803,000)$ |
| 460199 | Other General Government Chrge | 1,500 | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  |
| 460629 | False Alarm Response Fee | 220,500 | 220,500 |  | 220,500 |  |
| 460663 | Fire Pre-Applic Plan ReviewFee | 221,000 | 224,801 | 3,801 | 224,801 |  |
| 460664 | Fire Water Flow Request Fee | 214,500 | 191,744 | $(22,756)$ | 191,744 |  |
| 460667 | Fire Plan Checking | 6,165,000 | 6,165,000 |  | 6,165,000 |  |
| 460668 | Fire Inspection Fees | 1,678,888 | 1,652,950 | $(25,938)$ | 1,652,950 |  |
| 460670 | High Rise Fire Inspection Fee | 1,957,500 | 1,950,481 | $(7,019)$ | 1,950,481 |  |
| 460671 | SFFD Tx Coll Renewal Fee | 2,118,800 | 2,108,724 | $(10,076)$ | 2,108,724 |  |
| 460672 | SFFD Orig Filing-Posting Fee | 1,065,000 | 680,000 | $(385,000)$ | 680,000 |  |
| 460673 | Fire Code Reinspection Fee | 182,780 | 174,200 | $(8,580)$ | 174,200 |  |
| 460674 | Fire Referral Inspection Fee | 188,500 | 158,683 | $(29,817)$ | 158,683 |  |
| 460678 | Fire Overtime Service Fees | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  |
| 460679 | Fire Residential Inspectn Fee | 627,041 | 627,041 |  | 627,041 |  |
| 460685 | Other Fire Dept Charges | 4,862,988 | 4,990,552 | 127,564 | 4,990,552 |  |
| 460699 | Other Public Safety Charges | 310,000 | 310,000 |  | 310,000 |  |
| 465905 | Insurance Net Revenue | 326,000 | 326,000 |  | 326,000 |  |
| 465916 | Ambulance Billings | 137,405,311 | 137,149,927 | $(255,384)$ | 137,149,927 |  |
| 465917 | AmbulnceContractualAdjst\&Allow | (110,271,759) | $(113,313,223)$ | $(3,041,464)$ | (113,313,223) |  |
| 465999 | Misc Hospital Service Revenue | 20,000 | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  |
| 486030 | Exp Rec Fr Admin Svcs (AAO) | 10,000 | 10,000 |  | 10,000 |  |
| 486100 | Exp Rec Fr Bus \& Enc Dev (AAO) | 360,448 | 253,283 | $(107,165)$ | 263,272 | 9,989 |
| 486110 | Exp Rec Fr Bldg Inspection AAO | 1,103,031 | 1,103,031 |  | 1,103,031 |  |
| 486310 | Exp Rec Fr EmergcyComcationAAO | 194,624 | 207,852 | 13,228 | 209,997 | 2,145 |
| 486400 | Exp Rec Fr CommMental HIth AAO |  | 6,382,407 | 6,382,407 | 6,404,781 | 22,374 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023



| $77,610,115$ | $86,909,622$ | $9,299,507$ | $90,989,588$ | $4,079,966$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $19,322,239$ | $20,752,613$ | $1,430,374$ | $19,004,709$ | $(1,747,904)$ |
| $24,833,405$ | $22,421,163$ | $(2,412,242)$ | $38,368,067$ | $15,946,904$ |
|  | $12,000,000$ | $12,000,000$ |  | $(12,000,000)$ |
| $379,360,753$ | $379,472,453$ | 111,700 | $319,567,948$ | $(59,904,505)$ |
| $1,124,668,808$ | $722,665,937$ | $(402,002,871)$ | $695,793,992$ | $(26,871,945)$ |
| $37,550,000$ | $15,215,000$ | $(22,335,000)$ | $5,829,989$ | $(9,385,011)$ |
| $33,864,908$ | $38,807,429$ | $4,942,521$ | $39,707,620$ | 900,191 |
| $520,748,959$ | $280,477,580$ | $(240,271,379)$ | $271,018,035$ | $(9,459,545)$ |
| $34,070,019$ | $55,615,763$ | $21,545,744$ | $54,450,939$ | $(1,164,824)$ |
| $149,000,000$ | $6,129,000$ | $(142,871,000)$ | $5,844,000$ | $(285,000)$ |
| $(599,080,000)$ | $(40,400,000)$ | $558,680,000$ | $(37,560,000)$ | $2,840,000$ |

Fund Summary

| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Certificates of Participation | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 |  | 2,250,000 |  |
| Children and Families Fund |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  |
| General Fund | 1,407,588,453 | 1,209,456,876 | $(198,131,577)$ | 1,167,024,074 | $(42,432,802)$ |
| General Obligation Bond Fund | 377,100,753 | 377,222,453 | 121,700 | 317,317,948 | $(59,904,505)$ |
| Other Bond Funds | 10,000 |  | $(10,000)$ |  |  |
| Public Wks Trans and Commerce | 15,000,000 | 8,637,231 | $(6,362,769)$ | 13,922,865 | 5,285,634 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 1,801,949,206 | 1,600,066,560 | $(201,882,646)$ | 1,503,014,887 | $(97,051,673)$ |
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## Sources of Funds Detail by Account




| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Services Of Other Depts | 33,632,806 | 38,566,626 | 4,933,820 | 39,459,713 | 893,087 |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 204,124,451 | 237,321,750 | 33,197,299 | 228,335,402 | $(8,986,348)$ |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev Retained | 34,070,019 | 53,115,763 | 19,045,744 | 54,450,939 | 1,335,176 |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 149,000,000 |  | $(149,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | (7,350,000) | (7,610,000) | $(260,000)$ | (8,800,000) | $(1,190,000)$ |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 1,361,897,894 | 1,167,420,260 | $(194,477,634)$ | 1,151,719,920 | $(15,700,340)$ |
| 17380 | DSCOP HOUSING TRUST FUND |  | Debt Service | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 |  | 2,250,000 |  |
| 17380 Total |  |  |  | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 0 | 2,250,000 | 0 |
| 17620 | DSGOB GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND |  | Debt Service | 361,278,103 | 358,816,053 | $(2,462,050)$ | 298,910,048 | $(59,906,005)$ |
| 17620 Total |  |  |  | 361,278,103 | 358,816,053 | $(2,462,050)$ | 298,910,048 | $(59,906,005)$ |
| 17640 | DSGOB TSR FOR LHH GOB |  | Debt Service | 15,822,650 | 18,406,400 | 2,583,750 | 18,407,900 | 1,500 |
| 17640 Total |  |  |  | 15,822,650 | 18,406,400 | 2,583,750 | 18,407,900 | 1,500 |
| 17750 | DSODS GENERAL CITY LOANS |  | Debt Service | 10,000 |  | $(10,000)$ |  |  |
| 17750 Total |  |  |  | 10,000 | 0 | $(10,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 1,741,258,647 | 1,546,892,713 | $(194,365,934)$ | 1,471,287,868 | $(75,604,845)$ |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 10000 | Operating | 36,900,000 |  | $(36,900,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 17065 | Indigent Defense Special Circu | 800,000 | 600,000 | $(200,000)$ | 800,000 | 200,000 |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 37,700,000 | 600,000 | $(37,100,000)$ | 800,000 | 200,000 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 37,700,000 600,000 |  | $(37,100,000)$ | 800,000 | 200,000 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 17058 | GE Board District Projects 650,000 <br> GE General Reserve Admin Code  |  | 715,000 | 65,000 | 650,000 | $(65,000)$ |
|  |  | 17064 |  |  | 3,429,000 | 3,429,000 | 6,144,000 | 2,715,000 |
|  |  | 17066 | Mission Bay Transportation Imp GE Tech \& Infr Maint-replaceme | 6,440,559 | 5,867,616 | $(572,943)$ | 6,785,154 | 917,538 |
|  |  | 17073 |  | 900,000 | 1,925,000 | 1,025,000 | 925,000 | $(1,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 21761 | Res-Hotel Tax Loss Art Alloctn |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | (2,500,000) |
|  |  | 21818 | Cultural Museums |  | 12,000,000 | 12,000,000 |  | (12,000,000) |
|  |  | 21854 | First Year Free |  | 12,000,000 | 12,000,000 |  | $(12,000,000)$ |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


| Fund Title | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Shops Fund | 33,370,020 | 34,481,043 | 1,111,023 | 35,183,070 | 702,027 |
| City Facilities Improvement Fd | 1,300,000 | 33,943,000 | 32,643,000 |  | $(33,943,000)$ |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 3,400,000 | 2,800,000 | $(600,000)$ | 2,800,000 |  |
| Convention Facilities Fund | 78,103,224 | 88,547,791 | 10,444,567 | 96,919,182 | 8,371,391 |
| Culture and Recreation Fund | 13,203,000 | 14,523,300 | 1,320,300 | 15,976,000 | 1,452,700 |
| General Fund | 150,858,294 | 176,330,502 | 25,472,208 | 189,743,228 | 13,412,726 |
| General Services Fund | 787,382 | 212,563 | $(574,819)$ | 234,563 | 22,000 |
| Public Protection Fund | 56,980 |  | $(56,980)$ |  |  |
| Real Property Fund | 153,509,035 | 167,748,241 | 14,239,206 | 171,149,171 | 3,400,930 |
| Reproduction Fund | 9,399,591 | 9,323,951 | $(75,640)$ | 9,367,299 | 43,348 |
| Treasure Island Dev Authority | 27,097,413 | 26,915,338 | $(182,075)$ | 28,919,684 | 2,004,346 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 471,084,939 | 554,825,729 | 83,740,790 | 550,292,197 | $(4,533,532)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADM Administration | 19,122,187 | 19,131,042 | 8,855 | 19,697,820 | 566,778 |
| ADM Animal Care And Control | 8,484,264 | 9,528,984 | 1,044,720 | 9,712,599 | 183,615 |
| ADM City Administrator Prog | 93,246,299 | 121,914,310 | 28,668,011 | 108,263,255 | $(13,651,055)$ |
| ADM Community Invest-Infrastr |  | 10 | 10 |  | (10) |
| ADM Convention Facilities Mgmt | 78,103,224 | 88,547,791 | 10,444,567 | 96,919,182 | 8,371,391 |
| ADM Entertainment Commission | 1,206,978 | 1,324,923 | 117,945 | 1,360,609 | 35,686 |
| ADM Internal Services | 259,893,530 | 302,162,424 | 42,268,894 | 301,444,567 | $(717,857)$ |
| ADM Medical Examiner | 11,028,457 | 12,216,245 | 1,187,788 | 12,894,165 | 677,920 |
| Total Uses by Division | 471,084,939 | 554,825,729 | 83,740,790 | 550,292,197 | $(4,533,532)$ |
|  | mmary |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 98,946,833 | 112,105,152 | 13,158,319 | 116,508,895 | 4,403,743 |








| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 19483 | AD City Admin Projects-disable |  | 675,750 | 675,750 |  | $(675,750)$ |
|  |  | 19486 | AD Red-capital Improvements | 4,866,553 | 2,800,000 | $(2,066,553)$ | 2,500,000 | $(300,000)$ |
|  |  | 20886 | ADRE HOJ Relocation | 2,000,000 | 3,262,449 | 1,262,449 | 12,148,782 | 8,886,333 |
|  |  | 20896 | AD 49SVN Project (Non-COP) | 1,949,401 |  | $(1,949,401)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 20925 | ADRE Permit Center Operating | 6,023,940 | 6,661,487 | 637,547 | 6,816,440 | 154,953 |
|  |  | 21652 | ADCP Critical Repairs |  | 5,648,750 | 5,648,750 | 5,648,750 |  |
|  |  | 21691 | AD Contractor Development |  | 300,000 | 300,000 | 200,000 | $(100,000)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 28,240,991 | 33,299,593 | 5,058,602 | 40,270,467 | 6,970,874 |
| 10493 | SR Union Sq Prk, Rec, OS fee | 21146 | Union Sq Prk, Rec, OS fee | 600,000 |  | $(600,000)$ |  |  |
| 10493 Total |  |  |  | 600,000 | 0 | $(600,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 10600 | SR Neighborhood Beautifcation | 16531 | AD Ccg-puc Watershed Stwd Gran | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |
|  |  | 19598 | AD Neighborhood Beautification | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | 2,500,000 |  |
| 10600 Total |  |  |  | 2,600,000 | 2,600,000 | 0 | 2,600,000 | 0 |
| 10670 | SR Eastern Neighborhood CI | 10804 | AD Adm - Interagency Planning | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  |
| 10670 Total |  |  |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 |
| 11440 | SR Conv Fac Fd-Continuing | 19491 | AD Moscone Conv Fac Capital Pr | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  |
| 11440 Total |  |  |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 |
| 11445 | SR Conv Fac Fd-Moscone Expan D | 19804 | Moscone Expansion District | 27,729,516 | 27,827,277 | 97,761 | 27,896,285 | 69,008 |
| 11445 Total |  |  |  | 27,729,516 | 27,827,277 | 97,761 | 27,896,285 | 69,008 |
| 11802 | SR Culture \& Rec Hotel Tax | 20451 | Grants For the Arts | 13,203,000 | 14,523,300 | 1,320,300 | 15,976,000 | 1,452,700 |
| 11802 Total |  |  |  | 13,203,000 | 14,523,300 | 1,320,300 | 15,976,000 | 1,452,700 |
| 12650 | SR Vital \& HIth Stat Fees | 17083 | HC Vital \& Health Stats Fd | 60,000 | 54,000 | $(6,000)$ | 76,000 | 22,000 |
| 12650 Total |  |  |  | 60,000 | 54,000 | $(6,000)$ | 76,000 | 22,000 |
| 14300 | SR Real Property | 17375 | Real Estate Div Facilities Inv | 1,104,280 | 1,137,408 | 33,128 | 1,137,408 |  |
|  |  | 17377 | Real Estate Projects | 95,000 | 306,115 | 211,115 | 311,829 | 5,714 |
|  |  | 17378 | Real Estate Real Property Fund | 141,963,012 | 158,051,656 | 16,088,644 | 163,247,140 | 5,195,484 |
| 14300 Total |  |  |  | 143,162,292 | 159,495,179 | 16,332,887 | 164,696,377 | 5,201,198 |
| 14400 | SR Yerba Buena Gardens | 17379 | Yerba Buena Gardens Project | 3,304,226 | 3,001,903 | $(302,323)$ | 1,201,635 | $(1,800,268)$ |
|  |  | 20307 | Yerba Buena Gardens Operations | 7,042,517 | 5,251,159 | $(1,791,358)$ | 5,251,159 |  |
| 14400 Total |  |  |  | 10,346,743 | 8,253,062 | $(2,093,681)$ | 6,452,794 | $(1,800,268)$ |
| 15384 | CPXCF COP Crit Reprs/Rcv Stmls | 21652 | ADCP Critical Repairs |  | 10,768,000 | 10,768,000 |  | $(10,768,000)$ |
|  |  | 21790 | AD 1SVN CR COPs |  | 2,100,000 | 2,100,000 |  | $(2,100,000)$ |
|  |  | 21791 | AD CH HVAC CR COPs |  | 6,275,000 | 6,275,000 |  | $(6,275,000)$ |
|  |  | 21792 | AD CH Elevators CR COPs |  | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | $(2,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 21793 | AD HOJ HVAC CR COPs |  | 4,800,000 | 4,800,000 |  | $(4,800,000)$ |
|  |  | 21796 | AD CR RS COPs Contingency |  | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 |  | $(5,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 21801 | AD Produce Mkt Reinvestment RS |  | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |  | $(3,000,000)$ |



Division Summary


Department: DPW

| $130,518,767$ | $137,401,857$ | $6,883,090$ | $141,587,074$ | $4,185,217$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $52,723,171$ | $52,428,306$ | $(294,865)$ | $53,603,051$ | $1,174,745$ |
| $19,426,490$ | $19,501,381$ | 74,891 | $23,509,193$ | $4,007,812$ |
| $25,229,902$ | $8,388,660$ | $(16,841,242)$ | $8,388,660$ |  |
| $48,930,488$ | $61,048,450$ | $12,117,962$ | $56,532,665$ | $(4,515,785)$ |
| 617,110 | 790,466 | 173,356 | 514,989 | $(275,477)$ |
| 922,081 | $2,471,714$ | $1,549,633$ | $2,902,304$ | 430,590 |
| $6,930,555$ | $5,732,858$ | $(1,197,697)$ | $5,748,058$ | 15,200 |
| 117,819 | 2 | $(117,817)$ | 1 | $(1)$ |
| $12,196,118$ | $16,755,183$ | $4,559,065$ | $16,022,732$ | $(732,451)$ |

Mandatory Fringe Benefits
Non-Personnel Services
City Grant Program
Capital Outlay
Facilities Maintenance
Intrafund Transfers Out
Materials \& Supplies
Overhead and Allocations
Programmatic Projects

| Fund Title | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City Facilities Improvement Fd | (9,000,000) | 9,000,000 | 18,000,000 |  | $(9,000,000)$ |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 2,400,000 | 2,524,372 | 124,372 | 6,311,000 | 3,786,628 |
| Gasoline Tax Fund | 56,784,693 | 59,984,927 | 3,200,234 | 60,898,346 | 913,419 |
| General Fund | 255,703,317 | 248,190,161 | $(7,513,156)$ | 257,831,851 | 9,641,690 |
| Public Wks Trans and Commerce | 44,443,851 | 37,807,888 | $(6,635,963)$ | 38,454,235 | 646,347 |
| Street Improvement Fund | 1,581,145 |  | $(1,581,145)$ |  |  |
| Total Uses by Funds | 351,913,006 | 357,507,348 | 5,594,342 | 363,495,432 | 5,988,084 |



| $(9,000,000)$ |
| ---: |
| 388 |
| 47,376 |
| 361,482 |
| 830 |
|  |
|  |
| 5,202 |
|  |
| 175 |
|  |
| 6,556 |
| 5,398 |
| 14,434 |
| 16,645 |
| 52,028 |
| 93,143 |
| 45,043 |
| 53,604 |
| 1,631 |








| 499999 | Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only |  |  | 13,639,997 | 9,781,243 | $(3,858,754)$ | 7,146,009 | $(2,635,234)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 999989 | ELIMSD TRANSFER ADJ-SOURCES |  |  | $(922,081)$ | $(2,471,714)$ | $(1,549,633)$ | $(2,902,304)$ | $(430,590)$ |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 95,519,805 | 99,101,770 | 3,581,965 | 103,171,429 | 4,069,659 |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 351,913,006 | 357,507,348 | 5,594,342 | 363,495,432 | 5,988,084 |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10033865 |  | PW VL CR and Sub-Sdwlk Bsmnt |  |  | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 |  | $(4,000,000)$ |
| 10034845 |  | PW MO Living Alleys Budget |  |  | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 |  |
| 10034846 |  | PW MO Sidewalk Greening Budget |  |  | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |
| 10035238 |  | PW Better Market St 5th-8th |  |  | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |  | $(3,000,000)$ |
| 10036514 |  | PW MO BMS 10th Octavia Budget |  |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |
| 10036515 |  | PW MO HUB Public Realm Budget |  |  | 11,468,372 | 11,468,372 | 3,470,000 | $(7,998,372)$ |
| 10037648 |  | PW EN Water/Dog/Potrero Budget |  |  |  |  | 2,241,000 | 2,241,000 |
| 10037666 |  | PW Bayview Infill Sidewalk Imp |  |  | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | $(2,000,000)$ |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  | 21,568,372 | 21,568,372 | 6,311,000 | $(15,257,372)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | e Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 22,901,935 | 22,635,850 | $(266,085)$ | 23,481,236 | 845,386 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 10,785,084 | 10,531,441 | $(253,643)$ | 10,859,437 | 327,996 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 1,374,674 | 1,167,674 | $(207,000)$ | 1,167,674 |  |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 8,371,825 | 6,269,132 | $(2,102,693)$ | 6,269,132 |  |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 710,447 | 868,720 | 158,273 | 282,156 | $(586,564)$ |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 943,414 | 1,060,214 | 116,800 | 1,048,414 | $(11,800)$ |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 27,064,319 | 34,582,553 | 7,518,234 | 35,804,306 | 1,221,753 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 1,154,498 | 1,376,788 | 222,290 | 1,453,753 | 76,965 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 73,306,196 | 78,492,372 | 5,186,176 | 80,366,108 | 1,873,736 |
| 12770 | SR Gas Tax-Annually Budgeted |  | Salaries |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12770 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12790 | SR Road | - Annually Budgeted | Mandatory Fringe Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |

Department Appropriations（2 year）


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | 2020－2021 | 2021－2022 | $2021-2022$ | $2022-2023$ | $2022-2023$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Annual Projects－Authority Control

| Original <br> Budget | Recommended <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020－2021 | Recommended <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021－2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ 2020-2021 \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 20692 | PW District 9 Projects | 56,000 | 56,000 |  | 56,000 |  |
|  |  | 20693 | PW District 10 Projects | 60,000 | $(60,000)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 20694 | PW District 11 Projects | 38,000 | 113,000 | 75,000 | 38,000 | $(75,000)$ |
|  |  | 21819 | Stockton St Widening |  | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | $(2,700,000)$ |  |
|  |  | 21822 | Carnaval Capital Work |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 80142 | PW City Facility Projects | 150,000 | 300,000 | 150,000 |  | $(300,000)$ |
|  |  | 80143 | PW Curb Ramp Program | 3,000,948 | 450,312 | $(2,550,636)$ | 4,061,028 | 3,610,716 |
|  |  | 80145 | PW Plaza Improvements |  | 300,000 | 300,000 |  | $(300,000)$ |
|  |  | 80146 | PW Plaza Inspect \& Repair Pgm | 116,894 | 123,323 | 6,429 | 129,489 | 6,166 |
|  |  | 80147 | PW Street Bridge Program |  |  |  | 2,120,000 | 2,120,000 |
|  |  | 80148 | PW Street Resurfacing Program | 5,928,024 | (5,928,024) |  |  |  |
|  |  | 80149 | PW Street Tree Establishment | 572,500 | 3,000,000 | 2,427,500 | 525,000 | $(2,475,000)$ |
|  |  | 80150 | PW Struct Inspect \& Rpr Pgm | 1,173,360 | 898,720 | $(274,640)$ | 525,000 | $(373,720)$ |
|  |  | 80151 | PW Urgent Repairs | 401,250 | 425,000 | 23,750 | 593,024 | 168,024 |
|  |  | 88888 | CoVid Incident Response | 16,000,000 |  | $(16,000,000)$ |  |  |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 39,281,770 | 27,364,893 | $(11,916,877)$ | 27,654,735 | 289,842 |
| 10670 | SR Eastern Neighborhood Cl | 80144 | PW IPIC Program | 300,000 | $(300,000)$ |  | 2,241,000 | 2,241,000 |
| 10670 Total |  |  |  | 300,000 | 0 | $(300,000)$ | 2,241,000 | 2,241,000 |
| 10820 | SR Market \& Octavia CI | 80144 | PW IPIC Program | 2,100,000 | 12,568,372 | 10,468,372 | 4,070,000 | $(8,498,372)$ |
| 10820 Total |  |  |  | 2,100,000 | 12,568,372 | 10,468,372 | 4,070,000 | $(8,498,372)$ |
| 10880 | SR Transit Center District | 17072 | GE Transit Center District |  | $(10,044,000)$ | $(10,044,000)$ |  | 10,044,000 |
| 10880 Total |  |  |  | 0 | $(10,044,000)$ | (10,044,000) | 0 | 10,044,000 |
| 12760 | SR Special Gas Tax St Impvt | 80148 | PW Street Resurfacing Program | 5,751,049 | 7,128,000 | 1,376,951 | 7,788,000 | 660,000 |
| 12760 Total |  |  |  | 5,751,049 | 7,128,000 | 1,376,951 | 7,788,000 | 660,000 |
| 12775 | SR RMRA City Capital Funding | 80148 | PW Street Resurfacing Program | 15,681,714 | 16,038,000 | 356,286 | 16,368,000 | 330,000 |
| 12775 Total |  |  |  | 15,681,714 | 16,038,000 | 356,286 | 16,368,000 | 330,000 |
| 12780 | SR Road | 80148 | PW Street Resurfacing Program | 3,068,951 | 3,672,000 | 603,049 | 4,012,000 | 340,000 |
| 12780 Total |  |  |  | 3,068,951 | 3,672,000 | 603,049 | 4,012,000 | 340,000 |
| 12785 | SR RMRA County Capital Funding | 80148 | PW Street Resurfacing Program | 8,368,286 | 8,262,000 | $(106,286)$ | 8,432,000 | 170,000 |
| 12785 Total |  |  |  | 8,368,286 | 8,262,000 | $(106,286)$ | 8,432,000 | 170,000 |
| 13970 | SR Services to Outside Agncy | 19377 | PW Development Review Services | 1,000,000 | $(1,000,000)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 21656 | SoMa 5th\&Brannan St Develop |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 0 |
| 13970 Total |  |  |  | 1,000,000 | 200,000 | $(800,000)$ | 200,000 |  |
| 13980 | SR Other Special Revenue | 19404 | PW Sidewalks (Priv Property) | 2,591,843 | 1,500,000 | $(1,091,843)$ | 1,575,000 | 75,000 |
|  |  | 19408 | WU Excav.fund City Conduit\&com | 196,000 | $(196,000)$ |  |  |  |
| 13980 Total |  |  |  | 2,787,843 | 1,500,000 | $(1,287,843)$ | 1,575,000 | 75,000 |
| 13985 | SR 2016 Prop E StreetTreeMaint | 20681 | PW BUF - Urban Forestry | 17,740,000 | 19,600,000 | 1,860,000 | 22,220,000 | 2,620,000 |



Department: DT GSA - Technology

| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City Facilities Improvement Fd |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | $(2,500,000)$ |
| General Fund | 8,491,586 | 17,838,079 | 9,346,493 | 8,892,416 | $(8,945,663)$ |
| General Services Fund | 2,390,000 | 2,397,667 | 7,667 | 2,516,373 | 118,706 |
| Telecom \& Information Fund | 120,591,059 | 130,287,328 | 9,696,269 | 133,790,263 | 3,502,935 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 131,472,645 | 153,023,074 | 21,550,429 | 145,199,052 | $(7,824,022)$ |

Division Summary

| DT Administration | 49,772,234 | 58,213,815 | 8,441,581 | 59,785,967 | 1,572,152 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DT Capital And Equipment | 1,100,000 | 12,700,000 | 11,600,000 |  | $(12,700,000)$ |
| DT Chief Technology Officer | $(51,501)$ |  | 51,501 |  |  |
| DT Communications | 6,821,709 | 6,975,504 | 153,795 | 7,191,343 | 215,839 |
| DT Cybersecurity | 7,930,995 | 8,583,396 | 652,401 | 8,923,091 | 339,695 |
| DT Enterprise Applications | 6,947,964 | 6,342,254 | $(605,710)$ | 6,499,027 | 156,773 |
| DT Infrastructure \& Operations | 29,587,612 | 24,300,393 | $(5,287,219)$ | 26,014,484 | 1,714,091 |
| DT Innovation | 1,000,501 | 1,049,379 | 48,878 | 1,069,576 | 20,197 |
| DT JUSTIS | 2,691,679 | 2,521,199 | $(170,480)$ | 3,053,968 | 532,769 |
| DT PMO |  | 3,244,328 | 3,244,328 | 3,319,168 | 74,840 |
| DT Public Safety | 12,958,236 | 13,991,023 | 1,032,787 | 14,269,212 | 278,189 |
| DT Rate Model DataSF | 1,346,852 |  | $(1,346,852)$ |  |  |
| DT Rate Model Usage |  | 4,902,544 | 4,902,544 | 4,938,821 | 36,277 |
| DT Support Services | 11,366,364 | 10,199,239 | (1,167,125) | 10,134,395 | $(64,844)$ |
| Total Uses by Division | 131,472,645 | 153,023,074 | 21,550,429 | 145,199,052 | $(7,824,022)$ |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 30,880,149 | 34,608,237 | 3,728,088 | 35,981,569 | 1,373,332 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 14,383,162 | 14,967,965 | 584,803 | 15,236,648 | 268,683 |


| Non-Personnel Services |  | 63,892,765 | 71,436,512 | 7,543,747 | 72,371,231 | 934,719 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Outlay |  | 1,723,160 | 14,221,991 | 12,498,831 | 1,800,000 | $(12,421,991)$ |
| Intrafund Transfers Out |  | 5,610,000 | 1,200,000 | $(4,410,000)$ | 3,030,000 | 1,830,000 |
| Materials \& Supplies |  | 3,606,324 | 3,402,978 | $(203,346)$ | 3,402,978 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations |  | 787,075 | 918,286 | 131,211 | 918,286 |  |
| Programmatic Projects |  | 5,521,500 | 2,211,500 | $(3,310,000)$ | 4,080,000 | 1,868,500 |
| Services Of Other Depts |  | 10,611,511 | 11,255,605 | 644,094 | 11,408,340 | 152,735 |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  | 66,999 |  | $(66,999)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(5,610,000)$ | $(1,200,000)$ | 4,410,000 | $(3,030,000)$ | $(1,830,000)$ |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 131,472,645 | 153,023,074 | 21,550,429 | 145,199,052 | $(7,824,022)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420630 | Cable Tv Franchise | 2,390,000 | 1,701,000 | $(689,000)$ | 1,566,000 | $(135,000)$ |
| 439814 | Fiber Lease Revenues |  | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 |  |
| 439899 | Other City Property Rentals | 177,449 | 178,265 | 816 | 179,104 | 839 |
| 439909 | Other Concessions - Misc | 648,000 |  | $(648,000)$ |  |  |
| 449997 | City Depts Revenue From OCII | 82,716 | 75,783 | $(6,933)$ | 76,201 | 418 |
| 480141 | Proceeds FromCertOfParticipatn |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | $(2,500,000)$ |
| 486010 | Exp Rec Fr Asian Arts Musm AAO | 172,045 | 163,758 | $(8,287)$ | 168,200 | 4,442 |
| 486020 | Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 1,459,318 | 1,618,516 | 159,198 | 1,720,870 | 102,354 |
| 486030 | Exp Rec Fr Admin Svcs (AAO) | 3,777,912 | 3,665,873 | $(112,039)$ | 3,788,932 | 123,059 |
| 486050 | Exp Rec Fr Adult Probation AAO | 550,619 | 632,965 | 82,346 | 667,413 | 34,448 |
| 486060 | Exp Rec Fr Art Commission AAO | 137,523 | 153,994 | 16,471 | 159,078 | 5,084 |
| 486070 | Exp Rec Fr Assessor (AAO) | 756,341 | 685,358 | $(70,983)$ | 707,731 | 22,373 |
| 486090 | Exp Rec Fr Board Of Supv (AAO) | 309,069 | 305,438 | $(3,631)$ | 315,062 | 9,624 |
| 486100 | Exp Rec Fr Bus \& Enc Dev (AAO) | 326,662 | 355,206 | 28,544 | 368,253 | 13,047 |
| 486110 | Exp Rec Fr Bldg Inspection AAO | 1,939,309 | 1,986,982 | 47,673 | 2,059,998 | 73,016 |
| 486170 | Exp Rec Fr Chld Supprt SvcsAAO | 176,207 | 208,959 | 32,752 | 212,612 | 3,653 |
| 486190 | Exp Rec Fr Child; Youth\&Fam AAO | 463,319 | 722,281 | 258,962 | 730,715 | 8,434 |
| 486191 | Exp Rec Fr Early Childhood |  |  |  | 37,212 | 37,212 |
| 486195 | EXP REC Fr HomelessnessSvcsAAO | 376,615 | 494,888 | 118,273 | 514,879 | 19,991 |
| 486200 | Exp Rec Fr Children \& Fam AAO | 35,101 | 35,943 | 842 |  | $(35,943)$ |
| 486220 | Exp Rec Fr Controller (AAO) | 4,451,641 | 3,911,019 | $(540,622)$ | 3,852,070 | $(58,949)$ |
| 486230 | Exp Rec Fr City Planning (AAO) | 1,182,465 | 1,035,297 | $(147,168)$ | 1,072,813 | 37,516 |
| 486240 | Exp Rec Fr Civil Service (AAO) | 17,837 | 18,906 | 1,069 | 19,658 | 752 |
| 486250 | Exp Rec Fr City Attorney (AAO) | 782,939 | 833,986 | 51,047 | 860,630 | 26,644 |
| 486270 | Exp Rec Fr Distrct Attorny AAO | 871,166 | 976,614 | 105,448 | 1,006,841 | 30,227 |








| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 28070 | ISTIF Annual Authority Ctrl | 17582 | DT Dt Operating Master Project | 77,751,849 | 83,640,783 | 5,888,934 | 85,049,813 | 1,409,030 |
|  |  | 17608 | Dt Work Order Projects | 15,052,862 | 20,094,991 | 5,042,129 | 20,825,901 | 730,910 |
| 28070 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 92,804,711 | 103,735,774 | 10,931,063 | 105,875,714 | 2,139,940 |
|  |  |  |  | 92,804,711 | 103,735,774 | 10,931,063 | 105,875,714 | 2,139,940 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 16524 | AD Justis Project - City Adm. | 2,691,679 | 2,521,199 | $(170,480)$ | 3,053,968 | 532,769 |
|  |  | 20315 | Mainframe Retirement Plan | 511,500 | 511,500 |  | 750,000 | 238,500 |
|  |  | 20355 | DT Fiber to Public Housing | 1,100,000 | 10,000,000 | 8,900,000 |  | $(10,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 20356 | DT VOIP Facilities Remediation |  | 600,000 | 600,000 | 1,000,000 | 400,000 |
|  |  | 21814 | DT City Hall WiFi Improvements |  | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | $(200,000)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 4,303,179 | 13,832,699 | 9,529,520 | 4,803,968 | $(9,028,731)$ |
| 15384 | CPXCF COP Crit Reprs/Rcv Stmls | 21800 | DT Fiber Backbone CR |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | $(2,500,000)$ |
| 15384 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 0 | (2,500,000) |
| 28080 | ISTIF ContinuingAuthorityCtrl | 17594 | DT Wan Fix The Network | 3,000,000 |  | $(3,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 17610 | DT Telecom - Voip Project | 1,010,000 | 500,000 | $(510,000)$ | 1,230,000 | 730,000 |
|  |  | 19672 | TI City Cloud Enhancement | 1,000,000 | 500,000 | $(500,000)$ | 1,600,000 | 1,100,000 |
|  |  | 20355 | DT Fiber to Public Housing |  | 500,000 | 500,000 | 300,000 | $(200,000)$ |
|  |  | 21487 | DT Projects | 600,000 | 200,000 | $(400,000)$ | 200,000 |  |
| 28080 Total |  |  |  | 5,610,000 | 1,700,000 | $(3,910,000)$ | 3,330,000 | 1,630,000 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 9,913,179 | 18,032,699 | 8,119,520 | 8,133,968 | $(9,898,731)$ |
| Total Use | es of Funds |  |  | 131,472,645 | 153,023,074 | 21,550,429 | 145,199,052 | (7,824,022) |


| Department: HSS Health Service System |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From $2020-2021$ | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| HSS Health Service System | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Total Uses by Division | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 5,203,105 | 5,635,247 | 432,142 | 5,833,784 | 198,537 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,680,495 | 2,724,533 | 44,038 | 2,771,618 | 47,085 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 2,299,146 | 2,291,057 | $(8,089)$ | 2,305,275 | 14,218 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 49,085 | 47,717 | $(1,368)$ | 71,362 | 23,645 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,870,497 | 1,872,215 | 1,718 | 1,926,766 | 54,551 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 469999 Other Operating Revenue | 9,131 | 9,131 |  | 9,131 |  |
| 479999 Other Non-Operating Revenue | 625,297 | 625,958 | 661 | 625,958 |  |
| 486020 Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 471,074 | 475,168 | 4,094 | 484,209 | 9,041 |
| 486110 Exp Rec Fr Bldg Inspection AAO | 79,554 | 75,785 | $(3,769)$ | 77,227 | 1,442 |
| 486170 Exp Rec Fr Chld Supprt SvcsAAO |  | 19,595 | 19,595 | 19,967 | 372 |
| 486191 Exp Rec Fr Early Childhood |  |  |  | 3,524 | 3,524 |
| 486200 Exp Rec Fr Children \& Fam AAO | 4,420 | 3,458 | (962) |  | $(3,458)$ |
| 486230 Exp Rec Fr City Planning (AAO) | 47,463 | 62,530 | 15,067 | 63,719 | 1,189 |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 12,102,328 12,570,769 |  | 468,441 12,908,805 |  | 338,036 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |

Division Summary
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| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 11346 | HO 440 Turk Building | 291,158 | 266,411 | $(24,747)$ | 269,358 | 2,947 |
|  |  | 17129 | HO Shelter And Navigation Cent | 19,929,207 | 30,085,092 | 10,155,885 | 27,098,659 | $(2,986,433)$ |
|  |  | 17702 | HN Whole Person Care Pilot | 20,600,431 | 10,713,576 | (9,886,855) |  | $(10,713,576)$ |
|  |  | 20938 | Housing for Homeless | 23,200,000 | 5,790,780 | $(17,409,220)$ | 5,687,692 | $(103,088)$ |
|  |  | 21292 | HO 1064-68 Mission | 238,030 | 284,438 | 46,408 |  | $(284,438)$ |
|  |  | 21802 | HOM MSC South Repairs CR |  | 8,468,000 | 8,468,000 |  | $(8,468,000)$ |
|  |  | 21803 | HOM Next Door Repairs CR |  | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | $(2,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 88888 | CoVid Incident Response | 150,707,382 | 22,212,025 | $(128,495,357)$ |  | $(22,212,025)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 214,966,208 | 79,820,322 | $(135,145,886)$ | 33,055,709 | $(46,764,613)$ |
| 10030 | GF Human Services Care | 17560 | HS Human Services Care | 19,672,654 | 18,839,095 | $(833,559)$ | 20,481,226 | 1,642,131 |
| 10030 Total |  |  |  | 19,672,654 | 18,839,095 | $(833,559)$ | 20,481,226 | 1,642,131 |
| 10582 | SR OCOH Nov18 | 21528 | HOM AffordHousing-GenHomeless | 108,227,212 | 118,437,138 | 10,209,926 | 97,513,421 | $(20,923,717)$ |
|  | PropCHomelessSvc | 21529 | HOM AffordHousing-Under Age 30 | 39,355,350 | 43,068,050 | 3,712,700 | 35,459,426 | $(7,608,624)$ |
|  |  | 21530 | HOM AffordHousing-Families | 49,194,188 | 53,835,063 | 4,640,875 | 44,324,282 | $(9,510,781)$ |
|  |  | 21532 | HOM Homelessness Prevention | 59,033,025 | 50,372,075 | $(8,660,950)$ | 53,189,138 | 2,817,063 |
|  |  | 21533 | HOM Shelter and Hygiene | 39,355,350 | 33,306,600 | $(6,048,750)$ | 35,459,426 | 2,152,826 |
| 10582 Total |  |  |  | 295,165,125 | 299,018,926 | 3,853,801 | 265,945,693 | $(33,073,233)$ |
| 15680 | CP SF Capital Planning | 21815 | 260 Golden Gate Seismic | $\begin{array}{r} 1,500,000 \\ 500,000 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,500,000 \\ 500,000 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (1,500,000) \\ (500,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 21816 | 525 5th St.\& 1001 Polk Seismic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15680 Total |  |  |  | 0$529,803,987$ | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 0 | $(2,000,000)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  |  | 399,678,343 | $(130,125,644)$ | 319,482,628 | $(80,195,715)$ |
| Grants Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 11580 | SR Community Health-Grants | 10035541 | HOM FY21 SB Mckinney PATH | $609,494$ | 609,494 | 609,494 |  |  |
| 11580 Total |  |  |  | 609,494 | 609,494 | 0 | 609,494 | 0 |
| 12960 | SR Human Welfare-Grants | 10035542 | HOM FY21 250 Kearny VASH | 2,453,118 | 2,453,118 | 2,453,118 |  |  |
|  |  | 10035543 | HOM FY21 250 Kearny VA SuppSer | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 10036608 | LGBT Center Host Home Program | 368,177 | 368,177 | 368,177 |  |  |
|  |  | 10036609 | 3rd Strt Hmless Youth RRH Prgm | 543,144 | 543,144 | 543,144 |  |  |
|  |  | 10036610 | Youth Coordinated Entry | 225,000 | 225,000 | 225,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 10036611 | Larkin Strt YAC Collaborative | 410,000 | 410,000 | 410,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 10036612 | Canon Kip | 1,796,872 | 1,796,872 | 1,796,872 |  |  |
|  |  | 10036613 | Hope House (Consolidated) | 1,865,707 | 1,865,707 | 1,865,707 |  |  |



| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12960 | SR Human Welfare-Grants | 10036651 | THC-National, Crown, Winton | 3,401,089 | 3,401,089 |  | 3,401,089 |  |
|  |  | 10036652 | DV Coordinated Entry | 882,911 | 882,911 |  | 882,911 |  |
|  |  | 10036653 | Mission Bay | 261,054 | 261,054 |  | 261,054 |  |
|  |  | 10036654 | Rnt Asstnce for Hmless Vets II | 489,442 | 489,442 |  | 489,442 |  |
|  |  | 10036655 | Veterans Academy | 358,694 | 358,694 |  | 358,694 |  |
|  |  | 10036656 | CoC PInning Project App FY2019 | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 |  | 1,250,000 |  |
|  |  | 10036657 | Bishop Swing Community House | 439,083 | 439,083 |  | 439,083 |  |
|  |  | 10036658 | Folsom/Dore | 564,372 | 564,372 |  | 564,372 |  |
|  |  | 10036659 | Eddy and Taylor | 287,052 | 287,052 |  | 287,052 |  |
|  |  | 10036660 | 1300 Fourth | 474,432 | 474,432 |  | 474,432 |  |
|  |  | 10036661 | Allen Hotel | 631,195 | 631,195 |  | 631,195 |  |
|  |  | 10036662 | Integrated Services Network | 993,797 | 993,797 |  | 993,797 |  |
|  |  | 10036663 | Rent Asstnce for Hmless Vets I | 616,383 | 616,383 |  | 616,383 |  |
|  |  | 10036664 | Mary Helen Rogers Senr Commnty | 318,727 | 318,727 |  | 318,727 |  |
|  |  | 10036665 | San Francisco HMIS 2016 | 33,909 | 33,909 |  | 33,909 |  |
|  |  | 10036666 | Treasure Island Consolidated | 2,553,680 | 2,553,680 |  | 2,553,680 |  |
|  |  | 10036667 | Lyric | 1,120,142 | 1,120,142 |  | 1,120,142 |  |
|  |  | 10036668 | Hope House (Consolidated) | 1,865,707 | 1,865,707 |  | 1,865,707 |  |
|  |  | 10036669 | HOM21 CoC AO Budget | 5,447,181 | 5,555,817 | 108,636 | 5,643,326 | 87,509 |
| 12960 Total <br> Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 61,185,019 | 61,293,655 | 108,636 | 61,381,164 | 87,509 |
|  |  |  |  | 61,794,513 | 61,903,149 | 108,636 | 61,990,658 | 87,509 |
| Continuing Projects - Project Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 12920 | SR Human Welfare-Grants Sta | 10033398 | HSH Masterlease Capital Needs | 45,000,000 |  | $(45,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10034969 | HHAP 1 (formerly HEAP 2) | 2,963,638 |  | (2,963,638) |  |  |
|  |  | 10034971 | HOM Housing | 14,000,000 |  | $(14,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10036576 | CoVid Congregate Shelters | 7,000,000 |  | $(7,000,000)$ |  |  |
| 12920 Total |  |  |  | 68,963,638 | 0 | $(68,963,638)$ | 0 | 0 |
| Continuing Projects - Project Control Total |  |  |  | 68,963,638 | 0 | $(68,963,638)$ | 0 | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ <br> Recommended <br> Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10060 | GF Work Order | 203646 | HOM PROGRAMS | 1,973,082 | 1,984,000 | 10,918 | 1,991,847 | 7,847 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10060 Total <br> Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 1,973,082 | 1,984,000 | 10,918 | 1,991,847 | 7,847 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,973,082 | 1,984,000 | 10,918 | 1,991,847 | 7,847 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 852,119,737 | 667,830,310 | (184,289,427) | 597,648,048 | (70,182,262) |





Department Appropriations (2 year)
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12550 | SR Grants; GSF Continuing | 10000571 | HR Fish Fellow Grant Fy17 | 136,118 136,118 |  | 136,118 |  |  |
| 12550 Total <br> Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 136,118 | 136,118 | 0 | 136,118 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 136,118 | 136,118 | 0 | 136,118 | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10060 | GF Work Order | 232025 | HRD Recruit-Assess-Client Svc | 933,401 | 1,043,066 | 109,665 | 1,071,677 | 28,611 |
|  |  | 232029 | HRD Workforce Development | 649,752 | 1,009,962 | 360,210 | 779,990 | $(229,972)$ |
|  |  | 232022 | HRD Administration |  | 87,039 | 87,039 | 87,039 |  |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  | 1,583,153 | 2,140,067 | 556,914 | 1,938,706 | $(201,361)$ |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 1,583,153 | 2,140,067 | 556,914 | 1,938,706 | $(201,361)$ |
| Total Us | s of Funds |  |  | 108,030,770 | 118,046,396 | 10,015,626 | 114,680,348 | $(3,366,048)$ |


| Department: HRC Human Rights Commission |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 11,205,068 | 14,293,732 | 3,088,664 | 13,925,105 | $(368,627)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 11,205,068 | 14,293,732 | 3,088,664 | 13,925,105 | $(368,627)$ |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| HRC Human Rights Commission | 11,205,068 | 14,293,732 | 3,088,664 | 13,925,105 | $(368,627)$ |
| Total Uses by Division | 11,205,068 | 14,293,732 | 3,088,664 | 13,925,105 | $(368,627)$ |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 2,608,909 | 2,719,661 | 110,752 | 3,062,600 | 342,939 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 1,161,967 | 1,119,124 | $(42,843)$ | 1,229,889 | 110,765 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 205,616 | 300,616 | 95,000 | 300,616 |  |
| City Grant Program | 1,403,080 | 1,753,484 | 350,404 | 1,528,484 | $(225,000)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 29,437 | 29,437 |  | 29,437 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | 5,275,000 | 7,975,000 | 2,700,000 | 7,375,000 | $(600,000)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 521,059 | 396,410 | $(124,649)$ | 399,079 | 2,669 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 11,205,068 | 14,293,732 | 3,088,664 | 13,925,105 | $(368,627)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 486100 Exp Rec Fr Bus \& Enc Dev (AAO) | 75,000 | 75,000 |  | 75,000 |  |
| 486570 Exp Rec Fr Rent ArbtrtonBd AAO | 9,600 | 9,600 |  | 9,600 |  |
| 486630 Exp Rec Fr Rec \& Park (AAO) | 15,000 | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |  |
| General Fund Support | 11,105,468 | 14,194,132 | 3,088,664 | 13,825,505 | $(368,627)$ |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  | 00 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 11,205,068 | 14,293,732 | 3,088,664 | 13,925,105 | $(368,627)$ |

Division Summary

| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children and Families Fund | 312,179,848 | 225,644,736 | $(86,535,112)$ |  | (225,644,736) |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 5,736,600 | 8,523,000 | 2,786,400 |  | $(8,523,000)$ |
| General Fund | 1,027,008,018 | 1,065,152,710 | 38,144,692 | 988,330,008 | $(76,822,702)$ |
| Gift and Other Exp Trust Fund | 96,000 | 95,838 | (162) | 95,838 |  |
| Human Welfare Fund | 59,407,346 | 64,220,893 | 4,813,547 | 65,093,746 | 872,853 |
| Senior Citizens Program Fund | 7,769,660 | 6,512,066 | $(1,257,594)$ | 6,512,066 |  |
| Total Uses by Funds | 1,412,197,472 | 1,370,149,243 | $(42,048,229)$ | 1,060,031,658 | $(310,117,585)$ |


|  |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |



219,4 $219,425,405$
$114,461,102$
 501,388,653
 N
0
0
0
$\infty$
$\infty$

 | 8 |
| :--- |
| 8 |
| 0 |

| Programmatic Projects |  | 680,000 | 19,797,661 | 19,117,661 | 680,000 | $(19,117,661)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services Of Other Depts |  | 69,479,460 | 72,629,264 | 3,149,804 | 61,233,389 | $(11,395,875)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  |  |  |  | 22,822 | 22,822 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 1,412,197,472 | 1,370,149,243 | $(42,048,229)$ | 1,060,031,658 | $(310,117,585)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 411223 | Com Rnt GR Tx-Child Care_Ed | 273,045,000 | 185,810,000 | $(87,235,000)$ |  | $(185,810,000)$ |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 914,736 | 914,736 |  | 300,000 | $(614,736)$ |
| 430490 | Other Investment Income | 160,000 |  | $(160,000)$ |  |  |
| 435232 | Employee Parking | 194,000 | 194,000 |  | 194,000 |  |
| 440101 | Stage 1 Childcare (Fed-Aid) | 13,098,867 | 10,674,299 | $(2,424,568)$ | 10,674,299 |  |
| 440102 | FosterFamHomeLicsing Fed-Admin | 42,440 | 22,663 | $(19,777)$ | 22,663 |  |
| 440103 | Adoption Services(Fed-Admin) | 1,534,992 | 1,482,424 | $(52,568)$ | 1,482,424 |  |
| 440105 | Aps-Csbg Health-RelatdTitleXix | 23,535,308 | 25,833,086 | 2,297,778 | 26,924,795 | 1,091,709 |
| 440106 | Independnt Livng ProgFed-Admin | 389,378 | 389,378 |  | 389,378 |  |
| 440107 | Calwin (Fed Admin) | 2,712,176 | 2,210,008 | $(502,168)$ | 2,210,008 |  |
| 440108 | Clf Csbg-Hr - Spmp Revenue | 1,096,321 | 1,096,321 |  | 1,096,321 |  |
| 440110 | Kin-Gap Adm Fed Share | 275,268 | 305,219 | 29,951 | 305,219 |  |
| 440121 | Welfare To Work | 39,755,276 | 41,354,581 | 1,599,305 | 41,354,581 |  |
| 440124 | Food StampEmp\&TrainngFed-Admin | 6,894,911 | 6,290,406 | $(604,505)$ | 6,290,406 |  |
| 440131 | CalWorks Eligibility | 13,911,767 | 14,318,618 | 406,851 | 14,318,618 |  |
| 440134 | Food Stamps(Fed-Admin) | 36,662,276 | 39,861,767 | 3,199,491 | 40,072,679 | 210,912 |
| 440137 | Rrp-Rca(Fed-Admin) | 245,083 | 245,153 | 70 | 245,153 |  |
| 440138 | Foster Care (Fed-Admin) | 2,022,772 | 2,362,110 | 339,338 | 2,362,110 |  |
| 440139 | Childrens Services (Fed-Admin) | 24,788,646 | 20,839,334 | $(3,949,312)$ | 25,463,032 | 4,623,698 |
| 440140 | Emergency Assistance - Federal | 1,885,128 | 1,885,128 |  | 1,885,128 |  |
| 440145 | IHSS Admin Hlth-RelatdTitleXix | 21,531,498 | 23,275,215 | 1,743,717 | 24,043,135 | 767,920 |
| 440148 | Title Iv-B Child Welfare Svcs | 1,171,359 | 423,444 | $(747,915)$ | 423,444 |  |
| 440150 | CalStateDeptEd-Stage2ChildCare | 320,054 | 319,610 | (444) |  | $(319,610)$ |
| 440153 | CalWorks Fraud Incentive-Fed | 386,554 |  | $(386,554)$ |  |  |
| 440154 | Promoting Safe\&Stable Families | 448,113 | 566,106 | 117,993 | 566,106 |  |
| 440166 | Cws Health-Related Title Xix | 7,113,721 | 5,191,605 | $(1,922,116)$ | 5,191,605 |  |
| 440167 | CbfrsChldAbsePreventnCfda93590 | 25,455 | 25,455 |  | 25,455 |  |
| 440168 | Cws Title Xx Cfda 93.667 | 1,223,521 | 1,223,521 |  | 1,223,521 |  |
| 440201 | CalWorks (Fed-Aid) |  | 13,242,908 | 13,242,908 | 14,893,989 | 1,651,081 |
| 440202 | Foster Care(Fed-Aid) | 10,096,800 | 8,411,615 | $(1,685,185)$ | 8,500,342 | 88,727 |
| 440203 | Adoptions(Fed-Aid) | 8,015,832 | 8,432,707 | 416,875 | 8,704,191 | 271,484 |



| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{N}{n}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{( }{\prime} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\text { en }} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\circ} 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | ® 0 0 - - $\stackrel{-1}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


 FostrCareAdmin-Ab 118-Abx1 16
FostrCareAsstnce-Ab118-Abx1 16
CA OES Disaster - State Share
Realignment Backfill
Prior Year State Revenue Adj
Other State Grants \& Subventns
Admin Fee-Public Guardian
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Exp Rec Fr Admin Svcs (AAO)
Exp Rec Fr Adult Probation AAO
Exp Rec Fr Chld Supprt SvcsAAO
Exp Rec Fr Child;Youth\&Fam AAO
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Exp Rec Fr Children \& Fam AAO
Exp Rec Fr Distrct Attorny AAO
Exp Rec Fr Comm Health Svc AAO
Exp Rec Fr Sf Gen Hospital AAO
Exp Rec Fr Laguna Honda AAO
Exp Rec Fr CommMental HIth AAO
Exp Rec Fr Juvenile Court AAO
Exp Rec Fr Police Comssn AAO
Exp Rec Fr Public TransprtnAAO
Exp Rec Fr Rec \& Park (AAO)
Exp Rec Fr PUC (AAO)
OTI Fr 1 G-General Fund
Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only 445730
445735
447611
448411
448998
448999
460128
460129
460131
460133
460134
460135
460138
460146
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475413
475415
478101
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479997
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486050
486170
486190
486195
486200
486270
486370
486380
486390
486400
486420
486500
486550
486630
486740
493001
499999


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 17556 | Community Living Fund | 8,838,557 | 8,870,151 | 31,594 | 8,888,756 | 18,605 |
|  |  | 17558 | HS Infant\&toddler Early Learn | 1,750,000 | 1,750,000 |  |  | $(1,750,000)$ |
|  |  | 17559 | HS Fire Victim Assistance Fund | 1,823,000 | 1,998,000 | 175,000 | 2,073,000 | 75,000 |
|  |  | 17561 | IPO | 850,907 | 849,658 | $(1,249)$ | 1,049,658 | 200,000 |
|  |  | 17562 | HS Jobs Now Programs | 11,739,460 | 9,235,854 | $(2,503,606)$ | 12,004,354 | 2,768,500 |
|  |  | 17565 | HS Working Families Credit | 250,000 | 1,005,000 | 755,000 | 250,000 | $(755,000)$ |
|  |  | 17566 | CalWIN | 4,822,183 | 4,378,729 | $(443,454)$ | 4,398,165 | 19,436 |
|  |  | 21014 | HS Benefits Connectors | 680,000 | 680,000 |  | 680,000 |  |
|  |  | 21748 | Reinvestment Initiatives |  | 525,000 | 525,000 |  | $(525,000)$ |
|  |  | 21784 | HS 1235 Mission |  | 1,305,000 | 1,305,000 | 720,000 | $(585,000)$ |
|  |  | 88888 | CoVid Incident Response | 46,764,702 | 62,324,887 | 15,560,185 | 367,023 | $(61,957,864)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 81,169,419 | 96,588,329 | 15,418,910 | 30,781,861 | $(65,806,468)$ |
| 10570 | SR Child Care Capital | 16913 | Childcare Capital Funds | 5,500,000 | 5,000,000 | $(500,000)$ |  | $(5,000,000)$ |
| 10570 Total |  |  |  | 5,500,000 | 5,000,000 | $(500,000)$ | 0 | $(5,000,000)$ |
| 10670 | SR Eastern Neighborhood CI | 16913 | Childcare Capital Funds | 236,600 | 965,000 | 728,400 |  | $(965,000)$ |
| 10670 Total |  |  |  | 236,600 | 965,000 | 728,400 | 0 | $(965,000)$ |
| 10820 | SR Market \& Octavia CI | 16913 | Childcare Capital Funds |  | 2,558,000 | 2,558,000 |  | $(2,558,000)$ |
| 10820 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 2,558,000 | 2,558,000 | 0 | $(2,558,000)$ |
| 11140 | SR PEEF Annual Contr-EarlyCare | 16923 | PEEF | 39,134,848 | 39,834,736 | 699,888 |  | $(39,834,736)$ |
| 11140 Total |  |  |  | 39,134,848 | 39,834,736 | 699,888 | 0 | $(39,834,736)$ |
| 11201 | SR Comm Rnt GR Tx for OECE | 20473 | CommRntGRTx-OECE | 273,045,000 | 185,810,000 | $(87,235,000)$ |  | $(185,810,000)$ |
| 11201 Total |  |  |  | 273,045,000 | 185,810,000 | $(87,235,000)$ | 0 | $(185,810,000)$ |
| 12890 | SR Community Living | 17552 | Child Svcs Fund-W\&I Art5 | 168,811 | 168,811 |  | 168,811 |  |
| 12890 Total |  |  |  | 168,811 | 168,811 | 0 | 168,811 | 0 |
| 12965 | SR Nov 2016 Prop I Dignity | 20354 | Nov 16 Prop I dignity Fund | 57,253,384 | 63,098,527 | 5,845,143 | 64,498,027 | 1,399,500 |
| 12965 Total |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 57,253,384 \\ 456,508,062 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63,098,527 \\ 394,023,403 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,845,143 \\ (62,484,659) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64,498,027 \\ & 95,448,699 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,399,500 \\ (298,574,704) \end{array}$ |
| Grants Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Recommended <br> Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Recommended <br> Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 12960 | SR Human Welfare-Grants | 10035666 | HS PA Refugee ORSA FFYs21-22 | 6,597 |  | $(6,597)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035668 | HS PA Refugee TAFO FFYs21-22 | 8,452 |  | $(8,452)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035669 | HS CH QCC Workforce Pathways | 418,592 |  | $(418,592)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035670 | HS CH CLPC Plan Cnl FY21 | 56,708 |  | $(56,708)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035671 | HS PA Refugee RESS FFYs21-22 | 162,178 |  | $(162,178)$ |  |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ \text { 2020-2021 } \end{gathered}$ | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12960 | SR Human Welfare-Grants | 10036457 | HS FS SNAP Process\&Tech Improv | 1,000,000 | $(1,000,000)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10037026 | HS PA Refugee ORSA FFYs22-23 |  | 7,376 | 7,376 | 7,376 |  |
|  |  | 10037027 | HS PA Refugee RESS FFYs22-23 |  | 110,384 | 110,384 | 110,384 |  |
|  |  | 10037028 | HS CH CLPC Planning CnI FY22 |  | 56,647 | 56,647 | $(56,647)$$(424,606)$ |  |
|  |  | 10037029 | HS CH QCC Wkfc Pathways FY22 |  | 424,606 | 424,606 |  |  |
| 12960 Total |  |  |  | 1,652,527 | 599,013 | $(1,053,514)$ | 117,760 | $(481,253)$ |
| 14520 | SR Senior Citizens-Grants Sta | 10035686 | HS AG Con Nutr IIIC1 FY21 | 274,050496,998 | $(274,050)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035688 | HS AG HDM Nutr IIIC2 FY21 |  | $(496,998)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035696 | HS AG Omb SHF CP Accnt FY21 | 22,239 | $(22,239)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035697 | HS AG Omb PH L\&C Pg Fnd FY21 | 4,923 | $(4,923)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035698 | HS AG Omb State GF FY21 | 134,593 | $(134,593)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035701 | HS AG Omb SNF QAF FY21 | 23,384 | $(23,384)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035757 | HS AG HICAP Reim FY21 | 139,971 | $(139,971)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035758 | HS AG HICAP State Fund FY21 | 70,766 | $(70,766)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035890 | HS AG CalVet MHSA FY21\&22 | 118,030 | 118,030 |  | 118,030 |  |
|  |  | 10036045 | HS AG ADRC Infr Year 1 | 410,632 | $(410,632)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10036046 | HS AG ADRC Infrastructure | 345,488 | $(345,488)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10036083 | HS AG Dignity at Home Fall Pre | 143,750 | $(143,750)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10037007 | HS AG Con Nutr IIIC1 FY22 |  | 275,536 275,536 |  | 275,536 |  |
|  |  | 10037008 | HS AG HDM Nutr IIIC2 FY22 |  | 485,156 485,156 |  | 485,156 |  |
|  |  | 10037013 | HS AG Omb State GF FY22 |  | 131,925 131,925 |  | 131,925 |  |
|  |  | 10037016 | HS AG Omb PH L\&C Pg Fnd FY22 |  | $4,758 \quad 4,758$ |  | 4,758 |  |
|  |  | 10037017 | HS AG Omb SHF CP Accnt FY22 |  | 22,239 22,239 |  | 22,239 |  |
|  |  | 10037018 | HS AG Omb SNF QAF FY22 |  | 22,601 22,601 |  | 22,601 |  |
|  |  | 10037020 | HS AG HICAP Reim FY22 |  | 139,971 139,971 |  | 139,971 |  |
|  |  | 10037022 | HS AG HICAP State FY22 |  | 70,766 70,766 |  | 70,766 |  |
| 14520 Total |  |  |  | 2,184,824 | 1,270,982 (913,842) |  | 1,270,982 | 0 |
| 14560 | SR Senior Citizens-Grants | 10035682 | HS AG Elder Abuse Prev FY21 | 12,113 | $(12,113)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035684 | HS AG Fam Caregiver Svc FY21 | 445,895 | $(445,895)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035685 | HS AG Prev Hlth IIID FY21 | 64,803 | $(64,803)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035686 | HS AG Con Nutr IIIC1 FY21 | 1,165,077 | $(1,165,077)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035688 | HS AG HDM Nutr IIIC2 FY21 | 513,461 | $(513,461)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035690 | HS AG NSIP Con Nutr IIIC1 FY21 | 697,936 | $(697,936)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035691 | HS AG NSIP HDM Nutr IIIC2 FY21 | 1,378,733 | $(1,378,733)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035693 | HS AG Supp Svcs IIIB FY21 | 994,758 | $(994,758)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035694 | HS AG Omb LTC Svcs VIIA FY21 | 38,153 | $(38,153)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035700 | HS AG CalFresh Healthy FFY21 | 125,587 | $(125,587)$ |  |  |  |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 2 , 1 9 7 , 4 7 2}$ | $1,370,149,243$ | $(42,048,229)$ | $1,060,031,658$ | $(310,117,585)$ |

Department: HSA




Annual Projects - Authority Control

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | 2020-2021 | 2021-2022 | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 378,346

476,000 854,345 854,345 $(6,706)$ $(6,706)$
$(6,706)$ $\begin{array}{ll}365,846 & 359,140 \\ 365,846 & 359,140\end{array}$ 365,846 Annual Projects - Authority Control Total

Continuing Projects - Authority Control

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 15785 | JP Juv - Facilities Maintenanc | 171,000 500,000 |  | 329,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |
| 10020 TotalContinuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  | 171,000 500,000 |  | 329,000 | 0 | $(500,000)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 171,000 | 500,000 | 329,000 | 0 | $(500,000)$ |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13550 | SR Public Protection-Grant | 10000580 | JUV YOBG FY10-11 |  | 10 | 10 |  | (10) |
|  |  | 10000582 | JUV YOBG FY12-13 |  | 141,362 | 141,362 |  | $(141,362)$ |
|  |  | 10000583 | JUV YOBG FY13-14 |  | 272,960 | 272,960 |  | $(272,960)$ |
|  |  | 10000584 | JUV YOBG FY14-15 |  | 108,153 | 108,153 |  | $(108,153)$ |
|  |  | 10033056 | JUV YOBG FY18-19 |  | 115,254 | 115,254 |  | $(115,254)$ |
| 13550 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 637,739 | 637,739 | 0 | $(637,739)$ |
| 13720 | SR Public Protection-Grant Sta | 10023405 | Juvenile Probation Activities | 4,683,895 | 4,398,818 | $(285,077)$ | 4,312,529 | $(86,289)$ |
|  |  | 10023406 | Juvenile Probation Camps Funds | 221,323 |  | $(221,323)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10033433 | JUV STC Core Training | 105,000 | 81,900 | $(23,100)$ | 81,900 |  |
|  |  | 10033434 | JUV YOBG FY19-20 |  | 726,897 | 726,897 |  | $(726,897)$ |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Department Appropriations (2 year)
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Department: LLB Law Library |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $2021-2022$ <br> Recommended <br> Budget | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 <br> Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| LLB Law Library | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Total Uses by Division | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 346,739 | 372,487 | 25,748 | 385,637 | 13,150 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 171,917 | 176,133 | 4,216 | 178,207 | 2,074 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 6,000 | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,412,450 | 1,478,586 | 66,136 | 1,560,929 | 82,343 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund Support | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Total Sources by Fund | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |

Department: LLB
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 346,739 | 372,487 | 25,748 | 385,637 | 13,150 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 171,917 | 176,133 | 4,216 | 178,207 | 2,074 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 6,000 | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 1,412,450 | 1,478,586 | 66,136 | 1,560,929 | 82,343 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |


| Department: MYR Mayor |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 121,879,745 | 84,275,325 | (37,604,420) | 50,025,034 | (34,250,291) |
| Culture and Recreation Fund | 2,430,000 | 2,673,000 | 243,000 | 2,940,000 | 267,000 |
| General Fund | 75,135,011 | 173,584,671 | 98,449,660 | 104,459,927 | $(69,124,744)$ |
| General Services Fund | 150,000 | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  |
| LowMod Income Housing Asset Fd | 6,712,400 | 6,832,816 | 120,416 | 6,772,608 | $(60,208)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 206,307,156 | 267,515,812 | 61,208,656 | 164,347,569 | $(103,168,243)$ |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| MYR Housing \& Community Dev | 197,016,642 | 257,898,096 | 60,881,454 | 154,538,174 | $(103,359,922)$ |
| MYR Office Of The Mayor | 9,290,514 | 9,617,716 | 327,202 | 9,809,395 | 191,679 |
| Total Uses by Division | 206,307,156 | 267,515,812 | 61,208,656 | 164,347,569 | $(103,168,243)$ |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 9,002,118 | 10,093,169 | 1,091,051 | 10,621,385 | 528,216 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,481,888 | 3,793,307 | 311,419 | 3,907,366 | 114,059 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 2,969,930 | 2,630,117 | $(339,813)$ | 2,605,000 | $(25,117)$ |
| City Grant Program | 63,314,520 | 114,608,727 | 51,294,207 | 80,398,658 | $(34,210,069)$ |
| Capital Outlay | 1,195,152 | 1,195,152 |  |  | $(1,195,152)$ |
| Aid Assistance |  | 2,800,000 | 2,800,000 | 2,000,000 | $(800,000)$ |
| Debt Service | 3,282,895 | 3,481,321 | 198,426 | 3,431,764 | $(49,557)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 30,000 | 30,000 |  | 30,000 |  |
| Other Support/Care of Persons | 108,165,977 | 110,381,603 | 2,215,626 | 43,008,991 | (67,372,612) |
| Overhead and Allocations | 4,425,105 | 4,969,437 | 544,332 | 4,925,744 | $(43,693)$ |
| Programmatic Projects | 4,038,089 | 7,073,639 | 3,035,550 | 6,598,089 | $(475,550)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 4,251,613 | 4,501,109 | 249,496 | 4,570,572 | 69,463 |
| Transfers Out | 2,450,000 | 2,250,000 | $(200,000)$ | 2,250,000 |  |





$43,293,700 \quad 58,090,494 \quad 10,796,794 \quad 53,461,939 \quad(4,628,555)$



Division Summary

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (158,070,505) 1,354,439,348 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{r}
275,235,831 \\
623,736 \\
131,171,758 \\
6,129,018 \\
2,477,752 \\
133,890,666 \\
1,706,572 \\
22,305,315 \\
329,802 \\
7,195,305 \\
222,705,868 \\
706,561,675 \\
35,697,364
\end{array} \\
& \text { 1,546,030,662 }
\end{aligned}
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Department: MTA

|  |  |  | Original <br> Budget | Recommended Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change From } \\ 2020-2021 \end{gathered}$ | Recommended Budget | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Change From } \\ 2021-2022 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22260 | MTA TS Op Annual Account Ctrl | Salaries | 461,327,049 | 487,284,813 | 25,957,764 | 502,892,351 | 15,607,538 |
|  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 209,171,829 | 210,625,177 | 1,453,348 | 215,216,500 | 4,591,323 |
|  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 143,403,982 | 137,691,519 | $(5,712,463)$ | 147,271,519 | 9,580,000 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay | 2,107,600 | 2,236,598 | 128,998 |  | $(2,236,598)$ |
|  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 119,549,335 | 14,256,286 | $(105,293,049)$ | 21,414,335 | 7,158,049 |
|  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 67,374,511 | 67,262,628 | $(111,883)$ | 67,262,628 |  |
|  |  | Overhead and Allocations | $(101,547,628)$ | 25,440,557 | 126,988,185 | 39,871,183 | 14,430,626 |
|  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 16,472,870 | 18,425,726 | 1,952,856 | 18,197,807 | $(227,919)$ |
|  |  | Transfers Out | 5,844,417 | 521,964 | $(5,322,453)$ | 1,565,892 | 1,043,928 |
|  |  | Unappropriated Rev Retained | 15,524,383 | 17,805,475 | 2,281,092 |  | $(17,805,475)$ |
|  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(125,393,752)$ | $(14,778,250)$ | 110,615,502 | $(22,980,227)$ | $(8,201,977)$ |
| 22260 Total |  |  | 813,834,596 | 966,772,493 | 152,937,897 | 990,711,988 | 23,939,495 |
| 22261 | MTA TS DSF REVBD S2017 (NEW) | Debt Service | 9,998,454 | 9,998,121 | (333) | 9,994,204 | $(3,917)$ |
| 22261 Total |  |  | 9,998,454 | 9,998,121 | (333) | 9,994,204 | $(3,917)$ |
| 22262 | MTA TS DSF REVBD S2014A (NEW) | Debt Service | 1,794,625 |  | $(1,794,625)$ |  |  |
| 22262 Total |  |  | 1,794,625 | 0 | $(1,794,625)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 22264 | MTA TS DSF REVBD 2012B (NEW) | Debt Service | 994,734 |  | $(994,734)$ |  |  |
| 22264 Total |  |  | 994,734 | 0 | $(994,734)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 22266 | MTA TS DSF REVBD 2013A (NEW) | Debt Service | 4,747,868 |  | $(4,747,868)$ |  |  |
| 22266 Total |  |  | 4,747,868 | 0 | $(4,747,868)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 22267 | MTA TS DSF REVBND S2021A | Debt Service |  | 3,067,568 | 3,067,568 | 8,210,722 | 5,143,154 |
| 22267 Total |  |  | 0 | 3,067,568 | 3,067,568 | 8,210,722 | 5,143,154 |
| 22268 | MTA TS DSF REVBND S2021B | Debt Service |  | 180,527 | 180,527 | 179,200 | $(1,327)$ |
| 22268 Total |  |  | 0 | 180,527 | 180,527 | 179,200 | $(1,327)$ |
| 22269 | MTA TS DSF REVBND S2021C | Debt Service |  | 1,010,070 | 1,010,070 | 3,030,209 | 2,020,139 |
| 22269 Total |  |  | 0 | 1,010,070 | 1,010,070 | 3,030,209 | 2,020,139 |
| 22870 | MTA SS Op Annual Account Ctrl | Salaries | 59,940,189 | 66,641,224 | 6,701,035 | 69,162,198 | 2,520,974 |
|  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 33,204,872 | 33,292,443 | 87,571 | 34,399,384 | 1,106,941 |
|  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 93,255,191 | 95,044,345 | 1,789,154 | 95,044,345 |  |
|  |  | Capital Outlay | 1,464,684 | 1,389,553 | $(75,131)$ |  | (1,389,553) |
|  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 22,000,000 |  | $(22,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 5,037,553 | 5,122,553 | 85,000 | 5,122,553 |  |
|  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 21,375,232 | 23,319,058 | 1,943,826 | 24,067,213 | 748,155 |
|  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 10,252,059 | 10,733,128 | 481,069 | 10,964,917 | 231,789 |
|  |  | Transfers Out | 198,453,623 | 254,492,634 | 56,039,011 | 254,492,634 |  |
|  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | (220,453,623) | (254,492,634) | $(34,039,011)$ | $(254,492,634)$ |  |


|  |  |  |  | Original Budget | Recommended Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change From } \\ 2020-2021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change From } \\ \text { 2021-2022 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22870 Total |  |  |  | 224,529,780 | 235,542,304 | 11,012,524 | 238,760,610 | 3,218,306 |
| 23410 | MTA SS OPR DEBT SERVICE-13A |  | Debt Service | 1,186,966 |  | $(1,186,966)$ |  |  |
| 23410 Total |  |  |  | 1,186,966 | 0 | $(1,186,966)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 23420 | MTA SS OPR DEBT SERVICE-14A |  | Debt Service | 2,691,937 | 521,964 | $(2,169,973)$ | 1,565,892 | 1,043,928 |
| 23420 Total |  |  |  | 2,691,937 | 521,964 | $(2,169,973)$ | 1,565,892 | 1,043,928 |
| 23430 | MTA SS OPR DEBT SERVICE 12A |  | Debt Service | 1,725,167 |  | $(1,725,167)$ |  |  |
| 23430 Total |  |  |  | 1,725,167 | 0 | $(1,725,167)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 23440 | MTA SS OPR DEBT SERVICE 12B |  | Debt Service | 240,347 |  | $(240,347)$ |  |  |
| 23440 Total |  |  |  | 240,347 | 0 | $(240,347)$ | 0 | 0 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 1,061,744,474 | 1,217,093,047 | 155,348,573 | 1,252,452,825 | 35,359,778 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 22280 | MTA TS ContinuingAuthorityCtrl | 16388 | MT Translink Consortium | (29,440,000) |  | 29,440,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 18735 | MT Mta-wide Facilities Maint P | 30,490,654 |  | $(30,490,654)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 80248 | MT 10036269 Transit CapProjRev | 10,000,000 |  | $(10,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 80249 | MT 10036270 AgcyWProjContigcy | 85,000,000 |  | $(85,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 80255 | MT 10036292 CatastrophLossRsv | 29,440,000 |  | $(29,440,000)$ |  |  |
| 22280 Total |  |  |  | 125,490,654 | 0 | (125,490,654) | 0 | 0 |
| 22395 | MTA TS CAP REVBD S2017 | 18798 | MT Mta 2017 Rev Bond S2017-tra | 4,507,581 |  | $(4,507,581)$ |  |  |
| 22395 Total |  |  |  | 4,507,581 | 0 | $(4,507,581)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 22420 | MTA TS CAP DEV IMPACT PRJ | 18845 | MT Ipic-eastern Neighborhood |  | 1,155,000 | 1,155,000 | 250,000 | $(905,000)$ |
|  |  | 18846 | MT Ipic-market Octavia |  | 11,415,860 | 11,415,860 | 2,210,000 | $(9,205,860)$ |
|  |  | 80224 | MT 10035881 IPIC SOMA | 100,000 | 9,121,000 | 9,021,000 |  | (9,121,000) |
|  |  | 80225 | MT 10035882 IPIC HUB | 677,620 | 2,464,620 | 1,787,000 | 2,210,000 | $(254,620)$ |
|  |  | 80360 | MT 10037660 Transit Cent IPIC |  | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | (2,000,000) |
| 22420 Total |  |  |  | 777,620 | 26,156,480 | 25,378,860 | 4,670,000 | $(21,486,480)$ |
| 22890 | MTA SS ContinuingAuthorityCtrl | 19139 | WW Facilities \& Infrastructure | 250,000 |  | $(250,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 19180 | MS District Festivals D5-addba | 82,000 | 440,000 | 358,000 | 250,000 | $(190,000)$ |
|  |  | 80247 | MS 10036268 ParkingMeterRepla | 22,000,000 |  | $(22,000,000)$ |  |  |
| 22890 Total |  |  |  | 22,332,000 | 440,000 | $(21,892,000)$ | 250,000 | $(190,000)$ |
| 22940 | MTA SS CAP REVBD 2012B | 17943 | MS Sfmta Rev Bond Series 12b F | 89,740 |  | $(89,740)$ |  |  |
| 22940 Total |  |  |  | 89,740 | 0 | $(89,740)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 22960 | MTA SS CAP REVBD 2014A | 18896 | MG Sfmta Rev Bd S2014-garage P | 2,117,497 |  | $(2,117,497)$ |  |  |
| 22960 Total |  |  |  | 2,117,497 | 0 | $(2,117,497)$ | 0 | 0 |


Work Orders/Overhead

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22540 | MTA TS SPE REV TIDF | 138672 | MTACC CV-Captl Progr \& Constr | 54,553,308 | $(54,553,308)$ |  |  | $(23,541,007)$ |
|  |  | 139648 | MTAAW Agency-wide |  | 23,541,007 | 23,541,007 |  |  |
| 22540 Total |  |  |  | 54,553,308 | 23,541,007 | $(31,012,301)$ | 0 | $(23,541,007)$ |
| 22265 | MTA OH OPR AGENCYWIDE NEW | 139648 | MTAAW Agency-wide | 76,894,846 | 81,666,905 | 4,772,059 | 84,558,028 | 2,891,123 |
|  |  | 103773 | MTAFA Fit Finance \& Info Tech | 42,865,627 | 46,721,547 | 3,855,920 | 47,059,086 | 337,539 |
|  |  | 103758 | MTAHR Human Resources | 13,919,255 | 14,678,046 | 758,791 | 15,135,675 | 457,629 |
|  |  | 175644 | MTACO Communications | 6,129,018 | 6,431,201 | 302,183 | 6,563,628 | 132,427 |
|  |  | 103776 | MTAED Executive Director | 2,477,752 | 3,282,718 | 804,966 | 3,363,259 | 80,541 |
|  |  | 175649 | MTAGA Government Affairs | 1,706,572 | 1,738,052 | 31,480 | 1,778,350 | 40,298 |
|  |  | 103788 | MTABD Board Of Directors | 623,736 | 651,092 | 27,356 | 667,720 | 16,628 |
|  |  | 210685 | MTAPA Policy \& Administration | 329,802 | 345,308 | 15,506 | 354,424 | 9,116 |
|  |  | 103745 | MTASM Street Management | 153,253 | 162,293 | 9,040 | 166,112 | 3,819 |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | (145,099,861) | $(155,677,162)$ | $(10,577,301)$ | $(159,646,282)$ | $(3,969,120)$ |
| 22265 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22305 | MTA TS OPR PROJ SUP-PSF NEW | 138672 | MTACC CV-Captl Progr \& Constr | 3,098,089 | 3,318,861 | 220,772 | 3,350,688 | 31,827 |
|  |  | 103773 | MTAFA Fit Finance \& Info Tech | 1,936,404 | 1,942,041 | 5,637 | 1,951,053 | 9,012 |
|  |  | 103745 | MTASM Street Management | 1,469,872 | 1,535,703 | 65,831 | 1,548,036 | 12,333 |
|  |  | 138753 | MTATS Transit Svc Division | 1,038,381 | 1,037,636 | (745) | 1,041,259 | 3,623 |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(7,542,746)$ | (7,834,241) | $(291,495)$ | $(7,891,036)$ | $(56,795)$ |
| 22305 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 54,553,308 | 23,541,007 | $(31,012,301)$ | 0 | $(23,541,007)$ |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 1,546,030,662 | 1,387,960,157 | $(158,070,505)$ | 1,354,439,348 | $(33,520,809)$ |




| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 508,912,230 | 521,558,334 | 12,646,104 | 548,999,595 | 27,441,261 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 667,891,102 | 657,406,273 | (10,484,829) | 683,287,957 | 25,881,684 |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10001903 PC Vehicles |  |  |  |  | 2,400,684 | 2,400,684 |  | $(2,400,684)$ |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  | 2,400,684 | 2,400,684 | 0 | $(2,400,684)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 354,529,343 | 358,578,205 | 4,048,862 | 377,793,027 | 19,214,822 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 125,347,445 | 117,603,199 | $(7,744,246)$ | 120,927,076 | 3,323,877 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 15,146,962 | 17,364,340 | 2,217,378 | 17,532,339 | 167,999 |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 250,000 | 828,556 | 578,556 | 3,239,622 | 2,411,066 |
|  |  |  | Debt Service |  |  |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 5,583,630 | 5,193,630 | $(390,000)$ | 5,393,630 | 200,000 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 60,471,597 | 62,893,242 | 2,421,645 | 64,026,649 | 1,133,407 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 561,328,977 | 562,461,172 | 1,132,195 | 589,412,343 | 26,951,171 |
| 17960 | AIR Op Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 56,628,554 | 50,922,028 | $(5,706,526)$ | 52,860,568 | 1,938,540 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 23,758,196 | 20,428,956 | $(3,329,240)$ | 20,806,901 | 377,945 |
| 17960 Total |  |  |  | 80,386,750 | 71,350,984 | $(9,035,766)$ | 73,667,469 | 2,316,485 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 641,715,727 | 633,812,156 | $(7,903,571)$ | 663,079,812 | 29,267,656 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 17265 | S.Francisco Safe;Inc | 1,118,333 | 1,290,590 | 172,257 | 1,293,621 | 3,031 |
|  |  | 17275 | PC Ples - Hud-oig Operation Sa | 1,010,024 | 1,008,336 | $(1,688)$ | 1,008,336 |  |
|  |  | 17293 | D9 Foot Patrol-2014 Bos Addabc | 161,319 | 161,050 | (269) | 161,050 |  |
|  |  | 19563 | PC Pol Facility Renewal |  | 450,000 | 450,000 | 200,000 | $(250,000)$ |
| 10010 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 2,289,676 | 2,909,976 | 620,300 | 2,663,007 | $(246,969)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 2,289,676 | 2,909,976 | 620,300 | 2,663,007 | $(246,969)$ |


Department Appropriations (2 year)

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

|  |  |  |  | Original Budget | Recommended Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | Recommended Budget | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10060 | GF Work Order | 207909 | POL - SOB - Special Operations | 5,253,664 | 5,718,639 | 464,975 | 5,868,588 | 149,949 |
|  |  | 232091 | POL - FOB - Field Operations | 613,310 | 276,382 | $(336,928)$ | 340,655 | 64,273 |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  | 5,866,974 | 5,995,021 | 128,047 | 6,209,243 | 214,222 |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 5,866,974 | 5,995,021 | 128,047 | 6,209,243 | 214,222 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 667,891,102 | 657,406,273 | (10,484,829) | 683,287,957 | 25,881,684 |

Division Summary


| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 23680 | PRT-OP Annual Account Ctrl | Salaries |  | 27,051,523 | 27,594,516 | 542,993 | 28,527,680 | 933,164 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 14,111,965 | 13,607,266 | $(504,699)$ | 13,805,964 | 198,698 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 11,266,191 | 14,481,260 | 3,215,069 | 14,481,260 |  |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 69,007 |  | $(69,007)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Debt Service | 6,343,603 | 6,343,603 |  | 6,343,603 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 25,767,540 | 2,969,027 | $(22,798,513)$ | 6,713,962 | 3,744,935 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 931,924 | 866,924 | $(65,000)$ | 866,924 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 1,725,559 | 930,936 | $(794,623)$ | 930,936 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 24,753,033 | 28,301,884 | 3,548,851 | 29,693,242 | 1,391,358 |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 31,713 | 31,713 | 31,713 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev Retained |  | 12,776,320 | 12,776,320 |  | $(12,776,320)$ |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  |  |  | 4,281,270 | 4,281,270 |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(25,767,540)$ | $(2,969,027)$ | 22,798,513 | $(6,713,962)$ | $(3,744,935)$ |
| 23680 Total |  |  |  | 86,284,518 | 104,934,422 | 18,649,904 | 98,962,592 | $(5,971,830)$ |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 86,284,518 | 104,934,422 | 18,649,904 | 98,962,592 | $(5,971,830)$ |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 23690 | PRT-OP Annual Authority Ctrl | 16294 | Stormwater Pollution Control | 190,000 | 190,000 | 190,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 16296 | Public Access Improvements | 40,000 | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |  |
|  |  | 16297 | Miscellaneous Tenant Faciltiy | 92,500 | 500,000 | 407,500 | 500,000 |  |
|  |  | 16303 | PO Facility Maint Repair P1 | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |
|  |  | 16308 | Abondoned Mat-Illegal Dumpin C | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  |
|  |  | 16310 | Hazardous Waste Assessment \& R | 5,000 | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  |
|  |  | 16311 | A-E Cnsltng Prjt Plnning; Dsg | 400,000 | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |  |
|  |  | 16316 | Utility Annual Maintenance | 80,000 | 80,000 |  | 80,000 |  |
|  |  | 16317 | Oil Spill Response Training \& | 90,000 | 90,000 |  | 90,000 |  |
|  |  | 16338 | PO Cargo Fac Repair | 109,000 | 109,000 |  | 109,000 |  |
|  |  | 16339 | Heron'S Head Park (Pier 98) | 159,000 | 159,000 |  | 159,000 |  |
|  |  | 17726 | GE Youth Employment \& Environm | 665,000 | 565,000 | $(100,000)$ | 565,000 |  |
|  |  | 21275 | PO Racial Equity Econ Impact $P$ | 387,500 | 112,500 | $(275,000)$ | 112,500 |  |
|  |  | 21276 | PO Facility Maint Repair P50 | 100,000 |  | $(100,000)$ |  |  |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23690 | PRT-OP Annual Authority Ctrl | 21277 | PO Env Cleanup Pier 39-45 | 680,000 | 50,000 | $(630,000)$ | 50,000 |  |
|  |  | 21279 | PO Crane Cove Park | 375,000 | 368,527 | $(6,473)$ | 368,527 |  |
| 23690 Total |  |  |  | 3,673,000 | 2,969,027 | $(703,973)$ | 2,969,027 | 0 |
| 24530 | PRT-SBH Annual Authority Ctrl | 17321 | South Beach Harbor Project | 3,522,348 | 3,790,768 | 268,420 | 3,709,782 | $(80,986)$ |
| 24530 Total |  |  |  | 3,522,348 | 3,790,768 | 268,420 | 3,709,782 | $(80,986)$ |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 7,195,348 | 6,759,795 | $(435,553)$ | 6,678,809 | $(80,986)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 23700 | PRT-OP ContinuingAuthorityCtrl | 12620 | PO Port Property Dev Feasibili |  | $(7,219)$ | $(7,219)$ |  | 7,219 |
|  |  | 12629 | PO Port Ada Transition Plan |  | $(272,846)$ | $(272,846)$ |  | 272,846 |
|  |  | 12649 | PO Pier 80-92-96 Track Mainten |  | $(213,279)$ | $(213,279)$ |  | 213,279 |
|  |  | 12663 | PO Pier Repair |  | $(15,831)$ | $(15,831)$ |  | 15,831 |
|  |  | 12672 | PO Waterfront Resilience Progm | 1,763,929 | $(10,894,778)$ | $(12,658,707)$ | 605,222 | 11,500,000 |
|  |  | 12675 | PO Roof Repair Project |  | $(670,384)$ | $(670,384)$ |  | 670,384 |
|  |  | 12676 | PO Env'tal Assessment \& Remedi |  | $(7,146)$ | $(7,146)$ |  | 7,146 |
|  |  | 12688 | PO Southern Waterfront Beautif | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,000 |  |
|  |  | 12698 | PO Homeland Security Enhanceme | 317,495 | 182,405 | $(135,090)$ | 182,505 | 100 |
|  |  | 12705 | PO Crane Painting \& Upgrade Pr |  | $(9,633)$ | $(9,633)$ |  | 9,633 |
|  |  | 12715 | PO Piers 33-35 Repairs \& Impro |  | $(2,965,364)$ | $(2,965,364)$ |  | 2,965,364 |
|  |  | 12722 | PO Ac34 Improvements |  | $(1,694)$ | $(1,694)$ |  | 1,694 |
|  |  | 12736 | PO Ferry Building Plaza Improv |  | $(150,000)$ | $(150,000)$ |  | 150,000 |
|  |  | 12740 | PO Waterfront Development Proj | 8,000,000 | 8,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 |  |
|  |  | 19567 | PO Cargo Maint Dredging |  | $(3,111,651)$ | $(3,111,651)$ |  | 3,111,651 |
|  |  | 19570 | PO Amador St Forced Sewer Main |  | $(2,195,073)$ | $(2,195,073)$ |  | 2,195,073 |
|  |  | 19571 | PO Utilities Project | 637,183 | $(450,741)$ | $(1,087,924)$ |  | 450,741 |
|  |  | 19572 | PO Leasing Capital Improvement | 138,836 | $(1,253,923)$ | $(1,392,759)$ |  | 1,253,923 |
|  |  | 19573 | PO Pier Structure Rpr Prjt Ph |  | $(7,128,403)$ | $(7,128,403)$ |  | 7,128,403 |
|  |  | 19575 | PO N Waterfront Historic Pier |  | $(6,206)$ | $(6,206)$ |  | 6,206 |
|  |  | 19577 | PO Sf Bay Fill Removal |  | $(1,951,000)$ | $(1,951,000)$ |  | 1,951,000 |
|  |  | 20087 | Pier 70 Shipyard Operations |  | $(1,417,903)$ | $(1,417,903)$ |  | 1,417,903 |
|  |  | 20088 | Enterprise Technology Projects | 450,000 |  | $(450,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 20124 | Amador St. Improvement project |  | $(3,800,000)$ | $(3,800,000)$ |  | 3,800,000 |
|  |  | 20125 | Capital Proj Implement Team | 140,097 | 857,208 | 717,111 | 857,208 |  |
|  |  | 20126 | P90 Grain Silo demolition proj |  | $(1,150,000)$ | $(1,150,000)$ |  | 1,150,000 |


Department Appropriations (2 year)

| Department: PDR Public Defender |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 42,015,913 | 45,249,429 | 3,233,516 | 46,375,699 | 1,126,270 |
| Public Protection Fund | 240,790 | 43,122 | $(197,668)$ | 43,122 |  |
| Total Uses by Funds | 42,256,703 | 45,292,551 | 3,035,848 | 46,418,821 | 1,126,270 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| PDR Public Defender | 42,256,703 | 45,292,551 | 3,035,848 | 46,418,821 | 1,126,270 |
| Total Uses by Division | 42,256,703 | 45,292,551 | 3,035,848 | 46,418,821 | 1,126,270 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 27,495,210 | 30,203,646 | 2,708,436 | 31,270,940 | 1,067,294 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 11,203,660 | 11,511,213 | 307,553 | 11,582,783 | 71,570 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 1,258,672 | 1,220,792 | $(37,880)$ | 1,184,672 | $(36,120)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 245,809 | 131,809 | $(114,000)$ | 131,809 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 2,053,352 | 2,225,091 | 171,739 | 2,248,617 | 23,526 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 42,256,703 | 45,292,551 | 3,035,848 | 46,418,821 | 1,126,270 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 444931 Fed Grants Pass-Thru State-Oth | 65,790 | 43,122 | $(22,668)$ | 43,122 |  |
| 444939 Federal Direct Grant | 175,000 |  | $(175,000)$ |  |  |
| 448411 Realignment Backfill | 19,450 |  | $(19,450)$ |  |  |
| 448918 DstrctAttrny-PublcDefndr-Ab109 | 329,000 | 417,000 | 88,000 | 417,000 |  |
| 460107 Court Reimbursements |  | 7,944 | 7,944 |  | $(7,944)$ |
| 486190 Exp Rec Fr Child;Youth\&Fam AAO | 92,000 | 92,000 |  | 92,000 |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 41,575,463 | 44,732,485 | 3,157,022 | 45,866,699 | 1,134,214 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Sou | rces by Fund |  |  | 42,256,703 | 45,292,551 | 3,035,848 | 46,418,821 | 1,126,270 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries |  | 27,452,055 30,174,140 |  | 2,722,085 | 31,240,392 | 1,066,252 |
|  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits |  | 11,181,025 | 11,497,597 | 316,572 | 11,570,209 | 72,612 |
|  |  | Non-Personnel Services |  | 1,192,672 | 1,220,792 | 28,120 | 1,184,672 | $(36,120)$ |
|  |  | Materials \& Supplies |  | 136,809 | 131,809 | $(5,000)$ | 131,809 |  |
|  |  | Services Of Other Depts |  | 2,053,352 | 2,225,091 | 171,739 | 2,248,617 | 23,526 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 42,015,913 | 45,249,429 | 3,233,516 | 46,375,699 | 1,126,270 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 42,015,913 | 45,249,429 | 3,233,516 | 46,375,699 | 1,126,270 |
| Grants Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 13550 | SR Public Protection-Grant | 10034496 | CH FY20-21 Federal JAG Grant | 65,790 | $(65,790)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035806 | CH FY21-22 Federal JAG Grant |  | 43,122 | 43,122 |  | $(43,122)$ |
|  |  | 10037203 | CH FY22-23 Federal JAG Grant |  |  |  | 43,122 | 43,122 |
| 13550 Total |  |  |  | 65,790 | 43,122 | $(22,668)$ | 43,122 | 0 |
| 13551 | SR Public Prot-COVID Stim-FED | 10036442 | CH CESF FY2020 Grant | 175,000 |  | $(175,000)$ |  |  |
| 13551 Total |  |  |  | 175,000 | 0 | $(175,000)$ | 043,122 | 0 |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 240,790 | 43,122 | $(197,668)$ |  | 0 |
| Total Use | s of Funds |  |  | 42,256,703 | 45,292,551 | 3,035,848 | 46,418,821 | 1,126,270 |



Department: DPH

> HAD Public Health Admin HBH Behavioral Healt HGH Zuckerberg SF G HHH Health At Home

> HJH Jail Health
> HLH Laguna Honda Hospital HNS Health Network Services HPC Primary Care

> HPH Population Health Division
> Total Uses by Division

alaries
Mandatory Fringe Benefits Non-Personnel Services City Grant Program

| Fund Title | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2021-2022 } \\ & \text { Recommended } \\ & \text { Budget } \end{aligned}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City Facilities Improvement Fd | 7,000,000 | 10,100,000 | 3,100,000 |  | $(10,100,000)$ |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 98,400,000 | 142,577,761 | 44,177,761 | 93,118,906 | $(49,458,855)$ |
| Community Health Services Fund | 144,321,768 | 176,596,655 | 32,274,887 | 138,457,911 | $(38,138,744)$ |
| General Fund | 1,197,571,709 | 1,103,069,766 | $(94,501,943)$ | 1,110,036,455 | 6,966,689 |
| General Hospital Medical Ctr | 1,020,813,715 | 1,073,921,850 | 53,108,135 | 1,097,057,193 | 23,135,343 |
| Gift and Other Exp Trust Fund | 288,485 | 503,818 | 215,333 |  | $(503,818)$ |
| Laguna Honda Hospital | 307,386,752 | 313,412,141 | 6,025,389 | 318,783,549 | 5,371,408 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 2,775,782,429 | 2,820,181,991 | 44,399,562 | 2,757,454,014 | $(62,727,977)$ |



| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 445621 | Motor Veh Lic Fee-RealignmntFd | 58,650,000 | 66,625,000 | 7,975,000 | 66,594,000 | $(31,000)$ |
| 447011 | Weights And Inspection Fees | 710,000 | 710,000 |  | 710,000 |  |
| 448411 | Realignment Backfill | 12,756,073 |  | $(12,756,073)$ |  |  |
| 448928 | California Children'sSvcsAdmin | 2,728,601 | 2,728,601 |  | 2,728,601 |  |
| 448930 | California Children Services | 1,661,921 | 1,661,921 |  | 1,661,921 |  |
| 448999 | Other State Grants \& Subventns | 11,583,516 | 13,598,151 | 2,014,635 | 9,889,348 | $(3,708,803)$ |
| 449997 | City Depts Revenue From OCII | 64,575 | 64,575 |  | 64,575 |  |
| 460681 | Agricultural Inspection Fee | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |  |
| 460699 | Other Public Safety Charges | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  |
| 460702 | Board Prisoners Other Counties | 25,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  |
| 460901 | Emt Certificate-AccreditatnFee | 36,576 |  | $(36,576)$ |  |  |
| 460902 | Ambulance Permit Fee | 257,532 |  | $(257,532)$ |  |  |
| 460903 | Ambulnce CertificatnOperatnFee | 108,999 |  | $(108,999)$ |  |  |
| 460906 | Emsa Receiving Hospital Fee | 130,690 |  | $(130,690)$ |  |  |
| 460907 | Emsa Stemi Fee | 81,140 |  | $(81,140)$ |  |  |
| 463503 | Laundry Renewals | 141,683 | 141,683 |  | 141,683 |  |
| 463509 | Birth Certificate Fee | 244,135 | 244,135 |  | 244,135 |  |
| 463510 | Death Certificate Fee | 336,350 | 480,000 | 143,650 | 480,000 |  |
| 463511 | Removal Permit Fee | 16,000 | 16,000 |  | 16,000 |  |
| 463512 | Crippled Children Care | 6,500 | 6,500 |  | 6,500 |  |
| 463515 | Laboratory Fees | 119,000 | 119,000 |  | 119,000 |  |
| 463519 | Garbage Truck Inspection Fees | 863,800 | 863,800 |  | 863,800 |  |
| 463520 | Hazard Matl Storage Permit Fee | 3,306,303 | 3,306,303 |  | 3,306,303 |  |
| 463525 | Hazard Materials Permit Fees | 335,508 | 335,508 |  | 335,508 |  |
| 463526 | Soil Testing Fees | 309,960 | 309,960 |  | 309,960 |  |
| 463539 | Solid Waste Transfer Station | 108,189 | 108,189 |  | 108,189 |  |
| 463540 | Plan Checking Fees-Beh | 1,450,552 | 1,450,552 |  | 1,450,552 |  |
| 463541 | Complaint Investigations Fees | 21,200 | 21,200 |  | 21,200 |  |
| 463542 | CFC \& Motor Vehicle A-C Permit | 3,290 | 3,290 |  | 3,290 |  |
| 463550 | Medical Waste-Acute CareHosptl | 196,328 | 196,328 |  | 196,328 |  |
| 463571 | Healthy Housing Program-Hotels | 653,981 | 653,981 |  | 653,981 |  |
| 463572 | Healthy Housing Prog-Apartmnts | 2,859,340 | 2,859,340 |  | 2,859,340 |  |
| 463573 | Env Hith Re-Inspection Fee | 105,576 | 105,576 |  | 105,576 |  |
| 463575 | Env Hlth Training | 75,458 | 75,458 |  | 75,458 |  |
| 463576 | Env HIth Temporary Events | 290,500 | 290,500 |  | 290,500 |  |
| 463599 | Misc Public Health Revenue | 10,800,790 | 18,709,131 | 7,908,341 | 10,269,131 | $(8,440,000)$ |
| 465101 | Patient Payments | 617,600 | 617,600 |  | 617,600 |  |
| 465102 | Medi-Cal | 5,257,469 | 5,257,469 |  | 5,257,469 |  |
| 465103 | Medicare | 1,648,139 | 2,479,798 | 831,659 | 2,479,798 |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { N } \\
0 & \text { N } \\
0 & \text { N } \\
& \text { N } \\
& \text { N }
\end{array}
$$





| $10,107,124$ | $10,548,037$ | 440,913 | $10,548,037$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | $2,790,268$ | $2,790,268$ |
| $8,876,687$ | $15,504,170$ | $6,627,483$ | $15,197,489$ | $(306,681)$ |
| 502,740 | 502,740 |  |  | $(502,740)$ |
| 34,944 | 35,215 | 271 | 35,215 |  |
| 6,471 | 8,607 | 2,136 | 8,874 | 267 |
| 6,127 | 6,127 |  | 6,127 |  |
|  | 290,695 | 290,695 | 290,695 |  |
| 16,000 | 2,500 | $(13,500)$ | 2,500 |  |
| 8,340 | 8,340 |  | 8,340 |  |
| 281,447 | 302,426 | 20,979 | 311,934 | 9,508 |
| 112,180 | 112,348 | 168 | 112,348 |  |
| 146,656 | 152,567 | 5,911 | 156,613 | 4,046 |
| 779,731 | 748,718 | $(31,013)$ | 757,105 | 8,387 |
| 249,684 | 249,684 |  | 262,921 | 13,237 |
| 38,104 | 39,891 | 1,787 | 39,891 |  |
| $1,334,930$ | $1,334,930$ |  | $1,334,930$ |  |
| 615,667 | 615,667 |  | 615,667 |  |
| 380,841 | 383,128 | 2,287 | 386,442 | 3,314 |
| 24,370 | 24,370 |  | 24,370 |  |
| 192,530 | 192,530 |  | 192,530 |  |
| 581,693 | 731,272 | 149,579 | 747,376 | 16,104 |
| $18,132,144$ | $18,873,726$ | 741,582 | $16,586,198$ | $(2,287,528)$ |
| 27,651 | 27,651 |  | 27,651 |  |
| 258,735 | 258,735 |  | 258,735 |  |
| 65,455 | 50,957 | $(14,498)$ | 50,957 |  |
| 742,190 | 760,930 | 18,740 | 760,930 |  |
| 28,836 | 36,838 | 8,002 | 37,756 | 918 |
| 353,398 | 353,398 |  | 353,398 |  |
| 584,821 | 645,734 | 60,913 | 633,734 | $(12,000)$ |
|  | 43,426 | 43,426 | 43,426 |  |
| 471,132 | 180,146 | $(290,986)$ | 187,206 | 7,060 |
|  | 18,545 | 18,545 | 18,545 |  |
| 20,000 | 80,000 | 60,000 | 80,000 |  |
| $1,698,533$ | $1,000,000$ | $(698,533)$ | $1,000,000$ |  |
| 109,068 | 190,386 | 81,318 | 197,824 | 7,438 |
| $2,227,883$ | $1,952,984$ | $(274,899)$ | $2,005,884$ | 52,900 |
| $108,453,671$ | $89,401,155$ | $(19,052,516)$ | $92,557,601$ | $3,156,446$ |
| $2,000,000$ |  | $(2,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 495023 | ITI Fr 5H-General Hospital Fd |  |  | 14,274,139 | 9,856,820 | $(4,417,319)$ | 8,792,160 | $(1,064,660)$ |
| 495024 | ITI Fr 5L-Lagna Hnda Hosptl Fd |  |  | 6,066,300 | 3,224,640 | $(2,841,660)$ | 4,023,370 | 798,730 |
| 499998 | Prior Year Designated Reserve |  |  | 7,000,000 | 1,000,000 | $(6,000,000)$ |  | $(1,000,000)$ |
| 499999 | Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only |  |  | 3,643,459 | 68,240,000 | 64,596,541 |  | (68,240,000) |
| 999989 | ELIMSD TRANSFER ADJ-SOURCES |  |  | (130,794,110) | (102,482,615) | 28,311,495 | $(105,373,131)$ | $(2,890,516)$ |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 883,580,138 | 837,641,937 | $(45,938,201)$ | 970,814,675 | 133,172,738 |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 2,775,782,429 | 2,820,181,991 | 44,399,562 | 2,757,454,014 | (62,727,977) |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10037705 LHH Emergency P |  |  |  |  | 7,600,000 | 7,600,000 |  | $(7,600,000)$ |
| 10037706 | ZSFG Childcare Ctr RS |  |  |  | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |  | $(1,500,000)$ |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  | 9,100,000 | 9,100,000 | 0 | $(9,100,000)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 <br> Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 246,076,103 | 271,895,960 | 25,819,857 | 285,057,699 | 13,161,739 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 104,348,670 | 107,948,968 | 3,600,298 | 110,381,165 | 2,432,197 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 422,053,205 | 424,341,366 | 2,288,161 | 431,802,956 | 7,461,590 |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 10,949,500 | 10,949,500 |  | 10,949,500 |  |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 728,262 |  | $(728,262)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Debt Service |  | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 8,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 22,212,463 | 23,578,809 | 1,366,346 | 25,270,334 | 1,691,525 |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | $(21,791,665)$ | $(4,624,241)$ | 17,167,424 | $(4,700,026)$ | $(75,785)$ |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 20,933,870 | 21,537,826 | 603,956 | 20,705,581 | $(832,245)$ |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 805,510,408 | 857,628,188 | 52,117,780 | 887,467,209 | 29,839,021 |
| 21080 | SFGH-Op Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 401,781,105 | 431,187,540 | 29,406,435 | 448,506,229 | 17,318,689 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 184,127,473 | 182,218,383 | $(1,909,090)$ | 186,250,804 | 4,032,421 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 241,777,429 | 259,326,571 | 17,549,142 | 277,856,079 | 18,529,508 |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 4,377,318 | 10,583,288 | 6,205,970 | 558,870 | $(10,024,418)$ |
|  |  |  | Debt Service | 2,839,765 | 2,950,080 | 110,315 | 2,957,239 | 7,159 |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 14,274,139 | 9,856,820 | (4,417,319) | 8,792,160 | $(1,064,660)$ |





| Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From $2020-2021$ | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 11580 | SR Community Health-Grants | 10035482 | HD STD PD16 2021 Std Preventio | 1,193,096 |  | $(1,193,096)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035483 | HD TB PD17 2021 TB/HIV Control | 878,989 |  | $(878,989)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035484 | HD STD DC01 2021 Surveillance | 270,000 |  | $(270,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035485 | HD STD D141 2021 ELC GC Rapid | 253,482 |  | $(253,482)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035492 | HD HIV D128 2021 HVTN Scientif | 123,058 |  | $(123,058)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035494 | HD TB PD21 2021 Tuberculosis S | 298,031 |  | $(298,031)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035495 | HD TB DC22 2021 Ca Tb Controll | 200,000 |  | $(200,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035496 | HD TB DC12 2021 Tuberculosis E | 214,468 |  | $(214,468)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035497 | HB HM HM103 2021 SFHP Mission | 231,000 |  | $(231,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035498 | HD HIV PD14 2021 State HIV Sur | 715,084 |  | $(715,084)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035500 | HD HED PH01 2021 Tobacco Free | 1,996,752 |  | $(1,996,752)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035501 | HB MH HM102 2021 BEAM UP | 400,000 |  | $(400,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035502 | HB MH AD04 2021 State Vocation | 90,400 |  | $(90,400)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035503 | HB HM AD01 2021 Integrated Ser | 703,467 |  | $(703,467)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035505 | HD EPR CD113 2021 Pan Flu | 96,466 |  | $(96,466)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035506 | HN MCH2021 HCMC02 | 1,364,784 |  | $(1,364,784)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035507 | HN MCH PM02 2021 Black Infant | 1,129,591 |  | $(1,129,591)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035508 | HN MCH2021 HCPM03 | 5,994,750 |  | $(5,994,750)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035509 | HN MCH PM05 2021 CHDP | 1,706,091 |  | $(1,706,091)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035510 | HN MCH PM08 2021 WIC | 3,004,965 |  | $(3,004,965)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035511 | HN MCH PM13 2021 NUTRITION | 901,741 |  | $(901,741)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035512 | HN MCH PM14 2021 Foster Care | 799,634 |  | $(799,634)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035513 | HN MCH PM101 2021 Oral Health | 308,879 |  | $(308,879)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035517 | HD ADM AC13 2021 BRACE | 213,713 |  | $(213,713)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035518 | HD HIV IV18 2021 iTech | 47,685 |  | $(47,685)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035519 | HD HIV AO73 2021 SFDPH CBA | 981,613 |  | $(981,613)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035520 | HD HIV AO05 2021 MMP | 523,517 |  | $(523,517)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035521 | HD HIV D123 2021 NHBS | 830,936 |  | $(830,936)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035522 | HD HIV D119 2021 SF Bay CTU | 124,943 |  | $(124,943)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035523 | HD HIV PD95 2021 DOT Diary | 183,952 |  | $(183,952)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035524 | HD HIV AO86 2021 Leadershp LOC | 83,719 |  | $(83,719)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035526 | HD HIV AO67 2021 HPTN Leadersh | 16,505 |  | $(16,505)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035527 | HD HIV D134 2021 UCSF-CFAR | 30,078 |  | $(30,078)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035528 | HD HIV AO99 2021 Transnational | 44,495 |  | $(44,495)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035534 | HD EHS PD108 2021 CDPH Prop 56 | 434,750 |  | $(434,750)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035535 | HD EHS PD116 2021 Tobacco Grnt | 350,000 |  | $(350,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035536 | HD HIV AO58 2021 SHARP | 9,936 |  | $(9,936)$ |  |  |



| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11580 | SR Community Health-Grants | 10036938 | HD STD PD16 2122 Std Preventio |  | 1,227,714 | 1,227,714 | 1,227,714 |  |
|  |  | 10036941 | HB HM M005 2122 Hrsa Title Iv |  | 97,531 | 97,531 | 97,531 |  |
|  |  | 10036943 | HD STD CD142 2122, Core Mgmt L |  | 268,666 | 268,666 | 635,689 | 367,023 |
|  |  | 10036950 | HB MH HM108 Implementation of |  | 98,652 | 98,652 |  | $(98,652)$ |
|  |  | 10036951 | HD TB PD17 2122 TB/HIV Control |  | 909,088 | 909,088 | 909,088 |  |
|  |  | 10036952 | HN HIV AO16 2122 HCP SAM |  | 3,248,921 | 3,248,921 | 3,248,921 |  |
|  |  | 10036953 | HD HED PH01 2122 Tobacco Free |  | 749,409 | 749,409 | 1,996,752 | 1,247,343 |
|  |  | 10036954 | HB MH AD04 2122 State Vocation |  | 90,400 | 90,400 | 90,400 |  |
|  |  | 10036955 | HB MH HM101 2122 SB 82 Triage |  | 610,958 | 610,958 |  | $(610,958)$ |
|  |  | 10036956 | HB MH HM102 2122 BEAM UP |  | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | $(200,000)$ |
|  |  | 10036957 | HB MH HM105 2122 Pre-Trial Fel |  | 781,504 | 781,504 |  | $(781,504)$ |
|  |  | 10036958 | HD HIV PD141 2122 SHINE |  | 20,415 | 20,415 | 20,415 |  |
|  |  | 10036959 | HD HIV AO73 2122 SFDPH High Im |  | 981,613 | 981,613 | 981,613 |  |
|  |  | 10036960 | HB MH HM107 2122 Early Psychos |  | 540,541 | 540,541 | 533,342 | $(7,199)$ |
|  |  | 10036961 | HD HIV PD90 2122 SFDPH High Im |  | 7,008,377 | 7,008,377 | 7,008,377 |  |
|  |  | 10036963 | HN MCH 2122 HCMC02 |  | 1,364,784 | 1,364,784 | 1,364,784 |  |
|  |  | 10036964 | HB MH 2122 M007 Samhsa-MHBG |  | 4,072,182 | 4,072,182 | 4,072,182 |  |
|  |  | 10036965 | HN MCH PM02 2122 Black Infant |  | 1,129,591 | 1,129,591 | 1,129,591 |  |
|  |  | 10036966 | HD EHS EH15 Beach Water Quali |  | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |  |
|  |  | 10036967 | HD HIV IV24 2122 UCSF 10409sc |  | 12,216 | 12,216 | 12,216 |  |
|  |  | 10036969 | HD HIV 1V18 2122 The UNC/Emory |  | 47,685 | 47,685 | 47,685 |  |
|  |  | 10036970 | HD EHS PB02 2122 State CLPPP |  | 685,016 | 685,016 | 685,016 |  |
|  |  | 10036971 | HD HIV PD128 2122 UCSF PTBi |  | 83,000 | 83,000 | 83,000 |  |
|  |  | 10036972 | HN HIV AO60 2122 RWPC |  | 325,801 | 325,801 | 325,801 |  |
|  |  | 10036973 | HN HIV PD13 2122 RWPA |  | 16,196,290 | 16,196,290 | 16,196,290 |  |
|  |  | 10036975 | HD HIV PD130 2122 PPrEPmate 21 |  | 32,061 | 32,061 | 32,061 |  |
|  |  | 10036976 | HN HIV PD134 2122 RWPC COVID19 |  | 74,725 | 74,725 | 74,725 |  |
|  |  | 10036977 | HN HIV PD135 2122 RWPA COVID19 |  | 488,125 | 488,125 | 488,125 |  |
|  |  | 10036978 | HN HIV PD140 2122 RWPA \& B |  | 1,667,000 | 1,667,000 | 1,667,000 |  |
|  |  | 10036979 | HD HIV AO86 2122 Leadershp LOC |  | 83,719 | 83,719 | 83,719 |  |
|  |  | 10036980 | HD HIV D128 2122 HVTN Scientif |  | 123,058 | 123,058 | 123,058 |  |
|  |  | 10036982 | HD HIV D119 2122 SF Bay CTU |  | 124,943 | 124,943 | 124,943 |  |
|  |  | 10036983 | HD HIV AO67 2122 HPTN Leadersh |  | 16,505 | 16,505 | 16,505 |  |
|  |  | 10036984 | HD HIV IV08 2122 Mid-Career |  | 158,239 | 158,239 | 158,239 |  |
|  |  | 10036985 | HD HIV AO98 2122 Western State |  | 38,735 | 38,735 | 38,735 |  |
|  |  | 10036986 | HD HIV AO78 2223 REBOOT |  | 95,206 | 95,206 | 95,206 |  |
|  |  | 10036987 | HD HIV PD117 2122 TORO-SRO |  | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 |  |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11580 | SR Community Health-Grants | 10036989 | HD HIV PD139 2223 Western Stat |  | 26,262 | 26,262 | 26,262 |  |
|  |  | 10036990 | HD HIV PD151 2122 PS20-2010: I |  | 2,740,288 | 2,740,288 | 2,740,288 |  |
|  |  | 10036992 | HD HIV AO54 2122 UCSF TA 8940 |  | 40,504 | 40,504 | 40,504 |  |
|  |  | 10036993 | HD HIV AO99 2122 Transnational |  | 44,495 | 44,495 | 44,495 |  |
|  |  | 10036994 | HD HIV PD113 2122 UCSF 11580sc |  | 43,173 | 43,173 | 43,173 |  |
|  |  | 10036995 | HD HIV PD121 2122 UCSF 11644sc |  | 21,404 | 21,404 | 21,404 |  |
|  |  | 10036996 | HD HIV PD123 2122 UCSF 11626sc |  | 70,132 | 70,132 | 70,132 |  |
|  |  | 10036997 | HD HIV PD142 2122 Biomedical |  | 21,587 | 21,587 | 21,587 |  |
|  |  | 10037000 | HN MCH 2122 HCPM03 |  | 5,994,750 | 5,994,750 | 5,994,750 |  |
|  |  | 10037001 | HD EHS EH16 2122 Pedestrian an |  | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037002 | HN MCH PM05 2122 CHDP |  | 1,706,091 | 1,706,091 | 1,706,091 |  |
|  |  | 10037003 | HN MCH PM08 2122 WIC |  | 3,004,965 | 3,004,965 | 3,004,965 |  |
|  |  | 10037004 | HN MCH PM13 2122 NUTRITION |  | 901,741 | 901,741 | 901,741 |  |
|  |  | 10037005 | HN MCH PM14 2122 Foster Care |  | 799,634 | 799,634 | 799,634 |  |
|  |  | 10037032 | HN MCH PM101 2122 Oral Health |  | 308,879 | 308,879 | 308,879 |  |
|  |  | 10037034 | HD EPR CD113 2122 Pan Flu |  | 96,466 | 96,466 | 96,466 |  |
|  |  | 10037038 | HC Health Preparedness \& Respo |  | 673,051 | 673,051 | 673,051 |  |
|  |  | 10037045 | HC San Francisco Cities Readin |  | 195,332 | 195,332 | 195,332 |  |
|  |  | 10037049 | HD HIV PD14 2122 State HIV Sur |  | 715,084 | 715,084 | 715,084 |  |
|  |  | 10037050 | HD STD PD148 2122 CDC-ELC CARE |  | 242,472 | 242,472 |  | $(242,472)$ |
|  |  | 10037051 | HD HIV AO05 2122 Medical Monit |  | 523,517 | 523,517 | 523,517 |  |
|  |  | 10037054 | HD EPR AC11 2122 HPP |  | 311,000 | 311,000 | 311,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037055 | HD EHS PD116 2122 Tobacco Grnt |  | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037056 | HD EPI PD29 2122 Immunization |  | 6,951,167 | 6,951,167 | 292,627 | $(6,658,540)$ |
|  |  | 10037057 | HB SA SA17 2122 STARR Prop 47) |  | 2,857,724 | 2,857,724 | 42,000 | $(2,815,724)$ |
|  |  | 10037058 | HC ADM GLSC 2122 RWPC LSYC |  | 75,700 | 75,700 | 75,700 |  |
|  |  | 10037059 | HD STD PD146 2122 STD UCSF/CPT |  | 163,275 | 163,275 | 163,275 |  |
|  |  | 10037060 | HC ADM GMCK 2122 Mckinney Home |  | 1,255,850 | 1,255,850 | 1,255,850 |  |
|  |  | 10037061 | HC ADM GTWC 2122 RWPC TWC |  | 88,800 | 88,800 | 88,800 |  |
|  |  | 10037062 | HD STD PD145 2122 UCSF TB Suba |  | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037064 | HC 2122 CALCRG |  | 174,306 | 174,306 | 174,306 |  |
|  |  | 10037066 | HN MCH PM102 2122 CA Perinatal |  | 459,560 | 459,560 | 459,560 |  |
|  |  | 10037067 | HD ADM AC13 2122 Enhancing Hea |  | 213,713 | 213,713 | 213,713 |  |
|  |  | 10037070 | HD EHS PD108 2122 CDPH Prop 56 |  | 434,750 | 434,750 | 434,750 |  |
|  |  | 10037086 | HD HIV IV14 2122 Mandel |  | 29,169 | 29,169 | 29,169 |  |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11580 | SR Community Health-Grants | 10037090 | HN MCH PM103 2122 CHVP SGF Inn |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037119 | HD HIV D123 2122 NHBS |  | 830,936 | 830,936 | 830,936 |  |
|  |  | 10037287 | HD ENV 2122 PD150 SFDPH DOJ PR |  | 487,060 | 487,060 | 487,060 |  |
|  |  | 10037369 | HD HIV PD152 2122 PRIME |  | 93,490 | 93,490 | 93,490 |  |
|  |  | 10037371 | HD HIV PD153 2122 PCORI |  | 99,883 | 99,883 | 99,883 |  |
|  |  | 10037403 | HD ENV 2122 PD143-22 |  | 38,766 | 38,766 | 38,766 |  |
|  |  | 10037404 | HD ENV2122 PD136 Noxious Weed |  | 20,190 | 20,190 | 20,190 |  |
|  |  | 10037443 | HD HIV PD154 2122 UCSF 12518sc |  | 18,779 | 18,779 | 18,779 |  |
|  |  | 10037453 | HD HIV PD155 2122 AMBER SOW |  | 32,451 | 32,451 | 32,451 |  |
|  |  | 10037463 | HC PC105 2122 RISE |  | 141,488 | 141,488 | 141,488 |  |
|  |  | 10037568 | HD EPR PD157 |  | 17,652,663 | 17,652,663 | 11,300,271 | $(6,352,392)$ |
|  |  | 10037617 | HD HIV PD158 2122PS21-2103 Int |  | 315,000 | 315,000 | 315,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037658 | Advancing Health Literacy to E |  | 2,652,351 | 2,652,351 | 1,347,649 | $(1,304,702)$ |
|  |  | 10037677 | HD HIV PD160 2122 OT21-2103 Na |  | 4,669,859 | 4,669,859 |  | $(4,669,859)$ |
|  |  | 10037682 | State Bay Area Assistance Vax |  | 750,000 | 750,000 |  | $(750,000)$ |
|  |  | 10037778 | HD HED CH10 2122 Safe Schools |  | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | $(250,000)$ |
| 11580 Total |  |  |  | 69,077,053 | 107,779,952 | 38,702,899 | 84,635,742 | $(23,144,210)$ |
| 11621 | SR Comm Health-COVID Stim-FED | 10036507 | DPHDR-COVID 19-DPH Provider Re | 19,871,000 |  | $(19,871,000)$ |  |  |
| 11621 Total |  |  |  | 19,871,000 | 0 | $(19,871,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 14820 | SR ETF-Gift | 10036449 | 2020 Epic for FQHCs Program | 288,485 | 503,818 | 215,333 |  | $(503,818)$ |
| 14820 Total |  |  |  | 288,485 | 503,818 | 215,333 | 0 | $(503,818)$ |
| 21133 | SFGH COVID STIMULUS FUNDFED | 10036507 | DPHDR-COVID 19-DPH Provider Re | 25,000,000 |  | $(25,000,000)$ |  |  |
| 21133 Total |  |  |  | 25,000,000 | 0 | $(25,000,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 114,236,538 | 108,283,770 | $(5,952,768)$ | 84,635,742 | $(23,648,028)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Project Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From $2020-2021$ | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From $2021-2022$ |
| 21131 | SFGH-OPERATING GRANTSSTATE | 10037639 | ZSFG - DHCS BH Pilot Project |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | $(100,000)$ |
| 21131 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 0 | $(100,000)$ |
| 21132 | SFGH-OPERATING GRANTSPRIVATE | 10035599 | HG FY 20 Palliative Care Grant | 49,554 |  | $(49,554)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10036347 | Addiction Med. T Expan -ZSFG | 675,794 |  | $(675,794)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10036350 | Addiction Med. T Expan -ZSFG |  | 963,286 | 963,286 |  | $(963,286)$ |
|  |  | 10036351 | Addiction Med. T Expan -ZSFG |  |  |  | 1,088,193 | 1,088,193 |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21132 | SFGH-OPERATING GRANTSPRIVATE | 10036366 | ZSFG Patient Care Qual R2 FY21 | 5,534,934 | $(5,534,934)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10036367 | ZSFG Patient Care Qual R2 FY22 |  | 4,689,763 | 4,689,763 | $(4,689,763)$ |  |
|  |  | 10037473 | ZSFG Patient Care Qual FY19_20 |  | 654,871 | 654,871 | 102,000 | $(654,871)$ |
|  |  | 10037612 | ZSFG Patient Care Qual R2 FY23 |  |  |  |  | 102,000 |
|  |  | 10037663 | Geriatric Emeg Dept Planning |  | 375,408 | 375,408 |  | $(375,408)$ |
|  |  | 10037664 | Resilient Beginning Network |  | 109,545 | 109,545 |  | $(109,545)$ |
|  |  | 10037668 | Battery Social Medecine Progr |  | 315,000 | 315,000 |  | $(315,000)$ |
|  |  | 10037670 | Kaiser Social Medecine Progr |  | 818,182 | 818,182 |  | $(818,182)$ |
|  |  | 10037679 | Telehealth ZSFG COVID19 fund |  | 1,938,850 | 1,938,850 |  | $(1,938,850)$ |
|  |  | 10037680 | Equity Seeds ZSFG COVID19 fund |  | 235,684 | 235,684 |  | $(235,684)$ |
| 21132 Total |  |  |  | 6,260,282 | 10,100,589 | 3,840,307 | 1,190,193 | $(8,910,396)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Project Control Total |  |  |  | 6,260,282 | 10,200,589 | 3,940,307 | 1,190,193 | $(9,010,396)$ |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10060 | GF Work Order | 207703 | HBH Behavioral Health | 27,440,532 | 24,753,427 | $(2,687,105)$ | 24,798,077 | 44,650 |
|  |  | 240661 | HPH Population Health Division | 6,653,234 | 7,253,894 | 600,660 | 7,281,830 | 27,936 |
|  |  | 207705 | HNS Health Network Services | 6,614,702 | 12,859,070 | 6,244,368 | 13,087,356 | 228,286 |
|  |  | 240642 | HPC Primary Care | 3,814,356 | 1,822,383 | $(1,991,973)$ | 1,822,383 | 61,581 |
|  |  | 251961 | HAD Public Health Admin | 774,367 | 922,061 | 147,694 | 983,642 |  |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  | 45,297,191 | 47,610,835 | 2,313,644 | 47,973,288 | 362,453 |
| 21550 | LHH-Work Order Fund | 240649 | HLH Laguna Honda Hospital | 229,950 | 229,950 | 229,950 |  |  |
| 21550 Total |  |  |  | 229,950 | 229,950 | 0 | 229,950 | 0362,453 |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 45,527,141 | 47,840,785 | 2,313,644 | 48,203,238 |  |
| Total Use | s of Funds |  |  | 2,775,782,429 | 2,820,181,991 | 44,399,562 | 2,757,454,014 | $(62,727,977)$ |

Sources of Funds Detail by Account
Department: LIB Public Library

| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bequest Fund | 115,000 | 115,000 |  | 115,000 |  |
| General Fund |  | 8,000,000 | 8,000,000 |  | $(8,000,000)$ |
| Gift and Other Exp Trust Fund | 5,000 | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  |
| Public Library Fund | 151,580,834 | 163,102,254 | 11,521,420 | 172,201,356 | 9,099,102 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 151,700,834 | 171,222,254 | 19,521,420 | 172,321,356 | 1,099,102 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIB Public Library | 151,700,834 | 171,222,254 | 19,521,420 | 172,321,356 | 1,099,102 |
| Total Uses by Division | 151,700,834 | 171,222,254 | 19,521,420 | 172,321,356 | 1,099,102 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 62,036,283 | 66,545,024 | 4,508,741 | 69,067,309 | 2,522,285 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 37,677,571 | 38,409,843 | 732,272 | 39,172,498 | 762,655 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 9,039,705 | 9,194,331 | 154,626 | 9,365,945 | 171,614 |
| City Grant Program | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 7,557,900 | 18,126,855 | 10,568,955 | 11,024,438 | $(7,102,417)$ |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 6,850,000 | 8,293,955 | 1,443,955 | 10,227,538 | 1,933,583 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 22,939,827 | 24,944,724 | 2,004,897 | 25,275,724 | 331,000 |
| Overhead and Allocations | 405 | 383 | (22) | 383 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 11,949,143 | 13,501,094 | 1,551,951 | 13,258,705 | $(242,389)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  |  |  | 4,656,354 | 4,656,354 |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(6,850,000)$ | $(8,293,955)$ | $(1,443,955)$ | $(10,227,538)$ | $(1,933,583)$ |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 151,700,834 | 171,222,254 | 19,521,420 | 172,321,356 | 1,099,102 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |


| 410110 | Prop Tax Curr Yr-Secured |  |  |  | 60,618,000 | 62,853,000 | 2,235,000 | 65,192,000 | 2,339,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 410120 | Prop Tax Curr Yr-Unsecured |  |  |  | 4,076,000 | 3,224,000 | $(852,000)$ | 3,329,000 | 105,000 |
| 410230 | Unsecured Instl 5-8 Yr Plan |  |  |  | 17,000 | 16,000 | $(1,000)$ | 16,000 |  |
| 410310 | Supp Asst SB813-Cy Secured |  |  |  | 312,000 | 331,800 | 19,800 | 491,900 | 160,100 |
| 410410 | Supp Asst SB813-Py Secured |  |  |  | 694,000 | 738,200 | 44,200 | 1,094,100 | 355,900 |
| 410920 | Prop Tax Ab 1290 Rda Passthrgh |  |  |  | 1,643,000 | 1,764,000 | 121,000 | 1,799,000 | 35,000 |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash |  |  |  | 237,400 | 237,400 |  | 237,400 |  |
| 439899 | Other City Property Rentals |  |  |  | 26,115 | 26,115 |  | 26,115 |  |
| 448111 | Homeowners Prop Tax Relief |  |  |  | 170,000 | 170,000 |  | 170,000 |  |
| 448999 | Other State Grants \& Subventns |  |  |  | 60,000 | 60,000 |  | 60,000 |  |
| 462511 | Books Paid |  |  |  | 45,000 | 45,000 |  | 45,000 |  |
| 462521 | Delinquent Library Fee Collect |  |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  |
| 462542 | Library Event-Meeting Room Fee |  |  |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |
| 462597 | LIB Services-History Center |  |  |  | 25,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  |
| 462598 | Library Copy And Print Fees |  |  |  | 90,000 | 90,000 |  | 90,000 |  |
| 462599 | Misc Library Service \& Oth Rev |  |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  |
| 478101 | Gifts And Bequests |  |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  |
| 486320 | Exp Rec Fr Environment (AAO) |  |  |  | 73,416 | 75,661 | 2,245 | 78,341 | 2,680 |
| 493001 | OTI Fr 1G-General Fund |  |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  |
| 495010 | ITI Fr 2S/LIB-Public LibraryFd |  |  |  | 6,850,000 | 8,293,955 | 1,443,955 | 10,227,538 | 1,933,583 |
| 499999 | Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only |  |  |  | 3,863,903 | 5,571,078 | 1,707,175 | 62,500 | $(5,508,578)$ |
| 999989 | ELIMSD TRANSFER ADJ-SOURCES |  |  |  | $(6,850,000)$ | $(8,293,955)$ | $(1,443,955)$ | $(10,227,538)$ | $(1,933,583)$ |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  | 79,700,000 | 95,940,000 | 16,240,000 | 99,550,000 | 3,610,000 |
| Total Sou | rces by F | und |  |  | 151,700,834 | 171,222,254 | 19,521,420 | 172,321,356 | 1,099,102 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title |  | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 13140 | SR Public Library Preserv |  |  | Salaries | 62,036,283 | 66,545,024 | 4,508,741 | 69,067,309 | 2,522,285 |
|  |  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 37,677,571 | 38,409,843 | 732,272 | 39,172,498 | 762,655 |
|  |  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 9,019,705 | 9,174,331 | 154,626 | 9,345,945 | 171,614 |
|  |  |  |  | City Grant Program | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 707,900 | 1,832,900 | 1,125,000 | 796,900 | $(1,036,000)$ |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13140 | SR Public Library Preserv |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 6,850,000 | 8,293,955 | 1,443,955 | 10,227,538 | 1,933,583 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 22,735,232 | 24,740,107 | 2,004,875 | 25,071,107 | 331,000 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 11,949,143 | 13,501,094 | 1,551,951 | 13,258,705 | $(242,389)$ |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  |  |  | 4,656,354 | 4,656,354 |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(6,850,000)$ | $(8,293,955)$ | $(1,443,955)$ | $(10,227,538)$ | $(1,933,583)$ |
| 13140 Total |  |  |  | 144,625,834 | 154,703,299 | 10,077,465 | 161,868,818 | 7,165,519 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 144,625,834 | 154,703,299 | 10,077,465 | 161,868,818 | 7,165,519 |

Continuing Projects - Authority Control

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 21804 | LIB Chinatown Lib Renov RS | 8,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 |  | $(8,000,000)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 0 8,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 | 0 | $(8,000,000)$ |
| 13080 | SR Library Fund - Continuing | 17146 | LB Library-summer Reading Prog | $20,000 \quad 20,000$ |  | 20,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 19559 | LB Sfpl Capital Improvement Pr | 6,850,000 | 8,293,955 | 1,443,955 | 10,227,538 | 1,933,583 |
| 13080 Total |  |  |  | 6,870,000 | 8,313,955 | 1,443,955 | 10,247,538 | 1,933,583 |
| 13150 | SR Library Special Revenue | 17144 | LB Library Special Collection- | 25,000 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  |  |
| 13150 Total |  |  |  | 25,000 | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 6,895,000 | 16,338,955 | 9,443,955 | 10,272,538 | $(6,066,417)$ |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13120 | SR Library Grants; Cont Sta | 10034145 | LB-FY21 Project Read CLLS | 60,000 | $(60,000)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035724 | LB-FY22 Project Read CLLS |  | 60,000 | 60,000 |  | $(60,000)$ |
|  |  | 10036945 | LB-FY23 Project Read CLLS |  |  |  | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| 13120 Total |  |  |  | 60,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 |
| 14820 | SR ETF-Gift | 10000589 | LB-F\&F-Spl Coll-Architect/Deco | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |
| 14820 Total |  |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 |
| 17860 | Perm ETF-Bequests | 10000592 | LB-Lillian Dannenberg Bequest | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 10000595 | LB-Fuhrman Bequest | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  |
| 17860 Total |  |  |  | 115,000 | 115,000 | 0 | 115,000 | 0 |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 180,000 | 180,000 | 0 | 180,000 | 0 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Division Summary
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HHP C HHP Hetch Hetchy Water \＆
PUB Public Utilities Bureaus WTR Wr Enise WWE Wastewater Enterprise Total Uses by Division
HHP CleanPowerSF
HHP Hetch Hetchy Water \＆Power
Salaries
Mandatory Fringe Benefits Non－Personnel Services City Grant Program Capital Outlay

Debt Service
Facilities Maintenance Intrafund Transfers Out

Materials \＆Supplies
Overhead and Allocations Programmatic Projects

| Services Of Other Depts |  | 104,333,465 | 101,798,055 | $(2,535,410)$ | 102,102,659 | 304,604 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transfers Out |  | 47,251,137 | 47,145,137 | $(106,000)$ | 46,645,137 | $(500,000)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev Retained |  | 156,063,500 | 167,596,069 | 11,532,569 | 167,596,069 |  |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  | 18,017,179 |  | $(18,017,179)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(282,633,489)$ | $(292,285,866)$ | $(9,652,377)$ | (292,199,875) | 85,991 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 1,433,954,907 | 1,504,090,299 | 70,135,392 | 1,512,539,917 | 8,449,618 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 16,275,000 | 15,999,000 | $(276,000)$ | 15,999,000 |  |
| 439899 | Other City Property Rentals | 13,471,900 | 13,934,400 | 462,500 | 13,934,400 |  |
| 463102 | Sewer Service Chrg-Comml-Resid | 336,155,600 | 369,350,129 | 33,194,529 | 369,350,129 |  |
| 463104 | Sewer Service Chrg-Spcl Dstrct | 9,515,000 | 10,532,000 | 1,017,000 | 10,532,000 |  |
| 468100 | Treasure Island Utilities Rev | 3,482,034 | 4,718,820 | 1,236,786 | 4,235,822 | $(482,998)$ |
| 468111 | Sale Of Water-SF Consumers | 278,454,000 | 297,774,000 | 19,320,000 | 297,774,000 |  |
| 468121 | Sale Of Water-Muni Paying | 4,366,900 | 3,318,157 | $(1,048,743)$ | 3,318,157 |  |
| 468131 | Sale Of Water-Sub Non Resale | 10,862,000 | 12,238,000 | 1,376,000 | 12,238,000 |  |
| 468181 | Sale Of Water-Suburban Resale | 262,385,000 | 270,642,000 | 8,257,000 | 270,642,000 |  |
| 468611 | Sale Of Electricity-Municipal | 2,713,103 | 19,261,466 | 16,548,363 | 19,261,466 |  |
| 468612 | Sale Of Electricity-Non-City | 20,825,892 |  | (20,825,892) |  |  |
| 468614 | Sale Of Electricity-Retail | 19,111,490 | 10,332,660 | $(8,778,830)$ | 10,332,660 |  |
| 468615 | Electricity Sale-CCA | 225,610,735 | 224,126,365 | $(1,484,370)$ | 224,126,365 |  |
| 468618 | Electricity Sale-Wholesale |  | 17,509,875 | 17,509,875 | 17,509,875 |  |
| 468711 | Sale Of Water | 194,000 | 208,000 | 14,000 | 208,000 |  |
| 478001 | Water Service InstallationChrg | 6,288,000 | 6,304,000 | 16,000 | 6,304,000 |  |
| 478990 | Enterprise Fed BondlntSubsidy | 25,786,043 | 25,553,069 | $(232,974)$ | 25,553,069 |  |
| 479987 | LCFS Credits Revenue | 2,507,000 | 735,000 | $(1,772,000)$ | 735,000 |  |
| 479999 | Other Non-Operating Revenue | 15,733,253 | 7,096,305 | $(8,636,948)$ | 7,096,305 |  |
| 486010 | Exp Rec Fr Asian Arts Musm AAO | 359,524 | 462,786 | 103,262 | 462,786 |  |
| 486020 | Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 44,019,825 | 49,479,298 | 5,459,473 | 49,479,298 |  |
| 486030 | Exp Rec Fr Admin Svcs (AAO) | 9,092,874 | 9,464,113 | 371,239 | 9,947,111 | 482,998 |
| 486040 | Exp Rec Fr Animal Cre\&Ctrl AAO | 106,633 | 138,714 | 32,081 | 138,714 |  |
| 486050 | Exp Rec Fr Adult Probation AAO | 15,500 | 27,703 | 12,203 | 27,703 |  |
| 486100 | Exp Rec Fr Bus \& Enc Dev (AAO) | 2,451,006 | 2,451,006 |  | 2,451,006 |  |
| 486110 | Exp Rec Fr Bldg Inspection AAO | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  |
| 486170 | Exp Rec Fr Chld Supprt SvcsAAO | 40,071 | 38,529 | $(1,542)$ | 38,529 |  |
| 486180 | Exp Rec Fr ConvFaciltsMgmt AAO | 4,982,755 | 5,698,211 | 715,456 | 5,698,211 |  |
| 486185 | Exp Rec Fr CleanpowerSF AAO | 1,144,425 | 1,500,000 | 355,575 | 1,500,000 |  |



| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 495029 | ITI Fr 5T-Hetch Hetchy W\&P Fds |  |  | 16,443,687 | 15,818,774 | $(624,913)$ | 16,153,774 | 335,000 |
| 495030 ITI Fr 5W-Water Department Fd |  |  |  | 79,982,198 | 83,850,219 | 3,868,021 | 83,850,219 |  |
| 495045 ITI Fr 5Q-Cleanpowersf Funds |  |  |  | 29,028,996 | 30,077,331 | 1,048,335 | 29,656,340 | $(420,991)$ |
| $499999 \quad$ Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only |  |  |  | 39,853,527 | 41,545,044 | 1,691,517 | 50,259,662 | 8,714,618 |
| 999989 ELIMSD TRANSFER ADJ-SOURCES |  |  |  | $(282,633,489)$ | $(292,285,866)$ | $(9,652,377)$ | $(292,199,875)$ | 85,991 |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 1,433,954,907 | 1,504,090,299 | 70,135,392 | 1,512,539,917 | 8,449,618 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | 2020-2021 <br> Original Budge | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ \text { 2020-2021 } \end{array}$ | $2022-2023$ <br> Recommended <br> Budget | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ \text { 2021-2022 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 20160 | WWE Op Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 51,162,549 | 56,259,060 | 5,096,511 | 58,196,576 | 1,937,516 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 25,088,586 | 25,870,691 | 782,105 | 26,303,977 | 433,286 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 23,361,106 | 26,264,477 | 2,903,371 | 26,264,477 |  |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 1,825,015 | 3,184,042 | 1,359,027 | 3,184,042 |  |
|  |  |  | Debt Service | 87,471,078 | 95,158,798 | 7,687,720 | 95,158,798 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 113,029,608 | 116,724,542 | 3,694,934 | 116,724,542 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 11,188,300 | 11,954,672 | 766,372 | 11,954,672 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 30,527,869 | 36,397,758 | 5,869,889 | 37,359,235 | 961,477 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 37,945,780 | 37,722,114 | $(223,666)$ | 37,786,382 | 64,268 |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 31,713 | 31,713 |  | 31,713 |  |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 405,294$(113,029,608)$ |  | $(405,294)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(116,724,542)$ | $(3,694,934)$ | (116,724,542) |  |
| 20160 Total |  |  |  | 269,257,290 | 293,093,325 | 23,836,035 | 296,489,872 | 3,396,547 |
| 24750 | HH CleanPowerSF Op Annual Acco |  | Salaries | 5,250,012 | 5,979,219 | 729,207 | 6,387,781 | 408,562 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 1,537,114 | 1,980,992 | 443,878 | 2,090,818 | 109,826 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 12,261,349 | 12,053,323 | $(208,026)$ | 12,053,421 | 98 |
|  |  |  | Debt Service | 1,000,000 |  | $(1,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 228,358 | 229,358 | 1,000 | 229,358 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 2,539,970 | 2,729,048 | 189,078 | 2,793,229 | 64,181 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 3,588,696 | 3,657,562 | 68,866 | 3,668,904 | 11,342 |
| 24750 Tota |  |  |  | 26,405,499 | 26,629,502 | 224,003 | 27,223,511 | 594,009 |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24970 | HHWP Op Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 33,056,803 | 35,161,465 | 2,104,662 | 36,292,097 | 1,130,632 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 15,960,085 | 16,207,382 | 247,297 | 16,483,969 | 276,587 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 97,872,128 | 121,210,062 | 23,337,934 | 121,210,062 |  |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 1,771,824 | 1,219,510 | $(552,314)$ |  | $(1,219,510)$ |
|  |  |  | Debt Service | 5,691,708 | 3,940,606 | $(1,751,102)$ | 3,940,606 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 16,443,687 | 15,818,774 | $(624,913)$ | 16,153,774 | 335,000 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 3,361,083 | 3,498,442 | 137,359 | 3,498,442 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 18,499,145 | 21,794,394 | 3,295,249 | 22,362,493 | 568,099 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 8,511,134 | 9,168,689 | 657,555 | 9,221,170 | 52,481 |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 531,712 | 531,712 |  | 31,712 | $(500,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 1,510,382 |  | $(1,510,382)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(16,443,687)$ | $(15,818,774)$ | 624,913 | $(16,153,774)$ | $(335,000)$ |
| 24970 Total |  |  |  | 186,766,004 | 212,732,262 | 25,966,258 | 213,040,551 | 308,289 |
| 25940 | WTR Op Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 68,356,751 | 75,065,246 | 6,708,495 | 77,818,051 | 2,752,805 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 33,927,663 | 34,976,139 | 1,048,476 | 35,666,124 | 689,985 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 19,184,277 | 21,973,538 | 2,789,261 | 21,973,538 |  |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 2,650,012 | 2,581,524 | $(68,488)$ | 2,581,524 |  |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 4,459,339 | 3,093,539 | $(1,365,800)$ | 3,093,539 |  |
|  |  |  | Debt Service | 313,411,377 | 307,721,129 | $(5,690,248)$ | 307,721,129 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 79,982,198 | 83,850,219 | 3,868,021 | 83,850,219 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 15,283,545 | 15,990,958 | 707,413 | 15,990,958 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 45,215,190 | 59,841,046 | 14,625,856 | 61,382,940 | 1,541,894 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 24,666,919 | 25,089,991 | 423,072 | 25,201,080 | 111,089 |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 44,180,712 | 45,846,712 | 1,666,000 | 45,846,712 |  |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(124,131,198)$ | (129,665,219) | $(5,534,021)$ | (129,665,219) |  |
| 25940 Total |  |  |  | 527,186,785 | 546,364,822 | 19,178,037 | 551,460,595 | 5,095,773 |
| 27180 | PUC Operating Fund |  | Salaries | 47,251,899 | 53,699,909 | 6,448,010 | 55,972,507 | 2,272,598 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 24,738,953 | 26,271,095 | 1,532,142 | 27,079,638 | 808,543 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 19,057,536 | 21,956,848 | 2,899,312 | 21,956,848 |  |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 1,656,136 | 1,638,707 | $(17,429)$ | 1,638,707 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 2,435,226 | 3,412,269 | 977,043 | 3,412,269 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | $(123,538,237)$ | $(131,259,933)$ | $(7,721,696)$ | $(134,646,140)$ | $(3,386,207)$ |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 28,374,964 | 24,842,243 | $(3,532,721)$ | 24,882,309 | 40,066 |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 580,123 |  | $(580,123)$ |  |  |
| 27180 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | 556,600 | 561,138 | 4,538 | 296,138 | $(265,000)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 1,010,172,178 | 1,079,381,049 | 69,208,871 | 1,088,510,667 | 9,129,618 |


| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 20170 | WWE Annual Authority Ctrl | 17726 | GE Youth Employment \& Environm | 697,000 | 697,000 |  | 697,000 |  |
|  |  | 19459 | UW Treasure Island - Maintena | 1,432,000 | 2,250,000 | 818,000 | 2,250,000 |  |
|  |  | 19460 | UW 525 Golden Gate - O \& M | 2,124,165 | 936,000 | $(1,188,165)$ | 936,000 |  |
|  |  | 19461 | UW 525 Golden Gate - Lease Pay | 2,423,943 | 2,424,344 | 401 | 2,424,344 |  |
|  |  | 19466 | WW Low Impact Development | 681,000 | 1,194,808 | 513,808 | 1,194,808 |  |
|  |  | 80068 | WWE Neighborhood Steward Progs | 1,335,000 | 1,095,000 | $(240,000)$ | 1,095,000 |  |
| 20170 Total |  |  |  | 8,693,108 | 8,597,152 | $(95,956)$ | 8,597,152 | 0 |
| 24765 | Clean Pw Annual Authority Ctrl | 20993 | CPSF Neighborhood Steward Prog | 725,000 | 1,015,000 | 290,000 |  | $(1,015,000)$ |
| 24765 Total |  |  |  | 725,000 | 1,015,000 | 290,000 | 0 | $(1,015,000)$ |
| 24980 | HHWP Annual Authority Ctrl | 15812 | Hetchy Water - Facilities Main | 5,960,000 | 6,334,000 | 374,000 | 6,334,000 |  |
|  |  | 17661 | Wecc-Nerc Compliance | 3,069,402 | 1,559,557 | $(1,509,845)$ | 2,459,557 | 900,000 |
|  |  | 17726 | GE Youth Employment \& Environm | 150,000 | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  |
|  |  | 19459 | UW Treasure Island - Maintena | 3,825,000 | 4,016,000 | 191,000 | 4,016,000 |  |
|  |  | 19460 | UW 525 Golden Gate - O \& M | 1,277,275 | 584,000 | $(693,275)$ | 584,000 |  |
|  |  | 19461 | UW 525 Golden Gate - Lease Pay | 1,248,010 | 1,248,217 | 207 | 1,248,217 |  |
|  |  | 80066 | HHW Neighborhood Steward Progs | 500,000 | 125,000 | $(375,000)$ |  | $(125,000)$ |
|  |  | 80067 | HHP Neighborhood Steward Progs | 167,000 | 440,000 | 273,000 |  | $(440,000)$ |
| 24980 Total |  |  |  | 16,196,687 | 14,456,774 | $(1,739,913)$ | 14,791,774 | 335,000 |
| 25950 | WTR Annual Authority Ctrl | 17726 | GE Youth Employment \& Environm | 1,290,000 | 1,290,000 |  | 1,290,000 |  |
|  |  | 19158 | UW Awss Maintenance - Cdd | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  |
|  |  | 19159 | UW Water Enterprise-watershed | 3,486,000 | 3,486,000 |  | 3,486,000 |  |
|  |  | 19458 | UW Water Resources Planning An | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  |
|  |  | 19459 | UW Treasure Island - Maintena | 1,350,000 | 2,109,500 | 759,500 | 2,109,500 |  |
|  |  | 19460 | UW 525 Golden Gate - O \& M | 6,874,055 | 2,838,000 | $(4,036,055)$ | 2,863,358 | 25,358 |
|  |  | 19461 | UW 525 Golden Gate - Lease Pay | 9,167,143 | 9,832,719 | 665,576 | 9,832,719 |  |
|  |  | 80065 | WTR Neighborhood Steward Progr | 998,000 | 1,225,000 | 227,000 | 1,199,642 | $(25,358)$ |
| 25950 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 25,165,198 | 22,781,219 | $(2,383,979)$ | 22,781,219 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 50,779,993 | 46,850,145 | $(3,929,848)$ | 46,170,145 | $(680,000)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 20550 | WWE CPF Repair \& Replace | 19135 | WW Cwp_revenue Transfer-sub Fu | 107,894,500 | 110,252,390 | 2,357,890 | 110,252,390 |  |
| 20550 Total |  |  |  | 107,894,500 | 110,252,390 | 2,357,890 | 110,252,390 | 0 |
| 24761 | CleanPowerSF Cap Revenue Fund | 80233 | CleanPowerSF Capital | 1,898,497 | 2,432,829 | 534,332 | 2,432,829 |  |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24761 Total |  |  |  | 1,898,497 2,432,829 |  | 534,332 | 2,432,829 | 0 |
| 24870 | HH CleanPowerSF Cust Trust Fd | 20543 | CleanPowerSF Customer Trst Fnd | 197,464,739 | 197,857,886 | 393,147 | 197,857,886 |  |
| 24870 Total |  |  |  | 197,464,739 | 197,857,886 | 393,147 | 197,857,886 | 0 |
| 24990 | HHWP ContinuingAuthorityCtrl | 15405 | UH Hhp_revenue Transfer-sub Fu | 569,000 | 1,686,000 | 1,117,000 | 1,686,000 |  |
| 24990 Total |  |  |  | 569,000 | 1,686,000 | 1,117,000 | 1,686,000 | 0 |
| 25430 | HHP CPF Transbay Cable | 15375 | UH Sf Electrical Reliability-t | 3,100,000 | $(3,100,000)$ |  |  |  |
| 25430 Total |  |  |  | 3,100,000 | 0 | $(3,100,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 25455 | HHP LCFS Credits Sales Fund | 21284 | UH LCFS Credits | 2,507,000 | 735,000 | $(1,772,000)$ | 735,000 |  |
| 25455 Total |  |  |  | 2,507,000 | 735,000 | $(1,772,000)$ | 735,000 | 0 |
| 25960 | WTR ContinuingAuthorityCtrl | 19047 | UW Watershed Protection | 1,050,000 | 996,490 | $(53,510)$ | 996,490 |  |
|  |  | 19052 | UW Landscape Conservation Prog | 1,000,000 |  | $(1,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 19055 | UW Long Term Monitoring \& Perm | 9,219,000 | 7,758,969 | $(1,460,031)$ | 7,758,969 |  |
|  |  | 19133 | UW Wtr_revenue Transfer-sub Fu | 27,600,000 | 35,698,998 | 8,098,998 | 35,698,998 |  |
|  |  | 19463 | UW Retrofit Grant Program | 700,000 | 481,862 | $(218,138)$ | 481,862 |  |
| 25960 Total |  |  |  | 39,569,000 | 44,936,319 | 5,367,319 | 44,936,319 | 0 |
| 26600 | WTR CPF Wholesale Customer | 19133 | UW Wtr_revenue Transfer-sub Fu | 13,000,000 | 12,973,142 | $(26,858)$ | 12,973,142 |  |
| 26600 Total |  |  |  | 13,000,000 | 12,973,142 | $(26,858)$ | 12,973,142 | 0 |
|  | Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  | 366,002,736 | 370,873,566 | 4,870,830 | 370,873,566 |  |
| Continuing Projects - Project Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 26570 | WTR CPF Other Fund | 10015493 | UW Wtr:Revenue Transfer-Sub Fu | 7,000,000 | 6,985,539 | $(14,461)$ | 6,985,539 |  |
| 26570 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Project Control Total |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7,000,000 \\ & 7,000,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,985,539 \\ & 6,985,539 \end{aligned}$ | $(14,461)$ | $6,985,539$$6,985,539$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | $(14,461)$ |  | 0 |  |  |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 20205 | WWE Paid Time Off | 229309 | WWE Wastewater Enterprise <br> Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $\begin{array}{r} 2,700,000 \\ (2,700,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,700,000 \\ (2,700,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,700,000 \\ (2,700,000) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 20205 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25025 | HHWP HetchyPower Paid Time Off | 231637 | HHP Hetch Hetchy Water \& Power <br> Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $\begin{array}{r} 1,300,000 \\ (1,300,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,300,000 \\ (1,300,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,300,000 \\ (1,300,000) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 25025 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



Division Summary


REC Admin Services REC Capital Division

REC Operations
REC Zoo

## Total Uses by Division

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 88 08 08 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 83,426,830 | 92,416,506 | 8,989,676 | 85,765,612 | $(6,650,894)$ |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 231,586,029 | 243,275,382 | 11,689,353 | 229,505,686 | (13,769,696) |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10013127 RP Buchanan Street M |  |  |  |  | 3,100,000 | 3,100,000 |  | $(3,100,000)$ |
| 10031217 RP 11th Street And Natoma Pa |  |  |  |  | 3,100,000 | 3,100,000 |  | $(3,100,000)$ |
| 10033273 RP 2020 Bond Planning |  |  |  |  | 3,588,287 | 3,588,287 | 3,588,287 |  |
| 10037713 Stow Lake ADA Repair CR |  |  |  |  | 900,000 | 900,000 |  | $(900,000)$ |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  | 10,688,287 | 10,688,287 | 3,588,287 | $(7,100,000)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 38,736,201 | 42,910,523 | 4,174,322 | 46,662,902 | 3,752,379 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 17,660,775 | 18,037,409 | 376,634 | 18,560,793 | 523,384 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 250,000 | 492,000 | 242,000 | 592,000 | 100,000 |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 755,538 | 755,538 |  | 755,538 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 330,069 | 330,069 |  | 330,069 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 75,000 | 75,000 |  | 75,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 22,883,951 | 30,960,173 | 8,076,222 | 32,125,628 | 1,165,455 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 144,000 | 357,701 | 213,701 | 367,036 | 9,335 |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 7,234,005 | 1,787,232 | $(5,446,773)$ | 3,721,585 | 1,934,353 |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(7,564,074)$ | $(2,117,301)$ | 5,446,773 | $(4,051,654)$ | $(1,934,353)$ |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 80,505,465 | 93,588,344 | 13,082,879 | 99,138,897 | 5,550,553 |
| 11902 | SR R\&P-Marina -Annual |  | Salaries | 1,038,162 | 1,108,386 | 70,224 | 1,139,977 | 31,591 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 530,754 | 544,221 | 13,467 | 556,359 | 12,138 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 224,097 | 224,097 |  | 224,097 |  |
|  |  |  | Debt Service | 1,740,135 | 1,807,135 | 67,000 | 1,807,135 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 470,676 | 621,822 | 151,146 | 630,822 | 9,000 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 112,000 | 112,000 |  | 112,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 501,460 | 607,127 | 105,667 | 604,045 | $(3,082)$ |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 125,373 | 137,337 | 11,964 | 136,943 | (394) |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(470,676)$ | $(621,822)$ | $(151,146)$ | $(630,822)$ | $(9,000)$ |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11902 Total |  |  |  | 4,271,981 | 4,540,303 | 268,322 | 4,580,556 | 40,253 |
| 12360 | SR Golf Fund Annual |  | Salaries | 3,896,076 | 4,174,561 | 278,485 | 4,317,455 | 142,894 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 1,862,964 | 1,891,789 | 28,825 | 1,918,673 | 26,884 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 6,265,281 | 6,265,281 |  | 6,265,281 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 374,486 | 374,486 |  | 374,486 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 726,101 | 726,101 |  | 726,101 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 2,040,031 | 2,427,648 | 387,617 | 2,423,513 | $(4,135)$ |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 3,219,066 | 2,867,876 | $(351,190)$ | 2,883,946 | 16,070 |
|  |  |  | Transters Out | 1,180,000 | 1,180,000 |  | 1,180,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(1,554,486)$ | $(1,554,486)$ |  | $(1,554,486)$ |  |
| 12360 Total |  |  |  | 18,009,519 | 18,353,256 | 343,737 | 18,534,969 | 181,713 |
| 13370 | SR Open Space\&Park-Annual |  | Salaries | 21,112,077 | 22,643,180 | 1,531,103 | 23,423,008 | 779,828 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 12,194,052 | 12,399,372 | 205,320 | 12,659,528 | 260,156 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 4,753,315 | 4,637,349 | $(115,966)$ | 4,664,452 | 27,103 |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 7,051,217 | 8,683,066 | 1,631,849 | 9,173,295 | 490,229 |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 17,122,702 | 19,591,539 | 2,468,837 | 19,692,640 | 101,101 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 228,962 | 238,790 | 9,828 | 231,059 | $(7,731)$ |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 6,931,398 | 11,347,143 | 4,415,745 | 5,333,108 | $(6,014,035)$ |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(13,982,615)$ | $(20,030,209)$ | (6,047,594) | $(14,506,403)$ | 5,523,806 |
| 13370 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | $55,411,108$ | 59,510,230 | 4,099,122 | 60,670,687 | 1,160,457 |
|  |  |  |  | $158,198,073$ | 175,992,133 | 17,794,060 | 182,925,109 | 6,932,976 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $2022-2023$ Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 <br> Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 17856 | Zoo Operations Project | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 |  | 4,000,000 |  |
|  |  | 20134 | Community Services Operating | 142,439 | 142,439 |  | 142,439 |  |
|  |  | 20135 | Mather Operating | 465,113 | 540,113 | 75,000 | 540,113 |  |
|  |  | 20136 | Cultural Arts Drama Operating | 40,000 | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |  |
|  |  | 20137 | Cultural Arts Gen Operating | 52,195 | 52,195 |  | 52,195 |  |
|  |  | 20138 | Cultural Arts Randall Operatin | 36,141 | 36,141 |  | 36,141 |  |
|  |  | 20139 | Cultural Arts Sharon Operating | 42,000 | 42,000 |  | 42,000 |  |
|  |  | 20140 | Botanical Operating | 58,593 | 58,593 |  | 58,593 |  |
|  |  | 20141 | Golden Gate Park Operating | 334,481 | 409,481 | 75,000 | 409,481 |  |
|  |  | 20142 | Nursery Operating | 58,400 | 58,400 |  | 58,400 |  |
|  |  | 20143 | Int Pest Management Operating | 58,387 | 58,387 |  | 58,387 |  |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 20144 | Leisure Services Operating | 492,194 | 492,194 |  | 492,194 |  |
|  |  | 20146 | Park Patrol Operating | 172,988 | 172,988 |  | 172,988 |  |
|  |  | 20147 | Park Service Area 1 Operating | 242,157 | 242,157 |  | 242,157 |  |
|  |  | 20148 | Park Service Area 2 Operating | 288,934 | 288,934 |  | 288,934 |  |
|  |  | 20149 | Park Service Area 3 Operating | 120,428 | 120,428 |  | 120,428 |  |
|  |  | 20150 | Park Service Area 4 Operating | 104,158 | 104,158 |  | 104,158 |  |
|  |  | 20151 | Park Service Area 5 Operating | 113,212 | 113,212 |  | 113,212 |  |
|  |  | 20152 | Park Service Area 6 Operating | 133,463 | 133,463 |  | 133,463 |  |
|  |  | 20154 | Permit \& Reservation Operating | 66,150 | 67,050 | 900 | 67,050 |  |
|  |  | 20156 | Structural Maint Operating | 1,024,600 | 1,129,600 | 105,000 | 1,129,600 |  |
|  |  | 20158 | Support Services Operating | 502,013 | 452,013 | $(50,000)$ | 452,013 |  |
|  |  | 20159 | Turf Operating | 146,464 | 146,464 |  | 146,464 |  |
|  |  | 20192 | RP SM Operating Work Orders | 457,876 | 457,876 |  | 466,696 | 8,820 |
|  |  | 20193 | RP Capital Budget Baseline | 694,000 | 750,000 | 56,000 | 750,000 |  |
|  |  | 20324 | Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Tax | 1,988,719 |  | $(1,988,719)$ |  |  |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 11,835,105 | 10,108,286 | $(1,726,819)$ | 10,117,106 | 8,820 |
| 13350 | Open Space \& Park-Annual Proj | 20133 | Apprentice Operating | 61,500 | 61,500 |  | 61,500 |  |
|  |  | 20145 | Natural Areas Operating | 110,400 | 110,400 |  | 110,400 |  |
|  |  | 20155 | Planning Operating | 40,000 | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |  |
|  |  | 20157 | Sports \& Athletics Operating | 634,768 | 634,768 |  | 634,768 |  |
|  |  | 20160 | Urban Forestry Operating | 101,475 | 101,475 |  | 101,475 |  |
|  |  | 20161 | Volunteer Operating | 310,102 | 390,102 | 80,000 | 640,902 | 250,800 |
| 13350 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 1,258,245 | 1,338,245 | 80,000 | 1,589,045 | 250,800 |
|  |  |  |  | 13,093,350 | 11,446,531 | $(1,646,819)$ | 11,706,151 | 259,620 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10000 | Operating | 595,024 |  | $(595,024)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 19387 | RP Botanical Garden Improvemen | 330,069 | 330,069 |  | 330,069 |  |
|  |  | 19701 | RP BOS District Projects | 298,648 | 248,864 | $(49,784)$ | 98,624 | $(150,240)$ |
|  |  | 20191 | RP PUC Garage CO Repayment | 104,781 | 104,781 |  | 104,781 |  |
|  |  | 20193 | RP Capital Budget Baseline | 11,606,000 | 12,250,000 | 644,000 | 12,250,000 |  |
|  |  | 20324 | Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Tax |  | 2,673,784 | 2,673,784 | 2,667,599 | $(6,185)$ |
|  |  | 20361 | RP Equipment | 398,252 | 818,483 | 420,231 | 1,049,156 | 230,673 |
|  |  | 21673 | Park Health and Safety |  | 2,582,024 | 2,582,024 | 1,082,024 | $(1,500,000)$ |





| Department: RNT Rent Arbitration Board |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| RNT Rent Arbitration Board | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
| Total Uses by Division | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 4,962,715 | 6,749,603 | 1,786,888 | 7,328,790 | 579,187 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,372,847 | 2,876,830 | 503,983 | 3,050,846 | 174,016 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 466,558 | 2,517,558 | 2,051,000 | 2,069,558 | $(448,000)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 37,749 | 71,749 | 34,000 | 37,749 | $(34,000)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations | 203,180 | 83,330 | $(119,850)$ | 83,330 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,338,253 | 1,683,051 | 344,798 | 1,841,723 | 158,672 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 460171 Rent Arbitration Fees | 8,947,302 | 12,978,121 | 4,030,819 | 13,407,996 | 429,875 |
| 460199 Other General Government Chrge | 4,000 | 4,000 |  | 4,000 |  |
| 499999 Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only | 430,000 | 1,000,000 | 570,000 | 1,000,000 |  |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Sources by Fund | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |

Department Appropriations (2 year)
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10850 SR Rent Arbitration Board |  |  | Salaries | 4,962,715 | 6,749,603 | 1,786,888 | 7,328,790 | 579,187 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,372,847 | 2,876,830 | 503,983 | 3,050,846 | 174,016 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 466,558 | 2,517,558 | 2,051,000 | 2,069,558 | $(448,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 37,749 | 71,749 | 34,000 | 37,749 | $(34,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 203,180 | 83,330 | $(119,850)$ | 83,330 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 1,338,253 | 1,683,051 | 344,798 | 1,841,723 | 158,672 |
| 10850 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
|  |  |  |  | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |



| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash |  |  | 254,775 | 401,000 | 146,225 | 401,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 460199 | Other General Government Chrge |  |  | 1,740,460 | 1,796,139 | 55,679 | 1,796,139 |  |
| 470199 | Emp Retirement Contributions |  |  | 35,680,312 | 37,277,364 | 1,597,052 | 37,626,312 | 348,948 |
| 470201 | PropositionB RetHIthCarePretax |  |  | 1,283,555 | 1,363,858 | 80,303 | 1,363,858 |  |
| 470211 | Frnge-PropBRetHlthCare-CtyShre |  |  | 830,535 | 882,496 | 51,961 | 882,496 |  |
| 486620 | Exp Rec Fr Retre HIth Trst Brd |  |  | 110,000 | 110,000 |  | 110,000 |  |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  | $(183,304)$ | $(470,239)$ | $(286,935)$ | $(440,874)$ | 29,365 |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 39,716,333 | 41,360,618 | 1,644,285 | 41,738,931 | 378,313 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 31330 | Employees Retirement Trust |  | Salaries | 16,681,803 | 18,590,497 | 1,908,694 | 20,205,364 | 1,614,867 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 6,543,021 | 6,915,422 | 372,401 | 7,399,532 | 484,110 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 3,692,620 | 3,833,665 | 141,045 | 3,706,665 | $(127,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 73,368 | 34,346 | $(39,022)$ | 16,077 | $(18,269)$ |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 62,151 | 10,075 | $(52,076)$ | 10,075 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 4,771,807 | 6,027,624 | 1,255,817 | 6,070,485 | 42,861 |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 3,965,542 | 1,975,735 | $(1,989,807)$ | 328,114 | $(1,647,621)$ |
| 31330 Total |  |  |  | 36,040,312 | 37,637,364 | 1,597,052 | 37,986,312 | 348,948 |
| 31440 | Health Care-Prop B Trust Fund |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 70,000 |  | $(70,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 1,837,865 | 2,086,354 | 248,489 | 2,086,354 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 210,000 | 310,000 | 100,000 | 310,000 |  |
| 31440 Total |  |  |  | 2,117,865 | 2,396,354 | 278,489 | 2,396,354 | 0 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 38,158,177 | 40,033,718 | 1,875,541 | 40,382,666 | 348,948 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10000 | Operating | 86,892 |  | $(86,892)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 17410 | RS Employee Deferred Compensat | 1,471,264 | 1,326,900 | $(144,364)$ | 1,356,265 | 29,365 |

Department Appropriations (2 year)

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 1,558,156 | 1,326,900 | $(231,256)$ | 1,356,265 | 29,365 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,558,156 | 1,326,900 | $(231,256)$ | 1,356,265 | 29,365 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 39,716,333 | 41,360,618 | 1,644,285 | 41,738,931 | 378,313 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Department Appropriations (2 year)

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10010 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
| Total Us | s of Funds |  |  |  |  |  | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |



Department: SHF



Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Department: SDA Sheriff Accountability OIG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund |  |  | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| Total Uses by Funds |  | 0 | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SDA Inspector General |  |  | 1,616,247 | 1,616,247 | 2,480,012 | 863,765 |
| SDA Sheriff Oversight |  |  | 357,631 | 357,631 | 440,849 | 83,218 |
| Total Uses by Division |  | 0 | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries |  |  | 973,009 | 973,009 | 1,729,808 | 756,799 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits |  |  | 426,700 | 426,700 | 721,886 | 295,186 |
| Non-Personnel Services |  |  | 571,826 | 571,826 | 464,346 | $(107,480)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies |  |  | 2,343 | 2,343 | 4,821 | 2,478 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 0 | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund Support |  |  | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| Total Sources by Fund |  | 0 | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Department Appropriations (2 year)
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 21789 | SDA Operations |  | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| 10010 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  |  | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  |  | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023



$\square$






| $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ \text { 2020-2021 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Budget }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ \text { 2021-2022 }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

297,867
4,825
6,695,610 4,825 6,695,610
3,812,207

85,241 $(511,003)$ 4,965,010

29,871,852 29,871,852
457,004
457,004 ع91‘‘6।
$(40,851)$
500 LSt

## 2022-2023 $2022-2023$

E|cccc

724,413 1,172,450 ع98'968'। E98'968' $\downarrow$ 140,934 31,921 172,855


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Recommended Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 17621 | TX Gross Receipts Tax Implemen | 5,143,311 | 5,185,944 | 42,633 | 5,399,223 | 213,279 |
| 10020 Total ${ }^{\text {Continuing Projects - Authority Control T }}$ |  |  |  | 5,143,311 | 5,185,944 | 42,633 | 5,399,223 | 213,279 |
|  |  |  |  | 5,143,311 | 5,185,944 | 42,633 | 5,399,223 | 213,279 |

Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total
Annual Projects - Authority Control

|  | Salaries |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits |
|  | Non-Personnel Services |
|  | Materials \& Supplies |
| Overhead and Allocations |  |
| Services Of Other Depts |  |

## Operating Total

## Fund Code Fund Title

$\begin{array}{llll}10010 & \text { GF Annual Authority Ctrl } & 17617 & \text { Bank On San Francisco Project } \\ & 17622 & \text { Kinder2College Annual Project }\end{array}$

| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |
| :--- |
| Fund Code |

## 10010

$\begin{array}{lll}10010 \text { GF Annual Authority Ctrl } & \begin{array}{l}17617 \\ 17622\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Bank On San Francisco Project } \\ \text { Kinder2College Annual Project }\end{array} \\ \text { 10010 Total } & & \\ \text { Annual Projects } \boldsymbol{\text { - Authority Control Total }} & & \end{array}$


Grants Projects
Fund Code Fund Title
12550 SR Grants; GSF Continuing

Department Appropriations (2 year)


| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 435519 |  | Opera House-Office |  |  | 170,137 |  | $(170,137)$ |  |  |
| 435521 |  | Herbst Theater Ren |  |  |  | 135,507 | 135,507 | 353,275 | 217,768 |
| 435531 |  | Davies Symphony |  |  |  | 264,035 | 264,035 | 708,693 | 444,658 |
| 435539 |  | Davies Symphony | Rent |  | 146,054 | 182,040 | 35,986 | 191,324 | 9,284 |
| 435540 |  | Veterans Building-O |  |  | 420,838 | 487,828 | 66,990 | 517,098 | 29,270 |
| 435542 |  | Veterans Building E |  |  |  | 60,107 | 60,107 | 144,586 | 84,479 |
| 435611 |  | Opera House Conc |  |  |  | 115,549 | 115,549 | 436,588 | 321,039 |
| 435612 |  | Opera House Progr | cession |  |  | 2,556 | 2,556 | 6,636 | 4,080 |
| 435631 |  | Davies Symphony | cesson |  |  | 88,148 | 88,148 | 251,374 | 163,226 |
| 435632 |  | Davies Symphony | Conces |  |  | 503 | 503 | 5,098 | 4,595 |
| 462891 |  | Zellerbach Rehears |  |  |  | 90,982 | 90,982 | 293,162 | 202,180 |
| 462899 |  | Misc Exhibit\&Perfor | Chrgs |  |  | 108,039 | 108,039 | 252,446 | 144,407 |
| 480141 |  | Proceeds FromCer | ipatn |  |  | 2,200,000 | 2,200,000 |  | $(2,200,000)$ |
| 486030 |  | Exp Rec Fr Admin |  |  | 20,184 | 20,091 | (93) | 21,298 | 1,207 |
| 486060 |  | Exp Rec Fr Art Com | AAO |  | 238,375 | 238,375 |  | 252,689 | 14,314 |
| 493001 |  | OTI Fr 1G-General |  |  | 13,714,445 | 14,181,554 | 467,109 | 14,210,310 | 28,756 |
| 499999 |  | Beg Fund Balance | Only |  | 750,000 | 750,000 |  |  | $(750,000)$ |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  | 9,253,577 | 9,502,809 | 249,232 | 9,557,306 | 54,497 |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  |  | 24,730,294 | 29,155,867 | 4,425,573 | 28,407,992 | $(747,875)$ |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10037717 |  | Davies Hall Elevat |  |  |  | 2,200,000 | 2,200,000 |  | (2,200,000) |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  |  | 2,200,000 | 2,200,000 | 0 | $(2,200,000)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title |  | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Recommended <br> Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Recommended Budget | 2022-2023 <br> Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl |  |  |  | Debt Service | 9,253,577 | 9,502,809 | 249,232 | 9,557,306 | 54,497 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  |  | 9,253,577 | 9,502,809 | 249,232 | 9,557,306 | 54,497 |
| 14670 | SR War Memorial-Operating |  |  | Salaries | 6,175,352 | 7,248,300 | 1,072,948 | 7,721,699 | 473,399 |
|  |  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,370,681 | 3,484,112 | 113,431 | 3,559,388 | 75,276 |
|  |  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 2,053,893 | 1,341,552 | $(712,341)$ | 1,390,967 | 49,415 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


## DETAIL OF RESERVES

|  |  <br>  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ |
|  |  |  |  <br>  |  |

[^8]GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITY - RESERVE FOR HOTEL TAX LOSS ART GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITY - RESERVE FOR TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITY - SALARIES AND BENEFITS MAYOR'S OFFICE - RESERVE FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT
MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY - GENERAL RESERVE

MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION
PORT-GENERAL RESERVE
PUBLIC HEALTH - RESERVE FOR DEBT SERVICES
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION - RESERVE FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION - RESERVE FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION - RESERVE FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION - RESERVE FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT Subtotal - Designated Reserves

> AIRPORT - Hotel Operations
ART COMMISSION - IPIC Development Impact Fee - Market CHILDREN, YOUTH \& THEIR FAMILIES - Placement Alternative Funds - CH Outreach and Access CHILDREN, YOUTH \& THEIR FAMILIES - Placement Alternative Funds - Community Based Agencies CITY ADMINISTRATOR - HVAC Duct Cleaning - Critical Repair - Certificate of Participation

> CITY ADMINISTRATOR - City Hall Elevators - Critical Repair - Certificate of Participation CITY ADMINISTRATOR - City Hall HVAC Cooling Tower - Critical Repair - Certificate of Participation CITY ADMINISTRATOR - Contingency Fund - Critical Repair - Certificate of Participation CITY ADMINISTRATOR - Contingency Fund - Recovery Stimulus - Certificate of Participation CITY ADMINISTRATOR - Debt Service - Recovery Stimulus - Certificate of Participation - CSA 0.2\% Audit Fee CITY ADMINISTRATOR - Debt Service - Recovery Stimulus - Certificate of Participation - Debt Issuance Cost
CITY ADMINISTRATOR - Hall of Justice Boiler Refractory - Critical Repair - Certificate of Participation CITY ADMINISTRATOR - Hall of Justice Boiler Room Heat Exchange- Critical Repair - Certificate of Participation CITY ADMINISTRATOR - Hall of Justice Chiller- Critical Repair - Certificate of Participation
CITY ADMINISTRATOR - IPIC - Neighborhood Beautification Community Challenge Grants IPIC Partnership

> CITY ADMINISTRATOR - IPIC - Neighborhood Beautification Community Challenge Grants IPIC Partnership CITY ADMINISTRATOR - One South Van Ness Elevator and Escalator - Critical Repair - Certificate of Participation CITY ADMINISTRATOR - Produce Market Reinvestment - Recovery Stimulus - Certificate of Participation CITY PLANNING - IPIC - Eastern Neighborhood Infrastructure
CITY PLANNING - IPIC - Eastern Neighborhood Infrastructure - Capital Planning Program CITY PLANNING - IPIC - Market Octavia Infrastructure

> CITY PLANNING - IPIC - Transit Center - Bart Capacity Projects EARLY CHILDHOOD - Early Childcare and Education for All

## EARLY CHILDHOOD - Early Childcare and Education for All - DEC Staff \& Admin - Fringe Costs

EARLY CHILDHOOD - Early Childcare and Education for All - DEC Staff \& Admin - Salary Costs
EARLY CHILDHOOD - Early Childcare and Education for AII - Developmental Health
EARLY CHILDHOOD - Early Childcare and Education for All - Interdepartmental Administrative Services from Controller's Office EARLY CHILDHOOD - Early Childcare and Education for All - Subsidies \& Enrollments EARLY CHILDHOOD - IPIC - Eastern Neighborhood - Childcare Capital Funds EARLY CHILDHOOD - IPIC - Market \& Octavia - Childcare Capital Funds
ECONOMIC \& WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT - Small Business Assistance

ECONOMIC \& WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT - Small Business Assistance - Program Costs
ECONOMIC \& WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT - Small Business Assistance - Work Order to Controller
ECONOMIC \& WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT - Small Business Assistance - Work Order to Treasurer \& Tax Collector

 Pending detailed scope of work and budget details
Pending receipt of revenue
Pending receipt of revenue

 Pending detailed scope of
Pending receipt of revenue
Pending receipt of revenue
Pending Budget and Finance Committee review of spending plan

 Pending quarterly spending plan reconciliation Pending quarterly spending plan reconciliation




$\sum_{i} \sum_{i} \sum_{0} \sum_{i} \sum_{i}$ $\sum_{0} \sum_{0}^{\infty}$









 Pending detailed scope of work and budget details
 Pending detailed scope of work and budget details



 - . . $\stackrel{8}{\circ}$
Detail of Reserves


$$
\begin{array}{rrcc}
18,340,500 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
2,000,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
4,198,214 & 6,837,479 \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
38,452,454 & 10,000,000 & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
2,400,684 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
7,600,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
1,500,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
3,000,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
4,000,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
2,000,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
- & 2,241,000 \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
500,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
11,468,372 & 3,470,000 & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
500,000 & 500,000 & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
100,000 & 100,000 & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
3,588,287 & 3,588,287 & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
3,100,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
3,100,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
900,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
4,000,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of fevenue } \\
1,000,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
407,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
4,450,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
2,500,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue } \\
2,200,000 & - & \text { CONTROLLER } & \text { Pending receipt of revenue }
\end{array}
$$




















Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

## SECTION 3. General Authority.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

## SECTION 3.1 Two-Year Budget.

For departments for which the Board of Supervisors has authorized, or the Charter requires, a fixed two-year budget, appropriations in this ordinance shall be available for allotment by the Controller on July 1st of the fiscal year in which appropriations have been approved. The Controller is authorized to adjust the two-year budget to reflect transfers and substitutions consistent with City's policies and restrictions for such transfers. The Controller is further authorized to make adjustments to the second year budgets consistent with Citywide estimates for salaries, fringe benefits, and work orders.

## SECTION 4. Interim Budget Provisions.

All funds for equipment and new capital improvements shall be held in reserve until final action by the Board of Supervisors. No new equipment or capital improvements shall be authorized during the interim period other than equipment or capital improvements that, in the discretion of the Controller, is reasonably required for the continued operation of existing programs or projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors. Authorization for the purchase of such equipment may be approved by the Board of Supervisors.

During the period of the interim annual appropriation ordinance and interim annual salary ordinance, no transfer of funds within a department shall be permitted without approval of the Controller, Mayor's Budget Director and the Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee.

When the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee reserves selected expenditure items pending receipt of additional information from departments, upon receipt of the required information to the satisfaction of that committee, the Controller may release the previously reserved funds with no further action required by the Board of Supervisors.

If the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee recommends a budget that increases funding that was deleted in the Mayor's Budget, the Controller shall have the authority to continue to pay these expenses until final passage of the budget by the Board of Supervisors, and approval of the budget by the Mayor.

## SECTION 4.1 Interim Budget - Positions.

No new position may be filled in the interim period with the exception of those positions which in the discretion of the Controller are critical for the operation of existing programs or for projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors or are required for emergency operations or where such positions would result in a net increase in revenues or where such positions are required to comply with law. New positions shall be defined as those positions that are enumerated in the Mayor's budget for the current fiscal year but were not enumerated in the appropriation and salary ordinances for the prior fiscal year, as amended, through June 30 of the prior fiscal year. In the event the Mayor has
approved the reclassification of a position in the department's budget for the current fiscal year, the Controller shall process a temporary or "tx" requisition at the request of the department and subject to approval of the Human Resources Director. Such action will allow for the continued employment of the incumbent in his or her former position pending action by the Board of Supervisors on the proposed reclassifications.

If the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee of the Board of Supervisors recommends a budget that reinstates positions that were deleted in the Mayor's Budget, the Controller and the Human Resources Director shall have the authority to continue to employ and pay the salaries of the reinstated positions until final passage of the budget by the Board of Supervisors, and approval of the budget by the Mayor.

## SECTION 5. Transfers of Functions and Duties.

Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized and directed to make the related transfer of funds, provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department in consideration of departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be made in excess of the actual cost of such service.

Where a duty or performance has been transferred from one department to another or departmental reorganization is effected as provided in the Charter, in addition to any required transfer of funds, the Controller and Human Resources Director are authorized to make any personnel transfers or reassignments between the affected departments and appointing officers at a mutually convenient time, not to exceed 100 days from the effective date of the ordinance or Mayoral memorandum transferring the duty or function. The Controller, the Human Resources Director and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, with assistance of the City Attorney, are hereby authorized and directed to make such changes as may be necessary to conform all applicable ordinances to reflect said reorganization, transfer of duty or performance between departments.

## SECTION 5.1 Agencies Organized under One Department.

Where one or more offices or agencies are organized under a single appointing officer or department head, the component units may continue to be shown as separate agencies for budgeting and accounting purposes to facilitate reporting. However, the entity shall be considered a single department for purposes of employee assignment and seniority, position transfers, and transfers of monies among funds within the department, and reappropriation of funds.

## SECTION 5.2 Continuing Funds Appropriated.

In addition to the amount provided from taxes, the Controller shall make available for expenditure the amount of actual receipts from special funds whose receipts are continuously appropriated as provided in the Municipal Codes.

## SECTION 5.3 Multi-Year Revenues.

In connection with money received in one fiscal year for departmental services to be performed in a subsequent year, the Controller is authorized to establish an account for depositing revenues which are applicable to the ensuing fiscal year, said revenue shall be carried forward and become a part of the funds available for appropriation in said ensuing fiscal year.

## SECTION 5.4 Contracting Funds.

All money received in connection with contracts under which a portion of the moneys received is to be paid to the contractors and the remainder of the moneys received inures to the City and County shall be deposited in the Treasury.
(a) That portion of the money received that under the terms of the contract inures to the City and County shall be deposited to the credit of the appropriate fund.
(b) That portion of the money received that under the terms of the contracts is to be paid to the contractor shall be deposited in special accounts and is hereby appropriated for said purposes.

## SECTION 5.5 Real Estate Services.

Rents received from properties acquired or held in trust for specific purposes are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary for maintenance of said properties, including services of the General Services Agency.

Moneys received from lessees, tenants or operators of City-owned property for the specific purpose of real estate services relative to such leases or operating agreements are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary to provide such services.

## SECTION 5.6 Collection Services.

In any contracts for the collection of unpaid bills for services rendered to clients, patients or both by the Department of Public Health in which said unpaid bills have not become delinquent pursuant to Article V of Chapter 10 of the Administrative Code, the Controller is hereby authorized to adjust the estimated revenues and expenditures of the various divisions and institutions of the Department of Public Health to record such recoveries. Any percentage of the amounts, not to exceed 25 percent, recovered from such unpaid bills by a contractor is hereby appropriated to pay the costs of said contract. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record total collections and contract payments relating to such unpaid bills.

## SECTION 5.7 Contract Amounts Based on Savings.

When the terms of a contract provide for payment amounts to be determined by a percentage of cost savings or previously unrecognized revenues, such amounts as are actually realized from either said cost savings or unrecognized revenues are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary to pay contract amounts due. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record such transactions.

## SECTION 5.8 Collection and Legal Services.

In any contracts between the City Attorney's Office and outside counsel for legal services in connection with the prosecution of actions filed on behalf of the City or for assistance in the prosecution of actions that the City Attorney files in the name of the People, where the fee to outside counsel is contingent on the recovery of a judgment or other monies by the City through such action, the Controller is hereby authorized to adjust the estimated revenues and expenditures of the City Attorney's Office to record such recoveries. A percentage of such recoveries, not to exceed 25 percent plus the amount of any out-ofpocket costs the Controller determines were actually incurred to prosecute such action, is hereby appropriated from the amount of such recoveries to pay the contingent fee due to such outside counsel under said contract and any costs incurred by the City or outside counsel in prosecuting the action. The Controller is authorized and hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record total collections and contingent fee and cost payments relating to such actions. The City Attorney as verified by the Controller shall report to the Board of Supervisors annually on the collections and costs incurred under this provision, including the case name, amount of judgment, the fund which the judgment was deposited, and the total cost of and funding source for the legal action.

## SECTION 6. Bond Interest and Redemption.

In the event that estimated receipts from other than utility revenues, but including amounts from ad-valorem taxes, shall exceed the actual requirements for bond interest and redemption, said excess shall be transferred to a General Bond Interest and Redemption Reserve account. The Bond Interest and Redemption Reserve is hereby appropriated to meet debt service requirements including printing of bonds, cost of bond rating services and the legal opinions approving the validity of bonds authorized to be sold not otherwise provided for herein.

Issuance, legal and financial advisory service costs, including the reimbursement of departmental services in connection therewith, for debt instruments issued by the City and County, to the extent approved by the Board of Supervisors in authorizing the debt, may be paid from the proceeds of such debt and are hereby appropriated for said purposes.

## SECTION 7. Allotment Controls.

Since several items of expenditures herein appropriated are based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, of such duration as the Controller may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates periodically. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage, the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by the Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for such department.

The Controller in issuing payments or in certifying contracts, purchase orders or other encumbrances pursuant to Section 3.105 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstances which could not be anticipated at the time of allotment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Allotments, liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by transfers or supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Section 9.105 of the Charter.

## SECTION 7.1 Prior Year Encumbrances.

The Controller is hereby authorized to establish reserves for the purpose of providing funds for adjustments in connection with liquidation of encumbrances and other obligations of prior years.

## SECTION 7.2 Equipment Purchases.

Funds for the purchase of items of equipment having a significant value of over \$5,000 and a useful life of three years and over shall only be purchased from appropriations specifically provided for equipment or lease-purchased equipment, including equipment from capital projects. Departments may purchase additional or replacement equipment from previous equipment or lease-purchase appropriations, or from citywide equipment and other non-salary appropriations, with approval of the Mayor's Office and the Controller.

Where appropriations are made herein for the purpose of replacing automotive and other equipment, the equipment replaced shall be surrendered to the General Services Agency and shall be withdrawn from service on or before delivery to departments of the new automotive equipment. When the replaced equipment is sold, in lieu of being traded-in, the proceeds shall be deposited to a revenue account of the related fund. Provided, however, that so much of said proceeds as may be required to affect the purchase of the new equipment is hereby appropriated for the purpose. Funds herein appropriated for automotive equipment shall not be used to buy a replacement of any automobile superior in class to the one being replaced unless it has been specifically authorized by the Board of Supervisors in the making of the original appropriation.

Appropriations of equipment from current funds shall be construed to be annual appropriations and unencumbered balances shall lapse at the close of the fiscal year.

## SECTION 7.3 Enterprise Deficits.

Funds appropriated herein to meet estimated enterprise deficits shall be made available to each such enterprise only to the extent that an actual deficit shall exist and not to exceed the amount herein provided. Any amount not required for the purpose of meeting an enterprise fund deficit shall be transferred back to the General Fund at the end of each fiscal year unless otherwise appropriated by ordinance.

## SECTION 8. Expenditure Estimates.

Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of the department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

## SECTION 8.1 State and Federal Funds.

The Controller is authorized to increase Federal and State funds that may be claimed due to new General Fund expenditures appropriated by the Board of Supervisors. The Human Resources Director is authorized to add civil service positions required to implement the programs authorized by these funds. The Controller and the Human Resources Director shall report to the Board of Supervisors any actions taken under this authorization before the Board acts on the Annual Appropriation and Annual Salary Ordinances.

## SECTION 8.2 State and Federal Funding Restorations.

If additional State or Federal funds are allocated to the City and County of San Francisco to backfill State reductions, the Controller shall backfill any funds appropriated to any program to the General Reserve.

## SECTION 8.3 Process for Addressing General Fund Revenue Shortfalls

Upon receiving Controller estimates of revenue shortfalls that exceed the value of the General Reserve and any other allowances for revenue shortfalls in the adopted City budget, the Mayor shall inform the Board of Supervisors of actions to address this shortfall. The Board of Supervisors may adopt an ordinance to reflect the Mayor's proposal or alternative proposals in order to balance the budget.

## SECTION 9. Interdepartmental Services.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.105 of the Charter, and to provide for the establishment of interdepartmental reserves which may be required to pay for future obligations which result from current performances. Whenever in the judgment of the Controller, the amounts which have been set aside for such purposes are no longer required or are in excess of the amount which is then currently estimated to be required, the Controller shall transfer the amount no longer required to the fund balance of the particular fund of which the reserve is a part. Provided further that no expenditure shall be made for personnel services, rent, equipment and capital outlay purposes from any interdepartmental reserve or work order fund without specific appropriation by the Board of Supervisors.

The amount detailed in departmental budgets for services of other City departments cannot be transferred to other spending categories without prior agreement from both the requesting and performing departments.

The Controller, pursuant to the provisions of Charter Section 3.105, shall review and may adjust charges or fees for services that may be authorized by the Board of Supervisors for the administration of the Technology Marketplace. Such fees are hereby appropriated for that purpose.

## SECTION 10. Positions in the City Service.

Department heads shall not make appointments to any office or position until the Controller shall certify that funds are available.

Funds provided herein for salaries or wages may, with the approval of the Controller, be used to provide for temporary employment when it becomes necessary to replace the occupant of a position while on extended leave without pay, or for the temporary filling of a vacancy in a budgeted position. The Controller is authorized to approve the use of existing salary appropriations within departments to fund permanent appointments of up to six months to backfill anticipated vacancies to ensure implementation of successful succession plans and to facilitate the transfer of mission critical knowledge. The Controller shall provide a report to the Board of Supervisors every six months enumerating permanent positions created under this authority.

Appointments to seasonal or temporary positions shall not exceed the term for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

The Controller shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in any position.

## SECTION 10.1 Positions, Funds, and Transfers for Specific Purposes.

Funds for personnel services may be transferred from any legally available source on the recommendation of the department head and approval by the City Administrator, Board or Commission, for departments under their respective jurisdiction, and on authorization of the Controller with the prior approval of the Human Resources Director for:
(a) Lump sum payments to officers, employees, police officers and fire fighters other than elective officers and members of boards and commissions upon death or retirement or separation caused by industrial accident for accumulated sick leave benefits in accordance with Civil Service Commission rules.
(b) Payment of the supervisory differential adjustment, out of class pay or other negotiated premium to employees who qualify for such adjustment provided that the transfer of funds must be made from funds currently available in departmental personnel service appropriations.
(c) Payment of any legal salary or fringe benefit obligations of the City and County including amounts required to fund arbitration awards.
(d) The Controller is hereby authorized to adjust salary appropriations for positions administratively reclassified or temporarily exchanged by the Human Resources Director provided that the reclassified position and the former position are in the same functional area.
(e) Positions may be substituted or exchanged between the various salary appropriations or position classifications when approved by the Human Resources Director as long as said transfers do not increase total departmental personnel service appropriations.
(f) The Controller is hereby authorized and directed upon the request of a department head and the approval by the Mayor's Office to transfer from any legally available funds amounts needed to fund legally mandated salaries, fringe benefits and other costs of City employees. Such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose set forth herein.
(g) The Controller is hereby authorized to transfer any legally available funds to adjust salary and fringe benefit appropriations as required under reclassifications recommended by the Human Resources Director and approved by the Board of Supervisors in implementing the Management Compensation and Classification Plan.

Amounts transferred shall not exceed the actual amount required including the cost to the City and County of mandatory fringe benefits.
(h) Pursuant to California Labor Code Section 4850.4, the Controller is authorized to make advance payments from departments' salary accounts to employees participating in CaIPERS who apply for disability retirement. Repayment of these advanced disability retirement payments from CalPERS and from employees are hereby appropriated to the departments' salary account.
(i) For purposes of defining terms in Administrative Code Section 3.18, the Controller is authorized to process transfers where such transfers are required to administer the budget through the following certification process: In cases where expenditures are reduced at the level of appropriation control during the Board of Supervisors phase of the budget process, the Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee, on recommendation of the Controller, may certify that such a reduction does not reflect a deliberate policy reduction adopted by the Board. The Mayor's Budget Director may similarly provide such a certification regarding reductions during the Mayor's phase of the budget process.
(j) Department travel budgets shall be considered as line-item appropriations for the purposes of administration for Administrative Code Section 3.18 and Charter Section 9.113(c) governing limitations on transfer of appropriated funds.

## SECTION 10.2 Professional Services Contracts.

Funds appropriated for professional service contracts may be transferred to the account for salaries on the recommendation of the department head for the specific purpose of using City personnel in lieu of private contractors with the approval of the Human

Resources Director and the Mayor and the certification by the Controller that such transfer of funds would not increase the cost of government.

## SECTION 10.3 Surety Bond Fund Administration.

The Controller is hereby authorized to allocate funds from capital project appropriations to the San Francisco Self-Insurance Surety Bond Fund, as governed by Administrative Code Section 10.100-317 and in accordance with amounts determined pursuant to Administrative Code Section 14B.16.

## SECTION 10.4 Salary Adjustments, Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs).

The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from the Salary and Benefits Reserve, or any legally available funds, amounts necessary to adjust appropriations for salaries and related mandatory fringe benefits of employees whose compensation is pursuant to Charter Sections A8.403 (Registered Nurses), A8.404 (Transit Operators), A8.409 (Miscellaneous Employees), A8.405 and A8.590-1 through A8.590-5 (Police and Firefighters), revisions to State Law, and/or collective bargaining agreements adopted pursuant to the Charter or arbitration award. The Controller and Human Resources Director are further authorized and directed to adjust the rates of compensation to reflect current pay rates for any positions affected by the foregoing provisions.

Adjustments made pursuant to this section shall reflect only the percentage increase required to adjust appropriations to reflect revised salary and other pay requirements above the funding level established in the base and adopted budget of the respective departments.

The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from reserves or any legally available funds amounts necessary to provide costs of non-salary benefits in ratified Memoranda of Understanding or arbitration awards. The Controller's Office shall report to the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee on the status of the Salary and Benefits Reserve, including amounts transferred to individual City departments and remaining Reserve balances, as part of the Controller's Six and Nine Month Budget Status Reports.

## SECTION 10.5 MOUs to be Reflected in Department Budgets.

Should the City and County adopt an MOU with a recognized employee bargaining organization during the fiscal year which has fiscal effects, the Controller is authorized and directed to reflect the budgetary impact of said MOU in departmental appropriations by transferring amounts to or from the Salary and Benefits Reserve, or, for self-supporting or restricted funds, to or from the respective unappropriated fund balance account. All amounts transferred pursuant to this section are hereby appropriated for the purpose.

## SECTION 10.6 Funding Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs).

Whenever the Board of Supervisors has ratified by ordinance or resolution Memoranda of Understanding with recognized employee organizations or an arbitration award has become effective, and said memoranda or award contains provisions requiring the expenditure of funds, the Controller, on the recommendation of the Human Resources Director, shall reserve sufficient funds to comply with such provisions and such funds are
hereby appropriated for such purposes. The Controller is hereby authorized to make such transfers from funds hereby reserved or legally available as may be required to make funds available to departments to carry out the purposes required by the Memoranda of Understanding or arbitration award.

## SECTION 10.7 Fringe Benefit Rate Adjustments.

Appropriations herein made for fringe benefits may be adjusted by the Controller to reflect revised amounts required to support adopted or required contribution rates. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to transfer between departmental appropriations and the General Reserve or other unappropriated balance of funds any amounts resulting from adopted or required contribution rates and such amounts are hereby appropriated to said accounts.

When the Controller determines that prepayment of the employer share of pension contributions is likely to be fiscally advantageous, the Controller is authorized to adjust appropriations and transfers in order to make and reconcile such prepayments.

## SECTION 10.8 Police Department Uniformed Positions.

Positions in the Police Department for each of the various ranks that are filled based on the educational attainment of individual officers may be filled interchangeably at any level within the rank (e.g., Patrol Officer Q2, Q3 or Q4, Sergeant Q50, Q51, Q52). The Controller and Human Resources Director are hereby authorized to adjust payrolls, salary ordinances and other documents, where necessary, to reflect the current status of individual employees; provided however, that nothing in this section shall authorize an increase in the total number of positions allocated to any one rank or to the Police Department.

## SECTION 10.9 Holidays, Special Provisions.

Whenever any day is declared to be a holiday by proclamation of the Mayor after such day has heretofore been declared a holiday by the Governor of the State of California or the President of the United States, the Controller, with the approval of the Mayor's Office, is hereby authorized to make such transfer of funds not to exceed the actual cost of said holiday from any legally available funds.

## SECTION 10.10 Litigation Reserve, Payments.

The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from the Reserve for Litigation Account for General Fund supported departments or from any other legally available funds for other funds, amounts required to make payments required to settle litigation against the City and County of San Francisco that has been recommended by the City Attorney and approved by the Board of Supervisors in the manner provided in the Charter. Such funds are hereby appropriated for the purposes set forth herein.

Amounts required to pay settlements of claims or litigation involving the Public Utilities Commission are hereby appropriated from the Public Utilities Commission Wastewater Enterprise fund balance or the Public Utilities Commission Water Enterprise fund balance, as appropriate, for the purpose of paying such settlements following final approval of those settlements by resolution or ordinance.

## SECTION 10.11 Changes in Health Services Eligibility.

Should the Board of Supervisors amend Administrative Code Section 16.700 to change the eligibility in the City's Health Service System, the Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from any legally available funds or the Salary and Fringe Reserve for the amount necessary to provide health benefit coverage not already reflected in the departmental budgets.

Section 10.12 Workers' Compensation Alternative Dispute Resolution Program Resolutions 85-19 and 86-19 authorized the Department of Human Resources to enter Workers' Compensation Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Labor-Management Agreements with the San Francisco Firefighters' Association, Local 798, and San Francisco Police Officers Association, respectively. These Agreements require the City to allocate an amount equal to $50 \%$ of the ADR program estimated net savings, as determined by actuarial report, for the benefit of active employees. The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from any legally available funds the amount necessary to make the required allocations. This provision will terminate if the parties agree to terminate the Agreements.

## SECTION 11. Funds Received for Special Purposes, Trust Funds.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing special and trust funds, revolving funds, and reserves and the receipts in and expenditures from each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional special and trust funds and reserves as may be created either by additional grants and bequests or under other conditions, and the receipts in each fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law for the purposes and subject to the conditions under which each such fund was established.

## SECTION 11.1 Special and Trust Funds Appropriated.

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive for a special purpose from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency, or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall establish a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the special purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held, which said account or fund shall be maintained by the Controller as long as any portion of said moneys or property remains.

Recurring grant funds which are detailed in departmental budget submissions and approved by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors in the annual budget shall be deemed to have met the requirements of Administrative Code Section 10.170 for the approval to apply for, receive and expend said funds and shall be construed to be funds received for a specific purpose as set forth in this section. Positions specifically approved by granting agencies in said grant awards may be filled as though said positions were included in the annual budget and Annual Salary Ordinance, provided however that the tenure of such
positions shall be contingent on the continued receipt of said grant funds. Individual grants may be adjusted by the Controller to reflect actual awards made if granting agencies increase or decrease the grant award amounts estimated in budget submissions.

The expenditures necessary from said funds or said accounts as created herein, in order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys or orders have been received or for which said accounts are being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said moneys or orders have been received by the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the conditions under which said funds are maintained.

The Controller is authorized to adjust transfers to the San Francisco Capital Planning Fund, established by Administrative Code Section 10.100-286, to account for final capital project planning expenditures reimbursed from approved sale of bonds and other long term financing instruments.

## SECTION 11.2 Insurance Recoveries.

Any moneys received by the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to the terms and conditions of any insurance policy are hereby appropriated and made available to the general city or specific departments for associated costs or claims.

## SECTION 11.3 Bond Premiums.

Premiums received from the sale of bonds are hereby appropriated for bond interest and redemption purposes of the issue upon which it was received.

## SECTION 11.4 Ballot Arguments.

Receipts in and expenditures for payment for the printing of ballot arguments, are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which this appropriation is established.

## SECTION 11.5 Tenant Overtime.

Whenever employees of departments are required to work overtime on account of services required by renters, lessees or tenants of City-owned or occupied properties, or recipients of services from City departments, the cost of such overtime employment shall be collected by the departments from the requesters of said services and shall be deposited with the Treasurer to the credit of departmental appropriations. All moneys deposited therein are hereby appropriated for such purpose.

## SECTION 11.6 Refunds.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding amounts deposited in the Treasury in excess of amounts due, and the receipts and expenditures from each are hereby appropriated in accordance with law. Whereby State statute, local ordinance or court order, interest is payable on amounts to be refunded, in the absence of appropriation therefore, such interest is herewith appropriated from the unappropriated interest fund or interest earnings of the fund involved. The Controller is authorized, and funds are hereby appropriated, to refund overpayments and any
mandated interest or penalties from State, Federal and local agencies when audits or other financial analyses determine that the City has received payments in excess of amounts due.

## SECTION 11.7 Arbitrage.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to refund excess interest earnings on bond proceeds (arbitrage) when such amounts have been determined to be due and payable under applicable Internal Revenue Service regulations. Such arbitrage refunds shall be charged in the various bond funds in which the arbitrage earnings were recorded and such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose.

## SECTION 11.8 Damage Recoveries.

Moneys received as payment for damage to City-owned property and equipment are hereby appropriated to the department concerned to pay the cost of repairing such equipment or property. Moneys received as payment for liquidated damages in a Cityfunded project are appropriated to the department incurring costs of repairing or abating the damages. Any excess funds, and any amount received for damaged property or equipment which is not to be repaired shall be credited to a related fund.

## SECTION 11.9 Purchasing Damage Recoveries.

That portion of funds received pursuant to the provisions of Administrative Code Section 21.33 - failure to deliver article contracted for - as may be needed to affect the required procurement are hereby appropriated for that purpose and the balance, if any, shall be credited the related fund.

## SECTION 11.10 Off-Street Parking Guarantees.

Whenever the Board of Supervisors has authorized the execution of agreements with corporations for the construction of off-street parking and other facilities under which the City and County of San Francisco guarantees the payment of the corporations' debt service or other payments for operation of the facility, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to reserve from parking meter or other designated revenues sufficient funds to provide for such guarantees. The Controller is hereby authorized to make payments as previously guaranteed to the extent necessary and the reserves approved in each Annual Appropriation Ordinance are hereby appropriated for the purpose. The Controller shall notify the Board of Supervisors annually of any payments made pursuant to this Section.

## SECTION 11.11 Hotel Tax - Special Situations.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to make such interfund transfers or other adjustments as may be necessary to conform budget allocations to the requirements of the agreements and indentures of the 1994 Lease Revenue and/or San Francisco Redevelopment Agency Hotel Tax Revenue Bond issues.

## SECTION 11.12 Local Transportation Agency Fund.

Local transportation funds are hereby appropriated pursuant to the Government Code.

## SECTION 11.13 Insurance.

The Controller is hereby authorized to transfer to the Risk Manager any amounts indicated in the budget estimate and appropriated hereby for the purchase of insurance or the payment of insurance premiums.

## SECTION 11.14 Grants to Departments of Aging and Adult Services, Child Support Services, and Homelessness and Supportive Housing

The Department of Disability and Aging Services and the Department of Child Support Services are authorized to receive and expend available federal and state contributions and grant awards for their target populations. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to make the appropriate entries to reflect the receipt and expenditure of said grant award funds and contributions. The Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing is authorized to apply surpluses among subgrants within master HUD grants to shortfalls in other subgrants.

## SECTION 11.15 FEMA, OES, Other Reimbursements.

Whenever the City and County recovers funds from any federal or state agency as reimbursement for the cost of damages resulting from earthquakes and other disasters for which the Mayor has declared a state of emergency, such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose. The Controller is authorized to transfer such funds to the credit of the departmental appropriation which initially incurred the cost, or, if the fiscal year in which the expenses were charged has ended, to the credit of the fund which incurred the expenses. Revenues received from other governments as reimbursement for mutual aid provided by City departments are hereby appropriated for services provided.

## SECTION 11.16 Interest on Grant Funds.

Whenever the City and County earns interest on funds received from the State of California or the federal government and said interest is specifically required to be expended for the purpose for which the funds have been received, said interest is hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms under which the principal is received and appropriated.

## SECTION 11.17 Treasurer - Banking Agreements.

Whenever the Treasurer finds that it is in the best interest of the City and County to use either a compensating balance or fee for service agreement to secure banking services that benefit all participants of the pool, any funds necessary to be paid for such agreement are to be charged against interest earnings and such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose.

The Treasurer may offset banking charges that benefit all participants of the investment pool against interest earned by the pool. The Treasurer shall allocate other bank charges and credit card processing to departments or pool participants that benefit from those services. The Controller may transfer funds appropriated in the budget to General Fund departments as necessary to support allocated charges.

SECTION 11.18 City Buildings-Acquisition with Certificates of Participation (COPs). Receipts in and expenditures from accounts set up for the acquisition and operation of City-owned buildings including, but not limited to 25 Van Ness Avenue and 1660 Mission Street, are hereby appropriated for the purposes set forth in the various bond indentures through which said properties were acquired.

SECTION 11.19 Generally Accepted Principles of Financial Statement Presentation. The Controller is hereby authorized to make adjustments to departmental budgets as part of the year-end closing process to conform amounts to the Charter provisions and generally accepted principles of financial statement presentation, and to implement new accounting standards issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and other changes in generally accepted accounting principles.

## SECTION 11.20 Fund Balance Reporting and Government Fund Type Definitions.

The Controller is authorized to establish or adjust fund type definitions for restricted, committed or assigned revenues and expenditures, in accordance with the requirements of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 54. These changes will be designed to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. Reclassification of funds shall be reviewed by the City's outside auditors during their audit of the City's financial statements.

## SECTION 11.21 State Local Public Safety Fund.

Amounts received from the State Local Public Safety Fund (Sales Taxes) for deposit to the Public Safety Augmentation Fund shall be transferred to the General Fund for use in meeting eligible costs of public safety as provided by State law and said funds are appropriated for said purposes.

Said funds shall be allocated to support public safety department budgets, but not specific appropriation accounts, and shall be deemed to be expended at a rate of $75 \%$ of eligible departmental expenditures up to the full amount received. The Controller is hereby directed to establish procedures to comply with state reporting requirements.

SECTION 11.23 Affordable Housing Loan Repayments and Interest Earnings.
Loan repayments, proceeds of property sales in cases of defaulted loans, and interest earnings in special revenue funds designated for affordable housing are hereby appropriated for affordable housing program expenditures, including payments from loans made by the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency and transferred to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, the designated the housing successor agency. Expenditures shall be subject to the conditions under which each such fund was established.

## SECTION 11.24 Development Agreement Implementation Costs.

The Controller is hereby authorized to appropriate reimbursements of City costs incurred to implement development agreements approved by the Board of Supervisors, including but not limited to City staff time, consultant services and associated overhead costs to conduct plan review, inspection, and contract monitoring, and to draft, negotiate, and
administer such agreements. This provision does not apply to development impact fees or other payments approved in a development agreement, which shall be appropriated by the Board of Supervisors.

## SECTION 11.25 Housing Trust Fund.

The Controller is hereby authorized to adjust appropriations as necessary to implement the movement of Housing Trust Fund revenues and expenditures from the General Fund to a special revenue fund.

The Controller shall account for appropriation of $\$ 17,600,000$ for eligible affordable housing projects in fiscal year 2021-22 as an advance of future year Housing Trust Fund allocations, and shall credit such advance against required appropriations to that fund for a period of five years, beginning in fiscal year 2023-24, in an annual amount of \$3,520,000.

## SECTION 12. Special Situations.

## SECTION 12.1 Revolving Funds.

Surplus funds remaining in departmental appropriations may be transferred to fund increases in revolving funds up to the amount authorized by the Board of Supervisors if said Board, by ordinance, has authorized an increase in said revolving fund amounts.

## SECTION 12.2 Interest Allocations.

Interest shall not be allocated to any special, enterprise, or trust fund or account unless said allocation is required by Charter, state law or specific provision in the legislation that created said fund. Any interest earnings not allocated to special, enterprise or trust funds or accounts shall be credited, by the Controller, to General Fund Unallocated Revenues.

## SECTION 12.3 Property Tax.

Consistent with the State Teeter Plan requirements, the Board of Supervisors elects to continue the alternative method of distribution of tax levies and collections in accordance with Revenue and Taxation Code Section 4701. The Board of Supervisors directs the Controller to maintain the Teeter Tax Losses Reserve Fund at an amount not less than $1 \%$ of the total of all taxes and assessments levied on the secured roll for that year for participating entities in the county as provided by Revenue and Taxation Code Section 4703. The Board of Supervisors authorizes the Controller to make timely property tax distributions to the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, the Treasure Island Development Authority, and City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure Financing Districts as approved by the Board of Supervisors through the budget, through development pass-through contracts, through tax increment allocation pledge agreements and ordinances, and as mandated by State law.

The Controller is authorized to adjust the budget to conform to assumptions in final approved property tax rates and to make debt service payments for approved general obligation bonds accordingly.

The Controller is authorized and directed to recover costs from the levy, collection and administration of property taxes. The tax rate for the City's General Obligation Bond Fund, approved annually by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, includes a collection fee of $0.25 \%$ of the fund collected for the purpose of the General Obligation Bond debt service. An amount sufficient to pay this fee is hereby appropriated within the General Obligation Bond Fund and the Controller is hereby authorized to pay this fee into the General Fund from the General Obligation Bond Fund.

## SECTION 12.4 New Project Reserves.

Where this Board has set aside a portion of the General Reserve for a new project or program approved by a supplemental appropriation, any funds not required for the approved supplemental appropriation shall be returned to the General Fund General Reserve by the Controller.

## SECTION 12.5 Aid Payments.

Aid paid from funds herein provided and refunded during the fiscal year hereof shall be credited to, and made available in, the appropriation from which said aid was provided.

SECTION 12.6 Department of Public Health Transfer Payments, Indigent Health Revenues, and Realignment Funding to Offset for Low Income Health Programs.
To more accurately reflect the total net budget of the Department of Public Health, this ordinance shows net revenues received from certain State and Federal health programs. Funds necessary to participate in such programs that require transfer payments are hereby appropriated. The Controller is authorized to defer surplus transfer payments, indigent health revenues, and Realignment funding to offset future reductions or audit adjustments associated with funding allocations for health services for low income individuals.

## SECTION 12.7 Municipal Transportation Agency.

Consistent with the provisions of Proposition E and Proposition A creating the Municipal Transportation Agency and including the Parking and Traffic function as a part of the Municipal Transportation Agency, the Controller is authorized to make such transfers and reclassification of accounts necessary to properly reflect the provision of central services to the Municipal Transportation Agency in the books and accounts of the City. No change can increase or decrease the overall level of the City's budget.

## SECTION 12.8 Treasure Island Authority.

Should the Treasure Island property be conveyed and deed transferred from the Federal Government, the Controller is hereby authorized to make budgetary adjustments necessary to ensure that there is no General Fund impact from this conveyance, and that expenditures of special assessment revenues conform to governmental accounting standards and requirements of the special assessment as adopted by voters and approved by the Board of Supervisors.

## SECTION 12.9 Hetch Hetchy Power Stabilization Fund.

Hetch Hetchy has entered into a long-term agreement to purchase a fixed amount of power. Any excess power from this contract will be sold back to the power market.

To limit Hetch Hetchy's risk from adverse market conditions in the future years of the contract, the Controller is authorized to establish a power stabilization account that reserves any excess revenues from power sales in the early years of the contract. These funds may be used to offset potential losses in the later years of the contract. The balance in this fund may be reviewed and adjusted annually.

The power purchase amount reflected in the Public Utility Commission's expenditure budget is the net amount of the cost of power purchased for Hetch Hetchy use. Power purchase appropriations may be increased by the Controller to reflect the pass through costs of power purchased for resale under long-term fixed contracts previously approved by the Board of Supervisors.

## SECTION 12.10 Closure of Special Funds, Projects, and Accounts

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.100-1(d), if there has been no expenditure activity for the past two fiscal years, a special fund or project can be closed and repealed. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to reconcile and balance funds, projects and accounts. The Controller is directed to create a clearing account for the purpose of balancing surpluses and deficits in such funds, projects and accounts, and funding administrative costs incurred to perform such reconciliations.

## SECTION 12.11 Charter-Mandated Baseline Appropriations.

The Controller is authorized to increase or reduce budgetary appropriations as required by the Charter for baseline allocations to align allocations to the amounts required by formula based on actual revenues received during the fiscal year. Departments must obtain Board of Supervisors' approval prior to any expenditure supported by increasing baseline allocations as required under the Charter and the Municipal Code.

## SECTION 12.12 Parking Tax Allocation.

The Controller is authorized to increase or decrease final budgetary allocation of parking tax in-lieu transfers to reflect actual collections to the Municipal Transportation Agency. The Municipal Transportation Agency must obtain Board of Supervisors' approval prior to any expenditure supported by allocations that accrue to the Agency that are greater than those already appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

## SECTION 12.13 Former Redevelopment Agency Funds.

Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Ordinance 215-12, the Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (also known as the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, or OCII) is a separate legal entity from the City and its budget is subject to separate approval by resolution of the Board of Supervisors. The Controller is authorized to transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within accounts related to former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (SFRA) fund balances to serve the accounting requirements of the OCII, the Port, the Mayor's Office of Housing and the City Administrator's office and to comply with State requirements and applicable bond covenants.

The Purchaser is authorized to allow the OCII and departments to follow applicable contracting and purchasing procedures of the former SFRA and waive inconsistent provisions of the San Francisco Administrative Code when managing contracts and purchasing transactions related to programs formerly administered by the SFRA.

If during the course of the budget period, the OCII requests departments to provide additional services beyond budgeted amounts and the Controller determines that the Successor Agency has sufficient additional funds available to reimburse departments for such additional services, the departmental expenditure authority to provide such services is hereby appropriated.

When 100\% of property tax increment revenues for a redevelopment project area are pledged based on an agreement that constitutes an enforceable obligation, the Controller will increase or decrease appropriations to match actual revenues realized for the project area.

The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development is authorized to act as the fiscal agent for the Public Initiatives Development Corporation (PIDC) and receive and disburse PIDC funds as authorized by the PIDC bylaws and the PIDC Board of Directors.

## SECTION 12.14 CleanPowerSF.

CleanPowerSF customer payments and all other associated revenues deposited in the CleanPowerSF special revenue fund are hereby appropriated in the amounts actually received by the City and County in each fiscal year. The Controller is authorized to disburse the revenues appropriated by this section as well as those appropriated yet unspent from prior fiscal years to pay power purchase obligations and other operating costs as provided in the program plans and annual budgets, as approved by the Board of Supervisors for the purposes authorized therein.

SECTION 12.15 Unclaimed Funds Escheatment Noticing \& Accounting Procedures Pursuant to Government Code Sections 50055 and 50057, the Board of Supervisors authorizes the Treasurer to transfer to the General Fund without publication of a notice in a newspaper the following amounts that remain unclaimed in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco or in the official custody of an officer of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least one year: (1) any individual items of less than \$15; and (2) any individual items of $\$ 5,000$ or less if the depositor's name is unknown. The Treasurer shall notify the Controller of transfers performed using this authorization.

## SECTION 14. Departments.

The term department as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, agency, board or commission, as the case may be. The term department head as used herein shall be the chief executive duly appointed and acting as provided in the Charter. When one or more departments are reorganized or consolidated, the former entities may be displayed as separate units, if, in the opinion of the Controller, this will facilitate accounting or reporting.
(a) The Public Utilities Commission shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds within each of the enterprises. The entity shall retain its enterprises, including Water, Hetch Hetchy, Wastewater, and the Public Utilities Commission, as separate utility fund enterprises under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission and with the authority provided by the Charter. This section shall not be construed as a merger or completion of the Hetch Hetchy Project, which shall not be deemed completed until a specific finding of completion has been made by the Public Utilities Commission. The consolidated agency will be recognized for purposes of determining employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or reappropriation of funds.
(b) There shall be a General Services Agency, headed by the City Administrator, including the Department of Public Works, the Department of Telecommunication and Information Services, and the Department of Administrative Services. The City Administrator shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds.
(c) There shall be a Human Services Agency, which shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds. Within the Human Services Agency shall be two departments: (1) the Department of Human Services, under the Human Services Commission, and (2) the Department of Disability and Aging Services ("DAAS"), under the Disability and Aging Services Commission, includes Adult Protective Services, the Public Administrator/Public Guardian, the Mental Health Conservator, the Department of Disability and Aging Services, the County Veterans' Service Officer, and the In-Home Supportive Services Program. This budgetary structure does not affect the legal status or structure of the two departments. The Human Resources Director and the Controller are authorized to transfer employees, positions, and funding in order to effectuate the transfer of the program from one department to the other. The consolidated agency will be recognized for purposes of determining employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or reappropriation of funds.

The departments within the Human Services Agency shall coordinate with each other and with the Disability and Aging Services Commission to improve delivery of services, increase administrative efficiencies and eliminate duplication of efforts. To this end, they may share staff and facilities. This coordination is not intended to diminish the authority of the Disability and Aging Services Commission over matters under the jurisdiction of the Commission.

The Director of the Aging and Adult Services Commission also may serve as the department head for DAAS, and/or as a deputy director for the Department of Human Services, but shall receive no additional compensation by virtue of an additional appointment. If an additional appointment is made, it shall not diminish the authority of the Aging and Adult Services Commission over matters under the jurisdiction of the Commission.

## SECTION 15. Travel Reimbursement and Cell Phone Stipends.

The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable for travel for officers and employees, and for the presentation of such vouchers as the Controller shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said Section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expenses provided for in this ordinance unless funds have been appropriated or set aside for such expenses in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

The Controller may advance the sums necessary for traveling expenses, but proper account and return must be made of said sums so advanced by the person receiving the same within ten days after said person returns to duty in the City and County of San Francisco, and failure on the part of the person involved to make such accounting shall be sufficient cause for the Controller to withhold from such persons pay check or checks in a sum equivalent to the amount to be accounted.

In consultation with the Human Resources Director, the Controller shall establish rules and parameters for the payment of monthly stipends to officers and employees who use their own cells phones to maintain continuous communication with their workplace, and who participate in a Citywide program that reduces costs of City-owned cell phones.

## SECTION 16. Contributed Revenue Reserve and Audit and Adjustment Reserve.

The Controller is hereby authorized to establish a Contributed Revenue and Adjustment Reserve to accumulate receipts in excess of those estimated revenues or unexpended appropriations stated herein. Said reserve is established for the purpose of funding the budget of the subsequent year, and the receipts in this reserve are hereby appropriated for said purpose. The Controller is authorized to maintain an Audit and Adjustment Reserve to offset audit adjustments, and to balance expenditure accounts to conform to year-end balancing and year-end close requirements.

## SECTION 17. Airport Service Payment.

The moneys received from the Airport's revenue fund as the Annual Service Payment provided in the Airline-Airport Lease and Use Agreement are in satisfaction of all obligations of the Airport Commission for indirect services provided by the City and County of San Francisco to the Commission and San Francisco International Airport and constitute the total transfer to the City's General Fund.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer to the City's General Fund from the Airport revenue fund with the approval of the Airport Commission funds that constitute the annual service payment provided in the Airline - Airport Lease and Use Agreement in addition to the amount stated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

On the last business day of the fiscal year, unless otherwise directed by the Airport Commission, the Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer all moneys remaining in the Airport's Contingency Account to the Airport's Revenue Fund. The Controller is further authorized and directed to return such amounts as were transferred from the Contingency Account, back to the Contingency Account from the Revenue Fund

Unappropriated Surplus on the first business day of the succeeding fiscal year, unless otherwise directed by the Airport Commission.

## SECTION 18. Pooled Cash, Investments.

The Treasurer and Controller are hereby authorized to transfer available fund balances within pooled cash accounts to meet the cash management of the City, provided that special and non-subsidized enterprise funds shall be credited interest earnings on any funds temporarily borrowed therefrom at the rate of interest earned on the City Pooled Cash Fund. No such cash transfers shall be allowed where the investment of said funds in investments such as the pooled funds of the City and County is restricted by law.

## SECTION 19. Matching Funds for Federal or State Programs.

Funds contributed to meet operating deficits and/or to provide matching funds for federal or State aid (e.g. Medicaid under SB 855 or similar legislation for Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital) are specifically deemed to be made exclusively from local property and business tax sources.

## SECTION 20. Advance Funding of Bond Projects - City Departments.

Whenever the City and County has authorized appropriations for the advance funding of projects which may at a future time be funded from the proceeds of general obligation, revenue, or lease revenue bond issues or other legal obligations of the City and County, the Controller shall recover from bond proceeds or other available sources, when they become available, the amount of any interest earnings foregone by the General Fund as a result of such cash advance to disbursements made pursuant to said appropriations. The Controller shall use the monthly rate of return earned by the Treasurer on City Pooled Cash Fund during the period or periods covered by the advance as the basis for computing the amount of interest foregone which is to be credited to the General Fund.

## SECTION 21. Advance Funding of Projects - Transportation Authority.

Whenever the San Francisco County Transportation Authority requests advance funding of the costs of administration or the costs of projects specified in the City and County of San Francisco Transportation Expenditure Plan which will be funded from proceeds of the transactions and use tax as set forth in Article 14 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller is hereby authorized to make such advance. The Controller shall recover from the proceeds of the transactions and use tax when they become available, the amount of the advance and any interest earnings foregone by the City and County General Fund as a result of such cash advance funding. The Controller shall use the monthly rate of return earned by the Treasurer on General City Pooled Cash funds during the period or periods covered by the advance as the basis for computing the amount of interest foregone which is to be credited to the General Fund.

## SECTION 22. Controller to Make Adjustments, Correct Clerical Errors.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to adjust interdepartmental appropriations, make transfers to correct objects of expenditures classifications and to correct clerical or computational errors as may be ascertained by the Controller to exist in
this ordinance. The Controller shall file with the Clerk of the Board a list of such adjustments, transfers and corrections made pursuant to this Section.

The Controller is hereby authorized to make the necessary transfers to correct objects of expenditure classifications, and corrections in classifications made necessary by changes in the proposed method of expenditure.

SECTION 22.1 Controller to Implement New Financial and Interfacing Subsystems. In order to further the implementation and adoption of the Financial and Procurement System's modules, the Controller shall have the authority to reclassify departments' appropriations to conform to the accounting and project costing structures established in the new system, as well as reclassify contract authority utilized (expended) balances and unutilized (available) balances to reflect actual spending.

## SECTION 23. Transfer of State Revenues.

The Controller is authorized to transfer revenues among City departments to comply with provisions in the State budget.

## SECTION 24. Use of Permit Revenues from the Department of Building Inspection.

Permit revenue funds from the Department of Building Inspection that are transferred to other departments as shown in this budget shall be used only to fund the planning, regulatory, enforcement and building design activities that have a demonstrated nexus with the projects that produce the fee revenues.

## SECTION 25. Board of Supervisors Official Advertising Charges.

The Board of Supervisors is authorized to collect funds from enterprise departments to place official advertising. The funds collected are automatically appropriated in the budget of the Board of Supervisors as they are received.

## SECTION 26. Work Order Appropriations.

The Board of Supervisors directs the Controller to establish work orders pursuant to Board-approved appropriations, including positions needed to perform work order services, and corresponding recoveries for services that are fully cost covered, including but not limited to services provided by one City department to another City department, as well as services provided by City departments to external agencies, including but not limited to the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, the Treasure Island Development Authority, the School District, and the Community College. Revenues for services from external agencies shall be appropriated by the Controller in accordance with the terms and conditions established to perform the service.

It is the policy of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to allocate costs associated with the replacement of the City's financial and purchasing system to all City Departments proportional to the departments' costs and financial requirements. In order to minimize new General Fund appropriations to complete the project, the Controller is authorized and directed to work with departments to identify efficiencies and savings in their financial and administrative operations to be applied to offset their share of the costs of this project, and is authorized to apply said savings to the project.

## SECTION 26.1 Property Tax System

In order to minimize new appropriations to the property tax system replacement project, the Controller is authorized and directed to apply operational savings from the offices of the Tax Collector, Assessor, and Controller to the project. No later than June 1, 2018 the Controller shall report to the Budget and Legislative Analyst's Office and Budget and Finance Committee on the specific amount of operational savings, including details on the source of such savings, in the budgets of Tax Collector, Assessor, and Controller that are re-allocated to the Property Tax System Replacement Project

## SECTION 27. Revenue Reserves and Deferrals.

The Controller is authorized to establish fee reserve allocations for a given program to the extent that the cost of service exceeds the revenue received in a given fiscal year, including establishment of deferred revenue or reserve accounts. In order to maintain balance between budgeted revenues and expenditures, revenues realized in the fiscal year preceding the year in which they are appropriated shall be considered reserved for the purposes for which they are appropriated.

## SECTION 28. Close-Out of Reserved Appropriations.

On an annual basis, the Controller shall report the status of all reserves, their remaining balances, and departments' explanations of why funding has not been requested for release. Continuation of reserves will be subject to consideration and action by the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee. The Controller shall close out reserved appropriations that are no longer required by the department for the purposes for which they were appropriated.

## SECTION 28.1. Reserves Placed on Expenditures by Controller.

Consistent with Charter Section 3.105(d), the Controller is authorized to reserve expenditures in the City's budget equal to uncertain revenues, as deemed appropriate by the Controller. The Controller is authorized to remove, transfer, and update reserves to expenditures in the budget as revenue estimates are updated and received in order to maintain City operations.

SECTION 29. Appropriation Control of Capital Improvement Projects and Equipment. Unless otherwise exempted in another section of the Administrative Code or Annual Appropriation Ordinance, and in accordance with Administrative Code Section 3.18, departments may transfer funds from one Board-approved capital project to another Board-approved capital project. The Controller shall approve transfers only if they do not materially change the size or scope of the original project. Annually, the Controller shall report to the Board of Supervisors on transfers of funds that exceed $10 \%$ of the original appropriation to which the transfer is made.

The Controller is authorized to approve substitutions within equipment items purchased to equip capital facilities providing that the total cost is within the Board-approved capital project appropriation.

The Controller is authorized to transfer approved appropriations between departments to correctly account for capitalization of fixed assets.

## SECTION 30. Business Improvement Districts.

Proceeds from all special assessments levied on real property included in the propertybased business improvement districts in the City and County of San Francisco are hereby appropriated in the respective amounts actually received by the City and County in such fiscal year for each such district.

The Controller is authorized to disburse the assessment revenues appropriated by this section to the respective Owners' Associations (as defined in Section 36614.5 of the Streets and Highways Code) for such districts as provided in the management district plans, resolutions establishing the districts, annual budgets and management agreements, as approved by the Board of Supervisors for each such district, for the purposes authorized therein. The Tourism Improvement District and Moscone Expansion Business Improvement District assessments are levied on gross hotel room revenue and are collected and distributed by the Tax Collector's Office.

## SECTION 31. Infrastructure Financing and Infrastructure Revitalization Financing Districts.

Pursuant to California Government Code Section 53395 et seq. (IFD Law), the Board of Supervisors has formed Infrastructure Financing (IFD) and Infrastructure Revitalization Financing (IRFD) Districts within the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Controller to transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within accounts related to City and County of San Francisco IFDs and IRFDs to serve accounting and State requirements, the latest approved Infrastructure Financing Plan for a District, and applicable bond covenants.

When 100\% of the portion of property tax increment normally appropriated to the City and County of San Francisco's General Fund or Special Revenue Fund or to the County's Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) is instead pledged, based on Board of Supervisors Ordinance, the Controller may increase or decrease appropriations to match actual revenues realized for the IFD or IRFD. Any increases to appropriations would be consistent with the Financing Plan previously approved by the Board of Supervisors.

| IFD/IRFD No / Title | Ordinance | Estimated Tax Increment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District $\quad$ FY 2021-22 $\quad$ FY 2022-23 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subproject Area Pier 70 G-1 Historic Core | 27-16 | \$ | 749,000 | \$ | 762,000 |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subproject Areas Pier 70 G-2, G-3, and G-4 | 220-18 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subproject Area I (Mission Rock) | 34-18 | \$ | 360,000 | \$ | 368,000 |
| IRFD 1 Treasure Island Infrastructure and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revitalization Financing District | 21-17 | \$ | 4,475,000 | \$ | 6,552,000 |
| IRFD 2 Hoedown Yard Infrastructure and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revitalization Financing District | 348-18 | \$ | - | \$ | - |

## SECTION 32. Federal and State Emergency Grant Disallowance Reserve.

One hundred million dollars $(\$ 100,000,000)$ of unassigned fund balance from fiscal year 2020-21 is hereby assigned to a budget contingency reserve for the purpose of managing revenue shortfalls related to reimbursement disallowances from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and other state and federal agencies. This reserve is comprised of a portion of the remaining balance of the COVID-19 Response and Economic Loss Contingency Reserve. This assignment shall not be included in the calculations of deposits to the Budget Stabilization Reserve described in Administrative Code Section 10.60 (c).

## SECTION 32.1 Fiscal Cliff Reserve.

Two hundred ninety-three million and nine hundred thousand dollars ( $\$ 293,900,000$ ) of unassigned fund balance from fiscal year 2020-21 is hereby assigned to a budget contingency reserve for the purpose of managing projected budget shortfalls following the spend down of federal and state stimulus funds and other one-time sources used to balance the fiscal year 2021-22 and fiscal year 2022-23 budget. This reserve is comprised of the balance of the COVID-19 Response and Economic Loss Contingency Reserve (COVID Reserve) remaining after funding the Federal and State Emergency Grant Disallowance Reserve in Section 32 and accounting for the use of the COVID Reserve in the fiscal year 2021-22 and fiscal year 2022-23 budget. This assignment shall not be included in the calculations of deposits to the Budget Stabilization Reserve described in Administrative Code Section 10.60 (c).

## SECTION 32.2. Federal and State Emergency Revenue Revisions.

The Controller is authorized to revise approved revenue budgets for federal and state emergency-related revenues to manage timing differences and cash flow needs driven by changing granting agencies' guidance and approvals, provided that such adjustments shall not change cumulative total revenue budgets in a given fund for the period from fiscal years 2020-21 through 2022-23. Such revisions shall not change approved expenditure authority. The Controller shall report any such revisions to the Mayor and Board within 30 days of their enactment.

## SECTION 33. Federal, State and Local Match Sources \& Uses Accounting for COVID-19 Emergency.

The Controller is authorized to adjust federal and state sources appropriations to reflect eligible costs by authorized spending category, to ensure cost reimbursement recovery revenues are maximized, and to align eligible costs to the appropriate federal or state fund, provided there is no net increase or decrease to COVID-19 emergency response revenues or expenditures. Adjustments may be made across fiscal years, and any balances available on June 30, 2021 are assigned for COVID-19 emergency response uses in fiscal year 2021-22.

## SECTION 33.1 Emergency Transfers of Funds.

The Controller shall each month report any transfer of appropriated or unappropriated funds adopted pursuant to the Mayor's COVID-19 Emergency Order.

## SECTION 34. Transbay Joint Powers Authority Financing.

Sources received for purposes of payment of debt service for the approved and issued Transbay Community Facilities District special tax bonds and the approved and drawn City bridge loan to the Transbay Joint Powers Authority are hereby appropriated.

## SECTION 35. First Year Free Appropriation Administration

The Controller is authorized to transfer appropriations for the First Year Free Program to accurately reflect the revenue changes that result to department revenue budgets as a result of the finally adopted ordinance establishing the program, provided that such changes do not result in a net change to the appropriations established herein.

## STATEMENT OF BOND REDEMPTION

 AND INTERESTStatement of Bond Redemption and Interest
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO Statement of Bond Redemption and Interest Fiscal Years 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

FY 2021-2022

| FY 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  | FY 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal | Interest |  | Adm Expense |  | Total |  | Principal |  | Interest |  | Adm Expense |  | Total |  |
| \$ 1,658,401 | \$ | 752,353 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,410,754 | \$ | 1,740,251 | \$ | 670,503 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,410,754 |
| 940,000 |  | 2,807,120 |  | - |  | 3,747,120 |  | 965,000 |  | 2,782,463 |  | - |  | 3,747,463 |
| 1,655,000 |  | 2,481,494 |  | - |  | 4,136,494 |  | 1,660,000 |  | 2,476,215 |  | - |  | 4,136,215 |
| 2,905,000 |  | 1,698,353 |  | - |  | 4,603,353 |  | 2,995,000 |  | 1,554,555 |  | - |  | 4,549,555 |
| 375,000 |  | 202,000 |  | - |  | 577,000 |  | 390,000 |  | 183,250 |  | - |  | 573,250 |
| 1,210,000 |  | 655,650 |  | - |  | 1,865,650 |  | 1,270,000 |  | 595,150 |  | - |  | 1,865,150 |
| 1,885,000 |  | 1,487,213 |  | - |  | 3,372,213 |  | 1,980,000 |  | 1,392,963 |  | - |  | 3,372,963 |
| 14,160,000 |  | 8,281,557 |  | - |  | 22,441,557 |  | 14,615,000 |  | 7,580,637 |  | - |  | 22,195,637 |
| 9,320,000 |  | 5,732,500 |  | - |  | 15,052,500 |  | 9,790,000 |  | 5,266,500 |  | - |  | 15,056,500 |
| 8,905,000 |  | 5,801,863 |  | - |  | 14,706,863 |  | 9,350,000 |  | 5,356,613 |  | - |  | 14,706,613 |
| 1,790,000 |  | 1,100,950 |  | - |  | 2,890,950 |  | 1,880,000 |  | 1,011,450 |  | - |  | 2,891,450 |
| 2,115,000 |  | 1,483,313 |  | - |  | 3,598,313 |  | 2,220,000 |  | 1,377,563 |  | - |  | 3,597,563 |
| 1,085,000 |  | 640,575 |  | - |  | 1,725,575 |  | 1,140,000 |  | 586,325 |  | - |  | 1,726,325 |
| 3,875,000 |  | 2,716,813 |  | - |  | 6,591,813 |  | 4,070,000 |  | 2,523,063 |  | - |  | 6,593,063 |
| 3,660,000 |  | 2,161,013 |  | - |  | 5,821,013 |  | 3,840,000 |  | 1,978,013 |  | - |  | 5,818,013 |
| 5,355,000 |  | 4,796,900 |  | - |  | 10,151,900 |  | 5,620,000 |  | 4,529,150 |  | - |  | 10,149,150 |
| 3,290,000 |  | 2,930,900 |  | - |  | 6,220,900 |  | 1,590,000 |  | 2,766,400 |  | - |  | 4,356,400 |
| 10,000,000 |  | 5,007,780 |  | - |  | 15,007,780 |  | 2,290,000 |  | 4,640,700 |  | - |  | 6,930,700 |
| 1,900,000 |  | 1,121,400 |  | - |  | 3,021,400 |  | 1,995,000 |  | 1,026,400 |  | - |  | 3,021,400 |
| 2,080,000 |  | 1,372,131 |  | - |  | 3,452,131 |  | 2,185,000 |  | 1,268,131 |  | - |  | 3,453,131 |
| 4,290,000 |  | 3,382,338 |  | - |  | 7,672,338 |  | 4,505,000 |  | 3,167,838 |  | - |  | 7,672,838 |
| 7,030,000 |  | 2,265,300 |  | - |  | 9,295,300 |  | 5,785,000 |  | 2,124,700 |  | - |  | 7,909,700 |
| 15,000,000 |  | 7,039,673 |  | - |  | 22,039,673 |  | 3,195,000 |  | 6,467,100 |  | - |  | 9,662,100 |
| 2,450,000 |  | 1,186,180 |  | - |  | 3,636,180 |  | 2,500,000 |  | 1,137,180 |  | - |  | 3,637,180 |
| 4,110,000 |  | 3,566,943 |  | - |  | 7,676,943 |  | 4,220,000 |  | 3,423,093 |  | - |  | 7,643,093 |
| 1,130,000 |  | 535,555 |  | - |  | 1,665,555 |  | 1,145,000 |  | 518,040 |  | - |  | 1,663,040 |
| 5,980,000 |  | 3,784,415 |  | - |  | 9,764,415 |  | 5,985,000 |  | 3,775,864 |  | - |  | 9,760,864 |
| 5,240,000 |  | 4,283,050 |  | - |  | 9,523,050 |  | 5,500,000 |  | 4,021,050 |  | - |  | 9,521,050 |
| 1,380,000 |  | 1,238,688 |  | - |  | 2,618,688 |  | 1,490,000 |  | 1,169,688 |  | - |  | 2,659,688 |
| 2,095,000 |  | 3,498,850 |  | - |  | 5,593,850 |  | 2,180,000 |  | 3,394,100 |  | - |  | 5,574,100 |
| 10,000,000 |  | 4,586,667 |  | - |  | 14,586,667 |  | 2,075,000 |  | 4,200,000 |  | - |  | 6,275,000 |
| 36,000,000 |  | 24,155,107 |  | - |  | 60,155,107 |  | 11,415,000 |  | 23,118,600 |  | - |  | 34,533,600 |
| 21,365,000 |  | 4,502,150 |  | - |  | 25,867,150 |  | 20,485,000 |  | 3,433,900 |  | - |  | 23,918,900 |
| 21,760,000 |  | 9,799,750 |  | - |  | 31,559,750 |  | 22,850,000 |  | 8,711,750 |  | - |  | 31,561,750 |
| 13,175,000 |  | 8,837,450 |  | 500 |  | 22,012,950 |  | 13,830,000 |  | 8,178,700 |  | 500 |  | 22,009,200 |
| 7,195,000 |  | 4,964,558 |  | - |  | 12,159,558 |  | 8,045,000 |  | 4,119,550 |  | - |  | 12,164,550 |


| \$ 236,363,401 | \$ 140,858,552 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 377,222,453 | \$ 186,790,251 | \$ 130,527,197 | \$ | 500 | \$ 317,317,948 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | $(1,865,104)$ |  | - |  | $(1,865,104)$ | - | - |  | - | - |
| - | $(2,265,300)$ |  | - |  | $(2,265,300)$ | - | $(879,527)$ |  | - | $(879,527)$ |
| - | $(2,733,328)$ |  | - |  | $(2,733,328)$ | - | $(2,501,989)$ |  | - | $(2,501,989)$ |
| - | $(560,541)$ |  | - |  | $(560,541)$ | - | $(513,081)$ |  | - | $(513,081)$ |
| $(12,270,000)$ | $(6,136,400)$ |  | - |  | $(18,406,400)$ | $(12,885,000)$ | $(3,101,148)$ |  | - | $(15,986,148)$ |
| - | - |  | - |  | - | - | $(2,421,752)$ |  | - | $(2,421,752)$ |
| - | $(236,208)$ |  | - |  | $(236,208)$ | - | - |  | - | - |
| \$224,093,401 | \$ 127,061,671 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 351,155,572 | \$ 173,905,251 | \$ 121,109,700 | \$ | 500 | \$ 295,015,451 |

[^9]TOTAL BEFORE OFFSET FOR FEDERAL SUBSIDY, NET BID
PREMIUM, TOBACCO SETTTLEMENT REVENUE \& SB 1128 SINKING
FUND
FEDERAL SUBSIDY, NET BID PREMIUM, TSR \& SB 1128 REIMBURSEMET
2020 Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response Series 2021B-1
2014 Transportation \& Road Improvements Series 2020B 2008 San Francisco General Hospital Improvement, BAB Series 2010C Tobacco Settlement Revenue Reimbursement ${ }^{(2)}$ SB 1128 Reimbursement
GENERAL CITY, NET OF FEDERAL SUBSIDY, BID PREMIUM, TSR \&
SB 1128 SINKING FUND

## Fiscal Years 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 FY 2021-2022

| FY 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  | FY 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal |  | Interest | Adm Expense |  | Total |  | Principal |  | Interest |  | Adm Expense |  | Total |  |
| \$ 17,325,000 | \$ | 7,496,500 | \$ | - | $\$$ | 24,821,500 | \$ | 18,810,000 | \$ | 6,630,250 | \$ | - | \$ | 25,440,250 |
| 1,235,000 |  | 1,048,950 |  | - |  | 2,283,950 |  | 1,295,000 |  | 987,200 |  | - |  | 2,282,200 |
| 3,340,000 |  | 4,100,450 |  | - |  | 7,440,450 |  | 19,080,000 |  | 3,933,450 |  | - |  | 23,013,450 |
| 16,045,000 |  | 5,114,427 |  | - |  | 21,159,427 |  | - |  | 4,633,077 |  | - |  | 4,633,077 |
| \$ 37,945,000 | \$ | 17,760,327 | \$ | - | \$ | 55,705,327 | \$ | 39,185,000 | \$ | 16,183,977 | \$ | - | \$ | 55,368,977 |
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OUTSIDE CITY BUDGET
SAN FRANCISCO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT (SFCCD), PROP 39
2015 Community College District Refunding Bonds
2020 Community College District Refunding Bonds
2020 Community College District, 2020 Series A
2020 Community College District, 2020 Series A-1
TOTAL BEFORE OFFSET FOR NET BID PREMIUM
NET BID PREMIUM
2020 Community College District, 2020 Series A
SF COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, NET OF BID PREMIUM
SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (SFUSD) PROP 39 AN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (SFUSD) PROP 39
2006 Unified School District, 2010 Series C (QSCBs) ${ }^{(1)}$ 2006 Unified School District, 2010 Series C (QSCBs) ${ }^{(1)}$
2006 Unified School District, 2010 Series D (BABs) ${ }^{(1)}$
 2011 Unified School District, 2014 Series B
2011 Unified School District, 2015 Series C spuog bumpunjoy tilns!d loous po!!un sloz

2017 Unified School District Refunding Bonds
spuog 6u!̣punjəy uo!̣e6!!|qO ןеләиәэ 0Z0Z
TOTAL BEFORE OFFSET FOR FEDERAL SUBSIDY
FEDERAL SUBSIDY
2006 Unified School District, 2010 Series C (QSCBs) ${ }^{(1)}$
2006 Unified School District, 2010 Series D (BABs) ${ }^{(1)}$
SF UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, NET OF FEDERAL SUBSIDY
BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT (BART)
2004 BART Gen Obligation Bonds Refunding 2015 Series D
2004 BART Gen Obligation Bonds Refunding 2015 Series D
2004 BART Gen Obligation Bonds 2019 Series F-1
2004 BART Gen Obligation Bonds Refunding 2019 Series G
2016 BART General Obligation Bonds 2017 Series A


## TOTAL BART

(غ) Lyヲg an
(1) Interest payment will be offset in part by available federal subsidies of interest.
(2) Debt service will be offset by available tobacco settlement revenues and SB 1128 sinking fund. The offset assumes that SB 1128 reimbursement will be available to cover GOBs debt service with respect to Laguna
Honda Hospital Series 2008-R3 and Laguna Honda Hospital Series 2005A \& 2005I. Series 2005A \& 2005I were refunded by GOB Refunding Series 2011-R1 and Series 2008-R3 by GOB Refunding Series 2015-R1. (3) For AAO purpose, the totals in the summary are gross of net bid premium, federal subsidies, tobacco settlement revenue and SB 1128 reimbursements.

| FY 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FY 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal | Interest |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Debt } \\ \text { Service } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Other Fiscal Charges |  | Total ${ }^{(4)}$ |  | Principal |  | Interest |  | Total Debt Service |  | Other Fiscal Charges |  | Total ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |
| \$ 2,380,000 | \$ | 411,500 | \$ | 2,791,500 | \$ | 502,703 | \$ | 3,294,203 | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | 289,500 | \$ | 2,789,500 | \$ | 576,608 | \$ | 3,366,108 |
| 1,590,000 |  | 1,256,725 |  | 2,846,725 |  | 226,303 |  | 3,073,028 |  | 1,650,000 |  | 1,193,125 |  | 2,843,125 |  | 258,748 |  | 3,101,873 |
| 1,545,000 |  | 849,913 |  | 2,394,913 |  | 75,145 |  | 2,470,058 |  | 1,620,000 |  | 772,663 |  | 2,392,663 |  | 84,992 |  | 2,477,655 |
| 2,835,000 |  | 4,947,450 |  | 7,782,450 |  | 411,458 |  | 8,193,908 |  | 2,945,000 |  | 4,834,050 |  | 7,779,050 |  | 471,526 |  | 8,250,576 |
| 3,435,000 |  | 4,592,625 |  | 8,027,625 |  | 148,567 |  | 8,176,192 |  | 3,605,000 |  | 4,416,625 |  | 8,021,625 |  | 169,277 |  | 8,190,902 |
| 930,000 |  | 368,401 |  | 1,298,401 |  | 10,500 |  | 1,308,901 |  | 950,000 |  | 346,230 |  | 1,296,230 |  | 10,500 |  | 1,306,730 |
| 615,000 |  | 975,168 |  | 1,590,168 |  | 58,337 |  | 1,648,505 |  | 640,000 |  | 953,643 |  | 1,593,643 |  | 65,513 |  | 1,659,156 |
| 11,355,000 |  | 15,843,463 |  | 27,198,463 |  | 628,814 |  | 27,827,277 |  | 11,920,000 |  | 15,275,713 |  | 27,195,713 |  | 700,572 |  | 27,896,285 |
| (7,208,019) |  | - |  | $(7,208,019)$ |  | - |  | $(7,208,019)$ |  | $(11,920,000)$ |  | (5,490,795) |  | (17,410,795) |  | - |  | $(17,410,795)$ |
| 4,146,981 |  | 15,843,463 |  | 19,990,444 |  | 628,814 |  | 20,619,258 |  | - |  | 9,784,918 |  | 9,784,918 |  | 700,572 |  | 10,485,490 |
| 1,300,000 |  | 868,663 |  | 2,168,663 |  | 173,031 |  | 2,341,694 |  | 1,370,000 |  | 803,663 |  | 2,173,663 |  | 197,749 |  | 2,371,412 |
| 3,235,000 |  | 3,983,760 |  | 7,218,760 |  | 206,349 |  | 7,425,109 |  | 4,620,000 |  | 2,603,200 |  | 7,223,200 |  | 235,652 |  | 7,458,852 |
| 2,215,000 |  | 10,019,700 |  | 12,234,700 |  | 178,015 |  | 12,412,715 |  | 2,940,000 |  | 9,908,950 |  | 12,848,950 |  | 203,180 |  | 13,052,130 |
| 1,505,000 |  | 1,985,350 |  | 3,490,350 |  | 109,171 |  | 3,599,521 |  | 1,580,000 |  | 1,910,100 |  | 3,490,100 |  | 124,009 |  | 3,614,109 |
| - |  | 2,912,780 |  | 2,912,780 |  | 349,669 |  | 3,262,449 |  | 2,580,000 |  | 3,287,150 |  | 5,867,150 |  | 400,582 |  | 6,267,732 |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,055,000 |  | 3,626,050 |  | 5,681,050 |  | 200,000 |  | 5,881,050 |
| - |  | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,000 |  | - |  | 2,000,000 |  | - |  | 7,800,000 |  | 7,800,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 8,000,000 |
| 1,245,000 |  | 3,430,700 |  | 4,675,700 |  | - |  | 4,675,700 |  | 1,325,000 |  | 3,350,700 |  | 4,675,700 |  | - |  | 4,675,700 |
| 260,000 |  | 713,050 |  | 973,050 |  | - |  | 973,050 |  | 275,000 |  | 698,050 |  | 973,050 |  | - |  | 973,050 |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,463,150 |  | 1,463,150 |  | - |  | 1,463,150 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240,000 |  | 260,000 |  | 500,000 |  | - |  | 500,000 |
| \$ 27,236,981 | \$ | 55,159,248 | \$ | 82,396,229 | \$ | 3,078,062 | \$ | 85,474,291 | \$ | 30,895,000 | \$ | 58,301,767 | \$ | 89,196,767 | \$ | 3,898,908 | \$ | 93,095,675 |





\$ $\begin{array}{r}1,458,020 \\ 3,800,550 \\ \hline\end{array}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llll}
\$ \quad 1,545,800
\end{array} \$ \quad 39,325 \$ \quad 1,585,125
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\$ \quad 10,720,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


$\$ \quad 1,657,950$| $\$ 1,723,784$ |
| :--- | :--- |


CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Multiple Capital Improvement Projects, Series 2012A } \\
& \text { Refunding Certificate of Participation Series 2014-R2 (Juvenile Hall Proj) } \\
& \text { War Memorial Veterans Building Improvement Series 2015A, B } \\
& \text { Refunding Certificate of Participation Series 2015-R1 (City office Bldgs.) } \\
& \text { War Memorial Veterans Building Improvement Series 2016A } \\
& \text { Hope SF Series 2017A } \\
& \text { Moscone Expansion Project Series 2017B } \\
& \text { Less: MED Assesments } \\
& \text { Net City Contribution: } \\
& \text { Refunding Certificate of Participation Series 2019-R1 } \\
& \text { Refunding Certificate of Participation Series 2020-R1 (Multpl Capital Impr.) } \\
& \text { 49 South Van Ness Project, Series 2019A } \\
& \text { Animal Care and Contro, Series 2020 } \\
& \text { Multiple Capital Improvement Projects, Series 2021A } \\
& \text { Proposed HOJ Relocation Projects } \\
& \text { Proposed Department of Public Health Facilities } \\
& \text { Proposed Critical Repairs } \\
& \text { Proposed Economic Recovery Stimulus } \\
& \text { Proposed Street Repaving Program } \\
& \text { Proposed Capital Lease - Equipment Program } \\
& \text { TOTAL CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION }
\end{aligned}
$$ LOANS AND LEASES

San Francisco Marina-West Harbor Loan
Citywide Emergency Radio Replacement Project
total loans and leases
SAN FRANCISCO FINANCE CORP LEASE REVENUE BONDS
EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION SYSTEM
LRB Refinancing Series 2010-R1
OPEN SPACE FUND (VARIOUS PARK PRO
OPEN SPACE FUND (VARIOUS PARK PROJECTS)
LRB Refunding Open Space Fund Series 2018A
LRB Refunding Open Space Fund Series 2018A
MOSCONE CENTER EXPANSION PROJECT
LR Refunding Bonds Series $2008-1,2$
LIBRARY PRESERVATION FUND
LRB Refunding Series 2018B
(4) A portion or all debt service payment is payable from non-general fund revenue.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Statement of Bond Redemption and Interest
Public Service Enterprise Revenue Bonds, Certificates of Participation and Loans
Fiscal Years 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| FY 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal |  | Interest |  | Total |  |
| \$ | 421,667 | \$ | - | \$ | 421,667 |
|  | 555,266 |  | 142,166 |  | 697,432 |
|  | 145,831 |  | 73,927 |  | 219,758 |
|  | - |  | 1,592,950 |  | 1,592,950 |
|  | 850,000 |  | 123,600 |  | 973,600 |
|  | 425,267 |  | 10,632 |  | 435,899 |
|  | - |  | 812,476 |  | 812,476 |
|  | - |  | 340 |  | 340 |
|  | - |  | 11,900 |  | 11,900 |
| \$ | 2,398,031 | \$ | 2,767,991 | \$ | 5,166,022 |
|  | - |  | $(110,502)$ |  | $(110,502)$ |
|  | - |  | $(80,817)$ |  | $(80,817)$ |
|  | - |  | $(267,589)$ |  | $(267,589)$ |
| \$ | 2,398,031 | \$ | 2,309,083 | \$ | 4,707,114 |

## 








| $\$$ | $3,695,000$ <br> $5,170,000$ <br> - <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |








3,478,121



$\$ 6,070,000$
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
이

| $\$$ | $3,520,000$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - |
|  | - |
|  | - |
| $\$$ | $3,520,000$ |




LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL ${ }^{(5)}$
COP Refunding Series 2019-R1
TOTAL BEFORE REIMBURSEMENT OFFSET
SB 1128 Reimbursement Offset
AN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY
SFMTA 2017 Series Revenue Bonds
SFMTA 2017 Series Revenue Bonds
SFMTA 2021 Series B Revenue Bonds
TOTAL SF MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY
PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO
Hefunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2020A/B
Revenue Bonds, Series 2014A/B
COP Port Facilities Project Series 2013 B \& C
SBH - CalBoating Loan $-\$ 400 \mathrm{~K}$
SBH - CalBoating Lean $-\$ 3.1 \mathrm{M}$
SBH - CalBoating Loan - $\$ 3.1 \mathrm{M}$
Other Fiscal Charges
TOTAL PORT

$\infty$
$\infty$
$\infty$
$\infty$
$\sim$
$\sim$
$\sim$
$\sim$



 710,593
$1,168,943$







$\infty$
 *
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

[^10][^11]
## SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

2009 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2009D
2010 Airport 2nd Series Variable Rate Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2010A 2011 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2011F/G/H 2013 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2013A/B/C 2014 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2014 Airport 2nd Series 2016A 2016 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2016B/C 2016 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2016D
2017 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2017A
2017 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Serie
2017 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2017D
2018 Airport 2nd Series Variable Rate Revenue Bonds Series 2018B/C 2018 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2018D 2018 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2018E
2018 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2018G 2019 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2019A 2019 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2019B
2019 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2019C

 2019 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2019G 2019H 2020 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2020A 2020 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2020B
2020 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2020C 2020 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2020 C Commercial Paper Interest
Letter of Credit Fees
LOC Fees for VRDBs
LOC Fees for Commercial Paper
Remarketing Fees
TOTAL SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

| FY 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  | FY 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal |  | Interest |  | Total |  | Principal |  | Interest |  | Total |  |
| \$ | 8,820,000 | \$ | 220,500 | \$ | 9,040,500 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | - |  | 10,685,426 |  | 10,685,426 |  | 7,280,000 |  | 10,516,166 |  | 17,796,166 |
|  | 14,060,000 |  | 1,034,550 |  | 15,094,550 |  | 13,090,000 |  | 405,800 |  | 13,495,800 |
|  | - |  | 14,428,000 |  | 14,428,000 |  | - |  | 14,428,000 |  | 14,428,000 |
|  | - |  | 10,645,750 |  | 10,645,750 |  | - |  | 10,645,750 |  | 10,645,750 |
|  | - |  | 3,001,050 |  | 3,001,050 |  | - |  | 3,001,050 |  | 3,001,050 |
|  | - |  | 10,743,850 |  | 10,743,850 |  | 7,715,000 |  | 10,550,975 |  | 18,265,975 |
|  | - |  | 9,297,500 |  | 9,297,500 |  | 6,260,000 |  | 9,141,000 |  | 15,401,000 |
|  | - |  | 3,806,831 |  | 3,806,831 |  | - |  | 3,806,831 |  | 3,806,831 |
|  | - |  | 4,254,275 |  | 4,254,275 |  | - |  | 4,254,275 |  | 4,254,275 |
|  | 470,696 |  | 291,261 |  | 761,957 |  | 479,169 |  | 282,789 |  | 761,958 |
|  | 780,424 |  | 482,917 |  | 1,263,341 |  | 794,472 |  | 468,869 |  | 1,263,341 |
|  | 1,035,080 |  | 700,831 |  | 1,735,911 |  | 1,053,391 |  | 682,520 |  | 1,735,911 |
|  | 195,034 |  | 118,960 |  | 313,994 |  | 198,154 |  | 115,839 |  | 313,993 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,021,489 |  | 322,826 |  | 1,344,315 |
|  | - |  | 27,050 |  | 27,050 |  | - |  | 27,050 |  | 27,050 |
|  | - |  | 27,050 |  | 27,050 |  | - |  | 27,050 |  | 27,050 |
|  | 785,383 |  | 60,933 |  | 846,316 |  | 825,973 |  | 20,649 |  | 846,622 |
|  | - |  | 1,578,028 |  | 1,578,028 |  | - |  | 1,578,028 |  | 1,578,028 |
|  | - |  | 661 |  | 661 |  | - |  | 661 |  | 661 |
|  | - |  | 31,100 |  | 31,100 |  | - |  | 24,100 |  | 24,100 |
| \$ | 26,146,617 | \$ | 71,436,523 | \$ | 97,583,140 | \$ | 38,717,648 | \$ | 70,300,228 | \$ | 109,017,876 |
|  | - |  | $(3,519,245)$ |  | $(3,519,245)$ |  | - |  | $(3,519,245)$ |  | $(3,519,245)$ |
|  | - |  | $(519,724)$ |  | $(519,724)$ |  | - |  | $(519,724)$ |  | $(519,724)$ |
| \$ | 26,146,617 | \$ | 67,397,554 | \$ | 93,544,171 | \$ | 38,717,648 | \$ | 66,261,259 | \$ | 104,978,907 |
| \$ | 12,330,000 | \$ | 21,019,400 | \$ | 33,349,400 | \$ | 12,780,000 | \$ | 20,328,875 | \$ | 33,108,875 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 200,250 |  | 200,250 |
|  | - |  | 20,060,998 |  | 20,060,998 |  | 12,745,000 |  | 19,748,745 |  | 32,493,745 |
|  | - |  | 24,427,165 |  | 24,427,165 |  | - |  | 24,427,165 |  | 24,427,165 |
|  | 755,000 |  | 15,100 |  | 770,100 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 825,000 |  | 16,500 |  | 841,500 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 15,325,000 |  | 18,430,319 |  | 33,755,319 |  | 16,045,000 |  | 17,708,344 |  | 33,753,344 |

WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE
2010 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series A
2010 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series B BABs
2013 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series A
2013 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series B
2016 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series A
2016 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series B
2018 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series A
2018 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series B
2018 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series C
Proposed Wastewater BANs (Notes)
SRF Loans:
North Point Facility Outfall Rehabilitation
SEP Primary/Secondary Clarifier Upgrades
SEP $521 / 522$ and Disinfection Upgrades
Lake Merced Green Infrastructure OSP Gas Utilization
OSP Gas Utilization
WIFIA Construction Period Loan Servicing Fee (BDFP)
WIFIA Construction Period Loan Servicing Fee (SEP Headworks)
COP 525 Golden Gate Office Space, Series 2009 C
COP 525 Golden Gate Office Space, Series 2009 D
Trustee and Arbitrage Computation Fee (COPs 18.88\%)
Trustee and Arbitrage Computation Fee (Wastewater Revenue Bonds)
TOTAL WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE BEFORE OFFSET
Federal Offsets
2010 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series B BABs Federal Offset
COP 525 Golden Gate Office Space, Series 2009
TOTAL WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE
2015 Water Revenue Bonds, Series A
WATER ENTERPRISE
2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B BABs
2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series D
2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series E BABs
2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series G BABs
2011 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B
2011 Water Revenue Bonds, Series C
2015


2016 Water Revenue Bonds, Series A 2016 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B 2016 Water Revenue Bonds, Series C 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series A 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series C 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series D 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series E 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series F 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series G 2019 Water Revenue Bonds, Series A 2019 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B 2019 Water Revenue Bonds, Series C 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series C 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series D 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series E
 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series H SRF Loan: Westside Recycled Water Project
COP 525 Golden Gate Office Space, Series 2009 C COP 525 Golden Gate Office Space, Series 2009 D BAB Trustee and Arbitrage Computation Fee (COPs $71.40 \%$ )
Trustee and Arbitrage Computation Fee (Water Revenue Trustee and Arbitrage Computation Fee (Water Revenue Bonds) TOTAL WATER ENTERPRISE BEFORE OFFSET Federal Offsets

[^12] 2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series G BABs Federal Offset TOTAL WATER ENTERPRISE

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE SALARY ORDINANCE

## AS OF July 15, 2021



File No. $\qquad$ Ordinance No. $\qquad$

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2022 and FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2023

## SALARY ORDINANCE

Fiscal Years 2021-22 and 2022-23
Certain pay rates included in this document may not reflect FY 2021-22 or FY 202223 year-end rates due to negotiated wage settlements and arbitration awards that have not received final legislative action. If you have a question regarding a rate of pay for a specific classification, please consult the Department of Human Resources Compensation Manual online at www.sfgov.org/dhr or contact the Department of Human Resources' Compensation Program at (415) 557-4990.
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AN ORDINANCE ENUMERATING POSITIONS IN THE ANNUAL BUDGET AND APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDING JUNE 30, 2022, AND JUNE 30, 2023 CONTINUING, CREATING, OR ESTABLISHING THESE POSITIONS; ENUMERATING AND INCLUDING THEREIN ALL POSITIONS CREATED BY CHARTER OR STATE LAW FOR WHICH COMPENSATIONS ARE PAID FROM CITY AND COUNTY FUNDS AND APPROPRIATED IN THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE; AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENTS OR CONTINUATION OF APPOINTMENTS THERETO; SPECIFYING AND FIXING THE COMPENSATIONS AND WORK SCHEDULES THEREOF; AND AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENTS TO TEMPORARY POSITIONS AND FIXING COMPENSATIONS THEREFORE.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

SECTION 1. ESTABLISHMENT, CREATION AND CONTINUATION OF POSITIONS.
In accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Code, the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created, established, or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law, compensations for which are paid from City and County funds and appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, as that ordinance may be modified during the fiscal year in supplemental appropriation or deappropriation ordinances (together "Annual Appropriation Ordinance"), are enumerated and included herein.

The word "position" or "positions" as used in the ordinance shall be construed to include office or offices, and the word "employee" or "employees" shall be construed to include officer or officers. The terms "requisition" and "request to fill" are intended to be synonymous and shall be construed to mean a position authorization that is required by the Charter.

## Section 1.1. APPOINTMENTS AND VACANCIES - PERMANENT POSITIONS.

Section 1.1A. Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized, subject to the provisions of this ordinance, to make or continue appointments as needed during the fiscal year to permanent positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance. Such appointments shall be made in accordance with the provisions of the Charter. Appointing officers shall not make an appointment to a vacancy in a permanent position until the request to fill for such position is approved by the Controller. Provided further, that if the Mayor declares an intent to approve requests to fill due to unanticipated financial reasons, appointing officers shall not make an appointment to a vacancy in a permanent position until the request to fill for such position is approved by the Mayor. Provided further, that if changes occur to the classification, compensation, or duties of a permanent position, appointing officers shall not make an appointment to a vacancy in such position until the request to fill for such position is approved by the Department of Human Resources. Provided further, that in order to prevent the stoppage of essential services, the Human Resources Director may authorize an emergency appointment pending approval or disapproval of a request to fill, if funds are available to pay the compensation of such emergency appointee.

Provided that if the proposed employment is for inter-departmental service, the Controller shall approve as to conformity with the following inter-departmental procedure. Appointing officers shall not authorize or permit employees to work in inter-departmental service unless the following provisions are satisfied. The payment of compensation for the employment of persons in inter-departmental service shall be
within the limit of the funds made available by certified inter-departmental work orders and such compensation shall be distributed to the inter-departmental work orders against which they constitute proper detailed charges.
A. If the appointing officer is unable to employ a qualified person to cover the work schedule of a position herein established or authorized, the appointing officer, subject to the provisions of this ordinance and the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and with the approval of the Department of Human Resources, may in the appointing officer's discretion employ more than one person on a lesser work schedule but the combined salaries shall not exceed the compensation appropriated for the position, or may appoint one person on a combined work schedule but subject to the limitation of the appropriation and the compensation schedule for the position and without amendment to this ordinance.
B. Where a vacancy exists in a position, the Human Resources Director may and is hereby authorized to approve a temporary (diverted) request to fill in a different class, provided that the Controller certifies that funds are available to fill that vacancy on this basis, and provided that no action taken as a result of the application of this section will affect the classification of the position concerned as established in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and this ordinance.

An appointing officer, subject to the provisions of this ordinance, the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, the Controller's certification of funds, and Civil Service certification procedures, may employ more than one person on a combined work schedule not to exceed the permanent full-time equivalent, or may combine the appropriations for more than one permanent part-time position in order to create a single full-time equivalent position limited to classifications of positions herein established or authorized and their respective compensation schedules. Such changes shall be reported to the Department of Human Resources and the Controller's office. No full-time equivalent position which is occupied by an employee shall be reduced in hours without the voluntary consent of the employee, if any, holding that position. However, the combined salaries for part-time positions created shall not exceed the compensation appropriated for the full-time position, nor will the salary of a single full-time position created exceed the compensation appropriated for part-time positions. Each permanent part-time employee shall receive the same benefits as existing permanent part-time employees. The funding of additional fringe benefit costs subject to availability of funds will be from any legally available funds.

Section 1.1B. The Human Resources Director is solely authorized to administratively adjust the terms of this ordinance as follows:
A. To change the classification of a position provided that the rate of pay is the same or less and the services are in the same functional area.
B. To adjust the compensation of a position pursuant to an approved Memorandum of Understanding or ordinance.
C. To reflect the initial rates of compensation for a newly established classification, excluding classes covered under Administrative Code Sections 2A. 76 and 2A. 90 .
D. To add positions funded in accordance with section 10.22 of the Annual

Appropriation Ordinance, regarding Professional Service Contracts, and section 26 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, regarding work order appropriations.

The Department of Human Resources shall promptly notify the Controller, the Clerk of the Board, the Mayor's Office and the affected department(s) if the Human Resources Director takes such actions as authorized above.

Section 1.1C. Provided further, that if requests to fill for vacant permanent positions issued by departments where the appointing officers are elected officials enumerated in Article II and Section 6.100 of the Charter (the Board of Supervisors, Assessor-Recorder, City Attorney, District Attorney, Public Defender, Sheriff and Treasurer) are approved by the Controller and are not approved or rejected by the Mayor and the Department of Human Resources within 15 working days of submission, the requests to fill shall be deemed approved. If such requests to fill are rejected by the Mayor and/or the Department of Human Resources, the appointing officers listed above may appeal that rejection in a hearing before the Budget and Finance and/or Budget and Appropriations Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and the Board of Supervisors in its discretion may then grant approval of said requests to fill.

Section 1.1D. The Human Resources Director is authorized to make permanent exempt appointments for a period of up to 6 months to permit simultaneous employment of an existing City employee who is expected to depart City employment and a person who is expected to be appointed to the permanent position previously held by the departing employee when such an appointment is necessary to ensure implementation of successful succession plans and to facilitate the transfer of mission-critical knowledge within City departments.

Section 1.1E. The Human Resources Director, with concurrence of the Controller, is authorized to adjust the terms of this ordinance to reflect the conversion of temporary positions to a permanent position(s) when sufficient funding is available and conversion is needed either (A) to maintain services when elimination of temporary positions is consistent with the terms of Memoranda of Understanding or (B) to address City staffing needs created by the San Francisco Housing Authority's changing scope of work.

## Section 1.2. APPOINTMENTS-TEMPORARY POSITIONS.

Section 1.2A. Temporary appointments to positions defined by Charter Section 10.104(16) as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated and such other temporary services as required at rates not in excess of salary schedules if funds have been appropriated and are available for such temporary service. Such appointments shall be limited in duration to no more than 1040 hours in any fiscal year. No appointment to such temporary or seasonal position shall be made until the Controller has certified the availability of funds, and the request to fill for such service is approved by the Controller and the Department of Human Resources. Provided further that in order to prevent the stoppage of essential services, the Human Resources Director may authorize an emergency appointment pending approval or disapproval of the request to fill, if funds are available to pay the compensation of such emergency appointee. No such appointment shall continue beyond the period for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds. Provided that if the proposed employment is for inter-departmental service, the Controller shall approve as to conformity with the following inter-departmental procedure. Appointing officers shall not authorize or permit employees to
work in inter-departmental service unless the following provisions are complied with. The payment of compensation for the employment of persons in inter-departmental service shall be within the limit of the funds made available by certified inter-departmental work orders and such compensation shall be distributed to the inter-departmental work orders against which they constitute proper detailed charges.

Section 1.2B. Temporary Assignment, Different Department. When the needs and the best interests of the City require, appointing officers are authorized to arrange among themselves the assignment of personnel from one department to another department on a temporary basis. Such temporary assignments shall not be treated as transfers, and may be used to alleviate temporary seasonal peak-load situations, complete specific projects, provide temporary transitional work programs to return injured employees to work, or other circumstances in which employees from one department can be effectively used on a temporary basis in another department. All such temporary assignments between departments shall be reviewed and approved by the Department of Human Resources.

## Section 1.3. EXCEPTIONS TO NORMAL WORK SCHEDULES FOR WHICH NO EXTRA COMPENSATION IS AUTHORIZED.

Employees appointed to salaried classifications (i.e., designated -Z symbol) shall work such hours as may be necessary for the full and proper performance of their duties and shall receive no additional compensation for work on holidays or in excess of eight hours per day for five days per week, but may be granted compensatory time off under the provisions of any applicable Memorandum of Understanding or ordinance. Provided that, subject to the fiscal provisions of the Charter and the availability of funds, the Human Resources Director may suspend the provisions of this section to allow overtime payment. Approval of overtime payments shall be limited to extraordinary circumstances in which employees are required to work a significant number of hours in excess of their regular work schedules for a prolonged period of time, with a limited ability to use compensatory time off. Further, such payment shall be consistently applied to all personnel in a class.

SECTION 2. COMPENSATION PROVISIONS.
Section 2.1. PUC EMPLOYEES ASSIGNED TO HETCH HETCHY AND RECREATION AND PARK EMPLOYEES PERMANENTLY ASSIGNED TO CAMP MATHER.

The Public Utilities Commission and Recreation and Park Department will pay a stipend of $\$ 443.43$ per month to employees residing in designated zip code areas enrolled in the Health Services System with employee plus two or more dependents where HMOs are not available and such employees are limited to enrollment to the City Plan I. The Public Utilities Commission will pay a stipend of $\$ 129.10$ per month to employees residing in designated zip code areas enrolled in the Health Services System with employee plus one dependent where HMOs are not available and such employees are limited to enrollment to City Plan I. These rates may be adjusted by the Health Service System Board to reflect the increase in premiums effective January 1, 2022. The City reserves the right to either reimburse the affected employees or provide an equivalent amount directly to the Health Services System.

## Section 2.2. MOVING EXPENSES.

Where needed to recruit employees to fill Department Head, Deputy Director or Manager Level IV or higher (Manager V or higher for SFMTA) positions, an appointing authority may authorize the expenditure of pre-offer recruitment expenses, such as interview travel expenses, and reimbursement of post-offer expenses, such as moving, lodging/temporary housing and other relocation costs, not to exceed $\$ 23,181$. Reimbursement will be made for actual expenses documented by receipts. As an alternative, the Controller may authorize advance payment of approved expenses. Payments under this section are subject to approval by the Controller and the Human Resources Director (except for SFMTA, where the approval is the Controller and Director of Transportation). This amount shall be indexed to the growth rate in the Consumer Price Index - All Urban Consumers (CPI-U), as reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the San Francisco Metropolitan Statistical Area from February to February of the preceding fiscal year.

## Section 2.3. SUPPLEMENTATION OF MILITARY PAY.

A. In accordance with Charter Section A8.400(h) and in addition to the benefits provided pursuant to Section 395.01 and 395.02 of the California Military and Veterans Code and the Civil Service Rules, any City employee who is a member of the reserve corps of the United States Armed Forces, National Guard or other uniformed service organization of the United States and is called into active military service in response to the September 11th, 2001 terrorist attacks, international terrorism, conflict in Iraq or related extraordinary circumstances, or to provide medical or logistical support to federal, state, or local government responses to the COVID-19 pandemic, shall have the benefits provided for in subdivision (B).
B. Any employee to whom subdivision (A) applies, while on military leave, shall receive from the City the following supplement to their military pay and benefits:

1. The difference between the amount of the individual's gross military pay and the amount of gross pay the individual would have received as a City employee, had the employee worked the employee's regular work schedule (excluding overtime unless regularly scheduled as part of the employee's regular work schedule).
2. Retirement service credit consistent with Section A8.520 of the Charter.
3. All other benefits to which the employee would have been entitled had the employee not been called to eligible active military service, except as limited under state law or the Charter.
C. As set forth in Charter Section A8.400(h), this section shall be subject to the following limitations and conditions:
4. The employee must have been called into active service for a period greater than 30 consecutive days.
5. The purpose for such call to active service shall have been to respond to the September 11th, 2001 terrorist attacks, international terrorism, conflict in Iraq or related extraordinary circumstances, or to the COVID-19 pandemic, and shall not include
scheduled training, drills, unit training assemblies or similar events.
6. The amounts authorized under this section shall be offset by amounts required to be paid pursuant to any other law, so there are no double payments to the employee.
7. Any employee receiving compensation under this section shall execute an agreement providing that if the employee does not return to City service within 60 days of release from active duty (or if the employee is not fit for employment at that time, within 60 days of a determination that the employee is fit for employment), then the compensation described in Sections $(B)(1)$ through $(B)(3)$ shall be treated as a loan payable with interest at a rate equal to the greater of (i) the rate received for the concurrent period by the Treasurer's Pooled Cash Account or (ii) the minimum amount necessary to avoid imputed income under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended from time to time, and any successor statute. Interest shall begin to accrue 90 days after the employee's release from active service or return to fitness for employment. Such loan shall be payable in equal monthly installments over a period not to exceed 5 years, commencing 90 days after the individual's release from active service or return to fitness for employment.
8. This section shall not apply to any active duty served voluntarily after the time that the employee is called to active service.

Section 2.4 CITY EMPLOYEES SERVING ON CHARTER-MANDATED BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS, OR BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES CREATED BY INITIATIVE ORDINANCE.
A. City employees serving on Charter-mandated boards and commissions, or boards, commissions and committees created by initiative ordinance, shall not be compensated for the number of hours each pay period spent in service of these boards and commissions, based on a 40-hour per week compensation assumption.
B. City employees covered by this provision shall submit to the Controller each pay period a detailed description of the time spent in service, including attending meetings, preparing for meetings, meeting with interested stakeholders or industry, and writing or responding to correspondence. There is a rebuttable presumption that such employees spend 0.25 of their time in service of these duties. This information shall be made publicly available pursuant to the Sunshine Ordinance.
C. This provision shall not apply to City employees whose service is specified in the Charter or by initiative ordinance, nor shall it apply to City employees serving on interdepartmental or other working groups created by initiative of the Mayor or Board of Supervisors, nor shall it apply to City employees who serve on the Health Service Board, Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board, or Retirement Board.

## Section 2.5 STIPEND FOR PLANNING COMMISSIONERS FOR ATTENDANCE AT PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS.

Each commissioner serving on the Planning Commission may receive full stipend for that commissioner's attendance at each meeting of the Commission, as enumerated and included herein, if the commissioner is present at the beginning of the first action item on the agenda for
such meeting for which a vote is taken until the end of the public hearing on the last calendared item. A commissioner of the Planning Commission who attends a portion of a meeting of the Planning Commission, but does not qualify for full stipend, may receive one-quarter of the stipend available for the commissioner's attendance at each meeting of the commission, as enumerated and included herein.

## EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS.

The following symbols used in connection with the rates fixed herein have the significance and meaning indicated.
B. Biweekly.
C. Contract rate.
D. Daily.
E. Salary fixed by Charter.
F. Salary fixed by State law.
G. Salary adjusted pursuant to ratified Memorandum of Understanding.
H. Hourly.
I. Intermittent.
J. Rate set forth in budget.
K. Salary based on disability transfer.
L. Salary paid by City and County and balance paid by State.
M. Monthly.
O. No funds provided.
P. Premium rate.
Q. At rate set under Charter Section A8.405 according to prior service.
W. Weekly.
Y. Yearly.

Budgeted Position Counts (FTE) by Department and Job Code

Department: AAM Asian Art Museum

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 228855 AAM <br> Asian Art <br> Museum | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0963_C | Department Head III | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3518_C | Associate Museum Conservator, Asian Art Museum | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3524_C | Principal Museum Preparator | 2,866 | B | 3,483 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3525_C | Chief Preparator | 3,151 | B | 3,830 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3546_C | Curator IV | 3,971 | B | 4,826 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3558_C | Senior Museum Registrar | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3633_C | Librarian II- Asian Arts | 3,567 | B | 4,336 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7120_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance Superintendent | 5,875 | B | 5,875 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7345_C | Electrician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8226_C | Museum Guard | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 31.75 | 31.75 |
|  |  | 8228_C | Museum Security Supervisor | 2,677 | B | 3,253 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.35 | 1.30 |
| 228855 AAM | 11940 SR | 3302_C | Admission Attendant | 1,831 | B | 2,222 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Asian Art Museum | Museums <br> Admission | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Division Total: <br> AAM Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58.23 | 58.18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58.23 | 58.18 |

Department: ADM Gen Svcs Agency-City Admin

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 166644 ADM Community Invest-Infrastr | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | O035_C | Management Assistant II (OCII) | 3,070 | B | 3,731 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | O970_C | Accounting Supervisor (OCII) | 5,229 | B | 6,357 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| 228856 ADM <br> Administration | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.85 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 4.92 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0965_C | Department Head V | 10,034 | B | 12,806 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1204_C | Senior Personnel Clerk | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1218_C | Payroll Supervisor | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1220_C | Payroll and Personnel Clerk | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1224_C | Principal Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1226_C | Chief Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,364 | B | 4,088 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1232_C | Training Officer | 3,509 | B | 4,594 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1708_C | Senior Telephone Operator | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.61 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 4.92 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5177_C | Safety Officer | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6130_C | Safety Analyst | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6138_C | Industrial Hygienist | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6139_C | Senior Industrial Hygienist | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | O695_C | Accountant III (OCII) | 3,743 | B | 4,548 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.93 | 1.87 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89.23 | 89.87 |
| 274643 ADM Animal Care And Control | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0951_C | Deputy Director I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0962_C | Department Head II | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1424_C | Clerk Typist | 2,144 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1434_C | Shelter Service Representative | 2,148 | B | 2,741 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1435_C | Shelter Officer Supervisor | 2,475 | B | 3,009 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1437_C | Shelter Office Assistant Supervisor | 2,333 | B | 2,837 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2292_C | Shelter Veterinarian | 4,458 | B | 5,827 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3370_C | Animal Care Attendant | 2,148 | B | 2,741 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 3372_C | Animal Control Officer | 2,380 | B | 3,037 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 3374_C | Volunteer/Outreach Coordinator | 2,929 | B | 3,830 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 274643 ADM Animal Care And Control | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 3375_C | Animal Health Technician | 1,996 | B | 2,427 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3376_C | Animal Care Assistant Supervisor | 2,369 | B | 2,880 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3378_C | Field Services Assistant Supervisor | 2,427 | B | 2,951 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.42 | 1.37 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52.42 | 52.37 |
| 278641 ADM <br> Convention Facilities Mgmt | 11430 SR Conv Fac Fd-Operating | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0962_C | Department Head II | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 284641 ADM <br> Medical <br> Examiner | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0965_C | Department Head V | 10,034 | B | 12,806 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2403_C | Forensic Laboratory Analyst | 3,151 | B | 3,830 | 3.54 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2456_C | Forensic Toxicologist | 4,201 | B | 5,107 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2457_C | Forensic Toxicologist Supervisor | 5,363 | B | 6,517 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2458_C | Chief Forensic Toxicologist | 7,417 | B | 9,704 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2523_C | Forensic Autopsy Technician | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 5.00 | 5.50 |
|  |  | 2578_C | Medical Examiner's Investigator II | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 12.00 | 12.50 |
|  |  | 2579_C | Medical Examiner's Investigator III | 4,114 | B | 4,999 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2584_C | Chief Medical Examiner | 10,034 | B | 12,806 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2598_C | Assistant Medical Examiner | 9,193 | B | 12,937 | 4.50 | 5.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.58 | 1.53 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40.62 | 42.53 |
| 296644 ADM <br> Internal <br> Services | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 4.08 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 4.54 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1950_C | Assistant Purchaser | 2,617 | B | 3,184 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1952_C | Purchaser | 3,275 | B | 3,981 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 1956_C | Senior Purchaser | 3,981 | B | 4,842 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 1958_C | Supervising Purchaser | 4,842 | B | 6,330 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| 296644 ADM <br> Internal <br> Services | 10020 GF <br> Continuing <br> Authority Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1051_C | IS Business Analyst-Assistant | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1304_C | Customer Service Representative | 2,810 | B | 3,417 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1306_C | Customer Service Supervisor | 3,184 | B | 3,868 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 296644 ADM <br> Internal Services | 10060 GF Work Order | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2978_C | Contract Compliance Officer II | 4,948 | B | 6,015 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2992_C | Contract Compliance Officer I | 3,775 | B | 4,588 | 24.13 | 24.13 |



| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 296644 ADM <br> Internal <br> Services | 27500 ISCSF CENTRAL SHOPS FUND | 7309_C | Car And Auto Painter | 3,859 | B | 3,859 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7313_C | Automotive Machinist | 3,859 | B | 3,859 | 37.00 | 37.00 |
|  |  | 7315_C | Automotive Machinist Assistant Supervisor | 4,551 | B | 4,551 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 7322_C | Automotive Body And Fender Worker Asst Supervisor | 4,551 | B | 4,551 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7340_C | Maintenance Controller | 4,551 | B | 4,551 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7358_C | Pattern Maker | 3,463 | B | 4,209 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7381_C | Automotive Mechanic | 3,780 | B | 3,780 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 7410_C | Automotive Service Worker | 2,505 | B | 3,045 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.69 | 0.67 |
| 296644 ADM <br> Internal Services | 28310 ISOIS REPRODUCTION FUND | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1760_C | Offset Machine Operator | 2,387 | B | 2,900 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5322_C | Graphic Artist | 2,437 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.87 | 0.84 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 563.42 | 566.64 |
| 296645 ADM <br> City <br> Administrator <br> Prog | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1232_C | Training Officer | 3,509 | B | 4,594 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1324_C | Customer Service Agent | 2,810 | B | 3,417 | 86.15 | 89.54 |
|  |  | 1326_C | Customer Service Agent Supervisor | 3,184 | B | 3,868 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1410_C | Chief Clerk | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 5.50 | 5.50 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2978_C | Contract Compliance Officer II | 4,948 | B | 6,015 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2992_C | Contract Compliance Officer I | 3,775 | B | 4,588 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 6333_C | Senior Building Inspector | 4,735 | B | 5,754 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 8106_C | Legal Process Clerk | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 8108_C | Senior Legal Process Clerk | 2,458 | B | 2,987 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 13.59 | 14.00 |
| 296645 ADM <br> City <br> Administrator <br> Prog | 10010 GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6122_C | Senior Environmental Health Inspector | 4,402 | B | 5,351 | 0.50 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9920_C | Public Service Aide - Assistant To Professionals | 1,750 | B | 1,750 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 27.56 | 17.88 |



Department: ADP Adult Probation

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 228886 ADP | 10000 GF | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adult | Annual Account | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Probation | Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1032_C | IS Trainer-Journey | 3,342 | B | 4,062 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1041_C | IS Engineer-Assistant | 3,994 | B | 5,023 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1062_C | IS Programmer Analyst | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1410_C | Chief Clerk | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1424_C | Clerk Typist | 2,144 | B | 3,151 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1804_C | Statistician | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8434_C | Supervising Adult Probation Officer | 4,234 | B | 5,146 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 8434_S | Supervising Adult Probation Officer | 4,234 | B | 5,146 | 14.50 | 14.50 |
|  |  | 8435_C | Division Director, Adult Probation | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 8436_C | Chief Adult Probation Officer | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8438_C | Chief Deputy Adult Probation Officer | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8444_C | Deputy Probation Officer | 2,846 | B | 4,615 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 8444_S | Deputy Probation Officer | 2,846 | B | 4,615 | 58.62 | 58.62 |
|  |  | 8529_C | Probation Assistant | 2,300 | B | 2,795 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 8530_P | Deputy Probation Officer (SFERS) | 2,846 | B | 4,615 | 27.00 | 27.00 |
|  |  | 8534_P | Supervising Adult Probation Officer (SFERS) | 4,234 | B | 5,146 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.88 | 1.82 |
| 228886 ADP | 10010 GF | 8529_C | Probation Assistant | 2,300 | B | 2,795 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
| Adult Probation | Annual Authority Ctrl | 8530_P | Deputy Probation Officer (SFERS) | 2,846 | B | 4,615 | 1.54 | 2.00 |
| 228886 ADP <br> Adult <br> Probation | 11580 SR Community Health-Grants | 8530_P | Deputy Probation Officer (SFERS) | 2,846 | B | 4,615 | 1.38 | 0.00 |
| 228886 ADP | 13470 SR ADP | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adult | Special Rev | 8434_S | Supervising Adult Probation Officer | 4,234 | B | 5,146 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Probation | Fund | 8444_S | Deputy Probation Officer | 2,846 | B | 4,615 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 228886 ADP | 13550 SR | 8444_S | Deputy Probation Officer | 2,846 | B | 4,615 | 0.58 | 0.54 |
| Adult Probation | Public <br> Protection-Grant | 9920_C | Public Service Aide - Assistant To Professionals | 1,750 | B | 1,750 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 177.27 | 176.48 |
| ADP Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 177.27 | 176.48 |

Department: AIR Airport Commission

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109648 AIR <br> Financial Office | 17960 AIR Op Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 5.42 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1686_C | Auditor III | 4,432 | B | 5,799 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 4.23 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4310_C | Commercial Division Assistant Supervisor | 3,318 | B | 4,444 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9255_C | Airport Economic Planner | 4,772 | B | 5,799 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 6.10 | 6.17 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68.75 | 70.17 |
| $109662 \text { AIR }$ <br> Chief Operating Office | 17960 AIR <br> Op Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1231_C | EEO Programs Senior Specialist | 4,306 | B | 5,635 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1827_C | Administrative Services Manager | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 3522_C | Senior Museum Preparator | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 3524_C | Principal Museum Preparator | 2,866 | B | 3,483 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3541_C | Curator I | 2,452 | B | 2,980 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3542_C | Curator II | 2,992 | B | 3,637 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3544_C | Curator III | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 3546_C | Curator IV | 3,971 | B | 4,826 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 3554_C | Associate Museum Registrar | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3556_C | Museum Registrar | 2,623 | B | 3,190 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 3558_C | Senior Museum Registrar | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 4.48 | 4.33 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50.48 | 50.33 |
| 109666 AIR <br> Airport Director | 17960 AIR <br> Op Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0965_C | Department Head V | 10,034 | B | 12,806 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1444_C | Secretary I | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8152_C | Senior Claims Investigator, City Attorney's Office | 4,411 | B | 5,363 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.10 | 1.06 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.10 | 16.06 |
| 109672 AIR | 17960 AIR | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109672 AIR | 17960 AIR | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Facilities | Op Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1444_C | Secretary I | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1920_C | Inventory Clerk | 2,057 | B | 2,500 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1929_C | Parts Storekeeper | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1931_C | Senior Parts Storekeeper | 2,755 | B | 3,349 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1934_C | Storekeeper | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1942_C | Assistant Materials Coordinator | 3,792 | B | 4,610 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2486_C | Chemist | 3,229 | B | 4,545 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2487_C | Chemist III | 4,545 | B | 5,524 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2488_C | Supervising Chemist | 4,886 | B | 5,939 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2618_C | Food Service Supervisor | 2,415 | B | 2,934 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2706_C | Housekeeper/Food Service Cleaner | 1,893 | B | 2,300 | 58.00 | 58.00 |
|  |  | 2708_C | Custodian | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 421.00 | 421.00 |
|  |  | 2716_C | Custodial Assistant Supervisor | 2,369 | B | 2,880 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 2718_C | Custodial Supervisor | 2,611 | B | 3,175 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 2719_C | Janitorial Services Assistant Supervisor | 2,830 | B | 3,441 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 3422_C | Park Section Supervisor | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 3424_C | Integrated Pest Management Specialist | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5130_C | Sewage Treatment Plant Superintendent | 5,233 | B | 6,843 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5265_C | Architectural Associate I | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5303_C | Supervisor, Traffic And Street Signs | 3,703 | B | 4,498 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5638_C | Environmental Assistant | 2,762 | B | 3,357 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5640_C | Environmental Specialist | 3,357 | B | 4,080 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6115_C | Wastewater Control Inspector | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 6116_C | Supervising Wastewater Control Inspector | 4,432 | B | 5,387 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6138_C | Industrial Hygienist | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6235_C | Heating And Ventilating Inspector | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6242_C | Plumbing Inspector | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 6248_C | Electrical Inspector | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 6331_C | Building Inspector | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 6333_C | Senior Building Inspector | 4,735 | B | 5,754 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7108_C | Heavy Equipment Operations Assistant Supervisor | 3,971 | B | 4,826 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7205_C | Chief Stationary Engineer | 5,119 | B | 5,119 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7208_C | Heavy Equipment Operations Supervisor | 4,169 | B | 5,069 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7213_C | Plumber Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7215_C | General Laborer Supervisor I | 2,747 | B | 3,339 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7219_C | Maintenance Scheduler | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7220_C | Asphalt Finisher Supervisor I | 3,493 | B | 4,245 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7226_C | Carpenter Supervisor I | 4,071 | B | 4,948 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7236_C | Locksmith Supervisor I | 4,071 | B | 4,948 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7238_C | Electrician Supervisor I | 4,193 | B | 5,099 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7239_C | Plumber Supervisor II | 4,761 | B | 5,787 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7242_C | Painter Supervisor I | 3,451 | B | 4,420 | 3.00 | 3.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109672 AIR | 17960 AIR | 7247_C | Sheet Metal Worker Supervisor II | 4,693 | B | 5,705 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Facilities | Op Annual | 7252_C | Chief Stationary Engineer, Sewage Plant | 5,505 | B | 5,505 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7254_C | Automotive Machinist Supervisor I | 5,015 | B | 5,015 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7262_C | Maintenance Planner | 5,197 | B | 5,197 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7268_C | Window Cleaner Supervisor | 3,198 | B | 3,886 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7272_C | Carpenter Supervisor II | 4,488 | B | 5,455 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7278_C | Painter Supervisor II | 3,819 | B | 4,640 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7282_C | Street Repair Supervisor II | 3,860 | B | 4,693 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7287_C | Supervising Electronic Maintenance Technician | 4,761 | B | 5,787 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7306_C | Automotive Body And Fender Worker | 3,859 | B | 3,859 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7309_C | Car And Auto Painter | 3,859 | B | 3,859 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7311_C | Cement Mason | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7313_C | Automotive Machinist | 3,859 | B | 3,859 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 7315_C | Automotive Machinist Assistant Supervisor | 4,551 | B | 4,551 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7316_C | Water Service Inspector | 3,876 | B | 4,711 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7317_C | Senior Water Service Inspector | 4,488 | B | 5,455 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7318_C | Electronic Maintenance Technician | 4,114 | B | 4,999 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
|  |  | 7328_C | Operating Engineer, Universal | 3,596 | B | 4,369 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7329_C | Electronic Maintenance Technician Asst Supervisor | 4,444 | B | 5,403 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7333_C | Apprentice Stationary Engineer II | 2,624 | B | 3,834 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 47.00 | 47.00 |
|  |  | 7335_C | Senior Stationary Engineer | 4,573 | B | 4,573 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 7336_C | Electronic Instrumentation Tech Wtr Pollution Ctrl | 4,182 | B | 5,082 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7342_C | Locksmith | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7344_C | Carpenter | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 17.00 | 17.00 |
|  |  | 7345_C | Electrician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
|  |  | 7346_C | Painter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 37.00 | 37.00 |
|  |  | 7347_C | Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 22.54 | 23.00 |
|  |  | 7348_C | Steamfitter | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7349_C | Steamfitter Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 7360_C | Pipe Welder | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7372_C | Stationary Engineer, Sewage Plant | 4,342 | B | 4,342 | 17.00 | 17.00 |
|  |  | 7373_C | Senior Stationary Engineer, Sewage Plant | 4,915 | B | 4,915 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7376_C | Sheet Metal Worker | 3,860 | B | 4,693 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 7378_C | Tile Setter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7381_C | Automotive Mechanic | 3,780 | B | 3,780 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 7388_C | Utility Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7392_C | Window Cleaner | 2,907 | B | 3,533 | 18.54 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 7404_C | Asphalt Finisher | 2,568 | B | 3,120 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7410_C | Automotive Service Worker | 2,505 | B | 3,045 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7441_C | Tool Room Mechanic And Custodian | 1,987 | B | 2,415 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7457_C | Sign Worker | 2,579 | B | 3,135 | 5.77 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7502_C | Asphalt Worker | 2,480 | B | 3,017 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7510_C | Lighting Fixture Maintenance Worker | 2,149 | B | 2,611 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 29.00 | 29.00 |
|  |  | 9230_C | Airport Custodial Services Supervisor | 2,963 | B | 3,603 | 1.54 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9240_C | Airport Electrician | 4,168 | B | 5,067 | 17.00 | 17.00 |
|  |  | 9241_C | Airport Electrician Supervisor | 4,531 | B | 5,508 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9242_C | Head Airport Electrician | 4,759 | B | 5,784 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9345_C | Sheet Metal Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 3.20 | 3.08 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,047.36 | 1,049.08 |
| 109699 AIR <br>  <br> Security | 17960 AIR | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  | Op Annual | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
|  | Account Cirl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 5.00 | 5.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109699 AIR <br> Operations \& Security | 17960 AIR <br> Op Annual Account Ctrl | 0943_C | Manager VIII | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1444_C | Secretary I | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1706_C | Telephone Operator | 2,047 | B | 2,488 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1929_C | Parts Storekeeper | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5177_C | Safety Officer | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5289_C | Transportation Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5290_C | Transportation Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5322_C | Graphic Artist | 2,437 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6130_C | Safety Analyst | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6137_C | Assistant Industrial Hygienist | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6138_C | Industrial Hygienist | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6139_C | Senior Industrial Hygienist | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7272_C | Carpenter Supervisor II | 4,488 | B | 5,455 | 0.50 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7362_C | Communications Systems Technician | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7368_C | Senior Communications Systems Technician | 4,970 | B | 6,041 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8139_C | Industrial Injury Investigator | 2,934 | B | 3,567 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9144_C | Investigator, Taxi and Accessible Services | 3,543 | B | 4,306 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 9202_C | Airport Communications Dispatcher | 3,205 | B | 3,894 | 29.00 | 29.00 |
|  |  | 9203_C | Senior Airport Communications Dispatcher | 3,533 | B | 4,293 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 9204_C | Airport Communications Supervisor | 3,803 | B | 4,623 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9212_C | Airport Safety Officer | 3,385 | B | 4,114 | 19.33 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 9213_C | Airfield Safety Officer | 3,638 | B | 4,423 | 46.00 | 46.00 |
|  |  | 9220_C | Aviation Security Operations Supervisor | 3,971 | B | 4,826 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 9221_C | Airport Operations Supervisor | 4,368 | B | 5,309 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 9234_C | Airport Security ID Technician | 2,353 | B | 2,857 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 9236_C | Airport Ground Transportation Technician | 2,353 | B | 2,857 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 9247_C | Airport Emergency Planning Coordinator | 3,710 | B | 4,971 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 8.99 | 8.67 |
| Division Total: <br> 109711 AIR <br> Chief <br> Development Office |  |  |  |  |  |  | 257.82 | 258.67 |
|  | 17960 AIR Op Annual Account Ctrl | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5120_C | Architectural Administrator | 4,545 | B | 5,524 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 48.00 | 48.00 |
|  |  | 5209_C | Industrial Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,950 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5212_C | Engineer/Architect Principal | 7,070 | B | 9,242 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5216_C | Chief Surveyor | 4,817 | B | 6,308 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5241_C | Engineer | 5,262 | B | 6,398 | 28.00 | 28.00 |
|  |  | 5261_C | Architectural/Landscape Architectural Assistant II | 3,342 | B | 4,062 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 5265_C | Architectural Associate I | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5266_C | Architectural Associate II | 4,458 | B | 5,418 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 5268_C | Architect | 5,159 | B | 6,274 | 5.00 | 5.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $109711 \text { AIR }$ <br> Chief <br> Development Office | 17960 AIR Op Annual Account Ctrl | 5272_C | Landscape Architectural Associate II | 4,458 | B | 5,418 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5305_C | Materials Testing Technician | 2,830 | B | 3,441 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5310_C | Survey Assistant I | 2,902 | B | 3,527 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5312_C | Survey Assistant II | 3,261 | B | 3,964 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5314_C | Survey Associate | 3,757 | B | 4,567 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5362_C | Engineering Assistant | 2,857 | B | 3,473 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5364_C | Engineering Associate I | 3,167 | B | 3,851 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5366_C | Engineering Associate II | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 5506_C | Project Manager III | 8,325 | B | 8,966 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5508_C | Project Manager IV | 9,285 | B | 10,001 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5601_C | Utility Analyst | 2,636 | B | 4,091 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6318_C | Construction Inspector | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 6319_C | Senior Contruction Inspector | 4,265 | B | 5,185 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 6335_C | Disability Access Coordinator | 5,884 | B | 7,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9255_C | Airport Economic Planner | 4,772 | B | 5,799 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.42 | 2.32 |
| $109711 \text { AIR }$ <br> Chief <br> Development Office | 18000 AIR <br> Overhead OHF | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5174_C | Administrative Engineer | 5,659 | B | 6,878 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 5212_C | Engineer/Architect Principal | 7,070 | B | 9,242 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5272_C | Landscape Architectural Associate II | 4,458 | B | 5,418 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6318_C | Construction Inspector | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.46 | 2.38 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 226.88 | 226.70 |
| $109717 \text { AIR }$ <br> Planning Division | 17960 AIR <br> Op Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5264_C | Airport Noise Abatement Specialist | 3,120 | B | 3,792 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5271_C | Senior Airport Noise Abatement Specialist | 3,398 | B | 4,130 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5283_C | Planner V | 5,690 | B | 7,436 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5298_C | Planner III-Environmental Review | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5299_C | Planner IV-Environmental Review | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.00 | 16.00 |
| 109730 AIR <br> Fire Bureau | 17960 AIR Op Annual Account Ctrl | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 109732 AIR <br> Police <br> Bureau | 17960 AIR Op Annual Account Ctrl | 9255_C | Airport Economic Planner | 4,772 | B | 5,799 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $210702 \text { AIR }$ <br> Chief Information Office | 17960 AIR <br> Op Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1041_C | IS Engineer-Assistant | 3,994 | B | 5,023 | 3.42 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 17.00 | 17.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 12.42 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7308_C | Cable Splicer | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.76 | 1.70 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 121.60 | 119.70 |
| 210703 AIR Commercial Office | 17960 AIR Op Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5265_C | Architectural Associate I | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5268_C | Architect | 5,159 | B | 6,274 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9206_C | Airport Property Specialist I | 4,010 | B | 4,872 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 9255_C | Airport Economic Planner | 4,772 | B | 5,799 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.00 | 41.00 |
| 228937 AIR <br> Bureau Of <br>  <br> Policy | 17960 AIR Op Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1204_C | Senior Personnel Clerk | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1220_C | Payroll and Personnel Clerk | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1224_C | Principal Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1232_C | Training Officer | 3,509 | B | 4,594 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 1246_C | Principal Human Resources Analyst | 4,841 | B | 6,333 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1250_C | Recruiter | 4,062 | B | 4,936 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 228937 AIR <br> Bureau Of Admin \& Policy | 17960 AIR <br> Op Annual Account Ctrl | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5644_C | Principal Environmental Specialist | 4,458 | B | 5,835 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 0.23 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9910_C | Public Service Trainee | 0 | C | 0 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.98 | 2.89 |
| 228937 AIR <br> Bureau Of <br>  <br> Policy | 17970 AIR <br> Op Annual Authority Ctrl | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9704_C | Employment \& Training Specialist III | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9708_C | Employment \& Training Specialist VI | 4,597 | B | 5,587 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 3.10 | 2.99 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77.81 | 78.38 |
| 228993 AIR <br> External <br> Affairs | 17960 AIR <br> Op Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 4.23 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1760_C | Offset Machine Operator | 2,387 | B | 2,900 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1762_C | Senior Offset Machine Operator | 2,381 | B | 2,893 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1764_C | Mail And Reproduction Service Supervisor | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5320_C | Illustrator And Art Designer | 3,167 | B | 3,851 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5322_C | Graphic Artist | 2,437 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5330_C | Graphics Supervisor | 3,326 | B | 4,044 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9251_C | Public Relations Manager | 4,863 | B | 6,518 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9254_C | Airport Communications Officer | 3,769 | B | 5,050 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.26 | 0.24 |
| Division Total:AIR Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.49 | 34.24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,959.29 | 1,962.33 |

Department: ART Arts Commission

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { FTE }}{\substack{\text { 2022-2023 } \\ \hline}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163646 ART Public Art \& Collections | 10010 GF <br> Annual <br> Authority Ctrl | $\begin{aligned} & 1824 \_C \\ & 1840 \_C \\ & \text { 1842_C } \\ & \text { 1844_C } \end{aligned}$ | Principal Administrative Analyst Junior Management Assistant Management Assistant Senior Management Assistant Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  |  |  | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 163646 ART Public Art \& Collections | 10060 GF <br> Work Order | 1824_C |  | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.60 | 3.60 |
| 163647 ART | 11750 SR <br> Arts Com-Strt <br> Artist Prog | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1840_C } \\ & \text { 1842_C } \end{aligned}$ | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Street Artist Program |  |  | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 163648 ART <br> Municipal <br> Galleries | $10010 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual <br> Authority Ctrl | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3524_C | Principal Museum Preparator | 2,866 | B | 3,483 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| 163649 ART Civic Design | 11740 SR <br> Arts Com- <br> Public Arts | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.77 | 2.00 |
| 187644 ART <br> Community Investments 187644 ART Community Investments | 10060 GF Work Order | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | 11802 SR Culture \& Rec Hotel Tax | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.50 | 10.50 |
| 229000 ART <br> Administration | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 0951_C | Deputy Director I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.45 | 0.43 |
| 229000 ART <br> Administration | 10060 GF Work Order | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: <br> ART Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.35 | 20.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.72 | 41.93 |

Department: ASR Assessor / Recorder

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 196644 ASR <br> Transactions | $\begin{aligned} & 10000 \text { GF } \\ & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Account Ctrl } \end{aligned}$ | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 4215_C | Assessor-Recorder Senior Office Specialist | 2,715 | B | 3,463 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.09 | 16.08 |
| 196645 ASR | 10000 GF | 4215_C | Assessor-Recorder Senior Office Specialist | 2,715 | B | 3,463 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Exemptions | Annual Account Ctrl | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.09 | 5.08 |
| 196646 ASR <br> Public Service | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1752_C | Senior Microphoto/Imaging Technician | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4213_C | Assessor-Recorder Office Assistant | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 4214_C | Assessor-Recorder Office Specialist | 2,458 | B | 2,987 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4215_C | Assessor-Recorder Senior Office Specialist | 2,715 | B | 3,463 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.09 | 13.08 |
| 229011 ASR Real Property | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4213_C | Assessor-Recorder Office Assistant | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 4215_C | Assessor-Recorder Senior Office Specialist | 2,715 | B | 3,463 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4261_C | Real Property Appraiser | 3,308 | B | 4,021 | 31.00 | 31.00 |
|  |  | 4265_C | Senior Real Property Appraiser | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 4267_C | Principal Real Property Appraiser | 4,432 | B | 5,799 | 7.25 | 7.25 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| 229011 ASR <br> Real Property | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4222_C | Senior Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4224_C | Principal Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 4,432 | B | 5,799 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 229011 ASR <br> Real Property | $\begin{aligned} & 10060 \text { GF } \\ & \text { Work Order } \end{aligned}$ | 4261_C | Real Property Appraiser | 3,308 | B | 4,021 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 4265_C | Senior Real Property Appraiser | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 4267_C | Principal Real Property Appraiser | 4,432 | B | 5,799 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106.09 | 106.08 |
| 229012 ASR <br> Personal <br> Property | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4213_C | Assessor-Recorder Office Assistant | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 4215_C | Assessor-Recorder Senior Office Specialist | 2,715 | B | 3,463 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4216_C | Assessor-Recorder Operations Supervisor | 3,318 | B | 4,032 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4220_C | Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 3,308 | B | 4,021 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 4222_C | Senior Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 4224_C | Principal Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 4,432 | B | 5,799 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.09 | 28.08 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229014 ASR <br> Administration | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1071_C | IS Manager | 5,534 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4290_C | Assessor | 8,820 | B | 8,820 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.09 | 23.08 |
| 229015 ASR <br> Recorder | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 4214_C | Assessor-Recorder Office Specialist | 2,458 | B | 2,987 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4215_C | Assessor-Recorder Senior Office Specialist | 2,715 | B | 3,463 | 2.80 | 2.80 |
|  |  | 4310_C | Commercial Division Assistant Supervisor | 3,318 | B | 4,444 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| 229015 ASR <br> Recorder | 12610 SR <br> State Auth <br> Special Rev | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 4213_C | Assessor-Recorder Office Assistant | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4215_C | Assessor-Recorder Senior Office Specialist | 2,715 | B | 3,463 | 7.20 | 7.20 |
| Division Total: <br> ASR Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.09 | 17.08 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 208.63 | 208.56 |

Department: BOA Board Of Appeals - PAB

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | 2021-2022 <br> FTE | 2022-2023 <br> FTE |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 232076 BOA | 10000 GF | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Board Of | Annual | 8106_C | Legal Process Clerk | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Appeals - | Account Ctrl | 8173_C | Legal Assistant | 3,184 | B | 4,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PAB |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 1}$ |  |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 1}$ |  |
| BOA Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Department: BOS Board of Supervisors

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207666 BOS | 10000 GF | 1362_C | Special Assistant III | 2,175 | B | 2,644 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Youth <br> Commission | Annual Account Ctrl | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| 207667 BOS Sunshine Ord Task Force | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 1492_C | Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 229018 BOS Clerk Of The Board | 10000 GF <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0963_C | Department Head III | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1492_C | Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8118_C | Legislative Clerk | 3,120 | B | 3,792 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.26 | 0.25 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.26 | 24.25 |
| 229019 BOS <br> Assessment <br> Appeals <br> Board | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.27 | 0.26 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.27 | 4.26 |
| 229020 BOS Supervisors | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0720_C | Member, Board of Supervisors | 5,675 | B | 5,675 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 1835_C | Legislative Assistant | 3,944 | B | 4,795 | 44.00 | 44.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.47 | 0.46 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.47 | 55.46 |
| 232591 BOS <br> Local Agncy <br> Formation Comm | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: BOS Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88.77 | 88.97 |

Department: CAT City Attorney


Department: CFC Children \& Families Commsn


Department: CHF Children;Youth \& Families

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229218 CHF <br> Children;Youth \& Families | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0963_C | Department Head III | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 229218 CHF <br> Children;Youth <br> \& Families | 10020 GF <br> Continuing <br> Authority Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9770_C | Community Development Assistant | 2,510 | B | 3,052 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.15 | 0.14 |
| 229218 CHF Children;Youth \& Families | 11180 SR Child Youth\&Fam-Grants | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.36 | 0.35 |
| 229218 CHF <br> Children;Youth <br> \& Families | 11190 SR Children and Youth | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.20 | 1.20 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1224_C | Principal Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1402_C | Junior Clerk | 1,893 | B | 2,300 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1670_C | Financial Systems Supervisor | 5,034 | B | 6,586 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 7.87 | 8.10 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9770_C | Community Development Assistant | 2,510 | B | 3,052 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 10.50 | 10.50 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 4.24 | 4.26 |
|  |  | 9920_C | Public Service Aide - Assistant To Professionals | 1,750 | B | 1,750 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.49 | 1.43 |
| 229218 CHF <br> Children;Youth <br> \& Families | 13550 SR Public Protection-Grant | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 0.21 | 0.19 |
| 229218 CHF <br> Children;Youth <br> \& Families | 13720 SR Public Protection-Grant Sta | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.65 | 1.65 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.80 | 1.80 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Division Total:CHF Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70.77 | 70.92 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70.77 | 70.92 |

Department: CON Controller

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207672 CON <br> Budget \& Analysis | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.00 | 15.00 |
| 207673 CON | 10020 GF | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Economic Analysis | Continuing <br> Authority Ctrl | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 207674 CON <br> Public <br> Finance | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| 229222 CON <br> Administration | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1246_C | Principal Human Resources Analyst | 4,841 | B | 6,333 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1574_C | Executive Assistant To The Controller | 3,703 | B | 4,498 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1649_C | Accountant Intern | 2,691 | B | 2,825 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1682_C | Controller | 10,034 | B | 12,806 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1827_C | Administrative Services Manager | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.39 | 0.24 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35.16 | 35.24 |
| 229227 CON <br> Accounting | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 1670_C | Financial Systems Supervisor | 5,034 | B | 6,586 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 3.00 | 3.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229227 CON <br> Accounting | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.41 | 0.39 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72.41 | 72.39 |
| 229228 CON <br> Citywide Systems <br> 229228 CON <br> Citywide <br> Systems | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10060 \text { GF } \\ & \text { Work Order } \end{aligned}$ | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 25.00 | 26.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 23.00 | 23.00 |
|  |  | 1064_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Principal | 4,360 | B | 5,908 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.88 | 1.82 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.88 | 88.82 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 229231 \text { CON } \\ & \text { Payroll } \end{aligned}$ | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1218_C | Payroll Supervisor | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1220_C | Payroll and Personnel Clerk | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1224_C | Principal Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.00 | 21.00 |
| 275641 CON City Services Auditor | 10060 GF Work Order | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1684_C | Auditor II | 3,944 | B | 4,795 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 1686_C | Auditor III | 4,432 | B | 5,799 | 9.54 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 1803_C | Performance Analyst I | 2,790 | B | 3,391 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1805_C | Performance Analyst II | 3,944 | B | 4,795 | 17.54 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1830_C | Performance Analyst III - Project Manager | 4,863 | B | 6,362 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1867_C | Auditor I | 2,790 | B | 3,391 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5408_C | Coordinator of Citizen Involvement | 4,275 | B | 5,194 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.32 | 1.28 |
| Division Total: <br> CON Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79.17 | 80.28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 321.62 | 320.73 |

Department: CPC City Planning

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109733 CPC <br> Environmental Planning | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5275_C | Planner Technician | 2,437 | B | 2,963 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5277_C | Planner I | 2,803 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5298_C | Planner III-Environmental Review | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 5299_C | Planner IV-Environmental Review | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.42 | 0.40 |
| 109733 CPC | 10020 GF <br> Continuing <br> Authority Ctrl | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Environmental Planning |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: <br> 154644 CPC <br> Zoning Admin <br> \& Compliance |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44.42 | 44.40 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10000 \text { GF } \\ & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Account Ctrl } \end{aligned}$ | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5275_C | Planner Technician | 2,437 | B | 2,963 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5283_C | Planner V | 5,690 | B | 7,436 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 154644 CPC <br> Zoning Admin <br> \& Compliance | 10840 SR <br> Planning Code Enforcement | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5275_C | Planner Technician | 2,437 | B | 2,963 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5277_C | Planner I | 2,803 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.50 | 22.50 |
| 210706 CPC <br> Community Equity | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9251_C | Public Relations Manager | 4,863 | B | 6,518 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.50 | 14.50 |
| 210707 CPC <br> Executive <br> Office | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0964_C | Department Head IV | 8,079 | B | 10,310 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 5275_C | Planner Technician | 2,437 | B | 2,963 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5283_C | Planner V | 5,690 | B | 7,436 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.00 | 19.00 |
| 229234 CPC <br> Citywide <br> Planning | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 6.85 | 6.85 |
|  |  | 5283_C | Planner V | 5,690 | B | 7,436 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5289_C | Transportation Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 11.05 | 11.05 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 4.50 | 4.50 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229234 CPC | 10000 GF | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Citywide <br> Planning | Annual Account Ctrl | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.23 | 1.18 |
| 229234 CPC <br> Citywide <br> Planning | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 5275_C | Planner Technician | 2,437 | B | 2,963 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 229234 CPC <br> Citywide <br> Planning | 10670 SR <br> Eastern <br> Neighborhood <br> Cl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.20 | 1.20 |
| 229234 CPC <br> Citywide <br> Planning | 10820 SR <br>  <br> Octavia Cl | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| 229234 CPC <br> Citywide Planning 229234 CPC <br> Citywide Planning | 10860 SR <br> Rincon Hill and SOMA CI | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
|  | 10880 SR <br> Transit Center District | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| 229234 CPC <br> Citywide <br> Planning | 10900 SR <br> Visitacion <br> Valley Cl | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.08 | 37.03 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 229235 \text { CPC } \\ & \text { Current } \\ & \text { Planning } \end{aligned}$ | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5275_C | Planner Technician | 2,437 | B | 2,963 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5277_C | Planner I | 2,803 | B | 3,407 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 26.50 | 26.50 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.86 | 2.76 |
| 229235 CPC <br> Current Planning | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.04 | 1.97 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70.90 | 70.73 |
| 229236 CPC <br> Administration | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 1091_C | IT Operations Support Administrator I | 2,341 | B | 2,900 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1232_C | Training Officer | 3,509 | B | 4,594 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1246_C | Principal Human Resources Analyst | 4,841 | B | 6,333 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 4.25 | 4.25 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1827_C | Administrative Services Manager | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229236 CPC | 10000 GF | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.61 | 1.55 |
| Administration | Annual Account Ctrl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229236 CPC | 10020 GF <br> Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Administration |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 229236 CPC <br> Administration | 10840 SR <br> Planning Code Enforcement | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.76 | 37.70 |
| CPC Departmen | t Total |  |  |  |  |  | 246.16 | 245.86 |

Department: CSC Civil Service Commission

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 0 2 2}$ <br> FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 229261 CSC | 10022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

Department: CSS Child Support Services

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229264 CSS <br> Child Support <br> Services | 11300 SR Child | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  | Support-Operating | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0963_C | Department Head III | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1062_C | IS Programmer Analyst | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1220_C | Payroll and Personnel Clerk | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1310_C | Public Relations Assistant | 2,322 | B | 2,822 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1424_C | Clerk Typist | 2,144 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8157_C | Child Support Officer I | 2,568 | B | 3,120 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8158_C | Child Support Officer II | 2,980 | B | 3,623 | 43.00 | 43.00 |
|  |  | 8159_C | Child Support Officer III | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8182_C | Head Attorney, Civil And Criminal | 7,544 | B | 9,170 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: <br> CSS Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81.00 | 81.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81.00 | 81.00 |

Department: DAT District Attorney

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2022-2023 \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229313 DAT | 10000 GF | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| District | Annual Account | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Attorney | Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0943_C | Manager VIII | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1226_C | Chief Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,364 | B | 4,088 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1246_C | Principal Human Resources Analyst | 4,841 | B | 6,333 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1458_C | Legal Secretary I | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 1.78 | 1.78 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8129_C | Victim/Witness Investigator I | 2,742 | B | 3,334 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8131_C | Victim/Witness Investigator II | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 2.90 | 2.90 |
|  |  | 8133_C | Victim/Witness Investigator III | 3,586 | B | 4,361 | 11.06 | 11.06 |
|  |  | 8135_C | Assistant Chief Victim/Witness Investigator | 3,894 | B | 4,735 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8146_S | District Attorney's Investigator | 4,169 | B | 5,321 | 1.93 | 1.93 |
|  |  | 8147_C | Senior District Attorney's Investigator | 4,534 | B | 5,786 | 2.85 | 2.85 |
|  |  | 8149_S | Assistant Chief District Attorney's Investigator | 4,826 | B | 6,159 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8173_C | Legal Assistant | 3,184 | B | 4,166 | 32.31 | 32.31 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 104.30 | 104.30 |
|  |  | 8181_C | Assistant Chief Attorney I | 7,921 | B | 9,629 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 8182_C | Head Attorney, Civil And Criminal | 7,544 | B | 9,170 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 8183_C | Assistant Chief Attorney II | 8,318 | B | 10,109 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8198_C | District Attorney | 12,409 | B | 12,409 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8550_P | District Attorney's Investigator (SFERS) | 4,169 | B | 5,321 | 17.00 | 17.00 |
|  |  | 8552_P | Senior District Attorney's Investigator (SFERS) | 4,534 | B | 5,786 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8554_P | Asst Chief District Attorney Investigator (SFERS) | 4,826 | B | 6,159 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8556_P | Chief District Attorney Investigator (SFERS) | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8558_P | Pr Dist Attny Investigator, Special Unit (SFERS) | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 229313 DAT | 10010 GF | 8129_C | Victim/Witness Investigator I | 2,742 | B | 3,334 | 1.54 | 2.00 |
| District <br> Attorney | Annual Authority Ctrl | 8173_C | Legal Assistant | 3,184 | B | 4,166 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 8182_C | Head Attorney, Civil And Criminal | 7,544 | B | 9,170 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8550_P | District Attorney's Investigator (SFERS) | 4,169 | B | 5,321 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 8554_P | Asst Chief District Attorney Investigator (SFERS) | 4,826 | B | 6,159 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 229313 DAT | 10020 GF | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
|  |  | 1458_C | Legal Secretary I | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Attorney | Authority Ctrl | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8129_C | Victim/Witness Investigator I | 2,742 | B | 3,334 | 14.44 | 14.90 |
|  |  | 8131_C | Victim/Witness Investigator II | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 8132_C | District Attorney's Investigative Assistant | 2,795 | B | 3,842 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
|  |  | 8135_C | Assistant Chief Victim/Witness Investigator | 3,894 | B | 4,735 | 1.64 | 1.64 |
|  |  | 8146_S | District Attorney's Investigator | 4,169 | B | 5,321 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8147_S | Senior District Attorney's Investigator | 4,534 | B | 5,786 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8149_S | Assistant Chief District Attorney's Investigator | 4,826 | B | 6,159 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 4.72 | 4.72 |
|  |  | 8182_C | Head Attorney, Civil And Criminal | 7,544 | B | 9,170 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8550_P | District Attorney's Investigator (SFERS) | 4,169 | B | 5,321 | 3.00 | 3.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2022-2023 \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229313 DAT | 10020 GF | 8552_P | Senior District Attorney's Investigator (SFERS) | 4,534 | B | 5,786 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| District | Continuing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney | Authority Ctrl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229313 DAT <br> District <br> Attorney | 10060 GF Work Order | 8132_C | District Attorney's Investigative Assistant | 2,795 | B | 3,842 | 0.51 | 0.51 |
|  |  | 8133_C | Victim/Witness Investigator III | 3,586 | B | 4,361 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8135_C | Assistant Chief Victim/Witness Investigator | 3,894 | B | 4,735 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 2.35 | 2.35 |
|  |  | 8181_C | Assistant Chief Attorney I | 7,921 | B | 9,629 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 229313 DAT <br> District <br> Attorney | 13500 SR DaSpecial Revenue | 8133_C | Victim/Witness Investigator III | 3,586 | B | 4,361 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8550_P | District Attorney's Investigator (SFERS) | 4,169 | B | 5,321 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.35 | 0.34 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 229313 \text { DAT } \\ & \text { District } \\ & \text { Attorney } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13550 \text { SR } \\ & \text { Public } \\ & \text { Protection-Grant } \end{aligned}$ | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.60 | 1.60 |
|  |  | 8129_C | Victim/Witness Investigator I | 2,742 | B | 3,334 | 6.35 | 6.35 |
|  |  | 8131_C | Victim/Witness Investigator II | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8132_C | District Attorney's Investigative Assistant | 2,795 | B | 3,842 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 8133_C | Victim/Witness Investigator III | 3,586 | B | 4,361 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8135_C | Assistant Chief Victim/Witness Investigator | 3,894 | B | 4,735 | 0.64 | 0.64 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 229313 \text { DAT } \\ & \text { District } \\ & \text { Attorney } \end{aligned}$ | $13720 \text { SR }$ <br> Public Protection-Grant Sta | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1458_C | Legal Secretary I | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
|  |  | 8129_C | Victim/Witness Investigator I | 2,742 | B | 3,334 | 4.40 | 4.40 |
|  |  | 8131_C | Victim/Witness Investigator II | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 2.10 | 2.10 |
|  |  | 8132_C | District Attorney's Investigative Assistant | 2,795 | B | 3,842 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
|  |  | 8135_C | Assistant Chief Victim/Witness Investigator | 3,894 | B | 4,735 | 1.37 | 1.37 |
|  |  | 8146_C | District Attorney's Investigator | 4,169 | B | 5,321 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
|  |  | 8146_S | District Attorney's Investigator | 4,169 | B | 5,321 | 2.60 | 2.60 |
|  |  | 8147_C | Senior District Attorney's Investigator | 4,534 | B | 5,786 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
|  |  | 8147_S | Senior District Attorney's Investigator | 4,534 | B | 5,786 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 2.99 | 2.99 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.86 | 0.83 |
| 229313 DAT <br> District Attorney | $\begin{aligned} & 13730 \text { SR } \\ & \text { Public } \\ & \text { Protection-Grant } \\ & \text { Oth } \end{aligned}$ | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8133_C | Victim/Witness Investigator III | 3,586 | B | 4,361 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8135_C | Assistant Chief Victim/Witness Investigator | 3,894 | B | 4,735 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: DAT Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 335.32 | 336.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 335.32 | 336.43 |

Department: DBI Building Inspection

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109736 DBI Inspection Services | 10190 SR BIF Operating Project | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6130_C | Safety Analyst | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6242_C | Plumbing Inspector | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 6244_C | Chief Plumbing Inspector | 5,219 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6246_C | Senior Plumbing Inspector | 4,735 | B | 5,754 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 6248_C | Electrical Inspector | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 6249_C | Senior Electrical Inspector | 4,735 | B | 5,754 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 6250_C | Chief Electrical Inspector | 5,219 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6270_C | Housing Inspector | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 21.00 | 21.00 |
|  |  | 6272_C | Senior Housing Inspector | 4,735 | B | 5,754 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 6274_C | Chief Housing Inspector | 5,219 | B | 6,830 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6321_C | Permit Technician I | 2,138 | B | 2,601 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 6322_C | Permit Technician II | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 6323_C | Permit Technician III | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6331_C | Building Inspector | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 34.00 | 34.00 |
|  |  | 6333_C | Senior Building Inspector | 4,735 | B | 5,754 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 6334_C | Chief Building Inspector | 5,219 | B | 6,344 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 3.41 | 3.29 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146.41 | 146.29 |
| 229318 DBI <br> Adminlstration | 10190 SR BIF Operating Project | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0963_C | Department Head III | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1095_C | IT Operations Support Administrator V | 4,369 | B | 5,418 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1203_C | Personnel Technician | 2,784 | B | 3,385 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1220_C | Payroll and Personnel Clerk | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1555_C | Secretary, Building Inspection Commission | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4321_C | Cashier II | 2,295 | B | 2,790 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 6321_C | Permit Technician I | 2,138 | B | 2,601 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 6322_C | Permit Technician II | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 6323_C | Permit Technician III | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 6331_C | Building Inspector | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6334_C | Chief Building Inspector | 5,219 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.30 | 0.29 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code |  | Job Title | Low | Type | High | 2021-2022 <br> FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 229318 DBI | 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

Department: DEC Dept of Early Childhood

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 186644 HSA <br> Early Care \& Education | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 0.00 | 0.67 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| 186644 HSA <br> Early Care \& Education | 11140 SR PEEF Annual Contr-EarlyCare | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 0.00 | 0.33 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| $186644 \text { HSA }$ <br> Early Care \& Education | 11201 SR Comm Rnt GR Tx for OECE | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1246_C | Principal Human Resources Analyst | 4,841 | B | 6,333 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.00 | 7.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 47.00 |
| $229047 \text { CFC }$ <br> Children \& Families Commsn | 11000 SR CFC ContinuingAuthorityCtrl | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.00 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 0.00 | 4.50 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| 229047 CFC | Children\&FamiliesGrants Fed | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.00 | 0.50 |
| Children \& Families Commsn |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 0.00 | 1.50 |
| Division Total:DEC Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 17.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 64.00 |

Department: DEM Emergency Management

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229985 DEM | 10000 GF | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Administration | Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0964_C | Department Head IV | 8,079 | B | 10,310 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 4.77 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1204_C | Senior Personnel Clerk | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8600_C | Emergency Services Assistant | 2,437 | B | 2,963 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8603_C | Emergency Services Coord III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.48 | 0.46 |
| 229985 DEM <br> Administration | 10020 GF <br> Continuing <br> Authority Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 22.34 | 0.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61.59 | 39.46 |
| $229986 \text { DEM }$ <br> Emergency Communications | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8238_C | Public Safety Communications Dispatcher | 3,567 | B | 4,336 | 190.00 | 190.00 |
|  |  | 8239_C | Public Safety Communications Supervisor | 4,010 | B | 4,872 | 27.00 | 27.00 |
|  |  | 8240_C | Public Safety Communications Coordinator | 4,210 | B | 5,116 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.20 | 2.12 |
| 267659 DEM <br> Emergency Services |  |  |  |  |  |  | 231.20 | 231.12 |
|  | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2533_C | Emergency Medical Services Agency Specialist | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8601_C | Emergency Services Coordinator I | 2,803 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8602_C | Emergency Services Coord II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 8603_C | Emergency Services Coord III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 8604_C | Emergency Services Coord IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.89 | 0.87 |
| 267659 DEM <br> Emergency <br> Services | 10060 GF <br> Work Order | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8602_C | Emergency Services Coord II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8603_C | Emergency Services Coord III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 4.00 | 4.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 267659 DEM <br> Emergency <br> Services | $\begin{aligned} & 10060 \text { GF } \\ & \text { Work Order } \end{aligned}$ | 8604_C | Emergency Services Coord IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.66 | 30.87 |
| 285644 DEM <br> Homeland Security Grants | 13560 SR Homeland Security | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 8.00 | 8.70 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8601_C | Emergency Services Coordinator I | 2,803 | B | 3,407 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8602_C | Emergency Services Coord II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8603_C | Emergency Services Coord III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 8604_C | Emergency Services Coord IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: <br> DEM Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.50 | 28.20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 350.95 | 329.65 |

Department: DPA Police Accountabilty


Department: DPH Public Health


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207703 HBH <br> Behavioral Health | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 34.00 | 34.00 |
|  |  | 2736_C | Porter | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2738_C | Porter Assistant Supervisor | 2,369 | B | 2,880 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2802_C | Epidemiologist I | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2830_C | Public Health Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2903_C | Hospital Eligibility Worker | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2908_C | Senior Hospital Eligibility Worker | 2,934 | B | 3,567 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2910_C | Social Worker | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2920_C | Medical Social Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 125.08 | 125.43 |
|  |  | 2931_C | Marriage, Family And Child Counselor | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 24.31 | 24.31 |
|  |  | 2932_C | Senior Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 46.43 | 46.43 |
|  |  | 2935_C | Senior Marriage, Family \& Child Counselor | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9924_C | Public Service Aide - Health Services | 1,773 | B | 1,773 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.64 | 2.56 |
|  |  | TEMPN_E | Temporary - Nurses | 0 | B | 0 | 0.35 | 0.34 |
| 207703 HBH <br> Behavioral Health | $10020 \text { GF }$ <br> Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 207703 HBH <br> Behavioral Health | 10060 GF Work Order | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
|  |  | 2242_C | Senior Psychiatric Physician Specialist | 9,537 | B | 13,496 | 1.64 | 1.64 |
|  |  | 2574_C | Clinical Psychologist | 4,114 | B | 4,999 | 1.42 | 1.42 |
|  |  | 2576_C | Supervising Clinical Psychologist | 4,588 | B | 5,576 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
|  |  | 2586_C | Health Worker II | 2,341 | B | 2,844 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2588_C | Health Worker IV | 2,992 | B | 3,637 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2830_C | Public Health Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 5.50 | 5.50 |
|  |  | 2931_C | Marriage, Family And Child Counselor | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 2932_C | Senior Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.10 | 2.02 |
| 207703 HBH <br> Behavioral Health | 10582 SR OCOH <br> Nov18 <br> PropCHomelessSvc | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2119_C | Health Care Analyst | 3,391 | B | 4,122 | 5.77 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 4.62 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2322_C | Nurse Manager | 6,106 | B | 8,890 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2328_C | Nurse Practitioner | 6,513 | B | 9,220 | 3.08 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2409_C | Pharmacy Technician | 3,151 | B | 3,830 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2450_C | Pharmacist | 5,468 | B | 6,978 | 6.50 | 6.50 |
|  |  | 2454_C | Clinical Pharmacist | 6,029 | B | 7,694 | 3.30 | 3.30 |
|  |  | 2587_C | Health Worker III | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 28.54 | 32.00 |
|  |  | 2588_C | Health Worker IV | 2,992 | B | 3,637 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2589_C | Health Program Coordinator I | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2591_C | Health Program Coordinator II | 3,457 | B | 4,201 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2803_C | Epidemiologist II | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2820_C | Senior Health Program Planner | 4,032 | B | 4,900 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2903_C | Hospital Eligibility Worker | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2908_C | Senior Hospital Eligibility Worker | 2,934 | B | 3,567 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 18.15 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 2932_C | Senior Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 7.31 | 8.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 8.01 | 8.23 |
| Behavioral Health | 11580 SR <br> Community HealthGrants | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 3.85 | 3.85 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 0.50 | 0.50 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-2023 } \\ & \text { FTE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207703 HBH <br> Behavioral Health | 11580 SR <br> Community HealthGrants | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2232_C | Senior Physician Specialist | 7,898 | B | 10,917 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 1.59 | 1.59 |
|  |  | 2803_C | Epidemiologist II | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
|  |  | 2822_C | Health Educator | 3,576 | B | 4,350 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2910_C | Social Worker | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 2.93 | 2.93 |
|  |  | 2931_C | Marriage, Family And Child Counselor | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
|  |  | 2932_C | Senior Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.19 | 0.18 |
| 207703 HBH <br> Behavioral Health | 11630 SR Public Health | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1051_C | IS Business Analyst-Assistant | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1636_C | Health Care Billing Clerk II | 2,715 | B | 3,299 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2119_C | Health Care Analyst | 3,391 | B | 4,122 | 1.74 | 1.74 |
|  |  | 2230_C | Physician Specialist | 7,164 | B | 10,143 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2242_C | Senior Psychiatric Physician Specialist | 9,537 | B | 13,496 | 3.45 | 3.45 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2322_C | Nurse Manager | 6,106 | B | 8,890 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2328_C | Nurse Practitioner | 6,513 | B | 9,220 | 3.30 | 3.30 |
|  |  | 2409_C | Pharmacy Technician | 3,151 | B | 3,830 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2430_C | Medical Evaluations Assistant | 2,392 | B | 2,907 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2454_C | Clinical Pharmacist | 6,029 | B | 7,694 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2566_C | Rehabilitation Counselor | 2,957 | B | 3,596 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2574_C | Clinical Psychologist | 4,114 | B | 4,999 | 2.58 | 2.58 |
|  |  | 2575_C | Research Psychologist | 4,420 | B | 5,371 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
|  |  | 2585_C | Health Worker I | 2,092 | B | 2,541 | 6.46 | 6.46 |
|  |  | 2586_C | Health Worker II | 2,341 | B | 2,844 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 2587_C | Health Worker III | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 7.50 | 7.50 |
|  |  | 2588_C | Health Worker IV | 2,992 | B | 3,637 | 3.48 | 3.48 |
|  |  | 2589_C | Health Program Coordinator I | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2591_C | Health Program Coordinator II | 3,457 | B | 4,201 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 11.41 | 11.41 |
|  |  | 2802_C | Epidemiologist I | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2803_C | Epidemiologist II | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 4.08 | 4.08 |
|  |  | 2819_C | Assistant Health Educator | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 1.80 | 1.80 |
|  |  | 2830_C | Public Health Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2903_C | Hospital Eligibility Worker | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 13.01 | 13.01 |
|  |  | 2931_C | Marriage, Family And Child Counselor | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2932_C | Senior Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 9924_C | Public Service Aide - Health Services | 1,773 | B | 1,773 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.27 | 1.22 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 890.73 | 901.79 |
| 207705 HNS <br> Health <br> Network <br> Services | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.74 | 2.74 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |



| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207705 HNS <br> Health <br> Network <br> Services | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 2910_C | Social Worker | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 2.40 | 2.40 |
|  |  | 2920_C | Medical Social Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2922_C | Senior Medical Social Worker | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2924_C | Medical Social Work Supervisor | 3,971 | B | 4,826 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2931_C | Marriage, Family And Child Counselor | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 4321_C | Cashier II | 2,295 | B | 2,790 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9924_C | Public Service Aide - Health Services | 1,773 | B | 1,773 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
|  |  | 9978_C | Technology Expert II | 0 | B | 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 5.10 | 4.93 |
|  |  | TEMPN_E | Temporary - Nurses | 0 | B | 0 | 0.68 | 0.63 |
| 207705 HNS <br> Health <br> Network <br> Services | 10010 GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1031_C | IS Trainer-Assistant | 2,749 | B | 3,342 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1032_C | IS Trainer-Journey | 3,342 | B | 4,062 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2114_C | Medical Records Technician Supervisor | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 2323_C | Clinical Nurse Specialist | 6,516 | B | 9,222 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2450_C | Pharmacist | 5,468 | B | 6,978 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2454_C | Clinical Pharmacist | 6,029 | B | 7,694 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2909_C | Hospital Eligibility Worker Supervisor | 3,586 | B | 4,361 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9976_C | Technology Expert I | 0 | B | 0 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 9978_C | Technology Expert II | 0 | B | 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 207705 HNS <br> Health <br> Network <br> Services | 10020 GF <br> Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1010_C | Information Systems Trainee | 2,426 | B | 3,793 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9978_C | Technology Expert II | 0 | B | 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.81 | 0.79 |
|  |  | TEMPN_E | Temporary - Nurses | 0 | B | 0 | 0.91 | 0.88 |
| 207705 HNS <br> Health <br> Network <br> Services | 10060 GF Work Order |  | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2204_C | Dental Hygienist | 3,676 | B | 4,468 | 0.87 | 0.87 |
|  |  | 2230_C | Physician Specialist | 7,164 | B | 10,143 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 2232_C | Senior Physician Specialist | 7,898 | B | 10,917 | 1.40 | 1.40 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 25.17 | 25.17 |
|  |  | 2322_C | Nurse Manager | 6,106 | B | 8,890 | 2.57 | 2.57 |
|  |  | 2328_C | Nurse Practitioner | 6,513 | B | 9,220 | 2.80 | 2.80 |
|  |  | 2538_C | Audiometrist | 3,775 | B | 4,817 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 2586_C | Health Worker II | 2,341 | B | 2,844 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 2587_C | Health Worker III | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2589_C | Health Program Coordinator I | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2591_C | Health Program Coordinator II | 3,457 | B | 4,201 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2830_C | Public Health Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 12.52 | 12.52 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.09 | 1.05 |
|  |  | TEMPN_E | Temporary - Nurses | 0 | B | 0 | 1.56 | 1.48 |
| 207705 HNS <br> Health <br> Network <br> Services | $\begin{aligned} & 10582 \text { SR OCOH } \\ & \text { Nov18 } \\ & \text { PropCHomelessSvc } \end{aligned}$ | 2230_C | Physician Specialist | 7,164 | B | 10,143 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 10.10 | 10.10 |
|  |  | 2322_C | Nurse Manager | 6,106 | B | 8,890 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2328_C | Nurse Practitioner | 6,513 | B | 9,220 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 2586_C | Health Worker II | 2,341 | B | 2,844 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2587_C | Health Worker III | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207705 HNS <br> Health <br> Network <br> Services | 10582 SR OCOH <br> Nov18 <br> PropCHomelessSvc | 2932_C | Senior Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 207705 HNS <br> Health <br> Network <br> Services | $11580 \text { SR }$ <br> Community HealthGrants | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 4.07 | 4.07 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
|  |  | 2119_C | Health Care Analyst | 3,391 | B | 4,122 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 2204_C | Dental Hygienist | 3,676 | B | 4,468 | 1.06 | 1.06 |
|  |  | 2230_C | Physician Specialist | 7,164 | B | 10,143 | 0.38 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 2232_C | Senior Physician Specialist | 7,898 | B | 10,917 | 1.42 | 1.42 |
|  |  | 2322_C | Nurse Manager | 6,106 | B | 8,890 | 3.67 | 3.67 |
|  |  | 2324_C | Nursing Supervisor | 6,729 | B | 9,798 | 0.59 | 0.59 |
|  |  | 2538_C | Audiometrist | 3,775 | B | 4,817 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 2585_C | Health Worker I | 2,092 | B | 2,541 | 12.50 | 12.50 |
|  |  | 2586_C | Health Worker II | 2,341 | B | 2,844 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 2587_C | Health Worker III | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 5.98 | 6.21 |
|  |  | 2591_C | Health Program Coordinator II | 3,457 | B | 4,201 | 1.40 | 1.40 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 5.65 | 5.88 |
|  |  | 2803_C | Epidemiologist II | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
|  |  | 2819_C | Assistant Health Educator | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2830_C | Public Health Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 23.17 | 23.17 |
|  |  | 2846_C | Nutritionist | 3,576 | B | 4,350 | 11.98 | 11.98 |
|  |  | 2910_C | Social Worker | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 1.60 | 1.60 |
|  |  | 2920_C | Medical Social Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 7.20 | 6.96 |
|  |  | TEMPN_E | Temporary - Nurses | 0 | B | 0 | 3.42 | 3.30 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 704.25 | 705.48 |
| 240642 HPC <br> Primary Care | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.60 | 1.60 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1402_C | Junior Clerk | 1,893 | B | 2,300 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 4.20 | 4.20 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1934_C | Storekeeper | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1950_C | Assistant Purchaser | 2,617 | B | 3,184 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2119_C | Health Care Analyst | 3,391 | B | 4,122 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2202_C | Dental Aide | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
|  |  | 2204_C | Dental Hygienist | 3,676 | B | 4,468 | 2.25 | 2.25 |
|  |  | 2210_C | Dentist | 5,827 | B | 7,623 | 7.61 | 7.61 |
|  |  | 2230_C | Physician Specialist | 7,164 | B | 10,143 | 36.85 | 36.85 |
|  |  | 2232_C | Senior Physician Specialist | 7,898 | B | 10,917 | 4.78 | 4.78 |
|  |  | 2233_C | Supervising Physician Specialist | 8,501 | B | 11,741 | 10.91 | 10.91 |
|  |  | 2242_C | Senior Psychiatric Physician Specialist | 9,537 | B | 13,496 | 1.40 | 1.40 |
|  |  | 2312_C | Licensed Vocational Nurse | 2,880 | B | 3,501 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 44.57 | 44.57 |
|  |  | 2322_C | Nurse Manager | 6,106 | B | 8,890 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 2324_C | Nursing Supervisor | 6,729 | B | 9,798 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2328_C | Nurse Practitioner | 6,513 | B | 9,220 | 18.24 | 18.24 |
|  |  | 2409_C | Pharmacy Technician | 3,151 | B | 3,830 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 240642 HPC <br> Primary Care | 10000 GF Annual | 2430_C | Medical Evaluations Assistant | 2,392 | B | 2,907 | 71.58 | 71.58 |
|  | Account Ctrl | 2453_C | Supervising Pharmacist | 6,679 | B | 8,116 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2454_C | Clinical Pharmacist | 6,029 | B | 7,694 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2574_C | Clinical Psychologist | 4,114 | B | 4,999 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2576_C | Supervising Clinical Psychologist | 4,588 | B | 5,576 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2585_C | Health Worker I | 2,092 | B | 2,541 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2586_C | Health Worker II | 2,341 | B | 2,844 | 24.60 | 24.60 |
|  |  | 2587_C | Health Worker III | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 6.79 | 6.79 |
|  |  | 2588_C | Health Worker IV | 2,992 | B | 3,637 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2591_C | Health Program Coordinator II | 3,457 | B | 4,201 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 2803_C | Epidemiologist II | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
|  |  | 2822_C | Health Educator | 3,576 | B | 4,350 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2830_C | Public Health Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 2846_C | Nutritionist | 3,576 | B | 4,350 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
|  |  | 2903_C | Hospital Eligibility Worker | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 57.50 | 57.50 |
|  |  | 2908_C | Senior Hospital Eligibility Worker | 2,934 | B | 3,567 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 2909_C | Hospital Eligibility Worker Supervisor | 3,586 | B | 4,361 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 2920_C | Medical Social Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 5.60 | 5.60 |
|  |  | 2922_C | Senior Medical Social Worker | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 15.50 | 15.50 |
|  |  | 2931_C | Marriage, Family And Child Counselor | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2932_C | Senior Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 5.01 | 4.85 |
|  |  | TEMPN_E | Temporary - Nurses | 0 | B | 0 | 4.36 | 4.21 |
| 240642 HPC <br> Primary Care | 10010 GF Annual | 2204_C | Dental Hygienist | 3,676 | B | 4,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  | Authority Ctrl | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| 240642 HPC <br> Primary Care | 10020 GF | 2585_C | Health Worker I | 2,092 | B | 2,541 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  | Continuing Authority | 2589_C | Health Program Coordinator I | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  | Ctrl | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 9.09 | 8.78 |
| 240642 HPC <br> Primary Care | 10060 GF Work | 2230_C | Physician Specialist | 7,164 | B | 10,143 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  | Order | 2232_C | Senior Physician Specialist | 7,898 | B | 10,917 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
|  |  | 2242_C | Senior Psychiatric Physician Specialist | 9,537 | B | 13,496 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
|  |  | 2328_C | Nurse Practitioner | 6,513 | B | 9,220 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
|  |  | TEMPN_E | Temporary - Nurses | 0 | B | 0 | 0.54 | 0.41 |
| 240642 HPC <br> Primary Care | 11580 SR | 2210_C | Dentist | 5,827 | B | 7,623 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
|  | Community Health- | 2230_C | Physician Specialist | 7,164 | B | 10,143 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
|  | Grants | 2328_C | Nurse Practitioner | 6,513 | B | 9,220 | 1.80 | 1.80 |
|  |  | 2586_C | Health Worker II | 2,341 | B | 2,844 | 1.40 | 1.40 |
|  |  | 2587_C | Health Worker III | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
|  |  | 2830_C | Public Health Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
|  |  | 2920_C | Medical Social Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 2.60 | 2.60 |
|  |  | 2922_C | Senior Medical Social Worker | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.03 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPN_E | Temporary - Nurses | 0 | B | 0 | 0.69 | 0.66 |
| $240642 \text { HPC }$ <br> Primary Care | 21080 SFGH-Op | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  | Annual Account Ctrl | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1410_C | Chief Clerk | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2312_C | Licensed Vocational Nurse | 2,880 | B | 3,501 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 26.25 | 26.25 |
|  |  | 2322_C | Nurse Manager | 6,106 | B | 8,890 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2328_C | Nurse Practitioner | 6,513 | B | 9,220 | 22.28 | 22.28 |
|  |  | 2430_C | Medical Evaluations Assistant | 2,392 | B | 2,907 | 53.93 | 53.93 |
|  |  | 2454_C | Clinical Pharmacist | 6,029 | B | 7,694 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2542_C | Speech Pathologist | 4,062 | B | 5,444 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 2586_C | Health Worker II | 2,341 | B | 2,844 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 2587_C | Health Worker III | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}\hline \text { Division } & \text { Fund } & \text { Job Code } & & \text { Low } & \text { Type } & \text { High } & \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } & \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE }\end{array}\right]$


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $240649 \text { HLH }$ <br> Laguna Honda Hospital | $\begin{aligned} & 21490 \text { LHH-Op } \\ & \text { Annual Account Ctrl } \end{aligned}$ | 2650_C | Assistant Cook | 2,006 | B | 2,437 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2654_C | Cook | 2,500 | B | 3,037 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 2656_C | Chef | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2736_C | Porter | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 100.50 | 100.50 |
|  |  | 2738_C | Porter Assistant Supervisor | 2,369 | B | 2,880 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2740_C | Porter Supervisor I | 2,611 | B | 3,175 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2785_C | Assistant General Services Manager | 2,866 | B | 3,839 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2903_C | Hospital Eligibility Worker | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2908_C | Senior Hospital Eligibility Worker | 2,934 | B | 3,567 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2909_C | Hospital Eligibility Worker Supervisor | 3,586 | B | 4,361 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2920_C | Medical Social Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 17.00 | 17.00 |
|  |  | 2922_C | Senior Medical Social Worker | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 3370_C | Animal Care Attendant | 2,148 | B | 2,741 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3422_C | Park Section Supervisor | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4321_C | Cashier II | 2,295 | B | 2,790 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6138_C | Industrial Hygienist | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6139_C | Senior Industrial Hygienist | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7120_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance Superintendent | 5,875 | B | 5,875 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7203_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance Supervisor | 5,020 | B | 5,020 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7205_C | Chief Stationary Engineer | 5,119 | B | 5,119 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7324_C | Beautician | 2,526 | B | 3,069 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
|  |  | 7335_C | Senior Stationary Engineer | 4,573 | B | 4,573 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7342_C | Locksmith | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7344_C | Carpenter | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7345_C | Electrician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7346_C | Painter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7347_C | Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7524_C | Institution Utility Worker | 2,057 | B | 2,500 | 11.50 | 11.50 |
|  |  | P103_C | Special Nurse | 6,571 | B | 8,633 | 9.27 | 9.27 |
|  |  | P103_E | Special Nurse | 6,571 | B | 8,633 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 11.86 | 10.47 |
|  |  | TEMPN_E | Temporary - Nurses | 0 | B | 0 | 3.89 | 3.76 |
| 240649 HLH | 21510 LHH- <br> Continuing Authority Ctrl | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 6.00 | 0.00 |
| Laguna Honda Hospital |  | TEMPN_E | Temporary - Nurses | 0 | B | 0 | 1.20 | 0.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,464.25 | 1,456.58 |
| 240661 HPH <br> Population Health Division | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 8.20 | 8.20 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0943_C | Manager VIII | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 1091_C | IT Operations Support Administrator I | 2,341 | B | 2,900 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
|  |  | 1165_C | Manager, Department of Public Health | 8,446 | B | 10,779 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 13.75 | 13.75 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 3.10 | 3.10 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.80 | 0.80 |



| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 240661 HPH <br> Population Health Division | 10060 GF Work Order | 2589_C | Health Program Coordinator I | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2802_C | Epidemiologist I | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | 2803_C | Epidemiologist II | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2818_C | Health Program Planner | 3,483 | B | 4,234 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2822_C | Health Educator | 3,576 | B | 4,350 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6122_C | Senior Environmental Health Inspector | 4,402 | B | 5,351 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 6124_C | Principal Environmental Health Inspector | 4,735 | B | 5,754 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.53 | 0.52 |
| 240661 HPH <br> Population Health Division | 11580 SR <br> Community HealthGrants | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.27 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0943_C | Manager VIII | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.54 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.54 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1091_C | IT Operations Support Administrator I | 2,341 | B | 2,900 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 2119_C | Health Care Analyst | 3,391 | B | 4,122 | 1.02 | 1.25 |
|  |  | 2230_C | Physician Specialist | 7,164 | B | 10,143 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | 2232_C | Senior Physician Specialist | 7,898 | B | 10,917 | 3.34 | 3.34 |
|  |  | 2233_C | Supervising Physician Specialist | 8,501 | B | 11,741 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2322_C | Nurse Manager | 6,106 | B | 8,890 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
|  |  | 2328_C | Nurse Practitioner | 6,513 | B | 9,220 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2416_C | Laboratory Technician II | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 2463_C | Microbiologist I/II | 3,229 | B | 5,524 | 5.93 | 6.85 |
|  |  | 2585_C | Health Worker I | 2,092 | B | 2,541 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2586_C | Health Worker II | 2,341 | B | 2,844 | 5.10 | 5.10 |
|  |  | 2587_C | Health Worker III | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 12.31 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 2588_C | Health Worker IV | 2,992 | B | 3,637 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 2589_C | Health Program Coordinator I | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 6.81 | 6.81 |
|  |  | 2591_C | Health Program Coordinator II | 3,457 | B | 4,201 | 7.09 | 7.32 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 5.62 | 5.85 |
|  |  | 2802_C | Epidemiologist I | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 4.60 | 4.60 |
|  |  | 2803_C | Epidemiologist II | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 7.65 | 8.34 |
|  |  | 2806_C | Disease Control Investigator | 2,795 | B | 3,398 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | 2819_C | Assistant Health Educator | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
|  |  | 2822_C | Health Educator | 3,576 | B | 4,350 | 1.95 | 1.95 |
|  |  | 2825_C | Senior Health Educator | 3,851 | B | 4,680 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
|  |  | 2830_C | Public Health Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 2903_C | Hospital Eligibility Worker | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 6108_C | Environmental Health Technician I | 2,768 | B | 3,364 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
|  |  | 6122_C | Senior Environmental Health Inspector | 4,402 | B | 5,351 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 103.02 | 80.01 |
|  |  | TEMPN_E | Temporary - Nurses | 0 | B | 0 | 2.40 | 1.40 |
| 240661 HPH <br> Population Health Division | 11630 SR Public Health | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
|  |  | 2119_C | Health Care Analyst | 3,391 | B | 4,122 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | 2589_C | Health Program Coordinator I | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 240661 HPH <br> Population Health Division | 11630 SR Public Health | 2591_C | Health Program Coordinator II | 3,457 | B | 4,201 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 2822_C | Health Educator | 3,576 | B | 4,350 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 2825_C | Senior Health Educator | 3,851 | B | 4,680 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | 6108_C | Environmental Health Technician I | 2,768 | B | 3,364 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 6122_C | Senior Environmental Health Inspector | 4,402 | B | 5,351 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 6124_C | Principal Environmental Health Inspector | 4,735 | B | 5,754 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.58 | 1.53 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 624.81 | 544.99 |
| 242641 HGH <br> Zuckerberg SF General | 21080 SFGH-Op <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0943_C | Manager VIII | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1165_C | Manager, Department of Public Health | 8,446 | B | 10,779 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1166_C | Administrator, Department of Public Health | 9,372 | B | 11,962 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 32.80 | 32.80 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1410_C | Chief Clerk | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1428_C | Unit Clerk | 2,500 | B | 3,037 | 49.30 | 49.30 |
|  |  | 1429_C | Nurses Staffing Assistant | 2,300 | B | 2,795 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1635_C | Health Care Billing Clerk I | 2,415 | B | 2,934 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1636_C | Health Care Billing Clerk II | 2,715 | B | 3,299 | 23.00 | 23.00 |
|  |  | 1637_C | Patient Accounts Clerk | 2,817 | B | 3,424 | 24.00 | 24.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1662_C | Patient Accounts Assistant Supervisor | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1663_C | Patient Accounts Supervisor | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1664_C | Patient Accounts Manager | 3,819 | B | 4,640 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1708_C | Senior Telephone Operator | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 1710_C | Chief Telephone Operator | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1922_C | Senior Inventory Clerk | 2,117 | B | 2,574 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1932_C | Assistant Storekeeper | 2,057 | B | 2,500 | 17.00 | 17.00 |
|  |  | 1934_C | Storekeeper | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1936_C | Senior Storekeeper | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1938_C | Stores And Equipment Assistant Supervisor | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1942_C | Assistant Materials Coordinator | 3,792 | B | 4,610 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1944_C | Materials Coordinator | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1950_C | Assistant Purchaser | 2,617 | B | 3,184 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2105_C | Patient Services Finance Technician | 2,358 | B | 2,866 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 2106_C | Medical Staff Services Department Specialist | 2,663 | B | 3,236 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2107_C | Medical Staff Services Department Analyst | 3,364 | B | 4,088 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2110_C | Medical Records Clerk | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2112_C | Medical Record Technician | 2,728 | B | 3,318 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2114_C | Medical Records Technician Supervisor | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2119_C | Health Care Analyst | 3,391 | B | 4,122 | 18.00 | 18.00 |





| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 251961 HAD <br> Public Health Admin | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 2591_C | Health Program Coordinator II | 3,457 | B | 4,201 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 6.65 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 2736_C | Porter | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 36.39 | 36.50 |
|  |  | 2738_C | Porter Assistant Supervisor | 2,369 | B | 2,880 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2740_C | Porter Supervisor I | 2,611 | B | 3,175 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2818_C | Health Program Planner | 3,483 | B | 4,234 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2820_C | Senior Health Program Planner | 4,032 | B | 4,900 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5177_C | Safety Officer | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 6138_C | Industrial Hygienist | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 6139_C | Senior Industrial Hygienist | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7262_C | Maintenance Planner | 5,197 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 3.39 | 3.50 |
|  |  | 7524_C | Institution Utility Worker | 2,057 | B | 2,500 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8106_C | Legal Process Clerk | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8139_C | Industrial Injury Investigator | 2,934 | B | 3,567 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9924_C | Public Service Aide - Health Services | 1,773 | B | 1,773 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 3.29 | 3.18 |
| 251961 HAD <br> Public Health Admin | 10010 GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0943_C | Manager VIII | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1010_C | Information Systems Trainee | 2,426 | B | 3,793 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1041_C | IS Engineer-Assistant | 3,994 | B | 5,023 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
|  |  | 1051_C | IS Business Analyst-Assistant | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 16.50 | 16.50 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1064_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Principal | 4,360 | B | 5,908 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 1091_C | IT Operations Support Administrator I | 2,341 | B | 2,900 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 21.00 | 21.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1232_C | Training Officer | 3,509 | B | 4,594 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 1.39 | 1.39 |
|  |  | 2322_C | Nurse Manager | 6,106 | B | 8,890 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2324_C | Nursing Supervisor | 6,729 | B | 9,798 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2803_C | Epidemiologist II | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9976_C | Technology Expert I | 0 | B | 0 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9978_C | Technology Expert II | 0 | B | 0 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.80 | 0.79 |
| 251961 HAD Public Health Admin | 10020 GF | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 251961 HAD <br> Public Health Admin | 10060 GF Work Order | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2736_C | Porter | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 0.38 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 5177_C | Safety Officer | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6138_C | Industrial Hygienist | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 0.38 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 8139_C | Industrial Injury Investigator | 2,934 | B | 3,567 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code |  | Low | Type | High | 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 251961 HAD | 11580 SR | O931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 |
| Public Health | Community Health- | O941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 |
| Admin | Grants | $1241 \_C$ | Human Resources Analyst | 1.00 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $1244 \_C$ | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 2.00 |

Department: DPW GSA Public Works

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207988 DPW | 10000 GF | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Infrastructure | Annual Account Ctrl | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5203_C | Assistant Engineer | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 5364_C | Engineering Associate I | 3,167 | B | 3,851 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6230_C | Street Inspector | 3,045 | B | 3,703 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| 207988 DPW Infrastructure | 10040 GF PW Work Order | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5174_C | Administrative Engineer | 5,659 | B | 6,878 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5203_C | Assistant Engineer | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 194.00 | 194.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 5212_C | Engineer/Architect Principal | 7,070 | B | 9,242 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5216_C | Chief Surveyor | 4,817 | B | 6,308 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5218_C | Structural Engineer | 5,802 | B | 7,051 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5241_C | Engineer | 5,262 | B | 6,398 | 41.00 | 41.00 |
|  |  | 5262_C | Landscape Architectural Associate 1 | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5310_C | Survey Assistant I | 2,902 | B | 3,527 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 5312_C | Survey Assistant II | 3,261 | B | 3,964 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5314_C | Survey Associate | 3,757 | B | 4,567 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 5362_C | Engineering Assistant | 2,857 | B | 3,473 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 5364_C | Engineering Associate I | 3,167 | B | 3,851 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 5366_C | Engineering Associate II | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5506_C | Project Manager III | 8,325 | B | 8,966 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5602_C | Utility Specialist | 3,979 | B | 5,883 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5620_C | Regulatory Specialist | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6230_C | Street Inspector | 3,045 | B | 3,703 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 6231_C | Senior Street Inspector | 3,526 | B | 4,284 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 6232_C | Street Inspection Supervisor | 4,080 | B | 4,959 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 6317_C | Assistant Construction Inspector | 3,184 | B | 3,868 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 6318_C | Construction Inspector | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
|  |  | 6319_C | Senior Contruction Inspector | 4,265 | B | 5,185 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 6.86 | 6.72 |
| 207988 DPW <br> Infrastructure | 13920 SR PWOverhead | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 2.00 | 2.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207988 DPW Infrastructure | $13920 \text { SR PW- }$ Overhead | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1091_C | IT Operations Support Administrator I | 2,341 | B | 2,900 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5212_C | Engineer/Architect Principal | 7,070 | B | 9,242 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5508_C | Project Manager IV | 9,285 | B | 10,001 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5620_C | Regulatory Specialist | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6318_C | Construction Inspector | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6335_C | Disability Access Coordinator | 5,884 | B | 7,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 5.39 | 5.21 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 526.43 | 526.10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 207989 \text { DPW } \\ & \text { Buildings } \end{aligned}$ | 10040 GF PW Work Order | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5120_C | Architectural Administrator | 4,545 | B | 5,524 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5174_C | Administrative Engineer | 5,659 | B | 6,878 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5241_C | Engineer | 5,262 | B | 6,398 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 5260_C | Architectural/Landscape Architectural Assistant I | 3,030 | B | 3,683 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 5261_C | Architectural/Landscape Architectural Assistant II | 3,342 | B | 4,062 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 5262_C | Landscape Architectural Associate 1 | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 5265_C | Architectural Associate I | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 5266_C | Architectural Associate II | 4,458 | B | 5,418 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 5268_C | Architect | 5,159 | B | 6,274 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 5272_C | Landscape Architectural Associate II | 4,458 | B | 5,418 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 5274_C | Landscape Architect | 5,159 | B | 6,274 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5304_C | Materials Testing Aide | 2,644 | B | 3,214 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5305_C | Materials Testing Technician | 2,830 | B | 3,441 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5506_C | Project Manager III | 8,325 | B | 8,966 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5508_C | Project Manager IV | 9,285 | B | 10,001 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5620_C | Regulatory Specialist | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5644_C | Principal Environmental Specialist | 4,458 | B | 5,835 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 6138_C | Industrial Hygienist | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6139_C | Senior Industrial Hygienist | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6317_C | Assistant Construction Inspector | 3,184 | B | 3,868 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 6318_C | Construction Inspector | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 6319_C | Senior Contruction Inspector | 4,265 | B | 5,185 | 2.00 | 2.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207989 DPW <br> Buildings | 10040 GF PW Work Order | 6331_C | Building Inspector | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 6333_C | Senior Building Inspector | 4,735 | B | 5,754 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 3.40 | 3.28 |
| $207989 \text { DPW }$Buildings | 13920 SR PWOverhead | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1095_C | IT Operations Support Administrator V | 4,369 | B | 5,418 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5120_C | Architectural Administrator | 4,545 | B | 5,524 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 6335_C | Disability Access Coordinator | 5,884 | B | 7,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7108_C | Heavy Equipment Operations Assistant Supervisor | 3,971 | B | 4,826 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 6.00 | 5.80 |
| 207990 DPW Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  | 314.40 | 314.08 |
|  | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1310_C | Public Relations Assistant | 2,322 | B | 2,822 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1704_C | Communications Dispatcher I | 2,278 | B | 2,768 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2708_C | Custodian | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 6230_C | Street Inspector | 3,045 | B | 3,703 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7215_C | General Laborer Supervisor I | 2,747 | B | 3,339 | 29.77 | 30.00 |
|  |  | 7263_C | Maintenance Manager | 4,555 | B | 6,103 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7281_C | Street Environmental Svcs Operations Supervisor | 3,703 | B | 4,498 | 13.77 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7335_C | Senior Stationary Engineer | 4,573 | B | 4,573 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7345_C | Electrician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 21.00 | 21.00 |
|  |  | 7501_C | Environmental Service Worker | 1,484 | B | 2,370 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 159.58 | 160.50 |
|  |  | 9922_C | Public Service Aide - Associate To Professionals | 1,915 | B | 1,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 24.33 | 23.49 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207990 DPW Operations | 10040 GF PW | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | Work Order | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1704_C | Communications Dispatcher I | 2,278 | B | 2,768 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 3422_C | Park Section Supervisor | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3424_C | Integrated Pest Management Specialist | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3434_C | Arborist Technician | 2,776 | B | 3,803 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 3435_C | Urban Forestry Inspector | 2,978 | B | 3,621 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 3436_C | Arborist Technician Supervisor I | 3,493 | B | 4,245 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7211_C | Cement Finisher Supervisor II | 4,169 | B | 5,069 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7213_C | Plumber Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7215_C | General Laborer Supervisor I | 2,747 | B | 3,339 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7220_C | Asphalt Finisher Supervisor I | 3,493 | B | 4,245 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 7221_C | Asphalt Plant Supervisor I | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7226_C | Carpenter Supervisor I | 4,071 | B | 4,948 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7227_C | Cement Finisher Supervisor I | 3,934 | B | 4,781 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7233_C | Glazier Supervisor I | 4,071 | B | 4,948 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7236_C | Locksmith Supervisor I | 4,071 | B | 4,948 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7238_C | Electrician Supervisor I | 4,193 | B | 5,099 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7242_C | Painter Supervisor I | 3,451 | B | 4,420 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7246_C | Sewer Repair Supervisor | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7262_C | Maintenance Planner | 5,197 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7263_C | Maintenance Manager | 4,555 | B | 6,103 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7276_C | Electrician Supervisor II | 4,668 | B | 5,674 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7282_C | Street Repair Supervisor II | 3,860 | B | 4,693 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7307_C | Bricklayer | 3,627 | B | 4,402 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7311_C | Cement Mason | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 32.00 | 32.00 |
|  |  | 7326_C | Glazier | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7328_C | Operating Engineer, Universal | 3,596 | B | 4,369 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7342_C | Locksmith | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7344_C | Carpenter | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 7345_C | Electrician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 7346_C | Painter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 7347_C | Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 7348_C | Steamfitter | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 7349_C | Steamfitter Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 41.00 | 41.00 |
|  |  | 7376_C | Sheet Metal Worker | 3,860 | B | 4,693 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 7378_C | Tile Setter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7393_C | Soft Floor Coverer | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7394_C | Soft Floor Coverer Supervisor I | 4,071 | B | 4,948 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7404_C | Asphalt Finisher | 2,568 | B | 3,120 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7421_C | Sewer Maintenance Worker | 2,722 | B | 3,308 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7422_C | Senior Sewer Maintenance Worker | 3,131 | B | 3,805 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7428_C | Hodcarrier | 2,934 | B | 3,567 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7502_C | Asphalt Worker | 2,480 | B | 3,017 | 17.00 | 17.00 |
|  |  | 7510_C | Lighting Fixture Maintenance Worker | 2,149 | B | 2,611 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 34.00 | 34.00 |
|  |  | 9343_C | Roofer | 3,082 | B | 3,746 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9345_C | Sheet Metal Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 14.67 | 14.16 |
| 207990 DPW | 12769 SR Gas | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Operations | Tax Annual | 3410_C | Apprentice Gardener | 1,683 | B | 2,450 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | Authority | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 24.00 | 24.00 |
|  |  | 3422_C | Park Section Supervisor | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 3424_C | Integrated Pest Management Specialist | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207990 DPW <br> Operations | 12769 SR Gas <br> Tax Annual Authority | 3425_C | Senior Integrated Pest Management Specialist | 3,275 | B | 3,981 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3435_C | Urban Forestry Inspector | 2,978 | B | 3,621 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7215_C | General Laborer Supervisor I | 2,747 | B | 3,339 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7281_C | Street Environmental Svcs Operations Supervisor | 3,703 | B | 4,498 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 5.56 | 5.36 |
| 207990 DPW <br> Operations | 12789 SR Road Annual Authority | 7220_C | Asphalt Finisher Supervisor I | 3,493 | B | 4,245 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7328_C | Operating Engineer, Universal | 3,596 | B | 4,369 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7404_C | Asphalt Finisher | 2,568 | B | 3,120 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7502_C | Asphalt Worker | 2,480 | B | 3,017 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| 207990 DPW <br> Operations | 13920 SR PWOverhead | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1326_C | Customer Service Agent Supervisor | 3,184 | B | 3,868 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1932_C | Assistant Storekeeper | 2,057 | B | 2,500 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 1934_C | Storekeeper | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1936_C | Senior Storekeeper | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3464_C | Area Supervisor, Parks, Squares And Facilities | 3,596 | B | 4,369 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6315_C | Cost Estimator | 4,191 | B | 5,094 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7108_C | Heavy Equipment Operations Assistant Supervisor | 3,971 | B | 4,826 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7208_C | Heavy Equipment Operations Supervisor | 4,169 | B | 5,069 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7219_C | Maintenance Scheduler | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7262_C | Maintenance Planner | 5,197 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8207_C | Building And Grounds Patrol Officer | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.60 | 1.55 |
| 207990 DPW <br> Operations | $\begin{aligned} & 13985 \text { SR } 2016 \\ & \text { Prop E } \\ & \text { StreetTreeMaint } \end{aligned}$ | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3408_C | Apprentice Arborist Technician I | 1,856 | B | 2,194 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 3434_C | Arborist Technician | 2,776 | B | 3,803 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 3435_C | Urban Forestry Inspector | 2,978 | B | 3,621 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 3436_C | Arborist Technician Supervisor I | 3,493 | B | 4,245 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 3438_C | Arborist Technician Supervisor II | 3,666 | B | 4,454 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7227_C | Cement Finisher Supervisor I | 3,934 | B | 4,781 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7311_C | Cement Mason | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7328_C | Operating Engineer, Universal | 3,596 | B | 4,369 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.87 | 1.81 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 829.70 | 829.42 |
| 229889 DPW <br> Administration | 10040 GF PW Work Order | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 229889 DPW <br> Administration | 13920 SR PWOverhead | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0964_C | Department Head IV | 8,079 | B | 10,310 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1051_C | IS Business Analyst-Assistant | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1250_C | Recruiter | 4,062 | B | 4,936 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5320_C | Illustrator And Art Designer | 3,167 | B | 3,851 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5330_C | Graphics Supervisor | 3,326 | B | 4,044 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5408_C | Coordinator of Citizen Involvement | 4,275 | B | 5,194 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9251_C | Public Relations Manager | 4,863 | B | 6,518 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 5.08 | 4.90 |
| Division Total: DPW Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89.08 | 88.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,759.61 | 1,758.50 |

Department: DT GSA - Technology

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 130679 \text { DT } \\ & \text { Communications } \end{aligned}$ | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1766_C | Media Production Technician | 2,445 | B | 2,972 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1767_C | Media Programming Specialist | 2,844 | B | 3,457 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 1769_C | Media Production Supervisor | 3,533 | B | 4,293 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1781_C | Media/Security Systems Supervisor | 4,169 | B | 5,069 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.65 | 1.60 |
| 130679 DT Communications | 28070 ISTIF <br> Annual <br> Authority Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.65 | 18.60 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 130680 \text { DT } \\ & \text { Support } \\ & \text { Services } \end{aligned}$ | 28070 ISTIF <br> Annual <br> Authority Ctrl | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1095_C | IT Operations Support Administrator V | 4,369 | B | 5,418 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.43 | 0.42 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.43 | 38.42 |
| 207915 DT <br> Administration | 28070 ISTIF <br> Annual <br> Authority Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0964_C | Department Head IV | 8,079 | B | 10,310 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1033_C | IS Trainer-Senior | 4,062 | B | 4,936 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1062_C | IS Programmer Analyst | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1095_C | IT Operations Support Administrator V | 4,369 | B | 5,418 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 4.77 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1932_C | Assistant Storekeeper | 2,057 | B | 2,500 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1936_C | Senior Storekeeper | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1950_C | Assistant Purchaser | 2,617 | B | 3,184 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.56 | 0.54 |
| 207915 DT <br> Administration | 28100 ISTIF <br> NON <br> PROJECT CONTROLLED | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Division Total: } \\ & 210657 \text { DT } \\ & \text { JUSTIS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40.33 | 40.54 |
|  | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1064_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Principal | 4,360 | B | 5,908 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.00 | 8.00 |
| $210693 \text { DT }$ <br> Cybersecurity | 28070 ISTIF <br> Annual <br> Authority Ctrl | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1041_C | IS Engineer-Assistant | 3,994 | B | 5,023 | 1.00 | 1.00 |



Department: ECN Economic \& Wrkfrce Dvipmnt

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207766 ECN | 10010 GF | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.25 | 2.25 |
| Workforce | Annual | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| Development | Authority Ctrl | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2978_C | Contract Compliance Officer II | 4,948 | B | 6,015 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2992_C | Contract Compliance Officer I | 3,775 | B | 4,588 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.77 | 0.75 |
| 207766 ECN | 10020 GF | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Workforce Development | Continuing <br> Authority Ctrl | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 207766 ECN <br> Workforce Development | 10770 SR <br> Neighborhood Dev-Grants | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59.27 | 59.25 |
| 207767 ECN Economic Development | 10010 GF <br> Annual <br> Authority Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.85 | 2.12 |
| 207767 ECN | 10020 GF | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Economic Development | Continuing Authority Ctrl | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 207767 ECN <br> Economic Development | 10680 SR <br> Neighborhood Dev-Grants Sta | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 207767 ECN <br> Economic Development | 10770 SR <br> Neighborhood Dev-Grants | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35.65 | 35.92 |
| 207768 ECN <br> Office of <br> Small <br> Business | $\begin{aligned} & 10000 \text { GF } \\ & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Account Ctrl } \end{aligned}$ | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 2.54 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| 207768 ECN | 10020 GF | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Office of <br> Small <br> Business | Continuing Authority Ctrl | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.87 | 9.56 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 207769 \text { ECN } \\ & \text { Film } \\ & \text { Commission } \end{aligned}$ | 11890 SR <br> Mobed-Film <br> Prod Sp | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 |



Department: ENV Environment

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229994 ENV <br> Environment | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 5642_C | Senior Environmental Specialist | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
|  |  | 5644_C | Principal Environmental Specialist | 4,458 | B | 5,835 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
|  |  | 9922_C | Public Service Aide - Associate To Professionals | 1,915 | B | 1,915 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| 229994 ENV <br> Environment | 12200 SR Env-Operating-Non-Project | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 0962_C | Department Head II | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 1310_C | Public Relations Assistant | 2,322 | B | 2,822 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 1543_C | Secretary, Commission on the Environment | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.36 | 0.36 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.64 | 0.64 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
|  |  | 5638_C | Environmental Assistant | 2,762 | B | 3,357 | 1.88 | 1.88 |
|  |  | 5640_C | Environmental Specialist | 3,357 | B | 4,080 | 3.13 | 3.13 |
|  |  | 5642_C | Senior Environmental Specialist | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 3.76 | 3.76 |
|  |  | 5644_C | Principal Environmental Specialist | 4,458 | B | 5,835 | 2.89 | 2.89 |
|  |  | 9922_C | Public Service Aide - Associate To Professionals | 1,915 | B | 1,915 | 2.20 | 2.20 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.20 | 1.16 |
| 229994 ENV <br> Environment | 12210 SR Env-Continuing Projects | 5640_C | Environmental Specialist | 3,357 | B | 4,080 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 5642_C | Senior Environmental Specialist | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.85 | 0.54 |
| 229994 ENV <br> Environment | 12230 SR Grants; ENV Continuing | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
|  |  | 5638_C | Environmental Assistant | 2,762 | B | 3,357 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
|  |  | 5640_C | Environmental Specialist | 3,357 | B | 4,080 | 4.04 | 4.04 |
|  |  | 5642_C | Senior Environmental Specialist | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 5.62 | 5.62 |
|  |  | 5644_C | Principal Environmental Specialist | 4,458 | B | 5,835 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
|  |  | 9922_C | Public Service Aide - Associate To Professionals | 1,915 | B | 1,915 | 2.25 | 2.25 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.24 | 0.23 |
| 229994 ENV <br> Environment | 13990 SR Solid Waste Non-Project | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 0962_C | Department Head II | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 1310_C | Public Relations Assistant | 2,322 | B | 2,822 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 1543_C | Secretary, Commission on the Environment | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.36 | 1.36 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 5638_C | Environmental Assistant | 2,762 | B | 3,357 | 10.47 | 10.47 |
|  |  | 5640_C | Environmental Specialist | 3,357 | B | 4,080 | 4.76 | 4.76 |
|  |  | 5642_C | Senior Environmental Specialist | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 8.29 | 8.29 |
|  |  | 5644_C | Principal Environmental Specialist | 4,458 | B | 5,835 | 3.01 | 3.01 |
|  |  | 9922_C | Public Service Aide - Associate To Professionals | 1,915 | B | 1,915 | 6.29 | 6.29 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.91 | 0.88 |
| 229994 ENV 14000 SR Solid Waste |  | 5638_C | Environmental Assistant | 2,762 | B | 3,357 | 4.50 | 4.50 |



Department: ETH Ethics Commission

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 229997 \text { ETH } \\ & \text { Ethics } \\ & \text { Commission } \end{aligned}$ | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0951_C | Deputy Director I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 10.38 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.69 | 0.67 |
| Division Total: <br> ETH Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.84 | 33.67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.84 | 33.67 |

Department: FAM Fine Arts Museum


Department: FIR Fire Department

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130644 FIR <br> Administration | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0140_F | Chief of Department, (Fire Department) | 12,951 | B | 12,951 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0150_F | Deputy Chief of Department, (Fire Department) | 11,180 | B | 11,180 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1224_C | Principal Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1804_C | Statistician | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2232_C | Senior Physician Specialist | 7,898 | B | 10,917 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
|  |  | 2233_C | Supervising Physician Specialist | 8,501 | B | 11,741 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2328_C | Nurse Practitioner | 6,513 | B | 9,220 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H016_F | Technical Training Specialist, Fire Department | 5,588 | B | 5,588 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | H020_F | Lieutenant, Fire Suppression | 5,589 | B | 5,589 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | H030_F | Captain, Fire Suppression | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H033_F | Captain, Emergency Medical Services | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | H040_F | Battalion Chief, Fire Suppression | 7,661 | B | 7,661 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 130644 FIR <br> Administration | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | H051_F | Assistant Deputy Chief II | 9,879 | B | 9,879 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 130644 FIR <br> Administration | 10060 GF Work Order | H004_F | Inspector, Fire Department | 5,766 | B | 5,766 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H022_F | Lieutenant, Fire Prevention | 6,310 | B | 6,310 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.88 | 0.85 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.03 | 55.00 |
| $130645 \text { FIR }$ <br> Airport | 17960 AIR Op Annual Account Ctrl | 5215_C | Fire Protection Engineer | 5,183 | B | 6,299 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | H002_F | Firefighter | 3,117 | B | 4,810 | 69.54 | 70.00 |
|  |  | H003_F | EMT/Paramedic/Firefighter | 2,856 | B | 5,559 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | H004_F | Inspector, Fire Department | 5,766 | B | 5,766 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | H016_F | Technical Training Specialist, Fire Department | 5,588 | B | 5,588 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | H020_F | Lieutenant, Fire Suppression | 5,589 | B | 5,589 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | H022_F | Lieutenant, Fire Prevention | 6,310 | B | 6,310 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | H028_F | Lieutenant, Division of Training | 6,381 | B | 6,381 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H030_F | Captain, Fire Suppression | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | H032_F | Captain, Fire Prevention or Fire Investigation | 7,207 | B | 7,207 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | H033_F | Captain, Emergency Medical Services | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | H039_F | Captain, Division of Training | 7,660 | B | 7,660 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H040_F | Battalion Chief, Fire Suppression | 7,661 | B | 7,661 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | H051_F | Assistant Deputy Chief II | 9,879 | B | 9,879 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 122.54 | 123.00 |
| $130647 \text { FIR }$ <br> Fireboat | 10060 GF Work Order | H020_F | Lieutenant, Fire Suppression | 5,589 | B | 5,589 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | H030_F | Captain, Fire Suppression | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H110_F | Marine Engineer of Fire Boats | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 3.00 | 3.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $130647 \text { FIR }$ <br> Fireboat | 10060 GF Work Order | H120_F | Pilot of Fire Boats | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.30 | 0.32 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.30 | 9.32 |
| 130648 FIR Investigation | 10000 GF <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H006_F | Investigator, Fire Department | 5,766 | B | 5,766 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | H024_F | Lieutenant, Fire Investigation | 6,310 | B | 6,310 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | H032_F | Captain, Fire Prevention or Fire Investigation | 7,207 | B | 7,207 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.00 | 14.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 130649 \text { FIR } \\ & \text { Nert } \end{aligned}$ | 10000 GF <br> Annual Account Ctrl | H020_F | Lieutenant, Fire Suppression | 5,589 | B | 5,589 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 130650 FIR Operations | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0150_F | Deputy Chief of Department, (Fire Department) | 11,180 | B | 11,180 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H001_F | Fire Rescue Paramedic | 4,352 | B | 5,294 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H002_F | Firefighter | 3,117 | B | 4,810 | 853.54 | 853.54 |
|  |  | H003_F | EMT/Paramedic/Firefighter | 2,856 | B | 5,559 | 382.12 | 385.20 |
|  |  | H009_F | Community Paramedic | 2,999 | B | 5,075 | 7.69 | 10.00 |
|  |  | H010_F | Incident Support Specialist | 5,240 | B | 5,240 | 21.50 | 21.50 |
|  |  | H020_F | Lieutenant, Fire Suppression | 5,589 | B | 5,589 | 187.17 | 187.17 |
|  |  | H030_F | Captain, Fire Suppression | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 73.00 | 73.00 |
|  |  | H033_F | Captain, Emergency Medical Services | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 33.28 | 34.20 |
|  |  | H040_F | Battalion Chief, Fire Suppression | 7,661 | B | 7,661 | 37.80 | 37.80 |
|  |  | H043_F | EMS Section Chief | 7,661 | B | 7,661 | 3.77 | 4.00 |
|  |  | H050_F | Assistant Chief of Department, (Fire Department) | 8,853 | B | 8,853 | 7.50 | 7.50 |
|  |  | H053_F | Emergency Medical Services Chief | 9,879 | B | 9,879 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 5.60 | 6.13 |
| 130650 FIR <br> Operations | 10060 GF Work Order | H003_F | EMT/Paramedic/Firefighter | 2,856 | B | 5,559 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | H009_F | Community Paramedic | 2,999 | B | 5,075 | 4.62 | 6.00 |
|  |  | H033_F | Captain, Emergency Medical Services | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,638.90 | 1,648.04 |
| 130651 FIR <br> Prevention | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 1041_C | IS Engineer-Assistant | 3,994 | B | 5,023 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5215_C | Fire Protection Engineer | 5,183 | B | 6,299 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 6281_C | Fire Safety Inspector II | 6,057 | B | 6,057 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | H004_F | Inspector, Fire Department | 5,766 | B | 5,766 | 47.00 | 47.00 |
|  |  | H022_F | Lieutenant, Fire Prevention | 6,310 | B | 6,310 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | H032_F | Captain, Fire Prevention or Fire Investigation | 7,207 | B | 7,207 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | H042_F | Assistant Fire Marshal | 8,137 | B | 8,137 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | H051_F | Assistant Deputy Chief II | 9,879 | B | 9,879 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 130651 FIR <br> Prevention | 10060 GF Work Order | 5215_C | Fire Protection Engineer | 5,183 | B | 6,299 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H004_F | Inspector, Fire Department | 5,766 | B | 5,766 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | H032_F | Captain, Fire Prevention or Fire Investigation | 7,207 | B | 7,207 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.50 | 90.50 |
| 130652 FIR <br> Support Services | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1934_C | Storekeeper | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1936_C | Senior Storekeeper | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1942_C | Assistant Materials Coordinator | 3,792 | B | 4,610 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130652 FIR <br> Support Services | 10000 GF <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 7335_C | Senior Stationary Engineer | 4,573 | B | 4,573 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H002_F | Firefighter | 3,117 | B | 4,810 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | H020_F | Lieutenant, Fire Suppression | 5,589 | B | 5,589 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | H030_F | Captain, Fire Suppression | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H051_F | Assistant Deputy Chief II | 9,879 | B | 9,879 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.00 | 28.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 130653 \text { FIR } \\ & \text { Training } \end{aligned}$ | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | H028_F | Lieutenant, Division of Training | 6,381 | B | 6,381 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | H033_F | Captain, Emergency Medical Services | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | H039_F | Captain, Division of Training | 7,660 | B | 7,660 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | H043_F | EMS Section Chief | 7,661 | B | 7,661 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H051_F | Assistant Deputy Chief II | 9,879 | B | 9,879 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.00 | 19.00 |
| 130654 FIR <br> Capital <br> Project \& Grants | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 5277_C | Planner I | 2,803 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H020_F | Lieutenant, Fire Suppression | 5,589 | B | 5,589 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H051_F | Assistant Deputy Chief II | 9,879 | B | 9,879 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 130654 FIR <br> Capital <br> Project \& Grants | $13550 \text { SR }$ <br> Public Protection-Grant | H002_F | Firefighter | 3,117 | B | 4,810 | 72.00 | 72.00 |
| 130654 FIR <br> Capital <br> Project \& Grants | $\begin{aligned} & 15511 \text { CPXCF } \\ & 14 \text { EQ } \\ & \text { SFTY\&EMY RE } \\ & \text { S2018C } \end{aligned}$ | H020_F | Lieutenant, Fire Suppression | 5,589 | B | 5,589 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | H030_F | Captain, Fire Suppression | 6,382 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: <br> FIR Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77.00 | 77.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,055.27 | 2,064.86 |

Department: HOM Homelessness Services

| Division | Fund | Job Code |  | Low | Type | High | 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | Fob Title | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 4.00 | 4.00 |  |
| 203645 HOM | 10000 GF | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 |
| ADMINISTRATION | Annual | 0931_C | Manager III | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 3.00 |
|  | Account CtrI | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 3.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 203646 HOM PROGRAMS | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 2913_C | Program Specialist | 3,253 | B | 3,954 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 2918_C | Human Services Agency Social Worker | 2,392 | B | 3,676 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2920_C | Medical Social Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2930_C | Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 8.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 2932_C | Senior Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 6.75 | 6.52 |
| 203646 HOM <br> PROGRAMS | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 30.86 | 0.00 |
| 203646 HOM PROGRAMS | 10060 GF Work Order | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2931_C | Marriage, Family And Child Counselor | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 203646 HOM <br> PROGRAMS | 12960 SR <br> Human <br> Welfare-Grants | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2905_C | Human Services Agency Senior Eligibility Worker | 2,322 | B | 3,567 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 9920_C | Public Service Aide - Assistant To Professionals | 1,750 | B | 1,750 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Division Total: <br> HOM Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162.11 | 133.52 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 245.61 | 217.52 |

Department: HRC Human Rights Commission

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232021 HRC 10000 GF <br> Human Annual <br> Rights Account Ctrl <br> Commission  |  | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0962_C | Department Head II | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 4.77 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2991_C | Coordinator, Human Rights Commission | 4,209 | B | 5,115 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2992_C | Contract Compliance Officer I | 3,775 | B | 4,588 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2996_C | Representative, Human Rights Commission | 3,463 | B | 4,209 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 9704_C | Employment \& Training Specialist III | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.27 | 0.26 |
| $232021 \text { HRC }$ <br> Human Rights Commission | 10020 GF | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | Continuing | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | Authority Ctrl | 9770_C | Community Development Assistant | 2,510 | B | 3,052 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total:HRC Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.81 | 37.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.81 | 37.26 |

Department: HRD Human Resources

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $232022 \text { HRD }$Administration | $\begin{aligned} & 10000 \text { GF } \\ & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Account Ctrl } \end{aligned}$ | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1293_C | Human Resources Director | 8,079 | B | 10,310 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 0.38 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1801_C | Analyst Trainee | 2,284 | B | 3,543 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
| 232022 HRD <br> Administration | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 3.54 | 4.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 8.38 | 3.93 |
| Division Total: <br> 232023 HRD Equal Emplymt Opportunity |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51.38 | 48.43 |
|  | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 3.77 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1202_C | Personnel Clerk | 2,295 | B | 2,790 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1231_C | EEO Programs Senior Specialist | 4,306 | B | 5,635 | 15.08 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 1233_C | Equal Employment Opportunity Programs Specialist | 3,398 | B | 4,130 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.83 | 0.80 |
| 232023 HRD Equal Emplymt Opportunity | $10010 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Authority Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $232024 \text { HRD }$ <br> Employee Relations |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.22 | 34.80 |
|  | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 1280_C | Employee Relations Representative | 3,527 | B | 4,960 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1281_C | Senior Employee Relations Representative | 4,498 | B | 6,330 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1282_C | Manager,Employee Relations Division | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1283_C | Director, Employee Relations Division | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.39 | 0.38 |
| 232024 HRD <br> Employee Relations | 10010 GF <br> Annual <br> Authority Ctrl | 1280_C | Employee Relations Representative | 3,527 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 14.61 | 3.79 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.00 | 16.17 |
| 232025 HRD Recruit-Assess-Client Svc | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1202_C | Personnel Clerk | 2,295 | B | 2,790 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1203_C | Personnel Technician | 2,784 | B | 3,385 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1204_C | Senior Personnel Clerk | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 1246_C | Principal Human Resources Analyst | 4,841 | B | 6,333 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 3.13 | 3.03 |
| 232025 HRD Recruit-Assess-Client Svc | 10010 GF <br> Annual <br> Authority Ctrl | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1249_C | Human Resources Trainee | 2,744 | B | 2,889 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232025 HRD Recruit-Assess-Client Svc | $10010 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Authority Ctrl | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 232025 HRD Recruit-Assess-Client Svc | 10060 GF Work Order | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 7.54 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1246_C | Principal Human Resources Analyst | 4,841 | B | 6,333 | 3.77 | 4.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72.44 | 73.03 |
| 232027 HRD <br> Workers Compensation | 12460 SR <br> Workers' <br> Compensation | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1209_C | Benefits Technician | 2,427 | B | 2,951 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 4.77 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1424_C | Clerk Typist | 2,144 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1636_C | Health Care Billing Clerk II | 2,715 | B | 3,299 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 0.38 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2233_C | Supervising Physician Specialist | 8,501 | B | 11,741 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2322_C | Nurse Manager | 6,106 | B | 8,890 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6130_C | Safety Analyst | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8141_C | Worker's Compensation Adjuster | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 27.00 | 27.00 |
|  |  | 8165_C | Worker's Compensation Supervisor I | 4,234 | B | 5,146 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.56 | 0.91 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.48 | 65.41 |
| 232029 HRD <br> Workforce Development | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1232_C | Training Officer | 3,509 | B | 4,594 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1250_C | Recruiter | 4,062 | B | 4,936 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1280_C | Employee Relations Representative | 3,527 | B | 4,960 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.97 | 0.94 |
| 232029 HRD <br> Workforce Development | 10060 GF <br> Work Order | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1230_C | Instructional Designer | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1232_C | Training Officer | 3,509 | B | 4,594 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.02 | 0.08 |
| 232029 HRD <br> Workforce Development | 12550 SR <br> Grants; GSF <br> Continuing | 1367_C | Special Assistant VIII | 3,120 | B | 3,792 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: HRD Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.76 | 22.02 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 273.28 | 259.86 |

Department: HSA Human Services Agency

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149644 HSA Aging \& Adult Svc (DAAS) | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.63 | 2.63 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0963_C | Department Head III | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1430_C | Transcriber Typist | 2,353 | B | 2,857 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1432_C | Senior Transcriber Typist | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1458_C | Legal Secretary I | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1460_C | Legal Secretary II | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1827_C | Administrative Services Manager | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2320_C | Registered Nurse | 5,258 | B | 6,905 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2322_C | Nurse Manager | 6,106 | B | 8,890 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2904_C | Human Services Technician | 2,495 | B | 3,030 | 33.00 | 33.00 |
|  |  | 2913_C | Program Specialist | 3,253 | B | 3,954 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2914_C | Social Work Supervisor | 3,398 | B | 4,130 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2918_C | Human Services Agency Social Worker | 2,392 | B | 3,676 | 94.00 | 94.00 |
|  |  | 2920_C | Medical Social Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2924_C | Medical Social Work Supervisor | 3,971 | B | 4,826 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2940_C | Protective Services Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,535 | 59.00 | 59.00 |
|  |  | 2944_C | Protective Services Supervisor | 3,993 | B | 5,099 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 4230_C | Estate Investigator | 3,229 | B | 3,926 | 21.00 | 21.00 |
|  |  | 4231_C | Senior Estate Investigator | 3,473 | B | 4,222 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 4232_C | Veterans Claim Representative | 3,253 | B | 3,954 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 4233_C | Veterans Claims Representative Supervisor | 3,646 | B | 4,773 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8106_C | Legal Process Clerk | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8173_C | Legal Assistant | 3,184 | B | 4,166 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 8182_C | Head Attorney, Civil And Criminal | 7,544 | B | 9,170 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.63 | 0.61 |
| 149644 HSA <br> Aging \& Adult <br> Svc (DAAS) | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2920_C | Medical Social Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2940_C | Protective Services Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,535 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 7.56 | 0.00 |
| 149644 HSA <br> Aging \& Adult <br> Svc (DAAS) | 12965 SR Nov 2016 Prop I Dignity | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2846_C | Nutritionist | 3,576 | B | 4,350 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2918_C | Human Services Agency Social Worker | 2,392 | B | 3,676 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2920_C | Medical Social Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 2924_C | Medical Social Work Supervisor | 3,971 | B | 4,826 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2940_C | Protective Services Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,535 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 378.82 | 371.24 |
| 149655 HSA | 10000 GF <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Admin |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Support |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 3.00 | 3.00 |



| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $149655 \text { HSA }$ <br> Admin Support (HSA) | 10000 GF <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 2967_C | Supervising Welfare Fraud Investigator | 4,122 | B | 5,009 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4308_C | Senior Collections Officer | 2,857 | B | 3,473 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 4366_C | Collection Supervisor | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6138_C | Industrial Hygienist | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7203_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance Supervisor | 5,020 | B | 5,020 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7219_C | Maintenance Scheduler | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7333_C | Apprentice Stationary Engineer II | 2,624 | B | 3,834 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7335_C | Senior Stationary Engineer | 4,573 | B | 4,573 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7524_C | Institution Utility Worker | 2,057 | B | 2,500 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8603_C | Emergency Services Coord III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9251_C | Public Relations Manager | 4,863 | B | 6,518 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9252_C | Communications Specialist | 3,769 | B | 5,050 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.80 | 2.70 |
| $149655 \text { HSA }$ <br> Admin <br> Support <br> (HSA) | $12920 \text { SR }$ <br> Human Welfare-Grants Sta | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total:149665 HSAHumanServices(DHS) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 383.34 | 383.70 |
|  | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 28.00 | 28.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1402_C | Junior Clerk | 1,893 | B | 2,300 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 87.00 | 87.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 61.00 | 61.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 54.00 | 54.00 |
|  |  | 1444_C | Secretary I | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2110_C | Medical Records Clerk | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2230_C | Physician Specialist | 7,164 | B | 10,143 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2232_C | Senior Physician Specialist | 7,898 | B | 10,917 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | 2574_C | Clinical Psychologist | 4,114 | B | 4,999 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 2576_C | Supervising Clinical Psychologist | 4,588 | B | 5,576 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2586_C | Health Worker II | 2,341 | B | 2,844 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2904_C | Human Services Technician | 2,495 | B | 3,030 | 36.00 | 36.00 |
|  |  | 2905_C | Human Services Agency Senior Eligibility Worker | 2,322 | B | 3,567 | 523.00 | 523.00 |
|  |  | 2907_C | Eligibility Worker Supervisor | 3,253 | B | 3,954 | 74.00 | 74.00 |
|  |  | 2913_C | Program Specialist | 3,253 | B | 3,954 | 66.50 | 66.50 |
|  |  | 2914_C | Social Work Supervisor | 3,398 | B | 4,130 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 2916_C | Social Work Specialist | 3,253 | B | 3,954 | 73.00 | 73.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
|  |  | 2918_C | Human Services Agency Social Worker | 2,392 | B | 3,676 | 63.00 | 63.00 |
|  |  | 2919_C | Child Care Specialist | 1,893 | B | 2,300 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2932_C | Senior Behavioral Health Clinician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2935_C | Senior Marriage, Family \& Child Counselor | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2940_C | Protective Services Worker | 3,555 | B | 4,535 | 157.00 | 157.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $149665 \text { HSA }$ <br> Human Services (DHS) | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 2944_C | Protective Services Supervisor | 3,993 | B | 5,099 | 33.00 | 33.00 |
|  |  | 9703_C | HSA Employment \& Training Specialist II | 2,415 | B | 3,782 | 89.00 | 89.00 |
|  |  | 9704_C | Employment \& Training Specialist III | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 63.00 | 63.00 |
|  |  | 9705_C | Employment \& Training Specialist IV | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 9706_C | Employment \& Training Specialist V | 3,876 | B | 4,711 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 36.96 | 31.45 |
| 149665 HSA <br> Human Services (DHS) | 10020 GF <br> Continuing <br> Authority Ctrl | 2905_C | Human Services Agency Senior Eligibility Worker | 2,322 | B | 3,567 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 63.86 | 63.26 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,693.07 | 1,686.96 |
| 186644 HSA <br>  <br> Education | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 0.67 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| 186644 HSA <br>  <br> Education | 11140 SR PEEF Annual Contr-EarlyCare | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 0.33 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 3.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| 186644 HSA <br>  <br> Education | 11201 SR Comm Rnt GR Tx for OECE | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.77 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 0.77 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 0.77 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 0.77 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1246_C | Principal Human Resources Analyst | 4,841 | B | 6,333 | 0.88 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 0.77 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 0.77 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 8.26 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 4.15 | 0.00 |
| Division Total: <br> HSA Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.91 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,493.14 | 2,441.90 |

Department: HSS Health Service System

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $291644 \text { HSS }$ <br> Health Service System | $10000 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0963_C | Department Head III | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1209_C | Benefits Technician | 2,427 | B | 2,951 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1210_C | Benefits Analyst | 2,880 | B | 3,501 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1813_C | Senior Benefits Analyst | 3,483 | B | 4,234 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1814_C | Benefits Supervisor | 4,169 | B | 5,069 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2593_C | Health Program Coordinator III | 3,868 | B | 5,057 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2594_C | Employee Assistance Counselor | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2595_C | Senior Employee Assistance Counselor | 3,710 | B | 4,854 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2819_C | Assistant Health Educator | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2820_C | Senior Health Program Planner | 4,032 | B | 4,900 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| $291644 \text { HSS }$ <br> Health Service System | 31190 HSS ADMIN GF SUPPORT FD | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.26 | 1.26 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
|  |  | 1210_C | Benefits Analyst | 2,880 | B | 3,501 | 1.54 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1813_C | Senior Benefits Analyst | 3,483 | B | 4,234 | 1.00 | 0.77 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2820_C | Senior Health Program Planner | 4,032 | B | 4,900 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2822_C | Health Educator | 3,576 | B | 4,350 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: <br> HSS Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61.64 | 61.87 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61.64 | 61.87 |

Department: JUV Juvenile Probation

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232034 JUV <br> Probation Services | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 1430_C | Transcriber Typist | 2,353 | B | 2,857 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1444_C | Secretary I | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2910_C | Social Worker | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8414_S | Supervising Probation Officer, Juvenile Court | 4,234 | B | 5,146 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 8416_S | Director, Probation Services | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8444_S | Deputy Probation Officer | 2,846 | B | 4,615 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8530_P | Deputy Probation Officer (SFERS) | 2,846 | B | 4,615 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 8540_P | Sr Supervising Probation Officer, Juv Prob (SFERS) | 4,655 | B | 6,237 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9706_C | Employment \& Training Specialist V | 3,876 | B | 4,711 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $232034 \text { JUV }$ <br> Probation Services | $13720 \text { SR }$ <br> Public <br> Protection-Grant Sta | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2910_C | Social Worker | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8444_S | Deputy Probation Officer | 2,846 | B | 4,615 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 9708_C | Employment \& Training Specialist VI | 4,597 | B | 5,587 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.00 | 55.00 |
| 232035 JUV Juvenile Hall | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0923_S | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1444_C | Secretary I | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2604_C | Food Service Worker | 1,866 | B | 2,381 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2654_C | Cook | 2,500 | B | 3,037 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2770_C | Senior Laundry Worker | 2,092 | B | 2,541 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8316_S | Assistant Counselor | 2,322 | B | 2,822 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8318_S | Counselor II | 3,184 | B | 3,868 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 8320_S | Counselor, Juvenile Hall | 2,644 | B | 3,214 | 35.25 | 35.25 |
|  |  | 8322_S | Senior Counselor, Juvenile Hall | 3,424 | B | 4,162 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 8324_S | Supervising Counselor, Juvenile Court | 3,526 | B | 4,284 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8562_P | Counselor, Juvenile Hall (SFERS) | 2,644 | B | 3,214 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.26 | 2.18 |
| 232035 JUV Juvenile Hall | $13720 \text { SR }$ <br> Public <br> Protection-Grant Sta | 8320_S | Counselor, Juvenile Hall | 2,644 | B | 3,214 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85.51 | 85.43 |
| 232038 JUV <br> Log Cabin <br> Ranch <br> 232038 JUV <br> Log Cabin <br> Ranch | 10000 GF <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 2654_C | Cook | 2,500 | B | 3,037 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8322_S | Senior Counselor, Juvenile Hall | 3,424 | B | 4,162 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.70 | 0.68 |
|  | $13720 \text { SR }$ <br> Public <br> Protection-Grant Sta | 8321_S | Counselor, Log Cabin Ranch | 2,844 | B | 3,457 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8322_S | Senior Counselor, Juvenile Hall | 3,424 | B | 4,162 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8326_S | Assistant Director, Log Cabin Ranch | 3,398 | B | 4,555 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8564_P | Counselor, Log Cabin Ranch (SFERS) | 2,844 | B | 3,457 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.70 | 12.68 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232040 \text { JUV } \\ & \text { General } \end{aligned}$ | 10000 GF <br> Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_S | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0963_S | Department Head III | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1549_C | Secretary, Juvenile Probation Commission | 3,045 | B | 3,703 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232040 JUV <br> General | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1936_C | Senior Storekeeper | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2708_C | Custodian | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2716_C | Custodial Assistant Supervisor | 2,369 | B | 2,880 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7120_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance Superintendent | 5,875 | B | 5,875 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7205_C | Chief Stationary Engineer | 5,119 | B | 5,119 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7524_C | Institution Utility Worker | 2,057 | B | 2,500 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 8444_S | Deputy Probation Officer | 2,846 | B | 4,615 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.79 | 0.76 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232040 \text { JUV } \\ & \text { General } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13720 \text { SR } \\ & \text { Public } \\ & \text { Protection-Grant } \\ & \text { Sta } \end{aligned}$ | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: JUV Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48.29 | 48.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 201.50 | 201.37 |

Department: LIB Public Library

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232048 LIB | 13140 SR <br> Public Library Preserv | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Public Library |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0964_C | Department Head IV | 8,079 | B | 10,310 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1061_C | IS Program Analyst-Assistant | 2,853 | B | 3,582 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1062_C | IS Programmer Analyst | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1095_C | IT Operations Support Administrator V | 4,369 | B | 5,418 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1202_C | Personnel Clerk | 2,295 | B | 2,790 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1204_C | Senior Personnel Clerk | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 5.77 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1436_C | Braillist | 2,251 | B | 2,736 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1766_C | Media Production Technician | 2,445 | B | 2,972 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1769_C | Media Production Supervisor | 3,533 | B | 4,293 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1771_C | Media Production Specialist | 2,784 | B | 3,385 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1922_C | Senior Inventory Clerk | 2,117 | B | 2,574 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1926_C | Senior Materials And Supplies Supervisor | 2,322 | B | 2,822 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2708_C | Custodian | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 54.50 | 54.50 |
|  |  | 2716_C | Custodial Assistant Supervisor | 2,369 | B | 2,880 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2718_C | Custodial Supervisor | 2,611 | B | 3,175 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3374_C | Volunteer/Outreach Coordinator | 2,929 | B | 3,830 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3522_C | Senior Museum Preparator | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3542_C | Curator II | 2,992 | B | 3,637 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3602_C | Library Page | 1,917 | B | 2,327 | 135.00 | 135.00 |
|  |  | 3610_C | Library Assistant | 2,353 | B | 2,857 | 67.00 | 67.00 |
|  |  | 3616_C | Library Technical Assistant I | 2,768 | B | 3,364 | 63.00 | 63.00 |
|  |  | 3618_C | Library Technical Assistant II | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 46.00 | 46.00 |
|  |  | 3620_C | Conservation Technician I | 2,768 | B | 3,364 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 3621_C | Conservation Technician II | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3630_C | Librarian I | 3,223 | B | 3,917 | 149.00 | 149.00 |
|  |  | 3632_C | Librarian II | 3,567 | B | 4,336 | 61.00 | 61.00 |
|  |  | 3634_C | Librarian III | 3,934 | B | 4,781 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 5322_C | Graphic Artist | 2,437 | B | 3,112 | 2.50 | 2.50 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232048 LIB | 13140 SR | 5330_C | Graphics Supervisor | 3,326 | B | 4,044 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Public Library | Public Library Preserv | 7120_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance Superintendent | 5,875 | B | 5,875 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7205_C | Chief Stationary Engineer | 5,119 | B | 5,119 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7215_C | General Laborer Supervisor I | 2,747 | B | 3,339 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 7335_C | Senior Stationary Engineer | 4,573 | B | 4,573 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7344_C | Carpenter | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7345_C | Electrician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 5.50 | 5.50 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8207_C | Building And Grounds Patrol Officer | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 26.50 | 26.50 |
|  |  | 8211_C | Supervising Building and Grounds Patrol Officer | 2,660 | B | 3,233 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9251_C | Public Relations Manager | 4,863 | B | 6,518 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9912_C | Public Service Aide - Technical | 1,373 | B | 1,475 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 7.66 | 7.40 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 761.43 | 761.40 |
| LIB Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 761.43 | 761.40 |

Department: LLB Law Library

$\left.$| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 0 2 2}$ |
| :---: |
| FTE | | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 0 2 3}$ |
| :---: |
| FTE | \right\rvert\,

Department: MTA Municipal Transprtn Agncy

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103745 MTASM Street Management | 22260 MTA TS Op Annual Account Ctrl | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1410_C | Chief Clerk | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5289_C | Transportation Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8121_C | Transit Fare Inspector Supervisor/Investigator | 3,342 | B | 4,062 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 8214_C | Parking Control Officer | 2,263 | B | 2,915 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 9124_C | Senior Transit Information Clerk | 2,728 | B | 3,318 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9132_C | Transit Fare Inspector | 2,670 | B | 3,246 | 44.00 | 44.00 |
|  |  | 9172_C | Manager II, MTA | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9174_C | Manager IV, MTA | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9177_C | Manager III, MTA | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9179_C | Manager V, MTA | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9708_C | Employment \& Training Specialist VI | 4,597 | B | 5,587 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9910_C | Public Service Trainee | 0 | C | 0 | 42.00 | 42.00 |
|  |  | 9914_C | Public Service Aide - Administration | 1,745 | B | 1,745 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.13 | 0.12 |
| 103745 MTASM <br> Street <br> Management | $\begin{aligned} & 22265 \text { MTA OH } \\ & \text { OPR } \\ & \text { AGENCYWIDE } \\ & \text { NEW } \end{aligned}$ | 9174_C | Manager IV, MTA | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 103745 MTASM <br> Street <br> Management | 22305 MTA TS OPR PROJ SUP-PSF NEW | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3630_C | Librarian I | 3,223 | B | 3,917 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5277_C | Planner I | 2,803 | B | 3,407 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5283_C | Planner V | 5,690 | B | 7,436 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5288_C | Transportation Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 5289_C | Transportation Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 5290_C | Transportation Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5298_C | Planner III-Environmental Review | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9174_C | Manager IV, MTA | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9180_C | Manager VI, MTA | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9182_C | Manager VIII, MTA | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.59 | 2.51 |
| 103745 MTASM <br> Street <br> Management | 22870 MTA SS <br> Op Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 1091_C | IT Operations Support Administrator I | 2,341 | B | 2,900 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1410_C | Chief Clerk | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1424_C | Clerk Typist | 2,144 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1704_C | Communications Dispatcher I | 2,278 | B | 2,768 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
|  |  | 1705_C | Communications Dispatcher II | 2,526 | B | 3,069 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1708_C | Senior Telephone Operator | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1934_C | Storekeeper | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1936_C | Senior Storekeeper | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1942_C | Assistant Materials Coordinator | 3,792 | B | 4,610 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5203_C | Assistant Engineer | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 7.00 | 7.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103745 MTASM <br> Street <br> Management | 22870 MTA SS <br> Op Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5212_C | Engineer/Architect Principal | 7,070 | B | 9,242 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5241_C | Engineer | 5,262 | B | 6,398 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 5277_C | Planner I | 2,803 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5283_C | Planner V | 5,690 | B | 7,436 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5288_C | Transportation Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5289_C | Transportation Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5290_C | Transportation Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5302_C | Traffic Survey Technician | 2,817 | B | 3,424 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 5303_C | Supervisor, Traffic And Street Signs | 3,703 | B | 4,498 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5306_C | Traffic Sign Manager | 4,379 | B | 5,728 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5366_C | Engineering Associate II | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 6231_C | Senior Street Inspector | 3,526 | B | 4,284 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7242_C | Painter Supervisor I | 3,451 | B | 4,420 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7243_C | Parking Meter Repairer Supervisor I | 3,253 | B | 3,954 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7258_C | Maintenance Machinist Supervisor I | 5,267 | B | 5,267 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7332_C | Maintenance Machinist | 3,407 | B | 4,135 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7346_C | Painter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 7410_C | Automotive Service Worker | 2,505 | B | 3,045 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7432_C | Electrical Line Helper | 3,052 | B | 3,710 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7444_C | Parking Meter Repairer | 2,795 | B | 3,398 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 7457_C | Sign Worker | 2,579 | B | 3,135 | 22.00 | 22.00 |
|  |  | 8121_C | Transit Fare Inspector Supervisor/Investigator | 3,342 | B | 4,062 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8214_C | Parking Control Officer | 2,263 | B | 2,915 | 377.38 | 382.00 |
|  |  | 8216_C | Senior Parking Control Officer | 2,702 | B | 3,483 | 44.54 | 45.00 |
|  |  | 8219_C | Parking Enforcement Administrator | 3,632 | B | 4,411 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9145_C | Traffic Signal Electrician | 4,956 | B | 4,956 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 9147_C | Traffic Signal Electrician Supervisor I | 5,567 | B | 5,567 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9149_C | Traffic Signal Electrician Supervisor II | 6,219 | B | 6,219 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9172_C | Manager II, MTA | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 9174_C | Manager IV, MTA | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9177_C | Manager III, MTA | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 9179_C | Manager V, MTA | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 9180_C | Manager VI, MTA | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 9182_C | Manager VIII, MTA | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9187_C | Deputy Dir II, MTA | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9504_C | Permit and Citation Clerk | 2,617 | B | 3,184 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 23.48 | 22.70 |
| 103745 MTASM <br> Street <br> Management | 23390 MTA SS OPR PROJ SUPPORT-PSF | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5203_C | Assistant Engineer | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 21.00 | 21.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5241_C | Engineer | 5,262 | B | 6,398 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5288_C | Transportation Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5289_C | Transportation Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5290_C | Transportation Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5302_C | Traffic Survey Technician | 2,817 | B | 3,424 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5303_C | Supervisor, Traffic And Street Signs | 3,703 | B | 4,498 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5362_C | Engineering Assistant | 2,857 | B | 3,473 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5364_C | Engineering Associate I | 3,167 | B | 3,851 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5366_C | Engineering Associate II | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5506_C | Project Manager III | 8,325 | B | 8,966 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7346_C | Painter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 7432_C | Electrical Line Helper | 3,052 | B | 3,710 | 2.00 | 2.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103745 MTASM Street Management | 23390 MTA SS OPR PROJ SUPPORT-PSF | 7457_C | Sign Worker | 2,579 | B | 3,135 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9145_C | Traffic Signal Electrician | 4,956 | B | 4,956 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 9147_C | Traffic Signal Electrician Supervisor I | 5,567 | B | 5,567 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9179_C | Manager V, MTA | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 957.12 | 961.33 |
| 103758 MTAHR <br> Human <br> Resources | 22260 MTA TS <br> Op Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9172_C | Manager II, MTA | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9177_C | Manager III, MTA | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9179_C | Manager V, MTA | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 103758 MTAHR Human Resources | 22265 MTA OH OPR AGENCYWIDE NEW | 1202_C | Personnel Clerk | 2,295 | B | 2,790 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 1203_C | Personnel Technician | 2,784 | B | 3,385 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1204_C | Senior Personnel Clerk | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1224_C | Principal Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 22.00 | 22.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 1246_C | Principal Human Resources Analyst | 4,841 | B | 6,333 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9172_C | Manager II, MTA | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 9174_C | Manager IV, MTA | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 9179_C | Manager V, MTA | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9180_C | Manager VI, MTA | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9183_C | Deputy Dir I, MTA | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.44 | 2.36 |
| 103758 MTAHR <br> Human <br> Resources | 22305 MTA TS OPR PROJ SUP-PSF NEW | 1204_C | Senior Personnel Clerk | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.44 | 90.36 |
| 103773 MTAFA <br> Fit Finance \& Info Tech | 22260 MTA TS <br> Op Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 1033_C | IS Trainer-Senior | 4,062 | B | 4,936 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1041_C | IS Engineer-Assistant | 3,994 | B | 5,023 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1095_C | IT Operations Support Administrator V | 4,369 | B | 5,418 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1929_C | Parts Storekeeper | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 41.00 | 41.00 |
|  |  | 1931_C | Senior Parts Storekeeper | 2,755 | B | 3,349 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1935_C | Principal Parts Storekeeper | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1937_C | Supervising Parts Storekeeper | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1942_C | Assistant Materials Coordinator | 3,792 | B | 4,610 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1950_C | Assistant Purchaser | 2,617 | B | 3,184 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 2708_C | Custodian | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 58.00 | 58.00 |
|  |  | 2716_C | Custodial Assistant Supervisor | 2,369 | B | 2,880 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 2719_C | Janitorial Services Assistant Supervisor | 2,830 | B | 3,441 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2720_C | Janitorial Services Supervisor | 2,880 | B | 3,501 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5290_C | Transportation Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7205_C | Chief Stationary Engineer | 5,119 | B | 5,119 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7219_C | Maintenance Scheduler | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103773 MTAFA <br> Fit Finance \& Info Tech | 22260 MTA TS <br> Op Annual Account Ctrl | 7238_C | Electrician Supervisor I | 4,193 | B | 5,099 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7262_C | Maintenance Planner | 5,197 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 7335_C | Senior Stationary Engineer | 4,573 | B | 4,573 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7342_C | Locksmith | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7344_C | Carpenter | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7345_C | Electrician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7347_C | Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7510_C | Lighting Fixture Maintenance Worker | 2,149 | B | 2,611 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9110_C | Fare Collections Receiver | 2,392 | B | 2,907 | 47.00 | 47.00 |
|  |  | 9116_C | Senior Fare Collections Receiver | 2,768 | B | 3,364 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 9117_C | Principal Fare Collections Receiver | 3,483 | B | 4,234 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9172_C | Manager II, MTA | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9174_C | Manager IV, MTA | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9182_C | Manager VIII, MTA | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 103773 MTAFA <br> Fit Finance \& Info Tech | $\begin{aligned} & 22265 \text { MTA OH } \\ & \text { OPR } \\ & \text { AGENCYWIDE } \\ & \text { NEW } \end{aligned}$ | 1041_C | IS Engineer-Assistant | 3,994 | B | 5,023 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1220_C | Payroll and Personnel Clerk | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 1224_C | Principal Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1670_C | Financial Systems Supervisor | 5,034 | B | 6,586 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5290_C | Transportation Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7120_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance Superintendent | 5,875 | B | 5,875 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9172_C | Manager II, MTA | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9174_C | Manager IV, MTA | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 9179_C | Manager V, MTA | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 9180_C | Manager VI, MTA | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9181_C | Manager VII, MTA | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9182_C | Manager VIII, MTA | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
|  |  | 9187_C | Deputy Dir II, MTA | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.01 | 0.98 |
| 103773 MTAFA <br>  | 22305 MTA TS OPR PROJ | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 2.00 | 2.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103773 MTAFA <br> Fit Finance \& Info Tech | 22305 MTA TS OPR PROJ SUP-PSF NEW | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1231_C | EEO Programs Senior Specialist | 4,306 | B | 5,635 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1402_C | Junior Clerk | 1,893 | B | 2,300 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 2978_C | Contract Compliance Officer II | 4,948 | B | 6,015 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2992_C | Contract Compliance Officer I | 3,775 | B | 4,588 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5277_C | Planner I | 2,803 | B | 3,407 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5288_C | Transportation Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5289_C | Transportation Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5506_C | Project Manager III | 8,325 | B | 8,966 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9172_C | Manager II, MTA | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9174_C | Manager IV, MTA | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9177_C | Manager III, MTA | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9179_C | Manager V, MTA | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 9181_C | Manager VII, MTA | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9182_C | Manager VIII, MTA | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.58 | 0.56 |
| 103773 MTAFA <br> Fit Finance \& Info Tech | 22870 MTA SS <br> Op Annual Account Ctrl | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5302_C | Traffic Survey Technician | 2,817 | B | 3,424 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8167_C | Administrative Hearing Examiner | 3,632 | B | 4,411 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 8168_C | Administrative Hearing Supervisor | 4,153 | B | 5,047 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9174_C | Manager IV, MTA | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9177_C | Manager III, MTA | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9179_C | Manager V, MTA | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9504_C | Permit and Citation Clerk | 2,617 | B | 3,184 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 9506_C | Senior Permit and Citation Clerk | 2,873 | B | 3,493 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 9508_C | Principal Permit and Citation Clerk | 3,129 | B | 3,803 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 560.59 | 560.54 |
| 103776 MTAED <br> Executive <br> Director | $22265 \text { MTA OH }$ OPR <br> AGENCYWIDE NEW | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1369_C | Special Assistant X | 3,632 | B | 4,411 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1372_C | Special Assistant XIII | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1373_C | Special Assistant XIV | 4,842 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1375_C | Special Assistant XVI | 5,603 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2917_C | Program Support Analyst | 3,993 | B | 4,854 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9180_C | Manager VI, MTA | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9182_C | Manager VIII, MTA | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9186_C | General Manager, Public Transportation D | 13,703 | B | 14,114 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.00 | 13.00 |
| 103788 MTABD <br> Board Of Directors | 22265 MTA OH OPR <br> AGENCYWIDE NEW | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9172_C | Manager II, MTA | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9190_C | Board Scty, MTA | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.00 | 3.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 138672 MTACC | 22305 MTA TS | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| CV-Captl Progr | OPR PROJ | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| \& Constr | SUP-PSF NEW | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5203_C | Assistant Engineer | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 27.00 | 27.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 5212_C | Engineer/Architect Principal | 7,070 | B | 9,242 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5241_C | Engineer | 5,262 | B | 6,398 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
|  |  | 5364_C | Engineering Associate I | 3,167 | B | 3,851 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5366_C | Engineering Associate II | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5380_C | Student Design Trainee I, Arch., Engr., \& Planning | 2,431 | B | 2,431 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 5506_C | Project Manager III | 8,325 | B | 8,966 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 6317_C | Assistant Construction Inspector | 3,184 | B | 3,868 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 6318_C | Construction Inspector | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 6319_C | Senior Contruction Inspector | 4,265 | B | 5,185 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 9172_C | Manager II, MTA | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9177_C | Manager III, MTA | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9183_C | Deputy Dir I, MTA | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9187_C | Deputy Dir II, MTA | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9922_C | Public Service Aide - Associate To Professionals | 1,915 | B | 1,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 3.83 | 3.70 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 186.33 | 186.20 |
| 138753 MTATS <br> Transit Svc Division | 22260 MTA TS <br> Op Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1310_C | Public Relations Assistant | 2,322 | B | 2,822 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1424_C | Clerk Typist | 2,144 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1444_C | Secretary I | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5203_C | Assistant Engineer | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 3.00 | 3.00 |




| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 138753 MTATS <br> Transit Svc Division | 22305 MTA TS OPR PROJ SUP-PSF NEW | 9139_C | Transit Supervisor | 3,473 | B | 4,222 | 21.00 | 21.00 |
|  |  | 9174_C | Manager IV, MTA | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9195_C | Light Rail Vehicle Equipment Engineer | 5,159 | B | 6,274 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9196_C | Senior Light Rail Vehicle Equipment Engineer | 5,973 | B | 7,260 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,743.05 | 4,742.69 |
| 139648 MTAAW Agency-wide | $22265 \text { MTA OH }$ OPR AGENCYWIDE NEW | 1220_C | Payroll and Personnel Clerk | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5201_C | Junior Engineer | 3,458 | B | 4,203 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 5203_C | Assistant Engineer | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5241_C | Engineer | 5,262 | B | 6,398 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 5288_C | Transportation Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5289_C | Transportation Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5366_C | Engineering Associate II | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5380_C | Student Design Trainee I, Arch., Engr., \& Planning | 2,431 | B | 2,431 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
|  |  | 5381_C | Student Design Trainee II, Arch, Engr, \& Planning | 2,611 | B | 2,611 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7318_C | Electronic Maintenance Technician | 4,114 | B | 4,999 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7346_C | Painter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7371_C | Electical Transit System Mechanic | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9132_C | Transit Fare Inspector | 2,670 | B | 3,246 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9179_C | Manager V, MTA | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9181_C | Manager VII, MTA | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: <br> 149678 MTASA Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.00 | 55.00 |
|  | 22260 MTA TS <br> Op Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5177_C | Safety Officer | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6130_C | Safety Analyst | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 6138_C | Industrial Hygienist | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9172_C | Manager II, MTA | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9179_C | Manager V, MTA | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9183_C | Deputy Dir I, MTA | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9520_C | Transportation Safety Specialist | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.86 | 2.77 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.86 | 30.77 |
| 175644 MTACO Communications | 22265 MTA OH OPR AGENCYWIDE NEW | 1051_C | IS Business Analyst-Assistant | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1310_C | Public Relations Assistant | 2,322 | B | 2,822 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1770_C | Photographer | 2,510 | B | 3,052 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3554_C | Associate Museum Registrar | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5288_C | Transportation Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5320_C | Illustrator And Art Designer | 3,167 | B | 3,851 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5330_C | Graphics Supervisor | 3,326 | B | 4,044 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9122_C | Transit Information Clerk | 2,611 | B | 3,175 | 1.00 | 1.00 |



Department: MYR Mayor

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { FTE }}{\substack{\text { 2022-2023 } \\ \hline}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232055 MYR | 10000 GF | 0882_C | Mayoral Staff II | 1,930 | B | 2,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Office Of The | Annual | 0884_C | Mayoral Staff IV | 2,234 | B | 2,715 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Mayor | Account Ctrl | 0885_C | Mayoral Staff V | 2,333 | B | 2,837 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0886_C | Mayoral Staff VI | 2,499 | B | 3,038 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0887_C | Mayoral Staff VII | 2,687 | B | 3,266 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 0889_C | Mayoral Staff IX | 3,112 | B | 3,781 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0890_C | Mayoral Staff X | 3,362 | B | 4,086 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0891_C | Mayoral Staff XI | 3,621 | B | 4,403 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0892_C | Mayoral Staff XII | 3,893 | B | 4,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0901_C | Mayoral Staff XIII | 4,202 | B | 5,363 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 0902_C | Mayoral Staff XIV | 4,505 | B | 5,749 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 0903_C | Mayoral Staff XV | 4,863 | B | 6,207 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0904_C | Mayoral Staff XVI | 5,215 | B | 6,655 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0905_C | Mayoral Staff XVII | 5,630 | B | 7,184 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1190_C | Mayor | 14,167 | B | 14,167 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9920_C | Public Service Aide - Assistant To Professionals | 1,750 | B | 1,750 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.27 | 1.23 |
| 232055 MYR Office Of The Mayor | 10020 GF <br> Continuing <br> Authority Ctrl | 0903_C | Mayoral Staff XV | 4,863 | B | 6,207 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 232055 MYR Office Of The Mayor | 10060 GF Work Order | 0884_C | Mayoral Staff IV | 2,234 | B | 2,715 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0889_C | Mayoral Staff IX | 3,112 | B | 3,781 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0901_C | Mayoral Staff XIII | 4,202 | B | 5,363 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0902_C | Mayoral Staff XIV | 4,505 | B | 5,749 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0903_C | Mayoral Staff XV | 4,863 | B | 6,207 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0904_C | Mayoral Staff XVI | 5,215 | B | 6,655 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0905_C | Mayoral Staff XVII | 5,630 | B | 7,184 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 232055 MYR Office Of The Mayor | 12550 SR <br> Grants; GSF <br> Continuing | 0903_C | Mayoral Staff XV | 4,863 | B | 6,207 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52.02 | 51.98 |
| 232065 MYR <br> Housing \& Community Dev | 10010 GF <br> Annual <br> Authority Ctrl | 0890_C | Mayoral Staff X | 3,362 | B | 4,086 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0891_C | Mayoral Staff XI | 3,621 | B | 4,403 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0943_C | Manager VIII | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 2.54 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 14.97 | 14.47 |
| 232065 MYR | 10020 GF | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Housing \& | Continuing | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Community Dev | Authority Ctrl | 9770_C | Community Development Assistant | 2,510 | B | 3,052 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 232065 MYR | 10060 GF | 0903_C | Mayoral Staff XV | 4,863 | B | 6,207 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Housing \& Community Dev | Work Order | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 232065 MYR <br>  <br> Community <br> Dev | 10580 SR <br> Citywide <br> Affordable <br> Housing | 0903_C | Mayoral Staff XV | 4,863 | B | 6,207 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
|  |  | 0905_C | Mayoral Staff XVII | 5,630 | B | 7,184 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9770_C | Community Development Assistant | 2,510 | B | 3,052 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9774_C | Senior Community Development Specialist I | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.35 | 0.34 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code |  | Lob Title | Low | Type | High | 2021-2022 <br> FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 23202-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

Department: PDR Public Defender

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232082 PDR | 10000 GF | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Public | Annual Account | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Detender |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1204_C | Senior Personnel Clerk | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1402_C | Junior Clerk | 1,893 | B | 2,300 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1430_C | Transcriber Typist | 2,353 | B | 2,857 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1458_C | Legal Secretary I | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2910_C | Social Worker | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8106_C | Legal Process Clerk | 2,240 | B | 2,722 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 8108_C | Senior Legal Process Clerk | 2,458 | B | 2,987 | 4.77 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 8142_C | Public Defender's Investigator | 3,561 | B | 4,328 | 11.92 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 8143_C | Senior Public Defender's Investigator | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 11.62 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 8173_C | Legal Assistant | 3,184 | B | 4,166 | 23.00 | 23.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 90.46 | 90.00 |
|  |  | 8182_C | Head Attorney, Civil And Criminal | 7,544 | B | 9,170 | 14.31 | 15.00 |
|  |  | 8193_C | Chief Attorney I (Civil \& Criminal) | 8,405 | B | 10,218 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8196_C | Public Defender | 10,756 | B | 10,756 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8446_C | Court Alternative Specialist I | 2,683 | B | 3,260 | 9.54 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 8452_C | Criminal Justice Specialist II | 3,657 | B | 4,444 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.48 | 0.47 |
| 232082 PDR | 13550 SR | 2910_C | Social Worker | 2,637 | B | 3,205 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| Public | Public |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Defender | Protection-Grant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 232082 PDR | 13730 SR | 8173_C | Legal Assistant | 3,184 | B | 4,166 | 0.90 | 0.00 |
| Public Defender | Public <br> Protection-Grant <br> Oth | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 2.15 | 1.25 |
| Division Total: <br> PDR Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 209.57 | 210.06 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 209.57 | 210.06 |

Department: POL Police

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 207909 \text { POL } \\ & - \text { SOB - } \\ & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Operations } \end{aligned}$ | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3310_C | Stable Attendant | 2,138 | B | 2,601 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 9209_C | Community Police Services Aide | 2,584 | B | 3,142 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | Q004_P | Police Officer III | 3,666 | B | 5,098 | 157.00 | 157.00 |
|  |  | Q052_P | Sergeant III | 5,916 | B | 5,916 | 21.00 | 21.00 |
|  |  | Q062_P | Lieutenant III | 6,758 | B | 6,758 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | Q082_P | Captain III | 8,540 | B | 8,540 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| $207909 \text { POL }$ <br> - SOB - <br> Special Operations | 10060 GF Work Order | 0490_P | Commander III | 9,540 | B | 9,540 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | Q004_P | Police Officer III | 3,666 | B | 5,098 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | Q052_P | Sergeant III | 5,916 | B | 5,916 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | Q082_P | Captain III | 8,540 | B | 8,540 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 227.00 | 227.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232086 \text { POL } \\ & \text { Admin } \end{aligned}$ | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0390_P | Chief of Police | 13,183 | B | 13,183 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0395_P | Assistant Chief of Police | 12,153 | B | 12,153 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0402_P | Deputy Chief III | 11,738 | B | 11,738 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0490_P | Commander III | 9,540 | B | 9,540 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1062_C | IS Programmer Analyst | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1202_C | Personnel Clerk | 2,295 | B | 2,790 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1203_C | Personnel Technician | 2,784 | B | 3,385 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1209_C | Benefits Technician | 2,427 | B | 2,951 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1226_C | Chief Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,364 | B | 4,088 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1231_C | EEO Programs Senior Specialist | 4,306 | B | 5,635 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1250_C | Recruiter | 4,062 | B | 4,936 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1310_C | Public Relations Assistant | 2,322 | B | 2,822 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 48.00 | 48.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1410_C | Chief Clerk | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 2.00 | 2.00 |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|r|rr}\hline \text { Division } & \text { Fund } & \text { Job Code } & & \text { Low } & \text { Type } & \text { High } & \mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 0 2 2} & \text { FTE } \\ \mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 0 2 3} \\ \text { FTE }\end{array}\right]$

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232091 \mathrm{POL} \\ & \text { - FOB - Field } \\ & \text { Operations } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10000 \text { GF } \\ & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Account Ctrl } \end{aligned}$ | Q082_P | Captain III | 8,540 | B | 8,540 | 22.00 | 22.00 |
| 232091 POL <br> - FOB - Field Operations | $10010 \text { GF }$ <br> Annual Authority Ctrl | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 232091 POL - FOB - Field Operations | 10060 GF Work Order | 8202_C | Security Guard | 1,978 | B | 2,922 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| 232091 POL | 13590 SR | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| - FOB - Field | SFPD-Auto | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Operations | Fingerprint ld | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8254_C | Forensic Latent Examiner Supervisor | 3,819 | B | 4,640 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| 232091 POL <br> - FOB - Field Operations | 14820 SR <br> ETF-Gift | 8274_C | Police Cadet | 1,551 | B | 1,881 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,961.05 | 1,961.05 |
| 232104 POL | 17960 AIR | 0402_P | Deputy Chief III | 11,738 | B | 11,738 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| - Airport | Op Annual | 0490_P | Commander III | 9,540 | B | 9,540 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | Account Ctrl | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1224_C | Principal Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1942_C | Assistant Materials Coordinator | 3,792 | B | 4,610 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8217_C | Community Police Services Aide Supervisor | 2,992 | B | 3,637 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 9209_C | Community Police Services Aide | 2,584 | B | 3,142 | 200.00 | 200.00 |
|  |  | Q004_P | Police Officer III | 3,666 | B | 5,098 | 188.00 | 188.00 |
|  |  | Q052_P | Sergeant III | 5,916 | B | 5,916 | 38.00 | 38.00 |
|  |  | Q062_P | Lieutenant III | 6,758 | B | 6,758 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | Q082_P | Captain III | 8,540 | B | 8,540 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 477.01 | 477.01 |
| POL Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,058.38 | 3,091.40 |

Department: PRT Port

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210648 PRT Real Estate \& Development | 23680 PRT-OP Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4308_C | Senior Collections Officer | 2,857 | B | 3,473 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5283_C | Planner V | 5,690 | B | 7,436 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9386_C | Senior Property Manager, Port | 4,655 | B | 6,091 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9395_C | Property Manager, Port | 4,010 | B | 4,872 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.83 | 0.80 |
| Division Total: <br> 232110 PRT <br>  <br> Environment |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.83 | 27.80 |
|  | 23680 PRT-OP Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5299_C | Planner IV-Environmental Review | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5620_C | Regulatory Specialist | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.82 | 1.76 |
| Division Total: <br> 232111 PRT <br> Maritime |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.82 | 13.76 |
|  | 23680 PRT-OP Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5299_C | Planner IV-Environmental Review | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9357_C | Wharfinger I/II | 3,142 | B | 4,872 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 9376_C | Marine Operations Specialist | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9393_C | Maritime Marketing Representative | 4,478 | B | 5,444 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.58 | 0.56 |
| 232111 PRT <br> Maritime | 24530 PRT-SBH <br> Annual Authority Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3232_C | Marina Assistant | 2,358 | B | 2,866 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 3233_C | Marina Associate Manager | 2,663 | B | 3,567 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.18 | 26.16 |
| 232112 PRT <br> Finance And Administration | 23680 PRT-OP Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1091_C | IT Operations Support Administrator I | 2,341 | B | 2,900 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1204_C | Senior Personnel Clerk | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232112 \text { PRT } \\ & \text { Finance And } \\ & \text { Administration } \end{aligned}$ | 23680 PRT-OP Annual Account Ctrl | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1224_C | Principal Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.61 | 0.58 |
| 232112 PRT <br> Finance And Administration | 23700 PRT-OP <br> ContinuingAuthorityCtrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5218_C | Structural Engineer | 5,802 | B | 7,051 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5283_C | Planner V | 5,690 | B | 7,436 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5299_C | Planner IV-Environmental Review | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5506_C | Project Manager III | 8,325 | B | 8,966 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5508_C | Project Manager IV | 9,285 | B | 10,001 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7215_C | General Laborer Supervisor I | 2,747 | B | 3,339 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7311_C | Cement Mason | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7347_C | Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7376_C | Sheet Metal Worker | 3,860 | B | 4,693 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 9251_C | Public Relations Manager | 4,863 | B | 6,518 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9330_C | Pile Worker | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 9331_C | Piledriver Engine Operator | 3,646 | B | 4,432 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9332_C | Piledriver Supervisor I | 3,934 | B | 4,781 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9343_C | Roofer | 3,082 | B | 3,746 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 109.61 | 109.58 |
| 232113 PRT <br> Maintenance | 23680 PRT-OP Annual Account Ctrl | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1934_C | Storekeeper | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1938_C | Stores And Equipment Assistant Supervisor | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5177_C | Safety Officer | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6139_C | Senior Industrial Hygienist | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7205_C | Chief Stationary Engineer | 5,119 | B | 5,119 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7213_C | Plumber Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7215_C | General Laborer Supervisor I | 2,747 | B | 3,339 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7226_C | Carpenter Supervisor I | 4,071 | B | 4,948 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7238_C | Electrician Supervisor I | 4,193 | B | 5,099 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7242_C | Painter Supervisor I | 3,451 | B | 4,420 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232113 PRT <br> Maintenance | 23680 PRT-OP Annual Account Ctrl | 7258_C | Maintenance Machinist Supervisor I | 5,267 | B | 5,267 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7262_C | Maintenance Planner | 5,197 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7282_C | Street Repair Supervisor II | 3,860 | B | 4,693 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7327_C | Apprentice Maintenance Machinist I | 2,263 | B | 2,880 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 7328_C | Operating Engineer, Universal | 3,596 | B | 4,369 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7331_C | Apprentice Maintenance Machinist II | 3,087 | B | 3,702 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7332_C | Maintenance Machinist | 3,407 | B | 4,135 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7344_C | Carpenter | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7345_C | Electrician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7346_C | Painter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7347_C | Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7376_C | Sheet Metal Worker | 3,860 | B | 4,693 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7395_C | Ornamental Iron Worker | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7404_C | Asphalt Finisher | 2,568 | B | 3,120 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7502_C | Asphalt Worker | 2,480 | B | 3,017 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 9330_C | Pile Worker | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 9331_C | Piledriver Engine Operator | 3,646 | B | 4,432 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9332_C | Piledriver Supervisor I | 3,934 | B | 4,781 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9342_C | Ornamental Iron Worker Supervisor I | 3,627 | B | 4,402 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9343_C | Roofer | 3,082 | B | 3,746 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 9344_C | Roofer Supervisor I | 3,555 | B | 4,319 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9345_C | Sheet Metal Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9346_C | Fusion Welder | 3,782 | B | 4,597 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 9354_C | Elevator and Crane Technician | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9358_C | Crane Mechanic Supervisor | 4,508 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.05 | 1.01 |
| Division Total: <br> 232115 PRT <br> Executive |  |  |  |  |  |  | 109.55 | 109.51 |
|  | 23680 PRT-OP Annual Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9399_C | Port Director | 11,623 | B | 11,971 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 290644 PRT <br> Engineering | 23680 PRT-OP Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5212_C | Engineer/Architect Principal | 7,070 | B | 9,242 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5241_C | Engineer | 5,262 | B | 6,398 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5266_C | Architectural Associate II | 4,458 | B | 5,418 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5314_C | Survey Associate | 3,757 | B | 4,567 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5366_C | Engineering Associate II | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6318_C | Construction Inspector | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 6331_C | Building Inspector | 4,293 | B | 5,219 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6333_C | Senior Building Inspector | 4,735 | B | 5,754 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6334_C | Chief Building Inspector | 5,219 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.39 | 0.37 |
| Division Total:PRT Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.39 | 27.37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 324.38 | 324.18 |

Department: PUC Public Utilities Commissn

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 198644 HHP CleanPowerSF | 24750 HH CleanPowerSF Op Annual Acco | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1478_C | Utility Services Representative | 2,663 | B | 3,236 | 1.54 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1480_C | Utility Services Representative Supervisor | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5408_C | Coordinator of Citizen Involvement | 4,275 | B | 5,194 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5601_C | Utility Analyst | 2,636 | B | 4,091 | 6.77 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5602_C | Utility Specialist | 3,979 | B | 5,883 | 16.54 | 17.00 |
|  |  | 5620_C | Regulatory Specialist | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 11.15 | 10.77 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51.08 | 52.77 |
| 229309 WWE <br> Wastewater Enterprise | 20160 WWE <br> Op Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1230_C | Instructional Designer | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1402_C | Junior Clerk | 1,893 | B | 2,300 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1424_C | Clerk Typist | 2,144 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1480_C | Utility Services Representative Supervisor | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1704_C | Communications Dispatcher I | 2,278 | B | 2,768 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1843_C | Exec Dir, Southeast Community Facility Commission | 4,275 | B | 5,727 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1934_C | Storekeeper | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1936_C | Senior Storekeeper | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1938_C | Stores And Equipment Assistant Supervisor | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 1.00 | 1.00 |



| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229309 WWE <br> Wastewater Enterprise | 20160 WWE Op Annual Account Ctrl | 7510_C | Lighting Fixture Maintenance Worker | 2,149 | B | 2,611 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 9708_C | Employment \& Training Specialist VI | 4,597 | B | 5,587 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9910_C | Public Service Trainee | 0 | C | 0 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 5.13 | 4.96 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 563.83 | 565.96 |
| 231637 HHP Hetch Hetchy Water \& Power | 24970 HHWP <br> Op Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1041_C | IS Engineer-Assistant | 3,994 | B | 5,023 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1062_C | IS Programmer Analyst | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1224_C | Principal Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1232_C | Training Officer | 3,509 | B | 4,594 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1931_C | Senior Parts Storekeeper | 2,755 | B | 3,349 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1932_C | Assistant Storekeeper | 2,057 | B | 2,500 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1934_C | Storekeeper | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1942_C | Assistant Materials Coordinator | 3,792 | B | 4,610 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1944_C | Materials Coordinator | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2706_C | Housekeeper/Food Service Cleaner | 1,893 | B | 2,300 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2708_C | Custodian | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3426_C | Forester | 3,746 | B | 5,021 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3434_C | Arborist Technician | 2,776 | B | 3,803 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5148_C | Water Operations Analyst | 5,989 | B | 5,989 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5201_C | Junior Engineer | 3,458 | B | 4,203 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5203_C | Assistant Engineer | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 5212_C | Engineer/Architect Principal | 7,070 | B | 9,242 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5216_C | Chief Surveyor | 4,817 | B | 6,308 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5241_C | Engineer | 5,262 | B | 6,398 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
|  |  | 5277_C | Planner I | 2,803 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5305_C | Materials Testing Technician | 2,830 | B | 3,441 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5312_C | Survey Assistant II | 3,261 | B | 3,964 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5314_C | Survey Associate | 3,757 | B | 4,567 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5362_C | Engineering Assistant | 2,857 | B | 3,473 | 3.00 | 3.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 231637 HHP Hetch Hetchy Water \& Power | 24970 HHWP <br> Op Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 5366_C | Engineering Associate II | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5601_C | Utility Analyst | 2,636 | B | 4,091 | 11.77 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 5602_C | Utility Specialist | 3,979 | B | 5,883 | 35.00 | 35.00 |
|  |  | 5620_C | Regulatory Specialist | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6130_C | Safety Analyst | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6319_C | Senior Contruction Inspector | 4,265 | B | 5,185 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7120_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance Superintendent | 5,875 | B | 5,875 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7126_C | Mechanical Shop And Equipment Superintendent | 4,576 | B | 5,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7215_C | General Laborer Supervisor I | 2,747 | B | 3,339 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7219_C | Maintenance Scheduler | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7226_C | Carpenter Supervisor I | 4,071 | B | 4,948 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7229_C | Transmission Line Supervisor I | 4,588 | B | 5,576 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7232_C | Hetch Hetchy Mechanical Shop Supervisor | 4,512 | B | 4,512 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7238_C | Electrician Supervisor I | 4,193 | B | 5,099 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7242_C | Painter Supervisor I | 3,451 | B | 4,420 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7250_C | Utility Plumber Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7259_C | Water And Power Maintenance Supervisor I | 3,318 | B | 4,032 | 3.77 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7262_C | Maintenance Planner | 5,197 | B | 5,197 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 7263_C | Maintenance Manager | 4,555 | B | 6,103 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7270_C | Watershed Keeper Supervisor | 2,992 | B | 3,637 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7284_C | Utility Plumber Supervisor II | 4,761 | B | 5,787 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7285_C | Transmission Line Worker Supervisor II | 5,107 | B | 6,208 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7287_C | Supervising Electronic Maintenance Technician | 4,761 | B | 5,787 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7318_C | Electronic Maintenance Technician | 4,114 | B | 4,999 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 7325_C | General Utility Mechanic | 4,266 | B | 4,266 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 7328_C | Operating Engineer, Universal | 3,596 | B | 4,369 | 4.77 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7329_C | Electronic Maintenance Technician Asst Supervisor | 4,444 | B | 5,403 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7338_C | Electrical Line Worker | 4,507 | B | 4,507 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7344_C | Carpenter | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7345_C | Electrician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 7346_C | Painter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7350_C | Transmission and Distribution Line Worker | 4,970 | B | 4,970 | 12.77 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7372_C | Stationary Engineer, Sewage Plant | 4,342 | B | 4,342 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7373_C | Senior Stationary Engineer, Sewage Plant | 4,915 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7388_C | Utility Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7432_C | Electrical Line Helper | 3,052 | B | 3,710 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 7470_C | Watershed Keeper | 2,677 | B | 3,253 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7482_C | Power Generation Technician II | 4,033 | B | 4,902 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 7484_C | Senior Power Generation Technician | 4,307 | B | 5,237 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 7488_C | Power Generation Supervisor | 5,034 | B | 6,118 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 22.00 | 22.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 13.44 | 12.98 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 371.52 | 371.98 |
| 232176 PUB Public Utilities Bureaus | 27180 PUC <br> Operating Fund | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 12.77 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 8.54 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1031_C | IS Trainer-Assistant | 2,749 | B | 3,342 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1041_C | IS Engineer-Assistant | 3,994 | B | 5,023 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1042_C | IS Engineer-Journey | 4,423 | B | 5,563 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 16.77 | 17.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232176 PUB | 27180 PUC | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Public Utilities | Operating | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Bureaus |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 7.77 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1095_C | IT Operations Support Administrator V | 4,369 | B | 5,418 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1204_C | Senior Personnel Clerk | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1218_C | Payroll Supervisor | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1226_C | Chief Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,364 | B | 4,088 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1230_C | Instructional Designer | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1231_C | EEO Programs Senior Specialist | 4,306 | B | 5,635 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1232_C | Training Officer | 3,509 | B | 4,594 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 9.08 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 16.54 | 17.00 |
|  |  | 1246_C | Principal Human Resources Analyst | 4,841 | B | 6,333 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1310_C | Public Relations Assistant | 2,322 | B | 2,822 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1466_C | Meter Reader | 2,475 | B | 3,009 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1474_C | Claims Process Clerk | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1478_C | Utility Services Representative | 2,663 | B | 3,236 | 43.00 | 43.00 |
|  |  | 1480_C | Utility Services Representative Supervisor | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 10.54 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 7.77 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 18.77 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 5.77 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3374_C | Volunteer/Outreach Coordinator | 2,929 | B | 3,830 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4310_C | Commercial Division Assistant Supervisor | 3,318 | B | 4,444 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 4321_C | Cashier II | 2,295 | B | 2,790 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4322_C | Cashier III | 2,574 | B | 3,129 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5177_C | Safety Officer | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5212_C | Engineer/Architect Principal | 7,070 | B | 9,242 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5320_C | Illustrator And Art Designer | 3,167 | B | 3,851 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5322_C | Graphic Artist | 2,437 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5408_C | Coordinator of Citizen Involvement | 4,275 | B | 5,194 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5601_C | Utility Analyst | 2,636 | B | 4,091 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5602_C | Utility Specialist | 3,979 | B | 5,883 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6130_C | Safety Analyst | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 6138_C | Industrial Hygienist | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 6139_C | Senior Industrial Hygienist | 4,986 | B | 6,517 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232176 PUB | 27180 PUC | 7120_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance | 5,875 | B | 5,875 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Public Utilities | Operating |  | Superintendent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bureaus | Fund | 7204_C | Chief Water Service Inspector | 4,948 | B | 6,015 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7316_C | Water Service Inspector | 3,876 | B | 4,711 | 17.00 | 17.00 |
|  |  | 7317_C | Senior Water Service Inspector | 4,488 | B | 5,455 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7335_C | Senior Stationary Engineer | 4,573 | B | 4,573 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7368_C | Senior Communications Systems Technician | 4,970 | B | 6,041 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 9989_C | Executive Contract Employee with FBP | 0 | C | 0 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 17.85 | 17.22 |
| 232176 PUB <br> Public Utilities Bureaus | 27190 PUC <br> Personnel Fund | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 0943_C | Manager VIII | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1770_C | Photographer | 2,510 | B | 3,052 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1774_C | Head Photographer | 3,009 | B | 3,657 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 15.00 | 15.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5174_C | Administrative Engineer | 5,659 | B | 6,878 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5203_C | Assistant Engineer | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 63.00 | 63.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 34.00 | 34.00 |
|  |  | 5212_C | Engineer/Architect Principal | 7,070 | B | 9,242 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 5218_C | Structural Engineer | 5,802 | B | 7,051 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5241_C | Engineer | 5,262 | B | 6,398 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5298_C | Planner III-Environmental Review | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 5299_C | Planner IV-Environmental Review | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5362_C | Engineering Assistant | 2,857 | B | 3,473 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5364_C | Engineering Associate I | 3,167 | B | 3,851 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 5366_C | Engineering Associate II | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5381_C | Student Design Trainee II, Arch, Engr, \& Planning | 2,611 | B | 2,611 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5382_C | Student Design Trainee III, Arch, Engr, \& Planning | 2,736 | B | 2,736 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5506_C | Project Manager III | 8,325 | B | 8,966 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5601_C | Utility Analyst | 2,636 | B | 4,091 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5602_C | Utility Specialist | 3,979 | B | 5,883 | 9.00 | 9.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232176 PUB Public Utilities Bureaus | 27190 PUC <br> Personnel Fund | 6317_C | Assistant Construction Inspector | 3,184 | B | 3,868 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6318_C | Construction Inspector | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
|  |  | 6319_C | Senior Contruction Inspector | 4,265 | B | 5,185 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 9772_C | Community Development Specialist | 3,158 | B | 3,839 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9775_C | Senior Community Development Specialist II | 4,336 | B | 5,272 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 3.64 | 3.52 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 819.58 | 822.74 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232429 \text { WTR } \\ & \text { Water } \\ & \text { Enterprise } \end{aligned}$ | 25940 WTR <br> Op Annual <br> Account Ctr | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 6.77 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0942_C | Manager VII | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1424_C | Clerk Typist | 2,144 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1452_C | Executive Secretary II | 3,105 | B | 3,775 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1478_C | Utility Services Representative | 2,663 | B | 3,236 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1480_C | Utility Services Representative Supervisor | 2,922 | B | 3,555 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1705_C | Communications Dispatcher II | 2,526 | B | 3,069 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1839_C | Water Conservation Administrator | 4,444 | B | 5,958 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1929_C | Parts Storekeeper | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1931_C | Senior Parts Storekeeper | 2,755 | B | 3,349 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1934_C | Storekeeper | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1944_C | Materials Coordinator | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1950_C | Assistant Purchaser | 2,617 | B | 3,184 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1952_C | Purchaser | 3,275 | B | 3,981 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2481_C | Water Quality Technician | 2,722 | B | 3,830 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 2482_C | Water Quality Technician III | 3,391 | B | 4,122 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2483_C | Biologist | 3,229 | B | 4,545 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
|  |  | 2484_C | Biologist III | 4,545 | B | 5,524 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2485_C | Supervising Biologist | 4,886 | B | 5,939 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2486_C | Chemist | 3,229 | B | 4,545 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2487_C | Chemist III | 4,545 | B | 5,524 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 2488_C | Supervising Chemist | 4,886 | B | 5,939 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2489_C | Laboratory Services Manager | 5,311 | B | 6,948 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2708_C | Custodian | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 3374_C | Volunteer/Outreach Coordinator | 2,929 | B | 3,830 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 3422_C | Park Section Supervisor | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 2.00 | 2.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232429 WTR | 25940 WTR | 3424_C | Integrated Pest Management Specialist | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Water | Op Annual | 3425_C | Senior Integrated Pest Management Specialist | 3,275 | B | 3,981 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Enterprise | Account Ctrl | 3430_C | Chief Nursery Specialist | 3,441 | B | 4,180 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3434_C | Arborist Technician | 2,776 | B | 3,803 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 3436_C | Arborist Technician Supervisor I | 3,493 | B | 4,245 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3486_C | Watershed Forester | 3,934 | B | 5,272 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5148_C | Water Operations Analyst | 5,989 | B | 5,989 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5149_C | Superintendent of Water Treatment Facilities | 6,635 | B | 6,635 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5201_C | Junior Engineer | 3,458 | B | 4,203 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5203_C | Assistant Engineer | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 5207_C | Associate Engineer | 4,545 | B | 5,525 | 17.31 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 5211_C | Engineer/Architect/Landscape Architect Senior | 6,092 | B | 7,404 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 5212_C | Engineer/Architect Principal | 7,070 | B | 9,242 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5216_C | Chief Surveyor | 4,817 | B | 6,308 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5241_C | Engineer | 5,262 | B | 6,398 | 21.00 | 21.00 |
|  |  | 5278_C | Planner II | 3,407 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5293_C | Planner IV | 4,795 | B | 5,827 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5298_C | Planner III-Environmental Review | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 5310_C | Survey Assistant I | 2,902 | B | 3,527 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5312_C | Survey Assistant II | 3,261 | B | 3,964 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5314_C | Survey Associate | 3,757 | B | 4,567 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5362_C | Engineering Assistant | 2,857 | B | 3,473 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5364_C | Engineering Associate I | 3,167 | B | 3,851 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 5366_C | Engineering Associate II | 3,668 | B | 4,458 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5382_C | Student Design Trainee III, Arch, Engr, \& Planning | 2,736 | B | 2,736 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 5601_C | Utility Analyst | 2,636 | B | 4,091 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 5602_C | Utility Specialist | 3,979 | B | 5,883 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 5620_C | Regulatory Specialist | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 6130_C | Safety Analyst | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6318_C | Construction Inspector | 3,868 | B | 4,703 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 6319_C | Senior Contruction Inspector | 4,265 | B | 5,185 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7120_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance Superintendent | 5,875 | B | 5,875 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7134_C | Water Construction And Maintenance Superintendent | 5,257 | B | 6,391 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7204_C | Chief Water Service Inspector | 4,948 | B | 6,015 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7208_C | Heavy Equipment Operations Supervisor | 4,169 | B | 5,069 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7213_C | Plumber Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7215_C | General Laborer Supervisor I | 2,747 | B | 3,339 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 7219_C | Maintenance Scheduler | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7226_C | Carpenter Supervisor I | 4,071 | B | 4,948 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7238_C | Electrician Supervisor I | 4,193 | B | 5,099 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7240_C | Water Meter Shop Supervisor I | 3,417 | B | 4,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7245_C | Chief Stationary Engineer, Water Treatment Plant | 5,505 | B | 5,505 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 7250_C | Utility Plumber Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 32.00 | 32.00 |
|  |  | 7254_C | Automotive Machinist Supervisor I | 5,015 | B | 5,015 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7258_C | Maintenance Machinist Supervisor I | 5,267 | B | 5,267 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7259_C | Water And Power Maintenance Supervisor I | 3,318 | B | 4,032 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7262_C | Maintenance Planner | 5,197 | B | 5,197 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7263_C | Maintenance Manager | 4,555 | B | 6,103 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7270_C | Watershed Keeper Supervisor | 2,992 | B | 3,637 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7276_C | Electrician Supervisor II | 4,668 | B | 5,674 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7281_C | Street Environmental Svcs Operations Supervisor | 3,703 | B | 4,498 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7284_C | Utility Plumber Supervisor II | 4,761 | B | 5,787 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 7287_C | Supervising Electronic Maintenance Technician | 4,761 | B | 5,787 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7306_C | Automotive Body And Fender Worker | 3,859 | B | 3,859 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232429 WTR | 25940 WTR | 7309_C | Car And Auto Painter | 3,859 | B | 3,859 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Water | Op Annual | 7313_C | Automotive Machinist | 3,859 | B | 3,859 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
| Enterprise | Account Ctrl | 7315_C | Automotive Machinist Assistant Supervisor | 4,551 | B | 4,551 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7316_C | Water Service Inspector | 3,876 | B | 4,711 | 11.77 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 7317_C | Senior Water Service Inspector | 4,488 | B | 5,455 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7318_C | Electronic Maintenance Technician | 4,114 | B | 4,999 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 7328_C | Operating Engineer, Universal | 3,596 | B | 4,369 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 7329_C | Electronic Maintenance Technician Asst Supervisor | 4,444 | B | 5,403 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7332_C | Maintenance Machinist | 3,407 | B | 4,135 | 17.54 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 3.77 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7335_C | Senior Stationary Engineer | 4,573 | B | 4,573 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7337_C | Maintenance Machinist Assistant Supervisor | 3,898 | B | 4,735 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7341_C | Stationary Engineer, Water Treatment Plant | 4,342 | B | 4,342 | 47.00 | 47.00 |
|  |  | 7343_C | Senior Stationary Engineer, Water Treatment Plant | 4,915 | B | 4,915 | 19.77 | 20.00 |
|  |  | 7344_C | Carpenter | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 7345_C | Electrician | 3,710 | B | 4,508 | 13.00 | 13.00 |
|  |  | 7346_C | Painter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7347_C | Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 3.77 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7353_C | Water Meter Repairer | 3,098 | B | 3,768 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 19.00 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 7360_C | Pipe Welder | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7388_C | Utility Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 95.31 | 96.00 |
|  |  | 7410_C | Automotive Service Worker | 2,505 | B | 3,045 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7470_C | Watershed Keeper | 2,677 | B | 3,253 | 18.77 | 19.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 62.08 | 63.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 11.69 | 11.26 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 849.90 | 854.76 |
| PUC Departmen | Total |  |  |  |  |  | 2,655.91 | 2,668.21 |

Department: REC Recreation \& Park Commsn
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|l|l|l|l|r|r|}\hline \text { Division } & \text { Fund } & \text { Job Code } & & \text { Low } & \text { Type } & \text { High } & \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } & \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE }\end{array}\right]$

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207912 REC <br> Operations | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 8208_C | Park Ranger | 2,524 | B | 3,068 | 41.00 | 41.00 |
|  |  | 8210_C | Head Park Ranger | 3,129 | B | 3,804 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 9342_C | Ornamental Iron Worker Supervisor I | 3,627 | B | 4,402 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9343_C | Roofer | 3,082 | B | 3,746 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 9345_C | Sheet Metal Supervisor I | 4,319 | B | 5,249 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 48.96 | 67.01 |
| 207912 REC <br> Operations | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 3283_C | Recreation Specialist | 2,333 | B | 2,837 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 3286_C | Recreation Coordinator | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3374_C | Volunteer/Outreach Coordinator | 2,929 | B | 3,830 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 2.07 | 1.75 |
| 207912 REC <br> Operations | 10060 GF Work Order | 3286_C | Recreation Coordinator | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 5.62 | 5.42 |
| 207912 REC <br> Operations | 10080 GF OverheadRecreation \& Parks | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1051_C | IS Business Analyst-Assistant | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5201_C | Junior Engineer | 3,458 | B | 4,203 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7262_C | Maintenance Planner | 5,197 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.35 | 0.34 |
| 207912 REC <br> Operations | 11902 SR R\&P- <br> Marina -Annual | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1820_C | Junior Administrative Analyst | 2,535 | B | 3,082 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3232_C | Marina Assistant | 2,358 | B | 2,866 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 3233_C | Marina Associate Manager | 2,663 | B | 3,567 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8208_C | Park Ranger | 2,524 | B | 3,068 | 1.51 | 1.51 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.59 | 1.54 |
| 207912 REC <br> Operations | 12360 SR Golf Fund Annual | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 35.00 | 35.00 |
|  |  | 3422_C | Park Section Supervisor | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 3424_C | Integrated Pest Management Specialist | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3434_C | Arborist Technician | 2,776 | B | 3,803 | 2.01 | 2.01 |
|  |  | 3436_C | Arborist Technician Supervisor I | 3,493 | B | 4,245 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7328_C | Operating Engineer, Universal | 3,596 | B | 4,369 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7347_C | Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 7355_C | Truck Driver | 2,981 | B | 3,795 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 7514_C | General Laborer | 2,432 | B | 2,957 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 207912 REC <br> Operations | 13370 SR Open <br> Space\&Park-Annual | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1314_C | Public Relations Officer | 3,668 | B | 4,801 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1827_C | Administrative Services Manager | 3,926 | B | 4,772 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2708_C | Custodian | 2,155 | B | 2,617 | 26.75 | 26.75 |
|  |  | 2716_C | Custodial Assistant Supervisor | 2,369 | B | 2,880 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2718_C | Custodial Supervisor | 2,611 | B | 3,175 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 3210_C | Swimming Instructor/Pool Lifeguard | 2,086 | B | 2,535 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 3213_C | Aquatics Facility Assistant Supervisor | 2,191 | B | 2,663 | 7.72 | 7.72 |
|  |  | 3278_C | Recreation Facility Assistant | 1,373 | B | 1,658 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3283_C | Recreation Specialist | 2,333 | B | 2,837 | 10.50 | 10.50 |
|  |  | 3286_C | Recreation Coordinator | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 28.63 | 28.63 |
|  |  | 3289_C | Recreation Supervisor | 3,299 | B | 4,010 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 3292_C | Assistant Superintendent Recreation | 4,468 | B | 5,432 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3374_C | Volunteer/Outreach Coordinator | 2,929 | B | 3,830 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 3410_C | Apprentice Gardener | 1,683 | B | 2,450 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 63.00 | 63.00 |
|  |  | 3420_C | Natural Resource Specialist | 2,757 | B | 3,354 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 3421_C | Chief Natrual Resource Specialist | 3,354 | B | 4,076 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3422_C | Park Section Supervisor | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 3426_C | Forester | 3,746 | B | 5,021 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code |  | Low | Type | High | 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FTE | FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 207912 REC | 13370 SR Open | 3434_C | Arborist Technician | 2,776 | B | 3,803 | 2.00 |
| Operations | Space\&Park-Annual | 5298_C | Planner III-Environmental Review | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 1.00 |
|  |  | $7205 \_C$ | Chief Stationary Engineer | 1.00 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $7215 \_C$ | General Laborer Supervisor I | 5,119 | B | 5,119 | 1.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232199 REC | 13370 SR Open | 5291_C | Planner III | 4,044 | B | 4,915 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Admin Services | Space\&Park-Annual | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.58 | 0.56 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86.65 | 86.55 |
| 262668 REC <br> Capital <br> Division | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 3417_C | Gardener | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 262668 REC Capital Division | 10060 GF Work Order | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3374_C | Volunteer/Outreach Coordinator | 2,929 | B | 3,830 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5261_C | Architectural/Landscape Architectural Assistant II | 3,342 | B | 4,062 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5274_C | Landscape Architect | 5,159 | B | 6,274 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 5502_C | Project Manager I | 5,927 | B | 6,382 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 5504_C | Project Manager II | 6,858 | B | 7,383 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 5506_C | Project Manager III | 8,325 | B | 8,966 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 262668 REC <br> Capital <br> Division | 10080 GF OverheadRecreation \& Parks | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3374_C | Volunteer/Outreach Coordinator | 2,929 | B | 3,830 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 3.14 | 3.03 |
| 262668 REC | 13370 SR Open | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Capital <br> Division | Space\&Park-Annual | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total:REC Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.14 | 37.03 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,090.47 | 1,107.78 |

Department: REG Elections

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232302 REG | 10000 GF | 0951_C | Deputy Director I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Elections Services | Annual | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | Account Ctrl | 0962_C | Department Head II | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1062_C | IS Programmer Analyst | 3,082 | B | 3,876 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1403_C | Elections Clerk | 2,284 | B | 2,776 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1410_C | Chief Clerk | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 43.38 | 22.31 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82.38 | 61.31 |
| 246641 REG <br> Elections-Commission | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| REG Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82.88 | 61.81 |

Department: RET Retirement System

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207980 RET <br> SF Deferred Comp Program | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1209_C | Benefits Technician | 2,427 | B | 2,951 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1814_C | Benefits Supervisor | 4,169 | B | 5,069 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.88 | 0.85 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.88 | 5.85 |
| 232318 RET <br> Retirement Services | 31330 <br> Employees Retirement Trust | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0955_C | Deputy Director V | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1209_C | Benefits Technician | 2,427 | B | 2,951 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 1652_C | Accountant II | 3,092 | B | 3,755 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1686_C | Auditor III | 4,432 | B | 5,799 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1812_C | Assistant Retirement Analyst | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
|  |  | 1813_C | Senior Benefits Analyst | 3,483 | B | 4,234 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 1814_C | Benefits Supervisor | 4,169 | B | 5,069 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1867_C | Auditor I | 2,790 | B | 3,391 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 5.17 | 5.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.94 | 66.00 |
| 232319 RET <br> Investment | 31330 <br> Employees Retirement Trust | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 6.00 | 6.77 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 5.08 | 6.77 |
|  |  | 1114_C | Senior Portfolio Manager | 6,159 | B | 7,862 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1115_C | Director | 7,489 | B | 9,556 | 6.00 | 6.77 |
|  |  | 1116_C | Managing Director | 9,100 | B | 11,616 | 4.00 | 4.77 |
|  |  | 1119_C | Chief Investment Officer | 10,882 | B | 13,891 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4331_C | Security Analyst | 4,222 | B | 5,130 | 2.00 | 2.77 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.76 | 1.70 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.84 | 32.55 |
| 232320 RET <br> Administration | $31330$ <br> Employees Retirement Trust | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0965_C | Department Head V | 10,034 | B | 12,806 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1064_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Principal | 4,360 | B | 5,908 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1070_C | IS Project Director | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1094_C | IT Operations Support Administrator IV | 4,062 | B | 5,034 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1110_C | Exec Asst To The Exec Director, Retirement System | 4,293 | B | 5,754 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1750_C | Microphoto/Imaging Technician | 1,866 | B | 2,267 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1752_C | Senior Microphoto/Imaging Technician | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1764_C | Mail And Reproduction Service Supervisor | 3,061 | B | 3,720 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.00 | 27.00 |
| RET Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126.66 | 131.40 |

Department: RNT Rent Arbitration Board

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232325 \text { RNT } \\ & \text { Rent } \\ & \text { Arbitration } \\ & \text { Board } \end{aligned}$ | 10850 SR | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  | Rent | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  | Arbitration | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1095_C | IT Operations Support Administrator V | 4,369 | B | 5,418 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 5.08 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 1410_C | Chief Clerk | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1424_C | Clerk Typist | 2,144 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1446_C | Secretary II | 2,593 | B | 3,151 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.54 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.54 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2975_C | Citizens Complaint Officer | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  | 2982_C | Rent Board Supervisor | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8173_C | Legal Assistant | 3,184 | B | 4,166 | 2.77 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 8182_C | Head Attorney, Civil And Criminal | 7,544 | B | 9,170 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 1.15 | 1.10 |
| Division Total: <br> RNT Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49.16 | 52.10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49.16 | 52.10 |

Department: SCI Academy Of Sciences


Department: SDA Sheriff Accountability OIG

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $210721 \text { SDA }$ <br> Inspector General | 10010 GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8124_C | Investigator, Department of Police Accountability | 3,561 | B | 4,328 | 3.77 | 7.00 |
|  |  | 8126_C | Sr Investigator, Dept of Police Accountability | 3,906 | B | 4,747 | 1.08 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 0.54 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.16 | 11.00 |
| 210722 SDA | 10010 GF | 1450_C | Executive Secretary I | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
| Sheriff Oversight | Annual Authority Ctrl | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: <br> SDA Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.54 | 2.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.70 | 13.00 |

Department: SHF Sheriff

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232331 SHF | 10000 GF | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Sheriff | Annual Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0932_C | Manager IV | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1044_C | IS Engineer-Principal | 5,274 | B | 7,144 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1095_C | IT Operations Support Administrator V | 4,369 | B | 5,418 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1224_C | Principal Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,190 | B | 3,876 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1226_C | Chief Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 3,364 | B | 4,088 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1241_C | Human Resources Analyst | 2,889 | B | 4,252 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 1410_C | Chief Clerk | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1426_C | Senior Clerk Typist | 2,353 | B | 3,151 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1454_C | Executive Secretary III | 3,375 | B | 4,100 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1657_C | Accountant IV | 4,328 | B | 5,656 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1705_C | Communications Dispatcher II | 2,526 | B | 3,069 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.77 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1934_C | Storekeeper | 2,257 | B | 2,742 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1936_C | Senior Storekeeper | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1942_C | Assistant Materials Coordinator | 3,792 | B | 4,610 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 3402_C | Farmer | 2,516 | B | 3,061 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 6130_C | Safety Analyst | 4,522 | B | 5,496 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7120_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance Superintendent | 5,875 | B | 5,875 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7205_C | Chief Stationary Engineer | 5,119 | B | 5,119 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7318_C | Electronic Maintenance Technician | 4,114 | B | 4,999 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 7335_C | Senior Stationary Engineer | 4,573 | B | 4,573 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7347_C | Plumber | 3,839 | B | 4,668 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7524_C | Institution Utility Worker | 2,057 | B | 2,500 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8108_C | Senior Legal Process Clerk | 2,458 | B | 2,987 | 38.00 | 38.00 |
|  |  | 8109_C | Document Examiner Technician | 2,715 | B | 3,299 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8173_C | Legal Assistant | 3,184 | B | 4,166 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8181_C | Assistant Chief Attorney I | 7,921 | B | 9,629 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8193_C | Chief Attorney I (Civil \& Criminal) | 8,405 | B | 10,218 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8217_C | Community Police Services Aide Supervisor | 2,992 | B | 3,637 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8249_C | Fingerprint Technician I | 2,369 | B | 2,880 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 8250_C | Fingerprint Technician II | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 8300_C | Sheriff's Cadet | 1,980 | B | 2,403 | 39.00 | 39.00 |
|  |  | 8304_S | Deputy Sheriff | 2,967 | B | 4,599 | 343.38 | 346.00 |
|  |  | 8306_S | Senior Deputy Sheriff | 3,994 | B | 5,100 | 37.00 | 37.00 |
|  |  | 8308_S | Sheriff's Sergeant | 4,320 | B | 5,516 | 56.00 | 56.00 |
|  |  | 8310_S | Sheriff's Lieutenant | 5,042 | B | 6,431 | 31.00 | 31.00 |
|  |  | 8312_S | Sheriff's Captain | 5,823 | B | 7,429 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 8315_S | Assistant Sheriff | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8317_S | Chief Deputy Sheriff (PERS) | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 8348_S | Undersheriff | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8350_S | Sheriff | 10,573 | B | 10,573 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8420_C | Rehabilitation Services Coordinator | 3,463 | B | 4,209 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
|  |  | 8504_P | Deputy Sheriff (SFERS) | 2,967 | B | 4,599 | 344.95 | 344.95 |
|  |  | 8508_P | Sheriff's Sergeant (SFERS) | 4,320 | B | 5,516 | 7.00 | 7.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232331 \text { SHF } \\ & \text { Sheriff } \end{aligned}$ | 10000 GF <br> Annual Account Ctrl | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.42 | 0.41 |
| 232331 SHF | 10060 GF Work | 1705_C | Communications Dispatcher II | 2,526 | B | 3,069 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Sheriff | Order | 8202_C | Security Guard | 1,978 | B | 2,922 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8204_C | Institutional Police Officer | 2,803 | B | 3,407 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 8300_C | Sheriff's Cadet | 1,980 | B | 2,403 | 65.23 | 77.00 |
|  |  | 8304_S | Deputy Sheriff | 2,967 | B | 4,599 | 66.39 | 52.00 |
|  |  | 8306_S | Senior Deputy Sheriff | 3,994 | B | 5,100 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 8308_S | Sheriff's Sergeant | 4,320 | B | 5,516 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  |  | 8310_S | Sheriff's Lieutenant | 5,042 | B | 6,431 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 8312_S | Sheriff's Captain | 5,823 | B | 7,429 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8504_P | Deputy Sheriff (SFERS) | 2,967 | B | 4,599 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232331 \text { SHF } \\ & \text { Sheriff } \end{aligned}$ | 13520 SR DNA Id Fund -Prop 69-2004 | 8304_S | Deputy Sheriff | 2,967 | B | 4,599 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232331 \text { SHF } \\ & \text { Sheriff } \end{aligned}$ | 13660 SR Sheriff-State Authorized | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232331 \text { SHF } \\ & \text { Sheriff } \end{aligned}$ | $13730 \text { SR }$ <br> Public <br> Protection-Grant <br> Oth | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,179.64 | 1,179.86 |
| SHF Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,179.64 | 1,179.86 |

Department: TTX Treasurer/Tax Collector

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 210663 \text { TTX } \\ & \text { Impact } \end{aligned}$ | 10010 GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.77 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 210663 \text { TTX } \\ & \text { Impact } \end{aligned}$ | 10020 GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1410_C | Chief Clerk | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 210663 \text { TTX } \\ & \text { Impact } \\ & 210663 \text { TTX } \\ & \text { Impact } \end{aligned}$ | 10060 GF <br> Work Order <br> 12550 SR <br> Grants; GSF <br> Continuing | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.23 | 0.17 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.77 | 0.83 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.77 | 13.00 |
| 232346 TTX <br> Management | 10000 GF <br> Annual <br> Account Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 1.94 | 1.94 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 2.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
|  |  | 1063_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Senior | 3,744 | B | 4,713 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1064_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Principal | 4,360 | B | 5,908 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1095_C | IT Operations Support Administrator V | 4,369 | B | 5,418 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1204_C | Senior Personnel Clerk | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 6.80 | 6.80 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1825_C | Principal Administrative Analyst II | 4,926 | B | 6,451 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 4390_C | Treasurer | 8,329 | B | 8,329 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 232346 TTX <br> Management | 10060 GF Work Order | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.84 | 40.84 |
| $232350 \text { TTX }$ <br> Treasury | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0953_C | Deputy Director III | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1092_C | IT Operations Support Administrator II | 2,749 | B | 3,407 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 3.00 | 3.00 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232350 \text { TTX } \\ & \text { Treasury } \end{aligned}$ | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 4310_C | Commercial Division Assistant Supervisor | 3,318 | B | 4,444 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 4320_C | Cashier I | 2,144 | B | 2,606 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 4321_C | Cashier II | 2,295 | B | 2,790 | 10.25 | 10.25 |
|  |  | 4331_C | Security Analyst | 4,222 | B | 5,130 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.73 | 0.70 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Division Total: } \\ & 232360 \text { TTX } \\ & \text { Collection } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.98 | 33.95 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10000 \text { GF } \\ & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { Account Ctrl } \end{aligned}$ | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.05 | 2.05 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.72 | 1.72 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1404_C | Clerk | 2,062 | B | 2,505 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 10.50 | 10.50 |
|  |  | 1460_C | Legal Secretary II | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 5.77 | 5.77 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
|  |  | 1634_C | Principal Account Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 2.80 | 2.80 |
|  |  | 4220_C | Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 3,308 | B | 4,021 | 1.70 | 1.70 |
|  |  | 4222_C | Senior Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 5.15 | 5.15 |
|  |  | 4224_C | Principal Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 4,432 | B | 5,799 | 1.60 | 1.60 |
|  |  | 4306_C | Collections Officer | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 4.44 | 4.44 |
|  |  | 4308_C | Senior Collections Officer | 2,857 | B | 3,473 | 15.34 | 15.34 |
|  |  | 4310_C | Commercial Division Assistant Supervisor | 3,318 | B | 4,444 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 4334_C | Investigator, Tax Collector | 3,431 | B | 4,169 | 7.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 4337_C | Principal Investigator, Tax Collector | 3,803 | B | 4,623 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8173_C | Legal Assistant | 3,184 | B | 4,166 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
|  |  | 8190_C | Attorney, Tax Collector | 7,400 | B | 8,995 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| $232360 \text { TTX }$ <br> Collection | 10020 GF <br> Continuing <br> Authority Ctrl | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 0923_C | Manager II | 4,610 | B | 5,884 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
|  |  | 0941_C | Manager VI | 6,178 | B | 7,885 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
|  |  | 0954_C | Deputy Director IV | 7,034 | B | 8,975 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  |  | 1053_C | IS Business Analyst-Senior | 4,131 | B | 5,197 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4222_C | Senior Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 4.05 | 4.05 |
|  |  | 4224_C | Principal Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 4,432 | B | 5,799 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 4321_C | Cashier II | 2,295 | B | 2,790 | 2.25 | 2.25 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.59 | 0.57 |
| 232360 TTX <br> Collection | $10060 \text { GF }$ <br> Work Order | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
|  |  | 0931_C | Manager III | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
|  |  | 0933_C | Manager V | 5,754 | B | 7,346 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
|  |  | 1043_C | IS Engineer-Senior | 4,902 | B | 6,166 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
|  |  | 1052_C | IS Business Analyst | 3,569 | B | 4,489 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1054_C | IS Business Analyst-Principal | 4,783 | B | 6,479 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
|  |  | 1064_C | IS Programmer Analyst-Principal | 4,360 | B | 5,908 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1408_C | Principal Clerk | 2,822 | B | 3,431 | 0.25 | 0.25 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232360 \text { TTX } \\ & \text { Collection } \end{aligned}$ | 10060 GF | 1460_C | Legal Secretary II | 3,236 | B | 3,934 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
|  | Work Order | 1630_C | Account Clerk | 2,211 | B | 2,688 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
|  |  | 1632_C | Senior Account Clerk | 2,562 | B | 3,112 | 1.20 | 1.20 |
|  |  | 1823_C | Senior Administrative Analyst | 3,886 | B | 4,723 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
|  |  | 4220_C | Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 3,308 | B | 4,021 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
|  |  | 4222_C | Senior Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 3,830 | B | 4,655 | 1.80 | 1.80 |
|  |  | 4224_C | Principal Tax Auditor-Appraiser | 4,432 | B | 5,799 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
|  |  | 4306_C | Collections Officer | 2,656 | B | 3,229 | 3.56 | 3.56 |
|  |  | 4308_C | Senior Collections Officer | 2,857 | B | 3,473 | 8.66 | 8.66 |
|  |  | 4310_C | Commercial Division Assistant Supervisor | 3,318 | B | 4,444 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 4334_C | Investigator, Tax Collector | 3,431 | B | 4,169 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 8173_C | Legal Assistant | 3,184 | B | 4,166 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8177_C | Attorney (Civil/Criminal) | 4,873 | B | 8,536 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
|  |  | 8190_C | Attorney, Tax Collector | 7,400 | B | 8,995 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 137.18 | 139.15 |
| TTX Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 226.77 | 226.94 |


| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 232392 \text { WAR } \\ & \text { War } \\ & \text { Memorial } \end{aligned}$ | 14670 SR War Memorial-Operating | 0922_C | Manager I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 0952_C | Deputy Director II | 4,970 | B | 6,344 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0962_C | Department Head II | 6,619 | B | 8,446 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1093_C | IT Operations Support Administrator III | 3,342 | B | 4,142 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1222_C | Senior Payroll And Personnel Clerk | 2,893 | B | 3,516 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1244_C | Senior Human Resources Analyst | 4,083 | B | 4,960 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1312_C | Public Information Officer | 3,077 | B | 3,740 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1406_C | Senior Clerk | 2,138 | B | 3,151 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 1654_C | Accountant III | 3,740 | B | 4,545 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1842_C | Management Assistant | 3,069 | B | 3,730 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1844_C | Senior Management Assistant | 3,516 | B | 4,275 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 4119_C | Events \& Facilities Specialist | 3,175 | B | 3,860 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7120_C | Buildings And Grounds Maintenance Superintendent | 5,875 | B | 5,875 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7205_C | Chief Stationary Engineer | 5,119 | B | 5,119 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7334_C | Stationary Engineer | 4,035 | B | 4,035 | 11.00 | 11.00 |
|  |  | 7335_C | Senior Stationary Engineer | 4,573 | B | 4,573 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | 7346_C | Painter | 3,037 | B | 3,690 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 7377_C | Stage Electrician | 3,533 | B | 4,293 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 7392_C | Window Cleaner | 2,907 | B | 3,533 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 8207_C | Building And Grounds Patrol Officer | 2,403 | B | 2,922 | 26.00 | 26.00 |
|  |  | 8211_C | Supervising Building and Grounds Patrol Officer | 2,660 | B | 3,233 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 3.36 | 4.48 |
| Division Total: <br> WAR Department Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.36 | 74.48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.36 | 74.48 |

Department: WOM Status of Women

| Division | Fund | Job Code | Job Title | Low | Type | High | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2022-2023 \\ \text { FTE } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232395 WOM <br> Status Of <br> Women | 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl | 0951_C | Deputy Director I | 4,293 | B | 5,481 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 0961_C | Department Head I | 5,336 | B | 6,810 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1822_C | Administrative Analyst | 3,334 | B | 4,053 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1824_C | Principal Administrative Analyst | 4,498 | B | 5,468 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 1840_C | Junior Management Assistant | 2,702 | B | 3,286 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | 2998_C | Representative, Commission On The Status of Women | 3,526 | B | 4,284 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.10 | 0.09 |
| 232395 WOM <br> Status Of <br> Women | $\begin{aligned} & 13550 \text { SR } \\ & \text { Public } \\ & \text { Protection-Grant } \end{aligned}$ | 2998_C | Representative, Commission On The Status of Women | 3,526 | B | 4,284 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| 232395 WOM <br> Status Of <br> Women | $\begin{aligned} & 14820 \text { SR ETF- } \\ & \text { Gift } \end{aligned}$ | TEMPM_E | Temporary - Miscellaneous | 0 | B | 0 | 0.82 | 0.79 |
| Division Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.92 | 6.88 |
| WOM Departme | nt Total |  |  |  |  |  | 7.92 | 6.88 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38,5 | 48.43 38,462.86 |

## Charter Exempt Positions

| Charter Section 10.104 - Exclusions From Civil Service Appointment |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Code | Description of Exemption |
| (1) | Supervisory and policy-level positions within the office of the Mayor and the office of the City Administrator. |
| (2) | Elected officers of the City and County and their chief deputies or chief assistants. |
| (3) | Members of commissions, boards and advisory committees. |
| (4) | Commission/Board secretary. |
| (5) | Heads of agencies and departments. |
| (6) | Non-uniformed deputy heads of departments. |
| (7) | Uniformed deputy heads of departments, police commanders and Fire Chief's aides. |
| (8) | Confidential secretary and executive assistant within a department or agency. |
| (9) | The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, legislative analyst and assistants to the members of the Board of Supervisors. |
| (10) | Paraprofessional aides of the Unified School District and teaching instructional aides of the Community College District. |
| (11) | Persons employed in positions outside the City and County upon construction work being performed by the City and County when such positions are exempted from the classified civil service by an order of the civil service commission. |
| (12) | Persons employed in positions in any department for expert professional temporary services, when such positions are exempted from said classified civil service for a specified period of said temporary service by order of the civil service commission. |
| (13) | All attorneys, including an attorney to the Sheriff and an attorney for the Tax Collector, City Attorney's and District Attorney's investigators, hospital chief administrators, physicians and dentists serving in their professional capacity (except those physicians and dentists whose duties are significantly administrative or supervisory). |
| (14) | Positions designated as exempt under the 1932 charter, as amended. |
| (15) | Positions determined by the Controller and approved annually by the Board of Supervisors to be positions where the work or services can be practically performed under private contract at a lesser cost than similar work performed by employees of the City and County, except where such work or services are required to be formed by officers or employees of the City and County under the provisions of this Charter or other applicable law. |
| (16) | Temporary and seasonal appointments not to exceed the equivalent of half-time during any fiscal year, except that such positions may be filled through regular civil service procedures. |
| (17) | Appointments, which shall not exceed two years and shall not be renewable, as substitutes for civil service employees on leave, except that such positions may be filled through regular Civil Service procedures. |
| (18) | Appointments, which shall not exceed three years and shall not be renewable, for special projects and professional services with limited term funding, except that such positions may be filled through regular Civil Service Commission procedures. |
| (19) | Entry level positions designated by an appointing officer with approval of the Civil Service Commission for persons who met minimum qualifications and are certified as blind or severely disabled; persons so appointed whose job performance is rated satisfactory by their appointing officer shall after one year of continuous service acquire Civil Service status. |

FISCAL YEARS 2021-22 AND 2022-23

## ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE

## NOTES TO POSITION COUNT DETAIL AND APPENDIX

## NOTE

## A. PAY RATES

Certain pay rates included in this document may not reflect year-end rates due to negotiated wage settlements and arbitration awards that have not received final legislative action. If you have a question regarding a rate of pay for a specific classification, please consult the Department of Human Resources Compensation Manual online at http://www.sfgov.org/dhr, or contact the Department of Human Resources' Compensation Program at (415) 557-4990.

## B. EXEMPT POSITIONS

The appendix lists the different types of Charter exemptions per Charter Section 10.104 and then identifies all exempt positions with approved requisitions by department per Charter Section 10.104 (1-14). These exempt positions are subject to change during the fiscal year. All Commissioners and Board Members are exempt pursuant to Charter section 10.104-3, but are not included as positions and are not detailed in the budget.
C. POSITION COUNT

Total position counts include off-budget positions.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors }}$ |
| Cc: | $\frac{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); }}{}$Major, Erica (BOS) Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS);  <br> Subject: FW: San Francisco can avoid a water crisis. Why isn't SFPUC on board? <br> Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 12:13:00 PM |

-----Original Message-----
From: info@baykeeper.org [info@baykeeper.org](mailto:info@baykeeper.org) On Behalf Of Roger via San Francisco Baykeeper
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 1:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: San Francisco can avoid a water crisis. Why isn't SFPUC on board?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear Mayor Breed,

I urge you to withdraw the City's litigation against the State Water Resources Control Board and direct SFPUC to start investing aggressively in water recycling today.

I am writing to you in the early days of yet another punishing drought. While San Franciscans are doing their part to save water at the household level, SFPUC is mismanaging San Francisco's main water source, the Tuolumne River, and it isn't doing nearly enough to prepare for drought this year-or in the decades to come.

Other cities have learned the lessons of California's unpredictable climate and are quickly adopting water recycling to reduce their burden on rivers, while increasing the reliability of their supply. Orange County gets more than 75 percent of its water through its water reuse program. Las Vegas recycles nearly all of its water used indoors. And Los Angeles is on the path to reusing 100 percent of its wastewater by 2035.

But, as SFPUC's draft Urban Water Management Plan recently revealed, San Francisco currently has no plans to make recycled water widely available in the next 25 years. Instead, the city is pursuing multiple expensive and misguided lawsuits so that it can continue to rely, almost exclusively, on the Tuolumne River-one of the state's most overtapped rivers-for the next several decades. San Francisco and large agribusiness water districts divert four out of every five gallons of water that flow in the Tuolumne River during a typical year.

This overuse has caused the river's once mighty Chinook Salmon populations to crash. Meanwhile, low river flows from the Tuolumne contribute to deteriorating water quality-including toxic algae blooms- downstream, in the Delta and San Francisco Bay.

It's unacceptable for the city with the nation's greenest reputation to shirk its responsibilities to preserve California's precious and unpredictable water supply. We support increasing river flows to uphold San Francisco Bay's fisheries, water quality, and recreation. San Franciscans want the city to do its part to protect the Bay and its rivers-water recycling is a common-sense way to limit the city's water use, increase the reliability of its supply, and protect the Bay from harmful wastewater treatment plant effluent.

Thank you,
Roger Brown
Berkeley, CA

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | $\frac{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}{}$ |
| Subject: Erica (BOS) FW: San Francisco can avoid a water crisis. Why isn't SFPUC on board? <br> Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 12:14:00 PM |  |

-----Original Message-----
From: info@baykeeper.org [info@baykeeper.org](mailto:info@baykeeper.org) On Behalf Of Roger via San Francisco Baykeeper
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 1:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: San Francisco can avoid a water crisis. Why isn't SFPUC on board?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear Mayor Breed,

I urge you to withdraw the City's litigation against the State Water Resources Control Board and direct SFPUC to start investing aggressively in water recycling today.

I am writing to you in the early days of yet another punishing drought. While San Franciscans are doing their part to save water at the household level, SFPUC is mismanaging San Francisco's main water source, the Tuolumne River, and it isn't doing nearly enough to prepare for drought this year-or in the decades to come.

Other cities have learned the lessons of California's unpredictable climate and are quickly adopting water recycling to reduce their burden on rivers, while increasing the reliability of their supply. Orange County gets more than 75 percent of its water through its water reuse program. Las Vegas recycles nearly all of its water used indoors. And Los Angeles is on the path to reusing 100 percent of its wastewater by 2035.

But, as SFPUC's draft Urban Water Management Plan recently revealed, San Francisco currently has no plans to make recycled water widely available in the next 25 years. Instead, the city is pursuing multiple expensive and misguided lawsuits so that it can continue to rely, almost exclusively, on the Tuolumne River-one of the state's most overtapped rivers-for the next several decades. San Francisco and large agribusiness water districts divert four out of every five gallons of water that flow in the Tuolumne River during a typical year.

This overuse has caused the river's once mighty Chinook Salmon populations to crash. Meanwhile, low river flows from the Tuolumne contribute to deteriorating water quality-including toxic algae blooms- downstream, in the Delta and San Francisco Bay.

It's unacceptable for the city with the nation's greenest reputation to shirk its responsibilities to preserve California's precious and unpredictable water supply. We support increasing river flows to uphold San Francisco Bay's fisheries, water quality, and recreation. San Franciscans want the city to do its part to protect the Bay and its rivers-water recycling is a common-sense way to limit the city's water use, increase the reliability of its supply, and protect the Bay from harmful wastewater treatment plant effluent.

Thank you,

Roger Brown
Berkeley, CA

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors }}$ |
| Cc: | $\frac{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}{}$ |
| Subject: | $\frac{\text { Wong, Linda (BOS) }}{3 \text { letters regarding File No. 210763 }}$ |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 12:18:00 PM |
| Attachments: | $\underline{3 ~ l e t t e r s ~ r e g a r d i n g ~ F l l e ~ N o . ~ 210763 . p d f ~}$ |

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 3 letters regarding File No. 210763.

File No. 210763 - Resolution approving and authorizing the Director of the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development with 2550 Irving Associates, L.P. to execute Ioan documents relating to a loan to provide financing for the acquisition of real property located at 2550 Irving Street, and predevelopment activities for a $100 \%$ affordable multifamily rental building, in an aggregate amount not to exceed $\$ 14,277,516$; approving the form of the loan agreement and ancillary documents; ratifying and approving any action heretofore taken in connection with the property; granting general authority to City officials to take actions necessary to implement this Resolution, as defined herein; and finding that the loan is consistent the General Plan, and the priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Regards,<br>Jackie Hickey<br>Board of Supervisors<br>1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244<br>San Francisco, CA 94102-4689<br>Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701<br>jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

| From: | Lamie Austin |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 2:18:16 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Jamie Austin
jamieaaustin@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94107

| From: | lukebornheimer@gmail.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Wednesday, July 14, 2021 5:40:19 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.
lukebornheimer@gmail.com

| From: | Clifford Yee |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { westsidecommunitycoalition@gmail.com }}$ |
| Subject: | Maximum units, lowest AMl for affordable housing 2550 Irving St! |
| Date: | Wednesday, July 14, 2021 12:55:44 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I'm writing to express support for the proposed 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now! Many have already been displaced from their homes in D4.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

In order to serve as many families as possible, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving Street. And in order to serve our most vulnerable neighbors, I urge you to ensure that the building serve families at the lower end of AMI using all possible resources at the city's disposal.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Clifford Yee

Richmond District Rising
Westside Resident

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors }}$ |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}$ |
| Subject: | Wong, Linda (BOS) <br> Date: |
| Attachments regarding File No. 210763 |  |
|  | Wednesday, July 14, 2021 10:36:00 AM |
|  | $\underline{90 \text { letters regarding Flle No. 210763.pdf }}$ |

Hello Supervisors,

Please find attached 90 letters regarding File No. 210763.

File No. 210763 - Resolution approving and authorizing the Director of the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development with 2550 Irving Associates, L.P. to execute Ioan documents relating to a loan to provide financing for the acquisition of real property located at 2550 Irving Street, and predevelopment activities for a 100\% affordable multifamily rental building, in an aggregate amount not to exceed $\$ 14,277,516$; approving the form of the loan agreement and ancillary documents; ratifying and approving any action heretofore taken in connection with the property; granting general authority to City officials to take actions necessary to implement this Resolution, as defined herein; and finding that the loan is consistent the General Plan, and the priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Regards,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickev@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

| From: | Elaine Kam Yee |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { westsidecommunitycoalition@gmail.com }}$ |
| Subject: | Maximum units, lowest AMl for affordable housing 2550 Irving St! |
| Date: | Sunday, July 11, 2021 11:43:41 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I'm writing to express support for the proposed 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now! Many have already been displaced from their homes in D4.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

In order to serve as many families as possible, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving Street. And in order to serve our most vulnerable neighbors, I urge you to ensure that the building serves families at the lower end of AMI using all possible resources at the city's disposal.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Elaine Yee
507 12th Ave, San Francisco

| From: | Lerold Yu |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { westsidecommunitycoalition@gmail.com }}$ |
| Subject: | Maximum units, lowest AMl for affordable housing 2550 Irving St! |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 11:09:36 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express support for the proposed 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now! Many have already been displaced from their homes in D4.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

In order to serve as many families as possible, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving Street. And in order to serve our most vulnerable neighbors, I urge you to ensure that the building serve families at the lower end of AMI using all possible resources at the city's disposal.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,

Jerold Yu

| From: | Melissa Chen |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { Mar, Gordon (BOS); }}$ Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { westsidecommunitycoalition@gmail.com }}$ |
| Subject: | Maximum units, lowest AMI for affordable housing 2550 Irving St! |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 6:53:10 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I'm writing to express support for the proposed 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now! Many have already been displaced from their homes in D4.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

In order to serve as many families as possible, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving Street. And in order to serve our most vulnerable neighbors, I urge you to ensure that the building serve families at the lower end of AMI using all possible resources at the city's disposal.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Melissa Chen

222 Webster St, San Francisco, CA 94117

```
From: Eva Treadway
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS)
Subject: Maximum units, lowest AMI for affordable housing 2550 Irving St!
Date:
Wednesday, July 14, 2021 7:00:36 AM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I'm writing to express support for the proposed $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now! Many have already been displaced from their homes in D4.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

In order to serve as many families as possible, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving Street. And in order to serve our most vulnerable neighbors, I urge you to ensure that the building serve families at the lower end of AMI using all possible resources at the city's disposal.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for $100 \%$ affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Eva Treadway
Other Avenues Grocery Cooperative
3930 Judah Street
San Francisco, CA 94122
phone 415.661.7475 fax 415.661.0835
www.otheravenues.coop

## --

Eva Treadway (she-herthey-them)

| From: | Erina C Alejo |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { westsidecommunitycoalition@gmail.com }}$ |
| Subject: | Maximum units, lowest AMl for affordable housing 2550 Irving St! |
| Date: | Wednesday, July 14, 2021 9:14:23 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
My name is Erina Alejo, an artist and a third-generation renter with family in San Francisco in District 11, where we are working to advocate for housing rights and affordability for tenants. I express support for the proposed $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rentcontrolled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now! Many have already been displaced from their homes in D4.

The $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

In order to serve as many families as possible, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving Street. And in order to serve our most vulnerable neighbors, I urge you to ensure that the building serve families at the lower end of AMI using all possible resources at the city's disposal.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for $100 \%$ affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Erina Alejo
Artist and Third-gen SF renter
Persia Triangle, Excelsior District, D11

## --

Erina C Alejo (they/she/siya)
On View 2021: We Smell Like Sunshine!, AAWAA and APICC; My Ancestors Followed Me Here, SFMOMA :* erinacalejo.com

```
From: Ike Kwon
To: Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: I support maximizing the number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street
Date:
Thursday, July 8, 2021 5:40:29 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: gordon.mar@sfgov.org; MarStaff@sfgov.org; Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Subject: I support maximizing the number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I am a District 4 resident and I'm writing to express support for the proposed 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address growing housing inequality, allow families to remain in our Westside community, and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. I am urging you to support the maximum number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street.

My family and I have lived in the Outer Sunset since we moved here in 2008. The City has changed a lot since then, as you all probably know better than me. D4 has the capacity, more than many other areas, to accommodate increased housing density, for which I have always been a strong proponent. Providing these affordable housing units, to me, is only the start of what we can do in the Sunset.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Ike Kwon
1283 34th Ave.

| From: | Ramie Dare |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Mar, Gordon $(B O S) ;$ Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | I support maximizing the number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 4:57:14 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I am writing as a resident of D4 to express support for the proposed 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street.

I am a homeowner in the Outer Sunset and support the inclusion of affordable rental units in the neighborhood. The 2550 Irving development is a fantastic opportunity to locate housing near neighborhood services, GG Park, and transit.

I support the development of the maximum number of affordable apartments and the deepest affordability, including housing to help people exit homelessness.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for $100 \%$ affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Ramie Dare

```
From: Anna Reeser
To: Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: I support maximizing the number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 I Iving Street
Date: Friday, July 9, 2021 9:34:40 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I live just a few blocks outside District 4, and I'm writing to express support for the proposed $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rentcontrolled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address growing housing inequality, allow families to remain in our Westside community, and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. I am urging you to support the maximum number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street.

I hope to raise my family here in the Sunset in a diverse, inclusive community, so this issue is important to me.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,

Anna Reeser
1579 11th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122

```
From: Cally Wong
To: Mar, Gordon (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: I support maximizing the number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 I Iving Street
Date: Monday, July 12, 2021 3:42:02 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I'm writing on behalf of API Council to express support for the proposed 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now.

Thousands of Sunset residents submit applications through the City's DAHLIA system each year, and with virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters, it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, rentrestricted homes now.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address growing housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. I am urging you to support the maximum number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for $100 \%$ affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Cally Wong
API Council

Cally Wong
Director, API Council
415-254-3528
www.apicouncil.org
2

NOTICE: The information and any attachments contained in this message may be privileged, confidential,
and/or protected from disclosure or unauthorized use. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify API Council immediately by replying to this message and then deleting it. All emails sent to this address will be received by API Council one of its subsidiaries/affiliates and may be archived or reviewed. apicouncil.org accepts no liability for any loss or damage arising from this email, any virus transmitted, or its attachments.

| From: | $\frac{\text { Ann C }}{\text { To: }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tor, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |  |
| Subject: | I support maximizing the number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street <br> Date: |
|  | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 1:11:28 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I'm writing to express support for the proposed 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now.

Thousands of Sunset residents submit applications through the City's DAHLIA system each year, and with virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters, it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, rentrestricted homes now.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address growing housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. I am urging you to support the maximum number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for $100 \%$ affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Ann
1114 Shrader St
District 5

| From: | Barbara Crain |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Cc: | Barbara Crain |
| Subject: | $\frac{\text { I support maximizing the number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street }}{\text { Wednesday, July 14, 2021 7:43:51 AM }}$Date: $\frac{\text { image001.png }}{\text { image002.png }}$ <br> Attachments: image003.png <br>   |
|  |  |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I am writing as a Sunset Neighborhood Resident and Affordable Housing Provider to express support for the proposed $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising home prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now.

Thousands of Sunset residents submit applications through the City's DAHLIA system each year, and with virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters, it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, rent-restricted homes now.

The $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. This is a real opportunity to address growing housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. I am urging you to support the maximum number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for $100 \%$ affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,

## Barbara Crain

Area Director of Property Operations | Mercy Housing Management Group

## Mercy Housing California

1256 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
t|415.355.7163
bcrain@mercyhousing.org

## mercy HOUSING
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```
From: Yelena Zilberfayn
To: Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Support for 2550 Irving Street: max # of units
Date:
Attachments:
Monday, July 12, 2021 2:39:06 PM
image002.png
image003.png
image007.png
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## To: gordon.mar@sfgov.org; MarStaff@sfgov.org; Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
As a longtime resident of Sunset and an affordable housing advocate, I am writing to express my support maximizing the number of homes and the deepest affordability for the proposed $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising home prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now.

Thousands of Sunset residents submit applications through the City's DAHLIA system each year, and with virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters, it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, rent-restricted homes now. DAHLIA receives 4,000 to 5,000 applications for every 100 units of affordable housing. By approving the max number of homes for this development, we are getting closer to our goal of solving the affordability crisis for many families and single individuals.

The $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. This is a real opportunity to address growing housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. I am urging you to support the maximum number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for $100 \%$ affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,

Thank you!
Yelena

## Yelena Zilberfayn

DIRECTOR | National Portfolio Asset Management

1256 Market Street | San Francisco, CA 94102
$\mathrm{t}|415.355 .7156 \mathrm{f}| 415.355 .7101 \mid \underline{m e r c y h o u s i n g . o r g}$

## © © © © © ( )

| From: | nonielandau <br> To: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cc: Preston, Dean (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS) <br> Subject: [District 4] Affordable Housing at 2550 Irving St. <br> Date: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 9:31:23 AM |  |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,
My name is Savannah and I live in District 5 and live nearby District 4 where I often run my errands. I am also a supporter of the of the Westside Community Coalition.

I support the proposed $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street.
As someone who was a former resident of District 4 and low-income, affordable housing could have supported me in my times of housing insecurity. Along with my peers who faced housing insecurity far more often than I had to. The ongoing plight of Sunset residents and families who were born, raised, and built their lives here could also be halted by the investment into affordable housing developments.

We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to affordable housing, only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, the continued displacement of Sunset families, and the incoming eviction crisis, the time to act is now! Many have already been displaced from their homes in D4 and others at risk of displacement need our help now.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

In order to serve as many families as possible, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving Street today. And in order to serve our most vulnerable neighbors, I urge you to ensure that the building serve families at the lower end of AMI using all possible resources at the city's disposal. This project cannot be delayed because our community cannot wait any longer. Every day is yet another possibility for another family to end up on the street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on the issue.

I join my community and Westside Community Coalition in demanding that the city INVEST in affordable housing by funding the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving and serving families at the lower end of AMI.

Thank you,
Savannah L

| From: | Carroll, John (BOS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Wong, Linda (BOS); joan@klau.biz |
| Cc: | Preston, Dean (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Assistance with unanswered questions re: TNDC loan/2550 Irving Street |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 3:01:19 PM |
| Attachments: | Toxicity 2550 Irving.docx |
|  | Financial Packet final.docx |
|  | MARKUP MOHCD Loan Committee Memo.pdf |
|  | Govt Audit Committee UnansweredQuestions.docx |
|  | image001.png |

Good afternoon, Joan Klau.

The matter of this funding instrument for the proposed project at 2550 Irving is not assigned to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee. In fact, the resolution in question is assigned to the Budget and Finance Committee, where it is on agenda for consideration tomorrow as agenda item number 17. For this reason, I'm forwarding your message and attachments to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, as well as the Clerk of the Budget and Finance Committee, which is my coworker Linda Wong.

For your convenience, here is a link to the agenda for tomorrow's Budget and Finance Committee B\&F July 14, 2021 Regular Meeting Agenda

If you may, please pass on this information about the assignment of this item to other members of the Mid Sunset Neighborhood Association.

## John Carroll

## Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445
(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24 -hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these
submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Joan Klau [joan@klau.biz](mailto:joan@klau.biz)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 2:50 PM
To: Preston, Dean (BOS) [dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org); Chan, Connie (BOS) [connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:connie.chan@sfgov.org); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) [rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org](mailto:rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org)
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) [john.carroll@sfgov.org](mailto:john.carroll@sfgov.org)
Subject: Assistance with unanswered questions re: TNDC loan/2550 Irving Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Government Audit \& Oversight Committee:
I am writing on behalf of the Mid Sunset Neighborhood Association which represents members from 170 area families, to ask your assistance regarding the proposed Affordable Housing development at 2550 Irving Street, San Francisco, BOS File No. 210753, which the Board of Supervisors will vote on July 20, 2021.

Attached are a summary of concerns related to: (1) PCE contamination on both sides of this block of Irving Street; (2) financial red flags raised in MOHCD's Loan Evaluation Memo dated 4/2/21; (3) a copy of the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development's (MOHCD) 4/2/21 Loan Evaluation Memo with the relevant text highlighted; and (4) questions that remain unanswered by the MOHCD and TNDC, which we are now elevating to your team for help in getting answers as soon as possible before the Board votes to approve the loan.

These questions point to a lack of good faith by TNDC in their NOFA response and required community engagement process. Until all of these questions are sufficiently answered, we ask you to vote NO on approving the loan that would allow the purchase of the parcel. Not only would it reward the seller, the San Francisco Police Credit Union, and the buyer, the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation, for misleading practices and a lack of good faith towards the community, but it would potentially waste $\$ 14.6$ million in purchasing a toxic and financially unfeasible parcel. Minimally, we ask for your assistance in pressing DTSC to investigate and remediate both affected parcels on the block before any development proceeds.

Please see the attached files for details.
Sincerely,
The Mid Sunset Neighborhood Association
Attachments:
Toxicity concerns
Financial concerns
Marked up MOHCD Ioan evaluation memo
Letter to BLA

## A neighborhood falling through the cracks: A report on the toxicity at 2550 Irving Street by the Mid-Sunset Neighborhood Association

The Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation (TNDC) thinks it's a good idea to build their affordable housing project on a contaminated block in the Sunset. They say the risk of contamination can be mitigated for the people who will live in the building. And they're willing to spend a million dollars or more to do that.

And yet the more we find out about this developer, the seller of the property, and the overseeing environmental agency, the clearer it is that each of them is looking out for themselves, but no one is looking out for the current residents of the Sunset.

Let us take a moment to explain how we got here.
How do we know this block is contaminated? Because in 2018, the Police Credit Union initiated a private environmental site assessment (ESA) of their property on Irving St. The results showed alarming levels of a volatile chemical called PCE (tetrachloroethylene) that was found as a gas in the surrounding soil and in the air of the Police Credit Union building. The environmental consultant who did the ESA concluded that:
"PCE soil vapor intrusion has impacted the indoor air quality of the subject site building and is a potential human health risk to building occupants."
[Source: AllWest Environmental: Indoor Air Quality Monitoring Report, August 29, 2019 accessed at DTSC's Envirostor]

PCE is so dangerous to human health that California is banning it by the end of next year.

The 2550 Irving Street property is located on a block that was home to two gas stations, a mortuary, and two dry cleaners. All these businesses used chemicals harmful to humans. Dry cleaners, in particular, have used PCE in its liquid form. When it is spilled, PCE can enter the soil when it seeps through cracks in the floor and foundation. When it enters the soil, PCE spreads in every direction and turns into a gas. The gas can then enter into buildings as the negative surface pressure draws it up through the cracks in the foundation. This is what happened at the Police Credit Union.

Through documents that were made public by the Department of Toxic Substances Controls (DTSC), we now know that after the first phase of this investigation was completed in early 2019—when the alarming levels of PCE were clearly known to the Police Credit Union—the Police Credit Union subsequently "significantly reduced their occupancy of the subject building restricting employee use to the western half of the ground-floor where retail financial services are provided to PCU members. Use of the second floor and eastern half of the first floor were curtailed to PCU staff." In fact, the Police Credit Union had closed off $75 \%$ of their building, improved their ventilation and
air filtration system and added four interior locking doors.
[Source: AllWest Environmental: First Quarter 2020 Indoor Air Quality Monitoring Report. Feb 13, 2020 accessed at DTSC's Envirostor site]

All this information would have remained private were it not for a California law that requires state oversight when the PCE levels are found to be so high. These levels triggered a state response which brought the Department of Toxic Substances Controls (DTSC) in to oversee the investigation and any needed remediation.

DTSC currently believes there are two different plumes of PCE--one on the north side under the Police Credit building and another (that is possibly larger with higher PCE levels) that is on the south side of Irving. Both plumes-especially as the soil is disturbed by man-made or natural forces-will move down grade—north under the Credit Union and into the areas on 26th and 27th Avenue. DTSC says it doesn't have the budget to do its own investigation of the south side plume. Even when DTSC finds a "responsible party" who is willing to pay for an investigation, this process will be two years behind what we know now. Before we know more about both these plumes it would be irresponsible to develop either side of Irving.

PCE is a carcinogen and the newest research—not taken into account by DTSC staffalso links it to neurological diseases such as Parkinson's. In twin studies, exposure to PCE was shown to increase the risk of Parkinson's by 500+\%.
[Source: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3366287/]

In the two blocks around the Police Credit Union we have a cluster of cancer and Parkinson's. UCSF researchers who study PCE and Parkinson are now interested in extending an epidemiological study to this area. While it is very difficult to prove that a specific illness is caused by PCE exposure, this contamination discovery at the 2500 Irving block has made everyone in the neighborhood particularly sensitive to how this process is being handled. And what we have seen so far is that the buyer and seller of this property-two of multiple "responsible parties" - have rushed to limit their liability.

Within days of DTSC taking over the project, the developer, TNDC sought to sign a California Land Reuse and Revitalization Act (CLRRA) agreement with DTSC. The CLRRA agreement indemnifies the developer from any environmental liability and limits their responsibility to the property line. TNDC's response plan (heavily influenced by DTSC suggestions) is to spend a million dollars or more to put a vapor barrier under their building and install a ventilation system to protect the living areas.
[Source: TNDC's project budget for 2550 Irving Street]
However TNDC's plan does nothing to help clean up this mess. In fact it pushes the problem to the neighbors to the north on 26th and 27th Avenues. That's because the highest levels of PCE are on the south side of the street. When PCE moves, it moves in
the direction that groundwater flows and in this part of the Sunset the PCE plume will move north: right under the 2550 Irving property. When the plume moves under 2550 Irving, it will likely be protected with its new vapor barrier and ventilation system. But after the plume moves past this building, where does it go? Under our neighbors' homes, built on crumbling foundations with no protection.
Whose problem will it be then? While the residents in the 2550 Irving building may be safe, the rest of the neighbors-north and south of Irving-are not.

A dash to limit liability and responsibility can also be seen with the Police Credit Union. Previously the Police Credit Union had signed what's called a "voluntary agreement" with DTSC. This sort of agreement allowed DTSC to have oversight of the project the Police Credit Union had initiated privately two years earlier.

However these voluntary agreements place some limits on DTSC's regulatory powers. For example, when we asked DTSC to do vapor intrusion testing in the houses close to the Police Credit Union, all DTSC could do was ask the Police Credit Union if they would be willing to do this. The Police Credit Union said no. Under a voluntary agreement DTSC can ask, but can't demand. We then met with the Police Credit Union directly and made the same request. We asked: "might it be possible that your neighbors are breathing the same contaminated air as was in the Police Credit Union?" After all, our houses are built on hundred year-old cracking foundations that are even more susceptible to vapor intrusion than the 2550 building. The
Credit Union's response was stunning: first they minimized the problem in their building and then told us the neighborhood had nothing to worry about, without offering any kind of proof.

So we decided to find out for ourselves. We talked to geologists, toxicologists, the former mayor of Mountain View who is now the director of the Center for Public Environmental Oversight, and we spoke to an internationally known researcher at UCSF who studies PCE. We also read the private reports concerning the 2550 Irving investigation that DTSC made public and published on their website.

When these experts looked at the public data showing the location and amounts of PCE, they told us we should immediately demand that DTSC take three actions to protect the health of our neighborhood:

1. Develop a comprehensive plan to remove the sources of the PCE leaks.
2. Do more sampling of the soil so we will know the full margins of the spill.
3. Test the air in selected houses for PCE-on both sides of Irving. This is how the Federal EPA would manage this. We think the DTSC should do the same. Especially knowing how old the houses are in the neighborhood.

Here's the crux of the problem for our Sunset neighborhood: DTSC is a state agency that is poorly funded and currently plagued with a wave of retirements. They seek "voluntary agreements" (in this case with multiple "responsible parties") in part because
it minimizes their own expense. Because they can't fund any clean-up project like this, they work on a "polluter pays" principle. While DTSC says the PCE in the area is "an unacceptable risk" they will also tell you-that based on what they know-they judge the risk to be fairly low-at least to any residents who would live in a new building with a vapor barrier and ventilation system. But when the DTSC project manager recently heard the condition of our home foundations, he admitted that DTSC's risk assessment for the neighborhood was based on some faulty assumptions of our foundations. And so we need to ask: are there other faulty assumptions?

Every expert we consulted thought that DTSC should be demanding more of the "responsible parties." Because of their contractual agreements DTSC might not be able to. That's where our elected leaders come in.

It is clear there is much we don't know about this problem. Is there a chance that PCE has gotten into the ground water or sewer lines? How extensive is the spill? How fast are different parts of the plume moving? Is PCE vapor in any of the houses on either the north or south side of Irving? Are all the assumptions that the original consultant made correct? Some geologists we consulted questioned their sampling method.

We and other experts think that neither site should be developed until all these environmental issues are fully understood and dealt with and are on the path to being resolved for the neighborhood.

The Board of Supervisors is about to vote on whether to proceed with a loan to allow the developer, TNDC, to buy the land. It boggles the imagination why affordable housing needs to start out on a contaminated site. The experience at Hunter's Point should give everyone involved in this process pause before going ahead with this.

This is not going away. It is going to be a long process to find the answers of how best to clean up this block and potentially the areas on 26th and 27th Avenue. There are far better, less expensive sites-without a toxic problem-in the Sunset to develop affordable housing. We support them and have even suggested alternatives. We understand and support the need for affordable housing.

In May the SF Board of Supervisors voted on a resolution (co-sponsored by our Supervisor, Gordon Mar) in support of Senator Dave Cortese's SB 37 legislation. While this site is not currently on the Cortese list, it is the kind of site the legislation describes as being shortchanged when it comes to giving it the care and time it needs for clean-up to ensure the health of the people living nearby is protected. Governor Newsom recently made $\$ 350$ million dollars available to deal with small toxic sites like these that are all over California. Finding funding for this clean up will be part of the solution. But a big part of the solution is to stop this 2550 Irving Street project before it is too late.
Whether it's $\mathbf{4}$ stories or $\mathbf{7}$ stories, putting a building on this block before there is a
comprehensive plan to clean up the site, is a mistake and will haunt everyone involved in this misplaced project for years to come.

Our fear is that our health protection is slipping through the cracks of a regulatory system just as toxic vapors may be seeping up through the cracks of our homes.

As Senator Cortese said in Supervisor Mar's news conference about SB 37, "This is not Nimbyism. We are not afraid to have housing or development in the neighborhood." When it comes to risking our health and safety, we need to be heard and supported and be certain that we will be protected.

We urge you to vote NO on the pre-development loan to TNDC as the first step in helping the Sunset deal with this complex public health issue.

The Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation (TNDC) keeps saying 2550 Irving Street won't "pencil out" for less than 7 stories. Why?

The architect just confirmed our suspicions in a meeting: the acquisition cost for this parcel is so high, they have to maximize the number of units to keep it just under $\$ 1 \mathrm{M} /$ unit. But even with the maximum units, the costs are abnormally high.

In two weeks the Board of Supervisors will vote on the short-term \$14M predevelopment loan - which gives TNDC the funding they need to buy 2550 Irving Street from the San Francisco Police Credit Union for $\$ 9.4 \mathrm{M}$ ! That's DOUBLE the assessed value ${ }^{1}$, with NO market study to support the price, and nearly DOUBLE the average acquisition cost for Affordable Housing in San Francisco. ${ }^{2}$

If you're thinking, "Well, that's a lot but it must have been the best proposal" - we'll never know because it was the ONLY proposal. TNDC was the ONLY developer who submitted responses to the NOFA, and 2550 Irving Street is the only parcel they suggested for District 4.

It's not just the acquisition cost. The total project cost is $\$ 94 \mathrm{M}$ for 98 units - that's $\$ 959 \mathrm{~K} /$ unit 60\% over the average for new SF Affordable Housing.

Then, the developer TNDC has to secure long-term financing - 27\% of which comes from replacing the short term $\$ 14 \mathrm{M}$ loan with a long-term $\$ 25.6 \mathrm{M}$ loan from SF's Mayor's Office on Housing and Community Development (MOHCD). They'll also seek $\$ 38.1 \mathrm{M}$ ( $40 \%$ of budget) from federal Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC). The problem is NO smart investors will be interested in an overpriced, contaminated site needing remediation and ongoing monitoring. So when TNDC can't get financing, the only winner is the SF Police Credit Union, laughing all the way to the bank.

This project is overpriced not just for land and construction, but almost $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$ will be required to remediate the site's known contamination per California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) - which only protects the new tenants on that parcel, and does nothing about the other plume that will keep flowing from the lot on the south side of the block UNDERNEATH 2550 Irving Street to continue harming current neighbors.

Plus, add the City's unbudgeted infrastructure costs for upgrading water, sewage and MUNI. Is there an alternative? Yes, it's possible to house more families and faster! As proposed, 98 families will have to wait 5 years for Affordable Housing. If we reduce the height and density of the development at 2550 Irving Street to 4 stories (instead of 7 stories as proposed by TNDC), prioritize those units for those who most need on-site services, and reallocate the remainder of the budget to rehabbing blighted Single Family Homes (SFHs) in the Sunset District into fourplexes with 3 flats and an ADU, then we can house MORE FAMILIES IN HALF THE TIME,

[^13]before we even break ground at 2550 Irving. That not only reduces blight, it creates density with dignity.

If TNDC can't get 2550 Irving to pencil out because of the acquisition cost, then don't buy 2550 Irving. Reallocate the full $\$ 94 \mathrm{M}$ to rehabbing $12 \mathrm{SFHs} /$ year into fourplexes to house 48 families in year 1; 96 families by year 2; and by year 4, before anyone will have moved into 2550 Irving, you'll have housed 192 families. That's TWICE as many families in less time.

Just because MOHCD is not currently set up to develop Affordable Housing this way, doesn't mean they can't. With the cost savings and increased benefits for Affordable Housing, it is well worth the time and effort.

To be clear: most neighbors support Affordable Housing in the Sunset. But not 7 stories and not for the money, when we could build more faster. We're also concerned that the Board of Supervisors would be greenlighting a purchase that in all likelihood won't get the needed longterm financing. That's why we're opposed as proposed, and we're asking Supervisor Mar to lead the Board of Supervisors in saying no to this ill-conceived budget.

## Citywide Affordable Housing Loan Committee

San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure Controller's Office of Public Finance

2550 Irving Street<br>\$14,277,516 Acquisition/Predevelopment Loan (\$9,284,000 Acquisition Loan and \$4,993,516 Predevelopment Loan)

| Evaluation of Request for: | Acquisition/Predevelopment Loan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Loan Committee Date: | April 2, 2021 |
| Prepared By: | Jacob Noonan, Senior Project Manager |
| Source of Funds Recommended: | 2019 GO Bond Proceeds and CPMC <br> Funds |
| NOFA/PROGRAM/RFP: | 2019 Affordable Multifamily Rental <br> Housing NOFA |
| Total Previous City Funds Committed: | N/A |
| Applicant/Sponsor Name: | Tenderloin Neighborhood Development <br> Corporation (TNDC) |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Sponsor Information:

| Project Name: | 2550 Irving | Sponsor(s): | TNDC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Address | 2550 Irving Street | Ultimate Borrower | 2550 Irving |
| (w/ cross St): | (26th and 27 | Enh | Entity: | Associates L.P.

## Project Summary:

2550 Irving is a new construction project proposed in District 4 of San Francisco. The site is a through corner lot fronting on Irving Street from $26^{\text {th }}$ to $27^{\text {th }}$ Avenues. The former credit union (The Police Credit Union, TPCU) building and surface parking lot will be redeveloped into a Type III/I mixed use residential building. The project will provide permanent affordable housing in for lower income individuals and families consistent with the 2019 General Obligation Affordable Housing Bond, and City two-year budget, Consolidated Plan and Master Plan Housing Element. As envisioned, the project will provide 98 affordable apartments ( 12 studio, 32 1-bedroom, 29 2-bedroom, 253 bedroom). Thirty-one apartments will serve low income households ( $70 \%-80 \%$ MOHCD AMI). The remaining 66 apartments will serve very low income households ( $25 \%-50 \%$ MOHCD AMI). Twenty-five of the apartments will be reserved for individuals and families who have experienced homelessness, supported by the Local Operating Subsidy Program (LOSP). There will be one on-site manager's apartment. TNDC was selected to develop the project through the 2019 Affordable Multifamily Rental Housing Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA).

## Project Description:

| Construction Type: | Type III/I | Project Type: | New Construction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Stories: | 7 | Lot Size (acres and <br> sf): | 0.44 acres/19,125 SF |
| Number of Units: | 98 | Architect: | Pyatok Architects, Inc. |
| Total Residential <br> Area: | $105,391 \mathrm{SF}$ | General Contractor: | TBD |
| Total Commercial <br> Area: | $2,228 \mathrm{SF}$ | Property Manager: | Tenderloin <br> Neighborhood |
|  |  |  | Development <br> Corporation |
| Total Building | $107,619 \mathrm{SF}$ | Supervisor and <br> Area: | Mar (D4) |


| Landowner: | 2550 Irving <br> Associates, L.P. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Development <br> Cost (TDC): | $\$ 94,064,992$ | Total Acquisition <br> Cost: | $\$ 9,486,500$ |
| TDC/unit: | $\$ 959,847$ | TDC less land <br> cost/unit: <br> Request Amount / <br> unit: | $\$ 145,689$ |
| Loan Requested: | $\$ 14,277,516$ | Parking: | TBD, 11 spaces min |

## PRINCIPAL DEVELOPMENT ISSUES

- High development costs. Total Development Cost/unit is estimated at $\$ 959,847$, while other comparative projects in predevelopment currently average $\$ 831,500$. The higher per unit estimated development costs are attributed to higher land costs and higher construction costs to build the larger family units planned. However, total development cost per bedroom estimated for 2550 Irving is $\$ 531,441$, below the average for comparative buildings in predevelopment of $\$ 579,336$. (See Attachment H)
- Cost containment. Opportunities to limit development and operation costs will be assessed and integrated in project design and construction management during predevelopment and prior to gap financing. (See Sections 4.4 and 4.5)
- Predevelopment costs are higher than average to provide expanded community education and engagement, allow for demolition of existing structure during predevelopment, and environmental review.
- Converting the site to residential use. Studies detected Tetrachloroethene (PCE), which is a common drycleaner contaminant, in soil vapor at concentrations exceeding environmental screening levels. The issue is remedied using a Vapor Intrusion Mitigation System (VIMS) to ensure residential use of the site is safe for future residents. (See Section 2.4)
- Community support and community opposition. The site has been the focus of local protests and calls to action by community members and associations opposing the envisioned project concerned that affordable housing and the project will degrade quality of life and property values. Developing broad and specific outreach and education, and meaningful opportunities for community input during project design and development could help ameliorate community concerns and enhance community support. (See Section 3)
- Achieving geographic equity. There are unmet needs for affordable housing in all districts across San Francisco, and especially in districts experiencing significant displacement pressures but which have traditionally been underserved by new affordable housing production. Developing new housing, especially $100 \%$ affordable housing is key to Mayor Breed's housing plan and COVID-19 recovery strategy. The
housing envisioned at 2550 Irving exemplifies efforts to invest in high resource neighborhoods in need of affordable housing. (See Section 1.1 and Section 2.5)
- Competitiveness for state tax exempt bond funding. Recent changes in state programs target state affordable housing investment in large family projects in high resource neighborhoods. 2550 Irving scores high for state bond funding, potentially resulting in the project being more competitive. (See 6.5.2)

SOURCES AND USES SUMMARY

| Predevelopment <br> Sources | Amount | Terms | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MOHCD Loan | $\$ 9,284,000$ <br> (Acquisition) <br> $\$ 4,993,516$ <br> (Predevelopment) | 3 yrs @ 3.00\% <br> Residual <br> Receipts | This Request |
|  | $\$ 14,277,516$ (Total) |  |  |


| Permanent <br> Sources | Amount | Terms | Status |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| MOHCD Gap <br> Loan | $\$ 25,618,912$ | 55 yrs @ $3.00 \%$ <br> Residual <br> Receipts | Not Committed |
| LIHTC Equity | $\$ 38,136,064$ | $\$ 0.95$ per credit <br> pricing | Not Committed |
| MHP (HCD) | $20,000,000$ | $3.00 \%$ | Not Committed |
| IIG (HCD) | $4,883,078$ | 55 yrs @ $0 \%$ | Not Committed |
| AHP (FHLB) | $1,250,000$ | 55 yrs @ $0 \%$ | Not Committed |
| GP Equity | $3,200,000$ | N/A | Not Committed |
| Deferred Interest | 746,938 | N/A | This Request |


| Uses | Amount | Per Unit | Per SF |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Acquisition | $\$ 9,284,000$ | $\$ 94,735$ | $\$ 86$ |
| Hard Costs | $\$ 62,022,139$ | $\$ 632,879$ | $\$ 576$ |
| Soft Costs | $\$ 15,957,611$ | $\$ 162,833$ | $\$ 148$ |
| Developer Fee | $\$ 5,400,000$ | $\$ 55,102$ | $\$ 50$ |
| Total | $\$ 94,019,992$ | $\$ 959,388$ | $\$ 874$ |

## 1. BACKGROUND

### 1.1. Project History Leading to This Request.

Affordable housing is needed throughout San Francisco and this is recognized in the City's current two-year budget, which focuses on equity and accountability through, among other actions, investing in neighborhoods and communities that have been traditionally overlooked and are in need of affordable housing. In 2019, Mayor Breed and Board of Supervisors President Norman Yee convened a working group to craft an affordable housing bond for the November 2019 ballot. The Board of Supervisors and the working group identified geographic balance as one of the priorities for the bond. Specifically, the priority was to fund new lower income and senior housing projects in Districts 1, 2, 4, 7, and 8, neighborhoods that either experienced limited affordable housing production or experienced both limited affordable housing production and high levels of displacement.
The family housing envisioned at 2550 Irving addresses City goals for improving geographical equity, assuring all San Franciscans have an opportunity to live in communities with good access to parks and recreation areas, schools, and shopping. The building will provide needed family housing, including a specific percentage of units allocated for individuals and families who have experienced homelessness. The allocation advances a goal of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Five-Year Strategic Framework for ending family homelessness and is line with MOHCD practice. The new housing will be leased in accordance with the neighborhood preference policy which provides a preference for a portion of the total number of units not filled through the coordinated entry system (typically $25 \%$ to $40 \%$ of non-LOSP funded units in a building) to current District 4 residents and residents living within a half mile of the property. MOHCD has required TNDC to implement an affirmative marketing strategy targeted to residents in the communities surrounding the development that may result in a larger pool of residents within the building's general lottery lease up.

There is a community need in District 4 for affordable housing and a need for affordable family housing. The District has experienced an increase both in rent rates, and median home sales prices. Rents have increased up to $40 \%$, while the median house sales price in 2019 was $\$ 1,500,000$, a $105 \%$ increase since $2012 .{ }^{1}$ Leading up to the current high housing costs, a Board of Supervisor report in 2013 estimated at the time approximately $40 \%$ of District 4 residents were rent burdened. ${ }^{2}$ High rent burden is directly associated with increased risk of displacement.
District 4 also has one of the highest concentrations in the city of families with children. A 2014 Supervisor report found there to be approximately 12,000

[^14]children in the District ${ }^{3}$, which is the third highest concentration of children out of the 11 supervisorial districts. ${ }^{4}$ High rent burden and high concentration of families with children indicates the affordable housing need in District 4 is primarily for family housing (buildings with 1-, 2-, and 3-bedroom units).
There has been limited development of housing and affordable housing in District 4 over the last ten years, while the District has lost affordability. On April 25, 2015, the Board of Supervisors passed Ordinance No. 53-15 requiring the San Francisco Planning Department to monitor and report bi-annually on the Housing Balance between new market rate housing and new affordable housing production. "Housing Balance" as the proportion of all new affordable housing units to the total number of all new housing units for a 10-year "Housing Balance Period", accounting for any loss of units removed from "protected status" meaning from rent control.
Housing Balance Report No. 10 - January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2019
San Francisco Planning Department

| Table 1B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Expanded Cumulative Housing Balance Calculation, 2010 Q1 - 2019 Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BoS Districts | Net New <br> Affordable <br> Housing <br> Built | Acquisitions <br> \& Rehabs <br> and Small <br> Sites <br> Completed | RAD Program <br> and Hope SF <br> Replacement <br> Units | Units <br> Removed <br> from <br> Protected <br> Status | Total <br>  <br> Permitted <br> Affordable <br> Units | Total Net <br> New <br> Built | Total <br>  <br> Permitted <br> Units | Expanded <br> Cumulative <br> Housing <br> Balance |
| BoS District 1 | 234 | 21 | 144 | $(456)$ | - | 416 | 278 | $-8.2 \%$ |
| BoS District 2 | 99 | 25 | 251 | $(277)$ | 29 | 982 | 365 | $9.4 \%$ |
| BoS District 3 | 257 | 112 | 576 | $(273)$ | 244 | 1,027 | 504 | $59.8 \%$ |
| BoS District 4 | 26 | - | - | $(449)$ | 10 | 64 | 168 | $-178.0 \%$ |
| BoS District 5 | 763 | 710 | 806 | $(311)$ | 97 | 1,613 | 1,446 | $67.5 \%$ |
| BoS District 6 | 3,280 | 1,462 | 560 | $(141)$ | 2,116 | 15,118 | 10,008 | $29.0 \%$ |
| BoS District 7 | 124 | - | 109 | $(219)$ | - | 555 | 1,118 | $0.8 \%$ |
| BoS District 8 | 325 | 74 | 330 | $(577)$ | 27 | 1,465 | 413 | $9.5 \%$ |
| BoS District 9 | 209 | 196 | 268 | $(600)$ | 800 | 947 | 1,943 | $30.2 \%$ |
| BoS District 10 | 1,723 | - | 436 | $(274)$ | 1,362 | 5,663 | 4,530 | $31.9 \%$ |
| BoS District 11 | 41 | 21 | - | $(374)$ | 131 | 160 | 415 | $-31.5 \%$ |
| TOTALS | 7,081 | $\mathbf{2 , 6 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 8 0}$ | $(3,951)$ | 4,816 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 1 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 6 \%}$ |

From 2010 to 2020, 26 net new affordable housing units and 64 total net new units were built in District 4. In the same period 449 rent controlled units were removed from the rental market.

The most recent Housing Balance Report, dated March 9, 2020, covers the 10year period from January 1, 2014 and ending December 31, 2020. During this period the expanded Citywide Cumulative Housing Balance was $28.6 \%$, although this varies by Supervisor district. Distribution of the expanded Cumulative Housing Balance over the 11 Board of Supervisor Districts ranged from -178\% in

[^15]District 4 to $68 \%$ in District 5. This variation, especially with negative housing balances, was due to the larger number of units permanently withdrawn from rent control protection relative to the number of total net new units and net affordable units built in those districts. Although some other Districts experienced greater loss of rent controlled units, District 4 saw the least amount of new affordable housing created. Therefore the relative impact of housing loss in District 4 to lack of housing created has resulted in the greatest negative housing balance of the 11 districts. (See Table 1B on previous page)
The loss of affordable rental housing in District 4 disproportionately affects lower income households. Along with the Shirly Chisholm Village, 2550 Irving will be one of the first new affordable housing buildings on the westside in years. MOHCD manages the lease up of rental, and sale and re-sale of ownership affordable housing through a web-based management system (DAHLIA). As of the writing of this report there are no affordable rentals available in District 4 and only four ownership units available (One new unit, the other three re-sales).

2550 Irving will provide 98 permanently affordable apartments serving rent burdened lower income individuals and families, some of whom will have experienced homelessness.

### 1.2. Applicable NOFA/RFQ/RFP. (See Attachment E for Threshold Eligibility Requirements and Ranking Criteria)

On November 5, 2019, San Francisco voters approved Proposition A, authorizing issuance of $\$ 600,000,000$ in General Obligation Bonds for Affordable Housing ( 2019 GO Bonds). The Bond Report captures the expenditure categories and priorities that were determined by the working group and includes acquisition and predevelopment funding for lower income and senior housing production ( $\$ 15,000,000$ each) in the underserved supervisorial districts.
On December 27, 2019 MOHCD released a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for Affordable Multifamily Rental Housing targeting districts traditionally underserved by affordable housing. The NOFA provided funding for affordable housing development activities including acquisition and predevelopment costs for new housing projects that will serve lower income families and vulnerable populations in Districts 1, 2, 4, 7, and 8.
Proposition A, along with MOHCD's NOFA, aimed to address San Francisco's well-documented and severe housing affordability crisis by meeting several goals. These goals include the following:

- Address geographic equity by investing in affordable housing in districts that have not benefited significantly from new affordable housing production previously,
- Fund new affordable housing, including for San Francisco's lower and middle working class,
- Create new housing opportunities for those in greatest need. While the NOFA asked for proposals with a maximum $80 \%$ MOHCD AMI (area median
income) and a maximum average of $60 \%$ MOHCD AMI, the Bond allocated $\$ 200$ million to serve extremely low-income households ( $30 \%$ AMI or less).

On January 30, 2020, TNDC submitted a proposal for 2550 Irving that met the goals of Proposition A and the NOFA. The proposal targets lower income families by providing a mix of 1-bedroom, 2-bedroom, and 3-bedroom apartments serving households earning in ranges between $25 \%$ and $80 \%$ MOHCD AMI (Area Median Income). Twenty-five percent of apartments will have 3-bedrooms. Apartments subsidized by the Local Operating Subsidy Program (LOSP) could be rented at $25 \%$ AMI or less, subject to confirmation by HSH.

On September 14, 2020, MOHCD notified TNDC its proposal for 2550 Irving would be considered for acquisition and predevelopment funding. The 2550 Irving project meets the goals of the NOFA and Proposition A by providing lower income family housing in a district that has traditionally been underserved by new affordable housing production.
1.3. Borrower/Grantee Profile. (See Attachment B for Borrower Org Chart; See Attachment C for Developer Resume and Attachment D for Asset Management

- Borrower entity is 2550 Irving Associates, L.P. TNDC is the manager of the LP's general partner, 2550 Irving GP LLC.
- Joint Venture Partnership: No
1.4. Project Management Capacity and Relevant Experience. TNDC was founded in 1981 with the acquisition of a single property and a commitment to creating permanently affordable homes for low-income San Franciscans. Over its 40-year history, TNDC has developed, owned, and managed 3,674 units, with another 263 under construction and 1,129 in predevelopment, totaling 5,066 units in total.

TNDC's in-house Property Management, Tenant Services, Asset Management, Accounting, and Community Organizing teams will ensure the Project's transition from development and construction into leasing and stabilized operations.
1.5. Project Staffing. Below is a list of TNDC staff members assigned to 2550 Irving along with the percentage of total workload dedicated. Jackson Rabinowitsh is the project manager for TNDC and Hermandeep Kaur is assistant project manager supporting Jackson. Shreya Shah provides guidance to Jackson and Hermandeep and on the project on a daily basis. Katie Lamont provides highlevel guidance to the team along with executive support and advocacy.

- Jackson Rabinowitsh (Project Manager): 50\%
- Hermandeep Kaur (Assistant Project Manager): 30\%
- Shreya Shah (Associate Director of Housing Development): 20\%
- Katie Lamont (Senior Director of Housing Development): 5\%

2. SITE (See Attachment E for Site map with amenities)

| Site Description |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Zoning: (See Section 2.1) | NCD 40-X |
| Maximum units allowed by current zoning (N/A if rehab): | unlimited |
| Number of units added or removed (rehab only, if applicable): | N/A |
| Seismic (if applicable): | Seismic Zone 4 |
| Soil type: | Dune Sand Deposits |
| Local/Federal Environmental Review (See Section 2.3) | The streamlined approval process under SB 35 governs the scope of CEQA analysis. SB 35 review is currently underway. As envisioned the project does not use federal funds and NEPA is not required. |
| Environmental Studies (See Section 2.4) | Phase I: February 8, 2019. See Section 2.4 for findings. <br> Limited Phase II: June, 2019 - August, 2019 <br> DTSC Application in process <br> Maher Application pending |
| Adjacent uses (North): | Single family residential |
| Adjacent uses (South): | Mixed use commercial and multifamily |
| Adjacent uses (East): | Single family residential/ commercial surface parking |
| Adjacent uses (West): | Single and multifamily residential |
| Amenities within 0.5 miles: (See Section 2.5 for a discussion of local amenities, See Attachment E for a map) | Parks and Recreation Areas <br> - Golden Gate Park <br> - Sunset Playground <br> - Ocean Park Health Center <br> Schools and Libraries <br> - Sunset Branch Library <br> - Jefferson Elementary School <br> - Jefferson Child Development Center Preschool <br> - Lawton Alternative School |



|  | - Irving Housewares \& Gifts <br> - Sunset Music <br> - Actnet Service \& Maintenance <br> - Laundrapalooza Coin Laundry <br> - WB Plumbing Supply <br> - All Bay Properties Inc Notary <br> - Asia Pacific Groups Real Estate \& Loans <br> Banking and Financial Services <br> - Sterling Bank \& Trust <br> - Chase Bank <br> - HSBC Bank <br> - Wells Fargo Bank <br> - East West Bank <br> - Citibank <br> - Bank of America <br> - US Bank <br> - First Republic Bank <br> Medical and Pharmacy <br> - Walgreens Pharmacy <br> - S.F. Eye Care <br> - Lau Chiropractic <br> - James G. Nickolopoulos, D.P.M Foot Clinic <br> - Sunset Dental Care <br> - California Center of Dental Aesthetics \& Implantology <br> - Sunset Family Dental Oriental Natural Healing Center |
| :---: | :---: |
| Public Transportation within 0.5 miles: | - N - Judah light rail <br> - 29 Sunset <br> - 7 Haight/Noriega <br> - 28 19th Avenue |
| Article 34: | Not Exempt. Will be complete by loan closing. |
| Article 38: | Exempt - Not in Air Pollutant Exposure Zone area per 2020 map |
| Accessibility: | Project proposes the below: <br> - \# of mobility units - 15 units (15\%) <br> - \# of adaptable units - 83 units (all other units) |


|  | \# of units with Hearing or Visually <br> Impaired (HVI) features -9 units (10\%) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Green Building: <br> (See Section 2.6) | Green Building program will comply with Title <br> 24 and the City's green building requirements. As <br> envisioned the project will align with ILFI <br> (International Living Future Institute's) or LEED <br> certification program requirements |
| Recycled Water: | Exempt |
| Storm Water Management: | SWM Plan being developed. Not submitted and <br> not PUC approved |

2.1. Zoning. The project is located in the Irving Street Neighborhood Commercial District (NCD) and the 40-X Height and Bulk District. The State Density Bonus Law exempts $100 \%$ affordable projects from density limits and provides up to three additional stories of height, or 33 feet, above the zoned height limit. A 100\% affordable project in a $40-\mathrm{X}$ Zoning District may be up to 73 feet in height.

### 2.2. Probable Maximum Loss. N/A - new construction

2.3. Local/Federal Environmental Review. Project is subject to SB 35, which determines application of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) to this project. There are no federal funds anticipated in the project at this time and therefore the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) does not apply.
2.4. Environmental Studies. Studies conducted by AllWest on behalf of the current owner, and by Path Forward on behalf of TNDC detected Tetrachloroethene (PCE), a common dry-cleaning contaminant in soil vapor at concentrations exceeding environmental screening levels. No contaminants were found in the soil. The likely source is past dry-cleaning operations at nearby properties. With oversight by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), Path Forward, the project's environmental consultant, has designed a Vapor Intrusion Mitigation System (VIMS) to remedy the issue ensuring residential use of the site is safe for future residents. DTSC will conduct a public participation process for the review of the designed system and operations and maintenance plan; the associated costs are included in the project's operating budget. Existing investigations and the remedy plan proposed will likely satisfy Maher requirements and further testing and mitigation beyond currently has been completed is unlikely to be required.
No known hazards are present at the site, however due to the age of the existing building, the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment recommended performing further testing for asbestos containing materials (ACM) and lead based paint (LBP) assessments. ACM and LBP are presumed present at the site, and TNDC will conduct testing and mitigate these materials prior to or concurrent with
demolition. Also recommended in the Phase I ESA was an Underground Storage Tank (UST) survey, which was conducted by AllWest May 15, 2019, finding the site clear of USTs.
2.5. Adjacent uses and neighborhood amenities. (See the chart in Section 2 for a list of amenities within half mile of the property and Attachment E for a map)

This area is recognized as a "high amenity, high resource area" by SF Planning. 2550 Irving is located at the end of a commercial corridor and in close proximity to neighborhood serving businesses representing a wide range of services and products meeting daily shopping needs. The site is one block from Golden Gate Park and in close proximity to schools and recreation areas. Proximity to nearby schools, library, and recreational areas was factored in to TNDC's early assessment of the site for family housing. District 4 has a high concentration of children, and local schools rank number 3 in the SFUSD system. In addition to the many nearby activities available to families in Golden Gate Park, Ocean Beach is under a mile and half from the site and is easily accessible by the N Judah light rail. The surrounding mid-Sunset neighborhood offers many restaurants, grocery stores, active lifestyle, and cultural activities. The proximity of a concentration of amenities improves the project's competitiveness for state funding and lessens the need to include commercial or community serving space in the project.
2.6. Green Building. The green building program is currently being developed and will comply with the City's green building requirements and state title 24. In addition, the green building program will be designed to maximize scoring purposes of tax credit and other state funding programs. As envisioned the project will be all-electric and include photovoltaic systems to offset electrical load. As a means of integrating green building design and innovation the project has been accepted into the International Living Future Institute's Living Building Challenge which takes a holistic approach to environmental sustainability. Depending on participation cost the building could either be enrolled in this program or in LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) or may follow the guidelines without enrollment as a means of evaluating and recognizing the envisioned green building standards that will be incorporated while containing costs.

## 3. COMMUNITY SUPPORT

2550 Irving has been the focus of local community groups and neighbors. To date the property has been the site of protests and MOHCD has received several email communications opposing the project as envisioned. Community engagement is underway and additional meetings are planned in April through June. So far, two community meetings have been held jointly by TNDC and the District Supervisor, Gordon Mar, and three community workshops well held by TNDC and the project architect. In February 2021 the Supervisor and representatives from MOHCD participated in a neighborhood meeting sponsored by the Mid Sunset Neighborhood Association (MSNA). In March, TNDC and the project architect, Pyatok, held four
workshops as a first step in engaging the community in visioning for the project (see Section 3.1.) Concerns and issues raised by opponents have included creating affordable housing at the site, the proposed size and height of the building, the amount of parking, and the number of units reserved for formerly homeless individuals and families. Externally to the project, opponents have raised concerns over impacts on local transit and parking.

Recognizing community concerns and providing opportunities for input in design of the building and visioning for the commercial space will help ameliorate concerns. TNDC is currently developing an engagement program assuring that neighbors and interested community members, groups and stakeholders can access current information on the project, upcoming community activities, and ways to provide input. TNDC's community engagement is discussed in greater detail in Section 3.2.
3.1. Prior Outreach. TNDC and the project architect, Pyatok, began community outreach in October 2020 and have met with several community-based organizations, community groups, immediate neighbors, school principals, faith leaders, and influential individuals. TNDC has also held two virtual community meetings in partnership with Supervisor Mar, on January $16^{\text {th }}$, 2021 with more than 150 community members attending, and on January $23^{\text {rd }}, 2021$ with more than 300 community members attending.
In March three online events were held (March 11, 13, and 15). The goal of the events was to gather feedback from residents on their vision for the Sunset neighborhood. The events were structured as workshops and titled "Sunset Community Conversations." Each covered the same material and format. The intention of holding multiple meetings was to provide as much opportunity for community members to participate as possible. Feedback received from the workshops was on visioning and what community members saw as important aspects of the neighborhood. Information received in the workshops will be used to develop the guiding principles for the building.
Organizations who have expressed support for the project include Faith In Action, the District 4 Youth and Family Network, and D4ward. Organizations who have expressed opposition to the project, key issues summarized in the beginning of Section 3, include the SF Sunset Community Alliance Association and the Mid-Sunset Neighborhood Association.
3.2. Future Outreach. TNDC in close coordination with MOHCD and Supervisor Mar's office is developing extensive community engagement following the events that have occurred between January and end of March. Additional events similar in structure to the Community Conversations held in March are being developed for April, May, and June. TNDC and Pyatok will continue engaging the community in educational programming and opportunities to influence aspects of the development, including public realm, building styles, and visioning and programming for the ground floor commercial area.
Concurrently with the Sunset Community Conversations, three community updates are planned, each spaced approximately one month apart to provide information on the project and opportunities for community input as the
visioning and guiding principles are formed. If public health orders allow, opportunities will be provided to tour existing affordable housing buildings offering members of the community the chance to experience affordable housing in person.
TNDC will leverage local community groups that have engaged in the past to ensure community activities occur in a culturally sensitive way. The two community-based organizations, Faith In Action and D4 Youth and Family Network, are comprised of broad constituencies, including schools, churches, and community centers representing both the Sunset community and communities that have been historically marginalized in San Francisco. TNDC representatives are in regular contact with these groups and regularly engage their input while designing community meeting programs and feedback opportunities to ensure content is not culturally biased.
TNDC will integrate input received from the community conversations, monthly project updates, and any other community engagement during the project design phase. Current information on the project and progress will be available and kept up to date on the project website (www.2550irving.com) and communications will be sent to everyone who has signed up for notices on the project interest list when major milestones are reached.

TNDC will develop a marketing plan which will include affirmative marketing to the community assuring local residents are aware and able to sign up for opportunities in the new building. TNDC will also work with District 4 community partners ensuring housing opportunities reach a wide range of individuals and families with diverse backgrounds.
3.3. Proposition I. Proposition I will be required for this project. Noticing has not occurred but will be posted at least 30 days prior to predevelopment loan closing.

## 4. DEVELOPMENT PLAN

4.1. Site Control. TNDC has entered into a purchase and sale agreement (PSA) with The Police Credit Union, who is the current owner of the property, and will purchase the site with funds from this loan. The PSA was signed October 12, 2021 and sets the purchase price $\$ 9,000,000$. Total acquisition cost includes the purchase price, buyer's legal fees, and title transfer tax. The agreement required an initial deposit at the beginning of the agreement and an additional deposit following a 100-day feasibility period. The initial closing date is [insert date], 30days following the expiration of the feasibility period. The closing date can be extended two times with additional deposits. The Police Credit Union has the option to lease back use of the site from TNDC for 30-months following transfer of the property.
4.1.1. Proposed Property Ownership Structure The project will be owned, developed, and operated by a Limited Partnership (2550 Irving Associates, L.P.) with TNDC as the manager of the managing general partner, 2550 Irving GP LLC. At construction closing, the site will be transferred to the

City and County of San Francisco and the partnership will enter into a long-term ground lease with MOHCD. The Limited Partnership will construct and own the improvements.
4.2. Proposed Design. The architectural design and look will consider community feedback. The described square footage for the building and uses within the building are preliminary and may change through the design process. As envisioned, the building entry will be located on Irving Street, leading to a lobby containing the residents' mail area, a receptionist desk, and elevator. The ground floor will contain a multipurpose room, rear courtyard, laundry room, bicycle parking, two resident services offices, two property management offices, a maintenance office, a car parking garage, utility rooms, and other back of house functions. The southwest (Irving and $27^{\text {th }}$ Avenue) corner of the building would have a commercial space, which based on neighborhood needs and community input received during site design process could serve as neighborhood commercial or community services space.

Conceptual Building Square Footage (SF) by Use

| Avg Unit SF by type: | Studio average sf: <br> 1-bedroom average sf: <br> 2-bedroom average sf: <br> 3-bedroom average sf: | 419 <br> 567 <br> 891 <br> 1,175 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Residential SF: | 75,873 |  |
| Circulation SF: | 15,327 |  |
| Parking Garage SF: | 4,710 |  |
| Common Area SF: | 4,170 |  |
| Commercial Area SF: | 2,228 |  |
| Building Total SF: | 107,618 |  |

### 4.3. Proposed Rehab Scope. N/A

4.4. Construction Supervisor/Construction Representative's evaluation. The project is early in the design process, and as currently presented is a feasibility of what is allowable on the site per code. The design makes efficient use of the lot to maximize units while allowing at-grade indoor and outdoor common areas, parking, and service areas. The design envisioned minimizes amount of soil removed from the site, which will contain costs. The project would likely be either Type V or Type III wood construction over two Type I concrete floors but could also explore an all Type I light-weight steel frame (Pueblo or similar) or a

Type IV CLT structure as a possible cost saving and/or more environmentally sustainable design approach.
4.5. Cost Containment. Opportunities to reduce Total Development Cost per unit below $\$ 959,388$ will be explored and assessed during predevelopment. Higher per unit development costs are to be expected because of the higher land costs and higher per unit construction cost for the project given the number of units with multiple bedrooms. Even so, measures will be explored to contain and reduce costs prior to gap financing.
4.6. Commercial Space. As envisioned, the building could include a ground floor space of approximately 2,228 square feet, fronting on Irving Street for community serving or commercial retail use. Whether a space is included and what the envisioned use will be determined prior to gap funding.
4.7. Service Space. The building will include two property management offices and a front reception area in the lobby. Two resident service offices/meeting rooms will provide private areas for one-on-one and family resident support.
4.8. Target Population. The building will serve lower income families. As envisioned, 25 apartments in the building will be set aside for families who have experienced homelessness.
4.9. Marketing \& Occupancy Preferences. The 25 units for families who have experienced homelessness will be leased through the Coordinated Entry program. MOHCD's marketing policies and procedures will be applied to the remaining units except the on-site manager's unit. Residents will be selected through a Citymanaged lottery system that has four preference groups that have been designated by the Board of Supervisors. The following preferences will apply:

- Certificate of Preference Program
- Displaced Tenants Housing Preference
- Neighborhood Residential Housing Preference
- Live or Work in San Francisco

Residents who live in District 4 or within half mile of the property may receive a neighborhood residential housing preference. Between $25 \%$ and $40 \%$ of units in the building not filled through the coordinated entry system could be filled using this local preference, depending on what state funding sources are secured for the project.
4.10. Relocation. Following TNDC's purchase of the site the current owner will lease back and continue occupying the space until at least the first quarter of 2022, at which time, the owner will move its operations to another location. The owner does not intend to continue maintaining this location for operations and had planned to relocate prior to placing the site on the market.
5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

| Development Team |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consultant Type | Name | SBE/LBE | Outstanding Procurement Issues |
| Architect | Adrianne Steichen, Pyatok Architects | N | N |
| Landscape Architect | TBD | TBD | N |
| JV/other Architect | N/A | N/A | N |
| General Contractor | TBD | TBD | N |
| Owner's Rep/Construction Manager | TBD | TBD | N |
| Financial Consultant | California Housing Partnership Corporation | N | N |
| Other Consultant | Name | N/A | N |
| Legal | Gubb \& Barshay | N | N |
| Environmental Counsel: | Farella, Braun + Martel |  |  |

### 5.1. Outstanding Procurement Issues. The Contract Monitoring Division at MOHCD has provided TNDC a 20\% Small Business Enterprise participation goal for the project. The goal is currently not being met; however, TNDC intends to meet the goal as additional vendors are brought under contract.

6. FINANCING PLAN (See Attachment F for Cost Comparison of City Investment in Other Housing Developments; See Attachment G and H for Sources and Uses)
6.1. Prior MOHCD/OCII Funding (this project and historical for the project):

No prior MOHCD/OCII funding has been awarded to this project.
6.2. Disbursement Status. The project has incurred costs dating back to December 1, 2019 shortly before MOHCD released the original NOFA. Staff requests Loan Committee approval for payment of costs no earlier than December 1, 2019 so long as the costs are deemed acceptable and correspond with the predevelopment budget attached.

### 6.3. Fulfillment of Loan Conditions. N/A

### 6.4. Proposed Predevelopment Financing

### 6.4.1. Predevelopment Sources Evaluation Narrative

The Sponsor requests a $\$ 9,426,500$ acquisition loan and $\$ 5,556,467$ predevelopment loan, funded by 2019 GO Bond Proceeds to purchase the 2550 Irving site and complete the predevelopment activities discussed in this report and attachments.
6.4.2. Predevelopment Uses Evaluation:

| Predevelopment Budget |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Underwriting Standard | Meets Standard? (Y/N) | Notes |
| Acquisition Cost is based on appraisal | Y | Prior to funding TNDC shall provide an appraisal supporting the acquisition cost. |
| Holding costs are reasonable | Y | The PSA allows the current owner to lease back the property for 30 months. The Police Credit Union is expected to do this until Q1 of 2022. Monthly rent is $\$ 5,000$ /month during the term of the lease. Once the property is vacated, holding costs will be incurred for fencing and drive-by security. TNDC anticipates the costs to be minimal and income from rent received will cover. |
| Construction Management Fees are within standards | Y | Construction management is $\$ 84,000$, which using MOHCD underwriting guidelines assumes approximately 24 months predevelopment |
| Developer Fee is within standards | Y | $\$ 550,000$, which is $50 \%$ of cash out project management developer fee included in predevelopment budget, available in four milestones $15 \%$ at acquisition/predevelopment, $15 \%$ at close of predevelopment financing, $10 \%$ at HCD funding application, $10 \%$ at CDLAC and TCAC application. |
| Soft Cost Contingency is $10 \%$ per standards | Y | \$449,291, which is $10 \%$ of soft cost |

6.5. Proposed Permanent Financing. Proposed permanent financing is only for demonstrating feasibility in advance of the Loan Committee's consideration of the acquisition and predevelopment loan approval. Permanent financing is not being presented for Loan Committee approval at this time. It is anticipated TNDC will return with a gap commitment loan request to the Loan Committee in 2022. Prior to this TNDC will be required to present a budget addressing any concerns listed below in the permanent sources evaluation narrative below.

### 6.5.1. Permanent Sources Evaluation Narrative:

Borrower proposes to use the following sources to permanently finance the project. As was required in the NOFA, the permanent budget anticipates state funding along with MOHCD gap financing. The current budget anticipates receiving Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) and Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) funds from the State of California's Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). Based on recent experiences, securing state funding could be challenging due to changing regulation and increased competition, and could delay start of construction.

- 4\% Tax Credit Equity ( $\$ 38,136,064$ ): Equity Investor TBD, Pricing: 0.950
- MHP Loan $(\$ 20,000,000)$ : TBD
- IIG Grant $(\$ 4,883,078)$ : TBD
- MOHCD Loan (\$25,618,912: 0.0\%-3.0\%
- AHP $(\$ 1,250,000)$ : Federal Home Loan Bank San Francisco (FHLBSF), terms TBD
- Interim Use Income ( $\$ 5,000 /$ month): Interim use income is anticipated at least through the beginning of 2022 from lease-back agreement with the current owner. Income received is anticipated to cover holding costs.
- Deferred Developer Fee $\$ 0$
- General Partner Equity $(\$ 3,200,000)$ :
- Deferred Interest $(\$ 746,938)$ :

Total Sources: $\$ 94,019,992$

### 6.5.2. CDLAC Tax-Exempt Bond Application:

High per unit cost is a principal development issue for 2550 Irving, which has unit cost estimated to be $\$ 959,388$. Recent development projects in San
Francisco which have also had high per unit development costs have faced challenges securing tax exempt bonds and credits. For example, of the five projects applying in the most recent funding round, no projects were awarded. This is not unique to San Francisco, other jurisdictions in the Bay Area have also faced challenges. Recent changes in TCAC and CDLAC scoring favors projects in areas with lower development costs, and in areas considered by HUD to be "high" or "highest" resource areas based on proximity to good schools, parks and open spaces, and access to transit and shopping among other factors. Unlike the five projects that were recently not awarded tax credits and bonds, 2550 Irving is located within a high resource area and so would currently achieve the full $120-$
point self-score, potentially making the project more competitive for state tax credit and bond funding.

TNDC's financial consultant estimates total equity raised from $4 \%$ federal tax credits at just over $\$ 38,136,064$, using a pricing assumption of $\$ 0.95$ per dollar of federal credit. This pay-in assumption reflects the strength and experience of the developer, the size of the project, and its location in San Francisco. The assumption is backed by TNDC's recent experience in securing tax credit investments.

| CDLAC Self-Score |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Opportunity Map <br> Resource Level | High Resource |
| TCAC Housing <br> Type (new <br> construction only) | Large Family |
| Bond Allocation <br> Request Amount | $\$ 38,136,064$ |
| Total Self-Score (out <br> of 120 points) | 120 points |
| Tiebreaker Score | $\$ 211,032$ |

6.5.3 Commercial Space Sources and Uses Narrative. Whether commercial space in included will be determined prior to request for gap financing.
6.6 Permanent Uses Evaluation:

|  | Development Budget |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Underwriting Standard | Meets <br> Standard? <br> $(\mathbf{Y} / \mathbf{N})$ | Notes |  |
| Hard Cost per unit is within | Y | Hard costs are \$632,879/unit and \$576 <br> PSF. Per unit costs are slightly higher <br> standards |  |
| than comparative projects currently in <br> predevelopment (Average \$628,852); <br> however, Per Square Foot cost is <br> lower (Average \$611). The higher per <br> unit cost and lower PSF cost is likely <br> because of the high number of multi- |  |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { bedroom units in the project. When } \\ \text { compared to costs averaged over last } \\ \text { five years, per unit and PSF costs are } \\ \text { higher than average (\$582,776 and } \\ \text { \$549 PSF). Therefore, cost } \\ \text { containment will be a focus during } \\ \text { predevelopment. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Construction Hard Cost } \\ \text { Contingency is at least 5\% (new } \\ \text { construction) or 15\% (rehab) }\end{array} & \text { Y } & \text { Hard Cost Contingency is 5.5\% }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { Architecture and Engineering } \\ \text { Fees are within standards }\end{array} \quad$ Y $\left.\quad \begin{array}{l}\text { Total project architectural and } \\ \text { engineering fees are: } \$ 3,705,075 .\end{array} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{l}\text { Construction Management Fees } \\ \text { are within standards }\end{array} \quad$ Y/N $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Construction management fee is } \\ \$ 199,471 \text { which assumes 40 months } \\ \text { construction }\end{array}\right\}$

### 6.7 Developer Fee Evaluation:

| Total Developer Fee: | $\$ 5,400,000$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Management Fee Paid to Date: | $\$ 0$ |  |
| Amount of Remaining Project Management Fee: | $\$ 1,100,000$ |  |
| Amount of Fee at Risk (the "At Risk Fee"): | $\$ 1,100,000$ |  |
| Amount of Commercial Space Developer Fee <br> (the "Commercial Fee"): | $\$ 0$ |  |
| Amount of Fee Deferred (the "Deferred Fee"): | $\$ 0$ |  |


| Amount of General Partner Equity Contribution (the "GP Equity"): | \$3,200,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milestones for Disbursement of that portion of Developer Fee remaining and payable for Project Management | Amount Paid at Milestone | Percentage <br> Project <br> Management Fee |
| Acquisition/Predevelopment Loan Funding | \$165,000 | 15\% |
| Project Management Fee portion 1 of 3: <br> Predevelopment - Close of predevelopment financing | \$165,000 | 15\% |
| Project Management Fee portion 2 of 3: Predevelopment - Submission of HCD funding application | \$110,000 | 10\% |
| Project Management Fee portion 3 of 3: <br> Predevelopment - Submission of joint CDLAC and TCAC application | \$110,000 | 10\% |
| Construction close | \$220,000 | 20\% |
| During Construction (disbursed upon request depending on percent construction completion) or completion of construction | \$220,000 | 20\% |
| Project close-out - Placed-in-service; 100\% lease up; City approval of sponsor's project completion report and documents; and City acceptance of final cost certification | \$110,000 | 10\% |
| Milestones for Disbursement of that portion of Developer Fee defined as At-Risk Fee |  | Percentage At Risk Fee |
| 95\% lease up and draft cost certification | \$220,000 | 20\% |
| Permanent conversion | \$550,000 | 50\% |
| Project close-out | \$330,000 | 30\% |

7. PROJECT OPERATIONS (See Attachment I and J for Operating Budget and Proforma)
7.1. Annual Operating Budget. The attached operating budget is provided to demonstrate overall feasibility for the project and is not presented for approval at this time.

### 7.2. Annual Operating Expenses Evaluation.

| Operating Proforma |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Underwriting Standard | Meets Standard? (Y/N) | Notes |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR) is minimum 1.1:1 in Year 1 and stays above 1:1 through Year 17 | N | DSCR drops below 1.1 at end of year <br> 16. DSCR: <br> 2.566 at Year 1 <br> 0.997 at Year 17 <br> TNDC will adjust the operating budget to maintain 1.1:1 DSCR through Year 17 |
| Vacancy meets TCAC Standards | Y | Vacancy is 5\% |
| Annual Income Growth is increased at 2.5\% per year | Y | Income escalation factor is 2.5\% |
| Annual Operating Expenses are increased at $3.5 \%$ per year | Y | Expense escalation factor is 3.5\% |
| Base year operating expenses per unit are reasonable per comparables |  | Total Operating Expenses are \$12,572 per unit. This is slightly lower than comparable projects with LOSP. For example, Total Operating Expenses at 730 Stanyan Street, a 100\% affordable family housing development, are expected to be $\$ 14,983$. |
| Property Management Fee is at allowable HUD Maximum | Y | To be set according to HUD schedule Estimated Total Property Management Fee is $\$ 67$ |
| Property Management staffing level is reasonable per comparables | Y | 1 FTE General Manager <br> 1 FTE Assistant Manager <br> 2.4 FTE Desk Clerks |
| Asset Management and Partnership Management Fees meet standards | Y | Annual AM/PM Fee is $\$ 30,631 / \mathrm{yr}$ (3.5\% annual increase) |
| Replacement Reserve Deposits meet or exceed TCAC minimum standards | Y | Replacement Reserves deposits are $\$ 500$ per unit per year. TCAC minimum standard is $\$ 300$ per unit per year for new construction projects |


| Limited Partnership Asset <br> Management Fee meets <br> standards | Y | Year 1: $\$ 5,000$ <br> $(3.5 \%$ annual increase $)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |

### 7.3. Capital Needs Assessment \& Replacement Reserve Analysis. N/A

7.4. Income Restrictions for All Sources.

| UNIT SIZE |  |  | MAXIMUM INCOME LEVEL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NON-LOTTERY | No. of Units |  | MOHCD | TCAC |
| Studio - LOSP | 0 |  | 25\% MOHCD AMI | 20\% TCAC AMI |
| 2BD - LOSP | 11 |  | 25\% MOHCD AMI | 20\% TCAC AMI |
| 3 BD - LOSP | 8 |  | 25\% MOHCD AMI | 20\% TCAC AMI |
| Sub-Total | 25 |  |  |  |
| LOTTERY |  |  |  |  |
| Studio | 9 |  | 40\% MOHCD AMI | 30\% TCAC AMI |
| 1BR | 7 | 30 | 40\% MOHCD AMI | 30\% TCAC AMI |
| 3 BR | 3 |  | 40\% MOHCD AMI | 30\% TCAC AMI |
| Sub-Total | 19 |  |  |  |
| Studio | 3 |  | 50\% MOHCD AMI | 40\% TCAC AMI |
| 1 BR | 9 |  | 50\% MOHCD AMI | 40\% TCAC AMI |
| 2 BR | 7 |  | 50\% MOHCD AMI | 40\% TCAC AMI |
| 3 BR | 3 |  | 50\% MOHCD AMI | 40\% TCAC AMI |
| Sub-Total | 22 |  |  |  |
| 1 BR | 6 |  | 70\% MOHCD AMI | 55\% TCAC AMI |
| 2 BR | 3 |  | 70\% MOHCD AMI | 55\% TCAC AMI |
| 3 BR | 3 |  | 70\% MOHCD AMI | 55\% TCAC AMI |
| Sub-Total | 12 |  |  |  |
| 1 BR | 3 |  | 80\% MOHCD AMI | 60\% TCAC AMI |



### 7.5. MOHCD Restrictions

| Unit Size | No. of <br> Units | Maximum Income Level |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 BR | 3 | $80 \%$ of Median Income |
| 2 BR | 8 | $80 \%$ of Median Income |
| 3 BR | 8 | $80 \%$ of Median Income |
| 1 BR | 6 | $70 \%$ of Median Income |
| 2 BR | 3 | $70 \%$ of Median Income |
| 3 BR | 3 | $70 \%$ of Median Income |
| STUDIO | 3 | $50 \%$ of Median Income |
| 1 BR | 9 | $50 \%$ of Median Income |
| 2 BR | 7 | $50 \%$ of Median Income |
| 3 BR | 3 | $50 \%$ of Median Income |
| STUDIO | 9 | $40 \%$ of Median Income |
| 1 BR | 7 | $40 \%$ of Median Income |
| 3 BR | 3 | $40 \%$ of Median Income |
| 1 BR | 6 | $25 \%$ of Median Income |
| 2 BR | 11 | $25 \%$ of Median Income |
| 3 BR | 8 | $25 \%$ of Median Income |

## 8. SUPPORT SERVICES

8.1. Services Plan. TNDC will be the sole service provider. Support services will include intakes and assessments, case management, supportive counseling, individualized service planning, crisis intervention, mediation, housing stabilization and eviction prevention. 1 FTE social worker will be on site to serve the LOSP units and .20 FTE social worker will serve the remaining units. Services offices will be located on the ground floor.
8.2. Service Budget.

Annual service budget proposed is $\$ 101,616$ which assumes $\$ 6,477$ per unit annually in HSH funding based on Tier V family funding for 2020-2021 and is subject to review and approval by HSH.
8.3. HSH Assessment of Service Plan and Budget.

Prior to requesting gap financing, Sponsor will provide the final Service Plan and Budget to be assessed by HSH concurrently with MOHCD evaluation of the gap request in preparation for recommendation to loan committee.
9. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

### 9.1. Proposed Loan/Grant Terms

## Financial Description of Proposed Loan

| Loan Amount: | $\$ 14,277,516$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Loan Term: | 55 years |
| Loan Maturity Date: | 2077 |
| Loan Repayment Type: | Residual Receipts |
| Loan Interest Rate: | $3 \%$ |
| Date Loan Committee approves prior <br> expenses can be paid: | December 1, 2019 |

9.2. Recommended disbursement conditions/schedule
a) Prior to disbursement of funds for acquisition, Sponsor shall:
a. Provide an appraisal supporting the acquisition cost,
b. Refine the community outreach plan in collaboration with MOHCD, and specifically focus on access to housing through the City's housing lottery preferences, including COP Holders, Displaced Tenants, and Neighborhood Residents.
c. Complete environmental due diligence and receive approval for the proposed response plan from Department of Toxic Substance Control.
b) Sponsor to provide MOHCD with detailed monthly updates on Community Outreach completed and commercial-use programming (this may be included in the standard MOHCD monthly report form).
c) Sponsor will provide for MOHCD review any Request for Proposals (RFPs) for equity investors before it is finalized and released for investors.
d) Sponsor will provide for MOHCD review all raw financial data from developer or financial consultant prior to selection.
e) Sponsor will provide for MOHCD review and approval all selected investors.
f) Sponsor will provide for MOHCD review and approval all Letters of Intent from financial partners.

### 9.3. Recommended prior to financing gap

a) Sponsor shall provide MOHCD with information outlining cost containment, efficiencies and innovation strategies to reduce overall project costs and maximize efficiency of MOHCD gap loans.
b) Sponsor will provide operating and development budgets that meet MOHCD underwriting guidelines and if commercial space is included, MOHCD commercial underwriting policy requirements.
c) Sponsor to provide MOHCD with a services plan and proposed staffing levels that meet MOHCD underwriting standards prior to gap loan approval. Any changes to the current proposed staffing will need to be presented to MOHCD at least 90 days prior to gap loan approval.
d) Sponsor to work with MOHCD and HSH to establish the LOSP budget and income restrictions for the referrals from Coordinated Entry.

## 10. LOAN COMMITTEE MODIFICATIONS

N/A

Approval indicates approval with modifications, when so determined by the Committee.
[ ] APPROVE. [ DISAPPROVE. [ ]
TAKE NO ACTION.

Date: $\qquad$
Eric D. Shaw, Director
Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
[ ] APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.

Date: $\qquad$
Salvador Menjivar, Director of Housing
Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
[ ] APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.

Sally Oerth, Interim Executive Director
Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure
[ ] APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.

Date: $\qquad$

## Anna Van Degna, Director

Controller's Office of Public Finance

Attachments: A. Project Milestones/Schedule
B. Borrower Org Chart
C. Developer Resumes
D. Asset Management Analysis of Sponsor
E. Threshold Eligibility Requirements and Ranking Criteria
F. Site Map with amenities
G. Elevations and Floor Plans, if available
H. Comparison of City Investment in Other Housing Developments
I. Sources and Uses
J. Development Budget
K. $1^{\text {st }}$ Year Operating Budget
L. 20-year Operating Pro Forma

Attachment A: Project Milestones and Schedule

| No. | Performance Milestone | Estimated or <br> Actual Date | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | Prop I Noticing (if applicable) |  |  |
| 1. | Acquisition/Predevelopment <br> Financing Commitment | TBD | Requires BOS <br> Approval |
| 2. | Site Acquisition | (By 8/7/2021) | 45 days after <br> financing <br> commitment |
| 3. | Development Team Selection |  |  |
| a. | Architect | $9 / 1 / 20$ | braught on early for <br> feasibility and <br> community <br> engagement |
| b. | General Contractor | $9 / 1 / 21$ |  |
| c. | Owner's Representative | $7 / 15 / 21$ | $8 / 15 / 21$ |
| d. | Property Manager | $8 / 15 / 21$ |  |
| 4. | Service Provider | Design | $9 / 1 / 21$ |


| a. | Building/Site Permit <br> Application Submitted | $7 / 15 / 21$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| b. | Addendum \#1 Submitted | $5 / 15 / 22$ |  |
| c. | Addendum \#2 Submitted | $8 / 15 / 22$ |  |
| 8. | Request for Bids Issued | $1 / 15 / 23$ |  |
| 9. | Service Plan Submission |  |  |
| a. | Preliminary |  |  |
| b. | Final |  |  |
| 10. | Additional City Financing |  |  |
| a. | Preliminary Gap Financing <br> Application | $10 / 15 / 21$ |  |
| b. | Gap Financing Application | $11 / 30 / 22$ |  |
| 11. | Other Financing |  |  |
| a. | HCD Application | $2 / 15 / 22$ |  |
| b. | Construction Financing RFP | $11 / 1 / 2022$ |  |
| c. | AHP Application | $3 / 15 / 23$ |  |
| d. | CDLAC Application | $8 / 15 / 2022$ |  |
| e. | TCAC Application | $8 / 15 / 2022$ |  |
| f. | Other Financing Application |  |  |
| g. | LOSP Funding Request |  |  |
| 12. | Closing |  |  |
| a. | Construction Loan Closing | $4 / 10 / 23$ |  |
| b. | Conversion of Construction Loan <br> to Permanent Financing | $8 / 31 / 25$ |  |
| 13. | Construction |  |  |
| a. | Notice to Proceed | $4 / 30 / 23$ |  |
| b. | Temporary Certificate of <br> Occupancy/Cert of Substantial <br> Completion | $11 / 15 / 24$ |  |
| b. | Marketing/Rent-up |  |  |
| a. | Marketing Plan Submission | $8 / 15 / 24$ |  |
| b. | Commence Marketing | $5 / 15 / 24$ |  |
| 15. | Cost Certification/8609 Occupancy | $3 / 31 / 25$ | $1 / 31 / 26$ |

## Attachment B: Borrower Org Chart

## 2550 Irving <br> Organizational Chart



## Attachment C: Developer Resume

## Katie Lamont (Sr. Director of Housing Development)

Katie Lamont joined TNDC in April 2012 as Director of Housing Development. She is responsible for leading the housing development team as it carries out all phases of development from feasibility through acquisition, predevelopment, construction, and completion. Prior to joining TNDC, Katie worked 9 years for Eden Housing, most recently as Associate Director of Real Estate Development, where she supervised junior staff, led new business development activity, worked on policy, and managed her own project teams implementing all aspects of affordable housing development, including mixed-use and mixed-tenure developments and joint ventures with homebuilders and service providers. Prior to joining Eden in 2003, Katie was a project manager at the Los Angeles Community Design Center, now Abode Communities. She began her career working in fair housing at Housing Opportunities Project for Excellence in Miami, Florida. Katie earned a Master's degree in Urban Planning from the University of California, Los Angeles, and a Bachelor of Arts in American Civilization from Brown University.

## Shreya Shah (Associate Director of Housing Development)

Shreya Shah joined TNDC in Feb 2021 as Associate Director of Housing Development. Shreya brings over 7 years of experience in affordable housing development to the team. She has been responsible for all aspects of the development process including acquisition, entitlements, securing financing, loan closings and construction management, among others. Shreya has experience managing projects of all sizes ranging from 25 units to 150 units, with budgets ranging from $\$ 3$ million to $\$ 120$ million. Before TNDC, Shreya worked as a Sr. Project Manager at EAH Housing (San Rafael, CA) and as a Development Officer for Avesta Housing (Portland, ME). She holds a MBA in Sustainability from Antioch University, Master of Science in Real Estate Development from Columbia University and a Bachelor of Science in Civil-Construction from CEPT University.

## Jackson Rabinowitsh (Project Manager)

Jackson Rabinowitsh joined TNDC in February 2020 as Project Manager. Jackson has developed affordable housing projects in five Bay Area while working with Habitat for Humanity, Hello Housing, Santa Clara County Housing Authority, and TNDC. He has managed all aspects of homeownership and rental housing projects, pilots, small-scale rehabs, scattered-site acquisition/rehabs, and new construction projects, financed by LIHTC, federal programs, State programs, and local innovation funds. Prior to development, Jackson worked in property management and compliance for BRIDGE Housing. Jackson earned a Psychology degree from the University of Colorado.

## Hermandeep Kaur (Assistant Project Manager)

Hermandeep Kaur joined TNDC in June 2018 through the Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern California Bay Area Housing Internship Program. She was promoted to Assistant Project Manager after graduating from San Francisco State University with a Bachelor of Arts in Liberal Studies and Urban Studies and Planning. She has experience managing different types of projects including acquisition rehab and transit-oriented development. Hermandeep has collaborated with project teams to successfully achieve milestones such as entitlements, construction completion, and loan closings.

## Attachment D: Asset Management Evaluation of Project Sponsor

TNDC has 35 years of experience developing both family and supportive housing in San Francisco. TNDC's current housing portfolio includes 43 residential and residential mixeduse buildings, with an additional 17 buildings in the pipeline including recapitalization. The average units per project range from 75 to 120 . TNDC asset management team includes four full-time employees. The department is headed by the Director of Asset Management with three Asset Managers reporting to the Director of Asset Management, who reports to the CFO.

Each of the three employees in the Asset Management Department have a set number of projects in the portfolio. Each is responsible for developing asset management plans for each property, as well as managing the needs and requests of the partner and/or lender in each of the properties, examining opportunities related to the rental structure/operating subsidies, and developing, when necessary, partner exit strategies and/or resyndication and refinancing strategies for those projects that are approaching Year 15.

Members of the Asset Management Department work closely with other TNDC departments. Each project in development in the Housing Development Department has a multidisciplinary "interdepartmental team' to help inform rehab or new construction scopes in which one or more members of asset management participates. Additionally, TNDC has a Recapitalizaion Workgroup, in which all members of the Asset Management Department attend in order to update senior staff members and the Housing Development Department about asset management plans, partner exit strategies and other asset management related activities, challenges and opportunities.

## Attachment E: Threshold Eligibility Requirements and Ranking Criteria

On December 27, 2019, MOHCD issued a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA). The goal of the NOFA is to promote the development of permanent affordable housing for low-income seniors and low and moderate income families, including homeless households, in districts that are experiencing significant displacement pressures but which have traditionally been underserved by new affordable housing production. Specifically, MOHCD intends to provide funding for acquisition and predevelopment funding needs for the development of new, permanent affordable housing in Districts 1, 2, 4, 7 and 8. Funding for these activities comes from the 2019 Proposition A General Obligation Bond.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition A in 2019 in order to address the City's welldocumented and severe housing affordability crisis. The specific goals of Proposition A are to:

- Create new affordable homes, especially for our growing senior populations;
- Accelerate the rebuilding of distressed public housing sites for some of the City's most vulnerable residents;
- Preserve affordability in existing housing at risk of market-rate conversion or loss due to physical disrepair;
- Protect San Franciscans living in apartments at risk of displacement, including those covered by rent-control;
- Expand rental and homeownership opportunities for the City's middle-income residents and workforce, including educators, first responders, non-profit workers, and service industry employees. Set a goal for $\$ 200 \mathrm{M}$ of the Bond's funds to serve extremely low-income households earning $30 \%$ AMI or less.

In addition, Proposition A places an importance on "geographic equity" in its investments in affordable housing, recognizing that certain districts are experiencing a loss of affordability through vacancy de-control of rent stabilized housing stock, Ellis Act evictions, owner move-ins, and other forms of displacement, or have not benefited significantly from new affordable housing production.

This NOFA specifically addresses Proposition A's mandate to create new affordable, low- income units and to serve vulnerable populations in those districts that have been "underserved" by new affordable housing production.

MOHCD held a pre-submission conference on January 9, 2020. Prospective respondents were able to submit questions up until January 16, and MOHCD posted questions and responses online shortly after the deadline.
family project located at 2550 Irving Street. MOHCD did not hold interviews and proceeded to scoring of the responses.
In order to review and score the proposals, MOHCD convened a selection panel comprised of two representatives from MOHCD and one representative from the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure. Panelists' fields of expertise included construction /design and affordable housing finance. Panelists also reviewed proposals based on the eligibility criteria outlined in the NOFA. This included the criteria listed below.

1. Proposals must demonstrate site control as evidenced by appropriate documentation. The proposed purchase price must be reasonable in comparison to other sites in the neighborhood and in comparison to other affordable housing sites in the City. Prior to any disbursement of funds for acquisition, an appraisal supporting the acquisition cost will be required. Sites must be located in Districts $1,2,4,7$ or 8 .
2. Proposals must include the opportunity for the City to eventually own the land as ground lessor under a long-term ground lease structure or some other land dedication/subdivision mechanism that will insure long-term affordable housing as the primary use of the land.
3. Proposals must demonstrate financial feasibility. The project must be financially feasible, including realistic development and operating budget projections that conform to industry standards, including TCAC minimum standards. Each proposed financing source must be realistic, compatible with MOHCD and all other committed or proposed funding sources, and appropriate for the proposed housing. Applicant must demonstrate that there is a reasonable likelihood that all identified development sources will be secured in a timely manner.
4. Proposals must demonstrate - through provision of specific examples of inputs used for estimating - that the project's total development budget, as well as its specific line items, are comparable to recent and similar projects, to industry standards and are compliant with funding source regulations, MOHCD policy and most recent underwriting guidelines. Cost per unit, per square foot (land area and building space), per bed or bedroom will be examined relative to total development cost, City subsidy and construction cost.
5. Proposals must propose the maximum use of available, non-local funds to achieve the highest reasonable financial leveraging of capital resources for the predevelopment, construction and permanent phase. The amount of City funds requested per unit and the actual or proposed level of funds to be leveraged from other sources will be examined.
6. Displacement or relocation that is required as a condition of site control is highly discouraged, though in some cases may be justified. Proposals that include any displacement/relocation (including any relocation of commercial uses) must include a full relocation plan and budget.
7. Must budget for a supportive service component that is appropriate for the needs of the anticipated tenant population, assuming at least $20 \%$ homeless.
8. Must include a community engagement plan that demonstrates the capacity to generate necessary neighborhood support for the proposed development. Include any evidence of support expressed to date for the project, as well as plans for community engagement going forward.
9. Must express a commitment to pursue racial equity consistent with MOHCD's racial equity goals, as follows: through its policies, programs, resource allocation, and practices, MOHCD is committed to working in partnership with communities, organizations and those that have been most harmed by racial inequity especially Black, Brown, Indigenous and other San Franciscans of Color to: protect against displacement; shape where they live and work; create thriving neighborhoods; and, celebrate diverse cultures and unlock economic prosperity.
10. Ability for the project to make use of streamlined entitlements through SB 35 is highly desired.

## NOFA Proposal

| Development Team | 2550 Irving Street |
| :---: | :---: |
| Developer | TNDC |
| Owner (GP) | TNDC |
| Property Manager | TNDC |
| Service Provider | TNDC |
| Homeless Service Provider | TNDC |
| Construction Manager | Waypoint Consulting |
| Architect | PYATOK architecture + urban design |

## NOFA Scoring Criteria

| Category | Possible <br> Points | 2550 Irving Street |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXPERIENCE (subtotal): | 40 | 37 |
| Developer ( 20 pts ) <br> Experience with the following: <br> - Completing projects on time and on budget <br> - Obtaining competitive financing terms <br> - Developing Type V/I or III/I construction <br> - Developing for low-income families, including senior and formerly homely residents <br> Building community support through outreach <br> $>$ Current staff capacity and experience to take on this project type | 20 | 19 |

## Owner (10 pts)

> Track record successfully owning housing financed with Low-Income Housing Tax Credits
$>$ Experience owning affordable housing for lowincome families and formerly homeless households
> Current asset management structure, staffing and portfolio
$>$ Capacity for assuming asset management of an expanded portfolio once the development is complete

## Property Manager (5 pts)

$>$ Experience property managing for low-income families, including senior and formerly homeless residents
$>$ Experience achieving high rates of housing retention
$>$ Implementing low barrier tenant selection policies
$>$ Contributing to long-term sustainability of the development
$>$ Achieving cost efficiencies in operations
Service Providers (5 pts)
> Experience delivering services to low-income families, including senior and formerly homeless households
$>$ Experience linking residents to the City's safety net of services
$>$ Working with property management to achieve high rates of housing retention
$>$ Supporting positive outcomes for residents around health and economic mobility
$>$ If applicable, provides explanation for service contracts terminated prematurely within the last 5 years

## VISION (subtotal):

Program Concept ( $\mathbf{3 0}$ pts)
> Describes vision for a development program at this site, while best achieving the project goals, and includes:

- A residential program and other envisioned uses;
- Indicates how the proposed uses and amenities will enhance the lives of the proposed target population and the surrounding neighborhood.
$>$ Indicates particular groups served by the programs and spaces (tots, children, teens, young adults, adults, elderly, disabled etc.).

| Community Engagement Strategy (10 pts) <br> - Describes community engagement strategy and includes: <br> - The team's philosophy on community engagement; <br> - Process for establishing and/or building positive relationships with surrounding neighbors and the larger community; <br> - Efforts designed to engage all interested community members, including monolingual non-English speaking members of the community; <br> - How the Development Team intends to comply with the City's Language Access Ordinance. <br> Describes the Team's approach to achieving entitlements for the project expeditiously and the Team's approach to maintaining and building community relationships after entitlements have been achieved and the development is in operations. | 10 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Finance and Cost Containment Approach (10 pts) <br> Describes the Development Team's financing approach to the project. <br> Includes the Team's process for structuring the project and controlling development costs. Includes innovative strategies intended to minimize MOHCD's projected capital gap financing. Describes any innovative (i.e. non-standard, routine or commonly used) direct or indirect cost-cutting strategies relevant to overall development, construction or operating expenses. | 10 | 4 |
| Commitment to MOHCD's Racial Equity Framework (10 pts) <br> Describes capacity and strategies for effectively implementing MOHCD's Housing Preferences, including neighborhood preference, to meet the goals of the program and ensure that residents of surrounding neighborhood will have maximum opportunity to access housing at the development. Describes proposed outreach strategies to engage communities that have traditionally lacked access to affordable housing opportunities in San Francisco, and how such strategies will support these | 10 | 10 |


| communities to pursue opportunities at the proposed <br> site |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $>$ TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5}$ |
|  | Possible <br> Points | 2550 Irving <br> Street |

## Recommendation

TNDC scored well for their response regarding experience as a developer, property manager and service provider. They also provided a strong response to the NOFA's prompt on racial equity. District 4 has a severe shortage of housing for low income residents at risk of displacement, and the proposal for 2550 Irving will provide affordable housing in a community that has seen little affordable housing development. TNDC's proposal noted only 10 entitled and permitted units were produced in District 4 from Quarter 3, 2009 to Quarter 2, 2019. Despite the strong scores in these categories, TNDC will need to make substantial revisions to the budget and cost containment response before MOHCD can move this forward to Loan Committee for request for approval of a Predevelopment Loan.

MOHCD staff further recommends that the following conditions be considered for the initial predevelopment loan;

- TNDC to complete further environmental due diligence.
- TNDC to refine financial plan to ensure that project offers some units at $30 \%$ AMI, as well as includes at least $25 \%$ 3-bedroom units and other family serving amenities
- TNDC to refine community outreach plan to specifically focus on access to housing through the City's housing lottery preferences.


## Attachment F: Site Map with amenities


1 Golden Gate Park
2 Sunset Rec Center
3 Ocean Park Health Center
4 Sunset Branch Library
5 Jefferson Elementary School
6 Lawton Alternative School
7 Wah Mei School
8 Kumon Math. Reading. Success.
9 19th Avenue Baptist Church
10 19th Avenue Chinese Baptist Church
11 19th Avenue Japanese Baptist Church
12 The Meeting Place of The Church of San Francisco
13 The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints
14 Cornerstone Evangelical Baptist Church
15 San Francisco Mandarin Baptist Church
16 Calvary United Methodist Church

Map provides $1 / 4$ Mile, $1 / 2$ Mile, and 1 Mile radius concentric circles around the project site.

Numbers on the map correspond to the amenities listed to the left.

A comprehensive list of neighborhood amenities is provided in Section 2. A
discussion of local amenities is provided in Section 2.5.

## Attachment G: Elevations and Floor Plans

## Elevations and Floor Plans will be developed with community input following loan approval

## Attachment H: Comparison of City Investment <br> in Other Housing Developments

| PROJECTS IN PREDEVELOPMENT |  |  |  |  |  | Buidding Square Footage |  |  | Total Project Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proje | dress | Lot sq．t． |  | \＃ofunts | \＃о俺＇ | Rese ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Non．Res | Toal | Cost3 | const．Cost4 | stit ost | ov．Cos wimand | Subsidy | Total Dov．Costwo ind | on Einancing | Commen |
|  | Tresuelsanc c3． | ${ }_{4}^{49,98}$ | ${ }^{\text {Jutal }}$ | ${ }^{138}$ | $\frac{32}{}$ | ${ }^{124083}$ | S2000 | 12983 | $2{ }^{2} 5000$ | 10．37．56\％ | ${ }^{2,8412729}$ | $\frac{122038565}{}$ |  |  | HCCOASCCLCan |  |
| Sentereme | Steme comeciut | ， |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{107}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{278000}$ |  | $\underbrace{\frac{5}{5}}$ |  | $\underbrace{\frac{5}{5}}_{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{\frac{5}{5}}$ | $)^{40}$ | Tremen |
| Patal | 隹 | ${ }_{\substack{368800}}^{3}$ |  | ${ }^{638}$ | ${ }_{20}^{60}$ | ${ }_{17}^{176,56}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {24，}}^{10,00}$ | $\underbrace{\frac{5}{5}}$ | cone |  |  |  |  | Truel |
|  |  | ${ }^{30385}$ | Oat21 | ${ }^{118}$ | ${ }^{266}$ | ${ }_{12}^{12,45}$ | ${ }^{3881}$ | ${ }^{176557}$ | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | 22a8767 |  | ${ }^{377735027}$ |  | 40 Cratis HCOMHP |  |
| 边 | 200 |  |  | \％${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{108}$ | ${ }_{\substack{288 \\ 98}}^{\substack{28}}$ | ${ }_{\text {124，50 }}^{10,53}$ | $\xrightarrow{20,1,90}$ | $\xrightarrow{14,7000}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{\frac{5}{8}}$ |  |  | ， | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |
| 边 | ${ }^{\text {and }}$ |  |  | $\xrightarrow{200}$ | ${ }_{178}^{24}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }^{20232441}$ | $\underbrace{\frac{1}{3}}$ |  | ${ }^{3}$ | （tar |  |
| In Predevelopment | Average： | ${ }^{39,157}$ |  | ${ }_{138}$ | ${ }^{226}$ | 144,069 | ${ }^{17,295}$ | ${ }_{161,364}$ | s 1，118，886 | 90，054，444 | 25，02， 690 | 116，68，936 | 31，303，932 | s 115，50，050 |  |  |

## 




## Attachment I: Sources and Uses



| Aplifation Date |
| :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Project Name } \\ \text { Priect Addresss: }\end{array}$ |

Project Sponsor:
SOURCES
uses

AcQuIsition
Construction (hard costs)

| Unit ConstructionRe enab | 4.975,494 | 212700 | 14,728,456 | 20.000,000 | 1.250,000 |  |  |  | 40,953.950 | Include FF\&E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commericais Shelc constuction |  | 212,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cluded in Unit Construction }}$ |  |
| Enviromental Remendiaion | 150.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150.000 |  |  |
| Onsight liprovemenist lands ${ }^{\text {Ofaping }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Constraction |
| Infastructure Improvements |  |  |  |  |  | 3.560 .145 |  |  | 3.560.145 | HOPE SFIOCCII cost for streels etc. | mos |
| Park |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{1,322,933}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| GC B Bod Premiumg C insurancelicc Taxes |  |  | ${ }_{\text {739,799 }}^{1.59831}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 739,789 <br> 1.59831 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . $\%$ |
| Design Contingency (remove at D (ub-otal Constuction Costs | 7.094,132 | 212,700 | \|19.54, 9.566 | 20,000,000 | 1,250,000 | 4.883,078 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { F2,98,466 } \\ \hline 913,321\end{array}$ | 5\%\% up to s30MM HC, 4\%\% $53 .-545 \mathrm{MM}, 3 \%$ \% $545 \mathrm{MM}+$ |  |
| Bid Contingency (remove at ibil) |  |  | 5.238,614 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.238,614 | $5{ }^{50}$ |  |
| Pan Cheok Contingency (removereduce during Pan Review) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {5.5\% }}^{0.0 \%}$ |
| - |  |  | $\xrightarrow{9.040 .673}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 9.040,673 |  |  |

$\underset{\substack{\text { Architecture \& Design }}}{\text { Soft costs }}$

| Architect design fees | 1.63,450 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.638,450 | See MOHCD ARE Fee Guidilines: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Subconsultants tot the Achitect (incl. Fees) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | noluded above |  |
| Acrenteel Construction Admin | ${ }^{539,240} 108.885$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 539,240 <br> 108885 |  |  |
| Redmuirsbes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200,800 |  |  |
| Sub-Otatal Achitect Contract | ${ }_{2}^{2486,5575}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2.486,575$ |  |  |
| Other Third Party design consultants (not included under Architect contract) | 748.500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Architecture \& Design | 3,23,075 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 3,235,075 |  |  |
|  | 50,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50,000 |  |  |
| Geotechical studies | ${ }^{175,000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1750,000}$ |  |  |
| Phase 1/1.1. Reports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEPA/ 106 Reveew | 25.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.000 |  |  |
| CNAPNA (renab ony) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other envirommental consultants | 20,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.000 | Craig Cummunicaions (DTSC Public Particapaion Consulart |  |
| Financing Costs | 470,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Constuction Financing Costs Constuction Loan Originaion Fee |  |  | 407,004 |  |  |  |  |  | 407,004 |  |  |
| Construction Loan Interest | 25,000 |  | 4,945,043 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,977,043 |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Tile } 8 \text { Recording }}$ |  |  | 70.000 23,079 |  |  |  |  |  | 70.000 23,09 | Acalredeve and construction closing |  |
| Bond ssuer Fees | ${ }^{135.668}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Bond Costo fissuance | 162,833 15500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{162,833}$ |  |  |
| Sub-total Const. Financing Costs | ${ }^{1857,500}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{5,445,126}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{0} \quad{ }_{746,988}$ | 6,670,655 |  |  |
|  | 2.300 | 2,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.500 |  |  |
| Credit Enhance. \& Appl. Fee <br> Title \& Recording | 15.000 | 15.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17,300 495,80 | $\begin{aligned} & 17,300 \\ & 17,300 \end{aligned}$ | 5,445,126 |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | \% | $\bigcirc$ | ( $\begin{array}{r}34,600 \\ 6,755,165\end{array}$ |  |  |
| Legal Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Berrower Legal tees | 10,000 |  | 30,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 30,000 <br> 10.000 |  |  |
| Tax Creatic Counsel |  |  | $\stackrel{50,000}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  | 50.000 |  |  |
| Construction Lender Counsel |  |  | 40,000 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{90.0000}$ |  |  |
| Permanent Lender Counsel |  |  | 10.000 |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  |  |
| Ther Develogment Costs Total Legal Costs | ${ }^{53,092}$ | 0 | 236,908 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\text {300,000 }}$ |  |  |
| (ther Development Costs | 15,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.000 |  |  |
| Market Study | 15,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,000 |  |  |
| - Insurace | $\begin{array}{r}25000 \\ \hline 28548 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 1.152,4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1774.495 |  |  |
| Acounting Audit |  |  | 50.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 55,000 |  |  |
| Engillement/ Permit Fees | ${ }^{9411.066}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.019.0.988 |  |  |
| - Marketing / Rent-up |  |  | 14,824 |  |  |  |  |  | 14,824 |  |  |
| urishings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre | $\frac{610,822}{67,70}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{610,822}{67,70}$ |  |  |
|  | 66,700 50,00 |  | 30,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 85,7,000 <br> 10. |  |  |
| Constuction Management tees 1 Owners Rep | 84,000 |  | 115,471 |  |  |  |  |  | 199,471 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inclued in oher consuluants |  |
| Communty Engagement Consultant | 100.000 |  | 42,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 100,000 42,00 |  |  |
| Other (spectif) Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soft Cost Contingency Total Other Development Costs | 2,214,006 | 0 | 1,582,882 |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 | 3,79,888 |  | \%ol Toal Sont |
| $\frac{\text { Contingency (Ach, Eng, Ein, Legal } 8 \text { Other Dev) }}{\text { Total soft costs }}$ |  | 17,300 | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{1,3955,097}$ |  |  | 0 |  | $0{ }^{746,938}$ | ${ }^{1,450,483]} 1$ | Suld be either 10\% or $5 \%$ of toal soft costs. | 10.0\% |



| Developer costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| s source) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Develoment Consultant Fees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development Costunit by Source | 261,417 | ${ }^{2}, 347$ | 389,144 | 204,082 | ${ }^{12,755}$ | 49.827 | ${ }^{32,653}$ | 7,622 | 959,847 |  |
| Development CostUnit as \% of TDC by Source | 27.2\% | 0.2\% | 40.5\% | 21.3\% | 1.3\% | 5.2\% | 3.4\% | 0.8\% | 100.0\% |  |
| Acquistion Costunit by Source | 91,837 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91,837 |  |
| Construction Cost (inc Const Contingency)/Unit By Source Construction Cost (inc Const Contingency)/SF | ${ }^{72,389}$ | 2.170 | 291.655 | 204,082 | ${ }^{12,755}$ | ${ }^{49,827}$ | 0 | 0 | 632.879 |  |
|  | 65.92 | 1.98 | 26.59 | 185.84 | 11.62 | 45.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 576.32 |  |
| *Possible non-eligible GO Bond/COP Amount: City Subsidy/Unit | $7.323,680$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{261,417}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax Credit Equity Pricing. Construction Bond Amount: Construction Loan Term (in months): Construction Loan Interest Rate (as \%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.78\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Attachment J: Development Budget

2550 Irving Street
Affordable Housing Project
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Owner: } \\ \text { Start Date: } & \text { UNDC } \\ \text { Unknown - Priced in "Todays" Dollars }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Architect: Pyatok } \\ \text { *Doration: } & 20 \mathrm{Montr}\end{array}$
*Duration: 20 Months Option
20 Months Option 2
18 Months Option 3

|  |  | Option 1 All Concrete Structure |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line tem | Line tem Description | Quantity | vom | Unit rate | Extension |
|  | Demolition \& Structure |  |  |  |  |
| 01 | Hazardous Materials Abatement |  | 15 | 50.00 | so |
| 02 | Building \& Site Demolition | 19,125 | STE | \$18.00 | S344,250 |
| 03 | Earthwork | 2,160 | $\mathrm{cr}^{\text {c }}$ | \$220.00 | \$539,972 |
| 04 | Shoring, Underpinning \& Soill frouting | 300 | SF | 580.00 | 524,000 |
| 05 | Drilled Piers, Caisons, Tie Downs \& Piles | 13,885 | sF | 540.00 | S555,400 |
| 06 | Structural Concrete | 108,50 | SfED | 575.00 | \$8,12, 750 |
| 07 | Masonry / cmu |  | 6sF | 50.00 | 50 |
| 08 | Structural Steel, Metal Stairs, \& Misc. Tron | 107,618 | 6sF | S10.00 | \$1,076,180 |
| 09 | Rough Carpentr, cli/ Mass Timber | 107,618 | GsF | \$1.25 | S134,523 |
|  | Subtotal Demolition and Structure |  |  |  | \$10,817,075 |
|  | Exterior Skin |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Exterior Glazing | 14,424 | SF | \$130.00 | \$1,875,088 |
| 11 | Exterior Siding/ $/$ kin | 43,271 | SF | \$55.00 | \$2,37,9,919 |
| 12 | Roofing \& Waterproofing | 107,618 | GSF | 59.00 | 5968,562 |
| 13 | Sheet Metal, Flashing, Lowers \& Exp ts | 107,618 | 6SF | 59.50 | \$1,02, ,371 |
| 14 | Exterior Building Maintenance System |  | L | \$165,00.00 | \$165,000 |
| 15 | Cauking 8 Sealants | 94 | Unit | \$1,200.00 | S112,800 |
|  | Subtotal Exterior Skin |  |  |  | \$6,53,739 |
|  | Interiors \& Equipment |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Gyperete / Topping Slab | 0 | SF | 50.00 | 50 |
| ${ }^{17}$ | Metal Stud Framing \& Drwall | 94 | UnIT | \$52,000.00 | 54,888,000 |
| 18 | Insulation \& Firestoping | 107,618 | 6sF | \$3.50 | \$376,663 |
| 19 | Finish Carpentry | 94 | UnIt | \$13,000.00 | \$1,22,000 |
| 20 | Doors, Frames \& Hardware; Smoke Containment | 94 | UNIT | \$12,000.00 | \$1,128,000 |
| 21 | Overhead Coiling Doors |  | Ls | \$65,000.00 | \$65,000 |
| 22 | Tile \& Stone | 107,618 | 6SF | S0.75 | 580,714 |
| 23 | Acoustical Ceiling \& Wall Panels | 3,500 | SF | \$12.00 | 542,000 |
| 24 | Flooring-Carpet, Resilient, Wood, Polished Conc, Epoxy | 94 | UnIT | 58,00.00 | 572,000 |
| 25 | Painting \& Wall coverings | 107,618 | GSF | 57.50 | \$887,135 |
| 26 | Misc. Specialitie $\&$ Equipment | 107,618 | G5F | 51.80 | S193,712 |
| 27 | Pest Control-Pigeons, Beduugs |  | Ls | \$20,000.00 | 520,000 |
| 28 | Signage | 94 | Unit | \$850.00 | 579,900 |
| 29 | Toile \& Bath Accessories | 94 | UnIT | S1,250.00 | S117,500 |
| 30 | Kitchen Equipment | 94 | Unit | 53,000.00 | 582,000 |
| 31 | Trash Chutes 8 Compactors |  | flr | \$14,000.00 | 598,000 |
| 32 | Window Treatments | 94 | UNIT | S1,200.00 | \$112,800 |
| 33 | Elevators |  | EA | \$32,000.00 | S640,000 |
|  | Subtotal Interiors \& Equipment |  |  |  | \$10,905,424 |
|  | Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing \& Sprinkler Systems |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{34}$ | Fire Protection System | 107,618 | 6sF | 58.00 | \$860,944 |
| 35 | Plumbing | 94 | Unit | \$38,000.00 | \$3,57,000 |
| 36 | HVAC | 94 | Unit | \$18,000.00 | \$1,692,000 |
| 37 | Electricil, Telephone \& Data | 94 | UnIT | \$72,000.00 | \$6,768,000 |
| 38 | Solar Panels-Photovoltaic | 1 | 15 | \$250,000.00 | \$250,000 |
|  | Subtotal Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing \& Sprinkler Systems |  |  |  | \$13,122,944 |
|  | Site Work, Utilities \& Landscaping |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | Asphat Paving \& striping | 3 | STR | \$30,000.00 | 590,000 |
| 40 | Site Concrete | 1 | ${ }^{15}$ | 5625,000.00 | S625,000 |
| 41 | Landscape, lrigigtion \& Site furnishings |  | 15 | \$450,00,00 | 5455,000 |
| 42 | Site Utilities |  | 1 | 5850,000.00 | S850,000 |
|  | Subtotal Sitework, Utilities \& Landscaping |  |  |  | \$2,015,000 |
|  | General Requirements, Logistics \& Phasing |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{43}$ | Personnel Hoist | 11 | mos | \$55,000.00 | \$605,000 |
| 44 | Crane Service | 11 | mos | 568,000.00 | 5778,000 |
| 45 | Scaftold | 107,618 | 6SF | 54.00 | \$430,472 |
| 4 | site Security |  | 15 | \$55,000.00 | 555,000 |
| 47 | Final Cleaning | 107,618 | GSF | \$1.00 | \$107,618 |
| 48 | General Requirements | 20 | mos | \$25,000.00 | \$500,000 |
| 49 | Covio Mitigation Measures |  | 15 | 50.00 | 50 |
|  | Subtotal General Requirements, Logistics \& Phasing |  |  |  | \$2,446,90 |
| Sbtotal |  |  |  |  | \$45,850,272 |






## Attachment K: $1^{\text {st }}$ Year Operating Budget




## Attachment L: 20-year Operating Proforma



Total \# Units: LOSP Units $\begin{gathered}\text { Non-Loss } \\ \text { Units }\end{gathered}$

| Other Reserve 2 Withdrawals |
| :--- | :--- |
| Other Reserve 2 Interest $\quad$ Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance |




Total \# Units: Losp Units $\begin{gathered}\text { Non-LOSP } \\ \text { Units }\end{gathered}$

| Other Reserve 2 Withdrawals |
| :--- | :--- |
| Other Reserve 2 Interest $\quad$ Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance |



[^16]
income

| Other Reserve 2 Withdrawals |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Other Reserve 2 Interest |  |
|  | Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance |



Total \# Units: LOSP Units $\begin{gathered}\text { Non-Los } \\ \text { Units }\end{gathered}$



Total \# Units: Losp Units $\begin{gathered}\text { Non-LosP } \\ \text { Units }\end{gathered}$
路
income

| Other Reserve 2 Withdrawals |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Other Reserve 2 Interest |  |



Total \# Units: LOSP Units $\begin{gathered}\text { Non-LOSP } \\ \text { Units }\end{gathered}$

Other Reserve 2 Withdrawals
Other Reserve 2 Interest $\quad$ Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance

Other Required Reserve 2 Running Bala


| $\begin{aligned} & { }_{25}^{25} 0 \\ & \hline 26.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 74.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 17 \\ 2041 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 18 \\ 2042 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 19 \\ 2043 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% annual | \% annual increase | $\underset{\substack{\text { Comments } \\ \text { (related to annual inc assumptions) }}}{\text { ( }}$ | non-LOSP | Total | LOSP | non-LOSP | Total | LOSP | non-LOSP |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balanc


Government Audit \& Oversight Committee, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Dean Preston, dean.preston@sfgov.org,
Supervisor Connie Chan, connie.chan@sfgov.org,
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman, Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org
Clerk John Carroll, John.Carroll@sfgov.org

July 13, 2021

To the Members of the Government Audit \& Oversight Committee,

I am writing on behalf of the Mid Sunset Neighborhood Association which represents members from 170 area families, to ask your assistance regarding the proposed Affordable Housing development at 2550 Irving Street, San Francisco, BOS File No. 210753, which the Board of Supervisors is scheduled to vote on July 20, 2021.

Attached are a summary of concerns related to: (1) PCE contamination on both sides of this block of Irving Street; (2) financial red flags raised in the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development's (MOHCD) Loan Evaluation Memo dated 4/2/21; and (3) a copy of the MOHCD's 4/2/21 Loan Evaluation Memo with the relevant text highlighted. Below you will also find urgent questions that remain unanswered by the MOHCD and TNDC, which we are elevating to your team for help in getting answers as soon as possible before the Board of Supervisors votes on the loan.

These questions point to a lack of good faith by TNDC in their NOFA response and required community engagement process. Until all of these questions are sufficiently answered, we ask you to vote no on approving the loan that would allow the purchase of the parcel to the Board of Supervisors. Not only would it reward the seller, the San Francisco Police Credit Union, and the buyer, the Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation, for misleading practices and a lack of good faith towards the community, but it would potentially waste $\$ 14.6$ million in purchasing a toxic and financially unfeasible parcel. Additionally, we ask for your assistance in pressing DTSC to investigate and remediate both affected parcels on the block before any development proceeds.

1. This Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) called for proposals to create two types of housing: housing for seniors and housing for low to extremely low-income families. The only proposals that MOHCD received were 4200 Geary Boulevard for senior housing, and 2550 Irving Street for LI/ELI housing, with acquisition costs of $\$ 11.1$ million and $\$ 9.4$ million respectively. When asked, MOHCD confirmed: "TNDC was the only respondent. It is not common, but it does happen."
a. Given how much higher than average the acquisition cost AND total cost/unit are, shouldn't MOHCD reject the proposals and ask for more proposals?
b. In comparison, how many developers submitted bids for Shirley Chisholm Village?
2. Why was the NOFA published in the middle of the holidays $(12 / 27 / 19)$ with only 34 days to respond? The recent MOHCD audit cited this as the shortest response period.
a. Did any other developers express an interest in this NOFA?
b. If there had been a longer response period, would another developer have submitted a proposal?
3. While Bay Area housing costs are some of the highest in the nation, $\$ 959 \mathrm{~K} /$ unit is particularly high. In fact, according to the data in the MOHCD's loan evaluation memo, the cost/unit is 60\% over the average for San Francisco Affordable Housing projects. In Boston, by comparison, which
is also one of the nation's highest markets, the Boston Redevelopment Agency caps costs/unit at $\$ 500 \mathrm{~K}$. When asked if MOHCD has a cap on cost/units, MOHCD responded, " MOHCD does not have a cap on per unit costs but instead uses running averages to evaluate costs relative to other similar recent projects."
a. Are there standards for how high over the average the MOHCD deems acceptable?
4. When asked about the gap loan, MOHCD said, "The gap loan is still to be determined. MOHCD is interested in total costs equaling or coming in lower than the average for recent total comparative costs for other projects. This is a running average and fluctuates over time." According to MOHCD's 4/2/21 loan evaluation memo, gap financing from MOHCD was last estimated as $\$ 25.6$ million.
a. Given that the costs are projected to be $60 \%$ higher than average, how much realistically can we expect costs to come down?
5. MOHCD's loan evaluation memo (dated 4/2/21) repeatedly calls out the higher than average acquisition cost. On page 45, we see that, compared to other recent or current Affordable Housing projects in San Francisco, the acquisition cost is not just one of the five highest of recent/current projects, but it is DOUBLE the average acquisition cost. It is also double the assessed value according to the San Francisco Tax Assessor Records. Section 6.4.2 of the 4/2/21 Loan Evaluation Memo states that the "acquisition cost is based on an appraisal" and "prior to funding TNDC shall provide an appraisal supporting the acquisition cost." The Purchase and Sale Agreement between TNDC and the Police Credit Union calls for an appraisal.
a. Where is the appraisal or market study to support paying the San Francisco Police Credit Union $\$ 9$ million, more than TWICE the assessed value for 2550 Irving Street?
b. In the Pre-Application Q\&A, MOHCD explicitly told applicants that an appraisal was not required for submission. Why was an appraisal not required with the NOFA application?
c. We have since been told an appraisal is not needed until the loan is submitted for approval. It has been 18 months since this parcel was proposed, and we still have yet to see the appraisal. In a July 8, 2021 meeting with Mayor Breed, Director Shaw would not say when the appraisal will be conducted or provided to the Board of Supervisors or to the public. How can the public trust the proposed acquisition cost without an appraisal? We would like to know:
6. When will/did the appraisal take place? Will the appraisal be against the current market value, or for the market value when the price of $\$ 9.4$ million was negotiated 18 months ago?
7. Who will conduct the appraisal? At this point, the community expects this to be conducted by an independent third party. Can you confirm who will conduct the appraisal, and how will its integrity be validated?
8. What will happen if the appraisal does not support the acquisition cost?
9. Will the appraisal be made available to the Board of Supervisors with sufficient time to validate its integrity before voting to approve the loan?
10. Will the appraisal be made available to the public before the Board of Supervisors votes on the loan?
11. Section 6.5 .2 of the Loan Evaluation Memo states, "Unlike the five projects that were recently not awarded tax credits and bonds, 2550 Irving is located within a high resource area and so would currently achieve the full 120-point self-score, potentially making the project more competitive for state tax credit and bond funding."
a. If the project fails to qualify for long-term financing, such as Low Income Housing Tax Credits, then what happens? Can TNDC reapply for other programs? Is there a time limit for TNDC securing other financing?
b. What is the last date that TNDC can back out of the development? If TNDC backs out, would the property be turned over to the City?
12. In the process of studying 2550 Irving Street, the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) discovered there is a second, larger plume emanating from another parcel across the street from 2550 Irving Street, which runs downhill under 2550 Irving Street to join the first plume in pooling under at least four neighbors on the North side of 2550 Irving Street. However, DTSC is two years behind investigating this parcel, and claim a lack of budget prevents them from initiating an investigation, even though they know it to be a bigger problem. Until DTSC knows more about how both parcels' plumes work, how both can be remediated, and how this would impact construction of 2550 Irving Street, it is extremely unlikely for LIHTC investors to invest because the remediation of one parcel may very well depend on the remediation of the other.
a. What happens if TNDC cannot secure long-term financing due to the toxicological concerns with this block?
b. Are you aware that emails exist that show TNDC willfully withheld sharing the environmental concerns with the neighborhood groups that they consulted while preparing their NOFA response, and that the support TNDC quoted was provided without knowledge of the environmental concerns?
13. Regarding TNDC and MOHCD's stated commitment to a robust community engagement process before and after the NOFA award:
a. Are you aware that while the Mid-Sunset Neighborhood Association (MSNA) is listed at the top of the Planning Department's list of Sunset neighborhood groups to be contacted regarding area development, the MSNA only found out about the development after the Mayor's Office published their press release announcing the NOFA award. Why did TNDC willfully ignore contacting them at any point in the 13 months prior to the award?
b. Are you aware that TNDC willfully delayed for months Supervisor Mar's repeated requests for a press release notifying the public about MOHCD's award. When pressed, TNDC admitted they had not yet contacted the immediate neighbors, and requested another delay before publishing a press release late on the Friday before the holidays.
c. Section 3.2 of the 4/2/21 loan evaluation memo states that, "Concurrently with the Sunset Community Conversations, three community updates are planned, each spaced approximately one month apart to provide information on the project and opportunities for community input as the visioning and guiding principles are formed."
14. Who conducted those community updates, and when/where were they promoted?
15. How many of these meetings provided simultaneous Chinese translation for a predominantly ESL/Chinese speaking population?
16. How much notice did TNDC provide to the neighborhood about each event, and how did they insure that seniors and monolingual/ESL residents could participate in these digital-only dialogues?
17. How many events were not digital-only dialogues?
18. How much two-way interaction and conversation occurred in this events, as compared to one-way presentations?
19. TNDC, MOHCD, Supervisor Mar and Mayor Breed all publicly committed to engaging in a robust community input process. Supervisor Mar has publicly agreed that some neighbors have "legitimate concerns" regarding the height and bulk being jarringly out of scale with the 2 -story homes that fill out the rest of this block, and that he believes compromise on the height and bulk (reducing it to 5 or 6 stories) may be possible. However, in his last meeting with the MSNA,

MOHCD Director Eric Shaw confessed he regrets not being more clear upfront in January that there was no chance that MOHCD would ever consider or approve anything less than a maximum 7-story infill design. The architect from Pyatok admitted the same in a recent meeting two weeks ago - that they have been instructed to only consider 7-story designs.
a. Do you think it is equitable for the community to feel misled by TNDC and MOHCD officials into thinking the community would have any input beyond literal window dressing, trim and landscaping?
b. In an email exchange, TNDC told Supervisor Mar's office that the Planning Department's assessment of the AHBP is that TNDC could build 72 units (presumably 5-6 stories) on that site. Is a compromise possible? Would MOHCD consider anything less than 7 stories?
10. Section 4.1 of the Loan Evaluation Memo left blank the closing date for the loan: "The initial closing date is [insert date], 30 - days following the expiration of the feasibility period. The closing date can be extended two times with additional deposits." MOHCD has since confirmed the expected closing date is August 31, 2021.
a. What is the estimated cost of each additional deposit? And would those be in addition to the $\$ 9.4$ million acquisition cost or part of the total $\$ 94$ million budget?
11. Section 5.1 of the Loan Evaluation Memo states, "The Contract Monitoring Division at MOHCD has provided TNDC a $20 \%$ Small Business Enterprise participation goal for the project. The goal is currently not being met; however, TNDC intends to meet the goal as additional vendors are brought under contract."
a. What's the status of this goal?
b. What is the timeline for meeting the goals, and what are the penalties for failure to meet the goals?
c. When asked if a diversity plan was required for this project, MOHCD responded, "Yes, the city has set a goal of $20 \%$ small business enterprise participation. MOHCD will work with TNDC to advance this goal." Does the small business enterprise goal include a racial diversity component? Or is just the size of the business pertinent?

In consideration of the above, we ask that you vote no on approving this loan until each of these issues is satisfactorily addressed. If your team finds that sufficient concerns remain, we ask that you recommend that MOHCD reopen the NOFA process for new and/or revised proposals.

Sincerely,
Joan Klau
Mid Sunset Neighborhood Association

## Enclosures

| From: | Nate Ramos |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Preston, Dean (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS) |
| Cc: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Westside Community Coalition |
| Subject: | "Public Comment on File 210763 - In Support of Affordable Housing" |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 4:16:14 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Nate Ramos. I live in District 5, and I am a supporter of the Westside Community Coalition.

I wholeheartedly support the proposed $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street.

I consider myself quite lucky to live in housing that is affordable for me in my district. However, that is not true for many of my neighbors, and for many of those who work in, and even commute to, San Francisco. The affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street can be a major step in supporting my rent-burdened neighbors in District 4, as well as many others who live and/or work in the city.

We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now! Many have already been displaced from their homes in D4 and others at risk of displacement need our help now.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

In order to serve as many families as possible, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving Street today. And in order to serve our most vulnerable neighbors, I urge you to ensure that the building serve families at the lower end of AMI using all possible resources at the city's disposal. This project cannot be delayed because our community cannot wait any longer. Every day is yet another possibility for another family to end up on the street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

I join my community and the Westside Community Coalition in demanding that the city INVEST in affordable housing by funding the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving and serving families at the lower end of AMI.

Thank you!

| From: | Sara Shortt |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Support for 2550 Irving Affordable Housing |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 5:14:07 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar,
I am writing on behalf of HomeRise (Formerly Community Housing Partnership) to thank you for the support you have given to the TNDC affordable housing project at 2550 Irving in your district. We are a 30 year old affordable housing provider with approximately 1700 units of permanent supportive housing throughout the city. Our residents are extremely low income, formerly homeless adults and families, just as some of the residents at 2550 Irving will be.

Over the 30 years we have been providing this housing, there has been no evidence of any negative impact on the communities where they are built: no rise in crime, increase in homelessness or decreased public safety. What we have observed however, is people who were finally able to access education, residents who gained the stability they needed to address their addiction disorder, families being reunited, residents finding gainful employment and people moving up and out of poverty.

We want more people to be able to experience the result of having stable housing, which requires building projects like 2550 Irving. We also want San Francisco to simply bring people off the streets. The number of available units versus the actual need for housing for those without homes is terribly mismatched. Only building more deeply affordable housing in all possible places where there is available land will help remedy this. If we confine our development to only certain neighborhoods, we will not come close to clearing the sidewalks of tents. The Sunset has a role to play in addressing the citywide homelessness problem and we applaud you for recognizing that.

With this housing, the Sunset would not only help solve the citywide housing crisis, but the neighborhood will also be providing for its own community members. There are homeless residents of the Sunset, there are families at risk of or under eviction in the Sunset, and there are thousands of households in the Sunset who have applied for affordable housing, with little hope of receiving any. This project will benefit these community members significantly.

With the additional below market rent units, this development will also provide for Sunset neighbors or other San Franciscans who would otherwise be forced to leave the neighborhood or the city. When a family of average means loses income or housing they can rarely afford to stay in a community like the Sunset or a city such as San Francisco due to the exorbitant cost of housing.

HomeRise is urging you to support the maximum number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street.

Sincerely,

Sara Shortt
Director of Public Policy and Community Organizing

Sara Shortt (she/her) | Director of Public Policy \& Community Organizing HomeRise (Formerly Community Housing Partnership)<br>m: 415.846.0750<br>www.HomeRisesf.org

20 Jones Street, Suite 200
San Francisco, CA 94102

| From: | Nathan Lovejoy |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 5:03:47 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Nathan Lovejoy
nlovejoy@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94121

| From: | 年解nifer Gann |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 5:18:42 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Jennifer Gann
jngann@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Rudolph Reves |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 8:00:28 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Rudolph Reyes
rudolphreyes@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

| From: | Aaron Beitch |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 8:14:10 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Aaron Beitch
aaron.beitch@gmail.com
1480 Larkin St \#3
San Francisco, California 94109

| From: | Paul Breed |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors }}$ |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:46:00 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

## Paul Breed

netfire4@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94107

| From: | Lauren Girardin |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:48:04 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Lauren Girardin
laurengirardin@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94110

```
From: Parker Day
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: Support 100% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset!
Date:
Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:49:04 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Parker Day
parkerday@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94109

| From: | Brady Whitten |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:52:29 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Brady Whitten
bwhitten518@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94107

| From: | Grea Campbell |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:59:30 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I've lived in the Sunset, one block from the proposed project, for 16 years. I strongly support this project; the Sunset has a dearth of affordable housing, and we should absolutely be building more dense housing near major transit corridors like the $N$ Judah.

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Greg Campbell
gtcampbell@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Brent Cohn |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors }}$ |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:02:59 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Brent Cohn
brentjoseph@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94107

| From: | Ben Wessel |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors }}$ |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:04:40 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Ben Wessel
benkwessel@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Lacob Wellins |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:14:39 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Jacob Wellins
jwspamstuff@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94127

| From: | Amy Kelly Lauer |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:53:31 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Amy Kelly Lauer
iamamylauer@gmail.com
Millbrae, California 94030

| From: | Andrew Fister |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 11:45:49 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a Sunset resident who lives in easy walking distance to the proposed site, I urge you to move this project forward and provide the funding for it.

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Andrew Fister
andrewfister3@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Robin Pugh |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 12:04:36 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Robin Pugh
pugh.robin@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94131

| From: | throgers@yahoo.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 7:00:27 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.
throgers@yahoo.com

| From: | Laurie Krsmanovic |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 7:08:36 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Laurie Krsmanovic
lauriebk@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | christineyws@gmail.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 7:58:17 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.
christineyws@gmail.com

| From: | Luis Vidalon-Suzuki |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 8:26:36 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Luis Vidalon-Suzuki
Ividalon13@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Leilani Ishaan |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 8:30:39 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Leilani Ishaan
leilaniishaan@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94121

| From: | Yuri Cartier |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 9:08:16 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

## Yuri Cartier

ycartier@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94115

| From: | Adam Barber |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 9:15:48 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Adam Barber
adam.barber1@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94102

| From: | Andrew Chen |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 10:03:47 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Andrew Chen
andrewchen819@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Kayle Barnes |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 10:15:44 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Kayle Barnes
kaylebarnes@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94115

| From: | Simon Gardiner |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 10:21:37 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Simon Gardiner
s.gardo@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94109

| From: | Evan Cragin |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 10:32:12 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Evan Cragin
evancragin@gmail.com
Berkeley, California 94710

| From: | mellabettaq@gmail.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 10:58:21 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.
mellabettag@gmail.com

| From: | Christina Sheffey |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 11:16:16 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Christina Sheffey
christinalsheffey@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94109

| From: | Christina Ling |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 11:17:58 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Christina Ling
linglinng@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94102

| From: | Lynea Diaz-Hagan |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 12:01:10 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Lynea Diaz-Hagan
lyneadh@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94121

| From: | Will Murphy |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 12:23:00 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Will Murphy
willmurphy31@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94117

| From: | Lanelle Wong |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 12:35:55 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Janelle Wong
smongfamily@me.com
San Francisco, California 94116

| From: | Leonor Melara |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 12:44:23 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Leonor Melara
leonormelara@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94131

| From: | Ray Schreiber |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 1:45:45 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Ray Schreiber
ray.schreiber@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94107

| From: | Elisa Yeung |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 1:47:43 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

## Elisa Yeung

elisa.rae.yeung@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94118

| From: | lindsayleighhaddix@gmail.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 1:49:12 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.
lindsayleighhaddix@gmail.com

| From: | Adam Jancsek |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 1:51:36 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Adam Jancsek
acjancsek@gmail.com
Carmel, California 93923

| From: | amanda madlener |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 2:02:59 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
Hi, I live in the Outer Sunset, I am the captain of our neighborhood watch block, and I represent our immediate area when I say, we support this project. San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.
amanda madlener
amandam@ix.netcom.com
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Stuart Hills |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 2:03:39 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Stuart Hills
stuarthills@me.com
San Francisco, California 94114

| From: | Desiree Stanley |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 2:12:42 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Desiree Stanley
desireenstanley@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

| From: | Karen Sommerich |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 2:44:01 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Karen Sommerich
ksommerich@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94118

| From: | Lessica lenkins |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 3:23:39 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Jessica Jenkins
jjenkins@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94117

| From: | Madge Miller |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 3:41:13 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
The sunset is my neighborhood and l'm 100\% supportive of building more affordable housing and high density housing here. I own a home here, other people should have that opportunity too.

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Madge Miller
memsf25@yahoo.com
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | Lohn Parish |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 4:44:22 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

John Parish
j.r.parish@outlook.com

San Francisco, California 94112

| From: | Andrew Nance |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 4:48:05 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Andrew Nance
Mindfulartssf@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94114

| From: | byron hawley |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 5:13:50 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.
byron hawley
ahawleyla@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94118

| From: | David Kim |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 5:51:38 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

David Kim
ilikepublictransit@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94114

| From: | Loshua Ehrlich |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 7:02:26 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's sunset district is one of the best parts of SF and we need to retain as many current residents as we can while accommodating everyone who wants to live in such a beautiful location. Building more housing is necessary to avoid increasing rents and long time residents moving away. I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Joshua Ehrlich
ehrlichjoshua@gmail.com
253 Frederick St
San Francisco, California 94117

| From: | Pierre Balanque |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 7:30:44 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Pierre Balangue
mrloljon@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94116

| From: | Abby Marks |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 7:54:55 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Abby Marks
iamabby3@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94116

| From: | Robert Spragg |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 9:12:22 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Robert Spragg
rspragg359@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94612

| From: | Ann-Marie Olsson |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Saturday, July 10, 2021 7:20:07 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Ann-Marie Olsson
olssonemail@yahoo.com
San Francisco, California 94117

| From: | Lohn Kennedy |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Saturday, July 10, 2021 8:17:56 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

John Kennedy
sfcamelot@yahoo.com
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | 年解nifer Michel |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Saturday, July 10, 2021 8:20:46 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Jennifer Michel
jmichel945@gmail.com
Menlo Park, California 94025

| From: | Alexis Woods |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Saturday, July 10, 2021 11:45:22 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

## Alexis Woods

alexiswoods2@mac.com
San Francisco, California 94117

| From: | Steve Rhodes |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Saturday, July 10, 2021 12:59:23 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Steve Rhodes
srhodes@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94110

| From: | Lohn Cook |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Saturday, July 10, 2021 3:35:18 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
I live a few blocks from the proposed site and strongly support it! Please know that the loud voices in the community opposed are not all of us!

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

John Cook
johncooksf@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | ason Dewees <br> To: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Saturday, July 10, 2021 10:26:20 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, while California burns and seas rise under global warming which is why l'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

It's time for us residents of the Sunset to do our part to welcome a full spectrum of new neighbors and keep current neighbors comfortably housed. Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Jason Dewees
jjuania@yahoo.com
San Francisco, California 94122

| From: | immaloneysf@gmail.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Sunday, July 11, 2021 8:05:02 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.
jimmaloneysf@gmail.com

| From: | Levi Armlovich |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Sunday, July 11, 2021 8:48:00 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The $100 \%$ affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Levi Armlovich
l.armlovich@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

| From: | Marty Cerles |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Subject: | Support 100\% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset! |
| Date: | Sunday, July 11, 2021 5:18:06 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Marty Cerles
martycerles@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

```
From: Zachary Weisenburger
To: Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: I support 100% Affordable Housing at 2550 Irving Street
Date:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I am writing on behalf of Young Community Developers (YCD) to express support for the proposed \(100 \%\) affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 is in great need of housing and falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, with only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. The district also continues to lose much-needed rent-controlled units.

Thousands of Sunset residents submit applications through the City's DAHLIA system each year, and with virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters, it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, rent-restricted homes now.

The \(100 \%\) affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. This is a real opportunity to address growing housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. I am urging you to support the maximum number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for \(100 \%\) affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Zachary Weisenburger
```

From: Ingrid Lassleben
To: Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Adam A
Subject: 2550 Irving Support Letter
Date: Thursday, July 8, 2021 4:19:50 PM

```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: I support 100\% affordable housing at 2550 Irving Street
Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I'm writing to express support for the proposed \(100 \%\) affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing.

District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community.

This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. In order to serve as many families as possible, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving Street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Ingrid Lassleben
Neighbor
1243 29th Ave. SF CA 94122
```

From: e.lin76@yahoo.com
To: Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: 2550 Irving Street
Date: Thursday, July 8, 2021 5:23:48 PM

```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: File number 210763
Subject: I support maximizing the number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street

\section*{Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,}

I'm writing to express support for the proposed 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the shortage of affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade. As a 20-year resident of neighboring District 5 who has maintained stability in my current rent-controlled apartment for 15 years, I know firsthand the benefits of a city that provides various affordable housing options. I am a contributing member of our community because l've been able to maintain my housing here. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of longstanding families, we urge you to take bold action to protect our community.

Thousands of Sunset residents submit applications through the City's DAHLIA system each year, and with virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters, it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, rent-restricted homes now.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. This is a real opportunity to address growing housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. I am urging you to support the maximum number of homes and the deepest affordability at \(\mathbf{2 5 5 0}\) Irving Street.

I commend your leadership on this critical issue. With your commitment to San Francisco's values of inclusiveness, sustainability, and racial equity, we can continue San Francisco's strong recovery from COVID-19 and remain an example for others to follow.

Sincerely,
Emily Lin

1927 Grove St, San Francisco, Ca 94117
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
From: & Daniel Healy \\
To: & BOS-Supervisors \\
Subject: & I support the development of 2550 Irving \\
Date: & Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:15:37 PM
\end{tabular}

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
The city has an affordable housing crisis. The Sunset's housing stock is old and dilapidated and yet it sells for millions of dollars a house. Build as much housing as fast as you can to rectify this situation.

Dan Healy
2362 17th Avenue
San Francisco, CA
94116
Daniel Healy
daniel.healy05@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94116
```

From: Lea McGeever
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: Hola! I Support 100% Affordable Homes at 2550 Irving Street in The Sunset!
Date:
Thursday, July 8, }2021\mathrm{ 10:37:22 PM

```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Lea McGeever
lea.mcgeever@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
From: & Ahalya Srikant \\
To: & BOS-Supervisors \\
Subject: & I want the affordable housing built at 2550 Irving. \\
Date: & Friday, July 9, 2021 9:16:55 AM
\end{tabular}

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
As a sunset resident for the past decade, I myself have almost been priced out of the neighborhood and the city. From being a student to being a working professional, this neighborhood and this city are unaffordable. I live just a few blocks from this project and I think it would add to the vibrancy of the neighborhood and support the local businesses on Irving that need more support. The middle sunset has often been a dead zone, and we need this housing to bring more people to our area. San Francisco's housing shortage and affordability crisis is more acute than ever, which is why I'm urging you to support bringing \(100 \%\) affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street in SF's Sunset District.

Our city urgently needs more affordable housing on the Westside generally and in District 4 specifically. District 4, as you know, falls behind every other district when it comes to building affordable housing and has added only 17 new affordable homes over the last decade!

With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of longstanding families, it is long past time for the Board of Supervisors to take bold action to protect our community. Each year, thousands of Sunset residents submit applications for affordable housing but there are virtually no affordable housing opportunities in the Sunset to meet the needs of working families and renters. That's why it is imperative that we build more safe, stable, and affordable homes right now.

The 100\% affordable homes at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in a community with good access to schools, parks, and the Irving Street commercial district. They will also help address SF's staggering housing inequality, allow diverse families to remain in our Westside community, and support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

Again, I'm urging you to support bringing 100\% affordable homes to 2550 Irving Street without delay so that more residents can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

Ahalya Srikant
ahalyasrikant@gmail.com
San Francisco, California 94122
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline From: & mark iverson \\
\hline To: & Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS) \\
\hline Cc: & Wendy Lowinger; Claire Lowinger-Iverson; Lulian Lowinger-Iverson \\
\hline Subject: & 2550 Irving St. Project--Strong Support for this project from long time Sunset resident who lives two blocks away. \\
\hline Date: & Friday, July 9, 2021 11:41:51 AM \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

\section*{Good Morning,}

My wife and I have lived on 28th and Irving for over 21 years. We strongly support the current proposed plan ( 7 stories and 98 units) at 2550 Irving St. project. I have seen teachers, blue collar workers, and other middle to lower class people leave because San Francisco has become so outrageously expensive to live in. The Sunset district has done little to nothing to address this issue for decades. This project does at least something to address our housing crisis. I recognize that the Mid-Sunset association has mounted a strident campaign to oppose this project. At the very beginning of their campaign this association attacked the project because "very, very poor people" would live there, that the project would attract crime, reduce property values, and all the other NIMBY tropes I have read about or seen over the years. I have attended their meetings to see why there is such rage, hysteria and fear surrounding this project. I wanted to know what was the basis for their opposition? Was I missing something? I learned their opposition is based on three attacks: 1) It is on a toxic site. 2) That it is financially unsound. 3) That it's design is flawed. The Association wants TNDC to pay for toxic cleanup of the neighboring houses around 2550 Irving St. (no proof that such toxins exist in any of these homes and I was mystified as to how TNDC could be liable for toxins they did not generate). The second argument hinged on the fact that the TNDC is counting on federal tax credits to help pay for the project. The Association knows that their four story counter proposal effectively kills the project because TNDC would then not be eligible for the federal tax credits needed to pay for the project. The design flaw argument was presented by an architect who said the project is too big, it would cause traffic congestion and "blight". The ending of the architect's presentation was the most revealing: "we don't want another Geneva Towers or Pink Palace." By citing failed housing projects where people of color lived, the architect revealed the real fear behind this project: that people of color will "invade" the neighborhood. Subsequent neighborhood emails I saw after the meeting confirmed the true feelings of the opposition and as people discussed how it would "bring down property values", increase crime and blight, etc.,

I am asking you to approve this project and not give in to people's racialized fears and anger. Certainly, the people who live in this neighborhood have a voice, but what about the voices of those who would live at 2550 Irving St.?

Truly,
Mark Iverson
Wendy Lowinger
1281 28th Ave.
San Francisco
```

From: Matt Pemberton
To: Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Katie Lamont
Subject: Letter of Support for TNDC 2550 IIving St project
Date:
Attachments:
Friday, July 9, 2021 1:39:19 PM
2550I rvingTNDCsupportletter7.9.21.pdf

```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing on behalf of Sunset Neighborhood Beacon Center to express support for the proposed \(100 \%\) affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now. The Sunset cannot wait another decade for the city to act. Now is the time to build in the Sunset.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address growing housing inequality, allow families to remain in our Westside community, and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. I am urging you to support the maximum number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street. Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for \(100 \%\) affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Matt Pemberton
Sunset Neighborhood Beacon Center, a program of Bay Area Community Resources 3925 Noriega St
SF, CA, 94122

Matt Pemberton
\(\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{Him} / \mathrm{His}\)
BACR Director of Beacon Programs
3925 Noriega St (Visiting the office? Fill out this Form)
SF, CA 94122
o: 415-755-2342
c: 415-608-2732
mpemberton@bacr.org
www.bacr.org
www.snbc.org
https://calendly.com/mpemberton-bacr - schedule a meeting with me!

Our purpose is to connect people to their passion, potential, and community.
The BACR mission is to promote healthy development of individuals, families and communities


CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: INFORMATION IN THIS MESSAGE, INCLUDING ALL ATTACHMENTS, IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE. If the reader of this message is not an intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this message in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately, and delete the message and any hard copy print-outs. Thank you.


AFTER SCHOOL PROGRAMS

To: gordon.mar@sfgov.org; MarStaff@sfgov.org; Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Subject: I support maximizing the number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving
Street

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,
I am writing on behalf of Sunset Neighborhood Beacon Center to express support for the proposed \(100 \%\) affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now. The Sunset cannot wait another decade for the city to act. Now is the time to build in the Sunset.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address growing housing inequality, allow families to remain in our Westside community, and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. I am urging you to support the maximum number of homes and the deepest affordability at 2550 Irving Street.
Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,
Matt Pemberton


Sunset Neighborhood Beacon Center, a program of Bay Area Community Resources 3925 Noriega St
SF, CA, 94122
To: ChanStaff@sfgov.org 2) Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org 3) Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org 4) gordon.mar@sfgov.org 5) Dean.Preston@sfgov.org 6) Matt.Haney@sfgov.org 7) MelgarStaff@sfgov.org 8) MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org 9) Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org 10) Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org 11); Safai, Ahsha (BOS)
Cc:
Subject:
Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject Line: "Public Comment on File 210763 - In Support of Affordable Housing"
Date:
Friday, July 9, 2021 3:41:17 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Hello San Francisco Government Representatives:

My name is Thryn Cornell. I live and work in District 4. I am a supporter of the Westside Community Coalition San Francisco District 4 Outer Sunset.

I support for the proposed $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street.

I been a resident in San Francisco since 1981. I've been homeless, jobless and penniless; evicted three times. This is no way to live and not just a sign of being an unfortunate person. Please continue to proposed $100 \%$ housing development all over the Bay Area and at 2550 Irving Street. My District 4 Outer Sunset (going into my 11th year) would be an excellent area too for affordable housing development. Beach Front property, hum...\$M old homes, hum... being so close to the ocean we do see homeless residents that could use an affordable place to live. Please, don't Napa up the San Francisco coast line; and help end just shopping carts and bags at bus stops on our city blocks.

We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district
in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now! Many have already been displaced from their homes in D4 and others at risk of displacement need our help now.

The $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

In order to serve as many families as possible, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving Street today. And in order to serve our most vulnerable neighbors, I urge you to ensure that the building serve families at the lower end of AMI using all possible resources at the city's disposal. This project cannot be delayed because our community cannot wait any longer. Every day is yet another possibility for another family to end up on the street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for $100 \%$ affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

I support my community Westside Community Coalition in demanding that the city INVEST in affordable housing by funding the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving and
serving families at the lower end of AMI. Thank you!
--

## Thank you,

## Thryn Cornell

thryn_11@sonic.net

```
From: Maneesh Sharma
To: Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS)
Subject: Support for max height and units at 2550 Irving Street
Date: Friday, July 9, 2021 4:33:25 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Mar, Melgar, and the Board of Supervisors,

I am an Inner Sunset resident and I'm writing to express full support for the proposed $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. With hundreds of rentcontrolled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. In order to serve as many families as possible at the deepest affordability, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units and height at 2550 Irving Street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,

Maneesh Sharma
1522 7th Ave,
San Francisco, CA 94122

| From: | Yeh Fang |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Mar, Gordon (BOS) |
| Cc: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | I am long-term resident of Sunset, and I support the housing development at 2550 Inving Street |
| Date: | Saturday, July 10, 2021 12:58:21 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear Gordon Mar,

My name is Yeh Fang. I live in District 4. I am a supporter of the Westside Community Coalition and California YIMBY.

I support the proposed $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street.
As a resident of Sunset since 2007 who has seen rents and housing prices increase uncontrolled over the years, such that long-time friends who used to live here have been forced to move out of San Francisco because they could no longer afford to live here, despite being born and raised here themselves, it is imperative that we continue to build all sorts of housing in San Francisco. Or else more friends and families and their sons and daughters will be forced to move out, or else forced to live with their parents, which has long-term consequences for their social life, including the inability to date or get married properly. This is a huge issue for the millennial and under generation, especially as I'm sure many parents still wish for their kids to marry one day, not realizing that their NIMBY attitudes are creating the obstacles to this wish.

We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices, and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now! Many have already been displaced from their homes in D4 and others at risk of displacement need our help now.

The $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors.

In order to serve as many families as possible, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving Street today. And in order to serve our most vulnerable neighbors, I urge you to ensure that the building serves families at the lower end of AMI using all possible resources at the city's disposal. This project cannot be delayed because our community cannot wait any longer. Every day is yet another possibility for another family to end up on the street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for $100 \%$ affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

I join my community in demanding that the city INVEST in affordable housing by funding the maximum number of units at 2550 Irving and serving families at the lower end of AMI. Thank you!

Sincerely, Yeh Fang

```
From: Vanessa Lin McGraw
To: Mar, Gordon (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: I support maximum height and number of units at 2550 Irving Street
Date:
Saturday, July 10, 2021 1:39:54 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mar and the Board of Supervisors,

I am a District 4 resident and I'm writing to express full support for the proposed 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. District 4 falls behind every other district in the City when it comes to building affordable housing, adding only 17 new affordable units over the last decade. With hundreds of rent-controlled apartments losing protected status, rising housing prices and the continued displacement of Sunset families, the time to act is now.

The 100\% affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street will expand access and opportunities for working families and renters by creating safe and stable homes in our community. This is a real opportunity to address the growing housing inequity and displacement in our Westside community and to support the urgent needs of our most vulnerable neighbors. In order to serve as many families as possible at the deepest affordability, I am urging you to support the maximum number of units and height at 2550 Irving Street.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I look forward to your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,

| From: | Cassandra Telenko |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | MelgarStaff (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS) |
| Cc: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Support for 100\% affordable housing at 2550 Irving Street |
| Date: | Sunday, July 11, 2021 9:58:25 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Supervisor Gordon Mar,

My name is Cassandra Telenko. I live in District 7. I am writing this letter because of my deep desire for housing growth in San Francisco.

I am asking the board of supervisors to support the proposed $100 \%$ affordable housing development at 2550 Irving Street. I am also asking that the board continue to support and rapidly invest in affordable housing in San Francisco in all our districts.

My favorite feature of San Francisco is the large number of local businesses, especially book stores. One cannot miss, pre- and post- pandemic, the large number of help wanted signs in our local businesses. Many residents and workers in the Bay Area have to travel far distances in order to afford to work and live here. The people in the lowest wage jobs should not have to travel hours to get to work in addition to putting in the most hours working just to get by. It is no wonder some cannot afford to work in our local businesses given the scarcity, and thereby price, of housing in our city.

Our city can accommodate so many more people. We need to make housing affordable for the residents and workers in our city so that they do not have to suffer long commutes and so that they can stay in the communities where they grew up.

I am urging you to support the maximum number of affordable housing units at 2550 Irving Street today. We urgently need to address the Sunset's underinvestment in affordable housing. Many have already been displaced from their homes in D4 and others are at risk of displacement. These residents need our help now.

To serve our most vulnerable neighbors, I urge you to ensure that the 2550 Irving Street serves families at the lower end of AMI using all possible resources at the city's disposal. This project cannot be delayed because our community cannot wait any longer. Every day is yet another possibility for another family to end up on the street. I am thankful to the networking of Westside Community Coalition for timely alerting me to this need for support.

Thank you for your ongoing advocacy for 100\% affordable housing in the Sunset. I am eager to support your continued leadership on this issue.

Sincerely,

Cassandra Telenko
District 7

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; BOS-Administrative Aides |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Major, Erica (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: 33 Gough Safe Sleeping Cabins - Letter of Intent to Use Ordinance 60-19 |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 8:50:00 AM |
| Attachments: | image001.png |
|  | 33 Gough Cabins - Alternative Permitting Letter - 7.14.2021 signed.pdf |

From: Oates, Dennis (DPW) [Dennis.Oates@sfdpw.org](mailto:Dennis.Oates@sfdpw.org)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 1:16 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)
Cc: 'Sharon Lai' [sharon@dignitymoves.com](mailto:sharon@dignitymoves.com); Alameida, Ronald (DPW)
[Ronald.Alameida@sfdpw.org](mailto:Ronald.Alameida@sfdpw.org)
Subject: 33 Gough Safe Sleeping Cabins - Letter of Intent to Use Ordinance 60-19

Hello Ms. Calvillo,

Attached please find the letter of intention to use Alternative Permitting Procedures per Ordinance No. 60-19 for the Safe Sleeping Cabins at 33 Gough St., signed by City Architect, Ron Alameida. Thank you for your assistance in posting to file \#190045.

Thanks

Dennis Oates, PE
Construction Manager

Bureau of Construction Management | San Francisco Public Works | City and County of San Francisco 49 South Van Ness, Suite 1000 | San Francisco, CA 94102 | 415.686.4328|
sfpublicworks.org • twitter.com/sfpublicworks

Ronald Alameida, Deputy Director \& City Architect । Building Design \& Construction
ronald.alameida@sfdpw.org | T. 628.271.3075 | 49 South Van Ness Ave. Suite 1600, San Francisco, CA 94103

| To: | Angela Calvillo <br> Clerk of the Board of Supervisors <br>  <br> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place <br> City Hall, Room 244 <br> San Francisco, CA 94102-4689 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Ronald Alameida, City Architect \& Deputy Director <br> San Francisco Public Works <br> 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1600 <br> San Francisco, CA 94103 <br> (628) 271-3075 |
| Subject: | 33 Gough Street - Alternative Permitting Procedures Notification |
| Ms. Calvillo: |  |

This letter serves as notification to the Board of Supervisors that San Francisco Public Works intends to use the alternative permitting procedures authorized in Ordinance No. 60-19 for the Upper Market SAFE Navigation Center at 33 Gough Street. Ordinance No. 60-19 was part of the 2019 shelter crisis packages and was passed unanimously by the Board of Supervisors on April 2, 2019.

On April 2, 2019, the Board of Supervisors Ordinance No. 61-19, File No. 190047 also became effective, authorizing the Director of San Francisco Public Works to enter into this agreement without adhering to the Administrative Code or Environmental Code provisions regarding competitive bidding and other requirements for construction work, procurement, and personal services relating to the identified Shelter Crisis Sites. The Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing plans to use Safe Sleeping Cabins at 33 Gough to address homelessness by providing space for approximately 64 beds and associated administration, medical, and social service offices as well as communal, exterior space and bathing facilities for inhabitants.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (628) 271-3075.

Sincerely,

[^17]| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; BOS-Administrative Aides |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: SFPD Weekly Crime Trends |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 8:49:00 AM |
| Attachments: | Commission Crime Trends Notes 07.14.21.pdf |

From: Gamero, Lili (POL) [lili.gamero@sfgov.org](mailto:lili.gamero@sfgov.org)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 12:48 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)
Cc: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) [eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org); Oliva-Aroche, Diana (POL) <diana.oliva-
aroche@sfgov.org>
Subject: SFPD Weekly Crime Trends

## Madam Clerk,

Attached are the San Francisco Police Department's weekly crime trends for the week ending on $07 / 11 / 21$.

Could you please share this with each of the Supervisors for their information? Thank you and have a nice rest of the week.

Best,

Lili Gamero

Legislative Liaison
Policy \& Public Affairs

San Francisco Police Department

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT Chief's Report to the Police Commission July 14, 2021

## WEEKLY CRIME TRENDS

## OVERALL PART 1 CRIME - CITYWIDE

| Part I <br> Violent Crime | Week 06/28/2021-07/04/2021 vs. Week 07/05/21-07/11/21 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year-To-Date } \\ 2020 \text { vs. } 2021 \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Last | This |  | ent | 2020 | 2021 |  |  |
| Homicide | 0 | 0 |  | NC | 24 | 26 | 个 | 8\% |
| Rape | 4 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | -100\% | 116 | 92 | $\downarrow$ | -21\% |
| Robbery | 36 | 34 | $\downarrow$ | -6\% | 1332 | 1176 | $\downarrow$ | -12\% |
| Assault | 48 | 38 | $\downarrow$ | -21\% | 1123 | 1165 | $\uparrow$ | 4\% |
| Human Trafficking | 0 | 0 |  | NC | 15 | 15 |  | NC |
| Total Violent Crimes | 88 | 72 | $\downarrow$ | -18\% | 2610 | 2474 | $\downarrow$ | -5\% |
| Part I <br> Property Crimes | Week 06/28/2021-07/04/2021 vS. <br> Week 07/05/21-07/11/21 |  |  |  | Year-To-Date <br> 2020 vs. 2021 <br> \% Change |  |  |  |
|  | Last | This | Percent |  | 2020 | 2021 | Percent |  |
| Burglary | 121 | 79 | $\downarrow$ | -35\% | 3778 | 3870 | $\uparrow$ | 2\% |
| Motor Vehicle Theft | 106 | 90 | $\downarrow$ | -15\% | 2999 | 3031 | $\uparrow$ | 1\% |
| Arson | 5 | 9 | $\uparrow$ | 80\% | 159 | 182 | $\uparrow$ | 14\% |
| Larceny Theft | 716 | 435 | $\downarrow$ | -40\% | 14,630 | 13,914 | $\downarrow$ | -5\% |
| Total Property Crimes | 948 | 613 | $\downarrow$ | -35\% | 21,566 | 20,997 | $\downarrow$ | -3\% |
| TOTALS | 1036 | 685 | $\downarrow$ | -34\% | 24,176 | 23,471 | $\downarrow$ | -3\% |

DISCLAIMER: Data Source: Preliminary data gathered from Crime Data Warehouse and covers Monday 12:00 AM to Sunday 11:59 PM compared to same period 2020. Week-over-week data may not include all incidents reported over the weekend due to delays that may occur in uploading reports following supervisor review and approval on Monday morning. Homicide data is provided by Investigations Bureau.

GUN VIOLENCE - CITYWIDE


| Year-to-Date - 07/11/2021 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2020 vs 2021 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shooting Victims (Non-Fatal) | 82 | 70 | 68 | 50 | 50 | 105 | $110 \%$ |
| Homicides w/Firearm | 16 | 25 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 20 | $33 \%$ |
| Total Gun Violence* | 98 | 95 | 80 | 64 | 65 | 125 | $92 \%$ |
|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2020 vs 2021 |
| YTD Homicides | 28 | 36 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 26 | $8 \%$ |
| Total Homicides as of Dec 31 | 58 | 56 | 46 | 41 | 48 |  |  |
| *Total Gun Viol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Total Gun Violence = Non-fatal Shooting Victims + Fatal Shooting Victims
GUN VIOLENCE - Is UP 92\% compared to 2020

- There were 4 shooting incidents causing injuries to 4 individuals for the week ending on 07/11/21
- There are a total of $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ incidents resulting in $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ victims YTD
- There were NO homicides the week ending on 07/11/2021
- There are $\mathbf{2 6}$ homicides YTD
- There were 6 homicides in June
- There were 6 homicides in May
- Overall Clearance Rate: 92\%


GUN VIOLENCE - CITYWIDE

YTD Homicides by District - 2020 vs. 2021



Homicides YTD
Through 07/11/2021

| District | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| Southern | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| Bayview | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 32 |
| Mission | 9 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 25 |
| Northern | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 12 |
| Park | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Richmond | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Ingleside | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 13 |
| Taraval | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Tenderloin | 7 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 22 |
| Total | 36 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 132 |

Homicides Year-End Totals
2016-2020

| District | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 14 |
| Southern | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 14 |
| Bayview | 7 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 55 |
| Mission | 11 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 43 |
| Northern | 7 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 18 |
| Park | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Richmond | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Ingleside | 9 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 32 |
| Taraval | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 14 |
| Tenderloin | 9 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 49 |
| Total | 58 | 56 | 46 | 41 | 48 | 249 |

At regularly scheduled Police Commission meetings, weekly crime trends are provided as part of the Chief's Report. At the request of the Commission, this crime trends information is being provided in advance of the scheduled meeting to the Commissioners and made available to the public through the Police Commission's website.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; BOS-Administrative Aides }}$ |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: July 2021 State Legislation Committee Positions |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 11:08:00 AM |
| Attachments: | $\underline{\text { 7.14.2021 SLC Positions Letter to the COB.pdf }}$ |
|  | $\underline{S L C \text { MINUTES 06.09.21.pdf }}$ |

From: Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) [rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org](mailto:rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org)
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2021 11:06 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)
Cc: McCaffrey, Edward (MYR) [edward.mccaffrey@sfgov.org](mailto:edward.mccaffrey@sfgov.org); Erica Smith
[Erica@SYASLpartners.com](mailto:Erica@SYASLpartners.com)
Subject: July 2021 State Legislation Committee Positions

Hello Clerk Calvillo and Deputy Clerk Somera,

Please see attached list of positions taken on state legislation at the July 14, 2021 meeting of the State Legislation Committee, and approved minutes from the June 9, 2021 meeting.

Draft minutes from the July 14 meeting will be available at sfgov.org/slc/meetings by the end of the week.

Let me know if you have any questions!

Rebecca Peacock (they/them)
(415) 554-6982 | Rebecca.Peacock@sfgov.org

Office of Mayor London N. Breed
City \& County of San Francisco

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM: Edward McCaffrey, Office of Mayor London N. Breed
RE: $\quad$ State Legislation Committee Bill Positions July 14, 2021 Meeting
DATE: Thursday, July 15, 2021

Dear Madam Clerk:

Please be advised that the State Legislation Committee approved the following positions on legislation pending before the California State Legislature:

| AB/SB | Bill \# | Author | Title | Adopted <br> Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AB | 1452 | Ting | Pilot program: increased fee for low-income <br> jurors: criminal trials. | Support |
| SB | 357 | Wiener | Crimes: loitering for the purpose of engaging <br> in a prostitution offense. | Support |
| SB | 556 | Dodd | Street light poles, traffic signal poles: small <br> wireless facilities attachments. | Oppose |

Present at the meeting were representatives from the Mayor's Office, Supervisor Dean Preston's Office, Supervisor Connie Chan's Office, the Assessor-Recorder's Office, the Controller's Office, and the Treasurer's Office.

In addition, please find attached the approved minutes from the June 9, 2021 meeting.

Should the Board of Supervisors wish to find more information on these matters, they may do so at the following link: http://sfgov.org/slc/.

Sincerely,
/s/
Edward McCaffrey
Manager of State and Federal Legislative Affairs

# STATE LEGISLATION COMMITTEE MINUTES <br> Wednesday, June 9, 2021 <br> 11:00am - 1:00pm 

Held Via Videoconference (remote public access provided via teleconference)

## MEMBERS:

Mayor's Office (Chair) -- Edward McCaffrey
Supervisor Dean Preston -- Jen Snyder
Supervisor Connie Chan -- Ian Fregosi
Assessor's Office -- Holly Lung
City Attorney's Office -- Mary Jane Winslow
Controller's Office -- Dan Kaplan
Treasurer's Office -- Eric Manke
Meeting commenced at 11:01am

## AGENDA

## I. ROLL CALL

Present: Edward McCaffrey, Jen Snyder, Ian Fregosi, Mary Jane Winslow, Dan Kaplan, and Eric Manke Absent: Holly Lung
II. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES (Action Item). Discussion and possible action to approve the minutes from the meeting of May 12, 2021.

No public comment.
Motion to Approve: Edward McCaffrey
Seconded by: Dan Kaplan
Approved: 6-0
III. STATE LOBBYIST OVERVIEW AND UPDATE (Discussion Item). The City's state lobbyist will present to the Committee an update on State legislative matters.

Presenter: Karen Lange, Partner, Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer \& Lange
IV. PROPOSED LEGISLATION (Discussion and Action). Discussion and possible action item: the Committee with review and discuss state legislation affecting the City and County of San Francisco. Items are listed by Department, then by bill number.

## Unfinished Business

## Treasurer \& Tax Collector

Presenter: Michelle Lau

1. SB 586 (Bradford) Criminal fees.

Recommended Position: Support
This bill is a criminal justice reform bill that would end the assessment and collection of 26 administrative fees charged to people in the criminal legal system. SB 586 builds on Assembly Bill 1869, The Families Over Fees Act, which abolished 23 administrative fees in the criminal system.

Continued from the March 10, 2021 Meeting
Continued from the April 14, 2021 Meeting
No public comment.
Motion to Approve: Edward McCaffrey
Seconded by: Ian Fregosi
Approved: 6-0

## Department on the Status of Women

Presenter: Elizabeth Newman
2. SB 331 (Leyva) Settlement and nondisparagement agreements. Recommended Position: Support
This bill would expand protections against discrimination and harassment cover-ups by prohibiting non-disclosure and nondisparagement agreements that limit workers' ability to speak out about harassment and discrimination in the workplace, whether due to race, sexual orientation, religion, age or any other characteristic.

Continued from the April 14, 2021 Meeting
No public comment.
Motion to Approve: Edward McCaffrey
Seconded by: Eric Manke
Approved: 6-0

## New Business

## San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency \& Planning Department

Presenter: Jadie Wasilco \& Sheila Nickolopoulos
3. AB 1401 (Friedman) Residential and commercial development: parking requirements.
Recommended Position: Support
This bill would prohibit local governments from enforcing minimum automobile parking requirements for residential, commercial, or other developments located within one-half mile walking distance of public transit.

No public comment.
Motion to Approve: Edward McCaffrey
Seconded by: Ian Fregosi
Approved: 6-0

## Department of the Environment

Presenter: Katie Chansler
4. AB 125 (Robert Rivas) Equitable Economic Recovery, Healthy Food Access, Climate Resilient Farms, and Worker Protection Bond Act of 2022.

Recommended Position: Support
These bills propose the Equitable Economic Recovery, Healthy Food Access, Climate Resilient Farms, and Worker Protection Bond Act of 2021 (EER Bond) which would authorize $\$ 3.302$ billion in general obligation bonds.

No public comment.
Motion to Approve: Eric Manke Seconded by: Jen Snyder
Approved: 6-0
5. AB 962 (Kamlager) California Beverage Container Recycling and Litter Reduction Act: reusable beverage containers.
Recommended Position: Support
This bill defines "reusable beverage container" as a beverage container that has been used to contain a beverage, for which the applicable redemption payment has been paid, and that is returned whole and intact to a recycler or other certified entity designated by CalRecycle and capable of reuse as a beverage container.

No public comment.
Motion to Approve: Edward McCaffrey
Seconded by: Mary Jane Winslow
Approved: 6-0
6. SB 45 (Portantino) Wildfire Prevention, Safe Drinking Water, Drought Preparation, and Flood Protection Bond Act of 2022.
Recommended Position: Support
This bill enacts the Wildfire Prevention, Safe Drinking Water, Drought Preparation, and Flood Protection Bond Act of 2022, which authorizes the sale of $\$ 5.595$ billion in general obligation bonds, upon approval by voters at the November 2021 statewide general election.

No public comment.
Motion to Approve: Edward McCaffrey
Seconded by: Dan Kaplan
Approved: 6-0

## V. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address the Committee on items of interest that are within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction and that do not appear on the agenda.

No Public Comment.

## VI. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting Concluded at 11:44am.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); |
| Subject Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |  |
| Sate: | FW: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF BERNAL HEIGHTS 013-454174 |
| Attachments: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:19:00 PM |

From: CPUC Team [westareacpuc@vzwnet.com](mailto:westareacpuc@vzwnet.com)
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 9:44 AM
To: GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov
Cc: westareacpuc@VerizonWireless.com; CPC.Wireless [CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org](mailto:CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org); Administrator,
City (ADM) [city.administrator@sfgov.org](mailto:city.administrator@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); jennifer.navarro@VerizonWireless.com
Subject: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF BERNAL HEIGHTS 013-454174

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) see attachment.
This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

Jul 12, 2021

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for SF BERNAL HEIGHTS 013<br>SF EXCELSIOR 011

San Francisco, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ( "CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

## Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein
Coordinator RE \& Compliance - West Territory
1515 Woodfield Road, \#1400
Schaumburg, IL 60173
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

| JURISDICTION | PLANNING MANAGER | CITY MANAGER | CITY CLERK | DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of San Francisco | CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org | city.administrator@sfgov.org | Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org |  |


| VZW Legal Entity |  | Site Name |  | Site Address |  | Tower Design | Size of Building or NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GTE Mobilnet California LP |  | SF BERNAL HEIGHTS 013 |  | 1567 Alabama St, San Francisco, CA94110 |  | Utility pole/tower | N/A |
| Site Latitude | Site Longitude | PS Location Code | Tower Appearance | Tower Height (in feet) | Type of Approval |  | ue Date |
| $37^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 50.799^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 122²4'37.44"WNAD(83) | 454174 | Antenna Rad: 30' 66 | $37{ }^{\prime} 5$ | Permitting |  |  |

Project Description: Installation (3) Ericsson SM6701 Antennas on a replacement utility pole

| VZW Legal Entity |  | Site Name |  | Site Address |  | Tower Design | Size of Building or NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GTE Mobilnet California LP |  | SF EXCELSIOR 011 |  | 231 Dwight Street, San Francisco, CA94134 |  | Pole Utility | N/A |
| Site Latitude | Site Longitude | PS Location Code | Tower Appearance | Tower Height (in feet) | Type of Approval |  | e Date |
| $37^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 24.122^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 122²24'16.543"WNAD(83) | 454188 | Antenna Rad: $31{ }^{1}$ | 39' 16 | Permitting |  |  |

Project Description: Installation (2) Ericsson SM6701 Antennas on a existing utility pole
verizon ${ }^{\vee}$

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; BOS-Administrative Aides |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: SF ACC"s FY22 Waivers - No Potential Contractors Comply |
| Date: | Wednesday, July 14, 2021 3:10:00 PM |
| Attachments: | FY22 Waiver MWIVeterinarySupplyCompany.pdf |
|  | image006.png |
|  | image008.png |
|  | image009.png |
|  | image010.png |
|  | image011.png |

From: Alberto, Justine Eileen (ADM) [justine.alberto@sfgov.org](mailto:justine.alberto@sfgov.org)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 2:43 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: RE: SF ACC's FY22 Waivers - No Potential Contractors Comply

Hello,

Please see an additional waiver request attached.

## Zె FY22_Waiver_MWIVeterinarySupplyCompany

Thanks,
Justine


From: Alberto, Justine Eileen (ADM)
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2021 5:10 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: SF ACC's FY22 Waivers - No Potential Contractors Comply

Hello,

Please see the attached SF ACC Waiver Requests.

Z2] FY22_Waiver_PattersonVeterinarySupply
Z Fy22_Waiver_CampbellPetCompany
Z FY22_Waiver_IdexxDistributionInc

Thanks,
Justine


CARE \& CONTROL

Justine Alberto
Principal Administrative Analyst
(628) 652-8810

WE HAVE MOVED!
1419 Bryant Street | San Francisco CA 94103
Main: (415) 554-6364 | Emergencies: (415) 554-9400
Connect with SF ACC
$\because$ (0)

CARE \&
CONTROL
VIRGINIA DONOHUE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

June 08, 2021

## Contract Monitoring Division

ATTN: Tamra Winchester
1155 Market street, $4^{\text {th }}$ Floor
San Francisco CA 94103

Ms. Winchester,

San Francisco Animal Care and Control (SF ACC) is requesting a waiver for MWI Veterinary Supply Company. MWI supplies SF ACC with antibiotics, vaccines, diagnostic tests, and treatments. SF ACC has looked into contracting with other animal health care distributors, but none are 12B compliant.

Please grant this vendor a waiver for up to $\$ 200,000$. We will work with the Contract Monitoring Division and follow up on the vendor's compliance status.


Virginia Donohue
Executive Director

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CONTRACT MONITORING DIVISION

## S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B WAIVER REQUEST FORM (CMD-201) <br> Send completed waiver requests to: CID, 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94102 or cod waiverequest@sfgov.org

$>$ Section 1. CCSF Department Information (all fields minus be completed)
Department Head Signature:
 icjivelcish Name of Department: San Francisco Animal Care and Control (SF ACC) Department Address: 1419 Bryant Street, San Francisco, CA 94103 Contact Person: Justine Alberto, Principal Administrative Analyst Phone Number: (628) 652-8810 E-mail: justine.alberto@sfgov.org

## > Section 2. Contractor Information (all fields must be completed)

 Contractor Name: MWI Veterinary Supply Company

- Section 3. Transaction Information (all fields must be completed)

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 06/08/2021
Dollar Amount of Contract: \$ 200,000
Contract/Transaction Number: $\qquad$ Contract Name: Veterinary antibiotics, vaccines, tests, treatments, etc
Contract/Transaction Start Date: 07/01/2021
Contract/Transaction End Date: 06/30/2022
Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)
$\qquad$ Chapter 12B
Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements will still be in force even when a 14B Waiver Type A or B is granted.

## $>$ Section 5. Waiver Type (a justification must be attached; see Check List on the other side of this form for instructions)

$\qquad$ A. Sole Source
B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code $\S 6.60$ or $\S 21.15$ )
C. Public Entity

X
D. No Potential Contractors Comply $\qquad$ (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
06/08/2021
$\qquad$ E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement.
(Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ F. Sham/Shell Entity $\qquad$ (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: $\qquad$
-
G. Subcontracting Goals
H. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) Note: For contracts in excess of $\$ 5$ million; see Admin. Code $\S 14 B .7(J)(2)$


CMD-201 (September 2017) *For internal use ondy.Amendments to this form that are not authorized by CMD/HRC render it invalid* This form is available at http//Intranet/

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: 12B Waiver |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 11:53:00 AM |
| Attachments: | Safeway Approval - CMD-201 2021.7.6.pdf |

From: Balanon, Shane (HSA) [shane.balanon@sfgov.org](mailto:shane.balanon@sfgov.org)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 5:53 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: 12B Waiver

Hi there,

Attaching a 12B waiver request for your records.

Shane Balanon
Fiscal Manager
San Francisco Human Services Agency
Phone: (415) 557-5446

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CONTRACT MONITORING DIVISION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B<br>WAIVER REQUEST FORM (CMD-201)<br>Send completed waiver requests to:<br>CMD, 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94102 or cmd.waiverrequest@sfgov.org



| FOR CMD USE ONLY |
| :--- |
| Request Number: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## > Section 2. Contractor Information (all fields must be completed)

Contractor Name: Safeway, Inc

| Bidder/Supplier No.: $\frac{0000011707}{}$ Contractor Tax ID: 943019135 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contractor Address: $\frac{\text { PO Box 742918 }}{}$ |  |
| Contact Person: Barbara Benge | Contact Phone No.: 925-467-2181 |

> Section 3. Transaction Information (all fields must be completed)
Date Waiver Request Submitted: 7/12/2021
Contract/Transaction Number: PO: 0000535955
Dollar Amount of Contract: \$ $10,000.00$

Contract/Transaction Start Date: 7/16/2021 Contract Name: Placement Assistance Response Team Contract/Transaction End Date: 6/30/2022

## Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

$\qquad$ Chapter 12B
Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements will still be in force even when a 14B Waiver Type A or B is granted.
> Section 5. Waiver Type (a justification must be attached; see Check List on the other side of this form for instructions)
$\qquad$ A. Sole Source
B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code $\S 6.60$ or $\S 21.15$ )
C. Public Entity

X
D. No Potential Contractors Comply $\qquad$ (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 7/ /2021
E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement.
(Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supenvisors on:
F. Sham/Shell Entity
(Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: $\qquad$
G. Subcontracting Goals
H. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) Note: For contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7(J)(2)

## CMD ACTION - For CMD/HRC Use Only

12B Waiver Granted: 12B Waiver Denied:
$\qquad$ 14B Waiver Granted: 14B Waiver Denied:
$\qquad$
Reason for Action: $\qquad$

CMD or HRC Staff: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
CMD or HRC Director: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

## CHECK LIST

## The City contracting department must complete each of the steps below before submitting this form:

$\checkmark$ Attempt to get the contractor to comply with Administrative Code Chapter 12B requirements (Applies to Chapter 12B waiver requests only)
$\checkmark$ Include a letter of justification explaining:

- The purpose of the contract
- Why the contract fits the type of waiver being requested (for example, why it is a sole source)
- Your department's efforts to get the contractor to comply (for Chapter 12B waivers)
(The OCA waiver form/justification may not be used in place of the CMD waiver form and justification)
$\checkmark$ Fill in all of the fields in Sections 1-3
$\checkmark$ Indicate in Section 4 the Administrative Code Chapter(s) to be waived
$\checkmark$ Indicate in Section 5 which waiver type is being requested
$\checkmark$ For waiver types D, E and F submit a copy of this form to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and indicate the date this was done in the field provided on the form


## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Contract Duration: Contracts entered into pursuant to a Chapter 12B waiver should be constructed for the shortest reasonable duration so that future contracts may be awarded to a Chapter 12B-compliant contractor.

Waiver Type B (Emergency): A copy of the formal Declaration of Emergency or letter from the department Commission or Board must be submitted with the Form 201. Administrative Code $\S 6.60$ or $\$ 21.15$ must be specified.

Chapter 14B Sole Source, Emergency and LBE Waivers: Only the bid discounts and departmental good faith outreach efforts requirements of Chapter 14B may be waived. All other provisions of this Chapter will still be in force even if this type of waiver has been granted.

Chapter 14B Subcontracting Waivers: Only the subcontracting goals may be waived. All other provisions of this Chapter will still be in force even if this type of waiver has been granted.

Waiver Types D, E and F: These waiver types have additional requirements:

1. The contracting department must notify the Board of Supervisor's that it has requested a waiver of this type.
2. Departments exercising waiver authority under one of these provisions must appear before a Board of Supervisors committee and report on their use of such waiver authority.

Modifications to waived transactions, including increasing the dollar amount, extending the term, and expanding the scope must have CMD and/or HRC approval prior to the expiration date on the previously approved waiver form.

## > Send waiver requests to: Contract Monitoring Division, 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94102 or cmd.waiverrequest@sfgov.org

- Additional copies of this form and the Quick Reference Guide to Waivers of Chapter 12B and 14B are available at the Documents Center on the CCSF intranet at: hitp://intranet/

픙. For further assistance, contact the Contract Monitoring Division at 415-581-2310

[^18]| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; BOS-Administrative Aides |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Sole Source Contracts Report |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 8:51:00 AM |
| Attachments: | Letter re Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.24(e). pdf |

From: Heckel, Hank (MYR) [hank.heckel@sfgov.org](mailto:hank.heckel@sfgov.org)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 1:45 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)
Cc: Somera, Alisa (BOS) [alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org); Rosenfield, Ben (CON)
[ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org](mailto:ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org); Rydstrom, Todd (CON) [Todd.Rydstrom@sfgov.org](mailto:Todd.Rydstrom@sfgov.org); Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) [rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org](mailto:rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org)
Subject: Sole Source Contracts Report

Dear Madam Clerk,

On behalf of the Office of the Mayor, please see the attached report to the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.24(e).

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Hank Heckel
Legal Compliance Officer
Office of the Mayor
City and County of San Francisco

VIA EMAIL
Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
July 14, 2021

## Re: Sole Source Contracts

Dear Madam Clerk:
This is to confirm that the Office of the Mayor has no sole source contracts from fiscal year 2021 to report under Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.24(e).

Regards,
/s/ Hank Heckel
Hank Heckel
Legal Compliance Officer
Office of the Mayor
City and County of San Francisco

# Office of the Controller City Services Auditor 

## Give2SF Annual Update

The Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund (Give2SF) is a special fund established by the City and County of San Francisco (City) as part of the Second Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, dated February 25, 2020, and issued on March 13, 2020.

This memorandum summarizes donations of both money and goods to Give2SF.

## Download the full report

View monetary donations

View in-kind donations


Search all Controller's Office reports


This is a send-only e-mail address.

For questions about the report, please contact City Controller Ben Rosenfield at ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org or (415) 554-7500.

For all press queries, please contact Alyssa Sewlal Communications Manager at alyssa.sewlal@sfgov.org or (415) 694-3261.
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1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA I 94102 US
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# Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund 

Annual Status Update

TO: Mayor's Office<br>Board of Supervisors<br>FROM: Ben Rosenfield, Controller<br>CC: Carmen Chu, City Administrator, General Services Agency<br>Mary Ellen Carroll, Executive Director, Department of Emergency Management<br>DATE: July 14, 2021

SUBJECT: City and County of San Francisco's Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund

The Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund (Give2SF) is a special fund established by the City and County of San Francisco (City) as part of the Second Supplement to Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, dated February 25, 2020, and issued on March 13, 2020. This memorandum summarizes both monetary and in-kind donations (goods) for Give2SF.

## Monetary Donations

The Second Supplement authorizes the Controller to accept and expend funds to provide shelter, food, financial assistance, and other assistance to individuals and families in San Francisco impacted by the emergency; to replace, repair, and rebuild public buildings, infrastructure, and other assets for use in the City's efforts to respond to the emergency; to issue and administer grants and/or interest-free loans to small businesses in San Francisco to compensate for economic harms resulting from COVID-19; and for other city efforts to address the impacts of COVID-19. The Twenty-Sixth Supplement to the mayoral declaration, dated August 26, 2020, authorizes the Controller to accept and expend funds to support youth programs, including but not limited to, Community Hub and Emergency Child \& Youth Care spaces that ensure professional supervision and access to educational and technological resources, physical activity, food, social and emotional development, and other support for the most vulnerable children and youth.

Within the authorized uses outlined above, the City has identified four priority areas for the use of the Give2SF funds: (1) food security, (2) access to housing, (3) security for workers and small businesses, and (4) youth programs. Disbursements of funds are approved by a committee consisting of City Administrator Carmen Chu, Director of Emergency Management Mary Ellen Carroll, and myself.

2 | Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund Annual Status Update July 14, 2021

Through June 30, 2021:

- $\$ 33,259,355$ has been donated to and received by Give2SF both directly and through the San Francisco Foundation, \$31,919,000 (96 percent) of which has been allocated.
- Of the $\$ 31,919,000$ that has been allocated:
- \$19,535,000 is for programs operated by the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families and the Recreation and Park Department (jointly), Human Services Agency, Office of Early Care and Education, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, or the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco.
- $\$ 12,384,000$ has been allocated to programs that will be administered, with city oversight, by nonprofit organizations through grant agreements between them and the San Francisco Foundation.

The exhibits below summarize this information, including how departments have disbursed the funds through their programs. An attachment to this memorandum shows the individual donations received, including donor name, date, amount, and fund (and excludes individual donations received by departments directly for their own use).

Exhibit 1: Total Donations Received by Give2SF

| Donations Received <br> Directly by Give2SF | Donations to Give2SF Through <br> the San Francisco Foundation | Total Donations Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 9,009,743$ | $\$ 24,249,612$ | $\$ 33,259,355$ |

Exhibit 2: Total Approved Disbursements and Disbursements to Departments, by Use of Fund

| Department | Use of Fund | Disbursements <br> Approved | Disbursements <br> Made |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Department of Children, Youth <br> and Their Families and <br> Recreation and Park Department | Youth programs | $\$ 425,000$ | $\$ 375,000$ |
| Human Services Agency | Food security | $\$ 7,021,482$ | $\$ 7,021,482$ |
| Office of Early Care and Education | Security for workers <br> and small businesses | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| Office of Economic <br> and Workforce Development | Security for workers and <br> small businesses, food <br> security | $\$ 4,273,518$ | $\$ 4,273,518$ |
| Mayor's Office of Housing <br> and Community <br> Development | Access to housing | $\$ 6,415,000$ | $\$ 6,415,000$ |
| Housing Authority of the City <br> and County of San Francisco* | Food security, youth <br> programs | $\$ 400,000$ | $\$ 400,000$ |

[^19]Exhibit 3: Human Services Agency's Program Uses and Impacts

| Purpose | Description | Nonprofit Organization Partner | Amount | Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Senior/ <br> Disability Food and Nutrition Network | Support equipment, staffing, and other infrastructure needed to modify services under COVID-19 (for example, freezers to provide multiday meal packs, additional delivery drivers and vehicles). | Department of Disability and Aging Services Network Providers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$1,425,113 | 100,000 new meals per month and supporting program modifications to maintain pre-COVID-19 service levels of 250,000 meals per month |
| Undocumented and Immigrant Households | Support low-income, undocumented and immigrant households who may be ineligible for mainstream benefits (for example, CalFresh) by providing gift cards ranging from $\$ 200$ to $\$ 600$ in value. | HealthySF | \$500,000 | 2,631 households $^{\text {b }}$ |
|  |  | Chinese for Affirmative Action | \$300,000 | 500-700 households |
| Family Support | Support low-income families with gift cards distributed through Family Resource Centers (value based on household size), which operate in high-priority zip codes (based on COVID-19 infection rate, CalFresh application volume, and other factors). | Family Resource Centers | \$750,000 | Up to 1,400 families |
| Isolation/ <br> Quarantine Support | Meet emergency food needs of households in isolation/quarantine due to confirmed or suspected infection until regular food support is established. | Shanti Project | \$75,000 | 300-450 households |
| LGBTQ Food Relief | Distribute gift cards to help LGBTQ people meet urgent food needs, with a particular focus on trans people of color, trans immigrants, low-income LGBTQ people, and those who have lost their income due to COVID-19. | LGBTQ Center | \$75,000 | 300-400 individuals |
| Food Relief for Low-Income Transitional Age Youth | Distribute gift cards to transitional-age youth (18 to 24) receiving Medi-Cal benefits through the Human Services Agency, a group who reported pressing food needs via an agency-administered survey and are unlikely to receive other disaster assistance food support. | N/A -Distributed by Human Services Agency | \$596,257 | 2,400 individuals |
| Support for Mothers and Infants of Color | Distribute gift cards to support mothers and infants of color, leveraging culturally appropriate pregnancy and postpartum care programs to reach low-income African-American, Latinx, and Pacific Islander pregnant women. Clients will receive $\$ 599$ to $\$ 1,200$ in gift cards over the support period. | SisterWeb SF Community Doula Network | \$44,925 | 75 families |
|  |  | Black Infant Health | \$71,645 | 115 families |
| Food Support for Latinx Community | Support distribution of culturallyappropriate groceries to Latinx residents. This includes groceries picked up in person at pantries and deliveries to vulnerable residents. | CANA/Mission Food Hub | \$1,633,482 | 52,100 grocery bags distributed |
|  |  | Homies Organizing the Mission to Empower Youth (HOMEY) | \$98,800 | 300 families |
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Exhibit 3: Human Services Agency's Program Uses and Impacts (continued)

| Purpose | Description | Nonprofit Organization Partner | Amount | Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meals for HOPE SF Residents | Support distribution of prepared meals to residents in the Sunnydale and Potrero HOPE SF sites. Meals will be delivered 2-3 times per week for up to 16 weeks. This funding helps sustain existing efforts at these locations. | Mercy Housing | \$192,000 | 500 individuals |
|  |  | Shanti Project | \$96,000 | 6,000 meals distributed |
| Grocery <br> Delivery to <br> San Francisco <br> Housing <br> Authority <br> Residents | Distribute groceries weekly for six weeks to 600 families who are residents of public housing or Rental Assistance Demonstration sites or who participate in the Housing Voucher (Section 8) program. This weekly service is rotated among sites so that a family will receive this support monthly. | Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco | \$169,000 | 600 families |
| Emergency and ShortTerm Funding Support for Communities of Color ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Sustain meal service to homeless encampments during two-week gap in regular service through the Meals in Place program (neighborhoods: Upper Market, SOMA, Mission, and Bayview). | Nourish | \$292,824 | 1,400 meals distributed to 700 individuals |
|  | Support distribution of meals through the AfricanAmerican Faith-Based Coalition to older and otherwise at-risk African-American households citywide. | San Francisco New Deal | \$391,955 | 35,000 meals distributed |
|  | Extend ramp down of Feed and Fuel Chinatown, which provides SRO residents with food vouchers for local restaurants. | San Francisco New Deal | \$100,000 | 8,300 meals distributed to 3,300 individuals |
|  | Supplement funding gap in meal distribution to Tenderloin residents. | San Francisco New Deal | \$50,000 | 3,600 meals distributed to 211 individuals |
|  | Support distribution of meals through Mother Brown's Dining Hall in the Bayview to ensure maintenance of weekend meal service levels. | La Cocina | \$22,600 | 2,000 meals distributed |
|  | Pilot a weekly hot meal to supplement food resources for low-income Treasure Island residents. | One Treasure <br> Island/Aracely Cafe | \$14,000 | 160 residents |
|  | Support the COVID-19 Holiday Food Security Program through distribution of turkeys and other dry goods to families in public housing sites, underserved neighborhoods, and community centers. | San Francisco Housing Authority | \$75,000 | 5,000 families |
|  | Extend culturally appropriate supplements to Tenderloin grocery distribution. | Southeast Asian <br> Development Center | \$24,000 | 160 families |
| Funding for Communities of Color | TBD | To be identified ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$23,881 | To be quantified ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Total |  |  | \$7,021,482 |  |
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Exhibit 4: Office of Economic and Workforce Development's Program Uses and Impacts

| Purpose | Description | Grantee | Amount | Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small <br> Business <br> Resiliency <br> Grants | Make emergency grants to eligible small businesses with evidence of at least 25 percent revenue loss in a 30-day period. | Northeast Community Federal Credit Union | \$1,000,000 | At least 100 small businesses to access grants of up to $\$ 10,000$ |
| Small <br> Business <br> No-Interest <br> Loans | San Francisco Hardship Emergency Loan Program (SF HELP) funds can be used to pay payroll, rent, utilities, inventory, and more. Flexible loan terms determined on a case-by-case basis, based on borrower's ability to repay. | Mission Economic Development Agency | \$1,000,000 | At least 20 businesses to access no-interest loan of up to \$50,000 |
|  |  | Main Street Launch | \$1,200,000 | At least 24 businesses to access no-interest loan of up to $\$ 50,000$ |
| Supportive <br> Services <br> for <br> Immigrant <br> Workers | Provide direct support to immigrants and undocumented children, families, and communities affected by COVID- 19. | Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (Subrecipient: SF Labor Council) | \$115,000 | Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals |
|  |  | Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (Subrecipient: UndocuFund SF) | \$115,000 | Provide $\$ 200$ to at least 500 individuals |
|  |  | Bay Area Community Resources | \$115,000 | Provide $\$ 200$ to at least 500 individuals |
|  |  | Bay Area Community Resources (Subrecipient: Community Youth Center) | \$115,000 | Provide $\$ 200$ to at least 500 individuals |
| Supportive <br> Services <br> for Food <br> Security | Provide direct relief to support immigrants and undocumented children, families, and communities affected by COVID-19. | Bay Area Community Resources | \$100,000 | Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals |
|  |  | Bay Area Community Resources (Subrecipient: Community Youth Center) | \$100,000 | Provide \$200 to at least 500 individuals |
|  | Provide support to the Mission District Food Hub, which Carnaval kicked off on Cinco de Mayo. | San Francisco Arts Commission (Subrecipient: Cultura y Arte Nativa de las Americas (CANA)) | \$100,000 | Provide groceries to 4,000 disadvantaged, mostly immigrant households |
|  | Provide direct relief to support vulnerable residents in lowincome communities of color hard-hit by the pandemic, with a focus on public housing residents and at-risk households. | Human Rights Commission (Subrecipient: Collective Impact) | \$63,518* | Provide meals to 3,800 vulnerable residents |
|  | Support food relief efforts for low-income, limited Englishspeaking workers who test positive for COVID-19 and find they cannot support themselves during quarantine. | Bay Area Community Resources (Subrecipient: Community Youth Center) | \$50,000 | Provide support to 250 low-income, vulnerable, disconnected households |
| Right to Recover Program | Eligible low-income workers who have COVID-19 will receive two weeks of wage replacement or $\$ 1,285$, based on San Francisco's hourly minimum wage. | N/A - Distributed by Office of Economic and Workforce Development | \$200,000 | Up to 155 workers |
|  |  | Total | \$4,273,518 |  |

* $\$ 63,518$ of the original $\$ 350,000$ allocation was spent. The remaining balance of $\$ 286,482$ was transferred to the Human Services Agency to continue support for food security under the Food Support for Latinx Community program.
Source: Office of Economic and Workforce Development
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Exhibit 5: Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development's Program Uses and Impacts

| Program | Description | Nonprofit Organization Partner | Amount | Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing <br> Stabilization | Provide rental assistance to eligible households. Households are eligible, regardless of immigration status, if they have experienced a substantial loss of income due to COVID-19 and cannot afford their housing costs. Applications are run through a prioritization tool that identifies households that are most at risk. | Catholic Charities of San Francisco | \$1,258,000 | More than 1,400 households were provided more than $\$ 5$ million in assistance. |
|  |  | Eviction Defense Collaborative | \$1,258,000 |  |
|  |  | La Raza Community Resource Center | \$1,383,000 |  |
|  |  | Q Foundation | \$1,258,000 |  |
|  |  | Young Community Developers | \$1,258,000 |  |
|  |  | Total | \$6,415,000 |  |

Source: Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

Exhibit 6: Office of Early Care and Education's Program Uses and Impacts
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Exhibit 7: Department of Children, Youth and Their Families' and Recreation and Park Department's Program Uses and Impacts

| Program | Description | Nonprofit Organization Partner | Allocated Amount | Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COVID-19 <br> Community Hubs Initiative | Community Hubs support children and youth, prioritizing low-income households; those in HOPE SF, public housing, or single-room occupancy hotels; those experiencing homelessness; foster youth; and English language learners. The hubs provide in-person supports, devices, connectivity, and healthy meals to ensure a safe and stable environment to address learning loss and support physical and mental wellness. | Not Applicable To be distributed by Department of Children, Youth and Their Families | \$375,000 | Provide charging and locking units to secure 2,000 Chromebooks (learning devices) across 84 citywide sites, 500 additional Chromebooks, 3,000 headsets, Cradlepoint (wireless wide area network) monthly services for sites with poor connectivity, power strips, laptop tags, management licensing for learning devices to access San Francisco Unified School District's platform, and child/youth-specific personal protective equipment. |
| Total |  |  | \$375,000* |  |

* Program has an additional directed $\$ 50,000$ donation that is to be used to provide technology needed by children and youth attending the Community Hubs once received.
Source: Department of Children, Youth and Their Families; Recreation and Park Department

Exhibit 8: Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco's Program Uses and Impacts

| Program | Description ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Allocated <br> Amount | Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
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Exhibit 9: Total Approved Disbursements From the San Francisco Foundation Directly to Nonprofit Organizations With City Oversight

| Program | Oversight Department(s) | Disbursements Approved |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Emergency Family Relief Fund | Office of Economic and Workforce <br> Development/Human Rights Commission | $\$ 4,884,000$ |
| Right to Recover | Office of Economic and Workforce <br> Development/Human Rights Commission | $\$ 4,500,000$ |
| COVID-19-Related Grants and <br> Loans for Small Businesses | Office of Economic and Workforce <br> Development | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
|  | Total | $\$ 12,384,000$ |

Exhibit 10: Uses, Impacts, and Grant Status for Programs Funded by Grant Agreements Between the San Francisco Foundation and Nonprofit Organizations With Joint Oversight From the Office of Economic and Workforce Development and Human Rights Commission

| Purpose | Description | Nonprofit Organization | Amount | Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Emergency <br> Family <br> Relief Fund | \$500 will be paid <br> to families, or <br> residents with <br> children 18 and <br> younger, affected by <br> the COVID-19 <br> pandemic who <br> do not qualify for <br> federal benefits. | Bay Area Community Resources <br> Central American Resource Center- <br> Chinese for Progressive Action <br> Coleman Advocates (Excelsior Works!) | Collective Impact | $\$ 1055,000$ |
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Exhibit 11: Uses, Impacts, and Grant Status for Programs Funded by Grant Agreements Between the San Francisco Foundation and Nonprofit Organizations With Oversight From the Office of Economic and Workforce Development

| Purpose | Description | Nonprofit Organization | Amount | Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COVID-19- <br> Related <br> Grants <br> and Loans <br> for Small <br> Businesses | Funding will support the Office <br> of Economic and Workforce <br> Development's existing grant <br> and loan programs for small <br> businesses affected by COVID- <br> 19, including $\$ 1,500,000$ that has <br> been allocated to the City's <br> African-American Small Business <br> Revolving Loan Fund. | Main Street Launch <br> Development Agency | $\$ 2,000,000$ | At least 40 businesses <br> to access no-interest <br> loans of up to $\$ 50,000$ |
|  |  | $\$ 1,000,000$ | At least 20 businesses <br> to access no-interest <br> loans of up to $\$ 50,000$ |  |
|  | Total | $\$ 3,000,000$ |  |  |
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## In-Kind Donations

The Ninth Supplement to the mayoral declaration, dated April 10, 2020, revised and replaced Item 4 in the Second Supplement to authorize the acceptance and use of goods donated to support the City's COVID-19 response efforts. The Twenty-Sixth Supplement, dated August 26, 2020, authorizes the acceptance and use of goods and facilities to support youth programs. Some donated goods are received by the Logistics Section of the COVID Command Center (formerly Emergency Operations Center). Other in-kind goods have been donated directly to city departments for their use.

Through June 30, 2021, 1,806,697 units of personal protective equipment (PPE) have been donated to and received by Give2SF, with a donation value of $\$ 3,429,388 .{ }^{1}$ All units have been distributed to city departments by the COVID Command Center's Logistics Section.

Exhibit 12 summarizes this information, including valuation by category of donated PPE. ${ }^{2}$ An attachment to this memorandum shows the individual donations received, including donor name, only for goods received through the COVID Command Center (and excludes individual donations received by departments directly for their own use).

Exhibit 12: In-Kind Donations Received by Give2SF and Distributed by the COVID Command Center's Logistics Section

| Category | Count Received | Count Distributed* |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Aprons | 4,280 | 4,280 |
| Coveralls | 14,564 | 14,564 |
| Face Shields | 64,037 | 64,037 |
| Gloves | 527,200 | 527,200 |
| Goggles | 3,362 | 3,362 |
| Gowns | 5,350 | 5,350 |
| Hand Sanitizer | 959 | 959 |
| Liquid Disinfectant | 302 | 302 |
| Masks | 947,523 | 947,523 |
| Other Bulk Items | 124,494 | 124,494 |
| Shoe Covers | 113,640 | 113,640 |
| Wipes | 986 | 986 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 0 6 , 6 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 0 6 , 6 9 7}$ |

* Excludes goods purchased and distributed by the COVID Command Center's Logistics Section.

Source: COVID Command Center, Logistics Section
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## Sunshine Ordinance Compliance

## Financial Interests and Disclosure of Donations

The Sunshine Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67) requires the disclosure of the source of any donation of over $\$ 100$ to the City and any financial interest the donor has involving the City. However, some donations received by Give2SF, both directly and through the San Francisco Foundation, were from donors who asked to remain anonymous.

The Controller's Office is following up with donors to try to learn the source of the anonymous donations to the City and any financial interest the donor may have involving the City. Due to these efforts, one donor-advised fund, Fidelity Charitable, has agreed to no longer process grants to the City directed by donors who request anonymity. Fidelity, Schwab Charitable, and the Silicon Valley Foundation confirmed that they are the owners of donations they process once the donated funds are in their possession. The City Attorney accepts this interpretation, so no donations from Fidelity, Schwab Charitable, or Silicon Valley Foundation are considered to be anonymous. Although donor-advised funds may technically own the donations they process, the true sources of the donations have control over the giving and direct the distribution of the funds, so donating anonymously to the City seems to be inconsistent with the Sunshine Ordinance. This is an area for policymakers' future consideration.

To date, only four donations ( $\$ 6,150$ of $\$ 9,009,742$ ) directly received by Give2SF were made anonymously, two of which were made through donor-advised funds. The Controller's Office contacted all donor-advised funds regarding anonymous donations to the City that they handled, informed them of city law in this area, and requested the donors' names and financial interest confirmations. Of the four anonymous donations:

- Three were made via electronic wire transfer or money order with no contact information provided and for which no contact information is publicly available.
- One is still being followed up on by the donor-advised fund.

The Controller's Office attempts to contact those who make donations to Give2SF, requesting cityrelated financial interest information from donors with donations over $\$ 100$. This effort is ongoing. To date, 340 donors responded to the Controller's Office request to confirm they do not have a financial interest with the City, and 7 donors have confirmed that they have a financial interest in the form of at least one permit or contract with the City. Also, 229 donations were received from 221 donors identified as city employees. These donors are identified in the relevant attachment.

The Controller's Office is working collaboratively with the San Francisco Foundation to obtain donor names and financial interests when possible and determine which donors wish to remain anonymous. Similar to Fidelity, Schwab Charitable, and the Silicon Valley Foundation, the San Francisco Foundation confirmed that it is also the owner of all donations it processes once the donated funds are in its possession. To date, 125 donations ( $\$ 45,479$ of $\$ 24,249,612$ ) through the San Francisco Foundation were made anonymously, both through donor-advised funds and corporate giving programs. Of these 125 donations, $25(\$ 40,825)$ are over the Sunshine Ordinance's $\$ 100$ disclosure threshold.

Due to these ongoing efforts:

- For donations made through its website, the San Francisco Foundation has added to its website a statement that the Give2SF Fund cannot accept anonymous donations.
- For donations made via the San Francisco Foundation through donor-advised funds where the donor requests anonymity, the foundation will inform donors that it cannot process anonymous donations and will decline the donations.
- For donations made through corporate-giving platforms, such as Benevity Community Impact Fund, the San Francisco Foundation does not have control, so individual donors may still be anonymous.

None of the in-kind donors are anonymous. Two donors of goods have confirmed that they have a financial interest with the City in the form of a contract or contracts with one or more city departments. These donors are identified in the relevant attachment.

## Policy Options for Anonymous Give2SF Donations Received

Due to either the absence of a response or the explicit refusal of some donors to disclose their identity and possible financial interest with the City, the Controller's Office cannot report on this information and is seeking policymaker guidance on next steps. For example, the Board of Supervisors may move to accept these donations while acknowledging the outreach and identification efforts taken by the Controller's Office to obtain donor information. Alternatively, the Board may order the return of the anonymous donations for which the information required by the Sunshine Ordinance cannot be obtained. Further, the ordinance does not address donations via donor-advised funds or whether donor-advised funds can be considered the source of donations and, therefore, meet the ordinance's intent. The Controller's Office will continue to retain the value of the anonymous donations until policymakers' guidance is received.

Should you need additional information, please contact me at ben.rosenfield @sfgov.org or (415) 5547500.

## Attachments

- Give2SF Monetary Donations Received
- Give2SF In-Kind Donations Received

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF | Through SF Foundation | Status | Response |
|  | Total Through June 30, 2021 | \$ 9,009,742.94 | \$ 24,249,611.55 |  | No $=$ No reported financial interest with the City |
| 6/30/2021 | Apple Inc. - Gift Matching Program (2 Donations) | \$ | \$ 300.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/30/2021 | Matisse Enzer | \$ | \$ 100.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/30/2021 | Matisse Enzer | \$ | \$ 100.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Brooke D. Abola ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 75.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Elizabeth R. Adviento ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 57.75 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Bijan Ahmadzadeh ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 30.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Joseph S. Alberto ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 150.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Michelle Allersma ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ 2,990.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Doreen M. Arevalo ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 26.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Cherie L. Baker ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 75.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Matthew B. Barravecchia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 75.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Raymond M. Barrett ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ 60.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Mary May D. Beltran ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 25.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Quindi L. Berger ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 75.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Neetu Bhatia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 150.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Paul A. Bignardi ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 52.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Lauren A. Bihl ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 25.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jacob J. Blea ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 25.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Thomas J. Borawski ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 15.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Chadrick A. Bradford ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 150.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Nora M. Brennan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 1,500.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Tami L. Bryant ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 45.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Margaret A. Buckwalter ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 50.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Harry D. Bullock ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 75.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Angela C. Calvillo ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ 3,471.30 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Annie Lai Chan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 150.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Ching Hiu Chan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 50.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Connie S. Chan ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ 2,330.35 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Gloria L. Chan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 75.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Sukman Chang ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 26.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Brian Chau ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 25.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Eva P. Cheong ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 300.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jack J. Chin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 300.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Marina Chin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Stacey Lee Chinn-Inthavong ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 15.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Suzanne S. Choi ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 300.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Mira K. Chokshi ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 75.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Louisa C. Chong ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 26.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Andrew Clark ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ 70.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Susan M. Clark ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 27.70 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Benjamin G. Cohen ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 150.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Brent D. Cohen ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 25.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Molly Samantha Musicant Cohen ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 600.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Grant N. Colfax ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ 7,033.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Cedric A. Concon ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 25.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Catherine E. Cormier ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 60.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Mark Corso ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ 1,411.93 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Liezel C. Cruz ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 50.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Wilfred K. Dere ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 150.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Monica J. Dwight ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 25.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Glenn R. Eagleson ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 150.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Sean R. Elsbernd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 500.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Gilda N. Ertsey ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 30.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Min Fang ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 75.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Farshid Farrahi ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 75.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Robert P. Fiorello ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Amy A. Fowler ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 150.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Stephanie S. Francisco ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ 150.00 | \$ - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |

[^25]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Ian D. Fregosi ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Lourdes M. Garcia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Kyra N. Geithman ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Linda J. Gerull ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 2,200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Janet L. Gomes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Iliana L. Gonzalez Merino ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Richard S. Graham ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 390.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Yingming Gu ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Balaji S. Guda ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Erly G. Guido ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Wendy Hamilton ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Matthew C. Haney ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 2,509.61 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Shirley Hao ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 26.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Ian M. Hart ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Robin Havens ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Karen Henderson ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Cathal M. Hennessy ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Natalie E. Henry-Berry ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Richard J. Hillis ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 750.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Richard A. Holm ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Andra S. Hritcu ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Chi T. Huynh ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 57.75 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Kally Ip ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Alexander A. Ismail ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Myrna E. Iton ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 2,264.28 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Reghuram Jayachandran ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Melvin E. Jefferson ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Juan Yi Jiang ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Richard A. Johnson ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Wesley N. Johnson ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Sarah B. Jones ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Julia A. Katz ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Sherry Katz ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Yin Myo Kaung ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 172.50 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Michael P. Kelly ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Christina Krauss ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Qihong Kuang ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Michael J. Lambert ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 640.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jennifer D. Lane ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Amy Lao ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Hendryck Lasak ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 140.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jeremy J. Lau ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Kimberly D. Lauer ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Brian J. Lavitoria ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Zenia H. Laxa ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Tonia G. Lediju ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 3,393.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Brian H. Lee ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Michelle H. Lee ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Mimi Y. Lee ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Mindy Lee ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Olivia M. Lee ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Ronald H. Lee ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Anson Leong ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Patrick M. Lewis ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jessica Y. Li ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Nam Li ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 112.50 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Kuo Liang ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Raymond X. Liang ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Yongshan Liang ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Arlene M. Licudine-Barker ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Charles Dexter M. Lim ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Wenjing Lin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |

[^26]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Status | Response |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jia Liu ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 160.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Humphrey J. Loe ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Thomas A. Loftus ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 900.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Dorian W. Lok ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 225.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Ellen M. Love ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Paul J. Lupino ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Daniel N. Mackowski ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Leslie Macrohon ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Rafael Mandelman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 2,509.61 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Gordon L. Mar ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 2,509.61 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Martinie Martin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Korey J. McCormack ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Shirley Mei ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Paula S. Michael ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Claudia L. Molina ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Aaron Morrison ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Claudia E. Moss ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Theresa L. Mueller ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 57.75 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Martin A. Munoz ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 144.30 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Kristina L. Nelson ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Seng K. Nguy ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Mary Katherine Nordale ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Kimberly L. Norman ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of $6 / 30 / 2021$ | Paula T. Ojea ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 45.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Liam M. O'Malley ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Kienuwa I. Omokaro ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Diane Y. Oshima ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Lisa P. Palella ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Roberto P. Parinas Jr ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Suzette Parinas ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Merrick Pascual ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 115.50 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Salvador Perez ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Tara K. Peterson ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Bich Ly Phan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Sunee Pradhan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Uday S. Prasad ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jocelyn B. Quintos ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Latha Ramadass ${ }^{\text {bc }}$ | \$ | 1,475.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Christopher K. Ramirez ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Joel T. Ramos ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Deborah O. Raphael ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 2,340.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Michael P. Rhodes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jamie L. Richardson ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jerardo H. Rivera ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Gloria E. Rivera-Lawson ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Benjamin L. Rosenfield ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 4,875.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Beeior I. Rov Ikpah ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Andrea F. Ruiz-Esquide ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Todd L. Rydstrom ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Magdalena Ryor ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 115.50 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Ahsha Safai ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 2,509.61 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Mahdi Sagharjooghifarahani ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 195.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Angelica L. Samonte ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Cheryl L. Santos ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Ivar C. Satero ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 4,069.22 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Amy L. Sawyer ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Anil Shrestha ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Margaret L. Smith ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Megan Solomon ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Shelley S. Sorenson ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Catherine M. Stefani ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 2,509.61 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Juliet E. Stoltey ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 105.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |

[^27]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| As of 6/30/2021 | James N. Suguitan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Richard I. Talley ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Christopher Y. Tang ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 26.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Tabitha Tapia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 90.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Elizabeth L. Thacker ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jaclyn D. Thimmavajjula ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Eva Y. Tong ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Joaquin N . Torres ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 3,471.30 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Elaine K. Tran ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 1,750.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Thien-Kim M. Tran ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Judson S. True ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 375.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jackie T. Tsou ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jeffrey P. Tumlin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Anna C. Van Degna ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 3,345.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Isabel Vulis ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Shamann A. Walton ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 2,509.61 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jane G. Wan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 26.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | David Y. Wang ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Linling Wang ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 28.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Yu Wang ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | John J. Weber II ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 450.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | David A. Webster ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Teresa Wei ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Daniel P. Willis ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Nicole Woo ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Mei Ying Wu ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Meiai Xie ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 375.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jane Y. Yan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Larry Yee ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Dusson Yeung ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Simon T. Yeung ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 58.20 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Zhen Yan Yuan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Pui M. Yuen ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Jing Zhao ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Lucy M. Zhu ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| As of 6/30/2021 | Hillary A. Ronen ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | \$ | 2,509.61 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in January 2022. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | City employee |
| 6/26/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/14/2021 | Kimberly Low | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/11/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/7/2021 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 10.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/7/2021 | Google, LLC - Gift Matching Program (5 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 160.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/7/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/7/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/7/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/7/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/7/2021 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2021 | Apple Inc. - Gift Matching Program (3 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 600.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Matisse Enzer | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Matisse Enzer | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Matisse Enzer | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 5/26/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/24/2021 | Google, LLC - Gift Matching Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 5/24/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/24/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/19/2021 | Juliana Chen | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/17/2021 | Tim Strickland | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^28]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through Foundation | Status | Response |
| 5/17/2021 | Mason Scott | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2021 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 5/14/2021 | Google, LLC | \$ | - | \$ | 175.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 5/14/2021 | Mitchell Slep | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/12/2021 | Susan Carol Blank as TTEE of the Susan Carol Blank Trust | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/12/2021 | The Kimbal Foundation | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 5/12/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/10/2021 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/7/2021 | Robert M. Fruchtman | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/5/2021 | Fidelity | \$ | 140.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 5/5/2021 | Fidelity | \$ | 140.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 4/30/2021 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2021 | Apple Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/30/2021 | Google, LLC - Gift Matching Program (7 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/30/2021 | Harold Liss | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/30/2021 | Hellman Foundation | \$ | - | \$ | 200,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2021 | Johann Kwan | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2021 | Matisse Enzer | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2021 | Mitchell Slep | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2021 | Patia Stephens | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2021 | SAP | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2021 | Visa, Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/26/2021 | Amanda Schapel | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/21/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/21/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/19/2021 | William Chen | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/19/2021 | David Kaskowitz and Susan Kahn | \$ | 1,400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/19/2021 | Mason Scott | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/15/2021 | Edwin M. Lee Community Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 10,000.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/14/2021 | Eric Machado | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 4/14/2021 | Daniel S. Froloff | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/14/2021 | Barbara Slotnik A.K.A. Barbara S . Kerns and I. Steven Kerns | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 4/8/2021 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/7/2021 | Mayumi \& John Hughes Charitable Fund | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/7/2021 | Fidelity | \$ | 140.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 4/6/2021 | Hellman Foundation | \$ | - | \$ | 250,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2021 | Tony J. Gonzales | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/5/2021 | Akchay Srivastava | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2021 | Robert Fruchtman | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/31/2021 | New Year Fund | \$ | 140.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2021 | Christine Joy Abriam | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/29/2021 | William E. Spears | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/29/2021 | Baker Street Foundation | \$ | 250,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/25/2021 | Jeffrey Nigh | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |

[^29]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/25/2021 | Mikel Ward | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2021 | Joanne Ladolcetta | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/24/2021 | Susan West and Michael Gold | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 3/24/2021 | John J. Beam | \$ | 1,400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2021 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 3/24/2021 | Google, LLC - Gift Matching Program (6 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 3/24/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/22/2021 | Raul Torres, Jr. | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/22/2021 | Arisa A. Uemura | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/22/2021 | Mason Scott | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2021 | Tsui-Sie Wong | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/18/2021 | Kelly Himmelberger | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2021 | Zachary Reshovsky | \$ | 850.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/15/2021 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/15/2021 | Lindsay R. Docto | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/12/2021 | Regina Dick-Endrizzi | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/10/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/10/2021 | Stephen R. Shapiro and Ellen Rosenthal | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/8/2021 | Ang and Tsai Family | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/8/2021 | Timothy Salunga and Wendy Guo | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/8/2021 | Ana D. Shanahan | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/8/2021 | Mason Scott | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/3/2021 | James T. Conte | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/2/2021 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (5 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,750.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 3/2/2021 | Apple Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 400.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 3/2/2021 | Genentech, Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 3/2/2021 | Google, LLC - Gift Matching Program (12 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 2,025.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 3/2/2021 | Guillaume Forget | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/2/2021 | Linkedln | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 3/2/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/2/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/2/2021 | Philip Jia | \$ | - | \$ | 125.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/2/2021 | Philip Jia | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/2/2021 | Reed Gunsalus | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/2/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/2/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/2/2021 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 3/2/2021 | Workday | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 3/1/2021 | Marissa C. Skudlarek | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/1/2021 | Arlene E. Graves | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/1/2021 | Stephen W. Mostica | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/25/2021 | Laurel A. Kilgour | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/24/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/22/2021 | Mary Franklin | \$ | 7,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/22/2021 | Alan Tabor | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/22/2021 | Brian Lau | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/22/2021 | Danielle Goldberg | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/22/2021 | Mason Scott | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 2/22/2021 | Calvin J. Yau | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^30]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ough <br> undation | Status | Response |
| 2/22/2021 | Michael J. McGinley | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/19/2021 | Judy Strain | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/19/2021 | Tilde Thurium | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/18/2021 | Julie G. Huang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/18/2021 | Whitney and Matt Schaefer | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/17/2021 | L.E.K. Consulting (c/o Adam Nelson) | \$ | 7,399.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/17/2021 | Charlie Wambeke and Melody Chan Doss-Wambeke | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/16/2021 | Nakari M. Ron | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/16/2021 | Amy J. Markowitz | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/16/2021 | Sara Winslow | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 2/16/2021 | Jeffrey Nigh | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 2/16/2021 | Lindsay T. Braunig | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/16/2021 | Fatima Morales | \$ | 1,235.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/16/2021 | Raul Torres, Jr. | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/11/2021 | Joan Lubamersky | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/10/2021 | Brian Faulk | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/10/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/8/2021 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 2/8/2021 | Mason Scott | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 2/8/2021 | Raul Torres, Jr. | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/4/2021 | New Year Fund | \$ | 140.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 2/3/2021 | Kristin L. Anundsen (IRA) WFCS as Custodian | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 2/3/2021 | Evelyn Clair | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/3/2021 | Su Wan Chang and Ghan Gee Chang | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/3/2021 | Peter Straus and Lili C. Byers | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 2/3/2021 | Margaret A. Witt | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/3/2021 | Jun Luo | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/3/2021 | Sarah E. Owens | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | City employee |
| 2/3/2021 | Elena Engel | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/3/2021 | Charles Michael Perl and Theodore Quoc Nguyen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/2/2021 | Lasya Boddapati | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/2/2021 | Chinghiu Chan | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/1/2021 | William Chen | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 2/1/2021 | Mary Anne Courtney | \$ | 800.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Adobe Systems Incorporated - Gift Matching Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 2,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (8 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 630.50 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Aon Risk Services, Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Apple Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Ashfaque Siddiqui | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Courtney Lessard | \$ | - | \$ | 2,000.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Craig Goodman | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Dolby | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Dropbox | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Google, LLC - Gift Matching Program (12 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 10,100.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Ka Yee Lee | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Linkedln | \$ | - | \$ | 105.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Matthew Jee | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 2/1/2021 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^31]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 2/1/2021 | Netflix | \$ | - | \$ | 952.30 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 2/1/2021 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 2/1/2021 | Reed Gunsalus | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Samantha Goldstein | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Sam Ward | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 2/1/2021 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 2/1/2021 | Tim Perry | \$ | - | \$ | 476.15 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 2/1/2021 | Visa, Inc. - Gift Matching Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 261.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 1/29/2021 | Clara McCreery | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/29/2021 | Clara McCreery | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/29/2021 | Leonard Austria, Trustees Lillian J. Austria, Trustees of Leonard and Lillian Austria Rev. Trust | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/29/2021 | Paul J. Draper and Jean L. Jacobs | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 1/29/2021 | Francine Larose | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/29/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/29/2021 | Jesse and Melissa Segreto | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/26/2021 | Sheila Brown and Farley Neuman | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/26/2021 | Lawrence Button and Stephen Leach | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/25/2021 | Laura F. Leonhardt | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/25/2021 | Kimberly Low | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 1/25/2021 | Charles White | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/25/2021 | John J. Beam | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 1/22/2021 | Amy Wollman and Gerry Berkowitz | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/22/2021 | Mary D. Caris | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/22/2021 | Dante M. Burgos and Geny B. Burgos | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/22/2021 | Alice B. Aronow and Abraham Aronow, M. D. | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/22/2021 | Joseph Semprevio | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/21/2021 | Diosalina and Philip Aragon | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/20/2021 | L.E.K. Consulting - Gene Cho | \$ | 950.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/20/2021 | Penelope Dunn Davison | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/20/2021 | Teresa Ono | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/19/2021 | Lori Lefkowitz | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/19/2021 | Marissa C. Skudlarek | \$ | 350.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/19/2021 | Jin Seong Park | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/19/2021 | Michael J. McGinley | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/19/2021 | Samuel Schneider | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/19/2021 | Jeffrey Nigh | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 1/15/2021 | Francesca G. Loayza | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/13/2021 | Mitchel Thompson | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/13/2021 | Michael A. Jenkins | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/13/2021 | Joseph P. Grubb | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/13/2021 | Meg D. Newman and Sharon Boschert | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/13/2021 | Willa Jefferson-Stokes and James Kelly Stokes, Jr. | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/13/2021 | Greg Novick | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/13/2021 | Daniel Johnson | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/13/2021 | Melissa McPeters | \$ | 3.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/12/2021 | Isabelle Ignacio | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/11/2021 | Denise Allen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/11/2021 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 1/11/2021 | Phillips-Clark Family | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/8/2021 | Emily Sheu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/8/2021 | David Wurzburg | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/6/2021 | Allison Y. Iwaoka-Scott | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |

[^32]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF | Through SF Foundation | Status | Response |
| 1/6/2021 | Patricia K. Eastman and Ronald W. Eastman | \$ 500.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/6/2021 | Edna M. Collier | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/6/2021 | Andrea J. Lewin | \$ 250.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/6/2021 | New Year Fund | \$ 140.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 1/5/2021 | Nathaniel Fruchter | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 1/5/2021 | Pearl Huang and Phung Tran | \$ 50.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/5/2021 | Marci and Joel Gerston | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/5/2021 | Cornelis Lekkerkerker | \$ 150.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 1/5/2021 | Jennifer and Michael McDougall | \$ 250.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/4/2021 | Lily Ray | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/4/2021 | John H. Trollman, Jr. | \$ 101.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/4/2021 | Megan Crocker | \$ 25.00 | \$ | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 1/4/2021 | Connor James Hagimori | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/4/2021 | Micaela Gelman | \$ 50.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/4/2021 | Monica Lee | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1/4/2021 | Sara Stroud | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | William White | \$ 50.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Ana Ghosh | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Catanzariti family | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Elliot S. Earl | \$ 200.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Brian Stechschulte and Meredith Greene | \$ 500.00 | \$ | Survey response received in February 2021. | City employee |
| 12/31/2020 | Mary A. Scheib | \$ 125.00 | \$ | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/31/2020 | Jason Ricci and Penelope Godbold | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Kimberly Fanady | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Ricky A. Yee | \$ 25.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Jesse Byler and Heather Barondess | \$ 1,000.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Ben Shaw and Suzanne Thomas Family | \$ 2,500.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Cotton the First Shirtmaker | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/31/2020 | James P. Eby | \$ 250.00 | \$ | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/31/2020 | Anna Martin | \$ 180.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Alexander Hansen | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Sara Winslow | \$ 200.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Mike Kopicko and Daniel Horn | \$ 250.00 | \$ | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/31/2020 | Jennifer S. Long | \$ 600.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Oscar and Lorena Rosales | \$ 500.00 | \$ | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/31/2020 | Daniel Frank | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Cecile Butman Michael | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Aaron Watson and Becca Wieder | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Christopher H. Pederson | \$ 150.00 | \$ | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/31/2020 | Volker Kueffel | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Philippe Farhi | \$ 1,000.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/31/2020 | Joseph Pelina | \$ 400.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Fnu Vignesh Venugopal | \$ 5.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Gregory N. Sykes | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/31/2020 | Carol J. Donohoe | \$ 500.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Jordan Hinkes | \$ 200.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Ryan McDonald | \$ 250.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Lisa Holleran | \$ 1,000.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/31/2020 | Zachary Beaver | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/31/2020 | Dilip Pai | \$ | \$ 250.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 12/30/2020 | Ellen M. Farrell | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/30/2020 | Dolores Ubeda | \$ 50.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/30/2020 | Jeffery H. Littlefield Revocable Trust | \$ 3,000.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/30/2020 | Edward J. Goetzl and J. H. Goetzl | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/30/2020 | Hammerschlag Family Trust | \$ 200.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/30/2020 | Paul A. Allen | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/30/2020 | Neil Mosher and Carol Bakker | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/30/2020 | Michael Mills | \$ 100.00 | \$ | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/30/2020 | Erika Opper and James Horton | \$ 150.00 | \$ | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 12/30/2020 | Connor Cimowsky | \$ 1,000.00 | \$ | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^33]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ctly to ve2SF |  | Through <br> Foundation | Status | Response |
| 12/30/2020 | Surachai Khitatkrakun | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/30/2020 | Joel Perlstein | \$ | 45.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/30/2020 | Michael D. and Stephanie A. Moy | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/30/2020 | David Hyman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/30/2020 | Harold Erdman | \$ | 5,400.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 12/29/2020 | Schwab Charitable | \$ | - | \$ | 200,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 12/29/2020 | Patricia Caldera-Munoz | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/29/2020 | Keziah Plattner | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/29/2020 | Delene S. Wolf | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/29/2020 | Alissa M. Fitzgerald | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/29/2020 | Ruth Krishnan | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/29/2020 | Melissa A. Moy | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/28/2020 | Jeffrey Nigh | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/28/2020 | Robert Fruchtman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 12/28/2020 | Molly S. Haile | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/28/2020 | SOOD | \$ | 10.85 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/28/2020 | Yuliang Yin | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/28/2020 | Chang Hwan Kim | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/28/2020 | Adam B. DeGregorio | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/28/2020 | Barbara L. Jue | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/28/2020 | Mason Scott | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/28/2020 | Emma Shlaes | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/28/2020 | William Chen | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/28/2020 | Rudolf Lupo-Dorut | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/28/2020 | Steven L. Cohen and the Cow Hollow Fund | \$ | 25,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/24/2020 | Adobe Systems Incorporated | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Adobe Systems Incorporated | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (8 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 2,250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Apple Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Frederick Salbatera | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (10 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 2,375.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Lee Newman | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Matthew Jee | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Netflix | \$ | - | \$ | 10,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Rachael Vaughn | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/24/2020 | Steven Hand | \$ | - | \$ | 400.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Michael J. McGinley | \$ | 200.00 | \$ |  | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^34]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through Foundation | Status | Response |
| 12/24/2020 | Brian Badenoch | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/24/2020 | Li-Ling Lee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Hannah and Martin Wolf | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/24/2020 | Hannah N. Lachman | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/24/2020 | Silver Lining | \$ | 250,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/23/2020 | Arlene Waksberg and Charles M. Clark | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/23/2020 | Paul Gamez | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/23/2020 | Ruth Ainsworth and Paul Margaritis | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/23/2020 | Richard L. Lee and Betty Ling KwongLee | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/23/2020 | Ruth Krishnan | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/23/2020 | Rudolf Lupo-Dorut | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/23/2020 | Yawen Yeh | \$ | 80.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/22/2020 | Noah Carr | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/22/2020 | Susan Kahn and David Kaskowitz | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/22/2020 | Kevin M. Burke | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/21/2020 | Susan Curry | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/21/2020 | Susan Curry | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/21/2020 | Lora Hirschberg | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/21/2020 | Julia V. Lobel | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/21/2020 | Carl Stein | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/21/2020 | Michael V. Shepherd | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/21/2020 | Henry Muir King | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/21/2020 | Randall C. Luecke | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/21/2020 | Crystal Chun Wong | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/21/2020 | Edward Lin | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/21/2020 | Edward Lin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/21/2020 | Nidhi Patel | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/21/2020 | Helene Hansen | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/21/2020 | Matthew Cohen | \$ | 100,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/18/2020 | Karen and Stuart Gansky | \$ | 108.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/18/2020 | Walter John Bankovitch and Jocelyn Pou | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 12/17/2020 | Megan Somogyi and Will Sargent | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/17/2020 | Katie Giasullo | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/17/2020 | Alexander F. Knowles | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/17/2020 | Stephanie W. Holbrook | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/17/2020 | Gaia Fund | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/16/2020 | Emily J. Cohen | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/16/2020 | Leslie H. Laila Kramer | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/16/2020 | Anthony Fusco and Martha French | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/16/2020 | Jonathan Ferdon | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/16/2020 | Hengyu Kuang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/16/2020 | Carl E. Goldberg | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/16/2020 | Leroy K. Isaac | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/15/2020 | The Baker Street Foundation | \$ | - | \$ | 500,000.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 12/15/2020 | Elizabeth F. Smith | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 12/15/2020 | Amy Sakon Lee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/15/2020 | Josh Mukhopadhyay | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/15/2020 | Katie Wang | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/15/2020 | Luca Pietrobon | \$ | 2,225.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/14/2020 | Netflix | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 12/14/2020 | Nicole Chen | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 12/14/2020 | Lynne Eggers | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/14/2020 | Dana Friedman | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/14/2020 | Susannah Owen | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/14/2020 | Stephen Garber and Rena Pasick | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 12/14/2020 | Evelyn Kelsey | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/11/2020 | Linda R. Lavelle | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/11/2020 | Kathryn Kersey | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/11/2020 | Lana Sheridan | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/10/2020 | Terri Sonoda | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/10/2020 | Susie and Alan Greinetz | \$ | 180.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |

[^35]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ctly to } \\ & \text { ve2SF } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ough } \\ & \text { undation } \end{aligned}$ | Status | Response |
| 12/10/2020 | Dan Davies | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/10/2020 | Sterling-Bassett's | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/10/2020 | Sterling-Bassett's | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/9/2020 | Susan West and Michael Gold | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/9/2020 | Peter Leaf | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/9/2020 | Laurie Thomas | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/9/2020 | Jeff Holland | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/9/2020 | Maureen E. Flaherty | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/8/2020 | Matt and Krysta Keating | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/8/2020 | Jim Kingsbury and Leslie Hom | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/7/2020 | Janine Guillot and Shannon Wilson | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in March 2021. | No |
| 12/7/2020 | Yamini Gupta | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/7/2020 | Rajkiran Vojjala | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/7/2020 | David S. Buzby | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/7/2020 | Deborah Krant and Tamim Ansary | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/7/2020 | Benjamin M. Gould | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/7/2020 | Robert H. Frank | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/7/2020 | Hillevi A. Null | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/7/2020 | Fannie Sullivan Living Trust | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/7/2020 | Wanchai Charoenying and Pat Won | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/7/2020 | Garret Yount and Yifang Qian | \$ | 800.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/7/2020 | Maureen Elizabeth Ishodi | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/7/2020 | Dorene Cotter and Anthony Nash | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Donor provided financial interest at the time of donation. | Request for Department of Building Inspection permit |
| 12/7/2020 | Virstan Choy and Marina Lew | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/7/2020 | Thomas Dey | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/7/2020 | Meredith J. Goldsmith | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/7/2020 | Robert K. Tat | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/7/2020 | Regina T. Villanueva and Rolando C. Villanueva | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/7/2020 | Bao Phan and Kevin Rogers | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/7/2020 | Lorraine Thompson | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/7/2020 | Vilma I. Cavalcanti | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/7/2020 | Noel E. Turner and Denise H. Turner | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/7/2020 | OSIsoft, LLC | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/4/2020 | VanderPang Family | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | City employee |
| 12/4/2020 | Heather C. Turey | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/4/2020 | New Year Fund | \$ | 140.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/3/2020 | Thomas F. Lukens | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/3/2020 | Laudan Siahpolo | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/3/2020 | Samantha Kahn | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/3/2020 | Peter Vliet | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 12/2/2020 | Tony Chang | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 12/2/2020 | William Ball | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/2/2020 | Brian Woodfall | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 12/1/2020 | Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (6 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 2,650.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 12/1/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/1/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/1/2020 | Adobe Systems Incorporated | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 12/1/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 12/1/2020 | Antonietta Oliva | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 12/1/2020 | Apple Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 12/1/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/1/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/1/2020 | Intuit, Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |

[^36]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 12/1/2020 | Karen Weiss | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 12/1/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 12/1/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 12/1/2020 | Rachael Vaughn | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 12/1/2020 | Selina Wang | \$ | - | \$ | 2,000.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 12/1/2020 | Michelle J. Wang | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/30/2020 | Wesley Wiley and Janice Kendall | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 11/30/2020 | Jean Jacobs and Paul Draper | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/30/2020 | Frank and Carol Buonagurio | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 11/30/2020 | Sasha J. Cuttler R.N. Ph.D. | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/30/2020 | Benjamin Weyhrauch | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/30/2020 | Kristin Anundsen | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 11/30/2020 | Lauren E. Tulp | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/30/2020 | Diane and Todd Tate | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/30/2020 | Diana McKennett and Clara M. McKennett | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/30/2020 | Lisa M. Garcia and Francis J. Deandreis | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/27/2020 | Karen R. Traister | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 11/27/2020 | Patrick Wolff | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 11/27/2020 | Joaquin N. Torres | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/27/2020 | Susan and Steven Rosen | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 11/27/2020 | Bill Witte and Keiko Sakamoto | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/27/2020 | Ronda Atencion | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/27/2020 | Jeffrey A. Hurwitz | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/27/2020 | SOOD LLC | \$ | 4.52 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/27/2020 | Stephanie A. Metz | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/25/2020 | Rechee Jozil | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/25/2020 | Daniel Healy | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/25/2020 | Eduardo G. Frias | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/23/2020 | Olivia E. Sears | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/23/2020 | Anna Celli | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/23/2020 | Carol Gadas | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/23/2020 | Xin Liu | \$ | 180.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 11/23/2020 | Anand Ramesh | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/23/2020 | Peter Vliet | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 11/23/2020 | Cynthia Woo | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/23/2020 | Armando Urbina and Leoniza Z. Urbina | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/23/2020 | Guy M. De Primo | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Donor provided financial interest at the time of donation. | No |
| 11/23/2020 | Susan Scurich | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/23/2020 | Helena Setsuko Huoh | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/23/2020 | Cherie Ann Milojevich-Moore | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/23/2020 | S.H.A.R.P | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 11/19/2020 | Bernadette W. Kim | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/19/2020 | Tyler Quear | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 11/19/2020 | Rachael Somerville | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 11/18/2020 | Penelope Dunn Davison | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/17/2020 | Ida's Rest Home, LLC | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 11/16/2020 | Robert Priolo | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/16/2020 | Judson Coplan | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/16/2020 | Mason Scott | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/16/2020 | Joan A. Donohue | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/16/2020 | Green \& Tsai Attorneys At Law | \$ | 101.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/16/2020 | The Boston Consulting Group, Inc. | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/13/2020 | Barbara J. Belloli | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/12/2020 | Tony J. Gonzales | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 11/12/2020 | Valerie and Jon Diamond | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 11/12/2020 | WrightAdvisory, LLC | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 11/12/2020 | Frank and Verna Moser | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/10/2020 | Raymond S. Flournoy | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/9/2020 | John S. Lee | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | City employee |

[^37]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | ugh dation | Status | Response |
| 11/9/2020 | Kevin Fox | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/9/2020 | Sandra LaFerrera | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/9/2020 | Audrey Tomaselli and Gina Tomaselli | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/9/2020 | Bruce Loke and Mona Lesa Loke | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/9/2020 | IRA FBO Theresa P. Dick | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/9/2020 | Daniel Roth and Karin Ashley | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/6/2020 | Wesley Wiley and Janice Kendall | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 11/6/2020 | Brookfield Properties | \$ | 140,852.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/6/2020 | Walter Richardson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/5/2020 | Groshong Family | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/5/2020 | Craig W. Peacock | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/4/2020 | New Year Fund | \$ | 140.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/4/2020 | Lisa Farrell | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/4/2020 | William Chen | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/4/2020 | Jennifer Herriot-Hatfield | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 11/4/2020 | The Ellin-Aiken Fund | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/3/2020 | Lawrence E. Bernard | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (5 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 650.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/2/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/2/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (3 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Gilead Sciences, Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/2/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/2/2020 | Richard Egler | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/2/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/2/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/2/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/2/2020 | Cadence | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Chungwai Ng | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Adobe Systems Incorporated | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Matthew Jee | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Rachael Vaughn | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/2/2020 | Yawen Yeh | \$ | 70.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/2/2020 | John E. Robinson | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 11/2/2020 | Onesima Aguiao | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Karen P. Scarr | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Alexandra K. Lee | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Francisco J. Zalduendo | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Norma Louie | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Gang Han and Juanjuan Geng | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 11/2/2020 | C. Morris Family LLC | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 11/2/2020 | Roger M. Boone | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 11/2/2020 | Joel A. Lipski | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/30/2020 | Laurence Spillane | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/30/2020 | Teresa DeLauretis | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/29/2020 | Julie and David Ransom | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/28/2020 | Poindexters | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/27/2020 | Robert Abra | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/26/2020 | Olivier Y. Flewellen Trustee - Olivier Y. Flewellen Living Trust | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/26/2020 | Ivell M. Graham | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^38]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ctly to } \\ & \text { ve2SF } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Status | Response |
| 10/26/2020 | Janice Ann Chaffee and Paul C. Chaffee | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/26/2020 | Desiree Syn Chen and Paul H. Chen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/26/2020 | Patricia T. Dusenbury Trad IRA VFTC as Custodian | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/26/2020 | Kristin L. Anundsen | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/26/2020 | Cindy W. Yee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/26/2020 | Barbara A. Wismer | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | City employee |
| 10/26/2020 | Formagrid Inc. dba Airtable | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 10/23/2020 | Debra Y. Tjoa | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/22/2020 | Carole E. Deitrich | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 10/22/2020 | Akiko Kaji and Dennis C. Frezzo | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/21/2020 | Robert Michael Kappus | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/21/2020 | Bank of America | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/21/2020 | Koji Yoshida | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/21/2020 | Mary Joy Quinn | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/20/2020 | Thomas McKewan and Karen JohnsonMcKewan | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/20/2020 | Lorraine Bassan | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Marc Loran | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Jack Tse | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Virginia E. Vida | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Thomas Sattler | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/19/2020 | Wendy A. Conway | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/19/2020 | Rita Hovakimian | \$ | 109.67 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/19/2020 | Robert Spivack | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Laurel Kilgour | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Hugo Gonzalez | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Stefanie Arthur | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 10/19/2020 | Bas Ording | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/19/2020 | Michael Dahlin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Mason Scott | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 10/19/2020 | Baron L. Miller | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Rolando Castro and Cecile M. Ehrmann | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Maria S. Tong | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Cornelius Gregory Moore | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/19/2020 | Bonnie J. Yuen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/16/2020 | Frank and Verna Moser | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/16/2020 | SOOD LLC | \$ | 18.27 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/16/2020 | Cornelis Lekkerkerker | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/16/2020 | Mark Batchelor Allen | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/15/2020 | Lorraine A. Thiebaud | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | City employee |
| 10/15/2020 | Lance Thornswood and Nils Heymann | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/15/2020 | Jon Doellstedt | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/14/2020 | Michael A. Xavier and Pod Raymond A. Xavier | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/14/2020 | Ellen L. Yeung | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/14/2020 | Lucarda Barr and Vince J. Barr, Sr. | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/14/2020 | M. R. Lewkowitz and Charles J. Lewkowitz | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/14/2020 | Bruce E. Colman | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 10/14/2020 | Margie M. Sargent | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/13/2020 | David Fairley | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/13/2020 | M. Diane Weber, Retired Deputy City Attorney | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/13/2020 | Judy Catherine Miner | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/13/2020 | Jack Giessler | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/13/2020 | Cynsa Bonorris | \$ | 700.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/13/2020 | Barbara E. Mallet | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/13/2020 | Christina Zimbardo | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/13/2020 | Joan Patropulos | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/13/2020 | Ma Eloisa Mendoza | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |

[^39]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through F Foundation | Status | Response |
| 10/13/2020 | Cornelis Lekkerkerker | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/13/2020 | Mai O. Woo | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/13/2020 | Dattakumar M. Chitre | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/13/2020 | David and Susanna Singer | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/13/2020 | Samuel F. Palmer | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 10/13/2020 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 10/9/2020 | Megan Somogyi and Will Sargent | \$ | 2,570.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/9/2020 | Nela C. Ponferrada | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/9/2020 | David and Carmen Siegel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/9/2020 | Greg Zinger | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/9/2020 | Efrat Libkind | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/8/2020 | Loan Monkey, Inc. | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/7/2020 | Ashley Blanco | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/7/2020 | Vincent J. Harris | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/7/2020 | Peter Leung | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/7/2020 | Paul Pitt | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/7/2020 | Linda Moore | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/6/2020 | New Year Fund | \$ | 140.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 10/6/2020 | Koorosh Hariri | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/6/2020 | Larryett D. Anderson | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/5/2020 | Simon G. Donovan | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/5/2020 | Sarah Kaplan | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/5/2020 | Janet G. Bias | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/5/2020 | Michael Govea | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/5/2020 | Meredith N. Derecho | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/5/2020 | Linda Wilford and Randall Ham | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/5/2020 | Michael W. Powers | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/5/2020 | Jill Higgins | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/5/2020 | Joyce Oishi | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/5/2020 | Elaine C. Meng | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/5/2020 | Debbie Sison | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 10/5/2020 | Jean Kroeger | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/5/2020 | Terreno Realty Corporation | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 10/5/2020 | Crankstart Foundation | \$ | - | \$ | 2,000,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 10/2/2020 | Fidelity Charitable Trustee's Initiative | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 10/2/2020 | Andrea Snow | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 10/1/2020 | Rosie Rios | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 10/1/2020 | Nicholas Weininger | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 9/30/2020 | Lauren Miller | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 9/30/2020 | Amy and James Ramsey | \$ | 100,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/29/2020 | Matthew Koontz | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/29/2020 | Valerie C. Rodgers | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/29/2020 | Adobe Systems Incorporated | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 9/29/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (6 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 5,750.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 9/29/2020 | Dropbox | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 9/29/2020 | Edward Lesmes | \$ | - | \$ | 384.62 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 9/29/2020 | Edward Lesmes | \$ | - | \$ | 384.62 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 9/29/2020 | Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (9 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,519.24 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 9/29/2020 | Matthew Jee | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 9/29/2020 | Matthew Jee | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 9/29/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/29/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/29/2020 | Rachael Vaughn | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/29/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/29/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^40]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 9/29/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 9/29/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 9/29/2020 | Tony Shih | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/28/2020 | Thomas Roessler | \$ | 750.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/28/2020 | Leandro Castillo Valdes | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 9/28/2020 | William Chen | \$ | 65.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/28/2020 | Yerba Buena Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 50,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/24/2020 | Danielle Dooley | \$ | 694.49 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/23/2020 | Saul Rosenfield | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 9/21/2020 | Mason Scott | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/18/2020 | Tim Salzmann | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 9/17/2020 | Dan Davies | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/16/2020 | Shon Baldwin | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 9/8/2020 | Paul Heller and Helen Goldsmith | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/8/2020 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 9/8/2020 | Tasha L. Yee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 9/2/2020 | Marissa C. Skudlarek | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 9/2/2020 | Meredith Safran | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 9/1/2020 | Kelly Ling | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/31/2020 | Dr. Cynthia A. Farner | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/31/2020 | Jayanthi Swaminathan | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/31/2020 | Alex Hsu | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/31/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (21 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,653.15 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 8/31/2020 | Apple Inc. - Matching Gift Program (3 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 3,650.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 8/31/2020 | Edward Lesmes | \$ | - | \$ | 384.62 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 8/31/2020 | Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (9 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,734.62 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 8/31/2020 | Jeffrey Cross | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/31/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/31/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/31/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/31/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/31/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/31/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/31/2020 | Steven Hand | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/31/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 8/31/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 8/28/2020 | Christos Bastis | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/28/2020 | Phillip Ai | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/26/2020 | Stephanie Su | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/24/2020 | Chris Jones and Martina Jones | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 8/24/2020 | Austen Head and Lauren Chircus | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 8/24/2020 | Melinda Weston | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/24/2020 | Madison A. Fishstrom | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/24/2020 | William Chen | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/21/2020 | Ceilidh McElroy | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/19/2020 | Asna Ansari | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/18/2020 | Spencer Simonsen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 8/18/2020 | Ricky A. Yee | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/17/2020 | Peter Vliet | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 8/17/2020 | Anonymous | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 8/17/2020 | Bradley Sharpe | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/17/2020 | Sue Ni | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/13/2020 | Mary Franklin | \$ | 6,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/13/2020 | Ceilidh McElroy | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/11/2020 | Amanda English | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |

[^41]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | actly to ve2SF |  | ough <br> undation | Status | Response |
| 8/10/2020 | Chris Jones and Martina Jones | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 8/10/2020 | Susan Herzig and Paul Hertzmann | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/10/2020 | Jeffrey D. Weitzel | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 8/10/2020 | Rozelle Lee | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 8/10/2020 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 8/10/2020 | Alejandro A. Argueta | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/10/2020 | Alyssa Young | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/7/2020 | Laurie A. Schryver | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/6/2020 | Colin McKeehan | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 8/6/2020 | Miss Victoria A. R. Carter | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/5/2020 | Judith M. Coulter | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/4/2020 | Jeff Holder and L.E.K. Consulting | \$ | 700.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/3/2020 | James Robert Kennedy, Jr. and Linda Ann Cicero | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Donor provided financial interest at the time of donation. | Request for Planning Department permit |
| 8/3/2020 | Andrea Snow | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/3/2020 | Lawrence Hosken | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 8/3/2020 | Rachel Goldman | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 8/3/2020 | Chloe Hill | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 8/3/2020 | Anonymous | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 8/3/2020 | Michael Kiehl | \$ | - | \$ | 105.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/30/2020 | Garima Jajoo | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/30/2020 | James P. Dowling | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/30/2020 | Gregory E. Finch | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/30/2020 | Airbnb Inc. - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,600.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/30/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (3 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 125.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/30/2020 | Apple Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/30/2020 | Blue Shield of California | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/30/2020 | DocuSign - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 40.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/30/2020 | Dropbox | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/30/2020 | Genentech, Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/30/2020 | Greg Egan | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/30/2020 | Heesun Lee | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/30/2020 | Kiani Muhammad | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/30/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/30/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/30/2020 | Robert Flory | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/30/2020 | Selina Liu | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/30/2020 | Steven Heidel | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 7/30/2020 | Twitter | \$ | - | \$ | 822.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/29/2020 | Roberts-Castiaux Charitable Fund | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/29/2020 | Bharat Family Fund | \$ | 4,000.00 | \$ | - | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/29/2020 | Marian Beard and Daniel Wehmeier | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/29/2020 | Vesuvio | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/29/2020 | Eric Machado | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 7/29/2020 | Oyindamola Ayo-Ani | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/29/2020 | Howald Blake Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 5,000.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 7/28/2020 | Matthew White | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 7/28/2020 | Kis Lai | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/27/2020 | Patricia T. Dusenbury | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 7/27/2020 | Janice B. Whang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^42]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 7/27/2020 | Mason Scott | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/27/2020 | Atef Chaudhury | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/27/2020 | Lorraine Thompson | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 7/27/2020 | Anita Y. Cheng | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/27/2020 | Alexandra K. Lee | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/23/2020 | Maria Amundson and Elliot Krane | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/22/2020 | Anonymous Through New Year Fund | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/22/2020 | William G. Graham | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/21/2020 | Miranda Dietz | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/21/2020 | Jennie Kajiko | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/21/2020 | Sheryl Ruskin | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 7/21/2020 | Jesse and Roberta Fink | \$ | 99.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/20/2020 | Sean Curran | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/20/2020 | Diane and Carl Shannon | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/20/2020 | Navin lyengar and Nichiketa Choudhary | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in August 2020. | No response received |
| 7/20/2020 | Ellie Rossiter | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/20/2020 | Polly Rose | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/20/2020 | Frank J. Leykamm | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/20/2020 | Brooke Babcock | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/20/2020 | Valued Cardholder | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/20/2020 | Sophie Goodwin | \$ | 18.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/17/2020 | Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (15 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 2,925.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/16/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/16/2020 | David Chen | \$ | - | \$ | 1,500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/16/2020 | Jason Hicks | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/16/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/16/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/16/2020 | Paige Stoermer | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/16/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/16/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/16/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/16/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/15/2020 | Sy Aal | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/14/2020 | Nhung T. Nhu | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/13/2020 | Mason Scott | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/13/2020 | Jacob Topper | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/10/2020 | David Solow-Cordero | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/10/2020 | Lyon Lay | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/10/2020 | Tira Sims | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/8/2020 | Cotton the First Shirtmaker | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/8/2020 | Stuart W. Campbell | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/8/2020 | Tiffany Chu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/7/2020 | GIC Real Estate, Inc. | \$ | 3,796.17 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 7/7/2020 | Bryr Studio | \$ | 425.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/7/2020 | Amanda English | \$ | 46.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 7/6/2020 | Formagrid Inc. dba Airtable | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/6/2020 | Philippe Farhi | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/6/2020 | E. Max Koenker | \$ | 470.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/6/2020 | Chris J. Lane and Elizabeth A. Lane | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/6/2020 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 7/6/2020 | Kimberly Low | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 7/6/2020 | Gunderson Dettmer | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/3/2020 | Joshua Goldstein and Glynnis Fowler | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/3/2020 | Angelina Huang | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/2/2020 | Anthony Wu | \$ | 325.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^43]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 7/2/2020 | Adobe Systems Incorporated | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Airbnb Inc. - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 400.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Alejandra Dominguez | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Andrea Stewart | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (31 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 3,610.50 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Brian Radley | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Caitlyn Lai-Valenti | \$ | - | \$ | 125.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Cutter MacLeod | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Darcey Addicks | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Dolby | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Donna Ruane | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Don Winkler | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Genentech, Inc. - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 650.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Gonzalo Rodriguez | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Griff Potrock | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/2/2020 | Griff Potrock | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/2/2020 | Guillaume Forget | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 7/2/2020 | Jaime Gobert | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Jarod Reyes | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/2/2020 | Jeremy Hageman | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Jessica Owen | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Johanna Merino | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Justin Cai | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Kelly Pretzer | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Kelly Vedder | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Kerri Ryusaki | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | KKR | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Kurt Forsgren | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | LendingClub | \$ | - | \$ | 10.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Lina Smith | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Linkedln - Matching Gift Program (3 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 325.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Maya Rioux | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Michelle Jefferson | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Michelle Spanne | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Mike Zuehlke | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Netflix | \$ | - | \$ | 2,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Nick Chavez | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Okta | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Paypal | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Pedro Velarde | \$ | - | \$ | 30.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/2/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/2/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/2/2020 | Peiying Ma | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/2/2020 | Quan Trong | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Rachel Corral | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Ripple | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |

[^44]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | rough oundation | Status | Response |
| 7/2/2020 | Robert Flory | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Robert Lucero | \$ | - | \$ | 2,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 7/2/2020 | Rob Haynes | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Rob Haynes | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Ruthann Gore | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Samy Hernandez | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Scotty Nowak | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Shaun Bowen | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Tony Pauker | \$ | - | \$ | 90.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Twitter | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Tyler Devlin | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Vickrum Singh | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Visa, Inc. - Matching Gift Program (3 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 750.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Yeneneh Awage | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 7/2/2020 | Zillow Group | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 7/1/2020 | Evan Boido Charitable Fund | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 7/1/2020 | The Ellin-Aiken Fund | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 7/1/2020 | David Stiepleman and Carey Lifschultz | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 7/1/2020 | Austen Head and Lauren Chircus | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 7/1/2020 | The Ellin-Aiken Fund | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 7/1/2020 | Gabrielle Hull | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 7/1/2020 | Ardian US Foundation | \$ | 12,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/30/2020 | Matthew Jee | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/29/2020 | Mason Scott | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/29/2020 | Lindsay Schapiro and Adam Brudnick | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/26/2020 | Nisit Jirangpitakkul | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/26/2020 | Ashley Moore | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/26/2020 | Kathleen E. Triggs | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/25/2020 | David W. Dumais | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/24/2020 | Samsara | \$ | 3,041.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/23/2020 | California Cowboy Apparel | \$ | 3,372.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/23/2020 | Fidelity Charitable | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/23/2020 | Ryan Moore | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/22/2020 | Lucy Almers and Sean Rhea | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in August 2020. | No response received |
| 6/22/2020 | John Garfinkle Charitable Fund, a Donor Advised Fund of Renaissance Charitable Foundation | \$ | 7,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/22/2020 | Harold Erdman | \$ | 1,800.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/22/2020 | Alexis and Rachel Rouda | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/22/2020 | Janet C. Wade | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/22/2020 | Fidelity Charitable | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/22/2020 | Frank and Carolyn Hoke-Van Orden | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/22/2020 | Dewitt Tien-Wei Cheng and Marjory J. Richards | \$ | 350.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/22/2020 | Dan Davies | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/22/2020 | Linda Joan Saraf | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/22/2020 | Amy Felsenthal | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/22/2020 | Luke Lovett | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/22/2020 | Sephora USA, Inc. | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/22/2020 | Ramaswamy Srikant | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/22/2020 | Adobe, Inc. | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/22/2020 | Kenneth M. Ashford | \$ | 45.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/22/2020 | Dropbox | \$ | - | \$ | 10,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/19/2020 | Mark Brody | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |

[^45]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 6/19/2020 | Jennifer Perini | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in August 2020. | No response received |
| 6/19/2020 | Ben Zotto | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 6/19/2020 | Arlene Waksberg, Charles M. Clark | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 6/19/2020 | Fidelity Charitable | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/19/2020 | The Johnslington Fund | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in August 2020. | No response received |
| 6/19/2020 | Joyce B. Renaker | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/19/2020 | Kacey J. Clark | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/19/2020 | Patricia Mahoney | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/18/2020 | Vesuvio Cafe | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/17/2020 | Brian Borromeo | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Shreyas R. Gandhi | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Aish Fenton | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Alex Hsu | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/16/2020 | Andrew Sullivan | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (8 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 505.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Apple Inc. - Matching Gift Program (3 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 850.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Ben Marks | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/16/2020 | Brina Virdi | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Charina Chou | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/16/2020 | Comcast | \$ | - | \$ | 25,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Danny Kim | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Gilead Sciences, Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 500,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (33 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 6,425.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Helen (Chou) McCabe | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Houman Azarm | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Jeffrey Cohen | \$ | - | \$ | 875.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/16/2020 | Jordan Smith | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/16/2020 | Julia Karr | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Kelsey Vandermeulen | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Lindsay Pearson | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Llew Thomas | \$ | - | \$ | 2,000.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/16/2020 | Maggie Hughes | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Matisse Enzer | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Matthew Carona | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/16/2020 | Natalia Fisher | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/16/2020 | Netflix - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 3,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Nicole Franz | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Raymond Wong | \$ | - | \$ | 20.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Robert Orr | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/16/2020 | Dolby | \$ | - | \$ | 200,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/16/2020 | Simon Rogers | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/16/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/16/2020 | Whitney Bosch | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/15/2020 | Jack Douglas and Kelly Morton | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/15/2020 | Matthew Munz | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/15/2020 | Mason Scott | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/15/2020 | Leslie Wellbaum | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/15/2020 | Boris Cherny | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/12/2020 | Mike Grisso and Grace Park | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | Other entitlement for use with or pending before the City. |

[^46]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 6/12/2020 | Patrick Family Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 5,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/11/2020 | Reynaldo L. Pantaleon | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/10/2020 | Samuel Valdez | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/10/2020 | Ira Fateman and Jobeth Walt | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 6/9/2020 | Origina, Inc. | \$ | 596.80 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/8/2020 | Matt Beaumont-Gay | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/8/2020 | Michael J. McGinley | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/8/2020 | Josh and Meredith Bauer | \$ | 318.50 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/8/2020 | Peter Kinmond | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/8/2020 | Pilates in Common Cooperative, Inc. | \$ | 176.85 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/8/2020 | Anne Fuchs-Chesney | \$ | 54.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/8/2020 | Jennifer Lin | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/8/2020 | Philip A. Reitz | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/5/2020 | John Cuffney | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/5/2020 | Kristin Anundsen | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 6/5/2020 | Todd Rydstrom and Mike Dickman | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Donor provided financial interest at the time of donation. | City employee |
| 6/5/2020 | Andrew and Nancy Leahy | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/5/2020 | Russell Thau | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/5/2020 | John J. Beam | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/5/2020 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/5/2020 | Blue Beyond Consulting, Inc. | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/5/2020 | Patricia Wise | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/4/2020 | Matthew Jee | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/4/2020 | Lili Byers and Peter Straus | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/4/2020 | Tom Budgick | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/4/2020 | Jacob Ostrofsky | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/4/2020 | Bruce Parker | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/4/2020 | AT\&T | \$ | - | \$ | 100,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/3/2020 | Fidelity Charitable | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/3/2020 | Jenny Nguyen | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/3/2020 | Sarah Owens | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | City employee |
| 6/3/2020 | L. R. Ingersoll | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/3/2020 | Sigma Computing | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/3/2020 | I. M. Thomson | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/2/2020 | Tess Winlock and Christine Luu | \$ | 40,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/2/2020 | Kimberly Low | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/2/2020 | Brandon Schwartz | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/2/2020 | Fidelity Charitable | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/2/2020 | Jo Ann Ogden | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/2/2020 | Francesca C. Vera | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/2/2020 | Hung Family | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/2/2020 | Lisel Joseph | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/2/2020 | Ashley B. Macy | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | William W. Atkins | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Robert K. Deel | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Jesse A. Guzman | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Tommy Lin | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | India C. Prentice | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2020 | Edward Lesmes Maldonado | \$ | 237.81 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2020 | Alina C. Lodahl | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Mason Scott | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Sasha Cuttler, R.N. and Lauren Cuttler, R.N. | \$ | 180.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Colin McKeehan | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2020 | Tap Tap Organics | \$ | 112.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Anna Mae Abia | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Sy Aal | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Jeffrey R. Rigo | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Sophie Diao | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Arjun Krishna Kumar | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Kevin Gao | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 6/1/2020 | Cindy Changar | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Carolyn Yao | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Akin Gump Strauss Hauer \& Feld LLP | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Akshay Sethi | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Alex Song | \$ | - | \$ | 98.50 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Ali Miller | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Amita Gajewar | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Anna Ramon | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (10 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 21,970.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Apple Inc. - Matching Gift Program (17 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 20,212.32 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Benjamin Turner | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Blue Shield of California | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Brandon Holt | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Casey Madden | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Christian Wofford | \$ | - | \$ | 2,500.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2020 | Christian Wofford | \$ | - | \$ | 2,500.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2020 | Craig Stout | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Daniel Kuo | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Derek Ryan | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Elizabeth Juenger | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Elizabeth Perakis | \$ | - | \$ | 40.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Eric Gourlaouen | \$ | - | \$ | 226.16 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Genentech, Inc. - Matching Gift Program (9 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,470.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Google, LLC - Matching Gift Program (20 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 8,866.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Guillaume Forget | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2020 | Heather Moore | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2020 | Ivan Tanasic | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Jheel Doshi | \$ | - | \$ | 49.25 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Jonathan Grobstein | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Julianne McGoldrick | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2020 | Julie Nishihara | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Katie Shih | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Kristen Stotts | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Lauren Fernandez | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Lee Newman | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Linkedln - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 370.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Marc Franklin | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Microsoft - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,100.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Nick Turner | \$ | - | \$ | 83.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Nintendo | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Nitin Nitin | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | NVIDIA - Matching Gift Program (4 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 700.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Oath Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Patrick Wynn | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |

[^47]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through Foundation | Status | Response |
| 6/1/2020 | Piper Sandler Companies | \$ | - | \$ | 350.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Playstation Cares - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Robert Broesler | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Rob Haynes | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Sabrine Rekik | \$ | - | \$ | 290.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2020 | Sabrine Rekik | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2020 | Samuel Kaminsky | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | Sandeep Chandna | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Sarah DeMarois | \$ | - | \$ | 40.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Shalin Mantri | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Stefan Reich | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 6/1/2020 | Theodore Crockin | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 6/1/2020 | The Omidyar Group | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Todd Kerpelman | \$ | - | \$ | 450.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | TPG | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Wen-Ting Tsai | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Workday | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Xylem Inc. - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 6/1/2020 | Zillow Group | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 5/29/2020 | Arthur and Toni Rembe Rock | \$ | 500,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/29/2020 | Ardian US LLC | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/29/2020 | Nicholas Reavill and Emily Wolahan | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 5/29/2020 | James and Sheryl Reuben | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 5/29/2020 | Jennifer Braun and Raymond J. Ryan | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 5/29/2020 | OneBeacon Insurance Group | \$ | 2,100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/29/2020 | Robert Fearing | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 5/29/2020 | Cary J. Fleisher | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/29/2020 | Judith Tornese | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 5/29/2020 | Wesley Wiley and Janice Kendall | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 5/29/2020 | Heath Massey | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/29/2020 | Connie J. Mar | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/29/2020 | Karen and Leon Traister | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 5/29/2020 | Camille Lejeune | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/29/2020 | Sheldon Kirchman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/29/2020 | Frances Ohashi | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/29/2020 | Leonard Torres | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/29/2020 | Trisha Thadani | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/29/2020 | David L. Klein, Jr. Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 7,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/29/2020 | Tung Family Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 2,500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/29/2020 | Dara Khosrowshahi | \$ | - | \$ | 100,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/29/2020 | Susan Pritzker | \$ | - | \$ | 250,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/28/2020 | Andrew Work | \$ | 426.50 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/28/2020 | Louis Derosa | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/28/2020 | James Christie | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/28/2020 | Rachelle Axel | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/28/2020 | Brenda Tucker | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/27/2020 | Steve and Dianne Leonoudakis | \$ | 800.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/27/2020 | Jason Pellegrini | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/27/2020 | Crunchbase, Inc. | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/27/2020 | Morris Family | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/27/2020 | Rebecca Herman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^48]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 5/27/2020 | Gretchen M. Ehrenkaufer | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/27/2020 | Iran Narges | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/26/2020 | Bigote de Gato/Teatro Tin Tan | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/26/2020 | Rosa Birch | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/26/2020 | Julia Lopez | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/26/2020 | Lauren MacGuidwin | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/26/2020 | Golden Gate Trauma and Marriage Counseling | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/26/2020 | Erin Bailey | \$ | 297.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/26/2020 | Alison Dame-Boyle | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/26/2020 | Carmen Chu | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | City employee |
| 5/26/2020 | Lara Hammamy | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/26/2020 | Pilates in Common Cooperative, Inc. | \$ | 182.40 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/26/2020 | Bruce Johnson | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/26/2020 | Blair G. Helsing | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/26/2020 | Colin McKeehan | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/26/2020 | Vivian Fong | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/26/2020 | Antonia I. Ruiz | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/26/2020 | Evelyn Kelsey | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/26/2020 | Thomas X. Bockmon | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/26/2020 | Stephanie Schneider | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/26/2020 | Robin Morales | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/26/2020 | Cassandra McGoldrick | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/22/2020 | David and Katherine deWilde | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/22/2020 | Al Crowell | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/22/2020 | Susan Rosen | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/22/2020 | Matthew B. Bohm | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/22/2020 | Julia A. Pak | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/22/2020 | Richard L. Suen | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/22/2020 | Ashesha Mehrotra | \$ | 101.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/22/2020 | Kathleen White | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/22/2020 | Susan G. Van | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/21/2020 | Lan V. Liem | \$ | 281.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/21/2020 | Marian Halley | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/21/2020 | Richard Lesnick | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/21/2020 | Teresa Feng | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/21/2020 | Leuwam Tesfai | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/21/2020 | Charles Perl and Ted Nguyen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 5/21/2020 | Brenda Tucker, SF Travel | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/21/2020 | Valeria Wilson | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/21/2020 | Cynthia Gregory | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/20/2020 | Donal and Nancy Duffy | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/20/2020 | Stephen Garber and Rena Pasick | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/20/2020 | Rachel Lim | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/20/2020 | Denise Selleck | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/20/2020 | Antonio Gurgel | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/20/2020 | Kristin Tieche | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/20/2020 | Allison C. Vicencio | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/19/2020 | PJ Crowell, Gold Bloc Artist Collective | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/19/2020 | Tiffany Huang | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/19/2020 | Michael K. Truong | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/19/2020 | Diana R. Meistrell | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/19/2020 | John Melichar | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/19/2020 | Taylor M. McNair | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/19/2020 | Kawanna Jenkins | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/19/2020 | Chris Wojcicki | \$ | 12.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Box Inc. Fund | \$ | 62,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Victor C. B. Smith | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Nehal and Jenny Fan Raj Fund | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Christopher H. Lord and Coltrane C. Lord | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 5/18/2020 | David Bloom | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | James L. Kilgore | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^49]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 5/18/2020 | Christian Topham | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Stephanie Sun | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | Bianca Doerschlag | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | Andrew R. Hutchinson | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | Levin Family Foundation | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Mark Leno | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Tamisie Vrolyk | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Hannah Krier | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Yesenia Lopez | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Claire R. Fram | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Samantha Steele | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Anton Herasymenko | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Clara J. Jeffery | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Bradley Collins | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | Thomas Van Dyck | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | Chris Farrell | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | Adam Shaywitz | \$ | 318.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Yesenia Lopez and Brian Reynoso | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Edward Lesmes Maldonado | \$ | 237.81 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | Stephen Heide | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Bonnie M. Moffett/Eugene V. Moffett | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Michael Silverman | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Janelle Caywood | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | Cynthia Lin, M.D. | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Mason Scott | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Cara Kritikos | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | Xuanthu Pham | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | JP Kempt Barber \& Social | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | James and Jean Hardin | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Ian Solomon Charitable Fund | \$ | 180.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Betsy Eckstein and David Heller | \$ | 180.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Cynthia J. Goguen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Audrey E. Groomes | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Robert Livingstone | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Yohana Mehari | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Bryan Wolf | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Blaine Bookey and Richard T. Walker | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Diane and Sam Sidd-Champion | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Xin Liu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | Amy Wollman and Gerry Berkowitz | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Keith Hardaway | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Leah Jackson | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/18/2020 | Peter L. Vliet | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/18/2020 | David Kidd | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Scott McFadden | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Jeffrey Briz-Felisilda | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Arnel Bautista and Family | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Derron Thweatt | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Barbara Komansky | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Billy R. McFadden | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Deepak Kumar | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Laurel Gaddie | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/18/2020 | Mark R. and Mauree Jane Perry | \$ | - | \$ | 10,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Joan Wendt | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Stevana Case | \$ | 1,250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Amy Beinart and Gary A. Marcus | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Holly French | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/15/2020 | Jeffrey Tumlin | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | City employee |
| 5/15/2020 | Cyrus Hall | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/15/2020 | Stacy and Christiaan Vorkink | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Matthew Koontz | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Sarah Cohen and Peter Barschall | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Stuart L. Silberman | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Kristin Henry | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^50]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 5/15/2020 | Gurlyn Singh Grewal | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/15/2020 | Margaret J. Handler | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Harold Liss and Daniela Wellisz | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/15/2020 | Stan and Monica Hayes | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Narayana Pappu | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Sloan Looney | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Anthony and Kathleen Jones | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/15/2020 | Benjamin and Jessie Roodman | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/15/2020 | Gareth J. Hoo | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/15/2020 | Raymond and Joyce Quesada | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Michael Vuong | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Anne K. Gallagher | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Priya and Alex Clemens | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Carolyn Goossen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Alexandra Sweet | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Jacob Y. Wang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Marsha O'Bannon | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Jim Bolinger | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Lee Ryan and Nancy Aalberg | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Elizabeth Warner | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Sophie Goodwin | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Prayoonthong Families | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Lester Logue | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/15/2020 | Susan Pritzker | \$ | - | \$ | 100,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | The Miners | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Sheila Stuart | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Emily Johnston | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Tracy Freedman | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Bruce Colman | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Tristan Cameron | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Jonathan Cohen | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Andrew Dai | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Susan L. Karp | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Rodman Rogers, M.D. | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Paul A. Allen | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Terri and Robert Ludden | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Stephen and Elizabeth Mangum | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Chana Greene | \$ | 450.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Thomas Hanley | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Jan and Russ Potts | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Samuel M. Sobol | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Timothy Alan Simon, Esq. | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Kathryn Marple | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Caird Arbona Family | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Judith A. Holm | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | Gail M. MacGowan | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | David E. Babbitz | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Steven Kasapi | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Robert M. Fruchtman | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Mary L. Miller | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Jonathan A. Funk | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Genevieve Mansfield | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Jacob G. Wellins | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Charles T. Whipple | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Charlotte L. Johnson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Gina Fromer | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Deborah Fellinger | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Cathy Rabin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Diane C. Carr | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Sarah Marie Smith | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Andrew Y. Ong | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Andrew Y. Ong | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Michel Schoemaker | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Henry Milich | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^51]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  |  | Status | Response |
| 5/14/2020 | Carol Porter | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Catherine Cusic | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Eric Shaw | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Steve Bookbinder and Michelle Chan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | David J. Bloom | \$ | 69.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 5/14/2020 | Margaret Rubio | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Kathryn Claiborn | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Kirk Beckstead | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Henry Minn | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Erin Loback | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Nedra Dias | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Serapheim Dimitropoulos | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Arta Zygielbaum | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Jennie Parrilla and Family | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Gustave Feldman | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Sherrie Groshong | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Ariel Garcia De la Vega | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Laura L. Munter | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Kevin Darling | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Alana Ronen | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Bradley Tanzman | \$ | 12.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/14/2020 | Mark Barnes | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/13/2020 | Christine Beard | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/13/2020 | Sandy Leung | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/13/2020 | Michael J. Solomon | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/13/2020 | Winnie Ouyang | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/13/2020 | Victor Lin | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/13/2020 | Sonja R. Johnson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/13/2020 | Rachel Hill | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/13/2020 | Ryan Nichols | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/13/2020 | Jamie Nargassans | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/13/2020 | Vincent Eckert | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/13/2020 | Jamal Rayshaun Collins | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/13/2020 | Caroline Cross | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/12/2020 | Cotton the First Shirtmaker | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/12/2020 | Margaret Wilson | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/12/2020 | Meghan Kamat | \$ | 70.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/12/2020 | Selina Selina | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/11/2020 | Wells Fargo Foundation | \$ | 150,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Gale Mondry and Bruce Cohen | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Christopher John Rupright and Pamela G. H. Rupright | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | McMorgan \& Company | \$ | 7,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Peter Vulgaris and Aimee Lucido | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Steven Chang | \$ | 2,400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Mark Watson and Clare Winterton | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/11/2020 | Heather and Arturo Gonzalez | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/11/2020 | Ripa Saha | \$ | 501.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Stewart Murrie | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/11/2020 | Ginger Lau | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Ginger Lau | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Thomas R. and Georgia L. Schuttish | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Marcella Vendrell | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Pilates in Common Cooperative, Inc. | \$ | 189.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/11/2020 | Iris Fung | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Elizabeth Kondor and Jim Cole | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/11/2020 | Wai M. Yee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/11/2020 | Jason Greco | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/11/2020 | Denise Powell | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/11/2020 | Lorraine Thompson | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 5/11/2020 | Daniel Hertz | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/8/2020 | Eden Kfir | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^52]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through Foundation | Status | Response |
| 5/8/2020 | Vivan Som | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/8/2020 | Margaret Hom | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/8/2020 | Kerry Viengvilai | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/8/2020 | Zarana K. Udani | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/8/2020 | Chime, Inc. | \$ | - | \$ | 75,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/7/2020 | Karthik Balaji | \$ | 1,220.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/7/2020 | James and Janet Respess | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/7/2020 | Lauren M. Harriman | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/7/2020 | Mary Mykhaylova | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/7/2020 | William S. Tannenbaum | \$ | 180.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/7/2020 | Andrew Crebar | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/7/2020 | Andrew Tremblay | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/7/2020 | Cary Bronstein | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/7/2020 | Patrick Family Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 5,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/6/2020 | Amanda Schapel | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/6/2020 | Drew Liming | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/6/2020 | Timothy Hsu | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/6/2020 | Stephanie Rose | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/6/2020 | Jeannie Sun | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/6/2020 | Erika Opper and James A. Horton | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/6/2020 | Robert Taine | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/6/2020 | Abraham Mertens and Ivory Madison | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/6/2020 | Adriana Grino | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/6/2020 | Felicia Pitre | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/6/2020 | \#Start Small | \$ | - | \$ | 15,000,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/5/2020 | Heather and Gene Cutler | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/5/2020 | GGV Capital | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/5/2020 | Sherry Coveney | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/5/2020 | Addison Luria-Roberson | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/5/2020 | Ken Irelan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/5/2020 | Shuchita Mishra | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/5/2020 | James and Rosalind Johnson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/5/2020 | Jesse M. King | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/5/2020 | Laurel Kilgour | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/5/2020 | Anthony Daniell | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/5/2020 | Divya M. Patel | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Google, LLC | \$ | 500,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Lifschultz-Stiepleman Family | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/4/2020 | Rhisa C. Muse | \$ | 3,041.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Pagoda Arts Laser Cutting | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/4/2020 | Robert Pooley | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | The Boudreau Ma Family | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | David N. Goldman | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Charles Magahern | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/4/2020 | Jonathon M. Grist | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | The Yunger Family | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Telegraph Hill Software | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Jonathan B. Hernandez | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | George H. Rey | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Andrew Vernon | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Cotton the First Shirtmaker | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Chris Lesch | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Alexa Hansen | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Owen G. Auch | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Edward Lesmes Maldonado | \$ | 237.80 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/4/2020 | Wilson J. Lam/Mary Leong Lam | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Kathy McCormick | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Tiffany Edwards | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Colin McKeehan | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/4/2020 | GIC | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/4/2020 | Nordlund Family | \$ | 101.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/4/2020 | Michael Macia | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Daphne Wray | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | H. Kamimoto | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 5/4/2020 | Darrin Ward | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Michael Moghaddam | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Linda Wilford and Randall Ham | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 5/4/2020 | Aleksandra Ponomareva | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | David A. De Valeria | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Carolyn White | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Caroline Young | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Sarah Kaplan | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 5/4/2020 | Reynaldo L. Pantaleon | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Steffen Frech | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Lizzette Otlang | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Sarah Chuck | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Ramila Desai | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Timothy Yip | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | Kevin Metcalf | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/4/2020 | First Republic Bank | \$ | - | \$ | 100,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Jewish Family and Children's Services/Andy Coblentz and Shari Libicki Donor Advised Continuity Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 2,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/1/2020 | Barbara Bernstein and John Tibbetts | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/1/2020 | Alex Moskowitz | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/1/2020 | Golden Gate Marriage and Trauma Therapy Center | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/1/2020 | Allie Siu and Andrew O'Connor | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 5/1/2020 | Frank and Carol Buonagurio | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 5/1/2020 | Ankit Vaish | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/1/2020 | Mathew Honan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/1/2020 | Elysia B. Su | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/1/2020 | Frederico Rocha | \$ | 70.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 5/1/2020 | JCF's Covid-19 Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 20,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2020 | Kristen Kalez | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2020 | Dennis Wei | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2020 | Jody Reiss | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/30/2020 | Emily A. Headden | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2020 | Janet Clyde | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2020 | ThousandEyes | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2020 | Ann and Jesse Agbayani | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Sarah Kiani | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Adam Leon | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Aditya Basu | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Annie Lee | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Apple Inc. - Matching Gift Program (15 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 8,880.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Arjun Desai | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Brian Schmoldt | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Cynthia Yee | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Daniel Haeger | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Dolby | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Dropbox | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Erik Krogen | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Erin Sumpmann | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Frank Spada | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2020 | Genentech | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Google, LLC - Gift Matching Program (15 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 6,650.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Helena Kleinschmidt | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Indeed | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |

[^53]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sugh } \\ & \text { indation } \end{aligned}$ | Status | Response |
| 4/30/2020 | Ingrid A. Garcia | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Jennifer Newton | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | John Nunes | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Jones Lang LaSalle | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Jui-Shan Hsu | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2020 | Karl Sjogren | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2020 | Kylee Lessard | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | LinkedIn - Gift Matching Program (23 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 2,955.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Luke Kopakowski | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Max McCarthy | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Mike Rolig | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Muhammad Kiani | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (7 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Paige Stoermer | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Patia Stephens | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Pravin Prakash | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Rachael Vaughn | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2020 | Ross Kelley | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Sarah Morrison | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Shanna Koenig | \$ | - | \$ | 40.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Sharon Choe | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/30/2020 | Sheila Chinichian | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Sienna Helena Parker | \$ | - | \$ | 5.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Susan Sumida | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Susannah Tepatti | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Twilio | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/30/2020 | Victoria Novikova | \$ | - | \$ | 30.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/29/2020 | Tracy Chapman | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Tania Lee and Brianna Lee | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/29/2020 | The Bushichols Fund | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Goldberger-Barnett Donor Advised Fund | \$ | 4,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Olagappan Manickam | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Helen Zhang | \$ | 750.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/29/2020 | Stanley Mandell | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Gianluca Franzese | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Debra E. Marchi | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Natalee McIntyre | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Joaquin N. Torres | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Jonathan Ferrugia | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Wee-Yong Ong | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | James Thomas Conte | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Isaac Brodsky | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Eliot Kent-Uritam | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Iris S. Johnson-Edlund/Robin E. McNally | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/29/2020 | Wendy Rothenberg | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/29/2020 | Alyssa Saquilayan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/29/2020 | Gaye Beceren | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/29/2020 | Steve Pepple | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^54]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 4/29/2020 | David Heflin | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/29/2020 | Joyce A. Calagos | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/29/2020 | Slack Corp. | \$ | - | \$ | 100,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/28/2020 | Gong.io | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/28/2020 | Lauren Poole and Charles Masten | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/28/2020 | Timothy C. Dunn | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/28/2020 | Helen Bai | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/28/2020 | Annette M. Lai | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/28/2020 | Andrea Aranda | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Francoise Herrmann | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/27/2020 | Julie Kalter | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Pete Warden | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Jane Lang | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Carole E. Deitrich | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/27/2020 | Brian Streiffer and Stefanie Eldred | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Julie E. Taylor | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | David Kaskowitz and Susan Kahn | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Ann and Kwan Chen | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Cotton the First Shirtmaker | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | The Fernberg Family | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Owenmark Family | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Phil | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Andrea Nickerson | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Matthew C. Miller | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/27/2020 | Sarquis Mejia Family | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | Request for city permit |
| 4/27/2020 | Pilates in Common Cooperative, Inc. | \$ | 187.95 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/27/2020 | Mia Risher | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Bruce Bowden Johnson | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Haruko Hata | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Magdalena R. Blackmer | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/27/2020 | Jean I. Korn | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Kara Maria Art Studio | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/27/2020 | The Hollrah Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Kylee Lessard | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Contact information is not publicly available provided by donor. |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Nila Bogue Staudt | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Jolie Gines | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Liana Y. Szeto | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Robert Lim and Elaine Khoong | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Geoffrey Bauman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Siu Ling Chen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Chris Emanuel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Esther Landau and Caroline Pincus | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Rachel I. Mozesson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Jeffrey Nigh | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 4/27/2020 | Mary A. Garcia Tejeda | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Adra Upadhyaya | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Peter Leaf | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Evelyn Kelsey | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Joanne Wong | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Florabel Flavio | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Laney Whitcanack | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Meredith N. Derecho | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Sarah Ta | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Sara Zak | \$ | 27.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Bernadette C. Tyler | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Mario Lanao | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Emily Fong | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/27/2020 | Janis Greenspan | \$ | 19.94 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/27/2020 | Pacific Gas \& Electric Company | \$ | - | \$ | 15,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/24/2020 | Tailors' Keep | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/24/2020 | Ivy Ngo | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/24/2020 | The Schmidt Family | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^55]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 4/24/2020 | Jeanine Nicholson | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/24/2020 | Rebecca Gaynor | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/24/2020 | Bruce H. Agid | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/24/2020 | Ed Pascucci | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/24/2020 | Ko Ko Zin and Laura Lynn | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/24/2020 | Bettie Holaday | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/24/2020 | The Jacobson Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/24/2020 | Nancy Y. Lui | \$ | 99.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/24/2020 | Robert Reinhard | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/24/2020 | Pamela Rockwell | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/24/2020 | William R. Conrad | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/24/2020 | Impact Assets | \$ | - | \$ | 10,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Hamid and Christina Moghadam | \$ | 150,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 4/23/2020 | Anonymous Through Jewish Communal Fund | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Excel Plumbing Supply | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | Airport contract, grant, or lease |
| 4/23/2020 | Wil Curiel | \$ | 1,681.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Jonathan Lai | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Bo Meng | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Simmonds \& Narita LLP | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/23/2020 | Sandra Laferrera | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Kathleen Sasso | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Tom and Alice Pulliam | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/23/2020 | Judith M. Coulter | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Gina M. Castro | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Hillary A. Ronen | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Dhruv Maheshwari | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Lovgreen's | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | The Garward Family | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Annie Palmer and Will Georgantas | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Lauren M. Harriman | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Akiyo Kinst-Hori | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Heorhi Fedchanka | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Ganesh Seshan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Maeve Metzger | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Neil David Byres | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Dilara T. Mehmed | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Valerie Aubel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Sara Spengler | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Lisa Ligon | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Cynthia Guynn | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Shabnam Dadkhah | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Howard and Missy Isaacson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Jared Brown | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Serra C. Akgun | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Peter Woods | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Lionel E. Trufant | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Karlyn Tjaden | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Dr. Carolyn Scott | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Susan Livingood | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Meiko S. Simada | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Robert Morrison | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | The Sprague's | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Randy M. Girer | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Clay and Dagmar Crichton | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Elizabeth Totten | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Aditya Chakraborty | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Christine Chudd | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Suk P. Kwan | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | James Jude, Jr. | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Hoang Cuong | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/23/2020 | Samuel K. Wilson | \$ | 10.00 | \$ |  | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^56]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | hrough oundation | Status | Response |
| 4/23/2020 | Bank of America, Charitable Foundation | \$ | - | \$ | 200,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/23/2020 | Comcast | \$ | - | \$ | 25,000.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/22/2020 | Shivshakti Foundation | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Sheryl Evans Davis | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Daniel Adams | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Naomi Kelly | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Josh Mukhopadhyay | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Lanedin Robbins | \$ | 440.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/22/2020 | Infinity Services, LLC | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/22/2020 | Josh Taylor | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Manaswini Garimella | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | John Robin Orme | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Aaron G. Calhoun | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Bruce Seidel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/22/2020 | Chloe Hill | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Julie L. Campioni | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Kevin Frank and Abby Ramsden | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/22/2020 | Chloe Hill | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/21/2020 | Cathy Hong | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/21/2020 | Guozi Dai | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/21/2020 | David W. Dumais | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/21/2020 | Rupesh D. Chavan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/21/2020 | Taylor Tromburg | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/21/2020 | Kristin Leung | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/21/2020 | Madeline Bredouw | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/21/2020 | Sean Elsbernd | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | City employee |
| 4/20/2020 | Moldaw Family | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Claire Solot and Sinjin Bain, Bigglesworth Family Foundation | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Jennifer Braun | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 4/20/2020 | Charlie Osborne | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/20/2020 | William A. V. McRae | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/20/2020 | Scott Levokove | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/20/2020 | True Sake | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Laura D. Straus | \$ | 900.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Borenstein - Strauss Family Fund | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Nartker Family | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Paul and DeAnn Work | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Xiomara Holsworth | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Cotton the First Shirtmaker | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Joseph M. McCune III/Karen Kaye Smith-McCune | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Kelle Pedro | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Fred Holub and Geoff McNally | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/20/2020 | Volley | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/20/2020 | John Robert Bernhelm | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Madhavi Maheshwari | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Roxanne Brittain | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Isha and Rishabh Jain | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Christine Tran | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Mason Scott | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Nathaniel Fruchter | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/20/2020 | Raymond Chan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | John E. Robinson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Kiranmayee Suryadevara | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Matthew Waters | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Laura E. Sanman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Arjan Schutte | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Candice Wold | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Caroline A. Cooper | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Volley | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/20/2020 | Michael A. Barnett | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^57]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 4/20/2020 | Andrea Cathcart | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Priscilla Tov | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | D'Anne Duncan | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Katherine Lam | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Katherine Wang | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Lei Xiang | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Vikram Mohan | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Osorno Family | \$ | 34.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Alex Kuo | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Richard A. Johnson | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Jeremy Gonzales | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Michael Gleeson | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Dropbox - Matching Gift Program (10 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 1,700.00 | Controller's Office is coordinating donor financial interest disclosure requests with SF Foundation. |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Allison Vendt | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Katie Hart | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Aaron Passey | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Anonymous Through The Benevity Community Impact Fund - Matching Gift Program (2 Donations) | \$ | - | \$ | 350.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/20/2020 | Jessica McKenna | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/17/2020 | Mapbox | \$ | 4,400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/17/2020 | John J. Beam | \$ | 2,400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/17/2020 | ZS Associates, Inc. | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/17/2020 | Malena Spar | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/17/2020 | Hanqing Huang | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/17/2020 | Adanya Lustig | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/17/2020 | Catherine Geewax | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/17/2020 | Piper Lewis | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/17/2020 | Jaren Bonillo | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/17/2020 | Soneri Chaturvedi | \$ | 70.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/17/2020 | Erin Bailey | \$ | 52.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/17/2020 | Craig McFadden | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/17/2020 | Roman Martinez | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/17/2020 | Cinta Lewis | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/16/2020 | Hercules Capital | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | John \& Marcia Goldman Foundation | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Cota Capital | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Mikhal and Ron Bouganim | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/16/2020 | Neeta Sahadev | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Raghavendra Sundresh | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Isabelle Boin | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Swanson Family | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Mapel-Lee Family | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | James Lovette-Black | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/16/2020 | Hanqing Huang | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Megan Willson | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Darren Olson | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Asma Stephan | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Onur Burak Yildirim | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/16/2020 | James Wilsterman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/16/2020 | Sean Sorrell | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/16/2020 | Jeremy Gordon Frisch | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/16/2020 | Gabriel A. Mantegna | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/16/2020 | David A. Petzold | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/16/2020 | Emily Bussiere | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/16/2020 | Courtny L. Dolan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/16/2020 | Steele and Marsha Davidoff | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/16/2020 | Bethany L. Taylor | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/16/2020 | Ricky A. Yee | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/16/2020 | David L. Klein, Jr. Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 3,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Friedman/Meyer Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 5,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Emma Fisher c/o Hirsch \& Associates | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^58]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through Foundation | Status | Response |
| 4/16/2020 | Linkedln For Good | \$ | - | \$ | 100,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/15/2020 | Josh Hannah and Denise Yamamoto | \$ | 7,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/15/2020 | Mukesh Agrawal | \$ | 1,300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/15/2020 | Rigney Turnham | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/15/2020 | Cotton the First Shirtmaker | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/15/2020 | Theodore J. Kwong | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/15/2020 | The Salem Family | \$ | 108.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/15/2020 | Daniel Ng and Gemma Gaisano | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/15/2020 | Teresa Jones | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/15/2020 | Aaron Rabideau | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/15/2020 | Finkle Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/15/2020 | Willy A. Saldana | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/15/2020 | Derek Dong | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/15/2020 | Puja Ramani | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/15/2020 | Lara D'Emilio | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/15/2020 | Jason C. Wong | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/15/2020 | Andrew M. Chen | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/15/2020 | Brian H. Lee | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/14/2020 | Robert Deel | \$ | 1,130.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/14/2020 | Reiri Sono | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/14/2020 | Catherine Reilly | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/14/2020 | John Foley | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/14/2020 | Tom Scharffenberger and Vicky Simonds | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/14/2020 | Colin McKeehan | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/14/2020 | Mark A. White | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/14/2020 | Matthew Cooper | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/14/2020 | John W. Crittenden | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/14/2020 | Jiajun Zhu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/14/2020 | Valerie Law | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/14/2020 | Tailors Keep | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/14/2020 | Kyle and Tracy Voght Charitable Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 50,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Salesforce.com | \$ | 1,500,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in September 2020. | City contract, grant, or lease |
| 4/13/2020 | Gerson Bakar Foundation | \$ | 1,000,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Jeffrey Gordon Lawson and Erica Freeman Lawson | \$ | 500,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Lisa Stone Pritzker Family Foundation | \$ | 100,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | Request for Department of Building Inspection permit |
| 4/13/2020 | John C. Clifford and Katrina M. Lake | \$ | 25,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Joshua A. Lippman and Lauren Lippman | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Meridee Moore and Kevin King | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | The Richard and Nancy Blum Fund | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Bob and Daphne Bransten | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Josina Reddy | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Tyler Sonnemaker | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Yingzhuo Zhao | \$ | 900.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Sarah Charlton \& Andrew Braithwaite Charitable Fund | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Miranda Dietz | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Kristin L. Anundsen (IRA) WFCS as Custodian | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 4/13/2020 | Jacqueline Lesage | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Regarding Art/San Francisco | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Leigh Kloss | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Jeanne Zara Lim | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Larry V. Pulliam and Ivan L. Hodgson | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/13/2020 | Regina O'Shaughnessy | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Pilates In Common Cooperative, Inc. | \$ | 178.80 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/13/2020 | Tami Bryant for Democratic County Central Committee 2020 FPPC \#1423709 | \$ | 123.72 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |

[^59]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | hrough <br> oundation | Status | Response |
| 4/13/2020 | Aditi Maheshwari | \$ | 101.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Isaac Brodsky | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Brandon R. Wirakesuma | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Barbara L. Jue | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 4/13/2020 | Taylor Carroll | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Christopher Wittman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Xiaxing Li | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Michelle Tallin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Paige S. Rossi | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Mio Nitta | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Natalie Olin | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Siddharth Mandava | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Lila W. Tyler | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Yun Zhao | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Emiko Oye | \$ | 43.49 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Sara A Spencer | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | L. Sabau | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | John C. Carrillo | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Marielle Price | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Anna McBee | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Sukanda O'Donnell | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Mable Woo | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Anthony Daniell | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/13/2020 | Wagmore Benevolent IV Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 750.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | Ray and Dagmar Dolby | \$ | 100,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | Reality San Francisco Church | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | GIC Real Estate, Inc. | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/10/2020 | Jon Ying | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | Jeffrey Tumlin | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | City employee |
| 4/10/2020 | Meghan Guerin | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | Yvonne Young | \$ | 800.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | Lisa and Jeffrey Lin | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | Mike D. Ikeda | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | Mark A. Rathbun | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | Legacy Maker LLC | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | Kristie Kooken | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | Debra Guskin | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/10/2020 | Maryann Hulsman | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/10/2020 | Erin W. Tou | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/10/2020 | Waymo, LLC | \$ | - | \$ | 50,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/9/2020 | The Green Cross | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/9/2020 | Patrick Tam | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/9/2020 | Stephen Reichling and Paul Armer | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/9/2020 | Alice Yen and Garrett Edel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/9/2020 | Elizabeth Harrington | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/9/2020 | Archangel Pangan | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/9/2020 | Lori Mason | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/9/2020 | Sara R. Corrigan | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/9/2020 | Anonymous | \$ | - | \$ | 5,000.00 | Contact information is not publicly available or not provided by donor. |  |
| 4/9/2020 | Nion T. McEvoy, Sr. | \$ | - | \$ | 100,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/9/2020 | Eileen and Peter Michael Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 15,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/8/2020 | D. Wilsey Properties Co. | \$ | 111,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/8/2020 | Elizabeth F. Smith | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/8/2020 | Samantha and Steven Shows | \$ | 800.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/8/2020 | Cesar D. Iraheta | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/8/2020 | Chia-Tsung Chou | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/8/2020 | Chris and Melissa Retajczyk | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/8/2020 | Connor Cimowsky | \$ | 162.65 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/8/2020 | Addison Johnson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/8/2020 | Cynthia Rancatore | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/8/2020 | Adam L. Spector | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/8/2020 | Boramy Khloth | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/8/2020 | Jane Gong | \$ | 10.00 | \$ |  | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  |  | Status | Response |
| 4/7/2020 | Lendlease Development | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/7/2020 | The Gardner Family | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/7/2020 | Lori Yamauchi and James Fagler | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/7/2020 | Jarie Bolander | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/7/2020 | Patel Family | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/7/2020 | Amelia May Teng Wong | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/7/2020 | Pierre-Eric Jacoupy | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/7/2020 | Marc Haeberlin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/7/2020 | Charles and Nancy Ho | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/7/2020 | Sarah P. Delaney | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/7/2020 | Melissa Nelli | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/7/2020 | Dagang Wei | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/7/2020 | Lashanda Greene | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/7/2020 | Rebecca Brown | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/7/2020 | Rajvi Joshi | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/7/2020 | Juliana Appenrodt | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Uskglass Fund | \$ | 150,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Silicon Valley Community Foundation | \$ | 100,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | John Pritzker Family Fund | \$ | 100,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 4/6/2020 | The Newman-Montella Charitable Gift Fund | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Goldberger-Barnett Donor Advised Fund | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Teresa Goebel | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Jeff Karas and Josephine Fong | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Chun Yang Fund | \$ | 700.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Fidelity Charitable | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Smith Family | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Elizabeth Kondor, Jim Cole | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/6/2020 | Carole E. Deitrich | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/6/2020 | Phoebe Signer | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/6/2020 | Dennis Sell | \$ | 315.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/6/2020 | Suzanne S. Choi/Mimi M. K. Choi | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Theodore Lamm | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/6/2020 | Charles Z. Mooney | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Tal Shprecher | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Carson Rickey | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Jonathan S. Chan | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Michele C. Jackson | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/6/2020 | Sangha C. Han | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/6/2020 | Li Sun | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | David Goldbrenner | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Anand Ramesh | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/6/2020 | Stefanie Arthur | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Julia Lee | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/6/2020 | Michael Xing | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Angeline M. Miranda | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Aimee Pierce | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Aditya Kota | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Roselee Greenholtz | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/6/2020 | Carly Webster | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Carolyn Wong | \$ | 118.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Sam Stokes | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Tak M. Poon | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Ronan Murphy | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | John G. Zlatunich | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Wayne Lee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Frederick Chatfield | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Ruth C. Dimagmaliw | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Lisa He | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Michelle Tallin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Melissa Woo | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Steven H. Fowler | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Hannah L. Byers-Straus | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 4/6/2020 | Rene Venegas | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Karen Reyna | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Guojian He | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Guojian He | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Brian Ayuban | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Michael Frankenfield | \$ | 3.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/6/2020 | Kyle and Tracy Voght Charitable Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 111,682.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | The Stupski Foundation | \$ | - | \$ | 500,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/3/2020 | Chung Yan Lo | \$ | 6,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/3/2020 | Jeffrey Hilnbrand | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/3/2020 | Nebiyu D. Tegegn | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/3/2020 | Edith N. Williams | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/3/2020 | Larry Rosenstein | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/3/2020 | Tonya M. Grootendorst | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/3/2020 | Juan Bosco Albanell Flores | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/3/2020 | Inder Narula | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/3/2020 | Manolito L. Claudel | \$ | 130.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/3/2020 | Suzanne Mero | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/3/2020 | Tomoya Ogura | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/3/2020 | Brennan J. Hom | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/3/2020 | Jane Petersen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/3/2020 | Jaclyn Karpiak | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/3/2020 | Lisa J. Lightman | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/3/2020 | Matt Wright and Kristen Espinosa | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/3/2020 | Mara Ezekiel | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/3/2020 | Cinta Lewis | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/3/2020 | Aneel Bhusri | \$ | - | \$ | 995,010.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/2/2020 | Jessie Cheng Charitable Foundation | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/2/2020 | Jake Saper and Dannie Herzberg | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/2/2020 | Scott Mauvais | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/2/2020 | Steve and Dianne Leonoudakis | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/2/2020 | Karlo Berket | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/2/2020 | Thomas Carabajal | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/2/2020 | Chloe Agape | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/2/2020 | Du Yun | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/2/2020 | Colleen Boddy | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/2/2020 | Evonne Chen | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/2/2020 | Norman Schlossberg | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/2/2020 | Alice T. Rogers | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/2/2020 | Chen Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/2/2020 | Marco Bianchi | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/2/2020 | Tiffany Wong | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/2/2020 | IREX Iraq Program Team | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/2/2020 | Lillian Bui | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/2/2020 | Tiffany Lee | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/2/2020 | Kara Gillis | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/2/2020 | Jordan Jewell | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/2/2020 | Pincus Family Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 100,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/2/2020 | Kan Family Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 50,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Rebecca S. Draper Fund | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in April 2021. | No |
| 4/1/2020 | Robin and Chris Donohoe | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 4/1/2020 | Grammarly, Inc. | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 4/1/2020 | Berkshire Partners | \$ | 58,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Irene Zhou | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Evan Fried | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Bruce Agid | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Elliot Kendall | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Lillian Tsay | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Amy Hall | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Tianxuan Chen | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | The Andersons | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Joshua Taylor | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Chih Yi Hsieh | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^60]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | ugh dation | Status | Response |
| 4/1/2020 | Gregory Klasuner | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Cecilie Wrye | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | Camelin Blackstone | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/1/2020 | Laurel Bailey | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/1/2020 | Alison S. Lycette | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/1/2020 | Angela Huang | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 4/1/2020 | Anthony Daniell | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Ann and Gordon Getty | \$ | 1,000,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/31/2020 | Robert Li | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/31/2020 | Buried Signal, LLC | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/31/2020 | The Burkhart-Fitzgerald Family | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/31/2020 | Kristiina Kansen | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/31/2020 | Laurie Bouck | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/31/2020 | Elizabeth I. Powers | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/31/2020 | Elizabeth N. Rosseter | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/31/2020 | Lay Tshu Tan | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/31/2020 | Ava C. Yap | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/31/2020 | Shane Dewael | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Anna Mei-Hsiu, Chien | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Qin M. Liang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Neal P. Mhaskar | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Sophie Hwang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Diane Turner | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Alexander White | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Sarah Cirone | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Michelle Ann Taylor | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Zoe Camille McBride | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Roger O. Hernandez | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Rahul Patel | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Cinta Lewis | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/31/2020 | Pablo Vargas | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | The Systrom Charitable Trust | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Okelola | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Timothee Geoghegan | \$ | 3,580.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Charlie Stigler | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Ben Zotto | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Dave Piazza and Dakota Chase | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Caven Family | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Zhize Wang | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | William Koury | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Jessica Finkel | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Rani Manoharan | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Jay Anderson | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Damon Uyeda | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Schwab Charitable | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Alexander Family Fund | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Kalyani Girvanesh | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Susan Baker-Lehne | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Rik Williams | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Susan Karp and Paul Haahr | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Amy D. Lu | \$ | 350.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Michael Chen | \$ | 347.28 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Ellen Wang | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Anthony D. Truong | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Shubham Naik | \$ | 284.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Richard Avendano | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Laura Tessin and Matthias Plappert | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Stacey Harte | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Blake, Rachael, and Rose Davidoff | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Siyao Zhu | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Vardhman Jain | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Mike Berkowitz and Debbie Tuttle | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Joseph M. Imbriani | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Amy T. Herbertson | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^61]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/30/2020 | Ayushi Samaddar | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Consuelo Spalding | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Shanna Wagnor | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Nancy McCormick | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Bo Meng | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Leon Parker | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Janet Y. Spears and Rae M. Laguna | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Jimmy Hsu | \$ | 142.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Pilates In Common Cooperative, Inc. | \$ | 135.15 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | The House of Gatto Revocable Trust JJ Bergovoy Trustee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Kathleen Damore | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Jennifer D. Ng | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Laura Humbrecht | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Victor Ronin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Christopher S. Rossi | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Jenna Theisen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Michelle Fishberg and Vu Nguyen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Loretta Jones | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Ian Whelan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Cindy Muzio | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Sheli Chabon | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Matthew S. Dietz | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | The Baizels | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Janet T. Oyama | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Shirley Mei | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Robert C. Long III | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Vania Fong | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Sarah S. Kaplan | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in January 2021. | Response pending |
| 3/30/2020 | Rachel Pia D'Agostino | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Rosny Daniel | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Even/Odd Films | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Michael Mills | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Aishwarya Borkar | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Kunal Sharma | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Noelle L'Etoile | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Cui Yu Huang | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Hannah Katherine Long | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Ian Luo | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Monica Q. Culanay | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Michael Murray | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Rohan Natraj | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Phillip Kobernick | \$ | 36.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Jonathan S. Abramson | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Richard J. Lawne | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Katie Thomas | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Hung Dinh | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Cinta Lewis | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Gaurav Murade | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Daniel L. Jiang | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Anthony Daniell | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Anthony Daniell | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Drake Piper | \$ | 6.65 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Drake Piper | \$ | 5.86 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Abigail Owens | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Ansley Peduru | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Belinda Yamate | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Brian Calvert | \$ | - | \$ | 1,350.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Bridget Collins | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Carden Bagwell | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Charles Harrington | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Chris Van Dusen | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Daniel Ammann | \$ | - | \$ | 8,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Daniel Edwards | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Daniel Kuljis | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Daniel Tien | \$ | - | \$ | 51.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Eben Freeman | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^62]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/30/2020 | Edmond Jordan | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Elton Loberternos | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Emily Lakritz | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Emmanuel Turlay | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Erica Banh | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Franck Lefebvre | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Ishan Singh | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Jennifer Blight | \$ | - | \$ | 350.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Jennifer Huang | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Jessica Yao | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Jonathon Ryan Gillespie | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Kaitlyn Williams | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Kristy Anne Boyd | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Luke Pulaski | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Manjukumar Harthikote Matha | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/30/2020 | Marko Kudjerski | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Matthew Anderson | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Max Meyers | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Nandini Arora | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Nicholas Decker | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Nicholas Robinson | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Nolan Finn | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Olivia Tsai | \$ | - | \$ | 20.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Raya Islan | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Rebekah Brandt | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Santiago Vargas Soto | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | The Conway Family Charitable Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 50,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/30/2020 | Sierra Gegenheimer | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Thomas Melanson | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/30/2020 | Yunfei Wang | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/29/2020 | Anjuli Felix | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/29/2020 | Brooks Reed | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/29/2020 | Christopher Phan | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/29/2020 | Michael Rusignola | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/29/2020 | Namrata Rao | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/29/2020 | Nariman Moezzi Madani | \$ | - | \$ | 30.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/29/2020 | Neal Uppal | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/29/2020 | Nicholas Christian | \$ | - | \$ | 15.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/29/2020 | Sneha Sinha | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/29/2020 | Unnikrishnan Nair | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/29/2020 | Victor Oliveira | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/28/2020 | Benjamin Goldstein | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/28/2020 | Charles Matlack | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/28/2020 | Matthew Fornero | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/28/2020 | Sean Harris | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/28/2020 | Sean Harris | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/28/2020 | Sheila Egan | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Peter Kinmond | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Dan Evans | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Gateway Roofing and Waterproofing, Inc. | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | GRC Roofing, Inc. | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Holly Haraguchi | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Suzanna Khatchatrian | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Anna Merritt and Dean Weesner | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | David and Laura Hecht | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/27/2020 | Alexis Leifheit | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | City employee |
| 3/27/2020 | James King | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/27/2020 | Lawrence S. Lansing | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Jeremy Apthorp | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/27/2020 | Scott M. Sandler | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Cyndi Wheeler | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Tyler and Teresa Herb | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Guohua Zheng | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Fatema Waliji | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Zachary Olson | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Matthew L. Schumpert | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^63]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/27/2020 | Alisa Diane Calvillo | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Kristie Lee and Louis Dudley | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | James Laureys | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Rick H. N. Curvers | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Mallory P. Brown | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Tina and Oliver Burgelman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Melanie Pratt | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Kirill Zhukov | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Scotty Huhn | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Jesse Bounds | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Jennifer Murse | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Daniel Malmkvist | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Guohua Zheng | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | William Summer | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Veronica Abreu | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Ashley Harvey | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Yanna Tong | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Dimple Kapadia | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Mitchell Averett Harris | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Leon Zhang | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Andrea Santwier | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Devin Cass | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Elizabeth Sellier | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Eugene Wong | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Jessica Yao | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/27/2020 | Violet World Foundation | \$ | - | \$ | 5,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Shitao Zheng | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Franklyn O. Bakala | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Jason Maynard | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Jorge A. Lopez | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Sarah Israel | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Lauren Slack | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Shannon Wells | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/26/2020 | Dan Davies | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Kari Nordvik | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Bryan Lee | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Yvonne Yau | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Yannru Cheng | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Louis R. Acresti | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Linda Pham | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Natalia Mendez Cortes | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Maryellen McGillan | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | KaiCheng Chang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Diana Hsu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Diane L. Huang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Alison Stosich | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Charles Olson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Hilary Hsu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Irene Yee Riley | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Jamila Keba | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Hannah B. Gordon | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Karen Goldenberg | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Dana Riess | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Rengasudharsan Srinivasan | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Franklin Yam Ching | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Charla Kaul | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Brendan O'Hare | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Crankstart Foundation | \$ | - | \$ | 500,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Griffin Childers | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Hellman Foundation | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/26/2020 | Hon Kwok | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Justin DeCell | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Karen Sun | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^64]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/26/2020 | Olivia Isaac | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Shashwat Kandadai | \$ | - | \$ | 10,000.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/26/2020 | Tom Raith | \$ | - | \$ | 20.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Vinitha Suresh | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/26/2020 | Walter Gray | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Colin Denman | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Margaret and Henry Brodkin | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Kirsti Aho | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Rachit Nandwani and Priyanka Singh | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Ezra M. Rufino | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Sriram Krishnan | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Sanjay Mani | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Andrew Chan and Yena Kim | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/25/2020 | Josephine Simon | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Peter Straus and Lili Byers | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/25/2020 | George and MeiLuh Lee | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/25/2020 | David Kennedy | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Carolyn Tom and E. Matta, Jr. | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Wai Chung Wong | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Junan and Shanna Pang | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Saurabh Sahni | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Vaish Srivathsan | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Brian O'Hearn | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Andrew Branscomb | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/25/2020 | Michael McKeon | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | James W. Pace | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/25/2020 | Simon Tan | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/25/2020 | Kaitlyn Fowler | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Zack Morris | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | William L. Rohrer | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Patrick J. Maley | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Saining Li | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Vamshi Krishna Repala | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Sarah J. Aerni | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Ashlee Kirsten Tsukushi | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Holly J. Allen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Richard W. Bailey III | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Slack for Good | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Fan Tielking | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Hailey C. Teton | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Brad Girardeau | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Shalini Shashi Kumar Shankar | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Richard L. Ledon | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Bradley Zundel | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Pin-Ya Tseng | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Steven Kasapi | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/25/2020 | Olivia Malterre | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Anna Schomer | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Paula Gerhardt | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Liz Marxen | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Albert Lee | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Rita Hao | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Elizabeth A. Carey | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Sara Dermody | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Nola Ong | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Adriana Rosas | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Alexandri Zavodny | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/25/2020 | Amber Illig | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Andrew Acosta | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Anup Parameswaren | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Cody Neil | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Craig Callihan | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Eileen Bai | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^65]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/25/2020 | Erik Danko | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Erin Antcliffe | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Fen Chen | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/25/2020 | Feng Zhong | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Karine Mule | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Kelsey Abdollahian | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Luke Pulaski | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Mahesh Seetharaman | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Marie Ledger | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Matthieu Fond | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Meet Bhagde | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Michael Pierce | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Michael Plotz | \$ | - | \$ | 360.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Moshe Ororn | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Rafael Quiroz | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Raluca Musaloiu-Elefteri | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Robert Grant | \$ | - | \$ | 1,200.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/25/2020 | Sahil Narang | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Shakti Shah | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Stephanie Box | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Taylor Thomas | \$ | - | \$ | 10.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/25/2020 | Thomas Boyd | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Wyatt Alt | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Zhichang Yan | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Kristine Boyden and Scott Taylor | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Corey Block | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Brian J. Tarricone | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Hala K. Hijazi | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Martin MacKerel | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/24/2020 | Jeffrey C. Kuo | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Github Social Impact Team | \$ | 800.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Ben Villagra | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Anand Ramesh | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/24/2020 | Berthoux Family | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Irene Lee | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Richard and Phillip Gonzalez | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Alice Liu | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Deirdre Hussey | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Susanna Goldenstein | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Andreas Pedersen | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/24/2020 | Lauren Tulp | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Marion Holaday | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Anna Brown | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Carol S. Michely | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Sherry J. Wickwire | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Mary Rose Costello | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Yu Chen Hou | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Matt Grigoryan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Asdrubal Ibarra | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Veena Bontu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Crystal Dolis | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Shirley Li | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Steven Kaplan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Karlyn Tjaden | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Brian P. Marentay | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Colleen Regan | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Laura Spaventa | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Pranay Suresh | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Elizabeth Ramirez | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Khariza Estacio | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Alexander Venegas | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Amanda Jacob | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Amy Kepler | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Anant Rathi | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |

${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/24/2020 | Bruce Botsford | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Divya Thakur | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/24/2020 | Matthew Goudeau | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Peter Martin | \$ | - | \$ | 25.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Rachelle Celebrezze | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Savannah Leggett | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Shahram Rezaei | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Sue-Ling Huynh | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Tristan Zier | \$ | - | \$ | 250.00 | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/24/2020 | Tyalor Cunnington | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Tyler Tate | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/24/2020 | Wenbing Bai | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Schwab Charitable | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Ross A. Boucher Trust - Ross Aaron Boucher Trustee | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Sixth Street Partners | \$ | 25,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in July 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Nicholas C. Fox | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Degree, Inc. dba Lattice | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Will and Julie Parish | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Ben, Ruby, and Jasper Shaw, and Suzanne Thomas | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Matthew G. Crocker | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Andrew Timmons | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Gibson B. Biddle and Kristen M. Hege | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Michael Gold/Susan West | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | David A. Herman | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Desiye Neil Collier | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in August 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Philip J. Rose | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Julie Trescott | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Fidelity Charitable | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Brandon Schwartz | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Liam Doyle | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Emma L. Dill | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Adam J. deSouza | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Walter A. Haas | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Killian Murphy and Elizabeth Drew | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | The Frances Ho and George Yu Endowment | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Long Tang and Kian Lim | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Sarah E. Scussel | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Alvin Baum and Robert Holgate | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Kamal Benkiran | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Pacini Family | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Andrew G. Scott | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Erika Brown Ekiel | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Andrew Larsen | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Steve Cary and Sean McBride | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Kevin Burke | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | James C. Moschou | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Steven and Kay Sheh | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Mindy Ginsburg | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Andrea Freedman | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Raymond P. Hoehn, Jr. | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Shannon N. Bennett/Durrell D. Kapan | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Barbara Benjamin | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | David Wohlreich | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | S. Leslie Goldstein | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Douglas Coker | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Eric C. Schwartz | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Elizabeth and Jinal Surti | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Jana Messerschmidt | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Jennifer L. Scheidt/James D. Gold | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in July 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Erin Laye | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Audrey K. Tang | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Erin Lane | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^66]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/23/2020 | Stephan Zuercher | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Linda Lin | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | The Hartsell Family | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Julia Lee | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Bo Meng | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Fenny Lin | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Ellen Kort Price | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Michael Panoff | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Evelyn Killaby | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Glenn Thomas | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Drew Schuster and Krista Forgey | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Jane A. Sherman | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Jessica Fain | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Lori Dietrich | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Sascha Benjamin Cohen | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Sumeet and Preeyanka Ajmani | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in June 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Swathi Bonda | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Jacquelyn M. Horton | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Crystal Le | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Connie Rawson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Sara Winslow | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 3/23/2020 | Robert Combier | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Sabrine Rekik | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Sarah Locke-Henderson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Christopher Aycock | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Kelsey Villalobos | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Maria Pasos-Nunez and Richard Nunez | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Haoyang Zhu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Noah Levin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Troxel Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Nick and Shannon O'Shea | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Sean Sorrell | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/23/2020 | Ryan Lanteigne | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Andrew J. Seigner | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | London Lee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Ji Young Lee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | McAuliffe Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Kelly K. Pagano | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Erik E. Rotman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Jacqueline O'Dwyer | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Kameela Din | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Sarah Saltrick Meyer | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Joshua A. Stubbs | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Naman Agrawal | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Caia Brookes | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Christopher M. Wade | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Danny S. Gonzalez | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Peter L. Scott | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Jennifer Liu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Ying He | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Erica J. Steimetz | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Patrick Lee | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Gregory S. Borman | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Shalin Modi | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Kristin Rhodes | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Vishal Singal | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Rachel Baker | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Adam Greenberg | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Vishal Seshagiri | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Lauren Sassoubre | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Drift Enterprise CSMs | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Denise Allen | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^67]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/23/2020 | Sania Baqai | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Courtney Anne Bell | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Angela Avera | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Sanjana Ramana | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Family Suendermann-Oeft | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Randi Slaughter-Broussal | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Philipp Kuecuekyan | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Eugenia Lee | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Elzbieta Gibbons | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Selina B. Wang | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | The Harper Family | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Joan Gamell | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Tiffany Hsu | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Jordon Wing | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Matt Lewis | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Sheila D. Gunter | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Anthony Daniell | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Aish Raj Dahal | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Heidi R. Hamilton | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Ilona Smuk | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Albert Q. Pham | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Albert Yang | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Anvesh Kunati | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Arkadeb Ghosal | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Ashley Sams | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Cassandra Clark | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Daniel Tien | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | David Rubin | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Eric Lujan | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Ian Swarbrick | \$ | - | \$ | 300.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Jonathan Tang | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Kenneth Fendick | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Kevin Keogh | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Kevin Metti | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Louis Roseguo | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Preston-Werner Foundation | \$ | - | \$ | 250,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Ramachandran Jagadeeswaran | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Rishab Sareen | \$ | - | \$ | 50.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Robert Ussery | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Roman Sergeev | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Spencer Hoffman | \$ | - | \$ | 150.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Stefanie Von Guten | \$ | - | \$ | 75.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Stephen Staffieri | \$ | - | \$ | 200.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Syed Hussain | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/23/2020 | Wilbur Arajuo | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/23/2020 | Yi-Yu Chen | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Thomas M. Gloger | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Epic Church San Francisco | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Shawn Grunberger and Debbie Kahn | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Benjamin Shulman | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Riddhi Shah | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Gaurav Gollerkeri | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Colin Beighley | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Kyle Petrovich | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Amanda Eller | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Maliha Khan and Alan Cordova | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Patricia Perozo | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Claire Markham | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Amy Jiang | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Randall Maycock | \$ | 180.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Bingxin Zhang | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Kamryn Claridge | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Sean M. Kane | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^68]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/20/2020 | Sylvia Irene Sroba | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | The Schnouies | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Neha Batra | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Sharon Coone | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Neil House III | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Diana Tsao | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Ruud Visser | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Volley Inc. | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Eric Chun | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Nancy Pan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Vaishali K. Mullapudi | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Andrew Scarani | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Chloe Aftel | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Michael Young | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Christopher Shewchuck | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Emily R. McNab | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Samuel and Rebecca Garfield | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Elizabeth Reid | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Jean Dere | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Liezel Cruz Family | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Catherine Jue | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Jue Zou | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Rafaela de Oliveira | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Valerie Stevens | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Ralph Richart | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Alyssa M Lim | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Matt Zwicky | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/20/2020 | Jeannine Fleck | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | John Stedman | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Michael Rolig | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/19/2020 | Snuller and Erika Price | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Jordan Rose | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Leila M. Dwight | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/19/2020 | Hayley N. Gross | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Stewart Mandel | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Mark F. Taylor | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Doug and Ellen Kahn | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Justin Durack | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/19/2020 | Wey Family | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Sangmin Lee | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/19/2020 | Alexandra Wong | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Guannan Shi | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Kirsten and Chris Byron | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/19/2020 | Ben Neumann | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Nana Kofi K. Ohene-Adu | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Melanie Day | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Peter L. Vliet | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/19/2020 | Joanna Ga Wah Gee | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Michael Holmes | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/19/2020 | Vanessa Ginman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Maria S. Chang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Cameron J. Lencki | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Colleen Chung | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Grace Sakoda | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Cory Bennett | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Kristan Frankel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Sonia Lawrence | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Carl Stein | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 3/19/2020 | Menaka Fernando | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Amy Chen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Emily McLinden | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Christiana Lackner | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Zach Thigpen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^69]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/19/2020 | Alice Zheng | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Eric Chong | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Liam Pedersen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Sheila Vergara and Elkin Chacon | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Susan J. Adams | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Rodrigo Manubens | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Katherine Lohec | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Ruth Sappelt | \$ | 99.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Alexander Kumamoto | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Louis M. Goudeaui | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Samantha Harrington | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Corey Vernon | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Monique Gannon | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Da-Yup Kim | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | April Gaudette | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Natasha Dimond | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Christina Pluta | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Cheryl Contee | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/19/2020 | Annemaria Breaux | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Team Elbogen | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Rica Santos | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | John E. Robinson | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Craig and Lorraine Mautner | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/18/2020 | The Hatfield Family | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/18/2020 | Paul Mandel | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Caption Health | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Michael Zhang | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Sarah Chan | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Lisa Lin | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Nira Pandya and Neel lyer | \$ | 350.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Schulkin Family | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/18/2020 | Aileen Appe | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/18/2020 | Patty Kong and Philippe Fossier | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/18/2020 | Vivien Nguyen | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/18/2020 | Elliot Kendall | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Charlotte and Tyler Will | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Jonathan Eldridge | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/18/2020 | Ana Gardea and Zac Cox | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Cynthia and Adi Berglez | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Atrejo Patridge | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/18/2020 | Swaroop Jagadish | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Jaclyn H. Prange | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Gary and Linda Zellerbach | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/18/2020 | Philip Chu and Corinna Lee | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Emily Martinez | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Ryan Ryan | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/18/2020 | Elizabeth Hamel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Nicole Appleton | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Won Jun Bae | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Hannah Schlacter | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Tito and Sharon Piansay | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Lindsey Hogg | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Juanjuan Han | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Jennifer A. Plath | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Lara K. Owen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Yejin Kwon | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Sarah Maisel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Jonathan Meade | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Rachel Hill | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/18/2020 | Glennis Coursey | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Joseph and Margaret Ciarallo | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Catherine and Trey House | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Leonore Ralston | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/18/2020 | Luanne Sequeira | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Bill Smullin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Molly Alarcon | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Helen Ung | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Jaosn Goodman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Patrick L. Canfield | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Shantha Condamoor | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Nikrad Mahdi | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Ingfei Chen | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Alexander S. Majercik | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Nicole Glabinski | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Yash Kshirsagar | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Kathryn Garner | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Liz Catalano | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Paul Chu | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Jazmine Applin | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Eric Constantin | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Zachary M. Subin | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Ozge Islegen | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Helen Resor | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Rachel Hsu | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Marissa Phillips | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Pierce Street SF, LLC | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Scott Numamoto | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Jared Erondu | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | David Nolan | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/18/2020 | Nathaniel Stanley | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | The Hansma Family | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/17/2020 | Glynn Capital | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Britt H. Evangelist | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Steven Chang | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Eric Koslow | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Andrew L. Perito | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Evan McCulloch | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Charles Fisher and Chelsea Childs | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Faya Peng | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/17/2020 | Elizabeth Mumm Meier | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Victor Smith | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Kumar Family | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/17/2020 | Kendra Byrne and McLean Echlin | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Aaron Pigeon and Emily Olson | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Sean Engel | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Justyna Janczyszyn | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Sean D. Childers | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Samantha Jane Bennett | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Stacey Baradit | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Kristin Leung | \$ | 270.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Theodore Kwong | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Yoo Hsiu Yeh | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/17/2020 | Colleen McGinnis | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Michel Louis Alexander | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/17/2020 | Marivel Nicolas | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Dennis A. Antenore | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Greg and Stephanie Rewis | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Guillaume Forget and Francisco Rodrigues | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/17/2020 | William E. Reeves | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Paul Supawanich and Catherine Covington | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Sarah Chung | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Andrew Gibiansky | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/17/2020 | Lauran Bradley Johnson | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Pauli Lieponis and Ashley Hodges | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Craig Weibel | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^70]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/17/2020 | Andrew Stanek | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/17/2020 | Danielle Bogaards | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Elizabeth Wang and Thomas Yohannan | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Amanda Morgan | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Akash Mohanty | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Holly Friel | \$ | 175.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Denise E. Lee | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Paul Bien and Karen Nakasato | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Pedro Ortez | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | The Enrights | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Leslie Simon | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Brooke L. Peterson | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Jessica Nolan | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Anna Andresian | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | George Koster | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Long Ouyang | \$ | 101.69 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/17/2020 | Tachtech | \$ | 101.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Bezhou Feng | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | The Lees | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Aaron Lapierre | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Brynne Henn | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Kimberly Johnson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Devon, Amy, and Bennett Wilson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | James and Rosalind Johnson and Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Gulabi Rajasekar | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Kathryn M. Jereza | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Sarah Murphy | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Samara Flug | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Mehmet C. Anbarlilar | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Suhas Deshpande | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Danan Barnett | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Minyoung Chun | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Katherine Meng | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Bianca Buckridee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Christoph Christoph | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Zofia M. Burr | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Elizabeth A. Joyce | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Michael R. Petrick | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Terrence B. Jenkins | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Aashna Mago | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Bronwen Marshall-Bass | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Kendra Wong Morrison | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Leah Jackson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Lucy Dotson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Jennifer Anderson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Faith H. Yi | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Elizabeth Burl | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | David Tsai | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Dale A. Martin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Lyndsay Murrow | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Roberto Lopez and Martha Mellblom | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Rebecca Archer | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Ryan Biggs | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Kristie Kooken | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/17/2020 | Lisa Ratner | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | William Lee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Kathryn Pulaski | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Stuebe Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Wendy Bear | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Sabeena Pradhan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Mara Raider | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^71]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/17/2020 | Zahyaan M. Adnan, Asif M. Adnan, Farhana Tasneem | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Kaleb Tseo | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Cristiano Ceccarelli | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Meredith Johnson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Nirav Nikunj Patel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | The Prodehl Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Joanna Goldin | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Jennifer Suen | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Katherine O'Brien | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Clement Canonne | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Nadine Carole | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Amar Chokshi | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Casey Jung | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Carol R. Langbort | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | May Stearman | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Puthita Wacharasin | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Chester Hitz | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Phuong L. Vu | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Kelly Murphy | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Aamna Dhillon | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Preethi Vijaykrishnan | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Brenna Marketello | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Judith F. Leff | \$ | 36.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Clio A. Korn | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Jordan Rozsa | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Kathryn MacDonald | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Alisha Mowder | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Bethany S. Campos | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Isaac Jacobs-Gomes | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Namrita Singh Mathew | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Jeannine Fleck | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/17/2020 | Ryan Davids | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sahil Shah | \$ | 6,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Frederick B. Zamore | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Justin Wyckoff | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jessica Hilberman and Katrina Reid | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Daniel Byrd | \$ | 2,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Kent and Lauren Goldman | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Alberto Gobbi and Man-Ling Lee | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Fiona Parker-Givens and Rob Hayes | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Wally and Gretchen Cheng | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Melanie Subbiah | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Brian Singerman | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Aestek Consulting | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Moira Burke | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Lucy Farey-Jones | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Matthew Chanoff | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Margaret R. Wrensch | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Christopher Tuttle | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Brett Bukowski | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Mehul Kar | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | John F. Moroney | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Catherine Izard | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Tony Gonzales | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Vera Wasacz | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Ted and Grace Benson | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Rebecca and Aaron Newton | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Meena Lin | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Kathleen O'Dowd | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Jean Yang | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Andrew Schlaikjer | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Kapanoglu Family | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |

${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Status | Response |
| 3/16/2020 | Frank Bailinson | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Gaurav and Pujita Mathur | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Jeffrey Tumlin | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | City employee |
| 3/16/2020 | Vipul Prakash and Vanessa Hsu | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Robert Hodsdon | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Alexander Barth | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Etgen-Kieber Foundation | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | The Leifer Family | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Mary Thengvall | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Natnael Getahun | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Sanae Rosen | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Amy Woodrum and Andrew Zloto | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Adam Cue | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Matthew Wagner | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Victor Torres | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | James Barnes | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Paul and Danice Fagin | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sabeek Pradhan | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Caroline Fichtenberg | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Cecily A. Dumas | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Mozhdeh Rastegar-Panah | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Mary Lee and Reid Williams | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Devon Turner and Christine Trac | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Shir Yehoshua | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jesse Vaughan and Jennifer Ong Vaughan | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Hao Zou | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Granahan Law, P.C. | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Shannon and Chris Terschluse | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Edward Whitmore and Deborah Greenwood | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Britt Williams and John Stallard | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Brady J. Frey | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Andrew Sutherland | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Noelle Mabanta | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Erica, Ava and Livi Desouza | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jeffrey Sarnat and Rebecca Schapiro | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Cole Bennett | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Gillian Yu | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Susan Schwegman | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Brian and Laura Millham | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Amandeep Jawa and Kimberly Conley | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sarah Rogers and Bob Hillman | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | David L. McIntosh | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Kelli A. Broin | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Emily Angyal | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Deborah Cooper | \$ | 450.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Katlin Smith | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Jesse Charles Battalino | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sandeep Bhanot | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Aimee Lucido | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Doug Gravelle and Stephanie Kim | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Deb Janowski and Umesh Kaushal | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Gareth B. Cross | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sayuri Dimitroff | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jacqueline Paige Stoermer | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Enrique Family | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Michael P. Rabbitt | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Kristin Fleming | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Kevin and Heather Lutz | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sharon Wang and Peter Shiau | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Matthew J. Losardo | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | David Ross | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Megan Chin | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | John R. Murgia, Jr. | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^72]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/16/2020 | Sean P. Cotter | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Roz Leiser and Lee Guion | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Truc Nguyen | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Katelyn Kimmons | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Angelos Kottas and Phyra McCandless | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Jeff Wallace | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Carolyn Rundell | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Ryan Hoyt | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Nikolai Varnavski and Radhika Ramanan | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | The Behnam Family | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Thomas Meyer, M.D. and Rebecca Husband | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Roberta Sarnoff | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Michael A. Shiplett | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | James Cross | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Heidi Patel | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Nicholas Peterson | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Yi Yin | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Avril Swan, M.D. | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | James Nguyen | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Chris and Madison Etterman | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jacqueline M. Ali Cordoba | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Alyssa Roy | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Audrey K. O'Neill | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Yingzhuo Zhao | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Colin Dickau | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Melody Cheung | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Angelina Huang | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Cathryn Domrose and Kurt Aguilar | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Kerrilyn Renshaw | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Emanuel Evans | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Hutchison Family | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Nathan Reynolds | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Shannon Beck | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Grace Lattyak | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Brian R. Zaik | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jenny Wang | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Lynn-Kai Chao | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Lynn-Kai Chao | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jose and Leticia Chavez | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Evan Tana | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Kyle Piddington | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Kate S. Carson | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Lisa M. Brown | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Kirsten and David Marcus | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Matthew Wagner | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Janet Fung and Jimmy Do | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Cara and Mike Leonardo | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Shectman Robinson Family | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Mariana Magalhaes Chapei | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jennifer Rosen | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Janice A. McIntosh | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sutcliffe-Conaty Family | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jinoos Yazdany and Arash Anoshiravani | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Angela E. Gonzalez | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Kristina Le | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Christina Armatas | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Caryl Shaw | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sarah Moss-Horwitz | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Mike Rinaldi and Nicole Friedland | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | The Kesteloots | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Robert J. Merck | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Polly A. Stryker | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^73]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/16/2020 | Caroline Orsi | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Hannah S. Lee | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jeffrey Erickson and Jacqueline Berger | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | The Smythe-Cullen Family | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Kathryn Arnold | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Marlene Grenon | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Patricia E. Franks | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Anne Vaittinen | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | George and Rose Dobbins | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Seth and Julie Jacobs | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Sophia Zikanova | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Clara Brenot and Olivier Godement | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sara Scanlan | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sara N. Cosenza | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | The Isbister Family | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Eric Socolofsky | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Samuel James Maskell | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sokunthea Keo | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Renee Di Cherri | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Laurence Berland | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Amanda Pinsker | \$ | 200.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jeffrey M. Moore | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Benjamin W. Redman | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Lauren Patti | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Alon Gilat | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Kristina and Alan Gonzalez | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Ethan H. Stone | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Anne Diaz | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Eric Walder and Alexandra Corvin | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Allison N. Jorges | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Lisa Crossett | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Johann and Kaoru Lynch | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Evan and Sally Kerrigan | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Thomas Cruz | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Pierre Urbain | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | The Lemasters | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Eric Proegler | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jeffrey A. Gray | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Samuel Feldman-Crough | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Kirsten Irgens-Moller and Christopher Ho | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Yangchen Dolkar | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | The Wald Family | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Mary Lou Licwinko | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sarah Leyde and Kevin Lang | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Filip Spiridonov | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Tala Banatao | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Elizabeth Hartmann | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Kelsey Stroshane | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Allison Carroll | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sarah and Jim Jones | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Asumu Takikawa | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Kristy R. Lee | \$ | 150.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | M. Lee Dixon | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Unlimited Biking Rentals LLC | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Rui Wu and Tianyi Gou | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Team Talbott | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Patrick Holmes | \$ | 111.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Muneeb A. Ahmad | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Daniel E. Medani | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Brian Har | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Paul Campbell | \$ | 109.02 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Catherine Davis | \$ | 108.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Christopher Leader | \$ | 105.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Andrew Kaplan | \$ | 105.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |

[^74]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/16/2020 | Vikram Raman | \$ | 101.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Gurskis Hess Family | \$ | 101.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Nicholas E. Beyrer | \$ | 101.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Natalie Schoch and Michael Mellody | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Emily Broas | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Maureen Haverty | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Rayana Stanek | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | George C. Rodgers | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Aaron Beitch | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Decad Trust | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Oliver Raskin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Rachel Proctor | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Michael L. Gummelt | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Charles E. Lowey-Ball | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Kate L. Hughes | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Keenan Rice | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ivalina Demarco | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Patrick and Nicole RoDee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Deirdre Anderson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Aleksandr Mistratov | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Chase Starr | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Tyler Wozny | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Charles Lim | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Rosie D. Belpasso | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Celine Cuevas | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Maxime Prades | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Kristy E. Leung | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Emily Kuhbach | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alex, Jennifer and Simon Cohen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Rachel P. Katz | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Dennis Biroscak | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Andrew Gaffney | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Julia Doan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Douglas Hanlin and Kelvin Lynch | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Lewis Stringer | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Katrina Lake | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Michael Deninno | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Joshua W. Dunsby | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alexis Humiston | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Beverly Sutton | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Rebecca Wood | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Nell Herbert | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sadie C. Harmon | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jennifer Lynch | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jennifer E. Raymond | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Trista Lacour | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jessica Fox | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Andrea Moore | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Patrick J. O'Brien | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Spencer Simonsen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Michael Sidgmore | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Bryce Goodman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alexander Best | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Melissa and Ganesh Krishnan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Arvind Venkataramani | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Carol Rossi | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Louise Fong/William Bonham | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | The Kemper Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Janis Greenspan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Xin Xiao | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Hongxia Li Tsai | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Christina Hellmich | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/16/2020 | Catherine Hilary White | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | The Campbell Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jennifer A. Stella | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Omid Mortazavi | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ingrid Ojeda | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Lindsay Bruce | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jennifer Liu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | William Larsen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Utsav Ahuja | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Lindy and Erin Cunningham-McKnight | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Eva Gutierrez and Jason Holzheimer | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Steve Susoyev | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Kimberly Quan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Eric H. Panzer | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Dalel Nichole Jordan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | David Hurst | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sergey Dubenko | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Suzanne Paige Sprincin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | The Navarros | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Rebecca Yukelson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Melissa Capria | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Evan Friel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Tracy P. Leone | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Arlene Singer and Barbara Leff | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Chenhung Wu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Kristen Nosky | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Julie L. Campioni | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Vadim Geshel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sarah Schoellkopf | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Pingshun Huang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Aylene Bao | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | John Snyder | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Stephen Forte | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Bryan Quintero | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Joyce Sabel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Gail and Alan Venable | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jeffrey E. Trull | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alexandra Kutik | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Stephanie Dang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Quang Duong | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Xian Ke | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Eileen Norman | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Hornor Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Laurie Fitzgerald | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sarah Grossman-Swenson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jennifer Wu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Rachel Bonfanti | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jon Doellstedt | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in February 2021. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Marie Que and John Scarpulla | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Justin Velo | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Mary Prahl | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Casey S. Barrett | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Lindsay Hershenhorn | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Eloise M. Bates | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Oliver Wilkie | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Rashi King Abramson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Stephanie Rose | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Vlad and Natalie Blumen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Julie Van Vliet | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Adrienne Cianfrocca | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Nicholas Kunst | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^75]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/16/2020 | Nadia R. Baskett | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Broce Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Benjamin Peters | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ying Chen Chao | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Nathan Sheard | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Frank Dario Jones | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Daniel Leffel | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Parissa Sayar | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jasmine Lawrence | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alyson Jacks | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jiasong Huang | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Francis Ellis | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | R. Mark Thornton | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Shotsy C. Faust | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Doyle White | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Pettee Edna | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Caroline Nassif and Stephen Lynch | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sankaet Pathak | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alice B. Aronow | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/16/2020 | The Gellners | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Vivien D'Andrea | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Melina A. Wyatt | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Erin M. Leviant | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ben Wu | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Pedro Lima | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Nicole Arata | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Annabelle Ho | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sarah Thompson | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Danil Panache | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Michael Lee | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | James Reffell | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Lawrence Hosken | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Shabnam Dadkhah | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Nauzli Dadkhah | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Teresa Ono | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Megan Crocker | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 3/16/2020 | David Liao | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Azis Abakirov | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Christopher Nguyen | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Claudia Paz | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ben Tucker | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Thomas Sattler | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in February 2021. | Response pending |
| 3/16/2020 | Natalia A. Fisher | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Gamvros Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | loannis Yiakoumis | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Helen W. Bentley | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Johannah Goldstein | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Leslie A. Forrester | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Nancy Duan | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Emily Hague | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Annette and Kyle Lapham | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ingrid Flores | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Thoma Grey | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Edward Esslemont | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Brian Lovin | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | The Bastein Family | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Juliana Devries | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alice Dutrut | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Anna M. Zylicz | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ruth and Gene Greenwood | \$ | 74.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ben Tucker | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Sheela Chandrasekhara | \$ | 51.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/16/2020 | Rachael Morton | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Naomi Stoll | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Naomi Stoll | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sneha Krishna Sankavaram | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Danielle Simpson | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Brian A. Carr | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Yukiko Nishiguchi | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Pin-Ya Tseng | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Suejin Kim | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Evan Gelfand | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sophia Dermoutz | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Oi Man Ng | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ahmet Emre Unal | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alison Murphy | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Rebecca Brown | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Carmen Cole | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alexis Luscutoff | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Laura Yu | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ann Cheung | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Daria Maggio | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | The Sharma Family | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Michelle Tigchelaar | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Raina K. Sheth | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Katherine and John Podesta | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Lijesh Manjacheri | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Mohammad Gowayyed | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Felicia Evans | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Lingamurthy Ravi | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Gwynne Stoddart | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Julia Peppiatt | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Karsten Weide | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Xiaotong Chi | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sam Gould and Alexa Ball | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Lynne Mathison | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Kathryn M. Jereza | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Michael Gangel | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jeffrey J. McClure | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Bailey E. Kass | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alan Magary | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Melissa Woo | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Melissa MacDonald | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Margaret Montgomery | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Michael and Lisa Palmo Moss | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Michael Coren | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Idil Bereket | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Malia Young-Brohn | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Russell West | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ao Xu | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Stephanie Leduc | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Kegan Garrison | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Michael Osofsky | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Daniel B. Fuchs | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Joanna Siegall | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Gabriel and Emma Dover | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Shelley R. Weisbrich | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Frank and Carol Buonagurio | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Yanwen Jiang | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ahern Family | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Dave Glidden | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Blair L. Sirolli | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Julia Cheng | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Laura Hayes | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^76]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/16/2020 | Jeremy D. Wood | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Hannah Addario-Berry | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Nathan Geer and Colby Croft | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Kevin He | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Theresa Brown | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Shahab Asghar | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Rita Hao | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Earle McCartney | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Rachel M. Alonso | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Stephanie Boudreau | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Disclosure requested in October 2020. | No response received |
| 3/16/2020 | Jonathan Hendler | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Christopher Ota | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Hartley Miller | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Hannah Moskowitz | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Hollis J. Rich | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Heather Sullens | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Daniel Depaolo | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Robert M. Fruchtman | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Survey response received in October 2020. | No |
| 3/16/2020 | Tara Lockhart | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Beth S. Bodner | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Lauren Reda | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Hunter Blankenbaker | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jessica I. Dell | \$ | 38.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Leah Swiler | \$ | 36.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | D'Anne Duncan | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alla Barkan | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Hayley Wyeth | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Anthony and Lourdes Devigal | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Christian Madden | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Nicholas J. Roberts | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alana N. Fink | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Safa Aliabadi | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jennifer Collins | \$ | 26.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Michael E. Fanning | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alexandra Brown | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Christopher D. Pappas | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Joan Lubamersky | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Julia Baily | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Alexandra Emrich | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Linda Jordan | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Balakrishna Chennupati | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Nancy A. Tabor | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Connie Kwong | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Thaddeus Ballantine | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Anand and Shikha Chhatpar | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Juli Ann Carter | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Dorit Grunberger | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Zachary Subin | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Travis Dittenber | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Matthew Dello Russo | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Paul Goodman | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Luis M. Aroche | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Shauna O'Donnell | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Nicole Lycett | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Constance Channon | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sanchez Family | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Nicole C. Raeburn | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Vanessa J. Bell | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Angela Glielmi | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Suzanne and Carlos Poma | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Blair J. Davis | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Tressa E. Crozier | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^77]Give2SF Monetary Donations Received Through 6/30/2021

| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donation Amount |  |  |  | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Directly to Give2SF |  | Through SF Foundation |  | Status | Response |
| 3/16/2020 | Aditya T. Wresniyandaka | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Gabriel Paul Ortiz | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Shawn Allen | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jessica Da Silva | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Grace Gellman | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Hester Loo | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ryan Wong | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ti-Fen Pan | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Molly Fosco | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Kyna Kellogg | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Adriana Villagran | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Antonio Martinez | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Savannah Wagner Belk | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Irena Martinez | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Danielle Bautista | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Tracey Lin | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Aura Terrell | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jacqueline Chu | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Kyle and Jasmin Pimley | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Mike Huynh | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Annie Pang | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Christina Hui Lin Feng | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Charuwan Pholsith | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | David Abramsky | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Regina Coleman | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Shawn E. Trombley | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Tyler Ochiai | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Timothy J. Oleneack | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jeremy Tsuchitani-Watson | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Sharlene Baker | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Benkay II Kajihara | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Chase Reserve | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | L. Bishop Photography | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ann Huber | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Elena Fromer | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Noel Garcia | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Anton Kapralov | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Lauren Jong | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ryan Davids | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Ryan Davids | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Maral Mara | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Maral Mara | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Frances Yap | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Jolanta Zandecki | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Fe Valentin | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3/16/2020 | Nicole Johnson | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - | Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

[^78]| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donated Item | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Month of Disclosure | Response |
|  |  |  |  | No = No reported financial interest with the City |
| 6/15/2021 | Gachina Landscape Management | Hygiene Kits | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Pink Stack and hang Bins | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Pink Caddies | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Green Paddles | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Medical Stool | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Blue Caddies | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Vaccinator Tables | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Pairs of Blue Stanchion | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Magazine Rack | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Bedside Rolling Tables | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Flatbed Cart | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Red Tool Chest for Med Supplies | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | 5"x7" Acrylic Table Top Sign Holders | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | A-Frames | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Lollipop signs | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Mightee Mounts | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | 56qt Clear Storage Tubs | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Stanchion Top signs | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 6/1/2021 | Kaiser Permanente | Microwave | Disclosure will be requested in October 2021. |  |
| 12/16/2020 | Red Bubble | Fabric Face Masks | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 12/16/2020 | Private Individual (Gregory Hilkert) | Fabric Face Masks | January 2021 | No |
| 11/16/2020 | Rombot | Level 1 Surgical Masks | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 10/22/2020 | Amour Vert | Fabric Face Coverings | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 9/15/2020 | Vietnam Consulate | Level 1 Surgical Masks | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 9/10/2020 | OneMask | Fabric Face Coverings | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 9/2/2020 | Vital Proteins | Bottles of water | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 8/18/2020 | Amour Vert | Fabric Face Coverings | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 8/18/2020 | Medtec (New Taipei City) | Coveralls - White | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 8/18/2020 | Medtec (New Taipei City) | Gowns | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 8/18/2020 | Medtec (New Taipei City) | Coveralls - White | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 8/18/2020 | Missioncare (New Taipei City) | Masks - Surgical | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 8/18/2020 | Medtec (New Taipei City) | Shoe Covers | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 8/18/2020 | New Taipei City | Face Shields | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 7/28/2020 | Private Individual (John K. Ng) | Surgical Masks | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 7/23/2020 | Saving Face | Face Shields | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 7/15/2020 | PCS | Peakfit 80102V N95 masks | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 7/15/2020 | Ironman Foundation | Non-sterile Face Masks | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 7/13/2020 | Seoul Municipal Government | Medical Grade Coveralls and Shoe Covers | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 7/13/2020 | Seoul Municipal Government | Shoe Covers, 3M Brand, Universal Size | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 7/13/2020 | Amour Vert | Fabric Face Coverings | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 7/6/2020 | Pop's Foundation/SHP Foundation | Disposable Surgical Style Masks | January 2021 | No |


| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donated Item | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Month of Disclosure | Response |
|  |  |  |  | No = No reported financial interest with the City |
| 7/2/2020 | Athleta Inc. | Bandanna Masks | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 6/16/2020 | VM Ware | KN-95 Masks | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 6/16/2020 | VM Ware | Hooded Isolation Suits | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 6/16/2020 | Supply Bank | Bebin Super Diaper Small 4/40's | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 6/16/2020 | Supply Bank | Bebin Super Diaper Medium 4/40's | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 6/16/2020 | Supply Bank | Bebin Super Diaper Large 4/40's | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 6/16/2020 | Supply Bank | Bebin Super Diaper X-Large 4/40's | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 6/16/2020 | Supply Bank | Bebin Super Diaper XX-Large 4/40's | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 6/16/2020 | Supply Bank | Supply Bank Wet Wipes 12/100's | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 6/15/2020 | Dick's Sporting Goods | Black Strap Cloth Face Masks | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 6/10/2020 | Timbuk2 | Face Coverings | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 6/9/2020 | United Healthcare | Fabric Face Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 6/8/2020 | Red Cross | Bottles of Water | June 2020 | No response received |
| 6/8/2020 | Mallory Safety and Supply | Goggles | June 2020 | City contract, grant or lease |
| 6/5/2020 | PCS | Hand Sanitizer, Gallons | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/26/2020 | SF Chinese Alliance Church | Level 1 Surgical Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/22/2020 | Marine Layer | Handsewn Fabric Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/22/2020 | Bay Area Face Shield Supply | 3D Printed Face Shields | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/22/2020 | Dry Ice Robotics | 3D Printed Face Shields | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/22/2020 | Private Individual (Kim Tirva) | 40.5-ounce Refills of Foam Sanitizer for LTX-12 Touchless Dispensers | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/22/2020 | Timbuk2 | Bandannas and Neck Gaiters | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 5/15/2020 | Onfleet | Monthly Software Access From Onfleet | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | New Taipei City | Cloth Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | New Taipei City | Epidemic Protection Face Shields | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | New Taipei City | Impervious Isolation Gowns | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | New Taipei City | Cloth Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/14/2020 | PCS | Vented N95 Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/13/2020 | ICBC US Region | Hazmat Suits (Dupont) | July 2020 | No |
| 5/13/2020 | ICBC US Region | Safety Goggles (Condor Model 1VT70) | July 2020 | No |
| 5/13/2020 | Shanghai Hongbo Investment \& Management (Group) Co., Ltd. | Disposable Face Masks | July 2020 | No response received |
| 5/13/2020 | ICBC US Region | KN95 Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/13/2020 | China Mobile International (USA) | Surgical Mask w/ Ear Loops | July 2020 | No response received |
| 5/13/2020 | Greenwich Terrace Householding | 1 Gal. RX 20/20 Hand Sanitizer, 80\% Alcohol | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/13/2020 | Vietnam Consulate General | Fabric Face Coverings | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/12/2020 | Culk | Fabric Face Masks | June 2020 | No |
| 5/12/2020 | Private Individual (Lou and Suzanne Giraudo) | Boxes of Milton's Gluten-Free Crackers | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/12/2020 | Private Individual (Lou and Suzanne Giraudo) | Chocolate Chip Cookies | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Dickinson Corp. | 3D Printed Face Shields | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/11/2020 | Timbuk2 X CNBC | Face Coverings | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 5/8/2020 | Private Individual (Yan Xiao) | Medical Gown | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/8/2020 | Private Individual (Yan Xiao) | Surgical Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/8/2020 | SF Chinese Alliance Church | Level 1 Surgical Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/6/2020 | Global Office | Face Shields | June 2020 | No |
| 5/6/2020 | HUB Group | Large Refrigerated Truck | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/5/2020 | Private Individual (Jonica Little) | 3D Printed Face Shields | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | BELLA+CANVAS | Fabric Face Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Veritas Investments | Level 1 Surgical Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Veritas Investments | 3M N95 Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | Veritas Investments | San Huei N95 Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | EO Essentials | EO 40-ounce Unscented Hand Sanitizer | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/4/2020 | SF Public Health Foundation | Boxed Lunch | June 2020 | Department of Public Health contract, grant or lease |
| 5/1/2020 | Marine Layer | Handsewn Fabric Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/1/2020 | Private Individual (Colette Vance-Wright) | Fabric Face Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 5/1/2020 | WestPoint Home | Fabric Face Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/29/2020 | Timbuk2 X CNBC | Timbuk2 Face Masks | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 4/28/2020 | Shanghai City Government | 1,200 N95 Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/28/2020 | Shanghai City Government | 20,000 KN95 Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/28/2020 | RealReal | Level 1 Surgical Masks | June 2020 | No response received |


| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donated Item | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Month of Disclosure | Response |
|  |  |  |  | No = No reported financial interest with the City |
| 4/27/2020 | Consulate General of the People's Republic of China | Escalier 3-Ply Single-Use Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/24/2020 | Private Individual (Nikcole Cunningham) | Sunny Care Gloves, Size M | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/24/2020 | Feysan Lodde | 2 Pallets of 16.9-ounce Water Bottles | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/24/2020 | Feysan Lodde | 1 Pallet of Gallon Water Drums | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/24/2020 | Hint, Inc. | 16-ounce Water Bottles | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Private Individual (Guo Zi Dai) | Surgical Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/22/2020 | Comcast | Large Tote Bags | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/21/2020 | WestPoint Home | Masks from WestPoint Home | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/21/2020 | Private Individual (Nga Pham) | OEKO-TEX, Standard 100 | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/21/2020 | Timbuk2 X NBC Sports | Timbuk2 Face Masks | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 4/20/2020 | Timbuk2 | Timbuk2 Bandannas | January 2021 | Response pending |
| 4/20/2020 | Kerogen Capital | Surgical Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/20/2020 | Fanatics | Surgical Mask w/ Ear Loops | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/17/2020 | DWU Consulting LLC | Standard Surgical Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/17/2020 | FitnessSF | FitnessSF Yowies | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/17/2020 | Veritas Investments | Anphu Pharma and Medical Surgical Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/17/2020 | Veritas Investments | 3M N95 8210 and 8710 Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/17/2020 | Veritas Investments | Anphu Pharma Medical Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/17/2020 | Veritas Investments | 3M N95/8710 Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Consulate General of the People's Republic of China | Escalier 3-Ply Single-Use Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/16/2020 | Apple | Face Shields | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/15/2020 | Private Individual (Laurie Green) | 3D Starbuss N95 Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/14/2020 | Comcast | Cloth Bags | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Elite Supply Source | Vinyl Aprons, Cordova \#RA0bc48, Clear, Tied String, Sewn Edges | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/13/2020 | Elite Supply Source | Disposable Sleeves, Cordova \#PS18W2 White, 8-inch | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | PCS | Peakfit N95 Particulate Respirators | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/10/2020 | PCS | Shoe Covers | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/9/2020 | RainBeau | Handsewn Fabric Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/7/2020 | AlterEco | Alter Eco Chocolates (Cases) | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/7/2020 | Seamsters Union | Handsewn Fabric Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/7/2020 | Levi's | Handsewn Fabric Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/6/2020 | Apple | Disposable Particulate Filter Respirators (Safety Director: 305050A NIOSH-approved) | June 2020 | No response received |
| 4/1/2020 | One Medical | COVID-19 Test Kits (Swabs and Testing Media) | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Dolby Laboratories | Waxie Shield Nitrile Powder-Free GeneralPurpose Gloves (W8644S) - Multiple Sizes | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Dolby Laboratories | SAS N95 Particulate Respirators (8625) | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Endless West Spirits | Gallon Hand Sanitizer | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Endless West Spirits | Gallon Hand Sanitizer | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/27/2020 | Apple | Epic 40578-RS5 Masks with Ear Loop | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Facebook | Clorox Bleach Cannisters | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Facebook | Tuff Grip Gloves (Nitrile, XL) | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Facebook | Tuff Grip Gloves (Nitrile, L) | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Facebook | Condor Safety Eyewear | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Facebook | Hand Sanitizer Dispensers | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Facebook | Germ Attack Hand Sanitizer, Gallon Refills | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Facebook | Self-Priming Filter, FDA Approved, NIOSHApproved Respirators | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | DoorDash | Uline S-9632 Standard Respirators | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Asian Art Museum Foundation | Purple Nitrile Gloves, Kimberley Clark, Medium | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/25/2020 | Asian Art Museum Foundation | Sperian One-Fit Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Roddy Lindsay | 2 ounce CleanSF Sanitizer | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Facebook | Sperian One-Fit W1400 Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Facebook | UniAir SH3500 Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Facebook | 3-in-1 Valumax Disposable Ear Loop Masks | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Facebook | Shoma Gloves (M) | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Facebook | Shoma Gloves (L) | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Facebook | Tuff Grip (Nitrile, L) | June 2020 | No response received |


| Gift Date | Donor Name | Donated Item | Financial Interest With the City? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Month of Disclosure | Response |
|  |  |  |  | No = No reported financial interest with the City |
| 3/20/2020 | Facebook | Tuff Grip (Nitrile, XL) | June 2020 | No response received |
| 3/20/2020 | Flexport LLC | Gloves | June 2020 | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Flexport LLC | Medical Gowns | June 2020 | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Flexport LLC | Surgical Masks | June 2020 | No |
| 3/20/2020 | Flexport LLC | Thermometers | June 2020 | No |


| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; BOS-Administrative Aides |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2021 |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 12:07:00 PM |
| Attachments: | CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2021.pdf |

From: Dion, Ichieh (TTX) [ichieh.dion@sfgov.org](mailto:ichieh.dion@sfgov.org)
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2021 12:05 PM
Subject: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2021

All-

Please find the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for the month of June attached for your use.

## Regards,

Ichieh Dion
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 140
San Francisco, CA 94102
415-554-5433

Office of the Treasurer \& Tax Collector City and County of San Francisco

Tajel Shah, Chief Assistant Treasurer
Robert L. Shaw, CFA, Chief Investment Officer
José Cisneros, Treasurer

Investment Report for the month of June 2021
July 15, 2021

The Honorable London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638
The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Franicsco City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

Colleagues,
In accordance with the provisions of California State Government Code, Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's pooled fund portfolio as of June 30, 2021. These investments provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months and are in compliance with our statement of investment policy and California Code.

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for the month of June 2021 for the portfolios under the Treasurer's management. All pricing and valuation data is obtained from Interactive Data Corporation.

CCSF Pooled Fund Investment Earnings Statistics *

| (in \$ million) | Current Month |  | Prior Month |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fiscal YTD | June 2021 | Fiscal YTD | May 2021 |
| Average Daily Balance | 12,215 | 13,619 | 12,089 | \$ 13,914 |
| Net Earnings | 83.52 | 5.24 | 78.28 | 5.50 |
| Earned Income Yield | 0.68\% | 0.47\% | 0.71\% | 0.47\% |

CCSF Pooled Fund Statistics *


In the remainder of this report, we provide additional information and analytics at the security-level and portfolio-level, as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission.

Respectfully,

## Portfolio Summary

Pooled Fund
As of June 30, 2021

| (in \$ million) Security Type | Par Value |  | Book Value |  | Market Value | Market/Book Price | Current \% Allocation | Max. Policy Allocation | Compliant? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 5,398.9 | \$ | 5,427.8 | \$ | 5,421.2 | 99.88 | 42.85\% | 100\% | Yes |
| Federal Agencies | 3,695.5 |  | 3,698.3 |  | 3,715.1 | 100.46 | 29.36\% | 100\% | Yes |
| State \& Local Government Agency Obligations | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | 20\% | Yes |
| Public Time Deposits | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 100.00 | 0.32\% | 100\% | Yes |
| Negotiable CDs | 1,905.0 |  | 1,905.0 |  | 1,905.9 | 100.05 | 15.06\% | 30\% | Yes |
| Bankers Acceptances | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | 40\% | Yes |
| Commercial Paper | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | 25\% | Yes |
| Medium Term Notes | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | 30\% | Yes |
| Repurchase Agreements | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | 10\% | Yes |
| Reverse Repurchase/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities Lending Agreements | - |  | - |  | - | - | 0.00\% | \$75mm | Yes |
| Money Market Funds - Government | 1,103.0 |  | 1,103.0 |  | 1,103.0 | 100.00 | 8.72\% | 20\% | Yes |
| LAIF | - |  | - |  | , 103.0 | - | 0.00\% | \$50mm | Yes |
| Supranationals | 462.1 |  | 467.4 |  | 467.1 | 99.93 | 3.69\% | 30\% | Yes |
| TOTAL | \$ 12,604.5 |  | 2,641.5 |  | 2,652.3 | 100.09 | 100.00\% | - | Yes |

The City and County of San Francisco uses the following methodology to determine compliance: Compliance is pre-trade and calculated on a par value basis of the overall portfolio value. Cash balances are included in the City's compliance calculations.

Please note the information in this report does not include cash balances. Due to fluctuations in the market value of the securities held in the Pooled Fund and changes in the City's cash position, the allocation limits may be exceeded on a post-trade compliance basis. In these instances, no compliance violation has occurred, as the policy limits were not exceeded prior to trade execution. The full Investment Policy can be found at https://sftreasurer.org/banking-investments/investments

Totals may not add due to rounding.

## City and County of San Francisco

## Pooled Fund Portfolio Statistics

For the month ended June 30, 2021

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Average Daily Balance | $\$ 13,619,283,244$ |
| Net Earnings | $\$ 5,237,564$ |
| Earned Income Yield | $0.47 \%$ |
| Weighted Average Maturity | 407 days |


| Investment Type | (\$ million) | Par Value |  | Book Value |  | Market Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ | 5,398.9 | \$ | 5,427.8 | \$ | 5,421.2 |
| Federal Agencies |  | 3,695.5 |  | 3,698.3 |  | 3,715.1 |
| Public Time Deposits |  | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |
| Negotiable CDs |  | 1,905.0 |  | 1,905.0 |  | 1,905.9 |
| Money Market Funds |  | 1,103.0 |  | 1,103.0 |  | 1,103.0 |
| Supranationals |  | 462.1 |  | 467.4 |  | 467.1 |
| Total | \$ | 12,604.5 | \$ | 12,641.5 | \$ | 12,652.3 |



## Portfolio Analysis

Pooled Fund


Yield Curves


| , |  |  | Maturity |  |  |  | Par Value |  | Book Value | Amortized |  | Market Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Settie Date | Date | Coupon |  |  |  |  |  | Book Value |  |  |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796B57 | TREASURY BILL | 1/14/2021 | 7/8/2021 | 0.00 | \$ | 50,000,000 | \$ | 49,978,125 | \$ | 49,999,125 | \$ | 49,999,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127963S6 | TREASURY BILL | 1/14/2021 | 7/15/2021 | 0.00 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,954,500 |  | 99,996,500 |  | 99,998,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828Y20 | US TREASURY | 12/12/2019 | 7/15/2021 | 2.63 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,728,516 |  | 50,017,555 |  | 50,050,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796C49 | TREASURY BILL | 1/26/2021 | 7/22/2021 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,979,719 |  | 49,997,594 |  | 49,998,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796C49 | TREASURY BILL | 1/21/2021 | 7/22/2021 | 0.00 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,951,972 |  | 99,994,458 |  | 99,997,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796C56 | TREASURY BILL | 1/28/2021 | 7/29/2021 | 0.00 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,957,028 |  | 99,993,389 |  | 99,996,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796C64 | TREASURY BILL | 2/4/2021 | 8/5/2021 | 0.00 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,964,611 |  | 99,993,194 |  | 99,996,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964B2 | TREASURY BILL | 2/11/2021 | 8/12/2021 | 0.00 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,974,722 |  | 99,994,167 |  | 99,994,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828YC8 | US TREASURY | 12/9/2019 | 8/31/2021 | 1.50 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,865,234 |  | 49,986,972 |  | 50,120,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964L0 | TREASURY BILL | 10/29/2020 | 9/9/2021 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,951,438 |  | 49,989,208 |  | 49,995,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964L0 | TREASURY BILL | 11/10/2020 | 9/9/2021 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,956,865 |  | 49,990,035 |  | 49,995,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285A4 | US TREASURY | 11/19/2020 | 9/15/2021 | 2.75 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,082,031 |  | 50,274,115 |  | 50,278,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285A4 | US TREASURY | 12/3/2020 | 9/15/2021 | 2.75 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,033,203 |  | 50,274,557 |  | 50,278,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828T34 | US TREASURY | 12/11/2019 | 9/30/2021 | 1.13 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,498,047 |  | 49,930,686 |  | 50,133,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964V8 | TREASURY BILL | 10/29/2020 | 10/7/2021 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,944,977 |  | 49,984,279 |  | 49,993,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964 V 8 | TREASURY BILL | 10/29/2020 | 10/7/2021 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,945,216 |  | 49,984,347 |  | 49,993,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964V8 | TREASURY BILL | 12/3/2020 | 10/7/2021 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,960,217 |  | 49,987,342 |  | 49,993,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285F3 | US TREASURY | 10/29/2020 | 10/15/2021 | 2.88 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,318,359 |  | 50,398,137 |  | 50,408,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828T67 | US TREASURY | 11/10/2016 | 10/31/2021 | 1.25 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,574,219 |  | 49,971,396 |  | 50,198,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964W6 | TREASURY BILL | 11/5/2020 | 11/4/2021 | 0.00 |  | 23,860,000 |  | 23,827,431 |  | 23,848,726 |  | 23,855,705 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964W6 | TREASURY BILL | 11/5/2020 | 11/4/2021 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,930,486 |  | 49,975,937 |  | 49,991,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964W6 | TREASURY BILL | 11/10/2020 | 11/4/2021 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,940,167 |  | 49,979,000 |  | 49,991,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964W6 | TREASURY BILL | 11/19/2020 | 11/4/2021 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,951,389 |  | 49,982,500 |  | 49,991,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964W6 | TREASURY BILL | 5/6/2021 | 11/4/2021 | 0.00 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,982,306 |  | 99,987,750 |  | 99,982,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796H51 | TREASURY BILL | 5/13/2021 | 11/12/2021 | 0.00 |  | 150,000,000 |  | 149,973,312 |  | 149,980,458 |  | 149,970,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796H69 | TREASURY BILL | 5/20/2021 | 11/18/2021 | 0.00 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,984,833 |  | 99,988,333 |  | 99,981,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828U65 | US TREASURY | 12/14/2020 | 11/30/2021 | 1.75 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,794,922 |  | 50,344,240 |  | 50,347,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828U65 | US TREASURY | 12/13/2016 | 11/30/2021 | 1.75 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,312,500 |  | 99,942,361 |  | 100,695,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127965G0 | TREASURY BILL | 12/17/2020 | 12/2/2021 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,956,250 |  | 49,980,750 |  | 49,989,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127965G0 | TREASURY BILL | 12/3/2020 | 12/2/2021 | 0.00 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,888,778 |  | 99,952,945 |  | 99,978,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285R7 | US TREASURY | 12/4/2020 | 12/15/2021 | 2.63 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,291,016 |  | 50,573,403 |  | 50,584,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285R7 | US TREASURY | 12/8/2020 | 12/15/2021 | 2.63 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,281,250 |  | 50,575,185 |  | 50,584,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285R7 | US TREASURY | 12/9/2020 | 12/15/2021 | 2.63 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,277,344 |  | 50,574,977 |  | 50,584,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285R7 | US TREASURY | 12/15/2020 | 12/15/2021 | 2.63 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 51,257,813 |  | 50,575,492 |  | 50,584,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796A90 | TREASURY BILL | 1/26/2021 | 12/30/2021 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,957,703 |  | 49,977,225 |  | 49,986,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828U81 | US TREASURY | 11/22/2019 | 12/31/2021 | 2.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,402,344 |  | 50,095,622 |  | 50,478,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796C31 | TREASURY BILL | 1/28/2021 | 1/27/2022 | 0.00 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,909,000 |  | 99,947,500 |  | 99,969,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | $912828 Z 60$ | US TREASURY | 1/13/2021 | 1/31/2022 | 1.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,666,016 |  | 50,372,134 |  | 50,379,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828Z60 | US TREASURY | 1/15/2021 | 1/31/2022 | 1.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,664,063 |  | 50,372,990 |  | 50,379,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796F38 | TREASURY BILL | 4/19/2021 | 3/24/2022 | 0.00 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,972,692 |  | 49,978,572 |  | 49,982,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZG8 | US TREASURY | 4/8/2021 | 3/31/2022 | 0.38 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,154,489 |  | 50,115,005 |  | 50,105,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796G45 | TREASURY BILL | 4/22/2021 | 4/21/2022 | 0.00 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,934,278 |  | 99,946,917 |  | 99,956,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796H44 | TREASURY BILL | 5/20/2021 | 5/19/2022 | 0.00 |  | 200,000,000 |  | 199,888,777 |  | 199,901,610 |  | 199,874,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828XD7 | US TREASURY | 5/13/2021 | 5/31/2022 | 1.88 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,941,406 |  | 50,820,965 |  | 50,814,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128286Y1 | US TREASURY | 4/8/2021 | 6/15/2022 | 1.75 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,990,240 |  | 50,798,138 |  | 50,787,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128286Y1 | US TREASURY | 4/28/2021 | 6/15/2022 | 1.75 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,937,500 |  | 50,792,222 |  | 50,787,000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828XW5 | US TREASURY | 8/15/2017 | 6/30/2022 | 1.75 |  | 25,000,000 |  | 24,977,539 |  | 24,995,407 |  | 25,409,250 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZX1 | US TREASURY | 3/12/2021 | 6/30/2022 | 0.13 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,011,719 |  | 50,008,980 |  | 50,011,500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZX1 | US TREASURY | 3/31/2021 | 6/30/2022 | 0.13 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,021,484 |  | 50,017,150 |  | 50,011,500 |



|  |  |  | Maturity |  |  |  |  | Amortized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Settile Date | Date | Coupon | Par Value | Book Value | Book Value | Market Value |
| Federal Agencies | 313313LV8 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 12/21/2020 | 9/17/2021 | 0.00 | 10,000,000 | 9,992,500 | 9,997,833 | 9,998,900 |
| Federal Agencies | 313313MK1 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 11/18/2020 | 10/1/2021 | 0.00 | 5,000,000 | 4,994,717 | 4,998,467 | 4,999,350 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0Q89 | FANNIE MAE | 10/21/2016 | 10/7/2021 | 1.38 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 25,087,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EJK24 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 10/19/2018 | 10/19/2021 | 3.00 | 25,000,000 | 24,980,900 | 24,998,083 | 25,218,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 313313NF1 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 12/21/2020 | 10/21/2021 | 0.00 | 5,000,000 | 4,995,778 | 4,998,444 | 4,999,200 |
| Federal Agencies | 313313NF1 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 11/19/2020 | 10/21/2021 | 0.00 | 16,000,000 | 15,983,573 | 15,994,524 | 15,997,440 |
| Federal Agencies | 313313NK0 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 11/23/2020 | 10/25/2021 | 0.00 | 20,000,000 | 19,979,467 | 19,992,911 | 19,996,800 |
| Federal Agencies | 313313NK0 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 11/30/2020 | 10/25/2021 | 0.00 | 50,000,000 | 49,949,736 | 49,982,278 | 49,992,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EGZJ7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 10/25/2016 | 10/25/2021 | 1.38 | 14,500,000 | 14,500,000 | 14,500,000 | 14,557,420 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EGZJ7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 10/25/2016 | 10/25/2021 | 1.38 | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 15,059,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELWS9 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 4/15/2020 | 10/25/2021 | 0.40 | 50,000,000 | 49,992,387 | 49,998,417 | 50,054,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELWS9 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 4/15/2020 | 10/25/2021 | 0.40 | 50,000,000 | 49,992,387 | 49,998,417 | 50,054,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 313313NM6 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 12/3/2020 | 10/27/2021 | 0.00 | 30,000,000 | 29,972,667 | 29,990,167 | 29,995,200 |
| Federal Agencies | 313313NN4 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 11/24/2020 | 10/28/2021 | 0.00 | 50,000,000 | 49,953,056 | 49,983,472 | 49,992,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EJT74 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 11/15/2018 | 11/15/2021 | 3.05 | 50,000,000 | 49,950,000 | 49,993,750 | 50,552,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AHJY0 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 11/8/2019 | 11/19/2021 | 1.63 | 17,000,000 | 16,970,930 | 16,994,476 | 17,105,230 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AHJY0 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 11/8/2019 | 11/19/2021 | 1.63 | 25,000,000 | 24,957,250 | 24,991,876 | 25,154,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AHJY0 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 11/8/2019 | 11/19/2021 | 1.63 | 25,000,000 | 24,957,250 | 24,991,876 | 25,154,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AHJY0 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 11/8/2019 | 11/19/2021 | 1.63 | 45,000,000 | 44,923,050 | 44,985,377 | 45,278,550 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AHJY0 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 11/8/2019 | 11/19/2021 | 1.63 | 50,000,000 | 49,914,500 | 49,983,753 | 50,309,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 313313QA9 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 12/22/2020 | 12/3/2021 | 0.00 | 15,000,000 | 14,985,583 | 14,993,542 | 14,996,850 |
| Federal Agencies | 313313QL5 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 12/30/2020 | 12/13/2021 | 0.00 | 50,000,000 | 49,946,833 | 49,974,792 | 49,988,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EJ3B3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/19/2020 | 12/17/2021 | 2.80 | 19,000,000 | 19,677,730 | 19,179,524 | 19,236,360 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EJ3B3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 12/17/2018 | 12/17/2021 | 2.80 | 25,000,000 | 24,974,250 | 24,996,029 | 25,311,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EJ3B3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 12/17/2018 | 12/17/2021 | 2.80 | 25,000,000 | 24,974,250 | 24,996,029 | 25,311,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EJ3B3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 12/17/2018 | 12/17/2021 | 2.80 | 25,000,000 | 24,964,250 | 24,994,487 | 25,311,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AHSR5 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 12/20/2019 | 12/20/2021 | 1.63 | 22,500,000 | 22,475,700 | 22,494,282 | 22,665,600 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMLW0 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 1/20/2021 | 12/29/2021 | 0.09 | 62,500,000 | 62,490,464 | 62,494,968 | 62,507,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 313313RK6 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 3/30/2021 | 1/5/2022 | 0.00 | 50,000,000 | 49,976,583 | 49,984,333 | 49,987,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELTN4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/18/2020 | 1/18/2022 | 0.53 | 50,000,000 | 49,886,500 | 49,966,001 | 50,127,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELTN4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/23/2020 | 1/18/2022 | 0.53 | 63,450,000 | 63,289,472 | 63,401,552 | 63,611,798 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELKN3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 1/28/2020 | 1/28/2022 | 1.55 | 100,000,000 | 99,992,000 | 99,997,691 | 100,844,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMEN8 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 5/4/2021 | 2/1/2022 | 0.05 | 100,000,000 | 99,994,705 | 99,995,830 | 99,973,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMEN8 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 5/6/2021 | 2/1/2022 | 0.05 | 100,000,000 | 99,995,740 | 99,996,422 | 99,973,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKAK2 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 2/19/2019 | 2/14/2022 | 2.53 | 20,700,000 | 20,682,612 | 20,696,366 | 21,015,261 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKBV7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/1/2019 | 3/1/2022 | 2.55 | 10,000,000 | 9,997,186 | 9,999,376 | 10,164,700 |
| Federal Agencies | 313378WG2 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 4/5/2019 | 3/11/2022 | 2.50 | 17,780,000 | 17,848,986 | 17,796,297 | 18,077,282 |
| Federal Agencies | 313378WG2 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 4/5/2019 | 3/11/2022 | 2.50 | 40,000,000 | 40,158,360 | 40,037,409 | 40,668,800 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKDC7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 4/8/2019 | 3/14/2022 | 2.47 | 26,145,000 | 26,226,050 | 26,164,373 | 26,586,851 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKDC7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 4/8/2019 | 3/14/2022 | 2.47 | 45,500,000 | 45,634,680 | 45,532,192 | 46,268,950 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELUQ5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/25/2020 | 3/25/2022 | 0.70 | 25,000,000 | 24,999,000 | 24,999,634 | 25,107,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELUQ5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/25/2020 | 3/25/2022 | 0.70 | 25,000,000 | 24,993,000 | 24,997,440 | 25,107,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELUQ5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/25/2020 | 3/25/2022 | 0.70 | 25,000,000 | 24,996,000 | 24,998,537 | 25,107,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELUQ5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/25/2020 | 3/25/2022 | 0.70 | 25,000,000 | 24,983,250 | 24,993,874 | 25,107,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0T45 | FANNIE MAE | 6/6/2017 | 4/5/2022 | 1.88 | 25,000,000 | 25,072,250 | 25,011,386 | 25,342,250 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0V59 | FANNIE MAE | 4/12/2019 | 4/12/2022 | 2.25 | 25,000,000 | 24,918,000 | 24,978,677 | 25,415,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0V59 | FANNIE MAE | 4/12/2019 | 4/12/2022 | 2.25 | 50,000,000 | 49,836,000 | 49,957,354 | 50,831,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0V59 | FANNIE MAE | 4/12/2019 | 4/12/2022 | 2.25 | 50,000,000 | 49,836,000 | 49,957,354 | 50,831,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKHB5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 4/18/2019 | 4/18/2022 | 2.35 | 50,000,000 | 49,969,500 | 49,991,902 | 50,888,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMXN7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 4/28/2021 | 4/27/2022 | 0.06 | 19,550,000 | 19,548,390 | 19,548,647 | 19,545,699 |


|  |  |  |  | Maturity |  |  |  | Amortized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Settle Date | Date | Coupon | Par Value | Book Value | Book Value | Market Value |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMEY4 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 5/6/2021 | 5/6/2022 | 0.06 | 10,000,000 | 9,999,918 | 9,999,930 | 9,997,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMEY4 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 5/18/2021 | 5/6/2022 | 0.06 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,100 | 9,999,912 | 9,997,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 313385WL6 | FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT | 5/6/2021 | 5/6/2022 | 0.00 | 50,000,000 | 49,972,118 | 49,976,396 | 49,970,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMGM8 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 5/11/2021 | 5/10/2022 | 0.06 | 50,000,000 | 49,998,408 | 49,998,560 | 49,980,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMJ37 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 5/17/2021 | 5/13/2022 | 0.06 | 30,000,000 | 29,999,953 | 29,999,784 | 29,987,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130 AMJ37 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 5/13/2021 | 5/13/2022 | 0.06 | 45,000,000 | 44,998,200 | 44,998,442 | 44,981,100 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKLR5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 5/16/2019 | 5/16/2022 | 2.25 | 25,000,000 | 24,949,250 | 24,985,229 | 25,464,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKLR5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 5/16/2019 | 5/16/2022 | 2.25 | 35,000,000 | 34,928,950 | 34,979,320 | 35,649,600 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EHLY7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 6/6/2017 | 6/2/2022 | 1.88 | 50,000,000 | 50,059,250 | 50,010,926 | 50,801,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EHLY7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 6/9/2017 | 6/2/2022 | 1.88 | 50,000,000 | 49,997,500 | 49,999,538 | 50,801,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELDK7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 12/16/2019 | 6/15/2022 | 1.63 | 20,000,000 | 19,998,940 | 19,999,595 | 20,289,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELDK7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 12/16/2019 | 6/15/2022 | 1.63 | 25,000,000 | 24,998,676 | 24,999,493 | 25,361,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELDK7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 12/16/2019 | 6/15/2022 | 1.63 | 25,000,000 | 24,998,676 | 24,999,493 | 25,361,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EHZP1 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/18/2020 | 9/20/2022 | 1.85 | 25,000,000 | 25,718,750 | 25,349,959 | 25,524,750 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELVL5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 4/3/2020 | 10/3/2022 | 0.70 | 40,000,000 | 39,990,000 | 39,994,973 | 40,286,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWK4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 5/18/2021 | 1/19/2023 | 0.14 | 60,000,000 | 59,994,167 | 59,988,307 | 59,973,600 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELJH8 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/25/2020 | 1/23/2023 | 1.60 | 10,140,000 | 10,384,141 | 10,274,820 | 10,366,021 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMUH3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/31/2021 | 3/23/2023 | 0.13 | 65,000,000 | 64,956,956 | 64,960,865 | 64,903,150 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMVP4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 4/13/2021 | 4/13/2023 | 0.13 | 20,000,000 | 19,973,600 | 19,976,457 | 19,950,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMVP4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 4/13/2021 | 4/13/2023 | 0.13 | 25,000,000 | 24,967,000 | 24,970,571 | 24,938,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMVP4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 4/13/2021 | 4/13/2023 | 0.13 | 50,000,000 | 49,934,000 | 49,941,142 | 49,876,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMXM9 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 5/5/2021 | 4/27/2023 | 0.13 | 44,500,000 | 44,463,469 | 44,465,215 | 44,404,770 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMYX4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 5/10/2021 | 5/10/2023 | 0.13 | 12,500,000 | 12,484,000 | 12,485,140 | 12,476,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMYX4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 5/10/2021 | 5/10/2023 | 0.13 | 25,000,000 | 24,968,000 | 24,970,279 | 24,953,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMYX4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 5/10/2021 | 5/10/2023 | 0.13 | 75,000,000 | 74,904,000 | 74,910,838 | 74,859,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMRY0 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 6/4/2021 | 6/2/2023 | 0.13 | 15,000,000 | 14,986,200 | 14,986,712 | 14,958,900 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMF31 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 6/2/2021 | 6/2/2023 | 0.13 | 100,000,000 | 99,938,000 | 99,940,463 | 99,740,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMH96 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 6/28/2021 | 6/14/2023 | 0.13 | 50,000,000 | 49,867,281 | 49,865,416 | 49,860,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELNE0 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/18/2020 | 2/14/2024 | 1.43 | 20,495,000 | 20,950,604 | 20,800,650 | 21,047,135 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMRZ7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 2/26/2021 | 2/26/2024 | 0.25 | 5,000,000 | 4,998,200 | 4,998,405 | 4,981,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMRZ7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 2/26/2021 | 2/26/2024 | 0.25 | 5,000,000 | 4,998,200 | 4,998,405 | 4,981,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMRZ7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 2/26/2021 | 2/26/2024 | 0.25 | 100,000,000 | 99,964,000 | 99,968,110 | 99,620,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMTW2 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/18/2021 | 3/18/2024 | 0.30 | 50,000,000 | 49,939,500 | 49,945,296 | 49,843,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMTW2 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/18/2021 | 3/18/2024 | 0.30 | 50,000,000 | 49,939,450 | 49,945,251 | 49,843,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWV0 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 5/4/2021 | 4/22/2024 | 0.35 | 16,545,000 | 16,551,563 | 16,549,385 | 16,504,796 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWV0 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 5/4/2021 | 4/22/2024 | 0.35 | 29,424,000 | 29,435,672 | 29,431,798 | 29,352,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWV0 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 5/4/2021 | 4/22/2024 | 0.35 | 39,000,000 | 39,015,470 | 39,010,336 | 38,905,230 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELCP7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 12/3/2019 | 12/3/2024 | 1.63 | 25,000,000 | 24,960,000 | 24,972,611 | 25,903,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0X24 | FANNIE MAE | 4/21/2021 | 1/7/2025 | 1.63 | 39,060,000 | 40,815,921 | 40,550,277 | 40,451,708 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC | 2/14/2020 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 5,000,000 | 4,996,150 | 4,997,211 | 5,160,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC | 2/14/2020 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 5,000,000 | 4,996,150 | 4,997,211 | 5,160,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC | 2/14/2020 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 5,000,000 | 4,996,150 | 4,997,211 | 5,160,400 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC | 2/14/2020 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 15,000,000 | 14,988,450 | 14,991,633 | 15,481,200 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC | 2/14/2020 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 50,000,000 | 49,961,500 | 49,972,111 | 51,604,000 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC | 4/21/2021 | 2/12/2025 | 1.50 | 53,532,000 | 55,603,956 | 55,352,290 | 55,249,307 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELQY3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/23/2020 | 3/3/2025 | 1.21 | 16,000,000 | 15,990,720 | 15,993,109 | 16,347,520 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELQY3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3/23/2020 | 3/3/2025 | 1.21 | 24,000,000 | 23,964,240 | 23,973,447 | 24,521,280 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWT5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 4/21/2021 | 4/21/2025 | 0.60 | 50,000,000 | 49,973,500 | 49,974,788 | 49,942,500 |


|  |  |  | Maturity |  |  | Par Value |  | Book Value |  | Amortized Book Value |  | Market Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Settle Date | Date | Coupon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G05X7 | FANNIE MAE | 3/4/2021 | 8/25/2025 | 0.38 |  | 25,000,000 |  | 24,686,594 |  | 24,707,231 |  | 24,639,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G05X7 | FANNIE MAE | 2/25/2021 | 8/25/2025 | 0.38 |  | 72,500,000 |  | 71,862,000 |  | 71,910,957 |  | 71,454,550 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEX3 | FREDDIE MAC | 3/4/2021 | 9/23/2025 | 0.38 |  | 22,600,000 |  | 22,295,352 |  | 22,317,139 |  | 22,255,124 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  | 0.89 | \$ | 3,695,451,000 | \$ | 3,698,271,818 | \$ | 3,697,403,228 | \$ | 3,715,119,316 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPE52B4L6 | BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO | 3/22/2021 | 9/20/2021 | 0.10 | \$ | 10,000,000 | \$ | 10,000,000 | \$ | 10,000,000 | \$ | 10,000,000 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPE62M5Z8 | BRIDGE BANK | 3/22/2021 | 9/20/2021 | 0.10 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPE82MHI9 | BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO | 6/7/2021 | 12/6/2021 | 0.07 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPEE2K8C3 | BRIDGE BANK | 6/21/2021 | 12/20/2021 | 0.08 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | \$ | 40,000,000 | \$ | 40,000,000 | \$ | 40,000,000 | \$ | 40,000,000 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBC0 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 2/17/2021 | 7/2/2021 | 0.15 | \$ | 50,000,000 | \$ | 50,000,000 | \$ | 50,000,000 | \$ | 50,000,278 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBD8 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 2/17/2021 | 7/6/2021 | 0.15 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,833 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBS5 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 3/1/2021 | 8/25/2021 | 0.16 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,008,553 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBT3 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 3/1/2021 | 8/30/2021 | 0.16 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,009,317 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W2V6 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 3/2/2021 | 9/3/2021 | 0.16 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,009,928 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UJ63 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 3/16/2021 | 9/13/2021 | 0.18 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,027,075 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W2T1 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 3/2/2021 | 9/24/2021 | 0.16 |  | 70,000,000 |  | 70,000,000 |  | 70,000,000 |  | 70,018,388 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UG41 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 2/23/2021 | 9/27/2021 | 0.13 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,018,540 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W2U8 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 3/2/2021 | 9/29/2021 | 0.16 |  | 40,000,000 |  | 40,000,000 |  | 40,000,000 |  | 40,010,106 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UG58 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 2/23/2021 | 10/25/2021 | 0.12 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,010,014 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CCF2 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 3/8/2021 | 1/3/2022 | 0.20 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,023,344 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W3L7 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 3/8/2021 | 1/5/2022 | 0.20 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,023,593 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W3B9 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 3/4/2021 | 1/6/2022 | 0.20 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,028,460 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W2B0 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 2/18/2021 | 1/14/2022 | 0.18 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,038,437 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CCQ8 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 3/11/2021 | 1/20/2022 | 0.20 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,025,465 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W3W3 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 3/11/2021 | 1/20/2022 | 0.20 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,025,465 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBA4 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 2/16/2021 | 2/14/2022 | 0.20 |  | 75,000,000 |  | 75,000,000 |  | 75,000,000 |  | 75,042,869 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UG82 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 2/26/2021 | 2/16/2022 | 0.19 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,024,332 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UG90 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 2/26/2021 | 2/22/2022 | 0.18 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,000,000 |  | 60,027,683 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CCJ4 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 3/9/2021 | 2/28/2022 | 0.16 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,015,179 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CDY0 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 4/6/2021 | 2/28/2022 | 0.20 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,030,335 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UH57 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 3/9/2021 | 2/28/2022 | 0.17 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,032,175 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBZ9 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 3/3/2021 | 3/2/2022 | 0.16 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 100,034,943 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W3C7 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 3/4/2021 | 3/4/2022 | 0.21 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,034,254 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UJ30 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 3/11/2021 | 3/11/2022 | 0.23 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,042,273 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W4K8 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 3/15/2021 | 3/15/2022 | 0.23 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,042,939 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CCY1 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 3/16/2021 | 3/16/2022 | 0.17 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,020,852 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UH73 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 3/11/2021 | 3/16/2022 | 0.22 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,039,512 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UK46 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 3/30/2021 | 3/28/2022 | 0.23 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,048,872 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W5N1 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 3/30/2021 | 3/28/2022 | 0.22 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,045,112 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UK53 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 4/6/2021 | 4/6/2022 | 0.23 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,050,495 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W6T7 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 4/13/2021 | 4/11/2022 | 0.22 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,047,444 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114WBD6 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY | 5/25/2021 | 5/25/2022 | 0.21 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 50,050,209 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  | 0.18 | \$ | 1,905,000,000 | \$ | 1,905,000,000 | \$ | 1,905,000,000 | \$ | 1,905,907,267 |

## Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Maturity |  |  | Par Value |  | Book Value |  |  | Amortized | Market Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Settle Date | Date | Coupon |  |  |  | Book Value |  |  |
| Money Market Funds | 262006208 | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I | 6/30/2021 | 7/1/2021 | 0.03 | \$ | 564,678,246 |  |  | \$ | 564,678,246 | \$ | 564,678,246 | \$ | 564,678,246 |
| Money Market Funds | 608919718 | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PF | 6/30/2021 | 7/1/2021 | 0.03 |  | 105,073,553 |  | 105,073,553 |  | 105,073,553 |  | 105,073,553 |
| Money Market Funds | 09248 U 718 | BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND | 6/30/2021 | 7/1/2021 | 0.01 |  | 10,546,119 |  | 10,546,119 |  | 10,546,119 |  | 10,546,119 |
| Money Market Funds | 31607A703 | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 6/30/2021 | 7/1/2021 | 0.01 |  | 102,343,802 |  | 102,343,802 |  | 102,343,802 |  | 102,343,802 |
| Money Market Funds | 61747 C 707 | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUN | 6/30/2021 | 7/1/2021 | 0.03 |  | 320,391,887 |  | 320,391,887 |  | 320,391,887 |  | 320,391,887 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  | 0.02 | \$ | 1,103,033,607 | \$ | 1,103,033,607 | \$ | 1,103,033,607 | \$ | 1,103,033,607 |
| Supranationals | 459515HP0 | INTL FINANCE CORP DISC | 11/23/2020 | 7/1/2021 | 0.00 | \$ | 20,000,000 | \$ | 19,989,000 | \$ | 20,000,000 | \$ | 20,000,000 |
| Supranationals | 459515HP0 | INTL FINANCE CORP DISC | 11/17/2020 | 7/1/2021 | 0.00 |  | 35,000,000 |  | 34,978,028 |  | 35,000,000 |  | 35,000,000 |
| Supranationals | 459515HP0 | INTL FINANCE CORP DISC | 11/24/2020 | 7/1/2021 | 0.00 |  | 45,000,000 |  | 44,975,363 |  | 45,000,000 |  | 45,000,000 |
| Supranationals | 45950KCJ7 | INTL FINANCE CORP | 5/23/2018 | 7/20/2021 | 1.13 |  | 12,135,000 |  | 11,496,942 |  | 12,124,495 |  | 12,141,796 |
| Supranationals | 459058GH0 | INTL BK RECON \& DEVELOP | 7/25/2018 | 7/23/2021 | 2.75 |  | 50,000,000 |  | 49,883,000 |  | 49,997,647 |  | 50,077,500 |
| Supranationals | 459053KM5 | INTL BK RECON \& DEVELOP DISC | 5/11/2021 | 8/16/2021 | 0.00 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,994,611 |  | 99,997,444 |  | 99,995,000 |
| Supranationals | 459058JV6 | INTL BK RECON \& DEVELOP | 4/20/2021 | 4/20/2023 | 0.13 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 99,793,000 |  | 99,813,416 |  | 99,763,000 |
| Supranationals | 4581X0CM8 | INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK | 4/26/2021 | 1/15/2025 | 2.13 |  | 100,000,000 |  | 106,272,181 |  | 105,400,547 |  | 105,093,000 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  | 0.83 | \$ | 462,135,000 | \$ | 467,382,124 | \$ | 467,333,550 | \$ | 467,070,296 |


| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Par Value | Coupon | YTM ${ }^{1}$ | Settle Date | Maturity Date | Earned Interest | Amort. Expense | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Realized } \\ \text { Gain/(Loss) } \end{array}$ | Earned Income <br> /Net Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796G37 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.075 | 1/19/21 | 6/1/21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796A41 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.087 | 12/3/20 | 6/3/21 | 0.00 | 241.66 | 0.00 | 241.66 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796A41 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.081 | 1/11/21 | 6/3/21 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 225 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796A41 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.085 | 1/12/21 | 6/3/21 | 0 | 236.11 | 0 | 236.11 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796G86 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.0875 | 1/12/21 | 6/8/21 | 0.00 | 850.69 | 0.00 | 850.69 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796G86 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.0852 | 1/15/21 | 6/8/21 | 0.00 | 828.33 | 0.00 | 828.33 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796G86 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.085 | 1/19/21 | 6/8/21 | 0 | 826.39 | 0 | 826.39 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796B24 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.085 | 12/10/20 | 6/10/21 | 0 | 1062.5 | 0 | 1062.5 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796B24 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.086 | 12/10/20 | 6/10/21 | 0 | 1075 | 0 | 1075 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796B24 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.087 | 12/10/20 | 6/10/21 | 0 | 1087.49 | 0 | 1087.49 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128284T4 | US TREASURY | 0 | 2.625 | 1.6646 | 11/26/19 | 6/15/21 | 36057.69 | -12917.49 | 8504.87 | 31645.07 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128284T4 | US TREASURY | 0 | 2.625 | 1.6477 | 11/27/19 | 6/15/21 | 36057.69 | -13147.37 | 8412.94 | 31323.26 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128284T4 | US TREASURY | 0 | 2.625 | 1.6863 | 12/11/19 | 6/15/21 | 46875.00 | -16421.11 | 2328.34 | 32782.23 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128284T4 | US TREASURY | 0 | 2.625 | 1.6508 | 12/18/19 | 6/15/21 | 46875 | -17051.32 | 2279.86 | 32103.54 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128284T4 | US TREASURY | 0 | 2.625 | 0.1051 | 11/10/20 | 6/15/21 | 50480.77 | -48387.1 | 0.00 | 2093.67 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127963H0 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.085 | 12/17/20 | 6/17/21 | 0.00 | 6847.19 | 708.33 | 7555.52 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796B32 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.09 | 12/24/20 | 6/24/21 | 0 | 11500 | 0 | 11500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796H36 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.068 | 1/27/21 | 6/29/21 | 0.00 | 2644.44 | 0.00 | 2644.44 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796H36 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.035 | 6/17/21 | 6/29/21 | 0.00 | 1166.67 | 0.00 | 1166.67 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128287A2 | US TREASURY | 0 | 1.625 | 1.7068 | 11/8/19 | 6/30/21 | 65089.78 | 3209.64 | 0.00 | 68299.42 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128287A2 | US TREASURY | 0 | 1.625 | 1.6651 | 12/3/19 | 6/30/21 | 65089.78 | 1576.09 | 0 | 66665.87 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128287A2 | US TREASURY | 0 | 1.625 | 1.6528 | 12/9/19 | 6/30/21 | 65089.78 | 1094.99 | 0.00 | 66184.77 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128287A2 | US TREASURY | 0 | 1.625 | 0.1182 | 10/23/20 | 6/30/21 | 65089.78 | -60039.06 | 0.00 | 5050.72 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128287A2 | US TREASURY | 0 | 1.625 | 0.0931 | 1/12/21 | 6/30/21 | 97634.67 | -91999.12 | 0 | 5635.55 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828S27 | US TREASURY | 0 | 1.125 | 1.6388 | 8/15/17 | 6/30/21 | 22531.08 | 9847.06 | 0 | 32378.14 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828 S27 | US TREASURY | 0 | 1.125 | 0.1061 | 11/5/20 | 6/30/21 | 45062.15 | -40628.3 | 0.00 | 4433.85 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796B40 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.095 | 12/31/20 | 7/1/21 | 0 | 3826.39 | 103.94 | 3930.33 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796B40 | TREASURY BILL | 0 | 0 | 0.095 | 12/31/20 | 7/1/21 | 0 | 3826.39 | 103.94 | 3930.33 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796B57 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.09 | 1/14/21 | 7/8/21 | 0 | 3750 | 0 | 3750 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127963S6 | TREASURY BILL | 100000000 | 0 | 0.09 | 1/14/21 | 7/15/21 | 0 | 7500 | 0 | 7500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828Y20 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2.625 | 1.6932 | 12/12/19 | 7/15/21 | 108770.72 | -37616.99 | 0 | 71153.73 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796C49 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.0825 | 1/26/21 | 7/22/21 | 0.00 | 3437.5 | 0.00 | 3437.5 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796C49 | TREASURY BILL | 100000000 | 0 | 0.095 | 1/21/21 | 7/22/21 | 0 | 7916.71 | 0 | 7916.71 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796C56 | TREASURY BILL | 100000000 | 0 | 0.085 | 1/28/21 | 7/29/21 | 0 | 7083.29 | 0 | 7083.29 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796C64 | TREASURY BILL | 100000000 | 0 | 0.07 | 2/4/21 | 8/5/21 | 0.00 | 5833.35 | 0.00 | 5833.35 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964B2 | TREASURY BILL | 100000000 | 0 | 0.05 | 2/11/21 | 8/12/21 | 0.00 | 4166.71 | 0.00 | 4166.71 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828 YC 8 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.5 | 1.6587 | 12/9/19 | 8/31/21 | 61141.31 | 6407.24 | 0.00 | 67548.55 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964L0 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.1111 | 10/29/20 | 9/9/21 | 0.00 | 4625 | 0.00 | 4625 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964L0 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.1026 | 11/10/20 | 9/9/21 | 0 | 4270.83 | 0 | 4270.83 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285A4 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2.75 | 0.1103 | 11/19/20 | 9/15/21 | 112092.39 | -108203.13 | 0.00 | 3889.26 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285A4 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2.75 | 0.1049 | 12/3/20 | 9/15/21 | 112092.39 | -108377.95 | 0 | 3714.44 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828T34 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.125 | 1.6923 | 12/11/19 | 9/30/21 | 46106.55 | 22850.67 | 0.00 | 68957.22 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964 V 8 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.1156 | 10/29/20 | 10/7/21 | 0 | 4812.5 | 0 | 4812.5 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964 V 8 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.1151 | 10/29/20 | 10/7/21 | 0.00 | 4791.65 | 0.00 | 4791.65 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964 V 8 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.0931 | 12/3/20 | 10/7/21 | 0 | 3875 | 0 | 3875 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285F3 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2.875 | 0.1302 | 10/29/20 | 10/15/21 | 117827.87 | -112680.29 | 0.00 | 5147.58 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828 T67 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.25 | 1.428 | 11/10/16 | 10/31/21 | 50951.08 | 7033.83 | 0.00 | 57984.91 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964W6 | TREASURY BILL | 23860000 | 0 | 0.1352 | 11/5/20 | 11/4/21 | 0 | 2684.25 | 0 | 2684.25 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964W6 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.1377 | 11/5/20 | 11/4/21 | 0.00 | 5729.17 | 0.00 | 5729.17 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964W6 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.1201 | 11/10/20 | 11/4/21 | 0 | 5000 | 0 | 5000 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964W6 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.1001 | 11/19/20 | 11/4/21 | 0 | 4166.67 | 0 | 4166.67 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127964W6 | TREASURY BILL | 100000000 | 0 | 0.035 | 5/6/21 | 11/4/21 | 0 | 2916.6 | 0 | 2916.6 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796H51 | TREASURY BILL | 150000000 | 0 | 0.035 | 5/13/21 | 11/12/21 | 0 | 4375.08 | 0 | 4375.08 |


| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Par Value | Coupon | YTM ${ }^{1}$ | Settle Date | $\frac{\text { Maturity }}{\text { Date }}$ | Earned Interest | Amort. Expense | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Realized } \\ \text { Gain/(Loss) } \end{array}$ | Earned Income <br> /Net Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796H69 | TREASURY BILL | 100000000 | 0 | 0.03 | 5/20/21 | 11/18/21 | 0.00 | 2500.06 | 0.00 | 2500.06 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828U65 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.75 | 0.0954 | 12/14/20 | 11/30/21 | 71721.31 | -67942.05 | 0.00 | 3779.26 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828U65 | US TREASURY | 100000000 | 1.75 | 1.8957 | 12/13/16 | 11/30/21 | 143442.62 | 11376.17 | 0.00 | 154818.79 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127965G0 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.0901 | 12/17/20 | 12/2/21 | 0.00 | 3750 | 0.00 | 3750 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9127965G0 | TREASURY BILL | 100000000 | 0 | 0.1101 | 12/3/20 | 12/2/21 | 0 | 9166.65 | 0 | 9166.65 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285R7 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2.625 | 0.1161 | 12/4/20 | 12/15/21 | 107857.82 | -103006.56 | 0 | 4851.26 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285R7 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2.625 | 0.1085 | 12/8/20 | 12/15/21 | 107857.82 | -103326.61 | 0.00 | 4531.21 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285R7 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2.625 | 0.1094 | 12/9/20 | 12/15/21 | 107857.82 | -103289.25 | 0 | 4568.57 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128285R7 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2.625 | 0.1073 | 12/15/20 | 12/15/21 | 107857.82 | -103381.85 | 0 | 4475.97 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796A90 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.0902 | 1/26/21 | 12/30/21 | 0 | 3754.16 | 0 | 3754.16 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828U81 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2 | 1.6095 | 11/22/19 | 12/31/21 | 82827.89 | -15675.73 | 0.00 | 67152.16 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796C31 | TREASURY BILL | 100000000 | 0 | 0.0901 | 1/28/21 | 1/27/22 | 0.00 | 7500 | 0.00 | 7500 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828 Z60 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.375 | 0.104 | 1/13/21 | 1/31/22 | 56975.14 | -52168.33 | 0 | 4806.81 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828Z60 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.375 | 0.1012 | 1/15/21 | 1/31/22 | 56975.14 | -52288.38 | 0.00 | 4686.76 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796F38 | TREASURY BILL | 50000000 | 0 | 0.058 | 4/19/21 | 3/24/22 | 0 | 2416.66 | 0 | 2416.66 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZG8 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.375 | 0.0673 | 4/8/21 | 3/31/22 | 15368.86 | -12637.87 | 0.00 | 2730.99 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796G45 | TREASURY BILL | 100000000 | 0 | 0.065 | 4/22/21 | 4/21/22 | 0 | 5416.65 | 0 | 5416.65 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912796H44 | TREASURY BILL | 200000000 | 0 | 0.055 | 5/20/21 | 5/19/22 | 0 | 9166.73 | 0 | 9166.73 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828XD7 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.875 | 0.0798 | 5/13/21 | 5/31/22 | 76844.26 | -73739.39 | 0.00 | 3104.87 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128286Y1 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.75 | 0.0801 | 4/8/21 | 6/15/22 | 71905.22 | -68607.86 | 0.00 | 3297.36 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 9128286Y1 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.75 | 0.0922 | 4/28/21 | 6/15/22 | 71905.22 | -68099.27 | 0 | 3805.95 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828XW5 | US TREASURY | 25000000 | 1.75 | 1.7692 | 8/15/17 | 6/30/22 | 36237.20 | 378.55 | 0.00 | 36615.75 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZX1 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.125 | 0.107 | 3/12/21 | 6/30/22 | 5176.74 | -740.13 | 0 | 4436.61 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZX1 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.125 | 0.0906 | 3/31/21 | 6/30/22 | 5176.75 | -1413.45 | 0 | 3763.3 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZX1 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.125 | 0.0837 | 4/8/21 | 6/30/22 | 5176.75 | -1700.26 | 0 | 3476.49 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZX1 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.125 | 0.0927 | 4/15/21 | 6/30/22 | 5176.75 | -1328.66 | 0.00 | 3848.09 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZX1 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.125 | 0.0926 | 4/16/21 | 6/30/22 | 5176.75 | -1331.68 | 0 | 3845.07 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZX1 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.125 | 0.0924 | 4/19/21 | 6/30/22 | 5176.75 | -1340.82 | 0 | 3835.93 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828YA2 | US TREASURY | 100000000 | 1.5 | 0.0988 | 3/30/21 | 8/15/22 | 124309.40 | -115323.69 | 0.00 | 8985.71 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CAG6 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.125 | 0.0974 | 3/30/21 | 8/31/22 | 5095.11 | -1128.97 | 0 | 3966.14 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828TY6 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.625 | 0.1236 | 4/8/21 | 11/15/22 | 66236.41 | -61493.44 | 0.00 | 4742.97 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZD5 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.5 | 0.162 | 3/18/21 | 3/15/23 | 20380.43 | -13862.62 | 0.00 | 6517.81 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CBU4 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.125 | 0.1537 | 5/4/21 | 3/31/23 | 5122.95 | 1178.61 | 0.00 | 6301.56 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZU7 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.25 | 0.1911 | 3/12/21 | 6/15/23 | 10272.18 | -2414.77 | 0.00 | 7857.41 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZU7 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.25 | 0.1837 | 4/8/21 | 6/15/23 | 10272.17 | -2716.75 | 0 | 7555.42 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZU7 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.25 | 0.252 | 6/24/21 | 6/15/23 | 2390.71 | 18.96 | 0 | 2409.67 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828S35 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.375 | 1.6093 | 1/9/20 | 6/30/23 | 56944.18 | 9334.34 | 0.00 | 66278.52 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828 S35 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.375 | 0.2422 | 6/24/21 | 6/30/23 | 13263.24 | -10829.76 | 0 | 2433.48 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CCK5 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.125 | 0.2602 | 6/30/21 | 6/30/23 | 169.84 | 184.61 | 0 | 354.45 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828S92 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.25 | 0.2011 | 4/1/21 | 7/31/23 | 51795.58 | -43033.01 | 0 | 8762.57 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828S92 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.25 | 0.2027 | 4/1/21 | 7/31/23 | 51795.58 | -42964.16 | 0 | 8831.42 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828WE6 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2.75 | 1.7091 | 12/17/19 | 11/15/23 | 112092.39 | -41167.34 | 0 | 70925.05 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 91282CBA8 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.125 | 0.2954 | 3/19/21 | 12/15/23 | 5136.09 | 6965.69 | 0.00 | 12101.78 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828Y87 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.75 | 0.4154 | 3/30/21 | 7/31/24 | 72513.81 | -54411.92 | 0.00 | 18101.89 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828YM6 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.5 | 0.5038 | 4/15/21 | 10/31/24 | 61141.31 | -40450.05 | 0.00 | 20691.26 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828G38 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2.25 | 0.5162 | 3/9/21 | 11/15/24 | 91711.96 | -70382.1 | 0.00 | 21329.86 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828G38 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 2.25 | 0.4762 | 3/12/21 | 11/15/24 | 91711.96 | -72065.08 | 0 | 19646.88 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828YY0 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.75 | 0.5625 | 3/15/21 | 12/31/24 | 72474.41 | -48159.25 | 0 | 24315.16 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828752 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.375 | 0.5756 | 3/30/21 | 1/31/25 | 56975.14 | -32408.23 | 0 | 24566.91 |
| U.S. Treasuries | $912828 Z 52$ | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.375 | 0.5707 | 4/15/21 | 1/31/25 | 56975.14 | -32613.11 | 0 | 24362.03 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZC7 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.125 | 0.607 | 3/15/21 | 2/28/25 | 45855.98 | -20990.02 | 0.00 | 24865.96 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZC7 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 1.125 | 0.6083 | 3/31/21 | 2/28/25 | 45855.98 | -20938.05 | 0 | 24917.93 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZF0 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.5 | 0.613 | 4/15/21 | 3/31/25 | 20491.80 | 4578.91 | 0.00 | 25070.71 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 912828ZF0 | US TREASURY | 50000000 | 0.5 | 0.5822 | 4/19/21 | 3/31/25 | 20491.81 | 3331.96 | 0 | 23823.77 |

Monthly Investment Earnings
Pooled Fund


## Monthly Investment Earnings <br> Pooled Fund

| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name | Par Value | Coupon | YTM ${ }^{1}$ | Settle Date | Maturity Date | Earned Interest | Amort. Expense | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Realized } \\ \text { Gain/(Loss) } \end{array}$ | Earned Income /Net Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AHSR5 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 22,500,000 | 1.63 | 1.68 | 12/20/19 | 12/20/21 | 30,469 | 997 | - | 31,466 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMLW0 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 62,500,000 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 1/20/21 | 12/29/21 | 4,688 | 834 | - | 5,522 |
| Federal Agencies | 313313RK6 | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 3/30/21 | 1/5/22 | - | 2,500 | - | 2,500 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELTN4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 50,000,000 | 0.53 | 0.82 | 3/18/20 | 1/18/22 | 22,083 | 5,075 | - | 27,158 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELTN4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 63,450,000 | 0.53 | 0.67 | 3/23/20 | 1/18/22 | 28,024 | 7,231 | - | 35,255 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELKN3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 100,000,000 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1/28/20 | 1/28/22 | 129,167 | 328 | - | 129,495 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMEN8 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 100,000,000 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 5/4/21 | 2/1/22 | 3,750 | 582 | - | 4,332 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMEN8 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 100,000,000 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 5/6/21 | 2/1/22 | 3,750 | 499 | - | 4,249 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKAK2 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 20,700,000 | 2.53 | 2.56 | 2/19/19 | 2/14/22 | 43,643 | 478 | - | 44,121 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKBV7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 10,000,000 | 2.55 | 2.56 | 3/1/19 | 3/1/22 | 21,250 | 77 | - | 21,327 |
| Federal Agencies | $313378 W G 2$ | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 17,780,000 | 2.50 | 2.36 | 4/5/19 | 3/11/22 | 37,042 | $(1,932)$ | - | 35,109 |
| Federal Agencies | $313378 W G 2$ | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 40,000,000 | 2.50 | 2.36 | 4/5/19 | 3/11/22 | 83,333 | $(4,436)$ | - | 78,897 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKDC7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 26,145,000 | 2.47 | 2.36 | 4/8/19 | 3/14/22 | 53,815 | $(2,270)$ |  | 51,545 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKDC7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 45,500,000 | 2.47 | 2.36 | 4/8/19 | 3/14/22 | 93,654 | $(3,773)$ | - | 89,882 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELUQ5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 25,000,000 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 3/25/20 | 3/25/22 | 14,583 | 41 | - | 14,624 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELUQ5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 25,000,000 | 0.70 | 0.71 | 3/25/20 | 3/25/22 | 14,583 | 288 | - | 14,871 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELUQ5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 25,000,000 | 0.70 | 0.71 | 3/25/20 | 3/25/22 | 14,583 | 164 | - | 14,748 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELUQ5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 25,000,000 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 3/25/20 | 3/25/22 | 14,583 | 688 | - | 15,272 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0T45 | FANNIE MAE | 25,000,000 | 1.88 | 1.81 | 6/6/17 | 4/5/22 | 39,063 | $(1,229)$ | - | 37,834 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0V59 | FANNIE MAE | 25,000,000 | 2.25 | 2.36 | 4/12/19 | 4/12/22 | 46,875 | 2,245 | - | 49,120 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0V59 | FANNIE MAE | 50,000,000 | 2.25 | 2.36 | 4/12/19 | 4/12/22 | 93,750 | 4,489 | - | 98,239 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0V59 | FANNIE MAE | 50,000,000 | 2.25 | 2.36 | 4/12/19 | 4/12/22 | 93,750 | 4,489 | - | 98,239 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKHB5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 50,000,000 | 2.35 | 2.37 | 4/18/19 | 4/18/22 | 97,917 | 835 | - | 98,752 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMXN7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 19,550,000 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 4/28/21 | 4/27/22 | 978 | 135 | - | 1,113 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMEY4 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 10,000,000 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 5/6/21 | 5/6/22 | 500 | 7 | - | 507 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMEY4 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 10,000,000 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 5/18/21 | 5/6/22 | 500 | 8 | - | 508 |
| Federal Agencies | 313385WL6 | FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 5/6/21 | 5/6/22 | - | 2,292 | - | 2,292 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMGM8 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 50,000,000 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 5/11/21 | 5/10/22 | 2,500 | 138 | - | 2,638 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMJ37 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 30,000,000 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 5/17/21 | 5/13/22 | 1,500 | 21 | - | 1,521 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMJ37 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 45,000,000 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 5/13/21 | 5/13/22 | 2,250 | 148 | - | 2,398 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKLR5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 25,000,000 | 2.25 | 2.32 | 5/16/19 | 5/16/22 | 46,875 | 1,389 | - | 48,264 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EKLR5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 35,000,000 | 2.25 | 2.32 | 5/16/19 | 5/16/22 | 65,625 | 1,945 | - | 67,570 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EHLY7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 50,000,000 | 1.88 | 1.85 | 6/6/17 | 6/2/22 | 78,125 | (976) | - | 77,149 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EHLY7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 50,000,000 | 1.88 | 1.88 | 6/9/17 | 6/2/22 | 78,125 | 41 | - | 78,166 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELDK7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 20,000,000 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 12/16/19 | 6/15/22 | 27,167 | 35 | - | 27,202 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELDK7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 25,000,000 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 12/16/19 | 6/15/22 | 33,958 | 44 | - | 34,002 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELDK7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 25,000,000 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 12/16/19 | 6/15/22 | 33,958 | 44 | - | 34,002 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EHZP1 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 25,000,000 | 1.85 | 0.69 | 3/18/20 | 9/20/22 | 38,542 | $(23,540)$ | - | 15,002 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELVL5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 40,000,000 | 0.70 | 0.71 | 4/3/20 | 10/3/22 | 23,333 | 329 | - | 23,662 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWK4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 60,000,000 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 5/18/21 | 1/19/23 | 7,000 | 619 | - | 7,619 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELJH8 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 10,140,000 | 1.60 | 0.74 | 3/25/20 | 1/23/23 | 13,520 | $(7,083)$ | - | 6,437 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMUH3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 65,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 3/31/21 | 3/23/23 | 6,771 | 1,864 | - | 8,634 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMVP4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 20,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 4/13/21 | 4/13/23 | 2,083 | 1,085 | - | 3,168 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMVP4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 25,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 4/13/21 | 4/13/23 | 2,604 | 1,356 | - | 3,960 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMVP4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 50,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 4/13/21 | 4/13/23 | 5,208 | 2,712 | - | 7,921 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMXM9 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 44,500,000 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 5/5/21 | 4/27/23 | 4,635 | 1,569 | - | 6,205 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMYX4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 12,500,000 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 5/10/21 | 5/10/23 | 1,302 | 658 | - | 1,960 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMYX4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 25,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 5/10/21 | 5/10/23 | 2,604 | 1,315 | - | 3,919 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMYX4 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 75,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 5/10/21 | 5/10/23 | 7,813 | 3,945 | - | 11,758 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130AMRY0 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 15,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 6/4/21 | 6/2/23 | 1,406 | 512 | - | 1,918 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMF31 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 100,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 6/2/21 | 6/2/23 | 10,069 | 2,463 | - | 12,532 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMH96 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 50,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 6/28/21 | 6/14/23 | 521 | 566 | - | 1,087 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELNE0 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 20,495,000 | 1.43 | 0.85 | 3/18/20 | 2/14/24 | 24,423 | $(9,572)$ | - | 14,852 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMRZ7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 5,000,000 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 2/26/21 | 2/26/24 | 1,042 | 49 | - | 1,091 |

## Monthly Investment Earnings <br> Pooled Fund

| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name |  | Par Value | Coupon | YTM ${ }^{1}$ | Settle Date | $\frac{\text { Maturity }}{\text { Date }}$ |  | ed Interest |  | Amort. Expense |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Realized } \\ \text { Gain/(Loss) } \end{array}$ |  | Earned Income <br> Net Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Agencies | $3133 E M R Z 7$ | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK |  | 5,000,000 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 2/26/21 | 2/26/24 |  | 1,042 |  | 49 |  | . |  | 1,091 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMRZ7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK |  | 100,000,000 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 2/26/21 | 2/26/24 |  | 20,833 |  | 986 |  | - |  | 21,820 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMTW2 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK |  | 50,000,000 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 3/18/21 | 3/18/24 |  | 12,500 |  | 1,656 |  | - |  | 14,156 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMTW2 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK |  | 50,000,000 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 3/18/21 | 3/18/24 |  | 12,500 |  | 1,657 |  | - |  | 14,157 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWV0 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK |  | 16,545,000 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 5/4/21 | 4/22/24 |  | 4,826 |  | (128) |  | - |  | 4,697 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWV0 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK |  | 29,424,000 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 5/4/21 | 4/22/24 |  | 8,582 |  | (228) |  | - |  | 8,354 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWV0 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK |  | 39,000,000 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 5/4/21 | 4/22/24 |  | 11,375 |  | (302) |  | - |  | 11,073 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELCP7 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK |  | 25,000,000 | 1.63 | 1.66 | 12/3/19 | 12/3/24 |  | 33,854 |  | 657 |  | - |  | 34,511 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G0X24 | FANNIE MAE |  | 39,060,000 | 1.63 | 0.53 | 4/21/21 | 1/7/25 |  | 52,894 |  | $(34,765)$ |  | - |  | 18,128 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC |  | 5,000,000 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 2/14/20 | 2/12/25 |  | 6,250 |  | 63 |  | - |  | 6,313 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC |  | 5,000,000 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 2/14/20 | 2/12/25 |  | 6,250 |  | 63 |  | - |  | 6,313 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC |  | 5,000,000 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 2/14/20 | 2/12/25 |  | 6,250 |  | 63 |  | - |  | 6,313 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC |  | 15,000,000 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 2/14/20 | 2/12/25 |  | 18,750 |  | 190 |  | - |  | 18,940 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC |  | 50,000,000 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 2/14/20 | 2/12/25 |  | 62,500 |  | 633 |  | - |  | 63,133 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEP0 | FREDDIE MAC |  | 53,532,000 | 1.50 | 0.55 | 4/21/21 | 2/12/25 |  | 66,915 |  | $(41,308)$ |  | - |  | 25,607 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELQY3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK |  | 16,000,000 | 1.21 | 1.22 | 3/23/20 | 3/3/25 |  | 16,133 |  | 154 |  | - |  | 16,287 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133ELQY3 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK |  | 24,000,000 | 1.21 | 1.24 | 3/23/20 | 3/3/25 |  | 24,200 |  | 594 |  | - |  | 24,794 |
| Federal Agencies | 3133EMWT5 | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK |  | 50,000,000 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 4/21/21 | 4/21/25 |  | 25,000 |  | 544 |  | - |  | 25,544 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G05X7 | FANNIE MAE |  | 25,000,000 | 0.38 | 0.66 | 3/4/21 | 8/25/25 |  | 7,813 |  | 5,794 |  | - |  | 13,606 |
| Federal Agencies | 3135G05X7 | FANNIE MAE |  | 72,500,000 | 0.38 | 0.57 | 2/25/21 | 8/25/25 |  | 22,656 |  | 11,657 |  | - |  | 34,313 |
| Federal Agencies | 3137EAEX3 | FREDDIE MAC |  | 22,600,000 | 0.38 | 0.68 | 3/4/21 | 9/23/25 |  | 7,063 |  | 5,492 |  | - |  | 12,555 |
| Federal Agencies | 3130ALPE8 | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK |  | - | 1.08 | 1.08 | 3/23/21 | 3/23/26 |  | 66,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 66,000 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ | 3,695,451,000 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,809,576 | \$ | $(56,692)$ | \$ | 4,861 | \$ | 2,757,745 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPE91C5A0 | BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO | \$ | - | 0.27 | 0.27 | 12/7/20 | 6/7/21 | \$ | 444 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 444 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPE51K841 | BRIDGE BANK |  |  | 0.20 | 0.20 | 12/23/20 | 6/21/21 |  | 1,100 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,100 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPE52B4L6 | BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO |  | 10,000,000 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 3/22/21 | 9/20/21 |  | 833 |  | - |  | - |  | 833 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPE62M5Z8 | BRIDGE BANK |  | 10,000,000 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 3/22/21 | 9/20/21 |  | 822 |  | - |  | - |  | 822 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPE82MHI9 | BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO |  | 10,000,000 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 6/7/21 | 12/6/21 |  | 467 |  | - |  | - |  | 467 |
| Public Time Deposits | PPEE2K8C3 | BRIDGE BANK |  | 10,000,000 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 6/21/21 | 12/20/21 |  | 219 |  | - |  | - |  | 219 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ | 40,000,000 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 3,885 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,885 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBC0 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | \$ | 50,000,000 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 2/17/21 | 7/2/21 | \$ | 6,250 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 6,250 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBD8 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO |  | 50,000,000 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 2/17/21 | 7/6/21 |  | 6,250 |  | - |  | - |  | 6,250 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBS5 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO |  | 50,000,000 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 3/1/21 | 8/25/21 |  | 6,667 |  | - |  | - |  | 6,667 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBT3 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO |  | 50,000,000 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 3/1/21 | 8/30/21 |  | 6,667 |  | - |  | - |  | 6,667 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W2V6 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 3/2/21 | 9/3/21 |  | 6,667 |  | - |  | - |  | 6,667 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UJ63 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY |  | 100,000,000 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 3/16/21 | 9/13/21 |  | 15,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 15,000 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W2T1 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 70,000,000 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 3/2/21 | 9/24/21 |  | 9,333 |  | - |  | - |  | 9,333 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UG41 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY |  | 100,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 2/23/21 | 9/27/21 |  | 10,042 |  | - |  | - |  | 10,042 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W2U8 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 40,000,000 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 3/2/21 | 9/29/21 |  | 5,333 |  | - |  | - |  | 5,333 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UG58 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 2/23/21 | 10/25/21 |  | 5,067 |  | - |  | - |  | 5,067 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CCF2 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO |  | 50,000,000 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 3/8/21 | 1/3/22 |  | 8,333 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,333 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W3L7 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 3/8/21 | 1/5/22 |  | 8,333 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,333 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W3B9 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 60,000,000 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 3/4/21 | 1/6/22 |  | 10,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 10,000 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W2B0 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 100,000,000 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 2/18/21 | 1/14/22 |  | 15,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 15,000 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CCQ8 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO |  | 50,000,000 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 3/11/21 | 1/20/22 |  | 8,333 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,333 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W3W3 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 3/11/21 | 1/20/22 |  | 8,333 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,333 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBA4 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO |  | 75,000,000 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 2/16/21 | 2/14/22 |  | 12,500 |  | - |  | - |  | 12,500 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UG82 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 2/26/21 | 2/16/22 |  | 7,745 |  | - |  | - |  | 7,745 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UG90 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY |  | 60,000,000 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 2/26/21 | 2/22/22 |  | 9,007 |  | - |  | - |  | 9,007 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CCJ4 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO |  | 50,000,000 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 3/9/21 | 2/28/22 |  | 6,458 |  | - |  | - |  | 6,458 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CDY0 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO |  | 50,000,000 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 4/6/21 | 2/28/22 |  | 8,333 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,333 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UH57 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 3/9/21 | 2/28/22 |  | 8,393 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,393 |

## Monthly Investment Earnings <br> Pooled Fund

| Type of Investment | CUSIP | Issuer Name |  | Par Value | Coupon | YTM ${ }^{1}$ | Settle Date | $\frac{\text { Maturity }}{\text { Date }}$ | Earned Interest |  |  | $\frac{\text { Amort. }}{\text { Expense }}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Realized } \\ \text { Gain/(Loss) } \end{array}$ |  | arned Income <br> /Net Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CBZ9 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO |  | 100,000,000 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 3/3/21 | 3/2/22 |  | 13,595 |  | - |  | - |  | 13,595 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W3C7 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 3/4/21 | 3/4/22 |  | 8,750 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,750 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UJ30 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 3/11/21 | 3/11/22 |  | 9,583 |  | - |  | - |  | 9,583 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W4K8 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 3/15/21 | 3/15/22 |  | 9,583 |  | - |  | - |  | 9,583 |
| Negotiable CDs | 06367CCY1 | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO |  | 50,000,000 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 3/16/21 | 3/16/22 |  | 8,490 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,490 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UH73 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 3/11/21 | 3/16/22 |  | 9,167 |  | - |  | - |  | 9,167 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UK46 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 3/30/21 | 3/28/22 |  | 9,583 |  | - |  | - |  | 9,583 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W5N1 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 3/30/21 | 3/28/22 |  | 9,167 |  | - |  | - |  | 9,167 |
| Negotiable CDs | 78012UK53 | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 4/6/21 | 4/6/22 |  | 9,583 |  | - |  | - |  | 9,583 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114W6T7 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 4/13/21 | 4/11/22 |  | 9,167 |  | - |  | - |  | 9,167 |
| Negotiable CDs | 89114WBD6 | TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY |  | 50,000,000 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 5/25/21 | 5/25/22 |  | 8,750 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,750 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ | 1,905,000,000 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 293,463 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 293,463 |
| Money Market Funds | 262006208 | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I | \$ | 564,678,246 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | \$ | 11,682 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 11,682 |
| Money Market Funds | 608919718 | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PRM |  | 105,073,553 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 |  | 2,761 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,761 |
| Money Market Funds | 09248U718 | BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND |  | 10,546,119 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 |  | 44 |  | - |  | - |  | 44 |
| Money Market Funds | 31607A703 | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND |  | 102,343,802 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 |  | 1,367 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,367 |
| Money Market Funds | 61747C707 | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUND |  | 320,391,887 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 |  | 5,550 |  | - |  | - |  | 5,550 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ | 1,103,033,607 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 21,404 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 21,404 |
| Supranationals | 459515HP0 | INTL FINANCE CORP DISC | \$ | 20,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 11/23/20 | 7/1/21 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,500 |
| Supranationals | 459515HP0 | INTL FINANCE CORP DISC |  | 35,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 11/17/20 | 7/1/21 |  | - |  | 2,917 |  | - |  | 2,917 |
| Supranationals | 459515HP0 | INTL FINANCE CORP DISC |  | 45,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 11/24/20 | 7/1/21 |  | 11,387 |  | 3,375 |  | - |  | 3,375 |
| Supranationals | 45950KCJ7 | INTL FINANCE CORP |  | 12,135,000 | 1.13 | 2.97 | 5/23/18 | 7/20/21 |  | 11,387 |  | 16,587 |  | - |  | 27,974 |
| Supranationals | 459058GHO | INTL BK RECON \& DEVELOP |  | 50,000,000 | 2.75 | 2.85 | 7/25/18 | 7/23/21 |  | 114,583 |  | 3,208 |  | - |  | 117,792 |
| Supranationals | 459053KM5 | INTL BK RECON \& DEVELOP DISC |  | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 5/11/21 | 8/16/21 |  | , |  | 1,667 |  | - |  | 1,667 |
| Supranationals | 459058JV6 | INTL BK RECON \& DEVELOP |  | 100,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 4/20/21 | 4/20/23 |  | 10,417 |  | 8,507 |  | - |  | 18,924 |
| Supranationals | 4581 X0CM8 | INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK |  | 100,000,000 | 2.13 | 0.58 | 4/26/21 | 1/15/25 |  | 177,083 |  | $(125,206)$ |  | - |  | 51,877 |
| Subtotals |  |  | \$ | 462,135,000 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 313,470 | \$ | $(87,445)$ | \$ | - | \$ | 226,025 |
| Grand Totals |  |  |  | 2,604,479,607 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 7,152,872 | \$ | 1,942,611) | \$ | 27,303 | \$ | 5,237,564 |

# Investment Transactions <br> Pooled Fund 

For month ended June 30, 2021

| Transaction | Settle Date | Maturity | Type of Investment | Issuer Name | CUSIP |  | Par Value | Coupon | YTM | Price |  |  | Interest |  | Transaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchase | 6/1/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT | 61747C707 | \$ | 63,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 63,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/2/21 | 6/2/23 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133EMF31 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.16 |  | 99.94 |  | - |  | 99,938,000 |
| Purchase | 6/3/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT | 61747C707 |  | 107,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 107,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/4/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL | 608919718 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 50,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/4/21 | 6/2/23 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 3130AMRY0 |  | 15,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.17 |  | 99.91 |  | - |  | 14,986,200 |
| Purchase | 6/4/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT | 61747C707 |  | 56,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 56,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/7/21 | 12/6/21 | Public Time Deposits | BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO | PPE82MHI9 |  | 10,000,000 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 10,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/8/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT | 262006208 |  | 76,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 76,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/10/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607A703 |  | 44,000,000 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 44,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/10/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT | 61747C707 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/15/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL | 608919718 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/15/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607A703 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/15/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT | 61747C707 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/17/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT | 262006208 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/17/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT | 262006208 |  | 200,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 200,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/17/21 | 6/30/21 | Federal Agencies | FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT | 313385HN9 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.04 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 99,998,736 |
| Purchase | 6/17/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607A703 |  | 60,000,000 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 60,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/17/21 | 6/29/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796H36 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.04 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 99,998,833 |
| Purchase | 6/21/21 | 12/20/21 | Public Time Deposits | BRIDGE BANK | PPEE2K8C3 |  | 10,000,000 | 0.08 | 0.08 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 10,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/23/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL | 608919718 |  | 20,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 20,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/24/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT | 262006208 |  | 45,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 45,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/24/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL | 608919718 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/24/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607A703 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/24/21 | 6/30/23 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828S35 |  | 50,000,000 | 1.38 | 0.24 |  | 102.28 |  | 332,355 |  | 51,471,027 |
| Purchase | 6/24/21 | 6/15/23 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZU7 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.25 | 0.25 |  | 100.00 |  | 3,074 |  | 50,001,121 |
| Purchase | 6/25/21 | 6/28/21 | Federal Agencies | FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT | 313385HL3 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 49,999,917 |
| Purchase | 6/28/21 | 6/14/23 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | $3133 \mathrm{EMH96}$ |  | 50,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.26 |  | 99.73 |  | 2,431 |  | 49,867,281 |
| Purchase | 6/28/21 | 4/30/26 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 91282CBW0 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.75 | 0.89 |  | 99.32 |  | 60,122 |  | 49,722,232 |
| Purchase | 6/29/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL | 608919718 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 50,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/29/21 | 6/30/21 | Federal Agencies | FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT | 313385HN9 |  | 58,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 57,999,968 |
| Purchase | 6/29/21 | 6/30/21 | Federal Agencies | FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT | 313385HN9 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 99,999,944 |
| Purchase | 6/29/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607A703 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 50,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/29/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT | 61747C707 |  | 62,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 62,000,000 |
| Purchase | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT | 262006208 |  | 11,682 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 11,682 |
| Purchase | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL | 608919718 |  | 2,761 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 2,761 |
| Purchase | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F | 09248U718 |  | 44 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 44 |
| Purchase | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607A703 |  | 1,367 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 1,367 |
| Purchase | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT | 61747C707 |  | 5,550 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 5,550 |
| Purchase | 6/30/21 | 6/30/23 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 91282CCK5 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.26 |  | 99.73 |  | - |  | 49,865,234 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  |  |  | ,376,021,404 | 0.08 | 0.07 | \$ | 100.02 | \$ | 397,982 |  | 376,869,897 |
| Sale | 6/2/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL | 608919718 | \$ | 88,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 88,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/7/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT | 262006208 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/7/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT | 61747C707 |  | 43,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 43,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/9/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT | 262006208 |  | 32,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | ${ }^{-}$ |  | 32,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/11/21 | 6/15/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128284T4 |  | 50,000,000 | 2.63 | 1.66 |  | 100.03 |  | 641,827 |  | 50,655,499 |
| Sale | 6/11/21 | 6/15/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128284T4 |  | 50,000,000 | 2.63 | 1.65 |  | 100.03 |  | 641,827 |  | 50,655,499 |
| Sale | 6/14/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT | 262006208 |  | 200,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 200,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/14/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL | 608919718 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/14/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607A703 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/14/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT | 61747C707 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/14/21 | 6/17/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 9127963H0 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - |  | 100,000,000 |

# Investment Transactions 

Pooled Fund

| Transaction | Settle Date | Maturity | Type of Investment | Issuer Name | CUSIP | Par Value | Coupon | YTM |  | Price |  | Interest | Transaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale | 6/14/21 | 6/15/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128284 T4 | 50,000,000 | 2.63 | 1.69 |  | 100.01 |  | 652,644 | 50,656,236 |
| Sale | 6/14/21 | 6/15/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128284 T 4 | 50,000,000 | 2.63 | 1.65 |  | 100.01 |  | 652,644 | 50,656,236 |
| Sale | 6/16/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607A703 | 4,000,000 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 4,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/17/21 | 7/1/21 | Federal Agencies | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 313313HP6 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 49,999,806 |
| Sale | 6/17/21 | 7/1/21 | Federal Agencies | FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT | 313385 HP 4 | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 99,999,806 |
| Sale | 6/18/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607A703 | 12,000,000 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 12,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/21/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT | 262006208 | 75,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 75,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/21/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607 A703 | 42,000,000 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 42,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/28/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL | 608919718 | 30,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 30,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/28/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607 A703 | 60,000,000 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 60,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL | 608919718 | 150,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 150,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607A703 | 150,000,000 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 150,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT | 61747C707 | 36,000,000 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 36,000,000 |
| Sale | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796B40 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.10 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 49,999,972 |
| Sale | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796B40 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.10 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 49,999,972 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,872,000,000 | 0.30 | 0.21 | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | 2,588,942 | \$1,874,623,024 |
| Call | 6/23/21 | 3/23/26 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 3130ALPE8 | \$ 100,000,000 | 1.08 | 1.08 |  | 100.00 | \$ | - | \$ 100,000,000 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 100,000,000 | 1.08 | 1.08 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 100,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/1/21 | 6/1/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796G37 | \$ 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.08 |  | 100.00 | \$ | - | \$ 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/3/21 | 6/3/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796A41 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/3/21 | 6/3/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796A41 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.08 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/3/21 | 6/3/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796A41 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/7/21 | 6/7/21 | Public Time Deposits | BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO | PPE91C5A0 | 10,000,000 | 0.27 | 0.27 |  | 100.00 |  | 10,111 | 10,010,111 |
| Maturity | 6/8/21 | 6/8/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796G86 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/8/21 | 6/8/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796G86 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/8/21 | 6/8/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796G86 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/10/21 | 6/10/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796B24 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/10/21 | 6/10/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796B24 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/10/21 | 6/10/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796B24 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/15/21 | 6/15/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128284T4 | 50,000,000 | 2.63 | 0.11 |  | 100.00 |  | 656,250 | 50,656,250 |
| Maturity | 6/17/21 | 6/17/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 9127963H0 | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | , | 100,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/21/21 | 6/21/21 | Public Time Deposits | BRIDGE BANK | PPE51K841 | 10,000,000 | 0.20 | 0.20 |  | 100.00 |  | 9,867 | 10,009,867 |
| Maturity | 6/22/21 | 6/22/21 | Federal Agencies | FANNIE MAE | 3135G0U35 | 25,000,000 | 2.75 | 2.76 |  | 100.00 |  | 343,750 | 25,343,750 |
| Maturity | 6/24/21 | 6/24/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796B32 | 200,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 200,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/28/21 | 6/28/21 | Federal Agencies | FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT | 313385HL3 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/29/21 | 6/29/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796H36 | 50,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.07 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 50,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/29/21 | 6/29/21 | U.S. Treasuries | TREASURY BILL | 912796H36 | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.04 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 100,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/30/21 | 6/30/21 | Federal Agencies | FED FARM CRD DISCOUNT NT | 313313HN1 | 25,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.11 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 25,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/30/21 | 6/30/21 | Federal Agencies | FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT | 313385HN9 | 58,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 58,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/30/21 | 6/30/21 | Federal Agencies | FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT | 313385HN9 | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.04 |  | 100.00 |  | - | 100,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/30/21 | 6/30/21 | Federal Agencies | FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT | 313385 HN 9 | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  | 100.00 |  | - ${ }^{-}$ | 100,000,000 |
| Maturity | 6/30/21 | 6/30/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128287A2 | 50,000,000 | 1.63 | 1.71 |  | 100.00 |  | 406,250 | 50,406,250 |
| Maturity | 6/30/21 | 6/30/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128287A2 | 50,000,000 | 1.63 | 1.67 |  | 100.00 |  | 406,250 | 50,406,250 |
| Maturity | 6/30/21 | 6/30/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128287A2 | 50,000,000 | 1.63 | 1.65 |  | 100.00 |  | 406,250 | 50,406,250 |
| Maturity | 6/30/21 | 6/30/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128287A2 | 50,000,000 | 1.63 | 0.12 |  | 100.00 |  | 406,250 | 50,406,250 |
| Maturity | 6/30/21 | 6/30/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128287A2 | 75,000,000 | 1.63 | 0.09 |  | 100.00 |  | 609,375 | 75,609,375 |
| Maturity | 6/30/21 | 6/30/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828S27 | 25,000,000 | 1.13 | 1.64 |  | 100.00 |  | 140,625 | 25,140,625 |
| Maturity | 6/30/21 | 6/30/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828S27 | 50,000,000 | 1.13 | 0.11 |  | 100.00 |  | 281,250 | 50,281,250 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,728,000,000 | 0.43 | 0.28 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,676,228 | \$1,731,676,228 |

Investment Transactions
Pooled Fund

| Transaction | Setile Date | Maturity | Type of Investment | Issuer Name | CUSIP |  | Par Value | Coupon | YTM | Price | Interest |  | Transaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest | 6/2/21 | 3/2/22 | Negotiable CDs | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 06367CBZ9 | \$ | 100,000,000 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | \$ | 54,158 |
| Interest | 6/2/21 | 6/2/22 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133EHLY7 |  | 50,000,000 | 1.88 | 1.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 468,750 |
| Interest | 6/2/21 | 6/2/22 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133EHLY7 |  | 50,000,000 | 1.88 | 1.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 468,750 |
| Interest | 6/3/21 | 12/3/24 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133 ELCP7 |  | 25,000,000 | 1.63 | 1.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 203,125 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 6/15/22 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133ELDK7 |  | 20,000,000 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 163,000 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 6/15/22 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133ELDK7 |  | 25,000,000 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 203,750 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 6/15/22 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133ELDK7 |  | 25,000,000 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 203,750 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 12/15/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | $9128285 \mathrm{R7}$ |  | 50,000,000 | 2.63 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 656,250 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 12/15/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128285R7 |  | 50,000,000 | 2.63 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 656,250 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 12/15/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128285R7 |  | 50,000,000 | 2.63 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 656,250 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 12/15/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128285R7 |  | 50,000,000 | 2.63 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 656,250 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 6/15/22 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128286Y1 |  | 50,000,000 | 1.75 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 437,500 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 6/15/22 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 9128286Y1 |  | 50,000,000 | 1.75 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 437,500 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 6/15/23 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZU7 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 62,500 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 6/15/23 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZU7 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 62,500 |
| Interest | 6/15/21 | 12/15/23 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 91282CBA8 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 31,250 |
| Interest | 6/16/21 | 3/16/22 | Negotiable CDs | BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO | 06367CCY1 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 30,603 |
| Interest | 6/17/21 | 12/17/21 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133EJ3B3 |  | 19,000,000 | 2.80 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 266,000 |
| Interest | 6/17/21 | 12/17/21 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133EJ3B3 |  | 25,000,000 | 2.80 | 2.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 350,000 |
| Interest | 6/17/21 | 12/17/21 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133EJ3B3 |  | 25,000,000 | 2.80 | 2.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 350,000 |
| Interest | 6/17/21 | 12/17/21 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133EJ3B3 |  | 25,000,000 | 2.80 | 2.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 350,000 |
| Interest | 6/20/21 | 12/20/21 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 3130AHSR5 |  | 22,500,000 | 1.63 | 1.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 182,813 |
| Interest | 6/21/21 | 9/20/21 | Public Time Deposits | BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO | PPE52B4L6 |  | 10,000,000 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 2,528 |
| Interest | 6/23/21 | 3/23/26 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK | 3130ALPE8 |  | 100,000,000 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 270,000 |
| Interest | 6/25/21 | 10/25/21 | Negotiable CDs | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 78012UG58 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 5,237 |
| Interest | 6/28/21 | 9/27/21 | Negotiable CDs | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 78012UG41 |  | 100,000,000 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 10,667 |
| Interest | 6/28/21 | 2/28/22 | Negotiable CDs | ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY | 78012 UH 57 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 31,663 |
| Interest | 6/29/21 | 12/29/21 | Federal Agencies | FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK | 3133EMLW0 |  | 62,500,000 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 28,125 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT | 262006208 |  | 564,678,246 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 11,682 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL | 608919718 |  | 105,073,553 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 2,761 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F | 09248 U 718 |  | 10,546,119 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 44 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | FIDELITY INST GOV FUND | 31607A703 |  | 102,343,802 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,367 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 7/1/21 | Money Market Funds | MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT | 61747 C707 |  | 320,391,887 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 5,550 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/23 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828 S35 |  | 50,000,000 | 1.38 | 1.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 343,750 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/23 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828 S35 |  | 50,000,000 | 1.38 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 343,750 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 12/31/21 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828 U 81 |  | 50,000,000 | 2.00 | 1.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 500,000 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/22 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828XW5 |  | 25,000,000 | 1.75 | 1.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 218,750 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 12/31/24 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828YYO |  | 50,000,000 | 1.75 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 437,500 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/25 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZW3 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.25 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 62,500 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/25 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZW3 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 62,500 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/25 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZW3 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.25 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 62,500 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/25 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZW3 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.25 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 62,500 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/25 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZW3 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.25 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 62,500 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/22 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZX1 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 31,250 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/22 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZX1 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 31,250 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/22 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZX1 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 31,250 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/22 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZX1 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 31,250 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/22 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZX1 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 31,250 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 6/30/22 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 912828ZX1 |  | 50,000,000 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 31,250 |

## Investment Transactions

## Pooled Fund

| Transaction | Setile Date | Maturity | Type of Investment | Issuer Name | CUSIP | Par Value | Coupon | YTM |  | Price |  | Interest |  | Transaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 12/31/25 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 91282CBC4 | 50,000,000 | 0.38 | 0.60 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 93,750 |
| Interest | 6/30/21 | 12/31/25 | U.S. Treasuries | US TREASURY | 91282CBC4 | 50,000,000 | 0.38 | 0.68 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 93,750 |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,262,033,607 | 0.64 | 0.42 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 9,851,821 |


| Grand Totals | 39 | Purchases |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | (26) | Sales |
| (31) | Maturities / Calls |  |
|  | (18) | Change in number of positions |


| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors }}$ |
| Cc: | $\frac{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); }}{} \quad$Major, Erica (BOS) Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); <br> Subject: |
| FW: Parklet program |  |
|  | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 1:34:00 PM |

From: Allen Jones [jones-allen@att.net](mailto:jones-allen@att.net)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 1:08 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Cc: jdineen@sfchronicle.com; metro@sfchronicle.com; newstips [newstips@sfexaminer.com](mailto:newstips@sfexaminer.com); cgraf@sfexaminer.com
Subject: Parklet program

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attention: All Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you vote to approve the "Shared Spaces" program today, July 13, 2021, I would like to inform the entire board, last week I filed a complaint with the Department of Justice (civil rights division) concerning this program becoming permanent.

Though I am not expected to get a response for the federal government for "two weeks", I see myself being ignored by my local government on this issue as condescending to all disabled San Franciscans. And will continue to fight this program with or without help for my federal government.

My complaint: notwithstanding promises to adhere to ADA rules, this program it is impossible to achieve. As I stated in my April 5, 2021 900-word doc to the full board, my educated estimate there are more than 1,000 ADA violations connected to this one program.

The link is only 69 photos of violations. The first one (introducing all) is a good example of how Share Spaces is already out of control. People like myself cannot be reasonably expected to file a complaint of violation for the hundreds I have come across since this 2010 program was temporarily allowed to be streamlined a year ago.
Parklet violations - OneDrive (live.com)

Allen Jones
(415) 756-7733
jones-allen@att.net
Californiaclemency.org

The Only thing I love more than justice is the freedom to fight for it.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors }}$ |
| Cc: | $\frac{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); }}{} \quad \underline{\text { Major, Erica (BOS) Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}$ |
| Subject: | FW: Land Use and Transportation Committee Hearing Notice, Board File No. 210284 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 5:01:00 PM |

From: Steven Pickrell [spickrell89@gmail.com](mailto:spickrell89@gmail.com)
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 1:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Cc: Major, Erica (BOS) [erica.major@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.major@sfgov.org); stefanitaff@sfgov.org; Terry McGuire
[tj.mcguire@yahoo.com](mailto:tj.mcguire@yahoo.com)
Subject: Land Use and Transportation Committee Hearing Notice, Board File No. 210284

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

July 12, 2021
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102
VIA EMAIL: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
RE: Land Use and Transportation Committee Hearing Notice, Board File No. 210284

The Pacific Heights Residents Association wishes to convey its support for the proposed ordinance amending the Administrative Code to rename and modify the Places for People Program as the Shared Spaces Program, and to provide for the conversion of existing Parklet and Shared Spaces permittees to the new program requirements.

We understand how important the "dining parklets" have been to the survival and recovery of many neighborhood restaurants during the ongoing Covid-19 crisis, and we realize they are immensely popular with many residents and visitors. At the same time, given the speed with which the parklets were approved and erected, this is a crucial time to revisit important elements of the program. Adoption of the proposed ordinance provides the opportunity to ensure that the existing parklets and any future additions are subjected to reasonable scrutiny for appropriate design, location, operation, and maintenance.

The ordinance should ensure the physical safety of parklet users and the public at large by enforcing appropriate design standards, thoughtful parklet location, safe operating practices and regular upkeep by owners. Safety for parklet users and others should ensure not only the crashworthiness (or impact resistance) of the parklet structures, but just as important, the location of structures within a street block and design features such as size, mass, transparency, and lighting. Location of the
structures on a block matters; parklets located near an intersection present more risk by obstructing motorists' view of the crosswalk and bike lane. The parklets should not have excessive lighting visible from the roadway that could impair motorists' or cyclists' night vision. These are just a few examples; there are many other design and location considerations that the ordinance should reference to provide for safe operation.

Regular maintenance should be enforced through the permit application and renewal process. We support a relatively short permit period (i.e., renewal required every year) to ensure that owners are held accountable for the physical condition and safe operation of the parklet structures. Preexisting parklet structures should be inspected before being extended any post-Covid permit, and if they are found lacking relative to new guidelines, owners should be required to bring them up to standards or remove them.

We are sensitive to the idea that the City should not be creating excessive new "red tape" that would undermine the viability of parklets as a whole. At the same time, it is evident that there is great variability in the design, location, and maintenance of the parklets that sprang up during the emergency order. Some are poorly designed and/or located, and maintenance is erratic, particularly regarding cleanliness and litter. Deficient parklets should be modified, relocated, or removed rather than simply grandfathered in as-is, and permit renewal should be contingent upon ample evidence of ongoing safe operation and proper maintenance.

With clear guidelines and predictable, equitable enforcement, parklet owners will not be deterred from investing in safe, suitable structures and maintaining them properly. A well-crafted program will offer the assurance that if parklet owners play by the rules their permit will be renewed annually without uncertainty, delay, or unexpected cost.

Like any good thing, if the parklets are allowed to proliferate beyond reason, become poorly maintained, or create undue hazards because of poor design or location, negative impacts to the neighborhood will erode their current level of popular support. We encourage your careful review and amendment of the draft ordinance to ensure that the parklets continue to have only positive benefits for resident of the City's neighborhoods, restaurant patrons, and owners.

We thank you for your dedicated service to the people of the City of San Francisco and appreciate your consideration of our suggestions.

Sincerely,

Terry McGuire, President
Steve Pickrell, Vice President
Pacific Heights Residents Association

## CC: <br> Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor (stefanistaff@sfgov.org)

Erica Major, Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee (Erica.Major@sfgov.org)

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | $\frac{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}{}$ |
| Subject: | Major, Erica (BOS) |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 9:27:00 AM |

From: Amelio Schembari [Amelio@outlook.com](mailto:Amelio@outlook.com)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 7:10 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: Parklets

[^79]Again, The board has chosen to disregard the need ALL

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors }}$ |
| Cc: | $\frac{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}{}$ |
| Subject: | Major, Erica (BOS) |
| Date: | FWarklets |

From: Amelio Schembari [Amelio@outlook.com](mailto:Amelio@outlook.com)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 7:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: Parklets

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You did it again!! You have failed the majority of the people of San Francisco by making the parklets permanent. This action lost revenue to the other businesses business establishment in the area. If people can't park in the city, they will simply move on to the peninsula where they are welcomed.. SMART MOVE!!!
While we're at it, OPEN THE GREAT HIGHWAY!!! What is the board and the mayor thinking. The city is moving in the wrong direction.
Native San Franciscan,

Sent from Mail for Windows 10.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); |
| Subject: | Major, Erica (BOS) |
| Date: | FW: Shame on San Francisco! |
|  | Thursday, July 15, 2021 10:43:00 AM |

From: Chaz - [churbert@outlook.com](mailto:churbert@outlook.com)
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2021 8:50 AM
To: ChanStaff (BOS) [chanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:chanstaff@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: Shame on San Francisco!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Connie,

I very disappointed to wake up to find the BoS unanimously approved Permanent Shared Spaces program. This was introduced as an EMERGENCY program to "help" small business only to find the city has taken advantage of resident's goodwill by making the program permanent. if you don't live above or adjacent to an outdoor parket you have NO idea how disruptive it is to our quality of life.

The Shared Spaces literature also is poorly thought out and planned and doesn't take into account other stakeholders such as residents and families as well as other impacted businesses who will be negatively impacted such as hair and nail salons, dry cleaners, retail stores and more.

You've sold the city's public spaces to one specialized type of business at the expense of all else. Shame on you all. I was born in this city and have lived here my whole life. I no longer feel like this is my home. It's become an unaffordable city, with bureaucrats who continue to push legislation that makes a working-class life hell all so those seeking leisure, recreation and entertainment can plunder our streets.

Connie, I am truly saddened you were pressured to go along with the Mayor and her cronies. Willie Brown and his parade of hand-groomed successors is the reason all my friends who drive for MUNI and work for SFUSD can no longer afford to live here. But you are the reason they won't even be able to find parking for their jobs. All so those with the resources to afford single family homes can come to Clement Street and have dinner in parking spaces.

The good news for you all is I no longer want to live here. Like generations of my family that were each successively pushed out by changes to benefit the elite, I will be looking to sell my
home and move. I pay a mountain of property taxes each year so you can sell the very sidewalks I am responsible to repair to Ford bikes, Bird Scooters and now some insensitive and selfish restaurant all the while scratching your empty heads about why we have budget deficits.

I am done fighting. You all are complicit in the destruction of the working-class in this city. Progressive majority, my ass. Progressives don't sell public lands so that young, white techies can have drinks in the bike lane.

Charles Hurbert, D1

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); |
| Subject: | Major, Erica (BOS) |
| Date: | FW: SF Parklets - A reason to vote "YES" for San Francisco |
|  | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 1:33:00 PM |

From: pheonix [joehura@gmail.com](mailto:joehura@gmail.com)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 12:12 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) [mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); StefaniStaff, (BOS) [stefanistaff@sfgov.org](mailto:stefanistaff@sfgov.org); ChanStaff (BOS)
[chanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:chanstaff@sfgov.org); Peskin, Aaron (BOS) [aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org); Marstaff (BOS)
[marstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:marstaff@sfgov.org); PrestonStaff (BOS) [prestonstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:prestonstaff@sfgov.org); Haneystaff (BOS)
[haneystaff@sfgov.org](mailto:haneystaff@sfgov.org); MelgarStaff (BOS) [melgarstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:melgarstaff@sfgov.org); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]
[mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org); RonenStaff (BOS) [ronenstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:ronenstaff@sfgov.org); Waltonstaff (BOS)
[waltonstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:waltonstaff@sfgov.org); Safai, Ahsha (BOS) [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)
Subject: SF Parklets - A reason to vote 'YES' for San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear London Breed, and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

How are you?

I am writing to you all to implore you to vote in favour of the upcoming al-fresco parklets legislation.

When you look at The Most Liveable Cities list compiled by the Economist Intelligence Unit's (EIU) "Global Liveability Ranking", you will see cities such as Melbourne, Vienna, Vancouver, featured as clear winners of the last decade.

San Francisco, part of the list of cities indexed by EIU, is not anywhere near the top ten.

One key point that all the winning and top ten cities have in common is the volume of outdoor dining options. Residents and visitors absolutely love being able to enjoy The City as they dine.

The closed streets program coupled with the al-fresco dining spots made available to Cafes, Restaurants and Bars, has invigorated The City. Areas such as Chestnut Street, Hayes Valley, Valencia Street, Little Saigon, Polk Street, Nob Hill, The Lower Haight, The Divisadero Corridor, to name but a handful, have received a vigor and exuberance to ruffle the onset of stagnation that had begun to creep in. This new vitality and energy from diners, cafes, restaurateurs, and bar owners, is fabulous.

In imploring your vote in favour of the parklets, I would ask that you consider the effects of street
vandals in The City on Cafe, Restaurant and Bar owners of these parklet constructions. Those that foot the bill for creating and decorating the al-fresco spaces have incurred a huge cost from vandalism, and I feel appropriate protections should be in place for them. Legislation should account for such horrid acts of vandals.

A step towards getting San Francisco to become one of The Most Liveable Cities, would be to make parklets a permanent part of The City.

I ask that you vote in favour of parklets in San Francisco.

Wishing you all the best,
pheonix
Resident of San Francisco, District 6

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: City Government |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:55:00 AM |

From: Charles Leyes [cleyes39@gmail.com](mailto:cleyes39@gmail.com)
Sent: Saturday, July 10, 2021 1:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: City Government

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Today in the Chron there was a story about serious problems in the Human Resources Dept. which seems to have developed a reputation of being both unfair and totally ineffective as well. A recent manager had to resign because of a forgery (by her) regarding an employee complaint. I shook my head in disbelief. An outside study found they have complaints years old which remain unresolved. I thought, gosh, can't they at least get Human Resources right?
Then I started to think of other problems, and the list kept getting longer. First comes to mind the corruption in Dept. of Public Works and the building Inspectors which have been complained of for years. Then we have Harlan Kelly and his wife (also employed by the city) having to resign due to corruption evidence. There was the well remembered head of "Public Housin" appointed by Mayor Brown, who took forever to get replaced even though his reputation was in the mud for incompetence and corruption.

Then I think of the Board of Supervisors themselves. They act very self-important, but they never seem to get anything actually done to benefit the residents of the City. They seem more like just a "talking club" that mostly complains about other people.

Then we have the Muni that has been on life support for years, and nobody can fix it. It's a fantastic system but it never runs well. Will it ever run the way it should???

Then there is a homeless problem that can't seem to make any progress even though millions of dollars have been spent. Added to that is a drug and mental health crisis that also can't seem to make any progress. They are still people that argue that the mentally distressed have the right to be FREE, which results in nothing being done to help them, and they are left to fend for themselves.

We used to speak of San Francisco as the City that knows how. That's now just a laugh. Think of how long and tortuous the upgrading of Van Ness Ave.has been. I often drive on Sunset Blvd as an alternative to 19 th Ave. Some time ago, there was a planting of the center island by the Dept. of Public Works. It had some nice plants and flowers there that were supposed to be drought resistant but now, due to total neglect,, it's almost completely dead and ugly. Millions spent on the labor and plants wasted due to no follow through or adequate
planning.

I think San Francisco needs to stop being so self-congratulatory and start doing the work needed to make this city function properly. It seems like there are no adults in the room.

Charles Leyes
108 Gonzalez Dr.
San Francisco, CA 94132

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) }}$ |
| Subject: | FW: Commercial Rent Relief Fund |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 11:53:00 AM |

## -----Original Message-----

From: John R. Jones [rayjones.email@icloud.com](mailto:rayjones.email@icloud.com)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 4:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: Commercial Rent Relief Fund

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To All Board Members,
I am in complete support of the Subject Relief Fund for Small Businesses. However, we should not create a philosophy of bailing out any businesses with our tax payers money. I'm very aware and sympathetic to the very special circumstances of this once in a life time Pandemic 19 set back for all Americans and no doubt World citizens and the unprecedented business dilemma. We must remember, that under normal circumstances, Small Businesses have a large percentage of failures under normal circumstances. I spent most of my adult life financing and consulting large and small businesses, as both a banker and economic developer here in San Francisco. I would suggest that the assistance being discussed by the Board of Supervisors, to set up a 10-15 year debt financing relief program, with a minimum or interest free loan, to be evaluated annually. Should a business recovery reach or exceed projections, the debt agreement and repayment could be modified. This, would give San Francisco tax payers the comfort of not supporting profit oriented businesses without the possibility returning their tax contributions. Also, the integrity and responsibility would enhance the business owner/entrepreneur's self esteem and standing in the San Francisco business Community. A potential for a Win-Win. Simply, my attempt to be helpful.

Best Wishes,
J. Ray Jones
415.648.3484

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Dangerous cable car tracks |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:21:00 PM |

## -----Original Message-----

From: Michael Anders [mja712@gmail.com](mailto:mja712@gmail.com)
Sent: Saturday, July 10, 2021 9:02 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); PrestonStaff (BOS)
[prestonstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:prestonstaff@sfgov.org); Breed, Mayor London (MYR) [mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)
Subject: Re: Dangerous cable car tracks

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Does anyone actually read these emails? Or do I need to vote for different people next election?
Sent from my iPhone
$>$ On Jun 30, 2021, at 5:52 PM, Michael Anders [mja712@gmail.com](mailto:mja712@gmail.com) wrote:
$>$
$>$ Hello?
$>$
$>$ Sent from my iPhone
$>$
>> On Jun 17, 2021, at 12:42 PM, Michael Anders [mja712@gmail.com](mailto:mja712@gmail.com) wrote:
>>
>>
>> Hello,
>>
>> Cable cars are one of the iconic parts of the city. They are a great draw for tourists and give SF its unique feel. However, these tracks in the middle of our streets are extremely dangerous for bikers.
>>
>> On May 22, I was riding my bike on Market St. A car was stopped in front of me and I wanted to ride around it. In this section of the street, there was no room on the right of the car so the only way to get around it was by merging to the left.
>>
>> In merging into the middle lane (bus lane), you have to cross the cable car tracks. As I crossed the lane, my bike wheel got caught in the cable car tracks. I fell off my bike and dislocated my shoulder. Luckily some good samaritans helped me up and I went right to the hospital. After waiting for almost 2 hours of excruciating pain, I was finally able to get my shoulder back into place. In the ER, the doctor told me he sees serious injuries EVERY DAY that result from bikers and walkers crossing the cable car tracks and suffering major falls.
>>
>> The city has NO SIGNAGE and NO WARNINGS to residents about the dangers of these tracks. I'm lucky that the only thing I suffered was a dislocated shoulder! I could have been hit by a car or bus as I lay helpless on Market Street!
>>
>> Please do something about this. Please create more barriers and provide more warnings to walkers and travelers about the dangers of these cable car tracks. I was told by multiple friends who live here that many SF residents know someone who has gotten injured on these tracks and that is a big part of how they know to avoid them. But what about the people like me who just moved here recently? How was I supposed to know that you can't cross these tracks in the middle of the most major street in the city?
>> There are so many avoidable injuries happening every day that come from the city not warning residents about these tracks. Please do something about it.
>>
>> Thank you,
>>
>> Michael Anders
>> Hayes Valley

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}$ |
| Subject: | PrestonStaff (BOS) |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:46:00 AM |

From: Brian Hertzog [hertzog.brian@gmail.com](mailto:hertzog.brian@gmail.com)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 12:05 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: District 5 - Dean Preston - Willow Street between Franklin and Van Ness

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Preston,

I am a resident of 950 Franklin Street, I've lived here for 28 years, located right across Franklin from Cathedral Hill High School. Willow Street borders my building, and we have developed a serious, criminal situation there over the years, within feet of the high school. I invite you to come take a look. You will see urine, feces, a pit bull, a bicycle chop shop run by muscled, alert ex-cons. I moved to SF in 1990 and I have never in all this time seen it this bad. The sidewalks are blocked and no longer accessible, urine is seeping into our basement as they use the garage door as a restroom. Please help us. We are desperate. The police are clearly not interested when we call them. This group has tapped into the electrical system under the sidewalk to operate their machinery to cut up stolen bicycles. Someone was murdered there two weeks ago, right by my home. It was not always like this, and I always thought that by living next to a high school the city would never let it go down this road. I was so wrong about that. It's been happening for years. Please. Help. Please. Perhaps they could be moved to the mayor's sidewalk, perhaps that would get the ball rolling? I don't know, I hate that I've dedicated over half my life to a city that is dedicating nothing back. Maybe it's just time to cut bait, but I am giving this one last shot. Everyone in my building is upset. I hope you will represent us. Thank you. We work hard, we pay a lot of taxes and very high parking tickets, and we vote, only to be presented with a group of people who are literally getting away with anything and everything, with no repercussions. Please help us. Thank you. We are under attack.

```
lum,
-Original Message--
Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 2:34mP
```



```
commission,(ETH) <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>>,SOTF,(BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted source,
Dear Mayor's office on disability and human rights commission and ethics commission, BOS, SOTF:
request help from the Mayor's office on disability to help me file a complaint for this issue, as I don't know which department handles open govermment issues such as this. I have many disabilities and cannot do the research to find out which department is needed and I request disaility asistance for this.
ITve brought to the attention numerous times in the past, that the human rights commission is not posting accurate or timely meeting minutes prior to their approval at public meetings (Such as the meeting today).. This is not only wrong, but might be illegal. How is the public supposed to comment on meeting
mes approvals, and commission are supposed to approve them, when they're not even made accessible?
The most recent meeting minutes posted are from June 10, the public has no accesss to the meeting minutes for the previous commission meeting
2. Previously it meetings, the accuracy of my comments was not reflected, or they were ignored entirely. It begs the question what point is there to having a public process in this democracy, if our contributions are not even recorded properly or at all
3,.My emails to human rights commission are routinely ignored and not responded to, and the commissioners themselves all have email addresses that are blocking my emails. There is no other department that I know of which does this.
.Please note that it is only after considerale effort over many many hours of voluntered unpaid time as a member of the public, that this commission has even begun to post tacessible meeting information
Again, I ask for assistance from the Mayor's office on disability for where to file this complaint. Maybe the ethics commission? Maybe the susshine ordinance? Tve copied them here as well in case that is the correct department.
Zach Karmaze
Disability Advocate | Joumalist |Artis
Please note: ** While technology has improved a lot, computer acessibility aids are not a magic bullet for all chronic pain and disability needs. *Using the computer hurts for me, alway.
My replies can take a while sometimes, depending on my pain levess and functional use of my hand. I appreciate your patience! Fel free to follow up with me if you don't get a reply.
My aids may leave typos in my message(s). Please let me know in your response if any part of my email neds clarifying or is confusing.
*CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain confidential andor privileged information and may be legally protected from disclosure.*
```


## Audio Archive
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## STAY CONNECTED

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Launch of Market Zone Working Group Letter Attached |
| Date: | Wednesday, July 14, 2021 2:15:00 PM |
| Attachments: | Market Zone Working Group Letter 7.14.21.pdf |

From: Dee Dee [deedee@workmanassociatessf.com](mailto:deedee@workmanassociatessf.com)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 12:16 PM
To: Chu, Carmen (ADM) [carmen.chu@sfgov.org](mailto:carmen.chu@sfgov.org); Colfax, Grant (DPH) [grant.colfax@sfdph.org](mailto:grant.colfax@sfdph.org); Degrafinried, Alaric (DPW) [alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org](mailto:alaric.degrafinried@sfdpw.org); McSpadden, Shireen (HOM) [shireen.mcspadden@sfgov.org](mailto:shireen.mcspadden@sfgov.org); Carr, Rowena (POL) [Rowena.Carr@sfgov.org](mailto:Rowena.Carr@sfgov.org); SFPD, Chief (POL) [sfpdchief@sfgov.org](mailto:sfpdchief@sfgov.org); FireAdministration, FIR (FIR) [fireadministration@sfgov.org](mailto:fireadministration@sfgov.org); Nicholson, Jeanine (FIR) [jeanine.nicholson@sfgov.org](mailto:jeanine.nicholson@sfgov.org); Kate Sofis (ECN) [kate.sofis@sfgov.org](mailto:kate.sofis@sfgov.org); Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA) [Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com](mailto:Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com)

Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) [mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org); Walton, Shamann (BOS) [shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Jones, De'Anthony (HRC) [deanthony.jones@sfgov.org](mailto:deanthony.jones@sfgov.org); DHSH (HOM) [dhsh@sfgov.org](mailto:dhsh@sfgov.org); Dodge, Sam (HOM) [sam.dodge@sfgov.org](mailto:sam.dodge@sfgov.org)
Subject: Launch of Market Zone Working Group Letter Attached

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Greetings San Francisco City Leaders,

Please find the attached letter regarding the establishment of the Market Zone Working Group. We welcome you to share this letter with your aides and staff.

For more information or to contact the Market Zone Working Group, please call or email our Working Group Manager Dee Dee Workman (info below).

Thank you,

The Market Zone Working Group

Dee Dee Workman
415.533.8130
deedee@workmanassociatessf.com

July 14, 2021
Carmen Chu, City Administrator
Grant Colfax, Director, DPH
Alaric Degrafinried, Acting Director, DPW
Shireen McSpadden, Director, Office of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
Jeanine Nicholson, Chief, SFFD
William Scott, Chief, SFPD
Kate Sofis, Director, OEWD
Jeffrey Tumlin, Director, SFMTA
RE: Launch of the Market Zone Working Group
Dear City of San Francisco Department Directors,
We are pleased to let you know that several organizations and businesses in the San Francisco Produce Market area have formed a new group to partner with you and your staff to improve the conditions of our shared public areas. The Market Zone Working Group (members listed below) are businesses collaborating to promote workforce safety and neighborhood improvements in the business-oriented PDR area of District 10 with street boundaries (generally) of Hudson Avenue to the north, McKinnon Avenue to the south, Upton Street to the west, and Rankin Street to the east.

Many who come here must contend with challenges that other areas of the city may not experience to the same degree due to our isolated and cut-off location, a lack of residential streets and commercial spaces regularly frequented by the public, and other factors. Employees and customers often feel unsafe, especially at night when operations take place, and this has been true for a long time. Critical public services that ensure clean and safe streets and sidewalks in other areas of the City are not consistent here.

We have therefore come together as the Market Zone Working Group to partner with you and your staff to explore and implement solutions on behalf of our employees, clients and customers. We believe that our collective efforts will be productive because we all share these important goals, for example:

- Calm streets and clean, well-lit sidewalks in good repair
- Neighborhood security and safety
- Reasonable responses when sidewalks are impeded, forcing pedestrians into streets and traffic
- Compassionate care for those on the streets with mental health and addiction challenges

Members of our Working Group include respected nonprofit organizations that provide essential services like highquality healthy food, meals and health care - COVID-19 testing and vaccinations - to San Francisco residents especially those disproportionately impacted by food insecurity and the pandemic. We provide hundreds of PDR jobs for all San Franciscans. And we contribute thousands of dollars each year to the City's General Fund in tax revenues.

The Market Zone Working Group would like to meet with you and your staff in a new collaborative partnership to discuss ways to improve the conditions of an area that may be unfamiliar to some but is so important to San Francisco. Our Working Group Manager Dee Dee Workman will contact your offices to schedule a time to meet. She can also be reached at 415.533.8130 or deedee@workmanassociatessf.com.

Sincerely,
Market Zone Working Group (members to date):

| Bi-Rite Market/Catering | Howard Properties | Innes Partners/Merrill's Packaging |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lift Properties/KPIG | Meals on Wheels | Prologis $\quad$ The SF Market |

cc: Mayor London Breed; BOS President Shamann Walton; Clerk of the BOS (to be distributed to all Supervisors); De'Anthony Jones, Neighborhood Liaison, MONS; SFHOT/SCRT/HSOC/SWRT

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Letter from staff |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 3:52:00 PM |

From: Ndolphin [nicosun1284@gmail.com](mailto:nicosun1284@gmail.com)
Sent: Wednesday, July 7, 2021 9:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: Letter from staff

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Baker Places- Staff would like to know if it is possible to receive Retro payment for Hazard pay, and to continue to receive Hazard pay until the pandemic is over. The chief concern is not receiving proper PPE early on into the pandemic. Not being informed by management on how to utilize federal time off, and instead counselors using their own vacation time to quarantine. Staff have also been encouraged to continue to work throughout the pandemic in order to meet the staffing compliance. During this crisis staff have faced an overwhelming amount of stress, and constant fears of bringing the virus back home to elders, and children. Acknowledging that we should be happy to continue to be working throughout this time in crisis is not enough. Our workload has not stopped and as mental health workers/residential Counselors, we continue to serve people in crisis. We have witnessed suicide, and clients decompensating mentally. Staff have continued to work in good faith serving the city of San Francisco. Currently we have not been given a timetable of when we will receive any type of hazard pay from our company Baker places PRC and no information from our Union SEIU Local 1021, as we continue to pay union dues every month. I am an active union worker, and I do attend all of our company's meetings, and union meetings. Yes we have worked with clients who was positive with covid19 when the vaccine was not out. Yes staff has caught covid-19 as well. And yes we have continued to work with the most vulnerable population in San Francisco. Currently there is no indication if we deserve hazard pay.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}$ |
| Yubject: | FW: Letter in Support of Hanley Chan |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 5:03:00 PM |

From: h leung [autostopsf@gmail.com](mailto:autostopsf@gmail.com)
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 3:11 PM
To: Waltonstaff (BOS) [waltonstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:waltonstaff@sfgov.org); Peskin, Aaron (BOS) [aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org);
MandelmanStaff, [BOS] [mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org); ChanStaff (BOS) [chanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:chanstaff@sfgov.org);
Young, Victor (BOS) [victor.young@sfgov.org](mailto:victor.young@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: Letter in Support of Hanley Chan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to ask that Mr. Hanley Chan be appointed to the San Francisco Sheriff's Department Oversight Board.

Hanley's background, extensive knowledge and qualifications, his law enforcement experience as well as his community involvement and commitment make him supremely qualified to be part of your oversight board.

Hanley Chan is the perfect, committed community leader we need right now and I urge you to appoint him to the San Francisco Sheriff's Department Oversight Board.

Sincerely,

```
--
```

HARRISON LEUNG
AUTO STOP
185 MISSISSIPPI ST.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107
415-863-2739
WWW.AUTOSTOPSF.COM
San Francisco Resident

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}$ |
| Subject: | Young, Victor (BOS) |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:53:00 AM |

From: Wayne J Lee [friends@wayneleemillbrae.com](mailto:friends@wayneleemillbrae.com)
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 5:07 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) [aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]
[mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org); ChanStaff (BOS) [chanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:chanstaff@sfgov.org)
Cc: Waltonstaff (BOS) [waltonstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:waltonstaff@sfgov.org); Young, Victor (BOS) [victor.young@sfgov.org](mailto:victor.young@sfgov.org);
Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Hanley Chan [Han2683@gmail.com](mailto:Han2683@gmail.com)
Subject: Letter of Support Mr. Hanley Chan, SF Sheriffs Oversight Board

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings Mr. Chair and members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors Rules Committee,

It is my pleasure to write a letter in support of Mr. Hanley Chan's appointment to the San Francisco Sheriffs Oversight Board.

Mr. Chan has extensive experience with law enforcement tactics, the law, and public service. Mr. Chan has demonstrated good judgment and dedication to the public and how to effectively affect public safety.

As a former Mayor and Councilmember, it was my duty to ensure public safety and produce policy that supports those aims.

Without hesitation, I fully endorse and encourage you to appoint Mr. Hanley Chan to the San Francisco Sheriff's Oversight Board. He will enhance the Sheriff Department's effectiveness with his knowledge and experience.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Wayne J. Lee /s/

## cc: Board of Directors <br> President

* San Mateo County

Asian Pacific Islanders Caucus
www.smcapi.org
Past President
*Asian Pacific Islanders Caucus
California League of Cities
Immediate Past President
$*_{\text {Millbrae Lions Club }}$
https://url.avanan.click/v2/__www.millbraelions.club__.YXAzOnNmZHOyOmE6bzpkZmNI ZDIyMzk00Tc0MGIzYmQ2MWFiNTI5NDE1N2J hMjo00jU2Yjg6MmJ kMjYzYWE5NTU5ODBIN2U wNWEzYWUzYTBjYzU3MDczMjU2NjhINmFmNDAyMjI2ZWUzMDE5OTY5MDBiMGJiNO

Former Council Member
*City of Millbrae 2011-2020
Former Mayor 2015/2019
$*_{\text {for identification only. Does not represent any organization. }}$
PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message, together with any attachments, is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which addressed. It may contain information that is confidential and prohibited from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are with this notified that any dissemination or copying of this message or any attachment is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the original sender immediately by telephone or by return e-mail and delete this message along with any attachments from your computer. Thank you.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); "Britney Milton" |
| Subject: | 9 letters regarding the Great Highway |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 12:26:00 PM |
| Attachments: | 9 letters regarding the Great Highway.pdf |

Hello,

Please see attached 9 letters regarding the Great Highway.

Regards,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickev@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

| From: | Rosemary |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 9:12:30 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>\mathrm{I}$ am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF , and many other issues. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>18,000$ to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Thank you. $<\mathrm{BR}>$

Thank you
Rosemary Newton
94116
Sent from my iPhone

| From: | William Isham |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 10:06:18 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.

| From: | D. Coursey |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 10:15:51 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

You are taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.

| From: | Patricia Wise |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | RPDInfo, RPD (REC); Chan, Connie (BOS); Krista.Pfefferkorn@sen.ca.gov; Scott.Wiener@sen.ca.gov; phil.ting@asm.ca.gov; d4mobility@sfcta.org; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Kern, Dennis (REC); greathighway@sfmta.com; Maguire, Tom (MTA); ChanStaff (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA); Lones, Sarah (MTA); Doherty, Timothy (MTA); Mar, Gordon (BOS); BOS-Supervisors; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; MelgarStaff (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; RonenStaff (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Commission, Recpark (REC) |
| Subject: | OPEN THE GREAT HIGHWAY |
| Date: | Sunday, July 11, 2021 8:05:46 PM |
| Attachments: | 071121-1.mp4 |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Good evening,

Here are more pictures and a video showing why the Great Highway needs to reopen to vehicle traffic. This is not at "a waterfront promenade" it is an essential highway to safely move traffic north/south on the far western part of the city. Instead, we now have traffic driving through the residential streets.

These pictures represent what I see on a daily basis living on the Lower Great Highway!! Please reopen the Great Highway!!!

Sincerely,
Patricia Wise
30+ year resident of the Lower Great Highway and voter

| From: | Patricia Wise |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | RPDI nfo, RPD (REC); Chan, Connie (BOS); Krista.Pfefferkorn@sen.ca.gov; Scott.Wiener@sen.ca.gov; phil.ting@asm.ca.gov; d4mobility@sfcta.org; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Kern, Dennis (REC); greathighway@sfmta.com; Maguire, Tom (MTA); ChanStaff (BOS); Marstaff (BOS); Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA); Jones, Sarah (MTA); Doherty, Timothy (MTA); Mar, Gordon (BOS); BOS-Supervisors; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); MandelmanStaff, [BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; RonenStaff (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta. com; Commission, Recpark (REC) |
| Subject: | Open the Great Highway |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 6:17:17 PM |
| Attachments: | 071221-2.mp4 |
|  | 071221-1.mp4 |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of the SFMTA/SFBOARD of supervisors,
Please do not approve this Evaluation Report. It is flawed and outdated because all data was collected during a pandemic by biased city agencies. The numbers of recreation users do not justify putting the residents and commuters of two large districts in danger from the increased diverted traffic from the UGH. Please see the pictures and videos below, which are consistent with my daily observations from my home on the LGH. Please reopen the Great Highway!!

```
Patricia Wise
30+ year resident Lower Great Highway and voter
```

| From: | Saint Joe"s |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Commission, Recpark (REC); rec-thegreathighway@sfgov.org; mtaboard@sfmta.com; clerk@sfcta.org; greathighway@sfmta.com; Maguire, Tom (MTA); Ginsburg, Phil (REC); RPDInfo, RPD (REC); Kern, Dennis (REC); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS) |
| Subject: | OPEN THE GREAT HIGHWAY |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 6:13:33 PM |
| Attachments: | 071321-2.mp4 |
|  | 071321-1.mp4 |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Good evening,

Here are my daily pictures/videos showing the lack of people using the Upper Great Highway. The 20000 cars are instead driving the residential streets in the Sunset, making them unsafe to drive or walk here,

Please reopen the Upper Great Highway!!!
Sincerely.
Patricia Wise 30+ year Lower Great Highway resident and voter.

| From: | sb |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 6:49:36 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to unblock this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

As a forth generation San Franciscan, closing The Great Highway is inconceivable. It also makes no sense. Is it fun to walk, run, skate or anything else fun? Sure. What seems fun in the short term is not fun at all when people can't get to work to make money. It's not fun when people have to take forever to get places. It's not fun when first responders can't reach their destination when they should have. That reason alone should be enough. Any of the reasons to reopen it should be enough just by themselves. With so many reasons, I honestly don't understand how any responsible person could ignore those in the interest of having one more fun thing in San Francisco. Do we have a shortage of things to do? No. It's an important issue which affects the livelihoods of many, many people as well as public safety.

Thank you,
Scott Bogues

| From: | aeboken |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides |
| Subject: | OPPOSING SFCTA Agenda Item \#4 Final Approval to Adopt Upper Great Highway Concepts Evaluation Final |
|  | Report |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 8:32:15 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## TO: SFCTA Board members

I am opposing the final adoption of the Upper Great Highway Concepts Evaluation as this final action is premature.

The SFCTA and SFMTA won't know the long term usage by bicyclists and pedestrians until the economy is fully reopened and students return to in-person learning.

Similarly, the SFCTA and the SFMTA won't know the long term impacts on traffic until the economy is fully reopened and students return to in-person learning.

Eileen Boken

Sunset-Parkside resident

| From: | Mark Weinberger |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 OPEN THE GREAT HIGHWAY NOW!!!!!!!!!!!! |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:27:13 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.
Mark S. Weinberger
391 28th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94121-1867

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Britney Milton |
| Subject: | 34 letters regarding the Great Highway |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 9:44:00 AM |
| Attachments: | 34 letters regarding the Great Highway.pdf |

Hello,

Please see attached 34 letters regarding the Great Highway.

Regards,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickev@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

| From: | nina steinman |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 8:27:07 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues. Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. 18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. Thank you.

| From: | Stephen Gorski |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 8:29:15 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>\mathrm{I}$ am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF , and many other issues. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>18,000$ to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Thank you. $<\mathrm{BR}>$

Sent from my iPad

| From: | Davis Leong |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sftcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 8:31:04 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

There is extra congestion with cars trying to get through the park that are now struggling to go through Park Presidio and the Chain of Lakes that used to use the Great Highway. Closing the great highway forces all of the traffic through one main thoroughfare with folks trying to get to the other side of the park. This is a recipe for disaster.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

We need to have the voice of reason prevail rather than wishes of some - lest we become nothing more than a tourist city without any other industry in the city. We need safe streets - not just closed streets.

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

| From: | dennis dybeck |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 8:46:24 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to unblock this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.
Dennis Dybeck

```
From: Sherrie Rosenberg
To: Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of
    Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar,
    Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen,
    Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com
Subject: Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021
Date:
    Monday, July 12, 2021 8:50:55 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From:
Sherrie Rosenberg
555 40th Ave, San Francisco, CA 94121
415-902-8293

To:
Dear SFMTA/ SF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

Please open up the Great Highway to cars immediately. While it made sense to open it to pedestrians during the heart of the pandemic so people could be outside and socially distanced, it is currently much less necessary and it is a major inconvenience to thousands of people.

Pedestrians and bicyclists have a wonderful array of areas to be outside in that area. There is the beach, the wide walkways, and Golden Gate Park.

As a senior with limited mobility, being able to ride near the ocean gives me great joy and peace of mind. The Great Highway is also the best route from the Western end of the Richmond District to the Sunset District and beyond and now that it is safe to go places, it is needed for commuters and tourists. It is needed for people who have to or want to go places. Currently, the cars that need that route are congesting the neighborhoods and polluting much more than they would on a straight highway which is made for cars.

I am extremely concerned about safety. Residents and visitors need this evacuation route in the event of a major disaster like an earthquake. There are countless people who need to be rescued at sea in Ocean Beach. Emergency vehicles need to get there with no delays. Delays cause deaths.

All of our qualities of life have been changed by the pandemic. Now that many of us are vaccinated and still being very careful, we need to be able to be in fresh air. For me and many seniors, when we have to go out, riding near nature adds to our quality of life. This is absolutely true for disabled people as well. I feel deeply for families with several children who need this route and for people who need the route to commute to work. It is absurd to send cars wending their ways through residential neighborhoods. This causes much more pollution and takes away from their quality of life when they spend a lot more time on their commute and away from their families.

The only reason the pandemic experiment with closing it to cars didn't create more damage is that fewer people were working out of the home and going places. Please do the right thing for our residents and our tourists and open The Great Highway immediately.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
--
Sherrie Rosenberg

| From: | Georgina Costales |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 8:59:10 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>\mathrm{I}$ am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF , and many other issues. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>18,000$ to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Thank you. $<\mathrm{BR}>$

Georgina Costales
Sent from my iFingers

| From: | nancy murphy |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:07:39 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

| From: | primusjohn@aol.com |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:28:46 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues. Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. 18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. Thank you.

| From: | Ops |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:30:29 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>\mathrm{I}$ am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF , and many other issues. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>18,000$ to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Thank you. $<\mathrm{BR}>$
Thank You,
Omar Dudum
Sent from my iPhone

| From: | cablecar |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:38:18 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.
Wesley Valaris

Sent from my Galaxy

| From: | Rosalie Cavallaro |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board o Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:39:04 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

The Richmond needs to have emergency and evacuation routes open as well. The closure is a safety nightmare waiting to happen. Even the most simple look at the Richmond shows why this is a dangerous idea. There is water on two sides, a park with limited routes crossing it (some of which have also been closed) on another side. We are being funneled to a few crowded streets now. What will happen in an
emergency? Please do not dismiss these concerns and allow those those who want to play on concrete when a beach is right next to it tell you they "need" more space to recreate at the expense of safety and working people. The Great Highway was the safest street in San Francisco if you look at the statistics, much safer than the streets that people are being funneled into. The reasons are obvious with just a little common sense: no cross streets, no cars taking off from the curve, synchronized lights and well marked crosswalks with pedestrians having plenty of time to cross. The pro closure arguments have all been debunked in previous meetings. Do not be fooled into thinking this is a good idea.

Thank you.
Rosalie Cavallaro

| From: | Paula Yue |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta. com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, IBOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:40:52 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.
Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.
Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true.
As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.
18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to unblock this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.
Thank you.

Paula Yue
Outer Richmond District Resident who commuted 25+ years to Palo Alto on The Great Highway.

| From: | Diane Scarlet |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:45:01 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>\mathrm{I}$ am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF , and many other issues. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. $\langle\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>18,000$ to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Thank you. $<\mathrm{BR}>$

Diane Scarlet
46th Ave
San Francisco 94116

Sent from my iPhone

| From: | Lames Mazza |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:59:04 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. I am a resident/homeowner on 48th Ave. and have seen an incredible increase in thru traffic in the outer Aves. Traffic that would otherwise be using the upper Great Hwy. Traffic that makes our formerly quiet block unsafe for me to bike with our kids on. The traffic calming measures have done little to calm or re-route traffic. Drivers are agitated and driving more aggressively than ever out here. Please do the right thing and re-open the Upper Great Highway. A thoughtful approach to permanent closure has not been taken. Residents were told the closure was temporary and now groups that spend all waking hours to punish drivers (looking at you, SF bike Coalition) have waged an all out campaign to keep this vital commuting link on the western side of our city closed. The often cited poll where "more than half of SF residents" voted to keep the hwy open was biased and flawed (I took it and was shocked). Of course people are going to vote for more park space, but SF already is best city on the country in terms of park proximity to all residents. Also, you know what the largest most underutilized open space in the city is? OCEAN BEACH! And it lies immediately adjacent to the hwy.

The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to unblock this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.

James Mazza

| From: | bethannurfer |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:13:12 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you!
Beth

| From: | corinne3ir |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:16:44 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.
Corinne Charlton Barbour

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

| From: | warren trinidad |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, (BOS); Ronen, |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:24:17 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues. Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18 , the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918. This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. 18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. Thank you.

Warren Trinidad

Sent from my iPhone

| From: | warren trinidad |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); $\frac{\text { Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, (BOS); }}{}=$ Ronen, Hillary; Walton, |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 11:24:21 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>\mathrm{I}$ am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF , and many other issues. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>18,000$ to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Thank you. $<\mathrm{BR}>$

| From: | Michael |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 12:06:22 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.
Michael Young

| From: | Diane |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 12:52:24 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to unblock this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.
Diane Janakes-Zasada
737-46 th ave
415-577-8567

Sent from my iPad

| From: | BILL ALVARADO |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta. com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, IBOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 12:53:25 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues. Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. 18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. Thank you.
Bill Alvarado

| From: | SHEILA STUART |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); ${ }^{\text {Board of }}$ Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Geskin, Aaron (BOS); Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS); Honen, Hillary; Walton, |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 3:35:13 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway.

We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to unblock this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.

Sheila Stuart
12th Avenue
609-273-4249

| From: | Judi Gorski |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 3:53:37 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>\mathrm{I}$ am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF , and many other issues. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>18,000$ to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Thank you. $<\mathrm{BR}>$
Judi Gorski
San Francisco Resident
Voter and Taxpayer

| From: | Judi Gorski |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg. Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 4:30:41 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors,

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

You are taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this illconceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. The 18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again.

I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of
D4, Outer Sunset Resident and Voter
Deb Hall

| From: | Judi Gorski |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); $\frac{\text { Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, (BOS); }}{}=$ Ronen, Hillary; Walton, |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 4:58:51 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors,
I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. Your studies of traffic collisions do not separate collisions that occurred only on the Upper Great Highway from collisions on other streets. There was only one (1) collision on the Upper Great Highway in 2017 and none since, zero in 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021. How convenient to deliberately not mention this in your presentation and studies which is proof that this study is not accurate or independent; it's being put forth to support a particular agenda on behalf of a minority of people who live where they are geographically unaffected by this major artery. Do not permanently close to vehicles this safe, necessary highway which already exists with multi-use areas for walking and biking.

You are taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. The 18,000 to 20,000 cars per day $(570,000 / \mathrm{mo})$ are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again.

I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

## Reopen the Great Highway to restore for our mobility, safety and peace.

Respectfully submitted,
Judi Gorski
D4 Resident living across the street from the Great Highway
Voter/Taxpayer
One of the 10,400 signatures
on the Petition to Open the Great Highway

| From: | Peter Pirolli |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 6:21:39 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>\mathrm{I}$ am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF , and many other issues. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. $\angle \mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>18,000$ to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. $<\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}><\mathrm{BR}>$ Thank you. $<\mathrm{BR}>$

Peter Pirolli
Senior Research Scientist
Institute for Human and Machine Cognition

| From: | Lauris Jensen |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 6:34:14 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues. Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school. Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure. 18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. Thank you.

| From: | Esfir Shrayber |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: |  |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 6:51:17 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors- I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.
Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.
Your taking direction from a bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18 , the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.
18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to unblock this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway. Thank you. Esfir Shrayber
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

| From: | Ludi Gorski |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 7:13:41 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors,

I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

San Francisco is not in need of another car-free "park" as quoted from the RPD website:

In 2017, San Francisco became the first city in the nation where all residents have access to a park within a 10-minute walk, a direct result of the Department's commitment to increasing and improving parkland in the city.

RPD By the Numbers

- 4,113 acres of recreational and open space
- 3,400 acres within San Francisco
- 671 marina slips
- 220 neighborhood parks
- 179 playgrounds and play areas
- 82 recreation centers and clubhouses
- 72 basketball courts and 151 tennis courts
- 59 soccer/playfields (and growing)
- 1 Family Camp

RPD is not doing its job of maintaining the existing multi-use Great Highway traffic lanes or bicycle lanes or paved pedestrian walkway or trails although they have been collecting plenty of funding to do it. Their schedule for maintenance is annual for most areas and they skip doing it year after year while refusing to answer public information requests for revealing the last several dates of maintenance.

With a reasonable schedule of weekly or bi-weekly sand removal, there would be no reason to close the highway for weeks at a time throughout the year to remove sand. If they went by more often during the slow early morning hours with machines and cleaned off the lanes before it accumulated like in these photos, there would be no need for extended highway closures to remove sand. Clearly, they don't deserve our tax dollars when this is the way they perform highway maintenance. This is only overwhelming due to consistent neglect, not because of a special or unusual situation. This didn't happen overnight.

I offer you these photos to support the facts:



Seawall between Noriega \& Rivera


Narrowed walkway, invisible jogging path, if cleared there'd be 10 ' of pavement and 4'-5' jogging path on the right side of the photo


Impossible to access using rollerblades, a wheelchair, walker, crutches; unsafe with cane; unsafe on bicycle


Garbage on the median. With traffic using the highway the median was landscaped and garbage free.


This is how RPD has taken care of this space during temporary full highway closure with no traffic on it. Imagine how often they'll maintain it if this is a permanent situation. They hide behind Covid as a reason for not showing up, but there was no lack of funding or of personnel or equipment due to Covid.

Please open the Great Highway to vehicles. The no-compromise/refusal-to-share-the-road-with-cars-to-save-the-environment bicyclists are driving to the area adding to greenhouse gas emissions as they circle around looking for parking on the Lower Great Highway. When a space appears, the one-lane road is blocked by the car waiting for the space causing dangerous conditions.

Notice the white car dangerously passing the car with bicycles on it by driving south into the northbound lane on the LGH.


Gridlock from diverted traffic from the highway


It's unsafe and unfair to everyone needing to drive on the highway to close it to create an unnecessary car-free park in San Francisco. We all learned to ride our bicycles as children without being in the middle of a closed highway to do it.

Do not choose Options 3 or 5. Fully open the highway now or choose Option 2 from the Ocean Beach Master Plan in the future after careful planning and preparations are made. Consider a compromise of opening the highway to traffic weekdays and closing it for recreation on some weekends. Relieve the neighborhood from the dangers the highway closure has and continues to cause.

Thank you.
Judi Gorski
D4 Resident/Voter/Taxpayer

| From: | Dennis Holl |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); MTABoard; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of |
|  | Supervisors, (BOS); Transportation Authority; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); |
|  | Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; |
|  | Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021 |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 8:08:48 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway. The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to un-block this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.
Dennis Holl, District 7

|This message is from outside the City emai system. Do not open links or attachments trom untussed sources
am updating the message below to add additional information released carlier this week. An updated tsunami risk map has now been issued for our city. See https://www.conservation.ca.gov/index/Pages/News/CGS-Issues-New-Tsunami-Hazard-Maps-for-San-Francisco-Contra-Costa-Santa-
Clara-Countics aspres I've also added the SF Fire Commission to the prior discussion, as they seem to have had the common sense recently to highlight impaired emergency response times due to road management issues. You should please consider listening to them further.
It should be obvious to any public servant glancing at the San Francisco map that road management decisions must reflect and implement diligent risk preparation. Our community mandates st a fundamental level that city transportation and emergency management officials have confirmed fill
access to critical road infrastucture to address not only the evacuation needs highlighted by these risks but also the FEMA supply and emergency response needs as well. If you fail to plan properly for this newly updated risk you are prossly neggigent in your administration of city business, may be personally liable, and are quite obviously putting the lives of thousands of people at risk. Please act accordingly and immediately.
Thank you.
On Wednesday, July 7 , 2021, 10:40:54 AM PDT, geoffrey moore <moore_ _geoffrey@yahoo.com> wrote
lam renewing my comments below now that the petition to address concerns with the Uper Great Highway closure is about to move beyond ten thousand citizens. That petition (available through this website - https://www.openthegreathighway.con//) added more than one hundred new
citizens yesterday, will cross beyond 10,000 shortly, and will continue to grow for a simple reason - it is the right thing to do for thousands of voters. citizens yesterday, will cross beyond 10,000 shortly, and will continue to grow for a simple reason - it is the right thing to do for thousands of voters.
Since my note below I have received no reply from any city employee, which is troubling but also consistent with one of the petition comments: "NOBODY IS LISTENING." Many citizens have previously expressed a concern with the lack of representation on this issue by certain elected
officials, as well as an interest in community outreach to understand the best use scenario for the public resource. My personal view on use is that the public resource should be shared, and ideally with a flex schedule (for example, fully closed to cars during daylight periods on weekends, spare-the-air days, holidays, andor off rush-hour). Such an approach maximizes non-car usage at the best times for
park-like benefits, while still addressing obvious infrastructure needs. It could be supplemented by improvements to existing pathways for enhanced recreational use. Most importantly, these are easy short-term solutions to implement - not confusing for the public because it losesly resembles ark-like benefits, while still addressing obvious infrastructure necds. .t could be supplemented by improvements to existing pathways for erhanced recreational use. Most importantly, these are easy short-term solutions to implement - not confusing for the public because it closely resembles
Please kindly reflect on my comments below, and assist our community further with a robust outreach and a diligent and honest legislative process. Thank you for your service.

On Monday, June 21, 2021, 10:48:54 PM PDT, geoffrey moore [moore_geoffrey@yahoo.com](mailto:moore_geoffrey@yahoo.com) wrote

Please enter the following information into the public comment for the meeting to discuss Upper Great Highway usage I believe that the discussion and information related to the Great Highway issue has become overly complex, and has also been potentially flawed by erroneous or corrupt data. The highway is an established earthquake and tsunami evacuation route in ity plans, and also functions as a
significant part of city infrastructure, just as the sewer underneath it. These two parts of critical city infrastructure should be managed actively and consistently along the following order of decreasing priority:

1) first and foremost for public safety needs,
2) second, consistent with environmental locncerns and regulatory requirements, and then finally (assuming the first two objectives have been met)
 and new safety issues caused by the road closure. These new issues include not only the presence of thousands of vehicles on residential strects (which our own fire department recently highlighted as dangerous), but also the increased beach usage by unfamiliar visitors who now regularly miss
the warning signs at the crosswalks about the deadly riptides. Beyond these obvious new safety issucs, there seems to be no active discussion about alternate evacuation or safety planning contingencies for a closed road, particularly in light of the acknowledged congestion increases, tet alone the




 Uue to residential street congestion- but most importantly from the perspective of the sewer directly underneath it. The long-term "managed retreat" plan mandated by state law for the inevitable erosion should include disposition of the wastewater treatment plant (which will not tuimately ong-terms, then it secems negligent to oattempt a plan to repurpose the infrastructure directly above it. So, manage them consistently, es there is is no need to colose the sloat extension in 2023 if the wastewater plant is still present (the Ocean Beach Master Plan contains a defect on this point, as the sensible recommendations a decade ago to maintain a single-lane extension were ignored - ironically, for the same poor reasons that bring us to the ertiary consideration $\ldots$ )
regarding usage preferences - the legislative process for our community should be based upon a completely different perspective if there are no compelling and urgent safety issuus to fix. What we have at the end of the day are multiple preferences, rather than requirements, for various

 resemble what we have had for years, which is closures from time to time for special events, such as Sunday strects and spare the air beach dyys. However, a full closure (which proponents claim would be just tor complicated for us dumb b citizens
maximizing resource use. As just one example - do we plan to waste the resource at night, while cars continue through the residential strects? That is $50 \%$ waste of a publicly owned resource, and one of the multiple problems with a full closure.
Mease stop treating my neighborhood as an experiment. Pump the brakes, listen to informed residents, and THEN design a pilot with full community input which recognizes multiple needs and accommodates them flexibly. That plan should include vast usage and parking towards the nortu or the arca where we know there is heacy sand crosion, including the proper dismantling of the multibililion dollar timebomb under a road that is fine for everyonenes's use in the meantime. Please otake the time to to to things properly rather than reactively, and to e establish a areal budget and a real plan to maximize usage in the short and long-term. Thank you

| From: | Sharon Jung-Verdi |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | ChanStaff (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Open the Great Highway |
| Date: | Sunday, July 11, 2021 9:01:23 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Dear Leadership of San Francisco,

As the summer of 2021 is now midway, we anticipate a reopening of in person San Francisco public schools, reopening of many businesses, commuters and travelers.
I strongly request that you expedite the opening of the Great Highway before September 2021 as this has always been a major road for many residents of this city.

Please consider that there are only a few roadways going south and north on the western side of this city. Families in this city have already suffered terribly for over a year and a half by the lack of in person public schools and don't need more barriers to drive their children to schools and also trying to fulfill other responsibilities. Not having the Great Highway mostly impacts working families, commuters, seniors and the disabled. There is a existing walking path that runs parallel to the roadway and there is the entire Ocean Beach also available for recreational use. Outside Lands and other concerts/events will cause intermittent road closures, 19th Avenue has construction planned for several years and the Chain of Lakes is supposed to be a two lane road going through Golden Gate Park.

Please consider the lives of older working residents and families with children who must drive to get to school and work as public transportation and bicycling is not always a practical option.

Sincerely,
Sharon Jung-Verdi
715 41st Avenue
San Francisco, 94121
415-386-1213

Sent from my iPad

| From: | Nan |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sffta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting 13J UN2021/IOEN |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:42:57 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear SFMTA/SF Board of Supervisors-

I am emailing in full support of opening the Great Highway!!!
The pandemic has affected us all in countless ways. This closure has negatively impacted residents of SF as well as people from outside of SF who need and use the Great Highway. We've been dealing with traffic, unsafe driving conditions, increased emissions, cars cutting though on Sunset Streets, bottlenecks and gridlock, blocked a vital emergency route in and out of SF, and many other issues.

Additionally, your justification for keeping the Great Highway closed is based on studies and surveys conducted in the depths of a 100-year pandemic, last November and December - to promote a full forever closure of the UGH to the detriment of the safety of thousands of moderate-income working people, teachers, nurses, essential workers, and soon-to-be school children walking to school.

Your taking direction from a small minority of vocal, privileged, white bike-riding special interest groups, who are well-organized with letter campaigns and ethically questionable public comment campaigns for meetings conducted while working people are at work and can't comment, for some reason have convinced you that this ill-conceived, poorly executed, and unwanted closure is what the majority wants. That is not true. As of today, the petition to open the Great Highway has increased to almost 10,400 ! As of June 18, the number of petition signers for a full closure is only 4,918 . This is a huge difference of opinion, and definitely defines the dramatic shift in public opinion about this closure.

18,000 to 20,000 cars per day are not going away. The studies and facts show this. What we need is for the Great Highway to be open, so that our Sunset Streets are safe once again. I join the Open the Great Highway Alliance in urging you to Open the Great Highway as soon as possible. We've been dealing with the negative impacts of the Great Highway closure for the past year and half, we do not need a "pilot program" to tell us what we already know: this is not working. It's time to re-open the Great Highway. As our city opens up, it makes the most sense to unblock this vital roadway and restore peace, safety, and access to San Francisco via the Great Highway.

Thank you.
Mr. and Mrs. Brian Hinze
48th Avenue

Sent from my iPhone

| From: | Catherine Wenzler |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | Commission, Recpark (REC); mtaboard@sfmta.com; Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); clerk@sfcta.org; ChanStaff (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); info@openthegreathighway.com |
| Subject: | Public Comment for SFCTA meeting for July 13th |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 5:56:29 AM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the BOS and the SFCTA,
Please open the GH we are all tired of being stuck in the gridlock this closure has created. This side of the city has been suffering and the D4 mobility study is inaccurate at best.
The Pilot program is a STUPID idea and just seem like it's just been thought up by someone coming up with this bright idea just so they can keep their job. The bike coalition, walkSF, and vision zero are using sleazy tactics to commandeer the public comment lines so people opposed can't call in or have to wait hours to speak. Closing roads won't make
It safer out here.
Open the great highway now.
Thank you,
Catherine Masterson

Sent from my iPhone

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); |
| Subject: Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |  |
| Date: | FW: Your complaint to the Mayor"s Office of Housing and Community Development |
|  | Thursday, July 15, 2021 11:56:00 AM |

From: Don Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 10:23 PM
To: Faust, Holly (MYR) [holly.faust@sfgov.org](mailto:holly.faust@sfgov.org); shelly simpson [shellybeth31@gmail.com](mailto:shellybeth31@gmail.com); Fox News [contactus@foxnews.com](mailto:contactus@foxnews.com); newstips@foxtv.com; newsroom@epochtimes.com; news@kcra.com; newsdesk@kpix.com; kronon@kron4.com; BreakingNews@Kron4.com; District Attorney, (DAT) [districtattorney@sfgov.org](mailto:districtattorney@sfgov.org); Wohlers, Robert (DBI) [robert.wohlers@sfgov.org](mailto:robert.wohlers@sfgov.org); Hasbun, Carmen (DBI) [carmen.hasbun@sfgov.org](mailto:carmen.hasbun@sfgov.org); Don R. Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com); Gaby Antony [salgabyantony@gmail.com](mailto:salgabyantony@gmail.com); San Francisco Police Records Portal [sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net](mailto:sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net); Barnett, Monica (BOS) [monica.barnett@sfgov.org](mailto:monica.barnett@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Rosenfield, Ben (CON) [ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org](mailto:ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org); Haneystaff (BOS) [haneystaff@sfgov.org](mailto:haneystaff@sfgov.org); Sanbonmatsu, Jamie (DBI) [jamie.sanbonmatsu@sfgov.org](mailto:jamie.sanbonmatsu@sfgov.org); Duffy, Joseph (DBI) [joseph.duffy@sfgov.org](mailto:joseph.duffy@sfgov.org); LALL, MELISSA (CAT) [Melissa.Lall@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Melissa.Lall@sfcityatty.org); Mission Local [info@missionlocal.com](mailto:info@missionlocal.com); Thomas, Matthew (CON) [matthew.s.thomas@sfgov.org](mailto:matthew.s.thomas@sfgov.org); CON, PublicIntegrity (CON) [PublicIntegrity@sfgov.org](mailto:PublicIntegrity@sfgov.org) Subject: Re: Your complaint to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

## Show those pictures to the Mayor and I bet

 she will not do what I would. That would be to save the lives of my fellow residents like they could have done in Miami. I know we are in danger but I refuse to move out and abandon them like you have. If this building goes down then I will be with them. And then I will show them who shows me what you really are. Unlike Miami everyone will know all about this day and all about you and your friends and what you did to help. Because everything I write goes to so many that they will speak for us on that day. And will your name be on the "you did not care list". Or will it be on the "True Americ an" list. Those that turn their backs on us are making the deaths of all those soldiers in all our wars pointless. They
# gave their lives and in return you gave nothing to their family members that live here. Thank you so much. MY NAME IS DON STALEY AND I AM NOT AFRAID OF YOU. 

D.R. Staley

On Tue, Jul 13, 2021 at 1:53 PM Faust, Holly (MYR) [holly.faust@sfgov.org](mailto:holly.faust@sfgov.org) wrote:
Hello again Mr Staley

I am trying to be as helpful as I can within the constraints of my office. Thank you for your patience.

Check with the Housing Authority. And the tenants' rights folks.

Also,
It is possible that this is a master lease through HSH.
https://hsh.sfgov.org/
628-652-7700

Holly Babe Faust
Senior Asset Manager
Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
1 South Van Ness, $5^{\text {th }}$ Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
holly.faust@sfgov.org
As I am working remotely, the best way to communicate with me is through email.

From: Don Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 1:22 PM
To: Faust, Holly (MYR) [holly.faust@sfgov.org](mailto:holly.faust@sfgov.org)
Subject: Re: Your complaint to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We are on the master lease program and you are bailing because it's to big and the mayor is worried about her not us. More lies and more lies.











On Tue, Jul 13, 2021 at 12:19 PM Don Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com) wrote:
These two locked gates block the second floor fire escape and the roof escape. The other pics are of cracks in the wall at the back of the building and pics of the broken fire escape and the manager is going to lie to you so if you come and look for yourself then you are not trying to help. I can meet you anytime.










D.R. Staley

On Tue, Jul 13, 2021 at 11:58 AM Don Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com) wrote:
You will not help me by just speaking to the management you don't seem to grasp the size of the problem we are talking about corruption
and about the whole way the master lease was aquired to the violations that get no attention. And I feel that you are just another person that refuses to do their job so please don't placate me. If you are serious then show me by coming here and speaking to me and other residents which I am almost positive you won't and nothing will get done.

On Tue, Jul 13, 2021, 11:35 AM Don Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com) wrote:
My building is the Henry Hotel 106 6th St and there's cracks through out the building in the walls and there is illegal work with out permit being done and the back wall in the building is cracked with huge cracks running from the roof to the ground. Also the fire escape is about to fall off the building. Why don't you call me and come by I'll show you . There is serious stuff going on here. 4158464753

On Tue, Jul 13, 2021, 10:40 AM Faust, Holly (MYR) [holly.faust@sfgov.org](mailto:holly.faust@sfgov.org) wrote: Hello Mr Staley

We have received your compliant that there is a problem with the condition of your unit and common areas at a property managed by Caritas. Can you please tell me your address and/or name of the property where you live? Also, please provide more specifics. Then I can follow up with the owner and property manager.

Thank you

Holly

## Holly Babe Faust

Senior Asset Manager
Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
1 South Van Ness, $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
holly.faust@sfgov.org
As I am working remotely, the best way to communicate with me is through email.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); , Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Henry Hotel Complaint |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 11:57:00 AM |

From: Don Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 11:48 PM
To: District Attorney, (DAT) [districtattorney@sfgov.org](mailto:districtattorney@sfgov.org); Fox News [contactus@foxnews.com](mailto:contactus@foxnews.com); Faust, Holly (MYR) [holly.faust@sfgov.org](mailto:holly.faust@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); kronon@kron4.com; Haneystaff (BOS) [haneystaff@sfgov.org](mailto:haneystaff@sfgov.org); Barnett, Monica (BOS) [monica.barnett@sfgov.org](mailto:monica.barnett@sfgov.org); Mission Local [info@missionlocal.com](mailto:info@missionlocal.com); LALL, MELISSA (CAT) [Melissa.Lall@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Melissa.Lall@sfcityatty.org); Hasbun, Carmen (DBI) [carmen.hasbun@sfgov.org](mailto:carmen.hasbun@sfgov.org); Rosenfield, Ben (CON) [ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org](mailto:ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org); CON, PublicIntegrity (CON) [PublicIntegrity@sfgov.org](mailto:PublicIntegrity@sfgov.org); newsroom@epochtimes.com; San Francisco Police Records Portal [sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net](mailto:sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net); Sanbonmatsu, Jamie (DBI) [jamie.sanbonmatsu@sfgov.org](mailto:jamie.sanbonmatsu@sfgov.org); Duffy, Joseph (DBI) [joseph.duffy@sfgov.org](mailto:joseph.duffy@sfgov.org); news@kcra.com; Thomas, Matthew (CON) [matthew.s.thomas@sfgov.org](mailto:matthew.s.thomas@sfgov.org); BreakingNews@Kron4.com; newstips@foxtv.com; newsdesk@kpix.com; Gaby Antony [salgabyantony@gmail.com](mailto:salgabyantony@gmail.com); shelly simpson [shellybeth31@gmail.com](mailto:shellybeth31@gmail.com); Wohlers, Robert (DBI) [robert.wohlers@sfgov.org](mailto:robert.wohlers@sfgov.org); Don R. Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com)
Subject: Fwd: Henry Hotel Complaint

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
D.R. Staley
---------- Forwarded message $\qquad$
From: Don Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com)
Date: Tue, Jul 13, 2021 at 11:43 PM
Subject: Re: Henry Hotel Complaint
To: Sztoltz, Amanda (HOM) [amanda.sztoltz@sfgov.org](mailto:amanda.sztoltz@sfgov.org)

> Thank you for your assistance. I also need you to know that the master lease of this building is part of the corruption probe by the controller's office and the FBI involving Nick Patel, ECS , J ohn Stewart Management corp.,

Caritas management corp, Walter Wong, DBI Dir. Tom Hui, DPW Dir. Muhammad Nuru and others in DBI including Ispectors that worked with them. There is no legal CFC and the one that they use is fraudulent because so called work done on the months before Nov 1, 2015 was never done like my heater that does not work it was supposed to be replaced and my closet door was to be put in but was not, back then and never was but they claim it was done. And lots of other work that was on the Inspector lepe list that was never completed so they lied and had Tom Hui sign the CFC knowing that it was a fraud and illegal all part of the corruption probe. Ike Okwuosa the manager at the Henry hotel does not have a real estate license and if he says he does then have him show you then check it out because it has already been introduced into evidence in a civil case against him in court going on right now. By law Ike Okwuosa is not supposed to be doing the job of manager that he is doing for Caritas man corp. and is a slumlord enforcer for ESC and if you look at all the complaints and deaths and illegal stuff going on at ECS buildings you will find Ike was the reason. He is in deep trouble and should be in jail. I have evidence on all this that the FBI and the Controller's and the District Attorney's office has received. The same evidence that the FBI used to arrest the recent corruptors and
> there is more on the way after they unseal the indictments that they have right now. This is just the beginning. It goes all the way to the Vice President. The Republician party has not released the investigation into this Administration yet but will before the next election and it will be all backed by court documents that have already been proven to be true and accurate. No body that is involved with the corruption probe is going to get away with it, but since this Administration does not believe in a two party system like the NAZI's did it will take alittle longer than it should.

D.R. Staley

On Tue, Jul 13, 2021 at 3:28 PM Sztoltz, Amanda (HOM) [amanda.sztoltz@sfgov.org](mailto:amanda.sztoltz@sfgov.org) wrote:
Hello,

We have received your complaint regarding the Henry Hotel. The complaint included concerns regarding cracks in the exterior of the building, blocked fire escape doors on the second floor, and a broken fire escape, along with pictures.

I have reached out to the building leadership to further investigate your concerns and will respond back to you at this email with the outcome of the investigation. Please note that I am out of the office from 7/19-7/27 and will be reviewing and responding to all emails upon my return to the office.

## Thank you,



> Amanda Sztoltz (she/her)
> Housing Services Program Manager
> San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
> amanda.sztoltz@sfgov.org | P: 628.652 .7783 | F: 628.652 .7852
> Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: @SF_HSH | Like: @SanFranciscoHSH
receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Henry Hotel Complaint |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 11:57:00 AM |

From: Don Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 11:56 PM
To: District Attorney, (DAT) [districtattorney@sfgov.org](mailto:districtattorney@sfgov.org); Fox News [contactus@foxnews.com](mailto:contactus@foxnews.com); Faust, Holly (MYR) [holly.faust@sfgov.org](mailto:holly.faust@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); kronon@kron4.com; Haneystaff (BOS) [haneystaff@sfgov.org](mailto:haneystaff@sfgov.org); Barnett, Monica (BOS) [monica.barnett@sfgov.org](mailto:monica.barnett@sfgov.org); Mission Local [info@missionlocal.com](mailto:info@missionlocal.com); LALL, MELISSA (CAT) [Melissa.Lall@sfcityatty.org](mailto:Melissa.Lall@sfcityatty.org); Hasbun, Carmen (DBI) [carmen.hasbun@sfgov.org](mailto:carmen.hasbun@sfgov.org); Rosenfield, Ben (CON) [ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org](mailto:ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org); CON, PublicIntegrity (CON) [PublicIntegrity@sfgov.org](mailto:PublicIntegrity@sfgov.org); newsroom@epochtimes.com; San Francisco Police Records Portal [sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net](mailto:sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net); Sanbonmatsu, Jamie (DBI) [jamie.sanbonmatsu@sfgov.org](mailto:jamie.sanbonmatsu@sfgov.org); Duffy, Joseph (DBI) [joseph.duffy@sfgov.org](mailto:joseph.duffy@sfgov.org); news@kcra.com; Thomas, Matthew (CON) [matthew.s.thomas@sfgov.org](mailto:matthew.s.thomas@sfgov.org); BreakingNews@Kron4.com; newstips@foxtv.com; newsdesk@kpix.com; Gaby Antony [salgabyantony@gmail.com](mailto:salgabyantony@gmail.com); shelly simpson [shellybeth31@gmail.com](mailto:shellybeth31@gmail.com); Wohlers, Robert (DBI) [robert.wohlers@sfgov.org](mailto:robert.wohlers@sfgov.org); Don R. Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com)
Subject: Re: Henry Hotel Complaint

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.














D.R. Staley

On Tue, Jul 13, 2021 at 11:48 PM Don Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com) wrote:

From: Don Staley [dride1963@gmail.com](mailto:dride1963@gmail.com)
Date: Tue, Jul 13, 2021 at 11:43 PM
Subject: Re: Henry Hotel Complaint
To: Sztoltz, Amanda (HOM) [amanda.sztoltz@sfgov.org](mailto:amanda.sztoltz@sfgov.org)

Thank you for your assistance. I also need you to know that the master lease of this building is part of the corruption probe by the controller's office and the FBI involving Nick Patel, ECS , J ohn Stewart Management corp., Caritas management corp, Walter Wong , DBI Dir. Tom Hui, DPW Dir.
Muhammad Nuru and others in DBI including Ispectors that worked with them. There is no legal CFC and the one that they use is fraudulent because so called work done on the months before Nov 1, 2015 was never done like my heater that does not work it was supposed to be replaced and my closet door was to be put in but was not, back then and never was but they claim it was done. And lots of other work that was on the Inspector lepe list that was never completed so they lied and had Tom Hui sign the CFC knowing that it was a fraud and illegal all part of the corruption probe. Ike Okwuosa the manager at the Henry hotel does not have a real estate license and if he says he does then have him show you then
check it out because it has already been introduced into evidence in a civil case against him in court going on right now. By law Ike Okwuosa is not supposed to be doing the job of manager that he is doing for Caritas man corp. and is a slumlord enforcer for ESC and if you look at all the complaints and deaths and illegal stuff going on at ECS buildings you will find Ike was the reason. He is in deep trouble and should be in jail. I have evidence on all this that the FBI and the Controller's and the District Attorney's office has received. The same evidence that the FBI used to arrest the recent corruptors and there is more on the way after they unseal the indictments that they have right now. This is just the beginning. It goes all the way to the Vice President. The Republician party has not released the investigation into this Administration yet but will before the next election and it will be all backed by court documents that have already been proven to be true and accurate. No body that is involved with the corruption probe is going to get away with it, but since this
Administration does not believe in a two party system like the NAZI's did it will take alittle longer than it should.
D.R. Staley

Hello,

We have received your complaint regarding the Henry Hotel. The complaint included concerns regarding cracks in the exterior of the building, blocked fire escape doors on the second floor, and a broken fire escape, along with pictures.

I have reached out to the building leadership to further investigate your concerns and will respond back to you at this email with the outcome of the investigation. Please note that I am out of the office from 7/19-7/27 and will be reviewing and responding to all emails upon my return to the office.

Thank you,


## Amanda Sztoltz (she/her)

Housing Services Program Manager<br>San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing amanda.sztoltz@sfgov.org | P: 628.652.7783 | F: 628.652.7852

Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: @SF_HSH | Like: @SanFranciscoHSH

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); }}$ (Bg, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); |
| Subject: | FW: Regular Daily Street Sweeping of Ocean Ave Corridor and Parking Restrictions for 943-955 Ocean Ave |
| Date: | Thursday, July 15, 2021 11:28:00 AM |

From: Ingleside San Francisco [inglesideneighbor@gmail.com](mailto:inglesideneighbor@gmail.com)
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2021 10:44 AM
To: Safai, Ahsha (BOS) [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org); Breed, Mayor London (MYR)
[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org); MONS (MYR) [MONS@sfgov.org](mailto:MONS@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Murray, Ashley (MYR) [ashley.murray@sfgov.org](mailto:ashley.murray@sfgov.org)
Subject: Fwd: Regular Daily Street Sweeping of Ocean Ave Corridor and Parking Restrictions for 943-
955 Ocean Ave

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Enough is Enough!
Paid by our Expensive Property Taxes to your Salary!
What are you doing with our \$\$\$\$\$? Don't waste at "Money Pit Issues" that only token actions are taken!
Quality of Life! For Paying/Working/Law Abiding Residents, is Going "3rd World Status/Ghetto!"
Mayor is Queen of the Ghetto in once beautiful City,Now Embarrassing Shameful Image and
Reputation for it's Residents and Visitors and Tourists!
It's 2021.....Get It, Take Actions to Stop the Downward Spiral of San Francisco!
Affordable Housing is for those who Work and Pay!
There are NO Bars Around this City....Go to other areas or parts of this country where you can afford it...Really?
San Franciscans that had enough of living in multi million \$\$\$\$ Home, but Step Out into the GHETTO! Be the Root of Actions to Solutions, Not Root of the Problem!!!
$\qquad$ Forwarded message $\qquad$
From: Ingleside San Francisco [inglesideneighbor@gmail.com](mailto:inglesideneighbor@gmail.com)
Date: Wed, Jun 16, 2021, 1:08 PM
Subject: Fwd: Regular Daily Street Sweeping of Ocean Ave Corridor and Parking Restrictions for 943955 Ocean Ave
To: [urbanforestry@sfdpw.org](mailto:urbanforestry@sfdpw.org)

From: Ingleside San Francisco [inglesideneighbor@gmail.com](mailto:inglesideneighbor@gmail.com)
Date: Wed, Jun 16, 2021 at 1:03 PM

Subject: Regular Daily Street Sweeping of Ocean Ave Corridor and Parking Restrictions for 943-955 Ocean Ave
To: [mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org), [mons@sfgov.org](mailto:mons@sfgov.org), [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org), [ashlev.murray@sfgov.org](mailto:ashlev.murray@sfgov.org), [myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org), [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)

To whom it may concern,

These Issues have to communicated many times, with at worse "NO ACTIONS' and at Best "TOKEN ACTIONS"!!!
We NEED TO DO BETTER as a CITY to Residents and Property TAX PAYERS, who live in Million \$\$\$ Dollars Homes,but STEP OUT INTO THE GHETTO!!
Ocean Ave. has started to become a "HAVEN for HOMELESS" and Criminal Activities from Graffiti to Robberies....that threaten our Neighborhood Businesses and Residents for a "QUALITY OF LIFE " Rights to Working,Law Abiding Residents!!
Since "Parklets use up Street Parking" taking away VALUABLE LIMITED PARKING SPACES....HOW CAN 943-955 OCEAN AVE Area....NOT HAVE AT LEAST "PERMIT PARKING SPACES and WITH STREET SWEEPING DAYS RESTRICTIONS!!!
We need to continue "TREE PLANTINGS" on CITY SIDEWALKS with "IMMINENT DOMAIN RIGHTS over Property Owners Objections!...206-248 Harold Ave, between
Bruce and Ocean Ave. with "WIDE SIDEWALKS" the City only Planted 2 Trees!....REALLY????
We can and must do better as a CITY coming out of the Pandemic!
We must have LAW AND ORDER and CLEAN AND SAFE STREETS!
Or we will be PROGRESSIVELY going to 3rd World Status CITY....SHAMEFUL!!

San Francisco Residents and Property Taxpayers

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Scoping Comments - Lake Merced West EIR |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 2:51:00 PM |
| Attachments: | $\underline{2021-07-09 ~ S i e r r a ~ C l u b ~ S c o p i n g ~ C o m m e n t ~-~ L a k e ~ M e r c e d ~ W e s t ~-~ F I N A L . p d f ~}$ |

From: Kathy Howard [kathyhoward@earthlink.net](mailto:kathyhoward@earthlink.net)
Sent: Friday, July 9, 2021 1:08 PM
To: CPC.LakeMercedWestEIR [CPC.LakeMercedWestEIR@sfgov.org](mailto:CPC.LakeMercedWestEIR@sfgov.org); CPC.LakeMercedWestEIR [CPC.LakeMercedWestEIR@sfgov.org](mailto:CPC.LakeMercedWestEIR@sfgov.org)
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS) [chanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:chanstaff@sfgov.org); MandelmanStaff, [BOS] [mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org); MelgarStaff (BOS) [melgarstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:melgarstaff@sfgov.org); Preston, Dean (BOS) [dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org); Safai, Ahsha (BOS) [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org); Walton, Shamann (BOS) [shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org); Haney, Matt (BOS) [matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org); Mar, Gordon (BOS) [gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org); Peskin, Aaron (BOS) [aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org); Ronen, Hillary [hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org); Stefani, Catherine (BOS) [catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Commission, Recpark (REC) [recpark.commission@sfgov.org](mailto:recpark.commission@sfgov.org); info@sfwater.org
Subject: Scoping Comments - Lake Merced West EIR

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Julie,
Attached and below please find the Sierra Club's Scoping Comments for the Lake Merced West EIR.
Please confirm that you have received this email.
Thank you.

Katherine Howard
Sierra Club
SF Group Executive Committee

## San Francisco Group, SF Bay Chapter

Serving San Francisco County

Date: July 9, 2021

To: Ms. Julie Moore<br>SF Planning Department<br>CC: Recreation and Park Commission<br>SF PUC<br>Board of Supervisors<br>From: Becky Evans, Chair<br>SF Group Executive Committee<br>Re: 2019-014146ENV - Lake Merced West Project, 520 John Muir Drive<br>\section*{EIR Scoping Comments}

The SF Group of the Sierra Club is providing the following EIR Scoping comments for the Lake Merced West Project [the Project].

There are some good features proposed as part of this Project, including pathways for walking, some lake access, and some open space - a "natural area" and meadows. However, the Project could be improved to better complement the natural setting and beauty of Lake Merced and the potential for Lake Merced to become even more vibrant habitat, as well as a place for the public to learn about and appreciate nature.

The Project is focusing on one small area of Lake Merced Park without taking into account how the Project fits with the other features of the park as well as its impact on those other features. Specifically, the EIR needs to expand its scope to analyze the impacts the Project will have on the natural and recreational resources currently provided by the rest of Lake Merced Park. For example, how does the Project integrate with the look and feel of the entire lakeshore and parkland? What is the Project's impact on the habitat of the Lake Merced Park as a whole?

The following are our specific concerns with this Project, as well as suggestions for an alternative that will benefit not only habitat but also people's enjoyment of nature, a key component of both active and passive recreation in parkland.

## Lack of a clear description of the objectives of the Project in the NOP

The NOP does not describe the objectives of the project in general or of the new buildings in particular. Whom do they serve and what social or environmental benefit do they provide? What are the social goals of this projects? What need is the City trying to fulfill?

Lack of public notice and the need to extend the scoping period with full public notice given As of a field visit on July 6th, there is no notice of this proposal on the project site. There is a major residential development across the street from the site, and many people jog along the path around the lake; none of them will have been noticed of this project or the Scoping session. If the City is at all interested in public input, the Scoping period should be extended and full public noticing should
be posted at the site, with contact information.

## Cumulative impacts and the potential for Lake Merced as habitat

Contiguous open space is important for birds and wildlife to not only survive but also thrive. As open space surrounding a body of water, Lake Merced has the potential to be even more of a wildlife refuge than it is now. However, further development and commercialization of the lakeshore can detract from this goal. Therefore, the Project should be analyzed in conjunction with all of the activities that take place on and around the entire lake. This analysis should include the impacts of noise, increased artificial lighting, and increased human activity on this unique natural resource.

## Clean-up of the site

The documents state that most of the site has been cleaned up from the contamination produced from the prior use as a gun club. However, the areas under the buildings have not been cleaned; this might also apply to any remaining paving. The EIR needs to verify that the prior clean-up was effective and also outline in detail the plans for cleaning up any remaining contamination.

The 150 -seat restaurant with room for 70 additional seats on the patio and plans for gatherings of up to 500 attendees
It is puzzling that a facility that would be more appropriate for a country-club is being included in a public recreation area and in a natural setting, where it will detract from the habitat value of the area. Restaurant eating is neither a passive nor an active recreational activity. The plans call for events of up to 500 people once a month. This will be in addition to the 220 diners that may be at the facility up until 9:00 p.m. daily. Large numbers of people mean inevitable artificial lighting and noise. As just one example, the impact of these large numbers of people must be studied in conjunction with the stated goal of bird-watching as one of the activities.

What demographic will be most served by a large, probably expensive restaurant, and 500-person gatherings? What is the objective of yet another restaurant in this park? How does this fit with a Department whose main goals are described as, ". . . to provide enriching recreational activities, maintain beautiful parks and preserve the environment for the well-being of everyone in our diverse community."

How will the 500 people be accommodated? Will tents be set up on the 'meadow?' Will extra security lighting be brought in days ahead of time, as happens now with the concerts in Golden Gate Park? Will there be any control on the decibels noise levels and how will that be accomplished? There are no effective controls on the levels of decibel for the concerts in Golden Gate Park at this time. All of these possibilities and impacts should be studied in the EIR.

There is already a restaurant at the Harding Park Golf Course, across the lake, as well as facilities that could be used for dining at the existing Boathouse. Major golf tournaments take place on that golf course and bring in large numbers of people to Lake Merced Park. The existing facilities should be analyzed in conjunction with this project to demonstrate the cumulative impacts of this amount of human activity on the habitat both around and on the lake.

Ideally, there should be an analysis of the possible use of the already existing buildings around

Lake Merced (which include a restaurant, a boathouse, another possible restaurant location, a health club, and a large maintenance area) that may fulfill many of the goals of the proposed Project, in order to limit the number of built structures and to maximize the availability of open space and potential habitat in the Project area.

## Community Center

The community center is also a puzzling addition to the project, as Rec and Park has privatized many of its community centers already, stating that they can't finance them or that there is no community interest in maintaining them. An analysis needs to be done of all of the community centers/clubhouses managed by RPD, their transfer to private/non-profit organizations, and the reasons for this transfer.

Is this building really going to be a community center or an extension to the restaurant business? What will be the impact if this is the case? The EIR should include the number of community centers already closed or privatized by RPD in order to evaluate the viability of this building, which would cover valuable open space.

## Need to replace buildings in other parks with open space, as a trade-off for the buildings in this project

Policy 1.3 of the ROSE (Recreation and Open Space Election) is to: "Preserve existing open space by restricting its conversion to other uses and limiting encroachment from other uses, assuring no loss of quantity or quality of open space." This project paves over a great deal of open space for buildings and other built facilities. Under the ROSE this is permissible only under certain conditions (Policy 1.3,2.) The conditions need to be analyzed but are probably outside the scope of the EIR. However, one condition states that the
" . . .loss of open space resulting from approval of the proposed facility generally should be offset with replacement open space of equal or higher quality. This new open space can be provided through a variety of ways, such as the removal of existing non-utilized structures, the acquisition of new space, or rearrangement of existing recreational and open space uses to better integrate these uses and the proposed facility."

Therefore, because it is possible that other parks might be impacted by the need to balance out the amount of open space, these impacts on other parks should be included in the EIR, including the specific locations for the new open space. Note that this space must be of "equal or higher quality," which, one assumes, would include a lake.

Aesthetics - Views to and from the site.
The project describes views out from the site, but it does not evaluate the views to the site from the paths that circle the lake. These paths are jogged and walked daily by hundreds of people who enjoy the view of plants and wildlife. Removal of the existing buildings will improve the views. Adding many more buildings back to the site will have a negative impact on this enjoyment of nature, an important part of passive recreation. View to and from the site should both be analyzed, with
realistic illustrations of both.

## Parking

San Francisco has a stated goal of reducing car use. This facility, located so far from major population centers, will increase the amount of car use, as indicated by the inclusion of parking for 80 cars. It is also not clear how even this amount of parking will be enough for events of up to 500 attendees, as outlined in the Scoping document. The restaurant alone, assuming 2 people per car, would require 110 parking spaces; and that does not include the parking that might be needed for all of the other activities. Therefore, the project should be analyzed for the increase in car use and the lack of any viable public transportation to the area.

Installing bike racks is helpful, but it does not mean that people will bike SF's hilly terrain to come to this area that is very far from the population centers. The nearby SF Zoo bike racks are usually empty. Figures from Zoo bikerack use should be included in the EIR.

## Fencing in the Project area

The project will be surrounded with new fencing. Fencing detracts from the habitat value of an area. Wildlife cannot cross the areas that are fenced and would be forced to go out to the road to make a full circle of the lake. Roads, traffic and wildlife are not a good mix. Traffic along John Muir Drive is already such that a child has been killed crossing the road, as evidenced by signs and a memorial at one crosswalk. Wildlife will also be uncomfortable entering the area, as it will send that is it fenced in, with few options to escape if cornered.

Installing fencing may also have a negative impact on the health of the existing mature trees -see the section on Protection of Existing Trees.

Installing fencing also leaves open the possibility that the area, including the free public areas, could be closed off to the public in the future, when there is no staff on site to open it.

## Picnic areas

The group picnic area is very limited for this scope of project. Harding Park has group picnic areas that are crowded on weekends. Picnicking is minimally impactful on the habitat, as the infrastructure (tables, BBQ grills, a restroom) is relatively low key compared to a full-service restaurant. In addition, most people do not picnic in the evening, so there would be no need for artificial lighting. Noise might be limited to a radio and certainly amplified music should not be permitted.

The EIR should study the need for non-intrusive picnic grounds around Lake Merced by using the reservation scores from the group picnic area in Harding Park with the intent of replacing the proposed restaurant with picnic areas.

## Skatepark

The skatepark is located across from a residential area. Since there has been no notice posted at the site (as of this date), then the residents of that area will not have had a chance to give input on this proposal. However, it is likely that the noise from a skate park will not be conducive to their quality
of life. The level of decibels produced by a skatepark this close to residences should be analyzed. In addition, what will be the impact of this type of noise on the birds and other wildlife?

## Protection of existing trees

There are major trees around the site, along the fence line. The project proposes installing a new fence. Installing new fencing involves digging and heavy equipment that can damage tree roots.
The EIR should analyze the potential damage to these existing trees by the construction of new facilities, new paving, and overall construction activities. The EIR should also detail the steps that will be taken to preserve the trees, including limiting construction to outside of the drip line, heavy fines for tree root and branch damages, and other practices.

## Arborist area

This area may include chipping machines and other heavy machines. The stated hours start at 6:30 a.m. What will be the impact on the wildlife of this type and volume of noise? What will be the impact on the residents who live right across the street?

## Additional alternative

We would like to suggest as an alternative the following:

- A project that considers Lake Merced Park as a whole, both in terms of the amount and impacts of human uses and in terms of habitat for birds and other wildlife
- An emphasis on the natural beauty of the lake and its value as habitat.
- An emphasis on preserving the views not only from the project but also from other parts of the lake, looking towards the project.
- A reserved parking place on John Muir Drive for one or two food trucks.
- Formal and informal group and individual picnic areas that do not impact the habitat value of the area and are visually inobtrusive.
- An emphasis on $100 \%$ native and habitat-appropriate plantings while preserving existing trees.
- No new fencing of the area.
- Generous tree preservation circles around existing large trees to protect roots and large branches.
- Protection of the shoreline for water fowl.
- Removal of existing buildings and paved areas.
- If it is felt that a building is necessary for meetings and restroom, add a small building that can be used as a combined wildlife rehabilitation and education center
- One restroom that is open to the public from early morning to dusk.

Conclusion
Please include all of the above concerns and the additional alternative in the Environmental Impact Report.

## San Francisco Group, SF Bay Chapter

Serving San Francisco County
Date: July 9, 2021

To: Ms. Julie Moore
SF Planning Department

CC: Recreation and Park Commission
SF PUC
Board of Supervisors

From: Becky Evans, Chair
SF Group Executive Committee

Re: 2019-014146ENV - Lake Merced West Project, 520 John Muir Drive EIR Scoping Comments

The SF Group of the Sierra Club is providing the following EIR Scoping comments for the Lake Merced West Project [the Project].

There are some good features proposed as part of this Project, including pathways for walking, some lake access, and some open space - a "natural area" and meadows. However, the Project could be improved to better complement the natural setting and beauty of Lake Merced and the potential for Lake Merced to become even more vibrant habitat, as well as a place for the public to learn about and appreciate nature.

The Project is focusing on one small area of Lake Merced Park without taking into account how the Project fits with the other features of the park as well as its impact on those other features. Specifically, the EIR needs to expand its scope to analyze the impacts the Project will have on the natural and recreational resources currently provided by the rest of Lake Merced Park. For example, how does the Project integrate with the look and feel of the entire lakeshore and parkland? What is the Project's impact on the habitat of the Lake Merced Park as a whole?

The following are our specific concerns with this Project, as well as suggestions for an alternative that will benefit not only habitat but also people's enjoyment of nature, a key component of both active and passive recreation in parkland.

## Lack of a clear description of the objectives of the Project in the NOP

The NOP does not describe the objectives of the project in general or of the new buildings in particular. Whom do they serve and what social or environmental benefit do they provide? What are the social goals of this projects? What need is the City trying to fulfill? lake; none of them will have been noticed of this project or the Scoping session. If the City is at all interested in public input, the Scoping period should be extended and full public noticing should be posted at the site, with contact information.

Cumulative impacts and the potential for Lake Merced as habitat
Contiguous open space is important for birds and wildlife to not only survive but also thrive. As open space surrounding a body of water, Lake Merced has the potential to be even more of a wildlife refuge than it is now. However, further development and commercialization of the lakeshore can detract from this goal. Therefore, the Project should be analyzed in conjunction with all of the activities that take place on and around the entire lake. This analysis should include the impacts of noise, increased artificial lighting, and increased human activity on this unique natural resource.

## Clean-up of the site

The documents state that most of the site has been cleaned up from the contamination produced from the prior use as a gun club. However, the areas under the buildings have not been cleaned; this might also apply to any remaining paving. The EIR needs to verify that the prior clean-up was effective and also outline in detail the plans for cleaning up any remaining contamination.

The 150 -seat restaurant with room for 70 additional seats on the patio and plans for gatherings of up to 500 attendees
It is puzzling that a facility that would be more appropriate for a country-club is being included in a public recreation area and in a natural setting, where it will detract from the habitat value of the area. Restaurant eating is neither a passive nor an active recreational activity. The plans call for events of up to 500 people once a month. This will be in addition to the 220 diners that may be at the facility up until 9:00 p.m. daily. Large numbers of people mean inevitable artificial lighting and noise. As just one example, the impact of these large numbers of people must be studied in conjunction with the stated goal of bird-watching as one of the activities.

What demographic will be most served by a large, probably expensive restaurant, and 500-person gatherings? What is the objective of yet another restaurant in this park? How does this fit with a Department whose main goals are described as, ". . . to provide enriching recreational activities, maintain beautiful parks and preserve the environment for the well-being of everyone in our diverse community."

How will the 500 people be accommodated? Will tents be set up on the 'meadow?' Will extra security lighting be brought in days ahead of time, as happens now with the concerts in Golden Gate Park? Will there be any control on the decibels noise levels and how will that be accomplished? There are no effective controls on the levels of decibel for the concerts in Golden Gate Park at this time. All of these possibilities and impacts should be studied in the EIR.

There is already a restaurant at the Harding Park Golf Course, across the lake, as well as facilities that could be used for dining at the existing Boathouse. Major golf tournaments take place on that golf course and bring in large numbers of people to Lake Merced Park. The existing facilities should be analyzed in conjunction with this project to demonstrate the cumulative impacts of this amount of human activity on the habitat both around and on the lake.

Ideally, there should be an analysis of the possible use of the already existing buildings around Lake Merced (which include a restaurant, a boathouse, another possible restaurant location, a health club, and a large maintenance area) that may fulfill many of the goals of the proposed Project, in order to limit the number of built structures and to maximize the availability of open space and potential habitat in the Project area.

## Community Center

The community center is also a puzzling addition to the project, as Rec and Park has privatized many of its community centers already, stating that they can't finance them or that there is no community interest in maintaining them. An analysis needs to be done of all of the community centers/clubhouses managed by RPD, their transfer to private/non-profit organizations, and the reasons for this transfer.

Is this building really going to be a community center or an extension to the restaurant business? What will be the impact if this is the case? The EIR should include the number of community centers already closed or privatized by RPD in order to evaluate the viability of this building, which would cover valuable open space.

Need to replace buildings in other parks with open space, as a trade-off for the buildings in this project Policy 1.3 of the ROSE (Recreation and Open Space Election) is to: "Preserve existing open space by restricting its conversion to other uses and limiting encroachment from other uses, assuring no loss of quantity or quality of open space." This project paves over a great deal of open space for buildings and other built facilities. Under the ROSE this is permissible only under certain conditions (Policy 1.3, 2.) The conditions need to be analyzed but are probably outside the scope of the EIR. However, one condition states that the
" . . .loss of open space resulting from approval of the proposed facility generally should be offset with replacement open space of equal or higher quality. This new open space can be provided through a variety of ways, such as the removal of existing non-utilized structures, the acquisition of new space, or rearrangement of existing recreational and open space uses to better integrate these uses and the proposed facility."

Therefore, because it is possible that other parks might be impacted by the need to balance out the amount of open space, these impacts on other parks should be included in the EIR, including the specific locations for the new open space. Note that this space must be of "equal or higher quality," which, one assumes, would include a lake.

## Aesthetics - Views to and from the site.

The project describes views out from the site, but it does not evaluate the views to the site from the paths that circle the lake. These paths are jogged and walked daily by hundreds of people who enjoy the view of plants and wildlife. Removal of the existing buildings will improve the views. Adding many more buildings back to the site will have a negative impact on this enjoyment of nature, an important part of passive recreation. View to and from the site should both be analyzed, with realistic illustrations of both.

Parking
San Francisco has a stated goal of reducing car use. This facility, located so far from major population centers, will increase the amount of car use, as indicated by the inclusion of parking for 80 cars. It is also not clear how even this amount of parking will be enough for events of up to 500 attendees, as outlined in the Scoping document. The restaurant alone, assuming 2 people per car, would require 110 parking spaces; and that does not include the parking that might be needed for all of the other activities.
Therefore, the project should be analyzed for the increase in car use and the lack of any viable public transportation to the area.

Installing bike racks is helpful, but it does not mean that people will bike SF's hilly terrain to come to this area that is very far from the population centers. The nearby SF Zoo bike racks are usually empty.
Figures from Zoo bikerack use should be included in the EIR.

## Fencing in the Project area

The project will be surrounded with new fencing. Fencing detracts from the habitat value of an area. Wildlife cannot cross the areas that are fenced and would be forced to go out to the road to make a full circle of the lake. Roads, traffic and wildlife are not a good mix. Traffic along John Muir Drive is already such that a child has been killed crossing the road, as evidenced by signs and a memorial at one crosswalk. Wildlife will also be uncomfortable entering the area, as it will send that is it fenced in, with few options to escape if cornered.

Installing fencing may also have a negative impact on the health of the existing mature trees -- see the section on Protection of Existing Trees.

Installing fencing also leaves open the possibility that the area, including the free public areas, could be closed off to the public in the future, when there is no staff on site to open it.

## Picnic areas

The group picnic area is very limited for this scope of project. Harding Park has group picnic areas that are crowded on weekends. Picnicking is minimally impactful on the habitat, as the infrastructure (tables, BBQ grills, a restroom) is relatively low key compared to a full-service restaurant. In addition, most people do not picnic in the evening, so there would be no need for artificial lighting. Noise might be limited to a radio and certainly amplified music should not be permitted.

The EIR should study the need for non-intrusive picnic grounds around Lake Merced by using the reservation scores from the group picnic area in Harding Park with the intent of replacing the proposed restaurant with picnic areas.

## Skatepark

The skatepark is located across from a residential area. Since there has been no notice posted at the site (as of this date), then the residents of that area will not have had a chance to give input on this proposal. However, it is likely that the noise from a skate park will not be conducive to their quality of life. The level of decibels produced by a skatepark this close to residences should be analyzed. In addition, what will be the impact of this type of noise on the birds and other wildlife?

## Protection of existing trees

There are major trees around the site, along the fence line. The project proposes installing a new fence. Installing new fencing involves digging and heavy equipment that can damage tree roots. The EIR
should analyze the potential damage to these existing trees by the construction of new facilities, new paving, and overall construction activities. The EIR should also detail the steps that will be taken to preserve the trees, including limiting construction to outside of the drip line, heavy fines for tree root and branch damages, and other practices.

## Arborist area

This area may include chipping machines and other heavy machines. The stated hours start at 6:30 a.m. What will be the impact on the wildlife of this type and volume of noise? What will be the impact on the residents who live right across the street?

## Additional alternative

We would like to suggest as an alternative the following:

- A project that considers Lake Merced Park as a whole, both in terms of the amount and impacts of human uses and in terms of habitat for birds and other wildlife
- An emphasis on the natural beauty of the lake and its value as habitat.
- An emphasis on preserving the views not only from the project but also from other parts of the lake, looking towards the project.
- A reserved parking place on John Muir Drive for one or two food trucks.
- Formal and informal group and individual picnic areas that do not impact the habitat value of the area and are visually inobtrusive.
- An emphasis on $100 \%$ native and habitat-appropriate plantings while preserving existing trees.
- No new fencing of the area.
- Generous tree preservation circles around existing large trees to protect roots and large branches.
- Protection of the shoreline for water fowl.
- Removal of existing buildings and paved areas.
- If it is felt that a building is necessary for meetings and restroom, add a small building that can be used as a combined wildlife rehabilitation and education center
- One restroom that is open to the public from early morning to dusk.


## Conclusion

Please include all of the above concerns and the additional alternative in the Environmental Impact Report.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Sunset Chinese Cultural District |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 3:56:00 PM |

From: Louis Chan [louiskchan46@yahoo.com](mailto:louiskchan46@yahoo.com)
Sent: Monday, July 5, 2021 11:11 AM
To: Marstaff (BOS) [marstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:marstaff@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Cc: Lovett, Li (BOS) [li.lovett@sfgov.org](mailto:li.lovett@sfgov.org); Anni Chung [annic@selfhelpelderly.org](mailto:annic@selfhelpelderly.org)
Subject: Sunset Chinese Cultural District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Dear Sir/Madam:

I am writing against the proposal of "Sunset Chinese Cultural Center." My wife and I are 44 year residents of Outer Sunset and registered voters.

1) To favor one group over others in District 4 will be divisive. Affirming Chinese Americans will very likely alienate other groups and trigger more racism. We must make District 4 welcoming to all. 2) Supervisor Mar should, and must work on public safety, clean streets, and efficient permit processing. These are the universal needs of businesses and residents, Chinese Americans and others.
2) We already have an established Chinatown with myriad cultural resources. Why re-invent the wheel? Chinatown is showing signs of recovery from the shutdown, and several new businesses are starting. We should channel our support over there.

Thank you for your attention.
Sincerely, Louis Chan

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | BOS-Supenisors |
| cc: | Calvill, Angela (BOS): Somera, Alisa (BOS); ${ }^{\text {Na, Wison (BOS): Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchuah, Eileen (BOS) }}$ |
| Subject: | FW: Swim Lessons \| Urban Omnibus / a worthwhile read on swimming pools in NYC sand why we need to look at public ammenities with new housing.. |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 3:51:00 PM |

-----Original Message-----
rom: Aaron Goodman [amgodman@yahoo.com](mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com)
From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman $(a)$
Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 8:19 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: Swim Lessons | Urban Omnibus / a worthwhile read on swimming pools in NYC sand why we need to look at public ammenities with new housing.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sutro baths and the larger pools are non existent also deep dive pools and Olympic quality pools in S
The renovations of our pool systems was done with bulkheads reducing lap swim but monetizing the use for private functions.
Perhaps a more serious inventory of SF pools and public adjacent spaces is needed?
The balboa park pool could easily double in size with an outdoor segment on the eastern side.... Separated with a boardwalk or garden tree lined promenade ... unfortunately the ideas we submitted for a second phased approach to a larger facility was not seriously incorporated. So u get a basic rehab.
Need to look seriously at population density and pool needs in Sf
Ag D11
ttps://url. avanan.click/v2/ https://urbanomnibus.net/2021/07/swim-
lessons/ YXAzOnNmZHOyOmE6bzpiZTBiNGIyMGRjNzY2MDA3MjE5YTUyNzk5NzVkNjhiMTo0OmZhODO6NiZjYjdiNjBiMTY2NGRmZmIyODY4M2ZhNGRkZDc5Y2RiY2O0MDM4NWExNjVjYWJIM2M2ZTAyNDJIY2MzMDVjMQ

Sent from my iPhone

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Today"s Meeting |
| Date: | Tuesday, July 13, 2021 3:00:00 PM |

From: Selina Low [selinal@earthlink.net](mailto:selinal@earthlink.net)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 2:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Cc: Selina Low [selinal@earthlink.net](mailto:selinal@earthlink.net)
Subject: Re: Today's Meeting

Dear Ms. Hickey,
Here is a letter for the Board of Supervisors that I would also like to speak from during the public comments section of the meeting today. Do you know what time that will take place?

Thank you,
Selina
Dear Board of Supervisors:
Thank you for the opportunity to speak.
I would like to briefly tell you my experience on the 4th of July (2021) and request that the City of San Francisco do better next year. I will also send this letter in case my verbal comments get cut off today.

The 4th of July was like a warzone in Visitacion Valley. For probably about 6 hours until 12:20 a.m. that day, it was pretty bad. I felt like the home that I've lived in since about the age of two, was under attack with intermittent tosses of what I think, are called M80s or, dynamite that are incredibly loud, shook the house and set off car alarms. I would go to the window and see flashes of light and know that an explosion was coming. And then there would be bottle rockets or whatever, that would be shot into the sky and while they were beautiful, they would also snake down like shrapnel raining on the roof of my house and my neighbors' homes.

The constant bombardment of intense sound reverberated around me and echoed throughout the Valley. When one direction would shoot something off, then the next side would send out something stronger, as if there were a competition.

I'm not trying to complain to just complain and I understand people want to "cut loose" on the 4th because we've been pent up for so long, but I think it is wrong, unfair and unsafe to subject all the residents to such intense, traumatic sights and sounds. I worried that my windows would shatter; that the power lines and rooftops would catch fire; the poor animals with ever so acute hearing were terrified; that unsupervised children and others could get hurt.

It made me, a native San Franciscan, want to leave the City for good. As a little background, I am a woman of color who was raised by my immigrant parents. I am in the helping/healing professional--supporting diverse foster families and I have volunteered throughout my life to
promote mediation of conflicts; support and justice and equity for seniors and communities of color.

Saying that fireworks is illegal in San Francisco feels like a joke because nothing was really being done to curb them--illegal being the dynamite and the things that shoot up in the sky and come down uncontrollably. There's a reason they are supposed to be illegal--because they are unsafe and we are in a dense residential, urban environment.

Please do not wait until next year to do something and then next year like previous years, emergency responders will be overwhelmed with excessive calls and not enough staffing.

A plan could include by March, April, May-coordinated efforts for a public safety campaign in several languages like Chinese, Spanish, Russian-about the safety hazards to life and property. There can be education in the schools and community; signs on telephone polls and statements from the mayor/police chief/fire chief and comments from the public on television and radio, on avoiding illegal fireworks and ways to have safe celebrations. Maybe even organized professional events in different communities could happen in big open spaces like a parking lots or something. Maybe there could be a trade-in of illegal fireworks for holiday food. And of course, increased efforts to prevent the influx of illegal fireworks that are essentially weapons, are important. But please do discourage the rampant use of these illegal fireworks.

Please do something to protect the safety of all residents and don't wait until the last minute next year. The experience has been traumatizing. Thank you.

On 7/13/21 1:31 PM, "Board of Supervisors, (BOS)" [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) wrote:
Hello,

Please see below for ways to access the Board of Supervisors meeting and links to information regarding the meeting.

- Watch SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider)
- Watch https://url.avanan.click/v2/ www.sfgovtv.org .YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bz owMDE5YjI1MTExNDNIMjA5ZTIOZDY3ZjliZGMyZTk5Mjo00mM3OTc6NGVkOG M4MjJiM2EzMTIzMzI3ODI4ZjQyNWY2NTRkZTBmZTRmY2NjYjkxOGU4OTc3Ym JhMTZIZmRkZTU3ZWlyNA [http://www.sfgovtv.org](http://www.sfgovtv.org)
- PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN 1 (415) 655-0001/ Meeting ID: 1464086781 \# \#
- Public Comment Call-In Information [https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call](https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call)
- Board of Supervisors Agenda for July 13, 2021 Meeting [https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/bag071321_agenda.pdf](https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/bag071321_agenda.pdf)
- Any emailed correspondence will be forwarded to each member of the Board of Supervisors upon receipt

Regards,

Jackie Hickey
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Direct: (415) 554-7701
jacqueline.hickey@sfgov.org [mailto:jacqueline.hickev@sfgov.org](mailto:jacqueline.hickev@sfgov.org) |
https://url.avanan.click/v2/_www.sfbos.org_.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzowMDE5Yjl
1MTExNDNIMjA5ZTIOZDY3ZjliZGMyZTk5Mjo0OmMwNGY6NzNINTVjZDVhYzcwZDIwMW
EyYjO3M2YwYzkyYmM4MThkYTBhZjQyMzk5OWE1NjJmMDJkMjZkOWE1NzJiZGQ5NO
[http://www.sfbos.org/](http://www.sfbos.org/)

From: Selina Low [selinal@earthlink.net](mailto:selinal@earthlink.net)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2021 11:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Cc: Selina Low [selinal@earthlink.net](mailto:selinal@earthlink.net)
Subject: Today's Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am interested in attending today's BOS meeting. Do I just call in to hear the meeting?

Do you know roughly what time the public comment begins? We have 2 min. each, yes?

If I want to send a letter as well do I just send it to you to disseminate to all? What is the deadline?

Please let me know.

Thanks,
Selina

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Why are we being lied to? |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 1:15:00 PM |
| Attachments: | image001.png |
|  | image002.png |

From: Bhanu Vikram [bhanu1vikram@gmail.com](mailto:bhanu1vikram@gmail.com)
Sent: Saturday, July 10, 2021 11:53 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) [mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA) [Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com](mailto:Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com); Kim Tavaglione [kim@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:kim@sflaborcouncil.org); roger marenco [rmarenco@twusf.org](mailto:rmarenco@twusf.org); Pete Wilson [pwilson@twusf.org](mailto:pwilson@twusf.org); Michael Dennis [mdennis@twusf.org](mailto:mdennis@twusf.org); Anthony Ballester [aballester@twusf.org](mailto:aballester@twusf.org); info@avoiceforchoice.org; America's Frontline Doctors [info@aflds.com](mailto:info@aflds.com); San Francisco Labor Council [sflc@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:sflc@sflaborcouncil.org); Children's Health Defense [ca.team@childrenshealthdefense.org](mailto:ca.team@childrenshealthdefense.org); tmontoya@sfpoa.org; Shon Buford [shon@sffdlocal798.org](mailto:shon@sffdlocal798.org); jsamuelsen@twu.org; Valarie Long [valarie.long@seiu.org](mailto:valarie.long@seiu.org); marykay.henry@seiu.org; Kristina Heuser [kheuser@tylerbursch.com](mailto:kheuser@tylerbursch.com); Joseph Bryant [joseph.bryant@seiu1021.org](mailto:joseph.bryant@seiu1021.org); Sandra Lewis [sandra.lewis@seiu1021.org](mailto:sandra.lewis@seiu1021.org); agarcia@twu.org; gusvallejo@ifpte21.org; janeychan@ifpte21.org; pshearon@ifpte.org; mbiggs@ifpte.org; Mary Riley [mriley@lldf.org](mailto:mriley@lldf.org); Marcus Terry [marcos.terry8282@gmail.com](mailto:marcos.terry8282@gmail.com);
Vicki Davis [faith51@att.net](mailto:faith51@att.net)
Subject: Why are we being lied to?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor London Breed,
Why is the City \& County of San Francisco lying to everyone that the COVID vaccines are safe?

What is the big hurry to require vaccinations of some or all employees while the clinical trials are still incomplete and the safety data unavailable for everyone to exercise informed consent?

Taking or not taking vaccines is a personal medical decision that people ought to make on their own with the help of their doctors (unless they have religious reasons to not take them). Why is the City \& County of San Francisco forcing anyone?

If these vaccinations are safe, effective, and necessary, there would be no need to make videos forcing and bullying others to take them. People would line up and get the vaccines on their own. Why is a local city and county government so inclined to force employees who are unwilling to take this potentially harmful vaccine, while 19 states have banned vaccine passports and 7 others have proposals to ban them?

Do we have to force diabetic patients to take insulin? Not at all. They want it because they know it is
life-saving. People are not taking this vaccine because they don't want it. There is no need to force anyone.

## Vaccine passports in the US



## VAERS COVID Vaccine Data

(Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System, USA)
438,440 Reports
Through July 7, 2021
jump to browse highlighted reports $\vee$
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BELL'S PALSY

2,226
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3,324
Heart Attacks

7,823
Life Threatening

26,818
HOSPITALIZATIONS

2,152
ANAPHYLANIS

Myocarditis/Pericarditis

19,105
Severe Allergic
Beaction

7,463
Disabled

5,118
Tinnitus

Source: https://www.openvaers.com/covid-data

Only a small percentage of adverse events are reported. The U.S. Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) is an under-utilized surveillance system, known to contain only $1 \%$ of all adverse events occurring after vaccination, and only 1 to $13 \%$ of serious adverse events that occur after vaccination. (Lazarus, 2010).

- https://wonder.cdc.gov/vaers.html
- https://digital.ahra.gov/sites/default/files/docs/publication/r18hs017045-lazarus-final-report2011.pdf

I hope to hear from you soon.

Bhanu Vikram

## ---------- Forwarded message

$\qquad$
From: Bhanu Vikram [bhanu1vikram@gmail.com](mailto:bhanu1vikram@gmail.com)
Date: Sat, Jul 3, 2021 at 8:17 PM
Subject: Safe?
To: [MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org](mailto:MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org)
Cc: [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org), [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com), Jeffrey Tumlin
[jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com](mailto:jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com), sflc [sflc@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:sflc@sflaborcouncil.org), Kim Tavaglione
[kim@sflaborcouncil.org](mailto:kim@sflaborcouncil.org), Roger Marenco [rmarenco@twusf.org](mailto:rmarenco@twusf.org), Pete Wilson
[pwilson@twusf.org](mailto:pwilson@twusf.org), Michael Dennis [mdennis@twusf.org](mailto:mdennis@twusf.org), Anthony Ballester
[aballester@twusf.org](mailto:aballester@twusf.org), ca.team [ca.team@childrenshealthdefense.org](mailto:ca.team@childrenshealthdefense.org),
[info@avoiceforchoice.org](mailto:info@avoiceforchoice.org), America's Frontline Doctors [info@aflds.com](mailto:info@aflds.com)

Dear Mayor London Breed,

SFMTA has released a video saying the COVID-19 vaccines are safe. The tone of the video is rather forceful and condescending. The video can be viewed at https://www.youtube.com/watch? $\underline{v=E V \_R z b 1 V 6 p U}$ and https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6fipxVn9fjc.

The data from the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) on the CDC website showed the following numbers for vaccine adverse events as of June 30, 2021:

Deaths: 5,218
Life Threatening: 6,788
Permanent Disability: 5,438
Congenital Anomaly / Birth Defect: 213
Hospitalized: 23,246
Existing Hospitalization Prolonged: 225
Emergency Room / Office Visit: 50
Emergency Room: 53,856
Office Visit: 76,185
None of the above: 265,949
Total: 437,168

The death rate from this vaccine is more than all 70 vaccines over the past 30 years combined.

To access this data on the VAERS system, please click on the link below. Once you are on that page, click "I Agree" first, then click "VAERS Data Search", and wait for a couple of seconds for the page to load... https://wonder.cdc.gov/controller/saved/D8/D173F192

I also found these websites that are alerting people to a lot of data that is contrary to the notion that these vaccines are safe:
https://americasfrontlinedoctors.org
https://gbdeclaration.org
https://www.icandecide.org
https://childrenshealthdefense.org

I don't understand how a vaccine that is so safe can cause so many deaths and disabilities.

Does this also mean that the City \& County of San Francisco is now liable for vaccine injuries?

Please advise.

Thank you.

Bhanu Vikram

| From: | Brett Dampier |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS) |
| Subject: | Public Comment to rescind Order No. C19-19 |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 1:56:59 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Brett Dampier \& I am a parent in Pacific Heights. I am writing to ask you to rescind Order No. C19-19.

## ON LEGAL GROUNDS:

The current California statute is very clear about the times when a minor 12 years and older can consent to treatment. There are two sections of Family Code § 6926, which the San Francisco Public Health Officer is conflating:

1. "A minor who is 12 years of age or older and who may have come into contact with an infectious, contagious, or communicable disease may consent to medical care related to the diagnosis or treatment of the disease, if the disease... is one that is required by law...to be reported...."
2. "A minor 12 years of age or older who may have come into contact with a sexually transmitted disease may consent to medical care related to the diagnosis or treatment of the disease. A minor who is 12 years of age or older may also consent to medical care related to the prevention of a sexually transmitted disease."

The first very clearly is related to the diagnosis and treatment of an infectious disease. The second, specifically includes consent related to medical care for the prevention of a sexually transmitted disease. The current statute does NOT give minors 12 and older the ability to consent to medical care related to the prevention of infectious diseases that are not sexually transmitted. In other words, they cannot consent to COVID-19 vaccinations. For this to become law, the legislature would have to pass a new bill.

## ON SAFETY GROUNDS:

As a parent, I should not be afraid to send my child to school because someone at the school may give them a medical treatment without my knowledge. My child is gluten free and even though it's clearly marked in his record \& the teacher is aware, I can't tell you how many times he's accidentally been given gluten because the teacher forgot or the teacher stepped out when a well meaning parent was passing out "special treats". If I can't trust the school to remember what my kid can eat, I certainly can't expect them to memorize each child's medical history \& recognize potential risks for adverse reactions.

Children are easily influenced by peers \& adults. Minors may not be able to fully understand
potential risks associated $w /$ treatments the way their parents can. I would be very worried that a minor would feel pressured into accepting something they don't fully understand. Does the school board want that liability? Parents know what is best for their child \& should ALWAYS be aware anytime a minor is being offered a medical intervention.

I hope you will sincerely consider these points, keep our children safe \& protect parental rights that are clearly stated in California law.

Sincerely,
Brett Dampier

| From: | Chelsea Mariotti |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Lalipa, Brent (BOS); Wong, لocelyn (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS) |
| Subject: | Public Comment In OPPOSITION of Order No. C19-19 |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 9:57:14 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Hello!

I'm writing to you today as a 40 year old mother of two, San Francisco Native in staunch OPPOSITON to Order No. C19-19.

It is absolutely ridiculous that the city/mayor/health officer feels the need to create an order of this fashion which takes away parental consent for this Experimental EUA Covid Vaccine.

I ask that the SF Board of Supervisors rescind Order No. C19-19 for the following reasons...

- Order No. C19-19 does not follow CA Department of Public Health guidance which is very clear in stating that minors need PARENTAL consent unless they are emancipated.
- Since the vaccine manufacturers and administrators lack liability for the COVID-19 vaccine, the city and county of San Francisco will be taking on this liability for any minor who consents (without parental consent) to the vaccine and has an adverse reaction.
- Order No. C19-19 is conflating two sections of Family Code § 6926
- "A minor who is 12 years of age or older and who may have come into contact with an infectious, contagious, or communicable disease may consent to medical care related to the diagnosis or treatment of the disease, if the disease... is one that is required by law...to be reported...." - "A minor 12 years of age or older who may have come into contact with a sexually transmitted disease may consent to medical care related to the diagnosis or treatment of the disease. A minor who is 12 years of age or older may also consent to medical care related to the prevention of a sexually transmitted disease."
- Parental consent is (and has always been) necessary because a child's prefrontal cortex is not fully developed. It is scientifically not possible for a child to employ good judgement to the same level as an adult, plus children can easily be influenced by adults and/or peers. Therefore, a child should not be authorized to make any substantial decision.
- A parent has the fundamental right to give consent before their minor child undergoes preventative medical care.
- Parents will overlook or simply not look for adverse reactions (like myocarditis) which will ultimately lead to improper medical care of their child, if they do not know that their child has been vaccinated.

I sincerely hope that the Board of Supervisors takes this seriously and Rescinds this order.

Thank you.
Chelsea Mariotti
District 11
Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

```
From: \anina Lynn
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Lalipa, Brent (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS)
Subject: Rescind Order No. C19-19
Date: Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:26:26 PM
```

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the SF Board of Supervisors
My name is Janina and I live in Noe Valley. I am a parent of an almost 12-year old girl. Please rescind Order No. C19-19. My child is not mature enough to make medical decisions for herself. Period.

Order No. C19-19 does not follow CA Department of Public Health guidance which is very clear in stating that minors need PARENTAL consent unless they are emancipated.

Since vaccine manufacturers and administrators lack liability for the COVID-19 vaccine, the city and county of San Francisco will be taking on this liability for any minor who consents (without parental consent) to the vaccine and has an adverse reaction.

Order No. C19-19 is conflating two sections of Family Code § 6926:

1. "A minor who is 12 years of age or older and who may have come into contact with an infectious, contagious, or communicable disease may consent to medical care related to the diagnosis or treatment of the disease, if the disease... is one that is required by law...to be reported...."
2. "A minor 12 years of age or older who may have come into contact with a sexually transmitted disease may consent to medical care related to the diagnosis or treatment of the disease. A minor who is 12 years of age or older may also consent to medical care related to the prevention of a sexually transmitted disease."

Parental consent is (and has always been) necessary because a child's prefrontal cortex is not fully developed. It is scientifically not possible for a child to employ good judgement to the same level as an adult. And, children can easily be influenced by adults and/or peers! Therefore, a child should not be authorized to make any substantial decision.

A parent has the fundamental right to give consent before their minor child undergoes preventative medical care.

I really hope you listen to parents this time.
Thank you.
Janina

Sent from my iPhone

| From: | yogagirlsf@aol.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | rescind C19-19 |
| Date: | Thursday, July 8, 2021 10:56:18 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, My name is Robin and I am a parent and native resident of San Francisco. As parents we need to guide our children and be involved in all aspects of their lives. I am writing to you about the order of health officer No. C19-19. I am asking you to rescind the order based on the following:

- Order No. C19-19 does not follow CA Department of Public Health guidance which is very clear in stating that minors need PARENTAL consent unless they are emancipated.
- Since the vaccine manufacturers and administrators lack liability for the COVID19 vaccine, the city and county of San Francisco will be taking on this liability for any minor who consents (without parental consent) to the vaccine and has an adverse reaction.
- Order No. C19-19 is conflating two sections of Family Code § 6926 (outlined above).
- Parental consent is (and has always been) necessary because a child's prefrontal cortex is not fully developed. It is scientifically not possible for a child to employ good judgement to the same level as an adult, plus children can easily be influenced by adults and/or peers. Therefore, a child should not be authorized to make any substantial decision.
- A parent has the fundamental right to give consent before their minor child undergoes preventative medical care.
- Parents will overlook or simply not look for adverse reactions (like myocarditis) which will ultimately lead to improper medical care of their child.

Thank you for taking the time to consider these important points regarding our children.
Robin Attia

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: FW: Planning Reorg Appointments - open secrets |
| Date: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 2:13:00 PM <br> Attachments: image004.png <br> image003.png,$l$ |  |

From: Black Employee Alliance [blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 10:46 AM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) [mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) [andrea.bruss@sfgov.org](mailto:andrea.bruss@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Haney, Matt (BOS) [matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org); MandelmanStaff, [BOS] [mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org); Mar, Gordon (BOS) [gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org); Peskin, Aaron (BOS) [aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org); Preston, Dean (BOS) [dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org); Ronen, Hillary [hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org); Safai, Ahsha (BOS) [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org); Stefani, Catherine (BOS) [catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org); Walton, Shamann (BOS) [shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org); Chan, Connie (BOS) [connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:connie.chan@sfgov.org); Melgar, Myrna (BOS) [myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org); John Doherty [jdoherty@ibew6.org](mailto:jdoherty@ibew6.org); cityworker@sfcwu.org; Charles Lavery [clavery@oe3.org](mailto:clavery@oe3.org); mbrito@oe3.org; tneep@oe3.org; oashworth@ibew6.org; debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org; kgeneral@ifpte21.org; Jessica Beard [jbeard@ifpte21.org](mailto:jbeard@ifpte21.org); tmathews@ifpte21.org; Vivian Araullo [varaullo@ifpte21.org](mailto:varaullo@ifpte21.org); ewallace@ifpte21.org; aflores@ifpte21.org; smcgarry@nccrc.org; larryjr@ualocal38.org; jchiarenza@ualocal38.org; SEichenberger@local39.org; Richard Koenig [richardk@smw104.org](mailto:richardk@smw104.org); anthonyu@smw104.org; Charles, Jasmin (MTA) [Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com](mailto:Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com); twulocal200@sbcglobal.net; roger marenco [rmarenco@twusf.org](mailto:rmarenco@twusf.org); Peter Wilson [pwilson@twusf.org](mailto:pwilson@twusf.org); Theresa Foglio [laborers261@gmail.com](mailto:laborers261@gmail.com); bart@dc16.us; dharrington@teamster853.org; MLeach@ibt856.org; jason.klumb@seiu1021.org; theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org; XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org; Hector Cardenas [Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org](mailto:Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org); pmendeziamaw@comcast.net; mjayne@iam1414.org; raquel@sfmea.com (contact) [raquel@sfmea.com](mailto:raquel@sfmea.com); christina@sfmea.com; criss@sfmea.com; rudy@sflaborcouncil.org; I200twu@gmail.com; Local Twu [local200twu@sbcglobal.net](mailto:local200twu@sbcglobal.net); Ikuhls@teamsters853.org; staff@sfmea.com; president@sanfranciscodsa.com; SFDPOA@icloud.com; sfbia14@gmail.com; ibew6@ibew6.org; CivilService, Civil (CSC) [civilservice@sfgov.org](mailto:civilservice@sfgov.org); kim@sflaborcouncil.org; sflc@sflaborcouncil.org; SFPD, Commission (POL) [SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org](mailto:SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org); Airport Commission Secretary (AIR) [airportcommissionsecretary@flysfo.com](mailto:airportcommissionsecretary@flysfo.com); Commission, Fire (FIR) [fire.commission@sfgov.org](mailto:fire.commission@sfgov.org); DPH, Health Commission (DPH) [HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org](mailto:HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org); info@sfwater.org; Koppel, Joel (CPC) [joel.koppel@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.koppel@sfgov.org); Moore, Kathrin (CPC) [kathrin.moore@sfgov.org](mailto:kathrin.moore@sfgov.org); Chan, Deland (CPC) [deland.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:deland.chan@sfgov.org); Diamond, Susan (CPC) [sue.diamond@sfgov.org](mailto:sue.diamond@sfgov.org); Fung, Frank (CPC) [frank.fung@sfgov.org](mailto:frank.fung@sfgov.org); Imperial, Theresa (CPC) [theresa.imperial@sfgov.org](mailto:theresa.imperial@sfgov.org)
Subject: Fwd: FW: Planning Reorg Appointments - open secrets

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning Mayor Breed, SFMTA Board, and Board of Supervisors -

We are writing this note: 1.) in solidarity and support of SFMTA employees who have contacted the BEA for support; 2.) to reinforce the points they highlighted in their email below to Director Tumlin; 3.) to highlight and reinforce issues that have been raised previously; 4.) and to follow-up on the public records request we submitted more than a month ago.

## Toxic leadership, cronyism, sexism, racism, and plantation culture

Over the past 18 months Jeffrey Tumlin has allowed and supported the unethical, upward movement of a White-presenting male, Jonathan Rewers. Please note, we are using Whitepresenting because in this society it assumes just as much privilege as someone most in this society would identify as White. SFMTA employees, and members of the BEA have also now learned that as of Summer 2020 Mr. Rewers is no longer identifying as White, but is now identifying as Southeast Asian (as one of his parents are biracial).

Jonathan was previously responsible for the Budget Management team at the SFMTA, a role he procured in or around 2019. At the time, the role was classified as a Manager V. While in that position, he discriminated against women by hiring and promoting men almost exclusively. To be more precise, there were a total of at least 3-to-4 men he hired and/or promoted where processes were rigged to ensure his picks assumed certain positions. Multiple employees also continued to report his continuous harassment, discrimination, bullying, and belittling many employees on the Finance Team. Rather than causing action, these perpetual acts of persistent harm garnered Jonathan a promotion in 2019, one that he self-engineered by collaborating with his former manager (former SFMTA CFO Leo Levenson) to create the actual job description. The way that the promotional role was written, encompassed all of his current responsibilities at the time. And while it was common knowledge that this is what had occurred (and this is verifyable by contacting employees on the budget team) most remained quiet about it. This position was leveled as a Manager VIII, and it was not by any means a fair process.

Upon Leo's departure in December 2020, Director Tumlin appointed Jonathan as the acting CFO (please note - Director Tumlin's appointing Jonathan as acting CFO, came at the expense of two Black candidates with more financial industry and educational experience - Matthew McDonald, a Black male with more than $\mathbf{1 0}$-years experience as a Controller, who has been the Controller at the SFMTA for the last 4-years (second in line after Leo Levenson); and Irella Blackwood - Black, female - Grants Accounting Manager, a former Auditor in the Treasury and Tax Department; and previously the final candidate for the CFO position against Leo Levenson in November 2018). This is important to note, as a practical point here is highlighting Director Tumlin's preference for White and/or White-presenting people in the SFMTA's highest classified positions on his direct executive team at the SFMTA. This was reinforced in Director Tumlin's selection for the Chief of Staff position, even after employees pleaded with him to conduct an open search of diverse candidates. It is also important to note that some of these positions have people's names on them before they are actually released for recruitment, perpetuating the persistent cronyism and nepotism at the City and County of San Francisco.

It would be inadequate and reductive to assert that Director Jeff Tumlin is racist. He prefers White/presenting people; and particularly the in highest levels of leadership at the organization. This was
true while he was the Director of Strategy at Nelson Nygaard, and remains true here at the SFMTA. He also prefers BIPOC people who will not challenge his authority on issues concerning injusticies regarding the masses of BIPOC people. In other words, he is comfortable with non-White people he can leverage as tokens, who remain and are complicit with perpetuating White supremacy culture and disproportionate outcomes for non-White people.

## It is important to note that the workforce at the SFMTA is made-up of approximately 80-85\%

 people of color; and yet the agency's leadership is roghly 40-50\% White, or Whitepresenting. Even with the appointment of a Race, Equity, and Inclusion Officer (appointed to the executive team, in a manager VI position - rather than a Director role) things remain unchanged at the agency, and these issues have been uncovered for more than three years.

SFMTA employees also believe that it has been poor judgment on acting Director Rewers', on his renting rooms to SFMTA interns that he charged rent two. It has been observed and reported that in at least two cases, interns who he employed directly - lived with him, and that he charged them rent. Is this permissible unde the City's Code of Conduct?

## Incompetent leadership equals poor judgment

Nevertheless, while Director Tumlin has repeatedly filmed videos, distributed emails, and/or appeared on public platforms to reinforce his commitment to improving the culture and workplace conditions for SFMTA employees, this is not the reality. Director Tumlin was appointed to the SFMTA to address and improve the transportation system and to address cultural issues at the agency (at least this is what was stated in his selection over Deborah Johnson, a Black female who worked for the agency prevuously who had much more experience). Tumlin has not followedthrough on either of these responsibilities and it is apparent to employees and riders of the transportation system. The email below is indicative of employees who are desperate, and are making one last attempt to try to reason with a leader who is only concerned about his reputation, making himself look good, and is not at all invested in leading and/or making changes to improve conditions for the SFMTA workforce. Most of the leadership there has remained the same, and with the replacement of Leo Levenson by Jonathan Rewers, the culture at the SFMTA will not only remain tainted, it will worsen. This letter is intended to candidly reinforce these points, rather than approach the issue ambiguously. The SFMTA's BEA and non-BEA employees feel it urgent to be direct about these matters - and Director Tumlin remains immune to feedback.

As you are most likely aware, there are external operational and internal issues at the SFMTA, and we want to assure you on behalf of many employees that continue to contact us, these conditions are still not improving. Employees are complaining about the lack of competence and inadequacies persisting at the highest levels of the organization that are having a direct impact on employee morale. The previous leadership (i.e., Reiskin, Haley, Ellison) were irresponsible in their responsibilities to prioritize employees' needs, subjecting employees to ongoing sexual harassment and racial discrimination; and were provided with passes because of their technical expertises. The new leadership (particularly Tumlin, and Ackerman) are not only allowing these issues to persist, but lack the technical expertises to run the agency in the ways that are actually improving conditions for employees. For example, one of the first terminations, upon hiring of the new Employee and Labor Director, was the firing of a Black, female Superintendent named Debra Reese. While it is
not our goal to support or dispute this decision, it is important to note that a White, male Superintendent, Neil Weingarten (White, male) was able to consistently harass and terrorize a number of Black employees who he disciplined much harsher than non-White employees. Mr. Weingarten was allowed to act in this manner with the support of management and the Human Resources Director Kimberly Ackerman. He later was rewarded with a promotion at another City department.

In the estimations of many Black SFMTA employees, the actions in these two situations equaled disparate treatment for towards Debra Reese. These decisions also reinforce persistent racial discrimination currently being enacted anmd allowed by SFMTA Human Resources, as Black employees are continuing to be disciplined at the agency, at alarming disproportional rates; as well as the fact that none of the SFMTA's current selection of interns are Black (a problem that they committed to addressing several years ago, and have still not done so).

Call for intervention by City leadership
The current SFMTA leaders are being provided passes because they are hiding an abundance of issues within the agency (that will be revealed and/or blow-up at some point), and because they are espousing and controlling false narratives (more to come on this). Promoting known bullies, discriminators, and harassers into top executive positions further diminishes the faith and morale of employees. When will SFMTA finally learn its lesson? Will it be after another barrage of lawsuits, and EEO complaints from Black, Asian, and White women who led the charge the last go-round (2018/2019)? Or will it take another Transit disaster or operational fall-out? Or a potential scandal due to misappropriation of resources?

Jonathan Rewers has consistently been rewarded for bad behavior. And, the SFMTA's leadership appears to be comfortable operating in this manner. If the agency continues along this trajectory, it will taint the City's reputation further, compounding the diminishment of public trust on the local, state, and national levels. It will also lose more of its workforce, as people are set to leave if Jonathan is appointed into the position permanently, and Director Tumlin continues to not listen to or prioritize the majority of the SFMTA's workforce needs.

The BEA, along with an abundance of SFMTA employees, implores you to:

- Reconsider the leadership landscape apparatus at the SFMTA, and locate seasoned industry professionals who are not scared to lead in ways that bring true change to the SFMTA
- Conduct an employee survey that directly solicits feedback from employees about the ways the organization has improved or not improved over the past two years
- Implement a process where all Affinity group leaders and employees have an opportunity to present at the SFMTA Board meeting without fear of presumed or plausible retaliation.
- Direct SFMTA HR and Director Tumlin, to follow-through on the public records request (under the FOIA, Freedom of Information Act, and San Francisco's Sunshine Ordinance) we submitted more than one month ago. The request included all disciplinary actions by type, across racial and sex categories. We would like to amended this request to cover the following timeframe July 2020 through June 2021. We want to see the actual number of actions per racial category.

Thank you for your attention to these matters. If you have any questions, please let us know.

Best,

Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness

From: Leung, Adrian [Adrian.Leung@sfmta.com](mailto:Adrian.Leung@sfmta.com)
Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 3:21 PM
To: Tumlin, Jeffrey < Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com>
Cc: Wise, Viktoriya [Viktoriya.A.Wise@sfmta.com](mailto:Viktoriya.A.Wise@sfmta.com); Paine, Carli [Carli.Paine@sfmta.com](mailto:Carli.Paine@sfmta.com);
Ogwuegbu, Chiamaka [Chiamaka.Ogwuegbu@sfmta.com](mailto:Chiamaka.Ogwuegbu@sfmta.com); Lin, Tracey [Tracey.Lin@sfmta.com](mailto:Tracey.Lin@sfmta.com);
Ngo, Uyen < Uyen.Ngo@sfmta.com>; Ito, Darton [Darton.Ito@sfmta.com](mailto:Darton.Ito@sfmta.com); Feliciano, Lulu
[Lulu.Feliciano@sfmta.com](mailto:Lulu.Feliciano@sfmta.com); Broussard, Kathy L. [Kathy.Broussard@sfmta.com](mailto:Kathy.Broussard@sfmta.com); Heim, Adrienne [Adrienne.Heim@sfmta.com](mailto:Adrienne.Heim@sfmta.com); Wheeler, Kenya [Kenya.Wheeler@sfmta.com](mailto:Kenya.Wheeler@sfmta.com); Molina, Jennifer
[Jennifer.Molina@sfmta.com](mailto:Jennifer.Molina@sfmta.com); Contreras, Andrea [Andrea.Contreras@sfmta.com](mailto:Andrea.Contreras@sfmta.com); Kong, Grace [Grace.Kong@sfmta.com](mailto:Grace.Kong@sfmta.com); Minicucci, Tracy [Tracy.Minicucci@sfmta.com](mailto:Tracy.Minicucci@sfmta.com); Santullo, Jean
[Jean.Santullo@sfmta.com](mailto:Jean.Santullo@sfmta.com); Brisson, Liz [Liz.Brisson@sfmta.com](mailto:Liz.Brisson@sfmta.com); McAuliff, Erin
[Erin.McAuliff@sfmta.com](mailto:Erin.McAuliff@sfmta.com); Ayankoya, Josephine [Josephine.Ayankoya@sfmta.com](mailto:Josephine.Ayankoya@sfmta.com)
Subject: Planning Reorg Appointments - open secrets

Hello Jeff (copying CoS and other Affinity Group coordinators),

I wanted to email about an urgent issue around The Reorganization appointment decisions.

There's discussion of the Planning Subdivision + a bit of Comms + Capital Finance $=$ New Division. This group would purportedly handle a lot of Racial Equity Action Plan work like Community Based Transportation Plans and District Liaisons.

A reorg might be in order; it's advisable to take agency community input, especially with respect to workplace problems that are open-secrets/common-knowledge.

Here's an equity thought experiment:
Imagine a racist bully who berated underlings and treated people of color like emotional punching bags, a person who (ab)used their power to retaliate and further diminish and belittle folks who spotlighted this behavior.

## Then flip it.

Imagine race wasn't a factor-that it was just a bully who abused people of the same ilk as themself. Would that be better? Would a lawsuit-prone jerk deserve an appointment to an acting position (read: promotion) in charge of people who they had previously bullied and abused?
The agency has been in this situation very recently, with low morale and distrust in leadership; we shouldn't repeat those mistakes.

Appointing a harmful person to a position where they manage and lead people they previously
harmed would be in full disregard of Affinity Group input, Racial Equity priorities, and Agency WellBeing. It would eliminate credibility for a person who professed to eliminate barriers and protect staff from bullies. It would effectively be the opposite. It would be hard for staff, and especially Affinity Groups, to trust someone who did that.

I hope this provides insight into staff feelings. Happy to chat more. A larger roundtable discussion about staffing and reorganization plans might be useful to address more open-secret/commonknowledge challenges.

Thanks!

Adrian Leung
Bikeshare \& Bike Parking Program Manager
(415)646.2533

all pronouns welcome

Sent from Gmail Mobile

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: FW: Planning Reorg Appointments - open secrets |
| Date: | Wednesday, July 14, 2021 2:13:00 PM |
| Attachments: | image004.png <br>  |
|  | Support for SFMTA Employees of Color.pdf |

From: Black Employee Alliance [blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 11:02 AM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) [mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) [andrea.bruss@sfgov.org](mailto:andrea.bruss@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Haney, Matt (BOS) [matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org); MandelmanStaff, [BOS] [mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org); Mar, Gordon (BOS) [gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org); Peskin, Aaron (BOS) [aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org); Preston, Dean (BOS) [dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org); Ronen, Hillary [hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org); Safai, Ahsha (BOS) [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org); Stefani, Catherine (BOS) [catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org); Walton, Shamann (BOS) [shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org); Chan, Connie (BOS) [connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:connie.chan@sfgov.org); Melgar, Myrna (BOS) [myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org); John Doherty [jdoherty@ibew6.org](mailto:jdoherty@ibew6.org); cityworker@sfcwu.org; Charles Lavery [clavery@oe3.org](mailto:clavery@oe3.org); mbrito@oe3.org; tneep@oe3.org; oashworth@ibew6.org; debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org; kgeneral@ifpte21.org; Jessica Beard [jbeard@ifpte21.org](mailto:jbeard@ifpte21.org); tmathews@ifpte21.org; Vivian Araullo [varaullo@ifpte21.org](mailto:varaullo@ifpte21.org); ewallace@ifpte21.org; aflores@ifpte21.org; smcgarry@nccrc.org; larryj@ @ualocal38.org; jchiarenza@ualocal38.org; SEichenberger@local39.org; Richard Koenig [richardk@smw104.org](mailto:richardk@smw104.org); anthonyu@smw104.org; Charles, Jasmin (MTA) [Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com](mailto:Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com); twulocal200@sbcglobal.net; roger marenco [rmarenco@twusf.org](mailto:rmarenco@twusf.org); Peter Wilson [pwilson@twusf.org](mailto:pwilson@twusf.org); Theresa Foglio [laborers261@gmail.com](mailto:laborers261@gmail.com); bart@dc16.us; dharrington@teamster853.org; MLeach@ibt856.org; jason.klumb@seiu1021.org; theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org; XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org; Hector Cardenas [Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org](mailto:Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org); pmendeziamaw@comcast.net; mjayne@iam1414.org; raquel@sfmea.com (contact) [raquel@sfmea.com](mailto:raquel@sfmea.com); christina@sfmea.com; criss@sfmea.com; rudy@sflaborcouncil.org; 1200twu@gmail.com; Local Twu [local200twu@sbcglobal.net](mailto:local200twu@sbcglobal.net); Ikuhls@teamsters853.org; staff@sfmea.com; president@sanfranciscodsa.com; SFDPOA@icloud.com; sfbia14@gmail.com; ibew6@ibew6.org; CivilService, Civil (CSC) [civilservice@sfgov.org](mailto:civilservice@sfgov.org); kim@sflaborcouncil.org; sflc@sflaborcouncil.org; SFPD, Commission (POL) [SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org](mailto:SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org); Airport Commission Secretary (AIR) [airportcommissionsecretary@flysfo.com](mailto:airportcommissionsecretary@flysfo.com); Commission, Fire (FIR) [fire.commission@sfgov.org](mailto:fire.commission@sfgov.org); DPH, Health Commission (DPH) [HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org](mailto:HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org); info@sfwater.org; Koppel, Joel (CPC) [joel.koppel@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.koppel@sfgov.org); Moore, Kathrin (CPC) [kathrin.moore@sfgov.org](mailto:kathrin.moore@sfgov.org); Chan, Deland (CPC) [deland.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:deland.chan@sfgov.org); Diamond, Susan (CPC) [sue.diamond@sfgov.org](mailto:sue.diamond@sfgov.org); Fung, Frank (CPC) [frank.fung@sfgov.org](mailto:frank.fung@sfgov.org); Imperial, Theresa (CPC) [theresa.imperial@sfgov.org](mailto:theresa.imperial@sfgov.org)
Subject: Re: FW: Planning Reorg Appointments - open secrets

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning again all -

Please note that the following message below was a draft, and was forwarded without the proper corrections. Please see the updated and final version attached.

Thank you!

BEA

On Wed, Jul 14, 2021 at 10:45 AM Black Employee Alliance [blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com) wrote:

Good morning Mayor Breed, SFMTA Board, and Board of Supervisors -

## We are writing this note: 1.) in solidarity and support of SFMTA employees who have contacted the BEA for support; 2.) to reinforce the points they highlighted in their email below to Director Tumlin; 3.) to highlight and reinforce issues that have been raised previously; 4.) and to follow-up on the public records request we submitted more than a month ago.

## Toxic leadership, cronyism, sexism, racism, and plantation culture

Over the past 18 months Jeffrey Tumlin has allowed and supported the unethical, upward movement of a White-presenting male, Jonathan Rewers. Please note, we are using Whitepresenting because in this society it assumes just as much privilege as someone most in this society would identify as White. SFMTA employees, and members of the BEA have also now learned that as of Summer 2020 Mr. Rewers is no longer identifying as White, but is now identifying as Southeast Asian (as one of his parents are biracial).

Jonathan was previously responsible for the Budget Management team at the SFMTA, a role he procured in or around 2019. At the time, the role was classified as a Manager V. While in that position, he discriminated against women by hiring and promoting men almost exclusively. To be more precise, there were a total of at least 3-to-4 men he hired and/or promoted where processes were rigged to ensure his picks assumed certain positions. Multiple employees also continued to report his continuous harassment, discrimination, bullying, and belittling many employees on the Finance Team. Rather than causing action, these perpetual acts of persistent harm garnered Jonathan a promotion in 2019, one that he self-engineered by collaborating with his former manager (former SFMTA CFO Leo Levenson) to create the actual job description. The way that the promotional role was written, encompassed all of his current responsibilities at the time. And while it was common knowledge that this is what had occurred (and this is verifyable by contacting employees on the budget team) most remained quiet about it. This position was leveled as a Manager VIII, and it was not by any means a fair process.

Upon Leo's departure in December 2020, Director Tumlin appointed Jonathan as the acting CFO (please note - Director Tumlin's appointing Jonathan as acting CFO, came at the expense of two Black candidates with more financial industry and educational experience - Matthew McDonald,
a Black male with more than 10-years experience as a Controller, who has been the Controller
at the SFMTA for the last 4-years (second in line after Leo Levenson); and Irella Blackwood -

## Black, female - Grants Accounting Manager, a former Auditor in the Treasury and Tax

 Department; and previously the final candidate for the CFO position against Leo Levenson in November 2018). This is important to note, as a practical point here is highlighting Director Tumlin's preference for White and/or White-presenting people in the SFMTA's highest classified positions on his direct executive team at the SFMTA. This was reinforced in Director Tumlin's selection for the Chief of Staff position, even after employees pleaded with him to conduct an open search of diverse candidates. It is also important to note that some of these positions have people's names on them before they are actually released for recruitment, perpetuating the persistent cronyism and nepotism at the City and County of San Francisco.It would be inadequate and reductive to assert that Director Jeff Tumlin is racist. He prefers White/-presenting people; and particularly the in highest levels of leadership at the organization. This was true while he was the Director of Strategy at Nelson Nygaard, and remains true here at the SFMTA. He also prefers BIPOC people who will not challenge his authority on issues concerning injusticies regarding the masses of BIPOC people. In other words, he is comfortable with non-White people he can leverage as tokens, who remain and are complicit with perpetuating White supremacy culture and disproportionate outcomes for non-White people.

It is important to note that the workforce at the SFMTA is made-up of approximately 80-85\% people of color; and yet the agency's leadership is roghly 40-50\% White, or White presenting. Even with the appointment of a Race, Equity, and Inclusion Officer (appointed to the executive team, in a manager VI position - rather than a Director role) things remain unchanged at the agency, and these issues have been uncovered for more than three years.

SFMTA employees also believe that it has been poor judgment on acting Director Rewers', on his renting rooms to SFMTA interns that he charged rent two. It has been observed and reported that in at least two cases, interns who he employed directly - lived with him, and that he charged them rent. Is this permissible unde the City's Code of Conduct?

## Incompetent leadership equals poor judgment

Nevertheless, while Director Tumlin has repeatedly filmed videos, distributed emails, and/or appeared on public platforms to reinforce his commitment to improving the culture and workplace conditions for SFMTA employees, this is not the reality. Director Tumlin was appointed to the SFMTA to address and improve the transportation system and to address cultural issues at the agency (at least this is what was stated in his selection over Deborah Johnson, a Black female who worked for the agency prevuously who had much more experience). Tumlin has not followed-through on either of these responsibilities and it is apparent to employees and riders of the transportation system. The email below is indicative of employees who are desperate, and are making one last attempt to try to reason with a leader who is only concerned about his reputation, making himself look good, and is not at all invested in leading and/or making changes to improve conditions for the SFMTA workforce. Most of the leadership there has remained the same, and with the replacement of Leo Levenson by Jonathan Rewers, the culture at the SFMTA will not only remain tainted, it will worsen. This letter is intended to candidly reinforce these points, rather than approach the issue ambiguously. The SFMTA's BEA and non-BEA employees
feel it urgent to be direct about these matters - and Director Tumlin remains immune to feedback.

As you are most likely aware, there are external operational and internal issues at the SFMTA, and we want to assure you on behalf of many employees that continue to contact us, these conditions are still not improving. Employees are complaining about the lack of competence and inadequacies persisting at the highest levels of the organization that are having a direct impact on employee morale. The previous leadership (i.e., Reiskin, Haley, Ellison) were irresponsible in their responsibilities to prioritize employees' needs, subjecting employees to ongoing sexual harassment and racial discrimination; and were provided with passes because of their technical expertises. The new leadership (particularly Tumlin, and Ackerman) are not only allowing these issues to persist, but lack the technical expertises to run the agency in the ways that are actually improving conditions for employees. For example, one of the first terminations, upon hiring of the new Employee and Labor Director, was the firing of a Black, female Superintendent named Debra Reese. While it is not our goal to support or dispute this decision, it is important to note that a White, male Superintendent, Neil Weingarten (White, male) was able to consistently harass and terrorize a number of Black employees who he disciplined much harsher than nonWhite employees. Mr. Weingarten was allowed to act in this manner with the support of management and the Human Resources Director Kimberly Ackerman. He later was rewarded with a promotion at another City department.

In the estimations of many Black SFMTA employees, the actions in these two situations equaled disparate treatment for towards Debra Reese. These decisions also reinforce persistent racial discrimination currently being enacted anmd allowed by SFMTA Human Resources, as Black employees are continuing to be disciplined at the agency, at alarming disproportional rates; as well as the fact that none of the SFMTA's current selection of interns are Black (a problem that they committed to addressing several years ago, and have still not done so).

## Call for intervention by City leadership

The current SFMTA leaders are being provided passes because they are hiding an abundance of issues within the agency (that will be revealed and/or blow-up at some point), and because they are espousing and controlling false narratives (more to come on this). Promoting known bullies, discriminators, and harassers into top executive positions further diminishes the faith and morale of employees. When will SFMTA finally learn its lesson? Will it be after another barrage of lawsuits, and EEO complaints from Black, Asian, and White women who led the charge the last goround (2018/2019)? Or will it take another Transit disaster or operational fall-out? Or a potential scandal due to misappropriation of resources?

Jonathan Rewers has consistently been rewarded for bad behavior. And, the SFMTA's leadership appears to be comfortable operating in this manner. If the agency continues along this trajectory, it will taint the City's reputation further, compounding the diminishment of public trust on the local, state, and national levels. It will also lose more of its workforce, as people are set to leave if Jonathan is appointed into the position permanently, and Director Tumlin continues to not listen to - or prioritize the majority of the SFMTA's workforce needs.

The BEA, along with an abundance of SFMTA employees, implores you to:

- Reconsider the leadership landscape apparatus at the SFMTA, and locate seasoned industry professionals who are not scared to lead in ways that bring true change to the SFMTA
- Conduct an employee survey that directly solicits feedback from employees about the ways the organization has improved or not improved over the past two years
- Implement a process where all Affinity group leaders and employees have an opportunity to present at the SFMTA Board meeting without fear of presumed or plausible retaliation.
- Direct SFMTA HR and Director Tumlin, to follow-through on the public records request (under the FOIA, Freedom of Information Act, and San Francisco's Sunshine
Ordinance) we submitted more than one month ago. The request included all disciplinary actions by type, across racial and sex categories. We would like to amended this request to cover the following timeframe July 2020 through June 2021. We want to see the actual number of actions per racial category.
Thank you for your attention to these matters. If you have any questions, please let us know.

Best,

Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness

From: Leung, Adrian [Adrian.Leung@sfmta.com](mailto:Adrian.Leung@sfmta.com)
Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 3:21 PM
To: Tumlin, Jeffrey < Jeffrev.Tumlin@sfmta.com>
Cc: Wise, Viktoriya [Viktoriya.A.Wise@sfmta.com](mailto:Viktoriya.A.Wise@sfmta.com); Paine, Carli [Carli.Paine@sfmta.com](mailto:Carli.Paine@sfmta.com);
Ogwuegbu, Chiamaka [Chiamaka.Ogwuegbu@sfmta.com](mailto:Chiamaka.Ogwuegbu@sfmta.com); Lin, Tracey [Tracey.Lin@sfmta.com](mailto:Tracey.Lin@sfmta.com); Ngo, Uyen [Uyen.Ngo@sfmta.com](mailto:Uyen.Ngo@sfmta.com); Ito, Darton [Darton.Ito@sfmta.com](mailto:Darton.Ito@sfmta.com); Feliciano, Lulu [Lulu.Feliciano@sfmta.com](mailto:Lulu.Feliciano@sfmta.com); Broussard, Kathy L. [Kathy.Broussard@sfmta.com](mailto:Kathy.Broussard@sfmta.com); Heim, Adrienne [Adrienne.Heim@sfmta.com](mailto:Adrienne.Heim@sfmta.com); Wheeler, Kenya [Kenya.Wheeler@sfmta.com](mailto:Kenya.Wheeler@sfmta.com); Molina, Jennifer < Jennifer.Molina@sfmta.com>; Contreras, Andrea < Andrea.Contreras@sfmta.com>; Kong, Grace [Grace.Kong@sfmta.com](mailto:Grace.Kong@sfmta.com); Minicucci, Tracy [Tracy.Minicucci@sfmta.com](mailto:Tracy.Minicucci@sfmta.com); Santullo, Jean [Jean.Santullo@sfmta.com](mailto:Jean.Santullo@sfmta.com); Brisson, Liz [Liz.Brisson@sfmta.com](mailto:Liz.Brisson@sfmta.com); McAuliff, Erin [Erin.McAuliff@sfmta.com](mailto:Erin.McAuliff@sfmta.com); Ayankoya, Josephine [Josephine.Ayankoya@sfmta.com](mailto:Josephine.Ayankoya@sfmta.com)
Subject: Planning Reorg Appointments - open secrets
Hello Jeff (copying CoS and other Affinity Group coordinators),

I wanted to email about an urgent issue around The Reorganization appointment decisions.

There's discussion of the Planning Subdivision + a bit of Comms + Capital Finance $=$ New Division. This group would purportedly handle a lot of Racial Equity Action Plan work like Community Based Transportation Plans and District Liaisons.

A reorg might be in order; it's advisable to take agency community input, especially with respect to workplace problems that are open-secrets/common-knowledge.

Here's an equity thought experiment:

Imagine a racist bully who berated underlings and treated people of color like emotional punching bags, a person who (ab)used their power to retaliate and further diminish and belittle folks who spotlighted this behavior.

## Then flip it.

Imagine race wasn't a factor - that it was just a bully who abused people of the same ilk as themself. Would that be better? Would a lawsuit-prone jerk deserve an appointment to an acting position (read: promotion) in charge of people who they had previously bullied and abused?

The agency has been in this situation very recently, with low morale and distrust in leadership; we shouldn't repeat those mistakes.

Appointing a harmful person to a position where they manage and lead people they previously harmed would be in full disregard of Affinity Group input, Racial Equity priorities, and Agency Well-Being. It would eliminate credibility for a person who professed to eliminate barriers and protect staff from bullies. It would effectively be the opposite. It would be hard for staff, and especially Affinity Groups, to trust someone who did that.

I hope this provides insight into staff feelings. Happy to chat more. A larger roundtable discussion about staffing and reorganization plans might be useful to address more open-secret/commonknowledge challenges.

Thanks!

Adrian Leung
Bikeshare \& Bike Parking Program Manager
(415)646.2533

--
Sent from Gmail Mobile
"The Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness, on behalf of our members and in the spirit of the pursuit for justice concerning all Black and marginalized employees, would like to applaud Dr. William Gould for his team's efforts and recommendations. The BEA acknowledges and appreciates Mayor Breed's leadership and that of Supervisors Walton, Ronen, and Haney (and former Supervisor Malia Cohen) who continue to support justice, equity, and due process for the City's Black employees. We encourage Mayor Breed to expand upon two of Dr. Gould's suggestions with regard to reforming recruitment and hiring standards, and disproportionate actions by management to include: 1.) an entire overhaul of the City's Civil Service process - which continues to produce anti-Black outcomes in professional and specialized classifications; 2.) include discipline as a recommended action - in addition training, for managers who enact disproportionate disciplinary and corrective actions against any group of employees - with particular regard to people in protected categories who have been and continue to be marginalized.

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: FW: Planning Reorg Appointments - open secrets |
| Date: | Wednesday, July 14, 2021 2:16:00 PM |
| Attachments: | image004.png |
|  | image003.png |
|  | Support for SFMTA Employees of Color.pdf |

From: Black Employee Alliance [blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 1:51 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) [mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) [andrea.bruss@sfgov.org](mailto:andrea.bruss@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Haney, Matt (BOS) [matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org); MandelmanStaff, [BOS] [mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org); Mar, Gordon (BOS) [gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org); Peskin, Aaron (BOS) [aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org); Preston, Dean (BOS) [dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org); Ronen, Hillary [hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org); Safai, Ahsha (BOS) [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org); Stefani, Catherine (BOS) [catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org); Walton, Shamann (BOS) [shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org); Chan, Connie (BOS) [connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:connie.chan@sfgov.org); Melgar, Myrna (BOS) [myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org); John Doherty [jdoherty@ibew6.org](mailto:jdoherty@ibew6.org); cityworker@sfcwu.org; Charles Lavery [clavery@oe3.org](mailto:clavery@oe3.org); mbrito@oe3.org; tneep@oe3.org; oashworth@ibew6.org; debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org; kgeneral@ifpte21.org; Jessica Beard [jbeard@ifpte21.org](mailto:jbeard@ifpte21.org); tmathews@ifpte21.org; Vivian Araullo [varaullo@ifpte21.org](mailto:varaullo@ifpte21.org); ewallace@ifpte21.org; aflores@ifpte21.org; smcgarry@nccrc.org; larryjr@ualocal38.org; jchiarenza@ualocal38.org; SEichenberger@local39.org; Richard Koenig [richardk@smw104.org](mailto:richardk@smw104.org); anthonyu@smw104.org; Charles, Jasmin (MTA) [Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com](mailto:Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com); twulocal200@sbcglobal.net; roger marenco [rmarenco@twusf.org](mailto:rmarenco@twusf.org); Peter Wilson [pwilson@twusf.org](mailto:pwilson@twusf.org); Theresa Foglio [laborers261@gmail.com](mailto:laborers261@gmail.com); bart@dc16.us; dharrington@teamster853.org; MLeach@ibt856.org; jason.klumb@seiu1021.org; theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org; XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org; Hector Cardenas [Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org](mailto:Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org); pmendeziamaw@comcast.net; mjayne@iam1414.org; raquel@sfmea.com (contact) [raquel@sfmea.com](mailto:raquel@sfmea.com); christina@sfmea.com; criss@sfmea.com; rudy@sflaborcouncil.org; I200twu@gmail.com; Local Twu [local200twu@sbcglobal.net](mailto:local200twu@sbcglobal.net); Ikuhls@teamsters853.org; staff@sfmea.com; president@sanfranciscodsa.com; SFDPOA@icloud.com; sfbia14@gmail.com; ibew6@ibew6.org; CivilService, Civil (CSC) [civilservice@sfgov.org](mailto:civilservice@sfgov.org); kim@sflaborcouncil.org; sflc@sflaborcouncil.org; SFPD, Commission (POL) [SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org](mailto:SFPD.Commission@sfgov.org); Airport Commission Secretary (AIR)
[airportcommissionsecretary@flysfo.com](mailto:airportcommissionsecretary@flysfo.com); Commission, Fire (FIR) [fire.commission@sfgov.org](mailto:fire.commission@sfgov.org); DPH, Health Commission (DPH) [HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org](mailto:HealthCommission.DPH@sfdph.org); info@sfwater.org; Koppel, Joel (CPC) [joel.koppel@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.koppel@sfgov.org); Moore, Kathrin (CPC) [kathrin.moore@sfgov.org](mailto:kathrin.moore@sfgov.org); Chan, Deland (CPC) [deland.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:deland.chan@sfgov.org); Diamond, Susan (CPC) [sue.diamond@sfgov.org](mailto:sue.diamond@sfgov.org); Fung, Frank (CPC) [frank.fung@sfgov.org](mailto:frank.fung@sfgov.org); Imperial, Theresa (CPC) [theresa.imperial@sfgov.org](mailto:theresa.imperial@sfgov.org)
Subject: Re: FW: Planning Reorg Appointments - open secrets

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We are resending our letter of support for SFMTA employees for the third time, and hoping this will be the charm.

Please excuse the mishaps from this morning's earlier communications. We appreciate your grace and understanding.

Best,

BEA

On Wed, Jul 14, 2021 at 10:45 AM Black Employee Alliance [blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com) wrote:

Good morning Mayor Breed, SFMTA Board, and Board of Supervisors -

## We are writing this note: 1.) in solidarity and support of SFMTA employees who have contacted the BEA for support; 2.) to reinforce the points they highlighted in their email below to Director Tumlin; 3.) to highlight and reinforce issues that have been raised previously; 4.) and to follow-up on the public records request we submitted more than a month ago.

## Toxic leadership, cronyism, sexism, racism, and plantation culture

Over the past 18 months Jeffrey Tumlin has allowed and supported the unethical, upward movement of a White-presenting male, Jonathan Rewers. Please note, we are using Whitepresenting because in this society it assumes just as much privilege as someone most in this society would identify as White. SFMTA employees, and members of the BEA have also now learned that as of Summer 2020 Mr. Rewers is no longer identifying as White, but is now identifying as Southeast Asian (as one of his parents are biracial).

Jonathan was previously responsible for the Budget Management team at the SFMTA, a role he procured in or around 2019. At the time, the role was classified as a Manager V. While in that position, he discriminated against women by hiring and promoting men almost exclusively. To be more precise, there were a total of at least 3-to-4 men he hired and/or promoted where processes were rigged to ensure his picks assumed certain positions. Multiple employees also continued to report his continuous harassment, discrimination, bullying, and belittling many employees on the Finance Team. Rather than causing action, these perpetual acts of persistent harm garnered Jonathan a promotion in 2019, one that he self-engineered by collaborating with his former manager (former SFMTA CFO Leo Levenson) to create the actual job description. The way that the promotional role was written, encompassed all of his current responsibilities at the time. And while it was common knowledge that this is what had occurred (and this is verifyable by contacting employees on the budget team) most remained quiet about it. This position was leveled as a Manager VIII, and it was not by any means a fair process.

Upon Leo's departure in December 2020, Director Tumlin appointed Jonathan as the acting CFO
(please note - Director Tumlin's appointing Jonathan as acting CFO, came at the expense of two Black candidates with more financial industry and educational experience - Matthew McDonald, a Black male with more than 10-years experience as a Controller, who has been the Controller at the SFMTA for the last 4-years (second in line after Leo Levenson); and Irella Blackwood Black, female - Grants Accounting Manager, a former Auditor in the Treasury and Tax Department; and previously the final candidate for the CFO position against Leo Levenson in November 2018). This is important to note, as a practical point here is highlighting Director Tumlin's preference for White and/or White-presenting people in the SFMTA's highest classified positions on his direct executive team at the SFMTA. This was reinforced in Director Tumlin's selection for the Chief of Staff position, even after employees pleaded with him to conduct an open search of diverse candidates. It is also important to note that some of these positions have people's names on them before they are actually released for recruitment, perpetuating the persistent cronyism and nepotism at the City and County of San Francisco.

It would be inadequate and reductive to assert that Director Jeff Tumlin is racist. He prefers White/-presenting people; and particularly the in highest levels of leadership at the organization. This was true while he was the Director of Strategy at Nelson Nygaard, and remains true here at the SFMTA. He also prefers BIPOC people who will not challenge his authority on issues concerning injusticies regarding the masses of BIPOC people. In other words, he is comfortable with non-White people he can leverage as tokens, who remain and are complicit with perpetuating White supremacy culture and disproportionate outcomes for non-White people.

It is important to note that the workforce at the SFMTA is made-up of approximately 80-85\% people of color; and yet the agency's leadership is roghly 40-50\% White, or White presenting. Even with the appointment of a Race, Equity, and Inclusion Officer (appointed to the executive team, in a manager VI position - rather than a Director role) things remain unchanged at the agency, and these issues have been uncovered for more than three years.

SFMTA employees also believe that it has been poor judgment on acting Director Rewers', on his renting rooms to SFMTA interns that he charged rent two. It has been observed and reported that in at least two cases, interns who he employed directly - lived with him, and that he charged them rent. Is this permissible unde the City's Code of Conduct?

## Incompetent leadership equals poor judgment

Nevertheless, while Director Tumlin has repeatedly filmed videos, distributed emails, and/or appeared on public platforms to reinforce his commitment to improving the culture and workplace conditions for SFMTA employees, this is not the reality. Director Tumlin was appointed to the SFMTA to address and improve the transportation system and to address cultural issues at the agency (at least this is what was stated in his selection over Deborah Johnson, a Black female who worked for the agency prevuously who had much more experience). Tumlin has not followed-through on either of these responsibilities and it is apparent to employees and riders of the transportation system. The email below is indicative of employees who are desperate, and are making one last attempt to try to reason with a leader who is only concerned about his reputation, making himself look good, and is not at all invested in leading and/or making changes to improve conditions for the SFMTA workforce. Most of the leadership there has remained the
same, and with the replacement of Leo Levenson by Jonathan Rewers, the culture at the SFMTA will not only remain tainted, it will worsen. This letter is intended to candidly reinforce these points, rather than approach the issue ambiguously. The SFMTA's BEA and non-BEA employees feel it urgent to be direct about these matters - and Director Tumlin remains immune to feedback.

As you are most likely aware, there are external operational and internal issues at the SFMTA, and we want to assure you on behalf of many employees that continue to contact us, these conditions are still not improving. Employees are complaining about the lack of competence and inadequacies persisting at the highest levels of the organization that are having a direct impact on employee morale. The previous leadership (i.e., Reiskin, Haley, Ellison) were irresponsible in their responsibilities to prioritize employees' needs, subjecting employees to ongoing sexual harassment and racial discrimination; and were provided with passes because of their technical expertises. The new leadership (particularly Tumlin, and Ackerman) are not only allowing these issues to persist, but lack the technical expertises to run the agency in the ways that are actually improving conditions for employees. For example, one of the first terminations, upon hiring of the new Employee and Labor Director, was the firing of a Black, female Superintendent named Debra Reese. While it is not our goal to support or dispute this decision, it is important to note that a White, male Superintendent, Neil Weingarten (White, male) was able to consistently harass and terrorize a number of Black employees who he disciplined much harsher than nonWhite employees. Mr. Weingarten was allowed to act in this manner with the support of management and the Human Resources Director Kimberly Ackerman. He later was rewarded with a promotion at another City department.

In the estimations of many Black SFMTA employees, the actions in these two situations equaled disparate treatment for towards Debra Reese. These decisions also reinforce persistent racial discrimination currently being enacted anmd allowed by SFMTA Human Resources, as Black employees are continuing to be disciplined at the agency, at alarming disproportional rates; as well as the fact that none of the SFMTA's current selection of interns are Black (a problem that they committed to addressing several years ago, and have still not done so).

## Call for intervention by City leadership

The current SFMTA leaders are being provided passes because they are hiding an abundance of issues within the agency (that will be revealed and/or blow-up at some point), and because they are espousing and controlling false narratives (more to come on this). Promoting known bullies, discriminators, and harassers into top executive positions further diminishes the faith and morale of employees. When will SFMTA finally learn its lesson? Will it be after another barrage of lawsuits, and EEO complaints from Black, Asian, and White women who led the charge the last goround (2018/2019)? Or will it take another Transit disaster or operational fall-out? Or a potential scandal due to misappropriation of resources?

Jonathan Rewers has consistently been rewarded for bad behavior. And, the SFMTA's leadership appears to be comfortable operating in this manner. If the agency continues along this trajectory, it will taint the City's reputation further, compounding the diminishment of public trust on the local, state, and national levels. It will also lose more of its workforce, as people are set to leave if Jonathan is appointed into the position permanently, and Director Tumlin continues to not listen
to - or prioritize the majority of the SFMTA's workforce needs.

The BEA, along with an abundance of SFMTA employees, implores you to:

- Reconsider the leadership landscape apparatus at the SFMTA, and locate seasoned industry professionals who are not scared to lead in ways that bring true change to the SFMTA
- Conduct an employee survey that directly solicits feedback from employees about the ways the organization has improved or not improved over the past two years
- Implement a process where all Affinity group leaders and employees have an opportunity to present at the SFMTA Board meeting without fear of presumed or plausible retaliation.
- Direct SFMTA HR and Director Tumlin, to follow-through on the public records request (under the FOIA, Freedom of Information Act, and San Francisco's Sunshine Ordinance) we submitted more than one month ago. The request included all disciplinary actions by type, across racial and sex categories. We would like to amended this request to cover the following timeframe July 2020 through June 2021. We want to see the actual number of actions per racial category.
Thank you for your attention to these matters. If you have any questions, please let us know.

Best,

Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness

From: Leung, Adrian [Adrian.Leung@sfmta.com](mailto:Adrian.Leung@sfmta.com)
Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 3:21 PM
To: Tumlin, Jeffrey < Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com>
Cc: Wise, Viktoriya [Viktoriya.A.Wise@sfmta.com](mailto:Viktoriya.A.Wise@sfmta.com); Paine, Carli [Carli.Paine@sfmta.com](mailto:Carli.Paine@sfmta.com);
Ogwuegbu, Chiamaka [Chiamaka.Ogwuegbu@sfmta.com](mailto:Chiamaka.Ogwuegbu@sfmta.com); Lin, Tracey [Tracey.Lin@sfmta.com](mailto:Tracey.Lin@sfmta.com);
Ngo, Uyen [Uyen.Ngo@sfmta.com](mailto:Uyen.Ngo@sfmta.com); Ito, Darton [Darton.Ito@sfmta.com](mailto:Darton.Ito@sfmta.com); Feliciano, Lulu [Lulu.Feliciano@sfmta.com](mailto:Lulu.Feliciano@sfmta.com); Broussard, Kathy L. [Kathy.Broussard@sfmta.com](mailto:Kathy.Broussard@sfmta.com); Heim, Adrienne [Adrienne.Heim@sfmta.com](mailto:Adrienne.Heim@sfmta.com); Wheeler, Kenya [Kenya.Wheeler@sfmta.com](mailto:Kenya.Wheeler@sfmta.com); Molina, Jennifer < Jennifer.Molina@sfmta.com>; Contreras, Andrea [Andrea.Contreras@sfmta.com](mailto:Andrea.Contreras@sfmta.com); Kong, Grace [Grace.Kong@sfmta.com](mailto:Grace.Kong@sfmta.com); Minicucci, Tracy [Tracy.Minicucci@sfmta.com](mailto:Tracy.Minicucci@sfmta.com); Santullo, Jean [Jean.Santullo@sfmta.com](mailto:Jean.Santullo@sfmta.com); Brisson, Liz [Liz.Brisson@sfmta.com](mailto:Liz.Brisson@sfmta.com); McAuliff, Erin [Erin.McAuliff@sfmta.com](mailto:Erin.McAuliff@sfmta.com); Ayankoya, Josephine [Josephine.Ayankoya@sfmta.com](mailto:Josephine.Ayankoya@sfmta.com)
Subject: Planning Reorg Appointments - open secrets

Hello Jeff (copying CoS and other Affinity Group coordinators),

I wanted to email about an urgent issue around The Reorganization appointment decisions.

There's discussion of the Planning Subdivision + a bit of Comms + Capital Finance $=$ New Division. This group would purportedly handle a lot of Racial Equity Action Plan work like Community Based Transportation Plans and District Liaisons.

A reorg might be in order; it's advisable to take agency community input, especially with respect to workplace problems that are open-secrets/common-knowledge.

Here's an equity thought experiment:
Imagine a racist bully who berated underlings and treated people of color like emotional punching bags, a person who (ab)used their power to retaliate and further diminish and belittle folks who spotlighted this behavior.

## Then flip it.

Imagine race wasn't a factor-that it was just a bully who abused people of the same ilk as themself. Would that be better? Would a lawsuit-prone jerk deserve an appointment to an acting position (read: promotion) in charge of people who they had previously bullied and abused?
The agency has been in this situation very recently, with low morale and distrust in leadership; we shouldn't repeat those mistakes.

Appointing a harmful person to a position where they manage and lead people they previously harmed would be in full disregard of Affinity Group input, Racial Equity priorities, and Agency Well-Being. It would eliminate credibility for a person who professed to eliminate barriers and protect staff from bullies. It would effectively be the opposite. It would be hard for staff, and especially Affinity Groups, to trust someone who did that.

I hope this provides insight into staff feelings. Happy to chat more. A larger roundtable discussion about staffing and reorganization plans might be useful to address more open-secret/commonknowledge challenges.

Thanks!

Adrian Leung
Bikeshare \& Bike Parking Program Manager
(415)646.2533

## กி SFMTA


all pronouns welcome

Sent from Gmail Mobile

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) }}$Fubject: <br> FW: Bill Gould Report Concerning Disparate Treatment Towards Black Employees at the City and County of San <br> Date:$\quad$ Francisco |
|  | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:57:00 AM |

From: Black Employee Alliance [blackemployeealliance@gmail.com](mailto:blackemployeealliance@gmail.com)
Sent: Saturday, July 10, 2021 4:00 PM
To: William B Gould IV [wbgould@stanford.edu](mailto:wbgould@stanford.edu); Breed, Mayor London (MYR)
[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org); Walton, Shamann (BOS) [shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org); Board of
Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Haney, Matt (BOS) [matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org); MandelmanStaff, [BOS] [mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org); Mar, Gordon (BOS) [gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org); Peskin, Aaron (BOS) [aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org); Preston, Dean (BOS) [dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org); Ronen, Hillary [hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org); Safai, Ahsha (BOS) [ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org); Stefani, Catherine (BOS) [catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org); Melgar, Myrna (BOS) [myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org); Chan, Connie (BOS) [connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:connie.chan@sfgov.org) Cc: John Doherty [jdoherty@ibew6.org](mailto:jdoherty@ibew6.org); cityworker@sfcwu.org; Charles Lavery [clavery@oe3.org](mailto:clavery@oe3.org); mbrito@oe3.org; tneep@oe3.org; oashworth@ibew6.org; debra.grabelle@ifpte21.org; kgeneral@ifpte21.org; Jessica Beard [jbeard@ifpte21.org](mailto:jbeard@ifpte21.org); tmathews@ifpte21.org; Vivian Araullo [varaullo@ifpte21.org](mailto:varaullo@ifpte21.org); ewallace@ifpte21.org; aflores@ifpte21.org; smcgarry@nccrc.org; larryj@ualocal38.org; jchiarenza@ualocal38.org; SEichenberger@local39.org; Richard Koenig [richardk@smw104.org](mailto:richardk@smw104.org); anthonyu@smw104.org; Charles, Jasmin (MTA) [Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com](mailto:Jasmin.Charles@sfmta.com); twulocal200@sbcglobal.net; roger marenco [rmarenco@twusf.org](mailto:rmarenco@twusf.org); Peter Wilson [pwilson@twusf.org](mailto:pwilson@twusf.org); Theresa Foglio [laborers261@gmail.com](mailto:laborers261@gmail.com); bart@dc16.us; dharrington@teamster853.org; MLeach@ibt856.org; jason.Klumb@seiu1021.org; theresa.rutherford@seiu1021.org; XiuMin.Li@seiu1021.org; Hector Cardenas [Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org](mailto:Hector.Cardenas@seiu1021.org); pmendeziamaw@comcast.net; mjayne@iam1414.org; raquel@sfmea.com (contact) [raquel@sfmea.com](mailto:raquel@sfmea.com); christina@sfmea.com; criss@sfmea.com; rudy@sflaborcouncil.org; CivilService, Civil (CSC) [civilservice@sfgov.org](mailto:civilservice@sfgov.org); kim@sflaborcouncil.org; sflc@sflaborcouncil.org
Subject: Bill Gould Report Concerning Disparate Treatment Towards Black Employees at the City and County of San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Good afternoon Dr. William Gould -

The Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness, on behalf of our members and in the spirit of the pursuit for justice concerning all Black and marginalized employees, would like to applaud you and your team's efforts in this endeavor. We appreciate your candor, clarity, and validation about the issues Black employees have raised in the City for more than ten years. As you and your team noted in your report, these problems of anti-Black racism and racial bias are systemic
at the City and County of San Francisco.

To our members, allies, labor partners, and City leadership
The BEA would like to thank especially, Brenda Barros, Phelicia Jones, Cheryl Thornton, Madelyn McMillian, and Ingrid Cobb from SEIU 1021, for standing on the frontlines and spearheading the GAO Committee, and full BOS hearings of September, and November 2018, even when they did not have the support of the Labor Union leadership. We also want to amplify and thank Kathy Broussard, Irella Blackwood, Jessica Brown, Demarris Evans, Jumoke Akin-Taylor, Alisha Willis, Nikki Roldan, Nikcole Cunningham, Dr. Zea Malawa, Keka Robinson-Luqman, Kimberly Cox, Nicole Christian, Alyssa Jones-Garner, Betsy Gran, and Dante King for consistently organizing, mobilizing, and rallying Black employees together, in collaboration with SEIU AFRAM and other union members to organize and mobilize hundreds of Black employees. These efforts ultimately led to the creation of the Black Employees Alliance, whose sole purpose has been to highlight and address the inequitable and unfair employment practices faced by Black employees at the City and County of San Francisco.

We are calling on Mayor Breed and City Attorney's Office, to act judiciously and expediently in resolving the current legal disputes Black employees have filed against the City and County of San Francisco. The City's fight against these Black employees exacerbates and continues abuse of employment practices, and anti-Black racism against these employees who sought justice outside of a system that was racist and biased against them. Contrary to current DHR Director Carol Isen's (and former Director Micki Callahan's) comments about Rebecca Sherman in yesterday's SF Chronicle article, Rebecca was not a "rogue" employee, and did not act alone. Complaints of racial discrimination at DHR are reduced to "problematic Black people complaining", and as noted in Sherman's resignation letter, she was coached and persuaded by the previous EEO Director Linda Simon, that she could not make a finding in the Kathy Broussard case, even if there was legitimate cause. There are multiple employees who previously worked at DHR, and can go on record that such unethical and racist guidance was practiced by DHR leadership ongoing. Nevertheless, there is now a report by an independent expert, that substantiates the position Black employees have continued voicing over the last several years, and we are calling on the City to act responsibly, with a sense of urgency, and accountability.

The BEA acknowledges and appreciates Mayor Breed's leadership and that of Supervisors Walton, Ronen, and Haney (former Supervisors Malia Cohen, and Sandra Fewer) who continued to support justice, equity, and due process for the City's Black employees. We are encouraged by this report, and implore the City to expand upon two of Dr. Gould's suggestions with regard to reforming recruitment and hiring standards, and disproportionate actions by management to include: 1.) an entire overhaul of the City's Civil Service process - which continues to produce anti-Black outcomes in professional and specialized classifications; 2.) include discipline as a recommended action - in addition training, for managers who enact disproportionate disciplinary and corrective actions against any group of employees - with particular regard to people in protected categories who have been and continue to be marginalized.

To Dr. Gould - Thank you for acting with investigative integrity and ethics throughout this process,
and for the multiple meetings you held with members of the Black Employees Alliance. The BEA would like to schedule a follow-up meeting with you to debrief the findings if possible.

Best,

Black Employees Alliance and Coalition Against Anti-Blackness

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}$ |
| Subject: | FW: Legislation, (BOS) |
| Date: | Wednesday, July 14, 2021 2:05:00 PM |

From: Gina Tobar [ginatobar@gmail.com](mailto:ginatobar@gmail.com)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: agenda item removal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

There is an agenda item which is set for the Board of Supervisors meeting scheduled for July 27th which is requesting an appeal of a planning board decision and it is based on an untruth.

Five supervisors signed on to place this on the agenda but the statement that they were provided is deceitful because it contains a critical untruth. The appeals asks for review claiming that there isn't a cannabis store within 1 mile of the proposed location, which is fundamentally untrue.

This cannabis business location was rejected by the Planning Commission because local outcry is that the locals do not see a need nor do they want another cannabis business on the same block; and since this area is very suburban/residential with a tiny commercial zone along Leland Avenue of only three blocks (from Bayshore to Rutland), locals don't want it dominated by 2 cannabis stores!

Please tell me how to remove this from the agenda.
Can the supervisors who voted to add it to the agenda let you know that they retract their name? I think that when they learn that the request for a hearing is based on a lie, the Supervisors would want to avoid wasting time in a BOS meeting and certainly wouldn't want the scandal of aligning themselves with this deceiptful company.

Thank you for your guidance in this matter.

Kindly,
Gina Tobar, Visitacion Valley resident
925-395-7600 cell
wk cell 415-269-0582

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Grants for Golden Gate Performing Arts |
| Date: | Monday, July 12, 2021 9:46:00 AM |

From: Eli Harrison [ehco6823@gmail.com](mailto:ehco6823@gmail.com)
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 9:02 AM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) [mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org); Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); GFTA (ADM) [gfta@sfgov.org](mailto:gfta@sfgov.org)
Subject: Grants for Golden Gate Performing Arts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning, Mayor Breed, Board of Supervisors, and Grants for the Arts program.
I am a mostly-liberal gay atheist living in District 4 of San Francisco. I have three questions about the Grants for the Arts program.

1. When the City issued a $\$ 152,510$ grant to Golden Gate Performing Arts (dba SF Gay Men's Chorus) for FY 2021, was the City aware that Golden Gate Performing Arts would produce content celebrating child predation, and would scapegoate the GBLT community for its perversions?
2. Does the City plan on reissuing its recurring $\$ 152,510$ grant to Golden Gate Performing Arts for FY 2022?
3. If so, will the City provide guidelines, or restrict content and personnel, so that Golden Gate Performing Arts would no longer be able to threaten families and children under the banner of the GBLT rights?

The silence of the City thus far suggests to me that the City wishes to remain willfully ignorant of the hatred Golden Gate Performing Arts has incited against GBLT people. Even if the City does not respond to me personally, I will be watching for a response to the GBLT community, the city of San Francisco as a whole, and the entire world.

Sincerely, Eli Harrison

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: LGBT issues |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 2:44:00 PM |

From: Eli Harrison [ehco6823@gmail.com](mailto:ehco6823@gmail.com)
Sent: Friday, July 9, 2021 9:14 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Breed, Mayor London (MYR)
[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)
Subject: LGBT issues

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mayor Breed and SF Supervisors,

I am a gay man living in District 4 of San Francisco.

I propose that the city stop referring to the LGBT community with a plus sign, as has been printed LGBT+ and other variants.

It is clear now, due to the actions of choral director Dr. Tim Seeling and the organization that he represents, that the plus sign is an attempt to normalize child predation and pedophilia under the LGBT banner. This puts LGBT people at risk like our generation has never seen, and it incites hatred against people who want nothing to do with kids in a sexual way, and it threatens LGBT people who have kids of their own.

I contacted the Mayor and my district Supervisor earlier this week regarding the absolutely repulsive actions of Dr. Seelig's choir group. I have yet to hear a response. The silence of the city is as anti-gay and anti-trans as any fundamentalist Christian group. If the City government has declared war on us too, it should at least legalize conversion therapy for those of us who want nothing to do with the degeneracy happening under our name.

Sincerely,
Eli Harrison

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | BOS-Supervisors |
| Cc: | Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: LGBT issues |
| Date: | Friday, July 9, 2021 2:44:00 PM |

From: Eli Harrison [ehco6823@gmail.com](mailto:ehco6823@gmail.com)
Sent: Friday, July 9, 2021 9:56 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org); Breed, Mayor London (MYR)
[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)
Subject: Re: LGBT issues

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mayor Breed and SF Supervisors,

I would like to follow up on a previous email.

I am a gay man living in District 4 of San Francisco, and I have become both alarmed and disgusted by a choral group led by Dr. Tim Seelig.

His choral group has issued a statement that they will attempt to "control the narrative." Apparently, this means silencing the GBLT people who openly confront and reject their message of child predation in an attempt to frame their opponents entirely as right-wing Christians. This puts the GBLT community at greater risk, by making us appear as supportive or complicit in their pedophilia, and it is bigoted against Christians in a way that should invalidate their non-profit status.

Dr. Seelig will never be able to apologize enough for the harm he has caused the GBLT community worldwide. And it is clear he has no intention to.

There is no $P$ in GBLT and there never EVER will be!!!

Sincerely,
Eli Harrison

On Fri, Jul 9, 2021 at 9:14 AM Eli Harrison [ehco6823@gmail.com](mailto:ehco6823@gmail.com) wrote:

Hello Mayor Breed and SF Supervisors,

I am a gay man living in District 4 of San Francisco.

I propose that the city stop referring to the LGBT community with a plus sign, as has been printed

LGBT+ and other variants.

It is clear now, due to the actions of choral director Dr. Tim Seeling and the organization that he represents, that the plus sign is an attempt to normalize child predation and pedophilia under the LGBT banner. This puts LGBT people at risk like our generation has never seen, and it incites hatred against people who want nothing to do with kids in a sexual way, and it threatens LGBT people who have kids of their own.

I contacted the Mayor and my district Supervisor earlier this week regarding the absolutely repulsive actions of Dr. Seelig's choir group. I have yet to hear a response. The silence of the city is as anti-gay and anti-trans as any fundamentalist Christian group. If the City government has declared war on us too, it should at least legalize conversion therapy for those of us who want nothing to do with the degeneracy happening under our name.

Sincerely,
Eli Harrison


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ (Available at: https://sunnyvale.ca.gov/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?BlobID=23093 [emphasis added].)

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Available at: https://www.ca-ilg.org/ethics-codes.
    ii Available at: https://sunnyvale.ca.gov/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?BlobID=23093.

[^2]:    * The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Port Commission.

[^3]:    * The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Port Commission.

[^4]:    * The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Port Commission.

[^5]:    * The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Port Commission.

[^6]:    * The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Port Commission.

[^7]:    Fund Balance－6／30／22（est．）

[^8]:    Unappropriated Designated Reserves - (require subsequent Board appropriation to spend)
    AIRPORT - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    CITY PLANNING - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT TRUST - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITY - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITY - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITY - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RES
    HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE PORT - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE

    PUBLIC LIBRARY - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
    Subtotal - Unappropriated Designated Reserves
    Appropriated Reserves

[^9]:    GENERAL CITY
    1992 UMB Program - Seismic Safety, Series 2007A - Drawdown 1-7 2016 Preservation and Seismic Safety, Series 2019A
    2016 Preservation and Seismic Safety, Series 2020C
    2008 Clean 2008 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks, BAB Series 2010D ${ }^{(1)}$ 2008 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks, Series 2016A 2012 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks, Series 2018A 2008 San Francisco General Hospital Improvement, BAB Series 2010C ${ }^{(1)}$
    2008 San Francisco General Hospital Improvement, Series 2012D 2008 San Francisco General Hospital Improvement, Series 2012D
    2008 San Francisco General Hospital Improvement, Series 2014A 2010 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2012E 2010 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2014C 2010 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2016C 2014 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2014D 2014 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2016D
     2020 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2021 Bi 2011 Road Repaving and Street Safety, Series 2016E 2014 Transportation \& Road Improvements Series 2015B 2014 Transportation \& Road Improvements Series 2018B 2014 Transportation \& Road Improvements Series 2021C-\$122.8M (estimated) 2015 Affordable Housing Series 2016F 2015 Affordable Housing Series 2018D 2015 Affordable Housing, Series 2019C 2016 Public Health and Safety, Series 2017A

    2016 Public Health and Safety, Series 2018E 2016 Public Health and Safety, Series 2020D-1 2018 Embarcadero Seawall Earthquake Safety, Series 2022A- \$80M (estimated) 2018 Embarcadero Seawall Earthquake Safety, Series 2022A- $\$ 80 \mathrm{M}$ (estimated) General Obligation Bond Refunding, Series 2011 R1 $1^{(3)}$ General Obligation Bond Refunding, Series 2015 R1 $1^{(3)}$ General Obligation Bond Refunding, Series 2020 R1

[^10]:    SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL

[^11]:    Capital Lease - Emergency Back-up Generators Capital Lease - Emergency Back-up Generators Other Fiscal Charges

    ## TOTAL SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL

[^12]:    2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B BABs Federal Offset 2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series E BABs Federal Offset

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tax Assessor Records for 2550 Irving Street, https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/?tab=Property\&search=2550+IRVING+ST
    ${ }^{2} 2550$ Irving Citywide Affordable Housing Loan Committee Acquisition/Predevelopment Loan Evaluation, https://sfmohcd.org/sites/default/files/Documents/MOH/Loan\%20Committee/Approved\%202550\%20Irving\%20St reet\%20Acquisition\%20and\%20Predevelopment\%20Loan\%20Evaluation\%20-\%20Loan\%20Committee\%204-22021.pdf

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compass, San Francisco Home Prices, Market Trends \& Conditions, December 2019, https://www.bayareamarketreports.com/trend/san-francisco-home-prices-market-trends-news
    ${ }^{2}$ City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Analysis of Tenant Displacement in San Francisco, October 2013, https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/FileCenter/Documents/47040-BLA\%20Displacement\%20103013.pdf

[^15]:    ${ }^{3}$ Office of Supervisor Katy Tang, Resilient Sunset Preparedness Guide, September 2016, https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/Resilient_Sunset_Preparedness_Guide.pdf
    ${ }^{4}$ Office of Supervisor Katy Tang, The Sunset District Blueprint, July 2014,
    https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/FileCenter/Documents/49717-final_sunset_blueprint_compressed.pdf

[^16]:    Thedrono

[^17]:    Ronald Alameida 7/14/2021 | 10:54:18 AM PDT

    4211CB1699C5486
    Ronald Alameida, City Architect \& Deputy Director
    Building Design \& Construction
    San Francisco Public Works

[^18]:    * For internal use only. Amendments to this form that are not authorized by CMD/HRC render it invalid *

[^19]:    * Not a city department.

[^20]:    a Bayview Senior Services, Centro Latino, Episcopal Community Services, Glide, Jewish Family \& Children's Services, Kimochi, Meals on Wheels, On Lok/30th Street, Project Open Hand, Russian American Community Services, San FranciscoMarin Food Bank, and Self-Help for the Elderly.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Total card value exceeds allocation because bulk purchase of cards provides 5 percent discount.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Program/service delivery is in the planning phase. Grantees and impacts will be reported in subsequent reports.
    ${ }^{d}$ Initiatives were identified by the COVID-19 Food Coordination Group under the COVID Command Center's Human Services Branch. As needed short-term support to fill critical funding gaps for community-driven efforts serving communities of color.
    Source: Human Services Agency

[^21]:    * The application deadline was July 17, 2020. LIIF has distributed all grants to the FCC grantees via direct deposit. Source: Office of Early Care and Education

[^22]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Authority directly distributed gifts and food to the community.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ In December 2020 Give2SF awarded a \$160,000 grant, \$90,000 of which was allocated for food security. In March 2021 an additional $\$ 240,000$ grant for food security was awarded. The sum of the two food security allocations is $\$ 330,000$, all of which was spent by June 4, 2021.
    Source: Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco

[^23]:    * Program has an additional \$200,000 of allocated funding that the City distributed to participants. See Exhibit4.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Valuation of in-kind donations is ongoing.
    ${ }^{2}$ In fiscal year 2020-21 non-PPE goods (such as food items) related to the City's COVID-19 emergency response efforts were also donated to Give2SF. An attachment to the memorandum lists all the goods, including non-PPE items, donated to Give2SF.

[^25]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^26]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ' City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^27]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^28]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^29]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^30]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^31]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^32]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^33]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^34]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^35]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^36]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^37]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^38]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^39]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^40]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^41]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^42]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^43]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^44]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^45]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^46]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^47]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^48]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^49]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^50]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^51]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^52]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^53]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^54]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^55]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^56]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^57]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^58]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^59]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^60]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^61]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^62]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^63]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^64]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^65]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^66]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^67]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^68]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^69]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^70]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^71]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^72]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^73]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^74]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^75]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^76]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^77]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{d}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^78]:    ${ }^{3}$ No financial disclosure required for donations of $\$ 100$ or less.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ City employee donation made through Combined Charities campaign, which allows donations via payroll deduction.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ City employee donation made through voluntary payroll deduction equal to their annual wage increase.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Payroll deduction for donation ends in December 2021.

[^79]:    This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

